274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. We ask the Members and our guests in the gallery to turn off laptop computers, cell phones, and pagers. And we ask our guests in the gallery to rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. We shall be led in prayer today by the Reverend Michael Tozer, who is the Pastor of Springfield Foursquare Church in Springfield, Illinois. Reverend Tozer is the guest of Representative Poe."

Reverend Tozer: "Let us bow our heads. Almighty God of Heaven and Earth, we do worship You. We give praise unto Your holy name because You are eternal. May we bow our hearts and head unto You with great honor unto Your divine presence. As we glorify You today, Heavenly Father, give thanks that Your holy word declares that we might enter into Your sacred presence with confidence and boldness by the very blood on the cross. The Scriptures declare, look to the Lord with His strength and seek His face forever. Ask and it shall be given unto you, seek and you shall find, knock and the door will be opened unto you. So, as we pray today we ask that You might quide and bless these men and women who have been sent here by the people of Illinois, who have been ordained by You to governor this great state. Grant them Your wisdom and the ability to make decisions that will direct us to the center of Your Most high God, we call to You to Your remembrance the leaders of this great state and its people. knows better than You, mighty God, how fearfully the peace and justice of this state depends upon Your leaders. By

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Your name, Oh Lord, we pray that Your leaders may not neglect their stewardship, neither misunderstand the nature of their obligation. May Your anointing be upon these men and women, dear God, in this great hall. Let them never doubt You or Your divine love and the power that You have given to them in their lives. Compel them to use the full passion and the talent that You bestow upon them for this great state, accomplishing great and mighty things. We ask this in the very name of Jesus Christ, Amen."

- Speaker Madigan: "We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Poe."
- Poe et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Madigan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record reflect that Representatives Will Davis and Froehlich are excused today."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bost."
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Watson is excused today."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please add Representatives
 Osterman and Washington to the excused list."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. There being 113 Members responding to the Attendance Roll Call, there is a quorum present. Mr. Clerk."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Clerk Bolin: "Committee Reports. Representative Molaro, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary II-Criminal Law, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass Short Debate' for Senate Bills 1865, 2051, and 2365; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' for Senate Bills 2198 and 2294; 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2759. Representative Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on Executive, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass amended Short Debate' for Senate Bills 878, 1920, 1979, 2400, 2407, 2482, 2513, 2603, 2702, and 2883; 'do pass Short Debate' for Senate Bill 2788, and House Bills 5916 through 5923. Representative Yarbrough, Chairperson from the Committee on Housing & Urban Development, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' House Resolution 1276; and 'do pass as amended Short Debate' for Senate Bill 2566. Representative Jakobsson, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass Short Debate' for Senate Bill 1864; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' for Senate Bills 1900, 2336, and 2531; also 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2505; and House Joint Resolution 127.

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Representative Smith, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' for Senate Bills 1939, 2379, 2687, and 2858; 'do pass Short Debate' for Senate Bill 2685. Representative Colvin, Chairperson from the Committee on Personnel & Pensions, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass Short Debate' for Senate Bill 1957; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' for House Bill 4905 and Senate Bill 2558. Representative Dugan, Chairperson from the Committee on State Government Administration, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' House Resolution 1207 and Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 4861; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' for Senate Bills 2327, 1890, 2326, and 2489; 'do pass Short Debate' for Senate Bill 1850. Representative Berrios, Chairperson from the Committee on Bio-Technology, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' for Senate Bill 2399. Representative Saviano, Chairperson from the Committee on Registration Regulation, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass Short

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Debate' for Senate Bill 887; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' for Senate Bill 1869. Representative John Bradley, Chairperson from the Committee on Revenue, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' for Senate Bills 801, 1248, and 2882. Representative Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules, to which the following legislative measures and/or Joint Action Motion were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'direct floor consideration' for House Bill 2424 and House Bill 2750, which are both referred to Second Reading; also recommendations for approval of House Amendment #1 to House Bill 2742, House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1879; a Motion to Table House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1879; and 'approved for consideration' House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2349. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 1346, offered by Representative Mathias. This Resolution is referred to the House Rules Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Representative Currie.

Representative Currie. Please give your attention to Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. I move that the following... that we suspend the posting requirements on the following Bills so they may be heard in their appropriate committees: House Bill 4525, House Bill 5769, Senate Bill 385, Senate Bill 773, Senate Bills 886, 970, 1102, 1103, 1115, 1116, 1129, 1130, 1878, 1908, 2163, 2231, 2293, 2342, 2413, 2452,

274th Legislative Day

- 2688, 2691, 2719, 2733 and House Joint Resolution 131. I know of no opposition and I'd appreciate your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves to suspend the posting requirements. Is there leave? Leave is granted. And the Lady's Motion is adopted. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of Senate Bill 526?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 526 is on the Order of Consideration Postponed."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, put that Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Molaro, did you wish to call House Bill 4383? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4383, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Molaro."
- Molaro: "Thank you. This Bill is the Water Reclamation Bill; it's for their pension board. It allows... instead of a term of two (2) years, it's three (3) years. And it increases the board where it now allows... five (5) members: two (2) shall be appointed and three (3) shall run as trustees. So, it adds a retiree to the board and a retiree, obviously, is not compensated. And it's in full agreement with the board and the pension system."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Molaro, we'll take this matter out of the record. Could you approach the podium? Mr. Riley, did you wish to call House Bill 2308? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2308, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

274th Legislative Day

- Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2308 provides for the annexation of three (3) parcels of land, two (2) of these parcels are in the Village of Matteson, the other parcel is in the Village of Olympia Fields. The parcel of land in Matteson is going to have a mixed use of residential building erected on it. The parcel of land in Olympia Fields has a physicians center. This is good for both areas. And I request an 'aye' vote on this measure."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Riley, does this concern the Water Reclamation District?"
- Riley: "Yes, it does. This... this is an annexation to the Water Reclamation District for all three (3) parcels."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Riley... Mr. Riley, we're going to take this matter out of the record. Could you approach the podium, also? Thank you. Mr. Clerk, put House Bill 2308 back in the record. And the Chair recognizes Mr. Riley on House Bill 2308. Mr. Riley."
- Riley: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Again, I think the previous explanation was these parcels were to annexed to the Water Reclamation District."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 61 people voting 'yes', 52 people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House

274th Legislative Day

- Bill 2916, Mr. Reboletti. The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. House Bill 4385, Mr. Turner. House Bill 4443, Representative Flowers. Representative Flowers on House Bill 4443. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4443, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4443 merely adds the amount of... of one million, five hundred (1,500,000) to the appropriation for the program dealing with autism. And I'll be more than happy to answer any questions that you have in regards to this Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves for the passage of the Bill.

 The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 113 people voting 'yes, 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Chapa LaVia, did you wish to move House Bill 4728? The matter is on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4728 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Chapa LaVia on the Amendment."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

- Chapa LaVia: "You know, Speaker, I... I stand corrected. If I could just leave this on Second, I'm working a little bit more on that Amendment. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Lady would like to take this matter out of the record. Mr. Clerk, take the Bill out of the record. Mr. Colvin, did you wish to call Senate Bill 1879? 1879? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. Mr. Colvin. The Chair recognizes Representative Ryg."

Ryg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Madigan: "State your point."

- Ryg: "I'd like to ask the Members to join me in welcoming a very special group of guests that are behind me in the gallery. Some of you may have worked with my mom, Sheila Schultz, as the former mayor of Wheeling, for sixteen (16) years as a member of the Illinois Municipal League Board, past President of the Northwest Municipal Conference and a variety of other regional exercises. And she's here today with a group of her high school friends to visit and watch us in action. So, if you would join me in welcoming them to Springfield. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black. Mr. Black, did you wish to call Senate Bill 2021? It's concerned with gaming. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2021, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill originated in my legislative district, was filed by my Senator Mike Frerichs, who talked with some senior citizens at a senior

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

center in Georgetown, Illinois, in Vermilion County. And they wanted to increase the aggregate value of senior bingo prizes from one dollar (\$1) to five dollars (\$5) in a single game. And also increase the price of a single senior bingo card from five cents (\$.05) to fifty cents (\$.50), which would enable them to give a variety of prizes as opposed to the one dollar (\$1) limit that's currently on these senior games. The people who frequent the senior center are fully in favor of this. I certainly don't view it as any expansion of gambling. Senior centers do not even need a bingo license to do this. The seniors told Senator Frerichs that this is a form of recreation for them and that this would make the game, perhaps, a little more interesting and exciting for them. I'll be glad to answer any questions that you have."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 68 people voting 'yes', 45 people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of Senate Bill 2721?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2721, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Madigan: "Put the Bill on the Order of Third Reading.

 Mr. Clerk, what is the status of HR 628? 628?"

274th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Resolution 628 is on the Order of Resolutions. One Amendment has been adopted to the Bill. Nothing further is pending."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Representative Graham."
- Graham: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Resolution simply establishes a task force to look at when evictions are put on your credit report to try to find some room so we can decrease the plight of homelessness. I'll take any questions."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 112 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. The House does adopt this Resolution. Mr. Lyons in the Chair."
- Speaker Lyons: "On page 45 of the Calendar on Senate Bills-Third Reading. Representative Karen Yarbrough, you have Senate Bill 62. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Representative Yarbrough, you have a Floor Amendment. You want us to move that Bill back to Second Reading? Mr. Clerk, move that Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 62, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Amendments 1, 2 and 3 have been adopted. Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Yarbrough, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Yarbrough on the Amendment."
- Yarbrough: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 62, which is a gut and replace

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Amendment that requires a county sheriffs to send a person's government issued ID to the Department of Corrections. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion on the Amendment? The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Rosemary Mulligan."

"Mr. Speaker, just a... a point of issue. Mulligan: This Bill. if you take a look at it, has absolutely nothing to do with what the ... says on the board. And, you know, I think a lot of people sit here and they look at that and then they try and figure out what's happening in the Bill. And then you go to your analysis, and there should be something done about that. Because actually, it really has nothing to do about what the title is up there. So, either when you vote for a Bill and then you pull the Roll Call it shows up that there's... it's not that. And if you wanted to ask a question it takes awhile to translate from what you see into what's going here. So, I think that should be looked into. And... I... I have to take a look at what the Lady's Bill is. But just quite frankly, I looked at that and then I look what the Bill does and there's a total disconnect. I think there's a problem with that."

Speaker Lyons: "Thank you, Representative. Any questions? Any further questions? Seeing none, 'Should Amendment #5...

Floor Amendment 5 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 45 of the Calendar, Representative Mike Boland, on Senate Bills-Third Reading, has Senate Bill 439. Representative Boland. Senate Bill 439? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 439, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Mike Boland."
- Boland: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 439 permits the governing body of a municipality to adopt an ordinance that requires the municipal... Municipalities Election Authority to prepare a ranked absentee ballot for municipal and township office candidates to be voted on in the consolidated election. And this ordinance can take place only if the Election Authority submits a statement that says they can, basically, they have the administrative ability to... to do this. So, it is permissive in both ways there. And would like to have this passed. It'll help our military veterans participate, our military people that are overseas and that might have a difficult time getting a ballot back in time, participate in our local elections."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Crawford, Representative Roger Eddy."
- Eddy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Eddy: "Representative, according to our analysis there is a...
the county clerks and recorders were concerned regarding
the implementation. And... and you had, well, apparently you

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

were holding it a little bit on Second in order to try to get an agreement?"

Boland: "Well, we... I... I had held it 'til we could have some conferences. I didn't say 'til there would be an absolute agreement. I think we've addressed some of their concerns. I'm not sure how they are right now. But they didn't indicate to me that they were opposed to this."

Eddy: "In their original concerns, not necessarily a opposition, was it in regard to the implementation? Was it a cost issue? Was it a logistics? What..."

Boland: "It... it was part cost and part logistics. And so that's why we put in there the fact that it's permissive. If they feel for some reason that this is cost prohibitive or that it's administratively untenable then they don't have to do it."

