64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Speaker Brunsvold: "The House will come to order. All unauthorized personnel please leave the Chambers. Retire to the balcony. We will be led in prayer today by the Reverend Dongo Pewee with the Emanuel Christian Reform Church in Sauk Village. Reverend Pewee is a guest of Representative Mike Giglio. Guests in the Gallery may wish to rise for the invocation. Reverend Pewee."

Reverend Pewee: "Our devotion is taken from the Prophecy of Prophet Isaiah. .... The spirit of the sovereign Lord is upon me because he has anointed me to preach good news to the poor. He has sent me to bind up the broken hearted. To proclaim freedom for the captives, and release from darkness for the prisoners to proclaim the year of Lord's favor and a day of ... for our God. To comfort all who moan and provide for those who grieve in Zion. bestow on them the crown of beauty instead of ashes. The oil of gladness instead of mourning. A garment of praise instead of the spirit of despair. There would ... of righteousness a plentying of the Lord for the displayed of his glory. They will rebuild ancient cities that have been devastated. They will renew the ruined cities that have been devastated for generations. Let us pray. Oh God, our Father, creator of heaven and earth of all things visible and invisible, the sustainer of life. We come before You, in humble submission to seek Your wisdom and understanding. Lord, we pray that You will give us wisdom in the many complicities of life as we discuss matters concerning our Lord, we pray for each elected Representative this afternoon that you will help them to carry out a public responsibilities which You have entrusted to them. Where there is misunderstanding we pray, Lord, for clarity and understanding. Where there is party strife, we will pray

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

for unity and cooperation. Lord, help us to express genuine concerns for those who live in our district regardless of their economic and social standing. Lord, we thank You for the gift of leadership that You continue to provide for this state and we thank You for America, this great country of ours. In Jesus' name, Amen."

Speaker Brunsvold: "We will be led in the Pledge this afternoon by Representative Wood."

Wood - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Brunsvold: "Mr. Clerk, Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Cross."

Cross: "Yes."

Speaker Brunsvold: "Absentees on the Republican side."

Cross: "Sorry. Lost it for a moment there. Apparently, we're all here today, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Cross. For the Democrats,

Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Yeah, Representative Bugielski will be absent today."

Speaker Brunsvold: "Mr. Bugielski, excused absence. Mr. Clerk, take the Roll. One hundred seventeen answering the Roll Call. We have a quorum. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hoeft, for what reason do you rise?"

Hoeft: "A matter of Personal Privilege, Sir."

Speaker Brunsvold: "Proceed."

Hoeft: "In the front of the Chamber is a group of 19 students who have been chosen in the Elgin Youth Leadership. These students are going to go through a six year period of training between seventh grade. If they complete that, the

64th Legislative Day

- May 19, 1997
- community in Elgin is going to guarantee them a college education. They are down here to be Pages today, and they are part of a group of 120 that the community has made a commitment to. I'd like you to welcome them to this fine Chamber."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "House Bill 182, Mr. Lang. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate

  Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay' and

  the 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate

  Amendment #1. House Bill 447, Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with House Bill 447. I would like for it to go into a Conference Committee, please."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Lady has asked... has a Motion to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #2 to House Bill 447. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 447. House Bill 470, Mr. Novak."
- Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. On House Bill 470, I now move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2. House Bill 473, Representative Capparelli."
- Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 473 was a quick take. We passed it out of here, unanimously. It went to the Senate, and Senator Weaver asked me to add another quick take for Champaign to acquire land easements in

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

adjacent to the city of Champaign for improvements for Windsor Creek and Duncan Road and Bone Yard Creek. This is for Campus Town where it floods. I would ask for a concurrence on this. Thank you."

- Speaker Brunsvold: "And on the Motion, is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 473. This is final action. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. And on this question, there are 103 voting 'yes'; 11 voting 'no'; and 2 voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 to House Bill 473. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 475, Mr. Deering."
- Deering: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I now move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment to House Bill 475."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 475?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur. House Bill 740, Mr. Mautino. House Bill 740, Mr. Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman (sic-Speaker) Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. We're going to set this up for a Conference Committee where... at the request of Wyvetter Younge and some Members of the Senate, we're accommodating them. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 740. House Bill 821, Mr. Biggins. House Bill 821."

Biggins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask the Body to move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 821."

Speaker Brunsvold: "Did you explain the Senate Amendment, Mr. Biggins?"

Biggins: "The Amendment is part of this Bill which was recommended by the Legislative Audit Commission and introduced in prior years by Representative Rutherford to remove Acts that are obsolete, no longer needed in our This particular Amendment removes a finding of the Auditor General on the Governor's office due to the fact that the wording right now is impossible for the Bill to be performed and worked according to... without massive cost to our State Government. It shall be the duty of the clerk of any court where parties are tried or convicted of penitentiary offenses in the county where such city, village, or incorporated town is located to furnish monthly, to such board of commissioners, the names of all parties convicted or sentenced for any crime. punishment of which is confinement in the penitentiary and their place of residence if such fact be in the possession such clerk. It changes the timing requirement for that notice to be given which will make the law enforceable and it was unanimously passed out of the Senate, I believe, and would ask the House to concur on the Amendment."

Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has asked for concurrence on the Amendment and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lang."

Lang: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Speaker Brunsvold: "The Sponsor yields."

Lang: "Representative, can you again explain Amendment #1? It appears to me, the House Bill that passed is so thick that I can't really collate it as I'm standing here. It appears to me that this is new language. Am I incorrect? Are you just simply amending this piece that was being deleted from the current law?"

