144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the hour of 12:00 having arrived, this House will come to order. I would ask Members to be in their seats. The Chaplain for today is Reverend James Epperson of the First Christian Church in Monmouth, Illinois. He is the guest of Representative Hultgren. We invite our guests in the gallery to rise and join us for the invocation."
- Reverend James Epperson: "Our Father, we thank You so much before our Leaders here. For their sacrifice, for their commitment to excellence and Father, we just pray in this Session that You would help us that we might have the faith to move mountains. Help us, Father, that in our decisions that we might be reminded of our character, that we might be pure in our service. Father, help us today that we might totally surrender to You, obey You where we know that we need to obey. And Father we just pray for Your leading. Bless each and every one. Father help us that we might make decisions that will better this State and help people that they might be drawn closer to You and better Americans. Father, we pray today, too, that You would be with our Forces in Saudi Arabia, be with our Leaders, be with the decisions that need to be made. We just pray for Your will. Lord, lead us now, is our prayer in Jesus' name. Amen."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Sutker, would you lead us in the pledge, please?"
- Sutker, et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Breslin: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Wolf, do you have the excused absences for the Democratic side?"

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

- Wolf: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Request that Representative Matijevich be excused. For illness."
- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you. On the Democratic side...er, the Republican side of the aisle, Representative Kubik. Oops, Black. Representative Kubik."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. There are two excused absences, Didrickson and Matijevich. Ladies and Gentlemen, you should know that Representative Matijevich had surgery a couple of weeks ago. I understand that he is doing well at this time. Have all answered the Roll Call? Representative Parke, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Parke: "Yes. Representative Didrickson, in fact, is here."

- Speaker Breslin: "I see. I don't see her, though. But I'll take your word for it. He indicates Representative Didrickson is here and that she should be pushed in. Okay. Rep... Representative Kubik, can you clarify matters for us with regard to Representative Didrickson?"
- Kubik: "Maybe I can clarify this. We have contacted Representative Didrickson's office. She is here."

Speaker Breslin: "She is here."

Kubik: "So have her recorded on the Roll Call."

- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Put the Lady on the Roll Call. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 115 people answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Ladies and Gentlemen, I want you to...I want to recognize Representative Hultgren and Senator Hawkinson, who will well be remembered as one of our finest House Members for a special introduction."
- Hultgren: "Ladies and Gentlemen, it's our privilege today to present to the Illinois House the Monmouth High School golf team, which recently captured its sixth consecutive Class A

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

State Golf Championship. The Monmouth two day total in the championship round was 621. The second lowest team total in State Class A history. The coach of the Class A state champions this year was Mr. Bill Piper, who I'd like to introduce at this time and ask him if he would, to introduce the members of the championship team."

Coach Piper: "Thank you very much, Representative Hultgren and Senator Hawkinson for having us here on the floor. Members of the House, thank you. I'd like to introduce, starting at my right, members of the 1990 State Champion Golf Team, Class A, from Monmouth, Illinois. Sophomore, Aaron Piper. Junior, Robbie Hawk. Senior, Mike Van Kirk. Senior, Eric Piper. Senior Mark Schraeder and Sophomore Mark Odindahl. Ladies and Gentlemen, sixth straight consecutive time."

Senator Hawkinson: "The House of Representatives previously adopted a Resolution honoring these state champions and would appreciate the support of all the House Members in passing that Resolution. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Committee on Rules has met and pursuant to Rule 29(c)3 the following Bills have been ruled exempt on November 28, 1990. House Bill 2030 and Senate Bill 1098."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, if you have a Bill on the Order of Total Vetoes or Amendatory Vetoes, please note that you must file a Motion if you wish to have those Bill called. Bills called. We notice, for instance, that under Amendatory Vetoes there appear to be about ten Bills, but only one Bill has a Motion filed on it. So please review these Bills and if Motions are required, please get them in to the Clerk. Representative DeJaegher, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

DeJaegher: "Madam Speaker, beings there's a lull and we're not conducting business at the present time, I would like the

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

General Assembly to recognize over a hundred retired school teachers that come from the northwest portion of the State of Illinois. This has been a long, lengthy trip and of course they're all concerned with 1591. So I'd appreciate the Members of the General Assembly giving these people a round of applause for making this trip."

Speaker Breslin: "Welcome, Ladies and Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen, on page 3 on your Calendar appears Total Veto Motions. The Sponsors are Representative Wolf, Representative Hasara and Representative Parke. We intend to go to those Motions at this time. The first Bill is Senate Bill 1568, Representative Wolf, Motion #1."

Wolf: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move for the passage of Senate Bill 1568, the Veto of the Governor notwithstanding. The State of Illinois and the General Assembly, as all of us knows, tries to treat all state employees fairly and all employees are treated fairly and they're treated equally when it comes to comp time, working conditions, overtime, health insurance benefits. But when it comes to life insurance, they're not treated equally because life insurance benefits are reduced for the older employees. All state employees receive state paid life insurance in an amount equal, as of July the first of this year, in an amount equal to one year's salary. But once an employee reaches the age 55, the amount of insurance reduces at the rate of 5 percent per year, which means at age 65 that older employees insurance has been reduced by 50 percent. In addition to the state paid life insurance, each employee is allowed to purchase up to four times the amount of insurance, at his own expense that he is provided for by the state. This amount is also reduced for older employees because they are not able to purchase that additional insurance in the same way that younger employees are. I

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

would move and this Bill intends to remove this inequity, and I would move for your support on the passage of Senate Bill 19...Senate Bill 1568, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. On his Motion, is there any discussion? There being no discussion the question is, 'Shall the House pass Senate Bill 1568, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98...99 voting 'aye', 6 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. The House...Representative Harris, for what reason do you seek recognition? There are 99 voting 'aye', 6 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. Representative Hallock votes 'aye'. There are 100 voting 'aye'. Representative Wojcik Representative Weaver votes 'aye'. On this votes 'aye'. question...Representative Doederlein votes 'aye'. Changes her vote from 'no' to 'aye'. There are 103 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the extraordinary Constitutional Majority hereby declared passed, having received more that 71 votes. Senate Bill 1569, Representative Hasara."

Hasara: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 1569 is the companion Bill to the previously passed Bill and it provides the same increase in benefit to state employees who have retired, so I move for the override to Senate Bill 1569."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Lady's Motion. On that Motion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. I'm sorry Madam Speaker, I really could not hear the Lady's explanation. If she could just simply indicate what the Bill does and maybe why the Governor

144th Legislative Day

vetoed it and then why we should override the veto, I'd appreciate it."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Ladies and Gentlemen, Members cannot hear the debate. Proceed, Representative Hasara."

Hasara: "Okay. I will repeat what I just said. Senate Bill 1569 is the companion Bill to the Bill that we just passed. It increases the minimum insurance coverage from 2,000 to 5,000 for retirees. The Bill we just passed did the same thing for those who are working. Who retire...you know, while they are working."

Cullerton: "Okay. The way I understand it works now, there's...this applies to people who are 60 years of age or older? Is that right?"

Hasara: "It applies to state employees who retire. Their benefits begin now to decrease, actually, at age 55 down to a minimum of 2,000. This would raise that minimum to 5,000."

Cullerton: "And so there would be no decrease after age 55?"

Hasara: "Oh, yes. There would still be a decrease, but it could not decrease under 5,000 dollars."

Cullerton: "I see. And why did the Governor veto it?"

