73rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "The hour of 2:00 having come and gone, the House shall be in order. We shall be led in prayer today by Lee Crawford, the pastor of the Cathedral of Praise Christian Church... Christian Center in Springfield. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones and pagers and rise for the invocation and for the Pledge of Allegiance. Pastor Crawford."
- Pastor Crawford: "May we pray. Most gracious and most kind God Who art the author and the finish of our faith, we pray that You will bestow Your most precious blessings upon this august Body. We pray Your blessings upon its Leader. We pray Your blessings upon all of its Members, Members that You've chosen to serve here. May they serve this day even as You have served. I pray this day that You will grant them wisdom, that they may serve in grace, that they may serve in compassion, that they may serve with integrity. This we ask in Your precious Son's name. Amen."
- Speaker Turner: "We shall be led in the Pledge today by the birthday boy, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Arroyo."
- Arroyo et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Turner: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Flowers. Take the roll. There are 101 Members present. The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie, for what reason do you rise?"

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Currie: "Do you want to know the list of excused absences?"

Speaker Turner: "Good time."

Currie: "Well then I shall give them to you. Excused today are Representatives Collins, Colvin, Dunkin, Fritchey, Graham, McGuire, Patterson, and Younge."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Lindner, Tryon, Dunn, Sullivan, Reis, Beaubien, Hassert, and Jerry Mitchell are all excused today."

Speaker Turner: "The record will so reflect. There are 101 Members present. A quorum is present and we shall proceed with business. The Lady from DuPage, Representative Bellock, for what reason do you rise?"

Bellock: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "State your point."

Bellock: "I'd just like everyone to say hello to another birthday girl today from DuPage County, Sandy Pihos."

Speaker Turner: "Sandy, happy birthday. Mr. Clerk, Committee Reports."

Clerk Mahoney: "Committee Reports. Rules Report.

Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules to which the following legislative measures and/or Joint Action Motions were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendations: Approved for floor considerations, recommends 'be adopted' is Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 17; Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1446; and

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Amendments 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1523. On the Order of Concurrence a Motion to concur is recommend 'be adopted' on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3586. Referred to the House Committee on Rules is House Resolution 523, offered by Representative Yarbrough; and House Joint Resolution 73, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia."

- Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, we have... the Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Rose, for what reason do you rise?"
- Rose: "Ladies and Gentlemen, it is birthday day here at the Capitol. This is also Representative Franco Coladipietro's birthday and it's taken him all this long to figure out how to pronounce his last name, so happy birthday, Franco."
- Speaker Turner: "Franco, happy birthday to you. The Gentleman from Menard, Representative Brauer, for what reason do you rise?"
- Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to encourage you all to take an opportunity in this extended Session to visit the newly remodeled Illinois State Museum. There's a quilt exhibit on and it is a wonderful exhibit. And as you're down here with your family please take that opportunity."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Berrios, for what reason do you rise?"
- Berrios: "Point of... an announcement."
- Speaker Turner: "Try another microphone, Representative."
- Berrios: "Hello. Yeah, that one works. Now that I'm using his desk it is my colleague, my seatmate, Representative Luis Arroyo's birthday today. And I'd like to invite everyone

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

over to the mansion tonight for a birthday party, not only for him, now that I know there are so many birthdays, come over and we'll celebrate all of them together."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from McHenry..."

Berrios: "And... sorry, I forgot, from 5 to 7 tonight. I also want to mention Representative D'Amico's birthday was yesterday. Happy birthday."

Speaker Turner: "Happy birthday, John. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Franks, for what reason do you rise?"

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Since we're all here today I wanted to talk about something that happened to me today, if I may for a few minutes. Today, I had my office call each state agency, well randomly, in an attempt to schedule meetings between myself and each director to discuss their budget priorities. I wanted to ask some of them why they allowed the Governor to take one million dollars their (\$1,000,000) from line items to for pay administration's legal fees defending... which defended his violent game video legislation when their agencies had nothing to do with the litigation. Surely, they could provide some suggestions on where we could cut from their budgets since based on their generosity to the Governor's legal defense, it seemed that they had more than enough money to give. However, when I made those calls today, my office did, we found that only eight (8) out of twenty-nine (29) randomly selected agency directors contacted are actually in Springfield today. With no immediate end in sight to the budget impasse, each agency head ought to be in

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Springfield to be available to answer questions from Members of the General Assembly concerning their budgets. They are a necessary party to the dialogue and they're attendance mandatory. The Governor's Office should be approximately twenty-six million dollars (\$26,000,000) on transportation for its staff last fiscal year. At the very least, they should be here while we are in Session. budget negotiations continue, I am pleased to see the Governor has finally managed to visit Springfield more than once a week. However, he should have been here for the last five(5) months as the General Assembly has been. He should have... he should have shown the leadership on the electric rate debacle that he helped create by stacking the Illinois Commerce Commission with his cronies. His absence during the Legislative Session and his disdain for the legislative process has put many Illinois families in crisis. To now berate the House for not working five days a week, while the Senate will meet for only one(1) day; and while the Governor spent more time jogging than in Springfield all Session, is tantamount to lunacy. The Governor spent more time on jogging and his jogging daily diary, listing his running time, temperature and wind speed than he has legislative item. The House, for better or worse, passed a budget and has done its job. Once the electric rate issue is settled, the Senate can pass the House budget if it desires. The onus is squarely on the Governor to electric rate issue forcefully and with address the finality. The Governor has shown that he can campaign, but he has yet to show that he can govern. His hypocri... his

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

hypocritical stance on the House's work ethic is insulting. He has failed to spend the necessary time and energy in Springfield and has created a mess of a budget when he had a real opportunity for reform. Instead, we get the same old tired complaints, business as usual and a budget crafted on dead-end tax schemes. Since we will be here for so long, the Governor ought to expend some energy and help pass Representative Fritchey's Bill to ban large contributors from getting state contracts. The Governor ought to resurrect his long dormant ethics plan that was supposed to rock the system. The Governor ought to work on the school funding formula instead of just using gimmicks. And the reason the Governor is not pounding his bully pulpit is because he can't. Like the emperor of our children's tales, he can't afford to be exposed. He can't and won't answer questions about whether his campaign fund has been subpoenaed. He can't and won't answer why he appointed Mr. Ata to a directorship of a state financial agency after Mr. Ata gave over fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) to Governor's campaign. He can't and he won't answer how the indicted Tony Rezko introduced Mr. Ata to the Governor. can't and he won't answer how Beverly Ascaridis got a job after her husband gave the Governor fifteen hundred dollars (\$1,500). He can't and he won't answer a simple question about the number of subpoenas his administration has received from the Federal Government even though directed to do so by the Attorney General. The Governor needs to come clean and answer all of our questions and should sit here with each of his directors and make them defend their

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

budgets line by line. The Governor should submit himself to a continuous Committee of the Whole and must agree to answer every question. With this process we would be able to help craft a better budget by eliminating waste and duplication. The Governor says that there isn't enough money to accomplish his agenda. Perhaps, with an honest and open examination of the proposed budget we can get there. The Governor needs to stop playing games and posturing and actually do some heavy lifting. The Governor needs to speak for himself and not hide behind his aides. He needs to be honest with the citizens of Illinois and get to work. That's the least he can do."

- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Bost, for what reason do you rise?"
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Originally, I was going to rise and ask about the party tonight, if then the Governor was actually going to be in attendance, but I have nothing else to say after that except, ditto."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Mulligan, for what reason do you rise?"
- Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to thank Mr. Franks since I'm known as one of the 'budget bashers' with the Governor's people. And if possible, I'd like a copy of his remarks so that I can save them as we move into this Session. But I'm so thankful that he is taking my title. If I had a trophy, I would give it to him."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Crawford, Representative Eddy, for what reason do you rise?"

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Speaker, Representative Franks brought up some Eddy: "Mr. important points regarding next year's budget. But I think need to understand there's some serious issues related to this year's budget that are still on the table and are still going to affect school children across the State of Illinois. As of today, there has been no action by the Governor that would provide school districts across the state with their 23rd and 24th state aid payment for this fiscal year. That means that until the Governor acts, school districts across Illinois are in danger of losing one-twelfth of the state aid that they were promised last year when budgets were done. No one can be expected to run an organization and educate our children in the appropriate manner when one-twelfth of the budgeted amount of state aid is not forwarded to those school districts. And as of today, the Governor has not forwarded those payments. other thing we need to be aware of is the fourth quarter mandated categorical payments that are due to school districts have not yet been vouchered. That means 25 percent of the transportation, the special ed personnel, the special ed extraordinary personnel, the special transportation money, and all other mandated categorical money due to the schools who serve our children have not been made, have not been vouchered. Last year those payments weren't vouchered in the fiscal year they were promised to the school districts. They arrived sometime in July when the fiscal year ends June 30th. We have problems with next year's budget, there's absolutely no doubt about that. We have problems with this year's budget.

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

children across the state are still waiting to see if the promises that were made by this Body and this Governor last year are going to be kept. Did we, last year when we promised the children of this State of Illinois, over two million children (2,000,000), did we intend for this state to bounce that check and not make those promises real? We all have to come together. We have to urge the Governor's Office, we have to let them know that without those payments in the next two (2) weeks, the school children and the schools of Illinois will not be served in the manner that this General Assembly intended them to be served."

Speaker Turner: "The Lady from Will, Representative Kosel, for what reason do you rise?"

Kosel: "A point of personal privilege to add to what Representative Eddy just said. I want each and every one of you to know when you get the calls from your school district because they are placed on the aca... on the financial watch list because these payments are not made, that you will be the ones that need to answer to the editorial boards. It is important that because of state- mandated accounting that these payments be received at the school districts in this fiscal year. We mandate how schools report. We mandate when those funds come in. It is essential that they come to the school districts before July 1, or many of your school districts will be placed on financial watch or warning lists. Please be advised."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, for what reason do you rise?"

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would... would it be possible for Representative Eddy to yield for a question?"

 Speaker Turner: "We'll let it go."
- Black: "Thank you. You're very kind. We're talking about the final school aid payments and the mandated categoricals, which as he pointed out, were not vouchered in time last year. I don't want people to think we're talking about two dollars and ninety-five cents (\$2.95). The mandated final payment, Mr. Eddy, is how much money?"
- Eddy: "Let me take it in two parts. First of all, the 23rd and 24th general state aid payments to school districts equals about three hundred and fifty million dollars (\$350,000,000) of the money that was promised to those school districts. The mandated categorical payments for special education, transportation and other mandated categoricals, is several hundred million additional dollars that schools would be shorted."
- Black: "Thank you. I would think if the Governor's Office would work five(5) days a week, 40 hours, they could get those vouchers done by Friday and order the money paid and get the money to education as he so often promises he will do. It doesn't seem like it'd be too hard to make sure they get more than five hundred million dollars (\$500,000,000) that they are owed in this fiscal year. And I'm sure he'll do so now that it's been called to his attention."

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 1826."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1826 is on the Order of House Bills-Third Reading."

73rd Legislative Day

- Speaker Turner: "Want to move it back to Second and hold the Bill there. It shall remain on Second. On page 6 of the Calendar we have Senate Bill 62, Representative Howard. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, Committee Reports first."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules, to which the following legislative measures and/or Joint Action Motion were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'approved for floor consideration', is Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 62."
- Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, read Senate Bill 62."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 62 is on the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading."
- Speaker Turner: "Could you bring that Bill back to Second."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 62 has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 was adopted to the Bill. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Howard, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Flower...

 Howard on Amendment #3."
- Howard: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Amendment #3 removes the original language of the Bill and reinserts the provisions of House Amendment #1 that makes a technical change in order to ban the carrying or possession of a billy club and inserts a provision to ban any person under the age of eighteen (18) from carrying an air rifle in any building

73rd Legislative Day

- used as a school unless they have received the prior approval of the principal."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the... shall the House adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 62?'
 All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. On page 8 of the Calendar we have Senate Bill 1523, Representative Mautino. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, move Senate Bill 1523 back to the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1523, Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendments 3 and 4, offered by Representative Mautino, have both been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino, on Amendment #3. Take this Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk. On page 11 of the Calendar we have Senate Bill 1446, Representative Hernandez. Representative Hernandez on Senate Bill 1446. There's an Amendment. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1446 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hernandez, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Hernandez on Amendment #2."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker. House Amendment 2 addresses the Illinois Community College Board's concerns by lowering the amount it can request in its budget proposal from twentyfive million (25,000,000) to fifty million (50,000,000) or It also makes the mandatory language permissive so the ICCB can disburse the funds appropriated for the initiative to community-based not-for-profit organizations, social service immigrant organizations, faith-based organizations, and on-site job training programs if it chooses. However, it does decide to disburse the fund; the ICCB must disburse at least half of the money. In addition, the Amendment permits the funds to only be used for programs that teach English to U.S. citizens, lawful permanent residents, and other individuals who are in Illinois with lawful immigration status. The immediate effective date is also removed, and I ask you for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Bost, for what reason do you rise?"

Bost: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Indicates she will."

Bost: "Representative, originally I was opposed to this in committee and the reason I was opposed to it in committee is, is because the language was... it would have included undocumented and/or illegal aliens, depending on which ever way they want to be referred to, and this... this Amendment actually takes that out so that only those that are documented and/or U.S. citizens would be available to receive this. This correct?"

Hernandez: "That's correct."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Bost: "Okay, thank you. I do rise in support of the legislation."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, for what reason do you rise?"

"Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor Black: Representative, to the Amendment and I'm going to ask you a very broad question. You don't have to answer it because it really has nothing to do with the Amendment. But I'm concerned that in the budget that the House has passed under the Democrat Majority, I don't believe the community colleges are funded on their credit hour grant or their equalization grant. Do you know whether I... am I wrong in that statement? I can't find it in the budget that the That represents a tremendous loss to Democrats passed. Illinois community colleges. You don't know? Okay. I'll save my comments to Third Reading, but I just want you to know in advance I'm not voting for anything subject to appropriation or any other condition until somebody can tell us what the level of funding is for the Illinois community college system under the budget as passed by the Democrat Majority. I spent most of Monday trying to find that money and I can't find it. And if it isn't in there, you are putting all community colleges in the State of Illinois at tremendous financial risk."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1446?' All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

73rd Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 1523.

 1523. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1523 is on the Order of Second Reading. Floor Amendment... Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Mautino, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino on Amendment #3."
- "Thank you. Amendment #3 is a gut and replace Mautino: Amendment. It leaves the original language of the Bill intact, which passed overwhelmingly and also it adds in the Senate Bill 1518 that has an impact of about forty-five million dollars (\$45,000,000). If we don't pass that, HFS will not be receiving about forty-five million dollars (\$45,000,000) through that. House Amendment 3 takes out the judge. There was a language in here, we're trying to get a new circuit judge for the Grundy County and it may be in violation of the single subject, so we went ahead and took that out. And we're working with the Republican Party who has some concerns about judges in fast-growth areas. House Amendment 4 provides an immediate effective date to House Bill 938, which passed unanimously earlier on this year. I'd ask for the Amendments to be adopted."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1523?' All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'.

