44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The Chaplain for today is Reverend Howard Ditrich of the Central Christian Church in Bourbonnais, Illinois. Reverand Ditrich is the guest of Representative Weller. Guests in the balcony may wish to rise and join us for the invocation."

Reverend Howard Ditrich: "We give You thanks, God, because we know that we are Your quests in Your universe, and as we stand today in honor of the many things you have given to we thank you for those that have assembled in this Assembly in times past. The words that they have said many are engraved in marble, others in the books studied by children and youth. We give You thanks as we think of the after-glow for those that have served in the past. as we're here on the thresholds of today to know that what motivates us is to do justice, to love mercy and to walk humbly with You. We give You thanks because we are in a house that is not divided, but in a house that is united. We give You thanks because we know that we are not a separate state of United States, but we are part of United States of America. And therefore on the first few days of this month, upon the time of the early '90s, knowing that shortly ahead of us is a new century, we thanks for the vision of those that are assembled here. with each and every individual. Be with us collectively as we find the joy of looking forward to tomorrow. For the thoughts expressed, for the prayers that are given, for the vision that makes a difference in our future. Thanks, God, we're honored guests of You today. Amen."

Speaker McPike: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of
America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker let the record reflect the excused absence on this side of the aisle of Representative McNamara on official business, and Representative Flinn due to his injuries."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kubik"

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Barnes and Wojcik are excused today."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 114

Members answering Roll Call a quorum is present.

Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "I'd like to make an inquiry of the Chair, if I may?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Klemm: "I was noticing in yesterday's Calendar that we had 908
Bills listed...408 on Second Reading, and I noticed today
we've gained some Bills and we now have 940 Bills on the
Calendar, and I was wondering if they came all from the
Appropriations Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, we gained 64 'appro' Bills."

Klemm: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Yes. State and Local Government, Second Reading. House Bill 17, Representative Lang. House Bill 391, Representative Wolf. Mr. Wolf. House Bill 832, Representative Brunsvold. Out of the record. House Bill 1538, Representative Marinaro. House Bill 1587, Representative Lou Jones. House Bill 1699. Representative Santiago. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1699, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Santiago."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Santiago."

Santiago: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment was an Agreed

Amendment...which was proposed by the Insurance

Department."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Question is,'Shall '
Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed
'no', the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.
Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 1700, Representative Santiago. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1700, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 1715, Representative Keane. House Bill 1947, Representative Keane. House Bill 2039, Representative Bugielski. 2040. House Bill 2045, Representative Steczo. House Bill 2165. Representative Marinaro. House Bill 2186, Representative Trotter. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2186."

Speaker McPike: "Is there a fiscal note on this, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "It's not filed yet."

Speaker McPike: "It's not filed. House Bill 2197. Is there anyone on the House floor that would like a Bill called that's on Special Order? State and Local Government, Third Reading. Human Services, Second Reading. Human Services, Second Reading. State and Local Government, Third Reading. State and Local Government. Third Reading. Is there any

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Member on the floor that would like to have their Bill called on that Order? On that Order? Representative Giorgi. House Bill 1113. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1113. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is the...Greater Rockford Airport Authority Quick Take Authority and I have a June 1st deadline with a Federal Government, and...they've been acquiring property for 7 years. Everyone's agreed to sell except one owner, and this is to take the people into court so they can get a fair appraisal of the property, and I the your support of this Bill for the good of the Greater Rockford Airport Authority and the Winnebago Countians who contribute so much to the greatness of the State of Illinois."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Myron Olson."

Olson: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Olson: "Thank you. Representative Giorgi, we have talked about this and...you were gracious enough yesterday to let the Amendment be offered while it was off the floor...we have a spoken—to concern in our marketplace, that is Lee and Ogle counties, and is particularly some Winnebago townships as to the...the very great urgency on this quick date, like within in a couple of weeks, and I wonder if you could address that. Have you heard from, for example, Harlin township or any of those people who object to this measure?"

Giorgi: "No, Sir, the only talk I've heard, 1 or 2...of those townships you're talking about disconnecting, but it doesn't have anything to do with this this...Myron."

Olson: "They are not opposed to the quick take?"

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Giorgi: "No. Not a point of this Bill, no."

Olson: "Alright, thank you very much."

Giorgi: "I urge support of the House because we have a June
 deadline."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 67 'ayes', 44 'nos'. House Bill 1113, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Human Services, Second Reading. House Bill 739, Jesse White. House Bill 954, Representative Daniels. Mr. Black...House Bill 1160, Representative Richmond. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1160, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Act on the Aging. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Richmond."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Move to table...to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Richmond."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Amendment #2 is a very simple one that puts the Bill in compliance with the wishes of the Department of Aging. It increases, the...or deletes the language that says 'less than 55' and makes it '60 or older' for this program under Alzheimer's Health Care Bill, and it...this is to keep it in line with current programs as far as the age limit is concerned. I move the passage of Amendment #2 to House

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Bill 1160."

- Speaker McPike: "On the Amendment, Representative Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "Thank you. The question has been answered, thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 1408, Representative Burzynski. House Bill 1565, Representative Hicks. Representative Shirley Jones. Representative Bugielski. Representative Hicks. Representative Steczo. Mr. Steczo. Representative McGuire. Representative Trotter. House Bill 2232. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2232, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee or Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Oh, there's a request for a fiscal note, so it stays on Second Reading. Representative White. Representative Pullen. Representative Walsh. House Bill 2464. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2464, a Bill for an Act establishing an adult day service. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee or Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Capparelli.
 Representative Laurino. Representative Currie. 2510.
 Human Services, Third Reading. Human Services, Third
 Reading. Does anyone have a Bill on this Order that they
 would like to call? Representative Giglio. House Bill
 2234. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2234, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Speaker McPike: "Alright, returning briefly to Second Reading.

 Returning briefly to Second Reading is House Bill 1644.

 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1644, a Bill for an Act concerning the renovation of homes. Second Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill was read a second time previously. No

 Committee or Floor Amendments, and the fiscal note has been filed."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. In returning to Third Reading,

 Human Services. House Bill 2234. The Bill has been read a

 third time. Representative Giglio."
- Giglio: "Thank you...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What we're trying to do with House Bill 2234 is the people that are on SSI and people on the state programs and put 'em on the federal programs where they belong. What it also does, it pays the attorneys the maximum amount of \$1,200 when they take these cases, they take these people for veterans, for the people that...with Children and Family Services that...the are paying, and it would put them on the federal rolls whereby saving the State of Illinois out of the Revenue Fund and put them on the federal fund. To give an example, a veteran now would get \$165.00 a month. If were put on the federal program they would be getting \$563.00 a month. A family with 4 was getting #166.00 per month for disabled adult family, their family would be getting \$470.00 a month on Social Security supplemental So what it does, it would take a little time to catch up, but in the long run the State of Illinois would save money and these people would be put on the federal rolls where...they rightfully belong. I would ask for your favorable support."

44th Legislative Day May 3, 1991

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor vield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Thank you. Representative...if you look at this Bill very carefully the current program, the program that we currently operate under, specifies that payments will be made from federal reimbursements. It's our understanding that no such provision is included in your Bill. Is that correct?"

Giglio: "Right, right. The State, the Department of Public Aid would pay the attorneys the \$1,200 but the amount of money that would be saved by taking them off of our rolls, and putting them on the Federal Government rolls would be a substantial saving, and it would...we'd save a tremendous amount of money. Yes, it's going to take a year or so to do that, but eventually all this money would be transferred to the federal level and whereby we, and the State of Illinois, would be saving a tremendous amount of money."

Black: "Well, I'll tell you, Representative, I, there's an honest difference of opinion on whether we're going to save money Ιf the Department of Public Aid figures are not. correct, you're probably going to recover about and to recover \$305,000 you're going to spend approximately 1.1 million dollars. I would submit to you that...perhaps what you're attempting to do, while laudible, it appears to our staff that the Bill is not drafted in exactly the manner I think you would like, and I must rise in opposition to your Bill because in fact, there is, drafted, no net cost recovery, in fact there is an expense, I would suggest you might want to redraft the Bill, by including AFDC, you're actually getting us into a loss, not a gain, and for that reason I rise in opposition to your

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Bill."

Giglio: "Well, I don't want to..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giglio to close."

- Giglio: "...belabor the point, but, the figures show that the requests or the statement from Public Aid is over a half a million dollars out of whack here, Representative Black.

 We feel there's going to be a tremendous amount of saving, the people from the legal assistance fund of Chicago who asked me to take this Bill, not only it's going to save the state some money, but we're going to help a lot of people who are on Social Security income and the veterans and, therefore, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,' I would ask your favorable support."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 67 'ayes', 45 'nos'. House Bill 2234 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Dunn."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. That last Bill came up quickly.

 I guess it provides for attorneys' fees. I am a lawyer, if

 I had a conflict of interest, I voted my conflict and my conscience."
- Speaker McPike: "House Bill 1230, Representative DeJaegher. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1230, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning children and alcoholic parents. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative DeJaegher."
- DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly. House Bill 1230 was brought to my attention by Lutheran Social Service of Illinois. It's a Bill that's basically similar to the legislation that they have in the

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

State of Minnesota. It's a pilot project...and will be funded by DASA. DASA states that they do have the amount of money in their present budget to fund this particular program what this program does, it helps children from 3 to 6 that come from alcoholic parents to basically...be able to cope with the situation. It goes without saying that we've heard about drugs on this floor many, many times and oftentimes once these children submit themselves to alcoholic beverages, of course then that continuation goes on, so it's a pilot program, and it's been readily accepted in committee. There is no opposition to this legislation, and for that reason I ask your support."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Motion Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Sir."

Wennlund: "Representative, is there any impact on local school districts?"

DeJaegher: "Is there any local impact on local school districts?

No, basically voluntary in nature, it's a pilot program

and, of course, this will be all done on a voluntary

nature."

Wennlund: "There's no mandate connected with it?"

DeJaegher: "No mandate whatsoever. DASA has said they have it within there present budget so there would be no cost as far as the local school districts qo."

Wennlund: "I understand the current program in the Quad-Cities is working quite well, and it looks like a good piece of legislation and a good Bill. We will support it."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Representative Wennlund."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 107 'ayes', 1 'no'. House Bill 1230, having

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2446, Representative Satterthwaite. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2446, a Bill for an Act in relation to health services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill creates the Community Mental Health Equity Funding Act requires the Department of Mental Health Developmental Disabilities to develop a formula model for community grants and aid. The Bill will have no fiscal effect until 1994, and even then the only effect would be by whatever the State Legislature would determine to put aside in a specific line item for funding under this formula distribution. As you will recall, we have had for a couple of years now a task force dealing with the issue of community mental health funding, and we also passed legislation during the last Session requiring the Department to do a study of alternative funding formulas. As a part of that report, the Department also indicates that about 30 other states have implemented a funding formula for the allocation of their mental health dollars as they are used in the community. The Bill outlines a number of provisions that would have to be included in the formula and gives the department time to develop that formula so that we could still review it before any implementation of it actually occured. I'd be happy to answer questions. Otherwise, move for passage of the legislation."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Gentlemen from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "She indicates she will."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Wennlund: "It's my understanding the file indicates that the

 Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities
 is opposed to this Bill?"
- Satterthwaite: "Well, in committee they said that they were opposed in that they felt that other factors also needed to be included. Part of what the study has shown is that there is a wide discrepancy from one part of the state to another in the amount of funds available if you just look at a population basis. We are asking in this formula they use a number of other considerations in addition to population. The Department's statement was that they would also need to look at funds that are channeled through some other agencies, such as the Department of Public Aid, or the Department of Rehablilatative Services. And certainly have no objection to them including it, but since the Bill directs the department to devise the formula, I don't see that that's in conflict in any way with what they are asking us to do."
- Wennlund: "So this amounts to a reshuffling of funds? Not new funds, not additional funds."
- Satterthwaite: "No, the language of the Bill calls for new funding to be put in through a special line item that would be distributed by this formula."
- Wennlund: "The Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities...points out that in the event the Bill is passed, it will amount to a reduction in the amount of grants in areas like Chicago where they are relatively high now. So..."
- Satterthwaite: "No that's not accurate. In fact, any of the sample distribution formulas that we have looked at...appear to give additional funds to those communities that are currently getting low per capita grants...however, as I said, we're not asking it just to be on a population

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

basis, we're asking that various other factors, such as poverty and AFDC cases...the population of youth, and aged people...the price index in the particular area, how much they have from local Mental Health DD taxes. et cetera, all be included in the formula, and so at this point we dont know what the final formula would be. We don't know where the dollars would actually flow all we're asking is the Department develop that formula for review by the technical task force before any funding occurs at all."

Wennlund: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Lady from Sangamon Representative Hasara."

Hasara: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Hasara: "Representative, it's my understanding that there is a
 whole harmless clause in this in that no area will lose
 money, is that correct?"

Satterthwaite: "That is our intention, and as I stated before, the intention would be that any new money that the Legislature decided to devote to this formula for the purpose of equalization would be separate and identifiable, and so it would not take funds from the current programs."

Satterthwaite: "No, it does not."

Hasara: "What does it do then?"

Satterthwaite: "It directs the Department to develop a formula including the factors that I mentioned before as a possibility for distribution of grant moneys. That formula, when it would be more specific, would be reviewed by the technical task force to see what the impact would be both if we shuffle current dollars and any future ones, but

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

then the Bill also specifically says that there will be a separate line item, and so we guarantee by that process that we're not taking the old dollars, we're taking new dollars."

Hasara: "Okay, and may I assume then that the report of the task force would come back to the General Assembly for approval?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes."

Hasara: "Okay thank you, Representative."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Ropp: "Ah, Representative Satterthwaite, if this is extremely important, why are we waiting until FY'94 for it to be actually implemented?"

Satterthwaite: "Well, the conditions of the Bill give the Department some time to make the formula that would come back for approval, and so the schedule is that they would provide a prototype formula by October 15th of, '92, and we would run simulations looking at what the distribution of the funds would mean under that and then fine tune that model by December 15th, of '92, and so it would not the available for use until the '94 budget year."

Ropp: "Is this going to make sure that every county would receive some dollars, or does, it appears as the formula would be drafted that every county would get some money whereas right now there's a possibilty that some may not get any, is that correct?"

Satterthwaite: "I would certainly assume that every county would get some money, yes."

Ropp: "Under your proposal is that the case now or not?"

Satterthwaite: "Well, Representative, what we're talking about is the funds that would flow through to community services and

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

so there could possibly be a county that did not provide community services, and therefore they would not get any funds, but I think that's highly unlikely because there are a wide array of agencies that might qualify for these funds."

Ropp: "Okay, thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Lady from Champaign Representative Satterthwaite to close."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly, I would ask for your support. This is a program that has emerged from a task force that has reviewed the issues of the problems for funding for community mental health. believe that going to a formula that establishes some system and priorities for funding would be helpful and would provide for greater equity across the state. Ιt is not anything that is in final form. It is simply a directive to the Department to move forward with that concept again for us to review before any funding would take place under the new mechanism. I urge your support of the measure."
- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2446 pass?'

 All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'.

 The voting is open. This is final action. And on that question, Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just by way of explaining my vote, along with Representative Satterthwaite, I was a participant on the task force This represents a great deal of work of over nearly 2 years. I would encourage 'aye' votes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question 104 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present', and House Bill 2446, having

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Stern, 715. House Bill 715. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 715, a Bill for an Act in relation to

 Inspector General. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Stern."
- Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this deals with the office of Inspector General which sunsets at the end of this Session, this year and...what it does is change the appointment, the Amendment changes the appointment to...be made by the Governor with the approval of the Senate...6 year term, and removes it from the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities and makes it a free-standing ombudsman-type inspector, reporter, office. I ask your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Bill? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Representative Hasara."
- Hasara: "Mr. Speaker, I did have my light on. I am greatly opposed to this, as are several mental health advocacy groups this creates another commission, it takes money away from the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. We feel that this is a issue that needs to be studied. It's a major change, and even though most people and groups have a question about the Inspector General and the function of that office...very few groups and people in the mental health community feel that this is the time to pull it out of mental health and put it on its own."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Olson."

 Olson: "To explain my vote. This was very controversial in committee granted it did pass. Perhaps the biggest problem

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

is the funding. I see nothing wrong with the concept that the Inspector General should be removed from the Department itself, but in making this transition it's my understanding is that the funds will also be removed to establish this new office. We all know that the Department of Mental Health Disabled Disabilities is struggling in their own funding operations. If this Bill cannot be deferred for further study, I believe it should have some type of legislation through the appropriation process to establish funding. I encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Andrew McGann."