Mautino: "Yes. It removes from current law some language that made the law unenforceable."

Lang: "And so is this an additional obsolete provision you're deleting from current statutes?"

Mautino: "Yes it is. So we're adding an additional that we're deleting."

Lang: "Alright so you're not changing anything from the House version of the Bill. You're simply adding one additional obsolete provision."

Mautino: "That's right."

Lang: "Thank you."

Speaker Brunsvold: "Further discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 821?

This is final action. All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? And on this question, there are 112 voting 'yes'; 1 voting 'no'; and 0 voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 821. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 903, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 903, this Bill has been agreed upon by Miller Brewing Company and the

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Associated Beer Distributors of Illinois. The proposal from Miller Brewing Company to amend the Liquor Control Act and the Beer Industry Fair Dealing Act to allow distributors to buy certain signs or inside advertising material from the manufacturer or their designated supplier. I would move to concur with Amendment #1."

- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved for concurrence in the Senate Amendment. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 903?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. And on that question, there are 115 voting 'yes'; 0 voting 'no'; voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 903. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Novak, for what reason do you rise?"
- Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. For some unknown reason, my switch was inadvertently off and I intended to vote 'yes' on House Bill 903 in concurring in Senate Amendments #1."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The record will so reflect, Mr. Novak. House Bill 1123, Mr. Cross. Out of the Record. House Bill 1197, Representative Younge. House Bill 1215, Mr. Saviano. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Saviano."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would make a Motion to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has made a Motion to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2. Any discussion? All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House

64th Legislative Day

- May 19, 1997
- does not concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1215.

  House Bill 1254, Mr. Scott. The Gentleman from Winnebago,

  Mr. Scott."
- Scott: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate

  Amendment #2 to House Bill 1254."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1254. House Bill 1327, Representative Clayton."
- Clayton: "Out of the Record."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "Out of the Record. House Bill 1374,

  Representative Capparelli."
- Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. I ask for a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved not to concur in Senate Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1374. House Bill 1375, Mr. Capparelli. Out of the Record. House Bill 1565, Representative Krause. The Lady from Cook."
- Speaker Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1565. The underlining Bill had provided that HMO's could offer outpatient services to children of the working poor. Currently, HMO's must offer comprehensive coverage that includes inpatient services. Senate Amendment #1 is language proposed by the Department of Insurance making technical changes. Very briefly, it would provide that the department shall adopt rules to establish minimum coverage including routine physical exams, immunizations, lab services that the HMO outpatient product is to be purchased by adults for the

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

benefit of their children and fourth, that there would be no state funding for this program. I ask for support on the Amendment."

Speaker Brunsvold: "The Lady's asked to concur in Senate

Amendment #1. And on that question, the Gentleman from

Cook, Mr. Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Brunsvold: "The Sponsor yields."

Dart: "Representative, why was it we had to make these changes over in the Senate?"

Krause: "They were made at the recommendation of the Department of Insurance really more so to clarify exactly what this legislation does, and that's where the Amendment came from."

Dart: "It appears to me from looking at it, it doesn't deviate a lot from the original Bill? Is that correct?"

Krause: "That is correct."

Dart: "Mostly technical in nature?"

Krause: "They are technical."

Dart: "Great. Thank you very much, Representative."

Speaker Brunsvold: "Any further discussion? Seeing none, the Lady to close."

Krause: "I ask support for concurrence on Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Brunsvold: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1565?' This is final action. All those in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. And on this question, there are 117 voting 'aye'; 0 voting 'no'; 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1565. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

hereby declared passed. House Bill 1485. Mr. Poe. House Bill 1485, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Poe."

Poe: "Yeah, I make a Motion to not concur to the Amendment."

- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved to not concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1485. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1485.

  House Bill 1680, Mr. Noland."
- Noland: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment #1 addresses concerns about the, from the Farmers' Union. They thought the numbers and percentages were too high for the referendum so Representative Woolard and I would like to move to concur with Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1680. This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. And on this question, there are 117 voting 'aye'; 0 voting 'no'; and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1680. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Black. For what reason do you rise?"
- Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I wanted to speak on the last Bill, but you didn't recognize me, and it got 117 votes and it was just a great Bill for farmers, and I appreciate all the suburbanites voting for it."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Black. I wish I could say my paper was over your light, but it wasn't. The Gentleman

- 64th Legislative Day

  from Cook, Mr. Parke. For what reason do you rise?"
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just an observation from my colleague from Danville. When he stands up and says that this is Bill is for the farmers of Illinois. I want to respectfully remind him that the number one employer in the State of Illinois is agri-business. So what's good for farmers is also good for suburban people and suburban voters and so that's why we stand tall with our farm bureau friends."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "House Bill 1699, Representative Jones, John Jones. Out of the Record. House Bill 1887, Representative Persico. Mr. Persico."
- Persico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1887."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved to not concur in Senate Amendment #1 to 1887. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1887. House Bill 2164, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Novak."
- Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Thank you. On House Bill 2164, I now move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 4."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 4. And the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I rise to a Point of Personal Privilege."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "Proceed."
- Black: "Thank you. In the center aisle in deep conference with Representative Johnson is former Representative Tom McCracken. My mentor and now the head of the greater Danville Mass Transit District. He's come a long way.

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Representative McCracken."

