109th Legislative Day

- Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. We ask the Members and our guests in the gallery to turn off cell phones, laptop computers and pagers, and we ask our guests in the gallery to rise and join us for the... the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. We shall be led in prayer today by the Revered Roy Brown of the Progressive Baptist Church in Aurora. Reverend Brown is the guest of Representative Chapa LaVia."
- Reverend Brown: "Thank you. God of our fathers, masterful and merciful, we give thanks to Thee. We thank You for men and women bold enough to govern the masses. Lift them up when they speak with one voice, be a weight on their conscience when they fail to compromise. And as the gap widens between the haves and the have-nots, may this Body be compassionate. And bless those in this House that they may reach across Party lines, beyond boundaries, and seek a balance in government that is fair, honest, and righteous even before higher power. And at the end of the day, may each man and each woman merit the honor of good and faithful servant. Amen."
- Speaker Madigan: "We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Washington."
- Washington et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Madigan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Currie."

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representatives Jones and Patterson are excused today."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please let the record reflect that Representative Leitch and Tenhouse are excused today."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. There being 113 Members responding to the Attendance Roll Call, there is a quorum present. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "Rules Report. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules, to which the following legislative measures and/or Joint Action Motion were referred, action taken on March 28, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'approved for floor consideration' and recommends be adopted and referred to the Order of Resolutions is House Resolution 1004, Amendment #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 385, Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 509, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2235, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2456, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2469, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2868, and Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2873. The following Resolutions are referred to the House Committee on Rules: House Resolution 1070, offered by Representative Durkin. House Resolution 1073, offered by Representative Sacia. House Resolution 1078, offered by Representative Munson. House Resolution 1079, offered by Representative Washington. House Resolution 1080, offered by Representative Jakobsson. House Resolution 1084, offered by Representative John Bradley. House Resolution 1085, offered by Representative John Bradley. And House Joint Resolution 111, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia."

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

- Speaker Madigan: "On page 3 of the Calendar there appears Senate Bills-Third Reading. Mr. Holbrook, did you wish to call Senate Bill 819? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 819, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Holbrook: "Thank you, Speaker. Okay. Senate Bill 819 is just a TIF extension from 23 to 35 years with a small geographic increase. All taxing bodies are in favor of it and, in fact, it was initiated by the local school district. They need it for one of their buildings within their... for their main office building. I'd be glad to take any questions. I know of no opposition. I believe this passed out of the Senate unanimously."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'

  There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Has Mr. Jenisch voted? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 112 people voting 'yes', 1 person voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Reitz, Senate Bill 827. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 827, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Reitz."

Reitz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 827 is a follow-up from a Bill we did a few years ago. It just clarifies the requirement that a... for an employer to bargain, as the exclusive bargaining agent, relating to conditions,

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

criteria, and procedures for the promotion of the employees. It affects... it's an initiative of the firefighters and I'd appreciate your support and I'd be happy to answer any questions. But it just clarifies the applica... applicable positions and it's an agreed Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 113 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. For what purpose does Mr. Bost seek recognition?"

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Madigan: "State your point."

Bost: "Yes, if I could, I'd like the Members to welcome some students that are here from Lick Creek School District, District #16, in the gallery with us today. Welcome to Springfield."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative May."

May: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise for purposes of an announcement." Speaker Madigan: "State your point."

May: "Yes, I'd like to remind everyone that we have, from COWL, our fabulous fundraiser this year, Dancing with the Capitol Stars. So you've probably seen the red sheets of paper passing. Tomorrow night from 6:30 to 8:30 at the Inn at 835. Be sure you're there at 7:30 because that's when we see our Capitol Stars. And in case you're wondering just who these fabulous dancers are, we're gonna tease you a

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

little bit. We have Representative Elaine Nekritz with Brad Babcook from the Chemical Industry Council. Julie Hamos and Dan Burke are another fabulous partnership, they're doing a tango. And the likely partnership of Ken Dunkin and Susie Bassi will be doing something funky and fast. Will Davis and Robin Kelly. Matt Paprocki from the Republican staff has teamed up with Toni Berrios and they are going to do a hot salsa number. Iris Martinez and Willy Delgado are going to be fighting with them for the salsa contest queens and kings of the Dancing with the Capitol Stars. And then we have Debra Graham and Eddy Washington, and I don't know what their dance is going to be but they are going to surprise us all. Don't miss out on this great fun and to help raise money for our Scholarship Fund. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Is Mr. Joyce in the chamber? Mr. Bradley. Rich Bradley. Senate Bill 1214. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1214, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this civil... of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bradley."

Bradley, R.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 1214 is the annual IDOT land transfer legislation. The Bill conveys interest in... in properties, repeals the previous conveyance, and it releases easements, restores access rights, and amends the legal description of a previously conveyed property. There is no opposition to the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Black. Mr. Black. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill

109th Legislative Day

- pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Has Representative Coulson voted? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 113 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Osterman, did you wish to call Senate Bill 2156? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2156, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Osterman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This legislation makes a simple change to controlled substance and the cannabis offense. Basically change the word from 'premise' to 'premises'. And I would ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."
- Parke: "Sometimes I'm a little nervous about one word change, whether or not the underlying legislation is all that's intended for the Bill. Is this your intent that this Bill will only be used for this issue?"
- Osterman: "It's just... that is the intent. And it's an initiative of the Cook Cor... Cook County Public Defender, Illinois Association of Chiefs of Police, the Cook County States Attorneys to change..."
- Parke: "And so it di... it does solve a problem that they've found?"

