212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. We ask the Members and our guests to turn off laptop computers, cell phones and pagers. We ask all to rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance, including the guests in the gallery. We shall be led in prayer today by Bishop James Austin of the St. Luke's Church of God in Christ in Chicago, Illinois. Bishop Austin is the guest of Representative Ken Dunkin."

Bishop Austin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let us pray. **`**A Mighty Fortress Is Our God, a bulwark never failing; helper He, amid the floods of mortal ills prevailing; but still our ancient foe doth seek to work us woe; His craft and power are great, and, filled with cruel hate, on earth is not His equal. If we, in our own strength confide, our striving would be losing; were not the right man on our side, the man of God's own choosing; dost ask who that might be? Christ Jesus, it is He; Lord Sabaoth, His name from, age to age the same, and He must win the battle.' Dear God, our Lord, our Savior and King, we come first acknowledging You as God, for there is none other. It is You who have made us and not we, ourselves. We are Your people and the sheep of Your pasture. So, we enter into Your gates with thanksgiving and into Your courts with praised. We thank You and bless Your name because You're so good. Your mercy is everlasting and Your truth endures to all generations. And Lord, we just wanna say thank You.

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

I thank You for the Governor of the State of Illinois and all the officers of our state. We thank You for the Speaker of this House, the Representatives and all that make this lawmaking Body work effectively. I pray for the health and security and spiritual renewal and overall welfare of these Your servants and I pray that You bless them, indeed, and enlarge their territory. Let Your hand with them and keep them from evil that disappointments and their bitter experiences may not grieve them or they offend You. Also, I come to Your throne representing the poor, the broke and the broken, captives and the bound and enslaved, the blind, the halt, the bruised, the crude, the crushed and all who have lost hope. I pray, I pray that in all their deliberations, this House will never lose focus of what is best for the lost, the least among us and the left behind, for the strength of any chain is the strength of each link. So, crown them with wisdom from on high, I pray, as they make decisions which impact millions of residents, laborers, visitors, tourists and travelers in this cherished State of Illinois. And when their tenure here is ended, let it be written of each of these Representatives that the actions they took in this House inspired the generations following to live, to love, to learn, to leave a living legacy. In the name of Jesus Christ, our Lord, we pray. Amen."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Hoffman, could you replicate the performance of the Bishop and lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance?"

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

- Hoffman et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Madigan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record reflect that there are no excused absences among House Democrats today."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bost."
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Art Tenhouse is excused today."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. There being 116 Members responding to the Attendance Roll Call, there is a quorum present. Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Committee Reports. Representative McAuliffe, Chairperson from the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on November 08, 2004, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' Senate Bill 1641. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules, to which the following legislative measure/s and/or Joint Action Motions was/were referred, action taken on November 08, 2004, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'approved for floor consideration' Senate Bill 520; 'referred to the Order of Second Reading'

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Amendment #1, offered by Representative Madigan, to Senate Bill 3367."

- Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, please give me your attention. If we could have your attention for just a moment, please. Ladies and Gentlemen, may I have your attention for the purpose of introducing a new Representative. Representative Capparelli has resigned from the House of Representatives as of Friday and the local committee has met and selected Representative John D'Amico as the replacement for Representative Capparelli. I give you new Representative John D'Amico."
- D'Amico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, it's an honor and a privilege to be here today. I have some big shoes to fill with Ralph Capparelli retiring. My uncle was also the State Representative in this district, so I'm gonna follow in their footsteps. And ya know, everybody told me when I came down here as a freshman that you get a small office, but the office that I'm in right now is just fine. So, I'll be happy to stay there if it's possible. So, thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "And Ladies and Gentlemen, let's recognize on his first return to the chamber since he left us. It's never been the same since you left, Bill, former Representative Bill Laurino. Representative McCarthy. Mr. McCarthy."
- McCarthy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to present House Resolution 1197, please."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCarthy."

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

McCarthy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If I could have your attention, please. little bit of turmoil right now over... especially over in my area of the House. But it is with great pride that I present House Resolution 1197 saluting Miss Chelsea Fahey, who is right to my right here, on being crowned Miss Teen America in the national competition held in Nashville this past July. The Miss Teen America Pageant is considered the most prestigious competition because of its emphasis on talent and academic accomplishments. During the interview portion of the pageant, Chelsea spoke of the importance of volunteerism. She certainly proves that her actions speak louder than words because Chelsea has volunteered more than a thousand hours at Palos Community Hospital. Chelsea is currently a senior at Mother Mcauley High School where she has a 3.9 grade point average. Chelsea lives in beautiful Orland Park, Illinois, with her mom and dad, Bill and Jane, and her brother, Adam. Her mom and dad, Bill and Jane, are up in the gallery here in the first row, so please acknowledge them as well. Chelsea is looking forward to studying for a career in television journalism or public relations, and as chairman of the Higher Education Committee, I certainly hopes she picks one of prestigious institutions of higher learning right here in Illinois. And I'm glad to say, after talking to her this morning, her top four choices are all in Illinois, so I think we're gonna be lucky and keep her here. Finally, I gotta point out that Chelsea's remarkable accomplishments

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

were predicted over ten years ago by her first grade teacher, Mrs. Judy McCarthy, my wife, who told me back then that she had the future Miss America in her class at St. Michael's Grammar School. So, so far everything's working very well and Chelsea actually plans on entering the Miss America competition in the next couple years. I'd also like to rep... acknowledge Representative Renee Kosel. Chelsea and her family actually reside in Renee's district, but Renee was nice enough to allow me to present the Resolution because of our friendship with the family. So, please join me in congratulating and welcoming to the House of Representatives, a great representative for our state, Miss Chelsea Fahey, Miss Teen America 2004."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Kosel."