Eddy: "So, county by county that clerk can make that determination based on any unique circumstances that their offices face? This... this isn't... this isn't something that is a mandate requirement for all? This is something that they can choose not to do if for any reason they feel it's difficult or costly?"

Boland: "Yes, absolutely."

Eddy: "Okay."

Boland: "Yeah, they... if they don't feel they can do it, they don't have to do it."

Eddy: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Rosemary Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Mulligan: "Representative, what's the genesis of this Bill?"

Boland: "This was brought to me by Daniel Johnson Weinberger, who is a... I guess I'd call him sort of an expert in election law."

Mulligan: "Of all the forces we've had overseas, this one probably has the best ability to communicate, whether it's by cell phone or email. Between a primary and a general election, particularly in Illinois with the primary getting earlier, the candidate on the Bill could become a convicted felon, anything could happen. I... I don't understand the... the ability to go ahead with something like this because I think there's things that change and I think there's better ways of communicating. And then who has a ballot and who doesn't and how do they implement this from the state board? I... I really don't think this is a very good Bill. And then, didn't you agree to hold this Bill? Didn't Representative Eddy just ask you that?"

Boland: "I'd really rather not, since this is the last Bill we can... I mean, the last week we can deal with this. The Governor if... if there is some situation as to what you're saying and the Governor needs to do something to clean it up or whatever, which I don't think there's a need for, but if... if that becomes a concern, then I think he could use his Amendatory Veto."

Mulligan: "So, you honestly think an Amendatory Veto would clean this up well enough for it to be manageable? And just because we're at end of Session we should pass a really bad Bill that doesn't really obtain the actual thing

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

of what we want, which is voting rights for our men who are serving out of the country. But because you want to get it out and you think this Governor or his staff..."

Boland: "Well..."

Mulligan: "...can clean it up with an Amendatory Veto, which may or may not be addressed..."

Boland: "No, I'm just saying, I... personally, I don't... I disagree completely with your analysis. I think that this is a good Bill. It's going to help those military folks who may be in a situation where it's not easy for them to get their ballot back in time."

Mulligan: "I think that it's just not quite put together yet.

And I think there are a lot of concerns that you would have between a General Election, you know, a Primary and a General Election, particularly when you have, you know, from February to November for anything to happen to those candidates and to not know who's still serving overseas, who has a ballot, who should still get one."

Boland: "Well... well..."

Mulligan: "I... I don't those are straightened out yet. So, I don't think..."

Boland: "...well..."

Mulligan: "we should pass this Bill."

Boland: "...this isn't from February to November. This is from February to April that we're talking about, the consolidated local elections."

Mulligan: "Well, that also means you could have somebody that has dropped out or a candidate that maybe has passed away.

We've had that happen in our area. I think it should have

274th Legislative Day

- been finished. Just because we're at the end of Session and it's not soup yet doesn't mean we have to push it through. I would urge a 'no' vote."
- Boland: "Well... well, I think that, you know, to... to help any of our veterans vote that want to... any of our military people that want to vote, we should be willing to do what we can for them."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Chapin Rose."
- Rose: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a quick question?"
- Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."
- Rose: "Representative, I... I think I understand what you're trying to do, my question is this. In between the Primary Election and the General Election, what happens if that service man or service woman decides they want to change their vote?"
- Boland: "Well, in that case you... you do have a point that they cannot change their vote once they've sent it in. But the ranking of it helps them to make sure if there is something that happens in between, you know, they've at least got their second choice pointed out."
- Rose: "I mean, don't you agree that one of the reasons why we have a Primary separated in time from the General is to allow more information about the candidates to present themselves and focus in on who the general candidates are? Any maybe a certain piece of information comes to light that would cause a person to move in favor of a candidate

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

or away from a candidate or in favor of the other candidate and away from their first candidate?"

Boland: "Well, I... I think, you know, what you talked about as far as the time is absolutely the reason to do this is because that time..."

Rose: "I... I get that, but I..."

Boland: "...it... it doesn't give, you know, usually enough time for somebody to get that ballot in."

Rose: "I... I understand that. But I think, Representative, my point is still there's a reason why that time's there and that's for people to clear their mind of all the things that come out in the Primary Election, focus on the candidates who are presented to them in the General Election. And... and in that intervening month or whatever it may be, they may change their mind. And if I understand what you said, there's nothing in here that would allow them to retract that General Election vote if they subsequently learn something that... that would cause them to change their minds."

Boland: "That's true. But on the other hand, if something happened later during that time period, they might not have time to get that ballot in..."

Rose: "I under... I understand that."

Boland: "...even with... even with the change added to that they might have."

Rose: "Do we do this anywhere else?"

Boland: "Pardon me?"

Rose: "Do we do this preferencing anywhere else?"

Boland: "As far as other states, you mean?"

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Rose: "No, in our state?"

Boland: "Oh. Not that I know of."

Rose: "Do other states do it?"

Boland: "There are a couple of other states; I don't have it right... right offhand. Oh, wait here it is. Yeah. Three (3) states, Louisiana, South Carolina and Arkansas, as well as the City of Springfield has now voted that they want to do this in their next municipal election."

Rose: "Representative, have the... the VFWs or the American Legions are they in favor of this?"

Boland: "The VFW supports it. There is no opposition registered, you know, against it."

Rose: "I mean... I'm just somewhat... I'm somewhat troubled from the break in the general rule that the reason why we separate by time is the ability for more information to disseminate itself and to make a more informed voter out of those who go to the polls. How much time is there in between these elections, Representative, thirty (30) days?"

Boland: "There's February and April."

Rose: "So, two (2) months?"

Boland: "Yes."

Rose: "Now, will every service man or woman have to complete this form or... or is it a choice for them?"

Boland: "It's a choice for them."

Rose: "So, if I want it... if I say, you know what, I'm not sure,
I'd rather go ahead and take my chances with the mail,
getting my general ballot back, I would still have that
opportunity?"

Boland: "Right."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Rose: "So, this... well, wait a minute let's... let's look at that for a minute. So, then what you're saying is that the clerk's office is going to have to make decision to either send a single unified ballot or still send two (2) different ballots?"

Boland: "Well, they... they will know if they, you know, the request from the... from the person overseas. You know, that they are requesting this. And... and remember, this is totally optional for them in the sense that if there's a reason due to cost or they don't have enough personnel or whatever to develop that type of ballot, they don't have to do it."

Rose: "I'm not even sure, though, that that's... you can do that.

I mean, the ballot access needs to be uniform and standard across the board to meet the... I would think constitutional prerogatives, but... Have you... have you talked to any counsel about that?"

Boland: "Talked to who?"

Rose: "Counsel about that?"

Boland: "Dan Johnson-Weinberger is an attorney, right, who brought this to me."

Rose: "And he thinks that... that you can have one rule in one county, but not a different... not the same rule in a different county?"

Boland: "Right. I'm not a lawyer, so I can't tell you, but that's what he..."

Rose: "Well, Representative, you've given me something to think about here. I'm not sure... but the bottom line is this, if

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

they don't want to take the unified ballot it's the choice as to the service..."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Rose, your 5 minutes has expired. We have numerous people that want to speak to this issue. This was originally on Short Debate, I'll put it on Standard Debate. If you could finish your question, I'd appreciate it, Representative Rose."

Rose: "Yeah, I... I just was waiting to hear his answer."

Boland: "Yes."

Rose: "Yes, the service man or woman has the option of doing this or waiting to get two (2) ballots to go ahead and vote on the General Election?"

Boland: "I... I believe they would. I believe they could when they first notify them."

Rose: "Okay. All right. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Elaine Nekritz."

Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Nekritz: "Representative, I... I apologize, I missed the first part of the debate. Did you meet with the clerks... with the clerks' association about their concerns with regard to this Bill?"

Boland: "Yes. We... I met with Mike Hoffman, who is the lobbyist for their group."

Nekritz: "And... and were their concerns addressed in any Amendment?"

Boland: "I believe so, yes."

Nekritz: "Can you tell me how?"

274th Legislative Day

- Boland: "Well, I believe that, you know, the… the issue of if they were… if they were to have not the personnel or the money or something to be able to do this that they would be able to exempt out of it."
- Nekritz: "So, they have to make an affirmative... how would... how do they do that? They just say, we're not offering that to you?"
- Boland: "I'm not sure on that. How... how they would... I think they would just tell the municipality that, you know, we... we can't do this for this reason."
- Nekritz: "Okay. Have you done any... have... have you received any complaints from... from overseas military voters that... that they did not get their... their ballot in time?"
- Boland: "I did not personally, but Dan Johnson-Weinberger has said there have been some to him. And that's why he proposed this legislation."
- Nekritz: "Okay. 'Cause it's my understanding that the City of Chicago, which would be the largest municipality affected by this, did some research and could not find one instance of one single voter that sent in an absentee ballot application, failed to receive it in time or failed to send it back in time. So, I think that this really seems like a solution in search of a problem. Where we've not, you know, at least for the largest municipality in this... in this state they have... there's been no military voter, overseas voter that has not been able to vote on a timely basis and... and have their ballot counted."
- Boland: "Well... well, we don't know that. That's... that is what some people say, but how do we know those who didn't just

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

give up because they thought, according to Weinberger, there's a difference between those who were mailed out the ballot and those who sent it back in. So, that maybe... I know if I was in that situation... if you're over there and you've got a lot more issues on your mind, you know, you may be dodging bullets or whatever. You know, getting that in on time has to be... you'd have to be extremely well motivated."

Nekritz: "All right. Well, Representative, again, it's just my understanding from the... from the City Board of Elections that... that they did a pretty thorough review of this. And I have to apologize at this moment, I don't remember the details of how they made those determinations, but they had, you know, pretty... looked at this pretty extensively and determined that... that... that the ballots that had been sent out came back on time. I... do we have... have... has there been any of our voting equipment in the State of Illinois that's been tested for this kind of... for this kind of runoff voting?"

Boland: "I do not know offhand as to if any of them have."

Nekritz: "Okay. Well, I... I... it's my understanding from the State Board of Elections that none of our equipment has been tested. So... so, what happens with it when a runoff ballot comes back with... if there's no... if there's no equipment that can count that ballot?"

Boland: "Well, it would have to be hand counted?"

Nekritz: "And what does that do to the secret ballot?"

Boland: "Pardon me?"

274th Legislative Day

- Nekritz: "What does... what does that hand counting do to the secret ballot on an absentee ballot?"
- Boland: "Well, obviously, in any case you can have a situation where you have to have hand counting where, you know, if there's only one ballot people could figure that out. But that's... that could happen in any situation."
- Nekritz: "I... I apologize, what do you mean by there's only one ballot?"
- Boland: "Well, we had a situation many years ago in my area where the way the lines were drawn in a local election there was one person voting in one precinct. And so, basically, everybody... it was not hard to figure out where that person had voted, who that person was and so forth."
- Nekritz: "All right. To... to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, I... I think that this... I... is... while the goal of the legislation is laudable, is to make sure that our overseas voters are... are getting the opportunity to vote, there is no evidence, at least that I'm aware of, that indicates that there is a problem with the overseas vote... voting in municipal elections. The clerks are very concerned about the ability of their election equipment to do this kind of counting. In fact, it... we know that the election equipment is not tested for this type of counting. And it ... we don't know whether the existing equipment would... would work with that kind of counting or whether we would have to invest in new types of counting equipment. And I think that to say that the ballots would have to be hand counted, which removes the secret ballot, that's a.m. that's a significant problem I think for those voters who may or may not be

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

aware that some election authority is going to be looking at their ballot and knowing exactly who they voted for and whether that ballot has an impact on the result of the race. And if so, you know, everyone's going to know who that voter is and how... and how they... and how they may have changed the outcome of the race. So, I think there are some significant problems with this Bill. And I... I would hope that we can con... if the Sponsor could continue to work on this and see if we can work out some of these challenges. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Bond, Representative Ron Stephens."

