62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The House will come to order. Representative...Mr. Deering are you ready?

 The Chaplain, for today, is Reverend Eugene Green of Trinity CME Church in Decatur, Illinois. Reverend Green is the guest of Representative John Dunn. The Guests, in the balcony, may wish to rise and join us for the invocation."
- Reverend Eugene Green: "Let us bow our heads. Dear Eternal God, our Heavenly Father, we come, at this time, asking You to guide us and lead us through this Session. Asking You to get us through legislation. Help us to make the right decisions. Help us to see through the eyes of others the needs that we can help create and make work in this state. We ask that You bless the Governor, the House, the Great House, of the State of Illinois. Bless the Senate. Bless all that are here this day, in His name. Amen."
- Speaker McPike: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Prussing."
- Prussing et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll call for attendance. Mr. Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Bernard Pedersen is excused today."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "No excused absences on the Democratic side."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there is 117 Members answering a roll call, a quorum is present. Mr. Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise on a point of personal privilege. I'd like to introduce one of the, what could be one of the most

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

effective voices for affecting health care issues in this state. One of the new voices, that could be one of the most effective representatives for medical issues for the State of Illinois, a new doctor, who has just been licensed, who's just graduated."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black, for what reason do you rise?"

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The question is, 'For what reason do you rise'?"

Speaker McPike: "Pardon me, Mr. Black."

Black: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think the question is, 'For what
 reason do you rise'?"

Speaker McPike: "Well, Mr. Black, I rise on a point of personal privilege. Two weeks ago about 190 young men and women graduated from the University of Illinois Med School, up in Chicago, and one of them has decided to become a lobbyist here for the Medical Society. He's one of the youngest doctors, in Illinois. As I say, he just got his license two weeks ago, so I'd like to introduce to you a new lobbyist for the Medical Society, my son, Dr. McPike. Mr. Black."

Black: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, for what group is he lobbying?"

Speaker McPike: "Well, he had a choice between the trial lawyers and a med society."

Black: "Is the Dean of the House aware of this?"

Speaker McPike: "Well, the next Bill that we're going to call is

Representative Granberg's Bill, doctors versus hospitals."

Black: "Outstanding."

Speaker McPike: "Yes. I'm not sure how I'm voting on this one. Representative Granberg, what is your Bill number?"

Granberg: "Senate Bill 398."

62nd Legislative Day

- May 20, 1993
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, 398. It's on Second Reading. Are there any Amendments? It's been read a second time previously. Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Granberg."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment amends the Hospital Licensing Act to require hospitals and medical staff to provide some form of do process procedures for initial medical staff applicants for privileges and current medical staff members for reconventionaling for economic reasons. I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. The Amendment is adopted. Representative Granberg, there are objections on that. There were objections and any time that there is objections when I'm in the Chair, I recognize the objections, so and on Representative's Granberg's Motion. He moved 'do pass' on the Amendment and on...Representative Skinner, on that Motion."
- Skinner: "I have a handout from the Illinois State Medical Society, which says that some suburban hospital basically fired all of their physicians and hired a new medical staff. Can you identify the hospital, please?"
- Granberg: "Representative, a suburban hosp...I don't know, what specific hospital, that was. I'd be more than happy to find out for you."
- Skinner: "My second question is, In the case of a hospital which has surgeons on call during odd hours, when the hospital is not covered, when they are not in the hospital and the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

emergency room physician calls a surgeon and the surgeon refuses to come to the hospital, would...would the pulling of the credentials of that physician from the hospital be precluded by this Amendment?"

Granberg: "Absolutely not."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Granberg: "And, Represent...Representative Skinner, that was Gotlieb Hospital."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Andrea Moore."

Moore, A: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for some questions?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Moore, A: "I understand that there is a great concern about removing privileges for doctors, in regard to...in looking only at economic issues and I want to know how many times this has actually happened, in the State of Illinois?"

Granberg: "Representative, I can not cite the actual numbers of cases. I know its, a growing concern, by doctors across the state as a matter of policy because this has happened in the past and apparently, it goes in large part unreported. I know they are very concerned that this policy will...will, in fact, grow to affect more doctors across the state. They would like to address that with this Amendment and with this Bill. As far as the specific number, it's...it's hard to...I think, hard to know because some hospitals and not good hosp...some hospitals will say it's for other reasons, so it's hard to document exactly how many cases this actually occurs with."

Moore, A: "I think that...that's really an important issue and that's...that's a number that should be addressed, because I think this is a gross overreaction to what might be fear on the part of doctors because of the requirements to

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

document and keep track of charges and I have several other questions, #1, in item #G, on page 4 of the Amendment, 180 days prior notice. That's after all the administrative issues have been exhausted? Do I understand that correctly?"

- Granberg: "Representative, under current federal law, notices, a hearing is required under federal law."
- Moore, A: "Oh, I'm not opposed to a hearing, but the...the 180 days after the hearing, after all the administrative processes have been exhausted, that's six months time after there can be up to six to eight months going through this other process that you're talking about as far as the hearing, so, in fact, if there's, if there's some other reason, this could be up to a year's time."
- Granberg: "Representative, that is not my understanding. This is attempting to address a situation where a doctor and this is...this has actually occurred, where a doctor is on the staff, he had people scheduled for surgery, he was given three days notice, that he was terminated, when people were scheduled for surgery the next week, so you have an obligation to your patients and what happens with those people?"
- Moore, A.: "Oh, I would agree, but..."
- Moore, A.: "Well, by...if you look at the practical effects of having 180 days after the, after all of the administrative process is exhausted, it would stretc: but into that. To the Bill, to the Amendment. I think this is a gross overreaction and needs a lot more work. I would agree with...with the Sponsor of this Amendment, that people should not be dismissed only in regard to economic means,

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

but as a matter of fact hospitals have to be allowed to do their job. They have to be allowed to follow through. This Amendment goes much further than protecting doctors that are currently on staff. If you look at the early provisions of this, in fact, it gets into the application for doctors for privileges on staffs of hospitals. Since when does the legislature want to get involved in telling hospitals whether or not they can have everyone in the world apply to be on their staff and the procedure that should be used to this. This Bill needs a lot more work. I think the intent is really a positive one, but I think it really goes much, much to far and would do nothing, but stimulate litigation. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Well, we...we have a number of people that wish to talk on this. Okay let...Representative Currie speak and then Representative Granberg can close and then everyone else can explain their vote. Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. I, too, rise in opposition to this Amendment. I think it goes way to far, as I read it, it would say that, for example, a hospital that wishes to dismiss a doctor, because that doctor is harassing the nurses or the patients, might not be able to do so without exposing itself to fear of litigation. I would say, this Amendment is a trial lawyers dream. What it says is, 'that a hospital may not decide to terminate a doctor, if the hospital is concerned about future quality of care issues.' It may only do so after the fact, after the doctor has shown that the doctor is not competent to provide care for a given patient for a given individual. If a hospital wants to provide charity care and the doctor says 'no', that isn't grounds for dismissal, without inviting a

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

lawsuit. If the...if the doctor is over billing patients. Over billing in substantial ways or over using hospital resources, that's not grounds for dismissal without inviting substantial lawsuit. I think this Amendment is not a good idea. It should go through the committee hearing and I would appreciate your 'no' votes."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Granberg to close."

Granberg: "Ladies and Gentlemen with due respect to the previous speakers. In those cases that would not have...this Amendment would not have any impact? That goes to quality of care. This Amendment speaks to economic credentialling. economic credentialling where a doctor might be terminated because he takes too many medicaid patients. The issues, the prior speakers have raised, go to the quality of care issues, quality of care. Hospitals can terminate with this Amendment, they can terminate because of quality of care. They can do that. This Amendment certainly embodies current existing federal and state law, provides for some minimum do process, which is currently required by federal standards. This does that and expands it for certain do process on economic credentialing. This is not that far reaching of an Amendment. It provides certain basic quidelines. That's all it does, certain protections. we had people like teachers. If we had people like laborers, who have afforded, some protection and we could that with other people why can't we do it to people for primary medical care providers. That's what this does and I'd appreciate a support on this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "All right. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1

be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote
'no'. Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this for a

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Hospitals have the ability to deny very simple reason. without hearing and without reason the ability of a physician to be on staff. This simply is do process. This This simply is an attempt to is fair play. physicians an opportunity to be heard and to know why. If the terms are not reasonable, where's the Amendment that would make them more reasonable? If it is onerous, where's the Amendment that would make it less onerous? I suggest to you, just like a previous Representative indicated, that this intent is valid. That there are substantial reasons to do what we are doing here and by the number of votes that I see on the board, obviously a number of people agree. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 1'11 tell you why this Amendment should be adopted. A local medical group. Joliet, Illinois, had an exclusive contract with one of Joliet's hospitals. A Pediatrician decided to leave his medical group and go out on his own. Four days later, he got notice, from the hospital that his accreditation privileges were cancelled. He could no longer, four days, without a hearing, without do process, four days later he's told he can no longer practice medicine at that hospital and his patient's would have to go unserved. issue is about quality health care and continuing quality health care in fairness to those in the profession, that's just not fair, he had no hearing, no do process whatsoever. This is a good Amendment and it should pass."

Speaker McPike: "Representative von Bergen-Wessels."

von Bergen-Wessels: "Thank you, Speaker. Right now if a physicians privileges are revoked without do process, that physician can go into court. I think the intention is in

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

the right direction. I think this is just far, far to broad of an Amendment. I would like to see it go through the committee process and have it fine tuned. Often, physicians have privileges suspended because they are behind on their medical records. As this Amendment, which stands right now, they would have to have 180 days notice of any suspension. In the meantime their medical records can be woefully delinquent, so I think we're heading in the right direction and it needs to be fine tuned and I'd like to see it go through the committee process, therefore, I'm voting 'present'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe that this proposal is very similar to a proposal that was defeated in a House committee, earlier this year. I am always very hesitant when someone comes forward with a proposal previously been defeated and tries to resurrect particularly at the eleventh hour, because it has been my observation that we make far more mistakes in this Body, through haste, than we have ever made through deliberation. We have not deliberated this issue and it is a very complicated issue. It is not as simple as those who are its proponents would have you believe. I don't think we ought to be caught between our hospitals and our doctors. should insist that they sit down and work out their differences and bring us a Bill upon which they can agree and on that basis, I vote 'present'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to support the Representative's Amendment. Let's keep in mind that this is not final

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

action. This Bill will have to go back to the Senate with this Amendment on it and I would suspect that there will be some discussions and compromise and that's the nature of what we do down here. I think Representative Granberg was absolutely correct. We all stand on this floor and pontificate for the right of do process for almost everyone in the state. Now, physicians are saying, 'Their rights of do process, in fact, are being violated and are being abrogated and that they want to set down and discuss this. This is not final action. Your 'yes' vote on this doesn't mean it goes to the Governor's desk, but the Amendment and the underlying philosophy behind the Amendment deserves an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is not just a fight between hospitals and doctors. I'm rising to speak on behalf of your constituents and patients, who want the opportunity to choose the doctor of their choice, and then to be able to go to the hospital and receive the treatment that they I have heard from doctors and some patients who are need. not able to get the treatment they want because a hospital arbitrarily, because they've signed a contract with some providers are...have taken away the hospital privileges of someone's doctor. I urge a 'yes' vote on this to protect your patients, your constituents, not just a fight between doctors and hospitals. This is a good Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To me it is outrageous that a doctor who has practiced in a particular hospital for 20 years, could all of a sudden have that hospital say to him, 'You can't treat

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

your own patients, in that hospital, because we're giving an exclusive right to somebody else from the outside'. I Sponsored House Bill 545, which was a lot stronger than this. This proposal is a modest proposal, it provides for basic do process rights. It is a result of compromise and I strongly support the adoption of Amendment #1. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."

Edley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. We're trying to control a spiraling out of control health costs, in this country, and we're...we're trying to...send a message to hospitals that they have to find the most cost effective way to deliver services and yet if this Amendment's adopted, we are going to be subjecting them to lawsuits, more litigation and as they struggle to provide services at the most reasonable costs. I think...I think this is a bad Amendment. It will add to the costs of delivering health care. It will add to the clogged court systems that we have and I think it should be defeated."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If this Amendment was just about economic credentialling, I would be for the Amendment, but it isn't just about that. It's about a wide spectrum of issues relating to credentialling of physicians...will lead to many lawsuits for hospitals and doctors. It is not a good idea, but beyond saying that, I do want the...ask for a ruling from the Parliamentarian. This Amendment changes the title of the Bill accordingly, if it's adopted. I would request that the Bill be placed back on Second Reading first Legislative Day and I would ask for a ruling on that at this time."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Speaker McPike: "You will be...I will...the Chair will recognize you on that as...as declare the Amendment adopted. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 61 'ayes', 35 'noes' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Representative...there any more Amendments? Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "I renew my Motion at this time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "All right, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. If we are really concerned about doctors, who are being bumped from hospitals, for inappropriate economic reasons, then I offer this as a reasonable way of dealing with that problem. This Amendment would say that no hospital could deny or limit or refuse to renew medical staff membership or clinical privileges, to a doctor, based on the number of medicaid recipients or indigent patients, that are treated or likely to be treated by that doctor. The argument we heard in respect to the last Amendment is that we're talking about people who are bumped solely for economic I can suggest no greater indicator of the real concern of the proponents of that Amendment than to address the economic argument directly. If that's the concern this Amendment takes care of the problem. It says a hospital can't bump a doctor because the doctor is bringing in medicaid clients or indigent charity care clients, rather than the paying kind. So, if that was your worry, this Amendment solves the problems. It does so in a straight forward way without opening the hospital to litigation on a variety of issues that deal with perhaps ethics, with

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

perhaps inappropriate behavior to staff or to other people's patients that deal with...a misunderstanding on the part of that doctor about what his or her capacities might do. So, if you think the issue is an economic discharge that was inappropriate, this Amendment solves your problem, solves your concerns without opening the door wide to frivolous, to inappropriate, to foolish litigation, that the hospital will find itself defending. This is put up, or shut up time. If the issue is as you said it was, this is the Amendment that you should support and I urge your 'aye' votes."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Has this Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes. Yes."

Ryder: "Could you tell me the time it was distributed, because we do not have it as of this point."

Speaker McPike: "What time was it dis...about two hours ago."

Ryder: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Granberg rises in opposition to the Amendment. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'noes' have it and the Amendment is defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments, but a fiscal note and a state mandates note has been requested on the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "By who? By whom? Whom has requested this?"

Clerk Rossi: "Representative Maureen Murphy."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Murphy, do you persist?"

Murphy, M: "I withdraw that."

Speaker McPike: "All right. The Lady withdraws those two notes.

Representative Lang has requested that the Bill be returned

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

to First Legislative Day and...and Second Reading, First Legislative Day and by his actions that is a...is automatic and Representative Granberg moves to suspend that rule, which requires 60 votes. The question is, 'Should Rule 36(d) be suspended, which would allow this Bill to go to Third Reading or should Representative Lang's Motion prevail to return this to Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor of Representative Granberg's Motion vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Lang."

- Lang: "Mr. Speaker, should this receive the requisite number, I
 will be seeking a verification."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 67 'ayes', 37 'noes'.

 Mr. Lang has asked for a verification. Mr. Clerk poll the affirmative."
- Clerk Rossi: "A poll of those voting in the affirmative.

 Representative Ackerman. Balanoff. Balthis. Biggert.

 Black. Blagojevich. Bugielski. Burke. Capparelli.

 Churchill. Clayton. Cross. Curran. Dart. Deering.

 Deuchler."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Turner would like leave to be verified, Mr.

 Lang and Mr...Mr. Lang? Mr. Turner's right here and Mr.

 Deering in the center aisle and Mr. Clerk, would you vote
 the Speaker, 'aye'. Mr. Wennlund? Lou Lang, Mr.

 Wennlund's right here. All right. Are you finished, Mr.

 Clerk? Mary Flowers? Representative Lang, Mary Flowers is
 right here. All right. That's four that have been
 verified. Are you finished? All right, proceed."
- Clerk Rossi: "Dunn. Flinn. Frias. Gash. Giglio. Giles.

 Granberg. Hannig. Hassert. Hawkins. Hicks. Homer.

 Johnson, Tim. Kotlarz. Krause. Kubik. Laurino. Levin.

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Lindner. Lopez."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin would like to be verified.

All right, you're verified. Proceed."

Clerk Rossi: "Mautino. McAfee. McAuliffe. McPike. Meyer. Moseley. Noland. Novak. Olson. Ostenburg. Pankau. Persico. Phelan. Rotello. Rutherford. Ryder. Saltsman. Salvi. Santiago. Saviano. Schakowsky. Sheehy. Steczo. Turner. Walsh. Weller. Wennlund. Wirsing. Wojcik. Woolard. Zickus. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Lang."

Lang: "Representative Ostenburg?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Lang: "Oh, he's in his Chair. I'm sorry. Representative Hicks?"

Speaker McPike: "Larry Hicks. Mr. Hicks is not here. Remove him from the roll."

Lang: "Representative Curran?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Curran. Mike Curran? He's not here.

Remove him from the roll."

Lang: "Representative Balthis?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Balthis? Representative Balthis?

He's not here. Remove him from the roll."

Lang: "Representative Krause."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Krause. Is the Lady here?

Representative Shirley Jones would like to vote 'aye'.

She's right here and she'd like to be verified.

Representative Jones as 'aye'. Representative Krause?

Representative Krause is not here. Remove the Lady from the roll call."

Lang: "Representative Kubik?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kubik. Representative Monique

Davis how did you wish to vote. Do you wish to vote 'aye'.

Yes, Mr. Kubik is here. Representative...Representative

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Davis, votes 'aye'. Representative Balthis has returned. Return Representative Balthis to the roll call."

Lang: "Representative Dunn?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative John Dunn. Representative Dunn?

John Dunn is not here. Remove him from the roll."

Lang: "Representative Levin?"

Speaker McPike: "He was verified."

Lang: "Representative Schakowsky?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky, she's here. She's here."

Lang: "Representative Homer?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Homer? He's here."

Lang: "No further."

Speaker McPike: "Monique Davis, votes 'no'. On this Motion, there's 65 'ayes', 38 'noes' and Rule 36(d) is suspended.

Third Reading. Representative Lang."

