43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Speaker Lyons: "Good morning, Illinois. Your Illinois House of Representatives will come to order. Members are asked to please be at your desks. We shall be led in prayer today by Pastor Shaun Lewis, who is the Illinois State Director of the Capitol Commission serving the political Leaders of Illinois. He's the guest of Representative Morrison. Members and guests are asked to please refrain from starting your laptops, turn off all cell phones and pagers and rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. Reverend Lewis."

Reverend Lewis: "If you'd bow with me in prayer. Father in heaven, scripture... Father in heaven, scripture tells us to honor our leaders and for this reason, I'd like to open Session today by praying for our Speaker Mike Madigan. This is his fortieth year in the Illinois House and for most of the last four decades, he has been the Speaker of Illinois. I pray You would bless him along with Majority Leader Currie, Minority Leader Cross. May they look to You and find wisdom in the pages of scripture. And as this is the end of the week, one more day to go, there's still much to be completed. I pray for this Body of lawmakers that they would persevere for the next two days, comfort them and may they look to Your Son for grace. In Jesus' name we pray, Amen."

Speaker Lyons: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance today by the two Sandys. Sandy Pihos and Sandy Cole, would you please lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance."

Pihos and Cole - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it

43rd Legislative Day

- stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Lyons: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Barbara Flynn Currie, Democrats."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that there is not one single Democrat who has an excused absence today."
- Speaker Lyons: "Wow. Representative Bost, how we doing on the GOP side."
- Bost: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm still worried about the fact that all the single Members are here, but we don't know about the married Members on that side. But that being said, on the Republican side of the aisle, we have Beaubien, Mulligan, Sacia and Morrison are excused today."
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, take the record. There's 114 Members responding to the Roll Call; we have a quorum. We're prepared to do the business for the people of the State of Illinois. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Committee Reports. Rules Report. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: approved for floor consideration, recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #5 to House Bill 83, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 582, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 700, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 760, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 929, Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 1355, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2265 and Floor Amendment #5 to House Bill 3005. House Joint Resolution 25, Floor Amendment #1 has been approved. Representative Chapa

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

LaVia, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 595, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2265, and House Joint Resolution 7. Representative Dan Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on Executive reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: recommends be adopted as amended House Resolution 174. Representative Beiser, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Regulation, Roads & Bridges reports the 14, following committee action taken on April recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution #1 and Joint Resolution 28. Representative Verschoore, Chairperson from the Committee on Counties & Townships reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 230, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 927, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1909, and Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2554. Representative Rita, Chairperson from the Committee on Business & Occupational Licenses reports the following committee action taken on April 14, recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill Representative Holbrook, Chairperson 1490. from Committee on Public Utilities reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: do pass Short Debate is House Bill 1913; do pass as amended Short Debate is House Bill 14, House Bill 1422, and House Bill 3055. Representative Yarbrough, Chairperson from the Committee on Housing reports the following committee action taken on

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

April 14, 2011: recommends be adopted is House... Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1574. Representative McCarthy, Chairperson from the Committee on Personnel & Pensions reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: do pass as amended Short Debate is House Bill 3474. Representative Nekritz, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary I-Civil Law reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2558. Introduction of Resolutions. Referred to the House Committee on Rules is House Resolution 275, offered by Representative Rose and House Resolution 280, offered by Representative Fortner."

Speaker Lyons: "Ladies and Gentlemen, maybe for one last time, Members or anybody within the sound of my voice, today is the deadline for any technical Amendments. Substantive Amendments, the ship has sailed, but if you have a technical Amendment that you need to a Bill, today by noon is the last day to put that request in. So, this is the last time we'll make this announcement. Mr. Clerk, on the Order of Second Reading, on page 5 of the Calendar, Representative Dan Beiser has House Bill 1041. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1041, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Beiser, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Beiser."

43rd Legislative Day

- Beiser: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. I'd ask that Floor Amendment #2 be adopted."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's request. Is there any questions? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #2 is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Cunningham, you have, on the Order of Second Reading, House Bill 711. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 711, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cunningham, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bill Cunningham."
- Cunningham: "Amendment 1 to House Bill 711 is a gut and replace... gut and replace Amendment. It is an initiative of the State Fire Marshal and the Music Entertainment Pyrotechnics Task Force. The Bill seeks to codify the task force recommendations. I ask that the chamber adopt the Amendment."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any questions? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Floor Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of

43rd Legislative Day

- the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Greg Harris, on the Order of Second Reading, on page 6 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1193. Representative Harris. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1193, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Greg Harris, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Harris on Floor Amendment #1."
- Harris, G.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment amends the Insurance Act to put a time limit on recoupments. It is a Bill we've worked on with the insurance industry and the different medical groups. And it was passed out of the Insurance Committee unanimously earlier this week."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Are there any questions? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Floor Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Sara Feigenholtz, on page 9 of the Calendar, the Order of Second Reading, you have House Bill 1697. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1697, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee

43rd Legislative Day

- Amendment #1 was tabled. Committee Amendment #2 was adopted to the Bill. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Out of the record. Representative Chapa LaVia, on page 4 of the Calendar, under the Second Reading, you have House Bill 595. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 595, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Chapa LaVia on Floor Amendment #3."
- Chapa LaVia: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of... Members of the House. This Amendment is an agreed upon Amendment. There are no opponents, but what it does is guts and replace the Amendment, amends the School Code to address who can act as a hearing authority when an area in a suburban Cook County wishes to detach or annex to another school district. This will not apply to Chicago Public Schools. Amendment #3 is that the governing board of the whose purpose educational service center, the ESC center, where the detaching territory is located will hear petitions in suburban Cook County where the regional board of school trustees has been abolished. What has come about is that there is a... there is a nitch, this very small group of people, that like to come before a board would be heard on the ability of possibly. This does not give them the authority to. All it does it give them a venue to come and negotiate and look if there's a possibility of annexation. And I... I call for its adoption. Thank you."

43rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #3 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Chuck Jefferson, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Jefferson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Leader."
- Jefferson: "Just want to take this opportunity to recognize Mary Tuite, who's the recently elected trustee from Northern Illinois Univers... I'm sorry... Rock Valley College along with Dr. Becker, who is here. I just want to give them a great welcome to Springfield. Mary, say hi. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol, NIU. Glad to have you here. Representative Feigenholtz, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Today is a very, very special day in the Illinois General Assembly. My former seatmate, former vice chair of the Human Services Appropriations Committee, soon to be alderman of the 48th Ward, will be celebrating his 44th birthday... 44th birthday today and probably his last birthday as a Member of the Illinois General Assembly. Representative Harry Osterman, happy birthday."

43rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Alderman, all the best to you and the City Council, Alderman Osterman. Representative Toni Berrios, you have two Bills on the Order of Second Reading, Toni. The first one is House Bill 332. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 332, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Berrios, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Berrios on Floor Amendment #1."
- Berrios: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What we are doing with this legislation is just making the 'minority' definitions in all statutes in Illinois to be exactly like the federal 'minority' definitions."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Berrios, you also have House Bill 350. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 350, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Majority Leader Barbara Flynn Currie."

43rd Legislative Day

- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Continuing on the Order of Harry Osterman, not only is it his birthday, he was named the most valuable player in last night's softball game and I'd have to say, I think he's one of the most valuable players in the Illinois House of Representatives. We will sorely miss him. And I want all the Members to know, not only to wish him a happy but to share in the cake that is right out there in Room 314, right off the House Floor, just make sure you don't eat the cake on the House Floor. Happy birthday, Harry."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Patti Bellock, you have two Bills on the Order of Second Reading. On page 8 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1569. Out of the record. Representative Bellock, you also have, on page 13 of the Calendar, 2942. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, we have, on page 14 of the Calendar, House Bill 3315. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. 3315."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3315, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was tabled. Committee Amendment #2 was adopted. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Osmond moves for the... Please place that on the Order of Third Reading. Third Reading, Mr. Clerk. Representative Darlene Senger, on the Order of Second Reading, on page 11 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 2321. Representative Senger. Representative Darlene Senger, you ha... Out of the record. Out of the record. Representative Esther Golar, on the Order of Second Readings, on page 14 of the Calendar, Esther, you have

43rd Legislative Day

- House Bill 3199. Representative Golar. Out of the record. Representative Jehan Gordon, you have, on the Order of Second Reading, House Bill 3469. Out of the record. Representative Dan Reitz, on the Order of Second Reading, you have House Bill 390. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 390, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Reitz, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Dan Reitz on Floor Amendment #2."
- Reitz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 allows DNR to lease property within Pyramid State Park. And I'd be happy to answer any questions or we'll wait 'til Third."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. All those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative DeLuca, for a point of personal privilege."
- DeLuca: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, if you could please give a warm Springfield welcome to the President of Prairie State College, please stand, Dr. Eric Radtke and students who are here from Prairie State Community College in the City of Chicago Heights. Welcome."
- Speaker Lyons: "Prairie State College students, stand up and be recognized. Welcome to your Capitol. We're proud to have

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

you in Springfield today. Representative John Bradley, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Bradley: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed."

- Bradley: "We have a group of faculty and students from my home district, the John A. Logan College, up in the blue shirts.

  Once they stand up, everybody give them a round of applause as well."
- Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol. Enjoy your day in Springfield. Glad to have you here. Representative Mike Zalewski, you have two Bills on the Order of Second Reading. On page 3 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 363, Representative. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 363, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Zalewski, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Zalewski on Floor Amendment #1."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1. It becomes the Bill and deals with transparency and property tax referendums."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Seeing no one is seeking recognition, all those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

43rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Michael, you also have, on the Order of Second Reading, on page 6 of the Calendar, House Bill 1218. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1218, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendments 2 and 3 have both been adopted to the Bill. Floor Amendment #4 was tabled. Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Zalewski, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Zalewski on Floor Amendment #5."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move for the adoption of Floor Amendment #5. It deletes a Section of the Bill that had been in there, previously, dealing with redemption of the certificates."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #5 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Floor Amendment #5 is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Robyn Gabel, on the Order of Second Reading, on page 10 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1958. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1958, a Bill for an Act concerning corrections. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Gabel, has been approved for consideration."

  Speaker Lyons: "Representative Gabel."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I request that this Amendment be accepted. It's... what it does is it's a compromise with the sheriffs... county sheriffs. And it's... has... it... what it does is it fixes some gaps in the '99 legislation."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Crawford, Representative Roger Eddy. On the Amendment, Roger?"

Eddy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "Lady yields."

Eddy: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "She yields."

Eddy: "Representative, is this Amendment agreed to?"

Gabel: "No. The sheriffs... downstate sheriffs still are not in agreement."

Eddy: "Our analysis shows that you've committed to hold the Bill on Second Reading. So, is your intention to move the Bill to Third?"

Gabel: "I... we... you know, we worked with them in good faith. We came up with an Amendment we thought they agreed with. And then when we got to committee, they had new problems with the Bill."

Eddy: "Okay."

Gabel: "So, I would like to move it to Third."

Eddy: "Well, Representative, you committed to hold the Bill on Second until there was an agreement, according to the analysis."

Gabel: "I... I thought I committed to work with the sheriffs on the Bill."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Eddy: "Again, Representative, the Illinois Sheriffs Association is still opposed to the Bill. Your word was to work on and to have an agreement according to them before you move the Bill. So, I would suggest that you keep that agreement that you had with them if that's... or at least review that transcript to make sure that your recollection was not an agreement."

Gabel: "I mean, I did bring the Amendment back to the committee. The committee heard the Amendment and the committee agreed to move the Amendment. They voted in favor of the Amendment. What I agreed to was to was to work with them, bring the Amendment back to the committee."

Eddy: "Okay. Representative, again, it's your Bill. You, obviously, can do what you want to do with it. I'm just pointing out to you that there's a group that at least believed that you were going to hold that Bill on Second Reading. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Monique Davis on the Amendment."

Davis, M.: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Davis, M.: "Yeah. According to my analysis here, all you're asking is for a report for when they use constraints on women who are pregnant."

Gabel: "Correct. Well, that is the part that they disagree with. They don't want to report."

Davis, M.: "Why?"

Gabel: "I don't know."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Davis, M.: "Hopefully, it's not that many, but I think... if I'm not mistaken, did the court rule that they were to stop doing that?"

Gabel: "There was a law in '99 that they were to stop doing it."

Davis, M.: "You have an excellent Bill, pass it."

Gabel: "Thanks."

Davis, M.: "Thank you."

Gabel: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's Motion on Floor Amendment #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Representative Eddy."

Eddy: "Thank you, Speaker. I think we placed a couple of notes on this Bill to hold it to get some..."

Speaker Lyons: "Pardon me. Representative..."

Eddy: "...information back."

Speaker Lyons: "Could you repeat your request?"

Eddy: "Would you check the status... status of the Bill with the Clerk?"

Speaker Lyons: "All right. The Amendment was adopted. The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. However, notes have been requested and not yet filed."

Speaker Lyons: "Hold that Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

Representative Wayne Rosenthal, thanks for being patient.

Pers... personal privilege?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Rosenthal: "A point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. With us today in the House is members of the Lincoln Land Community College Student Government, up here to my right. And Mr. Speaker, I might also mention that Natalie Richardson, who is with them, is our student trustee at Lincoln Land Community College. And she was selected statewide for the Trustee Excellence Award."
- Speaker Lyons: "Congratulations, Lincoln Land College. Welcome to your Capitol. Enjoy your day. Representative Keith Farnham, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Farnham: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed."

- Farnham: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to welcome today Dr. Elaine Johnson and a wonderful group of community college students. We have almost 300 students from across the state here today to talk about college career readiness. Please welcome them, as they're in the Capitol today and they are in the Speaker's Gallery. Please stand."
- Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol. Enjoy your day.

  Representative Williams, you have two Bills on the Order of
  Second Reading. One is House Bill 3034, 3034. Read the
  Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3034, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Williams, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Ann Williams on House Bill 3034, Amendment #1."

43rd Legislative Day

- Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is the product of negotiation between industry and the homebuilders, the roofers and is now an agreed Bill. There are no known opponents. And I ask for an 'aye'... or I ask to move to Third."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Are there any questions? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Floor Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Williams, you also have, on the Order of Second Reading, House Bill 2903.

  Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2903, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Williams, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Williams on Floor Amendment #2."
- Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once again this Amendment is the product of much discussion and negotiation based on concerns articulated in committee by committee Members. It is now an agreed Bill. I know of no known opponents."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the

43rd Legislative Day

- Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Jakobsson, you have, on the Order of Second Reading, House Bill 1948. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1948, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill.

  Amendment #1 was tabled in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Jakobsson, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jakobsson on Floor Amendment #2."
- Jakobsson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 came about by working with the City of Chicago. This excludes the disclosure of camera locations. It clarifies required retention policies, revises the definition of 'agencies'. I would like to move this to 3 and urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Floor Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. I'm sorry. Strike that Motion, Mr. Clerk. All those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

43rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Ramey, on the Order of Second Reading, on page 10 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 2259. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2259, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Ramey, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Ramey on the Floor Amendment #1."
- Ramey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment just codifies the language. We ask for adoption."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Reboletti. Representative Reboletti, you have, on the Order of Second Reading, on page 10 of the Calendar, House Bill 2050. Read the Bill, Mr... Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Dave Winters, on the Order of Second Reading, on page 12 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 2896. Out of the record. Representative Acevedo, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 19 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 2912. 2912. Out of the record. Representative Toni Berrios, on the Order of Third Reading, on page 17 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 440. Toni Berrios, 440, Third Reading. Out of the record.

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Representative Monique Davis, on Third Readings, page 17 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1195, Representative. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1195, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Monique Davis."
- Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1195 requires all police dogs used by state and local enforcement agencies to be trained by programs that meet the minimum certification requirements set by the Law Enforcement Training and Standards Board. We have worked very carefully with the State Police and other police authorities. And I would just ask for a 'yes' vote. I'll answer questions."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Durkin."
- Durkin: "To the Bill. This is a... I had a similar Bill which I introduced this year and one I supported a few years back. A lot of it's based on the integrity of the canines in the criminal justice system. I have chosen to study the issue with more evidence and more individuals over the summer. The Bill that we have in front of us, I believe, it's vague. It's unclear about what direction that the certifiers are to go, but I think that this issue in itself should be left over for study. I'm going to be voting 'present' on it. I believe the concept needs to be developed, but this is not the way to do it."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Davis to close."

43rd Legislative Day

- Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A number of meetings were held and a number of changes have been made to the Bill. And we believe that this Bill is amended in a collaborative effort between the Sponsor, the ACLU, the State Police, the Illinois Sheriff's Association. And it is in response to media attention surrounding the high use of posi... false negatives indicated by police canines during traffic stop searches. As a result, it has been agreed that action should be taken as to unify the police canine training standards and to increase transparency in regards to who is stopped and the result. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 1195 pass?'
  All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Acevedo, Barickman... Berrios, Will Davis. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 110 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 4 Members voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Davis, don't sit down. You also have, on the Order of Third Readings, House Bill 1284. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1284, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "Lady from Cook, Representative Monique Davis."
- Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1284 deals with the insuring of electronic products that we buy every day.

  The Bill ensures that there's written materials letting a

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

consumer know that this insurance that they're taking out on this product will be of assistance if the product is lost, stolen or mechanically... what shall I say... in irrepair. It requires vendors to hold limited line licenses to sell or offer portable electronic insurance. It requires the offer or sale of insurance to occur at the location where the electronics or related services are sold. I will answer questions and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "Representative, how many outfits are selling this type of insurance in the State of Illinois?"

Davis, M.: "I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you."

Franks: "Oh, I'm sorry. Do you know how many firms are selling this type of insurance in the State of Illinois currently?"

Davis, M.: "At this time, there are none. This is new."

Franks: "So, if you were to... So, right now, if you're a resident of the State of Illinois, you could not buy insurance for these items?"

