24th Legislative Day

- March 11, 1993
- Speaker Giglio: "The hour of 2:15 having arrived, the House will come to order. The Chaplain for today is Reverend Terry Mauler of the Christian Church of Moweaqua in Moweaqua, Illinois. Reverend Mauler is the guest of Representative Duane Noland. Reverend..."
- Reverend Terry Mauler: "May we go in prayer together? Father, we thank You so much for the opportunity we have to meet in a country such as this freely. We thank You that You are here with us today, and we ask Your presence as we pass laws; as we look at Bills together to better serve our constituents in the State of Illinois. We thank You that we have this opportunity. We pray that You'll bless this meeting; that we may act wisely with Your wisdom given to us, for it's in Christ's name. Amen."
- Speaker Giglio: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Noland."
- Noland et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Giglio: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Pedersen, Bernie Pedersen, is excused today."
- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you. Representative Granberg.

 Representative Granberg, on Representative Giorgi."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Representative Giorgi has an excused absence."
- Speaker Giglio: "On the...Representative Giorgi and Representative Pedersen are excused. There being 116 answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. The House is

1 ,

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

ready to do its business. Committee Reports."

- Clerk Rossi: "Representative Giglio, Chairman of the Committee on Rules, to which the following Resolution was referred, action taken March 11, 1993, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do adopt' House Resolution 237. Representative DeJaegher, Chairman of the Committee on Aging, to which the following Bill was referred, action taken March 11, 1993, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill Phelan, Chairman of Representative the Committee on Constitutional Officers, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 11, 1993, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 318, 363 and 689; 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bills 268 and 335; 'do pass as amended Short Debate Status' House Bill 328."
- Speaker Giglio: "Supplemental Calendar 1 is being distributed.

 Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to waive the posting requirements for House Bill 157, so that the Judiciary I Committee can meet this afternoon to discuss its subject matter only at 4:00."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted.

 Attendance Roll Call...by use of the Attendance Roll Call.

 Representative Olson, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On page 8 of today's Calendar appears House Bill 180. That's on Consent Calendar, Second Reading...excuse me, Consent Calendar, Second Day. (House Bill) 180 was amended in committee, and the Amendment was improper; it had a technical correction (sic that) needed to be made. That correction has been distributed, I

3

2 -

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

believe, and I would ask leave of the Body to take this Bill off of Consent Calendar and move it back to Second, table Amendment 1, add Amendment 2 and move back to the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Giglio: "That's fine, Representative Olson. We will do that when we go to the order of the Consent Calendar today.

Thank you."

Olson: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that we suspend the...the provision of the rules so the Rules Committee can meet tomorrow morning on the Senate Amendment to House Bill 157."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to suspend the posting rule requirements so that the Rules Committee could (sic - can) consider Senate Amendment...to House Bill 157. Does the Gentleman have...heard the Motion...does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted. Supplemental Calendar 1. House Resolution 237. Will all Members please be in their seats? Those that are not entitled to the House floor, please leave. Those staff people, please move to the rear of the chambers. Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 237."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, this Resolution would amend the rules which were adopted a few weeks ago, and this Resolution is offered in light of the opinion of Attorney General Burris which (sic - who) was concerned with Senate Rules. But the opinion is such that the interpretation of the Constitution (as rendered by Mr. Burris) would impact upon the current House Rules without this Amendment. And the section in question relates to the...the section of the Constitution which reads that

