65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

- Speaker Giglio: "The House will come to order. The Chaplain for today is the Reverend Rick Ozee of the Bethel Baptist Church in Bourbonnais, Illinois. Reverend Ozee is the guest of Representative Weller. The guests in the gallery may wish to rise for the invocation."
- Reverend Rick Ozee: "Shall we pray? Our Heavenly Father, we thank You for this opportunity to share with this House of Representatives. We pray that You will Bless each one today as they go about their business. We know that they have other concerns other than the business of this House. They have family that they are concerned with. We know that their lives sometimes are stressed. We pray that You'll give them ease today. Father we have so much knowledge that we pray for wisdom as You guide them today in Jesus' name. Amen."
- Speaker Giglio: "We'll be led in the Pledge by Representative Morrow."
- Morrow et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Giglio: "Roll call for attendance. Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Bernard Pedersen is excused due to illness today."
- Speaker Giglio: "Let the record indicate, Mr. Clerk. Take the record. On this question there are 117 answering a quorum call. No absence on the Democratic side. 117 answering the roll call. A quorum is present. The Clerk informs the House that it's ready to do its business. Messages from the Senate."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Clerk Rossi: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Harry, Secretary.

'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to the Bills of the following titles to wit; Senate Bill 712, Senate Bill 964 and Senate Bill 1096. Action taken by the Senate May 24, 1993. Jim Harry, Secretary of the Senate.'"

Speaker Giglio: "Agreed Resolutions."

Rossi: "House Resolution 889, offered by Speaker Madigan; Clerk House Resolution 890, offered by Representative Walsh; House Resolution 891, offered by Representative Stephens; House Resolution 892, offered by Representative Brady; House Resolution 893, offered by Representative Noland; House Resolution 894, offered by Representative Maureen Murphy; House Resolution 895, offered by Representative Parcells; House Resolution 896, offered by Representative Curran; House Resolution 897, offered by Representative Dart; House Resolution 898, offered by Representative Pedersen; House Resolution 899, offered by Speaker Madigan; House Resolution 900, offered by Representative Kubik; House Resolution 901, offered by Representative Bugielski; House Resolution 902, offered by Representative Walsh; House Resolution 903, offered by Representative Kubik; House Resolution 904, offered by Representative Parke; House Resolution 905, offered by Representative Capparelli; House Joint Resolution 44, offered by Representative Kubik; Senate Joint Resolution 65, offered by Representative Dunn; Senate Joint Resolution 67, offered by Representative Curran; Senate Joint Resolution 68, offered by Representative Tenhouse; Senate Joint Resolution 69, offered by Representative Tenhouse; Senate Joint Resolution 70, offered by Representative Tim Johnson; House Resolution

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

906, offered by Representative Hannig; House Resolution 907, offered by Representative Steczo; House Resolution 908, offered by Representative Steczo; House Resolution 909, offered by Representative Steczo; House Resolution 910, offered by Representative Steczo; House Resolution 911, offered by Representative Steczo; House Resolution 912, offered by Representative Steczo; House Resolution 913, offered by Representative Roskam; House 914, offered by Representative Erwin; House Resolution 915, offered by Representative Prussing; House Resolution 916, offered by Representative Cowlishaw; House Resolution 917, offered by Representative Cowlishaw; House Resolution 918, offered by Representative Cowlishaw; House Resolution 919, offered by Representative Lou Jones; House Resolution 920, offered by Representative Stephens; House Resolution 921, offered by Representative Curran; House Joint Resolution 45, offered by Speaker Madigan."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Currie moves for the adoption the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'aves' have it. Resolutions are adopted. On the Order of Concurrences, page 2 of the Calendar appears House Bill 293, Representative Flinn. Out of the record. Representative Turner on House Bill 1037. Is the Gentleman in the Out of the record. Representative Lopez, House chamber? Bill 1305. Out of the record. Representative Burke? Representative Burke in the chamber? Out of the record. Representative Levin. Representative Levin in the chamber? House Bill 1392, Out of the record. Representative Mul...excuse me. Excuse me. Out of the record. House Bill 1410, Representative Deuchler. Do you wish to call this Bill?"

65th Legislative Day May 25, 1993

Deuchler: "Yes."

concur."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Deuchler, House Bill 1410."

Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. т move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 and Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 1410. Senate Amendment 1 amends Electronic Fund Transfer Transmission Facility Act provide that a terminal may not bear any form of financial institution proprietary advertising other than simply a the notice identifying financial institution established that particular ATM. In Senate Amendment 2, which contains further technical information expanding on Amendment 1 and adds the technical Amendment that includes point of sale terminals as well as ATM's, and I move to

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1410?' And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1410, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1411, Representative Moore. Andrea Moore, do you wish to call this Bill? House Bill 1411?"

Moore, A: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1411, as passed by the House, states that each state agency and the state university shall file with the minority and female owned business council it's annual report of its utilization during the preceding year. The Senate Amendment to House Bill 1411 was drafted by the Republican Staff to clarify

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

that annual report and covers the expenditures for the first six months of the current fiscal year, and it also specifies that the annual report will include the last period spending. And I would move to concur with this Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,
 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 to House
Bill 1411?' And on that question, all those in favor
 signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open.
 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
 all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question
 there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House
 does concur in Senate Amendments...Senate Amendment #1 to
 House Bill 1411, and this Bill having received the required
 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the
 Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 293. The Gentleman
 from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to concur in Amendment #1 and I plan to move to nonconcur in Amendment #2. So, I would move that we concur in Amendment #1 at this time. And what that does, it returns the original language back to the statutes referring to 1% a month interest rather than a 1/2% which the original Bill called for. So I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 293?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 112 voting 'yes' and 3 voting 'no', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 293. The Gentleman now moves to

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 293. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 293. House Bill 1426, Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks in the chamber? Out of the record. House Bill 1427. Out of the record. House Bill 1427. Out of the record. House Bill 1440, Representative Novak. Representative Novak in the chamber? House Bill 1440?"

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I move that we accept...or concur in Amendment to House Bill 1440. Description of the Senate Amendment deletes the provision that a wholesaler who has designated sales territory to а distribute the brewers...brewers product, will have the right distribute all of the brewer's beer products and beer brands, the brewer chooses to sell and distribute in sales...sales territory. This Amendment is in agreement with the Associated Beer Distributors of Illinois and brewers that do business in the State of Illinois. for your concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Will the Sponsor yield? Does 1440 still retain the 'come to rest' provisions in the original Bill, the reach-back pricing?"

Novak: "Yes, it does."

Mautino: "Okay, also 'come to rest'?"

Novak: "I believe so. I believe so, yes."

Mautino: "Excellent. Good Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1440?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye';

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1440, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Currie on House Bill 1476. Representative Currie in the chamber? Out of the record. Representative Schakowsky, 1498. Representative Schakowsky? (House Bill) 1498? The Lady from Cook, Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we concur in Senate Amendments #2, 1 and 2, which were designed to meet the objections of the nursing home industry. The changes that were made are now agreed to and I know of no opposition to this legislation which is designed to protect the rights of nursing home residents, vis-a-vis the use of restraints and psychotropic drugs. They say all parties are now on board with this legislation and I would urge that we all concur in the Senate Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1498. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 House Bill 1498, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Steczo on House Bill 1532. The Gentleman

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

from Cook, Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would ask that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1532. What the Senate did was deleted the Industrial Hygiene Technologists from the licensure requirements of this Bill and also exempted persons licensed under the Architecture Practice Act from the provisions of this Bill as well. There was also language that was provided that clarifies that this is not a practice Act, that this is a Title Protection Act, and also indicates by the presented action that all individuals required to be licensed under this new Act shall register with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency within 90 days of the effective date of the Act. Mr. Speaker, I would answer any questions, but now would ask that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1532."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will...or he will."

Black: "Representative, it's very hard to hear in here. Does the Senate Amendment remove the licensing Act requirement for industrial hygiene technicians?"

Steczo: "Representative Black, yes it does, and also exempts people licensed under the Architecture Practice Act as well."

Black: "Okay. So as amended, we are not creating a new license Act here at all. Correct?"

Black: "All right. That's what I wanted to know. Thank you very much."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1532?' On that all those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open and this is action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. question there are 89 voting 'yes', 25 voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill having received the 1532, and this Bill required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Hartke, House Bill 1532. House Bill 1543, the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1543. (House Bill) 1543 went over to the Senate as a television receiver Bill that would authorize television receivers in the front seat of smart cars and they placed an Amendment on it to clean up a But they also incorporated language dealing with that. House Bill 149, by Representative Saltsman, dealing with infectious wastes; House Bill 698, by Representative Schakowsky, dealing with some cleanup language there; Representative Black's piece of legislation, 1198, Illinois Commerce Commission language; Representative Laurino's 1229, dealing with some problems they had with the adjudicating vehicle compliance violations; Again, Representative Black's 1513 dealing with limousines and lengths and sizes of buses, I do believe well as Representative Stephens Bill, 1646, with the Secretary of State's cleanup language and Representative Lang's language, as well, dealing in Cook County/DuPage about drivers license for the Secretary of State. It may

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

be very difficult, but I'll try and answer any questions that anyone may have on all these pieces of legislation and, if not, I move to concur again and would appreciate your support."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments

#...Representative Hartke?"

