82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

- Speaker Giorgi: "The House will come to order. The Members will be in their seats. Representative Rice will lead us in opening prayer. Representative Rice."
- Rice: "Let us bow our heads. Dear Father, we are gathered here again in hopes that a resolution can be gained that will benefit the citizens of this great state. We ask that You, our Father, will ever be mindful that Your presence is most desirable and foremost in the thoughts of the negotiators in helping them reach a positive decision. We ask this simple prayer in Your Son Jesus' name. Amen."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Representative Rice. We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Roll Call for Attendance. Excused absences,

 Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Let the record reflect the excused absence of Mary Flowers."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Representative. Representative
 Kubik."
- Kubik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect the excused absence of Representative Klemm."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Let the record show...so note. One hundred and sixteen Members being present, there is a quorum present.

 The House is in order. Representative Capparelli on House Bill 678."
- Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, I would refuse to accept..."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bill 678. Senate Bill."
- Capparelli: "...the First Conference Committee Report on 678 and ask for a Second Conference Committee. Thank you."

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Speaker Giorgi: "You heard the...you heard the Gentleman's

Motion. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', and
those opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it and the Second
Conference Committee is requested. Supplemental Calendar
announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #1 is being distributed."

Speaker Giorgi: "House Bill 121, Representative Currie. Do you wanna run with that? Out of the record. Representative Steczo, do you wanna go with House Bill 1970? House Bill 1970, Mr. Clerk."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move for the adoption of the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1970. The provisions of this...this Bill are the same as they were when they passed the House and the Senate, and these are...provisions that have been specifically requested by the Department of Professional Regulation to deal with some of the Acts that they deal with, so Mr. Speaker, I'd answer any questions, and, if not, would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? First Supplemental Calendar, this is Corrected First Conference Committee Report, House Bill 1970. There being no requests for discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this... Take the roll, Mr. Clerk. question, there are 111 'ayes', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 37, Representative Granberg. Is he in the chamber? I don't see him in the chamber. Representative Representative Burke on Senate Bill 446. Out of the record. House Bill 56, Representative Santiago. Out οf

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

the record. House Bill 1073, Representative Stern. House Bill 2222, Representative Curran. He's not in the chambers. Senate Bill 151, Senator (sic Representative) Robert DeJaegher. Senate Bill 151. Senate Bill 151, Mr. Clerk."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly. One fifty—one is similar to...1101, which...deals in LSD. There has been a Conference Committee Report all opposition seems to be removed from this particular Bill. There's been quite a bit of work for it, and for that reason, I ask for your support in passage of this legislation."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Black, on Senate Bill 151."

Black: "Thank you...thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair. Gentleman was making reference to a Conference Committee Report, and we don't have that Conference Committee Report in this file. If somebody could, perhaps, get it over to us or... Also, many Members...do not have the Supplemental Calendar, either."

Speaker Giorgi: "Your objection's well taken, Mr. Black. The Bill will be taken out of the record."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McAfee, on Senate Bill 728.
Okay."

McAfee: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for the adoption..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Hold it, Representative. Just a moment.

Representative McAfee, on Senate Bill 728."

McAfee: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 728. The Conference Committee Report, basically, cleared up some of the concerns that were raised by the Chicago Bar Association, Illinois State

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Bar, and we have, also, passed this by the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. I would like to state for the record with regards to the notice, and this deals with legislative intent...the notice which is going to be to the income beneficiaries, it is the intent of the legislation that the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies should consider issuing quidelines or promulgating rules for corporate fiduciaries governing the form and content of the notices required to be given as outlined in this Conference Committee Report in order assure consistency throughout the State of Illinois, in regards to providing such notice, and this has been approved by the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. I know of no opposition."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Black, on Senate Bill 728."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes."

Black: "Representative, I...I think I'm in agreement with you. I don't think there's any opposition, but for the benefit of those Members who should, perhaps, take a look at this the synopsis says we are repealing the prudent-person rule, and we all know what has gone on banking and savings and loan, you may wish to enlighten the Body on if...if you are...doing away prudent-person rule on investments, what are you replacing it with?"

McAfee: "Representative, this is now referred to as the prudent investor rule. This, basically, reflects the work of the Chicago Bar and the Illinois State Bar, as well as the Corporate Fiduciaries Association, as well as the input of the Commissioner on Banks and Trust Companies. What we're really trying to do here is, based upon the second

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

restatement as it deals on the American Law Institute with respect to creating the...looking at the portfolio as a whole, as the corporate feduciaries would be investing in...the corporate or the trust property. In other words, it would not be looking at one investment but looking at the portfolio as a whole, and this is, up to this point, had bipartisan support."

- Black: "Okay, then...there...there isn't anything in here that some would construe as loosening the regulatory provisions so that a financial institution could over invest, let's say, in junk bonds or...investments not backed by the full faith and credit of a governmental entity; that's certainly not your intent in this Bill, correct?"
- McAfee: "No, Representative, it's not. Quite the contrary. This provides the flexibility and, also, sets out safeguards such that that will not be the intention of this legislation at all."
- Black: "Well, Representative, I thank you for your answers, because I think that's put some of the Member's concerns at rest. You've done an excellent job, and I certainly plan to support your...your Bill."
- Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 728 pass?'

 All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 114 'ayes'...113 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the extraordinary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative...no...Representative Curran. Out of the record. Representative Bugielski, for what reason do you arise?"

