49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The Chaplain for today is Father John Corredato, from St. Joseph's Catholic Church in Springfield. Father Corredato is a guest of Representative Mike Curran. The guests in the balcony may wish to rise and join us for the invocation this morning."
- Father Corredato: "Let us pray. Lord God, we take these few moments at the beginning of this important legislative day to reflect on Your goodness to us, the citizens of the State of Illinois. We praise and thank You for Your gift of life to us. May we do all that we can to preserve it and to enhance it. We ask Your continued blessings for those of us elected or appointed to public office. May we come to understand more clearly what it means to serve our brothers and sisters. May we always deserve the trust placed into our hands. We seek Your special guidance that we may be especially sensitive to the poor, the elderly, the afflicted and those who are most forgotten by Give us the idealism and the enthusiasm of our first days of office. Give us the confidence to act decisively. Give us the wisdom to act rightfully. Give us the ability to be kind to all others, for this we can all afford to do always. This is our prayer, Lord, today and everyday, forever and ever. Amen."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the record reflect that

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Representative Bernard Pedersen is excused for today."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, there are no absences on this side of the aisle."
- Speaker McPike: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. 117 Members answering the Roll, a quorum is present. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 536, offered by Representative Johnson. 537, Johnson. 539, Young. 540, Krska. 541, Krska. 544, Balanoff. 546, Balanoff. 547, Johnson. 548, Johnson. 549, DeJaegher. 550, Ewing. 551, Stange. 552, Giglio and 553, Giglio."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, these are all of the commendatory nature. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 58, offered by Speaker Madigan. House Resolution 542, McNamara. 543, Young. 545, Black."
- Speaker McPike: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 538, offered by Representative Farley. With respect to the memory of John A. Pulaski."
- Speaker McPike: "Gent... Representative Matijevich moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Death Resolutions are adopted. Messages from the Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate by Ms. Hawker,
 Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the
 House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills
 of the following titles, and passage of which I am

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

instructed t.o ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Bills number 1445, 1463, 1085, 1089, 1125, 1159, 1165, 1168, 1170, 1172, 1179, 1181, 1196, 1199, 1210, 1217, 1221, 1257, 1258, 1280, 1288, 1294, 1301, 1302, 1303, 1304, 1310, 1313, 1315, 1320, 1325, 1338, 1342, 1347, 1353, 1377, 1388, 1400, 1406, 1407. Bill 4, 8, 16, 27, 45, 51, 52, 60, 63, 85, 86, 111, 113, 118, 119, 125, 145, 151, 171, 181, 194, 204, 219, 237, 249, 260, 307, 344, 376, 394, 395, 448, 454, 457, 471, 492, 507, 508, 884, 904, 911, 914, 917, 925, 927, 929, 933, 934, 935, 937, 938, 939, 948, 950, 952, 955, 960, 961, 963, 976, 981, 984, 986, 988, 989, 994, 1002, 1003, 1017, 1018, 1021, 1023, 1024, 1034, 1036, 1039, 1043, 1046, 1049, 1050, 1052, 1055, 1060, 1069, 1070, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1077, 1079, 1083, 1086, 1088, 1094, 1096, 1098, 1099, 1114, 1115, 1123, 1126, 1127, 1128, 1132, 1147, 1155, 1161, 1173, 1185, 1186, 1197, 1200, 1213, 1214, 1219, 1220, 1222, 1224, 1254, 1255, 1256, 1259, 1262, 1269, 1270, 1276, 1296, 1298, 1305, 1306, 1307, 1311, 1314, 1322, 1323, 1324, 1327, 1328, 1330, 1339, 1344, 1345, 1346, 1348, 1349, 1352, 1354, 1369, 1375, 1376, 1379, 1380, 1382, 1385, 1391, 1396, 1403, 1408, 1414, 1418, 1421, 1422, 1424, 1426, 1428, 1443, 1449, 1450, 1451, 1455, 1456, 1458, 1464, 1465, 1466, 1417, 1425, 1427, 1429, 1431, 1441, passed the Senate May 25, 1989. Linda Hawker, Secretary.'"

Speaker McPike: "Supplemental Agreed #3, House Bills Second Reading. House Bill 112. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 112. This Bill has been read a second
... time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cullerton."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton, Amendment #1."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

of the House. Like many Amendments this time of year, this is an agreed Amendment. Among a number of bodies..."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 168, Representative Homer."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 168. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 919, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 919. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1... Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker McPike: "Good morning, Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been here for awhile. I'm just on a wild goose chase."

Speaker McPike: "I know."

Steczo: "Withdraw #1, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Withdraw #2, please."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Speaker McPike: "Number 2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #3 is an agreement between the Illinois Municipal League, the Illinois Department of Transportation..."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 1010, Representative Levin. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1010. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 is adopted in Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Amendments filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 1223. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1223. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. McCracken, would you like to handle this Amendment for Mr. Ryder? Amendment #1."
- McCracken: "I move its adoption. It makes a spelling correction only."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1.

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 1315. Read the Bill,
 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1315. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Saltsman."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton, would you handle the Amendment?"
- Cullerton: "Agreed Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

 The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Ronan."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "It allows for the Department of Transportation's discretion to require an in-depth investigation of accidents involving department employees."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. All... Representative Black on the Amendment."
- Black: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that Amendment #2 is out of order and 3 might be the correct one."
- Speaker McPike: "We don't have an Amendment #3, Mr. Black."
- Black: "Hang on just a second, I think we do."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you."
- Black: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair."
- Speaker McPike: "Yes."
- Black: "This might be a Clerk's error. We have two Amendments.

 Can we get to the LRB number on 2, 3."

49th Legislative Day May 26, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "It ends in wam03."

Speaker McPike: "wam03."

Black: "Okay. Alright."

Speaker McPike: "Is that it? Okay. We have an agreement on that and so Amendment #2, the Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Representative Black, Mr. Countryman would like an apology. Oh, Cullerton, I'm sorry. Cullerton. Representative Cullerton would like an apology, Mr. Black. Would you turn on Mr. Black, please?"

Black: "I would certainly apologize to Mr. Cullerton who represents the National League Baseball Club that's in first place in the east, as I believe."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1317. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 1453, Representative Sutker. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1453. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Sutker. Gentleman withdraws

Amendment #1. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Sutker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Sutker."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Sutker: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

 Amendment #2 takes into account concerns of local
 governments and other municipal entities with respect to
 the Bid-rigging Bill we passed last Session."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. Any opposition? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Sutker."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Sutker."
- Sutker: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

 Amendment #3 is a technical Amendment. It would just

 correct some syntax difficulties."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 1890, Representative DeLeo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1890. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 1983, Representative Granberg. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1983. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 2183, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2183. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 2363, Representative Cullerton. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2363. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee or Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 2409, Representative Cullerton."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2409. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee or Floor Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 2515, Representative Hicks. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2515. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Barger."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Barger. Withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hallock and Churchill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Hallock. Mr. Black, would you like to handle the Amendment for Mr. Hallock?"
- Black: "I'd be more than happy to, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 amends the State Occupation Use Tax to create a sales tax exemption for mulching lawn mowers."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Would the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yes."
- Cullerton: "Representative Black, as you know the Majority Leader

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

and the Speaker in the Chair is my seatmate and normally he spends a lot of time talking, telling me about how the Cardinals did. And he hasn't been saying much recently. And maybe it's because we've been working so hard down here..."

Black: "I know, he's been very busy."

Cullerton: "Do you know how the Cardinals have been doing? I...I haven't heard any..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton, we're on Amendment #2."

Cullerton: "Oh, yes, that's right. We would be..."

Speaker McPike: "The sales tax exemption for mulching lawn mowers. That's the Amendment we're on."

Cullerton: "Yes. Yes. Now, Representative, does this have anything to do, as Representative Churchill said, with mowing the infields of baseball parks or... What do we need...how much does this cost? Isn't it kind of late in the day and early in the morning to be putting sales tax exemptions through?"

Black: "Well, I can't speak for Representative Hallock, maybe this is designed to help out Wrigley Field, because the other ballpark doesn't have any grass. In my district, a mulching lawn mower is a goat. I'm not real sure what this does."

Cullerton: "Well, do you know if this costs anything? It must."

Black: "I would assume that there would be some slight cost, but
I don't see any fiscal information attached to this fine
Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I got the message. Ladies and Gentlemen we are opposed to this Amendment. This is a Bill that failed in Committee before we were told that Bills that got on the Consent Calendar should...shouldn't have

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

controversial Amendments proposed to it. If it goes on, the Bill comes off the Consent Calendar. It's a high-priced item that has already been rejected by Committee and I urge 'no' votes. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the think Representative Cullerton was actually House. Ι hitting the nail on the head. We've done a lot in the last couple years to try and help some of our sports and to help the people who are involved in professional sports in the State of Illinois. And I think that this is one very small break, a very small cost to the State of Illinois, which when given as a sales tax exemption to those baseball clubs and other sporting organizations that would buy mulching lawn mowers to make sure that the grass on the infield and for high schools, perhaps the grass on the football fields, would remain in good shape none of the kids would get hurt. I think that you know...the opposition of the other party should overlooked in this instance, because this is really a pro-sports vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd stand in opposition to the
Gentleman's Amendment..."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. 'Nos' have it and the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take House Bill..."

Speaker McPike: "We're not to that Order yet. If you'll just approach the Chair and give us the number, we'll get there.

House Bill 2581, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr.

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Currie."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Further changes recommended by the Department of Public Health."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #2.

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Currie."
- Speaker McPike: "Ms. Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A final change recommended by the Department of Public Health."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #3.

 The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Lady asks leave to return this to Third Reading on the Agreed...Supplemental Agreed #3. No objection. The Attendance Roll Call is used. It's back on Third Reading. House Bill 1476, Representative Steczo. Gentleman asks leave to return it to Second Reading. No objections. Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments to 1476?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Steczo."
- Steczo: "Withdraw Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Steczo."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Steczo."
- Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 represents an agreement between the interior designers and the architects. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return it to Third
 Reading on the Agreed Calendar. No objections. Attendance
 Roll Call is used. The Bill's on Third Reading. House
 Bill 1659. Representative Churchill moves to return the
 Bill to Second Reading. No objection, the Motion carries.
 Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Williams."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams. Mr. Cullerton, would you handle Amendment #1, please? Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is a Bill that's been worked out on both sides of the aisle. What it does basically, is to create a tenants...a training program for tenants of publicly owned property, so that they can manage the properties."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

 The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return it to Third

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Reading on the Agreed List. No objection. The Attendance Roll Call is used. It's back on Third Reading. House Bill 2570, Representative Capparelli. The Gentleman asks leave to return it to Second Reading. No objections. The Bill is on Second Reading. Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Capparelli."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It just clarifies the Bill by changing the opt. out date from September 1st, 1990, to December 1st, 1990."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman asks leave to return it to Third Reading on the Agreed List. The Attendance Roll Call is used, the Motion carries. It's on Third Reading. Does anyone else have any Bills on this Order? Mr...Mr. Clerk, you can read...read the Bills for third...on Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 112, a Bill for an Act..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Didrickson. Excuse me.

Representative Didrickson. Do you have a Bill?"

Didrickson: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "What's the number?"

Didrickson: "2130."

Speaker McPike: "House Bill 2130. Lady asks leave to return it to Second Reading. Representative Didrickson moves to return House Bill 2130 to Second Reading. Does the Lady have leave? Leave's granted. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Didrickson."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 specifies that the funds received from and for the license increase will be deposited in the Youth Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Prevention Fund and also specifies that the funds will be transferred on June 30th."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, Representative Didrickson, I think that the Amendment came as a response to the last time the Bill was presented withsome dialogue..."

Didrickson: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "...with Representative Mautino and yourself. I would also tell you though, that there are some Members over here, not me, but some Members over here who are opposed to the Bill. And I think it's on an Agreed List. So, I think we should adopt the Amendment, but I just wanted to let you know that there are some people over here who are..."

Didrickson: "I understand."

Cullerton: "...are opposed to the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Mautino, you want to speak on this Amendment?"

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to echo the sentiments of Representative Cullerton. The Amendment is an Agreed Amendment. The underlying Bill itself, I don't believe, should be on the Agreed Bill List and I'd like to have my colleagues join me in removing it."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton can assist you in knocking any Bill off the Agreed List. The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker McPike: "The Lady asks leave to return this to Third Reading on the Supplemental Agreed #3. Representative Giorgi objects. Representative Didrickson, it appears like this Bill's going to be knocked off of the Supplemental Agreed. So there are objections and the Bill then will return to Third Reading and it will appear on a Supplemental Calendar. House Bill 2596, Representative Phelps asks leave to return the Bill to Second Reading. No objections. Leave is granted. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Phelps."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Phelps."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is just a technical Amendment to redefine the population requirement."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it
 and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman asks leave to return this to Third
 Reading on the Agreed Supplemental. Attendance Roll Call
 is used, the Motion carries. Third Reading.
 Representative Phelps, do you have any others? Now, House
 Bill 2130 has been removed from the Agreed list. Mr.
 Clerk, read the Bills a third time."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 112, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 168, a Bill for an Act concerning assistance to medical students. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 919, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to bonds of contractors. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1010, a Bill for an

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1223, a Bill for an designating the state prairie grass. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1315, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1317, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1453, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1890, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the rate of interest. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1983, a Bill for an Act to amend the Wildlife Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2183, a Bill for an Act to amend the Asbestos Abatement Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2363, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2409, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2515, a Bill for an Act to amend the Retailer's Occupation Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2581, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to recorders...records of the State Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 17, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the state budget and Appropriation Bills. Third Reading of House Bill 283, a Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home Care Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 436, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to child and spouse support collection. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 462, A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 519, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the adoption of persons. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 572, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 601, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain injuries. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 773, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 790, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to sheriffs. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 929, a Bill for an Act to Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1080, a Bill for an Act in relation to motor vehicle liability insurance. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1091, a Bill for an Act in relation to medical Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1250, a Bill for an Act to amend the Wildlife Code. Third Reading of House Bill 1270, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to vocational education. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1303, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1463, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to crimes and crime related information. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1476, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1548, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1611, a Bill for an Act in relation to grade and testing. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1659, a Bill for an to amend the Civil Administrative Code. First (sic -Third) Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1752, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Alcoholism and other Drug Dependency Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2029, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2081, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

House Bill 2130, has been removed from the the Bill. Agreed List. House Bill 2166, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2265, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2328, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2372, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2431, A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2452, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First (sic - Third) Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2544. a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2547, a Bill for an Act to amend the Court Reporter's Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2570, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Bank Holding Company Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2596, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning service of process in civil matters. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2671. Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2689, a Bill for an Act to amend the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act. Third Reading of Bill, and House Bill 2729, a Bill for an Act relating to a lien for licensed psychologists. Third Reading of Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Now, Representative Steczo has one of these Bills that he would like to bring back to Second Reading, and that would be House Bill 2183. Does the Gentleman have leave? No objections, leave is granted. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2183."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Speaker McPike: "Are there any Amendments filed? Representative Steczo, the Amendment's just now being filed. Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, I filed it with the Clerk about fifteen minutes ago. I'm filing additional copies now."
- Speaker McPike: "Well, never mind, we found it."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment #2 guts the Bill and allows the realtors and 'IPAC' to continue their negotiations on asbestos. I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gent... Representative Black is in agreement with the Amendment. Representative Black."
- Black: "Yeah. Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. We're in agreement with the Amendment, but just make sure we've got the same LRB number here, since this is rather quick."
- Speaker McPike: "Yes. Good idea. Mr. Clerk, what is the LRB number on that?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "LRB8604242RBpfam02."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Someone asked leave to return this to Third Reading on the Agreed Lists and it is now on Third Reading.

 Mr. Clerk, does it need to be read again?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2183, a Bill for an Act to amend the Asbestos Abatement Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, John Hallock and I have an important announcement to make and I have an especially important

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

announcement to make, because students from my wife's alma mater are in the Assembly Hall over the...overlooking the Assembly Hall. They're all from the Lincoln Middle School of Rockford, Illinois. Up in that area. Rockford. Lincoln...Rockford. Lincoln Middle School of Rockford, Illinois. My wife's old school."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hasara."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will there be an analysis synopsis distributed on these Bills?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk? Yes."

Hasara: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The change of vote form for the Supplemental #3 will be here shortly. We will pass out the change of vote forms as we did yesterday. You will have two hours to fill them out and turn them in to the...the Clerk's well. The analysis are being passed out at this moment and the change of vote forms will come shortly thereafter. Bills having been read a third time, the question is, 'Shall these Bills pass on Supplemental Agreed #3?' those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. This is a vote on all the Bills on Supplemental #3 with the exception of House Bill 2130, which will appear on a...an additional Supplemental. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Alright, we will...we will hold the announcements on these Bills now, for two hours and you'll have two hours to turn in the change of vote We'll then tally it up and announce rather or not these Bills passed at a later hour. Mr. Clerk, take the record to order, Government Programs. Now with your cooperation...if we could have a little order. With your cooperation, the Chair is going to recognize the Sponsor and recognize an opponent, and if we could try to do this all day, we'll move a lot of Bills. If we can't do it.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

we'll go home at the same time. Mr. Tate, we just won't hear very many Bills. House Bill 227, Representative Giorgi. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Has the Bill been read a second time?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 227 has been read a second time previously."

Speaker McPike: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, being offered by Representative Giorgi."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment #2 is to...it's an agreed

Amendment between the County Officer's Association, the

Illinois Sheriff's Association..."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the agreed Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 227, a Bill for an Act to amend the Act in relationship to the compensation of county officials.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill provides for a three percent increase in their wages starting after the next election, and agreed to by the Illinois Sheriff's Association and the County Officer's Association. I urge its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition? Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye',

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

opposed vote 'no'. Representative Dunn, to explain his vote. No, he's pushed the wrong button, he doesn't want to. Anyone else? Representative Cullerton. Representative Zickus."

Zickus: "I wanted to explain my vote."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Zickus: "I'm voting 'present', because I don't want a conflict.

We have a family member that's employed by the Sheriffs."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there's 77 'ayes', 33 'nos', 4 voting 'present'. House Bill 227, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 774. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 774, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create sanitary districts, has been read a second time previously."

Speaker McPike: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, is being offered by Representative Parcells."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This was for pay increases for the Metropolitan Reclamation District. And I thought the pay increases seemed rather hefty and that the President of the Board really wasn't any more important or deserved anymore than the Speaker of the House. And so I have accordingly written those in as a salary schedule that would be similar Speaker of the House and the vice-president...and to the members would be the same as our salary and vice-president and finance officer would be \$42,246, instead of the proposed raise. I think that the districts do a good job, but that their salaries should not be higher than those of the Speaker of the House and the

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- members should not be higher than our Members. And therefore, I would ask for an 'aye' vote on Amendment #1 to House Bill 774."
- Speaker McPike: "In opposition to the Lady's Amendment? Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is in direct...diametrically opposed to what the thrust of the Bill is. All this Bill does, is after the next election, that two new members of the Metropolitan Reclamation District will get a \$2,000 raise. And I don't want this Amendment on this Bill, because it changes the thrust of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. 'Nos' have it and
 the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 774, a Bill for an Act...that's correct...House Bill 774, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create sanitary districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker McPike: "Mr. Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this provides for a \$2,000 raise after the next election for members of the met...reclamation district. I urge its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition? Question is,

 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye',

 opposed vote 'no'. Representative Giorgi, to explain his

 vote."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know what the reticence is in voting for the Metropolitan Sanitary District's pay program. None of it's state monies. None of it is state monies. It's all paid for by the Reclamation Authority. They...the largest sanitary district in the world are asking for a modest increase. I don't see anything

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

unreasonable with the request. We're going to adjust everyone's salaries and they don't take effect until after the next election. So, I should think that the Members of the General Assembly would support one of the largest governmental entities in the world by granting this minimal stipend."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 56 'ayes', 56 Is anyone seeking to change their vote? Representative Cur...Representative Curran, votes 'aye'. Representative Mautino, votes 'aye'. On this Bill there are 58 'ayes', 55 'nos'. Gentleman asks leave Postponed...Gentleman asks leave for Postponed Consideration. Bill will be put on...Bill will be put on Postponed Consideration. House Bill 910, Representative LeFlore. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 910, on page 3 of the Calendar, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, has been read a second time previously."

Speaker McPike: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed and no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any further Amendments? Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 910, a Bill for an Act to amend theIllinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 910 requires that bus drivers and applicants to be bus drivers, to be tested for drugs. The test should conclude...conducted...be conducted by a

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

laboratory approved by the state. The cost of tests then should subsequently be assumed by the employer. Testing should be conducted for the presence of alcohol and marijuana and other controlled substances. I would like to have a favorable vote on this...on House Bill 910."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition? Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "There is a Bill similar to this, but not the random drug testing proposed by Representative LeFlore. And although we all want safety in our bus drivers, this just goes too far, particularly when there's a solution already. There is a solution which also will be voted on today. I stand in opposition."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 80 'ayes', 31 'nos', 4 voting 'present', and House Bill 910 receiving the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1559, Representative Younge. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, has this been read a second time?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1559 has been read a second time previously."

Speaker McPike: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2 and 3 were adopted previously."

Speaker McPike: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, is being offered by Representative Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Amendment #4 is an Amendment that makes a technical correction in reference to the bonds of the Illinois Development Finance..."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Speaker McPike: "Lady moves for the adoption of the technical Amendment. Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, being offered by Representative
 Wyvetter Younge."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."
- Younge, W.: "Amendment #5 would make it possible for the Comptroller to withhold funds to pay the debt service on the bonds. And I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is,... Representative Stephens, on the Amendment."
- Stephens: "I don't want to delay the process, but could you explain what that means?"
- Younge, W.: "That means that if the Illinois Development Finance
 Authority decided to float bonds in order to restructure
 the debt of a municipality that is financially distressed,
 they would ask the Comptroller to withhold some of...say
 the local distributive fund money that...due that
 municipality to service the debt service on the bonds."
- Stephens: "Does this increase bonding authority at all?"
- Younge, W.: "No. The Illinois Development Finance Authority has the authority to float bonds. We are giving..."
- Stephens: "I understand that. This in no way increases their ability to increase that?"

Younge, W.: "No. No."

Stephens: "You're sure?"

Younge, W.: "I'm positive."

- Stephens: "And then if the...then the Comptroller could step in if...if it couldn't pay the bonds, the Comptroller would step in and take the municipality's money?"
- Younge, W.: "The...the credit...bonds require credit analysis. In order to remove the requirement for a credit analysis, what

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- is being proposed is, that there be funds due the municipality set aside to retire the debt service on those bonds. And that is what the Amendment does."
- Stephens: "So there would be no credit analysis of the municipality that would be utilizing these revenues?"
- Younge, W.: "In addition to the credit analysis, there would be the...a possibility and the authority to withhold funds due the municipality under local distributive..."
- Stephens: "You said two things. You said 'In addition to,' just now, but before that..."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens. Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Yes."
- Speaker McPike: "We're trying to move along today and I know you'd like to have a nice long dialogue."
- Stephens: "I am too, but I don't want to move bad legislation, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "If you wish to stand in opposition to the Amendment, stand in opposition to the Amendment, but we're just asking for your cooperation, Sir."
- Stephens: "Well, okay. And I want to do that, because I want to get home too, but I tell you what, we have seen a package of legislation to bail out..."
- Speaker McPike: "On the Amendment."
- Stephens: "...distressed municipalities and this is one of those.

 And we have a lot of questions about them and I thought we could get those answered in an open debate. So if we're not going to have an open debate, we'll just rise in opposition. I'd like a Roll Call. And we're against this Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "That's absolutely you're privilege. The
 Gentleman stands in opposition to the Amendment. The Lady
 moves for the adoption of Amendment #5. All those in favor

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

of the Amendment say 'aye'. Wait a minute. The Gentleman asked for a Roll Call. All those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 63 'ayes', 49 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "Mr. Speaker, could we have the electrician back here before Representative Van Duyne gets here. We'd like to fix his switch."

Speaker McPike: "Would you like to turn it off or on?"

Hicks: "On. We're having a little trouble with it."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Mr. Electrician, would you see Mr.

Van Duyne's switch, please? House Bill 1655,

Representative Younge. I'm sorry, House Bill 1559. Read

the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1559, a Bill for an Act in relationship to financially distressed municipalities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill would give the Illinois Development Finance Authority the power to help municipalities that are in financial distress, restructure their debt through bonds that are retired by monies that are due the municipality. And I ask for your support on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for the passage of House Bill 1559. And in opposition to that, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Mr. Speaker, inquiry of the Chair. I believe this

Bill would preempt to enroll and would that require 71

votes for passage?"

Speaker McPike: "We will check on the vote requirement and you

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

may speak against the Bill."

- Stephens: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, the...with the adoption of Amendment 4, that we did by voice just a few moments ago, the fiscal note on House Bill 1559..."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens, we adopted Amendment #5 by a Roll Call vote at your request, Sir."
- Stephens: "I did not mention Amendment #5, Sir, I mentioned #4.

 And with Amendment #4 the...we're talking about a sixteen million dollar cost. We're talking about a Bill that includes this bonding authority and these bonds cannot effectively be sold without a strong state mechanism for credit enhancement. The credit market could not accept the level of risk involved with such distressed municipalities, without a state guarantee of repayment in event of default. And these cities have to be helped, but for us to take the entire burden upon ourselves as a state, is an absolute abomination. We cannot allow legislation like this to get passed. I presume that this needs 71 votes and I would hope that responsible downstate Legislators and Republicans will join us in opposition to this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Stephens, these are IDFA Bonds, they're not backed by the full faith and credit of state. They're not G.O. Bonds. The Bill requires 60 votes. The question 'Shall House Bill 1559 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted Clerk will take the record. Representative Phelps, did you want...Phelps 'aye'. On this Bill there are 66 'ayes', 48 'nos', none voting 'present'. House Bill 1559, having received the Constitutional Majority...Yes, I said Phelps, 'aye'. Phelps, 'aye', Mr. Clerk. 67 1559, having received the Constitutional House Bill House Bill 1655, Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Younge. The Bill's been read previously.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1655, on page 11 of the Calendar.

Amendment 1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed and no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1655, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1655 will establish the Federal 235 Program in the Illinois Housing Development Authority. This is a program which essentially puts the interest down to 1% to make the houses affordable for low income people. I've been in discussion with the Illinois Housing Development Authority through its...Lobbyist, Andy Raucci, and we have agreed that this is not the final form of this Bill, but that we will work towards a substantive for low income people that is made available through resources of the state with the Illinois Housing Development Authority. And I move for the passage of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "In opposition to the Bill, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The entire concept is flawed, therefore, I stand in opposition to this. And I understand the Lady wants to move out a vehicle Bill so she can address the issue, but it's plain from the Bill and the Amendment what this...what the thrust of the position will be. IHDA is not in the business of supporting people until they determine whether they can afford housing. IHDA is in the business of making low income housing available. Those are two very different tasks and goals. IHDA is not in the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

business of short term welfare. It is in the business of providing low income housing. It floats bonds for the purpose of making low interest loans available for that purpose. It is not in this business. It's not even a logical extension of IHDA's business. It is seriously flawed, inherently flawed. And I recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk...will take the record. Representative McCracken, do you ask for a verification? 60 'ayes', 54 'nos', 1 voting 'present'. The Lady requests a Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk Leone: "A poll of those not voting. Representative Capparelli and Rice are not voting."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, read the affirmative votes."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Balanoff. Bowman. Brunsvold. Breslin. Bugielski. Cullerton. Currie. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Farley. Davis. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Lou Shirley Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Jones. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Phelps. Preston. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. White. Williams. Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz here? Yeah, he's in

the rear."

McCracken: "Representative Mulcahey?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Mulcahey. Dick Mulcahey. Remove the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Mautino?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Mautino. Dick Mautino. Remove the Gentleman from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Ronan?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Mautino's here. Return him to the Roll.

Representative Ronan."

McCracken: "Where is he?"

Speaker McPike: "He was right there in the rear. Mr. Ronan. Mr. Ronan. Remove Mr. Ronan from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker McPike: "Who?"

McCracken: "Farley."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Farley. Mr. Ronan has returned.

Return Mr. Ronan to the Roll Call. Mr. Farley.

Representative Farley here? Remove him from the Roll
Call."

McCracken: "Representative Shaw?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Laurino. Mr. Laurino here? Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative DeJaegher?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. DeJaegher. Mr. DeJaegher. Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Brunsvold?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Brunsvold. He's right here."

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. DeLeo. DeLeo here? Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Dunn?"

Speaker McPike: "John Dunn. Representative Dunn. Remove him from the Roll."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

McCracken: "Representative Shirley Jones?"

Speaker McPike: "Wait a minute, Mr. Dunn is here. Return John Dunn to the Roll."

McCracken: "Alright. Representative Shirley Jones?"

Speaker McPike: "She's right up front."

McCracken: "Okay. Nothing further."

Speaker McPike: "Nothing further, Mr. McCracken. On this Bill there are 55 'ayes', 54 'nos', 1 voting 'present'.

Postponed? The Lady asks leave to place the Bill on Postponed Consideration. It will so be done. House Bill 1784, Representative LeFlore. The Bill's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1784, Amendment #2 was adopted earlier on May 23rd."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 18...Correction, House Bill 1784, a Bill for an Act in relationship to business financing. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House
Bill 1784 creates the Minority and Female Business
Acquisition. The fiscal note says as a minimum expense,
it's not a set aside. It's not dealing with state
contracts. To build merely it's for small business and I
ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "In opposition to the Bill, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is another attempt to pay lip service to the United States Supreme Court, the same Supreme Court that many of you on that side of the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

aisle find so wonderful on other issues. You are not complying with the spirit of that case. This is a sham. Moreover, IDFA is not in the business of funding small businesses. It is absolutely the wrong place to go. It's a sham. It should not be passed. I rise in opposition." Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 67 'ayes', 49 'nos', none voting 'present'. House Bill 1784, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 947, Representative Cowlishaw. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 947, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Miss Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 947 simply changes the definition of a Class I County for school purposes from less than one million to less than two million population and a Class II County for school purposes from more than one million to more than two million. The reason for this change is because the County of DuPage is rapidly reaching the point where it will soon be...have a population of one million residents, and the county schools within that county would like to avoid the requirement which now prevails only in Cook County, which is the only Class II County in the state, to use township treasurers for the school districts. The schools in DuPage County do not wish to do that. And therefore, this Bill, it is a very simple Bill. It is not controversial. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Any opposition? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. House Bill 947, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2386, Representative Giorgi. Read the...The Bill's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2386...It's been read a second time previously."

Speaker McPike: "You don't want the Bill? Out of the record.

How about the next Bill, 2397. The Bill's been read a second time. He doesn't want that one either. Out of the record. Alright, House Bill...2721, Representative Younge.

The Bill's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Committee Amendment #...House Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed and no Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2721, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2721 is the Bill having to do with the State Community College in East St. Louis. The College experienced some accounting difficulties. This Bill would call at the next general election for an election of a board and for a local tax to be on the ballot and also an advisory committee made up of the various colleges, the University of Illinois and SIU and some predominantly black universities to help establish the mission and the long range plan for State Community College. And I ask for your support in reference to this

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Bill."

- Speaker McPike: "In opposition to the Bill, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To the Bill, the Illinois Community College Board is in strong opposition to the Bill. I commend the Sponsor for trying to solve a problem that I think all of us are aware is occurring with this State Community College. There are other legislative initiatives underway on this very question. And for that reason and the fact that the Community College Board is opposed to this measure, I would urge a 'no' vote on 2721."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Flinn to explain his vote."
- Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I reluctantly rise to oppose the Lady's Bill. We are...we are already well along the way, I am the Sponsor of a Senate Bill which will follow the directions of the State Community College Board in trying to revitalize the East St. Louis Community College. Mrs. Younge is aware of that and she has decided to sail out on her own. I would ask for a 'no' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Miss Younge, do you want to explain your vote? There's 64 'no' votes up here."

Younge: "Alright."

- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 36 'ayes' and 67 'nos'. House Bill 2721, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. House Bill 143, Representative LeFlore. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. 143."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 143, a Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Mr. LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 143 require sixty days notice prior to a hospital closing, and this is to put the community and the workers of the hospital, informing them to the closing of the facility. The reason for this Bill is because this year there was one hospital in my district that closed, St. Ann's, and there was no notification given to the employees and the community. So I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "In opposition to the Bill, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Federal law, the Plant Closing Law, passed and signed into law, already includes hospitals; therefore, there is a federal law requiring the sixty day notice. Secondly, hospitals are required to get a certificate from the Certificate of Need Board in order to close. This Act is amending the Hospital Licensing Act. What is the purpose of that when you're talking about a situation of closing? Is it to jeopardize the license in the event you don't give the sixty days notice? In short, there's no need for this Bill. It amends the wrong Act or if it's the right Act, if there's something to this, let... amending the Licensing Act, I stand in opposition, because there's no reason to amend the Licensing Act, to threaten the revocation of a license when we're talking about a hospital closing. There's already a requirement for it. amends the wrong Act. It is unnecessarily duplicative. It does have a state impact because of the requirements put stand upon the Department of Public Health. I in opposition."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

Representative LeFlore to explain his vote."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the previous speaker, I

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

disagree with him about the plant closure. The hospital closure has nothing to do with the plant closure and this is the reason why I brought this Bill forward. So I ask for your favorable vote."

- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Representative Hultgren, explain your vote."
- Hultgren: "Yes, because of a possible conflict, I'll be voting 'present' on this measure."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Preston votes 'aye'. Mr... Miss Davis.

