63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the House will come to order. We would ask Members to be in their seats. To pray the invocation, we will be led today by our own Representative Rice."

Representative Rice: "I'd like to take this opportunity to do two things, if I can, before we say our prayer. God forbid, Let God be true that Thou mightiest be justified in Thy things and mightiest overcome then when they are In the Chicago area this last week we lost a fallen leader who had demonstrated leadership and commitment and concern for the children who make up the Chicago school district for the last 35 years. He retired in February, 1989. This Body rendered a Resolution. for our Father in Heaven, He called him home June the 21st. 1989, saying, 'Servant, well done.' His earthly name Theodore Henry Lewis of Park Forest, Illinois. In our prayer this morning we will pray for his wife, Lois, they had 3 sons, for continued strength and hope as we ask our own...we ask our own prayers ourselves. Let us bow our heads. Oh Lord, please hear Your humble servant. Thank You for allowing us to awake and come again and journey to this place. Bless each and every one of us assembled here in this chamber. We are gathered here today in order that we can handle the business of this great state. To give us the necessary wisdom and convictions in order to reach the decisions that will benefit and satisfy the citizens of this state. We thank Thee for allowing us to be able to negotiate and agree and will not take away from our gentlemanly and ladylike demeanor. Oh God, strength so that we will leave this place stronger than are now in wisdom and concern and compassion and respect for each other. We ask these blessings in Your Son Jesus'

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

name. Amen."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mulcahey, would you led us in the pledge, please?"
- Mulcahey, et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Breslin: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Matijevich, do we have any excused absences?"
- Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker. Let the record show that Ralph Capparelli is excused due to his injuries and that he is recuperating at home, doing well and he may try to come down sometime next week if at all possible, hobbling in with his crutches."
- Piel: "Yes, Madam Speaker. There's Representative Harris,
 Representative Klemm and Representative Stange and I...a
 point of personal privilege, too, Madam Speaker, if I might
 ask the Members of the House...this weekend when they go to
 church and in their prayers if they could remember Courtney
 Harris. He's going through a rough time. This is David
 Harris's son, he's having quite a rough go of it at the
 present time. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you, Representative Piel. Ladies and Gentlemen, it is the intention of the Chair to do those Bills on the Special Order of the day on Education or State and Local Government in which Members wish to nonconcur. If you wish to nonconcur in any of these Bills on these Special Orders, please come to the well and give us the number. Please do that as quickly as possible so that we can start this business. Take the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk. On the question there are 111 answering the Roll Call. A

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

quorum is present. Representative Shirley Jones is present. Would you add her to the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk? Would you add Representative Shirley Jones? It is also the intent of the Chair to now go to the Order of Resolutions. Resolutions were not affected by the deadline, so we'll do that now and hopefully, those people who have Motions to nonconcur will by that time have come to the well and give us...given us the number of their Bill. Does anybody mind if we start on the Order of Resolutions seven minutes early? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. House Resolution 180. Who is the Sponsor of 180, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Out of the record. House Resolution 336.

Who is the Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks. Proceed, Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Resolution 336 is urging Congress to oppose a federal gas tax. I do have a letter from the Office of Governor to President Bush dated on June 6th also urging to oppose the proposals to raise the federal fuel tax for the purpose of the highway...for any purpose other than the highway and transit infrastructure investments. There's been some proposals to raise the federal gas tax in order to pay off the national debt and we're simply urging to spend those dollars on highways where they are collected from. Be happy to try to answer..."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of House Resolution 336. Why isn't it on the board, Mr. Clerk? Is there any discussion on this Resolution? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Resolution 336 be

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 542. Who is the Sponsor of this Resolution?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative McNamara."
- Breslin: "Is Speaker the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative McNamara? Out of the record. House Resolution 729...excuse me, Representative Johnson, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Johnson: "Yes. Could I have everybody's attention? This is...Yesterday, sometime last night, one of the pages took all of my stuff and put it in the wrong car. If anybody has a voluminous amount of material...files, schedules, et cetera, et cetera. I would be devastated without what he put in the wrong car, so if anybody got some stuff put in their van or their car, it's mine."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. And that would apply to probably non-legislators as well, if people were parking in our spaces, so for all in...within the sound of these microphones, please be advised. Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I may have Representative Johnson's material. A page delivered to me yesterday 118 copies of a sing-a-long. You know, the sing-a-long bingo? Maybe this is what he's looking for."
- Speaker Breslin: "I don't think so. House Resolution 729, Mr. Clerk. Representative Homer, are you seeking recognition?"
- Homer: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I am. I was watching Representative Olson. There seems to be a real crime wave going on among the Republicans. I know that it was yesterday that he had...3 days ago, 2 days ago that he had both pairs of shoes stolen from his hotel room along with his belt. And I notice he's wearing some today and they look a lot like Tim Johnson's clothing to me. I just wonder if there was

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Sangmeister. Congressman Ed Madigan, local Legislators like Representative Van Duyne, myself and others who have been working with the Will County Center For Economic Development and the International Corridor to bring jobs to the region, utilizing the Joliet Arsenal. There's one problem that still needs to be addressed at the arsenal and that's some environmental contamination. was TNT production at the Arsenal during World War II and the Korean War and the Vietnam War and that contaminated a portion of the arsenal and so we've got to get that cleaned Two weeks ago, to bring you up to date, there was an up. inter-agency agreement signed between the Illinois EPA, the federal EPA and the Department of the Army to decide responsibility over who's going to clean up what and who's going to be responsible for what. The big problem that we have to deal with is who is going to pay for the cleanup of the Joliet Arsenal. One point I want to make very, very clear. Because the Arsenal is a federal property, it is ineligible...it is unable to receive Super Fund monies and requires a line item appropriation from the U.S. Congress to the Department of Defense. Also, the technology still needs to be fully developed for the cleanup, so basically, this Resolution calls upon the federal government to put their money where their mouth is and come up with the resources to clean up the Arsenal and give it a very high level of priority. So in behalf of local Legislators, economic development groups and myself, I ask for an 'aye' vote and move for adoption of House Joint Resolution 61."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 61. On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to House Joint Resolution 61. The area

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

any connection between these two incidents."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman."

- Countryman: "Well, they definitely aren't Tim's shoes, they're polished."
- Speaker Breslin: "House Resolution 729, Representative Lou Jones.

 Lou Jones. Is the Lady in the Chamber? Out of the record.

 House Joint Resolution 33, Mr. Clerk. Who is the Sponsor?"

 Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Brunsvold."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brunsvold. Joel Brunsvold. Out of the record. House Resolution...House Joint Resolution 45. Who is the Sponsor?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Curran."
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Curran? Representative Curran? Out of the record. House Resolution 61. Who is the Sponsor? House Joint Resolution 61."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Weller."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weller. Proceed."
- Weller: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to bypass Committee and place House Joint Resolution 61 on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration. The Resolution has been reproduced and distributed to Members' desks."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to bypass Committee with this Resolution and moves that it be placed on the Speaker's desk for immediate consideration. Is there any objection? Hearing no objection, your Motion carries, the Bill is on...the Resolution is on the Speaker's desk. Proceed with the Resolution."
- Weller: "Thank-you Madam Speaker and Tadies and Gentlemen of the House. As we all know, the Joliet Arsenal, located south of Joliet, once employed 8,000 people and was a major economic center...economic generator for the whole region.