McGann: "Thank you...Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. had not planned on making any comments on this piece of legislation because I was somewhat troubled as a result the work we had done over the last few years to attempt to have the Inspector General handling the mental health problems, be divorced from the Department itself, so it could be a free standing agency. But as much as some statements were made that a lot of interest groups are against this program, that is not correct, in fact entirely wrong. I intended to work with the new Director, Mr. Murphy, on this matter. We tried before to separate it, and in a compromise in the last Session we said we would give it an opportunity to work for a couple of years and see what has happened. Two years have gone by there has been reports that there is great concern about the conflict of interest of having the Inspector General within Department. It is for this reason that this piece of legislation has surfaced, and I want to cooperate with the director and work with him because I think he's is the freshest approach that we have had in many a year in Department of Mental Health, but at the same time we have

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

to have some control over the abuse that is going on the system in the mental developmentally disabled care. So therefore I will have to vote 'aye' at this time, and I would encourage all of our colleagues to vote and let us see what the Senate does with it, let's see what the Governor does, and maybe we can come out and at least make our point that we are not satisfied with the Inspector General within the Department and the work that he is doing, or she is doing. Thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Everybody needs to vote their own switch, we'll request a verification should this Bill get the prerequite number of votes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 63 voting 'yes', 43 voting 'no', and 5 voting 'present', and the Gentleman from Vermilion asks for a verification. Mr. Clerk, poll those not voting."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Mautino. McAuliffe and Mulcahey. No further."

 Speaker Giglio: "Poll the affirmative, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff. Brunsvold. Buqielski. Capparelli. Curran. Currie. Davis. Deering. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Farley. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Hannig. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Levin. Lang. Marinaro. Martinez. Matijevich. McAfee. McGann. McGuire. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Novak. Obrzut. Phelan. Phelps. Preston. Ronan. Rotello. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Schakowsky. Schoenberg. Shaw. Steczo. Stepan. Stern. Trotter. Turner. Walsh. White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk. Mr. Hoffman, J. Hoffman, would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Richmond.

Representative Richmond votes 'aye'. Representative Black does Representative Kulas have leave to be verified?"

Black: "Leave is granted."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mulcahey votes 'aye' Mr. Clerk.

Questions of the affirmative, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Representative Marinaro?"

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, Representative Marinaro. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Black: "Representative Shaw?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shaw in the chamber?

Representative Shaw in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call.

Representative Black does Representative Lang and Turner
have leave to be verified?"

Black: "Leave is granted. Representative Novak?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Novak. Novak is in his chair."

Black: "Representative Hicks?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks? Representative Hicks in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Black: "Representative Preston?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston. He's conferring with Representative McCracken."

Black: "He's right over here where he belongs. He's right over

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

here where he belongs."

Speaker Giglio: "Do you really want him?"

Black: "Representative Flowers?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Lady from the Roll Call.

Representative Black, does Representative Shirley Jones
have leave to be verified?"

Black: "I can't miss her in that red dress. Absolutely.

Representative Lou Jones?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Lou Jones. Representative Lou Jones. Is the Lady in the chamber? How's the Lady recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Lady from the Roll Call."

Black: "Representative Monique Davis?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Davis. Monique Davis. Is the Lady in the chamber? How's the Lady recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Lady from the Roll Call."

Black: "Representative Morrow?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Morrow? Representative Morrow in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Black: "Representative Williams?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Williams. Representative Williams in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Black: "Representative Homer?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer. Representative Homer is in the center aisle."

Homer: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, we have no further questions. You can take the Roll. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk restore Representative Keane to the 'aye' column. Representative Hartke, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Representative Hartke votes 'aye'.

On this question there are 60 voting 'aye', 43 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present' and House Bill 715 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I know you were busy up there marking something official, but I had my light on, I don't recall that he ever...polled the absentees. Did you ask the Clerk to poll the absentees?"

Speaker Giglio: "That was the first requirement."

Black: "He did that?"

Speaker Giglio: "He did that, and I think there were 3 missing at the time, and 2 of them came back."

Black: "Well, it's a very interesting vote, Mr. Speaker I congratulate you. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Thank you, Representative Black. Representative Stern."

Stern: "I would like to reconsider the vote please. Move to reconsider the...having voted on the prevailing side."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves to reconsider the vote.

Representative Matijevich. Matijevich. Gentleman moves to
leave that Motion on the table. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Confused. I was telling everyone to table the
Motion, now since Representative Matijevich wants it, I
must have made a mistake. I move to table the Motion to
reconsider. Now wait, wait, wait let me think this

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- through."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich already made that Motion, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "No, I must have said the wrong thing. I'm agreeing with Representative Matijevich."
- Speaker Giglio: "Would you like to join Representative Matijevich?"
- McCracken: "Now wait, let me think about this."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston, do you want to help him?

 All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'aye' have it. Motion is tabled.

 Representative Wyvetter Younge. On House Bill 1930."
- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could House Bill 1930 be brought back to Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Does the Lady have leave by the Attendance Roll
 Call to bring this Bill back to Second Reading? Hearing
 none, the leave is granted, the Bill is on Second Reading.
 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Wyvetter Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House...Floor
 Amendment #2 would delete lines 10 to 13 in this Bill and
 take away some of the responsibility originally given to
 the Department of Public Aid, and I move for the adoption
 of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I...I think the

 Amendment is agreed, but we'd like to see it before

 we...move it. We don't have it."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative, is it out?"
- Younge: "Take it out of the record until..."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Speaker Giglio: "It's not out. Alright, the Bill will remain on Second Reading. It's not out yet. 2317, Representative Levin. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2317, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Advisory Committee on the Handicapped to the Mayor of the City of Chicago and a number of other handicapped organizations have asked us to pass this Current law exempts handicapped persons with handicapped stickers or plates from having to put money parking meters where it is their car. Ironically, for some reason that nobody can explain, this law does apply to parking meters that are only for a half hour or Leading to the situation that a person in a wheelchair parks their car, goes away, has to come back every half hour. This legislation simply removes that-half hour exemption from the current law. I know of no opposition. I've talked to the Department of Rehab Services, and I would ask for passage of this legislation."
- Speaker Giglio: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Hearing none the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2317 pass?' And on that question all those in favor vote 'aye',opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Black."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Ah, I'm not sure, very honestly, although I can tell you that the City of Chicago has separately...changed it's ordinance to conform. They eliminated their exemption."
- Black: "I think that's the only question any of us have. It

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

would appear to preempt home rule, I appreciate the Gentleman's candor in his answer, and it is for that reason I'll vote 'present' because I'm just not sure whether we preempt home rule."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black, is that a Parlimentary
inquire?"

Black: "Yes, it was."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright, vote, hit it; I don't think we took the record yet. It does not preempt home rule."

Black: "I'm pleased to vote green then if it does not prempt home rule. Thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "You're welcome. Chair is always pleased to oblige the request of the Members. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question lll voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no, and 0 voting 'present', and House Bill 2317, having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On Human Services, Third Reading appears House Bill 1623, Representative Virginia Fredericks. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1623, a Bill for an Act to amend the Act concerning domestic abuse of adults. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks leave to have House Bill 1623 returned to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of the Amendment. Does the lady have leave in Attendance Roll Call hearing none leave is granted. Bill is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Virginia Frederick."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Frederick."
- Frederick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker...Amendment # 3 is simply a clarifying Amendment on the iner-agency agreement between

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

the Department of Mental Health and Department of Rehabilitative Services regarding the Abuse of Disabled Adults. I move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none all those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. These 'ayes' have it the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading."

Frederick: "Alright, Mr. Speaker with leave of the House could I have House Bill 1623 considered on Third Reading?"

Speaker Giglio: "Does the Lady have leave by the attendance Roll Call. Hearing none leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1623, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning domestic abuse of adults. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Lady from Lake, Representative Virginia Frederick."

Fredericks: "House Bill 1623, creates a Domestic Abuse Disabled Adult Act and amends the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Act. requires the of Rehabilitation Services to establish a program of services for disabled adults or abused. neglected or exploited in domestic living situations. we put on Amendment #1, #2, and Amendment #1 states that the Act will be implimented when funds become available but in the interim, the Department of Rehabiltation Services shall take reasonable steps to provide protection when they receive a report of abuse. Amendment #2 just provides that reports of abuse may be heard during normal business hours 5 days a week and after normal business hours when equipped with an electronic telephone recording device. I ask

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

your affirmative vote on House Bill 1623."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Lady's Motion? Hearing none, all those in favor...The Gentlemen from Fulton, Representative Homer.'

Homer: "Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She will."

Homer: "Does this only apply to disabled adults?"

Fredericks: "I'm sorry, Mr. Homer, I can't hear you."
Homer: "Would you explain again what the Bill does?"

Fredericks: "What the Bill does? The Bill provides protection from abuse of disabled adults who are living in domestic situations."

Homer: "Thank you, that's fine."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1623 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Have all voted who Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 House Bill 1623, having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. move off the Human Services Order, is there anybody on that has Bills on Human Services that would like heard? Currie, Schakowsky, McGann, Levin, Granberg, DeLeo, Rotello, Curran? Any Ladies and Gentlemen that would like to have their Bills called on this Order? Representative Schakowsky. House Bill 761. Is that the Bill you would like to have heard? Mr. Clerk, House Bill 761. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 761, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. The
Lady from Cook, Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

House Bill 761 eliminates the financial incentive that exists now in Illinois law to institutionalize an elderly disabled spouse. Last year we passed protections spousal impoverishment for the spouses of nursing home residents. What this does is equalize those eligibility requirements so that people can afford to keep their loved one at home. Right now the eligibility for the community care program is substantially lower than the Medicaid eligibility for the people in nursing homes, so there is an incentive to put your loved one in a nursing home, finacially, rather than keep them at home where they'd rather be and where services are less expensive. This Bill has been worked out with the Department on Aging, with the Department of Public Aid, both of whom are in support of the legislation now, all the advocacy groups are supporting it I...know of no opposition, and I urge your support for House Bill 761."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Speaker, I have an inquiry of the Chair, please. I am somewhat

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Ryder: "I am somewhat concerned that when I look at the scoreboard I am not able to determine the lead Sponsor on this Bill. In the event...pronunciation may not be the problem spelling may be. Very good. Now, would the Sponsor now yield, please?"

Schakowsky: "I would."

Ryder: "Thank you. It's my understanding that you have had some conversations with the Department of Public Aid on this Bill?"

Schakowsky: "That is correct."

Ryder: "And they are now agreed with your position?"

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Schakowsky: "That is correct."
- Ryder: "What about the Department of Rehabilatation Services?"
- Schakowsky: "No, they haven't been involved in those discussions.

 Oh, that's the...I'm sorry, that's the wrong description of the Bill. The Bill is explained correctly, but that is not the...correct description of it. That was from the last Bill."
- Ryder: "Representative, I'm not sure why you have such good fortune here but perhaps we could...could...
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder, you know as we move toward the end of the Session, the machine gets heated up and sometimes..."
- Ryder: "The end of the Session? This is just barely getting started..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Well, then, at the end of the Session, that's when the machine really breaks."
- Ryder: "We've always felt, Mr. Speaker that when that occurs that it was a Democratic subversive plot, but...and the Parliamentarian is nodding his concurrence in that feeling on our part."
- Speaker Giglio: "Well, we may get some explanation from Representative McGann. Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

 Would the Sponsor yield?"
- Schakowsky: "I will."
- McGann: "Representative, have you determined or has the Department determined the cost to implement this piece of legislation?"
- Schakowsky: "Yes, they have...there will be no additional...well there will actually, in fact, perhaps be a cost savings because of the offset against the um, Public Aid funding that would not that will not have to be spent to have someone in a nursing home. There was initially some cost

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

that we were concerned that we would be out of compliance with the Medicaid waiver. The Amendment that was added yesterday has solved that problem and now it will either be revenue neutral or actually save the state money."

- McGann: "How can it be revenue neutral? Aren't you including the financial protection of the spouse?"
- Schakowsky: "That is correct, because it would save money by treating people at home, rather than putting them in a nursing home."
- McGann: "Thank you, Representative."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Sponsor is corrected. The Department of Public Aid now supports the legislation. It will not cost any additional funds and we rise in support of the Bill. It's a good Bill...however, it is the Sponsor's first Bill, I believe, so that all Members of the House should certainly be aware of that."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and I apologize for standing twice on this, but I do have some sympathy for the speaker since my first Bill went down to the same plain hers did yesterday. Ah, so I think it's only fair that she receive the appropriate treatment on this Bill since it does appear that she has gone some effort to make for sure that the relevant of departments have agreed. The substance does appear to be satisfactory. That doesn't however, mean that she should escape that which traditionally happens, so we've done our part, we'd ask for those on your side of the aisle to be equal in treatment and good luck."

Speaker Giglio: "Lady from Cook, Representative Schakowsky, to close."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Schakowsky: "You're right, this is my first Bill of today, yes.

 In closing, I want to just say that I forgive those of yesterday who made a serious error but hope that not only in recognition of this being my first Bill that I hope will pass, but because it really is good public policy that we encourage that people get supported for staying in their own homes when they're frail and elderly, rather than discourge that and encourage people to go into nursing homes. This is good public policy. I encourage your support. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 761 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye',opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Shirley Jones, are you seeking recognition? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'present', 0 voting 'no' and House Bill 761, having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Just to congratulate the Sponsor and also to let her know that the one reason that we wanted this one to pass is that we thought she'd try the first Bill on some of her others, and we'd be in real trouble on those."
- Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, let the record show that
 Representative Robert Olson would like to be recorded as
 voting 'aye' on that last Bill. Representative Currie on
 House Bill 489. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 489, a Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home Care Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 489 incorporates what were four separate Bills introduced

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

at the suggestion of Illinois Citizens for Better Care which is the statewide advocacy group for residents of Illinois nursing homes. The four Bills together make what are primarily technical changes in the Nursing Home Care Act. One change would deal with the kind of emergency conditions that are relevant for receivership; a second change would make the improper discharge or transfer of a resident a type-B violation; a third would require the facilities to make reasonable efforts to insure individual's private property is not subject to loss and theft; and, finally the...fourth provision would ask Office of the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman to be sure that all residents receive a copy of their basic rights. There is one at the point of which they are admitted to the facility; there is one, a technical change, that may ultimately need to be made..."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Representative Currie, can you speak
a little louder? Representative Black can't seem to hear
what you're saying. George, tune it up a little bit."

Currie: "One technical problem that we've been working with the Department of Public Health to resolve and I've been waiting some time for their suggested language change, I know that I agree to the concept that they're pressing and so does ICBC, but we haven't yet received the language and the Department of Public Health agrees with me that this Bill can be changed when the language is available in the Senate. So I'd be happy to answer your questions and would appreciate your support for House Bill 489."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think the

Sponsor answered my question, but let me just put it on the

record, if I might. Representative, it is your intent then

to work with the Department of Public Health on an

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Amendment in the Senate, is that correct?"

Currie: "That's exactly right, and, as I say, I believe there's general agreement between the advocacy group whose suggested Bill this is and the Department on what that change should be."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 489 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no', the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 109 voting 'yes', 1 voting and 0 voting 'present', and House Bill 489, having received required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared Representative Satterthwaite votes 'aye', passed. Clerk. Record Representative Satterthwaite and Representative Ackerman as voting 'aye', Mr. Clerk. Let the record indicate that Representative Satterthwaite and Representative Ackerman wish to vote 'aye' on this Bill. On page 47 of the Calendar on Consent. Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bills."

Clerk Leone: "Consent Calendar. Third Reading. House Bill 135, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Bill. House Bill 162, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 169, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain tax collected from state. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 299, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 367, a Bill for an Act to amend the Cemetery Care Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 407, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Officer Prohibited Activities Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 420, a Bill Act to amend an Act concerning local sharing. Third

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Reading of the Bill. House Bill 439, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Lottery Law. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 460, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 480. for an Act to amend the Firearm Owners ID card. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 500, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 610, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 670, Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 673, a Bill for an Act to the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 778, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 818, a Bill Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 914, a Bill for an Act amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 922, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 943, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 945, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 988, a Bill for an Act to amend the Transient Merchant Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1025, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1026, a Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1073, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code. Third Reading House Bill 1074, a Bill for an Act to amend of the Bill. the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1075, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Procedure.