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Osterman: "Yes."

Parke: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 113 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Is Mr. Saviano in the chamber? Representative Yarbrough. Representative Hamos, do you wish to call 2238? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2238, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

"Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. provides that a registered nurse who is licensed under the Nursing and Advanced Practice Act who is qualified by training to be an operating room nurse must be present in the operating room and must serve as what they call the 'circulating nurse' during all invasive and operative procedures. This is... this is an initiative that's already in existence by State Law in thirty-some states, and in 14 of the those states they already provide that... in 18 of those states require that a perioperative RN must be present in the operating room. In the materials we have received they give... they cite ten different reasons as to what this circulating nurse is about and why he or she is there, but the tenth most important... and the tenth is the most important reason, and that has to be patient safety. That's really what this is about. The circulating nurse would have

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

the broad perspective and would be able to assure patient safety throughout the operating procedure. I know of no opposition to this. The hospitals and the ambulatory surgical treatment centers have signed onto this. I think it's a really important initiative to provide for patient safety and I seek your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Black: "Representative, I think one reason there may be no opposition is because I think you can already do this if you want to. Isn't it... in fact, it's the practice in many hospitals, is it not?"

Hamos: "I think it is being done in many places, yes."

Black: "So why... why do we need to put it in statute? Are you aware of any hospital who has absolutely refused to do this?"

Hamos: "Well, I'm not aware of any hospital, but I think the Nurses Association, for whom this is a high priority, would say that it's important to establish by law that in ca... in an era... in an era of cost cutting and other changes in procedure, that this might be the person that goes. But under State Law, we wanna provide otherwise."

Black: "Well, do we have a State Law that says a doctor has to be in the operating room? Maybe there'll be a cost reduction and we'll get rid of the doctor and then the nurse can do the surgery."

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Hamos: "Then I think if the Medical Society asked us to do that we might actually look favorably upon that since they often seem to get their way around here."

Black: "Yes. Well, isn't that... isn't that just wonderful. thank you very much for that delightful Representative. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the When I came down here, Illinois House, to the Bill. Statutes were six volumes. Now they're eight. How many more things do we need to put in statute that are already being done? There's no opposition to the Bill because it's common practice. But no, that's not good enough for us. We have to put it in the law. So if a hospital wants to cut costs, I guess maybe everybody else can be laid off in the operating room, but it's nice to know we'll have an operating room nurse. We may not have an anesthesiologist, we may not have a backup surgeon, we may not even have a hospital the way things are going in this Legislative Session, but that's another issue for another day. But at some point... ya know, it used to be you could carry the statute books in your briefcase or your roll bag. I don't think you can do that anymore. And yet, here's a perfect example of a Bill that isn't necessary, it isn't required, it's already being done, it is common practice in the State of Illinois to do this, but let's put it in statute. Why not?"

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 107 people voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no'.

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Verschoore, Senate Bill 2241. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2241, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Verschoore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What Senate Bill 2241 does, this legislation amends the Real Estate Transfer Tax Law and Property Tax Code. It requires the Illinois Department of Revenue to allow the county recorders and registrars of title in the county government to purchase their Illinois Department of Revenue real estate transfer stamps electronically. In the present, right now they have to drive to three locations to pick these up, so it's amit's a burden on not only the counties, but it's a cost to the counties. And I'd be glad to answer any questions."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Has Representative Soto voted? Soto. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 113 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2252. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2252, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Davis."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is Senate Bill 2252.

It's an initiative of the Secretary of State's Office to

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

deal with a Antique Vehicle Show Fund that's been established as a fund that's locally held by the Secretary of State's Office. The enabling of this piece of legislation for the Secretary of State establishes fund as a locally held fund under the control of the Secretary of State to be used for the promotion and production of the annual Secretary of State's antique vehicle show. For reporting purposes, the Auditor General suggested that this Bill be passed because even though the fund was established, the Secretary of State's Office was never legislatively authorized to have it. This piece of legislation gives them that authority to maintain the fund."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no discussion... Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Black: "Representative, is there any money in the fund currently?"

Davis, W.: "I believe there is, Sir, but I don't know how much."

Black: "Our staff indicates that there has been as much as a hundred thousand dollars in the fund. And I have no quarrel with the Auditor General, I'm just curious. Has this fund ever been swept by the Executive Branch..."

Davis, W.: "Not to my knowledge, Sir."

Black: "...into the General Revenue Fund?"

Davis, W.: "Not to my knowledge, Sir."

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

- Black: "Your Bill does not appear to have an anti-sweep provision. Did the Secretary of State not want that in there?"
- Davis, W.: "Well, it's not a... it's not a matter of whether it's not a provision in there, the fund is held outside of the Treasury. It's been held outside of the Treasury..."