Hartke: "I also forgot to mention that when they enrolled and engrossed this piece of legislation in House Bill 149, there was a technical error and I am going to be writing the Governor and ask him to fix that on its face. There's a reference to a wrong section. It...it...the Bill references a 3.81 and it should be a 3.84. It is simply a technical matter and I think that can be corrected."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1543?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1543. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1552. Out of the record. House Bill 1575, Representative Lang. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2. It's on the issue of condominiums. This would allow the condominium board and managers to lease a unit after obtaining possession in a judgement pursuant to a written lease. It will also delete language along for exception for requiring notice to be given to

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

unit owners concerning adoption of proposed annual budget, if it is provided in a condominium instrument. This passed overwhelmingly in the Senate. I move concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1575?' And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment House Bill 1575, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative John Dunn, House Bill 1576. Do you wish have that Bill heard, Sir? The Gentleman from Decatur, Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1576.

This is language inserted at the request of some of the parties involved with this legislation. It passed the Senate without controversy. This Bill is agreed upon. I know of no opponents. I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1576.' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1576, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Bugielski, House Bill 1637. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bugielski."

- Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1637.

 Senate Amendment #1, what that does, it increases the maximum amount of materials, supplies and equipment that may be purchased by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation in an emergency, from \$25,000 to \$50,000, and Amendment #2 would annex the water reclamation district with the Rich Township, and I move to concur on these two Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
- Kubik: "Yes, Representative, you said you...you're concurring with both Amendments? What does Amendment #1 do?"
- Bugielski: "Amendment #1 would increase the amount of money that they would be able to spend in cases of an emergency from \$25,000 to \$50,000. There has been many cases where there have been sewer collapses and as you know they endanger the public health, and all they are saying is that they would like to increase that amount from 25 to \$50,000 in case...in cases of emergencies only."

Kubik: "Well, Representative, what is the current procedure?"

Bugielski: "The current procedure is where it's up to \$25,000, and over the past several years the cost of sewer construction has increased so dramatically that when you have a major sewer collapse that all they are asking is for the increase in the amount that they could spend without going through the open bidding process. And for your information, Lake County just was increased up to 100,000."

Kubik: "Well, Representative, what...what happens? I mean

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

if...if its over 25,000 then the...then what happens? Does the Superintendent handle this or who actually handles this?"

Bugielski: "This is only in cases of an emergencies (sic-emergency). This isn't for regular construction or repair, this is in cases of emergencies only."

Kubik: "I understand. But if it goes above \$25,000 as it is in current law, who then makes the decision to spend the money?"

Bugielski: "The Board and the Superintendent."

Kubik: "The Board and the Superintendent?"

Bugielski: "I would imagine. I'm not positive, Sir."

Kubik: "What constitutes an emergency?"

Bugielski: "When a sewer collapses, that would constitute an emergency."

Kubik: "I mean, I don't have the Bill in front of me, but I was wondering what would constitute an emergency?"

Bugielski: "A disaster, sewer collapse, where it is endangering the health of the public."

Kubik: "Well, Representative, I think that we ought to take a long look at this...this Bill before we pass it because it does change significantly, and I...I would ask my Members on the Republican side of the aisle to pay attention to this, that it does dramatically effect the amount of...of contracts that can be issued. These are contracts. Let's face it. These are emergency contracts that can be issued without allowing the Board to make a judgement as to whether these are good or bad and I think that the question of emergency is an open question. We ought to tighten that particular provision to make sure that this provision is not used to skirt the law. So, I would think that maybe what we ought to do is send this Bill to conference and

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

tighten up these provisions so that we can make sure that these provisions aren't used in a less than good manner. So, I would hope that we could oppose this Motion and make some changes in the language which is very wide this point and make sure that this isn't abused. We...we've spent a lot of time this session talking about how contracts are being issued and they're not being issued properly. And here we're saying, we're going to double the amount of contracts that can be issued by this authority without going to the Board of Trustees, and I don't know about you, but I think that before we do that we ought to make sure that this language is very, very tight and that it's going to work. So, I would hope that our side of the aisle and some of my colleagues on the Democrat side of the aisle might look at this Amendment and...and oppose it at this time. So, I would hope we would vote this Concurrence Motion down."

Speaker Giglio: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dart."

Dart: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Dart: "The second Amendment here, which expands the Sanitary
District. Why are we doing that?"

Bugielski: "This was on behalf of the Republican side of the aisle."

Dart: "This was for the Republicans and the Sanitary District is okay with having this...?"

Bugielski: "Yes, they are. They accept this..."

Dart: "And the expansion of the bonding authority to the year 2001, Representative?"

Bugielski: "Right. This is all an agreed between both sides of the aisle with the Metropolitan Water Reclamation

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

District."

Dart: "Thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Wennlund, are you seeking recognition? Representative Bugielski to close."
- Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to clear up some problems that the other speaker on the other side mentioned. First of all this is for supplies only. This is a Republican Amendment that has been put on. It passed the Senate by 57 to 0. This is not something that we put on. This is a Republican Amendment that was put on to increase the fees. The same thing happened in Lake County where it went up to \$100,000. This is only for \$50,000 and I'd ask for a favorable 'aye' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1637?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Lang, 'present', Tony. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 24 voting 'yes', 78 voting 'no', and the Gentleman's Motion fails. Representative Bugielski?"
- Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to nonconcur on the Senate's Amendments #1 and 2."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1637.

 Representative McAuliffe on House Bill 1642. The Gentleman from Cook..."
- McAuliffe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1642. Senate

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Amendment #1 is technical in nature and Senate Amendment #2 provides for the fact that an of...that an officeholder be removed from office for a felony conviction and not wait until he's sentenced."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1642?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1642, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1687, Representative Schakowsky. Representative Schakowsky in the chamber? Do you wish to call this Bill? The Lady from Cook, Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the legislation that regulates prizes and contests. It passed out of this House, I believe, unanimously. The same in the Senate. It's on the Agreed Bill List. But in any case, since it's appearing, I ask for your support. I know of no organized opposition to this. It's had bipartisan support all the way and it protects our Illinois consumers from being defrauded by prizes and contest claims that aren't valid, and I urge its support."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House
Bill 1687?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye';

opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
all voted who wish? Martinez, 'aye'. Take the record. On

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

this question there are 117 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1687, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1729, Representative Currie. Representative Currie in the chamber? Out of the record. Representative Homer, House Bill 1733. Representative Homer? Out of the record. Representative Wennlund on House Bill 1739. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2. The Senate Amendment 1 merely changed the name from 'financial institution' to 'financial intermediary' and included it with specified development corporations and authorities for the purposes of making it possible for DCCA to make more money available in the loan program. And Senate Amendment #2 adds language to provide that the Act takes effect on its becoming law and that's all they do. They really...technical changes put in by the Senate. I move to concur."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1739?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Salvi, 'ave'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Martinez, 'aye'. Schoenberg, 'aye'. Ronen, 'aye'. Levin...take the record. On this question there are now 116 voting 'yes', voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments and 2 to House Bill 1739, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Black, House Bill 1761. Read the Bill. Mr. Black? The Gentleman from Vermilion,

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The underlying Bill is the Veterinary Practice Rewrite. It cleared the House I think the unanimously. Senate Amendment, Senate Amendment #2, I believe, let me make sure I'm right. Senate Amendment #1 incorporated three Bills, House Bill 2092, which creates the sunset rewrite of the Occupational Therapy Practice Act. Also, incorporates House Bill which passed the House 112 to nothing. It amends the Professional Counselor and Clinical Professional Counselor Licensing Act. It changes the membership of that Act Advisory Board, 7 Member Advisory Board, to 2 licensed counselors, 3 licensed professional counselors, 1 full-time faculty member of an accredited college and member. That's different than current law. Senate Amendment #2 provides that veterinarians, which is the underlying Bill, privilege against disclosure is waived when the following laws are involved: communicable disease, cruelty to animals or public health and safety. I would move to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1761."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Dart: "Representative, what was...Amendment #1 makes numerous changes. Is that...was that as a result of an agreement with different parties or what...what is it?"

