58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- Speaker Giglio: "...10:00 o'clock having arrived, the House is ready to do its business. The Chaplain for today is the Reverend Darrell Hinkle of the First Christian Church in Cowden, Illinois. Reverend Hinkle is the guest of Representative Noland. All guests in the gallery may wish to rise for the invocation."
- Reverend Hinkle: "Let us pray. Our Heavenly Father, we are so grateful for the love that You provide for us, for this opportunity, Father that we have to live in America and Father, that we have this opportunity to be here for the business of this state and for our country. And Father, we thank You that we are an American today that we do live in a land that is free, and Father, I continue to pray for our freedom in America, that the laws that are passed even in this House of Representatives and the various other places around the United States might constantly bring forth Bills that would keep us free, to have the opportunity to be a part of a free country, a part of the church, of the kingdom of God, and Lord, we thank you for every blessing that You have bestowed upon us this day and we just pray that we might give You the honor and the glory and the praise in all things, is our prayer in Jesus' name, Amen."
- Speaker Giglio: "We'll be led in the Pledge by Representative Pugh."
- Pugh et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Giglio: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Bernie Pedersen is excused today."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Flowers."

- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, would you please let the record reflect that Carol Ronen is absent today...has an excused absence.

  Carol Ronen. Representative Ronen."
- Speaker Giglio: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. There's 115 answering... On the roll call, there's 115 answering 'present', A quorum is present; therefore, the House is ready to do its business. Mr. Clerk, messages from the Senate."
- Clerk Rossi: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Harry, Secretary.

  'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills of the following title, to wit, together with the attached Amendments thereto in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House, to wit, House Bills 35, 74, 152, 208, 440, 514, 518, 526, 554, 602, 675, 824, 907, 949, 991, 1162, 1166, 1206, 1208, 1281, 1440, 1511, 1526, 1532, 1543, 1552, 1576, 1587, 1613, 1687, 1797, 1803, 2013, 2036, 2080, 2223 and 2417, passed the Senate as amended May 11th, 1993. Jim Harry, Secretary of the Senate'."
- Speaker Steczo: "Representative Steczo, in the Chair. The Chair will now proceed to the Order of Third Reading; and on the Order of Agriculture and Conservation, Third Reading, appears House Bill 39...Senate Bill 39, Representative Walsh. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 39, a Bill for an Act to convey property in the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "Representative Walsh."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 39 authorizes the Department of Conservation to convey a parcel of land in Cook County to the Berkeley Park District by Quiet Claim Deed. The property will be used and must be used for public purposes, and I would urge a 'yes' vote. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of
  Senate Bill 39. On that question, is there any discussion?
  The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton,
  Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you. Would the...would the Representative yield?"
- Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."
- Granberg: "Representative Walsh, was that a quiet claim or a quick claim deed?"
- Walsh: "Quiet claim. Pardon me. Quick claim. I'm sorry. I
  said it quietly, though. I appreciate the..."
- Granberg: "Just one question. Representative, we've been indicated to that Conservation estimates the value of this transfer at approximately \$87,000, and Conservation is going to be giving this property back to the county for no money at all and it's valued at \$87,000 to the state."
- Walsh: "That's what I understand, Representative, but this is property...as it says in the Bill, it must be used for public purposes."
- Granberg: "But why...I'm not...we don't see it very often where the Department of Conservation gives property valued at \$87,000 back to a local entity. Would you know what the reasoning is for that?"
- Walsh: "Well, the...as I understand, the Park District is already using this land, and is already taking care of this land, and it's just a matter of them getting the...the ownership.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

They take care of gravel path, benches and trees there."

Granberg: "Well, we've been talking about fees and \$87,000 will probably take care of a lot of fees like at the House here in Springfield, so that's what we're wondering about, why the department would actually give away property valued at \$87,000 at the same time they're asking for fee increases."

Walsh: "The, but we don't...we don't know...it...it would cost more to maintain it. As I said, right now, the Berkeley Park District is using this land and maintaining this land. They've improved this land, and it's just a matter of them getting the ownership. So far, my understanding is that the Department of Conservation isn't getting any benefit themselves from this land, and probably would not be able to sell it to anybody else. So, it would...it really is a technical matter it would seem."

Granberg: "Thank you, Representative."

Walsh: "Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, to close."

Walsh: "I would just quietly ask for the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 39. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'; and this Bill, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair would like to take the opportunity to introduce Representative Capparelli and Representative Lang for the purposes of a special announcement."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Capparelli: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to introduce to you someone special. I'd like to introduce you to a lady who lives in my district who was named Teacher of the Year for '92-'93. She lives in my district, but she teaches in Lou Lang's district, Judy Sloan of Morton Grove. Would you like to say something?"

Lang: "Judy teaches at Niles West High School in my district, just not too far from my home, and she epitomizes what's best in education. She's been spending a good deal of time going around the state meeting with children and teachers and everybody in the education community and she'd like to say a few words to you this morning. Judy Sloan."

Sloan: "Since February 1st, I've been travelling all over the State of Illinois. I've been over to almost 100 schools in this state already from top to bottom, and I have noticed, even though I teach myself in a suburban school, I have noticed the inequalities in education all over the State of Illinois, the buildings that are run down, the equipment like computers that kids do not have in schools because there's not enough money. I have seen things that I've really been amazed at, and I know that if Legislators could travel with me and go to these schools, that maybe many of you would vote differently when it comes to education in terms of I'm also the very first physical funding. education teacher that's ever been Teacher of the Year in the State of Illinois, and I also would like to remind everyone how important that physical education is in the school and how much it has changed over the years and the students of the '90's need it more than ever. I've enjoyed this travelling and the opportunity to be able to represent all the teachers in the State of Illinois, and I really thank you for letting me come to the House and speak to

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

you. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Steczo: "On the Order of Agriculture and Conservation,
  Third Reading, appears House Bill...Senate Bill 177,
  Representative Hartke. The Chair recognizes the...Mr.
  Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 177, a Bill for an Act amending the Humane Care for Animals Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Hartke."
- Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 177 is the Downed Animal Act. This piece of legislation was brought to my attention by the Humane Society and the Illinois Pork Producers and Beef Council. Basically, what it requires is that when an animal is down, in plain words incapable of walking on its own initiative, it should be taken directly to slaughter rather than pass through a livestock or auction barn. So, I think it's a very good piece of legislation, and is supported by many in the agriculture community and the Humane Society, and I would appreciate your support for this legislation. I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 177. On that question, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."
- Black: "Representative, this Bill only addresses this matter in Illinois, correct?"

Hartke: "Excuse me?"

Black: "If a...if a person involved in agriculture wanted to

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

transport a downed animal from Illinois or from...excuse me...from Indiana to an Illinois slaughter facility, this Bill would not hinder an Indiana farmer from doing that, would it?"

Hartke: "No, it would encourage that. What we're trying to do is to keep those types of animals out of the auction barn, being drug into the auction ring and out again to avoid mistreatment of that animal. What we're suggesting in this legislation is that it be taken directly to a slaughter house."

Black: "Is it the intent of your legislation is then to let the...let the farmer take care of this on his or her farm in..."

Hartke: "Yes."

Black: "...a manner that's humane to the animal, correct?"

Hartke: "Absolutely. Yes, absolutely."

Black: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 177. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', and Senate Bill 177, having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Agriculture and Conservation, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 210, Representative Noland. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 210, a Bill for an Act concerning pigeons. Third Reading of the Bill."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Noland."

Noland: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate
Bill 210 amends the Carrier and Racing Pigeon Act and will
add hobby and show pigeons to the provisions. Essentially,
current law allows breeders of racing, homers and other
carrier pigeons the freedom to raise these breeds. This
will add hobby and show pigeons to the provisions of the
Act."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 210. On that question, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."

Granberg: "Representative, we had discussed this Bill in committee, but I just wanted to make sure. Is the Illinois Municipal League still opposed to this Bill?"

Noland: "I'm not certain of their status. They put a slip in, I think, in committee, but I'm not sure of their status now."

Granberg: "Okay. You have had no further conversations with them since committee?"

Noland: "No."

Granberg: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor
yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."

Black: "Representative, what do these show pigeons do? Do they do a high wire act or they tumble or...what do they do?"

Noland: "These are beautiful animals that will do anything on

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

command. They're trained to be shown."

Black: "Are these the same pigeons...I used to watch as a kid on the Ed Sullivan Show?"

Noland: "No, those pigeons have passed away. These are new pigeons."

Black: "But they're related to those pigeons, correct?"

Noland: "Direct descendants of those pigeons."

Black: "I noticed a provision in your Bill that says, these birds are not considered as fowl."

Noland: "No, they're fair."

Black: "They're fair."

Noland: "These are fair pigeons."

Black: "Well, sounds like a fair Bill to me. Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Representative Noland, do you use these pigeons for racing in any way?"

Noland: "No, this...that is already in the provision of the law.

The Act of '84 included the homing pigeons and the racing pigeons so that's the current law."

Hartke: "You won't be using this Bill for any casino gambling thing, for bettin' on the races or the pigeons or anything?"

Noland: "This has no connection with racing or riverboats; strictly pigeons."

Noland: "This is not a vehicle, no."

Hartke: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Noland, to

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

close."

- Noland: "Mr. Speaker, I appreciate your support and would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 210. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'ave': those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Mr. Clerk, please take the record. wish? On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 210, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared On the Order of Agriculture and Conservation, passed. Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 277, Representative Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 277, a Bill for an Act amending the Bees and Apiaries Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Yes. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 277 amends the Illinois Bee and Apiaries Act to facilitate the intrastate or interstate transportation of colonies or used bee equipment by either permit or compliance agreement. This will allow Illinois beekeepers greater opportunity to treat colonies declared a nuisance. I'd be glad to answer any questions you have. This Bill passed the Senate unanimously, and I stand in support of bees and bee farmers. Ask for your favorable vote."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 277. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting

## 58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 277, having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Agriculture and Conservation, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 482, Representative Brunsvold. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 482, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Natural Areas Preservation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Senate Bill would indicate that state government or agencies or local government shall meet with the Department of Conservation when considering any projects that might adversely affect any natural areas in this state. I...would happy...I would be happy to answer any questions that anyone might have on this on this Bill that would try to protect some of the natural areas that we have that would be listed under this Act or in the Illinois Natural Area Inventorys."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 482. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0

# 58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 482, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Hartke seek recognition?"

- Hartke: "Mr. Speaker, I was detained or disturbed here and I failed to vote on that last Bill, but I would have voted 'yes'."
- Speaker Steczo: "The transcript, Mr. Hartke, will so reflect. On the Order of Agriculture and Conservation, Third Reading, appears House Bill 951, Representative Hicks. Out of the record. On the Order of Constitutional Officers, Third Reading, appears House Bill 239, Representative Balanoff. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 239, a Bill for an Act amending the Secretary of State Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Balanoff."
- Balanoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  This probably should have been on the Agreed Bill List.

  What it would do is amend the Secretary of State Act to provide that the Secretary of State shall develop guidelines using acid-free paper for permanent documents intended for archival storage. According to the Illinois Library Association, what happens is present documents are disintegrating and acid-free paper would not only ensure documents endure for centuries, but also the cost of acid paper is comparable to...of acid-free paper is comparable to acid-based paper, and I know of no opponents."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 239. On that question, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "Indicates that he will."

- Wennlund: "Is there a cost factor associated with this, Representative?"
- Balanoff: "My understanding is that acid-free paper is comparably, you know, equal to paper with acid...acid base."
- Wennlund: "And is the Secretary of State in favor of this measure?"
- Balanoff: "Not... The Secretary of State, the Illinois State

  Library and the Historic Preservation Agency are all

  proponents of the Bill."

Wennlund: "It's mandatory?"

- Balanoff: "Well, they would have to set up guidelines for doing this. Yeah."
- Wennlund: "Okay. Thank you very much. I think it's a good Bill and we should pass it."
- Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 239. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 239, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg, seek recognition?"
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to point out, it would be a violation of the House Rules for me to introduce the fine kids from Albers

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- Grade School in the Speaker's gallery, right behind the Speaker, so we give them a little round of applause, please, and I would not do that."
- Speaker Steczo: "Representative Granberg, we appreciate your bringing that to the Chair's attention. On the Order of Constitutional Officers, Third Reading, appears House Bill 579, Representative Deering. Mr. Clerk... Out of the record. House Bill 825, Representative Deering. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 825, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Washington, Representative Deering."
- Deering: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What this Bill is, is a safety measure to try to clean up and help safety on the highways. Simply says that in times of inclement weather when your windshield wipers have to be on you have to have your lights on. I would be more than happy to answer any questions for this good measure. Absolutely."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 825. On that question, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor
   yield?"
- Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."
- Black: "Representative, does the current Vehicle Code require that when it's dark you turn your headlights on?"
- Deering: "I'm sorry, Representative. I didn't hear that."
- Black: "Does the current Illinois Vehicle Code say that you should turn your headlights on when it's dark?"

58th Legislative Day May 12, 1993

Deering: "When it's dark?"

Black: "Yeah."

Deering: "Yes."

Black: "Have you ever run across people that fail to do that?"

Deering: "Yes."

Black: "In fact, doesn't the Vehicle Code say that you cannot use your parking lights while a vehicle is moving."

Deering: "That's correct."

Black: "You see a lot of people that do that too, don't you?"

Deering: "That's correct."

Black: "Are you going to stand before this Body and tell us that
we have to pass a law that tells people when it's raining
they should turn on their windshield wipers?"

Deering: "Absolutely not."

Black: "What are you tellin' us?"

Deering: "When you have to have your wipers on, you have to turn your lights on. Safety measure, Representative."

Black: "Well, I met...do we have drivers out there...you're asking a driver to do two things. That gets kind of complicated."

Deering: "I'm sorry, Representative. I didn't hear your comment."

Black: "Well, now we're asking them to do two things. Might that get a little complicated for some of our drivers?"

Deering: "Maybe if they're from Vermilion County."

Black: "I'll tell my constituents you said that. What about a
 motorcycle? Is this covered?"

Deering: "Absolutely not. I've ridden motorcycles before and

I've never had an opportunity to see a windshield wiper on
a motorcycle."

Black: "Well, I thought we had that Bill too, that they had to have a wiper on their helmet visor if they wear a helmet."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Deering: "Now we're treadin' on dangerous territory. We're talkin' about a helmet Bill. We know how that is."

Black: "I understand. What's the State Police position on this Bill?"

Deering: "To my knowledge, they're neutral on it. It's comin' over from one of our good Republican Senators, Senator Dunn, and I'm sure that if the agency was against it, that he wouldn't have had the opportunity to pass it out of the Senate."

Black: "Thank you very much for your patience, Representative. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To the Bill. I don't...I certainly am not going to rise in opposition to this Bill, but I begin to wonder how far can go to play mother and father to people who live in Illinois. I realize what the Gentleman's trying to do, but you know, when we get to the point where we have to tell adults who have a drivers license, when to turn on their headlights (which we've already done by the way years ago and they don't pay any attention to that), and now it says that whenever you have your headlights, on you have to have your windshield wipers on. Well, I know people who go to work on third shift, and they have to have their lights on. Does that mean that they have to go to work in the middle of August in a dry sky with their windshield wipers on? don't know. I would assume that the rules would be promulgated to take care of that. This is another example, as far as I'm concerned, where we just want to micro-manage everybody in the State of Illinois. I think it's a good just fail to see why we have to tell people when they're supposed to use their windshield wipers and when they're supposed to use their headlights. Maybe the Secretary of State should increase the test that he does.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

If they don't know enough to do that, maybe they shouldn't have a drivers license. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Dunn: I rise in support of this legislation. There have been lighthearted remarks made here, but this is a serious concept as we all know, when we drive down the highway, especially for those of us who are downstate and do a significant amount of our travel on two-lane highways or two lane roads. When it rains, it's difficult to see oncoming traffic, and if each of us is required to turn our headlights on when we have our windshield wipers on, we'll all be better off; we'll all be safer as we travel down the highways. I hope everyone will support this legislation. I tried this same concept about ten years ago. Bill, and it was an idea whose time was not ready. The time is here now. Let's all support this good legislation, and let's enact this into the statute books, because it's a safety measure that will help us all."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "Indicates that he will."

Wennlund: "What's the penalty provided in this Bill for those who forget to turn their headlights on when their windshield wipers are working?"

Deering: "Representative, I'm not...I'm not quite sure. Just a minute."

Wennlund: "Can you go to jail?"

Deering: "Petty offense. \$50."

58th Legislative Day May 12, 1993

Wennlund: "SFifty dollar fine?"

Deering: "Yes."

Wennlund: "Can't go to jail?"

Deering: "No, you don't go to jail on a speeding ticket now."

Wennlund: "Okay. What about...now, my car has intermittent wipers. They'll come on and like every 30 seconds then they'll flash, now, if my intermittent wipers are on indicating a very light rain, or an intermittent sprinkle, am I...I'm going to be fined \$50 if I don't have my headlights on?"

Deering: "No, Representative. I think that's a little common sense measure. If the weather is inclement, if it's raining, it's probably overcast and cloudy, it's probably a good idea to run with your lights on anyway. I think it's just a good safety measure. I don't think the police are going to be out there hammering people for this, except in certain cases I think it's a...it ought to bring motorists on Illinois highways to their senses and try to have a little compassion for other drivers."

Wennlund: "I've never known an instance when they had any compassion, but let me ask you another question. Now, the other day when I came down here on Monday, I ran into a lot of bugs. Well, I turned my windshield wipers on and hit the squirter to get the bugs off the windshield, and would I have to have my headlights on under those circumstances? There's water coming on my windshield, and I got my wipers on."

Deering: "Absolutely not, Representative. I think you got a little more common sense than that."

Wennlund: "Well, our conversations with the State Police say that this Bill is totally unenforceable, and that at least there were 14 sane people in the Senate who voted against it.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Now, we're up to six or seven volumes in the big red books today, and this is just another example of a totally unenforceable Bill and what we're trying to do is regulate the lives of Illinoisans to the point where everything's going to be illegal. Absolutely everything is going to be illegal, and I think there's a \$75 fine, which is the minimum in Will County and (I don't know what it is down in your county), but we're regulating every facet of the lives of Illinoisans and putting yet another burden on them when it's simply not required. How you gonna enforce this? If you got your intermittent wipers on, as I read the Bill, you're in violation of it and subject to a \$75 fine. Yes, I rise in opposition to this type of silly legislation. This should be defeated like a lot of the other garbage that's sitting on this Calendar."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative DeJaegher."
- DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't believe that basically we should poke fun at this type of legislation. If you have been in Florida of late, Florida has a law similar to what Representative Deering is trying to pass at this particular junction. So, I think, and basically it's been proven, the Public Safety Council of the State of Florida has stated that accidents are diminishing just by this simple procedure. So, I think what you should do is put the jokes aside, use common sense, and give him a green vote."
- Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Washington, Representative Deering to close."
- Deering: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In closing, I'd just like to say that the former Representative Hensel was the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Vice-Chairman of Transportation Committee in previous years and passed this Bill out of the House. It is not...even though we had some lively discussion on this, it is a very serious issue. Other states that have this similar laws have shown a decrease in accidents, and I think that's what the context of the Bill is. I would like to ask for your affirmative vote."

Speaker Steczo: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 825. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 67 voting 'aye', 44 voting 'no', 3 'present'; and Bill 825, having Senate received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Constitutional Officers, Third Reading, Senate Bill 901, Representative Black. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 901, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 901 simply amends the Vehicle Code to put some restrictions on those companies who buy drivers license lists. This will tighten that up a little bit. It's a result of the Secretary Ryan's' Advisory Council on Public Records and Privacy, and it's part of legislation that came out of a case I think all of you are familiar with in California where a stalker got the name and address of a television actress and later killed that

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

woman. So, this I think is a good Bill. It has no known opposition and passed the Senate 54 - 1. Be glad to answer any questions you have."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 901. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', and Senate Bill 901, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Constitutional Officers, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 941, Representative Daniels. Mr. Black? Out of the record. On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 7, Representative Santiago. Mr. Santiago. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 7, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Santiago."
- Assembly. Senate Bill 7 would allow persons who hold transitional bilingual certificates to teach at state funded preschool programs until 1998. The other section requires the State Board of Education to collect data on preschool program participants by January 15th of each year. It will allow the State Board of Education to use existing funds to set aside for development of model programs to include programs which contain early bilingual education research component; and lastly, will require...it

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

will require the Advisory Council on Bilingual Education to establish an early childhood education subcommittee."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 7. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 7, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. For what Gentleman...purpose does the Gentleman from Jo Daviess, Mr. Lawfer, seek recognition?"
- Lawfer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to a point of personal privilege. I'd like to introduce the students from River Ridge High School that are in the gallery. The gifted students from sixth, seventh and eighth at River Ridge."
- Speaker Steczo: "On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 51, Representative Murphy. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 51, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Murphy."
- Murphy, M: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. The Speaker today, Representative Steczo, had sponsored a Bill identical to this in the House. He is a hyphenated Sponsor on this, and I point that out because this is of particular interest to our suburban school districts. This Bill would amend the School Code making it a Class C misdemeanor to knowingly

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

enroll or attempt to enroll a non-resident of a district in a school on a tuition-free basis or to willfully present to the school district false information regarding residency of a pupil. The genesis of this Bill came from officials of Community High School District 218. Not was there a problem with school districts that are paying \$5,000, \$6,000, \$7,000 a student and needing to the tuition dollars they were defrauded of by enrollment, but more importantly for the IHSA people, if we have award winning schools, and a competitive school, and we know how competitive sports are, found out about non-residency of some of the teammates, students who are for scholarships with regard to sports programs would be jeopardized, there could be onerous problems regard to the rest of the sports and with regard to the IHSA standings of that school district. I want to point the proponents of this are the State Board of that Education, the Illinois Education Association - IEA, Unit District Association and the South Cook Organization for Public Education. I urge your 'do consideration' on When dollars are not being sent to suburban school districts, every dollar is precious for those expenditures with pupils that rightfully reside within that district. If there are any questions, I'll feel free to answer at this time."

Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 51. On that question, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "She indicates that she will."

Davis: "Representative, you have stated that you have students attending schools in districts in which they don't live, is

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

that correct?"

Murphy, M: "This is what it would address. That problem, yes, Representative."

Davis: "It would...what would... what would happen to these parents once it was found that a student did not reside in that particular school district?"

Murphy, M: "This would establish penalties for failure to comply with the current law."

Davis: "What kind of penalties, Representative?"

Murphy, M: "A Class C misdemeanor."

Davis: "A Class C misdemeanor for parents who are attempting to get a good education for their children in the State of Illinois. Now, what kind of penalty is meted out by government when you commit a Class C misdemeanor?"

Murphy, M: "I'm not aware."

Davis: "Well, surely you would know what would happen. I mean, is a Class C misdemeanor a hanging?"

Murphy, M: "One month, \$500 penalty."

Davis: "One month in jail and/or \$500?"

Murphy, M: "Yes, 'or'."

Davis: "So are you...for trying to get a good education for ones child in the State of Illinois. Representative..."

Murphy, M: "At the expense of the others."

Davis: "Wait, Representative. Are we going to pass legislation saying that their employer should not dismiss them while they serve 30 days in jail for trying to get an excellent education for their children? Should we require that employers not fire them while they're in jail?"

Murphy, M: "If you'd like an answer to that, Representative Davis, I can start. Firstly, it could be assigned. This is a preemptive. The... It's not about the money, Representative Davis. When you look at the competitive

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

spirit in sports with IHSA rules, that parent trying to get a good education, which I do understand what you're speaking about, could be disenfranchising whole groups of students from their scholarship and from participation in the sports program. Even though they wanted to get a good education, which I see your point, and we should be funding education much better, this is not a vote for or against funding education. It's about disenfranchising other students from their rightful scholarship in their awards, because there are residency requirements with IHSA."

Davis: "What you're doing, Representative, regardless to the IHSA, what you're saying is, if a parent who does not live in a particular district lets a child reside with an aunt, an uncle, a cousin..."

Murphy, M: "That is not true."

Davis: "...that parent..."

Murphy, M: "Pardon me, that is not true."

Davis: "...that parent will serve 30 days in jail for falsifying..."

Murphy, M: "Did you hear me, Representative? That is categorically not true, and it's not about an aunt...that is not true."

Davis: "...well...excuse me, Representative. Excuse me, Representative."

Murphy, M: "It's not true."

Davis: "Then what would you suggest it is if they are not actual residents where they say they are? Now, what is it you're actually trying to do?"

Murphy, M: "The question..."

Davis: "Since you're going to call someone a liar here, what is it that you really want to do?"

Murphy, M: "Okay. First of all, the residency set up by school

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

boards in the residential areas,...you do not have to be the parent. You do not have to be the biological parent to establish residency. Your child could live in this district with your aunt and establish residency."

Davis: "Representative."

Murphy, M: "We're talking about fraud."

What you're speaking of now is already Davis: "All right. addressed in House Resolution 505, I think it's Representative Black and Wennlund, so that ...isn't Wennlund? But that...Brunsvold. I'm Representative Black and Brunsvold have Resolution 505 which adequately takes care of what you're speaking of at the present time. But, what we object to, and which what failed in this House a few days ago, is to put parents of children in jail for 30 days because they have attempted perhaps, use a district that they may not live in. Now, currently what happens to that child is if it finds he doesn't live there, he is merely sent to the district where he does live. The parent is not arrested and hauled off to jail. That's not the American way, when we talk about education. Now, we do need dollars to make sure that all districts have adequate funding so that our children in the State of Illinois can learn at least a similar rate, what we're talking about here is putting parents in jail in the State of Illinois if for some reason they have crossed into the wrong district."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion?"

