53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

- Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The Chaplain for today will be Reverend Barry Winders, from Star General Baptist Church in Eldorado. Reverend Winders is a guest of Representative Dave Phelps. The guests in the balcony may wish to rise and join us for the invocation."
- Reverend Winders: "Shall we pray, Gracious God, we invoke Your Blessing upon this Session. We welcome You into our lives. We thank You for all the gifts that You've given us and Blessed us with. Father, we thank You for each Legislator, for every person that serves in this place, for their talents and for their time and, Father, for We thank You for this building, for it is a abilities. reminder, Lord, of the political heritage and the processes of democracy that we are so grateful for. Thank You for a And now, Lord, as we approach this day and free society. this afternoon of work, we know Lord, that each day brings new opportunities and new demands and new problems. Lord, I pray, that in all of this that You'll make us sensitive to Your presence, so that we will always know that you are still God. And I pray this in the name of the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit. Amen."
- Speaker McPike: "Be led in the Pledge of Allegiance, by Representative Rice."
- Rice et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United
  States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands,
  one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice
  for all."

Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Piel."

Piel: "No excused absences today, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, no excused absences on this side, either."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Take the roll. One hundred and

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

seventeen Members answering the roll, a quorum is present. The baseball game, the House/Senate softball game is next and there are some Pages coming around with tickets, right now, on both sides of the chamber. like to go to House Bills. Second Reading, House Bill 850. Mr. McCracken, if we could find somebody to...Okay, of the Calendar under Motions. On page 6 Representative Weller. Representative Weller on a Motion. The Gentleman moves to discharge Approp. I from further consideration and advance to Senate Bill 261 to the Order of Second Reading. Any objections? Hearing none, the Attendance Roll Call will be used and the Gentleman's Motion carries. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 261, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill."
- "Excuse me. Mr. Clerk. I think there was an Speaker McPike: error when Representative Weller filed this Motion. T think the Motion should be to move it to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. And so with leave of the Body, will amend the Motion and the Motion will be to make it Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Are there any objections? Attendance Roll Call will be used. The Motion The Bill's on Second Reading. Read the Bill, carries. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 261, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "This Bill will be held on Second Reading.

  Senate Bill 261 has been read a second time. Are there any

  Amendments filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Leverenz, Mays and Ryder."

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mays, on the Amendment."

- Mays: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an Amendment that we have worked together to try to form. It addresses the most pressing needs of the state and the many different agencies that we have that need transfers, additional supplementals for federal funds and some additional G.R. for those circuit breakers and so on. I would move adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Matijevich, moves to waive the appropriate rules, so that the Rules Committee can meet in the chamber at the rear of the podium. Are there any objections? Representative Mulcahey, did you wish to speak on this? No. No objection. The Attendance Roll Call is used. The Motion carries. The Rules Committee will meet immediately in the conference room behind the podium. Mr... Representative Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, are you ready for announcements or anything right now?"
- Speaker McPike: "Whatever you desire, Sir."
- Mulcahey: "Okay. Just to remind the Members of the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee that...the Committee is in recess until four o'clock tomorrow afternoon. We'll meet in 118, from 4 to 6 tomorrow afternoon. We've only got about eight or nine Bills. If everybody gets there at four o'clock and gets moving along, we should be able to get out of there in no time. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "The Chair would like to announce that Judiciary

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

I is recessed until six p.m. this evening. Economic Development and Insurance are recessed until tomorrow at 4 p.m., in their respective rooms. That's Economic Development and Insurance, 4 p.m. tomorrow in their respective rooms. J-l tonight, 6 p.m. Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for an announcement...for a request...a Motion to place 1069, Senate Bill 1069, of which I am the major Sponsor, on the Interim Study."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to put Senate Bill 1069 on Interim Study. Is there any objections? Hearing none, the Attendance Roll Call is used. The Motion carries. The Bill is on Interim Study. Representative Mays, you want to call House Bill 850?"

Mays: "Sure."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, House Bill 850."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 850, a Bill for an Act making appropriations ...reappropriations to various agencies.

This Bill has been read a second time previously.

Amendments #1 through 6, were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "I believe there's a Motion on Amendment #3.

Representative Leverenz, do you have a Motion on any of the first six Amendments that were adopted in Committee?"

Leverenz: "We want to and I think by agreement, table Amendment 3."

Speaker McPike: "Three or 4? Three or 4, Representative Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "I want to table #3 and then also, #4."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves to table Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

- 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Gentleman moves to table Amendment #4. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #4 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendments #7 and 8 lost. Amendment 9 was withdrawn. Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative McAuliffe."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative McAuliffe. Mr. Mays, do you want to handle that, or Mr. McAuliffe?"
- McAuliffe: "We withdraw Amendment #10."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Does the Gentleman wish to withdraw that Amendment that we agreed to?"
- Speaker McPike: "Amendment #10 is an Agreed Amendment. Did you wish to withdraw it, Sir?"
- McAuliffe: "Let's run with it. We'll run with it."
- Speaker McPike: "Run with it? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #10

  be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The
  'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further

  Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative McAuliffe."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative McAuliffe. Did you wish to withdraw that? Gentleman withdraws Amendment #11. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative Parcells and Mays."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells, withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative Parcells and Mays."