Hasara: "Because of cost."

Cullerton: "Three and a half million?"

Hasara: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "But, you feel that we, somewhere, can find the three and a half million, somewhere?"

Hasara: "I certainly do and given the fact that we just overrode the veto on 1568, it would be totally unfair to not do the same to this Bill."

Cullerton: "How much did 1568 cost?"

Hasara: "Two million."

Cullerton: "And this is three and a half?"

Hasara: "That's correct."

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Cullerton: "Now, has the Governor-elect indicated to you his position on this Bill?"

Hasara: "No, he has not, Representative."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black. No further discussion. The question is, Senate Bill 1569 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 71 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', one voting 'no' and one voting 'present'. The House does pass Senate Bill 1569. This Bill having received the extraordinary majority required of 71 votes. Senate Bill 1709, Representative Parke."

"Thank you Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. Ι ask for a override of the Total Veto of the Governor notwithstanding. 1709, everywhere we look in Illinois today we are finding that there's a shortage of nursing. This Bill, in fact, requires the Department of Professional Regulation to transfer either 10% of the Nursing Dedicated and Professional Fund or the excess after appropriation, whatever's less; Illinois Department of Public Health for administration of a Nurses scholarship program. This legislation passed the House 110 to 5. I will tell you that the Illinois Hospital Association sees the great need for additional nurses as well as the Illinois Nurses Association that sponsors...that supports the total override. I ask Body to vote with me in overriding the veto of the Governor notwithstanding on 1709."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. On that Motion is there any discussion? There being no discussion

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1709 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. votes are Representative...Have all voted who required. wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question there are 105 voting 'aye', 1 record. voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. This Motion received the required three-fifths majority is adopted and this Bill is hereby declared passed, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. Ladies and Gentlemen, before we go to the next item of business, I would remind Members to file Motions on the Amendatory Veto Calendar if you wish to have the Bill called this week. We still have very few Motions filed. The next order of business, Ladies and Gentlemen will be on your Supplemental Calendar #1 under the Order of Motions. Okay, we're going to the Order Motions on Supplemental #1. The Sponsors are Capparelli, LeFlore, Leverenz, McPike, Williams, Curran, Currie, Keane, McPike, Hicks and Wolf. The first Motion is House Bill 2570, Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Madam Speaker, I move to suspend Rule 79(d) and place 2570 on the Order of Concurrence."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. This Motion will require 71 votes. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Representative Capparelli's Motion to suspend Rule 79(d) and place...and (e) and place this Bill on the Order of Concurrence be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 71 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 4 voting 'present' and the Motion is adopted. House Bill 2899,

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

- Representative LeFlore."
- LeFlore: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would like to move to take from the table and suspend the Rules 79(d) and place on the Order of Conference Committee Report House Bill 2899."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. On this Motion is there any discussion? There being no discussion the question is, 'Shall the House take this Bill from the table, Suspend Rule 79(d) and (e) and place it on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?' The Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He will yield to a question. Ladies and Gentlemen."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. In the case of these Bills that we are taking from the table, I think it would be very helpful to all of us if the Sponsor would tell us his or her intention. That is, what kind of legislation or proposal are we going to be seeing that is amended onto this Bill so that we know something more about what those intentions are, rather than just being given a number and no knowledge whatsoever about what they intend to do with this Bill. I think that would be the least that we could ask is to have that information."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore, the Lady asks for your intentions for moving this...for making this particular Motion. Please don't give us a long explanation of the Bill or future Amendments, but your basic intention in making this extraordinary Motion."
- LeFlore: "Okay. My basic intention is to...this is a Bill that is dealing with fingerprinting and background checks for the Chicago Transit Authority."
- Speaker Breslin: "And why do you need to...to put it on the Order

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

of Conference Committee Reports?"

LeFlore: "Why? Because..."

Speaker Breslin: "You don't intend to put anything new into it?"

LeFlore: "No. I'm trying to remove."

Speaker Breslin: "He wants action on it at this time. Any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Representative LeFlore's Motion be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. By use of the Attendance Roll Call House Bill 2899 is taken from the table, the rules have been suspended and the Bill will be placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 3264, Representative Leverenz. Is Mr. Leverenz in the Chamber?"

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I want to move to take this from the table. It's been cleared on the other side. And put it on the Order, I believe..."

Speaker Breslin: "Of Conference Committee Reports."

Leverenz: "Conference Committee Reports, correct."

Speaker Breslin: "Can you tell us what the Bill does and what your intention is for making the Motion?"

Leverenz: "The Bill as it stands right now appropriates five hundred dollars to buy a Frank Lloyd Wright teapot. And it will be gutted for a supplemental appropriation"

Breslin: "Okay. You know what the Gentleman plans to do with the Bill. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Black: "Representative, we certainly have nothing against teapots on this side of the aisle, but for clarification, do you intend this Bill to be the vehicle for a supplemental

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

appropriation if one is agreed to, prior to adjournment Friday"

Speaker Breslin: "He just indicated that he was going to do that."

Leverenz: "Yes."

Black: "Thank you very much."

Leverenz: "That's exactly what I said."

Black: "Well, we have a hard time hearing you over here."

Leverenz: "You may have said it...you may have...Well, it is noisy here, but I can talk over it. What you said was much more eloquent than I could ever have said it."

Black: "Well, I certainly don't disagree with you."

Leverenz: "For your edification, in the future we will not put in vehicle Bills that talk about appropriating money to buy things that excites the press, like a Frank Lloyd Wright teapot. The first supplement...the first Bill that would be used as a vehicle will probably say, 'an appropriation of one dollar to the Central Management Services to buy one tire for a vehicle'. The second Bill will say, 'two dollars to buy two tires to CMS for two vehicles', so that everyone will be able then to track the vehicle Bills."

Black: "That's fine with me Madam Speaker, and I commend the Gentleman on finding such a bargain on tires."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Representative Leverenz's Motion be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. By use of the Attendance Roll Call, House Bill 3264 is taken from the table, the appropriate rules are suspended and the Bill is placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. House Bill Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to take House Bill 3793 from the table and

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

suspend Rule 79(d) and (e). This is an RTA, CTA, Pearl Harbor license plates and rent-a-truck vehicle Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. On that
Motion is there any discussion? There being no discussion,
the question is, 'Shall the Motion be'...excuse me,
Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. For whatever the reason, we don't have a copy of this Bill in any way, shape or form on the floor. If the Gentleman would take it out of the record until we can at least get a copy, we'd be grateful for that."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Well, I filed...I gave Representative McCracken a copy of the Bill. No, I thought I did. I guess I didn't. This is a...Mr. Black, this is simply a vehicle. Now if you have a copy of the Bill...none of this is going to be in there, so I'm not sure it's any good to have a copy of it. This is a vehicle, Mr. Black. It's a vehicle for the RTA, CTA, Pearl Harbor license plates, that I believe Mr. Ryder had and a vehicle to...to provide...the ability to rent a truck with a normal license...normal driver's license. As I said, it's a vehicle. Before we move the Bill, we will show you the package."

Black: "You said you'd show us the package?"

McPike: "Correct."

Black: "That's all we were concerned about. I just...you know, if we could get RTA to come a little further downstate. Some of us wanted to know what options you had. But if we can see it before we move it to passage, that's fine. I'll remove any objection."

McPike: "It'll take 71 votes to pass the Bill."