Davis: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I would urge all Legislators to vote just as you did when this Bill came up before, not to try to solve the state's education problems by jailing parents for 30 days when they attempt to find a better school system or district for

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

their children. We cannot go on record as filling up the jails with parents whose children are in our schools. The jails are for real criminals, real criminals, Representative."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Homer."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Homer: agree with Representative Davis. We had a thorough discussion of this Bill when it was a House Bill here, or actually an Amendment to a Bill that we defeated soundly when the House Bills were considered. We did that because we decided in this House that where the parents send is trying to obtain the best possible education for their children that didn't want the public policy of this state to throw 'em in jail for 30 days, to throw the key away, when their only sin was that they're concerned about the welfare and education of their children. Certainly the law should discourage parents from improperly registering their children in districts outside of their residence, and there are sanctions in the law now. The State Board of Education can and does take away state aid to the schools that enroll students that live outside their districts who have not paid tuition, but I would submit that it's absolutely wrong to put criminal sanctions on parents whose only concern is the welfare of their children, and many of these cases are not black and white. Many times it is a question whether the residence is with the aunts or uncle or the grandparents, but for goodness sake, let's not throw the parents in jail. That's what this Bill would do. Let's vote 'no'."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hoeft."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Hoeft: "Thank vou. Mr. Chairman. For 17 years, I did quardianships which addresses this. When parents are looking for a better education for their children, I really respect that. But for 17 years, we were dealing with groups of individuals, specifically gangs, who were trying to get recruiters and were trying to get intimidators our schools by using false information. If you are talking about making our schools safe, you've got to have some type of protection if, in fact, the parent or quardian lies. This is a very good Bill. It will protect our schools, our children and our teachers. I would urge you to vote 'yes'."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "She indicates that she will."

Granberg: "Representative Murphy, you said this was identical to
Representative Steczo's Bill two or three weeks ago? Is
this the same language as Representative Steczos'?"

Murphy, M: "Yes.

Speaker Steczo: "Please turn on Representative Murphy."

Murphy, M: "Yes."

Granberg: "So, this is identical to Representative Steczo's."

Murphy, M: "I believe so."

Granberg: "Do you remember what happened to Representative Steczo's Bill? How many votes it received two weeks ago?"

Murphy, M: "Yes, I do."

Granberg: "And how many votes did it receive, Representative?"

Murphy, M: "More importantly, before I give you the vote count,

Representative Granberg, with all due respect, knowing that
timing is everything in this chamber, the timing of that
vote was soon after the voucher, so Representative Steczo

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

tried admirably to talk to the merit of this Bill, and he was boohooed because we were talking about giving away thousand of dollars to voucher systems, and again we got into the trap of funding for education, which is not what this Bill is about. Seeing that the times have changed, it's a different week, a different day, the vouchers have died, I think it important that you realize why the vote was resoundingly against this Bill a week ago. It's a new week, it's a different circumstance, and clearer heads should prevail."

- Granberg: "Representative, you never did tell me how many votes it received, though."
- Murphy, M: "Oh, pardon me. Forty-five to eight to one in the Senate, and then I will tell you what ours were, 'cause we only care what the House does, it was a vote of 30, 81, 2 'present'."
- Granberg: "So the identical Bill two weeks ago received 30 'aye'
   votes."
- Murphy, M: "But now Terry and I are joined in this Bill, so we're trying to see if we can get it passed."
- Granberg: "Well, with due respect to the Speaker, this...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the identical Bill received 30 votes two weeks ago. At that time, I think the reason why the Bill was killed was because...not overfunding of education but because this puts criminal penalties...this can put parents in jail for a month, for 30 days if they happen to register their child in the wrong school district. They could be well-intentioned trying to act in the best interest of their child, and they can be put in jail for 30 days. I don't think that's the purpose of our educational system. That was one of the arguments brought up two weeks ago. Representative Johnson, I'm not sure if

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

he's on the floor, Representative Tim Johnson brought out some very, very good points two weeks ago, in opposition to Representative Steczo's Bill. Those points will be the same today in this Bill. This is not a partisan issue. This is talking about education and what we do about it and what we do to the parents of these kids. Throwing the parents in jail does not answer the problem. That does not resolve the problem, and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage,
Representative Tom Johnson."

Johnson, Tom: "Yes. To the Bill. Ι really agree with the arguments that have been put forth by Representative Davis and Homer and now Granberg that we should not criminal sanctions for parents who choose to do that which they think is in the best interest of their children, same token. I think there is a lot of hypocrisy in this argument that says that parents want to children to the schools of their choice, and one of these days we need to get down and acknowledge that, that we need choice in education, and I welcome my colleagues from the other side of the aisle to address that issue, because that is really what is at the heart of this. I think parents should be free to let their children go to the school of their choice, but I agree that criminal sanctions should not be imposed on parents who, in fact, are exercising that choice, that we are not opening up to everybody. get going with choice, and let's get by these arguments."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "She indicates that she will."

Hartke: "Representative Murphy, this legislation, as I see it, is

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

an attempt to cut out the blatant fraud that is happening in your school district which jeopardizes the possibilities for scholarships for other students because of the violation that would take place which would eliminate that possibility. Is that not true?"

Murphy, M: "Correct. Thank you, Representative."

Hartke: "I don't think it's your intent to throw every parent in
jail..."

Murphy, M: "Right."

Hartke: "But you must put some teeth in it to stop this fraud."

Murphy, M: "Correct. Yes."

Hartke: "I stand beside you and will vote for this piece of legislation."

Murphy, M: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage,
Representative Biggert."

Biggert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. When I was president of our school board, we had two cases where this happened where students were enrolled in our school and it was discovered later that they were non-residents. What we did was to use civil penalties and actually to sue the parents and the parents then paid for the tuition for the time that the students were there. I think that we have to remember that in public education, that when a student is there, it is fraud that the school district, the property owners are paying for the education of that child and not being reimbursed; however, I, too, think that the criminal penalties are too strong so I offer some sort of civil liability for the parents to solve that problem."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Persico."

Persico: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman...or, Mr. Speaker. Will the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "She indicates that she will."

Persico: "Representative Murphy, it's my understanding, can a individual establish residency in a district even if their parents don't live there?"

Murphy, M: "Yes..."

Persico: "Can they establish it with a relative..."

Murphy, M: "Yes."

Persico: "...can they establish it with a friend of the family?"

Murphy, M: "There are ways within each school district that sets up non-traditional residency."

Persico: "So in other words what your Bill is trying to do is prevent the fraud of somebody, let's say, getting on a Metra train or commuter line of some sort, getting off at the stop near the local high school and attending classes and paying no tuition whatsoever."

Murphy, M: "Correct."

Persico: "Now, you know, some of the Representatives have spoken about criminal penalties and so on, and I can understand the concern, but there are many people that are, let's say, down in their luck and out of a job and they knock over a gas station, and they steal \$300, \$400, \$500 in order to support their family which is, you know, it's tough that they're down on their luck but we're not also looking for them to knock over a gas station in order to do this. If somebody comes from another part of the state on a commuter line, gets off and attends that school without paying tuition, well the property tax owners may be paying \$4,000, \$5,000 in property taxes just to the schools so that their child can attend. So, I think this is a good Bill. It's intended to cut out the fraud. It's not intended to prevent somebody from sending their kids to the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

best schools, but there are ways in order to do this, and I support the Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Steczo: "Mr. Dunn, there's no need to request that
 request. The Lady from Cook, Representative Murphy, to
 close."

Murphy, M: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. The last speaker that spoke about down and outers knocking over a gas station, stole one of my closing remarks. I'm so happy, and I applaud all of you that spoke for or against this Bill, that it should be very emotional to bring up anything to do with education and financing, because all of us feel we are not spending enough on education, and I wish this Bill was about that, but it really, sincerely is not. This is strictly about fraud. When someone fills out a loan application for a home fraudulently, there are penalties. Fraud is fraud. If someone wants to establish residency, they go through the proper channels. What we're talking about is not a single person coming in, and struggling to get the education for their child and saying, 'oops'. defrauding of the system...it's not about...only about the tax dollars for that community, but it is about IHSA. don't know if you folks know how competitive high school sports are, but one of the districts...schools district (which I won't even name, because I don't want the IHSA to be into it, the opposition schools are trailing the team members, just hoping to find someone that is not a true resident and it would put that school district on waivers. They would not be able to participate in sports.

# 58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

The graduating seniors could not get their scholarships to colleges. So, this little fraud that is being committed has a severe impact on many people. Ιt not about education dollars. It is not about seeking choice in education. It is about fraud. and misdemeanor of \$500 does not automatically jail people. need to pass laws with compliance factors. Better to stop the fraud before it starts than to take these families to court for civil penalties and cloq our court systems. Better we send a clear and resounding message that fraud is fraud, no matter how sincere the intent. I do appreciate consideration, and all the time that we spend grappling with this, and I encourage and urge your 'aye' vote. Thank you Ladies and Gentlemen."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has moved for passage of Senate Bill 51. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Tim Johnson, one minute to explain your vote."
- Johnson, Tim: "As I said in debate several weeks ago when we overwhelmingly defeated this Bill, with all respect to the two Sponsors, two good friends of mine, putting people in jail...or potentially putting people in jail in an area that's so subjective that a proper determination of fraud is impossible, is not good public policy. We have other sanctions, other ways of reaching the objective, but certainly this is not appropriate, and a Bill that should be overwhelmingly defeated."
- Speaker Steczo: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 31 voting 'yes'... For what purpose

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- does the Lady from Cook, Representative Murphy, seek recognition?"
- Murphy, M: "I tried, Terry."
- Speaker Steczo: "There are 31 'yes', 81 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 51, having failed to receive the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost.

  On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears House Bill 132, Representative DeJaegher. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 132, a Bill for an Act relating to children with disabilities. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes...the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative DeJaegher. Mr. Clerk, please take this Bill out of the record. On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears House...Senate Bill 137, Representative Hawkins. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 137, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Perry,

  Representative Hawkins."
- Hawkins: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill would simply extend the period of time that a child must be in attendance to a school district to 45 days from the current five days in order for that school district to be responsible for their payment of education if they're transferred out of the state. Currently, it's...I think it's five days now, and this would expand that to 45 days. It's really not fair for somebody to be transferred in for just a day or two into a school district and then shipped out of state and then that school district is responsible for that child's education in another state. This would

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

simply say that if they were in the school district for 45 days, then they would...that school district would be responsible. Any questions?"

- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 137. On that, is there any discussion? being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; Senate Bill 137, having received the required and Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Education, Third Reading appears House Bill Representative Black. Mr. Black? Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 282, a Bill for an Act amending the Higher Education Student Assistance Act. Third Reading of this Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 282 amends the Higher Education Student Assistance Act. It requires the Commission...the Illinois Student Assistance Commission to notify only those individuals who the Commission feels are reasonably assured of receiving a merit recognition scholarship. I think we're all familiar with this. You've all had, I'm sure, calls to your district office. We notify everybody and we don't put the funding in there, and so you have some very upset parents. I think this is a much more reasonable way to approach it, and I'd ask your favorable vote on Senate

58th Legislative Day

Bill 282."

May 12, 1993

- Speaker Steczo: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 282. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 282, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. For what purpose does the Gentleman from
- Kotlarz: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly. I just wanted to welcome a very special guest this morning, Alderman Pat Levar from Chicago's 45th Ward."

Cook, Representative Kotlarz, seek recognition?"

- Speaker Steczo: "We welcome Alderman Levar. On the Order of Education, Third Reading... For what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner, seek recognition?"
- Skinner: "Well, if we're on the Order of Introductions, we certainly ought to introduce Tom Hanahan, right? Stand up, Tom."
- Speaker Steczo: "Former Representative Tom Hanahan."
- Skinner: "Tom is...Tom was my Democrat back in the dark ages before Pat Quinn stripped one-third of the Members from this General Assembly."
- Speaker Steczo: "I'd like to welcome Representative Hanahan. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Saviano, seek recognition?"
- Saviano: "While we're on a roll over here, I'd like to introduce the president of Triton College in lovely River Grove, George Jordan."

58th Legislative Day

- "Welcome. Speaker Steczo: On the Order of Education, Third House Bill...Senate Reading, appears Bill 389. Representative Brunsvold. Out οf the record. House...Senate Bill 421, Representative Laurino. Out of the record. Senate Bill 504, Representative Cowlishaw. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 504, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo" "The Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage,
  Representative Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill came out of the committee, the House Elementary and Secondary Education Committee on a vote of 20 to nothing. It amends the School to create а statewide alternative certification program very similar to that that has been in effect for approximately the last three years in one of the school districts in Glenview, Illinois. The superintendent there, Bill Ataya, came to the committee and explained how this program has worked in his own schools and answered any questions that anyone had. This creates an alternative teacher certification board and adds certain provisions to the issuance of renewable certificates for that...by that board and has certain other related provisions that would establish this teacher corps. I believe the term 'teacher corps' is actually the term that was coined by Governor This is one of his initiatives. As far as I know, Edgar. there is absolutely no opposition to this Bill, and it is now supported by the Illinois Education Association, and I move for its approval."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 504. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schoenberg."

Schoenberg: "Question for the Sponsor. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Steczo: "She indicates that she will."

- Schoenberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Cowlishaw, I have a question. Are there any provisions in the Bill that define what kind of relationship the...the School District has with particular institutions of higher learning? For example, in School District 34, the partnership is between a private university, DePaul University, and the district for the purpose of ultimately providing these...this innovative program, but I was wondering if there are any provisions in the Bill which define specifically whether it's a public or a private institution of higher education?"
- Cowlishaw: "It simply says in this legislation, Representative, a recognized institution of higher learning. What it means, of course, is any college or university that has a teacher preparation program, and of course that does not make any difference, whether it's private or public, as long as there is a curriculum for this...for this kind of preparation."
- Schoenberg: "Very good, then. Briefly, to the Bill. I would urge its support. I've had the chance to watch this first-hand in Glenview, and I think if we can emulate it in other communities, we certainly should."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate
  Bill 504. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All
  those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed
  by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who
  wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
  Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 504, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 524, Representative Black. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 524, a Bill for an Act amending the Public Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 524 is a Bill that comes before us every year. It's the Public Community College Act and it changes the credit hour and equalization grant formula for community college districts for FY '94. The rates established in this rate Bill are at the Governor's recommended level. I know of no opposition. This is usually worked out by the Board of Higher Ed and the Community College Board. I think the Pages have passed out a sheet to you showing how each college is affected in the...in your district which may have a bearing on how you vote, but I know of no opposition to the Bill. Be glad to answer any questions you might have."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 524. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 524, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Education, Third

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- Reading, appears Senate Bill 594, Representative Moffit.
  Out of the record. Senate Bill 671, Representative Roskam.
  Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 671, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Roskam."
- Roskam: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 671 sailed out of the Senate, sailed out unopposed from the House Elementary and Secondary Education Committee. What it does is it allows certain school districts to issue life safety bonds prior to their actual formation. There's no known opposition, and I would ask for its favorable consideration."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 671. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Would the Gentleman yield?"
- Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."
- Granberg: "Representative, I just...I just want to clarify,

  Representative, clarify some of the items in your
  legislation. This would allow a school district to levy
  taxes before they take office?"
- Roskam: "No, that's not my understanding. My understanding is that well, yeah you're right. It would be after the...before they're sworn in apparently, and after they've won the race. They can already do that. Representative. That's my understanding."
- Granberg: "I'm not sure, Representative, if they're allowed to do
  it for taxes. I think for bonded indebtedness. If you
  could ask your staff, I think they can do it for...I think

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

currently six other items. This would expand that to levied taxes as well. Is that right?"

Roskam: "That's right."

Granberg: "Okay. So this is kind of a major...a major jump in that authority. So, that's a...that was a concern of ours, that's why we're just curious. So, is there any limit on the amount they can levy taxes?"

Roskam: "I beg your pardon? Can you speak up?"

Granberg: "Is there any limits in what amount they can levy these taxes before they take office?"

Roskam: "Could you...Representative, if you wouldn't mind though, could you tell me what those limits are on...is there a limit on the amount they could levy, or the type, or is this a blanket authority that once a person is elected, then they are allowed to levy taxes before they take office; but I just need to know what amounts, how they're able to do it, what limits are placed on them, because now you're giving them the authority to levy taxes without even coming into office. So, I would like to know the parameters on what authority they would have and if there're any limits on that authority."

Speaker Steczo: "Mr. Roskam, you going to answer... Mr. Granberg, you asked a question, correct?"

Granberg: "Right."

Speaker Steczo: "Okay. You're not through with your remarks?"
Granberg: "Well..."

Speaker Steczo: "Okay. Just checking."

Roskam: "Representative, my understanding is that school districts can levy five cents per \$100 of assessed valuation, and that's the limit."

Granberg: "So the...I just want to understand this correctly. So they would be limited to five cents every \$100 of assessed

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

valuation?"

Roskam: "That's my understanding."

Granberg: "And, are there any other limits on how they could levy these taxes?"

Roskam: "There's no other limits to my knowledge. Your good advocate, Representative Gash, has joined this side of the aisle on this debate."

Granberg: "Okay. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker and Representative, thank you very much. I think some of the Members ought to be...pay a little attention to this, with all due respect to the Sponsor, because it would allow a school district to levy taxes before those people take office. The Bill is well- intentioned, and I'm not necessarily speaking against it, but I'd like the Members just to be aware of the vote they're going to cast on this. Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and you Gentlemen of the House. In response to the questions that were just asked, it is not true that what this Bill does is to permit a school board that has not yet been seated as a board to do anything. Let me explain in one sentence precisely what this Bill does. This Bill adds to the already permitted actions that may be taken by a brand new school board, only in the situation where there has been a consolidation of districts, to take that action between the date of the election of the new board, and the effective date of the new district, and all it does, is authorize the issuance of life safety bonds before the actual effective date of the new district. It does not change by any means the limit on the amount of money that can be used for life safety bonds, nor does it in any way change the limitations

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

on the uses to which that money can be put. It is my understanding that this legislation was requested by the school district in Gibson City, which is involved right now in a consolidation effort. Certainly, if we want to encourage consolidation, we ought to make things as easy for them as we can; and for that reason, I stand in support of Senate Bill 671."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Saline,
Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "The Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates he will."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, were there any
Amendments adopted? I missed it if you said so...on this
Bill."

Roskam: "Not to my knowledge."

Phelps: "Okay. If I understand in debate what you were saying,
the new school boards in a consolidated district then could
take action on these matters in eight related school
finance or personal matters?"

Roskam: "Could you speak up, Representative?"

Phelps: "I understand it was that the new school boards in consolidated districts could take action in eight areas related to school finance or personnel. Is that correct?

Eight particular areas?"

Roskam: "I'm not sure about the number eight, but I know that they can take action already in terms of hiring a superintendent or retaining the services of an accountant, architect or other...other related services."

Phelps: "Okay, but you don't know specifically what those are?

To my knowledge, it was pointed out that there were eight related areas. Specifically eight areas in which they could act with their new district."

58th Legislative Day May 12, 1993

Roskam: "No, Representative. I'm not sure."

Phelps: "So, the fire and safety Bonds is mainly what you're after here."

Roskam: "That's right."

Phelps: "Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."

Black: "Representative, I need to expand on something Representative Cowlishaw said. Any tax that you are asking to be levied could not take place until after the voters have already, in fact, expressed their desire at a public referendum to consolidate. Correct?"

Roskam: "That's right, Representative."

Black: "Well, I don't think we're getting anything before the cart before the horse here. They've already voted to consolidate and what you're asking for is just simply to speed up the process to get the money in the bank so that they can begin to operate the consolidated district, so this isn't a tax without referendum, it already has been very clearly stated by you and others that the vote to consolidate had already taken place and was a positive vote, and this simply accelerates the process where you can get the money in the bank to carry out the wishes of the electorate, so I don't see that there's anything wrong with this Sill, and I simply rise to support it."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman...Representative Roskam, to close."

Roskam: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What we're doing in this legislation is, as

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Representative Black has pointed out, we are accelerating the timetable by which duly elected boards of education can further enhance the mandate of the voter, and I would ask your favorable consideration."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 671. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Representative Gash to explain her vote. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted the record. On this question, there are 90 voting 'yes', 19 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 671, having received the required Constitutional Majority... Representative Homer?"

Homer: "...on this Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair...Representative Moore. Andrea Moore wishes to be voted 'yes'. Mr. Homer. Representative Pugh wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. The Chair recognizes...Mr. Homer, he does not seek recognition. On this question, there are 92 voting 'yes', 18 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 671, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Education, Third Reading... For what purpose does the Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Homer, seek recognition?"

Homer: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I would like the journal to memorialize that on Senate Bill 524, which passed a few minutes ago, that I'm recorded as 'yes', and would have voted 'no' had I realized that was the Bill that was being called. I would like the journal to so note."

Speaker Steczo: "Mr. Homer, your remarks will be reflected in the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

transcript. On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 735, Representative Currie. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 735, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. This proposal that passed the Senate overwhelmingly. It's a proposal that comes to us from the Cook County State's Attorney, Jack O'Malley, and enjoys strong support from the Cook County Clerk of the Circuit Court, Aurelia Pucinski. The point of the program is to encourage our districts to work on alternate dispute resolution, work on ways in which youngsters understand that there are other ways to respond to conflict than through violence. The Bill, as it comes to us, has been amended so that it is not a mandate or current dollars need not be used for purposes of fulfilling the provisions of this Act, but to the extent that our schools are receiving federal drug-free America money, that money may be expended to see to it that our youngsters have some understanding of why violence is not always the best, the most appropriate, or ever the best and most appropriate response to problems of conflict, whether those problems arise in the recess period in the school yard or whether they occur in the classroom, whether they occur at home after the end of the school day. This Bill came out of the House Education Committee on the unanimous roll call. I would be happy to answer your questions, and would certainly appreciate your support for this proposal."

Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Bill 735. On that question, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

"Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen Black: of the House. I rise in opposition to this Bill. Sponsor is correct in it isn't a mandate with a capital M, but it is a mandate nonetheless. The only difference is, it says that schools won't have to this until they get money to do it. Well, you know, that's fine, but if we continue to tinker with the curricula of the schools of Illinois as we do down here every year, now we're going to mandate, whenever they get the money, that they have to have a unit instruction on the consequences of violent behavior. I don't quarrel with that concept, but when are we gonna do something down here to mandate reading? We have put so many mandates in the curricula that we have children graduating from high school in this state who have a fifth grade reading level. Maybe if they could read...some books and fine literature, they could figure out that violence is not an accepted way of behavior. This is another curricula mandate. Don't let anybody tell you that it isn't. The only difference is, it says while you don't have to do it until you get the money. Well, then why clutter up the School Code? No matter how good the idea is, at some point in the this Body must say, 'no curricula mandates'. We're mandating them to...to teach reading, writing, other skills necessary to survive in society. You may arque that this is a necessary skill. I argue that if we'd let teachers teach, the other things would take care of themselves. I...rise in opposition and would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Steczo: "Before recognizing the next individual, will all

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

lobbyists please remove themselves from the House floor?

The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage,
Representative Roskam."

- Roskam: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Bill and want to be closely associated with Representative Black's remarks. We defeated similar legislation about two weeks ago, and this is yet another attempt to...compound a problem in education. I think that these ideas are best left to our local school districts and our duly elected local officials to decide which elements of a curriculum they elect to adopt, and I urge your 'no' votes on this Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hoeft."
- Hoeft: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Since I voted 'no' on this in committee, it did not come out unanimously. My question simply is, when the curriculum in our state is so full right now, what are you gonna bounce out of the curriculum when you mandate this in?"
- Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Balthis."
- Balthis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Being one of the people in this House as that is most opposed to mandates, I rise in support of this Bill because I think it is a recommendation coming from the State's Attorney of Cook County that schools take a look at something that would be helpful to them, if in fact, they are having difficulty in these areas. It is not a mandate because it does not require them to do anything, especially spend money. I would encourage an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Steczo: "Mr. Ryder? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

from Jersey, Representative Ryder."

you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition for a very Ryder: simple reason. We cannot expect teachers to be miracle workers when we're telling them how they have to do their jobs every single day. Why don't we give teachers the of the doubt, and suggest that they know best how to teach. And if they have an opportunity to do a example that indicates that violence is not appropriate, allowing them to do it. If it works in their class, allow them to do it. But to mandate curriculum is to suggest that teachers don't know how to teach. I happen to think that they do a very good job. And if we would allow them their own common sense and their own latitude, I think that we would get a far better proj...product than any mandates. You cannot mandate common sense. You cannot mandate morals. You cannot mandate ethics. You mandate non-violence."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Persico."

Persico: "Thank Mr. Speaker. Two weeks ago, you, I...was adamantly opposed to this...Bill, not because concept as many speakers have already pointed out, because it was another mandate. In fact, I went home that weekend and my wife, who happens to be a social worker school district, said, 'I'm doing a seminar on non-violent...or you know, conflict resolution'. just voted against that Bill.' And she said 'Oh, gee, I said, 'Why?' And I said, 'Because it was another mandate.' And she said, 'Well, I agree wholeheartedly. We feel that it's important in our school district, but...you know, mandate it throughout the State of Illinois is not a good

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

idea.' But when Representative Currie brought the Bill back again with this Amendment, that it is not a mandate unless they receive federal money which they use for...you know...drug programs and that, then I do stand in support of this particular Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie, to close."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. I think the discussion has been useful. We are not requiring teachers to teach things that they can't teach. We do, already, in the School Code require the instruction in items like English, like mathematics, like social studies. The point of here is not a unit of instruction, but the opportunity for school districts using federal...federal Drug Free America money to develop curricula that focus on the that there are ways other than punching people out, than punching people in the nose, to solve conflicts when those conflicts arise. This has strong bipartisan support. I'm aware of no opposition. I think the discussion is an 'angels on the head of a pin' discussion. I think if you look at the Bill, you will see that it is not an unfunded mandate, that it gives schools necessary flexibility to do...the...develop the appropriate curriculum. And if look at what's happening in some of our school districts in Illinois today, you will find that leaders across the state already begun to develop these kinds of curricula goals. I think it's important for our youngsters, they learn how to read, to learn that there are better ways to handle disputes than by socking it to somebody, and that's really what this Bill is about. It's time to say we have better...alternate ways of dealing with conflict than just locking 'em in the slammer and throwing away the key.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

I think it's fascinating that this proposal comes to us from a prosecutor, who himself is looking for other ways to solve the problems of violence in our society than merely filling our prisons full to overcrowding. I urge your 'yes' votes."

Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 735. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 68 voting 'yes', 36 voting 'no', 10 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 735, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears Senate... Before we get to that, we...the Chair would like to recognize Representative Curran for the purpose of an introduction. Mr. Clerk, would you please read the Resolution, please."