Kosel: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to second what Kevin had to say and let the Members of the House know what beautiful, talented people we grow in Orland Park."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Parke."

Parke: "Good afternoon, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Good afternoon."

Parke: "We have... we're here now in Veto Session and I'm curious if you had the schedule for next spring, so that we can start looking to make plans for our Spring Session. Will it be issued tomorrow for the Spring Session?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yeah. Terry, I don't know. But we're working on it."

Parke: "Next week, probably?"

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Speaker Madigan: "We... we'll make it available as soon as possible."

Parke: "Probably next week, then?"

Speaker Madigan: "We hope so and if you have any suggestions, why we… we'd like to know about it sooner rather than later."

Parke: "All right. Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you."

Parke: "Be happy to do that. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCarthy."

McCarthy: "...Speaker. I would ask for the passage of House Resolution 1197, please."

Speaker Madigan: "Right. The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 1197. Those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Mr. Reitz. Mr. Reitz. Did you wish to move on House Bill 826, Amendatory Veto? Mr. Reitz."

Reitz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for an override on House Bi... the Amendatory Veto of House Bill 826. This Bill allows a municipality to create a business district and to impose a quarter percent... in quarter percent increments a sales tax to help pay for that. It's a good way to offset, help our school districts by not putting TIF in. It gives another tool to local municipalities. It's all voluntary. And I'd appreciate your support on this. I think this is similar... the position from the administration is similar to the position that we had on Representative Osmond and a few

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

other Bills earlier, I guess, into overtime Session. But this is... this is gonna be another tool to help blighted areas, to help areas increase, add and build some infrastructure so that we can bring some... some businesses and create jobs in the State of Illinois. And I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House pass House Bill 826, notwithstanding the Veto of the Governor. The Chair recognizes Mr. Sacia."

Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Sacia: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the importance of this Bill cannot be overstated. There are many communities such as one in my district, Galena, that is a small community, but when many folks come in on the weekends and utilize the tremendous amount of infrastructure, it's very important for communities like this that a Bill of this nature is supported. And I strongly encourage an 'aye' vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. An inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "State your inquiry."

Black: "Thank you very much. Would the Clerk inform the Body as to when the Motion to Override the Amendatory Veto was filed?"

Clerk Mahoney: "The Motion to Override on House Bill 826 was filed about 20 minutes ago."

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Black: "I'm sorry, was filed when, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Mahoney: "Filed today, November 8."

Black: "And do you have a copy of that? Because we... we haven't heard anything about it. We didn't get a copy. We were unaware that this Motion had been filed. Well, while you're looking at that... while you're looking at that, it... you might also look... is this on a Supplemental Calendar? I cannot find this action on the Calendar."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Uhe. Mr. Uhe. Mr. Black."

Black: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "As you know, the Motion was filed today. The parliamentarian..."

Black: "That's right."

Speaker Madigan: "...informs me that there's no Calendar requirement."

Black: "Yeah. The parliamentarian is correct and we did get a copy. Mr. Speaker, we just were not prepared for this because we didn't have anything in our files or our records that this Motion had been filed. And it's my understanding it had been filed this morning, rather late, and that's fine. We have a copy of it and we're ready to proceed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner, Arthur Turner."

Turner: "Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the Gentleman's move to override the Amendatory Veto on House Bill 825. I think that it is a proper piece of legislation. As I spoke during the spring when this was introduced, I felt that to allow local municipalities the ability to determine their

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

future is only justifiable and the right thing to do. And besides that, we allow the City of Chicago and they're, right now, discussing raising taxes of some sort in terms of trying to balance their budget. If it's... if it's good for the aldermen and the local officials in the City of Chicago, then I think the local officials of other local municipalities should be able to do the same. And I urge Members to support the override."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. As you know, generally I agree with the Governor. However, I believe that his Veto... Thank you. As you know, generally I agree with the Governor. However, I believe his Veto was misguided in this... this instance. This simply will provide a tool for economic development in areas that need it. It simply would allow a local sales tax in a district on areas that want it and will not impose any sales tax on any areas that don't need it. It will be used specifically in that district, specifically for economic development and specifically to make sure that we could provide blighted areas with economic development opportunities. So, I ask for an override of the Governor's Veto."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor yields."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, I'm somewhat... I'm somewhat shocked and appalled that Representative Hoffman spoke in

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

favor of the override of the Amendatory Veto. Let me ask you, it has not been discussed on the floor, what did the Governor's Amendatory Veto deal with?"

Reitz: "The Governor's Amendatory Veto dealt with providing a referendum for these sales taxes as opposed to allowing the city council the... the... well, within the legislation now, we provide the city council to have proper hearings and make sure that people in the community are aware of it. The Governor recommended that we do that by referendum."

Black: "So, if I... if I heard you correctly, the Governor's Amendatory Veto simply said that the public who will pay the sales tax should be given the option of voting for or against the implementation, correct?"

Reitz: "Correct."

Black: "Oh, what a novel idea. Heaven forbid we'd let the public decide. Can you answer a question for me? In the affected areas, what is the current sales tax rate?"