53rd Legislative Day

- June 7, 1989
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells, withdraws Amendment 13.

  Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, offered by Representative Parcells and Mays."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr...Representative, this Amendment is an Agreed Amendment. Did you want to withdraw it? It's Amendment #14. It's been agreed to by both sides. You want to adopt it, or withdraw?"
- Parcells: "Amendment #14, we'd like to adopt it. It's been agreed..."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #14 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, offered by Representative Parcells and Mays."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is also an agreed..." Speaker McPike: "On 15."
- Parcells: "An Agreed Amendment restoring fifty-six thousand dollars."
- Speaker McPike: "No. Fifteen is not an agreed Amendment."
- Parcells: "Oh, sorry, we thought it was agreed. It restores fifty—six thousand dollars in Low—income Home Energy Assistance Block Grant Funds for personal services in the Bureau of Program Administration of DCCA, which was reduced by Committee Amendment #2. I'd ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #15 be adopted?'

  All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it.

  The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16, offered by Representative Parcells and Mays."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells, withdraws #16. Further

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17, offered by Representative Frederick and Hasara."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Frederick or Representative...This is #17."

Clerk O'Brien: "Seventeen."

Speaker McPike: "Seventeen."

Hasara: "Mr. Chairman, I withdraw Amendment 17."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady withdraws Amendment 17. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18, offered by Representative Parcells, Frederick, Hasara and Mays."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells, on Amendment #18."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This restores two million. one hundred and twenty-four thousand, four hundred dollars in GRF in DCCA's Economic Development Division advertising and promotion. This was eliminated by Committee Amendment #2. It restores DCCA's Build Illinois Capitol Revolving Loan Program and its Illinois Equity Program, totaling ten million, two hundred and fifty thousand dollars, which was eliminated by Committee Amendment 5. It allocates two million dollars for the minority and female loans and three million for rural loans. It adds one new thing, which is four hundred and seven thousand, seven hundred dollars of GRF for the displaced homemaker grants. I ask for your 'aye' vote and I'd like a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "On Amendment #18, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This would restore all of the cuts that we made in Committee in total, and some of which we've already defeated again. I would now move that we table the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "On the Gentleman's Motion to table,

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Representative Mays."

Mays: "Well, number one, Mr. Speaker, I don't know how you can table an Amendment that hasn't even been adopted. I think we should just do a Roll Call on Amendment #18. It deals with small business revolving loans, that's not even GRF, that's money that has been paid back to the revolving program. so that we can make future loans to small businesses throughout this whole state. We've allocated two million for minority enterprises, three million for rural enterprises. We need...I think we need to restore this. This is not even a GRF. They were talking about two for economic development and advertising and million promotion. They were talking about displaced homemakers. think this is a good Amendment. I don't think the Gentleman's Motion to table is in order and I would urge a Call vote, and I'd like to see some 'green' votes on this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman, on the Amendment."

Bowman: "Well, actually the Motion to table is not debatable. I

was just saying the other...going to say the other

Gentleman was out of order."

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion to table."

Bowman: "Yeah. The Motion to table is not debatable, I believe.

The other Gentleman is out of order."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment 18 be tabled?' All those in favor of tabling the Amendment vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? all voted who wish? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk. will take the record. Representative Representative Giglio, did you wish to change your vote? Representative Giglio, changes from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Brunsvold, changes from 'no' to 'aye'.

53rd Legislative Day

- June 7, 1989
- Representative Phelps, goes from 'no' to 'aye'. I'm sorry, Phelps is 'aye'. Brunsvold, goes from 'no' to 'aye'. Further changes. Representative Mays."
- Mays: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to verify the Affirmative Roll."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Barger, goes from 'aye' to 'no'.

  Barger, 'aye' to 'no'. Gentleman has asked for a
  verification. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. DeJaegher,
  votes 'aye'. Poll the absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Homer and Rice. No further."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, read the Affirmative."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edlev. Farley. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Lou Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Pullen. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. White. Williams. Trotter. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang, would like to be verified.

  Representative Curran, would like to be verified. Mr.

  Mays, is that alright? Questions of the Affirmative Roll.

  Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded as 'no'."
- Speaker McPike: "Record Mr. Homer as 'no'. Mr. Rice, votes 'aye'. Mr. Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. I would like to call Representative Preston."

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Preston. Representative Preston.

Gentleman's not here, remove him from the Roll."

Mays: "Representative Balanoff?

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Balanoff, is in the aisle."

Mays: "Representative Trotter?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Trotter. Mr. Trotter. Gentleman, here?

Remove him from the Roll."

Mays: "Representative Terzich?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Terzich? Representative Preston, votes 'aye'. Mr. Terzich? Gentleman's not here, remove him from the Roll."