 Now the Joliet Arsenal is basically inactive. There's a bipartisan effort which Senator Dixon, Congressman George

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

that I live in has over 50 sites that are both open and closed that contain toxics. Many of these sites are probably in need of cleanup and we would certainly aid in...you know, if they were cleaned up it would certainly aid our area in attracting jobs to the southeast side, the one that has been devastated by plant closings. the southeast side are dying because of heavy toxic pollution in our area over the years. The federal government has a Super Fund list which ranks sites for cleanup on a number of factors. Although I disagree with the way that the point system works, and I may add that it's currently being revised, to say that this site or any site deserves the highest priority is wrong. Why not all the sites on the southeast side of Chicago? Or what about Waukegan Harbor, which has tons of PCBs on the There is nothing wrong with saying that there should be a line item in the Department of Defense budget for cleanup of the Joliet facility, but sites across this state need immediate cleanup and therefor I must urge my colleagues to vote 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The very nature of Representative Balanoff's comments only illustrates the importance of this Resolution. We in the Will County area have been pleasured and chagrined by the Joliet Arsenal over the years by the yo-yo effect that the federal government has taken with that place. They open it up, they employ 8,000 people as Representative Weller has illustrated and then they'll close it down and we have to find work for all the people who have infiltrated our area. So it hasn't been all honey and pie and now we're faced with the cleanup in the red water division of that plant

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

specifically, and Representative Balanoff's comments only illustrate that our Resolution is important to us and if there comes a time when Representative Balanoff has a similar Resolution, why we'd only be too happy to support his, too."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The important thing to remember in this cleanup site is that it was the federal government...the federal government over the last 50 years that caused the problem and that's why this Resolution deserves passage. I spoken with Senator Dixon, Congressman Sangmeister on numerous occasions. This is a bipartisan issue. It's for the people of Illinois to clean up a site that has long since been abandoned by the federal government and left a condition that's dangerous to the environment of the people of Illinois and the people of Will County and I join Representative Van Duyne and Representative Weller in urging your support for this important Resolution to tell Congress it's time to clean up the site that the federal government polluted. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if the Sponsor of this Resolution and those who are for the cleanup in the Will County area have spoken to their senators, that ought to be enough. I've read the Resolution and what I am concerned about is that the language of the Resolution, one would tend to believe that the cleanup of that area is more important, for example, than the cleanup of Waukegan Harbor. The Resolution, as I read it, was for the intended purpose so that you can save

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

jobs in your area. What comes first, jobs or lives? I am concerned about the cleanup of Waukegan Harbor because we are talking about the lives of people. We don't know what the PCBs are doing and the...those who may eat fish that may be contaminated with PCBs may be doing with the I am not happy with the whole way that lives of people. the Super Fund is being administered and the lack dollars that were promised and aren't forthcoming. My Waukegan Harbor is very high on that list. I don't want to see any Resolution adopted which might in any way affect the funds that ought to be coming to...so that important areas be cleaned up to save lives. I would urge that, don't... In the first place, this Resolution know...I doesn't mean a darn thing and we all know that. You know, so why the hell...why the hell do something that doesn't mean a darn thing? You know, you got your press release, that's already out. Now we don't have to add any more fuel In fact, very seldom have I heard from any Senator that was in response to any Resolution that we adopted. So, I would urge the Members to vote 'no' on this Resolution if you're interested more in lives than anything."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. The

Resolution is important because it focuses on the issue
that's important, that this is not even eligible for the

Super Funds. All the other areas which we mentioned are
eligible for the Super Fund. This is not. That's why the

Resolution's important. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think the other side of the aisle...at least part

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

of it...doth protest too much. The implication that the Representative is doing this for a press release, I find distasteful that that comment was made. The Gentleman is trying to address a problem, a very unique situation created by the federal government. He's addressing the problem to the federal government. It's very appropriate. Don't try to politicize it. If you believe in a clean environment, if you believe in economy, a simple Resolution like this should be endorsed and passed with no opposition. I'm surprised with the arrogance of the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative...The Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Parke."

Parke: "I, too, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...I, too, rise in disappointment that people would look upon the intentions of people and question the motivations of them. We try not to do that. We try not involve ourselves in personal politics on this floor and I'm disappointed that people feel that that's justifiable at this time. I ask for passage of this Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weller is recognized to close."

Weller: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let me first address the concerns brought up by the two Members of the House that spoke in opposition to this Resolution. I have the greatest admiration and respect for both the colleagues, two Gentlemen that I enjoy working with and look forward to working with in many years ahead, but let me make this clear. I believe that they've mistaken something here. Their projects, Waukegan Harbor and the toxic cleanups that need to be done in their districts, are eligible for Super Fund monies. They're eligible to receive money from the Super Fund. The Joliet Arsenal, because it is a federal property, because it is a

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Department of the Army property, is not eligible for Super Fund money. It requires a line item appropriation to the Department of Defense. Let me also take a point and look the language of this Resolution. This doesn't say that the Joliet Arsenal should be given absolute priority over my colleaque's district. Here's what the language says: 'that ensure that their commitment is matched with the proper funding, research and development be conducted and clean up of the Joliet plant amongst its highest priorities.' That has an 's' on it. Highest priorities. It doesn't place it over Waukegan Harbor, it doesn't place it over southeastern Chicago. So let me make it verv clear; if you care about environmental cleanup, if you care about bringing jobs northeastern Illinois, I ask for 'aye' vote. In behalf of Senator Dixon, Congressman Sangmeister and a bipartisan group of legislators, for an 'aye' vote and ask for a Roll Call vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Joint Resolution
 61 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those
 opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Flinn,
 one minute to explain your vote."
- Flinn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I happen to be one of those on the other side of the aisle. I am not putting out a press release and I'm voting 'aye'. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Olson, one minute to explain your vote."
- Olson, B.: "Madam Speaker, I rise for a point of personal privilege. I have been honored to introduce distinguished guests in this chamber and I have been humiliated by introducing poor legislation, I guess. But my task here this morning is very pleasant. It falls in the wide gray area between those two types of events. I would like...it would be from your own viewpoint how you accept this

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

announcement, but there is a perk to being here on Saturday. It's the birthday of the Honorable Myron Olson. He has cookies at his desk and he invites everyone to come over and share this occasion. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Breslin: "Happy birthday, Representative Olson. We hope you get home for part of it. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 73 voting 'aye', 18 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. The Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 66...House Joint Resolution 66. Who's the Sponsor, Mr. Clerk? Representative Barnes. You're recognized on House Joint Resolution 66."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would like to suspend the appropriate rules to bypass the Executive Committee and have this heard today."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady asks leave to bypass the Executive Committee so that this Resolution can be heard immediately.

 Does she have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Proceed, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "There is also an Amendment filed."

- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Cullerton in the Chair. Who is the Sponsor of the Amendment, Mr.Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 offered by Representative Barnes and Daniels."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Amendment and the House Joint Resolution 66 establishes a child support review task force. On May 22nd, when we had a seminar where we had people in from Washington and we had the regional directors, they informed us that we were not in compliance by not having a child support review task force that would report all of the findings and all of the accomplishments that we have made in the State of Illinois and for that

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

reason Representative Daniels and myself have introduced House Joint Resolution 66. I would ask for its passage."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Floor Amendment#1 to House Joint resolution 66. Is there any debate on the Amendment? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 66 is adopted. Now, Representative Barnes on House Joint Resolution 66."