Black: "Thank you. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Representative McPike's

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Motion be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes have it. By use of the Attendance Roll Call House Bill 3793 is taken from the table and Rule 79(d) and (e) are suspended. Senate Bill 543, Representative Williams."

- Williams: "Yes. I move that Senate Bill...to suspend Rule 37(g) and place Senate Bill 543 on the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 543 is the Judicial Redistricting Bill. As you know, it was stricken down, parts of it, by the Supreme Court. This is the part that was not stricken down. It's a rewrite."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. On that Motion, is there any discussion? There being no discussion the question is, 'Shall the Motion be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the chair the 'ayes' have. By use of the Attendance Roll Call Senate Bill 543 is placed on the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 983, Representative Curran. Out of the record? No."

Woolard: "No."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Woolard will present the Motion."
- Woolard: "Senate Bill 983 is sponsored by Mike Curran, who has lost his voice very conveniently at this time. I move to suspend Rule 79(d) and (e) and place on the Calendar on the Order of Conference Committee Report. I have very little information, so Representative Cowlishaw, you'll have to accept the fact that Representative Curran is without voice at this time and we would very much appreciate your support on this issue."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard Representative Curran's Motion through the mouth of Representative Woolard. On that question, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative

- 144th Legislative Day November 28, 1990 Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. In the interest of moving along, we have some Members on this side that object to the underlying Bill and I would ask for a Roll Call vote on this suspension of rules."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. 60 votes will be required on this Motion. The Motion is on Senate Bill 983, to suspend rule 79(d) and (e) and place it on the Calendar on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 77 voting 'aye', 20 voting 'no' and 15 voting 'present' and the Motion is adopted and this Bill is placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Senate Bill 1086, Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I move to discharge Energy and Environment from further consideration of Senate Bill 1086 and advance the Bill to the Order of...I think it should be Second Reading and suspend Rules 37(g), 79(d) and (e)."
- Speaker Breslin: "Can you tell us what the Bill does and why you're making this Motion?"
- Currie: "Sorry, it says Third Reading, so maybe it's Third Reading."
- Speaker Breslin: "Can you tell us what the Bill does? Just give us a little reason."
- Currie: "The Bill at the moment does nothing. My understanding is that there are some proposals to do something about leaking underground storage tanks, possibly deal with the issue of coal research and development and that's why there is an effort to move this Bill along."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. And on...you've heard the Lady's Motion

and her reasons. The Motion...the Motion as written indicates that the Bill gets placed on the Order of Third Reading. If you want to change it to Second Reading, you have to amend your Motion."

Currie: "Can I just ask...was the Bill amended in the House?"

Speaker Breslin: "While we find an answer to Representative

Currie's question, I will recognize Representative Black on the Motion."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. First, an inquiry of the chair. This Bill originally...the underlying Bill dealt with low level radioactive waste and if I understand the Sponsor's Motion, she wants to take what appears to be a vehicle and move it to Third Reading. Is that correct?"

Speaker Breslin: "It was on Third Reading and sent back to Interim Study in the first place. And then she thus wants to use this Bill...it probably got included in something else, so she wants to use the Bill now as a vehicle for the other things that she mentioned."

Black: "Alright. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Black: "Representative, as you know, it's somewhat noisy in here.

Do you have something specific in mind for this Bill?"

Currie: "It's actually not my specific ideas. I did mention them Representative Black. There apparently is a proposal to do something about leaking underground storage tanks. There also may be a proposal to expand coal research and development opportunities in Illinois."

Black: "Alright. For the record, Representative, and I appreciate your candid response. Obviously, a low level radioactive facility is being considered close to my district and there are others here whose...in whose district that might be placed. We're just very concerned

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

that nothing at the last moment would be put in this Bill that would make it easier to site or operate or construct a low level facility. Do we have your word and concurrence that that is not what this Bill will be used for?"

Currie: "Well, my...certainly you can have my word that I don't plan to use that Bill...this Bill for that purpose, Representative, and if I find that someone does have that intention in mind, I will let you know immediately."

Black: "Thank you very much."

Currie: "But I'm not aware of anything."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? There being...the Gentleman from Coles, Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor

yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield to a question. Excuse me.

First. Ladies and Gentlemen. Proceed, Representative

Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative, to follow up a little bit on the direction that Representative Black was heading...we will...it is not your intention, then, to take the embodiment of what is now in House Bill 4013 and move it into this Bill as well?"

Currie: "Absolutely not."

Weaver: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady's Motion is to discharge Energy and Environment and advance to the Order of Third Reading. The Bill has been on Second Reading before, went back to Interim Study in the Committee. Are there any objections to the Lady's Motion? The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Weaver.

Weaver: "Madam Speaker, just a parliamentary inquiry. If their intent is to gut the Bill and use it for another purpose, why are we moving it to Third?"

144th Legislative Day

- November 28, 1990
- Speaker Breslin: "It came from Third Reading and it is standard procedure. It's the Lady's prerogative to do this."
- Weaver: "So...So her intent then is to move it back to Second to modify the Bill after we get it to Third?"
- Speaker Breslin: "And that can be done and it will probably be done more quickly in this fashion. Okay?"
- Weaver: "Okay. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the Lady's Motion be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'.

 In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. By use of the Attendance Roll Call, Senate Bill 1086 is discharged from Energy and Environment Committee and advanced to the Order of Third Reading, suspending Rules 37(g) and 79(d) and (e). Senate Bill 1310, Representative Giglio."
- Giglio: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to discharge from the Registration and Regulation Interim Study Calendar from further consideration, advance to the Order of Second Reading and suspend Rule 37(g) and 79(d) and (e). What this is...we need this Bill to purchase a building for the U. of I. and this is a vehicle that's available and I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. On that Motion, is there any discussion? Any discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "An inquiry of the Chair, Madam Speaker. I believe the Motion was made by Representative Giglio. Is he Cosponsor of this Bill, or have the necessary forms to present this Bill?"
- Giglio: "Representative Keane is not here. He asked me to handle it, Representative, and that's why I'm doing it. He's in a meeting."
- Black: "Do you have that form in writing?"
- Giglio: "I filed a piece of paper with the Clerk. If Jim wasn't

- 144th Legislative Day

 November 28, 1990

 here that I'd take the Bill and move it."
- Black: "Would...would you check with the Clerk and see if that's on file, Madam Speaker?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio, we cannot find any authorization signed by Representative Keane on this Motion, so If you would take it out of the record and contact Representative Keane for that purpose, that would be useful."
- Giglio: "Well, if it's appropriate, I'll have Representative Granberg. He's the Cosponsor of the legislation. He can do it."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Granberg, if you come up to the Clerk's well and add your name to the Motion, you could be recognized for this purpose. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Barger, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Barger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Point of information."

Speaker Breslin: "State your point."

Barger: "Last June you said that Representative Bugielski could not handle a Bill that he was joint Sponsor on because he wasn't the primary Sponsor and here you're planning, I assume, to allow someone else to do something that we wouldn't allow in June and I don't think that's fair to Representative Bugielski."

Speaker Breslin: "There's a certain difference, however, because the chief Sponsor disagreed with the Motion that was being made by Representative Bugielski. In this case that does not exist. So you see, there's a decided difference."

Barger: "Was there anything on the record indicating that there was a disagreement?'

Speaker Breslin: "Oh, yes. Oh, yes."

Barger: "There was?"

