36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "The hour of 9:30 having arrived, the House will be in order. The Members will be please be in their seats. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones and pagers and rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. We will be led in prayer today by Donna Matthiesen with McHenry Christian Science Church in McHenry, Illinois. Donna is the guest of Representative Jack Franks."

Matthiesen: "Good morning. Let us have a moment in... of silence in respect for the passing of the Pope and then I will start with the invocation. Dear Father, Mother, God, we come to You today with humble hearts to serve You in the highest sense of integrity. In the positions entrusted to us, our ability to reflect both the fatherhood and the motherhood of God will not bless... will only bless... not only bless us, but those whom we represent from back home. ...but will strengthen our nation... national government as The motherhood qualities of purity, compassion, well. tenderness, grace, kindness, and understanding bring harmony to every aspect of our government. The fatherhood qualities of courage, strength, devotion, honesty, and integrity form the most principled spiritual foundations for our actions and decisions today. God, grant us the patience to grow in grace and serve You in the highest humility, letting Your... our light shine. Your light leads us all to express true leadership, not mere personality. And to love one another, regardless of cultural and religious differences, for we are all Your children held in

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Living these spiritual qualities makes our government and our mission of public service progressive and profitable. With Your blessings, we shall leave behind the legacy... a legacy for coming generations. Mother, God, as we live and embody these spiritual qualities, a greater sense of peace will prevail in the Grant us to be instruments of the peace Jesus promised in the book of John. 'My peace I give unto thee, not as the world giveth... give I into thee.' Especially we thank You, God, for the life of Pope John Paul dedicated to this peace. This promised peace will ultimately conquer all enemies to public well-being, all war, hate, animosity, and tragedy as we dedicate ourselves to the highest standards of which we are capable. Father and Mother of all, we ask Your blessing on the work we approach today in the spirit of this Psalm, 'Let the words of my mouth and the meditation of my hearts... my heart be acceptable in Thy sight, Oh Lord, my strength and my Redeemer.' Amen."

- Speaker Hannig: "We'll be led in the pledge today by Representative Connie Howard."
- Howard et al: "I pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Hannig: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Lang."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Democratic excused absences today are Representatives Acevedo, Boland, Currie, and McKeon."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Black, Bost, Kosel and Munson are excused absence today."
- Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, take the record. There are 109
 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. I
 would just like to make the Members aware if they haven't
 already checked their desk, you have a copy of the official
 Rules for the 94th General Assembly available. Mr. Clerk,
 Committee Reports."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Committee Reports. Speaker Michael J. Madigan, Chairman from the Committee on Rules, to which the following legislative measures and/or Joint Action Motions were referred, action taken on April 7, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'approved for floor consideration' is Amendment #4 to House Bill 360, Amendment #2 to House Bill 711, Amendment #3 to House Bill 1063, Amendment #3 to House Bill 1272, Amendment #2 to House Bill 2417, Amendment #1 to House Bill 2449, Amendment #2 to House Bill 4051, and Amendment #1 to House Bill 4052. Representative Feigenholtz, Chairperson from the Committee on Environmental Health, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 8, 2005, reported with the following recommendation/s: the same back 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Representative Molaro, Chairperson Committee on Judiciary-Criminal Law, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 07, back with reported the same the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment House Bill 1094. Representative Feigenholtz, Chairperson from the Committee on Adoption Reform, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 7, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3628. Representative Boland, Chairperson from the Committee on Financial Institutions, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 8, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 1100."
- Speaker Hannig: "On page 23 of the Calendar is House Bill 716.

 Representative Beiser. Wish us to read that Bill? No.
 Okay. Out of the record. How 'bout 3770? You want to
 move that one back to Second? 3770. You want to leave it
 on Third? Okay. Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Morning, Mr. Speaker. I was wondering, do we have a Supplemental Calendar of some sort today? Have we been given a Supplemental Calendar? We're just gonna go off or your... of your list that our staff has? Okay. Also, I have a question about the... you've listed the Rules here. Are we gon... now, every Member needs to read this over the weekend,

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

we're gonna have a test on Monday morning on the Rules. I just wanna make sure that that's part of the program here."

Speaker Hannig: "Absolutely."

Parke: "Okay, good. All right. Everybody, over the weekend you've got some reading material. Pop quiz. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "You have to grade all those tests, Representative Parke. Representative Beiser, the Chair's advised you wanted to move House Bill 3770 from Third to Second. Is that correct? Mr. Clerk, would you return House Bill 3770 to the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Bradley, you have House Bill 2411 on page 25 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2411, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is the same Bill that I presented earlier in the form of an Amendment, the only difference is... it's because we're dealing with both juveniles and adults we have to have two separate facilities. They're contiguous to one another, they're across the street from one another... the alley from one another. We have a huge problem with meth in this state. We're doing a better job of dealing with the enforcement, the Republicans have taken some good steps this year in dealing with meth. We've taken some good steps over the last couple of years in dealing with meth and this is part of our ongoing efforts to do a better job

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

of getting people off of methamphetamine. So I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2411. This is on Short Debate. Does anyone stand in opposition? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 3874. Representative Brosnahan. Okay. Out of the record. Representative Burke has House Bill 1362 on page 24 of the Calendar. Okay. Out of the record. Representative Chapa LaVia, you have House Bill 1525 on page 10 of the Calendar. Okay, we'll take that out of the record. Representative Cross has... on page 25 of the Calendar, has House Bill 1541. So, Representative Coulson will be recognized on this Bill. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1541, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Coulson."

Coulson: "Tha... thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1541 requires the State Board of Education to establish a school health recognition program to publicly recognize schools that have implemented programs and/or policies to increase the physical activity and nutritional health of their

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

students. This is an initiative of the healthy schools campaign and the Illinois Association of Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance and the American Heart Association all support this legislation. I can answer any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "This Bill's on the Order of Short Debate.

Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is,
 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed
 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
 all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
 Representative Wyvetter Younge, would you like to be
 recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question,
 there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this
 Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby
 declared passed. On page 24 of the Calendar is House Bill
 1448. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1448, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This creates a new division in the Department of Human Services, which called the Bureau for Mentally Ill and Substance Abusing Children and Adolescents. Basically, this stems from the Fee-For-Service hearings we had last year. The stakeholders work group got together and said that what we need to do is create a better organization and coordinated effort to try and determine the problems people

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

are having both with mental illness and substance abuse. I would ask for its passage."

- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Hassert, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Brosnahan, would you wish us to call House Bill 3874? Mr... Mr. Clerk, on page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 3874, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3874, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Brosnahan."
- Brosnahan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3874 is an initiative of the City of Chicago. This Bill does a... a number of things. Number one, it increases the penalty for official misconduct from a Class III felony to a Class II felony. This puts official misconduct on the same level as bribery, which is already a Class II felony. This Bill also creates the offense of a public contractor misconduct. It makes it a Class II felony. It defines 'public contractor' as one seeking a public contract on behalf of himself or another

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

or as an employee of a public contractor. A person commits the offense of public contractor misconduct when in the performance of or obtaining of a public contract, he intentionally or recklessly fails to perform any mandatory duty required by statute or ordinance or knowingly performs an act the contractor knows is forbidden by law or with the intent to obtain a personal advantage performs an act in excess of his unlawful authority or solicits or knowingly accepts a fee that he is not authorized by law to accept. I know of no opposition to this legislation. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. This is on the Order of Short Debate.

And in response is Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Parke: "Representative, I... I see that this is an initiative of the City of Chicago. What... what are we trying to solve?

What... what's happening that needs to be corrected here with your legislation?"

Brosnahan: "Well, Representative Parke, I think this is a very important piece of legislation because when the statute that dealt with official misconduct was first passed, we didn't have the... the amount of privatization that we have today. Obviously, that has increased as the years have gone by. We have more and more privatization of... of different services that once were performed by public employees now are done by the private sector. And if someone is trying defraud the government, it shouldn't

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

matter whether you're a... an employee of the government or you're a, ya know, from a public contractor, you should be held to the same standard."

Parke: "Well, what happened that made you put this Bill in?"

Brosnahan: "Ya know, I'm not gonna say there's anything specifically. This is an initiative of the City of Chicago. I... I just think over the years we've seen, again, with the increase of privatization there have been abuses by public contractors."

Parke: "And this affects..."

Brosnahan: "So we're trying to address that."

Parke: "This affects the whole state not just the city, though."

Brosnahan: "That's correct, it affects the entire state."

Parke: "Thank you."

Brosnahan: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Lindner."

Lindner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Lindner: "Yes, just a little follow up on that. Can you tell us why the section on official misconduct does not cover this and why you had to add this?"

Brosnahan: "I... I'm sorry, Representative, I could not hear that questions."

Lindner: "Oh. Why the section already on official misconduct does not cover this and you needed to add public contractor?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Brosnahan: "Well, official misconduct deals with employees of the state, employees of the government. This... this deals with private contractors that are now, ya know, doing work that was once done by state employees."

Lindner: "Okay. We're... we're setting out, ya know, different...

like, a public contractor, other things, is there any
misconduct statute that would already cover this? Are we
being redundant here?"

Brosnahan: "Well, I think the only thing I can say to that is there are some cases where there may be an offense of theft or bribery that we could bring against the... these private contractors... ya know, public contractors. But, sometimes the evidence isn't there to bring that charge of bribery or theft. So maybe the State's Attorneys Office would be able to bring the... this new charge against them."

Lindner: "Okay. Thank you very much. I support the Bill."

Brosnahan: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Brosnahan to close."

Brosnahan: "I'd just appreciate an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. The voting is open, Mr. Clerk. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Wait, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes' and 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 25 of the Calendar is House Bill 1469.

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Representative Chavez, would you like to have that Bill called on Third? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1469, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chavez."

Chavez: "Good morning, Gentleman... Ladies and Gentlemen of this honorable House. House Bill 1469 amends the Criminal Code by creating the offenses of involuntary servitude, sexual servitude... servitude of minor, and trafficking of persons for forced labors and services. This law is needed to allow local and State law... Government enforce... enforcement agencies to investigate instances of human trafficking. will penalize human trafficking in order to provide local and state law enforcements with the tools that they will need to bring trafficking to justice... traffickers to This law matcheses... matches existing Federal Law and outstanding... and outlining services for victims. Human trafficking is very serious problem in the United States. Every year, the U.S. Department of Justice investigates hundreds of cases of trafficking in cities, suburbs, and... areas in every states, including Illinois. The victims of this crimes are usually poor immigrants who have been promises a better life in this country through the employment, a location, or marriage. Once they arrive... they are robbed of the identity and rights... identity and rights and keep against their will to por... to work in factories, restaurants, home... home butlers, parlors, strip clubs, and farms. This is what the modern

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

day laborer looks like. I ask everybody for their support. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on... this is on the Order of Short Debate. And in response, Representative Lindner is recognized."

Lindner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Lindner: "All right, there is an Amendment to this Bill, is that correct?"

Chavez: "Yes."

Lindner: "And the Amendment changes 'servitude' to 'involuntary servitude' of a minor."

Chavez: "Yes."

Lindner: "And is that... is that definition explained anywhere in the Bill?"

Chavez: "Yes. Hold on. We makin' it an offense."

Lindner: "Pardon?"

Chavez: "That's the label of the offense."

Lindner: "All right. Does... does the Amendment..."

Chavez: "We using it as a title."

Lindner: "All right. The Amendment does not become the Bill, is that correct? It just replaces... lines 20-31."

Chavez: "The Amendment replaces the title."

Lindner: "The title. Okay, thank you. And what are the penalties?"

Chavez: "We go from a Class IV to a Class X, depending on the... on the crime of the... nature of the crime."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Lindner: "Why such an increase? That is a large jump, why did you not, you know, go to the other felonies, Class I, II, and III, rather than going to... right to a Class X?"

Chavez: "Because human trafficking of minors deserve this type of crime, ya know, felony. Strong response on that."

Lindner: "All right. What is the position of the Attorney General, particularly on the sentencing enhancement?"

Chavez: "They don't have an... take official position, but the government is supporting."

Lindner: "All right. What... but aren't there duties that are given to the Attorney General under this Bill?"

Chavez: "Would you repeat the question, please?"

Lindner: "Aren't there duties given to the Attorney General under this Bill?"

Chavez: "No, we took those out with the Amendment."

Lindner: "Oh, okay. Those are out. But the Attorney General does support this Bill?"

Chavez: "Yes."

Lindner: "All right. Is there anyone against it?"

Chavez: "Would you repeat that?"

Lindner: "Is there anyone against the Bill?"

Chavez: "No, we don't have anybody against this Bill."

Lindner: "Is this your first Bill?"

Chavez: "No."

Lindner: "No. All right. All right, thank you."

Chavez: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Mendoza, you're the only one else seeking recognition. Why don't you... would you wanna

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

close on behalf of Representative Chavez? This is on Short Debate."

Mendoza: "I had just one very quick question..."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay."

Mendoza: "...and then I had a comment."

Speaker Hannig: "All right. The Lady will yield."

Mendoza: "Representative, what the specific genesis of this Bill?"

Chavez: "When I started this business here one of my tasks was to protect the kids out on the streets. I came from a country where I believed there was strongly trafficking of people in there, especially when they are crossing the borders. To me it's very important that all these people are protected and those people there are trafficking with minors take a punish on this crime. Kids deserve respect and people deserve respect too, though. I believe that it is not years for is laboring on this country as not... nor in anywhere in the world."

Mendoza: "Okay."

Chavez: "Kids are first for me and very important to defend them."

Mendoza: "Thank you, Representative. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise in strong support of this legislation. I just wanted to run a couple numbers for you, also. We understand the problem, the magnitude of this problem. Each year, approximately 800 to 900 thousand victims are trafficked across international borders and between 18 thousand to 20 thousand of those are brought into the

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

This is according to the U.S. State United States. Department. Now, in a recent article, a January 2004 New York Times article, I have to say that I have the sad distinction as labeling Chicagoland a national hub human trafficking. This is something that obviously has gotten out of hand. We really need to take the opportunity here to address this issue head on. And I just wanna congratulate the Sponsor on bringing this legislation forth. I know that personally... on a personal level it's very, very important to her. And I noticed that she managed to pull more Sponsors on this Bill than I did, I'm a little embarrassed about that, for my own Bill. So, just wanna rise in strong support and, again, congratulate the Representative on this fine piece of legislation. I would ask for your support, thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay, there seems to be some additional interest in the Bill, so we'll put it on Standard Debate.

Representative Sacia's recognized."

Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Sacia: "I may have missed the first part of her introduction to the Bill. How does this in any way parallel or conflict with Federal Law that is already in place, such as White Slave Traffic Act, Bills of that nature? Can you address that in any way?"

Chavez: "We follow the model federal of law. The Federal Government proposed to stay on this."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Sacia: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I... I can't hear the Lady.

Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Could we give the Members your attention, please."

Chavez: "The Federal Government proposed the states to do this and they... we have a model law to follow from the Federal Government and it matches the Federal Law."

Sacia: "Okay. So, it parallels Federal Law, is that what you're saying?"

Chavez: "Yes."

Sacia: "Thank you."

Chavez: "Thank you."

Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hannig: "Any further discussion? Then Representative Chavez is recognized to close."