Mays: "Representative Saltsman?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Saltsman? Representative Saltsman? Van Duyne, votes 'aye'. Mr. Saltsman, here? Take him off the Roll."

Mays: "Representative LeFlore?"

Speaker McPike: "Bob LeFlore? In the aisle."

Mays: "Representative Wyvetter Younge?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge? Wyvetter Younge? Remove her from the Roll."

Mays: "Representative White?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative White? Representative Jesse White? Gentleman's not here, remove him from the Roll."

Mays: "Representative Sutker?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman, has returned, vote him 'aye'. Mr. Sutker, is right here."

Mays: "Thank you. Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. DeLeo? Representative DeLeo, here? He's
 not here, take him off the Roll."

Mays: "Representative Levin?"

Speaker McPike: "Who?"

Mays: "Levin. Not Leverenz, Levin."

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Mays: "No further questions."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz, has asked for a...wait a minute. Representative Shirley Jones."

Jones, S.: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote to 'aye',
 please."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Jones, changes from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Turner, changes from 'no' to 'aye'.

On this Motion...Mr. Piel, what did you wish?"

Piel: "Go ahead and read the Motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Pardon me?"

Piel: "I just wanted to inform the Body that we have with us today former Speaker..."

Speaker McPike: "No, no, no, not in the middle of a Roll Call.

Andy McGann, votes 'aye'. He's already on. On this Motion
to table, there are 57 'ayes', 55 'nos' and the Motion
prevails. Represent...Speaker Madigan, in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, take House Bill 850 out of the record momentarily. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have a special guest today who will offer a few remarks. So, the Members could be in their chairs. If the staff would retire to the rear of the chamber. Speaker Redmond, if you could find a place to be seated. Why don't you use Mr. Daniels chair. So, if the Members could be in their chairs. We're very pleased to have with us today, Mr. Mengay, who has recently been appointed as the British Counsel General in Chicago. Mr. Mengay is a graduate of College in Cambridge. Catherine's He did his postgraduate work at London University in public administration. During his career he has served as the British Counsel to Milan, Italy. He also served as the counselor at the British Embassy in Washington, D.C., where he served for five years in the areas of the gap agreement, export controls and antitrust jurisdictional issues. From

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

1986 until his current appointment, he was in charge of the Regional Development and Inward Investment Division at the government in London. From that position he came to Chicago as the Counsel General. He has agreed to join us today. He has a few remarks to offer to our Members. So, if you could give him your attention. Mr. Mengay."

Counsel General Mengay: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. an honor, a privilege and a pleasure to address distinguished House. The symbolism of doing so in the heart of America in the Land of Lincoln and under the Constitution, is not lost on me. And the invitation is evidence of the hand of friendship which this part of America, in particular, extends to strangers. relieved in the preparation of this...these few remarks, to that Speaker...that Colonel McCormick's prohibition on the use of English and speaking American did not apply to this occasion. I could use my own language and I'm therefore doing so. I have also had the difficulty of maintaining my concentration for these remarks, after partying or 'bipartisaning' by the lake last night and after dinner at Sam's Bar, but at least I go away from Springfield with a very wide and close appreciation of the process here. It's all of a piece with the warm welcome which I've received since arriving and my wife and I likewise in Chicago. We value the diversity and richness the fine state in which we find ourselves living, even though it's difficult to get use to our ten year old English daughter in the back of the car singing Illinois, Illinois, in a strong Chicago accent. Colonel McCormick would have been proud of her. But within the diversity of its ethnic traditions, the links between Illinois and Britain have been and remain strong. There may have only been twelve brief years between the Indian/Franco/British

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Wars of 16...1764 and the Revolution, a brief period of British governance in this area, but they do remain deep. You have not only the montesquieu and the constitutional situation that's derived from that, but I've been impressed to learn that Blackstone, the distinguished English lawyer, is still very much imbedded in the legal tradition of this area. Our peoples have shared together the turmoils of the century and now face exciting challenges, some rather worrying perhaps, to the East and to the West in the world. Our citizens increasingly visit with each other and I'm glad to see that the air traffic between Chicago and Britain is rising, evidence that there is an appreciation of the attractions of Illinois for our citizens. Our trade has always been substantial and never more so than today. We take in Britain nearly eight percent of Illinois' We take around a quarter of your exports to the European community, and of course there's a flow, almost torrential flow of investment now. We have some sixty billion dollars worth of American investment in nearly a third of your investment in the European community and we value that. In the last few years our investment flow is increased in this direction. Of course we now have some ninety billion dollars worth of investment in the United States. That shows great confidence in your nation. We put three percent, almost three percent of our G.D.P. in hands last year. The published sources suggest that your some hundred and twenty-nine Illinois companies operating in the U.K. My office is closer to the ground than these published sources and we reckon we have contact with some two hundred and four Illinois companies who are operating within...with in a genuine invested sense in the U.K. And of course of the nine hundred and ninety-six European companies that operate here, two hundred

