106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Speaker Daniels: "The Joint Session will come to order. Members will please be in their chairs. Those entitled to the floor will please be in their chairs. Those not entitled to the floor will please retire to the gallery. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Chaplain for the day is Father Joseph Kerber of St. Mary's Catholic Church in New Berlin. Father Kerber is the guest of Representative Gwenn Klingler. Guests in the gallery may wish to rise for the invocation. Ladies and Gentlemen, Father Joseph Kerber of St. Mary's Catholic Church for the invocation. Father Kerber."

Father Joseph Kerber: "We gather this noon in an assembly of servants to the people of this great State of Illinois, charged with the mandate of the people to debate and enact laws for the governance and preservation of the common Entrusted with such a noble and yet often burdensome responsibility, we pause first now to invoke Your guidance, May our debate and deliberations be sincere and single-hearted. When we speak, may our lips speak Your truths. When we listen, may we hear with the ears of faith. And may we always recognize Your voice, Lord, as these Representatives express the concerns of the very people in whose name we serve. For our constituents, we pray that the trust they have placed in us may be always guarded by the embracing arms of justice. For our families and friends, we pray, Lord. Our work so often separates us the ones we love. In our absence, keep them in Your care, safe from all who would seek to do them harm. for ourselves, we pray thee, You will uphold us and by Your guidance, may our time in this assembly and may the efforts we put forth produce much fruit in the vineyard of humankind. We ask all these things all in the confidence of Your name, under whose protection our forbearers have

- 106th Legislative Day March 22, 1996

 placed this nation for the sake of liberty and justice for all. Amen."
- Speaker Daniels: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Pat Lindner."
- Lindner et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Daniels: "I recognize the Doorkeeper for an announcement."
- Doorkeeper Rakin: "Mr. Speaker, the Honorable President Philip and Members of the Senate are at the door and seek admission to this chamber."
- Speaker Daniels: "Mr. Doorkeeper, please admit the honorable Senators. As designated in House Joint Resolution #94, the hour of twelve noon having arrived, the Joint Session of the 89th General Assembly will now come to order. Will the Members of the House and our esteemed guests from the Senate, please take their seats? Mr. Clerk, is a quorum present of the House?"
- Clerk McLennand: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, a quorum of the House is present."
- Speaker Daniels: "Mr. President, is a quorum of the Senate
 present in the chamber?"
- President Philip: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A quorum of the Senate is present."
- Speaker Daniels: "There being a quorum of the House and a quorum of the Senate in attendance, this Joint Session is now convened. Let me first start by recognizing dignitaries that have been invited to join us today. The Director of the Bureau of the Budget, Joan Walters. Director Walters. The Auditor General of the State of Illinois, William

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Holland. The State Superintendent of Schools, Joseph Spagnolo. The Treasurer of the State of Illinois, Judy Baar Topinka. The Comptroller of the State of Illinois, Loleta Didrickson. The Attorney General of the State of Illinois, Jim Ryan. And the Secretary of State of the State of Illinois, George Ryan. And the First Lady of the State of Illinois, Brenda Edgar. The Chair now recognizes the House Majority Leader, Mr. Churchill."

- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Clerk read Joint Session Resolution #5. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Joint Session Resolution #5, resolved that a committee of 10 be appointed, five from the House, by the Speaker of the House, and five from the Senate, by the President of the Senate, to wait upon His Excellency Governor Jim Edgar, invite him to address the Joint Assembly."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Mr. Churchill. moves the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Pursuant to the Resolution, the following are appointed as a Committee of Escort to escort the Chief Executive Officer The appointments from the House are to the chambers. Representative Judy Biggert, Representative Bob Biggins. and Representative Bill Brady, Representative Davis, and Representative Charles Hartke. The appointment from the Senate President to the Senate Members are Senator John Matiland, Senator Aldo DeAngelis, Senator Robert Madigan, Senator Margaret Smith, and Senator Thomas Dunn. Will the Committee of Escort please convene at the rear of the chamber and await His Excellence, the Governor. I recognize the Doorkeeper for an announcement."

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Doorkeeper Rakin: "Mr. Speaker, the Honorable Governor of the State of Illinois, Jim Edgar and his party wish to be admitted to this chamber."

Speaker Daniels: "Admit the Honorable Governor of the State of Illinois, Jim Edgar. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Governor of the State of Illinois, Jim Edgar."

Governor Jim Edgar: "Speaker Daniels, President Philip, my fellow Constitutional Officers, Members of the 89th General Assembly, and my fellow citizens of Illinois, I very much appreciate this opportunity to address this Joint Session of the General Assembly. It's a busy time for the Legislature and I won't abuse your hospitality by giving a long speech. Senator Philips asked me if I wouldn't talk as long as I did the Budget Message, so it'll be shorter. But I am convinced the issue at hand is extremely important to the future of our state and commands special attention. For decades, there has been a consensus among the people of Illinois, our system of funding education is clearly out of date and way, way out of whack. In one school district, we will spend \$3,000 per student. In another school district. we spend \$11,000 per student or nearly four times as much. Meanwhile, we rely far too heavily on property taxes to pay for our schools. In fact, nearly seven out of every ten dollars we spend on elementary and secondary education in Illinois comes from the local property taxes. isn't equitable. It isn't fair. It isn't right. Our constituents have said time and time again that we need to And I'm here today to urge that you give the people of Illinois the opportunity to do so. In short, I'm endorsing the main proposal made the by Ikenberry Commission. It recommended that a proposed Constitutional Amendment to dramatically reform the way we fund schools be

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

submitted to the people of our state this fall. The Commission has been chaired by the widely respected Stan Ikenberry, who recently retired as President of the University of Illinois. It included leading businessmen and women, tax experts, educators, leaders of working and women, community leaders and civic leaders from all parts of Illinois, urban, suburban and rural leaders who know that nothing is more vital to the future of our state than how effectively and efficiently we educate our young people. After months of study, months of deliberation, the Commission finalized its recommendation this week. concluded: We need to amend the State Constitution. W۵ need to amend it to assure substantial property tax relief and to assure that the state is contributing its fair We need to amend it to demand accountability from classrooms throughout our state. We need to amend it to provide necessary support for each and every student in Illinois, regardless of where he or she may live. Indeed, the Commission determined that there is insufficient support in 60% of our school districts. 60%, and those districts 700,000 serve over young people. Constitutional Amendment would provide a long-term, durable resolution for school children and for Illinois taxpavers well into the 21st century. Without such an Amendment, a Governor and a General Assembly could change the funding They could do it with simple majorities in the two Legislative chambers and the signature of the Governor. But their work could be easily undone by another Governor and another General Assembly. Property tax relief born with one sweep of a Governor's pen could be buried by another. Indeed, a Constitutional Amendment adopted by the people after lengthy and full debate could be undone only