Lang: "Mr. Speaker, there were some notes filed. Were they all withdrawn?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Lang: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I now move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and the following House Journals be approved, the 1st through the 39th Legislative Days for the Regular Session of the 88th General Assembly."

Speaker McPike: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. The Motion carries. Representative Giglio in the Chair."

Speaker Giglio: "The Chair would like to make an announcement on the Calendar, page 2 on the Order of Special Order of

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Business, Concurrence. There are a list of Bills that were released from the Rules Committee vesterday. would like to inform the Members that those Members wishing to nonconcur, nonconcur with any of these Bills that they come up to the Well and inform the Clerk and we could go list later on this afternoon. Those Bills that are on page 2, that Members wish to nonconcur, please come up to the Well and let the Clerk know which Bills that you so desire not to concur. On the Special Order, we're gonna Special Order Calendar, go right down the list. Readings and Second Readings. First Order under Constitutional Officers, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 579. Representative Deering. Representative Deering, Senate Bill 579? Out of the record. On the Order of Consumer Protection, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill The Lady from DuPage, Representative Pankau? wish to call this Bill? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 139, a Bill for an Act amending the Job

Referral and Job Listing Services Consumer Protections Act.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Pankau."

Pankau: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I ask for your approval of Senate Bill 139. This deals with the job referral program. It was originally sponsored by Senator Karjiel, in the Senate, based on a constituent request of hers. This Gentleman produces a newsletter, which has job listings in it, and so, the legislation would improve the language of the existing job referral program taking out some of the restrictions as to the...the non...the corporate nonexempt status of it. Amendment #1 to it reflects the concerns of the Consumer Protection Committee and Amendment #2 adds on to it the Career

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Counseling and Out Placement Consumer Protection Act which was a request by Roland Burris's office, so I ask for your favorable approval of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of Senate Bill 139. We worked with the Sponsors in the Senate and the House to make it conform to concerns that were raised in committee and with the Attorney General and we feel that all of those concerns have been met and now we have got a Bill that should be supported on both sides of the aisle. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion?" Representative Pankau to close."

Pankau: "I urge your approval."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 139 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed, 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. Representative Olson votes On this question, there are now 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no' and Senate Bill 139 having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Elections and State Government, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 157. The Gentleman from Springfield, Representative Curran. Representative Curran in the chambers? Out of the record. On the Order of Environment and Energy, Second Reading, Representative Novak, Senate Bill 186. Representative Novak? Out of the record. Representative Lang, Senate Bill 240. Is the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Gentlemen in the chamber? Out of the record. On the Order of Health Care and Human Services, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 398. Representative Granberg? The Bill was already moved to Third. On the Order of Health Care, Human Services, Third Readings, Representative Levin, Senate Bill Ellis Levin? Representative Levin, Senate Bill Out of the record. Representative Brunsvold, Senate Bill Brunsvold? Out of the record. 411. Representative Balanoff, 712? Balanoff? Out of the record. Representative Salvi, 964. Senate Bill 964. Do you wish to call this Bill, Sir? Read the Bill."

- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 964, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Health Finance Reform Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Salvi."

 Salvi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As we say...as I stated yesterday, this is a Bill which would reduce paperwork, require that only one form be used rather than several forms as presently used. It would also allow us to properly assess medical costs and I move that we pass, vote 'yes' on Senate Bill 964."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 964 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', and 0 voting 'no' and Senate Rill 964 having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Second Reading under Energy and Environment appears Senate Bill 240, Representative Lang. Read the Bill."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 240, the Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 has been adopted to the Bill. No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Lang."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Withdraw Amendment #2, please."

Speaker Giglio: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Hassert."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hassert."

Hassert: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Amendment provides four different things. The first part exempts all counties with the population of less than 180,000 as opposed to 100,000, therefore, exempting Champaign, LaSalle, McLean, Macon, Rock Island, Sangamon and Tazewell Counties. Within such counties it exempts towns with the population of less than 5,000 as opposed to 1,000. It exempts all uncorporated areas and also exempts leaf burning conducted by public bodies, such as the Department of Conservation when undertaking purposes of maintaining natural areas and I request your favorable support on this and I'd like to have a roll call."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman, the Lady from Lake, Representative Moore."

Moore, A: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Moore, A: "I understand this Amendment will exempt the uncorporated areas of all counties. Is that correct?"

Hassert: "Yes, it will."

Moore, A: "I would...I would rise in opposition to this Amendment based on that basis. I think it is very, very difficult to

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

exempt the unincorporated areas all over the state, it's air pollution and air quality does not end at the municipal boundary. The municipal boundaries are something that we put in place. Air quality moves across the state. I don't think this Amendment is appropriate. It isn't practical and for those people that it dealt with the pesticide issue yesterday, this is directly an opposite of that issue. I think this Amendment should not be supported."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson. Representative Tim Johnson."

Johnson, Tim: "I rise in support of this Amendment. With all due respect to my colleague on this side. I can't understand why she feels, or anybody feels, it's the obligation of this General Assembly to come to Mahomet, Illinois and St. Joe, Illinois and the various other communities that would be covered under the law before the Amendment and tell those communities, in their areas and their unique what we ought to do from Springfield. If that's not big government from Springfield, that people have talked about now for the last ten years abolishing, then I don't know what is. This Amendment is common sense. It's appropriate and it allows at least to some extent, maybe it's not much as we'd like, but at least to some extent our own communities and our own areas that have unique needs to be able to deal with their problems without Springfield coming again and telling them again, again and again and again, how to run their lives, how to run their government and how to burn their leaves. This is a good Amendment and I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Lady from Sangamon, Representative Moseley."

Moseley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield for a

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Moseley: "I think I understood you to say in your opening remarks that this exempts Sangamon County?"

Hassert: "Yes, that is correct."

Moseley: "And, you're absolutely positive of that, but, did you check the population of Sangamon County because I believe your Amendment states 180,000?"

Hassert: "No, the Amendment brings it from 100,000 to 180,000."

Moseley: "And..."

Hassert: "I was under the impression that Sangamon was under 180,000."

Moseley: "Was under 180,000? Peoria's still in and Sangamon is out. Okay, thank you, there was some confusion on our side. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wennlund."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Wennlund: Amendment. You know yesterday, we passed, we municipalities that they can't regulate pesticides, now we're trying to tell municipalities, in small counties, townships that they can't allow the burning of leaves in their own area. We're taking every power away from municipalities and tell them to look, the state is going to regulate this, municipalities can't. It's a mandate, is what it is. I rise in support of this Amendment. Ιt makes the Bill better а Unincorporated areas shouldn't be covered under this Bill and there are many of them throughout the state. This is a good Amendment and it ought to be adopted and I ask for a roll call vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Amendment. You know this Amendment when it's filed seriously underminds the intent of this legislation as it was...initially introduced. This Amendment really is going to reek havoc on the genesis and the intent and the purpose of the legislation that overwhelmingly passed the Energy Environment Committee in both Houses that overwhelmingly passed both Bodys, since we have companion Bills. I urge my colleagues to stick with the original intention of the legislation, reject this Amendment and send it off to the Governor's office. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Lang, to close."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to make it very clear that Lang: this is a hostile Amendment designed to kill the Bill. The Bill talks about prohibiting leaf burning. The purpose to prohibit leaf burning is not to hurt individuals in their homes, but to make sure the air that we breathe is fit to breathe, so that people with asthma and allergies are not breathing the toxins in the air. Does the Sponsor really believe that if you set up more artificial boundaries smoke doesn't travel? Will it not travel into uncorporated areas whether they can burn there or not, if we allow burning? It's a silly Amendment. It makes no sense. Let's have another Amendment. Let's exempt a few more counties and a few more counties and a few more counties and pretty soon, the Bill makes no sense whatsoever. idea is to keep this...these toxins and these poisons out of our air and the thought that we should exempt unincorporated areas is absurd. For some reason people on this floor, think unincorporated areas are only areas where

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

there is a home here and not one for 50 miles. Well, I can point to you some unincorporated areas in main township not to far away from where I live that there's hundreds of high rise buildings. Hundreds of them. Thousands of people live within two or three blocks in this unincorporated area. We're going to allow them to burn. It makes no sense to me. It's a very hostile Amendment. If you care about the air, you must vote 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hassert to close."

Hassert: "Mr. Speaker, Fellow Members of the General Assembly. I think that the point is this is another mandate. something that we're trying to legislate for a special instance. There is very limited amount of time that you burn your leaves in a year, but we're trying to throughout the state what we should control. This is a This is a Amendment that gives good Amendment. it opportunities in the highly urbanized areas to ban leaf burning. It does address some of the problems. doesn't penalize everybody else within those areas to do something when they don't need to have to comply when they're sitting out in the middle of nowhere. They have to can burn their leaves without affecting anybody. I think this Bill is special legislation. It was pushed through under an Environmental Bill. This is not an Environmental Bill. This isn't a Mandate Bill. Ι encourage you to support the Amendment. I request a roll call."

Speaker Giglio: "All in favor of the Amendment signify by voting 'aye', opposed, 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 36 voting 'yes', and 69 voting 'no' and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Lang."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Lang."

- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #4 is suggested by the pollution control board, it's a technical Amendment.

 It's the same Amendment we put on the original House Bill for them and I move adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments, but a fiscal note and a state mandates note has been requested by Representative Black."
- Speaker Giglio: "A fiscal note has been filed. Representative Lang."

Lang: "Did you say the fiscal note has been filed?"

Speaker Giglio: "Requests to file, Mr. Clerk."

Lang: "I have the note, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Giglio: "It's been requested by our friend,

 Representative Black."
- Clerk Rossi: "A fiscal note has been filed on the Bill." Speaker
 Giglio: "Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you. The fiscal note has been filed, do I understand there's been a mandates note requested. I would move that it not apply."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. With respect to the Motion that the mandates note not apply, how much more ridiculous could it possibly be. It's absolutely a mandate. It tells these municipalities that they can't pass an ordinance to permit leaf burning. This is absolute and direct mandate and I request a record vote on this Motion. It's an absolute and total mandate on hundreds of municipalities in

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

the State of Illinois, hundreds of counties, hundreds of townships who can't regulate leaf burning on their own.

It's a direct and absolute mandate and the correct vote is a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill affects my county and I talked to my local mayors, as a former mayor. You know, I think it's a wonderful thing to try to limit the leaf burning and there are some compromise areas in the middle of this where you can control the burning. My Mayor, of Rock Island, indicates that this is going to be a minimum charge to the city of \$400,000. Now, that's fine. Everybody is for this until they get their tax bill or they want to get rid of their leaves and then all of a sudden they're upset, so I think you ought to look long and hard at this...at this Motion and this Bill before you mandate leaf burning."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'All those in favor of the mandate as not applying? Representative Lang's Motion, vote 'no'; all in favor vote 'aye'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner are you seeking recognition?"

Skinner: "I would suggest to the Members of the Body that this is not a mandate on municipalities. This is a mandate on individuals and the State Mandates Act is about municipalities and local governments, not individuals."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Tim Johnson."

Speaker Giglio: "That's correct."

Johnson, T: "Okay."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

this question, there are 58 voting 'yes' and 54 voting 'no' and Representative Lang's Motion carries. Third Reading. The Chair would like to call your attention to the yellow sheet of paper, the House Weekly Bulletin with regards to the House decorum. In order to maintain decorum in the House during the upcoming days of the Session, the floor access will be limited to authorized personnel with proper House I.D.'s. Members should direct special quests to the House gallery. These measurers will assist in keeping the floor cleared of unauthorized individuals and allowing Members to listen to debate in an orderly atmosphere. Members should discourage the introduction of special quests and groups. These introductions only slow the business of the House as we move forward to our Third Reading deadline. We'd appreciate if those that are not entitled to the House floor, remove themselves from the chambers and they could witness the procedure of the House in the gallery. On the Order of Insurance, Second Reading, appears Senate Bills 262, Representative Ryder, Second Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 262, the Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments 1 and 2 have been adopted to the Bill. No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker Giglio: "Has the Amendments been printed?"

Clerk Rossi: "Amendment #3 has been printed."

Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #3, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "I'm reluctant to withdraw an Amendment that's actually been printed. But, in this case I find it necessary and I wish to go to Amendment #4."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #3, Mr. Clerk. Are there further Amendments?"

62nd Legislative Day

- May 20, 1993
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Has Amendment #4 been distributed? Amendment #4, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "I'm on a roll. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is to eliminate excess paperwork that the Department of Insurance has agreed to. The original Bill eliminated all reporting requirements, but governmental reciprocal agencies or companies requested that they continue to record. It's at their request that the Amendment is filed. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "I have a request from the other side to take it out of the record for five minutes and I'm glad to obligate...to obligate myself to that as long as you obligate yourself to call it again."
- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you. Out of the record. On the Order...Senate Bill 498, Labor, Second Reading, Representative McPike. Out of the record. Senate Bills, Law, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 25, Representative Dart. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 25, the Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 has been adopted to the Bill. No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Moseley."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Moseley."
- Moseley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Moseley."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #3, Representative Moseley."

Moseley: "Amendment #...thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3

deals with the collections of Revenue from properties

currently held by the state and how property taxes will be

reimbursed to individual counties entities. It further

puts a limit on that collection of 10 years, so that any of

these proceedings would expire in the year 2003. I welcome

any questions on this and I ask for your favorable

consideration."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. An inquiry of the Chair first, to question the issue of germaneness? It appears to be, the Amendment is an Amendment to the Civil Administrative Code. The original Bill dealt with the Code of Civil Procedure. Two different...I ask for a ruling from the Chair with respect."

Speaker Giglio: "The Parliamentarian informs the Chair that the Amendment is not germane. The Bill deals with Motor Vehicle Code and the Amendment deals with collection car land lease, so the Amendment is not germane. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Representative Turner on Senate
Bill 433. We should call that Bill, Sir? Out of the
record. Representative Saviano, 678. Saviano, 678. Read
the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 678. The Bill's been read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Saviano."

Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #2, Representative Saviano."

Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 is an Agreed

Amendment that we've worked out and I would move for its

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the que...the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' Excuse me, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Wennlund: "All right. Do you want to tell everybody what it is

I...we're often kept in the dark around here as we were
just a few seconds ago. We just need to know what it is."

Saviano: "What..."

Wennlund: "Amendment #2."

Saviano: "Amendment #2, just really clarifies the language in the Bill. One aspect of it is that the, we worked with the ACLU to include if the victim is under the age of 15.

That's in, on line 15 of the Amendment. Okay."

Wennlund: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Saviano: "All right."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman has...has uttered the magic initials ACLU and the ACLU has been the enemy of the affective AIDS legislation in this General Assembly for the better part of a decade. If the parents, of a person under...of a child under 15 years old has to request this information how is the parent going to learn that their information is there to request. I mean, how do we keep the parent from being in the dark?"

Saviano: "Representative Skinner, this whole...the purpose of this whole Bill is that the Cederal law is requesting we get this Bill passed, so we don't lose a potential loss of \$1.7 million to fund this AIDS testing program."

Skinner: "Representative, you have not answered my question."

Saviano: "Okay. Repeat it."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Skinner: "All right. I'm a parent. I have a kid under 15 years old. The kid has been tested for HIV. How am I going to know if the kid has been tested for HIV in order to ask you...or to ask the judge for this information?"
- Saviano: "Well, you...you would know if you were a parent that your child was a victim of a crime."
- Skinner: "But, would, I know that I had the...that the child...that the...the perpetrator of the crime had been tested for HIV and that the results were available to be requested. I mean, how do I know this isn't an attempt by the ACLU to keep parents from knowing? That's my...that's my basic question."
- Saviano: "I un...I understand what you are saying. I...I don't think, I know I said ACLU, I don't think you should interject ahead that much to do it this..."
- Skinner: "You haven't been fightin for them...with them for seven years like I have."
- Saviano: "This is...this is initiated by Illinois Criminal

 Justice Authorities. They have worked with us on this

 Amendment, on...this is their Bill. They've agreed to
 this. I'm just carrying the Amendment."
- Skinner: "I understand the role that you are playing, but if I'm a parent and my child has been raped, my daughter has been raped, I know because I help draft the legislation that the rapist is going to be tested for HIV and that prior to the conviction, that the victim can ask for the results."
- Saviano: "It's not...not prior to conviction."
- Skinner: "Oh, yes it is this state it is. Unless you're changing the law."
- Saviano: "Well this...this really I mean I'm talking about...it applies to...oh, I don't want to get into the merits of the Bill now."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Skinner: "Well, I'd be happy to take it out of the record and...and do this off the record. If I'm a parent how am I going to know that the results were available for me to ask for?"

Saviano: "Okay. If your child's a victim of a crime."

Skinner: "Yes."

Saviano: "You're going to be alerted that you have the option of getting tested. Okay? They're gonna tell the parent."

Skinner: "Somebody in the court system is gonna to tell the parent, maybe the victim's assistance person?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representatives, can you bring this dialogue to a close?"

Saviano: "I mean it's...it's,...you're gettin real technical Cal, now let me tell you."

Skinner: "Well, is there a lobbyist for this organization that around here someplace that I could talk to before we get to Third Reading? If there is such a lobbyist, we'll just pass the Amendment and I'll ask...I'll ask the lobbyist."

Saviano: "Well if he's around. All right, Speaker, hold on a second, okay. Let's take it out of the record. Well, let's go with it. Go with it, Frank."

Skinner: "All right. Well, don't have an answer to the question.

To the question, as of my knowledge."

Saviano: "I'll answer the question for you. Come and see me."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I support House Amendment #2. This is a...as far as I knew, an Agreed amendment. It's an Amendment that is necessary in order to make the Bill consistent and the Bill itself deals with when victims are to be notified by court, the victims of sexual offenses regarding the HIV test of the defendant and the Bill was put forth by the Illinois

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Criminal Justice Authority because if we don't pass such a Bill then they stand to lose federal funding. With our current budget problems, it is not the time to be losing funding for the criminal justice system or the criminal justice authority. Now the Bill that introduced they conceded had a inconsistency it or a problem in that under the original Bill the...the juvenile victim would have had to be notified of the HIV results. Whereas an adult victim would only have to be notified if the adult victim requested it. This just simply tries to make the Bill consistent and says that...that the...the juvenile victim would only be notified of the request coming from the parents or legal guardian of that juvenile victim and so that if juvenile victims on the same status. same plane as adult victims, through their guardian or through their parents, so it's an Agreed Amendment, it's a good Amendment. It ought to be supported. Vote 'yes' on the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise in support of the Gentleman's Amendment. He's worked long and hard with the Criminal Justice Information Authority to draft this language. It is an Agreed Amendment. I think we ought to let the Gentleman put the Bill in the condition that he wants to and debate it on third."

Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'nay'. The Amendment is adopted.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. On the Order of Local Government, Third Reading, Senate Bill 642, Representative

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Currie. Representative Currie. Read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 642, a Bill for an Act amending the Chicago Park District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for a point of personal privilege. I spent some time, over the last couple of months, trying to find a similarity between my two seat mates, Representative Saviano and Representative Kubik. Now...now to the rest of you not sitting as close as I do to them, you might not notice that there are some differences between the two of them, however today, I was able to find something that they do both have in common and that is that May 20th is both of their birthdays, so I'd like to congratulate them both and wish them a happy birthday and invite everybody to come back and have some cake."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. The Bill's been read a third time, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill would authorize the Chicago Park District to continue the Bond Funding Program for museums located on park district land, a bond program that we began some 20 years ago and have renewed at 10 year intervals. This program is...an amazing example of private public partnership for every dollar that is generated by the public. There is at least one and sometimes two dollars generated by private giving. The museums, to which I refer, are those located, as I said, on park district land in the City of Chicago. That would include the Art Institute, the Adler Planetarium, the Chicago Academy of Sciences, the historical society, the Discobo Museum, the Field, the Mexican Fine Arts Center,

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

the Museum of Science and Industry and the Shedd Aquarium. These proceeds...proceeds of the bond funds are used for capitol improvements, including items like the East Wing of the Art Institute. I'd be happy to answer your questions and would appreciate your support so we can continue making sure our museums and institutes on park district lands are attractions for tourists from downstate and from out of state."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates that she will."

Kubik: "Representative...as I...as I recall, Amendments #1 has been tabled."

Currie: "That's right."

Kubik: "And so, just so that everybody understands what we have here is a property tax...a property tax increase for the citizens of Chicago only to fund this program. Is that correct?"

Currie: "It certainly, would effect the citizens of Chicago, only, to the extent of what we're talking about a property tax increase is disputed. The statute now provides four museums on park district property, a cap of \$15 mils. This program at its maximum would not reach the \$15 mil cap that is already in statute over the life of the bonds as has been true over the previous letting 10 years ago and the one 10 years before that. The actual rates have varied depending on at the point at which bonds are retired and bonds are offered, so there will be a varying effect not different from the effect of 10 years ago and 20 years ago, but indeed the bonds will be issued in respect only to businesses and residences in the City of Chicago."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Kubik: "Representative...if this Bill, the results of a...I know that some bond counsel have looked at various statutes regarding bonds and whether they're revolving funds et cetira and I know that last year we passed a Bill here for Cook County because there was some disagreement as to whether the bonds could continue to be floated. Is this a similar kind of concern on the part of the Chicago Park District?"

Currie: "I think rather this is a continuation of tradition we established more than 20 years ago and that is that we would provide bonding for capitol improvements within these museums. We did that about 20 years ago, again about 10 years ago and then the point is to...to open again the authorization, so that new projects may also enjoy the opportunity that we have given earlier projects."

Kubik: "I don't have my file in front of me. Does this increase the authorization for the authorization the same as it has been in prior years?"

Currie: "I believe this is an increase because many of those earlier bonds have, in fact, been retired and the old authorization, I believe still doesn't count."

Kubik: "Well, my question was as I recall the old authorization was about \$17,000,000 or something in that range? Did this increase it beyond the \$17,000,000?"

Currie: "I believe it does."

Kubik: "Okay. To what maximum?"

Currie: "Let me see if I can see. It's a \$128,000,000 over a 10 year period and what I can not tell you from the figures I had before me is how different that is from what it had been 10, what we did 10 years ago. The total program is something like \$327,000,000."

Kubik: "Thank you, for responding to the question. Mr. Speaker,

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

I just think it is important that the membership understand what this Bill does. Now, I have to tell you I'm going to vote for it, because I think that this is probably an appropriate way for us to fund these kinds of projects. This is, although Representative Currie, is being modest in her explanation, this will in all likelihood result in a tax increase, property tax increase, for people in the City of Chicago. On the other hand, are there are very few ways that you can fund these kinds of improvements other than through this mechanism, but I think that we should know that this Bill does provide for a possibility of a property tax increase on Chicago residents only with no referendum, so I think the underlying Bill, I happen to agree with she's doing and I will support it, but I think it is important that the membership know exactly what we're doing here. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Representative yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates that she will."

Olson: "Representative Currie, I...thumbing through my notes here, this is bonding to be paid off in what fashion?"

Currie: "Through what is now the statutory 15 mil property tax rate for museums on Chicago Park District land."

Olson: "A tax? Is this, what...by what authority are these bonds issued? Do you have a hearing or..."

Currie: "They would be issued, if the...we do the authorizing, the Chicago Park District which is a public entity unit of local government would, in fact, have to make the determination when, how and for what actually to issue the bonds. The entire program would operate under current statutory 15 mil authorization for museums on park district

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

land. Not only would this program not exceed the 15 mil limit that is already in statute, in fact, at its maximum, it would bring that mil rate only to 12."

Olson: "Is there a referendum involved?"

Currie: "No."

Olson: "There is no referendum. It's done by hearing, so to speak."

Currie: "By authorization of the Chicago Park District. After public hearings."

Olson: "Certainly that taxes up there will not affect my downstate community, but I think it is important that the Chicago taxpayers as the Representative, here in the General Assembly, the Members, in the General Assembly, but, would be advised that there is no referendum on this. It is...it is...it is done without referendum. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Ton Johnson."

Johnson, Tom: "Yes. Will the Representative yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Johnson, Tom: "Representative, I would like to ask you, would you be willing to take this back to Second Reading for a further Amendment that would permit us to charge an admission fee that the entire public would know about to get into the Dana Thomas Home and other park, the state park facilities?"

Currie: "Representative, at this late date, I would not, but I want you to know that I support the opportunity for the Dana Thomas House to require admission fees and I would vote for that legislation on a separate Bill."

Johnson, Tom: "I assume that this really spells out the difference between your side of the aisle and ours. We would like to let the public know exactly what these fees

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

would be while this Bill, of course, would pass on a tax increase to the residents of the City of Chicago without their input or knowledge. Is that correct?"

Currie: "Well, Representative, I'll just point out again. This is the same bonding program we did 10 years ago and 10 years before that. The amount of the actual rate, property tax rate, depends entirely on what number of bonds are let when and what's outstanding at any given time. At the maximum, this bond issue, it is not clear to me would raise rates above what they were for previous bond authorizations and there is no way in which the property tax rate at any point during this 10 year period would come close to the statutory 15 mil tax. Remember too, that under this program, the Chicago Park District, which will receive this authorization does not automatically operate to sell the They would only do so after public hearings. After a careful investigation and examination as to how these important cultural institutions intend to spend proceeds and remember again that for every dollar of public money that goes into these capitol improvements, there is at least one and more often \$2 of private giving as a Now, I would much prefer it, if result. you'd be interested in making sure that the state helps to fund this incredibly important tourist asset which other museums on park district land in the City of Chicago. It used to be that the state did provide grants to those institutions. because they serve your citizens. Your youngsters for free, when those youngsters come to Chicago for a visit to our fine arts museum, to our museum of science and industry and the state used to accept a responsibility for the value that these museums perform to citizens across the State of Illinois as well as for the importance οf these

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

museum...museums to our tourism industry. Those grants don't happen any more. It leaves the people of the City of Chicago with little choice. If those museums are going to continue strong healthy and beautiful institutions, we don't have many other places to turn than to this traditional bonding program, a program as I say, we have supported in this legislature and through Governors for more than 20 years."

Johnson, Tom: "To the Bill. I appreciate these institutions, as I'm sure everybody does here, and I also know that I pay admission fees to these institutions, and it just seems to me that we lack some consistency here that when we permit admission fees to some institutions but not to others, we are depriving people of the State of Illinois, and the prospect for additional tourism in this state to occur by not permitting us to charge minimal fees to get into some of our state parks and institutions. And so, I...I do appreciate what the Representative is trying to do here though, however, I have to vote 'no' where we deal with tax increases without referendum. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if I could ask the Lady one question. Just a simple 'yes' or 'no' answer. As a property taxpayer in Chicago, will this raise my property taxes?"

Currie: "At some point during the ten-year period, they may be higher than they are today. There'll be points at which they'll be lower. Maximum. Maximum, and J'manot sure what year that will be. The owner of a \$50,000 house will pay a \$1.50 more than they are paying today, but eight years ago, they may well have been paying that extra \$1.50 under the previous bond authorization. A dollar and a half for a

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

\$50,000 home."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Leitch: "Do you happen to know, is there a position taken by the Illinois Farm Bureau on this Bill?"

Currie: "I do not know the position of the Farm Bureau. I'm sure that they are as enthusiastic as I am about the farm exhibit at the Museum of Science and Industry, and I'm sure they wouldn't want that exhibit to find itself with a leaky roof over its head. In order to ensure that, I have every confidence that the Farm Bureau would support this Bill."

Leitch: "What about the Taxpayers' Federation or the Realtors?"

Currie: "I have not heard from either the Taxpayers' Federation or from the Realtors."

Leitch: "See, that is...is a very curious event to me because last week, we defeated in this House... We took away the powers of PBC's, Public Building Commissions, to help the education communities, and in our case, keep our school district together for this education purpose. And so, it's remarkable to me that while they...attack this...power which is so important to sustaining our education system downstate that we have silence on this issue for this purpose. And I would... I appreciate you answering these questions."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Currie to close."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. This is a strong program, a useful program and that we in this Legislature and the Governors over the last 20 years have supported. The point is to make a private-public partnership work so that those jewels in the crown of the City of Chicago, the museums on park district land can

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

maintain, can extend, can rehab, can continue to be beautiful attractions for not only the tourists from out of state, but for the tourists from downstates and surrounding suburbs of the City of Chicago. I'd appreciate your support for this program, as I know the museums will, and so will all who visit those wonderful cultural institutions."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 642 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who mish? Representative Ronen, one minute to explain your vote."

Ronen: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the House. I... I rise in support of this Bill. I think this is important to museums and the park play an important function, not just to the City of Chicago, but to all residents of the State of Illinois. All we are doing is providing them with the authority the normal park district process will be in place. This is something that I think we should support. It's good for the City of Chicago and good for all residents of the State of Illinois. I urge all people to vote 'yes'."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, here I am, a suburbanite. I might go down to Chicago to some of these institutions, and I'm not going to have to pay a dime. What this Bill does is raise taxes on Chicago. Now, how often does suburbanites have a chance to make Chicago pay its fair share for anything, let alone maybe more than its fair share. Now that's not going to be enough to change any votes, but I'd like to suggest that this is one of the...the few possibilities that we are ever going to have to...to increase the amount of taxes that Chicago pays."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are 35 voting 'yes', and 75 voting 'no'.

 And the Lady's Bill fails. On the Order of Second Reading,
 Law, appears Senate Bill 778, Representative Schakowsky.

 778. Second Reading, Law. Out of the record. How about 869?

 (Senate Bill) 869. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 869. The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Tom Johnson."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Johnson, on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 869."
- Johnson, Tom: "Yes. Like to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #1. Mr. Clerk, are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Leitch."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leitch, on Amendment #2."
- Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment has to do with a...a problem that is creating great concern in Central Illinois and that is the location of model airplane club and the need for parties to cooperate and find an appropriate location. They've been in a couple of different locations and the residences have objected very much, and what this would provide is that the club activities could not be taking place within a mile of a municipality or a school unless the municipal authorities approved such a sile by a three-fifths vote. I think that it's...gonna be a very controversial thing...it is a controversial thing, and this is the means of giving the taxpayer and the community an opportunity to have a voice in where these facilities are located. In the case at

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Chillicothe, a site has been identified immediately adjoining a new residential subdivision in an area where the community is attempting to grow and where the municipal authorities have made substantial infrastructure investments for it to grow there. These clubs can be a tremendous nuisance. Located in the appropriate location, they are a wonderful hobby, and...and I think no one would disagree with that, and I would ask for your help in adopting Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Leitch: "Yes."

Granberg: "Would that say if the school's not in session during the summer and you have a city park adjacent to the school, that would prohibit kids going out there and using the city park to fly a model airplane?"

Leitch: "These are...these are motorized internal combustion...airplanes that make it a very substantial amount of noise. And I might point out that I added to this Amendment provisions for the municipality to regulate that with a three-fifths vote in the event that the kind of circumstance you suggest did arise."

Granberg: "But could the municipality not...couldn't they do that now?"

Leitch: "No."

Granberg: "They cannot say by city ordinance that...they could not...they would not allow..."

Leitch: "No, because this is property the club would be located

- 62nd Legislative Day May 20, 1993 immediately on the other side of the boundary of the city limits."
- Granberg: "Well, I know in downstate we have these...we have parks, like in my home town, right next to the school and kids (even I did it when I was a kid) we fly these things, and...we didn't see any problem. Now, I can understand if it's during...while the school's in session or something of that nature but..."
- Leitch: "Well, in those cases, there's a very simple remedy and when there is community concurrence, the municipality authority simply grants...grants the restriction."
- Granberg: "Okay. Thank you."
- Leitch: "But, in the places where they're not, it is a tremendous problem."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Schakowsky."
- Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of Amendment #2. I spoke to the Sponsor and agreed that it is a friendly Amendment to my Bill, and I urge its adoption."
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Levin."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 is an Amendment to the Hate Crimes of the House, and it simply makes clear that when a prosecutor brings an action under the Hate Crimes, that it is not necessary for him to separately charge the individual with the underlying offense. Ninety-nine percent of the time a prosecutor will charge an individual with both the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

underlying offense and the hate crime, but there is an occasion...on occasion that a prosecutor, for tactical or other reasons, chooses not to. And this does not change This is the understanding of almost everybody of what the law is now. Unfortunately, there is confusion in the City of Chicago. There was a trial court decision that threw out a hate crimes indictment based on the fact that the State's Attorney's Office had not separately charged the individual with the underlying battery and it simply charged them with the hate crime. So, this has been cleared with the Chicago Police Department, with the State's Attorney's Office in Cook County, with the Senate Sponsor of this Bill, intent is simply to clarify what everybody believes to be the current law that you need to give the prosecutor flexibility in terms of bringing these kinds of action. I'd be happy to answer any questions if there any; otherwise, would just ask your adoption of Floor Amendment #3."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. On the Order of Third Reading under Law, appears Senate Bill 246, Representative Lindner. Out of the record. Representative Hoffman. Jay Hoffman, 289. Out of the record. Representative Dart, do you wish to call 325? Out of the record. Representative Parcells. Parcells. Is the Lady in the chamber? Do you wish to call Senate Bill 425? Read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 425, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Power of Attorney's Act. Third Reading of the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill came to us from the Senate with unanimous vote. It... It awards limited power of attorney to parents. They may give this to their parents other relatives, blood relation, who are taking care of their children on a temporary basis. It gives them this power of attorney for 60 days, and covers only two things: to obtain medical care, or school records. Representative Hasara, who is...I mean Senator Hasara, who is the Sponsor in the Senate said she has had a large group grandparents come to her, and when the parents are away on a trip or for some other reason, these relatives are taking care of children, they need to have these powers, limited powers of attorney, in order to get...medical care or school records for their children. It was amended...in the House, and a second Amendment was added here on the House floor, which fulfills the concerns of many of those who had concerns with the Bill that came over here; namely the Medical Society and the Trial Lawyers. And I would ask for your affirmative vote on Senate Bill 425."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Bill? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open, and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', and 0 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 425, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Novak, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, just a little break in the action here.

For all those Cardinal fans on the floor, as you know that

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

the Cubs and the Cardinals are currently in a three game series, and last night, the Cubs once again defeated the...the Cardinals 5-3. And tomorrow...tomorrow, when the Cubs sweep...when the...the Cubs sweep, we hope to have a lot of brooms on the House floor."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Speaker, you know that's out of order. You're a better man than allowing him to stand up with some kind of drivel when we have important business to conduct. He's taking advantage of a situation, and you're a finer man than that. You're a finer man than to allow him to talk about some...some overrated junior high baseball team that got lucky two nights in a row. And you know that, and I'm joined with me in this...in this objection with Representative Flinn and hundreds of others..."

Speaker Giglio: "Since Representative Flinn's name was mentioned,
Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, would you have the Gentleman removed in front of me? What he forgot to mention was that he hadn't said anything all year, because this is the first time the Cubs ever beat the Cardinals all year long. I am still a Cardinal fan, and after tomorrow, he will seize to be a Cubs fan. We're gonna show him what a sweep is."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Once again, I find myself agreeing with Representative Ryder, as we always do. And I just want to congratulate Representative Novak and the Cubs on their last World Series victory, 1909. And...we appreciate the great job they've done."

Speaker Giglio: "The Chair would like to remind the Members, once again, that those wishing to nonconcur on the list of Bills on the second page of the House Calendar, please come up to

62nd Legislative Day

- May 20, 1993
- the Well, and let the Clerk know the Bill numbers that you wish to nonconcur. Senate Bill 483, Representative Homer. You wish to call this Bill, Sir? Read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 483, a Bill for an Act amending the Criminal Code of 1961. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Mr. Speaker, may we return the Bill to Second?"
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks leave to return the Bill to the Order of Second. Does he have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted. The Bill's on Second. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill has been read a second time, previously.

 Amendments 1 and 2 have been adopted. Floor Amendment #2,

 offered by Representative Homer."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer."
- Homer: "...Mr. Speaker, maybe what we need to do... I believe what's happened is that House Amendment #1 was tabled.

 Would... Having voted on the prevailing... Could we return to House Amendment #1? Could we put Amendment 1 on the board? Mr. Speaker, having voted this Bill with this Amendment was previously tabled. It was tabled because it had not been printed and distributed, and having voted on the prevailing side of that Motion, in fact, having been the movement, I now move to reconsider the vote by which House Amendment #1 was previously tabled."
- Speaker Giglio: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted by the Attendance Roll Call. Amendment #1.

