95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. If we could get the T.V. camera off of the House floor. The guests in the balcony may wish to rise and join us for the invocation.

  We'll be led in the invocation today by Representative Lou Lang."
- Lang: "As we deliberate on the budget and as we remember our obligations to the citizens of the State of Illinois, it'd be well to remember the words of Isiah, 'And God talks about what he expects of us, to loose the bands of wickedness, to undo the heavy burdens, to let the oppressed go free and that we break every oak. To deal our bread to the hungry, to bring the poor to our house. If we do these things, the glory of the Lord shall be our reward. And when we call upon him he will answer here I am.' Amen."
- Speaker McPike: "We'll be led in the pledge of allegiance by Representative Ann Stepan."
- Stepan: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of
  America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation
  under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Klemm is excused due to illness."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "None on this side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. 117

  Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. The

  Chair would encourage Members to come to the floor so we

  can start the business of the House. It's the intent of

  the Chair to get out of here today Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that we reject the First Conference Committee on Senate Bill 45.

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

And move that we...a Second Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 45 and asks for a Conference Committee...a Second Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. To all the Members that may be in the Stratton Building, the Chair would encourage you to come to the floor so we can move the business of the House. We're going on the Supplemental #1. And we're going to do Conference Committee Reports. like these called now. We'd like them called Children and Family Law, Representative Preston. Santiago. Representative Representative Currie. Representative Keane. Representative Stern on House Bill 1073."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1073 differs only very slightly from the First Conference Committee Report which we passed here. This is a Mental Health Committee Bill and includes a number of areas that we all agreed to, both sides of the aisle, both Houses. I'll be glad to go over it in detail if you want me to. But you have voted for it once and I hope you'll vote for it again."

Speaker McPike: "There being no discussion, the question is,

'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee
Report to House Bill 1073?' All in favor vote 'aye',

opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who
wish? Kirkland 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk
will take the record? On this Motion, there are 115 'ayes'
and no 'nays', and the House does adopt the Second
Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1073. And this
Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Hasara. Representative Hartke, House Bill 1254."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Conference Committee Report #2 on House Bill 1254 does a couple of things. Ιt contains responsibility report card for local units of government. And it's a Bill originally introduced by Representative Parcells. The second section of the Conference Committee Report deals with the marina in lake...on Lake Michigan the Department of Conservation. And the third part is for the City of Flossmoor in Representative Steczo's district. I'd be happy to try to answer any questions about this report."

Speaker McPike: "There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1254?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Jack. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will the record. On this Motion, there are 109 'ayes' and 1 'no' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1254. And this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, declared passed. Representative Homer, 1415. Representative Granberg. Representative Keane. Mr. Keane. Representative Levin, Senate Bill 10. Do you intend to call this Bill, Sir? Today? Senate Bill 11. Representative Levin. Mr. Levin, Senate Bill 11. Bill 445, Representative Hicks. Mr. Hicks. Mr. Keane, Jim Keane, Representative Keane. Representative Capparelli. Representative Steczo. Representative Curran, Mr. Curran. Mr. Preston, Senate Bill 249. Mr. Preston. Representative Preston, do you want to call this Bill?"

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, if we can come back to this, I want to

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

discuss it with Representative Wennlund."

- Speaker McPike: "Okay. Representative Munizzi. Munizzi. Representative Cowlishaw. Senate Bill 307."
- Cowlishaw: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry, I hadn't anticipated this Bill being called right now. This is the Community College Rate Bill. In fact, in another Bill and in another form, we already passed it out of here once already. It is uncontroversial. Everybody associated with community colleges has signed off on it. And I urge its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First
  Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 307?' On that,
  Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Lady a question, please?"

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

- Pullen: "Does this Conference Report pertain to the Illinois Math and Science Academy?"
- Cowlishaw: "There is one section in here that has to do with the Academy, yes."

Pullen: "Could you describe that, please?"

"Well, I think...Let me just briefly read at least an Cowlishaw: excerpt of what this does. 'The 'INSA' Special Trust Fund, which is held outside the State Treasury by the State Treasurer shall receive into that Fund Federal Aid and Grants received by 'INSA' in connection with contracts with government, public or private agencies or persons and disbursements from this fund shall be by warrants drawn by the Comptroller on receipt of vouchers duly executed and certified by 'INSA'. All federal monies received as reimbursement for expenditures from the General Revenue Fund and that were made for the purposes authorized for expenditures from the 'INSA' Special Purposes Trust Fund shall be deposited by the Academy into the General Revenue

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Fund. So that any Federal monies that come to INSA, as a reimbursement for General Revenue Funds of the state that have already been expended, the Academy is obliged to deposit that money back into the State Treasury. So, that we get...the state gets...reimbursed for those expenditures."

Pullen: "The balance of the Bill is what you've previously described?"

Cowlishaw: "Yes, the balance of the Bill is nothing but the rate...the rate Bill for the community colleges, which is, as I say, everyone has agreed to."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Hultgren." Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I respectfully disagree that this Bill noncontroversial. It does establish new rates for community colleges in the State of Illinois. but certainly is not a Bill without some controversy. In fact, these same rates the Senate rejected when they passed Senate Bill 309. It means a loss to many of the Members here or to the community colleges they represent. a loss to Belleville of \$130,000. It means a loss Harper Junior College of \$100,000. Do you represent Harper? It means a loss to Illinois Central College of \$121,000. It means a loss to Illinois Eastern of \$104,000. It means a loss to Joliet of \$62,000. It means a loss to Lake Land of \$68,000. It means a loss to Moraine Valley of \$133,000. It means a loss to Parkland of \$102,000. Ladies and Gentlemen, there is an alternative, Senate Bill And that alternative would mean more money for all of those colleges which I just read and others. Now, we can reject this Conference Committee Report, insist on the Senate

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

version contained in 309, and all of those colleges will do better. I suggest a 'no' vote on the Second Conference Committee Report in which the Conference...Conferees would adopt the rates set forth in Senate Bill 309, which passed the Senate some time ago."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I don't know where the previous speaker got his numbers, but they are not correct. For example, he mentioned Lake Land which is in He may be referring to this proposal as my district. opposed to the House initial proposal and, in fact, it does lose money. But this proposal compared to Fiscal Year '91, Lake Land Community College gets \$350,000 more not less as he has just stated. I think, as a compromise measure, this Bill is the best that we're going to see. If we do nothing, the losses are going to be considerably higher than they are under this Bill. If you have a question about the numbers to your specific community college, come over here and we'll show you what the actual figures are, in comparison to their Fiscal But this Bill, I think, is the best game in appropriation. town. It deserves an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I agree wholeheartedly with the most recent previous speaker. This, we hope, will be the last day of our Legislative Session for this spring. And, if this does not pass many of our community colleges downstate will, in fact, lose additional money. And so I would urge Members to support this Conference Committee Report. So, that we can go home having done the best possible for our community colleges."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I totally agree with the

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

previous two speakers relative to this arrangement. Without it there will be truly losses, with it everybody gains a little. This is a difficult time and especially, as the previous speaker stated, when we're getting down here to the end of this Session. The Representative that stated there were many losses is totally incorrect. I have the sheet before me. And the reason the Bill, when it was under this same subject matter was under another Senate Bill 299, was there was a misunderstanding on the staff part. And then it was agreed that we would move onto a Republican Bill in Senate Bill 307. All the conferees have signed off except for one. And I that this is a good move. It's a betterment for all of the community colleges. Ιt is supported by the Illinois Community College Board of Trustees and et cetera. I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 307?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? all voted? Representative Hultgren, if you could against every Bill it would help. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion. there are 111 'ayes' and 6 'nos'. And the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report Senate Bill 307. And this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Curran. Mr. Curran, you have a Bill on the Calendar. Yes, House Bill 493. Would like to call these Bills today. Alright, the Chair would ask if Representative Santiago, Representative Representative Hasara. Representative Homer's here? No? Representative Currie, would you like to call your Bill? Well, we'll just take it off the Calendar then.

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Representative Curran's ready to go. Representative Curran."

Curran: "Change of heart, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "House Bill 493."

Curran: "House Bill 493 amends the Illinois Purchasing Act. And provides that, if you have a company where somebody is working for the company has been convicted of some wrongdoing, that the company may do business with the state, if that person who does the wrongdoing leaves the company. And then that company would not be held responsible for something that one of their employees happened to do. I don't know of any opposition. Eight of the ten Members signed the Conference Committee Report. I'll be glad to try to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Representative, the...I think the original problem was with the section, if someone was convicted of a felony could no longer do business with the state. And I think, some of the objections were that they wanted that corrected to say, if the individual in a company was dismissed or no longer with the company then, of course, the company might continue to do business with the state. I think, in our initial go round, that was not clear. Is that the case now?"

Curran: "That is correct. And now it is made clear that the company may, if they have gotten rid of the individual who is doing...who is responsible for the wrongdoing. If they've gotten rid of that person then they may do business with the state. But that individual, of course, may not."

Black: "And the veterans preference language is still intact or

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

is it gone?"

Curran: "I do not know and it does not reflect that on the...I think you've been told by staff."

Black: "It's staff just said that's...staff said that's been eliminated. So, evidently, the only thing in here is the ability to do business with the state if a company has gotten rid of the person who committed the offense. So, I know of no objection to your Bill."

Curran: "Correct. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pullen."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I do have an objection to this Bill. What this does is take all the teeth out of the current statute. And it provides that no person business entity convicted of a felony shall do business with the state or any state agency, from the date of conviction, until one year after the date of completion of the sentence for such felony. That's what the law says Already the law allows such a business entity or a now. person to re-engage in state business a year after the date of completion of the sentence for the felony. But what the Conference Committee seeks to do is water that law down still farther, so that the company or the business entity could continue to do business with the state throughout, as long as they got rid of the one individual who was The law, as it is, is not very strong. What convicted. the Conference Committee on House Bill 493 seeks to do take out whatever scrap of ethical teeth were in this law to begin with. It isn't necessary. There are plenty of businesses that have a clean record and businesses that employ people with clean records to do business with state so that the state is not going to sacrifice the ability to perform some service if this Bill is not passed. But this Bill is obviously being offered for some

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

particular business entity or some particular person who falls outside the current law, in terms of being able to do business with the state. And I would urge the House to support the concept that the state do business with those who are law abiding and continue to refuse to do business with those who have committed felonies. It was good public policy when it was adopted. It got watered down some over the years. Don't defang it altogether by passing this Bill. Please. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you. A question for the Sponsor?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Homer: "For purpose of legislative intent, Representative Curran, could you expand upon the meaning of the words, 'continue to have any involvement with the business entity'?"

Curran: "Well, I have asked some of the people who came up with this language what that meant. I said, 'Does that mean that you couldn't have any stock in the company?' They said, 'Yes, that means that you couldn't have any stock in the company.' I said, 'Does that mean that you could not have any contracts with that company?' 'Yes, that means you could not have any contracts with that company.' So I think it means that there is in no way, shape or form can the company continue to have any relationship with or can the person who has the violation chalked up behind their record have any relationship with the company. Even if that means doing business with that company such as owning stock or having a contract with them."

Homer: "And that would also include interest of that person's spouse?"

Curran: "It would be my intent that it would have interest for that person's spouse. Certainly as long as they file joint returns."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Homer: "And that, in fact, the individual with the conviction, in order for this company to qualify for state contracts in less than the one year interval, would have to be totally disassociated and have no financial or any other direct or indirect interest in that corporation. Is that correct?"

Curran: "That is correct."

Homer: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Curran."

Curran: "I think we have discussed this enough. Representative Black spoke in favor of it. This is just fair for companies, so that their...A company that may have thousands of employees isn't dragged down forever because of the indiscretions of one of their employees, perhaps even in another state. This is only fair legislation and I ask for a favorable Roll Call. Move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 493. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 89 and 23 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 493. And received the Three-Fifths Constitutional having Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Preston, are you ready to call your Bill? We can wait. Representative Munizzi. Representative Preston on Senate Bill 249."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Conference Committee
Report on Senate Bill 249 is really accepting the Amendment
that was put on in the Senate. The Conference Committee
Report, I understand, has passed the Senate. It is in the
same posture it was when it left the House. The Report has
something to do that Representative Wennlund wanted done,

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

regarding the Illinois Commerce Commission. I can't pretend to know all the specifics of it but it did pass the House once before in the same form it is now and I'd ask that we accept the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion. Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

- Black: "In the absence of the Sponsor of Amendment #2, I have no idea what the Amendment does in relation to a slap suit.

  Can you enlighten me as to what we're doing with that Amendment?"
- Preston: "Well, I don't...You mentioned slap suits before, I don't really know what you're referring to concerning slap suits. That's not what the Amendment...Reading from the Amendment as it is written, 'This Amendatory Act of 1991 is declaratory of existing law and is intended to remove possible ambiguities, thereby confirming the existing meaning of the Code of Civil Procedure and the Illinois Municipal Code, in effect before the effective date of this Amendatory Act of 1991.' That's basically the additional language that it is adding to the statutes. So, I don't know..."
- Black: "So, your idea...The original language had something to do with limiting the ability to file what...And, forgive me, I'm not an attorney, a slap suit. It had some limitation on that. I think that's now out of the Bill altogether?"
- Preston: "I can't tell you what's out, I can only tell you what

  I'm reading from Mr. Wennlund's Amendment that's in."
- Black: "Alright, the Amendment then has to do with eminent domain."
- Preston: "Right, for sports stadiums, railroad companies or other public utilities subject to the jurisdiction of the

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- Commerce Commission."
- Black: "And...It allows the property to be taken without the prior approval of the Illinois Commerce Commission.

  Correct?"
- Preston: "That's correct. But that is...my understanding is that is existing law but this codifies that existing law."
- Black: "Okay, thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston, there is a request to take this out of the record until Representative Wennlund comes back. Thank you. Representative Curran."
- Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of personal privilege. I know that visual displays are not allowed here in the House. But I want to show you what I have in my hand, this is a cookie, there are four hundred such cookies. And they are here today together with the wife of our beloved doorkeeper, Emery Koehler. Buelah still here? Where did Buelah go? Today is Emery Koehler's 60th birthday. This is the first time in history he's had the opportunity to celebrate it with us. Come back to Emery's desk and get a cookie. And Mr. Speaker, while the microphone's still open...My birthday is September 23rd, I do not want you here."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston. Representative Preston."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Perhaps instead of distributing cookies, Emery would be good enough to distribute our checks."
- Speaker McPike: "Is anyone on the House floor ready to call their Bill? Santiago. Hasara. The House will stand at ease. The House would prefer not to stand at ease but no one wishes to call their Bill. If there's any Members in the Stratton Building, the House would once again encourage you to come over here so we can move these Bills. Mr. Clerk,

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

while we're at ease, turn on Representative Harris. Come to order. The House will come to order. If there's any Members in the Stratton Building, the Chair would request that you come to the floor. Representative Hasara is here on House Bill 1123."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First Corrected Conference Committee Report on House Bill 23 (sic — House Bill 1123) makes a few technical changes to a Bill that passed about two weeks ago, Senate Bill 907. It makes one provision for the Circuit Court Automation Fee applicable to the entire state not just to Cook County, that was just an oversight. And it includes a couple of chapters that had inadvertently been left out of the Bill. So, I move for the adoption of First Conference Committee Report...First Corrected Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1123."

Speaker McPike: "There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1123?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, Saltsman 'aye', On this Bill, there's 100...On this Motion, there's 110 'ayes' and 1 'no' and the House does adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1123. And this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. Representative Munizzi, Senate Bill 299."

Munizzi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I move to concur with the Second Conference Committee Report for Senate Bill 299. The First Conference Committee Report failed in the Senate that regarded allocations for the Illinois community colleges. And the Second Report has come back without that language. It now has the original

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

First Amendment language that was on the Bill regarding the Illinois Student Assistance Commission for...with regard to the Illinois Energy Scholarship Program and I ask that we get a favorable Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Would she yield one moment? Pam, I don't have the

Conference Committee Report in front of me and I wanted to

ask you what the language is regarding adult education
apportionment rate in the Bill."

Munizzi: "The adult education apportionment rate?"

Matijevich: "Yeah, is that...Yeah."

Munizzi: "It's not in there, that's what we've taken out of the Bill."

Matijevich: "Not in there, alright."

Munizzi: "That's what we...It failed in the Senate."

Matijevich: "Okay."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Yeah. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Representative, it's my understanding that the only thing in this Conference Committee Report is the creation of some scholarships for those people going into engineering. It has nothing to do with community colleges, rate bills or anything else. Is that correct?"

Munizzi: "Yes, Sir."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 299?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 115 'ayes' and 1 'no' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Senate Bill 299. And this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Keane on House Bill 2148."

Keane: "Mr. Speaker, could we go to 872 first?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Senate Bill 872."

"On 872, I've explained this Bill on two Keane: previous occasions. This one may be the lucky one. is...allows Cook County who has unexpended bond moneys from bonds they've levied or they've floated thirty years to use that fund...to use the \$11 million surplus for county roads and construction. It... The second part of it, it clarifies current policy of non-proration of property tax penalties due to a recent court interpretation. are a number of provisions that Chicago Bar Association wants. It repeals existing law with the right redemption and replaces the tax in a new form. It expands the category of property owners eligible for the two and a half year redemption period. It creates a fifth and sixth penalty period. It creates the financial incentive to tax buyers...by subsequent taxes and eliminates the right to redeem partial interest in tax delinquent property. I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentlemen yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Kubik: "Representative Keane, I'm interested in the proration part of this particular Bill and perhaps you could clarify that for me. It is my understanding that the way you have written the Bill is that...First of all, does it apply statewide?"

Keane: "I don't know. My...I believe it does, but I'm not sure."

Kubik: "Okay."

Keane: "What it does, it says, as of ... and this is regarding a

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

court interpretation of existing language. As of now a tax payment that is three days late is assessed a prorated penalty. This language, the new language would require that a tax payment three days late would be assessed a full month's 1.5% penalty."

Kubik: "Well, then that's kind of where I'm coming from. So what we're basically saying is that if you're late with a tax payment, under the way the court has ruled, you would be prorated...The penalty would be prorated for three days and...But under your Bill..."

Keane: "No. No. No. No. Because of a court case, if it's been...If you're three days late, it requires a full month and a half. Prior...The current policy of non-proration due to a recent court interpretation of existing language.

It says, 'As of now a tax payment that is three days late is assessed a prorated penalty.'

Kubik: "I thought... my understanding was it was the other way around. But what you're saying is that the Bill would prorate rather than have the full impact of a monthly..."

Keane: "That's...That's my understanding. I don't have a copy of the Bill in front of me..."

Kubik: "Well, I...Representative, can we get a clarification of this before we proceed?"

Keane: "Yes."

Kubik: "I guess three isn't enough."

Keane: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to pull this one out of the record and maybe try 2148 and see if that one works."

Speaker McPike: "Alright. House Bill 2148."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Conference Committee Report for 2148 provides that in municipalities of less than 500,000, the municipal clerk as authorized by corporate authorities may appoint one deputy clerk who need not be a resident of the municipality. The second provision

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

provides that in case of cellular phones, paging systems and so forth. the telephone tax shall be paid from the billing address only and not from the place where the call originates. Third, it provides that a government official or agency receiving goods or services must approve or disapprove a bill from the contractor for goods or services furnished to the local government within 30 days. provides that when a contractor receives that payment he has to pay the sub-contractor in proportion to the work completed. Further, if the contractor receives less than full payment for services, the contractor must pay the sub-contractor a prorated basis on the funds the contractor However, when the does receive. public owner does not release full payment due to the contract because there are specific areas of work or materials deemed unsuitable, contractor shall be...not be liable to the subcontractor for the work deemed unsuitable. Be happy to answer questions. And ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I urge all of you who live in Cook County. suburbs and in the collar counties, to take particular note this Conference Committee Report is Currently, your cities and villages, in suburban Cook County and in the collar counties, are getting credit for the phone tax paid on cellular phones because the calls originated there. Now this amounts to a lot of money in some villages, as much as 60 to \$120,000. What this would Because if you have a 312 do is, it would change it. number that is billed in the City of Chicago. office, that's where the tax will go. Instead of where the call originates out in the suburbs, such as a call in Wheaton, for instance, that might be billed to a 312 number

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

in the City of Chicago. It shifts the tax money from going to the collar counties, the municipalities in the collar counties and the municipalities in Cook County, from those to the city. And if you're concerned about that kind of a loss to your municipalities, then you'd vote against this Conference Committee Report. Because that's what I'm going to do."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McAfee."

McAfee: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 2148 is a prime example of taking from Peter to pay Paul. this case, Peter are a lot of small towns and communities that rely heavily on what is existing, and that is where these phone calls originate and cellular phones. It's going to benefit those towns and cities, however, that have a lot of businesses, the big cities, and it's going to hurt a lot of the small towns. In my district alone there are two communities that have these cellular towers that benefit from it. It's revenue's dream, it's a revenue source. It's going to And it's going to hurt now, especially, when we're talking about the recent Bill we had last evening. very much all of you, who pride yourself, who have small towns and small communities, to vote 'no' on this Conference Report."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I encourage you to vote 'yes' on this. I think it's been explained properly but somewhat confusingly. Most of the towns in this state will profit from this. 140 towns will actually get more money from the cellular phones and only 9 will lose. I happen to be from a town that does have one of these towers. We may come out about the same because we

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

also have people who have cellular phones. essence, your towns. your 140 towns, will come out ahead and only 9 towns stand to lose any kind of money at It's a good idea. It's the way everybody else does it, Ameritech and every other one does it. And it would be unfair to put this phone company out of business by having them have to be taxed at the local level and also where the tower is. I would ask for your 'aye' vote on this Bill." Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "This is a very interesting proposal. I think that if the proposal is to treat everyone fairly if you have a credit card. You can make that call from anywhere in the country and the charge for that call is billed to office, for example, or your home. If you make a call from your residence it is billed to where that call is made, your residence. It seems to me that if you're making a call from a cellular phone in a vehicle, the extra charge should not be established because you're calling on Route 57 when, in fact, the billing address happens to be back in Spring Valley, for example. Ιt suggests an additional charge. That should be eliminated. And what just as it is with every other phone for established is every other business. Where you generate that call is where it gets billed. And nothing should be any different because otherwise, let us assume that you would make a call from your office here in Springfield. Why do you make calls from your office in Springfield, is probably the best analysis. Ιf you make the calls from your office in Springfield, no matter where you call it's billed right here, to your office in Springfield. It should not be billed to Wheaton, Illinois or wherever. Ιt should be where you made those calls. And I think Conference Committee Report that corrects an inequity, that

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

causes people with cellular phones to pay an additional charge if they happen to be driving on the highway and making a call from that location. I stand in support of it. 2148."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2148?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 94 'ayes' and 15 'nos' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2148, and this Bill having received Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Preston. Mr. Preston. Representative Wennlund. Representative Wennlund would like to handle the Bill for Representative Preston. Proceed, Sir."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The Bill...The Bill is Senate Bill 249."