Black: "Yes. It is my understanding that Senate Amendment #1 incorporates House Bill 2092 which was a Balthis-Lou Jones Bill. It creates the sunset rewrite of the Occupational Therapy Practice Act and that passed the House unanimously. It also..."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Dart: "Did it get caught up in Rules then over there?"

Black: "Yes, it did. The same way with House Bill 61, which was...Representative Steczo-Ostenburg-Cowlishaw Bill that also got caught up in the Senate Rules Committee. It passed the House unanimously."

Dart: "Okay, thank you."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1761? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Novak?"

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask Representative Black a question."

Novak: "Representative Black, is there a fee on this Bill?"

Black: "Not to my knowledge."

Novak: "There is not a fee on this Bill?"

Black: "There's no fee increase. Obviously..."

Novak: "No, wait a minute. You say there's no fee increase, but there's a fee on this Bill?"

Black: "When the veterinarians renew their license, sure they have to pay a fee."

Novak: "Okay, you know I had a Bill yesterday, it got two votes."

Black: "What?"

Novak: "I had a Bill yesterday that got two votes. My good friend and companion, Representative Granberg, stuck with me and all everybody else went down the tubes, for a lousy...for a lousy \$5.00 fee. So, I just want to let everybody know there's a fee on this Bill, so I think we

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

should see some more red votes up there."

Black: "Well, in all due respect to the Gentleman from Kankakee,

I don't think we want to see our veterinarians go
unlicensed, and they're certainly in agreement with
whatever fee they pay, and we pretty much have an agreed
Bill here. But I...if I hadn't got carried away yesterday,
I would have stuck with you too, Representative."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, 112 voting 'yes' and 4 voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1761, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Flinn, House Bill 1797. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Amendment #...I move for adoption of Amendment #1. What it does in addition to correcting a drafting oversight, it adds flexibility to the formation of the savings bank and holding company permitting any reorganization with the approval of the Commissioner, of course. In 1989, at the time of enactment of the Savings Bank Act, a single method was recognized for the formation of a holding company. that time the federal regulators permit variations in the organiz...reorganization procedure and the request for this change was suggested by attorneys specializing in the reorganization of related matters. It actually goes on to permit savings and loan and banks to invest in areas where there are rather high risk loans, small loans. I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment?"

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none. The question is,

'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Bill 1797?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Morrow, 'aye'. Gash, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, 113 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1797, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Mulligan, House Bill 1392. The Lady from Cook, Representative Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that...to concur with Senate Amendment #1. Senate Amendment #1 creates the Illinois Small Business Surety Bond Program to assist contractors in obtaining bid performance and payment bonds for private sector public utility and government contracts. The surety bond guarantee will facilitate the bonding process for contractors who do not meet surety industry underwriting criteria. This Amendment came out of the Senate 56-0. The original Bill which has been left intact and this Amendment has just been added to it, came out of the House 113-zip. I would be willing to answer any questions and hope that you will give me a favorable vote on this."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Morrow."

Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I also rise to concur with the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1392. I want to thank DCCA and Representative Mulligan for their fine work that they've done on this Bill. I just rise to concur. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1392. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1392, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Hicks on 1426. The Gentleman from Jefferson, Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 on House Bill 1426. Some problems that certain people certainly in this House had with it and also in the Senate, I believe, have been taken care of with Amendments 1 and 2 and I'd ask for their adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1426?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all who wish? Gash, 'aye'. Take the record. On this guestion there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1426, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Hicks, 1427. Out of the record. House Bill 1803, Representative Frederick. The Lady from Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1803. The Senate simply combined two Bills into 1803. Both Bills dealt with updating obsolete terminology regarding persons with disabilities and mental illness in changing the word patient to recipient. The

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Bills passed the House on Consent Calendar and were on the Agreed Bill List in the Senate, so I just move concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Granberg?"

Granberg: "Thank you. Would the Lady yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Granberg: "Virginia, does this just make the changes from mentally ill to developmentally disabled? It just changes the definition? It just changes the language throughout the course of the Amendments?"

Frederick: "It is changed throughout the whole Bill, I believe."

Granberg: "That's all?"

Frederick: "Yeah."

Granberg: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the guestion is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1803? All those in favor vote 'ave'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1803, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Steczo on House Bill 1922. Steczo, 1922. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1922. Senate Amendment #1 makes two changes. First, it clarifies a provision in an Act regarding dissolution of park districts that we passed two years ago and that was signed by Governor Edgar. Unfortunately, there was one portion of that Act that was omitted and not changed. So, this

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

clarifies that section. Secondly, Mr. Speaker, this Bill allows that the Park District Board, by a three quarters majority, can authorize the conveyance or sale of personal property in the district. This reflects the same kind of authority that other units of local government have. I would answer any questions, if not, I would move that the House do concur in the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none. The guestion is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1922?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'no'. open. Have all voted who wish? The voting is voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 106 voting 'yes' and 7 voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1922, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared Representative Lo...Lopez on 1927. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lopez."

Lopez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with House Bill 1927. Senate Amendment #1 which makes the Bill more permis...permi...permissive by stating the Department of State Police may insert a number of bilingual police officers and other personnel needed to provide services in a language other than English. It further allows, not requires Illinois State Police to not establish a bilingual pay supplement program under applicable personnel rules and department guidelines or through a collective bargaining agreement. This Bill passed the Senate 54 to nothing and I move to concur."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to be recorded as 'aye' on that

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

previous Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Let the record so indicate, Mr. Clerk. The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1927?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 5 voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1927, and this having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Lopez, you had another Bill, 1305? Do you wish to call that Bill? Out of the record. Representative Currie, 1935. Representative Currie? Out of the record. Representative Bugielski, Bugielski, 1941? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Mem...I wish to concur on Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1941. Amendment #1, this is for the Telephone Solicitation Act. Amendment #1 just changes the times to conform with federal regulations and that would be from 9 in the evening until 8 a.m. and Senate Amendment #2 would...does not apply to licensed insurance employees or agents when acting in relation to existing customers and policyholders, and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to
House Bill 1941?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed
'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
record. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none
voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

#1 and 2 to the House Bill 1941, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1974, Representative Lopez. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lopez."

- Lopez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate

 Amendment #1 and 2."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman nonconcurs. Representative Bugielski, House Bill 2013. Representative Bugielski."
- Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House
 Bill...I wish to concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House
 Bill 2013. Amendment #1 simply states that if a defendant
 commits an offense while he is released on bail that he
 must appear before the court before the bail is actually
 set and I ask for a vote to concur on Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2013?' All those in favor signify by voting opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2013, this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Saviano, House Bill 2036. The Gentleman from Cook."
- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 to House Bill 2036 is an agreement between the Management Association, the AFL-CIO and the Illinois Department of Labor. The Amendment simply incorporated language stating that pen...penalties shall be imposed in cases in which an

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

employer's conduct is proven by preponderance of evidence to be willful. This would avoid an employer making a simple mistake. We needed this language. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing...Representative Granberg?"

Granberg: "Representative, there was no opposition to this Amendment?"

Saviano: "That's correct."

Granberg: "So this agreement has been worked out by all the parties?"

Saviano: "That's correct."

Granberg: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2036?' All those favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. question there are 112 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2036, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Flowers, House Bill 2043. The Lady from Cook, Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to con...to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2043. What the Amendment does, it merely states that a senior citizens building to be identified as a day care center. We just made a technical change."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House
Bill 2043. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2043, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative McAfee, House Bill 2077. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAfee."

McAfee: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. I would move the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2077. The Amendment basically changes the title of the Bill and transfers the administration from the State Board of Ed to the Illinois Student Assistance Commission. This passed out of the House, 115, in the Senate 0. I ask for your support."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the guestion 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2077?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Representative Levin, are you seeking recognition? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment House Bill 2077, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Walsh, House Bill 2117. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House concur on
House Bill 21...on Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 2117.
All this Amendment does is make technical changes and
change the effective date."

65th Legislative Day May 25, 1993

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Walsh: "Yes."

Dart: "Repre...how does this Bill differ from Representative

Homer's Bill on use immunity?"

Walsh: "This Bill has specific offenses for which we'll use use immunity."

Dart: "And his Bill did not have that?"

Walsh: "He didn't list specific offenses."

Dart: "And this one...and that is...the only difference is that?"

Walsh: "As I understand, this Bill did go before the Judiciary II

Committee of which Representative Homer is the Chairman,

and it passed ll to nothing with 4 people voting

'present'."

Dart: "And this still contains provisions for transactional immunity as well?"

Walsh: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question."

Dart: "The transactional immunity that's still in the law too, correct? We didn't repeal that?"

Walsh: "What...whatever already was there is still there."

Dart: "Okay."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2117? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House This Bill having received the required 2117. Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2130. Representative Persico, The Gentleman from Cook/DuPage, Representative Persico."