73rd Legislative Day

- The opinion of the Chair, is the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Mautino, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "And I just explained that actually in Amendment #3.

 This is a trailer Bill that adds the immediate effective date to House Bill 938 that passed unanimously. Ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Amendment #4 to House Bill 1523?' All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #4 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Representative Harris. On page 17 of the Calendar we have House Bill 3490 under the Order of Concurrences. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. No. Mr. Harris, on your Motion."
- Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2, which clarify that this... the original Bill passed by the House, which allowed public building commissions to use design bid systems to reduce cost and expedite delivery time. It makes it clear that they are subject to MBE/WBE requirements existing for other projects in the Public Building Commission statute. It sets a five year sunset and limits, I believe, to 25 percent the number of projects that can be bid by this process."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with... does the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3490?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We're going to start on page 14 of the Calendar and proceed starting with House Bill 1611. Representative Ryg. Under the Order of Concurrences, House Bill 1611, Representative Ryg."

Ryg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move for concurrence on House Bill 1611. This permits the
Department of Public Health to issue a two-year license
renewal for assisted living facilities if they are qualified
by not having any violations of designated types. This
Amendment was an initiative of AARP, restating residency
guidelines in the Assisted Facilities and Shared Housing
Act."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 1611... should the House concur with House Bill 1611?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

passed. Representative Mautino on House Bill 1628. Concurrence."

Mautino: "Thank you. Thank you. I move to concur in House Amendment #2 to... or Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1628. And basically this... this is the language that we had talked about which the department had had some questions about referrals, so the doctors and the department sat down and they worked out that language. This is the agreement and it makes all parties agreed. What the Bill in its final form will allow for is for a report to be issued on All Kids. That way we would know how many doctors are participating; what special... specialists, where they are located at. And I think this will be an excellent tool so we can gauge the effectiveness of the All Kids program. With that, I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Mitchell, for what reason do you rise?"

Mitchell, B.: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Indicates he will."

Mitchell, B.: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Yeah."

Mitchell, B.: "Was part of this to get some of the answers about the All Kids, 'cause I get a lot of calls into my office in terms of there are people who are enrolled in this program, are they American citizens? Would that be part of the..."

Mautino: "As far as... Well, what we're looking for here is to find out who those doctors are that are serving. If you want to find out that information, what you really need to do is just ask for who are under... they'd be Chapter 19 and

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Chapter 21 enrollees who are non-Medicaid eligible. That'll give you the forty-six thousand (46,000) total enrollees and then the number under Chapter 21, are those who would be noncitizens."

Mitchell, B.: "Okay."

Mautino: "So you can get those numbers right now. You just know... you have to know what to ask the department."

Mitchell, B.: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Turner: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Hamos, for what reason do you rise?"

Hamos: "May I ask a question? Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Mautino: "Absolutely."

Hamos: "Representative Mautino, in this Bill are you prohibiting co-payments in the All Kids program?"

Mautino: "In the original Bill it did that. We sat down with the department and the Medical Society and decided that the copayments on there are a necessary part of the program itself so that everyone has a responsibility within the program. So although the doctors originally didn't want to go after and collect the copayments, it was costing them more to do that, the department felt it was important to leave that provision in, so we did."

Hamos: "Okay, so that is not part of your Bill anymore."

Mautino: "No, that's not part."

Hamos: "And is, just out of curiosity, is HFS opposed to this Bill?"

Mautino: "No."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Hamos: "Okay. Well, our analysis then is just not updated.

Thank you."

Mautino: "Thanks."

Speaker Turner: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Mulligan, for what reason do you rise?"

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Indicates he will."

Mulligan: "Representative, did you say the department is not opposed to this Bill?"

Mautino: "We've worked with the… with the departments and the language has been negotiated with them. In the Senate I understand that they came to agreement with the docs and were not opposed. I haven't heard from them here in the House but my understanding is, is at this point everything's been worked out and they'll issue the report."

Mulligan: "All right. So what type of additional oversight for the primary care case management is there?"

Mautino: "They'll have to report back to us and... Okay. The ..."

Mulligan: "You're talking about the primary case management for Medicaid..."

Mautino: "Correct. I'm just getting to the right..."

Mulligan: "...that is suppose to pay for this program, are you not?"

Mautino: "Yes. The... any disease management program and performance measures, which would be used, such as primary care provider, monitoring implemented by HFS, must be or must have been developed in consultation with physician organizations who wanted to make sure that the docs were going to be involved to make sure that the disease

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

management portion is going to be... is going to be done with the front-end providers. And they would have to use state, national, and specialty mental... medical societies and any available standards or guidelines for these organizations. And they have to be on evidence-based scientifically sound principles within the disease management program."

"All right. And they have not given us, I don't Mulligan: think, an estimate of what they think All Kids will cost. I think part of the problem with All Kids is that the people that are not covered, immigrants who are not covered under the Kid Care match of sixty-five cents (\$.65) on the dollar, because immigrants don't qualify for that particular part of it, are not... if they're not in the income category above where they would make payments, we're picking up the whole amount of money for those particular children. So, I think it's really hard to tell and since they didn't start the primary care case management into... well into like over half a year of the program, which is or was very disingenuous, since the Governor on his campaign platform stated that he was not going to alter Medicaid and criticized his opponent for doing that and then never provided the rules where he is actually alter... alternating or changing how Medicaid would be spent by doing a primary care case management, which does put certain limitations on it. I'm certainly happy to be a cosponsor of your Bill. I think it's a really good idea to make them fess up to what they're actually saving in order to pay for this program. I've noticed in a printout I got from the Comptroller's Office last Thursday afternoon that they are moving bills and line items on Medicaid items from

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

nursing homes and other things into the line item that would pay physicians in order to fulfill their obligation so that they said they would pay physicians in a certain length of time. So, a lot of other providers are paying for the All Kids program by having to go out and find a way to finance how to cover the short pay... shortfall of payments that they are owed from the state. And in many instances the state's just paying them interest on bills that have not been paid. So, I think it's a really good idea to take a look at the Primary Care Case Management program and what they're actually paying because this is not being paid for except on backs of other human service providers and shortfall. So I think that's a very interesting... you have an interesting Bill. I'm glad the department is neutral because some of the oversight Bills I put out, they killed on the other side. So I think this is a really good Bill and I would recommend that everybody vote for it."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt... should the House concur with Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 1628?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Miller, for what reason do you rise?"

Miller: "A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "State your point."

73rd Legislative Day

- Miller: "On the floor today we have the Treasurer of the State of Illinois, Alexi Giannoulias. Just wanted to announce and welcome him here as he works."
- Speaker Turner: "On the Order of Concurrences, Representative Hernandez. We have House Bill 1641."
- Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker. I wish to concur Senate Amendment 2 and 3 to House Bill 1641. Senate Amendment 2 just basically is a technical change. It changes some language to another part of the Bill. Senate Amendment #3 amends the Criminal Code and provides that a person that commits reckless homicide, when that person is operating a vehicle while failing or refusing to comply with any lawful order or direction or any authorized police officer or traffic control aid engaged in traffic control. This was added to the Bill. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 1641?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Pihos on House Bill 1647."
- Pihos: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur on Amendments 1 and 3 of Senate Amendments."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the Lady moves for the adoption of... the concurrence of Senate Amendments 1 and 3

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

to House Bill 1647. All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Don't panic. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 15 of the Calendar, Representative Pritchard, we have House Bill 1670."