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

'Committees of each House, joint committees, legislative commissions shall give reasonable public notice Ωf meetings, including а statement of subjects to be considered.' Our examination of House Rules indicated that there were certain areas where, in my judgment, this requirement the Constitution was not being met; and οf because of that, we have recommended this Resolution, and \boldsymbol{I} would like to go step by step through the various changes which are being offered today. Most of this relates to notice requirements of the Rules Committee, and one relates to the notice requirements of the Committee on Assignment. So, number one, concerning the Rules Committee and Rule 14, this is concerned with Conference Committee Reports pending before the Rules Committee, Concurrence Motions, Bills referred to the Rules Committee upon the expiration of the committee or Third Reading deadline and Amendatory vetoes. Currently, no notice by posting is required, but beatings (sic - meetings) will simply be announced from the Chair. This Amendment would provide that there will be according to the following schedule: from the convening of the Spring Session until May 12, there'll be a one-day notice requirement by posting; from May 25 to the Adjournment, there'll be a one-hour requirement; and at all times, there will be a three-hour requirement notice by posting. concerning Rule 29 (and this Next, concerned with the termination of the exempt status of a Bill by the Rules Committee in the second year of term), the current rule provides for two-day's notice by posting. The Amendment would provide, again, the same schedule which I just set out, and again requiring posting...rather, notice by posting. Next is concerned with Rule 20, notice by standing committees to consider

1.

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

Bills after a certain date in the Spring Session. The current rule provides that there shall be no notice by posting for standing committees after May 23, but that meetings are simply announced on the House floor. The Amendment would provide that there, again, will be notice by posting according to the following schedule: May 13 to May 24, three hours; May 25 to the Spring Adjournment, one hour. Concerning the Committee on Assignment, Rule 33: The current rule provides that the notice for meetings of the Committee on Assignment is simply not addressed in the The Amendment would provide that there would be rules. notice included in the Daily Calendar; and, concerning Conference Committees, Rule 79: The current rule provides that notice for meetings of Conference Committees is not addressed in the current rules. The Amendment would provide notice by posting according to the following schedule: from the convening of the Spring Session until May 12, one day; from May 25 to the Spring Adjournment, one hour; all other times, three hours. The model that we used for this Amendment is the section of the current rules which relates to notice requirements for the call of a...rather...not the notice requirements but the delay requirements for the call of a Conference Committee Report, which follows this idea of one day, three hours, one hour. judament. if you take the language of Constitution, if you take the opinion of the Attorney General's office, the Legislature is required, by the Constitution, to provide adequate notice by posting. That simply means that we shall maintain a bulletin board. The notices shall be posted on that board. The notice, which is posted on the board, will give an adequate description of the matters to be considered by whichever committee is

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

posting this notice. Having said that, we have to get to a consideration of the practical requirements that we face in terms of managing the affairs of the House, especially at the end of Session. As we move to the end of Session, scheduling and the workload becomes rather hectic - far different than what exists today, and so I think reasonable to provide that your notice requirements would be greater at the beginning of the Session (when we're not so busy), and then they would shorten as we get into the busier section of the Session, which would be at the end, whether that's at the end of May or some other time this spring. So, having said that, I would simply suggest that...this Amendment constitutes, in my judgment, a very good and adequate response to the opinion of the Attorney General; and, again, in my judgment, it adequately complies with the requirements of the Illinois Constitution relative to notice by posting. And...Mr. Speaker, I would move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition. I wanna...remind...the Members that when we first debated the rules that several Members on this side of the aisle stood up and said that we believe that the rules were unconstitutional, and I'm...I guess I'm glad that the Attorney General has agreed with us, although I'm not sure that I...that I believe that Attorney General has gone far enough in looking at the rules. And so we're here today to address a portion of the rules which are unconstitutional and...to try and make rules constitutional. The provision which these Attorney General focused on and, which is the focus of