Stephens: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Gentleman yields."

Stephens: "Representative, how many military people do you think are going to be affected by this?"

Boland: "Well, potentially, all of them could be, from Illinois that are over there. But you know, as we all know in municipal local elections, the turnout even back here..."

Stephens: "Okay."

Boland: "...is very small. But the... the crucial thing I think that was..."

Stephens: "Well, what I'm trying to get a handle on..."

Boland: "...well, let me just finish here a second."

Stephens: "...I'm trying to get a handle on the civilian aspect of this. I think that's a much bigger number."

Boland: "That... it could be. I... I don't know how much..."

Stephens: "Do you have any statistics about that?"

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Boland: "I... I don't know how many, you know, civilians are overseas compared to military. But this..."

Stephens: "Well, I... I think that's an important number. And I will tell you I... I have a problem with the... with the basic tenets of the Bill. I also have a small problem, you have stood with me and helped me on many occasions on matters of the military and veterans issues and I always appreciate that. I'm not sure that this is a 'military or veterans issue' per se. I think it's an Election Code issue..."

Boland: "You're... you're absolutely right in that civilians overseas could take advantage of this as well. Although, that happened to have been my motivation, you know, as far as the military, but in... you're absolutely right that anybody working overseas could take advantage of this as well..."

Stephens: "Working..."

Boland: "...and hopefully, would."

Stephens: "Well, I... and I appreciate your... your candor on that. The... the very essence of the Bill, being a preference that you're going to give, I agree with Representative Rose's remarks earlier that, you know what, there's a reason we have the election and we have debate. And I believe that to virtually eliminate that debate, something that you learn in that last month, because it might be difficult to get that ballot back, is not a compelling reason to change the law. So, I stand, reluctantly, in opposition."

Boland: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Mike Bost."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Bost: "Representative, early on, the question was asked, was this or was this not agreed to be held in the committee until this was worked out?"

Boland: "No, what I had agreed with the county clerks and the other people was that we would try to see if we could reach an agreement. And you know, we had several meetings with them..."

Bost: "Okay. The... the..."

Boland: "...some..."

Bost: "...the main concern I had was, the original answer was, you had, but you didn't want to do that. That... and that was not your answer. That's what I want to know is is that it was never agreed to be held in committee."

Boland: "No, no, I... no, not at all. No, we..."

Bost: "Okay. All right. That's all I need to know then."

Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Bill Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Black: "Representative, I apologize if this question has come up, it's just literally impossible to hear on the House Floor, lately. My concern rests with the implementation. The Bill... I... I don't... I would not want to disenfranchise anybody who serves in the military, but the implementation gives me some pause. I've never seen a ballot, and you and I have been voting for a long time, where you rank

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

candidates. Now, how... how do you do that? There would have to be some kind of a special ballot printed, would there not?"

Boland: "Yes, absolutely. Yeah. This is a... a new idea for Illinois. Although as I said, you know there's already three (3) states that are doing it. States that, incidentally, have a very high proportion of military folks overseas. But again, I want to emphasize the... the option of this. That if for some reason this is not able to be implemented by some election authority, for example, maybe small real some county or some... they don't have the personnel or the money or something, they can opt out of it."

Black: "Now, how does it work on behalf of the service man?

Let's say the service man or woman has a legal residence in

Danville, but they've been in the military for fifteen (15)

years or more and they really haven't been in Danville,

although that's still their legal voting address and so

they get a ballot... and the municipal ballot in Danville is

nonpartisan. So, they get a ballot with six (6) names, I

assume they would have to rank them. And they're not

familiar with any of the six (6) names. They don't have to

vote, correct? They don't have to request a ballot."

Boland: "Oh, no, absolutely."

Black: "Okay."

Boland: "Yeah. Nobody's forcing them to vote, you know."

Black: "And..."

Boland: "And they probably wouldn't if they've been gone..."

Black: "Right."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Boland: "...a long time."

Black: "And if I heard you correctly, if the local unit of government felt like they could not do this or could not stand the expense or whatever... are they mandated to do this? Print them and mail them? Or do they decide that, yeah, we want to do this and so they can, but they don't have to?"

Boland: "Absolutely, yes."

Black: "Okay."

Boland: "And... and in fact, the municipality has to say they...

they have to tell the election authority, we want you to do
this."

Black: "Okay."

Boland: "You know, so, again, there's two (2) options in there.

Both the municipality and the election authority."

Black: "Now, the State Board of Elections' opposition is based on what? Is it based on implementation or... I... I... I'm not sure why they signed in in opposition to the Bill?"

Boland: "Well, actually, according to my analysis, they didn't.

And they had not told me they were in opposition to it.

They had questions about it and so forth and according to my analysis they took no position, but..."

Black: "Okay."

Boland: "...they may..."

Black: "Could we just..."

Boland: "...be opposed to it."

Black: "Let... let me check with my staffer..."

Boland: "Yeah."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Black: "...the honorable Andrew Sperry Rand of the think tank with the same name."

Boland: "Yeah."

Black: "Yeah, our staffer said they have dropped their opposition post-committee meeting."

Boland: "Right. Right. "

Black: "Okay. So, does the state board have to approve any of these ballots as to its makeup or would that be the sole responsibility of the local election authority?"

Boland: "They would not have to approve the ballot, but they would have to approve the equipment that is used."

Black: "All right. Now, since we're moving to machines, can the machine read a ranking or can they only read where you darken in the... the square or whatever?"

Boland: "Right now, there are no machines that can read a ranked ballot. But the State Board of Elections can, you know, call for testing and so forth..."

Black: "Okay."

Boland: "...of new machines."

Black: "All right. So, the ballot would come back to the local election authority and I assume they would have looked into how they count them, whether the machine can do that. All of this would be done prior, obviously, right?"

Boland: "Right."

Black: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Bob Pritchard."

Pritchard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Pritchard: "Representative, it seems that we just passed a holiday where we remember the men and women who have laid down their lives to give us freedoms and to defend our freedoms. Is voting one of these freedoms that people are dying for?"

Boland: "I believe so. I mean, the... the concept of democracy is what we go to war for. That's our most precious value, the thing that makes America, America. And so, you know, we should we doing everything we can. In my eyes, if only one person were to use this, it would be worth it."

Pritchard: "So, this is an honor to the men and women, the millions of men and women, who have been injured or killed in defense of the right to vote?"

Boland: "Absolutely right."

Pritchard: "Representative, do you have any idea in round numbers what percent of our population in the State of Illinois may be voting in any given election?"

Boland: "I really don't, I couldn't tell you that."

Pritchard: "Would... would you say that it's 90 percent?"

Boland: "In any election?"

Pritchard: "Yeah."

Boland: "No. Not... even presidential elections usually hit maybe 50 percent if we're lucky."

Pritchard: "If we're lucky?"

Boland: "Yeah."

Pritchard: "So, this doesn't seem to be a burdensome requirement, does it?"

Boland: "No, not at all. Not at all."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Pritchard: "So, if this is an effort for Illinois residents to get their basic freedoms and one of the criteria that men and women are dying for, if it's not overburdening to our election system, I guess the only other question I have is, would there be any hanging chads if somebody voted this way?"

Boland: "I don't think so, not today. I appreciate your thought."

Pritchard: "So, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that gives people basic rights. The mechanics for doing it may need some work, but Ladies and Gentlemen, we have very bright people in the Board of Elections, in our county clerk's offices across this state. I have a feeling they can figure it out for the number of people that will be exercising this. And we will be guaranteeing a people the right to cast their ballot in some very important elections. I urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lou Lang."

Lang: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Lang: "Thank you. Representative, I support your goal here, but I want to see if the mechanisms within the Bill flow to make the Bill work. So, this only applies to municipal elections, is that correct?"

Boland: "And township."

Lang: "And... and township?"

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

- Boland: "But the township ones... I'm trying to think... I don't know of any townships that have runoffs as some of the municipalities do."
- Lang: Well, so I would understand a situation where a whole county decided that they were going to opt into this program because then they would be buying the appropriate equipment for all of the jurisdictions."

Boland: "Um hmm."

- Lang: "But, what do you do with a situation where one municipality within a large county, let's use... I live in Skokie in Cook County. Let's assume Skokie wanted to do this, does Cook County now have go buy this equipment?"
- Boland: "No, it... again, this is optional. It's optional at both the municipality level and at the election authority level."
- Lang: "So, if the municipality says, we want to do this, the county could still say, no, you don't, we're not paying for it."
- Boland: "Well... well, just that... yeah. Exactly as what you're saying is the county could say, well, this is prohibitively expensive or we... we don't have the money for it. So, therefore, we're not going to do it."
- Lang: "So, could the… let's do it in reverse. Let's say the county wanted to do this for all of its municipalities, would then the municipalities have to do it?"
- Boland: "No. The municipalities have to request it to the election authority."
- Lang: "Well, except the county in... let's say in Cook County is the election authority."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Boland: "Yes."

Lang: "So, and Cook County is a municipality. So, could Cook
County decide they're going to get all new machines and
implement this program and force each municipality and
township within the county to do it?"

Boland: "No. As... as I said, this... the... the municipalities have the option of whether they want to do this or not. They have to request it from the... to the election authority, we want to do this. For example, in Springfield, they've actually opted to do this in their next election. They had a... they had a referendum, in fact, to see if the population wanted this. The population overwhelmingly wanted to do it. The mayor of Springfield came to my office, very strongly supportive of this."

Lang: "If the county wanted to get all new machines or equipment that would cover the possibility that they needed this software in this machinery, you're saying then they could go ahead and buy the machines, but... but that aspect of it could... would only be implemented or activated if the local municipality requested it for particular election?"

Boland: "Right. Right."

Lang: "And could they request it for one election and then say 'no' for the next one and 'yes' for the next one and 'no' for the next one?"

Boland: "I would guess so, yes. That seems to be the way the Bill reads, yes."

Lang: "So, I'm going to support your Bill. But I think that's a little awkward. What do you think? Think that's a little awkward?"

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Boland: "Well, I would think that if, for example, Springfield goes ahead and does this, my guess is, you know, they're going to want to continue to do this. I think this is going to probably become a more popular type of thing. Every election thing that we've done that has expanded the number of people participating, has continued to go forward. You know, democracy as... as Al Smith once said in 1928, 'the only cure for the ills of democracy is more democracy'. And so, I believe it will continue."

Lang: "Were you there when he said that, Representative? One...
one last question."

Boland: "Yes."

Lang: "My analysis shows there's only one company in Illinois now that uses the correct equipment. Is that correct?"

Boland: "That is correct."

Lang: "So, (a) I'm concerned about the monopoly that creates, but more important, as you know there have been allegations that some of the computer manufacturers that make election devices have been involved in some countrywide conspiracy to steal votes. I'm not suggesting this company is one of those, but has this company been involved in any of those allegations?"

Boland: "I don't know offhand which companies. I'm not privy to which companies have been part of the conspiratorial theories, if they're you know, true or not, even."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Lang, the clock has run out on you. If you had any further questions? Representative Boland to close."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

"Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen, a very believe interesting debate. and And Ι Representative Pritchard really hit this on the head. what the mayor of Springfield told me in one of my meetings with him that, you know, these are the freedoms and the democratic values that we send people to risk their life and limb on. And the least we can do is to try to make it every bit as convenient for our military people, and as one of the other Representatives pointed out, other civilians that happen to be overseas to participate in our democracy. That's what we're all about. We're all about trying to give all of the people a chance to participate as much as they would wish. As I pointed out in the debate this is optional from both a municipality and an election authority standpoint. And so therefore, those municipalities like Springfield and other non-Home Rule communities that want to do this, they should be allowed to do it. I thank you very much and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should Senate Bill 439 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Representative Feigenholtz. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 95 Members voting 'yes', 17 Members voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, Rules Report."