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1076, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Confidentiality Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1092 has been removed from the Consent House Bill 1099, a Bill for an Act to amend the Calendar. Clinical Social Worker Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1101, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substances Act. Third Reading of the House Bill 1106 has been removed from the Consent Calendar. House Bill 1123, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1225, for an Act to amend the Counties Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1235, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. 1238. a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1254, a Bill for an Act to amend the Counties Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1256, a Bill for an Act to amend the Special Service Area Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 1296, a Bill for an Act to repeal the Illinois Air Third Reading of the Bill. Carrier's Act. Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1340. a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1353, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the House Bill 1376, a Bill for an Act to amend the Truth in Taxation Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1380, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1381, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Identification Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1403, a Bill for an Act to amend the Credit Card Issuance Act. Third Reading of

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

House Bill 1430, a Bill for an Act to repeal the Illinois Bull Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1453, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1499, a for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1589, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the House Bill 1601, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House 1632, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bills, 1659 and 1664 have been removed from the Consent Calendar. House Bill 1668, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1675, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1676, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. Continuing with page 3 of the Consent Calendar. House Bill 1687, a Bill for an Act amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1688 has been removed from the Consent Calendar. House Bill 1698, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1713, a Bill for an Act to amend the Elder Abuse and Neglect Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1751. a Bill for an Act concerning low-income consumers. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1752, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1764, a Bill for an Act to amend the Infant Mortality Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill Reduction Act. 1815, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1819, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporters Act.

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1828, a Bill for an Act to amend the Poultry Inspection Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1832 has been removed from the House Bill 1838, a Bill for an Act to Consent Calendar. amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of Bill. House Bill 1855, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1857 has been removed from the Consent Calendar. House Bill 1860, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Library System Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1889, a Bill for an Act to create the Dating Referral Services Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1925, Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Alcoholism and Other Drug Dependancy Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1934, a Bill for an Act to amend the Hearing Aid Consumer Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2014, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Third Reading of the Bill. Continuing on to the next page. House Bill 331, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Identification Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 515, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Police Training Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 1159, a Bill for an Act to amend Civil Administrative Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1218, a Bill for an Act to amend the Family Practices Residency Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1286 has been removed from the Consent Calendar. House Bill 1415, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1418, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of Bill. House Bill 1750, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 1856, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Criminal

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill Laboratory Act. 2015, a Bill for an Act to amend the Interest Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2021, a Bill for an Act to amend the Radiation Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2031 has been removed from the Consent House Bill 2038, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Victims Compensation Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2063, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2075, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2085, a Bill for an Act in relationship to rights of public employees. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2096, a Bill for Act in relationship to insurance. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2128, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Fraudulent Home Repair. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2134, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2137, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2305, has been removed from the Consent Calendar. House Bill 2306, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2352, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2355 has been removed from the Consent Calendar. House Bill 2366, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of House Bill 2380, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bill. Illinois Drainage Code. Third Reading of the Bill. 2413, a Bill for an Act to amend the Act concerning intervenor liability. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 2431, a Bill for an Act to amend the Developmental Disabilities Code, Mental Health and Developmental

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Disabilities Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Going on to the next page of the Consent Calendar. House Bill 2433, a Bill for an Act to create the 1991 Revisory Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2434, a Bill for an Act to create the 1991 Revisory Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2435, a Bill for an Act concerning the codification of revision of the laws. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2436, a Bill for an Act concerning the codification and revision of the laws. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2437, a Bill for an Act to revise the Uniform Commercial Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2438, a Bill for an Act to codify the law in relationship to state commemorative dates. Third Reading the Bill. House Bill 2439, a Bill for an Act codifying the laws in relationship to state designations. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2440, a Bill for an Act to revise the law, revise the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2441, a Bill for an Act to revise the Election Law. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 2448, a Bill for an Act to amend the Deposit and State Moneys Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2456, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to permit warrants. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2476, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the House Bill 2493, 94, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bill. Condominium Property Act. Third Reading of the Bill. 2495, a Bill for an Act to amend the Counties House Bill Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2521, a Bill for an Act to amend the Act in relationship to DUI. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2527, a Bill for an Act to amend the Home Repair Fraud Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2556, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2557,

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2558, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning public colleges. Third Reading of the Bill and House Bill 2591 has been removed from the Consent Calendar."

- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall these Bills pass?' And on that question the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak."
- Novak: "Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair. I believe this is about the third Consent Calendar we've acted on this Session, and are we deviating from a past practice that Members have always had a chance to sign off on Bills before we'd adopt a Consent Calendar?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Are you thinking of the Agreed Bill list,
 Representative Novak?"
- Novak: "Well, maybe I, I've just been advised, yes. That's the Agreed Bill List. Okay."

Speaker Giglio: "This is the Consent Calendar."

Novak: "That's alright. Just checking."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hultgren."

- Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the Clerk read the list there were several Bills removed. I had noted four, but I'm wondering if the Clerk could mention again just the Bills that have been removed from the Calendar."
- Clerk Leone: "The Bills that have been removed from the Consent Calendar appearing on page 49 of the Calendar, 1092 and 1106. Appearing on page 50 of the Calendar, House Bill 1659, 1664, 1688 and 1698. Correction, 1698 has not been removed from the Consent Calendar. On page 51, House Bill 1832 and 1857. On page 52, House Bills 1286 and 2031. On page 53 of the Calendar, House Bill 2305 and 2355, and on page 54 of the Calendar, House Bill 2591."

Hultgren: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Mr. Clerk."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1664, the objection has been removed, and I would ask that it be placed back on the Consent Calendar."
- Speaker Giglio: "We'll put it on another Consent Calendar. Mr.

 Clerk, take notice. Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Representative Parke is correct. I remove my objection to that Bill being on the Consent Calendar. I would like it to be restored. I would also ask that my objection to the Consent Calendar on House Bill 2355 be removed. It's Representative McGann's Bill, and that that Bill be restored to the Consent Calendar."
- Speaker Giglio: "When we, when we accept, the House accepts this

 Consent Calendar, we will go back and, and rest...You put
 'em back on? Are there any others? Does anybody else,
 before we approve the Consent Calendar? Alright, does the
 wishes of the Body to, does the Gentleman have leave to
 restore these Bills to the Consent Calendar? Mr. Clerk,
 read the Bills."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1664 has been added to the Consent Calendar, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code.

 Third Reading of the Bill. And House Bill 2355 has been added to the Consent Calendar, a Bill for an Act concerning the recovery of community investments. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Leave is granted by the use of the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing none, leave is granted, and these Bills have been restored to the Consent Calendar. Is there further discussion? Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1664, I was one of the objectors to the appearance of that Bill on the Consent Calendar, and I did not lift my objection to it's appearance on the Consent Calendar, neither do I believe

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

did the other signatories to that Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "...6, House Bill 1664 will be removed from the Consent Calendar. The question is, 'Shall these...'

Representative Hasara."

Hasara: "Mr. Speaker, I know this is taking up time. Most people on our side of the aisle did not get all the Bills that were taken off. Could we please have those read again?

Thanks, Tony."

Clerk Leone: "On page 49 of the Calendar, House Bill offered by Representative Capparelli and 1106 by the House Committee on Mental Health have been removed from the Consent Calendar. On page 50 of the Calendar House Bill 1659, offered by Representative Noland; 1664, offered by Representative Parke; and 1688, offered by Virginia Frederick, has been removed from the Consent Calendar. page 51 of the Calendar, House Bill 1832, offered by Representative Cowlishaw, and 1857, offered by Representative Ryder, have been removed from the Consent Calendar. On page 52 of the Calendar, House Bill 1286, offered by Representative Ronan; 2031, offered by Representative Munizzi, have been removed from the Consent page 53 of the Calendar, House Bill 2305, offered by Representative Jay Hoffman, has been removed from the Consent Calendar, and on page 54, House Bill 2591, offered by Representative Mautino, has been removed from the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hasara."

Hasara: "...much. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Are we ready? Question is, 'Shall these Bills pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The vote is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr...Wooo...Representative

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Churchill. Representative Homer."

Homer: "Have, have a question for the Chair. Question for the Chair."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Homer: "Procedural question. I know that there is no opportunity on these Bills to vote other than with this Roll Call. Is that correct? Members are not given an opportunity to put in separate votes on individual Bills?"

Speaker Giglio: "That's correct."

Homer: "Alright. What is, what is it that triggers that rule later in the Session?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer, you may, you may be thinking about the Agreed Bill list."

Homer: "Alright. So that once we have an Agreed Bill list, it's not a Consent Calendar, and therefore, Members have so much time to, to vote differently, is that the situation?"

Speaker Giglio: "That's correct."

Homer: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted? Mr. Clerk, take the record. this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and one voting 'present', and these Bills received the required Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. Back to State and Local Government on the Appears House Order of Second Reading. Bill 2039, Representative Bugielski? Buqielski. Representative Bugielski, 2039, Second Reading, State and Local Government. Did you want those two Bills called? State and Local Government. Nursing Home? Rate Board? Pardon? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 2039."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2039, a Bill for an Act concerning reimbursement rates. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. House Bill 2040. Read the Bill,

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2040, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee or Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Representative Laurino on 2165.

 Representative Laurino."
 - Laurino: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. At this time I'd like to move that Amendment #1 be tabled."
 - Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #1 be tabled. Amendment #1 is
 tabled."
 - Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2165, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning adult education. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 has now been tabled. Floor, Floor Amendment #2..."
 - Laurino: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 replaces
 Amendment #1. Essentially it's the same Amendment. It
 deals with criteria on funding of adult education classes
 with nonprofit and public agencies, and it brings them into
 conformity with the federal grants. Federal law, and I
 move for the adoption of Amendment #2."
 - Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Gentleman's Motion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
 - Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
 - Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
 - Black: "Amendment #2 would appear to open direct funding access to private nonprofit agencies. Can you, can you give me an example of, of a private nonprofit agency?"
 - Laurino: "The Red Cross, YMCA. Those are a couple of examples."
 - Black: "Okay. What, you, it's not your intent then that it be opened up for any proprietary institution, correct?"
 - Laurino: "No, not at all.
 - Black: "Alright."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Laurino: "Not at all. That's what we're trying to avoid, and this follows the exact language of the federal law."

Black: "Okay, is, to your knowledge is a, has a community college board contacted you at all about this Amendment?"

Laurino: "No, not at all."

Black: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Laurino, I don't find a copy, but this is language that has been provided by the State Board of Education?"

Laurino: "Correct."

Satterthwaite: "That follows the federal language?"

Laurino: "Exactly."

Satterthwaite: "Thank you very much. I rise in support of the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Representative Laurino, I understand you've also cleared this with the adult education community, is that correct?"

Laurino: "Yes."

Matijevich: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? All those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying, 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. We are now going to the Order of Constitutional Officers, Second Reading. Those who wish to have their Bills heard, Novak, Novak, Preston, Matijevich,

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Curran, Williams, McAfee, Saltsman, Lou Jones, Keane, Williams, and Steczo. Constitutional Officers, Second Reading, and on that Order appears House Bill 2, Representative Novak. Out of the record. House Bill 915, Representative Preston. Constitutional Officers, 915. Do you wish to have this Bill called? Representative Preston, do you wish to have this Bill called?

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, there was an Amendment #1 filed on this.

Does the Clerk have that? Has that been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Giglio: "Has that Amendment been distributed? 915. No, the Amendment has not been distributed."

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, could we come back to this after it's been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Giglio: "Yes."

Preston: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich on House Bill 967.

Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 967, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning membership of State Treasurer."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."

Clerk Leone: "Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representatives Wennlund and Black."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black on Amendment #2 to House Bill 967. Representative Laurino in the Chair."

Black: "...may proceed, Mr. Speaker. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 to House Bill 967 would also add the Director of the Bureau of the Budget and the Executive

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Director of the Economic and Fiscal Commission as ex officio members of a number of financial boards and, and commissions. I would ask your favorable consideration of Amendment #2."

Speaker Laurino: "Any discussion on the Amendment?

Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Sponsor of the Bill I would oppose Amendment #2. I understand it may not be in, in order, but I, I would oppose the Amendment. The Bill would place the ex officio, the State Treasurer, on these boards. I don't feel it's necessary to place these appointed officials as the Amendment #2 would require. So I would ask that the Members oppose Amendment #2."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Black."

Black: "Yeah. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'll just close by, by saying it's certainly the Sponsor's prerogative to object to Amendment #2. I would simply remind the Members in this chamber that if it's suddenly of, of great necessity for Treasurer Quinn to be appointed to every board and commission and financial commission that we can think of, then it only makes good sense to have the Director of the Bureau of the Budget and the Executive Director of Economic and Fiscal Commission also added as ex officio members. I can't imagine why anyone would object to that, and again urge your consideration of Amendment 2."

Laurino: "Further Speaker discussion? Seeing none, Representative Matijevich moves for the adoption of...Representative Wennlund moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 967. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'nay'. The 'nays' have it. Amendment fails. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Parcells."

- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Black, for what reason do you rise?"
- Black: "Mr. Speaker, I...I would request a Roll Call or I will ask that the Chair be overruled. I think that vote was a little too close on a voice vote.
- Speaker Laurino: "Okay, we'll proceed with the Roll Call. All those..."

Black: "Thank you."

- Speaker Laurino: "All those in favor of Amendment #2 will vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no', 'nay' on Amendment #2 to House Bill 967. Board is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Amendment having received 40 'ayes', 69 'nays', and 0 voting 'present', fails. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Parcells."
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this puts the Toll Road Authority into the list of authorities that the Treasurer would be an ex officio officer on. already on the Illinois Farm Development Authority, Illinois Development Finance Authority, Illinois Housing Development Authority, Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Capital and Illinois Education Facilities Development Board, Authority, the Toll Road Authority is certainly...one that should be included because of the purpose of this is for the Treasurer to be the ex officio officer, member of the board on those authorities that issue revenue bonds, and the Toll Road Authority issues so many bonds that I think it would be appropriate for him to be on that board also,

44th Legislative Day

- May 3, 1991
- and I would ask for your favorable Roll Call on this Amendment #3."
- Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 I...I might agree with the Sponsor except that when the
 Bill was in committee, I made a commitment to the Committee
 and Representative Ronan who presented the Amendment, that
 I would accept the Amendment and all agreed that, that we
 should leave the Bill as it left the Committee. So I would
 oppose the Motion to adopt this Amendment."
- Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none,
 Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "I just wanted to close, Sir. I think that this is very proper for us. There have been many of us who have worried about the bonding authority of the Toll Road Authority, and I think it certainly couldn't hurt to have the Treasurer as the member of that board also, and I think if you think about it, you'll realize that that is an excellent place for him to be on the board, and I would ask for your favorable Roll Call, and I'd a, a Roll Call vote on this. Thank you."
- Speaker Laurino: "The Sponsor's asked for a Roll Call on Amendment #3 to House Bill 967. All those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'no'. The vote is open. Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just want to explain my vote. If you vote 'yes', you are voting to put the Treasurer on the Illinois Toll Road Board. No why would you vote 'no'? Why would you vote 'no'? You don't want the Treasurer on the Illinois Toll Road Authority? The biggest bonding agency in the state. I think you're making some real good votes here. You just put him on

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

every board in the state but you don't want him on the Toll
Road Authority. Good vote. I think a green vote's the
right one here, Gentlemen. A green vote's the right one."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Balthis."

Balthis: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker. My light apparently isn't working because I don't seem to be recorded as voting."

Speaker Laurino: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Amendment having received 43 'ayes', 64 'nay', and 1 voting 'present', fails. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. On page 17, House Bill 1309," Representative Curran. Out of the record. Page 19, Repres...House Bill 1515, Representative Curran. Out of the record. On page 19, House Bill 1592, Representative Williams. Out of the record. page 24, House Bill Representative McAfee. Representative McAfee in the chambers? Out of the record. House Bill 2112, Representative Saltsman. Out of the record. House Bill 2118, Representative Lou Jones. Out of the record. on page 26, Representative Keane. Out of the record. House Bill 2523, Representative Williams. the record. House Bill 2531, Representative Steczo. of the record. Chair will now move to Constitutional Officers, Third Reading. On page 30, House Bill 262, Representative McNamara. Out of the record. House 316 on page 31, Representative Homer. Out of the record. There he is. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 316, a Bill for an Act in relationship to statewide grand jury. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative asks leave of the House to have this Bill brought back to Second Reading. Does he have

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

leave? Leave being granted, the Bill will be brought back to Second Reading. Representative Homer."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Homer."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Speaker, I'm sorry, we're, we're gonna have to, I'm gonna have to ask to take the Bill out of the record momentarily.

Sorry about that."

Speaker Laurino: "Out of the record. House Bill 1564,

Representative Preston. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1564, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to charity. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Are there Amendments, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Laurino: "It's on Third Reading, Representative."

