112th Legislative Day

- Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. We ask the Members and our guests in the gallery to turn off laptop computers, cell phones, and pagers, and we ask our guests in the gallery to rise and join us for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. We shall be led in prayer today by Pastor John Senter of the Providence Missionary Baptist Church in Rockford, Illinois. Pastor Senter is the guest of Representative Jefferson."
- Pastor Senter: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, shall we pray. Dear Lord, I thank You for the privilege of standing before this august Body to invoke Your presence upon and among Your chosen servant leaders. Please release from Your presence upon each of them a spirit of faith, hope, and love. Give divine wisdom to our leaders who will make decisions to improve the quality of life for the citizens of this great State of Illinois. May Your grace and peace be ours. Amen."
- Speaker Madigan: "We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Lang."
- Lang et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Madigan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representatives Feigenholtz, Jones, Nekritz, Osterman, and Patterson are excused today."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bost."

112th Legislative Day

- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Great to see ya. We need to report that Representative Pihos is excused today."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. There being 109 people responding to the Attendance Roll Call, there is a quorum present. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "The following measures are referred to the House Committee on Rules: House Resolution 1116, offered by Representative Holbrook. House Resolution 1121, offered by Representative Jim Watson. House Resolution 1129, offered by Representative John Bradley. And House Joint Resolution 115, offered by Representative Reis."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Lyons in the Chair."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Bond, Representative Stephens. For what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. If I could have the Body's attention, there's been a very significant event that took place today in Mike Bost's district. And not only is Steven Bost a First Lieutenant in the United States Marine Corps, but this morning he passed the law exam... or the bar exam and he is now a registered lawyer in the State of Illinois. That's Steven Bost, son of Mike and Tracy Bost."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Congratulations. Mike, you must be awfully proud. Thanks for that information, Representative. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of House Bill 1732?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1732 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

112th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Hold the Bill on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 3 of the Calendar, under Senate Bills-Third Reading, is Senate Bill 2487. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2487, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lou Lang. Mr. Clerk, take that Bill out of the record on the request of the Sponsor. Mr. Clerk, on page 3 of the Calendar is Senate Bill 2841. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2841, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Dan Reitz. Representative Reitz on Senate Bill 24... 2841. Representative Reitz. Mr. Clerk, take that Bill out of the record on the request of the Sponsor. Mr. Clerk, on page 3 of the Calendar is Senate Bill 2885. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2885, a Bill for an Act concerning business incentives. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Representative Kathy Ryg."
- Ryg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 2885 creates the Business Location Efficiency Incentive Act. This incentive program applies to businesses who qualify for the existing DCEO EDGE Program, the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity Incentive for Economic Development for a Growing Economy. Businesses which qualify may receive an additional 10 percent in tax

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

credits or an extension of EDGE credits if they locate in a site with access to affordable workforce housing or accessible transit options or if the business creates jobs in a labor surplus area. If the site is not location efficient the business may qualify for the incentive by submitting an acceptable plan addressing employee housing and transportation needs. The department may provide technical assistance to employers in developing their plan. The Bill requires outcome reports and reporting of findings and recommendations for review by the appropriate General Assembly committees and sunsets on 12-31-2011. This legislation benefits taxpayers, employers, and workers. I ask for your 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terry Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Lyons, J.: "She indicates she will."

Parke: "Thank you. Representative, this is a great Bill but some of our downstate Legislators who represent probably a third of the state would like to know, how does this benefit downstate areas?"

Ryg: "Based on their concerns, we added the provision that if they are in a labor surplus area, as determined by the U.S. Department of Labor, then they would qualify for the grants, and that seemed acceptable to the economic development partners from downstate."

Parke: "Mr. Speaker, the Lady just gave us I think a very good explanation, but I could not hear all of the parts of that."

112th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative Parke. Ladies and Gentlemen, I know we just got down here and there's a lot to talk about from the weekend, but could we please have a little order on the floor. Members, staff, if we could bring the noise level down a little bit it would be appreciated. Mr. Parke can't hear Representative Ryg. Shh. Thank you very much. Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you. Staff says that for the most part you may have put an Amendment on, but quite frankly, DC... DCEO is really gonna get the bulk of this money, which means that almost... the great percentage of this is gonna go to Chicago. Can you explain... do you have any idea, if you have \$1 that's allocated for this, how much will go to Chicago and how much will go to the rest of the state?"
- Ryg: "Well, Representative, I'm not from Chicago either. And as we considered this legislation last spring, we worked on the concerns that were raised by the downstate Members and DCEO.

 DCEO asked that this legislation be permissive, that they would have opportunity to consider its application for businesses throughout the state. And I would certainly encourage my colleagues to hold them accountable for using this appropriately."
- Parke: "Well, again... to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Lady has a good idea. This is a Bill that makes sense. We need to do more to encourage trade and commerce in this state. However, the bulk of this... the majority of the support for this legislation comes from the City of Chicago. The bulk of the money is gonna go to the City of Chicago. I respectfully remind all of us that there's an awful lot of

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

this state other than the City of Chicago and I would hope that they would customize more legislation that's supposed to help the whole state. Let's make sure that, in fact, that money ends up downstate or in the collar counties and not always... not always, the bulk of it going to the City of Chicago."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Shh. Thank you. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Will Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I wanna take this opportunity to commend the Sponsor of this legislation for the work that she's put into this Bill. In the district that I represent in the south suburbs, we face a number of challenges as it relates to economic development. We are faced with Indiana being immediately to our east and the county of Will being immediately to our south, which provides in some case a variety of better economic incentives and opportunity for... for businesses. But her legislation is designed not only to help the City of Chicago, as the previous Speaker mentioned, but also communities like the ones that I represent in the south suburbs where we have some of the highest commute times that exist for individuals trying to get from the south suburbs to the Representative's area where there is an abundance of jobs. So this is designed to try to help communities where I am and businesses who want to locate in this area and provide these incentives for them. So I certainly want to commend the Sponsor of this legislation on what she has done and certainly encourage Members to vote 'aye' on this particular Bill. Thank you."