Clerk Mahoney: "Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules, to which the

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

following legislative measures and/or Joint Action Motions were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'approved for floor consideration' is Amendment #1 to House Bill 3741."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes Representative Barbara Flynn Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. I move to suspend the posting requirements so that House Resolution 1333 and Senate Bill 2920... 2098 could be heard in State Government Administration. And that the reassigned Bill, Senate Bill 2636, could be heard in Judiciary I. And that Senate Bill 1872 could be heard Elections. I know of no opposition and I'd appreciate your support for the Motion."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing no one in opposition, the… seeing no one in opposition, the… all those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Motion carries. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Menard, Representative Brauer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Representative."

Brauer: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, please join me in welcoming Franklin Middle School Girls Basketball team to the Capitol. They were undefeated with a record of 23-0 and won the State Class 4A Champions. Congratulations to the girls and their head coach, Coach Lex Bitner, Assistant

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Coaches Wanda Nettles, Rick Holmes and Kaitlyn Bitner. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Congratulations, enjoy your day in the Capitol.

Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Fulton, Representative

Mike Smith. For what purpose do you rise, Representative?"

Smith: "A point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed."

Smith: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In the gallery behind me, I would like to ask the Body to join me in welcoming from Pekin High School the winning team in the Draft a Bill contest. They're here with their instructor, Mr. John McCabe. Please welcome Adrian Cagle, Jeremy Moss and Alex Siegfried."

Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to Springfield. Have a great day. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Bill Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. If I would have the attention of the Body, a point of personal privilege. Let me thank those Members who were kind enough to send notes of condolence to my wife on... on the passing of her mother on May the 9th. And to those who have called my legislative office, my legislative aide for 15 years, died last Thursday night, her funeral was Tuesday. She was a delightful, wonderful woman who treated everybody with the utmost respect. And I will miss her terribly. And all of those of you who were privileged to work with Kay Flynn will miss her as well. And I also appreciate the calls and notes regarding the declining health of my 90-year-old father. It has not been a good May. And I do appreciate the... the notes of concern and... and the calls of wishing my

274th Legislative Day

- family well. I appreciate that more than words can express. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons: "Thank you, Representative Black. Ladies and Gentlemen, continuing with Senate Bills-Third Reading on page 45 of the Calendar, Representative Marlow Colvin, you have Senate Bill 1879."
- Colvin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, we would respectfully request to move the Bill back to Second for the purposes of Amendments."
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, move Senate Bill 1879 back to the Order of Second Reading. And what's the status on the Bill?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1879 on the Order of Second Reading. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Colvin, as adopted to the Bill. A Motion to Table House Amendment #1 has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Colvin on the Motion."
- Colvin: "Mr. Speaker, first we'd like to move to table

 Amendment #1. But Amendment #3 has not been adopted yet.

 Amendment #3 needs to be adopted."
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk? Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #3 has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Colvin, your Motion is to table Amendment #1? You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1879 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #1

274th Legislative Day

- is adopted. Mr. Clerk, Amendment #3. Amendment #1 is tabled."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Colvin, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Colvin on the Amendment."
- Colvin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We'd simply like to adopt

 Amendment #3 and debate it on Third Reading."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Motion is to move for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1879. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1879, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Marlow Colvin."
- Colvin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to present for consideration Senate Bill 1879, which has been an exhaustive effort on behalf of the financial institutions, the community in the State of Illinois, the Attorney General's Office, City of Chicago and other parties. Senate Bill 1879 is a combination of a couple of Bills that we've discussed most of the spring here in Springfield. The... Senate Bill 1879 adds, through Amendment #3, the language of House Bill 838, which requires homeowners to... about to be foreclosed to receive a homeowner notice

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

detailing their rights during foreclosure proceedings. It's a Bill that was negotiated with the Attorney General's Office and again, the financial industries here in the State of Illinois to remove all opposition to the Bill. The second major part of... of Senate Bill 1879 is... deals with Amendments to the Human Rights Act to increase the fine of the... the Attorney General can ask a court to impose for a violation of Article 3, which is discrimination dealing with real estate transactions. It would increase those fines from ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) to twentyfive thousand dollars (\$25,000). And subsequent fines, those fines would go from twenty-five thousand (25,000) to fifty thousand (50,000). Senate Bill 1879 also amends the Fairness in Lending Act to prohibit any financial institution from denying or varying the terms of a loan because of a borrower's race, gender, disability or national origin. Those are the major provisions of the Bill. Would be happy to discuss it if there are any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "Representative, I... I understand what you're trying to do here and I think it's a good idea. But I have some questions as I'm reading this... this is the first time I've seen the Bill. And I'm noticing... I want to focus on the homeowner notice provision. May I ask why you're determining to do it during the summons and not during the

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

initial letter? Because I'm wondering if the requirements as they're written would be violative of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act? And that's what concerns me. Because under the Federal Law you have to give debtors certain rights and you have to let them know that they can dispute the debt and they have to write within thirty (30) days. But here, you're requiring a notice at the time of summons. And I think it might make more sense to require the notice during the initial communication from the creditor to the debtor."

Colvin: "Well, it doesn't conflict with the statement that they receive. What we're trying to deal with here is a practical matter. When people get letters from their banks stating... foreclosure notices, the vast majority either out of fear or embarrassment or frustration don't respond in any way. Most people begin to realize the seriousness of the problem when they get served a summons. I think it was a practical approach to a vexing problem to simply put this notice with the summons. When people see that notice of court, to have this information outlining their rights, their options, their choices at a very critical time in the foreclosure process. To give them very straightforward, basic information on how they could perhaps save their home."

Franks: "Okay. That makes sense. And I appreciate... I wasn't sure why you did it, but the way you've explained it that makes good sense. If there was a violation of this and the notice wasn't laid out, what would the penalty provision

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

be? Would that be separate or would it be an additional penalty to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act?"

Colvin: "It is separate."

Franks: "Okay. So, it... and what would that penalty be?"

Colvin: "It's... it would be five hundred dollars (\$500)."

Franks: "Okay. Now, I'm reading on the notice requirement you're requiring that the notice be both in English and in Spanish?"

Colvin: "That's correct."

Franks: "Why would we require that if the loan documents are in English?"

Colvin: "Well, it... it's a fair question. I think that with respect to this notice, what we were trying to do is provide it in a sense that would reach the widest cross section of people. It would be great if we could put all the languages that are spoken in the State of Illinois, but I think it's almost anecdotal to the point that English and Spanish are probably the two (2) most popular languages. I think it's an extra step in a proposal to give as much information as possible in the best... in what we think is the most reasonable way possible."

Franks: "Well, I... I think that's a problem here, because under equal protection clauses could we then get a claim from the Polish community that they weren't included for instance? Or from a different community that has a lot of folks. And I think you're opening a real... a real issue here that you may not wish to go, especially, if the loan documents are in English. Would it be better that whatever language the loan documents are in, then the notice should be in that

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

language? But by requiring the extra step to do different languages, I'm not sure you want to go there in this Bill."

Colvin: "Well, Representative, this did come up in committee in our discussions. I think what's... I think what we are talking about here is a standard of what's reasonable. I think that providing this language in English and in Spanish, which are probably the two (2) most popular languages spoken in... in the State of Illinois, but more than anything, in... and what I don't want to be lost in the minutia of certain details is what our ultimate goal is here."

Franks: "I agree."

Colvin: "And that is to encourage people... and... and I don't know if it... I'm not a lawyer, I don't know if it would be a cause of action."

Franks: "I... I know what you're trying to do. I'd like to help you get there. I think this takes away from it. And I also wanted to ask you about the permission of the recovery of attorney's fees? That's a real difference than we have in most civil actions. And I... can you tell me in how many civil actions where the prevailing party is automatically..."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Franks, your 5 minutes are up.

If you can conclude your last question. Marlow, if you could answer it quickly, we have other speakers. Another minute."

Franks: "Thanks."

Colvin: "It can... what I understand is, it can only be done by statute. But we don't have any idea of exact numbers."

274th Legislative Day

- Franks: "And I guess my question is, the way it's written, you say if they prevail on a motion and I think... I'm going to...

 I'm going to... and I'm wondering what motions you have listed. Because let's assume, for instance, that we file a foreclosure action and the defendant does not appear and at that time a... a... a default judgment is entered. Within thirty (30) days, under the statute the defendant can come forward and file a motion to vacate the default judgment. The... the judge gives that by course. So, the... the defendant would prevail on that motion. Would the plaintiff then have to pay those attorney's fees?"
- Colvin: "It's... my understanding that that would be at the court's discretion."
- Franks: "Okay. I... I'll yield to other people. But I... I think there's some problems with the Bill. I'd like to discuss it with you when we're off the clock."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Bill Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair."
- Speaker Lyons: "State your inquiry, Representative."
- Black: "In going through the analysis on our laptop, it appears to me that the rulemaking Amendment has been removed from this Bill. My inquiry is, that's not what we were told at the beginning of the Session. That all Bills would have a rulemaking Amendment before it could clear the House. So, to the parliamentarian, it would appear that what we're told and what this Bill contains are in conflict. There is

274th Legislative Day

- no prohibition of administrative rulemaking in this Bill. In fact, it was added to the Bill and later taken out."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative, I'm told that not all Bills have to have that Amendment. The reason why this was not included on this Bill, we'll have to find that out for you. If you'd wait a minute, we'll try to get you an answer."
- Black: "I... I would appreciate that. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative, again, this... the... the Amendment was not added to this for purposes that it's not needed on all Bills. And this Bill was determined not needed... to not have that Amendment added to it."
- Black: "All right. But... but the specific question is, the antiadministrative rulemaking Amendment was added to this Bill and was later taken out of the Bill by another Amendment. I've not seen that done in any of the Bills that we've had here all year."
- Speaker Lyons: "As far as the parliamentarians are concerned, Representative, on that thing, it's an issue where you'd probably ask Representative Colvin to give an answer for that. Marlow, on the issue of the Amendment that is usually added to numerous Bills, but not on all Bills, that was on the original Bill but taken out in the Amendment. Did you hear Representative Black's question? Representative, repeat the question."
- Black: "Yes. Representative, if you would, please. A Committee Amendment was added, the standard language on the no administrative rulemaking authority, which I believe has been on 99 and 44, 100 percent of the Bills that have come out of the House. That Amendment was added to your Bill.

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Your Floor Amendment removed the antirulemaking Amendment. And I'm just curious as to... as to why, when so few Bills have left this chamber without the rulemaking Amendment?"

Colvin: "Representative Black, on the advice of our counsel, it was given to me that the rulemaking language was not a requirement of this Bill. It was not necessary... Amendment to this Bill, so we removed it."

Black: "Well, who will... who will make the rules to promulgate the law? I mean, without... without somebody..."

Colvin: "There are..."

Black: "Okay."

Colvin: "...there are no rules necessary to promulgate this law."

Black: "You mean the Attorney General will not have to promulgate any rules to enforce this Act? That's highly unusual, as I'm sure you would agree."

Colvin: "It's clearly my understanding that there's no... there's no need for rules to promulgate this law."

Black: "Okay. I'll... your word's always been good. I just find it somewhat strange. Will you yield for another question? Thank you. It appears to me, if we could focus on specificity, what exactly is this Bill attempting to stop? Is it the practice of mortgage steering? Is that basically what the Bill is aimed at steering a lender towards some other kind of mortgage?"

Colvin: "No. No, it's not."

Black: "What... what specific problem is the Bill trying to address?"

Colvin: "I think it's trying to... well, with the language from the two (2) different Bills it's trying to address two (2)

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

different things. One, the underlying language of House Bill 838 deals with giving homeowners the greatest amount of information to encourage them to work to protect their homes in the event that they're facing foreclosure. The other provision in the Bill simply deals with equal protection under the law. It's clearly drafted in a way that deals with the fines that are levied for those individuals who have been found guilty of violating practices that are already in the law. We're simply trying to make it more punitive by including greater penalties for infractions to laws that already exist."