Reitz: "It would... I guess, unless there's a local sales tax of some kind and home... Well, this just allows some of the communities to do the same thing that Home Rule communities do now. So, it would be... I would assume it'd be 6.25 percent. This can be by .25 percent increments and add another one quart... 1 percent... up to 1 percent just to cover infrastructure costs."

Black: "All right. So, the base tax rate... the base sales tax rate in Illinois is 6.25 percent, correct?"

Reitz: "Correct."

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Black: "Are any of the communities pushing for this legislation already above the 6.25 percent?"

Reitz: "Not that I'm aware of. The ones that would be above that already have the ability to do that."

Black: "All right."

Reitz: "This just allows communities to do the same thing Home Rule communities can do."

Black: "So, bear with me now. Would this then make the sales tax, in the areas asking for this change, 7.25 percent?"

Reitz: "Possibly. It could be up to 1 percent, yes. So, I mean, it could..."

Black: "All right."

Reitz: "...possibly go up to a 7.25."

Black: "Can you explain to me how an area can help itself by making its sales tax higher than any surrounding area? What... what is that going to do to draw people to your district? The way I was brought up, it will take people away from your district so that they can pay a lower sales tax."

Reitz: "That's... that's why I think this... this Bill works. It allows them for... to attract an industry or a business. In the case of Representative... in East St. Louis area, that Representative (sic-Senator) Clayborne, who's the primary Sponsor of this, says they're grocery stores... and they don't have a grocery store in that neighborhood whatsoever. They have to drive to other towns to buy their groceries. This will allow them... and it's all prospective. It will allow the developer to agree to do this. It doesn't affect

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

any businesses in a business district. It's all prospective. So, it will be used... probably the best analogy would be, this... this will allow them to do, as opposed to a TIF district, will allow them to do that with sales tax and still let the money go to the school."

Black: "Well, I'm still having trouble focusing on that. Does the retailer who may be attracted by the higher sales tax get to keep a bigger percentage of that tax for his or her willingness to... to collect the tax or does that amount remain the same regardless?"

Reitz: "No, the... the amount of the sales tax will be used to... to defray the cost and pay the cost of the infrastructure to bring that business in, or if there is some type... type of agreement or a sales tax rebate, I'm sure that can be part of it also within the municipality. But the main intent is to try to allow other dollars to have... to bring business in to places that normally wouldn't go in there. I mean, it's not... they're not going to put this on a business district and... and just impose this tax. basically gonna be an agreement with... with the developer that this... this is what's going to happen. They'll know going in that they're going to pay... have to charge a higher sales tax and be at a competitive disadvantage in that respect. So, that's... that's why I think it's... that's what makes it better and allows the city to do that. It's all prospective."

Black: "All right. Does... does the high... does the possible 1 percent increase... are there any exemptions? In other

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

words, if I come to one of these communities, am I going to be expected to pay 7.25 percent, if they raise it the full percent, on automobiles or other big ticket items or there are... are there any exemptions based on the price of the product?"

Reitz: "It's the... they won't be imposed on over-the-counter drugs or medicines, medical appliances or other medical products, on any modifications to a motor vehicle, it says for use by disabled people. But it still will be with... I mean, they're going to have to know coming in. I mean, so it won't... you won't get any prescription drugs or things of that nature or medical supply companies, but..."

Black: "But if I..."

Reitz: "...they're still going to know coming in."

Black: "All right. If I heard you correctly, there is no blanket exemp... exemption for big ticket items like an automobile. You put 7.25 percent on a \$25 thousand automobile, that is not an insignificant amount of money. And my point earlier was then why would anybody buy an automobile within that district..."

Reitz: "Right."

Black: "...if they could drive 10 miles and only pay 6.25 percent?"

Reitz: "And... and they would. As long as... ya know, I wouldn't think you... ya know, it's too much of a... it's too much of a difference."

Black: "Absolutely."

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Reitz: "And it's too much of a... so, I don't... I don't think this would work for..."

Black: "All right."

Reitz: "...any type of car dealer."

Black: "Okay. One... one last question, Representative. Why... why was the Department of Revenue opposed to this Bill in its original form? They have not contacted me. I assume that the Department of Revenue supports the Governor's Amendatory Veto. Why was the Department of Revenue in opposition originally?"

Reitz: "I... I don't have that, other than the fact that they have to distribute more sales taxes. I mean, that's..."

Black: "Well, st... staff tells me that they were opposed because there is an implementation cost to the Department of Revenue that they stand in opposition to. And I don't know whether there's... there's... I assume that they're supporting the Governor's Amendatory Veto."

Reitz: "I would assume that, yes."

Black: "All right. Well, Representative, thank you very much for answering the questions. I can see where a community might look to do this to be of benefit to themselves, but I tend to agree with the Governor on this. I think there could be, perhaps, a referenda available to the public. And I'm still a little confused on how a higher sales tax would attract business to a community. I... I guess my reaction is that a higher sales tax usually draws business or drives business to a lower sales tax area. But I... I do appreciate your forthright answers. Thank you very much."