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

twenty-two are British in Illinois. We don't see this investment as a win/lose phenomenon. We don't see we're exporting British jobs and we don't fear that you are going to dominate the British economy. We see as a win/win, a benefit on both sides. We see it as the interaction between two similar economic systems and it works as a pendulum, sometimes you have more, sometimes we have less. Last year for example, your U.S. trade balance moved into a positive position, we moved negative to you. In Europe, of course, when I embarked on an exciting journey to 1992 and the U.K. is committed to that journey, we intend that it should be a Europe open for business and not suffocated by regulation. We are also committed to the expansion of those benefits by sharing them with our trading partners, not least the U.S, and it's a large part of my time I spend encouraging Illinois companies to take the opportunity that's offered by 1992. That's why we're committed to the removal of impediments to trade and investments and to resistance to new measures. We want a free flow. And that's why it's a matter of regret and tragedy when sometimes there are investment regulations of one kind or another that destroy jobs. We therefore, to enter the 21st century with even closer ties to the heartland and to the Land of Lincoln. As I began by saying, I will end by emphasizing that the richness of this interaction is not entirely and solely economic, important though that is. There is a cultural and intellectual exchange. It's my privilege and excitement to serve on the Committee of the Marshal Scholar selection in the midwest each year, and meet the very fine people who come forward from the colleges of this area seeking a year or studying in Britain. It is cultural, intellectual, it's political and personal. All of these are vital links and

# 53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

with my office, my colleagues, I intend to work hard with the exciting privilege that I have here to sustain and develop those links in the coming years. Thank you."

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike, in the Chair."
- Speaker McPike: "House Bill 850. What Amendment...what's the next Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19, offered by Representative Frederick and Hasara."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Frederick."
- Frederick: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #19 adds four hundred and seven thousand, seven hundred GRF Funds to the appropriation for displaced homemaker grants to total eight hundred and fifty thousand."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #19 be adopted?'

  All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

  The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20, offered by Representative

  Mays and Parcells."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you, very much. This is a technical Amendment..."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #20. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 21, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz moves to withdraw the Amendment. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #22, offered by Representative Morrow and Turner."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Morrow, on Amendment #22."
- Morrow: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #22 transferred...transfers 5.25

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

million GRL into the Illinois Housing Trust Fund. This is basically needed to...for operating costs and start up costs. I move for its adoption. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, he will."

Mays: "We have five million, two hundred and fifty...two hundred and fifty thousand dollars that you are proposing to transfer or put into this fund. Where's that coming from?"

Morrow: "General Revenue."

Mays: "How do you propose to raise those General Revenue Fund dollars?"

Morrow: "Through cuts that are going to be made in other areas and in natural growth."

Mays: "Well, to the Amendment, Ladies and Gentlemen. Now the person's goal may or may not be laudable, but in terms of budget, well, I don't think this General Assembly has still addressed the thought of the Cigarette Tax and whether or not we are going to be able fund science and technology problems, drug enforcement and education issues in this state. We had Amendments in the Executive Committee this morning that totaled over ninety million dollars in add-ons and here's another five...five and a quarter million for this specific program. At the same time, you're cutting ten million dollars in small business revolving...revolving loan funds, because you refuse to vote with us on that Motion to table. Those were not even GRF Funds, those were funds that had been paid back to the state, for loans to backout to small and large businesses throughout this state. I rise in opposition to this Amendment. I don't know where we'd come up with the money for it, especially in view of all the other spending proposals that have been advanced on the other side. I'd like a Roll Call."

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Morrow: "Yes, I'll yield."

- Hallock: "This is an affordable housing funding proposal. Is this tied into the transfer tax, or how is this funded?"
- Morrow: "No. It's not tied into the transfer tax. This funding was requested by DCCA in order to implement the operating cost to start up the program. This was requested by DCCA themselves."
- Hallock: "Well, when we talk about affordable housing, are you talking about low income housing or precisely, do you have a definition of the term?"
- Morrow: "Low and very low income housing."
- Hallock: "Well, in order to build that type of housing through this proposal, your not basing it on a transfer tax or any other tax, your basically taking money from the General Revenue Fund?"
- Morrow: "This is money that is in addition to the transfer tax, Representative Hallock."
- Hallock: "Well, as I understand it, that transfer tax at this point in time is still just a theory. It's a Bill that passed the House, but may not pass the Senate. In any case, it's...doubling that tax is just a possibility, not in fact something that's happened already. I would say that...one more question, that you know, if you're not using that money, and this money is basically coming from the General Revenue Fund then?"
- Morrow: "Mr. Speaker, can we have some order in the House. I...."
- Speaker McPike: "Yeah. Let's give...Let's give them please..."

  Morrow: "...can't even hear Representative Hallock at all. I

  didn't hear it, that's why I'm asking for order."

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Please, give the Gentleman some order, please."

Hallock: "I'd like to find out precisely where this money is coming from. You know, we passed a Bill which proposed to double the real estate transfer tax. That Bill did pass the House and obviously its status is up in the air right now. It may not pass the Senate, it may not become law. And so, dealing with what we currently have right now, which is our current situation, you know, where does the money come from to fund this Amendment?"