Persico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2130. Basically, what Senate Amendment #1 does, it provides that if any local school council in the City of Chicago misuses its Chapter 1 funds in a manner not permitted by the State Board of Education, they lose this fund for the next years allocation and this fund will be distributed to all other attendance centers, and I ask for your support."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Dart: "It's...the thrust of this Amendment is that it...is the City of Chicago, if they misuse local...I mean, Chapter 1 funds then they will be prohibited from that money for next year?"

Persico: "Just for the following year, that's correct."

Dart: "And what is considered misuse of these funds?"

Persico: "Well, for example, they...the State Board of Education sets up a system where only certain things can be used with these Chapter 1 funds, for example, the childhood education, reducing class size and so on. On the example of misuse would be something like maybe sending teachers to a educational seminar."

Dart: "But...and the penalty for the misuse of this fund though is though that we will not allow them to have this money next year."

Persico: "Just the Chapter 1 funds?"

Dart: "Just the Chapter 1...and how much money is...potentially are we talking?"

Persico: "Well, probably if all local school councils misuse it, it would be millions of dollars, but we don't expect that to happen."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

- Dart: "Is there not another mechanism already in order right now that could basically be the penalty so that we aren't jeopardizing money for the school system which seems to be strapped already?"
- Persico: "This money is already allocated to the City of Chicago and it will stay in the City of Chicago. The only thing that is different is if it's misused, then it would be distributed to all other local school councils and not the one that misused it the previous year."
- Dart: "That local school council would be the only one? It still could go to other school councils within the City of Chicago?"
- Persico: "It definitely will go to other local school councils.

 It will not go back in the Chicago Board of Education's budget."
- Dart: "So the rest of the local school councils in the City of Chicago would not be a part of that, be able to get that money distributed to them?"
- Persico: "They will get the money that is misused. The one that misused it will not get it for the prev...for the next year."
- Dart: "Is...the City of Chicago give you a position on this Bill?"
- Persico: "They're the ones that suggested it. The state...I

 mean, the Chicago Board of Education suggested this Bill."

Dart: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Davis: "Representative, does there...does any group have to approve the use of those funds before they're spent in

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Chicago or elsewhere?"

Persico: "Well, the funds is at the discretion of the principal and the local school council on how they will allocate that fund. But there is a system set up where they have to use it to improve only educational opportunities, as I mentioned for example, early childhood education, reducing class size or improving adult to student classroom ratio and things like that. What I'm saying, is if they misuse this. For example, sending educators to a seminar, conference or something. That would be misuse. That's not what...why this money was allocated, these Chapter 1 funds and that..."

Davis: "Are you aware, Representative, that there is a Bill that has passed or that is ready to pass that says that the Chicago Board cannot approve or authorize the use of those funds if they can be used in any way that the local school chooses?"

Persico: "This would not be...the Chicago Board of Education would not receive this allocation that money that is being misused."

Davis: "But, I know, currently..."

Persico: "It would be distributed to all the local school councils."

Davis: "But currently...currently the Chicago Board, or it has in the past, approved the use of those funds. How they were going to be used had to be submitted and there was a person to let them know whether this was legitimate use or illegitimate use. So, now there are some people who want to remove that authority from the Board and yet we want to say here with your legislation it appears that if this money is used improperly by adults, local school council members, who may not even be there the following year. The

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

children who remain there will be the ones to suffer. Is that correct?"

Persico: "The children...the only ones...if they misuse those funds in the preceding year."

"Well, let's just say...you know, we have elections. We Davis: have elections of local school councils. Let's say there are four elected people sitting there, or whatever, and they make a decision to misuse those funds. The following year those people are not even sitting in those elected seats. There're new people in those seats. The only people remaining are those children, who have absolutely nothing to do with how those funds were being spent and yet it is the children who will suffer. To the Bill. Mr. I would urge a 'no' vote on the concurrence of Speaker. this Senate Amendment because it hurts the children who have absolutely no say on how those adults are spending the And when that legislation hits the floor that removes the Board as the authoritative one over those...how those funds should be spent, vote 'no'...vote 'yes', but on this Bill we should all vote 'no'. We should not punish children when adults are allowed to misuse the funds that are spent to educate children, and if adults choose to go on trip...trips it doesn't mean that the children the following year should suffer. I would urge a 'no' vote on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schoenberg."

Schoenberg: "Thank you, Mr....thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Schoenberg: "What is the position of the Chicago School Finance
Authority on this Concurrence Amendment?"

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Persico: "I don't see anything here, but I would say that they would be in support of it."

Schoenberg: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Burke."

Burke: "Thank' you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in strong opposition to this Amendment. You're punishing the wrong people. These school councils have one and only resource, that's their Chapter 1 money. If that is removed the children in that school suffer. If this Amendment passes, I'm going to ask to have my name removed from this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Giglio: "We have one more. Representative Lang."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. There's Lang: question that Representative Davis and Representative Burke are exactly correct. It makes no sense whatsoever to hurt children because the people running these centers are alleged to misuse the money. Schools are to educate children. We should not be punishing children for the mistakes of those who are put in positions to control those dollars. We should...if there's a desire to punish someone, find a way to deal with the local school council officials that misuse the money. Let's not be abusing children or hurting children in the...in our efforts to see that they get a quality education over some anger over what the local school council has done. This is not a good idea. I recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, thank you. The point is, that if these funds are being abused the children are not getting the benefit, the maximum benefit of their educational opportunity, and if this Bill passes and Representative Burke takes his name

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

off, I would like to, in the name of responsible spending of the childrens educational monies, be entered as a Co-sponsor."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Burke."

Burke: "Privilege? Representative, you are more than welcome if this Amendment exists and succeeds here. Again, you are not punishing the right people when you take away the funds from the children. It's the one and only resource of a local school council to administer those Chapter 1 funds, and again, you can certainly have it if this Amendment passes."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Persico to close."

Persico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I think there's a lot of misconceptions of what this Bill did. This Bill flew out of the House, I believe, something like 118 to nothing when it was first introduced, and basically, all it did was provide that any amounts misused would be charged against the center's next allocations of such funds. Now, one of the concerns was that if this money was misused, it would be sent back to Chicago Board of Education, and so, we had to clarify an Amendment in the Senate. And basically, the Chapter 1 programs which are determined by the State Board of Education, there's only certain amount...certain things that you can do with it, like early childhood and reducing class size or not. All they're saying in this Amendment is, if they misuse this money by going to seminars, lets say, this money will not be allocated to that local school council the next year and will be distributed to all the other local school councils in the City of Chicago, and I strongly ask you to support this important piece of legislation."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2130?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 55 voting 'yes' and 60 voting 'no' and the Gentleman's Motion fails. Representative Persico."

Persico: "I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. Representative Tom Johnson, House Bill 2158. The Gentleman from DuPage."

Johnson, Tom: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 which merely consolidates House Bill 2157, which was also voted out of this House by a substantial margin as 2157 was originally introduced with the Bill 2158. (House Bill) 2157, which Amendment 1 deals with, provides that a person who commits a second DUI offense and has been previously convicted of aggravated DUI for being in an incident that resulted in great bodily harm or permanent disability or disfigurement, shall be guilty of an aggravated DUI which is a Class IV felony and I move for concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Dart: "Representative Johnson, I believe we've discussed this already. The underlying Bill here changes language dealing with individuals who murder emergency medical technicians or was previously written as paramedics. It deals with the death penalty as far as for those individuals. Is that

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

correct?"

Johnson, Tom: "What it does is provide penalties for crimes committed against paramedics in the performance of their services. The penalties really would coincide and be the same as those provided for firefighters and police officers. It is where the death penalty applied to a police officers and firefighters, it would also now apply as crimes committed against paramedics."

Dart: "In...in the language...really just...it was correcting it or changing it initially, correct?"

Johnson, Tom: "That's correct."

Dart: "And the aggravated DUI section, why have we added that in the Amendment?"

Johnson, Tom: "I don't really know how the Senate operates,

Representative Dart. They consolidated a number of Bills

here, I quess. They like fewer Bills than we do."

Dart: "Yeah. Okay, thank you. To the Bill. This Bill had come up before and I just wanted Members of this side of the aisle to be aware of the fact that the underlying Bill does have something to do with the death penalty though the language is more clarifying than anything else. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Mautino: "On the Senate Amendment regarding the aggravated driving under the influence. On the second offense, do...does there have to be an accident which results in great bodily harm or could be just someone who is leaving, you know, had a few beers or whatever he's had and then gets a DUI? Does there have to be an accident involved after the second time for them to have a aggravated..."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Johnson, Tom: "No. As to the second offense, no, it would be if
the first offense resulted in an aggravated DUI and then
any subsequent offense, this provision would kick in."