Wennlund: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is Senate Bill 249. It contains corrective language to make it clear that the provision in the Municipal Code provides that Municipalities have the authority to condemn utilities within their boundaries without going first to the Commerce Commission was put in jeopardy by the Sport Stadium Act that we passed here about three years ago. And Chapman Cutler's raised a question as to the validity...with whether or not they have that power or whether there was an intention to change that, and in fact, there was not. This corrects it with some technical language and I ask for the adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston to close."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For all the reasons mentioned by Representative Wennlund and for many reasons that he

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

failed to mention, I'd ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt this First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 249?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill...On this Motion, there's 103 'ayes' and 4 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 249. And this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Farley, House Bill 175."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to accept the Second Conference Committee Report for House Bill 175, which is a Worker's Compensation Act. It does two things. It requires employers to give written explanation to an injured worker of any termination or suspension of temporary total benefits. It also raises the burial award from \$1750.00 to \$4,200. I think it's a reasonable Conference Committee Report, Mr. Speaker, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Motion, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To the Bill. I want to quote to you from the...an Illinois Press clip, from the Peoria Star Journal written by Bill O'Connell, on April 9th of 1991. It stated AFL—CIO President, Richard Walsh, appealed again last week to House Speaker Michael Madigan, Democrat from Chicago, to dump the so called Agreed Bill process that takes the nearly biannual battles between management and labor, over issues like Workmen's Compensation and jobless benefits out of the legislative arena and into a summit of discussions between the two parties. At their spring convention this spring, the AFL—CIO adopted a plank in their platform. And that plank asked that the Agreed Bill process be dumped all

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

over. Crane Chicago Business says that the process of mediation by the Governor's Office between business and labor got under way this year only after labor's proposals were approved by the Senate Labor Committee and sent to the full House chamber for consideration. It's unfortunate that labor and the Senate Democrats attempted to short cut the Agreed Bill negotiating process that has been used so well over the past decade. This Bill is an attempt short circuit and to avoid the Agreed Bill process. Now nobody, business or labor or anybody on either side of the saying that burial benefits shouldn't be increased to \$4,000 or whatever the number is. saying that that's not fair. But on the other hand, one of labor's...business proposals was to provide that if a guy's faking it, then he ought to pay the benefits back. I think that's fair. Now, we've always done this by the four leaders of the House and the Senate and the Governor. And business and labor would sit down and agree on changes that should be made to the process and to the Workmen's Compensation Act. But when the Senate Labor Committee it out and starts it, with a gun at their head, and business is shut off. In fact, it was labor who walked out of the meeting, not business. The problem is that what labor sought was fair I mean, nobody has any quarrel with that. But what business also sought was fair and nobody had any quarrel with that. But when business is shut out of the process and the Agreed Bill process is destroyed, when it's worked so well in the past years, that's a shame. To bring about the demise of the Agreed Bill process on Unemployment Insurance and on Worker's Compensation will do nothing but put it into chaos and they'll be fighting over for years to come. I urge everybody not to destroy the Agreed Bill process. To bring labor and business back to

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

the tables, so they can sit down and talk about their common concerns. And can come out with as they have in years past, an Agreed Bill that benefits both business and labor. The third panel request, that it be made permanent. The Workers' Compensation and the Industrial Commission has caught up with its work load. If it's needed again business says, 'Fine, let's bring it back.' But in the mean time, even though that reasonable request wasn't even heard, the only thing that's in 175 is what labor that that's what's going to be. No discussions were had with business. I think it's a shame to the Agreed Bill process and to actually run these Bills without even discussion with business, as to what that they want to accomplish. The award of attorneys' fees, for instance. A court case had held that Attorneys fees may be awarded when the employer has engaged in unreasonable and vexatious delay. But no provision is made in Section 16 for the award based upon conduct prescribed in Section 19(f). And the Court said that the Commission did not err and refused to award attorneys fees. Changing the law by this Bill without the input of labor is a shame. Putting an end to the Agreed Bill process is a shame. should all join together and say 'no' to this. Say 'no' to killing the Agreed Bill process. And go forward and create a new bargaining table again, so that business and labor can once again sit down at the table and do things for the mutual interest of Illinois. And to keep business in Illinois."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, sort
of in response to what Representative Wennlund has said, I
think you might also recall that somewhere you read that
the Democrats in caucus felt that we should continue the

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Agreed Bill process. That it has worked under Speaker Madigan and we thought it would work in the future. what this Bill proves is that business was taking advantage of the Agreed Bill process much like, I must say, that Illinois Municipal League has taken advantage in the pension negotiations where under Speaker Madigan, had the...both the...you might say, both sides sit down and negotiate on what can be agreed to. And they now believe that by not agreeing to anything, they become winners. they abuse what is the real intent of the Agreed Bill process. And so what this Bill is, is that it proves the Agreed Bill process is not working any more. And I think it ought to be a warning to the business interests. This is a very modest Bill that everybody ought to support. But, as far as I'm concerned, it is my warning at least to business that you blew it. You didn't live up in good faith to the Agreed Bill process. And if you now change your ways, and are not going to live up to what has been good faith, believe me there's going to be tougher times ahead. So, I would urge the Members to put this warning to the business interests, 'You're either going to live up to what the Agreed Bill process is, to negotiate in good faith, or else there are going to be tougher Bills ahead.' So, I urge the Membership to vote for this. It's the death benefits, everybody's for it. But this Bill, believe me, has more meaning to it than that."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, it's really amazing, we've attempted to have an agreed process here for the last few years. And the last couple of years one of the main sticklers for not being able to get to the table from the business stand point, was that unless the independent contractors' status

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

was on the table, they wouldn't come to the table. And at the same point in time, labor said if the lockout situation wasn't on the table, they wouldn't come to the table. And you know, last year I tried to tell them...that it was a funny thing, but both of those Bills were sponsored by me. And yet, neither one would come to the table when I had the sponsorship of both those Bills. So we really didn't have an agreed process because in reality, one Sponsor wasn't trying to stick it to the other one, because I sponsored both Bills. And yet, we couldn't get to it. I stand in support of the Gentleman's very fine work with this Conference Committee and urge all the Members to vote for this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 175?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

Representative Parcells to explain her vote."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, if you want to be here longer next year than we're here this year. then go ahead and vote for this Bill. An 'aye' vote is a bad vote here. Because these things that are in this Bill were never even brought to the table. And when they went out to discuss the things that were on the table, labor never returned to the table. It was only business that came back to that table. They had many things they had agreed upon. Business was happy to do this burial benefit. But if we have this in California, right now they're in over Session, not just budget, on Workmen's Comp. going to have a million Bills here from both business and labor. The agreed process is a process that works. And it's the process that we should continue with. You're going to be here 'til August next year, if you vote on this Bill."

95th Legislative Day July 18, 1991

Speaker McPike: "Representative Harris to explain his vote."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Electrician."

Harris: "Do I get an extra minute?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Electrician, the Chair would like to thank you for fixing Mr. Harris' light."

Harris: "That electrician does a good job, doesn't he? Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are two sides to every And they say, labor says, that business didn't come to the table fairly and business says that labor didn't come to the table fairly. You know, there're a lot of issues on the table. I'm here to tell you that this and this Bill was not one of them. This language was never the table. This is an end run around the Agreed Bill process. Specifically, not the burial benefit, which was always agreed to, but the other portion of the Bill. This takes a...a court action and makes an end run around the Industrial Commission and enacts it into law. It was never on the table. You're making an end run around the Agreed Bill process. It's destroying the Agreed Bill process. The correct vote is going to be a 'no' vote, because it's not the appropriate thing to do. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. And thank you for recognizing Representative Harris. To explain my vote, let me just say that the basic benefit is not questioned here, the process is. Maybe I see this differently because I live on a border district with Indiana. And I can tell you one thing that is of critical importance to both labor and business, and that is the cost of doing business in the State of Illinois. I am, as we speak, working with a small business operation located in Danville, whose Workers' Compensation Insurance Rates this year will be \$45,000 a

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

year. That small business man has told me that he has little choice but to look for a location in Indiana to operate his business and I have no doubt that he will do so. So who wins in that case? We lose all the way around. Labor will lose jobs. We'll lose the revenue from a...from an Illinois based business. So if we don't follow the Agreed Bill process, then everybody loses. We lose jobs. We lose business. And I don't see that there are any winners, no winners, in that scenario. So, I would ask you to rethink your position. And further, Mr. Speaker, should this get the requisite number of votes to pass, I would seek a verification."

- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Parke. Mr. Parke. The Gentleman's not here, turn him off. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 67 'ayes' and 4 'nos'. Mr. Black, this requires 60 votes and there are 60 people here. You insist on the...persist on your verification? Mr. Black?"
- Black: "Mr. Speaker, with all due respect to the Chair, I must persist."
- Speaker McPike: "Fine. Mr. Farley asks for a poll of those not voting. Vote Mr. Hensel 'present'."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Johnson and Mulcahey, are the only Members not voting."
- Speaker McPike: "Proceed with the Poll of the Affirmative."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Balanoff. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Burke. Capparelli. Curran. Currie. Davis. Deering. DeJaegher. Dunn. DeLeo. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hicks. J. Hoffman. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Levin. Marinaro. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McAfee. McGuire. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Novak. Obrzut.

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Phelan. Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Rotello. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Schakowsky. Schoenberg. Shaw. Steczo. Stepan. Trotter. Walsh. White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Present."

Speaker McPike: "Vote Mr. Johnson 'present'. Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Representative Preston?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Preston. Lee Preston. Mr. Preston here?

Mr. Preston. The Gentleman is not here. Where? The

Gentleman is not here. Remove him from the Roll."

Black: "Representative Turner?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Turner. Art Turner. Mr. Preston is here.

Return him to the Roll Call. Mr. Turner. Art Turner? The

Gentleman's not here. Remove him."

Black: "Representative Santiago?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Santiago. Mr. Santiago. The
Gentleman is not here. Remove him from the Roll Call. Mr.
Obrzut is in the center aisle. He'd like to be verified.
Fine."

Black: "We've been here so long...Is Representative DeLeo over there with the bad hair cut?"

Speaker McPike: "Jimmy DeLeo. Mr. DeLeo. DeLeo here? He's not here. Remove him from the Roll."

Black: "Representative Homer?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Homer is here."

Black: "You were right, Mr. Speaker, nothing further."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. On this Motion, there are 64 'ayes' and 4 'nos'. And the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 175. And this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on Calendar announcement."

Clerk Leone: "Supplemental #2 to the House Calendar is now being distributed."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Homer. Mr. Keane, do you have any other Bills you'd like to call?"

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I believe we're..."

Speaker McPike: "872 or 972."

Keane: "872."

Speaker McPike: "No. How 'bout 972? Senate Bill 972."

Keane: "I really don't want to call that one. But 872 would be fine if you think it's appropriate."

Speaker McPike: "We're not quite ready for 872. Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to announce that the last banana run has been made, because we'll probably be getting home very soon. And I welcome you all to have one last banana and peanut butter for...before the end of Session."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hasara on a Motion."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to Rule 73(a) and having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 1123 First Corrected Conference Committee passed."

Speaker McPike: "Yes and an explanation to the Body, the Senate passed the First Conference Committee Report and the House passed the First Corrected Conference Committee Report. So the Lady's Motion is to reconsider the vote by which the House adopted the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1123. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Mr. Clerk. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 103 'ayes' and no 'nays', and the Motion to reconsider...Ropp 'aye'. And

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

the Motion to reconsider the vote carries. Representative Hasara now moves that the House not adopt the Committee...the Conference First Corrected Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1123 and asks for Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'ave'. opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. Representative Currie. Santiago. I know, we're going to leave tonight. Representative Currie, do you ever wish to call this Bill? The Chair will stop going to you. Thank Representative Schakowsky. you. Representative Levin. Ellis Levin here? Mr. Hicks, Senate Bill 445? Not yet. The Chair would like to go to the Order of Appropriations. Ryder. Mr. Weaver. Mr. Olson. Mr. McGann. Hanniq. Mr. LeFlore. Mr. Hicks. Please come to the Is Mr. Ryder here? Alright, House Bill 319, Mr. Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

This is the ordinary and contingent expense of the Auditor

General. It was introduced at \$11,757,000 in GRF. It is

now down 5% from the...in the Conference Committee or as a

result of Conference Committee action at 11,157,700. I

move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 319?' All favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all those in voted? Have all voted who wish? Brunsvold 'ave'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 102 'ayes'...104 'ayes' and 4 And the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 319. And this Bill having received Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 376, Representative Hanniq. Would the respective Chairmen please turn on their lights

95th Legislative Day

- July 18, 1991
- when their particular Bill is being read. So the Chair knows who to recognize. Representative Hannig, is this yours?"
- Hannig: "Mr. Speaker, I'm a little confused of the number we have up here. Is that...My analysis is not sure that is the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Take this out of the record. Let's have the staff straighten it out. Representative Younge, for what reason do you rise?"
- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the presenter indicate the difference of the budget for this year and last year, the reduction, if there is one? So we won't have to keep asking that question."
- Speaker McPike: "Yes. The request is that despite the hour, no one is requesting a lengthy explanation but a brief explanation of the Bill would be helpful. House Bill 393.

  393. Representative Hannig."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

  This is the...Legislative Support Agency is a part of the...House of Representatives and the Senate. It was introduced at \$11,763,000, it's now at 11,225,000. It's down 5%. I'd move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 393?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 107 'ayes' and 3 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 393. And this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 395, Representative Hannig."
- Hannig: "Ordinary and contingency expense of the General Assembly. It was introduced at 23,193,000 for GRF, it's

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

now at 22,698,000. And the continuing operation lines are down 5%. I'd move for its adoption."

- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 395?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there's 104 'ayes' and 4 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 395. And this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 545, Representative Capparelli."
- Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, I concur with Senate Amendment #1 to
  House Bill 545, which increased the appropriation for the
  Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority Improvement Bond
  Fund to pay for the principal, interest. Total package,
  \$37,020,560."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 545?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 73 'ayes' and 29 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 545. And this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 581, Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Conference Committee Report on House Bill 541 (sic House Bill 581) provides for a total expenditure of \$5,141,184 all GRF, which is '91 expenditures. This Conference Committee Report is the OCE of the State Board of Elections...That...What this does is the House concur in the Senate Amendment #1. It reduced operations by 87,516

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

all GRF and that...and then there was a typographical technical error that had to be corrected on a line item reduction, as it pertains to election judges, by 20,000 GRF. And language was added that allows a line item for congressional legislative and representative apportionment maps, that were adopted in a prior Bill sent to the Governor. And I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 581."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 581?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 112 'ayes' and 2 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 581. This Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Ryder on House Bill 629."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a Prairie State 2000 authority. It has received an additional \$2 million for job training in the supplemental and we would ask to concur with the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 629."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 629?' A11 those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 629. And this having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Bill Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Conference Committee on House Bill 631 makes appropriations for the ordinary and

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

contingent expenses of the Department of Lottery. The total appropriated is \$429,937,000. That is down \$3,280,000 as introduced. Move for passage."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There was an earlier request. that...which I would like to repeat at this point, that the Sponsor of the legislation indicate either in dollars or percent, how the legislation compares now with the fiscal '91 expenditures. There was an off the microphone representation. And a lot of these Bills are introduced at the FY '91 level but not all of them. So, I think we'd...because of the tight money situation were in, deserve to know whether an agency is up or down from the fiscal '91 expenditures, not from a level of introduction by the...in the Governor's budget."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In reply, the change from fiscal year of past is \$2,684,000 decreased."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 631?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 104 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 631 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 663. The Chair will repeat the request that has come twice from the floor. The request is if you would inform the Body briefly as to what the Bill does and indicate how much below or above FY '91 expenditures, either in dollar terms or in percentages. Representative Ryder. House Bill 633."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill provides for the

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

ordinary and contingent expense for the Human Rights Commission. It provides a cut of 8.4 from the GRF as introduced. However, there are other funds, federal, which raise it to a total of 54.6 above introduced."

- Speaker McPike: "The request has been and Representative Dunn has his light on again. The request has been, would you please inform the Body how much above or below FY '91 expenditures in GRF dollars these Bills are so that the Body will know if they are receiving less or more money than they did in FY '91 in percent or dollars."
- Ryder: "Mr. Speaker...this is \$8,400 less than Fiscal Year '91 estimated expenditures."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 633?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 100 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 633 and this Bill having received the Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 634. Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Human Rights. The appropriation is \$115,600 less than last year's estimated expenditures."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 634?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 108 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 634 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority,

95th Legislative Day

- July 18, 1991
- is hereby declared passed. House Bill 636. Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 636 provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Labor. The Conference Committee Report is \$146,000, less than the estimated expenditures for last Fiscal Year. I move for the adoption of the Report."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 636?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 107 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 636 and this Bill having received a Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 637, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is for ordinary and contingent operating expense for the Department of Conservation which GRF is \$5,283,600 less than last year's estimated expenditures."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the...put your light on Mr. Hultgren and the Chair can...will realize that you wish to talk. Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, does this have a reapprop in it for the Marina Project in East Peoria?"
- Ryder: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question, say it again."
- Hultgren: "The question is, does this have the \$5,000,000 reappropriation for the Marina Project in East Peoria?"
- Ryder: "Yes, it does."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 637?' All

- 95th Legislative Day July 18, 1991
  those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all
  voted? Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Mr. Speaker, I would like the record to reflect that my opposition to this Bill is due to the Senate Amendment #6 to House Bill 637 pertaining to the so called East Peoria Marina."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 74 'ayes' and 30 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 637 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 639, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 639 provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Nuclear Safety. The Conference Committee Report in question adds \$49,000 to the appropriation for the upcoming fiscal year. I move for adoption of the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
  First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 639?' All
  those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all
  voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
  record. On this Motion, there are 101 'ayes' and 9 'nos'
  and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee
  Report to House Bill 639 and this Bill having received a
  Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
  passed. House Bill 640, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 640 Conference Committee makes appropriations for the Abandoned Mines Land Reclamation Council and it is as far as GRF the same as last year, but it is \$100,700 more than last year's expenditures on federal funds."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 640?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 104 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 640 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 641, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is...the operating expenses for DCCA and other agencies which makes the amount of change...it's up 11,500,000 from last year's expenditures. However, the change from introduced is down 45,000,000 and that's the reason for the anomaly."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Motion, Representative Morrow."

Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Morrow: "Representative Ryder how many employees in DCCA were laid off due to the budget that has been presented for House Bill 641?"

Ryder: "The original estimation by the Director and...in the budget as introduced was 80. It is my understanding that additional employees are also slated for layoff in an amount...almost equal to the first."

Morrow: "There going to lay off employees?"

Ryder: "Yes."

Morrow: "Additional employees."

Ryder: "Representative, they already have laid off employees and we believe that there are further cuts and layoffs yet to come."

Morrow: "Has DCCA hired any more employees this year?"

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- Ryder: "There are no new positions. They may have had a person retire and fill that spot, but there are no new positions there are layoffs and cuts under the budget that's before you. Representative."
- Morrow: "Okay, but I don't think you adequately answered my question. Were there new employees hired by DCCA?"
- Ryder: "Representative, I don't know. I would assume that they have hired people to take the place of people that retired on slots that they kept...but I know there were layoffs of over of 80 plus selected layoffs for more."
- Morrow: "Alright, so they're laying off people and adding on people at the same time. Am I correct?"
- Ryder: "They are not adding people, Representative. They may be replacing people who are retired or who leave the office.

  They are not adding new positions. They are closing and terminating positions."
- Morrow: "To the Bill. The Governor in his budget address said that he was going to streamline DCCA as we seen or we will see with Senate Bill 45...or not Senate Bill 45 with Bill that DCCA has not been streamlined and that in fact they have hired new employees. I don't know where Gentleman is getting some of his figures from. Sound like to me it's robbing Peter to pay Paul in a certain instance, but I have a memo that was sent out on June 19 of this year welcoming 7 new employees to the DCCA office up in the...city of Chicago and I'm not going to read their names because I don't feel that I should embarrass them, but if the Governor's is really true about doing what he wants to do to bring the state into a fiscal posture that we can live with under, he hasn't done it so far with House Bill So to those seven new employees, I'm glad you're hired because it means that you won't be on aid, Aid, getting 9 months the first year of coverage and 6

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

months the second year. We ought to send a message to the second floor and vote this budget down. He hasn't done what he's promised and we should vote down House Bill 641."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentleman of the House. The previous Speaker acts like this agency doesn't need personnel to run it and that it should just be cut, cut, cut. This agency is not doing it's job andd we should talk about the agency. I think it's doing a fine job and it's necessary for personnel to be in that agency and I think we should pass this budget because the people who are in appropriations deem that these people are necessary. I think this is a good appropriation and we should vote for it."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report?' You can explain your vote. Representative Edley on a question."

Edley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Did you increase the tourism promotion fund from 5,000,000 to \$8,000,000 for local tourism?"

Ryder: "No, we did not. The local tourism fund is the same as last year."

Edley: "The local tourism fund is the same...grants..."

Ryder: "Yes, that is correct."

Edley: "Is what, eight or five million dollars? I think the Governor had introduced is at \$5,000,000."

Ryder: "The Governor may have introduced it at 5, but we passed it at 8 which is the same as last year."

Edley: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 641?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Matijevich."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'm supporting the budget, but I think Charles Morrow makes a point...a good point. I think there's something wrong with the policies of the State of Illinois when we allow an agency who's purpose is to subsidize industries and we've found that very often subsidizes those who are large contributors, political contributors, at the same time that we don't give one penny to those who are low income and need assistance for their heating bills. There's definitely something wrong with that. I think the message ought to be given and I think Representative Morrow is right about that. We do have to pass the budget, but let's hope that we do change our policies."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just rise in support of this budget. Let me just tell you from my own district. Last week, I asked DCCA to come in one hundred jobs left. They were there less than 24 hours. We've got a buyer, we may save a hundred. Today they're in my hometown fighting to sell the plant that will cost us another hundred that's closing. A film office and I don't know how much we've spent on the film office, I think less than a million dollars a year was responsible for bringing a hollywood production to my hometown where they filmed part of the movie called 'The Babe' about Babe Ruth. They just finished up a week ago. We think that might have pumped a million or \$2,000,000 in to our local economy. DCCA does Everybody has to have a favorite whipping boy, the job. but they've cut a 117 positions. They've cut almost \$20,000,000 and some of these cuts are going to hurt. Industrial training for one. It's a good agency. they've made mistakes in the past let's not dwell in the past, let's worry about what we can do in future. It's a

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

good agency, a good budget."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams."

- Williams: "To explain my vote. Since we don't get much chance to really say things about what's happening here in the last couple of days, this is a perfect example. We see the insensitivity of state government. We See the insensitivity of a Governor who basically feels that it's alright to subsidize the rich. It's alright to subsidize those individuals who have, it's a cut from those who This is a perfect example of what's taken place this year with this budget. I know it's going to pass. interesting that we can sit here today and honestly tell ourselves that some kind of way we are ourselves by helping the most political agency in the State of Illinois. This is the Governor's political arm. be sure what we do. Let's give the politicians the money that we take away from the poor."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 88 'ayes' and 22 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 641...641 and this Bill having the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 642, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 642 deals with ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Employees, Judges and General Retirement System. The change of...from Fiscal Year 1991 expenditures is an increase of \$22,458. I move for passage of this Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 642?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

record. On this Motion, there are 107 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 642 and this Bill having the Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 643, Representative Olson."

- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 643 deals with the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Environmental Trust Fund and the Protect...Environmental Protection Agency, also. From the Fiscal Year of 1991 expenditures in general revenue funds were down \$4,063,000. There's an increase total of \$2,061,000. I move for an adoption of the First Conference Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 643 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 103 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the Committee Report to House Bill 643 and this...Noland 'aye'. 104 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 643 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 644, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Military Affairs.

  It is \$271,000 over last year's estimated expenditures.

  However, that is to accommondate additional federal moneys that become available, so we provide spending authority."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 644?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 108 'ayes' and 4 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 644 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Did we deviate from the Calendar?"

Speaker McPike: "Not that I know of. In what respect, Sir.?" Olson: "Six forty-five."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Sir that will be next. See, 645 is after 644. House Bill 645, Representative Olson. And after this Representative Olson, will be 646."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now we're on the same track with House 645 makes ordinary and contingent vou. Bill expenditures for the Illinois Labor Relations Board. There is a combination of the state and the local labor relation board in this Appropriation Bill this year. The total increase because...the total increase because of combination shows \$414,000, but if we combine the two for last year we're \$108,000 less than last expenditures. I move for the Conference Committee Report adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 645?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 106 'ayes' and 7 'nos' and this House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 645 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 646, Representative Ryder."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expense for the State Police. It is \$44,122,400 more than last year in GRF. However, that does include a full GRF funding now and last year if you remember we borrow money from the road fund, therefore we have that difference in addition to which there is this State Police Merit Board included in this Appropriation."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 646?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 110 'ayes' and 2 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 646. This Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 647, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expense for Department of Children and Family Services. They are receiving \$77,941,000 more than last year's estimated expenditures which includes federal funds and some additional GRF moneys for the operation for the department."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Re...on that, Representative Jones."
- Jones: "Will the Sponsor yield? In the DCFS, could you tell me what do they have in there for the 19 and 21 year old?"
- Ryder: "I'm sorry, Representative, repeat your question."
- Jones: "Could you tell me what do they have in the Bill for the 19 and 21 year olds?"
- Ryder: "There is not funding in this Bill for the 19 and 21 year olds except those that are required by court order to be wards of the cort or the Department of Children and Family

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Services and some children that are in this system that were felt to be better served in the Department of Children and Family Services. Senate Bill 45 does deal with the mandate."

Jones: "Okay, thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 647?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 105 'ayes' and...106 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 647 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Olson on House Bill 649."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 649 provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust. There is an increase over Fiscal Year '91 estimated expenditures of \$1,056,000 none of which are GRF.

  I move for adoption of the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 649?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 103 'ayes' and 7 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 649 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 650, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 650 deals with the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Civil Service Commission. Just a moment. There are no changes from

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Fiscal Year '91 expenditures. I move for adoption."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 650?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 103 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 650 and this Bill having received the Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 651, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Just a minute, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, could we take that out of the record for a moment and move on to 652."
- Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. House Bill 652, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 652 provides for ordinary and contingent expenses of the Court of Claims. The various items in this appropriation amount to \$2,648,000 more than expenditures for 1991. I move for adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 652?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 102...103 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 652 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Olson, 651. House Bill 651."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 651 deals with the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission. There's a net change in the expenditures from

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

last Fiscal Year of minus \$1,609,000 and I move for;adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Saltsman: "Is the Peoria office still remaining in there or was it cut out in Conference Committee or is it still remaining?"

Olson: "I'm told that the Peoria office is retained,

Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Good vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 651?' A11 those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 9...on this Motion there's 104 'ayes' and 4 'nos' and the House does adopt the girst Conference Committee Report to House Bill 651 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 654, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "This the ordinary and contingent expense for the Prison Review Board which is \$6,600 less than last year's estimated expenditures of \$948,000."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 654?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 110 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 654 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 655, Representative Ryder."

95th Legislative Day

- July 18, 1991
- Ryder: "Thank you. This is a Guardianship and Advocacy contingent operating expense which is \$243,600 less than last year's estimated expenditures."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
  First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 655?' All
  in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?
  Representative Younge. Have all voted? Representative
  Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you. I renew my request that the amount of the expenditure for this year be added to the explanation."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 105 'aye' and 5 'nos' and the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 655 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 656, Mr. Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the State Fire Marshall ordinary and operating expense. No GRF, but it is down \$4,405,000 from last year."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 656?' All in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 104 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 656 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 657, Representative Ryder. Mr. Ryder. Turn him on, Mr. Electrician."
- Ryder: "Thanks for the help. This is the Governor's Purchase Review Board. It is down 2.1 thousand dollars from last years GRF. However, with the other funds and the federal funds it is up a total of 6.8 from last year's estimated

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

expenditures."

- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 657?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 102 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 657 and this Bill having received a Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 658, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities operating budget. The General Revenue Fund show a decrease from last year expenditures of \$1,548,600. However, because of other funds and federal funds is shows an overall increase of \$28,148,000."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the...Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Mautino: "I see by my analysis that Legislation we killed twice is back in here. The 579,200 for the inmates of Cook County Jail served by Cermak Health Facilities. The subistantive language on that legislation said that if you're going to adopt funds for that provision it should be statewide rather than just Cook County Hospital. I find it very interesting that the 579,000 is all that's placed back in there and I would like know how that's occurred. The position of the General Assembly on two different occasions was to take out that specific provision that is now back in."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- Ryder: "Representative, I can only tell you that this budget was the result of negotiation by the budgeteers and the Conference Committee that the amount indicated is not GRF, but is Mental Health Fund moneys and as a result it does not affect the bottom line of the GRF problem in which we experience. The money is in there as a result of discussions that were obtained by those who,,,on your behalf and others were negotiating to accomplish this budget."
- Mautino: "Oh, by the same token I guess what you're telling me is it's not fair to provide that same services anywhere else than the Cook County Jail. Is that correct? Is that what we're voting on? And is that GRF money or is it not?"
- Ryder: "It is not GRF money, it's mental health fund money and as to the fairness of the budget. I would simply indicate to you that we have a budget that includes additional dollars for those people who receive mental health services from the State of Illinois. As much as I may sympathize with the position that you espoused, I have a duty to handle the entire budget and I believe that this entire budget is reflective of the needs of the State of Illinois as was negotiated during the Conference Committee process."
- Mautino: "Too bad. I guess the wishes of the General Assembly never really get resolved in the budget chair process."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Stern."
- Stern: "Will the Gentleman explain what happened to the 3.3 million dollars that was removed in the House that had been used to renovate Saint Ann's in a somewhat scandalous fashion?"
- Ryder: "Absolutely, Representative. That was a subject of much conversation and discussion during the time that the budget committ...Conference Committee was held. We called into the Conference Representative's of the Department of Mental

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Health to discuss that issue. We did not return the full We returned an amount of 1,800,000 which included 6 months only of rent and funds for approximately \$200,000 for a move should that take place in the first 6 months. The reason that we limited it to 6 months is because of action of this Assembly which you supported. The Auditor General is required to come back to us before the Fall Veto Session with an audit of this renovation project to determine how it is that we got into the position that we are and to tell us what, if anything, we might do from the Auditor General's decision to extricate ourself from this situation. We felt that there obligations that needed to be met, but we did not...did not appropriate the full amount of 3.3 million of basically following the lead you had proposed in the House."

Stern: "Thank you, Representative. I hope we will hear more about this."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 658?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 104 'ayes' and 7 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 658 and this Bill having receiving the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 659."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and operating expense for the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse. It appropriates...\$15,840,000 more than last year's expenditures, of which 3.6 million is GRF, the remainder is other and federal funds."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 659?' All

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 109 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 659. This Bill having receiving the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 660, Representative Olson."

- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 650...660 provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commissioner and Savings and Residential Finance. There are no General Revenue funds involved in this. There's a reduction in other funds for \$477,000 from last year's estimated expenses. I move for adoption of this Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 660?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 104 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 660 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 808, Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 808 is the appropriation for Appellate Prosecutors. It's a reduction of \$111,000 over last year's appropriated level which represents a 4% level of reduction. I'd ask for adoption of Conference Committee on House Bill 808."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 808?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are lll 'ayes' and 4 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 808 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1048, Representative Homer. Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the appropriation for the State Appellate Defender. The appropriation level of \$7,873,000 which is 6% below FY '91 for reduction of \$412,000. I would move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1048?' A11 those favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Dunn. Have all voted? Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, wish? there are 107 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1048 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1155, Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

This is the ordinary and contingent expense for the office of the Treasurer. Last year's estimated expenditures for GRF were \$13,122,000. The Governor requested that it be introduced at \$12,187,000 we will pass it with this Conference Committee at 12,052,000 that's a 1% reduction on the whole budget, but it's actually a 5% reduction on the operations budget over the Governor's estimated level which was lower than last year's expenditure and I move for it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1155?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 102 'ayes' and 9 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1155 and this Bill having received a Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed and on page 5 of the Calendar. Out of Order is House Bill 888, Representative McGann. Actually, on page 6."

- McGann: "Yes...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly.

  It's the Appropriation for the State Board of Education.

  It has a total increase of 15,000,000, and I would ask for passage of House Bill 888."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 888?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 109 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt...105...109 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 888 and this Bill having to received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 258, Representative Hanniq."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

  This is the budget for the Comptroller. Last year's GRF
  was 42,421, the Governor requested that it be introduced at
  43,358..."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, we're on Senate Bill 258."
- Hannig: "And the Conference Committee Report for Senate Bill 258 puts the amount at 42,716,000, so that's a 1% reduction from the introduced level. I move for it's adoption."

95th Legislative Day

- July 18, 1991
- Speaker McPike: "The percent from...the percent reduction from last year's expenditures is the request."
- Hannig: "Last year's expenditures were 42,421 and this year is 42,716 so it's a slight increase."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 258?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 106 'ayes' and 3 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 258 and this having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill Representative Keane. McGann, I'm sorry. Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. Senate Bill 301 makes the appropriations for the Board of Higher Education. It is taking a drop of 9.6 million over to 1991 estimated expenditures. And I would ask for passage of Senate Bill 301."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston, your light is on, Sir.

  Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference

  Comm...Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yes."
- Parke: "Representative McGann, is there anything negative in here that would affect Northern Illinois University other than normal appropriations?"
- McGann: "To my knowledge no, there is not, and if you are referring to the expansion that they are having up in Hoffman Estates, it has no affect on that because they are funding that from the most part for their own dollars."

95th Legislative Day July 18, 1991

Parke: "Thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had a question. I see math and science up there and I'm wondering how much of this is for the math and science, or is that not applicable?"

McGann: "The math and science, of course, as you know we transferred that into the higher education budget a couple of years ago. This...They do have an increase of funding over last year in order to provide another 150 students...of 150 students at the Math and Science Academy."

Parcells: "So this...there is funding in here for 150 students at the Math and Science?"

McGann: "That that is correct. There is funding for 150..."

Parcells: "One hundred and fifty more students. And what amount is that, do you know that?"

Speaker McPike: "Anything further?"

Parcells: "Yes..."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Parcells: "I would like to also...Did you...You did not have that figure is that correct?"

McGann: "Pardon me? Pardon me? I don't hear you."

Parcells: "I said, do you have the figure on how much that was for the 150 students?"

McGann: "I believe it was \$640,000."

Parcells: "Okay, the last thing was...I heard Representative

Parke mention Northern Illinois University. Is there money

in here for that?"

McGann: "No, that would be under their own...under the Board of Regents budget for Northern Illinois. It's not under the State Board of Education budget. Pardon me...of the Board of Higher Education budget. It does not come under the Board of Higher Education budget. They have their their

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

budget under the Board of regents. We'll be covering that in additional Bills."

Parcells: "Okay, thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House the adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 301?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 103 'ayes' and 10 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 301 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 302, Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the ordinary contingent expenses for the University of Illinois. It is a reduction of 1.3% of general revenue in an amount of 6.7 million dollars. I move for it's adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 302?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 103 'ayes'...Mr. McGann did you have a question? Mr. McGann."
- McGann: "For the record...for the record, Mr. Speaker. U of I is receiving a 12.3 million overall increase over '91. For the record only."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is...On this Motion, there's 103 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to...Mr. Ropp, to explain your vote.

  There are 103 'ayes', Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, you said to put the light on when you're the next one up to handle the next Bill and I've done that

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

already."

Speaker McPike: "Oh, boy. Thank you, Mr. Ropp. I wouldn't have the...I would not have suspected that the next Bill was yours. It says Welch Ropp on it. On this Motion, there's 103 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 302 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 303, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really appreciate this because we have been somewhat following the order today which is highly unusual, but I really welcome this. This is Senate Bill 303 Conference Committee Report #1 which is the ordinary and contingency expenses for the Board of Regents. They did spend \$169,000,573 last year and we're increasing that 2.5 million. I welcome your support."

Speaker McPike: "On that, Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Briefly."

Parcells: "Is this the appropriation where there is money for Northern Illinois University to build a new campus at...out there in...whereever they're building it?"

Ropp: "They're doing all of that expansion or condensation, I suppose with money that they already have within their budget."

Parcells: "Well the reason, it seems a little objectionable to me is, recently, we said that we could not put a University of Illinois facility in DuPage County, but now we have money for this and just a few years ago I showed to you some of the letters that I received from students from Northern Illinois where their classes were being cut and poor students weren't being funded because they had no money.

Now all of sudden they got money to build a new

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

University."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 303?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 90 'ayes' and 12 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 303 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 304, Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I mis hit my switch, didn't vote on House Bill 1155, I would like to have been recorded as 'aye'."
- Speaker McPike: "And the record will so reflect. Senate Bill 304, Representative Richmond."
- Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. This is the Bill for the ordinary and contingent expense for SIU FY '92. It reflects a 1.3 million reduction in all GRF line items with a total reduction of \$2,185,400 from the introduced level. I move for it's passage."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 304?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 109 'ayes' and 4 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 304 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 305, Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the appropriations for the Board of Governor's. There's an overall increase of

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- 2.6 million over the '91 level and I would ask for passage
  of Senate Bill 305."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 305?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 111 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 305 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 306, Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

  Senate Bill 306 the appropriation to the Illinois Student

  Assistance Commission. It adds an overall 30...39.8

  million over '91 and I ask for passage of Senate Bill 306."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt..."

McGann: "29 million is federal funds..."

- Speaker McPike: "...The First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 306?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take our record. On this Motion, there are 110 'ayes' and 3 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 306 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 308, Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

  Senate Bill 308 is for the appropriation of the Illinois

  Community College Board and has an overall increase of 3.5

  million over '91. I'd ask for passage of Senate Bill 308."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 308?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 110 'ayes' and 4 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee to Senate Bill 308 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 310, Mr. Weaver."

- Weaver: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the State University Retirement System. It's flat funding in GRF from last year although there is a drop of 7.9 million from other funding."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
  First Conference Committee to Senate Bill 310?' All those
  in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?
  Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.
  On this Motion, there are 101 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the
  House does adopt First Conference Committee Report to
  Senate Bill 310 and this Bill having received the
  Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
  passed. Senate Bill 311, Mr. Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is the State
  University Civil Service System on a total budget of
  899,000 there's an increase this year in GRF of \$32,100."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 311?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 106 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 311 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 334, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This time I believe the Calendar is in error and Representative Ryder should handle this

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "We would like to take this one out of the record for a moment."

Speaker McPike: "Senate Bill 336, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 336 is Build
Illinois Program. It shows no increase...simple other
funds and I would move the adoption of the appropriation."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 336?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 95 'ayes' and 15 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 336 and this Bill having received the Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 337, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 337 provides for the ordinary and contingent expense of...expenditures of the budget. This \$30,594,000 over last year's estimated expenditures. The reason for this substantial increase is because the operating expenses of the city of St...East St. Louis financial authority is included in this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 337?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 98 'ayes' and 8 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 337 and this Bill having received the Three—Fifths Con...and this Bill having received the Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 338, Representative Ryder."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the operations budget for the Capitol Development Board which shows on GRF \$438,200 less spending, although an overall increase of other and federal funds for a total of \$1,554,400."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 338?' All
those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all
voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are
107 'ayes' and 7 'nos' and the House does adopt the First
Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 338 and this
Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional
Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 339,
Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker. This is the new appropriation for the Capitol Development Board which shows a decrease in General Revenue Funds of \$784,000. A decrease in other funds of \$26,750,000 for a total of \$27,534,000 decrease from the past fiscal year estimated expenditures."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Ryder: "Of course."

Davis: "Representative Ryder, I would like to know what happened to Senate Amendment #4 which passed out of this House with a animous vote for an expansion of a library in Chicago and it really isn't for an expansion, it was really for the building."

Ryder: "Representative, we were unable to fund that given the the financial constraints that we had during the time we negotiated this budget."

Davis: "Representative, I see where you will be funding \$152,838,500 Capitol Development. Do you want to tell us what you'll be doing with that money? What will you be

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

funding?"

Davis: "Do you want to tell us what they are? You named what you're not going to fund would you tell us what you are going to fund?"

Ryder: "We're going to fund those projects that were originally in the budget and we are not funding those projects that were added on during either House or Senate Committee or floor action."

Davis: "When you say originally in the budget from..."

Ryder: "As introduced, Representative."

Davis: "As introduced in this General Assembly, this year?"

Ryder: "Yes, Ma'am."

Davis: "I just find it highly peculiar that you can names those that you took out, but you have failed to name those that are kept in. Now..."

Ryder: "Representative, it's not difficult to be able to name those that we left out because they were added on during the process. I'm quite familiar with what was added on during the floor or during the Committee debate. I am not as familiar with the other projects because they were a consensus between the Republicans and Democrats and the Governor's as to what projects were needed by the state, where commitments had been made and that's the reason I am simply unable to name the numerous projects."

Davis: "Are all of these projects made in this Body? Does this Body help to make the projects for the Capitol Development Fund? Because I would ask, Mr. Speaker, that we hold this Bill until Representative Ryder can provide for this Body how the Capitol Development dollars will be spent. We're talking about \$152,000,000 and I only ask for 3. Now I'd like to know how you're spending the 152,838,500. I

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

believe this Body has a right to know. I am not a budgeteer, unfortunately, I am simply a Representative. I'm not a budgeteer so I don't know how you spent the money. I want to know how you spent it, Sir. I think I have a right to know. I ask, Mr. Speaker, that you do not pass this Bill until he provides this information for this Body."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, if Ι may, I would suggest that Representative consult with the staff of her...on her side. That list is available. It has been available since the the Bill was introduced. In the event that the Representative chose to cast her vote on this Bill by what included or excluded from that, that information has been available to her since approximately in Unfortunately because of the time, I would...due consideration of the Representative's request, feel that I need to proceed with the Bill."

Davis: "Well staff has provided...will provide for me a list by districts of how those dollars will be spent, but I would like for it to be matter of record how I would like for it to ba a matter of record those Capitol Development dollars are spent, \$152,000,000."

Speaker McPike: "Most of them are spent in Helen Satterthwaite's district."

Davis: "No wander she's so quiet."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would stand in support of Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 339. The Lady has a right to know of what those expenditures are and certainly they are in the record in the Bills as they were introduced. These are projects that we do around the projects that we do around the Capitol year in and year out. The projects that are

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

known to everybody. They're in each of everybody's district around this state. We did not do add ons in this year's budget because there's no money to do add ons this year. This was an add on added on in this a chamber and I would ask for everyone to concur on Conference Committee #1 to Senate Bill 339."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 339?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 94 'ayes' and 15 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 339 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 340, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 340 is making appropriations for the Capitol Development for reappropriations. It is \$30,415,000 less than last year. What?"
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 340?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 90...100 'ayes', 100 'ayes', 14 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 340 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 341, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 341 provides appropriations for the Central Management Services for their ordinary and contingent expenses. There is \$179,448,000 increase over fiscal year '91 expenditures. This is largely due to the AFSCME agreement that was

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

recently signed providing certain new benefits."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Hultgren: "Representative, this is the Bill that has the funding
for the state employees group health insurance, is that
correct?"

Olson: "That is correct."

Hultgren: "Does this have 12 months of estimated appropriations for the group health?"

Olson: "No."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Sponsor and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'll just take a moment to explain my vote. I'm going to be voting 'present' on this. I think that's an obligation we ought to be fully funding."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 341?' Mr. Novak."

Novak: "Speaker, thanks for restoring my Constitutional rights.

Question of the Sponsor, please? Bob, can you tell tell us
what agent, what group and, how much the contract was for to
the veteran's group that provides job counseling and job
placement for state service?"

Olson: "Representative I'm told there are \$350,000 in this Bill for that purpose."

Novak: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 341?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all...Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 103 'ayes and 8 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 341 and this Bill having

# 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 344, Representative Ryder."

- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent operating expense for the Criminal Justice Information Authority and it approps \$762,000 less than last year's estimated expenditures, but it appropriates more other funds and more federal funds."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 344?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Someone has Mr. Turner's light on. Thank you. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 108 'ayes' and 7 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 344 and this Bill having received a Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 346, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you. This is the Emergency Services Disaster
  Authority soon to be the Emergency Management Authority.

  It appropriates \$418,000 less GRF than last year, but does
  appropriate more federal dollars for a total adjustment of
  \$30,541,600."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 346?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 108 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 346 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 348, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 348 provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Energy and Natural Resources. There is a reduction in General Revenue funds of \$2,875,000. Other funds, though, provide for a \$29,480,000 increase over Fiscal Year '91 expenditures. I move for adoption of this First Conference Committee Report."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 348?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 103 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 348 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 352, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 342 provides for the 1992 ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Insurance. There is a net reduction of 365,000...excuse me...Yes \$365,000...excuse me, again. There is a \$14,000 reduction from previous years. Previous fiscal year. Move for adoption of Conference Committee Report?"
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 352?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 102 'ayes' and 8 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 352 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 354, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a appropriation particular interest to the Majority Leader. This is the Liquor Control Commission and the appropriation therein. It has no change in GRF, but it does show other fund

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

increase of \$156,400."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
  First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 354?' All
  in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?
  Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.
  On the Motion, there are 108 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the
  House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to
  Senate Bill 354 and this Bill having received a
  Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
  passed. Senate Bill 356, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 356 provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Mines and Minerals. There is a reduction in the General Revenue funds of \$408,000 from last fiscal year's expenditures as estimated. Overall for the agency is a \$235,000 increase. I move for adoption of the First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
  First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 356?' All
  in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?
  Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.
  On this Motion, there are 101 'ayes' and 7 'nos' and the
  House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to
  Senate Bill 356 and this Bill having received a
  Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
  passed. Senate Bill 357, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 357 provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Pollution Control Board. There's a reduction from last year's estimated expenditures of \$125,000 GRF, \$26,000 overall. I move for the adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 357?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all

# 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 107 'ayes', 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 357 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 358, Representative Olson."

- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am told there may be technical problems with this Conference Committee Report on Department of Professional Regulation. I'd like to refuse to the First Conference Committee Report and ask for a Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves...question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Re...no I'm sorry. The Gentleman's Motion is the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 358 and the Gentleman asks for a Second Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. Senate Bill 360, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Three sixty provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Racing Board. No General Revenue funds are involved. There's an overall reduction from last year's expenditures of \$663,000 and I move for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 360?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there's 104 'ayes'...106 'ayes' 106 'ayes' 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 360 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Majority...Bob Olson votes 'aye'. 107 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 360 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 366, Representative Ryder."

- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Aging which shows...increase of \$643,000 in GRF and increase...for a total increase a \$467,000 after federal fund decrease."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 366?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 109 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 366 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 367, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you. This is the Department of Corrections Budget for the ordinary and contingent operating expenses which shows a decrease of \$11,661,000 of GRF. Other funds decreased about a half a million for a total decrease of 11,000,000."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Trotter. Representative Ryder, the request was an increase or a decrease in GRF over last year's expenditures."
- Ryder: "I think I misspoke, Representative...Mr. Speaker. I
  believe it's 11,600,000 increase over last year."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Trotter."
- Trotter: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yes."
- Trotter: "Thank you. Representative Ryder, is 2.5 million has

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

been eliminated, are those the dollars that were appropriated for the Parole Officers?"

Ryder: "Parole Officers are not included in this..."

Trotter: "They are not included whatsoever. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 367?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 103 'ayes' and 9 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 367 and this Bill having received Three-Fifths Constitution...Hoffman...'aye' or Deering 'no', Hoffman 'no', Balanoff 'no' Schoenberg 'no'. Did you get all four of those, Mr. Clerk? Thank you. Rotello 'no'. On this Motion, there's 99 'ayes' and 14 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 367 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 369, Representative Ryder." Ryder: "This...This is the budget for the Medical Center Commission which shows \$160,000 less GRF expenditure than

Commission which shows \$160,000 less GRF expenditure than last year."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 369?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 101 'ayes'...02 'ayes' and 8 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 369 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 373, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the appropriation for the Department of Rehabilitation Services which shows an

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

increase of 12,851,000 from last year's GRF and a total increase of 16,509,000. This is primarily because of additional authority to spend federal funds that the department is capturing."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 373?' All
those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all
voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
The Clerk take the record. On this Motion, there's
108...107 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the
First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 373 and
this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional
Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 374, Mr.
Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Department of Veterans Affairs'. It shows a decrease in GRF spending of \$91,700 from last year's estimated expenditures with increases in other and federal funds for a total net increase of 1,264,000."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Burzynski."

Burzynski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Burzynski: "Representative Ryder, does this Bill contain funding for a Department of Veterans' Affair Office in the City of Dekalb?"

Ryder: "This includes funding for all existing Veterans' Affairs

Offices'. Is Dekalb an existing office?"

Burzynski: "Well, it was my understanding that it was. I'm not sure at this point."

Ryder: "I don't have them listed in the appropriation city by city by town or town...I am simply told that does fund those that currently exist."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Burzynski: "Thank you. I can't seem to get...right answers.

Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield, please?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Novak: "Yes, Tom. I'm looking at our Conference Committee Report analysis and it indicates there's 130,000...138,000 GRF transfer from Quincy and Manito Veterans Home personal services line item to the central office and electronic data processing line and then farther down...there #5 is that the personal services and related funds in the Quincy Veterans' Home was increased by 69,000 and then there's another line indicating that 69,000 of personal services is increased for the Veterans' Home in Manito. Can you explain this to me? We're taking 138,000 GRF from Quincy and Manito Personal Services and transferring it to the central office and then were increasing 69,000 each for Quincy Veterans' Home and Manito Veterans' Home. It sounds confusing."

Ryder: "Representative the best explanation I can give you...and you tell me if it's sufficient. That was a cut that was made in the Senate. The 368,000 was a cut that was made in the Senate. The distribution that the Conference Committee Report now reflects is the Departments best effort as to how to most effectively apportion that cut that was performed in the Senate."

Burzynski: "Okay. Alright, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 374?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 107 'ayes' and 6 'nos'. Obrzut 'aye'. Hicks 'aye'. Williams 'present'. Williams

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

changes from 'no' to 'present'. On this Motion, there 109 'ayes' and 5 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 374 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 409, Representative Hannig."

- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House.

  This is the Court of Claims Awards Bill. These are claims that individuals put in against the state. They've had their day in court, they've won and now we're making an appropriation to pay them. It's for \$5,841,000 and I would move for the adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
  First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 409?' All
  those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no' Have all
  voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
  The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are
  105 'ayes' and 6 'nos' and the House does adopt the First
  Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 409 and this
  Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional
  Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 499,
  Representative Hartke."
- Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 499 Conference Committee Report request that we recede from House Amendment #1. What this does is says that the Administrator of a enterprise zone in an area can certify whether residential housing shall receive a tax exemption...sales tax exemption or not. Appreciate your support for a Conference Committee #1 to Senate Bill 499."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 499?' All those in favor vote 'aye' wait a minute opposed vote 'no'.

  Voting is open. Representative Parke, I apologize I did

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

not see your light on."

Parke: "One quick question, how is the realtor's Illinois Realtor

Association to this?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hartke."

Hartke: "I'm unaware of opposition to this Conference Committee Report."

Parke: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 106 'ayes' and 4 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 499 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 956, Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 956 appropriate 196,300 GRF for operation and 19,000,000..."

Speaker McPike: "The question is...are you finished, Sir."

LeFlore: "I'm finished I was interupted sir."

Speaker McPike: "Please give the Gentleman some attention, Representative Munizzi."

LeFlore: "As I was about to say, Senate Bill 956 appropriates 196,000 GRF operation. 19,710,000 local initiative for grants to the Department of Public Aid for the purpose of funding the donated fund intitiative program for 1992. I ask for a favorable adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 956?' All
in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The
Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 112
'ayes' and 2 'nos' and the House does adopt the First
Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 956 and this
Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to page 1 of the Calendar appears House Bill 376. Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

This is the Department of Transportation Appropriation and Reappropriation and the amounts for the year were decreased by 6.4% for the General Revenue Fund. It was...last year it was fifty million,436. This year's it's forty seven one eighty three. I'd be happy to answer any question's and I'd move for the adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "I have an inquiry of the Chair, please."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ryder: "It's my understanding that we passed out of here and the Senate actually passed the Reapproprs. Has that been found since?"

Speaker McPike: "No, I don't think anyone ever found that Bill."
Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 376?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. all Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there 110 'ayes' and 3 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 376 and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 334, Representative Olson. Mr. Olson. Mr. Olson. Mr. Olson. Appropriation for the Department of Agriculture. Mr. Ryder will handle it."

Ryder: "I apologize for the confusion. This did come through the Committee of which I was Spokesman. This is the ordinary and contingent operating expense for the Department of

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Agriculture, Senate Bill 334. It shows a \$2,590,000 decrease in GRF. Some other increases in other funds and federal for a \$2,005,000 decrease."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 334?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Hicks 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there 102 'ayes' and 6 'no's' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 334 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, Supplemental Calendar Announcement?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #3 is being distributed."

  Speaker McPike: "And on that appears Senate Bill 371, Approp Bill

  for the Department of Public Aid. Representative Ryder.

  Mr. Ryder."
- Ryder: "I'd be glad to speak to this issue, but I think Representative Wolf might know a little bit more about it."
- Speaker McPike: "No, Sir. Senate Bill 371. An appropriation to the Department of Public Aid. Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Department of Public Aid budget, and we show that it has an increase over last year of 386,278,000 for a total of 4,510,000,000 GRF."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
  question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Conference
  Committee...?' and on that, Representative Younge."