- Speaker Brunsvold: "Mr. Black. Mr. Black, I would like to let you know that the Chair, right now, is a classmate of Mr. McCracken. Both elected and seated here in this august Body in 1983. Mr. Novak has moved not to concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 4. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 4 to House Bill 2164. House Bill 2209, Mr. Rutherford. Out of the Record. House Bill 2232, Representative Biggert. House Bill 2226, Mr. Brady."
- Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in Senate

  Amendments."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "Could you explain the Senate Amendment, Mr. Brady?"
- Brady: "This Bill now is comprised of two Bills which passed out of this House, unanimously. The Senate Amendment allows for government reciprocals to operate under certain requirements. And, as I said, it passed out of this House, unanimously."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has asked to concur in Senate Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2226?' This is final action. All those in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted and on that question, there are 115 voting 'yes'; 0 voting 'no'; 0 voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2226 and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Holbrook, for

- 64th Legislative Day

  May 19, 1997

  what reason do you rise?"
- Holbrook: "Mr. Chairman (sic-Speaker), I needed to vote 'yes' on that last Bill and I didn't get to my button in time."

  Speaker Brunsvold: "The record will so reflect, Mr. Holbrook. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Bradford. For what reason do you rise?"
- Bradford: "Actually, Mr. Holbrook and I were both were both tied up in that particular instance. I meant to vote 'yes' on that last Bill."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The record will so reflect. House Bill 1651,
  Mr. Saviano.
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would make a Motion to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1651."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved not to concur in Senate Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1651. House Bill 297, Mr. Dart."
- Dart: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and nonconcur with Senate Amendment #3."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "Mr. Dart, your request is to concur..."
- Dart: "Could we just pull it out of the record for a moment?"
- Speaker Brunsvold: "Out of the Record. House Bill 1123, Mr. Cross."
- Cross: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1123 deals with the State's Attorney's salary and putting their salaries in the Composition Review Board and it passed out of here and went over to the Senate with one technical Amendment changing a date. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

  Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? The question is,

  'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Bill 1123?' This is final action. All those in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. And on this question, there are 93 voting 'yes'; 23 voting 'no'; 1 voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1123. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2232, Representative Biggert."

- Biggert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2232."
- Speaker Brunsvold: "Would you explain the Senate Amendment, please?"
- Biggert: "The Senate Amendment to House Bill 2223 (sic-2232) amends the Sex Offender Registration Act and the Child Sex Offender and Murder Community Notification. The Senate Amendment is proposed in response to federal regulations which were received after the House Bill 2232 passed the House which is also in response to a federal regulations. And it includes parts of House Bill 1819 which we passed out of here on concurrence last Friday or Saturday."

Speaker Brunsvold: "Representative Lang in the Chair."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Black, be gentle. Is there any discussion on the Motion? The Chair recognizes Representative Parke."

Parke: "I guess a Motion to Adjourn is out of the question?"

Speaker Lang: "You're not recognized for that purpose, Sir. I've learned that much in my 10 years here. Mr. Black."

Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Nice tie."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you very much. You can have it when I'm finished with it, Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you, and may I say the former Speaker was kind to turn the spotlights off above you otherwise there would be

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

a glare, and I couldn't see."

Speaker Lang: "If I had known I was going to be here today, I would have powdered for the occasion."

Black: "Let me say this. You really look marvelous in the Chair."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you so much."

Black: "Now I do have a question of the Sponsor on this wonderful Amendment."

Speaker Lang: "Will the Sponsor yield? She indicates she will."

Black: "Thank you, Representative, nice tie. Does this Senate Amendment change anything about where an offender lives in community-a and is working in community-b. anything that would indicate that they wouldn't have to be registered in the coun... if it's a different county, and they're working in community-b? The people in that community would need to know about their offender status.

Is there anything that changes that?"

Biggert: "No, there's really three things that it changes as far as that. It includes the certain misdemeanors which we went over under House Bill 1812. It requires them to register within 10 days rather than 30 days after the entry of sentencing. And it also expands the community notification to include all sex offenders rather than only child sex offenders."

Black: "Okay, and I heard you say that the Senate Amendment was in response to some last minute changes in federal law?"

Biggert: "That's correct."

Black: "Okay, thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Further discussion? Seeing none, Representative Biggert moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2232. Those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish?

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes'; 0 voting 'no'; 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 50, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, the State Senate took heed of the objections that were raised on the House Floor as to the pilot program not having a time to end. They have turned it into a three year pilot program after which time a report will be given. The only other addition is that there will be a public forum in Fox River Grove to talk about train safety."

Speaker Lang: "Is there a discussion on Representative Skinner's Motion? The Chair recognizes Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "He indicates he will."

Dart: "Representative, what are the provisions that the Senate

Amendment has in there in regards to incidents where metro

fails to abide by the speed limit?"

Skinner: "The same as before."

Dart: "Which are?"

Skinner: "Budget pressure."

Dart: "Pardon me."

Skinner: "Budget pressure. Pressure on the funding mechanisms."

Dart: "Okay, so there's been no changes, whatsoever, in that."

Skinner: "No."

Dart: "Then the only change then was dealing with the date of the pilot... the period of time."

Skinner: "The date of the pilot program and the fact that there will be a public hearing in Fox River Grove sometime during the period."

64th Legislative Day May 19, 1997

Dart: "Great. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative Skinner, it appears that what the Senate did is to put what I would call a sunset clause. Is that an apt description?"

Skinner: "That's correct."