112th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "No further questions on the Bill, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2885 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Meyer, like to be recorded? Jim Meyer. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 108 Members voting 'yes', 1 person voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 3 of the Calendar is Senate Bill 2909. Representative Fritchey. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2909, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative John Fritchey."
- Fritchey: "Thank you, Speaker. This legislation simply makes sure that the loophole is closed whereby people that are applying for a temporary license or holding a temporary license as a wholesale pharmaceutical distributor is held to the same standard as those people with permanent licenses. We know of no objections and we ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "No one seeking recognition on this Bill, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2909 pass?' All those signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative McAuliffe, would you like to be recorded? Michael. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this

112th Legislative Day

- Bill, there are 109 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 1 Member voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Coulson, Senate Bill 2913. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, on page 4 of the Calendar is Senate Bill 2915. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2915, a Bill for an Act concerning methamphetamine. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Crawford, Representative Roger Eddy."
- Eddy: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2915 creates a registry that would provide that the Department of State Police would establish and maintain a database for identifying methamphetamine manufacturers. They would make that information available to law enforcement agencies and general public for safety reasons. And I would be happy to answer any questions you may have regarding this piece of legislation."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "No one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2915 pass?' All those in fa... favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Colvin, would you like to be recorded? Jerry Mitchell. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 110 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 4 of the Calendar Representative May has Senate Bill 2936. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2936, a Bill for an Act concerning validation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Representative Karen May."

May: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 2936 validates the Ryan White account for The original Act was declared by the pediatric AIDS. Illinois Supreme Court to be unconstitutional because it violated the single subject clause of the Constitution. This Act validates the hundreds of millions of dollars that were put into the fund for pediatric AIDS from 1994-2005. They were grants from the Federal Government. affect future grants which average about 37.9 million a year, which is a very important source of dollars for pediatric AIDS in our state. The intent of the Act is to clarify that any actions taken in relation to the account were legal and valid. The AIDS Foundation and the Department of Public Health support the legislation. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terry Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "She indicates she will."

Parke: "With... Representative, with this legislation is there any gap between the Bill time that we passed and the Bill effective date? And if there is a gap, what happens to the payments that you said there were hundreds of millions involved? Do we make those payments or do they just lose out on it? What happens?"

112th Legislative Day

- May: "No, I don't believe there is any gap. The Bill is crafted to... it's validating what has been done in the past and to clarify that all future funds would be validated, also. It takes effect immediately, so I don't believe there will be a gap."
- Parke: "So, as far as you know, nobody will lose any money that they've received up until that point?"
- May: "That's the purpose of the law... of the Bill that we hope will become law."
- Parke: "Is there anybody that was in opposition to your legislation?"
- May: "No, not that we're aware of. The AIDS Foundation, the Department of Public Health both support the legislation. It's for direct services grants, many of them directly to great hospitals like Children's Memorial."
- Parke: "And if we pass this then we will receive the federal funding that we need?"
- May: "Well, we're getting it now. It would just validate that we've used it properly and that it would go in the proper place in the future."
- Parke: "Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "No one seeking further recognition, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2936 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Art Turner. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there's 110 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby

112th Legislative Day

- declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 4 of the Calendar Representative Hultgren has Senate Bill 2951. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2951, a Bill for an Act relating to the Illinois Research Park Authority Act. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Randy Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Bill is similar to the previous Bill in that it was part of the number of Bills that were struck down that were part of... I think it was 1995 legislation... '94 legislation. This is reauthorizing the Research Park Authority Act and allows the Illinois Finance Authority to continue acting for the benefit of our research parks throughout the State of Illinois. I'd be happy to answer any questions. This is just a reauthorization of that statute on its own. Ask for the Members' support. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "No one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2951 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Wyvetter. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 110 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 4 of the Calendar Representative Mathias has Senate Bill 2952. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

112th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2952, a Bill for an Act in relation to information technology. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Sid Mathias."
- Mathias: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Like the other two preceding Bills, this Bill also reenacts a Bill that was originally passed as Public Act 88-669 and was held unconstitutional because of the single subject matter Act. This Bill reenacts the Illinois Geographic Information Council and validates all of their decisions from the beginning of the Act. And I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "No one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2952 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Tryon. Mike Tryon. Representative Tryon, you wanna vote? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 110 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 4 of the Calendar Representative Brauer has Senate Bill 2967. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2967, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Menard, Representative Brauer."
- Brauer: "Mr. Speaker, can I take this out of the record for a little bit?"

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "At the request of the Sponsor, Mr. Clerk, take this Bill out of the record. Mr. Clerk, on page 4 of the Calendar Representative Sacia has Senate Bill 2971. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2971, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Jim Sacia."
- Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 2971 is introduced in the Senate by Senators Roskam and Martinez. Deals with a increasing problem, particularly in Chicago, where individuals representing themselves as federal peace officers are gaining entrance to elderly individuals' homes and deceiving them from funds, committing varying degrees of crime. It's a good, straightforward Bill. It amends the Criminal Code of 1961. I ask for your 'aye' vote and I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bob Molaro."

Molaro: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Indicates he will."

- Molaro: "Representative, did you take this Bill because you're a former federal official or are you formerly from the City of Chicago if it's such a problem up by us? Which... which reason was it?"
- Sacia: "Representative Molaro, I... I picked the Bill up as Representative Osterman and I were fighting over it and I won the coin toss."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Molaro: "All right. Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "No one else seeking recognition, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2971 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Soto, like to be recorded? Representative Jenisch. Roger, would you like to be recorded? Representative Jenisch. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 110 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative McGuire, you have Senate Bill 2986. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2986, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Representative Jack McGuire."