Chavez: "Thank you everybody for this support. And again, God bless America."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 10 of the Calendar is House Bill 1525. Representative Chapa LaVia, you wish us to move that? Representative Smith, for what reason do you rise?"

Smith: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Yes, state your point."

Smith: "As the Members may or may not be aware, April, 2005 was commemorated as Car Care Month by House Resolution 107. In keeping with the spirit of that, let me advise you of the following: you can... you can help your tires wear longer if you rotate them on a regular basis, as indicated by the vehicle manufacturer. Generally, tire rotation usually involves moving tires from front to back and left to right on the diagonal. Thank you very much."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Stephens, for what reason do you rise? Thank you, Representative Stephens. On page 25 of the Calendar is House Bill 2512. Representative Daniels, would you wish us to read that Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2512, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with the Fee-For-Service hearings going on, last year we presented this prompt payment Bill with Speaker Madigan for passage and it received unanimous approval. We bring this once again to your attention, which would amend the State Prompt Payment Act defining quality... 'qualified providers' and giving priority to not-for-profit providers of services for the mentally ill or developmentally disabled. I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Rose, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 25 of the Calendar is House Bill 1555. Representative D'Amico. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1555, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative D'Amico."
- D'Amico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1555 intends to create speed zones around public parks that are similar to speed zones around schools. It amends the Vehicle Code by establishing speed lone... speed zones around park zones where children are present. Any questions, I'll be fr... free to answer."
- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. And in response, Representative Parke is recognized."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Parke: "Representative, this is a commendable piece of legislation. But are there any enforcements, penalties to this?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

D'Amico: "Yes, your first… your first fine'll be \$250, your second fine will be \$500, and then there's an additional \$50 fine that goes towards the safety of the parks."

Parke: "Now, is this a moving violation if they get a ticket?"

D'Amico: "Yes."

Parke: "And how will you post the information so that the driver will know that he's going... he or she is going into this kind of a zone?"

D'Amico: "It... it has to be posted by the municipalities in order to be enforced."

Parke: "So this is for the whole State of Illinois."

D'Amico: "Correct."

Parke: "And will there be any kind of... is it required to have markings on the street?"

D'Amico: "No, it won't have markings on the street but the simuthe signs will have to be posted. And this is completely voluntary by the municipalities."

Parke: "Will the City of Chicago do this?"

D'Amico: "If... if they... if they volunteer to do it, sure."

Parke: "Will there be... how do they determine that somebody's speeding? Will there be gun... speed guns used?"

D'Amico: "You can use any method of enforcement available."

Parke: "Well, are we gonna put this in the <u>Rules of the Road</u> so that the Illinois drivers know that this is a... that they need to look for this, just like if they're driving where a worker is on the road that we need to be prepared to watch out and know that they could be fined?"

D'Amico: "Definitely, it would be in the Rules of the Road."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Parke: "All right. Well, I... that I think is important that we educate Illinois's drivers that these are the kinds of laws that we are in. And also, ya know, for anybody with the Secretary of State's Office, if this becomes law, I would hope that they'd include it in the Rules of the Road along with no driving with a cell phone and... and all the other laws that we're passing that are listed in the Rules of the Road manual so that our new drivers will know that these things are punishable if they don't pay attention to their responsibility as drivers. Thank you."

D'Amico: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay, there's a number of people seeking recognition, so we'll move this to the Order of Standard Debate. And on this question, Representative Gordon is recognized."

Gordon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Gordon: "I just have a couple brief questions, Representative.

It says that the municipalities are the ones who would be able to designate the streets or the portions of the streets to set up these park zone areas, right?"

D'Amico: "Correct."

Gordon: "So then would it be the law enforcement for the municipality who would be enforcing this?"

D'Amico: "The... the elected officials throughout that municipality will... the law enforcement officials will enforce it, but the... the elected officials will create the law."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Gordon: "Oh, I understand that. But, I mean, so... but say it's in the City of Chicago, in your district. So, it would be CPD who would be giving these tickets, right?"

D'Amico: "Yes."

Gordon: "Okay. Now, I know that I have... some parks have park district police. Do they also have jurisdiction to give these..."

D'Amico: "No."

Gordon: "...tickets?"

D'Amico: "No."

Gordon: "Why not?"

D'Amico: "It's just the... it's just the city police, your State Police, and your municipality police."

Gordon: "But would..."

D'Amico: "Not... they don't give speeding tickets. Your forest preserve police don't give speeding tickets on the state roads."

Gordon: "I understand that, but wouldn't the forest preserve police be the ones who are there more often and be more able to enforce this?"

D'Amico: "This... this does not pertain to forest preserve parks, this pertains to your... your normal..."

Gordon: "Park districts."

D'Amico: "...park district parks."

Gordon: "I understand that. But there's security in park districts. So, you're not giving them jurisdiction..."

D'Amico: "No."

Gordon: "...to give the tickets, only the municipality?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- D'Amico: "They can't give a speeding ticket now, they can't...
 on... on state roads."
- Gordon: "Well, you're a lawmaker. Why don't you let 'em give a speeding ticket?"
- D'Amico: "That could be something we address later on."
- Gordon: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, it's a good Bill. I recommend an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Will Davis."
- Davis, W.: "Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."
- Davis, W.: "Representative, I certainly appreciate what you're trying to do. And I guess the one concern that I have is, what... what is the potential cost to municipalities to implement what you're suggesting?"
- D'Amico: "The potential cost right now, according to our analysis, is basically nothing. It's just gonna be the cost of the signs that you're gonna be posting."
- Davis, W.: "So we're talking... so the cost of signs is... is what the municipalities will have to absorb?"
- D'Amico: "Yes."
- Davis, W.: "Okay. Do you have any idea how much it costs to produce these signs? Anything at all?"
- D'Amico: "The signs are cur... are free."
- Davis, W.: "The signs are free? Oh, that answers my question.

 Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "Any further discussion? Then Representative D'Amico's recognized to close."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- D'Amico: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. I think this is good legislation and I just urge a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Hannig: "The question is... the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 3678. Representative Delgado. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3678, a Bill for an Act concerning schools. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Delgado."
- Delgado: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 3678 is actually a technical piece of House Bill legislation that was brought to me by the Illinois State Board of Education, which is their initiative that eliminate statutory dates for filing reimbursement claims for transitional bilingual educational programs. Currently, the School Code requires school districts to submit claims for reimbursement transitional bilingual programs quarterly and sets out four specific dates by which claims must be filed. If those dates are eliminated districts will be required to submit paperwork before the claims are paid, but there will be no penalties for those that are submitting late documents.

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Eliminating the statutory filing dates would put the bilingual education reimbursement program in line the way other programs, such as the regional safe school program, are reimbursed. And this would take effect July 1, 2005. And I would ask for your 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mulligan, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 24 of the Calendar is House Bill 990. Representative Graham. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 990, a Bill for an Act in relation to firearms. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. We'll... we'll take that out of the record. Representative Holbrook, on page 3 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 438. You want us to read that Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 438, a Bill for an Act in relation to disabled persons. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 Amendment #1 was approved in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Holbrook, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Holbrook."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Holbrook: "Thank you, Speaker. House Bill #2 (sic-Floor Amendment #2) meets the request by the committee that they requested that the asset limit could not be dropped on individuals, that DHS is establishing administrative rules on for their assets to be qualified for home services. This is exactly what we did with our community care. I know of no opposition and there's no cost."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 23 of the Calendar is House Bill 904 for Representative Howard. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 904, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Howard, do you wish us to move this Bill? Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Jenisch, do you wish us to call House Bill 3554? It's on page 26 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3554, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Jenisch."
- Jenisch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I rise today to ask for your support of House Bill 3554. This Bill places in School Code a policy statement that local school boards require students who participate in athletics

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

or extracurricular activity to summu... submit to a drug testing as a condition of participation. This Bill simply makes it permissive for school districts to create this, not mandatory. Currently, there are schools within Illinois and throughout the country that have developed drug testing policies. The highest courts have found several cases that this is permissible. The IEA, the ISBE, and School Management Alliance support this legislation and I ask you for your favorable vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "This is the on the Order of Short Debate. And in response, Representative Lang is recognized."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Lang: "Now, as I recall, Representative, you made a commitment on the floor of this House yesterday to announce very clearly when you had your second Bill. So will you announce that for us now?"

Jenisch: "This is my second Bill. In fact, it will be treated like my first Bill, as I promised yesterday."

Lang: "All right. And... and you'll recall that yesterday I withdrew a Motion to reconsider as an accommodation to you."

Jenisch: "Yes, I do. And I appreciate that."

Lang: "All right. I just wanted to state that on the record.

So, this is actually not the Bill I wanna play these games with 'cause I really have some concerns about the Bill, so I'm gonna ask you some real questions if that's all right.

I know that the Supreme Court has upheld this kind of

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

activity. But can you give us your view of the public policy behind mandatory drug testing for student athletes as it relates to privacy concerns, as it relates to self incrimination, as it relates to those types of issues?"

Jenisch: "Really, what the Bill, in essence, is... and I believe, as all of us do in this chamber, that every child in this state should get a quality education and they're entitled to that. But when it comes to exter... extracurricular activity, when it comes to athletics, that is a privilege of those children to participate in those programs. a mandatory drug testing policy would do is, hopefully, head off kids that are going down the wrong We have a serious problem in this country with illegal steroids, we have a serious problem in... in this country on drug use. And what this Bill will do is those who participate as a privilege in these programs would be, if the school board creates a policy, be tested for drugs, hopefully, heading off future problems with the children and sending them on the straight path instead of the wrong path."

Lang: "Well, I understand that public policy concern but you didn't address the other half of it, the confidentiality, the self incrimination. Let me ask you this. You're talking about not just athletics, but all extracurricular activities. So this would apply to a high school student that joined the chess club or the Latin club?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Jenisch: "It could. It's up to the local school board. This is not a mandate. It's for a local school board to develop a policy which best fits their community."

Lang: "Well, isn't this just a short step away then from saying that someone that takes any elective class that isn't reading, writing, and arithmetic could be tested?"

Jenisch: "I don't personally believe that would be true."

Lang: "Well, but..."

Jenisch: "I believe that those issues they're entitled to, where athletics and extra curriculum is a privilege."

Lang: "Well, but isn't an elective a privilege? Let's say a school has a public speaking class that a student has to be scheduled into that the teacher has to admit them into or approve. Isn't that, in essence, extracurricular? Isn't that, in essence, just one short step away from chess club or French club?"

Jenisch: "I personally don't believe so because those classes are graded, where the chess club is not a grade or a credited course."

Lang: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "To the Bill."

Lang: "You know, I was originally gonna stand here and give Mr. Jenisch a hard time 'cause it's his second Bill, but I think this Bill is actually a serious Bill and we need to talk about it briefly. And so, the public policy of this, from Mr. Jenisch's point of view, is understandable. We've got a lot of high school kids who are taking illegal drugs, particularly high school athletes who are taking steroids,

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

etcetera. In fact, I have a Resolution that I filed to create a task force to study the issue of steroid abuse among amateur athletes and I hope some of you will want to be on that task force. But there are some real confidentiality issues here, some real privacy issues here, and some real issues relative to self incrimination. So if someone wants to join the chess club and they've had some illegal substance, they're actually self incriminating themselves. And so, I'm not sure I can vote for this Bill, I'd like to hear the rest of the debate. But regardless of what the Supreme Court has said, I think there's some constitutional issues here and I would be very careful before going down this road. Thank you, Mr..."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay, there's a number of individuals seeking recognition, so we'll move this to the Order of Standard Debate. Representative Scully is recognized for 5 minutes."

Scully: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Scully: "Representative, are you aware of any schools that are presently doing this in the State of Illinois?"

Jenisch: "Yes, there are several school districts whi... which are doing mandatory drug testing as part of the policy."

Scully: "Which schools are they?"

Jenisch: "I don't... Robinson High School, I'm told. We're assembling that information for you. If you have other questions maybe we can..."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Scully: "Thank you. One of the schools that has such a policy is Homewood-Flossmoor High School."

Jenisch: "Thank you."

Scully: "Okay. With 2,800 students. They've had this policy in place for approximately 15 years. It was implemented by the head football coach, John Wrenn, who was, in fact, trying to discourage his players from using drugs. He was trying to give them one more tool to say, 'no'. Okay? We've had this... this policy in place at Homewood-Flossmoor High School for 15 years. I don't see why we need a law passed now to permit a school to do something that the school's been doing for the past 15 years."

Jenisch: "There is federal funding issues and possibility of federal reimbursement on those funds if this is included in our State School Code."

Scully: "And... and so this..."

Jenisch: "So that's why we're moving forward with this Bill, because it's..."

Scully: "Specifically, what are those federal funding issues?"

Jenisch: "Samsom, or the U.S. Department of Education Safe and Drug Free Schools Program, would assist districts with the expense of these programs. Where currently... your school district that you spoke of, my school district, 88, high school district that I graduated from that does the program, currently, can not access those funds because our State School Code is silent to it."

Scully: "Is... is the funding issue the primary motivation behind this legislation?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Jenisch: "That is one of the main issues. The other one is making, I believe, a public policy to continue our fight against drugs and illegal steroids and things of that nature in our schools."
- Scully: "And I certainly agree with that public policy and I have supported Homewood-Flossmoor High School on this proposal. My three daughters all played sports and they were all drug tested, they were proud to be drug tested. In fact, the only parents at Homewood-Flossmoor High School who are opposed to the program are the parents whose kids aren't getting drug tested. I would like to see some additional evidence about this issue about the federal funding. I was not previously aware that that was an issue. If that is true, I certainly support your Bill. If it's not true, I don't see the need for this type of legislation to... to permit schools to do what they've been already doing for the past 15 years."
- Jenisch: "Right. And I will make one comment to your statement about your three daughters and... and those who don't want it or those who aren't even subjected to it. There is actually a school out East, the school board is currently going through adopting a mandatory drug testing policy and the kids have said they will have a sit out if they don't adopt this policy because the kids want it, as have been previous mentioned, so that they can have another reason for saying 'no' and another reason for... for going straightforward."

Scully: "Thank you."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "We've now had two speak in response.

Representative Jerry Mitchell's recognized. Are you in response or in support?"

Mitchell, J.: "I'm in susport... support, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Proceed."

Mitchell, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I signed onto this Bill simply because I truly believe that... that the Representative's attempt is, number one, out of concern for students themselves. Many times students get involved in drugs because of peer pressure, not realizing that, number one, steroids can cause death, other dri... drugs can cause addiction. It's not such... as much a penalty as to get help to kids when they need it. Yes, many school districts are already doing this. Yes, this is a permissive, statewide policy. Not one that says you have to do something, but it does free up federal funds to help with this situation. Our concern is not to punish or penalize children or families. Our intent is to stop the ongoing increase in drug and drug use, some of it steroids, which are out there now because of such idols in the baseball world that kids think were great people. And now we find out that they've had performance enhancing drugs and kids want to emulate that. We have got to get our arms around the problem of drugs with our children. Not in a punishing way, but simply to say, 'We won't tolerate it, you shouldn't either.' Mr. Speaker, I think this is a good attempt and I stand in strong support of the Bill. Thank you."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Thank you, Representative. We've had one speak in favor, in addition to the Sponsor, and two in response. Representative Osterman is recognized for 5 minutes. Are you in favor or in response, Representative?"

Osterman: "Response."

Speaker Hannig: "Five minutes."