Mautino: "Okay, so thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2158? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Davis."
- Davis: "Mr. Speaker, this legislation requires that a court impose a mandatory term of natural life for a defendant convicted of murdering these...these paramedics. I'm sorry, I didn't get to ask him any questions, but is there a death sentence involved in here? I think what this Amendment does is clarifies the death penalty language and those of us who are opposed to the death penalty might want to vote 'no' on this."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Frias."

 Frias: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise as a point of personal privilege. I'd like the House to join me in welcoming the graduating eighth grade class from the Francisco-Mileto School up in the gallery."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record? On this question there are 94 voting 'yes', 14 voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2158, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative DeJaegher, House Bill 2163. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative DeJaegher."
- DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General
 Assembly. I move that we concur with Senate Amendments #1
 and #3 to House Bill 2163. Both of these Amendments have
 been worked out by various financial institutions such as

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

banks, savings and loans and credit unions. Number one Amendment: The Amendment states that the Bill does not apply to banks, savings and loan associations, or other corporations that have certificates of authority authorizing the exercise of trust powers under the Illinois Corporate Fiduciary Act. Amendment #3 to Senate Bill...to House Bill 2163, as amended, states that the Bill shall not apply to credit unions or the ability of credit unions issue accounts under the Illinois Trust and Payable Upon Death Accounts Act. As I said, these Amendments have been clarified by various financial institutions. There is no dissenting votes on this that I know of or interested parties that are against this Bill. For that, I ask for concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,
 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to
 House Bill 2163?' All those in favor signify by voting
 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
 wish? Take the record. On this question, 115 voting
 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in
 Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 2163, and this
 Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority
 is hereby declared passed. Representative Schoenberg, House
Bill 2242. Representative Schoenberg."

Schoenberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2. Senate Amendment #2 is a language change which makes this permissive. It will provide the Department of Public Aid a greater flexibility in establishing a 'smart card' system for disbursement of medicaid and medicare benefits."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2242? All those in favor signify by voting 'ave'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2242, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Frias on House Bill 2280. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Frias."

Frias: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of Senate
Amendment #1. I ask that the House concur with their
Amendment. What the Amendment does, simply, is it...it
makes the Juvenile Court Act consistent with the changes in
the criminal court...rather, with the change in the
criminal law. It's a...very simple Amendment and I rise in
support of it."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,
 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House
 Bill 2280?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote
 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all
 voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
 who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 117
 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does concur
 in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2280, and this Bill
 having received the required Constitutional Majority is
 hereby declared passed. Representative Ryder, 2375. The
 Gentleman from Jersey, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to concur with

Senate Amendments #1 and 2. Both of these Amendments remove
sections from the underlying Bill. Amendment #1 removed the

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

section regarding location of passengers and the prohibition thereof on watercraft. Senate Amendment #2 removes certain requirements concerning personal flotation devices for children under the age of 13. Although I would have liked to have seen both of those Amendments remain within the Bill, the Department of Conservation, for whom I'm sponsoring this, has indicated that they will accept the remainder of the Bill, and for that reason I would move to concur."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Representative Ryder, what's the present law right now in regards to children wearing flotation devices?"

Ryder: "Representative, I'm not sure about that. I do not know."

Dart: "Are we weak...are we weakening the law, or are you just basically conceding on a...a provision that you wanted to make stronger."

Ryder: "I wanted...I wanted to strengthen the law, so the law remains as it currently is. I apologize that I can't tell you what that is."

Dart: "Oh, okay."

Ryder: "I wanted to make it stronger, and Senators showing one of their occasional lack of good judgement, didn't want to do that. So, as a result, they took out the portion of the Bill that would have made it stronger."

Dart: "I see. So, that...you aren't changing the existing law.

What you tried to do is beef it up..."

Ryder: "No, I tried...the Bill tried to change the amending...or the current law to make it stronger and more prohibitive, restrictive, I should say. But they took that portion out."

Dart: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the guestion is,

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2375?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 102 voting 'yes', 12 voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2375, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Dart, 2397. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dart."

- Dart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House does not concur to Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2397."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #2.

 Representative Currie. Is Representative Currie in the chamber? Out of the record. Representative Curran, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Curran: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If it were not against the rules, I would introduce to you the children of the fifth and sixth grade students at Feitshans Elementary School. They're part of an Illinois Department of Transportation mentor program here in Springfield. They're here today to learn about government and to see how we do things. They're up here in the Democratic gallery. If it weren't against the rules, I'd ask you to give them a round of applause."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Dart, House Bill 2408."
- Dart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2408."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The Motion carries and the House nonconcurs. House Bill 2417,

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Representative Moore. Eugene Moore. You wish to call this Bill, Sir? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Moore."

- Moore, Eugene: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I concur with the Senate Amendment #1 and that we move that it pass. And also, that we...the Amendment changed on that. It amends to provide that the present purpose of determining eligibility for the public assistance, except for payment of programs under the job opportunity basic skills and food stamp employment training programs, the Department of Public Aid, county department, and local units of government shall exclude from consideration any financial assistance received under the student aid program. Thank you very much, and I 'do pass'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to Bill 2417?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes' and 1 voting 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 20...and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2417. Bill having received the and this required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative Turner in the Chair.

 Representative Giglio on House Bill 1933."
- Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1333 (sic 1933) are agreed Amendments. The first Amendment is...applies to the Board of Pharmacy where the Department of Professional Regulations will employ two pharmacy

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Speaker Turner: "There any questions? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall House... Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1733?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'. All those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', no 'noes', no 'presents', and the House does concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1733. Representative Burke on House Bill 1347."

Burke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I again will move to concur in Senate Amendment 1. The...the Bill was called yesterday and there was some debate over the issue. I believe that we have had some resolution to the concerns that were expressed yesterday. And basically, House Bill 134...7, pardon me, creates the new offense of criminal defacement of property for graffiti offenders, but still preserves the criminal damage to property statute, only eliminating subsection h, under which graffiti offenders were previously prosecuted. And I would ask your...for your favorable roll call."

Speaker Turner: "Are there any questions? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We appreciate Representative Burke...going over this Bill with staff yesterday. We remain convinced that it does lessen the penalty, but I think Representative Burke has very clearly pointed out that there's a great deal of discretion on the parts...on the part of the state's attorney, and it would not be his intent that we lessen the penalty, and we've looked at it and we appreciate his patience and his ability to work with our staff, so I rise and support the Gentleman's Motion."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Speaker Turner: "Any further questions? The quest... Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall House... Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1347?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'. All those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this issue there are 116 voting 'yes', no 'noes', no 'presents', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1347. Representative Currie on House Bill 1476."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. This is the Bill that improves our rape shield law by virtue of following the federal rule in this regard. What we failed to do when the Bill was in the House was to insure that certain language in the federal rule that reserves the right to a defense based on constitutional grounds, is not eliminated from the state statute. In order to make sure that our law would withstand scrutiny on constitutional grounds, we concluded that we should include this language and that's what this Amendment would do. I move concurrence."

- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."
- Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to introduce a former colleague, State Representative Roger Stanley."
- Speaker Turner: "Representative, welcome. Oh, yeah. Are there any questions on House Bill 1476? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1476?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'. All those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', no 'noes', no 'presents', and

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

supervisors in addition to the seven that they have now. The Department is neutral on the Bill. The Pharmacists Association supports the Bill. Amendment #2 authorizes the granting of variances by the Department of Professional Regulations. This was an agreement between the Department, and the other one is...makes technical changes with regards to the reference of architectural and land surveying. I would ask for the concurrence of Amendment #1, 2, and 3."

Speaker Turner: "Are there any questions? Saying none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 19... Should the House concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1933?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Vote Representative Gash. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', 0 'no'...117 voting 'yes', no 'noes', no 'presents', and House Bill 1993 (sic - 1933)...is hereby declared passed. Representative Homer on House Bill 1733."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. 1733 is the Bill that removes the sper...the marital exception for the filing of sexual abuse charges, so as to eliminate the last vestige of discrimination that exists against spouses for bringing charges for this offense. And...what the Amendment did, in which I move to concur, is to re...re-establish a 30 day threshold for the filing of...of a report with the state's attorney in order for the charge to be commenced, and it would also establish that 30 day threshold for criminal sexual abuse as well as criminal sexual assault and aggravated criminal sexual assault. I would...answer any questions, and move the concurrence of Senate Amendment 1."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1476. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Currie on House Bill 1935."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. I move the House do concur in Senate
Amendment #1 to House Bill 1935. As the Bill left the
House, the Bill was...written in order to make sure that
the Department of Public Aid, if they received funds from
other than the usual sources, could use those dollars for
employment and training programs. The...language was
not...adequately drafted as it left here. A clarifying
Amendment was added in the Senate. That is Senate
Amendment 1. I move the House do concur."