McGuire: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Today I have Senate Bill 2986. What 2986 does, it amends the Counties Code to give authority to county boards to license hawkers, peddlers, itinerant merchants, and transient vendors, commonly known as peddlers. In Will County, many of Will County's senior citizens have been scammed by fraudulent vendors offering home repairs and such as that. And Will County had hoped to use this licensing authority to discourage such unscrupulous vendors. This, of course, will be countywide but it'll be up to each county to... to impose their own license fee and Act and such as that. That it also does amend the Counties Code to give the county boards the authority to do that.

112th Legislative Day

- And I'd try to... I'll try to answer any questions you may have and I'd appreciate your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Bond, Representative Ron Stephens."
- Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill and then I will have a question. But I... I see door-to-door and that peaks my interest. And I know that many politicians this summer, it's an election year, we're gonna be out going door-to-door and we're kind of selling ourselves. And I would wonder if and I'm hopeful that this Bill applies to those politicians who are trying to sell something that's not guite right. These... he referenced... the Representative referenced the fact that door-to-door salesmen fraudulent. And I wonder, Representative, if you could respond to this question, if the Governor, say, is in the collar counties going door-to-door talking to senior citizens or state workers or university employees or teachers and he tells them that their pension is okay, that it's never been more sound, would that be a fraudulent sales pitch?"
- McGuire: "I suppose it depends on who the… who the salesman is.

 No, I don't think it would be. I don't think it has anything to do with political door-to-door canvassing or such as that, but I appreciate your question."
- Stephens: "Well, I... I think we can all agree that it would be fraudulent, but I guess it doesn't apply under your Bill.

 Thank you, Representative."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "No one seeking further recognition, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2986 pass?' All those in

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 111 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This vote… this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Brauer on Senate Bill 2967, page 4… page 4 of the Clerk (sic-Calendar). Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 2967. Read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2967, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Brauer."

Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 2967 very simply clarifies the statute when it talks about self-inflicted injuries that are occurred during a period of an arrest. There's no 'no' votes in the Senate and none in the committee. I'll answer all questions."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "No one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2967 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Robin. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 111 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 4 of the Calendar Representative Lang has Senate Bill 2998. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

112th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2998, a Bill for an Act in relation to charitable games. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lou Lang."
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Some years ago, the General Assembly passed the law under a Charitable Games Act increasing the number of charitable games that a premises provider can host from four a year to eight a year. This is for charitable gaming only. When we passed that law it was part of a larger Bill that the Supreme Court held unconstitutional because it violated single subject matter. And we've been through the process in this General Assembly of reinstating all of these different pieces of legislation, item by item. So, all Senate Bill 2998 does is reinstate the previous law that was held unconstitutional, allowing eight games per year per premises provider. This would allow additional games of bingo and other things that most of the churches and charitable organizations do and it would save \$75 thousand a year for the state in tax revenue. This is not, I repeat, not an expansion of gaming. I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terry Parke."
- Parke: "All right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "He indicates he will."
- Parke: "Representative, you said this not an expansion of gambling?"
- Lang: "That's correct, Sir."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Parke: "So, what does it do? You said it, but I'm still not understanding. What does this do?"

Lang: "Some years ago, we had a law in place called the Charitable Gaming Act..."

Parke: "Right."

Lang: "...that allowed certain premises to get a license to hold up to four games a year for charity, whether it be bingo or a Las Vegas night or what have you. A church could do it, a Salvation Army could do it. And some years ago we passed a law expanding that to eight instead of four so that these people who got these licenses to hold these games could have up to eight. That was part of a law of our state. passed, it passed as part of a larger law and the Supreme Court held the whole law unconstitutional because it violated single subject matter. We not only had this charitable games change in there, but other things that had nothing to do with that at all. The court voided all of those laws, and I can't remember what they are but two or three times already this year we've passed legislation to reinstate the different elements of that Bill that had been held unconstitutional. So all we're doing here is reinstating a law, that we were living with, that the court held unconstitutional."

Parke: "Okay. Now, if it goes from four to eight is it assigned to one particular charity that they can hold four Las Vegas nights and now they can hold eight Las Vegas nights?"

Lang: "Well this... this is a license that the premises would have, so it could be a church but it could also be a... some

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

hall that has nothing... that is not sponsoring the event but is just holding the event."

Parke: "So it could be a banquet hall."

Lang: "Correct. But understand..."

Parke: "To..."

Lang: "But understand, Mr. Parke, this is the current law of Illinois."

Parke: "I understand that. Ladies and Gentlemen, to the Bill. The Sponsor has indicated that this not an expansion, but quite frankly, it has to be an expansion. You go from four to eight, that is an expansion of gambling, whether it's... the thing that... you know, a lot of non-for-profits will use this to raise money, and I have no problem with that because that's the law, they've been doing it. But now we wanna go to eight. And so, as far as I'm concerned, I think each of you needs to determine whether or not you think this is good public policy. I believe it's an expansion of gambling. You can take it for whatever it's worth, but I'll be voting 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Hannig is in the Chair.

Representative Mautino is re... is requesting recognition."

Mautino: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "Indicates he'll yield."

Mautino: "Representative Lang, was this part of the omnibus Bill that contained the senior citizens tax homestead exemption and all those that were struck down by the courts?"

Lang: "That's correct."

Mautino: "And earlier this year I think we reenacted that provision?"

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Lang: "That is correct."

Mautino: "Okay. Your provision is the existing law now."

Lang: "That is also correct."

Mautino: "Okay. So just... so everyone understands that the churches, Knights of Columbus, all these groups, maybe it's your high school boosters, can have these now up to eight times a year, the premise where they have it at can. That's what the current law is, you're just reinstating what they currently do now, correct?"

Lang: "That is also correct."

Mautino: "It's amazing. Thank you very much. I do rise in support of the Bill. It keeps... keeps things as they are now and allows for our charitable groups to continue to raise money."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Lang to close."

