35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "The hour of 12:00 having arrived, the House will be in order. The Members will please be in their seats. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off their cell phones and pagers and rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. We'll be led in prayer today by Pastor Kirk Alan Smith with the House... with House of Prayer in Albion, Illinois. Pastor Smith is the guest of Representative Reis."

Pastor Smith: "Let's pray together. We come to You today in Thank You for the opportunity and the Jesus' name. privilege of blessing this day for these men and women as they conduct business for the great State of Illinois. this day of partisan politics and personal agendas, may this group of people not be content with mediocrity of imitating what other State Legislatures are doing, but instead may they be on the cutting edge of creativity, courage, inspiration, and maturity. This state has fiscal challenges, educational challenges, employment challenges, and moral challenges, that when we seek Your face and work together there's no challenge too big. This group of people yield great power, accomplishing more with one signature of their name than some folks accomplish in a lifetime. May they balance that power with a sense of responsibility, accountability, and humility. We also ask that You bless any spouses and/or children home today who sacrifice much so that these men and women can serve this state with clarity of vision and purpose. For each of them

35th Legislative Day

- we thank You. Lay Your blessing upon them in Jesus' name.

 Amen."
- Speaker Hannig: "And we'll be led in the Pledge today by,

 Representative Froehlich."
- Froehlich et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Hannig: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The excused Democratic absences today are, Representatives Currie, McKeon, Boland, and Dugan."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Bost."
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Republicans are all here, present and ready to do the work of the people."
- Speaker Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Bost. Mr. Clerk, take the record. There are 114 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Committee Reports?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "Committee Reports. Representative Hoffman, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation and Motor Vehicles, which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 7, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 242, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1555. Representative Giles, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education, which

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 7, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House 1999, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill Representative Fritchey, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary-Civil Law, which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 7, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2920 and Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3415. Representative McKeon, Chairperson from the Committee on Labor, which following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 6, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3471. Representative Franks, Chairperson from the Committee on State Government Administration, which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 6, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2244. Representative Fritchey, Chairperson from the Committee Registration & on Regulation, which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 6, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1177, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3048, and Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3158. Representative Granberg, Chairperson from the Committee on Agriculture & Conservation, which the

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 6, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2550. Representative Delgado, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services, which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on April 6, 2005, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 794 and Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3467."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Phelps, for what reason do you rise?"

Phelps: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hannig: "Yes, state your point."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. As you may or may not be aware, April 2005 was commemorated as Car Care Month by House Resolution 107. In keeping with that spirit of that Resolution, let me advise you that a battery care, even a maintenance-free battery needs a little extra TLC. In fact, some preset engine-controlled conditions such as idle may need to be reset if battery power is interrupted. You may also need to reset the clock and radio. Be certain to consult your vehicle owner's guide for the correct procedure. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for allowing me to pass on these helpful tips to you and my colleagues. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Hannig: "Yes, state your inquiry."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Stephens: "Could you... could you ask Representative Phelps if my car's done yet?"

Speaker Hannig: "We'll have him go check the oil, okay?

Representative Bailey. Representative Bailey.

Representative Bailey, on page 26 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 1289. Would you like us to call that Bill? No. Okay. Out of the record. Representative Bellock has House Bill 3451 on page 28 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, would you read that Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3451, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3451, is a Bill that allows new hirings in school to check on the State Police website about if people, sexual offenders, are on the data registry list. This is a Bill that we had an Amendment onto yesterday. I explained it that we rememoved all the orders of protection and that it is a very simple process now for the schools to go on the State Police website to see this sex offender data base. I know of no opposition. The State Police are fine with it and so is the IEA."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Flowers and Scully, would you

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative John Bradley has House Bill 1080 on page 8 of the Calendar. Okay. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Bradley, how about House Bill 2411? Mr. Clerk, would read the Bill. 2411."

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2049, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Mr. Clerk, the board needs to reflect that we're on House Bill 2411. Okay. Could you... could you read that Bill on Second Reading, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2411 a... has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #... no Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is adopted by the House. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative John Bradley, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask for adoption of this Amendment. The only change to this Amendment from the previous Amendment is that we split the adult portion of the pilot program from the juvenile portion. Franklin County Detention Center and the Franklin County Juvenile Detention Center are a... contig... or a contiguous with one

35th Legislative Day

- another. And this is a... a minor and appropriate change to this very important piece of legislation for the state."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Floor Amendment. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'.

 The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. All Motions have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Rich Bradley, on page 25 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 805. Okay, that's out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Black, on page 24 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 48. Mr. Clerk, would you read that Bill, please."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 48, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 48 keeps a commitment that I made and I appreciate the indulgence of the... the Members of the House and the Senate. Vermilion County was trying very hard to attract the Farm Progress Show as its permanent Illinois site. House Bill 48 provided a township that could issue a temporary liquor license, even though the township had been voted dry. That was a controversial Bill, I appreciate the support that I had, but the Farm Progress Show went to Macon County. I'm pleased for Macon County but I am

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

keeping my commitment. I said if we didn't get the Farm Progress Show, we would repeal... or I would attempt to repeal that law that allows a township to issue a temporary liquor license, even though the township may have voted itself dry. That's what House Bill 48 does. I'll be glad to answer any questions."

- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative... okay. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Black, you also have House Bill 325 on page 24 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, would you read that Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 325, a Bill for an Act concerning real property. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill... and I wish I didn't have to call this Bill, but unfortunately the University of Illinois and my constituent have not yet reached an agreement. To make a long story short, I have a family in my district that purchased land, received a recorded deed, and clear title to 30 acres of land that they purchased in

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

They have paid taxes on that land, they built a house on that land. And about 8 or 9 years ago the University of Illinois was checking over some surveying records and found that they, in fact, owned most of the land in question. Now, we have been trying to work out an arrangement, but we've not been successful. So what House Bill 325 does is simply to transfer 30 acres of this land from the University of Illinois to the Vanderport family, who has also owned and paid taxes on this land since 1972. They are willing to pay fair market value. The appraisal has been made and is on file. And this means that my constituent is literally paying for this land twice. But I... I think it's a... a situation that we need to pass to bring the university to the table and hopefully, we'll get this worked out without the necessity for this legislation. But as time grows short, I need to move it to the Senate. Be glad to answer any questions that you have."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. And in response, Representative Franks is recognized."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Franks: "Mr. Black, I just was handed a... an appraisal of the property and it indicates that the 14 acres that are in dispute here is worth approximately \$15,500. Is that correct?"

Black: "That... that's my understanding."

Franks: "Okay. I guess my question... and... and you've been here a lot longer than me, maybe you can help me. Why do we

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

need legislation at this time when the two parties are in active negotiations and if those active negotiations should fail, there's also redress through the courts?"

Black: "As you know from your legal background, the university is not held applicable to adverse possession laws."

Franks: "Correct."

Black: "You or I would've lost all claim to this land since the Vanderport family purchased it in... in 1972 and have been paying taxes on it ever since. We have tried to negotiate this for the last 3 years. I will say that we are beginning to see some progress, Representative. But as time runs out next Friday, I... I need to move this Bill to the Senate. I think it puts added pressure on university to reach an equitable settlement with Vanderport family, who by the way, are willing to buy the land again at fair market value just so they don't have to leave land that they already have built a house on. It's just one of those unfortunate situations. The university feels it's a very valuable piece of research land. I'm a... I'm a graduate of the University of Illinois, I love that institution. But in all due respect to the university, they have been less than cooperative in this measure for a number of years. I think if the legislation moves to the Senate, it may speed up the negotiation. And I'm with you, I... I hope this comes to a settlement so that this Bill does not have to end up on the Governor's desk. It shouldn't take that. But unfortunately, the university is a... is a large bureaucracy and things move rather slowly."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Franks: "I understand that the university is not subject to the adverse possession rules, but I'm thinking here that possibly the... I'm sorry, the Vandersta... the Vanderports are possibly barking up the wrong tree. Because if they purchased this property from a third-party seller, and I... what were they Millers? The Millers."

Black: "Right."

Franks: "Pur... typically, when you purchase land you buy a title insurance. Have they not gone and made a claim against the title insurance to make them whole? Because typically, if you or I had a problem and had a question of who owned the land, the proper way to go would be a claim against the title insurance. Has that been done here?"

Black: "I think you... you have just addressed the very root of this problem. Had they obtained title insurance they could've been made whole. They did not. They got an abstract company to research the deed, got clear title of the land back in 1972, and now are at some risk of... of losing their entire investment.

Franks: "Okay."

Black: "I agree with you, title insurance could have prevented this entire situation. But in '72, a lot of attorneys that specialized in real estate didn't consider that as important as they do now perhaps, particularly for rural... this is not farm ground, by the way."

Franks: "Right."

Black: "This is relatively rough ground, not suitable for farming."

35th Legislative Day

- Franks: "Well, it sounds like they got ripped off, these folks. And I understand that, but here's... and my heart goes out to 'em. I hate to see anybody get swindled, which is obviously what's happened to these folks. But I think we're setting a very dangerous precedent if we have to go to the General Assembly to get involved in problems between private parties first and now two... two different entities that are both claiming title. So for that reason, I've got a problem philosophically, because if we do it for this one, we have to do it for the next one and the next one and the next one. So I understand what you do. I respect that you're fighting for your constituent. But for those reasons, I can't stand with you. But thank you."
- Black: "Oh, I... I understand that. And... and just briefly in response. If any entity other than the flagship university was involved, I think this would and could have been resolved. And I still am hopeful that it will be resolved. The former chancellor, I don't think took this very seriously. The interim chancellor has talked to the Vanderports, I have been able to talk to Chancellor Herman. I'm hoping that they work this out. But the Vanderport's attorney sug..."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Franks, your... your time has expired. So, Repre... Representative Black, you're recognized to close."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. All of us are often in this position, and I think Representative Franks brought up a

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

very valid point. We've tried everything over the years to resolve this in a satisfactory manner. And we all are here at some point or another to represent our constituents. think the University of Illinois, as much as I respect that flagship university, has been less than cooperative in trying to work out an equitable settlement for the Vanderport family. In fact, one of their representatives years ago knocked on the door of their home, told the Vanderport's 70-year-old mother that she had 'x' number of days to vacate her house. That there had been a mistake and in fact their house was on university land. could've been handled in a more humane and a more sensible fashion. My constituents paid for this land in good faith. They are willing, again, to pay market value to the university for this land. They're not asking for a handout. They're not asking for anything that I think any of us would want in the same set of circumstances. done everything that their attorney recognized them to... or advised them to do and it hasn't worked up to this point. I want you to keep in mind that the University of Illinois is an extension of the General Assembly. We set many of their operating parameters, not expressly given to them by... by us. It's... it's not that I'm trying to interfere in a great university, I just think this has been mishandled. I feel that my constituents have to have some remedy and it appears that the General Assembly is the only remedy that they can look to at this point. I humbly ask for an 'aye' vote."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Hoffman and Representative Monique Davis, do you wish to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no, and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Phelps, for what reason do you rise?"

Phelps: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hannig: "State your point."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like for all my colleagues to help me welcome to Springfield two of my great friends and constituents of the 118th District and from Pope County, Chuck and Vicky Ailey."

Speaker Hannig: "Is Representative Brady prepared on... prepared for us to read on page 27, House Bill 2689? Representative Brauer, on page 27 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 2355. Okay, that's out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Burke, on page 10 of the Calendar is House Bill 1362. Do you want us to read that Bill on Second Reading? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1362, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Chavez, do you wish us to read House Bill 1469? Do you wish us to read on Second Reading? Okay. Mr. Clerk, on page 11 of the Calendar is House Bill 1469. Would you read the Bill, please."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1469 has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Do you wish us to move that to Third, Representative? Okay, Third Reading. Representative Cross has, on page 12 of the Calendar, House Bill 1541. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1541, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 27 of the Calendar is House Bill 1971. Representative Jefferson, would you wish to handle this for Representative Currie? House Bill 1971.

 Mr. Clerk... Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1971, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Second Reading of this... Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Jefferson."
- Jefferson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Legislature.

 All this Bill does is House Bill... is an election shell Bill and it modifies the Election Code to allow any person to view during normal business hours other than the 27 days

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

prior to the election the centralized statewide voters registration list on the computer at the Springfield office and the State Board of Elections. The person viewing the list must provide his/her name, address, and telephone number so that such information, along with the time the person accessed the database, may be available to the public. The person viewing the list may not leave the office of the State Board of Elections with a copy of the Basically, this legislation stems from a concern raised by the Illinois Press Association that they should centralized access to the statewide voters registration list. Under current law, members of the press may view registration cards but, pursuant to Bills been passed in '93, they might not view electronic voter registration records. And what this Bill does is allow them to view the voters electronic registration records even though they might not have a copy of it after they view the Bill. And I would ask for a favorable vote and I would answer any questions. Thank you."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Lyons in the Chair. Any questions from the Membership? The Chair recognizes Representative Giles."
- Giles: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Indi... indicates he will."
- Giles: "Representative Jefferson, once again, I apologize. I don't have the privy of serving on the Election Committee.

 But could you just help me understand once again because

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

I'd like to understand these election issues to make sure that I can explain to my constituency. The Illinois Press Association right now, currently, they cannot view the voters registration list. Is that correct?"

- Jefferson: "That's exactly right. The... they cannot view the centralized statewide voters registration list."
- Giles: "Okay. So, I mean, as... as an association, they... they may not. But can an individual... just like if I were to go to the Board of Election and ask to view someone's voters registration to make sure that they are registered to vote at a particular address, I can do it, and you're saying that the association can't do it."
- Jefferson: "The person cannot. But normally you have to be affiliated with an organization, i.e., the Democratic Party, Republican Party, an organization such as that nature."
- Giles: "And in this legislation you have that... I mean, but you know, any person can view the list. They can view the registration list, is that correct?"
- Jefferson: "As long as we've got their name, address, and telephone number, yes."
- Giles: "Okay. So, if any person can view... I'm sure when that individual that may represent the Illinois Press Association, if that individual want to see someone's registration they have access to do that. Is that correct?"

Jefferson: "That's exactly right."

Giles: "Okay."

35th Legislative Day

- Jefferson: "All this refers... Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry. All this refers to is the electronic voters registration, that the press has not been able to view prior to this legislation. They would be unable to view the electronic voter registration."
- Giles: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Any further questions? Seeing none, all those in favor signify... of adopting the Amendment signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And so adopted. Third Reading. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the roll. On this Bill, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Amendment(sic-Majority), is hereby declared passed. On page 26 of the Calendar is the Rep... is House Bill 1571. Representative Flider. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1571, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Flider. Out of the record.

 Okay. On page 27 of the Calendar is House Bill 2689.

 Representative Brady. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2689, a Bill for an Act concerning counties. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Brady."
- Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2689 is simply a piece of legislation through the Illinois Cemeteries Association of

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Funeral Directors that simply sets up a clear and concise criteria on the event of unclaimed human remains. This is an initiative of the Treasurer's Office and it simply states that when the Treasurer's Office, as they did in the past, came in contact with unclaimed remains in the way of cremated remains that the local county coroner would be the authority agent to take those remains, continue to seeking next of kin and make final disposition of those remains when that became necessary. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Are there any questions of the Sponsor? Seeing none, all those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'nay'... those opposed... The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 'nays', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional requirement, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 1571. Representative Flider. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, Representative Franks, on page 25, has House Bill 655. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 655, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Franks."
- Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is a McHenry County initiative. Representative Tryon and I were working on

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

this together and we're happy to have our cosponsors of Representative Beaubien and Mathias and Chapa LaVia. We've exempted the City of Chicago because they're already doing what we want this Bill to do and it's a very simple Bill. All we're saying is that if notice of an ordinance violation requires a respondent to answer within a specifi... a specified period of time, then the county or municipality must also reply to the respondent within that same amount of time. We think it's fundamental fairness and I'd be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Are there any questions from the Members?

The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook,

Representative Terry Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Lyons, J.: "He indicates he will."

Parke: "Representative, my analysis said that the Metro Counties, Northwest Municipal Conference, DuPage mainers(sic-mayors), managers, the Municipal League is opposed to your Bill because of concerns that are response to the violation, 'response' is not defined. Is that still the case?"

Franks: "I'm... yes, they still are opposed."

Parke: "They still are opposed?"

Franks: "Right. I believe that they're... that they're criticisms aren't well-founded. Representative Tryon worked on it and Representative Tryon, as you know, is the former chairman of McHenry County Board. And we saw is a real issue there and I think they're throwing a red herring

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

on the question of definition. We're not saying they have to come to an adjudication, we're saying they have to respond. Because what's been happening, quite frankly, is you'll get an ordinance violation. And one will get an ordinance violation and the individual will have a specified time to reply, such as 10 days. And as a res..."

Parke: "Well, I..."

Franks: "And as a result, people will have to jump through a lot of hoops, get engineers, do everything they can, and then the county will sit on their respo... pay lawyers, which I know you don't like that, and then the county will sit on it for 3 or 4 months. And that's just not fair. If they've given you... if they're giving the citizens a certain amount of time, then the county or municipality should be held at the same standard."

Parke: "Well, I'm not opposed to anybody making a living, Representative."

Franks: "Thank you."

Parke: "But rather than have a turf battle here, did you try to find common ground with the opponents?"

Franks: "We did. We did and that's why we exempted the City of Chicago. But going through... what they really wanted to do... the bottom line, Metro Counties said, 'Well then, just do it for McHenry County and we'll be okay with it.' But we didn't think that was fair to have special legislation. We thought if it's good for us, it should be good for the other ones as well."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Parke: "Well, I don't think that's... that's a compromise.

You're simply... the big dog said you can't pass it unless
you exempt us. The rest of 'em... the rest of 'em,
represented by a lot of us, can just go scratch. And I
don't know if that's the way I wanna see things done down
here. I was hoping that you might be able to find common
ground with them."

Franks: "We tried but they... what they wanted as common ground was not to have this Bill."

Parke: "Okay."

Franks: "And we see a real need for it and everyday we hear of constituents calling us and complaining about it. So, I know they don't wanna do it, but sometimes, ya know, they're wrong."

Parke: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, are you submitting this on behalf of a constituent?"

Franks: "Yes, I am."

Black: "You're bringing a constituent matter to the General Assembly? Are there no courts?"

Franks: "Unfortunately, there aren't here. We treat our people in the court system a heck of a lot better."

Black: "Is there no title insurance?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Franks: "That's right. I wish there was."

Black: "Seriously, I just couldn't resist that."

Franks: "No, I don't blame ya."

Black: "The timing was too good. The… the only question that I have, and I think it… you and I are in agreement on a lot of things about the frustration that government can put people through, also the university."

Franks: "That's right. You got 113 votes on that. I was impressed."

Black: "Just one of the things that counties are concerned about, Representative, is what... what is... what is the nature of the response. It isn't defined. They're not sure what they're supposed to do."

Franks: "We just wanna get back... 'cause what happens is... and this is what happens in the real world. Someone... you get an ordinance violation, a red tag, and they say your septic system is wrong and you've gotta get it fixed within ten days. So then we hire the engineers, we do everything we think we need to do. We go and spend thousands of dollars and then the county sits on it for three months and you have no idea whether you've complied or not. If it's important enough for them to tell you you have ten days to fix it, well then they should let us know within ten days whether it's right. That's all, it's just common courtesy. And if they can't get it done within ten days, well then at least give the citizen twenty days or however... however much time that the county believes that they need to check it out. Well then be fair to our citizens and give them that

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

same amount of time. That's all we're saying. Don't be heavy-handed. And we found our counties to be extremely heavy-handed to our citizens and I'm just trying to level the playing field."

Black: "There... there must be something that I'm not seeing, otherwise the opposition would not be as... as lengthy as it is, Metro Counties, IML, the Municipal League."

Franks: "It might be the same lobbyist."

Black: "For... and I'm not sure... I'm trying to focus on where their opposition is. Is it the lack of specificity on what the response is to be, the lack of a specific time? I... I..."

Franks: "Well, the time issue, they brought that up to me and I says, 'Well, the time issue you guys set. Whatever you think you need, well then, you give it to the citizens as well.' So that's really not an issue. And they said, 'Well, that's not fair.' I'm like, well how can it not be fair? You're the ones who're setting it. And I don't understand their ar... their argument about the definition, we're just saying respond back."

Black: "All right. In... in the event that there is a... a misfunction... or a malfunctioning septic system and it is entering the ground water that a municipality may use as its drinking water and the municipality says, look, this cannot... cannot continue. Now, you either fix it in five days or we'll block the septic system. So, under your Bill, then what would the homeowner's remedy be or response be?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Franks: "Well, what we'd say is, okay, we're gonna get this done within five days. Then what we expect then is the city to come out and inspect it within the next five days.

Because why would they ask you to go to all that additional expense, ya know, if you've gotta hire someone on an emergency basis, and then they don't show up for three weeks?"

Black: "Okay. All right."

Franks: "That's my point."

Black: "So, in other words, what you're saying, you make a reasonable... a good faith effort to comply with the violation and then they may not come back for weeks..."

Franks: "Right."

Black: "...to give you any indication that you've done a good job or thank you very much or whatever."

Franks: "Right. You..."

Black: "Okay. Fine."

Franks: "You articulated it much better than me, Representative."

Black: "Thank you very much, Representative."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Tryon."

Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "He indicates he will."

Tryon: "Thank you. I realize that we have objections from the Illinois Municipal League and Metro Counties but I think their objection is unfounded from this reason, they are objecting because there is no specific time frame in which

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

they can respond... are required to respond in. The time frame is up to the individual municipality. We have left the language in the Bill that simply says, if you require a specific amount of time for somebody to respond to an ordinance violation, you must respond to them in the same amount of time. If you give ten days and that's not long enough, give twenty days. If twenty days isn't long enough, give thirty days. So, I believe that the language is very flexible to accommodate the needs of every single unit of government that has any kind of ordinance enforcement responsibility. I believe this is the time for the General Assembly to say that there are reasonable service expectations within the laws that we allow units of government to enforce. I think you can ask any county board chairman, any mayor, they get calls on a daily basis asking to expedite these types of applications and I think this just sets and establishes a time frame in which to do Allows total flexibility on the unit of government to pick that time period to work with their constituents. think this is good policy and good government and I would urge your support."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Franks to close."

Franks: "My colleague from McHenry said it better than I could, as did the prior speaker. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote. This is good government. It's... it's requiring government to give the service in a reasonable manner in which all citizens should expect. I, please, would ask for an 'aye' vote."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 655 pass?' Those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 106 voting 'yes', 7 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional requirement, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 28 of the Calendar, we have House Bill 3095. Representative JoAnn Osmond. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3095, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Osmond."

 Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a Bill that has cleanup language from the Gavin School District from last year. This clarifies the constitutionality of the emergency legislation and I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Are there any questions on House Bill 3095?

 Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3095

 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; opposed

 vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Representative Bradley. Representative Eileen Lyons. Mr.

 Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 80 voting

 'yes', 34 voting 'no', 0 'presents'. This vote, having

 receiving the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared

 passed. Mr. Clerk, also on page 28 of the Calendar, House

35th Legislative Day

- Bill 3033. Representative JoAnn Osmond. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3033, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill pertains to EMS and the continuing education. It was brought to my attention that there are people that are serving in the military overseas and they have a continuing ed requirement to their licensing and this will extend it, giving them an extra 6 months after they return from active duty to be able to complete their continuing ed. I appreciate... 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Lady moves for the passage of House Bill 3033. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3033 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, 114 are voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Osmond, was there a message that you... not... not at this time. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, on page 24 of the Calendar is House Bill 405. Representative Eddy, do you wish to call this Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 405, a Bill for an Act concerning taxation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Crawford, Representative Eddy."