 All those in favor of Amendment #1 signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Homer."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer on Amendment #2."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Amendment #2 is identical to House Bill 1026 that passed the House unanimously a few weeks ago, and was held in the Senate Rules Committee. It implements the federal use immunity provisions...in the Illinois immunity provisions. I know of no opposition. I would move its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Frias."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Frias."
- Frias: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 is a...a similiar...is identical to House Bill 2152, which passed out of the House with a vote of 109-2. What it does, is it allows defendants to appear before the court in pre and post-trial hearings. Again, it passed with a favorable vote, and I ask for its adoption. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment, say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?" Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave for immediate consideration. Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask the Sponsor to...have the Bill heard tomorrow on Third Reading."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Let's... What I would do is take the Bill out of the record, so that we can discuss that. Could we take the Bill out of the record? Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Bill's on the Order of Third Reading, and

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

the Bill is now taken out of the record. Representative Mautino on Senate Bill 560. You wish to call that Bill? Out of the record. Representative...Representat

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 906, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment yesterday that we adopted I think took away the objections to the Bill, but the underlying Bill allows an opportunity for a continuance in the event the judge deems it appropriate, and...summary suspension...hearings. This is supported by the Secretary of State and a number of other organizations. At this point, I'm not aware of opposition, although there may be some. And I would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,
 'Shall Senate Bill 906 pass?' All those in favor signify by
 voting 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open, and this
 is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this
 question, there are 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. Senate
 Bill 906, having received the required Constitutional
 Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Local
 Government, Third Reading, appears House...Senate Bill 192,
 Representative Hoffman. Out of the record. Representative
 Cowlishaw, Senate Bill 371. Is the Lady in the chamber?
 Cowlishaw, you wish to call this Bill? Out of the record.
 Representative Brunsvold, 531. Representative Brunsvold in
 the chamber? You wish to call this Bill, Sir? Read the
Bill."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 531, a Bill for an Act concerning the Department of State Police. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill we discussed yesterday, and the Amendment, incorporates 1591 provisions, which were acceptable agreed Bill, and then it...included the provisions on the original 531, except we took out the funding. We would like to put this Bill in a Conference Committee. We're... We met this morning, again, we're going to try to meet next week to come to some agreement on a cellular 911 Bill; and would ask that we, 'do pass' it here today, and send it back to the Senate and they will nonconcur."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 531 pass?' All those in favor, vote 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open, and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', O voting 'no'. Senate Bill 531, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Cross on Senate Bill 571. Out of the record. Representative Capparelli, Bill 706. Capparelli in the chamber? Out of the record. Representative Lang, 707. Representative Lang. Out of the record. Under Professional Regulation, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 828, Representative Novak. Novak. Representative Novak. On the Order of Public Utilities, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 770, Representative Shirley Jones. Shirley Jones in the chamber? Out of the record. On the Order of Revenue, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 503. The Gentleman from Lansing, Representative

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Balthis. Representative Balthis in the chamber? You wish to call this Bill, Sir? Out of the record. Representative Kubik on 664. Kubik. Mr. Clerk, has the Amendment printed and distributed on Senate Bill 664? No, it has not. Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Kubik, you have a Bill on Third Reading, 387. Out of record. Representative Balthis, you have a Bill on Third Reading, Senate Bill 402. Out of the record. Representative Daniels on Senate Bill 522. record. Out οf the Representative McPike, Senate Bill 591. Out of the record. Representative Rotello. Rotello in the chamber? Senate Bill 606. Out of the record. Representative McPike on Senate Bill 940. Revenue, Third Reading. You wish to call this Bill, Sir? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 940, a Bill for an Act in relation to forest preserve districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me. Representative McPike."

McPike: "There's an Amendment filed this morning. Is it printed?

Amendment #3, I believe."

Speaker Giglio: "No, it has not."

McPike: "All right. Well, we need to get back to this Bill today, so as soon as that's printed, we'll get back to that Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Would you like to call 591? Out of the record.
...Representative Stephens, do you wish to call Senate Bill
625? Read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 625, a Bill for an Act in relation to the transfer of real property. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from ""son, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is Senate Bill 625.

It's a land transfer Bill. It's a variety of Amendments that were added. We discussed them yesterday, singularly. I

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- would be glad to try to respond to any question. I think the Bill is very much in order, and I move its passage."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 625 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 625, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of...Concurrences, Special Order, appears House Bill 1526, Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. I move the House nonconcur on Senate

 Amendment 1 to House Bill 1526."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur on Senate

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 1526."
- Currie: "And Mr. Speaker, if I might since I've got the microphone, I would like to introduce a group of students, all of whom have law degrees from countries other than the United States, who are visiting in this country as graduate students of the University of Chicago Law School. They're up and down, cluttering the side aisle. And after you welcome them, I will get them out of your way."
- Speaker Giglio: "On the Concur... Representative Noland on House
 Bill 1613. Representative Noland. Representative Lang in
 the chamber? Are there... Representative Tenhouse.
 Representative Tenhouse."
- Tenhouse: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Since Representative Noland isn't here, as I understand his request is that we nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. And the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill...1613. Senate... House Bill 765, Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 765.

 Representative Black. Representative Black, do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. We have an inquiry of the Chair. I've been asked by some of my Members, and I quite frankly can't remember how we done it in the past. Some Members want to know if they can demand a roll call on a nonconcurrence Motion. As I understand the Rules, 55, I think it's (c), you could do that. And the question has been raised, and I don't remember how we done it in the past; so I need some direction from the Chair."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Parliamentarian informs the Chair that, yes, you can request a Roll Call Vote."

Black: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "You're welcome, Sir. Representative Dart, on House Bill 669."

Dart: "Thank you. It's just 69."

Speaker Giglio: "House F''' 69."

Dart: "I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'no', and the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 69. Let

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

the Chair remind the Members once again that those wishing to nonconcur on any of the House Bill, please come up to the Well and inform the Clerk. On the Order of Second Reading, Professional Regulation, appears Senate Bill 828, Representative Novak. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 828. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 has been adopted to the Bill. No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Novak."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Novak on Amendment #2."

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 828 is a simple word substitution. It has been an agreement with the Realtors Association and the Illinois Bar Association. It replaces the word 'significant' with the word 'substantial'; and I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment, signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'no'.

The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. On the Order of Revenue,
Representative McPike, Senate Bill 940. The Gentleman asks
leave to return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading.

Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing none, leave is
granted. The Bill is on Second. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 940. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 has been adopted to the Bill. No. - 'ons filed. Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative McPike."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Mr. Clerk, what happened to Amendment #2?"

Clerk Rossi: "The Amendment was withdrawn."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- McPike: "Thank you. Amendment #3 allows the forest preserve districts in Cook County and Lake County to sell bonds.

 Amendment #1 restricted that to Cook County, at the request of Lake County. We've added them to Amendment #3. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment, signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'no'.

 In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. The Chair would again like to inform the Members that those Members wishing to nonconcur on the Special Order of Concurrences, please come to the well and inform the Clerk, and his...and his helpers that you wish to nonconcur. Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "The House Republicans would like to have a caucus in Room 118, if that is okay."
- Speaker Giglio: "Republicans would like a caucus in Room 118.

 Return to the House by 2:00. The Democrats will caucus in Room 114, and we will return at approximately 2:00.

 Democrats, 114. Republicans, 118."
- Speaker Steczo: "The House will come to order. On the Order of Environment and Energy, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 186, Representative Novak. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 186. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #2 has been adopted to the Bill. No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #3, offered by
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak on Amendment 3."
- Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 Please withdraw Floor Amendment #3."

62nd Legislative Day

- May 20, 1993
- Speaker Steczo: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
 Novak."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak on Amendment #4."
- Novak: "Yes. Ladies and Gentlemen, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #4 concerns the waste energy technology legislation that we passed in 1987. It deletes the May 9...lst, 1989 date by which a solid waste facility, such as an incinerator must receive local siting approval. This does concern the Robbins incinerator that's presently under approval...by the EPA, with the sign off by the Attorney General's Office. Some technical changes in the language of the legislation to allow the continuation of the process for the siting of the incinerator."
- Speaker Steczo: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, seek recognition?"
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. An inquiry of the Chair. I believe the Gentleman was explaining Amendment #5, rather than Amendment #4."
- Novak: "No... Representative Black, I think... According to my records here, it's Amendment #4. We just tabled #3. This is #4 and we have another one to go."
- Black: "Well, I tell you what. Our... We may want to get our staffs together because...4 has some community water test provisions."
- Decrek: "Yeah, that's coming up."
- Black: "Well, we have that in 4..."
- Novak: "Oh. that's 4?"
- Black: "And Robbins in 5."
- Novak: "I'm sorry. I stand corrected."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Black: "Okay."

Novak: "I see Mona over there waving to me, so I stand corrected.

Okay."

Speaker Steczo: "Mr. Novak, are you gonna...gonna explain the
 real Amendment #4 at this time?"

Novak: "We will speak to the...Amendment #4, but the language is entirely different, so I stand corrected. All right, Amendment #4 concerns the community water testing fees. The EPA provides a service to many communities throughout the State of Illinois concerning...lower cost annual fees for water testing that are very important to small communities, mid-size communities, throughout the State of Illinois, and particularly down in rural...in the rural part of the State of Illinois. It's a very important piece of legislation that's been lobbied extensively by the Illinois Municipal League; and I would certainly would entertain any questions for its adoption."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Novak."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes Representative Novak on Amendment #5."

Novak: "Thank you, MR. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I indicated...Floor Amendment #5 concerns the legislation that we passed in 1987 to...that promotes waste to energy technology. The language in the Amendment deletes the May 1st, 1989 date, by a which a solid waste facility,

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

such as an incinerator must receive local siting approval before it can be considered. This legislation in this Amendment only applies to solid waste incinerators such as the Robbins facility. Be more than happy to entertain any questions."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Persico."

Persico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?

Representative, is this...does this Amendment... Is this

the same Amendment that was House Bill 415, which we
defeated earlier in the Session?"

Novak: "Not to my knowledge."

Persico: "It qualifies Robbins incinerator for a state subsidy of \$7.5 million a year?"

Novak: "Not to my knowledge."

Persico: "So this isn't the language of House Bill...415 was it 415 or 1415?"

Novak: "No, it's not."

Persico: "This is not a state subsidy for Robbins?"

Novak: "The problem was when May 1st...the May 1st, 1989 date that's currently in the law, the incinerator...the facility failed to get siting approval by that deadline, so they had to reapply again. That's why... That's why this date has to be removed."

Persico: "...Robbins does qualify..."

Novak: "Yes. It does allow them... It allows them for the subsidy, yes; but this is very important to the continuation of the process...for the Robbins incinerator."

Persico: "Yeah, so it does requalify them. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dart."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Dart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask for a roll call on this Amendment."
- Speaker Steczo: "Any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Murphy."
- Murphy, Maureen: "Yes. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."
- Murphy, Maureen: "The elimination of the date, will that impede or accelerate the progress of the Robbins incinerator?"
- Novak: "It won't accelerate the process, but it'll allow the process to continue in an orderly manner, to its fruition."

 Murphy, Maureen: "Thank you."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak, one minute to explain your vote."
- Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman, the reason why this Amendment is...is being filed is to allow the Robbins incinerator that's been approved by...by the Village of Robbins, by the citizens of Robbins, by the local elected officials of Robbins, by the Illinois Attorney General's Office, by all the respective parties involved. This will allow the Robbins incinerator to continue on their present scale to develop their site, and to get their...get under the construction of this facility."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook,

 Representative Morrow, one minute to explain your vote."
- Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in favor of Floor...Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 186. You know, it's ironic. I've been in this chamber now for six years; and when a mayor of a village or

. . .

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

township has called my office, and a lot of times they call my office from your area, from your community; and I've always been...open-minded so, when the mayor of one of your...in one of your districts have called. And I find it hard to believe that on a case like this and a situation like this, when the Mayor of Robbins put her...her career on the line in April; she was voted for reelection. The person that ran against her was also in favor of this project in Robbins. And I just find it that we're sending a wrong precedent when we're going to ignore a township manager..."

- Speaker Steczo: "Mr. Morrow, bring your remarks to a close, please."
- Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I just want some people to realize that regardless of the outcome of this issue, when your mayor calls me, when your village township president calls me, I'm gonna still be open-minded and I'm gonna be responsive to their concerns for their community because that's what I've been elected for, and I just wish we could have some more green votes so we can give the mayor of the Village of Robbins that same consideration."
- Speaker Steczo: "Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Amendment. I think the previous Representative has clearly outlined the issue. This affects a community, only one, and they are asking for this. It's no fault of their own they were subjected to a second review process by the chief legal officer of the State of Illinois. And I agree with Representative Morrow. There are times when we need to put aside regional differences and help communities who want to help themselves, and that's

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

why I intend to vote 'aye'."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will,

 Representative Wennlund, one minute to explain your vote."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Sixty-three of us, not more than three weeks ago, voted to eliminate the \$7.5 million subsidy for the Robbins incinerator; and the reason we did it is because we felt it was unfair to grant them a...an exception and let the rest of the rate payers of Commonwealth Edison pick up the tab for the Robbins incinerator because it forces Commonwealth Edison and the utilities to buy electricity from them and the state picks up the difference in cost. Your taxpayers do. So any increase in rates that...that occur over the next few years, over the next twenty years, are a direct result of things like this, a \$7.5 million hit. And that's why you should vote against this Amendment and you voted for the Bill that eliminated the subsidies."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dart, one minute to explain your vote."
- Dart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to set the record straight.

 They... They have..."
- Speaker Steczo: "Mr. Dart, you did speak in debate. I'm sorry, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Representative Hassert. One minute to explain your vote. The Gentleman does not wish to speak. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 60 voting 'yes', 54 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And Amendme #5 is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Steczo: "Third Reading. On the Order of Environment and Energy, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 764,

62nd Legislative Day

- May 20, 1993
- Representative Pankau. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 764, a Bill for an Act in relation to the environment. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker Steczo: "Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Pankau."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage, Representative Pankau on Amendment #3."
- Pankau: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

 Amendment #3 now becomes the Bill. Senate Bill 76...764

 came across to the House with the understanding by the

 Senate that it would be worked on in the House. After

 numerous meetings, we now have an agreement that is

 encompassed in Amendment 3, which has been signed off by

 the Illinois EPA, the Illinois Solid Waste Association, the

 Illinois Trial Lawyers, the Illinois Environmental Council,

 the Illinois Manufacturers Association, and the Illinois

 State Chamber of Commerce. I ask for the approval of

 Amendment #3."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Representative Pankau, we just want to clarify the record. I think Amendment #1, you might want to move to table Amendment 1, so that we can adopt Amendment #3. That way, it doesn't have to go back over to the Senate."
- Pankau: "Oh, that will be fine."
- Granberg: "So that would be... That way, the Senate would just have to concur on Amendment #3?"
- Pankau: "May I do that at this point, Mr. Speaker?"
- Speaker Steczo: "What's your pleasure, Representative Pankau."

62nd Legislative Day May 20, 1993

Pankau: "Move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has..."

Pankau: "That was the Amendment that was put on in committee.

Since #3 now becomes the Bill entirely, it would be appropriate to move...to remove that first."

Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has moved to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 764. Is there any objection? There being no objection, leave is granted. And Amendment #1 is tabled. The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any further discussion on Amendment #3? There being none, all those in favor will signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Steczo: "Third Reading. On the Order of Local Government,

 Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 192, Representative

 Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 192, a Bill for an Act amending the Counties Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 Senate Bill 192 would authorize counties to establish a special fund for capital improvements, repairs or replacement; and to appropriate to that fund in the county's annual budget an amount not to exceed 3% of the amount appropriated to the county's general or operating corporate fund. It also contains provision Representative Turner has, regarding the ownership of ferries and saying counties can own...own ferry boats. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Senate Bill 192. On that question, is there any discussion?

The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will,

Representative Black...Representative Wennlund. I'm sorry."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."

Wennlund: "Both... Both Amendments, 1 and 2, were on the Bill?"

Hoffman: "Yes, Representative."

Wennlund: "And it basically... Amendment #1 applies only to Adams
County?"

Hoffman: "Yes, Representative, that's Representative Tenhouse's Amendment."

Wennlund: "Okay. And with respect to the underlying Bill, the Realtors are okay on the...underlying Bill?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

Wennlund: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And Senate Bill 192, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Law, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 325, Representative Dart. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 325, a Bill for an Act in relation to drug testing, probability, conditional discharge, and supervision. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Bill 325 contains numerous provisions. This was the Senate Judiciary's Committee Bill. It contains numerous provisions that deal with the juvenile court, as well as the adult court, and numerous other provisions dealing probation. It allows for fines in certain areas that are already allowed in adult court to be in juvenile court. are, like in the adult court, they're permissive on the ability of someone being able to pay them. And it also gives to the juvenile court the same ability that it has, as far as testing as a condition of supervision. It provision dealing with another their annual plan, submitting something dealing with the support for rights of crime victims. In addition to this, we added an Amendment which put the juvenile court on par with the adult court. This Bill also contains provisions which allow for attorney to inform the Board of Ed of individual who is convicted of enumerated sex offenses they must report that. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Steczo: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner seek recognition?"

Skinner: "Well, basically to complain about abuse of process by the Chair, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker. I filed an Amendment first thing this morning to this Bill, which of course, anyone could have hand-copied himself, but trusting in the Speaker's Office to make sure that the printer did a...an adequate job in a timely fashion, I left it in your hands. Now, this Amendment, of course, has not been printed. What a surprise. This has happened again and again to Republicans again and again. The Amendment that is on this Bill that...that attempts... Well, there is an Amendment on this Bill that will plug the hole in the reporting act between Cook County and local school districts and the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Board of Education, with regard to teacher certifications. But it hasn't been agreed to by the State's Attorney's Office. It hasn't been agreed to by the circuit clerks. And it hasn't been agreed to by the State Police. The Amendment that I filed has been agreed to by the State's Attorney's Office of Cook County. It has been agreed to by my Attorney who says, he can sell it to other state's attorneys, and it has been agreed to by the State Police. There's nothing wrong with the Amendment that...the Sponsor has put on here. I just don't think it's as good as the one that I had. If we put both on, we'd plug two holes. But we can't put both on, Mr. Speaker, because the people...who have been ordered to stop the flow of the printing of Amendments refuse to print the Amendments. So I just stand to express my disgust with the process."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Inquiry for the Clerk. If I remember correctly, Senate
 Bill 325... What's the stage... Was that on Third Reading
 this morning, when the Amendment wasn't printed? So it
 wasn't at the right stage for an Amendment, regardless?"
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill is on Third Reading."
- Granberg: "So an Amendment could not have been put on the Bill on Third Reading?"
- Speaker Steczo: "That's correct. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Cross."
- Cross: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. With all due respect to my colleage. Mr. Skinner, I think this is a good Bill. I'm sponsoring it with Mr. Dart, Representative Dart and others, and I would ask also for a favorable vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Steczo: "Any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just an inquiry of the Chair.