 Representative Davis to explain her vote."
- Davis: "Yes, I just want to say that I think it's real important for a community to know when a hospital is closing. In the State of Illinois and especially in Chicago, we've had a number of hospitals to close and the employees and those who depend on the service of that hospital would know possibly a week before. And this is just a bit of legislation that says that a public announcement has to be made within a reasonable period of time that this hospital will be closing. We don't...it doesn't cost any money. It's just something to let the public know that they are important. And we beg of you to consider if some major institution in your area were closing, don't you think that you should be important enough to be informed, especially when you're dependent on that service. If you're a senior citizen and you have a cab to deliver you to the hospital, only to find that it's closed. We beg you, please vote 'aye' on this legislation."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Representative Dunn votes 'aye'. Representative Flowers to explain her vote."
- Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."
- Speaker McPike: "McCracken is going to ask for a verification on this. Miss Flowers, proceed."
- Flowers: "I rise ... I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

It's unfortunate that a couple of weeks in Chicago...a couple of weeks ago in Chicago, a young man had gotten shot and his friends had taken him to the hospital. But the hospital was closed. There was no notification sent out in the community to let the people of the community know that that hospital was no longer in business. So as a result of that, the...unfortunately, the young man died. And it seems very simple to me for the hospital to let the community know that they are no longer in business if by chance anyone else has to make that same emergency ride, it would not be in vain. I would truly appreciate seeing more 'aye' votes up there in regards to House Bill 143."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 57 'ayes'...Shirley Jones votes 'aye'. Mr. Homer votes 'aye'. Mr. Homer votes 'aye'. Af 'nos', 9 voting 'present'. This Bill, having failed to receive... Representative Davis, you spoke in debate, for what reason do you rise?"

Davis: "To say to you, a policeman found himself in the same situation..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative...Representative LeFlore, do you want this on Postponed or you want the...the Bill...Representative Brunsvold votes 'aye'.

Representative McNamara, for what reason do you rise?"

McNamara: "Just as an explanation of my vote."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. McNamara, the vote is over."

McNamara: "It isn't necessary anymore."

Speaker McPike: "The voting is over. On this Bill there are...

Representative Klemm votes 'no'. On this Bill there are 60
'ayes', 46...47 'nos'. Representative McCracken has asked
for a verification. Mr. Clerk, poll the...poll those not
voting."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Representative Rice and Weaver are not voting."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Turner, for what reason do you rise? Mr. Turner would ask...Mr. McCracken, Mr. Turner would like to be verified. And Representative Wyvetter Younge would like leave. Mr. Clerk, poll the affirmative."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Balanoff. Bowman. Brunsvold. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Levin. Matijevich. Leverenz. Martinez. Mautino. McGann, McNamara, McPike, Morrow, Mulcahey. Phelps. Preston. Richmond, Ronan, Saltsman, Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. DeJaegher changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Bugielski, from 'present' to 'aye'. Any others? Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Keane?"
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Keane. Representative Keane. Remove Mr. Keane from the Roll Call. Representative Davis would like leave to be verified. She's walking up the center aisle."

McCracken: "Representative Flinn?"

Speaker McPike: "Monroe Flinn. Representative Flinn. Remove Mr. Flinn."

McCracken: "Representative Van Duyne?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

McCracken: "Representative Hannig?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hannig. Gary Hannig. Remove Mr. Hannig from the Roll."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

McCracken: "Representative Wolf?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Wolf. Remove Representative Wolf from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Keane has returned. Return Mr. Keane to the Roll. And what was your last one?"

McCracken: "Laurino."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Laurino. Representative Laurino. Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. DeLeo. Remove Mr. DeLeo."

McCracken: "Representative Granberg."

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker McPike: "On this Bill there are 57 'ayes', 46 'nos'. Mr.

Hannig has returned. Return Mr. Hannig to the Roll. No,

Hicks was not knocked off the Roll. Representative

LeFlore, on this... on this Bill..."

LeFlore: "...on Postponed."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks for Postponed Consideration.

It'll be placed on Postponed. House Bill 424,

Representative Flowers. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 424, a Bill for an Act to amend the Employees Right Violation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, last year we passed House Bill 3349 dealing with the violation of the employees right in the First and Fourteenth Amendment. In the...which required that the immediate discharge of a policy making state employee who is in litigation and in violation of someone's First and Fourteenth Amendment should be immediately fired. The Governor stated in his Amendatory Veto, he asked the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

question that is...if the intent of the policy making officer to be discharged even if the case is pending. House Bill 424 answers the Governor's question. It gives the person the opportunity to be reinstated if he is found not guilty. And I'm asking for the passage of House Bill 424."

- Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 103 'ayes', 12 'nos', 1 voting 'present'. House Bill 424, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 761, Representative Davis. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 761, a Bill for an Act to prohibit certain uses of automated telephone systems. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Davis."

- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill prohibits the use of automated telephone dialing systems which use recorded messages and it provides for penalties. It authorizes the Attorney General to obtain...injunctive relief. It removes the policing powers from the telephone company through an Amendment and leaves that up to the Attorney General."
- Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill?

 The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote
 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take
 the record. On this Bill there are 87 'ayes', 26 'nos', 1
 voting 'present'. House Bill 761, having received the
 Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House
 Bill 2448, Representative Cowlishaw. Read the Bill, Mr.
 Clerk."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2448, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2448 came out of Committee on Short Debate on a vote of 30 to nothing. It is the recognition program for drug-free schools, communities and businesses."
- Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to the Bill?

 The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote
 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted
 who wish? Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, just wanted to know if there was a fiscal note filed on this?"
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk. What's the fiscal note say? Yes,
 Mr. Clerk, would you read the fiscal note, please?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2448 amends the School Code. This Bill requires the State Board of Education to initiate an annual Governor's Recognition Program for outstanding schools, communities and businesses which are free of drugs. House Bill 2448 also provides for a competitive grant program."
- Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Cullerton would like to hear this. So, if you could read it so we could hear it."
- Clerk Leone: "Okay. The State Board of Education estimates the following impact of House Bill 2448: The size and extent of ISBE staffing needed would be a function of the overall annual dollar value of the Grant Program and the number of grants to be administered. We assume the program of grants of three million to ten million with every school district eligible for at least a small grant of this basis, and with the need for the recognition program, the program would likely require staffing of two professionals, one clerk and one secretary. Costing for such a staffing alignment,

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

including fringe benefits, would be approximately \$140,000. Traveling, duplicating, awards, postage and other costs would be in the range of 30,000 or more. Total estimated cost, \$170,000 a year. A grant program with more than ... more that ten million up to twenty million in outlays would probably cost the agency 250 to 300 thousand reflecting more extensive staffing, in—service training and monitoring."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton to explain his vote.

Yeah, Mr. McCracken, for what reason do you rise? We have
not taken the record."

McCracken: "Well, then I'll explain my vote."

McCracken: "Oh, then I'll make my point of order first."

Speaker McPike: "Alright. Make your point of order."

McCracken: "Where in the rules does it say the Clerk is to read the fiscal note? Last night...last night, last night, I objected and a fiscal note was read. I assumed it was done somewhat in jest. But when Representative Cullerton asked for the fiscal note, there must be malice there somewhere. Now, how many fiscal notes of Democrat big-spending Bills are we going to get read when we request our friend, Tony Leone, to do so? Are you going to call Democratic fiscal notes and have the Clerk read them...ad nauseam?"

Speaker McPike: "No."

McCracken: "For when they...for when they...yeah, precisely, for when they cost a billion dollars to do this and a billion dollars to do that. So what I want to know is when Representative so and so calls a Bill costing a billion dollars and it's a Democratic Bill, is it going to be read as seriously and as at length as Representative Cowlishaw's was just read? No, is that the answer? I call it a

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

rhetorical question."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you."

McCracken: "My guess is that this is a new trend, that instead of having a two or three month legislative session, we'll be in seven months a year so that Clerk Leone and Clerk O'Brien can read the Republican fiscal notes. But the billion here and the billion there that the Democrats propose, I predict will never, ever be read into the record. That's my guess. I'm just speculating."

Speaker McPike: "Okay, thank you."

McCracken: "I'm just speculating."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leone, Representative McCracken has strongly objected to the way that you read that fiscal note. And don't do it again. Representative Cullerton now to explain his vote."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was just curious as to how much the Bill cost and after he read the fiscal note, now I'm really confused. I heard ten million once, then I heard twenty million. Representative McCracken has acknowledged that it's a big spending Bill. I thought it was only 4.6 million that was going to be funded by the cigarette tax that's in a Senate Committee after an unsuccessful vote to discharge. So, I just wanted to know how much, maybe the Lady could explain in her... by explaining her vote, whether this is 4.6 million, ten million or twenty million."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cowlishaw to explain her vote."

Cowlishaw: "Mr. Speaker for this program there is already an amount of \$4,625,000 in the State Board of Education budget. The Board of Education anticipated that this program would be approved since they assumed that nobody in the General Assembly was in favor of school children using drugs. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Phelps."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to inquire, in this Bill is there anything that require all these drug-free people to be free of AIDS? Do we have to test them? And what kind of fiscal note would it cost if it's required among all the others that we passed?"
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 107 'ayes', no 'nays'.

 This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority,
 House Bill 2448, is hereby declared passed. House Bill
 792, Representative Trotter. Read the Bill..."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 792, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Trotter."
- Trotter: "Mr. Speaker, I just found a slip to put that in Interim Study."
- Speaker McPike: "Oh, fine, the Bill's in Interim Study. House Bill 822, Shirley Jones. Representative Jones. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 822, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Department of Children and Family Services.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Jones."
- Jones, S.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

 House Bill 822 provides that the Department of Children and
 Family Service may in conjunction with college and
 university establish programs to train low income older
 persons to be child care workers. Public aid and social
 security pension or private savings are the only liable
 assistance programs for older persons. This Bill that
 prescribes children care training for older persons allows
 them to be more self-sufficient by increasing their

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

potential earning power. No further..."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to the Bill?

The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?

Representative McCracken to explain his vote."

McCracken: "There's a fiscal note on this. Would the Assistant Clerk please read the fiscal note?"

Speaker McPike: "No."

McCracken: "Why am I not surprised?"

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? The last time we did that a Bill got 108 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 104 'ayes', 8 'nos'. House Bill 822, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 889, Representative Trotter. Do you wish this Bill called? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "On Supplemental Calendar 2, House Bill 889, a Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Trotter."

Trotter: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

I believe I finally might have a Bill that even the Republicans can vote on here. This Bill 889 amends the Personnel Code, and the genesis of this Bill was from several complaints of people in my community who did not have access to personnel lists, which simply define the lists asking that...where the jobs are, what county they're in, what agency they are about and the pay. We're asking that all jobs that go through the personnel department be posted and be accessible to the general community. And I ask everyone to vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition? Mr. McCracken, in opposition to the Bill."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- McCracken: "And I am not ashamed to say that a certain amount of discretion vested in the Executive Branch should be honored by the Legislative Branch. This is an attempt to co-opt a separate branch of government. It is proposed under the quise of reform, when in fact, it is a slap at Executive Branch, a separate branch of government under our Constitution. What is wrong with discretion in execution of the Executive Branch's duties? That is precisely what is at issue here. This is a Bill on the ... this is an attack on the Executive Branch Our It is not reform. It would hobble government. the Executive Branch in its discretion in executing its duties. It's an awful Bill. And don't let anyone fool otherwise. This is not reform."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall House Bill 889 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Trotter to explain his vote."
- Trotter: "Well, I am against the patronage in the Governor's Office; however, this Bill does allow for exemption of certain management positions. So, I don't think it is really that attack that he's talking about, and it's not a horrible Bill. And It will work for everyone. I, again, ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Balanoff, explain his vote."
- Balanoff: "Yes. This is a question of executive patronage. And the question is of openness in government. People have an...should have a right and an opportunity to know what jobs are available and when they're available. And I would urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Mr. McAuliffe to explain his vote. No, never mind. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 42 'ayes', 64 'nos'. House Bill 889, having failed to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. House Bill 955, Mr. Trotter. You want that Bill called? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 955, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Parentage Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Trotter."

Trotter: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Let me try this one more time. House Bill 955 is a Bill that says that if the courts ascertain that there is parentage in a child and judgement in child support is deemed necessary, we're saying that the non-custodial parent should pay a minimum of \$10. And we're asking that everyone here vote with me on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill?

Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I don't know if I'm in opposition. If it does as little as I think it does, what's the purpose?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Trotter."

Trotter: "This being...the purpose being is that a lot of people in being derelict in their responsibilities to paying child support would rather quit their job and hide behind the shield of public aid and general assistance because those checks are not garnished. We're saying that those people might have an incentive to keep their jobs if, in fact, they know that their dollars will be taken from them regardless if they want to or not."

McCracken: "Okay, sounds good."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. House Bill 955, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Bill 981, Representative Davis. 981, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 981, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Miss Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We all know that self-esteem develops because of role models. Minority pupils would improve in their academic achievement as well as in their discipline if House Bill 981 were passed. Because of the ability of many students to go into other fields today, where the pay is much superior than that of a teacher, the pool of teachers is decreasing. Sixteen percent of the students outside of Chicago are minority, but only four percent of the teaching staff is minority. Therefore, we are asking that you pass House Bill 981. The Bill simply requires that school boards develop and implement minority recruitment in hiring policies for teachers and for other employees by the year 1991. There is a state mandate fiscal note that states that since the Bill imposes a one-time requirement, it should impose no additional cost. We ask for a favorable vote."

- Speaker McPike: "In opposition to the Bill, Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Well, I just have a question. The Lady mentioned outside of Chicago. What is it inside Chicago?"
- Davis: "Inside of Chicago, Representative, if you're speaking of the Chicago Public School System, minority students are approximately sixty—five percent."
- Stephens: "No, I thought we're talking about instructors."
- Davis: "Instructors in Chicago are still at a...I don't have the exact figures, but the percentage certainly would be lower than the majority."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Stephens: "But, it would be higher than the minority outside the city."

Davis: "Higher than the...minority...well..."

Stephens: "I'm wondering, if we would wind up with the reverse discrimination in the city...in the city. Would you wind up with having to hire more non-minorities in the city schools so that you could bring the level to the right numbers as your Bill would accord."

Davis: "Well, I am not sure that we are asking for levels to be sought. I mean it isn't...we're not asking for any numbers. We're merely saying put forth a little effort and bring into your school building people of minority races."

Stephens: "Okay, I don't have a problem with that. I don't...when my kids go to school, I don't care if they're taught by a black, white or indifferent. That's great for me, but I happen to live in an area that's like...the minority's total is like two and a half percent or a percent and a half, and if right now we have one or two or three percent minority instructors, would your Bill cause the school board to have to go on a recruitment plan to increase that?"

Davis: "No, Sir. Let me state again, the Bill is merely saying to school boards across the State of Illinois put forth some effort in the recruitment of minority teachers..."

Stephens: "So if they..."

Davis: "And I'll state the reason again. You said in your community, Sir, that there are two and a half to three percent minority students?"

Stephens: "I don't know about the students..."

Davis: "How many..."

Stephens: "The total population is about two and a half percent,

I think."

Davis: "Students, you don't know?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Stephens: "Well, I...no, I don't. I'm just...total population."

Davis: "But, don't you think...Don't you think, Representative,

it would be very positive and have a positive effect upon

those students who live in your community who are

minorities to see a minority teaching at the school that
they attend?"

Stephens: "Oh absolutely, and I think it would do good for the majority to see thattoo. I think it would have a positive effect. What I'm asking...and we have that. There are minorities teaching in the schools. What I'm asking you is if it's roughlythe same balance, if there isn't an extremely negative balance showing prejudice, would they have to create a plan if they've already met some standard?"

Davis: "No, they do not. If they already have some minorities that are in that building who are being positive role models for those students, they don't have a problem."

Stephens: "So if they've got..."

Davis: "But in those buildings where they..."

Stephens: "If there is a black or an American Indian teaching in that school system or in that building, then they can say, 'Well, that building's got a positive role model. Look at building 2 now and..."

Davis: "I would hope so."

Stephens: "Is that..."

Davis: "I would hope so. We're not saying you have to have five, we're not saying you have to have ten, we're not saying you have to have twenty. What we're saying, Sir, is these students need positive role models whom they can emulate..."

Stephens: "Well, I agree with you. I think we all need positive role models and whether they're in the schools or in the Legislature or on the sportsfield, wherever it is, I

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

couldn't agree with you more."

Davis: "Thank you, Sir."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Mr. Lang, 'aye'. On this Bill there 101 'ayes' and 11 'nos'. House Bill 981, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1415, Representative Trotter. Mr. Trotter, do you want to have this Bill called? Representative Trotter, do you want the Bill called? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1415, a Bill for an Act in relationship
 to adequate ventilation in office buildings. Third Reading
 of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Trotter."

- Trotter: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It seems like I'm on a roll this morning. House Bill 1415 is actually a very simple Bill, as I thought the others were also. It has been designed actually to enhance the quality of life for the worker in his place of employment. We're asking that... the genesis of this Bill was brought about because in the last several years researchers have come to realize that health risks from air contaminants in the air environment may be greater indoors than those outdoors. And we're asking that we rectify this problem, and this Bill can do that. I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "In opposition to the Bill, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I do rise in opposition.

 Contrary to popular belief, our employees in this state are not working in sweatshops and dying for lack ofair conditioning. This is an idea whose time has past. It would be appropriate back in the 1890's but we're beyond

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

that now. It's not a question of someone's safety or health involved here. Frankly, it's gotten to the point where it's an issue of comfort. If it's seventy-two degrees, you'remore comfortable than if it's seventy-eight degrees. And that is not a good enoughreason to be imposing these costs on our businesses and even on the The state is included in the definition of employer. Has the Gentleman considered the ramifications of having air conditioners in every building in which the state occupies? It's just necessary. It's not counterproductive. It's expensive and it has no good purpose."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall House Bill 1415 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

Representative Black to explain his vote."

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. You know, with all of the constructiongoing on in the City of Chicago, many of us in areas that aren't thatfortunate, look at that building construction going on in Chicago and all the new buildings and we sometimes get very envious. I just wonder how much this would cost the municipalities like Chicago if this Bill would go into effect with all of the highrise, modern, state of the art sealed buildings. I think the cost would be astronomical. And the standards in this Bill defy imagination."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Trotter to explain your vote."

Trotter: "This Bill actually...there's provisions in the Bill itself that exempt those that can't afford to do it. We're asking that those that can and those that there are complaints at, you know, at least comply to this regulation. The fiscal impact that they're talking about here, the Board of...the Department of Labor said there's a one-time cost of \$173,000 and that's not even in FY90,

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

cause this Bill doesn't take effect till FY91. You know, I believe we should get more votes than 34. We work in a very comfortable environment and there's no reason why we can't expect other people to have that same benefits. So I'm looking for more 'aye' votes."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'aye' vote. In Committee we heard testimony concerning state employees in the Centennial Building, the very next building over, who suffered from fumes in the printing area because of lack of circulation. That's the kind of thing that this Bill is directed at dealing with. And I would encourage more 'aye' votes."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 32 'ayes', 75 'nos'. House Bill 1415, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. Representative Flowers, House Bill 1527. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1527, a Bill for an Act to create a Child Care Program. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is what I call my Grandma Hands Bill. We are asking the Department of Aging to put together a volunteer training program for senior citizens to take care of young babies.

It will be extra income for the senior citizens as well as it would provide that nurturing that young people need growing up because their parents has to work. And I would be more than happy to answer any questions that you may have in regards to House Bill 1527."

Speaker McPike: "In opposition to the Bill. Mr. Black, it's either you or Miss Cowlishaw, which one? Representative

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Representative Black. I stand in opposition to this Bill as does the Department on Aging. The Department on Aging points out that it does not currently have sufficient funds to completely fulfill its obligations under the Elder Abuse Act. If we do not have sufficient money to be certain that we try to prevent elder abuse, then it seems to me we certainly ought not to be undertaking any new programs. In addition towhich, as a very recent grandmother, I would like to say that any senior citizenwho has had children of his or her own, already knows all there is to know about raising children. And anybody that age who has never had children is never going to learn. I think this Bill should be defeated."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Representative Davis to explain her vote."

Davis: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a Bill that I think will be... it will help the state to save money. When we talk about the needed child care, we have a...we have a resource of people whose talents are not being used and that is our senior citizens. And certainly, the State of Illinois would want to be involved and make certain that that senior citizen was capable of holding a twelve pound baby. We've got a cocaine baby abuse problem. We're going to need people to help care for those babies. And who better could have the heart and the compassion than a senior citizen. Senior citizens are not ready to be thrown away and called useless. Many things that they are capable of doing, but there's one in which they would welcome the opportunity and that's to assist us in the care of these children."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

all voted who wish? Representative Black."

Black: "Well, Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. I was just going to explain my vote. The Lady, previous Speaker, said it would save us some money. The Department of estimates it would cost \$35,000 this fiscal year and an undeterminable amount...an undeterminable amount thirteen area agencies on the aging. You know, let's get serious. There are many priority programs and services that need the attention of the Department of Aging. We currently have programs in the Department of Aging that are unfunded, such as elder abuse. So why would we want to create a new program that the Department of Aging cannot do at this time. Let's do the program that we said we would do. Let's fund those, then let's come back and talk about new ones."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 59 'ayes'...Representative Santiago, 'aye'. On this Bill there are 60 'ayes', 44 'nos'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, House Bill 1527, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1561, Representative Wyvetter Younge. What happened to Representative Younge? There she is. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1561, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

Younge, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1651..."

Speaker McPike: "Fifteen, 1561."

Younge, W.: "...1561 would authorize the State Board of Education to make loansto financially distressed school districts.

The Bill...will be amended to put a financial oversight of the school district's Amendment, which is agreed on by

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

theschool district, but that Amendment has not been fully negotiated and agreed on.But I do have an understanding with the State Board that if the Bill proceeds further, it will be that with an Amendment that we agree on. And I move for the passage of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative, who stands in opposition to the Bill? Mr. McCracken or Mr. Stephens. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "No, I don't stand in opposition to the Bill. I would like to compliment the Lady. I'm sure she'll work with the School Board. Now, having said that, Madam, do you have any more Bills to call?"

Speaker McPike: "No, this is the last one."

McCracken: "Okay, good, I'm for this."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 113 'ayes', 1 'no'. House Bill 1561, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Cowlishaw on House Bill 2605. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2605, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I will begin by reading the fiscal note on House Bill 2605. The fiscal note says this Bill establishes grant programs for intensified focus on scientific literacy. There is an appropriation for 10 million dollars for this purpose in House Bill 591. This is the scientific literacy initiative, consists of State Board of Education Grant Programs to focus on increasing the teaching ability in scientific subjects for teachers throughout this state,

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

includes both public and private school teachers. And it will be, I am sure, overseen very carefully by the Governor's scientific advisor who has been advising us on this Bill all along, Doctor Leon Letterman, the former Director of Fermi Lab. I move for the adoption of House Bill 2605."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Cowlishaw, this program was presented to us by the Governor, I believe, at the State of the State, and I think that thereis...this 10 million dollars is in the budget, but this money...doesn't this money come from the increase in the Cigarette Tax?"

Cowlishaw: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "As you know, that tax was started in the Senate and is still in Committee and a Motion to discharge, I think, failed. Is that...do you know if that cigarette tax is still alive somewhere or...what happens if the cigarette tax doesn't pass? What happens to this program?"

Cowlishaw: "It is my understanding that that proposal has been amended on to Senate Bill...just a moment, I'll get you the number, 1113."

Cullerton: "Now, just...I assume that you're supportive of that tax to help pay for this program."

Cowlishaw: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "Some money goes to the...to the Math and Science
Academy, I know it's always of interest to certain Members
here. How is...what part of this Billdeals with the Math
and Science Academy?"

Cowlishaw: "This Bill makes it possible for these programs to increase the teaching abilities of those persons who teach science...science subjects. It involves the cooperation of both public and private colleges and universities, both public and private schools, and the Illinois Mathematics

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- and Science Academy."
- Cullerton: "So, basically, the money will be spent to...the money will be going to teachers who will be trained..."
- Cowlishaw: "The money will be spent for programs to increase the capabilities of teachers who teach science and mathematics."
- Cullerton: "To teach the teachers how to be better teachers at math and science."
- Cowlishaw: "Well, Representative, it seems apparent that the teaching staffs that we presently have, not only in Illinois but throughout this nation, are not succeeding as well as we would like them to succeed. When our students are compared internationally with students from other nations, particularly in the subjects of mathematics and science, that comparison certainly does not show that our young people are achievers. And I think that because of the importance of those subjects internationally, in the economy and for every other good reason, that it is really important for us to address our inadequacies in the teaching of mathematics and science in Illinois and throughout this nation."
- Cullerton: "To what extent has the actual program been developed?

 Is there...could we point to a specific school district that's going to get X number of dollars or has it not yet been advanced that far?"
- Cowlishaw: "No, Representative, that's a very...that's an excellent question."
- Cullerton: "Thank you."
- Cowlishaw: "But actually this program has not been put into the kind of final condition where every t is crossed and every i is dotted. We do have any number of people who, I'm sure you would agree, are highly competent, who are working on this program even as we stand here discussing it. And as I

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

mentioned before, one of those people is Doctor Leon Letterman, the former Director of Fermi Lab."

Cullerton: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Anything further? Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Brunsvold, I'm sorry. On this Bill there are 111 'ayes' and 2 'nos'. House Bill 2605, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Back in 1969 I started teaching school in Sherrard Unit District 200. Over on the Republican side of the aisle on the balcony is the fifth grade class, and I started teaching fifth grade in the Sherrard Unit School, and there's a fifth grade from Matherville in the balcony. I'd appreciate a round of applause for these fine young people from Matherville."

Speaker McPike: "House Bill 2639, Representative LeFlore. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. 2639. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I see our good friend and colleague, Nelson Rice, is back. Welcome back, Nelson."

Speaker McPike: "Alright..."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Rice, how you feeling? Turn on Mr. Rice's
 microphone."

Rice: "I'm glad to be back."

Speaker McPike: "Glad to have you. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2639, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2639 requires state agencies which fail

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

to comply with equal employment opportunities, affirmative action goals, to establish all training programs necessary for preparation and promotion of minorities. Such program must be established within 90 days of the official notice of noncompliance by the department. I will ask for a favorable vote on this Bill. I think it's a necessary Bill, because many of the agencies, state agencies, does not apply to the affirmative action program."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to the Bill? Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Regan, 'aye'. Regan, 'aye'. Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 80 'ayes', 31 'nos'. House Bill 2639, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2687, Representative LeFlore. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "My switch didn't work. I wanted to vote 'aye' on that
last..."

Speaker McPike: "Let the record reflect..."

McGann: "...House Bill 2687. (sic - 2639)"

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann would have voted 'aye' on House Bill 2639. Now we're on 2687. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2687, a Bill for an Act creating the Board of Higher Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House
Bill 2687 requires the Illinois Board of Higher Ed. to
budget and report and monitor programs to improve race and
ethnic relation on college campus. The program will
require public institution of higher education to provide

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

course work through an existing course in the general education requirement lead into a degree. I ask for a favorable vote on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2687 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all
voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the
record. On this Bill there are 98 'ayes', 18 'nos'. House
Bill 2687, having received the Constitutional Majority, is
hereby declared passed. House Bill 1824, Representative
Flowers. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1824, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House
Bill 1824 is merely bringing the Department of Human Rights
in compliance with the Federal Government in regards to
housing and also in regards to...oh, familial status, and I
would be more than happy to answer any questions in regards
to House Bill 24 (sic-1824). And I know of no opposition
to the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative, I think we have opposition to this one. Miss Pullen or Representative McCracken, which one? Representative Pullen stands in opposition to the Bill."

Pullen: "Is it possible to ask a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, sure. Proceed."

Pullen: "How does this apply in a situation of familial status?"

Flowers: "Right...right now, Representative Pullen, familial status is at 14. This piece of legislation, again in compliance with the Federal Government, would extend the age to 18."

Pullen: "What does that mean?"

Flowers: "Familial status is defined as one or more persons not

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

yet 18 years old with a parent, legal custodian or their designee. Discrimination on the basis of familial status is prohibited in all real estate transactions."

Pullen: "So this means that persons who are transferring property either by sale or lease would not be able to refuse to rent or sale to a family that has someone aged up to 18?"

Flowers: "Pardon me?"

Pullen: "Does that mean that under the provisions of this, someone who is transferring property, whether by sale or lease, would not be able to refuse to do so on the basis that the person who is receiving the property had in the family someone who is aged up to 18?"

Flowers: "You're right."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Madam, is it your position that this Bill would prohibit a person from...now, well, let me ask this. Would this apply to leasing as well as real estate sales?"

Flowers: "Yes, it would."

McCracken: "So, if a person wanted to rent who is living with a person of the opposite sex, not married, they would have to allow the leasing to be done. An unmarried couple, which is common these days."

Flowers: "We...we are talking about families here."

McCracken: "Well, this same Section has been..."

Flowers: "Familial status. The Bill specifically talk about familial status. That is very clear in the Bill."

McCracken: "Precisely, and the Department of Human Rights under current law is construing that to require an old woman living upstairs to rent her downstairs apartment to a couple she's not married, when she objects to that on moral grounds. Now what I want to know is, does this continue or strengthen that problem?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Flowers: "This has nothing to do with that particular issue that you in reference to now."

- McCracken: "Alright, to the Bill. What we say a Bill does or doesn't do is immaterial as we all should be aware by now. It's the language of the Bill that people look at. These agencies construe it, regardless of our legislative debate They couldn't care less and the Human Rights Commission now is persecuting a woman because she will not rent to an unmarried couple. She doesn't want them in their house. She doesn't want to rent to them. What is happening in society today is that we're standing on our head. Now, she has to prove that she discriminating. When, in fact, this couple could go somewhere else and live virtually anywhere. It's crazy. And this just exacerbates the problem. I know the realtors have signed off on this, but let me tell you something. I am very disappointed with the realtors this year. I why they're doing it, but they are abandoning positions they've held for long periods of time for no apparent reason. Just because they say this is okay, doesn't mean it's okay. I rise in opposition."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Kirkland to explain his vote."
- Kirkland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman who just spoke addressed a different issue and what he addressed is simply not part of this Bill. This Bill is put together or this Section just talked about is put together to protect an ongoing work—sharing agreement between the Illinois Department of Human Rights and the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development. And it protects their getting federal funding, and therefore it is necessary to pass. Excuse me, just a second."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker."

Kirkland: "That's all. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, please shut off Representative Flowers. Thank you. Proceed, Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Well, I was just going to say this legislation is necessary to protect the department's substantial equivalency status with the Federal Government and allow the Department of Human Rights to continue to receive federal funding. It...it's...this Bill has nothing to do with the subject matter that Representative McCracken addressed."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin to explain his vote."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'aye' vote. Everything that is in this Bill is law at the present time. It was part of the Federal Fair Housing Amendments of 1988, so we are covered by it, whether we like it or not. In order, however, to get federal money, in order to administer that program, we need to have, as the last speaker indicated, a statute that is substantially the same and consistent with the Federal Law. The requirements are there. This really does not add anything, any new obligations that are not in the Federal Act. It is perfectly consistent with the new Federal Law in which the realtors and the various other groups, management and labor, were involved in the drafting of the new Federal Law."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I've looked at the familial status definition and I stand corrected. This does not address what I thought it did. I'm going to change my vote to 'yes'."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 95 'ayes'...on this Bill there are 95 'ayes', 18 'nos'. House Bill 1824, having received the Constitutional Majority, is

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

hereby declared passed. The House will remon...remind all Members that there is a no smoking rule on the House Floor. That applies to all Members and any staff on the floor. There's a no smoking rule. If you're going to smoke, please leave the floor. Representative Davis on House Bill 1927. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The display of birth defect warning signs is what this Bill is all about. We find that there is a need for public information about the risk of birth defects when women consume alcoholic beverages during pregnancy. United States General Surgeon has recommended abstinence...abstinence from alcohol during pregnancy. since fetal alcohol syndrome and fetal alcohol effects are preventable, we recommend that we have signs at every retail establishment where alcoholic beverages are sold. This piece of legislation merely requires that those who sale alcohol display a sign that states: 'Warning. Surgeon General reports that women who are pregnant should not drink alcoholic beverages because of the risk of birth defects.' We would answer any questions and we certainly appreciate your support of this legislation."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 107 'ayes', 5 'nos', 2 voting 'present'. House Bill 1927, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2130, Representative

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Didrickson. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2130..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 2130 amends the Liquor Control Act. It increases the retailer license fee by \$50 and deposits it into a Youth Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Prevention Fund. It has been amended to include that on June 30th of each year the amount of money equal to the number of retail liquor licenses issued for that fiscal year multiplied by 50 dollars shall be deposited...or shall be transferred from the Dram Shop Fund and deposited in this new Youth Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Fund. I'd be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino, do you stand in opposition? Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much. The Sponsor of this legislation has an idea that was addressed by Amendment #1, I believe. Let me explain to you the problem that occurs with the Bill in its current form. I have no problem with the Amendment, but the Governor has for the last I don't know how many years, and the Governors before him, have taken those surplus funds that have occurred in the...under the Dram Shop Fund and applied it into the General Revenue Fund. There are no state dollars whatsoever in the Illinois Liquor Control Commission. It is operated in total by the license provisions of the wholesalers, the manufacturers and the retailers in this State. First, let me say I think it's unfair that you provide for a license to a retailer that is in essence going to address the question of chemical drug problems as opposed to alcohol problems. fund to a great degree with that additional million dollars that is always taken by the Governor and put in the General

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Revenue Fund, to most of the programs under the prevention of alcoholism and mental health. I think what the Lady is doing with this Bill is trying to obtain funds from retailers for a purp...for a purpose, 90 percent of which they are no part. If in fact it were to license those individuals who were caught selling or using chemical drugs, I could understand the rationale. In this instance I would ask the Lady if she would respond to a couple of questions, if I may."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Didrickson: "Yes, I will be glad to."

Mautino: "Number one, has the Governor agreed with your proposal, which would take the money away from his...the context he's been working on for the last fourteen years and put in this Fund?"

Didrickson: "Representative Mautino, I think you're confused.

You're talking about lapsed funding. We are talking about
an additional 50 dollars going on to the Retail Liquor
License Fee. It has nothing to do with the lapsed funding
at the end of the year that you are talking about."

Mautino: "Well, that's what your Amendment does. It takes those funds that are left over. Let me explain to you..."

Didrickson: "No, that's not correct. Could you listen?"

Mautino: "Okay."