Younge: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Younge: "Break down, exactly, your explanation as to what that increase...where that increase is."

Ryder: "Representative, that includes all of the ordinary and contingent operating expense of the Department of Public

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Aid. It would also include some of the dollars that have been agreed upon for General Assistance, some of the optional medical, the General Assistance medical expenses, and other normal expenses of the Department of Public Aid."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Dunn: "Has there been any reduction in the Personnel line items?

The Central Office item or the..."

Ryder: "The answer, Representative, is that 250 positions have been reduced and 175 were restored for a net 75 positions reduced. Net positions reduced."

Dunn: "Positions reduced. Has anybody been laid off?"

Ryder: "I don't believe that I know the names of any people who have been laid off. I do believe that when, through attrition, that when people retired or left the department that those 75 positions were not filled."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 371?' in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record and on this Motion there are 'ayes' and 13 'no's' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 371. Schoenberg 'aye'. 99 'ayes', 12 'nos' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 371 and this Bill having received Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. There may be a few budget Bills left that were taken out of the record. know of one that was left to be corrected, that's the only one that I know of, so we have substantially passed all of the budget. The Chair would like to thank the Four

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Chairmen οf the Committee: Representative McGann, Representative LeFlore, Representative Hanniq Representative Hicks. And the Minority Spokesmens: Representative Weaver. Representative Olson Representative Ryder. Thank you very much. On Supplemental #3, House Bill 971, Representative Wolf."

- Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think that report's been distributed, yet."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright, take it out of the record. House Bill 180. House Bill 180. Representative Santiago asks leave to handle the Bill. Leave is granted. Mr. Santiago."
- Santiago: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 180 establishes overtime pay requirements for agricultural workers. The report provides that after an agricultural worker workes over 48 hours should be paid one and one-half times the state minimum wage for all additional hours worked in that same week. Under the current law, one and one-half times the state minimum wage \$6.38 per hour. The report only applies agricultural employers who employ 30 or more workers. I move to adopt."
- Speaker McPike: "I'm going to recognize two people to speak for the Bill. That'll be Miss Schakowsky and Representative Santiago. We'll recognize two to speak against the Bill. Representative Myron Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is not the type of Bill that should be adopted in the State of Illinois, which is a major agriculture producer and exporter of our product. This is not designed to enhance the viability of our farming community and I urge its defeat."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "This is a very modest Bill. It was changed

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

substantially to take into account the concerns of the agricultural interests. It now only applies where there are 30 or more employees. The overtime only applies to the minimum wage, so we're talking about \$6.38 per hour after 48 hours of work. I think this is a very small step on behalf of farm workers and I urge its passage."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We defeated this issue once before. changes have been made to the Bill, the Bill is still not something that we should support. Now, Ladies Gentlemen, you might ask yourself, 'why has agriculture always been exempted from these kinds of laws?' tell you a little bit about agriculture. It's a unique industry compared to any other. The production manager doesn't sit in an office somewhere. In fact if there is a production manager in agriculture, it's probably the Gentleman upstairs, and if you know anything about agriculture, with the lack of rain we've had all across the state, some of our agricultural people are in trouble. Now, if we can get some rain, we might have to work more than 40 hours a week. We might have to work 56, 60. Ladies and Gentlemen, I submit to you that the wisdom in exempting farmers and agriculture from the wage overtime provisions was a wise move made years and years ago because they recognized the unique situation that farmers face in trying to feed this country and indeed feed this world. If you want to start bringing agriculture under these provisions, then you simply will see such increased, dramatically increased costs in the food cycle and food chain, I don't think you'll want to defend that I don't think we can improve on what Ladies and Gentlemen of 50 to 75 years ago, did, and try to bring

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

agriculture, the most unique industry in the State of Illinois under various provisions that try to regulate factories and businesses where a time clock may be an integral part of that business procedure. We've defeated this once before I urge you, in a state that was built on agriculture to defeat it once again."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Santiago, to close."

Santiago: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm appalled to hear some of the comments made here today by the opposition. Farm workers are probably the most overworked segment of our population. During the harvest season, they may be required to work 16, 18 or even 20 hours per day. And, sometimes 80, 90 and 100 hours a week. It was a Republican President who abolished slavery, so now I ask my Republican friends to abolish slavery in the State of Illinois and support the agricultural workers. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 180?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Shaw.

Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Here, a couple of days, within the next two days we will...this Body will say to the people of Illinois that 'there is no more Public Aid or GA for citizens of this state.' Now all this Bill is doing is asking for a fair salary after we pass legislation saying that you have to go to work and I don't see why the other side of the aisle cannot vote for that. You will vote to eliminate GA. You should certainly vote to support a Bill, a piece of legislation, like this. And, if you don't this is hypocrisy at its highest level. You should vote green on this Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ropp."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Actually, what the Gentleman is attempting to do is to deal with migratory labor. And the Bill that you're addressing is really encompassing all of agriculture, which it should not All of agriculture, which we consider in Illinois, is like corn and hogs and soybeans and some of the other major crops which actually do not even price it on an hour. Their prices are included, based on housing, based on meat and electricity and on some other things. What you're attempting to do with this Bill, if you want to address it migratory labor, you're actually, in fact, reducing their wage because most of those people work by the piece or by the bunch and I say, with this legislation, you are in reducing their wages rather than increasing them and this Bill should soundly be defeated."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As most of you know, I represent a rural farming district. I voted against this Bill when it had the employee exemption of 7 because I, like you, wanted to protect our family farms and that's what we want to do. This does nothing to our family farms. Our family farms are protected. These are the large corporate farms that take advantage of migratory labor, and it's not fair. We're protecting our family farms. This will protect our family farms and we ought to make sure they're protected and we have an obligation to make sure migrant labor is also protected."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Farmer Olson, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Mr. Speaker. This Bill has had several sponsors prior to today and I have spoken to those sponsors. If this Bill were narrowed to address the problems which they are really

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

speaking of, that of the migratory worker, of the hourly worker in the vegetable fields or where they raise the flowers up around Kankakee, then I would rather support the Bill. But I feel that you're encompassing the whole ag industry. You're stepping on the well—being of the farmers of Illinois, the farmers who grow the corn, the beans and the livestock. Separate these things next Spring and I will support your Bill if you narrow it in on those who work as migratory workers, as hourly workers in the field. We don't have hourly workers in the farm industry. We have salaried workers. I beg of you to come back next Spring with something I can support. Thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 51 'ayes' and 58 'no's and the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 180. Representative Homer, 1415? No. You wish to call that today? Representative Santiago on Senate Bill Clerk, has this been distributed? Senate Bill...I'm sorry, House Bill 56. You want this? Alright. Out of the record. Mr. Hicks. Senate Bill 445. Representative Hicks. And Representative Giglio in the Chair."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 445 is really a cleanup on Senate Bill 458 which was our horseracing Bill that we adopted last week. I would ask for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 445."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is...Representative Ropp."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What does this do now that the other Conference Committee didn't do before."
- Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Ropp. There was some problems that the Governor appeared to have with Senate Bill 458 and he indicated that

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

he wanted those problems with 458 cleaned up in Senate Bill 445 so he would be able to sign 458 and also Senate Bill 445."

Ropp: "So, this does for county fairs what you and I want to do?"

Hicks: "This, as it is, really doesn't have anything for county
fairs in it, Representative Ropp. This Bill here doesn't
have, but it was simply the Bill that was needed to be able
to put those things in for county fairs. So, this is a
Bill that has to go along so county fairs, also, can
exist."

Ropp: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 445?' that question, all those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no', the voting's open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 106 voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 445 and this Bill having received the required Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Conference Committee Reports Supplemental Calendar #3. appears House Bill 971, Representative Wolf. Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, it'll be another 30 minutes before that will be distributed."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright. Out of the record. House Bill 1123, Representative Hasara."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We passed the same form of this Conference Committee Report a couple of hours ago. The Senate passed the wrong report so I'm asking you to do this again. It's just some technical changes clearing up two Bills that had passed previously. So, I move to adopt the

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1123."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt a Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1123?' And on that question all those favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 110 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1123 and this Bill having received the required Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1353, Representative Noland. Out οf the record. House Bill 1604, Representative Mautino. Out of the record. House Bill 2125, Representative Regan. Representative Regan on House Bill 2125."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2125, the Cocaine Baby Treatment Program, where drug offenders are assessed additional funds that go to places like Cook County Hospital and Maryville Academy that take care of addicted babies. It is now cleaned up. The language that was opposed the last time it came down has been removed and I urge its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee And on that question, Report to House Bill 2125?' those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no', the voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take record, Mr. Clerk. Lang, 'present'. Representative Lang. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 103 voting

# 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

'yes', none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2125 and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 151, Representative DeJaegher. Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative DeJaegher."

- DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General
  Assembly. I move to concur with the Second Conference
  Committee Report to Senate Bill 151. I'm sorry, First
  Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Giglio: "First Corrected. First Corrected Committee

  Report. Any discussion? Representative Johnson."
- Johnson: "I hate to be rude or anything, but it would be kind of nice to know, just out of curiosity, what we're voting for.

  I don't want to make him explain it too much but I'd like to get an idea of the subject matter."

DeJaegher: "What was the question?"

- Johnson: "What the Bill does. I think we're still doing legislation here."
- DeJaegher: "Oh, Regarding forfeiture. okay. The Amendments several technical classifications and substantive change to the Illinois Forfeiture Law. T + clarifies the legislation declaration in the Drug Assist Forfeiture Procedure Act to make it clear that the Act should be interpreted based on federal Forfeiture Case Law. The legislation's intent is that forfeiture procedures under Chapter 56 and one-half of this Act, are given direction from federal Case Law. This applies to the case of conflict not binding on circuit court. Two, requires three public occasions of notice of pending forfeiture the Drug Assit Forfeiture Procedure Act rather than one publication, consistent with the Court Procedure, as opposed to one. The owner shall give notice

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

of change of address to the forfeiting agencies. Three..."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to address the Bill. This is an

immediate effective date, so it takes the 71 votes?"

DeJaegher: "Takes effect January 1."

Johnson: "How many votes? How many votes does it require?"

Speaker Giglio: "You asking the Chair, Representative Johnson?"

Johnson: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "71 votes."

Johnson: "Addressing the Bill. If any of you have, through contacts with your constituents, or otherwise, seen what our forfeiture provisions of Illinois and Federal Law can do, and how they can be abused, you'd be very careful about making changes and expanding that power, even in the nature of a cleanup. I had one example, as an example, district, where, on a very, very, very minor offense, the forfeiture was dramatically out of line with the nature of the offense and the amount involved. Not only that, but the rights afforded an individual whose property divested, border on total disrespect and abolition of their due process rights. I'm in favor, and I think that most Members of this Chamber are, of getting tough on crime, getting tough on drug dealing and use. But there's a difference between that, and divesting people of property. And, when we do these things, it seems good now, we get a little headline and then the practical application of it out in the real world, and how these are used by proscecutors and others, is dramatically out of line and wrong. And so I think before you go and vote for this Bill and think it's some little gimme Bill, that you oughta realize, when we're talking about taking people's property without due process, and that's what it amounts to in a good many cases, it's something we want to be very, very careful about. I didn't sign this Conference Committee

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Report and I think a number of others have deep concern about what we're doing here and for that reason, I think we ought to go slow, we oughta stick with what we have and we ought not be in a situation of expansion or cleanup. And spectfully and with due respect to my good friend, Representative DeJaegher, would urge a 'no' or 'present' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Novak: "Yes. Representative DeJaegher, basically, this particular Bill, I think is a good Bill. And, I would consider this particular Bill, basically, that all of our colleagues on our side of the aisle should support. We should have 72 democratic votes up on here and get this Bill passed. And I urge adoption of this good Bill. Basically."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What I think of, basically, we've defeated this Bill two or three times, basically, what we're doing is we're changing back to allowing our court to be, what I say, has to deal federal law, the Federal Forfeiture Act, and we're just trying to, basically, to enudate our courts with things they're familiar with, at this particular time. haven't read this Bill totally from end to end. But, I do know that we've had a lot of problems with it. I do know that, if you look on the back of it to see who's signed it, particularly you see who didn't sign it: Representative who we all know stands for law and order. Representative Johnson, Representative Lang, who actually believes in civil liberties. I think that the reality of this Bill is that it does not, as I said, this Bill's time

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

has not come. I think that we should stop, wait a minute, think about what we're doing. The reality of forfeiture is, that sometimes, and quite often, people find themselves in situations innocently or not and with forfeitures take place and the procedures that's required to get them back, in particular the federal forfeiture, does not allow us an opportunity to have our day in court before our assets are seized and I would, basically, urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the I rise in opposition to this Bill. This here particular Bill tries to codify, well basically, tries to codify federal law, and put the statutes, basically, in our statute book. And, what happens in this particular situation is, basically, if we do this is, we have cars and trucks, vehicles that, basically, all of us own and we can them find them subject to the forfeiture without due process of law. And in our Illinois Statute Books we've, basically, always tried to avoid this particular kind of thing. So, I would certainly, in closing, with regard to this particular Bill, basically, urge your opposition this Conference Committee Report. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative DeJaegher to close."

DeJaegher: "When we talk about forfeiture, what we're trying to do, of course you could find fault with any law that, basically, we pass or the federal government passes. But, the forfeiture law provision in this Bill is similar to the federal government. The Illinois State Police wants this Bill, the Criminal Justice Department wants this Bill. I think the Bill has been corrected to, basically, satisfy all the concerns that everyone may have. Proposition is this: Do you feel that people, when they transport drugs, should not forfeiture the stuff that they're transporting?

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

I think you have to make up your own mind whether you think this is a good Bill or a bad Bill and that's your opportunity at the present time. But, there's no sense debating it further. Hopefully, that you'll be affirmative on this Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 151?'

And on that question all that's in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no', the voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Basically, this has 89, take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 91 voting 'yes', 23 voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 151 and this Bill having received the required Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mautino. House Joint Resolution 77."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, if I may, I believe I was in error on that 1604. I wasn't on the floor, there's no opposition to calling that, it's on the Calendar. I'd like to call it now, Sir."

Speaker Giglio: "So, you want to do 77, we're gonna go down because Representative Noland wants his Bill called, too."

Mautino: "Be happy to. Yes, Sir."

Speaker Giglio: "House Joint Resolution 77."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This has been cleared on the other side of the aisle. It establishes an Acute Care Task Force targeting the needs of health care in rural Illinois. It's been cleared on both sides and I move for its adoption. Excuse me, the Motion is to take it from the Speaker's Table. I apologize. There's a Motion filed with the Clerk for immediate consideration."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mautino, let's take this out of

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

the record for a minute. The Clerk doesn't seem to have a copy. We'll go to House Bill 1353 and then 1604. Representative Noland on House Bill 1353."

- Noland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1353, the First
  Conference Report. The Senate has receded from the
  Amendment so I move to accept the First Conference
  Committee Report."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1353?' And on that question, all those favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1353 and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1604, Representative Mautino. Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."
- "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1604 does two things. Ιt allows for nonprofit organizations to be the beneficiary of a life insurance policy. It also provides that if the purchaser of stops making premium payments to the policy, the not-for-profit organization that is the policy beneficiary can continue to pay the premiums. This was requested by the Jewish Federation who have been the recipients of life insurance policies and then also pay the premiums as part that bequest. The second part of the Conference Committee Report provides that the Director of Insurance may not destroy records of consumer complaints to the Department of Insurance until 5 years following the final

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

disposition of the consumer complaint. And provides also that all other department records may be destroyed after two years and that has been okayed as well and signed off by the Department of Insurance. On both of those provisions I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1604. Happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1604?' And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1604 and this Bill having received the required Three-Fifths Constitional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1329, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill There are essentially two provisions in the Bill, both of which we've seen before. One is a joint effort by Senate and House Revenue Committees to consider proposals, review proposals, that have to do with the operations of local government. It's a study program, only. Secondly is language from the Chicago Bar Association that deals with redemption procedures in the County of Cook. That language is acceptable to the County Clerk's Office as well as to the advocates of the Affordable Housing Coalition, and essentially what the language would do is to streamline current language with respect to redemption and make several other changes. As I said. you've seen both of these proposals before. I'd be happy to answer your

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

questions and would appreciate your support for this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion. Hearing none...Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Hultgren: "I have in my notes that the community colleges were opposed to this, but that's been some time ago. Do you know, has this Bill been amended in such a way that, they are no longer concerned?"

Currie: "The part of the Bill that they may have opposed was originally in Senate Bill 1329. As we amended the Bill in this House before we sent it back to the Senate, we created just a study program, and there was no opposition to that. So, there should be no opposition to this."

Hultgren: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Any further discussion? Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Would the Sponsor yield? Representative, I have a note here that has municipalities opposing this. Is there anything left in this? Nothing. And the school boards, is this for them? It's out of there also? Thank you."

Currie: "All the substantive language that was in this Bill, as it came to us, went out of the Bill before we voted on it in the House and what this Conference Committee does is just...just propose the study that was acceptable to everyone, as far as I know."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kubik?"

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to let my Members know that this Bill has been significantly changed. It is not controversial. The controversial provisions have been taken out. It's simply a study and I certainly would urge its adoption."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1329?' question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1329 and this Bill having received the required Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Wolf on a Motion, Senate Bill 930. Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to Rule 73(a) and having voted on the prevailing side, I would now move to reconsider the vote by which the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 930 failed."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Does the Gentleman have leave for the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted and the Attendance Roll Call is used. On this Motion, the vote by which Senate Bill 930 failed is now reconsidered. Representative, do you want to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report now? Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of House, I move that we adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 930. We debated this yesterday and .as I think, many were unaware of what this Bill really did. There was a lot of confusion, we've gone through some education process in the last day. Many of you have now agreed that this is a good Bill. Let me tell you what it does, briefly. The first part relates to what we call redemption machines; Skiball Machines, and the like, you might find at Great America or at some amusement park, to make sure that children that are playing them are

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

considered gambling, to make sure they're not considered gambling devices. The second part of the Bill relates clearing up some confusion that occurred when Senate Bill 548 passed. Senate Bill 548 created a vague and ambiguous method of ascertaining the value that should be placed on personal effort in determining the value of a nonmarital estate. If we leave this language on the Governor's desk and the Governor signs it, we'll have created a situation where long litigation will be necessary between parties determine what the value should be. What this Bill does is go along with case law. Case: in Ray Morris decided by the Illinois Appellate Court says that the value that should be given is the value of the salary. The salary that the exspouse has made should be considered the appropriate value in a nonmarital estate. This will alleviate the need to have long litigation interrogatories, depositions, legal fees, relative to this issue. It's an important Bill to clear up this area and I ask your 'aye' votes."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion. The Bill that describes that's on the Governor's desk sets out a fair way of determining when nonmarital property, by virtue of the effort of the marital relationship, should be divided the event of dissolution. This proposal, the one before us, would substantially narrow the legitimate interests of partner to that marriage in any kind of increased value of the nonmarital property that may be attributable to So, if you think that it's fruits of that marriage. important to make sure that the partners to a dissolution have a fair crack at establishing their legitimate values in nonmarital property that has increased in value over the course of the marriage, and that is the current law in

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

State of Illinois, then you should vote against this very narrow, special interest interpretation. So, I would urge you to defeat the Motion in respect to Senate Bill 930."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "The problem with this whole area is, that once we start debating it a lot of people just throw up their hands and they say, 'Well, I'd better not vote for this because it's one of those vaque areas.' I just want people to understand why this is a good Bill. And, if Lou Lang and Larry Wennlund, myself, and John Dunn, and a number of others who are generally all over the board on this Bill, all agree this is a good Bill, you ought to pay attention to why it's a good Bill. When we revised the Property Law, back in the late 70's, one of the things that recognized then is that nonmarital property nonmarital property. If it's something that's you gain inheritance, or that you own prior to the marriage, it should stay nonmarital property. What House Bill 548 does it dramatically...it has the potential to dramatically dismantle the concept of nonmarital property. Senate Bill 930, is in keeping with the long tradition that if you own property before you're married, or you inherit or take it by gift during the marriage, that it ought maintain its nonmarital character and that the only thing that should become marital property is, if you obtain a salary during the course of that marriage with respect to the nonmarital property, then that goes to the nonmarital estate and that's fine. House Bill 548 is on the Governor's desk. Ιf it's signed into law would do tremendous damage to that concept of nonmarital versus Bill is a reasonable marital property. This attempt to say that that long standing division ought to be maintained. And, that's all that it does.

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

reasonable Bill. It's not a narrow self-interest Bill in any way and it's something that I can't imagine, unless you're for totally abolishing the concept of nonmarital versus marital property, that you would oppose."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "I rise to support this Bill and only can echo what the previous speaker has indicated. We have legislation on the Governor's desk that, unless we pass this Bill, will leave us in a very bad situation. The Bar Association is okay on this and I would urge all of my colleagues to join and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Schakowsky."

- Schakowsky: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask the Chair if this violates the single subject provisions. As I read it, in the Illinois Constitution, in Section 8, it says that Bills, except for Appropriation Bills, shall be confined to one subject. I'd like the ruling of a Chair."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative, it's the opinion of the Chair that it does not violate the single subject matter, single subject rule. The Bill deals with awards. Further discussion? Representative Lang, to close."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask for a favorable roll call. I should add that the number one Republican opponent of this indicated to me that he's voting 'aye.' I think that's important. This is an important piece of legislation. Please vote 'aye.'"
- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 930?'

  And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to comment first

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

of all that, that ruling of the Chair was awfully creative. The Bill deals with carnival devices to give awards and also marital settlements where there are awards and, I guess, that word 'award' is the consistent theme throughout the Bill, but, interesting as to whether that's the single subject matter. I would just briefly say that I however, support the Bill and believe that this statute proposal, simply codifies the Illinois Appellate Court Case of in re the marriage of Morris, and would keep the law in Illinois with respect to these matters the same as it was prior to the passage of Senate Bill 548. I think that's the right thing to do."

- Speaker Giglio: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 97 voting 'yes', and 14 voting 'no' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 930 and this Bill having received the required Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mautino on House Joint Resolution 77."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
  House. I have a Motion before the Clerk to take HJR 77
  from the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration."
- Speaker Giglio: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted by the Attendance Roll Call.

  Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Sir. This is a...HJR 77 is an agreed to

  Resolution providing for an Acute Care Task Force to be

  established by the Illinois Department of Public Health,

  establishing the needs for health care in rural Illinois

  and I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,
  'Shall the Resolution be adopted?' All those in favor
  signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no', the voting is open.

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 110 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and House Joint Resolution 77 is hereby declared passed. Representative Matijevich now moves the following."

Clerk O'Brien: "Approval of the Journal. I move to dispense with
the reading of the Journal and the following Journals be
approved: First Legislative Day, January 9, 1991 through
68th Legislative Day, June 19, 1991."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, this Motion has been signed off by my good friend, Myron Olson, so I would ask use of the Attendance Roll Call unanimous consent."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted and the Journals are adopted. Representative Levin, Senate Bill 10? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House adopt Conference Committee #2 to Senate Bill 10. Senate Bill 10 is made necessary by the Supreme Court decision last November: Fumerello versus the Chicago Board of Education, which found unconstitutional the procedures for election of local school council members in Chicago. This Bill brings us into compliance with the requirements of the Supreme Court. Specifically, this Bill retains the current ratio of six parents, two community, two teachers and one principal local school council. It provides for one-man one-vote and with respect to the election of the and community members, with each parent or community person voting, being able to cast up to five votes election. In addition, the staff in each school would

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

participate in an advisory election to recommend two teachers to serve on the local school council. The Chicago Board of Education itself would appoint the two teachers to each local school council. This legislation authorizes that voting student member on each high school. local school council, although a voting member would not be able to vote on personnel matters. That student member would be selected, initially, through an advisory election of the students in the high school with the actual appointment, again, being with the Board of Education for the student in each school. For purposes of legislative intent, there is a typographical error in Senate Bill 10 on page 8, line 33. It makes reference to the appointment of voting students by the local school council. There are two other places in the Bill where the reference is correct. that the Board of Education makes the appointment. In this one place, the reference is incorrect. If there are any questions, I'd be happy to answer them. We need this legislation in order for the Chicago Schools to be able to function this Fall. It's virtually identical to Conference Committee Report #1 that we passed out overwhelmingly, it must've been about two weeks ago."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?'

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Preston: "Representative Levin, did I understand you to say that
the teacher members of each local school council will be
appointed by the Board of Education and not by the
principal of the local school?"

Levin: "The provision that's in here with respect to the teachers is what the Chicago Teacher's Union asked for, which provides for the maximum input from the staff in the school."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Preston: "Could you try to answer my simple question."

Levin: "Yeah. The staff in the school would participate in advisory elections. The actual choice, however, would be left to the Chicago Board of Education to pick the two teachers that would serve on each local school council."

Preston: "And the student members, did I understand that student members would not be picked by the local school council but would, also, be picked by this great genius organization known as the Chicago Board of Education?"

Levin: "That is correct."

Preston: "So, we're asking the Chicago Board to do more than it already does and there is concern that it seems to be overburdened and unable to be producing what it's been asked to produce, meaning an education without an overburdened administrative staff. We're still asking them to make the teacher appointments and the student appointments."

Levin: "In terms of the teachers, this is the procedure that is supported by the..."

Preston: "So, how is it that the Chicago Board of Education on

Pershing Road is supposed to know what student members in

my district are supposed to be appointed to the local

school councils?"