Speaker Breslin: "Oh, yes. We had a written letter from the

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

chief Sponsor at the time."

Barger: "Oh. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Granberg is recognized to present the Motion on Senate Bill 1310."

Granberg: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would make the Motion to discharge the appropriate Committee and place Senate Bill 1310 on Second Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. On that Motion is there any further discussion? There being further...there being no further discussion the question is, 'Shall the Motion be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. By use of the Attendance Roll Call, Senate Bill 1310 is discharged from Registration and Regulation and advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Senate Bill 1638, Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to suspend Rule 79(d) and (e) and place on the Order of Conference Committee Reports Senate Bill 1638, which will be used as a unemployment insurance, a vehicle for technical changes and for the Workers' Compensation Rate Adjustment Fund. Both of these have been agreed to by both labor and management."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any discussion on his Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1638 be placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the chair the 'ayes' have it. By use of the Attendance Roll Call this Bill will appear on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Senate Bill 1649, Representative Hicks. Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1649, I would move to suspend

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Rule 79(d) and (e) and place this Bill on the Calendar on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. This Bill is the Real Estate Appraisal Act, which would concur with the federal law and what they've done with the real estate appraisers. It also does several other things dealing with things such as the Torrens system in Chicago and everything else. There is an Amendment coming down and that's what we plan to do with the Bill. I'd ask for the Bill to be moved."

- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. On the Motion, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I simply rise in Black: objection to the suspension of the rules. I would call all Members attention to this Bill. One of the provisions in this Bill is a real estate appraisal rewrite. Most of you, if not all of you, have real estate appraisers district. I can tell you, I have about nine pages of objections from real estate appraisers in my district. They are telling me that this is not an agreed Bill and I would caution you not to rush to move this Bill to final there are still some points passage. think negotiation that should be made and if the Sponsor did not so indicate, Madam Speaker, I would ask that we have a Roll Call vote on the suspension of rules."
- Speaker Breslin: "That's fine. Any further discussion?

 Representative Hicks is recognized to close."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. The Gentleman is somewhat correct in there are a few people who are opposed to this Bill. Let me tell you though, any time you deal with real estate appraisers, you're going to have people who are going to be against every type of Bill. They don't want anybody in the appraisal business whatsoever. We're

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

simply trying to put this Bill in the same fashion, no stricter regulations than what the federal people say we have to do. Right now in the State of Illinois if you go to any bank in Illinois that deals with the FDIC, you're going to have to have a certified appraiser to do this. I'm in the real estate business, I've been there for many years and if you're going to do business in Illinois, you're going to have to have it in the future. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike."
- McPike: "Representative Black, this is the main Revenue Vehicle
 Bill. It has at least ten different provisions to be
 added. At the Governor's request we will add the Chanute
 Air Force Base language. We will add the Department of
 Revenue's administrative changes that they have requested.
 Now, this is the only vehicle that we have identified and
 we're specifically trying to accommodate the Governor on
 Chanute on this, so I'm not going to read all ten of these,
 but I'd be glad to if you would...I went over these with
 the Minority Leader this morning."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Black: "Well, I wasn't sure whether the Majority Leader was asking me a question or just pointing something out in the..."
- Speaker Breslin: "He just wanted your attention to be sure you were listening."
- Black: "Well, he certainly...well, he certainly got it when he said Chanute."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1649 be placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports,

- 144th Legislative Day November 28, 1990 suspending Rule 79(d) and (e)?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. votes are required. 60 votes are required. Have all voted Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take who wish? the record. On this question there are 79 voting 'aye', 10 voting 'no' and 21 voting 'present' and the Motion is adopted and Senate Bill 1649 will be placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Senate Bill 1842. Representative Curran, who has no voice will speak through the voice of Representative Woolard."
- Woolard: "Senate Bill 1842, I move to suspend Rule 79(d) and (e) and place on the Order of Conference Committee Reports.

 This is an education vehicle. I would move for its passage."
- Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. On that Motion, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion be adopted?' All those in...excuse me. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: Well, inquiry of the Chair, Madam Speaker. I can't find anywhere in the rule book that allows the voice, however pleasant it is, of Representative Woolard to speak for Representative Curran and I wouldn't something to come back a day or two and negate all of the work that Representative Curran is doing through Representative Woolard. So I just want to make an inquiry of the Chair...are we sure that we're within the rules to allow Representative Woolard to speak for Representative Curran?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Do you object to Representative Woolard...?"

 Black: "No, I don't think Representative Curran has ever sounded better."
- Speaker Breslin: "I was about to say that myself, but I wouldn't say that. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1842 be

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports, suspending Rule 79(d) and (e)?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the chair the 'ayes' have it and by use of the Attendance Roll Call, the rules are suspended and Senate Bill 1842 is placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Senate Bill 1951, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to suspend Rule 79(d) and (e) and place Senate Bill 1951 on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. This is the Omnibus Pension Bill and it's the intent of the Sponsor that when this Bill goes on the Order of Conference Committee Reports to reject Conference Committee Report #1 and call for the appointment of a second Conference Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion and explanation. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Question of the Sponsor, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Black: "Representative, it's been awhile, but as I recall, one of the pension Bills late last June that was attempted...we attempted to run that Bill and it wasn't agreed...I think you eventually took it out of the record. Is this that same Bill?"

Wolf: "This is the same Bill, Representative, and meetings have been going on since. There will be another meeting at 9 o'clock tomorrow morning to go over the final drafting of this Bill and this will be the full concurrence of the Representatives on your side of the aisle."

Black: "Have...what I really need for you to do is to let me know if you have some kind of agreement now that we didn't have on June 30th with this Bill that you're about to ask for suspension of the rules."

- 144th Legislative Day
- November 28, 1990
- Wolf: "We have no final agreement at this point. We will have by tomorrow morning."
- Black: "Well, let me just ask you then for the record, could we have your word, Sir, that this Bill will not move to final passage should there be no agreement?"
- Wolf: "Would you rephrase that, please?"
- Black: "Well, I think what we would like to do, and I think your side agreed last year and I think your Majority Leader agreed, we don't move Pension Bills unless they are agreed. And if you are successful in suspension of the rules today, all we'd like is your word, and it's certainly good enough for me, Sir, that you will not move the Bill if we don't get agreement prior to adjournment on Friday."
- Wolf: "Well Representative, I don't see how I can stand here on the floor and speak for other Members on the task force who are going to be meeting tomorrow morning and what their arrangements are going to be at that time, I can't tell you at this point."
- Black: "Would it be your intent not to move the Bill unless you had agreement from both sides of the aisle?"
- Wolf: "Let me say this, Representative, it's the intent of the Committee and of the Sponsor to work out an agreed Bill if that is at all possible."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Representative. Madam Speaker,
 Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if the Gentleman has,
 and I think you've all heard his intent clearly stated and
 if that be the Gentleman's intent and we can reach
 agreement, I think we all want to vote on it. However, we
 will reserve our right to object if he wants to move this
 Bill at the appropriate time and no agreement has been
 reached."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Motion before us is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1951 be placed on the Order of Conference Committee

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Reports, suspending Rules 79(d) and (e)?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. By use of the Attendance Roll Call, 79...Senate Bill 1951 is placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Ladies and Gentlemen, on page 2 on your Calendar under Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 2239. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I move that we reject Conference...the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 2239. It was brought to our attention two weeks ago by Claudia of the Republican staff that what was on file was not exactly correct in presentation. By agreement, Representative Black and I move to reject that Conference Committee Report and seek a second Conference Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. On the Motion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Yes. Representative, can you explain what you want to put in the Bill?"