- Barnes: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Joint Resolution would establish this child review task force. It would have Members of the Supreme Court, Public Aid, all the different support agencies that are involved, the Clerks and each leader of both Houses would appoint two Members to establish this task force. Any fees that would be required for traveling expenses would be paid through the Department of Public Aid and in order to stay in compliance with federal law, I would ask for passage of House Joint Resolution 66."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady's moved for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 66. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Joint Resolution 66 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, House Joint Resolution 66 is adopted. Is Representative McNamara in the chamber? Representative McNamara on House Resolution 542."
- McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 542 is a Resolution to encourage the Attorney General to free Mr. Thornton...excuse me, the Attorney General to free Joseph Dougherty, who is an Irish-American political prisoner. He has been incarcerated in a New York jail for the last 6

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

years without trial. He has won every single case brought up against him by the British government in this country and in fact, in 1984 Judge 'Sprizzo' indicated that should've been granted political asylum. This is travesty that has continued for the past six to six and The cell in which he is being held almost indicates that he is in solitary confinement because of the fact that he cannot be mixed with other prisoners due to the political nature. The only crime that he was...in this country that he was ever indicated (sic-indicted) for was that of entering the country without a passport. appealed for political asylum, he was granted that political asylum by Judge 'Sprizzo', who is a United States Judge. The English government in appealing decisions, appealed 6 specific times and the English government lost every appeal. So really, in effect, we are incarcerating a man for over 6 years in this country who has never committed a crime and this Resolution or ... urges the present Attorney General Thornberg to give the man political asylum as the courts had decided over 6 years ago. I urge for your approval of this Resolution."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has asked for the adoption of House Resolution 542. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Resolution 542 pass?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, House Resolution 542 is adopted. Representative Curran. Representative Curran, did you wish to do House Resolution 180? No? Okay. Representative Curran, how about House Joint Resolution 45?"

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Joint Resolution 45 is simply an attempt to study the results of what has happened in other states when

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

they took serious measures regarding the insurance industry, such as eliminating...reducing rates by 15 percent like they did in, I think California, or eliminating the anti-trust exemption. So I move...I'll be glad to answer any questions and move for the adoption of the Resolution."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Joint Resolution #45. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Joint Resolution 45 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, House Joint Resolution 45 is adopted. Representative Brunsvold, there appears Senate Joint Resolution 67. The Senate Sponsor is Senator Davidson, you are the House Sponsor along with Representative Hasara. Do you wish to proceed on that Senate Joint Resolution?"
- Brunsvold: "Mr. Speaker, I filed a Motion to discharge the House

 Exec Committee on...for further consideration on Senate

 Joint Resolution 67. I have talked to the other side of

 the aisle and the Committee Chairman and they both
 approve."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Mr. Clerk, what is the status of Senate Joint
 Resolution 67? The Gentleman has moved to suspend the
 appropriate rules and to discharge the Executive Committee.

 Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman has leave by
 the Attendance Roll Call to discharge the Executive
 Committee and for immediate consideration of Senate Joint
 Resolution 67. Representative Brunsvold on the
 Resolution."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 67 applauds the conservation provisions in the 1985 Farm Bill. A group of...or a special Committee, excuse me...with

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

representation from government, from private sector and the farm community from conservation interests put together these recommendations for the 1990 Farm Bill and it urges Congress to consider these new additions. It deals with the wetlands, conservation education, a sod busting program, conservation compliance and multi-year set asides and strategic forest reserves. Those provisions are included in the Resolution and I would answer any questions and ask for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 67."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 67. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Joint Resolution 67 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, Senate Joint Resolution 67 is adopted. House Resolution 729, Representative Lou Jones."
- Jones, L.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to bypass Committee and place on the Speaker's desk House Resolution 729 for immediate consideration."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. The Bill is assigned to the Judiciary
 II Committee. The Lady's Motion is to discharge Committee
 and have immediate consideration of House Resolution 729.
 All in favor of the Motion say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'.
 The Motion prevails. You may now proceed with House
 Resolution 729."
- Jones, L.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 729 directs the Department of Corrections to file with the General Assembly a plan for the development of a child and parent residential programming for female inmates convicted of non-violent crimes. This is a joint...a Resolution that I have...that is supported by the Department of Corrections and also the Citizens Council on Women and I'd ask for a favorable vote."

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 729. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Resolution 729 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, House Resolution 729 is adopted. House Joint Resolution 33, Brunsvold. Out o f the record. Representative Representative Flinn, on the Order o f Motions... Representative Flinn on House Resolution 508."
- Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Resolution does, it asks the Governor to designate the second week of October each year as Aviation Education Week. The Governor did indeed proclaim the week of October 10-14, 19,..10 through 14, 1988. We thought it was a good idea and we'd like to make it a permanent thing. I'd move for the adoption of the Resolution."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Well, Representative, I think first we have
 to move to discharge the Committee on Aviation. So the
 appropriate Motion is to discharge the Aeronautics
 Committee..."

Flinn: "I so move."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to discharge Aeronautics Committee from further consideration and advance to the Speaker's Table House Resolution 508. Does the Gentleman have leave? He has leave by the Attendance Roll Call to discharge the Committee and now on the Resolution, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of House Resolution 508. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair...Representative Piel, did you have a question on this Resolution?"
- Piel: "Yes. Could the Gentleman please explain exactly what the Resolution does, Mr. Speaker?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Flinm, if you could..."

Flinn: "I'd need a little order, first, before you ask a question. It's a bit noisy over here."

Speaker Cullerton: "Could we give the Gentleman some order, please?"

Piel: "Yes, Representative Flinn, would you just explain what the Resolution does, please?"

Flinn: "Yeah, all the Resolution does, it asks to make permanent an Aviation Education Week of what Governor Thompson did last year in October. He declared the 10th through 14th of October as Aviation Education Week. We just ask him to make that permanent."

Piel: "Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption House Resolution 508. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, House Resolution 508 is adopted. We'll remind the Members if they wish to nonconcur with any of the Senate Amendments to their House Bills, to please come to the well indicate to the Clerk that you wish to nonconcur with Senate Amendments to House Bills. When we go to the Order of Nonconcurrence, the procedure would be to...for each Member to briefly explain...just briefly explain what House Bill does, what the Senate Amendments do and why you wish to nonconcur with the Amendments. We do not need, generally speaking, a Roll Call vote, but it is...the procedure will be to briefly explain what the Senate Amendments are to your House Bill and why you wish to nonconcur. On the Order of concurrence... These are Motions to nonconcur, the first Bill will be House Bill 541, Representative Flinn. Sir, if you could just briefly explain what the House Bill is, what the Senate Amendments do and why you wish to nonconcur. Representative Flinn on

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

House Bill 541."

- Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Briefly, House Bill 541 into permitted banks to go nursing homes, places...apartment complexes and that sort of thing to do business with people who are physically unable to get out and do banking business. And in the course of the deliberations of the Bill, they sought to protect the currency exchanges and in the Amendment they drew up, created a problem for the credit unions and all we're trying to do is correct it. We don't want to create any problems for anybody, and so I've agreed with the Senate Sponsor to nonconcur and we probably will straighten this out through a Conference Committee. There's no big problem with it."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with House Bill 541. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 541?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 541. Representative Countryman. House Bill 879. If you could just briefly explain the House Bill, the Senate Amendments and the reason why you wish to nonconcur."
- Countryman: "House Bill clarified the definition of the terms
 'Board of Governors' making it clear that they were 6 year
 terms. Senator Schaffer had the Bill in the Senate, added
 an Amendment to make an immediate effective date and asked
 me to nonconcur, so I move to nonconcur."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 879. On that is there any discussion? The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Satterthwaite: "Representative Countryman, do we have your assurance that if this Bill goes to a Conference Committee, you won't come back with proposals that have been defeated in the legislative process earlier this year?"