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

these changes today, is the provision that relates to notice; and so, the question is, 'What is notice?' Is ten seconds notice - can you give ten seconds that something's gonna happen - give a notice of ten seconds? How about a minute? Is a minute proper proper notice? notice? Thirty minutes? An hour? Three hours? What is proper notice? And that's where we're gonna end up fighting over what is adequate for posting. suggest to you that by the time you post all the notices in all the places that normally get posted around here for the public to know what's going on, that it takes more than an hour and probably more than three hours. And so I would suggest to you that trying to provide notice requirements periods of time are (sic - is) unconstitutional. And even if you could post them time so that the whole world could see brief period of them, is an hour really adequate time for us to do business in this state? I understand that the changes that we're making today are practical, but the question is, 'Does practicality rule the Constitution or does the Constitution set forth the dictates of practicality?' And I would suggest to you that it is the Constitution which must rule in this case, and that these rules today do not solve the problem of the constitutional question. Minority Leader Lee Daniels sent a letter to the Speaker this week suggested several other areas of the rules which we believe also unconstitutional. Those areas relate discharging Bills from the Rules Committee, requiring super-majority votes (where the Constitution does require super-majority votes - those are laid out pretty carefully and pretty clearly in the Constitution), requiring that the public have a copy of Bills and

7

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

Amendments before things are considered around here and the extraordinary majority vote that's required to overrule the Chair. We believe those are other areas that the rules that we operate by here unconstitutional. Let me tell you the impact of what this is all about. This is not just power or who's right or who's wrong or who's in the Chair; it affects every piece of legislation that we pass down here. Tomorrow we're gonna consider a Bill that had just a minor little snag on it, and we're gonna have stay an extra day to...to take care of that little snag. Maybe this is a major snag. Maybe every Bill we pass this Session becomes unconstitutional because of the fact that we passed it under rules that were unconstitutional. can taint every piece of legislation this Session, so we must be very careful that what we do meets the dictates of the Constitution. Ι offer to the Speaker and Rules Committee today that the Minority side would be happy to stay today (as we're going to anyway) and spend today on trying to come up with a set of rules that we all believe pass the Constitutional muster. And I would again reiterate that offer to the Speaker, and I would say that I don't believe that what we're doing here, right now, passes Constitutional muster and that, if ever challenged, we'll be back here again dealing with the same issue. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Wennlund: "Mr. Speaker, has the Attorney General been consulted or has he opined that this Amendment...this Amendment to

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

the rules can...correct the constitutional defects that he has already referred to in his opinion regarding the Senate Rules?"

- Madigan: "Mr. Wennlund, the Attorney General did not consult with

 me before he issued his opinion, and I did not consult with

 the Attorney General before I reached my judgment and

 prepared this Resolution."
- Wennlund: "So at this very quickly prepared Amendment we don't know whether or not the Attorney General might also opine that these Amendments do not correct the constitutional difficulties and the...con...the unconstitutional nature of the current House Rules?"
- Madigan: "...If I could answer in two parts: 1) This Resolution was not quickly prepared. There was a great deal of time and thought given to the preparation of this Resolution; and then 2) I don't know what the Attorney General would say about this Resolution. He might approve; he may not approve; he may simply say, 'I have no opinion'; I just don't know."
- Wennlund: "Well, if that's Representative Churchill's point was that we have an opportunity to sit down and...I....I saw these for the first time an hour and 45 minutes ago. Do we have an opportunity to sit down and to develop a set of rules that could be in a position to carefully draft these rules to comply with the constitutional provisions? With respect to Rule 79(a)-1: Under the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, does that require Conference Committees to actually meet?"
- Madigan: "Mr. Wennlund, it's always been my understanding that the Conference Committee should meet; and, of course, the Conference Committees are composed of all of us, and it's always been my expectation that all of us would meet."
- Wennlund: "I've been here for six years, Mr. Speaker, and I've