Davis, M.: "I'm not sure if you could buy it. You could have it available on your homeowners or your automobile's insurance."

Franks: "Okay."

Davis, M.: "And you may and you may not depending on the policy that's written."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Franks: "I'm just wondering how this works 'cause I know, for instance, if I use my credit card to buy something, typically what they'll do is extend the manufacturer's warranty for a certain amount of time whether it be six months or one year. So, I guess in a sense we already do have additional sur... insurance if one uses a credit card, but I'm wondering what this Bill specifically does."

Davis, M.: "That's different, you're saying?"

Franks: "Yes, Ma'am."

Davis, M.: "Well, if you don't have a credit card, then you wouldn't have this insurance. If you don't have an automobile, you may not have this insurance. If you don't own property, you may not have this insurance. And then, according to the insurance policy that you hold, it would determine whether this kind of product is insured. So, this will allow people who currently maybe don't have any of that insurance available to them when they buy these iPads and iPods and cell phones the ability to have this product insured by an insurance company."

Franks: "Okay. 'Cause if you have a homeowners, for instance, or a renters insurance typically these type of things may be covered, but I guess my question would be, is there going to be some type of regulation as to the rates that they can charge?"

Davis, M.: "No, there is nothing in here in reference to rates."

Franks: "Okay. My second question then would be, I saw that there was a fee of \$500 for anyone who wants to sell this type of insurance?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Davis, M.: "That is correct. We reduced it from a thousand to 500. That is correct."
- Franks: "And I was going to ask, how did you get to that number of 500?"
- Davis, M.: "Because the Retail Merchants and the insurance industry agreed."
- Franks: "Okay. I'm just... I understand what you're trying to do.

  I'm just a little concerned because this... this type of insurance has been shown, in many cases, not to be worth anything. And it's very... it's a very iffy type of product."

Davis, M.: "Insurance not worth anything..."

Franks: "Yeah."

Davis, M.: "...Representative?"

- Franks: "Yeah. A lot of times we view this unnecessary because of the product warranty and..."
- Davis, M.: "All right. Let me say this, according to this Bill the merchant must give a written, printed paper that states that this insurance may be in addition to what you hold with your homeowners, your automobile or the warranty, but it may not, but it is fully disclosed in a printed paper given to the consumer by the vendor."
- Franks: "Well, here's my question then. Would this be a primary insurer or a secondary because I'm not sure people understand what they're buying because if I walked into Best Buy and they offered me this type of insurance and they gave it on a printed piece of paper, what I might have a problem with is who do I make my claim to? Do I make it on my homeowners insurance? Do I make it on my Visa card or is this the primary insurer?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Davis, M.: "This policy would be totally separate from any insurance that you currently would have."

Franks: "So, would it be primary? Would I be the one... would they be the one who I would go to first?"

Davis, M.: "It would be primary."

Franks: "Okay. Thank you."

Davis, M.: "You're welcome."

Franks: "I appreciate what you're trying to do. I'm still very skeptical of the whole product because I think if you... most consumer advocates would indicate that you don't need this type of insurance simply because of the warranties, but I'm going to continue to listen to the debate."

Davis, M.: "Okay. Thank you so much, Representative."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Davis to close."

Davis, M.: "We would just urge the Body to recognize the need for this insurance when there are so many electronic products being sold whose warranties don't last very long.

And I thank you for your questions. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 1284 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Beiser, Biss, Brady, Burke. DeLuca, May, McAuliffe, Saviano. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 62 Members voting 'yes', 52 Members voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ann Williams, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Williams: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Representative."

Williams: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I want to draw your attention to the gallery while I have two constituents visiting today. First, Mia Fritsh-Anderson, Little Miss Illinois and her mother, Alicia Fritsh. Mia's down here, not just to visit us, but to lobby on behalf of Smoke Free Illinois."

Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol, folks. Enjoy your day.

Representative Ramey, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Ramey: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed."

Ramey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, to the left up here in the gallery, and pretty much everybody's to my left, we have visiting from Effingham, Missouri, Ann Staggs and Theresa Elking with us today. So, if you give them a nice round of applause and welcome to Springfield."

Speaker Lyons: "Ann, Theresa, welcome to the Illinois Capitol.

Glad to have you. Representative Patti Bellock, point of personal privilege, Representative?"

Bellock: "Yes. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to announce today is Autism Day in the State of Illinois. And I want to thank all of the Legislators that took the time out of their busy schedules to come to the press conference this morning. And there are agencies downstairs to talk about it. They all have pins that I hope that you'll pick up because the motto today is to educate, to inform but mostly to inspire, that we have a lot of

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

children. It is now one out of 110 children who'll have autism and what's really frightening is it's one out of 90 boys in the United States will have some form of the autism spectrum disorder. So, thanks, again and I hope you'll go down and visit some of those agencies downstairs. Thank you."

- Speaker Lyons: "Thank you, Representative. Patti, you also have a Bill, Representative Bellock, on page 18 of the Calendar on Third Reading, House Bill 1652. Out of the record. Representative Durkin on House Bill 1717. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Order of Second Reading."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1717, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment 1 was referred to the House Committee and Floor Amendment 2 was referred to the House Rules Committee. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. And read the Bill, Mr. Clerk.

  Hold that Bill on the Order of Third Reading, Mr. Clerk.

  Mr. Durkin, on the Order of... on Third Readings, you have

  House Bill 1716. Jim, is that the one? Read the Bill, Mr.

  Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1716, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Durkin."
- Durkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a minor Amendment to the FOIA Act which will allow public bodies to... allow for costs to be passed on for those who seek information and it requires that public body to retrieve and through... and hire

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

a third party to... for transportation fees to recover those documents from a storage facility. So, I'm ready to answer any questions. This is an initiative of the DuPage County Forest Preserve."

- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 1716 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Burns, Cole, Pritchard, Schmitz, Ron Stephens, like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk... Bob Pritchard. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this, there are 70 Members voting 'yes', 44 Members voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Reboletti, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 20 of the Calendar, and you're going to be presenting House Bill 3486. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3486, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Dennis Reboletti."
- Reboletti: "I know there was an Amendment, Mr. Speaker. I'm not sure if that's been adopted. That was yesterday? Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 3486 adds additional verbiage to deal with the bath salt issue where the bath salts are similar to heroin or methamphetamines or cocaine. So, this has additional verbiage to cover some of the other derivatives. And I would defer to Representative Fortner for any questions."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor awaits your questions, Representative."

Davis, M.: "Representative, you're adding more bath salts... or exactly how many more products are you adding?"

Reboletti: "There will be... there will be two more. This is an initiative of the Will County State's Attorneys Office. As they review the different compounds, they're finding that we're missing a couple. I know we passed a Bill similar to this a few weeks back, but this would cover a couple of new compounds that are turning up in investigations."

Davis, M.: "What are the compounds?"

Reboletti: "They're known as... and I'll have to... NRG-1 is one of them. And I can't pronounce the other one, but it's something like Naphyrone."

Davis, M.: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Reboletti: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Seeing no further discussion, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3486 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Gabel, Representative Mautino. Leader Mautino, would you like to be recorded? Thank you, Sir. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Will Davis, on the

43rd Legislative Day

- Order of Third Readings, on page 19 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 3005. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 3005, what's the status, Sir?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3005 is on the Order of Third Reading. However, Floor Amendment #5 has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading. And what's the status?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Zalewski."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Davis, do you wish to present the Amendment or does Representative Zalewski wish to speak to the Amendment? Representative Davis. Representative Davis on Amendment #5."
- Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #5 to House Bill 3005 simply removes the currently charged provision on this piece of legislation and restricts it to those that have been convicted in this particular instance. Be more than happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #5 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Karen May, point of personal privilege. Representative May."
- May: "Speaker, yes, a point of personal privilege. I'd like to introduce in the gallery behind me, Nina Graham age 12 and

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

her father Doug Graham of Deerfield, Illinois, in my district. They are down here lobbying for the Respiratory Health Association. Nina has asthma and is asking me to stay firm and keep Smoke Free Illinois intact which I intend to do. Let's welcome Nina and her father."

Speaker Lyons: "Thanks for enjoying the day in Springfield, enjoy the Capitol. Representative Dan Reitz, you have a very important announcement for all Members of the House. Representative Dan Reitz."

Reitz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like everyone to help me to congratulate everyone that played softball last night. We won 8 to 5, a very good game. I think it was the coaching that pulled it out. But at the end, it was a very good game, like we had great rookies that came through, a great nucleus with people that have been here with John D'Amico, Representative DeLuca and Thapedi. And everyone played well. Representative Mendoza played her last game. We have one other person that played his last game and we would like to present the game ball to... we have a Hall of Fame we're going to start, I guess, for the House of Representatives softball team. And the first... the first one we're going to put in it is Alderman Harry Osterman."

Speaker Lyons: "Alderman Osterman."

Osterman: "Thank you, Speaker Lyons. I want to thank Danny Reitz. It has been wonderful serving all these years. I still got some time before I leave to come to Chicago or go to Chicago, but last night was very indicative on what we do every day which was teamwork. And it was done so in a bipartisan way with everybody contributing and it was a fun

43rd Legislative Day

- time. And I hope we can kind of continue that spirit for the next two months. So, thank you."
- Speaker Lyons: "You're having a big day on the House Floor,
  Alderman Osterman. Representative Zalewski, for what
  purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Zalewski: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed."
- Zalewski: "Despite his fandom for that minor league team from the north side, I move that Harry Osterman be the new closer for the White Sox. They could use all the help they can get. And he does a really nice job for the House softball team."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair seconds that Motion, Representative.

  Representative Sara Feigenholtz."
- Feigenholtz: "I like to remind everyone that Harry Osterman is a northsider."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Dan Reitz."
- Reitz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to, we have the trophy up there and everyone that played last night who could come on down to the front. Let's get a... let's get a picture for Harry."
- Speaker Lyons: "Back to the order of business. Representative DeLuca, you have, on the Order of Third Reading, House Bill 503. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 503, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative DeLuca."
- DeLuca: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 503 regards the Village of Lansing which owns and

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

operates and maintains a public use municipal airport in southeast Cook County that serves as a regional reliever for the Chicago metro area. What this Bill will do is provide them relief from the leasehold taxes charged to them by Cook County. The private tenants at the Lansing Municipal Airport currently are not paying these leasehold tagets... taxes and due to the direct proximity to Indiana and Will County, it's been very difficult for the Lansing Airport to attract new business and this relief would provide them the opportunity to utilize their comprehensive redevelopment plan for... that they have there. So, I'll be happy to answer any questions. And I ask for your support."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Sullivan: "Representative, I'm reading this Bill and one of the questions I have is, the Bill states that beginning in tax year 2010, so in essence, we're going to not have them pay taxes. Is that your understanding?"

DeLuca: "That is correct."

Sullivan: "So, in planning from a municipal standpoint and local taxing body standpoint, 2010 taxes have already been collected. It is the intent of the Bill to do what now? Because we've already had the tax bills go out; we've already had the rate set. How do you plan on implementing this?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

DeLuca: "What happens is these leasehold taxes that these entities receive from the county of Cook, they're currently not being paid."

Sullivan: "Okay."

DeLuca: "And this would provide relief so that they would no longer would exempt them from receiving those leasehold taxes. Those businesses are currently paying rent to the airport. It's a municipally owned airport by one municipality, the Village of Lansing."

Sullivan: "Okay. So, and I understand how leaseholds work, they have to pay the, in essence, a tax based on the value of the lease. My question is how this is going to affect other taxing bodies because these taxes... the levy process has gone about. We were expecting 'x' amount of dollars based on this leasehold and now they're not going to have that. So, are the individual taxing bodies going to have to give the money back or are you saying that they've never been collected so we're just going to wipe them out?"

DeLuca: "They've never been collected which is why Cook County is taking a neutral position on this."

Sullivan: "Okay."

DeLuca: "Their position is that right now they're not receiving anything and if we don't provide relief, they never will. If we provide this relief, then they will be able to market the property, put in place the redevelopment plan, bring new business in and also, that they've made the commitment. The village has made the commitment for any of the outstanding..."

Sullivan: "That's fine."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

DeLuca: "...leasehold taxes that are due..."

Sullivan: "Okay."

DeLuca: "...that they will, over time, repay that."

Sullivan: "So, is this going to be from here into infinity or do they, at some point, once this is redeveloped we're going to then be able to go back to the leasehold arrangement or what's your intent here?"

DeLuca: "Well, at this point, it would be a permanent."

Sullivan: "Okay. And have all the rest of the taxing bodies like schools and so forth, have they chimed in any way... one way or the other?"

DeLuca: "No, they have not."

Sullivan: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Thaddeus Jones. Don't seek recognition, Sir?
Representative DeLuca to close."

DeLuca: "Well, thank you for your questions. Representative Sullivan, this is very important to the Village of Lansing which owns this airport, a single municipality. This would allow them the relief that they need to move forward with their redevelopment of that property. I ask for your support and your 'yes' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 503 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Barickman, Biss, Cavaletto, Hammond, Mautino. Mr. Clerk...
Sacia, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 91 Members voting 'yes', 23

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Members voting 'no', 1 Member voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mautino, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

- Mautino: "I... On just a request. Can you send the electrician up here to check my switch on the voting box?"
- Speaker Lyons: "On the request for a technician to look at Representative Mautino's voting box, if somebody can please get over there and take care of that for us. Thank you. Representative Keith Farnham, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 18 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1534. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1534, a Bill for an Act concerning health facilities. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Farnham."

- Farnham: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 1534 has House Amendment #1 which is a gut and replace Amendment and makes one addition through to existing law governing nursing home facility employees. It allows for training for nursing home employees for interacting with those with memory and cognitive impairments. And it also allows for family members to receive a list of employees who have had contact with a resident 30 days prior to a filed complaint. There's no opposition."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 1534 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

who wish? Ken Dunkin. Take the… take the Roll, Mr. Clerk. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Dwight Kay, on the Order of Third Reading, Sir, you have, on page 19 of the Calendar, House Bill 2607. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2607, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Kay."
- Kay: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Back in 2007 we had a tragic event in Madison County whereby three teenage girls were killed by a State Trooper who was traveling 123 miles per hour and texting on his cell phone. In that event, these two girls were tragically killed and this Bill addresses that matter. Essentially, the Trooper has filed for workers' compensation under the Act. This Bill would take away his opportunity to file for benefits in that he was convicted of a felony. The Bill suggests that from this point forward anybody who commits or who is involved in a felony who is convicted and files for workers' compensation will be denied that benefit. I ask for an 'aye' vote and will take questions. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2607 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who have to

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Morthland, you have, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 21 of the Calendar, House Bill 3522. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3522, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Morthland."

Morthland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise today in support of HB3522. This is a law that curren... a Bill that currently as it amended, if it would become law, would create a situation in which a person who was in their third or more subsequent DUI event, who killed someone during that act, would then have committed a Class X felony. I have to say thank you very much to the staff who worked very hard on this. No one slipped this in committee mostly because staff worked hard. The Illinois State Police, which generally does not come in, in regard to sentencing Bills, thankfully is in support. Department of Corrections, because we reduced this with Amendment 2 to a 85 percent truth in sentencing provision, is neutral. I also need to thank, after yesterday's debacle, the Members of the Clerk's staff and also the Speaker's staff for wading through that and DOC does send their apologies for the notes. So, I ask for your adoption and I'm open to questions."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation on House Bill 3522. Are there any questions? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3522 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Brauer, Representative Burns. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Dan Burke, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 19 of the Calendar, Representative, you have House Bill 1964. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, status on House Bill 1964."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1964 is on the Order of House Bills-Third Reading, but a Floor Amendment has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, take that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading. Representative Burke on Floor Amendment #2."
- Burke, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a very simple matter. This particular Bill would deal... deal with the subject of not-for-profit organizations and the opportunity for the public to observe how they expend their resources whether they be private or government grants and it simply would say that there would be a website attached to the Secretary of State's website and the Attorney General's website to direct them to a national not-for-profit rating site. Be happy to answer any questions."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation on Amendment #2. Seeing no one's seeking recognition, the question is, those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Floor Amendment #2 is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Kelly Burke, on the Order of Third Reading, you have House Bill 3342. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3342, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Kelly Burke."
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of Burke, K.: Assembly. I present House Bill 3342 which is an initiative of the Illinois Tollway. The Bill establishes a default rule supporting the Tollway's privacy statement that it will not sell or rent personal data. It allows the Tollway to continue to use personally identifiable information to run its business as long as it keeps this information confidential. The Bill allows the Tollway to store I-PASS data only to the extent necessary to run its business. The Bill will also ensure that the Tollway establishes and publicizes a formal privacy policy and it will protect the date, time, location and direction of travel for I-PASS customers. I-PASS customers have a reasonable expectation that the dates, times and places where they travel on the system are private and that the Tollway will keep the

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

information confidential except for legitimate public purposes such as law enforcement. The Bill is drafted so it won't block legitimate inquiries into how the Tollway is conducting its business. And the exemption is for individuals only not corporate users. I urge passage of this Bill and I welcome any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Chapin Rose."

Rose: "Thank you. The Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Rose: "Representative Burke, is there... and previously we had included a statute of limitations for \*the ability of the Tollway to send out tickets 'cause there had been an issue a few years ago where they waited several years, four or five or six years, and then sent out a bunch of tickets to people. And then they're in a position of having to defend, you know, against on December 31, 2006, you got a ticket at such and such toll stop. Well, how do you remember five years later, you know, whether you were there or not or otherwise? Does this change that in any way?"

Burke, K.: "This Bill does not address that situation at all."

Rose: "Okay. Very good. I commend you for what you're doing here and I'm glad you're doing it and would actually like to be a cosponsor of your Bill, if I could. Thank you."