Didrickson: "Because we've tried to work with you and address your concern about how you take those dollars from the Dram Shop Fund and move them into this Substance Abuse Fund and we are going to do that at the end of the year, not in the beginning of the year in order to provide for easy bookkeeping in that regard. What you are talking about are those dollars that are left over from the original 75 dollar fee that are lapsed. Than that the Governor gets to use. These are separate funds that we're talking about.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

We are talking about an additional 50 dollars for a Youth Alcohol and Substance Abuse Prevention Fund. Separate and away from the Governor's lapsed funding from this fund at the end of the year."

Mautino: "And you are, I'm assuming, providing that to the licensees in the State of Illinois. How do you obtain money for the substance abuse from chemical dependent fundings? How do you...?"

Didrickson: "Would you repeat your question, please?"

Mautino: "Since you are addressing in both cases the conception of alcohol and chemical substance abuse, but you are applying your fee only to one of those areas."

Didrickson: "We are..."

Mautino: "How is it that you may possibly apply and obtain those funds for the other purpose?"

Didrickson: "Are you...how do we license drug dealers in the State of Illinois? I'mnot aware that we do."

Mautino: "That's my question. The biggest problem is in the area of substance abuse, chemical substance abuse. Yet there is no way to..."

Didrickson: "You're arguing...you're arguing the retailer liquor license argument, and I share your concerns. We are not hanging a mantle on the liquor industry. We are suggesting, though, that there should be a prevention fund, an appropriate source of revenue for a prevention fund of alcohol and substance abuse, which is frequently tied together, not always, but frequently tied together as a dependency. That the appropriate source of revenue for such a fund is indeed the retail liquor license."

Mautino: "Have you entertained the possible consideration of a fee on the manufacture and sale of drugs and pharmaceuticals through retailers and have them obtain a license and an increase in that license fee for those

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- purposes?"
- Didrickson: "This Bill deals with the liquor...retail liquor license fee annually. It is...presently it's 75 dollars.

 I think it's been there for...at least 10 years. There has not been a change and what we are seeking to change here is an additional 50 dollars to be put into the Alcohol and Substance Abuse Fund."
- Mautino: "Well, to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment, I think, is laudable. I do not believe that the Governor will accept the allocation provisions that are established in the Bill and I certainly don't think it's fair to increase those licensure fees for this purpose and I stand in opposition."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2130 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Satterthwaite to explain her vote."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think that this is a very worthy attempt to put some money into a program for prevention of alcohol and drug abuse. Frequently prevention programs are left behind addressing the crisis after the fact."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 83 'ayes', 32 'nos', one voting 'present' and House Bill 2130 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We are now going to Special Order, Labor. Representative Cullerton in the Chair."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative DeLeo on House Bill 39. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 39, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Peace Officers Disciplinary Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative DeLeo on House Bill 39."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- DeLeo: "Thank You, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd ask to suspend the appropriate rule to move this back to Second Reading for..."
- Cullerton: "The Gentleman asks leave to return House Bill 39 to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Leave is granted. Please read the Bill on Second Reading, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill's been read a second time previously.

 Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative DeLeo."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative DeLeo on Amendment #1."
- DeLeo: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 Amendment #1 is some technical Amendment. It removes some
 language in the Bill. This was worked out with Rob
 'Rappell' from the States Attorney's office and with the
 Sponsor of the Bill, Ethel Pete. I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 39 and on that question, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Yes. This is more of a technical nature. Our analysis indicates there's a problem with the Amendment. I don't know that we have...well, I don't know if we have a problem with the Bill, to be quite honest, but...but it leaves an incomplete sentence."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative DeLeo."
- DeLeo: "I'd like to withdraw Amendment #1. Amendment #...there is a problem with Amendment #1, Amendment #2..."
- Speaker CUllerton: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk,
 ... further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative DeLeo."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative DeLeo on Amendment #2."
- DeLeo: "Amendment #2 changes omits lines one through eight on page 2, lines two and three and this should clarify the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Act."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative McCracken on Amendment #2."
- McCracken: "This has the same problem. If you look at the last line of the Amendment, it says on page 2, 'by deleting everything after line five'. The end of line five is not the end of a sentence. It deletes in mid sentence. I'm not trying to screw it up, but it is a problem."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative DeLeo asks that we take this
 Bill out of the record. We can come back to it,
 Representative DeLeo, after we straighten out the problems
 on the Amendments. House Bill 83, Representative LeFlore.
 Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 83, a Bill for an Act to amend the Steel Products Procurement Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative LeFlore on House Bill 83."
- LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House
 Bill 83 is Buy American. It requires that every contract
 entered into by the State of Illinois, unit of local
 government or school district for the construction,
 improvement, maintenance or repairs of public works contain
 a provision that steel products used in the performance of
 the contract be manufactured or produced in the United
 States. I would like to have a favorable vote on this good
 old Buy American Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman's moved for the passage of
 House Bill 83 and on that question is there any discussion?

 Representative Tate, do you stand in opposition?"

Tate: "Yeah, I do."

Speaker Cullerton: "Proceed."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Again, I guess the Illinois General Assembly will spend an hour wasting its time debating a foreign policy issue, but

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

if anybody really takes the time to read this Bill, one of the inherent problems in the Bill is how you define content in a product. Is a product that's 99 percent made America domestic? Is it a product that's...that we...we have foreign paint. Is that a foreign made product? Is a product where the rubber comes from Taiwan, is that an American product? The fact of the matter is, is this Bill is unenforceable, it's complicated, it will in fact cause some Illinois companies that currently do business here, that currently employ thousands of people in this State, it will prohibit them from selling their products to Illinois governments because some of their parts might not be made completely in Illinois or the U. S. of A. So as a fact, we offered some Amendments to this Bill that would've improved I quess if you all want to send out your press release saying that we're going to make Illinois government buy only Illinois products, well I'm not sure if totally say that without tongue in cheek. This is a bad written Bill. It may be well intended, but this Bill doesn't do the job that we want to accomplish, and in fact, this Bill will be anti-Illinois and hurt a lot of Illinois employers that currently sell to Illinois government. So I stand in opposition to the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman stands in opposition to House Bill 83. On this question...the question is, 'Shall House Bill 83 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open, this is final passage. Representative Ropp to explain his vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill certainly is one that will tend to increase the cost of doing a lot of business in Illinois. It certainly is a foreign policy issue. It's an issue that in no way should be dealt with by the state and it's certainly setting up barriers that will affect

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

other products that we need to produce and export. Exporting is a kind of a business that is required by fair give and take and this takes that particularly out of the process."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hannig to explain his vote."

Hannig: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm very proud to rise in support of this proposal. If you read the Chicago paper today, we find that our free trade President and his Council have declared that Japan is an unfair trader, that they've taken advantage of our country and our people and that even he finds that there's no alternative but to put them on the list of unfair traders. We in Illinois have an obligation to our people, to protect Americans and I vote 'aye'."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Balanoff to explain his vote. One minute."
- Balanoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I come from an area that has been devastated by plant closings. As a child, within a ten mile radius of my house there were 130,000 people that were members of the Steel Workers Union. Today there are less than 30,000. Had we had this legislation ten years ago, maybe some of these people would be still working today. I urge an 'aye' vote for all the working men and women in Illinois and those who are unemployed in the hope that this will help to create jobs and I'd really urge more green votes."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Harris. One minute to explain your vote."
- Harris: "Thank you, Mr Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The previous speaker indicated that we had had this Bill 10 years ago there would be more steel workers in his district. Ladies and Gentlemen, that is not correct. What we do in this Chamber simply by passing a law is not going

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

to put more steel workers in his district. We passed a Buy America Bill when everyone was waving flags and that was going to be the salvation of the steel workers in the Southeast side of Chicago. It didn't happen. It's not going to happen with this Bill. It doesn't make sense, quite frankly. What we do in this Chamber doesn't change the marketplace one iota. The correct vote on this Bill is a 'no' vote. I certainly would like to see some of those greens change to red. Thank you."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Davis, one minute to explain your vote."
- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise in support of this legislation because I grew up in the house with a steel worker, Mr. James McKay, my father, and we were always proud to purchase things that used to say, 'Made in the U.S.A'."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. Representative Tate asks for a verification. Mr. Clerk, please read those not voting. Wait. I believe everyone's voting. Could you please...Representative Tate, Representative Edley asks leave to be verified. Leave is granted. If you could please read the affirmative, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff. Breslin. Bowman. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Edley. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Goforth. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hasara. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Trotter. Turner. Van

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Duyne. White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Young and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Tate, Representative Shaw in the center aisle asks leave to be verified and Representative Lang down here at the well asks leave to be verified."

Tate: "That's fine. Lang..."

Speaker Cullerton: "Any questions of the affirmative, Sir?

Representative Tate, any questions of the affirmative?"

Tate: "Well, first of all, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to say I hope not to take a great deal of the House time, but, and it certainly would help expedite this process if people would remain in their seats. I know we're under a time constraint."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes. We could ask the people voting in the affirmative if they could please take their seats to facilitate the verification. Any questions of the affirmative?"

Tate: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Sam Wolf. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Sam Wolf in the Chamber? Please remove him."

Tate: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Cullerton: "One second. Representative Kulas is down here in the well, asks leave to be verified. Representative Capparelli wishes to change his vote to 'aye'. 'Aye'. And Representative Terzich wishes to change his vote to 'aye'. Further questions?"

Tate: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Monroe Flinn. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Please remove him."

Tate: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Richmond. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Please remove him."

49th Legislative Day May 26, 1989

Tate: "Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Cullerton: "What was that name?"

Tate: "Leverenz."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Leverenz? He's in the rear of
the Chamber."

Tate: "Representative Currie."

Speaker Cullerton: "I'm sorry."

Tate: "Currie."

Speaker Cullerton: "Currie's in her seat."

Tate: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hicks is in his seat."

Tate: "Representative Keane."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Jim Keane. Is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Laurino. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Representative Laurino? Remove him. He just came back in the Chamber. Leave him on the Roll Call as an 'aye' vote."

Tate: "Who? Representative Farley."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Farley. Is...Representative Farley in the Chamber? Remove him."

Tate: "Representative..."

Speaker Cullerton: "Let me remove him first. He's not here.

Remove Representative Farley. Further questions?"

Tate: "Yeah. Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi is up in the balcony here over the Speaker's chair."

Tate: "Representative White."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative White's in his chair."

Tate: "Representative Santiago."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Santiago. Is Representative Santiago in the Chamber? Please remove him."

Tate: "Representative Turner."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Turner. Is Representative Turner in the Chamber? Please remove him."

Tate: "Representative Homer."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Homer. Is Representative Homer in the Chamber? Please remove him."

Tate: "Representative Balanoff."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Balanoff. Representative Balanoff. Is Representative Balanoff in the Chamber? Yes.

Representative Homer is returned to the Chamber.

Representative..."

Tate: "I think you got...take Balanoff off."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Balanoff is not in the Chamber. Please remove him. Representative Homer... alright, Representative Balanoff has returned so do not remove him and please add Representative Homer..."

Tate: "Where is he?"

Speaker Cullerton: "To the Roll Call as an 'aye' vote. Thank you. Further questions?"

Tate: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Mautino. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Please remove him. Representative Krska... sorry. Excuse me, Representative Mautino has returned to the Chamber, please add him to the Roll Call."

Tate: "Representative Santiago...Oh, did I say that? Martinez."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Martinez is voting 'no'."

Tate: "Okay. Representative Young."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Young. Was that Anthony or Wyvetter?"

Tate: "You can do them both, but Wyvetter first."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Wyvetter Younge? Is the Lady in the Chamber? Representative Turner has returned to the Chamber, please add Representative Turner to the Roll Call as an 'aye' vote. Representative Wyvetter...Wyvetter just

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

came into the Chamber from the rear of the Chamber. And Representative Anthony Young is in the aisle. Further questions?"

Tate: "Representative Edley."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Edley has leave to be verified."

Tate: "No, no, no. We gave him leave to be verified."

Speaker Cullerton: "Any further questions?"

Tate: "Representative..."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Krska has...wishes to change his vote to 'aye'. Representative Drska wishes to change his vote to 'aye'."

Tate: "Representative Krska..."

Tate: "Let it go."

Speaker Cullerton: "No further questions. On this question there are 62 voting 'yes', 48 voting 'no', two voting 'present'.

This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 85, Representative Ronan. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 85, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ronan on House Bill 85."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 85 requires a contractor to pay employees of a subcontractor when wages have not been paid. This responsibility is limited to the outstanding debt owed to the...from the employer for the performance of the contract. This is an opportunity to protect the workers who work for subcontracts when they have an unscrupulous subcontractor who is unwilling to pay these individuals. Be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 85. Does anyone stand in opposition to the Bill? The

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."

Didrickson: "Representative Ronan, how wide spread is this problem?"

Ronan: "Significant."

Didrickson: "Well, specifically, number of cases."

Ronan: "There's been hundreds of cases brought before the State of Illinois, the Attorney General's office and other law enforcement agencies of subcontractors who don't pay their employees after they've received their payment from the prime contractor."

Didrickson: "Of those hundreds of cases, what is the dollar figure?"

Ronan: "I didn't hear the question."

Didrickson: "Of those hundreds of cases, what is the dollar figure?"

Ronan: "Millions of dollars."

Didrickson: "Millions of dollars?"

Ronan: "Yes."

Didrickson: "Okay. If I want to avoid this legislation that you're proposing here, might I not then just go out and instead of using subcontractors, hire my own mason, my own electrician, my own carpenter, et cetera. Why would I run the risk then, if this becomes law?"

Ronan: "Yeah...you're free to do that, Representative. Why don't you go do that? If you want to set up a construction business, my advice is don't use subcontractors. Just set it up as a multi-faceted enterprise similar to your other legislative programs."

Didrickson: "So actually, what you're doing then, is you're in favor...you're against the independent contractors. You're really looking to run them out of business with this

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

legislation."

Ronan: "Representative, I'm thrilled with independent contract...
subcontractors who pay their employees. I'm against the
ones who don't pay their employees and I think that
contractors should hold those subcontractors accountable."

Didrickson: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Cullerton: "Proceed."

Didrickson: "This is not a new concept. We've defeated this in this House. I can tell you that the Illinois Construction Industry Council is opposed to this, the Home Builders Association of Illinois is opposed, the Central Illinois Industrial Association is opposed, the Illinois Sheet Metal Air Conditioning Contractors National Association is opposed and the list goes on and on. Really, what Representative Ronan is going to end up doing is running independent contractors out of business with this bad piece of legislation. The problem isn't what he's saying it is. You know, if there is a concern out there, he's actually using a cannon to kill a gnat and I would say that a 'no' vote, as you've done in the past , defeat this Bill."

- Speaker Cullerton: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 85 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 The voting is open, this is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Representative Ronan asks for a poll of the...those not voting."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Brunsvold. Davis.

 Hicks. Morrow. Stern. Trotter. Anthony Young and

 Wyvetter Younge. No further."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ronan, do you wish this Bill to be on Postponed? On this question there are 55 voting 'yes', 50 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present', this Bill having failed to receive the required Constitutional Majority is

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

hereby declaredlost. House Bill 1078, Representative Bowman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1078, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Bowman on House Bill 1078."

Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Bowman: "Thank you, House. This is the first time this issue has come to House floor. This deals with benefit packages for part This is a growing issue of growing time employees. concern, because more and more American industry is turning to part time workers. Part time workers are very often provided not only lower wages, but very often they have no benefits at all. No health insurance. No pensions. Nothing that makes life secure for all of us, who have full time jobs. The worst of it is that, sometimes employers turn to part time workers, not necessarily because they need flexibility in their work force, but because they're trying to circumvent collective bargaining agreements, or they're trying to circumvent legislation that we have worked so hard for over the years to make sure that working men and women have decent work environments and have job security. This legislation has been carefully crafted so it applies only to people who are essentially full time workers. They have to work under this law...Bill, they would have to work at least two thirds of a normal working year. At least two thirds of a normal working So these are not seasonal employees, Ladies and vear. Gentlemen, they are basically full time employees. Also, they have to work at least sixty percent of a normal work week. 60 percent of a normal work week, Ladies and Gentlemen. These are not kids who have a job after school at your local McDonald's. These are people who

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

basically full time employees, because they have to work at least 60 percent of a work week. And then, this only applies to employees of large employers, 500 employees or more, Ladies and Gentlemen, 500 employees and more. So, if you're concerned about the mom and pop operations, don't worry, this Bill will not make life harder for them, will not cause their accountants to stay up late at night worrying over their...the benefit packages for part time employees. This Bill applies only to employers of 500 and more. It's an important issue. I'm proud to say that we are addressing it here for the first time. I ask you to take a good look at this legislation and let's take a step towards improving job security for all working men and women of this state, all working men and women. Thank you very much."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 1078. Does anyone stand in opposition? The Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Didrickson. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Would the Sponsor yield, please? For a question."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes, I apologize, Representative Didrickson.

Representative Bowman, to answer a question. He indicates
he will."

Bowman: "Yes."

Didrickson: "Representative Bowman does this cover state employees?"

Bowman: "Yes."

Didrickson: "Does it cover local units of government?"

Bowman: "Yes."

Didrickson: "So, this is a pretty comprehensive, new idea."

Bowman: "Yeah, it's pretty...yes, it's pretty comprehensive."

Didrickson: "So it's not only the private sector, it is also the public sector."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Bowman: "Well, what's good for the goose is good for the gander."

Didrickson: "Okay. Question #2, you talk about...part time
employees and giving them benefit packages, and I assume
that your Bill addresses part time employees with regards
to part time benefits? Or how do you work out those
proportionate share of benefits?"

Bowman: "Well, it's a pro-rata formula based on the number of hours a person works versus the median number of hours for the...it's, I'm sorry...for the mean number of hours worked by the remainder of the work force."

Didrickson: "So, there's a formula?"

Bowman: "Yes, Ma'am."

Didrickson: "So there has to be some computing here?"

Bowman: "Yes, Ma'am. But most firms with 500 employees or more,

I'm sure all have computers. In fact, most of us have
computers in our own district offices."

Didrickson: "Well, I think that's what we hear so often from employers and even here when we pass simple Bills with regards to child collection payments, we know what happens when we have to pass and change the fee schedule.

But...how would we compute...how would you compute, I'm a part time employee, I work 27 1/2 hours one week, then I work 25 hours under your Bill another week and then I work 30 hours another week, and you provide day care for me. How many hours of that week am I going to be able to bring my child into the day care provision? That benefit?"

Bowman: "Well, actually day care is one of the easier ones to figure out, because the child would only be there during the hours of your work and so that one would be pretty much self policing. As for the other benefits, the..."

Didrickson: "Then they're getting a hundred percent of the benefit?"

Bowman: "No, only proportional to the number of hours you work.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Not a hundred percent of what somebody else works, but proportional to what you work, relative to the rest of the work force."

Didrickson: "Okay, well, okay, let's go on to...I...even though
my concern there is obviously the red tape, the computing,
the strangulation that you're putting on, not only private
employers, but public employers, but..."

Bowman: "Let me compute...complete the answer to your question.

As for these benefits, they are computed on a quarterly basis, so it's not something that you'd have to do every day, every week, every month, but on a quarterly basis, four times a year."

Didrickson: "Have you checked on how this affects 'FUDA'?"

Bowman: "Would you please repeat the question?"

Didrickson: "Have you checked on how this...what affect this has on 'FUDA'?"

Bowman: "Oh...well...I don't see how it would affect that. If

you have an example you'd like to try out, I'll be happy to

respond to it."

Didrickson: "Another question, Representative. You're trying to correct part time workers in the State of Illinois so that they aren't abused and overused, et cetera, and give them the same largesse and the same benefit package as do full time workers. Why not..."

Bowman: "Not...not the same, proportional. Not the same."

Didrickson: "Proportionate. Okay."

Bowman: "Yes."

Didrickson: "If I'm an employer and I have deemed that the use of part time employees is beneficial to my retail establishment, let's say I'm Sears Roebuck, alright?

Let's say I'm Carson Pirie. Let's say I'm one of those large...firms that you're addressing here."

Bowman: "Like Sears Roebuck?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Didrickson: "Why wouldn't I just avoid this and hire two part time workers, now for the one part time worker? In other words, instead of allowing them to work 20 hours a week or 25 hours a week, I'm now going to hire two for the price of one and I'm going to get out from under the benefits that you are trying to address."

Bowman: "Well, Representative Didrickson, I want people to understand and I'm glad you're helping me make my point...I want people to understand that what this Bill does, it basically addresses people who are, for all practical purposes, full time workers but who are not working exactly 40 hours a week. Nevertheless, they are working basically, a full week. Basically a full year and so these people deserve the same kinds of benefit protections. So I...at least on a proportional basis. So I thank you very much, Representative, for helping me make my point."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Didrickson, further questions?"

Didrickson: "Yes, I do...the Illinois Department of Employment Security has part time employees, limited to a maximum of 1500 hours a year. Their benefits are pro-rated. Their funding is Title 3, and where will the extra funding come from?"

Bowman: "Where will the extra funding come from?"

Didrickson: "Right, for these employees, since they get Title 3 funding and you are including state employees."

Bowman: "Well, Representative Didrickson, I'm sure that all of us on the floor will be able to deal with that when this issue comes before us in the Appropriations process. We deal with issues like this every year. In fact, the...contract was just renegotiated and you will be seeing as the... appropriation Bills float through this Assembly, you'll be seeing the results of that...those negotiations. This is

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- something we deal with all the time."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Excuse me, Representative Did..."
- Didrickson: "Well, that's true, we already passed that Appropriation Bill..."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Excuse me, Representative Preston...excuse me, Representative Didrickson...the timer has just gone off. I put it on when you started your second question.

 If you could bring your remarks to a close, I'd appreciate it."
- Didrickson: "I'd like to close then. To the Bill. The fiscal impact here is not only what we're going to do to the large businesses as Representative Bowman says in the State of Illinois, strangling them with more red tape. affects local units of government, everybody, it affects State Government. This is a major new piece legislation. The Representative, I'm certain, is trying to address an issue that's riddled with loopholes, that...if an employer really wants to get around it, he or certainly is going to the fiscal impact. I don't think we've even begun to address what that might mean. It's an idea who's time certainly has not come."
- Krska: "Mr. Sponsor, what would prevent the employer from...it's
 presently, it's be a 25 hours minimum, correct?"
- Bowman: "Only...a person has to work at least 25 hours a week."
- Krska: "What would prevent the employer from dropping it down to 20 hours per week?"
- Bowman: "Well, Representative Krska...I'm sure that if the employer wanted to continue to evade laws that he could lower to person's work to 20 hours a week, but they could do it right now....the problem we have, Representative, is that there are some employers who are trying to evade other

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

laws in collective bargaining agreements and this is an effort to try and plug that loophole."

Krska: "No, I mean right now, there are no benefits for part time employees. Correct?"

Bowman: "That's right. None, zero."

Krska: "So, he would not reduce...he has no benefit to the
 employer himself to reduce it by four hours or five hours.
 It sounds like a good Bill, but I don't believe its
 practical or neither do I believe it could work."

Bowman: "Well, the Bill would simply make it harder for employers to do...to chisel on existing laws and collective bargaining agreements. But, you're probably correct that there will always be somebody who can chisel a little further. But basically we're trying to make it harder for employers who just want to skirt the law to cut off people from benefits."

Krska: "Well, I think the one's that we're trying to entrap would be the Jewel's, the Dominick's, your chain stores, who are now hiring nothing but part time employees. And I'm sure what they would do is just hire more employees and work them less hours. It sounds good, but I don't believe that it would practically work and that's why I'm sorry, I'd have to vote against the Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1078 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage.

Representative Preston to explain his vote."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Bill. We've been talking for years about getting people off the tax eating rolls and allowing them to become taxpayers. This allows women and others who, because of the necessity of caring for a family, want to nonetheless, seek part time work to permit them to support their

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

families or help in the support of their families. This Bill will do it and let people who want to work go to work, rather than staying home and remaining on welfare. I'd like to see green votes here. This Bill is long overdue. It's a good concept and I hope people will support it."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke to explain his vote."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Contrary with the previous speaker, I would say the reason why I'm voting 'no' on this legislation, it's going to have an adverse effect. The practicality is, if a business man or a woman has a corporation that big, they have the flexibility who to hire full time and who to hire part time. They just will not hire part time employees. That'll just mean that that very person he just alluded to will not have a job, because they will not be able to afford to keep them on. This in fact is an anti-jobs Bill, not a pro-jobs Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Bowman, one minute to explain his vote."

Bowman: "Yes, just wanted to point out to the Members of the Assembly that more and more people are having to turn to part time employment for the support of their families. Almost every household these days has at least two wage earners, and very often the second wage earner is a part And the reason that they have to have two time employee. wage earners is to maintain a standard of living. And it's very important that those people who depend upon part employment to maintain their standard of living, must have access to health care and must have access to pension benefits and so forth. That is the reason for this legislation and Ladies and Gentlemen if it doesn't now, I can guarantee you, you'll see it again and again

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

because this is a growing problem."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 50 voting 'yes', 57 voting 'no', 'present'. Representative Preston, do you wish Postponed Consideration? Representative Preston, do you wish Postponed...Representative Bowman. This Bill having failed to receive the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. The Chair would like to acknowledge the presence of a former Senate staffer and now John Dunn's Congressman Richard Durbin. Congressman Congressman. Durbin is over here. Congressman, welcome. Congressman, you should know we passed a rule that says you can't smoke on the House floor, too. I know you're concerned about House Bill 1343, Representative Kulas. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1343, a Bill for an Act in relation to unpaid leave. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Kulas on House Bill 1343."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1343 is the Family Leave Act of 1989. This Bill would require an employer with 50 or more employees...I stress 50 or more employees...to grant up to eight weeks...eight weeks of unpaid leave...and I stress the word 'unpaid leave'..."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Excuse me, Representative Kulas, there is a Floor Amendment that's been filed by yourself that has not been adopted. Do you wish to adopt that Amendment? The Bill's on Third Reading."
- Kulas: "I believe the Amendment was adopted already. Amendment #8 or 7?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Seven."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Mr. Clerk, was Amendment #7 adopted?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "The Calendar says Amendment 8 is adopted. We have Amendment 7 here in the file."

Kulas: "As long as Amendment #8 was adopted, I would table the other Amendment."

Kulas: "Okay. What the Bill...would do for...would give unpaid leave to any of its employees for the following reasons: for the birth of a child, for the adoption of a child or the foster care placement of a child, or for the illness of a child, spouse or parent. During the period οf these...this unpaid leave, the employee has the right to continue at his or her own expense any insurance provided Employees on unpaid leave could not be by the employer. deprived of their seniority or other benefits. The modern family today that has emerged since World War II is different from that which had existed earlier. We longer have the father as the bread winner and the mother as the homemaker. Now it takes two incomes for a family to keep its head above water. Today, 57 percent of mothers with children under the age of three hold jobs outside the home, compared with only 12 percent in 1950. By the year 2000, more than half of the work force will consist of women and more than 80 percent of these women will Accompanying this phenomenon has been a steady increase in the number of single parent homes...or parents who in the event of a family crisis have only themselves to rely upon. In addition, with people living longer, adults are confronted with the problem of aging parents who must be cared for. One in every eight people living in Illinois is over 65 years of age. Over 90 percent of these people live independently or with family

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

members, where the bulk of the health care is provided by family members. House Bill 1343 has certain benefits for First of all, House Bill 1343 exempts employers with fewer than 50 employees. This excludes 94 percent of the employers in the State of Illinois. But, it still covers 64 percent of the employees in the State of Illinois. То be eligible, the employee has to work at least 20 hours a week for 12 consecutive months. and Gentlemen, what we have seen in the United States has been a slow disintegration of the family unit. It is a sad fact that the United States is only one of four industrialized nations in the world without a nationally mandated parental leave program. Do you...you want to know who the other countries are? The Sudan, Upper Volta and South Africa. Everyone else has addressed this problem. far, six other states have passed laws requiring unpaid job protected family leave programs. Wisconsin, Minnesota, Oregon, Maine, Rhode Island, Connecticut have had the foresight to take this lead in facing a major crisis in the United States. If we want to keep the integrity of the family and at the same time stabilize our work force, I would ask you to vote 'aye' on this Bill. Because all the money in the world will not educate our children, will not reduce crime, will not curtail the use of drugs until we bring back the family as the nucleus of our society. House Bill 1343 will do a lot to solidify the family unit and I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 1343. Does anyone stand in opposition? On that question, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Well, thank...thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and to the Gentleman's Bill. You know, we've seen this before and I

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

certainly commend him. He's made some changes in this Bill that certainly make it somewhat less objectionable, rise in opposition even though he has done an outstanding job of trying to address some of the concerns that You know...there's nothing more important to me and probably any of us on this House floor, than my wife and my two children. And the Gentleman is right, we are income or two worker family. But you know, we were able to work out some arrangements with our employers when our children were infants and we got through. And I guess what gives some of us some difficulty in getting up is the very title of the Bill. Family Leave. Maybe we should really call it what it is and that's government intrusion into the collective bargaining process or the Government Mandates Act of 1989. Because that's what we're really going to do here. We're going to interfere and position the State of Illinois into the collective bargaining process if you're covered by an agreement, or worse yet, you're going to remove the flexibility that people have with their employer. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, what we're really attempting to do here is to simply legislate or mandate various benefits and if we're going to continue to do that, then maybe we just ought to abrogate all collective bargaining agreements and we'll handle that from Springfield in our very eminent wisdom. I really think that the issue at hand simply says, that we don't think the human involved...the family unit involved and the employer and/or his bargaining agent can deal with this situation. Well, I think they can. There is a labor shortage out there and it's going to, if you believe the demographic projections, it's going to get worse as the '90's come upon us. If we continue to remove the flexibility that employers and employees and/or their bargaining agents have

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

at the table, then I think you're going to do a great deal not to enhance the family unit, but to destroy the marketplace in this state, take jobs elsewhere, and if you really want to do damage to the family unit, let's just continue the process of exporting our jobs to other states who don't get in to these kinds of state mandated benefits. The Gentleman has a Bill that has certainly been improved from what we saw last year. But I would submit to you it still puts the state in an area that I don't think we are really qualified, nor should we attempt to be in, and that is trying to tell people you don't know how, you can't, you're not capable of working out flexible arrangements to take care of your family needs. We're going to do that for you on a rigid basis. I really think that the Gentleman's Bill will have the opposite effect and I rise in opposition and urge you to vote 'no' on this measure."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman's moved for the passage of House Bill 1343 and that question...all those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage. Representative Hultgren, one minute to explain your vote."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It happens every year. Both business and labor come to Springfield and try to have us impose in their collective bargaining agreements that which they couldn't win at the table. Last year it was business. They came down here and asked for a law which would nullify successor clauses, which had been negotiated in good faith in collective bargaining agreements. At that time I voted 'no', because I didn't believe that we should enter into the collective bargaining process and abrogate agreements. Well, I believe the same rule applies today with regard to family leave. It should not be this General Assembly that determines what's in the contracts, but it

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- should be labor and management sitting down at the table and negotiating together. Accordingly, I vote 'no'."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Breslin, one minute to explain your vote."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This legislation has...is well drafted. It has a great Sponsor. It is without a doubt the best pro-family vote we could cast this year. This Bill sets social policy for this state. It's something that holds families together. It's not something that should be left to individual employers throughout this state. This is our job. This is a good pro-family vote and I urge 'ave' votes."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Didrickson, one minute to explain your vote."
- Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm a pretty good female

 Legislator with regards to women's issues, but this is one
 that we are doing on the business community, just as we've
 done on the education community over the last ten years.

 We're seeingit out in the business community, all the
 mandates that we have put on our schools. We are now
 having visited us on our businesses and I just...a word of
 caution on that, a word of caution."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Black, for what purpose do you
 seek recognition?"
- Black: "Well, reluctantly, Mr. Speaker, should the Bill get the requisite number of votes, I would ask for a verification."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 67 votes. Representative Black, do you wish a verification? The Gentleman withdraws his request for a verification. On this question, there are 67 voting 'yes', 50 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Order of Elections, Second Reading, House Bill 2380. Out of the record. House Bill 2706, Representative Mautino. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2706, a Bill for an Act to amend The Election Code. This Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Breslin."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Breslin on Amendment #1."

Breslin: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment #1 would provide that any appointed state official whose appointment is subject to confirmation by the Senate or any political committee of that official would be prohibited from soliciting or from accepting contributions from any entity that does business with his agency, his or her agency, or that that agency happens to regulate. Ιn that, the provision would prohibit addition to political committee from making a transfer in to that agency head or his or her political committee particular from funds that are tainted that would ordinarily be otherwise. Very similar to the federal prohibition on such transfers in. The reason for the Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen is that it's my opinion that we create a very distressing impression of impropriety by allowing agency heads that work for this executive to contributions either directly or through political campaigns from people that they do business with. There is an editorial today in the Tribune. It pretty much said that Chicago and the Kremlin are ready for governmental ethics reform. Is Springfield? This is one of those questions. I hope you don't say 'no'. I am happy to answer any questions."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
Would the Lady yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Proceed."

Kubik: "Representative, the Amendment, as I understand it, would cover any appointed state official whose appointment was subject to confirmation. Is that correct?"

Breslin: "That's correct."

Kubik: "Okay, so I would assume that that would include department heads of the Governor's office?"

Breslin: "That's correct."

Kubik: "What about the Comptroller's office?"

Breslin: "The Comptroller is the head of his office. There aren't separate department heads that are...that require confirmation by the Senate."

Kubik: "The Comptroller's office has department heads that are required... that have confirmation by the Senate?"

Breslin: "No. I don't believe such people exist."

Kubik: "What about the Treasurer's office?"

Breslin: "I don't believe such people exist."

Kubik: "What about the Secretary of State's office?"

Breslin: "I don't believe such people exist. If they do, they would be covered by this Act, and the purpose for it, Representative, is that these people already have...these people control, I guess you would say they participate and have the final decision on who gets state contracts. And the public should not be put in a position of having to deal with these people on a day to day basis with perhaps...not the expectation on the part of the department head, but on the expectation of the person who is doing the business that they ought to be making political

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

contributions."