Clerk Rossi: "House Resolution 775, offered by Representative Curran. WHEREAS, The Glenwood Junior High School Scholastic Bowl Team won the State Tournament on April 3, 1993; and WHEREAS, 202 Scholastic Bowl teams from across the State started in the Illinois Elementary School Association state tournament series, and Glenwood defeated Divernon 301 to 100, Assumption Central A & M 304 to 99, and Auburn 308 to 72 to advance to the championship round, where they bested Taylorville 302 to 78 to claim the title; and WHEREAS, On March 29, they entered Sectional play and won in matches against Carlinville, 297 to 153, Quincy, 315 to 140, and Mt. Zion, 287 to 175, to capture the Sectional title and advance to the State tournament on April 3; 1993; and WHEREAS, Students on the Glenwood Scholastic Bowl Team are:

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

John Blank, Richard Bose, Mike Brunelle, Joe Carlile, Matt Downer, Thad Faught, Melissa Matlins, Will Musgrove, Andy Pyle, and Jeff Woodruff, and the team is coached by Marilyn and WHEREAS, In the title match, Glenwood bested Morris Saratoga 263 to 208 to become the State Champion, finishing the season with an impressive 32 wins and only be it RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF losses; therefore, REPRESENTATIVES OF THE EIGHTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate the Glenwood Junior High School Scholastic Bowl Team on winning the State Tournament, commend the students for their talent and hard work and their coach for her excellent preparation, and wish all of the students continuing success in their academic careers: and be it further RESOLVED. That a suitable copy of this preamble and resolution be presented to each of the students and to their coach and principal."

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you were listening, you realize that what we have here...is not a group of kids who can jump higher or throw farther, or who are bigger or stronger, but they are simply the best in this State where it really counts, and that's between the ears. For those 44 of you who are new this year, you won't realize the significance of this, but these young people are from Chatham, Illinois, the Speaker's favorite town. And I would like Representative Hannig to realize that they beat kids from your district three times; Representative Tenhouse, they beat kids from your district; Representative Dunn, they beat kids from your district twice, they smeared those kids; Representative Mautino and Representative Rutherford, they beat kids from vour district; Representative Granberg, they beat kids from your district; Representative Stephens, they beat kids from your

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

district; and Representative Weller, your kids got the closest, but they beat the stuffings out of your kids, too. What I want to do is introduce the young lady who was responsible for this academic success, that's really the most important success that we ever have in this society. She is from Chatham-Glenwood Junior High. Her name is Marilyn O'Brien. She deserves a round of applause, as do her kids."

Marilyn O'Brien: "I would just like to take a moment to tell you what scholastic bowl is, because some of you may not know. It is a jeopardy-type competition. There are five students participating on a team at a time. The topics range from social studies, science, language arts, sports, movies, math, everything. All topics are covered. At this time, I would like to...introduce each member of my team. First of all, John Blank, Mike Brunelle, Richard Bose, Joe Carlile, Matt Downer, Thad Faught, Melissa Matlins, Will Musgrove, Andy Pyle, and Jeff Woodruff. Did I miss anybody? Three of these... Thank you. Our young lady and two of our gentlemen are in the eighth grade; the other seven are in the seventh grade. So we hope to be back here next year. Thank you."

Curran: "I move the adoption of the Resolution."

- Speaker Steczo: "Representative Curran moves for the adoption of House Resolution 775. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 795, Representative Tenhouse. Mr Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 795, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Distance Learning Foundation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Adams,

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Representative Tenhouse."

Tenhouse: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Long Distance Learning Foundation is created by this...legislation that we're looking at here today. The purpose the Illinois Long Distance Learning Foundation is to raise financial support from the private sector to promote the increased use and purchase of communications and information technology in rural school districts. The legislation creates a fund that will be held outside of the state treasury, but it will be subject to financial and compliance audits by the Auditor General. Available fiber optic cable in Illinois presents a considerable infrastructure opportunity for our rural school districts. The capacity of rural elementary and secondary education to distance learning will be dependent on the availability of private sector investment, and that's what we're looking at here today. It would just create the foundation to work with all the appropriate federal, state, and private sector entities to ensure that distance learning strategies evolve within regional or statewide networks. This Bill...to my knowledge, has no opposition. It passed the Senate 54-0, and came out of Elementary and Secondary Education on the Agreed List. I would ask for your favorable consideration of the legislation."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 795. On that question, is there any discussion?

The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Graphers."

Granberg: "...Representative Tenhouse, we're all in favor of the program, but just a few questions. First of all, would this be totally a privately funded, no state dollars, at all for the Lieutenant Governor?"

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Tenhouse: "That is correct."

- Granberg: "And if it's privately funded, is that the reason why these funds would not be placed in the state treasury?"
- Tenhouse: "That is correct, because one of the things, by setting up this fund, they will be able to utilize private donations, where it was more difficult through traditional state channels."
- Granberg: "Where would the fund be located, or how would it be held? Would it be under the Office of Lieutenant Governor, and then deposited...in a financial institution?"
- Tenhouse: "Okay, it would be...a separate trust would be set up.

  It'll be a non-profit foundation set up and it will not be direct... You know, the Lieutenant Governor would obviously be involved in terms of the foundation committee, but...the trust would be set up separately, not directly under the Lieutenant Governor."
- Granberg: "If would be a not-for-profit foundation is a...is set up, who would then be on that board for the oversight?"
- Tenhouse: "Okay, at this point... The Governor...the director of the Governor's Rural Affairs Council will...will serve as director of the foundation. It will be up to the discretion of...basically the Lieutenant. Governor will be...will help appoint the board, is what it amounts to at this point."
- Granberg: "Well, how many members of the board are there, Representative?"
- Tenhouse: "Really, it's open at this point. There is no specific number, but I do want to point out that this is much like the Literacy Foundation that we have set up right now, and it is also going to be subject to audit by the Auditor General."
- Granberg: "So the Lieutenant Governor would determine how many people would be placed on that board?"

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Tenhouse: "That is correct, and they will serve without compensation."

Granberg: "So they would serve at the leisure of the Lieutenant Governor?"

Tenhouse: "That is correct."

Granberg: "And at his appointments?"

Tenhouse: "That is correct."

Granberg: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 795 pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 795, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Black. Please vote Mr. Black, 'aye'. Mr. Black as 'aye'. Mr. Black, I don't think we can. The roll call was taken, but the transcript will reflect your...your desire to vote 'yes'. On the Order of Education, Third appears House Bill...Senate Bill Representative Kubik. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 846, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Senate Bill 846 is the Bill that...very similar to a Bill that we passed out of here...a House Bill that we passed out of here. What it does, is amends the School Code and requires that when children have...physical exams, that at that time, that they should have a tuberculosis test.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Now the Bill would only mandate TB tests for children residing in certain areas certified by the Department of Public Health as having a high incidence of tuberculosis. Those areas would be Cook, DuPage, Kane, and Lake, Will, and St. Clair Counties. I'd be happy to respond to any questions you might have, and would appreciate your support...for Senate Bill 846."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from St. Clair,
Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."

Younge: "What did you say about St. Clair County?"

Kubik: "Representative, just merely pointed out that when school children go in for physical exams, there is a high incidence of tuberculosis in St. Clair County that at the same time they they have physical exams, under this legislation, they'd also have to be tested for TB."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook,
Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."

Davis: "Is this the same legislation that had Clem Balanoff's name on it when it left the House?"

Kubik: "Yes."

Davis: "Could you tell me what happened to change the Sponsor?"

Kubik: "No, this..."

Davis: "When it comes back to the House?"

Kubik: "No, this is a Senate Bill."

Davis: "I know it's a Senate Bill."

Kubik: "His was a House Bill."

Davis: "What happened to his?"

Kubik: "I don't know. I mean, it's over in the Senate."

58th Legislative Day

- Davis: "Is i + in Senate Rules? You know, I recognize, this is not your problem, it's Representative, but something that is really causing me great conflict, when we talk about significant issues for people in the State of Illinois. There's something very wrong when a Body takes someone elses' idea and the hard work that someone else has done, and then stick another party persons name on it. There's something wrong with that. You know, this excellent idea, and I supported Clem Balanoff effort. And I am truly baffled... This is not the first time this kind of thing has happened. Perhaps we are gonna have to have some Leadership caucuses, 'cause those οf on this side of the aisle are really kind of getting fed up with it."
- Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik, to close."
- Kubik: "Yes. Would appreciate your 'aye' vote on this good
   proposal."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 846. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'

  All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Stephens, to explain..."
- Stephens: "I want to point out that I appreciate Representative

  Davis' remarks about sponsorship and having...now in my
  fourth term here, I've...witnessed this over each of those
  four terms, and it's funny that she would only now stand up
  when the Republicans have a Majority in the Senate, and
  question that tactic when...for the previous decade, it's
  happened when the Democrats in charge of both Houses of the

58th Legislative Day

- Legislature. So, we welcome your support, Representative."

  Speaker Steczo: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr...Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 846, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 991, Representative Giglio. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 991, a Bill for an Act amending the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Giglio."
- Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 991 is a simple Bill. It just authorizes that the school districts use the light safety funding for the repair of the school, outside such as sidewalks, playgrounds, parking lots, and bus ter...turnaround. I think that if you believe that this is part of...of the same part of the school building that's concerned for the life and safety of the children while they're at school, whether it's in...on the playground or in the school, then you would vote for the Bill. With that, Mr. Speaker, I would ask for your favorable support."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 991. Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'; and

58th Legislative Day

- Senate Bill 991, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Education, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 993, Representative Ryder. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1096, Representative Burke. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 1096, a Bill for an Act amending the Private Business and Vocational Schools Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Burke."
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a Bill that would Burke: help...our communities...provide a greater control over in particular that are proprietary schools, those receiving students who benefit from the Pell Grant. have had some, in my particular district, my particular experience, we've had some difficulties in proprietary schools existing for a very short period of time, picking up and moving and the student is left without any credentials or anything to support having provided the Grant. Pell And Ι would ask for your favorable consideration of this measure."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate
  Bill 1096. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair
  recognizes the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative
  Flinn."
- Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, it is my honor and privilege... It is my honor and privilege to introduce two of our former colleagues Representative Sam Wolf and Representative Bruce Richmond, right here with me."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."

Black: "Representative, it's my understanding that the Committee Amendment that you adopted to...this Bill, in fact, now becomes the Bill. Correct? I think the only concern that has been suggested or brought to my attention about the Bill, what...what does it accomplish if I'm going to... I'm gonna enroll in a profession, vocational, or cosmetology school, and they have to give me the loan default rate of other students. What does that accomplishing?"

Burke: "What it would accomplish, Representative, is the fact that those who enroll in a particular school would understand that there is a...a level of competency that has been established by virtue of those that completed a program previous to your enrollment. We are finding that there are a number of these proprietary schools who exist for a very short period of time, accept the Pell Grant, and then all the sudden disappear, and these students are left with nothing in return for their providing the Pell Grant."

Black: "I...I thought that was already in law. I thought we already had in law what we really want, and that's graduation rates, placement rates, and I don't rise necessarily in opposition, but I...this seems to be somewhat redundant to me."

Burke: "I don't believe that these proprietary institutions are covered in the law that you are referencing."

Black: "Okay. I thought they were, but I..."

Burke: "They are not."

Black: "Okay. But it would become... They would then become, covered if your Amendment becomes law."

Burke: "That is the case, yes, Representative."

Black: "So, you're trying to adopt more or less by reference,

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

some federal student loan provisions. Correct?"

Burke: "Yes."

Black: "All right. Thank you very much."

Speaker Steczo: "Mr. Black, have you completed? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ostenburg."

Ostenburg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I rise in support of this measure. I have some information in front of me that was provided by Illinois Student Assistance Commission. And I think it's very important for everybody on both sides of the aisle to be aware of the high default rate that's currently taking place in these loan programs. In Fiscal Year 1992, the loan default by students who attend the proprietary schools in Illinois represented 43.3% of the total number of defaulted loans, and more than \$22 million. In 19...Fiscal Year 1991, was even higher, 48.9% percent of the defaulted loan volume, totalling \$29.3 million, came from students who attended the proprietary institutions. What happens in many of these cases, is students are talked into attending these schools on the anticipation that they are going to get an outstanding job when they graduate. They're not aware of the difficulties involved. The institution gets the money upfront. When the loan is approved, they have their money. The student, after maybe three months, whatever, drops out of the institution because they realize that they cannot complete the...program that they were anticipating and they're left with this outstanding loan debt. and they don't have the training to get the job that they thought they were going to receive by going to this proprietary school. It's very important that we point out to students who are enrolling in these schools what has happened to

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

other students who have gone before them. I support this measure. I urge everyone to do so. This is one of the most difficult and most devastating problems that we have in the educational process in our state, right now, and what we're allowing the proprietary institutions to get away with. Thank you."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1096. Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 1096, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Education, Third Reading, going back to Senate Bill 993, Representative Ryder. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 993, a Bill for an act amending the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jersey, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill...was suggested by the Association for the Deaf, and makes a fairly common sense...recommendation that candidates for teaching certification in the area of deaf and hard of hearing should be able to demonstrate a minimum proficiency in sign language, as determined by the Illinois State Board of Education. I am somewhat surprised that this was not a requirement prior hereto, and I am glad to join with Senator Demuzio and others in the recommendation that this Bill be passed. I would be glad to answer any questions."

58th Legislative Day

- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 993. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 993, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Elections and State Third Reading, appears Senate Bill Government, Representative Gash. Out of the record. Senate Bill 269. Representative Granberg. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 269, a Bill for an Act amending the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 269 requires the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to develop and operate an awards program to recognize Illinois exporters. I would...would be more than happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 269. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Sp... Thank von. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."
- Kubik: "Representative, I didn't hear what your explanation was.
  What does this Bill do?"

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Granberg: "It would require the...DCCA to give awards to Illinois small to medium size exports. This is an initiative of the State...the Illinois Citizens...State Assembly Citizen's Council, and passed the Senate without a 'no' vote. Senator Woodyard was the Sponsor in the Senate, and..."

Kubik: "To give awards to people who export?"

Granberg: "Correct."

Kubik: "Like monetary awards or certificates or what?"

Granberg: "Right. This came out of the Citizens Council on
Economic Development, which found a need to broaden the
base of Illinois exports. DCCA has no position...on the
Bill. A fiscal note has been supplied by DCCA, but they
have taken no position on the merits of the Bill."

Kubik: "What... The awards. Would the awards be monetary, or would they just be...you know, you're a good person for being an exporter?"

Granberg: "It's... It's my understanding, Representative, I think it would fall in that latter category, and DCCA would be...DCCA would be in charge of setting the standards for how those awards are..."

Kubik: "This is a good guy Bill."

Granberg: "We're all good people here and DCCA wants to give good people awards."

Kubik: "Okay. Good people Bill. Good person Bill."

Granberg: "Not good guys. That's sexist."

Kubik: "Yeah, we wanna be... We wanna be gender neutral."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage,

Representative Tom Johnson."

Johnson, Tom: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield? Representative, I noticed that the fiscal note that's been filed by DCCA basically indicates an estimated cost of \$40,000 per year, and an additional, support costs ranging from \$10,000 to

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- \$20,000. Is that correct? Is that...the note that you've seen?"
- Granberg: "Yeah, I believe that's correct, Representative."
- Johnson, Tom: "Okay. And is this just to hand out paper certificates to different businesses...resolution type things that say..."
- Granberg: "The Department of Commerce and Community Affairs would work with the Department of Agriculture to set the definitions and what types of awards would be given. That would be at the discretion of both those departments. So, we would give the Department of Agriculture and the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs the ability to for...for themselves to design the awards, whatever award they feel is appropriate to try and expand exports in Illinois to try and encourage overseas investment."
- Johnson, Tom: "I guess I just have problems...spending...this sort of money to hand out certificates to make people feel good to do that which I presume business would want to do anyway, and that's increase it's bottom line of profit? I have a serious problem with that."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."
- Black: "Representative, what...what bureau of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs would...would administer this program?"
- Granberg: "Representative, it's my understanding that the department itself would make that determination. Whatever they deemed appropriate, in conjunction with the Department of Agriculture. So, we would give...we would give DCCA

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

that discretion."

Black: "Would it seem logical to you that it would go in the International Business Bureau?"

Granberg: "Again, that would be up to the department. Wherever they feel they have the necessary resources, wherever they think they can work with the program; that would be up to them. Whatever they feel is...the most appropriate division."

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Representative. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to the Bill. I intend to vote for the Bill because this Bill has an outstanding Senate Sponsor, and a reasonably outstanding But the...the problem that we're doing House Sponsor. here, last year, we cut the International Business Bureau of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs \$2.2 million. They used to have 30 employees in that bureau and now they have 16...or 14, excuse me. At some point in the process, all I would say to you who intend to vote for this, and I think exporting will be the life blood of this state, but some point in the process, all of you who intend to vote 'aye', we expect to see your 'aye' votes for the necessary revenue or supplemental appropriations to enable DCCA to carry out the jobs that we have been giving it here for the last two months. We decimated this agency; perhaps rightfully so, perhaps not. But now we're trying give them all additional programs, but we're not giving them the additional funding. This is an unfunded mandate on the department I think it's a good idea. I intend to work with the Sponsor, not only in the Senate, but in the House, to see that DCCA gets the money to do what we are now asking it to do, after we spent the last two years dismantling it. So, Representative, I will...I will look for you to

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Sponsor, and I'll Co-Sponsor the supplemental appropriations to the department. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Well, I though this side of the aisle was going to give this Bill some difficulties, and I was going to rise in opposition to that opposition. This is a common sense piece of legislation. It seems normal that we ought to try to use a positive mental attitude when possible. But for the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to come in here and say that this program is gonna cost \$40,000 is ridiculous. They...they need to get a dose of reality and go out in the real world for a while, and recognize that this program could probably be run for one percent of that amount. We could do this just by, without...without further appropriation. Representative Black, and...so I stand in support of the Bill. I think we ought to start moving these things just a little bit faster."
- Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg, to close."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. All kidding aside, this is...this is a good Bill for Illinois, and for our exports. This came about from the Citizens Assembly on Economic Development. We talk about what we have to do in this state to encourage exports, to encourage our small and medium businesses, this is a good program. I agree with Representative Stephens. I don't think this cost anything to administrate. I think it's a thing we have to do to try and increase our markets, the demands for our farm goods. And I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

58th Legislative Day

- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 269. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'

  All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'yes'... For what purpose does the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak, seek recognition?"
- Novak: "Well, Mr. Speaker...I just want to rise and explain my vote. I know this is a very, very, controversial measure here. You know, it took us about 20 minutes to debate the merits of this Bill. And I just can't believe the money that was wasted to debate the merits of this Bill, so I'm so glad it passed by 107 votes."
- Speaker Steczo: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 269, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Elections and State Government, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 955, Representative Hannig. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 955, a Bill for an Act amending the State Finance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Hannig."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

  This is the... This is a bipartisan Bill for the Auditor

  General that passed the Senate 54-0, and of course, the

  Auditor General is a part of the legislative branch, and

  his duties include auditing the various state agencies...on

  at least an every-other year basis. The cost to those

  audits are borne by the respective agencies, and this piece

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

of legislation is the mechanism whereby the audit...expense fund within the Auditor General's agency and budget can collect the money from the various state agencies, and so I'd move for the adoption and passage of this Bill. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Bill appears on the Order of Short Debate,
  Third Reading. Does anybody rise in opposition? There being
  none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in
  favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those oppose by voting
  'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
  all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On
  this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0
  voting 'present'. Senate Bill 955, having received the
  required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
  passed. On the Order of Environment and Energy, Third
  Reading, appears Senate Bill 616, Representative Novak. Mr.
  Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 616, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Low Level Radioactive Waste Management Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak."
- Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 616 provides for some technical adjustments concerning the legislation that was signed by the Governor. It was House Bill 1918 on the siting for the low level radioactive waste facility in the State of Illinois. The Amendment that we added on in committee broadened the participatory process. It includes the seismologic, tectonic, and other...hydro...hydrologic and other areas concerning the siting of a possible waste disposal facility. The criteria may relate to land use

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

concerning the location existing underground mines, and the exclusion of state parks, state conservation areas, and other state-owned lands identified by the task group, economics, transportation, meterology, and matter are identified by the task group, as relating to the desirable conditions for a low level radioactive waste site. The committee is also expanded to...the task force by two people, one environmentalist and one a person involved in local government for the past five years. It changes from 30 to 60 days the time of...the amount of time a person may file an objection to the issuance of a license, and basically they were...legislative concerns and inquiries. We did... We worked with the Department of Nuclear Safety to...to try to address those concerns, and I would ask for your favorable approval."

Speaker Steczo: "This Bill appears on the Order of Short Debate,

Third Reading. Does anybody rise in opposition? The

Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, with a

question."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor
 yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."

Black: "Representative, I had a...I had a question, but you took
20 minutes to introduce your Bill, and now I can't remember
the question. But wait a minute, it will come back to me.
This doesn't reverse..."

Novak: "I talk faster than that, Black."

Black: "...This doesn't reverse the process, does it? Would you
say..."

Novak: "Representative, it does not."

Black: "It just simply gives the locals a little bit more time and little bit more input before the State tells somebody

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

where the low level facility is gonna go?"

Novak: "Yeah, you're correct. We've broadened some of the...applicable standards in the Bill...in the law. Yes, you're absolutely correct."

Black: "It can't go into any county with a scenic river. Is that in there?"

Novak: "Oh, I'm... It would never go there anyway."

Black: "Oh, thank you very much..."

Novak: "You talking about Middle Park?'

Black: "Yes."

Novak: "Oh, no. Not going there."

Speaker Steczo: "Representative Moseley. Do you rise in opposition? She does not. There being nobody rising in opposition, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor... The Lady from Sangamon, Representative Moseley,...for a question, I believe."

Moseley: "Thank you, Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates that he will."

Moseley: "Now, Representative Novak, as I understand the Amendment, this does not prohibit siting in parks and conservation areas, it only allows the commission to take that into consideration? Is this only permissive?"

Novak: "That is correct."

Moseley: "So, it does not prohibit even a siting on the scenic Vermilion River. Is that correct?"

Novak: "That is correct."

Moseley: "Okay."

Novak: "But in all good sense..."

Moseley: "Thank you very much."

Novak: "...and good judgment, I'm certain...certainly the task force group and the administration and the Department of

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Nuclear Safety will not site it."

Moseley: "I'll take that as legislative intent."

Novak: "Yes."

Moseley: "Thank you."

Speaker Steczo: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 616 pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please record Representative Laurino as 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 616, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Environment and Energy, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 632, Representative Deering. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 632, a Bill for an Act in relation to mining. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Washington, Representative Deering."

Deering: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Senate Bill 632 does, is remove the requirement that mine examiners have to be covered by a collective bargaining agreement. It states more clearly that judicial review of a hearing may be sought after the hearing officer issues a decision; and the third thing this Bill does, allows the Department of Mines and Minerals to accept an applicant's bond...a coal company's bond without separate surety under certain conditions. I'd like to answer any questions."

Speaker Steczo: "This Bill appears on the Order of Third Reading,

Short Debate. Does anybody rise in opposition? The Chair

- 58th Legislative Day May 12, 1993
  recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative
  Novak."
- Novak: "Yes, Mr. Chairman, just a point of personal privilege.

  I'd like to welcome back to the House of Representatives
  the former Chairman of the Energy and Environment
  Committee, Myron Kulas. Myron, good seeing ya'."
- Speaker Steczo: "Does anybody rise in opposition? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. Please record Representative Lou Jones as 'aye'. Any further changes? Representative Hawkins."
- Hawkins: "...man. I want to declare a possible con...conflict of interest; and, therefore, I'm voting 'present'."
- Speaker Steczo: "Okay. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. The question is... On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 632, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair would like to, at this time, recognize Representative Woolard, for the purposes of an introduction."
- Woolard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is indeed a pleasure for me to introduce to you a group of constituents from my area. In Herrin, Illinois, annually, we have a Herrin Italian Fiesta. One of the many ingredients is that we select those things that we believe are common and right for the Italian community. And we have the official, and I say the official, Bigta Nosa contest in the State of Illinois. And we have a few young ladies who choose not to participate, but some great individuals who might just be the local winner this year. I'd like to

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

introduce someone that I believe is very important and serves as the honorees this year. In this state, we have many industries that we are proud of, but none anymore so than Diograph-Bradley celebrating their 100th year in the industrial community in southern Illinois, located in Herrin. Dan Finke will say a couple of words to you."

Finke: "Thank you very much, Representative Woolard. It's nice to be a part of a contingent from Herrin that represents both city government, as well as the chamber, and industry. Myself and Gene Turner, are here from Diograph Corporation. We are, in fact, celebrating our hundred year anniversary. In the past 46 years, we've had our major manufacturing facilities in southern Illinois. We've become a part of the community and we appreciate the support that we get from...in the location and from our Representatives here in Springfield. Representing industrial manufacturing company, which is very vital to the future success of the State of Illinois, I'd just like to ask your support in continuing to provide some friendly legislation that allows us to continue to reside in the State of Illinois, and continue to provide manufacturing jobs for the state and for southern Illinois. Thank you very much."

Woolard: "Thank you very much."

- Speaker Steczo: "Moving to the Order of Health Care and Human Services, Third Reading... For what purposes does the Lady from Whiteside, Representative von Bergen-Wessels, seek recognition?"
- von Bergen-Wessels: "Thank you, Speaker. I wish the journal to reflect that my vote... I wish to have as a 'no' on 991, rather than a 'yes'."
- Speaker Steczo: "The journal, Representative von Bergen-Wessels, will so reflect. On the Order of Health Care and Human

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- Services, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 66, Representative Kotlarz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate... Senate Bill 66, a Bill for an Act amending the Alternative Health Care Delivery Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman asks leave to return House...Senate Bill 66 back to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an amendment. Is there any objections? There being no objection, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments? Senate Bill 66 now appears on the Order of Second Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Kotlarz."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook,
  Representative Kotlarz, on Amendment #2. Mr. Clerk, please
  turn on Mr. Kotlarz. Okay, Representative Kotlarz, please
  explain the Amendment."
- Kotlarz: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly,...this is a technical Amendment, cleanup language in the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1...Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 66. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Has this Amendment been printed and distributed?"
- Clerk Rossi: "The Amendment has been printed and distributed."

Speaker Steczo: "Mr. Wennlund?"

Wennlund: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "He indicates he will."

Wennlund: "Yes, Representative, could you tell us what this Amendment does?'

Kotlarz: "If you notice in the original Bill, it simply changes

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

line 26 from 500,000 people to 600,000 people."

Wennlund: "What was the purpose of the Amendment...of that change?"

Kotlarz: "It was requested by a Member of this Body."

Wennlund: "How does that affect the Bill? Who does it make it apply to?"

Kotlarz: "Pardon me, Sir?"

Wennlund: "I said how does that change... Who does it make it apply to?"

Kotlarz: "Who does it make it apply to?"