Burke, K.: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Franks: "Representative, I'm reading the analysis and one of...
  and it indicates that it... that House Amendment #1 was
  tabled. But is there anything in the Bill that removes the
  requirement that the Tollway Authority discard personally
  identifiable information about accounts?"
- Burke, K.: "I don't believe that's in the Amendment. I believe that stays. Yes, that is still part of the Bill. Oh, no, I'm sorry. It's not part of the Bill."
- Franks: "Okay. So, I'm trying to figure out what is part of the Bill, then. I..."
- Burke, K.: "The Bill is actually fairly simple. It excludes from public disclosure personally identifiable travel information of I-PASS users such as when they travel, where they travel, what time, what direction. The agency can still use that information in an aggregate way to get statistics for its personal use, but it cannot disclose that to someone through a FOIA request, but it would be available for law enforcement purposes."
- Franks: "What about subpoenas in a civil matter, for instance, in a divorce case?"
- Burke, K.: "Yes. It would be subject to a subpoena in that case."
- Franks: "Then my next question would be, when would this personally identifiable information be purged? How long would it be kept? 'Cause I think... I can't think of an overriding public policy to be keeping this for too long."
- Burke, K.: "I don't have a specific answer to that, but I believe it's for as long as they keep it for their business purposes and I don't know how long that goes back."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Franks: "Okay. I appreciate that. I'd like to know if you'd be amenable possibly, if necessary, maybe it isn't necessary, to put a time frame on how long they can hold it. And I don't want to do anything that would impede their business, but let's assume... I'm going to support your Bill and I presume it's going to the Senate... but what I'd like is if they hold this now for 'x' amount of years for amount of... let's say four years... let's use that number. I'd like to put that into statute that after four years these things would be purged because otherwise I think it becomes more of a hindrance than a help to IDOT because then it would be subject to additional... I meant, not IDOT, the Toll Highway Authority... otherwise it'd be subject to disclosure and I don't know what possible use the Toll Highway Authority could need this information for more than four years."

Burke, K.: "I will pass that along to the Tollway Authority. I don't know that that would come within this legislation, but it is something I would ask the Tollway Authority and if it's something that they would agree to or if it's not already covered in other parts of their regulations, I could... I would be amenable to that."

Franks: "I appreciate that. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Burke to close."

Burke, K.: "I think this is a good Bill that very narrowly exempts some information from disclosure. And I urge its passage."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 3342 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mayfield, Skip Saviano. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Burke, don't sit down. You also have, on the Order of Third Readings, House Bill 3025. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3025, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Burke."

Burke, K.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I bring forth today House Bill 3025 which amends the Personal Information Protection Act to notification requirements when a breach of the security of a system for collecting personal data occurred. The Bill has been amended since its introduction and the Amendments have removed all of the opposition with the possible exception of Experian. The Bill applies to a data collector that owns or licenses personal information as well as a data collector that maintains or stores but doesn't own the lic... or license the data. The addition of a data collector that maintains and stores but doesn't own the data is important as in recent years there has been an increase in the number of data storage facilities in the Chicago area. details information Bill what and notifications to the consumer must contain at a minimum and it applies those same standards to state agencies that collect personal information. Finally, the Bill provides standards for the proper disposal of personal information

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

in paper or electronic form. And I urge a favorable vote on this Bill and will be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3025 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Will Davis, Kevin McCarthy. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 2 Members voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Rich Brauer, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 18... page 20 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 3175. Out of the record. Representative Coladipietro, you have, on the Order of Third Reading, on page 18 of the Calendar, House Bill 1960. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1960, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Coladipietro."

Coladipietro: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1960 amends the Code of Civil Procedure with respect to objections for personal jurisdiction in foreclosure matters. The Bill provides that a motion to dismiss or a motion to quash must be filed within 60 days of a party's appearance or their participation in a hearing. The Bill also gives the court a jurisdiction to extend this period for good cause. The Cook County Sheriff's Department had some concerns about this

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Bill, but we've worked with them and they've agreed to lift their opposition to this Bill and move the Bill to the Senate where we'll address their concerns. I'll be glad to take any questions. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is Speaker Lyons: there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 1960 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Colvin, Representative Norine Hammond. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 2 Members voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Rita, seek recognition, for what purpose do you Representative Ramey, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 19 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 2550. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2550, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Randy Ramey."
- Ramey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2550 is going to allow townships to hire more than one enforcement officer. This comes from Hanover Township in my district. They currently have one enforcement officer, but with growth in multiple ordinances they have to enforce they feel a need to get more than one. These people are not paid, so there's no cost to the taxpayer. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "Representative, this Bill would allow a township board to appoint township enforcement officers who would have the same rights as a deputy sheriff?"

Ramey: "Correct."

Franks: "And deputy sheriffs have the same powers as the sheriffs, right?"

Ramey: "To a certain extent, yes."

Franks: "Would these individuals be able to arrest people and take them into custody?"

Ramey: "No."

Franks: "What would they be able to do?"

Ramey: "What they're doing is enforcing township codes and the thought from the supervisor was to hope to also get into a intergovernment agreement with Cook County to help enforce some of their codes that they don't get out to enforce as often as they would like."

Franks: "'Cause right now you have a county enforcement officer..."

Ramey: "Right."

Franks: "...who will do the violations and then the state's attorney would prosecute those, correct?"

Ramey: "Correct."

Franks: "So, in this instance, would the state's attorney still be the prosecutor?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Ramey: "Yes."

Franks: "So, this is just getting more enforcement people out

on the... out on the... I mean, in the street?"

Ramey: "That is correct."

Franks: "Okay. Thank you."

Ramey: "Sure."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Ramey to close."

Ramey: "I ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you, Mr. Chairman."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 2550 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Unes. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On the Bill, there's 98 Members voting 'yes', 18 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Lisa Dugan, on the Order of Third Readings, you have, on page 18 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1576. Out of the record. Representative Mary Flowers, on the Order of Third Readings, Mary, on page 17 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1476. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1476, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Mary Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill would allow the person who filed a disciplinary action against a doctor to review the report and the complaint against the doctor and also the Bill

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

would require the Illinois Department of Professional Regulations to notify that person who has filed the complaint against the physician of the time and the place of the hearing and inform him or her whether or not she may provide testimony in the hearing. This Bill came as the result of the Auditor General's report and a lot of the people complained that they did not have the ability to learn of the complaint, learn of the hearing, or know about the disciplinary action. And I'll be more than happy to answer any questions you have in regards to House Bill 1476."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Crawford, Representative Roger Eddy."

Eddy: "Thank you. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Eddy: "Good morning, Representative."

Flowers: "Good morning. How are you?"

Eddy: "Good. Hey, we just had a question regarding the position of the..."

Flowers: "I'm sorry, Representative. I cannot hear you."

Eddy: "Okay."

Speaker Lyons: "Hold on a minute, Roger. Ladies and Gentlemen, could we bring the noise level in the chamber down, please. Shhh. Thank you very much."

Eddy: "Thank you. We were waiting for positions from the Medical Society, the Docs Hospitals. We... have you heard from them? I think their position was pending. Have they contacted you?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Flowers: "Yes. And I corrected the Amendment because the initial... initially the Bill stated that anyone that filed a complaint... I'm sorry... initially the Bill said that anyone could find out the information. And I put the Amendment on to say that only the person that filed the complaint can find out the initial review of the complaint. So, I did put on the Amendment from the Medical Society and it is my understanding in committee they did not object to the Bill."

Eddy: "Okay. Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Lyons: "State your inquiry, Sir."

Eddy: "What's the status of Floor Amendment 2?"

Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2 remains in the House Committee."

Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Eddy."

Eddy: "Okay. Thank you."

Flowers: "I did not adopt Amendment #2."

Eddy: "Okay. Is it your intention that that Amendment which takes care of those concerns be added?"

Flowers: "Not to this legislation, no. That's the reason why...

Amendment #2 did pass in committee and I could've adopted it on the floor. I chose not to do so because that issue was too broad and to me it would have weighed this Bill down and that needs a subject hearing on its own."

Eddy: "Okay. So, likely their position hasn't changed then because it wasn't adopted and they probably still have some concerns with the Bill."

Flowers: "Oh, you're right."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Eddy: "Okay."

Flowers: "In regards to Amendment #2, you're correct."

Eddy: "Okay. Thank you."

Flowers: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "No one seeking further recognition, Representative Flowers to close."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote, please."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 1476 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? David Harris, Hernandez, Leader Lou Lang. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 84 Members voting 'yes', 32 Members voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Esther Golar, on the Order of Third Reading, on page 20 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 3265. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3265, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Esther Golar."

Golar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 3265 declares that when lawful products are used unlawfully they can be charged as drug paraphernalia. House Bill 3265 expressly applies to the following legal products and some are: cigar wraps, cigarette rolling papers and tobacco pipes. It gives law enforcement... what is the

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

important thing about this Bill... more tools to charge and prosecute crimes involving drug paraphernalia. It eliminates the giant exemption of cigarette rolling papers from the Drug Paraphernalia Act and it gives prosecutors, again, a rebuttal presumption that all items covered by the Act are drug paraphernalia, if they are found with a trace amount of drugs or in close proximity to drugs. I'll be happy to take any questions. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Durkin."

Durkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I rise in support of the Lady's legislation. This is not... it's different than the issue that we had in the Veto Session which there was very spirited debate, but I believe... and this is basically the Bill that we had been promoting, a number of us thought was the best response to deal with the drug paraphernalia issues and when it comes to cigarette rolling papers and these cigar rolling papers. So, I think this is a good response. What is does it states... it puts it in other types of goods which are part of the retail industry which may be used or abused by some individuals, but we make them very, very difficult to get access to and we put certain safeguards in there. So, I think this is a good Bill and I would encourage all my colleagues to support it."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Golar to close."

Golar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 3265 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Chapa LaVia, Representative Smith, like to be recorded? Representative Smith. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Randy Ramey, on the Order of Third Reading, on page 19 of the Calendar, you also have House Bill 2820. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2820, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Randy Ramey."
- Ramey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It looks like a busy day for me; I like it. House Bill 2820 is a little cleanup language to a Bill I passed last year dealing with the annual town meetings in townships. There was an issue about folks coming to the annual town meeting and wanted to put advisory referendum on the ballot dealing with the war in Iraq and other issues that don't pertain to township government. So, we passed a Bill... a law last year kind of defining what can and when they can use these referendum. Now, we're going to set 15 days instead of 10 days to file with the clerk what referendums they would like to have on... at the meeting. And we're making sure that the referendum that they will put on the ballot will deal with township government. I'll take any questions."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2820 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Burns, Will Burns. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 Members voting 'yes', 1 Member voting 'no', 1 Member voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative David Reis, on the Order of Third Readings, Representative, you have House Bill 2804. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2804, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative David Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2804, as amended, will address a problem where hunters will bring in deer meat to be processed and then they don't show up to pick up the processed meat leaving the processors with a... sometimes several hundred dollars worth of processing fees. This just allows them to give away the meat and charge a nominal fee for the processing. Ask for your support."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "What do they do now?"

Reis: "They can donate the meat for Habitat... or Hunters for Hunger or many times they just have to discard the meat."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Franks: "Really? I thought they'd be able to sell it. They can't."

Reis: "The deer meat... the deer is owned by the State of Illinois, so you can't sell the meat. But sometimes hunters will come from several miles away, process it into Slim Jims, boloney, salami. The average bill is \$175 and then they just won't show up to pick up the meat."

Franks: "Wow. I didn't know that problem. I didn't know we had to do this, but it sounds like a good idea. Thanks."

Reis: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Reis to close."

Reis: "I just ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 2804 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Representative Cavaletto, would you like to be recorded?
John? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116
Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Tom Holbrook, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 17 of the Calendar, Sir, you have House Bill 1470. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1470, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Tom Holbrook."

Holbrook: "Thank you, Speaker. House Bill 1470 says that HFA has to... excuse me... that the department has to set up a

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

online submissions process by July 1 of 2013. I'd move for its approval."

- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 1470 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Roger Eddy. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Chapin Rose, on page 21 of the Calendar, under the Order of Third Readings, you have House Bill 3636. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3636, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Chapin Rose."
- Rose: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3636 would simply provide a one sentence statement on a mechanics lien notice that the... anyone seeking to not pay the lien would have 30 days. This is the current law. The 30 days we're not changing anything. It would just put everyone on notice in a written statement of what the law is and that way everyone would know and there would be no discussion whatsoever about how many days they have and frankly, this would be a good bright line rule."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Jack Franks."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "Chapin, I'm trying to figure out what you're trying to do. I understand there was a law case LaSalle v. Cypress."

Rose: "No, no. That was the Amendment. The Amendment's not attached, Representative Franks."

Franks: "It isn't? So, what..."

Rose: "No, it is not. This is... forget anything about Cypress.

There's all kinds of issues with Cypress. That Amendment stayed on Second Reading was not attached."

Franks: "So, all we have is the original Bill..."

Rose: "Yes, yes."

Franks: "...which amends the Illinois Mechanics Lien Act..."

Rose: "Correct."

Franks: "...to require notice that failing to respond to a written demand to enforce a mechanics lien will result in the lien's forfeiture?"

Rose: "Right. You already have 30 days. So, all it says is in written demand you have to print the statement that says you have 30 days and failure to do so would result in forfeiture."

Franks: "Thank you."

Rose: "Yeah, it's simple."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Rose to close."

Rose: "I would just ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 3636 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Have all voted who wish? Marlow Colvin. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 2 Members voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Lisa Hernandez, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 17 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1241. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1241, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Lisa Hernandez."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1241's purpose derives from a case that occurred about three years ago. You may remember that two young men were killed by an offduty police officer in Chicago. The Bill would require if an individual has been arrested for DUI whether or not an accident with death or injury has occurred, law enforcement officer shall request and administer a chemical test. Under current law, an individual is deemed to have consented to chemical testing when driving a vehicle on highways of the state... of this state, but a law enforcement officer is not mandated to request a chemical test. This will... this Bill will change that. I ask for your support on this Bill. Thank you. And I'll answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 1241 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Burns. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Wayne Rosenthal, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 18 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1914. Out of the record. Representative Jim Sacia, you have, on the Order of Third Reading, House Bill 1723. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1723, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Jim Sacia."
- Sacia: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 1723 is a work in progress between the auctioneers and the realtors. And I'd like to express my thanks to Chief of Staff Mapes and Speaker Madigan for working with me to get this in an acceptable product to go across the rotunda as work continues on it and it is agreed. Greg St. Aubin with the realtors is very... very much in agreement with the Bill as it is and we are continuing to work on it. So, I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 1723 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Darlene Senger, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 20 of the

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Calendar, you have House Bill 3129. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3129, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Darlene Senger."
- Senger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the chamber. House Bill 3129 as amended by the second Floor Amendment we did yesterday basically requires park districts to do criminal back... criminal check backgrounds on minors. This was a request from several park districts. Right now they do background checks on adults but not juveniles. And how the background check works is basically the juvenile has an application, the application then is filled out by the juvenile, the police do the background checks and the park district gets the information. So, there is... everyone's neutral on this. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Monique Davis."
- Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Spon... I mean, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."
- Davis, M.: "I'm sorry that I didn't hear the beginning of your statement, but I understand the park district wants to do something?"
- Senger: "Right now, the park district when the employee... when an adult who's employed, they do a background check."
- Davis, M.: "Right now, the park district..."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Senger: "For adults. So, this is asking the park districts to do a background check under certain crimes for juveniles."

Davis, M.: "On youth, they want a background check on young people?"

Senger: "Yes."

Davis, M.: "Who are going to do what? Come to the park..."

Senger: "They have..."

Davis, M.: "...to play?"

Senger: "No. They'd have a background check on certain offenses."

Davis, M.: "On children who are going to work in the park. Is that it?"

Senger: "They're to be employed by the park district."

Davis, M.: "I can't understand what you're saying. I apologize.

We... talk into the microphone. We can't understand you."

Senger: "They're... they're to be employed by the... they're asking for employment by the park district."

Speaker Lyons: "Ladies and Gentlemen, could we bring the noise level down on the chamber floor, please? There's a discussion going on. Shhh. Thank you. Representative Senger."

Senger: "To answer. They're asking for employment by the park district. That's correct."

Davis, M.: "So, if a young person applies to wo… applies to work in a park, they want to do a background check on that young person?"

Senger: "For certain... certain criminal offenses."

Davis, M.: "For example?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Senger: "Felonies including murder, controlled substances, meth control."
- Davis, M.: "Those kids are in jail. If they... if they committed murder, they're in jail. What else?"
- Senger: "Meth control, Community Protection Act, provides the same provision for employment for those who were adjudicated minors that exists for adults. Criminal history. They also includes some of the sex offenses, but it's basically the same questions for adults."
- Davis, M.: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. Any major crime, a sex offense, a murder committed by a youth, that youth is in juvenile detention center. And usually, he's there until 17 or 18 and then he may be transferred to an adult prison. Let me tell you a story about a little boy named Johnny. Johnny was living with his divorced mother and he was not a good citizen. And he moved to live with his father when he became 14 because they thought the father would have a better handle on helping Johnny to become a good citizen. Had he been arrested for having a little marijuana? Yes, he had. Had he been arrested for breaking into somebody's property? Yes, he had. But now, Johnny's going to live with his dad. He's going to be a good citizen. His father is getting him a job with the park district. If we don't pass this Bill, Johnny has a chance of becoming a Representative or moving on with his life. If we pass this Bill, we're stigmatizing little Johnny's and all the little Johnnies in our state for the rest of their lives and that's not what this country is about. We're stigmatizing them. We're saying you can't work here. You can't do that.