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Just

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991.

to inform the Body of the House that this morning we received the news that former State Representative Steve Nash died, and if...once we get more information we'll let the Body know about the...arrangements for the funeral, but he died this morning. Thank you."

- Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Representative. Representative
 Balthis, on Senate Bill 1310. Senate Bill 1310, Mr.
 Clerk."
- Balthis: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I move to concur with the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1310."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Just...explain some of it, Representative Balthis. Explain..."

Balthis: "Explain the Bill?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Explain what's on it."

Balthis: "This is a Insurance Department Bill that cleans up some of the...concerns they had on when...when cancellations go out, when they have to charge for a hearing, something to do with the junk bonds. It limits the number of junk bonds that an insurance company can have in their portfolio. That's the basis of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senator...Representative Hultgren on Senate Bill 1310."

Hultgren: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Hultgren: "...Representative, on page 2 of the Conference Committee Report, lines 3 to 8, there is an exception for services mandated under Section 356-M of the Insurance Code. My recollection is that we passed that similar exemption yesterday or maybe Saturday. Are we doing the same thing twice?"

Balthis: "I believe that was added to the two different reports, just to make sure it got passed, yes."

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Hultgren: "So, you're not intending to do anything different with this than what was done yesterday or the day before."

Balthis: "Absolutely not."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, you respond to my end."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for any further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1310 pass?'

All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question, there are 100...Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present', and this Bill having, received the extraordinary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We have a reconsider matter to take up. Representative Novak. There's a Motion by Representative Novak to move to reconsider House Bill 2, is that it?"

Novak: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to reconsider the vote on House Bill 2, in order that we can ask for a Second Conference Committee Report. Yes, Mr. Speaker, for the benefit of the Body, the Senate adopted the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2, the House adopted the First corrected Conference Committee Report, subsequently on House Bill 2, and we got it...we got into some type of a technical glitch, and we need to reconsider this vote so we can move, pursuant to the rules, to adopt a Second Conference Committee Report. I'd ask for your support and 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "The...the Gentleman moves to reconsider the...the vote by which the First Conference Committee Report was corrected...first corrected was adopted. On that, Representative Black."

Black: "Yeah. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The Sponsor of

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

this has talked to our side of the aisle. I think he has filed the appropriate...requests in writing so that he's in concurrence with Rule 73. We have no objection."

Speaker Giorgi: "So the Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 73, this Motion can be allowed to be made. Now, we'll use...if there's leave, we'll use the Attendance Report on the Motion to suspend, and there being no objection, Attendance Roll Call is used to suspend Rule 73. Now, the Gentleman moves, again, to reconsider the vote by which...House Bill #2, corrected Conference Committee Report was passed. All those in favor will signify saying 'aye', and, again, leave of the House to use the Attendance Roll Call. No objections? Leave is granted, and the Motion is reconsidered. Representative Novak, on a Motion to refuse. Representative Novak."

Novak: "Mr. Speaker, I now...wish to ask for a Second Conference

Committee Report on House Bill 2."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to adopt the First Conference Committee Report and asks for Second Conference Committee Report. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye' and those opposed 'no', the 'ayes' the Motion prevails. Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks. Ιs he in the chamber? Representative McAfee, would you like to move on...SJR 20? Representative McAfee. Out of the record. Does anyone have a Conference Committee Report they wish to call at this time? Does anyone have a Conference Committee Report they wish to call at this time? What number is it? Representative Hasara, for what reason do you arise?"

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On a point of personal privilege, while we're at ease for a minute, I'd like to introduce a group from Kincaid of young school children that are watching in the gallery. Let's give them a big

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

welcome! Thank you for coming."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 802, Representative Turner, on his Motion. Representative Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. House Resolution 802 is а Resolution that...deals with teacher preparation programs here in the State of Illinois, and we're encouraging the...State Board of Ed to...to develop a program that would increase the number of minority students entering the teaching profession, improve teacher preparation program for service to culturally diverse students and identify early and intensify the preparation and mentor minority students entering the teaching...profession, and I move for the adoption of House Resolution 802."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the Motion is that House Resolution 802 be passed. All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The Gentleman moves...the Gentleman moves to suspend the rules so we can enjoy Immediate Consideration, and the use of the Attendance Roll Call is requested. Does he have No objections, the Gentleman has leave. We'll use the Attendance Roll Call for Immediate Consideration of Resolution 802. Now, the question is, the Gentleman moves to adopt House Resolution 802. There being no requests for any discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Resolution 802 pass?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is passed. Representative Curran, on House Bill 2222. Mr. Clerk. Out of the record? Hold it for a minute. House Bill 2222, Representative Curran."

Curran: "Move to accept the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2222. This concurs with Senate Amendment #1, makes the following additional changes. Deletes provision

82nd Legislative Day

- July 5, 1991
- extending for a period for challenging shelter care from 24 to 48 hours, if actual notice is not received, the period remains at 24 hours in such a case. I don't think there's any opposition to this Bill."
- Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2222...excuse me one minute...Representative Black, on House Bill 2222."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Give me five seconds.