Kubik: "Well Representative, I can appreciate where you're coming from, but I would argue also that perhaps maybe the Chief of Operations in the Treasurer's office, who is appointed, but perhaps not approved by the Senate, also has a great deal of power. Or the Chief of the Banking Division of the Treasurer's office might also have a great deal of discretion as to where funds go and...or perhaps the Personnel Director of the Comptroller's office, or the Deputy Comptroller, but yet in this particular legislation, these people...they're not covered under this particular Act. And I just was curious as to why the legislation seems...excuse me by using the term, but...sometime punitive against the Governor's office."

Breslin: "It's certainly not intended to be punitive, Representative Kubik. It's my view that the buck doesn't stop with anybody in those other offices than you mentioned except with the elected official. Ιn the circumstances, the state agencies make determinations on who gets contracts. Who they regulate and you can people out of business or not put people out of business, made by their decisions. Those decisions don't then go up to the Governor's office for approval. On the contrary, in the other offices that you mentioned, I think the buck stops with the elected official and if he does something. or she does something that is inappropriate, the public has an opportunity to respond at the next election. This doesn't happen with an appointed official."

Kubik: "Well Representative, they still have a great deal of power and discretion under these particular...under this particular legislation. And so what you're saying is that if a department head from the executive branch wished to run for alderman of the City of Springfield and it just so

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

happened that somebody...his neighbor...had some business with the state, even though it may not be directly related, that person could not contribute to that campaign."

Breslin: "Oh no, no, no. They have to do direct business directly with that person's agency. Not with anybody in the state, but it must be somebody that does business with that agency."

Kubik: "But if that person is running for a local office..."

Breslin: "It specifically says in line...on page 1, line 32, 'The person or entity that does business with or is regulated by the state agency that the official serves'. So it's very narrow in scope."

Kubik: "Well. Mr. Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Proceed."

"It's always kind of interesting, with all due respect to the Sponsor, and I have the utmost respect for her, for the Lady from LaSalle, but you know, it seems to me that this particular provision is punitive in nature. While would argue that they may not have the...the buck doesn't stop there, I would argue that many of those other agencies do...do offer a lot of discretionary power over where money is spent, how contracts are offered. I'd also arque, you know, in this year, this day of ethics, it often seems that we take the attitude...not only in this House, or in this body...but in Congress. Do what I say, but don't do what I And you know, we've got...we're saying that we shouldn't allow department heads to solicit contributions or we shouldn't...they shouldn't go in to business after they've left the government, and yet in this House...I would argue that most of the people who leave this House, they aren't leaving by feet first, end up as lobbyists and consultants who come back and lobby our own Members. And yet we have no prohibition against those people. We

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

have no prohibition against other people in government who have jobs and then turn around and go into private industry. We have no prohibition against those people. So I think that this is extremely obvious that this particular Amendment is a punitive Amendment against the Governor's office and I think what we ought to do is treat this as a politically punitive Amendment and we ought to vote it 'no'...down, and give it a resounding 'no'. Mr. Speaker, I would ask for a Roll Call on this particular Amendment."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative
Stange. Oh, I'm sorry. Representative...it's
Representative Olson's light is right in front of yours, I
inadvertently called on you, Sir. I apoligize. The
Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson."

Olson, M.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates she will."

Olson, M.: "Representative Breslin, I'd take this...I'd like to take this to another level. As I understand it, the election law in Illinois is all encompassing. No county exclusions. Should be applicable to all areas and agencies. Are you suggesting that the possibility exists that in the City of Dixon, my town, or the City of Chicago, or wherever we should live that any appointees of the chief administrator would be encompassed in this suggestion? Why would we limit this only to the State of Illinois employees or appointments?"

Breslin: "Well, quite frankly Representative, the reason that I limited it to State...of Illinois employees was because that is where I know this...that the citizens are currently addressed by this problem. If it is also going on on the local level, I would certainly be happy to work

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

with you to craft an Amendment that you think would be appropriate. I think it should be understood that we are not...I am not suggesting here that we should in any way dampen a citizen's enthusiasm or interest in running for public office. Instead, I think we should promote that, but by the same token, I don't think they should be allowed to use their office inappropriately in order to gain undue advantage for that."

- Olson, M.: "I just have two more questions, Representative

 Breslin. Has there been a large hue and outcry about this

 practice? I have received no mail in my district, have

 you?"
- Breslin: "I have certainly heard about it and from those I have heard about it, they have been appalled by it."

Olson, M.: "Dismayed...dis..."

- Breslin: "As a matter of fact, it first came up in city government, as I recall, a number of years ago and at time, the mayor of the City of Chicago issued an executive edict indicating that his department heads could not establish political committees or accept contributions on their own behalf for their own political ambitions and that policy has carried over with every subsequent administration. The Governor of this State has not seen his way to assume that kind of ethical standard. also add that it is a...also the basis for President Bush's ethical standard for his agency heads. I think it's something that he's done and done well and I think people of the State of Illinois would appreciate our doing the same thing."
- Olson, M.: "I must add one more question. When I said one more,
 I'm going to have two more. Is it fairly reasonable to
 assume that the newly elected mayor of Chicago is looking
 for significant flexibility in both discharging and

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

employing new people in his administration?"

- Breslin: "I have no idea."
- Olson, M.: "Well, if you've been able to follow the account of the Chicago Board of Election Commissioners, Mickey 'Zoehler' feels there's been discrimination on Blacks and Hispanics who previously worked in that agency. Would this be a good place to look at it?"
- Breslin: "I'm sorry, I don't have any idea what that has to relate to this Amendment."
- Olson: "Well, we're just talking about the flexibility of appointees who run agencies, i.e., a Governor or mayor to have some discretion in who they appoint and whether they can solicit monies."
- Breslin: "I suppose that they do. The question is, 'What is the right social policy? What should be the governmental ethics for the citizens who serve the people of the State of Illinois?' That's what we're here to address."
- Olson: "Okay. Then I think this probably, Representative, should be addressed in Committee, rather than an Amendment comes on a Bill that's been laying around for three months and has never had a Committee. Just one more question. Will you be as much in favor of this device in January of 1991, should someone else become the Governor of the State of Illinois?"
- Breslin: "Absolutely. Absolutely. Unqualified. Absolutely."
- Olson, M.: "Well, I'm hearing a lot of people say, 'Kill! Kill!'

 on your side. I rest my case. I suggest this is not a
 good measure."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady's moved for the adoption of Amendment #1, House Bill 2706. On that question, all those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Hasara has one minute to explain her vote."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Hasara: "Mr. Speaker, I had a question."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Well, perhaps she can explain her vote so you can pose the question."
- Hasara: "The question is, Representative, does this encompass board and commission members that the Governor appoints who are confirmed by the Senate?"
- Breslin: "Yes, it does. If they are confirmed by the Senate, because they participate in regulating particular agencies and they should not accept contributions from the people that they regulate."
- Hasara: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. There are hundreds of good citizens in this State who serve without pay on these boards and commissions. We often have trouble filling these slots as it is. This would be very prohibitive on these good citizens in this State."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Homer, one minute to explain
 your vote."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a hard time seeing why any who support good government and ethics in government would be opposed to this Amendment. There's a biq difference between elected officials soliciting contributions. Ultimately, we're the ones who must run for office and ultimately the people will judge our performance and our ethical activities. This Bill would prohibit appointed officials from soliciting and accepting campaign contributions from those companies or individuals with whom they have a fiduciary relationship and to whom they have business dealings. I can see no compelling reason to allow appointed officials to raise campaign funds from those entities. This Bill is a good government Bill. It's one that tries to inject ethics into the process. It's one that your constituents would be for and I think it's a shame that we would have those who would support...or would

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

reject this kind of an effort."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Black."

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. To explain my vote. You know the Sponsor of this Amendment is noted. I for her fairness. But let me point out something...it's my understanding that this Amendment was never even discussed in Committee. Never discussed in Committee. Now if this is a good government issue, let's bring it back and put it through the Committee process. The same Sponsor raised an issue earlier this morning when Mr. Hallock had a Bill that had failed in Committee and he tried to attach it to another Bill that we voted on this morning. The Lady objected to this and said that's not the way we should do business. Well, I think fair is fair. Ιf this was never even discussed in Committee, I would simply submit that it needs to go through the Committee process, get a full and fair hearing and let the Sponsor bring it back as a Bill. Thank you very much."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ropp, one minute to explain your vote."

Ropp: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I think that we are all in support of ethics, but I guess I'm having a little bit of trouble determining what the difference between this proposal is and...oh, let's take Members of this body who...well let's say are attorneys, for example, who may get contracts for the state. Is there any difference there. Representative?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 44 voting 'yes', 55 voting 'no' and 7 voting 'present'. This Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. Mr. Mautino, do you wish to call the Bill now? On Third Reading?"
- Mautino: "Well basically, Mr. Speaker, the small change that's embodied in this legislation does not, of course, contain the Lady's Amendment. I have no problem at all with calling that Bill and sending it over to the Senate."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Well, we'll take it out of the record then.

 Fine. Representative McCracken. On page 26 of the
 Calendar, House Bill 2106, Representative DeLeo. Mr.

 Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2106, a Bill for an Act to amend the Home Equity Assurance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Mr. Clerk, please take the Bill out of the record. Representative McCracken's objected, I believe.

 His mike wasn't on, but I think I heard him. Mr. Clerk, could you please read any Republican Bill that you'd like?

 Page 7 of the Calendar, House Bill 34. Representative Hicks. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 34, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. This Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any further Amend..any Motions filed with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions relating to Committee Amendment #1."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill on Third Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 34, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hicks on House Bill 34."
- Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 34 is a shell Bill. The only Bill to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

come out of the Horse Racing Committee this year. It's sponsored by Representative McCracken and myself. I'd ask for passage of this shell Bill over to the Senate and we're working on negotiations now."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Well, you said the magic word. The Gentleman's moved for the passage of House Bill 34. Is there any questions? Being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 34 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 106 voting 'aye, 3 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 317, Representative Cowlishaw. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 317, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Cowlishaw. House Bill 317."

 Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I please have permission to return this Bill to Second Reading?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady asks leave to bring House Bill 317 to Second Reading. The Bill's on Second Reading. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cowlishaw."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Cowlishaw on Amendment #1."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 consists of the definition of proficiency that was approved by the State Board of Education and I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 317. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1 (sic Amendment

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- 1) be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. Representative Cowlishaw, on House Bill 317."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The consumer education teachers...the statewide Consumer Education Teachers Association came to me and asked me to Sponsor this Bill so that we would have a definition of the term proficiency in the School Code. They had some suggested language which the State Board of Education thought could be improved. The Amendment we have just adopted is the improved language. It has been agreed to by the State Board of Education. It will accommodate the desires of the statewide organization of consumer education teachers, and in their behalf I move for the adoption of House Bill 317 as amended."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Any discussion? Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."
- Ropp: "Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

 Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates she will."
- Ropp: "Representative, this doesn't have anything to do with the number of times one may proficiency this particular course, does it?"
- Cowlishaw: "Representative Ropp, as I just explained, all this

 Bill does is provide a definition of the word

 'proficiency', it does nothing else."
- Ropp: "Then the word could have just been 'no', right? Thank you."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is... I'm sorry. Representative Davis."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Davis: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "She indicates she will."

Davis: "Representative, does this Bill require that a test be taken or not be taken?"

Cowlishaw: "No. Representative, a couple of years ago we adopted something of which I was the Sponsor, that provided for students to have the opportunity if they chose to take advantage of that opportunity, to take a proficiency examination to determine whether they were already knowledgeable about the materials that are taught in the classroom in consumer education. That Bill was passed and those tests have been given now, for two or three years. However, the consumer education teachers wanted to be assured that in fact what the goal of that test was, was in fact real proficiency, that students should have demonstrate that they really were proficient in that work that is normally offered in the classroom. Therefore, this Bill is offered to define the term 'proficiency', so there is no question but what students have to meet very high standards in order to pass this test. That is all this Bill does."

Davis: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Giorgi."

Cowlishaw: "I beg your pardon? I'm sorry..."

Giorgi: "Is there a cost involved in promulgating the... Is there a fiscal note? Is there a cost to this, or is this strictly volunteer work?"

Cowlishaw: "Sir, all this does is define the word 'proficiency'."

Giorgi: "Well, hell, I could buy you a Webster's Dictionary for
five bucks and tell you that. Is there a cost involved?"

Cowlishaw: "No, Sir, there is not."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Giorgi: "Or are they going to do it on a voluntary basis?"
- Cowlishaw: "I beg your pardon? I couldn't hear you."
- Giorgi: "Shall I use Italian or do you want the English
 vernacular?"
- Cowlishaw: "Well, either way I seem to have trouble understanding you."
- Giorgi: "That's right, you should have your ears refracted. You should have your ears refracted. Is there a cost involved with this Bill, or is it going to be done with volunteers?"
- Cowlishaw: "This Bill does not change anything in the existing School Code. It simply defines the word 'proficiency' at the request of the statewide organization of consumer education teachers."
- Giorgi: "Would it be considered a mandate?"
- Cowlishaw: "No, Sir, there is nothing involved in this Bill that has anything whatever to do with a mandate."
- Cowlishaw: "Representative, I thought we were trying to move as fast as we could today. This Bill was requested by the statewide organization of consumer education teachers. They asked that a clear definition of the word 'proficiency' be provided in the School Code. Since the president of that statewide organization is my neighbor and lives on the same block, I thought as a nice neighbor it would be a good idea for me to do what they had requested. That is all this Bill does."
- Giorgi: "Alright, I want to be neighborly and I'm going to support her. I'll be neighborly and I'll support her."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 317 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 The voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

the record. On this question there are 113...114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Giorgi, House Bill 263. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 263, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Giorgi, on House Bill 263."

Giorgi: "This is a Bill we debated last night with the agreed Amendments in the Executive Committee. The Amendments were passed by Clem and Representative Parcells and the Leaders on the other side of the aisle. And I know of no objection to this Bill. I urge for its adoption."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House
Bill 263. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from
Vermilion, Representative Black."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "It's a special service. They levy tax among themselves."

Black: "Is it a mandate? Is it a mandate?"

Giorgi: "No. No mandate."

Black: "No mandate, no cost. But there..."

Giorgi: "You sound better then you..."

Black: "But there's a tax involved, right?"

Giorgi: "No, there isn't. Not unless they vote it in."

Black: "Is there a referendum on the issue?"

Giorgi: "It's... Everyone that's involved is notified by official notification. They attend a meeting, there's proper notice in the newspaper and the entire gauntlet is a creation of special services."

Black: "Alright. Thank you, very much."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Giorgi: "I think you understand it pretty well."

Black: "Thank you, very much."

Giorgi: "I think you need the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 263 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.
Voting's open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 70 voting 'aye', 41 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 400, Representative Ropp. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. House Bill 400."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 400, a Bill for an Act relating to the cancellation of drivers' licenses and permits of dropouts.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ropp, on House Bill 400."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an attempt to help reduce dropouts in the State of Illinois. I appreciate your support."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 400. On this question the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Isn't this on the Order of Postponed. Didn't we vote on this once already?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes, we did."

Dunn: "And why are we going back to this Bill, when there are Members that haven't had their own Bill called? I ask this Gentleman to take this out of the record so we can have other Members that haven't had a Bill called, have an opportunity."

Speaker Cullerton: "Alright, we'll take the Bill out of the record then. Representative Cowlishaw, would you like to try to pass another Bill? How about House Bill 565? Mr.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 565, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to life safety and fire prevention inspections.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Cowlishaw, on House Bill 565." Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 565 as amended, has only two provisions. One is, that all of the reports that are required under current law to be prepared by regional superintendents of schools, once the investigations for the purposes of life safety and fire safety have been conducted annually within each school in the region, should be sent not just to the local board of education, as is currently required, should also be sent to the State Board of Education. second, that all of the regional superintendents who are responsible for seeing to it that these investigations are conducted, are going to be required to use the standardized forms provided for that purpose by the State Board of Education, which has been conducting a study and is now nearly...has nearly concluded that study and will have those standardized forms available very shortly. That is

Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady moves for the passage of House Bill 565. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 565 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there's 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 712, Representative Steczo. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

all the Bill does. I move for its adoption."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 712, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Steczo, on House Bill 712."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendment 1...no Motions relating to

 Committee Amendment 1. Floor Amendment #2, offered by

 Representative Ronan."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ronan, Amendment #2.

 Representative Steczo, are you familiar with this

 Amendment?"
- Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Ronan is not here, but I'll accept Amendment #2. It deletes Cook County from the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Okay. The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2, and on that question, Representative Black."
- Black: "You might...Mr. Speaker, you might wish to have the Clerk review this. Staff tells me Amendment #2 is probably out of order."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Well, I'll ask the Parliamentarian to..."
- Black: "Or whoever."
- Speaker Cullerton: "...look at the Amendment. Representative Black, could you be...specifically, to perhaps to save some time, how do you think the Bill...Amendment's out of order?"
- Black: "Well, our staff tells us that unless Amendment #1 was tabled and we didn't hear that it was, that they believe Amendment #2 is then out of order."
- Speaker Cullerton: "The Chair would like to announce that the change of votes, the time for changing your votes on the Agreed List, is over and the...well, you have one minute to rush up to the podium and file your change of votes. I'll put the timer on. Representative Ronan, the Gentleman's

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- point is well taken. Amendment #2 is out of order.

 Representative Steczo. Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, would it be appropriate at this time to table Amendment #1?"
- Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 712. All in favor of tabling Amendment #1 say 'aye', all opposed. In the opinion of the Chair, the Amendment is tabled. Now Representative Ronan has moved for the passage of Amendment #2. It is no longer out of order. All in favor of Amendment #2 say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, is being offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Steczo, on Amendment #3."
- Steczo: "Withdraw Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Withdraw #3. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Steczo, on Amendment #4."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Bill in its original form lengthened the cab of you know various trucks. What Amendment #4 does, is takes the...just only the 55 foot cab and increases that to take into account cab—overs to 57 feet, 6 inches, and if that is not acceptable to the Department of Transportation in the Senate, that will be withdrawn. So I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #4 is adopted. Any

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read House Bill 712."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 712, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Steczo, on House Bill 712."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. is an attempt to try to deal with the...a thorny problem that effects commerce throughout the State of Illinois. And it's a question of vehicle access. Under the current law vehicles operating on interstate highways have five miles to be...from the ingress/regress points of those highways to utilize local roads for food, repairs and rest, as well as delivery. What's happening is that local authorities are not posting those roads so therefor it's almost impossible for these trucks to have access. What we have done...what we will do eventually in House Bill 712, is to say that it would be fine those...for those trucks not to be able to use local roads for such things as fuel, food, repairs and rest, because close to interstates that kind of ...that kind of thing is accessible and there's no need to do that. However, would like to say that those local authorities shall designate points of ... for points of loading and unloading. the local businessperson can make certain that those deliveries are there. We've talked to the Department of Transportation, we've talked to the Municipal League, we've talked to local road commissioners and others, and we've also provided that if in there are roads their jurisdictions that simply cannot take the weights or what have you, they would have every right to make certain those roads are exempt. But it's come to our attention

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

that there are local people who cannot get access to trucks for approach of loading and unloading of deliveries. This is an attempt to try to do that without all the rigamarole that has to go on, now. And it will be further refined in the Senate based on our tabling of Amendment #2, but I think it's a very necessary Bill, one that's very needed and I would appreciate your 'aye' votes, or would ask to answer any questions."

Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 712. Does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Robert Olson."

Olson, B.: "Thank you. This is the annual Bill that does not set downstate small communities, counties and well with townships. These trucks, eighty thousand pounds and very built for interstate highways, interstate highways with about ten to twelve inches of concrete and several feet of base under those highways. The reason that these muni...small municipalities and local some οf government units have not designated routes, is we plain and simple do not have these roads and it does become The smaller communities, township roads, we a problem. move produce, chemicals, grain, fertilizer and the larger trucks will use our roads to do...to haul these products. These blacktop roads are three or four inches thick. will crumble under the weight. If you're in a small community or even in you're larger communities and your parked at an intersection and one of these trucks want to turn, you know that they have to crowd off, you have either move out of the way or back up while they make the turn. The trucks are designed for one purpose, they're wanting to take their extra length and their extra weight on streets and roads that they're not designed for. I urge that there're a 'no' vote on this."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "Any further discussion? Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Steczo, yields for a question."

Countryman: "Does this apply now to all counties in the state?" Steczo: "Representative under Countryman, the...under Amendment that was adopted, it does not apply to Cook County, only because the Trucker's Association has agreed that for all intents and purposes the roads in Cook County being able to handle the additional weights are really not the problem, but there is a need for some access on local roads in other parts of the state. I would, however, to assure everybody this is not the Bill that is introduced every year, because the Bills that we have heard prior gave complete and unrestricted access to trucks off While Amendment #1 was tabled, it's interstate. mу intention to put the language back in that says, 'Only...only designated routes to points of loading and unloading.' And in terms of Representative Olson's concern about local roads not having the base to be able to handle the weight subject to the provisions of Section 5...15-316 of the Code, which allow those local authorities to say no. They say no and restrict access to any roads they wish."

Steczo: "And now there's currently a five mile limit on this. Is that right? Are you going to take that five mile limit out?"

Countryman: "That's not changing. And in fact, the problem is, is that the local officials simply will not designate roads. As I mentioned earlier, the law says, 'Five miles for points of rest and sleep and gas and what have you.'

We're saying that you don't have to designate it for those purposes. All you have to do is designate a route for

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- loading and unloading so that local merchants and local business can be able to get access to the...those deliveries."
- Steczo: "Right, and how many pounds are we going to go to now?

 How many thousands of pounds?"
- Countryman: "It would stay whatever the law currently allows. We do not change that."
- Steczo: "Well, there was some talk, at least in my area earlier in the year, of raising that up to a higher limit, four or five thousands pounds higher. We haven't done that."
- Countryman: "That has not changed at all."
- Steczo: "Alright. So, you have no intention of raising the number of pounds. Is that right?"
- Countryman: "Absolutely not. That's not the intention of this Bill."
- Steczo: "Alright. And would this apply to grain trucks that are hauling grain that's been loaded or unloaded from elevators."
- Countryman: "I presume it would apply, but again, those local officials would be able to stipulate that if the roads don't have the base to be able to handle the weights, those roads will not be used."
- Steczo: "Alright. And then the other thing which always seems to be important here for those of us representing rural areas, that somehow that's noted posted so that the truck driver isn't going down the road that he can't go down and get..."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Please bring your remarks to a close,
 Representative Countryman."
- Countryman: "Well, I just want you to take into consideration that the posting should be important, so these truckers know where they're going, when they're going and if they're on the right road, because if you get them on the wrong road, you're talking about a substantial fine and penalty

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

for violation."

Speaker Cullerton: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 712 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

The voting's open. One minute to explain your vote,

Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really wanted to ask a question. I think we were given the impression that a town could say no to certain roads and that's probably true, but they also had to designate certain roads, it wasn't just a matter of no. Here yesterday we voted down a gasoline tax saying that we don't have enough money for roads, and yet today, we're going to say we're going to crumble those roads with these great heavy weights, and furthermore you have to designate some of those roads to be available. This is opposed by the Municipal League, the township officials, Association of County Superintendents of Highways and most of all by 'IDOT', cause it's going to ruin their roads. I would suggest a 'no' vote."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Steczo, to explain his vote." Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, just to explain. The language that will go back in, in the Senate was agreed to by the Illinois Municipal League, who said they've got no designating the access routes, because they agree that there should be some route by which these points of loading and unloading can be reached. We also met with the township road commissioners and assured them that there will be nothing in this Bill that would allow them to...that would not allow them to designate the roads, or to say no to those trucks using roads that don't have the The Department of Transportation says we'll do base. whatever the local people want. So the Municipal League, in fact, has said that they would support this language, because it does give them the right to say no and they also

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- understand that they have to tell the trucks where they have to go and the trucks cannot use any other route but those."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk...Mr. Hartke, one minute...have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 65 voting 'yes', 49 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1262, Representative Saltsman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1262, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Saltsman, on House Bill 1262."
- Saltsman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this gives the same privilege to a industrial building being built in an enterprise zone that will be an estimated cost of over sixty million dollars, the same as one that creates 200 jobs. I ask for its passage."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 1262. The question is, 'Shall 1262 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 95 voting 'yes', 16 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2312, Representative Goforth. Mr. Clerk, please read House Bill 2312."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2312, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Mr. Clerk, please take the Bill out of the record. Representative McCracken, on House Bill 648.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

House Bill 648, Representative McCracken. Out of the record. House Bill 946, Representative Kirkland. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 946, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Kirkland, on House Bill 946." Kirkland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill amends the School Code to provide for title to school buildings and school sites to be vested in the school district when there are no trustees of schools for the township within which a school building or school site is located. This applies to a couple of school districts up in...on the border of Cook County that have...that are in both Cook County and a collar county, in which case they are under the auspices of the Regional Superintendent of the collar county and have not...and therefore under the School have a...their own school treasurer...their own district treasurer. would allow the vesting of property in that...in the school district rather than in a township treasurer, which is now the case except in vocational facility situations. So this would...in this particular...in this particular instance, this would allow Barrington Township to purchase...excuse me, Barrington school district to purchase a piece of property in Cook County, even though they don't have a township treasurer...a township treasurer for their school district."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Bill 946. Does anyone stand in opposition? Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, on a Point of Order. You know, for the last three hours we've been calling Bills and we've...every Member in this process that has sit here and observed..."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Tate, this has to be on House Bill 946."

Tate: "On a...I've had my light on since we started yelling twenty minutes ago and you have not acknowledged me."

Speaker Cullerton: "What's your point, Sir?"

Tate: "My point is, if we have to go up to the Speaker's well and kiss your ring to get a Bill called, which has been in process for the last ten weeks, this is ridiculous. You've got a Democratic list and you've got a Republican list. We've never run this process like this. You just go willy-nilly off the record, until somebody comes down and begs you to call their Bills. Why don't you put some There are Members on both sides of the aisle, Democrats and Republicans, that would like to get their Bills called, that would like to get their Bills heard in an orderly fashion. Why can't you give the Membership a list and stay with it and have some equity in this process?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Question is, 'Shall House Bill 946 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

The voting's open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2106, Representative DeLeo.

Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On Page 26 of the Calendar, House Bill 2106, a
Bill for an Act to amend the Home Equity Assurance Act.
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative DeLeo, on House Bill 2106."

DeLeo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2106, amends the Home Equity Insurance

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Assurance) Act. It sets a timetable for appointments and allows a precinct to be initially It makes some changes concerning appraisers, brokers and listing a quaranteed residence for allows the insurance of a...tax anticipation warrants against the taxes assessed from the year in which a program is created, also, the first full year after the creation of the program. This Bill was passed last year. It went on to the Senate and on to the Governor and was signed. is making some changes in the current legislation. for an Affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House
Bill 2106. Is there anyone standing in opposition? The
Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

"Thank you, very much. The Body...I wanted you to know that this Bill is about to go out of here, a lot of deals made, both sides. However, it goes and I'm...know it's But I want you to know when you do this and I gonna go. want to know on our side of this deal, want to know on our side of this deal that the little Amendment that we think can be taken that allows for the commission to select brokers and the appraisers, it's the brokers and the appraisers of my skin color that won't get selected. the brokers and appraisers who just try to make a hard living and who want to expand and be a part of the total market who won't do it. This is a bad deal. It's a deal dealing with affordable housing, we made that deal We made that deal in January, and to make that deal again today, is no good. I feel I got a credit coming on this one, Mr. Speaker. I'm letting you know and even though the suppose to be ours, the blood stays where it is. deal is There is no Pontius Pilate of washing your hands and saying I ain't got nothing to do with it, because you do.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

everybody from my colleagues, my sister Majority Leaders, for everybody, this is a bad Bill, this is a bad deal. telling you, you don't have to go through with this. There is no sense in sitting up there arguing all day about Amendment, but like you say this is a card game, I'm gonna loose this game today, far more than likely, and I guess One day I hope to get around here the ante is votes. another ante, I hope to be dealt another hand whether it's on this issue or some other issue, but when the whole game is played out, when the whole game is played out, before we say sine die, I want another shoot at this game that we Big time game, taxes, all types of things on play here. the table, I'm saying this is a deal that did not have be made. Affordable housing was voted on in January, when I said M.J.M for Speaker. So I tell you today go on what you have to do. The Bill as amended, is not in its proper form. I do not feel that this Bill should passed, its spreading of what is realistically a virus. W٥ talk about AIDS, prejudice is a virus, prejudice is a virus and it spreads and what we are talking about is spreading this virus. Everything we talked about that's gonna happen and go wrong is starting to happen. And just the fact that we are here today, proves that this virus don't die. Its It's a killer. One day as folks come to just like AIDS. realize that what you do and what you say and how affect our lives, this isn't right, this is not right. All can say to my colleagues here in the House is please, as you try to accommodate a very small segment of the of this state, as you try to appease a small segment of voters, what you end up doing is slapping our entire population in the face. We did it last year and now you're gonna say that these markets are gonna be forever closed to minority brokers, to minority appraisers. These markets

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

will never get over there. We'll close it off forever, so go ahead and do your thing there is no need to talk forever. I urge a 'no' vote. I will seek a verification if we get close. So I just want you to know now that this Bill is as bad as what we passed last year. Even as amended its just as bad. To my colleagues in the caucus, don't pay twice for the same deal. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to make it clear that the integrity of the real estate community of Illinois is at risk on this vote. There is no doubt that they are going to be restricted and this can open Pandora's Box. I think it's a dangerous precedent to cut out and limit where a free market can work and who can do it. I think its a...opening the door to a terrible precedent that should scare everybody here. And I would say that a positive note that to vote 'no' on this would be the right vote for your real estate community."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This was a bad Bill last year and its a bad Bill this year. It was a racist piece of legislation last year and it still continues to be a racist piece of legislation. It's hard for me to understand three days ago when we were trying to pass legislation that would help everybody, people on public aid, people who are homeless, people that don't have enough to eat, nowhere to sleep, the same Sponsor would not vote on that legislation, but here he will vote on this and not only vote on it, but Sponsor it. I said last year it's the Ku Klux Klan without the hood and it's still the Ku Klux Klan without the hood. It's a racist piece of legislation and it makes me sick and I urge a 'no' vote."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to say that all of us in here who hold the American flag in reverence, we feel that anti-American to trample on that flag. Well, this is what the substance of that flag represents, equality and equal access for all Americans. I think it's more significant that we not trample on what the flag actually means rather than be concerned about the piece of cloth. As leaders in this Body, it's truly tragic when you become one of those who trample on equal access for all Americans and yet you become in an uproar when you feel someone has trampled on the symbol of the American flag. I support 'buy American'. support the substance of what that flag represents and this piece of legislation is totally anti-American. it's against me, tomorrow it may be against you. I urge a 'no' vote."

.Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. sometimes become amazed at the rhetoric that flies around this Chamber. Let's talk about what this issue is all about. Last year in the wisdom of the General Assembly we established the Home Equity Plan. It wasn't really conceived in this room, it was conceived by a group of residents who live on the northwest side and the southwest side of the City of Chicago, who said that they have problems in their neighborhood and they want to have an opportunity to work together with their elected officials, so that they can keep their neighborhood as a vibrant effective place for all residents in the City of Chicago. They brought the plan to us. It made a lot of sense. starting to work. After a year a determination was made

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

that there has to be a few minor technical changes to make the plan easier for people to get into and to make the plan more sufficient. This is not a racist concept. offended when someone accuses this of being a racist This was prepared and drafted by people who live in my community. They are not concerned about what goes on in a lot of other areas in the world, they are concerned about their neighborhood and they are concerned about making sure that they have a safe decent place to live and where they can work and raise their family the way they want to. They have established a process, we are trying to work that process through. We need some minor technical changes and if anyone says this is a racist piece of language, a racist piece of legislation, they are offending me and everybody that lives in my district and I think that's wrong. I urge for the adoption and the passage of this legislation."

Speaker Cullerton: "The question is 'Shall House Bill 2106 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no.'

The voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Representative McAuliffe, one minute to explain his vote."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Last night there was 28 Republican votes on this Bill and I was very happy and very proud. I'd urge my colleagues that voted for this last night to vote for it again. We are only responding to the people in our communities. This is not a racist Bill, it affects less than one percent of the precincts in the City of Chicago. The people that live in those areas came to us with a problem; they ask us to come down here and solve it. We thought we had it solved last year. We came back to you this year for some minor technical changes as Representative Ronan said. And I need

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

the help of the Republicans from Downstate and the suburban Republicans. It does not affect anywhere else in the State of Illinois except Chicago. It affects my neighborhood, Representative Ronan's neighborhood, Speaker Madigan's neighborhood, Bob Terzich's neighborhood. That's the only place its effective. This is the people in our area that came to us to solve their problems, as your people come to you in downstate and suburban areas and ask you to help solve their problems. When somebody comes to me and asks me for a downstate vote or a suburban vote, I'm always happy to give it. Now I'm asking for your help now, this is very important to our people. Thank you."

- Speaker Cullerton: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Yes. Representative Parke, did you ask for the verification? Representative Williams, I'm sorry. The Gentleman asks for a verification. Please poll those not voting."
- Clerk Leone: "A poll of those not voting. Balanoff. Barnes.

 Edley. Homer. Shirley Jones and Wolf. No further."

Speaker Cullerton: "Please poll those in the affirmative."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those voting affirmative. Ackerman. Barger. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Churchill. Daniels. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Ewing. Cullerton. Flinn. Giglio. Giorgi. Goforth. Granberg. Hallock. Hartke. Hensel. Hoffman. Hultgren. Keane. Krska. Laurino. Kulas. Leitch. Leverenz. Martinez. Mautino. Mays. McAuliffe. McCracken. McGann. McPike. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak. Bob Olson. Myron Olson. William Peterson. Petka. Piel. Regan. Richmond. Ronan. Ropp. Saltsman. Santiago. Steczo. Terzich. Turner. Van Duyne. Wait. Weaver. Weller. Wennlund. Williamson. Wojcik. Zickus and Mr. Speaker."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative...yes, Representative Williams, any questions of the affirmative?"