Morrow: "Well, it will come from natural growth from General Revenue Funds. Thirteen million dollars which would come from the transfer tax if it becomes law, is not enough to build adequate housing for low and very low income people in this state. If DCCA needs almost five million dollars in order to start the program, if you were to take five million from the thirteen million, then you would only have eight million in order to build some housing in the state, and this is why this money is needed.

Hallock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in opposition to the Amendment. The Sponsor has indicated very precisely that he wants to take this from the growth in the economy and I think we're all pleased that in fact that Illinois' economy isdoing so very well. On the other hand we also agree we have far more greater needs at this point in time, like education, mental health and so on. Those budgets themselves are not yet ascertained. I think before we decide to do something on affordable housing, it's more imperative that we deal with those issues first and I would urge this be adop..this Amendment be defeated."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, he will."

Kirkland: "Just to clarify. This is a one-time start up cost and

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

you would not expect this kind of appropriation to be repeated from year to year?"

Morrow: "That is correct, Representative. This is a one-time cost, start up cost."

Kirkland: "Fine. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "I rise in support of the Gentleman's Amendment. The policy of the House Appropriations Committees this year, is to attempt to in balancing the budget, to provide accommodations for all proposed legislation that is working its way through the Legislature. I believe that we need to leave room in the budget and I would like to assure the Gentlemen from the other side of the aisle, that the money for this comes from savings that we were able to make in other parts of the budget by trimming the fat. And so, he need not be concerned that we will wind up passing an unbalanced budget, because we will not. I urge adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #22 be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it

and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20..."

Speaker McPike: "No one asked for...Representative Hallock, no one asked for a Roll Call. Mr. Mays, did you ask for one? Mays: "I believe so, yes."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Mays, did you ask for a Roll Call?"

Mays: "Yes, I did, Sir."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #22 be adopted?'
All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all
voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, will take the
record. On this Amendment there are 67 'ayes' and 48
'nos', 1 voting 'present'. The Amendment's adopted.
Further Amendments?"

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #23, offered by Representative Phelps, Leverenz, Dunn and Balanoff."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Withdraw 23..."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment 23. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #24, offered by Representative Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wyvetter Younge. Representative Leverenz, would you like to handle the Amendment? Gentleman have leave to handle the Amendment? Leave is granted."

Leverenz: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. We appropriate a hundred sixty thousand dollars in Tourism Promotion Fund for a grant to the East St. Louis Area Development Authority. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. It's very noisy in here. I heard a hundred sixty thousand dollars, Tourism and Promotion Fund and that's all. Where did he say...where did it go?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Did you have a question?"

Black: "Yes. You said a hundred and sixty thousand dollars TPF and that's all I could hear in here. Where does that money go?"

Leverenz: "To the East St. Louis Area Development Authority."

Black: "Thank you, very much."

Leverenz: "The only one that...that can withstand an audit there.

And I think this should be rewarded, as we have funded them
in the past. I would ask for your favorable support."

Black: "Thank you, very..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens, on the Amendment."

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Stephens: "Just to say I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment 24 be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it

and the Amendment's adopted. Amendment #...Further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #25, offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ιn considering this Bill in Committee, approximately two million dollars was taken out of one line and split and this is one million dollars which will be spent for the Emergency Employment Development Act which was created by Public Act 84-792, and is the duplicate of amount contained in Floor Amendment #3, with the exception that what we're doing in this Amendment is identifying three cities for pilot projects. proposing to spend about three hundred and fifty thousand dollars a piece in Chicago, East St. Louis and Cairo. I move adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "On the Amendment, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, he will."

Mays: "Yeah. Representative Bowman, are there any other high unemployment areas in the state other than the three to which you allocate the total dollars for this program?"

Bowman: "Of course there are, Representative Mays. You know the answer to that question as well as I do."

Mays: "Well, then that...then let me just stress to this Amendment. If you're going to add the dollars, why are you going to restrict it to this...these three areas, when there's other areas that may well have the same kind of

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

problems that these areas got and you're leaving them with not a dime. Not a dime. It doesn't make any sense. I am shocked that you would take a program like this, which was passed to be a state—wide program and then allocate dollars specifically to these three areas. I'd like a Roll Call, Mr. Speaker, on this Bill. I oppose it. On this Amendment, I oppose it."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Keane. Representative Jim Keane.

Mr. Keane within hearing distance, if he would approach
the Chair. Representative Bowman, have you closed on
this?"

Bowman: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to respond to the last speaker, because in fact over several years we have appropriated money on a state—wide basis and the Governor has vetoed it out every time. And what we are proposing here, is three pilot programs and so if the Governor vetoes it this time, he's going to have to go to the citizens in those areas and explain to them, look them in the eye and tell them why the answer is 'no'. But we have tried it your way before and your way has failed in the past. We're going to try a different way this time, in the hopes that the Governor will finally see the light and sign the appropriation. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #25 be adopted?'

All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, will take the record. On this Amendment there are 62 'ayes' and 52 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Representative Giglio, in the Chair."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #26, offered by Representative Ryder."