35th Legislative Day

- Eddy: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 405 deals with a notification that is placed in a local paper regarding a tax levy that is in excess of 105 percent of the previous year's extension. Currently, that language does not reflect accurately that the amount to be levied, both in percentage and dollar amount, is an estimate. And all this does is simply add the word 'estimate' to the existing publication notice that is required by the law to go in the paper. And I'd... I'd appreciate your 'aye' vote and ask... or, excuse me, answer any questions you might have."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Are there any questions on House Bill 405? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 405 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 29 of the Calendar, Representative Jenisch has House Bill 3724. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3724, a Bill for an Act concerning higher education. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Recognize the Gentleman from DuPage,
 Representative Jenisch."
- Jenisch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill allows those who get called up to active duty while they are in college to return back home after fighting for our freedoms and

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

basically start where they left off. So, it takes public institutions and asks them to create a policy in order to make this happen. And I would ask for your favorable support."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Are there any questions on House Bill 3724?

 Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3724

 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Younge. Representative Schmitz. Representative Meyer. Jim Meyer. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Miller, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Jenisch does not want me to say this so what he doesn't not want me to say was, so I won't, what he does not want me to say is that was his first Bill that you just let him slide on his first Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "There's always a second Bill.

 Representative Jenisch."
- Jenisch: "Mr. Speaker, when you have such a fabulous first Bill and there are no questions because you've done your homework and the chamber is happy with it..."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Don't push your luck, Representative Jenisch. Thank you for your thoughts. We'll see you...

35th Legislative Day

- we'll see you on the second Bill. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lang."
- Lang: "I was wondering if it would be too late to reconsider the vote we just took, Mr. Speaker? Do I need to make a formal Motion? I did vote on the prevailing side."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "There is always a second Bill, Representative Lang."
- Lang: "He may not get a second Bill. He may not have a second Bill. I'm joined by everyone on this side of the aisle."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "All right. The question is, 'Should House Bill 3724 be reconsidered?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. By voice vote... this will require Roll Call vote, Representative Lang. Shall I pur... pursue?" Lang: "Certainly."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The question is, 'Should we reconsider the vote on the previous Bill that was passed, House Bill 3724?' If you want to have that Bill reconsidered vote 'yes', if you want to have the voting stand vote 'no'. The voting is open. All those in favor of recalling the Bill vote 'yes'; those opposed to let the Bill stand at its present status vote 'no'. One second, hold on. Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Mr. Speaker, I don't... I don't wanna throw this place into any more turmoil than it is. I'll make you a deal.

 I'll make Representative Jenisch a deal. Where are you Representative? If... if you promise not to hide from us when your second Bill comes, like you did your first Bill, I'll withdraw this Motion."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Jenisch, such a deal."
- Jenisch: "I will not hide for my second Bill and I will accept your challenge on it."
- Lang: "Excellent. I'll withdrawal the Motion."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Motion is withdrawn. We'll go back to the regular Order of Business here in the day. Thank you, Representative Lang. Thank you, Representative Jenisch. The Chair recognizes Representative Mitchell, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Mitchell, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There... there is a rumor floating around that the reason we did not reconsider that vote is that since you are so no... new as the Speaker that you weren't sure how to go about that procedure."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "That is absolutely correct, Representative Mitchell. The Chair recognizes Representative Black, for what purpose do you stand?"
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "State your inquiry."
- Black: "First of all, Mr. Speaker, a Motion to Reconsider under House Rules must be in writing and placed on the Calendar. Secondly, that brings up this point. In the 93rd General Assembly, the written House Rules were distributed on February the 3rd. This is April the 7th and we do not have a copy of the written Rules of the 94th General Assembly. I would ask the Chair to let us know when we might expect those rules."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Black, I've been informed by the Clerk that we should have copies tomorrow."
- Black: "I appreciate that. And let me just say one word of caution to the Members of the chamber. One week from tomorrow there will be people scurrying around here, some literally tearing their hair out in tears trying to get their Bill called on Third Reading deadline. I don't care if it's Representative Jenisch's first Bill or tenth Bill. You have one opportunity to grab it and you didn't. Let's move on."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative Black. On page 27 of the Calendar, there's House Bill 2487. Representative Connie Howard, do you wanna call the Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2487, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Connie Howard."
- Howard: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2487 amends the General Assembly Organization Act and the Legislative Commission Reorganization Act of 1984. It would require an electronic copy of all reports filed with the General Assembly to be provided to the Legislative Research Unit. It requires that the unit then post reports on the General Assembly website. As well, the reporting entity would have to make a copy of the report available for a reasonable time on its Internet site or on the

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Internet site of the public entity that hosts the reporting entities World Wide Web page. I will accept questions."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Are there any questions, any discussion on House Bill 2487? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2487 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Kosel, we have House Bill 3080... 3048 on the Order of Second Reading. Do you wish to call that Bill... move that Bill? 3048. Do you have an Amendment? Mr. Clerk, what's the status of House Bill 3048?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3048, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Kosel, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Any further Amendments? Representative Kosel on Amendment #1."
- Kosel: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1, which becomes the Bill, on 3048. And literally sets up a new type of licensures for portable septic systems or portable... porta potties, basically. And I would move for its adoption and move the Bill to Third."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Are there any questions for Representative Kosel? Seeing none, all those in favor signify by saying

35th Legislative Day

- 'aye'; those opposed vote 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 28 of the Calendar, Representative Leitch has House Bill 2892. Representative Leitch, are you ready to call the Bill? 2892. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2892, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Leitch."
- Leitch: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is a very important Bill because this Bill requires the expansion of our data warehouse for a number of extremely important reasons. The data warehouse, as you recall, enabled us for the first time when it arrived in 1999 to manage our \$10 billion Medicaid budget. And since that time, we've enjoyed numerous economies, we've saved over a billion dollars in fraud and other efficiencies. It's one of the best systems... recognized as the best system in the United States. The purpose of this Bill is to encourage the expansion of this so that we can accomplish more cost savings, more efficiencies, and quicker access to our information. In addition, it sets up a commission that would enable us to provide oversight and finally realizing the dream of one-stop service throughout the human services system. As many of you would recall who were here back in

35th Legislative Day

- 1995, a primary reason for creating the Department of Human Services in the first place was to be able to accomplish one-stop services throughout our state. This is a great Bill and I hope you will join me in supporting it. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "For House Bill 2892 is there any discussion? Any questions of the Sponsor? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2892 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the wish? record. On this Bill, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Clerk, on page 15 of the Calendar on Second Reading, Lou Jones has House Bill Representative Representative Lou Jones. Lou, do you wanna call the Bill... do you wanna move the Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2480, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 11 of the Calendar, Representative Churchill has House Bill 448.

 Representative, do you wanna move the Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 1448, excuse me."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1448, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. No Committee Amendments. No Floor

35th Legislative Day

- Amendments. All notes have been filed. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 25 of the Calendar, Representative Acevedo has House Bill 923.

 Representative Acevedo. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 923, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading, Representative. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Acevedo."
- Acevedo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Sorry about that, I thought we were moving it to Third Reading. House Bill 923 raises the penalty for certain offenses and limits the amount of... for certain offenses. The Bill raises the penalties for the conspiracy to commit treason, first degree murder, and aggravated kidnapping to a Class I felony from a Class II felony. The Bill also requires the conspirators to commit aggravated sexual assault and predatory sexual... criminal assault for a child to be Class I felonies. Currently, right now they are Class IV felonies. I ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "You've heard the presentation on House Bill 923. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 923 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 113 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 0 'present'. This

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 29 of the Calendar is House Bill 3648. Representative Dunn. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3648, a Bill for an Act concerning driving offenses, which may be referred to as Matt's Law.

 Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Representative Joe Dunn."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill does three things. It's... legislation is a result of a car accident in my district. For one, it says that in a case... in a car accident where there's a severe injury, all drivers will be subject to drug and alcohol testing. Second, it says that if an offender commits reckless driving or aggravated reckless driving while going 20 over the speed limit, that will be a factor in sentencing. And third, it says that there will be no sentence of probation or conditional discharge if within the previous 12 months the person has been convicted of a misdemeanor or a felony Vehicle Code violation. There is no opposition to this... to this Bill and I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. You've heard the discussion on House Bill 3648. Is there any... any questions? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Jenisch. Representative Jenisch's light is on. Does... does not want to be recognized at this time. Is

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

there any question? Representative... the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Molaro."

Molaro: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. The only part... and I... I... I thought we said we might do this in the Senate about possibly having to issue the ticket, so that gives you some reasonable grounds of probable cause to go ahead and do the test."

Dunn: "That's for..."

Molaro: "Are you still intending to talk to your Senator on that?"

Dunn: "Absolutely."

Molaro: "Okay."

Dunn: "And I have the commitment from the Senator, if we need to amend that, we will."

Molaro: "Fine. And it... then I agree. It's a great Bill.

Thank you."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Sponsor... I mean, Mr. Chairman. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Indicates he will."

Davis, M.: "Representative, are we taking any discretion away from the judge?"

Dunn: "I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you."

Davis, M.: "Are we taking any discretion away from the judge?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- Dunn: "No, we're not. We're just saying that excessive speed may be used in a factor... as a factor when deciding a sentence."
- Davis, M.: "So, according to Amendment #1, you are allowing the judge to consider all the facts?"

Dunn: "Correct."

Davis, M.: "Okay. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3648 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 114 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 24 of the Calendar, Representative Hoffman has House Bill 448. Representative Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, read the re… read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 448, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 448 simply amends the Raffles Act and provides that certain licensing requirements and certain restrictions on the conduct of raffles don't apply to charitable institutions if the sole purpose of that institution is to raise funds for children's research

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

hospital. We passed this out overwhelmingly last year and it just never got called in the Senate. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Are there any questions on House Bill 448?

Seeing none, the question is... the Chair recognizes the

Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "He indicates he will."

Parke: "It shows here that the Illinois Church Action on Alcoholism and Addiction Problems is opposed to your legislation. Are they still opposed to your legislation?"

Hoffman: "Yeah, I believe they would be. But all..."

Parke: "And... and the agreed..."

Hoffman: "I don't know why, all we do is allow for more money to go to children's research hospitals. But I understand that they are just against any type of changes in the gaming laws. So, they're... they are opposed."

Parke: "So, in essence, this Bill sets up an additional way of gambling?"

Hoffman: "No."

Parke: "Does... will it offer..."

Hoffman: "All this does is..."

Parke: "Doesn't it set up a raffle?"

Hoffman: "No. The Raffle Act already exists. All this does is it indicates that the amount that you can give away and raise for children's research hospitals, basically there... the amount that you can give away is not limited. So, what's happened is St. Jude's Hospital, the children's

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

research hospital, it's my understanding what they do for their fundraising for children's research... for children's research health problems, they give away... they give away large prizes like a house. Apparently, in certain areas of the state you can't give that big of a prize away. This says if the sole purpose is for the research hospital and it'll be proceeds of the raffle will be used for scientific or educational purposes for that children's hospital, then that... that limit wouldn't apply."

Parke: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 448 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Scully. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 89 voting 'yes', 25 voting 'no', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Mr. Clerk, on page 24 of the Calendar, passed. Representative Mary Flowers has House Bill Representative... Out of the record. Representative Flowers also has on page 24, House Bill 255. Representative? No, out of the record. Thank you, Representative. On page 6 of the Calendar, Representative Joyce has House Bill 918. Representative Joyce's... House Bill 918. Would you care to move that to Third Reading? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 918, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1

35th Legislative Day

- was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 28 of the Calendar, Representative Leitch has House Bill 3272.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3272, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Leitch."
- Leitch: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The purpose of House Bill 3272 is to prevent the administration from sweeping the Teacher Health Insurance Security Fund into General Revenue's, as they did last year. Like probably everyone in this chamber, when we went back and talked to our teachers when we were not in Session, we learned that they were extremely unhappy that their rates had been increased by a total of \$4½ million and then that \$4½ million was swept away into General Revenue. This Bill would prevent that from occurring again and I would ask for your approval."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 3272?

 Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3272

 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote
 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
 all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk,
 take the record. On this Bill, there are 114 voting 'yes',
 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the
 Constitutional Amendment... Constitutional Majority, is

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 26 of the Calendar, Representative Graham has House Bill 1350. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1350, a Bill for an Act in relation to public health. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Graham."
- Graham: "Thank... thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1350 is a Bill that's being... that's being brought back from last year. It requires that hospital... hospital emergency rooms who treat children or kids under the age of 18 that have been injured in a back over incident or a power window accident to be reported to the trauma registry. And it also requires that death certificates be sent to the children... the Department of Children and Family Services to keep track of children who have died from re... a result of being backed over or a power window incident. And I encourage an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McLean, Representative Brady."
- Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "She indicates she will."
- Brady: "Thank you. Representative, in the past we've... we've had the pleasure to speak about this Bill and I know you, I think, remember some of my concerns."

Graham: "Yes."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Brady: "I certainly understand what you're trying to do.

Again, I just simply have to say my piece and then I'll

quietly sit down. I will not vote 'yes' on the Bill."

Graham: "Sure."

Brady: "But I appreciate our discussions and ability to work together to try and find the resolution. I simply just come back to the fact of I believe the access... the information's already there. I believe the child death review teams can have access to any death certificate, investigate any child's death that there is in the State of Illinois. I believe that it'll be a burden on DCFS regarding this and vital records. And specifically for that, I just once again want to say that I understand what you're trying to do. I just think that there's enough avenues out there to get this information to any concerned party for research data. And with that, I just wanna let you know why I'm gonna be voting 'no' on the Bill."

Graham: "Thank you."

Brady: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "She indicates she will."

Bost: "I... I'm just trying to understand this Bill and I think, ya know, we've kind of rolled into a lull here. And I look at this Bill and... and I know everybody's working around here. What's the genesis of this Bill? I don't understand

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

why... ya know, I mean, the coroner's reports are just that. They're reports. And if people need to find those, they can find those. Why are we saying, okay, if... if an accident occurs where a child is backed over, if I'm understanding this correctly, backed over or involved with the elec... use of electric windows, is that correct?"

Graham: "Power windows, yes. There have been a number of reported deaths of children..."

Bost: "Hold on. Mr. Speaker, I... I can't hear."

Graham: "There have been a num..."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Ladies and Gentlemen, if we could get a little silence in the chamber we'd appreciate it. To bring back what Chuck Hartke used to use to effectively, shhh... Thank you."

Graham: "There was an incident in Grundy County where man backed over his daughter with his pickup truck. And when we went to go do some research on how many incidents had happened like this, there was no clear cut way of getting it. The state currently keeps track of motor vehicle accidents but they don't tell you how the person died. If I just wanted to pick up some statistics that told me that, it would say motor vehicle. It wouldn't say that the child was backed over or that they were killed in an automobile accident. So this piece of legislation is geared towards having that infor... collecting that information and having it available when we want to access it and know... we can keep track of how many accidents happen like this. There was also an accident that happened in... in Oak Park as well,

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

a grandmother backed over her grandchild. And a incident where a child was decapitated in downstate Illinois being ran... being involved in a power window incident."

Bost: "Okay. And... and what you're saying is... is if you search back in those records, the... the police report, the coroner's report..."

Graham: "When we go to..."

Bost: "...the hospital report doesn't show anything about how the accidents occurred?"

Graham: "The point is... those reports do, but if you go to the source of where the national kind of database thing is kept, there are no statistics set aside to let you know how many that were backed over. This piece of legislation will allow just for an extra line in the annual report of the Child Death Review Team to give you those numbers plain and clear. Right now, they don't. They just tell you motor vehicle accident."

Bost: "Well... and I understand what you're saying, but couldn't we take this to extremes? I mean, any time there's any accident at all, it is reported it at the police and... and details are given. Or if you go to the hospital the details or how the accident occurred are written into the medical records."

Graham: "Representative..."

Bost: "So, now we're saying that all of that then has to be transferred to some place else? We... aren't we doing the work for somebody else?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Graham: "It's a small number of children. At least, I think, 15... 8 to 15 children. So it's not a dramatic change in... in the process. It's not... when I met with the coroners, 'cause I did meet with them last year, they did agree that they would send those medi... those accident... the death certificates directly to the Department of Children and Family Services so that they could keep these statistics. Right now, that they... right now, there is a line on it but we wanted to make sure out of all clarity. Some people are not aware of the system or how to navigate the system as well as you and I might be able to go to different sources. But again, there was no statistics kept anywhere that we could just access and pick up the number. We have to do research to find out that number of ... number of children that have been killed in back over or power windows, when we simply now, if this legislation is passed, we'll simply just go to one... ya know, one source and get that information."

Bost: "And like I... then like I said, would... do we then need to come back next year and say someone... do we... for instance, do you have the statistics of when a garage door might come down on a child?"

Graham: "Well, that... that has changed now since the garage door opener now... when it detects something beneath the door the garage automatically goes..."

Bost: "I... I understand that."

Graham: "...back up. Yes."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Bost: "I understand that. But they're not all been changed.

And under what... under what we're doing here is is... so, my only concern is..."

Graham: "We're clarifying..."

Bost: "My only concern is we're asking someone else to go out and do all the research..."

Graham: "We're not asking anybody to go out and do anything.

We're just asking that the regular process that takes place in a hospital emergency room, that they clearly mark or keep the statistics of children that were backed over or injured in a back over incident or power window incident and forward the death certificates on to the Department of Children of Family Services, who I met with by the way. And they'll add this line into the annual report that's already being produced by the Child Death Review Team and we'll know how many children have suffered at the hands of this kind of accident."

Bost: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Colvin."

Colvin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Indicates she will."

Colvin: "Representative Graham, this Bill looks familiar. Did you have a Bill like this last year?"

Graham: "Yes, this same piece of legislation passed out of the House last year. Yes."

35th Legislative Day

- Colvin: "And just so I understand, what you're simply trying to do is to codify the reasons why kids who are killed in automobile accidents, what type of accidents there were."
- Graham: "Yes. Right now, you can go to the database that are...

 that are being kept only says motor vehicle accident, it
 doesn't tell you how the child died. Some of the... the
 databases do not break that out, it just says vehicle
 accident."
- Colvin: "And... and I'm just assuming here that... and my assumption is... is that you would want to know why those children died in that fashion..."
- Graham: "Yes."
- Colvin: "...so that if something needed to be done, we would know that by virtue of the statistics that would bear out..."
- Graham: "Right, the... the issue that we're concerned about.

 Yes, you're right."
- Colvin: "All right. Thank you, Representative Graham. To the Bill. Mr. Speaker..."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "To the Bill."
- Colvin: "...I rise in support of Representative Graham's Bill. I think this is an excellent idea. I think it's the same situation with teen suicide, which I think once it became apparent in that we codified the death as a result of suicide that they were able to get a grips on it. And it led to the treatment of depression and other things that would lead to an individual... a child who would want to take his own life. And I think that's what we're trying to do here in... in the same... very much the same fashion, and that

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

is you don't really realize that there's a problem that needs to be fixed or cured, something that either auto manufacturers could do or something that parents or individuals who operate motor vehicles would have to do until you have credible research. And that research would bear out exactly if there was a phenomenon that we could be addressing through some public safety measure. So, I rise in strong support of her Bill. I think it's an excellent idea and I urge all my colleagues to vote for it. Thank you."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Graham to close."
- Graham: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the committee. I just urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The question is, Ladies and Gentlemen, 'House Bill 1350, should it pass?' In favor, vote 'yes'; opposed, vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Kosel. Representative Reitz. Representative Mulligan. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 80 voting 'yes', 34 voting 'no', 0 voting 'aye'(sic-'present'). This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 27 of the Calendar, Representative Mathias has House Bill 2690. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2690, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Mathias."
- Mathias: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Basically, House Bill 2690 requires the Illinois Emergency Management Agency to perform a mapping of all public buildings in the State of Illinois. It is subject to appropriation and I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Any discussion on House Bill 2690? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2690 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Chapa LaVia. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, also on... on page 24 Representative Mathias has House Bill 183. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 183, a Bill for an Act concerning firearms. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Mathias."
- Mathias: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 183, what is basically does, it's a procedural matter to determine if someone has been denied an application for a FOID card. It sets basically the venue of where that person can appeal that in the courts. We... I had... had discussions with this in committee with the National Rifle Association and they,

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

in fact, drew up the Amendment for the committee. And I ask for your 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. Is there any discussion on House Bill 183? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 183 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 24 of the Calendar, Representative Lang has House Bill 399. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 399, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lou Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. As you know, I spent a considerable amount of time over the last several years on health care issues, particularly mental health. And we've passed some Bills in this Body to protect patients from abuse by staff. But over a period of years, we've had reports of and hearings about abuse on staff by patients and it's become a serious problem, making it difficult to hire and keep good staff at various of our health care facilities around the state. After working very hard with the Illinois Nurses Association and Community Behavioral Healthcare Association and others,

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

we've created this Health Care Workplace Violence Act. It would require by July 1, '06 every health care workplace to implement a plan reasonably expected to prevent and protect employees from violence. These plans would be reviewed every 3 years. It also requires that by July 1, '07 all health care workplaces must provide violence prevention training to all of its affected employees. And it also requires that by July 1, '06 every health care setting must keep a record of every violent act against any employee, any patient, or any visitor at any health care setting. There are some exemption to this and some other things. It's a very important piece of legislation if we're gonna get our best and brightest to work at these facilities and I would urge you to vote for this."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terry Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Lyons, J.: "Indicates he will."

Parke: "Representative, our staff analysis shows that the Department of Labor, Human Services, Public Health, and Equip for Equity are opposed to your legislation. Are they still opposed?"

Lang: "They helped write some of the substantive language in the Bill and I'm grateful for that and they're opposed on fiscal grounds. The fiscal notes that they filed, if you add them all up, amount to one-point-something million dollars. I think those numbers are overblown, frankly,

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

because they're trying... they don't want to spend any money. And so, I think we know that a lot of the fiscal notes prepared by the departments have been overblown this year. But even if that were the number, this is a very important piece of legislation to protect these health care workers."

Parke: "Well, we have lots of important legislation and I'm not belittling this one at being important. However, do you have another funding source of a million dollars for this?"

Lang: "I don't have any specific funding source for this..."

Parke: "Well..."

Lang: "...but we have budget negotiations ongoing. It's up to us to decide where and how we spend our money."

Parke: "Well, that's a fact and that's one of the concerns that I have, is that we continue to press on with legislation with no funding source. We raise the expectations of the people that we sponsor this legislation for and ultimately we don't have any money to pay for it and it sits in some nebulous space somewhere and doesn't pass because we don't have the money or the Governor has gotta pull it out of his budget message... out of his budget. So, I just wanna point out to the Body that, again, worthwhile Bill but we don't have the ability to pay for it. And it continues to have one Bill after another Bill, after another Bill, all subject to appropriation. Where does it end and how much money can we continue to spend in this Body without having a funding source, no matter how worthwhile? Bill after Bill after Bill, I don't know where it'll end. It won't end. We just keep doing it and we keep running further and

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

further in the hole. And I just want to remind everybody, when we're here June and July because of the huge budget deficit that we have, remember all the legislation that... that are all worthwhile that we kick out that's subject to appropriation and we don't have the money to pay for it."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Seeing no further questions, Representative Lang to close."

Lang: "Please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 399 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 111 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 28 of the Calendar, Representative Mautino has House Bill 3577. Representative Mautino in the chamber? Yes, he is. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "House..."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Out of the record. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk.

Out of the record. On page 21, Representative Mautino has

House Bill 3850. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Mautino, one moment, please."