Black: "No, I... I understand that."

- Davis, W.: "...and continues to be held outside of the Treasury.

  But that prevents it from being swept."
- Black: "Oh, okay. Because it's and outside the normal... it's outside the normal state accounting system, but the Auditor General maintains a degree of audit integrity on the fund, correct?"

Davis, W.: "Yes, Sir."

Black: "Okay, fine. Thank you."

- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
  Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by
  voting 'no'. The Clerk... the Clerk shall take the record.
  On this question, there are 113 people voting 'yes', 0
  voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional
  Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Joyce, do you wish
  to call Senate 843? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 843, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Joyce: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 843 simply adds the Southwest Conference of Mayors to the advisory committee for the Water Reclamation District of Greater Cook County. I don't... I

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

don't know of any opponents to this. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 112 people voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Yarbrough. Mr. Brosnahan. Is Mr. Burke in the chamber? Representative Feigenholtz. Senate Bill 2283. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2283, a Bill for an Act concerning identification. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2283 is similar to a Bill that we passed last year that got stuck in Rules and had a couple of concerns that have been rectified. It... it deals with identity theft and it is a... Ya know, in my district when somebody goes into a place of business, whether it's a bar or a restaurant, some place where you need to show ID, very often that ID is taken and put... put into a little box and either copied and the magnetic code is read off of it. And that begs the question on what all of that information is being used for. This Bill essentially... which is an initiative by Jesse White, Secretary of State, says that information on these IDs can only be used for purposes of identifying the holder and cannot be sold or used for any other purposes. I would be glad to answer any questions."

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves for the passage of the Bill.

The chair recognizes Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Black: "Representative, does this have to do with the actual driver's license or a state issued ID card?"

Feigenholtz: "I believe both."

Black: "All right. It's my understanding..."

Feigenholtz: "Both."

Black: "Now, in the past... correct me if I'm wrong... in the past the Secretary of State's Office would sell some basic information from their driver's license database. Now, are you telling me that this no longer happens or this Bill will put an end to that?"

Feigenholtz: "Mr. Black, this Bill has nothing to do with the Secretary of State's Office selling information. It's about information being read off the back of a card by a business, and what... and it prohibits them from using that information or selling that information for any other purpose."

Black: "How would the busi... how would the business get access to that information, unless I gave it to them, unless somebody was selling that data?"

Feigenholtz: "Well, if you... I'm sure you've seen a little... that little black strip on the back of your credit cards and your... we have... there's an encryption code in the back of that card that has your personal information on it and some businesses are able to read that code."

109th Legislative Day

- Black: "But is there such a strip on the back of the driver's license? I don't... I don't recall quite frankly."
- Feigenholtz: "I think that there is encoded information that's readable on a computer. But it also involves even just random copying..."
- Black: "Okay. All right."
- Feigenholtz: "...or taking an ID card and copying it. And I'm sure that, of all people, Representative Black, you... you are very concerned about the misuse of information."
- Black: "Well, there's... there's no..."
- Feigenholtz: "And I know that you have issues with identity theft."
- Black: "Well, there's no question about it. Absolutely none.

  Let me make sure, before I get into that, jury commissions use driver's license data of... and other material for their jury pool. This will not in any way inhibit that, will it?"

  Feigenholtz: "No, it..."
- Black: "Okay. Yes. And I thank you for bringing that up. Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry of the Chair. I have an inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Madigan: "State your inquiry."
- Black: "Yes, who is responsible for identity theft and theft of other intellectual property in the Capitol? Is that... is that under your office or would that be under the Office of the Secretary of State?"
- Speaker Madigan: "I don't know."
- Black: "Well, I need to find out. Mr. Speaker, I'm... I stand in full support of this Bill because I have been the victim in this Capitol of identity theft, the theft of intellectual

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

property, the theft of something from my office that is irreplaceable. It's priceless. Money cannot replace what has been stolen from my office. I wanna be a cosponsor of this Bill. And I wanna full investigation. I want somebody found quilty... no, I should say ... let me change that. I want somebody indicted, I want them to have a fair trial, and then I want them to be punished to the fullest extent of the law for theft, thievery, a dastardly deed committed in the dark of night from my office. Mr. Speaker, hanging on the wall of my office, secured with a secure picture hanger, framed at considerable expense by my personal funds was a framed copy of three, count them, three World Series tickets from the Chicago Cubs in 2003. I want that back. And I don't know who stole it, but I want their identity. I want on this Bill. We're not doing enough here for Capitol security. Obviously, ya check 'em when they come in, but we're not checking them when they go out. somebody's got my World Series tickets and I want 'em back, and I will not rest until that's returned, or until we get in the World Series, whichever comes first."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 113 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'.
This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. For what purpose does Representative Munson seek rec... recognition?"

Munson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Madigan: "State your point."

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Munson: "Please give a warm welcome to residents of Willow Lake
Estates who are visiting today. They're in the gallery and
they've come along with the Mobile Home Owners Association
of Illinois. Just wanted to say thanks... thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Sacia."