Osterman: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Osterman: "Representative Jenisch, the drug testing that would be implemented, who would pay for that?"

Jenisch: "The schools would pay for that as part of... this is not a mandated Bill. This is just suggesting that the local school districts develop a policy, which would also mean they would have to pay for it. In addition, what this does, by placing it in our School Code, it allows them the opportunity to get some reimbursements through the Federal Government for these programs."

Osterman: "Okay. The question was asked earlier about schools that are currently having these policies. Were those for athletics or were those for all activities?"

Jenisch: "It's... it's primarily for athletics, but some is extracurricular activity. I do have a survey that I could share with you if you like on... on the schools throughout the state."

Osterman: "I applaud your efforts to try to combat drugs in teens and in students. Targeting athletics, I think is something that other schools... some colleagues of mine over here have said some schools are doing that. The students

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

are supportive of that, the parents, the teachers are. My concern about yours is that I think it's very broad. And I think one of the other speakers brought up other clubs that are... that are out there that teens would use. And to me, this gets at... at the core of what we're trying to do. Your Bill is silent on what happens after someone is tested for a positive."

"That... that is the intent of the Bill and it was Jenisch: written that way. I am not one that believes in mandating school districts or other public policy onto governmental agencies. And each community may view this differently. A community may view that steroids is the issue and we're gonna create a policy for steroids in our athletic program and we're gonna pay for it this way. School districts may say, look, this is a great policy but we don't have the funding. We're gonna sit silent for now. We're gonna work on getting some federal funds. school districts may say, look, meth is a problem within our area, alcohol may be a problem in our area. So it allows, because our state is so diverse, the opportunity for each district to create a policy that best works and fits and is within the... in what their residents, their citizens and the students want."

Osterman: "Okay."

Jenisch: "Instead of us mandating and sending it down and telling them how to do it, we allow them to make the decisions in their community."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Osterman: "I appreciate that. And I quess my... my objection is that it's how we go about having young people be dealt with when it comes to drugs. And my concern is that we should try to do more on education about the concern and not kind of cast these people... cast these young people that are at a very tender age, ya know, off to the wood shed if they are involved and are tested positive. My hope would be that we would try to work with them to try to get them through that period, understand the critical, ya know, problems with drugs and drug addiction at that age and try to work on the education component and not have young people that are involved in athletics but all these other extracurricular activities that help them through that time, that they would not... you know, they would be put off to the side. And that would be my objection or my concern. depending on how this goes, if there's a way to tighten it a little bit, I think that that might be something to look at. Thank you."

Jenisch: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "We've now had three speak in response and then we'll have one additional speaker in favor. Representative Eddy is recognized for 5 minutes."

Eddy: "Thank you... thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "To the Bill."

Eddy: "I... I strongly support the concept of our children being supported in their desire to have boundaries. Our young people desire clear messages about what boundaries are and

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

what boundaries should be. Now, when you're talking about education and you're talking about classroom education, there are many issues revolving around property rights that have to be adhered to, you have to be careful. But when you're talking about extracurriculars, it's been well established that property rights do not extend extracurriculars. Now, what this Bill does is it codifies it in this state. It makes a clear public policy statement by the State of Illinois that we promote this type of ... of very, very important message being sent to our young people regarding the use of steroids and the use of drugs. had 'Just Say No' for a long time. Unfortunately, that's not been enough, statistics show us that's not enough. This type of additional support for students who wanna say 'no' is very, very important and I applaud the Sponsor for... for codifying this court case. It's also very important to note, the possibility for funding exists not only in the form of federal money but many private foundations are supporting this type of deterrent for our young people. And through this type of a statement in public policy, it's possible we'll be able to tap into additional private funding to support what will be, and has been where it has been employed, a very effective, a very effective way to get kids to say 'no'. This is good legislation and I strongly urge everyone to support this to send this message to the... to the kids in this state, there are boundaries and the General Assembly of the State of Illinois recognizes

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- those boundaries and we support those boundaries. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "So now under the rules of Standard Debate, we've had two speak in favor, three in response, and Representative Jenisch is recognized to close."
- Jenisch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you all the Members who spoke today, some very comments. I would urge you to vote 'yes' for this Bill. I think it moves in the right direction. It sends a message that we want to continue our education, we want to continue our fight against drugs in our schools and... and within our children's lives. And I would ask for your positive support. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "And the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
 All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is
 open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
 Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On
 this question, there are 85 voting 'yes' and 24 voting
 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional
 Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 23 of the
 Calendar, Representative Dunkin, you have House Bill 956.
 Do you want to call 956? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 956, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunkin. Out of the record. Okay, we'll come back to you, Representative Dunkin. Representative Burke, you have on page 24 of the Calendar, House Bill 1362. Representative

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Burke. Representative Burke, would you wish us to read House Bill 1362? Okay. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1362, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Burke."

Burke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1362 is an initiative to ban lindane, it's a chemical that is used in the treatment of scabies and head lice. And there is overwhelming evidence of its serious health effects. Lindane has been banned in California and 52 countries due to its human toxicity and potential to contaminate drinking water sources. A 1996 Food and Drug Administration review of lindane determined that it should be used only as a lice and scabies treatment of last resort. And since 1992, the World Health Organization has recommended against the use of lindane to control lice and scabies due to the potential health risks to children. And I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. And Representative Parke is recognized in response."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Parke: "Representative, is this an effective... immediately effective date on your Bill?"

Burke: "Yes. Yes, it is."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Parke: "And is there other products out there that are just as good or better than this... than the ones used with this chemical?"
- Burke: "Exactly, Representative. There are many other alternative medications and treatments. This is, again, the treatment of last resort and it is a potential tremendous health hazard."
- Parke: "And what has it been... how do you know it's a health hazard? Has it been written up in a... in a medical journal that this chemical can cause, what, disease, malformity (sic-malformation), what?"
- Burke: "Then entire... the full spectrum of birth deformities.

 Our major concern would be its potential to pollute water supplies. So, 1 ounce of lindane could pollute 6 hundred gallons of water in our society."
- Parke: "Is there any legitimate and justifiable use of that chemical?"
- Burke: "The Medical Society, at one point, were insisting that some of their physicians suggested this is the last resort, the only treatment. They have come to a neutral position at this point in time, understanding that there are other medications that are... that are as equally effective."
- Parke: "Is there any other use for it than on humans? Can it be used on animals?"
- Burke: "That I am not aware of, because I... I'm not certain if animals are subject to scabies. But I certainly... I know they have lice."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Parke: "I don't know either, but I wanna make sure if we're banning this that there is no practicable use for it and that it's something that we ought not to have used at all."
- Burke: "Well, if it were used on animals the alternative medical treatments would be appropriate as well."

Parke: "Have... do other..."

Burke: "You're... you're talking about lice here, Representative.
So..."

Parke: "I understand that. Is there any other states doing this?"

Burke: "Yes, California is actually the other state. And 52 countries around the globe have banned it."

Parke: "And you see that the… this won't be used in other states, Indiana, Wisconsin, they… if somebody needs it they're not gonna be able to go there and get it. Eventually, it'll be banned in all the states."

Burke: "Well, I don't think that the lice issue is that prevalent. So, I can't understand that they'd be running across the border to find lindane and it certainly has to be prescribed."

Parke: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Meyer. Representative Myers, are you seeking recognition?"

Myers: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. The Sponsor will yield."

Myers: "Representative Burke, the previous speaker asked you questions about alternative uses and the use of lindane in livestock. Having been a livestock farmer for many years,

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

I do know that some of our products to control lice and scabies in livestock were using lindane in that... in that control. Is it my understanding that this language only applies to human use of lindane and prohibits the use of lindane by humans and it doesn't do... doesn't have any affect on future livestock use?"

Burke: "Yes, Representative. It's strictly banned in the use of human treatment."

Myers: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Burke is recognized to close."

Burke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a chemical that I'm sure many of us are not familiar with but, indeed, it has been brought to our attention that this has devastating effects on our environment and on our human population. And I would certainly ask for the Body's favorable consideration in passing this ban on the chemical known as lindane. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Rose, for what reason do you rise?"

Rose: "Announcement, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "State your point."

Rose: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, would you please welcome, from Charleston, Illinois, the Charleston Christian Academy. They're sitting up here and to my left in the balcony and we really appreciate their visit today. Please join me in a big round of applause for the Charleston Christian Academy. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, would you put House Resolution 254 on the board? Representative Flider moves for the adoption of the Resolution. And on that question, Representative Flider is recognized."

Flider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I am pleased to ask for your support of this Resolution, but before we have a chance to adopt it I'd like to make a few comments. Standing with me here on the floor is the coach of the Millikin University Big Blue women's basketball team, Coach Lori Kerans. Would you please welcome Coach Lori Kerans as well as... hold off just one second. Not only Coach Kerans, but also in the gallery, members of the National Champion Millikin Women's Basketball Team. They are the NCAA Division III Basketball Champions. So, in Decatur, Illinois we couldn't be more excited, because Decatur, of course, is the home of the Millikin University. And... and this basketball team has been giving us some great excitement over the years, but nothing like the excitement that they gave us this year. It's been a wonderful experience. And what's really interesting is this is the second year I've been able to

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

bring to you a national champion from Decatur, Illinois. Last year we had the St. Teresa girls' basketball team, who was the state champion. And two of the girls... two of the women on that basketball team were members of the St. Teres... of the St. Teresa team are now members of the Millikin team who won the national championship. So, it's a really good news story here and we're very proud of Coach Kerans, we're co... very proud of this team. I'd also like to recognize the assistant coaches, Dick Marshall from my hometown, Mt. Zion, and Brinna... Brianna Morrow, who are here, as well. So, please recognize them as well. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "And on the Resolution, Representative Bill Mitchell is recognized."

Mitchell, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to echo my colleague from Macon County and congratulate the Millikin team. We have a great community in Macon County, and one of the things that makes it even greater is Millikin University. So, Coach Kerans and the girls on... I congratulate you and wish you all of the best in the future. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "I also would like to offer my congratulations to the women of Millikin University. My daughter is a senior there and will graduate in May. And, Representative Rose, when Illinois was going through its difficult time my daughter called up and said, 'Don't worry, Dad. Millikin has a national championship.' So, that helped ease some of

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

the pain with Illinois's loss. Congratulation to Millikin. You're a great team and a great university and we're very proud of you."

- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Reis."
- Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Coach Lori Kerans is from... from Jasper County, my home county. And basketball has been a part of Lori's life ever since she was in... in grade school and she did a wonderful job in high school. And the last time that Millikin was at the final four Lori was a player. So, Lori, congratulations. Yours should be proud of you."
- Speaker Hannig: "So, Representative Flider's recognized to close."
- Flider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And... and I'd just like to read from the line of the Resolution, which says it so proudly for us, 'We recognize the talent of these young athletes and their coaches and congratulate them on their 2005 Division III NCAA tournament national championship title.' So, with that, I would ask for your approval of this Resolution. And, once again, please congratulate this team. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Resolution?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Resolution 254 has been adopted by the House."
- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. So this Bill... this Resolution's been adopted, Representative Flider. Thank you. Representative Jefferson, on page 12 of the Calendar, you have House Bill

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- 1999 on Second Reading. Would you like us to call that Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1999, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Jefferson, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Jefferson, you're recognized on the Amendment."
- Jefferson: "Mr. Clerk... Mr. Speaker, take that out of the record, please."
- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. We'll take this out of the record and come back to it at a later time. Also on the Calendar on page 8, under the Order of House Bills-Second Reading, is House Bill 1100. Representative Miller, you ready on that one? Okay. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1100 has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Miller, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Miller."
- Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill... Amendment 4 of House Bill 1100 becomes the Bill. I would be happy to discuss the full Bill when it... when it's moved to Third. However, Amendment 4 contains many of the provisions of the original Bill. However, it does exclude working with the group... working with the opposition, the Household Finance, any institution

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

that does loans over a hundred and twenty days. The other changes in the Bill are technical in nature and it all provided Monsignor Egan Campaign and the Attorney General's Office."

- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. However, notes have been requested and not yet filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Oh, excuse me. You said there are notes on the Bill? So we'll have to hold this on Second Reading, Representative Miller, 'til you get the notes filed. Okay, Mr. Clerk, let's return to House Bill 1999."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1999, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Jefferson, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Jefferson."
- Jefferson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Amendment #1 amends the Bill by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following: the Critical Health Problems and Comprehensive Health Education Act is amended by changing Section 3 as follows, it's read on the Bill and I won't read the whole thing. But it's a comprehensive health education program. The program established under this Act

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

shall include but not be limited to the following major educational areas as a basis of curriculum in all the elementary and secondary schools in this state."

Speaker Hannig: "On the Amendment, Representative Parke is recognized. Representative Eddy on the Amendment."

Eddy: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Eddy: "Representative, there was quite a lot of discussion yesterday in committee regarding this Bill. And you had indicated in committee that you would hold this Bill on Second until there was an agreement between the... all parties that had concerns related to the implementation, the IEA, the IFT, School Management Alliance. Have you an agreement with all of those... those groups at this time?"

Jefferson: "Mis... Representative, we talked about this Bill and no, we didn't reach an agreement and I don't think we're going to reach an agreement as they're looking at the Bill. But I'm still trying. So, this is just a Amendment to continue to hold on Second. But we're just passing an Amendment at this point in time."

Eddy: "Okay, so you'll continue to hold this on Second..."

Jefferson: "Yes."

Eddy: "...until that agreement is reached?"

Jefferson: "Yes."

Eddy: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Jefferson: "Okay."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Speaker Hannig: "Any further discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is it your intention, Representative Jefferson, to hold this on Second?"

Jefferson: "Yes."

- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. So, Mr. Clerk, let's hold this on Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. Let's return to page 23 of the Calendar, House Bill 956. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 956, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunkin."
- Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 956 simply gives the Secretary of State the ability to... to fine motor vehicle dealers the... when they are 90 days late past their... from some of their... from some of the monies that they owe. If they're 90 days behind and they owe money, they will be fined. It gives the ability for the Motor Review Board to fine them when they're late. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. And in response, Representative Parke is recognized."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Parke: "Representative, I understand that the Illinois

Automobile Association is a proponent of your legislation,
is that correct?"

Dunkin: "Yes, Sir."

Parke: "They support it?"

Dunkin: "It doesn't say... it doesn't that in the analysis, but there's... there's no opposition that I'm aware of."

Parke: "Okay. Well, then I would like to... to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, I think the Sponsor has a good piece of legislation and I will support the Gentleman's legislation."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. And Representative Dunkin to close."

Dunkin: "I would ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk... Representative Jenisch, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 16 of the Calendar is House Bill 2900. Representative McAuliffe, would you like us to read that Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2900, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Representative McAuliffe, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2900 deals with arsonists. This Bill would make anyone that was convicted of arson on the second time, after they were convicted and had to register under the Arsonist Registration Act, would have... they could have their bail denied on the second offense, if after a hearing if the judge thought that they were guilty or there was enough evidence in them to prove to give them undeniable bond. And I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 2943. Representative Millner, do you wish us to read that Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill... House Bill 2943, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Millner."
- Millner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2943 amends the Criminal Code to add the offense of tampering with a security fire or life safety system. A person commits the

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

offense when he or she knowingly tampers with, damages, sabotages, destroys, or causes permanent or temporary malfunction to any physical electronic security fire or life safety system. And I ask for your support."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Is there anyone who stands in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mulligan. Okay, Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 2920. Representative Jerry Mitchell. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2920, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Mitchell."