Pritchard: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1 on this Bill. What we're doing is adding a provision that passed out of this House but is not moving in the Senate, which allows more than one person on a city council to meet and not violate the Open Meetings Act. So it would allow two people on a five-member board to meet. I would ask the Body to support this concurrence."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1670?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, 102 voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ford. Out of the record. Representative Ryg on House Bill 1717."

Ryg: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 1717 addresses penalties for aggravated assault

and battery when the victim is severely or profoundly

mentally retarded. The Senate added the Amendment to

73rd Legislative Day

- include identical knowledge components in both the aggravated assault and aggravated battery offenses to create consistency among the statutes."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is 'Shall the House adopt... concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1717?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'... I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Mr. Speaker, I know you're anxious to get your forty

 (40) hours in but I'll sign your time card, don't worry.

 Will the Sponsor yield for a quick question?"
- Speaker Turner: "She indicates she will."
- Black: "Representative, on Senate Amendment #1, for those of us who are not attorneys, what does the language 'must be committed without legal justification' mean?"
- Ryg: "I'm sorry, Representative, I'm not an attorney either.

 My... my understanding is that this language was to be consistent with how similar offenses are addressed in other areas of the statute."
- Black: "Well, it seems to me that this gives an out to someone who commits an assault on a severely or profoundly mentally retarded person who, under the original Bill, would be... could be charged with aggravated assault. And then the Senate Amendment comes along and says, well, if you have legal justification for beating the holy heck out of him that may be a whole different case. But what's the legal justification? He called you a name or he looked at you funny, or... ya know, I mean, the underlying Bill to me is very clear. And if you have a severely or profoundly disabled person who might say something that he or she does

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

not find offensive or doesn't even know the meaning of a phrase or a slur and then somebody beats them to a half an inch of their life, it seems like you're giving the defendant the right to go to trial and say, well, he called me a racial epithet. Oh, well, in that case I guess, I guess it is an aggravated assault. It just, from a non-attorney standpoint it looks to me like Senate Amendment #1 slants the Bill and gives the defendant a rather broad excuse for his or her action and I don't think that is your intent."

Ryg: "That is certainly not the intent and I'm sure that the Senate Sponsor was also interested in maintaining the intent of this Bill, was to protect victims who suffer from retardation, severe and profound retardation, that they would... any battery and assault against them would qualify as aggravated."

Black: "Well, in talking with staff, who is an attorney, then it seems to me that what this Amendment does is to say that this profoundly disabled person maybe runs into you repeatedly with a wheelchair or kicks you or strikes at you. Now, and in the language of our staff attorney, then you have the right to defend yourself. Well, how far does that right of defense go? I mean, if you attack someone in a wheelchair and do grievous bodily harm, where does... where does the defense... I'm really having a hard time. This just looks to me like a legal technicality to let somebody overreact. Sure, I don't want to get hit by somebody's wheelchair repeatedly. I don't want to be kicked. I don't want to be sworn at. But I don't think I have the right to

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

use excessive force and assault, commit assault and battery on this person and then have an affirmative defense. Well, I mean, gee whiz, I'm legally justified in defending myself. I mean, come on, the person's in a wheelchair, how much defense can you exert?"

Ryg: "Again, the original intent of this Bill was to include persons who suffer from severe or profound mental retardation. In some of the already protected classes that if there is assault and battery and a person is the offender, knowingly assaults and batters knowing that the victim is severely or profoundly mentally retarded, then the charge escalates to an aggravated charge."

Black: "Representative, I appreciate your patience. I've been listening to several attorneys discuss this. The attorneys seem to think it's fine. As a non-attorney, I still have concerns about it, but I do appreciate your patience. Thank you very much."

Ryg: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Molaro, for what reason do you rise?"

Molaro: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One of the problems... I see Representative Durkin over there talking to Representative Black. See the problem... the problem we're having is a problem that we can't solve when we keep enhancing penalties. That's the problem. If anybody looks, this is the nineteenth (19th) time we've enhanced penalties to battery. So in other words, battery, as you well know, Mr. Speaker, is if I come up to you and knowingly and without legal justification cause bodily harm to you. I push you, I

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

slap you, whatever it may be. Okay. So now, years and years ago we started and said well, you know what, if you use a gun or you aim it at a police officer, you push a police officer, then it's aggravated, to the point now we have so many categories I don't even know in the state if you can commit simple battery anymore. I don't even know if There's so many ways of enhancing. Now, that's possible. the problem comes out why Representative Black seems to be confused and you've got five (5) of the greatest legal minds of all time huddling over there, and those of you who are listening can tell them I just paid them a compliment. And the reason they're arguing with each other is when we go to the statutes and we do this like we're doing this piecemeal, we've enhanced penalties forty (40) different ways, fifty (50) different times, a hundred (100) different statutes in a hundred different places. So what happens is we get one of our staffers, one of their staffers, the Senate staffers and we have it all different ways, written a hundred (100) different ways on how we enhance penalties. So why Mr. Black is bringing this up is that if you look at this, when we did it, I think it's number eleven (11), when we did it for people over the age of sixth (60), which covers him and soon to cover me and soon to cover all of us, it says 'knowingly and without legal justification', exactly what it says here. But when we did it fifteen (15) other times we didn't use 'knowingly and without legal justification' 'cause that staffer wasn't in the room or that lawyer wasn't in the room. So, all I can say is, I guess if we're going to enhance penalties, which apparently we can't get away

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

from in this building, we're just going to make everything a felony, make everything... you know, going to jail for twenty (20) years because it makes us feel better. As though beating up a sixty-year-old (60) or beating up somebody handicapped isn't enough to put them in jail, we got to enhance things. I guess if we're going to enhance it this is probably one of the better ones to do it with, right? I guess. And there'll be more coming down the road. So I got to tell you, Representative Black, it's poorly written because we can't write it any other way. If you look at number eleven (11), when we talk about sixty-year-olds (60), we wrote it the same way there. We write it so many different ways because we're just confusing each other. This is going to cost us nothing but money and we're all going to say you should go to jail until of course it's our son, daughter, nephew or niece and then we're going to wish it was a misdemeanor so we don't ruin them for life. then after we make them felons, we're going to have companion Bills that say we shouldn't give records to felons, they should be expunged. Then we're going to have the innocence program and do all of that, but I guess we got to do this, dance just like our Leaders are going through the budget dance. So, with that, I guess I'm asking for an 'aye' vote. Is that what I'm asking for? Never mind."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Rose, for what reason do you rise?"