 I'll get back to you."
- Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Preston, for what reason do you rise?"
- Preston: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

 Speaker Giorqi: "He indicates he will."
- Preston: "Representative Curran, can you give another explanation of what this Conference Committee Report does?"
- Curran: "Sure, I can. This concurs with Senate Amendment #1 and makes...following additional changes. It deletes provisions extending the period for challenging the shelter care hearing from 24 to 48 hours if actual notice is not received. The period remains at 24 hours in such a case."
- Preston: "That's...that's all it does?"
- Preston: "Okay, so, it doesn't have anything to do with its title about the...civil...civil procedure applies to juvenile courts."
- Curran: "The underlying...the underlying Bill amends the Juvenile

 Court Act to provide that the Civil...the Code of Civil

 Procedures apply to all proceedings under the Juvenile

 Court Act, unless otherwise specified. That's the underlying Bill."

Preston: "Alright, and that hasn't been deleted?"

Curran: "No, it has not."

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Preston: "I see. Alright, thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Black, on House Bill 2222."

Black: "Yeah, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I wanted to inquire as to why one of our conferees didn't sign it, and she...just simply hadn't been approached, but I would like to answer a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, does this Bill, embodied in the Conference Committee Report, contain any or all of the provisions of your Bills 2223 or 2224?"

Curran: "We do not believe so, Representative, nor was it ever my intent nor is it written down here on the Democratic jacket of explanation."

Black: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Curran, do you wish to close?"

Curran: "No."

Speaker Giorgi: "Alright. On this question then, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2222 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the extraordinary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair is prepared to...recess for some time. The instructions are that the appropriation leaders will meet at around 1:00, the leadership will meet with the Governor at around 3:00, but the Members ought to indicate to their staffs where they'll be available for signing of Conference Committee Reports, in the event they're needed, so the House is in recess till 4:00. The House is in recess till 4:00."

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. It's the Chair's intention to go to the House Supplemental Calendar and call

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

most of the Bills on the Calendar and then a few Bills from the Regular Calendar and then adjourn until tomorrow at the same time as today, 12:00 noon, and the same time Sunday, 12 noon. Representative Ryder, a Motion on Senate Bill 445."

- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe that Senate Bill 445 is on the Order of Second Reading, and since it is on the Order of Second Reading."
- Speaker McPike: "The Bill's on the table."
- Ryder: "Oh...then I would move to...take Senate Bill 445 from the table, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "Place it on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."
- Ryder: "That is correct. That is my Motion."
- Speaker McPike: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any objections to his Motion? Hearing none, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. The Motion carries. Senate Bill 445 is on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Representative Ryder. The Bill's on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, do you have the Bill? Mr. Ryder, on a Motion. Read the Bill a second time, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 445, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horseracing Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, I would make a Motion to table Amendments #2 through 29. Mr. Speaker, I would make a Motion to table Amendments #1 through 29."
- Speaker McPike: "Heard the Gentleman's Motion to table Amendment #1 through Amendment #29. All in favor of the Motion say 'aye', opposed, 'no', the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendments are...the Amendments are tabled. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #30, offered by Representatives

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Hicks and Steczo...and Ryder."

Ryder: "With the indulgence of the House and leave of the House,

I'd like to be able to present this Amendment and this

Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, you've been...you're the third Chief Sponsor of the Amendment, so proceed."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is a cleanup language to clarify a provision of the Omnibus Horseracing Act that we passed previously this week. It clears up some language that Representative Steczo had requested. We find it to be acceptable and would urge the Members to accept Floor Amendment #30."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #30 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed, 'no', the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, has this Bill been read a second time previously?"

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill's been read a second time previously."

Novak: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield, please?

Representative Ryder, is everything in concurrence here
with Representative Hicks concerning this Amendment?"

Ryder: "Yes."

Novak: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 445, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horseracing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment now becomes the

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Bill. I would ask for support from the House for this Bill."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 445 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, many of us have not been able to find the Amendment that just went on. I wonder if the Gentleman would give us some idea of the substance of the Bill, now?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder, would you explain the Bill?"

"Yes, Mr. Speaker, I will. Ladies and Gentlemen of the There was a provision as to the distribution of some of the horseracing funds for park districts. the off-track betting parlors are not in the locations that have park districts. There was language placed in the Bill that would allow conservation ditricts to have the funds that would, otherwise, go to park districts when no districts existed. That was specific to Danville. That language somehow complicated a situation in Representative Steczo's area. We have language that Representative Steczo suggested to clarify, in his area, where those funds should Those funds, under this Bill, now go to the...in the direction that Representative Steczo had requested. to accommodate him that we are proceeding with this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this...on this Bill, there are 93 'ayes' and 5 'nos'. Representative Wojcik votes 'aye'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 94 'ayes' and 4 'nos'. Senate Bill 445, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 56, Representative Santiago. House Bill 1073, Representative Stern. Senate Bill 151,

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Representative DeJaegher. Bob DeJaegher, Senate Bill 151."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. One fifty—one is somewhat similar to 1101.

Basically, the problems with this Bill that had to be worked out and it has been worked out, and basically, it seems like everybody's in agreement. We...come up and defined...what your sentences would be, what your fines would be and et cetera in this particular Bill. It deals, primarily, with LSD substances, but it also contains other provisions, and basically, what this is...it's been worked on for a...considerably, and all parties are receptive to the passage of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "On the Gentleman's Motion, Representative $\label{eq:Hultgren.} \text{Hultgren."}$

Hultgren: "Representative, do I understand that the...provisions of this Bill would permit criminal penalties to be doubled...doubled for any person convicted of delivering or possessing cannabis or controlled substances in a work place situation? Is that right?"