Countryman: "You talking about the separate board for Northern?" Satterthwaite: "Right."

Countryman: "No, we're not...this doesn't even amend the Regency
Act and we don't have any intention of bringing that back
or put it on this Bill at all. This is something Senator
Schaffer wants and doesn't have...nothing I want."

Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Homer."

Homer: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."

Homer: "Representative Countryman, what can you tell...obviously, you're trying to get this Bill to Conference, can you give us an idea of what we're going to be looking at when it comes back?"

Countryman: "Well, the one thing I can tell you, it isn't going to be as is a separate governing board for Northern, as I just Representative...said to Representative Satterthwaite. Senator Schaffer had some things that he wanted to do and he hasn't really enunciated them and it's one of the few vehicle Bills around. I don't...I wouldn't...I tell you this, it wouldn't be anything controversial. It'd be just cleanup things we need to do. They appear."

Homer: "Alright That's fine."

Speaker Cullerton: "Okay. The Motion is to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 879. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with House Bill Eight...with the Senate Amendments to House Bill 879.

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Representative Mautino, House Bill 1057."

- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057. In consultation with Representative Wojcik, whose Amendment that was, we placed it on another Bill in the Senate that was voted out last night, I believe. Therefor, I nonconcur with this Amendment at this time."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057. Representative Steczo. Is Representative Steczo in the Chair? In the chamber? Representative Steczo, this is House Bill 1287. This is a Motion to nonconcur. Representative Steczo on a Motion to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287. Senate Amendment #1 deleted a requirement that park districts could increase their annexation acreages from 80 to 200 acres. It passed the House on the Consent Calendar and the reason for taking this Bill to Conference is to, number one, see if language on that respect can be worked out to make it more amenable to the Senate and two, there may be some noncontroversial local items that we need to take care of and this will serve as a vehicle to do that, so at this point I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287. On that is there any

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287. Representative Hartke on House Bill 1406. Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Yes. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 and...or, 2 and 3 of House Bill 1406."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hartke, I'm sorry, did you explain...."

Hartke: "Yes."

Speaker Cullerton: "...the House Bill and the Senate Amendments and the reason why you wish to nonconcur?"

Hartke: "Senate...No, I didn't explain. Senate Amendment #2 and 3 have technical errors in their relationship with the extension service and the multi-county arrangement in the extension service and we'd like to put that into a Conference Committee to straighten out those problems."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves...on that question is there any discussion? Representative McCracken, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm just curious, is that the only purpose, just to clean up those errors, or...?"

Hartke: "It's to clean up those errors, that's one and #2 is, we're willing to put a motor fuel regulation for the Department of Agriculture on that Bill."

McCrackén: "Oh,"

Hartke: "1308 died. It's not a motor fuel tax increase, Tom."

McCracken: "It is not?"

Hartke: "No."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Amendment #1 to House Bill 1406. The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1406?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1406. Representative Countryman. Oh, I'm sorry. That Motion was to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1...I'm sorry, with Senate Amendments #2 and 3. And so the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 1406. Representative Countryman, House Bill 1503."

Countryman: "House Bill 1503 increased the penalty in...for reckless driving from a Class B misdemeanor to a Class A misdemeanor. Senator Barkhausen had the Bill in the Senate and he added two Amendments. One was a Amendment dealing with...enhancing the DUI laws in aeronautics which apparently was a Bill that had some ill fate over here earlier. And the other was a Geo-Karis Amendment..."

Speaker Cullerton: "You don't need to go any further, I don't think, Representative."

Countryman: "I don't want to concur in either of these Amendments and..."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to..."

Countryman: "So, I move to nonconcur."

Speaker Cullerton: "...nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to
House Bill 1503. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say
'no'. The opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the
House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to
--House Bill 1503. Representative Regan. House Bill 1883."
Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to
nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1883.
The...Senator Hawkinson placed an Amendment on the Bill
that the wording is just not quite right and we'd like to
nonrecede over there and put it in a Conference Committee

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Report and work out a compromise on this Amendment."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative, if you wouldn't mind, the procedure we've adopted is if you could briefly explain the House Bill, briefly explain the Senate Amendment and the reason why you wish to nonconcur."
- Regan: "The House Bill deals with the coercion to commit suicide,
 the Amendment was the exclusion of euthanasia and the
 wording is not acceptable to pro-life people."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1883. On that is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Homer."

Homer: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."

- Homer: "Representative Regan, what is your plan for...are you contemplating this Bill as going into Conference Committee?"
- Regan: "Carl Hawkinson is going to nonrecede and it's going to go into Conference Committee."
- Homer: "And...and what will you be doing with it in Conference?"
- Regan: "We've got to work out the wording on this Amendment and that's my intention. I hope nothing else goes on the Bill at all in Conference Committee, but there seems to be a problem in the good faith counseling concept of the Amendment. Both I and Carl had agreed."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur. Is there any further discussion? Representative McCracken, the Gentleman from DuPage."
- McCracken: "Thank you. I suspect there is no language to compromise on. I hope you don't even try. I hope you send the message loud and clear, that Amendment is awful and I hope that you prevail."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? There being none, the

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1883?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1883. Representative Saltsman. House Bill 2060. Representative Saltsman."

- Saltsman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment was put on in the Senate at my request and due to the late hours it was thrown on in a hurry. It wasn't needed and therefor, I nonconcur with the Amendment so the Bill goes to its original form."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Sir, if you could just briefly explain what the House Bill does and what the Senate Amendment does and why you wish to nonconcur."
- Saltsman: "The House Bill allows the tuberculosis boards in Peoria and Peoria County to be eliminated and a half percent tax that is levied for that purpose will be controlled by the Peoria County Health Department upon the request of the Peoria City Council and the Peoria County Board and therefor on the .05 tax, the Health Department, the word 'shall' instead of 'may', which is in the original Bill will stand."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman's moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2060. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2060?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2060. Representative Matijevich. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Matijevich? Representative Hasara, House Bill 2274."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur in Senate

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Amendment 1 to House Bill 2274. Since this Bill passed the House, both the IHSA and the Elementary School Association have contacted me and we are trying to work something out in their rules, so I would move to nonconcur."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hasara, if you could just briefly explain what the House Bill does, what the Senate Amendment does and the reason why you wish to nonconcur."
- Hasara: "The House Bill required schools...public schools to permit female or male athletes to participate on a team if there is no other team of...male team or female team. The Senate Amendment deleted the provision for high school, left only elementary school. We are trying to work something out with the associations to provide for this in their rules."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady has moved for the...her Motion is to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2274. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2274?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2274. Representative Matijevich on House Bill 2171."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 House Bill 2171 is a Fire Furniture Safety Act and the
 Senate Amendment was an Amendment worked out with the
 furniture industry and the firefighters...Associated
 Firefighters of Illinois, but we contacted in New York the
 furniture industry and there's one small part of it that
 has to be changed and it can only be changed through a
 Conference Committee, so I would now move to nonconcur with
 Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2171 so that the Senate
 can refuse to recede and have a Conference Committee."

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with

Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2171. On that

question...the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative

McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Matijevich, is the controversy whether this will apply to existing furniture or only prospectively?"