53rd Legislative Day

- June 7, 1989
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #26. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #27, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This appropriates five hundred thousand dollars from the JTPA Fund to DCCA for a grant to the Institute for Competitive Manufacturing at the University of Illinois."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Would the Sponsor yield? Did we take this out in Committee once already and you're trying to restore it? Is that what we're doing?"
- Ryder: "No, this was JTPA. This was something..."
- Leverenz: "Is this the same Amendment then, that failed in Committee to put this money in the budget?"
- Ryder: "No. No. This is an Amendment that you and I worked together on the funding source and I think that you'll find this Amendment to be much better than the one that you defeated in Committee."
- Leverenz: "We'll agree to it. Sounds like a good deal. First one this year."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "I ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #28, offered by Representative Wyvetter Younge."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady..."
- Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #28 would establish in the Illinois Development Finance Authority a demonstration incubator for the City of East St. Louis.

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Right now there is an incubator there which has been in existence for two years, and under the rules of the Small Business Act, that would be the last year of funding. This incubator has been responsible for establishing some twenty businesses and more than thirty jobs have been created as a result of this very successful project. And the purpose of this Amendment is to add another years funding so that this very valuable work can be continued. And I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. I simply rise in opposition to this Amendment. It's a hundred thousand in general funds for the establishment...or the operations of this incubator. I'm sure there's incubators throughout the state that could use these kind of monies anyway, and I just don't think we should be going into this at this time. So I would urge a 'no'...'no' vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'nos' have it, the Amendment's defeated. Representative...Mr. Clerk, are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #29, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #29. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #30, offered by Representative Phelps."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps. Withdraw Amendment #30. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #31, offered by Representative Phelps."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps. Withdraw Amendment #31.
  Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #32, offered by Representative

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Phelps."

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Thirty—two Amendment appropriates two hundred thousand to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs Tourism Promotion Fund, for the purpose of tourism attraction."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. I understand the Department is acceptable to this Amendment. I'd simply point out that Amendment #18 would have added some funds for the Department for state-wide promotion and tourism development. This adds two hundred thousand for local and we're fine with it. We'd like you to reconsider on the other one."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
  opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes'
  have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further
  Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #33, offered by Representative
  Leverenz and Currie."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz. Withdraw Amendment #33. 34. Withdraw Amendment #34, Mr. Clerk. Amendment #35."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #35, offered by Representative Woodyard...Woolard and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #35. Representative Woodward (sic Woolard)."
- Woolard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #35 would appropriate five hundred thousand or the amount necessary to accommodate the project. This would be a Tourism Promotion Fund Project for the City of Herrin. An outdoor recreation facility. Move for a favorable comment."

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Kane, Representative Deuchler."

Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, is this Amendment printed and distributed?"

Speaker Giglio: "Yes, it has."

Deuchler: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Clerk informs me it has been distributed.

Representative Mays."

"Well, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. Mays: We went ahead and went along with the previous Amendment Representative Phelps, because he had gone Department, he had helped draft the Amendment. It's for tourism promotion in general for local grants to locals. This is one specific city that would be the beneficiary of two and a half times the amount of money that the previous Representative asked for in his Amendment. As I said in that Amendment, we had cut almost two point one million dollars from the State Department of Commerce and Community Affairs for the promotion of this state, promotion of all of the assets throughout the whole state and now we're coming back and nickel-diming down that fund, adding back for specific towns in this whole...in this state. specific town would get a half million dollars out of this Amendment. That is a quarter of the total amount that we cut from the Department for state-wide promotion. I don't see how the city would be able to spend this money wise and prudent manner. I don't think it's a good precedent to set and I would urge a 'no' vote and ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. With all due respect to the previous speaker who generally has a number of good ideas in what we can work with, it's the Governor that does not check with us in our districts before they prepare a budget

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

to begin with. And it is brought to us to look at and to approve and to refashion so that we take care of the constituencies that we serve. I ask for your help in the adoption of Amendment 35, a well thought out program."

Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 62 voting 'yes', 52 voting 'no' and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #36, offered by Representative Dunn."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #36 adds two hundred thousand dollars to the ordinary and contingent expense budget for the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to fund a division of defense contract procurement, so we can go after more defense business from Washington to help the business and industry in the State of Illinois. This Amendment was brought to me by DCCA and I know of no opposition. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Mays: "Where did you come up with the two hundred thousand figure? What's that going to buy the people of the State of Illinois?"

Dunn: "Hopefully, a whole lot of business. The...DCCA came up with the figure."

Mays: "What are we going to get with that? Are we going to..ten...ten employees, a lot of travels and contractuals and other stuff?"

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Dunn: "Well, bear in mind that the underlying Bill really is a pilot program and it's based upon the concept that we are about forty-fifth among the fifty states now, in return of defense contract dollars. So, whatever we're trying now, isn't working. It's time to try a new thrust and by establishing a specific division of defense contract procurement, hopefully we can...we can bring home some business. Whether this Amendment will be reduced some when the Bill comes back from Senate or not, I don't know, but the amount of the Amendment, two hundred thousand dollars, was at the suggestion of DCCA."