Levin: "It would be my hope, although this is not required under the legislation, that in terms of the students they will take into account the results of the advisory election that the students participated in."

Preston: "But that's not required. Billy Singer can decide that he's gonna appoint his cousin and his cousin's brother..."

Levin: "He's no longer on the Board of Education."

Preston: "Oh, okay, I see. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Gentlemen of the House. It is essential that we pass some kind of new procedure for electing the local school councils in Chicago because of the court case. although I know that there are some of us who do not live in Chicago who may object to one or another aspect of this Bill, there are a couple of things that I'm not very pleased with, but, the fact is that most of the work on this Bill has been done by the people who live in Chicago. there satisfied with the provisions of Senate Bill 10, certainly the rest of us should be. If we believe in local control we should let the Chicagoans have the form of election that they have selected and put into Senate Bill 10."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Shaw: "Would you explain to the Body. Does this Bill address the magnet school concept in Chicago 10?"

Levin: "Yes, it does."

Shaw: "How do you get elected as a council member in a magnet school and who votes in that?"

Levin: "The Bill recognizes that magnet schools do not have boundaries. And, 50, it provides the purpose of identifying community residents for election purposes only. That the Board of Education, using certain specified criteria that are in the Bill, would define districts which could be the old boundaries of the school, 'cause most of these magnet schools used to be local schools and they had attendance boundaries. So, they would define boundaries and the election that would take place would, enfranchise, as the community, the people who lived within that voting boundary."

Shaw: "In other words, we are creating, say that...the Magnet

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

school is 7000 North. There's some youngsters from my community at 138th Street. How would those people, parents, participate in the process if they wanted to run for a school that their children were going to, 7000 North? How would that be addressed?"

Levin: "We retain what is basically the current procedure, no matter where the parents live, that have children in that school. They're able to vote in the election so that the parents are the parents in the school, no matter where those parents live. And, the community people who can vote are the people who live within the voting district that would be created for each school.

Shaw: "But, I would have to go from 138th Street to, possibly, 5000 North, dependent upon what the election commissioners had encompassed as the old boundary of that school. I would have to go up there if I wanted to run as a community rep, or council member, and campaign in that area, is that what you're saying?"

Levin: "As far as appearance, the old procedure was the same thing. Somebody lived 7000 North and their child went to a school 5000, on the other end of the city, they would have to travel across the city as well. So it doesn't change that."

Shaw: "Alright. To the Bill. Certainly, this Bill doesn't answer all of the problems that confronts the Chicago schools, but, I think it goes a long ways in terms of dealing with the problem. I'm not happy with the magnet school concept, and how the parents get elected in that area, but, maybe at some time in the future this Bill will come back to the legislature and we will have to address this issue again, but, I would recommend passage of Senate Bill 10."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Martinez."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Martinez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Martinez: "Representative Levin. I'm at a loss as to what this
Bill actually does. But, one question I have is, will it
answer the court ruling, correct this?"

Levin: "Yes."

Martinez: "Completely?"

Levin: "There's been substantial input from law firms, community groups, the school system, Legislators and everybody who has had the opportunity to analyze the Fumerallo opinion. And the belief is that this legislation will correct the problems raised by the Illinois Supreme Court last November so that we will be able to have the local school council elections on time in October of this year."

Martinez: "Am I to understand that the product is finished now and you can present it again? To the Supreme Court? And for it to be in compliance?"

Levin: "Yes. This is the Bill that we...when in January we passed what we called the 'quick fix?' We committed ourselves to come back and finish the job in terms of election procedures before we adjourned at the end of the Spring Session. We're a little late in adjourning but this is the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Are you finished, Representative Martinez?"
Martinez: "Yes, thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Will the Sponsor yield for question? Representative

Levin, how will these teachers be selected? By what

criteria would the Board of Education use in selecting the

two teachers for the local school council?"

Levin: "Under this legislation they have discretion to use whatever criteria they wish. It would certainly be my hope, and we can't abide them under..."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- LeFlore: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker, I can't hear what he's saying."
- Levin: "The response to your question is, under this legislation, they may employ any criteria that they wish. It would be my hope that they would abide by the results of the advisory election, the staff in each school. That would recommend which teachers. But, they don't have to follow it."
- LeFlore: "What about the students? Are the students selected by the board, too?"
- Levin: "Same thing. Again, the board may employ whatever standard they want. Again, it would be my hope that they would abide by the results of the advisory election for the students in the individual high schools."
- LeFlore: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I notice my name is on this Bill as Co-Sponsor. I would like for my name to be removed. I feel that we need some mandates in order to help strengthen the local school council mandate, but, I don't know. There's some things in this Conference Committee Report I do not agree with, so therefore, I'm just asking for my name to be removed from the report. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Balanoff."
- Balanoff: "Will the Sponsor yield? Ellis, I have a question.

  With the two different methods of electing members to the local school council, don't you think this may violate the induction of one person one vote, and the spirit of the court order. And that we're basically, well, anyway...do think that might be..."
- Levin: "The court decision addresses itself to the method of election, requiring one man one vote. It does not apply to appointment situations."
- Balanoff: "Okay. I would share some of Representative Shaw's concerns. I also am voting for this Bill because I think

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

it's important that we keep the local school councils operating. But I also agree that I'm sure that we're going to be revisiting this issue in the Fall, because I'm not sure that the courts will uphold the election method and find it Constitutional."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Mr. Deering would say, 'let's vote' but I move the
 previous question."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Previous question's been moved. Representative Levin, to close."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a compromise. We need it in order for the schools to be able to open in the Fall and have elections. I urge your support for Senate Bill 10, the Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 10?' And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting opposed 'no', the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? seeking recognition, Representative Levin? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 101 voting and 6 voting 'no' and the House does adopt Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 10 and this Bill having received the required Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Levin on Senate Bill 11."

Levin: "Senate Bill 11 contains a number of different ideas that were put together by the various parent groups, community groups, union, Legislators and everybody else who had input into the process. It is a compromise. There are some things that people feel are very good. There are other things that some people do not like. Let me just mention a

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

couple. If there are questions, I can go into anything else. The most important thing, I think, in this Bill that we've heard from the groups is the need for a procedure to remove local school council members who do not attend This provides a procedure where, if a local meetings. school council member misses three consecutive meetings or five meetings in a year, then they may be removed after notice and the opportunity to attend the meeting and explain why they were absent. A second provision in the Bill establishes an evaluation procedure for engineers. One of the most controversial things that we're hearing from the local school councils is the issue of principal in charge. This legislation contains a compromise that was negotiated with the operating engineers. the Chicago Board of Education and the school groups, to provide for the evaluation of the engineers in each school by the principal under the supervision of the district engineer. Provides a field procedure if dissatisfaction with the evaluation and this reasonable accountability."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 11?' And on that question all those in favor signify by voting opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 105 voting 'yes', 4 voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 11 and this Bill having received the required Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Martinez 'aye'. Representative Wolf, House Bill 971? Out of the record. Representative Leitch for a Motion."

95th Legislative Day

- July 18, 1991
- Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we suspend Rule 79(e) and that we extend the deadline on House Bill 1352 until November 9, 1991."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."
- Dunn: "I rise in support of the Motion but I thought that the Gentleman who asked my support was McAfee, I can't tell 'em apart."
- Leitch: "Neither has anyone else been able to this whole Session."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Does he have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted. Representative Matijevich on the Resolution. Mr. Clerk, read the Resolution."
- O'Brien: "House Resolution 937. Clerk Be it Resolved by the House of Representatives, the 87th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that a Special Service Committee consists of five Members of the House: three appointed by the Speaker οf the House and two to be appointed by the Minority Leader of the House to be known as the Journal Review Committee is hereby created. And, be it further Resolved that the Journal Review Committee shall examine and review Journals of the House of Representatives of the 87th General Assembly and may give final approval to such Journals."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I would move for the adoption and the use of the Attendance Roll Call for unanimous consent for that Resolution. That's the normal procedure. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich first moves that the

  House suspend the appropriate rule for immediate

  Consideration. Does he have leave by the Attendance Roll

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Call. Hearing none, leave is granted. On the Resolution, Mr. Matijevich now moves for the adoption of the House Resolution 937. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor, by the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing none, the Attendance Roll Call is used. Representative Myron Olson. Is Representative Olson in the chamber? Representative Myron Olson. Myron? Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have inquiry of the Chair.

Is that freshman Olson up in the visitor's gallery? It

wasn't him? I believe he was just initiated."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black."

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. We're having a problem getting a quorum for a leadership meeting. I don't know where Myron Olson is, but perhaps with the power of the press, we could find Representative Olson and get him back here on the floor where he belongs."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, many of us have learned how to handle the press in our interviews, but, Representative Olson has never been interviewed by television while he's been in Springfield. So, Molly, can you kind of turn the camera on him and interview him so he feels better? He could speak for all of us."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Molly just talked to me down here.

They didn't want Myron Olson, it's Bob Olson. Would you go
up there, Bob, and talk to them?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hensel on House Bill 2385."

Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. On House Bill 2385, I would like to concur with Amendment #1, Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House

95th Legislative Day

- July 18, 1991
- Bill 2385?' And on that question all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open.

  Representative Hartke."
- Hartke: "Well, I think the Motion is to concur in Senate
   Amendment #1 instead of #2."
- Speaker Giglio: "That's correct. He's gonna nonconcur. We're taking it separate Motions. The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1?' Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman please explain

  Amendment #1 to House Bill 2385 that he's asking us to

  'pass' on?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks. Hensel."
- Hensel: "Senate Amendment #1 changed the amount from about \$8,000,000 down to \$3,000,000 that gives the authority for the appropriation from the Road Fund to the Secretary of State so that they can issue license plates. If they don't get this money there won't be any new license plates issued in the state next year."
- Hensel: "Fine. Thank you for the explanation, Representative.

  That's Amendment #1. I would recommend you do the same on
  #2 if you would, please, when we get to that point."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks, are you still seeking recognition? Alright. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 110 voting 'yes' and one voting 'no' and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2385. Representative Hensel on Amendment #2."
- Hensel: "I wish to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2385."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gen...would you like to explain it?"
- Hensel: "Senate Amendment would have provided in Fiscal Year '92

## 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

surplus moneys in the Road Fund to be appropriated for subsidies for the reduced fares for mass transit services for students, handicapped persons and the elderly and I understand that the moneys now have been appropriated out of the GRF and it's not necessary, it's not required. This has been agreed to by all parties involved."

- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay', in the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcurs with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2385. Alright, the House at this time is not prepared to adjourn. However, we will be doing some of Agreed Resolutions. Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 934, offered by Representative Harris; 935, Harris; 936, Schoenberg; 938, Weller; 939, Weller; 940, Doederlein; 942, Jane Barnes; 944; Curran and 945, Johnson."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', in the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 941, offered by Representatives

  Matijevich and Parcells."
- Speaker Giglio: "Committee on Assignments. The Chair would like to remind Members that when we leave tonight that all materials left on the Members desks will be disposed of tomorrow. So anything that you want to take, please take whatever's on your desk with you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hensel, for what purpose do you
   rise?"
- Hensel: "Inquiry of the Chair. It looks like it's almost past the bewitching hour of having food. Are we going to order out or should we expect chicken or lasagna? Or whatever or

95th Legislative Day

- July 18, 1991
- recess maybe so we can go out and get our own?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Are you...are you insinuating you wanna be like
   the Senate?"
- Hensel: "What...what's the Senate doing? Are they eating filets
   or what?"
- Hensel: "No. I'm not in favor of a caucus, but I was just checking to see what the schedule might be."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright, I'll see what I can find out, Representative Hensel."
- Hensel: "Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "The House would...the Chair would like to make an announcement that the House will stand in recess for approximately one hour, till 7:30. The House stands in recess until 7:30."
- Speaker McPike: "Come to order. Mr. Clerk, Supplemental announcement."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #4 is being distributed."
- Speaker McPike: "Those Members in the Stratton building, would you please return to the floor so we can wrap this up. Senate Bill 45, Mr. Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we accept the Second Conference Committee Report for Senate Bill 45. Be happy to answer any questions that you might have. This is the agreed Bill. Be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker McPike: "Have you heard the Gentleman's Motion? The Motion is to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 45. Take this Bill out of the record for just a few minutes and we'll get... We'll get right back to this Bill. Mr. Kubik, we'll get...we'll get right...we'll get back...Mr. Kubik."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Kubik: "Mr. Speaker, maybe we should go to the Children and Family Law Order."

Speaker McPike: "Well, you're...you're just two seconds The Parliamentarian suggested that to me. Alright, Children and Family Law. Representative Preston. Representative Wolf, is House Bill 971 ready? Alright. Mr. Wolf, House Bill 971."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Mr. Speaker, I move for adoption of Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 971. House Bill 971 is the Omnibus Pension Bill and incorporates a number of changes the five state funded pension systems, all of which either carry a very low cost factor or no cost factor This was in accordance with agreements made at the outset of negotiations that were made at the beginning of the pension discussions. There are also a number of changes in the Chicago and Cook County systems, some of which carry a cost factor. However, all of which have been signed off by the systems. For the five state funded systems most of the changes contained herein are administrative and technical; however, there are some benefit changes highlighted as follows: (1) military service credit for the five-state funded systems, (2) involuntary layoff credit for the teacher's retirement system, (3) an optional contribution plan for part-time employees under the state university system; authority for football coaches to participate the American football coaches retirement trust plan. Ιt also changes the retirement makeup of two pension board in the City of Chicago and opens a 30-day-window systems former Chicago alderman to participate in optional contribution plan. Ι believe that this is a reasonable and responsible proposal and recognizes fiscal constraints of the times, while at the same time

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

tries to address some very needed changes in the systems. This report is a consolidation of some 185 separate pieces of legislation which were introduced by Members of this Assembly and filed in the House and Senate. Mr. Speaker, I would move for adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 971."

Speaker McPike: "On the Gentleman's Motion, Representative ...
Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I want to take moment to congratulate and the diligence of literally, days Representative Wolf and days of meetings of putting this package together. All of these things that are in this package have been agreed to by the various pension systems. There is nothing in here that has not been agreed to by either the Governor's office or the various legislative groups, and that I think it's something that should pass, and I also would like to take a moment to thank Tony Feveletti and Brad Bolan for the, literally, hundreds of hours that they have put into the making this final document a success. I would ask the Body to pass this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I not only rise in support of this Omnibus Pension Bill but want to thank, also, Sam Wolf and all the people involved in putting this together. One thing that should not go by without emphasizing is that for several years, way before my time and since I've been here, the military service credit has been co-sponsored by probably every Member in this House. It is now reality in this plan, and so I think that's something we all should be proud of and thank Sam Wolf for his...a lot of his work."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Levin: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Briefly."

Levin: "One of the provisions that was in the First Conference Committee Report, which I believed caused it to go down in the Senate, had to do with mayoral appointments to pension boards in Chicago. Is that in the second report?"

Wolf: "Those two items are still in, Representative."

Levin: "So, they're still here?"

Wolf: "Yes, Sir."

Levin: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wolf to close."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to take this opportunity to thank Representative Parke, the Minority spokesman on the other side, and also to thank the staff on both sides of the aisle for their cooperation and assistance. I would move for the adoption of Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 971."

Speaker McPike: "Most Members have no idea how much time in by Representative Wolf and Representative Parke, and the Chair would like to add our thanks to what they do for the rest of us. The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 971?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 'ayes' and no 'nays', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 971, and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #4 appears House Bill 505, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House

Bill 505 is the appropriation for the Supreme Court and the

courts in the State of Illinois. The total appropriation

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

is 170,826,600. It's a reduction in General Revenue Funds of \$514,600 from F.Y. '91 expenditures. I would move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 505."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ι stand in opposition to House Bill 505, not because I'm against the court's budget, but I'm against a portion If you look at this budget and look at it closely, what we're doing here tonight is we're killing the criminal justice system in the State of Illinois. We just voted on the Department of Correction's budget. You know what we did? We've killed all the parole officers in the State of What this is going to do, what this is going to do is it's gonna lay off 250 probation officers throughout the State of Illinois. We can't have that. We can't have that. You know what happened in the last few years? Everybody talks about alternatives of prison. talks about we gotta find alternatives, we need something else, because we can't house these people. We're talking about drug offenders. We're talking about rapists. talking about people who'll be running the streets of this state if we don't vote 'no' on this budget. Last last night we voted...last night we voted to send less money to local governments. I voted for that, but you know what we're doing now? We're cutting out...we're cutting out reimbursement, we're cutting out reimbursement to local governments for probation officers. We can't do that. We gotta vote 'no' on this. I urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes...thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment four to Senate Bill 505, when it was here previously; overwhelmingly eliminated seven administrative positions

# 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Supreme Court justices. These positions for these administrators pays over \$40,000 a year, and all they do is cab service and hang the judge's coats. These guys don't have any tenure of service. They haven't been employed for over a year. One judge up in Tonell hasn't even hired one, 'cause they don't even need 'em. Now, you're talking \$40,000 or a total of \$316,000 and we're talking about a year we're supposed to be cutting. That would hire 15 more probation officers as the previous speaker just said. if you wanna talk about taking from the poor and giving to the rich, look at the qualifications. You don't even have to be a lawyer to have one of these jobs. This is nothing but feather bedding at its best. Send this House Bill 505 back, and take these feather bedding jobs away from these Supreme Court justices. We eliminated them in here, so you're gonna say, 'Yeah, well we took 5%, we took 5% from the Supreme Court justices', but they're not going to eliminate these politicians. They're not going eliminate these guys or gals, whoever they hire. So, they don't need the jobs. The jobs aren't needed in the of Illinois, so you're talking about taking from the poor and giving to the rich, no poor guy's gonna get one of these \$40,000 jobs. So, if you wanna vote for this, don't say that you came to Springfield to cut if you let this \$316,000...a third of a million dollars, get out of your hands for these coat hangers to take the judge's coat off and see 'em about six days a month. This is irresponsible and this Bill should go down and be rewritten and come back without these jobs in it."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We worked long and hard on this Bill, and I don't think that some of the people that are speaking against it are aware

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

of the latest version of this Bill. We have not decimated probation. We did not do what was threatened to be done to this Bill two days ago. The Supreme Court took a cut, that's correct, but they didn't wipe out the probation It's a very small cut. In fact, the Supreme Court took, on a percentage basis, much, much less than the constitutional other officers; much, much Less...less than 1% cut from last years expenditures. Probation's still gonna be here. I don't know where. except in a reaction to what was threatened two days ago, the people that are speaking against this Bill indicated, it's a very modest cut. I'm not gonna tell you that probation hasn't received some cut, they have. it's very modest, less than 1%. So, please understand, the Bill that's under consideration is a very, very small percentage cut, and I think it deserves your support, and I would hope that you would reconsider, those who have spoken against the Bill and would understand that we are strongly supporting probation in the counties."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. Just to echo the prior speaker's sentiment. At one time, the probation line was down about 60%. Those were very drastic cuts, but we restored that amount of money so the probation people will probably be no worse off than many other state employees and state agencies that we have appropriate monies for today. It may not be the situation that they hoped for, but it's certainly a situation that I believe that they can live with, just like all of our other agencies. We asked the Supreme Court to take cuts, we told them an amount we'd like for they came back with us...to us with some proposals that we feel are fair, and at this time, I think it's in

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

the best interest of everyone here to pass this Bill on to the Governor's desk."

Speaker McPike: "Speaker Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Simply to speak on behalf of this appropriation. This agency is like all of the agencies under our jurisdiction, it has undergone a reduction, just like everybody else. Nobody's happy with most aspects of this budget. This is no different, but I would recommend an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I, too, rise in opposition to the Conference Committee
Report because of the hit taken in this legislation by the
probation officers. We have filled our correctional
facilities to the bursting point, and we do have people who
are eligible and deserving and should be on probation, and
we need...we need adequate probation officers to...to serve
those needs, and this appropriation, in its present
configuration, does not meet those needs and should be
defeated."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich, to close."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the I would urge an 'aye' vote. House. Nobody, but nobody cares more about the probation system than I do. I and former Member, Jim Riley, had the legislation that created the state system for probation, so I...I worked so hard for the probation officers. Two days ago they were \$8 million less than they are today, so we've done all that we can. Let me tell you, in the future I do think we've got to work on some other umbrella organization to...to fund the probation system. I think we can work on that. for today, we've got to approve this budget. We don't have tomorrow, we only have right now, so I would urge an 'aye'

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

vote on this appropriation."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 505?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Mr. Speaker. Let's remember this is the same Supreme Court that delivered a message to this General Assembly last year. They said that we don't deserve an opportunity to get a little extra reimbursement for our hard work. Let's deliver a message back to this same Supreme Court and let 'em know what the General Assembly thinks of them. This is message time for the Members of the General Assembly. Let's deliver a message. We can bring this back later tonight when we take them out of it. This is message time for the Members of the General Assembly. Let's have some guts and do the right thing."

Speaker McPike: "Representative...Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. That...that is a nice statement and it's shared by a lot of us, but the fact of the matter is we need to put this budget in place, and my judge, Judge Raft of the 15th Circuit, who's the chief judge of the Chief Judges Council, said that this new Second Conference Committee Report meets their needs. Therefore, we should support it."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 78 'ayes', 28 'nos', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 505, and this Bill having received the Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 737, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If you'll bear with us just a

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

moment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 737 makes ordinary...excuse me...House Bill 737 provides ordinary and contingent expenses for the Secretary of State. In General Revenue Funds, there is a reduction of \$4,803,000...which is 5%, a reduction from 1991 estimated expenditures. Happy to answer any questions. I move for adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 737?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 112 'ayes' and 1 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 737, and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1078, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House
Bill 1078 is a Bill relating to family relations to
education and provides that effects of drug, alcohol and
tobacco consumption by pregnant women is included as a
component of instruction for prenatal and postnatal care
for mothers and infants. This Bill is all agreed to, as I
understand. There's no opposition, and I would move the
adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 on House
Bill 1078."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Representative, does...does this have the parenting curriculi mandate in this Conference Committee Report?"

Matijevich: "Permissive, Representative Black."

Black: "I see the language, and in one section it says, 'may' and

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

in the next sentence it says, 'shall', and that's what's confused me a little bit."

- Matijevich: "Representative Black, on page ten of the Conference Committee Report it says that, 'the school districts may offer, as a part of their respective curricula developed, pursuant to programs established, major educational area of alcohol and drug abuse, program services and instruction equivalent to that which is provided under, et cetera, et cetera. So, it appears to me that it is permissive...permissive and not mandatory."
- Black: "Well, somewhere in here I saw the word 'shall', too, but
  I...I appreciate your time, Representative. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1078?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. While the Sponsor did refer to one part that is permissive, there is another part that is definitely not permissive. If you look on page seven, it is 'parenting and family education shall be provided to students', and so, if you are against mandates, you should not support this."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Preston. Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Clarification on that point. On page seven...under section 27-231, 'parenting and family education school districts may provide instruction in parenting and family education.' It's 'may' in that section, also."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know the hour is late and some people may be giddy. There is something you should understand about this legislation regarding parenting education. It has, on two previous occasions, passed the House and passed the Senate

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

and gone to the Governor of Illinois. This is not a great controversial issue. We passed out of here a Resolution that people got up on the other side of the aisle and spoke about how urgent it was to have one more commission study child ritual abuse or ritual child abuse. how much we really needed that, as if that really meant anything, and somebody indicated that this is a nationwide issue. indeed, we are concerned at all about child abuse and about the welfare of children, it makes sense to me that somewhere in high school, a high school student should be told when a woman suspects that she is pregnant that it makes sense to see a doctor. That it makes sense to of alcohol, drugs and tobacco when you suspect you are That when a newborn baby comes into this world. the baby cries, the baby cries because that baby is hungry or cold or wet or uncomfortable and the appropriate response is not to slap that child. That's what parenting education will do. If we're serious about helping children at no cost to a taxpayer, this is the opportunity to do it, not to make grandiose speeches about how we have to study It is ritual abuse to be on drugs when ritual abuse. you're pregnant. That's ritual abuse. If you want to do something about it, explain that to young people who are about to become children. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 61 'ayes' and 52 'nos', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1078, and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 453, Representative Curran."

Curran: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Conference

Committee Report on Senate Bill 453. It revises a phase in

of state Chapter I funds, with additional funds which are

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

scheduled in Fiscal Year 1992 to be distributed to the local school councils. Provides that 40% of those funds are for the LSCs, and 60% goes to the Chicago Board of Education. That would generate approximately \$30 million toward the board's deficit and help the Chicago schools open this fall. It also allows the Chicago board to use unspent Fiscal Year 1991 Chapter funds, which would otherwise go wasted. Finally, it allows the Chicago board to use playground tax revenues to pay physical education teachers and social workers salaries, saving the board approximately two and a half million dollars. Be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill?

Well, looks like there's opposition to the Bill. Ms.

Cowlishaw, you in opposition also? Alright, we'll I'm

gonna recognize one person to speak against the Bill.

Representative Paul Williams."

Williams: "Thank you. Is this the First or the Second Conference
Committee Report?"

Speaker McPike: "Second. Second."

Williams: "Cause what we have says..."

Speaker McPike: "Second."

Williams: "Okay. Well, to the Bill. You know, we sit here in this late hour and we, basically, are going to do what we're going to do..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Curran, what's your point? What's your point? Mr. Curran."