Matijevich: "No, there's...that's not a controversy, there's only a...I don't have the Bill in front of me, the staff brought it to me yesterday. There's only a language change. I think there's 5 words that have to be taken out. I don't know what they are now and with that they said they were going to contact the Retail Merchants Association and that's just the objection of those 5 words and then they would be in support of it."

McCracken: "And it will be prospective, is that it?"

Matijevich: "Yes."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2171?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2171. Representative Petka. Representative Petka? You have 2 Bills on the Order of Concurrence that you've indicated you wish to nonconcur in. The first one would be House Bill 2449. Representative Petka."

Petka: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment...hang on a second."

Speaker Cullerton: "To the...there's 2 Amendments on the Bill.

Senate Amendments #1 and 3."

Petka: "I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #3 and #1.

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Okay. Alright. I'll nonconcur with all of those Amendments that were placed on the Bill."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Petka, we've tried to invoke a procedure here. If you could briefly explain what the House Bill does, what the 2 Senate Amendments do and the reason why you wish to nonconcur."
- Petka: "Okay. The House Bill, basically, is the Fetal Alcohol Syndrome Warning, which requires that a warning sign be placed in establishments which dispense alcoholic liquor for women who use alcohol during pregnancy. What the Senate Amendment did was basically change the type of sign that was to be displayed and I believe that as a result we simply have a conflict between what we feel is a proper warning that should be given. Also there was the deleting of the effective date...the delaying of the effective date, so that's the reason we move for nonconcurrence, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Petka, if I can clarify the record, is it your Motion to nonconcur on both Senate Amendments #1 and 3?"

Petka: "That is correct."

Speaker Cullerton: "Okay."

Petka: "Mr. Speaker, may I take this out of the record?"

- Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. Bill will be taken out of the record.

 Representative Stern. Is Representative Stern in the chamber? Representative Virginia Frederick on House Bill 2785."
- Frederick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 of 2785. Senate Amendment #1 provides the Department of Lottery with the authority to file a lien on the property of a sales agent who is liable for unpaid proceeds. Since that Amendment was passed the Department of Revenue found that they needed to make some further

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

administrative changes in this Bill, so I move to nonconcur."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. Madam, could you please explain what the House Bill..."

Frederick: "2785."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. Could you explain what the House Bill did? You explained what the Senate Amendments did to the House Bill. Could you briefly explain what the House Bill did?"

Frederick: "It's Senate Amendment that I'm nonconcurring on House Bill 2785."

Speaker Cullerton: "Could you briefly explain what the House Bill did?"

Frederick: "Yes."

Speaker Cullerton: "Could you?"

Frederick: "The House Bill contained 3 provisions...I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you. It increased the vending tax from 10 to 25 dollars annually. It amended the Retailers Occupation Tax to allow that liability indicated on the tax to be rounded off to the nearest dollar and it provides that the Department shall no longer be required to issue paper credit memos unless they're requested. So that's the underlying Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Fine. The Lady has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2785. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Anthony Young."

Young: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield...for a question?"

Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates she will."

Young: "Representative Frederick..."

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

- Young: "Yah, I just had a...I was wondering if you had other intentions for this Bill or what you wanted to do with the Bill."
- Frederick: "I'm sorry, I can't hear you."
- Young: "Right. I was...we were looking at the Amendment and it looked like a fair, reasonable Amendment and we were wondering if you had other intentions for the Bill?"
- Frederick: "Oh. Actually, my intentions are perfectly honorable.

 I really agree with the Department of Revenue. They need to make some further administrative changes in the Bill.

 That's why I'm moving to nonconcur."
- Young: "Never any doubt about your intentions, Representative."

 Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2785?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2785. Representative Petka on House Bill 2450."
- Petka: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2450 was part of the Governor's Drug-Free Illinois program. It...the House Bill basically provided a mechanism for the calling in of dogs to be used to aid school authorities in searching lockers. The...I wish to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3, which I believe basically guts the Bill. The Senate Amendment would provide that...Senate Amendment #3 would provide that any evidence which would be discovered by these dogs as a result of their searches could not be used in any proceeding and I do not believe that this was the intent of this original legislation, so I would ask for a nonconcurrence as to Senate Amendment #3."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Petka, is it your...let me explain the procedure to the Members of the House. We are

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

only taking Motions to nonconcur on Bills where you wish to nonconcur with all the Senate Amendments. If you wish to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2, then we're not going to go to that Order of business today. And the reason for that, Sir, is that if the Senate does recede from Senate Amendment #3, then that would be final passage in the Senate, so that we...a Motion to concur with 1 and 2 and to recede from 3 could be final passage in the House. So..."

Petka: "Thank you very much for that clarification. With that, I will move to nonconcur with all 3 Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Okay. The Gentleman moves to nonconcur Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2450. On that is there any discussion? Okay. Representative Anthony Young wishes to inquire. Perhaps, Representative Petka in all fairness to Representative Young...perhaps since you only addressed Senate Amendment #3, perhaps you could explain what Senate Amendments #1 and 2 does since you also wish to nonconcur with those."

Petka: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #1 basically gutted the Bill as it came out of the House. The Amendment was a...basically, was an enlargement on the language that was used. It had the same general import, but...insofar as the House, it was simply an enlargement which defined what reasonable expectation of privacy is and basically was a clarification of the Bill that had passed in the House. Senate Amendment #2 was put on by Senator Barkhausen, dealt with paging devices and the use of paging devices. The penalties for the use of paging devices and that's basically it."

Speaker Cullerton: "Okay, the Gentleman's explained the Senate

Amendments #1, 2 and 3 with which he wishes to nonconcur.

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Anthony Young."

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

- Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a question for the Sponsor."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman will yield."
- Young: "Representative, just from your explanation, I was wondering...it sounded like you agreed with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 and the only Amendment you disagreed with was 3 and I was wondering why you would nonconcur on all 3."
- Petka: "Representative, there's a lot of noise on my side of the aisle. I simply did not hear what you said."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Can we please give the Gentlemen order. The
 House could please pay attention to the debate.
 Representative Young is questioning Representative Petka
 and he cannot hear him, so if you could please give him
 your attention, we'd appreciate it. "
- Young: "My question, Representative, from your explanation it sounded like you agreed with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 and you didn't agree with Senate Amendment 3. And I was wondering why you were nonconcurring on all 3 Amendments."
- Petka: "First of...Representative, first of all what Senate Amendment #1, which basically enlarged the Bill as it came out of the House...I've taken a look at Senate Amendment #1 which was put on by Representative Hawkinson, (Sic-Senator Hawkinson) I do not necessarily agree with his attempting to define reasonable expectation of privacy and what it is and what it is not. I believe that his concept is something which is worthy, but it's not necessarily...not necessarily the language that I would've used had I drafted the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2450?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2450. Representative Pullen. Is Representative Pullen in the chamber? Representative Pullen on House Bill 1726."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1726 deals with removing from the Department of Children and Family Services the duty to investigate instances of educational neglect since this is actually truancy, and should not be a DCFS function. The Senate has attached an Amendment which reverses the intent οf this Bill. It's objectionable to me and I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and I hope the Senate will see the wisdom of receding."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady moves to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1726. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1726?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1726."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #2 has been distributed." Speaker Cullerton: "Ladies and Gentlemen, if you could please look at Supplemental #2 to determine whether or have any Bills of which you'rethe Sponsor...any House Bills on the Supplemental. If you wish to nonconcur with any of those Bills, please come down to the well immediately and we can proceed to that Order. We believe there may be one other Supplemental #3 that we'll get to today as well, complete the...the Order for Nonconcurrence only. Representative John Dunn in the chamber? Yes, Representative John Dunn on House Bill 2729."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

As hyphenated Cosponsor of this legislation, I move to

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

nonconcur in the Senate Amendments to House Bill 2729."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Dunn, what we've been doing is briefly explaining the House Bill, briefly explain the Senate Amendments and then explain why you wish to nonconcur."