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

by another vote of the people. It would provide stability where stability has been sorely lacking for taxpayers, for educators and most importantly for future generations of Illinoisans. This proposed Constitutional Amendment, in my view, is far superior to the one narrowly rejected by voters four years ago. As you know, I did not support that proposal. It did not guarantee property tax relief. Amendment assures property tax relief. The 1992 proposal made no mention of accountability. This Amendment requires accountability. Even proponents of the defeated Amendment could not agree on its meaning. This one is clear. state will pay at least half the cost of assuring that each and every student in Illinois is offered a education. There will be substantial property tax relief for the people of Illinois. There will be accountability. There would be a commitment to continued educational There will be tax reform. Not one of these was reform. guaranteed in the Amendment put forth four years ago. Many in this chamber voted to place that proposal on the ballot to let the people decide. And today I'm asking that you support this initiative to again give the people an opportunity to decide. You and I together worked together to enact landmark accountability legislation in 1991. You and I worked together to enact landmark reform of Chicago public school system in 1995, reform that is being hailed in Chicago, throughout Illinois and throughout You and I have recognized that money alone is not nation. the answer to improving education and that money without reform is no answer. But you and I also have recognized that additional state funding is part of the answer. Ιn the last three years, we have provided about \$800 million in increased funding for education. And I have proposed an

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

additional \$332 million in school funding for next year. That is helping to close the gaps between the haves and the have nots among school districts. In some areas it's easing the pressure to raise property taxes. But not represent the comprehensive funding reform that most of constituents and we ourselves have advocated. The Constitutional Amendment proposed by the Ikenberry Commission represents that comprehensive reform. It could be implemented in any one of several ways. But to be very truthful, it very likely would require some increases in state taxes in order to provide substantial property tax relief at the local level. And that is why we should let the people decide. If voters reject this proposal, it will be a very good indication they do not desire reform despite all the indications to the contrary. But if they embrace the proposal, they will have given us a true mandate for change. During my five years as governor, I have never sought the privilege of addressing a Joint Session of the Legislature to deliver a special message. It has rarely been requested by past governors. But I believe this is a unique opportunity in the history of our state. Δn extraordinary panel of our fellow citizens has developed what I believe is a realistic, farsighted and far-reaching proposal to provide substantial, permanent property tax relief and promote quality education in every corner of Illinois. It cannot go forward without the consent of people and that is how it should be of an issue of this But the people cannot speak unless you let them. today I ask of you, 'Let the people speak.' Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Thank you, Mr. Governor. Will the Committee of Escort please come forward to escort the Governor from the

106th Legislative Day March 22, 1996 chambers. The President of the Senate is recognized for a

Motion."

- President Philip: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that Joint Session now do arise."
- Speaker Daniels: "The President of the Senate has moved that the

 Joint Session do now arise. All those in favor signify by
 saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the

 Joint Session will now arise."
- Speaker Daniels: "The House will come to order. The Members will be in their chairs. Those not entitled to the floor will please retire to the gallery. Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Currie is recognized on the Democratic side of the aisle for any excused absences."
- Currie: "Thank you. Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representatives Laurino, Phelps, Martinez, and Morrow are excused because of illness. Representatives Kotlarz and Flowers are excused because of funeral activities. Representative Frias and Santiago are also excused."
- Speaker Daniels: "The record will so indicate. Representative Cross is recognized on the Republican side of the aisle for any excused absences."
- Cross: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We're all here on the Republican side of the aisle."
- Speaker Daniels: "The record will so reflect. Mr. Clerk, take the record. There are 109 Members answering the Roll and a quorum is present. Representative Biggert is recognized for a Motion."
- Biggert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to recommit House Bill 2975 to the Rules Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? You've heard the Lady's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye';

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Lady's Motion and request to recommit House Bill 2975 to the Rules Committee is hereby adopted. House Bills Second Reading. Whoops. Excuse me. Introduction of Resolutions."

Clerk McLennand: "Introduction of Resolutions. Senate Joint
Resolution #83, offered by Representative Churchill, the
Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Daniels: "Committee Reports."

Clerk McLennand: "Committee Reports. Committee Report, offered by Representative Brady, Chairman from the Committee on Personnel and Pensions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken on March 22nd, 1996, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 2763 and House Bill 322; 'do pass Short Debate' House Bill 2524; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' House Bill 2616. Committee Report from Representative Johnson, Chairman from the Committee on Judiciary for Criminal Law, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken on March 22nd, 1996, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 3136, 3448, 3305; House Bill 14; House Bill 205; House Bill 3511, 2028, 3668. 'Do pass as amended' House Bill 3281, 3233, 3348; House Bill 3455, 2518, 3617; House Bill 3449 and 1249. Committee Report from Representative Rutherford, Chairman from the Committee on Constitutional Officers, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken on March 22nd, 1996, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' House Bills 2799 and House Bill 3157. Committee Report from Representative Lawfer, Chairman from the Committee on Aging, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken on March 22nd, 1996, reported the same back with the

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

following recommendations: 'do pass as amended' House Bill 3547; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' House Bill 3238. Committee Report offered by Representative Maureen Murphy, Chairman from the Committee on Revenue, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken on March 1996, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 17; House Bill and House Bill 3546; 'do pass as amended' House Bill 3133, 2900, 2695, 3635 and House Bill 3447; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' House Bill 43, 427, and House Bill 3282. Committee Report from Representative Churchill, Chairman from the Committee on Rules, to which the following Joint Action Motions were referred, action taken on March 22, 1996. reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do approve for consideration' to the House floor, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill #3349. Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3367 and Floor Amendment #4 to House Bill 1056.

- Speaker Daniels: "House Bills Second Reading. House Bill 1065 (sic-1056). Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #1056, Bill's been read a second time previously. Committee Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted. Floor Amendment #3 has been adopted. State mandate note and a fiscal note have been filed on the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "This is House Bill 1056."
- Clerk McLennand: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Leitch, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leitch."
- Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment #4 finally resolves all the cleanup language necessary to resolve the dispute between the

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

municipals and the investor-owned utilities and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Granberg: "Representative Leitch, is this the agreement between

Illinois Power and the IEMA in your area that you and

Representative Mautino had worked on the last year?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Yes, it is."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "So there is no disagreement between the IEMA or Illinois Power or the areas impacted? And in fact you have reached this resolution with complete agreement by every interested party. Is that correct?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Yes, we resolved the people's gas problem. We resolved all the different interests among the parties."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you. I just want to compliment Representative

Leitch and Representative Mautino for working so diligently

on this issue over the past year. I know they've

encountered a great deal of obstacles and they've overcome

them by working together. I think they've done a wonderful
job and I rise in support of the Amendment."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Leitch, moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 2617.

Representative Wirsing. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2617, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Wirsing."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wirsing."

Wirsing: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment to House Bill 2617 simply clarifies an addition to the membership on the Organ Transplant Task Force. The reason simply for the Amendment was to clarify the additional membership on task force so it would be more specific and identifiable. What the Amendment does as far as additional membership, it adds a representative from the Illinois State Medical Society, representative from the Illinois State Coroners Association, representative Illinois Hospital and Health Care System Association, adds a member from the Mid-American Transplant Services, adds a member from the Illinois Department of Public Aid, and it adds a member representing the Illinois Eye Bank Community."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, Representative
Wirsing moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1. All
those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The
'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is hereby adopted. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 2618. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2618, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note, state mandates note, and judicial note have been filed on the Bill 'as amended' by Amendment #1."