Black: "So, it's a three year pilot program. What would the intent of that be? I mean, we'd have to come back and redo that if this program shows, in fact, it does work and does not disrupt the entire commuter system in the urbanized area, but we'd still have to come back and revisit this at the end of three years?"

Skinner: "That's correct. The law gets wiped off the book in three years."

Black: "Okay, thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, I yield my time to Representative Ryder."

Speaker Lang: "If Representative Ryder wants your time, he can certainly have it, but his light is not on. The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 50?' This is final action. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 101 voting 'yes'; 12 voting 'no'; 1 voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 50. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 310, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

to put it into a Conference Committee to work on the uninsurance, unemployment insurance package working with Representative Schakowsky trying to find a compromise on the agreed Bill process that will work for all the Members and the taxpayers in the state. I would ask the Body to support my Motion to nonconcur with Senate Amendment 1."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Point of Personal Privilege which will be better discussed at the conclusion of the vote on this Bill, if you'd come back to me at that time."

Speaker Lang: "I will recognize you, Sir. Representative Parke moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 310. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Motion carries and the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 310. Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, since January I've been waiting for the moment that you would... have the opportunity to be in the Chair so that I could skewer you with shocking and appalling language."

Speaker Lang: "Go for it, Sir."

Ryder: "However, out of respect for the Chair, something that obviously your leader has none, because he's placed you there. I'm utterly speechless at the moment. I cannot think of sufficient words to describe my shocking and appalling behavior to have you up there except for the one only good line which Mr. Black stole from me that that's a particularly nice tie you're wearing."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you. Do you on the Republican side of the aisle all want to say that at once and get it out of the way?"

Ryder: "No, there's a lot of things we'd like to say at once but

- 64th Legislative Day

  Representative McCracken is no longer a Member so some things are verboten.

  May 19, 1997
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Ryder. House Bill 353,

  Representative Lindner. Is the Lady in the Chamber? House

  Bill 581, Representative Leitch."
- Leitch: "I'd like to move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, please. I know, well I filed... I just filed another Motion to nonconcur in this."
- Speaker Lang: "Does the Clerk have the Motion to nonconcur?

  We'll take it out of the record, momentarily, Mr. Leitch.

  House Bill 981, Representative Schoenberg. Is the

  Gentleman in the Chamber? Mr. Schoenberg."
- Schoenberg: "Thank you, Neighbor, and Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. Senate Amendment #1 is a technical change which eliminates some superfluous language but retains the rest of the Bill in tact. I'd be happy the answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 981?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye'; 1 voting 'no'; 0 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 981. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Wyvetter Younge, House Bill 1197."
- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1197, and I'm trying to get to a

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Conference Committee."

- Speaker Lang: "Is there a discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the Lady's Motion to nonconcur, say 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries and the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1197. House Bill 1628, Representative Leitch."
- Leitch: "Yes, I filed another Motion to nonconcur in Senate

  Amendment #1 to 1628."
- Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1628. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1628. House Bill 1699, Representative John Jones. Take your time, Sir, don't hurt yourself"
- Jones, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just want to move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 on House Bill 1699."
- Speaker Lang: "Is there any discussion? Representative Schoenberg."
- Schoenberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for questions?"
- Speaker Lang: "He indicates he will."
- Schoenberg: "What is the Senate Amendment #2 do?"
- Jones, J.: "It changes to an immediate effective date, and this is a Department of Public Health's Bill. They don't want to concur with this, so that's the reason,"
- Schoenberg: "What does the underlying Bill do in its current form, and how would this Senate Amendment change that?"
- Jones, J.: "Representative, it does the interrelation of checks.

  We're able to change the amount of the check that's been sent to the agency. Provides that no reduction in an

64th Legislative Day

- May 19, 1997
- amount greater than \$50 may be made on a check that's being sent to the agency."
- Schoenberg: "So, this has nothing to do, whatsoever, on the policy question of whether or not people can voluntarily object on philosophical grounds to immunization for their children."
- Jones, J.: "No, there will not be any philosophical stuff in it."
- Schoenberg: "Alright, so there's nothing along those lines in this Bill?"
- Jones, J.: "No."
- Schoenberg: "Thank you, Sir."
- Speaker Lang: "Further discussion? Seeing none, the Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1699. Those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1699. House Bill 2061, twenty sixty-one, Representative Fantin."
- Fantin: "Thank you. This was an Amendment from the Senate that we had agreed upon when the Bill left the House that we would work out a clarification. This is on the Incubator Bill and it clarifies that DCCA would get 5% to initiate the Grant Funding Program and it clarifies the Incubator Program would get no more than 50% of what they paid into the program."
- Speaker Lang: "Is there a discussion? Representative Hartke.

  Representative Hartke passes. Is there further discussion?