Sacia: "Mr. Speaker, could I ask to have the record corrected on Senate Bill 843? I inadvertently voted 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "The record will reflect your request. Mr. Flider."

Flider: "Mr. Speaker, point of personal privilege."

Speaker Madigan: "State your point."

Flider: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, today I have several members of my district here from Macon County. They're here representing AARP in their efforts to work for the benefits of senior citizens in our state. And they are right behind me in the gallery. I'd like for you to welcome them to Springfield. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hannig in the Chair."

Speaker Hannig: "On page 4 of the Calendar, under the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading, Representative Rose, you have Senate Bill 2286. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2286, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Rose."

Rose: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate Bill 2286 seeks to correct an error in licensure regarding speech language pathology assistants. Lakeland Community College, which is located in my district of Mattoon but serves a broader area of... of East Central Illinois through a number of legislative

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

districts, had a program in place that complied with and comported with all standards of the National Speech Language Pathology Board. However, when the State of Illinois passed its own licensing Act, approximately 17 students were inadvertently left out of that Act. The intent of this Bill is to rectify an adverse situation that occurred with the passing by this Body of legislation wherein a group of students, as I mentioned, from Lakeland were inadvertently I might add that this Bill is not intended to expand the authority of the Illinois Community College Board relating to the approval of speech pathology assistant programs for the purposes of licensure. As far as I know, everybody is onboard with this. It is supported by the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation, as well as the Illinois Community College Board because, again, this was a mistake that was made and we're just simply asking that you help these 16 or 17 students who got left out in the cold. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 2286. Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Jerry Mitchell, do you wish to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Saviano, you have Senate Bill 2297. Okay, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

109th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2297, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Saviano."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 2297 is simply the extension of the sunset on the Illinois Clinical Psychologist Act. We... we merely changed the dates for another 10-year licensure and I would ask for your support. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Burke, do you wish us to read 2302? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2302, a Bill for an Act concerning fire safety. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Burke."
- Burke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This legislation would provide that cigarette manufacturers who sell their products in our state would have to provide a new technique that would cause the cigarette to exhaust if it is not being used, if someone is not smoking it. Ladies and Gentlemen, I might advise the Body that in our United States there are over a thousand deaths attributed directly to the misuse of smoking devices

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

in our society. We have the opportunity with this extinguishable device... extinguishable cigarette to save lives and property throughout our state. I would be delighted to answer any questions you may have regarding this issue."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 2302. And on that question, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm gonna feel like Don Quixote on this Bill, I'm gonna joust windmills. This Bill will obviously get ninety-plus votes, but for those of you that have grocery distributors in your district... Ya know, this sounds like a great idea. But if you're in the cigarette distribution business, and I have one in my district that probably goes through 20 thousand or more cases a week. Now, you're asking this company to tell their supplier that the only cigarettes we can accept are those that have a treatment so that they are self extinguishing. Now you may not think that's a big deal, but their whole logistics is... is going to be... ya know, it's gonna cost people money. All right? You can't expect the industry to suddenly make all of these cigarettes with this 75 percent factor that they will go out on their own. So... so the distributors in Illinois will have to be very careful of what... what cigarettes they accept. Then, in the case of the cigarette distributor in my district who distributes to a half a dozen Midwestern states, they're gonna get cases in that they can sell in Indiana, cases that they can sell in... in Iowa, cases

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

they can sell in Michigan, and then they have to make sure they'll... they'll either have to add on or do some other additional work to separate the cigarettes that they deliver to their Illinois accounts. When all is said and done, this is gonna give somebody a false sense of security because everything I've read about this... if you fall asleep with a mouth in bed, whether cigarette in your extinguishing or not, there's a strong possibility you're gonna set the bed on fire. And yeah, I think it's terrible that a thousand people a year die because they misuse cigarettes. How many thousands of people die a year because they misuse alcohol? How many people die a year because they don't pay attention while driving a car? You know, at some point... and in all due respect to the Sponsor, who I consider to be a good friend and an outstanding Legislator, at some point in the 20 years that I've been here I've learned a lesson that we cannot and should not be the nanny It's not my job to tell people in the privacy in state. their own home what they oughta do with cigarettes. If they don't know by now that they should be careful with cigarettes, matches, cigars, pipes, fireplaces, kerosene heaters, all the work that I'm gonna do as a Legislator is not gonna solve that problem. I'll tell ya what this Bill will solve, it'll cost some jobs in my district and it will raise the cost of retail cigarettes to many people throughout the State of Illinois. Now, some of you don't care. That's fine. You would hope the price would go up as high as it could. I'm a nonsmoker. Makes little difference to me. But I've seen too many jobs in my part of the state

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

leave this state and go to Indiana. If we've created 90 thousand jobs in the last year, as I see on a TV commercial, may I ask one question, where are they? Where are these 90 thousand jobs? Because I'll guarantee ya, they're not in my part of the state. Maybe they are yours. But let's keep on with rules, regulations. Let's become everybody's mother and father and continue to drive jobs out of the State of Illinois. If I'm the only 'no' vote, sobeit. But I'm sick and tired of trying to be everybody's nanny. That's not my job, that's not why I was elected, and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Fritchey."