35th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3850, a Bill for an Act concerning municipalities. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3850 extends the life of the Oglesby TIF District which was put into existence in the mid-1980s. And this comes at the request of the Village of Oglesby. Agreements have been signed from all participating taxing units. They are in favor of this going forward and I simply ask for your support."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. And any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "He indicates he will."
- Parke: "Representative, our staff analysis says that the City of Ottawa has yet to provide letters of support for the TIF extension."
- Mautino: "That's correct but that was Amendment 2, it was never adapted... adopted to the Bill. This is the original Bill which deals only with the Village of Oglesby."
- Parke: "Very good. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Any further discussion? Representative Mautino to close."
- Mautino: "Appreciate your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 3850 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have

35th Legislative Day

- all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Lindner. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 114 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of Representative Bill Mitchell's House Bill 27? I think it's on page 2 of the Calendar."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 27, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No... no Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Representative Millner.

 John Millner. On page 17 of the Calendar, Representative

 Jerry Mitchell has House Bill 2920. What's the status of
 the Bill, Representative... what's the status of the Bill,

 Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2920, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Mitchell, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Mitchell."
- Mitchell, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 becomes the Bill. I'll be happy to discuss that on Third Reading if we would just wanna push the Body forward."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Any questions of Representative Mitchell? Seeing none on Amendment #1, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. In the opinion of

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Put the Bill on Third Reading. Amendment is adopted. No fur... any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 26 of the Calendar, Representative Karen May has House Bill 1585.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1585, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Lady from Lake, Representative May."
- May: "...and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1585 creates the Arsenic in School Playgrounds Act, so that as of July 1, 2006, no schools or local governments shall construct playgrounds for children using arsenic traded... treated wood. It's progressive going forward, it was unanimous out of committee I think by 24 Sponsors."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Is there any discussion on the Bill? The Chair recognizes the Rep... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "She indicates she will."

Parke: "Representative, I presume because of if it's arsenic laced it's a.m. it could be.m. make students sick. Is that what you're saying?"

May: "Yes. Arsenic is a known carcinogen."

Parke: "All right. And do we have schools actively having woodchips or some kind of product that is being used right now?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

May: "This is not about woodchips, it's about the wood from which the playground sets are built that are treated with chromated copper arsenate to prevent them from bug manifestation. It has nothing to do with the chips. I am told, because I talked to both the park districts and DNR, that there are federal rules that would apply to any woodchips, that they must be uniform and not have sharp edges. Therefore, no one would use woodchips made onsite for any of the chips for children's playgrounds. But I really appreciate your concern."

Parke: "Is it... so it's woodchips that we're talking about?"

May: "I'm sorry, the question was..."

Parke: "Mr... Mr. Speaker, I... I'm having trouble..."

May: "Okay. If you..."

Parke: "Both of us are having..."

May: "You asked about woodchips. So, it doesn't... woodchips were never used that were... that were treated with this chromium arsenate. It was the playground sets. And no group would grind up any former playground sets or any trees or anything because there are laws about equal size and sharp edges that they cannot use woodchips onsite. They wouldn't grind them up onsite."

Parke: "All right. My question is, how many reports have been filed with the Board of Education or anywhere of children eating these woodchips and getting sick? How... how many children have gotten sick because of this that you're trying to solve it?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

May: "I'm not aware of any reports. That was not the genesis of this Bill."

Parke: "Wait a minute. So, you're putting in legislation to solve something that's not a problem?"

May: "It's not about woodchips, Representative. It's about the playground sets that were built with... with this arsenictreated wood."

Parke: "All right. How many reports have been reported to..."

May: "I'm not aware of any reports."

Parke: "You're not report... so you have a Bill that nobody has complained, nobody is getting sick on. Would you then tell them that they have to replace these or you can't put any new ones in?"

May: "No, this is... this is going forward. This is going forward."

Parke: "So, going forward."

May: "Yes."

Parke: "But there's nobody complaining about it, but you think that this is something that we really have to do in this state and have a piece of legislation for something..."

May: "Representative, yes."

Parke: "...that nobody's getting sick on."

May: "Representative, the health of the child of the State of the Illinois is very important to me and I honestly think it's important to you also. So..."

Parke: "Well, I... I'm interested in making sure we protect our children, but if nobody's getting sick and nobody's filed

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

any reports, then maybe this is not a problem that needs a solution."

May: "I think a preventative measure is a very good assurance."

Parke: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "She indicates she will."

Meyer: "Representative, just to clear up any misconception of the Bill. My analysis shows that there is a Floor Amendment #1. I don't believe that was adopted, is that correct?"

May: "Yes, it's hard to hear your question. The Floor... it was not adopted, no."

Meyer: "All right. And in committee, did anyone testify against this Bill?"

May: "No. I said it came unanimously out of committee and no one testified it against it."

Meyer: "Thank you. I stand in support of your Bill."

May: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative May to close."

May: "Yes, I think this is a very important concept to protect our children and I'd just ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 1585 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Gordon. Representative Gordon, you wanna be

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 112 Members voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 1 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Chapa LaVia, for what reason do you wish to be recognized?"

Chapa LaVia: "A point of personal privilege, Speaker."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Proceed."

- Chapa LaVia: "It's not often that members... school kids from my area come all the way out three hours to Springfield, so I'd... I'd like to acknowledge a group that's here. They're from Archbishop Romero School in Aurora, the eighth grade students. If you could just welcome them, they're up here in the gallery. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Welcome to Springfield. Mr. Clerk, on page 16 of the Calendar, Representative Moffitt has House Bill 2550. I believe it's on Second Reading. What's the status of the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2550, a Bill for an Act concerning recreation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Moffitt, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Moffitt."

Moffitt: "I'd like to move to adopt Amendment #2."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Okay, Representative, you want to tell us a little bit about it? Amendment #2."

Moffitt: "Yeah, Amendament... Amendment #2 will add that... this is to help returning soldiers and it's a way of saying 'thank

35th Legislative Day

- you' to them. Well, actually, at some of the deployment ceremonies I had some mention that they had their deer permits and then weren't going to be able to hunt and this is just... let them for... have a free permit for hunting, fishing, camping, and a free deer permit for each year of service. We add... we're adding 'deer' now to the deer permit. The original Bill did not have that. So, Amendment 2 adds 'deer' and the... the permits associated with that."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Moffitt moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2550. Are there any questions? Seeing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. According to the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 27 of the Calendar, Representative McGuire has House Bill 2692.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2692, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Leader McGuire."
- McGuire: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have House Bill 2692 and what 2692 does, it amends the Illinois Water Well Construction Code. It provides that the one-time water well construction permit fee authorized by the Act shall not exceed \$2 hundred, which now is \$1 hundred. Further, it provides in counties with a population of more than 250

35th Legislative Day

- thousand, with the approval of the county board, the fee may exceed 2 hundred but not 3 hundred dollars. This Bill was brought to me by some people with the water well construction company and I would try to answer any questions and I would appreciate your 'aye' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Is there any discu... discussion on House Bill 2692? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2692 pass?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'... voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Representative McGuire."
- McGuire: "Put the Bill on the Postponed Consideration, please.

 Not enough."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Sorry, Representative. This Bill... Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 43 'yes', 70 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, failing to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. Representative May, for what purpose do you rise?"
- May: "On the last Bill my vote was a 'no'. Thank you."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Journal will so indicate. Mr. Clerk...

 Mr. Clerk, on page 27 of the Calendar, Representative

 Mulligan has House Bill 2536. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2536, a Bill for an Act concerning state finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Rosemary Mulligan."

35th Legislative Day

- Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2536 amends the State Finance Act. It provides that before the Governor can complete an administrative chargeback transfer into GRF from the state fund the Governor must notify the Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability, the State Treasurer, and the Strate... State Comptroller 30 days before the transaction. It also provides that the Comptroller and Treasurer cannot execute the transfer until 30 days after the notice is received from the Governor."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 2536?

 Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2536

 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote
 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have

 all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Representative Will Davis. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On
 this Bill, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0
 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional
 Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative
 Jefferson, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Jefferson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A point of personal privilege, please."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "State your point."
- Jefferson: "Just wanted to introduce some of my constituents from Rockford with the Rockford area Chamber of Commerce in the balcony here. Stand up and wave."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Welcome to Springfield. Mr. Clerk, on page 29 of the Calendar, Representative Molaro has House Bill 3740. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3740, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Molaro."
- Molaro: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill gives what other… a few other systems have, and it's a very simple Bill. In the retirement system right now, if… in the teachers' retirement system of Chicago, when they make an overpayment or somebody leaves and then comes back and wants to pay it and wants to do it through their retirement they're not allowed to say, okay, you'll get 2 thousand a month and we'll take a hundred a month out and that pays for your refund or you were overpaid \$8 hundred. Out of your 18 hundred a month, we're gonna take a hundred dollars a month out of it. Right now, under their particular pension system, they're not allowed to do this. And this allows them to do this when it comes to overpayment or errors and that's all the Bill does."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. Are there any questions? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Lyons, J.: "He indicates he will."

Parke: "Yes, Representative, it sounds to me like this is something that the teachers' union would want but I see here that the Illinois Federation of Teachers and the

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Chicago... the CIU is opposed to this legislation. Are they still opposed?"

Molaro: "Well, our... no one appeared in committee opposed to it and it's not in our analysis."

Parke: "Well, it shows here that it is in our analysis. That the… the IFT and the CIU are opposed. And… but, let me… is it as simple as our… our comment says, that it provides that the Chicago Teachers Pension Fund may withhold annuitant payments as compensation for debts owed to the system. Is it that simple?"

Molaro: "Say... I... could you say one more time?"

Parke: "It says... our analysis says, 'provides that the Chicago
Teachers Pension Fund may withhold annuitant payments as
compensation for debts owed to the system.' That's what
they say your Bill does. Is there something wrong with
that analysis?"

Molaro: "Well, I... I guess short answer would be 'yes', but it's... it's not that simple. The only way they could withhold is if that the required employee contributions are not made in whole or money was paid to the member annuitant through misrepresentation, fraud, or error. What this is trying to get to, Representative Parke, is that they'll come there and they'll issue a check for 18 hundred when it should've been 14 hundred."

Parke: "Well, I... I'm just curious. Ya know, you have this legislation, it's only for the Chicago Teachers Pension Fund. Why isn't it for all the other pension systems? Why... if this is such a great idea, why don't we do this to

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

other annuitants through the other... the other five pension systems? Why don't we do it through them?"

Molaro: "Well..."

Parke: "Why Chicago only?"

Molaro: "Well, it's probably... and not all... it's Chicago teachers' system, it's not even for the other Chicago systems. Some already have it and the other reason is that, just like has been said on this floor probably 5 hundred times this Session, it is probably a good idea but no other system has brought it forward. And as I said before, other systems already have this... some. I don't know which ones and I don't know which ones don't."

Parke: "Well, ya know, I'm uncomfortable voting for this Bill until I get a better understanding. Ya know, we... we have quaranteed under the Constitution of the State of Illinois that no pension benefits cannot be paid to an annuitant under... I think it's Article XIII. But under your Bill, you're circumventing that. You're saying to a Chicago teacher or the IFT that in Chicago that you'll get your... you can get that pension but we're gonna take part of that pension back to make payments directly owed to the system. I... I don't know if that sounds right to me. It just sounds to me like you oughta... you oughta take 'em to court rather than take the money that they need to live on. Ya know, a lot of these teachers retired a long time ago and they get very little pension money. And if they owed some money for something and you take that money away from them, they... they don't get their hands on it. That might could make a

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

difference on whether or not they could stay in their home or their apartment or whatever. So, either pull the Bill for the record or I'm gonna rise strongly in oppose... opposition to this until I can talk to the... the IFT to find out how strongly they oppose this. And... and I would ask the Body to vote 'no' unless we can get some understanding. And maybe it's very clear cut that we do have some answer on this, but right now I'm very uncomfortable and I think the Body should be uncomfortable..."

Molaro: "Well..."

Parke: "...until we get some sense of whether or not this really is a good idea. And I... ya know, I have not be briefed on it but it says right here..."

Molaro: "All right. Well, what... what we'll do... what we'll do is... the reason I'll pull it out of the record is for one reason and one reason only, everything you said I agree to. It has nothing to do with what's in this Bill. So obviously, either the analysis is wrong or the Bill that's on your screen is not the same Bill on my screen. So, I'll take it out of the record but I would... then when we come back, if you're gonna paraphrase the Bill you gotta paraphrase it correctly. So... because it doesn't talk about diminishing anybody's benefits. I know the Constitution as well as anybody. All it talks about, it says here that if you were given money through misrepresentation and fraud and error... again, if you were paid \$18 hundred and you know you were only supposed to get 16 hundred and you know that and you take the check and cash it, next week when you're

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

supposed to get your 16 instead of 18, they're only gonna give you 14 hundred. But, we're not gonna talk about this for 20 minutes. We'll take it out of the record and we'll talk privately. We'll do that. We'll do that."

Parke: "If... if, in fact, that Bill does what you just said..."

Molaro: "Done. Okay."

Parke: "...I will have no problem supporting it."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. Mr. Clerk, take this Bill out of the record on the request of the Sponsor. Representative Molaro, you also have, on Second Reading, House Bill 2379. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2379, a Bill for an Act in relation to public employee benefits. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Co... Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 6 of the Calendar, Representative Osterman has House Bill 794.

 What's the status of the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 794, a Bill for an Act concerning firearms. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Osterman, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative, on House... on Amendment #2.

 Representative Osterman."
- Osterman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #2 broadens the definition of a 'gun show'. The underlying Bill deals with requiring

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

instant background checks at gun shows. This definition is consistent with another Bill that is on the floor and to Second Reading right now. The goal of this is to have... the goal of this legislation, the underlying legislation, is go after the large venues where these guns are sold. I think it's consistent that this definition will not jeopardize the intent of the legislation but it'll also take into consideration remarks and comments that have been by sportsmen's advocates in the... over the last couple of years to me. This will exclude a number of... of venues like skeet shoots and trap shoots. And I would ask for support."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 794? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 794... Floor Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'; those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 28, Representative Pihos has House Bill 2697. Is Representative Pihos on the floor? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2697, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Pihos, the Lady from DuPage."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Pihos: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill amends the Criminal Code of 1961. It provides that a person who is not party to a transaction that involves the use of a financial transaction device may not secretly photograph, capture, or distribute, disseminate, or transmit electronically any personal identifying information from the transaction without the consent of the person whose information is being taken. And the genesis of this Bill is simply the fact that the Federal Trade Commission in 2004 found that over 9.9 million Americans learned that they had been victims of identity theft at a cost of nearly \$50 billion. That's an average of \$5 thousand per victim. As the use of the Internet and electronic bill paying and electronic purchasing has grown, so has the crime of identity theft. Personal information is being stolen and used for illegal purposes and this Bill is part of the quest to prevent identity theft and crack down on thieves. I would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terry Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "She indicates..."

Pihos: "Yes."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "...she will."

Parke: "A lot of this has been in legalese. Just explain to me what you're talking about. Does this mean somebody takes my credit card, makes a phony imprint of it or they can

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

take a picture of my credit card at a restaurant or that new gimmick they have where they can just make a... a copy of it without my permission and use it to charge up charges? Is that what you're talking about here?"

Pihos: "Or... or use it to pass on to somebody else who may indeed use it for part of identity theft, yes."

Parke: "So that's what we're talking about?"

Pihos: "Yes."

Parke: "And this Bill makes that illegal?"

Pihos: "Yes."

Parke: "Sounds like a good Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, with this legislation..."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Sponsor yields."

Washington: "...are you saying that if someone was to have information on myself or anybody that we didn't authorize them to have and they would have it transmitted or posted where any... where any and everybody can get access to it, that that's a criminal offense?"

Pihos: "That would be part of the criminal offense, yes. But we're talking about someone who you've given information to to make a legitimate charge who might take that information and use it or pass it on to someone else."

Washington: "Okay. But do they have to give the information or do they have to just... just throw it out there where anybody

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

could get access to it without any authorization from the person whose identity that they're using?"

Pihos: "This would apply to either set of circumstances."

Washington: "Either one, right?"

Pihos: "Yes. Yes."

Washington: "All right. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Any further questions? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2697 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Art Turner. Yvetter. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Pihos, you also have, on page 28 of the Calendar, House Bill 2700. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2700, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Pihos."

Pihos: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2700, again, helps in the fight against identity theft by making it easier to prosecute the identity thief. It provides that a person who commits identity theft can be tried in any county that has a connection with the crime, specifically in the county where the offense initially occurred, in the county where the information was illegally used, or in the county where the victim pre... presides. And again, this is another move to help control identity theft and make it easier to

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

prosecute identity thieves. I would be happy to entertain any questions."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. Is there any discussion on House Bill 2700? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 2700 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Phelps, do you wanna be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 18 of the Calendar, Representative Millner has House Bill 2943. What's the status of the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2943, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Millner, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Millner."

Millner: "Yes, I ask if we could adopt Floor Amendment #1."

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Would you care to give any information on that? Is it technical or is there anything you need to share with us?"
- Millner: "Yes, the Amendment becomes the Bill, which was discussed in committee this week. Tampering with security."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Committee was approved... It was adopted in committee for consideration. By voice vote, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #1 say 'yes'; all those opposed vote 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further then, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 6... on page 19 of the Calendar, Representative Patterson has House Bill 3467. What's the status of the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3467 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Patterson, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Patterson, on Amendment #1."
- Patterson: "Mr. Speaker and House Members, Amendment #1 simply defines the num... the different methods that may be utilized to dispose of syringes, needles, and other sharps that may be used for home use."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "For the presentation, any questions on Amendment #1? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons, J.: "He indicates he will."

Patterson: "Yes."

Parke: "Representative, is this a needle exchange Amendment?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- Patterson: "No, it's not. It's for those needles and syringes used for... or given to individuals for home use and for an educational program to properly define the method of disposal so... so that we do not put sanitation workers and the public at risk based upon these devices or sharps being disposed of inappropriately."
- Parke: "Well, we're... so, we're gonna have boxes somewhere?
 Where will the boxes be?"
- Patterson: "Well, it would be up to the Department of Public Health and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, just that it adds a four or five different methods but not excluded to those four or five different methods of disposing of these home-use needles, syringes, or other metal, sharp objects."
- Parke: "Do you see this being done at police stations, fire stations, hospitals? Where... where are you gonna put these places?"
- Patterson: "Well, the… the Bill does not particularly define that, we're gonna leave that up to the Department of Public Health if, in fact, they can get an agreement of a local police station, hospital, or it could be a… a sanitary district proposal to use something in the… in a canister of some sort for their workers that pick up the garbage to dispose of it in."

Parke: "All right. All right."

Patterson: "So we're gonna leave it open for the health care providers to determine which method may suit a particular municipality or city."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Parke: "Is this voluntary? I mean, if the EPA says we wanna put it in the fire station and the fire station says, we don't want it here."

Patterson: "It's... it's voluntary. It is not mandatory, Representative."

Parke: "Okay. If these boxes... are these gonna be big boxes or are they gonna be small boxes? It says... it says here it's detail that will be a syringe exchange program. I thought you said it was not an exchange program."

Patterson: "No, it is not an exchange program. It's... the Amendment is only to give guidance in terms of how to safely dispose of these needles that a patient may use in his or her ho... her home."

Parke: "Well, I... I think that's commendable but who's gonna pay for all these boxes?"

Patterson: "Well, it... it... well, first of all, the Bill does not mandate a box. The health department and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency would determine the method of appro... appropriate disposal. So, the ter... the box that you're referring to is not mandated by this particular Amendment."

Parke: "What if they decide that the box is the answer?"

Patterson: "Pardon me?"

Parke: "What if they decide a box is the answer?"

Patterson: "Well, I'm pretty sure that if the health department or the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency decides that a box is the answer then I would assume or guess that

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

those administrators would look into their budgets to make sure that they have the ability to pay for it."

Parke: "Well, I would hope so."

Patterson: "Or the individual would be required to, I'm not sure."

Parke: "Okay. Well, again, I would hope so. Give me an example, what do you envision happening to nee... I... I'm at home and I've got a child that's diabetic and I've got a whole bunch of needles. Tell me, with your Amendment what are my options to do with the needles?"

Patterson: "Well, I... I can envision a very simple solution would be a mail-back type envelope that's inexpensive, that may be given to your for your child by the pharmacy. But that is something that the health de... health department would have experts and people that would have research and determine what type of disposal method that should be used by someone having a sharp or syringe-type needle used in their home."

Parke: "Okay. It just seems to me that this is pretty nebulous. I guess it's a good idea but just leavin' out...

I'll tell ya what, Representative, when you find out how...

what they're gonna do with it, would you let us know? Just let me know."

Patterson: "I'm sorry, Representative, I didn't hear you."

Parke: "I said, when they finally make a determination and you find out how they're gonna do it would you let me know?

I'm curious as to how they're gonna take your Bill and make it work. So, if you could do that I'd be..."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Patterson: "Well, here's... here's the real issue, Representative. If the health department does not figure out how to make it work then what we're doing, we're putting at risk citizens of this state as well as those maintenance workers that pick up our... the garbage that we discard in the back of our homes or in front of our homes every day of the week."

Parke: "Well, ya mean, how... how often have you heard about a sanitary worker getting stuck with a needle?"

Patterson: "Quite often in the large urban center that I reside in. It happens all the time, especially when they do recycling. These things are put into regular garbage bags, they're attempted to recycle off the conveyers, they're being stuck with these syringes and medical sharps that are not appropriately discarded."

Parke: "Okay. Well, let's see how it works."

Patterson: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative Parke. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Jim Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, would you yield for a question?"

Patterson: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "He will."

Meyer: "My... my understanding... I just wanna make... get this clear because there's a lot of noise in the... in the room here.

This Bill does... this Bill does absolutely not provide for exchange of needles, correct?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- Patterson: "No. At the present time, this Bill is targeting those needles or syringes or medical sharps that are used at home, not something that is administered by a hospital or a health care unit. At the present time, those needles or syringes that are in possession of someone in their home, there's no provision for the appropriate dis..."
- Meyer: "What... under the current procedures that are out there right now, when... say, for instance, you were diabetic or used other needles for administering medication in the home, what... what happens to those, Representative?"
- Patterson: "It's... it's thrown into the garbage, the little garbage container in the house. In many communities it has been carried or transmitted from the home to the garbage can on the exter... exterior in the alley or in the front of the home. The garbage or refuge(sic-refuse) collector comes along, they will pick those up in the refuge(sic-refuse) truck, deliver them to a dumping station in some communities. And where they have a program where they're sorting the garbage collection into recyclables, those workers are being injured by the syringes, needles, and/or medical sharps."
- Meyer: "And... well, what happens if you wanna... if you wanna dispose 'em by taking 'em back to the people that sell ya the medications or prescribe the medications?"
- Patterson: "Well, in my opinion, Representative, you... again, at the present time, pharmacies do not have a program by which they notify the users of these needles or syringes or medical sharps and human beings are creatures of habit, if

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

there's nothing that is actually informing them, training them, or teaching them what is needed to be done for proper disposal, it will be just be done in the most easiest fashion it is, and that is to dump it in the canister in the home. From the canister in the home it is transmitted or carried to the garbage disposal point in the back of the home or in front of the home, and then it is collected by the refuge(sic-refuse) collector..."

Meyer: "Thank you, Representative. I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Patterson: "...and he or she is injured."