Black: "But the burden of proof would be then that you will have to be able to prove that an action took place based on either gender, ethnicity, or racial discrimination?

Colvin: "Yeah, but we're not debating that at all. The burden of proof does not change with the language that's being put here."

Black: "Okay."

Colvin: "We're dealing with the fines."

Black: "All right. So, it would simply be a discriminatory practice in the application of a mortgage? That's..."

Colvin: "In the event that a... it was found in a court to be... that would be the fine."

Black: "All right. So..."

Colvin: "Correct."

Black: "...there'll still be due process?"

Colvin: "That's exactly right."

Black: "Okay."

Colvin: "Nothing changes in that law."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Black: "All right. Now... I'm not sure I understand the mortgage steering language in here. If... if you come to a bank..."

Colvin: "I think mortgage steering is the wrong way to characterize that."

Black: "All right. Okay."

Colvin: "That's not part of this Bill at all. What mortgage steering is, and what... at least what I understand it to be. Have a discussion on that with respect to this language, I think is... takes us completely off the path in terms of what is in the language and the intent of this Bill."

Black: "All right. So, a banker or a mortgage broker would still be able to tell somebody without fear of going to court, I don't think you qualify for my mortgage, you may want to ask the mortgage company down the street. I... that wouldn't make you subject to a penalty, if... if that's all you did, correct?"

Colvin: "It has nothing to do with what..."

Black: "Okay."

Colvin: "...we're doing in this Bill."

Black: "So, there is... there is no specific language about whether you steer somebody towards another lending company, right?"

Colvin: "No, it's..."

Black: "Okay."

Colvin: "...not in this Bill."

Black: "It is solely based on a discriminatory practice that would have to be proven in a court of law?"

Colvin: "That's correct."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Black: "All right. You can still deny a mortgage based on factual data, correct?"

Colvin: "Once again..."

Black: "Income level, credit score..."

Colvin: "...once again that is not part of this... I mean, I would hate to sit here and debate those specific points that have nothing to do with what we're tying to do here, with increasing the fines. If that's your interpretation, that's fine. I don't want to challenge or argue on that point."

Black: "No, I... I'm trying to make sure I understand exactly what we're going. And I guess in summary, it would be your... your response is that all you're attempting to do is to give remedy under law to a discriminatory practice, correct?"

Colvin: "Right. We're... it's not..."

Black: "Okay. Thank..."

Colvin: "...we're... it's no way close to it being our intention to bring cause of action. We're just increasing the fines."

Black: "Right. Okay. Now, I..."

Colvin: "It has nothing to do with cause of action."

Black: "All right. So, if you're found guilty of a discriminatory practice then you will pay a higher fine than you do now?"

Colvin: "You'd be subject to these fines. That's correct."

Black: "Okay. Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative George Scully."

Scully: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Scully: "Representative, I'd like to ask a couple of questions for clarific... to clarify your legislative intent. And specifically, I'm referring to the provision regarding attorney's fees. And I draw your reference to line 14 on page 26, which specifically refers to the permissive ability of the court to award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the defendant who prevails in a motion in an affirmative defense or counterclaim. Are you familiar with that paragraph? I'm specifically..."

Colvin: "Yes."

Scully: "...going to ask you about, what was intended by a... a... prevailing on a motion, which would give the court the ability to award attorney's fees. Are you referring to a motion that is dispositive of the case? Such as, a motion for summary judgment or a motion to dismiss, which is dispositive of the case."

Colvin: "Yes, that's my understanding of it."

Scully: "And is it also your intent that you do not intend to give the... that the courts would award attorney's fees for procedural motions, such as a motion to vacate a default or a motion to allow a defendant additional time to respond?"

Colvin: "Again, that's the discretion of the court... of the judge. But no, that's not my intention here, no."

Scully: "Thank you, Representative. I think that will clarify matters and address and specifically state your intent regarding an ambiguity of which types of motions are within the court's jurisdiction to award attorney's fees. Thank you."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Speaker Lyons: "Marlow Colvin to close."

Colvin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would simply just ask for an 'aye' vote on a very important piece of legislation that brings a lot of..."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1879 pass?'"

Colvin: "...necessary protections."

Speaker Lyons: "All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Flowers, Granberg. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 111 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 1 Member voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook for a personal privilege, Representative Cynthia Soto."

Soto: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the House. I'd like to take this opportunity to recognize a seven-member City Colleges of Chicago Academic team made up of freshman and sophomores from Richard J (sic-Daley) College and Harold Washington College, Harry Truman College and Wilbur Wright College. They won their first... they won first place in an elimination round competition against seven (7) other colleges in Know Your Own Heritage, Hispanic Heritage, Hispanic College Quiz Bowl on April 4, 2008. So, I'd like to take this opportunity to congratulate them, them and their faculty and coaches from the colleges. Can we give them a warm round of applause and a loud one."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

- Speaker Lyons: "Congratulations, City Colleges, we're proud of you. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Representative Miller?"
- Miller: "Point of per... a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed."

- Miller: "I would just like to echo what Representative Soto said. You know, we talk about the City Colleges of Chicago and in some regards some of them... some of the students get a bad light. But clearly, we have some of the more outstanding students who have exemplified leadership. And as chairman of Higher Education Appropriation, I want to acknowledge them and to make sure that they continue to do the right thing and keep moving forward. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons: "All right, Ladies and Gentlemen, continuing with Third Readings, on top of page 46, Representative Hernandez, you have Senate Bill 2348. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2348, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Lisa Hernandez."
- Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 2394 is actually the same as House Bill 5259 that passed out unanimously. The Bill provides a rate in..."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative, if I can interrupt, this is Senate Bill 2348. I think you made a reference to a different Bill. Do you have that file or do you want to take this Bill out of the record? Senate Bill 2348."

274th Legislative Day

- Hernandez: "Let me just... can you give me just a second?"
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, we'll take this Bill out of the record. On page 46 of the Calendar, Senate... Representative Mathias, you have Senate Bill 2382. Out of the record. Representative John Bradley, you have Senate Bill 2391. Representative Bradley. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2391, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Williamson, Representative John Bradley."
- Bradley, J.: "All this Bill does is allows IDOT to furnish copies of written motor vehicle accident reports to local agencies. And this was an initiative of the Illinois Association of County Engineers. I know of no opposition."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative John Fritchey."
- Fritchey: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."
- Fritchey: "Representative, I... I'm just curious because... my... my understanding is part of the reason why those reports aren't previously made available is just out of really confidentiality and privacy of the individuals involved. So, why would we want to deviate from that in order to let these things be available to any agency that conducts studies or research?"
- Bradley, J.: "I... I quess..."
- Fritchey: "I mean, you... you get what I'm asking, John."
- Bradley, J.: "I guess, it's loud in here, I couldn't hear your question."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

- Fritchey: "I'm sorry. There... there's privacy and confidentiality issues involved with the release of traffic reports and that's why right now, there are pretty strict limitations on who those reports can be released to. So, right now, it's saying that IDOT can furnish these reports to local agencies that conduct safety research and studies. So, do they have discretion... well, (a) I guess, why do we want to erode those privacy rights, (b) can IDOT pick and choose who to release it to or who not to? Or that they must release it to these individuals? I..."
- Bradley, J.: "Well, it's my understanding that this would be an attempt by local communities to try to improve the safety improvement needs to be used for that purpose."
- Fritchey: "But... and I apologize, John, for not hav... not having read the Bill. So, it says that the department... oh, you know, now that I look at it, it says the department can already release these to federal and state agencies that do this."
- Bradley, J.: "They already have an... they already have an exception that they can release them..."

Fritchey: "For research purposes. So, this is..."

Bradley, J.: "...federal.. for federal. And this would allow locals to do that."

Fritchey: "So, this just takes the research exception and..."

Bradley, J.: "Yeah."

Fritchey: "...extends it to local agencies as well?"

Bradley, J.: "Yeah."

Fritchey: "Never mind. Thank you."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Bill Black."

Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Black: "Representative, just very briefly. I... I had a rather dangerous intersection in my district and those accident reports were in fact made available. It's my understanding that if they choose to do so, they can do this. Is... is something different or did I just misunderstand how those accident reports were in fact made available?"

Bradley, J.: "Well, I guess there's... there's two (2) issues there. And I... I don't know if they have some limited discretion. But I would guess that maybe the intersection you were talking about was a federal or state intersection."

Black: "On a state highway."

Bradley, J.: "So, this would cover intersections that weren't governed by state."

Black: "And so it would cover local roads, such as..."

Bradley, J.: "That's my understanding, yes."

Black: "Okay. And so they need specific statutory authority to do that?"

Bradley, J.: "Apparently, they feel that they do."

Black: "All right. And... I apologize I didn't hear the answer to the previous question. If there is a... a question of right of privacy, what do they do? Do they redact or... or blank out the names of those who might have been involved in the accident?"

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Bradley, J.: "I... I guess that would be an alternative. I don't know how they... I would assume they're treated the same way as federal and state reports are treated now. And I don't know if they redact out names now."

Black: "All right. Did the... did the department at any time sign in in opposition or..."

Bradley, J.: "No, they got no position as far as I know."

Black: "Okay. No position?"

Bradley, J.: "Yeah."

Black: "Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Bradley to close."

Bradley, J.: "Ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "Question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2391 pass?'
All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 112 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mike Smith, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Representative?"

Smith: "A point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Mike."

Smith: "In the gallery here to my left, I would like to introduce members of the Lewistown High School Tube Band who entertained us in the rotunda. Hopefully, many of you got to hear their unique music. They won an innovation award from the AARP and they're here today entertaining us in the rotunda. Please give them a warm welcome."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Thanks for coming down. Enjoy your day. Mr. Clerk, on page 46 of the Calendar, Representative Hernandez has Senate Bill 2394. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2394, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Lisa Hernandez."
- Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. This is the correct Bill. Senate Bill 2394 is an Illinois Association of Rehabilitation Facilities initiative. This Bill is the same Bill as House Bill 5259 that passed out unanimously. The Bill provides a rate increase for the ICFs and DDs and Community-Integrated Living Arrangements in any calendar year in which there is a cost-of-living increase in the federal SSI benefits or the SSA Admin... payments. This increases... these increases shall be awarded as an increase in the Medicaid per diem rate paid to those facilities. I ask, once again, for your favorable vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2394 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? George Scully. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there is 112 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 46 of the Calendar, Representative David Reis has Senate Bill Representative Reis, 2562... Senate Bill 2562? Out of the

274th Legislative Day

- record. Representative Dave Winters, you have Senate Bill 2632. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2632, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Dave Winters."
- Winters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2632 amends the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity Law to require a study to identify current and projected shortages in critical occupations and specific skill sets within Illinois business and industry. They will also use some of the reports that have already been done, but they will report back by February 1 of next year. Be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2632 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Scully, you seem to be having problems with your switch. We'll try to get a technician over there. Representative Franks. Mr. Clerk... Representative Franks. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 112 Members voting 'yes, 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on the bottom of page 46, Representative Al Riley has Senate Bill 2677. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2677, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Al Riley."
- Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 2677 essentially modifies the notice procedures for municipal annexations that don't require voter approval. Specifically, in this case, giving a greater notice to unincorporated areas about the municipality's intention to annex them. I'll answer any questions that you may have."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Tim Schmitz."

Schmitz: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

- Schmitz: "Representative, our analysis shows a couple of Amendments, but it appears that they were not adopted?"
- Riley: "I did see... yeah, there are two (2) House Amendments to this... to this Bill. But I didn't get the impression that they were adopted."
- Schmitz: "Correct. They were not adopted. Was there a reason why they weren't put on... Floor Amendment 1 or Floor Amendment 2?"

Riley: "I... I couldn't tell you that."

Schmitz: "Okay. Thank you, Speaker."

- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Beth Coulson."
- Coulson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I guess I have the similar question. There was a Floor Amendment 2 that would add language for... from House Bill 4417, which passed the House

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

104-0 to this Bill. And as the Sponsor I had thought you were putting that Amendment on. And is there..."