Williams: "Yes. Laurino, Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Representative Laurino. Is Representative Laurino in the Chamber? Representative Laurino? Please remove him." Williams: "Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Leverenz. Representative Leverenz. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Please remove him."

Williams: "Representative Leitch."

Speaker Cullerton: "Excuse me, Representative Williams.

Representative Keane asks leave to be verified, he's down here at the well. The Gentleman has leave. Representative Leitch. Is Representative Leitch in the Chamber?

Representative Leitch. Remove him."

Williams: "Representative Mulcahey."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Mulcahey. Is Representative Mulcahey in the Chamber? Representative Mulcahey. Remove him."

Williams: "Representative Zickus."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Zickus. Is Representative Zickus in the Chamber? Representative Zickus. Remove her."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Lang."

Williams: "How is he recorded? That's okay, I'm sorry he's
 recorded as voting..."

Speaker Cullerton: "He's recorded as voting 'present.'"

Williams: "Representative Petkas..Petka."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Petka, is in the rear of the Chamber."

Williams: "Representative Olson."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Cullerton: "That would be..."

Williams: "Okay, I see him now."

- Speaker Cullerton: "There is two of them and they are both here I believe."
- williams: "We've already got Mulcahey. Representative...I have
 no further questions."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Edley, for what purpose do you
 seek recognition?"
- Edley: "I would like to be voted present on this legislation, on House Bill 2106."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present.'

 Representative Stephens, for what purpose do you rise?"

Stephens: "I would like to be recorded 'aye.'"

- Speaker Cullerton: "Change Representative Stephens from 'no' to 'ave.' Any further changes? Representative Record Representative Homer as Representative Homer. 'aye.' Are there any further changes? Representative has returned to the Chamber. Leverenz, Please add Representative Leverenz to the Roll Call. Any further questions? On this question there are 60 voting 'aye', 44 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present.' This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Preston, on House Bill Representative Ronan, would you wish to handle House Bill Representative Preston. Mr. Clerk, please read 526 for the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 526, a Bill for an Act in relationship

 to the compensation and emoluments of Members of the

 General Assembly, has been read a second time previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted previously, on May 16th."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Is there a Floor Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #2, is being offered by Representative Ewing."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing, on Amendment #2. Withdraw. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Ewing."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ewing, on Amendment #3.

 Withdraw. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Preston."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ronan, on Amendment #4."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 was agreed by Representative Preston. It establishes that this position, the position of legislative assistant, can be utilized by more than one person and that an individual can be a state employee or be paid on contractual basis. These are some of the recommendations that came from the other side of the aisle and from the Senate. I move for the adoption of Amendment #4."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Preston."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ronan, on Amendment #5."
- Ronan: "Repre... Thank you, Mr. Chair...Mr. Speaker. Amendment #5 is a further clarification of Amendment #4. Be glad to answer any questions."
- Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted? All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair,

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. House Bill...Mr. Clerk,
 please read House Bill 526."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 526, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to compensation and emoluments of Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ronan, on House Bill 526."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 526 is an issue that I passed out of the General Assembly two years ago. Representative Preston picked up to the cause this year. Let's just face the fact of life. We have more work that we have to do in our district office. Our job down here is to deliver services to the people of our neighborhood. The best way to do that is to have adequate, competent staff that can help you get your job done. This will create a position of legislative assistant. It makes a lot of sense and it's going to be...give you an opportunity to provide...oh, alright, let's pass a shell Bill then. The Bill doesn't do anything."

Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman's moved for the passage of House
Bill 526. On that question, the Gentleman from Perry,
Representative Goforth."

Goforth: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."

Goforth: "Representative..."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Ronan, a question from Representative Goforth. What's your question, Sir."

Goforth: "Does this Bill go to us? Do we have complete control over this money? Does Speaker Madigan or Minority Leader Daniels have any control over this money?"

Ronan: "Representative, there's no money. There is no

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

appropriation on this legislation."

Goforth: "Okay. Is it also a permissive Bill?"

Ronan: "At this point, the way that Representative Preston's amended the Bill, this is very permissive."

Goforth: "Okay. Thank you."

Ronan: "What we're doing right now, Representative, is sending a big pot of air over to the Senate, so that we can continue the discussions."

Goforth: "Everybody ought to vote for it then."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'm for this Bill. I don't think that male Members of this General Assembly should be treated any differently than the female Members. And so, I rise in support of this Amendment."

Speaker Cullerton: "The questions is, 'Shall House Bill 526

pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed

vote 'no'. The voting's open. This is final passage.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.

Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are

63 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This

Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority,

is hereby declared passed. Representative Breslin, in the

Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "On page 11, appears 1557, Representative Wait.

Representative Wait. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1557, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act, has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Amendments filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, is being offered by Representative Churchill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #1 would require counties to include mandatory source separation in their county solid waste plans in the future."

- Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Gentleman's Amendment. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hallock."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

 Amendment #2 would give a state sales tax exemption for mulching lawn mowers. As we all know, about twenty percent of garbage waste on a weekly basis is mulch of leaves and grass. What the mulching lawn mower would do, is grind all of that up and put it right back in the soil. The purpose of the Bill is to try to give a small incentive to people to try and buy these kind of lawn mowers. I think it would do two things. First of all, it would get people thinking more about our garbage problem, and secondly, to try and eliminate this waste...this mulch from the waste stream.

 It's a good Amendment and I would ask that it be adopted."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment 2. On the question, the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. This is a Bill that was killed in the Revenue Committee for two reasons. First of all, the apparent reason for the exemption no longer exists, because these materials will not have to go into landfill. Secondly, there is a substantial potential cost to the Bill, but I also have a

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- parliamentary inquiry. Is the Amendment in order?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, give us the Amendment, please.

 Representative McPike. No? Withdraw #2. Go to #3, Mr.

 Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Hallock."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock."
- Hallock: "Just to make the same remarks."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Currie, now, on Amendment 3."
- Currie: "And in opposition, the same remarks. Cost and no necessity for this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Hallock, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hallock: "If I could just explain my vote. The Department of Revenue estimated the cost of this Bill to be seventy-five...seventy five thousand dollars per year. So the cost, obviously, is almost nil. And secondly, I think if we can in fact encourage people to mulch their lawns, we don't have to deal with the mulch issue at all. We've banned it from landfills in the future, but it's going to go some place. If we're going to encourage people to mulch their lawns, it won't be a problem at all. And I ask that you support this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 42 voting 'aye', 72 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. The Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Hallock."
- Speaker Breslin: "Represen...We just did #4. Are there any

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

further Amendments? I see. We just did 3. Amendment 4, then. Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Withdraw 4, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #4. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Representative Hallock."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. What #5 does, is also try to
 deal with our landfill crisis. The fed..."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed, Sir."

Hallock: "The Federal Government is contemplating some post-closure requirements which begin on January 1, 1991.

Here in Illinois, our requirements take effect on July 1, 1990. What my Bill would do, is...my Amendment does, is say that ours also would comply with the Federal Governments. In other words, we are extending our landfills by about six months if we pass this Amendment. It's a good Amendment. As far as I know, there is not a lot of opposition. I would ask that it be adopted."

Speaker Breslin: "On Amendment #5, the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

There is substantial opposition from the environmental community. There's no guarantee the delay the Gentleman proposes would only be a six month delay. It's not at all clear when the new U.S. E.P.A. regulations would go into effect. Legislation we passed last year requiring a fifteen year post—closure program, will encourage money marginal landfills from going out of business now, thus preventing ourselves from facing more serious problems in the future. The only sensible environmental response to this proposal, is to say 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the proposition and a person speaking in opposition. The question is, 'Shall Amendment

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

#5 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mautino, your Bill is going to be next. Please be prepared. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 44...45 voting 'aye', 69 voting 'no'. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Levin."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #6 would establish the Food Toxic Disclosure Act, so that individuals going to a supermarket could know whether the fruits and vegetables that they are buying contain materials known to cause cancer and other birth defects."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Amendment. It is the Food Toxic Disclosure Act. On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Question of the Chair. Is the Amendment germane?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Give me the Amendment, please. In the opinion of the Chair...Representative Levin, it's the Chair's opinion that your Amendment is not germane. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no more further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Read the Bill on ... Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1557, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wait."
- Wait: "Thank you. Now as the Bill reads, basically it's Churchill's Amendment just requiring source separation for

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

solid waste. I'd ask for a Motion to approve this."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House Bill 1557. Does anyone rise in opposition? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1557 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Stange, your Bill will be after Representative Mautino's. Please be ready. Have all voted who wish? This is final passage. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2600. Clerk, read the Bill on Third."

Clerk Leone: "On page 23 of the Calendar, House Bill 2600, a Bill for an Act to amend the Use Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, very much, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2600, which was amended last evening with basically Amendment #5 and then a continuation Amendment on #6, Amendment 5 for all intents and purposes, became the Bill. This legislation addresses the question of civic centers and the Department of Conservation. That embodies as well, the investment tax credit provisions that are so important to the business community; the civic center proposal, important to thirteen individual areas of this state and the Department of Conservation, a hundred million dollar program that touches virtually every district in this state."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has explained the Bill. Does anyone rise in opposition? Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Not in opposition, parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

McCracken: "This increases bond authorization of various funds or districts and I ask the Chair to rule that it requires 71 votes to pass."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, 60 votes are all that is required for the passage of this Bill. On the question, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2600 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Mautino, one minute to explain your vote."

Mautino: "Thank you, very much, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are sent down here as Legislators to represent our individual districts. This proposal provides for those programs that are of importance to all of downstate Illinois and one provision for McCormick Place. Individuals have requested that their projects and programs be placed in this legislation. This is the only package downstate Illinois. I recommend most highly that you try to explain why in fact you cannot support legislation that has your specific projects and programs for the betterment of the State of Illinois involved. This is not a bi-partisan issue. Excuse me. This is not a partisan This is a bi-partisan issue with bi-partisan issue. Individuals having anywhere from one to sponsorship. twenty million dollars in this package. I understand how anyone can say that the imposition of this tax would not provide those projects and programs that you have asked for. The alternative is to have another program come over that will provide for nothing in terms of projects, but specifically for maybe 'IRAP', or something At least you can see what you're going to get for your dollars with the software tax included in this legislation. I can't for the life of me understand why anyone would vote against this Bill, based upon

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

information individual Members have provided me."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brunsvold, one minute to explain your vote."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Just thinking back on this program and talking to the Majority Leader; if this Bill would have started on the Republican side of the aisle through the Lady Schaumburg and the Minority Leader and then progressed through the process to myself, through the Quad-Cities and Representative DeJaegher and we would have went to Representative McPike and said, 'Jim, we'd like you to go to the Speaker and present this program from the Republican side of the aisle, and McPike would have went into to Mike and said, 'I'd like to present this program,' and the Speaker would have looked at him, looked at the program and 'McCormick Place, twenty-eight million dollars? You've got to be kidding. This is not something I can support.' He said, 'Jim McPike, how dare you bring me a proposal like this with all this money going downstate and...'"

Speaker Breslin: "Bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Brunsvold: "'...and none going to Chicago.' So this is a downstate program as Dick Mautino has said, and downstater's ought to be supporting this, because it helps downstate."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher, one minute to explain your vote. Please note that votes are not changing on this Bill. Proceed. Sir."

DeJaegher: "I just want to make a point."

Speaker Breslin: "Quickly."

DeJaegher: "A few short months ago, the Governor of the State of
Illinois came into the City of Moline, he felt that this
was a high priority. In fact, he gave his word that if we

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

would find an alternate measure that basically would provide funding, he could be supportive of this Bill. Mautino has crafted a Bill that basically not only helps that area as far as the construction of a civic center goes, but also we've included business. Everybody talks about tourism. We've included tourism. We've tried to help each and every Member of this House. Please, give us some consideration. We are the largest metropolitan area in the State of Illinois without this and we fund others."

Speaker Breslin: "Bring your remarks to a close, Sir."
DeJaeqher: "That's it."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike, one minute to explain your vote."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I don't know how to put together a package that is fairer to downstate Illinois It is somewhat embarassing, to be honest with you, to go into the Speaker and say, 'Here's a two hundred million dollar package. There's twenty-eight million dollars for Chicago and there's a hundred and seventy-two million dollars for downstate. There are three or four Members downstate that are interested in it. Mr. Mautino, Brunsvold. DeJaegher and a few others, and on the Republican side of the aisle, I presume that the Gentleman from Quincy who gets the convention center, would be interested, and the Lady from Schaumburg who gets twenty million dollars for her convention center, they would be interested.' And the Speaker said, 'I really have nothing special to request and twenty-eight million dollars for McCormick Place will be sufficient. Just call the Bill for a vote.' I was hoping that we'd get about thirty votes from the Democratic side of the aisle and about thirty from the Republican side of the aisle, because I think it's a fair package. We have a hundred million dollars

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

downstate parks. They're in Republican districts, they're in Democratic districts. None of them are in my district. It's package that everyone downstate should be supporting. For the life of me, since I have been here, I have never seen the Republican Party take a position against a package that was good for downstate, that was more than fair for downstate. If I had asked you to put together the package, you wouldn't have come back with a package like this. You would have tried to give Chicago a little more. You would have tried to give them a little more, because they've got twenty-five percent of the people and they only get twelve percent of the money. And McCormick Place isn't a Democratic issue. The money McCormick Place, supports Democrats and Republicans. It's a bi-partisan board. Certainly the Republican businessmen use McCormick Place. So, I don't really even consider that a Democratic part of the package. This really is a package for all of Illinois. I feel that Chicago doesn't have state parks, but the truth is, there are no state parks in the City of Chicago, that when we craft the package to try to help state parks, we would hope that the people of Chicago would use them. Last week there was a ribbon cutting ceremony at Starved Rock State Park. I think it's about an hour drive from Chicago. A brand new renovated park. The Governor is up there to cut the ribbon and I hope that everybody in City of Chicago has a chance to use that state park, because I understand that it's very very beautiful. It's quite a project, quite a park to see. It helps our state and the same way with this package, it helps all of downstate. I told Dick Mautino that if this got 60 Democratic votes, I'd stand up and ask everybody to vote I don't believe that it should have 60 'present'.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Democratic votes. I think it's a bi-partisan Bill, it deserves bi-partisan support."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Novak, one minute to explain your vote."

Novak: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of I too, rise up. I'm incredulous that this cannot get more support. You know, I live in an area that we've been economically depressed since the early 1980's. We lost five thousand jobs. We have a state park, Kankakee State Park, that's visited by one and a half million people from the City of Chicago, that come down and visit our places. Beautiful fishing. Our newspaper, the Kankakee Journal, has wanted and many other groups, business groups, labor groups, recreation groups: they want a development in our state park, a new resort. We're going to bring more money, more development, more people down to our area. It's going to be a tremendous shot in our for economic development. Every Republican downstate Legislator should look at this. Those of you with parks in your district, you'd be crazy not to vote for this. look at the incentives for big business. The investment tax credits. Caterpillar, the largest corporation in State of Illinois, needs this badly. The Illinois Manufacturer's Association. Those guys that help the Republicans all the time, they want this. They want this legislation renewed, cause it's so terribly important business."

Speaker Breslin: "Bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Novak: "Let's get some more 'green' votes up there."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman, one minute to explain
 your vote."

Saltsman: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. In my district there is not a state park that'll be benefited by this program.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

However, the largest employer in the State of Illinois, outside of the state itself, will be the biggest recipient of paying the amount of money into this software tax than probably any other corporation in the State of Illinois. They have closed down four factories in other parts of the country. They have brought four thousand jobs back to the State of Illinois and have not closed one of factories in the State of Illinois. We owe this tax incentive program to them, because they are also compromising on the computer software. Therefor, we need this park in Peoria County, which is not my district, it's in a Republican district. We need the million dollars worth of jobs that's going to be involved with it. And at hope that we can get downstate people to push for this legislation, so we can get started with it and not have it come back changed around where we're going to lose a lot of our programs."

Speaker Breslin: "Bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Saltsman: "This Bill is not going to come back the same way. You better look at it now, because I'll not vote for it the same way if it don't get out of here today. It'll have to be changed and something will have to be coming in my district."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is... Mr. Clerk, take the record, please. On this question there are 44 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'no' and 18 voting 'present'. This Bill having failed to receive the necessary votes, is declared lost. Representative Stange, on 1578. Out of the record. Representative McNamara, on House Bill 211. 211. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 211, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial planning. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Edley, your Bill will be next.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Representative McNamara, on his Bill."

McNamara: "I'd like leave to take this back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to the
Order of Second for an Amendment. Hearing no objection,
leave is granted. Are there any Amendments filed, Mr.
Clerk.?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record."

McNamara: "I withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

McNamara: "There is an Amendment #4, I believe."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, would you do a search for an Amendment #4?"

McNamara: "Inquiry of the Chair. Is Amendment...are there

Amendments...which Amendments are on the Bill right now?"

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, would you answer that question, please?"

McNamara: "Okay. Then I think I want to..."

McNamara: "Okay. Thank you. Then I believe it's...excuse me. I
 make a Motion to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara, moves to table
Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the
question is, 'Shall #2 be tabled?' All those in favor say
'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted...the Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments or Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Yes. Amendment #3 is an Amendment that deals with...that deals with the powers of the board that is being established to forestall any action against the municipality. I'd urge for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 211, a Bill for an Act in relationship to financial planning. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is prompted by the fiscal emergency condition that exists in the Village of Robbins. And the purpose of this Act is to provide assistance as far as in the area of accounting, budgeting and taxing practices to ensure fiscal integrity. With the adoption of Amendment #3, it will pre-empt home rule and will require 71 votes. I do ask for your approval of this measure."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House Bill 211. Is there any discussion? Any opposition? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 211 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Seventy—one votes, I'm advised, are required for passage of

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

this Bill. Have all votes...have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98 voting 'aye', 13 voting 'no'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, by three—fifths majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Edley, on House Bill 1434. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1434, a Bill for an Act creating the position of taxpayer's rights advocate."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Edley."

Clerk Leone: "Third Reading of the Bill."

Edley: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. This Bill creates the Office of Taxpayer's Advocate in the Department of Revenue. The taxpayer's advocate is responsible for looking into taxpayer's complaints, evaluating department responses and in extreme cases, halting the tax liens...and releasing tax liens."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House Bill 1434. On the question, the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Countryman: "Representative, I think you remember the other day
we passed out the taxpayer's bill of rights, which I
Sponsored, which has a taxpayer's ombudsman in it. How
does this taxpayer advocate differ from that ombudsman?"

Edley: "It's not quite as broad as your Bill. This Bill, I've negotiated with the Department of Revenue. They have no problem with this legislation. I think as far as a fiscal note, if you compare the difference this legislation is projected to cost less than...less than one hundred

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

thousand dollars, whereas yours is somewhere around two to three million. So, I think those are probably the major differences."

Countryman: "Well, I don't think there was ever a fiscal note requested on my Bill and the cost was disputed, I think, if you recall, but the...this taxpayer advocate is subject to the whim and the will of the Director of the Department of Revenue. That is, he can be fired by that Director, can't he?"

Edley: "He can be fired by the Director, but he's also charged to report to the General Assembly and the Governor each year."

Countryman: "Yeah, but I mean if the Director says don't do something, that's his boss, he's got to listen to him doesn't he?"

Edley: "Yes, he would."

Countryman: "Well, to the Bill, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Countryman: "It's unfortunate that this is the first Bill that the Representative is proposing, because it isn't a good Bill and in fact it is not a good position to have a taxpayer advocate who's subject to the whim and the will of the Director of the Department of Revenue. The federal taxpayer's bill of rights has an independent ombudsman. The Bill we passed out of here the other day has an independent ombudsman. I'll tell you that that's an important part of this and I'd recommend a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1434 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Representative Barnes. Representative

Barnes, your Bill will be next. This is final passage.

Representative Matijevich, one minute to explain your vote.

Representative Matijevich."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This not only is his first Bill, I think it can be improved on, but that doesn't mean we ought to defeat it now. It is in similar concept to Representative Countryman's Bill. If I were Representative Countryman, I would have gotten up and said, 'You and I are pretty close to being on the right track; and you ought to support him.' I don't see why you should kill a Bill just because it's a little different than yours. It can be amended in the Senate to be tougher, but it can't be amended if you stop it here. It is a Bill to help the taxpayers and everybody ought to support it."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Sixty votes are required for passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 74 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2090, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative Lang, you're going to be next. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2090, on page 16 of the Calendar, a Bill for an Act to amend the Real Estate Transfer Tax Act.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2090 deals with the Smoke Detector Disclosure Act. In December of '87, we passed a very strict smoke detector law, but the Fire Marshal's Office feels since ninety—one percent of the people that were burned in fires didn't have smoke detectors in the premises, that it's time for us to start educating the people about the laws that we put into effect. At real estate closings on the 'green sheet' that is used at the transaction, there will be a section that will have to be

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

checked off to inform the buyer and the seller about the Smoke Detector Law. The Fire Marshal's Office also intends to print a brochure to further educate the public on the necessary Smoke Detector Law. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard what the Bill does. Does anyone rise in opposition? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2090 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Lang, House Bill 1546. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 26 of the Calendar, House Bill 1546, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Lang."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Lang: House. We've discussed this Bill thoroughly. This Bill requires that seat belts be put in all school buses which are manufactured after the date of the Bill. No retrofitting of school buses will be required. This also requires rooftop hatches for the safety of the children, in the case the bus rolls over. Those of you that were relying on a federal report to say that you weren't going to vote for this Bill. I'd like to read a short section of this report to you. It say as follows: 'One of the concerns with seat belts, is that many children won't wear To be effective, seat belts must be worn and used correctly.' Though the committee agreed that a federal mandate for seat belts is not warranted, Members expressed

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

the opinion that a uniform safety restraint policy for all motor vehicles is sufficiently important that state and local school districts should be encouraged to equip new school buses with seat belts. This is a critical important area for our communities to save our children and I urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "We have heard this Bill before. One person will recognized be in opposition. Representative Didrickson or Representative Parke. Which do you prefer. One person in opposition. Representative Didrickson, had her light on first. Proceed, Representative Didrickson." Didrickson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. is absolutely correct as the Speaker has mentioned. have debated this extensively. I can tell you that there are a number of boards of education that are opposed to this in the State of Illinois. The only significant research that we are aware of, comes from the National Research Council, that says, with this measure we would not providing...be providing any safety or safer bus rides for our kids in the State of Illinois. As I've mentioned before, I have been early on a proponent of car safety belts, of infant seats...safety seats in cars. I also was even a supporter of helmets for eighteen years and under on motorcycles. So, I think I have a pretty good track record with regards to safety. This is not an issue that is...really deserves our vote at this time. The just not conclusive. In fact, I can tell you that there is much research that says we're going to really damage internal organs of our young kids, sitting on these buses with the safety belts on them. The compartmentalization is a much better way to go, which many of our school buses already have, to buffer the shock if they are in an accident. I would urge you to vote 'no' on this issue at

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

this time."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the pass...Speaker Madigan, in the Chair. Those in favor of the passage of the Bill, signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Would someone record Mr. Mautino as 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 56 'ayes', 56 'nos'. The Clerk shall poll the absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Representative Preston and Terzich, are not voting."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any additions? Are there any changes? There are 56 'ayes'. The Bill fails. The next Bill will be House Bill 1359, Mr. Woolard. 1359, Mr. Clerk. What is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1359, on the Calendar on page 11, has been read a second time previously. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Woolard."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Woolard on Amendment #1."

Woolard: "Take it out of the record. No..."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment?"

Woolard: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Woolard."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Woolard on Amendment #2."

Woolard: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Woolard on Amendment #2."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Woolard: "Okay, on Amendment...on Amendment #2, commencing with line eleven, take...we're going to end with a period at the end of amended and delete all of lines 12, 13 and 14."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment....Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

- Pullen: "Would you please explain to us the affect of the Amendment?"
- Woolard: "What the affect of the Amendment does, is it eliminates additional remedies, may have the part repaired and replaced and the purchase price refunded together with incidental and consequential damages. It eliminates that portion of the Bill. Okay, it provides for only the UCC remedies agreement."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1359, a Bill for an Act concerning express warranties for rebuilt motor vehicle parts. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 8 people voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Is Mr. Tate in the chamber? Mr. Tate. Mac...Mr. Tate. Maybe he's preparing a speech somewhere. Oh, here he is. We're waiting breathlessly. Mr. Clerk, on House Bill 1626, what

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1626, on page 21 of the Calendar, it's on the order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1626, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1626 requires the Department of Professional Regulation to issue a monthly disciplinary report and it expands the investigative powers of the Department of Professional Regulation. Each and every board, the Realtors Board, Optometry Board, all the different boards that are regulated by the Department of Professional Regulation are in favor of this Bill. I move for its passage. I know of no opposition."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Is Mr. Santiago in the chamber? Mr. Santiago. Mr. Santiago. The next Bill is House Bill 650. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 650 on page 19 of the Calendar, is on the order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 650, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Library Systems Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
Speaker Madigan: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 650 provides for school library grants in the amount of seventy-five cents per pupil for the schools that meet

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

certain standards. It provides access to the resources of all of our libraries across the state to be used in conjunction with our school libraries. It's supported by the Library Association, the Illinois Association of School Administrators, the State Board of Education and the Secretary of State's Office and probably others. I move for passage of House Bill 650."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Hoffman: "What is the cost to the state for this program?"

Satterthwaite: "If all of the schools qualified and they do have to meet certain qualifications in order to participate in the program, we estimate that the cost would be 1.15 million dollars. However, if less money than that were to be appropriated, it would be prorated."

Hoffman: "So, this is...additional system...systems to libraries and schools?"

Satterthwaite: "This would be for school libraries if they met certain standards and those standards are delineated in the Bill. It would require that they have a specific location, et cetera."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much for your explanation."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are lll 'ayes', 2 people voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Is Mr. Santiago in the chamber? Mr. Santiago. Mr. Weller, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Weller: "Record me as voting 'aye'. I pushed my button. I guess

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- it didn't go on."
- Speaker Madigan: "Please record Mr. Weller as 'aye' on the last vote. Mr...and the record will so reflect. And Mr. Edley."
- Edley: "I pushed my button and I didn't get a... a green light,

 Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Madigan: "Let the record reflect that Mr. Edley attempted to vote 'aye'..."
- Edley: "...'Aye', yeah."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is Mr. Santiago in the chamber? Mr. Santiago?

 House Bill 476, Mr. Hicks. Mr. Clerk, what is the status
 of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 476 on page 8 of the Calendar, is on the order of Second Reading. Has been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no Amendments filed."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there...Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 476, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 476 amends the School Code for the 89-90 school year only. It takes care of one small school, Farrington Township school in my district; cost 49,850 dollars. I'd appreciate a green vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor yields."
- Hicks: "Yes, during the 1987-88 school year, in which calculations for this particular school were brought forth, it was not believed that the oil interest in that township which was very high, suddenly skyrocketed because of one or

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

two oil wells that was hit, which had not been calculated before. t could not be calculated. They were brand new wells that were brought in that brought the assessed valuation up of over three million dollars, which in a very small schoolship...township could not be calculated or could not be anticipated. So, in which they did the calculation for that school formula year, they were not included because of that they were penalized, and this simply takes care of what they would have received if they had of calculated it properly."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. I'm in support of this legislation, but it
illustrates the problem when you use two different years to
determine what the state aid should be."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor vield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor yields."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, you've come over and talked to us about this Bill and I appreciate that, but let me for the record indicate...you said this Bill was going to disappear after this was all taken care of, is that correct?"

Hicks: "Yes, Sir, that's correct. It only takes care of the one school district. It's something we've done in years past at times whenever you've had a superintendent..."

Black: "...Okay..."

Hicks: "... Who has failed to anticipate some new assessed valuation within that particular school district."

Black: "Okay. Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 779, Mr. Novak. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 779 is on the order of Second Reading, has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Tate."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tate. Mr. Tate on the Amendment. Mr. Tate. Mr. Tate on the Amendment."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #2 increases the penalty for reckless driving involving a DUI from a Class 3 felony to a Class 1 felony.

It requires the court to instruct the jury to determine to the defendant that was driving under the influence, and this was currently required in nonjury cases. That's the standard. Move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'. Mr. Novak. Mr. Novak."

Novak: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to make a comment about this Amendment. This Amendment originally was in the Bill when I came before the Judiciary II Committee, and after we heard the Bill a first time, I met with the Chairman of the Committee and I had the Bill redrafted to phase it back a little to get the Bill passed. Now, I'm not speaking against the Amendment,

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

but I thought the chairman of the Judiciary II Committee might want to add a few comments."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Homer, do you wish to comment? Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes, the Sponsor yields."

Homer: "I'm caught off guard by your Amendment. What is...what is your Amendment do?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tate, could you explain the Amendment again."

Tate: "I increased the penalty for a DUI from Class 3 felony to a Class 1. Pretty straightforward."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Alright. Well, Mr...Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. have...we have a situation where this Bill was introduced by Representative Novak, came to the Judiciary Committee and the committee members after a full hearing of the Bill, recommended that the Sponsor amend the Bill if he wished to pass it out of committee. There were two objections to his original Bill. One was that he was taking the offense of reckless homicide from a Class 3 felony to a Class 1, a jump of two notches. While the members of the committee felt that where a reckless homicide involved a driving under the intoxication, that it may warrant an enhancement of penalty. The members objected to enhancing it from a 3 to a 1, and suggested that the Sponsor should...should be satisfied with an enhancement from a 3 to a 2. Further, there was an objection to the Bill in the sense that as it was introduced, the court would be required to...to instruct the jury with respect to this issue and the members of the committee felt that that permissive. So, they made those should be recommendations. The Sponsor said, okay, I'll come back

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

with an Amendment. He did so. Amended the Bill. The Bill then passed out as amended. Now that it's on the floor on Second Reading, Representative Tate wants to amend it back to the way that the committee found was objectionable. And so I would urge that the Members of this House object to the Amendment. It's not necessary. It puts the Bill in a form that the committee objected to and it does violence to the Member's Bill. So, I will hope you would join with me, I would ask that this Amendment be defeated."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Again, this Amendment simply puts the Bill back into the original form that the Sponsor of the Bill introduced, I would suggest for all of those that are rushing to increase the...the content amount of alcohol in our blood to whatever the number they're...they're from 0.8 or 1 suggesting, that if we really want to provide for a deterrent for drunk drivers. And drunk drivers especially that are convicted for reckless driving, involving a DUI, that the best way is through a good, proper, law...law and order approach to it and make it a tougher penalty. sends the kind of message that you want to, because it punishes the people that are violating the law. This is a better measure than all the other proposals that I've seen in this chamber that we voted on today. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The...'nos' have it. The Amendment fails. The Gentleman request a Roll Call. He's supported by Mr. Hallock. The hard charger from Rockford. Those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Those for the Amendment vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 38 'ayes', 72 'nos', the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 779, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 648, Mr. McCracken. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 648 is on the order of Second Reading, has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative
Sutker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Sutker on Amendment #1."

Sutker: "Withdraw, Mr. Chairman."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

McCracken."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken, withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative McCracken."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Any further

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative McCracken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #4 and #5. Is there an Amendment #6?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6 is being offered by Representative McCracken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken on Amendment #6."
- McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill as amended, if this is adopted would amend the Bidding Act only to allow purchasing orders under five thousand dollars to be exempt from the bidding...the competitive bidding process. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 648, a Bill for an Act concerning bidding. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1863. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of that Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1863 is on the order of Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1863, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Santiago."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Santiago: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill amends the Criminal Code. It adds the offense of aggravated battery. The battery upon a pregnant woman. What it does is simply adds to the ways that an aggravated battery can be committed by making it an aggravated battery for a person to commit a battery when the person knows that the individual harmed is pregnant. I will entertain any questions at this moment."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2520, Mr. Mautino. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2520 on page 21 of the Calendar, is on the order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair, what

 Amendments have been adopted to that Bill?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "The Bill is on the order of Third Reading. There are no Amendments previously adopted on the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 988. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 988 on page 20 of the Calendar is on the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think everybody knows what this Bill does. It would create the election of Illinois commerce commissioners rather than the appointment. Appreciate your support. Seven out of ten of your constituents want it this way, an elected commerce commission."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 988, a Bill for an Act to provide for the election of members of the Illinois Commerce Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk...the Chair would suggest that Mr. Matijevich speak for the Bill and Mr. Hoffman would speak,

 I presume, against the Bill and then we can proceed to Roll Call. Mr. Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I indeed do rise in opposition to this legislation. You look around the...you look around the country, you'll find no connection between elected commerce commission or appointed commerce commissions. Neither way of organizing a commerce commission is going to determine what...what the rates are or what the regulations are. Those regulations and those rates are established either in law or by regulations that have been adopted. There's a lot that could be said about this, but due to the time constraints, I merely arise to fortify your position of the logic of maintaining the present system."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Morrow to explain his vote. Have all voted who wish?"
- Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In as such, I might have a possible conflict of

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

interest here on House Bill 988, I will vote my conscience as the Representative of the 32nd District and I haven't decided how I'm going to vote yet."

- Speaker Madigan: "Hurry up. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 54 'ayes' and 51 'nos' and the Bill fails. House Bill 1578, Mr. Stange.

 Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "On page 11 of the Calendar, House Bill 1578, a Bill for an Act in relating to registration, has been read a second time previously. There are no Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Stange."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1578, a Bill for an Act relating to registrations. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "...Mr. Stange, do you wish to take this out of the record? The Gentleman requests that this matter be taken out of the record. House Bill 1926, Representative Currie. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1926 is on the order of Second Reading.