DeJaegher: "Yes, Sir."

Hultgren: "So, for example. In the Workmen's Compensation Act,
where I understand it defines work place, would that
include a farm that if there's possession or delivery of
controlled substances in a farm, which would be a business
or a work place setting, that that would mean that the
penalties would be doubled?"

DeJaegher: "When I think...I think when we refer to work place, I think, basically, they more or less distinguish common work places, and I think that they...they want to resolve the fact that they don't want that spread in the work place. I think that provision is put in there for that reason."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Representative."

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Speaker McPike: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

- Novak: "Yes, Representative DeJaegher, basically, what does this particular Bill do?"
- DeJaegher: "Well, basically, Phil, the problem that they had, according to my state's attorney, LSD, basically, is just about weightless. They never had no...provisions in there for the amount and the sentencing pertaining to the amount, and that was one of the problems. So, now they've...they've, basically, specified the amount and the sentencing of the amount."
- Novak: "Well, would you say that this particular...I can't hold on here...would you say this particular Bill would, basically, enhance the...law enforcement efforts of...our police personnel in this state?"
- DeJaegher: "Yes. This has been asked for by our local state's attorney, Marshall Douglas, in Rock Island County, and, basically, there's been agreements made with the Cook County State's Attorney and others that...took action on this Bill. This Bill has been run around quite a bit, and there has been a number of attorney participating in it."
- Novak: "And...what particular state's attorney in this basic Bill would...it help?"
- DeJaegher: "I didn't hear your question."
- Novak: "Well, you indicated state's attorneys, but, in particular, basically, what particular state's attorney?"
- DeJaegher: "This...this Bill came to my attention from our own local state's attorney, Marshall Douglas, in Rock Island County. He was having a problem because of the definition of the weights in the sentencing problem. So, now this has been defined for that purpose."
- Novak: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, to the Bill. Basically, this

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

particular Bill sounds pretty good to me, and $\ensuremath{\mathrm{I}}$ think we should all support it."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Lang: "Representative, does this Bill still have language...from

Senate Bill 1302 that we defeated recently regarding a
forfeiture of vehicles?"

DeJaegher: "Not to my knowledge, no."

- Lang: "Well, if I'm reading the Bill correctly, it does. ...That was a Bill that...dealt with, ...for instance, if I...if I left...marijuana in your glove compartment, your car could be seized and...and forfeited. Do you recall that we defeated a Bill like that recently?"
- DeJaegher: "Yes, I remember a Bill that you're basically addressing to, but looking through this and not being attorney of record, I didn't see that particular...provision in there."
- Lang: "Well, my information is that it's in here. I'm gonna try to find it for you. Also, if I'm reading this Bill correctly, it...it doubles many penalties, it doubles prison sentences in many different categories. Is that right?"
- DeJaegher: "Well, this...this is true, and that's, basically, what the problem was. There was no sentencing provision to speak of before, and this, basically, deals with LSD."
- Lang: "Is there any estimate as to what the cost will be of these enhanced prison sentences?"
- DeJaegher: "Well, there's also a provision in there for a fining of a...from \$5,000 to \$15,000 that carries a...term of...4 to 15 years and a fine up to \$250,000."
- Lang: "Well, thank you for answering the questions. To the Bill.

 I rise in opposition to this. I think this Bill needs a

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

lot of cleanup. I think there's some things in here that are...that need a little more work, and I would suggest going back to the drawing board and trying a Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise and ask the...Sponsor to take this back again and...and redraft. I don't know what is in this Bill that...that the Sponsor really wants, but there's a lot of add-ons, things have been flying around it, but I think...let me ask the Sponsor a question. Do the...provisions in this Conference Committee Report about...changing the nature of lethal injections in death penalty cases and paying the executioners in cash? Is that now in this Bill? Or do you know? Is that in this Bill?" DeJaegher: "I didn't hear you."

Dunn: "Well, I saw an analysis that indicates the lethal injection provisions are in here, and there was a Conference Committee Report floating around that says that

we will change the...nature of the lethal injections and we

DeJaegher: "Again, I'm not knowledgeable to that provisions in the Bill. Like I say, I'm not astute as an attorney."

will pay executioners in cash. Is that in this Bill?"

Dunn: "Well, I think the Bill, also, has some serious modifications of the forfeiture provisions, and the Conference Committee Report (I don't know how long it's been here but I've just looked at it), and it's hard to track back with the...with the forfeiture proceedings we've enacted earlier. Do you know what the forfeiture provisions do?"

DeJaegher: "No, I don't, but like I say, this Bill has been worked on. Tom Homer's been negotiating the structuring of this particular Bill. Tom is not here, of course, to

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

explain all the details of the Bill, but there's been a number of attorniey, even the Cook County State's Attorney has provided information on this particular Bill. So, there are a number of attorneys that are...receptive to passage of this Bill."