Mautino: "At this point I will not allude to what was in the Bill because what was in the Bill was incorrect. It had 3 Amendments that I explained on the floor that was not on file with...there were different versions on file with the House and the Senate...I mean on the Republican/Democratic side. As soon as we put it together correctly I'll be happy to explain it."

Levin: "Okay. My concern...I just ask this as a question...is there any intent to do anything with...I noticed the title deals with towing...would there be anything in the Bill when we're through that deals with towing?"

Mautino: "Not that I'm aware of."

Levin: "Pardon?"

Mautino: "Not that I'm aware of."

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House reject the first Conference Committee on Senate Bill 2239 and have a second Conference Committee be appointed?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the chair have it and the House does reject the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 2239 and second Conference Committee will be appointed. Ladies and Gentlemen, I am advised that we now have the necessary Motions on file under Amendatory Veto Motions for a second Supplemental to be printed. As soon as that comes out, we will go to that order of business. Ladies and Gentleman, on page 2 on your Calendar under the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 2012. Representative Peterson is recognized for a Motion."

- Peterson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. First, I would like to move to suspend Rule 36. Have an Amendment and we would like to suspend that rule for the printing of the Amendment on Second Reading."
- Speaker Breslin: "This Bill appears on the Order of Third Reading. Representative Peterson asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading and makes the Motion to suspend Rule 36. Hearing no objection, that will be done. The Bill is on Second Reading and the rule is suspended. Proceed, Representative Peterson. That was by use of the Attendance Roll Call."
- Peterson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

 Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2012 is a revisionary Bill presented by the Legislative Reference Bureau. I ask for the approval of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2012."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Peterson has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2012. On that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Chair would like to recognize former Representative Henry Klosak over here on the Democratic side of the aisle. Welcome. He served here when there were 177 Members. Right? Ladies and Gentlemen, just recently the House took action on House Bill 3793 and we have moved to suspend the rules and take this from the table. We find that the First Conference Committee Report failed on that issue and Representative McPike has requested a second Conference Committee. A second Conference Committee will appointed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are honored with the presence of another fine Republican House Member, Dennis Hastert. Congressman Congressman Hastert. Му Congressman is here. Supplemental Calendar announcement." Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #2 is being distributed." Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we are prepared to go to the Order on Supplemental Calendar #2 under the title of Amendatory Veto Motions, Gubernatorial Compliance. Gubernatorial Compliance. The Sponsors are McPike, Steczo, Giorgi, Hicks, Countryman and Steczo. The first Bill is Senate Bill 1456, Representative McPike. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1617, Representative Steczo.

Steczo: "Thank you Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I would move to concur with the Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 1617. The Governor made one change as it relates to the question of compliance audits on continuing education as it relates to the medical profession and he indicated, rightfully so, that the medical profession

coming. Representative Steczo is recognized."

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

already has these kind of audits available to them. Otherwise the Bill was acceptable to the Governor and I would move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to the Governor's Amendatory Veto...recommendations for change in Senate Bill 1617. On that question is there There being no discussion, the question is, discussion? 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1617?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the Motion is adopted House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Going back to Senate Bill 1456, Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. If you could put the Bill number up. Thank you. Thank you Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto. I think the Governor's Amendatory Veto simply stiffened the penalty for the offenses in the Bill. This Bill deals with the Drug Paraphernalia Control Act."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. On the Motion is there any discussion? There being no discussion the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1456?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 118

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Motion has rec...lll voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority so the Motion is adopted House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Senate Bill 1884, Representative Representative Giorgi, are you ready on this Bill? This is an Amendatory Veto Motion to accept the Governor's recommendations for change. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1996, Representative Hicks. Proceed, Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you very much Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1996 is a Bill that amended the Build Illinois Bond Act, increasing the authorization by six million dollars to take care of a sewer plant deal in Mount Vernon. I would move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 17...1996."

Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. On that Motion is there any discussion? Any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1996?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Representative Bugielski votes 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority so the Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate 2168, Bill Representative Countryman. Proceed, Representative Countryman."

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I promise you this is my last Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Promises, Promises."

"Senate Bill 2168 allows a distributor to refuse Countryman: sell near beer to a nonlicensee. There was an Amendment added to allow the sale of alcoholic liquor. rather than just beer or wine in buildings, rather than just in buildings and parks under the control of the Department of Conservation. The Amendment that the Governor suggested was that the alcoholic liquor that is sold by Department of Conservation concessionaire be sold only for consumption on the premises. I think that's a suggestion on his part and an oversight on ours and I move concurrence in the Amendatory Veto."

Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. On the Motion, is there any discussion? Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 2168?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority so the Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 2222, Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I move to accept the Governor's recommendations for change as to Senate Bill 2222. The Governor, in his Amendatory Veto made two changes. First, this Bill deals with the Quad Cities Regional Economic Development Authority and a portion of the Bill provided that the bonds that they would

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

issue would be backed with the moral obligation of the State of Illinois. The Governor indicated that there may be some projects that do not win the state's backing so this Economic Development Commission, or Authority should have the right to...to provide for bonds on its own, so he that change and there was also a provision that dealt with control of private airports by municipalities within three miles of their boundaries. The Governor deleted that language. Those two changes, Madam Speaker, I concur with and would move that we accept the Governor's recommendations for change."

Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Motion is there any discussion? Any discussion? being none the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority so the Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. On the same Calendar, Supplemental #2, appears Total Veto Motions. Under Total Veto Motions there are two Bills. sponsored by Representative Brunsvold and Representative Keane. We'll proceed to those Bills now. Senate Bill 2058, Representative Brunsvold. Motion #1."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would move to override the Governor's veto on Senate Bill 2058. This Bill passed out of this chamber with over a hundred votes. It was sponsored in the Senate by Senator Maitland. The Bill simply would allow a \$500 merit scholarship for those

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

individuals that were supposed to get one in '87 and '88.

And there is no money attached to this. If money becomes available, then it will be provided for these students and I ask for the support of this Body."

Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion to override the Governor's veto. On the question, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Breslin: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, in your remarks you clearly stated that there was no companion appropriation to the Bill. let me make it very clear, I have voted for this all along, but I find the Governor's Veto message perhaps to a point that I've overlooked. Without the appropriation, without the dollars, are we not giving these people false hope, as we have year after year? I guess at some point...I guess some point we need to make at determination whether we are going to be able to pick these retroactive scholarships that we've never funded, and if we aren't, perhaps stop the charade and say 'no'. It was a good idea, we didn't put the money there and we might as well move forward."

Brunsvold: "Well Representative, I would agree with you to a point. You know we gave these students false hope back in 1987 and '88 that they were going to receive scholarship monies here under this merit program and I think at that point we misled these students then. You might give us an 'A' for effort here, trying to get some money to these kids that need the money. Other than that I would say I will stay after this with Senator Maitland until, you know...to see if we can maybe get the money. You know, be a little tenacious about this and maybe impress on the Governor how

144th Legislative Day

important this money is and let the General Assembly, the

Senate and the House both stand behind this \$500 merit
scholarship and maybe we can get it."