Mitchell, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen.

This is a very simple technical change in the way that...

that we go through the court system for a name change. It

simply says that you can give notice of the name change at

the same time that you submit the first request for the

name change. This puts it in line with all other requests

the court for this same type of procedure. Be happy to

answer any questions. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in opposition? Then the question is, 'Shall

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Tryon, do you wish to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 2550. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2550, a Bill for an Act concerning recreation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2550 would allow members of the U.S. Armed Forces, the Illinois National Guard, and the Reserves after returning from service abroad to camp, hunt and fish, and have deer permits free for 1 year for each year of service that they were on active duty. This is just one way... one more way we can say, thank you, to the soldiers who are serving this country. It's the right thing to do. There's no opposition. DNR is neutral on the Bill. And I'd be happy to entertain any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes'

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mitchell. We have House Bill 27 on page 22 of the Calendar. Okay. Mr. Clerk, let's... let's take that out of the record. Oh... oh, there he is. Okay. Representative Mitchell. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 27, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Mitchell."

- Mitchell, B.: "Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 27 allows counties and non-Home Rule municipalities to regulate businesses that permit the consumption of alcohol on the premises but done... do not fall under the control of the Act of 1935 and that is operating as a public accommodation or adult entertainment facility."
- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 23 of the Calendar is House Bill 920. Representative Myers. Okay, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 920, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Myers."

Myers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 920 amends the County Jail Act to provide that the Medicaid program shall reimburse medical costs over \$5 hundred, it's now \$25 hundred, for individuals that are eligible for medical assistance under the Medicaid program. And this is... this is to assist the local county governments and particularly sheriffs' offices avoid some rather expensive costs of treating prisoners when these prisoners are already eligible for Medicaid."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes' and 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 25 of the Calendar is House Bill 2480. Representative Lou Jones. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2840, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Jones. Do you want us to come back to this, Representative Jones? Yeah, Mr. Clerk, why don't we take this out of the record for a minute and we'll move to House Bill 1005. Representative Joyce. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1005, a Bill for an Act concerning

regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Joyce."

Joyce: "Thank you, Mr... Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1005 is... would change the Board and Care Home Registration Act. And in essence what it would do is move the responsibility from the Department of Public Health and Department of Ag... on Aging. It also addresses some fines and some noncompliance issue. It also would not allow any public official to place someone in one these homes without... without proper provi... provisions provided for. And provi... it also would... would allow fines of up... of up to a thousand dollars for each day that the provisions are violated. I don't... I don't know of any oppositions. It's an initiative of AARP. Both departments are fine this. I'd hap... be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "This... this is on the Order of Short Debate.

Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is,

'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed

'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk,
take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting

'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. And
now, Mr. Clerk, let's return to House Bill 2480."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2480, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2480 amends the Department of Public Health Act. Requires the Department of Public Health to provide grants for research for sarcoidosis from the funds appropriated for that purpose. With the permission of the Chair of the Speaker, I would defer the questions to Representative Connie Howard."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate.

Representative Parke, do you stand in response?"

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Parke: "Representative, is there any co... cost to doing this?

Says it's subject to appropriation, do you know how much appropriations?"

Howard: "At this point, we don't have information about how much this is going to cost. We are in the process now of attempting to identify funds through the appropriations process."

Parke: "Do you have a funding source for this?"

Howard: "At this point, we do not have a source of funds."

Parke: "Okay. Thank you. And this is for problems with the eyes?"

Howard: "You know, sarcoidosis has affected people through the eyes, through the lungs, through all organs of the body. You know, there's... there's an extensive research on the kinds of ways that it affects the body but nobody can specifically say any of them are more prevalent than

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

others. I have a number of pie… documents that I can provide for ya or I can even read something to ya that has been provided by the American Lung Association. But it is…"

Parke: "Are these out... this is to provide outright grants to some of these organizations?"

Howard: "Well, yes, it would be... to make available funding to some of the organizations that are attempting to try to help the many individuals who are affected. And of course, that means people in the United States and in fact, the people are being affected in... in Northern Europe but also African Americans and men, women, people of all ages."

Parke: "Well, it sounds like the idea is worthwhile just unfortunately, we don't have any money to pay for it. This is another piece of legislation I'm afraid the Governor will have veto. It's our estimation that it'll be a little less than a hundred thousand dollars, which we do not have in the state budget. So, again, we're..."

Howard: "Ya... you know what, Representative, there are a number of a good causes and certainly, we understand that the state is suffering at this point. But there's always a possibility that some money could be identified and that's why we say, 'subject to appropriations'."

Parke: "Well..."

Howard: "And we certainly hope that if... if any money is identified for this that it can go for this purpose so that those persons who are being affected by it can get some kind of relief."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

"Thank you. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Lady is absolutely right. I mean, this Bill has merit. If it's a hundred thousand, we could get \$10 million to the same cause, it's all justified and legitimate. know, everyday on the floor of this House, we continue to pass meaningful, worthwhile legislation but we have no money to pay for it. We continue to raise expectations of the… of the special groups that are associated with this, raising their expectations and hopes. And ultimately, I'm afraid we won't have money to pay for it. And on top of that, if we continue to add a hundred thousand here, a million there, five million there, pretty soon we're talking about appropriations... subject to appropriations that's in the tens of millions of dollars. And we don't have it in the state at this time. And... and somebody's gonna have to make a decision on how... what not to fund. And I'm afraid the Governor will have that responsibility, so we'll all wait and see what he does. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this Bill and I thank the Sponsor for bringing it forth. And I wanna share a personal reason why I'm in support of it. But before I do so, you know, I'm always reminded of my colleague, Representative Daniels, who is never without the means or the way to show his sympathy and his empathy for those who are in the disabled community and who are special because he has that specialty in his own midst in his own family. And prior to a month and a half

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

ago, I knew nothin' about this particular health threat. I have never heard or it but my son, one of my children, one of my seven children, my son who's 23, started having some problems. And I'm just telling you it's been... for those fathers in here that know when your children are grown, you still have that concern. You try not to baby 'em and take too much space because they feel that they can handle it now. A lot of time, they... they wanna show us that they can do the right thing. But the doctors couldn't find out what was wrong with him. And just recently, last week, they found out that he is a victim of this very thing that the Sponsors, Ms. Jones and Davis and Howard are pushing. so, right now, I guess like Representative Daniels, it's a different thing to look on the outside looking in but it's one thing to be on the inside lookin' out when it hits your own home. And so now, I'm faced with this and I wanna thank it's a lady, who I don't know her name, who was a lone advocate and approached me a week and a half ago in the hallway, happen to give me a pamphlet and I... I try to read most... most things and I happened to read it. And I said, 'Why, these are the same symptoms that my son is havin'.' And the doctor, they couldn't find out what was wrong... what was wrong. So, I called the doctor. I said have you considered? And being that if it wasn't for the advocates comin' here to the State House, giving me a simple brochure for somethin' I knew nothin' about. Yet, I in prayer for my son and to see him lose his youthfulness to the symptoms of this, it's really scared

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- me. And so now, they've confirmed, thanks to the advocacy of some young lady comin' down here and thanks to the other Reps who are understanding that we really need to be educated about this when money becomes available. So, I'm beseechin' the support of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support House Bill 2480. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Hannig: "This... this is on the order now of Standard Debate. We've had one speak in response and one speak in favor. And Representative Lang is recognized."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Lady's Bill. I heard some talk about the budget but let's keep it simple. This is an important Bill on an important public health issue. And the Bill says pretty clearly that this is subject to appropriations, so I don't know what all the budget discussion is about. We're gonna have a budget negotiation as we approach the end of Session, June, July, August, November, whenever Session's over. And we'll determine as a General Assembly whether to appropriate the money for this important issue. So, I support the Lady's Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Any further discussion? Then... Representative Howard or... or Jones, who's gonna close? Representative Jones is recognized to close."
- Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just ask for a favorable vote on this important piece of legislation."
- Speaker Hannig: "Thank you, Representative Jones. And then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 3457. Representative Pihos this is your Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3457, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Pihos."

Vehicle Code. It provides that any person 61 years of age or older applying at a driver's service facility for renewal of his or her driver's license, shall be provided without charge a brochure warning the person of the dangers of financial identity theft. I spoke with the internal... Attorney General's Office and they have some excellent publications already available, which can be distributed through the driver's license facilities. While there are several programs within the state to protect seniors from identity theft, many seniors are still unaware of identity theft and how to prevent becoming an identity theft victim. And by requiring the distribution of these brochures, I feel that they will be better informed and better protected by these programs. Thank you."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Again, worthwhile idea, is this brochure gonna be a 'free standing alone' brochure that we're gonna have to produce a half a million brochures so they're available in every Secretary of State's Office?"
- Pihos: "It will be a 'free standing alone' brochure. The original Bill talked about the Secretary of State's Office having... actually having to write the brochure but in talking personally with Lisa Madigan, she said all this material is available and I perused it. But I think, there'll be a minimum impact in financial cost in just producing enough of them to make them available but I think, what it'll save us in the long run will be far greater."
- Parke: "All right. Who will produce it? Secretary of State's Office or the Department of Revenue, who?"
- Pihos: "Actually, that wasn't determined in the legislation, as far as I know. Only... it sounds to me like it'll be the Secretary of State's Office."
- Parke: "Okay. Well, again, a worthwhile idea, but it's gonna cost the State of Illinois a certain amount of money. So, I would hope that maybe if there was other brochures being produced, maybe we could put this in on another brochure as an addendum to it that might relate to this issue so that we don't have to come up with a brand new brochure that

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

costs the state money in a time when we are limited on the financial resources. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Representative Pihos is recognized to close."

Pihos: "I hope you'll give strong consideration to, again, providing another protection for citizens on... in our state from identity theft and allow them this opportunity to be informed. Thank you very much."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Watson, for what reason do you rise?"

Watson: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hannig: "State your point."

Watson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As many of you know, Representative Bill Black has not been feeling well. He is in the hospital right now, running some tests, etcetera. But I do have him on the phone so perhaps, on the count of three we can give Billy a big 'Hello'. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Thank you, Representative Watson. On page 12 of the Calendar is House Bill 2018. Representative Jefferson, would you like us to read this Bill? Okay. Out of the… out of the record at the request of the Sponsor.

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

On page 23 of the Calendar is House Bill 918 for Representative Joyce. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative Joyce. Read..."

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 918, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Joyce."
- Joyce: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 918 would prevent any county from passing a updated solid waste management plan after a... to... to negat... negatively impact an expansion after a local siting has already occurred. It's... it's... this is a initiative of the... of the Solid Waste Management Association. What has happened is in the past after a local siting process has taken place some counties have come back that wanted to stop a particular development and passed a solid waste... a 5-year solid waste management plan that has negaly... negatively impacted that local... local siting process. I'd be happy to answer any questions on this Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative... Bassi, would you like to be recorded? Representative Bassi. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 21 of the Calendar is House Bill 4052. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill. Representative Reis, this is your Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: House Bill 4052, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Reis, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I offer Amendment #1 to House Bill 4052 that cleans up the viability clause that Representative Fritchey and I discussed earlier in the week. I'd be happy to answer any questions and I move for it's adoption."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. On the Amendment, Representative Fritchey is recognized."

Fritchey: "Thank you, Speaker. I just wanna thank the Sponsor for his agreement to take the Bill out of the record the last time that came up. He's taken a good Bill and cleaned it up and made it even better one. And I thank him and when it comes to Third Reading think everybody should support this measure. Thank you. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Thank... Representative Gordon is recognized on the Amendment."

Gordon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Gordon: "I also appreciate you cleaning up the language and what Representative Fritchey has said. However,

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Representative, I also know that in committee I had had several questions about the title of the crime you're trying to create. And in my hand I have the three copies of the statute in Illinois. One is 'heinous battery', one is 'aggravated battery of an unborn child', and one is 'aggravated battery of a child'. Now, based upon these three definitions in the State of Illinois, you're creating 'heinous battery of an unborn child'. Right?"

Reis: "Yes."

Gordon: "And you're picking and choosing from all three of these. Explain to me why we need 'heinous battery of an unborn child' instead amending the statutes we already have."

Reis: "Okay. Representative, we felt that this was such an extreme case that we wanna be able to give the prosecutors the ability to move directly to 'heinous battery' instead of going through the other possibilities. We just felt that this is such an extreme, heinous case of... of... of battery and that it deserves the attention of the attorneys to be able to move directly to that."

Gordon: "Well, 'heinous battery' in Illinois is when you 'commit a battery knowingly causing severe and permanent disability, great bodily harm or disfigurement by means of a caustic or flammable substance, a poisonous gas, a deadly biological or chemical contaminant or agent, a radioactive substance or a bomb or an explosive compound.' That's what makes 'heinous battery'. So, you took 'heinous battery', nowhere near the definition as it's already written in the

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

State of Illinois, and applied that to an unborn child. When we have 'aggravated battery of an unborn child' which is knowingly causing great bodily harm, permanent disability or disfigurement. So, explain to me why we need to mesh two definitions when something's already defined. You're redefining it as something else, 'cause that's not a help to a prosecutor. I'll tell ya that right now."

Reis: "Well, we just felt that this is such a heinous act of crime that it should be given that title."

Gordon: "You prefer the superlative of 'heinous' versus 'aggravate... aggravated', is that right?"

Reis: "Could you repeat that, please, Representative."

Gordon: "I said you prefer the superlative of 'heinous' versus 'aggravated'. Is that correct?"

Reis: "Yes, that's correct."

Gordon: "Do you know what a superlative is, Representative?"

Reis: "Yes, but I'm not good on legal terms."

Gordon: "Okay. To the… to the Amendment, Ladies and Gentlemen. The Amendment, I… I understand, Mr. Speaker, we are talking about the Amendment, but I also requested that the Sponsor of this Bill keep this crime in the… the right direction of the definitions that we already have in the State of Illinois. He's combining two definitions. One meaning something completely different and adding it to an unborn child. This has nothing to do with throwing a caustic substance on an unborn child. And he is meshing these two definitions, making it much more confusing for the prosecutors and not really following any type of uniformity

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

in our criminal statutes. I know that there are people in this House who would agree with me that we've got some problems with uniformity in the criminal statutes. Let's not make it worse. Please, keep this on Second Reading. Find a new definition and it's gon... this Bill's gonna fly out of here, Representative. There is no question about it. And I'm gonna one to vote for it. I just want it to be uniform with the rest of the statutes. Just because 'heinous' is a better word in your opinion than 'aggravated', doesn't mean that this should be written as you have it. Thank you."

- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any further discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. All no... all notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 1063, please. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill... House Bill 1063, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Reis, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Reis."
- Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to request that we table Amendment #1."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk is... is that on... is that already on the Bill? Has Amendment #1 been adopted? Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Amendment #1? Okay. Representative, in order to table an Amendment that's already been adopted previously, you'll have to file a Motion to Table here at the Clerk, at the well. So, why don't you take this out of the record..."

Reis: "Okay."

Speaker Hannig: "...at this time. You can file your Motion and then it'll catch up, okay?"

Reis: "That'll be great. We'll take it out of the record."