Dunn: "Well, I would just ask you to...to take this back to a Second Conference Committee and tell those who loaded this Bill up that...that it's not very popular in its present form; it's gonna jeopardize whatever you are interested in. It doubles penalties, it affects worker's compensation provisions, it does, and it's probably a good thing, about antibolic steroids, it messes around with the forfeiture provisions in this statutes, and I think it contains these lethal injection and executioner cash payment provisions. These are all things that I'm sure you're just trying to accommodate people about, but please tell 'em that they aren't very popular, and I think this Bill...in its' present form, should be soundly defeated so we can do Sponsor a favor and send this back for a Second Conference Committee Report to strip off all the things that were He's a very congenial Sponsor, a very accommodating Sponsor, and he's done this, I'm sure, to accommodate a lot of people, and we ought to help him get rid of this baggage by defeating this Conference Committee Report so it can bring this Bill back again, and we can enact whatever he is interested in and forget the rest of this. Please defeat this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Mr. Speaker, I really don't believe there's a necessity to take this Bill back into conference, but what I'd like to do is pull it out of the record for the present time until my attorney arrives on the floor. May I have your permission, Sir?"

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Speaker McPike: "Yes. Out of the record. Senate Bill 678, Representative

DeJaegher: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Senate Bill 678, Representative Capparelli.

Representative Capparelli. Senate Bill 1030,

Representative Munizzi. Senate Bill 1171, Representative

Curran."

Curran: "I move to accept...First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1171. What this Bill is, basically, is a...is a couple agreed composite Bills that passed agreed out of the State Government Administration Committee, along with one additional measure aimed at cutting the travel cost of state government. That additional measure is already on another Bill that is on the Governor's desk, so if you happen to be opposed to that, there were about four or five Members who were before, it's immaterial because it's already on the Governor's desk. The rest of the Bills in 1171 are agreed Bills coming out of the State Government Administration Committee."

Speaker McPike: "On the Gentleman's Motion, Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Harris: "What is in this Conference Committee Report that wasn't in the Bill that we passed previously regarding the Travel Board?"

Curran: "Could you...could you ask me that again?"

Harris: "What is in this Conference Committee that we did not pass previously regarding the Travel Board?"

Curran: "Those measures pertaining to the...to the Office of
Travel Management, I think it was called, that you asked me
questions about, that passed this House on Senate Bill 42,
it then passed the Senate on Senate Bill 42. It was put on

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

here by the Senate staff, who sought to make sure that those provisions were in one of the two Bills. They have passed the Senate; they are now on the Governor's desk, so I know you were opposed to it before..."

Harris: "Does this do anything...no, I voted...I voted in favor..."

Curran: "You voted for it? I see."

Harris: "I voted in favor, but does this do anything that the other Bill did not do?"

Curran: "Oh, yes, there's about 25 Bills now on this Bill, all passed out of the House twice, I think unanimously, and were agreed Bills in the State Government Administration Committee."

Harris: "Okay. Alright, and...I gather then, regarding the travel...the Travel Board that is established or the State...Office of State Management...State Travel Management, the legislative and judicial branches are still not covered under that. Right?"

Curran: "I'm sorry. I was..."

Harris: "That's alright. Under the Office of State Travel
Management, which is created here as in the previous
Bill..."

Curran: "You're right. The judicial and the legislative branches are not covered."

Harris: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Let me just inquire of the Chair, and then address the Bill. How...how many...votes will this require? I don't...I don't know what the effective date is."

Speaker McPike: "It requires 71 votes."

Johnson: "Good, 'cause I hope that there aren't 21 people who will vote for this Bill. The last thing we need to be doing, when we're here trying to save money and reduce

82nd Legislative Day

í.

July 5, 1991

government, is to do exactly the opposite of that. The thing we need to do is set up another state agency, another state bureaucracy, not only to spend the taxpayers' money but to compete with the private sector. three...Subparagraph three page 2. 'to negotiate on contracts with lodging. travel and transportation providers, with credit card companies and with other benefits to the state and with all other vendors necessary fulfillment of the purpose of this Act.' If you community, if you represent a represent a university variety of communities in this state, where the...for...for decades, if not centuries, the private sector has been providing low-cost, reasonable alternatives and services to state government, to the universities and otherwise, third of the cost that the state would, the last about a thing we need to be doing is to creating an agency among other authorities, the authority to negotiate contracts with lodaina, travel and transportation providers, and, ultimately, to provide recommendations that would dismantle a number of private providers, a number of providers in the private sector and eliminate businesses and all the people they employ and all the people that do business with them. That's not what here for, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This may be, and I'm sure it is, a well-intended Bill, but it incorporates a number of provisions that have been beaten It's bad government, and it's exactly the opposite the reason that we're supposed to be here and, particularly, the opposite of the reason why we're here now July 5th trying to save money, trying to find different ways to save money and at the same time creating a Bill that's gonna make a state bureaucracy, that's gonna cost us lot of money, not only in the public sector, but in the

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

private sector with jobs and other benefits as well. This is a bad Bill, and I think it ought to be overwhelmingly defeated."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?

Representative, on the Conference Committee Report on page

2, Item #5, it says, 'to assist state meeting and conference planners in securing the lowest possible rates for lodging, meeting rooms and travel.' Isn't that what local municipal chambers of commerce are attempting to do now?"

Curran: "I didn't hear your question."

Ropp: "The question was, on page 2 of your Conference Committee Report under Item #5, it says that 'one of the responsibilities would be to assist state meeting and conference planners in securing the lowest possible rates for lodging, meeting rooms and travel.' It seems to me like that's what the local municipal chambers of commerce are established and are currently doing. Why would we need..."

Curran: "Let me respond to you two ways. First, the language in this Bill was told to me by Mark Killian, who represents that industry, they favor this language, that's one. the language on this Bill is already on the Governor's desk in Senate Bill 42. We are arguing about something does not matter. It is already on his desk. It has already passed the House with your vote, with Representative Johnson's vote, and now it is on the Governor's desk, because it, also, passed the Senate."