Black: "Well, you know...and I certainly concur with your remarks and I admit to you I'm suffering from a bout of hypocrisy here because I voted for it, but that disease is sometimes epidemic in this Body, but I guess the next question that obviously should arise is, do you have any information from the Student Assistance Commission about how many of these people can we find? I mean, how many of these people do we expect to be able to find, should money become available, and give them the money? Do we have 50%, 80%?"

Brunsvold: "Oh, I think we could find them all. They're all...they're all in a situation now where, if they're in '88, they started in '88, they should still be in the system and the money should be available to them then."

Black: "Thank you very much for your time, Representative."

Speaker Breslin: "Any further discussion? The Lady form DuPage,
Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for one quick question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cowlishaw: "Representative, could you please tell us, who was the main Sponsor of this Bill in the Senate?"

Brunsvold: "Senator Maitland."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2058 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 71 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 80...there are 90 voting 'aye', 19 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. Representative

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Williams votes 'aye'. There are 91 voting 'aye'. This Motion having received the required three-fifths majority is adopted and Senate Bill 2058 is hereby declared passed, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. Senate Bill 2256, Representative Keane. Motion #1."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to override the Governor's veto on 20...Senate Bill 2256. The Governor in his message said that if the fund...it would set up an export...the Bill sets up an export loan guarantee fund that the Bill, absent action by the General Assembly to appropriate funds for repayment would be a problem. The Governor said that basically we were going to have to levy appropriations in order to repay defaulted loans. However, all loans are fully collateralized, so we would have the collateral for the loan before we gave it, objection is of no value and therefor I would ask to override the Governor's veto."

Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. On the Motion, the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you. Will the Gentleman..."

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Mautino: "Jim, when that legislation originally passed that provided the guarantees there were some of us that were opposed to guaranteeing private enterprise on loans from the state. How many entities in the export authority come under this provision and are they...are those loans public knowledge and audited, because there was a problem in that regard about a year and a half ago."

Keane: "I don't know. I was prepared to speak to the...to the Governor's Amendatory Veto. He didn't..."

Mautino: "How many companies are we covering with this guarantee?"

Keane: "How would we recover...?"

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Mautino: "How many companies are we covering with this guarantee?"

Keane: "The guaranteed loan is given on the basis of collateralization. Full collateralization of whatever we loan them. I don't know the number...the number would be hard to come at because we have five million dollars. Depends on what denomination the loans are given in."

Mautino: "The question then is raised, if it's fully collateralized and if the security is there, why do we provide the guarantee beyond that collateralization?"

Reane: "Because the collateralization may mean something that cannot be used right now, such as a machine, a building or something else that the person would not want to utilize or could not go to a financial institution and quickly find. If you look at what's happening in the financing of business, many banks are now out of...out of the loan business. In fact there's a whole new industry coming up which provides unusual loans for business which are made up of private investors who have come together because banks will not satisfy the needs based on the collateral of the borrower."

Mautino: "What happens if we don't accept...if we don't override the veto?"

Keane: "The Bill dies."

Mautino: "Then the guarantee provisions are still in statute but there's no money for it, is that correct?"

Keane: "No. It was a total veto."

Mautino: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Ropp: "Representative, is this five million dollars, is that the

144th Legislative Day

total amount that would be available for this export authority?"

Keane: "Yeah, that's really...see, what we're looking at is seed money. Hopefully...this is something similar to what the New York Port Authority did when they attempted to help people, especially in export loans."

Ropp: "Okay. In this..."

Keane: "So hopefully in time if this proves to be not enough I'd be happy to vote for more because all that means is we're bringing more export trade."

Ropp: "Yeah. Well, I think this is a good idea. The only thing I question whether or not this dealt with encouraging small business, let's say maybe not the Caterpillars or John Deere or somebody like that who's already involved in international trade. Does this encourage small business or does this...is it opened up to anybody that wishes to take advantage of it?"

Keane: "No, this is...I think this is for everyone. I don't think that it's the intent of this legislation to help John Deere or Caterpillar, who have established export departments. This is to encourage new export."

Ropp: "Okay. So there would be a possibility that maybe one firm would use all of it at one time, is that not correct?"

Keane: "Well, you're getting into the body of the Bill which is not what the Governor's Amendatory...his veto dealt with. But basically, it specifies...It limits the amount and duration of guarantees per exporter, so we are...actually you cannot...it would be bad to limit it too much, simply because we don't know the type of people that would come in. If someone comes in and it's larger than you normally want but it's a great opportunity to...it's a great opportunity for the state to get into an export area, you'd want to give them the flexibility to do that. In general,

144th Legislative Day

this is set up for people who don't know how to export now and assist them in exporting."

Ropp: "Okay. It seemed to me, since we have already passed several pieces of legislation today that deal with establishing new overseas offices for the State of Illinois that this just supplements that effort, or complements it in an effort to expand trade from the State of Illinois and even though I don't think five million dollars is very much in order to stimulate this, this is seed money, it does get the whole process started and any time we can export products to offset the balance of trade in this state and in this country I think ought to be considered as a positive move and I would support the override."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm.'

Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

Klemm: "Representative Keane, I have a couple of questions, they're short. As an owner of a small business, if I were to ship...post shipment, okay? A shipment to an overseas entity, could I if I qualified, get a post shipment loan from the Authority and have it guaranteed under this Bill?"

Keane: "I'm sorry, I can't hear you."

Klemm: "Alright. If I were to make a post shipment loan, could I go to the Authority and receive funding...a guaranteed loan to...prior to making this shipment to an overseas exporting firm, if you will?"

Keane: "I don't have the full Bill before me, but I do not think that it's...in other words, you've already made a deal with someone to buy your goods in Germany and now you come to them asking them to guarantee the loan?"

Klemm: "Right."

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Keane: "No. My understanding, and I'm calling on what happened last June, that it's a precondition. What we are attempting to do is not assist those people who have already got lines of communication or channels of communication open, but that's it's to encourage new ones. Now, that's just off the top of my head, Representative, and don't hold me to it."

Klemm: "Alright, so then, if I didn't have any preexisting orders, I could then still qualify and then go out with my export salespeople and acquire orders then, and be under the guaranteed provision?"

Keane: "Yes. There would be...what we're hoping to do is exactly what Representative Ropp indicated, we can use our overseas offices..."

"So...Okay. So recently I shipped a... containers over to Klemm: Beirut. Okay? Now, Beirut at that time was a very difficult port, and in fact we have no idea where the ship vessel that had the containers are, where it's at. We don't know if it's still above water or under water. would I as a private business guy, since this is a guaranteed type of provision...would I then ask for the state taxpayers to come back and reimburse me for a foolish business decision, to send equipment to a...almost a war zone, at that time? I mean, I've got some concerns that their taxpayers end up getting the bill taken care of them because I made a poor business decision. I...I...for the record, I didn't do that, I got paid in advance, how would that happen under this? And I support helping industry and export, so I'm cautious on this Bill."

Keane: "Well, what happens with the Port Authority of New York and most of the other big exporting groups is that they also were given seed money and they used the federal government insurance. What they'll do is, they'll lay off

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

a project, using the Commerce Department's insurance provision, they'll cover it and that way...that way if the shipment gets lost, stolen, misappropriated, ripped off, it's actually...they've in effect bought an insurance policy by using the federal commerce commission's insurance."

Klemm: "Well, normally we have insurance on those shipments for that very reason. I mean I'm just curious why we have to guarantee it both under a agreement where we have freight on board and export costs and insurance for that loss or that...I'm just a little uncomfortable with the provision that we not only provide it, but now the taxpayers have to guarantee that somebody makes a poor business decision gets paid for it."