Curran: "We do not believe that this is a Second Conference
Committee Report. We believe that it is the First
Conference Committee Report, therefore, the board would be
wrong, and possibly some of the objectors would have their
objections misplaced."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, is the Calendar incorrect? How long

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

does it take to check on this? Take this Bill out of the record. House Bill 2010, Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2010 adds an omnibus medicaid reimbursement reform plan that will enable to state to expedite and enhance certain Medicaid provider reimbursements. It would require the Department of Public Aid to establish a perspective payment system for hospital reimbursements. Would require the Department of Public Aid to establish five new Medicaid provider participation fee programs that are intended to capture approximately \$558 million in federal matching funds to cover increasing costs for the provision of services, including basic care, \$235 million for inpatient ambulatory care payments, critical care access payments an additional \$50 million for certain hospitals providing trauma care and high volume obstetrical care. Cook County Hospital \$134.4 million. community Nursing homes and mental health and developmentally disabled providers \$138 million. Four new trust funds would be established, including the Services Trust Fund, which would be effective for four years. This fund would provide enhanced payments for inpatient, ambulatory care, and disproportionate share expenditures under the Medicaid program. It would also, provide for the initiation of the County Medical Services Trust Fund. It would also provide for the Medicaid long-term provider participation fee trust fund for a skilled and intermediate care facilities, including county nursing facilities but not state operated facilities and the fourth fund would be the Medicaid developmentally disabled provider participation fee trust fund, which would enhanced payments to meet intermediate facilities for the developmentally disabled. Under the

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Cook County plan, which was separate for Cook County Hospital, the agreement with Cook County Hospital assumes that \$65 million in general revenue funds will be freed up to cover the cost of aid to medically indigent, the MI program, and the GA medical programs, which the Governor's proposed budget had eliminated. This is a critical, critical factor in the budget resolution of the last two days, and I would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill?

Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill? The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill...' Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. For purposes of legislative intent, I have a few boring statements to read, and I trust that you will indulge me in this because for various groups it is important that we clarify what we mean when we adopt this Bill. First, it should be clear to all of us that the taxes that we are calling fees in this Bill are levied under the authority that we're granted by Sections 1 and 2 of Article IX of the Illinois Constitution of 1970. Secondly. I have some questions about the separate sections of the Bill that deal with hospitals and county hospitals and some dealing with intermediate care facilities. As you know, there are some...some hospitals and county hospitals which also provide the services of intermediate care facilities developmentally disabled the and skilled orintermediate nursing facilities. What is the intent with respect to a provider which provides services in more one category? If the Sponsor would yield to question."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The intent is that each tax would be levied categorically. That is the tax on skilled

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

or intermediate nursing facilities would be levied only on the Medicaid receipts, the hospital or county hospital receipts in that category, and the tax on hospitals or county hospitals would be levied only on the Medicaid receipts, the hospital or county hospital received for those hospital services. There is no intent to double tax."

Currie: "Secondly, they...each section provides that the Bill is only effective as long as federal funds under Title 19 of the Social Security Act are available to match the fees collected and disbursed under that section and only as long as reimbursable expenditures are matched at the federal Medicaid percentage of at least 50%. What situation are you trying to cover with these provisions?"

Granberg: "These provisions are only intended to cover a major general failure of the assessment methodology. That is, if the federal government takes the position that the assessment methodology is not permitted under law and that position is sustained. These provisions are not intended to apply to incidental claim disallowances or the fact that certain types of expenditures permitted from the respective funds are not reimbursable. For example: administrative expenses of the department. These provisions are also not intended to cover more notice of a federal problem, that is the actual failure of the methodology is the intended trigger."

Currie: "And, finally, Mr...Granberg, I wanna check with respect to children's hospitals, the five in the state: I understand that since they were involved in making this legislation, that the rates that will be established for these hospitals will not be less net of all applicable assessments or fees than their ICARE rates. Secondly, that the gross rate that's calculated before the assessment or

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

fee is applied, that the net of those gross rates, after subtracting out the assessment or fee relating to the gross rates, must equal or exceed ICARE rates indexed forward and that that inpatient service will be no less than its 1990 ICARE rate index forward and that there is a letter of understanding between the Department of Public Aid and the children's hospitals that suggest that those hospitals may be eligible for qualifying for additional parinatal funding under the new Critical Care Access Fund established by this Bill. Are those three questions...is your answer yes?"

Granberg: "The answer to all those questions is 'yes'."

Currie: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Trotter."

Trotter: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. It's gratifying to know that as the mother of all battles was going on by some trying to create a budget for the state, that there was a group of negotiators who put people first in their negotiating process. The people that put this Bill together obviously sat down and felt that health care is a right and not a privilege, and as a consequence have come up with a great piece of legislation. three years, as people know, I've been carrying the local government health care trust fund. This Bill carries the strengths of that legislation, which is an aggressive effort to go after those federal matching dollars, and I applaud that effort...but I will vote for this Bill, but I. also, at this point in time, need to state that I do have a conflict of interest, a possible conflict of interest, would be voting my conscience, and I hope that everyone else'll be doing so...the same."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2010?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 113 'ayes'...114 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2010, and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1733, Representative Burzynski. Representative Kubik. Representative Kubik, 1733."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move..."

Speaker McPike: "On the board, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 1733."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that we concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3. 1733, basically, is an administration Bill, which has to do with the penalty and interest provisions of the Tax Code. There is no opposition to this legislation. I'd be happy to answer any questions and would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments...On the Motion, Representative Lang."

Lang: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Lang: "Representative, does...does this delete the permit for the CUB mailings?"

Kubik: "Yes, it does."

Lang: "I would ask, Mr. Speaker, that we divide the question and vote on these individually."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, the Gentleman...is in his right. He has asked for a division of the question. The first question is to concur in Senate Amendment #1. Senate Amendment #1. Does anyone rise in opposition to Amendment #1? Representative Lang. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

As everybody knows, I've always supported the CUB, but I

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

understand this Amendment...Representative Lang, that they supported...that they had already discussed this with the agency and had gone along with that. So, I think we can concur in all of the Amendments. I think Representative Lang now refreshes his memory and is no longer in opposition."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I thank Representative Matijevich for refreshing my memory, and I...think we should concur in all three Amendments. hank you."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, the Motion is to concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1733. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 110 'ayes' and no 'nays', and the House does concur...the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1733. Representative Wennlund votes 'aye'. Wennlund, 'aye'. Shirley Jones, 'aye'. Laurino, 'aye'. 113 'ayes' and no 'nays', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1733, and this Bill having received the Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 45, Mr. Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we accept Conference Committee #2...Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 45. I think most of us know what is in this legislation. As you know, this is a compromise Bill, which has been worked out between the four Legislative Leaders and the Governor, and I certainly would offer my thanks to the Legislative Leaders and the Members of the negotiation committee of the four caucuses in coming to this agreement. The Bill, essentially, as it is now, would cut \$874,900,000, out of

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

the budget. In addition to that, it would transfer...would allow transfer authority to \$150,000. I would...move the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report of Senate Bill 45."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 45?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Younge, to explain her vote."

"This is the Bill...and I had some questions I wanted to Younge: ask the...the Sponsor of this Motion, Mr. Speaker, and if it reaches the requisite number of votes, I want to verify the Roll Call on...on this matter. This is the Bill that cuts General Assistance down to a nine month period for the first year, and also makes it a six-month program with...during the second year beginning in July, 1992. I think that this is marly unacceptable because there has been nο investigation to see whether or not the municipalities that are expected to pick up the other the share of the payment to these indigent individuals who are really, have been treated like paupers. Who been given any kind of job training or any kind of assessment or any opportunity to make it on their own, and is very dehumanizing to pass a Bill that does think it not take into consideration a period...in order to make adjustment so that they can be privately gainfully Many of these individuals are veterans. veterans who have not received veteran's loans or many of benefits. In the situation that I come from in the East St. Louis area, there are 480 veterans who...who are affected by this, and I say that we have a responsibility to the people who freed Auschwetz, who went to Germany, who went to Europe, who went to the Asian countries and fought the wars, I think we have a responsibility to be..."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Speaker McPike: "Representative Santiago."

Santiago: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too, rise in opposition.

I know the Bill's gonna pass, but I cannot sit here and let this thing pass without raising some objections, and basically, what we're doing is this is a calculated, premeditated financial rape on the backs of the poor. That's the best phrase that I could use to analyze this because what we're doing is taking food away from the table from certain individuals that need it the most, and we're perpetrating this crime against these people because of financial and mismangement of prior administration. We should...we should stand here together, and I'm not blaming anyone, but I think...I think that we must..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Trotter."

Trotter: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is a state about someone with more status in life than myself that there would never be a day when answers would become easy or cheap. There would never be a day when all of us can have our first choice on ideal solutions. There would also never be a day when some of us would not have to make sacrifices to achieve what is best for all of us. But we can all agree that it wasn't easy forging this piece of legislation together for the time that it took, but we can also agree that the sacrifices are not being made across the board here. The sacrifices are being made on those people who can least afford those sacrifices. If a choice was made, more than \$15 million would have been cut out of DCCA. If a first choice would have been made, program would not have been cut. We need to look at where we are right now and who we're down here to serve. Are we to serve just big business or are we to serve us people? I say that we need to make sure that this vote does not reach the equivalent amount that is necessary to

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

be passed and I ask for a Roll Call if ... "

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Novak."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the This is a very difficult and painful vote, I'm sure, for not only myself but for all of us. But we have to deal with the realities in this state. We have to lop off \$1,800,000.000. We don't have the money. Someone's gonna get hurt by these budget cuts, we all realize that, and it is painful for me enough to vote for this Bill, for the others that are gonna vote for this Bill, but we have to go home to our taxpayers and say, this is a reduction budget. We are not expanding this budget. Illinois government is shrinking, and we shrink to balance this budget.' That is the essence of this Bill, and we have to have the bipartisan support support this. As much as I know that people back in my district some people may not benefit by the...by the essence...by the effects of this Bill, but we have to pass this Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. For purpose of legislative intent, the portion of this legislation enabling the City of Chicago to impose a use tax on transfer of tangible personal property that is neither titled or registered shall place liability for the tax on the purchasers of products outside of city limits and not the retail seller. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Balthis."

Balthis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the...Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "No. You have one minute to explain your vote, Sir."

Balthis: "I have one minute to explain my vote. I haven't voted yet, Sir. I just want to know if the Meals on Wheels

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

program has been restored in this Bill. I understand it has, but I would like to have the Sponsor at least acknowledge that it has."

Speaker McPike: "It has been restored in the appropriation."

Balthis: "Thank you. My vote is 'yes'."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I, too, would just like the Sponsor to nod his head. I think it's very important in the...taking off the Fiscal Year, when we're doing the double school aid payment in July, it's my understanding that these payments made in July of each year now shall be considered as payments for claims covering the school year that commenced during the immediately preceding calendar year. I hope the Sponsor of this Bill is in agreement with me, because I think that's a very important piece of intent that that's the way that money be treated, and he's nodding his head yes, and I thank him for that."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There's a great deal in this legislation to be disappointed in. Eight hundred and eighty-five million dollars worth of disappointment, but there is something in this Bill for every state employee. These are state employees who have among the worst pension system in America. This gives them an opportunity to retire early, gives them five extra years of service and five extra years of age and raised the minimum of \$50 mil..."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed, that was an error."

Curran: "...and raised the minimum of \$50 million for the State of Illinois. So, for those state employees for whom...to whom we have given the worst pension system in America, to those state employees who now we are requiring them to pay a portion of their health insurance which previously they

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

didn't pay, to those state employees where we're giving them this sliver of an opportunity to retire early, to free up positions, to free up \$50 million and that's what you're voting on when you vote for this, to free up \$50 million and to give state employees the opportunity to retire early. We cannot leave this community, we cannot leave this room without the passage of this legislation."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Ms. Younge, there are 75 votes. It's apparent that everyone is here. Do you persist on your verification?"

Younge: "Yes."

Speaker McPike: "It's 8:40 on July 18th, Ms. Younge."

Younge: "Yes. Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady persists on her verification.

Representative Martinez, would you like to be verified?

Mr. Martinez."

Martinez: "I'd like to change my vote from green to red."

Speaker McPike: "Martinez changes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Clerk, read the Poll of the Affirmative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman. Balthis. Barnes. Black. Brunsvold. Bugielski. I'm sorry. Burzynski. Churchill. Conkling. Cowlishaw. Cronin. Curran. Daniels. DeJaegher. Doederlein. Dunn. Edley. Farley. Flinn. Frederick. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Harris. Hartke. Hasara. Hensel. Manny Hoffman. Homer. Hultgren. Johnson. Kirkland. Kubik. Lang. Leitch. Matijevich. McAuliffe. Mautino. McAfee. McCracken. McNamara. McPike. Noland. Novak. Obrzut. Bob Olson. Myron Olson. Parcells. Parke. B. Pedersen. Persico. W. Peterson. Petka. Phelps. Pullen. Richmond. Ropp. Rotello. Ryder. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Schoenberg. Sieben.

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Stange. Steczo. Stepan. Wait. Weaver. Weller.

Wennlund. Wojcik. Wolf. Woolard, and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Mr. Speaker, I...leave to be verified."

Speaker McPike: "Yes. Mr. Black has leave to be verified. Is that alright with Mrs. Younge? Proceed, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Farley. Representative Farley?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Farley's here."

Younge: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giglio's here."

Younge: "Representative Phelps?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps is here."

Younge: "Representative Ackerman?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ackerman. He's here."

Younge: "Representative Balthis?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Balthis. He's here."

Younge: "Representative Jane Barnes?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Barnes. Jane Barnes. He's here...she's here."

Younge: "Representative Black?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black has just got verified."

Younge: "Brunsvold?"

Speaker McPike: "Brunsvold. He's here."

Younge: "Burzynski?"

Speaker McPike: "Burzynski is here."

Younge: "Churchill?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flinn, for what reason do you rise?"

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the Lady is being dilatory. She's going right down the Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Ms. Younge, let's not be dilatory at this hour of the night."

Younge: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that this is..."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Speaker McPike: "Do you have any other names, Ms. Younge?"

Younge: "Yes, I have sixty more. Representative Cowlishaw?"

Speaker McPike: "Cowlishaw's here."

Younge: "Representative Cronin?"

Speaker McPike: "Who?"

Younge: "Cronin?"

Speaker McPike: "Cronin. He's here."

Younge: "Representative Daniels?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Daniels is here."

Younge: "DeJaegher?"

Speaker McPike: "Who? He's here."

Younge: "Doederlein?"

Speaker McPike: "She's here."

Younge: "Dunn?"

Speaker McPike: "Dunn...John Dunn. He's here."

Younge: "Representative Edley?"

Speaker McPike: "Edley's here. Ms. Younge, the Chair is going to rule that you're being dilatory. This is pointless, Ms. Younge. Now, you have a legitimate question of the Roll, ask them."

Younge: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is such a tragedy upon the people of the State of Illinois, I feel I have to go to any extent to try to keep this from..."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed, then. Just proceed, Ms. Younge. We'll stay here till midnight. Proceed. On this Motion, there are 74 'ayes' and 41 'nos', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 45, and this Bill having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. I think we've got one Bill left, I think. Representative Santiago, you ready? Mr. Santiago. You ready on House Bill 56? That's gone. Last time. It's out of the record. Returning to Senate Bill 453, Mr. Curran."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Conference Committee Report..."

  Speaker McPike: "This is the First. The Calendar was in error.

  This is the First Conference Committee Report."
- Curran: "Okay. The Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 453 revises the phase in of state Chapter I funds, the additional funds which are scheduled in Fiscal Year '92 to be distributed to local school councils and provides that those funds are for the local school councils and 60% go to the Chicago Board of Education. This would generate 30 million dollars toward the board's deficit and allow the Chicago schools to open this fall. allows the Chicago School Board to capture unspent Fiscal Year '91 funds, giving them about 28 million dollars and allows the board to use playground tax revenues to pay physical education teachers and social workers salaries saving the board an additional 2 million dollars, a total of \$60 million for the Chicago schools, in order for the Chicago schools to open up this fall. Be glad to answer any questions."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright, the Chair will recognize one person to speak against the Bill. That will be Representative Paul Williams."
- Williams: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of this Assembly, I'd like to take a moment to just talk about the..."
- Speaker McPike: "If the Gentleman cannot have order...excuse me...if the Gentleman cannot have order, we're gonna clear the aisles. Now, the staff should move to the rear of the chamber. No one can hear. No one can hear. Mr. Williams, proceed."
- Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate that. I think this is important enough, in spite of what may or may not occur within the next few minutes, to at least give us an opportunity to be heard. The reality of what this Bill

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

does, basically, is goes back on an agreement. agreement I like to think this legislature made with us. this caucus, and the Democrats and more importantly. children of...the poor children of the City of Chicago. The agreement was if we changed the school systems totally. if we shift into place a totally new system that would allow local schools who don't have the ability to deal with a central organization, some opportunity to deal with certain revenues, they might have an opportunity to those schools around. This particular Bill says we gonna stop that opportunity and we're gonna go back on the I don't know the fate of this Bill, but I do know that what this Bill does is shift seventy-odd plus million dollars away from those poor children, puts in back into the hands those same central administrators, who today have got themselves into a mess. It strips, what I would call, the incentive of those school councils to continue to work when their councils and their schools are falling down. takes out all of what we said we would give them. I ask this Assembly to do is to keep it's deal. to simply keep it's deal...excuse me, Mr. Speaker. Thank you very much. You know, I know that it's late and everybody wants to go home but, you know, I guess we wanna have an opportunity say, 'You got everything. You got what you wanted. You changed the way the state does things. You've made the cuts from the poor. You said you're for education of poor kids. You've said you've done a lot of To make this statewide, and now we're gonna do things.' things that's gonna destroy our school system and a chance for those local school councils to stand up and do the right thing you said that's the right way to go. your promises. That's all I ask. Keep your promise. Don't say you're gonna give it and take it back. You have

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

given to those guys who have wasted the rest of it and take it away from those councils that need it. Keep your promise. You took the Public Aid, you took a lot of things. I repeat, At least give them a chance to have an education. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 453?' All favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? takes 71 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 20 'ayes' and 91 'nos', and the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 453. Mr. White...Mr. White had intended to vote 'no' Bill. Mr. White, how did you intend to vote on that Bill? Mr. White had intended to vote 'no' on that Bill. The record will reflect that Mr. White intended to vote Mr. Curran, is there a request for a Second Conference Committee at this hour of night?"

Curran: "No."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Supplemental #5. Mr. Clerk, has this been announced?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental 5 has been distributed."

Speaker McPike: "Thanks. Senate Bill 361, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, bear with us just a minute."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, be with you in just a second.

Get a staffer here. Senate Bill 361 makes ordinary and contingent expenses to the Department of Revenue there are \$5,840,000 of additional over Fiscal Year '91 expected expenditures, but overall there are \$45,102,000 worth of reductions. I move for adoption of this Committee report."

Speaker McPike: "\$45,000,000 worth of reductions, Senator

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Dunn...I mean, Representative Dunn. Yeah, down. Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 361. All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 108 'ayes', 3 'nos' and the House does adopt First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 361. This Bill having received a Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 372, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense for the operation of the Department of Public Health and the Governor's Council on Physical Fitness. I would ask to adopt the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 372?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Mr. Williams. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 108 'aye'...109 'ayes', and 3 'nos', and the House does adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 372, and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Santiago on House Bill 56."

Santiago: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Give the Gentleman some attention."

Santiago: "Can we have some order, please?"

Speaker McPike: "We do now, Sir."

Santiago: "Yes. I lost my voice. I've been lambasting those guys over there for a week here. House Bill 56 contains...there are three Amendments to Conference

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Committee House Bill 56. The first Amendment provides a definition for the words 'prima facie', the second Amendment changes consentual immunity in all cases to that of use immunity similar to the large jurisdictions in the federal government. The third Amendment prohibits a person convicted of a tax fraud involving a scavenger sale from bidding at such a sale for a period of five years from that date of that commission. I move to adopt House Conference Committee #1."

Speaker McPike: "And one person in opposition. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition and ask everyone to consider this vote very carefully. been defeated, this attempt to change transactional immunity to use immunity in Illinois. It's one of the foundations of a far better criminal justice system in Illinois than you see at the federal level. This has been defeated once before, the persistence in it is ill-founded, ill-advised, I respect the Gentleman, he brings the concept here in good faith, but is an awful concept. It is the beginning of the end. We don't need these powers lodged in prosecutors with such wide discretion. I say vote 'no' and vote 'no' early and vote 'no' often."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 56?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 31 'ayes' and 79 'nos', and the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 56, and the Gentleman asks for a Second Conference Committee Report. Supplemental #2, page 5 of the Calendar is Senate Bill 358, Representative Ryder. This is the Second Conference

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Committee Report. The first was rejected."

- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, we've corrected the technical problem and also cleared up a lot of other problems with the Department of Ag which are now part of this Conference Committee Report on appropriations for the Department of Professional Regulation, and I would move the adoption of the Second Report."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 358?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 108 'ayes'...107 'ayes', 3 'nos'...Senate Bill 358, having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Minority Leader Daniels."
- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We are indeed pleasured to have with us a special guest today. She will be an entering freshman student at Miami of Ohio, and a very special young lady, the daughter of the Governor, Elizabeth Edgar, and the daughter of Brenda."
- Speaker McPike: "Supplemental Calendar #6, House Bill 736. Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #6 is being distributed."

  Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hannig on House Bill 736."
- Hannig: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House.

  This requests GRF money of 26,406,300. Last year it was \$26,945,100 at the appropriation level, this is a cut of 2%, and I'd ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 736?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Mr. Clerk... Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 105 'ayes', 4 'nos',

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 736, this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1960, Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Mr. Speaker, is this the Second Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes. Correct."

Ronan: "Board says 'First'."

Speaker McPike: "Board was incorrect."

Ronan: "Okay."

Speaker McPike: "It the second."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move that we adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. We tried to teach the Senate a lesson, but they're too dumb to learn anything, so what we've tried to do now is, to take...basically the administration Bills, and this deals with management, State's office, Secretary οf the Department οf Transportation, a lot of good government passed out of here probably 15 times already, so, I move for the adoption of Second Conference Committee Report of House Bill 1960."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black. Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. We simply do not have this Conference Committee Report. We got the pink one, we don't have the yellow one."

Speaker McPike: "The Sponsor will yield."

Black: "Well, if he'll yield and bring his copy over...we just don't have it. I'm not sure it's been distributed."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, it is. It's out. Everybody has it."

Black: "Okay."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1960?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 108 'ayes', 2 'nos', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1960, and this Bill, having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2489, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, This Bill creates the General Assistance Job Opportunities Act as a result of the cuts that were made in Senate Bill 45. It was agreed among the leaders to establish a study commission for the purposes of looking at those opportunities, I would be happy to answer any questions, I would move the adoption of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Motion, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Hello. I have a couple of questions, because I am interested in the aspect of the General Assistance Job Opportunity Act, but the analysis is not clear whether or not...okay...so, is it correct to say that the...all of the other language is that this...the child support and the collections is gone and what we have is a General Assistance Job Opportunities Act?"

Ryder: "I believe that's correct."

Williams: "Okay. The Bill, basically, as I understand it then deletes everything, and the only thing or the main thing that's in the Bill is 23 member job opportunities advisory council. The purpose of this council as we envision it to give the state suggestions on how to better...to work with particularly business to try to bring those individuals that are defined as employable in the Senate Bill 45, so that...when at the time when they leave the Public Aid rolls, they would have possibly been able to find ways to employ these individuals through the use and working of a council to advise the department on how to employ these individuals in the private sector. The

# 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

overall intent and purpose of the Conference Committee is to see if the state can...because the way...at least the way I interpret, what we did in dealing with the Public Aid situation is to say, if you are a drug addict, an alcoholic or other things, you might be able to stay on Public Aid forever. But if you're employable, you come off in 9 months. We figure we need to have someone somewhere address how to deal with those individuals who are employable, who might want to work, other than to say to them you should go in and fake being a drug addict, so you can stay on Public Aid."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Shirley Jones. Representative Jones."
- Jones, S.: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like for the record to show...on 736, I had my light on, but you didn't see it, to show that I was voting 'aye'."
- Speaker McPike: "Yes. The record should reflect that Representative Jones intended to vote 'aye' on House Bill Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First 736. Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2049?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2489, and this Bill having received Three-Fifths Constitutional а Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill Representative Shirley Jones. Miss Jones."
- Jones, S.: "Mr. Speaker, will you take it out of the record for...just for a few minutes, please?"
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Jones. Shirley Jones. Mr.

  Doorman, would you tell the Gentleman in the balcony to
  quit leaning over the rail? Representative Martinez, for

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

what reason do you arise?"

Martinez: "Mr. Speaker, point of personal privilege."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

- Martinez: "I'd like to ask for leave to be added as an affirmative vote on 1960. House Bill 1960."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright. The Gentleman would like the record to reflect that he would have voted 'aye' on House Bill 1960.

  The record will so reflect. Mr. Black. Mr. Daniels."
- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have pleasure to make two announcements. The first announcement is that our great Governor, Jim Edgar, invited each and every one of you, after Session, to the Mansion for a after Session get together, and...I'm being very careful...Governor, you hear me, I'm being real careful...and a get together where we can share good friendship and good memories and wrapping up a good legislative Session. Now, Mr. Speaker I've been asked to announce the second part of the evening which will be at the Chairman LaPaille's house over on Williams Boulevard, where he also will have qet together. and...whatever...afterwards. So, please join the and Mrs. Edgar at the mansion after Session, and then Chairman LaPaille would like you to join him at his house. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Jones, Shirley Jones."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright. The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1471?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

  Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Laurino, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 89 'ayes', and 6 'nos', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1471, and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Giglio in the Chair."