Ropp: "Okay, so that says we already got...we already have one Bill, then, obviously, we don't need to send two."

Curran: "No, because there are about 25 other provisions on this Bill that have passed out of the House twice."

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Ropp: "I guess I don't see those other 25 provisions in this particular Conference Committee Report. I mean, are they all on there?"

Curran: "I have done this for Representative Pullen and read for about 15 minutes, if you would like me to read for about 15 minutes to you, I'll be glad to..."

Ropp: "...You don't have to do that, if you've already done it.

It just doesn't seem like all of those are on..."

Curran: "I understand. It...what it is, is up in the original...up in the original part of the Bill, it talks about House Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and that comprises about 25...I guess about 25 or 26 Bills, depending on...whether you're adding Representative Weller's Bill and Representative Olson's Bill."

Ropp: "Would this mean that you and I, as Legislators, then would have to go through this board in attempting to go to any conference or any planning..."

Curran: "No, it does not."

Ropp: "But we would follow their quidelines?"

Curran: "No, it does not. As Representative Harris just pointed out, the legislative and judicial branches are out of the provisions of this Bill."

Ropp: "Okay, so this is another Bill we want everybody else to do something, but we want to be exempt from it, right?"

Curran: "We've already passed this Bill."

Ropp: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Sponsor will yield for a question? Indicates he will. Representative Curran, in this measure, is there an Equitable Restrooms Act in this measure?"

Curran: "Yes, there is, and it is a weaker Equitable Restrooms

Act than you had passed...earlier this year."

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

McNamara: "Then, what we would do on this Bill, if we laid it on the desk, would give the Governor a chance to approve either a wordy statement or something that actually does something. Isn't that correct?"

Curran: "I wouldn't want to characterize the other Bill as a 'wordy statement', but it certainly isn't as strong, as wise, as good as the Bill that you passed."

McNamara: "To this Conference Committee. I urge that we defeat this Conference Committee and go back to another one, the cleanup out of there. It is true that the travel agencies that are in this Bill, when it came...when that Bill came to committee, there was a resounding, I believe it was 11-0...11 voting 'no', 0 voting 'yes', particular measure, but it's been 'snuck' out on a previous Conference Committee. I don't think we have to make that same mistake the second time, and plus another thing is is that, if we have an Equitable Restrooms Act that is on the Governor's desk that we have passed out with an unanimous of over 100 votes in this House on at least three occasions, there's no reason to put another statement the Governor's desk. I think there is cleanup that is absolutely necessary. Obviously, from our time will give us something to do in these waning days and months of this Session. I urge we defeat this Bill. Let's clean it up the right way and come back, and I say that with all due respect to the fine Sponsor."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Obrzut."

Obrzut: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Curran, to close."

Curran: "Don't wish to close."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First
Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1171?' All in
favor of the Motion vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 39 'ayes' and 65 'nos', and the Motion fails. Representative Curran."

- Curran: "I'd like to have a Second Conference Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee, and it will be done. Senate Bill 375, Mr. Hicks. Representative Hicks, on a Motion."
- Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Pursuant to Rule 74(a), I move to take from the table and suspend Rule 37(g) and advance to Second Reading,

 "Senate Bill 375."
- Speaker McPike: "Motion is to take from the table, suspend Rule 37, advance to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Is there any objections? Hearing none, the Attendance Roll Call will be used, and the Motion carries. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill a second time."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 375, a Bill for an Act to amend the General Obligation Bond Act. This Bill's been read a second time previously."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright, take the Bill out of the record. On page 4 of the Regular Calendar, under Senate Bill 214, Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks, the Chair...it indicates...to the Chair that you'd like to recede from House Amendment #2. If...if not, we'll take it out of the record."
- Hicks: "I think we'd like to take it out of the record and possibly do it tomorrow. I think possibly tomorrow morning we may have an agreement on this and may not have to do that, Mr. Speaker, so..."
- Speaker McPike: "Take it out of the record. On Senate Bill 616,

 Representative Keane. Mr. Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move adoption of Conference

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Committee Report #1...First Corrected on Senate Bill 616. There are two items in the Conference Committee Report. first deals with the Village of Hodgkins. It provides that if a tax increment financing district in municipality annually pledges and collects at least one and three quarters million dollars to retire bonds issued between January 1, 1990, and prior to September 30, 1991, Department of Revenue shall redisburse to the municipality at least 65% of the municipality's total sales tax. The second part of this deals with the City of Chicago which is four TIF districts, three of which are doing real well; one of which is not doing so well, and what they wanna do is that they can transfer any surplus from the other TIFs to the one that's not doing well. Department of Revenue has no problem with either one of these provisions. I move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1...corrected copy. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Corrected Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Spokesperson on our side of the aisle got this copy about five seconds ago.

 Our staff hasn't even seen it, none of us have signed it.