Preston: "Oh, I'm, I'm, beg your pardon. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1564 will change the law to require disclosure on the part of people who solicit funds on behalf of charities to register with the Attorney General's Office, to have information on with the Attorney General so that people in the, who may have in the past really amounted to a fraud solicitation of charitable contributions in that many of the solicitors themselves got in some cases up to 90 percent of the dollars that were taken in. The, the person who was good enough to contribute to this so called charity did not know this, and many of these charities picked out names that were deceptively similar to other organizations so they sounded like they were on the up and up, but, in fact, were not. This Bill will require each charity that has the solicitors for there to be a filing on file with the Attorney General's Office to include percentage of the contributions that are paid to the

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

solicitor will be and then what the amount that goes to the charity itself will be, what the purpose of the charity is, what, who the officers and directors of the charity are, and so on. This is a good Bill. I'd be glad to answer any questions, and I solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Preston: "Sure."

Parke: "Representative Preston, last year you had a similar Bill of which most every veterans' group in the state was opposed, adamantly opposed, to this legislation because it restricted their ability to fund raise which, to me, is of utmost importance to my veterans' groups as it is to your veterans groups and all the members. Can you tell us if their concerns have been addressed, and, if not, then I will have to oppose it?"

Preston: "My understanding is, Representative, that their concerns have been addressed. In fact, I think they were addressed in the version that ultimately came before the House last year, but, in this Bill it is my understanding that their concerns have been addressed. There is one other group that still has concerns whose concerns have not yet been addressed. They were supposed to get an Amendment to me. As yet that has not been done. This had to do with one portion of the Bill that precludes people who have been convicted of a felony from serving on the boards of any charities and some of the organizations that are for former convicts have a concern about that, and we are going to address that concern in the Senate."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr...Excuse me, Representative Preston. I appreciate that information. I...I will not be opposed."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Black."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Preston: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. To close on this, this, oh, I'm sorry, Representative Black has a question. I, I beg your pardon."
- Black: "I think that's what he, I think he called on me, but they switched the wrong button."
- Preston: "...busy speaking with the, the Speaker."
- Black: "I think he's talking to the airport, but we won't go into that. Yeah, if the Sponsor would ask, answer just a couple of questions. Let's make sure we're all in agreement here. Sometimes when we're anxious to go home we, we overlook a few things. If you have a professional fund raiser on salary, like an executive director of the United Way, does, does your Bill affect that organization in any way?"
- Preston: "Well, first of all, I believe that organization, I believe the organization under this Bill would have to register, but I think they do that now anyway, with the Attorney General. I, I don't think, I know they do that now anyway with the Attorney General's Office, but this Bill applies, I believe, to paid contract fund raisers, not to in-house fund raisers."
- Black: "Alright. So, it's only those agencies then that would sign a contract with a professional fund raiser to come in and sell ads for a circus book or something of that sort?"

 Preston: "That's correct."

Black: "Okay. Alright. Thank you."

- Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was in the Committee and, and asked you to work with the veterans groups, and the lobbyist just advised us that they have not worked out an agreement with you. Has there been an Amendment adopted on Second Reading?"
- Preston: "There, there has not been, Representative but there is no member of any of those groups who have contacted me

44th Legislative Day

- May 3, 1991
- regarding anything. So, I assume they have written off on it because they have not complained to me about anything concerning this Bill."
- McCracken: "But there was a discussion with the lobbyist that you had regarding used personal goods that are donated?"
- Preston: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear what you said."
- McCracken: "Didn't you have a, a discussion with the lobbyist for the veterans groups regarding used personal goods that would be donated? I mean, hadn't that been raised?"
- Preston: "Frankly, I don't, I don't recall. It may have been,
 but I honestly don't recall."
- McCracken: "Well, I am, I am advised that there still is a problem, and I do recall the Bill from Committee, and at that time I asked the Sponsor to consider working with the veterans groups, and apparently that hasn't happened. I don't..."
- Preston: "I, I would be glad to. I can't track them down. If they, you know, there has been no request, no phone call, no note, nothing. So I assume they have their problems resolved. Otherwise they'll be resolved in the Senate if they still have them."
- McCracken: "Alright. Well, they aren't resolved now, and for that reason I think we should not approve this at this time. As a matter of fact, maybe this is too much to ask. Would you consider taking it out of the record for just a minute? You wouldn't consider that?"
- Preston: "For just a minute? Sure."
- McCracken: "Let me just check and talk to them and..."
- Preston: "I'd be glad to take this out of the record for a moment, if that pleases the speaker, sure."
- McCracken: "Alright. Thank you."
- Speaker Laurino: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Is

 Representative Keane in the chambers? Representative

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Keane. House Bill 1948. Out of the record. House Bill 2417, Representative Keane. Out of the record. We'll proceed to page 41 of your Calendar, Human Services. House Bill 1930, Representative Wyvetter Younge. It was previously brought back to Second Reading. It still is on Second Reading. Representative Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1930."

Speaker Laurino: "Mr. Clerk, this is on Second Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1930 has been previously moved back to Second Reading. Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Amendment #2 would delete the requirement that the Department of Public Aid develop individual resource plans for each family, and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Younge moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1930. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. The Chair proceeds to page 9,
Special Order of Business, Agriculture and Environment.
House Bill 114, Representative Novak. Representative Novak
in the chambers? House Bill 114, Representative. Read the
Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 114, a Bill for an Act to create the Local Hazardous Waste Collection Program. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe there's an Amendment #2 on file, and I'd like to

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

table that if I may."

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2."

- Speaker Laurino: "Withdraw Amendment #2, Representative Novak?"

 Novak: "Yes. And then we just filed Floor Amendment #3 with the

 Clerk's Office, and I, I believe it has not been printed

 and distributed yet. So if it hasn't, I'd like to take it

 out of the record until such time."
- Speaker Laurino: "The Gentleman requests to take the Bill out of the record. So be it. House Bill 204, Representative Jay Hoffman. Out of the record. House Bill 314, Representative Hartke. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 314, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning soil and water. Second Reading of the Bill.

 There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Laurino: "Amendment #1, Representative Hartke."
- Hartke: "Thank you much, very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Floor Amendment #1 is an agreement that has been worked out between the Illinois Home Builders, Soil and Water Conservation, and all other interested concerns, and we feel we have this Bill now where everyone can agree. The individuals as we presented this Bill in committee, had problems with the powers that were to be granted to the organization named area, natural area guardians, but we've taken out some objectionable language, and so I would ask for your support of this legislation."
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Hartke moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 314. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. 'Nays'. Further discussion? Representative Black."
- Black: "Yeah, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. If we can just slow the process down here, I'd just like the Sponsor to yield for a question or two."

Hartke: "I would be more than happy to."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Black: "Thank you. Representative, (sic does) the Farm Bureau support this Amendment?"

Hartke: "Yes."

Black: "Doesn't, doesn't allow for any condemnation or taking of, of property. You know, some of the things we get concerned about in your area and my area. This doesn't permit somebody to come in and declare something a natural area and destroy private property rights?"

Hartke: "It is my understanding that the, the present Act has the, the capability of condemnation and eminent domain. They have never used that. That is not the issue here we're trying to address. This simply is naming the Soil and Water Conservation Districts as natural area guardians. In their original Bill, they had addressed several issues and then, of course, as you read the Bill and you were looking at it, the words eminent domain popped out at you, but that was not added to the law."

Black: "Okay. So we're not expanding any of the eminent domain
 powers or..."

Black: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Stern."

Stern: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Hartke: "Yes."

Stern: "Representative Hartke, I have a note in my 'Bible book'

here which says that the 19th Judicial Circuit is opposed
to this Bill. Can you think of a reason why?"

Hartke: "The 19th..."

Stern: "The, the courts up my way in Lake County."

Hartke: "I wouldn't have the foggiest idea why they would be opposed to this piece of legislation."

44th Legislative Day

- May 3, 1991
- Stern: "Well, since I didn't retain the letter that came with the notation, I can't respond either. But I, I thought..."
- Hartke: "Are you sure it wasn't a, a Soil and Water Conservation

 District in your area?"
- Stern: "No. It says quite clearly 19th Judicial Circuit in my own handwriting, so, I have to assume they contacted me on it."
- Hartke: "Did you write that in the morning or in the afternoon?"
- Stern: "Representative, please. Now the Farm Bureau's for it, the 19th Judicial Circuit's against it. Okay. Thank you, Sir."
- Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none,
 Representative Hartke moves for the adoption of Amendment
 #1 to House Bill 314. All those in favor indicate by
 saying 'aye', 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is
 adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. On the Calendar. House Bill 345, Representative Richmond. Out of the record, Mr. House Bill 580, Representative Schakowsky. Out of the record. House Bill 706, Representative Steczo. Out of the record. House Bill 742, Representative Granberg. of the record. House Bill 11, 1199, Representative Granberg. Out of the record. House Bill 1207. Representative Hartke. Out of the record. House Bill 1449, Representative Peterson. Bill Peterson. Out of the record. House Bill 1741, Representative Hicks. Out of the House Bill 1850, Representative Hicks. record. Out of the record. House Bill 2022, Representative Hensel. House Bill 2250, Representative Kulas. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2250, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Regan."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Regan. Proceed, Representative Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 2250 is originally House Bill 1287 which is accumulation of three months' work and an agreement with the Farm Bureau and the EPA in regards to restricting and tightening up, explaining what the restrictions are on the farm exemption for compost sites. After three months, we've come to an agreement that the farmers are pleased with because at least they know exactly what's admissible now and what's not. In no possible way does anything in this Bill affect the legitimate farmer that wants to use compost for his own farm. It simply is a way to eliminate the people that say they're farmers and they're actually Those people that have to abuse the compost sites. situation will now have to be permitted like any legitimate compost facility. I urge for its adoption."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none,

Representative Regan. Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "No, I have no problem with the Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Representative Novak, you mean?"

Speaker Laurino: "That's what your name is, isn't it?"

Novak: "Oh, okay. This...Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Laurino: "He indicates he will."

Novak: "Yes, Representative Regan, will this Amendment, for the record now, will this Amendment prohibit any more grass going into landfills that we eliminated two years ago?

Does this have anything to do with that?"

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Regan: "This has nothing to do with that, Representative."

Novak: "Could you just explain this in a nut shell to me so I have a clear understanding?"

Regan: "This is the farmers' exemption to permitted compost facilities."

Novak: "Okay."

Regan: "That's all."

Novak: "What does it do?"

Regan: "It causes a setback so that the farmer cannot be too close to homes in populous areas. It has 2 % of the farmers' acreage is all that can be used for compost, and that's very adequate. In fact, 1 % would be adequate to take care of his farm, and that was a Farm Bureau suggestion."

Novak: "Okay."

Regan: "It limits the amount of compost to 20 tons per acre per year. It shortens the time that you can leave compost laying around from 4 years to 18 months. And that's about it."

Novak: "Okay."

Regan: "What it is, it's just trying to keep legitimate farmers, got total right to do their composting for their own premises, but to eliminate what happened in our area, which was a person that took 10 acres and 1 acre of trees planted and put 9 acres of compost, and truckload after truckload came into an area with homes around it. So we're trying to avoid that problem between farmers and residents, and the Farm Bureau is in agreement."

Novak: "Okay. Yeah, I understand, Representative Regan, the problems you have in your area, and I just wanted to be sure. Thank you very much."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

House. I rise in support of the Gentleman's legislation. My district is also affected by this, and it's an issue of great concern, particularly in areas that have both strong agricultural economy with the growth in suburbanization and the growth of rural housing, and this is important legislation that's been agreed to by, as I understand, everyone involved, and it needs to be adopted, and I urge adoption."

- Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none,
 Representative Regan moves for the adoption of Amendment #1
 to House Bill 2250. All those in favor indicate by saying
 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
 Kulas."
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I understand that Floor Amendment #2 and 3 are not printed yet, and I'd like to leave the Bill on Second Reading."
 - Speaker Laurino: "The Gentleman requests the Bill be left on Second Reading. So be it. House Bill 2253, Representative Kulas. Out of the record. House Bill 2254, Representative Kulas. Representative Kulas on House Bill 2254. Out of the record. House Bill 2325, Representative Weller. Out of the record. House Bill 2451, Representative Morrow. Out of the record. House Bill 2473, Representative Kulas. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
 - Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2473, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pollution Abatement Enforcement Act. This Bill's been read a second time previously."
 - Speaker Laurino: "Any Amendments?"
 - Clerk O'Brien: "A fiscal note request has been filed and not yet filed."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Speaker Laurino: "Out of the record. Representative Ropp."

- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a very special announcement. My seatmate is celebrating his, going into the second half century of his lifetime, Sunday, and to our immediate left we have an ice cream cake, and he, we wish him all a happy birthday, and come over and get it before it melts. Thank you."
- Speaker Laurino: "Thank you very much, Representative Ropp.

 Congratulations, Representative Klemm. The Chair will now move to Agriculture and Environment on Third Reading.

 House Bill 53, Representative Balanoff. Read the Bill, Mr.

 Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 53, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Balanoff."

- Balanoff: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 53 would amend the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Act to provide for continued state regulation of what is called the low regulatory concerned radioactive waste that may be deregulated by the Federal Government. Six states have adopted similar legislation already, and this would be to prevent radioactive waste from entering the waste stream unmanifested into landfills, incinerators, recycling streams and the like across the state. I know of no opposition."
- Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none,
 Representative Balanoff moves for the passage of House Bill
 53. All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye'. Those
 opposed vote 'nay'. Board is open. Have all voted who
 wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Kulas,
 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr.
 Clerk. This Bill having received 104 'ayes', 0 voting

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

'nay', 0 voting 'present', having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 167, Representative Novak. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 167, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Bill 167 simply requires the Department of House Agriculture to formulate a marketing plan for the exotic root known as ginseng. Ginseng is grown in various areas in the State of Illinois, and it has association. This is not my first Bill, but it has a statewide association that seeks to promote To give you an example of what the State of development. Wisconsin does, they have a very ambitious international marketing program that their own Department of Natural Resources provides for its growers to the tune of about an million dollar export product, and we have a number of interested farm groups in Illinois that seek to also have the same type of a, a vigorous program to promote ginseng for export activities. It's very, there's a great demand in the Orient. It promotes hair growth, for those of you who might be considering it, and also it's a very stimulating root, and I ask for your support."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Laurino: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "Representative, how does this product grow in Illinois?"

Novak: "Well, two ways, it's grown wild, and it's harvested.

It's grown wild and it's harvested and it's regulated by the Department of Conservation, and it's also grown commercially and cultivated commercially."

Ropp: "Okay, so this is a potential commercial agricultural

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

product. The people that buy it, what do they do with it?
I mean, do you put it..."

Novak: "Excuse me, go ahead, Representative."

Ropp: "I was, what do they do with it?"

Novak: "Pardon me, Gordie."

Ropp: "What do they do with it? Do they eat it, drink it, or put it on...?"

Novak: "It's used, it's used, it's used in foodstuffs. For example, it's used in chewing gum, consumer items, tea and it's used as an, well some people say it's a, a aphrodisiac, but, I don't know, I don't know if it is or not."

Ropp: "So, so what is... I don't understand that word."

Novak: "It's a highly stimulating root."

Ropp: "What, what is the potential market in terms of net dollars?"

Novak: "The potential market, the potential market is vast. The commercially, the commercially cultivated ginseng sells somewhere in the neighborhood of \$200.00 a pound."

Ropp: "Two hundred dollars a pound? Is that, is it the seed?"

Novak: "Yes. No, no that is the ground root."

Ropp: "The ground root. Okay. Then this is actually boiled or how, how is, what do you do with the root then? Do you actually eat the root or grind it up or?"

Novak: "Well, some, some of these roots look a little peculiar and some people might, you know, put them up on their wall or something, you know, as some type of a different..."

Ropp: "How does that help you if you put it up on the wall?"

Novak: "Well, some people might want to do that, but they mostly grind it up and use it in foodstuffs. They use it in soft drinks, chewing gum, cookies, candy, and a number of other items, and some people just take it straight."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, I think what we ought to always look to in

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

agriculture is a way to merchandise and to provide adequate income for Illinois farmers. This looks like an additional kind of a product. I think it has some merit. I think we ought to support it, and try to export or use it domestically as much as we can. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Novak: "Well, I'll think about it but since you're Granberg, I will."

Granberg: "Has it been hard to get this Bill up to Third Reading?"

Novak: "Representative, I have had no problems getting this Bill 'up' to Third Reading. Now you may have had in your childhood and your adolescence but I have had no problems, and I would join my other colleagues who, especially those who are male colleagues, to be concerned about this."

Granberg: "Representative, is it true that one of the big proponents of this legislation is Representative McPike?"

Novak: "Absolutely".