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Let little Johnny turn his life around. Let his father help him turn his life around. Don't deny him opportunities because he was misguided, misdirected at a very early age, but he has a chance to turn his life around. Some people in this very Body have been given an opportunity to change their lives around. And if they think back, they can ask themselves where would I be had it not been for this person stepping into my life. Where would I be if the police decided to lock me up or stigmatize me for the rest of my life? That's not what I'm about down here. I'm about giving young people opportunities, educational opportunities and making them better citizens. I don't want to criminalize a child for the rest of his life. Read some of the literature from old England and it'll show you what used to happen to kids. If they stole a loaf of bread, they could never do this, they could never do that. I'll tell you a good story to read. Read Family Secrets from the Calabrese family. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "A parliamentary inquiry. Have Amendments #1 and 2 been adopted?"

Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #1 was withdrawn. Floor Amendment #2 has been adopted to the Bill."

Franks: "Thank you. I see in..."

Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Franks."

Franks: "Thank you. I'd like to ask the Sponsor some questions then."

Speaker Lyons: "La... La..."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Franks: "I see in House Amendment #1..."

Speaker Lyons: "Lady yields."

Franks: "...which has not been adopted, you had excluded the City of Chicago, correct?"

Senger: "Floor Amendment #1 the issue was not adopted. It had to with finger... the sheriff wanting the park to do bigger fingerprinting, which the park district did not. So, we basically tabled that and worked Amendment #2."

Franks: "Well, in our analysis it indicates that this Bill does not apply to Chicago. Under Amendment #2, does it apply to Chicago?"

Senger: "I believe it does."

Franks: "I'm just trying to find out if it's uniform because if your question here is safety, I'd wonder why we would exclude one municipality and not others."

Senger: "To my knowledge it does."

Franks: "Can we double-check that? I don't know if you can..."

Senger: "Yeah."

Franks: "...if you can ask your able staffer behind you and maybe..."

Senger: "Yeah. I'm hearing that it does exclude Chicago,

Amendment #2."

Franks: "It does exclude Chicago?"

Senger: "Yeah. Yet, I'm willing to correct that. I agree with your comment."

Franks: "Can we take the Bill out of the record at this time or would it be... 'cause that... would that be a technical one or would you..."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Senger: "Yeah, I would... given the time frame, I almost prefer to have it done in the Senate, how much work we have to do."

Franks: "I'm just concerned about voting for a Bill that's not correct in that... 'cause that is such a big issue because I think it takes away the whole impetus of what you're trying to do."

Senger: "Yeah. Again, given that this is our Thursday and I'm not sure if we're going to get this through I'll, you know, I'll give you my word that we'll correct it."

Franks: "Okay."

Senger: "Yes."

Franks: "Is there... so, you'll... that will need to be corrected in the Senate, number one?"

Senger: "Yes."

Franks: "Number two, are there any instances right now when minors need to be checked for background checks to get a job?"

Senger: "What... what is the current... unlike the school districts that actually receive information from several cases for juveniles who have committed offenses, the park district's excluded from that information. We tried to introduce this Bill a year ago and we're asking for the same things that the school district were receiving, but that... we decided not to go that route just to go back to basically the criminal checks that are entitled to the adults for park districts and then others who are employing."

Franks: "But right now, presently, are any... are there any specific laws aimed at background checks for minors?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Senger: "I believe not for ... "

Franks: "But like at... like at a city pool? Because a city pool, for instance, wouldn't be a park district, but we have a lot of children there. I presume what you're trying to do..."

Senger: "Right."

Franks: "... is to protect our youth."

Senger: "Yes."

Franks: "I'm just wondering how... when... how this Bill is drafted whether there's, you know, the exemption of the City of Chicago not having municipal pools, for instance, covered or municipal parks which may not be a park district. And this... I just think the way it's been drafted, it's been drafted so narrowly and unfortunately, with your agreement, I think, you believe it's incorrectly. I think it might be better to wait 'til the Senate Bill comes over that you're able to pick up and maybe amend that. But I'm... I couldn't in good faith support something like this which is just riddled with these kind of loopholes."

Senger: "I... you know, in response I hear what you're saying.

Right now, it is specific to the park districts and what I understand."

Franks: "But wouldn't you want it larger? Wouldn't you want municipals..."

Senger: "I'd be willing to, you know, maybe even work on another Bill down the road to do that."

Franks: "Would you mind taking this one out of the record and picking up a Senate Bill. I'm happy to work with you on it.

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

I just don't want to pass legislation that I... that we know isn't going to go..."

Senger: "Yeah. I'm..."

Franks: "...how we want it to go."

Senger: "Yeah. I'm going to... I'm going to, again, stay with where we are right not 'cause we've been working on this for two years."

Franks: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Dennis Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, is this the Bill we dealt with in Juvenile Justice Reform a few years ago or was it last year or two years ago?"

Senger: "I didn't hear the question. I didn't hear the question."

Reboletti: "Was this the Bill that we dealt with in Juvenile Justice Reform, was it last year or two years ago?"

Senger: "This is correct."

Reboletti: "And I know that it was an initiative of the park district from... in Naperville from your town. Was there any particular instance that led to them asking for the background checks? Is there a particular case that they found out that an employee had a record that, had they known, they may not have hired them?"

Senger: "There were several instances throughout the state that there was situations that occurred of crimes because of the

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

fact that a juvenile was employed, not only in Illinois but in other states."

Reboletti: "Well, I appreciate your work on this, Representative. I know that we dealt with this issue for a couple of years and that I would prefer that my nine-yearold son, when he goes to the Elmhurst Park District, not be supervised by armed robbers, or sex offenders, or home invaders. That's not stigmatizing anyone. That's not a mistake. There are instances, obviously, when we want to give people a second chance, but in a Class X felony, one of the most serious felonies that you can commit, that's a whole different level. And the Lady's just trying to exempt particular instances and those crimes mentioned are some of those Class X felonies. The same things we don't want drug dealers in our park district supervising children nor do you want a sex offender presiding over the swimming pool at Naperville. I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "Roger Eddy."

Eddy: "Thank you. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Eddy: "Representative, I wanted to make sure that the Body had an accurate reading of who it is you're trying to identify. I heard the previous speakers refer to someone who maybe stole a loaf of bread, a petty offense, being an individual who may not may be caught in this background check you're trying to do. Is that an accurate description of the types of offenses that this would cover?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Senger: "Basically, the type of offenses are... the offenses are first degree murder, Class X felony, offenses including crimes against minors, sexual assault, battery, crime stalking, offenses against the Illinois Controlled Substance Act, methamphetamine control, Community Protection Act and in any offenses or attempt of any other State Laws of the United States which commit... or attempt in the state would have been punishable by one or more of the foregoing offenses which has to do with sexual physical abuse of a minor under 18 and the Cannabis Control Act."

Eddy: "So, these are serious offenses?"

Senger: "There..."

Eddy: "There's nothing minor. There's no misdemeanor offenses here. These are serious offenses."

Senger: "These... Right. These are serious offenses."

Eddy: "Yeah. And whether or not somebody votes for a Bill or doesn't, I think, we need to have it accurately described and these are serious offenses. You're trying to protect young children from individuals who have committed serious offenses by having a background check done. You reasonably worked with the cost factor. It's not a fingerprint background check at this point?"

Senger: "It is not a fingerprint background check at this point."

Eddy: "And if a park district... there's multiple park districts in my legislative district that also have pools that they are easily part of park districts and so they would be covered if it is a municipal pool maybe not, but you're

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

willing to make changes of this in the Senate to take care of concerns."

Senger: "That's correct."

Eddy: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think multiple times in the last few days we've had situations where Bills have not been absolutely perfect. We are against a deadline. The Representative has agreed to work on the issues that have been brought up and this is a very commonsense, reasonable approach to try to protect young children, mostly those... the venue she's trying to make sure the background check is accomplished then attract young kids and she's trying to protect them. I think this is a great Bill. There's time to work on it in the Senate. We've done this on multiple occasions in the last couple days on both sides to help individuals along with a Bill. I'd urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Will Burns."

Burns: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Burns: "Representative, we're all concerned about protecting children and working hard on that and I just want to make sure I understand what you're... what this Bill actually does. According to my analysis, if you're convicted of a Class A or Class B misdemeanor, that you would not be able to be employed by the park district. Is that your interpretation or your understanding?"

Senger: "I don't think that's Amendment #2."

Burns: "Okay. Does Amendment #2 take out the references to Class A and Class B misdemeanors?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Senger: "What it's doing for #2, the same standard that applies for adults is applying for minors."

Burns: "Okay. But I just want to..."

Senger: "But not any more."

Burns: "...understand whether or not, if you're convicted of a Class A or Class B misdemeanor as a juvenile, you're adjudicated delinquent... as a delinquent juvenile for committing a Class A or Class B misdemeanor that you would no longer be eligible for employment at a park district?"

Senger: "Again, if it applies to adults that would be correct."

Burns: "So..."

Senger: "And I don't see it in front of me being applied to... in #2..."

Burns: "I just... I just..."

Senger: "...in Floor Amendment #2 for adults."

Burns: "I'm sorry. I just need a... just a clarification. So, if you are convicted as a juvenile of a... or an adjudicated delinquent for a Class A or Class B misdemeanor, you would be ineligible for employment at a park district, if this Bill were to become law? Just yes or no."

Senger: "At the moment, I can't answer the question."

Burns: "I'm sorry. What was your..."

Senger: "At the moment, I don't have the answer to the question."

Burns: "Okay. Here's what I would recommend. And both of us on both sides of the aisle have worked hard to create second chances for people who are convicted of relatively minor offenses so that if they're convicted of relatively minor, nonviolent offenses, they have the opportunity to get their

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

lives together, join the military, you know, particularly juveniles who lack the brain development to make good decisions. As we all know, juveniles, their prefrontal cortexes are not developed to make good decisions. They're not a... their brains are not fully developed, so that's why we have a juvenile... we have a special Criminal Code for juveniles as opposed to adults. That's why we treat them differently because they have different needs. And so my concern is that, you know, as we're working... and frequently in a bipartisan manner, I mean, there are a number of your colleagues on the other side of the aisle who worked with us over here to come up with ways so that nonviolent firsttime offenders don't face a permanent bar to employment or licensure. And so, I'm very concerned that as we made so much progress in this area that your Bill takes us a step back particularly with juveniles who made a mistake, maybe were in the wrong place at the wrong time, and now they're trying to get a job into the labor force and work in the community and they can't get a job. So, maybe you could take it out of the record. We, you know, your staff can talk to our staff and have some clarification on that. I'm all fine with sex offenders and you know, people who commit forcible felonies for, you know, even if they're juveniles, from working at the park district. But a lot of young people are arrested on relatively minor offenses, adjudicated delinquents and I'm very concerned that, you know, you were creating another barrier for those folks."

Senger: "I'd like... I'd be willing to come back with an answer later in the day. Where... where Amendment 2 basically came

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

from is a Bill was also working by Senator Wilhelmi in the Senate and they were working through some of these same issues and we adopted that same Bill with the understanding that the intent was not... to have only major offenses being addressed."

Burns: "The... the intent was not to only have major offenses?"

Senger: "Only... these are major offenses only, not the minor."

Burns: "Okay. Well, I mean, we could al… you could always ask the Speaker for a deadline extension on the Bill. I'm sure that he'd be happy to work with you on that or you can come back with an answer later in the day, but it would be really important for me to have that information."

Senger: "Okay."

Speaker Lyons: "No one seeking further recognition,

Representative Senger to close."

Senger: "I ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 3129 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Harris, McGuire. David Harris. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 86 Members voting 'yes', 22 Members voting 'no', 8 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Naomi Jakobsson, on the Order of Third Reading, you have, on page 19 of the Calendar, House Bill 2066. 2066. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2066, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this House Bill."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from Champaign, Naomi Jakobsson."

Jakobsson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2066 is a Bill that amends the Jury Act and them to provide form to expand the jury commissions in our counties and it would include, that has not been included, the list of people who are unemployment. And we have worked with the director of the Illinois Department of Employment Security to make sure that we're complying with all of their requirements. And this would help our juries have a larger pool and by including people from the unemployment list who have applied for the last year it will expand the list, but it also will provide most likely really good addresses and contact information. So, I would urge an 'aye' vote, please."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any discussion on House Bill 2066? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2066 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Bradley, Representative Dunkin. John Bradley. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Lou Lang, on the Order of Third Reading, Sir, you have, on page 16 of the Calendar, House Bill 30... Out of the record. Representative Phelps, on the Order of Third Reading, on

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

page 20 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 3237. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3237, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Third Reading."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Brandon Phelps."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3237 is an initiative from the Attorney General's Office and I want to clarify exactly what this Bill does for the legislative intent. I know there's a lot of people that may have a problem with this Bill saying they may have a mistake on their paperwork and they're going to go to jail 'cause we're enforcing the prevailing wage law. This is for willful acts only, not mistakes, willful acts only and let me tell you what's going on. There's a lot of out of state contractors that are coming into this state and stealing from our workers and not paying them the prevailing wage that they deserve and by the time our honest contractors in this state complain to the Department of Labor, these out of state contractors are already out of town and they just get a little slap on the wrist. All we're doing is enforcing what is already law. Prevailing wage is already law in this state. I'll be happy to answer any questions. I just want to make sure that everybody knows the legislative intent of this."

Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Deb Mell."

Mell: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, to the Bill. I... you know, I see a lot of my colleagues on this Bill and in theory I agree with them, but there is an unintended consequence here and that is the fact that landscaping does

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

not have a classification in the Prevailing Wage Act. It can also... it is at the will of the Department of Labor what considered prevailing wage, what isn't considered prevailing wage. In this month's issue of the Landscaper Contract (sic-Landscape Contractor) the guy says, complicate matters further, landscaping activities fall in two distinct categories on this PWA. If landscaping work falls during the construction phase of the project, it is subject to the appropriate prevailing wage rate, but if it falls, you know, outside of the construction, then it is maintenance. So, the same tree at one time can be \$12 an hour to work on or it could be \$55 dollars an hour to work on. It is not clear. This has been a problem for years in the landscaping business. These are small businesses that employ many people in my district. Many Latino families are employed by these businesses and they're trying to do the right thing. And I am a huge proponent of the prevailing wage, but I don't think that we should put someone in jail to one to three years when nothing is very... when it's not clear. I mean, how do you... how do you perform business when one day it's one thing, one day it's another thing. It's a problem. There is currently a lawsuit right now going on with the Department of Labor. And as this is... I would feel so much better if we had a very clear classification for landscaping and what is ...maintenance and what isn't. So, I... you know, I've talked to the Sponsor. I've talked to the Attorney General's Office. They really haven't offered any clarification on this. I urge the Sponsor to take it out of

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

the record until we have a... something a little more clearer for our landscaping businesses. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Okay. Then put the timer on for about three minutes. We'll certainly be liberal with that. We'll try to narrow our remarks in scope. Representative Jim Durkin."

Durkin: "Mr. Speaker, what... is this on Short Debate, medium?"

Speaker Lyons: "I'll take it off Short Debate."

Durkin: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "But we'll try to get it within three minutes, Jim."

Durkin: "I'll try. Representative Phelps, now let me ask you a question. What is the current penalty for someone who violates this Section of the Act?"

Phelps: "The same thing if you went and robbed a vending machine and stole a Snicker's bar. It's a Class A misdemeanor."

Durkin: "Class B misdemeanor?"

Phelps: "Class A misdemeanor."

Durkin: "I'm looking at it right now. We're changing it from Class B..."

Phelps: "In all due respect, Representative, it's a Class A misdemeanor right now."

Durkin: "I'm looking at the downloaded version of the amended Bill and it says that we're moving it from a Class B to a Class IV. Okay. Now, a first time violation we're going to say that they automatically are going to be hauled into the police department, handcuffed, fingerprinted, mug shots and they will be on the LEEDS system for that violation. That's

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

what we're saying. That's now going to be a Class IV felony for that first offense, correct?"

Phelps: "Correct."

Durkin: "And with that will be an automatic debarment from participating in any public works projects for four years, if they are convicted, correct?"

Phelps: "Correct."

Durkin: "For that first offense."

Phelps: "And Representative, only for willful, not mistakes, willful acts."

Durkin: "A first offense?"

Phelps: "Correct."

"There's a lot of willful things that go on in this Durkin: chamber. I'll tell you what, if we ever wanted to, you know, incorporate that in some part of the statute, I think we'd all be in a lot of problems. But here's what I think that this is a... I dis... I'm looking at a Class B misdemeanor and we're now bumping it up to a Class IV felony. Now, I just want to give people in this chamber, put this in context, and perspective of what we are now going to add as a Class IV felony with this violation. We'll add it to the group of the fol... with the following charges. They will now, that person who makes that first willful violation is convicted will now be in the same as a child person who's convicted of abduction, a person who's convicted of luring a minor, a person who's convicted of aiding and abetting abduction, solicitation to meet a child at least five years younger than the offender, public indecency, third or

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

subsequent offense, sexual exploitation of a child, second or subsequent offense, presence of a sex offender in a school zone, approaching, contact or residing communicating with a child with certain places by a sex offender, second or third offense of prostitution, solicitation of a prostitute, second or subsequent offense, pandering by compulsion, keeping a place of prostitution, pimping, obscenity, distribution of harmful material to a minor, second offense, aggravated assault offenses involving discharge of a firearm, domestic battery of offender with convictions for prior violent offen..."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative, your time expired. I'll give you another minute."