  Representative Black."
- Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Lang: "She indicates she will."
- Black: "Thank you. Representative, I'm not ... why did the

- 64th Legislative Day

  May 19, 1997

  Senate feel a sunset provision should be added to this

  Bill?"
- Fantin: "I believe this was done, Representative, just to see how the program worked. This was something new and we decided to try to do this program and have DCCA work with us. I really with this Incubator Programs there is no data on them and we're trying to hope, in hopes that the data would be collected through DCCA and it's giving an allowance of so many years to get the program to work. In debate during the House, this is what was suggested."
- Black: "Okay, do you know... Has the Amendment removed DCCA's concerns with the underlying Bill? I know when it was in the House they, I don't think they were in favor of the Bill. Is this Amendment..."
- Fantin: "My understanding is that it clarifies that they get a 5% of the money to do the program, and they seem to be happy with that."
- Black: "Okay, so would it be fair to say that they favor the Bill in its current form or they're neutral on the Bill?"
- Fantin: "At least neutral. I have heard nothing against it."
- Black: "Okay, I, Representative, I voted for the Bill in its original form so I don't have a major problem with it. But some questions have come up as to whether or not this would transfer money from like the Industrial Training Program and other programs that most of us are familiar with, with DCCA, to this new program. Maybe you could put some minds at ease with that concern."
- Fantin: "This is a dedicated fund and it would only be the Incubator Programs and that's all that would be concerned."
- Black: "Okay, I appreciate you answering the questions and thank you very much, Representative. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Lang: "Further questions? Seeing none, the question is,

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2061?' This is final action. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 93 voting 'yes'; 24 voting 'no'; 0 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2061. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1702, Representative Dart for Speaker Madigan."

Dart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Motion is to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1702. What the Amendment does is, it clarifies language for the Chicago Public School System that CEO's who are going to be appointed to assist the local school councils are to be done only when it is in fiscal trouble so it would not apply to all the local school councils, only the ones that are in trouble. It clarifies that these are members who are there just to advise and have no voting role one way or the other and I would move for the adoption."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I don't know who that was speaking, but I'm fairly confident it wasn't Speaker Madigan. Who was speaking on..."

Speaker Lang: "It was Mr. Dart handling this for Speaker Madigan, Sir."

Black: "Mr. Dart."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Dart."

Black: "Dart. Is he a ..."

Speaker Lang: "The curly-haired Gentleman over there."

Black: "Oh yes, is he a Cosponsor of the Bill or does he have

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

- the... I just want to make sure he has the necessary authority to speak for the Sponsor of this Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "I'm told Speaker Madigan has given him all the necessary tools to handle this Bill, Sir."
- Black: "So, what does that make you? A Mr. Tool Time or what?

  You're confident that he has the authority to do this?"
- Speaker Lang: "Would you have any questions you'd like to ask Mr.

  Dart?"

Black: "Yes, I do, as a matter of fact."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed, Sir."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, on behalf of Speaker Madigan, it appears that this Senate Amendment substantially weakens the underlying House Bill. And I'm curious as to why Speaker Madigan would agree to this."

Dart: "Well, it's not so much that it weakens it. What its attempting to do is to target these CEO's are getting involved with schools that are having problems as opposed to just across the board having people working with schools that don't have problems, so it's going to require some type of finding that there's a problem, that there's a reason to have this."

Black: "Okay, it comes back to me. Now this was basically as a result of one particular high school that had some significant problems that needed to be looked into, as I recall. Is that right?"

Dart: "I'm not aware of that."

Black: "I, well, without mentioning names or anything, I thought it emanated from one particular school that was going to be investigated, in fact, I'd have thought by a committee of Members of this Body."

Dart: "Yeah, it has some relevance to that in a broader picture, though. It allowed for all schools that are having

64th Legislative Day May 19, 1997 problems."

Black: "Okay, and I noticed that the underlying Bill passed with a significant margin. Do you have that in your file?"

Dart: "I believe it was unanimous."

Black: "Yes, one last question, Representative. When Speaker Madigan presented this Bill it was much more quiet in the House than it is right now. Can you tell me why that is?"

Dart:: "It's a recurring problem I have."

Black: "Oh, alright. Well, actually I think it is because of the person that is currently in the Speaker's Chair not exercising due diligence on the order of this House."

Dart: "I tend to agree with you."

Black: "Thank you. Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, even though you made it very hard for us to hear by your unwillingness to get order in this Chamber. I did have my questions answered no thanks to the current Speaker and there is a gavel as I recall, having been up there once or twice, to your right and on occasion, we would appreciate if you would use it."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Black. Actually, I thought it was kind of quiet in here because Representative apparently has not shown up. We haven't heard a word from him today. Is there further discussion? Seeing none, the Gentleman asks that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1702. This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting 'yes'; 0 voting 'no'; 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill And this Bill, having received a Constitutional 1702.

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2209, Representative Rutherford. The Gentleman takes the Bill out of the record. House Bill 353, Representative Lindner. Representative Lindner.

Lindner: "Yes, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Lang: "The Lady moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 353. Is there a discussion? Seeing none, all in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. And in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries. The House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 353. House Bill 581, Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "I think we just did this. I just moved to nonconcur on House Bill 581. Yeah, I just signed the Motion with the Clerk. The Clerk has it. I just gave it to him."

Speaker Lang: "We're not sure it was done so let's just do it formally."

Leitch: "I just did it."

Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 581. All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries and the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 581. House Bill 2209, Representative Rutherford. No need to run, Sir. If you trip and fall, there is a cap on the award you may receive."

Rutherford: "I know it, but I figured I'd have so many people in this Chamber hand me their business cards that it would be great for the economy of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Lang: "Well, I'm in the Chair. I'm a long way from you, Sir."

Rutherford: "I wouldn't want you to trip to get to me. Mr.

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Speaker, House Bill 2209, Senate Amendment #1, I would ask to concur with that. What it does is the underlying Bill we had changed the legislation to allow for the county executive of the Will County to appoint the members to the authority. It was under the advice of the Senate and working together with a local group to put it back to the appointment of the Will County Board and this is agreed upon language between the local authority, the board, and the Governor's office."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Rutherford: "Is he giving me a business card, also, if I fell?"