Riley: "Well, I..."

Coulson: "...has there been a change?"

Riley: "...to be honest with you... no. Well, not from my perspective. To be honest with you, I thought they were in fact put on. At the reading of this Bill, there would... it would have been clearly stated that there were Amendments. Actually, there's another Amendment, too, also that has to deal with abandoned property. So..."

Coulson: "So... Okay. But my question then is, would you be willing to take this out of the record right now and have those Amendments, which have already been filed, put on the Bill and then move the Bill?"

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Riley..."

Riley: "Let... let me... let me do this. Let me take it out of the record just to see what's... what's happening with these. I would like to move this Bill. The underlying Bill is extremely important."

Coulson: "And thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Riley, we'll take the Bill out of the record. We'll get it squared away with the Clerk. And if need be we can get back to it or take it back to Second Reading, whatever your preference is. Take that Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, and on top of page 47, Representative Julie Hamos has Senate Bill 2696. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2696, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Julie Hamos."

Hamos: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate Bill 2696 is an initiative of the Illinois Association of Nurse Anesthetists. These are more than thirteen hundred (1,300) nurses who work in operating rooms and other health care facilities where patients are provided anesthesia during surgical procedure. This Bill does really only one thing. And it provides for the licensure grandfathering for nurse... this one nursing specialty to a limited class of nurses who have been successfully practicing, but they do not have a masters degree only because such program was either not previously required or offered at the time they earned their accreditation. There is no opposition to It might have been done last year as part of the renewal of the Nurses... Nurse Practice Act, but it did not get done at that time. And this is basically catching up to that. I'm avail..."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you. An inquiry of the Chair? Has House Amendment #1 be adopted?"

Clerk Bolin: "Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Franks: "Okay. So, this would have the rulemaking prohibition language? I'll... I'm sorry, a question of the Sponsor.

Amendment #1, then, Representative, that has the rulemaking prohibition language?"

Hamos: "Yes, it does."

Franks: "That's... just wanted to make sure we were..."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Hamos: "Are you the rulemaking patrol?"

Franks: "...doing it right. Pardon me?"

Hamos: "Are you the rulemaking patrol?"

Franks: "Well, I'd hate to... I can't answer that at this time.

I'll... thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Hamos to close."

Hamos: "Thank you, again, Ladies and Gentlemen. This... the prior Illinois grandfathering provision expired in 2006 and this Bill merely reinserts it. These are important... this is an important specialty of nurses and I seek your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2696 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 112 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, Representative Mendoza has Senate Bill 2509. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2509, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Susana Mendoza."

Mendoza: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 2509 adds the offenses for which evidence of a defendant's prior conduct may be admitted in a trial. The new offenses include child pornography, aggravated child pornography, first and second degree

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

murder involving sexual penetration or sexual conduct. Just to update everybody, currently we do allow for evidence of prior conduct to be admitted if it deals with predatory criminal sexual assault of a child, aggravated criminal sexual assault, criminal sexual aggravated criminal sexual abuse, criminal sexual abuse and battery or aggravated battery involving sexual penetration or sexual conduct. We're not creating a new category here. We're simply adding a few more very serious, serious offenses to be allow... admissible as evidence of a defendant's prior conduct. Senate Bill 2509 also expands a hearsay exception to include any sex offense which would require the offender to register as a sexual offender or predator upon conviction. I think I've spoken to most of and many of you the Members about this Bill cosponsoring it. I would simply ask you for your support through an 'aye' vote. Thank you. Be happy to answer any question."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2509 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Biggins. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 111 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Sid Mathias, on Third-Reading Senate Bills, you have Senate Bill 2713. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2713, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Sid Mathias."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2713 is an Mathias: initiative of the Illinois State Police. It clarifies the law... if you remember in the past we passed a Bill called Scott's Law, which basically the Bill provides that when there's a violation for failing to yield the right of way of a stationary emergency vehicle the Secretary of State suspend or revoke the driver's license of individual who commits this act only if there's a result of damage or ... to the property of another or the death or injury of another, obviously, to protect the... the emergency vehicle's occupants, the police, fire. What's happened is that the Secretary of State has looked at another provision in a different law which conflicts with that and has been suspending individuals even though... who have just been stopped, but who have not been involved in any accident. So, all that Senate Bill 2713 does is clear up that conflict in the two (2) statutes and make it so that the original intent of Scott's Law is put into effect. And I ask for your 'aye' ... 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Tim Schmitz."

Schmitz: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Schmitz: "Representative, we've probably all received calls on this 'cause people were receiving 90-day automatic

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

suspensions. Is the provision still in the statute that would state that mitigating circumstances such as alcohol or drugs? 'Cause that was one of the conditions where you could get the 90-day suspension. You'd have death or bodily harm, you'd have the alcohol and drug provision and I believe there's one other and I can't remember it at the moment."

Mathias: "I'm sorry. Let me just look at this. Basically... I don't think we... we... I think what we did is we added to the other law that resulted in damage or property of another or death or injury of another. We didn't change Scott's Law, but we added this section to the conflicting statute so that they would be the same. So, Scott's Law would still... the original Bill would still have the same language."

Schmitz: "Okay. So... if an individual is pulled over for not moving over to the center lane to get out of the way, this would remove that 90-day suspension... that automatic 90-day suspension?"

Mathias: "This would remove them if... if they were not involved in a, you know, in the... in an accident. And they just were pulled over for... for a violation, they would not receive the suspension."

Schmitz: "Okay. Thank you, Speaker."

Mathias: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage, Representative Patti Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Just to the Bill.

I appreciate the Representative for bringing this up
because I've had three (3) complaints just in the last

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

month on this particular issue. And I think that it's an important issue to draw attention to, especially because after I got these complaints I interviewed ten (10) people none of which knew what Scott's Law was. So, I think it's something that the Secretary of State needs to do a little bit more information on to drivers so that they know what it is. Two (2) out of the three (3) people had gotten tickets and then had their licenses suspended without knowledge of that. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mathias to close."

Mathias: "This does correct the... the issues. I've had a number of phone calls to my office, I'm sure we... many of us have. This really makes sure that the original intent of this very much needed law is put into effect. And... and those who really have driven and caused an accident will pay the penalty as opposed to someone who has just stopped and may not even have known that this law is put into effect. So, I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2713 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Harris. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 111 Members voting 'yes, 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Sid, don't sit down, you all also have... Mr. Clerk, on page 47 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 2785. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2785, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Sid Mathias."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2785 provides Mathias: that the office of the state's attorney shall upon the entry of a verdict of not guilty be reason of insanity, which is not... there's kind a quirk in the law now, inform the victim of the notification services available from the Department of Human Services. This is supported by the Illinois Department of Human Services. And right now, if someone is convicted of a crime and found quilty there are services available so they know when... you know, when the perpetrator is released. But there... unfortunately, with the quirk in the law this did not apply to people who are found not guilty by reason of insanity and have been placed in an institution. And so, basically, now the state's attorney will have to notify the victims that there is notification services available. And I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Lady from Grundy, Representative Careen Gordon."

Gordon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Gordon: "So, Representative, if someone is found not guilty by reason of insanity and they're committed to an institution, whether it be down south or up at the Elgin Mental Health Center, when that person is then released from Elgin, for

274th Legislative Day

- example, the victim of their crime will be notified? Is that what this is?"
- Mathias: "Well, actually this Bill basically gives notice to the victim at the time of the verdict or shortly thereafter, that they can apply for notification services from the Department of Human Services. And if they so apply then they will be notified."
- Gordon: "Well, if... if the person is receive... at that point they're receiving mental health services. And... and so, is there a problem with... with HIPAA laws and any type of privacy rights of the person who would be receiving the mental health services and whether or not this victim now knows when those services are no longer going to be received by the person upon who the crime was perpetrated?"
- Mathias: "Well, actually the Bill really provides for notification when someone was either temporarily or permanently released from the institution. It really doesn't go into the type of treatment that they're... or even notify the victim of what kind of treatment they're receiving, only that they have been institutionalized and they've been given a furlough or a temporary release or a permanent release."
- Gordon: "Right. But if they're in a... in a mental institution because they were found not guilty by reason on insanity, it's... it's not necessarily the exact type of counseling or services that they were receiving but the simple fact that they were receiving mental health services, which... which does fall under health services and health care. So, is there any... any type of, in your opinion or in any of the

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

discussions that you've had with any of these people, any type of problems with the privacy laws for the person who was receiving those services?"

Mathias: "I don't..."

Gordon: "And I... I'm... I'm asking 'cause I honestly want to know, Representative."

Mathias: "Yeah."

Gordon: "I mean, I'm not here to cause problems."

Mathias: "Right."

Gordon: "I just truly want to know because I... I don't want... I would rather have the person, obviously, the victim, know what's going on, but I also want to be very careful when dealing with someone who's been through a mental health... whose been through an inpatient mental health care service situation."

Mathias: "Well, obviously, at the time of the... of the judicial determination, it's public knowledge that that person is in the custody then of the department. I suppose..."

Gordon: "But there's a big difference between the Department of Human Services and the Department of Corrections..."

Mathias: "Right."

Gordon: "...in this situation versus a situation like a sexually violent person or a sexually dangerous person. And so, those are two (2) different situations that we're... that we're discussing..."

Mathias: "Right."

Gordon: "...when it comes to something like this."

Mathias: "I... I mean... I'm not aware and no one has brought to my attention any issue with that."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Gordon: "Will... will you pull it out of the record for about 5 minutes just so that we can talk about it? Do you mind?"

Mathias: "No. I'd be glad to do it at your request."

Gordon: "Okay. Thank you."

Mathias: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mathias to close."

Mathias: "Was just going to pull it out to discuss this for just a few minutes."

Speaker Lyons: "Want to take it out of the record?"

Mathias: "Yeah."

Speaker Lyons: "At request of the Sponsor, we'll take that Bill out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm going to be moving on: on page 47, on Senate Bills-Second Reading. So if you care to follow, we'll be starting on page 47 and move through the Calendar to see which can be moved to Third Reading and those that have Amendments that need to be adopted. So... Mr. Clerk, what's the status of Senate Bill 1887?"

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1887, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Gordon, what's your pleasure on this Bill? Move that Bill to Third Reading. Representative Bob Rita, on the bottom of page 47, you have Senate Bill 1929. Out of the record. Representative Dan Reitz, you have Senate Bill 1927. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

274th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1927 has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Representative D'Amico has Senate Bill 993. What's the status of the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 993 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on the top of page 48, Representative Elaine Nekritz has Senate Bill 1930. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1930, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Dan Reitz, you have Senate Bill 1945. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1945, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee.

 No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Jack McGuire, you have Senate Bill 1975. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1975, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Representative Pat Verschoore has Senate Bill 1984. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1984, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on the top of page 49, Representative Sid Mathias, you have Senate Bill 2023.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2023, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 49 of the Calendar, Representative David Leitch has Senate Bill 2033.

 What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2033, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee.

 No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration.

 No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "At the request of the Sponsor, we'll leave that Bill on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 49 of the Calendar, Representative Patti Bellock has Senate Bill 2044. What's the status of the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2044, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 49 of the Calendar, Representative Connie Howard has Senate Bill 2053. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2053, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 49 of the Calendar, Representative David Leitch has Senate Bill 2071.

 What's the status of the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2071, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee.

 No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Leitch you also have Senate Bill 2077. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2077, the Bill... the Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Lou Lang, you have Senate Bill 2080. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2080, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee.

 No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Lang, move that Bill to Third Reading? Third Reading. On top of the page 50, on Senate Bills Second Reading, Representative Elaine Nekritz, you have Senate Bill 2128. Out of the record. Representative Dunkin. Representative Dunkin on the floor? No.

274th Legislative Day

- Representative Dan Beiser? Mr. Clerk, on page 50 of the Calendar is Senate Bill 2162. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2162, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Sid Mathias, you have Senate Bill 2182. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2182 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mathias, your pleasure on 2182?