Fritchey: "Thank you, Speaker. To the Bill. With all obvious respect to the previous speaker, he and I have disagreed on this issue for some time. First off, I've gotta tell you, when I was a kid I would have loved to have had him for a nanny. I think he would've done a fine job. But I do wanna clarify something that he had said. We don't have a thousand people a year dying from the misuse of cigarettes. And the analogy between cigarettes and alcohol is not an appropriate one. Cigarettes are the only product, when used as directed, will kill you. Everybody that dies from smoking cigarettes dies because they use them the way that they were intended. This is a way to reduce the accidental deaths from a product that already causes enough intentional It's a piece of commonsense legislation. deaths. overdue. I still believe in my heart, years from now, this Body, this state, this country is gonna wake up and realize that the jobs created by the tobacco industry, the revenues created by the tobacco industry are simply not worth the

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

tens of thousands of lives and the billions of dollars that we are costing ourselves in health care from tobacco-related illness. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "Indicates he'll yield."

Mulligan: "Representative, I'm curious as to if that paper is coated with any additional chemicals that make it not burn for the simple reason that's another chemical that people are actually ingesting when they're smoking. So, what's the paper... why does it not burn?"

Burke: "There are no additional chemicals added to the tobacco or the paper. The paper is stamped in such a way that as it is not smoked it would extinguish. There are little rings...

The on... the best way I could describe this technology... little rings that are stamped into the paper that the cigarette is made of, and that stamping causes the cigarette to extinguish."

Mulligan: "All right. So it's not treated with any additional chemical that would be harmful... not that it's not harmful enough to be smoking, but it's not treated with any additional chemical."

Burke: "Absolutely not. There are no additional chemicals, additives, anything additional to the tobacco and the actual wrapping paper that is stamped in a fashion that would cause the device to extinguish... cause the cigarette to extinguish."

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Mulligan: "All right. And then our staff says and our analysis says that this is effective only 75 percent of the time.

And so that..."

Burke: "I... I can't imagine where they would've gotten that statistic, Representative."

Mulligan: "All right. So, it's only effective 75 percent of the time?"

Burke: "My response is I can't imagine where you would've gotten that statistic. Who... what... what entity..."

Mulligan: "Our staff says they got it from the proponents of the Bill, that it's only effective 75 percent. It's not totally effective."

Burke: "From the proponents?"

Mulligan: "Right."

Burke: "I don't recall. I have not seen that particular statistic."

Mulligan: "And that that's one of the reasons it did not pass in Congress."

Burke: "Oh, it passed the committee with a very..."

Mulligan: "No. No."

Burke: "...comforting..."

Mulligan: "In Congress."

Burke: "In Congress."

Mulligan: "That they considered a similar Bill that did not pass and one of the reasons was that it's only 75 percent and he said the lobbyist told him... the proponent for the Bill said it was only effective 75 percent of the time."

Burke: "Ya know, I have to be honest with you, I don't recall hearing that statistic. And... but if it were accurate, I

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

would suggest to you that 75 percent is probably a good... good number."

Mulligan: "Well, except... I agree that 75 percent less may be, but then it also lets people think that it's okay."

Burke: "So in other words, if we're insisting that 1 thousand deaths in this country are attributed..."

Mulligan: "No, but I... I'm in..."

Burke: "...to misuse of cigarettes."

Mulligan: "I think it should say something on the package that says 'effective 75 percent of the time', you still should be aware and not fall asleep smoking. You know what I mean?"

Burke: "Well, let's face it."

Mulligan: "I mean, it's not... it's not a fail safe here."

Burke: "Representative, let's... let's... let's get real here. If somebody is a smoker and they fall asleep with a cigarette, I mean, that's an acc..."

Mulligan: "They don't much care, right."

Burke: "...that's an accident."

Mulligan: "Right."

Burke: "And there's nothing that you would print on that package that would prevent that acc... accident from occurring. Please admit that there's only so much we can do. And Ladies and Gentlemen, if we can't ban the sale of these cancer sticks, why not try to at least prevent not only the death of the smoker, but the families of those people and the tenants in apartment buildings where there are these horrendous fires."

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Mulligan: "I don't disagree with that. You asked... you answered my main question. The main question was if the paper was treated with anything. Thank you."

Burke: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Moffitt: "Representative, would you clarify? I believe one of the largest tobacco companies, Phillip Morris, I believe... are they neutral or are they actually a proponent?"

Burke: "Phillip Morris is neutral."

Moffitt: "Neutral. And... and so started out from the standpoint of possibly an opponent, but they actually are neutral on this."

Burke: "They are neutral."

Moffitt: "Okay. To the Bill."

Burke: "There wa... as you know... as you recall in the committee, there was one tobacco manufacturer that was opposed, RJ Reynolds."

Moffitt: "Right. And I believe they remain opposed."

Burke: "Correct."