Granberg "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Matijevich in the Chair."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm sure that we can have a lot of fun with this Bill, however, I would simply like to indicate that there are several regions within the district that I'm lucky enough to represent in which this is truly a cash crop. People who take the production and marketing of this product very seriously, people who have brought to my attention the fact that this is one of the farm products that has a great potential for exportation primarily to China, in fact the, the American ginseng in China is thought to be a specialty and a priority item, although we can have a lot of fun discussing this issue, this product,

44th Legislative Day

- May 3, 1991
- the marketing of it, is indeed a very serious effort and one that I happen to support, and I thank you for the opportunity."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Just a brief question of the Sponsor. You mentioned two main uses of this ginseng root. One was to promote hair growth and the other was more physically oriented. Representative Granberg indicated before that, that your interests and your Sponsorship of this Bill is more towards the hair growth portion. Does that mean that Representative Black's interest is more along the other, the other avenue?"
- Novak: "Well, it could be. It's highly speculative,

 Representative Weaver, but I think you might have to ask

 Representative Black."
- Weaver: "Well, I understand that you both have collaborated very closely on this Bill, and I just wondered which direction we're going here."
- Novak: "Well, in closing, I'd just like to say it's, it, this is an alternative agricultural crop, and as Representative Ryder indicated, there a number of people in Illinois that take an active part in promoting this product, and I think the Department of Agriculture is willing to take an aggressive marketing program that won't cost the state a dime, more, we won't have to add any new staff, we won't, we won't have to look for an appropriation, and I'd like to ask you for your support. Thank you."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you. I just have a question for the Sponsor on the Bill, Representative Novak."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Olson: "Representative Novak, I have a quick question."

Novak: "Yes, certainly."

Olson: "In, in your view would, would passage of this Bill serve

everyone better than a licensure of hypnotist?"

Novak: "I think it would. I really think it would."

Olson: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Novak, do you want to close?"

Novak: "I simply ask for affirmative support of House Bill 167."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Novak has moved for the passage of House Bill 167. Those in favor signify voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 105 'ayes', 1 'no', 1 answering 'present'. House Bill 167 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 241, Representative Ropp. Is Representative Ropp ready? No, out of the record. Representative Hartke on 506. It looks like he's ready. Read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 506. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Hartke."
- Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

 This would develop the Agriculture Heritage Award Program which would give an opportunity to individuals who have contributed to agriculture to submit their names to be recognized for an awards program in the State of Illinois. We have what's known as a Centennial Farm Program and other awards, recognitions programs, home town awards, and this program would recognize those individuals who have made a contribution to agriculture in the State of Illinois."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hartke has moved for the passage of House Bill 506. On that the Gentleman from

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't have anything wrong with attempting to recognize people involved in agriculture. I think that's a very commendable kind of effort. The thing that causes me some alarm is that to be recognized by this kind of effort you have to pay or contribute money to this fund that operates the program. I suppose that's an effort in order to get the program passed, as it's a new program and a way of funding, and I can understand that, but to me there is some kind of humbleness about what ought to go with being recognized for those who have contributed much to agriculture. I just think it's kind of a bad situation to have a program that recognizes outstanding people but you have to pay for your own award."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Curran. Changes his mind. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 506 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 2 answering 'no', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 620, Representative Richmond. Out of the record. House Bill 815, Representative Hartke. Read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 815, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Hartke."
- Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

 House Bill 815 addresses a problem that has been discovered in the Illinois Vehicle Code. At the present time state law requires that, that tow truck, truck operators clean up the debris on the highways after an accident. Well, if you

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

stop and think about it a little bit, when an accident happens, with the vehicle that has toxic or hazardous or infectuous material in that vehicle, I don't think any of us would want the tow truck operators to sweep the stuff off the road or pick it up and, and put it in the back of their, their truck, and put it into the nearest dumpster. So what this Bill does, it exempts them from cleaning up hazardous materials off the highway and puts that responsibility onto someone else's shoulders."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hartke has moved for the passage of House Bill 815. On that the Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Hartke: "Absolutely."

Ropp: "This is an attempt, I think, to allow someone who has the expertise to deal with that rather than to the individual that's moving the physical vehicle out of the way so..."

Hartke: "Well, that's absolutely true. You know, if an accident does happen on the highway and there's glass all over and the tow truck operators are, are trained in sweeping this off and hooking to the vehicle, getting it upright and so forth, but they do not have the expertise in cleaning up the hazardous materials or spills that may be out there on the highway. Maybe, maybe not. Depends upon what type of accident it is, of course. They shouldn't be allowed to handle this hazardous material because they could probably spread it worse than if they left it right there and the proper individuals were, were called to the scene to do that cleanup."

Ropp: "Is a provision in this particular Bill that establishes the procedure for them to be called so that they actually come and help clean that up?"

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Hartke: "No, it's my understanding right now, Representative Ropp, that the State Police are, are trained to be first response individuals on the scene and can make that determination on who to call, whether it be the local health department or the EPA or, or what type of a group to, to do the cleanup of that material. Not all accidents would have hazardous material, but just in case there is, the tow truck operators by, by statute now are, are supposed to clean up that debris, and I don't think that's proper and neither do the tow truck operators."
- Ropp: "Okay. I think this is a good proposal. It establishes
 the State Police, the ones that are the initiating agency
 that makes the proper call in order to get the people who
 are well trained to come and take care of it. I support
 it."
- Hartke: "Thank you very much for your support, Representative Ropp."
- Speaker Matijevich: "There being no further debate, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 815 pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question there are 108 'ayes', no 'nays', record. and House Bill 815 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 957, Representative Giglio. I don't see him right...Out of the record. House Bill 1013, John Dunn. Are you ready? House Bill 1013. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1013, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Clean Indoor Air Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."
- Dunn: "House Bill 1013 is a, a cleanup piece of legislation involving the Clean Indoor Air Act which further defines

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

the, the rights guaranteed under the Illinois Constitution.

I would ask for an affirmative vote."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Dunn has moved for the passage of House Bill 1013. Is there anybody wishing to discuss? Seeing none, the question is 'Shall House Bill 1013 pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk, the Clerk will take the record. this question there are 87 'ayes', 2 'nays', 19 answering 'present'. House Bill 1013 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1135, Representative Satterthwaite. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1135, a Bill for an Act to amend the Meat and Poultry Inspection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill seeks to establish that religious groups will be able to get certain exemptions or be able to work with the Department of Agriculture to arrange for any kind of procedures that are necessary for them to fulfill their religious rights and still remain within the law in the State of Illinois, and I would urge the passage of the Bill."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Satterthwaite has moved for the passage of House Bill 1135. On that, on that, the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield?"

 Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."
- Brunsvold: "Representative, we talked in Committee about the

 Department of Agriculture working with you to come up with

 some agreement on how this Bill would proceed or how the

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

procedures would go. Have you come to an agreement with Agriculture on this?"

- Satterthwaite: "Well, I know that the, the Department has made some overtures to some of my constituents who were concerned. I'm not sure how far they have come in terms of actually reaching an agreement. There is some procedure by which they might be able to lease a, an abandoned facility and be able to still abide by some inspection procedures but not to be a fully licensed slaughtering plant, and so those negotiations may work out. I would just prefer to keep the Bill moving along, and if we find it's not necessary, we would abandon it later."
- Brunsvold: "Have they discussed any of the, the problems with scrapie? Is that, is that the term that sheep have that could be a problem with, with killing sheep off of a...an inspected facility?"
- Satterthwaite: "Well, what I'm saying is that one of the alternatives is that they may be able to lease a facility that has been closed and still have sanitary inspections done, but they would not have to follow all of the regulations that a commercial slaughtering plant would have. We may be able to work it out, as I say, but I think even if this particular issue is worked out that just making sure that the Department has to work with religious groups to meet their needs is still a valid portion of our statutes."

Brunsvold: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "This Bill actually is on Short Debate. Is anybody in opposition that, which is, which is attention?

The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Will the Representative yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

Olson: "Representative Satterthwaite, your, I understand your

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

concerns and, and the, the problems of certain religions in slaughtering animals, but you're wanting to keep this moving along. What if the Department of Agriculture does not come up with a program that you feel acceptable? Is there any chance that this Bill then will die?"

- Satterthwaite: "Well, I can't guarantee that the Bill will not die anyway. All I am seeking to do is to give assurance that the Department will work with religious groups in order to make some reasonable accommodations. I believe that the Department is working in that direction in terms of this particular group and their needs, and I'm hopeful that we can find a resolution. I don't see, however, that the Bill is one that would be out of line, even if this particular solution is arrived at."
- Olson: "Is there any provision currently in law that will allow an urban or a rural resident to slaughter a meat animal on his own premises?"
- Satterthwaite: "There are particular rules relating to farmers slaughtering their own animals and using them for the use of their own family or guests, and I was also told by people from the Department that people could purchase an animal from a farmer and take it to their own property and slaughter it there. However, that instance triggers problems with municipal regulations frequently, and so while it might meet state standards, it might not meet municipal standards."
- Olson: "If this animal were a sheep, I, I seem to recall that there are laws that you cannot, the residue of this butchering, the intestines, the, whatever the word is, how, how would you dispose of it under...? You can't bury it. How, how are you going to get rid of that material?"
- Satterthwaite: "Well, the Department told me that, in fact, you could bury it on your own property if it had at least 18

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

inches of dirt covering it. However, I'm not sure that that is a practical route for some of the people involved, and so I would assume that whatever is worked out between the Department and these groups would have to comply with state law."

Olson: "To the Bill, Mr...."

Satterthwaite: "We're not really asking that we waive all state laws. All we're asking is that there be some accommodation made so that people can obey both their religious customs and also be state law abiding citizens."

Olson: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Olson: "Fellow Members. Representative Satterthwaite is to complimented, complimented on her initiative here because there is a problem. But unless this can be worked out with the Department of Agriculture and the regulatory action agencies involved, we are going to make an exception here for certain religious groups that really is going to them in conflict with the law. I feel that this Bill should not move on, at least at this hour, when we've got several more weeks of Third Readings. I feel something has to be done of a meeting of the minds here regulations will not be violated and we jeopardize certain people getting in, in opposite corners of the law. I suggest that this Bill be held for, for a while or that we vote 'no'. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield?

Representative, who are the proponents of this particular legislation?"

Satterthwaite: "Well, let me indicate what the circumstance is.

There are a number of people of the Islamic faith in my

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

legislative district who have found that it is difficult for them to do the slaughtering that is required as a part of their religious observations, and they are seeking a way in which they can obey both those religious requirements and also the requirements of the state in regard to the slaughter of animals. They have agreed that they don't mind being inspected. They just want to be able to figure out a way in which they can comply. My impression is of the larger metropolitan areas, there slaughter houses that would meet their religious conditions where they could go. Unfortunately in some of downstate areas those slaughter houses do not exist or where they exist they slaughter swine, for instance, they cannot do their slaughtering in the same slaughter house. So, we are working with the Department. We are to find some way of accommodating their religious trying needs and still obey all of the state and federal requirements, and I am simply, by this law, essentially reiterating that the Department should, should provide a mechanism for them to obey both their religious and state and federal laws."

- Lang: "What would the effect of this legislation be on the processing and slaughtering of kosher meat?"
- Satterthwaite: "Well, if there were not already accommodations for kosher practices, then this would help to assure that those regulations existed, too."
- Lang: "And can you tell me what the differences might be between the, the kosher practices of slaughtering as opposed to what the Muslims are attempting to do or what they need to do?"
- Satterthwaite: "I'm afraid I cannot delineate to you with specificity what the differences would be. I believe, in fact, that in the areas where there are kosher slaughter

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

houses available, those facilities may also be able to accommodate the needs of the Islamic faith."

Lang: "Let me tell you my concern. The Bill says the Director shall be responsible for establishing regulations allowing members of any religious faith to slaughter animals or poultry using a humane method. I don't know that those who are interested in, in, who keep kosher, who only eat kosher meat, I don't know that they want to leave it up to the Director of the Department to determine what a method is and what proper practices are. I think that in your effort, which is a good effort, to help these people, you're opening up a situation where you're creating a vagueness in the law that can drastically affect the processing of kosher food, and until that vaqueness is cleared up to my satisfaction, I'm going to have to vote 'present', and I would ask all Members to do so, or I would ask you to take the Bill out of the record."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, let us take this Bill out of the record at this time."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Bill is out of the record. Take the Bill out. House Bill 1137, Representative Wennlund, the Gentleman...Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1137, a Bill for an Act to amend the Energy Assistance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill amends the Energy Assistance Act of 1989 and requires that the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs require local agencies to use recycled cellulous insulation for the home weatherization projects. There have been about 8,000 houses in Illinois participate in

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

this weatherization program and what they've been doing is, is using only virgin rock wall and fiberglass It's important that Illinois create new markets recycled newsprint, and this is an ideal way to do it. Ιt would require that at least 10 % of the state's weatherization funds disbursed to local agencies be for the purpose of, the purchase of recycled cellulous insulation, and it creates some exemptions so that in the event, currently both are at the same price. In the event that it recycled cellulous would cost more, it would exempt In the event it can't be found, it would also exempt them, but I'm here to tell you that there are plenty of piles and warehouses throughout Illinois of unused newspapers that have nowhere to go because the markets aren't there to go. It will have no fiscal impact on the state and no impact on any local agency. I urge its passage. Thank you."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Wennlund has moved for passage of House Bill 1137. The Bill is on Short Debate. Does anyone stand in opposition? Seeing none, those signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Take record. On this Bill there are 108 'ayes', no 'nays', and House Bill 1137, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1448, Representative Doederlein. Read the Bill. No, out of the record. House Bill 1464, Myron Olson. Ready, Myron? the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1464, a Bill for an Act to amend the .Illinois Pesticide Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Myron Olson on House Bill 1464."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

House. We are amending the Illinois Pesticides Act here to increase penalties for failure to renew pesticide We are also allowing registration. the Department of Agriculture to authorize application of contaminated water at agronomic rates of concentration. At the present time we can only do that application with soil. This is a Bill which was amended in committee. There are no opponents to the Bill, and it's supported by the Department Agriculture. I would move for passage of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Olson has moved for the passage of House Bill 1464. The Bill's on Short Debate.

Does anybody wish to stand in opposition? Seeing none, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', no 'nays', and House Bill 1464, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Satterthwaite in the Chair."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "For what reason does Representative Stern arise?"

Stern: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, because I live so far from here, I rarely have the opportunity to take up your time with introductions, but I have with me today the Girl Scout Troop #270 from Glencoe which includes my daughter Adrianne. They're on the Democratic side up in the gallery. I ask you to say 'hello'. Thank you. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "And there are some on the floor as well."
Stern: "Correct. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Back to the Order of Agriculture and Environment. Third Reading. House Bill 1475, Representative Black."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1475, a Bill for an Act to amend the

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Illinois Solid Waste Management Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker and Ladies Gentlemen of the House. I'm joined in sponsorship of this Bill by several Members on both sides of the aisle. I know of no opposition. It creates an advisory task force on developing markets for recyclable materials. Ladies and Gentlemen, if we are to really promote recycling in state, it is important that we make recycling economically prudent. We think by establishing this task force with some of the key agencies as members, that we can perhaps bring this about. As I said, I'll answer any that you have. I know of no opposition. It has broad bipartisan support, and I would urge your favorable consideration of House Bill 1475 as amended."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Does anyone stand in opposition? Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall Bill 1475 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', none voting Bill voting 'present'. having received a The Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We'll go back for Representative Matijevich to House Bill 1441." Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1441, a Bill for an Act to repeal the Groundhog Bounties Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Shortly after the Session started, I received a call from a woman who said that, would I Sponsor a Bill that would repeal the bounties on groundhogs, and I said, 'You mean we

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

have a state law that places a bounty on groundhogs?', and she said, 'Yes, it's a 1909 law,' I believe, and I said, 'Well, I don't know if anybody could be against that,' so I did introduce the Bill. In fact, I've got about as much publicity on this as on anything I've done, and House 1441 would repeal that law. It doesn't mean that if you are a farmer and you think groundhogs are a pest to your farm or if you live in an urban area and you think that groundhogs are pests, that you can't do something about it. but all it says is that the state policy shall incentive, that we allow counties to put up a bounty for groundhogs. I don't know of any opposition to it, would appreciate your support. I might add that in 1968, I believe, we repealed the bounty on wolves, and on (sic in) 1973 we repealed the bounty on crows. The only thing we have left is groundhogs, so we ought to repeal that one, too."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Matijevich: "Yes, Bill."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, will this Bill in any way endanger Groundhog Day?"

Matijevich: "I hope not. That's the only reliable weatherman we have."