Mays: "Okay. To the Amendment. I rise in opposition to the Amendment. If you'd look back as you've gone through this Bill, there was an Amendment #13, which would have added fifty—six thousand dollars to the small business group to help promote small business, so that they can have acquisition, so they can get involved in procurement contracts. We voted...we didn't even do that one. Now this is two hundred thousand dollars more. It's a laudable program, but maybe we ought to think about going with a new Senator who can bring money back to this district and to this state in this particular area. I would urge a 'no' vote and ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there's 65 voting 'yes', 50 voting 'no' and none voting 'present' and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #37, offered by Representative Turner."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Turner, Amendment #37."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Assembly. I've been informed that Amendment #37 is out of order, since we adopted Amendment #22. And so I move that we withdraw Amendment #37."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #37. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, has this been read a third time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill has not been read a third time."

Speaker Giglio: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 850, a Bill for an Act making appropriations and reappropriations to various agencies.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the operating budget for the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. It's deficient in several areas that we highlighted through the Amendment process. We wish the Department could have been restored in some of those specific areas, but we have to move this Bill through the process. Let the Senate take up these same issues and maybe improve our handiwork. I would move for its passage."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of House Bill 850 vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. House Bill 850 having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 611, offered by Representative

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Didrickson; 613, Cullerton; 614, Petka; 615, McGann; 616, Parke; 618, Phelps; 619, Regan; 620, Parcells; 624, Terzich; 625, Lang; 626, Ropp; 627, Ropp; 628, Ropp; 629, Ropp; 630, Ropp; 632, Weaver; 633, Hensel; 634, Balanoff; 635, Mautino; 636, Weaver; 638, Sieben and 639, Terzich."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  we've examined the Resolutions. They are all agreed to. I
  move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 617, offered by Representative Flinn; 621, McGann; 622, McGann; 623, McGann; 637, Anthony Young."
- Speaker Giglio: "Committee on Assignments. Death Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 612, offered by Representative Johnson. With respect to the memory of Louis J. Munds."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Death Resolution is adopted. Representative Matijevich, on the approval of the Journal."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to suspend the reading of the Journal and recommend the approval of the follow Journals: Journal #31, of April 27, 1989; 32, of April 28th, 1989; 33, of May 2nd; 34, of May 3rd; 35, of May 4th; 36, of May 5th; 37, of May 9th; 38, of May 10th; 39, of May 1lth; 40, of May 12th and 41, of May 16, 1989. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Journal Resolutions are adopted. The Chair wants to make an announcement that o'clock meetings were delayed and will start immediately upon adjournment and go till 4:00 and the other Committees will commence at the time that they were Alright, the 3:30 Committees will now start at scheduled. 4:00. Does everybody understand that? Alright, the o'clock Committee meetings will meet at 2:00. It'll give you a chance to get down there. Go to 2:00 to 4:00. And the 3:30 Committees will start at 4:00 and go till 4:00 to 6:00. Everybody understand that? The 1:00 o'clock meetings are starting now. Two o'clock. Allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Representative Matijevich now moves that the House stands adjourned until 6:00 p.m. tomorrow evening. Tomorrow evening, Representative Ropp. Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Should we synchronize our watches on this?"

Speaker Giglio: "Yes."

Stephens: "Alright. Two o'clock?"

Speaker Giglio: "Two o'clock."

Stephens: "Got it."

Speaker Giglio: "Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The House now stands adjourned till tomorrow, Thursday evening, at 6:00 p.m."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Reports. Representative Matijevich,
Chairman of the Committee on Rules, to which the following
Resolution was referred, action taken June 7, 1989,
reported the same back with the following recommendation:
'be adopted' House Joint Resolution 58. Representative
Curran, Chairman of the Committee on Economic
Development...Select Committee on Economic Development, to

# 53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 1989, reported the same back with the following 6, recommendations: 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bills 1197, 1037 and 937. Representative Steczo, Chairman of the Committee on Cities and Villages, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 6, 1989, the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bill 61, 991, 1375 and 1456. Representative Mautino, Chairman of the Committee Insurance, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 6, 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 732 and 1301; 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bill 273; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 790, 1032 and 1288; 'do pass amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 293. Representative Levin, Chairman of the Committee on Public Utilities, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 6, 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bill 4 and 1155; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 55. Representative Ronan, Chairman of the Committee on Transportation and Motor Vehicles, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 6, 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do Senate Bills 830 and 1426; 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bill 171 and 1327; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 194, 989, 1325, 1330 and 884; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 1369; 'be adopted' House Joint Resolution 55. Representative Richmond, Chairman of the Committee on Agriculture, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 6, 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 1081, 249 and 370; 'do pass Short Debate' Senate