 Third Reading. Representative Danny Reitz, you have Senate
 Bill 2187. What's the... what's the status of the Bill, Mr.

 Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2187 has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, what's the status with Senate Bill 2190?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2190 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Mike Bost, you have Senate Bill 2191. The status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

274th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2191, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Representative Ramey has Senate Bill 2135. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2135, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Bassi, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Out of the record. Clerk, on the top of page 51, Representative Fritchey have Senate Bill 2232. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2232, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "John Fritchey, your pleasure on that Bill?

 Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Representative Bill Mitchell has

 Senate Bill 2252. Status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2252, a Bill for an Act concerning burn injury reporting. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mitchell. Bill Mitchell. Bill Mitchell. Third Reading. Representative John Fritchey, you have Senate Bill 2256. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

274th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2256, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee.

 No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Hold that Bill on Second Reading.

 Representative Elaine Nekritz, you have Senate Bill 2313.

 Out of the record. Representative Mathias, you have Senate

 Bill 2314. The status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2314 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. On page 52 of the Calendar, Mr. Clerk, Representative Chapa LaVia has Senate Bill 2353.

 The status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2353, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Representative Dennis Reboletti has Senate Bill 2355. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2355 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. On page 52 of the Calendar, Representative Mendoza, you have Senate Bill 2401. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, Representative Sacia has Senate Bill 2415. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2415, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee.

 No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Mendoza, you have Senate Bill 2461. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2461, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Representative Roger Eddy has Senate Bill 2487. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2487, a Bill for an Act concerning education. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, going back to page 49 of the Calendar, Representative McCarthy has Senate Bill 2070. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2070, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Back to page 52 of the Calendar, Ladies and Gentlemen, Representative Mike Tryon, you have Senate Bill 2501. Representative Tryon. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2501, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Lou Lang has Senate Bill 2505. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2505, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Lang, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook on the Amendment, Representative Lou Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an agreed Amendment which clarifies the intent of the Bill. I would move adoption."
- Speaker Lyons: "There any discussion on the Amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'aye' (sic-'no'). In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on the top of page 53, on the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading is Senate Bill 2512. Representative Mathias. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2512, a Bill for an Act concerning education. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Elaine Nekritz, you have Senate Bill 2536. The status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2536, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Beth Coulson has Senate Bill 2552. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2552, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Representative Dan Burke has Senate Bill 2546. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2546, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Louis Arroyo, you have Senate Bill 2596. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2596, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. The Bill has been read second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading? Want this... put the Bill to Third Reading... Third Reading, Mr. Clerk. Representative Julie Hamos, you have Senate Bill 2639. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2639, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. The Bill has been read a second time, previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Representative Careen Gordon has Senate Bill 2674. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2674, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Mike Smith, you have Senate Bill 2676. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2676, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. On the top of page 54, Ladies and Gentlemen, are Senate Bills-Second Reading. Representative Chapa LaVia, you have Senate Bill 2678. Read the Bill... what's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2678, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No

274th Legislative Day

- Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Sid Mathias, you have Senate Bill 2682. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2682, a Bill for an Act concerning education. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Careen Gordon, you have Senate Bill 2718. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2718, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. And no Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Debbie Graham, you have Senate Bill 2721. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2721, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. There are no Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. And no Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Graham, you want that at Third Reading? Mr. Clerk, put that Bill on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 2718, on the request of the Sponsor move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 54 of the Calendar, Representative Al Riley has Senate Bill 2734. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

274th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2734, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Riley, do you want to move that Bill to Third Reading? Mr. Clerk, move that Bill to the Order of Third Reading. Representative Don Moffitt, you have Senate Bill 2744. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2744, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Ed Sullivan, you have Senate Bill 2820. The status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2820, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue, which may be cited as the Homestead Assessment Transparency Act. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. And Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Leader Barbara Flynn Currie, you have Senate Bill 2821. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2821, a Bill for an Act concerning juveniles. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Kathy Ryg, you have Senate Bill 2824. Out of the record. Representative Mendoza, on the top of page 55, on Senate Bills-Second Reading, you have Senate Bill 2851. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2851, a Bill for an Act concerning abuse. The Bill has been read a second time, previously.

 Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Sid Mathias, you have Senate Bill 2855. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2855, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "We'll leave that Bill on the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Mike Smith, you have Senate Bill 2864. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2864, a Bill for an Act concerning education. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Barbara Flynn Currie, you have Senate Bill 2873. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2873, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. The Bill has been read a second time, previously.

 And Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Karen Yarbrough, you have Senate Bill 2707. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2707, a Bill for an Act concerning health. The Bill has been read a second time, previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Black, on page 40 of the Calendar on the Order of Second Readings, you have Senate Bill 1982. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1982, a Bill for an Act concerning education. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Soto, on page 48 of the Calendar, you have Senate Bill 2012. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2012, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. And Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Art Turner, on page 48 of the Calendar under Second Readings, you have Senate Bill 2015. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2015, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee.

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Turner, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook on the Amendment, Representative Art Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Amendment #2 is strictly cleanup language for this legislation. We've heard this Bill before, we sent it over to the Senate. There is some... I'll tell you exactly. Basically, it designates the Department of Revenue as the only state agency that shall recapture any tax credits allowed under this Act. It also gives them the terms of rules under this Act, meaning those contained in Section 1 through 70 of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. And I move for the adoption of House Amendment 2."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #2? Seeing none, the question is, 'All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #2 is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Turner on the Order of Third Readings you have Senate Bill 2657. Did you care to call that Bill, Mr. Clerk... Mr. Turner? Art? On Third Readings, you had Senate Bill 2657. You were off the floor when we were going Third Readings. If you choose to read that Bill, we will call it at this time. Ready to go? On the Order of Third Readings, there's Senate Bill 2657 on the bottom of page 46. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Art Turner."

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2657, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Turner."

Turner: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Basically, Senate Bill 2067 (sic-Senate Bill 2657) it amends the reporting date from when the Capital Punishment Reform Study Committee is to submit its report. Currently, the report was due December 2009... no, November 2008. We want to extend that reporting period 'til December 2009. And the reason for doing this is that last year funding for the commission's study was cut and so it has delayed our progress a little. But the committee members in their dedication to this issue have continued to And I do want to thank them publicly for their meet. willingness to do so. But as a result, we're going to ask for an extension as to when the report will become due to the General Assembly. And I move for the adoption of Senate Bill 2657."

Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2657 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Acevedo. Rich Bradley. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 111 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Jack McGuire, on the bottom of page 51, on Senate Bills-Order of Second

274th Legislative Day

- Readings, you have Senate Bill 2340. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2340, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. On page 51 of the Calendar, Representative John Fritchey, did you want to move Senate Bill 2256? Leave that Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Representative Turner, you have a couple of Bills on Second Reading. On page 51 of the Calendar, you have Senate Bill 2322. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2322, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Out of the record. Art on page 52 of the Calendar, Representative Turner, you have Senate Bill 2476. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2476, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Turner, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Turner on the Amendment."
- Turner: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment and it finds a home for this commission. And I move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill... I mean, to Senate Bill 2476."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1... Floor Amendment #1 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?" Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Ken Dunkin, on page 50 of the Calendar, under Senate Bills-Second Reading, you have Senate Bill 2160. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2160, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll now be going to Supplemental Calendar #1. I believe everybody should have had a copy of this on their desk. And so, if you know you have a Bill on this list, please be prepared to move it to Third Reading. We'll start with House Bills-Second Reading. Representative Beaubien on House Bill 4905. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4905, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Bill Black, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair?"

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "State your inquiry, Sir."
- Black: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, I notice that your voice is a little raspy. Are... are you okay? Do you need water or need somebody to spell you in the Chair?"
- Speaker Lyons: "Thank you very much for your concern, Representative Black. I'm getting a little dry in the throat, but we have supplies here."
- Black: "All right."
- Speaker Lyons: "So, I'll keep going."
- Black: "Well, I... I would be more than happy to bring you water.

 And I have experience in the Chair, if you'd like me to take over just let me know. It's been... it's been awhile, but I think I could still do it."
- Speaker Lyons: "Bill, we'll take that under serious consideration and get back with you."
- Black: "Okay. If you'll look to your right, underneath, I put the Adjournment Motion. Right. If you'll just take that out, you might want to use it."
- Speaker Lyons: "I'll keep it right... right close by."
- Black: "All right. Well, I... you're doing yeoman work today and I appreciate it."
- Speaker Lyons: "Thank you, Representative Black. Continuing on the Second Order of House Bills, on the Supplemental Calendar... Mr. Clerk what's the status of House Bill 5916?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5916, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

 What's the status of House Bill 5917, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5917, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

 The status of House Bill 5918, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5918, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

 What's the status of House Bill 5919, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5919, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

 What's the status of House Bill 5920, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5920, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

 What's the status of House Bill 5921, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5921, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

274th Legislative Day

- No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading.
 What's the status of House Bill 5922?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5922, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading.
 House Bill 5923, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5923, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

 Continuing on the second... on the Supplemental Calendar #1,

 Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of Senate Bills-Second

 Reading. Is Representative Frank Mautino on the floor.

 Representative Bob Molaro, you have Senate Bill 878.

 What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 878, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading, Mr... Mr. Molaro? You wish to choose... move that Bill to Third Reading, Bob?"
- Molaro: "What do you suggest, Mr. Speaker?"

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "I don't suggest. It's your Bill, whatever you want to do. We've read it a second time, do you want to move it to Third Reading?"
- Molaro: "No, let's keep it on Second for awhile. Let it percolate a little bit."
- Speaker Lyons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

 Mr. Clerk, Representative Turner has Senate Bill 887.

 What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 887, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. On the recommendation of Representative Bill Black, Representative Art Turner in the Chair."
- Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Bill. On the Order of Second Readings, we have Senate Bill 1248, Representative Flider. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1248, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. We have Senate Bill...

 Representative Gordon on House Bill... on Senate Bill 1865.

 1865. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1865, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Saviano on Senate Bill 1869. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1869, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Can I have the Members' attention? There is some question about a memorial service that we're going to have today; that will be tomorrow at 11:00. At 11:00 tomorrow we will do the memorial service. This... this is for all of the fallen soldiers, tomorrow at 11:00. Representative Saviano, we have Senate Bill 1900. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1900, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Ryg on Senate Bill 1920. Ryg? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1920, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Holbrook, we have Senate Bill 1957. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1957, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. A

274th Legislative Day

- balanced budget note has been requested on the Bill and has not been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "The Bill shall remain on Second.

 Representative Smith on House Bill 1939... Senate Bill 1939.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1939, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Ryg on House...

 Senate Bill 2051. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2051, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Reading... Third Reading. Representative Mendoza on Senate Bill 2198. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2198, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Fortner on House... Senate Bill 2327. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2327, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Brady on Senate Bill 2365. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. 2365, 2365."

274th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2365, a Bill for Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Fortner on House... Senate Bill 2379. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2379, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Ryg on Senate Bill 2399. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2399, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. We have Senate Bill 2400, Representative Ryq. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2400, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, she wants to take... leave that Bill... shall remain on Second. Hold that Bill on Second. Representative Watson, we have Senate Bill 2407. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2407, a Bill for an Act concerning fish. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1

274th Legislative Day

- was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2482, Representative Eddy.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2482, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Joe Lyons on Senate Bill 2513. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2513, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "That Bill shall remain on Second.

 Representative Beiser on Senate Bill 2531. Read the Bill,

 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2531, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Reading... Third Reading. Representative Tracy on Senate Bill 2558. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2558, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Joyce on Senate Bill 2566. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

274th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2566, a Bill for an Act concerning foreclosure. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. We have Senate Bill 1979, Representative Ford. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1979, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. We have Senate Bill 2603,
 Representative Golar. Out of the record. 2685,
 Representative Cross, Senate Bill. Read the Bill, Mr.
 Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2685, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2687, Representative Jerry Mitchell. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2687, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. We have Senate Bill 2702,
 Representative Molaro. That Bill should remain on Second.
 Representative Ford on Senate Bill 2858. Read the Bill,
 Mr. Clerk."