Black: "Well, that was my concern. On a more serious vein, how many groundhogs do we have in Illinois?"

Matijevich: "I don't..."

Black: "It's my understanding that the census may have been undercounted in this area."

Matijevich: "I don't know, but if they redistrict, I don't want them in my district."

Black: "Well, let me, on a more serious vein, read into the

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

record, what you're doing here is simply doing away with the bounty on this particular animal. You are not in any way prohibiting someone from trapping, hunting or shooting a groundhog that has been adjudicated a pest or pesky animal on the farm or around a business, et cetera?"

Matijevich: "That's correct."

Black: "Well, in that case, I think other than the wildlife preserve for Groundhog Day, you might have a good Bill here."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ackerman."

Ackerman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Bill. If you've ever had a horse who has broken a leg in a groundhog hole, or raised soybeans and had many acres wiped out by groundhogs, you would like to see the bounty increased rather than done away with. I think we should leave it up to the local people in the counties as to whether they want to set a bounty on groundhogs or not, and I oppose the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield, please? John, have we outlawed the bounty on pigeons?"

Matijevich: "I didn't relieve, what's that about pigeons, Mel?"
Novak: "Have we repealed the bounty on pigeons?"

Matijevich: "No, that's another Bill you're talking about.

That's the pigeon shoot Bill. I've even got more publicity on that one."

Novak: "Well, I think this is a good Bill. I support it wholeheartedly, John."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Matijevich: "Sure."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Woolard: "Representative, I represent a rural area and my family farms, and groundhogs are a problem in our area. How many groundhog bounties were given out last year?"
- Matijevich: "I don't know. Probably none. It's up to the County Board, they've written to some of the County Boards, most of the mail that I've gotten back, they weren't even aware that it was the law, and most of them, I think, even though it may be on their county ordinances, aren't enforcing it, so as long as nobody is, this is probably the first time we can lower the statute books by just a tiny bit, and get rid of the law that's really not being used anyway."
- Woolard: "I admire that goal, Representative. How much is the bounty on groundhogs?"
- Matijevich: "Well, I understand that it's up to the county, but I understand that it's the, had been the bounty when it was being placed was \$5 dollars a head. You had to bring them in, heads of 4, at least groups of 4. So you could pick up twenty bucks for one batch."
- Woolard: "So, it's a great incentive to someone who wants to help rid our area of varmints right now, to go into the groundhog elimination business."
- Matijevich: "Well, I don't think the state ought to be a part of that policy. I think they can get rid of groundhogs without adopting or having a state policy on it."
- Woolard: "Okay, well, Representative, I admire goals of streamlining government, and reducing number of laws in the books, however I regret I must oppose your legislation. Groundhogs are varmints, they cause thousands of dollars of damage to farm buildings and to rural property. If you've ever walked any rural railroad right—of—ways, you've seen the damage that they've done, and the tunnels that they've dug, and of course if you also have rural property, rural buildings, you've seen the damage they've done when they've

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

undermined foundations which has caused thousands and thousands of dollars of damage. I know on our own family farm and for that reason I feel that there is a need for counties to have the authority to implement an incentive program for the elimination of varmints such as groundhogs, so I regret I must oppose your legislation, Representative, I admire what you're trying to do, however, I do feel that there is a need for groundhog bounties, and I would urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hartke."

"Thank you very much, Mr. (sic Madam) Speaker, Members of the House. As a farmer I kinda agree with Representative Ackerman and Representative Weller that maybe you know Groundhog Act ought to stay in place, however, I think this this does not outlaw the counties from applying a bounty, so I think if that in their particular area that they can have their own county bounty, but there is no state Act that says there will be a Groundhog Act in the State of Illinois. Groundhogs do do damage to bean fields and cornfields, and around buildings and 50 forth. does not outlaw the elimination of these animals by individuals or individuals on their own property or getting friends and neighbors to help you, so I stand in support of this legislation and take this Groundhog Bounty Act off the State Statutes."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Robert Olson."

Olson, B.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?" Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Olson, B.: "John, I understand what you're doing. A bounty law that hasn't been used, no bounties collected for all this time, is probably something that shouldn't be on the books.

This spring we had 3 adult males competing with one groundhog back on my farm, and if we counted each

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

encounter, that's with water, liquid propane, liquefied propane, smoke bombs, traps, if you counted each encounter the score would be about 10 or 11 to 0 in favor of groundhog. They're a very wily creature. I am told that the original law says you can bring in either the head or the scalp. If the law were reinstated, which I wish it would, I don't think I would go to bother trying to scalp those critters. I don't know how you'd go about that, they're pretty hard-headed, but in all seriousness, I understand what you're doing. It's a law that's probably not needed, but on the other hand I would love to see it reinstated and made effective, and so I'm going to opposing your legislation. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "Speaker, I guess we've heard from every farmer on the House, but I think this is good law, I think it ought to be repealed. All the other bounty laws have been repealed, so I appreciate your support."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 79 voting 'yes', 25 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. A Constitutional Majority having, the Bill passes with a Constitutional Majority. Again, Agriculture Environment, Third Reading. Representative Currie on 1509. Representative Currie? Out of the record. On 1510 as well. Out of the record. Representative Mautino, House Bill 1514. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. On House Bill 1522, Representative Out οf the record. House Bill 1744, Representative Ackerman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1744, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

concerning livestock marketing. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ackerman."

Ackerman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is mostly a cleanup Bill for the Department of Agriculture eliminating some language referring to some closed markets, and it also facilitates the movement of swine from one farm to another by not mandating ear tags, and I'd move for the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Madam Speaker, inquiry of the Clerk. What's the status of the Bill with respect to House Amendment 1?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Mr. Clerk, can you inform us about Amendments on the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1744 was amended previously with Amendment #1."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Amendment #1 was adopted?"

Ackerman: "Okay, thank you. Our file didn't reflect the Amendment had been adopted. That's fine, thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Weaver: "Representative, among other things, this Bill purports to establish a legal age for bulls. Is that correct?"

Ackerman: "A legal breeding age for bulls."

Weaver: "Excuse me?"

Ackerman: "That's correct."

Weaver: "Is there a real overriding need to establish a legal age for bulls?"

Ackerman: "Not as far as informing the bull. However, there is an age at which disease can be transmitted easier and this is the established age that the department needs to set so

-_ .

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

far as testing."

Weaver: "Provided this Bill is signed into law, what will a legal age bull be able to do after the law that he wasn't able to do before the law?"

Ackerman: "Probably about the same thing you were when you became legal age."

Weaver: "I think I better leave this one go."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ackerman, do we have an alcohol abuse program for bulls?"

Ackerman: "Not that I'm aware of."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Jay, did I hear you're against repealing the bounty for groundhogs, but you want a legal age for breeding for bulls? I can't believe that. I can't believe that."

Ackerman: "Well, it's probably true."

Matijevich: "You know, they say, how 'bout, when's the legal age for voting for bulls?"

Ackerman: "Depends what they're voting on."

Matijevich: "If I know the bulls that you're talking about, I'm going to vote for it."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ackerman to close."

Ackerman: "I would just ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1744

pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question

there are 110 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', 1 voting

'present'. The Bill, having received a Constitutional

Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative

Hartke on House Bill 1769. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1769, a Bill for an Act relating to specialty crop buyers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hartke."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Members of the House Bill 1769 creates the Specialty Crop Buyers Act. Let me give you a little background on this. State of Illinois has many producers of vegetable crops in the State of Illinois, and due to the drought in California and the San Joaquin Valley, we're finding more brokers coming into the State of Illinois contracting with farmers to buy vegetable crops for further manufacture. What Act, and Bill does, is set up a registration process for those brokers or buyers of further processed specialty crops, so that the Illinois Department of Agriculture can start to look at some of their practices and to make sure that farmers are protected from unscrupulous buyers and do not get involved in contracts which will cause them financial problems down the road. I would ask for your support."

Bill is on Speaker Satterthwaite: "This the Short Debate Does anyone rise in opposition? Seeing no one Calendar. rising in opposition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1769 pass?' All in favor vote 'yes', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative LeFlore wishes to be added to the last Roll Call as voting 'yes'. Let us go back for Representative Curran to House Bill 1522. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1522, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Groundwater Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 1522 had its

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

genesis in a conversation between myself and Congressman Richard Durbin from the 20th Congressional District. What this Bill does is authorizes SIU to conduct research on groundwater, but more importantly, establishes the right for SIU to accept money from other funds such as federal funds which Congressman Durbin wishes to have sent to SIU so that it can continue to do groundwater research."

- Speaker Satterthwaite: "This Bill is on Short Debate. Does anyone rise in opposition? Representative Ropp."
- Ropp: "Madam Speaker, just a question of the Sponsor, please.

 According to our analysis, it says the University of
 Illinois, but you said Southern Illinois. Was that amended
 into this?"
- Curran: "I did. It was amended. It was a drafting error when this Bill came to me. Its intention all along, and I've told both sides of the aisle when this Bill became an agreed Bill in committee, that its intention was to be Southern Illinois and there was simply a drafting error in LRB-1."
- Ropp: "Are they not doing this now?"
- Curran: "They are doing this now. This Bill gives them the right to take federal funds and simply makes it legal what they've been doing and being slightly concerned about the legality of what they're already doing."
- Ropp: "Okay, does the University of Illinois not do this same program?"
- Curran: "The University of Illinois may do a lot of things. This

 Bill is aimed at Southern Illinois and the aim to being program that Congressman Durbin can send federal funds to."
- Ropp: "Okay, I guess the question would be, do we need now in law each time to designate what university is going to receive certain funds or is this something to be so directed by let's say the Board of Higher Education?"

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Ropp: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Curran to close."

- Curran: "I think you understand what the Bill is, it is agreed

 Bill came through committee. I don't think there's any
 opposition."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1522

 pass?' All in favor vote 'yes', all opposed vote 'no'. The

 voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

 who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there

 are 111 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting

 'present, and this Bill having received a Constitutional

 Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative

 Peterson on House Bill 2019. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2019, a Bill for an Act to amend the Infant Mortality Reduction Act. That's correction. It's House Bill 2019 a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Peterson."
- Peterson, W.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 2019 is a IEPA initiative. It requires quarterly reports from landfill and incinerator operators, indicating the quantity of any waste they accept for disposal that was generated from out of state. The data on imported waste to Illinois is currently very poor, such data is vital if the state is ever to evaluate its current waste handling capacity and plan for future waste needs as existing landfill .capacity is depleted. I move for passage of House Bill 2019."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "This Bill is on Short Debate. Is there anyone standing in opposition to the Bill? Is there anyone standing in opposition to the Bill? Seeing no one, Representative Peterson to close."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Peterson, W.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think I adequately summarized the Bill, and I ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, "Shall House Bill 2019 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this issue, there are 110 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Balthis on House Bill 2020. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2020, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Solid Waste Management Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Balthis."
- Balthis: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a House Bill that is recommended by CMS. It is requiring that they utilize recycled paper and recycled goods, and I would encourage the House to support this Bill, and before anybody asks, 'yes, this is my first Bill'."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "The Gentleman has indicated that it is his first Bill. Representative Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
 Balthis: "Yes."
- Wennlund: "Either I, I guess I wasn't listening, or if I was I wasn't listening very well, but I certainly didn't hear an explanation of exactly what it is that this Bill does."
- Balthis: "Representative, this Bill actually requests, rather than requires, CMS to use recycled paper. Having a community that has a recycling project, it is very, very important for the State of Illinois to have the leadership in using recycled paper goods."
- Wennlund: "Hasn't the state already implemented a successful

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

office waste paper program?"

Balthis: "They have, on a pilot program as I understand it, but not a fully mandated program."

Wennlund: "What does it do that we don't already do?"

Balthis: "It requires them to meet certain targets by the year 2000."

Wennlund: "Are those reasonable targets?"

Balthis: "Yes."

Wennlund: "Does CMS believe they can achieve them?

Balthis: "They recommended the Bill."

Wennlund: "Department of Central Management Services recommended the Bill?"

Balthis: "Yes."

Wennlund: "But there will be some cost to it, is that correct?"

Balthis: "They are, we amended the Bill, which said that whenever 'practical and possible and economically feasible'."

Wennlund: "Isn't it a fact that some grades of recycled paper are much costlier than regular paper?"

Balthis: "That is true, and some of them are not as good a quality, but those that are practical and economical should be used."

Wennlund: "Alright, well, thank you very much for the explanation. To the Bill, I think this is another Bill that is certainly needed in Illinois, creating new markets for recycled paper. If we can't start right at home, I don't know where we can start, and we ought to start in our own backyards in government and create new markets for recycled paper. However, I must remind the Members of the House that this is Representative Balthis' first Bill, so we ought to take a very careful and close look at it. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Balthis: "Yes."

Lang: "Representative, I guess I wasn't listening either. I still don't understand what your Bill does. We could tell it was your first Bill here from the way you were talking into the microphone. You must enunciate on this Floor so we can understand every pearl of wisdom that we hear from you. So, could you tell us again what this Bill does, please?"

Balthis: "One of the things that I've learned is the least..."

Lang: "I beg your par... I beg your pardon? I..."

Balthis: "One of the things that I've learned is the least amount said, the better, on my part."

Lang: "That's definitely true."

Balthis: "This Bill is actually a benefit to all of our children, grandchildren, our families and our environment because it's going to require leadership on the part of the State of Illinois in using recycled products, and to keep it out of our landfills, our waste stream, and for those of us that have started recycling programs, this is going to be a godsend in the sense that we will have a place to sell our products."

Lang: "Well, you still haven't told me what the Bill does, but let me help lead you through it. My analysis says, that this Amendment will require that recycled materials be used whenever economically and practically feasible. What does that mean?"

Balthis: "That means that you don't have to do it unless you want to, I imagine, but..."

Lang: "Well, who's to determine when it's feasible, Representative?"

Balthis: "Well, I'm imagining that the cost is going to dictate that."

Lang: "I see. Well, I don't know if you have a good Bill or a

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

bad Bill here, but I'm not going to vote against your first
Bill. I'm going to vote 'aye'."

Balthis: "I appreciate that."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Peterson."

Peterson, W.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill, I just
want to move the process on so I'll be very brief. I just
want to know that the lumber industry is against this
Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Balthis to close."

Balthis: "I would encourage all of my colleagues in the House to step forward and join with me in showing that the State of Illinois has leadership in the recycling effort, and to vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2020 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Hultgren, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'yes', excuse me, 108 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Hannig on House Bill 2338. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2338, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Purchasing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hanniq."

Hannig: "Yes. Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

This proposal would give a preference in the awarding of state contracts to bidders who use recycled materials as long as the recycled material contract was not greater than ten percent of the other lowest bid. Ladies and Gentlemen, not too long ago, I can remember in my community where the Boy Scouts and a lot of the groups around collected

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

newspapers at paper drives, a lot of things were collected. to be recycled, and what we found is happening today is that in many cases, we don't even have anyone who will take this recycled material because they don't have anyone in turn to sell it to. So we seem to be making some progress at the local level in getting people of a mind to collect this material, but yet we seem to be failing to finally find markets to dispose of it, or to reuse it. This would provide at least some incentive and provide some leadership State of Illinois in this area by ensuring that at least in some cases, recycled materials would be preference in the State of Illinois. Now this is not necessarily a new idea, we've done it in other In fact, today we provided a differential of this kind to an in-state bidder versus an out of state bidder. things along this line, so I think it would be a good first step, a small step, perhaps, but at least a forward step in the recycling area, in providing new markets for recycled material, and I'd appreciate your 'yes' vote."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "This Bill is on Short Debate. Is there anyone who rises in opposition to the Bill? Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "Representative, I think this is a notable idea, to deal with recycled material, but, once again, we have to give some consideration as to whether or not you want the budget to be increased by ten percent which this would allow, assuming that we're going to purchase everything that's recycled. I think in a time when we're real strapped for dollars, this kind of effort, even though laudable as it may be, is not something that we would always say that this

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

is a good idea, allowing purchases to go exceed the bid and x up to ten percent. If you add ten percent onto everything that we're going to buy and sell, at least buy in the State of Illinois, this is just more than we can really afford, and I think maybe in other times this would be a good issue, but I just don't think that we ought to say at this particular time we'll allow and should demand that purchases be made for recycled materials up to ten percent more. I just leave that as a thought."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the Gentleman's legislation. Frankly. I want to double-ditto his comments regarding the need to provide markets for recycled material. it's an opportunity for the State of Illinois to boost the use of recycled materials here. This is an opportunity to do this. and think it's the right vote. an environmentally sound vote is a 'yes' vote, and what I would ask is a 'yes' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hannig to close."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

Let me just clarify. This will not give the state agencies authority to spend more money, only we can do that through the appropriation process. On the other side, in all fairness, perhaps, it will require them to get by with less of a certain commodity, but nevertheless I think that these agencies need to do that if it means that we are going to go forward in the area of recycling. We need to show the leadership, we need to provide markets, we need to tell the people back home if they're going to save their paper to be collected, if they're going to save their aluminum, if they're going to save their stuff that somebody ultimately is going to use

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

it. It doesn't do much good just to throw it in a landfill somewhere or bury it. So this Bill is a good first step in the right direction, and I would ask for your 'yes' vote."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2338 pass?' All in favor vote 'yes', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this issue, there are 109 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. The Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, have the record indicate that on House Bill 2020 Representatives Pedersen and Pullen would like to have been voted 'aye' on that measure. Representative Currie on House Bill 2429.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2429, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Exotic Weed Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Currently under Illinois Law, there are procedures to provide that weeds that are not native to the State Illinois can be prohibited from being brought into the state. This Bill would alter the methodology by which the determination which weeds those are in order to make the...in order to make it possible to restrict weeds that are not native to the state in a more timely fashion. Currently, we have to provide designation by statute. Bill would make it possible for the Director of the Department οf Conservation, following appropriate administrative procedures with opportunity for public comment and hearing, to make that determination instead. I know of no opposition to the Bill, and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "This Bill is on Short Debate. Is there

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

anyone rising in opposition to the Bill? In opposition to the Bill. Representative Wennlund."