 Has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Kubik."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kubik on Amendment #2."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Amendment #2 would do is to make a change in the current Cook County Board of Tax Appeals. And what

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

the...what the Amendment would do would be to expand that board by one member, which I know, Representative Currie, that's an idea that Representative Currie supports. But in addition to that, what this Bill would do is create a district...the members would have to run in districts. districts would be drawn by the state board of elections. I would like to point out to some of my friends on the other side of the aisle, that these districts would have... would be drawn with the minority participation, the minority language, is contained in the legislation, so that there would be strong minority participation. I think it's a concept whose time has come. I think if you walked down the street and asked anybody, other than the Members of this Body, who served on the Cook County Board Tax Appeals, they couldn't tell you. But I think if we go to a districting concept, we'll have better accountability. We'll have people who are able to identify who these people are because this is a very, very important office. So I would certainly appreciate your support on this particular legislation and would request a Roll Call vote on this."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I do support increasing the number of members of the Board of Property Tax Appeals, but I strenuously oppose this Amendment. This Amendment would accomplish that purpose by dividing up Cook County into separate districts. there's no reason to do that. No excuse for it and I worry about the affect of this Amendment on minority participation, on the delusion of a minority vote. So, I'd recommend everybody to vote 'no' on this Amendment. And I wonder whether the Sponsor, in fact, is trying to kill underlying Bill, which is an opportunity for the public to know more about tax issues at the local level."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Santiago."

- Santiago: "I also rise in opposition to this Amendment. I think it is a waste of the taxpayer's money. We do not want anymore elected officials. We already have two highly qualified minorities serving on the Tax Board of Appeals. So, let's give them a chance to work and operate and let's go out, next time around and vote for these two highly minorities. If the Republicans are interested, go in next year and vote for them. I'm glad to hear that someone from the Republican side is interested in helping the minority. Well, you have two highly qualified individuals. Let's go out and let's support them. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment will vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 50 'ayes', 61 'nos', the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Currie."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This was an Amendment...recommended by Representative Churchill in House Revenue Committee. It merely insures that if a taxing district fails to meet the provisions of the underlying Bill, the entire property tax levee is not at risk. I'd be happy to answer your questions and would welcome your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1926, a Bill for an Act in relationship to increasing in property tax levees. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 6 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2151, Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2151 is on the order of Second Reading, has been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments? The Chair recognizes

 Mr. Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd just like to point out that this particular Bill, as you can see is the result of the task force on education for employment. You've been contacted, you've been lobbied in opposition to this Bill a great deal. We are making the kind of progress we'd like to make. And we've all five agreed that we are going to place this Bill in its present condition on Interim Study and give us an opportunity to take a look at it next spring. Thank you for your indulgence."
- Speaker Madigan: "Yes, is there leave? Leave is granted. The
 Bill shall be placed on the order of Interim Study. House
 Bill...Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "When we put them in Interim Study, does that count as a Republican Bill?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Yes, right. We'll see you in about ten Bills.

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- House Bill 8, Mr. Levin. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill #8 on page 7 of the Calendar, is on...is on the order of Second Reading. It amends the Illinois Vehicle Code. Amendments...Amendment #1 was adopted previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "I'm sorry, Mr. Clerk, were we on an Amendment?"

 Clerk Leone: "On Second Reading, Amendment #1 was adopted previously. Amendments #2 through 5 were withdrawn. Floor Amendment... Floor Amendment #6 is being offered by Representative Mautino."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino on Amendment #6."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #6, could you please give me the LRB number on that 6?"
- Clerk Leone: "LRB number ends in 375JS."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Amendment #6 does, is provide for an increase in the motor vehicle's fees by two dollars and provide that that increase in a registration fees of the first division shall be deposited in the Youth Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Prevention Fund. If in fact we are addressing the questions of DUI, I had prepared that Amendment originally to address the questions of Representative Didrickson's problems for creating a fund and I ask for the adoption of this legislation...this Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Levin. Mr. Levin."
- Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would rise in opposition to Amendment #6. The Gentleman clearly does not like this Bill and has filed in the last several days, eleven separate fiscal notes in order to keep the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Bill from being called on Third Reading. I would ask for a 'no' vote on this because it guts the underlying Bill. I would like to have the Bill in the form that this Body, the other day thought was appropriate, which was a compromise with Representative Homer's Amendment #1. If, you know, I would ask for courtesy that the Bill be put in a form where we have the opportunity to vote on the underlying issue, not gut the Bill by putting an extraneous Amendment on it." Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino moves for the adoption of the Amendment. Mr. Levin stands in opposition. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 87 'ayes', 22 'nos', the Amendment Amendment...Mr. Levin, with the adoption of is adopted. that Amendment, Mr. Mautino has delivered several Valentines to you, so, here at the Speaker's podium we have a request for a correctional budget and impact note filed by Mr. Mautino, to the Bill, as amended by House Amendment #6. A request for a state debt impact note, a request for a fiscal note from the Office of the Secretary of State, a request for a fiscal note from the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse, a request for a fiscal note from the State Police, a request for a fiscal note from the Department of Corrections, a request for a state mandate And I think he'd also like to ask that a doctor take your blood pressure at this point. Mr. Levin." Levin: "Mr. Speaker, this is the third set of these kinds of fiscal notes. I've attempted to play by the rules and get these different agencies to file fiscal notes, judicial notes, even dead impact notes, and they've all said the same thing; they can't...they can't make any determination,

there's no cost. I would move at this point, that the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- various note acts are not applicable."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin, what is your motion again?"
- Levin: "I would move that the...these particular note acts are not applicable at this point. We already had, you know, as I say, eleven separate fiscal notes, judicial notes, debt impact notes filled...filed on this legislation..."
- Speaker Madigan: "...Okay, fine...So the...the Gentleman has moved that the several requests for the filing of notes would be inappropriate. And on that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Maybe I can alleviate some of the time involved here. With the adoption of Amendment #6, which you announced and we addressed the question at the time of Bill, I believe the legislation moves back to the Second Reading, First Legislative Day. On my demand, please."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen and Mr. Levin, the Parliamentarian informs me that Mr. Mautino's request is in order and is proper and therefore, the Bill shall be placed on the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Mr. Levin. Mr. Levin."
- Levin: "Mr. Speaker, I, you know, this Bill, obviously has very...had very, very substantial lobbying against it. And what we forget is we're talking about people's lives. I know there's a few dollars involved here, but we're talking about people's lives and this is an issue that is not going to go away. You know, obviously, we've been outmaneuvered today. But, there has been a substantial amount of misrepresentation in terms of what the Bill does, in terms of what the alcohol levels are and we will be back. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "The next Bill shall be House Bill 2447, Representative Pullen. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2447 is on the order of Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2447, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill requires school boards throughout Illinois, to ban smoking on school property. It does permit school boards to designate exemptions, including an outdoor...including outdoor spectator areas of school property during scheduled school interscholastic or extracurricular athletic activities. And a designated area within the school building for use by school personnel. If a teacher's smoking lounge is designated, it requires the board to also provide a teacher's lounge for those who do not smoke."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 99 'ayes', 7 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2140, Mr. Novak. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2140 on page 17 of the Calendar, is on the order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr...Mr. Novak. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2140, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Novak."

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to have leave of the Body to take this

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Novak, you may have missed that pronouncement from this Gentleman on this side of the aisle, with a smile on his face..."

Novak: "I didn't hear it."

Speaker Madigan: "You apparently asked that the Bill be placed on the order of Second Reading for for purpose of an Amendment."

Novak: "Correct."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken objects. Your next approach would be to move for that question. Mr. McCracken has issued an advisory opinion that if your Amendment is adopted then he will employ the same section of the rules that Mr. Mautino employed against Mr. Levin."

Novak: "Alright."

Speaker Madigan: "And...and then he added a postscript that, I think he said something about IPAC being dead..."

Novak: "In that case, Mr. Speaker, I ask or urge the adoption of this Bill 2140 on Third Reading and I'd ask for your 'aye' vote please."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "I can't win for losing. Let's not have another vehicle out there."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of the Bill. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Black to explain his vote."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to remind both Mem...or Members on both sides of the aisle, this was the Bill that had the so-called original equipment manufacturer crash safety parts. Amendment that was tried

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

to...they tried to get that on the other day and I would just say that those Members who voted against that Amendment ought to just kill this Bill here and now, so we don't have to fight that OEM process all over again, two or three weeks from now."

- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 52 'ayes', 58 'nos', the Bill fails. The next Bill shall be House Bill 603, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 603 on page 15 of the Calendar, a Bill for an Act to amend the Hearing Aid Consumer Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."
- Dunn: "House Bill 603 is a cleanup Bill to the Hearing Aid

 Consumer Protection Act. And the guts of the Bill is that
 it provides for the use of the word 'license' instead of
 'certification', because that has better appeal to
 consumers. I know of no opposition and ask for your 'aye'

 vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2289, Mr. Kirkland.

 Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "On page 22 of the Calendar, House Bill 2289 is on the order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2289, a Bill for an Act in relationship to probation records and disposition of juvenile and adult

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

offenders. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. This amends the Juvenile Court Act of 1987, the Unified Code and the Unified Code of Corrections. It provides that any juvenile offender may be fingerprinted or photographed. It eliminates community service from juvenile nonjudicial adjustment plans, that because that was accidentally carried over from the old Juvenile Court Act, or excuse me, not in the old Juvenile Court Act and not meant to be put in the new one. Provides that court services may not investigate allegations of truancy alone. Provides that courts may authorize probation officers to authorize adult probationers to travel and such authorizations must include commitments to return involuntarily...voluntary wavers of extradition. Move for passage of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110...111 'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The next Bill is House Bill 914, Mr. Cullerton.

Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 914, the Bill is on Second Reading.

The Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor...or there was. I'm sorry. Committee

Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2...Amendment #1 was adopted.

Amendment #2 was withdrawn."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 914, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Fish Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We extensively debated this Amendment, which became the Bill on Second Reading. The Bill bans gill nets in Lake Michigan. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton has spoken for the Bill. Mr. Giglio will speak, I presume, against the Bill and then we shall proceed to Roll Call. Mr. Giglio."
- Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have debated this, I think at length and if you remember my remarks two days ago, I asked that we not vote on this because of the Department of Conservation wanted to do a study. We passed the Resolution yesterday, 106—3 for the Department of Conservation to do the study. And I think we could find out after two years, when the Department of Conservation gets through, whether or not the people who have the commercial fishing licenses, which are four, are actually doing what they're doing out there. This is a battle between the charter boat people and those four licenses and I would ask that you either vote 'no' or 'present' and let the Department of Conservation do what they have to do."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Mr. Balanoff

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- to explain his vote."
- Balanoff: "I just rise to...I'd like to recognize some students from my district up in the balcony right now..."
- Speaker Madigan: "...You're in violation of the rules, Mr.
 Balanoff..."
- Balanoff: "...They're...they're...this is part of my explaining my vote. They're eighth graders from Jane Addams Elementary School, right up against Lake Michigan. Many of them are fishermen. And I'd urge a 'green' vote. Also the principal of that school is Jim Keane's...Representative Jim Keane's wife. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kulas to introduce a group of school children."
- Kulas: "I'd like to introduce the school of fish. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just reiterate, shortly some of the remarks I made last... couple days ago on this Bill. We've had extensive hearings on this Bill. All the interested parties involved. the charter boat fishermen, the legislative sportsmen, the commercial fishermen, we all sat together with the Department and everyone had agreed to do a two year study to see what effects actually are being perpetrated by the gill nets and we all agreed to that except one person, one individual who took it upon himself to introduce House Bill 500. This is not...the Sportsmen Legislative Coalition is against this Bill and I would ask you to vote 'no'."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 55 'ayes', 39 'nos' and the Bill fails. Next Bill is House Bill 1131, Mr. Levin. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1131, the Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cowlishaw."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw, Amendment #1.

Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "One minute, Mr. Speaker, please. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I apologize for the delay. Amendment #1 to House Bill 1131 is the priority one plan for the funding of education in Illinois. All this Bill, this Amendment does is to dedicate sixty-three percent of the proceeds from the income tax, after refunds have been paid for education. That dedicated source of revenue is then added to three existing dedicated sources of revenue. One of which is twenty-five percent of the sales tax, all of the lottery profits and as you know, a small portion of the cigarette This would mean that not only for this year, but for every fiscal year hereafter, our schools would be funded at a higher level even than is proposed in the current budget of the Governor. But most of all, the immense advantage of this plan is that it makes the level of that funding for elementary, secondary and higher education something that is predictable, dependable and reliable. That is the complaint that our school people have brought to us. There isn't one of us in this chamber who has not heard from school people within our own district. We can't tell for sure from year to year what you people are going to do with our funding. It is like we are on a roller coaster. you look at the funding for education in Illinois for the last several years, you will see that the in graph form that does look like a roller coaster. It's feast or famine for the schools in Illinois. This plan avoids our ever having that problem again. It makes education funding predictable, dependable and reliable. And it does

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

leave education ever at the edge of a cliff from which it may fall off. I am very proud to offer this Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves for the adoption of the Republican's spending plan for education. Mr. Bowman will respond and then we'll go to Roll Call."

Bowman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The only problem with the Lady's proposal is it will not do what she pro...suggests that its...intended purposes is the intended purpose is to make funding for education reliable and predictable. Unfortunately, she has chosen the wrong tax to do that. The income tax fluctuates over the business cycle. The tax is not as predictable as she would like us to believe. The reason that the general funds are...have a relatively stable growth from year to year is that they are driven by sales tax revenue and that they are driven by utility revenues. And other things that do not fluctuate over time, therefore, this proposal, while it has a superficial seductive appeal, would do nothing more than to harness the schools of this state to an inherently unstable source of income for the indefinite future. And you know, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know as well as I do, that the first time that the income tax took a nose dive in an economic recession, schools would be right down here asking us to have a supplemental appropriation from other revenue sources out and we cannot shackle them to unpredictable income source. I urge the defeat of this proposal."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 51 'ayes', 61 'nos', the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1131, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin...those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2160, Mr. McPike. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill sponsored by Mr. McPike? House Bill 2160."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2160, this Bill is on the order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2160, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Chicago Park District. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a shell Bill for the Chicago Park District."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 62 'ayes', 51 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2411, Representative Barnes. Is

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Representative Barnes in the chamber? Representative Barnes in the chamber? Mr. McAuliffe, did you wish to handle this Bill for Representative Barnes? 2411. Representative Cowlishaw will handle the Bill. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2411, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw, please be brief."

- Cowlishaw: "I shall and thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This

 Bill requires the State Board of Education to develop a

 model curriculum for reduction of self-destructive behavior

 for optional use by school districts in grade six through

 twelve. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes', 4 people voting 'no'.

 This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1622, what is the status of the Bill? Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill appears on Second Reading. Has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Wolf and Didrickson."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton, are you prepared to offer the Amendment? Representative Didrickson, are prepared to offer the Amendment? Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Amendment becomes the Bill and it requires the Legislative Research Unit to track legislative, executive and judicial appointments to boarding commissions and to maintain this information on a computer data base. Ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1622, a Bill for an Act to amend the Legislative Commission Reorganization Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 109 'ayes', no one voting 'no'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1594, Mr. Hicks. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Second Reading. Has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, I'm sorry, what did you say?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Been read a second time previously. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Hartke."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hartke on Amendment #1."

Hartke: "Floor Amendment...Floor Amendment #1 is the...technical language by the Department of Transportation, to insure that we don't lose federal funds."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1594, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 61 'ayes', 40 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2669, Mr. Krska. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Mautino."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino on Amendments #1."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen.

House Amendment #1 provides for the right of first refusal
by the supplier, the wholesaler to purchase a product after
there has been a problem, either through a fire or an
accident, et cetera. And therefore, not allow an alcoholic
beverage to be sold by insurance liquidators at corner
stores or whatever and that's what the Amendment does. I
move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2669, a Bill for an Act to amend the Beer Industry Fair Dealing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 935, Mr. Flinn. Is Mr. Flinn in the chamber? We'll pass over this Bill just for a minute. We'll come back to it as the next Bill. House Bill 789, Mr. Balanoff. Is Mr. Balanoff in the chamber? Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 789?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 789, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Place the Bill on the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Balanoff."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Balanoff on Amendment #2."
- Balanoff: "Yes, the Amendment changes the date of the final report, the effective date to...when it has to be completed to March 1st, 1993."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- May 26, 1989
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Balanoff on the Bill.

 Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish?...."
- Clerk O'Brien: "...House Bill..."
- Speaker Madigan: "...Have all voted who wish..."
- Clerk O'Brien: "...House Bill 789, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 78 'ayes', 32 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 935, Mr. Flinn. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of that Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 935, the Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments filed?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn offers Amendment #1. Those for the
 Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. Withdraw the
 Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Flinn."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Flinn."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 935, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I won't take much time, but all this does is allow the chief judge in a district to appoint an associate judge to hear electronic device cases, where they want to set up electronic device hearings. And right now it says circuit judge and we want to add... one associate judge to it. This is part of the Supreme Court's package for this year. They've asked for it."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?" Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor yields."

Flinn: "...Yes..."

Countryman: "Mr. Flinn, it's my understanding that you intend this only to be one associate judge in first circuit at anyone time, is that correct?"

Flinn: "That's correct. We don't intend to permit judge shopping. In other words, we plan to have one judge assigned for a particular weekend or particular night and that sort of thing. We don't plan on, if one judge turns it down to go find another one. The circuit...the chief of the circuit will assign that judge and he will be on duty until...until someone else is assigned to his place."

Countryman: "If that's the case, I can support it."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 104 'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes Mr. Kulas for

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

the purpose of a Motion. Mr. Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I would move to suspend the appropriate rule so that House Bill 1635 and House Bill 154, to extend Third Reading deadline on these two Bills to May 15, 1990. The reason for this, House Bill 1635 repeals the local siting laws dealing with landfills. House Bill 154 addresses the problem of the plastic containers that are...that are a problem in our environmental system. We have all the interested parties sitting at the table discussing these two issues. As far as the siting laws, the local... the mu...the local units of government, environmentalists, the IMA, the Chamber have all sat down a number of times. They're going to be working throughout the summer and fall to come up with some changes in the siting laws in the State of Illinois. The same thing is going to happen on the plastic's law. The industry is willing to sit down with all the interested parties. We're working out a deal. And I would move for the adoption of this Motion."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Inquiry of the Chair, pursuant to Rule

27(g), does this require seventy—one votes to suspend the deadline? Seventy—one votes?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sixty votes, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "I guess I was under the wrong Section, huh?"

Speaker Madigan: "I guess so."

McCracken: "Well, there has been talk in the industry about this

Bill and there has been some discussion. I guess the

Sponsor wants to keep the industry at the table. But, you

know, what is...how often...how...how many rules are we

going to suspend here? Already the rules don't seem to

mean to much, so now on an oral Motion, the Sponsor will

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

come in at Third Reading deadline, at 3:47 in the afternoon and extend the Third Reading deadline for almost one year. Now, if...if this is such a good idea for this Bill, why aren't we doing it all the time, Mr. Speaker? Why don't we suspend the rules so that the Republican Bills will never wither on the vine. We'll extend the Third Reading deadline for all Republican Bills, how's that? Is that fair?"

Speaker Madigan: "Give it a run."

- McCracken: "Well, what's good for the goose is good for the gander. So, if this one passes, I'm going to make a Motion that all Republican Bills be extended to May 15th, 1990."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of Myron Kulas's Motion signify by voting 'aye', those who want to support Mr. McCracken, vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 63 'ayes', 47 'nos', Mr. McCracken request a verification. Record Mr. McGann as 'aye'. And Mr. Clerk, would you poll the absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. DeJaegher. Frederick.

 Klemm. Bob Olson. Preston and Wolf. No further."
- Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. DeJaegher as 'aye'. Read the names of those voting 'aye'."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Bugielski. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Farley. Flinn. Davis. Flowers, Giglio. Giorgi, Granberg, Hannig. Hartke. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Hicks. Krska. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Kulas. Lang. Levin. Matijevich. Martinez. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Santiago. Rice.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich.

Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. White. Williams. Woolard.

Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge, and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn. Mr. McCracken, would you verify Mr. Dunn? And Mr. Ronan? And would the Clerk record Mr. Olson as 'no', Mr. Robert Olson as 'no'. And verify Mr. LeFlore.

Questions. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Representative Mulcahey?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey? Mr. Mulcahey? Is Mr. Mulcahey in the chamber? Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. Ouestions?"

McCracken: "Are you waiting for me?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes."

McCracken: "Alright. Representative Stern?"

Speaker Madigan: "Stern? Representative Stern? Remove the Lady from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Martinez?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Martinez? Mr. Martinez? Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Capparelli?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Capparelli? Mr. Capparelli is in the rear of the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Keane?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keane? Representative Keane? Remove Mr. Keane from the Roll Call and restore Mr. Martinez."

. McCracken: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Farley. Is Mr. Farley in the chamber? Mr. Farley is in the rear of the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Wolf?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf is not voting."

McCracken: "Representative...Representative Van Duyne?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Van Duyne. Mr. Van Duyne. Remove Mr. Van Duyne from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Hartke?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hartke...is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Morrow?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Morrow. Is Mr. Morrow in the chamber?

Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. Any more questions?"

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Madigan: "Who was your last question?"

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeLeo. Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative White?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. White. Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi is in the...in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative DeJaegher?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeJaegher. Mr. DeJaegher's in the rear of the chamber. Record Mr. Klemm as 'no'."

McCracken: "Representative Woolard?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Woolard is in the rear of the chamber."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Madigan: "There are 58 'aye' votes and 49 'no' votes and the Motion fails. On the Order of the Agreed List, the Chair is prepared to declare that all Bills on the agreed list have received a Constitutional Majority and therefore are declared passed, with the exception of House Bill 1752, which only received 54 'aye' votes and received 56 'no' votes. So to repeat, all Bills on the agreed list are

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

hereby declared passed, except House Bill 1752. House Bill 2098, Mr. Sutker. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill's on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2098, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Sutker."
- Sutker: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this
 Bill provides that motions for summary judgements, motions
 for judgement on the face of the pleadings and motion for
 dismissal based on latent defects may be combined in one
 pleading. It's an attempt to codify what courts have been
 seeking to do and I seek your support. I hope for your
 affirmative vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', no one voting 'no'.

 This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Levin requests leave to place House Bill 8 on the Order of the Interim Study Calendar.

 Is there leave? Leave is granted. House Bill 8 shall be placed on the Order of Interim Study. The Chair recognizes Mr. Farley. Mr. Farley."
- Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could I have the Journal reflect that I intended to vote 'yes' on House Bill 988?"

 Speaker Madigan: "The record shall reflect that statement. Mr. Peterson, do you seek recognition?"
- Peterson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I request that House Bill 1396 be removed from Interim Study and be immediately considered."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman's Motion is to remove House Bill 1396 from the Interim Study Calendar and place the Order...and place the Bill on the regular order for immediate consideration. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill now?" Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Second Reading, no Committee

Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Peterson."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Peterson."

Peterson: "Withdraw Amendment 1."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Woolard."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Woolard. Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Woolard."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Woolard on Amendment #3."

Woolard: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. What this Amendment would do, this would replace the existing Bill, and this Amendment creates a Hazardous Material Emergency Response Reimbursement Act. And many small volunteer fire departments throughout the state, especially in the southern part of the state, are faced with the obligation of meeting hazardous situations and responding to these in such a way that it depletes all of their financial resources. This would allow them the opportunity to have a fund available to replace those needed funds while they were in negotiations with trying to recover those funds from the people who are responsible."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1396, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to State Fire Marshal. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.

Matijevich. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes' no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 183. Mr.

Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 183 is on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 183, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Young."

Young, A.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 183 would amend the Public Utilities Act. What it is it's their service charge Bill. It says that the service charge would have to reflect the cost of service. As most of you know, Commonwealth Edison and Illinois Power have the two highest service charges in the country. This Bill is not going to increase fees, will not decrease fees, will not decrease fees, will not decrease fees, will not decrease for service, what it actually costs to deliver service to the homes and then the rest is usage and charge for that usage. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Young there are two Amendments filed to the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Bill. Did you wish to take the Bill to Second Reading or just consider it on Third?"

Young, A.: "No, I do not."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading?"

Young, A.: "The Bill's on Third Reading now. Right, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes. Yes."

Young, A.: "Right."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to House Bill 183. The monthly access fee is determined by the Commerce Commission. Wе have given them the obligation to look at the evidence to make a intelligent and effective and fair and equitable judgement on what these fees ought to be. Now certainly they're much better informed when they make those decisions and have the staff work that they have then we do sitting here today voting on this kind of legislation. The reason past Legislatures have established the Commerce Commission, given it the statutory power it has now, the right to adopt rules and regulations, is because those Legislatures realized that they were not in a position to make those kinds of judgements as things became much more esoteric, much more complicated then they have been in the past. rise in opposition to this legislation and to make...and as an adam (sic - addendum) to this, Mr. Speaker, just to make things simpler when we get to the vote, I would request that we not put people on that are not here today, because I'm going to be forced to verify the Roll Call, and I would prefer not to do that."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 57 'ayes', 48 'nos'. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. DeJaegher. Edley.

 Farley. Frederick. Homer. Keane. Klemm. Preston.

 Mulcahey. Ronan and Steczo. No further."
- Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Steczo as 'aye'. Record Mr. Edley as 'aye'. Record Mr. Klemm as 'aye'. Are there any other changes? Any other changes? Mr. Black, 'no'. Mr. Black is 'no'. Mr. Homer is 'aye'. Mr. Keane, 'aye'. Mr. Farley, 'aye'. Any further changes? Any further changes? On this question there are...Mr. Stephens. Record Mr. Stephens as 'no'. Any further changes? There are 62 'ayes' and 49 'nos'. And Mr. McCracken has requested a verification. Mr. Clerk, read the names of those voting 'aye'."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff. Bowman. Breslin. Buqielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. Deuchler. Didrickson. Edley. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Hannig. Harris. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Kirkland. Klemm. Krska. Kubik. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Martinez. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Novak. Rice. Saltsman. Santiago. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Wait. White. Williams. Wolf.

Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. DeJaegher as 'aye'. Mr. McCracken.

Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Representative Kulas?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kulas...is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Ronan?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ronan...not voting."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

McCracken: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken, would you verify Representative

Lou Jones in the center aisle?"

McCracken: "Yes. Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "Your last question was Mr. Giglio, who's in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Lang?"

Speaker Madigan: "Lang. Mr. Lang. Remove Mr. Lang."

McCracken: "Representative Flinn?"

Speaker Madigan: "Flinn. Remove Mr. Flinn. Mr. Stephens."

Stephens: "Record me 'aye', please."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Stephens as 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative McGann?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGann. Mr. McGann. Remove Mr. McGann and record Mr. Phelps as 'aye'. Res...Record Mr. Ronan as 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Stern?"

Speaker Madigan: "Stern. Representative Stern. Remove Representative Stern."

McCracken: "Representative Flowers?"

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Levin?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative White?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. White. Remove Mr. White."

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Madigan: "Remove Mr. DeLeo."

McCracken: "Munizzi... Or, I'm sorry. Representative Munizzi?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady's in her chair. Mr. Richmond. Record
Mr. Richmond as 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Krska?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska's in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Terzich?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich is in the rear of the chamber."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 60 'ayes', 48 'nos'.

This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority...Representative Williamson wishes to change from 'no' to 'aye'. Any further changes? On this question there are...Zickus."

Zickus: "Change my vote from 'no' to 'yes', please."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Representative Zickus as 'aye'. And Mr. Weller. Record Mr. Weller as 'aye'."

Weller: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Should I vote 'aye'?"

Speaker Madigan: "Better repair these mikes."

McCracken: "Should I vote 'aye'?"

Speaker Madigan: "Restore Mr. DeLeo to the Roll Call. So on this question there are 64 'ayes'...Mr. Dunn. Record Mr. Dunn as 'aye'. Record Mr. Granberg as 'aye'. Record Mr. Hartke as 'aye'. On this question there are 67 'ayes', 42 'nos'...Mr. Black, 'aye'. Mr. Hensel, 'aye'. Barnes, 'aye'. On this question there are 70 'ayes', 39 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2798, Mr. McPike. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2798, this Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2798, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, this is a shell Bill for the Banking Act."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 99 'ayes', 4 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2405. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2405, the Bill is on Second Reading.

It's been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Krska."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska on Amendment #1."

Krska: "Amendment #1 becomes the Bill. House Bill 2405 was a shell Bill and the Department of Professional Regulations came to me and asked me to put this Amendment on it. It amends the Illinois Land Sales Act and changes the definition of subdivision from land divided into 50 lots or more to land divided into 25 lots or more."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2405, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of land sales. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Mr. Giorgi."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I vote for all of my seatmate's Bills, John Matijevich's Bill, House Bill 98 (sic - 988). I voted for it. I don't think it registered on the machine, so I want the record to indicate that I would have voted 'yes' if the machine had recorded it for House Bill 988, John Matijevich's Bill to elect the Commerce Commission."

Speaker Madigan: "Let the record reflect Mr. Giorgi's statement.

House Bill 2584, Mr. Bowman. Mr. Clerk, what is the status
of that Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2584 is on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2584, a Bill for an Act to repeal

Sections of the State Officers and Employees Money

Disposition Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a housekeeping Bill that I introduced at the request of the incumbent Comptroller. There was some questions about it the other night. We took it out of the record, and I believe all of the questions have been resolved. So I now move for its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keane. Mr. Keane. Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Keane: "Does this...does this mean...Do we have to appropriate the refund money under this Bill?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

Keane: "Turn on Mr. Bowman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. Not...could you be more specific about which refund money you're talking about?"

Keane: "I'm talking about the income tax refund money."

Bowman: "No. That is not affected by this legislation at all."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Keane: "What money is affected?"

Bowman: "It is only those ad hoc refunds that are due because of overpayments of insurance premiums or something of that nature, but the Bill specifically say...as amended, the Bill specifically says that if there is an appropriation that is enacted, either current year or a continued appropriation, that this doesn't apply."

Keane: "The Bill in no way...in no way changes the income tax
refund legislation we passed last year?"

Bowman: "Absolutely. In no way."

Keane: "No further questions."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2060. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2060, the Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2060, a Bill for an Act in relation to the treatment of tuberculosis. Third Reading of the Bill."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk On this question there are 87 shall take the record. 'ayes', 19 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Hallock as 'no'. Record Mr. Black as 'aye'. The next Bill is House Bill 2604, Mr. Wennlund. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. What is the status of the Bill? 2604. 2604."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2604, the Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "One Amendment has been adopted in committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed?"

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill a third time."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2604, a Bill for an Act concerning grants, loans and other financial assistance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill at the present time is a shell Bill for the creation of the technology..."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', 2 people voting 'no'.

This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is

- May 26, 1989
- hereby declared passed. House Bill 2568, Representative Currie. What is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2568, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2568, a Bill for an Act to create the
 Illinois Science Foundation. Third Reading of the Bill."
 Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This would create an Illinois Science Foundation modelled on the National Science Foundation. I'd appreciate your support and be happy to answer questions."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 88 'ayes', 26 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2806, Mr. Santiago. Mr Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2806, this Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Sandiago...Santiago."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, take this matter out of the record at this time. House Bill 2756, Representative Davis. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2756, the Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Cowlishaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw, Amendment #2. Is this an agreed Amendment? Representative Cowlishaw on the Amendment. Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2756, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 69 'ayes', 39 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1720, Mr. McNamara. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1720, this Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 McNamara."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 McNamara."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

 McNamara."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

 McNamara."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1720, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken, I mean Mr. McNamara, excuse me.

 Mr. McNamara on the Bill."
- McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What this Bill does is it amends the Public Utility Act to require that utility companies experience a blackout, the utility must wave the monthly service charge. The reason for the Amendments was very simply an agreement that was worked out with many of the utility companies in order to make it more palatable. They've withdrawn their objection to it, and it's a result of three or four weeks of a lot of work and conservation (sic conversation) between CUB and the utility companies."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken to speak for the utility companies."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I've always believed in big business. What's good for business is good for America.

 And don't you guys forget it. The public utilities are our friends. And all of these Amendments still make for a bad

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Bill. Vote 'no'. They are not in agreement."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 55 'ayes', 51 'nos'. Poll the absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. DeJaegher. Frederick.

 Krska. Mautino. Preston. Satterthwaite. Stephens.

 Sutker and Terzich. No further."
- Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Krska as 'aye'. Any further changes? On this question there are 56 'ayes', 51 'nos'.

 Record Mr. Terzich as 'aye'. Record Mr. Capparelli as 'aye'. Record Mr. Morrow as 'aye'. Record Mr. Mautino as 'aye'. Record Mr. Wennlund as 'no'. Record Mr. DeJaegher as 'aye'. Any further changes? Any further changes? On this question there are 50... there are 60 'ayes', 52 'nos'. Mr. McCracken has requested a verification and the Clerk shall read the names of those voting 'aye'."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff. Black. Bowman. Bugielski. Capparelli. Countryman. Cullerton. Curran. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Edley. Farley. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hasara. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Leverenz. LeFlore. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Steczo. Stern. Terzich. Saltsman. Santiago. Shaw. Trotter. Turner. Weaver. White. Williams. Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. Mr. Speaker." Woolard.

Speaker Madigan: "Questions, Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken.

Remove Mr. Mulcahey. Turn on Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Representative Stern?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Stern. Remove Stern."

McCracken: "She's in Highland Park."

Speaker Madigan: "Next."

McCracken: "Representative Richmond?"

Richmond: "Mr. Richmond is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Steczo?"

Speaker Madigan: "Steczo is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Madigan: "Laurino is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Granberg?"

Speaker Madigan: "Granberg he's in the center aisle."

McCracken: "Representative Martinez?"

Speaker Madigan: "Martinez is in his chair. Mr. Stephens.

Record Mr. Stephens as 'no'."

McCracken: "Representative Van Duyne?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Van Duyne."

McCracken: "Okay. He's voting 'no'. I'm sorry. Representative

Shaw?"

Speaker Madigan: "Shaw. Is Mr. Shaw in the chamber? The

Gentleman's in the rear of the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative McGann?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGann. Remove Mr. McGann."

McCracken: "Representative Lang?"

Speaker Madigan: "Remove Mr. Lang."

McCracken: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz. Remove Mr. Lang. Mr. Leverenz.

Remove Mr. Leverenz."

McCracken: "Representative White?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. White. Remove Mr. White."