Lang: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Mautino has it exactly correct. We're simply reinstating the current law of the State of Illinois. This is not an expansion of gambling. Please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Lyons, do you wish to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 60 voting 'aye' and 51 voting 'nay'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, read Senate Bill 3010."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3010, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. This...
this measure would provide a technical clarification so that
required reporters of abuse and neglect in our mental health
facilities and developmentally disabled facilities would be
the same as those who are required to report abuse and
neglect in our nursing homes. This is a request from the
Department of Human Services Expe... Inspector General and
from the department itself. I know of no opposition. I'd
be happy to answer your questions."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 3010. Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 5 of the Calendar, under the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading, we have Senate Bill 3036. Mr. Clerk, return that to the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. And we have Senate Bill 3046. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3046, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Kosel."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Kosel: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the chamber, I want to thank all of you, especially those people in Local Government, for working with me on this Bill. We now have, through working with everyone who had issues over this Bill, have reached agreement with each and every group and I am here to tell you that the water Bill is coming before you and hopefully will be moved out of the chamber. First of all, it removes the ICC from the process of a municipality purchasing a water company. Secondly, it exempts smaller water companies from the Bill until they are changed... 'til ownership is changed. And thirdly, it protects labor. I will gladly take any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Standard Debate. And Representative Rose is recognized to stand in res... in support or response, Representative?"

Rose: "Legislative intent. Support."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Proceed."

Rose: "Representative, for purposes of legislative intent, I'd like to ask you a couple of questions."

Kosel: "Yes."

Rose: "Is it your intent that for purposes of the new Section 11-124-5, entitled 'Acquisition of Water Systems by Eminent Domain', that should this Bill be enacted, that subsection (d) of that Section, the phrase 'customers of the system' shall be read broadly to apply to all customers of the system without any distinctions as might be noted in any other Sections of this Bill?"

Kosel: "Yes."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Rose: "Also for purposes of legislative intent, in that same subsection (d), is it your intent that the word 'discrimination' should be construed broadly to prevent discrimination in any form?"

Kosel: "Yes."

Rose: "Thank you, Representative, for making the record clear."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Representative McCarthy, you stand in support or in response?"

McCarthy: "Support."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Proceed."

McCarthy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To the Bill. I just wanna thank the Lady from Will for her fine work on this Bill. There was great disagreement as the Bill moved through the process, but she worked very diligently on it and I'm very grateful for the effort she put forth. I'd urge everyone to support this Bill now."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Saviano. In... in support or response, Representative?"

Saviano: "Su..."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay."

Saviano: "Support."

Speaker Hannig: "Proceed. Proceed."

Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 3046 is a culmination of a lot of work by the Sponsor and staff and all the interested parties, and I would commend all of them for their hard work. It's now a good Bill and we've waited a long time for this day, and I... and I appreciate the work of the Sponsor and all the co...

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

cosponsors and other Legislators who worked on this and I rise in support of this Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "We've now had three speak in support and one in response. Do we have anyone else who wishes to speak in... in opposition or response? Representative Biggins."

Biggins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I ask some questions of...
for legislative intent..."

Speaker Hannig: "Yes."

Biggins: "...as well?"

Speaker Hannig: "Yes."

Kosel: "Yes."

Speaker Hannig: "Proceed."

Biggins: "Senate Bill... Senate Bill 3046, as amended, contains no provisions regarding approaches to valuation of assets to be acquired, is that correct?"

Kosel: "That is correct. All references to the method of valuation have been removed from the Bill by agreement."

Biggins: "And how does the acquiring city establish valuation for a good... for a good faith offer required for eminent domain, and how does a court determine valuation of assets in an eminent domain proceeding?"

Kosel: "A city will utilize existing law in Illinois to determine valuation for a good faith offer. Likewise, courts will be free to use the... any valuation approach recognized in Illinois law for the purpose of determining valuation in the court action. The three most common approaches are the comparable sales, the income approach, and the replacement value minus depreciation."

Biggins: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Speaker Hannig: "Anyone else in response? Representative Jakobsson in response?"

Jakobsson: "Mr. Speaker, for legislative intent, please?"

Speaker Hannig: "Proceed."

Jakobsson: "Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Kosel: "Yes."

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Jakobsson: "Senate Bill 3046, as amended, contains no provisions regarding approaches to valuation of assets required. Is that correct?"

Kosel: "Yes. All references to the valuation have been removed from the Bill by agreement."

Jakobsson: "And how does an acquiring city establish valuation good faith offer required for eminent domain, and how does a court determine valuation of assets in an eminent domain proceeding?"

Kosel: "A city will utilize existing law in Illinois to determine valuation for good faith offers. Likewise, courts will be free to use any evaluation approach recognized in Illinois law for the purpose of determining valuation in court action. The most common approaches are the comparable sales, the income approach, and the replacement value minus depreciation."

Jakobsson: "And finally, what is the intent regarding the labor provision?"

Kosel: "The intent regarding labor is to require the privately held public water system owner, entity, operator, or corporation holding a water system to require the purchaser, transferee, or legal successor of the publicly held water

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

system to hire all nonsupervisory employees of the public water system undergoing a change in ownership to operate and maintain a water system after the change of ownership. the acquiring entity does not plan to hire all of the nonsupervisory employees of the transferring water system, sound operation justification must be provided to the ICC 7-204 of the Public Utility Section reorganizing proceedings by the entity involved in the transfer of ownership for not hiring all of the nonsupervisory employees. Prior to approval of the reorganization, under Section 7-204, if the total number of employees hired or... hired or to be hired is less than the number of nonsupervisor employees, the ICC must determine that the sufficient number have... or will be hired to operate and maintain the water system."

Jakobsson: "Thank you, Representative, for your good work on this Bill."

Kosel: "You're welcome."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Kosel to close."