Was Amendment #4 adopted, or was that tabled? I'm showing tabled on 3 and 4. but I'm not certain that's the case."

Clerk Rossi: "Amendment #4 was adopted to the Bill."

Wennlund: "Was... Was adopted?"

Speaker Steczo: "The Clerk indicates, Mr. Wennlund, that Amendment #4 was adopted."

Wennlund: "Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dart, to close."

Dart: "I would just ask for a favorable vote."

- Speaker Steczo: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 325 pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. 325, Senate Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Insurance, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 262, Representative Ryder. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 262. The Bill has been read a second time, previously. Amendments 1 and 2 have been adopted to the Bill. No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #3 was webdrawn. Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jersey,

 Representative Ryder, on Amendment #4."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate the courtesy of

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

calling the Bill at this time. Floor Amendment #4 simply allows reciprocal governmental units to continue in the reporting requirements of...that they've had. This is at the request on an otherwise agreed Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Representative Ryder, if I remember correctly, your earlier Amendment encompassed the language requested by the Illinois Trial Lawyers Association, that is on the Bill?"

Ryder: "Yes."

Granberg: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, all in favor of the Amendment will say 'aye'; those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment 5, offered by Representative Kotlarz."

Speaker Steczo: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "With all due respect to the Sponsor of the Amendment, both Amendments #5 and 6 are hostile Amendments, to which I do not agree. He's certainly entitled to his opportunity, if he's available. If not, I move to table 5 and 6. Mr. Speaker, I simply..."

Speaker Steczo: "Yes, Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "...offered in respect to the Sponsor Amendment 5 and Amendment 6 by the same Sponsor, are hostile Amendments, and I would be standing in opposition to those Amendments were they presented. I want to give the opportunity to the Sponsor, should he wish, but he is not present, and if he is not present, I move to table 5 and 6."

62nd Legislative Day

- May 20, 1993
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg. ...Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to ask leave to handle this Amendment for Representative Kotlarz."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman asks leave. Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "The Gentleman has talked with me and I will withdraw my

 Motion to table the Amendment, and allow him to present one

 of the two Amendments, which everyone he decides to go

 with."
- Speaker Steczo: "Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to caution you on Amendment #5..."
- Speaker Steczo: "Representative Wojcik, before you do that, can
 we have Representative Granberg explain the Amendment? So
 the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton,
 Representative Granberg, on Amendment #5."
- Granberg: "Yes...Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #5."
- Speaker Steczo: "Amendment #5 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Kotlarz."
- Speaker Steczo: "Representative Granberg asks leave to handle

 Amendment #6 for Representative Kotlarz. Is there any
 objection? There being none, leave is granted. The
 Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg, on
 Amendment #6."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #6 is a less restrictive means of rescinding the invitro fertilization on mandated insurance coverage, that was legislation two years ago. And I'd be happy to answer any questions."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #6. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jersey, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I stand in opposition to the Amendment. It is a controversial Amendment. It...is not part of the underlying Bill. I have an obligation to the folks for whom I agreed to sponsor this Bill to try to keep it as clean as I possibly can. The elements of this Amendment may very well have some merit, and I'm not discussing those; but it is hostile. It's not something I wish on the Bill. I would ask my colleagues to join me in defeating this, not on its merits, but simply because the procedure that is present here."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. like to speak to the merits of the Bill, not just the procedure by which this measure comes to us this...at this late moment in Session. The effect of this legislation would be essentially to gut the infertility law that we put on the books just a little more than a year ago; a measure the Governor was pleased to sign in concert with the local citizens' groups that helped make this law happen. To gut the Bill before it's had time to go into effect hardly makes any sense, and the specifics of this Amendment, which would deny prescription drug coverage to infertile couples would make, in fact, the costs of the infertility program a whole lot heavier. Prescription drugs are the first line of attack against the problem of infertility. If we deny coverage for those drugs, there are virtually ensuring that people who would otherwise be entitled to the law would be

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

entitled to the most expensive part of the law, which is to say invitro fertilization, itself. So, I hope the rest of the Members will join me in rejecting this untimely, unfair, inappropriate, and not cost effective measure."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative McPike in the Chair. Further discussion? Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Again I would like to echo the same concerns of the previous speaker, and to caution you again as to say this is another step to slowly removing the infertility program that we have in Illinois. It has not been working entirely and I don't think we should touch it. And I think it should continue as it is and vote 'no' on this Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'.

 Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This Motion has 5 'ayes', 104 'noes'; and the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Appropriations, Senate Bill 268 has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Reading the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 268, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of State University Civil Service System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder. Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill, as it passed committee, appropriates \$890,000 GRF and EAF for the FY94 ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Universities Civil Service System."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed voted 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 105 'ayes', 1 'no'. House Bill... Representative Lou Jones, votes 'aye'. Representative Eugene Moore, votes 'aye'. On this Motion, there is 107 'ayes' and 1 'no'. House Bill 268... Representative Clayton votes... Representative Clayton, votes 'aye'. Any further? On this Motion, there are 100... Mr. Turner, votes 'aye'. Representative Shirley Jones, votes 'aye'. On this Motion, there are 111 voting 'aye' and 1 'no'. Senate Bill 268., having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 311...has been read a second time. Mr. Clerk, any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 have been adopted to the Bill. No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Schakowsky."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative... Representative Schakowsky do you have a Motion on Amendment #3."
- Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wanted to table Amendment #3."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?'

 All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes'
 have it, and the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Schakowsky."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky."
- Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #6 is just a technical correction in the...legislation. I urge adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 6 be adopted?'

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative Deuchler."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Deuchler."

Deuchler: "Withdraw Amendment #7."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #7. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative

Deuchler."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Deuchler."

Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment 8 appropriates \$172 million to DASA for its ordinary and contingent expenses. It restores to the Governor's approved levels for non-Medicaid substance abuse treatment, for Medicaid substance abuse treatment, for personal services, and...the additional...administrative costs."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Amendment #9 is withdrawn. Amendment #10 is withdrawn. Amendment 11 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative Schakowsky."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #12 restores funding aid to the...levels...of recommended by the Governor, and makes a line item of \$50,000 for notification, as passed by the General Assembly; and I urge its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I want to rise in support of the Amendment. The...the cuts in the AIDS education and services at the local level that previous to this Amendment were made inappropriate. We need to understand that AIDS funding has...has come, I believe, full circle, and is understood as a public health issue. And that's the way it ought to be seen, and I would just comment that people from our area, the southwestern Illinois, that are stricken with hemophilia, 90% of those who have been identified as hemophiliacs prior to 1985 have...are now stricken with HIV 1 think it's or AIDS. And timelv Representative...restore those funds and I join her in her effort."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative Sheehy."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Sheehy."

Sheehy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #13 is a appropriation Amendment. We're asking for \$7,888,000 to the Department of Aging's budget, \$3.8 million will be added to reimburse the provider agencies of Homemakers and Housekeepers services at a single rate. This Amendment would consolidate the two reimbursement rates into one, as well as provide training cost for the workers.

The other \$4 million we want to give the Department of Aging's Community Care Program, and every dollar that the state spends on this, it saves \$4. I'd be more than happy to ask...answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be

- May 20, 1993
- adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #14, offered by Representative

 Tenhouse."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Tenhouse."
- Tenhouse: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #14 would transfer \$31,000...\$31,600 within the Illinois Veterans Home Fund for the Quincy Veterans Home. This is at the request of the Home..."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #14 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #15, offered by Representative Black."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."
- Black: "Withdraw Amendment 15."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments."
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #16, offered by Representative
 Black."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #16 transfers \$500,00 a year GRF within the Department of Rehabilitation Services, as passed by the House Committee, and puts in back in non-merit compensation line, so the employees who do the work can get the money."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #16 be adopted?' All in favor say 'ave' opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #17, offered by Representative Phelps."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. This is the agreed Amendment for the public health
 grants. It also incorporates the...the Rural Health
 Initiative Access Fund, and that's the agreement."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 311, a Bill for an Act making appropriations and reappropriations to various human services agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Weller."
- Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 311, as amended, is the omnibus human services Bill. As amended, we recognize that we going eventually end up in Conference, and we, in the spirit of cooperation, add all of the Amendments we added. And I ask for the adoption and passage of this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 311 pass?'

 All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there is 116 'ayes' and 0 'nays'. Senate Bill 311, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 314, has been read a second time. Amendment #3 has been withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Zickus."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Zickus."
- Zickus: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask for the adoption of Amendment #4, which appropriates money for 'Doucher' school in Indian Springs School District 109. 'Doucher' school

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

finds itself in a very unique situation, with a very unsafe situation for the 270 students. The school was built in 1926. There are only 18 classrooms right now. In 1958, the tollway went in next to it. The area...The children cannot..."

Speaker McPike: "There's no opposition to this Amendment."

Zickus: "Pardon?"

Speaker McPike: "There's no opposition to this."

Zickus: "Thank you, I would ask for your adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4

be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The
'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #5 to Senate
Bill 314 brings the Capitol Development Board's
reappropriations request down to the April 30 balance.
This is a deduction of \$49,956,056. I ask for its
approval."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Hughes."

Speaker McPike: "Repr sentative Hughes."

Hughes: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm offering Amendment #6 to appropriate \$1.965 million to McHenry County junior college. Ask your favorable consideration."

- May 20, 1993
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Saltsman."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Saltsman."
- Saltsman: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. This a technical Amendment, and it's agreed to by both sides of the aisle, and I ask for its passage."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative Saltsman."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman."
- Saltsman: "This is also a technical Amendment agreed to in both sides of the aisle. I ask for its passage."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The ayes have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 314, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for permanent improvements, minor capitol improvements, repairs and maintenance and related purposes.

 Third 5 anding of this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Tenhouse."
- Tenhouse: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As it passed the committee, Senate Bill 314 appropriates \$1,817,821,700. \$84,908,800 general revenue fund for new

- May 20, 1993
- and reappropriated capital project, as contained in the Capital Development Board and the Build Illinois budgets for fiscal year 1994. I ask for its passage."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 314 pass?'
 All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all
 voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
 record. Schakowsky, 'aye'. Currie, 'aye'. Ronen 'aye'.
 Parcells 'aye'. On this Motion there's 113 'ayes' and 3
 'noes'. Senate Bill 314, having received a Constitutional
 Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 315.
 It's been read a second time previously. Amendment #7 is
 withdrawn. Amendment #8 is withdrawn. Amendment #9. Mr.
 Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative Kaszak."
- Speaker McPike: "Amendment #9 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

 Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative

 Hicks. Representative Hicks. Mr. Hicks? Mr. Saltsman,

 will you handle this for Mr. Hicks? Representative

 Saltsman."
- Saltsman: "Yes, thank you Mr. Chairman. This Amendment,

 Department of Commerce Community Affairs transfers \$200,000

 of tourism promotional fund to statewide tours and

 promotion fund and matching grants. I ask for its

 passage."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'nyes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative Woolard."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Woolard."

- May 20, 1993
- Woolard: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This appropriates \$334,600 to the Department of Agriculture, so that they can insure that they do the weights and measures."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative

 Hoffman."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. This would add \$136,371 to the Illinois Vietnam Veterans Leadership program."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative Olson."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #13 appropriates \$500,000 of park and conservation funds to the Department of Conservation for the development and maintenance of recreational trails. I move for for it's adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be
 adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The
 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 315, a Bill for an Act making

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

appropriations and reappropriations to various economic development agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Tenhouse."

Tenhouse: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As passed committee, Senate 315 appropriates \$1,49,541,500. \$96,898,800 General Revenue fund for the fiscal year 94 ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of AG, Commerce and Community Affairs, Prairie State 200, Department of Labor, Department of Employment Security, Historic Preservation Agency, Arts Council, Illinois Department of Development Authority, East St. Louis Financial Advisory Authority, Sports Facility Authority, and Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 325 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 110 'ayes' and 6 'noes'.

Senate Bill 315, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 316 has been read a second time. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 316 appropriates \$4,495,700 of which \$2,525,600 are GRF funds for the '94 ordinary and contingent expenses of the Lieutenant Governor. Move for adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 316 pass?'

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 109 'ayes' and 7 'noes'. Senate Bill 316, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 317 has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 317, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 317 appropriates \$7,595,700, of which \$7,495,500 are GRF funds for the fiscal year '94 ordinary and contingent expenses of the office of the Governor. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 317 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 113 'ayes'. Representative Andrea Moore, for what reason do you rise?"

Moore, A.: "I would like the record to reflect that on Bill 315 would have...I should have voted 'aye'."

Speaker McPike: "The record will so reflect."

Moore, A.: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "On Senate Bill 317, there are 113 'ayes' and 1 'no'. Senate Bill 318, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 319 has been read a second time. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Amendments #1 and 2 have been adopted to the Bill.

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Flowers."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Flowers. Representative Flowers.

 Give the Lady some attention, please."
- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is
 my intent to appropriate \$1 to the program of Project Sex
 Respect, and it does no harm to Parents Too Soon, and I
 would move for the adoption of Amendment #3."
- Speaker McPike: "And on the Lady's Motion, Representative Roskam."
- Roskam: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the is absolutely amazing to me that we have an Ιt effort here to try and cut the funding for one program this General Assembly that may actually be noble. We have so many attempts out there to try and deal with of sexually transmitted diseases among our children, teen pregnancy, you name it and there's all types of programs that are out there. We distribute condoms, do all kinds of things, and yet here is an effort to encourage abstinence among teenagers. It's a program that's underway in 1600 communities across the country. It is a program that actually threatens to be successful. Т would ask your rejection of this Amendment, and Mr. Speaker, I ask for a roll call."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Although the honored colleague on my side seems to be very much in support of this program, the funding for this program and its budget is under scrutiny and unfortunately the people that are working the program of Sex Respect cannot justify their budget to the satisfaction of either many of the people in the state or federally. And I think until the program has straightened out and the funding and the budget of the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

people that run the program can be successfully audited, I think Representative Flowers' Amendment is a very good one and that possibly we should support it."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a very, very simple vote. Essentially by supporting this Amendment, you're gutting a program that promotes traditional values of abstinence and waiting. If you're concerned about sexually transmitted disease and teenage pregnancy, about our young people, you'll want to vote 'no' and protect this program. The best vote is a 'no' vote, and I reinforce Representative Roskam's request for a roll call."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giolitto."

Amendment. The Sex Respect program has been shoved down the throats of several communities that do not want it. One of them is my own, up in Rockford, Illinois. There is a tremendous battle over it. They've been trying to get it in since 1987, and the people there do not want it. They just recently voted it out again. The problem with this program is, one of the problems, is the fact that it does not even concern itself with contraception, AIDS or the abortion issue at all. It's geared entirely to the white upper-middle class individuals and completely ignores reality. So, I would encourage you to vote 'yes' on this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I add my number to those who wish a roll call on this Amendment. I'd like to speak to it if I might?"

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Skinner: "If it's only the white upper-middle class that want

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

their kids to abstain from having sex until they get married, I'm really somewhat surprised. I bet you there are a lot of people in a lot of our districts who aren't white and upper-middle class that have values that are such as the gentle Lady suggested most people don't have. we have here is a program that has been audited. has gone under a performance audit by the Illinois Auditor General's office, and of all the programs in the Parents Too Soon program, there were only two that were making any effort, whatsoever, to have a performance evaluation. One of them was run by the Chicago Junior League. The other one was run by Parents Too Soon, excuse me, the other one was run by Project Respect. So, if you're interested in results, the results are in the audit. I've read them. doubt very much if the Sponsor of this Amendment has read that audit. This is a program which does work. It works on the margin. It doesn't work 100%, but it will keep some teenagers from having sex a little longer than they would without the program. There is absolutely no reason to take this money out of the budget, unless you want to have more kids having more kids earlier."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flowers, to close."

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Flowers: Amendment #3, does not eliminate the funding abstinence. What this Amendment does, it eliminates the Sex Respect money for this particular program, because of the racist remark that was made by this director; and I feel that if she wants to continue what she should do with those type of remarks, what she needs to do is get her own private funding. Do not use my tax dollars to talk about Again, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would urge for the me. Amendment, the adoption of Amendment #3."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 60 'ayes' and 49 'noes', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Currie."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. This restores the \$4.1 million that was not in the budget for the Spousal Impoverishment Program. The program that allows middle income families to make sure that when one spouse is in a nursing home, the other spouse who stays at home is able to keep a roof over his or her head. What this Bill does, is to meet the current statutory requirement, which is to set the standard at federal maximum rather than minimum benefits. I urge its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Schakowsky."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky."
- Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendments breaks out the personal services line in the field division between merits compensation and non-merit compensation employees. There are no changes in the appropriation, and I would urge its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Weller."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker McPike: "Give the Gentlemen some attention, please."