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Granberg. Mr. Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And with due respect to my good friend from Danville, this merely provides a voluntary means to help our rural and poorer communities to invest in their infrastructure for economic development. That's all it does. It's not mandatory. It doesn't automatically impact various groups, the retail sales, anyone. This, in fact, helps business at the local level because it gives the locals the ability, if they so desire, at the request of their retail community, their retail people, and their constituents to say we need to invest in our infrastructure for economic development. So, I rise in strong support of the Bill. I think it's a great idea. And I wanna compliment the Representative Reitz, Representative Turner and the others for taking the leadership on this position that's so important to downstate and central Illinois."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass, notwithstanding the Governor's specific recommendations for change?' The Motion requires 71 votes and this is final action. The Clerk shall take the record. Those in favor of the Motion will vote 'yes'; those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Three people have not voted. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 66 people voting 'yes', 50 people voting 'no'. And the Motion fails. Mr. Lang, did you wish to consider House Bill 2220? Hold. Representative Ryg, did you wish to consider it

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

tomorrow? We're here for two days. You only get two days to do that Motion. Mr. Lyons, did you wish to consider House Bill 4651? Mr. Lyons."

Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I request your support in overriding the Governor's Veto on House Bill 4651. This Bill was a good idea in May when we put 80 votes on it through the House and sent it to the Senate. It's a good idea now. But there is a lot of misinformation on this Bill and I just wanna clarify some of the things that it does and doesn't do. In essence, what this Bill does is grandfather lots in existing mobile home parks to be able to replace a trailer or a mobile home with a... basically, what would be a new unit to HUD standards versus older units which were certainly nowhere near as responsibly built or met fire codes as the newer It basically allows for a units do. one-on-one replacement. Without this Bill, if you start losing these individual units, the affect would be on the renters in these parks for increases in rents. If you have ten people payin' for a pizza, it's so much a person. If all of a sudden it's eight people payin' for a pizza, it's a little bit more. So, as an analogy that we can all grasp, I would hope that that would be of concern to... to renters in existing parks. It meets all existing state codes. There's no variances, exceptions or easements. It meets fire codes. It meets Illinois... not the Illinois but the National Fire Code... restrictions on setbacks on the 5 and 10 feet requirements. Of course, the new replacement

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

mobile homes do have the HUD requirements, which are much better built than the previously older homes that were used in these parks. This was Ralph Capparelli's last Bill. I picked this up over the weekend upon his retirement. So, I would certainly appreciate your support on the merits of the Bill and also as a tribute to Ralph Capparelli. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to override the Governor.

The Chair recognizes Representative Munson."

Munson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor yields."

Munson: "Can you tell me why the Cook County Health Department, the Illinois Municipal League and the Illinois Fire Chiefs Association oppose this Bill?"

Lyons, J.: "Representative, I can barely hear you. I heard Cook County, Public Health and who else?"

Munson: "I was wondering why the Cook County Health Department, the Illinois Municipal League and the Illinois Fire Chiefs Association oppose this Bill."

Lyons, J.: "Well, the concerns I was given from the Health Department was they're always concerned about the 10-foot minimum variances between the two units, and basically that is the industry standard. Municipalities are still have the jurisdiction on whether to grant a carport or a deck or those types of things. So I think it was on the clearance between units, which is a national standard. So, I don't know why they'd be opposed to this. This is a standard that's accepted across the country. On the… on the other

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

ones, Representative Munson… well, what were the other questions? I heard, again, the first part. Could you repeat the latter objectives?"

Munson: "The Illinois Municipal League."

Lyons, J.: "The Illinois Municipal League is against this 'cause it does preempt Home Rule 'cause a lot of the trailer... the trailering parks or the mobile home units that are affected by this are covered by Home Rule, so what we wanna do is do a national... adopt a national standards at the state lev... standard, which was in the original Bill that we passed back in '98. So, this would make the playing field even across the State of Illinois."

Munson: "And the Cook County Health Department?"

Lyons, J.: "I did not... the Cook County Health Department, I could only image, had the same concerns of the... the existing distances between mobile units, between mobile home units of 10 feet. Once you start putting a deck on or a carport, they have a concern that it could prevent efficient and proper fire prevention or fire... ya know, a process of putting out a fire if they need it. But that's the national standard that's already set nationally as well as in the existing legislation that we passed in 1998. So, I mean, I share their concerns, but we're talking about replacing a unit, Representative, with a... in most cases, you're taking a older unit which had nowhere near the... the technology put into it for fire safety codes with a new unit which by far would exceed the old one that's been

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

replaced. That's the basic essence of what we're trying to do here, one-for-one replacement."

Munson: "To the Bill. To the Bill. The tenants of the mobile home communities that are in my district really are concerned about safety issues when we start reducing setbacks and our municipalities are also concerned about the preemption of Home Rule and changing zoning ordinances that they have in place that are already more restrictive.

I encourage a 'no' vote on this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor yields."

Black: "Representative, in the Governor's Veto Motion it was stated that he had some concerns about the separation requirements making it more difficult for firefighters to be able to contain the blaze to one of these homes. How would you answer the Governor's statement on... on that... that particular aspect that he didn't like of the Bill?"