Durkin: "I'm getting there. Drug induced infliction aggravated battery to a child athlete, reckless conduct causing great bodily harm, aggravated criminal housing management, hate crimes, stalking, cyber stalking, cross burning, second or subsequent offense, child abandonment and sexual conduct or contact with an animal. Now, I don't believe that it is in the best interest of the state, right now, when we are starving for jobs and trying to do what we can for employers to throw them in a list of categories that I just rattled off. I can see that if we made this... kept this a misdemeanor, there might be some value to it, but making this a felony is way over the top. I appreciate the work that you do, Representative Phelps, but I wholeheartedly disagree with the Attorney General's Office turning this into a Class IV felony where that offender, based on their first conviction, can be sentenced to the

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Department of Corrections, Stateville, Menard or any of these facilities for not following... and filing... willfully filing their payroll taxes. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Marlow Colvin."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I rise in support Colvin: of House Bill 3237 and I want to thank my colleague, Representative Phelps, for his hard work and his openmindedness and his hard work in getting this Bill to Third Reading and to a vote here today. I strongly urge my colleagues to support this piece of legislation. Since I've been in Springfield, a little over nine years, we've worked on any number of prevailing wage Bills here in the General Assembly. Having served on the Labor Committee for all nine of those years, we have seen proposal after proposal that passed into law only to see contractors, and not all contractors, but some unscrupulous contractors work to subvert... usurp those laws that we passed all in an attempt to defraud hardworking people, hardworking families out of well earned, hard earned This wages. Bill, this comprehensive effort put together by Representative Phelps in concert with the Attorney General, Lisa Madigan, who I also want to thank and appreciate her hard work and her staff's hard work in making sure that we protect every Illinoisan, every Illinoisan who works for a contractor so that they may be paid a prevailing wage and simply being paid for a day's pay for a hard day's work. Last year, Representative Hernandez and I worked with the equitable pay... equitable justice pay coalition dealing with the issue of wage steps and many of the provisions of this Bill speak

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

directly to a lot of the behaviors that we saw and which we tried to correct in that Bill. But what we were lacking in that Bill, that simply dealt with rule changes and administrative behavior at the Department of Labor, what we need here is the force of penalty, the force of penalty to make those unscrupulous business owners and those unscrupulous business practices to eradicate them from the State of Illinois. This is a huge and major step forward in bringing equitable pay opportunity to the State of Illinois. And again, I want to thank my colleague, Representative Phelps for his fine work on this Bill. Please vote 'yes' to bring equitable pay to the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jil Tracy."

Tracy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Tracy: "Following up with what Representative Durkin was asking and looking at what a Class B misdemeanor entails, I believe it's correct in saying that it... a Class B misdemeanor which is the current penalty... now, my... the text says Class B."

Phelps: "It's A. Right now, it's Class A, In all due respect,

Representative Tracy..."

Tracy: "Okay, okay."

Phelps: "...and the analysis may be wrong. It's a Class A misdemeanor. I'm moving it to Class IV."

Tracy: "Well, I looked at the text, you know, that... whether it be a Class A or Class B, it's a misdemeanor. And I looked at the text and did look to me..."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Phelps: "Okay."

Tracy: "...it was a B crossed through it."

Phelps: "Okay."

Tracy: "Be that as it may, what are the fines and incarceration times under a Class B or Class A?"

Phelps: "I can get that... I believe it's a one to three years in jail, up to \$5 thousand fine, I believe."

Tracy: "Well, here again, my analysis says it's a fine of up to \$1500 and six months incarceration. Now, a Class IV felony makes the fine... we're jumping the fine from \$1500 to \$25 thousand."

Phelps: "Correct."

Tracy: "We're opting up from a six-month incarceration to a one to three year in the state penitentiary incarceration."

Phelps: "Correct."

Tracy: "Now, that is a pretty big jump, is that not?"

Phelps: "Exactly because and I've said, Representative Tracy, I think the world of you, but if you vote 'no' on this Bill you're agreeing it's okay to steal from the worker. Prevailing wage is already law. You're trying to protect contractors that are the government."

Tracy: "But now, here's what happens. This is a paperwork type Bill..."

Phelps: "We took that out."

Tracy: "...and if within three days he doesn't file this and if within 10 days he doesn't get his certified payroll done, he could be a convicted felon and if he's convicted, he has no choice, he's going to the federal penitentiary for misdoing his paperwork. Now, one other question I have is..."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Phelps: "Representative Tracy, real quick. Willful... willful, not mistakes. We took that out."

Tracy: "Right."

Phelps: "We understand there might be paperwork mistakes. Willfully committing this."

Tracy: "Which is a state of mind, which is difficult to prove or disprove sometimes. So, we may have a contractor get ensnared in this unwittingly and he has to face this. But... but a... it does seem to me in committee, we talked about that the reason you did this was because you felt like state's attorneys weren't taking this seriously. And... and I have to ask you, if the same is not going to be true that a state's attorney is..."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Tracy, your minutes have gone, but we'll give you another minute to finish your thought."

Tracy: "Thank you. I... I think you have to take into consideration that a state's attorney is going to think long and hard before he will act to cause a contractor to be charged with this type of felony sending this type of person to DOC for one to three years and paying a fine of \$25 thousand up from 1500. So, I think, your reverse is going to be true. And... and I would also that we have a verification on this vote. Mr..."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Tracy, your verification is so noted. Representative Ed Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Sullivan: "Representative, under your Bill, if I have an employee that does my payroll and they're running some scam

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

and they don't want to turn in the thing, so they willfully do this. They're going to be charged with a Class IV, that's correct, not me as the contractor?"

Phelps: "Yes, correct."

Sullivan: "Okay. So, one of the last provisions of your Bill states that if, in Section 5 or 6, this person is the contract... subcontractor is convicted, they're immediately disbarred. How would that provision work if my employee was the one that willfully did things and was convicted and then sentenced to jail? Am I, as the contractor, now going to get disbarred because of a willful act of an employee?"

Phelps: "Well, yeah. If she's working for you, I mean, yes."

Sullivan: "So, my employee does something, they're running a scam, I don't know anything about it, they willfully do it, they go to jail. I lose my business?"

Phelps: "You could."

Sullivan: "Okay. Thank you for your honesty."

Phelps: "I always will with you, Representative."

Sullivan: "Wow. Well, to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, that provision alone is reason enough to vote against this Bill. Why would you take bread off my table so I can't feed my children because I have an employee that's running a scam and goes to jail? That provision alone would require a 'no' vote. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Eddy."

Eddy: "Thank you. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Phelps: "No."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Eddy: "Representative Phelps, I just want to... I want to make sure we... we get on the same page as to what this enhancement is. I'm looking at the legislation and on page 2 line 14, Class B misdemeanor is struck and replaced with IV felony?"

Phelps: "Correct."

Eddy: "And I think... I think your portrayal of this or your belief in this was that this was from an A, but the language in the Bill itself strikes Class B. So, I think the enhancement, just for the record, is from a B to a felony not a Class A."

Phelps: "A and a B to a Class IV."

Eddy: "Okay. Well, in the existing law, it's a Class B misdemeanor. According to the text of the statute, that is struck and it's replaced with Class IV felony underlined. So, we're going from a B misdemeanor to a IV felony."

Phelps: "Correct."

Eddy: "Okay."

Phelps: "A, B to a IV."

Eddy: "Okay. So, the enhancement jumps several other categories of enhancement that, if there were an issue with this, you could look to do something additional but not go to a felony because there are several possibilities in-between. My question, though, has to do with how often under the existing statute have there been issues related to this type of an offense?"

Phelps: "Representative, I have had in honesty don't... the numbers I have no idea. I talked to a Jim Reimer, Sr.

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

earlier, used to be the director of the Capital Development Board."

Eddy: "Right."

Phelps: "And he said he had Illinois contractors calling him constantly saying that all these out of state companies were coming in and by the time all these complaints and the investigations went out, he tried to figure out what these out of state companies were doing, they already left town, taken our money and stealing from our workers."

Eddy: "Okay. And no one wants that to happen. I think..."

Phelps: "But it is."

Eddy: "...well, and it still could, it still could..."

Phelps: "Not so much under this law though, Representative."

Eddy: "...we're just enhancing the penalty for those people who aren't going to follow the law and the idea would be then that those people that are still taking off... are still taking off, we're not going to be able to prosecute those, we're just not going to be able prosecute them to a higher standard."

Phelps: "I don't think they're going to be doing it as often, if there's a Class IV felony."

Eddy: "Well..."

Phelps: "That's why strengthening the law."

Eddy: "...reports of this type of misconduct for... from 2008 to 2009, 2009 to 2010, the data itself shows that this is actually not happening very often and has diminished. So, usually an enhancement would be necessary if there were an upswing or we had a real problem..."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative, I'll give you another minute."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Eddy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just very quickly, to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, I think that everyone wants fairness. They would like to see enforcement, but to take a Class B misdemeanor that has not been a problem as far as the numbers, this doesn't... any time there's one it is a problem, but we haven't had that kind of a show and a need for this type of enhancement, but the enhancement itself is just too much. And I don't know... contractors will have a very, very difficult time whether it proving that it wasn't willful. It could be a minor mistake. They could be accused of being willful and this penalty is just too much. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Reboletti."

Reboletti: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Reboletti: "Representative, are you aware of how many prosecutions have occurred across the state for this type of violation as a Class B or a Class A misdemeanor?"

Phelps: "Representative, I do not because it's such a small scale, slap on the wrist, a lot of state's attorneys, as you know, probably won't try to go after these."

Reboletti: "Well, I'm not sure what state's attorneys would or would not go after them. I know as a Class B or a Class A and I'm sure they would take it seriously. Would there... would you consider an Amendment or some type of a portion of the Bill that would deal with if it was a long-term scheme versus some problems with paperwork where you could see that there was some type of organized type of situation where it's not a mistake or 7 days or 3 days or 10 days

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- where it's a continuing enterprise where the payrolls aren't being done correctly for the exact purpose you're trying to penalize?"
- Phelps: "Representative, we've... we're met a number of times with the contractor associations on this and with the time restraints, I probably would not consider any Amendment right now. I think we're going to run the Bill as we... as it is."
- Reboletti: "Well, I see that. Will you consider that maybe with the Senate Sponsor? Do you have a Senate Sponsor picked out?"
- Phelps: "Absolutely, Representative. You know how much I think of you. I'll be glad to do that."
- Reboletti: "Well, I appreciate that because I do think that there may be at some point with some Amendments that this could be effective, but at the way it is now, Representative, I don't know if I could support it. But thank you."
- Phelps: "I'd love to. You know me, I love to run Bills that are 118 to 0."
- Reboletti: "I appreciate that."
- Phelps: "... but you know, not all the time we get that opportunity. But I'll be willing to work with you."
- Reboletti: "Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons: "We'll have two final Speakers and then Representative Phelps to close. Representative... two people to speak in for of it. Representative Dunkin, three minutes."
- Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Phelps: "No."

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Dunkin: "Representative Phelps, my classmate. Representative, I quess... first of all, I support this measure. I think it is critical for us to make sure that we have bona fide and qualified contractors playing an active role with public infrastructure dollars. I mean, it is high time that we really, sort of, close the gap of a lot of the fraud that takes place in a considerable level certainly in the city such as Chicago and on some of our other public projects that we depend on of having quality work produced on the public way. It is, oh, so critical. I just want to make sure that while we are often supporting a lot of labor unions here, certainly on this side of the aisle, particularly your Latino and your Black Caucus Members, that there's also a strong prevailing approach to include those same African Americans and Latino brothers sisters to be a part of this very high net worth job apparatus that involves public dollars as well because, again, there is absolutely zero discrimination when it comes to taxing everybody here in the state, this is some of the concerns that a lot of Members here have especially when you're representing some of those districts that simply want and need an opportunity. So, again, I rise in support of your legislation. I think that we need to make sure that quality... you know, that we celebrate and always put a position on a very, very impressive workforce here in this state, I mean, from the movie industry all the way to laborers and electrical workers, carpenters, et cetera,

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

operating engineers, we have some of the best in the world here in the State of Illinois. So, I support this legislation. Congratulations on it and let's do the right thing for all those citizens. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Final speaker will be Representative Lang and then Representative Phelps to close. Leader Lou Lang."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I rise to Lang: support the Gentleman's Bill, but I think sometimes it's instructive to actually read a Bill and not just think you've read a Bill or not just listen to those around you who haven't read the Bill and you're listening to them talk about the Bill. This is what the Bill says. It's a Bill that seeks to deter criminal fraud committed on our public bodies. It makes the willful, willful failure to keep and provide accurate documents and records required by the Prevailing Wage Act a Class IV felony. Ιt automatic debarment for any contractor criminally convicted of violating the Act. So, we've heard a lot on this floor today from those who are opposed to this Bill regarding some of these provisions. And I don't believe anybody that said any of those things cares at all about any of the things they said. What they care about is they're not for the Prevailing Wage Act. And if they could stand on the floor and pass a Bill that would repeal the Prevailing Wage Act, that's what they would do. So, Ladies and Gentlemen, these are all red herrings. They're all designed to give someone an excuse for voting against this Bill. If you're for the Prevailing Wage Act, it is certainly the case if you want it to have some teeth. The current Prevailing Wage

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Act has no teeth. The current Prevailing Wage Act doesn't have any teeth because it doesn't have sufficient penalties to convince someone that they would want to follow the Act. Today, it's a Class A misdemeanor to falsify willfully prevailing wage records. Now, a Class A misdemeanor is... one of the things that is a Class A misdemeanor is theft of a candy bar from a vending machine, candy bar. You steal this candy bar from a vending machine or you can be guilty of a Class A misdemeanor. And so, if we're going to equate... if those who are opposed to this Bill because of the penalties are going to equate the stealing of a candy bar from a vending machine with stealing millions of dollars from public bodies, people who willfully violate the Prevailing Wage Act, then I think we have a problem. And I think that tells us exactly why the opponents of this Bill are opposed to it. It has nothing to do with criminal penalties. It has nothing to do with protecting contractors. It has nothing to do with, as one person over there on that side of the aisle said, of taking the bread off the table hardworking people. This is simply and solely an effort by those who are opposed to this Bill to do whatever they can do to tear down the Prevailing Wage Act, to make it meaningless..."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative, your time has expired. We'll give you another minute."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. ...to encourage people willfully to violate the Act and say, well, you're only getting a slap on the wrist if you violate the Act so why don't you go ahead and do it. Why don't you go ahead and rip off our

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

public bodies and avoid and ignore the law. All this Bill does is put a little more teeth in the law, a little more teeth to say, you know, if you're getting public money to do a project with taxpayer dollars, follow the law. It shouldn't be a problem for any of us who create the laws to want to encourage those who get taxpayer dollars to follow the laws of the State of Illinois. It's not too much to ask. It should be a greater penalty under the law to rip off the taxpayers than it is to steal a candy bar from a vending machine. Please vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "I announced the debate was closed. We had five people in response. Lang was the last speaker. Representative Phelps to close."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Phelps: House. First off, I want to say to my friends on the other side, I understand. This is just not a union Bill. This has also... been brought to me also by honest contractors that are trying to make an honest living in this state. They're being outbid by out of state contractors. Also, I wanted to clarify other examples of a Class IV felonies. Other Class IV felonies are like for public officials to improperly open sealed bids, public official who knowingly improperly conveyed information that would influence the likelihood of accepting of an offer or a bid. Number 3) the improper approval of a contract change order by a public official and 4) knowingly stringing any contract or job order with a local government in order to evaded bidding requirements. Those are the other things that we're trying to do on this Bill as well that are similar... what we're trying to do. On

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

side, want to thank Representative I Representative Dunkin, Representative Colvin for comments. I also want to thank Attorney General Lisa Madigan in taking this huge step to try to make honest contractors and honest people a living wage in this state. And I don't want to get too critical, but if you vote 'no', you're really saying you believe it's okay to steal from the working man and the working women, you really are. You really are. And for some of you that think that you can throw a vote to labor, this would be one, but you're also helping the contractors. This legislation's about fairness, plain and simple. When Illinois business owners who play by the rules are penalized, the system is broken and it needs be fixed. That's what's happening today in industries in the state and they need our help to survive. I ask for an 'aye' vote. And again, I want to thank Attorney General Lisa Madigan for bringing this to our attention. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "After thorough debate on this issue, there has been a request for verification by Representative Jil Tracy. So, everybody is to, please, vote their own switch. The question is, 'Should House Bill 3237 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 67 49 Members voting 'yes', Members voting 'no'. Representative Tracy, do you wish to pursue verification? The Lady refuses... relinquishes her request

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

for verification. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, you've been waiting carefully and patiently to a point of personal privilege. Leader Currie."

Currie: "Thank you very much, Speaker and Members of the House. We have a group of seniors who are advocating for quality health care from the southeast side of the City of Chicago. Some of them are my constituents, some are Ken Dunkin's constituents and some are Will Burns' constituents. There up here in the gallery. I hope they'll stand and I hope you'll join me in giving them a warm Springfield welcome."

Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol, south siders. Glad to have you here. Representative Chapin Rose."

Rose: "Speaker, a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Representative."

Rose: "Ladies and Gentlemen, many of your constituents who happen to live in Charleston, Illinois, as EIU students are here today as part of the Student Action Team. There are EIU students over here and I believe some, maybe, some EIU students behind me as well. So, we'd like to welcome them to Springfield. They will be passing cards in to meet with each of you that is their Legislator from back home, but we're really lucky to have Eastern in our district and glad they're here today. So, thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol. Glad to have you here in Springfield. Representative Mike Tryon. Now, for something completely different, we have House Bill 308 on page 16 of the Calendar. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 308, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Tryon."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is a Bill that has passed this chamber four years ago and it took some opposition because of a jurisdictional issue between operating engineers and between pipefitters. And I'm glad to say, thanks Representative Verschoore, we've got that worked out and this is an agreed Bill between the operating engineers and the pipefitters. And what this Bill does is it treats closed loop geothermal wells, which are used to heat homes, we see more and more of these well installations throughout our state, just like a drinking water well, just like any other well that requires a permit. It sets up a licensing board under the Water Well Code. It allows well drillers, who are currently licensed, to be able to put in drinking water wells, to be able to also put in these types of wells. Why this is important is we're seeing more of this type of well installation. These are penetrations into our aguifers. They need to be inspected. We need to ensure they're 75 feet from a septic system. We need to ensure they're 200 feet from any type of pollution control device that drains subsurfacely. And this will allow IDPH the ability to do that. What's happening is we're getting Codes passed by our county building departments and we're getting a hodgepodge of Codes because we lack a Code. So, this is, in my opinion, the best solution. It's supported by the Illinois Groundwater Professionals. Every well driller in

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

the state would be in support of this as well as our environmental groups. And I would certainly stand for any questions, if any Member has a question. If not, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Watson."