Rose: "Will the Lady yield for a question? Representative, we've been looking at this and having some discussions. I think there's a legitimate problem with Senate Amendment #1,

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

and there's also some people who may have a legitimate view that's different than I do. But let me explain why I don't think Senate Amendment 1 is what you intended or what we should adopt. The underlying definition of assault, okay, when you go back to what is an assault, an assault is a person commits an assault when without legal, or without lawful authority he engages in conduct, which places another in reasonable apprehension of receiving a battery. Right there, you say... it says 'without lawful authority'. All right. Let me tell you when you might have a situation where someone would be in reasonable apprehension of an assault. You have a police officer in a jail and you've got someone who's been picked up for driving under influence, is incredibly drunk and angry and mad ballistic and the sheriff, the deputy sheriff says if you don't calm down, you will be restrained. Okay? That person obviously has a reasonable apprehension of immediate battery 'cause that officer will grab them and physically restrain That's what that is. But in your Amendment, or not yours, I apologize, in the Senate Amendment it removes the 'without legal or without lawful authority justification'. That to me is critical because there are a number of instances; I just gave you one, where we want people to have lawful ability to commit an assault. other words, say you calm down or else we're going to restrain you. I don't think. I think there's a real problem And I quess, Representative, perhaps you could take this out of the record while we sit down and have a further conversation about it."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Ryg: "Representative, I think we're talking about a difference though, in terms of who our victims are. Our victims in what we're trying to address are people who are severely and profoundly mentally retarded."

"I understand that and let's talk about that. Rose: scenario, you may have someone... my wife is an occupational therapist who deals with DVMI populations all the time. is not uncommon sometimes for her patients to act out. Now, clearly they're acting out and no one's going to charge them with an offense, but sometimes it is entirely appropriate for therapists, psychiatrists. I mean, think about this, a psychiatrist who prescribes a psychotropic drug to a patient against their 'will', that's a battery. You just removed their legal justification to do that and to say if you don't calm down, we going to prescribe meds, it's just now removed their justification. I'm just asking you, Representative, I'll be happy to talk to you about this, be happy to spend as much time as you want. I don't think that anyone intended with this Amendment to do what this Amendment could potentially do."

Ryg: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to pull this out of the record and we'll pay more attention to the specific language in consultation with the attorneys. I would like to suggest, however, or tell you... inform the Members, that in fact to Representative Molaro's point about our interest in enhancing penalties, we do have a commission that is looking at the statutes and the various laws that have been passed that provide for enhanced penalties against certain protected classes of people. Persons with severe and

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

mental... and profound mental retardation were not among those categories and the CLEAR Commission, which is charged with this duty, actually recommended this particular legislation to ensure that when a person is severely or profoundly mentally retarded, they deserve the protections from being the victim of assault and battery. And obviously, there are some complications here and I'm happy to consider that, but the intent of this legislation was deemed appropriate by the commission. So, I'll have... I'm happy to withdraw and consult with people and bring it back. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Take the Bill out of the record.

Representative Moffitt on House Bill 1921 on the Order of
Concurrences."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 on House Bill 1921. The Amendment, this has happened on some other Bills, it removes the provision for nonsweep and that was the only way it was going to pass. This just... the main Bill, of course, provides funding for fire departments, basis being one cent (\$.01) of the current ninety-eight cent (\$.98) cigarette tax will go into three (3) different Sixty thousand (\$60,000) a month for the zero things. percent ambulance loans, sixty thousand (\$60,000) for fire trucks, and four hundred and eighty thousand (\$480,000) into a small equipment grant program that will help departments around the state. One cent (\$.01) of the current cigarette tax is the reason and way that division is, so I move for concurrence."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1921?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, 102 voting 'aye', 0 'nays', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 16 of the Calendar, Representative Saviano on House Bill 1947. Representative Saviano."

Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendments 1, 3 and 4 on House Bill 1947. 1, 3 and 4 are the result of negotiations, which went on in the Senate. It truly made the Bill a better Bill and it will also allow the state to realize revenue from this new sport which we are sanctioning here in the State of Illinois as it becomes a popular sport across the country. And I would ask that we concur with Amendments 1, 3 and 4 on House Bill 1947."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments 1, 3 and 4 to House Bill 1947?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 95 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Poe on House Bill 1960."

73rd Legislative Day

- Poe: "Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with the Senate Amendment. What this does is it makes sure that the person who's buying the pension time pays all the expense so there's no extra cost to the state. And this has made sure in this Senate Amendment that that would happen, so I'd ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1960?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 101 voting 'aye', 1 'nay', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Concurrences, Representative Brauer, we have House Bill 1969."
- Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill was passed earlier. It allows Rochester to increase their bonding authority. They are the number one school on the school construction priority list and this is needed for high growth communities. The Senate Amendments add two (2) other high growth districts to it that allows them, through referendum, to vote for a referendum to increase that bonding authority. I ask that we concur on these Amendments."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 1969?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there's 73 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no', 1 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Lyons, House Bill 2304? Out of the record. Representative Chapa LaVia on House Bill 3393."

Chapa LaVia: "Thank you, Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 339 (sic-3393). Senate Amendment #2 removes the fee on dissolution of marriages and other civil filing fees, thus leaving only a five dollar (\$5) fee on a marriage license. The Amendment was offered after talks with both the Illinois Bar Association, Chicago Bar Association. The projected income for the fee is approximately four hundred thousand (\$400,000) to four hundred fifty thousand (\$450,000) a year. And in committee the ISBA was neutral and the Chicago Bar signed on in support, as amended. Even though the income will be less, removing the other fees will make any constitutional challenge much more difficult, which is a good thing. So I ask for the approval of the... the adoption of the concurrence to Amendment... Senate Amendment #2. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, for what reason do you rise?"

Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?

Representative, Senate Amendment #2 becomes the Bill,

correct?"

Chapa LaVia: "Correct, Sir."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Black: "So let me see if I understand this. If I... if I were going to get married my marriage license would go up five dollars (\$5) and the extra five dollars (\$5) would create the Married Families Domestic Violence Fund, correct?"

Chapa LaVia: "Correct, Sir."

Black: "Even though... well, first of all, I think the title is an absolute oxymoron, The Married Families Domestic Violence Most married families, of people I know, have never had an incident of domestic violence. But everybody pays to create this fund so that those who do commit domestic violence or who are victims of domestic violence can receive the necessary advocacy and assistance programs, and the fund is subject to a gubernatorial sweep. To me, creating this fund on the backs of people... To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. Creating this fund on the backs of everybody who gets married in the State of Illinois is a classic cost shift. I wouldn't be willing and I don't want to pay an extra five dollars (\$5) for a marriage license to go into a Married Families Domestic Violence Fund that I... First of all, I married a woman that the first time I ever thought about domestic violence against her would be the last time I ever thought about domestic violence. So I wouldn't go there. My mother and father raised me to the point where I wouldn't go there. But then to add insult to injury, if there's any kind of money left in the fund, a couple hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) the Governor can sweep the fund. to me that's the ultimate domestic violence. You take the money away. You're creating a fund for a specific purpose and then allowing the Governor to sweep the fund. That just

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

absolutely makes no sense to me whatsoever and I don't know why the average couple seeking to marry in the State of Illinois must pay an additional five dollars (\$5) to create the Married Families Domestic Violence Fund. That would seem like a prejudgment. I don't get it. I just... Five dollars (\$5) isn't going to make the difference between somebody getting married and not getting married, but I just think this... this goes too far. It subsidizes something that I would have nothing to do with and most married families that I know have never had anything to do with. Why should they subsidize the actions of a few? That's, you know, you've got a Criminal Victims Fund. You've got other ways to fund this and then the ultimate insult to injury is what the Senate is doing to everyone of these fund Bills. Well, it's okay. It's okay if the Governor wants to sweep the fund. I... I just... I don't understand this at all. I guess... I guess in my neighborhood it would... You know as long as you pay an extra five bucks (\$5) I guess its okay to beat your spouse. What a ridiculous, what a ridiculous piece of baloney this is."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3393?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 52 'yea', 48 'nay', 2 'present'. And this Bill fails. We're now going to move to the Order of Resolutions. The Order of Resolutions on page 19 of the Calendar. We'll start with Representative

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Eddy, House Resolution 139 on page 19, and we will proceed down the Calendar in that order. So if you'll just follow the Calendar you'll know when you're next. Representative Eddy on House Resolution 139. Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk. Representative Eddy."