Speaker Hannig: "All right. So, we'll just take this out of the record at this time, at the request of the Sponsor. On page 26 of the Calendar, Representative Mautino has House Bill 3577. Representative Mautino. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3577, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you. House Bill 3577 is an initiative of the Associated Beer Distributors of Illinois. It would allow during the time of a routine inspection of records that the distributor or a representative be present. This has been the… the case most of the time. In some instances, it hasn't happened. And this would just say, they do have that right available to them."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in opposition? Then the question in, 'Shall

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

this Bill... Excuse me, Representative Meyer, do you stand in response? Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Representative, it was kinda noisy in here. Wha... what does your Bill do again?"

Mautino: "It says that at the time of an inspection of records, a distributor can have himself or a representative, an attorney present."

Meyer: "Wha... what has been the practice in the past? That records are inspected on-site, they go off-site, what?"

Mautino: "They're done on-site. As a matter of fact, if the...
normally what would happen is they... they would call up and
say, 'We're gonna come in for inspection next Thursday for
your audit.' And then they would allow for the
representative or his attorney to be present. So, I mean,
it's been the practice of it in the past and that was
actually testified to by Assistant Director Bugielski in
the Committee of Executive."

Meyer: "Does... does this require that..."

Mautino: "No, it says 'may'."

Meyer: "May. Well, what was the importance of it? I'm trying to figure that out. Why is this necessary?"

Mautino: "It has been standard practice that it's been done, but in a couple of instances, the commission agent has come in and when the distributor has asked if an attorney can be present. They said 'no' and were cited as being noncooperative and then that goes on to the hearing stage. But it's... it's normally been the practice and so, out of the ABDI board, they brought this forward and said..."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Meyer: "Well, why has that been denied?"

Mautino: "In that situation? Because it was the choice of the agent there. So, possibly, better training on the agent's part may... may've solved that problem, but they'd like to have someone who understands the Liquor Code there for both parties. This is already done by the Department of Revenue and the IRS."

Meyer: "All right. Well, thank you very much. I guess, it seems to make sense."

Mautino: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Mautino to close."

Mautino: "Appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Represent... Okay. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mautino, you also have House Bill 4025. It's on page 26 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 4025, a Bill for an Act in relation to public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Okay. House Bill 4025 is an initiative of the

Illinois Retired Teachers Association and would allow

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

vacancies occurring in the membership of the Teachers Retirement System Board to be filled by election if their term is 6 months or more remaining. Currently, the board would vote on an appointment to fill the vacancy. So, what this says is go ahead and let the board appoint if there's 6 months or less, but if someone say passes away with 3 years remaining, they would have an election. So, it just says that'd be the change and allow for an election in the case where a vacancy occurs."

- Speaker Hannig: "And this is on the Order of Short Debate.

 Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is,

 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed

 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have

 all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representa...

 Okay, Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there

 are 109 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill,

 having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby

 declared passed. On page 24 of the Calendar is House Bill

 1368. And Representative Molaro is gonna handle that Bill

 for Representative McKeon. Is that correct, Representative

 Molaro? You're gonna handle this Bill? Mr. Clerk, read

 the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1368, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Molaro."
- Molaro: "Thank you. This is brought by the Associated Firefighters. And what it does is it requires non-Home

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Rule community cannot in any way combine the firefighters' and police officers' positions and require the group to perform each other's service. And this seems to make common sense to me. And we ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 1368. Is there any discussion? Then the...

Representative Meyer is recognized in response."

Meyer: "Representative, why does this only apply to non-Home Rule communities?"

Molaro: "Pardon me."

Meyer: "Our record... my analysis indicates that this applies to only non-Home Rule communities?"

Molaro: "Yes. I... I guess it was the intent of the Sponsor that we wouldn't preempt Home Rule, I guess."

Meyer: "All right. Why is that... why didn't you include the Home Rule communities?"

Molaro: "From what we're told, in Home Rule communities this...
this has never been a problem. And the problem seems to be
in non-Home Rule communities. But I will certainly talk to
the Sponsor to see if he would like to include all
communities or maybe even in the Senate to see if there's a
will to include all communities."

Meyer: "Well, Rep... well, Representative, what about if there is a mass emergency and you need more personnel than what you have in... in your community?"

Molaro: "I think... This has to do with work assignments. It doesn't have to do with emergency, whatsoever. Obviously, in an emergency situation, everybody does all kinds of

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

duties. We're talking, it says in the Bill itself, it talks about 'work assignments in a manner inconsistent'. So, we're talking about them assigning them work. We're not talking about emergency situations. We're talking about that at the beginning of a week, not emergency, they go to a police officer and say, 'you're gonna do this duty' or 'firefighters, you're gonna do this duty.' So, it's a nonemergency situations."

Meyer: "Well, during an emergency situation, you do assign members of the fire department maybe to do police work or... or vice versa."

Molaro: "Sure, that would be... that would not be... that would not be covered by this Bill."

Meyer: "Well, why is this not a local issue? Why... why is this something we would be debating here?"

Molaro: "This is, as I said, this brought by the fire department and police departments throughout this state and the associated fire associations and obviously, we pass may be hundreds of laws since I've been here for the firefighters that have become statewide issues that we didn't leave in the land... hand of the locals. We just here in the State of Illinois think it should be the policy that the firefighters should be out there fighting fires on a day-to-day basis. In emergency situations, you won't even have to ask 'em, they'll, of course, step up but this is just in day-to-day. We don't want it to be where non-Home Rule communities can come up and assign firefighters to police duties when they haven't had the training to do so."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Meyer: "Well, I... I'm just looking through the list of opponents and proponents and it seems to me like that this is basically a labor issue that should be negotiated out at the local level. I... I'm not sure why we are involved in making that decision here."

Molaro: "Well, we... at... Okay, I'll... I'll... at the risk of repeating myself, the firefighters and police officers are for it. The Municipal League is against it. We have had hundreds of Bills where the firefighters and police officers are for it and the Municipal League's against it. The argument you just make is a valid, well thoughtout argument, but I'm saying that I'm on the other side of that argument."

Meyer: "Well..."

Molaro: "I feel the State of Illinois can come in and say, 'We feel that in non-Home Rule communities, we don't want our firefighters to be assigned police duties unless it is an emergency.'"

Meyer: "It... it... it goes beyond that, though, a little bit though, I believe, Representative, in that, I... I look at the people that are opposed to this and you... it looks to me like it's the management of the police and the management of the fire departments versus the rank and file. And to me that looks like a labor dispute that should be solved through negotiations. In addition to that, you're... we're dealing with only with the smaller communities."

Molaro: "I... I respect your positions. I think it's incorrect, but I respect it."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Meyer: "One... one other question here. The Village of Glencoe in Cook County is exempted out. Why is that?"

Molaro: "I'm... I'm sorry. Can you repeat that, again?"

Meyer: "Committee Amendment #1 specifies that the municipalities that currently combine..."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Meyer your 5 minutes have expired. Could you bring your remarks to a close, please?"

Meyer: "Yes, I... I had one further question. The Village of Glencoe employs or combines their police and firefighting forces and they're exempted out of this. Why are they exempted out versus the others that still provide the same service, although, they may have different individuals doing it?"

Molaro: "I think they... they must've asked and the Sponsor adhered to that. But I don't know the reason behind it."

Speaker Hanniq: "Representative May."

May: "Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "The Sponsor indicates he'll yield."

May: "Yes, following up on Representative Meyer. I do have a question about Glencoe which is in my district. Our analysis doesn't reflect any exemption for Glencoe."

Molaro: "And... and I don't know... I don't think they're, I mean, they're not opposed to this Bill. Now, he was talking about an Amendment that we gave them. They're for the Bill now, from what I'm told."

May: "Not what I understand. But our... our analysis doesn't reflect that Amendment. Will someone clarify that that Amendment is there?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, why don't you clarify for the Body which Amendments have been adopted."

Clerk Bolin: "Committee Amendment #1 has adopted to the Bill."

May: "And that exempts Glencoe?"

Molaro: "Yes, that's..."

May: "Okay. And... and I'm very concerned because I... Glencoe's in my district and I heard from them. It's a small community and they have a joint... they call... have a public safety committee, joint police and fire, where they have cross training and it works very well for them. So, is Glencoe the only community in the state, do we know, that has a public safety committee?"

Molaro: "That's the only one that's came aware to our... that made us aware of theirs."

May: "Okay. That... that was my question. So, it's clarified that they are exempt and we don't know..."

Molaro: "Right, but they..."

May: "...if there are any other joint public..."

Molaro: "Right."

May: "...safety committees in the state."

Molaro: "Fine. If they created it and they ask for it and that's working very well then they certainly could be exempt from this Bill."

May: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative... Representative Molaro to close."

Molaro: "We... the firefighters and police officers have come together on this Bill. We train firefighters to fight

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

fires. We train police officers to fight... to fight crime. And we shouldn't be over there given 'em normally assigned duties that crossover. It doesn't make any sense. In emergency, the police and fire are always there. If in Glencoe, they've been trained to do both and the firefighters and the police officers agree, that makes sense. If they don't agree, then it doesn't make any sense. So, I would verge (sic-urge) an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who Representative McCarthy. Representative Biggins. Representative Saviano. Would those Gentlemen wish to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 73 voting 'yes', 35 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 3 of the Calendar is House Bill 341. Representative Millner, do you want us to read that Bill? 341. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 341, a Bill for an Act concerning firearms. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Molaro, you have House Bill 2379 on the Calendar on page 25 of the Calendar. Would you wish to move forward? Okay. That's

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

out of the record at the request of the Sponsor.

Representative Patterson, you have House Bill 3467 on page
26 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3467, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Patterson."

Patterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to present House Bill 3467 to my colleagues. House Bill 3467 requires the Department of Public Health in cooperation with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency to develop proper disposal of hypodermic needles, syringes and other sharps used for self-administration purposes. In addition, the Bill encourage the safe disposal programs that include, but are not limit to the following: drop box or supervised collection sites, sharps mail-back programs, syringe exchange programs and at-home needle destruction devices.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a vote of consideration for this Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. And in

response Representative Parke is recognized."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Parke: "Yeah, Representative, yesterday, I went over a number of questions. Have you talked to anybody about any of the answers such as boxes being used as a disposal site and whether or not it'll be voluntary, where they'll be located and what will be expenses that will be... might be incurred under this legislation?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Patterson: "Representative, I was not aware that you were looking for me to bring back an answer to you. I thought I specifically indicated that this Bill does not mandate any specific method. The four methods that... that I just described is just simply one of four uses or methods used to dispose of needles. The Department of... Environment and the Department of Health will make the determination in terms of which methods should be used for given vicinity or municipality."
- Parke: "Has this been successfully used in any other state that you're aware of in your research or staff's research?"
- Patterson: "No, I'm not, Representative, I'm not aware of any other state. But what I do know is that the fact that syringes and other medical sharps that are used for... in the home location, presents a health hazard to the citizens of the State of Illinois and to refuge (sic-refuse) collectors that have to pick up our garbage every day of the week."
- Parke: "Is... So, there's nobody in opposition to your Bill.

 It's... it's something that's... you... both parties agree they'll do it. So, it's not... you don't know if there's any expenses to it and it's not a needle exchange. Right?"
- Patterson: "No. It's just that when I use the term 'syringe exchange program' the assumption that if that exists for given purpose, the exchange is just simply take it back to the medical provider. That medical provider will then follow the proper method of disposal of that syringe or needle."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Parke: "Do you know if all of these providers have a medical disposal procedure or protocol?"

Patterson: "No, this is just simply a educational program and a recommendation to the Department of Health or the Illinois Department of Environment that they can use or consider one of these methods."

Parke: "Thank you. It sounds like the Gentleman has a good Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "The Bill seems to have enough interest to be moved to Standard Debate. So, on that question, Representative Graham is recognized for 5 minutes."

Graham: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand... rise in support of the Gentleman's Bill. It is a very good compliment to a Bill that I passed out of here earlier this year, House Bill 523, establishes the sharp station drop-off to peo... professionals who are already knowledgeable in handling sharps and needles of that sort. So, his Bill would establish some guidelines. So, it's a... it's a great compliment. So, I stand in support of his legislation. Thank you and I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Miller."

Miller: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Miller: "The intention of this is just, Representative Patterson, just for the common person to understand what to do with their needles if they're a diabetic or if they're

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

under one of the programs, needle exchange or even a purchase any needles. Is that my understanding?"

Patterson: "That's correct, Representative."

Miller: "And then... and that currently, the Department of Health, there's no way for, you know, you or I if... if, God forbid, that we've been struck with diabetes if we're not involved with this and maybe the physician didn't tell us how to dispose the needle at home or here in the Capitol, wherever. There's no written explanation of how to do this, per se."

Patterson: "That's correct. As I've indicated earlier, Representative, I believe that human beings are creatures of habit and if we're just simply accustomed to throwing different waste into a garbage container that canister's carried to the larger can that's picked up by that refuge (sic-refuse) collectors. We may not be aware that we're exposing workers and others in the recycling industry to these dangerous sharp objects."

Miller: "To the Bill. I, too, stand in strong support. In my practice there's particular standards of procedures that I have to do in regards to disposal of needles. I... we put them in a sharp disposals that we buy commercially, but however, I can imagine doesn't un... wouldn't know, just commonly wouldn't know how to dis... dispose of these things. I, also, have a 17-month old child, as you know, children get into all kinds of things who if we... if... if they get into something like this... into a needle unconsequently, something could happen. So, I just think this makes some

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

good sense. It provides information to the average person on the proper way to dispose of a... of a needle. It provides a safe environment for that needle and to proper disposal to... to a larger or... or some type of disposal facility. I... I urge General Assembly for a favorable vote."

- Speaker Hannig: "So, we've now had two speak in favor and one speak in response. Representative Bellock, do you speak in response?"
- Bellock: "What do you mean in response? I'm for it."
- Speaker Hannig: "Well, we've already had enough speak for it.

 So, why don't we just go to... let Representative Patterson close."
- Patterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I urge my colleagues to consider a favorable vote to House Bill 3467. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "Thank you. And now the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Okay. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 21 of the Calendar is House Bill 3825. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill for Representative McAuliffe."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3825, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 8 of the Calendar is
 House Bill 1094 for Representative Rose. Is the Gentleman...
 the Gentleman on the floor? Okay. Mr. Clerk, read the
 Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1094, the Bill's been read of second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Rose, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Rose."

- Rose: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 1094 corrects, I think, an oversight on my part from the... the prior Bill itself. This whole concept is... is dealing with teachers who sexually molest students. The original Bill dealt with just teachers and employees and volunteers of school districts. This Amendment would bring back a standard that we use in other areas of... of sexual abuse and assault and that is that anyone in a position of trust or authority would come within the confines of this Bill. And that's what that Amendment does, it just add in the 'position of trust and authority' language. I would ask for its favorable adoption."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Then all in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Rose."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Rose, on Floor Amendment #2.

 We just adopted #1."
- Rose: "I think that on Amendment #1 was adopted in committee and Floor Amendment #2 is what we..."

Speaker Hannig: "Can you clarify for us, Mr. Clerk."

Rose: "What's the... Mr. Clerk..."