Dart: "I don't have any."

Speaker Lang: "He's got mine, Sir."

Rutherford: "Okay."

Dart: "What will this do exactly, the Joliet Arsenal?"

Rutherford: "What this is, this is the underlying Bill for the Joliet Arsenal Authority. It removes the moral obligation of the state on the issuance of their bonds. It removes the Governor's appointing members to this. That was, in part, the underlying Bill. What the Amendment specifically does, is providing that five of the nine members, during the original Bill, had stated that they would be appointed by the county executive. Through further discussion with the local authority, the Governor's office, and the county officers, it was determined to keep it at the county board authority rather than executive."

Dart: "So it would stay at the county board level, then?"

Rutherford: "Correct. Our Bill, as went through the first go-around, had it appointed by the county executive. The Amendment changes it back to the county board and everyone

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

agreed that that was acceptable."

Dart: "And that's the only change of substance here?"

Rutherford: "As the Amendment in the Senate, that is the only change."

Dart: "Thank you."

Rutherford: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. McGuire."

Mcguire: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Dan, I was on the phone so I couldn't hear too good about this Amendment."

Rutherford: "I understand."

McGuire: "As you know the Joliet Arsenal's in my district and we've worked before on these Bills. I just wondered of you could tell me, briefly, what is Senate Amendment #1?"

Rutherford: "Well, this is, as you may recall, Representative, this is the Bill that we had talked about before and all the local people in your area had concurred to this. The only reason that I happened to be the Sponsor of the Bill was because they were negotiating the cooperation of the Governor's office. This Amendment is concurred to language by the local authority, the local county officers, and the Governor's office so, it's my understanding that, it's all agreed to. This Amendment causes everyone to be in concurrence to this."

McGuire: "Dan, this Amendment does not take the county executive out of the process, does it?"

Rutherford: "It takes that the five members will be appointed by the county board as opposed to the county executive."

McGuire: "Well, I think that's contrary to what either you or I had talked about, but if not, contrary to what I think I would want. It takes the county executive out of the process and replaces him with the county board?"

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Rutherford: "This Amendment, again as I said, was concurred to.

Deletes the section containing the provision that five of
the nine members of the board of directors of the authority
be appointed by the county executive with the advice of the
county board. Now, it would be that they would be
appointed by the county board."

McGuire: "Yeah, I don't think I want to take the county executive out of the process if I understand what you're saying, Dan.

Whose Amendment is that, Sir?"

Rutherford: "This comes from the agreement between the negotiations of the Governor's office and the local authorities. I mean, if you suggest a conflict there, I'd be glad to take this out of the record and resolve it because it's my understanding that this was concurred to."

McGuire: "Alright, could you do that? Could you, would you?"

Rutherford: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take this out of the record and discuss it with Representative McGuire."

Speaker Lang: "The Bill is out of the record."

McGuire: "I appreciate it. Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Lang: "House Bill 606, Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 606."

Speaker Lang: "Seeing no discussion, the Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 606. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries. And the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 606. House Bill 108, Representative Hannig. Is Mr. Hannig in the Chamber? Out of the Record. House Bill 1327, Representative Clayton. Out of the Record. I wish to advise that we will be at ease for a little while. We have not, however, adjourned so don't get too excited. House

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

- Bill 228, Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 228."
- Speaker Lang: "Is there a discussion? Seeing none, the Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 228. All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries. And the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 228. And we will be back at ease. House Bill 2209, Representative Rutherford."
- Rutherford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 after visiting with Representative McGuire. He had some questions on it and with respect to bipartisan extending a hand of friendship and cooperation to do the things that are right for the people of Illinois, I would ask that we nonconcur and send it back to the Senate and see what we can figure out after that."
- Speaker Lang: "That was an excellent speech, Sir. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2209. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2209.

  Representative Turner, for what reason do you rise?"
- Turner, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just an Inquiry of the Chair."
- Speaker Lang: "State your inquiry."
- Turner, J.: "Well, I'm certainly glad to see you take the Chair and believed, without question, that once you got in the Chair, the House would run effectively and efficiently. I was just wondering what the delay is and if you could perhaps move the business of the State of Illinois along?"

64th Legislative Day

- May 19, 1997
- Speaker Lang: "We're waiting to do more of the people's business as soon as it's ready for us to do it, Sir."
- Turner, J.: "How long must the people wait?"
- Speaker Lang: "Well, you know it takes a while to do the work of the people right. Representative Cross, for what reason do you rise?"
- Cross: "Should we go ahead and book a hotel room for tonight or are we going to be adjourning for the rest of the week?

  Just so we can get our schedules set because the pace right now is breathtaking."
- Speaker Lang: "I think what you should do is take a Valium and wait for more news."
- Cross: "I don't need a Valium right now, Representative, because it's just so intense. What about tomorrow night? Should we get a hotel room for tomorrow night, Mr. Speaker?"
- Unknown: "It depends on what you have in mind, Representative Cross."
- Speaker Lang: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear your question, Sir."
- Cross: "Inquiry of the Chair. On House Bill 2209, will that require 71 votes?"
- Speaker Lang: "I think that's already been taken care of, Sir."
- Cross: "Oh, we're through with that Bill. Oh, okay, I... yeah, thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Black, for what reason do you rise? Do you want to borrow my tie?"
- Black: "Yes, an Inquiry of the Chair."
- Speaker Lang: "State your inquiry."
- Black: "Yes, can you tell me if the Rules Committee is scheduled to meet? There are several Concurrence Motions that we have filed, but we can't act on them because the Rules Committee hasn't met since Friday, I think."
- Speaker Lang: "I have it on good authority, Mr. Black, that the

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Rules Committee will eventually meet."