Eddy: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 139 simply names October of each year Methamphetamine Awareness Day in the State of Illinois and actually helps school districts to set aside some time to, without mandate, work on making youth in rural areas aware of the dangers of methamphetamine abuse."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Molaro, for what reason do you rise?"

Molaro: "Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Indicates he will."

Molaro: "I assume it's because kids are in school then, is that the idea, from August 1st to October 1st?"

Eddy: "That's right."

Molaro: "Okay."

Eddy: "Yeah, originally the... this came out of a group in Crawford County, Illinois, a leadership group who originally sent the language as August. It got drafted that way but we figured we'd better wait until the kids were in school, so we changed it to October."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Resolution 139?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed should vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 102

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

- voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Resolution, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Will Davis on House Resolution 156."
- Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Resolution 156 simply resolves that the 95th General Assembly shows its support for the negotiation of a free trade agreement between the Republic of Taiwan and the United States of America. This Resolution will be sent to all members of the Illinois delegation upon its passage. I'll be more than happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Resolution 156?' All those in favor shall say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Representative Kosel on House Resolution 162."
- Kosel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to move for the approval of this Resolution. The Resolution creates a School Board Members Day to honor the service and dedication of the many school board members across this state and I would move for the Body's approval."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Resolution 162?' All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Representative Verschoore on House Resolution 163."
- Verschoore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There's a town in my district, Aledo, Illinois, that every year they have a

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

rhubarb festival and the people from all the surrounding counties come in. And so I'm asking for your concurrence on this that it be named the Rhubarb Capital of Illinois.

Thank you very much. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Franks, for what reason do you rise?"

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Indicates he will."

Franks: "Could you tell us what the leaves of the rhubarb plant are made of? Are they toxic?"

Verschoore: "Pardon me?"

Franks: "Are the leaves of the rhubarb plant toxic?"

Verschoore: "I believe the leaves are, but we don't eat the leaves, we eat the stalk."

Franks: "Just wanted to make sure we're very clear on this."

Verschoore: "Yeah, well, we have to be very careful, yes."

Franks: "Okay, thank you."

Verschoore: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Winters, for what reason do you rise?"

Winters: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Indicates he will."

Winters: "Do we eat the 'stock' or the 'stalk'?"

Verschoore: "We eat the stalk."

Winters: "Okay, I thought I misunderstood you and you said we ate the 'stock'. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Molaro, for what reason do you rise?"

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Molaro: "Well, Representative, we just had a Resolution by Representative Smith that talked about world trade and apparently we're telling what's going on in the country and the world. Why did you stop and not name it the Rhubarb Capital of the United States or the Rhubarb Capital of the World? Why did you just stop at Illinois?"

Verschoore: "You know, actually, I think it is Rhubarb Capital of the world."

Molaro: "Really?"

Verschoore: "I think so."

Molaro: "Well, if it's not in this Resolution I want to cosponsor the next Resolution with you."

Verschoore: "All right, you're on there."

Molaro: "Thank you."

Verschoore: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Resolution 163?' All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair is the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Representative Lang on House Resolution 173."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Resolution is a lot more serious than the last one. Ladies and Gentlemen, the United States has been involved in a war in Iraq for some years. It's time for an exit strategy. We have sent thousands of our people there. Many, unfortunately, have met their untimely death, many have been injured. We seem to have no exit strategy as a nation. This Resolution would state that the Illinois House

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

of Representatives is going on record as disapproving the recent troop surge and would call for the United States Congress and President to come up with some sort of timetable for an exit strategy from Iraq. Some would say, well, why are we dealing with this here in Springfield? There are some important reasons. First, we must periodically make public statements on important public Second, we have spent over twenty-two policy issues. Illinois billion dollars (\$22,000,000,000) of taxpayers' money on the war in Iraq. And thirdly, of course, we're losing Illinois citizens in this war. no longer about should we have been there, should we not have been there. I don't want to have that debate. I don't think it's necessary to have that debate. Nor is this about respecting the troops. Of course we respect the troops. Of course we want to protect them. And, of course, if we were there for a purpose that was moving towards success, it would be a different story. But we are not; we are floundering there with no national policy that's getting us from point A to point B. And all this Resolution says is lets respect the troops and the will of the American people and the will of Illinoisans by moving to let Congress know that we think it's time to find a time, a timetable to bring our people home. That's all the Bill and Resolution does and I would ask your suppor... excuse me, your support."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Watson, for what reason do you rise?"

Watson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Turner: "Indicates he will."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Watson: "Representative Lang, I'm just curious. Did this come out of the Foreign Affairs Committee?"

Lang: "Did this come out of what, Sir?"

Watson: "Which committee did this Resolution come out of?"

Lang: "This came out of the State Government Administration Committee, Sir."

Watson: "Because I know that we do such a good job on state affairs that we have every right in the world to be getting involved in something like this. We've done a great job. We've done a fantastic job on utilities. We've done a fantastic job on the budget. How in the world anyone can justify our time on something like this where we cannot even do what we're supposed to do, is outrageous. If you think that you can do something like this and say we support the troops, then I'll tell you what, Representative, why don't you be the first one every time a National Guard unit leaves this state to go fight a war in Iraq, why don't you be the first one to say, hey, my name is on this Bill that says we don't support this troop surge even though you're one of the twenty thousand (20,000) going. Do you think that this... do you... Have you ever worn the uniform, Representative?"

Lang: "Sir, I don't think that's really the point here."

Watson: "I think it is the point. Have you ever worn the uniform?"

Lang: "Sir, I'm not here to be cross examined by you. If you're opposed to the Resolution, vote against it."

Watson: "Well, can you answer the question or not, Representative?"

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Lang: "I am not going to answer your question about my military..."

Watson: "Why?"

Lang: "...service."

Watson: "Why?"

Lang: "My military service is not relevant to this, Sir."

Watson: "Okay. Well, let me ask you... let me just tell you as someone who has in a war zone, that if a Resolution like this was sent to me and make sure... that I will make sure that people know about this Resolution, should it pass, this would be very demoralizing. It's hard enough to send people to war, but when their own elected officials say, guess what, we don't support what you're going to do. How do you think that's going to make them feel? And I urge you, Representative, I urge you to think twice about doing this. And I also urge you to try to get your Party to focus on the things that are relevant to this state."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Bost, for what reason do you rise?"

Bost: "Maybe after the last speaker I shouldn't ask this, but will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "He indicates he will."

Bost: "Lou, I'll ask again. Have you ever served in the military?"

Lang: "Sir, I have not served in the military. But I don't see how that's relevant."

Bost: "Do you have a son enlisted in the military or a daughter at this time?"

Lang: "Sir, you can ask all these questions. No, I don't."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Bost: "Okay, then get ready to answer with somebody... somebody... to discuss this who has done both. As a military person, as the last speaker said, you send this to them, it is a message that you don't support them."

Lang: "Sir, the United States..."

Bost: "And if... No, now let me finish, Lou."

Lang: "Sure."