Moffitt: "To the Bill. I just want to commend the Sponsor for his efforts on this legislation. I think without question this does improve the margin of safety. We're not the first state to do this. New York, Vermont, California have already adopted this and it's my understanding this type of legislation is being considered in Alaska, Alabama, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Oregon, Rhode Island, Texas, Wisconsin, and

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Washington being considered. It's... so I think we're gonna see it increase all the time. Will it stop every cigarette from starting a fire? Of course not. But it greatly improves the odds. I used the analogy in committee, we have technology on a lot of equipment to make it safer. be we had a lot of fires caused by people leaving an iron plugged in after they'd ironed their clothes. And if, of course, the surface was down, a lot of house fires caused by irons. Now irons are required to automatically shut off if they're not used for a certain length of time. It's greatly reduced the number of fires caused by... by leaving an iron Similar technology here. It will improve the plugged in. odds, will reduce the number of fires. Harvard School of Public Health conducted a study on these cigarettes, and it's my understanding that smokers say there's no difference And Representative, if you haven't already in taste. commented, the actual selling price, I don't believe is increased or... it's the same price, I believe. Is that Anyway, when the questions came up about this correct? material being more harmful, Harvard made the following comment: 'While we find the numbers scientifically interesting...', charging that it would be less safe for health, they, '...do not support the premise that reduced ignition propensity cigarettes are any more or less harmful than conventional cigarettes. Both products are extremely lethal in terms of how... health outcomes.' Either way. But their concluding sentence is, 'Reduced ignition cigarettes reduces only the risk of fire death, but given the larger number of deaths from fires, they should be required.'

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Doctor Gregory Connolly, Harvard School of Public Health. We've had some excellent debate on this, but the bottom line is the evidence is there. We will reduce the number of fires. Totally eliminate them? No, but improve the odds that we're gonna reduce the number of fires. For that I certainly would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Burke to close."

Burke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Let me respond to an argument that was raised by a previous speaker in suggesting that this legislation would affect opportunities or additional costs to vendors in our state. That is not the case. We have a date built into the legislation that would provide for the year after January 1, 2008. If people are aware of this legislation, which they certainly will be through their manufacturers distributors of the product, you will understand that these devices, these cigarettes will be technology aware and they will be extinguishable. This will have no affect on the retail merchants of our state. Furthermore, Ladies and Gentlemen, smolding... smoldering cigarettes are the leading cause of fire deaths in America and also result in thousands of serious injuries and nearly one-half billion dollars in property loss. Senate Bill 2302, which would require the sale of the self-extinguishing cigarettes in Illinois beginning in 2008, may be a new concept to you but it's one that has been in the works throughout the country since the 1970s and was even introduced in Illinois back in 1982. New York State enacted fire safety cigarette legislation and the New York State Fire Marshal's Office has reported a 30

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

percent reduction in fire deaths attributed to improper cigarette use or accidents revolving around the use of smoking materials. Since then, California and Vermont have passed similar laws and self-extinguishing cigarettes are now required to be sold in all of Canada. New York found no reduction in tax revenue nor an increase in cigarette prices. Illinois would not have to reinvent the wheel and the cigarettes would be sold using the New York standard, which is already in place in several markets. Morris, a major manufacturer of tobacco products, worked closely with the proponents of this Bill in crafting this legislation. Similar legislation is also pending in a dozen other states throughout our country. For us, Ladies and Gentlemen, to have this technology available to cut down on fire deaths and not use it is unconscionable. There were five fire deaths in the past few weeks in Chicago caused by improper cigarette use. This legislation is needed to prevent further deaths and I would certainly ask for you favorable consi... consideration and your 'aye' vote. you very much."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Turner, do you wish to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'yes' and 11 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Howard, you have Senate Bill 2320. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2320, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Howard."

Howard: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2320 permits the Department of Corrections to award GED good conduct credit upon receipt of official notification that a prisoner has passed the test rather than having to wait for the certificate to be issued. This is an initiative of the Department of Corrections. I ask for favorable consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, read Senate Bill 2345."

Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2345, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 2345 is an initiative of the Coalition of Illinois Counselors Organization. What it would do would be amend the Professional Counselor and Clinical Professional Counselor Licensing Act to clarify that professional counselors are licensed as a practice Act, and if it's a practice Act the department can actually regulate the performance of services as defined in the Act. It's

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

just to clarify and make enforcement of the Act easier. The department is neutral on the legislation. There are no opponents. Be happy to answer any questions."

- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Okay, we're gonna move... we're gonna move to the Order of Second Reading. And for those Bills where the notes have been filed and the Amendments are on the floor, we'll give the Sponsors an opportunity to read the Bill. So the first Bill on page 6 would be Senate Bill 385. Representative Molaro on 385. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 385 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Molaro, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Molaro."
- Molaro: "Yes, thank you. This just affi... affixes a decal and it just explains where the decal must go on the amusement machine. This gives all the sheriffs a uniform place to look for the decal."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it.

  And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

109th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Molaro, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Molaro on Amendment #2."
- Molaro: "Okay. I guess I just misspoke. Apparently, 1 shells the Bill and Amendment 2 does exactly what I just said it does."
- Speaker Hannig: "So is there any discussion? All in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it.