Watson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I understand what the Representative… we've worked closely on other issues… what he's trying to do. My only concern for this Body as we go forward is overregulation. We are getting to the point where everything seems to require a permit or a license and I just… I just worry, when does this stop? But I understand, Michael, what you're trying to do. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Pat Verschoore."

Verschoore: "Just to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I just want to congratulate the Sponsor on this. I know he's worked like he's said he's worked many years on this and we went back and forth. And I'm just proud to be part of the solution here. And I'm asking you for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Renée Kosel."

Kosel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also want to rise and compliment the Sponsor on his work on this. This is a very serious problem. This Bill will help address and keep our water supplies across this state safe. Not everyone gets their water out of Lake Michigan. A lot of people get it out of the ground and it is very important that we protect that integrity. So, congratulations, on your hard work on this subject, Representative Tryon."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Tryon to close."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We have many of our counties who are 100 percent reliant on groundwater as their only source of drinking water. We want to encourage the installation of geothermal wells. We have some Members here in the General Assembly that have geothermal wells. They're an environmentally sound alternative to utilizing fossil fuels. So, let's make it right. Let's protect our groundwater. Let's put the same regulation we have even on monitoring wells that we install in the ground because these have certainly a risk if they're too close to the septic systems or they're too close to any other pollution control device or if they're not properly sealed at installation. So, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 308 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Bradley, Hatcher, Rose, Saviano, Unes. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 78 Members voting 'yes', 37 Members voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Michael Bost, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Bost: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed."

Bost: "Joining us here in Springfield today are a group of students from SIU Carbondale and SIU Edwardsville, which is Tom Holbrook's district, SIU Carbondale, my district.

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

They're sitting right up here. If you could, give them a welcome. It's good to have you here today."

Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol, SIU. Proud to have you here. Representative Tryon, don't sit down. You have a House Bill 3102 on page 19 of the Calendar. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3102, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Tryon."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the This simply says to a municipality who's in the process of annexing a piece of property that when they do public notice on that property, if they have identified the property by address and by property tax number, they do not have to include a metes and bounds description. In the statute, it doesn't say how you put out public notice. It just says you have to provide a description of the property. If I gave you a metes and bounds description, and some of these zoning petitions that's actually what's used, you wouldn't be able to find it, only a surveyor would be able to do it. So, this clearly says a metes and bounds description isn't necessary, if you have the address or the property tax ID number and it also will be a sufficient cost-savings to anybody that's using metes and bounds as a description. So, with that, I'll entertain any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation on House Bill 3102. Are there any questions? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3102 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mell. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Sente, on the Order of Second Reading, on page 6 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1130. What's the status of the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1130's been read a second time, previously. Committee Amendment #1 was tabled. Committee Amendment #2 has been adopted. Floor Amendments 3 and 4, offered by Representative Sente, have both been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Sente on Floor Amendment #3.

  We've read the Bill and we've read the Amendment,

  Representative. Just explain the Amendment #3."
- Sente: "Amendment... Thank you, Speaker. Amendment 3 is a change to the Bill. It adopts a safety and... a safety and... sorry... a safety policy for soccer goal. I'd like to discuss that on Reading Three."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation on Amendment #3. All those in favor of its adoption signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #4."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Sente on Floor Amendment #4.

  Representative Sente, you have Floor Amendment #4. The Lady

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- doesn't wish to move Floor Amendment #4. Wishes to withdraw Floor Amendment #4. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Emily McAsey, on the Order of Third Readings, on page 20 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 3300. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3300, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from Will, Representative Emily McAsey."
- McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. What this legislation does is make a number of changes to the Crime Victims and Witnesses Act. Specifically, this was bought to my attention by a family in Will County who lost a child in a traffic accident. And what we're doing is amending the Act so that, when there is a traffic fatality, families' victims have the opportunity to address the court. We're making another change to the statement as well that victim witness advocates are also making so appropriate referrals to grief or loss counselors. I urge the support of the Body and will answer any questions."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of House Bill 3300 should vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? David Harris. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Verschoore, on page 20 of

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

the Calendar, under the Order of Third Readings, you have House Bill 3205, 3205. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3205, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Out of the record. Representative Mike Tryon, you have a couple more Bills on Third Reading. Representative, on page 19 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 3103. Representative Tryon. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3103, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mike Tryon."

Tryon: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill will actually record our Roll Calls and put them as part of our transparency website. That is public information that is currently FOIAble. And it just expands our efforts to be as transparent Legislative Body as we can be. And I think it will save those who are interested in seeing those votes a lot of time. So, be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Karen May."

May: "Yes. I certainly support your transparency, but isn't this your third Bill today?"

Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman yields, Representative May, FYI."

Tryon: "That would be... my Bills seem to get... got caught up with Amendments and stuff, so..."

May: "Oh."

Tryon: "...I know you know how that is."

May: "Do we have to ask the parliamentarian if this is legal?

Isn't there a Bill limit on one day or one hour? I think,

isn't this three in one hour?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Tryon: "That could be. I don't think I've ever heard that before so."

May: "Okay. Well, the parliamentarian will tell us afterwards you are a super hero and a super worker."

Tryon: "Well, thank you, Representative May."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Tryon, top that, to close."

Tryon: "Vote 'yes'."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 3103 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Durkin, Bob Pritchard. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ann Williams, on page 20 of the Calendar, under the Order of Third Reading, you have House Bill 3390. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3390, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Ann Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3390 would make aggravated assault of a first responder a non-probationable offense. It does not enhance the penalty which is a Class IV felony, but provides there is no probation for pointing a firearm at a police officer, firefighter or other first responder. The law currently provides that a number of other offenses are non-probationable including unlawful possession of a loaded

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

firearm by a gang member, certain theft possessions...
provisions, selling large quantities of counterfeit
products and others. This Bill would add pulling a firearm
on a first responder to that list. It's designed to offer
protection to the men and women who serve the public as
firefighters, police officers and other first responders
for putting their lives on the line every day to serve us.
I ask for an 'aye' vote. And be... I am happy to answer any
questions."

"You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there Speaker Lyons: any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3390 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Bellock, Will Burns, Lisa Dugan, Pat Verschoore, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 112 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting, 4 Members voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mike Tryon, on the Order of Tryon, you have a fourth Bill, House Bill 3252. You're on a hot streak, Representative. Ladies and Gentlemen, we call them as we get them and this is obviously your fourth of fifth priority, Sir. Go for it, Representative Tryon, the floor is yours. Mr. Speaker, what's the status of House Bill 3252."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3252, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Tryon."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This was brought to me by a constituent in my district. And he had a... he had an issue with his employer who was garnishing from his check a child support payment and we allow businesses the ability to take a \$5 fee out of the paycheck to process a child support payment. And when you look at using the payroll to be able to take a fee for business to do something like child support... You know, Mr. Speaker, I can't hear it and I'm the Bill's Sponsor. Okay."

Speaker Lyons: "Ladies and Gentlemen, please. This isn't..."

Tryon: "This is very important to understand."

Speaker Lyons: "Ladies and Gentlemen, please."

Tryon: "This is not a fee. This is not a fee. Maybe... what this does is it removes the fee. All right. So, let me just tell you this. Right now, if you are... if the employer's deducting from you your child support payment, he gets to charge \$5. And in a time period where it's tough to even make a child support payment, why would you allow business to be able to do \$5 to deduct something in the way of a payroll deduction for child support. So, let me just tell you that none of the business groups oppose removing this fee. This is not a tax. This is actually removing a tax, a tax on a person's paycheck. And this is a good Bill. So, and I think it's an important Bill. I didn't think we should do this and I would answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes Representative Al Riley.

Shhh."

Riley: "Will the speaker yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "The Sponsor yields."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Riley: "I'm sorry, the Sponsor. You know, there's a little expression about writing checks. Representative Tryon, is this your first Bill?"

Tryon: "You know, it's not my first Bill and I don't even think I've ever seen this chamber do this ever before even on a first Bill."

Riley: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Stephens. Representative Ron Stephens."

Stephens: "Mr. Speaker, a point of... I don't know if it's a point of personal privilege or... I think I can speak on behalf of the entire Body. Four Bills in a row. Is... there's... there's no precedent for that. The... the merits of the Bill notwithstanding, whoever's the holder of the trophy, I believe you should just go get it. We can... we can amend this... Mr. Speaker, we... I have the Speaker's word that we can amend this language on to a Conference Committee later in the year. We're doing... we're doing Conference Committees again, aren't we? You have my personal commitment."

Speaker Lyons: "Do you have who... who's word, Mr. Stephens?"

Stephens: "I will do everything in my power to make sure that this language passes in another… another sponsorship. But four in a row is too much. The trophy has been earned. Representative Tryon deserves it. Let's get it out and give it to him."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Schmitz, Tim Schmitz."

Schmitz: "Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Tryon to close."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

- Tryon: "You know what, let's just take this out of the record and..."
- Speaker Lyons: "Smart move, Representative, smart move."
- Tryon: "...and let me work the Roll Call."
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, take this Bill out of the record.

  Mr. Tryon."
- Tryon: "I really can't imagine anybody being against this, so let me come around and see how my Mem... the Members of this chamber feel because, in all honesty, I can't imagine, in a time period where payroll is done electronically, that we would charge somebody \$5 for a child support payment."
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, on the Order of Second Readings...
  what's the status of House Bill 14? On the Supplemental
  Calendar, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 14, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Correction. Amendment #1 was tabled on the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Out of the record. Leave that Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of House Bill 1422? Representative Nekritz, you have House Bill 1422."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1422, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Leave that Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

  Representative May, on the Supplemental Calendar, under the

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Order of Second Reading, you have House Bill 1913. Out of the record. Representative Nekritz, on the Supplemental Calendar #1, you have House Bill... on the Order of Second Reading, you have House Bill 3055. Out of the record. Representative May, on the Supplemental Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, you have House Bill 3474. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3474, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Amendments... Committee Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4... Correction. Committee Amendments 1, 2, and 3 and Amen... Committee Amendment #5 have been tabled. Committee Amendment #4 was adopted. A Floor Amendment #6 was filed today, but no recommendation."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative May."
- May: "I move the adoption of Floor Amendment #6. It's technical in nature. Just..."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative, we're on... we're on Floor Amendment #... Mr. Clerk, what Amendment? Repeat the status of the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #6 was filed today, but has not been approved by a committee or the Rules Committee."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative, we're going to leave that Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Representative Jones, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir? Representative Lou Lang in the Chair."
- Speaker Lang: "On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 230, Representative Leitch. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 230, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Amendment #1, offered by Representative Leitch, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Leitch, we're going to remove this Bill from the record. Please come to the podium. The Chair recognizes Representative Rose."

Rose: "Speaker, I've never seen anyone called to the podium before. Is that in the rules? Is that permissible? What is that exactly? Is that like being called to the woodshed?"

Speaker Lang: "Not exactly. I was trying to be helpful to Mr. Leitch, Sir."

Rose: "Oh. So, if your called to the podium it's a good sign.

Is that..."

Speaker Lang: "Well, it could... not necessarily."

Rose: "Not necessarily."

Speaker Lang: "But in this particular case it was, Sir."

Rose: "Very good. Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "State your point, Sir."

McAuliffe: "Up here in the gallery, we have seventh and eighth graders from Pennoyer School in Norridge, Illinois. Let's have a big round of applause for them coming down to the Capitol."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome to Springfield. Thank you for being here with us. House Bill 927, Representative Yarbrough. Is Representative Yarbrough in the chamber? Out of the record. House Bill 1490, Representative Saviano. Please read the Bill."

43rd Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1490, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Saviano, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Saviano."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Floor Amendment #2 is an Amendment that we've been working on for the last three weeks with all the interested groups. The underlying Bill is an initiative of the department to renew the Boxing Act. And I would ask for Floor Amendment #2 to be adopted. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. There being no debate, those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 2265. Mr. Sosnowski. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2265, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have both been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sosnowski."
- Sosnowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an Amendment that will address the issue of a church in my district and other churches around the state when they want to use a converted school bus. Amendment #2 replaces the Bill. I'd ask for passage and adoption of this Amendment."

43rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Mr. Sosnowski on Amendment 2. Mr. Sosnowski on Amendment 2."
- Sosnowski: "Okay. It's the same thing. It's a... changes the...
  replaces the Bill. Amendment #2 is a clarification as
  agreed upon by the Secretary of State's Office. I
  apologize. I thought we are... were on Amendment #2. So, I
  just ask for passage and adoption of this Amendment."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment 2.

  Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted.

  Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Mr. Sosnowski on House Bill 2554.

  Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2554, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Sosnowski, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman asks that the Bill be taken from the record, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 2558. Mr. Tryon. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2558, a Bill for an Act concerning local government has been read a second time, previously. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Tryon, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Tryon."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Tryon: House. What this Bill does, in McHenry County some of you know our state's attorney was... a complaint was filed actually from his opponent that he was illegally using his office for campaign purposes and because he's the county state's attorney the judge basically appointed a special prosecutor. And the law is clear that a special prosecutor cannot earn more than the state's attorney's salary. In fact it says 'shall be apportioned' regarding a state's attorney's salary. So, they hired a special prosecutor and the bill to date that they've received is \$388 thousand. They anticipate the bills yet to come in could rise as much million for an investigation into the Attorneys Office that yielded 21 felony indictments and every single one was thrown out of court. So, what this simply does is it says that before the court appoints an Appellate prosecutor or before the court appoints a special prosecutor it must first ask the Attorney General, it must ask the Appellate prosecutor, it must ask the other public prosecutors if they're available and would be willing to lead the special investigation. It also allows for the county board to have interaction with the judge to ensure that the finances are available and they can review the hourly rates of the consultants that are used because they hired a computer consultant to look at a hard drive and it cost \$86 thousand and the guy charged \$250 an hour. So, this will allow the county board to see the hourly rates and... when they pay the bill. Our county board was getting bills, they didn't even know what it was for and the court

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

said we can't tell you what it's for. So, this is being supported by Metro counties, it's also being supported by the Appellate prosecutor's office. So, with that, I would be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. The Chair recognizes Mr. Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We're on Second Reading as I under... or Second Reading, Amendments, right?"

Speaker Lang: "It's exactly what my thought was up here, Sir."

Stephens: "The... So, this is really the Gentleman's first Bill on Second Reading. This wouldn't be his fifth attempt. So, we would stand in support and I would ask to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor."

Speaker Lang: "I'm sure the..."

Stephens: "We love Mike Tryon."

Speaker Lang: "I'm sure the Gentleman can take care of that for you. Those in favor of the Amendment shall say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 1110, Representative Yarbrough. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1110, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was tabled. Committee Amendment #2 was adopted to the Bill. No Motions filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 83, Representative Yarbrough. Please read the Bill."

43rd Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 83, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendments 1 and 2 were tabled. Committee Amendment #3 was adopted. Floor Amendments 4 and 5, offered by Representative Yarbrough, have both been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Yarbrough on Amendment 4. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 582, Representative Arroyo. Mr. Arroyo. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 582, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Arroyo, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Out of the record. House Bill 700, Representative Smith. Mr. Smith, House Bill 700. Do you wish to move the Bill, Sir? Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 700, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation has been read a second time, previously. Floor Amendment #1 was adopted to the Bill. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Smith, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Smith on Amendment 2."
- Smith: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill seeks to limit the use of Social Security numbers when state agencies license professionals. I'd move to adopt the Floor Amendment #2."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves to adopt the Amendment. No discussion. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. In the

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. House Bill 760, Representative Yarbrough. Representative Yarbrough on 760. Out of the record. House Bill 929, Representative Dan Burke. Dan Burke. Out of the record. House Bill 1355, Representative May. Representative May. Out of the record. Representative May, House Bill 1355. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1355, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue has been read a second time, previously. Committee Amendments 1 and 2 were tabled. Committee Amendment #3 was adopted. Floor Amendment #3 has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Representative May on Amendment 3."

Clerk Mahoney: "Correction. Floor Amendment #4 has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Representative May on Amendment 4."

May: "I thought it was 5. We tabled #4."

Speaker Lang: "Do you wish 4 to be tabled?"

May: "Yes."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, do you have an Amendment 5?"

May: "I was told earlier it was approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #5 has been... was filed today but not yet approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, please read House Bill 83."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 83 has been read a second time, previously. Committee Amendments 1 and 2 were tabled on the Bill. Committee Amendment #3 was adopted. Floor Amendments 4 and 5, offered by Representative Yarbrough, have both been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Yarbrough."

Yarbrough: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. We want to table Amendment #4."

Speaker Lang: "Amendment #4 is tabled."

Yarbrough: "And I want to yield to Representative..."

Speaker Lang: "Representative..."

Yarbrough: "...Representative Gabel."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Gabel on Amendment 5, but let's return to Amendment 4. Let's withdraw Amendment 4.

Amendment 4 is withdrawn. Representative Gabel on Amendment 5."

Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 5 encourages juvenile courts to explore all less restrictive alternatives to confinement and make reasonable efforts to keep youth at home. I ask that... that do pass."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the adoption of the Amendment.

And on that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Durkin."

Durkin: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Lady yields."

Durkin: "Well, what are the courts doing right now?"

Gabel: "Right now... I believe that this Amendment will just have more factors be involved when the court reviews the case."

Durkin: "Are you sure?"

Gabel: "Yes."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Durkin: "When's the last time... have you been in juvenile court?

Have you participated in any proceedings?"

Gabel: "I have not."