- March 22, 1996
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill #2697. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2697, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Credit Union Act. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted. Committee Amendment #3 was withdrawn. Committee Amendment #4 was referred to Rules. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note filed 'as amended' by House Amendments #1 and 2."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 2773. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2773, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. A fiscal note has been filed. A state mandate note has been requested on the Bill. It's not been filed."
- Speaker Daniels: "The state mandate note has been requested and not filed. Hold the Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 2819. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2819, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Committee Amendments #2 and 3 were referred to Rules. No Floor Amendments. A fiscal note has been filed on the Bill 'as amended' by House Amendment #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 2836. Read the Bill."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2836, a Bill for an Act relating to income funds of public universities. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. A fiscal note, state mandate note, and pension impact note have been filed on this Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 2860. Read the

- 106th Legislative Day March 22, 1996
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2860, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note has been filed on the Bill 'as amended' by House Amendments #1 and 2."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 2927. Read the Bill."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2927, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. Committee Amendment #2 was withdrawn. No Floor Amendments. A fiscal note has been filed on the Bill 'as amended' by House Amendment #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3128. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3128, a Bill for an Act concerning the protection of collateral pledge to insure payment or performance under a credit agreement. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note has been filed on this Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3271. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3271, a Bill for an Act to amend the professional Boxing and Wrestling Act. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note, state mandate note, and home rule note have been filed on this Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3300. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3300, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. No

- March 22, 1996
- Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note and state mandate note have been filed on this Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3349. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3349, a Bill for an Act concerning motor vehicle leasing. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Saviano, has been 'approved for consideration'."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Saviano."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is just a technical Amendment which switches the language, doesn't change the language. It just switches the language from Section 80 to Section 75. I would ask for the Floor Amendment #2 to be adopted. Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor
 Amendment #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye';
 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment
 is...Mr. Granberg, is that alright? Amendment's adopted.
 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3367. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3367, Bill's been read a second time previously. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Rutherford, is approved for consideration."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Rutherford."
- Rutherford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #2 would change the effective date in regards to special event licensing to be effective upon becoming law rather than January 1st of 1997."

- March 22, 1996
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3512. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3512, a Bill for an Act to amend the Private Correctional Facility Moratorium Act. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was referred to Rules. No Floor Amendments. A fiscal note has been filed on the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3520. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3520, a Bill for an Act to amend the Comprehensive Health Insurance Plan Act. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendments #1 and 2 were withdrawn. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note has been filed on this Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3549. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3549, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Lottery Law. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note has been filed on the Bill 'as amended'."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3618. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3618, a Bill for an Act relating to civil immunity. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. No Floor Amendments.

 A fiscal note, state mandate note, and judicial note have

- March 22, 1996
- all been filed on the Bill 'as amended' by House Amendment #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3625. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3625, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. No Floor Amendments. A fiscal note and state mandate note have been filed on the Bill 'as amended'."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bill 3629. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3629, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. Floor Amendment #2 was referred to Rules. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Rutherford, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Rutherford."
- Rutherford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 3 is actually going to become the Bill. The difference in Amendment 3 and what the underlying Bill is bringing in some consideration that was brought up in questions of some Members of the committee. Representative Cross and Representative Mautino had some excellent ideas and we're incorporating it. Amendment 3 would provide that an individual who has come to realize that they've got certain medical conditions that could cause unconsciousness needs to report it to the Secretary of State's office within 10 days of discovering this. It also provides that a law enforcement officer who may have reason to suspect that a collision came about due to the medical condition of the individual, as defined by the Driver's License Medical

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Review Law of 1992, would need to report this to the Secretary of State's office as well. Also what we've done in this Amendment to clarify for our law enforcement officers if they would receive the same immunity that's granted members of the Driver License Medical Advisory Board and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Granberg: "Representative, I see the language, 'have reason to suspect'. Can you tell me where that language came from or how you would interpret that phrase?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Rutherford."

Rutherford: "What we had done is, we'd met a number of times with law enforcement groups, the Sheriffs Association, the Chiefs of Police and so forth. In part came through those discussions. To kind of answer how 'for reason to suspect' is for example, the person says it. They were in an accident. They said, 'I'd lost consciousness'. There may have been an eyewitness there that could have said they saw them pass out. Something like that would be some examples."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "So in your conversations with those groups, Sir,
Representative, there is complete unanimity with the
Secretary of State's office? The Secretary of State's
office is in agreement with the language. I see that they
are standing by you with that great input they always have
and so they're in agreement with the language. The groups
that you work with on this are all in agreement?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Rutherford."

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Rutherford: "I'm sure you recognize the great respect and admiration that I have for George Ryan and I can assure you that I would not present legislation unless Secretary Ryan and his staff is completely on board this. Definitely Secretary Ryan, the police groups are adamantly supporting this effort."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Was there anyone from the Attorney General's office involved with those meetings, Representative, where they'd add input on what that language would provide for?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Rutherford."

Rutherford: "No, Representative, there was not. But we've not had any concerns expressed to us from them, either."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Deering."

Deering: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Deering: "Representative, along that same line of questioning there, 'the reason to suspect', is the intent of this Amendment or anything of this Amendment, would that infringe on anyone's insurance coverage? If there was an auto accident and there was a reason to suspect that there was a condition that caused loss of consciousness, could an insurance company come back and say, 'this is something that we choose not to cover'?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Rutherford."

Rutherford: "No, Representative, it would not. As it's statutorily outlined here, this information would be confidential information under the purview of the Secretary of State. The issue primarily though on the reason to suspect was put in there on the behest of law enforcement

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

so that it does not put them in a compromising situation, that it does leave it somewhat open-ended to the extent that they're going to use their best effort, but not under an obligation. They're not under an obligation to make a medical decision."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Deering."

Deering: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Rutherford moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments. A state mandate note and a fiscal note have been filed on the Bill 'as amended'."
- Speaker Daniels: "Okay. Hold that Bill on Second Reading.

 Sorry, Mr. Clerk, say that again on the notes."
- Clerk McLennand: "A state mandate note and fiscal note have been filed on the Bill 'as amended'."
- Speaker Daniels: "They have been filed. Okay, thank you. We'll move that Bill to Third Reading. That's House Bill 3629, Third Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bill 366. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill #366. Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Daniels: "Third Reading. House Bills Third Reading.

 House Bill 2664, Representative Hoeft. Read the Bill, Mr.

 Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2664, a Bill for an Act that amends the School Code. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoeft."
- Hoeft: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2664 is the logical

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

completion of the waiver process. Last year if you remember correctly, we passed 23 different areas of waivers for the school districts in the State of Illinois. Many of those waivers were individual waivers for one district and had no application for the rest of the A committee got together to look at the entire waiver group and figure out which one of these we could agree upon, should be removed from law so that the school districts in the State of Illinois did not have to apply time and time again for the waivers. We came up with six different waivers that we believe should be removed from the law or given flexibility in the law so the school districts could not or did not need to apply. I think it's important to note the groups that met together, the IFT, the School Alliance, the School Board, Democrat and Republican House Education Members. We met twice and came up with this list of six different topics that we believe ought to be removed from law or create flexibility so that the 900 school districts in the state do not have to go through the process. I think it would behoove us to go through them very briefly in terms of the six areas and then I will be open to questions. The first area allows school treasurers to live outside their Cook County districts. The second allows school districts to serve reduction in force notices using two of the three categories of first class mail, certified mail. or hand delivered with signature. third area allows school boards to establish immunization extension date from the first day of school, all the way up to the exclusion date we presently have, The fourth area allows school boards to October 15th. conduct full day in-service..."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Clinton, Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Granberg: "Representative Hoeft, I believe Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4,

7 and 8 had been adopted to this Bill. Is that correct?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoeft."

Hoeft: "That is correct."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "So let's go through them briefly, Representative. On the first Amendment, you indicated that the treasurer is no longer required to be a resident. Was there any opposition to that in Committee?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoeft."

Hoeft: "None."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "And Representative, the rationale for that was to make it easier to these smaller school districts to appoint a treasurer? Is that correct or was there some other reasoning behind that language?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoeft."

Hoeft: "The Cook county schools are entirely different in terms of their treasurers. There was a waiver asked so that the school districts could have greater flexibility in choosing qualified people for this."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you. In regard to Amendment #2, was there any opposition by the IFT or the IEA to that provision?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoeft."

Hoeft: "No, there was not."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you. And regards to Amendment #3,

Representative, wasn't this similar to legislation we

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

passed last spring with the immunization issue? Is this a rehash of that legislation or did this change that provision?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoeft."

Hoeft: "I do not know what legislation you're talking about.

This allows us to establish a date for the exclusion of students. It puts in a due process system and a notification system for the protection of people."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you. And Representative, one last question.

With Amendment #8, that would now make Casimir Pulaski Day optional for all school boards throughout the state. Is that correct?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoeft."

Hoeft: "It does not make it optional. There are two ways that
Casimir Pulaski can be celebrated: one through a full
holiday which we presently have and one through a
commemorative day in which curriculum must be dedicated to
the importance of this individual and to the lives of
Americans."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Representative. No further."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman from DuPage,
Representative Johnson. Tom Johnson, your light is on,
Sir."

Johnson, Tom: "Sorry. Will the Speaker yield or the Sponsor yield? Will the Speaker yield as well?"

Speaker Daniels: "Never yield. Representative Hoeft, will you yield to the Gentleman? He indicates he will."

Johnson, Tom: "Doug, I just have one quick question. I want to fully understand this is setting up categories where these are automatically granted. They don't have to submit

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

formal applications and go through that big review. Is that correct?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hoeft. Representative Johnson in the Chair."

Hoeft: "That is correct, Representative Johnson."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson, Tom: "Thank you."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook,

Representative Monique Davis, proceed."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this legislation and I would just like to say in working with Doug Huff...Doug Hoeft, I'm sorry, we all came to. Doug Hoeft left us a number of years ago. Doug Hoeft and I worked very congenially in his office and the proponents of this Bill include the State Board of Education, the Illinois Statewide School Management Alliance, Special Education Administrators, Illinois Federation of Teachers, SCOPE, Educational Research Development, ED-RED, and the large unit districts. I think for the number of hours that we put in and the cooperative spirit in which everyone worked, I think it's a very good piece of legislation and I do urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Gentleman from Whiteside,
Representative Mitchell, proceed."

Mitchell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too rise in support of this Bill. I worked very closely with Dr. Hoeft. He did an excellent job. A good spirit of cooperation in the chamber itself, in the meeting from both sides of the aisle, it was quite refreshing. We need to do more of these things. We need to look at the waivers as they come through. We need to see if there's something that will benefit all school districts if they're no longer mandated

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

and do away with those things that are not important to the education of our children. I hope that in the future Dr. Hoeft continues to look closely at these things and I appreciate being a part of that committee. I certainly urge a 'yes' vote. Thank you."

- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Kaszak.

 Representative Kaszak, do you have questions or comments?"
- Kaszak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to address a concern I have regarding portions of this Bill. I have real problems with the removing of Casimir Pulaski Day as one of the holidays. I see no reason why Pulaski Day should be treated any differently from any of the other holidays. That it's my understanding that what this Bill provides is that Casimir Pulaski Day is given a different treatment than the other holidays and I think that's inappropriate and I think he was a fine hero that should...where we should continue to maintain his holiday. So I object to it because of that provision."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Seeing and hearing no further discussion,
 the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane,
 Representative Hoeft to close. Proceed."
- Hoeft: "This Bill will do a great deal to cut down on the paperwork of our school district. It'll cut down in the work necessary by the state board. It'll cut down work necessary for these Members of the General Assembly. It is a no nonsense Bill that allows a great deal of efficiency to be brought into the waiver process. I would ask a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 2664. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2664 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

- voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Schoenberg, go ahead and vote. You okay? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 91 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 14 voting 'present'. This Bill having received a Constitution Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 2701."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2701, a Bill for an Act that amends the IL Vehicle Code. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Hartke. Proceed."
- Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

 The IL Vehicle Code authorizes the use of oscillating blue lights for members of ambulance services whether they are paid members or unpaid volunteers. The statute calls unpaid volunteers...well, how can you be a paid volunteer? So this piece of legislation simply removes the word volunteer, from the statutes. I'd appreciate your support and would answer any questions."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black. Representative Black, proceed."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. First an inquiry of the Clerk. Is this Bill on Short Debate?"
- Clerk McLennand: "Yes, the Bill's on Short Debate."
- Black: "I'm joined by 63 of my colleagues to leave it on Short

 Debate. But I would like to know if the Gentleman would

 yield?"
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "He indicates he will. If we could give Representative Black and Hartke your attention. Proceed, Representative Black."
- Black: "Representative, I remember this Bill in Committee. This

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

simply means that a volunteer on an ambulance district, et cetera, going to the garage where the ambulance is stored could use an oscillating blue light to help him or her get there a little quicker, correct?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Yes."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Black."

Black: "That individual still must follow all applicable traffic laws as to, you know they can't run red lights, they can't exceed the speed limit. We're not putting people on the road who could drive 90 miles an hour in order to shave 30 seconds off an arrival at the ambulance garage. That's your interpretation, right?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "That is true, Representative Black. The only thing that this oscillating blue light does is it alerts other drivers to give you the courtesy to let them know that you are going to an emergency situation or to retrieve the ambulance at the garage to make sure that you can get to the accident and so that other drivers can give them courtesy."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Black."

Black: "One last question that you were going to check on. Since this now becomes a blue light Bill, you are going to make certain that this does not conflict with any copyright of any retail giant that could come back and sue us because this is a blue light special Bill. Have you checked on that?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Well, I'm going to be honest with you. I don't recall you asking me that in Committee, but before I, you know, gets to the Senate and so forth, I'll check out with a

- March 22, 1996
- certain retail group whether this is going to bother their blue light special or not."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Seeing and hearing no further debate, the

 Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Effingham,

 Representative Hartke to close."
- Hartke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just ask for your support on this piece of legislation."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2701 pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'.