Black: "Shortly?"

- Speaker Lang: "I have it on good authority, Mr. Black, that the Rules Committee will eventually meet."
- Black: "Good. I have another Inquiry of the Chair. I was just called out to the rail, and I noticed that more and more signs are hung from the third floor railing. Perhaps we should rent that space and that could solve the funding crisis for education and then maybe we could even go to automatic signs where they would flip every few minutes like they do at United Center. But we could rent that space, I would think, for a considerable amount of money. Could you look into that?"
- Speaker Lang: "Since you and I are doing nothing at the moment,

  Mr. Black, if you will work on that resolution, I will
  jointly Sponsor it with you."
- Black: "I'll see if I can't get that drafted. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you. Mr. Tenhouse, for what reason do you rise?"
- Tenhouse: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Republicans were to request a conference approximately one hour in Room 118."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hartke, do the Democrats wish a caucus? The Republicans will caucus for one hour in Room 118. The Democrats will be at ease and the House will be at recess until the hour of 3:30."
- Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. Recognize the Clerk for an announcement."
- Rossi: "The House Rules Committee will meet immediately in the Speaker's Conference Room. The Rules Committee will meet immediately in the Speaker's Conference Room."
- Speaker Lang: "I'd like to inform the Members of the program for the rest of the day and this would, I'm sure, please

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Members of the Republican side of the aisle to know that I'm in the Chair while this is happening. We have a couple of miscellaneous Motions. Rules has a few Bills to pop out here on concurrence that we will do and then we will adjourn until tomorrow at noon. I would urge all Members to file their Concurrence and Nonconcurrence Motions. Rules will not look at your concurrence or nonconcurrence matters unless you have filed a Motion in the Well. So any of you that have matters on concurrence or nonconcurrence, file your Motions today with the Clerk before you leave so we can have the Rules Committee tend to your business. House Bill 223, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman (sic-Speaker). I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Lang: "Is there a discussion? Seeing no discussion, the Gentleman moves to nonconcur on Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 223. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries. And the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 223. House Bill 1142, Representative Lopez."

Lopez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur."

Speaker Lang: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate
Amendment #1 to House Bill 1142. Is there a discussion?
Seeing none, all in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'no'.
In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the
Motion carries. And the House does nonconcur in Senate
Amendment #1 to House Bill 1142. House Bill 1118,
Representative Moffitt. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber?
Out of the record. Representative Giles, did you have an
announcement about your committee tomorrow?"

Giles: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Committee on State

64th Legislative Day

- May 19, 1997
- Government and Election Reform will convene at 11:00 a.m. instead of the scheduled time at 8:00 a.m. It will be at 11:00 a.m. Please be on time."
- Speaker Lang: "They all heard that. State Government Administration will be at 11:00 a.m. tomorrow instead of 8:00 a.m. House Bill 2161, Representative Scott."
- Scott: "Thank you, Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate

  Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2161."
- Speaker Lang: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the Gentleman's Motion vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries. And the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2161. House Bill 108, Representative Hannig. Is Mr. Hannig in the Chamber? Mr. Hannig's in Rules. Take it out of the record. On the Order of nonconcurrence, there appears House Bill 859, Representative Saviano."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Mr. Speaker, I would refuse to recede to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 859."
- Speaker Lang: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the Gentleman's Motion to refuse to recede, say 'aye'; all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 859. And the House requests that a Conference Committee be appointed. On the Order of Nonconcurrence, there appears Senate Bill 955, Representative Rutherford, for a Motion. Out of the record. On the Order of Nonconcurrence, Senate Bill 955, Representative Rutherford."

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Rutherford: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman (sic-Speaker). I would request to refuse to recede from Senate Bill 955."

Speaker Lang: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, "Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 955?' All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries. And the House does refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 955. And the House requests that a Conference Committee be appointed. Mr. Clerk, messages from the Senate."

Rossi: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Jim Harry, Clerk Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bill 545, a Bill for an Act to amend the Solid Waste Planning and Recycling Act, together with House Amendment #1. Senate Bill 120, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act of 1987, together with House Amendment #1. Senate Bill 663, a Bill for an Act to amend the Telephone Solicitations Act, together with House Amendments 1 and 2. Senate Bill 713, a Bill for an Act in relation to fire department promotions, together with House Amendment #2. Senate Bill 730, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, together with House Amendment Senate Bill 1019, a Bill for an Act concerning land #1. transfer, together with House Amendment #1. Senate Bill 417, a Bill for an Act concerning employee companies, together with House Amendment #1. Senate Bill 603, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Optometric Practice Act, together with House Amendment #2. Senate Bill 939, a Bill for an Act in relation to Environmental

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Protection, together with House Amendment #1."

Speaker Lang: "Committee Reports."