Kosel: "Thank you all for all your work on it and for your patience in dealing with this. And I would ask for your approval of this piece of legislation."

Speaker Hannig: "And the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
voted who wish? Representative Rose, do you wish to be
recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question,
there are 100 voting 'yes' and 9 voting 'no'. And this
Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

declared passed. We're gonna return to page 3 of the Calendar and pick up some of the Bills that we passed over a little bit earlier in the day. Senate Bill... Senate Bill-Third Reading. We have Senate Bill 2255 for Mr. Burke. Representative Burke, do you wish us to read this now? No? Okay. Out of the record. Representative Lang on 2487. Out of the record. Representative Brauer. Did we... did we do 2967? Okay. Representative Eddy on 2968. Okay. On page... on page 4 of the Calendar, Mr. Clerk, we have Senate Bill 2968. Would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2968, a Bill for an Act concerning immunity. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Eddy."

Eddy: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2968 simply amends the Good Samaritan Act to provide civil immunity for EMTs and first responders who provide emergency care and are not being compensated for that care. And I would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 2968. Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Colvin, do you wish to be recorded? Representative Cultra, do you wish to be recorded? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, read the Rules Report."

Clerk Mahoney: "Rules Report. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules, to which the following legislative measures and/or Joint Action Motions were referred, action taken on April 03, 2006, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'approved for floor consideration' is Amendment #3 to House Bill 4604; 'approved for consideration' and referred to the Order of Second Reading is Senate Bill 482, Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1705, Amendment #2 to Senate Bill Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2680; 'on the Concurrence' a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 recommend be adopted to House Bill 3126, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4121, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4179, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4446, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4711, a Motion to Concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4829, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4835, and a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4960."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. We're gonna now go to... on page 5 of the Calendar, we're gonna go to the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading and proceed down the list for those Bills that are potentially prepared to move to Third Reading. So we have, on page 5, Senate Bill 702. Representative Molaro. Representative Molaro, do you wish us to read 702? Out of

112th Legislative Day

- the record. Representative Biggins on Senate Bill 838. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 838."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 838, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Currie, you have Senate Bill 1445. Representative Currie. Okay. Out of... Okay. We'll hold that. Continuing down the list, we have Senate Bill 2159. Representative Lang. Representative Lang, do you wish us to read 2159 from Second to Third? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, can you... can you clear the board and... Okay. And we wish to have you read, Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 2159. Would you put that on the board, please."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2159, a Bill for an Act concerning procurement. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2170.

 Representative Nekritz. Out of the record. Representative Kelly on Senate Bill 2197. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2197, a Bill for an Act concerning transit (sic-truant) minors. Third Reading of this Senate... Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 7 of the Calendar, Representative Froehlich, you have Senate Bill 2204. Do you wish us to read this? Out of the record. Representative

112th Legislative Day

- Winters on Senate Bill 2272. Representative Winters, do you wish us to read this? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2272, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Hamos on Senate Bill 2290. Representative Hamos. Representative Hamos, do you wish us to read this Bill? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2290, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2348.

 Representative Schmitz, do you wish us to read this Bill?

 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2348, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Colvin on Senate Bill 2349. Okay. Out of the record. Representative Molaro on Senate Bill 2358. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2358, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

112th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 8 of the Calendar, Senate... under Senate Bills-Second Reading, is Senate Bill 2391. Representative Rose. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2291 (sic-2391), a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Delgado, you have Senate Bill 2448. Representative Delgado, 2448. Shall we read this Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2448, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. All Motions have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Brosnahan, you have Senate Bill 2437. Representative Brosnahan, 2437. Out of the record. All right. On... on page 8 of the Calendar we have Senate Bill 2475. Representative Bradley, shall we read that on Second? Where is he? Okay. Out of the record. Representative Saviano. Out of the record. Representative Nekritz on Senate Bill 2570. Out of the record. Representative Froehlich on Senate Bill 2613. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2613, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Parke, you have Senate Bill 2626. Okay. I'm advised, Representative Parke,

112th Legislative Day

- there's a note request on that. So we'll hold that. How about 2774, Representative Parke? On page 9 of the Calendar about half way down. Do you wish us to read that Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2774, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Poe, you have Senate Bill 2798. Representative Poe on 2798. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2798, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Amendment #1 was approved in committee.

 No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Mendoza on Senate Bill 2869. Out of the record. And Representative Mendoza on 2870. Out of the record. On page 10 of the Calendar, under the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading, is Senate Bill 2921. Representative Turner, 2921? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2921, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Flider on Senate Bill 2962. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2962, a Bill for an Act concerning driving privileges. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

112th Legislative Day

- Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Mendoza on Senate Bill 2985. Representative Mendoza, 2985? Out of the record. Representative Smith on Senate Bill 3011. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 3011, a Bill for an Act concerning public safety. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Chapa LaVia on Senate Bill 3018. Out of the record. Representative Miller on Senate Bill 3076. Representative Miller. Do you wish us to read that? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 3076, a Bill for an Act concerning law enforcement. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Turner, I understand you have an important announcement for all House Members."
- Turner: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to remind the Members that we're gonna have our softball practice tonight. The annual game is tomorrow night. Practice will start 30 minutes immediately after Session. We'll be practicing at Sacred Heart-Griffin field. That's due west on Washington Avenue for those interested in playing. The game is tomorrow night at 6:00, practice tonight a half hour after we adjourn Session."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. On page... on page 6... excuse me. Representative Mendoza, for what reason do you rise?"

Mendoza: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For purpose of an announcement."

Speaker Hannig: "Proceed."