Wennlund: "Correct."

Kotlarz: "It applies to counties...over 600,000 people now."

Wennlund: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Steczo: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Is there another Amendment to come? Well, what about the Amendment that was going to take Lake County out of the top part of that page, because it was a typographical error?"

Kotlarz: "I believe that was.... I believe that was Amendment
#1."

Skinner: "Oh, Okay. Thank you."

Kotlarz: "You're welcome."

Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Amendment. The Gentleman has worked very hard to accommodate a number of parties who'd previously raised objections. I believe that this Amendment and, as a result, the underlying Bill will take care of those objections to a great extent, and as a result, I would...support the Amendment and join the Sponsor in asking for your support,

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

as well."

- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 66. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Steczo: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave to have House Bill 66 heard on the Order of Third Reading. Is there...is there leave? There being no objection, leave is granted by the Attendance Roll Call. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 66 has been read a third time,
   previously."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kotlarz."
- Kotlarz: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like this taken out of the record."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Gentleman would like the Bill taken from the record. On the Order of Health Care and Human Services, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 258, Representative Krause. Representative Krause? Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 258, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Parentage Act of 1984. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Krause."
- Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If I'd like... Could I take it out of the record. Mr. Speaker, for a moment."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Lady wishes the Bill to be taken from the record. On the question of Health Care and Human Services, appears Senate Bill 367, Representative Currie. Out of the record. Senate Bill 435, Representative Biggert.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- Representative Biggert, do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. I'm sorry, 432. Mr. Clerk, please read Senate Bill 432."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 432, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Human Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage, Representative Biggert."
- Biggert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a Bill to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act, and it is to conform Illinois to the federal Americans with Disabilities Act in regard to the habitual use of illegal drugs or alcohol by an employee or a prospective employee in the work place. This is the companion Bill to...House Bill 844, which passed here on the Consent Calendar early in the Session. And I would urge a 'Do Pass', and would be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Steczo: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 432. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Will the Lady yield, please?"

Speaker Steczo: "She indicates she will."

Granberg: "Representative, there was some concern in the Senate on some of the issues of confidentiality of drug testing, and some answers...or some questions remain. Will the tests that are to be given under this legislation become part of an employees' work record. Do you know?"

Biggert: "I don't know."

Granberg: "The Bill states that an applicant or employee working in the job that is safety-sensitive, could be removed from the job if a positive test for drugs. When it says 'remove', do you know if that means termination or just does that mean a transfer out of that position?"

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Biggert: "It's my understanding that that could mean that...they could be removed and transferred or put into a program, or it is possible for termination. I think that's up to the employer."

Granberg: "So, you...it would be the employer's discretion, whether that person would be just transferred out of the job or actually terminated."

Biggert: "I believe so."

Granberg: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you... Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Steczo: "She indicates she will."

Black: "Representative, this measure simply puts...state law in...in concert with the Federal American with Disabilities Act, correct?"

Biggert: "That's correct."

Black: "Does it give an employer any added or additional rights?"
Biggert: "No."

Black: "In fact, the language of the Bill clearly says for purposes of this Act, a test to determine the illegal use of drugs, et cetera, et cetera, shall not be considered a medical examination. Nothing in this Act shall be construed to encourage, prohibit, or authorize the conducting of drug testing. So all it is, is really putting our language in concert with the American with Disabilities Act, as mandated by the federal government, correct?"

Biggert: "That's correct."

Black: "Thank you very much."

Biggert: "...conform to law."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- Speaker Steczo: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record Representative von Bergen-Wessels as 'yes'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'; Senate Bill 432. having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Representative Giglio, in the Chair."
- Speaker Giglio: "Senate Bill 666, Representative Kubik. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 666, a Bill for an Act amending the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. House. Senate Bill 666 would amend the Public Aid Code and require the Department of Public Aid to promulgate rules regarding fed reserve payment to intermediate facilities for the developmentally disabled. ... It is my understanding that the...the public...Department of Public Aid is...is neutral on the Bill. This is...is a response to a...some constituents concerns regarding...the disabled...care facility, and I'd be happy to respond to any questions you might have."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. Excuse me. Granberg. Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?'"

  Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
- Granberg: "Representative Kubik, I...a couple of questions. Now,

  H's my understanding that...the department would pay

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

for...for bedding when a person...even if that person left the facility..."

Kubik: "Correct."

Granberg: "For a period of up to ten days?"

Kubik: "Correct."

Granberg: "But the Department of Public Health...or Public Aid would pay the nursing home or the DD facility...for their time when that person is gone."

Kubik: "Correct."

Granberg: "Is this a Department of Public Aid initiative, or who's initiative is this?"

Kubik: "No, this is a initiative by a number of...of small homes which are... The problem is, Representative Granberg, that these are small facilities, and when a patient leaves to go home or enter the hospital, they're concerned about losing that bed space because the money is not flowing to...to the home. And as a result, this is a... We do this for other... We do this for non-developmentally disabled homes... This would do the same for developmentally disabled homes. And it would assure that that place is kept in the home."

Granberg: "Thank you, Representative. Did...did this come out of the Department of Public Aid, or did it come out of the Department of Mental Health?"

Kubik: "It came out of the Department of Senator Judy
Baar-Topinka."

Granberg: "Oh, that department. Okay, no more questions. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kubik, to close."

Kubik: "I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 666 pass?'

All those in favor will vote 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who

### 58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, 110 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 6666 (sic-666), having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Tenhouse, Senate Bill 776. Out of the record. Representative Salvi, on Senate Bill 964. Read the Bill."

- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 964, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Health Finance Reform Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record. Mr. Clerk.

  Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Representative Salvi, would you mind taking this out of the record just for a moment? Then we'll get back to it."
- Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk.

  Representative DeJaegher, for what purpose do you rise,

  Sir?"
- DeJaegher: "...personal privilege. I'd like to introduce to you a former House Member, a former Senate Member, now he's Circuit Court Judge, Clarence Darrell."
- Speaker Giglio: "On the Order of Third Reading under Law, appears Representative Senate Bill 142, Brady. Representative Brady in the chamber? (Senate Bill) 142? Would you like to call this Bill? Out of the record. House Bill 177...179. Senate Bill 179, Representative Erwin. Is the Lady in the chamber? Out οf the Representative...Levin, Senate Bill 181. Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In the rear of the chamber is another former colleague, Appellate Court Justice Tobias Barry. Tobby Barry, please come forward and be

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

acknowledged."

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Dart, Senate Bill 248. Dart. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 248, a Bill for an Act amending the Criminal Code of 1961. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dart."
- Dart: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Bill will change in the case of aggravated criminal sexual assault to bring it in line with the criminal sexual abuse, changing it from 'great bodily harm' to 'bodily harm', and I'd move for its passage."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

- Wennlund: "Representative...above the noise, I couldn't hear the explanation. Could I ask you repeat it?"
- Dart: "Certainly. What this Bill does, is it takes the aggravating factor that makes something aggravated criminal sexual abuse, and allows it to be bodily harm done to the victim, as opposed to great bodily harm, which is a great deal higher standard, but in the abuse cases it's quite often that the great bodily harm is not what is appropriate. It is more of a bodily harm standard, and cert...brings it more into line with reality."

Wennlund: "...Has the Bar Association taken a position on this?"

Dart: "Not that I'm aware of. This passed out of all the committees unanimously and passed out of the Senate unanimously, so I'm sure they've had plenty of time to look at it. I've heard no opposition from them."

Wennlund: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Dart to

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

close."

Dart: "I just ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 248 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 107 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 248, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Erwin, on Senate Bill 273. Out of the record. Representative Hoffman, on Senate Bill 289. Representative Black, on 294. Read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 294, a Bill for an Act amending the Jury Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This initiative started with Senator LaPaille. It amends the Jury Commission to provide that in a county of at least three million population, the jury lists shall be prepared from a combined list of Illinois drivers license holders and legal voters in the county. The law presently says that in a county of three million, jury commissioners may include drivers license holders if they want to. This says that they will. And in all due respect, Representative Lang had said in...in committee during this discussion, that he wanted this Bill amended to say that the drivers license lists that would go to this...Cook County Jury Commission, would be culled from non-citizens. And I certainly don't want to break my word to the Representative, but the Secretary of State has informed me they have no way of doing that, that you can

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

get a drivers license and yet not be an American citizen, and they don't have the computer capability to remove those from the lists that would be eligible in Cook County. However, they did inform me that you cannot serve on a jury unless you are a United States citizen, but they simply do not have the capability of removing non-citizens from the list, so that's why the Amendment isn't on."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McPike, in the Chair. Mr. Lang, on a 'do pass' Motion.'"

Lang: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Lang: "Mr. Black, I appreciated your comments. I do have this question, though. Would that mean if the Bill became law, that if we used the driver license lists, that people would be called for jury duty that weren't citizens, and then they'd be sent home?"

Black: "That's...that's my understanding. Now, the Secretary of State's people told me today that they...they were certain they could work this out with the appropriate office holder in Cook County to avoid that somehow. But they simply do not have the capability to remove from the computer printout those who are not citizens."

Lang: "Given that fact, I'm prepared to vote for the Bill, and I appreciate your efforts to try to put the Amendment on, but I do have a concern that needs to be addressed. We should not be dragging people to the courthouse, knowing we're gonna be later sending them home. So, if rules and regulations can be worked out in advance...perhaps the jury summons can say, 'If you're not a citizen, call this number' or something. I'll vote for the Bill, but it's very important that that be worked out."

Black: "I...I think you're absolutely right, and the last time I

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

did talk to Secretary Ryan's people, they were going to try and get together with...County Clerk, Mr. Orr, and see if somehow...because I don't think it's anybody's intent that you get 200 people down for a jury panel and have to send 50 of them home, because you're absolutely right."

Lang: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall...Senate Bill 294 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?
Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On
this Motion, there are 110 'ayes', 2 'noes'. Senate Bill
294, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby
declared passed. Senate Bill 309, Mr. McGuire. Read the
Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 309, a Bill for an Act amending the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGuire."

McGuire: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have Senate Bill 309, Senator Tom Dunn's Bill, and I'd like to tell you a little bit about it. It amends the Code of Civil Procedure, and it provides that when a party is represented in a civil action by a civil legal services provider, that all fees and costs shall be waived without the necessity of filing a Motion for that purpose. It's a very simple and basic...piece of legislation; passed the Senate 56-0. It passed our committee, JUD-I, 12-0, and I'd answer any questions that you may have, but I'd appreciate your consideration. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 309 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 115 'ayes' and 0 'nays'.

Senate Bill 309, having received the Constitutional

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- Majority, is hereby declared passed.

  Representative...Gash."
- Gash: "Can I rise for a point of personal privilege to congratulate my seatmate on the passage of that Bill, and also to wish him a happy birthday?"
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Kaszak, on 310. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 310, a Bill for an Act amending the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Kaszak."
- Kaszak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 310 is identical..."
- Speaker McPike: "Senate Bill."
- Kaszak: "...to House... I'm sorry, Senate Bill 310. It's 2427, which identical to House Bill passed this House...about a week or two ago. It was essentially increases the filing fees in Cook County only in civil matters, and those increases would go to the Chicago Bar Association Foundation, which would distribute them to organizations that provide civil...free civil services...legal services to indigent communities. And...I urge your adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "On that Motion, does anyone rise in opposition?

  Mr. Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What this is, is one fee increase proposal that's gonna be allowed to pass out of this House. Unlike other reasonable fee increases that were not being allowed to pass out of this House on their own merits, such as House Bills 1990 and 1991, with respect to fishing and hunting licenses. This is a fee increase that everybody who participates in the justice system in Cook County will pay

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

an additional 5%. It is opposed by the State Bar Association, and it is a cost to the general public. But regardless, it is a fee increase or tax, however you want to put it. So you ought to know before you vote on this that this is the same as a tax increase. It is a fee increase; and for that reason, it ought to be defeated just like all other fee increases that have been proposed in this House that the Speaker has not allowed to be called."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky. Representative Kaszak, to close."
- Kaszak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I should... I think I need to correct the record. I believe the Illinois State Bar Association had put in an opposition to this in committee when it was the prior Bill. The Bill was essentially gutted in committee and new contents put in, and it's my understanding that they do not oppose the Bill. And I ask for your...a favorable roll call."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 310 pass?'
  All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all
  voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
  The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are
  42 'ayes' and 65 'noes'. Senate Bill 310, having failed to
  receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
  lost. Representative Dart, 325. Representative Currie, 347.
  Currie. (Senate Bill) 347. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk ...Read
  the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 347, a Bill for an Act to amend a named

  Act in relation to child labor. Third Reading of the Bill."

  Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. This is a
  Bill that's an initiative of the...Children's Council...the
  Citizens' Council on Children, and it is a Bill that

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

specifies hours and other kinds of activities under the child labor law, and the Bill has the support of the Illinois Retail Merchants' Association and the Illinois Manufacturers' Association, as well as the Department of Labor. I'd be happy to answer your questions, and would appreciate your support for this proposal."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall...Senate Bill 347 pass?'

  All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?

  Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk

  will take the record. On this Motion, there are 110 'ayes'

  and 0 'nays'. Senate Bill 347, having received the

  Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate

  Bill 442, Representative Erwin. Erwin. Read the... Okay.

  Representative McAuliffe, on 460. Read the Bill, Mr.

  Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 460, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Domestic Violence Act of 1986. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It amends the...the Domestic Violence Act to permit a law enforcement officer charged with the investigation of alleged incidence of abuse by family or household member to file a petition for an order of protection on behalf of the victim of the abuse."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 460 pass?'

  All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?

  Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk

  will take the record. On this Motion, there are 112 'ayes'

  and 0 'nays'. Senate Bill 460, having received the

  Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate

  Bill 464, Mr. McGuire. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 464, a Bill for an Act amending the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. McGuire."

McGuire: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker...excuse me...Ladies Gentlemen of the House, I have Senate Bill 464, sponsored by Senator Thomas Dunn. It amends the School Code. Came out of a situation that actually happened in our hometown of Joliet. What it does, it makes it a Class III felony for a person applying for employment as a teacher, a principal, a superintendent, or other certificated employee of a school board t.o make a false statement on an employment application. And I'll try to answer any questions you may have; and I'd ask for your favorable response. Thanks again."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 464 pass?'

And, on that Motion, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, he will."

Wennlund: "Was Floor Amendment #1, was that adopted?"

Speaker McPike: "House Amendment #1 was not adopted."

McGuire: "I'm not aware of it."

Speaker McPike: "The answer's 'no'."

Wennlund: "Is... Is the Bill... Second question. Is the Bill only limited to certified employees, and not like janitors, for instance? I mean, if a janitor makes a false statement on his employment application, is he guilty of a Class A misdemeanor?"

McGuire: "I believe that's right, as I read it, Representative."

Wennlund: "50. it only applies to certified school employees?"

McGuire: "I'm reading Senate Amendment #1 and trying to see if that clarifies your question. I'm not sure, Representative."

Wennlund: "All right, thank you very much."

58th Legislative Day May 12, 1993

McGuire: "It seems to be only that way."

Wennlund: "Pardon?"

Speaker McPike: "The answer's 'yes'. Teacher, principal, superintendent, and certified employees."

Wennlund: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Davis: "Representative, you say a certified school employee making a false statement on an application for employment.

What kind of conditions or circumstances might create the environment or the fact that a person might tell a lie on an application for employment?"

McGuire: "I'm sorry. I didn't quite catch the question."

Davis: "My question is, you're talking about certified personnel, for example: teachers, principals, counselors? Your Bill states that if they knowingly...does it say if they knowingly make a false statement, or willfully make a false statement?"

McGuire: "I think it does."

Davis: "Give me an example of what kind of false statements they might make. Married or divorced, could that be one?"

McGuire: "I think one example that is the genesis of this Bill is a person who was married, and then went to work at another school and thereby did not reveal her past because of the change of name and didn't reveal the past history of troubles that she had with the other school, and with the change of name there was no suspicion to delve into it any further."

Davis: "She was married at one school, and when she went to the other school she said she was single?"

McGuire: "She was single at the first school."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Davis: "And at the next school she was married?"

McGuire: "I'm sorry, I didn't...I couldn't hear you."

Davis: "I mean what was the difference? What was the discrepancy?"

McGuire: "Different name. Different last name."

Davis: "So, she got married and at the second school then she used her married name? That wouldn't be an offense, would it?"

McGuire: "No."

Davis: "No. So, that wouldn't be a good example, would it?"

McGuire: "Well, I was trying to cite the example of the genesis for the Bill. But, I think examples of what you could do false information, would be to give a false name, false address, or to not reveal past information, or to not reveal it."

Davis: "I'm trying to really understand the purpose of this legislation. Especially since you said it's going to create... Is this gonna be a felony?"

McGuire: "Misdeameanor."

Davis: "Oh, this is another misdeameanor, and what well...what kind of sentence will they get for this?"

McGuire: "What kind of what? Sentence?"

Davis: "Yeah. Life?"

Davis: "Well, that's not enough is it, Representative. Up to one year in prison? Is that gonna be in Joliet, too? Someone suggested that you're helping your local economy."

McGuire: "Helping what?"

Davis: "Your local economy, the prison system in Joliet."

McGuire: "Not what I had in mind."

Davis: "Well, you know I'm just not sure... You haven't answered

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

me as to what reason you think we need legislation that says if an employee or a potential employee tells a truth a falsehood on the application, and if one says one is don't married or not married, I really know significant harm that can cause. I would certainly understand this better if you said they were hiding a child molestation charge in which they perhaps were convicted another state. But, otherwise, I don't know it just looks like it's another example of what we're doing in this Body, and that is creating a lot of different offenses to crowd our prison system and yet not solving the crime or education problem. Now, I know people are probably gun hoe to vote for this legislation because it says up here it creates a felony. You said a misdeameanor, which is it?"

McGuire: "I'm sorry, I was told a misdeameanor, Representative.

It's a misdeameanor, Class A in Senate Amendment #1."

Davis: "Well, according to the board."

McGuire: "So, maybe the board is wrong."

Davis: "You think the board is wrong?"

McGuire: "Yes. That board you mean."

Davis: "Is it a Class C or Class A or..."

McGuire: "Class A."

Davis: "And that entitles one to how long in jail?"

McGuire: "I'm not sure, Representative, what the Class A requires."

Davis: "Okay. Well, here again it's a Class A, it's a Class A misdeameanor and it gives 'em six months in jail. Is that correct? Well, for good time they'd get only three months in jail; maybe again for using an incorrect address, maybe for saying 'Ms' when they should say 'Mrs.' I think we're being a bit harsh, and I really, I don't see any reason for it, and I would urge a 'no' vote."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 464 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all
voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are
93 'ayes', 10 'noes'. Senate Bill 464, having received the
Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate
Bill 478. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 478, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. McGuire."

McGuire: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker. I have Senate Bill 478, and this is a welfare fraud Bill that we discussed in committee, and what it does is it requires community service as part of the punishment or penalty for welfare fraud. This is in addition to the usual normal penalties or punishment for welfare fraud, and again, I'd try to answer any questions that you may have, but as I say we..."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 478 pass?'

Mr. Wennlund, on that Motion."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Wennlund: "Representative McGuire, as I understand it, this...the
affect of it as it passed out of committee adds a provision
stating that community service 'shall be ordered' if
there's a program available? Is that..."

McGuire: "'Shall'."

Wennlund: "So, how does that change the existing law? The court now has discretion?"

McGuire: "I'm sorry, say that again."

Wennlund: "The court now has discretion, and this is mandatory that the court has to...?"

McGuire: "Yes. It says 'shall'."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Wennlund: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "A number of people would like to thank you for that questioning, Mr. Wennlund. It clarified the Bill. Appreciate it. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 478 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there is 108 'ayes', 0 'nays. Senate Bill 478, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 486, Representative McAfee. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 486, a Bill for an Act to amend the Trust and Trustees Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McAfee."

McAfee: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate
Bill 486 amends the Trust and Trustees Act. It is the
initiative of the corporate fiduciary and trustees, and it
provides that if all primary beneficiaries are adults, that
they can agree to any substantial agreements prior to the
trust being distributed. This Bill passed out of the
Senate 56 to 1. I don't know of any opposition."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 486 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all

voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's

112 'ayes', 0 'nays'. Senate Bill 486, having received the

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate

Bill 576, Mr. Frias. Frias? Frias? Frias. Cross? Mr.

Cross. No. Representative Brady. Representative Brady, on

609? Do you want this Bill called? Read the Bill, Mr.

Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 609, a Bill for an Act to amend the Business Corporation Act of 1983. Third Reading of the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Brady."

- Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill simply amends the Corporate Business Act. It allows for foreign professional service corporations to comply with some requirements within the Secretary of States Office to register themselves, and it's pretty much a clarification to allow them to abide by the law, and I ask for a 'do pass'."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 609 pass?'

  All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there is 109 'ayes', 1 'no'. Senate Bill 609, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 614, Mr. Santiago. Representative Churchill, 623. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 623, a Bill for an Act to amend the Appellate Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 623 takes two temporary judges in the fourth appellate district and makes them permanent."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 623 pass?'

  All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 110 'ayes' and 2 'noes'.

  Senate Bill 623, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 651, Representative Zickus. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 651, a Bill for an Act to revise the law by combining multiple enactments and making

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

technical corrections. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Zickus."

Zickus: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to take this Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment, please."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady asks leave to return the Bill to Second Reading. Leave is granted. The Bill's on Second. Mr. Clerk, any Amendments?"

Zickus: "Yes, and I would like to... The Amendment is 800 pages long. This is a revisory Bill...Amendment for the Legislative Research Bureau. So, I would like to move to suspend the filing, printing and distribution requirements of House Rules 36(b) and 36(c) and any other applicable rule with respect to Senate Bill 651 and House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 651 which, if adopted, will become the first 1993 General Revisory Act."

Speaker McPike: "What is your Motion?"

Zickus: "To suspend the filing."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Zickus, we've made no objection to suspending the Motion...suspending the rule to have it printed. But, our staff has hadn't time to review the one Amendment. If you wouldn't mind taking this Bill out of the record so that I could give our staff the opportunity just to review your Amendment, then we'll get back to it if you wouldn't mind?"

Speaker McPike: "It's 800 pages. Mr. Black. You know, that's not gonna take us forever. Mr. Black."

Black: "Well, if you staff is gonna pour over an 800-page Amendment, we'll be here till the 4th of July. This is strictly the LRB Revisory Act. We do this on automatic pilot twice a year."

Speaker McPike: "That's correct, and I promise you our staff

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

reads all those Amendments."

Black: "Are they speed readers?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "All right."

Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. Representative Zickus, on 652. Do you want this Bill read? Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In a meeting this morning, a bipartisan meeting in the Legislative Reference Bureau, the Reference Bureau suggested that we waive the appropriate rule and ask that this Bill be extended until January 14, 1993, to accomplish the end of the Session Revisory."

Speaker McPike: "How about 1994? Wouldn't that be better?"

Black: "I'll take that, 1994."

Speaker McPike: "Okay. You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor of... Any objections to the Gentleman's Motion? Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. The applicable rules are waived, and the deadline on Senate Bill 652 has been extended to January 14, 1994. (Senate Bill) 714, Mr. McAuliffe. Representative Phelan, 739? Jim Phelan? Phelan? Phelan? Phelan? Phelan? Phelan? Phelan? Phelan? Phelan? Out of the record. Representative Murphy, 880. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 880, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963. Third Reading of this
Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Murphy "

Murphy, M: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate
Bill 880 adds to the Electronic Criminal Surveillance
Article of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963. It
merely adds the offense of criminal drug conspiracy. The

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

reason it wasn't in the original code is that this Bill, the offense of Criminal Drug Conspiracy, was added after the passage of the Electronic Criminal Surveillance Article."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 880 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all Have all voted who wish? Mr. Granberg, have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will On this Motion, there's lll 'ayes'; 0 take the record. 'nays'. Senate Bill 880, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 899, Representative Mulligan. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 899, a Bill for an Act to amend the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. Third Reading of this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Mulligan."
- Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to return this

  Bill to Second Reading. I'd like leave for that to add an

  Amendment that's been agreed upon. But, I just filed the

  Amendment earlier and I'm not sure if it's been printed

  yet."
- Speaker McPike: "It's not printed. Do you want to leave it on Third Reading? The Amendment's not printed."
- Mulligan: "All right. If I can get back to it tomorrow?"
- Speaker McPike: "Hopefully. Well, I mean we've got plenty of time to get through all these Amendments."
- Mulligan: "It's an agreed Amendment between all the different denominations."
- Speaker McPike: "Sure. We'll get back to this, I'm sure."

Mulligan: "Thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "Senate Bill 60, Representative Balthis. Mr.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Balthis. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 60, a Bill for an Act concerning preference points for emergency services personnel. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Balthis."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Balthis."

- Balthis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 simply adds municipalities, along with fire protection districts, to give preference points to those that have earned paramedic status prior to being hired by fire department or a fire protection district, and I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "Any discussion on the 'do adopt' Motion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 192, Mr. Hoffman.

  Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 192, a Bill for an Act to amend the
  Counties Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
  Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Tenhouse."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Tenhouse."
- Tenhouse: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #1 would allow for a ferry to operate in Adams County in a non-incorporated area outside the municipality what happened is that last December a tragedy occurred in my district where two people were killed when a ferry overturned that goes between...across the Mississippi river between Canton, Missouri and Meyer, Illinois. And the county has been in the process of

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

obtaining a federal grant to replace this ferry service, and came to find out that under the current state law, they were not allowed to operate a ferry. This was an agreement that's been reached...it's been worked out with the Municipal League, with county officials and IDOT. I don't think there's any problem, but it is an important issue for western Illinois. It's the oldest operating ferry on the Mississippi River. I would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hoffman."

- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We have reached an agreement with Representative Tenhouse, and I'm in favor of putting this Amendment on."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'... Mr. Granberg? Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Representative Tenhouse, we've had some problems on your Amendment. We need some clarification. Would you mind if we just took it out just for a moment, so we can get right back to it? There's some confusion."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hoffman, did you take this out of the record, what happened? Out of the record? Mr. Tenhouse, he took it out of the record. Senate Bill 371, Representative Cowlishaw. Cowlishaw? Where is Miss Cowlishaw? Brunsvold, 531. Joe Brunsvold? Out of the record. Mr. Deering, 568? Mr. McAfee, on 90. Senate Bill 90. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 90, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Library District Act. The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments.

  Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative McAfee."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. McAfee."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

McAfee: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment is proposed by the Secretary of State and the Library Association to require district libraries to file audits and financial reports in conformity with the local government Audit Account Act. I ask for your support."

Speaker McPike: "On that Motion, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "How are these audits going to be paid for? Is there any additional taxing authority?"

McAfee: "Representative, this actually is gonna be saving the library districts the additional audit charges and dollars that they would have to do to require a CPA. It eliminates that. This is actually cost-saving for the library districts."

Skinner: "So, there's no additional tax involved?"

McAfee: "No."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. You seem to be surrounded up there, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor of this fine Amendment yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, Floor Amendment #1 becomes the Bill correct? It's hard to hear in here. You said simply it doesn't... It no longer requires that an audit be conducted by a CPA? It could be an independent accountant?"

McAfee: "Yes, but it reduces the amount of course as well, from \$200,000 and down."

Black: "All right. Thank you."

McAfee: "You're welcome."

Speaker McPike: "The Chair was distracted. This Motion on...

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Floor Amendment #1, Mr. McAfee, what is your desire?"

McAfee: "I understand the Amendment has not been printed at this time."

Speaker McPike: "All right. Let's take the Bill out of the record then. (Senate Bill) 168, Representative Tom Johnson.

Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 168, a Bill for an Act concerning ambulances services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson, Tom: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is a technical Bill, which changes the population requirements to reflect current populations really in DuPage County and in Kane County, and the three municipalities that over-lap those two counties. All it does is increase the maximum amount of population in the counties to bring it into conformity to what it currently is, and that's so that it will enable these communities to continue their ambulance service, and I ask for a 'do pass'."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much. An inquiry of the Chair. Has an Amendment been adopted to this Bill?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk McLennand: "No Amendment has been adopted."

Black: "Thank you very much. A question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Was it your intent that this Bill be amended so that's it going to be site-specific to townships in Kane county?"

McNfee: "No. Not that I know of, Representative. This... In terms of the population, it only really applies to the those two counties. Those are the two that have the new amounts."

Black: "I just wanted to make sure that we hadn't overlooked an

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Dart: "By changing the population figures, is this gonna increase the number of people who'll be paying a tax?"

McAfee: "No. We currently have an ambulance service. All this does is bring it in so that they can continue the ambulance service."

Dart: "It's not gonna lead to more people having to pay a tax on this?"

McAfee: "No."

Dart: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 168 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all
voted. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are
89 'ayes', 23 'noes'. Senate Bill 168, having received the
Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate
Bill 374, Mr. Johnson. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 374, a Bill for an Act to amend the Airport Authorities Act. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson, Tom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill is in... really is a technical thing to clean-up some mistaken legislation that occurred here a couple of years ago, where the City of St. Charles was inadvertently statutorily removed from the DuPage County Airport Authority, along with the City of Schaumberg. Now the Village of Schaumberg wanted to be removed; the City of St. Charles was inadvertently included due to the language, included in the language...or in the statute and this

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

merely cures that so that the City of St. Charles can now vote their way out of the airport authority, as opposed to being thrown out of the airport authority, and I ask for its 'do' passage."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 374 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. And on this Motion, there are 98 'ayes', 10 'noes'. Senate Bill 374, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

Senate Bill 538, Mr. Johnson. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 538, a Bill for an Act concerning Civil Service positions. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson, Tom: "This Bill, Ladies and Members of the House, This Bill, merely provides that a municipality which is non-home rule, who has previously by referendum created a Civil Service Commission, can now, by referendum, a front-door referendum, abolish the Civil Service Commission in their communities. This applies to smaller communities. It does not affect police officers or firefighters, but merely gives the community the right, should it so desire, to do so by way of public referendum to abolish their Civil Service Commission and replace it with a modern personnel system. I ask for its 'do passage'."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Johnson, is there any labor opposition to this legislation?"

Johnson, Tom: "Is there any what?"

Granberg: "Labor opposition, organized labor opposition?"

Johnson, Tom: "As far as I know, there is none."

Granberg: "And as far as you know, was there any opposition

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

whatsoever in committee?"

Johnson, Tom: "None that I'm aware of."

- Granberg: "And Amendment #1 was adopted to the Bill. Now, the
  City of Chicago is now taken out of the legislation?"
- Johnson, Tom: "That's right. This does not apply to the City of Chicago and does not apply to home rule communities."

Granberg: "Okay. Thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 538 pass?'

  All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

  The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there is 113 'ayes' and 0 'nays'. Senate Bill 538, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 638, Mr. Capparelli. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 638, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 638...Senate Bill 638, extends the district present statutory referendum bonding authority to 2001. The purpose, to provide a long term stable source of funding for major capitol projects to provide sewage treatment, pollution control service for the entire Cook County area. These bonds also reduce local property tax, because they leverage them against a 75% cost major project coming from the federal government."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Balthis."

- Balthis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's..."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 638 pass?'

  All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all

# 58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, will take the record. On this Motion, there are 99 'ayes', 10 'noes'. Senate Bill 638, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 706. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 706, a Bill for an Act to amend the Cook County Forest Preserve District Act. Third Reading of this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Capparelli. Mr. Lang, 707. Representative McAuliffe, for what reason do you rise?"
- McAuliffe: "Speaker, I'd like to have the vote by which Senate
  Bill 460 passed reconsidered."
- Speaker McPike: "All right. Did you understand the Gentleman's Motion? He wants to move to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 460 passed. All those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion... Any objections? Mr. Granberg. Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call will used. The Gentleman's Motion carries. The reconsidered. Senate Bill 460 is now on Third Reading. just leave it on Third Reading, Roger McAuliffe? Want to Leave it on Third? Okay. Mr. Hannig, 405. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 405, a Bill for an Act concerning emission allowances authorized under the Federal Clean Air Act. Third Reading of this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hannig."
- Hannig: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

  As amended yesterday, Senate Bill 405 is a shell Bill, and our only purpose with this proposal today is to send it back to the Senate, put it in Conference Committee and put it on the shelf so that when we come back in Veto Session in October or November, or if we come back in early January

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

and we need to have a vehicle available to deal with an agreed piece of legislation on coal, that this thing will be available and that's our only intent, and I'd ask for your 'yes' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Did the Gentleman say on coal?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Skinner: "C.O.A.L?"

Hannig: "Yes Sir. The...over here."

Hannig: "The Bill will become a vehicle. But no vehicle emissions."

Skinner: "We're not talking..."

Hannig: "And the purpose... The purpose of this Bill and the purpose of making it a vehicle, is to put it on the shelf, so to speak, so that if we need to address coal legislation (C.O.A.L.) coal legislation in November during the Veto Session or say in early January when we come back, that we will have a vehicle available to do that, and we would do that on an agreed Bill basis."

Skinner: "Does the General Assembly have your pledge that it will have nothing to do with vehicle emission testing?"

Hannig: "Well, my intention is that whatever we would agree to if we do at a later date, would be an agreed Bill. It would be something that we would probably be mandated by the federal government in the area of coal, or something that we in the area of coal would want to do in the state that we find to be favorable to our own industry, and was acceptable at the federal level."

Skinner: "I don't have any hang up, whatsoever, about coal, but

I've got a real hang up about vehicle emission testing

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

being extended to McHenry County; and if this is the vehicle that's gonna come back to extend vehicle emission testing to McHenry County, Kane County, Will County and Kendall County; there some folks on this floor that shouldn't be voting for the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 405 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, will take the record. On this Motion, there are 62 'ayes' and 51 'noes'. Senate Bill 405, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 180, Representative Currie. Mr. Kubik, 356. Jack Kubik? Jack Kubik? Mr. Kubik? Mr. Kubik, hello. Mr. Kubik? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 356, a Bill for an Act..."

Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. Mr. Hartke, 439. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 439, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 439 opens up the possibility for the Secretary of State to establish a CDL license on a partial basis for agriculture use and farm-related businesses. I would be more than happy to answer any questions you may have."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Rill 439 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there is 105 'ayes' and 0 'nays'.

Senate Bill 439, having received the Constitutional

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 479, Mr. Hartke. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 479, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 479 is a composite of eight Bills that came over from the Senate. The first composite is Senator Fawell's Bill dealing with transportation. Ιt authorizes the Department οf Transportation to enter into land use agreement for flood control projects; and the second part of the authorizes the Secretary of State Police to accept and expend assets during...or from drug dealings when there's a violation of the Cannabis Control Act, and it's Senator Hawkinsons' Bill: The third part of the Bill is Senator Klemm's Bill, and this Bill would authorize the department to issue a second set of special plates upon receiving the required applications of registration fees. The fourth part, Senator Hawkinsons', and this allows for the use of green oscillating lights on certain emergency vehicles. The fifth part is about what the heading says here; it allows for the smart car legislation, which was a piece of legislation that we had here in the House. The sixth part is a piece of legislation that reduces the sizes of to comply with federal regulations. The seventh is a piece legislation by Senator Burzynski, which allows for certain permits for agriculture, not to exceed 20% of their weights during special harvest periods designated by the Governor; and the last part is Senator Watson's Bill that allows for trucks that are carrying trusses and floor trusses, as well as roof trusses, to apply for a quarterly permit, as opposed to a daily permit per trip. I'd be

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

happy to answer any questions if I can if anyone would like."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Representative Hartke, this is an omnibus transportation
Bill. The only thing I don't understand about this Bill;
are we authorizing or mandating ambulances to use use green
oscillating lights?"

Hartke: "That... That's a very good question, Representative Black. The states attorney of Peoria county gave me a call. It seems that one set of ambulances in Peoria has green oscillating lights, and they seem to think that more visible and more acceptable in that area that people can recognize, rather than the oscillating red or and so they have the oscillating green lights on which is not legal, of course, in the State of Illinois and some officials have been ticketing the ambulance for having the green oscillating lights, and so I guess as an experiment they would like to do this, and it the only case that I know of, so yet Senator Hawkinson, who represents that area, said he would see if he could get some legislation to authorize that, and that's why it's in this Bill."

Black: "All right. So... Is the Vehicle Code silent on whether you can ... whether you give the right of way to somebody with a green oscillating light?"

Hartke: "I can't answer that question. I would imagine it is."

Black: "Well, I don't think there's any reason to beat this thing to death, but it seems like we change the color of lights down here about every year and it's gettin to the point

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

where I don't know who's behind me anymore, an ambulance or a state trooper, or the Majority Leader. I can't keep it straight. Thank you very much, Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Is that a question?"

Black: "And you did an outstanding job of answering that question. I don't know anymore now than I did when I asked you the the question. I mean that's why it's a good answer."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Representative Black."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Dart: "Representative, this no way changes the mechanism for forfeiture, how they deal with the money and how it's broken up right now? Is this..."

Hartke: "You're talking about the second part of the Bill?"

Dart: "Yeah. Yeah."

Hartke: "It's my understanding, and I'm not an attorney, but whenever there is a forfeiture because of some drug violation or so forth, a drug bust and the agencies take over assets that were obtained with drugs, the lead agency usually gets the majority of those assets. This does not change that."

Dart: "The formula's not changed at all?"

Hartke: "No. This just authorizes the acceptance by the Secretary of State Police and expenditure of those funds or assets that they seize."

Dart: "Okay, and is the criminal information authority and comptroller still unsure about this?"

Hartke: "I'm not aware whether they're for or against. They have not contacted me on this issue."

Dart: "Okay. Thank you."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Brady."

- Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would you please let the record reflect that I intended to vote 'yes' on 439."
- Speaker McPike: "All right. The record will so reflect. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 479 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 108 'aye's, 4 'noes'. Senate Bill 479, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Stephens, on 625. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 625, a Bill for an Act in relation transfer of real property. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Stephens."

- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 625 is an annual
  Bill by the department to transfer easements and various
  properties between state and private individuals and
  government entities. I'd be glad to answer any questions.
  Mr. Speaker..."
- Speaker McPike: "Yes. Now what?"
- Stephens: "We a.. What do you mean now what? This is the first what. The a... We're gonna have to take this back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment, and I'm not sure they've been printed and distributed."
- Speaker McPike: "It's not been printed. It has not been printed."
- Stephens: "Take it out of the record."
- Speaker McPike: "Financial Institutions, Second Reading.

  Representative Kotlarz, on Senate Bill 17. See her. She's

  not here. Mr. Flinn, 232. Monroe Flinn. Mr. Flinn?

  Where is Monroe Flinn? Mr. Lang, 383. Read the Bill, Mr.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 383, a Bill for an Act to amend the Security Deposit Interest Act. The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Flinn. Monroe Flinn?

Bugielski? Representative Bugielski? Senator (sic Representative) Walsh, 935. Read the Bill. Out of the
record? Out of the record. Health Care, Second Reading.

Mr. Levin, 118. Ellis Levin. The Bill's been read a
second time previously. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 411, Mr. Brunsvold. (Senate Bill) 411, Brunsvold. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 411."

Speaker McPike: "It's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Lopez."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Lopez. Lopez? Mr. Brunsvold. Mr. Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment that Representative Lopez has was an agreement with the City of Chicago to remove a provision that Commissioner 'Alverez' had a problem with.

I think it's an agreed Amendment. I would move to accept the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Dart."

Brunsvold: "Woops, wait a minute."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Dart."

Dart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was gonna handle this one for Mr. Lopez. Amendment #1 is technically incorrect, and I'd

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

ask that it be tabled."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Lopez."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. This corrected the technical changes that were in the initial Amendment. I believe this is the one that is the subject of the agreement that Representative Brunsvold's talking about."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Inquiry of the Chair. Has the Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Speaker McPike: "No."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. Mr. McGuire, 567. The Bill's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "No Floor Amendments? Third Reading. (Senate Bill) 607, Mr. McGuire. The Bill's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Rutherford, 677? Out of the record. Mr. Balanoff, 712? Representative Erwin, 861? It's been read a second time. Do you want it out of the record? It's on Second Reading. It's been a read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "No Amendments?"

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Energy and Environment, Second Reading. Representative Currie, 188? Currie? Mr. Lang, 240? Mr. Deering, 406? Mr. Deering, 406? It's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- Clerk McLennand: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hicks."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hicks. Mr. Deering."
- Deering: "I view this as a hostile Amendment, and I would move to table the Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hicks, did you wish to discuss the Amendment before me moves to table it? Mr. Hicks. Mr. Hicks, have you had a chance to discuss this with the Sponsor?"
- Hicks: "No Sir. I haven't been close enough to him today to be able to discuss this Amendment so far, but I'd be happy to explain it to the Body. Earlier in the year, we passed legislation increasing the co-technology development assistance fund to \$10 million. This would simply take and increase the amount that would be available for oil and gas in Illinois by \$2 million. I'd be happy to answer any questions. Ask for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "And on that Motion... On that Motion, no one
   rises in opposition? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2
   be adopted?' Mr. Hawkins, in opposition to the Amendment."
- Hawkins: "Yes, I am in opposition. This is a hostile Amendment to the legislation. Dramatically changes the legislation. Gives... Takes authority away from the co-development board, that has been in existence for over 12 years, and gives money and authority to oil and gas division. If oil and gas division wants legislation that should be in a separate Amendment, not Amendment to a coal related piece of legislation."
- Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Mr. Deering, I believe you wish to speak against this."
- Deering: "Yes, Speaker. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment, as I understand it, will take away some of the money that we earlier

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

appropriated or extended to coal development, coal technology. I understand the department is not even for this Amendment, and I stand in opposition to this Amendment view it as as hostile Amendment, ask that we vote this down."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hicks, to close."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Let's understand very clearly what we're doing here. So everybody... Nobody doesn't understand exactly. What we've done this year was; currently under current law, \$5 million worth of authority for the co-technology department. There is a Bill available that was out there that we passed earlier, that I believe, Representative Woolard, add that increased that from \$5 million to \$10 million in co-technology. Now I think that's a great Bill, think that's a good concept. But, with my Amendment, we're simply saying that also oil and gas technology in the State of Illinois, and instead of important increasing the amount of authority for the coal development technology, it would simply go to \$8 million, which is still a 60% increase and that \$2 million then would go to oil and gas technology. So, is...are we hurting coal here? No, we're not, we're increasing coal from \$5 million to \$8 million and we're simply saying that oil and gas is also important in Illinois, and oil and gas ought to share that to the tune of \$2 million. So, 60% of the money goes to coal, 40% of the money goes to oil and gas. important for both industries, and I'd urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'.

Have all voted? Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I understand that the Sponsor

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

is well intended in trying to improve the access to funds for oil and gas technology. Our objection here is just to the particular fund for coal that struggling in lieu of the federal clean air Act. So, those of you who are voting green, we want to help our colleague down south too with the oil and gas, but it's not the appropriate place. So, a 'no' vote's appropriate."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Representative Deering."

Deering: "Speaker..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Deering, you spoke in debate. So, for what reason do you rise now?"

Deering: "A question of the Chair?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Deering: "Do I have the opportunity to pull this Bill out of the record before you take the record?"

Speaker McPike: "No. Not once we opened the roll call you can't.

But, we have a tie vote right now. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Deering, did you wish to take this out of the record? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 28 'ayes' and 51 'noes', and Amendment #2 is defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments?"

"Third Reading. All right, we're gonna go Speaker McPike: through Third Readings again, in case we missed someone. Ag and Conservation, Third Reading. (Senate Bill) 951, Mr. Hicks, 951. Do you want to call this? Hicks. Education, Third Reading. Senate Bill 132, Representative Deuchler? Is she here? Representative Deuchler? I'm sorry, 132 is DeJaegher, I'm sorry. Mr. DeJaegher here? Bill? DeJaegher? Wanta call this All right. Representative Brunsvold, 389? Joel Brunsvold? Joel?

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Education, Third Reading, do you want to do this? Representative Moffitt, 594? Moffitt, 594? Out of the record? Do you want to call this? It's your choice. No, out of the record. Representative Gash, on 160? Mr. Novak. Mr. Novak, 276? Okay, those are on a... No, that's on Special Call, Mr. Novak, I'm sorry. That's on the agreed list. Mr. Novak."

- Novak: "Yes Speaker, with the leave of the Body, I would like to take this Bill back to Second Reading and hold it for purposes of an Amendment that's gonna be drafted."
- Speaker McPike: "All right. The Gentleman asks leave to return it to Second Reading. Leave is granted. The Bill's on second. Let's see, Representative Krause, on 258? Currie, 367? Representative Currie? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 367, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Miss Currie."

- Currie: "Thank you Speaker and Members of the House. This is an initiative of the Citizens Council on Women, and the point of it is, is to provide for pregnant teenagers in the care of the Department of Public Aid Case Management Services.

  There already is a model demonstration case management program. This would extend those services to pregnant teens statewide. I know of no opposition, and I would be happy to answer your questions and would appreciate your support for Senate Bill 367."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 367 pass?'

  All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there is 110 'ayes', 0 'nays'.

  Senate Bill 367, received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Tenhouse, on 776. Read the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 776, a Bill for an Act concerning medical services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Tenhouse."

Tenhouse: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate 776 does two things. First of all, it amends the Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act and deletes existing language requiring the Department of Public Health to annually complete four inspections of any licensed ambulatory surgical treatment center. It provides that no settlement secured by...and then the second part involves the Hospital Lien Act. It provides that no settlement secured by or on behalf of an injured person shall be satisfied without first giving the hospital that provided medical care written notice of the agreement and reasonable opportunity to satisfy its lien. I'd ask for favorable consideration."

Speaker McPike: "On that, Mr. Granberg."

Granberg: "Representative Tenhouse, two questions, Sir? First of all, I think you said the first provision in the Bill deletes the requirement that the department make inspections of any licensed ambulatory surgical treatment center? It deletes that requirement?"

Tenhouse: "It deletes the requirement of four inspections. It still requires inspections, but the inspections are 'as needed by the department.' Thank you for that clarification."

Granberg: "Instead during the four inspections per vear it would be up to the department to make the inspections as they deemed appropriate?"

Tenhouse: "As they deemed necessary, that is correct."

Granberg: "Okay, and the second provision of the Bill with regard

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- to liens? So, if I understand it correctly, no one could be paid unless the hospital lien was first satisfied."
- Tenhouse: "My understanding, and again not being an attorney, but the understanding...way its been explained to me is the fact that what's happening in some cases is that in terms of a settlement the individual might be paid, and the hospital lien would not be paid off, and this is just so they'll be notified so that the hospital would receive payment as part of that settlement of the lien."
- Granberg: "So, I just want to understand it, Representative. So, if a person incurs a debt to a hospital, that hospital will have an automatic lien on any recovery that person might have and the hospital would have to be...that lien would have to be satisfied first before any other person could be paid?"
- Tenhouse: "They would have to be... That is my understanding, basically."
- Granberg: "Do you know, Representative, if the Illinois State Bar
  Association or the Illinois Trial Lawyers Association or
  any of those groups, do they have a position?"
- Tenhouse: "They have not informed me of any opposition of the Bill."
- Granberg: Okay. Did they have any witness slips in during committee?"
- Tenhouse: "No, they did not. In fact, this Bill passed out 53 0 in the Senate and it was passed out unanimously out of Judiciary Committee."
- Granberg: "Well, that's probably because of your sponsorship that it did. But, was there any registered opposition to the Bill, Representative?"
- Tenhouse: "Not to my knowledge. The Illinois Hospital
  Association supports the Bill."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Granberg: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 776 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there is 107 'ayes', 2 'noes'.

Senate Bill 776, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed? Representative Salvi, on 964. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 964, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Health Finance Reform Act. Third Reading the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Salvi."

Salvi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd move to bring this back to Second for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "You know the Amendment's not printed?"

Salvi: "It's not printed? I think it is. It should be."

Speaker McPike: "How many Amendments are filed to this Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Just Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #3. Has it been printed?"

Clerk McLennand: "No it has..."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Clerk McLennand: "Yes. It has been..."

Speaker McPike: "Yes. It's been printed. All right. Does..."

Salvi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to take this out of the record."

Speaker McPike: "All right. Senate Bill 756, Mr. Olson. Out of the record. Senate Bill 499 Pead the Bill, Mr. Clerk.

Never mind. This Bill is on the agreed list.

Representative Brady, on 142? Representative Erwin, 179?

Judy Erwin? Representative Erwin, 179? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 179, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Erwin."

Speaker, Members of the House. Erwin: "Thank you, Mr. Bill 179 passed the Senate unanimously, and it seeks to address an issue in the Condominium Act dealing with the fact that we do not quarantee secret ballots election of condominium board officials. So. that in affect in some situations, we find that people go back during a board election and are able to identify exactly how someone voted. This allows for rules to be promulgated so that we will not have any fraudulent situations, that it will guarantee a secret ballot in a condominium board election. It is supported by the Chicago Bar Association, has been reviewed by the condominium law sub-committee of the Chicago Bar Association and I urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Levin: "There is opposition."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Levin rises in opposition."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What this Bill does, and I think everybody knows I represent both associations and unit owners. This opens up the possibility, unless this Bill is amended, of massive vote fraud in condominium elections. Because what, I think the Sponsor does not appreciate. Is that a condominium is a corporation, and being a corporation, you have the opportunity to vote by proxy. And so you can end up in an election with two or three proxies attached to ballots, and

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

unless you can identify which ballots go with which you're qonna have problems. Ī personally have...am aware of at least two situations where we would have had serious fraud if there was not the ability to identify the unit in the condominium that went with the Let me simply describe the situations individual ballot. Ι have no problem with trying to confidentiality, but there needs to be a way, and this Bill denies that way, in the event somebody runs for a condominium board or there is a vote in terms of special assessments, there needs to be a way to verify the results of the election, to go to the actual ballots, and see people voted. I was involved in a situation where a woman lost the election by one vote the day of the election, when they did a recount the following day, she had won by one vote. Well, it turns out, that somebody had stuck in an extra ballot, and she had been fraudulently elected, and if there had not been the opportunity to take that ballot, identify the unit, go back to the proxy holder who controlled that unit find out that no such ballot..."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 179 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This Motion, there's 108 'ayes', 4 'noes'. Senate Bill 179, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Levin, on 181. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk "

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 181, a Bill for an Act to amend the Clerks of Courts Acts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Levin. Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

House. Senate Bill 181 amends the Clerk of the Courts Act to provide that when cases are remanded to the circuit court, the Clerk shall file the remanding order using either the original number or new number. Now, only the original number is used. It passed the Senate without a dissenting vote, and I'd be happy to answer any questions, and I don't think there's any opposition; just ask for your support."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Dart.

Dart: "Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Dart: "Why are we doing this?"

Levin: "Pardon me?"

Dart: "Why are we doing this?"

Levin: "Because you could end...otherwise you could just end up with numbers that go back...you know can go back ten, 15, 20 years if a case comes back on a remand."

Dart: "But, isn't it easier to track something if there's one..."

Levin: "Pardon?"

Dart: "Isn't it easier to track something if there's one consistent number that you can keep referring back to?"

Levin: "Yes, it is. But, if you for example, if you go to the Clerk's Office, frequently they only keep files on Bills for...that have numbers within the last year or two. So, you lose your ability to have access to court files, judges want to get rid of cases that are old, even though the cases may have come back on remand."

Dart: "But, how will that change just by putting a different number on it?"

Levin: "If you put a new number on it, then it would, you know, the court record would be available, whereas now it may not be available. It's, you know, it's not a big deal one way

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

or the other."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 181 pass?'
  All those in favor vote vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'.
  Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will
  take the record. On this Motion there are 109 'ayes' and 0
  'nays'. Senate Bill 181, having received a Constitutional
  Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Erwin,
  273. Out of the record. Mr. Hoffman, 289? Read the Bill,
  Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 289, a Bill for an Act..."
- Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. Representative Erwin, 442?
  Frias, 576? Santiago, 614? Mr. Santiago, 614? State
  Operations, Third Reading. Senate Bill 45, Mr. McAuliffe?
  Roger McAuliffe? Did he leave? Read the Bill? Yeah, read
  the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 45, a Bill for an Act in relation to neighborhood security patrol and home equity assurance programs. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, I was wonderin'... Speaker Madigan wanted to amend this Bill. Is the Amendment on it or off it? I'll take it out of the record and ask his people."
- Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. How about your next Bill, 542? Mr. McAuliffe, 542? Take this out, also? Senate Bill 598. It's been read a second time. Any Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Kaszak."
- Speaker McPike: "All right. The Chair has received a request that lobbyist please remove themselves from the House floor. This is the second time it's been requested today. So, if there's any lobbyists on the House floor, please leave. Mr. Clerk, any Amendments?"