"Well, the House Bill creates a lien for licensed clinical Dunn: psychologists rendering treatment to injured persons and what this means is they would have a means to be paid for their services. I don't have a copy of the Senate Amendment...And the Senate Amendment broadens the Act by providing that a licensed clinical psychologist render psychological diagnostic or treatment services or treatment services related to psychological aspects of physical illness to injured persons shall have a lien upon all claims and adds provisions that psychologists who are required to provide information under this Act can only do pursuant to the Mental Health Disabilities Confidentiality Act and it makes other technical corrections. I renew my Motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2729. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2729?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2729.

Representative Rice. Are you the...are you a cosponsor of Senate House Bill 2702? Is that correct, Sir? So, Representative Rice on House Bill 2702."

Rice: "Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 2702, we do not concur with Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Cullerton: "Could you briefly explain what that Bill does and what the Amendments do, Sir?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

- Rice: "This originally was a Bill that was put together...It made a number of changes in the Election Code, Mr. Speaker. And in the Senate, they saw wisdom to change it. Theres three highly technical changes and what we would like to do is send this Bill into the Conference Committee, so we move to nonconcur."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Okay, thank you. The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2702. On that is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you. Would you explain, Representative Rice, what the Amendments do and what you want to do with the Bill ultimately?"
- Rice: "Senate Amendment #1, Public Aid offices and other agencies. It has to do with voters registration and drivers license and Public Aid offices. It would make them eligible to have Deputy Registrars. That's #2...#1.

 Number 2 deletes the additional sections relating to the appointment of Deputy Registrars at the Drivers License office and deletes sections of Members of the General Assembly for appointing Deputy Registration officers."

McCracken: "Thank you for that explanation."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2702?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2702. Representative Anthony Young in the Chair."
- Speaker Young: "...Bill 1217, Representative McPike. House Bill 1217. Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1217 passed the House as a vehicle

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

for amending the General Obligation Bond Act. Senate Amendment #1, by Representative Luft (sic-Senator Luft) increased by one dollar the amount of General Obligation Bonds the state is authorized to issue. By nonconcurring with Senate Amendment #1, the intent of the Sponsor and the Senate Sponsor to put the Bill into a Conference Committee for the purposes of amending the General Obligation Bond Act. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1217."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman has moved that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1217. On that Motion is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur (sic—conconcur) to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1217?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur. House Bill 1218, Representative McPike. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1218, when it passed the House, was a vehicle for the Build Illinois Bond Act. In the Senate, Senator Luft amended the Bill by making minor changes in the bond limit, the purpose of which was to put this Bill in a Conference Committee, so my Motion is to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1218."

Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1218. On that question is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1218?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur. House Bill 1384, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

the House. House Bill 1384 was a vehicle for the Pension Code when it passed the House and Senator Jones put a...Amendment on. The purpose of my Motion is to put this Bill into a Conference Committee so if we have any Agreed Bill with regard to pensions this year, it may be used...this Bill may be used to carry it. So I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1384."

- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1384. On that Motion is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1384. House Bill 2363, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2363, when it passed the House, defined a common law fiction as an assumption of law that something is true when it either is or may be false. The Senate amended this Bill. The Senate Amendment said that it changed the word 'assumption' to 'an assumption or a supposition.' I don't agree with this Amendment, I think it was a mistake that the Senate made with...by Senator Carroll, and I would hope that they would recede from it. So, I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2363."
- Speaker Young, A.: "The Gentleman, moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2363. On that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "I rise in support. I think everybody needs a good civil procedure vehicle. I hope when the time comes...the Gentleman lets me load it up with goodies."

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

- Speaker Young: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2363. House Bill 113, Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur in Senate
 Amendment 1 to House Bill 113. This is the Impact Fee Bill
 which we agreed would go to a Conference. I would move
 nonconcurrence."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Cullerton in the Chair."
- Cullerton: "Representative Keane...Representative Keane has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 113.

 On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 113?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Amendment #1 to House Bill 118. I'm sorry, 113. Senate...House Bill 113. Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1...1191. This is one of two Bills concerning the University of Illinois Hospital transfer with Cook County..."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative...Representative Keane, if I could just ask you to hold that for a motion...for a moment, we're trying to get the disc into the machine so we can post it. Representative Novak, on House Bill 365."
- Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 365. House Bill 365, if you recall, which was my Bill, the initial text of the Bill provided that townships that are contiguous within a forest preserve district in counties of 125,000 and below upon getting 5

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

percent of the electors on petitions would be allowed to disconnect by referendum. This Bill passed out of here quite easily. In the Senate an Amendment was put on that is unfriendly to the substance of my Bill and I move for nonconcurrence."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to...the Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #...Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 365. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 365?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 365. Representative Granberg."
- Granberg: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House..."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative, hold it one second. I'm sorry. Your...The Bill is on the regular Calendar. I just wanted to clarify that, so you can proceed with your Motion."
- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate (sic-House) Bill 519 amended the Adoption Act. Provided that in order for a person to be found unfit, they had to have a certain finding of physical child abuse resulting from the death of a child. The finding had to be supported by clear and convincing evidence. Senate Amendment #1 to the Senate (sic-House) Bill added a provision, which we're not sure if it's germane or not, pertained to the payment of living expenses for adoptive parents, that's certain that they would be able to pay a reasonable living expense to the biological parents after obtaining a court order authorizing the payments. We'd like to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1, go to Conference cause there seems to

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

be a need for discussion on its merits."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 519. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 519?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 519. Is Representative Pullen in the chamber? Representative Pullen on House Bill 574."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 574 deals with prohibiting the performance of late term abortions in places other than hospitals with life support systems. The Senate has attached a couple of Amendments, one of which removes the life support systems section. I am not satisfied with the form of the Bill as it came over from the Senate and I move nonconcurrence in these Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Madam, is your Motion to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2? Representative Pullen?"

Pullen: "Yes."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. The Lady moves to nonconcur with Senate
Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 574. On that is there
any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative
Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates she will."

Currie: "Could you explain, Representative exactly what was wrong with the Senate Amendment?"

Pullen: "The purpose for the Bill is to give a baby who is aborted alive and is a viable infant a fighting chance to survive and I believe that the Amendment the Senate has attached has weakened that."

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Currie: "Are you speaking of Senate Amendment 2?"

Pullen: "I believe that's the number."

Currie: "So, all it does...all that did was change the penalty.

And you think that was a weakening Amendment? Is that right?"

Pullen: "Yes, the one that changes the penalty is a weakening Amendment. And I believe the other one is..."

Currie: "From a Class 3 to a Class A misdemeanor. Is it your intention, Representative, only to concern your solution to this problem with that specific issue? That is, is it your intent only to duke it out on the question of the penalty?"

Pullen: "I don't know at this stage, ma'am. This is certainly a

Bill that could be used by the legislature to address the
issue of abortion in a...environment following the Supreme
Court decision that is anticipated. If the Supreme Court
gives the states any more sovereignty or changes what they
have previously said with respect to abortion restrictions,
then this Bill would be a possible vehicle for that, if
such occurs. I do believe that the Bill, as we passed it,
is an important initiative itself."