- Clerk Rossi: "Representative Currie, Chairman from the Committee on Rules to which the following joint action motions in Bills were referred, action taken on May 19, 1997. Reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'be adopted'. Concur Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 297. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 306. Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 540. Senate Amendment 2 and 3 to House Bill 709. Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 748. Senate Amendment 2, 4, and 5 to House Bill 513. Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 2059. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2060 and Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2071. Referred to Second Reading, House Bill 1292, Senate Bill 77 and Senate Bill 747."
- Speaker Lang: "On the Order of Concurrence, House Bill 2171,

  Representative Winkel. Representative Winkel."
- Winkel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in Senate

  Amendment #1 to House Bill 2171. It makes some agreed to

  changes to the truancy process, adds a paragraph that

  provides in a dispositional order could include a fine or a

  public service or suspension of a driver's license only if

  the court enters an expressed written finding that a

  truancy prevention program has been offered a truant. I'd

  be glad to answer any questions."
- Speaker Lang: "Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2171?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

'aye'; 0 voting 'no'; 0 voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2171. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2060, Representative Barbara Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker, and Members of the House. I move concurrence with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2060. The underlying Bill intended to extend the period for repayment of a TIF district in the south suburbs of Chicago from 23 to 35 years. We have done that previously for six or seven other specific TIF districts in other municipalities. The language the Senate added, provided further restriction so that we were no opening the door to larger numbers of TIF designated areas. I would be happy to answer your questions and appreciate your support."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Black."

Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "The Lady yields."

Black: "Yes, Representative, it appears that Senate Amendment #1 simply changes a portion of the underlying Bill that wasn't amended and I don't think that's very important, quite frankly. What I wanted to ask you is, this still only deals with the village of Bedford Park, correct?"

Currie: "Correct."

Black: "Is the TIF Association now in ... the Tax Increment Finance Association. Are they now in agreement with this Bill?"

Currie: "Yes, they are neutral on the Bill as they were when we passed it, but they support the additional language. It just clarifies that this is a restricted measure applying to one TIF."

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Black: "Okay. Alright, fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lang: "Further questions or comments? Seeing none, the Lady moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2060. This is final action. Those in favor shall vote 'aye'; those opposed shall vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 98 voting 'yes'; 18 voting 'no'; and 1 voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2060. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 297, Representative Dart. Mr. Dart, you have two different Motions on these two different Amendments?"

Dart: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to concur on Amendment #1 and nonconcur in Amendment #3."

Speaker Lang: "Can you explain Amendment... I'll get this out, #1?"

Dart: "Yeah, Amendment #1 reinstated the Bill as it had left the
House dealing with the Dramshop Provisions and it was the
same thing that... the identical version that left the
House."

Speaker Lang: "Are there any questions or comments regarding Amendment #1? Representative Black."

Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "He yields."

Black: "Yes, Representative, Senate Amendment #1, you are moving to concur in that Amendment?"

Dart: "Yes."

Black: "And that Amendment changes the current Dramshop Law in Illinois?"

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

Dart: "Correct, Representative, this was the exact Amendment that was House Bill 46, I think it was, that we voted out of here. It's the exact language of that. It was put on here and we have since worked out agreed language that we're hoping to move and work out in a Conference Committee that we have most of the parties agreed to now."

Black: "Okay..."

Dart: "That's why I'm nonconcuring in the third one so that we can put it in the Conference."

Black: "Right. It's ... I'm trying to find in here how much... it looks like the increases are about \$20 thousand."

Dart: "Correct and they're going to change somewhat downward in the Conference Committee."

Black: "Okay, fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lang: "Further questions or comments? Seeing none, Representative Dart moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 297. This is final action. those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'yes'; 12 voting 'no'; 0 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 297. this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Dart now moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 297. All those in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'no'. opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 297. House Bill 513, Representative Smith."

Smith: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 513 makes a small change in the Bill and provides that

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

if a customer does not take possession of the die, mold, jig, pattern within 90 days after receiving notice, and does not respond to the notice in writing by registered mail, reasserting their right, then the law would take effect. This is supported by the Cast Metal Association who advanced this initiative and I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1."

- Speaker Lang: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion, is there any discussion? Seeing none, the Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 513. This is final action. All those in favor will vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 115 voting 'aye'; 0 voting 'no'; 0 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 513. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Tenhouse, for what reason do you rise?"
- Tenhouse: "Mr. Speaker, in a valiant effort to reach my green button, I must have tripped over something, but I would like the record to reflect that I would have voted 'yes' on House Bill 513."
- Speaker Lang: "The record will so indicate. Let me remind the Body that the Children and Youth and Appropriations Human Services and Human Services Joint Hearing on Welfare Reform will reconvene immediately upon adjournment in Room 114. Please further be reminded that Mr. Giles has moved the State Government Committee Meeting to 11:00 tomorrow morning. Allowing Perfunctory Time for the Clerk, Mr. Black now moves that the House stand adjourned until 12:00 noon tomorrow. All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote

64th Legislative Day

May 19, 1997

'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the House does stand adjourned until noon tomorrow. Before you leave, Members should know that there may be additional committee meetings at 11:00 tomorrow for which the schedule is not yet available. So if you're not coming in early, check with your secretaries and staff people to determine whether or not you might have a committee at 11:00 tomorrow that is unknown to you at this time. The House will stand adjourned."

Clerk Rossi: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 171, offered
by Representative Giglio. House Resolution 172, offered by
Representative Giglio. House Resolution 173, offered by
Representative Giglio. Resolutions are assigned to the
Rules Committee. The House Perfunctory Session stands
adjourned."

Clerk Rossi: "Messages from the Senate from Mr. Jim Harry,
Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House
of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur
with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to Bills
of the following titles: Senate Bill 670, together with
House Amendment #1. Senate Bill 240, together with House
Amendments 1 and 2."

Clerk Rossi: "The Perfunctory Session is adjourned."