24th Legislative Day

- March 11, 1993
- never...I've been on many Conference Committees that have never met. I've never met with a Conference Committee / Report."
- Madigan: "Shame on you, Mr. Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "So what you're saying is...what you're saying is, Mr. Speaker, that Section (a)-1 of Rule 79 does not, in fact, require a Conference Committee to actually hold a meeting and publish a notice of it."
- Madigan: "The rules would require that there be notice by posting, and, as I said, my expectation has always been that the committees should meet."
- Wennlund: "The question wasn't with respect to your expectation; the question was with respect to the rule; and I guess the answer is that, in fact, it does not require that a meeting ever be held by a Conference Committee."
- Madigan: "The rule is the rule, and I...I think we all wanna live by the rules, don't we?"
- Wennlund: "That's why every Member of this House should know exactly what the rule provides, and that's what my question is, Mr. Speaker."
- Madigan: "The rule is the rule as written in plain English."
- Wennlund: "So...you...you don't have an opinion with respect to whether or not Rule (a)-1 of Rule...Subsection (a)-1 of Rule 79 does, in fact, require the Conference Committees meet?"
- Madigan: "I'll have to get the exact language, but, as I said,
 it's my expectation that they should meet."
- Wennlund: "I can appreciate your expectation, and I would certainly expect that also. The question is...is... The language says 'notwithstanding Rule 20, notice by posting for any meeting of a Conference Committee shall be given in accordance with the following schedule.' The question is,

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

'If the Conference Committees never meet are we, in fact, the constitutional defect referred to by Attorney General Roland Burris, wherein in the paragraph of his opinion states that to the extent that that Senate Rule regarding Conference Committees (which is similar to ours) may be intended to relieve Conference Committees from compliance with the Requisite Provisions, it too is invalid?' And, as I read the Constitution, the Constitution requires the committees of each House, Joint Committees of the two Houses Legislative Commissions shall give reasonable public notice of meetings, including a statement of subjects to be considered. Now, when you balance that out with the language that's proposed in the Amendment, Rule 79(a)-1 and Rule 79 is just as unconstitutional as it was prior to the submission of this proposed Amendment; because, in fact, you and I both know that when Conference Committees are circulated for signature and never meet, then how can the public receive reasonable notice in advance of any meeting when there is no meeting, and how can the public be entitled to...or given reasonable notice of the subject matter if, in fact, the Conference Committees which the General says come within the provisions of this...of Article IV, Section 7 of the Constitution, so my How can this proposed change possibly satisfy Section 7 of Article IV of the Constitution when, in fact, no meeting is...is being held and that the subject matter of these Conference Committees is being considered by maybe two or three Legislators who never even talk to each other?"

Madigan: "Mr. Wennlund, the thrust of the Attorney General's opinion was concerned with Senate Rules as they relate to

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

notice requirements. That prompted me to examine our rules thev relate to notice requirements...notice requirements, and this Amendment is designed to respond to questions relative to notice requirements. Concerning your question. is this...is this constitutional...any more than the current rules constitutional? You know as well as I do, the question of constitutionality is something to be determined by a court, not by us, not by the Attorney General. The Attorney General's opinion is an opinion. You have an opinion. have an opinion. Others in this Body would have an opinion as to whether a rule, a law, an action is within the requirements of the Constitution; but, ultimately, the decision will be made by a court, not by this Body. can do, collectively, to the best of our ability, take action - as I think we are doing today with this Resolution - to respond to the requirements οf Constitution. If our action is found to be insufficient or in error, that's a decision to be made, not by us, but by a court."

Wennlund: Speaker, I have great respect for your...for "Mr. your...for your legal knowledge, and...Article IV, Section 7 is very plain in its language, and I think the Attorney General refers to how...just how plain that language is and that it does, indeed, apply to the nature of Conference Committees. And I think that you and I can look at that provision in the Constitution and see that after May 25 adjournment of the Spring Session - whenever that may be - (and after I listened to your comments on Bruce Dumont last week, it may be June 30.), so we may be...we may be talking about a month and six days. And during that period of time if, indeed, a Conference Committee ever does meet

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

around here, all we need to give is one hour notice. Is it your opinion that the one-hour notice complies with the reasonable public notice of meetings provision of that section of the Constitution?"

Madigan: "Yes."

Wennlund: "And is it your legal judgment and opinion that the other one-hour notice provisions that are contained throughout this Amendment also comply with the reasonable public notice provisions and requirements of the Constitution?"