Currie: "Then to the Motion, Mr. Speaker. I would say that the right Motion if this Bill is important, as the Lady suggests, that her proper Motion should be a concurrence Motion rather than a nonconcurrence Motion and Mr. Speaker, I would make a substitute Motion to concur in the Amendments to House Bill 574."

Speaker Cullerton: "The question that was put is a Motion to nonconcur. If there's an objection to that Motion, we will have a Roll Call vote. A majority of those voting is necessary to move to nonconcur. Is there any further discussion on the Motion to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 574? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Floor

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Amendments...Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 574?' All in favor of nonconcurring vote 'ave', all opposed vote 'no'. This is a Motion to nonconcur put by Representative Pullen. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Currie to explain her vote? I believe you...yeah, explain your vote. Representative Currie. what's your...for what purpose do you rise? You don't. Okay. On this question there are 66 voting 'yes', votina 'no', none voting 'present'...strike that...66 voting 'yes', 33 voting 'no'...I'm sorry, Mr. Clerk. Please take the record. On this question there are 66 voting 'yes', 33 voting 'no', none voting 'present' and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 574. Representative Brunsvold, House Bill 594."

- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 594. The initial Bill outlined procedures for 9-1-1 between agreements municipalities and counties and did some other technical...had some other technical language. The Senate Amendment removed the referendum requirement for cities over 500,000. I move not to concur in Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 594. On that question is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 594?' Representative Brunsvold, before we take the vote on this question, could you take the Rill out of the record? There's been a request for you to take it out of the record. Representative Countryman, House Bill 643."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative, could you just wait until we

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

have this up on the board? Thank you. Representative Countryman on House Bill 643."

- Countryman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The underlying Bill was a methodology for the Supreme Court to appoint counsel for inmates on death row in post conviction proceedings. It was a Supreme Court recommendation, we had it in pretty good shape, got over to the Senate and they added an Amendment dealing with video tape testimony in sex related crimes for which there are a number of Members of the House do not agree and I move we nonconcur in that Amendment with some hope that the Senate would recede from the Amendment."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 643. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 643?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the Senate...the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 643. Representative Curran on House Bill 416. Representative Curran."
- Curran: "Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 416. The underlying Bill provides that the consumer education proficiency test can be taken only once. The Amendments...one of them has a technical Amendment...technical flaw in it and the other one deals with salary adjustments and I think at this time it'd be best for those to be for us not to concur and put this in Conference Committee where we can take a more deliberate approach to it."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate

 Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 416. On that, is there

 any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 416?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 416. Representative Keane on House Bill 1191."

- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur in Senate
 Amendments 1 and 2. The Senate...House Bill 1191 deals
 with the University of Illinois Hospital merger and it was
 agreed in the Senate and by us to put it into a Conference
 Committee. Move nonconcurrence."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1191. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1191?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1191. House Bill 1192, Representative Keane."
- Keane: "This is the companion Bill to the University. It deals with the Civil Service part. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1192. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1192?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1192. Representative Williams. Representative Paul Williams. House Bill 1111."
- Williams: "Yes. I move to nonconcur with Amendment #1...Senate

 Amendment #1. It requires that the report be made by DCFS

 employees who have current knowledge and expertise

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

regarding custodial investigations and who are available in the service region where the court has jurisdiction. This is a...Representative Preston's Bill, I'm a Cosponsor. The Bill itself amends the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. I have no knowledge of what the Sponsor might actually have in mind. I must be very honest about it. He asked me to nonconcur and I'm fulfilling his wishes because he said I would be here so...I just move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1111."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1111. Ωn that Representative question... is Buqielski seeking recognition? Apparently not. Anyone else recognition? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 to House Bill 1111?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1111. Representative...Representative Wennlund. Bill House 1085."

Wennlund: "Yes."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Wennlund, please."

Wennlund: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1085. House Bill 1085, as it originally passed the House, merely provided that the...in the event that a development permit for regional pollution control facility was issued prior to November 12 of 1981 for which an operating permit had not been issued it would expire and then the owner of the landfill or operating facility would have to go back to the 172 citing criteria. With...by agreement with Senator Welsh, the Bill was gutted and creates a new title called 'The Used Tire Management Act.'

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

And as a result of negotiations by Representative Breslin, myself, representatives from the Governor's Office and all concerned parties, we have an agreed tire Bill that will come out in a Conference Committee Report and I ask that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1085. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1085?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1085. Representative Mautino, House Bill 313. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 313. This identical Bill was in the Senate, passed the Senate, has gone to the Governor. I move to nonconcur, which is the Special Event Licenses and that's with the agreement of the Senate Sponsor on Amendment #1. I move for nonconcurrence."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 313. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate amendment #1 to House Bill 313?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 313.

Pepresentative Woolard. Is Representative Woolard in the chamber? Representative Woolard on House Bill 1359. Representative Woolard...House Bill 1359."

Woolard: "Yeah. I would like to nonconcur with House Bill 1359.

The Senate Amendment that was placed on needs some rework."

Speaker Cullerton: "Sir, we've asked the Sponsors to briefly

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

- explain the House Bill, briefly explain the Senate Amendment and then indicate why you wish to nonconcur."
- Woolard: "Okay. The House Bill deals with the rebuilt auto parts guarantee and what this Amendment will do will change the direction that was intended and we need to rework the Amendment so that it deals with rebuilt manufacturers rather than the sellers."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1359. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1359?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1359. Is Representative Hallock in the chamber? Representative Hallock? Representative Brunsvold. On the regular Calendar appears...I'm sorry. Representative Keane is the Sponsor. 2485, Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur in Senate

 Amendment 1 to House...House Bill 2485. The...the

 Amendment failed to include all of the needed changes and I

 therefor ask for nonconcurrence. I move to nonconcur."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2485. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2485?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2485. Representative Stephens. House Bill 2693, on the Regular Calendar. Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1.

 The underlying Bill, 2693, deals with fetal experimentation

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

and limitations and the ban thereof. Senate Amendment #1, Senator Watson put on the Bill in the Senate. We did not talk to each other about that Amendment. A lot of work went into 2693 and I thought the Bill was in the form that it ought to be in. The Amendment talks about 'nothing in this Bill shall limit a woman's right to abortion', and I...and there's nothing in the Bill that says that it would, so I...I think it might be an unnecessary addition to the Bill. I'd like to send the Bill back. I think ask the Senator to recede from the Amendment."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2693. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2693?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2693. Representative Kirkland. House Bill 2293."
- Kirkland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1. We passed 3 Bills of probation matters and now one or two of them weren't called in Committee in the Senate and I think one of them we'd like to put on this Bill in Conference Committee."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #3 has been distributed."

 Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. Excuse me, Representative Kirkland.

 Let me just indicate that Supplemental #3 has been distributed. If anyone wishes to nonconcur with any of the Senate Amendments to the House Bills on Supplemental #3 please come down to the well and indicate that to the Clerk and we can proceed with...on the Order of Nonconcur...of Concurrence but of...of Motions to nonconcur with Senate Amendments. So the Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2293. On that is there

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2293?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2293. Representative Lou Jones. Is Lou Jones in the Chamber? Representative Brunsvold. House Bill 594. This was taken out of the record. We can return to it now, Sir."

- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Again, 594 is a 9-1-1 Bill that addresses municipal and county government and how they would relate in 9-1-1 service. The Senate Amendment #1 removed the requirements for referendums for cities over 500,000 and I would move not to concur in that Senate Amendment."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved...the Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 594. On that is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 594?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 594. House Bill 514, Representative McNamara. Representative McNamara."
- McNamara: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On Senate...on House
 Bill 514, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1.