- Wennlund: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A question, please. My file indicates that the county superintendents of highways are opposed to this Bill. Maybe Representative Currie can explain why that's the case. Does this..."
- Currie: "Well, if I knew I would be happy to tell you but no one from the county superintendents of highways has said word one to me about opposition to the Bill. The Bill came out of committee without any negative votes, and I would be surprised if anyone would oppose it. There is opportunity for people to make their objections to a designation known to the Department of Conservation if this Bill were to become law, and I don't quite understand why that would not be adequate. If we wait for us to make a designation statutatorily, you can wait for an awfully long time."
- Wennlund: "Does the Bill, does the Act prevent or make it unlawful for any reason to cut exotic weeds that might be growing along township and county highways?"
- Currie: "No. What the Bill says, is that we already have a program in which we designate exotic weeds that shall not be planted in the State of Illinois, but what the Bill provides is the designation can be done by administrative rules, allowing for public comment, rather than like the only by statutory designations made by us. That's all the Bill does."

Wennlund: "So it doesn't prevent them from cutting weeds or these exotic flowers or exotic weeds?"

Currie: "No."

Wennlund: "I guess I don't understand what the Bill does."

Currie: "Well, as I said, the Bill changes the way we designate exotic weeds that shall not be planted in the State of Illinois. The designations that we do now, we do by

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

statute. This Bill would give the Director of the Department of Conservation the authority to add to that list after public hearings and appropriate administrative procedures, so I don't understand why there is opposition, and there certainly wasn't any in committee."

Wennlund: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Kulas. If the, excuse me,
Representative. The noise level is getting a little high
in the chamber. Can we keep the background noise to a
minimum, please? Representative Kulas."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Kulas: House. I rise to oppose the Lady's Bill. This Bill got out on the Agreed Bills list out of the Energy Environment Committee. Ιt slipped my, it slipped by me because I misread it. I thought we were just designating some new exotic weeds which we did a couple of years back, and that's the way I think we should do it. We should be able not to abdicate our roles as Legislators and have the people come to us for the designations. Why would we want to give the Department of Conservation, the Department of Agriculture, or whoever else the third person is, the Chief of the Illinois Natural History Survey. Let them make the designations and then go through the Administrative Rules hearing, which is another bureaucratic step, and which we would think, it would take longer than it would take to go to through the legislative process. I think we should keep our legislative process the way it is, and we should be the ones to decide what we're going to designate as exotic weeds, and not have some bureaucrats do it. So. I. Barbara, I supported you last year, you had a couple of Bills that we designated exotic weeds, and those Bills flew here, and I think that's the way it should remain. It shouldn't be given over to bureaucrats and a process

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

which takes forever, and that's why I would urge everybody to vote 'present' or 'no' against the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Ropp."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would certainly stand Ropp: opposition to this Bill for a couple of reasons. First of all, I would hate to leave the designation of exotic weeds into 2 or 3 different persons' hands. As a particular farmer who's been involved in trying to get rid of a number of weeds, some of which now I fear are even exotic. which really happen to be a pain in the rear after you get 'em started, and I see where you can't sell 'em or buy 'em or trade 'em or you're going to end up in jail. It seemed to me like this Body ought to be the ones to designate those particular exotic weeds. I certainly think that we should not give that authority to a few people. The other thing is, a couple of years ago, multiflora rose, which is an exotic weed according to this definition, was supposed to he planted by farmers, recommended highly by conservationists as an attempt to save soil, I'll tell you, if you plant multiflora rose that's a pain in the rear. That sucker will grow all over your fields and end up being a bigger problem than you ever hoped to save in terms of soil loss. I urge you to vote 'no' on this particular proposal, we certainly do not need it."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I, too, stand in opposition to this piece of legislation. I don't feel the Department of Agriculture wants this piece of legislation. We've done it before by legislative authority. I think the United States Department of Agriculture, designates some of these, and I really don't think we ought to put it into the hands of any more individuals. I urge the opposition and your 'no' vote on this piece of legislation."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise in opposition. It just seems like we're making it even more difficult for nursery people to do their job because now they're going to have to check with various state agencies to make sure that they are complying. Again, I think this is further involvement by government into things that are running well enough now. I don't believe there's a need to change the system, and so, therefore, I would ask the Body not to support this legislation."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Madam Speaker Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Nurserymen's Association is extremely concerned about this Bill, and I think, too, for good reason, because by abdicating our responsibility here and turning it over to the bureaucrats, I think they indeed have a great deal to fear. Most of these people are small business people, many of these people are people who do not have time to have lobbyists and others watching what's going on in a regulatory way, and they appeal to us to reject this legislation and let this process remain the way it is. I'd urge a 'no' vote.

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Currie to close."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, and Members of the House. The nurserymen clearly got to a lot of you, although they didn't have the courtesy to talk to me. I don't know what you were thinking when you took it upon yourselves to decide that the lona-carijuponica, the rose of multi-flora, the lithrum-salicaria were exotic weeds that should not be planted in the State of Illinois. I don't know how strong your scientific backgrounds are, Ladies and Gentlemen of this chamber, but I can tell you that mine is not so strong

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

that I would have been able, by myself, to identify these weeds as exotic species. Who ought to be making this determination? The people we hire who have understanding of these issues, namely, the Director of the Department of Conservation, the Chief of the Illinois Natural History Survey, and the Director of the Department of Agriculture. This Bill specifically says that they may make these determinations based only upon evidence that the species under review can be demonstrated to be an exotic weed. There's plenty of protection for the nurserymen and the tree growers in the provisions of House Bill 2429. There isn't adequate provision if an exotic weed needs to be designated by us when we finally get around to it. There isn't adequate protection native species without the protections of House Bill 2429. This measure is an initiative of the Illinois Environmental Council, the Consortium of Environmental Advocacy Groups, and this Bill does have the support of the departments that hire to tell us, to advise us how we ought to make sure that we are conserving our property and treating our state with the respect that it deserves. I would guess that this Bill may not quite make it over the top, but I think if you think about what this Bill is about, and I think if you think about the importance of protecting our natural species, you will conclude that this Bill is a sensible one and deserves your support."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2429 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 30 voting 'yes', 58 voting 'no', 22 voting 'present'. Representative Cowlishaw, excuse me, for what reason do you rise?"

44th Legislative Day

- May 3, 1991
- Cowlishaw: "I wanted to explain my vote, Madam Speaker. I've had the button on for quite some time."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "I'm sorry, I did not see you, but go ahead."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I would not want to fail to vote on this Bill, nor on any of the others we have considered this afternoon because I want it to be known throughout Illinois that the General Assembly attends to those things that are vitally important to the citizens of our state. While there are infant mortality rates that are rising, people are dying of AIDS, people are dying of cancer, all kinds of social problems exist, but I'll tell you what we take care of here, groundhogs and exotic weeds. Thank you, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "On this question, there are 30 voting 'yes', 58 voting 'no', 22 voting 'present'. The Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority has lost. Representative Wennlund on House Bill 2444. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2444, a Bill for an Act to amend the Solid Waste Planning of Recycling Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. We're waiting for another Amendment. Apparently what happened was batteries were also single use containers that should not come under the Act. We need another Amendment which is being prepared now, so if you'll take it out of the record."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "You want to take the Bill out of the record?"
- Wennlund: "Thank you."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Yes. Thank you. Representative Hoffman, Jay Hoffman, House Bill 2462. Out of the record.

44th Legislative Day

- May 3, 1991
- Representative Kulas on House Bill 2491. Out of the record. Representative Curran on House Bill 2536. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2536, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to ethanol fuels. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Curran."
- Curran: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is brought to you by the Illinois Public Transit Association, the Springfield Metropolitan Transit District being one member. What this Bill intends to do is to promote the use of ethanol by establishing demonstration grant programs within the Department of Energy and Natural Resources. It also amends the State Finance Act to create a special fund, increasing the geo-bond authorization \$10 million dollars. This Bill passed out of committee 21 to nothing. I know of no opposition. I'd be glad to answer any questions, and I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "This Bill is on Short Debate. Does anyone rise in opposition to the Bill? Representative Wennlund?"
- Wennlund: "Inquiry of the Chair, this Bill takes 71 votes for passage since the bond authorization?"
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Will the Parliamentarian come and review the Bill, please? May we see a copy of the Bill?"
- Wennlund: "Since it increases the state's general obligation bond debt, I believe the Illinois Constitution requires extraordinary majority of three-fifths."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "The Parliamentarian indicates that that is correct, and the Bill will require 71 votes for passage."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill, in a year of difficult funding and possible borrowing, I believe that

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

the State of Illinois is going to need every last nickel that it can get, and it may require issuing additional bonds to bail us out of our current situation, where medical providers are currently owed about six hundred and some million dollars in the State of Illinois, and in order to avoid a possible tax increase, it may require issuing additional bonds to bail the state out of its debt structure now. Perhaps, and the Bill is a good idea, the underlying Bill is a good idea..."

- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative. The Sponsor has asked that we remove the Bill from the record at this time. Representative Hoffman on House Bill 2571. Representative Jay Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2571, a Bill for an Act to amend the Surface Mine Land Conservation and Reclamation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 2571 would require that it would amend the Surface Mine Land Conservation Reclamation Act and would require that the operator of a surface mine land, excuse me, would require that the Reclamation Council, after it reclaims land, file with recorder of deeds in county in which it is being reclaimed, the fact that it has been reclaimed, and that certain pertinent information shall be provided on that notice so that future land buyers, future people going to buy that land, will be put on notice that they can get a hold of Reclamation Council get information about that particular piece of property."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "This Bill is on Short Debate. Is there anyone rising in opposition to the Gentleman's Bill? Seeing none, Representative Hoffman to close."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Hoffman: "I just ask for a favorable vote on this Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2571 pass?' All those in favor will vote 'yes', all opposed will vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Representative Obrzut wishes to be added to the Roll Call as voting 'aye'. On this question, there are 109 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Hoffman, would you like to go back to handle House Bill 2462?"

Hoffman, J.: "Yes, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2462, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman, J.: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2462 would require that if a person is convicted, agrees to a settlement and enforcement action of a legal dumping of waste on that person's own property, the General or any agency such as the State's Attorney's office or a judge, may require, or shall fact be recorded in the recorder of deeds require, that office in the locality in which that act took place. So a person's convicted of illegal dumping of waste in violation of the EPA Act, that would be recorded on that person's property."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "This Bill is on Short Debate. Is there anyone rising in opposition to the Bill? This is on Short Debate, is anyone rising in opposition to the Bill? Representative Hartke for a question."

Hartke: "Representative Hoffman, if someone dumps on my property illegally and the EPA tells me that I'm operating an

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

illegal dump, I think right now the law says that if I can find some identification in that debris, I can name them in a suit to help me clean that dump up. Is that correct?"

Hoffman, J.: "I'm, probably, yes. I assume if they're dumping on your property, you would have recourse against them, yes."

Hartke: "Yes. This doesn't change that in any way?"

Hoffman, J.: "Not at all. All this says, if you yourself were dumping on your own property in violation of the EPA Act, you would, this only affects the owners of the property. If the owner himself is dumping on his own property in violation, not someone else dumping on your property."

Hartke: "Okay, you understand the point I'm getting at."

Hoffman, J.: "Yes, I do."

Hartke: "There are many times a rural roads that are not highly traveled that may be dead ends as farmers, and we find individuals come out at night, during the day, you know, when there's no traffic on the road and they dump their garbage, refrigerators, newspapers, cans and so forth, and then the EPA comes along and says you're operating an illegal dump, and as a landowner you're required to clean that up."

Hoffman, J.: "The intent of this legislation, Representative, is if you yourself are dumping on your own property in violation of the EPA Act, or I would assume running for profit a landfill in violation of the EPA Act, but not if somebody is coming and throwing beer cans or refrigerators, et cetera on your property against your will."

Hartke: "Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "I remind the Members that this is on Short Debate. Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Hoffman, J.: "Yes."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Hultgren: "Representative, I'm not, I'm not sure I understand why you propose to do this. I understand, I think, what you propose to do, but not why, and I have a concern as an attorney with a real estate practice on how this might affect the transfer of title to real estate, and if these notices that you propose to file against the property may be a cloud on the title to the real estate. So, could you explain why you propose to record these, and to what extent you think they present a cloud on title for title insurance companies, or for attorneys who are inspecting the title to the real estate?"

Hoffman, J.: "The whole idea, I believe, and the reason that this Bill is introduced, I believe it's a good piece of legislation, is that prospective buyers should have notice of what has gone on in the past with regard to that real estate. I'm not proposing and do not want to cloud the title and to not permit transfer of that real estate. What I think is we should have a right in this state, if you are going to buy some real estate and there has been illegal dumping activities on that real estate, you should have notice of that, and that's the reason this was introduced."

Hultgren: "So it, in fact, your intention is that it may be an impediment to the transfer of real estate, and may, in fact, be a cloud on the title."

Hoffman, J.: "I don't know. My intention is to give notice to a prospective buyer, not to cloud the title."

Hultgren: "Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he'll yield."

Pullen: "Yeah, right. Is it correct that this recording on the title would apply not only if someone was convicted in an enforcement action, but also if someone settled in an

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- enforcement action?"
- Hoffman, J.: "If he is found in violation of the Environmental Protection Act, whether he settled it and agreed and admitted that there was a violation, then yes, it would apply to that also, as well as a conviction."
- Pullen: "Are you aware of any situations where a property owner might, although someone else was the party that did the dumping, might settle in order to relieve himself of costly litigation, rather than being guilty?"
- Hoffman, J.: "...aware of any?"
- Pullen: "Are you aware of any where the property owner might not have been guilty, but might have settled in order to relieve himself of costly litigation?"
- Hoffman, J.: "It may happen, but I'm not personally aware of that. I would hope that our authorities are only bringing people to, enforcing the EPA Act, to people who have in setting matters such as that or local authorities as well as the Attorney General the people who have violated that Act."
- Pullen: "That would certainly be a fond hope. Thank you."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'll keep this short.

 Question of the Sponsor. I share some concerns that

 Representative Hultgren had in terms of the title of the

 property. Maybe you can clarify for me our analysis

 indicates that if there is enforceable action, even though

 that action may be completed, there would still be required

 notification on the title of the property that there was a

 landfill or something dumped there. Is that correct?"
- Hoffman, J.: "Yes."
- Weaver: "So even if it was cleaned up, even if part of the settlement was that particular dumping area was cleaned up, there would still be notification on the title?"

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Hoffman, J.: "Yes. I would assume that that would still give notice to the prospective buyer if it has been cleaned up.

That would be cleared up then with the person who cleaned it up."

Weaver: "Wouldn't you think that would cause some difficulty in selling the property?"

Hoffman, J.: "I think that prospective buyers should be able to get notice on these type of things. I think that if a person proves to the prospective buyer that it has been cleaned up, then it would not, in my mind, it would remove the difficulty."

Weaver: "Would, is that part of the Bill that the cleanup okayed by EPA be part of the title notification?"

Hoffman, J.: "No."