Keane: "Well, that would be shame on those giving the loan, authorizing that specific loan and that can happen to anything we do loan. But what they would do is, they would attempt to husband that five million dollars that they've got and..."

Klemm: "Well, there is a difference in that you want to guarantee the repayment. That's something new and that's what we're talking about now. Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Parcells: "You may have answered this, it's so noisy here I couldn't tell, but I couldn't find where there was an appropriation made for this. Was that appropriation made, of five million dollars?"

Parcells: "We don't know if there was or not?"

Keane: "I'm not sure."

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Parcells: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Any further discussion? There being none, Representative Keane to close."

Keane: "I'd just ask for an override of the Veto Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2256 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 71 votes are required. Representative Homer, one minute to explain your vote. The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to speak. Have all voted who wish? 71 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 67 voting 'aye', 43 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. Representative Keane."

Keane: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Breslin: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Representative Krska,

Leverenz and Parke are not voting."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all...does anyone else wish to be recorded? If not, there are 67 voting 'aye' and this Motion fails. On page 3 of your Calendar under Reduction Veto Motions appears Senate Bill 1788, Representative Edley. Turn on Representative Edley, please. Thank you." Edley: "Thank you Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. I move to override the Governor's reduction veto of \$586,000 in funding for Western Illinois University. This veto was...in the Governor's message he said he did this to provide an equitable distribution of funding among universities. But we placed this funding in the BOG's budget last Session because Western has received a much smaller increase than the BOG system schools and all this does is restore them to what the other BOG system

schools are presently receiving. I urge a 'yes' vote."

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. On that

Motion is there any discussion? The Gentleman from

Madison, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Stephens: "How many dollars are we talking about?"

Edley: "\$586,000."

Stephens: "Well, Madam Speaker, to the Motion. \$500,000 doesn't sound like much in a 13.78 billion dollar budget, I know, but as we said two weeks ago, what is happening here, we have passed the budget, we have sent it to the Governor's desk. They went over it thoroughly. They've looked at the revenue and if any of you have been reading the revenue estimates for this year, if you read the commission's report just this week, revenue estimates have been downgraded. The fact of the matter is, Representative, you're talking about 500 and some thousand dollars that if we had it, would be a worthy cause. But you know as well as I do that we're talking about almost \$600,000 that is not there, will not be there and is a budget-busting You know that. We ought to have the resolve to just say, the bank is broke. We're not going to spend our next year's budget money that we know we don't have. We don't have it this year, it won't be there next year. This is a bad Motion, it should be readily defeated."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I also rise in opposition to this Motion and I would direct the attention of the Body to the fact that the \$586,000 which the Representative seeks to restore was an add—on, placed in the Senate and the reason stated in the Governor's veto and the reason that we should defeat this Motion is that that additional money

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

placed Western Illinois University out of equity with the other university systems. If indeed we are to add money here, then this opens a flood gap for the University of Illinois, Southern Illinois, Chicago, State and other state universities to come back and to ask that they should be placed in equity. It has to stop someplace. I suggest it stops with a 'no' vote on this Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cullerton: "Representative Edley, how much money does this involve?"

Edley: "\$586,000."

Cullerton: "Thousand?"

Edley: "Thousand."

Cullerton: "Not million?"

Edley: "Not million."

Cullerton: "Representative Edley, didn't we just override the Governor's veto for a Bill Representative Hasara had and Representative Wolf this morning that had complete support on both sides of the aisle that was going to cost five million dollars? Didn't you..."

Edley: "Seems like I recall voting for something like that."

Cullerton: "What about last week, two weeks ago when we were here, didn't we override the Governor's veto on about a hundred million dollars for the hospitals and all that?"

Edley: "I think we did, yes."

Cullerton: "Didn't we have the support of both sides of the aisle on that?"

Edley: "I think we did."

Cullerton: "So you're trying to pass a Bill that'll cost \$586,000?"

144th Legislative Day November 28, 1990

Edley: "That's correct. And let me..."

Cullerton: "Well, do you think that's a budget-busting Bill?"

Edley: "I don't think so, it's on a budget that's over two hundred million dollars just for the Board of Governors."

Cullerton: "Well, I would suggest that the way we can afford this is if we just cut 2% out of the state budget."

Speaker Breslin: "Enough said. The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Tenhouse."

Tenhouse: "Madam Speaker...Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Motion to restore the amount of money for Western Illinois University. I think it's important. I think when you look at it as far as our area is concerned, Western has come up short as far as equity is concerned with the other state universities. And I'm not an alumnus of Western. It's not within my legislative district, but I think it's the fair thing to do when you look at the big picture, especially in view of the other state universities. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will...for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Edley: "Sure will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Edley, if this money is restored, what would the position of Western be relative to the funding levels that other universities got this year?"

Edley: "Western would have received approximately...or will receive approximately a 1.6% increase compared to the overall public universities of 2.1%. So, they're still below what all other universities are receiving in the state, but they are receiving...would be receiving what the BOG system schools receive, 1.6%. Right now..."

Satterthwaite: "And so you're saying if they do not have this

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

money, they are getting practically no increase."

Edley: "Less than 1%. In fact, it'll be .6%, less than a third of what the other schools were receiving statewide."

Satterthwaite: "And this would just provide them equity within their own system?"

Edley: "That's correct."

Satterthwaite: "I think then, Ladies and Gentlemen, that we are very justified in restoring this money to Western's budget and I hope you will support the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Edley is recognized to close." Edley: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Like the previous speaker this said. is not to put Western above the other universities. In fact, statewide they would still receiving a lower percentage increase. All this does is restore their funding for their schools...for...within their system schools. Western has had the highest percentage increase in student enrollment last year and the second highest over the last five years. I think they deserve to receive similar percentage funding increases as our other public institutions. I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this item be restored to its original amount notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 71 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. Motion having received the Constitutional Majority does prevail, and the House restores the item line. Representative Leverenz is recognized for a Motion on House Resolution 2372."

Leverenz: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker. So we can fill in

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

some of the time that we're utilizing here, I would ask to bypass committee on House Resolution 2372. It's been distributed, I understand. I've talked with Representative Ewing on the other side of the aisle, who rather than have it considered as an agreed Resolution, there may be a few Members on his side of the aisle that may want to vote against it. Therefor, I thought we would call it and hear it, and that is the purpose for the Motion. And I would ask for the House support to have immediate consideration by use of the Attendance Roll Call."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman's Motion is pursuant to Rule 43(a) to move House Resolution 2372, to bypass committee and place it on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection and by use of the Attendance Roll Call...excuse me, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. This has been on our desks for approximately 45 seconds. I think some people need some time to read and digest this. If we're not to be given that time, then I object to the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. I just asked for the immediate consideration to put it on the Speaker's Table and then in about ten or fifteen or twenty or thirty minutes or a half hour, as I discussed with Representative Ewing, we can call it at that time. I'm just trying to be prepared so that this can be done in the manner that I was asked to do on your side of the aisle."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz, quite frankly we are not planning to be here that long. So, perhaps..."

Leverenz: "Make it ten minutes."

Speaker Breslin: "Perhaps Representative Black would agree to have it put on the Speaker's Table and we would consider it

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

tomorrow. Is that a possibility?"

- Leverenz: "Madam Speaker, we do have a word of wisdom from my colleague, Representative Black."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Black isn't seeking recognition.