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Saltsman, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, point of personal privilege.

  Sometimes the Senate does show a little bit of expertise.

  They just shut off those cab meters on those Supreme Court cab drivers, and defeated the Conference Committee Report on 505, so, we got to take another look at it."
- Speaker Giglio: "Supplemental Calendar announcement."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #7 is being distributed."
- Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. Supplemental #7.

  Mr. Keane. Representative Younge will handle the Bill.

  Senate Bill 1421, Representative Younge."
- Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1421."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would rise in support of Representative Younge's Motion and would urge the Members to support this legislation."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1421?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 105 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1421, and this having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill Representative Ryder. Mr. Ryder. Mr. Ronan...Mr. Ryder's

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

here. Turn him on."

- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Conference Committee Report represents compromise language on several pieces of legislation, including local governments in Chicago, suburban Cook, and the collars."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this...Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2352. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the On this Motion, there are 100... Turner 'aye'. Turner 'aye'. On this Motion, there are 111 'aye' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2352, and this Bill received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Joint Resolution #2, Representative Wyvetter Younge."
- Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move to concur in Senate
  Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 2. This...a Senate
  Amendment took out the authority to hire staff, and I
  concur in that Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Joint Resolution 2?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 104 'ayes', 104 'ayes' and no 'nays', and the House does adopt House Joint Resolution #2. It is the Chair's intent...there are two appropriation Bills on Postponed Consideration in the Senate. If they pass, we will not have to deal with them. If they don't, we'll have a second Conference Committee on those two approp Bills. Those are the only two Bills we have left with the exception that we intend to call McCormick Place for a

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

vote. We will first go to Bill, Senate Bill 972, sponsored by Representative Steczo dealing with McCormick place, and then we will go to Senate Bill 497, sponsored by Representative Giorgi. Representative Mautino. Representative Mautino on Senate Bill 972."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Approximately two months ago, a proposal that would have been embodied in House Bill 241 was then placed Senate Bill 972. It's a bond authorization Bill that does approximately five things. Number one, it takes the McCormick Place proposal that many individuals just heard debated in the Senate so eloquently, and takes that exact provision, that is funded by taxes in the City of Spring Valley, or City of Chicago, funded by the taxes in the City of Chicago for the expansion οf McCormick Place approximately \$987,000,000. What this legislation would do would take that exact program and it becomes a part of the Conference Committee report, but it also does three other things that I think are very important. #1, it provides \$250,000,000 for downstate water infrastructure projects. Those approximately 166 cities that are on the list, this provides \$250,000,000 worth of bond funding for those and other projects infrastructure in downstate Illinois. It also provides \$100,000,000 bond authorization for the school construction bond provisions in our existing statute that currently have no funds available as well, and the third thing that this will do. will provide for what is called the Marina and Dredging Projects originally included in a proposal sent to former Governor Thompson and added to this proposal at the request individuals. Those cities that are able to file for these funds under the same formula for infrastructure are those cities 25,000 or less outside of the City of Chicago.

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

think it's very fair to present a program that will encompass the State of Illinois. This one is a little different. This one provides as well the funding mechanism as has other programs that we have presented to the General Assembly. The funding mechanism to fund these programs is the video gaming provisions originally presented by What this legislation will bring Representative Giorgi. with it in the first year, is \$50,000,000. \$50,000,000 funds approximately \$500,000,000 worth of those that I reiterated and explained in the first part projects of this explanation. The second and most important provision is that following the year 150,000,000 approximately and the following year 250,000,000 dollars. What we've heard about throughout this last 18 days is there was a fiscal crisis in the state, and rightly so we responded to that position. legislation, if enacted, would not only provide funding for the bonds that we're talking about for the downstate it will provide \$100,000,000 to projects, the General Revenue Fund of the State of Illinois. If the Governor serious about seeking additional funds, this is probably the only Bill that you're going to have the opportunity to vote on that provides actual dollars into the General Revenue Fund to provide for the programs that we have enacted in previous years and maybe reestablish some of those we've cut this evening. I think it's the right approach to show to the people of this state that we will fund projects and move away from the former provisions that we have adopted here of smoke and mirrors. Let me closing before I respond to any questions you may have, that not only is it important to move forward in Illinois, and if we're going to move forward, I think you have to do it on a statewide basis, but to provide the funding for

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

those projects. What we've done for the last 18 days here, in my estimation is, we've provided what's called funny money or real money in the State of Illinois. Up until this time, at 10:05 on July 18th, we haven't addressed anything that brought real money into the State of Illinois to pay for the programs that we have now, and to address those that we've cut earlier this evening. legislation will provide \$50,000,000 from the last months of this year to July 1 and will provide \$100,000,000 to the General Revenue Fund by enacting this proposal. will be most happy to relinquish my time and to respond to your questions as long as Representative Giorgi on the video gaming issue, the projects and the program in and I seek your support for Senate Bill 972, the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Yes. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Kubik: "The taxes involved for the McCormick Place provision of this Bill..."

Mautino: "The taxes involved with the McCormick Place provisions of this Bill are those identical to Senate Bill 497, just voted on in the Senate. It provides for a funding mechanism which establishes additional taxes in the City of Chicago in a specified area and I could get that definition for you. It provides the authority to impose a 6% occupation tax on persons engaging in the business of renting automobiles in Cook County. However, the rental of autos for the use of taxicabs or for livery services excluded from this tax and consistent with statewide auto

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

leasing tax, long term leases are not taxed. The tax is also collected by the Department of Revenue. We have an airport pickup and departure fee which will raise approximately \$7,000,000, the 6% auto rental tax, approximately 18.1 million, a 1% downtown restaurant district bounded by Stevenson Expressway on the south, Diversey on the north, Ashland on the west, plus O'Hare and Midway, estimated at about \$11.4 million, and a 2.5% increase in the Chicago Hotel Tax, raising that from 12% to Supposedly that are estimated to raise approximately \$16.5 million for a total of \$53,000,000 for debt service that was included in Senate Bill 497 just heard in the Senate."

Kubik: "Mr. Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Kubik: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that there's a saying in politics that timing is everything, and I think the timing for this Bill is all wrong. We had a very difficult vote less than two hours ago in which we cut over a billion and a half dollars out of the state budget. said 'no' to people on pharmaceutical assistance. We said 'no' to people on public aid, we said 'no' to a lot of people who probably need our help, but in a very tough year, unfortunately, we have to cut this budget to keep Now, I understand the argument of the proponent balanced. which is that this legislation has nothing to do with the budget, and that it will bring in some dollars. But the bottom line is, if we're willing to tax restaurants and automobiles and hotels and rental cars to raise money for public work projects, but not for human services, it sends the wrong message to the people of Illinois. I think it's the wrong way to go, and it's the wrong message to that should come out of this General Assembly. We

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

should not pass this Bill. This is the wrong time to move on this Bill, when we say 'no' to those who need human services and various services of state government, turn our backs on them and then go out and build public works projects. I don't think this is the appropriate vote, and I would hope that this Bill would go down to defeat. me also point out before I close that I'm not saying that McCormick Place or any of those projects are not worthy projects. They probably are, but this is just not the time to do it. We ought to put this aside and recognize that the people of Illinois are going to be watching this vote and they're wondering about this vote, and they're going to say, 'How can they raise taxes to build public works projects when they won't fund pharmaceutical assistance and other public assistance projects?' Doesn't make sense, it ought to be soundly defeated. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Speaker Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Concerning the portion of this Bill which relates to McCormick Place. I have not participated in any of the discussion or consideration of McCormick Place because as this proposal has been structured, there is a possibility that a client of my law office may become the developer for the project. Therefore, I have not participated in the discussion of the Bill or the proposal, and I wish to be recorded 'present' when Roll Call is taken. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Wennlund: "Representative Mautino, with respect to the video poker portion of this Bill, what type of licensing provisions and what type of background checks are going to be conducted to determine the nature of the applicants for

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

these types of video poker licenses?"

- Mautino: "Representative Wennlund, this comes under the Illinois

  Lottery Board. For the in depth questioning I'd like to
  have Representative Giorgi join me, it was his Bill
  originally that included the oversight and investigation by
  the Lottery Board and the Gaming Board. Further in depth
  questions, I would be most happy to relinquish my time to
  Representative Giorgi. Zeke, would you like to answer
  that?"
- Giorgi: "Representative Wennlund, the Lottery Control Board would have complete control like they have running the lottery terminals like they've had over the last 17 years. They're the monitoring agent, they're the police agent, they're the investigative agent, and these games will be completely monitor like the lottery is monitored today. There'd be a leakproof operation."
- Wennlund: "Well, with a few thousand taverns in Illinois...how many licenses are going to be issued?"
- Giorgi: "The discussion is centered around three licenses to a
   liquor retail establishment..."
- Wennlund: "Three licenses to every liquor establishment in the State of Illinois?"
- Giorgi: "That applies for them, and that the Lottery Control finds qualified."
- Wennlund: "And how many liquor establishments are there in the State of Illinois?"
- Giorgi: "I would guess there's 20,000 liquor establishments, but there are also resort areas, restaurants, hotel lobbies along the Gold Coast, and many other places that would be involved."
- Wennlund: "So somewhere between 20,000 and 30,000. Does the

  Lottery have money in its budget to hire enough agents to

  cover 20,000 to 30,000 establishments in the State of

# 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Illinois to determine whether or not they are in fact legitimate enterprises? To determine their background and to determine and to monitor them to make sure they're not controlling the pay out of all these machines which would amount to maybe 60,000 to 70,000 video poker machines?"

- Giorgi: "They have the same controls on those machines that you have on the lottery terminals, so your fears are equal in both instances. The licensing of the machines are very elaborate, very expensive, and there's plenty of money for monitoring and investigative forces."
- Wennlund: "What...could you tell me what the criteria is to obtain a license to operate three video poker machines in your tavern?"
- Giorgi: "Now you apply the local authorities. In this case, you would apply to the Lottery Control Board."
- Wennlund: "So what we're doing is, we're putting a burden on the State Lottery, who doesn't have enough agents now, to license and make a determination on a qualifications for license for some 20 to 30 thousand taverns and other establishments in Illinois, have you discussed this with the Lottery? Do they say that they have an adequate number of agents and employees to be able to do the background checks as necessary for the...to issue these licenses?"
- Giorgi: "Representative Wennlund, the Lottery Control Board now controls 5,000 terminals, and they haven't come in for any supplemental requests for investigative forces or monitoring forces or any need for any more money, so they're doing a very, very good job with 5,000 terminals."
- Wennlund: "Do you know what the position of the State Lottery is with respect to video poker?"
- Giorgi: "I haven't spoken with Lottery Control Board because I

  was not involved with this Bill since June 30th.

  Representative Mautino saw fit to put it in 972 with the

# 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

- downstate waterworks programs and purification programs and the...but I haven't been that close to it, but I haven't discussed it with the Lottery."
- Wennlund: "Alright. You're not aware that in fact the State

  Lottery is, in fact, opposed to this Bill?"
- Giorgi: "I have not received any official notification from the Lottery Board or from the Lottery Superintendent. I have never in the 17 years since the Lottery's been enacted, I think I called the Lottery Control Board once, a month after it was formed. I haven't talked to them in 17 years."
- Wennlund: "Well, I have been informed by the State Lottery that
  they are in fact opposed to it. Are you aware that video
  poker in fact will reduce the existing lottery take? And
  do you know by how much?"
- Giorgi: "I've heard that about everything we've introduced in this House, and I understand that people are climbing over each other to get on the riverboats."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wennlund, could you bring your remarks to a close."
- Wennlund: "Yes, I will. To the Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Governor of the State of Illinois has already said that he would veto anything containing video poker, and this Bill will be dead along with it. What we're doing is, is expanding legalized gambling in Illinois. We've just authorized river boat gambling. Our lottery is working to provide necessary education dollars in the State of Illinois, and here we go again trying to expand that base. We don't know how much money in fact it will bring in, and we don't know how much money is going to be taken out of the existing lottery proceeds, and I'll tell you what, the perception...when you go home back to your districts, and you tell them that you cut \$1,900,000,000

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

out of the budget of the State of Illinois for necessary human services, and yet you approve a \$1,000,000,000 Bonding Authority for McCormick Place, you're making a big mistake, and it ain't going to sell back in your district folks. It just ain't going to sell. And this time, in the State of Illinois, when we're facing huge budget deficits, and you authorize the building of a \$1,000,000,000 place in Chicago, it ain't going to sell back home, and this Bill should be defeated."

Speaker Giglio: "Monroe Flinn moves the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, the previous question is moved. Representative Mautino to close."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's very evident to everyone in this chamber exactly what's in this legislation, and it is exactly as I have presented it. The Conference Committee Report. Let me clarify a couple of comments that were made, specifically, Representative Kubik and Representative This proposal is identical as it pertains to Wennlund. McCormick Place of which you will be voting on after you vote on this Bill. That same argument that you presented against this legislation I'm assuming you're going to present against 497. At least with this proposal, I'm presenting an additional \$100,000,000 into the coffers to address many of the concerns that Members in this House have had, as it pertains to funding in this in fact, Ladies and Gentlemen, state. Ιf in fact...if McCormick Place is to go, and that's the Bill following this one, it's going to go without anything else in it. At least this proposal has a method of raising the funds necessary for the State of Illinois and the operation the bond service on \$250,000,000 for infrastructure

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

projects that are needed by the cities in this state, \$100,000,000 in the School Construction Bond Fund, that is needed in this state, and \$100,000,000 into the General I don't know what better package that you can Revenue. have than something like this, because the one following it will be no revenue for the State of Illinois. There will be no revenue for infrastructure. There'll be no revenue for marina projects, but there will be a McCormick Place. And I think that's a shame. Should...we're going to do a project in this state, you should do a project encompasses all of the state, not one specific city. said earlier, there's funny money in this General Assembly and there's real money. Up to this point you've been dealing with funny money. Now it's your chance to deal with real money. If you have the fortitude to say 'yes, I want to raise \$100,000,000 from those individuals who want provide that money, with no opposition,' then I recommend most highly you adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 972."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 972?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open, this is final action. Representative Ronan, one minute to explain your vote.

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise to support this legislation. It is important we deal with the issue of McCormick Place. I just want all the Members to realize that we will be dealing this...with that topic on the next Bill, Senate Bill 497. This is a good concept, but we will need to deal with that issue tonight, so I support this, it makes a lot of sense, and I hope it gets the requisite votes, if not, we'll deal with it on the next issue."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, my light's been on a long time, and I guess it's interesting why we in the House get so excited when we go over to the Senate and hear all the debate over here and go over there, and when we don't to hear much over here. Bt, I had several questions, one was, how much money was actually going to go for school construction, another one was where were all of those programs, the rworks, where were they going to be. hear that, and I always get interested when we say we're going to provide for 11,000 new jobs. I wonder, are those jobs going to those people who are on Public Aid, or are they merely the people who already...the contractors. builders. the bricklayers, the electricians and so forth, so I sometimes think that's kind of an interesting question. I really think the perception here is a bad one, and would urge people to vote 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Stange."

Stange: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To explain my vote here. I think we have a lot of problems with this Bill. all, we asked the question before, who's going to hold the machines? Integrity, the confidence of the Gaming Control. who's going to control that? Several months ago, you heard that the income from this Bill is going to generate \$300,000,000. Then we heard \$200,000,000, and then heard today, \$100,000,000. This is a bad vote Illinois, we have to do a lot more work on this type of legislation, and urge your 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this. This will be known, if not this year, next year, as one of the user fees in Illinois, because if you think we've got problems this year. If the recession doesn't turn around,

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

we've used up all of the easy budget cuts, and we're going to have to go to sources like this, if we end up calling them user fees, this is the way we're going to raise revenues in the future. Get used to it, and this is a good use for that. I'd urge you to vote green and let's let the Governor know that we've discovered the user fee for next year."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. On this question, there are 44 voting 'yes', 63 voting 'no', and the Gentleman's Motion fails. Representative Steczo moves to appoint the Second Conference Committee. Representative...Senate Bill 497, Senate the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. I'm sure everyone's familiar, listening to and reading the Tribune. Sun-Times and listening to WGN for the last 18 months, two years, that a massive project is in the offing for Chicago, Cook County, and the State of Illinois, and we all know it as McCormick Place. Everyone of us has been there. McCormick Place, now the new authority would like to expand the exhibition hall to be on a competitive basis with the massive exhibition halls going up in Atlantic City, Vegas, Nevada, New York, and the Metropolitan Authority would like to expand the exhibition hall to one more million feet. They'd like to have another million feet of exhibition hall. They'd like to rehab the existing space in McCormick place. They need land and infrastructure to do some of these things, and they have to relocate and reroute northbound lanes of Lake Shore Drive from McCormick to the Field Museum. That's going to cost \$987,000,000. As a point of reference, our state GNP \$285,000,000,000. We're talking about a year was over

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

state that can generate almost \$300,000,000,000, and this \$1,000,000,000 is less than 1/3 of 1%, so I'd like to put that in perspective. Now, the people of Chicago and Cook County decided they're going to tax themselves for this rehabilitative program or for this remodeling program. They're going to tax themselves on airport pickups, rental fees on liveries and autos, a 1% downtown restaurant tax, 2 1/2% hotel tax. Now, if we want to retain the number one convention city in the world, we know we have to do something about modifying McCormick Place and making it more attractive, and doing that is going to generate jobs, and the tourists that we bring to Chicago and to use McCormick Place, is going to generate millions of dollars in taxes that Springfield benefits from those. We're going to...we have one of the finest afirmative ation pograms ever devised for the people that are going to be working around McCormick Place, and we have devised a pay back system that does not make the state liable in any instance for these bonds. I'd be happy to answer any questions, and hope you support me in this endeavor for the City of Chicago and County of Cook."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Once again, the way this proposal is structured, a client

of my law office may be chosen as a developer for the

project if this legislation is successful, and because of

that, I have not participated in the discussions or any of

the meetings relative to this proposal, and I wish to be

recorded as 'present'. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this, and I wanted to explain myself to my friends on this side of the aisle, especially. I do so not lightly, and it's

# 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

just a rumor that I'm going to become Bond Council for the I want everyone to know that. I was asked 30 minutes ago if I would be willing to speak on this and the reason I've come to you tonight with that in mind is because I believe Chicago and Illinois require it. all of my life, Chicago's been losing population. We've been losing business relative to the Sun Belt, every census we're smaller and smaller and smaller. The Cubs don't win, nothing goes right. But something which has sustained this city over all of that time is its convention business. I drive down Lake Shore Drive from Meigs Field on the way home from Springfield, and I can tell you that when I drive by McCormick Place, I am very proud to be from Chicago. Ιt is the leading convention facility in the Obviously, one nation. of the leading convention facilities in the world. I'm a suburbanite, and I'm a conservative Republican, but I am a Chicagoan, and I'm proud to say it, and this facility must be expanded. Atlanta is creeping up, New York, Las Vegas. We will lose the business. We need it. It is not a good time, in my political opinion. I do not believe this is a propitious Many will criticize me and people who vote for this Bill for our lack of sensitivity. It is unfortunate, the merits demand that we take but nonetheless. courageous stand. We need McCormick Place, whether we're from Mount Vernon in the south, or Waukegan in the north, and if we do not expand, we will become a relic. We will not retain our competitive edge. Geography alone will not be enough to keep us at the forefront of this activity. wish this could be done in the private sector. I wish this could be palatable to the political sensitivities of the moment. Unfortunately, neither of those is true. We must do something, the time is before us now. We are not

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

obligating the State of Illinois in the first instance, let me make that clear. Many of you heard the debate Senate. These are not debts of the State of Illinois. Now, let me say that we are a backup down the acknowledge that fact. But can you imagine McCormick Place expansion not being used and not being successful? The likelihood of that occurring is so remote, it is only a theoretical possibility. The money which will be used to support the debt service from the sale of bonds is the being raised entirely other than through the State of Illinois. The City of Chicago, the taxes Representative Giorgi mentioned, all of those things are what will pay for McCormick Place expansion. Expansion which will keep us in the forefront of this industry. not a resident of the City of Chicago, but I am damn proud of it, and I think McCormick Place is a big part of city and a big part of our future. I recommend a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too, rise strongly in support of this legislation. The Senate this evening has already Bill, and they put the requisite number of acted on this votes to send it over to this chamber. Let's look issue that we're dealing with right now. In the last two weeks, the votes that we cast in this chamber and over the Senate created a lot of hurt for poor people in the State of Illinois. We've done some things to that Aid budget is going to make it very difficult for people to continue on this year. This is one of the few opportunities where we can help residents in the City of Chicago and around the State of Illinois. Let's look at the facts of what this program's going to bring 7500 jobs will be created in the construction industry to

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

put people to work in the City of Chicago, and will draw in people from all surrounding counties as we construct the expansion. There'll be 11,000 permanent jobs brought into the City of Chicago, again employing people in the northeast sector of Illinois. This is the only opportunity that we've got to do something to put people to work. It's been a very tough Session, we've done a lot of things, and we're really in a no-growth atmosphere. This does give us an opportunity for growth, gives us an opportunity to get the job done, it's important we put the requisite 60 votes on the board, and send this to the Governor this evening."

Speaker Giglio: "The Minority Leader, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen and Members of the House. I rise in support of a project that I think is good, for not only the City of Chicago, northern Illinois, but the State of Illinois, for I may be the only person in this chamber to have actually worked at the McCormick place facility during my years in college. During that period of time, I got to understand a little bit about convention centers, and about the importance of exhibition halls, and I will tell you, I got to witness people working and people coming in to one of the premier facilities throughout the country today. Since that time, obviously, when you work a period of time, you have a close affinity to a project or to a facility that you learn to understand and to love and created relationships there during your working years in that facility. So I got to understand a little bit more about the importance of exhibition halls and space, and the importance of this project to the State of Illinois, for yes, in fact, it is an economic engine that drives the climate, the business climate in so many important areas of the State of Illinois. Now, I know as somebody outside the City of Chicago, you may view and say, well, wait a second,

# 95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

why would you be in support of a project like this, when it is so important to Chicago that what people may say to you, well, my gosh, you're from the suburbs, and isn't it traditional that people from the outlying areas aren't in support of a project like this. And maybe in certain cases that seems to be the fact, but I will tell you, in fact, many of us from suburban areas love the City of Chicago, enjoy the many the fine cultural events and many fine facilities in the City of Chicago and also understand the benefit of economic development. Now, to all of you on my side of the aisle, let me relate to you a little bit of the The people of the City of Chicago have come to us and said to us, they are willing to tax themselves and bring to themselves the continued development and expansion of the premier convention center in the world. Thev willing to say, we will stand up and impose within our confine within the Chicagoland area, a tax to build additional exhibition space. My friend and your friend Jim Reily who does such an outstanding job running the center, says that to keep this facility as the number one in the world today, it's essential to add the 1,000,000,000 feet of exhibition center facility, and I believe I believe him because other states in this country are attempting to take away our business. Business that brings millions and millions of dollars in economic benefit to the State of Illinois, and Ladies and Gentlemen, believe that all of us, from all parts of the state, ought to be willing to help the City of Chicago when it wants to help itself. Today, as on many other occasions, I have had conversations with the Governor. The Governor has said to me, 'Lee, I've thought about this project long and hard, and I've asked you and I've asked the Members of the General Assembly, and I've asked other Members on your side

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

of the aisle to stick with me on some very important votes. I've asked them to cut spending by \$1,500,000,000. asked them to vote a Revenue package that quarantees education funding in the State of Illinois, and at the same time, I've said we need to make sure that some of the principal advantages of this state are tended to, and that's the case of the McCormick Place Center', and the Governor has said to me, 'I want you to understand, Lee, my commitment to this fine facility, because when I became Governor, I reviewed this very carefully and I worked with the City of Chicago, and all of the people that brought to me the facts on what this does for this state', and he said to me, 'I favor this Bill and the passage of this Bill, and if it reaches my desk in the form that it is in Senate Bill 497, I will sign it, and I will sign it proudly'. And you know something? That's good enough for me, because I know this Governor cares about all parts of this state. I he cares about Chicago. He cares about suburban Cook. cares about the collar counties, and he cares about downstate Illinois, and when he's tended to this project, he knows that those of you in Chicago wish to develop this So I ask you to join in support of Senate Bill 497, and I ask you to cast an affirmative vote in assisting Chicago to continue this facility to be the finest in world."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McPike to close. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Could I have some attention, please?

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. Last year when McCormick Place came to us to
expand, there proposal was, taxes statewide. I advised
them that that could never pass the General Assembly. I
advised them that most people downstate would not vote for

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

taxes statewide to fund a billion dollar project in the City of Chicago, so they went back and changed their proposal. They decided to tax themselves. No one of the County of Cook will be taxed. This is a tax only on themselves, so for downstaters, is it a tough vote? No. it's an easy vote. It's an easy vote for us. It's an easy vote for us because they are going to give the state \$50,000,000 a year. \$50,000,000. Do you know what we could have done with that money this year? We could have funded the energy program, the I-READ program, and we could have funded the last three months of General Assistance for But we don't have it, and now they're going \$50,000,000. to just give it to us. and what do they ask of Not one thing from downstate. Just vote for the Bill and let us tax ourselves, and what is the risk to the state, to the State of Illinois, to the budget? On page 53 the Bill the reason this Bill needs 60 votes tonight, and not 71, the Bill states 'The state shall not be bonds of the authority issued under this section.' Those bonds shall not be a debt of the state, and this Act not be construed as a guarantee by the state of the debts of the authority. The state has no liability. No risk. So for downstate it's good, and for whatsoever. the state it's good. For the budget it's good, and for the city...well, one of the reasons we have so many unemployed is because there aren't enough jobs, and this Bill creates 11,000 jobs. I heard my roommate Dick Luft close on this Bill earlier, and he said if this Bill created a thousand jobs, in 11 different cities downstate, we would all be up, with 118 votes on the Bill applauding what a good job we did, but it doesn't. It creates jobs in the city to make sure that the great City of Chicago stays the number one place in this country

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

conventions. This is a big business, and when you talk about people on general assistance why don't we find an easier way than to pay money out of state coffers for people on general assistance? Why don't we create jobs for those people? That's what this is all about, keeping Chicago #1, creating jobs for people, giving the state \$50,000,000, and giving downstate an absolutely free vote.