 The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 104 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no' or 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitution Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 2711."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2711, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Farm Development Act. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Gentleman from Jo Davies, Representative Lawfer, proceed."
- Lawfer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. House Bill 2711 amends the Illinois Farm Development Act. It sets up a livestock guaranteed program, a specialized livestock quaranteed program that would allow increased loans, quaranteed loans to be issued by the Development Act, under the Development Act. What it just updates limits. It does allow limits up to one \$1,000,000. This is under the existing Bond Act currently in place. What is does is just modernize their loan program, the loan program that is made through guaranteed loans through local lenders. This is specifically targeted for livestock operations, such as

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

beef, dairy, and swine. I'll be glad to answer any questions of Members of the House."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Gentleman from Effingham,
Representative Hartke, proceed."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Indicates he will."

Hartke: "Currently the Farm Development Authority has how much lending ability? What is their limit?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Lawfer."

Lawfer: "The total limit on issue is \$35 million bond issue."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "How many of those dollars are now out in the field in the agriculture sector?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Lawfer."

Lawfer: "13.9 million."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "This piece of legislation then does not increase that loaning authority at all in the number of dollars over all that are available?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Lawfer."

Lawfer: "It does not increase the amount of bond issue."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Can an individual who has maybe a loan with the Authority already for land, for example, also be able to apply for additional lending for a expansion or beginning enterprise in a livestock operation?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Lawfer."

Lawfer: "Yes. Existing programs now are the beginning farmer or restructured of debt. Most of those are topped out at 300,000. If there was an individual that had that type of loan now, wish to expand under this specialized livestock

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

guaranteed program would expand his operation, he could do so."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke, further questions?"

Hartke: "Is there a possibility let's say that brothers or father

and son could each apply for a million dollars under this

program and then be granted this loan?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Lawfer."

Lawfer: "If they were separate operations, they could. However, if they were proposed to combine into a partnership or a family operation where they're all one operation, they would be limited to that amount."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Would this piece of legislation allow for a father to sell his livestock operation to his son and his son then apply for a loan under this program?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Lawfer."

Lawfer: "Yes."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Then there is absolutely no limit other than the million dollar cap? How many members are on the board that make the decision and who appoints those members of the board?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Lawfer."

Lawfer: "There are seven members of the board and I believe they're appointed by the Governor. Most of these are agriculture related type people on the board. After the loan is initiated by the lender, then the application goes into the Farm Development Authority and then the Authority reviews that loan, makes sure it meets all the criteria and then the board is the final action on that loan."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Would an individual be allowed to apply for one of these loans to become a shareholder in one of the mega-farms that

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

we're talking about now?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Lawfer."

Lawfer: "This would be for individual operations. It would not be part of a... could not be a part of a larger operation that I understand as such as you've described it because there would not be split financing. In other words, financing for this would be for the total operation, would not be used in conjunction with other moneys to formulate an enterprise."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Well, Representative Lawfer, it's my understanding that some of these huge operations do sell shares into their systems. For example, one mega-farm is using 18 shares to be sold. Seventeen of those shares would be to farmers and that farmer or individual shareholder would receive one-seventeenth of the production of that facility each year. He could use a portion of these dollars then to buy a share, but the facilities themselves where he would finish the products that he would buy from that corporation on his own operation. Would that be allowed under this legislation?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Lawfer."

Lawfer: "According to the guide rules that have been drawn up, the applicant must be a principal operator and involved in the specialized livestock operation. So the scenario that you just mentioned I do not believe would qualify because it would be part of a larger operation."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hartke, you have 15 seconds."

Hartke: "Well, I would contend that he may be able to because that would be an intergral part of his finishing operation and that would be able to have a supply of young livestock

- March 22, 1996
- for him to finish out in the operation. So he could apply for it, right?"
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Just answer the question, Representative Lawfer and we'll proceed."
- Lawfer: "I do not think that that fits the guide rules that are being drawn up by the Farm Development Authority."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Hearing or seeing no further discussion, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jo Davies, Representative Lawfer to close."
- Lawfer: "Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. I believe that this does bring the Farm Development Authority Act up to modern agriculture. This was started 15 years ago. Agriculture has changed. I believe that this is, a vote for this is a vote for economic development for the livestock industry in the State of Illinois. I urge a 'yes' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2711 pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'.

 The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received a Constitution Majority, is hereby declared passed."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 2747."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2747, a Bill for an Act in relation to the disposal of florescent and high intensity discharge lamps. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak. Proceed."
- Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Committee Amendment #1 became the Bill and

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

it took a lot of work to get a lot of the opposing sides, get their opposition taken away so we can try to get a reasonable Bill passed through the Legislature. What essentially does is deals with the proper disposal of florescent and high intensity discharge lamps classified as hazardous waste. What the Bill does specifically is the following: prohibits the disposal οf florescent and high intensity discharge lamps in municipal waste incinerators after July 1st, 1997, but exempts homeowners. There is only one municipal incinerator in the State of Illinois that's in operation and that is in the City of Chicago. I've spoken to the City of Chicago Representatives and they say they have no problem with this provision of the Bill. As a matter of fact, they encourage it. It also directs the Pollution Control Board to authorization from the United States EPA to include florescent and high intensity discharge lamps as a category of what they call universal waste and then to adopt those regulations which classified these types of lamps universal waste. However, the EPA is considering adopting a different type of a rule in high intensity discharge or managing florescent lamps may come under a separate rule. Anyway, the Bill gives the Pollution Control Board the flexibility to adopt either one of these rules. Until the Board adopts universal waste rules, mercury-containing florescent and high intensity discharge lamps will handled as hazardous waste under current law. requires the EPA to study the problems associated with used florescent and high intensity discharge lamps in order to identify possible collection and recycling systems and report its' findings to the General Assembly by January 1st, 1998. The Agency study and report should identify

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

methods of handling mercury containing lamps so they can be diverted from landfills and garbage incinerators to either recycled removing the thus source of mercury contamination from the environment. It also requires the removal of florescent lamps from large appliances, meaning refrigerators and display cases in stores that have become old before they are disposed of in landfills. Removal of these lamps from large appliances will eliminate this additional source of mercury contamination. It exempts florescent and high intensity discharge lamps from being classified as special waste under current law. There is currently one small business in the entire State Of Illinois that recycles florescent lights and it's Southwestern Will County. It's called Everlights Corporation. This Bill is designed to help and encourage a fledgling industry in the State of Illinois. It is not a mandate that we recycle lamps. It is not a mandate that they be banned from landfills because much of that will rely on what rules come down United States Environmental Protection Agency. We think this is a good beginning. It's a reasonable Bill and all parties agreed to it in the committee. And I'd be more than happy to entertain any questions."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "On the Bill, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black. Proceed."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor
yield?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative Novak, the Bill as originally introduced said you could not dispose of a florescent light tube in a landfill which means that if I were to change the florescent lights in my kitchen at home, I couldn't put it

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

in my garbage. Now if I heard you, and your explanation, of course, was rather hastily done, I couldn't hear everything, the prohibition against putting a florescent tube in a landfill has been removed, correct?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Representative Black, you are correct. That is correct."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Black."