 Representative Black. Very good."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. To the distinguished Chairman of Appropriations, I know that he can speak very slowly and succinctly. And because he has assured us that he will speak slowly when we're ready to vote on this, we will remove our objection to putting this on the Speaker's Table, and then when we get to it later, he will speak very slowly. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. The question is, 'Shall the House, Rule 43(a), bypass committee for House Resolution 2372 and place this Resolution on the Order the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. Ιn the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, and by use of the Attendance Roll Call this Bill is before this House on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration. Representative Leverenz is recognized to present House Resolution 2372 at this time."
- Leverenz: "Yes. Thank you, Madam Speaker. And we would welcome Representative Black, our distinguished colleague on the other side of the aisle and his leadership skills, to become a co-sponsor of this. This Resolution 2372 would implore Congress to leave well enough alone as it is in the State of Illinois, where we have in Illinois a prior authorization of the drug formulary system from the medicaid program, rather than set up a duplicative second system at the federal level. Answer any questions you might have and I would appreciate your support of the Resolution to leave Illinois just the way it is right now."

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's presentation of the Resolution. Is there any discussion on House Resolution 2372? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Resolution 2372 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and House Resolution 2372 is adopted by use of the Attendance Roll Call."

Leverenz: "Thank you, all."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Myron Olson is recognized for a Motion. Representative Olson."

Olson, M.: "Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "You're recognized for a Motion."

Olson, M.: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move approval of some Journals and I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal the following Journals be approved. Would you like me to enumerate them? Thank you. House Journal of May 10th; 115 of May 11th; 116 of May 14th; 117 of May 15th; 118 of May 16th; 119 of May 17th; 120 of May 18th; 121 of May 30th; 122 of May 31st; 123 of June 1st; 124 of June 5th; 125 of June 6th; 126 of June 7th; 127 of 12th; 128 of June 13th; 129 of June 14th; 130 of June 15th; 131 of June 19th; 132 of June 20th; 133 of June 21st; 134 of June 22nd; 135 of June 25th; 136 of June 26th; June 27th; 138 of June 28th and 139 of June 29th, 1990. We move to dispense with the reading and that be approved."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Myron Olson has moved for the...to dispense the reading of the Journals recorded.

Any objection to that Motion? There being no objection, the Motion is granted. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 216, B. Pedersen; Senate Joint Resolution 217, B. Pedersen; Senate Joint Resolution

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

219; Senate Joint Resolution 220, Klemm; House Resolution 2371, White; 2374, Curran; 2375, Curran; 2376, Black; 2377, Black; 2378, Black; 2379, Black; 2380, Stephens: 2382, Black; 2381, Black; 2383, Black; 2384, Black; 2385, Black; 2386, Black; 2387, Black; 2389, Hicks; 2390, Black; 2391, Novak; 2392, Curran; 2393, Speaker Madigan; 2394, Mulcahey; 2395, McGann; 2396, Weaver; 2397, Terzich; 2401, Currie; 2402, Johnson and 2403, Wyvetter Younge. Senate Joint Resolution 219, the Sponsor was Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Agreed Senate Joint Resolutions and the House Resolutions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions. Whoops! Excuse me. Representative Black, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Black: "An inquiry of the Chair, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "State you inquiry."

Black: "SJR...what number did you read?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolutions 216, 217, 219 and 220."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Now Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 2373, offered by Representative Morrow, with respect to the memory of Betty J. Lindsey; House Resolution 2388, offered by Representative Anthony Young, with respect to the memory of Velma Ross; House Resolution 2398, offered by Representative Cowlishaw, with respect to the memory of Herman E. Smith; House Resolution 2399, offered by Representative Cowlishaw, with respect to

144th Legislative Day

- November 28, 1990
- the memory of Perry Kenneth (Ken) Wise; House Resolution 2400, offered by Representative Cowlishaw, with respect to the memory of Eva Anderson White."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the Death Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 218, offered by Representative Davis."
- Speaker Breslin: "Committee on Assignment. Representative Hasara is recognized for an announcement."
- Hasara: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I sent out a memo this morning that evidently a lot of people did not receive. But Sue Mogerman, the Director of the Department of Historic Preservation, has agreed to give any Member of the House or Senate who wishes, a special tour of the Dana Thomas House tomorrow morning at 8:00. If you are interested...if you could please call my office so we have some idea of the number. We will meet at the carriage house right behind the newly restored Dana Thomas House at 8:00 a.m. tomorrow. It really is a lovely place and I know many of you would like to get in to see it. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Kulas: "For the purpose of an announcement, Madam Speaker. I'd just like to remind the Body that the House Energy, Environment and Natural Resources Committee will be meeting at 4:00 in a joint meeting with the Senate Environmental Committee on the topic of the municipal solid waste issue. This will be an open meeting. It's an informational meeting with the task force that's been working on this issue for over a year, will present their final product. And I would ask all Members to please come there at 4:00 and anyone

144th Legislative Day

November 28, 1990

else who is interested, please come to the meeting. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "What's the room number again, Representative Kulas?"

Kulas: "Room 114."

Speaker Breslin: "Room 114, Environment and Energy Joint Committee. There being no further business to come before the House, Representative McPike moves that the House stand adjourned until 10:30 tomorrow morning. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. This House stands adjourned until 10:30 tomorrow morning."

91/02/06 REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001 13:46:07

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

NGVERWER & GV & 721	BER 28 199	28 •	ER	VEMB	NOV
---------------------	------------	------	----	------	-----

HB-2570	MOTION	PAGE	8
HB-2899	MOTION	PAGE	8
HB-3264	MOTION	PAGE	10
HB-3793	MOTION	PAGE	11
HB-4013	DISCUSSED	PAGE	16
\$8-0543	MOTION	PAGE	13
SB-0983	MOTION	PAGE	13
SB-1086	MOTION	PAGE	14
SB-1310	MOTION	PAGE	17
SB-1456	VETO ACTION	PAGE	28
SB-1568	MOTION	PAGE	4
\$8-1569	VETO ACTION	PAGE	5
SB-1617	VETO ACTION	PAGE	27
\$8-1638	MOTION	PAGE	19
SB-1649	MOTION	PAGE	19
\$3-1709	VETO ACTION	PAGE	6
SB-1788	MOTION	PAGE	40
SB-1842	MOTION	PAGE	22
58-1884	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	29
SB-1951	MOTION	PAGE	23
SB-1996	VETO ACTION	PAGE	29
SB-2012	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
SB-2058	MOTION	PAGE	31
SB-2138	VETO ACTION	PAGE	29
\$3-2222	VETO ACTION	PAGE	30
\$8-2239	CONFERENCE	PAGE	25
S8-2256	MOTION	PAGE	34
HR-2372	MOTION	PAGE	44
HR-2372	ADOPTED	PAGE	47
HR-2373	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	48
HR-2388	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	48
HR-2398	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	48
HR-2399	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	48
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	49

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER BRESLIN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND JAMES EPPERSON	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	ì
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
INTRODUCTION - MONMOUTH HIGH SCHOOL GOLF TEAM	PAGE	2
COMMITTEE REPORT	PAGE	3
INTRODUCTION - HENRY KLOSAK	PAGE	27
INTRODUCTION - CONGRESSMAN DENNIS HASTERT	PAGE	27
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	47
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	48
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	49
COMMITTEE ON ASSIGNMENT	PAGE	49
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	50