- Speaker Hannig: "Now, what... was Floor Amendment #1 was just adopted. Is that correct, Mr. Clerk. The Clerk's gonna try to clarify this, Representative Rose. Okay. So... so, we'll disregard what we did on 1 because actually that was not in front of us. So, we're gonna go to Floor Amendment #2 and Representative Rose is recognized."
- Rose: "Thank you. And my apologies, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Floor Amendment #2 does exactly what I... I just said a minute ago, which is that it adds the language that this Body has traditionally used which is a 'position of trust or authority' to... as an addition to a school teacher or someone in a school district employ or a volunteer of a school district."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Rose, the... the Clerk's further trying to clarify that indeed we're right. So, why don't we just wait for a minute here. So, Representative Rose, the Clerk informs me that the Judiciary Committee sent to the floor Amendments 1 and 2 to be adopted. So..."

Rose: "I would ask that we table Amendment 1."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. So, what we'll do is having voted on the prevailing side, Representative Rose moves to reconsider the vote by which Floor Amendment #1 was adopted. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Motion is adopted and the vote is reconsidered. Now, Representative Rose, withdraws Amendment #1."

Rose: "Yes."

Speaker Hannig: "And Mr. Clerk, are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Rose, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Representative Rose."

Rose: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 simply adds back the language of 'position of trust or authority' that we've adopted elsewhere within the criminal sexual assault and abuse standards, in addition to the idea that an administrator, a teacher or employer or volunteer of a school district which was previously been considered and in the committee."

Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 10 of the Calendar we have House Bill 1384, which Representative Bradley is going

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- to handle for Representative McKeon. So, Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1384, a Bill for an Act in relation to public employee benefits. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 19 of the Calendar is House Bill 3621 for Representative Sacia. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3621, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 13 of the Calendar is House Bill 2417. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2417, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Kelly, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Kelly."
- Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Amendment 2 is a cleanup Amendment regarding judiciary ordered recounts. The language was inadvertently omitted from the Bill as filed. House Amendment 2 makes the language consistent with the rest of the Bill in that it explicitly strikes the local canvassing board from the process. Under the Amendment, the election authority is

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

clearly responsible for judicia... judicially, I can't speak, ordered recount."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And on page 25 of the Calendar is House Bill 2416. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2416, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2416 amends the Election Code. Currently, local electoral boards hear cases involving objections to the nominating petitions filed by candidates running for local office. In some cases the electoral boards have abused their power in an effort to knock candidates off the ballots. The result is excessive litigation, discouragement of local candidates, and expensive delay in printing of ballots. In other cases, people who currently make the rulings are sometimes put in awkward situations because they, too, are candidates and their rulings can have a negative consequence. This Bill aims to reduce the number frivolous challenges, expedite the hearing process, and encourage less partisan rulings. House Bill 2416 moves this... these candidacy hearings to the county electoral boards made up of the county clerk,

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

state's attorney and the clerk of the circuit court or their representatives. These boards already rule on state and countywide election cases in even years and park and library district cases in odd years. This Bill will not affect those municipalities which operate under a board of election commission... commissioner. I can answer any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. There's already a number of inquiries on the Bill, so we'll put it on the Order of Standard Debate. And first to speak will be Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Meyer: "Representative, our records indicate that you'd agreed to hold this Bill on Second Reading to work out an agreement with the Illinois Municipal League."

Kelly: "As I stated..."

Meyer: "This is on Third... has that been done?"

Kelly: "As I stated before when that was mentioned, I agreed in committee to hold it on Second to try to work it out with the Illinois Municipal League. But if we could not work it out, that I would pass the Bill to Third. That's... I made that comment before and I did try to work it out. There was no working out what they wanted."

Meyer: "What was the context of what they were asking for?"

Kelly: "They wanted the local people to have the opportunity to opt out of this. But... that would not solve the issue if they had the opportunity to opt out. We would be right back where we started."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Meyer: "All right. Thank you."

Kelly: "You're welcome."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Giles."

Giles: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Giles: "Representative, once again, I do not sit on the Election Committee, I just got a couple of questions with your legislation. I'm... I'm lookin' here and I'm seein' where... could you... well, first of all, could you explain the process in which an individual is challenged? And why are we changing that particular process in this legislation?"

Kelly: "Because what has found to be... I don't know if you've seen the local papers and different things but when petitions have been challenged, for instance in my village, the mayor, the village clerk, and the most senior council member are the electoral board that decide on the petitions. So, when it's challenged, they are the first place that people go to to challenge and they make the decision whether the person stays on the ballot or they don't stay on the ballot."

Giles: "So... so what... what are we changing?"

Kelly: "So, we're changing that that local board aren't...
they're not the people that will do it."

Giles: "The local board?"

Kelly: "Right. The local..."

Giles: "So, we're allowing the... the municipality..."

Kelly: "No."

Giles: "...to actually make the change?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Kelly: "Right. No, it won't be the municipality any more. It's the local, I'm sorry, it's the local mayor of the municipality, his most senior trustee, and the village clerk that currently make the decision. And we're taking... that's what we're changing."
- Giles: "Okay. I... I guess my question is, why are they fit and better... why are they better to make that decision?"
- Kelly: "Why are the other people better? The new?"
- Giles: "No, the actual..."
- Kelly: "No, they're not gonna make the decision anymore. They
 make the decision currently."
- Giles: "Right. And I understand that."
- Kelly: "But if this Bill passes they won't be making the
 decision anymore."
- Giles: "Let's say, for example, I'm sure this is an initiative of Cook County Board. Correct? Of the Cook County?"
- Kelly: "David Orr."
- Giles: "Cook County, David Orr's office. Why are they... they're the one... individuals that wants to make the decision on the individuals that has been challenged?"
- Kelly: "Right."
- Giles: "And is going through the process?"
- Kelly: "Right. And they..."
- Giles: "They want... have the final say-so. Is that correct?"
- Kelly: "Well, it... it's a statewide Bill. So, yes, the
 electoral boards."
- Giles: "Okay. And so, I... I guess my question is, why do, for... in this particular case, the Cook County..."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Kelly: "The clerk and the state's attorney..."
- Giles: "...Clerk's Office feel like they have... they have the interests at heart to make the decision more so than that local municipality?"
- Kelly: "Well, I think they're more experienced, will probably be more neutral and also, the problem has come up with local folks that it takes much longer. So, it holds the... David Orr's office and others back from doling out what the punch numbers will be and things like that. They do it more often, so they're more experienced and they'll be more neutral."
- Giles: "I mean, have there been some major cases in which individual has been wronged or the process has not worked itself out or someone got left off the ballot..."
- Kelly: "Oh, definitely. There..."
- Giles: "...because of... of a court... of an objection or a court challenge? Have there been some cases in which..."
- Kelly: "Yes, that has happened, in... in various municipalities, yes, that has. Plus, the other thing that happens that people sometimes don't bother to challenge a petition because they feel that the people at the top are not gonna make the right decision any way because of repercussions. I can give you another example. There was definite evidence that a petition... petitions put forth were not legal but the challenging party decided not to do it because the mayor, the village clerk, and the senior most trustee would not rule on it anyway to knock the person off

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

the ballot because they were running themselves and they didn't want negative repercussions."

Giles: "Could you tell me why, I mean, I... I'm looking at some of the language here and it just states, simply states that if this Bill passes, there will be a delay in printing the actual ballots. Could you..."

Kelly: "That why... what now?"

Giles: "If... if this Bill is passed, there will be a delay in printing the actual ballots. Why is that particular language in... why would that be applicable to your particular Bill? Why would... if we pass this Bill, this will delay in printing the ballot."

Kelly: "No, it won't. No. We feel that it'll speed up the
 printing of the ballots."

Giles: "Okay. Okay. And, of course, the Illinois Municipal League is in opposition..."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Giles, your 5 minutes have expired. Could you bring your remarks to a close, please."

Giles: "Thank you. The Illinois Association of County Clerks and Recorder, why would they be neutral on this pic... piece of legislation?"

Kelly: "Ex... I didn't hear..."

Giles: "The Illinois Association of County Clerks and Recorders, why would they neutral on this legislation?"

Kelly: "I don't know, Representative."

Giles: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Speaker Hannig: "So, we've had two speak in response.

Representative Parke is recognized. Do you speak in response or in favor, Representative?"

Parke: "I'm opposed to this Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay, then proceed."

Parke: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Parke: "Representative, won't... don't you think that the local electoral board has a better understanding of a local election than to send that issue to a county board that has all kinds of other responsibilities at the same time that we're gonna have to make these decisions?"

Kelly: "Actually, I don't agree with what you're saying because when something is challenged, when you're saying they need to have a better understanding of what's going on in the community, the challenge should just be the challenge. If the signatures are wrong, it shouldn't be if you have a better understanding. We're looking for neutrality not... it's not personal or anything like that. And that's been the problem sometimes."

Parke: "Well, let's say that... that your local area was nonpartisan and you have them making decision on a nonpartisan race and the county is of another party."

Kelly: "Uh huh."

Parke: "Don't you think that the county could be more political than the local people and you're giving up local control on these issues?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Kelly: "You know what, the county does it anyway for park districts and libraries. So, they're already, ya know, attuned to doing these... making these kind of decisions. And they are more experienced and, to me, more neutral and perhaps, more professional."

Parke: "Well, there's no... there's no arbitrary decision on this. I mean, it goes to the county board of election and they'll make the decisions and my local... my local township or my local school board has no more say in it. They don't have any... any say in it. You're giving up local control of an issue to make decisions on locally to a county that could be very political and I think everybody oughta take a good hard look at this legislation. I think that... that really is ultimately not gonna be a good idea."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Now, we've had three speak in response which is the maximum allowed under the rules of Standard Debate. We still… we still have an opportunity for two to speak in favor. Representative Miller, would you like to speak in favor? Representative Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Miller: "Representative Kelly, the goal in this is to try to bring local politics out of the... the local election process?"

Kelly: "Right."

Miller: "I couldn't hear you."

Kelly: "Yes."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Miller: "And... and so... is... is by moving this away from local politics which we know can get very vicious and very vindictive and very unfair, is it just... does this supersede the fairness or the objectivity if it's moved out of the local jurisdiction?"

Kelly: "I feel like it'll be more fair and more objective if we
move it out."

Miller: "To the Bill. I support this Bill based on a number of reasons. Everybody in this chamber knows that regardless of where you live, that local politics can get real simple and real nasty. In fact, so much, in a recent election in Dolton, Illinois, that the mayor and the village clerk were not on the same page in addition to another opponent. And what happened was, that... that clerk of the Village of Dolton, had to file a Freedom of Information Act for her to do her job. Now, that's just simply not fair. Regardless of the fact of whether they're on the same political side or against the same political side, it's simply not fair that the village clerk was not allowed to do her job. And so, when you start talking about petitions and... and trying to become elected, clearly there's gonna be politicalness involved in this whole process. So, it only makes sense to move it out of some... from that local municipality to something's that more neutral that'll be fair to the entire process. In addition, one of the challengers in the last election filed his petitions and all of a sudden got kicked off because of a fine that he did not even know about. In addition, to try to appeal

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

that... to appeal that decision by the same person he's running against. That's simply doesn't make sense. This provides an opportunity for local municipals to run a fair, cleanest, honest election without the subjectivity of those who are there trying to run or keep their office to be the one deciding on who runs for office and who not. I urge all Members of the General Assembly to support this legislation."

Speaker Hannig: "So now, one has spoken in favor and we have room for one more under the rules of Standard Debate to speak in favor. Who would like to rise? Representative Froehlich."

Froehlich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Froehlich: "Representative Kelly, is it your goal to try to eliminate conflict of interest when these kind of issues are... are being heard?"

Kelly: "Absolutely."

Froehlich: "And why do you think you're less likely to have a conflict of interest if the... say, if the petition challenge is heard by the county electoral board than by the municipal electoral board?"

Kelly: "Well, sometimes the people that are making the decisions are actually making decisions against people they're running against or maybe one of the trustees they support are running against. So, it's a conflict of interest."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Froehlich: "Yeah, I agree with you. And... and to the Bill. Look, there's a built-in conflict of interest for a municipal board to decide on a petition challenge against one of their own members or... or an opponent to one of their own members. It is possible a county might... board might not give you a... a fair hearing, but it's guaranteed you got a conflict and potential bias when the local board's doing it. At least, the county board deals with this stuff on a routine basis. Municipal board may not deal with it for many, many years. Their attorneys aren't as well versed in it. I think, ya know, the goal is to get a fair hearing, take it out of the hands of the locals who have the conflict of interest and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Beiser, I'm... I'm advised, is gonna close. So, 5 minutes to close, Representative."

Beiser: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like just to rise in strong support of this Bill and Representative Kelly. In my local community just two months ago, we had this very same issue come up where the very people that were running for office were deciding a potential opponent's validity for the ballot. It was a tremendous conflict... potential conflict. I will say, I believe, it was handled very appropriately and professionally, but it did not need to happen that way. In my opinion, what this Bill would do would be take that situation, put it to a county level which would be more impartial and would be a layer of protection for the people out there that are going to be voting on these positions. The general public would be

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

better served if this Bill becomes law. Thank you very much."

Speaker Hannig: "So, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is
open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.
Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 61
voting 'yes', 45 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. And
this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is
hereby declared passed. On page... yeah, on page 26 of the
Calendar is House Bill 3538 for Representative Saviano.
Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3538, a Bill for an Act concerning property. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Saviano."

Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 3538 was brought to me by the West Central Municipal Conference in a group of 38 mayors in West Cook County which in our areas, we are built up communities with series of six flats, three flats, eight flats, twelve flats. And we're noticing a growing trend in converting these apartment buildings to condominiums. What this Bill addresses is to make sure that a community in an effort to protect their housing stock could go in on a conversion and make sure before the building is converted that all of the Building Code requirements, as far as, electrical, plumbing, all the different aspects of what a single-family residence should be in condominiums setting are

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

established. Up to now, there have been situations where building... apartment buildings were converted from apartments to condos where they simply may have just painted the place and does not bring it up to code in... in the sense of what a normal new condominium would require. And I would ask for your favorable vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. And in response is Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. The Gentleman who has presented the Bill has stated that... that there may be a lax inspection. Quite frankly, under the current law, condominium law, when the state requires a seller to have a licensed engineer inspect the building and a provide detail, a detailed report to the potential buyer. What the Gentleman wants to do is allow municipal inspection. Well, an inspection with a written report is done on a conversion. The Illinois Realtors are in opposition to this legislation because they feel that it's more intrusive than it should be. And I strong... I rise is strong opposition with the Illinois Realtors to oppose this legislation."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Saviano is recognized to close."

Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I appreciate the Illinois Realtors opposition to this Bill, but ya know, it's up to a community to make sure their housing their housing stock is protected. 'Cause their housing stock represents their tax... their taxing ability to provide for

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

their schools and their local services. And I think, they should be giving this local control authority to make sure they protect it. I appreciate the fact that there's another independent organization inspecting, but during the course of the reconstruction or the course of conversion of an apartment building, a condo, I would want the authority of the local municipality to be able to oversee that process so there's some uniformity in the building structures in that community. And for that reason, I would ask for your favorable vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Eddy, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 74 voting 'yes' and 33 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Saviano, you wanna call 3785? Okay, Mr. Clerk that's on page 26 of the Calendar. Read the Bill, please."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3785, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Saviano."