 Senate Amendment #1 effectively guts the Bill and does not
 put it in its proper perspective. I wish to have the
 Senate recede from that Amendment."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 514. On that is there any
 discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the
 House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill
 514?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 514. Representative McNamara on House Bill 515."

- McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On House Bill 515, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. The Senate in their wisdom has gutted the original proposal of the Bill and replaced it with their Amendment. We do not agree. It is not what we had in the House when it passed overwhelmingly. I move to nonconcur."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 515. On that is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill looking for Representative Lou Jones and Representative John Hallock. If they could come to the floor to do their nonconcurrence Motions. Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks on House Bill 1146."
- Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1146 and ask for a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Cullerton: "If you could just briefly explain what the House Bill does, Sir, and what the Senate Amendment does and why you wish to nonconcur."
- Hicks: "The House Committee (sic-House Bill) was a...was the language dealing with the Coal Energy Development Board. Senate Amendment #1 made it rather than being a board, made it a Committee with 4 co-chairmen instead of the 2 chairmen that have always been in existence and this would expand that chairmanship and I'm not in favor of expanding it and I would ask for nonconcurrence on Senate Amendment #1."

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1146. On that is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1146?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1146. Representative Peterson. This is on Supplemental #2 and it's House Bill 56."
- Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment...was it Senate Amendment 2 or 1?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "I'll inquire of the Clerk. Mr. Clerk, how
 many Amendments are there on that? There's only Amendment
 #1."
- Peterson: "Senate Amendment 1. The Bill itself has to deal with the approval of plats and the submission of those to school districts. The Amendment deals with an exemption for the City of Chicago. I conferred with Representative Steczo. We would like to do some fine tuning on this in a Conference Committee Report. I ask for approval of the nonconcurrence Motion."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 56. On that is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 56?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 56. Representative Hallock, House Bill 32."
- Hallock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I would move that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 32."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hallock, we've...you've been off the floor, we've asked you to...we would ask you to

63rd Legislative Day

June 24. 1989

just briefly explain the House Bill, briefly explain the Senate Amendment and the reason why you wish to nonconcur."

- Hallock: "Yes. The House Bill dealt with the merit scholarship program. The Senate Bill (sic-Senate Amendment) cut that scholarship program back to a one year program. I find that unacceptable and would ask that this be nonconcurred with and we'll put it back in the Senate."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 32. On that, is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 32?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 32. Representative Lou Jones, House Bill 2329. Representative Young on House Bill 2798."
- Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2798. The Bill left a shell, the Senate Amendment kept it a shell. The purpose is to put it in Conference."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2798. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2798?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2798.

 Representative Keane on Supplemental #3, House Bill 1571."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm handling this Bill for Representative McGann. The...I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1571. The Representative tells me that he is...he wants...there are some disagreements and he wants to work it out in a

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

Conference. I move nonconcurrence."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1571. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1571?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1571. Representative McCracken, House Bill 1881. Representative McCracken, House Bill 1881. Okay, that Bill will be out of the record. Representative Williams. Representative Paul Williams, 2491."
- Williams: "Yes. I'd like to move to nonconcur to House Bill 2491. What the Bill was set up to do was set up a training program first with IHDA, then they put the Amendment on describing a pre-apprenticeship program. The Bill is not in its form. We are in negotiations with various parties, community colleges, various parties with the trade unions and the jointapprenticeship council and I'd like to nonconcur, bring the Bill to a Conference Committee where we would have an opportunity to work out all the problems between the various parties."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2491. Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2491?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2491. Representative Davis. Representative Monique Davis on House Bill 2756."
- Davis: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate

 Amendment #1. House Bill 2756 is a technical Bill in

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

reference to the free textbook program that exists in the City of Chicago and Senate Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment that is not needed. However, it does change the intent of the legislation."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2756. On that is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2756?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the Senate...the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2756. Representative Matijevich, Agreed Resolutions."

Matijevich: "Did he...did he read them?"

- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 508, offered by Representative Richmond. 742, offered by Representative DeJaegher. 743, offered by Representative Balanoff. 744, offered by Representative Krska. 746, offered by Representative Johnson. 748, offered by Representative Mulcahey. 750, offered by Representative DeJaegher. 751, offered by Representative Balanoff and 753 offered by Representatives Johnson, Black and Weaver."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Matijevich on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, these are all congratulatory Resolutions. I move to adopt the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 752, offered by Representative Cullerton and Bowman. Senate Joint Resolution 26, offered

63rd Legislative Day

June 24, 1989

by Representative Woolard. Senate Joint Resolution 54, offered by Representative Kubik. Senate Joint Resolution 55, offered by Representative Mautino, et al, and Senate Joint Resolution 84, offered by Representative Williamson."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Matijevich on the General Resolutions. Committee on Assignment. Representative Matijevich moves that the House stand adjourned until the hour of...I'm sorry, Death Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 745 offered by Representative Johnson in respect to the memory of Edwin Weber. House Resolution 747 offered by Representative Johnson in respect to the memory of Joseph Hedge. House Resolution 749 offered by Representative Rice in respect to the memory of Theodore Lewis."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, Death Resolutions are adopted. Representative Matijevich moves that the House stand adjourned until the hour of 2:00 p.m...2:00 p.m...on Monday, the 26th of June. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the House stands adjourned."

REPORT: TIFLDAY 12:32

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1 05/03/90

JUNE 24, 1989

HB-0032	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	
	NON-CONCURRENCE		38
		PAGE	46
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	38
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	43
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	49
H8-0515	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	50
HB-0519	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	39
H8-0541	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	19
HB-0574	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	40
HB-0594	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	49
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	42
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	
			19
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	21
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	45
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	44
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	50
HB-1191	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	44
HB-1192	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	44
HB-1217	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	35
HB-1218	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	36
HB-1287	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	21
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	46
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	36
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	22
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	23
	NON-CONCURRENCE		
		PAGE	52
	NON-CONCURRENCE NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	33
		PAGE	23
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	25
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	26
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	25
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	48
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	37
HB-2449	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	27
HB-2449	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	28
HB-2450	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	30
HB-2485	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	47
HB-2491	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	53
HB-2693	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	47
HB-2702	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	34
HB-2729	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	33
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	53
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	28
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	52
HR-0366		PAGE	4
	RESOLUTION OFFERED		3
	ADOPTED	PAGE	_
		PAGE	18
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	17
HR-0542		PAGE	14
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	13
	ADOPTED	PAGE	17
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	16
HJR-0045		PAGE	15
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	14
HJR-0061		PAGE	12
HJR-0061	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	5
HJR-0066	ADOPTED	PAGE	13
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	12
SJR-0067		PAGE	16
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	15

REPORT: TIFLDAY

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2 05/03/90

JUNE 24, 1989

SUBJECT MATTER

REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN THE CHAIR.	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REPRESENTATIVE RICE.	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - REPRESENTATIVE MULCAHEY.	PAGE	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE.	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE CULLERTON IN THE CHAIR.	PAGE	13
REPRESENTATIVE A. YOUNG IN THE CHAIR.	PAGE	35
REPRESENTATIVE CULLERTON IN THE CHAIR.	PAGE	38
AGREED RESOLUTIONS.	PAGE	54
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS.	PAGE	54
DEATH RESOLUTIONS.	PAGE	54
ADJOURNMENT.	PAGE	55