Madigan: "Yes."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll tell you what this is: It's a 'knee-jerk' reaction to the Attorney General's opinion. does no more to solve the unconstitutional defects in the existing House Rules than did the original rules provide It's a sham. It's a feeble attempt to cure some unconstitutional rules. Now, everybody has an idea of what is reasonable, and the courts have long ruled that what is reasonable is what reasonable men would agree is reasonable, and I don't think there's a Member in here that can reasonably say that one hour is reasonable notice of the posting and...and of the subject matter contained Merely reference to a Bill number, Conference Committee report that's three inches thick, that gets dumped on your desk during the waning days of Session that nobody ever met on (why they call it a committee I have no idea and have never been able to determine) because 'committees' imply that they meet, and you and I know the Conference Committees don't meet. would like to know how many times the Speaker met with a Conference Committee. I've never been back in his office

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

meeting with...a...with other conferees on a committee. I get 'em shoved in front of me and get asked to sign 'em, that's all you and I ever will see around here. amended rules still violate Section 7 of Article IV, recalls for 'reasonable notice', and I submit to you one hour is not reasonable notice any more than the no notice provisions. No notice or one hour are (sic - is) the same. They are not reasonable within the purview of the Attorney General's opinion, and I refer to that opinion. On page 7 the Attorney General states: 'It is my opinion, therefore, that the Rules Committee of the Senate must give reasonable public notice of its meetings which notice must include, just a reference to a Bill number, but a statement of the subjects to be considered at the given meeting.' 'Given meeting,' again referring back to a Conference Committee meeting that never takes place. I don't think that these rules - and I think it's clear - that they do not, indeed, satisfy the constitutional defects. And if we were really concerned about having some constitutional rules and not affecting and, remember, that if this Body is acting in an unconstitutional manner, trampling on the rights of the citizens of Illinois by keeping our subject matter quiet and out of the public view because we might not otherwise pass it if indeed it was public - complies with the spirit and intent of the Illinois Constitution. And the framers, in 1970, drafted that Constitution to open up the process so that the citizens of Illinois could know going on here in the General Assembly, have reasonable notice, not only of a time and place of a meeting, but what is gonna be discussed. A Bill number on a three-page Conference Committee Report is hardly notice of the subject matter and there may be 30 subject matters in that

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

Conference Committee Report. I submit to you that these rules are no more constitutional than our existing rules, and, perhaps, it's time - because we certainly don't wanna give anybody in this House more than an hour and a half notice to even see the rules that are now proposed to be adopted, no less the public... You may think that part of the public the way you get notice around here of what's going on or what's going on in a Conference Committee that never meets, but you're not. I submit to you that we need to sit down - there're enough...there's enough legal in this House, talent the House Parliamentarian to come up with some reasonable provisions that comply with the Constitution. It's mistake to adopt them at this time, and you should vote 'no' on these unconstitutional Amendments unconstitutional rules."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and to the real Speaker, I've had an opportunity to serve here for a few years, and I want to tell you how pleased I am to be able to serve here today. Because, you see, today is the first day that I've heard the Speaker of the House come out onto the suggest that the Minority were correct when we told you that the rules were unconstitutional. Ιt must particularly galling to him to have to do this because the Attorney General, a Member of his own party, is a guy (sic - who) gets him out here to do it. I admire his courage, however. He was the one that (sic - who) in Rules Committee to say 'Well, the other rules were unconstitutional, but these rules are constitutional'; and, he's correct. It is a matter of judgment. He is often the

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

person that (sic - who) tells us that he was there when the Constitution of this state was written, and, maybe the only person left in this Body that (sic who), indeed, has that honor. What concerns me, however, is what caused him, in his judgment, to now determine that the rules that were presented before unconstitutional. So I admire...I admire the courage. I think it's a historic day. I don't recall a time when the Speaker of the House has indicated, even by implication, that he was wrong and the Minority was (sic - were) correct on one of these issues. So I thank you today for giving me the opportunity to...be a little bit of history and to hear that, although, Mr. Speaker, I really don't expect you'll stand up and give us credit for that opportunity. Whether these rules are correct or not, everybody has a I could make the argument that allowing less notice later in the Session is really less constitutional because we need more notice then - not less - but, again, that's a judgment, and I'm glad to see that today the Speaker's judgment is that the House Minority were correct just a few days ago. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Speaker Madigan, to close."

Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very simply, ...the current rules, as we have discussed in debate today, ...bear some improvement. This Amendment would bring about that improvement and, in my judgment, would provide that our rules comply with the Constitution of the State of Illinois; and, therefore, I would move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 64 voting 'yes' (sic - 'aye'), 50 voting 'no' (sic - 'nay') and House Resolution 237 is adopted. Resolutions. Committee Reports."

Clerk Rossi: "Representative Homer, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 11, 1993, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 126 and 224; 'do pass as amended' House Bill 273; 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bills 124, 360 and 122."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Last night was the deadline for Introduction of Bills. Congratulations to Representative Levin for getting the last Bill, House Bill 2443. All these Bills have been assigned to a committee; they're now being typed into the computer system, so by tomorrow morning you will know where all of your Bills are assigned. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Rossi: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Harry, Secretary.

'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bill 13, Senate Bill 36, Senate Bill 62, Senate Bill 63, Senate Bill 91. Passed by the Senate, March 11, 1993, Jim Harry, Secretary of the Senate.'"

Speaker Giglio: "Resolutions. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Rossi: "House Resolution 231, offered by Representative Schakowsky; House Resolution 233, offered by Representative Granberg; House Resolution 234, offered by Representative Leitch; House Resolution 235, offered by Representative

24th Legislative Day

- March 11, 1993
- Saviano; House Resolution 236, offered by Representative Woolard; Senate Joint Resolution 25, offered by Senator Severns."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg moves for the passage of the...of the Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it; the Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Resolution 232, offered by Representative Weaver."
- Speaker Giglio: "Committee on Assignments. Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk Rossi: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Harry, Secretary.

 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution 29: Resolved by the Senate of the 88th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, March 12, 1993, they stand adjourned until Tuesday, March 16, 1993, at 12:00 noon. Adopted by the Senate, March 11, 1993. Jim Harry, Secretary of the Senate.'"
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Resolution signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Representative Parcells, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would rise...Representative
 Hicks is not here today or at this moment at least he's
 Chairman of the Insurance Committee, and I, as the Minority
 spokesman, have been asked by him to move to suspend the

1

24th Legislative Day

March 11, 1993

posting notice requirements for a subcommittee hearing for next week. It's the Alternative Policy Subcommittee, which we would have...meet at 3:30, right after the Insurance Committee, and he has asked me to make this request."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Lady's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. By use of the Attendance Roll Call, the Motion is adopted. Therefore, no further business coming before the House, Representative McPike now moves that the House stand adjourned until 9:30 tomorrow morning, 9:30 tomorrow morning. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The House now stands adjourned until tomorrow at 9:30."

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS 88TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

93/03/17 13:42:54

MARCH 11, 1993

HB-0157 MOTION HB-0180 MOTION HR-0237 ADDPTED HR-0237 RESOLUTION OFFERED HR-0237 DISCUSSED	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	2 2 17 16 3	
SUBJECT MATTER			
HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER GIGLIO PRAYER - REVEREND TERRY MAULER PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - REPRESENTATIVE NOLAND ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE COMMITTEE REPORTS COMMITTEE REPORTS MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE AGREED RESOLUTIONS GENERAL RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE ON ASSIGNMENTS ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION OFFERED ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION ADOPTED ANNOUNCEMENT OF ALTERNATIVE POLICY SUBCOMMITTEE	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE	17 17 18 18 18	
HOUSE ADJOURNED	PAGE	19	