Saviano: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3785 is a Bill that is supported by the Illinois Taxidermists and the Nature Conservancy. In this state, we... under their Licensing Act, taxidermists didn't have the... didn't have

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

any violations or penalties for practicing unlicensed taxidermy in this state. Now, some of you may ask, why do we license taxidermists? Well, from an environmental standpoint, when... if anybody here is hunter, they know that when they kill a deer they bring it to a check station. They have 'em tagged by DNR where they could inspect the deer, make sure that there's no diseases, gauge how old that deer is, whether it's a male or female. And then if you were going to a taxidermist for their services, a licensed taxidermist is required to make sure that tag, that animal's tagged and recorded by the DNR. unlicensed taxidermist may not require that. In fact, an unlicensed taxidermist is violating the law if they are providing that service while being unlicensed. This simply puts in the violations and penalties into the Act to make sure that everybody's on the up and up when they are thinking about mounting an animal or... or provide... providing services through a taxidermist. This is not the bestiality Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House Bill 3785. Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, excuse me... Representative Collins. Okay, Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'yes' and 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

page 25 of the Calendar is House Bill 1554 for Representative Schock. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1554, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schock."
- Schock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1554 just was amended the last time around. And so, I would ask for Members consideration and support. It establishes the prescription drug price finder website, Department of Public Aid. So, that our senior citizens and other population in Illinois can find the lowest cost for prescription drugs throughout the state. I'd be happy to answer any questions that Members may have."
- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? And on that question, Representative Lang is recognized."

Lang: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

- Lang: "Can you explain the mechanism by which this Bill would work if it became law, Representative? I mean, what are you trying to accomplish, how does it work?"
- Schock: "The Department of Public Aid currently requires that Medicaid pharmacies that... that request Medicaid reimbursement, submit on their reimbursement sheet the usual and customary price for each prescription drug that they offer. That information is currently held in our data

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

warehouse. And this will require the Department of Public Aid to provide that information that's currently held in our data warehouse, for the public viewing so that they can search by their region, their city, their zip code, for the lowest cost prescription drug."

Lang: "So, do these prices not change in a volatile way, don't they move around and... and how would... how would the website keep up with the fluctuations and prices?"

Schock: "Every time that a new... Okay, we've addressed that concern in a number of ways. First of all, pharmacies who have up-to-date websites themselves, your big box pharmacies, Walgreens, CVS and the like, they are going to be allowed to link to this website, so that citizens could opt to check the pharmacy's website for the most up-to-date. And it also put a disclaimer on there understanding that this information in the data warehouse is somewhat dated because of the slow payment process that the state is going through right now. So, the information may be in the case of right now up to 2 months old. But I believe the information, that... that dated information is better than no information."

Lang: "Well, I'm not sure, so let's talk that through. So, you're a person looking for the best price and you check this website and you find the best price and you go and you find out the price has changed. And now you've been inconvenienced. Isn't it possible this will confuse more than it will help?"

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Schock: "Well, some of the... the pharmacists raised that concern and... and what we found was that in talking to them, that the price fluctuation occurs when a partic... particular drug company were to raise the cost of a particular drug. And when that occurs, generally speaking, the pharmacies raise their prices according to that increase. So that if the particular pharmacy has a good buying agreement because they buy a large quantity of particular drug, they are still gonna be the lowest cost provider in that scenario. So, even though, the cost Zithromax goes up. It will go up just pro... proportionately throughout the system of pharmacies."

Lang: "Well..."

Schock: "And... and they agreed and that which is why they are neutral on this Bill, that very rarely will a cost increase occur for a particular drug and all of a sudden another pharmacy will raise their... their drug."

Lang: "Are you suggesting that in every case when a price changes, every pharmacy will change their price under the exact proportionate way so that, the cheapest pharmacy will always be the cheapest pharmacy?"

Schock: "No, I don't think you can say always in... in this. No.

But... but Public Aid testify... was there to testify that...

that in most cases that is the case."

Lang: "Isn't it also the case that a lot of pharmacies will just automatically give the Medicaid price to everybody?

You have to speak for the record, Representative."

09400036.doc

Schock: "What was your question?"

106

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Lang: "Isn't it the case that most pharmacies will automatically just give everybody the Medicaid price?"

Schock: "That will be usual and customary price and that will be what is listed."

Lang: "Well, so if that's the case, what is the purpose of the website?"

Schock: "Because right..."

Lang: "If everybody in the end gives the same price, then what's the purpose for the website?"

Schock: "Because... first of all, there's very limited knowledge out there about what prescription drug costs are and it's very difficult right now for people to shop for prescription drug price... cost. And we found that, just in the community of Peoria, you checking the five or six most commonly prescribe drugs for senior citizens, there's disparities from anywhere from 20 to 30 percent for cash paying citizens. So, in that case, it would not be the case."

Lang: "So, I... I... so, is the department for or against your Bill?"

Schock: "Department of Public Aid is opposed."

Lang: "Because?"

Schock: "I don't think they wanna do the work involved."

Lang: "Are they opposed on fiscal grounds? Are they opposed 'cause they don't like the idea? Or are they opposed 'cause in the end everybody gets the same price anyway?"

Schock: "No, their... their chief op... opposition was that they didn't like the fact that this information could be dated

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

and they felt that citizens may contact them out of frustration, you know, that they..."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Lang, your time has expired.

Would you bring your remarks to a close, please?"

Lang: "Thank you. Just one more question, Mr. Speaker. And I appreciate your indulgence. Wouldn't it be better, then, to put an Amendment on this Bill that required that these prices be updated more currently by a certain date every time the price changes?"

Schock: "It... that's an excellent idea and... and that was offered up in my Amendment that we... that we passed on the House here that's... that's become the Bill. It requires that every 2 months the information must be updated on the website."

Lang: "All right. I'll consider your Bill. Thank you, Sir." Schock: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, just to clarify. First of all, usual and customary charges that are reported to the Department of Public Aid are done electronically and they don't always equal the Medicaid price. Frequently higher, but never lower, because you have to charge the same price to the state as you would to the lowest price that the prescription's available at your pharmacy. So, it's not true that everybody's going to have the same price. They... they will and that's the effect of the Bill is to make sure that that information is disseminated. I think that's a great idea. Because it... it's currently happening where, ya

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

know, people are looking for these prices but having to do what you did in Peoria, get on the phone, take the time, not everybody, especially some of our seniors, it's a little more challenging timewise and energywise. And what this is gonna do is just give it one more avenue where people can do their shopping for the best price. I think it's a very appropriate Bill and I think you're going to see that it has, is a definite benefit because there's going to be different and lower prices available and then they can make the decision whether it's best to shop at that store or keep their pharmacy that they're currently using. I congratulate you on your Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Schock to close, to close."

Schock: "Again, this is modeled after a program in the State of Maryland that is running quite successfully and I think will only further encourage a commerce and... and a business here in the State of Illinois, as opposed to other markets. So, this encourages our citizens to buy locally and... and I think is a win/win situation for our senior citizens and organizations here in the state. And I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Hassert, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 18 of the Calendar is

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

House Bill 3471 for Representative Soto. Do you wanna move a couple of these Bills right now? Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill, please."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3471 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Soto, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Soto."

Soto: "Yes. Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 3471 amends the Illinois Vehicle Code. This is a cleanup Bill. It amends the provision regarding failure to pay fines, penalties and court costs to provide that the Secretary of State may not remove the noca... the notation indicating a driver's license failure to pay until the Secretary has received directly from the court of venue, rather than the driver, a written notice of payment. And I'm open for questions and I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 1133."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1133 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1,

36th Legislative Day

- offered by Representative Soto, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Soto."
- Soto: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. House Amendment #1 becomes the Bill. Rewrites the requirement for the Department of Public Aid to undertake a pediatric asthma initiative."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 11 of the Calendar is House Bill 1565. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1565, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Wish to move that to Third? Okay, Third Reading. And then on page 23 of the Calendar is House Bill 769. Mr. Clerk, would you read that Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 769, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Soto."
- Soto: "Thank you, Speaker. House... House Bill 769 amends the Illinois Vehicle Code, amends the provision regarding failure to pay fines, penalties and court costs to provide the Secretary of State that they may not remove the notion

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

(sic-notation) indicating the driver's failure to pay until the Secretary of State has received directly from the court a venue, rather than the driver, a written notice of payment. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill 769. This is on Short Debate. Does anyone stand in opposition? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Watson, for what reason do you rise?"

Watson: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hannig: "Yes, state your point."

Watson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Please help me in welcoming the members of the Illinois 4-Wheel Drive Association up in the gallery. They have 19 different clubs throughout the state and just wanted to give them a nice warm welcome."

Speaker Hannig: "Welcome to Springfield. On page 23 of the Calendar is House Bill 782. Is Representative Sullivan wishing this Bill to be called? Okay. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. On page 5 of the Calendar is House Bill 711 for Representative Washington. Do you wish us to move that Bill, Representative, from Second Reading to Third? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

36th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 711 has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Washington, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Washington, you're recognized on the Amendment, on the Amendment."
- Washington: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, the Amendment is a simple Amendment. It only deletes the fact that we're not talking about weapons here. So, I ask for an 'aye' vote and approval of the Amendment."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 3606 for Representative Winters. Mr. Clerk, would read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3606, a Bill for an Act concerning procurement. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Winters."
- Winters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3606 amends the Illinois Procurement Code by permitting a 5 per... percent preference to be given to bidders who will use biobased products. There's a list the Federal Government has put together and I believe we'd have no opposition to the Bill. Be happy to answer any questions."

36th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 222 of the Calendar is House Bill 672 for Representative Yarbrough. It's out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Younge, you have two Bills. On page 23 of the Calendar is House Bill 961 on the Order of Third Reading. Would you like us to read that Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 961, a Bill for an Act in relation to human services. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 961 would create the state advocacy program for the homeless mentally ill and the developmentally disabled. It would create an advocacy position in the Department of Human Services and the purpose of that position would be to help coordinate programs having to do with the homeless mentally ill. It would create a council to review the programs of the Department of Human Services. And it would amend the Illinois Housing Development Act to require that certain numbers of low and moderate income housing be available for

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

the homeless mentally ill and developmentally disabled. I have not reached an agreement with the Department of Human Services, yet. They project that the cost of this legislation would be about \$80 thousand and I am in the process of talking to them in reference to how those funds will be made available. And I ask for your support in reference of this matter."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill 961. This is on Short Debate and in response the Chair recognizes Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise in opposition to the Lady's Bill. It's gonna cost \$80 thousand. There is a... the Legislature passed a Resolution, House Resolution 413, during the last General Assembly to study the issue. And I think, that's what we should do is that we should make sure that that Resolution is honored and that they do a study on it. And I think that's the proper direction to go. We do not have money for this at this time and we do have legislation that will help the Sponsor of this legislation get a better understanding. And upon the receipt of that report, then can use it to put out forward legislation at that time."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Younge is recognized to close."

Younge: "The homeless mentally ill and developmentally disabled need this Bill and I ask for your support because they're depending upon us to help them."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open.

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Lindner, would you like to be recorded? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 9 in the Calendar is House Bill 1271. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill. Out of the record, Representative?"

Younge: "Out of the record."

- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Thank you, Representative. Out of the... out of the record. Representative Brosnahan has two Bills.

 On page 7 of the Calendar is House Bill 1039. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1039, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And also, read House Bill 4030 on page 21 of the Calendar."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 4030, a Bill for an Act concerning sex offenders. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 14 in the Calendar, Representative Flowers has House Bill 2547. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2547, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And on page 22 of the Calendar, Representative Eddy has House Bill 471. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 471, a Bill for an Act concerning renewable fuels. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Eddy."

- Eddy: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is another Bill that deals with the promotion of ethanol. And this one has to do with the actual E85 fuels. We've done a lot in this state to help promote the production of ethanol and it's also important that we promote of the use of the products through that production. And this Bill would create a program that would allow for people who use or purchase the E85 vehicles to receive coupons for up to a thousand dollars toward the purchase of E85 blended fuel. There's a Amendment that simply allows that if the individual who purchased an E85 vehicle does not have an E85 fueling station within 25 miles that their coupons could be used This Bill for 10 percent blend. is subject to appropriation and I would appreciate your 'aye' vote. And... and be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. And in response, the Chair recognizes Representative Bill Mitchell."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Mitchell, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Mitchell, B.: "Representative, I think this is a great Bill. I was wondering and I had a Bill similar to this several years ago. E85, what exactly does that mean? People might, of the House, wanna know what that is."

Eddy: "That's an alternative fuel blend that encourages the use of ethanol blended fuels."

Mitchell, B.: "Is the E85... 85, 85 percent Ethanol?"

Eddy: "The... the percentage of ethanol blend is indicated by the label, yes."

Mitchell, B.: "So, it's 85 percent ethanol?"

Eddy: "Yes."

Mitchell, B.: "Okay. Ethanol and... and how... how many jobs in Illinois are related ethanol in terms of agriculture and things like that?"

Eddy: "The exact number of jobs, I... I'm not aware of. However, I can tell you it's a growing job base. And in fact, in my district, we're very happy that within the last year an ethanol plant that was made up of farmers cooperatively, opened and provider 50 new jobs to our community."

Mitchell, B.: "Representative, in the increased use of ethanol adds how much per bushel of corn?"

Eddy: "Representative, I don't have the exact..."

Mitchell, B.: "It's about 25 figures... 10, 25..."

Eddy: "...facts..."

Mitchell, B.: "So, anything you can get..."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Eddy: "That... that's information I think I can get to you.

However, at this time..."

Mitchell, B.: "Appreciate it."

Eddy: "...I don't have that specifically."

Mitchell, B.: "Well, it's a great Bill. One of the things that, I think, people don't know is that when they buy a car... What cars, trucks can use the E85? Do you know?"

Eddy: "How many?"

Mitchell, B.: "What types?"

Eddy: "What type? I believe all types of vehicles. There's some difficulty..."

Mitchell, B.: "There's... there's several popular brands, Dodge Caravan, S-10 pickups, those types of things. So, they might have a vehicle right now that they don't know can use E... E85, but they don't know about it."

Eddy: "I think that's a very good point, Representative. I have legislation that has passed out to the Senate that would notify those folks who have vehicles that are E85 of the availability of fuels in their district. And it also would encourage what I'm trying to do here and that is to motivate folks to use those blended fuels so that the productivity that we've enhanced in this state, will have a market."

Mitchell, B.: "Do you know... And your Bill is great and you're right on the mark. Used to be CMS had a pool that had an E85 tank here in Springfield and Champaign and wherever they had a CMS motor pool. Do they still have that?"

Eddy: "My understanding is that the department still has that."

36th Legislative Day

- Mitchell, B.: "They still do, that's good."
- Eddy: "But I'm not... I'm not sure. I know that came up in another Bill that... that I have..."
- Mitchell, B.: "Right."
- Eddy: "...regarding the purchase, the procurement of vehicles by CMS."
- Mitchell, B.: "Well, I think this is a great Bill. It helps the economy of Illinois and I... I urge the House to support it."
- Eddy: "Thank you and I'll try to get those exact figures per bushel... I think Representative Stephens is... is gesturing the possible numbers but I'mana... I'mana verify that and get back to you, Representative."
- Mitchell, B.: "I... I would appreciate you verifying that."
- Speaker Hannig: "There seems to be a few others who would like to speak, so we'll put this on the Order of Standard Debate and recognize Representative McCarthy."
- McCarthy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."
- McCarthy: "Representative, I... I remember we had this in committee. And I don't remember if we were gonna work on an answer to the question or if you were able to give it at the time. But we... This is subject to appropriation, first, correct?"
- Eddy: "Yes. Yes."
- McCarthy: "Okay. And remember, I think my question was, if it was, say, appropriated at \$10 thousand, was it your intent that 10 people would get \$1 thousand vouchers or say a

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

thousand people applied and each of 'em would get \$10 vouchers?"