McCracken: "Representative Morrow?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Morrow. The Gentleman's here."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 54 'ayes' and 53

- May 26, 1989
- 'nos'. The Bill fails. House Bill 2806, Mr. Santiago. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2806, the Bill appears on Second

 Reading. It's been read a second time previously. Floor

 Amendment #1, offered by Representative Santiago."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2806, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', 15 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2709, Representative Parcells.

 Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2709, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2709, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Department of Nuclear Safety. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', 2 people voting 'no'.

 This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1591, Mr. Hicks. Mr.

- May 26, 1989
- Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1591, this Bill is on Second Reading.

 It's been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Regan."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hicks."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks on Amendment #2. Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Levin."
- Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #3 by Levin. Withdraw the Amendment.

 Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Levin."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Levin on Amendment #4. Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Rice."
- Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #5 by Mr. Rice. Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 was Representative Rice
 Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, I thought that we dealt with an

- May 26, 1989
- Amendment #3, maybe by Mr. Levin. Okay, so we've already adopted an Amendment #5."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No, we adopted...we withdrew #1, adopted #2 by Hicks."
- Speaker Madigan: "And then on #3 that was adopted by Mr. Levin,
- Clerk O'Brien: "No, that was on the wrong Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Levin's Amendments were to a different Bill.

 They gave me the wrong Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Alright. Mr. McCracken, the Clerk was...the Clerk was looking at Amendments to another Bill."
- McCracken: "Okay, where are we?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Okay, so for purposes of the record, let the record reflect the error in terms of labeling Amendments 3 and 4 as being sponsored by Mr. Levin. And, so that we were in error on Amendments 3 and 4, we got to an Amendment #5, which was adopted on a voice vote. What about 5? What is...is there an Amendment 5?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Yes."
- Speaker Madigan: "Alright, fine. So, relative to the record which indicates that Amendment #5 was adopted, let the record show that Mr. Rice now moves to reconsider the vote by which that Amendment was adopted. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. That Motion is adopted and that vote is reconsidered. Now the record is clear. We'll go back to Amendment #3. Who is the Sponsor of Amendment #3?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, offered by Representative Rice."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #3. Is there an Amendment #4?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Rice."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #4. Amendment #5."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Rice."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Rice offers Amendment #5. Mr. Rice on Amendment #5."
- Rice: "Amendment #5 adds to the content of the Bill is a revision of the Illinois Lottery Law as follows: It restricts the lottery prize to a maximum of \$1,000,000 for a single ticket. Any portion of the prize pool exceeding \$1,000,000 shall be deposited in the Common School Fund. Adds immediate effective date."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. Mr. Hicks."
- Hicks: "Inquiry of the Chair. Is Amendment #5 germane to the Bill? Mr. Speaker, I simply stand in opposition to Amendment #5 as this Bill doesn't relate to the lottery, and I ask for the defeat of Amendment #5."
- Speaker Madigan: "Okay, Mr. Rice has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. Mr. Hicks has spoken in opposition to the Amendment. Mr. Churchill."
- Churchill: "I also speak in opposition to the Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 30 'ayes', 73 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks. Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1591, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is a Bill that takes care of problems within the Revenue Act concerning the Enterprise Zone. I have talked with Mr. Churchill on the other side. He may have some questions."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?" Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Churchill: "For the purpose of legislative intent, does the use of the word property or portion thereof in this Bill refer to or define the underlying land in the Enterprise Zone?"

Hicks: "In no way, according to the Act 162(e) should in any way property be considered as part of that Act. It's only additions to that property, so real property being the land itself would in no way be related in this part of the Act."

Churchill: "So this only refers to the improvements."

Hicks: "Only improv...only improvements would be considered part
 of the Act under 162(e) as stated."

Churchill: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1469, Representative Flowers. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill, and for what purpose does Mr. Dunn seek recognition? Mr. Dunn. Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Very briefly Mr. Speaker, I am joined on the floor of the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

House here today by a Member of the Missouri Legislature, Representative Patrick Dougherty, of the Missouri Legislature, who is also a native of Decatur, Illinois. Will you please welcome him."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, what is the status of 1469?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Flowers."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers on Amendment #1. Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1469, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Amend...the Amendment becomes the Bill. The Amendment provides that the ICC cannot approve rates for a public utility which reorganizes spinoff generating compacity. And the second part of the Amendment is intended to prevent a utility from expanding and/or rate basing any cost occurred as a result of a Nuclear Regulatory Commission. And I would move for the passage of House Bill 1469."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 85 'ayes', 18 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Bill 1648, Representative Wyvetter Younge. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1648, this Bill is on Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1648, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Community Development Finance Corporation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge."

Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill simply changes the Chairman of the Community Development Finance Corporation from the Lieutenant Governor to the Treasurer of the State of Illinois. And I have mailed a letter to everyone from George Ryan, Lieutenant Governor, saying that this is with his consent."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 104 'ayes'...105 'ayes', 4 people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2283, Mr. Ronan. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2218..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2283, this Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"

- May 26, 1989
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Ronan and Tate."
- Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #2, Mr. Tate, you offered the
 Amendment? Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
 Tate and Ronan."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tate. Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz on Amendment #4. Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, offered by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz on Amendment #5. Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2283, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Standards Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tate...on the Bill."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2283 simply is a pro-consumer Bill that would require gas...gasoline service stations that currently blend gasoline to label the blends at the gas pump. That currently is the status for any gasoline that is blended by ethanol. This Bill has been endorsed by the Illinois Farm Bureau, the Illinois Corn Growers and many different consumers in the state. I move for its passage."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 47 'ayes', 52 'nos'. The Bill fails. House Bill 2712, Mr. Mays. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2712, the Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Mays."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2712, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'yes'... by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 109 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 815, Mr. Levin. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 815, this Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 815, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill amends the statute we

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

passed about four years ago to require the disclosure of foreign governments' endowments of colleges and universities in order to get a disclosure of the Arab petrol dollars..."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 81 'ayes', 27 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2079, Mr. Shaw. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2079..."

Speaker Madigan: "No. Yes, you're correct, 2079."

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2079, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2079 authorizes a telephone monitoring system for use in corrections with the citizen of home confinement. And I move for its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill...
Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I just wanted to let you know, Mr. Speaker, that our file reflects that this is opposed by the Speaker's Law

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Advisory Committee."

- Speaker Madigan: "Okay, we'll see what they're worth. Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'ave'. those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 4 people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority...Mr. Black, 'aye'. Mr. 'aye'...having Black, received а Constitutional Majority...Mr. Parke, 'aye'...having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 145, Mr. Curran. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 145. This Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 145, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Curran. Mr. Curran."
- Curran: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill simply gives the same kind of due process to principals as is allowed to teachers if they're moved or if they are fired.

 I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

- McCracken: "I object, this is Representative Mulcahey's Bill.

 Our rules provide that the Sponsor controls the Bill and can call it and only he. And we ought to stop voting him too, he's in JoDaviess County by now."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken, if you could take time off from your ice cream."
- McCracken: "I like my ice cream...and I can do both at once."
- Speaker Madigan: "Right. The Clerk tells me that...Mr.

 McCracken, the Clerk tells me that Mr. Curran is a

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

hyphenated Sponsor with Mr. Mulcahey. Would that have any impact on you? So the rules provide that Mr. Curran can move the Bill. So Mr. Curran to explain the Bill. I guess you've explained the Bill already, Mr. Curran. Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I said in Committee, House Bill 145 should have a sub-title. It should be called 'A Rose By Any Other Name'. Many principals in this state complain about what we call teacher tenure, which is one of the most perfect job security provisions that anybody could possibly imagine. You don't have to be particularly It's marvelous. competent as long as you have tenure, you've got security. Principals have sometimes complained about that, particularly principals whose school may not be doing as well as it ought to be. And now the principals themselves come before us with this proposal, which they call job classification, but, in fact, is tenure. And a rose by any other name smells just as bad as this Bill. It should be defeated."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you very much. Those in favor of the passage of this tenure Bill, vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 41 'ayes', 58 'nos'. This Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2670, Mr. Turner. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2670, this Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2670, a Bill for an Act to amend the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Illinois Human Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. House Bill 2670 amends the...provides that in the event the Department of Human Rights determines that a state agency is not in compliance with minimum equal opportunity and affirmative action requirements, it shall demand that that state agency take appropriate action to ensure that at least 50% of the agencies' new employees further the achievement of the Department's Affirmative Action goals for minorities. And I move for its favorable passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

- McCracken: "I rise in opposition. And that's the whole story."

 Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are...55 'ayes', 50 'nos'. The Clerk shall poll the absentees. Record Representative Wyvetter Younge as 'aye'. Poll the absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Capparelli. DeLeo.

 Krska. Bob Olson. Preston and Santiago."
- Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Santiago as 'aye'. Record Mr. DeLeo as 'aye'. Any further changes? This Bill, having received 58 'ayes' and 50 'nos', fails. House Bill 2713, Mr. Peterson. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of that Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2713, this Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2713, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Peterson."
- Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Leave to return House Bill 2713 to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "Place the Bill on the Order of Second Reading.
 Is there an Amendment filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Peterson."
- Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye',
 those opposed vote 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The
 Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Peterson."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye',
 those opposed vote 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are
 there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Has the Bill been read a third
 time?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill has been read a third time."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2401, Mr. Anthony Young. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill? 2401." tfh;7 Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2401, the Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Anthony Young."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "I object."

Speaker Madigan: "You object to..."

McCracken: "The Amendment. Oh, I'm sorry, you haven't presented it."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Young, present the Amendment."

Young, A.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment seeks to deal with a problem we created when we instituted Class X Laws. There was a certain category of prisoners with stiff sentence of twenty years or more, who were not allowed to have the option to choose between Class X or to keep their remaining sentences. Since that time, they have filed suit in Federal Court. It's pending now against the Department of Corrections, the Prisoner Review Board and the State of Illinois. What this Bill does would send them back to court for resentencing under the current law where the option that they could not be sentenced for any more than the maximum of their original term. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

McCracken: "Is the practical effect in...on resentencing to a determinate sentence that they cannot be sentenced to more than three years because under the old system, they..."

Young, A.: "No, Representative."

McCracken: "Hold on. Under the old system, they had to have a parole hearing not less than every three years."

Young, A.: "No, that is not the practical effect. The Bill clearly says up to the maximum, so somebody who is sentenced say for forty to a hundred, could be sentenced to no more than a hundred."

McCracken: "So, irrespective of good time under the new system,

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

you'd still have to get a hundred under the determinate system."

Young, A.: "Well, I think if he got a hundred, then he might be eligible at fifty if he had all good time."

McCracken: "Okay. Alright, I withdraw my opposition."

Speaker Madigan: "Those...Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much. Would the Gentleman yield just...?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Spons..."

- Mays: "The Prisoner Review Board indicated that this would bring people back into the courtrooms that have already been basically set aside in the penitentiaries. The Gacys and these...the Specks and these types of people that we don't want to deal with anymore. Why do we want to bring this back and give them another hearing in front of the court?"
- Young, A.: "I wouldn't call it a hearing, Representative. I would call it equal treatment and allow them to have a last sentence so we'll have everybody in the institution right now under the same sentencing law. This certainly isn't meant, isn't intended, and won't have the effect of reducing time for people who have natural life or the death penalty or very, very long indeterminate sentences."
- Mays: "Well, what...why are we bringing them back in? If they've already been sentenced to all that period of time, why do we want them to come back?"
- Young, A.: "Because they...we are currently under suit and there's a good constitutional argument that we violated their right to equal protection when we did not give them the option all the other prisoners got in terms of being able to choose to be sentenced under the new law or the old law."
- Mays: "Well, I would just raise...rise in opposition to this
 Bill, and I would ask for a Roll Call on this Amendment
 because I think it's a bad idea. And I'm not even a

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

lawyer."

- Speaker Madigan: "You're probably better off for it, too. Those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Davis to explain her vote."
- Davis: "I'd like to explain my vote to say that if these C numbered inmates were ever given exact sentencing, the Prison Review Board would not have a job. They'd be out of work. Let's find another job and send them there and let's see if they don't change their position on this. This is what keeps the Prison Review Board operating."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 25 'ayes' and 80 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Young, do you wish to move the Bill? Take the Bill out of the record. Next Bill will be House Bill 1200. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1200, this Bill appears on Second Reading. It's been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1200, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Well, you see, Mr. Speaker, I unfortunately don't get to hear the same words that you get to hear. It's my

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- understanding that a week ago you heard some very important words come to you and it was like, 'Da tax, Richie needs da dough'. I haven't heard those words yet, so if you'd be kind enough to take this Bill out of the record, I'd appreciate it."
- Speaker Madigan: "Well, we're very disappointed, Mr. Churchill.

 You have been so inspirational in the past. At the
 Sponsor's request, the Bill shall be taken out of the
 record. Representative Davis."
- Davis: "I would just like to take this opportunity to say good-bye to my issues person, Bill Ivy, who is being accepted at a law school in Indiana, because of the fabulous training that the Democratic side of the aisle gave him. And we want to say good-bye to Bill Ivy and wish him the best of luck."
- Speaker Madigan: "Very good. Thank you very much. The next Bill shall be House Bill 1551. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill? 1551."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1551, this Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1551, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin. Mr. Levin."
- Levin: "Can I have leave to bring the Bill back to Second Reading for..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Put the Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

 Mr. Clerk, is there an Amendment filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Withdraw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Levin."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 clears up a technical problem.

Makes clear that the..."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third...Mr. McCracken objects to...today, Mr. McCracken objects to consideration today after the adoption of an Amendment. Parliamentarian advises me that since the Bill was on Third Reading, taken to Second Reading where an Amendment was adopted, it will now require 71 votes to consider the Bill on the Order of Third Reading today. Mr. Levin, do you wish to move to that effect?"

Levin: "Okay, I would move to..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to consider the Bill today.

This Motion will require 71 votes. Those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 53 'ayes', 44 'nos'.

The Motion fails. Mr. Levin. Mr. Levin. Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, I would then move to table the Amendment #4."

Speaker Madigan: "Is that the Amendment that we just adopted?"

Levin: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "The...the Gentleman's Motion is in order. The Gentleman now moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #4... So the Bill's on Second Reading. The Gentleman's moved to reconsider the vote by which the Amendment was adopted, and I presume that Mr. McCracken

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- wishes to stand in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion.

 Those in favor of the Motion to reconsider will signify by voting 'aye'...Mr. McCracken. Mr. Hallock."
- Hallock: "Well, I don't believe he voted on the prevailing side
 on that issue."
- Speaker Madigan: "No, I saw him move his lips. I saw him say yes. So, the Gentleman moves to reconsider. Those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 46 'ayes', 54 'nos'. The Motion fails. Next Bill will be House Bill 1653, Representative Wyvetter Younge. What is the status of 1653?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1653, this Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1653, a Bill for an Act to require disclosures concerning mine subsidence. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Representative Younge to explain her vote. Representative Younge to explain her vote."
- Younge, A.: "'Aye' vote, please. The Bill creates the Mine Subsidence Disclosure Act, which would require that when the transferor sells a piece of property, he would have to disclose to the buyer that he had...received a mine subsidence claim. And if he doesn't within a period of five years, if the buyer has any mine subsidence problems, he could...can receive actual damages. It's a good Bill. Mr. DuMartelle of the Mine Reclamation Council testified in

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Committee, and it's needed in Belleville and Streator and LaSalle County and the places where there is mine subsidence. And I ask for your support of this matter, this very good Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. For what purpose does Mr. Cullerton seek recognition?"

Cullerton: "Well, I just wanted to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker.

This came to Judiciary Committee. It passed 13 to nothing.

It was put on Short Debate. I thought it was one of the most normal Bills I've ever seen Representative Younge ever sponsor. She had a witness there, who was a very articulate witness, who had no problem. And I don't understand what's wrong with the Bill. It's...maybe it's confused with some other program, but this was a...this was like a regular Bill...like a normal Bill. Didn't bail out East St. Louis or anything. It had a good witness. It got 13 to nothing in the Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Laurino wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Flinn wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Kirkland, 'aye'. Mr. Sieben, 'aye'. Mr. Kubik, 'aye'. Representative Didrickson, 'aye'. Mr. Klemm, 'aye'. There's a revolution here. The Clerk can drop the Roll Call and we'll take another Roll Call. Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 3 people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1042, Representative Parcells. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1042...the Bill appears on Third Reading."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1042, a Bill for an Act to prohibit the use of live animals. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the Bill you've been waiting for, the Bunny Bill. This is the...this is the one you've heard from all your constituents about. Unfortunately, it's the most misunderstood Bill we've had this Session. The cosmetic and household products people are of the opinion they must perform the Draize test. I am thoroughly convinced that they don't have to perform the Draize test. Therefore, you can all rest easy; I'm going to put it on Interim Study. We'll have some...we'll have hearings this summer. wouldn't want to destroy any business in the State of Illinois. And as long as they think they're going to destroyed, I'm going to have to prove to them that they won't be. And we'll have hearings this summer, and it goes on Interim Study."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of
Interim Study. House Bill 1870, Representative Pullen.
Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill? 1870."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1870 is on the Order of Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1870, a Bill for an Act to amend the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill requires the Department of Children and Family Services to seek a court order test of an indicated child sexual abuse perpetrator if the child has been exposed to that perpetrator's body fluids. It is a court

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

ordered test, because it is not after conviction..."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 90 'ayes', ll 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2174, Mr. Steczo. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill? 2174."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2174...House Bill 2174, on page 5 of the

 Calendar...is on the Order of Second Reading. It has been read a second time. Amendment #1 was adopted previously."

 Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed and there are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2174, a Bill for an Act to regulate nursing pools. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 4 people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1958, Mr. Giglio. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill? 1958."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1958 is on the Order of Third Reading."

 Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn. Mr. Flinn."
- Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, this Bill affects the Savings and Loan Association. It's one bank in the state. It changes the name to Financial Institution and

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- they do not do business with the public. It lets them buy their own stock without changing their charter. I move for the passage."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1958, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings Association Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', no one voting 'no'.

 This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 215, Representative Currie. What is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 215 is on the Order of Second Reading,
 has been read a second time previously. There are no
 Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is being offered
 by Representative Currie."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ryder. Mr. Ryder on the Amendment."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is to allow the Christian Science Community to be exempted or considered under this Act. And I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 215, a Bill for an Act to establish a system to provide information (sic and) assistance regarding the rights of older persons. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 59 'ayes', 49 'nos'. The Clerk shall poll the absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Representative Kulas,

 Preston and Williams."
- Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Williams as 'aye'. On this question there are 60 'ayes', 49 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby...there's a request for a verification by Mr. McCracken. It's resisted by Mr. Ryder. Read the names of those voting 'aye'."
- Leone: "Balanoff. Bowman. Clerk Breslin. Brunsvold. Buqielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Phelps. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Ryder. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."

McCracken: "Thank you. Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Madigan: "Questions."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

McCracken: "Oh, I'm sorry, he's in his chair. Representative

Mautino."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino's in the Chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Kulas."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kulas. Not voting."

McCracken: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ronan. Remove Mr. Ronan."

McCracken: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Farley. Is Mr. Farley in the Chamber?

Remove Mr. Farley."

McCracken: "Representative Van Duyne.'

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Van Duyne. Remove Mr. Van Duyne. Is Mr. Van Duyne in his chair?"

McCracken: "No, that's Mr. Shaw."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Laurino. Okay, Mr. Laurino's in the Chamber and Mr. Leverenz is in the Chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Lang."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lang is not voting."

McCracken: "Representative McGann."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGann. Remove Mr. McGann. Record Mr. Mautino as 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich is in the Chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Edley."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Edley. Mr. Edley. Remove Mr. Edley."

McCracken: "Representative Trotter."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Trotter. Mr. Trotter. Is Mr. Trotter in the Chamber? Remove Mr. Trotter."

McCracken: "Representative DeJaegher."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeJaegher. Mr. DeJaegher. Mr. DeJaegher is in the Chamber and restore Mr. Edley to the Roll Call."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

McCracken: "Representative Rice."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Rice. Remove Mr. Rice."

McCracken: "Representative Morrow."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Morrow. Is Mr. Morrow in the Chamber?

Remove Mr. Morrow."

McCracken: "Mr... Representative McNamara."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McNamara's in the rear of the Chamber."

McCracken: "Alright. Nothing further."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 54 'ayes', 49 'nos'.

The Bill fails. House Bill 131, Mr. Martinez. Mr. Clerk,
what is the status of that Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 131 is on the Order of Second Reading.

Has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed and no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 131, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Martinez."

Martinez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House

Bill 131 comes due to my concern of a high rate of infant

mortality in my district. House Bill 131, as amended,

requires the Department of Public Health to include within

its infant mortality reduction programs, information

directed toward Hispanics, Afro-Americans and other ethnic

groups. The Department of Public Health is endeavoring to

treat areas which include Hispanic populations with high

infant mortality rates as a major priority within its

families with a future infant mortality reduction..."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 99 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1871, Representative Pullen. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1871, on the Order of Second Reading."

 Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Petka and Pullen."
- Speaker Madigan: "Let the record show that Mr. Martinez wishes to be recorded as 'aye' on...Mr. Santiago wishes to be recorded as 'aye' on House Bill 131. And there's an Amendment being offered by Mr. Petka to this Bill. Mr. Petka."
- Petka: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

 Amendment #1 guts the initial Bill and places that Bill into a better form. The Bill provides for a criminal offense of criminal transmission of HIV virus. Under certain circumstances, which are laid out in the Bill, the...it provides for a Class II felony. The specific instances involve a knowing transfer of a person who is infected with the HIV virus. I move for the Amendment's adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman on the Amendment."
- Bowman: "Wait a minute. Wait a minute. This Amendment provides for criminal transmission of a virus? Did I get that right? Criminal transmission of a virus. Well, I think it speaks for itself. I urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Petka has moved for the adoption of an Amendment. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This is an Amendment. Those in

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

 Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to find out from the

 Clerk whether or not the Bill started out on Third Reading
 or Second Reading today."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment will vote 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, take the record on this question.

 There are 68 'ayes', and 30 'nos', the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, when we put this Bill in the record was it on Third Reading or Second Reading?"
- Clerk Leone: "The Bill was on the Order of Second Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment has been adopted. Are there any further Amendments? Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "And there is a request for a correctional budget and impact note. On that question the Chair recognizes Representative...okay, this means the Bill stays on the Order of Second Reading until the note has been filed. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I move that that Act is inapplicable."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves that the Act does not apply.

 Those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Lady is moving that the Act does not apply, so...you vote 'aye' or you can vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish on Representative Pullen's Motion. This is a Pullen Motion. The Clerk shall take the record. This matter only requires a majority of those voting, so there are 60 'ayes' and 37 'nos' and the Motion is adopted. The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading and those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1871, a Bill for an Act to add Sections

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- to the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman to explain his vote. Have all voted who wish?"
- Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker...I mean, this seems to me way too far...we are making the transmission of a disease a criminal act. Now, there is absolutely no precedence for this anywhere else in the law. I know that this is a dread disease, but come on now...this does not...this is such an outrageous piece of legislation, I can't believe it's getting this many votes."
 - Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 70 'ayes', 21 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 340 by Mr. Keane. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 340 is on the Order of Second Reading,
 has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was
 adopted previously on April 12th."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed and no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "No further Amendments, Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 340, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 83 'ayes', 17 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 513, Mr. McNamara. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 513. It's on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 513, a Bill for an Act to insure equity in restroom facilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 91 'ayes', 7 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1657, Representative Wyvetter Younge. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1657, is on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, I missed that. What is the status

of the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1657 is on the Order of Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1657, a Bill for an Act to amend the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill will permit minorities and female contractors to assign their contracts so they can have operating capital."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "This is the double boot strap Bill. This is the Bill that says 'I'm worth something cause I may get a bid awarded to me on a project and if I do get the bid awarded to me I'll put it up as collateral for this loan which may be applicable to this contract because I may be awarded the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

bid at some future date.' Vote 'no'."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, may I respond?"

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge. Please do,
Representative Younge. Respond to him."

Younge: "Representative McCracken is making light of a very serious proposition. The minority and female contractors can't get the contracts completed because they can't borrow money for their...for payroll and for their operations. This is very important to them. In order to have the right to do the contracts is one thing, but to be able to do it because you can get capital and you can get operating money is very important and we should not take this lightly and we ought to give minorities and females the right to go to a bank. This is a private matter. It doesn't cost the state a dime to go to a bank and use that contract as collateral for a loan."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Mr. Williams to explain his vote."

Williams: "I rise in support of this Bill. Representative Younge has explained that basically, what we're trying to do is allow a person the collateral and this really gives assurance to everyone that they will eventually both complete the job and do it well. I think this is a very good Bill and it needs everyone's support, including Mr. McCracken's. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Davis to explain her vote."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, this is just another Bill that gives people an opportunity to be self-supporting and self-sustaining.

It's...you know, amazing when we find that people don't

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

want to give others an opportunity in economic development and to be a part of the system in which you earn your living. And that's all we're trying to do, is give people an opportunity to become a part of the business world and earn those dollars and also hire others. And if never given an opportunity to do this, then we will constantly have the unemployed and the underclassed. think if you're really sincere about economic development in the State of Illinois, you don't want to leave any of your citizens out. It isn't...you know, it isn't that you're giving anybody anything except an opportunity to be a part of the American way, participate in the economic process and be given an opportunity to earn those dollars and hire others who can earn those dollars. We would thank you very much for your consideration....to help promote economic development in the State of Illinois, to help people not to become part of the welfare rolls, to help people not to collect unemployment compensation. I can say this, I think that you'll all be extremely proud that supported this legislation."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge to explain her vote."

Younge: "We talk about people dependent on the state. This is to help private business women and minority business contractors receive financing so that they can be business people. You know, we have a double standard. On one hand we say we don't want people to be dependent on the state and then we have a Bill here which will help people to be free of the state and help them to be private businessmen and businesswomen, and then we won't give them that right. In most inner cities there is not any banking system. There isn't any place to borrow money, and if these contracts could be assigned, then the contract could be used as collateral for operating capital and then the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

contractor then could complete the contract and be a...a reputable business person. I ask for your support of this very important matter to the minority and female community."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 57 'ayes', 40 'nos'. The Clerk shall poll the absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Flinn. Virginia Frederick. Harris. Johnson. Kulas. Laurino. Preston. Rice and Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Flinn as 'aye'. Record Mr. Laurino as 'aye'. Record Mr. Keane as 'aye'. Mr. McCracken requests a verification. Mr. Clerk, read the names of those voting 'aye'."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those voting in the affirmative. Balanoff. Barnes. Black. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. Dunn. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Edley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Hultgren. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Krska. Laurino. LeFlore. Keane. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Martinez. Mautino. McNamara. McPike. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Richmond. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Steczo. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Weaver. Williams. Williamson. Wojcik. Wolf. Wollard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken, any questions?"

McCracken: "You're...oh, leave? Sure."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. John Dunn has leave to be verified."

McCracken: "Ready? Representative Santiago."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Santiago is in the rear of the Chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich is in the rear of the Chamber."

49th Legislative Day May 26, 1989

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeLeo. He's in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Brunsvold."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Brunsvold's in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Bowman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman...he's in the Chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Phelps."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Phelps is in the rear of the Chamber."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 60 'ayes', 40 'nos'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1680. Representative Cowlishaw. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1680, on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1680, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask leave to return this

Bill to Second Reading so we can adopt a couple of

Amendments of Mr. Hoffman's."

Speaker Madigan: "Place the Bill on the Order of Second Reading.

Are there any Amendments filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cowlishaw, et al."

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #1. Do you wish to offer that,
Representative? Fine. Those in favor say 'aye', those
opposed say 'no'. Representative Cowlishaw. Amendment
#1."

Cowlishaw: "Mr. Speaker...I'm sorry, the microphone wasn't working. Mr. Speaker, I would like to table Amendment #1, but on a point of personal privilege, I would like to explain why. There were two shell Bills that came out of

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

the Education Committee together on one Roll Call. Representative Capparelli's Bill 2796 and my Bill 1681. When Amendment #1 to this Bill was drafted, I got the numbers mixed up and asked that this Amendment be drafted to 1680. That was an error, because 1680 was supposed to be used for a particular subject matter only. I apologize for the mix up and since the understanding of the Committee was that only 1681 could be used for any subject material, I therefore table Amendment #1 to House Bill 1680."

- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #1. Are there any further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hoffman."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 comes from the State Board of Education and the purpose is to clarify the circumstances under which a school district..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Hoffman."
- Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #3 by Mr. Hoffman. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1680, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

this question there are 98 'ayes', one person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority...this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 257...on this question there are 100 'ayes', one person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 257, Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill? Mr. Giorgi. What is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 257 is on the Order of Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 257, a Bill for an Act to register landscape architects. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 85 'ayes', 8 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 599. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 599..."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 599, a Bill for an Act to require permanent noise monitoring systems. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill does two things.

The first thing comes from the O'Hare...suburban O'Hare

Commission establishing a permanent noise monitoring system

to be built out at O'Hare, paid for by the state to monitor

the noise at O'Hare and the second thing it does is to

change the makeup of the DuPage County Airport Authority so

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

that there'd be more local control, and most importantly, it reduces and shrinks the size of the taxing authority in DuPage County back down to two townships around the airport, so it's a tax reduction for DuPage County and it would also make constitutional the makeup of the DuPage Airport Authority, which right now is under some question. It's in the courts as to whether or not it's even constitutional."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I certainly have no problem with the underlying Bill. I do how...I do however have a problem with the Amendment filed in reference to the DuPage County Airport Authority. I would point out that we passed Bills in 1985 and 1987 which restructured the DuPage County Airport. The courts up to this point have upheld all of that that'd been thrown out of court. One case still pending. So the question is, why do we need to do this again in 1989? We're in the process of realigning the airport to put it in a condition that it will help service, particularly business travel. We don't anticipate any commercial travel there and for this reason and this reason alone, I must stand in opposition to this legislation."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? This is an anti- DuPage County Bill. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Cullerton to explain his vote."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, about two weeks ago, the DuPage County residents received their property tax bills. They were outrageous and on that property tax bill was a new taxing authority, the DuPage County Airport Authority. Now what

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- this Bill does is to eliminate that line on their property tax bill. This Bill reduces the property taxes in DuPage County for the Airport Authority."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 45 'ayes' and 49 'nos'. The Bill fails.

 House Bill 743, Representative Brunsvold. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 743, on page 9 of the Calendar. It's on the order of Second Reading, has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Brunsvold."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Brunsvold offers the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3 being offered by Representative Cappar...Representative Capparelli."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Capparelli on Amendment #3.

 Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 743, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Emergency Medical Services Systems Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', 3 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 871, Mr. Hicks. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "On page 15 of the Calendar, House Bill 871 is on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 871, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Well, I rise in opposition to the Bill. It's kind of hard to follow when it goes this fast, but this deletes provision under current law which limits the allowable number of farm truck and trailer registration systems to two. So we always do things for the little farmer here. I guess this is something for the big farmer. If you've got fifty trucks or a hundred trucks or two hundred trucks, is it my understanding then that you only need one registration for that? Is...maybe you could explain your vote in answer."

Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. McPike. I'm glad to do that. You know, in Illinois a couple of years ago, I put a... allowed semi-trailers in the State of Illinois to have farm plates. Right now I believe there's less than ten in the State doing that, so when you talk about big farmers in the state, we're talking about the wagons that go down the road, the farm..."

McPike: "Well, could you explain what the Bill does?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Hicks: "The Bill simply allows that there's no limitation on the number of farm plates that they're allowed on their trailers that they drive down the road, on their trucks that they have they haul their grain to the ..."

McPike: "So how many do they have to buy? If I have a hundred trucks, what's it cost me?"

Hicks: "They have to buy a hundred farm plates."

McPike: "And what's it cost?"

Hicks: "It's a reduced amount because of the mileage that they drive on the highways in comparison to any other person as a commercial type vehicle. They cannot use them for commercial use or anything like that. It's a farm license plate system that we have in Illinois. It doesn't change that system."

McPike: "How much does it cost?"

Hicks: "How much does...?"

McPike: "Does the plate cost?"

Hicks: "It varies upon the size of the truck, depends on the weight of the trailer. There's four classifications in trailers, for example, dealing with farm plates. There's also a number of classifications dealing with farm trucks and they all cost different amounts, based upon the size and the weight of the truck."

McPike: "Well..."

Hicks: "Well, I mean, as far as giving you an answer as to specific amounts of the cost of each license plate, it's a different amount based upon every one. And it's a...this doesn't change the cost that's involved in those plates. The cost would remain the same now. It simply takes the limitation, currently there's two, they're limited to two license plates. Now, you know how many farm vehicles a farmer has on their farms. Many, in most cases, and it simply removes that limitation and allows them to put farm

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

plates on any that are used for farm use."

- McPike: "Well, I don't object...I haven't objected in a number of years now to trying to help some of the small farmers and some of the moderate size farmers and if they're having a tough time making it, we try to help them out, but, this is really for the large corporate farmer who owns a hundred trucks or a fleet of trucks or two hundred trucks and there's no reason to help these people. They're making hundreds of thousands of dollars a year and this is just silly to...and they pay no property taxes. They've had a ten year freeze on farm property in Illinois. And we'll get into that next year, I'm sure."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 80 'ayes', 14 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 983, Mr. Brunsvold. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 983. It's on the Order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, have you read the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 983, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Brunsvold. Mr. Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill would increase the penalty for escape under the custody of an officer. I discussed this with Representative McCracken and Representative Homer and I thought this was going to go on the agreed Bill list, but I guess it didn't make it so I'd ask for your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- this question there are 86 'ayes', 3 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1056, Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill? House Bill 1056. Let the record show that Representatives Balanoff and Trotter wish to be recorded as 'aye' on House Bill 903. We are now on House Bill 1056. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1056 is on the Order of Second Reading.