Weaver: "Well, that's my concern, and, Madam Speaker, to the Bill, if there were a provision involved with this legislation, that would allow the EPA to indicate that it had checked the site, it had been cleaned and given it a full bill of health and that was also on the title to the property, I don't think we have a problem, but the stigma is still going to be there, that there was dumping on the property, and that it may never have been cleaned up even though the EPA has certified that it was. I think perhaps we need to slow down and take a little harder look at this Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this legislation. Some of the discussion which is just recently concluded indicates concern about clobbering or clouding title to real estate. If the notice is proposed, this legislation must be recorded. This is one of the most significant pieces of legislation this Spring Session with regard to real estate

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

transactions. What is out there now is a series of ticking Buyers who buy real estate are generally unaware of the seriousness of the toxic waste problems. The current status of legislation in this state, the current status of law in this state, is that a buyer who buys a piece of property and then later discovers that it has a toxic waste problem is responsible for all the costs of cleanup, including the tests, the analyies, the treatment of groundwater, the removal of all the earth, the soil. whatever has to be done. Hundreds of thousands of dollars, possibly. What this legislation does is say to a potential buyer, you at least have some opportunity to receive notice in the court records that there has been a problem with the property you're looking at, that the problem has been addressed, and yes, even if there has been a settlement and a cleanup, you have the opportunity to know and learn about that and make an informed decision about the risk you may taking or not taking when you purchase this property. At the present time, those who buy are generally buying pig-in-a-poke, state they don't get the environmental audits which are needed because they are very expensive, first phase environmental audit will cost around \$3000, and all that will tell you, probably, is you need phase 2, which will cost 15 to 20 thousand dollars, and especially downstate, that's a lot of money. This is a very, very good Bill. It is important to everybody who buys real estate, to everybody who sells real estate, we should all put our green votes up on the board for this good piece of legislation. Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. The last speaker may have misled us in the fact that perhap she hasn't looked at the Amendment. Nothing is filed on the deed of the

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

property, nothing is filed in any court, all this requires is that the Attorney General file a notice with the county recorder of deeds that the person was convicted of illegally dumping waste on the property on which the owner I'm not even sure that the Amendment says that notice has to be applied to that parcel's identification parcel, or anything else. I really think that a previous speaker, Representative Weaver, hit the nail on the head. don't think this Amendment does what we think it does. We're already making it literally impossible to transfer property in this state. If you haven't run across the underground storage tank problem in your district, sooner or later. While there's appears confusion on the Gentleman's Amendment, I would think 'present' vote would be the best vote on this. I am not sure it does what the Sponsor thinks it does, and I would urge you to vote 'present' until he can get it straightened out."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is an important piece of legislation. I think that we have to set the standard in this state to give notice to potential property buyers of any possible illegal dumping or environmentally hazardous activities that could have happened on that property they're looking at buying. It's a consumer issue. We're not trying to cloud titles. We're not trying to stop transfers of property, we're trying to give notice to potential buyers. Therefore, I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2462 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

question, there are 70 voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no', 34 'present'. The Bill having received Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Mautino on House Bill 2589. Out of the record. Is there anyone else who has a Bill on Agriculture and Environment, Third Reading who wishes to have their Bill called? Then we will proceed to the Special Order of Banking. Second Reading. The Sponsors are Representative Currie and Representative Capparelli. House Bill 67. Representative Currie. Out of the record. House Bill 1488, Representative Capparelli. Out of the record. On the Special Order of Banking. Third Reading. The Sponsors Representatives Capparelli, Preston, Santiago, McGann. House Bill 1911, Representative Capparelli, out of the record. House Bill 1912, Representative Preston. Do you wish to have your Bill called? Out of the record. House Bill 2135, Representative Santiago. Out of the House Bill 2595, Representative McGann. record. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2595, a Bill for an Act to amend the Check Cashing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

House Bill 2595 amends the Check Cashing Act. It prohibits requiring a credit card as a condition of accepting a negotiable instrument as payment for goods and services.

We have worked this Bill out with the IRMA and others concerned, we amended this Bill a day or so ago, and it's been approved and supported by all those concerned. I was unfortunately accused yesterday of stealing this Bill from someone else, but that's not quite the story. This Bill is somewhat different. It entails a little more. I'm happy to answer any questions. It's a good consumer protection

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Bill, and I'd ask for approval of House Bill 2595."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "This Bill is on Short Debate. Is there anyone rising in opposition? Seeing none, Representative McGann to close."

McGann: "Just ask for a favorable vote and thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2595

pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Add Representative

Robert Olson as voting 'aye'. On this question, there are

109 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority, is

hereby declared passed. We will go back to House Bill 1912

for Representative Preston. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1912, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What House Bill 1912 does is to amend the Illinois Banking Act to permit banks to provide banking services to nursing homes and to nursing home residents. This would entail a bank that wanted to do that sending an individual, not opening a facility, but periodically sending an individual to a nursing home to be able to cash checks, to take deposits, to do general banking services for nursing home residents. My district and many others here have a large number of nursing home residents, and it is very difficult, of course, and many times impossible for these people to actually get to the bank to do the needed services that they require. This would allow the services to be brought to them. I'd be glad to answer any questions, and I would urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Is there any opposition to the Bill?

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Representative Olson, Robert Olson."

Olson, R.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Representative vield?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

- Olson, R.: "Representative Levin, it's not unusual at all to find a community that has multiple banks, multiple nursing homes. There is going to be a mix of residents of all these nursing homes dealing with all the banks. Bank x, y, and z may have long time customers in nursing homes a, b, and c all mixed up. How are you going to determine which bank goes into which nursing home?"
- Preston: "That is, there is no restriction. Multiple banks may go in there. We're not restricting what a consumer can use. We're just permitting those services to be brought to the consumer. Now, I know, you probably have more knowledge of that than I do, I believe you have something to do with banks, I don't, but I, if your bank wanted to go to a nursing home, that's fine. If your bank next door wanted to go to that same nursing home to provide services, that's great."
- Olson, R.: "So it's open season for everyone involved here?"
- Preston: "You bet it's open season, just like it is for you. You don't have to live in a nursing home, you can go to any bank you want, and you may pick where you want to go. So may a nursing home resident be able to pick. I hope that's the case. I hope banks will be competing with one another to provide services to nursing home residents. That's the highest and best consumer protection there is."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Anything further, Representative Olson?"
Olson, R.: "Thank you."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to a question, please?"

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he will."

- Wolf: "Representative Preston, has your Bill been amended in any way?"
- Preston: "It has not, and the community banks, Representative, spoke to me about wanting to amend it so that it would only apply to adjacent counties, and I told them I'd certainly be glad to talk to 'em about it. I have not been contacted since, but it's still open to discussion on it, even after it gets out of here."
- Wolf: "Wasn't there a Bill passed about a year, two years ago, that applied to four counties doing the same thing that you're doing in House Bill 1912?"
- Preston: "Representative, I don't know. That may be the case.

 It was four counties that was mentioned to me by the..."
- Wolf: "I think there were. There were Will, Henry and Madison county I believe, and I think another one, but I think at that time, I think that legislation set out geographic limits that this type of service could be utilized in, in that it restricted the placing of those facilities within the geographic limits of the operations of the banks within that area. Isn't that correct?"

Preston: "That may be."

- Wolf: "What does your Bill do with regard to, let's say, banks coming out of Chicago?"
- Preston: "Does absolutely nothing. In its present form, it permits banking services to nursing homes. If community has, if the banks in a given community doesn't want to provide those services, and doesn't, some other bank may. But the, what you're, I believe alluding to, was what the community banks, Representative, discussed with me, and I told him I would be certainly amenable to discussing those changes. I haven't been contacted since, so if he wants to contact me or the Senate Sponsor, that's great. It's still

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

open to discussion even after..."

Wolf: "Well, what I'm getting at is, wouldn't it be possible for a bank of Chicago, for instance, to go clear down to Cairo, Carbondale, or wherever and..."

Preston: "Yes, it would, and that's not an evil."

Wolf: "Pardon?"

Preston: "If the Banks in Cairo aren't providing these services, yes, it would be possible for a bank in Jo Daviess County to go down to Cairo just as if you want to use the big evil term, Chicago, it would be okay for a Chicago bank. There's no prohibition to a Chicago bank going to a nursing home anywhere in the State of Illinois, or a Jo Daviess county bank going to anywhere in the State of Illinois, to provide banking services to a nursing home. If you think that Continental Bank is interested in doing that, I can tell you they're not, but if you have some other opinion..."

Wolf: "Well, I wouldn't think that they. Thank you. Madam Speaker..."

Preston: "Also, Cairo banks could also come to Chicago to provide nursing services to, excuse me, to provide banking services to the nursing homes in Chicago, if they want to."

Wolf: "Madam Speaker, to the Bill. I don't have any particular opposition to the Bill, if it is restricted in the same manner that the Bill was that we passed here about a year and a half ago that applied to four counties in downstate. However, this Bill has no restriction, as such, and it sort of sets you wondering as to what the approach or the attitude of the Illinois bankers is. Do they intend to use this Bill to go up and down the state using this as a front door and extend those operations to whatever? I don't know. But the fact that they were unable or were very reluctant to get together with the community bankers gets

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

me to wondering what the real intent of this Bill is. I
would be in opposition to the Bill at this time, unless
it's amended to provide the same restrictions as the Bill
was about a year ago with regard to four other counties."
Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Sieben."

Sieben: "Thank you, Madam Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Minority spokesman House. Αs on House Financial Institutions Committee, I just report and I stand in support of the Gentleman's Bill. This Bill did receive an open hearing in the committee, and I think the Sponsor has indicated that he's willing to meet with the members of the Bankers Association. His legislation extends throughout the state what is currently being done in four counties in the state right now. It provides a tremendous service to the senior citizens in this state, and it seeks to expand those services, and I do not think his intent is to expand branch banking in any way. I think does meet the needs of our senior citizens who are in nursing homes, and I would urge an 'aye' vote on the Gentleman's Bill."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Frankly, Ι can't anybody being opposed to this Bill. Ιt simply provides banking services to people that are in nursing homes who can't get out of the nursing home to do their banking service. One of the things we're supposed to be doing down here is protecting our senior citizens. If we're going to do that, this is a perfect Bill to do it. Those that worried about the effect of this Bill, it certainly has been in effect in several counties up 'till now. All it's provided is good service. To my knowledge, there have been no complaints and no problems, and if a Chicago bank wants to go to downstate Illinois and they can convince

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

people at the nursing home that they're the bank that can provide the best service to their residents, that's the way it should be. Please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Preston to close."

Harris: "Speaker."

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Excuse me. Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "He indicates he'll yield."

Harris: "Representative, just for point of clarification, I think the Gentleman from Madison probably had some concerns which were justified, but let's see if we can't address them.

Currently, this service is available in Illinois?"

Preston: "To my knowledge, currently it is not available in Illinois. To my knowledge, a bank cannot now go to a nursing home. You're nodding that you know the answer before you ask the question."

Harris: "Currently, I think that the service is available in counties with a population between 250,000 and 300.000."

Preston: "Then I stand corrected."

Harris: "So, we're really talking about perhaps just two counties in the State of Illinois that it is currently available. What you, I gather, are doing, is taking it statewide. It is not your intention, I trust, that these be counted as branches of a bank, is that correct? So they would not fall under branching—type of concern? Okay, thank you very much:"

Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Preston to close."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. There are nursing home residents throughout Illinois who right now are deprived of simple banking services because they can't get to a bank. This permits a bank to go to those nursing homes, at the convenience of the nursing home administrators. That's what it does, it helps people who can't get out. I ask for

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

your 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Satterthwaite: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1912 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Cowlishaw is recognized to explain her vote."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm voting for this Bill because I think maybe it later could be amended to include 2 or 3 other Bills we've passed earlier today, and then I think there'd be more likelihood for these banking services to occur. For example, we could have the senior citizens who live in these places plant exotic weeds and that ginseng or whatever it is, around the outside, harvest it, sell it; they could shoot groundhogs and get the bounty. Now, with all that money there'd be all the more reason for the banks to come and provide them with these services, and therefore perhaps we should lump all those things together, and permit the senior citizens to have the bounties on groundhogs. Thank you."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 106 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'.

 The Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution 41, offered by Giorgi. 45 offered by Giorgi. 49 offered by Giorgi and Black. House Resolution 415, offered by DeLeo and Madigan; 416, by Daniels, and 422, by McAfee."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich moves for passage...Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 424, in the respect to the memory of Gabriel Lopez, Jr."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. John Dunn wants something. Representative Dunn, for what reason do you arise?"
- Dunn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. On behalf of downstaters, especially those downstaters in central Illinois, I want to extend personal congratulations to you. You appear to be the first Speaker to preside who's going to let us out early. So, I'll shut up, and adjourn this place and go home. Congratulations to you."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution #48. Resolved by the Senate of the 87th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, April 25th, 1991, it stands Adjourned 'till Tuesday, April 30th, 1991, at 12:00 o'clock noon."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "You've heard the Adjournment Resolution.

 Representative...Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Move the adoption, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "The Adjournment Resolution is until Tuesday at the hour of noon, on May 7th, at the hour of noon. All in favor of the Resolution say 'aye', all opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. Further Resolutions? Senate Bills, First Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 120, offered by Representative John

 Dunn. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Library

 System Act. First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "Representative Matijevich moves that the

44th Legislative Day

May 3, 1991

House now adjourn until Tuesday, May 9th, at the hour of 12:00 noon. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it, and the House has Adjourned. We now have the First Special Session of the 87th General Assembly. We use the Attendance Roll Call of the Regular Session for the Attendance Roll Call for this First Special Session. Mr. Clerk, read the Adjournment Resolution."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution #7, resolved by the Senate of the 87th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the First Special Session thereof, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, May 3, 1991, they stand Adjourned 'till Tuesday, May 7th, 1991, at 12:05 p.m."
- Speaker Satterthwaite: "You've heard the Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Representative Matijevich now moves that the First Special Session of the House adjourn. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the First Special Session is Adjourned until Thursday...Tuesday, May 7th, at 12:05."

DOCUMENT NAME	~	ORE PRI		COPY I	D HTDIW	ЕРТН	ERROR
T050391	137	0 pj	03/24/92	1	66	78	

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

.

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 03. 1991

92/08/26

09:00:42

HB-0053	THIRD READING	PAGE	61
	SECOND READING	PAGE	54
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	55
	THIRD READING	PAGE	62
	SECOND READING	PAGE	55
	RECALLED	PAGE	49
	THIRD READING	PAGE	49
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	50
	THIRD READING	PAGE	30
	THIRD READING	PAGE	66
	THIRD READING	PAGE	16
	THIRD READING	PAGE	26
	THIRD READING	PAGE	67
	SECOND READING	PAGE	45
	THIRD READING	PAGE	69
	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
	THIRD READING	PAGE	70
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	75
	THIRD READING	PAGE	75
	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
	THIRD READING	PAGE	9
	THIRD READING	PAGE	78
	THIRD READING	PAGE	76
	THIRD READING	PAGE	77
	THIRD READING	PAGE	86
	THIRD READING	PAGE	50
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	53
	RECALLED	PAGE	24
	THIRD READING	PAGE	24
	THIRD READING	PAGE	25
	SECOND READING	PAGE	7
	SECOND READING	PAGE	2
	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	83
	THIRD READING THIRD READING	PAGE	85
HB-1912		PAGE	112
	THIRD READING	PAGE	22
	THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	88
	SECOND READING		89
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	42 42
	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	6
	RECALLED	PAGE	7
	SECOND READING	PAGE	57
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	60
	THIRD READING	PAGE	23
	THIRD READING	PAGE	92
	THIRD READING	PAGE	95
	THIRD READING	PAGE	101
HB-2444	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	101
HB-2446	THIRD READING	PAGE	11
HB-2462	THIRD READING	PAGE	104
HB-2464	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
HB-2473	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	61
HB-2536	THIRD READING	PAGE	102
HB-2536	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	103
HB-2571	THIRD READING	PAGE	103
HB-2595	THIRD READING	PAGE	111
	FIRST READING	PAGE	119
SJR-0048		PAGE	119
SJR-0048	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	119

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 002

.

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

92/08/26 09:00:42

MAY 03. 1991

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MCPIKE	PAGE	ı
PRAYER - REVEREND HOWARD DITRICH	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	13
CONSENT CALENDAR - THIRD READING	PAGE	32
CONSENT CALENDAR - REMOVED BILLS	PAGE	39
CONSENT CALENDAR - RESTORED BILLS	PAGE	40
REPRESENTATIVE LAURING IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	45
REPRESENTATIVE MATIJEVICH IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	64
REPRESENTATIVE SATTERTHWAITE IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	77
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	118
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	118
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	119
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION	PAGE	120
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	120