Lyons, J.: "Well, Representative Black, I don't totally understand why he would have objected on those grounds because basically what you're doin' when you're replacing a newer model mobile home with a... from an older one, you're putting a better unit in place. You're putting something with much better technology, much better fire protection, firewall capability, you're re... the purpose of this Bill is to get rid of the older units that are... do not have fire code when they wanna be replaced with a new, more modern,

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

more safe type of a... of a code of high... the HUD standards that were put into effect back in 1976 and has just gotten better and better as the technology has gone on. And as I responded to the previous question on this, Representative Black, I think there were concerns about the distances: the 5 in the back, the 10 on both sides, which is the industry standard which was in the Bill that we passed back in '98 first... initially was initiated by this was Representative Capparelli. And when you start putting a deck or a carport or some type of a side... apparatus on the side of the unit, it could possibly be concern... a concern for somebody that could prevent proper fire equipment to get in there. But on any of these new units that are gonna be replaced, Mr. Black, they have to get the regular ordinances from the local municipality anyways. They have to get the... the permits or whatever's required by the municipality to put... to put a carport or put a deck on. So, I really don't understand why the Governor did..."

Black: "Well..."

Lyons, J.: "...have those concerns."

Black: "And you just... you just mentioned the point that I think brings up a rationale for the Governor's Veto. If I understand this Bill, whether a city decides to amend its ordinance in saying, you will not do this, we do not like the separation requirements and until they are all new, or whatever, in a mixed manufactured home community, we will not allow you to have these separation requirements. But the catch is, this Bill preempts Home Rule. So, a

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

community would not be able to amend its fire ordinance or density ordinance to prevent this Bill from becoming law in their community, and I think maybe the two were related as to why the Governor vetoed the Bill."

Lyons, J.: "Well, Mr. Black, the newer mobile home parks, as you are, I'm sure, are aware of, are nowhere near the size of the old one. This basically addresses the older parks that back before 19... back in the '50s were a thousand square foot little plots. What... what... what the newer facilities... when they're created the new mobile home units are 5, 6 thousand square feet. They're lavish, they're beautiful, they're extended, compared to the vision of what we had for the older parks and we're trying to just replace whatever was there with the same size, previously. we're not trying to expand or change anything. Ιt basically protects a homeowner, a mobile homeowner, if he does get struck by lightning or has some severe damage to the unit to be able to replace what he had with something newer."

Black: "All right. Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker, inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "State your inquiry."

Black: "Mr. Clerk, did the original Bill require an extraordinary Majority since it preempts Home Rule?"

Parliamentarian Uhe: "Representative Black, on behalf..."

Black: "Yeah."

Parliamentarian Uhe: "...of the Speaker and in response to your inquiry, the legislative record for this Bill does not

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

indicate that a request for a Home Rule ruling was made at the time of passage on Third Reading. And I do not have any record that such ruling..."

Black: "Okay."

Parliamentarian Uhe: "...was made."

Black: "All right. But..."

Parliamentarian Uhe: "But the Bill did receive 80 votes, and at this time I would respectfully say that your request for ruling now would be..."

Black: "Is not timely?"

Parliamentarian Uhe: "...not timely."

Black: "All right. But the Amendatory Veto override will still require two-thirds?"

Parliamentarian Uhe: "Three-fifths vote."

Black: "Three-fifths. All right. Thank you very much. Representative, just one... one last question. I got a couple of handwritten notes last week and... saying that this Bill would not be called for the override because they didn't have the votes in the Senate to complete the process. Now, I assume that since you're calling it, you have... you have either have information that no one told me about or you're being the eternal optimist. What... what... what... of the two, what are you?"

Lyons, J.: "Representative, I don't have any idea what the status of the Bill is in the Senate. I would... might disagree with you. I think they wouldn't let me bring this to the House Floor unless they had some level of confidence that it would pass on the other side of the..."

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Black: "Okay."

Lyons, J.: "...other side of the chamber. And I'm only doing this, as you know, Representative, because it was Ralph Capparelli's last Bill and I picked this up on Friday and became somewhat learned on the whole mobile park industry..."

Black "All right."

Lyons, J.: "...over the last few hours and last few days."

Black: "I understand that, Representative. In all due respect to you, I know you and I respect you, but I... I also knew the 'Dean of the House' and I respected the 'Dean of the House'. You, Sir, are not the 'Dean of the House'."

Lyons, J.: "No, I'm not."

Black: "But you're a worthy substitute, I might add. Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Mulligan. Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. In April of 2004, in Des Plaines, there was a fire in a mobile home park. The fire was sparked by aluminum foil on tamales about 10:30 a.m. on Monday, destroyed six homes, damaged five other homes and ravaged five vehicles in the Des Plaines mobile home park in Elk Grove Township near Des Plaines, fire officials said. This was the worst fire in the mobile home park since American Airlines Flight 191 crashed in 1979. These homes were placed very close together. The fire department had a really hard time in fighting this fire, blocked off the street, and because of the closeness of the homes, they lost more homes than should have been necessary. I did not support this

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

legislation to begin with. I do not support it now. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass, the

Veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' Those in favor

signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The

Motion requires 71 votes and this is final action. Have

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk

shall take the record. On this question, there are 72

people voting 'yes', 44 people voting 'no'. This Motion,

having received the required Three-fifths Majority, the

Motion to override prevails and the Bill is declared

passed, notwithstanding the Governor's Veto. On page 2 of

the Calendar, on the Order of Total Vetoes, there appears

House Bill 7029. Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to override the Governor's Veto of House Bill 7029. House Bill 7029 was one of two companion Bills approved by the Illinois General Assembly this spring to increase access to nursing scholarships by increasing funding for nursing education. House Bill 7029 was the appropriation Bill. The Illinois Nurses' Association lobbied for the Bill. We face a severe nursing shortage in Illinois. It was for that reason that we increased funds for nursing education while we cut so many other parts of the state's budget. The Governor's Veto of House Bill 7029... he vetoed House Bill 7029 and signed instead Senate Bill 2381. House Bill 7029 and Senate Bill 2381 are largely the same except for one important difference, only