"Ladies and Gentlemen, I... I know that we've all Mendoza: received this yellow sheet... If I could get everyone's attention, please, for a minute. We've all received this yellow sheet with committees and other information, but I'd ask you to please take special notice under the information There's a visitation and funeral information for our doorman John Warrington. In case some of you have not heard, he unfortunately passed away on Friday and his visitation and wake services are tonight. So, if... I mean, personally... on a personal note, I would appreciate it if as many people from this chamber would take a few minutes of their time to please stop by and pay their respects to John. He was such a wonderful guy. Prayed for all of us even if we had a simple cold, and tonight it would be wonderful if you would go pray for him. So, the information is on this sheet and I would welcome all of your guys' support tonight. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Parke, for what reason do you rise?"

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The sheet that she's referring to I notice is out on your side of the aisle, but we have not gotten that on our side of the aisle. And we would like to have it because we feel, as we have all Session, that we're being left out in the cold and that we're mushrooms

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

and we're kept in the dark. So, if you could have somebody get it over to us we would appreciate that."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr... Mr. Clerk, could you arrange that the Republican side of the aisle gets the schedule for the rest of the week. Okay. There were three Bills that the Rules Committee released Amendments on: Senate Bill 1705, Senate Bill 2469, and Senate Bill 2680. So, we'll just go back to those three Bills. Senate Bill 1705 is on page 6 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1705, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Currie, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. This Amendment reflects discussions in the committee and suggestions from other committee Members, primarily technical in nature. It will establish that people who decide to go on to the real-time pricing program have to stay there for 12 months and that the economic benefits... can consider, although economic benefits is the name of the game, other issues like the stability, the system, the reliability, and the power quality. I know of no opposition and I'd appreciate your support for the Amendment."

Speaker Hannig: "On the Amendment, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Parke: "Representative, I have on my... on my House analysis that it says that this is a good Bill, so we thank you for sponsoring it."

Currie: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Is there any further discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2469.

Representative Saviano. Is the Gentleman in chamber? Okay.

Out of the record. And Senate Bill 2680. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill, please. Representative Acevedo, do you wish us to read this? The Amendment's out of Rules, so...

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2680, a Bill for an Act concerning law enforcement. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

Amendment #1 was approved in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Acevedo, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Acevedo on the Amendment. Okay.

Let's just take it out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. We're gonna move to the Order of Concurrence on page 11 of the Calendar. For those items where the concurrence Motions have been approved, we're gonna down the list. The first is House Bill 3126. Representative Hultgren. You're recognized, Representative."

Hultgren: "I move to concur on this, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hannig: "Do you wish to explain it, briefly?"

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Hultgren: "Give me just one moment. I'm sorry. Yeah, there was just a quick change here. This is... Mr. Speaker, this is, if you remember, a Bill in regards to videotaped speeding through construction zones, and what... some change has been made. The Senate has made it an immediate effective date, and one other thing... one second... and then there's also a spelling correction on it. So, they're both minor changes. One was to make it immediately effective and then also to make the spelling correction. And I would ask for concurrence on this."

Speaker Hannig: "So the Gentleman moves that the House concern...

concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3126. Is there
any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall the House
concur in the Senate Amendment and shall this Bill pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
voted who wish? Mr. Clerk... Representative Jakobsson, do
you wish to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On
this question, there are 111 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'.
And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1. And this
Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby
declared passed. Representative Parke, for what reason do
you rise?"

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in a point of personal privilege. I would like to make an announcement to the Body that the Commission on Governmental Forecasting and Accountability has been... the time has been changed to 9:30 tomorrow morning and the room has changed to Room 400 in the Capitol. Again, Commission on Governmental Forecasting and

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Accountability, Room 400, and the time has been changed to 9:30 a.m. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Thank you, Representative Parke.

Representative Burke, your Motion to Concur on House Bill
4121 was approved by the Rules Committee. Do you wish to
present the Motion at this time? The Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Burke."

Burke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 would add the Congressional Medal of Honor to the Bill that we passed, along with the Distinguished Service Cross, the Navy Cross, the Air Force Cross, the Silver Star, and the Bronze Star to the list of those that would not be able to impersonate their actual receiving of that honor from the U.S. Government. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4179. Representative Osmond."

Osmond: "Like to make a Motion to Concur."

Speaker Hannig: "Proceed."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Osmond: "This particular... Amendment #1 from the Senate is a technical change. This Bill provides that persons convicted of certain crimes, identity theft, and sex offender-type crimes may not change their name."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Lang: "Thank you. Representative, I don't have any problem with your Bill, but you said that the Amendment was technical, but it looked to me like the Senate... the Senate amended everything after the enacting clause. So, they just changed the whole Bill and then made a minor change?"

Osmond: "Representative, they said that they wanted to tweak it to make it better. So they called it a tech... a technical change."

Lang: "But actually it's a tweak?"

Osmond: "Right. A tweak."

Lang: "It's not a change or an Amendment, it's just a tweak?"

Osmond: "That's right. That's right."

Lang: "All right. I'm okay then."

Osmond: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Is there any further discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Durkin, do you wish to be recorded? Have all

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 12 of the Calendar is House Bill 4446. Representative Howard, would you like to handle this concurrence, please. The Lady from Cook, Representative Howard."

Howard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for concurrence for Senate Amendment #1, House Bill 4446. This Bill would modify the penalty relevant to halfway houses that are established in heavily populated areas. It would eliminate the Class A misdemeanor provision of House Amendment #2. It would require that a halfway house must give notification to local law enforcement authorities within 48 hours after a person takes up residence at the halfway house. Failure... failure to comply with this provision would mean a \$1 thousand fine in each case. Lastly, this Amendment clarifies that halfway houses, under the Bill, are those that are not operated by the Department of Corrections."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady moves for the concurrence in Senate Amendment #1. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Molaro."

Molaro: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Howard, I know it's hard... maybe you can hear me or look at me. I'm there on the right, on the right-hand side. You can see me up there. You know, you had... I thought you had a great Bill. Remember we talked about this Bill an hour... Now, I'm... I'm trying to read this Amendment and it almost doesn't seem to do what you were trying to do, and that seems unfortunate.