Bost: "As a Marine, I know what you do, you depend on those people back home to believe in what you're doing and send a clear message. We went down that path during Vietnam and those people that came back from Vietnam were kicked in the face and spit on and chewed out and told that they were baby killers and everything else because we couldn't get a clear support from the people back here in this United States. Was the cause wrong? Maybe so. But the reality is that these people, these troops need supported and this doesn't do that. This doesn't say that, and it really... it's really a slap in the face. And I'm going to tell you something. I stand with the parents that have lost and I've been right there with those from my district that have lost loved ones, family members, whether it's their child, nieces and nephews; and I've got a son that's in now. And I don't' know that there's other on this floor that do. I am a State Representative, you are a State Representative. You do not know what those gentlemen and women face everyday. You don't know what the call needs to be, that's why we have the Secretary of War... the Secretary of Defense. That's why we have those people in jobs other than ours to make wise decisions. You might agree, you might disagree, and thank

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

God for the men and women who fight to allow you to have that opinion. But from this Body, we should not be involved in this. As the former speaker said, we need to be involved with those things that affair... that are the affairs of this state. And, Lou, I would ask you to pull this from the record. I do not agree with this and as you say, it is my right to vote for it or against it, but I think that this is something that we should allow the Federal Government to do. Write your Congressman as an individual citizen. Call. Send letters to the President. Send letters to the U.S. Senators. But for this Body to take a position on this is just not the right thing to do."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Bond, Representative Stephens, for what reason do you rise?"

Stephens: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Indicates he will."

Stephens: "Representative, I'm asking you as a friend, as a colleague, as someone who respects you a great deal and understands that we have the right to dissent on any decisions that our country makes, I'm asking you with all sincerity to pull the Resolution from the record."

Lang: "Representative, I have the same respect for you, you have for me. I have great respect for this Body, but I have great respect for the fact that this state and forty-nine (49) other states have sent men and women to battle without a battle plan, without sufficient protection, without body armor, without the kind of support the Federal Government should give it. I believe the President of the United States has not given the troops that we all cherish..."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Stephens: "Okay, Representative, excuse me."

Lang: "You asked me a question, now I'd like to answer it."

Stephens: "The answer is no. Is that right?"

Lang: "Yeah, I don't get to answer it in my own way?"

Stephens: "If you want to close on your Resolution and make your comments about..."

Lang: "Go ahead. Why don't you proceed in the interest of time, Sir."

Stephens: "The Resolution calls for... it notes that it's resolved that we disapprove of the decision by President Bush to announce... who announced on January 10 that we were deploying twenty thousand (20,000) additional troops to Iraq's so-called surge. And the Resolution calls for the return of those troops from Iraq. As a father of one of those soldiers, it is... I will tell the Body, I emailed my I don't do that frequently 'cause I don't want to bother him, 'cause he's an infantry officer and he's up to his ass in alligators over there, so I don't bother him much. But I emailed him in response to our Memorial Day service; let him know we remembered him, his colleagues and David Bernstein, whose bracelet I wear who died three (3) years ago there. And Todd emailed me back when he had a minute. And by the way, on Memorial Day he was in a firefight. Soldier near him in the battle, an American troop, was wounded in the leg and is still hospitalized today. He said, Dad, thank you and thank your colleagues for remembering, special thanks for remembering Dave. That day I felt inadequate because I didn't think in my heart that I could do enough for those fallen soldiers or say

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

enough in their memory. Representative, you and I have a different opinion about what's going on, but what I take exception to is that you say in your Resolution, well, we support the troops. I ask if you're to support the troops, which one of the colleagues on my son's left or right do you want to withdraw? Which bullet do you want them to take for my son or for your daughter or any loved American who's there? Nobody likes war and as one who has fought and bled for his country, I can tell you I hate it. But there are times when we have to stand up. And whether you agree or not with the tactics and the strategy of this war, remember this that we were attacked with viciousness and with forethought and with a horror that this nation has never seen before and innocent civilians died that day, and our response is our response. And so I know it might look good back home to say well, we're against the war because that's a popular thing to say right now. I... I renew my petition to ask you to take this Bill from the record. This is the wrong message to send at the wrong time to a group of Americans who love their country more than you or I could ever dream and I say that we should not send them a message that we want the troop to their left..."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Lang to close."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I know this is an emotional issue. This is certainly not intended to hurt anybody nor is it intended to insult anyone who wears the uniform of the United States of America, in fact, quite the opposite. This is to protect and preserve those who wear the uniform of the United States of America. We have failed policies in

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Washington regarding this war and we have a responsibility as a state, a state that has lost our own men and women to this war, a state that has put twenty-two billion dollars (\$22,000,000,000) of taxpayer dollars into this war. have a responsibility to make public statement regarding our feeling about what is happening. Note that the Resolution says nothing about how we got into the war. That's been a debate that's been ongoing. I didn't say we shouldn't have been there, although I have an opinion on that. I simply say we should figure out how to get out of the war. I don't say it has to be tomorrow. I don't set a timetable. That's not for me to do. That's for Federal Government officials to But we should not ever just back off of our do. responsibility to just speak out when we think it's appropriate to speak out. That's what this Resolution does. I would ask for your support."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 173?' All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair... the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Mr. Speaker, in all due respect to the Sponsor of the Resolution and all due respect to the Chair, I don't think the Chair could be in doubt. The voice vote was clear, the Resolution failed and I think it should be noted that it failed and let's move on. There are no winners in this argument, no winners at all. And it failed before a request for a roll call. The Gentleman made his point. The voice vote was clear. I don't see how the Chair could possibly be

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

- in doubt, the Resolution failed. I urge, I beg you, let's move on."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Well, my light wasn't on, Mr. Speaker, but any request for a roll call came after the vote was taken and I didn't hear the vote the way they heard it. I just heard a lot of yelling. So I suggest that you make your ruling, Sir."
- Speaker Turner: "In the opinion of the Chair is the 'noes' have it and the Resolution fails. We have House Resolution 208, Representative Watson. Out of the record. Representative Acevedo on House Resolution 216. Out of the record. Representative Franks on House Resolution 218. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Franks."
- Franks: "Thank you. I'd ask for your support for this Resolution which proclaims April 11, 2007, as a day of tribute to the Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Chicago for ninety (90) years of service to the people of Illinois."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Verschoore, for what reason do you rise?"
- Verschoore: "As a point of order. Nothing to do with Representative Franks' Bill, but I wanted to apologize to Representative Molaro. It is the Rhubarb Capital of Illinois and there is a misprint. There's a typo in there. It says the 'capital of the Illinois'. I've talked to the Clerk and he said he will make the proper corrections on that. So, thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "The record will reflect. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Molaro, for what reason do you rise?"

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Molaro: "Well, two quick things. There's a Gentleman for you, when he's wrong he says he's wrong. He's wrong a lot, so he has a lot of practice at it, but at least he says when he's wrong, he's wrong. Representative Franks, this has nothing to do with vouchers does it? Am I voting for a voucher Bill here?"

Franks: "That's a different Bill."

Molaro: "Oh, thank you. Let me know when it comes up."

Franks: "I'll let you know."

Molaro: "All right, good."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 218?' All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. We have House Resolution 226, Representative Rose."

"Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. This deals with Rose: encouraging E85 and ethanol blend fuels here in the State of Illinois. Many of our surrounding states have small gallonage above ground storage tanks, which allows them to sort of jump start the demand for ethanol. One of the problems, I have some of the best farm ground in the world, literally, in my five (5) counties only have one (1) ethanol retailer. And part of the problem is the cost of going in and digging up an... the concrete and the dirt to put in its own tank. Many of our surrounding states have this limited gallonage. What we're asking for in this Resolution is essentially a summer/fall task force with all interested parties, particularly the State Fire Marshall's Office, the EPA, the Petroleum Marketers, otherwise farm groups, to try

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

to come together and see how we might be able to jump start this industry and in particularly the demand side. The supply side seems to be coming along very nicely, but as far as getting the capacity to refuel out there to customers in our state that's what we're asking for in this Resolution. I'd ask for a favorable 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Resolution 226?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'aye', 0 'nays', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received... this Resolution, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Rose on House Resolution 228."

Rose: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. House Resolution 228 is very simple. It requests that the State Board of Education implement and come up with a model curricular protocol to be tied to the junior high and high school levels about the devastating effects of so-called shaken baby syndrome. The genesis of this is the unfortunate child in our area who passed away after being literally shook to death by her mother's boyfriend. It's a very sad circumstance. As I had other pieces of legislation this spring on this similar topic, I heard from a number of Representatives, including Representative Monique Davis, I see over here and others and we thought it might be appropriate to try to urge some education at the junior high and high school level. And again, I'd ask for its favorable adoption."

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Speaker Turner: The Lady from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Resolution and we have had great discussion in reference to the shaken baby phenomenon that occurs. the tragedy in the State of Illinois is some people are in prison and going to prison because they have shaken a baby and the baby has had some major medical malfunction to occur and the baby died. Many times a person does not know. one has taught that person that in an attempt to soothe the child, when you shake the child you are perhaps risking great danger. But I think it's very notable that the Resolution being offered by Representative will say that young people have to be taught in school. Part of the curriculum will be that you do not shake a baby because you risk death of that child. Years ago when there were grandmothers and grandfathers at home many people perhaps had nannies, someone knew exactly what not to do for a baby. That's not the society we live in anymore. The society we live in today is young people have children, there is no one at home teaching them the do's and don'ts of keeping that baby safe and alive. I applaud you, Representative Rose. I'd like to be added as a cosponsor on this Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Resolution 228?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question, 102 voting 'aye', 0 'nays', 0 'presents. And this

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

- Bill, having received... this Resolution, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Rich Bradley on House Resolution 233."
- Bradley, R.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

 This Resolution encourages all Illinois public pension systems to use, whenever possible, without violating fiduciary responsibilities, the Chicago Stock Exchange rather than outside… any other stock exchange outside of our state."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 233?' All those in favor should say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Representative Sacia on House Resolution... Representative Flider on House Resolution 256."
- Flider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Resolution 256 posthumously recognizes librarians and library workers for their efforts and proclaims April 17, 2007 as Library Workers Day in the State of Illinois. They do a great job for us. I ask for your support."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 256?' All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Representative Holbrook on House Resolution...

 House Resolution 279. Representative Holbrook."
- Holbrook: "Thank you, Speaker. This just reaffirms the state's rights to manage their own business. It came out of a focus

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

- group in my area that they had some concerns over some things the Feds were mandating. Glad to take any questions."
- Speaker Turner: "Being no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 279?' All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Representative Mendoza on House Resolution 288.

 Out of the record. Representative John Bradley, the Gentleman from Williamson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Bradley, J.: "I know everyone's going to be disappointed but Revenue Committee tonight has been cancelled."
- Speaker Turner: "Revenue Committee's cancelled. Representative Smith, for what reason do you rise?"
- Smith: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Elementary and Secondary Education Committee is cancelled for today."
- Speaker Turner: "Elementary and Secondary Committee is cancelled. Mr. Clerk, committee schedule."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Committee schedule. Immediately following Session: Appropriation-Elementary and Secondary Educations... Appropriations-Elementary and Secondary Education will meet in Room 118. Immediately after Session: Appropriations-General Services will meet in Room 115. Approps-Human Services will meet in Room 122-B, Gaming in Room 114, Higher Education in Room C-1 and Transportation and Motor Vehicles in Room D-1. A half hour following Session Approps Higher Education will meet in Room 122-B, Approps-Public Service will meet in Room C-1. Executive will meet in Room 118 and Human Services will meet in Room D-1. And at one

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

hour following Session: Judiciary - Criminal Law will meet in Room D-1 and Registration and Regulation will meet in Room C-1, and State Government Administration will meet in Room 122-B. Both Elementary and Secondary Education has been cancelled and the Revenue Committee has been cancelled."

Speaker Turner: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Mahoney: "On the Order of Agreed Resolutions is House Resolution 520, offered by Representative Black. House Resolution 521, offered by Representative Black. House Resolution 522, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 524, offered by Representative Reitz and House Resolution 525, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Resolutions are adopted. Seeing no further announcements, Representative Currie moves, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, that the House stands adjourned until Thursday, June 14, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. The House will stand adjourned until Thursday, June 14 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. And the House is adjourned."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

Committee Reports. Representative Monique Davis,
Chairperson from the Committee on State Government
Administration, to which the following measures were
referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same
back with the following recommendations: 'do pass as amended

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Short Debate' is Senate Bill 783; 'recommends be adopted' is a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Representative Gordon, Chairperson from the Bill 3627. Committee on Judiciary II - Criminal Law, to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bill 1023; 'recommends be adopted' is a Motion to Concur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 1979. Representative Saviano, Chairperson from the Committee on Registration and Regulation to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007 reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'recommends be adopted' is Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 360, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 360 and Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 360. Representative Yarbrough, Chairperson from the Committee on Appropriations - Public Safety, to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007 reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass Short Debate' is House Bill 3945. Representative Feigenholtz, Chairperson from the Committee Appropriations - Human Services to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendations: Debate' Standard is House Bill pass 3930. Representative Miller, Chairperson from the Committee on Appropriations - Higher Education to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, reported the same back with the following recommendations:

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

'do pass Short Debate' is House Bill 3920. Representative Soto, Chairperson from the Committee on Appropriations -Elementary and Secondary Education to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass Short Debate' is House Bill 3860. Representative McCarthy, Chairperson from the Committee on Higher Education to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'recommends be adopted as amended' House Joint Resolution 69. Representative Hoffman, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation and Motor Vehicles to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'recommends be adopted' a Motion to Concur in Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 841. Representative Monique Davis, Chairperson from the Committee Appropriations - General Services to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass short debate' is House Bill 3866. Representative Jakobsson, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' is Senate Bill 581. Representative Burke, Chairperson from the Committee Executive to which the following measures were referred, action taken on June 13, 2007, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass Short

73rd Legislative Day

6/13/2007

Debate' is Senate Bill 1042. Second Reading of these... the following House Bills. House Bill 3860, offered by Bill Representative Madigan, a for an Act appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill. House Bill 3866, offered by Representative Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill. House Bill 3920, offered by Representative Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill. House Bill 3930, offered by Representative Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Reading of this House Bill. House Bill 3945, offered by Representative Madigan, a Bill for an Act appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill. House Bills will be held on the Order of Second Reading. The following Senate Bills will be read a second time, held on the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading: Senate Bill 1023, offered by Representative Turner, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 581, offered by Representative Golar, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 783, offered by Representative Duncan, a Bill for an Act concerning state government. And Senate Bill 1042, offered by Representative Jakobsson, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of these Senate Bills. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."