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

House Bill 7029 includes an Amendment that prohibits the transfer of funds appropriated for nursing scholarships for other purposes. I believe that this is an important basis to override the Veto. Funds specifically provided for nursing education should be used solely for the purpose intended by the General Assembly. We need to spend every dollar we have appropriated to nursing education to ensure that we have as many well-educated nurses available to work in health care systems in the State of Illinois. issue is no different from the debate that we had over the state road fund because we need to make sure that we have safe and adequate roads and highways in Illinois. steps to ensure that the state appropriations for road construction funds was placed specifically in a designated road fund. The health care and treatment of the people of our state is no less important. The road to reducing our state nursing shortage is to ensure that enough nurses are educated to fill these important jobs. House Bill 7029 ensures that the funds for the Illinois General Assembly designated for the nursing education will be used for nursing education and cannot, cannot be transferred to other uses. If the Governor wanted this restriction, he could have amended Senate Bill 2381 to designate the increased funds that we made available for nursing education cannot be transferred to other uses. As a result, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would hope that the Governor... the Governor could have used the text provided in 7029. And it basically states that money set aside for

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

nursing scholarships, awarded pursuant to the nursing education scholarship law as provided in Section 4 of subsection (e) of this Section, may not be transferred under Section H of the State Finance Act. I would ask your endurance and ask your help in protecting the desperately needed funds for nursing education and help me to override the Governor's Veto. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Representative Hannig is in the Chair. The Lady has moved that the House override House Bill 7029, notwithstanding the Governor to Veto... the Governor's Veto. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "She indicates she'll yield."

Parke: "Good afternoon, Representative Flowers, it's a pleasure seeing you today."

Flowers: "Good afternoon, Representative, to you."

Parke: "I understand..."

Flowers: "It's such a pleasure to see you."

Parke: "...that the bulk of the legislation has already been signed into law by the Governor. Is that true?"

Flowers: "I'm sor... Speaker... Speaker. I can't hear him. Can you quiet..."

Speaker Hannig: "Could we give... could we give Representative Parke and Representative Flowers a little attention, please."

Parke: "It is my understanding, on this legislation, that the bulk of this has already been signed into law. That, in

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

essence, this has a small part of it that you need to be put in place. Is that correct?"

Flowers: "That is correct, Sir."

Parke: "In that case then, I rise in support of the Lady's Motion to Override the Governor's Veto. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Flowers, would you like to close?"

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would appreciate your vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "The questions is, 'Shall House Bill 7029 pass, the Veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' This Motion requires 71 votes and this is final House action. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. This Motion, having received the required Three-fifths Majority, the Motion to Override prevails. And House Bill 7029 is declared passed, notwithstanding the Governor's Veto. Representative Soto, for what reason do you rise?"

Soto: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Thank you. My light wasn't working on the previous Bill. Can I be voted 'yes'?"

Speaker Hannig: "The record will indicate your desire, Representative."

Soto: "Thank you."

Speaker Hannig: "And Representative Bailey, for what reason do you rise?"

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

- Bailey: "Mr. Speaker, my 'yes' button, I'm pressing it, but the light is not coming on. I've..."
- Speaker Hannig: "The record will reflect your intentions, Representative."
- Bailey: "Thank you."
- Speaker Hannig: "Representative Saviano is recognized for a Motion."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask that we suspend the Motion on Senate Bill 3090, the Motion to Discharge."
- Speaker Hannig: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any discussion? All in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'.

 The 'ayes' have it. And the Motion is adopted.

 Representative Saviano is recognized."
- Saviano: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would ask that we... we suspend the posting requirements on Senate Bill 3090."
- Speaker Hannig: "The parliamentarian advises me that we first need to reconsider the previous vote... Okay, excuse me. The parliamentarian now advises me that we can... we can vote on your Motion. So, all in favor of the Gentleman's Motion say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Motion is adopted. Okay. On page 3 of the Regular Calendar is House Bill... is House Resolution 1205. Representative McKeon is recognized, the Gentleman from Cook."
- McKeon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to present House Resolution 1205 which creates a voluntary task force on a uniform building code. The task force will make recommendations to adopt a uniform building code for

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Illinois. The makeup of that task force, Mr. Speaker, is said to be comprised of two House Members, one appointed by the Speaker of the House and one appointed by the Minority Leader, two Senate Members, again, by the Senate President and one appointed by the Senate Minority Leader, one person appointed by the Governor, one person appointed by an organization representing homebuilders as well organization representing roofing contractors, plumbing industry, architects, one appointed by an organization representing structural engineers, an organization representing realtors, organization representing code administrators, one person appointed by an organization representing municipal governments in the state, one appointed by the State Fire Marshal, and a representative of any other organization who expresses interest in the writing and their participation in the task force. members shall serve on a voluntary basis at no cost to the state. Further, they'll meet a minimum of four times and make a report to the General Assembly. I move the passage of House Resolution 1205."

Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 1205. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hannig: "He indicates he'll yield."

Parke: "Representative, I've had trouble understanding. You reeled off any number of people that will serve on your

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

task force. Are those numbers equal, Republican, Democrat, will we… and what is the total number on your task force?"