 Would the Gentleman be kind enough to take it out of the record and give us a few minutes to look it over?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yes. On page 3 of the Calendar, Senate Bills,
 Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 1421. Representative
 Keane asks leave to return the Bill to Second Reading for
 purposes of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave?
 Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, the Bill's on Second Reading.
 Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representatives
 Churchill and Kubik."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Kubik. The Gentleman withdraws

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Amendment #1. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 makes three changes in the TIF district...legislation, in reference to East St. Louis, Illinois as a financially distressed city. It extends from 23 years to 35 years the bonding time under the real estate tax TIF district. It relieves or exempts funds under the TIF district in reference to the intercept provision of the Financial Planning Act, and it treats the tax increment finance for real estate and sales tax the same as for real estate, and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Motion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In all due respect to the Sponsor, again, this Amendment has been on our desk about ten minutes. It appears to us that this Amendment really subverts the Bill passed by a Member on your side of the aisle. I really question whether the Sponsor should run this Amendment. If she does, it's certainly her right, but I think this would be opposed by a majority on both sides of the aisle, because the Amendment appears to violate the underlying Act the Gentleman on your side of the aisle worked very hard to pass. So, I would...I would have to rise in opposition to the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone else rise in opposition to the Amendment? Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Will the Sponsor yield...for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

McNamara: "Representative, does this...there is an agreement that is signed by a...a city which has...which has financial problems. That agreement is for, in the case of East St.

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Louis, was for \$32 million. Is that correct? And that agreement was signed pledging all of the...the dollar assets of that municipality to the repayment of those bonds, and that was the agreement that was signed first, according to that measure, I believe, approximately six months to a year ago. Is that correct?"

Younge: "That is correct, Representative McNamara, but the...provisions of the bailout Bill did not provide any kind of new income for the City of East St. Louis, and this Amendment is necessary so that the...so houses can be built, and...it is very important for there to be new income so there can be economic growth and economic We're...going to be the subject matter of a development. light rail complex and there is a need for development around. There is a tremendous opportunity for business development around light rail, and so it is very important to exempt...these funds...these TIF district funds from that agreement, and it will, ultimately, lead to stability of the economics of the city so that it can be in a better position to repay debt more quickly, and so that's the point."

McNamara: "Okay. Let me...let me just see what it says. It says, 'accept the payments of money equal to the net state sales tax increment and deposit it into municipalities special tax allocation fund. Now, what my understanding is, is that those funds were issued with the full faith and credit of the State of Illinois based upon the agreement that was signed that said that the dollars would be pledged, and that the tax increment financing authority would be able to try to straighten out the financial areas of East St. Louis, which we're all trying to do, and I understand it of what you're trying to do because you've worked very...very hard and diligently for your district.

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

My problem is this, that an agreement, my understanding is, that the agreement has been agreed to, has been signed and it is in effect, and now we're coming back after the agreement and taking some of those funds out of that agreement by law. That seems to me that we are using the law to disturb a contractual agreement that was made, and I, reluctantly, have to oppose that type of legislation. I do not think it is either prudent or wise for us to do this because what, in effect, it does do is it calls into question this General Assembly calling on making any further agreements with any towns in the State of Illinois, think that that is a terrible thing for us to be under that thing that we can come back later and change agreements. I reluct I...understand that Representative work's very difficult, does a lot of for her...her district, and, in this case, I think it's bad legislation, and I would urge that this report be rejected."

Younge: "Well, in response I would say that there is more than an adequate amount of intercept...moneys under the various taxes that come from the state to the municipality. There has to be economic growth in order to increase the tax base of East St. Louis, and the only way that that can happen is through...business development. Businesses will develop in and around the light rail facility if there is a...this change."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed, 'no', the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Hasara, on House Bill 1073."

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Speaker McPike: "Yes, the Lady asks leave to handle the Motion for Representative Stern. Leave is granted. Proceed."

Hasara: "House Bill 1073 was a...a Bill that incorporated several ideas from the Mental Health Committee. Most of the controversial, in fact all of, I believe, the controversial aspects have been removed from the Bill. I can go over few of the items. One is it specifies that disclosures of patient records and communications shall be made only upon receipt of a court order. It adds the director of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission as a voting member of the Illinois Planning Council on Mental Health. It sets out procedures for involuntarv administration οf psychotropic drugs, including time limits and judicial Bill passed unanimously out safequards. The οf committee. and Ι believe that...it is fairly non-controversial, at this point. I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1073."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1073?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 111 'ayes' and 2 'nos', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1073, and this Bill, having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 375. The Bill's on Second Reading. Representative Hicks. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative McGann."

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 375 amends the General Obligation Bond Act, increases the...college savings bond authorization by \$200 million. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black, on the Amendment."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Has this Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Okay. Would the Page...see if they could get us a copy?"

Speaker McPike: "Someone give Mr. Black a copy of the Amendment.

It's been out for quite a while, Mr. Black. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed, 'no', the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Has this Bill been read a second time previously?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill has been read a second time previously."

Speaker McPike: "The Bill's on Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 375, a Bill for an Act to amend the General Obligation Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 375 now becomes the Bill, and I ask for passage of Senate Bill 375."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 375 pass?'

Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Thank...thank you. Could...could the Sponsor explain the Bill?"

Speaker McPike: "The Sponsor explained the Bill on Second Reading. Mr. Hicks, would you like to explain it again

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

for Mr. Hultgren?"

Hicks: "Yes, I'd be happy to. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. ... Amendment #1 simply increased the bond authorization for the College Savings Act by \$200 million."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Levin: "Is there anything in this on the Illinois Foundation?"

Hultgren: "No, Ellis."

Levin: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. As usual, there seems to be some confusion as to this Bill. This is a merely Bill in that the Amendment has...\$900 million in it and then it changes it to \$1 billion, \$100 million, and there seems to be some confusion over here at the last minute as to...what this is for. Just general obligation bond increase or do you have something specific in mind for it?"