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

I mean, remember we were talkin' about that they would have to comply with local zoning, because not only yourself but there were, like, three other Reps that were on our committee that they were going out there and building these residencies and people in the neighborhood, the alderman, and ourselves, we weren't even knowing what they were doing and all of the sudden some halfway house popped up. And I think what we did was making sure that that would go along with zoning laws and go out and get signatures, 400 feet. I mean, does this Bill... this Amendment, does it even resemble the Bill that we were trying to pass?"

Howard: "Actually, I had wanted things to be a little bit tougher on those individuals or organizations that decided not to comply with the law... or the ordinances in the city. But unfortunately, not all of my colleagues thought that they wanted it that way, and then the Senate decided to just eliminate the requirement of signatures altogether. And so, this is going to be of some benefit because it will require that anyone who violates and does not follow the... the guidelines or the... or the... the laws that are already in place in the cities, that they're gonna be penalized in a... in a manner that is hopefully going to give them some incentive... or disincentive to do what they're doing."

Molaro: "So... so it's still... still a good Amendment, but it just doesn't do what we were trying?"

Howard: "Well, it's still it's a good Amendment because, again, it is more than I had."

Molaro: "Okay."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Howard: "But I'm... I've become convinced that I will not get all that I want, so I have... I've decided to accept this."

Molaro: "Okay. Thank you."

Howard: "Thank you for your questions."

Speaker Hannig: "Any further discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4446 and shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Rita. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Chapa LaVia on House Bill 4711."

Chapa LaVia: "Oh, shoot."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Chapa LaVia."

Chapa LaVia: "I just... I just broke this. Yes, Speaker, I'd like to concur with Senate Amendment #1. What it does, it clarifies that the permissive presumption applies to violations subs... subsequent relating to owning, possessing, or training dogs to be used in dog fighting and redefines 'training paraphernalia' for dog fighting by re... rereferencing the definition provided in the current law. It also provides that evidence from convictions that are no longer needed for court proceedings investigations shall be available for training peace officers. I broke this. It's the military training."

112th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "The Lady has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Kelly, do you wish to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Delgado, you have House Bill 4829. Representative Delgado."
- Delgado: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4829.

 The provisions, number one, are identical to 4828, introduced during the General Assembly, which provided that a change... a charge filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission shall be deemed concurrently filed with the Department of Human Rights. And I would ask for everyone's concurrence."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Then... the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "...turn me on. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Hannig: "Indicates he'll yield."
- Black: "Representative, if the investigation can't be completed in a hundred days, I see nothing in the Bill... Well, let me rephrase that. I've been here long enough to know that if

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

you don't give a state agency, a bureaucracy if you will, an often misused word, but if you don't give a state bureaucracy some kind of timeline this investigation can go on for years."

Delgado: "Absolutely."

Black: "Is there any... any time limit at the... you know, I mean, at some point you have to wrap this thing up."

Delgado: "No, absolutely, Representative. At this point, putting out the number 100 days would be the only thing to try to keep it within that context. Periodical... periodic checks in terms of every 30 days are part of the process. However, hopefully, we don't let it go past the hundred. However, now with this being in place we have at least a doorstop, if you will, to at least know that every 30 days someone will be looking at it, trying to keep it from going over that hundred. But I agree with you, it's not a doall, but it's... this one's moving in the right direction. And I'll be very frank, it's the best answer I can give you 'cause I don't work for that department."

Black: "Representative, is there a statute of limitations for these kind of violations? I mean, is there a... does a court recognize a specific period of time in which the complaint against you must be resolved?"

Delgado: "I cannot answer that question. I don't know. I would just think it would be within reasonable people."

Black: "Staff says you have to bring the complaint within a hundred and eighty days. We're trying to figure out if after you bring the complaint there is, by either case law

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

or whatever, a period of time at which the... the department either has to render a judgment or it's dismissed."

Delgado: "Right. I don't see anything within my writings here that would indicate that they've given themselves a timeline other than the department's adopting of the EEOC's determination process in order to use that process un... under the Act to be able to give themselves the timelines. I would just intelligently assume what is reasonable. And knowing State Government, as you pointed out, reasonable could be anywhere from three weeks to..."

Black: "Okay."

Delgado: "...to nine months."

Black: "And I... Representative, I think you hit the operative word. There... there is a huge backlog, and I expect you're going to be here for a considerable period of time, as well you should."

Delgado: "Thank you."

Black: "I would hope that when that backlog is reduced, that we might wanna come in and set a... a hundred and fifty days, a hundred and seventy-five days, some kind of reasonable time period. Ya know, because if... if you were the accused, I don't think you'd want to deal with this for 2 years or 3 years, you want some kind of reasonable period of time, and maybe with the backlog a hundred days is not reasonable. But hopefully, in 2 or 3 years when it's caught up, you will bring a Bill back to this Body that says, look, henceforth, there's x-number of days that you have to resolve the complaint or it's dismissed."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Delgado: "And I agree with you, Representative Black. I appreciate you for pointing that out 'cause I... this came to me from the department and so I wanna sit what the wonderful staff, Leslie Boucree and others, to be able to let them know, I know..."

Black: "All right."

Delgado: "...they're listening in, and I would take this information to them and look forward to sharing that piece of legislation between you and I, so we can do exactly that."

Black: "I would appreciate that. Thank you very much."

Delgado: "Looking forward to it."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Rose."

Rose: "Thank you. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Rose: "Representative, off of what Representative Black just mentioned, it appears that the statute of limitations on this was 100 days because the subsection (2) that doesn't get changed by your legislation says if the... if the department is unable to complete the investigation within a hundred days after the charge is filed, the department shall notify the complainant or respondent in writing. So, it appears that there is some leeway and flexibility here in subsection (2) to the department."