McKeon: "Members of the General Assembly would be four, two from the House, one appointed by the Speaker and one by the Minority Leader, and the same in the Senate."

Parke: "So... but will they be private sector people on your task force?"

McKeon: "Extensively, including a representative from the Municipal League. Now, let me go through that list again."

Parke: "Well, wait, wait, wait. Before you do that, I just wanna get a sense. We got… we're gonna have four Leg... we're gonna have eight Legislators or four Legislators?"

McKeon: "Four Legislators."

Parke: "Will there by two Republican and two Democrats?"

McKeon: "That's correct. One from each side of the aisle."

Parke: "Okay. Is this going to be only for the City of Chicago, only for Cook County or for the total state?"

McKeon: "The recommended uniform building code, which would have to be considered by the House and Senate, would be statewide. Now, of the 102 counties, there are about four counties that have no building code whatsoever. And this would probably create a model building code for the state."

Parke: "Okay. Thank you."

McKeon: "You're welcome, Representative."

Speaker Hannig: "Is there any further discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall House Resolution 1205 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 98 voting 'yes' and 18 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. On page 4 of the Calendar is House Resolution 1208. Representative Mautino. Representative Mautino's up. House Resolution 1208."

- Mautino: "Thank you, Speaker. I would just ask support for this Resolution which would extend the date on the Sewage Disposal Commission. The task force has formed, but we just didn't have enough time to get the reports done, so we'd like to push the filing date out."
- Speaker Hannig: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 1208. Is there any discussion? Then the question is, 'Shall the Resolution be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes' and 0 voting 'no'. And the Resolution is adopted. On Supplemental Calendar #1, on the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading, is Senate Bill 1641. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 1641, a Bill for an Act concerning the military. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Turner in the Chair. On page 2 of the Calendar we have Senate Bill 3367. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 3367 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Hannig, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Montgomery, Representative Hannig, on Amendment #1."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask that we adopt the Amendment #1, which is technical, and then that we consider the Bill on Third Reading."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, for what reason do you rise?"

Black: "Inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "State your inquiry."

Black: "I'm having trouble getting my system to work. Is the Amendment on the system?"

Speaker Turner: "Could you repeat it? I didn't hear you."

Black: "All right. It just... it just popped up. So, thank you very much. The Amendment is on the system."

Speaker Turner: "Okay."

Black: "Thank you. Would... would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, has the amount of money already been appropriated?"

Hannig: "Yes, Representative. This does not change the amount of money appropriated."

Black: "All right. So, the money is, in fact, or has, in fact, already been appropriated. All you're doing with your

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Amendment is changing the wording as to where the money goes."

Hannig: "Yes. We thought that it would be named a certain name and the name is different, so we... we need to change the Bill."

Black: "All right. I think it's important that we take some time, and the floor has been exceptionally noisy today, that people understand that. That the money has already been appropriated. Whether you were in favor of that appropriation or opposed to that appropriation is a moot point. Because I think there are a lot of people whose initial reaction to seeing this was appropriating \$250 thousand for a good cause, but all of us have had problems getting appropriations for a number of good causes in our own district. But that is not the issue on this Amendment. The Amendment clearly states you're simply changing the grantee, you are not adding to an appropriation. Correct?"

Hannig: "That is correct, Representative."

Black: "All right. Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further question, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3367?' All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. The opinion of the Chair is the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Mahoney: "No further Amendments. No Motions filed."

Speaker Turner: "Third Reading."

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

- Clerk Mahoney: "Senate Bill 3367, a Bill for an Act in making appropriations. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Montgomery, Representative Hannig."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Amendment that we just adopted is all that the Bill does. Last year we granted \$250 thousand to a museum that we thought would be named the Vernon Jor... Jarrett Museum. That is not the case and so we've changed the language of the Bill to follow with the new name. And so, I'd ask that we... we send this Bill on to the... to the Governor... or to the Senate for concurrence."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House pass Senate Bill 3367?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', 0 'noes', 0 'presents'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Mahoney: "On the Order of Resolutions. House Resolution 1139, offered by Representative Joyce. House Resolution 1146, offered by Representative Molaro. House Resolution 1147, offered by Representative Howard. House Resolution 1148, offered by Representative Art Turner. House Resolution 1149, offered by Representative Dunkin. House Resolution 1150, offered by Representative Granberg. House

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

Resolution 1151, offered by Representative Bill Mitchell. House Resolution 1152, offered by Representative Madigan. House Resolution 1153, offered by Representative Monique House Resolution 1154, offered by Representative Davis. Flider. House Resolution 1155, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 1156, offered by Representative Miller. House Resolution 1157, offered by Representative 1158, offered House Resolution Capparelli. Representative Jim Watson. House Resolution 1159, offered by Representative Flider. House Resolution 1160, offered by Representative Jack McGuire. House Resolution 1161, offered by Representative Dunkin. House Resolution 1162, offered by Representative Washington. House Resolution 1163, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 1164, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia. House Resolution 1165, offered by Representative Howard. House Resolution 1166, offered by Representative Granberg. House Resolution 1167, offered by Representative Howard. House Resolution 1169, offered by Representative Stephens. House Resolution 1170, offered by Representative Miller. Resolution 1173, offered by Representative Washington. House Resolution 1174, offered by Representative Yarbrough. House Resolution 1175, offered by Representative Dunkin. House Resolution 1176, offered by Representative Dunkin. House Resolution 1177, offered by Representative Currie. House Resolution 1178, offered by Representative Jones. House Resolution 1179, offered by Representative Howard. House Resolution 1180, offered by Representative Daniels.