Durkin: "You know, there's one thing that I think that we need to be very mindful of is interfering with the decisions that go on in a courtroom. That's why we have a certain concept called separation of powers. I think that we get in and with more than we dictate what a court is going to do the more I think that we're placing unreasonable burdens upon them. Now, this on its face seems fairly innocuous, but I do believe that the courts do have these considerations in mind when they make these decisions. But I'm not quite sure where the problem is. If there is a specific problem, I'd be curious and if you could share that with me, which has prompted this legislation."

Gabel: "Would it be possible to debate this more on Third Reading?"

Durkin: "Yeah. I'll give you that courtesy, absolutely."

Gabel: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the adoption of the Amendment.

Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "Rules Report. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011:

43rd Legislative Day

- approved for floor consideration is Floor Amendment #6 to House Bill 3474."
- Speaker Lang: "House Bill 30... House Bill 3474, Representative May. Please read the Bill. We have to hold for an hour, Representative, so we'll get back to you. House Joint Resolution 25, Representative D'Amico. Representative D'Amico, HJR25."
- D'Amico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 25 names a section of road in Milwau... on Milwaukee in Niles after Wojciech Seweryn. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, is there some status on this we should know?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #1 has been approved for consideration on this Joint Resolution."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. D'Amico on the Amendment to the Resolution."
- D'Amico: "Yeah. The Amendment changed it from Chicago to Niles."
- Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment to the Resolution. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment to the Resolution is adopted. And Mr. D'Amico moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in... those in favor will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? On this question, 115 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 94. Please read the Bill."

43rd Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 94, a Bill for an Act concerning census information. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."
- Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We debated this Bill. And I move for passage of House Bill 94.

  Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Could you give us a short explanation of the Bill, Sir?"
- Ford: "Yes, I could give you a short explanation. It's the Census Bill to count prisoners at their last known address."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill.

  This Bill was debated thoroughly, previously. The Chair recognizes Representative Sullivan."
- Sullivan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Should this Bill get a... the favorable vote, we would like a verification. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Your request for a verification will be granted. There being no further people wishing to debate, those in favor of the Bill shall vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Record yourselves. Mr. Lyons. Mr. Lyons. Please take the record. On this question, there are 57 voting 'yes', 59 voting 'no'. And the Bill fails. Mr. Clerk, on page 14 of the Calendar, under House Bills-Second Reading, appears House Bill 3223. Representative Senger. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3223, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. Floor

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Amendment #1, offered by Representative Senger, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Senger."

Senger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 3223 basically, the Amendment is saying that those who do now have online learning or remote learning can do it in a way where it does not extend the number of calendar days, whether you're full-time or whatever your calendar year is to be counted for Average Daily Attendance. Currently, the way it works is that you actually can only be counted for Average Daily Attendance if it's during the school day."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the adoption of the Amendment.

There being no debate, those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on the Order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 3156. Representative Senger. Out of the record. On the Order of Resolutions, on Supplemental Calendar #1, appears House Joint Resolution 1. Mr. Stephens. Out of the record. House Joint Resolution 7, Representative Will Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Joint Resolution 7 just simply extends the deadline for the completion of a task force that was actually passed in the last General Assembly under House Joint Resolution 5. The task force was called the School Success Task Force and its primary objective is to look at truancies, expulsions and come up with recommendations to

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

the State Board of Education to try to address those issues. I move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. There being no debate, those in favor say... those in... Chair apologizes. Those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Berrios, Jones, Mitchell. Jerry Mitchell. Please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill... and this Amend... and the Resolution is adopted. Chair recognizes Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the rest of the day, if we could excuse Representative Watson on the Republican side of the aisle."

Speaker Lang: "So noted. House Joint Resolution 28, Mr. Colvin."

Colvin: "Thank you, Mr..."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Colvin."

Colvin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Joint Resolution 28, which I would ask the House to consider this afternoon, is an initiative of the Chicago Chapter of the Tuskegee Airmen. It is a proposal to rename a stretch of I-57, to rename it to the Tuskegee Memorial Air... Tuskegee Airmen Memorial Trail, the stretch of I-57 that runs from the southern tip of the City of Chicago at 99th and Western to... through the entire south suburbs of Cook County to Richton Park. The Tuskegee Airmen have a club; they have a number of clubs in all 50 states. And last year as a salute to these brave soldiers, who trained to become the first

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

African-American pilots during World War II, that they would attempt to rename highways... U.S. Highways in all 50 states. Last year Kentucky with I-57 was the first to accomplish this goal. There are a number of Resolutions around the country to accomplish the same thing. And personally, when they came to me as a result of visiting their club out at the Gary Airport, where they meet once a month and take young folks from around the City of Chicago up on flights on single engine jets from the ... single engine planes from the Gary Airport, asked if I would be so kind to introduce this Resolution. I immediately thought there would be no better way to commemorate and remember these brave souls who fought for their country in theaters in Afri... in World War II theaters in Africa, in Europe, in Asia, in Italy. In the Resolution itself I attempted to list a number of the commemorations and awards and medals that they received and was only really able to provide a fraction of the numerous awards and medals and the commendations that they received during the four years they flew those missions during World War II. And mind you, they did all of this at a time when U.S. Armed Forces were still segregated. And in fact, in 1948 when Harry Truman through Executive Order moved that the U.S. Armed Forces become integrated it was in no small part by the heroic and courageous efforts that those men of color who fought in World War II to provide freedom across this globe and particularly for those here... right here in America. So, Mr. Speaker, with that I'd be happy to answer questions. And I move for the adoption of the Amendment... of the Resolution."

43rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. And on that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Riley."
- Riley: "Mr. Speaker, to the Resolution. They did have a perfect record, a perfect record. They never lost a bomber in combat. And many of the bomber pilots, one of the things they would say before they took off, I want those red tails. Many of the squadrons of the Tuskegee Airmen had these distinctive red tails and that's who the bombers wanted to escort them. And I am definitely in support of this Resolution for these brave men. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Resolution shall vote 'yes'; those opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Nekritz, Sosnowski. Please take the record. On this question, 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. House Joint Resolution 1, Mr. Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Joint Resolution 1 would designate the new bridge over the Mississippi at Inter... at I-70, the new bridge over the Mississippi at St. Louis as the Veterans Memorial Bridge. I would... of course the veterans would consider that quite an honor and it is high time they have that bridge named after them."
- Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor shall vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'.

  The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Chapa LaVia, Jackson, Leitch, Sommer. Chapa LaVia, Leitch. Please take the

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

record. On this question, 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. On page 23 on the Calendar, under the Order of Resolutions, appears House Joint Resolution 13, Mr. Riley. Mr. Riley. Out of the record. House Resolution 13, Jerry Mitchell. Out of the record. House Resolution 86, Mr. Crespo. Mr. Crespo. Out of the record. House Resolution 154, Mr. McAuliffe. Please read... Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 154 would designate a segment of U.S. Route 62 in the southern part of the State of Illinois as National Veterans Highway and also encourage the nine other states along this route which goes from El Paso, Texas all the up to Niagara Falls, New York which is the only continuous highway from... connecting Mexico and Canada. So, I ask for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Crespo, Representative Gabel. Please take the record. On this question, 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 89, Mr. Morthland."

Morthland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Resolution 89 calls for an audit of the FOID card process and administration, thereof, by the State Police of Illinois. This language was drafted by the Auditor General. And it is... ends up being part of a legislative package with

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

HB3500 that the House passed last week. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Osterman."

Osterman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Osterman: "Can you talk about what the Auditor General will be auditing with this Resolution?"

Morthland: "The Auditor General will be auditing virtually every aspect of who gets a FOID card, how, why. Why it's taking so long because it's taking longer than it should? Also, questions about should people who should not have a FOID card are they getting them? Those sorts of things."

Osterman: "When is the, from the day this passes, when would it be... when would the audit be done?"

Morthland: "I don't know the effective date."

Osterman: "Okay. Would there be issues related to mental health illness that would be covered under this audit?"

Morthland: "They should be because those are also part of the aspects that the State Police check into regarding their handling of the FOID process."

Osterman: "Okay. I appreciate your Resolution. I just want to make a point to the Members of the Body. Earlier today, we had a subject matter hearing on mental health records in the FOID card system. And there were some very scary information provided by both the State Police on the current structure of the FOID system and the chance that it could collapse as well as a significant problem with people

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

with mental illness that their information is not going reported to the FOID system. And I think this is an issue that we have to look at. I think, one of my notes earlier as I was listening to the testimony today was an audit by the Auditor General. So, I would simply hope that the Auditor General would include the issue of mental health records. Regardless of where we stand on the issue of firearms, I think all of us want to make sure that people that are prohibited from getting a firearm, for whatever reason, that the system is working for everyone. The State Police in Illinois, the FOID system has been nationally acclaimed because of a number of problems their efforts and lack of funding has caused us to have a grant for \$1.2 million that are sitting unspent to fix this system that goes back to the '60s. That grant and the failure for us to on that grant will cause the State of Illinois potential loss of federal dollars moving forward. So, this is an issue that for the remainder of the Session I think is going to be something that we all need to focus on. I applaud this Resolution and just want to make sure that the Auditor General looks at those mental health records."

Morthland: "Well, and I... just something to remember is that the language of the Resolution is permissive for the Auditor General to expand beyond those things specifically requested. I would encourage you to get in communication with them and encourage that."

Osterman: "I will do that and as long as... for the record...

legislative intent that as the Sponsor you're open to
having that conversation and review..."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Morthland: "Very much so and the more thorough we are the better because also let us not forget that in the backdrop of the whole 3500 ruling was the... was the request by the Associated Press to get access to what's going on with the FOID process. So, the more we learn without... without exposing personal information publicly, the better off I think we're all going to be and I think we can all agree on that."

Osterman: "Thanks."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Williams. The Lady wishes she… declares she does not wish to speak. Those in favor of the Resolution shall vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representatives Currie and Turner. Please take the record. On this question, 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. The Chair recognizes Representative Acevedo."

Acevedo: "I'd like to rise to a point of personal privilege,
Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "State your point, Sir."

Acevedo: "I'd like the rest of the Body to help me congratulate Mr. Shaw, the Democratic staffer on this side of the aisle, his wife delivered a health baby boy two and a half weeks ago."

Speaker Lang: "Returning to House Joint Resolution 13, Mr. Riley."

Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Joint Resolution 13 is a Resolution that asks the Department of Public Health to study Krabbe disease and other related leukodystrophies.

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Quite simply, Krabbe disease is an inherited disease which because of the presence of a certain gene causes a situation where the brain's myelin sheath breaks down causing severe central and peripheral nervous system damage. Usually children who have this disease don't live past the age of two. I have constituents in Country Club Hills, Scott and Terry Hammonds who created an organization to help those families who have children who have this disease. They do a tremendous job, but one of the things that we need to do is to have our Department of Public Health study certain issues regarding this disease. So, I hope that you would vote 'aye' on House Joint Resolution 13."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Cole. Please take the record. On this question, 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 164, Representative Pihos. Representative Pihos."

Pihos: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe we need to adopt Amendment #2 which designates the actual months of March 2011 and March 2012 as Brain Injury Awareness Month."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the adoption of the Amendment to the Resolution. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Amendment is adopted to the Resolution. Representative Pihos."

Pihos: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What House Resolution 164 does it addresses the 1.5 million Americans that sustain a traumatic brain injury every year and we want to raise awareness so that these individuals who sustain these brain injuries must have to expert trauma care, specialized timely access rehabilitation, life long disease management individualized services and support in order to live healthy, independent and satisfying lives. So, we're hoping that the citizens of this state will join us in recognizing the importance of prevention and early detection of brain injury."

Speaker Lang: "Lady moves for the adoption of the Resolution.

Those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Those... Please... Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, 113 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'present'. And the Resolution is adopted. Mr. Lyons in the Chair."

Speaker Lyons: "On page 24 of the Calendar, under the Order of Resolutions, we have House Resolution 230. Representative Lisa Dugan. Representative Dugan on... Out of the record. Representative Dugan, was I misinformed. Do you want to..."

Dugan: "House Resolution 230."

Speaker Lyons: "House Resolution, Mr. Clerk... Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 230. Lisa Dugan."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Dugan: "Thank you, Speaker. House 230 creates the House Task Force on Emergency Medical Services Funding and if I could, if you could indulge me, please, I'd like Representative Don Moffitt, my cochair of the Fire Caucus, to talk on this Resolution. I would certainly appreciate that."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Moffitt."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Moffitt: House. This would create a task force to study the needs of EMS. The EMS community would like to have this. We would prob... our intent is to hold hearings around the state and we did this with a fire task force back in 2002 and I want to commend the Speaker and the Leader then for appointing that committee and this is following in that same example. But we took hearings wherever Members of the House wanted us to take them, to different regions, but it really let the people involved with this, the EMS or back then, fire protection, tell us what their needs were. So, it'll help bring attention to their needs. I think this would be a great thing that we could do to help EMS and then, of course, we'd have Members from the House on this and we'd have cochairs. So, I would urge adoption."

Speaker Lyons: "On the Resolution, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Will Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just for my own edification, Representative, either yourself or the Sponsor, which department will this Resolution provide... be housed in? Which state agency will this Resolution be housed in? Who'll provide the support, the staff? What state agency, if I may ask?"

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Representative, probably Department of Public Health would be the one most directly involved for emergency medical services. It could... and it varies with community, some communities EMSes stand alone; some communities it's part of your fire service, but it's the medical portion that we would want this task force to study. And probably the most direct involvement would be with Public Health..."

Davis, W.: "Okay."

Moffitt: "...in terms of what regulations, what assistance, what things could probably mostly fall under Public Health. It could involve some other emergency services, but essentially Public Health."

Davis, W.: "Thank you very much, Representative. That's all I needed to know. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Dugan."

Dugan: "Yes. And just to answer the last question. Just to confirm, it is the Department of Public Health that will give administrative support for this task force."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's Motion for the adoption of the Resolution, House Resolution 230. All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Crespo, Flowers. Mary Flowers, like to be recorded? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this Resolution, 114 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And House Resolution 230 is adopted. Mr. Clerk, on the Supplemental Calendar #1, under Order of Resolutions,

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

we have House Resolution 174. And on House Resolution 174, I recognize Representative Jim Durkin."

Durkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. HR174 is a responsible and appropriate first start to addressing the issues which have come to light with the College Illinois prepaid tuition program. College Illinois is a program under ISAC, Illinois Student Assistance Commission. It was created by this Body in 1997 as a way to ensure that your child's college education would be secured. The program allows families to prepay their college tuition and allows the families to lock into present day rates. The contracts are exempt from federal and state taxation and right now there are 35 thousand families that participate and there are approximately 55 thousand contracts. Recently there have been much publicized issues about a diminishment in the fund. The fund is currently at 68 percent. They've lost 31 percent of the value. The Auditor General came out with a report last week which was critical of procurement issues that go on with the College Illinois program. When this started in 1997, I will give credit to Representative Dan Burke who sponsored this Bill in 1997. I believe it's a good program. I believe the concept is good. I think that we also, based on what has recently come to light, we need to shine a light on this program. So, what we are doing in this Resolution is that we are authorizing the Auditor General to conduct a management audit of the College Illinois prepaid tuition program of its administrative operations and also its investment policies. We're also asking the Auditor General to conduct an independent asset

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

allocation study of the prepaid tuition program determine the overall risk associated with the program's current alternative investments. We're asking him to also look and compare with the other states that currently have the same type of programs, what we've discovered within the last... we had had a hearing approximately three weeks ago ... is that the investment policy at ISAC with College Illinois has taken on a different approach. Up until 2009, the investment portfolio was mainly in stocks and bonds, a very conservative and I would say a responsible way to invest these funds. Within the last few years, they've moved away from the traditional stocks and bonds and have now put a considerable amount of the portfolio, almost 50 percent, into alternative investments. Those are derivatives, hedge funds, and I believe that those are... have been determined by every analyst that those are high risk investments. And I don't believe when people signed up for these programs for their children, these are 15 year programs, that they actually signed up for these types of risky investments. So, I'm pleased to say that College Illinois has stopped any types of new investments into these alternatives, but at the same time, I think it's incumbent upon this Body to do a thorough analysis of the program. We need to restore confidence in the parents and grandparents who have made these investments for their children and grandchildren, but more importantly, I think it's incumbent upon the State of Illinois to ensure that ISAC is going to live up to the marketing materials which they have presented to would be contract... the individuals who are going to enter into

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

contracts because it does come down to an issue of whether or not the State of Illinois is going to honor these contracts. And as far as I'm concerned, despite what it says in the contract, I think it is our obligation to honor these and find a way to make sure when these contracts are due that the State of Illinois is going to back them up. So, I want to thank Leader Lou Lang who was involved with writing this Resolution. I know a number of us have been receiving phone calls and e-mails from constituents concerned about the viability of the fund. I'm telling folks let's stay with it; let us do our work. But I think, by shining a light on this program, that we are starting to... we need to restore confidence in the folks who have the money in these program... in this program and those who are considering going into the program. So, I am pleased to say the Illinois Students Assistance Commission that supportive of this Resolution. And I would ask for the support of this chamber and I'm ready to take any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. First, let me thank Mr. Durkin for calling this to all of our attention and for agreeing to some of the changes that I proposed. He's right on this. We need to make sure that when we promise the people of Illinois that we're going to take care of these college savings and make sure that the money's there to send our kids to college, especially when it's so expensive now, that the money's there. And there's some real concern about some of the risky and alternative

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

investments that College Illinois has undertaken. ISAC, to their credit, believes that this is an audit that should take place. They believe that when the audit takes place we'll back off and we will say, well, fine. It looks a little higher than it ought to be on the alternative investments, but you're doing fine. I don't know what... how it's going to turn out. Mostly, we just need to know what this looks like comparing it to other states and making sure that these college investments are available for kids now and in the future. I'm involved in College Illinois. I have a daughter in college with two years left. I'm sure she'll be fine and indeed, in committee today, ISAC said that anyone who wanted to take their money out of the program right now, if they wanted to take it out in cash, there's plenty of money to take care of everybody, but indeed we should be checking... making sure these investments are prudent and this audit will ensure that. So, I ask your support."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too, rise in support of this Resolution. And I want to commend Representative Durkin for taking the leadership on this and Representative Lang for working on this as well. A few years ago I worked with Representative Durkin on something similar where ISAC failed to pay the interest on bonds. And at that time, investors would invest in Illinois bonds and there would be a kicker if you held them to maturity. So, I bought those and when I tried to redeem them with the Bank of New York, they sent me a very nice letter saying, oh, I'm so sorry,

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

the State of Illinois doesn't have any money. There's no funding for that. So, when Mr. Durkin uncovered this issue, it set off alarm bells with many of us. Like Mr. Lang and Mr. Durkin, I bought two of these contracts for my two children who are a freshman and sophomore in high school and I've also had numerous phone calls from my constituents who have done the same. And we do have an obligation and we do have to do better because the State of Illinois has not kept its obligations. There is precedent where they... we have not done it on the bonds and we need to address this now. This is the right way to do it and to make sure that the people who were promised something will actually get taken care of. So, again, thank you, Mr. Durkin and Mr. Lang. And I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Hays."