  And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative D'Amico on Senate Bill 509. Representative D'Amico. Out of the record. Representative Hamos on Senate Bill 951. Do you wish us to read that on Second Reading, on Second Reading? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 951, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Phelps on Senate Bill 1086. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1086, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House... Senate Bill 1911,
  Representative Hoffman. Do you wish us to read this on
  Second? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 1911. Senate Bill
  1911."

109th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1911, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. However, notes have been requested and not yet filed on this Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Mr. Clerk, could you correct the board to say 'Senate Bill'? And Representative... Representative Hoffman, there's been some requests for some notes on this. There's been a request for notes, so this'll stay on Second. All right. Senate Bill 2137. Representative Mendoza, shall we read this on Second? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2137, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Committee Amendments 1, 2, and 3 were approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On Senate Bill 2195, Representative Osterman wishes us to read that. So, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2195, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. We're gonna go to page 8 of the Calendar now on Senate Bills-Second Reading. Representative Berrios, you have Senate Bill 2223. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2223, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No

109th Legislative Day

- Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2230. Representative Lang, do you wish us to read this Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2230, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. All no... Motions have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Golar on Senate Bill 2235. I'm advised the Amendment is on the floor now. Do you wish us to read this? Okay. Out of the record. Representative Mathias on Senate Bill 2295. Out of the record. Representative Myers on 2303. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2303, a Bill for an Act concerning civil liability. Third Reading... Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Joyce on 2312.

  Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2312, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Ryg, you have 20... Senate Bill 2326. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2326, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No

109th Legislative Day

- Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Mendoza on 2336.

  Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2336, a Bill for an Act concerning schools. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Dugan, you have Senate Bill 2356. Shall we read that on Second Reading? Second to Third? Representative Dugan? Okay. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2356, a Bill for an Act concerning the State Comptroller. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

  Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Represent... Representative Ryg on Senate Bill 2381. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2381, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representatives... Representative Brosnahan has Senate Bill 2437. Representative Brosnahan, do you wish us to read that on Second? Out of the record. Okay. On page 9 of the Calendar... Representative Pihos on Senate Bill 2456. Okay. I'm advised the Amendment's out, Representative. So, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

109th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2456, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Pihos, has been approved for consideration." Speaker Hannig: "Representative Pihos."
- Pihos: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #1 simply allows the opportunity for all people applying for a driver's license or a state ID card at the Secretary of State's Office to receive a brochure on identity theft. And I would ask that this Floor Amendment be accepted."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it.

  And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Bradley. Excuse me. Representative Fritchey. No? Representative John Bradley on 2475. Okay. Out of the record. Representative Lang, you have Senate Bill 2487. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2487, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Meyer on Senate Bill 2555. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2555, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

109th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Bradley. Rich Bradley on 2569. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2569, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

  Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Move it to Third, Representative? Okay. Third Reading. Representative Nekritz, you have Senate Bill 2570.

  Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2570, a Bill for an Act concerning condominium property. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

  Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Yes, Representative Nekritz, you also have Senate Bill 2170. Representative Nekritz, on page 7 of the Calendar you have Senate Bill 2170. No? Okay. Out of the record. Okay, back to page 9. Representative Chapa LaVia, you have Senate Bill 2582. Out of the record. Representative Hultgren on Senate Bill 2601. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2601, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Durkin on Senate Bill 2617. Representative Durkin. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2617, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No

109th Legislative Day

- Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Parke, you have Senate Bill 2626. Out of the record. Representative Lang on Senate Bill 2630. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2630, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Hassert on Senate Bill 2631. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2631, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No... Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And before we turn the page, we'll go back to Representative Chapa LaVia on Senate Bill 2582. Representative Chapa LaVia, do you wish us to read this? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2582, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And now on page 10 of the Calendar, under the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading, Representative Jakobsson, you have Senate Bill 2650. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2650, a Bill for an Act concerning vehicles. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendments 1

109th Legislative Day

- and 2 were adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Scully, you have Senate Bill 2664. No? Out of the record. Representative Nekritz on Senate Bill 2676. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2676, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Mendoza on Senate Bill 2695. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2695, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Hassert on Senate Bill 2713. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2713, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Gordon on Senate Bill 2728. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2728, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

109th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And Representative McCarthy, do you wish us to read Senate Bill 2732? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2732, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Lindner, you have Senate Bill 2738. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2738, a Bill for an Act concerning adoption. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Rita on Senate Bill 2762. Representative Rita. Do you wish us to read that? Okay. Out of the record. Representative Pritchard on Senate Bill 2778. Okay. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2778, a Bill for an Act concerning higher education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Phelps on Senate Bill 2810. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2810, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Lang on Senate Bill 2829. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2829, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Rita, for what reason do you rise?"

Rita: "I'd like to ask for a personal privilege."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. State your point."

Rita: "I'd like to welcome one of my schools from my district,

Gwendolyn Brooks College Preparatory School from Chicago,

Southside of Chicago, who's sitting right up in the gallery

there. Can we give 'em a warm welcome."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Bellock, for what reason do you rise?"