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Kaszak."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kaszak."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to go on the record as Kaszak: requesting a roll call on this Amendment. This Amendment is...it would require financial...state chartered financial institutions and depositories of state moneys to disclose annually the number and aggregate dollar value of the small business loans that they extend by census track. census track is an important issue. The purpose of this Amendment is to identify lending gaps for small businesses. This is particularly appropriate for the Branch Banking Bill. Community banks have had a long history of extending small locally owned businesses, and important that when this Bill passes, that it have the safequards in it to monitor the extent to which small businesses may or may not be affected by this Branch Banking Bill. Secondly, it's important because we must understand the credit needs of small businesses, because small businesses are key to job growth in Illinois. supporters of this Bill include: The Urban League, Can Chicago Association of Neighborhood Development Organizations, 'NORBICK', (the North Area Business and Industrial Council), the Woodstock Institute, Industrial Council of Northwest Chicago, Vincent Lane, Chairman of the Chicago Housing Authority Co-Sponsors that we had when this Bill was presented before the Financial Institutions Committee. In response to this Bill, you will probably hear statements: 'Well, we have to disclose this information', or banks have to disclose this information any way, if in response to federal call reports starting June 30th. This is not accurate. There is

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

requirement at the federal level to disclose anything in terms of a geographic understanding of where small business loans are being made. Many times you will hear in response to this Amendment that this in burdensome, and if the banks are collecting this information anyway, it can't be that burdensome, and they must have the zip code of the institutions that they are providing the information to, or the loans to. So, I...we believe that this is an important Amendment to people who are interested in small businesses, and I would ask for a favorable roll call."

Speaker McPike: "All right. In opposition. Who wants to speak in opposition? Representative Deuchler? All right."

Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. The language in this Amendment was contained in House Bill 2110. This Bill was heard in Financial Institutions and it was defeated on a 6 to 12 negative vote. I believe that it failed because there is wide- spread opposition on the part of the banking community for additional reporting requirements, and it also places unfair reporting requirements on state-chartered institutions. This is a bad Amendment and should be defeated."

Speaker McPike: "Do you rise in opposition or support? Opposition, all right. In support, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. I don't think there's a single person in this chamber who has not been out on the campaign trail, has not talked to constituents about the critical importance of helping small businesses, the way to create new jobs in the State of Illinois. The problem with the problem of the lack of creation of small businesses is that you can't solve the problem if you can't define what the problem is. The point

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

of this Amendment, the point of this Amendment is to see to it that we get the information we need in order to discover and decide whether there are ways that we can help the commercial small businesses development of individual home districts in every community across the State of Illinois. This Amendment, if adopted, will us the data base, will give us the information we need in order to find out if there is a problem in commercial lending; and if there is a problem, how we can best respond to it. This Amendment is about disclosure only. There is nothing onerous, restrictive or anti-competitive about it. you mean it, if you meant it when you said it that you care about the development of small businesses, the most important step you can take to meet that commitment to your voters is to support Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 598."

- Speaker McPike: "All right. Representative Capparelli,
  Representative Santiago and Representative Wennlund are
  against the Amendment, and can explain their vote.
  Representative Kaszak, to close."
- Kaszak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to echo the comments made by Representative Currie. We cannot design programs that are effective in helping small businesses in obtaining the credit that they need, until we understand where those credit gaps are. This is an attempt to identify where those credit gaps are and to assure that small businesses are getting the kinds of loans that they need to grow and expand. I ask for its favorable support."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye; opposed vote 'no'.

  Representative Santiago, to explain his vote."
- Santiago: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. Although I agree with the concept of the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Amendment, I, as the vice-chair of the Financial Institution Committee, will speak against the Amendment because we gave this Bill a fair hearing in the committee, and the committee decided that this was not the time...the proper time for this Bill to pass. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's simply not true that this is gonna add any burden to the banks. They don't wanna do it, but they already have to report this information to the federal government. All they have to do with this Bill is tell us where they're making the loans. I think it's pretty embarrassing that there aren't more green votes up there to help small businesses get the information that they need. We should all be voting green."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hawkins."

Hawkins: "Yes. I just want to explain that I may have a conflict of interest. I'm a boarder...I'm a member of a board of directors of a bank, but I'm gonna vote my conscious, and I'm gonna vote 'aye', or vote 'no'."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The

Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 16

'ayes' and 91 'noes', and Amendment #1 is defeated.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Walsh."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to table Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Granberg."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Speaker McPike: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #3 is a technical Amendment. Our tech review staff found that, I'm sure it was inadvertent, that on page 8, line 12 of the Bill; the Bill eliminates current law which provides for general safety provisions regarding drive-through..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Granberg."

Granberg: "For drive-through facilities. The eliminated provision states that a drive-through facility shall be a sufficient size for reasonable ingress and egress. The Bill deletes that. Without this amendatory language, we would have vehicles parked on streets going out, because there would be no ingress and egress. It is a technical Amendment, and I'd be more than happy to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a hostile Amendment to a Bill that we want clean. We want it to go out the way it is. This used to be in the charters of the state law. They took it out 'cause it wasn't necessary. I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Amendment, the reason the Illinois Bankers strongly opposes this, is it appears that what it's really doing is it's gonna send it back to the Senate and send it into conference. It's important that this Bill go out in its original condition, and I would urge a 'no' vote on this side of the aisle and on the other side of the aisle, and I ask for a roll call on the Amendment, Mr. Spéaker."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. 'noes' have it. The Amendment's defeated. Did you want a roll call, Representative Deuchler? I'm sorry. Ιf you insist. Representative Deuchler, is hollering at the chair. Do you want a roll call? Mr. Granberg. Mr. Granberg. Yes, all right. Representative Deuchler has asked for a roll call and the Chair did not give it. So, the questions is... The question is, on Amendment #3, correcting what the Chair just said that the Amendment was defeated, correcting that, the question now is on a roll call request, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Olson."

Olson: "If you're from a downstate rural small community and your local bank has been in favor of the community bank version of the Bill, then you want to vote 'yes' on this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I did not want to offer this Amendment initially because I did not want to take the position because I'm in favor of small banks. But, it's been my position all Session, as has been with Representative Black, that we put technical Amendments on to cleanup Bills. We've been doing all Session, all Session. This is a technical Amendment. I've done it all Session. I will continue do it because I think that's part of my responsibility. work with our tech review staff. This is a technical Amendment. It allows for ingress and egress because the Bill deleted that. If that's not what we want to do, that's up to the Body, but this is a technical Amendment. So, I'd ask for your reconsideration."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Walsh."
- Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and I rise in support of the Gentleman's Amendment. As he pointed out, this is just a technical Amendment, and as I understand it, it concerns an area of public safety. I would urge 'yes' votes on this. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 24 'ayes' and 84 'noes', and Amendment #3 is defeated. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Capparelli, do you wish to call the Bill? Do you wish to call the Bill? Mr. Capparelli, do you wish to call the Bill? Is that a 'yes' or a 'no'? It's a 'yes'. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 598, a Bill for an Act concerning banking facilities. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Capparelli."
- Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 598 gives state banks in Illinois the same branching rights that all 330 national banks in Illinois enjoy today. It grants branch banking parity between state and national banks without reimposing branching restrictions on 330 national banks in Illinois and savings and loans. I would ask for a favorable roll call."
- Speaker McPike: "On a 'do pass' Motion...on a 'do pass' Motion, does anyone stand in opposition? Representative Walsh."
- Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just have an inquiry of the Chair. How many votes are needed to pass this Bill?"
- Speaker McPike: "Three-fifths of those voting or 60, whichever is more, so..."
- Walsh: "I rise... I rise in opposition of this Bill. What this

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Bill would do, is it would tell 700 community banks the day that this is signed that they no longer have any type of...any type of restrictions on their home office protection. When the Illinois bankers Bill was passed, they had three years to at least get everything in order, and I think we should at least give the community bankers a little bit of time in order for them to prepare. We're not only going to be affecting the community bankers, but we're also affecting the depositors, the shareholders and the employees of these banks. So, I urge you to seriously consider this Bill and I would ask for a 'no' vote."

- Speaker McPike: "All right. Representative Churchill, to close."

  Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill that I think has not gone unescaped anyone's attention. Everybody knows what the issue is, and it's a fine Bill; it should pass. Please vote 'aye'."
- Speaker McPike: "All right. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Representative Lawfer."
- Lawfer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to this Bill, I think that it is a change, a substantial change; however, I do feel that I have a conflict of interest. I am voting 'present'."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 598 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'.

  Representative Hawkins."
- Hawkins: "I am on the board of directors of a bank; and, therefore, I have a potential conflict of interest.

  However, I'm going to vote my conscience, and I'm voting 'yes'."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Representative Biggins."
- Biggins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also serve on a board of

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

directors of a community bank, but I am voting 'yes' for the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 98 'ayes' and 15 'noes'. Senate Bill 598, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Well, it's against the House rules to have demonstrations from the balcony, so you're going to be escorted off...out of the balcony. Representative Walsh, on... Representative Bugielski, 550. Bugielski. The Bill's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Bugielski."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

House...Floor Amendment #1 amends the Illinois Financial

Development Act; makes various technical changes to the Act

and the Employee Ownership Assistance Act. One of the big

things that it would change is that it amends the Employee

Ownership Act by expanding the Act scope to include other

types of businesses and if an owner...when ownership loans

are made, they must be paid in full if the organization

should leave the state. They must repay the loan in full

before they leave, and I ask the adoption of Floor

Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Wennlund, on the Motion."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Wennlund: "Representative Bugielski, is the Illinois Development Finance Authority in favor of this? I notice it deletes everything and becomes the Bill."

Bugielski: "This is their Amendment."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Wennlund: "Thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further

Amendments?"

- Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day. Read the Bills." Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Day. Read the Bills."
- Clerk McLennand: "Consent Calendar, Third Reading. Senate Bill 36, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Senate Bill 254. a Bill for an Act authorizing municipalities to remove and dispose of abandoned mobile Senate Bill 453, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code; and Senate Bill 596, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act of 1975. Reading of these Bills."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall these Bills pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 112 'ayes' and 0 'nays'; and these Bills on the Consent Calendar, Third Reading...these Bills, having received the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed... Mr. Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Due to an inadvertent vote on my switch on Senate Bill 598, I'd like the record to reflect that I would have voted 'yes' on that Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "All right. The record will so reflect."
- Speaker Currie: "Representative Currie, in the Chair. We're going now to the Agreed List #1, and we're going to move those Bills that are still on Second Reading to Third. The First...Second Reading is Senate Bill 124, Representative

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- Wojcik. Are we ready to move that to Third? Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk McLennand: "The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Amendments."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 398, Representative Granberg. Take this Bill off the Agreed List. Okay. Clerk, would you take this Bill off the Agreed List? Senate Bill 567, Representative... Did we...did we pass this Bill, Clerk? Senate Bill 567? All right. (Senate Bill) 567 has already been moved to Third. (Senate Bill) 641, Senate Bill 641, Representative Schoenberg. Representative Schoenberg. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk McLennand: "The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. For what reason does Representative Black rise?"
- Black: "Inquiry of the Chair, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Currie: "State your inquiry."
- Black: "Are you on the Order of Business? Are you going down the Agreed Bill List?"
- Speaker Currie: "That's what the Chair announced, Representative."
- Black: "Well, Madam Speaker, in all due respect to you, if you come out here and sit in my chair, you couldn't hear what the Chair said if your life depended on it."
- Speaker Currie: "But, Representative, the Chair spoke..."
- Black: "It.wer a legitimate question of the Chair. That's all I asked."
- Speaker Currie: "And the Chair answered."
- Black: "In a very snippy manner, I might add."
- Speaker Currie: "I don't believe that the Chair is ever to be

- 58th Legislative Day May 12, 1993 accused of being snippy, Representative Black."
- Black: "Well, you've just been accused of being that by me, I'll tell you that right now."
- Currie: "So...all Speaker right. We are continuing...Representative Black, we are continuing...continuing on this Agreed Bill List. Representative McAfee, on Senate Bill 778. Representative McAfee. What is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk McLennand: "The Bill's been read a second time previously.

  No Amendments."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. Representative Flinn, on Senate
  Bill 891. Representative Flinn. What is the status of the
  Bill, Clerk?"
- Clerk McLennand: "The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Amendments."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. And Senate Bill 861,

  Representative Erwin. Has that Bill moved to Third?"
- Clerk McLennand: "The Bill's been moved to Third."
- Speaker Currie: "That Bill has been moved to Third. Thank you.

  Back to the Regular Special Call Calendar, Agriculture and
  Conservation, Second Reading, Representative Brunsvold,
  Senate Bill 841. Representative Brunsvold? Are you ready
  to move that Bill? Representative Brunsvold. Out of the
  record. Second Reading, Constitutional Officers,
  Representative Parcells. Clerk, read the Bill. Oh, this
  is...I'm sorry. Senate Bill 960. What is the status of
  the Bill?"
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 960. The Bill's been read a second time previously. No Amendments."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. The Order of Consumer Protection, Second Reading, Senate Bill 139, Representative Pankau. Out of the record. Education, Second Reading.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Senate Bill 127, Representative Lang, and what is the... Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 127, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. Representative Lang, do you want to call this Bill? Continuing on the Special Order of Call. Education. Second Reading, Senate Bill 730. Representative Brunsvold. Representative Brunsvold. Out of the record. On the Special Order of Call, Elections and State Government, Second Reading, Representative Parke, Senate Bill 865. Are you ready to move on that Bill? of the record. On the Order of Environment and Energy, Second Reading, Senate Bill 240, Representative Lang. Representative Lang. Out of the record. Institutions, Second Reading. Representative Kotlarz, are you ready to move on Senate Bill 17? Representative Kotlarz. Out of the record. Representative Flinn, Senate Bill 232. Out of the record. Representative Flinn, out of the record. Senate Bills Second Reading, Health Care and Human Services, Special Order of Call, Representative Rutherford, on Senate Bill 677. Representative Rutherford. Out of the record. Representative Balanoff, Senate Bill 712. Out of the record. Law. Second Reading, Special Order of Call, Senate Bill 25, Representative Dart. Representative Dart. Has a fiscal note been filed on this Bill, Clerk?"

Clerk McLennand: "No, it has not been filed."

Speaker Currie: "Let's move then, out of the record and let's move to Senate Bill 231, Representative Cross.

Representative Cross. Out of the record. Representative Lindner, on Senate Bill 246. Representative Lindner. Out

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

of the record. Representative Parcells on Senate Bill 425. Representative Parcells. Senate Bill 425. Out of the record. Representative Turner, Senate Bill 433. Out of the record. Representative Mautino, Senate Bill 560. (Senate Bill) 560, Representative Mautino. Out of the record. Representative Maureen Murphy, on Senate Bill 650. (Senate Bill) 650, and what is the status of the Bill, Clerk?"

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 650 has been read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Murphy. Maureen Murphy."

Speaker Currie: "Representative Murphy."

Murphy, M: "Yes, Speaker. Has the Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Currie: "Can the Clerk tell me whether...whether the Amendment has been printed and distributed?"

Clerk McLennand: "No, it has not."

Speaker Currie: "Out of the record. Go back for a moment to Financial Institutions, Second Reading, Representative Kotlarz, on Senate Bill 17. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 17 has been read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Kotlarz."

Speaker Currie: "Representative Kotlarz."

Kotlarz: "I ask that Floor Amendment #1 be adopted."

Speaker Currie: "Representative Kotlarz moves adoption of Amendment #1...House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 17; and, on that Motion, is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is,...all in favor of the adoption of the Amendment, signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

further Amendments?"

- Clerk McLennand: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. Returning to the Special Order of Call Law, Second Reading, Representative Saviano, Senate Bill 678. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 678 has been read a second time previously. No Amendments."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 786, Representative Gash. Out of the record. Representative Schakowsky, Senate Bill 869. Representative Schakowsky. Out of the record. Out of the record on Senate Bill 902. Representative Brunsvold, on Senate Bill 1076. Out of the record. Representative Flowers, on Senate Bill 1078. Representative Flowers. Clerk, what is the status of that Bill?"
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 1078 has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Walsh."
- Speaker Currie: "The Sponsor asks that the Bill be taken out of the record. Local Government, Special Order of Call, Senate Bills on Second Reading, Senate Bill 192, Representative Hoffman. Representative Hoffman. Out of the record. Representative Cowlishaw, Senate Bill 371. Representative Cowlishaw. Representative Cowlishaw, Senate Bill 371. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 371 has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Currie: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk McLennand: "No Amendments."

Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. Representative Brunsvold, Senate Bill 531. Representative Brunsvold. Out of the record. Representative Deering, Senate Bill 568. Representative

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- Deering. Out of the record. Professional Regulations, Special Order of Call, Second Reading, Senate Bill 324, Representative Wojcik. Did we just move this one? I think we did. Clerk, did we just move 324? Representative Wojcik. 324."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 324 has been read a second time previously. No Amendments."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. Public Utilities, Second Reading, Representative Lou Jones, on Senate Bill 627. (Senate Bill) 627. What is the status of the Bill, Clerk?"
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 627 has been read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Shirley Jones."
- Speaker Currie: "Representative Jones. Representative Shirley Jones. Representative Lou Jones, what is your pleasure?"
- Jones, L: "Maybe you should take that out of the record because

  Representative Jones is going to withdraw that Amendment,

  but she's off the floor right now."
- Speaker Currie: "We'll try to get back to it. Out of the record. Senate Bill 770, Shirley Jones, not on the floor, out of the record. Special Order of Call, Roads Transportation, Representative Parke, are you ready to move on Senate Bill 536? Representative Parke? Out of record. Special Order of Call, State Operations, Second Reading, Representative Gash, Senate Bill Representative Gash. Out of the record. Turning to the Special Order of Call, Second Readings, Law, Representative Lindner, on Senate Bill 246. What is the status of Bill, Clerk?"
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 246 has been read a second time previously. No Amendments."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. Do you wish to go ahead and call

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

the Bill, Representative? Move to Third Readings, second time around. Representative Black. Representative Black. We are going to Third Readings, Representative Black. I just want to make sure Representative Black understands where we are and what we are doing. Representative Black, would you nod your head if you heard and understood me? Yes, he did. Representative Black."

Black: "Madam Speaker, with no sarcasm in my voice, I heard you, and I appreciate that, and I wasn't being sarcastic the last time. It is hard to hear out here when you're surrounded by liaisons, lobbyists and all the other people that sometimes hang around the floor. I wasn't meaning to be sarcastic to you. I thought your answer to me was sarcastic, quite frankly."

Speaker Currie: "Certainly not. You misunderstood..."

Black: "I'm sure I misunderstood you, yes."

Speaker Currie: "Third Readings, the first Order is a Order of Call, Agriculture and Conservation, Representative Hicks, are you ready to go on Senate Bill 951? Senate Bill Out of the record. Let's try Constitutional 951 Officers, Third Readings. Let's try Representative Representative Deering, on Senate Bill 579. Deering. for this Bill, Representative? you ready Out of Third Reading, Education. Representative Lang. Third Reading. Representative Brunsvold, are you ready on Senate Bill 389? Representative Brunsvold. Out of the Representative Moffitt, on Senate Bill record. Representative Moffitt. Are you ready with this Bill? Out of the record. Special Order of Call, Senate Bills, Elections and State Government. Third Reading. Representative Gash, Senate Bill 160. Out of the record. Special Order of Call, Health Care and Human Services.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Representative Krause, Senate Bill 258. Out of the record. Call, Special Order οf Insurance, Third Representative Olson on Senate Bill 756. Representative Olson. Representative Olson. Out of the record. Third Readings. Let us tread through this one again. Representative Erwin on Senate Bill 273. Representative Out of the record. Representative Hoffman, Senate Bill 289. Representative Hoffman. Out of the record. Representative Dart, are you ready on Senate Bill 325? Out of the record. Representative Erwin, Senate Bill 442. Out of the record. Representative Frias, how about Senate Bill 576? Out of the record. Representative Santiago, Senate Bill 614. Representative Santiago. Out of the record. Representative Zickus, Out of on.., the record. Representative McAuliffe, Senate Bill 714. Representative Out of the record. Representative Zickus, for McAuliffe. what reason do you rise?"

Zickus: "You just took my Bill out of the record."

Speaker Currie: "It was the Chair's understanding that there was an Amendment filed that is still being looked at."

Zickus: "Okay."

Speaker Currie: "On the other Bill you had extended the deadline."

Zickus: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Currie: "You're welcome. Representative Phelan, on Senate Bill 1139. Representative Phelan. Out of the record. Representative Mulligan, on Senate Bill 899. Was that Bill amended today? Yes. Yes. The Chair believes that Bill was amended. Was that amended this morning? Representative Mulligan. Would you turn Representative Mulligan... Thank you."

Mulligan: "Yes, it was, but it hasn't been distributed yet, I

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- don't think."
- Speaker Currie: "Okay, so we'll come back to it when it has been.

  Representative Ryder, Senate Bill 905. Representative Ryder. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 905, a Bill for an Act in relation to penalties. Third Reading of this Bill."
- Speaker Currie: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you. This Bill increases the penalty by a minimum fine of \$500 or 50 hours of community service, and preferably at an alcohol abuse prevention program if one is available, for the use of fraudulent identification cards.

  I would move passage of the Bill."
- Speaker Currie: "Representative Ryder has moved passage of Senate
  Bill 905. On that Motion, is there any discussion?
  Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
  Wait a minute. Representative Dart, on the Motion."
- Dart: "Just a real quick question, will the Sponsor yield? The penalty itself is sustained the same, but all you're doing is saying that in addition to the normal penalty that goes along with these offenses that the court must impose either a \$500 fine or community service?"
- Speaker Currie: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Speaker. The...the penalties are actually increased by making the \$500 a minimum, but offering the alternative of the 50 hours of community time and only setting up a preference for alcohol prevention or abuse if it's available. Some communities don't have it available, Representative."
- Dart: "But, the underlying offense, whether it's a Class A misdemeanor or whatever type of class, that is not being touched, correct?"
- Ryder: "No. No. We're not touching the underlying portion.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Thank you."

Speaker Currie: "Further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On... Dunn, 'aye'. Dunn, 'aye'. On Senate Bill 905, 108 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no'; this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill is...the next Bill, Representative Ryder seems to be on a roll, Senate Bill 906. Are you ready with that Bill? Out of the record. (Senate Bill) 907. Representative Ryder, are you ready for that Bill? Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 907, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Currie: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. This amends the Illinois Vehicle Code and changes provisions governing implied consent for chemical tests when a driver's been involved in a personal injury or a fatal motor vehicle accident. The threshold is the arrest, and it authorizes the kinds of tests that are necessary. There was no controversy in committee, but I'm glad to answer any questions should there be any."

Speaker Currie: "Representative Ryder moves 'do pass' on Senate Bill 907; and, on that Motion, Representative Dart."

Dart: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Ryder: "Of course."

Speaker Currie: "He will."

Dart: "Just a couple quick questions. I understand that this is in response to the King versus Ryan case."

Ryder: "Yes. That's correct."

Dart: "In certain respects...my reading of this, it looks as if

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

there's a couple things. I just glanced at the King case here, and apparently there's a couple things that are not in direct response to the case that I just have some regarding. The one in particular is...the...we're removing the ability of the...basically the prosecutor to utilize these tests in a criminal proceeding. I don't see the King case saying that we must do that. I'm wondering why are we doing that. In these instances where there is an accident and they use a blood alcohol test of some type, that we're removing provision which would allow them to use it in a criminal proceeding. Why are we doing that?"

Ryder: "Representative, if your reading of the case would indicate that this provision of the law is not recommended in that case, I certainly won't argue with that. It is this particular provision is in response to that case, but I believe it also carries with it recommendations from the advisory task force concerning driving under the influence which advises the Secretary of State. I think... I'm not sure that I agree with your reading, but assuming that your reading is correct of what's involved within this Bill, I would suggest that is done so that the...the ability to take the test is more readily available if they know that it's not going to be used in a prosecution."

Dart: "Yeah, but see my problem, and I apologize. I just read the case today, and in this section here when I was lookin' at the Bill, they deleted the section which dealt with allowing the...basically what would be the prosecutor to use the breathalyzer test in the case itself, the criminal case. That was what was in the law. He could use that. Under this now, that provision's being deleted, and I don't see it being put back in. So what I see possibly

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

happening...I could be misreading this, but the way I'm looking at it, it looks as if an individual is involved with a car accident, submits to the blood alcohol test, whether its being drawn by blood or machine, the state cannot later use that test in the criminal proceeding."

Ryder: "Now, I understand the extent of your question, and I apologize if my previous remark misled. That is not the intention of the Bill. That is not my reading of the Bill. The prosecutor will still have the same tools which include the ability to use the results of the test in a criminal prosecution. The arrest is the triggering mechanism. It's not as if a test can be given to anyone, but it has to be someone that's involved in this accident and to whom a ticket has been issued."

Dart: "Yeah, and in that part I see in that...that responds to the case and that part looked fine. The part that worried me was just the part that was deleted on page 12, line 23 and below, and I was just wondering if somewhere else it...that's a provision which said the test results can be used in a civil or a criminal case. I'm just wondering if we put it back in somewhere where it says that you can still use these in a criminal case 'cause I understand where you're coming from on this, and I agree with it, but I'm just worried that we're removing a provision so that a defense attorney can go into court now and file a motion saying that the prosecutor is barred from using the test results in the criminal case because that provision has been removed from the statute."

Ryder: "Representative, I understand the point that you're making. I would not want that to occur either..."

Dart: "Yeah..."

Ryder: "...and that's clearly not the intention of the Sponsor.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

I believe that the concern that you have is covered and that language is within the law..."

Dart: "In another section somewhere?"

Ryder: "In another section, I believe..."

Dart: "Well, if that's the case, that's fine."

Ryder: "Okay. I'm glad I satisfied you on that one. Okay.

Anything further?"

Speaker Currie: "Further discussion?"

Ryder: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Currie: "Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Madam Speaker, just as a matter of personal privilege, your friend, my friend, everybody's friend is having his 60th anniversary. There is cake here. Jack McGuire."

Speaker Currie: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 907 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'; and this Bill, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Clerk for a Supplemental Calendar announcement and Representative Flowers, in the Chair."

Clerk McLennand: "Supplemental Calendar #1, the Agreed Bill List is being distributed."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Ryder, on House Bill 908."

Ryder: "Thank you. This is the last in a series of Bills on behalf of the Secretary of State."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Oh, certainly."

Speaker Flowers: "Mr. Clerk, would you please read the Bill?"