Black: "So as amended, it says that a florescent tube cannot go to a municipal waste incinerator, that correct?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Once again, Representative Black, you are correct. That is correct."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Black."

Black: "Alright, let's see if we can do three for three here. I see nothing in the Amendment that mentions where most of the problems have come from in florescent lighting and that is the ballast...is your Bill silent on ballast...or will you deal with that later or what happens to the ballast...when I change that out?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "The Bill does not mention ballast Representative Black.

The initial Bill as I indicated, Representative, just florescent bulbs and the end result with the Committee Amendment #1 still maintains the characterization of bulbs.

It does not mention ballast. Possibly when the U.S. EPA. adopts either the waste rule or the lamp rule that they are currently considering, it might include that."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Black, further questions?"

Black: "Yes, one other question so that I can keep this straight

in my mind. If I'm reading the analysis correctly of the

Amendment, it's not going to impact any household use.

What about a warehouse that my brother has where he may

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

change out 200 florescent lights over a weekend? In a sense, that would be a commercial or business enterprise. Does he then have to do any other disposal than what he's ever done? I think he just puts them out in the dumpster and they're hauled away. Now does this mean a commercial enterprise would have to make some other arrangements to dispose of hundreds of florescent tubes?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Representative Black, no it does not. Let's assume that the business was in the City of Chicago and the garbage was going to the municipal incinerator. It would be up to the Streets and Sanitation Department to separate that out of the garbage. But no, no changes, no changes are made at all as far as the disposal is concerned of burned out bulbs from the user to where the garbage stream is."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Black."

Black: "I have no further questions. Thank you, Representative

Novak and thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Recognizes the Gentleman from...further recognition? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak to close."

Novak: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the We did a lot of work on this Bill and as I said, it's a beginning. There's only one small business that recycles bulbs. They do it on a contractual basis with school districts, local municipalities, and industry. It is a fledgling industry and I think the State of Illinois needs to encourage this industry because it creates jobs. It's а small business. This is entrepreneurialship at its best. I would certainly ask for your favorable consideration of this legislation. Thank you."

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of this Bill. And the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2747 pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Is your light not functioning, Representative Mautino?"
- Mautino: "If you could have the electrician check this, my light has not been functioning correctly here. I'd like to be recorded as 'yes'."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "You're on the Roll Call as voting 'yes'.

 That's what you want to be? Okay. Mr. Clerk, close the
 Roll. On this question, there are 97 voting 'yes', 7
 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having
 received a Constitution Majority, is hereby declared
 passed. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 2918."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2918, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Horse Racing Act of 1975. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik proceed."
- Wojcik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2918 amends the Illinois Horse Racing Act of 1975. It provides that all racetracks shall be exempt from making a portion of the charitable contribution for backstretch workers required by the Act for years where no live racing is conducted at the track."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "On the Bill, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Hoffman proceed."

Hoffman: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Indicates she will."

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Hoffman: "Yes, this Bill, does it take any or does it increase payments by any racetracks?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Representative, I believe that the tracks would take up the deficit for the track that would be closed."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Would Fairmount Racetrack have to pay any more?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "If Fairmount was closed, they would be exempt from paying. Then the other tracks that were open would pick up their deficit. If Fairmount was open and Sportsmans was closed, then Fairmount would pick up the deficit from Sportsmans. It's agreed to, Representative."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hoffman, further questions?"

Hoffman: "Yeah. Agreed to by whom?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "By the track owners."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "So the owners of Sportsmans Racetrack and the racetracks that have to pay in the additional amount of money, they agree to this Bill?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "It is my understanding from testimony in Committee that they have."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Well, I was in Committee, I don't recall them
 testifying."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "They did not testify, but the question was asked. I believe it was...the question was presented to the Gentleman who was testifying on behalf of the racetracks."

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Well, you know I don't recall the whole testimony. What I do recall is that the question may have been asked, but I don't know. I can't remember the exact response, but the person who testified was testifying for the owners of the Quad Cities Downs which is closed. I understand the impetus behind the Bill and I have some concern and I personally will probably vote in favor of the Bill. But what I think people need to know is people who racetracks that could be affected because I know Fairmount would not be affected by this Bill because it's a downstate track. People who have racetracks and they employ their district and they use it as a source of employment, I think they probably need to be assured that this won't be impacting them in a negative way. I don't recall that being the testimony, to be very frank you."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik, do you wish to respond?"

Wojcik: "Representative, when the proponents and opponents were put into the record, there were no opponents. Actually, a track would benefit with this Bill because if they were closed down, they wouldn't have to pay the backstretch tax. So it's beneficial for all tracks. It's not singling out anyone. So there was no opposition to this Bill."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "I have nothing further."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Brunsvold proceed."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and I would stand in support of the Lady's Bill. Quad City Track has been closed to live racing since 1993 and we're struggling to keep

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

something going there. And I applaud her efforts to help us in that vein and I would ask for support from this side of the aisle."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Thank you. Further questions? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg proceed."

Granberg: "Thank you. Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Indicates she will."

Granberg: "Kay, I just want to make sure I understand this. The Quad Cities will now be excused from having to pay this, is that correct?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "That's correct."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "It was my understanding that the rationale was since
Quad Cities had quit running races that they needed this,
but do they have OTB's there currently?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Representative Granberg, we have no idea."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg, proceed."

Granberg: "So it was my understanding that the Quad Cities in fact did discontinue racing, but they have an OTB there so that's still in operation. Does your staff or can anyone confirm that?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Representative, OTB's are not live races."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "That's what I meant, Representative. They
 discontinued live racing, but there is an OTB there, is
 that right?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "I'm not privy to the fact that there is an OTB, but if

- March 22, 1996
- there is an OTB there, they do not fall into the legislation because it's not live racing."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "So if Quad Cities does in fact or if they are in fact operating an OTB there, then they would not be impacted by this legislation?"
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Representative Granberg, they don't have any backstretch workers with OTB's. There's nobody there. There's no live persons that would be concerned about this."
- Granberg: "Well, Representative, I think that's the point we're trying to figure out why they're going to get this break if in fact they're actually raising revenue there based on the OTB."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Representative, it's not only Quad City that's going to get this break, it's Fairmount, Sportsmans, Arlington, and all the other tracks that have live racing in the State of Illinois. So it's not singled out for one track."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Well, Representative, I beg to differ on that because Sportsmans is racing. All these other tracks are racing so they're not going to be given a tax break. They are racing. They're paying in. Now Quad Cities is the only one that I think is impacted by this because they're the only ones that have discontinued live racing, but in fact they're raising revenue because they have an OTB in operation. Isn't that right?"
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Representative Granberg, if Sportsmans ceased operation,

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

they would not have to pay the tax. If Fairmount ceased the operation, so this Bill is for all. As it reads, it says, 'provides that all racetracks shall be exempt from making a portion of the charitable contribution for backstretch workers if there is no live racing conducted at the track."

- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Proceed with questions, Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Well, Kay, that's the point, I think. This is specific legislation for the Quad Cities because they are the only ones impacted to this point in time. The other racetracks have live racing. Quad Cities is the only one that quit, but Quad Cities has an OTB so they're raising revenue so we're giving a specific tax break to Dick Duchossois and I'm not quite sure why we would do that." Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "The tax break is reflective on all racetrack ownership.

 It's for the backstretch fund. When there's live racing, they will give in to the backstretch fund. If there is not live racing, they will not have it. Currently, it's Quad City Downs. It could be Fairmount. It could be Sportsmans. It could be any track that would have this.

 Right? I just would ask that the Bill would be passed favorably."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg, your time is drawing to a close. Proceed."
- Granberg: "Thank you. In closing and with all due respect to the Sponsor, Representative Brunsvold, Quad Cities should not have the OTB if they quit live racing. That's the point. We're giving one specific tax break to one company, one location. These other racetracks are not impacted because they do have live racing. Quad Cities discontinued live

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

racing. They still have the OTB. So now they want the best of both worlds. So with all due respect to the Sponsor, I intend to vote 'no' because I don't think we should single out one track and one owner for a tax break."

- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Stephens proceed."
- Stephens: "Well the Gentleman on the other side of the aisle is, I think. whether in error or in bad judgement, misrepresenting this. What this is about Backstretch Charity Fund. If you want to make sure that this fund is continued to be supported and you care about the workers who work during live racing on the stretch, those people who get up at 4, 3:30 in the morning, 4:00 and go down and do their work and are in need of the funds available through this charity fund, then you want to support House Bill 2918. If you don't care about those people who work on the backstretch, men and women, working Illinoisans, then you should vote 'no'. And that's what your vote will be reflected as."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Thank you, Representative. Seeing and hearing no further discussion, the Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik to close."
- Wojcik: "I think it's a very fair Bill and I think it reflects the industry and the problems that could be faced by any racetrack within Illinois. I ask for your favorable passage."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2918 pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 92 voting 'yes', 10 voting 'no', 3

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 3166."

- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3166, a Bill for an Act that amends the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Biggins, proceed."
- Biggins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I urge passage of House Bill 3166, proposed by the Department of Financial Institutions. The Bill does the following: it amends the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act and makes the following changes: (1) property held in an IRA or Individual Retirement Account shall not be presumed abandoned until five years after the owner attains the age at which distributions from the IRA become mandatory: (2) when unclaimed property fails to sell at an auction and is offered again at a subsequent sale, additional published notice is required for the subsequent sale; (3) gives the department authorization of destruction or disposal of worthless items found abandoned safe-deposit boxes: and (4) of unnecessary language from the Act's definition of 'business association' and removes the current one-year deadline on a sale of unclaimed property. I'd hope the Members would give it an 'aye' vote. I'd be glad to answer questions."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, on the Bill, Representative Lang. Proceed. Representative Lang, your light was on. Do you wish to proceed?"

Lang: "I yield my time to Representative Granberg."

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "I was going to recognize Representative Granberg next anyway. Does that mean you want 10 minutes, Representative Granberg?"

Granberg: "Sure."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "If that's your request, your request will
be granted."

Granberg: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "He indicates he will."

Granberg: "Representative Biggins, has the language dealing with the weapons, has part of that been deleted since the original Bill?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Biggins."

Biggins: "Yes, it has."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "So is there any provision in there about the
 forfeiture of weapons? Is there any language left in the
 Bill currently?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Biggins."

Biggins: "No there's not."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "So the Bill, Representative, just deals now with IRA's?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Biggins."

Biggins: "Well, it deals with...IRA's are included in the Bill,
 yes."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "So, Representative Biggins, when you describe the Bill, could you please just repeat for the Members on this side, IRA's are included? Could you just briefly describe what matters will be included then for the Department of Financial Institutions?"

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Biggins, in response."

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Biggins: "Yes, the present state law requires that property which is inactive for five or more years be treated as dormant. Dormant property may be presumed abandoned and handed over to the Department as abandoned property. However. many IRA's are not touched by their owners because of tax considerations. House Bill 3166 on page 5, lines 4-7. changes the IRA dormancy standard from five years inactivity to five years after the owner reaches the senior citizen age at which distribution of the IRA becomes mandatory under federal tax law. Currently that law is 70 1/2 years old. Thus, a saver's IRA in Illinois would not be presumed abandoned until the owner was at least $75 \ 1/2$ years old."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Well fine, thank you, Representative. I have no further questions."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Hearing and seeing no further questions, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Biggins, to close."

Biggins: "I urge an 'aye' vote on House Bill 3166."

Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3166

pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'.

The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 103 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no' or 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Be at ease. One moment. Mr. Clerk, please read Senate Joint Resolution 83."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Joint Resolution #83, offered by Representative Biggert, the Adjournment Resolution, resolved by the Senate of the 89th General Assembly to the

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, March 22nd, 1996, the Senate stands adjourned until Monday, March 25th at 4:00 p.m. and the House of Representatives stands adjourned until Monday, March 25th, 1996 at 2:00 p.m., adopted by the Senate, March 22nd."

- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Biggert moves that suspend HR 3-6a so that we can immediately consider the Adjournment Resolution, namely SJR 83. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Biggert moves that House Resolution 3-6a be suspended allow immediate to consideration of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed by voting The Chair or the 'ayes' have it. Representative Biggert now moves that the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 83. Those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Motion passes. Representative Granberg, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I could not hear. Did the
 Chair indicate that Representative Ryder has introduced the
 Constitutional Amendment for the tax increase for
 education? Was that the Resolution you were talking
 about?"
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Chair did not so indicate."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Give the Chair and the Members your attention. We are not yet adjourned. Order of House Bills Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 379."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #379, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. Committee

- March 22, 1996
- Amendment #2 was referred to Rules. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note has been filed on the Bill 'as amended'."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 885."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #885, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipalities. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 Committee Amendment #1 was referred to Rules. Committee Amendment #2 was adopted. Fiscal note has been filed on the Bill."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 2670."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2670, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Police Training Act. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments.

 A Fiscal Note and Correctional Budget Impact Note have been requested."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 2691."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2691, a Bill for an Act that amends the Health Care Worker Background Check Act. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. No Floor Amendments. A Fiscal Note has been requested."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 3052."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3052, a Bill for an Act that amends the School Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. No Floor Amendments. State Mandate note has been requested on the Bill."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 3057."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3057, a Bill for an Act that amends

- March 22, 1996
- the Park District Code. Second Reading of this House Bill.

 Committee Amendment #1 was withdrawn. Committee Amendment

 #2 was adopted. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 3662."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3662, a Bill for an Act concerning business technology and other developments. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted.

 Committee Amendment #2 failed. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 3677."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3677, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. Committee Amendment #2 was referred to Rules. No Floor Amendments.