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hannig: "State your point."

Bellock: "I just would like to extend an invitation to the Legislators to the Interagency Committee on Employees with Disabilities. They're having a reception tonight over at the state library from 5:00 to 7:00 and everyone is invited. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Thank you, Representative Bellock. On page 11 of the Calendar, at the top of the page on Senate Bills-Second Reading, is Senate Bill 2865. Representative Saviano. Okay. Out of the record. Representative Froehlich on 2868. Out of the record. Representative Mendoza on Senate Bill 2870. Okay. Out of the record. Representative Ryg, you have Senate Bill 2885. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

109th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2885, a Bill for an Act concerning business incentives. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

  No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Flowers on Senate Bill 2898. Out of the record. Representative Brauer on Senate Bill 2967. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2967, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Pihos on Senate Bill 2968. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2968, a Bill for an Act concerning immunity. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative McGuire on Senate Bill 2986. Out of the record. Representative Lang on Senate Bill 2998. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2998, a Bill for an Act in relation to charitable games. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

  No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Feigenholtz on Senate Bill 3010. 3010. Okay. Out of the record. Representative... Representative Kosel on Senate Bill 3046. Out of the record. Now we'll return to Senate Bill 2898. Mr. Clerk, read that Bill."

109th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2898, a Bill for an Act concerning schools. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. So, Mr. Clerk, on page 5 of the Calendar we have Senate Bill... Third Reading, we have Senate Bill 2873. Let's return that to Second Reading and... and I'm advised that there's an Amendment that's pending. Is that correct?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Gordon, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Gordon."
- Gordon: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, Floor Amendment 1 just changes it from the… makes the proper language regarding the juvenile department. And it's a… it doesn't change anything in the Bill, it's a technical Amendment. And I would ask for the approval. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it.

  And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On the bottom of page 8 of the Calendar we have Senate Bill 2395. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2395, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

109th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And on page 9 of the Calendar is Senate Bill 2469. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill..."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Amendment's out, I'm advised, Mr. Clerk.

  So, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2469, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Saviano, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Saviano."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Floor Amendment #1 is the culmination of agreement between the Department of Professional Regulation and the Illinois Optometric Association. With this Amendment it becomes an agreed Bill and I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it.

  And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And Representative Saviano, would you wish us to read Senate Bill 2511 for Representative Lou Jones? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2511, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And Mr. Clerk, please read Senate Bill 2556."

109th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 2556, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. No? Excuse me. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk, at the request of the Sponsor. Okay. We're gonna go now to page 2 of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills-Second Reading is House Bill 1917. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1917 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 2, 3, 4, and 5 have been adopted to the Bill. All Motions have been filed. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, move that to Third Reading then.

  Also, on page 2 of the Calendar is Senate Bill... I'm sorry,

  House Bill 2199. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill..."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill, Mr. Clerk. You need to reflect that on the board."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2199 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Howard, I'm... I understand you wish to rise on a point of personal privilege."
- Howard: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I ask that all of my colleagues please keep in their prayers Doorman John Warrington who is now hospitalized in Memorial. Please keep

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

good thoughts and hope for a speedy recovery for him. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, what is the status of Senate Bill 1086? I believe it's on Third. Is that... is that correct?" Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1086 on the Order of Third Reading." Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Return that to the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Phelps, for what reason do you rise?"

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could I please take Senate Bill 1086 and move it back to Second for an Amendment, please?"

Speaker Hannig: "We just took it back for you. Thank you.

Representative Sacia, for what reason do you rise?"

Sacia: "Mr. Speaker, a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hannig: "State your point."

Sacia: "In the gallery today we have several of our commissioners from the Freeport Park District. Would you make 'em feel welcome."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, do we have any Agreed Resolutions?"

Clerk Mahoney: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 1071, offered by Representative Kelly. House Resolution 1072, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia. House Resolution 1074, offered by Representative Sacia. House Resolution 1075, offered by Representative Sacia. House Resolution 1076, offered by Representative Sacia. House Resolution 1077, offered by Representative Sacia. House Resolution 1077, offered by Representative Bassi. House Resolution 1081, offered by Representative Flider. House Resolution 1082, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution

109th Legislative Day

3/28/2006

1083, offered by Representative Granberg. And House Resolution 1086, offered by Representative Poe."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions area adopted. Are there any announcements? Okay. Members of the Democratic side, there's been a request for a Democratic Caucus immediately after we adjourn in Room 114. So, for the Democratic Members of the House there will be Democratic Caucus in Room 114 immediately upon adjournment. In Room 114, the regular meeting room. Representative Currie now moves that the House... that allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow, Wednesday, March 29 at the hour of 11 a.m. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion is adopted and the House stands adjourned. And Democrats have a caucus in Room 114."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

Introduction and reading of House Bills-First Reading.

House Bill 5774, offered by Representative Bill Mitchell, a

Bill for an Act concerning elections. And House Bill 5775,

offered by Representative Black, a Bill for an Act

concerning elections. First Reading of these House Bills.

There being no further business, the House Perfunctory

Session will stand adjourned."