Meyer: "Representative, I think you've given some very truthful and honest answers. I happen to deal... I happen to live in a household that deals with this problem on... on a weekly basis. What ya do you do with a needle that is used to administer medication and when it's done nobody seems to want it. Yes, we buy the little red canisters from the... from the pharmacist and try and dispose of them, but those things are easily broken into. They... they can fracture, they can open under the pressure of garbage. I believe that your... your Bill is a very good one. If nothing else, we need to... to start talking about what do we do with all this medical waste that... as we have more and more applications available for home usage. How... how do we protect the people that service us in removal of our garbage and our trash? Certainly, when you go back to the pharmacists of this state they don't want anything to do with it, the phar... the hospitals don't want anything to do

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

with it, the doctors who prescribe it don't want anything to do with it. Everyone kinda washes their hands and yet there are people out there that are being injured. Quite frankly, if you think about a needle going into the garbage that... that is unprotected, as you're carrying the garbage out, for crying out loud, you stab yourself in the leg. So, I think your... your Bill is right on and stro... stand in strong support of it."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Bond County, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, thank you. I... I think the issue of the Bill in general is... is good. But, the... I am concerned, first of all, with the pharmacy aspect of this. I... I wanna correct something that I heard... I think I heard earlier. And that is that pharmacists don't dispense information when they dispense needles and syringes. And that's just not true, they do. They might not have to, according to any statute, rule, or regulation but... but they do. And the drug... the companies that make and package syringes make sure that in those boxes and bags there is information on the proper disposal and it encour... they encourage that. I happen to be one who, in the process of my duties some years ago, was stabbed in throwing trash out at a hospital that I worked at by a syringe and it terrified me. I thought I might get hepatitis back then, that's all we had to worry about back when that happened. But... so, I support the Gentleman's Bill, but I... I'm concerned about the... the issue of

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

burdening pharmacists furthermore. I just don't trust the Department of Public Health with the sort of rules and regulations that they'll promulgate. They'll have three copies of every form, you'll have to save 'em for 7 years, there'll have to be a file system and a video recording of the proceedings and all that nonsense. I'm telling ya, we've got enough to deal with, with the... with the Governor's mail order programs and this, that, and the other. Pharmacies have enough to deal with today, we don't need another government regulation on how to teach people to dispose of these syringes. So, with those concerns, I still support your bill. I appreciate you bringing it forward."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative. Hearing no further discussion, the question is, 'Should Floor Amendment #1 be adopted to House Bill 3467?' All those in favor should signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Floor Amendment #1 is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 28 of the Calendar, Representative Poe has House Bill 3258.

Before we read the Bill, Mr. Clerk, I'd like to represent... the Lady from Antioch, from Lake County. Ladies and Gentlemen, if I can have your attention just for a moment for a purpose of an announcement. Representative JoAnn Osmond. Shh."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Members of the General Assembly, I want to thank you, first of all, for letting my two Bills go out of order. I got a call that said that Senator Geo-Karis was in the hospital and she's over at St. John's and she was admitted yesterday. She's doing fine. She's ornery as can be. She's yelling at me, so I know she's on the road to recovery. So keep her in your prayers, please."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Representative Osmond. And we will certainly keep Geo in all of our prayers. Mr. Clerk, we're back on page 28 of the Calendar. We have House Bill 3258, Representative Poe. You want to read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3258, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Poe."
- Poe: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3258 is a Bill that deals with TRS. If you're a teacher... a active teacher you're currently serving on that board and you would happen to retire before your term run out, this would let you finish serving your term. I'd ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 3258? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3258 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 113 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 6 of the Calendar, Representative Danny Reitz has House Bill 829. What's the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 829, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 28 of the Calendar, Representative Pritchard has House Bill 3504.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3504, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Pritchard."
- Pritchard: "Yes, Mr. Chairman. This Bill creates the Methamphetamine Law Enforcement Fund in the state treasury and provides that for the imposition of additional hundred dollar fine on each case of... involving methamphetamine. It is a way to help our local law enforcement agencies fund the increasing growth in this problem area and I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Lyons, J.: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 3504? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 35... 3504 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; all those opposed

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Fritchey to be recorded. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 113 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 28 of the Calendar, Representative Reis has page... has House Bill #3507. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3507, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Representative Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3507 is an initiative of the Republican Methamphetamine Crisis Task Force. The Bill amends the Illinois Controlled Substance Act to provide that methamphetamine restitution shall also be made to cover regular overtime costs incurred by local enforcement agencies and private contractors paid by public agencies in site in which securing the methamphetamine was manufactured. Currently, there's a law in place that restitution is made, but this Bill prioritizes that local government will get their money first and then state agencies and then federal agencies. I ask for everyone's 'aye' vote and I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons, J.: "Is there any discussion from the floor on House Bill 3507? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 3507 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open.

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. This vote, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."

- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Hannig is in the Chair. On page 25 of the Calendar, Representative Rose has House Bill 738. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill, please?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 738, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Rose."

Rose: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 738 would allow legislative aide who has previously worked under contract for the General Assembly to purchase up 6 years of credit in the State Employees Retirement System for the cost of employee contributions plus interest. The Committee Amendment #1 makes this revenue neutral to the state. I repeat, there will be no cost to the state, that employee will have to pick up their... their contribution, the state... what would've been the state's contribution, plus any interest. So, this is revenue neutral. It comes from a longtime employee for former Representative Virgil Wikoff and I would ask for its favorable adoption."

Speaker Hannig: "The Bill is on the Order Short Debate. And in response, Representative Franks is recognized. Five minutes."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Franks: "Representative, I'm not sure I get it. Why are we doing this?"

"Very simple, Representative. Many years legislative aides were not allowed into SERS. you so desire you can make your legislative aide or aides part of SERS. Back in Representative Wikoff's time that wasn't the case. He had an aide who was a con... happens to be a constituent of mine now who's gone on to work at the University of Illinois and would like to purchase service time in. At the hearing in conversations with SERS, apparently, there are several people out there. We have opened a window in the past. And I might add, Representative Franks, that window in the past had the state picking up the employer contribution plus interest. That's not what this does. This window will open but the employee will have to pick up the full cost plus interest, so it will be ... remain revenue neutral to taxpayers."

Franks: "At the... up front it would be revenue neutral, but is there a difference between the different pension systems that they... that they could be in now versus the payments that they would get if they purchase... What I'm trying to figure out is whether they'd be getting a higher payment for doing a different pension system."

Rose: "I don't believe so, because what she's trying to do is buy in credit time that'll go towards... well, hold on one

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- second. Could you rephrase the question really fast? Make sure I get ya the correct answer."
- Franks: "I will try. What I'm trying to figure is if someone's trying to buy time and they're already in a system, whatever the system might be..."
- Rose: "They're not, Representative. She was not allowed in any system back then."
- Franks: "But the way this Bill is written, would it allow people who are in different systems to be able to buy in to this system and enhance their pensions, even though they'd have a fixed cost, but it could cost the state much more money? What I'm trying to figure out is the real cost to the state down the road. Does that make sense?"
- Rose: "Um, yeah. Representative Franks, the payments that they're gonna have to pay in will pay the full cost of the credit, so the actuaries have said that there won't be any liability. I... I guess I don't have a better answer than that."
- Franks: "I guess my question is, is there a potential here for an enhanced benefit that they wouldn't have but for the ability to purchase in now? And I'm not talking about the person who is not in a system now, but for those that are already in. By the way this Bill is drafted, would it allow other individuals who are currently in a system to be able to buy in if they've spent any time at all under these parameters and then be able to enhance their pensions?"
- Rose: "Yes, but there's no cost to the system 'cause they're gonna have to pick that up in the pay in."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Franks: "Well, there could be a cost to the system though, depending on the benefits in the... in the individual pension system. Because the pension systems that we have have different payouts, they have different... correct? Ya know what I'm saying? For instance, the General Assembly, if you're in for a certain amount of time you have a certain percentage."

Rose: "I... I do understand what you're saying and the systems have looked at that and they have said that there's no cost. As long as Amendment #2 was attached, that... that the employee will have to pay that... will have to bear that additional cost plus interest."

Franks: "As long as there's no additional cost, I don't see a problem. That was my real concern."

Rose: "That's... I'm not an actuary but I can only tell you what the system's told us, and they told us there'd be no additional cost."

Franks: "Could... should we pass this out, could we have... when it goes to the Senate could they have them check that out before they move it ahead?"

Rose: "I'll be happy to do that."

Franks: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate but,

Representative Miller, do you have a brief comment you'd

like to add or question?"

Miller: "Yeah, a question."

Speaker Hannig: "The Sponsor will yield."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Miller: "Will the Sponsor yield? I missed the beginning of your comments in regards to this. Why are you doing this?"

Rose: "The... the situation was several years ago, prior to the mid 80s, legislative aides were not allowed to participate in SERS retirement plan. A constituent of mine who way back then used to work for then Representative Virgil Wikoff was a co... was a constituent considered a contract employee, there were retirement benefits. She's asked to have a buy in time to re... now that legislative aides are eligible for SERS, she's asked for a buy in. We've made this revenue neutral to the state. There was a window that opened some time ago where, actually, State of Illinois allowed these people to come in at the state's cost where they had to pay the employer contribution plus interest. That's not what this does. If she wants to come in or a similar situation where people want to come under this window, they would have to pay the full cost of their contribution, plus what would've been the state's contribution, plus all of the interest in the intervening years."

Miller: "So, going back to Representative Franks' question.

Your... it does seem like there would at least be some sense of a cost, not now, 'cause you're basically saying that the... that the employee would pick up all... all of the cost up to today... up to the enactment of this legislation. But what about in the future, though? I mean, I don't..."

Rose: "What... I... I guess that this is a window that opens to allow people to come in. So, this isn't an open-ended

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

opportunity. We... actually, I can't take credit for this. This actually came from your staff on... on the other side of the aisle. Amendment #2 is what made this revenue neutral and the pension systems have reviewed it and agreed that it is indeed revenue neutral."

Miller: "The... how many employ... how many people will this affect?"

Rose: "Frankly, not too many. But..."

Miller: "That was scientific."

Rose: "But... yeah."

Miller: "Not too many. Not too many could be five."

Rose: "I... I've got one person from my district that asked. Here's... the thing is, this similar window was open, when was it? Was open several years ago and so a lot of people took advantage when it was open. This particular constituent in mind was not aware that the window had been opened at that time, missed it. Now, she's... actually, when that window was open, the state was picking up the employer contribution plus the interest and all the employee had to do was pick up the employee contribution. Now, this window, they're gonna have to pick up the full cost."

Miller: "But... but you're saying they still don't have an estimate... it's not really... like I said, it's not... as you know, and... and in recent comments by the Governor and administration and Speaker and others, there's been a little crisis in our pension system, depending on who you ask. And I'm sure, ya know, 10, 15 years ago, ya know, if you'd have asked those individuals was it a crisis or a

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

pending crisis on... on that dollar at that time, they'd say, no. But the concern is not of today, it is actually of the future. Because usually when you're talking about pensions and things like that, there's usually something that you get out and we don't know what that total is. And that's why I go back to at least how many people are we talking about? I mean... you said not that many. I don't... I don't have a clue. I personally don't have a clue."

Rose: "Let me... let me address... you got two questions there."

Miller: "Yeah."

Rose: "Your first question was about the concern of the Speaker and the Governor's Office about the cost of pension liability. Amendment #2 came from the Speaker's staff to address that concern. We attached Amendment... excuse me, Amendment #1... Amendment #1 came from the Speaker's staff to address that concern to ensure that this was not an unfunded liability to the pension systems. That came from your staff and Speaker Madigan's staff. We attached it because I'm in complete agreement, this is not a time to do that. So, one, that addresses your first point. It came from the Speaker's staff, directed at entirely to that question. The second question, Representative Miller, is that this only affects contractual employees of General Assembly Members. So, I guess my suggestion to you is that that's a finite number, particularly in light of the fact that a window like this had been opened previously, that... that most of the folks took advantage of that window then. So, I think..."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Miller: "Representative, let me just... on our analysis, there is no Amendment #2. And... and, Clerk..."

Rose: "Amendment #1, Representative. Amendment #1. Amendment #1 came from your staff, Representative Miller."

Miller: "No, I unders... once again, I understand that you're saying... My understanding of what you're saying, I'm not gonna belabor this and... but... but you're saying to join into this program they're gonna... the employee would pay the cost and the interest as it is today, in today's dollars. But once again, it doesn't say what will happen in the future. And I guess that... that's kind of really the concern that I have here in lieu of the situation that we're in now. I mean, I don't know. I wasn't around 20 years ago. I don't know, that argument might..."

Rose: "Well..."

Miller: "This same argument that you're making is very logical, but it may have been made 20 years ago. Ya know?"

Rose: "But the… but the window closes October 1 of this year.

So, I mean, we don't have to address future interest costs at all."

Miller: "Yeah, but once again, without... without... without not knowing the number of individuals who may sign up for this benefit, it just leads to some scrutiny without even giving an estimate of what our costs are gonna be. And you're saying there will not be any costs in the future. And maybe I'm not quite understanding this, I'm not a pension expert. But to me, it would inherently say at some point

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

there's gonna be an additional cost, as our costs do tend to go up over the years. Ya know. Do you have..."

Rose: "Well, the whole point of having the employee contribution plus the employer contribution plus interest is to make the system's asset pool whole so that it can afford the pension annuity over time. That's how pensions work. So, if you bring yourself to... up to date, which is what Committee Amendment #1 does, which was brought to me by Speaker Madigan, and correctly so, to make this truly revenue neutral, you do that. You bring the asset pool up to today's date and as you go forward that asset pool continues to grow through the investments of the SERS system. So, I... I... all due respect, Representative Miller, I don't quite understand what you're asking me."

Miller: "Yeah, to... to the Bill. I'm gonna make my..."

Speaker Hannig: "Could... yeah, to the Bill."

Miller: "To the Bill. Yeah. I respect the Sponsor and what he's trying to do and I understand... But once again, there is... there has to be concerns on if we're not even knowing how many will sign up within this finite period of time, if we're not really sure of what the numbers are gonna be, we're not sure of what tomorrow may hold, we're not sure what's gonna happen, we don't sure who's gonna be alive, who's gonna be dead to even receive these benefits. It just becomes... in a... in a crisis situation that we're in now, it just becomes at least to some scrutiny. And I do respect the Sponsor and his commitment to Representative

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Franks to at least find some... a little bit more detail about this before we vote on this. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "So, let's... Representative Rose, there's some interest in the Bill. We'll put it on the Order of Standard Debate. We've now had two speak in response, one more under the rules can speak in response. Representative Fritchey, would you like to speak in response or in favor?"

Fritchey: "I just don't know. My guess is gonna be in response."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Okay. Five... five minutes to speak."

Fritchey: "Thank you. Representative, this applies to somebody that is presently a member of another reciprocal system, correct?"

Rose: "Yes."

Fritchey: "But they did not have to be a member of a different system at the time. In fact, they could've been a member of no system at the time, correct?"

Rose: "That's the point, Representative. They weren't eligible to be part of the system at the time."

Fritchey: "I... my... my thoughts on this is, ya know, it's kind of a... ya know, it's a benefit of the bargain issue. Ya know, we can't tinker with people's pensions at the time because that was the deal going in. You knew what your pension was coming into it. These are people that were contractual employees that knew that they were not part of a pension system at the time and they were probably compensated as such. They may have received a higher payment than they

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

would've gotten as part of their contract because they weren't getting... receiving pension benefits. Correct?"

Rose: "It depends on each individual's circumstances. I mean..."

Fritchey: "Well, here, let... look at the... when somebody signs up for a job they look at their compensation package."

Rose: "Yeah."

Fritchey: "That compensation package may be salary, health insurance, pension, and that all factors into what the job is worth and what you're will... whether or not you're willing to take that job."

Rose: "Agreed."

Fritchey: "So, they were willing to take this job without a pension system. Now at a time... and it's interesting, the juxtaposition here. But I find myself surprised to hear somebody on your side of the aisle, in tough economic times when we have a budget deficit, when we have issues as how we're gonna fund schools, how we are gonna fund basic state services, coming in and adding pension sweeteners onto the system."

Rose: "Representative, this doesn't cost the state anything.

That's the Amendment that we put on here. It's revenue neutral. The employee has to pick up their cost, what was... would've been the state's cost plus all of the interest in the intervening time period. I would also add that this was a window that had been opened previously and my constituent wasn't notified of it and so wasn't able to partake in it, otherwise we wouldn't be here today."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Fritchey: "But again, ya know, an... and individual took a job at a specified rate of pay. Now we're allowing them... for that individual there's got to be a benefit. If it's revenue neutral to that individual, they wouldn't go and buy back into this system. Why are we letting them after the fact come in and basically renegotiate their salary package after they've left the job for up to 6 years of back time?"

Rose: "We... well, first of all, we've already done..."

Fritchey: "Conceptual..."

Rose: "...we've already done this once for similar situated individuals. Okay?"

Fritchey: "But why would we..."

Rose: "So, I'm trying to apply a modicum of fairness to... to my individual."

Fritchey: "And... I'm... and I'm not trying to ask you a legal question. Conceptually, why would we let somebody after they have left the job come back and be able to buy into 6 years of pension time that they were never entitled to, nor did they ever expect an entitlement to when they had that job, which they no longer have?"

Rose: "Well, Representative, one, back then it's my understanding that... that legislative aides were not allowed to participate in SERS. Okay?"

Fritchey: "Correct. And they..."

Rose: "So..."

Fritchey: "And they took the job anyway."

Rose: "The rules have changed. But to your previous question, the one you led with on this current round about why anyone

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- would get this and what they're getting in return if they're gonna buy in, well, that's like any annuity. Ya pay in a lump sum. The interest off the lump sum is then used to annuitize your... your monthly disbursement. So, that's why this is revenue neutral."
- Fritchey: "So why would we open up this benefit to this specific class of individuals?"
- Rose: "Because, one, it's a matter of fairness because we've done it before, and two, it's not gonna cost the state anything."
- Fritchey: "It's a matter of fairness if you're one of the covered individuals. But... but where... where's the... why..."
- Rose: "It's a matter of fairness because we opened this window before, my constituent didn't receive a notification, was left out."
- Fritchey: "There's a lot of pension windows that have been opened before."
- Rose: "In fact, if you want to talk about fairness, the last window that was opened required the employee to only pay the employer cost. This is actually less fair than the previous window that was opened because this employee will have to pay their cost, the state's cost, and all the interest."
- Fritchey: "I... I think that it's... Bills such as this that open the flood gates for other groups that want to come in a say, ya know, we'd like the window opened for us. I've been here long enough to see pension Bills come through that start out as a few pages long and wind up bigger than

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

the Bible is, because every group wants to be able to come in and get the window open for them..."

Rose: "Representative..."

Fritchey: "...to buy in more time."

Speaker Hannig: "Rep... Representative..."

Rose: "Let me address that immediately."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Fritchey, your five minutes have expired."

Fritchey: "Thank you, Speaker."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Rose, why don't you finish answering his question."

Rose: "Sure. Representative Fritchey, if I could finish with that... on that note, I made a commitment to Speaker Madigan that if for some reason this Bill were to change in the Senate, it would not be recalled on concurrence. Period. This will not grow, that was the commitment."

Speaker Hannig: "So now under the rules of Standard Debate, we've had three speak in response. Does anyone seek to rise in support? Representative Molaro."

Molaro: "Yes, I... I understand the concerns of people on my side of the aisle. I mean, I think it... ya know, and... and Representative Rose, you're gonna... I think it's important that they get this. It does make sense to me that if someone says, how many people would this affect, we probably should have that answer. Even if it's just an estimate, 'cause that... that is something that we should probably know. But wait... however, that being said, remember, we're talking about just contractual members of

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

the... that work for contracts for the General Assembly. Many, many times... I've been doing this for a long time and people come to me and they would work for me but their husband had or their wife had insurance somewhere else. They didn't want to be in the mandatory insurance so they were on contract and they thought they'd work for 3 or 4 years and leave. Then it turns out they did, but they went to work in other forms of government. And the 4 or 5 years that they spent working 40, 50 hours a week in my office, they now, because other people didn't have a spouse and wanted a full-time position, they now know that they probably made a mistake not being in the system. come up here now and say they wanna be it makes sense to But if we come up and say that there's not gonna be not one single dollar that it's gonna cost the state... so, we answer Representative's... the actuaries come up and they say, this is what it would cost the state. And they say, you gotta pay 100 percent of that money and you gotta pay all of it. I think that makes sense and I think it is a fairness issue for all those people who worked on contract that didn't know better. Now we do and I think if it's fully paid for and it's paid for the bar... the participant, who's now on a sister system, a reciprocal system, I think we should allow them to do it. But I also think we should tell 'em... so I'd vote 'yes' on this Bill, but we should be able to tell when we bring a Bill like this we think it will affect 2 hundred people, 80 people, a thousand people.

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- I think that's an answer that we should have. So thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "So... so, now we've had one speak in favor and three in response. Do we have anyone else who rises in support? Representative Giles."
- Giles: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure what my position is but I would simply like to ask a question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. So, we'll let... we'll give you 5 minutes and then we're gonna go to the Roll Call."
- Giles: "Representative Rose, ya know, I think I understand what you're trying to do here. I think we've done it before in this Body. You get constituency oftentimes ask are we gonna have another situation in which they can opt out or ... or in this particular situation you want to have members to obtain certain credits in order to be able to opt out early. But how would you respond to this particular situation that I think that's going on in the state currently. And that is, there's a lot of vacancies that we have within the various departments, within the various agencies that because of our particular situation in the State of Illinois, there's a budget crunch, we can't fill those positions currently. There's a lot of vacancies, there's positions that we need to fill. Currently, we can't do it because for the simple fact that the budget director simply said we cannot afford to do them. So there are some... whether through attrition, whether through individuals that leave on their own, or whether individual are deceased, we can't fill those positions because of the

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

current status of the budget. And we're trying to consume those positions to be able to save on those positions, currently. And so, if we allow another opt out plan or a credit plan to be able to have individuals to... to opt out early, now we continuously have a more individuals leaving the area of providing services to needed constituencies in the State of Illinois. How would you respond to a situation like that when we have a very dire situation, currently?"

Rose: "Simple. This doesn't cost the state anything so the analogy doesn't apply."

Giles: "Okay. I... I underst..."

Rose: "I would agree with you. Representative Giles, I would agree with you that if this cost the state money that this would not be an appropriate time to do this. The Amendment we applied, from... at the Speaker's request, and your staff's request, made it revenue neutral. We've had that checked by the systems, actuaries. And they've checked it. Their... their estimates, if I might add to the previous questions, were less than a hundred people would apply because this only affects former legislative aides on contract and there's been a prior window that opened. But because the individual seeking this would have to pay the full cost of that annuity, of that... of that pension payment, of the principal that it would cost to afford that additional payment, there's no cost to the state."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Giles: "Representative, you know, I... and you know, I take your word for it and I think you've always been up front and honest."

Rose: "And I appreciate that."

Giles: "And I believe that it may not cost the state anything financially, but I'm worried about the service side of it, providing services. The shortage of workers in the State of Illinois providing services to the... to this constituency. I'm worried about that. And... and currently, we cannot fill the positions that is vacant right now in the state because of the budget situation. So, I'm more concerned on the service... being able to provide good services so that individuals not be harmed or injured on the job or... or individuals would not be overburdened because there is a shortage in various department and agencies, currently. So, that's more to my... and I..."

Rose: "And I don't understand..."

Giles: "And I agree with you, it may not cost the state any money. But... but, you know, just like you know, I know constituency comes to us often and they want us to introduce legislation because they want to opt out, they want to be able to retire early and..."

Rose: "Well, this..."

Giles: "...and reap full benefits."

Rose: "This individual's long since left the employment as a legislative aide. I mean, this was in the early 1980s that she was an aide to Representative Wikoff. Long, long ago."