Weller: "Amendment #6, frankly, is a pretty important Amendment, and I hope everyone will take time to listen and consider it very, very seriously. Amendment #6 reduces General Revenue Fund appropriations by about \$149,617,500. asked why would we want to reduce the Department of Public Aid's appropriation by about \$150 million. Well, the reason is pretty simple. We're drawing to the close of this General Assembly, Ladies and Gentlemen, and one of the key issues that we're all faced with is still unresolved. and that's the issue of what'll we do about replacing the nursing home assessment for Medicaid. Many of us have labeled it the 'granny tax'. What we're proposing to do with this Amendment is to free up \$150 million in general revenue funds that can be used to replace a major portion of the nursing home assessment tax, the 'granny tax'. Ladies and Gentlemen, it makes sense. Folks back home have been saying, we don't want anymore tax increases; we don't want anymore tax increases, and we certainly don't like the 'granny tax' that was imposed upon the people and the 40,000 private-pay families "it have family members, our grandmothers and grandfathers in the nursing homes.' what we're doing by this Amendment, Ladies and Gentlemen, is reducing the GRF appropriations by about \$150 million. Releasing GRF funds that can be released to replace the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

'granny tax'. I don't believe there's any votes on this side of the aisle to extend the 'granny tax', and I don't believe there's many votes on the other side of the aisle. So, by adopting this Amendment, we can help replace that assessment and also maintain nursing home rates at the current level. According to Rule 55(c), I have the opportunity to request a Roll Call Vote, Mr. Speaker. I ask for this Amendment to be adopted, and I urge a Roll Call Vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weller, there have been a number of requests from the Democratic side of the aisle. If you will explain what's in the Amendment? We understand you're against the 'granny tax', but would you explain what's in this Amendment?"

Weller: "Sure. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As I mentioned earlier, total amount of reduction is \$149,617,500. Specifically, the reductions reduce \$21 million of GRF from the Healthy Moms, Healthy Kids program, delaying further implementation of that program. Second, it reduces \$3 million of GRF by eliminating net funding for the earned income disregard initiative. Third, it reduces \$3.5 million GRF for Fresh Start, by eliminating funding for the new initiatives. Four, reduces \$3,750,000 in GRF by eliminating funding for the direct child care payments. Next, it reduces by eliminated funding for electronic benefit transfer. Next, it reduces \$35.9 million in GRF by eliminating the budgeted rate increase for long-term care, rather than the earlier recommended 5 % increase. I might want to point Director Bradley said earlier in the out. that Appropriations Committee that maintaining a current level of Medicaid reimbursement rates would not violate the Borne Amendment. The seventh item is that it reduces \$1.7

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

million in GRF by eliminating budgeted 5% rate increase for Eight, it reduces \$19 million in GRF by eliminating a budgeted 4.8% rate increase for all hospitals. Nine, eliminates...reduces \$3.9 million in GRF by eliminating a 3.5% price increase for drug acquisition. Next, it reduces million GRF by eliminating a rate increase physicians. Next, it reduces \$5.9 million GRF by eliminating budgeted rate increases for other medical. Next, it reduces \$20 million GRF to reduce funding for AFDC case loads, which have been overestimated. reduces \$10.1 million GRF by eliminating funding for small family increase initiative. Next, it reduces \$2.9 million GRF by eliminating budgeted increase in caseload. Next, it reduces \$4.3 million GRF by eliminating funding for the budgeted increases for supported services and direct service contracts for expansion of caseload. Next, it reduces personal services and related by eliminating budgeted salary increases. Next, it reduces personnel services and related lines, budgeting a 5% turnover in hiring lag. Next, it reduces personnel services to eliminate budgeted 5% increase overtime. Next, it reduces contractual services to reduce budgeted increases. Next, it reduces budgeted increase in which totals by \$72,000. Next, it reduces budgeted increase in commodities. Next, it reduces budget increase in equipment; and last, it eliminates the budget increase in telecommunications. I might point out, that our staff. as lysis estimates that there would be...we project no layoffs as a result of this Amendment. Essentially, what the Amendment does, is it eliminated budget rate increases for our providers; maintains them at the current FY '93 level. Limits new initiatives, delays

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

the Healthy Moms, Healthy Kids programs, and also reduces the increase in operational expenditures. Be happy to answer any specific questions."

Speaker McPike: "On the 'do adopt' Motion, Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Schakowsky: "Let me ask you, how many layoffs do you project will result from this Amendment?"

Weller: "Representative, as I said a moment ago, our staff analysis projects no layoffs as a result of our Amendment."

Schakowsky: "My understanding is that we talking about 500 to 700 staff laid off. And to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, I want to say that I think this is an entirely irresponsible Amendment. My understanding from the department is, because the cuts in the rate increases are so profound, that they are arbitrary and budget-driven Amendments; we will, in fact, be in violation of the federal Borne Amendment. In addition, I really can't believe the Sponsor is telling us that in order to get rid of the 'granny tax', we should take money away from people who are on AFDC, we should end direct child care support, we should stop the earned income initiative; in other words, we should hurt the poor people in our state that are depending on our help. I want to be clear that the budget that we're is \$140 million less than the suggesting that we pass, introduced budget as it is. This goes way beyond that. are to bing about massive layoffs. We are talking about reducing, supposedly reducing, the AFDC caseload by \$40 million when the department acknowledges that that will force Public Aid to come back for a supplemental. This is phoney-baloney. They're gonna have to come back and ask

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

for more money. The budget that we are proposing is a major cut, and yet it is responsible at the same time. I would suggest that we resoundingly defeat Amendment #6."

Speaker McPike: "I think that we've had all the facts from both sides. So, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'.

Representative Leitch, to explain his vote."

Leitch: "This really is a very terribly important Bill for anyone who wants to get rid of the 'granny tax'. On the other side of the aisle, do not be confused. This does not cause any layoffs. It does more closely predict the AFDC lines, it doesn't stop AFDC increases, and what the importance of this Bill is, is that it keeps alive a chance. We're gonna wind up a week from here without passage of this Amendment. We are gonna wind up with a situation where it's take it or leave it, just like it was last year, and I'm gonna tell you; we're gonna leave it over here. There isn't a single vote for that 'granny tax' over here, and if you are opposed to the 'granny tax', you have to help us send a message that we are not gonna accept it. These are very reasonable cuts, and we need your help, and we urge that you support this very good Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The bottom line on this Amendment is that we can't have all the programs that we want without making sacrifice at one end or the other. We either have to pay more taxes, or we have to cut spending. This is a common sense alternative. You know, Ladies and Gentlemen, the fastest growing industry in Illinois is public assistance, and there's a reason for that; it's because we don't have the courage, the political courage, to say 'no'; or at least just 'maybe', and that what this

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

says, 'Look, let's not just Amendment it says, ourselves down the river. Let's phase in some programs. Let's use some common sense in limiting arowth reasonable rates.' We have to make these sort of cuts in order to afford the repeal of the 'granny tax'. There are no votes on this side of the aisle for the 'granny tax'. So, you have to think about that on that side of the aisle. You have to support this Amendment, or you've got to put the votes up there for the 'granny tax'. It will be on your shoulders, and then you'll try to explain..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Salvi."

Salvi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I came to this General Assembly to limit the size of government. This is my chance to do that, and I'm proud to vote 'yes' on this. Those of you that are voting 'no', I hope you're cutting something. I hope you're doing something to make this government more efficient. It seems to me there's a lot of imagination, a lot of ingenuity when it comes to new ways to raise taxes, new ways to get more revenue, but where's the ingenuity and the efficiency and the imagination when it comes to cutting spending and limiting the size of government. This is your chance to go home to your constituents and say you didn't just raise taxes, you didn't just make bigger government, you made government more efficient, more effective, you cut size of government. This is a real exciting time for I'm very enthusiastic about voting 'yes'. I suggest you do the same."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The contents of this Amendment have taken nearly a year to prepare, and I want to commend the Members of the House Republican staff who have put in

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

countless hours and used their very best imagination and initiative to prepare this Amendment. This is a reasonable alternative to the nursing home assessment that we have imposed upon private-pay patients in nursing homes throughout this state. To tax the old, the sick and the poor is the worst public policy I have ever seen in my life. Those of you who are voting 'no', do you have a better way to replace this? And if you don't, you should be voting 'yes'."

Speaker McPiKe: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. had a little bit of an opportunity to analyze Amendment, and I had some concerns about it, and I just want to explain my 'no' vote. You know, last year I not support the 'granny tax' and I do not intend to support the 'granny tax' again, and there are many, many Democrats on this side of the aisle that do not intend to support the 'granny tax' again. So, we do have to formulate some good alternative plan. But, let me tell you, a previous speaker mentioned that Medicaid costs are growing and mushrooming and by geometric proportions, 65 to 75% of Public Aids' budget goes to Medicaid expenses; it doesn't go to cash grants to recipients. So, you're gonna be taking million out of the Public Aid's budget and what is gonna happen? It is going to detrimentally impact someone who's been receiving services that needs it so much. This is a bad idea. This is a very bad idea. We have to defeat this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Tim Johnson."

Johnson, Tim: "I would... Before I address the Amendment, I would ask that there be a verification if this Amendment fails. There's been a lot of posturing all over; a lot of

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

districts, everywhere else, about the 'granny tax', and everybody always says, they conclude by saying, 'Well, where's your alternative? You don't like our proposal, where's your alternative?' Well, this is it. This is Representative Weller has offered alternative that everybody's been saying, 'Give us'. You in front of you now. You vote 'yes' you're in have it favor of a practical, feasible, reasonable alternative to a horrible tax. If you vote 'no', all the posturing, all the statements in districts all over the state about what's going to happen, where's your alternative, what are you gonna do? You didn't really mean it when you said it. This is the answer. Where there's a lot of answers to, but this is certainly one of them, and I urge a strong, strong, 'yes' vote on that; and I again ask a verification of..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."

Edley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. This is true smoke and mirrors budgeting, true smoke and mirrors budgeting. We can't cut the Public Aid caseloads, those are programs that are driven by substantive language, not by our appropriation levels. In addition, you're gonna cut \$71 million out of the long-term care program. That's gonna roll granny right out on the sidewalk. I voted against the nursing home tax, and I will continue to vote against that onerous tax. There are other ways to raise revenue to pay for it, and we oughta have the vision and determination to find them."

Speaker McPike: "Representative von Bergen-Wessels."

von Bergen-Wessels: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, maybe I'm too new to be able to recognize smoke and mirrors, but when I look at this proposal, I see things like increases eliminated. Increases eliminated. We have an obligation

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

to take care of current responsibilities and that's Grandma, and if I have to eliminate an increase to take care of a current responsibility, I'm willing to do that, and I hope to see more green votes up there."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pugh."

Pugh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. When I took the oath to become a state Representative, I took it in good faith. I didn't think that we would be serious about a Bill of this magnitude. This is classic racism. For you to take \$184 million from people who already are disenfranchised. I am totally taken aback, and when you think about the real issue, the real issue is not Republican and Democrat, we're talking about black and white; and if you're going to... The reality of it is, if you're going to cut 5,000 to 7,000 jobs, you're gonna cut \$184 million from a program that's serving people who are ...have been disenfranchised for hundreds of..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Just to make two brief points. In order to come to this \$150 million cut of GRF, the Gentleman has actually proposed that we reduce the budget by \$300 million in total. Now I don't know how anybody can believe that you can reduce an agency by \$300 million and not have any layoffs. We're gonna have layoffs in here, and we're gonna have layoffs by the hundreds. So, let me make one other point. The Gentleman proposes that we reduce the grant by \$40 million to poor people in our state. We can't do that by an appropriation Bill, we would have to reduce that through some substantive Bill. All we're gonna do is play this little sham, this little game, where we come back again in the fall and say we gotta have a supplemental for

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

the Department of Public Aid because we didn't have the right amount in the budget to start with. So, we can't lower that grant by doing this, all we're doing is setting this up for supplemental later in the year. It's a sham; it's smoke and mirrors. It's following the same old tricks we've seen in the past and I would say vote 'no', and let's get on with the business of the House."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment, and I listen to the braying on the other side of the aisle, and I don't see their program. I don't see their program, if what their program is to re-enact the bed That's your program. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, let's get the discussion going. Let's talk about issue, because the train is gonna go out of the station pretty soon and we better have an alternative. So, if your program is to be taxing people at \$6.30 a day, that's program. We got a better alternative. We've put one on the table. I'm waiting, and I think maybe it we'll wait till hell freezes over to hear about your program. this is a legitimate good solid program. We oughta put more votes on it, and I'd like to especially compliment the Representative, the Lady on the other side of the aisle, for standing up and being for this program. She's got the right idea, you all oughta..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, if we're gonna be racist because we point out that hospitals like Thorak has a 37% occupancy rate and Belmont has a 47% occupancy rate and Barkley has a 52% occupancy rate and Columbus has a 40% occupancy rate, and I can go on and on. There are virtually no hospitals in Chicago that are running at a break-even level, and the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

reason they're not running at a break- even level is because there're too many hospitals. There are too many hospitals in Chicago. Now for all your folks that believe in hospital planning, get your planners to tell us which hospitals ought to be closed. Is it the ones that are at 12% occupancy, I mean which ones are they? That's what we're cutting out of this budget. We're trying to get the infrastructure to match the money."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To my friends on both sides of the aisle, we've been posturing, smoke and mirrors, supplementals, but's let be real frank with ourselves each individually. There are a lot of tough votes down here, and this is a very, very tough vote. But, sometime next week, you're going to be voting on the Medicaid Assessment program, the 'granny tax', and that's gonna be a very, very tough vote too, and what you do here today has an effect upon your vote and how you can vote next week. I think, if you look forward to next week, and winding up the Session and taking care of granny and the tax on the Medicaid Assessment program, you've got to be a 'yes' vote on this here this afternoon. I thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker. If this get the requisite number I request a verification."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson, Tom: "Yes, I, too, rise in support of this, and as a freshman, I have to say that I'm quickly learning about the hard choices that all of us to make in this chamber, and it's gonna get tougher and tougher as we know in the coming week. As I understand it, this \$150 million worth of cuts here approximately, really represents about 3% of the total

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

appropriations going to Public Aid in the coming year. Now, if we're not willing to turn around and start limiting ourselves and in terms of growth in these programs, far be from all of us, to go back to that electorate that is ready for change, wants change, and we better give it to them. I urge a lot more 'yes' votes up there, especially from my fellow freshmen colleagues on the other side of the aisle, who understand..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, an explanation of my vote. the spirit of Representative von-Bergen-Wessels and Representative Johnson, two of our new Members, I want to respond to a couple of charges that were made by some those opposing this Amendment. Even the Department of Public Aid estimated, who the Representative was next to me, they don't project any layoffs as a result of this Amendment. I don't know where those figures are coming from, but they're not true; we don't project in Amendments. In fact, they've got 155 vacancies that are Their AFDC caseload is overestimated. not filled. Even Members on the other side of the aisle speak to that. Our rate increase is maintained at the current level. does not violate the Borne Amendment according to the former director οf Public Aid when he spoke in appropriations, and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is realistic alternative to replacing the 'granny tax'. T f you don't support, if you don't support extending that bed tax on grandma and grandpa, this is an opportunity to release some GRF to cut government spending, essentially as Representative von-Bergen-Wessels said, you're cutting growth, you're..."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have

62nd Legislative Day May 20, 1993

all voted who wish? The Clerk will... Representative

Woolard."

- Woolard: "You know, I think we've lost sight of something, and it's very near and dear to the people that I represent at least. I think we have responsibilities to those employees state government very definitely, and I've heard the debate as to whether or not there would be people would lose their jobs. But, I have a responsibility and I was elected by people who have needs, and they don't work for state government and those are the people that's going to be hurt if we make these kinds of cuts. We have responsibilities to take care of those people who don't have the means or ability to take care of themselves; that, in fact, is what we will be doing by reducing this budget. We have a responsibility to address all of those needs, and I believe that we're ridiculously treading down a path that doesn't even fit the quidelines of the federal government, as the real accommodation of the need of taxing those in some way that will accommodate the need. I can propose something that will make a difference. Let's put a real tax..."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Johnson, I believe asked for a verification, which is now out of order. Representative Schakowsky has asked for a verification of the affirmative. Mr. Clerk, poll the affirmative."
- Rossi: "A poll of those working in the affirmative. Clerk Representatives Ackerman. Balthis. Biggert. Biggins. Black. Brady. Bugielski. Capparelli. Churchill. Cowlishaw. Cross. Daniels. Clayton. DeJaegher. Deuchler."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black wants to be verified, and Mr. Wennlund wants to be verified. Representative Schakowsky, Mr. Black and Mr. Wennlund have been verified. Proceed. Mr. Clerk."

Rossi: "Representative Frederick. Gash. Hassert. Hoeft. Clerk Hoffman. Hughes. Johnson, Tim. Johnson, Tom. Krause. Kubik. Laurino. Lawfer. Leitch. Lindner. Mautino. McAuliffe. Meyer. Moffitt. McAfee. Moore, Andrea. Murphy, M. Noland. Olson. Pankau. Parcells. Mulligan. Parke. Persico. Roskam. Rotello. Rutherford. Salvi. Saviano. Skinner. Stephens. Tenhouse. von Bergen-Wessels. Walsh. Weaver. Weller. Wennlund Wirsing. Wojcik. Zickus.

Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Representative Gash?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Gash is here."

Schakowsky: "Representative McAfee?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. McAfee. Is the Gentleman here? Mr. McAfee is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Schakowsky: "Representative McAuliffe?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Schakowsky: "Representative Persico?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Persico. Is he here? The Gentleman here? Vince Persico. He's not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Schakowsky: "Representative Bugielski?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Bugielski is here. Mr. McAfee has returned. Return him to the roll call. He voted 'aye'."

Schakowsky: "Representation Saviano?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Saviano. There he is, back in the back.