Speaker Turner: "Are there any questions? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1935?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'. All those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk...shall take... Dunn 'aye' and Flinn 'aye'. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'aye', no 'noes', 1 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1935 and this Bill being hereby declared passed. House Bill 1729, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. I move the House do concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1729.

The provision in Senate Amendment #2 was originally House Bill 1125, which represented agreement that Representative Maureen Murphy worked so hard to sustain between the...Associated Employers of Illinois and the Department of Revenue on the question, 'What counts as...as...combined properties for purposes of sales tax collection?' I know of

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

no opposition and would appreciate your support for the Concurrence Motion."

Speaker Turner: "There any questions? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 1729?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'. All those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', no 'noes', no 'presents', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1729 and is hereby declared passed. The next Bill is House Bill 2400. Yeah. All right. He's asking for a caucus. I've only got one more Bill, so that's what I'm waiting... Representative Currie. I've only got one more."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. I move the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2400. The underlying Bill tries to make sure the Department of Children and Family Services responds on individual cases with assistance for the court when that assistance is appropriate. The Amendment the Senate adopted merely specifies that the court may request the Department to appear and provide the information, and it is when that happens that the provisions of the Bill take effect. I'd be happy to answer your questions and would appreciate your support for the Concurrence Motion."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "She indicates she will."

Black: "Representative, I'm not sure. Bear with me, I'm not an attorney. But given what has just happened in Chicago, as I

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

understand this Amendment, it says that only the court may request the Department to appear in court. Is that going to limit... What if a foster parent thinks they should appear in court to answer? What do they have to do, go through their attorney? The attorney then go to the court?"

Currie: "It's my understanding... This is a... The House Bill 2400 is a new provision in law, dealing with...particular times when the court might be looking at issues of child...abuse and neglect, and the underlying Bill said that the Department must assist the court in providing information, appearing and so forth. What the Amendment does is to clarify that that provision takes effect when the court has requested the Department so to do. Without this language, the Department would not be in a situation to know when it was apro...appropriate to supply information or to appear in person at a court hearing."

Black: "Okay, but it... I guess my concern is that if we're giving, and I don't imagine this is any great change in what's practiced, but if only the court may request the Department to appear, would those people involved in a contentious divorce case be forfeiting any legal right to have the Department come in and testify as to allegations in what may be a very bitter divorce case?"

Currie: "I'm sorry..."

Black: "Where one of the parties may be claiming abuse or neglect?"

Currie: "Well, I think the point of the underlying Bill was to say that the court would have access to this information and assistance, and the affect of the Amendment is merely to say that when the court wants to access that..."

Black: "Okay."

Currie: "...information and assistance, the..."

65th Legislative Day May 25, 1993

Black: "All right. I..."

Currie: "...court will ask for that help."

Black: "Okay. I think I'm beginning to see...the picture now and I appreciate your patience. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Any further questions? Representative... The

Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Representative, the major difference, apparently, is that they took out the requirement that DCFS provide full and complete information to all parties of the proceedings. That's the major thing that they pulled out of it? So, now, that it's accurate, full, and complete information to the court. Is that correct?"

Currie: "When the court requests it. I would think that the information provided would be available to other parties as well as to the court. But the major difference between the Bill we passed and the Bill as it comes back to us, is that that in order for the underlying Bill to be enforceable, the court has to ask DCFS to perform."

Dart: "Okay. Well, under the original Bill, it says that if requested by the court or any of the parties of the proceedings. Apparently...my reading of this... What has been pulled out by this Amendment is basically the parties to the proceedings being allowed to request certain things."

Currie: "There's no question the Senate Amendment limited the scope of the Bill to some extent. There's no question about that..."

Dart: "It's the only way we're gonna get it passed through?"

Currie: "I think that's a fair statement."

Dart: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "No further questions? The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

2400?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'. All those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', no 'noes', no 'presents', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2400 and the Bill is hereby declared passed. Representative Brunsvold on House Bill 1552."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment just did very little. Dealing with taxidermy, it said that the...the hides that they have must be for taxidermy permiss...purposes only. It's a very technical language and would add...ask for the concurrence of Senate Amendment 1."

Speaker Turner: "Are there any questions on this issue? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor vield?"

Speaker Turner: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, are you licensing taxidermists in this Bill?"

Brunsvold: "...No."

Black: "And there's no fee?"

Brunsvold: "Fee?"

Black: "No...no fee on... Maybe we could levy a fee on taxidermists."

Brunsvold: "I don't think I want to do any more fee Bills,
Representative Black."

Black: "Oh, is that what Representative Novak... That's right, he mentioned that earlier, didn't he?"

Brunsvold: "He wants fees on taxidermies?"

Black: "And you want to concur in Senate Amendment 1?"

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Brunsvold: "Correct."

Black: "Who is the Sponsor of that Amendment? Senator Woodyard?"
Brunsvold: "Senator Woodyard."

Black: "Well, if anybody would know about taxidermy, he would.

So, I quess it's a good Amendment."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1552?' All those in favor should vote 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no', and the voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk take the record. On this question there are 105 voting 'yes', 8 voting 'no', 1 voting... Representative Schoenberg 'no'. votes votes Representative Balanoff 'no'. Representative Davis votes 'no'. Representative Jones votes 'no'. Lou Jones. On this question there are 101 voting 'yes', 12 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1552, and Bill is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Leitch, for what do...for what purpose does the Gentleman rise?"

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to request an immediate Republican conference. We will ask about an hour."

Speaker Turner: "The Republican...requests a caucus immediately in Room 114...118. The Democrats will recess for lunch. We will return at the hour of 3:10 to deal with the Motions of Nonconcurrence. So be prepared, Ladies and Gentlemen, to come back to deal with Nonconcurrence Motions when we come back at 3:00...3:15. The House stands in recess until the Hour of 3:15."

Speaker Turner: "The House will come to order. The House will come to order. Mr. Clerk, House Joint Resolution 45, please."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Clerk Rossi: "House Joint Resolution 45, WHEREAS, Richard J. Walsh was born in Chicago, Illinois, and attended Chicago elementary and secondary schools, graduated from Loyola University, and received his law degree from the University Illinois; and WHEREAS, Richard Walsh's father was a Chicago Steelworker; and WHEREAS, Richard Walsh is a member of the Illinois Federation of Teachers Local 4438 and has been elected President of the Illinois AFL-CIO, which represents over 1,250,000 working men and women throughout Illinois; and WHEREAS, Richard J. Walsh began his work for the labor movement in 1975, when he was appointed legislative liaison for the Illinois Department of Labor, joined the Illinois AFL-CIO in 1978, and was selected President of the Illinois AFL-CIO in 1989; and WHEREAS. Richard Walsh has been working for the cause of Illinois Labor with the Illinois General Assembly for 18 years; he has gained the respect and trust of the legislators of both parties and earned their recognition as one of the best lobbyists in Illinois; and WHEREAS, Richard Walsh has been instrumental in the drafting and passage of legislation regarding workers' compensation, unemployment insurance, education, collective bargaining, prevailing wage, safety and health, right-to-know, affordable housing, wage, access to personnel records, and voter registration reform; he continues to work for issues such as pay equity, part-time benefits and Buy America, as well as efforts to sound education for all children and a fair tax structure for Illinois citizens; and WHEREAS, In his commitment to community service, Richard Walsh served on numerous boards and commissions to aid human development, among them the Illinois Job Training Coordinating Council, the United Way of Illinois, the Red

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Cross of America, the Employment Security Advisory Board, and the Board of Illinois Voices for Children; and WHEREAS, It has come to the attention of this Body that Richard Walsh has accepted the position of COPE Director for National AFL-CIO and will be leaving Illinois on June 1, 1993, with his wife, Jenifor, and son, Alex; therefore BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE it RESOLVED. EIGHTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS. THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that we congratulate Richard Walsh as he leaves Illinois; that we commend his service to working men and women throughout the State; and that we extend our best wishes to him for continued health and happiness in the future; and be it further RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this preamble and resolution be presented to Richard Walsh with our esteem and best wishes."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen If I could have your attention for a few minutes. Sitting next to me is the lobbyist for the AFL-CIO, who had to invite on the floor and while we're at ease because he would not come on the House floor if we were in session. And the only time I've ever seen him in here while we're in session is today. I knew when I first got here, Stanley Johnson, who was president of the AFL-CIO. He was a I knew a Bob Gibson, who was from Granite City, who took Stanley's place. He was a steelworker. And they were great labor leaders. I knew 'em well. I knew both of them very well, and they were great men. They're still... I think, lives in Arizona, and Bob still lives in Illinois. Both great guys. I've never meet anyone like Rich Walsh in my life, and I doubt if...if Illinois will ever see a president of the AFL-CIO as capable as Rich Walsh.