Giles: "Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Thank you. So, under the rules of Standard Debate we've had now three speak in response and... and three speak in favor. And Representative Rose is recognized to close."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. would like to say that, sort of reviewed our notes, that the estimate is less than a hundred that the actuaries are based upon, and that makes sense if you think that this would only apply to prior contract aides to State Representatives. One, so far back in time before SERS was even applicable to legislative aides. And two, a window has already been opened for them. So, the number of people eligible will be finite. Secondly, this is revenue neutral, Committee Amendment #1 ensures that. That's been fact checked by the... the folks at the pension system. They came to committee, testified as such, and this is revenue neutral. Some people have asked me to make guarantees beyond what the committee... the pension systems themself say. I don't know how I can do that. The pension systems tell me it's revenue neutral. I think we all rely on them every day in making decisions here that it would be revenue neutral. I would also add that actually in one way this will help enhance the state's cash position because after this employee passes on... goes through retirement and passes on, they will leave this additional amount of deposited into the State Pension Fund as an asset to help offset future costs. So in a way, this actually helps... helps enhance the state position. Admittedly, not very

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

much, but certainly it's… it's not a cost. So, I… I would simply ask for the favorable adoption of this Bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Cultra, would you like to be recorded? Representative Poe and Joyce, would you like recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Representative Rose, would you like to put this Postponed on Okay. We'll put this on the Order of Consideration? Postponed Consideration. On page 20... excuse Representative Dunkin, for what reason do you rise?"

Dunkin: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hannig: "State your point."

Dunkin: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you all could take the time to help me recognize and welcome a visiting school in my district, Ogden School. This is a fifth grade class of... a great bunch of bright students from the 5th District. Stand up Ogden School. Welcome to the House of Representatives."

Speaker Hannig: "Welcome to Springfield. Representative Sacia, you have, on page 27 of the Calendar, House Bill 2507. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2507, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Sacia."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a very simple Bill. Previously, it was... it currently is illegal to leave a vehicle running. With technology as it is today... an unattended vehicle that is. With technology as it is today and remote vehicle starters, this simply gives a person that has started a vehicle by a remote vehicle start complete legality in allowing the vehicle to run. I'd be... I'd welcome any questions."
- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question... excuse me, Representative Flowers in response."

Flowers: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?" Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Flowers: "I didn't hear... I didn't hear your explanation of this legislation."

Sacia: "I'm... I'm sorry, Representative Flowers. Specifically, the way current law is, you cannot let your vehicle run unattended. And this was good legislation, it prevented car thieves from stealing your car. But with the advent of technology and you leaving your locked vehicle out in front of your home, from your kitchen table you can now start it. Under current law... under current law, you'd be in violation. What this does is just fix it. It's designed for Representative Flowers to start her vehicle in the dead of winter and leave it running."

Flowers: "I think this is a very good piece of legislation."

Sacia: "Thank you."

Flowers: "Thank you very much."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is
open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On
this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting
'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional
Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative
Saviano, on page 26 of the Calendar is House Bill 1395.
Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill?"

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1395, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Saviano."

Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Bill is from my township, Leyden Township. We have a very small park district called Westdale Park District. It... it makes up just one park about one square block big. And the school dis... or the park district has come to the township and asked if they would assume ownership of it and run it. Because first of all, we can't find trustees to run for the park board. Second of all, the small area that they tax doesn't support the programs to the extent that it would require. And this is only for Leyden and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take

35th Legislative Day

- the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Saviano, on page 22 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 3785 on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3785, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 3471?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3471 is on the Order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Could we return that to the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor? And Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 1463?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1463 is on the Order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Hannig: "Return that to the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. And Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 1351?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1351 is on the Order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Hannig: "Return that to the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. And Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 2946?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2946 is on the Order of Third Reading."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "And let's return that to the Order of Second Reading at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Schmitz, on page 29 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 3593. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill, please."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3593, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Schmitz."

Schmitz: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 3593's a... to quote some of my other colleagues, a very simple Bill. It allows a mechanic, instead of having to send a notice of lien to every person in a condominium complex over eight units, to send it to the board. Many time these contractors do a lot of work that are authorized by the board and they have to send these notices to every single unit in the building. This would limit them to just doing it to the association. It does require the association to have this notice distributed to its occupants within 7 days, under the Amendment we just adopted yesterday. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hannig: "And on that question, the Representative from Cook, Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just simply rise in support of the Gentleman's Bill. It's a good piece of legislation, will afford condominium owners the notice they need to be aware that there's a lien against the building but will enable the lien to be perfected more quickly, enabling both sides to get the matter resolved. So, I support the Gentleman's Bill."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any further discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Schock, on page 12 of the Calendar is House Bill 1554. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1554 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 was adopted by the House. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Soto, on page 5 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 769. Okay. Mr. Clerk, would you read that Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 769, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Sullivan, you have House... on page 25 of the Calendar, you have House Bill 782. Okay, let's take that out of the record. Representative Yarbrough, on page 25 of the Calendar, has House Bill 672. Okay, that's out of the record. Representative Younge, on page 7 of the Calendar is House Bill 961. Do you want us to read that Bill on Second? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 961, a Bill for an Act in relation to human services. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Beiser, we have on page 5 of the Calendar House Bill 716. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 716 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments.

 All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Brosnahan, on page 8 of the Calendar is House Bill 1039. Okay, out of the record. Representative Rita, on page 29 in the Calendar, you have House Bill 3738. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3738, a Bill for an Act in relation to vehicles. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Rita."
- Rita: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3738 corrects an unanticipated problem with the waste haulers... with the recyclable haulers. Currently, solid waste haulers can carrier up to 54 thousand pounds and trucks carrying recyclables can only carrier 51 thousand pounds. What this does is puts it at the same level at 54 thousand pounds. Be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Hannig: "Thi... this Bill's on the Order of Short Debate.

 Standing in response is Representative Parke."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Parke: "Representative, my analysis continues to show the Township Officials of Illinois, the Association of County Engineers, the Municipal League, and Will County Governmental League all in opposition. Are they still in opposition, Representative?"

Rita: "I'd have to check on that. Ya know, I just picked this thing up... I was under anticipa... that it came out of committee, what was it, 26 to 0, that there was no opposition to this. But I can check on that for ya."

Parke: "Well, it shows that they are... you haven't heard from them?"

Rita: "No."

Parke: "You're unaware of this?"

Rita: "No."

Parke: "Well, it says here the National Waste Management Association believes that the law on weight limits should be consistent for garbage and re... refuse haulers and recyclers. Is that why you put this in?"

Rita: "This... this was so that it could be equal when... because a lot of these trucks are used... the same trucks could be used for as to hauling recyclables along with waste and waste haulers that, ya know, within the... it's to encourage more recycling."

Parke: "Does this apply to all of our waste haulers in the State of Illinois?"

Rita: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Parke: "Does this apply to all of our waste haulers in the State of Illinois?"

Rita: "Yes."

Parke: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate.

Representative Molaro, do you have a short question..."

Molaro: "Yes."

Speaker Hannig: "...or comment?"

Molaro: "Well, yes. I helped carry the... I carried the Bill in Transportation Committee, Representative Rita couldn't make it. And actually, it's just to have recycling trucks in the same position as regular garbage trucks. There was no opposition. Everybody was fine with the Bill and the National Solid Waste Management Association was for the Bill and there was no opposition whatsoever. I just wanted to make that clear to the Body. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Kosel."

Kosel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Kosel: "I was contacted by Will County specifically about this Bill and they are... they are objecting to it. So, whether they slipped in committee or not, they did... they did make the effort to get to the Will County Legislators and say that they were in opposition to it. Do you know... have you addressed their opposition?"

Rita: "I... I had no... as far as my concern, there is no opposition that I... that I know... aware of. As we said, it came out of committee unanimously and it was..."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Kosel: "They are... they are objecting to it."

Rita: "Well, nobody has... nobody has contacted me on that... on any of them. I'm a member..."

Kosel: "They have contacted me."

Rita: "And I'm also a member of the township officials and they have not contacted me."

Speaker Hannig: "Is there any further discussion? Then Representative Rita's recognized to close."

Rita: "This... this Bill will just equal the... the weight limits.

As far as my concern, there is none in opposition. No one has contacted me. As I said, I'm a member of the Township Officials of Illinois. Nobody, Bill Foster or anybody from that organization hasn't contacted me. This Bill just creetes... creates parity within the waste hauling, with recyclables versus solid waste. Urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 93 voting 'yes' and 20 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 7 of the Calendar, Representative Myers has House Bill 920. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 920, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Flowers, you have on page 16... 16 of the Calendar House Bill 2543, on Second Reading. Do you wish us to read that Bill? Representative Flowers, do you wish us to read this? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2543, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Flowers, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "On the... on the Amendment, Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 just clarifies some language that DCFS added in regards to when a person can be brought in to testify and under what circumstances in regards to the child. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Dunkin.

 Representative Flowers, you also have on page 25 of the

 Calendar House Bill 637. Mr. Clerk, would you read the

 Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 637, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Third Reading of this House Bill."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I bring to you House Bill 637 and it came from our Department of Insurance back in 2001. When a person is institutionalized and they're being fed solely by intravenous tube, some insurance companies are not paying for the nutritional supplement in which they need in order to stay alive. So, I'm merely asking for the coverage of the insurance of this type of medicine for these people, prescription nutritional supplement that's prescribed by the doctor, and any other type of pain medication that is needed, once again, that is prescribed by the doctor. And I'll be more than happy to answer any questions you have in regards to House Bill 637."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. And standing I response is Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Parke: "Representative, this is quite a controversial Bill. I see that there's an awful lot of people listed as in opposition. Have you done anything to try and remove any of this opposition?"

Flowers: "Well, Representative, I'm surprised to hear you say that it's controversial because no one has complained to me about the legislation."

Parke: "Well..."

Flowers: "And the controversy should be for the patient that's laying in the bed that is in need of the nutritional

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

supplement in which they need in order to stay alive, yet they have insurance. The insurance company has paid for the operation, has paid for the hospital stay, has paid for all the doctor services, but the very nutrition in which they need to stay alive they're not covering because it is considered as food. And it is food for them, but they need it intravenously in order to stay alive because they cannot eat it orally."

- Parke: "Well, you had a food supplemental Bill last year. What happened to that Bill?"
- Flowers: "Well, I'm glad you asked because it passed out of here last year, but it just didn't go any place in the Senate."
- Parke: "Did it pass unanimously or was there opposition? Did people vote against it?"
- Flowers: "I can't recall, but I couldn't imagine you voting against it."
- Parke: "Well, how 'bout... what is the definition of 'pain medication', Representative?"
- Flowers: "Well, pain medication would be what would be prescribed by the doctor that he feels... or he or she feel that their patient may need in order to stay alive, because sometime the pain could be so bad that it could cause a person's death."
- Parke: "Well, I mean, isn't... why wouldn't that be covered under the plan already?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Flowers: "Well, I don't know. But according to the Department of Insurance, that information was found out and some insurance companies are not paying for it."

Parke: "Now, that doesn't make sense to me. If... if somebody is ill and they are in pain, that the doctor ought to be able to prescribe pain medication and take care of that. I'm... Where in your Bill does it show what the definition of 'pain medicine' is?"

Flowers: "It..."

Parke: "Is it in the Bill?"

Flowers: "I don't know if it's in the Bill, but it... let me just check. Because it does say for pain medication, Section 356z.10, pain medication coverage. It describes a group or individual policy, accident insurance, managed care. It says that provide coverage for prescription drug... must provide coverage for any pain medication prescribed or ordered by the insured treating physician."

Parke: "Well, is that a definition? I don't... it just says... it talks all around it. It doesn't say what 'pain medication' is."

Flowers: "Well, I would assume that we would..."

Parke: "Is it aspirins?"

Flowers: "It would re... if the doctor... I would assume that the HMOs or the insurance companies may being paying for aspirins, I'm not sure. But whatever it is that the doctor feel that that patient may need."

Parke: "What is the prescription nutritional supplement? Where is that defined in your Bill? Is that... is that defined in

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

your Bill or can they have any kind of a... some kind of a medication that a doctor might prescribe, that he thinks he might have a home remedy himself? Is there any... does this have to be a... sold over the counter or a... how do we define what that is?"

Flowers: "Well, once again, I would allow the hospitals or either the doctor to define what is 'nutritional supplement' in order that is needed for that patient to stay alive."

Parke: "To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Sponsor has a Bill here that is extremely comprehensive and costly. There is no definition in the Bill of what is a 'prescription nutrition supplement'. There is definition of what is 'pain medication'. This Bill is a open door to anything that... without anybody being able to say, 'Well, wait a minute, are you sure this is what we want to do.' I understand what the Lady's trying to solve but I must rise in strong opposition to this Bill. Almost every business group in the State of Illinois is strongly opposed. I mean, the list here goes on and on and on of opposition to this. Caterpillar, the Chamber, the IMA, Catholic Conference, CIGNA, WellPoint are all in opposition to this idea. This is not a good idea. And I would ask the Body to reject this Bill and hopefully..."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Parke, your time is expired.

Would you bring your remarks to a close, please."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Parke: "Thank you. I would hope that we would be able to come back with a more definitive piece of legislation in the future. So, I would ask you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Hannig: "There seems to be enough interest to move this Bill to Standard Debate. And Representative Stephens is... is recognized."

Stephens: "Would the Lady yield please?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Stephens: "Representative, do I understand your Bill that nutritional supplements that are given to someone through a tube, like in the Terri Schiavo case?"

Flowers: "Yes."

Stephens: "Is that right?"

Flowers: "Right."

Stephens: "All right. I look at the list of proponents and opponents and I'm confused. Of the proponents: Planned Parenthood, AFLCIO, National Organization of Women, AFSCME, SCIU, Conference of Churches, and the AIDS Foundation of Chicago. And I look at the opponents and they include the Illinois Catholic Conference, the Illinois Citizens for Life, groups... IRMA, Emer... the Illinois Manufacturers Association, the Chamber Employment Law Council, and the Illinois Life Insurance Council. And I can't help but be amazed in the time frame... the juxtaposition to the Terri Schiavo case where so many of my colleagues, my friends, many concerned citizens stood and said how dare we starve that women. I stood with those people. How dare we starve her when we have loving parents who wanted to take her in

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

her arms... in their arms. And now, my friends in the Illinois Citizens for Life are saying don't pay for the food. I just don't get it. I'd probably be better off politically to just sit down and be quiet. That's prob... that's frequently true with me, but I just can't understand the thinking that's going behind this. You want them to have the food, make sure you don't hurt their life, but God forbid, don't let anyone pay for it. So, I just... I don't know. Someone on this side of the aisle is saying, 'Well, maybe the Sponsor can explain that.' I don't think... I think it's inexplicable. I think you have a good Bill here. Is there gonna be some cost involved? Yes, there is. You know what? We're gonna keep a person alive there's gonna be some cost. I stand with the... those of us who want to keep that person alive."

Flowers: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Flowers to close."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Again, this Bill came from our State Department of Insurance and they're asking us to address a problem in which we asked them to look at. And we're merely asking for the insurance companies to pay for the supplemental nutrition that patients need to stay alive. For those who may have had a stomach operation, they cannot eat any type of solid food. The nutritional supplement is what is needed. I would please ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open.

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 60 voting 'yes' and 52 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 7 of the Calendar is House Bill 956. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 956, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, on page 11 of the Calendar, Representative Daniels has House Bill 1447. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1447, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. And also Representative Daniels has, on page 15 of the Calendar, House Bill 2512. So, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2512, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 6 of the Calendar...

 Representative Saviano has House Bill 870 on page 25 of the

 Calendar. Okay, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 870, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Saviano."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 870 is a single quick-take issue for the County of Dewitt. This was brought to me by the Illinois County Engineers Association. The purpose of these various quick-takes for Dewitt County are for road improvements throughout the county. And the county followed all the… all the requirements for quick-take prior to us bringing this matter to the floor. And I would ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Hannig: "Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? There... there being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 61 voting 'yes' and 52 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 28 of the Calendar, under the Order of House Bills-Third Reading, is House Bill 3520. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill. It's House Bill 3420, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3420, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Delgado."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Delgado: "Thank you, Mr... Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Actually, I'm carrying this Bill as the hyphenated chief cosponsor for Representative McKeon, who remains in my thoughts as I know he is resting at home, as I know all of us are thinking the same way. 3420 will do the following... amends provisions of the AIDS Confidentiality Act prohibiting the disclosure of the identity of a test subject or the results of a test in a manner that permits identification of the test subject with exceptions: adds an exception permitting disclosure to local health authorities serving a population of over 1 million residents or other local health authorities designated by the Department of... of Public Health. Provides that a local health authority shall not disclose information and records held by it relating to known or suspected cases of AIDS or HIV inspec... infection. Amends the AIDS Registry Act, changes the short title of the Act to the HIV/AIDS Registry Act. Changes the names of the AIDS Registry Act and makes the reference accordingly. And I would ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Representative Howard. Representative Howard, we have on page 6 of the Calendar House Bill 904. Representative Howard. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 904, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Howard, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Howard."

Howard: "Thank you for you indulgence. Okay. I would like to be able to table... am I able to table Amendment #3?"

Speaker Hannig: "I'm sorry, which Amendment?"

Howard: "Am I able to..."

Speaker Hannig: "We're on..."

Howard: "Oh, I'll be working on Amendment #2. Okay. Amendment #2 just asks that rather than we limit our scope to hepatitis C, that we pay attention to all forms of hepatitis, including A, B, C, D, and E. I ask for you favorable consideration."

Speaker Hannig: "Is there any... is there any discussion? Then all in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'.

The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions filed."

Speaker Hannig: "Do you wish to move this to Third,

Representative? Or are you waiting for additional..."

Howard: "I would like to move it to Third. Thank you."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. Third Reading. On page 22 of the Calendar, Representative Beiser has House Bill 3770. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3770 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments.

 All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Brady has, on page 28 of the Calendar, House Bill 3515. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3515, a Bill for an Act concerning drug courts. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Brady."
- Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3515 is an initiative of the task force that worked on the methamphetamine issue in the State of Illinois. This is one of the pieces of legislation proposed out of that particular task force meeting of the drug courts. Obviously, this would be something that would be subject throughout to appropriation but is an initial step taken towards the procedure of drug courts in the State of Illinois trying to address this problem. And I'll be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. In response, Representative Monique Davis."
- Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just have a couple of questions."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Sponsor will yield."

35th Legislative Day

- Davis, M.: "Representative, can you tell me why you wanna educate the judges on only one drug? Why would you want to educate them on the possession of only methamphetamines?"
- Brady: "Well, Representative, from hearings that were held throughout the state on this particular issue, the problem of methamphetamine in the opinions of most of those in the particular areas dealing with law enforcement, dealing with counseling and substance abuse, found this to be one of the fastest growing, if not the fastest growing, problem in the State of Illinois. One of the areas out of the task force that was identified was training for not only our... specialized training for not only the judiciary, but also for the prosecutors in the state. This happens to be the particular piece of legislation dealing with that initiative of training for judges, specifically for methamphetamine."
- Davis, M.: "What kind of training exactly would they receive?

 I mean, exactly... if I were a judge and you're gonna assume

 I don't know very much about this particular drug, what
 kind of training would I receive?"
- Brady: "Well, the training would be set up by those who have special knowledge not only from the law enforcement side, but also, Representative, from the side of what this particular drug is. So, that would be substance abuse, that would be those in the toxicology area and understanding what this particular drug is all about. To help to identify to the judiciary what this substance actually is, how the substance affects the body, what it

35th Legislative Day

- does, and how it can be combated in this state. To give them special knowledge to have better understanding of the... the particular drug from the bench."
- Davis, M.: "So, let me ask you this. Should they treat the methamphetamine users... should the judge... now, this is important. Should the judge treat the methamphetamine user differently than the cocaine or crack user?"
- Brady: "I don't know that the intent of the legislation is to treat anyone different. It's to help educate specifically the judiciary of a particular drug that's been identified by hearings throughout the state of being a drug that is the number one problem in the State of Illinois right now. I don't think it's singling out any special treatment, per se. We're trying to help educate the judiciary a specific drug in this state that is a new phenomena and that they need to be aware, and that's what the task force... one of the findings were."
- Davis, M.: "So, we must assume then that judges are not cognizant of the effects this judge ha... this drug has on people?"
- Brady: "Well, I think the whole purpose of education is not to assume anything. The purpose of the education is to educate the judiciary in what was identified as a problem throughout the state, a problem that in certain areas especially in this state is in the courtroom and in front of the judiciary with very little training, very little understanding of what the drug does, how it affects the body, and all types of particular areas that are important

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

for the judiciary to understand. And... and I think that's what the attempt of the legislation is as well as a similar Bill I'm gonna have to do the same for prosecutors. It's a very unique situation of how this drug has grown and how this drug..."

Davis, M.: "Can I ask you then..."

Brady: "...affects the Body."

Davis, M.: "Could you add crack cocaine to this piece of information that you want judges to be aware of? You know, maybe... maybe they need a lot of information on crack cocaine also because that's a jud... that's a drug that was destroying lives and continues to do so. I think those who are on crack cocaine suffer the same ravages in their body and their mental capacity as the methamphetamine drugs do. And I really believe that you should add the crack cocaine to the knowledge base of the judges and the prosecutors who will be making decisions about those involved in these two plagues on our society."

Brady: "Representative, what I will be glad to do is look to see if there's something that could be done in the Senate with this particular piece of legislation were to move over. Identifying not only what the particular protocol, criteria would be for the education for judiciary and whether or not expanding of this particular piece of legislation for other drugs may be possible. I'll be happy to do that. I cannot give you that hundred percent guarantee, but I'll certainly look into doing it."

Davis, M.: "But you..."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Davis, your time has expired.

Could you bring your remarks to a close please?"

Davis, M.: "Yes. Thank you. You will attempt to have someone in the Senate add the crack cocaine? Because as you know, this is a drug that plagued the inner city and all we did was pass mandatory sentencing."

Brady: "Mm hmm. I... I will be most happy to look at that and get back to you. How's that?"

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you."

Brady: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "There seems to be enough interest in the Bill to put it on the Order of Standard Debate. So, Representative Fritchey is recognized for five minutes."

Fritchey: "Thank you, Speaker. And with all deference to the prior speaker, I rise in strong support of this legislation. Ladies and Gentlemen, last year I had the opportunity to go down to Edgar County, to go down and talk to them about farm issues, or so I thought. And I went to talk to an agricultural supply business down there and the first issue that they raised with me was the issues that meth was creating for them by having chemicals stolen. There is a difference between meth use and crack cocaine or cocaine or marijuana. The building that houses the crack dealer does not become a HAZMAT site as does that that houses the crystal meth dealer. Crystal meth is becoming a devastating problem to this state. It is now starting to show its head in the City of Chicago. For those Chicago Legislators that think that this is a downstate issue,

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

you're wrong. It is an Illinois issue, it is quickly becoming an urban issue. We have had some recent tragedies on the north side of Chicago, we are going to see more of them. The judges do not have the decades of experience in dealing with meth situations and the devastation created that they've seen with cocaine and heroin and other drugs. We need to give our prosecutors, we need to give our judges a better set of tools to meet with a worse kind of drug. This is one of those tools and I applaud the Sponsor. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Graham."

Graham: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the Gentleman's legislation. I would also like to see this Bill possibly expanded. Meth is a issue and we know that it is spreading across the State of Illinois. But I'd also like to see the drug courts in Cook County be funded so that there is an alternative, so we're not locking up all the people who are addicted to various substance. If the drug courts can provide an outlet and treatment programs for people who are addicted to various substances, I'd like to see this Bill expanded to talk about other substances, not just methamphetamine. So, I rise in support of the Gentleman's piece of legislation and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Brady is recognized to close."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I simply ask for your support of what is a growing epidemic in this state. And this is an attempt to

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

help educate those who... who need and are asking for special education and training. And I look forward to working with the other Representatives to explore other avenues legislatively wise. I ask for your 'yes' vote. Thank you."

- Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Representative Collins, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 11 of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills-Second Reading, Representative Chapa LaVia has House Bill 1525. Do you want us to read that Bill? Okay. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Colvin has, on page 22 of the Calendar, House Bill 3823. Do you wish us to read that Bill? Okay. Out of the record at the request of the Representative Delgado. On page 21 of Sponsor. Calendar, Representative Delgado has House Bill 3678. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3678, a Bill for an Act concerning schools. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative D'Amico has, on page 12 of the Calendar, House Bill 1555. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1555, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation, has been read a second time, previously.

 No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative D'Amico, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative D'Amico."
- D'Amico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What House Bill 1555 does is it reduce... it gives the municipalities a chance to reduce the speed limits around parks where children are present.

 I'll be free to... and then what the Amendment does is it gives them... gives the police officers the right to use a radar gun also to enforce the law."
- Speaker Hannig: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Floor Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? Then all in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Dunkin has, on page 27 of the Calendar, House Bill 2598. Do you wish us to read that Bill, Mr. Dunkin? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2598, a Bill for an Act concerning child support. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Dunkin."
- Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of this distinguished Body. House Bill 2598 simply... it allows individuals who are a part... who have been called up for military duty to have their, for 30 days or more and they're seeing active combat, to have their child support

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

reduced and adjusted accordingly. I think a couple days ago, myself and a couple colleagues had some specific questions as relates to this Bill. This is actually... some of the questions that were... that were asked, it actually is already in the statute. So, I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate.

 Representative Mulligan is recognized in response.

 Representative Mulligan, do you... do you wish to speak?"
- Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."
- Mulligan: "Representative Dunkin, although you're one of my favorite people, could you tell me why this is any different today than it was whenever you tried to pass it before, which seems like a long time ago now?"
- Dunkin: "It does seem long, Representative. Well, the difference is we... I... we ended up getting to..."
- Mulligan: "You're just hopeful that it will pass this time. Is that..."
- Dunkin: "No, I hope I answered your questions, yours and Representative Lindner, as relates to a hearing for an individual to have it reduced or adjusted, however way it goes, up or down. And it's actually in the statute and I believe, that you and Representative Lindner have a copy of it already."
- Mulligan: "What Representative Lindner handed me was that Public Aid wasn't in agreement with this."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Dunkin: "Correct. And what that does simply is, if any one of us, as civilian, wants to make an adjustment in child support, either with more or less child support, you have to have a hearing first. And as relates to this piece of legislation, whether you're in the military or not, what this would do is it also will allow you to have a hearing to make a lower adjustment or a higher adjustment. So actually, I think your questions should be answered with this."

Mulligan: "What Amendment did you add that made that possible?"

Dunkin: "You know what? There was no need to, after further investigation, Representative, to make an adjust... an Amendment because it's already in the law. Hopefully, ya know, you can take the time and look at the legislation... the law that we passed out. It should bring some clarification."

Mulligan: "So why... but why do you need the Bill if they already can do this? Are you just trying to recognize this as a hardship condition? Which then, I think the Bill should've been drafted differently. And the other issue that was of concern to me is when the service person who has the obligation for the child support returns, what does the custodial spouse have to do to get the order reinstated? If they have to go to court and spend money, I don't think that would be fair."

Dunkin: "No. That's... what... when they return back to their place of employment... You know, right here in this Body we passed a law that says just because an individual is seeing

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

active duty they cannot lose their job or their employer can't fire them."

Mulligan: "My..."

Dunkin: "And so, they're... so essentially, Representative, this

Bill would... this... what would happen is they'll be
readjusted. They'll be readjusted to... to what they were
paying prior to."

Mulligan: "Representative Dunkin, I know you have a very good heart in this whole matter, but I still have the same reservations about it that I did the other day. And I think a lawyer advocate brought to the issue as opposed to a serviceman bringing you this issue... how many servicemen or women have brought you this issue that they feel that they need their child support reduced?"

Dunkin: "You know, Representative, really... you know, in regards..."

Mulligan: "Do you want to say 'none' but you just don't know how to say that or...?"

Dunkin: "No. What I want to say is, Representative, ya know, this is... I happen to believe this is a pretty good... it's a good... pretty decent law in terms of taking consi... in consideration that we do have military personnel who served 30 days or more and may be paying a particular rate of child support, which is... is a great indication to me that they really want to see to it that their children are provided for because that's why they're paying the child support in the first place, usually that's the case. And we're simply saying if they're serving 30 days or more over

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

in Iraq or Afghanistan or God knows where else that... you know, we..."

Mulligan: "So they're not spending the... the lesser money they're making they're not spending it anyways so there should be no problem with them contributing it to the well-being of their children."

Dunkin: "You know, it's getting a little noisy here."

Mulligan: "Have you rethought this perhaps and you just don't...
aren't sure what you should do about it? Representative, I
still have the same concerns that I had the other day,
quite frankly. And you did not respond if any servicemen
brought this Bill to you. And I don't know if it's... if you
want it to be automatic. Do you want it to be automatic
that it should be reduced? Because I don't think some
people need it to be automatic. I think it should be the
same way the law currently is, you have to petition, and
the court would take a look at it and de..."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Mulligan, your time has expired. Would you bring your remarks to a close, please?"

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Although Representative Dunkin is a very charming individual that is trying very hard to pass this Bill, I still think there's a major problem with it and I think that you can do what you want currently under the law. I think you can get reduction if you need it to be and I don't think this should be an automatic."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "There's enough interest, Representative
Dunkin, to put this on Standard Debate. And so, the Chair
will recognize now Representative Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Bost: "As I read this... and as a veteran, ya know, I feel like we're pittin' kinda two positive groups against each other here. Representative, why is it that the person that has that reduction in pay can't just go to the courts or... they can go to the courts right now, is that correct?"

Dunkin: "Mm hmm."

Bost: "Why wouldn't we just want to leave the wa... the law the way it is? Because... because, ya know, the judges have dealt on a one-on-one basis with these individuals before."

Dunkin: "You know, it... it's actually... it's... they can do that.

They definitely can do that but it's not current law as it relates for military."

Bost: "No. No."

Dunkin: "I'm sorry."

Bost: "That's not true."

Dunkin: "It's a current practice, it's not the law. They tend to do it anyway."

Bost: "Right. That... right now, if... if you're any other job where all of a sudden you have a reduction in pay, you can actually go to the courts and request to... to be reviewed and then have that child support reduced. Now, we're saying because this person's on military we're gonna turn that over to somebody besides the judge and we're just

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

automatically going to reduce it without allowing the judge the opportunity... who knows the case and knows the situation and can look over the evidence of... of why the child support is being paid the way it is, and then we're gonna reduce the amount of child support for these children."

Dunkin: "Ya know, Representative..."

Bost: "Without the court."

Dunkin: "I just wanna... I just wanna make some clarification. It's not an automatic. I mean, they have to apply or they have to go through a hearing, the military personnel... hopefully they can, if they're not... unless they're called to be there within a very short period of time and the... and there's a short window there. If the President says, look, we need you over in Iraq right now, obviously, going through a hearing is gonna be a bit of a challenge. But we're trying to do is... you know, for individuals who are serving 30 days or more, we're simply trying to make sure that there's no false arrearages for an individual who happens to be called for active duty, again, for 30 days or more, and them not being penalized just because they're over serving this country. And I think there was another quest... you know, hopefully that ans... answers your question."

Bost: "Well, it... kind of, but not really. And the reason why I'm saying this is, is because if we're going to go to the state making this ruling and not a judge, is that a violation of the Constitution and the separation of powers?

Maybe... maybe somebody who is more of a constitutional

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

expert could... could answer that. But... but I see a problem here."

Dunkin: "Yeah. All we're doing here, Representatives, is we're making this current law. I mean, it's current practice, we're just codifying this. They do it anyway. We're simply trying to make sure that there is no... no in the loophole or there's no gap or no one runs into a negative arrearage where they're... next thing you know... ya know, if they serve 2 years, they come back and they're woefully behind their child support payments because they were serving 30 days or more over in active duty over... ya know, at time of war, that they're not falsely, really penalized just for not having... just... just because their salaries for the month have been slightly adjusted."

Bost: "Okay, you're making my point exactly. All right? My point is that the judge already knows these things. The judge already has the power to reduce this. All that person... what the military has to do is contact someone on their behalf to petition the court to go in, to take and get a reduction, which is already in the... in the law. By putting this in the law, now all of the sudden, from what I'm understanding, you're... you're doing automatically. And... and you're doing through a state agency. Explain to me where I'm wrong. How does a judge... does this auto... we're trying to tell a judge automatically that he has to change this. Or am I wrong?"

Dunkin: "Okay. All we're doing is we're codifying the law because... and it's current practice right now. I mean,

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

we're saying... we're pretty much saying the same thing. It's current practice right now. Now... but let's say that there's a new department head in Illinois Department of Public Aid and it's not current practice there. What we're simply trying to do with this piece of legislation is to see to it that we do not leave anyone, male or female, who's serving this country overseas the benefit of the doubt in terms of them having their military pay reflect their child support payment."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Bost, your... your time is expired. And Representative Dunkin, you can finish answering the question."

Dunkin: "Thank you. So, again, all this Bill does... simply, it's not to harm any children. It's not to make a dramatic financial change intentionally to negatively have a... have a not... a not so good impact on children. This legislation simply codifies the ability for an individual serving in the military, active duty, to adjust their child support payment according to their new payment... monthly payment. And it happens to be in the military. And I... I think, you know, that's the least that we can do for individuals who are serving this country, who have been obviously taking... trying to take care of their kids with a particular amount of child support payment. And I think it's a... it's a good measure and it does not leave anyone vulnerable. As a matter of fact, just to... ya know, actually, in... couple days ago there was..."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Dunkin, I asked you to finish answering the question, but I think you've gone a bit beyond that. So why don't we allow some other speakers to... to ask some questions. The debate will continue. So, we've had two now speak in response. Representative Lindner, do you rise in support or in response? Surprise me."

Lindner: "I rise with some questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hannig: "Okay."

Lindner: "All right. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Lindner: "Thank you. You are say... is everything that's in your Bill the current practice of Public Aid right now?"

Dunkin: "It's prac... yes. They practice it right now. It's not... it's not law."

Lindner: "All right. But do they do this... now do they have this form and everything that somebody fills out in the military and... and notify military personnel and send them the forms?"

Dunkin: "Correct. That's what the Bill would do."

Lindner: "They already do this?"

Dunkin: "Correct."

Lindner: "Okay. And do you know what is the process, how many hearings have they had?"

Dunkin: "I mean, I would imagine it's the same as any civilian going through a child support adjustment hearing."

Lindner: "Well, I..."

Dunkin: "Probably... maybe one."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Lindner: "Do you... do you know..."

Dunkin: "Two."

Lindner: "...or are you just imagining?"

Dunkin: "Ya know, Representative, it's a..."

Lindner: "You have a good imagination but do you know?"

Dunkin: "It's really... all... all we're doing with this is we're simply codifying any adjustment to award child support payment for... and this just happened to be for individuals who are serving the country overseas, such as Iraq, such as Afghanistan. So... so, they do it already. We're simply trying to put it into law and really make it stand for something as teeth."

Lindner: "Okay. Vis-à-vis the questions that Representative
Bost asked, Public Aid is going before a judge, right?
They're going before a regular court. They're aren't doing
this administratively. It's the regular court process?"

Dunkin: "It's an administrative process our... with the Department of Public Aid."

Lindner: "Okay, so they aren't going before a judge. They aren't going before a judge."

Dunkin: "The Federal Law requires they have administrative hearings. So what the state is doing is actually doing what the federal... codifying what the Federal Law is doing, quite frankly. So, I guess... you know, the concern is... is... ya know, if there's a concern that children will be somehow be neglected, that's not the case with this here. I mean, no one wants to be a part of neglecting any kids, especially when there's individuals who are already paying

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

child support. This is a slight codification and its adjusting the military pay in their... their child support payment."

Lindner: "All right. If... if this is already Federal Law and Public Aid is already doing this, why do we need this? Why do we need your Bill?"

Dunkin: "What we're doing is... we need this law because if there's a change in executive leadership in the Department of Public Aid or in the Governor's Office, this may not be standard practice. And so, what we want to do is to codify it so... but their... so..."

Lindner: "But if it's a Federal Law I would think that whoever comes into the Department of Public Aid is going to follow it."

Dunkin: "You know, the federal... this is Federal Law, really.

The federal... so the Federal Law already protects children from being neglected as it relates to child support payment. And all we're doing is coming into compliance with that, more or less."

Lindner: "Do you have any idea in the administrative hearings that they've had how many times the respondent shows up and if there is a full hearing pursuant to the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution Statutes and the criteria that are in those statutes?"

Dunkin: "Is that a question?"

Lindner: "Yes."

Dunkin: "I can't hear you."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Lindner: "In these hearings, even though they are administrative hearings, do they have to follow the criteria that's set out for a modification of support that is in the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution Act?"

Dunkin: "Yes. According to the statute that I... I handed you... that was handed to you, yes."

Lindner: "All right. Thank..."

Dunkin: "The Bill sa... the Bill says you have to comply with the administrative hearing."

Lindner: "All right. Thank you, Representative."

Dunkin: "All right."

Speaker Hannig: "We've now had three speak in response. The rules of Standard Debate would provide that two additional speakers could speak in favor of the Bill. Does anyone rise in favor? Representative Stephens, would you like to say a good word about the Bill?"

Stephens: "Well, inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Hannig: "Yeah, state your inquiry. State your inquiry,

Repre..."

Stephens: "If I understand the rule about... of debate, you can stand in favor or you can stand opposed, Representative Lindner stood to ask a question. So, hers doesn't count?"

Speaker Hannig: "No, she counts as in response."

Stephens: "Now as a question."

Speaker Hannig: "You can be in favor or you can be in response."

Stephens: "All right. So I..."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "You have to be somewhere, you just can't speak."
- Stephens: "If I said I was in favor and then spoke against the Bill, would that count against who?"
- Speaker Hannig: "Probably have to reprimand you, Representative."
- Stephens: "After my remarks or before? I'm... I'm opposed to the Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Well, Representative, in fairness, we've already had three speak against the Bill. So..."
- Stephens: "I'm for the Bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Hannig: "Does anyone wish to speak in favor of the Bill? Representative Hamos. Representative Collins, do you wish to speak in favor?"
- Collins: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to speak in response to the Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "We've already... No, Representative Collins, we've already had the three that are allowed by the rules speak in response. In fact, everyone speak in... in response, so... Representative Dunkin is recognized to close."
- Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill... House Bill 2598 is a Bill that simply codifies an individual who serves 30 days or more over in the military and it sees to it that their bill... that their child support payments, when they shift from the civilian life into the military life, that they are not falsely penalized into an arrearage. And this Bill, also... whatever difference... and I

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

hope the Representatives... I hope they hear this here, the Representatives who spoke against the Bill, this Bill here. There is a... there is a homefront... Operation Homefront Fund already set up for an individual... for individual families where they may have a slight decrease in child support payments. I would ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "So the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 20 voting 'yes', 91 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. And the Bill fails. On page 21 of the Calendar, Representative Howard has House Bill 3650. Mr... Okay. Out of the record. Representative Stephens, for what reason do you rise?"

Stephens: "Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Hannig: "You can speak against the Bill now."

Stephens: "I'm not sure it's... And furthermore..."

Speaker Hannig: "Yes, Representative."

Stephens: "An inquiry of the Chair..."

Speaker Hannig: "Yes?"

Stephens: "...or whoever's in charge of these things.

Technically, you're only allowed the century award when you get a hundred votes. Does he get to keep the trophy?"

Speaker Hannig: "He gets to keep the trophy until one of us wins it away from him."

Stephens: "Could he have won it from himself?"

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "That's an interesting question. We'll have the parliamentarian research that. Representative Colvin, for what reason do you rise?"
- Colvin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I've been informed that there is a loose pit bull on the floor... on the House Floor. But upon further inspection, it's former State Representative Charles Morrow."
- Speaker Hannig: "Welcome back, Representative Morrow.

 Representative Giles, for what reason do you rise?"
- Giles: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The previous Legislator, by the name of Representative Ken Dunkin, I think he have a good heart. I think he's very true to the legislation that he's trying to legislate in this Body and I hope we just don't continue to hold anything ill against future legislation. I think he works hard at the legislation. And let's truly give him a fair shot at trying to pass good legislation for the people of the State of Illinois. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "Thank you, Representative Giles.

 Representative Winters, for what reason do you rise?"
- Winters: "Question of the Chair. If there, in fact, is a pit bull on the floor, have we passed that legislation for spay and neutering yet?"
- Speaker Hannig: "On page 15 of the Calendar, Repre...

 Representative Jones... Representative Lou Jones has House
 Bill 2480. Okay. Then let's take that out of the record.

 On page 8 of the Calendar, Representative Joyce has House
 Bill 1005. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 1005, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was approved in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Mautino has, on page 23 of the Calendar, House Bill 4025. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 4025, a Bill for an Act in relation to public employee benefits. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Representative Nekritz, you have on page 3 of the Calendar, House Bill 242. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 242, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Nekritz, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Nekritz. Representative Nekritz, your Amendment is... is up."
- Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment to House Bill 242 actually replaces the Bill. And this piece of legislation has to do with the distribution of motor fuel taxes among townships. Right now, the motor fuel taxes are allocated to the counties and then the counties allocate them to the townships on the basis of tax effort but you have to in a minimum. This would prorate the motor fuel taxes on the basis of the tax effort. And I..."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On... Representative Sacia has, on page 25 of the Calendar, House Bill 864. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 864, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Sacia."

Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You may recall that this Bill was called several weeks ago and Representative Fritcheys (sic-Fritchey) and Molaro had some concerns with and we took it out of the record and got together and discussed the Bill alleviated their concerns. In essence, what it does is it makes aggravated battery to a peace officer a Class X felony as occlosed... as opposed to a Class II felony. I would point out that the Cook County State's Attorneys Office remains in opposition to the Bill. We could not come to an agreement. My argument is simply that Chicago has a different standard than much of the rest of the State of Illinois and a Class X felony, when somebody brings great bodily harm to a police officer, is a very legitimate punishment for a person involved in that situation. I would be pleased to answer questions."

35th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hannig: "Okay. This is on Short Debate, but there's enough interest to already move it to Standard Debate. So, Representative Fritchey's recognized for 5 minutes."
- Fritchey: "Thank you, Speaker. To the Bill, and actually to the Sponsor as well. When he brought this Bill up, I believe it was last week, Representative Molaro and I had some sincere questions about the legislation. He was gracious enough to take the Bill out of the record and he met with Representative Molaro and myself, as well as his staff. We got our questions addressed. We were actually in error. This Bill is not only correctly crafted, it's an important piece of legislation that provides for appropriately stiff penalties for somebody that does commit great bodily harm to a peace officer. So I thank him for being a Gentleman. I thank him for bringing this Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "So, Representative Froehlich is recognized for 5 minutes."
- Froehlich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."
- Froehlich: "Yeah, Representative, do you have any idea what this would cost the taxpayers of Illinois in... either in a given year or over 10 years?"
- Sacia: "Honestly, Representative Froehlich, I did not see it as an increased cost. If you have something to the contrary, I... I would be more than happy to listen."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Froehlich: "Well, if... on any... any kind of legislation, whether it's you or me or anybody else, that increases penalties and increases terms of imprisonment..."

Sacia: "Okay."

Froehlich: "...at... at \$22 thousand or so a year per inmate, I think it... it's foreseeable, if this does what you think it might, that we're gonna incur some greater costs. I like to at least have a number so we can then decide is it worth it to spend 'x' million more dollars for this purpose that we then cannot spend on some other purposes 'cause we won't have it available."

Sacia: "I... I appreciate your comment, Representative Froehlich. I guess my answer would be in a crime such as this, when you bring great bodily harm to a law enforcement officer, I quess my answer would be from a personal standpoint, finances should not come into play. A justifiable sentence to somebody that takes an eye out, puts a person in a wheelchair, breaks a neck, whatever the case happens to be, to only face a penalty of up to 7 years as opposed to up to 30 years, I really, personally, believe cost is not of the concern here. I would draw an analogy, if I might. just passed legislation out of this Body to spend, my recollection is, somewhere in the neighborhood of 5 or 6 million dollars to put more guards in this facility due to the tragic loss of a security guard. And I think we all stood in very strong support of that because we saw the need for the protection of not only our Capitol, but for this Body. And I think by going to a Class X felony, as

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

opposed to a... to a Class II felony, we send a strong message to the criminal that if you bring great bodily harm on a law enforcement officer you will suffer the penalty."

Froehlich: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Graham."

Graham: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Graham: "Representative Sac... Sacia, does this Bill take into account possibly someone who may have a mental illness that may attack a peace officer?"

Sacia: "Representative Graham, certainly... you know, a hearing would be held to determine the mental stability of an individual. All this is doing is it's simply taking the penalty phase, which is currently a Class II, up to a... a Class X. And... and certainly, if there was deemed appropriate that the person involved was mentally ill, that hearing would take place prior to any type of sentencing."

Graham: "So this piece of legislation does not remove any judge's discretion. So the judge would have an opportunity to rereiw... review the case and to determi... to determine whether this is an excessive crime or..."

Sacia: "Absolutely."

Graham: "...whether this is intentionally done. So, you're saying upon... after a proper review of a jury or whoever is looking at this, they will then determine whether this person knowingly committed bodily harm of a pe... poli... a peace officer and at that point they would, you know, then evaluate accordingly?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Sacia: "Absolutely, Representative Graham. All we're trying to do here is going... is to be able to go from 3 to 7 years. The judge being limited from 3 to 7 and going to 6 to 30, if he did bring or she did bring great bodily harm to a law enforcement officer. That's our objective."

Graham: "Thank you, Representative Sacia."

Sacia: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Molaro."

Molaro: "Thank you. I just wanna point out what Representative Fritchey said earlier. I see your lawyer right behind you and I just wanna point out that I had read the Bill and I thought you were wrong. And the lawyer told me that, respectfully, that I should reread it. And believe me, I reread around 4... I don't know, maybe for about 4, 6, 8 hours, 'cause I wanted to be able to call him up and tell him he was wrong and I was right. Unfortunately, he was right and I was wrong. And it's a good Bill and it reads correctly with the intention that you wanna do, and it's good intentions also. So, thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "And now the last speaker before we go to close will be Representative Washington. Representative Washington, did you wish to speak? Okay. The... does not wish to speak. So, Representative Sacia to close."

Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I ask for your 'aye' vote. And I wanna thank the Democratic party for trying to hire Kyle away from us after his debate with Representative Molaro, but we upped the ante and were able to keep him."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Younge, Yvetter Younge, would you like to be recorded? Representative Scully, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Molaro, you have on page 27 of the Calendar, House Bill 2611. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2611, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Molaro."

Molaro: "Yes, thank you. This is in... this happens in an unincorporated Cook County when they're gonna have annexation agreements between two towns. All it just says if there's... it's mostly in... in places that are up in Cook County that don't have a lot of population but there might be 20 or 30 homeowners that are in an unincorporated Cook County. And the two nearby towns enter into an annexation agreement that they just let the property owners know before they enter into the agreement but a lot of people in my district and the neighboring districts that don't know about these agreements until they're entered into. And this just gives them a notice. And there's no known opposition to... to this Bill."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 27, Representative Molaro, you also have House Bill 2613. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2613, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Molaro."

Molaro: "Thank you. This is somewhat similar. Whenever they're gonna do open space they wind up where there's... you have to file a petition to put it on the referendum. And a lot of times the... they'll go there and they'll question the signatures. All this says that within 5 business days that if the Clerk says that there's a petition, if someone's gonna go after it they would have a hearing within 30 days. So this way, these villages don't have to go through all the trouble of putting it on the ballot and then it's challenged. It's just an easier way to get it done and a quicker way to get it done. And... then after it's found to be valid then, of course, it goes on the ballot."