Representative Walsh wants to be verified. Yes, you're verified. Representative Wyvetter Younge. Miss Younge, you cannot be verified. We're verifying the affirmative

- May 20, 1993
- not the negative. I'm sorry, you can't be verified. So, you have to stay. All right, Representative Johnson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Johnson, Tim: "Just in case it's necessary. You've already reconfirmed my request for a verification if it's appropriate, and I just renewed that."
- Speaker McPike: "If Representative Schakowsky is successful, then
 we will recognize Representative Johnson. All right,
 Representative Schakowsky. Wait just a minute,
 Representative Stephens wants to have leave to be verified.
 Mr. Stephens has leave."
- Schakowsky: "Is Representative Hoffman here?"
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Hoffman, for what reason do you rise? Mr. Hoffman is here."
- Schakowsky: "Nothing further."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. DeJaegher, for what reason do you rise? Mr. Sheehy. Mr. Sheehy would like to change from 'no' to 'aye'. On this Motion, there are 60 'ayes' and 56 'noes', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative Black."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #7 restores \$4 million GRF, \$2 million net, to the Department of Public Aid's FY '94 budget to restore funding of personal services line items to the introduced level."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'noes' have it, and the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- May 20, 1993
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 319, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative, who's gonna... Representative Weller."
- Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 319 is the appropriations for FY '94 for the Department of Public Aid. I ask for its adoption and ask for approval, and I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "On that Motion, Representative Currie."
- Currie: "I have a question with all the many Amendments that have come swooping past. Representative Weller, would you respond to this question? Is the 3.5% cap on dispensing fees for pharmaceutical products still in the Bill?"
- Weller: "Could you repeat the question, Representative?"
- Currie: "As introduced, this budget included a 3.5% cap on dispensing fees for pharmaceutical products, a clear violation of the federal 'Over' Act of 1990. Is that cap still in the Bill?"
- Weller: "Representative, as amended, this Bill maintains the current rate for drug acquisition at the FY '93 level, and according to Director Bradley in Appropriations Committee a month or so ago, he indicated that maintaining rates at the Fy '93 level would not violate the Borne Amendment."
- Currie: "But there... So, there is a 3.5% cap. Your editorial comment is not a violation, but the answer to my question; is there a 3.5% cap, can you just give me a 'yes' or 'no',

 Representative? I've made my own editorial comment, an accurate one."
- Weller: "We eliminated the 3.5% increase, Representative."
- Currie: "Three and a half percent cap, 'C.A.P.', in or out, 'yes' or 'no'?"

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Weller: "Could you explain your question a little better, Representative?"

Speaker McPike: "Try one more time, Representative Currie."

Currie: "I believe, as introduced, this budget included a 3.5% cap on dispensing fees for pharmacists in the Public Aid program. Can you tell me, is that cap a violation of 'OBRA' 1990, the federal law. Is that cap still in this Bill, 'yes' or 'no'?"

Weller: "Representative, and... Our Amendment eliminated a 3.5% price increase for drug acquisition. It did not touch pharmacies in any other way."

Currie: "Pharmacists and Pharmacies, 'yes' or 'no'?"

Weller: "We eliminated the 3.5% drug acquisition, and did not touch pharmacies in any other way."

Currie: "Well, I still don't know the answer to the question,

Speaker, but I think that I don't want to take the time of
the House to inquire further. Perhaps a staffer from
Representative Weller's organization might be able to come
over and speak to me in English and answer the question
privately."

Weller: "I stand by my answer."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the record, 'pharmacists' are not reimbursable under any of these programs. 'Pharmacies' for the cost of acquisition of a product and a dispensing fee. That dispensing fee has not been increased at all. As to the question of the 'cap' on acquisition costs, it is my understanding that under this program and under current federal regulations, that this budget will allow for the acquisition reimbursement to pharmacies."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 319 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 74 'ayes', 43 'noes'. Senate Bill 319, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 318 has been read a second time previously. There are no... Representative Salvi, for what reason do you rise?"

- Salvi: "I'd like to be recorded as voting 'yes' on that last one."
- Speaker McPike: "Proud to be an American. The record will reflect that. Mr. Clerk, this Bill has been read a second time previously. Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 318, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Third Reading of this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate... I'm sorry,

 Representative Weller."
- Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 318 as passed committee has no Floor Amendments. It appropriates \$992, 466,300 to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. I ask for it's passage and an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 318 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Currie, 'aye'. Schakowsky, 'aye'. Ronen, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 105 'ayes'; 8 'noes'. Senate Bill 318, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 320 has been read a second

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- time previously. Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 320, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections. Third Reading of this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Tenhouse."
- Tenhouse: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 320 as passed committee, appropriates \$713,644,600. A \$673,510,100 General Revenue Fund to the Department of Corrections for its fiscal year 1994 ordinary and contingent expenses. I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 320 pass?'

 And on that Motion, Representative Monique Davis."
- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would you give us that figure again, Representative Tenhouse, for the Department of Corrections?"
- Tenhouse: "Sure. At this time, Senate Bill 320 appropriates \$713,644,600. There's General Revenue fund dollars of \$673.510,100."
- Davis: "Are those the exact dollars requested by that department?"
- Tenhouse: "No, I think there were some changes in committee and we have..."
- Davis: "Was it decrease, increase?"
- Tenhouse: "In committee, and it was by Amendment. It was reduced, General Revenue Fund by \$1,741,400."
- Davis: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker. I cannot hear his response.

 This is extremely important legislation."
- Speaker McPike: "Let's please give the Gentleman a little quiet, please. Mr. Tenhouse, if you could repeat the answer."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Tenhouse: "Sure, I'd be happy to, Mr. Speaker. The General Revenue fund dollars were reduced by action; basically by an Amendment that was introduced on the Democratic side of the aisle, by \$1,741,400. That amounts to a reduction in the total General Revenue funds of three tens of 1%."
- Davis: "Representative, do you know if this is an increase from last year's Department of Corrections budget, or is it a decrease in the Department of Corrections budget?"
- Tenhouse: "Yes, it is an increase. It would be about \$60 million. We're just trying to rough it out here. It'll be about \$61,800..."
- Davis: "Thank you. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House. As you continue to implement these increased, these increased years or extended prison terms, I want to show you what it's costing us; by making all of these new laws that put people in jail for much longer period of time. Some of the laws that we pass are extremely needed. A lot of them are really not needed. But, we're crowding up the prison system and we're increasing that budget to the tune of \$60 some thousand... Anyway, the whole thing is costing us almost a billion dollars in the State of Illinois, and that is criminal."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just rise to support the Bill before us, and to say in all due respect to the Representative who just spoke, who looked over to our side of the aisle the entire time she was speaking. I believe the enhanced crime package was sponsored by a Democrat, Representative, and blew out of the House with Democrat votes. So, perhaps she should have addressed her comments to her own side of the aisle; for the billions and billions, and billions of dollars, and that is criminal,

.

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Sir. That is criminal. But, I think she was looking at me when she said that, and that makes me very nervous. It makes me very unhappy."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 320 pass?'

 All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 114 'ayes' and 2 'noes'. Senate Bill... Santiago, 'aye'. On this Motion there are 115 'ayes' and 1 'no'. Senate Bill 320, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 321 has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Johnson."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Johnson."
- Johnson, Tim: "House Floor Amendment #3 appropriates a million dollars to the Department of Children and Family Services for project 'Hope For The Children'. I move its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'noes' have it and the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 321, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Weller."
- Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 321 appropriates \$902,323,200 to the Department of Children and Family Services for FY 94. I ask for its approval and 'aye' vote."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, Shall Senate Bill 321 pass?'

 All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 112 'ayes' and 3 'noes'.

 Senate Bill 321, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 322.

 Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "My button didn't work and I wanted to be recorded as 'aye' on the last Bill, thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you. The record will reflect her desire. (Senate Bill) 322. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 322, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Elections. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Olson."

- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 322 appropriates \$4,643... Excuse me, \$4,643,065,000 GRF funds for the fiscal year 94 expenses of the State Board of Elections and I move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 322 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 114 'ayes', 2 'noes'. Senate Bill 322, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 520 has been read a second time. Any Amendment of

Clerk Rossi: "No Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 520, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

the Court of Claims. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 520 appropriates \$9,816.... Excuse me, \$9,816,000 of which \$9,416,000 are GRF funds for the contingent expenses of the Court of Claims."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 520 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 109 'ayes', 5 'noes'. Senate Bill 520, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 521, has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 521, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 521 appropriates \$2,137,148 for payment of wards, awards and claims made by the Court of Claims. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 521 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all
voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
record. On this Motion there's 110 'ayes', 5 'noes'.
Senate Bill 521, having received a Constitutional Majority,
is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 525, it's been read
a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 525, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations for education, higher education and education grant programs. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."

Edley: "Speaker. This appropriates \$82,328,700 to the State

Board of Education, to the Higher Board of Education, and I

would ask for a 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 525 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 106 'ayes', 10 'noes'.

Senate Bill 525, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 526, it's been read a second time. Any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Skinner."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is an attempt to get the number of investigators that the Judicial Inquiry Board had in 1986. Now since then, we've had Graylord and we've had another scandal. As you can read in the Sun Times today, there have been more judges go to jail than aldermen; and yet, the only person on staff now is the executive director who was there 21 years ago. Now, the present budget has two investigators in it. I think we ought to at least give three investigators. Ιf we don't, what Legislative Branch of government is saying, is that we are disciple that the State have the to Constitution mandates over judges in this state and I'm unwilling to make that statement; so, I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

'noes' have it. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 526, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 526 appropriates, I believe this is the lowest dollar amount today, but \$332,100 of GRF funds for the '94 ordinary contingent expenses of the Judicial Inquiry Board, and I move for it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 526 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 111 'ayes', 3 'noes'. Senate Bill 526, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 527 has been read a second time. Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Turner."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Turner. Turner. Lang, Mr. Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would appropriate \$500,000 to the Illinois Community College Board for a grant to Parkland Community College for costs and expenses associated with the Illinoi Institute for military and occupational studies."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Amendments?"

- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 527, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Community College Board and the Board of Trustees of the State Community College for fiscal year 1994. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 527 ...

 Who's gonna. Mr. ...anyone? Who would like to present
 this Bill? No one? Mr. Weaver will."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As it passed in committee,

 Senate Bill 527, appropriates \$244,549,900 of which
 is...GRF is \$232,300,500 to the Illinois Community College

 Board for it fiscal year ordinary and contingent expenses."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 527 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 112 'ayes', 4 'noes'. Senate Bill 527, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 528 has been read a second time. Any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "A Motion has been filed by Representative Weaver to table Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 528."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I filed a Motion to table Amendment #3, because we consider it to be inappropriate. It's an Amendment that deals with the FOT appropriation. If the Sponsor of the Amendment wants to eliminate a road project in his district that's fine, but we don't believe it has a place on the budget of the Illinois Student Assistance Commission."
- Speaker McPike: "And on that Motion, Mr. Edley."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

- Edley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. This is an Amendment that appropriates \$1 for a road project that half of which will be in my district, that our region doesn't really want to have built; and it is germane to the Bill, and we have supported a similar appropriation a couple of weeks ago, and I would move to not support that...or I would urge a 'no' vote on this Motion to table."
- Speaker McPike: "On Mr. Weaver's Motion, all in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'noes' have it and the Amendment is defeated...and the Motion is defeated. The Motion to table is defeated. Mr. Clerk, any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Hassert."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Hassert."
- Hassert: "This appropriates \$30,000 for the Chaney Mongie School

 District 88, to replace their tax equivalency grant that
 they lost last year. I move for its approval."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted.

 All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 528, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Student Assistance Commission. Third Reading of this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."
- Edley: "Thank of Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. This Bill appropriates \$415,647,800 to the Student Assistance Commission for scholarships and operation, and I would urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 528 pass?'

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 104 'ayes', 7 'noes'. Senate Bill 528, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 529 has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 529, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Prussing."

Prussing: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. Senate Bill 529 appropriates approximately \$712
million for the trustees of the University of Illinois, and
I recommend its passage."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 529 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 109 'ayes', 5 'noes'. Senate Bill 529, having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 532 has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 532, a Bill for an Act making

approximations to the Board of Trustees of Southern

Illinois University. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hawkins."

Hawkins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate
Bill 532 appropriates \$247.2 million which results in a

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

3.2% increase over 1993's appropriation. I urge the passage."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 532 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 109 'ayes', 5 'noes'.

Senate Bill 532, having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 944 has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Weaver."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment reduces the Board of Regents central office allotment by \$1,315,500 GRF, EAF for their central office operation. We've done a lot of work in trying to find money to fund our retirement system, and if we followed Senate Bill 95, it cost us \$938 million to catch up. This is 3% of our total budget. I would like to request a Roll Call Vote on this, Mr. Speaker; and ask for an affirmative vote on the Amendment. Rule 55(c) with the appropriate number of Members."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."

Edley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. While I share some of the...some of my colleagues concerns about the governing system, the way to address that is through the bargaining process, and as of right now, we do not have another alternative for governing these universities; and so, I would reluctantly oppose the Amendment, and urge my colleagues to do the same."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

I'm somewhat amazed that the Gentlemen on of the House. the other side of the aisle that said we shouldn't perhaps accept this Amendment. I think this is the same person who's always going after these high paid bureaucrats. Well, Representative Weaver, is giving you a change to express your displeasure with administrative overhead. 'fat' in the budget. Those people who make the big salaries, live in the paneled offices, have the carpeted floors, the Mr. Coffee maker out in the foyer, two or three Diet Coke lunches. I'm tellin you, Ladies and Gentlemen, it has to come to a stop, and Mike Weaver is giving you the opportunity. Let's cut the fat. Let's cut the fat. Vote 'aye'. Vote 'aye'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Olson. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, on a more serious note, and especially to the freshman down here on both sides of the aisle. If you want to see plush offices, if you want to see luxury in an office and its arrangements, go to the Board of Regents office; take that trip one time. If you're from the Chicago area, I defy you to go in any office building in downtown of Chicago and find more luxury. This organization, the carpets are 4" thick, there's one office in a room almost as big as this chamber, one desk in a room big as this chamber. It is the biggest waste in government that I've seen. If you've never been there, go. If you're a freshman, go and take a look. This is a good place to cut some money. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted.

All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Mr. Ostenburg.

Mr. Electrician, I asked you to turn on Mr. Ostenburg.

Please do it."

Ostenburg: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

explain my vote. I can well understand the concern that's been expressed on the other side of the aisle. As somebody who worked in the Board of Governors system, I'm familiar whats involved in higher education at the administrative level. But, I can tell you that this isn't the way that we're going to solve the problem. Both of the systems, and I'm sure that the same thing is going to be taking on the Board of Governors as the next action. of the systems are in positions where they can simple pass on the cost of administering their offices to the various universities that are in the system. By doing this, you're not eliminating any of bureaucracy, all you're doing is creating a situation where funds can, in fact, be taken away from the universities that are part of the two systems. I would encourage you to vote against this Amendment; and, in fact, what we need to do is take a close look comprehensive at the administration of education in our state at all levels, and look at it as a package and not in isolated little pieces of this sort. I encourage a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Brady."

Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. To explain my vote. I'm voting for this Amendment because we tried to get a fair discussion of this, and we were unable to do so in committee. So, I request each of you to consider a 'yes' vote on this, and I would ask for a verification of the 'no' votes should this not receive the required amount of 'yes' votes."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just reiterate the call for verification of the negative."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Davis."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Will the Sponsor yield?

Representative Weaver, if you remove the funding from the

Board of Regents, exactly what will you do ...I mean for

that that university, what will... I mean who will take

over, what will you do?"

Speaker McPike: "Are you finished, Representative Davis?"

Davis: "Yes, I am."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 55 'ayes', 62 'noes', and there's been a request for a verification by Representative Brady. Do you persist? Do you persist? No. On this Motion there are 55 'ayes' and 62 'noes', and Amendment #4 is defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 944, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Board of Regents. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Curran, for what reason do you rise?"

Curran: "I'm the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Very well. Mr. Clerk, has this Bill been read a third time?"

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill has been read a third time."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Curran."

Curran: "House Bill 944 appropriates \$258.5 million for the Board of Regents. It's about a 4.9% increase over last year. We've debated it plenty, and ask for a favorable roll call."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall... Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Here's your opportunity to cast a vote for

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

less government. We're currently working on reorganization of higher ed. It's a good message to send by voting 'no' or 'present' on this Bill. Simply to throw it into the hands of the negotiators to find out how better to offer higher education to the students of Illinois. I think a 'no' or 'present' vote is appropriate on this Bill."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 944 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Mr.

 Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. To explain my vote. I proudly cast my 'no' vote for students and accessibility and affordability. My 'no' vote says clearly no more 'fat', no more \$125,000 a year Chancellors or Kings or Queens or whatever they're called. That's why I vote 'no'. A 'no' vote's for the students a 'yes' vote is for the fat cats that half of you rail about through the year, and then you get a chance to send them a message and what do you do, you let them roll right over you. Vote 'no'."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Well, another pharmacy question. Representative Black,

 I have stress formula, high potency vitamin supplement with
 iron and betine. I... I sug..."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.

 Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, I'm persuaded mightily by the exhortations of Representative Black, but we do need to move this Bill; and I...I reluctantly... Ryder 'aye'."
- Speaker McPike: "Ryder, votes 'aye'. On this Motion, there are 60 'ayes', 51 'noes'. Senate Bill 944, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 945, has been read a second time. Mr. Clerk, are

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Weaver."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you liked that last one, you're going to love this one. If the Board of Regents budget was from a dual layer government, this budget not only does little for higher education, it actually obstructs the process. This agency has been cited not once, but twice by the Auditor General for violations. They have a computer software program that was begun in 1982 and is still not finished to the tune of several million dollars. They have luxury offices in the Hilton to tune of \$16,000 a month. They have more than 18 chancellors, assistant chancellors, associate chancellors, vice chancellors at a salary of over \$60,000 average. Let's vote for the kids and pass this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."

Edley: "Mr. Speaker, once again, this is a...an attempt to cut off...appropriations for a state...agency...or...a state bureaucracy that we've un...been unable to reach a consensus or an agreement on how to...how to govern these universities. And once that agreement is in place, I'm certain...certainly willing to reduce the amount of administrative cost. In fact, I am the only Member of this House to vote to do...against the budget...for the most useless administrator that we have in the state, the Governor. And that...that's over \$7 million."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Mr. Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, your

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

remarks are really out of line. The... The fact is we're having a hard time understanding why you don't want to build roads in your district but you do want to have paneled offices for your friends here in Springfield. We don't understand that, Representative, and you ought not take the...the Governor's character in question. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I, too, am offended by the last remarks of the previous speaker on your side of the aisle. Let us remind ourselves that the Governor is elected by the people, and also makes less money, he makes less money than any of the administrators in the Board of Regents or the Board of Governors. Now, let's not be kidding each other here. One is elected. The others are appointed. You don't even know who they are. I'll make you a bet you couldn't name any of them. And they all make more money than any elected official in the State of Illinois. That's the issue. And let's not get personal, but the issue is Mr. Edgar is elected. These people are appointed. You don't even know who they are. You don't even know how much they make. That's a heck of lot more than the Governor makes, be he a Republican or a Democrat."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Olson. Mr. Olson, to explain is his 'no vote."