# 53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Bills 650 and 647; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 1126, 870 and 63; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 1428 and 228. Representative Monroe Flinn, Chairman of the Committee on Financial Institutions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 100 and 901; pass as amended Short Debate' Senate Bill 915 and 952: 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 60, 33, 388, 954, and 1256; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 1125; 'be adopted' House Res...House Resolution 617; Interim Study Calendar; Senate Bills 1055 934. Representative Farley, Chairman of the Committee on Labor and Commerce, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 6, 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bill 86, and 181; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bill 32, 85 and 141; 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bill 260; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 1024 and 477. Representative White, Chairman of the Committee on Human Services, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 887, 1047 and 1303; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bill 735; 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bills 376, 472, 499, 733, 1302, 1306, 1304 and 1354; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' Senate Bill 384 and 1198; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 177, 341, 597, 774, 705, 890, 1008, 1030, 1186, 1328, 1414, 1449, 1023 and 1269; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 383, 1114 and 1413. Interim Study Calendar; Senate Bills 289, 1313, 1132 and 1400. Representative Mulcahey, Chairman of the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education, to which the following Bills were

53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

referred, action taken June 7, 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 1338; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bill 1333, 680 and 960; 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bill 1089, 976, 659 and 812; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' Senate Bill 1344; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 145, 589, 254 and 1396; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 104; Interim Study Calendar; Senate Bill 649. The Perfunctory Session will stand at ease awaiting further Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Perfunctory Session will be back in order. Committee Reports. Representative Terzich, Chairman of the Committee on Executive, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 7, 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 38, 150, 243, 247, 248, 255, 292, 473, 492, 555, 573, 983, 984, 1059, 1077, 1078, 1320, 1339, 1380, 1402, 1403; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bills 151, 185, 257, 269, 676, 729, 751, 760, 1038, 1139, 1222, 1374 and 1376; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 90, 218, 223, 353, 373, 746, 1010, 1088, 1425 and 1450; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 240, 925 and 1210; 'be adopted' House Joint Resolution 56; Interim Study Calendar; Senate Bills 233, 252, 536, 592, 796, 828, 832, 1098, 1382, 1458 and 1465. Representative Dunn, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 7, 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 503 and 1417; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bills 129, 936 and 1003; 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bills 253, 587, 741, 753, 763, 1314, 1421, 239, 296, 533, 929, 1007, 1213, 1214, 1464, 1466; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' Senate Bills 514, 1298, 1322 and 1017; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate

# 53rd Legislative Day

June 7, 1989

Bills 395, 889 and 1217; Interim Study Calendar; Senate Bills 290, 498, 942, 1013, 1406 and 1408. Currie, Chairwoman of the Committee on Revenue, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 7, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 38, 344, 686 and 1094; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bills 136, 169, 500, 692, 716 and 1424; 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bills 50, 634, 697, 740, 933, 945, 1069 and 1305; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' Senate Bills 237, 392, 4...494 and 1345; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 51, 588, 593, 625, 853, 914 and 1128; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 971 and 1018; Interim Study Calendar; Senate Bills 451, 801, 896 and 1172; 'be adopted Short Debate' House Joint Resolution 409. Representative Hannig, Chairman of the Committee on State Government, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 7, 1989, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass' Senate Bills 785 and 1441; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' Senate Bills 1034 and 487; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 685 and 990; Interim Study Calendar; Senate Bill 773. There being no further business, the House now stands adjourned."

REPORT: TIFLDAY 15:09

COMMITTEE REPORTS

COMMITTEE REPORTS

PERFUNCTORY SESSION

PERFUNCTORY ADJOURNMENT

## STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

04/23/90

PAGE

JUNE 07, 1989

| HB-0850 SECOND READING                 | PAGE | 4  |
|--|------|----|
| HB-0850 SECOND READING                 | PAGE | 15 |
| H∂-0850 THIRD READING                  | PAGE | 29 |
| SB-0261 SECOND READING                 | PAGE | 2  |
| SB-1069 MOTION                         | PAGE | 4  |
|  |      |    |
| SUBJECT MATTER                         |      |    |
|  |      |    |
| HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE | PAGE | 1  |
| PRAYER - REVEREND WINDERS              | PAGE | 1  |
| PLEDGE - REPRESENTATIVE RICE           | PAGE | 1  |
| ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE               | PAGE | 1  |
| SPEAKER MADIGAN IN THE CHAIR           | PAGE | 11 |
| INTRODUCTION OF COUNSEL GENERAL MENGAY | PAGE | 11 |
| COUNSEL GENERAL MENGAY                 | PAGE | 12 |
| REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN THE CHAIR     | PAGE | 15 |
| REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN THE CHAIR     | PAGE | 22 |
| AGREED RESOLUTIONS                     | PAGE | 29 |
| GENERAL RESOLUTIONS                    | PAGE | 30 |
| DEATH RESOLUTIONS                      | PAGE | 30 |
| APPROVAL OF THE JOURNALS               | PAGE | 30 |
| ADJOURNMENT                            | PAGE | 31 |
| PERFUNCTORY SESSION                    | PAGE | 31 |

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

31

34

34

35

DOCUMENT NAME REQ STORE COMMAND DATE COPY WIDTH DEPTH ERROR

T060789 36 0 pj 03/21/90 1 66 78