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

House Resolution 1181, offered by Representative Hultgren. House Resolution 1182, offered by Representative Lang. House Resolution 1183, offered by Representative Kelly. House Resolution 1184, offered by Representative Berrios. House Resolution 1185, offered by Representative Chapa LaVia. House Resolution 1186, offered by Representative Lang. House Resolution 1187, offered by Representative Kurtz. House Resolution 1188, offered by Representative Rose. House Resolution 1189, offered by Representative House Resolution 1190, offered by Representative Rose. House Resolution 1191, offered by Representative Rose. Scully. House Resolution 1192, offered by Representative Joyce. House Resolution 1193, offered by Representative House Resolution Yarbrough. 1194, offered by Representative Monique Davis. House Resolution 1195, offered by Representative Howard. House Resolution 1196, offered by Representative Churchill. House Resolution 1198, offered by Representative Jakobsson. House Resolution 1199, offered by Representative Meyer. House Resolution 1200, offered by Representative Watson. House Resolution 1201, offered by Representative Currie. House Resolution 1202, offered by Representative Meyer. House Resolution 1203, offered by Representative Black. House Resolution 1204, offered by Representative Black. House Resolution 1206, offered by Representative McCarthy. And House Resolution 1207, offered by Representative Cross."

Speaker Turner: "House moves for the adoption of the Agreed

Resolutions. All those in favor should say 'aye'; all

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

those opposed say 'no'. The opinion of the Chair is the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolutions are adopted. The Gentleman from Menard, Representative Brauer, for what reason do you rise?"

Brauer: "For personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "State your... state your privilege."

Brauer: "I'd like to make an announcement. Tomorrow morning at 10:00 we're gonna have a... we're gonna have a memorial service for Bill Wozniak on the west side and the Nurserymen of Illinois is going to plant a tree. Then at 11:00 Representative Poe and myself are gonna sponsor a free lunch, although donations will be accepted made out to the Bill Wozniak Memorial Fund. So, please, come with us, observe this memorial and this... 'cause it's gonna to be a living memorial. It's gonna be a tree planted here in the Capitol grounds. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, committee schedule."

Clerk Mahoney: "Committee schedule: for 3 p.m. today, Appropriation-General Services will meet in Room 118; State Government Administration will meet in Room D-1; Housing & Urban Development will meet in C-1. At 3:30 p.m. the Executive Committee will meet in Room 118. Tomorrow, Tuesday, November 9, at 8:30 the Elementary & Secondary Education will meet in Room 114 and at 1 p.m. the School Impact Fees Task Force will meet in C-1."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunkin, for what reason do you rise?"

Dunkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

- Speaker Turner: "State your point."
- Dunkin: "Today, in Room 115, the Chicago Chamber of Commerce is havin' a session on... an education session on a city builder's casino presentation. And I'm encouraging Members, who are interested, if they can stop down in Room 115 today. It's going on as we speak. Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "The Chair would also like to announce that...
 sorry. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Molaro."
- Molaro: "Does the... does the previous Representative know whether we're gonna be looking at a gaming Bill this year or... Why... why would we go down there? Are we gonna have a gaming Bill, Representative, is that why we should go there? Is there something you know that we don't? Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lyons, for what reason do you rise?"
- Lyons, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just went around to remind all the Members that the Franciscans from the Sacred Heart who do a lot of wonderful charities all over the State of Illinois will be celebrating a hundred and forty years of active work in the state. They'll be down in 122-A tomorrow with coffee and sweet rolls, so please stop down for five minutes and say 'hi'. Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "The Chair would like to announce that <u>The Chicago Defender</u> newspaper are... is hosting a reception down in Room 114 for all of the Members, in Room 114. <u>The Chicago Defender</u> newspaper would like to talk to Members if... if they have the time. Allowing perfunctory time for

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

the Clerk, Representative Currie moves that the House does stand adjourn until the hour of 11:00 tomorrow, November 9, 2004. The House does... All those in favor should say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair is the 'ayes' have it. And the House does stand adjourned 'til tomorrow at the hour of 11 a.m. Thank you." Clerk Mahoney: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

Introduction and Reading on House Bills-First Reading. House Bill 7346, introduced by Representative Eddy, a Bill for an Act concerning procurement. House Bill 7347, introduced by Representative Millner, a Bill for an Act concerning municipalities. House Bill 7348, introduced by Representative Black, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 7349, introduced by Representative Black, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Referred to the House Committee on Rules is House Resolution 1196, offered by Representative Churchill."

Clerk Mahoney: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

Reading of Committee Reports. Representative Hamos,
Chairperson from the Committee on Housing & Urban
Development, to which the following measure/s was/were
referred, action taken on November 08, 2004, reported the
same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends
be adopted' Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 520.
Representative Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on
Executive, to which the following measure/s was/were
referred, action taken on November 08, 2004, reported the
same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends

212th Legislative Day

11/8/2004

be adopted' the Motion to Concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 6654. Representative Monique Davis, Chairperson from the Committee on Appropriations-General Services, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on November 08, 2004, reported the same back with the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill Representative Washington, Chairperson from the Committee on State Government Administration, to which the following measure/s was/were referred, action taken on November 08, same back with the reported the following recommendation/s: 'recommends be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2234. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."