 A fiscal note has been filed on the Bill 'as amended'."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 3613."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3613, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal Note has been filed on the Bill 'as amended'."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 2596."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #2596, a Bill for an Act that amends the School Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. State mandate note and fiscal note have been filed on the Bill."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 3631."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3631, a Bill for an Act that amends the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of this House

- March 22, 1996
- Bill. A fiscal note and state mandate note have been filed on the Bill 'as amended' by Committee Amendment #1. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 3091."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Bill #3091, a Bill for an Act that amends the School Code. Second Reading of this House Bill. Committee Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 4 were referred to Rules. No Floor Amendments. Fiscal note and state mandate note have been filed."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "While we're at ease, the Chair would like to extend its appreciation to Members on both sides of the aisle for its attention and civility today and I'm sure this attitude will continue throughout the next two months.

 Thank you very much."
- Speaker Johnson, Tim: "Representative Wennlund now moves that the House stand adjourned until Monday, March 25th, 1996 at the hour of 2:00 p.m. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed saying 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Allowing for any Perfunctory time for the Clerk, the House now stands adjourned until Monday, March 25th, 1996, at the hour of 2:00 p.m."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Perfunctory Session will be in order.

 Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills."
- Clerk Rossi: "The House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

 Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill

 3723, offered by Representative Lindner, a Bill for an Act
 in relation to dedication of school lands and facility
 impact fees. House Bill 3724, offered by Representative
 Weaver, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

Insurance Act. Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 1357, offered by Representative Durkin, a Bill for an Act in relation to the county impact incarceration program. Senate Bill 1296. offered Representative John Jones, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Controlled Substances Act. Senate Bill 1303. offered by Representative Pankau, a Bill for an Act amending the Counties Code. Senate Bill 1319, offered by Representative Black, a Bill for an Act amending the Downstate Public Transportation Act. Senate Bill 1353, offered by Representative Tenhouse, a Bill for an Act amending the Rights of Crime Victims and Witnesses Act. Senate Bill 1404, offered by Representative Pankau, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes. Senate Bill 1416. offered by Representative Zickus, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Vehicle Code. Senate Bill 1418, offered Representative Hoeft, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Senate Bill 1420, offered by Representative Mulligan, a Bill for an Act amending the Township Code. Senate Bill 1425, offered by Representative Brady, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Insurance Code. Senate Bill 1428, offered by Representative Balthis, a Bill for concerning the abatement of property taxes. Senate Bill 1456, offered by Representative Zickus, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Pension Code and State Mandates Act. Senate Bill 1463, offered by Representative Balthis, a Bill for an Act concerning local transfer taxes. Senate Bill 1471, offered by Representative Parke, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation of the financial industry. Bill 1472, offered by Representative Zickus, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Public Aid Code. Senate Bill 1518, offered by Representative Leitch, a Bill for an Act

106th Legislative Day

March 22, 1996

amending the Unified Code of Corrections. Senate Bill 1546, offered by Representative Murphy, a Bill for an Act relation to Senate Bill 1599, offered by taxes. Representative Balthis, a Bill for an Act amending the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act. Senate Bill 1650, offered by Representative Novak, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. Senate Bill 1763, offered by Representative Biggert, a Bill for an Act concerning the Secretary of State. Senate Bill 1783, offered by Representative Saviano, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Human Rights Act. Senate Bill 1799, offered by Representative Balthis, a Bill for an Act regarding liens. Senate Bill 1800, offered by Representative Balthis, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Municipal Code. Bill 1854, offered by Representative Lawfer, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Senate Bill 1912, offered by Representative Durkin, a Bill for an Act in relation to installment sales. First Reading of these Senate Bills and House Bills. Introduction and First Reading of Resolutions. House Joint Resolution 97, offered by Representative Rutherford. House Joint Resolution 98, offered by Representative Boland. House Joint Resolution 88. offered by Representative Deuchler. Being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned. The House will reconvene on Monday, March 25th, at the hour of 2:00 p.m. The House now stands adjourned."

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS 89TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

97/04/16 09:36:51

MARCH 22, 1996

HB-0379 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	47
HB-0885 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	48
HB-1056 SECOND READING		
	PAGE	10
HB-1296 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
HB-2596 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	49
HB-2617 SECOND READING	PAGE	11
HB-2618 SECOND READING	PAGE	12
HB-2664 THIRD READING	PAGE	20
HB-2670 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	48
HB-2691 HELD ON SECOND		
	PAGE	48
HB-2697 SECOND READING	PAGE	13
HB-2701 THIRD READING	PAGE	26
HB-2711 THIRD READING	PAGE	28
HB-2747 THIRD READING	PAGE	32
HB-2773 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	13
HB-2819 SECOND READING	PAGE	13
HB-2836 SECOND READING		
	PAGE	13
HB-2860 SECOND READING	PAGE	14
HB-2918 THIRD READING	PAGE	37
HB-2927 SECOND READING	PAGE	14
HB-3052 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	48
HB-3057 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	48
HB-3091 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	50
HB-3128 SECOND READING	PAGE	14
HB-3166 THIRD READING	PAGE	44
HB-3271 SECOND READING	PAGE	14
HB-3300 SECOND READING	PAGE	14
HB-3349 SECOND READING	PAGE	15
HB-3367 SECOND READING	PAGE	15
HB-3512 SECOND READING	PAGE	16
HB-3520 SECOND READING		_
	PAGE	16
HB-3549 SECOND READING	PAGE	16
HB-3613 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	49
HB-3618 SECOND READING	PAGE	16
HB-3625 SECOND READING	PAGE	17
HB-3629 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	17
HB-3631 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	50
HB-3662 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	49
HB-3677 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	49
	-	
HB-3723 FIRST READING	PAGE	50
HB-3724 FIRST READING	PAGE	50
SB-0366 SECOND READING	PAGE	20
SB-1303 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1319 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1353 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1357 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1404 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1416 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1418 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1420 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1425 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1428 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1456 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1463 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1471 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1472 FIRST READING	PAGE	
		51
SB-1518 FIRST READING	PAGE	51
SB-1546 FIRST READING	PAGE	52
SB-1599 FIRST READING	PAGE	52
SB-1650 FIRST READING	PAGE	52
SB-1763 FIRST READING	PAGE	52
SB-1783 FIRST READING	PAGE	52
SB-1799 FIRST READING	PAGE	52
SB-1800 FIRST READING	PAGE	52
		J-

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 002

STATE OF ILLINOIS

89TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MARCH 22, 1996

SB-1854	FIRST READING	PAGE	52
SB-1912	FIRST READING	PAGE	52
HJR-0088	FILED	PAGE	52
HJR-0097	FILED	PAGE	52
HJR-0098	FILED .	PAGE	52
SJR-0083		PAGE	9
SJR-0083		PAGE	47
SJR-0083	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	47
JSR-0005	ADOPTED	PAGE	3
JSR-0005	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	3

97/04/16

09:36:51

SUBJECT MATTER

JOINT SESSION TO ORDER	PAGE	1
SPEAKER DANIELS IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	1
PRAYER - FATHER JOSEPH KERBER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - REPRESENTATIVE LINDNER	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	8
GOVERNOR JIM EDGAR	PAGE	4
REPRESENTATIVE TIM JOHNSON IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	24
HOUSE ADJOURNED	PAGE	50
HOUSE PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	50
HOUSE PERFUNCTORY SESSION ADJOURNED	PAGE	52