Hays: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Body. I, too, rise in support of this Resolution and thank Representative Durkin and Leader Lang and others. I had the privilege, during my private sector career, of running a foundation and when I began the conversation with Representative Durkin in looking at some of the practices of the College Illinois fund, there were several things that I found quite alarming. That we would have an investment policy that would allow 47 percent of the investments to be in alternative investments and the director indicating that he would like that to be 50 percent or more, I found that to be a policy that I was unfamiliar with, with foundations of any type let alone a foundation whose horizon for the contract holder is 12, 15 or 18 years. A university or a

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

hospital whose horizon is perpetual would certainly not allow that let alone an organization whose window was very short. I also am concerned about the number of employees, 100 employees under this fund, the \$5 million salary obligation every year. This is a terrific Resolution. I look forward to working with Mr. Durkin as we go forward. All contract holders in the citizenry of this state deserve the confidence that this money is going to be available. They deserve reasonable fiduciary parameters. And I believe this investigation will highlight some practices that would demand change from this House. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Durkin to close."

"Thank you. And again, I appreciate the, you know, Durkin: partnering up with Lou Lang on this. This is one of those issues where we do identify and we reach common ground. And I'd like to think that we can do more of that in the future, but I just want to make it perfectly clear that today's the first step towards us working towards making College Illinois the fund that it was marketed many years ago and up until most recently to the parents and the grandparents who believe this was in the best interest of their child. For purpose of disclosure, I'm also a participant in College Illinois for my daughter, Caroline, the one you've seen here on the floor, that doesn't mean that I would do the same for any other family as well. But we have an interest in this. We have an obligation. College Illinois says this that the fund is not subject to market fluctuations and it has recently, as a few years ago, stated that this was backed by the State of Illinois. As I

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

said earlier, we are going to find one way or the other to ensure that these contracts are going to be backed up by the State of Illinois and that they will be honored, but we also are going to make sure that this fund is going to be at a viable and a safe level. So, I appreciate the support and I appreciate the good questions. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of House Resolution 174. All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burns, Cunningham, Will Davis. Harry Osterman, alderman. Dugan, Lisa. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this, there's 114 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And House Resolution 174 is unanimously adopted. Representative McAsey, you have some special guests in the gallery you'd like to announce."
- McAsey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. If I can draw your attention, to my right we have with us students from Taft School in Lockport who are visiting our Capitol to see their government at work. They're accompanied by their teacher Pete Howard. And if you would join me in welcoming them to the Capitol, welcoming them to Springfield, I hope you enjoy your visit."
- Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol, Taft. Glad to have you here. Representative Rita Mayfield, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Ma'am?"
- Mayfield: "Speaker, I'd just like to correct the record to reflect on HJR0025 it was my intention to vote 'yes'."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Speaker Lyons: "The Journal will reflect your request."

Representative Michael Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If we could excuse Jerry Mitchell for the rest of the day."

"The Clerk will Speaker Lyons: so note. Thank Representative. For the purposes of the cleaning of some of the Calendar, Ladies and Gentlemen, are the Motions in Writing on page 24 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, we have House Bill 1008, Representative Yarbrough. Representative Yarbrough moves to table House Bill 1008. All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And House Bill 1008 is tabled. Representative Sullivan, on page 24 of the Calendar in Motions in Writing, you have, pursuant to House Rule 60(b), to... Motion to Table House Bill 2549. The Gentleman so moves to table House Bill 2549. All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And House Bill 2549 is so tabled. Mr. Clerk, on Motions in Writing, Representative Jones has House Bill 3189, pursuant to House Rule 60(b), moves to table House Bill 3189. All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Motion is tabled. On page 24 of the Calendar, Representative Brown has House Bill 3422. Pursuant to House Rule 60(b), he moves to table House Bill 3422. All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And House Bill 3422 is tabled. Mr. Clerk, on Motions in Writing on

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

page 24 of the Calendar, Representative Turner has House Bill 1928. Pursuant to Rule 65, having voted on the prevailing side, Representative Turner moves to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 1928 passed. Representative Turner, we have the Motion on the floor. Do you wish to speak to the Motion? Representative Sullivan."

Sullivan: "We're opposed to the Motion to reconsider."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative, there will be a Roll Call on the Motion."

Sullivan: "Did you say that there will be a Roll Call?"

Speaker Lyons: "Correct."

Sullivan: "Okay."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any other discussion regarding House Bill 1928? This Bill needs a simple Majority of 60 votes. The question is, 'Shall... shall the House move to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 1928 passed?' All those in favor of the consideration vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burns, Crespo, Flowers, Ford, Gabel, Jakobsson, Mautino, Smith. Burns, Ford, Gabel. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On the Motion, there are 38 voting 'yes', 73 voting 'no'. And the Motion fails. Mr. Clerk, on Motions in Writing on page 24 of the Calendar, we have House Bill 1578. And pursuant to Rule 65, having voted on the prevailing side, Representative Sullivan moves to reconsider the vote on which House Bill 1578 failed. Representative Sullivan."

Sullivan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We earlier had heard Representative Mautino's Bill and under further review of

43rd Legislative Day

- the legislation, we believe that a follow up Amendment that has been filed will make this Bill a better Bill. What is the status of Amendment #, I believe, 1?"
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, Amendment 1. Mr. Clerk, status on the Amendments."
- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted to the Bill.

  No further Amendments have been filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you. And I appreciate the Motion to reconsider on this. There is an Amendment which I need to file, but in order to do that, I need to reconsider the vote and then we'll place the Bill on Second Reading for a technical Amendment."
- Speaker Lyons: "Okay. So, there appears to be agreement to move this Bill on the Order of Second Reading. We have to do that by a recorded vote. For those people... those people... those in favor of reconsidering the vote press... vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Acevedo, DeLuca, Smith, Williams, Soto. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 90 Members voting 'yes', 21 Members voting 'no', 1 Member voting 'present', the Motion to reconsider prevails. And what's the status of the Bill, Mr. Speaker... Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1578 is now on the Order of House Bills-Third Reading."
- Speaker Lyons: "On the request of the Sponsor, put that Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of House Bill 465? Representative Rita."

43rd Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 465, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Rita, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Bob Rita on Amendment #1."
- Rita: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 becomes the Bill.

  And I'd ask for the adoption and we could discuss it on
  Third Reading."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative LaShawn Ford, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Ford: "Mr. Speaker, please let the record reflect that on House Bill 1928 there's a 'no' vote for me because my switch wasn't working. And I would like to be recorded as a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative, the Journal will reflect your request. All right Ladies and Gentlemen, I believe there's a blue sheet of paper going through the Assembly right now and Mr. Clerk, if you'd like to go through the committee assignments for tonight and tomorrow."
- Clerk Bolin: "The following committees will meet immediately upon adjournment: Agriculture & Conservation in Room 14...

  Agriculture & Conservation in Room 413, Consumer Protection

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

in Room C-1, Insurance in Room 114, Judiciary I-Civil Law in Room 122B, Judiciary II-Criminal Law in D-1, Public Utilities in Room 118. The following committees will meet one half hour following adjournment: Environment & Energy in Room 118, Revenue & Finance in Room 115, Higher Education in Room 122B, Human Services in Room 413, Elementary & Secondary Education in Room 114 and State Government Administration in Room C-1. The following committees will meet tomorrow morning at 8:30 Environment... Environmental Health in Room Transportation, Regulation, Roads & Bridges in Room C-1, Business & Occupational Licenses Room 413, Health Care Availability & Access in Room 114, Housing in Room 122B, and Mass Transit in Room 118."

Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Bolin: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 276 and 277, offered by Representative Pihos. House Resolution 278, offered by Representative Kay. And House Resolution 279, offered by Representative Dugan."

Speaker Lyons: "Leader Barbara Flynn Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Representative May."

May: "Yes, an announcement, please. Will all the members of the creative team for Capitol Capers meet in my office at 5 p.m. or as soon as your committees are over. Five p.m., Capitol Caters, please... Capitol Capers creative team in my office."

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Speaker Lyons: "And now, seeing no further business to come before the House of Representatives, Representative Currie moves for the adjournment of the House 'til the hour of 9:30 tomorrow, Friday, April 15 and allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk. All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, the Chair... the House stands adjourned 'til the hour of 9:30 a.m. tomorrow, Friday, April 15, which is not tax day. You've got 'til Monday, April 18. Have a great evening, everyone."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Committee Reports. Representative Dugan, Chairperson from the Committee on Agriculture & Conservation reports the following committee action taken on April 14, recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 915. Representative Berrios, Chairperson from the Committee on Consumer Protection reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 880. Representative Howard, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary II-Criminal Law reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 934, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1069, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2581 and Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2595. Representative Holbrook, Chairperson from the Committee on Public Utilities reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 and Floor

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Amendment #3 to House Bill 2896. Representative Holbrook, Chairperson from the Committee on Environment & Energy reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: do pass as amended Short Debate is House Bill 1943. Representative Chapa LaVia, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill Representative Jakobsson, Chairperson from the Committee on Higher Education reports the following committee action taken on April, 2011: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 466. Rules Report. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April 14, 2011: approved for floor consideration, recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 145, Floor Amendment #5 to House Bill 880, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 927, Floor Amendment #5 to House Bill 1261, Floor Amendment #5 to House Bill 1355, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1578, Floor Amendment #2 and Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 2554, and Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2595. Introduction and reading of House Bills-First Reading. House Bill 3769, a Bill... offered by Representative Rosenthal, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Introduction and reading of Senate Bills-First Reading. Senate Bill 1035, offered by Representative McAsey, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1037, offered by Representative Burns, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1038, offered by

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Representative Flowers, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1040, offered by Representative Mussman, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1041, offered by Representative Reboletti, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1074, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1147, offered by Representative Kay, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Senate Bill 1149, offered by Representative Sente, a Bill for concerning employment. Senate Bill 1227, offered Representative Burke, Daniel, a Bill for an Act concerning 1234, offered by revenue. Senate Bill Representative Bill for an Feigenholtz, a Act concerning liabilities. Senate Bill 1263, offered by Representative Poe, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1286, offered by Representative Dunkin, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 1294, offered by Representative Beaubien, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1306, offered by Representative Mautino, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1311, offered by Representative McAsey, a Bill for an concerning finance. Senate Bill 1313, offered by Representative Mautino, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Senate Bill 1316, offered by Representative Farnham, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 1338, offered by Representative Burns, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1341, offered by Representative Burns, a Bill for concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1332... correction...

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Senate Bill 1352, offered by Representative Currie, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. First Reading. Senate Bill 1357, offered by Representative Watson, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 1360, offered Representative Lyons, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 1361, offered by Representative Golar, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1394, offered by Representative Lyons, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1396, offered by Representative Morthland, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1427, offered by Representative Sacia, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 1435, offered by Representative Eddy, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 1470, offered by Representative Acevedo, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1471, offered by Representative Acevedo, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1539, offered by Representative Saviano, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1543, offered by Representative Beiser, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 1544, offered by Representative Mautino, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Senate Bill 1554, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1560, offered by Representative Burns, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1562, offered by Representative Burns, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1566, offered by Representative Holbrook, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 1567, offered by Representative

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Holbrook, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 1577, offered by Representative Golar, a Bill for an Act concerning health facilities. Senate Bill 1578, offered by Representative Mussman, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1589, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1602, offered by Representative Reitz, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1603, offered by Representative Holbrook, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1607, offered by Representative Morthland, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Senate Bill 1608, offered by Representative Morthland, a Bill for an Act concerning utilities. Senate Bill 1610, offered by Representative Coladipietro, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1631, offered Representative Mautino, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1637, offered by Representative Reis, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. Senate Bill 1651, offered by Representative Cross, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1653, offered by Representative Burke, Kelly, a Bill for an Act concerning public utilities. Senate Bill 1656, offered by Representative Farnham, a Bill for an Act concerning community services impact notes. Senate Bill 1672, offered by Representative McCarthy, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Senate Bill 1680, offered by Representative Mautino, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 1682, offered by Representative Tryon, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

offered by Representative McAsey, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 1694, offered by Representative Brady, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1697, offered by Representative Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 1702, offered by Representative Rose, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1721 ... correction ... Senate Bill 1728, offered by Representative Mitchell, Jerry, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1739, offered by Representative Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1740, offered by Representative Cunningham, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1741, offered by Representative Sente, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 1746, offered by Representative Lyons, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1755, offered by Representative Verschoore, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 1761, offered by Representative Osmond, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. Senate Bill 1762, offered by Representative Franks, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1765, offered by Representative Nybo, a Bill for an Act concerning energy. Senate 1766, Bill offered bv Representative DeLuca, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1773, offered by Representative Pritchard, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1782, offered by Representative Lang, a Bill for Act liquor. Senate Bill 1794, concerning offered Representative Lang, a Bill for an Act concerning

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

education. Senate Bill 1795, offered by Representative Lang, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1798, offered by Representative Rose, a Bill for an Act Bill 1799, offered concerning education. Senate Representative Chapa LaVia, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1802, offered by Representative Holbrook, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1808, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1827, offered by Representative Bradley, a Bill for an Act concerning child support. Senate Bill 1833, offered by Representative McAsey, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 1843, offered by Representative Phelps, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1849, offered by Representative Davis, William, a Bill for an 1852, concerning gaming. Senate Bill offered by Representative Bost, a Bill for an Act concerning public Senate Bill 1853, offered by Representative health. Nekritz, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1856, offered by Representative Winters, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 1877, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1883, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1900, offered by Representative Holbrook, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 1924, offered by Representative Dugan, a Bill for an Act concerning the Secretary of State. Senate Bill 1948, offered Representative Hernandez, a Bill for an Act concerning

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

public aid. Senate Bill 1952, offered by Representative Reis, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Senate Bill 1962, offered by Representative Sente, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1992, offered by Representative Currie, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2010, offered by Representative Dugan, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. Senate Bill 2034, offered by Representative Acevedo, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 2046, offered by Representative Bellock, a Bill for an Act concerning public following Bills are noted House Bills-Second Reading. These Bills will be read a second time and held on that Order. House Bill 62, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 145, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 160, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 225, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 255, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 301, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 304, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 340, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 345, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 360, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 362, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 445, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 450, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 466, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 505, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 542, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 596, a Bill for an

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Act concerning education. House Bill 612, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 615, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 680, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 705, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 760, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. House Bill 830, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 860, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 862, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 880, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 898, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 908, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 915, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 927, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 928, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 930, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 943, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 945, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1069, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1109, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. House Bill 1122, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 1138, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 1202, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1237, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1261, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. House Bill 1265, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1309, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1323, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1362, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1370, a

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 1384, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1388, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 1390, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1392, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1439, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1446, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal justice. House Bill 1483, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. House Bill 1519, a Bill for an Act concerning the death penalty. House Bill 1520, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1535, a Bill for an Act concerning health facilities. House Bill 1546, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 1565, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. House Bill 1569, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 1574, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1607, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1665, a Bill for an Act concerning home birth integration. House Bill 1672, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 1674, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation. House Bill 1693, a Bill for an Act concerning liens. House Bill 1711, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 1738, a Bill for concerning criminal law. House Bill 1739, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1868, a Bill for an Act concerning wind energy facilities. House Bill 1883, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1890, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 1909, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1913, a

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1943, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 1955, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1959, a Bill for an Act concerning public benefits. House Bill 2017, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. House Bill 2050, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2058, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. House Bill 2067, a Bill for an concerning criminal law. House Bill 2104, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2228, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2243, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2246, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2247, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2289, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 2292, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 2321, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2392, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2407, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2411, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2455, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2460, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2546, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2548, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2552, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2553, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2562, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2563, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2568, a Bill for an

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

Act concerning local government. House Bill 2581, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2583, a Bill for an Act concerning corrections. House Bill 2584, a Bill for an Act concerning corrections. House Bill 2595, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2601, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 2602, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 2777, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 2808, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2823, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2831, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2833, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2841, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2889, a Bill for an Act concerning Internet use policies. House Bill 2896, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 2934, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 2942, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 2956, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3014, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 3032, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 3045, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3055, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Bill 3064, Bill for House а an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3107, a Bill for concerning gaming. House Bill 3118, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3130, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 3137, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3127, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3180, a Bill

43rd Legislative Day

4/14/2011

for an Act concerning family law. House Bill 3183, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3259, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. House Bill 3298, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3299, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 3339, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3340, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3374, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 3407, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3434, a Bill for an Act concerning health facilities. House Bill 3447, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3451, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3460, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3473, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3477, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3495, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 3531, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3534, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3598, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. House Bill 3603, a Bill for an Act concerning family trusts. And House Bill 3634, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."