274th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2858, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Howard, we have Senate Bill 2788. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2788, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Bill shall remain on Second. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of 2858... Senate Bill 2858?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2858 is on the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading."
- Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor requests that the Bill be brought back to Second and held. So, the Bill will be brought back to Second. Representative Biggins on Senate Bill 2882. The record... out of the record. Mr. Clerk, 2883. Read the Bill. Senate Bill 2883."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2883, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "That Bill shall remain on Second Reading.

 Representative Mautino, we have Senate Bill 2017. Read the
 Bill, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 2017. 2017."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2017, a Bill for an Act concerning environmental safety. The Bill has been read a second

274th Legislative Day

- time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee.

 No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. We have Senate Bill 1890, Representative Colvin. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1890, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Chapa LaVia, we have Senate Bill 2489 on the Supplemental Calendar. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2489, a Bill for an Act concerning certain individuals killed in the line of duty. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. On page 50 of the Calendar, we have Senate Bill 2199, Representative Feigenholtz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Page50."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2199, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. The Bill has been read a second time, previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. On page 53 of the Calendar, we have Senate Bill 2656, Representative Feigenholtz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2656, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

274th Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, we have... on page 50 of the Calendar, we have Senate Bill 2227. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2227, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. On page 51 of the Calendar, we have Senate Bill 2338. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2338, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. And no Motions have been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Mathias, we have Senate Bill 1881. It's on page 47 on the Calendar, Mr. Clerk. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1881, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. We have Senate Bill 1415.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1415, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read Senate Bill 2603. Right on the second... Supplemental Calendar."

274th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2603, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. And no Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on the Supplemental Calendar, we have Senate Bill 2326. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2326, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. And no Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "That Bill shall remain on Second. Mr. Clerk, on the Supplemental Calendar, we have Senate Bill 2294.

 Read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2294, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "The Bill shall remain on Second. Mr. Clerk, we have Senate Bill 2336, which is also on the Supplemental Calendar. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2336, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "That Bill should be held on Second. Mr. Clerk, we have Senate Bill 2882. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2882, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1

274th Legislative Day

- was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "That Bill should remain on Second Reading.

 Mr. Clerk, on the regular Calendar, page 50, we have Senate
 Bill 2216, 2216. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2216, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "That Bill should remain on Second, Mr. Clerk.

 On the Order of Third Readings, we have House Bill 5668.

 Representative Lang. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5668, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is a Bill that we voted on previously and there was some concern about some of the provisions of the Bill which we changed. And so, this is the Bill that would provide for the Department of Human Rights to charge a fee to prospective folks, businesses that want to do contracting and be vendors of the State of Illinois. The department is obligated to do an investigation, a background investigation, to determine whether they're in compliance with our laws of the State of Illinois relative to discrimination. The two (2) main concerns when we voted on this previously were, (1) that there be a cap on the fee and so we put a cap of seventy-five dollars (\$75) on the fee. The second concern was that the Governor's Office not be able to sweep these funds, so we put that provision in

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

the Bill as well. There's been a good faith effort here to deal with the concerns that Members of the House had. It's an important piece of legislation to enable the department to do their job. I know we all believe in the work of the Department of Human Rights. And I would ask your support for this important legislation."

- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 5668?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Fortner. Black. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 60 voting 'aye', 52 voting 'no', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 49 of the Calendar, we have Senate Bill 2031. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2031, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Lyons, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "That Bill should remain on Second Reading.

 Hold on. Representative Lyons on Amendment #1."
- Lyons: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. I... Amendment #1 is the former House Bill 5961, Ladies and Gentlemen, which exempts the pay telephone industry from the 9-1-1 surcharge. We sent this over to the Senate as House Bill 5961, 109-0. I'd appreciate your continued support to try to get this help for an industry

274th Legislative Day

- that is in dire need of our help. And I ask for the approval of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Lyons moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2031. All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk... Mr. Clerk... Clerk, what's the status of Senate Bill 2031?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2031 is on the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading."
- Speaker Turner: "The Sponsor asks leave to bring the Bill back to Second. The Bill should remain on Second. On page 67, under the Order of Resolutions, Representative Dugan, we have House Resolution 1295. The Lady from Kankakee, Representative Dugan."
- Dugan: "Thank you, Speaker. This Resolution is to address a concern that was brought to me by a constituent and it asks the Auditor General to do an audit of the Medicaid HFS/DHS system. It seems as though when income is taken into play to determine what a spouse may pay for the care of a loved one in the nursing home through the Medicaid system, it seems as though that financial... the look into the financial was done incorrectly. In fact, it was found for the last three (3) years... the financial part of what the spouse was to pay, they were overcharged for three (3) years. And I've tried to work with the department to try to get some answers to make sure as to how many seniors and people are

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

out there that are overcharged by the State of Illinois for their share of the nursing home care and I haven't been able to seem to get an... an answer. So, this Resolution will just direct the Auditor General to do the audit for us so we can get the answers to see how many people may have been negatively impacted by this miscalculation. I'll answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Resolution 1295?' The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Resolution 1295?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, 112 voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Resolution, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Reis, we have SJR 77. The Gentleman from Jasper, Representative Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 77 designates U.S. Route 50 Fox River Bridge, west of Olney, the Robert Ridgway Bridge. This same Bill passed last year nearly unanimously, but was never called in the Senate. Be happy to answers any questions and I ask for your support."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass SJR 77?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, 111

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

voting 'aye', 1 'no', 0 'presents'. And this Bill (sic-Resolution), having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. This Resolution is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, we have HJR 88, Representative Tracy. Representative Tracy, I understand there's a Floor Amendment to this Resolution? And we will recognize you for Amendment #1."

- Tracy: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. I would like to ask that

 Amendment 1 be adopted. It actually presents a new
 location for the highway designation."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady asks for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 88. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. And on the Resolution, Representative Tracy."
- Tracy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This House... it's a Joint House Resolution that Senator Sullivan and I have put together that would recognize a portion of Highway 24 in the Quincy area to be known as the Medal of Honor Highway. The Quincy veterans' home and Sunset Cemetery are located in Quincy. And there are a good many members of the house... or... excuse me, members of... of... that have been designated Medal of Honor designees, which is a very high veterans' tribute, buried at Sunset Cemetery. And the veterans' groups there have asked that we name a portion of this highway in honor of them."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Joint Resolution 88?' All those in

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. And on this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Resolution, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

- Clerk Bolin: "Agreed Resolution. House Resolution 1345, offered by Representative Wait."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Lang moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolutions are adopted. Committee announcements, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "The following committees will meet immediately upon adjournment: Consumer Protection in Room C-1, Executive Committee in Room 118, the Human Services Committee in Room 122B, the International Trade & Commerce Committee in Room 115, the Judiciary II-Criminal Law Committee in Room D-1 and the Registration & Regulation Committee in Room 114. The following committees will meet one half hour following adjournment: Elementary & Secondary Education in Room 114, Environmental Health in Room 122B, Higher Education Committee in Room D-1, Labor Committee in Room 118, Public Utilities in Room C-1 and State Government Committee in Room 115. The following committees will meet one hour following adjournment: Local Government in Room C-1, Judiciary I-Civil Law Committee in Room 114 and Elections & Campaign Reform in Room... Elections & Campaign

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Reform Committee in Room 122B. One committee has been canceled. The Revenue Committee scheduled for one hour following adjournment has been canceled. Again, the Revenue Committee has been canceled."

"Before we adjourn for the day, I want to Speaker Turner: remind the Members that at 10:50... 10:50 tomorrow morning we'd like all of those Members are participating in the memorial service for the fallen soldiers, they should be in their seats by ten to eleven. In the meantime, memorial service will start at 11:00, as well as the House going into a Session. So, those Members who are participating in the memorial service reading the name of a soldier should be here before 11:00. The rest of the Members should be here at 11 and with that in mind, Representative Currie says allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, that the House stands adjourned 'til May 29 at the hour of 11 a.m., May 29, at the hour of 11 a.m. We will start the memorial service promptly at 11. So, those Members who are reading a name should be here before 11. And the House now stands adjourned until tomorrow, May 29, at the hour of 11 a.m. Representative Colvin?"

Colvin: "Thank... thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just rise for a personal note. I did want to take a moment to remind everyone here in the Capitol that the Black and Latino Caucuses are once again hosting our end of session party, or should I call it the alleged end of session party, over at 614 South College, which is right across the street from the Automobile Dealers Association, which is cattycorner to Boones Salon. I think the festivities get started sometime

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

after 6 p.m. There's going to be plenty of food: barbeque, Spanish food, Soul food. We're providing everything except the Pepto Bismol. So, please, make your way over and enjoy an evening at the end of session party with the Black and Latino Caucus. Thank you."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Committee Reports. Representative Smith, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' Senate Bill 326; and 'do pass Short Debate' is Senate Bill Representative Nekritz, Chairperson from Committee on Elections & Campaign Reform, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass Short Debate' is Senate Bill 1872. Representative May, Chairperson from the Committee on Environmental Health, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' is Senate Bill 2860; 'recommends be adopted' is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2167. Representative Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on Executive, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass amended Short Debate' is Senate Bill 1102, Senate Bill 1103, Senate Bill 1116, Senate Bill 1130; 'do pass Short

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Debate' is Senate Bill 1115, Senate Bill 1129, Senate Bill 2231; 'recommends be adopted' is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2760. Representative McCarthy, Chairperson from the Committee on Higher Education, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' is Senate Bill 2413, Senate Bill 2690; 'do pass Short Debate' is Senate Bill Senate Bill 2293, and Senate Bill Representative Jakobsson, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass Short Debate' is Senate Bill 773; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' is Senate Bill 2285. Representative Mendoza, Chairperson from the Committee on International Trade & the following measure/s was/were Commerce, to which referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' is House Joint Resolution 131. Representative Fritchey, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary I-Civil Law, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass amended Short Debate' is Senate Bill 2636. Representative Molaro, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary II-Criminal Law, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass Short

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Debate' is Senate Bill 2142, Senate Bill 2159, Senate Bill Senate Bill 2719. Representative Soto, 2452, and Chairperson from the Committee on Labor, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass Short Debate' is Senate Bill 1878; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' is House Bill 4525. Representative Chapa LaVia, Chairperson from the Committee on Local Government, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass Short Debate' is Senate Bill 2733. Representative Collins, Chairperson from the Committee on Public Utilities, which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass as amended Short 2163. Representative Franks, Debate' Senate Bill Chairperson from the Committee on State Government Administration, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on May 28, 2008, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' is House Resolution 1333; 'do pass Short Debate' 2098. Introduction and Senate Bill 970, and Senate Bill reading of House Bills-First Reading. House Bill 6653, offered by Representative Hamos, a Bill for concerning regulation. House Bill... Senate Bills-Second Reading. House Bills-Second Reading. House Bill 4525, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Senate Bills-Second Reading. Senate Bill

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

326, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Senate Bill 773, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading. Senate Bill 801, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading. Senate Bill 886, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading. Senate Bill 970, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1102, Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. Reading. Senate Bill 1103, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1115, a Bill an Act concerning appropriations. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1116, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1129, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1130, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1850, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1864, a Bill for an Act concerning fatherhood. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1872, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1878, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1908, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2034, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2098, a for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2142, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2159, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2163, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second

274th Legislative Day

5/28/2008

Reading. Senate Bill 2231, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2285, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2293, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2413, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2452, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2636, a Bill for an Act concerning property. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2688, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2690, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading. And Senate Bill 2691, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2702, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Second Reading. Senate Bill 2719, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading. And Senate Bill 2733, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading. And Senate Bill 2860, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Second Reading. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."