Eddy: "My intent would be that the entire amount or the anticipated amount would be available. However, if that we... were to fall short, the department would have to make that determination. My intent, and that's your question, is that everyone who purchases would receive some kind of a benefit. So, my intention would seem to be a proration. However..."

McCarthy: "Proration, okay."

Eddy: "Yeah. I... I think that if we're gonna encourage we need to do it to the extent that those who purchase actually do benefit from the intention."

McCarthy: "Would there be... Would the people who own these vehicles in the state automatically be notified or would it be the... would it be that the obligation on them that they would just have to know they have this, know the program's out there and apply?"

Eddy: "It... it would be their obligation to apply for that.

However, I would believe that through marketing efforts that... that knowledge would be made known, especially at car dealerships."

McCarthy: "Okay. And... and I know there is an appropriation that has a suggested amount of about \$5 million, is that correct?"

Eddy: "Yes."

36th Legislative Day

- McCarthy: "Okay. And do you know how many vehicles would qualify in this state if every single one of them applied for this?"
- Eddy: "No. I... I don't have that figure. I could get that information, however."
- McCarthy: "Okay. Do you... do you have any idea is it... I mean, is it a hundred thousand? Is it 5 thousand?"
- Eddy: "It would be hard to determine because we don't know how many would be purchased. Now, we could hope for a certain number to be purchased but... but it would be very difficult to give you an exact number because until the incentive were out there and folks had the opportunity to... to be affected by it, we couldn't get that number. We could only anticipate and that would be difficult."
- McCarthy: "Okay. Well, I appreciate your answers about the prorated share because I think that would be fair. I wouldn't wanna see if it was a small appropriation, you know, 20 or 30 lucky people get something or get a thousand dollars and then hundreds of people get nothing. So, I don't know if that has to added on in the Senate or not or just a legislative intent would be good enough for that. But it certainly is a good program but I would like to see it at least split evenly among all the people who apply in, ya know, whatever the number of time they have. So…"
- Eddy: "I agree with that and hopefully, it... it would show the...
 the impact would show that there could be additional
 appropriations in future years based on maybe falling short
 of those numbers too..."

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

McCarthy: "Yeah."

Eddy: "So, I agree with you."

McCarthy: "Yeah, maybe your colleague from Decatur's more the expert. I can ask him later. But if you have an E85 vehicle that your using regular unleaded gas for today, can you switch over midstream or do you have to start from day one with the E85?"

Eddy: "I think the engine has to be appropriate for... for that.

And the switch over, I would... I would always get some recommendation for... from those folks who made the engine of the vehicle. There may be specifications unique to that vehicle. I would always urge that in that situation."

McCarthy: "So, you don't think that because you used other stuff before that procludes (sic-precludes) it or you don't... or you're like me, you're not a mechanic."

Eddy: "I... I don't know, but I'll tell ya this, based on warranties and engine warranties, I would always... I would always make that request of the manufacturer to make sure I wouldn't be voiding any warranty, anyway."

McCarthy: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, another good idea, another Bill that has merit. However, it is anywhere from \$80 thousand to \$5 million which we do not have. I would ask that the Body do not support this at this time. When the state has a better financial position, this is the kind of legislation that we ought to be passing. But as it is now, it doesn't matter

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

whether it's a Republican or Democratic Bill as Sponsors. The fact of the matter is we don't have the money to pay for these worthwhile ideas. And I ask the Body to consider not supporting this Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Sacia."

Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll be brief. To the Bill. A question was asked just a couple minutes ago, how many there are in the State of Illinois that are E85 compatible? We passed a Bill on this House Floor last year. It did become public law. The Secretary of State is now mandated to make that information available and they can do it by three digits of the serial number to determine the specific vehicles in each county, each municipality that are E85 compatible. Just wanted to share that with the previous speaker. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Eddy to close."

Eddy: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would simply remind the Body that this is a Bill that's subject to appropriations. I certainly understand my colleague's concerns regarding the budget. However, we're gonna make a lot of decisions based on priorities in the next couple of months, hopefully, just the next couple of months, regarding the budget. And I would think that this should be weighed in along with those priorities, but certainly the program and the concept be established. And since it's subject to appropriation, I would ask for your 'aye' vote to establish this very important program."

36th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 19 of the Calendar is House Bill 3628. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3628, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Feigenholtz, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Feigenholtz."
- Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 is the result of some concerns that people had on a Bill that I've been working on for 1 year. I suppose right now we can call it the 'Baby Tamia' Bill. It clarifies... there was concern on the part of some attorneys who practice adoption law and some clarifications needed, some input from the Attorney General's Office. And I would appreciate the adoption of this Amendment."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

36th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 9 of the Calendar is House Bill 1272. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1272, a Bill for an Act concerning community revitalization. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Younge, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I want to withdraw Amendment #2 and proceed with Amendment #3."
- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Younge, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "You need to change the board. There we go.

 The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younge."
- Younge: "I move the... for the adoption of Amendment #3. It is a recommendation of the Illinois Municipal League to include business people on the board of the economic advisers."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? Then all in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, would you read the committees that will meet on Monday when we return."

36th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "Committees for Monday, April 11. Meeting at 1 p.m. is Consumer Protection in Room 122-B, Elementary & Secondary in Room 118, Human Services in Room D-1, Judiciary-Criminal Law in Room C-1, Transportation & Motor Vehicles in Room 114. Meeting at 1:30 p.m. is the Executive Committee in Room 118 and Registration & Regulation in Room C-1."
- Speaker Hannig: "I would point out to everyone who's received this bulletin that there's a very important sentence right here that's boxed in the middle. It says, 'Members are strongly encouraged to have substantive House Amendments filed with the House Clerk before the House adjourns on Tuesday, April 12.' So, we wanna have your... you need to have your Amendments prepared and filed with the Clerk by next Tuesday. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 273, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 274, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 275, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 276, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 277, offered by Representative Beiser. House Resolution 279, offered by Representative Parke. House Resolution 281, offered by Representative Dunn. House Resolution 282, offered by Representative Rita. House Resolution 283, offered by Representative Rita. House Resolution 284, offered by Representative Froehlich. House Resolution 285, offered by Representative Black. House Resolution 287,

36th Legislative Day

- offered by Representative Flider. House Resolution 288, offered by Representative Flider. House Resolution 289, offered by Representative Phelps. And House Joint Resolution 36, offered by Beaubien."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Turner moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Clerk, read the Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Joint... Senate Joint Resolution #36.
 - RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, April 8, 2005, the Senate stands adjourned until Monday, April 11, 2005 at 12:00 noon; and the House of Representatives stands adjourned until Monday, April 11, 2005, at 2:00 p.m."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Turner now moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Are there any announcements? Then Representative Turner moves that the House stand adjourned until Monday, April 11, at the hour of 2 p.m. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourn. Allowing Perfunctory time for the Clerk, the House stands adjourned."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

 Referred to the House Committee of Rules, House Resolution

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

278, offered by Representative Lang. House Resolution 280, offered by Representative Schmitz. House Resolution 286, offered by Representative Richard Bradley. And House Joint Resolution 37, offered by Representative Collins. Following House Bills will be read a second time, held on the Order of Second Reading. Second Reading of House Bills. House Bill 2, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. House Bill 9, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 44, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 45, a Bill for an Act in relation to human rights. House Bill 121, a Bill for an Act in relation to sex offenders. House Bill 124, a Bill for an Act concerning compensation. House Bill 129, a Bill for an Act concerning vehicles. House Bill 136, a Bill for an Act concerning right to safety. House Bill 164, a Bill for an Act regarding education. House Bill 176, a Bill for an Act concerning provider billing. House Bill 179, a Bill for an Act concerning medical clinics. House Bill 230, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 231, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 246, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 248, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 258, a Bill for an Act concerning child welfare. Bill 265, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. House Bill 294, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 298, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 323, a Bill for an Act concerning state motor vehicles. House Bill 360, a Bill for an Act concerning families.

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

House Bill 363, a Bill for an Act concerning schools. House Bill 368, a Bill for an Act concerning vehicles. House Bill... House Bill 454, a Bill for an Act concerning municipalities, water... Metropolitan Water Reclamation District. House Bill 461, a Bill for an Act concerning unemployment insurance. House Bill 468, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 473, a Bill for an Act concerning ethics. House Bill 478, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 480, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. House Bill 481, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 483, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 506, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 542, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 568, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 599, a Bill for an Act concerning health care. House Bill 639, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 666, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 667, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 679, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 699, a Bill for an Act concerning procurement. House Bill 690, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. House Bill 712, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 730, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 756, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 764, a Bill for an Act in relation to child support. House Bill 778, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 824, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Bill 866, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 868, a Bill for an Act concerning mortgage lenders. House Bill 873, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 881, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 902, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 911, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 914, a Bill for an Act concerning coroners. House Bill 915, a Bill for an Act concerning labor. House Bill 916, Bill for an Act concerning gaming. House Bill 928, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 930, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 934, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Bill 935, a Bill for an Act concerning firearms. Bill 936, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. House Bill 937, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 938, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 940, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 943, a Bill for an Act concerning warehouses. House Bill 962, a Bill for an Act in relation to foreign trade zones. House Bill 975, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 978, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 1000, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. House Bill 1031, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 10... 1030... House Bill 1044, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1061, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1063, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. House Bill 1073, a Bill for an Act concerning government.

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

House Bill 1103, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. House Bill 1104, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. House Bill 1161, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 1177, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1178, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1197, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1221, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. House Bill 1285, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. House Bill 1308, a Bill for an Act concerning state... state services and public works. House Bill 1314, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. House Bill 1337, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 1340, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 13... House Bill 1365, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 1366, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 1367, a Bill for an Act concerning cemeteries. House Bill 1370, by a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 1330... House Bill 1389, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1404, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 1428, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1431, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1445, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1449, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1450, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1463... House Bill 1475, a Bill for an Act concerning schools. House Bill 1524, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 1525, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

1527, a Bill for an Act in relation to public employee benefits. House Bill 1533, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 4... 1535, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 1540, a Bill for an Act concerning education. education. House Bill 1560, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1568, a Bill for an concerning employment. House Bill 1573, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1582, a Bill for an Act concerning libraries. House Bill 1592, a Bill for an Act concerning minors. House Bill 1596, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. House Bill 1603, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1628, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1633, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1656, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1658, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 164... 1749, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1920, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. House Bill 2000, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2002, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2018, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2047, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2049, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. House Bill 2137, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 2190, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2194, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2217, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2344, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Bill 2248, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2262, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2330, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. House Bill 2348, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 216... 2367, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2369, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 2371, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 2373, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 2388, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 238... House Bill 2396, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill... House Bill 2412, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2414, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2418, a Bill for an Act concerning child support. House Bill 2429, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. House Bill 2432, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2443, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. House Bill 2447, a Bill for an Act con... concerning... House Bill 2451, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2453, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2461, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2465, a Bill for an Act concerning technology. House Bill 2466, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 2468, a Bill for an Act in relation to public employee benefits. House Bill 2478, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 2483, a Bill for an Act concerning higher education. House Bill 2517, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

2521, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 2525, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2526, a Bill for an Act concerning employee... employment benefits. House Bill 244... 2546, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2552, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2567, a Bill for an Act in relation to firearms. House Bill 2568, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. House Bill 2572, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 2577, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2593, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation, which may be referred to as the Dorian Riley Law. House Bill 2594, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2607, a Bill for an Act in relation to firearms. House Bill 2612, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2695, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2705, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. House Bill 2706, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2707, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2709, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. House Bill 2710, Bill for an Act concerning the lottery. House Bill 2711, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. House Bill 2712, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. House Bill 2758, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2768, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2887, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2890, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2941, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2962,

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2976, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2991, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 3003, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 3010, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 3040, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Bill 3063, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3066, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3076, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3092, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Bill for House Bill 3123, a an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3125, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3130, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3131, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3141, by a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3158, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3174, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3187, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3215, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3273, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3318, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3415, a Bill for an Act concerning minors. House Bill 3443, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3449, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 3453, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3462, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 3472, a Bill for an Act

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

concerning State Government. House Bill 3475, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3478, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3487, a Bill for an Act concerning state finance. Bill 3488, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Bill 3498, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Bill 3499, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3500, a Bill for an Act in relation to education. House Bill 3517, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 3523, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3526, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3528, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3545, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. House Bill 3551, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. House Bill 3555, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 3581, a Bill for an Act concerning vehicles. House Bill 3596, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 35... 3602, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3615, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 3624, a Bill for an Act concerning teacher certification. House Bill 3627, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3640, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. House Bill 3643, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3649, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Bill 3650, a Bill for an Act concerning telecommunications. 3679, a Bill Bill for House an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 20... 3685, a Bill for an Act

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

regarding school buses. House Bill 3694, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3696, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3711, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 3713, a Bill for an Act concerning domestic violence. House Bill 3721, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3749, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3751, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House 3760, a Bill for an Act concerning parks recreation. House Bill 37... 3761, a Bill for an Act concerning public libraries. House Bill 3767, a Bill for an Act concerning business transactions. House Bill 3777, a Bill for an Act concerning health facilities. House... House Bill 30... House Bill 3798, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. House Bill 3800, a Bill for an Act concerning the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District. House Bill 38... 3816, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3823, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3842, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3851, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3853, a Bill for an Act in relation to aging. House Bill 3867, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3877, a Bill for an Act concerning municipalities. House Bill 4014, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 40... House Bill 4031, a Bill for an Act concerning parental rights. House Bill 4049, a Bill for an Act concerning the General Assembly. House Bill 4050, a

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

Bill for an Act concerning lending practices. House Bill 4051, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Bill 4053, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Introduction of Senate Bills-First Reading. Senate Bill 470, offered by Representative Meyer, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 478, offered Representative Saviano, a Bill for an Act concerning Senate Bill 511, offered by Representative Feigenholtz, a Bill for an Act concerning adoption. Senate Bill 533, offered by Representative Will Davis, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 542, offered by Representative Fritchey, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 555, offered by Representative Lang, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance coverage. Senate Bill 611, offered by Representative Froehlich, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 892, offered by Representative Moffitt, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Senate Bill 1294, offered Representative Biggins, a Bill for an Act concerning Senate Bill 1458, offered by Representative revenue. Feigenholtz, a Bill for an Act concerning adoption. Senate Bill 1479, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 14... Senate Bill 1485, offered by Representative Berrios, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 1489, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. Senate Bill 1620, offered by Representative Franks, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1627,

36th Legislative Day

4/8/2005

offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Senate Bill 1629, offered by Representative Joe Lyons, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1637, offered by Representative Froelich, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Senate Bill 1696, offered by Representative Hamos, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Senate Bill 1697, offered by Representative May, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Senate Bill 1698, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 1705, offered by Representative Scully, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1740, offered by Representative Froelich, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session stands adjourned."