I suggest everyone should vote for this Bill tonight."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 497?' And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's rather unique to think that two Bills could be so different, but most importantly, I guess, one portion of both Bills are identical, and that's the portion that's in 497. It was in 972. No different there, than it now, except, the other one had...took everybody else into the program, but I'm not going to be a hypocrite. I supported 972 with this exact provision in it, and I intend to support it now. I just wish that many of those that are voting green on the other side of the aisle would have thought about that on the last Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in some support of this legislation. I have to say some support. I disagree with one of the previous speakers who talked about himself as being the only person in this chamber who has worked at McCormick Place. I worked at McCormick Place for 11 hours a day for a dollar an hour. That somewhat dates me, and I was happy to get it, it was a summer job when I was in college, and it

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

was...I was glad to have it. And I can tell you, I also regret greatly that I don't have this giant conflict of interest. I don't have a client or a potential client who is going to build McCormick Place, I wish I did so I could vote 'present' on this, but in fact, McCormick...this is an easy vote. McCormick Place brings money in to the City of Chicago...and incidentally not only in the City of Chicago, but by doing that, it brings money into the State of Illinois. Sales tax revenues, all kinds of revenues that are brought from outside of Illinois into the State of Illinois. Not only the jobs, but beyond the jobs, once the facility is built and operating, there will be revenues that come..."

Speaker Giglio: "Please bring your remarks to a close."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There'll be revenues that come to all of us to fund education, to fund all of our projects, to fund the ongoing concerns of the government of this state, so this is an easy vote for anyone on either side of the aisle. This simply is not something that puts the taxpayer in jeopardy, this is funded through a bonding authority, by private investors, and the result is, while it costs taxpayers nothing, it brings revenues to the state to make government work on behalf of all the people, so those of you who are red votes or not voting, I don't understand it, you should be green votes on this whether you're from upstate or downstate Illinois."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich, one minute to explain your vote."

Matijevich: "Speaker, I'm not from Chicago, I'm from a county right next to Chicago, and downstaters believe me, the closer you get to Chicago, that anti-sentiment against Chicago can even be greater, so I recognize that. Very often, when there is a project relating to Chicago, our

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

first question politically is, is it good for Chicago. And if it is, then we know that anti-sentiment and we say, better be careful. Then we may ask is it good for Chicago and the State of Illinois? And if it is good for Chicago and the State of Illinois, we say, oh, maybe I can support it. Then we ask if it is good for the City of Chicago and the State of Illinois, who's going to pay for it, and even if it's good for the City of Chicago and the State of Illinois, if the state taxpayers pay for some of it, then politically we say well, maybe I can't vote it, but if it's good for the City of Chicago, and the State of Illinois, and the state taxpayer doesn't pay for any of it, I don't know how any of us can vote against it, because really this is good for everybody. Now the issue as timing. When is timing good? It wasn't good last Session. will it be good in the Veto Session? I don't think so. Will it be good next year when the budget problems may be even more difficult? I doubt it. The timing, I believe, is now. Let us get it behind us so that it can get rolling next year. It will provide so much revenue, it will provide so many jobs, we will find in the end that it will be so good for all of us. You know, I was very dubious the White Sox funding. Being a Cub fan I didn't think the Sox were going to do that well so quickly. happened. We are finding out that the White Sox construction is going to be to the benefit ... "

Speaker Giglio: "Please bring your remarks to a close, John."

Matijevich: "But all of us. This is far greater than the White

Sox project. We cannot lose, everybody. It is a win, win

situation."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shaw, one minute to explain your vote."

Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Certainly, I rise in support of this legislation because I happen to think it's a good project, and I think it's good for people that we have just removed...it will help many of the people that we have just removed from the Public Aid rolls, and I think that I would be derelict in my duty to sit here and vote against a project that would create 11,000 jobs and knowing that many of my people are out of work. I...my district, that I come from, was one of the second largest industrial districts in the state at one time. Today, all of that industry is gone, and today we have an opportunity to put 60 votes on the board to create new jobs for the people...it's not good enough just to talk..."

Speaker Giglio: "Please bring your remarks to a close, Representative."

Shaw: "It's not good enough to talk about what we should have done. We have an opportunity to do something at this moment tonight, but I think if I go back to my district and tell the people that I didn't vote for McCormick Place 11,000 jobs, they should run me out of office because they know that they are needed in Chicago, and certainly I think many of those people that are on the Public Aid rolls can get off by creating this project. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Leitch, one minute to explain your vote."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On the front page of the Journal Star is a friend of mine today, a man named Pat Carroll. He's a pharmacist. He's going out of business now announcing that his son was down here a couple of weeks ago is a page, because the state owes him a month and a half of his gross revenues and is not paying its bills. And Pat Carroll along with two other pharmacists I know, some nursing home operators, some

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

people who are owed insurance bills, \$672,000,000 worth are wondering, why would you consider a McCormick Place when you can't pay us? And beyond that, we have a mental health system that's falling apart. We're dismantling the community drug and alcohol community based system we've been setting up in Illinois for the last five years. We're postponing prison openings, we are emasculating the parole system, we have a pension system in Illinois..."

Speaker Giglio: "Please bring your remarks to a close."

Leitch: "Would not even qualify were it a private sector pension program as deductible. So McCormick Place, friends, is not an issue, it is a symbol. It's a symbol of flagrant and gross failure to set priorities and it's a symbol of mismanagement, and I would strongly urge my colleagues, this is not the time. This is not the year. You cannot explain a 'yes' vote this year on McCormick Place."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks, one minute to explain your vote."

Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, I must be missing something here. little bit tired of hearing about what's good for Chicago, good for downstate, what's good for Illinois...I think we're people all for one and one for all. We should be at least wise. You know, we all belong to this fine state, and we ought to be thinking about what's good for the State of Illinois and all the people of Illinois. I don't there should be a single downstater who's should be opposed to this Bill. This Bill generates 11,000 jobs. This Bill generates \$57,000,000 for the State of Illinois to pay those bills that we have. When you talk about not being able to explain your vote to people it's pretty easy to explain that vote at home when you need to tell them that this generates dollars for the

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

State of Illinois. It puts dollars in the coffers to pay those Bills that we're behind on. How can anybody be opposed to that? It's good for the State of Illinois, it's good for the City of Chicago, it's good for each and every single Member of this House. There ought to be 118 votes on that board, and not one less. I urge you to vote and put enough votes on to pass this fine Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik, one minute to explain your vote."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think we almost got it right tonight, but looks like we're not going to get it right. You know, there's been a lot of talk about jobs, but ask yourself whether the people who are on public assistance are going to get these jobs, I would submit to you they are not. They're not going to get these jobs, because they didn't get them two years ago, or five years ago when we built McCormick Place II and McCormick Place I or whatever you want to call them, but the reality is, Representative Leitch had it right. This is a symbol, and it's the wrong message to send to the people of this state that we're willing to tax for public works projects, but we're not willing to tax to keep people and keep public assistance up to a level and pharmaceutical assistance to its level...this is crazy. This is crazy. This is a crazy vote, and we ought to be against this vote with...let's do it in the fall, let's do it next year, this is the wrong time to be on this vote, and I would urge a 'no' vote, and hope that my colleagues would..."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McGann, one minute to explain your vote."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the Assembly. I,
too, sometimes wonder why we are here when we should be
representing the people throughout the State of Illinois.

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

We have two issues here. We have one of building and one of taxes for other reasons. We have an issue here for the City of Chicago is going to provide the taxing dollars that's needed. But more importantly I will not bore you completely here this evening. I would like to share with you an editorial of the Chicago Tribune on May the 24th. I will further not bore you by giving you the whole word by word explanation, but let me just give you some real reasoning. Illinois faces some very tough times. It's economic base isn't providing enough revenue to pay for..."

- Speaker Giglio: "Please bring your remarks to a close, Representative."
- McGann: "provide. The McCormick Place expansion represents a chance to provide a solid long term boost to the state's economy at a minor cost. It's a chance Illinois would be foolish to lose. Tonight's the night to do it. Don't wait until the fall, every day we wait the cost is going up and up. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Turner, one minute to explain
  your vote."
- Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of It amazes me that we discuss this issue of jobs Assembly. at 11:00 on the last day of Session. I can recall years ago, this same discussion came up on White Sox park. and the way the Bill was sold to me and Members of Minority Caucus is that there was an affirmative action clause in it and we were guaranteed certain job provisions. This time around, those same provisions have been guaranteed on page 54 of the Bill. Many of us have met with members of the Authority, the language is there, there are a number of us waiting to see if, in fact, provisions will be implemented. But it amazes me, though, how easy it is for us to vote a local tax

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

restaurants in this case in the City of Chicago for an expansion of a project, and when we talk about voting on a tax such as transfer tax on real estate, a one time fee for those people who are selling homes to take care of housing for both low and moderately low income people, I get a number of 'no' votes, and I don't care how hard we lobby, no one has the nerve to vote for the Bill. It's described a tax, and many run for cover. I hope that those of us who believe this is good for Chicago and good for the state keep in mind that decent, affordable housing is also good for the people of this state. The same people who benefit from these jobs are citizens of this great state...this is not an easy vote. We've cut, cut, cut. There's one good thing about this expansion, and that is the potential new jobs. They say 11,000. Maybe. Maybe more, maybe less, and many of the people that have been affected by those cuts, some will benefit from those jobs. There's been guarantees, I know, for residents in Representative Williams' district and Representative Lou Jones' district. There's been guarantees...there's been а hospitality institutes set up at Roosevelt University to train some of the young people in regards to the jobs in the hotels and the motel industry, and I think all this is good."

Speaker Giglio: "One...Representative Granberg. Two minutes...I did. Alright, Representative Turner. Please, bring your remarks to a close."

Turner: "Okay. Thank you. Well I...you know, we're in a rush now, and I think that...it's amazing again, when we talk about timing. I think this is a very important issue, and I should be able to say what I have to say. I'm certain this vote is worth it, so please give me the time. You know, we've had to threaten the unions down here this year on prevailing wage in regards to getting advisory councils

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

set up for minorities to study how to have minorities admitted to the unions, and yet many of those jobs are union jobs. And to the union we who wants you to know that we will be watching, we hope that as this program is implemented, we're going to make certain that the numbers are there. Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen, we will be watching and we will be watching very carefully. I'm going to vote 'yes' on this Bill, only because of the job component of it...but I tell you, this Roll Call will be a Roll Call that I will be carrying around next spring, because we will be back again with the transfer tax for Cook County. It's amaz..."

Speaker Giglio: "Go on, Representative Turner. Please."

Turner: "Thank you. I need another 30 seconds. I do want my downstate friends to know who find it easy to vote for this tax that the transfer tax on real estate transfers in Cook County and from our friends in Cook County who find it hard to vote for that tax, that we'll be back with that Bill again next year. This Roll Call I will bring around to your desks as we seek your 'aye' vote, and to see just how sincere you are for affirmative housing...for affordable housing. I vote 'aye' on this Bill, and encourage others to do so."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg. One minute to explain your vote."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If I came to you and asked your permission to allow my residents in my town to increase our taxes for economic development you would do that. If Representative Hicks came to us and asked our permission to increase taxes in his home town for economic development, you would do that. Let's be consistent. Those of us who support local control, let's be consistent. On page..."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 65 voting 'yes', 44 voting 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 497, and this Bill having received a Three—Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."

McPike: "Representative Speaker McPike in the Chair. Representative Stange would like the record to indicate that he would have voted 'aye' on the last Bill. already been passed. The record will reflect that Mr. Stange voted 'aye' on the...would have liked to 'aye' on that last Bill. House Bill Representative Matijevich. The Bill was declared passed by the previous Speaker. Representative Pedersen indicates that he would have wanted to vote 'no' on that last Bill. The record will reflect that he wished to vote 'no'. Representative Matijevich, House Bill 1078."

Matijevich: "Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Second Conference Report on House Bill 1078...the Senate rejected the First Conference Committee Report, and the Second Conference Committee Report, as I understand, removes the language that some of you objected to with regards to mandatory parenting education. Now all it has is the language which regard...with regards to permissive language...with regards to education for alcohol and drug abuse, and I would urge and move for the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1078."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone rise in opposition to this Bill?

Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "I would just like the record to show that I had my light on during all the debate on the McCormick Place Bill, and I was not recognized. That hasn't happened to me for a long time, and I'm very disappointed in the previous Speaker and

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Parke."

- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My switch was locked off, and I would like the record to reflect on the McCormick Place vote that I would have voted 'no'."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you. The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the second Conference Committee report to House Bill 1078?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 93 'ayes' and 17 'nos', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1078, and this Bill having received a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Stepan...wish to have been voted 'aye' on House Bill 1078. There are two appropriations Bills left in the Senate on Postponed Consideration. The House has no more Bills to act on at this time. If the two Bills in the Senate go down, we'll have Second Conference Committees on them.
- Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Monique, for what reason do you arise?"
- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I really wanted to take this opportunity to introduce Mr. Kenny Ryan, Caroline Ryan and his daughter, Michelle Ryan, the owners of the Capitol Plaza Hotel. And those of you who participated in the Black Caucus Party, you know that they were so very cordial and wonderful hostesses. Thank you."
- Speaker Giorgi: "It was also the lodging of former Mayor Harold Washington."
- Davis: "Is that where Mayor Washington resided? Well, now,
  Representative Lou Jones, Senator Howard Brookins,
  Alexander, Senator Collins, Representative Monique Davis
  and one great leader after another continues to reside in

95th Legislative Day

- July 18, 1991
- the Capitol Plaza Hotel. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Speaker Madigan in the Chair. Agreed .

  Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 946...or, 943, offered by Representative Daniels."
- Speaker Madigan: "Death Resolutions. Mr. McPike moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Agreed Resolution is adopted. Is there a Death Resolution?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 946, offered by Speaker Madigan, with respect to the memory of John Dresky."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike moves for the adoption of the Death Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Resolution is adopted.

  Introduction and First Reading of Bills for the purpose of Press Releases."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2648, offered by Representative Pullen. A Bill for an Act in relation to property taxation. First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is that in the Special Session? Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 78. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 87th General Assembly of the State of Illinois the Senate concurring herein, that when the House of Representatives adjourn on Thursday, July 18, 1991, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, October 9, 1991 at 12:00 noon. When it adjourns on that date, it stands adjourned until Tuesday, October 22, 1991 at 12:00 noon, and when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, July 18, 1991, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, October 9, 1991 at 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike moves for the adoption of the

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Adjournment Resolution is adopted. We are prepared to adjourn the Regular Session until the fall Veto Session. For my part. I want to thank all of you for the extraordinary patience that demonstrated through this very grueling you've Overtime Session. We all regret it, that it happened, regret the amount of time that was required to finish our business, and surely we hope that it doesn't happen but I think that it's fair to say that all of us demonstrated a great deal of patience and tolerance and respect for each other as we worked our way through these very, very difficult issues, and so I simply want to thank you to all of you, I hope that you have a very good summer, at least what's left of it, and we will see you in the fall Veto Session, and the Chair recognizes Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Speaking for one, I've enjoyed my trip to Bavaria, and Munich, and I'm on my way to Duesseldorf as we speak. been in Bavaria now, Mr. Speaker, for five days, and it's been a wonderful experience. But, let me just say that all sincerity I want to thank you and all of the Members as well as the staffs on both sides of the aisle for excellent think, like you've said, we had some difficult chores in front of us, the time was well spent, the people of Illinois have been well served. May I just close by reissuing the invitation from the Governor at the Mansion which you are all invited to attend and share some time with him, and then after which Chairman LaPaille advises me that his home is open to you as well. The memo that he delivered earlier is available to Members that parking will available for you, staff will be there to park your cars. - Oh, and I didn't say that right. It was

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

applied to staff and not to Members, that's right, so let me wish all of you a very happy summer and we'll see you throughout the summer, but back here again after Mr. Speaker, we win the draw, and the Republicans draw the fairest map of all."

Speaker Madigan: "Chair recognizes Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House now stand adjourned sine die..."

Speaker Madigan: "To a date in the fall Veto Session."

McPike: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "What is the date, Mr. Clerk."

McPike: "I was going to adjourn the First Special Session sine die..."

Speaker Madigan: "That comes later."

McPike: "Yeah."

Speaker Madigan: "So, the Gentleman's Motion is that the House do stand adjourned until October 22nd at 12:00 noon. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Motion is adopted. We shall now go into the Special Session, and let the record show that there shall be a Perfunctory Session of the Regular Session on October 9. We are now in the Special Session, and in Special Session, Mr. Clerk, is there any business? The Adjournment Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 4, of the First Special Session. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 87th General Assembly of the State of Illinois that the first Special Session thereof, the Senate concurring herein, that when both Houses adjourn on Thursday, July 18, 1991 they stand adjourned sine die."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike on the Motion."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, since the First Special Session has been so productive, I move that it now stands adjourned, sine die."

95th Legislative Day

July 18, 1991

Speaker Madigan: "And the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Resolution is adopted. Now on the Gentleman's Motion, those in favor of the Motion to adjourn sine die say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Motion is adopted. The First Special Session is adjourned sine die. Let there be no Second Special Session. Mr. Clerk, anything further? Thank you, everybody. Have a good summer."

DOCUMENT NAME REQ STORE GROUP COMMAND DATE COPY WIDTH DEPTH ERROR

T071891 137 0 pj 04/03/92 1 66 78

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

92/04/07 11:14:39

JULY 18. 1991

HB-0056 CONFERENCE	PAGE	145
HB-0151 CONFERENCE	PAGE	90
HB-0175 CONFERENCE	PAGE	22
HB-0180 CONFERENCE	PAGE	83
HB-0319 CONFERENCE	PAGE	31
HB-0319 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	32
HB-0393 CONFERENCE	PAGE	32
HB-0395 CONFERENCE	PAGE	32
HB-0493 CONFERENCE	PAGE	8
HB-0505 CONFERENCE	PAGE	119
HB-0545 CONFERENCE	PAGE	33
HB-0581 CONFERENCE	PAGE	33
HB-0629 CONFERENCE	PAGE	34
HB-0631 CONFERENCE	PAGE	34
HB+0633 CONFERENCE	PAGE	35
HB-0634 CONFERENCE	PAGE	.36
HB-0636 CONFERENCE	PAGE	37
HB-0637 CONFERENCE	PAGE	37
HB-0639 CONFERENCE	PAGE	38
HB-0640 CONFERENCE	PAGE	38
HB-0641 CONFERENCE	PAGE	39
HB-0642 CONFERENCE	PAGE	43
HB-0643 CONFERENCE	PAGE	44
HB-0644 CONFERENCE	PAGE	44
HB-0645 CONFERENCE	PAGE	45
HB-0646 CONFERENCE	PAGE	45
HB-0647 CONFERENCE	PAGE	46
HB-0649 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
HB-0650 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
HB-0651 CONFERENCE	PAGE	48
HB-0651 CONFERENCE HB-0651 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	48
HB-0652 CONFERENCE	PAGE	48
HB-0654 CONFERENCE	PAGE PAGE	48 49
HB-0655 CONFERENCE	PAGE	49
HB-0656 CONFERENCE	PAGE	50
HB-0657 CONFERENCE	PAGE	50
HB-0658 CONFERENCE	PAGE	51
HB-0659 CONFERENCE	PAGE	53
HB-0660 CONFERENCE	PAGE	54
HB-0736 CONFERENCE	PAGE	147
HB-0737 CONFERENCE	PAGE	124
HB-0808 CONFERENCE	PAGE	54
HB-0888 CONFERENCE	PAGE	56
H8-0971 CONFERENCE	PAGE	88
HB-0971 CONFERENCE	PAGE	117
HB-0971 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	88
HB-1048 CONFERENCE	PAGE	55
HJ-1073 CONFERENCE	PAGE	2
HB-1078 CONFERENCE	PAGE	125
HB-1078 CONFERENCE	PAGE	182
HB-1123 CONFERENCE	PAGE	14
HB-1123 CONFERENCE	PAGE	88
HB-1123 MOTION	PAGE	30
HB-1155 CONFERENCE	PAGE	55
HB-1254 CONFERENCE	PAGE	3
HB-1352 MOTION	PAGE	112
HB-1353 CONFERENCE	PAGE	95
HB-1604 CONFERENCE	PAGE	95
HB-1733 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	133
HB-1960 CONFERENCE	PAGE	148
HB-2010 CONFERENCE	PAGE	129
HB-2125 CONFERENCE	PAGE	89
HB-2148 CONFERENCE	PAGE	17

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 002

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

92/04/07 11:14:39

# JULY 18. 1991

HB-2352 CONFERENCE	PAGE	152
HB-2385 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	113
HB-2489 CONFERENCE	PAGE	149
HB-2648 FIRST READING		
	PAGE	184
S8-0010 CONFERENCE	PAGE	103
SB-0011 CONFERENCE	PAGE	110
SB-0045 CONFERENCE	PAGE	116
SB-0045 CONFERENCE	PAGE	134
SB-0045 MOTION	PAGE	1
SB-0045 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	116
SB-0249 CONFERENCE		
	PAGE	11
SB-0249 CONFERENCE	PAGE	21
SB-0249 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	13
SB-0258 CONFERENCE	PAGE	56
SB-0299 CONFERENCE		
	PAGE	14
SB-0301 CONFERENCE	PAGE	57
SB-0302 CONFERENCE	PAGE	59
SB-0303 CONFERENCE	PAGE	60
SB-0304 CONFERENCE	PAGE	61
SB-0305 CONFERENCE	PAGE	61
SB-0306 CONFERENCE	PAGE	62
SB-0307 CONFERENCE		
	PAGE	4
SB-0308 CONFERENCE	PAGE	62
SB-0310 CONFERENCE	PAGE	63
SB-0311 CONFERENCE	PAGE	63
SB-0334 CONFERENCE	PAGE	63
SB-0334 CONFERENCE	PAGE	80
SB-0337 CONFERENCE	PAGE	64
SB-0338 CONFERENCE	PAGE	64
SB-0339 CONFERENCE		
	PAGE	65
SB-0340 CONFERENCE	PAGE	68
SB-0341 CONFERENCE	PAGE	68
SB-0344 CONFERENCE	PAGE	70
SB-0346 CONFERENCE		
	PAGE	70
SB-0348 CONFERENCE	PAGE	70
SB-0352 CONFERENCE	PAGE	. 71
SB-0354 CONFERENCE	PAGE	71
SB-0356 CONFERENCE	PAGE	72
		. –
SB-0357 CONFERENCE	PAGE	72
SB-0358 CONFERENCE	PAGE	146
SB-0358 MOTION	PAGE	73
SB-0360 CONFERENCE	PAGE	73
S8-0361 CONFERENCE	PAGE	144
SB-0366 CONFERENCE	PAGE	74
SB-0367 CONFERENCE	PAGE	74
SB-0369 CONFERENCE	PAGE	75
SB-0371 CONFERENCE	PAGE	81
SB-0372 CONFERENCE	PAGE	145
SB-0373 CONFERENCE	PAGE	75
SB-0374 CONFERENCE	PAGE	76
SB-0376 CONFERENCE		
	PAGE	80
SB-0409 CONFERENCE	PAGE	78
SB-0445 CONFERENCE	PAGE	87
SB-0453 CONFERENCE	PAGE	127
SB-0453 CONFERENCE		
	PAGE	142
SB-0453 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	129
SB-0497 CONFERENCE	PAGE	165
SB-0499 CONFERENCE	PAGE	78
SB-0872 CONFERENCE	PAGE	16
SB-0872 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	17
SB-0930 CONFERENCE	PAGE	98
SB-0930 MOTION	PAGE	98
SB-0956 CONFERENCE	PAGE	79
SB-0972 CONFERENCE	PAGE	154

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 003

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

92/04/07 11:14:39

# JULY 18. 1991

58-1320	CONFERENCE	PAGE	96
SB-1421	CONFERENCE	PAGE	152
SB-1471	CONFERENCE	PAGE	151
HR-0937	ADOPTED	PAGE	113
HR-0937	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	112
HJR-0002	ADOPTED	PAGE	153
HJR-0002	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	153
HJR-0004	ADOPTED	PAGE	187
HJR-0004	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	186
HJR-0077	ADOPTED	PAGE	103
HJR-0077	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	94
HJR-0077	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	102
HJR-0077	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	94
HJR-0078	ADOPTED	PAGE	185
HJR-0078	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	184

# SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MCPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REPRESENTATIVE LANG	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	87
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	115
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	115
RECESS	PAGE	116
HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MCPIKE	PAGE	116
REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	152
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	182
SPEAKER MADIGAN IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	184
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	184
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	184
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	186
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION	PAGE	186
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	187