Speaker Hannig: "This is all... this is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Then the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye';

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Yarbrough, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Bill Mitchell, you have on page 24 of the Calendar House Bill 120. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill?"

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 120, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Mitchell."

Mitchell, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 120 increases the criminal penny... penalties to damage to farm equipment, removable items of agriculture production, and property to a Class A misdemeanor. This is identical... a nearly identical Bill to House 126, which passed the General Assembly last year 116 but didn't called in the State Senate."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. Does anyone stand in response? Representative Fritchey in response."

Fritchey: "And really, more just a conceptual question than an objection. We... we've got quite the patchwork of laws in this state now that we have heightened penalties, if you commit a certain crime near one type of an institution but some place else, if you commit a battery in a stadium but not some place else, if you commit a battery against one

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

type of individual but not somebody else. Now what we're doing is elevating farm equipment to the same level as school property or religious property. And I guess, I'm trying to get at... I guess, (a) where does it come from, and I guess, (b) where does it stop? Is this an important Bill to the agricultural community? You bet it is. But..."

Mitchell, B.: "Representative, I appreciate your question. Yes, it is. The genesis of the legislation was during harvesting season, which is a shortened period of time in the fall, farm trucks sit out in the field. In two separate instances in my legislative district, in McLean County and in Macon County, the farmers had their trucks out and we had a... an act of vandalism. They set the farm truck on fire. The farmer only has one truck and he cannot get the crops out of the field and, ya know, you have a rainy season. He's at some financial peril."

Fritchey: "And I... and I... and I understand that. And my problem isn't so much with this piece of legislation as much as the next inevitable piece of legislation, which is, ya know, if a vehicle gets vandalized that happens to be used for that individual's line of work, whatever it might be, it might be a construction worker that lives in Chicago and says..."

Mitchell, B.: "Sure."

Fritchey: "...if his pickup truck gets vandalized and he uses that in his means of employment then that should be a heightened penalty as opposed to just somebody's recreational vehicle. Do you... do you get where I'm going?"

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Mitchell, B.: "Yeah, I... I understand your... your point of view. The difference, in my opinion, with this legislation is that it recognizes that agriculture, whether you live in Macon County or Cook County, is certainly very important to the economy of the State of Illinois. About one out of four jobs in Illinois is dependent on agriculture. This is just the recognition of that fact. You're shaking your head and I..."

Fritchey: "And... and... and there is not a question in my mind as to the import of agriculture to this state. But ya know what? If you're a butcher, then being a butcher's important to the economy. If you're a baker, whatever it may be. And I... you know... and I guess, you know, if we were... if we were saying that vandalism to a vehicle used in somebody's occupation should be a heightened penalty, that's one thing. And... and again, and I realize I'm giving you questions you can't really answer. But when we start to do this by profession and say if you're damaging farm vehicle, it's a heightened penal... penalty. If you damage school equipment or religious equipment, it's a heightened penalty. But, ya know, we're gonna... we're gonna start to break this out. The next Bill will be for the construction trades, then maybe for the railroads, then maybe for whoever it might be. And we're just making this really, really complex."

Mitchell, B.: "It... it's rather hard for me to hear you,

Representative."

Fritchey: "I... I..."

35th Legislative Day

- Mitchell, B.: "And I apologize."
- Fritchey: "Ya know, that may be a blessing in disguise. I don't know. Just to... to summarize, we really run the risk, as well-intentioned as I know you are..."
- Mitchell, B.: "Thank you."
- Fritchey: "...and as important as the issue is, of having a patchwork of legislation where we create certain heightened penalties for vehicles. But let me ask you a question. Is this solely for farm equipment wherever it may be? If it's... if it's farm equipment that happens to be parked in a driveway or on... in the barn as opposed to left out in the field?"
- Mitchell, B.: "The answer to your question is 'yes', any movable items. In addition, and I forgot to talk about it during my..."
- Fritchey: "Well, here let me interrupt. Let..."
- Mitchell, B.: "...presentation. Let me finish, Representative."
- Fritchey: "No, let me interrupt you for one second. Let me tell you why."
- Mitchell, B.: "Let me answer your question. Let me answer your question."
- Fritchey: "I wanna get my quest... let me get... let get the next question in before my time runs out, then you can answer them all at once."
- Mitchell, B.: "Sure."
- Fritchey: "That's... that's the only reason why I'm interrupting you 'cause..."
- Mitchell, B.: "Oh, okay."

35th Legislative Day

- Fritchey: "...Spea... Speaker Hannig's a tough guy. I know he'll cut me off in a heartbeat."
- Mitchell, B.: "I know he will."
- Fritchey: "The question will be this. Would it apply to farm equipment... wherever it's left? Would it apply to farm equipment that no longer works? A broken down, abandoned trailer... tractor that's sittin' out there somewhere, somebody vandalizes it, technically it's farm equipment. So, you... you see where I'm going? My time's growing short. The Speaker's growing agitated. I'll wait for you answers. Thank you."
- Mitchell, B.: "It... it's... it's about farm equipment being used, Representative. And one of the points I failed to make during my opening comments were in addition to the importance of ag... farm economy to the State of Illinois is we've had in recent years the methamphetamine problem. And in terms of damage to farm equipment because of the spread of the insidious drug, methamphetamines."
- Speaker Hannig: "So, this is on the Order of Short Debate.

 We've now had one speak in favor, one speak in response.

 Representative Winters, we... maybe Representative Mitchell would like you to close for him. No. Okay.

 Representative Mitchell to close."
- Mitchell, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

35th Legislative Day

- all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 19 of the Calendar is House Bill 3457. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3457, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 20 of the Calendar is House Bill 3554. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3554, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. On page 20 of the Calendar is House Bill 3606. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 3606, a Bill for an Act concerning procur... procurement. Second Reading of this House Bill. This Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Ame... Floor Amendment #1 was approved by the House. All notes have been filed."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Is that correct Mr...

 Representative Winters? You wanted to move that to Third?

 Okay. On page 27 of the Calendar is House Bill 2374.

 Representative Lou Jones. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2374, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2374 is a Bill that was brought to me by a coalition of providers of family case management. And what the Bill does, it essentially codifies and strengthen the program that has been existence for more than 15 years in Illinois. As the Bill is amended, the Bill would provide that with appropriate funding it could save kids through the age of four. Right now, kids are saved through the age of one and moms are covered. Prior to 1993, these kids were covered but due to budget costs at that time they were taken out. I can ans... this measure has been worked on for quite some time and I wanna first thank people on both sides of the aisle for working me with... working with me to do this Bill and come up with a Bill that has no opposition at this time. And I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Hannig: "This is on the Order of Short Debate. And in response, Representative Parke is recognized."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Parke: "Representative, it is in our analysis that this Bill...
that currently the Department of Human Services spends \$44
million for far... family case management. But your Bill
could cost up to \$69 million, which is a third higher than
they already have allocated for family care management
already with the state. Do you have any problem or just...

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

or do you have funding source for this additional \$69 million that is gonna cost the State of Illinois?"

Jones: "No, I don't have a funding source. But I do know that that increase that you're talking about is... is depending on the availability of the funding."

Parke: "I'm sorry, what did you say?"

Jones: "I said the increase that you just talked about, from 44 to 69, it depends upon the availability of the funding.

And that also was worked out with the Department of Human Services."

Parke: "Thank you. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, again, we have an idea that has merit. I mean, we wanna help people that have problems in this state, but we can't afford the Lady's legislation. Now, you all can vote for this if you want, and I quess you will. I'm not going to. It's gonna cost approximately \$69 million, which we don't have. And we continue... I mean, the Gov... Governor, you're gonna have to veto this Bill if it gets on your desk 'cause there's no money for it. And we continue to raise expectations of the people that we're trying to help. And I don't blame anybody for trying to help their constituents, but this Bill is way too expensive. We can't afford it and I would respectfully ask the Body to vote 'no' or 'present' on this Bill. And hopefully, one day when the state is in a better financial position, we can expand these kinds of programs. But at this time, this state cannot afford this program."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Lou Jones to close."

35th Legislative Day

- Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And as you... as you stated earlier, it's... this here ca... case management now is costing \$44 million. That will continue. This will come into play suppor... like I told you, upon the availability of the funding. Illinois low birth-rate babies are one of the highest in the nation and case management has worked hard, real hard, to reduce the number of low birth-rate babies and also the... the help for mom... to have healthy moms so we do... we do have healthy babies. So, I'm asking for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Parke, you've spoken in debate.

 For what reason do you rise?"
- Parke: "For clarification. Thank you. The Lady has a good Bill. Amendment 3 makes it revenue neutral. It was not explained on my analysis correctly. I will support the Lady's Motion on the legislation. That was with... before it was amended. So, thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "Thank you, Representative. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, would you read the committees that will meet this evening."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Committee Announcements. Adoption Reform will meet in Room C-1. Judiciary-Criminal Law will meet in Room

35th Legislative Day

- D-1. The Revenue Committee will meet in Room 122-B immediately following Session."
- Speaker Hannig: "If I could have your attention. Before we adjourn, the Chair would want to inform everyone that the Rules Committee will meet this evening before the Rules Committee goes home and sends some Amendments to committees. So, if you have Amendments that are pending in the Rules Committee, there's a likelihood that those committees will be scheduled for 8:30 tomorrow. So you may want to come in and check the schedule about 8:30 tomorrow, you may have a Bill... an Amendment in a committee that you would to... to move forward on. So, I just wanna give everyone the... the heads up that we're gonna move some Amendments in the Rules Committee today. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Agreed Resolutions?"
- Clerk Mahoney: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 252, offered by Representative Lang. House Resolution 264, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 266, offered by Representative Parke. House Resolution 267, offered by Representative Parke. House Resolution 268, offered by Representative Black. House Resolution 269, offered by Representative Black. House Resolution 271, offered by Representative Flider. House Resolution 272, offered by Representative Rose. And House Joint Resolution 35, offered by Representative Schock."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Turner moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

adopted. Are there any announcements? Then allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Representative Turner moves that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow, Friday, April 8, at the hour of 9:30. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned."

Clerk Mahoney: "The House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction and Reading of Senate Bills-First Reading. Senate Bill 477, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 490, offered by Representative Reitz, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Senate Bill 509, offered by Representative Mathias, an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 513, offered by Representative Franks, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 514, offered by Representative Black, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Senate Bill 516, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning civil House Bill(sic-Senate Bill) 517, offered by Representative Froehlich, a Bill for an Act concerning 528, offered mothers. Senate Bill nursing by Representative Sacia, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 529, offered by Representative Feigenholtz, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 426, offered by Representative Schock, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Senate Bill 445, offered by Representative Froelich, a Bill for an Act concerning Social Security numbers. Senate Bill 459, offered by

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 460, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning estates. Senate Bill 463, offered by Representative Black, a Bill for an Act regarding education. Senate Bill 468, offered Representative Holbrook, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 469, offered by Representative Froehlich, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 471, offered by Representative Moffitt, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Referred to the House Committee on Rules is House Joint Resolution 34, offered by Verschoore. House Bills on the Order of Second Reading. These Bills will be read a second time and held on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 1405, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 1407, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 1408, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Bill 1409, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 1410, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 1411, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Bill 1412, a Bill for House an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 1413, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 1414, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 1415, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1416, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1417, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1418, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1419, a Bill for

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

an Act concerning employment. House Bill 1420, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 1421, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1422, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 1423, a Bill for an Act concerning games. House Bill 1424, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. House Bill 1600, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1601, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1602, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1604, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1605, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1606, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1607, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1608, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1609, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1610, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1611, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1612, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1613, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1614, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1615, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1616, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1617, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1618, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1619, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1620, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1621, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1622, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1623, a Bill for an

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Act concerning safety. House Bill 1624, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1625, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1626, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1627, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1629, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1630, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1631, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1632, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1634, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1635, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1636, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 1637, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 1638, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 1639, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 1640, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 1641, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1642, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1643, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1644, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1645, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1646, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1647, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1648, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1649, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1650, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1651, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1652, a Bill for an Act concerning

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

State Government. House Bill 1653, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1654, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1655, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1657, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1659, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1660, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1661, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 1662, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1663, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1664, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1665, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1666, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1667, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1668, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1669, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1670, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1671, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1672, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1673, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1674, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1675, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1676, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1677, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1678, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1679, a

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1680, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1681, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1682, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1683, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1684, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1685, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1686, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1687, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Bill 1688, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1689, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 1690, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1691, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1692, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1693, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1694, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1695, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1696, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1697, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1698, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1699, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1700, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1701, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1702, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1703, a Bill for an Act concerning local

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

government. House Bill 1704, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1705, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1706, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 1707, a Bill for an Act concerning local government... a Bill fro an Act concerning business. House Bill 1708, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1709, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1710, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1711, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1712, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1713, a Bill for an Act concerning technology. House Bill 1714, a Bill for an Act concerning technology. House Bill 1715, a Bill for an Act concerning technology. House Bill 1716, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans. House Bill 1717, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans. House Bill 1718, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans. House Bill 1719, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans. House Bill 1720, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans. House Bill 1721, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1721(sic-1722), a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1723, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1724, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1725, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1726, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1727, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1728, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1729, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1730, a Bill for an Act

176

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

concerning revenue. House Bill 731... 1731, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1732, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1733, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1734, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1735, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1370... 1736, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1737, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1738, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1739, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1740, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1741, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1742, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1743, a Bill for an Act House Bill 1744, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. concerning revenue. House Bill 1745, a Bill for an Act House Bill 1746, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. concerning revenue. House Bill 1747, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1748, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1750, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1751, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 1752, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 1753, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems. House Bill 1754, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. House Bill 1755, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems. House Bill 1756, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems. House Bill 1757, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems. House Bill 1758, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems. House Bill

35th Legislative Day

1759, a Bill	for	an	Act	conce	rning	housi	ng.	House	Bill
1760, a Bill	for	an	Act	conce	rning	housi	ng.	House	Bill
1761, a Bill	for	an	Act	conce	rning	housi	ng.	House	Bill
1762, a Bill	for	an	Act	conce	rning	housi	ng.	House	Bill
1763, a Bill	for	an	Act	conce	rning	housi	ng.	House	Bill
1764, a Bill	for	an	Act	conce	rning	housi	ng.	House	Bill
1765, a Bill	for	an	Act	conce	rning	housi	ng.	House	Bill
1766, a Bill	for	an	Act	conce	rning	housi	ng.	House	Bill
1767, a Bill	for a	n Ac	et co	ncerni	ng lo	cal go	vernm	ent. 1	House
Bill 1768, a	Bill	for	an	Act c	oncerr	ning lo	ocal g	governn	nent.
House Bill	1769,	a	Bil	l for	an	Act c	oncer	ning	local
government.	House	Bil	Ll 17	770, a	Bill	for an	n Act	conce	rning
local govern	ment.	Н	ouse	Bill	1771	, а В	ill :	for an	Act
concerning lo	ocal g	over	rnmer	nt. H	ouse	Bill 1	772,	a Bill	for
an Act concerning housing. House Bill 1773, a Bill for an									
an Act concer	ning :	hous	sing.	Hous	se Bil	1 1773	8, a B	Bill fo	or an
an Act concerning			_						
	ng hous	sing	г. Н	ouse B	ill 1	774, a	Bill	for a	n Act
Act concerning	ng hous	sing over	rnmer	ouse B	ill 1 ouse	774, a Bill 1	Bill 775,	for an	n Act for
Act concerning St	ng hous tate G erning	sing over Sta	rnmer ate	ouse B nt. H Govern	ouse ment.	774, a Bill 1 Hous	Bill 775, se Bi	for an a Bill ll 177	n Act for 76, a
Act concerning Stan Act conce	ng house tate Gerning Act o	sing over Sta	rnmer ate ernir	ouse B nt. H Govern ng Sta	ouse ment. te Go	774, a Bill 1 Hous vernme	Bill 775, se Bi nt.	for and a Bill ll 177 House	n Act for 6, a Bill
Act concerning Stan Act concerning Bill for an	ng house cate Gerning Act confor as	sing Sta Sta conce n Ac	rnmer ate ernir	ouse B nt. H Govern ng Sta oncerni	ouse ment. te Go	774, a Bill 1 Hous vernme ate Go	Bill 775, se Bi nt. vernm	for and a Bill 177 House lent.	n Act for 6, a Bill House
Act concerning Stan Act concerning Bill for an 1777, a Bill	ng house ate Gerning Act confor an Bill	sing Sta Sta conce n Ac	rnmer ate ernir ct co	ouse B nt. H Govern ng Sta oncerni	ouse ment. te Go .ng St	774, a Bill 1 Hous vernme ate Go ning S	Bill 775, se Bi nt. vernm tate	for an a Bill ll 177 House ent.	n Act for 6, a Bill House ment.
Act concerning Stan Act concerning Stan Act concerning Bill for an 1777, a Bill Bill 1778, a	ag house tate Gerning Act confor and Bill 1779,	Sta Sta Conce n Ac for a	rnmer ate ernir ct cc an Bill	ouse Bat. Honormand Standard Concernication Act concernication for	ouse ment. te Go .ng St oncerr	774, a Bill 1 House vernme ate Gooning S Act c	Bill 775, se Bi nt. vernm tate	for an a Bill ll 177 House ent. Govern	for for Bill House ment.
Act concerning Stan Act co	ag house ate Gerning Act of Bill 1779, House	Sta Sta Conce n Ac for a Bil	rnmer ate ernir ct cc an Bill	ouse Bat. H Governing Sta concerning Act concerning	ouse ment. te Go ng St oncerr an Bill	774, a Bill 1 House vernme ate Go ning S Act co for a	Bill 775, se Bi nt. vernm tate oncer	for an a Bill ll 177 House ent. Governming conce	for for Bill House ment. State
Act concerning Stan Act co	ag house House	Sta Sta Conce n Ac for a Bil	rnmer ate ernir ct cc an Bill ll 17	Gouse Bat. H Governing Sta concerning Act concernin	ouse ment. te Go ng St oncerr an Bill Bill	774, a Bill 1 House vernme ate Go ning S Act co for a	Bill 775, se Bi nt. vernm tate concer n Act	for an a Bill ll 177 House ent. Governming conce	for for Bill House ment. State rning
Act concerning Stan Act co	ag house House	State once n Accordan Bill Bill	rnmer ate ernir ct cc an Bill 11 11 11 11	Gouse Bat. H Governing Sta concerning Act concernin	ouse ment. te Go ng St oncerr an Bill Bill	774, a Bill 1 House vernme ate Go ning S Act co for a for an	Bill 775, se Bi nt. vernm tate concer n Act n Act	for an a Bill ll 177 House ent. Governing concern concern	for for Bill House ment. State rning rning
Act concerning Stan Act co	ag house House	State over State once on Accommoder a Bill Bill Bill	rnmer ate ernir at co an Bill 11 11 11 11 11 11	Gouse Bat. H Governing Sta concerning Act concerning Act conference 780, a 781, a 782, a	ouse ment. te Go ng St oncerr an Bill Bill Bill	774, a Bill 1 House vernme ate Go ning S Act c for a for a for a for a	Bill 775, se Bi nt. vernm tate concer n Act n Act n Act	for an a Bill 177 House ent. Govern ning concer con	for for left

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

House Bill 1786, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 1787, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 1788, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. House Bill 1789, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 1790, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. agriculture. House Bill 1791, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 1792, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 1793, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 1794, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 1795, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 1796, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 1797, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 1798, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 1799, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 1800, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 1801, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 1802, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 1803, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 1804, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture.

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1805, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 1806, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1807, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1808, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1809, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1810, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1811, a Bill for an Act concerning pensions. House

35th Legislative Day

Bill	1812	a	Bill	for	an	Δct	concerning	nengiong	House
Bill							concerning		House
							_	_	
Bill							concerning		House
Bill	1815,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1816,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1817,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1818,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1819,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1820,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1821,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1822,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1823,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1824,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1825,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1826,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1827,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1828,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1829,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1830,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1831,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1832,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1833,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1834,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	pensions.	House
Bill	1835,	a :	Bill f	or a	n A	ct c	oncerning he	ealth. Hous	e Bill
1836,	a Bil	.1	for ar	n Act	c co	ncer	ning State (Government.	House
Bill	1837,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	State Gover	nment.
House	e Bill	18	38, a	Bil	l f	or ar	n Act conce	rning public	aid.
House	Bill	18	39, a	Bil	l f	or ar	n Act conce	rning public	aid.

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

House Bill 1840, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1841, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1842, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1843, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1844, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 1845, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1846, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1847, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1848, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1849, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1850, a Bill for an Act House Bill 1851, a Bill for an Act concerning health. concerning regulation. House Bill 1852, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1853, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1854, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1855, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 1856, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1857, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 1858, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1859, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1860, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1861, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1862, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 1863, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1864, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 1865, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1866, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid.

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

House Bill 1867, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1868, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1869, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1870, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1871, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1872, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1873, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1874, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1875, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 1876, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1877, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1878, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1879, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 1880, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 1881, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 1882, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 1883, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 1884, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1885, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1886, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1887, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 1888, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1889, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 1890, a Bill for an Act concerning pubic health and safety. House Bill 1891, a Bill for an Act concerning public health and safety. House Bill 1892, a Bill for an Act concerning public health and safety. House Bill 1893, a Bill for an

35th Legislative Day

Act co	ncerning	civil	law.	House	Bill	1894,	a :	Bill	for	an
Act co	ncerning	civil	law.	House	Bill	1895,	a :	Bill	for	an
Act co	ncerning	civil	law.	House	Bill	1896,	a :	Bill	for	an
Act co	ncerning	civil	law.	House	Bill	1897,	a :	Bill	for	an
Act co	ncerning	civil	law.	House	Bill	1898,	a :	Bill	for	an
Act co	ncerning	civil	law.	House	Bill	1899,	a :	Bill	for	an
Act co	ncerning	civil	law.	House	Bill	1900,	a :	Bill	for	an
Act co	oncerning	biote	rrorism	n. Ho	use B	ill 19	01,	аВ	ill	for
an Act	concern	ing ci	vil lav	v. Ho	use B	ill 19	02,	а В:	ill	for
an Act	concern	ing ci	vil lav	v. Ho	use B	ill 19	03,	a B	ill	for
an Act	concern	ing ci	vil law	v. Ho	use B	ill 19	04,	a B:	ill	for
an Act	concern	ing ci	vil law	v. Ho	use B	ill 19	05,	a B	ill	for
an Act	concern	ning ci	vil law	v. Ho	use B	ill 19	06,	a B	i11	for
an Act	concern	ing ci	vil law	v. Ho	use B	ill 19	07,	a B	ill	for
an Act	concern	ing ci	vil lav	v. Ho	use B	ill 19	08,	a B	ill	for
an Act	concern	ing li	quor.	House	Bill	1909,	a :	Bill	for	an
Act co	ncerning	liquor	. Hou	se Bil	.1 191	0, a E	Bill	for	an	Act
concer	ning liq	quor.	House	Bill	1911,	a Bi	11	for	an	Act
concer	ning liq	quor.	House	Bill	1912,	a Bi	11	for	an	Act
concer	ning civ	il law	. Hous	se Bili	1 1913	8, a B	ill	for	an	Act
concer	ning civ	il law	. Hous	se Bili	1 1914	ł, a B	ill	for	an	Act
concer	ning civ	il law	. Hous	se Bill	1 1915	, а В	ill	for	an	Act
concer	ning civ	il law	. Hous	se Bill	1 1916	5, a B	ill	for	an	Act
concer	ning gam	ning.	House	Bill	1917,	a Bi	11	for	an	Act
concer	ning gam	ning.	House	Bill	1918,	a Bi	11	for	an	Act
concer	ning gam	ning.	House	Bill	1919,	a Bi	.11	for	an	Act
concer	ning gam	ning.	House	Bill	1921,	a Bi	11	for	an	Act
concer	ning gam	ning.	House	Bill	1922,	a Bi	11	for	an	Act

35th Legislative Day

concerning	gaming.	Hou	se	Вi	.11	19	923,	a	Ε	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	gaming.	Hou	se	Вi	.11	19	924,	a	Ι	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	gaming.	Hou	se	Вi	.11	19	925,	a	Ε	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1926	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1927	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1928	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation		Hous	se	Bil	1	1929	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1930	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1931	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1932	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1933	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	Hous	e	Bil	1	1934	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1935	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1936	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1937	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	•	Hous	e	Bil	1	1938	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1939	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1940	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1941	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	.]	Hous	se	Bil	1	1942	,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1943	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1944	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	regulation	. I	lous	е	Bil	1	1945	,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	Н	ouse	9 .	Bill	-	1946,		a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	Н	ouse	9	Bill		1947,		a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	Н	ouse	9 .	Bill	-	1948,		a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	Н	ouse	e :	Bill	-	1949,		a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	Н	ouse	9 :	Bill	-	1950,		a	Bill	for	an	Act

35th Legislative Day

concerning	education.	House	Bill	1951,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1952,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1953,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1954,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1955,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1956,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1957,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1958,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1959,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1960,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1961,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1962,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1963,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1964,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1965,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1966,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1967,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	1968,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1969,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1970,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1972,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1973,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1974,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1975,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1976,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1977,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1978,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1979,	a	Bill	for	an	Act

35th Legislative Day

concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1980,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1981,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1982,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1983,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1984,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1985,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1986,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1987,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	elections.	House	Bill	1988,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1989,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1990,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1991,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1992,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1993,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1994,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1995,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1996,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1997,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	government.	House	Bill	1998,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	2001,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	2003,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	2004,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	2005,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	2006,	а	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	2007,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	2008,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.	House	Bill	2009,	a	Bill	for	an	Act
concerning	education.								