Olson: "Mr. Speaker, I would request a verification of the negatives...if this Bill...so moves. Fails to pass. Fails to pass, Jim. First time I ever... First time in eight years I've asked for a verification. I had to think it due, and didn't do a very good job."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ostenburg."

Ostenburg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once again, I rise to

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

encourage 'no' votes on this Amendment. I... I would remind the Gentleman who...spoke the last on the other side of the aisle that...it may be true that these are appointed that's positions, but they're appointed by a board appointed by the Governor. So, in fact, the Governor has say in that process; and the Governor is seeking to make changes where he can appoint more boards, so he must trust that process of appointing people, and then those people appoint the chancellor. Now, I... Again, I think that several of the criticisms that have been leveled on the other side of the aisle are legitimate concerns that need thorough investigation. And perhaps, had the study the system of systems been more comprehensive and not be...the result...resulting in a three page document that had its recommendations, there would have been more people on this side of the aisle who would have been willing to look at some type of revision at this point. This isn't the time for the revision. We need to have a comprehensive study before we start messing around with the education system of our state. If you're talking about concerns about the children, the young people, who are students at these universities, then you just can't arbitrarily make decisions not to appropriate to...to ...to the governing bodies. I urge that we...we not pass this Amendment, and that we go forward with the of higher education as we should. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Sir...Hawkins."

Hawkins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As a freshman legislature (sic-Legislator), I...I don't know the process a lot, but I do know that what we're doing here is simply playing to the press. And I don't see a lot of press taking notes. And I suggest that we go on... Republicans

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

are getting the roll call that they want, and I think that we should move on and...get so we can get out of here; because I think that what we're doing here is not going to be the final say on any of this, and let's... If you want to play to the press, that...that includes everybody on both sides of the aisle, work on a press release this evening and get it out."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."

Edley: "I would just ask...that...we close the roll...call on this vote. Take the record."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Edley, as soon as I recognize everyone that wishes to speak, I will take the record. Have all voted?

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 58 'ayes'; 59 'noes'. Mr. Olson has asked for a verification of the negative. Mr. Clerk, please poll the Negative Vote."

Clerk Rossi: "A Poll of those voting in the Negative. Balanoff. Blagojevich. Brunsvold. Burke. Curran. Currie. Dart. Davis. DeJaegher. Dunn. Edley. Erwin. Flinn. Flowers. Gash. Giglio. Giles. Giolitto. Giorgi. Hannig. Hartke. Hawkins. Hicks. Hoffman. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Kaszak. Kotlarz. Lang. Laurino. Levin. Martinez. Mautino. McGuire. McPike. Eugene Morrow. Moseley. Moore. Harold Murphy. Novak. Ostenburg. Phelan. Phelps. Prussing. Pugh. Saltsman. Schakowsky. Schoenberg. Sheehy. Steczo."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Steczo would like to be verified. Mr. Olson, he's right here. Mr. Olson Mello. Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Olson, please. Mr. Steczo would like to be verified. Yeah, you're verified. Proceed. Representative Currie would like to be verified. Is that all right? Mr.

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Olson. All right. Mr. Clerk, proceed."

Clerk Rossi: "Stroger. Turner. von Bergen-Wessels. Woolard.
Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Olson. Representative Olson."

Olson: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Schoenberg?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Schoenberg...is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Olson: "Representative Phelps?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps...is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Olson: "Schakowsky?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky is here."

Olson: "Brunsvold?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Brunsvold is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Olson: "Shirley Jones?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shirley Jones is not here. Remove her from the roll call."

Olson: "Representative Turner?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Turner...is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Olson: "Representative Kotlarz?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kotlarz...is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Olson: "Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi. He's not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Olson: "Representative : vino?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Laurino is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Olson: "Let's try Representative Capparelli?"

Speaker McPike: "The entire ball...softball team is not here.

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Representative Capparelli is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Olson: "Let's try Representative Giolitto? Is she...is she playing softball?"

Speaker McPike: "What was that last request?"

Olson: "Giolitto?"

Speaker McPike: "She's here."

Olson: "Did... Did you...say Schakowsky was here, Mr. Chairman?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, I did. Oh, she was verified. I'm sorry. No, that is not correct. She was here. She was already verified."

Olson: "She was here?"

Speaker McPike: "You already verified her. Yes, she was here."

Olson: "Excuse me. All right. Let's try Representative Hicks?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Olson: "No more requests."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shirley Jones votes 'no'. Mr. Edley asks for verification of the affirmative. Mr. Clerk, poll the Affirmative."

Clerk Rossi: "A poll of those voting in the affirmative. Representative Ackerman. Balthis. Biggert. Biggins. Brady. Bugielski. Churchill. Clayton. Cross. Cowlishaw. Daniels. Deering. Deuchler. Frederick. Frias. Hassert. Hoeft. Hughes. Tim Johnson. Tom Johnson. Krause. Kubik. Lawfer. Leitch. Lindner. Lopez. McAfee. McAuliffe. Meyer. Moffitt."

Speaker McPike: "We Ryder is verified. Proceed."

Clerk Rossi: "Andrea Moore."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Laurino has returned. Vote him...

No. Mr. Laurino votes 'no'. Mr... Mr. Turner votes 'no'.

Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Rossi: "Maureen Murphy. Noland. Olson. Clerk Pankau. Parcells. Parke. Persico. Roskam. Rotello. Ryder. Salvi. Santiago. Saviano. Rutherford. Skinner. Tenhouse. Walsh. Weaver. Weller. Wennlund. Stephens. Wirsing. Wojcik. And Zickus."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Edley, questions of the affirmative. Mr. Edley, please turn on. Mr. Electrician..."

Edley: "It's got it on."

Speaker McPike: "Mr Edley."

Edley: "Representative Persico?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Persico. Just a minute, Larry.

Larry Wennlund wants to be verified. He's right here. Mr.

Wennlund. All right, Representative... and...Mr. McAfee
wants to be verified. Yes. All right. Mr. Persico... He
wants to be verified 'yes'. All right. Mr. Persico. Is the
Gentleman here? He's not, remove him from the roll call."

Edley: "McAfee? Representative Bugielski?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bugielski is not here. Remove him for the roll call."

Edley: "Hey, the Speaker... This is the Speaker's thing, not mine. Representative Churchill?"

Speaker McPike: "Never mind. Bugielski's here. Put him back on the roll."

Edley: "Representative Churchill?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Churchill...is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Edley: "Representative Johnson?"

Speaker William "Representative Tim Johnson is..."

Edley: "Tim Johnson?"

Speaker McPike: "...is not here. Remove him from the roll call."

Edley: "Representative Rotello?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Rotello. He's not here. Remove him from the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

roll call."

Edley: "Representative Cross?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cross is here. Nothing further.

Mr. Deering. Mr. Deering."

Deering: "Speaker, I'd like to change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Deering votes 'no'. Okay. Representative
Santiago votes 'no'. Representative Lopez votes 'no'.
Representative Frias votes 'no'. Representative Tim Johnson
votes 'aye'. Representative Churchill votes 'aye'. All
right, on this Motion, there are 51 'ayes', 57 'noes'. And
the Amendment is defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 945, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Governors and state colleges and universities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Here you have one last opportunity to voice your opposition to a growing bureaucracy that is taking dollars out of the classroom and putting them into administrative pockets. I can't say any more than you've already heard in terms of the arguments. This agency has gone way overboard in spending money for salaries and lush office...office space, that I think a 'no', or at least, a 'present' vote is a message to send to them that we won't put up with this administrative bureaucracy anymore."

the Body to vote against your Bill? Why don't you just take it out of the record if you want... If you don't want to pass this Bill, take it out of the record."

Weaver: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm not the lead Sponsor on the

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

Bill."

Speaker McPike: "You want to have your name removed?"

Weaver: "No, I'll be...I'll be glad to vote against it."

Speaker McPike: "You wish to have your name removed from this Bill?"

Weaver: "No, I'll leave it on there."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."

Edley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. I...I'm a little disappointed in my colleague because we both represent universities that are a part of this governing board. And we educate tens of thousands of young people...throughout our state. This is serious. This is a serious business. And when you're talking about cutting less than \$2 million out of a \$232 million budget, I think it's pretty evident that it's nothing but a...a tactic to divert our attention from the lack of fiscal commitment from the State of Illinois to our colleges and universities. We've got to get away from this governance question, and it has taken up almost total debate on the House floor today on this Bill has been about whether we have the proper governance of these universities when we should be talking about whether we're providing the opportunities to...that our young people throughout state...deserve. This Bill appropriates \$232,940,000...\$940,500 for the operation of the Board of Governors universities; and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 945 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 78 'ayes', 30 'noes'. Senate Bill 945, having received a Constitutional Majority... Miss Wojcik...votes 'aye'. Mr.

62nd Legislative Day

- May 20, 1993
- Wirsing votes... How do you want to vote? 'Aye'. Mr. Ackerman votes 'no'. Mr. Brady votes 'no'. Representative Hassert votes 'aye'. Sir, Eugene Moore votes 'aye'. Mr. Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate your patience, and also appreciate not having to put Representative Ryder as agreeing on this vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Mulligan, 'aye'. Is... Any other changes? All right, on this...Representative Deuchler votes 'aye'. All right, on this... Representative Meyer, 'aye'. Representative Clayton 'aye'. On this Motion, there are 86 'ayes' and 28 'noes'. Senate Bill 945, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 946, has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hannig."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hannig."

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

- Hannig: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. All this Amendment does is roll all three of the appropriations Bills for the General Assembly into one Bill, and there's no net change in dollars, or any other changes. And I move for adoption of Amendment #2."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 4...4...946, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the furnishing of legislative staff, secretarial, clerical, research, technical, telephone, other utilities services, office equipment, and office

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

rental costs to Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "All right. Who will present this Bill? Mr. Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. As amended, this would appropriate \$42,977,900 for the ordinary and contingent expense of the legislative branch of government. And I'd ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Motion, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I wonder if the...the spokesman for the Sponsor could tell us if there's any chance whatsoever we might get some decent computers for our secretaries out of this budget?"

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 946 pass?'"
Skinner: "Guess not."

Speaker McPike: "All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 109 'ayes', 5 'noes'. Senate Bill 946, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 953, has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 953, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Auditor General. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

This appropriates \$3,978,000 in GRF for the normal operations of the Auditor General; and I move for its passage."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 953 pass?'

a sympay

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 113 'ayes', 1 'no'. Senate Bill 953, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1099, has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 1099, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain retirement systems. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Did you read the Bill? Who will present this?

Mr. Hawkins. Who's gonna call it? Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1099 appropriates \$111,466,200, of which \$97,462,900 is GRF for former employer contributions to the state university retirement system."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1099 pass?'

All those in favor... Representative Hawkins."

Hawkins: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, he will."

Hawkins: "...Will this amount of money fully fund the pension system for this year?"

Weaver: "Absolutely not."

Hawkins: "And how much short is it?"

Weaver: "Eighty-four million. That's why we had the previous couple of Amendments that takes the money from some place else to put them in retirement system. If you want to do that, you should've voted for those Bills."

Hawkins: "You've assumed that I've...disagree with your Bill. I'm gonna... I think I'm gonna vote against it.... I think that what we're doing with \$83 million, and I said this in

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

committee, we are borrowing from the pension fund to fund government."

Weaver: "I couldn't agree with you more, and I welcome your support in getting the money to put into the retirement systems."

Speaker McPike: "Edley."

Edley: "Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Edley: "Representative, how much did the Governor propose to increase funding for this retirement system?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "He recommended a \$2 million GRF increase."

Edley: "Was that \$2 million, is that what you said?"

Weaver: "Yes."

Edley: "That's correct. And how much did we increase the funding for this retirement system...in committee...just about a week ago?"

Weaver: "Yeah, I think it was about \$10 million."

Edley: "Ten million dollars. So this Body increased the funding for the retirement system 400% above what the Governor..."

Weaver: "That's what our job is."

Edley: "You're right."

Weaver: "That's what we're sent over here to do."

Edley: "Right."

Weaver: "To take some responsibility and help fund the retirement system, so here's your chance."

Edley: "So... We've... We've done a much more...fiscally responsible, although...not what we should be doing...but the total requirement is \$80 million, and I agree that we should be at that level. But the Governor has not provided us the means...to fund these retirement systems, and so we must set priorities within the revenues that he is willing

62nd Legislative Day

May 20, 1993

to provide us. Mr. Speaker, I would...urge an 'aye' vote on this Bill...and...hopefully, in the future years, we can start to address the serious underfunding of our pension systems."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1099 pass?'
 All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed voted 'no'. Have all
 voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
 record. On this Motion, there are 106 'ayes', 4 'noes'.
 Senate Bill 1099... Kaszak, 'aye'. Giolitto, 'aye'. On this
 Motion, there are 108 'ayes', 4 'noes'. Senate Bill 1099,
 having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby
 declared passed. Representative Hanniq, on a Motion."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

 Having voted on the prevailing side, I now moved that we reconsider the vote on Senate Bill 319."
- Speaker McPike: "And on that Motion, Mr. Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There was a technical problem with the Bill, and I agree with the Motion."
- Speaker McPike: "Hearing no objections to the Gentleman's Motion, by use of the Attendance Roll Call, the Motion carries, and the vote on Senate Bill 319 has been reconsidered. The Bill is on Third Reading. Representative Ryder asks the Bill be returned to Second Reading and held on Second Reading for an Amendment for tomorrow. Leave is granted. The Bill's on Second Reading. Senate Bill 498. Mr. Clerk, this Bill's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Gash."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Gash."
- Gash: "This is an Amendment...bear with me, I have lost my voice.

 This is an Amendment that would...amend the Unemployment
 Insurance Act. The Bill requires that... The Amendment

62nd Legislative Day

- May 20, 1993
- requires that the Director of Employment Security simply forms for small businesses."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Gash."
- Speaker McPike: "This is not printed, so this Bill will be left on Second Reading. Message from the Senate."
- Clerk Rossi: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Harry, Secretary.

 'Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills of the following titles; together with the attached Amendments thereto, and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask the concurrence of the House; to wit: House Bills 184, 299, 328, 462, and 508. Passed the Senate, as amended, May 20, 1993."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Granberg moves that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 9:30...9:30...9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House stands adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:30."

RT: TIFLDAY

STATE OF ILLINOIS 88TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

93/10/13 13:57:04

MAY 20, 1993

HB-0069 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
HJ-0765 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
HB-1526 NON-CONCURRENCE		
	PAGE	54
HB-1613 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	54
S3-0025 SECOND READING	PAGE	28
SB-0139 THIRD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0186 SECOND READING	PAGE	57
		-
SB-0192 THIRD READING	PAGE	65
SB-0240 SECOND READING	PAGE	20
SB-0262 SECOND READING	PAGE	27
SB-0262 SECOND READING	PAGE	69
	PAGE	28
SG-0268 SECOND READING	PAGE	73
SB-0268 THIRD READING	PAGE	73
SB-0311 SECOND READING	PAGE	74
S3-0311 THIRD READING	PAGE	78
SB-0314 SECOND READING	PAGE	78
SB-0314 THIRD READING	PAGE	80
SB-0315 SECOND READING	PAGE	81
SB-0315 THIRD READING	PAGE	82
SB-0316 SECOND READING	PAGE	83
SB-0316 THIRD READING	PAGE	83
SB-0317 SECOND READING	PAGE	84
SB-0317 THIRD READING	PAGE	84
S5-0318 SECOND READING		
	PAGE	106
SB-0318 THIRD READING	PAGE	106
SB-0319 SECOND READING	PAGE	84
SB-0319 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	135
SB-0319 RECALLED	PAGE	135
SB-0319 THIRD READING	PAGE	104
SB-0319 MOTION	PAGE	135
SB-0320 SECOND READING	PAGE	106
SB-0320 THIRD READING	PAGE	107
S8-0321 SECOND READING	PAGE	109
SB-0321 THIRD READING	PAGE	109
SB-0322 SECOND READING	PAGE	110
SB-0322 THIRD READING	PAGE	110
S5-0325 THIRD READING	PAGE	66
SB-0398 SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0425 THIRD READING	PAGE	46
SD-0483 SECOND READING	PAGE	49
SB-0483 RECALLED	PAGE	49
SB-0498 SECOND READING	PAGE	135
SB-0498 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	136
SB-0520 SECOND READING		
	PAGE	110
Sb-0520 THIRD READING	PAGE	110
SB-0521 SECOND READING	PAGE	111
SB-0521 THIRD READING	PAGE	111
S3-0525 SECOND READING	PAGE	111
SB-0525 THIRD READING		
	PAGE	111
SB-0526 SECOND READING	PAGE	112
SB-0526 THIRD READING	PAGE	113
SB-0527 SECOND READING	PAGE	113
SB-0527 THIRD READING	PAGE	114
	PAGE	114
SB-0528 THIRD READING	PAGE	115
SH-0528 MOTION	PAGE	114
SB-0529 SECOND READING	PAGE	116
SB-0529 THIRD READING	PAGE	116
	PAGE	52
SB-0532 SECOND READING	PAGE	116
SB-0532 THIRD READING	PAGE	116
Sb-0625 THIRD READING	PAGE	53
SB-0642 THIRD READING	PAGE	34
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 1

JRT: TIFLDAY

STATE OF ILLINOIS 88TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

93/10/13 13:57:04

MAY 20, 1993

\$5-0678	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
SB-0764	SECOND READING	PAGE	64
\$8-0828	SECOND READING	PAGE	56
Sb-0869	SECOND READING	PAGE	43
SB-0906	THIRD READING	PAGE	51
SB-0940	RECALLED	PAGE	56
S3-0940	THIRD READING	PAGE	53
SB-0940	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	53
Sò-0944	SECOND READING	PAGE	117
SB-0944	THIRD READING	PAGE	120
SB-0945	SECOND READING	PAGE	121
S3-0945	THIRD READING	PAGE	129
SB-0946	SECOND READING	PAGE	131
SB-0946	THIRD READING	PAGE	131
S3-0953	THIRD READING	PAGE	132
SB-0964	THIRD READING	PAGE	19
SB-1099	SECOND READING	PAGE	133
SS-1099	THIRD READING	PAGE	133

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MCPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND EUGENE GREEN	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN CAHIR	PAGE	16
REPRESENTATIVE STECZO IN CHAIR	PAGE	57
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN CHAIR	PAGE	73
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	136
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	136