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Most of you probably don't know that he's an attorney. Instead of practicing law his whole life, he dedicated his entire life to the labor movement. He believes in it heart and soul. He lives it. Labor is his life. It is...it is his It is part of him. I've never meet a more competent individual, one of the smartest lawyers you can find. Knows everything about labor law. I've never seen a dedicated individual in my life. If Rich was in Chicago at 9:00 at night and I told him to meet me at Cairo, Illinois the next morning at 8:00, he'd drive down. No question And we'd meet for three hours and solve a about it. problem, and then he'd...we'd go out to a local bar and have a Budweiser and then he'd drive back to Chicago. No matter what you asked of Rich Walsh, Saturday, Sunday, Christmas, whatever it is, you ask him to be there, He has fought... He has fought for 18 years for organized labor in this State. He has had me come on floor and make some of the goofiest, craziest arguments of my entire life. We would sit in my office and I would argue with him for hours, and finally, I would have to come out here when George Ryan was the Speaker and try to fight some Amendments, not because I believed in any of them, only because I had to defeat them so that Rich Walsh could go into negotiations and come out with something in negotiations. I had to argue on the House floor that when a quy got hurt playing softball for the team, slid into second base, I had to argue that was a work-comp injury. I had to argue on the House floor that when a lazy bum his job because he didn't want to work, I had to argue that was entitled to unemployment insurance. Every goofy thing I can imagine that I've argued on this floor, it because I believed in this guy. Embarrass myself?

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Absolutely. But fought for him and fought for what he believed in, and came out with something in the end, something for those people that are his life. And that are...those are the 1.5 million members, 1.5 million members of the AFL-CIO in the State. This is a great union state. Organized labor has done more for this country, more for this State, than any organization in the history of the United States. And they have been fortunate to have as their leader, Rich Walsh. And now he leaves Illinois and he goes to be COPE Director in Washington, D.C. And I predict that probably within ten years, Rich Walsh will be president of the AFL-CIO for this country. A great guy, a great friend to everybody on this floor, a great personal friend of mine. I'd like you to give him a round of applause and a...to going away. Rich Walsh."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Parke: House. I would rise in also congratulating Dick Walsh for...the service that he's given to his movement and people that he represents. He's been an able opponent in the legislation that normally I've opposed. And...I think that moving on to Washington is a great thing for the State, to have him represent the Washington initiative, because he was so effective here in Illinois. And on behalf of my father, Rich, I'm sure my dad would like to also congratulate you on your promotion and wish you good will, too, rise and wish you good will, and look forward to watching your initiatives on a federal level, understanding that they probably will not be something that...that I'll find always that good, but quite frankly, you're a good man and I wish you well."

Speaker Turner: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Davis."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Davis: "I, too, rise and say that we're really sorry to see you leave, Rich, but maybe I'll pass my apprenticeship Bill with you gone. And I should know that the reason we didn't get it done is because that guy was your friend. We didn't know that. We're meeting in McPike's office and now this is his best friend. Okay. We wish you great success, and we certainly know that...Mrs. Walsh will...be very happy with you to leave Chicago and leave Illinois, and know that you'll always have a home here with us. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative McPike moves that all Members be added to House Joint Resolution as Co-sponsors. And all those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and it will be so moved, and the Resolution is adopted. Representative Kubik in the Chair for an Introduction."

Kuhik: "Thank you. I'd like to introduce a new Member of the Democratic leadership team who's going to be our speaker the day. Ladies and Gentlemen, as it is my pleasure every year, I'd like to take a moment to introduce our for today who come from the Brookfield Zoo. Now...what they do is they come down every year with a group of volunteers who are called docents, which you see some of them around the well, who volunteer their time teach young people about nature and about animals and about the wild. And so, they have come down today and joined us for the day, and many of the young people on the their children, were able to join us during the day. So what I'd like to do is introduce Jean Lindsner. Jean is the director of the docent program for the Brookfield Zoo, she could tell you a little about the program, and maybe introduce the ladies who are in the front...and what we did today. So, please, let's give a warm welcome not only to

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Beezee Bear, but Jean Lindsner. Jean."

Lindsner: "Good afternoon, Ladies and Gentlemen. I work in a zoo, and I know you all are thinking 'yeah, don't we all' but I really do work in a zoo and I'm really thrilled to do that. Brookfield Zoo is a wonderful place and what we wanted is share that we're not a zoo for Chicagoland, but for the entire State, and so that's why we bring our the road every spring. We have fewer children this year celebrating with us because it's in the middle school year if we understand. But we had a wonderful turnout, and some of the children are even here on floor with us today. I'd like to introduce the docents, the volunteer educators, who helped out today. Nancy Reem and Joanna Block, who live nearby the zoo and one of interns from the education department, Kim Thomas. We also brought along a few of our staff: Bill Torsberg, Linda Rusin; and our photographer, Mike Greer. So thank you for having us once again, and being such wonderful we hope we can come back next year. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lang, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to announce to the Body, particularly to the Members of the Judiciary I Committee, that we'll have a very brief committee meeting tomorrow morning at 11:45 for five minutes to name two subcommittees; and I would ask all Members to attend so that we can have a quick quorum and name the subcommittees to study a couple of issues over the summer."

Speaker Turner: "...I'd like to advise the Membership that we're gonna go to the Order of Nonconcurrence, and if you intend to refuse to recede to the Senate Amendments, then you should be prepared to go with your Bill. It will then be

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

assigned to conference. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Don't they have any elephants at that zoo?"

Speaker Turner: "One more time."

Stephens: "Don't they have any elephants at that zoo?"

- Speaker Turner: "I'm sure they do. We have quite a few here.

 Gentleman... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I neglected to state the room number that Judiciary I Committee at 11:45 tomorrow, will not be in our usual room, but will be in Room 114 of the Capitol. Room 114. If all Members are on time, we'll be out very quickly."
- Speaker Turner: "Thank you. On the Order of Nonconcurrence, we have Senate Bill 159, Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move not to recede from the House Amendments and ask that a Conference Committee be reported. Move refuse to recede."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede to House Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, and 6, and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, and a Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 240, Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Take this Bill out of the record, please."
- Speaker Turner: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 246,
 Representative Lindner. Representative Lindner."
- Lindner: "Yes. I refuse to recede and request a Conference
 Committee."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady refuses to recede to House Amendment #1

 to Senate Bill 246, and requests a Conference Committee.

 All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'.

 In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. A

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

- Conference Committee is appointed. Senate Bill 268. Out of the record. Senate Bill 290, Representative Krause."
- Krause: "On 290, I refuse to recede and to place it, therefore, in Conference Committee."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady refuses to recede...refused to recede to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 290, and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, and a Conference Committee is requested. Senate Bill 345, Representative Stec..."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1, 3, and 5 to Senate Bill 345. I want to ask for the appointment of a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman moves that we refuse to recede to House Amendments #1, 3, and 5 to Senate Bill 345 and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested. Senate Bill 356, Representative Kubik. Out of the record. Senate Bill 405, Representative Hannig."
- Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that I would refuse to recede from House Amendment #1, and ask that a Conference Committee be called."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman refuses to recede to House
 Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 405. All those in favor...and a
 Conference Committee be requested. All those in favor say
 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the
 Chair the 'ayes' have it, and a Conference Committee is
 requested. Senate Bill 442, Representative Erwin."
- Erwin: "I would like to refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Speaker Turner: "The Lady moves that we refuse to recede to House
Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 442 and a Conference
Committee be requested. All those in favor say 'aye'. All
those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the
'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested for
House...for Senate Bill 442. The Lady from Cook,
Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Mr. Speaker, briefly up there was my Bill, 425, and I wondered...we flashed right over it. How'd we happened to skip over that?"

Speaker Turner: "Do you wish to refuse to recede?"

Parcells: "No, I wanted to recede."

Speaker Turner: "That's why."

Parcells: "Oh, you're just doing the refusals?"

Speaker Turner: "Just the refusals."

Parcells: "Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Senate Bill 483, Representative Homer. Out of the record. Senate Bill 498, Representative Gash. Do you wish to refuse to recede? Out of the record. Senate Bill 531, Representative Brunsvold. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2, and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman moves to recede...to refuse to recede to House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 531, and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested. Senate Bill 586, Representative Schoenberg."

Schoenberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

586."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede to House
Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 586 and requests a
Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All
those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the
'ayes' have it, a Conference Committee is requested. Senate
Bill 498, Representative Gash."

Gash: "I refuse to recede from House Amendments #1, 2, and 5."