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 908, a Bill for an Act to amend the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. This is the last in a series of Bills on behalf of the Secretary of State. It does amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, and it has cleanup concerning the ability of the Secretary of State to remove drivers license concerning alcohol and drug evaluation reports. It is in response to Fletcher versus Edgar, a case that was decided on the appellate level concerning the cancellation of driving privileges, and that case urged the Secretary of State to clarify, by statute, the authority to do that which the Secretary of State currently does, and I would ask for your approval."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Dart, on House Bill...Senate Bill 908."

Dart: "Will the Sponsor yield? There is no provisions in this which is lowering the blood alcohol content level in this Bill?"

Ryder: "I appreciate the question, Representative. I don't think that it would currently have the Sponsors that it does if would do such a deed. In direct answer to your question, the answer is 'no'."

Dart: "Thank you."

Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill... The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 908 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. With 109 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', House Bill...Senate Bill 908 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 910, Representative Biggert. Representative Biggert on... Okay. Representative Salvi, on Senate Bill 914. Representative Salvi. Mr. Clerk, read

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

the Bill."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 914, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Salvi, on Senate Bill 914."

- Salvi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill amends the Code of
  Civil Procedure to provide that a judgment becomes a lien
  when a citation to discover assets is served. It's
  automatic. Presently, there is no...no such automatic
  provision. And there's no opposition to this Bill. I'd
  ask everybody to vote 'yes' on this."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Wennlund. You have a question on Senate Bill 914? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 914 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Senate Bill 914, receiving 113 'yes', 0 'no', this Bill, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Parke on Senate Bill 1031. Representative Parke. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 1031, a Bill for an Act that amends the Liquor Control Act of 1934. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr...Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1031 establishes a minimum penalty of \$500 if you sell or give alcohol to a minor except in a religious ceremony; establishes minimum penalties of \$500 if you sell or give fake I.D.'s to a minor; and establishes minimum penalties of \$250 or 25 hours of community service if a minor uses a fake I.D. I would ask that the Body vote 'yes' on this Bill."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Dart, on Senate Bill 1031."

Dart: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flowers: "He indicates that he will."

Dart: "How exactly, Representative, does this change the existing law now?"

Parke: "Currently...the current law provides that one who sells liquor to minors, intoxicated persons, or those under legal disability is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor, provides that one who sells or uses a fake I.D. shall be guilty of a Class B misdemeanor. This...this Bill is supported by DASA, the Law Enforcement Training Board, IDPH, the State's Attorney... Wait, excuse me. The IDA, AIM and MADD."

Dart: "And what...what, are you raising the penalties, is that what we're doing?"

Parke: "Say that again, I did not hear your question."

Dart: "Are you enhancing or raising the penalties on that...for those offenses?"

Parke: "What we're doing...it says that the \$500 was chosen because it is the minimum penalty for a Class B misdemeanor, and we're establishing minimums."

Dart: "Oh, you're just establishing minimal penalties, but you're not..."

Parke: "Right."

Dart: "...in any way changing what is the underlying type of offense as far as whether it's a Class A or whatever."

Parke: "No, we're not."

Dart: "Just...you're just leaving it...putting a minimum fine
in?"

Parke: "Yes."

Dart: "And the substance of the offense is not being changed at all either, is it?"

Parke: "Nope."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Dart: "Thank you."

Parke: "Thank you."

Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1031 pass?'

The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, take the record.

One hundred and thirteen voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'; this Bill having... Pardon me? Oh. Mr. Clerk, Representative Flowers votes 'aye'. This Bill, having received 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1033, Representative Hassert. Senate Bill 1033. Representative Hassert, on Senate Bill 1033. Roll the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk McLennand: "Senate Bill 1033, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code of 1961. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hassert."

Hassert: "Madam Speaker, fellow Members, this amends the Criminal Code section referring to capital punishment, provides that a defendant may be sentenced to death if the defendant was a principal administrator, organizer or a leader of a calculated, criminal drug conspiracy and the defendant counseled, commanded, induced, procured or caused the intentional killing of a murdered person or if the murder was intentional and involved an infliction of torture. Ask for your support on this Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Dart, on Senate Bill 1033."

Dart: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill. Just so people on this side of the aisle are listening and we don't have any type of questions later on, this Bill does increase the death penalty. What it does is it will allow for the death penalty to be imposed in two additional instances. So, individuals who have some type of concerns about that ought

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

to be listening. A similar Bill, a Bill similar to this has already passed out of here and there is it actually adds two separate ones instances where the death penalty can be imposed."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hassert, to close."

Hassert: "I would just ask your support on this Bill. Thank
you."

Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1033 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. All lobbyists, would you please leave the floor? All lobbyists, would you please leave the floor immediately? Representative Homer, for what reason do you arise?"

Homer: "I wish to explain my vote."

Speaker Flowers: "Please explain your vote."

Homer: "All right. Thank you. I'm voting 'present' on the Bill because this Bill is duplicative of current law. We've already passed out of here a Bill that established torture as the grounds for a death penalty and the other part of the Bill would have calculated drug conspirators eligible for the death penalty which is already the law; And as I said in committee, this appears to be a case where someone gave a speech here before this Body saying that we ought to have a law...death penalty for drug conspirators and then learned later that we already had such a law and decided to go ahead and propose another law that did the same thing that was already law, and because I generally don't think that's a good idea, I'm voting 'present'."

Speaker Flowers: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk... Representative Stephens, for what reason do you rise?"

Stephens: "May I ask a question of the Sponsor, please?"

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- Speaker Flowers: "It's too late. You can explain your vote and he can probably nod his head."
- Stephens: "Well, in explanation of my vote, I would think of the
  117 other Members here in the House...ll6 other Members,
  excuse me...Representative, why did he choose you for a
  Co-Sponsor? Oh. That's a good answer."
- Speaker Flowers: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, would you please take the record. With 87 voting 'yes', 16 voting 'no'; this Bill, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we'll now go to Supplemental #1 and you will have one half hour to review all the Bills on the Calendar and change your votes and we will now give the Clerk the opportunity to read the Bills for a third time. Thank you."
- "Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 14, a Clerk McLennand: for an Act in relation to public accounting. Bill Bill 44, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act Senate Bill 89, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Dental Practice Act. Senate Bill 147, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Senate Bill Bill for an Act to amend the Agricultural Co-Operative Act. Senate Bill 183, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Senate Bill 206, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Alcoholism and Other Drug Dependency Act. Bill 221, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Senate Bill 247, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963. Senate Bill 287, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act of 1975. Senate Bill 370, a Bill for an Act relating to the monetary award scholarship Senate Bill 390, a Bill for an Act to amend the program.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Senate Bill 421, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Senate Bill 448, a Bill for an Act to amend the Business Corporation Act of 1983. Senate Bill 483, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code of 1961. Senate Bill 488. a Bill for an Act to amend the Plat Act. Senate Bill 499, a Bill for amend the Workers' Compensation Act. Senate Bill an Act to amend the Environmental 610. a Bill for Protection Act. Senate Bill 619, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code of 1961. Senate Bill 622. a Bill for an Act to amend the Official Court Reporters Act. Senate Bill 682, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code Civil Procedure. Senate Bill 734, a Bill for an Act to amend the Boat Registration and Safety Act. Senate Bill a Bill for an Act to create the Union Employee Health and Benefits Protection Act. Senate Bill 775, a Bill an Act to amend the Illinois Parentage Act of 1984. Bill 780. Bill in relation for an Act telecommunications services. Senate Bill 799, a Bill an Act to amend the Probate Act of 1975. Senate Bill 810. a Bill for an Act concerning law enforcement's sharing of information about Habitual Sex Offenders. Senate Bill 813, for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Bi 11 Senate Bill 847, а Bill for an Act to prohibit the expungement or sealing of arrest records of persons convicted of sex offenses involving child victims. Senate Bill 904, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Senate Bill 910, a Bill for an Act depoterning profit and not for profit corporations. Senate Bill 941, for an Act to amend the State Finance Act. Senate Bill Bill for an Act to amend the Professional Engineering Practice Act. Senate Bill 967, a Bill for an

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Act concerning medical patients. Senate Bill 124, a Bill for an Act to amend the Higher Education Student Assistance Act. Senate Bill 567, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Senate Bill 641, a Bill for an Act to amend the Clinical Psychologist Licensing Act. Senate Bill 861, a Bill for an Act to amend the Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act. Senate Bill 891, a Bill for an Act to amend the Savings Bank Act. Third Reading of these Bills."

- Speaker Flowers: "Mr. Clerk, would you now read the Bills that have been removed from the list."
- Clerk Rossi: "Those Senate Bills that have been removed from Supplemental Calendar #1: Senate Bill 276, Senate Bill 614, Senate Bill 398 and Senate Bill 778."
- Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall these Bills pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is now open. Mr. Clerk, would you please take the record. Remember, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you have one half hour to change your votes. One half hour. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, we will go back to Second Reading. Representative Deering, on Senate Bill 568, on the Order of Local Government. Representative Deering. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 568. The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flowers: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Flowers: "Third Reading. Representative Brunsvold, on Senate Bill 411. Representative Brunsvold, on Senate Bill 411. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, would you please take this Bill out of the record? Senate Bill 841, Representative Giglio. Senate Bill 841. Read the Bill,

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 841. The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Giglio."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Giglio, on Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 841."
- "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Giglio: House. Senate Amendment #1...what it does, it increases the amount of...the pounds of perch that can be caught in Lake Michigan by the five remaining commercial fishermen that are working the Great Lakes to earn their living as a business. If some of you recall, a few years back when we had a Bill with regard to the commercial fishermen where some people wanted to eliminate these people, we decided to have an ad hoc committee. For the last year and a half, these people have been meeting. They've worked with the Department of Conservation. They've been out there on They've found out what's out there, and what boats. they're trying to do is to bring their quota up that had once before, and it's no more than right that these people still can operate even though that...now that have all the sports fishermen that could fish in the lake, there's enough fish out there for everybody. But, it seems as though for the past year and a half, with the amount of qlut that we have and the perch, that they're eating the salmon eggs and the trout eggs. These people want to bring up their quota and get the same amount of perch that they had before, earn their living and continuing and Hopefully, this will make the Department of Conservation realize that we mean business here and we're out to protect everybody, not one group of people without hurting the other group of people. I would ask for your

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

favorable support on the Amendment."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Wennlund, on the question, Senate Bill 841."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, and before I commence I'd ask for a Roll Call Vote on the Amendment, please. Thank you. The reason, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Department of Conservation is opposed to this Amendment is because it increases the take in pounds per fishing license for each commercial license from 69,000 pounds a season, which it currently is, to 300,000 pounds per season. Now, that is an increase of about 500% in the yellow perch which will be taken out of Lake amount of Michigan on the Illinois side. Now, that's the reason Department of Conservation is opposed to this Amendment; strongly opposed. So, we're seeing a 500% increase in amount of perch that can be taken out of Lake Michigan by a commercial fisherman in Illinois and that's the reason that, and they say that the proponents of the Amendment say that they want to bring the Department of Conservation to the bargaining table and believe me, the department wants to sit down and work out with actual knowledge of just what the affect will be on perch fishing in Lake Michigan, this ever becomes law. I would suggest that, and I know that the Sponsor is well-meaning, but the affects on commercial fishing, by with a five-fold increase in the number of perch that can be taken out of Lake Michigan may be extremely harmful to the aquatic life of Lake Michigan. That's why the Department of Conservation is opposed to this Amendment, and again I request a Roll Call Vote. I appreciate your 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Deering, on the question.

Representative Deering?"

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Deering: "Yes, on the comments of the previous speaker, about the department's own opinion, they're saying that they have an over-abundance amount of perch in the lake, so why we...if the department even says that we have an over-abundance, let's take them out. Let's put these fish...let's harvest them and bring some revenues into this It seems like any time any more these agencies we don't do something that falls right in line with their way of thinking, they are opposed to it. We're the ones who make the laws here, not the people who run the agency. This is a good Amendment."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Balthis."

Balthis: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. There are five commercial fishermen in Lake Michigan and if this was adopted, it would bring an additional \$18 million Revenue to the State of Illinois. There is a study that is supposed to be completed in early June. If this Bill goes to the Governor's desk and the study indicates that this is correct or some other number should be there, the Governor could amendatorily veto this and put it in place and let these people go about their business of harvesting the fish out of the lake. This is an important Bill for the State of Illinois and for the people that want to make money and create jobs, and I would urge a 'aye' vote and get this...get this Bill to the Governor's desk."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Granberg. Representative Granberg on Senate Bill 841."

Granberg: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise in support of this Bill. As a previous speaker indicated, the Department of Conservation by their own admission has determined that the perch are

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

over-abundance in Lake Michigan. They state an increase of 400 to 500% in the perch population. This does no damage. It's good economics. It's good for the people of this state, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Noland."

- Noland: "Speaker, I wholeheartedly agree with this Amendment and concur with the previous speaker's comments and would ask for an 'aye' vote and send it to the Governor's desk so that he can be prepared for the study. Thank you."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Brunsvold, did you have a question? Representative Giglio, would you like to close on Senate Bill 841?"
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think the Body has heard enough and you've heard some of the comments from the other side of the aisle and it's no more than right that these people that we give them the same opportunity as every other business, and I think that if we can do anything to bring the Department of Conservation to the table, by their own admission of the amount of perch that they have in the lake, and like Representative Balthis has said, if that study proves right or wrong, the Governor has two months to decide whether or not to take it out of the Bill by the Amendatory Veto, so I would ask for your favorable support."
- Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 841 be adopted?' All those in favor of voting 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk,... Representative Kaszak, 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Amendment, there is 89 voting 'yes', 25 voting 'no'. This Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. Are there any

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Lopez: "No."

Black: "How does it alter the Bill?"

Lopez: "It just adds a requirement for them to look at these seven requirements in order for the Bill to take place."

Black: "What's...why is the language in here about a referral agency being discouraged to put a family in a shelter close to the children's school?"

Lopez: "Well, the reason that this Bill was put together, was so that people that are homeless, the children would not be affected, so that they could be closer to school, giving them the opportunity to go to their school that they started the school year. What happens many times, there might be a problem for whatever the case may be and the children are displaced from the school and they've put miles as a way, so this gives opportunity for children to have the opportunity to go to the same school."

Black: "But...but, in the case of the referral agency, if they think there is an inherent danger because of the other things you've added in the Amendment, employment history...I'm sorry...domestic violence, then the referral agency may want them to go to a shelter further away from that school. Correct?"

Lopez: "It's up to the parent. I mean it does not tell them what they have to be there. This just gives them that opportunity and advise them that they could do this."

Black: "Okay. Thank you very much for your time,
Representative."

Lopez: "Thank you."

Black: "Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand to support the Gentleman's Amendment. This

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

was an agreement between the City of Chicago and Senator Garcia, Tried to work out a problem with the Bill and I think they've done that, and I support his Motion."

- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Schakowsky. Okay. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted to Senate Bill 411?' All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. have it. Amendment #... The Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. We have only 15 more minutes for change of votes. Only 15 minutes. Thank you. Senate Bill 706, Representative Capparelli. Representative Capparelli, on Senate Bill 706. Out of the record. Representative Lang. Out of the record. Representative Dart, 1024. Out of the record. Representative Churchill, on Senate Bill 533. Churchill. Representative Out οf the Representative Wojcik, on Senate Bill 324. Representative is Professional Regulations on Second Wojcik? Reading. Representative Schoenberg, on Senate Bill 641. Representative Schoenberg, would you like to have this Bill heard on Third Reading? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 641, a Bill for an Act amending the Clinical Psychologists Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Schoenberg."
- Schoenberg: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 641, pertains to the regulatory practice of clinical psychologists. There is no known opposition, and I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 641 pass?'

  All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. The

  voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

  voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. One hundred

  eleven voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'; this Bill, having

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Lou Jones, on Senate Bill 627. Representative Lavonna Jones, Senate Bill 627? Out of the record. Representative Shirley Jones, on Senate Bill 770. Representative Shirley Jones? Out of the record. Moving on to the Order of Second Reading, Revenue, we have Representative Hartke, on Senate Bill 62. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 62, a Bill for an Act amending the
  Bingo License and Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No
  Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by
  Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, this is the Amendment that Representative Wennlund and I agreed on that we are going to allow people that might have had a felony commission ten years prior and then proved that they are good bonafide citizens, so that they could work for not-for-profit corporations that run bingo games. I urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Wennlund, on the Amendment."
Wennlund: "I second the Motion and move for its adoption."
Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hartke, on the Amendment."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

I really hate to do this to my colleague, but I really stand in opposition to this Amendment. This is the felony question about tenures in operating bingos, but I really could have took it out of the record yesterday and then tabled the Amendment, but in respect to my colleague and what he's trying to do, I said I would let it up to the Body, so I would appreciate it if we all take a very good look at this Amendment and vote your conscience. I am

58th Legislative Day

Bill 411."

May 12, 1993

further Amendments? Seeing none..."

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flowers: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, would you please read Senate Bill 411, Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Senate Bill 411. The Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flowers: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Brunsvold: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Lopez."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Lopez, on Amendment #2 to Senate

Lopez: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. What Amendment for Senate Bill 411 is an Amendment that developed in cooperation with the Chicago Department of Human Services. What this Amendment does is adds requirement that the agency must consider the following factors in making a referral of a family with school children to a shelter closest to a school of origin. The following will be...(1), the potential for the child's academic and social success of that school; (2) family history of domestic violence, family's employment status, family's job training opportunities, proximity of other family support, availability οf shelter resources family appropriate to the needs. Other social, psychological and health are considerations, and I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Black, on the Amendment."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. An inquiry of the Chair. Has the Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Clerk Rossi: "The Amendment has been printed and distributed."

Black: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Flowers: "He'll yield. Thank you."

Black: "Does the Amendment become the Bill?"

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

opposed to this Amendment."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Giorgi to close on the Amendment."

"Mr....Madam Speaker, in the attempt to clarify the Giorgi: problem, now if ... there is no prohibition in the law that if you are involved with the not-for-profit corporation and you have Las Vegas or charitable nights, you can work the charitable nights game. If you have jars and tabs, you can work the jars and tabs. Bingo was the only thing excluded from this permission to be allowed to work for a not-for-profit corporation. That's the reason we're putting this in, Hartke, so that it makes all three sections all alike: charitable games, jars and tabs and bingo, and the Department of Revenue is aware of this and there is no opposition from them."

Speaker Flowers: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 62. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. Mr. Clerk, let's take a roll call on Senate Bill Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 62. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. This Amendment fails. Thirty-two voting 'yes', 70 voting 'no'. This Amendment is declared failed. Are there any more Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flowers: "Third Reading. Representative Hartke, would you like to go on and pass the Bill? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 62, a Bill for an Act amending the Bingo License and Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Members of the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

House. Senate Bill 62, is now, of course, unamended and what it does it allows for those individuals who operate with a bingo license who happen to have it their bingo license day fall on a holiday, they may proceed to have this after notifying the Department of Revenue to have it on another day of the week. For example, if a parlor has a bingo sale on Monday night, we celebrate a lot of holidavs on...on Mondays; and, therefore, they may not wish to have their bingo nights that Monday night, notification of the Department of Revenue, they may change the date of that nights. There are, I think, ll...ll legal holidays that are listed that would be applicable. So, would appreciate your support and be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 62 pass?' those in favor vote 'ave': opposed vote 'no'. voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. One hundred twelve voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no'; this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Going back to the Order of Public Utilities, Representative Lavonna Jones, on Senate Bill 627. Lavonna Jones? Out of the record. Representative Shirley Jones, Senate Bill 770. Out of the record. Back on the Order of Revenue, we have Representative Balthis, on Senate 402. Representative Balthis? Out of the record. Representative Daniels, on Senate Bill 522. Representative Daniels? Out of the record. Representative Judy Erwin. on Senate Bill 551. Representative Erwin? Read the... Out of the record. Out... Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 551. The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- #1, offered by Representative Phelps."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Phelps, on Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 551."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What this simply does is extend the current tax credits for corporate donations for the purpose of coal research equipment donated to the Illinois Center Research on suffering coal and for the corporate investment equipment purpose...purchase for the purpose of maintaining an increase in the use of Illinois coal. So, it's...it just extends the current tax credits for the same period of years that it has been."
- Speaker Flowers: "Are there any questions on the Amendment? Representative Erwin? Okay, Representative Phelps, to close on the Amendment?"
- Phelps: "I just ask for the support. Thank you."
- Speaker Flowers: "All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed, 'no'.

  The voting... I mean, the 'ayes' have it. Representative

  Judy Erwin, on Senate Bill 551, as Amendment...as amended."
- Erwin: "Number two."
- Speaker Flowers: "Okay. Mr. Clerk, are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Kubik."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Kubik, on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 551."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 551 would add language to
  the existing Bill to provide a \$5,000 income tax credit for
  home buyers who have not purchased...who have not received
  a homestead exemption in the...in the previous three
  calendar years. What this would do is to allow a \$5,000

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

income tax credit to first-time home buyers, and it's a Bill that is supported by the Realtors Association, which it did not make it out of the Revenue Committee. I would appreciate your support and would ask for a Roll Call Vote on Floor Amendment #2."

Speaker Flowers: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 551. On that Representative, Ellis Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Gentleman is correct. There was a Bill that was in the House Revenue Committee that would have done what Amendment #2 does. That Bill was defeated and I encourage you to uphold the committee There are a lot of good ideas that people have process. and this is not a bad idea. Unfortunately, it has a \$5 million price tag to it and I don't know about him, know, the Sponsor is willing to increase the income tax at this point or some other tax by \$5 million to pay for it, we may want to consider it, but it's got a \$5 million price tag and that's the reason why we killed the original Bill in the Senate, in the Revenue Committee and I would encourage a 'no' vote, therefore, on Senate Bill 551, because of its high costs."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Skinner, on Amendment #2."

Skinner: "You know, Mr. Speaker, if we were talking about Judicial Committee, the Judiciary Committee, either Judiciary Committee, that argument that you just heard might make sense, but when you refer to the Revenue Committee to want to defend the committee process, what a specks argument. I would like to ask the Sponsor whether the, I am correct in...assuming that each prospective home owner would get \$150 out of this \$5,000 credit? Is that correct?"

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Erwin."

Erwin: "Thank you, Speaker. This Amendment, while the intentions are laudable, is a high Bill Amendment."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative, he asked the question."

Skinner: "Well, according to the Sponsor of the Amendment."

Erwin: "I'm sorry."

Speaker Flowers: "Rep...Representative Skinner, would you please repeat your question?"

Skinner: "Yes, the question is, 'Does...are we talking about \$150 a shot here?' It's a \$5,000 credit...\$5,000 times 3%, in my mind, is \$150. Is that what we're talking about, Mr. Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Kubik: "Yes."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Kubik, to close, on the Amendment."

Kubik: "Thank you, Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I think this is a very laudable and good Amendment. If you want to talk about ways to...to move people into the real estate market and go out and purchase homes and get the economy moving again...I...I...we had a great job summit earlier this Session and we talked a lot about how we could move jobs and create jobs. This is one of the ways we can do it, giving a credit to first time-home buyers who will go out into the market, not only buy a home, but have...a tax it. I incentive to do think the cost is minimal in exchange to the jobs that will be created and opportunities that will be greated, so I think that this is a minimal cost for a...a boost to our economy in the State of Illinois and we ought to support this Amendment and Speaker, as I indicated earlier, I appreciate a Roll Call Vote on this Amendment."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Erwin, on the Amendment."

I Erwin: "Speaker, thank you. regret really that mу understanding was that the Illinois Retail Merchants Association, the Illinois Manufacturers Association, discussed with Representative Kubik their opposition to this Amendment, in fact, that it essentially guts a that is very critical to retailers and manufacturers in the mining industry in this state. It essentially guts the Apparently, this was not discussed with you today and I'm... I regret that so Speaker I would ask that this be taken out of the record at this time."

Speaker Flowers: "Mr. Clerk, would you please take this Bill out of the record. Thank you. We're no longer taking any more change of votes for the Agreed Bill list. We are no longer taking any more change of votes on the Agreed Bill Your half hour is up. I knew I would get you all to turn them in. Agreed Bill list going once, Agreed Bill is going twice. This is it for the Agreed Bill list. No more changes after Representative Curran. Representative Moore. Representative Jones. Representative Coy Pugh. No more after Representative Mautino. One more...one more...one Representative Hughes, going once. Representative Hughes, going twice. Representative Hughes, will be the The last person. Voting is now closed. I last person. closed my eyes. I will not look. On the same Order of Revenue, we will move on to Representative Kubik. Bill 664, Representative Kubik. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, are we going to move back to the Order of Law, Third Reading, Senate Bill 142, Representative Well...Representative Brady, on Senate Bill 142. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 142, a Bill for an Act amending the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Freedom of Information Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Brady."

Brady: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill that came through committee and it was agreed that we would...we would clear up any question with the City of Chicago and the State Bar and we have done so and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Flowers: "Seeing no opposition, the question is, 'Shall Senate...Representative Kubik, on Senate Bill 142."

Kubik: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flowers: "He says, he will."

Kubik: "What does this Bill do, Representative?"

Brady: "This Bill simply allows the municipality...excuse me...municipality's police departments to release information as they have done historically. There is a judicial case which indicated possibly some liability because of a...a technical interpretation of the law. This allows them to just continue to release the information as they had done historically and...and what it does it prevents municipalities from discontinuing this process."

Kubik: "This Bill has nothing to do with...with witnesses, does
it?"

Brady: "This Bill does not change, in any way, what municipalities have been doing with witnesses. A practice that is used is that witness information is released to individuals so that they can investigate claims for insurance and historically over the last many years police departments have released this information usually for a fee to insurance companies so that they can handle insurance claims in a proficient manner."

Kubik: "Well, what this had...this would not release the information to the press, would it?"

58th Legislative Day

Brady: "To my understanding, this would allow the release of that information as it has been done historically."

Kubik: "I know, but we, earlier this Session, we had a Bill which would have compelled...well, would have...would have released information. For example, Representative, I was standing on a corner and witnessed some type of action, whether it be a murder, or an accident or something of that nature and I did my civic duty which was that I told the police department that what I had seen, but that I did not want my name in the newspaper. We had a Bill earlier this year which would have allowed those names to be released to the press without...without my consent."