Hicks: "Well, Mr. Black, I think simply it...says...states very closely what exactly what we're gonna do with it. Increase the college savings bond authorization by \$200 million. One technical change of the Bill simply makes the Baccalaureate Savings Act comply with federal tax laws, that's all it does. It's pretty simple."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hicks, would you repeat your answer, please, for Mr. Black?"

Hicks: "Yes, I'd be happy to, Mr. Black. For the fifth time now, this Amendment now increases the bond authorization for the College Savings Bond Act by \$200 million. It, also, makes some technical changes dealing with the Baccalaureate Savings Act so that it now complies with federal tax laws. That's all it does now."

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Black: "So...in all...in all you're doing is increasing the amount of bonds available for the College Savings Bond Program that we passed a couple of years ago by \$200 million, raising the ceiling, right?"

Hicks: "Correct."

Black: "What...what I'm really trying to do here is...talk very slowly. There's a Gentleman behind me on the telephone that I'm trying to get to whisper in my ear, but I don't know how much more slowly I can talk."

Hicks: "Representative Black, I can sometimes talk pretty slow being from down south, myself."

Black: "You...you have done such an excellent job of...of talking so slowly, we now understand what you're doing, and we rise to...say you've done an outstanding job at what you've done, and we're ready to support you. That's how good a job you've done."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Representative Black. We
 certainly...are glad to have your support on this fine
 piece of legislation."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Simply rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. This is a highly successful program, and issuing another \$200 million of college savings bonds will be very helpful to the people who are planning ahead for the cost of children going to college, and I recommend your support."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm...gonna vote for this, you might as well, but...no one should be fooled. This is just another way to float bonds for the State of Illinois. There is no commitment or requirement of the bond purchaser to use these for college expenses, and...this is a vehicle by those who...who...follow the...the bond market for

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

tax-exempt bonds to buy more bonds, so keep that in mind when you vote on this Bill. The college end of this is hokey."

Speaker McPike: "The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 375 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, was this Bill read a third time? Yes. Thank you. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 110 'ayes' and no 'nays', and Senate Bill 375, having received the Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 616, Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This I explained earlier. We took it out of the record, but, briefly again, this deals with the Village of Hodgkins and deals with a limitation of TIF for payments. The second part of the Conference Committee says that in the City of Chicago, which has four TIF districts, (three of which are doing well, one of which is not doing well), that the surplus from the three successful TIFs can be used...to...fund the fourth one if there is 100% of approval of the bondholders of the other three. I would be happy to answer any questions and move the adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
First Corrected Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill
616?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.
Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion,
there are 88 'ayes', 19 'nos', and the House does adopt the
First Corrected Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill
616, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional
Majority, is hereby declared passed. Agreed Resolutions."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 891, offered by Representative

82nd Legislative Day

July 5, 1991

Marinaro; 892, DeLeo; and 894, Weller."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I move the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed, 'no', the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted.

General Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 893, offered by Representative Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker McPike: "Committee on Assignment. Representative Matijevich moves that the House stand Adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 12:00 noon. All in favor say 'aye', opposed, 'no', the 'ayes' have it, and the House stands Adjourned. First Special Session will come to order. The Attendance Roll Call for the Regular Session will be used as the Attendance Roll Call for the First Special Session. Representative Matijevich now moves that the First Special Session stand Adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 12:05 p.m. All in favor say 'aye', opposed, 'no', the 'ayes' have it; First Special Session stands Adjourned."

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

92/09/16 08:51:18

JULY 05. 1991

MOTION	PAGE	7
CONFERENCE	PAGE	31
CONFERENCE	PAGE	2
CONFERENCE	PAGE	9
OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	3
OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	20
OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	3
OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	20
SECOND READING	PAGE	26
SECOND READING	PAGE	31
THIRD READING	PAGE	32
MOTION	PAGE	26
OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	26
SECOND READING	PAGE	12
THIRD READING	PAGE	13
MOTION	PAGE	12
CONFERENCE	PAGE	26
CONFERENCE	PAGE	35
OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	27
CONFERENCE	PAGE	1
CONFERENCE	PAGE	3
CONFERENCE	PAGE	20
CONFERENCE	PAGE	6
SECOND READING	PAGE	27
RECALLED	PAGE	27
ADOPTED	PAGE	9
RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	9
	MOTION CONFERENCE CONFERENCE CONFERENCE OUT OF RECORD OUT OF RECORD OUT OF RECORD OUT OF RECORD SECOND READING THIRD READING MOTION OUT OF RECORD SECOND READING THIRD READING THIRD READING THIRD READING TOPERENCE CONFERENCE CONFERE	CONFERENCE PAGE CONFERENCE PAGE CONFERENCE PAGE OUT OF RECORD PAGE OUT OF RECORD PAGE OUT OF RECORD PAGE OUT OF RECORD PAGE SECOND READING PAGE THIRD READING PAGE MOTION PAGE OUT OF RECORD PAGE COND READING PAGE MOTION PAGE OUT OF RECORD PAGE OUT OF RECORD PAGE OUT OF RECORD PAGE CONFERENCE PAGE

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER GIORGI	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REPRESENTATIVE RICE	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	11
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	35
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	36
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	36
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION	PAGE	36
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	36