Delgado: "It appears you have the Bill in front of you, Representative, and I appreciate that. It seems like it would be the 100 days, although I think we... Representative... the previous speaker indicated we should sit down and get a

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

threshold number. And I think a hundred's actually too long. And being able to sit down... and we figured if we can do 30-day checks then maybe we should be thinking about quarterly, we should keep it under a hundred, make it 90 days, or even 60. I think that's something reasonable we should talk about. I do appreciate... I'm not sure if you have a question with it, but that you didn't say that it does come down to about a hundred days."

Rose: "And... and I guess all I'm saying is I think that there's already some flexibility built in. I'll accept your offer. I'd like to work with you because..."

Delgado: "Absolutely."

Rose: "...if you ever had anybody that suddenly 6 or 7 years later... after a license is suspended after a traffic ticket from 6 or 7 seven years ago, there has to be an end to these things at some point in time, and you can't give a bureaucracy an open-ended carte blanche."

Delgado: "And it makes all the sense. That's why we're here to deal with these tedious details of government, and I appreciate the catch on that one."

Rose: "Well, I know you're a man of your word, Representative.

So..."

Delgado: "And I hope we can make those catches tomorrow against the Senate out there on the softball team. Hopefully, we'll make those plays right there and catch those little ones that have fallen between the cracks."

Rose: "Well, I'll look forward to working with you, Representative."

Delgado: "Yeah, all right. Thanks, Representative."

112th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any further discussion? Then Representative Delgado to close."
- Delgado: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just ask for a concurrence."
- Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Bill nu... Senate Amendment #1. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Saviano, you have House Bill 4835. Representative Saviano."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise on a Motion to Concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4835. Senate Amendment #1 is simply the Amendment that we put on my railroad Bill last week which passed out of here. It limits the authority for cameras for railroads and for red lights, and not for speeding. This is an Amendment that passed out of the Senate. We've passed it out of here already. And I would ask that we concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4835."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Rose, you're recognized to concur on House Bill 4960. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Rose."

Rose: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate Amendment #1 clarifies that both the district and the territory it's leaving, in addition, it's... it's attempting to annex it, have the right to offer testimony before a judge. This just gives both the annexing as well as the... the other... the current territory the opportunity to go before a court and plead their case to the court. Both sides get an opportunity and we're just gonna make sure that... that we stay and adhere to democratic principles with this Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. back to a couple of Second gonna go Readings. Representative Saviano has Senate Bill 2469. Senate Bill

112th Legislative Day

- 2469. That's on page 8 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2469, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. The Bill's been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted to the Bill. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Saviano, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Saviano."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Floor Amendment #2 is a technical change requiring license without therapeutic certification. This simply allows them to be put on nonrenewed status, and they only could be renewed after the department's requirements are met. I would ask that we adopt Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2469."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it.

 And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Saviano, do you wish us to read Senate Bill 2556 on page 8 of the Calendar? Okay. Out of the record. Let's return to Representative Acevedo and Senate Bill 2680. That's on... 2680. That's on page 9 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2680, a Bill for an Act concerning law enforcement. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Representative Saviano, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Acevedo, do you wish to present the Amendment?"

Acevedo: "Yes."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Proceed, Representative."

Acevedo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I apologize to Representative Saviano. House Amendment #2 reinstates the auxiliary policemen status as conservatives of the peace. Conservatives have the same rights and abilities as peace officers. Members of the Jud II Committee wondered why the Bill was requiring auxiliary policemen to go through weapons training but was withdrawing their ability to arrest and function as conservative peace officers. This action just reinstates their police powers... the powers of arrest. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Okay. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of Senate Bill 2841? I believe it's... we moved it to Third. Is that correct, Mr. Clerk? Okay. It's on... it's on Third Reading. Return this to the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. Senate Bill... on page 5 of the Calendar is Senate Bill 702. The... Mr. Clerk, the... would you read the Bill, please."

112th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 702, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Bolin: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 1114, offered by Representative Rose. House Resolution 1115, offered by Speaker Madigan. House Resolution 1117, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 1118, offered by Representative McCarthy. House Resolution 1119, offered by Representative Sacia. House Resolution 1120, offered by Representative Flider. House Resolution 1123, offered by Representative Gordon. House Resolution 1124, offered by Representative McCarthy. House Resolution 1125, offered by Representative Bill Mitchell. House Resolution 1126, offered by Representative McCarthy. House Resolution 1127, offered by Representative Howard. House Resolution 1128, offered by Representative Flowers. House Resolution 1130, offered by Representative Hassert. House Resolution 1131, offered by Representative Hannig. House Resolution 1132, offered by Representative Hannig. And House Resolution 1133, offered by Representative Granberg."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. On page 2 of the Calendar, under the Order of House Bills-Second Reading, is House Bill 4604. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

112th Legislative Day

4/3/2006

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4604, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Munson, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Munson."

Munson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we're looking at Floor Amendment #3?"

Speaker Hannig: "Do you wish to withdraw #2? Two and 3 are both out, Representative. So what's your pleasure on 2?"

Munson: "Yeah, withdraw 2."

Speaker Hannig: "Withdraw. The Lady withdraws Amendment #2.

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Munson."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Munson."

Munson: "Thank you. Floor Amendment 3 becomes the Bill. It creates the New Generation Manufacturing Competitiveness Council under the direction of DCEO for the purposes of advocating, coordinating, implementing policies to help Illinois manufacturers compete in the global marketplace. I..."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? Then all in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

112th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Are there any announcements before we adjourn? Are there any announcements? The Clerk is ha… has an announcement. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Tomorrow at 1:00 during Session there'll be a special memorial service for soldiers from Illinois who have died in the line of duty during the past year. That's tomorrow at 1:00 during Session."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Currie now moves, that allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, that the House stands adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, April 4 at the hour of 12 noon. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion is adopted and the House stands adjourned."
- Clerk Bolin: "The House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 5778, offered by Representative Mulligan, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. First Reading of this House Bill. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."