Speaker Turner: "The Lady refuse....the Lady refuses to recede to
House Amendments 1, 2 and 5 to Senate Bill 498 and requests
a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All
those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the
'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested.
Senate Bill 483, Representative Homer. Homer. You refuse to
recede to..."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would it be...appropriate to substitute a Motion to recede?"

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede..."

Homer: "No. Sir, the question is, 'would it be appropriate for me
at this time to...' I wish to recede. It's not timely?"

Speaker Turner: "We're only dealing with..."

Homer: "Thank you, and take it out of the record."

Speaker Turner: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 617,
Representative Gash. You refuse to recede?"

Gash: "Refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 4."

Speaker Turner: "The Lady refuses to recede to House Amendments 1 and 4 to Senate Bill 617 and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested. Senate Bill 677, Representative Rutherford."

Rutherford: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would refuse to recede

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

- from Amendments 1 and 4 to Senate Bill 677 and ask that a Conference Committee be..."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman refuses to recede to House Amendments 1 and 4 to Senate Bill 677 and...and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested. Senate Bill 730, Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to refuse to recede from Senate...House Amendment #2 and ask a Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman refuses to recede to House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 730 and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested. Senate Bill 841, Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would...recede from House

 Amendment...what is that, #1?"
- Speaker Turner: "Number 1. The Gentleman refuses to recede..."
- Brunsvold: "Whoa! Whoa! No. ... I want to recede from my House

 Amendment. We doing that now, Mr. Speaker?"
- Speaker Turner: "Out of the record. Out of the record. Senate Bill 899, Representative Mulligan."
- Mulligan: "I refuse to recede. I'd like it to go to Conference Committee."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady refuses to recede to House Amendment #1

 to Senate Bill 899 and requests a Conference Committee. All

 those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In

 the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a

 Conference Committee is requested. Senate Bill 926. Senate

 Bill 926, Representative Moseley."

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

- Moseley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House refuse to recede from Amendments #1, 4, 5, and 6 on Senate Bill 926, and that a Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Turner: "The Lady refuses to recede to House Amendments #1, 4, 5, and 6 on Senate Bill 926. All those...and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. A Conference Committee is requested. Rep... Representative Younge, would you step back to the podium? Senate Bill 935, Representative Walsh."
- Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House refuse to recede Amendments 5 and 7...and request a Conference."
- Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman refuses to recede to House
 Amendments 5 and 7 to Senate Bill 935 and requests a
 Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All
 those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the
 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is appointed...is
 requested. Senate Bill 937, Representative Levin. The
 Gentleman from Cook, Representative Pugh, for what reason
 do you rise?"
- Pugh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise on a point of personal privilege. We're honored this afternoon to have the John Hay Homes boxing team with us in the gallery and the...their manager, Mr. Luther Glen. They have the national champion with them and we're having a benefit...hosting a benefit for them tomorrow at On Broadway. And my Senator, Ricky Hendon, is going to be performing there and we're hopefully going to get Mr. Hendon a career in comedy so that I can move over to the Senate. Can you give the kids a hand. Could you stand, please."

Speaker Turner: "The kids for the John Hay Homes. Senate Bill

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

937, Representative Levin. I thought that was for... Out of the record. Senate Bill 940, Representative Cross. Representative Cross."

Cross: "We refuse to recede."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman refuses to recede to House
Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 940 and requests a
Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All
those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the
'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested.
Senate Bill 941, Representative McBrack (sic-Black)."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 and a Conference Committee be report..."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 941 and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested. Senate Bill Representative Hicks. Representative Brunsvold. Out of the record. Senate Bill 990, Representative McAuliffe. Representative McGuire on Senate Bill 990. The Gentleman refuses to recede to House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 990 and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee requested. Representative Lang on 240. Out of the record. Representative Kubik on Senate Bill 356. Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would refuse to recede on House Amendment #1, and take this Bill to a Conference Committee."

Speaker Turner: "The Gentleman refuses to recede to House

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 356 and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested. Messages from the Senate."

- Clerk McLennand: "Message from the Senate, by Mr. Harry, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House on the adoption of their Amendments to Bills of the following title, to wit; Senate Bills 139, 273, 289, 536, 614, 707, 869. Action taken by the Senate, May 25, 1993.'"
- Speaker Turner: "...Make an announcement. There will be a Rules Committee meeting in the morning and the notice will be posted as to the exact time of that meeting. A notice will be posted, letting you know when and where. You serve on the Rules? You serve on the Rules Committee? We'll call you. We'll let you know. Otherwise, watch the bulletin board. The Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik. Did you want something? Your light is flashing. Oh, okay. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, for what reason do you rise?"
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I have an inquiry of the Chair."
- Speaker Turner: "State your inquiry."
- Black: "I believe tradition going back some 50 or more years, there has always been a state seal behind the head of the Speaker on the cur...beautiful blue curtain, and I notice that that state seal is gone. Is that a result of budget cuts or is there a thief amongst us?"
- Speaker Turner: "No, Representative, and I didn't notice that it was gone until you just brought it to my attention, but I

65th Legislative Day

May 25, 1993

think that that means that we should be adjourning soon, and the bird just flew away."

- Black: "Well, is... Do you know anyone that sells state seals?

 That we might get a new one up there."
- Speaker Turner: "Oh, I think I do. We can rectify that for sure." Black: "All right. Thank you."
- Speaker Turner: "Senate Bill 951, Representative Hicks. Do you refuse to recede?"
- Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would refuse to recede...from House
 Amendment #1 on Senate Bill 951, and..."
- Speaker Turner: "Request a Conference...? The Gentleman refuses to recede to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 951 and requests a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee is requested. On the Order of Concurrence, House Bill 1427, Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to 1427. This Amendment simply places the drainage district and takes out the definition for...county treasurers to serve as drainage district treasurers. Be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Turner: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 14...no...Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1427?'. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair...No. All those in favor say 'aye'. All those that say 'no'. The voting is now open. This...this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', no 'noes', no 'presents', and the House

65th Legislative Day

- May 25, 1993
- concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1427 and this Bill is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."
- Skinner: "I wonder if the Speaker's found the microphone in that seal, yet?"
- Speaker Turner: "There are lots of microphones on this floor, young man. It's the one in the office that I'm worried about. The Lady from Cook, Representative Davis."
- Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I attempted to vote 'yes' on 1427, and I wish to be recorded as such."
- Speaker Turner: "And the record will so reflect. The Chair would like to make an announcement. That is that the delay was being held up to avoid the rush hour on the corner of Monroe and College. At this time... You know, all the state employees leave at 4:30, or at least there's one group that leaves at 4:30. But there's been a Motion by Representative Pugh that the House stands adjourned until hour of 12:00 tomorrow. All those in favor say 'aye'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House stands adjourned until the hour of 12:00 tomorrow. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it."

ART: TIFLDAY

STATE OF ILLINOIS 88TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

93/09/28 15:05:09

MAY 25, 1993

HB-0293 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	5
HB-1347 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	45
H6-1392 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	21
HB-1410 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	4
H8-1411 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	4
HB-1426 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	22
HB-1427 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	67
HE-1440 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	6
HB-1476 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	46
HB-1498 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	7
H6-1532 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	8
HB-1543 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	9
HB-1552 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
HB-1575 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	10
HB-1576 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11
HE-1637 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	12
HB-1637 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	15
HB-1642 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	15
HB-1687 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	16
HB-1729 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	47
HB-1733 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	
HB-1739 CONCURRENCE		44
	PAGE	17
HB-1761 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	17
HB-1797 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	20
HB-1803 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	22
HB-1922 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	23
HB-1927 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	24
HB-1933 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	43
HB-1935 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	47
HB-1941 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	25
HB-1974 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	26
HB-2013 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	26
HB-2036 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	26
HB-2043 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	27
HB-2077 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	28
HB-2117 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	28
HB-2130 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	29
HB-2130 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	36
HB-2158 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	36
H3-2163 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	38
HB-2242 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	39
HB-2280 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	40
H3-2375 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	40
HB-2397 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
HB-2400 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	48
HB-2408 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
HB-2417 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
SB-0159 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	59
SB-0246 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	59
SB-0290 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	60
SB-0345 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	60
SB-0356 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	65
SB-0405 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	60
SB-0442 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	60
SB-0498 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	62
SB-0531 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	61
Sb-0586 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	61
SB-0617 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	62
SB-0677 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	62
S3-0730 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	63
SB-0899 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	63
SB-0926 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	64
SB-0935 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	64
SB-0940 REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	65
- ·		

JRT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 002

STATE OF ILLINOIS BBTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

93/09/28 15:05:09

PAGE	65
PAGE	67
PAGE	57
PAGE	52
PAGE	1
PAGE	2
PAGE	2
PAGE	43
PAGE	57
PAGE	66
PAGE	68
	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE

MAY 25, 1993