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

"House Bill 2010, a Bill for an Act concerning Clerk Mahonev: education. House Bill 2011, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 2012, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2013, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2014, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2015, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2016, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2017, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 2019, a Bill for an Act concerning education. civil law. House Bill 2020, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2021, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2022, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2023, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2024, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2025, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 2026, a Bill for an Act concerning business. business. House Bill 2027, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2028, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2029, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2030, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2031, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2032, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2033, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2034, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2035, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2036, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2037, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2038, a Bill for an Act

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

concerning regulation. House Bill 2039, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2040, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2041, a Bill for an Act House Bill 2042, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. concerning aging. House Bill 2043, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2044, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2045, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2046, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2048, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2050, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. House Bill 2051, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. House Bill 2052, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. House Bill 2053, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. House Bill 2054, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. House Bill 2055, a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. House Bill 2056, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2057, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2058, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2059, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2060, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 2061, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2062, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2063, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2064, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2065, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2066, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law.

35th Legislative Day

House	Bill	2067,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2068,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2069,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2070,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2071,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2072,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2073,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2074,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2075,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	C	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2076,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2078,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2079,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2080,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	C	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2081,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2082,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2083,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2084,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	C	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2085,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2086,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2087,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2088,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	C	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2089,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2090,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2091,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2092,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	C	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2093,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	CC	oncerning	criminal	law.
House	Bill	2094,	а	Bill	fo	r a	n Ac	ct	concernir	ng civil	law.
House	Bill	2095,	а	Bill	fo	r a	n Ac	ct	concernir	ng civil	law.

35th Legislative Day

House	Bill	2096	,	a	Bil	ll	fo	r	an	Αc	ct	conc	ern	ing	court	s.
House	Bill	2097	,	a	Bi	11	fo	r	an	A	ct	conc	cern	ing	court	s.
House	Bill	2098	,	a	Bil	11	fo	r	an	Αc	ct	conc	ern	ing	court	s.
House	Bill	2099	,	a	Bil	11	fo	r	an	Αc	ct	conc	ern	ing	court	s.
House	Bill	2100	,	a	Bil	11	fo	r	an	Αc	ct	conc	ern	ing	court	s.
House	Bill	2101	,	a	Bil	11	fo	r	an	Αc	ct	conc	ern	ing	court	s.
House	Bill	2102,	a	Bi	.11	fo	r a	an	Act	С	onc	erni	.ng	emp]	oymen	t.
House	Bill	2103,	a	Bi	.11	fo	r a	an	Act	С	onc	erni	.ng	emp]	Loymen	t.
House	Bill	2104,	a	Bi	.11	fo	r a	an	Act	С	onc	erni	.ng	emp]	Loymen	t.
House	Bill	2105,	a	Bi	.11	fo	r a	an	Act	С	onc	erni	.ng	emp]	oymen	t.
House	Bill	2106,	a	Ві	i11	fc	r	an	Act		conc	cerni	ing	emp.	loymen	t.
House	Bill	2107,	a	Bi	.11	fo	r a	an	Act	С	onc	erni	.ng	emp]	oymen	t.
House	Bill	2108,	a	Bi	.11	fo	r a	an	Act	С	onc	erni	ng	emp]	oymen	t.
House	Bill	2109,	a	Bi	.11	fo	r a	an	Act	С	onc	erni	.ng	emp]	oymen	t.
House	Bill	2010	(si	_C-2	211	0),	a	E	Bill	f	or	an	Act	c co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Bi	11	211	1,	а	Bil	1	for	an	Act	c co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Bi	11	211	2,	а	Bil	1	for	an	Act	c co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Bi	11	211	.3,	а	Bil	1	for	an	Act	c co	ncerni	ng
labor.	. Но	ouse I	3il	1	211	4,	a	В	ill	f	or	an	Act	. co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Вi	11	211	5,	а	Bil	1	for	an	Act	c co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Bi	11	211	6,	а	Bil	1	for	an	Act	c co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Bi	11	211	7,	а	Bil	1	for	an	Act	c co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Bi	11	211	8,	а	Bil	1	for	an	Act	c co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Вi	11	211	19,	a	Bil	.1	for	an	Act	t co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Bi	11	212	20,	а	Bil	1	for	an	Act	c co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Bi	11	212	21,	а	Bil	1	for	an	Act	co:	ncerni	ng
labor.	. Но	ouse I	3il	1	212	22,	a	В	ill	f	or	an	Act	. co	ncerni	ng
employ	ment.	Hou	se	Вi	11	212	23,	a	Bil	.1	for	an	Act	t co	ncerni	.ng

35th Legislative Day

employ	ment.	House	е Ві	111	212	4,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	11	212	5,	а	Bill	Lfo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	111	212	6,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	111	212	7,	а	Bill	Lfo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	e Bi	ill	212	2128,		Bill	l fo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	11	212	9,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	111	213	0,	а	Bill	Lfo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	111	213	1,	а	Bill	Lfo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	Hous	е В:	i11	213	32,	a	Bil	l fo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	11	213	3,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	11	213	4,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
labor.	Но	ıse Bi	.11	21	35,	a	В	ill	for	an	Act	concerning
labor.	Но	ıse Bi	.11	21	36,	а	В	ill	for	an	Act	concerning
labor.	Но	use Bi	.11	21	38,	a	В	ill	for	an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	111	213	9,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	111	214	0,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	11	214	1,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	Hous	e B	ill	214	12,	a	Bil	l fo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	e Bi	11	214	3,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е Ві	11	214	4,	а	Bill	L for	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	e Bi	11	214	5,	а	Bill	Lfo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	е В:	i11	214	6,	a	Bill	l fo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	e Bi	11	214	7,	а	Bill	Lfo	r an	Act	concerning
employ	ment.	House	e Bi	11	214	8,	а	Bill	Lfo	r an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Вi	11	2149	9,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Вi	11	2150	Ο,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bi	11	215	1,	a	Bill	. for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Вi	11	2152	2,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning

35th Legislative Day

civil	law.	House	Bill	2153,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2154,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2155,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2156,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2157,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2158,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2159,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2160,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2161,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2162,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2163,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2164,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2165,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2166,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2167,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2168,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2169,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2170,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2171,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2172,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2173,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2174,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2175,	a	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2176,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2177,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2178,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2179,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning
civil	law.	House	Bill	2180,	а	Bill	for	an	Act	concerning

35th Legislative Day

civil law. House Bill 2181, a Bill for an Act concerning
civil law. House Bill 2182, a Bill for an Act concerning
civil law. House Bill 2183, a Bill for an Act concerning
civil law. House Bill 2184, a Bill for an Act concerning
civil law. House Bill 2185, a Bill for an Act concerning
civil law. House Bill 2186, a Bill for an Act concerning
medical malpractice. House Bill 2187, a Bill for an Act
concerning medical malpractice. House Bill 2188, a Bill
for an Act concerning medical malpractice. House Bill
2189, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2191, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2192, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2193, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2195, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2196, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2197, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2198, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2199, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2200, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2201, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2202, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2203, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2204, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2205, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2206, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2207, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2208, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2209, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

2210, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2211, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2212, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2213, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2215, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2216, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2218, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 2219, a Bill for an Act transportation. concerning transportation. House Bill 2220, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2221, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2222, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2223, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2224, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2225, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Bill 2226, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2227, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2228, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2229, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2230, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2231, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2232, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2233, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2234, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2235, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2236, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2237, a Bill for

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2238, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2239, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2240, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2241, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2243, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2245, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2246, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2247, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 2249, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2250, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2251, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2252, a Bill for an Act House Bill 2253, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2254, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2255, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. concerning courts. House Bill 2256, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2257, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2258, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2259, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2260, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2261, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2263, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2264, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2265, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2266, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2267, a Bill for an Act concerning State

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Government. House Bill 2268, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2269, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2270, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2271, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2272, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2273, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2274, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2275, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2276, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2277, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2278, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2279, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2280, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2281, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2282, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2283, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2284, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2285, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2286, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2287, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2288, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2289, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2290, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2291, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2292, a Bill for an Act concerning

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

State Government. House Bill 2293, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2294, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2295, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2296, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2297, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2298, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2299, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2300, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2300, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2301, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. And House Bill 2302, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. And House Bill 2302, a

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2303, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2304, a Bill for an Act House Bill 2305, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2306, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. concerning courts. House Bill 2307, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2308, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2309, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2310, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2311, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2312, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 2313, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2314, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2315, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 2316, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 2317, a Bill for an Act

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

concerning finance. House Bill 2318, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 2319, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 2320, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 2321, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2322, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2323, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2324, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2325, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2326, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 2327, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2328, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2329, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2331, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 2333, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 2714, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2715, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2716, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2717, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. House Bill 2718, a Bill for an Act concerning fish. House Bill 2719, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. House Bill 2720, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 2721, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2722, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2723, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2724, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2725, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2726, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Bill 2727, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. House Bill 2728, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2729, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2730, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2731, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 2732, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2733, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. House Bill 2734, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2735, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2736, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 2737, a Bill for an conservation. concerning animals. House Bill 2738, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2739, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2740, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2741, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2742, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2743, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2744, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2745, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation. House Bill 2746, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 2747, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2748, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 2749, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation. Bill 2750, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2751, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2752, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2753, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming.

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

House Bill 2754, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. House Bill 2755, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. House Bill 2756, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2757, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 2759, a Bill for an Act concerning warehouses. House Bill 2760, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2761, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 2762, a Bill for an Act State Government. House Bill 2763, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. concerning regulation. House Bill 2764, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2765, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2766, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2767, a Bill for an Act House Bill 2769, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2770, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. concerning regulation. House Bill 2771, a Bill for an Act House Bill 2772, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. concerning regulation. House Bill 2773, a Bill for an Act House Bill 2774, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. concerning regulation. House Bill 2775, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2776, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2777, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2778, a Bill for an Act House Bill 2779, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. concerning regulation. House Bill 2780, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2781, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2782, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2783, a Bill for an Act

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

concerning regulation. House Bill 2784, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2785, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2786, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2787, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2788, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2789, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2790, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2791, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2792, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2793, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2794, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2795, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 2796, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 2797, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2798, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2799, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 2800, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 2801, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 2802, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 2803, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 2804, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2805, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2806, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2807, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2808, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2809, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Bill 2810, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2811, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2812, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 2813, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 2814, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. safety. House Bill 2815, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2816, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems. House Bill 2817, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems. House Bill 2818, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2819, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2820, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Bill 2821, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2822, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2823, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2824, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2825, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 2826, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2827, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2828, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2829, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2830, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2831, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2832, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2833, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 2834, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 2835, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House

35th Legislative Day

Bill 2836, a Bill for an Act concerning regulat:	ion.	House
Bill 2837, a Bill for an Act concerning regulat:	ion.	House
Bill 2838, a Bill for an Act concerning regulat	ion.	House
Bill 2839, a Bill for an Act concerning regulat:	ion.	House
Bill 2840, a Bill for an Act concerning health.	House	e Bill
2841, a Bill for an Act concerning health.	House	Bill
2842, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor.	House	Bill
2843, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor.	House	Bill
2845, a Bill for an Act concerning safety.	House	Bill
2846, a Bill for an Act concerning business.	House	Bill
2847, a Bill for an Act concerning business.	House	Bill
2848, a Bill for an Act concerning safety.	House	Bill
2849, a Bill for an Act concerning business.	House	Bill
2850, a Bill for an Act concerning business.	House	Bill
2851, a Bill for an Act concerning business.	House	Bill
2852, a Bill for an Act concerning business.	House	Bill
2853, a Bill for an Act concerning business.	House	Bill
2854, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill
2855, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill
2856, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill
2857, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	e Bill
2858, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill
2859, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill
2860, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill
2861, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill
2862, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill
2863, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill
2864, a Bill for an Act concerning education.	House	Bill

35th Legislative Day

2865, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill
2866, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill
2867, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill
2868, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill
2869, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill
2870, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill
2871, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill
2872, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill
2873, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems. House
Bill 2874, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems.
House Bill 2875, a Bill for an Act concerning urban
problems. House Bill 2876, a Bill for an Act concerning
urban problems. House Bill 2877, a Bill for an Act
concerning housing. House Bill 2878, a Bill for an Act
concerning housing. House Bill 2879, a Bill for an Act
concerning housing. House Bill 2880, a Bill for an Act
concerning housing. House Bill 2881, a Bill for an Act
concerning housing. House Bill 2882, a Bill for an Act
concerning housing. House Bill 2883, a Bill for an Act
concerning housing. House Bill 2884, a Bill for an Act
concerning housing. House Bill 2885, a Bill for an Act
concerning State Government. House Bill 2886, a Bill for
an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2888, a
Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 2889, a
Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill
2891, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill
2893, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2894,
a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2895, a

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 2896, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 2897, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 2898, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 2899, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 2901, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Bill 2902, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2903, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2904, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2905, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2906, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2907, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2908, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2909, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2910, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2911, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2912, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2913, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2914, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2915, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2916, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2917, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2918, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2919, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2920, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2921, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2922, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

House Bill 2923, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2924, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2925, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2926, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2927, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2928, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2929, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 2930, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 2931, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 2932, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 2933, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 2934, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 2935, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2936, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 2937, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 2938, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 2939, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2940, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 2942, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2944, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2945, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2947, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2948, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 2949, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2950, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 2951, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 2952, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill

35th Legislative Day

2953, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2954, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2955, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2956, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2957, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2958, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2959, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2960, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2961, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2963, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2964, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2965, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill
2966, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill
2967, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill
2968, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill
2969, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill
2970, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2971, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2972, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill
2973, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill
2974, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2975, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill
2977, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House
Bill 2978, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House
Bill 2979, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law
House Bill 2980, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2981, a Bill for an Act concerning government
House Bill 2982, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.

35th Legislative Day

House Bill 2983, a Bill for an Act concerning business.
House Bill 2984, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2985, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2986, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2987, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2988, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2989, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2990, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid.
House Bill 2992, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2993, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid.
House Bill 2994, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2995, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2996, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2997, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.
House Bill 2998, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation.
House Bill 2999, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights.
House Bill 3000, a Bill for an Act concerning employment.
House Bill 3001, a Bill for an Act concerning employment.
House Bill 3002, a Bill for an Act concerning employment.
House Bill 3004, a Bill for an Act concerning employment.
House Bill 3005, a Bill for an Act concerning employment.
House Bill 3006, a Bill for an Act concerning employment.
House Bill 3007, a Bill for an Act concerning employment.
House Bill 3008, a Bill for an Act concerning employment.
House Bill 3009, a Bill for an Act concerning employment.
House Bill 3011, a Bill for an Act concerning government.
House Bill 3012, a Bill for an Act concerning State
Government. House Bill 3013, a Bill for an Act concerning

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

education. House Bill 3014, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3015, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3016, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3017, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3018, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3019, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3020, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. House Bill 3021, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3022, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. House Bill 3023, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3024, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3025, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3026, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3027, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3028, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3029, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3030, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 3031, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3032, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3034, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 3035, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 3036, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3037, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3038, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3039, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3041, a Bill for an Act House Bill 3042, a Bill for an Act concerning health. concerning State Government. House Bill 3043, a Bill for

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3044, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3045, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3046, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3047, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3049, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3050, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3051, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3052, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3053, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3054, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3055, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3056, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3057, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3058, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3059, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3060, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 3061, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3062, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3064, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3065, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3067, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3068, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3069, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3070, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3071, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3072, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3073, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. House Bill 3074, a

35th Legislative Day

Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	revenue.	House	Bill	3075,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	g revenue.	House	Bill	3077,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	g revenue.	House	Bill	3078,	а
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	revenue.	House	Bill	3079,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	g revenue.	House	Bill	3080,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	g revenue.	House	Bill	3081,	а
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	g revenue.	House	Bill	3082,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	g revenue.	House	Bill	3083,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	g revenue.	House	Bill	3084,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	g revenue.	House	Bill	3085,	а
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	revenue.	House	Bill	3086,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	g revenue.	House	Bill	3087,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	regulation.	House	Bill	3088,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	regulation.	House	Bill	3089,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3090,	а
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3091,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3093,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3094,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3096,	а
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3097,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3098,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3099,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3100,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3101,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3102,	а
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3103,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3104,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3105,	a

35th Legislative Day

Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3106,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3107,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3108,	а		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3109,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3110,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3111,	а		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3112,	а		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3113,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	finance.	House	Bill	3114,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	finance.	House	Bill	3115,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	finance.	House	Bill	3116,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3117,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3118,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3119,	a		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3120,	а		
Bill for an	Act concerning	education.	House	Bill	3121,	a		
Bill for ar	n Act concernin	ng transport	ation.	Нои	ıse Bi	11		
3122, a Bil	l for an Act c	oncerning fi	nance.	Нοι	ıse Bi	11		
3124, a Bil	l for an Act co	ncerning tra	nsporta	ation.	Hous	se		
Bill 3126,	a Bill for an	Act concern	ing ti	ranspo	rtatio	n.		
House Bill	3127, a B	ill for a	n Act	c co	ncerni	ng		
transportati	on. House Bi	.ll 3128, a	Bill	for	an A	ct		
concerning t	transportation.	House Bill	3129,	a Bil	l for	an		
Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3132, a Bill for								
an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3133, a								
Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill								
3134, a Bill	for an Act con	cerning State	e Gover	nment	. Hou	se		
Bill 3135, a	a Bill for an A	ct concernin	g loca	l gove	ernment	Ξ.		

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

3136, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3137, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 3138, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. aging. House Bill 3139, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. House Bill 3140, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3142, a Bill for an concerning airports. House Bill 3143, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3144, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3145, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3146, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3147, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3148, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Bill 3149, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3150, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 3151, a Bill for an Act transportation. concerning transportation. House Bill 3152, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3153, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3154, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3155, a Bill for an House Bill 3156, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3157, a Bill for an House Bill 3159, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3160, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3161, a Bill for an House Bill 3162, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3163, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3164, a Bill for an

35th Legislative Day

Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3165,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regui	lation.	House	Bill	3166,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3167,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3168,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3169,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3170,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concerr	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3171,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3172,	а	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3173,	а	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3175,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning gove	rnment.	House	Bill	3176,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concerr	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3177,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3178,	а	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3179,	а	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regui	lation.	House	Bill	3180,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3181,	а	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning regu	lation.	House	Bill	3182,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concern	ning gove	rnment.	House	Bill	3184,	a	Bill	for	an	
Act concerr	ning loca	l govern	ment.	Hous	e Bill	. 3	185,	a Bi	.11	
for an Act	concerni	ng local	govern	ment.	Hous	е	Bill	3186	, a	
Bill for a	n Act co	ncerning	local	gove	rnment	•	Hous	se B	ill	
3188, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House										
Bill 3189,	a Bill f	or an Ad	ct cond	cernin	ıg loca	al	gover	nmen	t.	
House Bill	3190,	a Bill	for a	an Ac	ct con	ce	rning	100	cal	
government. House Bill 3191, a Bill for an Act concerning										
local gove	rnment.	House 1	Bill 3	192,	a Bil	.1	for	an Z	Act	
concerning	local go	vernment	. Hou	se Bi	11 319	3,	a Bi	.11 f	or	
an Act con	ncerning	local go	vernme	nt.	House	В	ill 3	3194,	а	

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3195, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3196, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3197, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3198, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3199, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3200, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3201, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3202, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3203, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3203, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3206, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3207, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3208, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3209, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3210, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3211, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3212, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3213, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3214, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3214, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3216, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3218, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3219, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3220, a Bill for an Act concerning

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

House Bill 3221, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. regulation. House Bill 3222, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3223, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3224, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 3225, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. courts. House Bill 3226, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 3227, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 3228, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 3229, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 3230, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 3231, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 3232, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. regulation. House Bill 3233, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3234, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3235, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 3236, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3237, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3238, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3239, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. regulation. House Bill 3240, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 3241, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. regulation. House Bill 3242, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 3243, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3244, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. regulation. House Bill 3245, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3246, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3247, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 3248, a Bill for an Act concerning

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

House Bill 3249, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 3250, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. finance. House Bill 3251, a Bill for an Act concerning House Bill 3252, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. finance. House Bill 3253, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. House Bill 3254, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3255, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3256, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3257, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3259, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3260, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3261, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3262, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3263, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3264, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3265, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3266, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3267, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3268, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3269, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3270, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3271, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3274, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3275, a

35th Legislative Day

Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3276,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3277,	а
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3278,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3279,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3280,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3281,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	revenue.	House	Bill	3282,	а
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	revenue.	House	Bill	3283,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	revenue.	House	Bill	3284,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	revenue.	House	Bill	3285,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	revenue.	House	Bill	3286,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3287,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3288,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3289,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3290,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3291,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3292,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3293,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3294,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3295,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3296,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3297,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3298,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3299,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3300,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3301,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3328,	a
Bill	for	an	Act	concerning	education.	House	Bill	3330,	a

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 3332, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3334, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3335, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3336, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3340, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3342, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3344, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. House Bill 3400, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3401, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3402, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3403, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3404, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget House Bill 3405, a Bill for an Act implementation. concerning civil law. House Bill 3406, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3407, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3408, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3409, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3410, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3411, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3412, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3413, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3414, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3427, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 3637, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

Bill 3638, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. House Bill 3728, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3729, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3730, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. Bill 3731, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3732, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3753, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. House Bill 3754, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. House Bill 3830, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. House Bill 3880, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3881, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3882, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3883, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3884, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3885, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3886, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3887, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3888, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3889, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3890, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3891, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3892, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3893, a Bill

35th Legislative Day

4/7/2005

for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3894, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation. House Bill 3895, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3896, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3897, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3898, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3899, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3900, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3901, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3902, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3903, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3904, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 4060, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Second Reading of these House Bills that are held on the Order of House Bills-Second Reading. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."