Brady: "I think there was a misunderstanding, in the previous Bill, is that this is not allowing anything to happen that has not already been happening. If this Bill fails, it will do is it will increase the time period, because it will cause a change. Right now municipalities are in fear of...for liability because of one court ruling as I understand it and some...a couple of municipalities have interpreted that court ruling that liability is hazardous and they are fearful, due to that liability in this one particular court ruling and have not released it. If we don't pass this almost every municipality, in the State of Illinois, will no longer release any information whatsoever to insurance companies for the witnesses, nor to the media about as you've seen ambulance runs and that kind of stuff in the past and we would cause a great increase in time period to settle insurance claims as well as a problem between municipalities and the press and everything. As I...as I say, I think the reason that this Bill didn't make it before to my knowledge is the Chicago, the City of Chicago and the State Bar Association had some

May 12, 1993

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

vague opposition that has been cleared up, in regard to your question."

Kubik: "Who is...pushing this Bill? What interest group is?"

Brady: "The insurance industry, the municipal league and the...the press."

Kubik: "And the Press Association? Well, maybe Representative Black has more to say about this issue, you know, I don't have the file in front of me, but I recall a Bill going to the Executive Committee that sounds similar to this and my concern is that, as you well know, there is nobody who is a stronger advocate of opening up meetings and allowing the press as much information as possible, but I also think that, I'm concerned that if I would witness an accident and don't or...or...or some type of...altercation...whether it be a murder, a rape or whatever and I do my duty by going forward and I and then my name is published in the newspaper, frankly that kind of bothers me because I don't think that...I lose control over whether my name is in the paper of not and that...that's one of the concerns, I have, related to this legislation."

Brady: "The only point I make there is you aren't losing any control over what has historically been done. We are just making it legal for things to continue as they have. A particular court case left some vague ambiguities into whether or not a municipality could be held liable and there's a couple of communities that have discontinued releasing the information for that reason and if we want to continue this flow of information which, as far as I know, we have not seen a great abuse, then we continue with this legislation."

Kubik: "Well, maybe Representative Black has more to say about this. I...I and maybe he can clear up my concerns. But, I

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

got to tell you if what I think has occurred under this
Bill occurred, I'd be...I'd be a little bit concerned about
it."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Ostenburg."

Ostenburg: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flowers: "Yes."

Ostenburg: "On page 2, line 16 continuing to line 17, is a technical error, in our mind, where it indicates that witnesses may be provided. Would you be agreeable to an Amendment that would change that wording to either identification of witnesses or names of witnesses will be provided? I think it would be a little difficult to provide the witnesses."

Brady: "Could you...could you refer me again to page 2, line
 what?"

Ostenburg: "Page 2, line 16 and 17, continuing on to 17."

Brady: "And it says, provided however that witnesses to traffic accidents, traffic accident reports and rescue reports may be provided by agencies of local government without...in which...and what would you like to see changed?"

Ostenburg: "We would like to change that to read either that the names of witnesses to traffic accidents, because it would be hard to provide the witnesses, I think."

Brady: "I...I guess you're right and I..I..."

Ostenburg: "So you will be agreeable to that Amendment?"

Brady: "I would do that."

Ostenburg: "So, will...will you take that out of the record to provide that Amendment."

Brady: "Yes, we'll take that out of the record and provide a technical Amendment."

Ostenburg: "Thank you."

Speaker Flowers: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Ladies and

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Gentlemen, I have another announcement to make. The Iron tickets are still on sale. The show will be next Tuesday at 6 p.m. at the Hilton Hotel and the tickets are still available, they are \$30.00. So get your Grid Iron tickets while they're still hot and you can get the tickets from the press. Right, Rich? Okav. Thirty bucks. Gridiron tickets, next Tuesday, 6 p.m., Hilton Hotel. Mr. let's move down to Roads and Transportation, Clerk. Representative McAfee on Senate Bill 147. Out of record. Representative Currie, on Senate Bill 180. Representative Currie. Out of the record. Representative Kubik, Senate Bill 356. on Representative Kubik. Representative Kubik, on Senate Bill 356. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 356, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Kubik, on Senate Bill 356."

Kubik: "Speaker, there is an Amendment which has been filed to this Bill. What...what I'd like to know is whether it has been printed, because it is an Amendment that Representative Weller wishes to put on the Bill, but we...we won't call it unless the Amendment has been printed."

Speaker Flowers: "Mr. Clerk, has the Amendment been printed and distributed for Senate Bill 356?"

Clerk Rossi: "The Amendment has not been printed and distributed."

Kubik: "Then, we'll take it out of the record."

Speaker Flowers: "Out of the...out of the record. Representative Granberg, on Senate Bill 488. Representative Granberg, on Senate Bill 488. Out of the record. Representative Stephens, on Senate Bill 625. Representative Stephens.

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Representative Ryder, for what reason do you rise?"

Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise for the purpose of establishing legislative intent on Senate Bill 14 and I'm happy to submit to a question from Representative Dunn."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Ryder...Senate Bill 14 was on the Agreed Bill list, but for the purpose of legislative

intent, Representative Dunn, on the question."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House.

I have a question for Representative Ryder. The question is this. It is my understanding that Senate Bill 14 is supported by the Illinois...by the Independent Account Association as well as the Illinois CPA Society and that the Bill is intended to keep the status quo between the functions performed by a licensed public accountants and non licensed accountants. The unlicensed for independent accountants may continue to perform any function under this law that they could perform under the prior law and in this respect there is no change. Is that correct?"

Ryder: "Yes, that is correct."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Flowers: "My pleasure. Representative Stephens, on Senate Bill 625. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, has Senate Bill 625 been printed? The Amendment to Senate Bill 6252"

Clerk Rossi: "The Amendments have not been printed and distributed."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Stephens? Would you like to have this Bill taken out of the record? Out of the record.

Mr. Clerk, Roads and Transportation, Second Reading, Representative Parke on Senate Bill 536. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 536, a Bill for an Act amending the Bikeway Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Parke."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Parke on Amendment #1 to Senate
  Bill 536."
- Parke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 536 is..."
- Speaker Flowers: "Excuse me, Representative Parke. Mr. Clerk,
  has Senate Amendment #...Senate Bill Amendment #1 to 536,
  has it been printed?"
- Clerk Rossi: "The Amendment has not been printed."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Parke, what is your pleasure?"
- Parke: "It has to be and so we'll have to pull it from the record, because the Amendment has to go on."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 865, a Bill for an Act concerning the keep Illinois beautiful program. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Flowers: "Third Reading. Representative Parke, would you like to pass your Bill today?"
- Parke: "If the House will indulge me, I'd love to pass it."
- Speaker Flowers: "Read the Bill again, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 865, a Bill for an Act concerning the keep Illinois beautiful program. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 865 changes the name of the Illinois clean and beautiful to keep Illinois beautiful. This is at

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

the request of the local program coordinators and most of the other state programs have names that is most closely identified with keep America beautiful. It expands the purpose of the program and grants into the areas of recycling and waste reduction and education create and keep Illinois beautiful fund to accept any private compensations...contributions. I would ask that the Body vote 'yes' on this Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Dart on Senate Bill 865."

Dart: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flowers: "He indicates that he will."

Dart: "Is this not identical to House Bill 510, which was Representative's Lang's Bill?"

Parke: "It was introduced in...it's identical and it passed the House on April 14th a 112 to 1."

Dart: "Do...do you know the status of Representative Lang's Bill now?"

Parke: "I would not know what it is, not at all."

Dart: "Well, thank you."

Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 865 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. One hundred twelve voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen Majority, of the House, I have some good news and bad news. The good news is within the hour we will be adjourning. The rest of the good news is we've done such a splendid job that we will not be here Friday nor will we be here Monday and the bad news, we'll have to work late Thursday night. So, we're going tp go through the Calendar one more time just

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- to make sure and then we'll be out of here. Starting from the top, Representative Brunsvold on Senate Bill 841. Representative Maureen Murphy for what reason do you rise?"
- Murphy, M: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I would like the record to reflect a change in vote that my vote would have been 'no' for Senate Bill 62. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Flowers: "The record will so reflect. Representative Hicks, on Senate Bill 951. Representative Hicks? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Agriculture and Conservation, Third Reading, Representative Hicks on Senate Bill 951."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 951, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois Horse Racing Act of 1975. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you Mr...Madam Speaker. A question of the Chair.
  Was House Amendment #1 adopted to 951?"
- Speaker Flowers: "Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Amendment #1 has been adopted to the Bill."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much Madam Speaker. Now this Bill is put in form now to be sent back to the Senate for concurrence on House Amendment #1. This is the Bill that would be used for any changes in the horse racing issue. I plan to send it back to Senator Madigan, who was the Senate Sponsor and we'll work with the Bill from there. I would be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill...Representative Leitch on Senate Bill 951."
- Leitch: "Yes, would you please tell me the genesis of this Bill, please?"
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks.

  Representative Leitch would like to know the genesis of the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Bill?...."

Hicks: "Thank you very much. I'll be happy to...Representative Leitch, the genesis of the Bill would simply be to put this Bill into a format so that he could go into a Conference Committee and then Representative Madigan and I, who are continuing to work to try to come to some of the agreement with the horse racing industry which we do not have yet and until there is an agreement between Senator Madigan and myself, there won't be anything in the Bill that he would not be accepting this Amendment, is what I'm saying to you is it would be placed in a Conference Committee at that time."

Leitch: "Is there any opposition to this procedure? Has this been cleared with our side?"

Hicks: "It's been the...what we've used all the way through in this whole process. That agreement would be with your side before we would have any kind of Amend...the Conference Committee, we've all worked together, Senator Madigan, certainly in the Senate is carrying the Bill. He's from your side of the aisle and we would hope that we have an agreement between Senator Madigan and myself on this Bill."

Leitch: "So, at the present, present state it is a vehicle Bill and it would go back to the Senate and it won't move unless there is an agreement between you and Senator Madigan."

Hicks: "That's right. Senator Madigan and I are working towards an agreement trying to come to something."

Leitch: "Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hicks to close."

Hicks: "I just ask an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 951 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. The

voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. One Hundred and Twelve voting 'aye', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Parcells on Senate Bill 960. Representative Parcells on Senate Bill 960. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 960..."

Speaker Flowers: "Okay, we...okay, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 960, a Bill for an Act amending the Illinois State Auditing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This just adds another word to the statutes so that the
Auditing Act is redefining investigation to include
inquiries into misfeasance. Malfeasance is...is the means
wrong doing or misconduct and nonfeasance means the failure
to perform a duty and this will add misfeasance so that the
Auditor General will have something else to base his audits
on when he is doing the audit of the various agencies of
state government."

Speaker Flowers: "Seeing no objections to the Lady's Motion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 960 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. One Hundred Thirteen voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Ladies and Gentlemen, I have another announcement Again, I can change my mind. Instead of working late tomorrow evening, we will only be working late tomorrow afternoon. Okay, late tomorrow afternoon, not late tomorrow evening. Representative Deering on Senate

58th Legislative Day

- May 12, 1993
- Bill 579. Representative Deering. Out of the record. Representative Pankau on Senate Bill 139. Representative Pankau on Senate Bill 139? Out of the record. Representative Lang, Senate Bill 127. Representative Lang. Out of the record. Representative Brunsvold, Senate Bill 730. (Senate Bill) 730. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate...Senate Bill 730..."
- Speaker Flowers: "Out of the record. Out of the record. Mr.

  Clerk, let's go back to Representative Hicks. Senate Bill
  704. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 704. The Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Daniels."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Black. Mr. Clerk, has the Amendment been printed?"
- Clerk Rossi: "The Amendment has not been printed."
- Speaker Flowers: "Out of the record. Representative Hicks, for what reason do you rise?"
- Hicks: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I...I would move to table
  Amendment #1 since it's not being printed."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I thought I heard you take the Bill out of the record?"
- Speaker Flowers: "Mr. Clerk, would you please put Senate Bill 704 back in the record? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 704. The Bill has been read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Papiels."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hicks moves that Amendment #1 be tabled? On that, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. The Sponsor certainly has the right to ask that this Amendment be

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

tabled. I simply rise in opposition of the Gentlemen's Motion and would ask that we have a Roll Call Vote. I don't know if the printing press must have broken down, but the Amendment Sponsored by the Minority Leader, Daniels, is airport related and it's an important amendment to him, but indifference to the Sponsor, he has made his Amendment to table. I stand in opposition to that Motion to table and would ask for a Roll Call Vote."

Speaker Flowers: "All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Mr. Clerk, take the record. Fifty Seven voting 'no'...57 voting 'yes', 46 voting 'no'. The Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flowers: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 704..."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hicks, a fiscal note has been applied. Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would move that the fiscal note is inapplicable and ask to..."

Speaker Flowers: "The Gentleman moves that a fiscal note is not applicable. All those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion.

Also, Representative Hicks, there's...there has been a state mandate."

Hicks: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would also move that the State Mandates Act is is unapplicable."

Speaker Flowers: "All those in...Representative Stephens, on the question."

Stephens: "Well, couldn't we at least have an explanation as to why the Gentleman feels that way? This way we could base our vote on..."

Speaker Flowers: "Your...your absolutely right, Representative Stephens. Representative Balanoff, for what reason..."

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Balanoff: "I wanted to ask the Sponsor what the Bill does so we could determine if it's necessary or not?"

Speaker Flowers: "Point well taken, Representative Balanoff."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Bill as originally drafted is a Bill that deals with airport authorities in counties of less than 40,000 which would only apply, as I understand, to one county in the State of Illinois, that being the county that I represent, Jefferson County. In that recently we had a board member that was asked to resign from that board because that...that school board...excuse me...that board member on the authority was a teacher and a school administrator the Act it says that anyone who is a member of a public body or an employee of a public body could not serve on authority board. I think that is being a very broad interpretation of the law, but that was the law said. Their lawyers rather than fighting it, had...simply had the board member excuse themselves so the board...I think that would be appropriate in counties of the size of mine that school administrators or school teachers would make excellent board members and that should not be part of it and simply trying to clarify that a teacher or a school board administrator could serve as a board member on an airport authority board. That's why I think that it's not applicable whether or not the fiscal note should be applicable and neither should be the State Mandates Act has nothing to do with it."

Speaker Flowers: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, to the Motion before us. We are clearly changing state law in the

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

underlying Bill. We are...I think the Gentleman expressed himself very clearly. We are changing current law, in the underlying Bill, so that an appointment can be made or in this case reappointment made to an airport authority board to a person, who would under the law be exempted and wouldn't be able to serve because they have a financial interest or in this case the person would be a member or employee of a municipality or any other public agency including a school district. Now if...if we're changing the underlying state law and telling airport authorities, who they can and can not appoint, then I would say that the mandates note is very clearly applicable and would ask that the Chair so rule."

- Speaker Flowers: "The question is, 'Is the...the fiscal note and the State Mandate Act inapplicable?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Sixty four voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no'. The State Mandate Act is...the Motion is adopted. Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "Senate Bill 704. The Bill has...a Bill for an Act to amend the Airport Authorities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I explained the Bill. That's exactly what the Bill did...does. That is what the intent was. It passed out of the Senate 55 to 1 and I would ask for your 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Flowers: "The Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 704. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'.

  The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Ninety eight voting 'yes', 13 voting 'no'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. I'd like to announce that all those Bills that's on the Agreed Bill list having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Homer. Representative Homer?

- Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 483 passed having voted on the prevailing side and being the Sponsor of the legislation."
- Speaker Flowers: "The Gentleman has moved to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 483 pass? Are there any objections?

  Leave is granted by use of the Attendance Roll Call. The Bill will now be on the Order of Third Reading.

  Representative Skinner for what reason do you rise this late in the evening?"
- Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, when the Bar Association comes to town. Madam Speaker, when the Bar Association comes to town, there'll always be former legislators and one is standing in the center aisle now, Representative Elroy Sanquist, one of the last Republicans from North...the northern part of Chicago."
- Speaker Flowers: "Thank you very much. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions, please."
- Clerk McLennand: "House Resolution 757, offered by Representative Schoenberg; House Resolution 761, offered by Representative Moseley; House Resolution 762, offered by Representative Hoeft; House Resolution 763, offered by Representative Steczo; House Resolution 764, offered by Representative Brady; House Resolution 765, offered by Representative Erwin; House Resolution 766, offered by Representative Krause; House Resolution 767, offered by Representative

#### 58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

- Stephens; House Resolution 768, offered by Representative Stephens; House Resolution 770, offered by Speaker Madigan; House Joint Resolution 37, offered by Representative John Dunn."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Granberg now moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Death Res...the Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Clerk would you please read the Death Resolutions."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Resolution 771, offered by Representative Stroger, with respect to the memory of Reverend Andrew Leek, Sr."
- Speaker Flowers: "Representative Granberg moves for the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Resolution 769, offered by Representative Giorgi."
- Speaker Flowers: "Committee on Assignment. Representative Granberg moves, allowing for Perfunctory time for the Clerk to read Bills for the second time and to hold them on Second Reading that the House stands adjourned until 9 a.m. tomorrow morning. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House is adjourned until 9 a.m. tomorrow morning."
- Clerk Rossi: "Second Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 100, a
  Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code.
  Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 447, a Bill for an
  Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Second Reading
  of the Bill. Senate Bill 533, a Bill for an Act to amend
  the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
  Senate Bill 580, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 603, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 851, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 870, a Bill for an Act to amend the Jury Commission Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 268, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Universities Civil Service System. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill 311, a Bill for an Act making appropriations and reappropriations to various human services agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 314, a Bill for an Act making reappropriations for permanent improvements, minor capital improvements, repairs and maintenance, and related purposes. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Bill for an Act making appropriations and reappropriations to various economic development agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 316, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Lieutenant Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 317, a Bill for Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 318, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 319, a Bill for Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Aid. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 320, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 321, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Children and Family

58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

Second of the Bill. Senate Bill 322, a Bill for Services. an Act making appropriations to the State Board Elections. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 520, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Court of Claims. Second Reading Bill. Senate Bill 521, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 525, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations for education, higher education, and education grant programs. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 526, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 527, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Community College Board and the Trustees of State Community College for fiscal year 1994. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 528, a Bill for making appropriations the Illinois Student Act to Assistance Commissions. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 529, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 532, a Bill for making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill 944, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Board of Regents. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 945, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities. Second Reading of the 946. a Bill for Bill. Senate Bill making an Act appropriations for the furnishing of Legislative Staff, secretarial, clerical, research, technical, telephone, other utility services, office equipment and office rental

#### 58th Legislative Day

May 12, 1993

costs to members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 947, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 948, a Assembly. Bill for an Act making appropriations to various Legislative Support Agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 953, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Auditor General. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1099, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain retirement systems. Reading of these Senate Bills. These Bills will be held on the Order of Second Reading. Being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session and the House will stand adjourned until Thursday, May 13th at the hour of 9 a.m."

•

# 93/10/06 09:48:09

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 88TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

# MAY 12, 1993

S3-0007 THIRD READING	PAGE	21
SB-0014 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
SB-0014 OTHER	PAGE	179
S3-0017 SECOND READING	PAGE	142
SB-0036 THIRD READING	PAGE	138
SB-0039 THIRD READING	PAGE	2
SB-0044 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
SB-0051 THIRD READING	PAGE	22
SB-0060 SECOND READING SJ-0062 SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	101 167
SB-0062 THIRD READING	PAGE	168
S6-0066 RECALLED	PAGE	77
SB-0089 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
SB-0090 SECOND READING	PAGE	103
S3-0090 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	105
SB-0118 SECOND READING	PAGE	116
SB-0124 SECOND READING	PAGE	138
SB-0124 THIRD READING	PAGE	159
SB-0127 SECOND READING	PAGE	141
SB-0137 THIRD READING	PAGE	35
SG-0142 THIRD READING	PAGE	173
SB-0142 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	177
SE-0147 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
SB-0168 THIRD READING	PAGE	105
SB-0169 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
S3-0177 THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0179 THIRD READING	PAGE	125
SB-0181 THIRD READING	PAGE	126
SD-0183 THIRD READING SB-0192 SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	157 102
SB-0192 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	102
S6-0206 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
SB-0210 THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0221 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
S3-0239 THIRD READING	PAGE	12
SB-0246 SECOND READING	PAGE	144
SB-0247 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
SB-0248 THIRD READING	PAGE	85
SB-0254 THIRD READING	PAGE	138
SE-0268 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0269 THIRD READING	PAGE	65
SB-0276 RECALLED	PAGE	121
S5-0277 THIRD READING	PAGE	10
SB-0282 THIRD READING SB-0287 THIRD READING	PAGE	36
	PAGE	157
SE-0294 THIRD READING SB-0309 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	86 88
SB-0310 THIRD READING	PAGE	89
SJ-0311 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0314 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0315 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0316 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0317 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0318 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0319 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0320 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0321 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
S6-0322 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
SB-0324 SECOND READING	PAGE	144
S3-0347 THIRD READING	PAGE	90
Sb-0367 THIRD READING	PAGE	121
SB-0370 THIRD READING SB-0371 SECOND READING	PAGE	157
SB-0374 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	143
20-0314 IUIVD KENDING	PAGE	106

: TIFLDAY

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 88TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

#### 93/10/06 09:48:09

# MAY 12. 1993

SE-0383 SECOND READING	PAGE	116
SB-0390 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
SB-0405 THIRD READING	PAGE	109
SJ-0406 SECOND READING	PAGE	117
SB-0411 SECOND READING	PAGE	116
SB-0411 SECOND READING	PAGE	164
SG-0411 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	117
SB-0421 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0432 THIRD READING	PAGE	80
SB-0439 THIRD READING	PAGE	111
SB-0447 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	190
SE-0448 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0453 THIRD READING	PAGE	138
SB-0460 THIRD READING S3-0460 MOTION	PAGE	91
SB-0464 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	109 91
SB-0478 THIRD READING	PAGE	96
S3-0479 THIRD READING	PAGE	112
SB-0482 THIRD READING	PAGE	112
SB-0483 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SU-0483 MOTION	PAGE	189
SB-0486 THIRD READING	PAGE	97
SB-0488 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SH-0499 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0504 THIRD READING	PAGE	38
SB-0520 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
S3-0521 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
SB-0524 THIRD READING	PAGE	40
SB-0525 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
SB-0526 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
SB-0527 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
Sa-0528 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
SB-0529 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
SB-0532 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
Sb-0533 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	190
SB-0538 THIRD READING	PAGE	107
SB-0550 SECOND READING	PAGE	137
SU-0551 SECOND READING	PAGE	169
SB-0551 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	173
SB-0567 SECOND READING	PAGE	117
SB-0567 THIRD READING	PAGE	159
SB-0568 SECOND READING	PAGE	159
SB-0580 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	190
SB-0596 THIRD READING	PAGE	138
SB-0598 SECOND READING SB-0598 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	128
SB-0603 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	135 191
SB-0607 SECOND READING	PAGE	117
SB-0609 THIRD READING	PAGE	97
SB-0610 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0616 THIRD READING	PAGE	71
Sb-0619 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0622 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0623 THIRD READING	PAGE	98
S3-0625 THIRD READING	PAGE	113
SB-0625 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	115
SB-0632 THIRD READING	PAGE	74
SB-0638 THIRD READING	PAGE	108
SB-0641 SECOND READING	PAGE	139
SB-0641 THIRD READING	PAGE	159
SB-0641 THIRD READING	PAGE	166
SB-0651 RECALLED	PAGE	98
SB-0651 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	100
SB-0652 MOTION	PAGE	100

T: TIFLDAY

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 88TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

93/10/06 09:48:09

### MAY 12 . 1993

SE-0666 THIRD READING	PAGE	82
SB-0671 THIRD READING	PAGE	41
S8-0678 SECOND READING	PAGE	143
SJ-0682 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0704 SECOND READING	PAGE	185
SB-0704 THIRD READING	PAGE	188
SB-0704 MOTION	PAGE	186
SB-0734 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
Sb-0735 THIRD READING	PAGE	47
SB-0743 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0775 THIRD READING SJ-0776 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	158 122
SB-0778 SECOND READING	PAGE	140
SB-0710 SECOND READING	PAGE	158
SE-0795 THIRD READING	PAGE	54
SB-0799 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0810 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
Sp-0813 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
S8-0825 THIRD READING	PAGE	14
SB-0841 SECOND READING	PAGE	160
SE-0846 THIRD READING	PAGE	57
S8-0847 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0851 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SJ-0861 THIRD READING	PAGE	159
SB-0865 SECOND READING	PAGE	180
SA-0865 THIRD READING	PAGE	160
SB-0870 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	191
SB-0880 THIRD READING	PAGE	100
SB-0891 SECOND READING	PAGE	140
SB-0891 THIRD READING	PAGE	159
SB-0901 THIRD READING	PAGE	20
SB-0904 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0905 THIRD READING	PAGE	147
SB-0907 THIRD READING	PAGE	148
Sb-0908 THIRD READING	PAGE	151
SB-0910 THIRD READING SB-0914 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0914 THIRD READING S6-0941 THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	153
SB-0941 THIRD READING SB-0944 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	158 192
SB-0945 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
SE-0946 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	192
SB-0947 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	193
SB-0948 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	193
SH-0951 THIRD READING	PAGE	182
SB-0953 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	193
SB-0955 THIRD READING	PAGE	70
SE-0960 SECOND READING	PAGE	140
SB-0960 THIRD READING	PAGE	184
SD-0964 RECALLED	PAGE	124
SB-0964 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	124
SB-0966 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SU-0967 THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SB-0991 THIRD READING	PAGE	60
SB-0993 THIRD READING	PAGE	64
SJ-1031 THIRD READING	PAGE	153
SB-1033 THIRD READING	PAGE	155
SB-1096 THIRD READING	PAGE	61
SS-1099 HELD ON SECOND HR-0775 ADOPTED	PAGE PAGE	193 54
HR-0775 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	54 52
UV-0113 KESOFOLTON OLLEKED	PAGE	26

T: TIFLDAY

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 88TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

93/10/06 09:48:09

MAY 12, 1993

### SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER GIGLIO	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND DARRELL HINKLE	PAGE	ĩ
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	ï
MESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE STECZO IN CHAIR	PAGE	2
INTRODUCE TEACHER OF THE YEAR - JUDY SLOAN	PAGE	5
INTRODUCE DAN FINKE	PAGE	75
REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN CHIAR	PAGE	82
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN CHAIR	PAGE	87
CONSENT CALENDAR - THIRD READING	PAGE	138
REPRESENTATIVE CURRIE IN CHAIR	PAGE	138
REPRESENTATIVE FLOWERS IN CHAIR	PAGE	151
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	189
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	190
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	190
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	190
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	190
PERFUNCTORY SESSION ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	193