 Has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2

 and 3 were adopted earlier. Floor Amendment #4 is now
 being offered by Representative Giorgi."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendments say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
 - Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Giorgi."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'. Mr. Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "What happened is, we cleaned up all the first four Amendments with Amendment #5. I'd like to table 1, 2, 3 and 4 and just go with #5 which becomes the Bill and the Bill is worked...it's an agreement worked out with Judiciary II Committee and there's no opposition to the Bill. Number five encompasses all four Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "What does it do?"
- Giorgi: "Number five encompasses 1, 2, 3 and 4 Amendments. Table 1, 2, 3 and 4. Five is the Bill. So I go with #5, which becomes the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Has the Amendment been drafted so that the language to the Amendment wipes out the previous Amendments? Fine. So...okay. The Motion is to table Amendments one through four. Those in favor say 'aye',

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Motion is adopted. Amendments one through four are tabled. We're now on Amendment #5. Those for Amendment #5 say 'aye', those against say 'no'. Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Wolf: "Representative Giorgi, as I understand it, you're going with Amendment #5? What does Amendment #5 do in relation to Amendment #4?"

Giorgi: "It...number 5 picked up 1, 2, 3 and 4 completely, so
 everything's in Amendment #5. IF your Amendment was #3
 it's in #5."

Wolf: "Did you make the changes in #5 that you and I discussed?

Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "If the...as the Bill is now structured, not rated videos cannot be rented to minors, is that correct?"

Giorgi: "That's correct."

Dunn: "And I don't know, are things like <u>Snow White</u> and <u>Gone With</u>

<u>The Wind</u> or <u>Bugs Bunny</u> or things like that...are they rated?"

Giorgi: "They've got a rating. They've got a rating."

Dunn: "Are they rated?"

Giorgi: "They're rated. Whatever they're rated, they'll be in the section where they're rated. Any X-rated movie or non-rated movie will be a segregated area where minors can't meander into."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of Amendment #5 say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, Amendment #5 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1056, a Bill for an Act to amend the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Video Movie Sales and Rentals Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify...Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. speaker. I just wanted to ask the Sponsor...as I remember, the libraries had an objection, the AEI had an objection, and I wondered if all of those were satisfied."

Giorgi: "Correct."

Parcells: "All of them?"

Giorgi: "Yes."

Parcells: Thank you."

Giorgi: "All libraries are excluded."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 93...92 'ayes', 3 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1098, Mr. Giglio. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1098 is on the Order of Second Reading.

has been read a second time previously. There are no
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Steczo and Giglio."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes have it, the Amendment
is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1098, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the compensation of county officers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a quick question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giglio yields."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, if I understand this correctly in the Amendment we adopted, this provides for all elected county officials, not just Cook County, all elected county officials statewide will get a three percent pay raise for four years only, beginning December 1990, correct?"

Giglio: "That's correct."

Black: "That's correct. Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair would like to clarify the record. I heard Mr. Black say that this would apply to Cook County." Giglio: "No, it does not apply to Cook County."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Yeah. Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, and let me clarify that. I...for any confusion. The Amendment clearly states that it provides for all elected county officials statewide with a three percent pay raise beginning December 1990, not just Cook County. I make that very clear."

Speaker Madigan: "Are you saying that Cook County is included in this Bill?"

Black: "As I interpret the Amendment, it says that Cook County is included. It is not?"

Giglio: "Cook County is not included. The only one in Cook County we did, we adjusted the salary and the..."

Black: "The Circuit...the Circuit Clerk?"

Giglio: "...County Clerk."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Well. Mr. Steczo. Mr. Steczo. Please clarify the record as to the impact upon officials in Cook County."

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, the salary increases do not affect the elected county officials in Cook County with the one exception and that's the Circuit Court Clerk of Cook County, who has the cap taken off of her salary and the cap replaced by a minimum...a new minimum and receives the stipend that all the other Circuit Court Clerks get. That's the only change that affects Cook County."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting...Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "It changes the...a cap to a minimum. But who decides that amount? The actual amount, do we?

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Steczo."

McCracken: "The County Board does that?"

Steczo: "The Cook County Board."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Weaver to explain his vote. Mr. Weaver to explain his vote."

Weaver: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I want to remind everybody, this is a mandate. The counties are going to have to pay for this. We're now telling the counties you've got to give your officers a raise and you gotta pay them."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Steczo to explain his vote."

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, I'm glad Representative Weaver indicated that because the only reason this Bill is before us today is because those people that represent the county boards have agreed to this language, so they have agreed to the language that their county officials should get this pay

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- raise. And they're willing to pay three percent."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giglio to explain his vote.
- Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, this is exempted from the Mandates Act.

 This...this work that these people put in for the past two months is agreeable by the county boards. They signed off and everybody that's in it and if we don't give them a pay raise now, they won't get one for another four years after they have to run again. I would ask for your favorable support. If we didn't do it like this, then I would agree with some of the downstate legislators that..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 47 'ayes' and 48 'nos' and the Bill fails. House Bill 775, Mr. Harris. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 755 (sic -775), on the Order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 775, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Securities Law. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Harris. Mr. Harris. Representative Didrickson. Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Mr. Speaker, I think we all know what this Bill does, so move for its passage."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 2 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2531, Representative Wyvetter Younge.

 Mr. Clerk, what's the status of the Bill?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2531. Is on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2531, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr.Speaker. House Bill 2531 would establish a local East St. Louis Enterprise Corporation, This corporation would provide the local fire, police and trash pick—up in the enterprise zone. The...these services would be paid for by a local earnings tax. Right now there isn't any fire protection, there isn't any police protection, the trash is not being picked up and this would bring about the privatization of municipal services on an emergency basis and I ask for your support of this matter."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just briefly. This Bill just amazes me. East St. Louis has the highest property tax the State of Illinois. It's just amazing...the Lady suggests that the Enterprise Zone Act which...the whole concept is that it will give them some tax breaks and now we're going to tax them for being inside that district in put them even worse condition. This is absolute...it's just a bad idea. I'm sorry. i rise in opposition."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Younge to explain her vote."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, other commercial and downtown areas have used this device in order to have clean areas, to have well secured areas and to have their trash pick up. This is an

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

effort to shift from the real estate tax base to a service or income tax base and we must protect and build the enterprise zone. New Jersey has used this technique successfully and I ask your support in this matter."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 34 'ayes' and 57 'nos'. The Bill fails.

House Bill 1146, Representative Hicks. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1146 is on the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments? Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Hicks."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks. Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1146, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Coal and Energy Development Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1202, Mr. Homer. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1202 is on the Order of Second Reading.

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Has been read a second time previously. There are no Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Homer."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1202, a Bill for an Act to amend the Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 91 'ayes', one person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1324, Mr. Granberg. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1324 is on the Order of Second Reading.

 It's been read a second time previously. There are no

 Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Granberg."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Mr. Granberg."
- Granberg: "Leave to table Amendment #1."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Granberg."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Leave to withdraw."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1324, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Bill of Rights of Victims and Witnesses of Violent Crime Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 88 'ayes', one person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1578, Mr. Stange. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1578. It's on the Order of Second Reading. Has been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, we have this important Republican
 Bill Sponsor. Well, Mr. Clerk, we'll come right back.
 Yours will be the next Bill. Let's go to House Bill 1695.
 Mr. Clerk, what's the status of 1695?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1695. On the Order of Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1695, a Bill for an Act to restrict smoking in public places. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn. Mr. Dunn on the Bill."

Dunn: "I'd just like a Roll Call. This is only slightly

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

different from the one we passed and if you think there should be two vehicles in the Senate, vote for it."

- Speaker Madigan: "This is a Clean Indoor Air Act. Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 76 'ayes', 13 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, are we ready for 1578? Okay. Let's go to 2004. That's also by Mr. Stange. 2004. What is the status of that Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "On page 16 of the Calendar, House Bill 2004. It's on the Order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2004, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Nursing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 92 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a...

 Mr. Parke. Record Mr. Parke as 'aye'. Record Mr. Parke as 'aye', record Mr. Hultgren as 'aye'...'no'. Recognize Mr. Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To explain my vote. I may have a conflict, and I'm voting 'present'."
- Speaker Madigan: "And Mr. Robert Olson would like to be recorded as 'aye'. This Bill having received 94 votes... take the Bill off the Order of Third Reading. Destroy the Roll Call. Place the Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Is there an Amendment filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representatives

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Stange and Cullerton."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time previously. Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Hultgren. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Hultgren. Turn on Mr. Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I may have a conflict and I'll be voting 'present' on this issue."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1523, Mr. Curran. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1523 is on the Order of Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1523, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 93 'ayes', one person voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1724, Mr. Mautino. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1724 is on the Calendar Order of Second Reading. Amendment #2 was adopted previously."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, are we talking about Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "Number one was withdrawn, Amendment #2 was adopted previously. There are no Motions filed. Floor Amendment #3 is now being offered by Representative Mautino."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino moves for Amendment #3. Those in...Mr. Mautino. Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Withdraw."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #3. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Mautino."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment 4 sets a cap and it also provides for the checks and balances. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1724, a Bill for an Act to create the Upper Illinois River Valley Development Authority. Third reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', no one voting 'no'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1803. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk has demanded that we go to Mr.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Stange's Bill, 1578. Now Mr. Clerk, has this Bill...what's the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1578 is on page 11 of the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Were there any Committee Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: No Amendments at all? Place the Bill on the Order of Third Reading and read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1578, a Bill for an Act relating to registration. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting ''no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1803, Mr. Balanoff. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1803 on page 26 of the Calendar, under Postponed Consideration. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill's on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Balanoff."
- Balanoff: "Yes. Very quickly. This Bill would require the Pollution Control Board to adopt regulations to implement the installation of windbreaks around coal, coke and clay transfer stations in Cook County only. It affects one business. People in my community, because of wind blowing through dust piles is causing health problems for many people in the area and the Pollution Control Board, in conversation with them, felt that the installation of windbreaks could help solve this as a problem. At any

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

rate, the cost of this will be borne by the company.

Again...at any rate, thanks. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock."

- Hallock: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

 This is a Fugitive Dust Bill that we beat yesterday and I'd think the Bill deserves the same fate today. Vote 'no'."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Representative Davis to explain her vote."
- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to say that if you don't live near, or you've never traveled on the Southeast side of Chicago, you may think this is a humorous or not sincere problem, but this is a serious issue in that particular district. In the district, what is it?...35? It is a serious problem and, you know I don't think it's fair...I really don't think it's fair for you to make it a humorous situation because there are a number of people who are suffering from respiratory problems and other ailments caused because of the lack of environmental protection in this particular area. There's some groups that have even met with the Governor in reference to the environmental problems that do exist in this particular area and I would urge you to consider a district and vote 'aye'.
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 42 'ayes', 55 'nos'. The Bill fails.

 House Bill 2059. Representative Munizzi. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2059 is on the Order of Third Reading."

 Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2059, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 87 'ayes', 2 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2170, Mr. Hicks. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2170, on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks. Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2170, a Bill for an Act to oil and gasolines. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2312, Mr. Goforth. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2312, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. It's been read a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Goforth."

Speaker Madigan: "Those for the Amendment say 'aye', those against say 'no'. The 'ayes have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2312, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourself. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 2 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2196, Representative Curran. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "On page 5 of the Calendar, House Bill 2196, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code is on the Order of Second Reading. Has been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments? Well, we can take this one out of the record until the Clerk locates the Bill. House Bill 2266, Representative Currie. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of this Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2266 on page 13 of the Calendar. It's on the Order of Second Reading. Has been read a second time previously. There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Anthony Young."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2266, a Bill for an Act in relationship to domestic battery. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: :Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

shall take the record. On this question there are 93 'ayes', 3 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2108, Mr. Robert Olson. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2108, on the Order of Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2108, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substances Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 87 'ayes', 4 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2541, Representative Wyvetter Younge. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill? 2541."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2541. It's on the Order of Third

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2541, a Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of pilot Enterprise High School. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2541 would establish the enterprise high school in school district 188 and 189. This is a program that would permit a dropout to go back to school and learn how to either run a business or provide a product or provide a service. It is a program for school dropouts so that they will learn how to grow up and be responsible and be

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

disciplined and go back to school. And it's much needed in my area which has a 40 to 50 percent dropout rate. It would be paid for by Joint Partnership Training Act funds plus daily average attendance and therefore it does not adversely affect...impact upon the State revenues. I ask for your support in this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and the House. Those of us who've been here in the past have heard about this program before and the Representative's back with it again.

It's also again opposed by the State Board Education."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 47..48 'ayes', 49 'nos'. The Bill fails. House Bill 2341.

Representative Munizzi. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2341. The Bill has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2341, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. A quick question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Black: "Thank you very much. Does this...this restores, as I understand it and remember in Committee, the Chicago school system's taxing and bonding authority for life safety and school security purposes. And of course, obviously, in

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Chicago, these tax levies are without referendum. Does it do anything to downstate schools?"
- Munizzi: "I believe it gives them the option to...oh, excuse me.

 It gives them the option that they can either use their

 life safety taxing or bonding provisions. It just gives
 them the option."
- Black: "Okay. And it does not...does not give them the option downstate, then, to increase that tax rate any way, shape or form, correct?"
- Munizzi: "No, it does not."
- Black: "Thank you very much."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 48 'ayes', 48 'nos'. The Bill fails. House Bill 2387. Mr. Krska. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2387. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative

 Mautino."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 provides for the registration of environmental health practitioners and it...there's no cost to the State or to the taxpayer."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative

 Mautino."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "That is...that..."

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment..."

Mautino: "Is a grammatical change, I've been informed."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Wojcik: "Representative, on floor Amendment #1, does that preempt
 home rule?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Krska. Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "It has nothing to do with home rule. It provides for the registration of environmental health practitioners.

It's been supported and endorsed by Dr. Richard Biek.

B-i-e-k, Department of Health, Chicago and Lee Daniels in the correspondence to Mr. Chojnacki. C-h-o-j-n-a-c-k-i,

DuPage County Health Department."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "I'm just looking for it in the Amendment, but our analysis is quite definitive on that. It says it does preempt home rule. Is there any reference to a preemption?"

Mautino: "Well, it is State licensure provision, if you want to term that as preempting home rule. They have to be licensed by the State of Illinois. I guess in that vein it would preempt..."

McCracken: "Do local municipalities or units of government license these now or are they authorized to do so?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Mautino: "I would think you'd have...I'm not certain, but I think you'd have to check that with DuPage County and Cook County or the City of Chicago, Department of Public health. I believe that they do so, but I'm not certain."

McCracken: "Is this Amendment the same as a Bill?"

Mautino: "All license, I've been informed that all licensure provisions in the State of Illinois for any occupation preempt home rule."

McCracken: "So it requires an extraordinary majority?"

Mautino: "Maybe the Parliamentarian..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken, the Parliamentarian can answer that question."

McCracken: "I'm sorry I didn't hear you."

Speaker Madigan: "Aren't you asking a parliamentary question?"

McCracken: "Yes, I am."

Speaker Madigan: "Alright."

Pollack: "On behalf of the Speaker, the Amendment #1 is a limitation on home rule units. However, the limitation requires only 60 votes for passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the...Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2387, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Professional Engineering Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 47 'ayes', 44 'nos', the Bill fails. House Bill 2440, Mr Keane. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2440, this Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Keane."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2440, a Bill for an Act in relation to dram shops. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 82 'ayes', 12 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 35, Mr. Myron Olson. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 35, this Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Barger."

Speaker Madigan: "Those...withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 35, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 69 'ayes', 25 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 74, Mr. Barger. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 74, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Barger."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 74, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 78 'ayes', 5 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 289, Mr. W.

Peterson. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 289, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 289, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by...Mr. Peterson."
- Peterson: "I move to table House Bill 289."
- Speaker Madigan: "You've all heard the Gentleman's request. Is there leave? Leave is granted. The Bill is tabled. House Bill 314, Mr. Hultgren. Mr. Clerk, read the...what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 314, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 314, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill...Mr. Hultgren. Mr. Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Mr. Speaker, can we bring this back to Second Reading?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Place the Bill on the order of Second Reading.

 Are there any Amendments filed?"
- Hultgren: "Mr. Speaker, would move to table Amendment #3."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #3, is there leave? Leave is granted. The Amendment is tabled.

 Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk. O'Brien: "House Bill 314, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those in favor (sic opposed) vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

record. On this question there are 93 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 656, Mr. Ewing. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 656, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Black."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black. Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 656, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Countryman to explain his vote."
- Countryman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment that just went on this Bill will take us out of the juvenile justice federal money that comes out. It'll undo what we did in the past two or three years. It's a terrible Amendment and I urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black to explain his vote."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think the previous speaker is guilty of overacting in this case and perhaps the Oscars will come up again. I don't think this does any such thing. If you'll look at the Amendment, it simply says that those counties that bought or built a jail in the last fifteen years, that meant all the juvenile detention requirements can...if they meet the separation of sight and sound for juveniles, they can be classified as a juvenile

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

detention facility. And in the jail... the county that brought this to my attention, the juveniles were on the first floor, all other cells were on the fifth floor. It's a very reasonable Amendment and will save your counties millions of dollars."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ewing to explain his vote."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's two good parts to this Bill. The first part, the underlying part of the Bill allows the state's attorney to collect restitution from a juvenile for the person that's injured, who would now have to go out and go through the legal process. This was requested by the state's attorney in Livingston County. The second part of the Bill, I think, is explained by Representative Black and that is also very beneficial to our counties that have jails where they can separate these two. That's a major savings to your county government."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 67 'ayes', 27 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2769, Mr. McNamara. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2769, this Bill has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, I missed that."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

McNamara."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2769, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to compensation of finance charges upon repayment of loans. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 2 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 809. The man of inspiration, Mr. Churchill. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 809, this Bill is on Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 809, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor...Mr. Churchill to explain his Bill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a wonderful Bill. It provides for the suspension of a person's driving privileges if they leave school. I've checked with a lot of people who are for this Bill. I've talked to students, parents, teachers, administrators and general people on the street and they all agree that this is a great Bill. Appreciate your support."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn. Mr. John Dunn."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Just want to call your attention to the fact that this is
another school dropout Bill. We beat one of these the
other day and this one is not different in any significant
aspect and it should be voted down. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Yes, I also rise in opposition to this Bill. In effect, what it would do is say that the many poor families that can't afford, or for whatever reason, a person may drop out to go to work. He can't drive to work. He can't do anything. This Bill does not help. It creates for people who just don't have the ability, or for whatever reason they drop out, other than they just want to be out. They may need to drive. This is a bad Bill. Let us defeat it. And let's do it quick."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a very good Bill. At the time that the State of Illinois starts to address the dropout situation and this really helps kids get back into the world of work, because they're going to be well educated. Vote 'yes'."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk...have all voted who wish? Please record yourself. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 47 'ayes', 43 'nos', the Bill fails. House Bill 1174, Mr. Black. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1174, the Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Place the Bill on the order of Second Reading.

Are there any Amendments filed?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Black."
- Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #1, those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1174, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Representative Shirley Jones. The Clerk shall take the record. Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 75 'ayes', 16 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1272, Representative Zickus.

 Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1272, this Bill has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions? Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1272, a Bill for an Act in relation to sending of facsimiles by certain...of certain documents.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 81 'ayes', 6 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2196, Mr. Curran. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2196, this Bill's been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "We haven't found the Bill yet. Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 2517, Mr. Capparelli. What's the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2517, this Bill is on the order of Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2517, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mobile Home Landlords and Tenants Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 81...83 'ayes', 5 'nos', this Bill...' aye'. On this question there are 84 'ayes', 5 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared...Representative Zickus."
- Zickus: "...Didn't go on. I'd like to be reported as voting
 'yes', please."
- Speaker Madigan: "Record...Mr. Clerk, open the Roll Call. We'll do it again. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Homer to explain his vote."
- Homer: "We'd like the Sponsor to nod. Is this for the landlords or the tenants? Is this for both? Which is it...who's this on behalf of, the landlords?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 60 'ayes', 22 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2004, Mr. Stange. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2004, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "I'm told this Bill has already passed. House
 Bill 2108, Mr. Robert Olson. Mr. Olson, have we passed
 that Bill, 2108? That Bill is passed. Representative,
 21...house Bill 2133 by Representative Didrickson. 2133.
 Criminal Code, Bid Rigging. Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Please table that Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Please what?"

Didrickson: "Mr. Speaker, table the Bill, please, thank you."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Lady request leave to table the Bill.

 Leave is granted. The Bill is tabled. Mr. McCracken, have

 we done 2260 for you? You don't want that? Representative

 Hasara, 2274? What is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2274, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2274, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those in favor (sic opposed) by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 91 'ayes', 2 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 39, Mr. DeLeo. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

49th Legislative Day

- May 26, 1989
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 39, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 39, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Uniform Peace Officers Disciplinary Act. Third Reading of
 the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are you prepared to call the Bill? Mr. DeLeo?

 Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting
 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who
 wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Have all voted who wish? Mr. Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm voting 'no'. Apparently the Bill would make all the Secretary of State's investigators peace officers and I don't know that a case has been made for that. I'm going have to vote 'no'."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 59 'ayes', 31 'nos'. The Clerk shall poll the absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Bowman. Countryman.

 Currie. DeJaegher. Harris. Kulas. Mautino. Bob Olson.

 Preston, and Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr...Mr. Peterson wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Leverenz wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Mautino wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Leverenz and then Mautino."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Mautino."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks, 'aye'. Any further changes? On this question there are 63 'ayes', 29 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 249, Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill? Mr. Cullerton."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 249, this Bill's been read a second

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative McCracken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments? Amendment #2 should be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 249, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 53 'ayes', 42 'nos', the Bill fails. House Bill 2510, Mr. Ryder. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill? 2510."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2510, the Bill is on Third Reading."

 Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2510, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 94 'ayes', no one voting 'no'.

 This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 516, Mr. McNamara. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 516, the Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 516, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain records and forms of identification. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 92 'ayes', 1 person voting 'no'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 722, Mr. Balanoff. Mr. Clerk. what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 722, this Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 722, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Balanoff. Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 40 'ayes', 49 'nos', this Bill having failed to received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2423, Mr. Santiago. Mr.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2423, the Bill is on Third Reading." Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2423, a Bill for an Act in relation to the disposition of unclaimed property. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 2196, Mr. Curran. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2196, this Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2196, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', no one voting 'no', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2694, Mr. Stephens. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2694, the Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

- Clerk. O'Brien: "House Bill 2694, a Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

shall take the record. On this question there are 50 'ayes', 42 'nos', this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 27...Correct the record that House Bill 2694, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2779, Mr. Mays. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2779, the Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Were there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment..."

Speaker Madigan: "Turn on Mr. Mays."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there a Motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions...yes, there is a motion to table

Amendment #1 by Representative Mays."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mays."

Mays: "...Yes, I believe Floor Amendment #2 will take care of the

Amendment #1 that we wanted to table. So, why don't we

just go ahead and forget about the Motion, move 2."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Motion. And then there's an Amendment #2."

Mays: "Right."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
is adopted..."

Mays: "...Thank you..."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment...Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Mays."

Mays: "...Withdraw, please. Withdraw, please."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #3."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Mays."

Mays: "Yes, Amendment..."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2779, a Bill for an Act in relation to registration, inspection, investigation of radiation installations. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 65 'ayes', 28 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1398, Mr. Robert Olson. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1398, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1398, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to collection of unwanted pesticides. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 85 'ayes', 4 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1235, Mr. Levin. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

- May 26, 1989
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1235, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1235, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to prohibit public school districts and public institutions...relating to public institutions. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those in favor (sic opposed) by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Levin to explain his vote."
- Levin: "Yeah, we passed a Bill about four years ago requiring public colleges and universities to accommodate students in terms of being able to make up exams given on religious holidays. This just clarifies that that applies, not only to faculty administered tests but also to administration given tests."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 32 'ayes', 51 'nos', this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 1400, Mr. Phelps. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1400, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1400, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 75 'ayes', 21 'nos', this Bill having received a

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1961, Representative Younge. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1961, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 East St. Louis Area Development Act. Third Reading of the

 Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Younge to explain her vote."
- Younge: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This Bill would add Washington Park to the jurisdiction of the East St. Louis Area Development Authority. It doesn't have any fiscal impact upon the state. It's a little community that wishes to join the other five communities in reference to their economic development planning. So, I ask for your vote to permit Washington Park, Illinois, that has asked to join this local planning authority. No money."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 36 'ayes', 58 'nos', this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 1734, Mr. Phelps. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1734, the Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment

- May 26, 1989
- is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Phelps."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Is there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1734, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Architecture Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 41 'ayes', 51 'nos', this Bill fails. House Bill 1171, Mr. Novak. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1171, the Bill appears on Third Reading."
- Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1171, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to compensation. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Mr. Novak to explain his vote. Mr. Novak."
- Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All this is is a duplication of Representative Steczo's Bill last year. It provides that the county boards cannot lower the county treasurer's stipend or lower their salary by the amount of the stipend that they receive and I'd hope you would vote for this,

- May 26, 1989
- especially for my good friend, John Novak, the treasurer of DuPage County."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 50 'ayes', 42 'nos'...Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "I'm curious. Is he pulling our leg? Did you do this for my good friend John Novak, your cousin? Did you really?"
- Novak: "For the...for the County Treasurer's Association, Tom."
 McCracken: "Well, I'm for it."
- Speaker Madigan: "That's one. Have all voted who wish? Open the scoreboard. Have all voted who wish? Those in favor say 'aye', those...th ose in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 64 'ayes', 25 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2339. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill? 2339."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2339, the Bill is on Third Reading.

 The Bill has been read a third time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tate? Mr. Tate. Run it? Those in favor of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 41 'ayes', 51 'nos', the Bill fails. House Bill 694. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 694, the Bill has been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Madigan: "...For what purpose does, Mr. Martinez seek

- May 26, 1989
- recognition? Let...let the record show that Mr. Martinez wishes to be recorded 'aye' on House Bill 2339. We're now on House Bill 694, Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 694 would set rates of flow at county lines. Ask for your 'aye' vote enabling the Bill to pass."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Mr. Leverenz to explain his vote."
- Leverenz: "That's my first Bill today. I'd like to poll the twenty-one blues."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 58 'ayes', 40 'nos'. The Clerk shall poll the absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A poll of those not voting. Countryman.

 DeJaegher. Harris. Kulas. B. Pedersen. Preston. Shaw.

 And Sieben. No further."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken. This Bill having failed to received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost.

 The Chair recognizes Mr. Leverenz for a Motion."
- Leverenz: "Well, it's not Postponed Consideration. I now move to... yes, now it is, on House Bill 591, extend the deadline until June 9th for the supplemental appropriation, on House Bill 834, 850, 869, 1211, 1212, 1213, 1214, 1215..."
- Speaker Madigan: "...Churchill..."
- Leverenz: "...1216..."
- Churchill: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I..."
- Leverenz: "Well, we don't have eighteen minutes of silence there.

 Wednesday...we're extending the deadline on those Bills to

 Wednesday, May 31st. I now move that and ask you to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

support the Motion."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken supports the Motion. Those in favor of the Motion say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion is adopted. Is there anybody that has anything further to call? You need what? The Clerk needs the list from Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Curran. Mr. Curran. 1505. What is the status of the Bill, Mr. Clerk, 1505? 1505. We have one more matter before we leave."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill appears on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1505, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'."

Curran: "...There's no opposition to this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 68 'ayes', 12 'nos'...69...70 'ayes', 11 'nos', this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We have one more matter. We have a message for the Body."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to rise....same issue. Last year at this time, we went through the same charade that we're going to go through again here this year. We got a Bill out of the Revenue Committee that dealt with the state income tax. We brought it to the floor. There were all sorts of continuances. Put everything together and we're going to stand up and say, we'll go back to our people back in our districts and find out whether or not they want a tax increase or they don't want a tax increase. Face it, this is a charade. The whole thing is a sham. If an income tax is actually going

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

to be considered in this chamber, this time it's going to take your leadership, Mr. Speaker. It's going to take you coming to the table with the Governor and with the other leaders and you're going to have to sit down and you're going to have to say, what are we talking about in terms of revenues and what are we talking about in terms of expenses. And until you're willing to come to the table and talk about..."

Speaker Madigan: "...Mr. Churchill, you're a prophet and a man of inspiration and we should thank you all. Mr. McCracken.

Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "I think Representative Santiago wants to call one more Bill, 2423."

Speaker Madigan: "Is the Gentleman here? Did you wish to call the Bill? 2423? Tom, is that it, 2423?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "2423, Mr. Clerk, what is the status?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill...the Bill is on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2423, a Bill for an Act in relation to the disposition of unclaimed property. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "No, not on this. One more."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 80 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "One more."

Speaker Madigan: "What is it?"

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

McCracken: "2276."

Speaker Madigan: "2276. Who is the Sponsor of the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "McCracken."

- Speaker Madigan: "Your only mistake was, is that I was listening in my office the day you adopted the Amendment. On behalf of myself and Representative Daniels, I'd like to thank all of you for the very hard work that you've done this week. We were required to work some long hours on Tuesday and Thursday, mainly because of the Grid Iron Dinner on Wednesday, and also tonight, so, thank you very much for your patience and your dedication to your job. Get a little rest over the weekend and we'll see you next Wednesday. Thank you very much. Mr. McPike moves for the adoption of HJR 59 and the Clerk shall read that Resolution. Mr. Clerk, read the Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-sixth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when the House of Representatives adjourn on Friday, May 26th, 1989; it stands adjourned until Wednesday, May 31, 1989 at 12:00 noon. And when the Senate adjourns on Friday, May 26th, 1989; it stands adjourned until Tuesday, May 30, 1989."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted.

 There are Agreed Resolutions. Read the Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 555, Woolard; 556, Woolard; 559, Woolard; 560, Trotter; 561, Kubik; 562, Hicks; 564, Black; 565, DeJaegher; 566, DeJaegher; 567, DeJaegher; 568, DeJaegher; 569, DeJaegher; 570, DeJaegher; 571, LeFlore; 572, Madigan; 573, Levin and 575, Krska."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

- 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 576, offered by Anthony Young." Speaker Madigan: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 557, offered by Representative Johnson; with respect to the memory of Walter Smith. House Resolution 558, offered by Representative Johnson; with respect to the memory of Donald Combs. House Resolution 563, offered by Representative Cowlishaw; with respect to the memory of United Methodist Bishop, William A. Washburn. House Resolution 574, offered by Representative Ryder; with respect to the memory of Monsignor Michael Owen Driscoll."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Death Resolutions are adopted. Providing... oh, just one Bill. Introduction and First Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2818, Saltsman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund. First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Committee on Assignment. Anything further, Mr. Clerk? Providing Perfunctory time for the Clerk, the House will stand adjourned until 12:00 noon on May 31."
- "Committee Clerk O'Brien: Report. Representative Chairman οf the Committee on State Government Administration Select, to which the following Bills referred, action taken May 5, 1989; reported the same back with the following recommendations; Interim Study Calendar: House Bill 378. Message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, Senate has passed Bills the following title, the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Bills #1, 5, 6, 10, 17, 24, 26, 32, 38, 47, 59, 90, 93, 95, 96, 97, 100, 112, 116, 129, 136, 141, 150, 177, 182, 187, 201, 206, 210, 211, 234, 238, 239, 240, 248, 252, 253, 255, 262, 356, 369, 384, 392, 399, 451, 464, 468, 474, 475, 273, 290, 293, 306, 319, 321, 333, 335, 338, 341, 482, 486, 491, 499, 500, 503, 505, 519, 531, 533, 536, 537, 543, 559, 564, 572, 575, 583, 588 and 590, passed the Senate May 26, 1989. Linda Hawker, Secretary.' Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 293, Mautino, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 333, Williams, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 335, Williams, Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of Senate Bill 338, Anthony Young, a Bill for an the Bill. Act in relation to rehabilitation of disabled persons. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 341, Morrow, a Bill an Act in relation to rehabilitation of disabled persons. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 392, Levin, a Bill for an Act in relation to energy assistance and funding. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 543, Williams, a Bill for an Act in relation to the election of judges from the First Judicial District. First Reading of Senate Bill 572, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to the Bill. authorize certain forms of gambling on excursion gambling First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 482, Steczo, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of practice of structural engineering. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 486, Regan, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 491, Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 499, Bowman, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to state personnel matters. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

DeJaegher, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 293, Mautino, Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 333, Williams, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 335, Williams, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 338...Senate Bill 4, Levin, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 8, Balanoff, a Bill for an Act in relation to removal of hazardous vehicles. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 16, Hicks, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 51, Stange, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 52. Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Wildlife Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 60, Hicks, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial services. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 111, Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Collection Agency Act. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 113, Levin, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Board of Higher Education. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 118, Ropp, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Board of Higher Education. First Reading of Senate Bill 119, Keane, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the granting of academic degrees. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 125, Petka, a Bill for an Act in relation to probation. First Reading of Senate Bill 151. Richmond, a Bill for an Act concerning civic centers. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 171, Weaver, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 194, Barnes, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 204, Flowers, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 219, Sieben, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 237, Keane, a Bill for an Act to amend the Business Corporation Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 249, Weller, a Bill an Act to amend the Illinois Water Well Construction Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 260. Flowers, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 307, Steczo, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 344, Hartke, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act making lawful the conducting of bingo. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 394, Davis, a Bill for an Act to amend the Drug Paraphanelia Control Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 395, Ropp, a Bill for an Act to amend the Animal Control Act. First Reading of Senate Bill 448, Petka, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 454, Hasara, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 457, Hasara, a Bill for an Act to amend Criminal Victims Escrow Account Act. First Reading of the Senate Bill 492, Phelps, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Construction Bond Act. First Reading of the Senate Bill 589, Cowlishaw, a Bill for an Act Bill. amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 663, Petka, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 667, Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Kirkland, a Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 681. First Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. McNamara, a

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Senate Bill 696, Hartke, a First Reading of the Bill. Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of Senate Bill 710, Stern, a Bill for an Act to First Reading of the Bill. amend the Election Code. a Bill for an Act to amend the Senate Bill 753, Regan, Representation and Indemnification of State Employees Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 764, Williamson, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to fireworks. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 879, Parke, a Bill for an Act to amend the Health Maintenance Organization Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 884, Stange, for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 895, Williams, Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 900, Mautino, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to beer and liquor. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 907, Parke, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to county zoning. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 917, Hartke, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 920, McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 925, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend the Highway Advertising Control Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 927, Shirley Jones, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. First Reading of the Bill. Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue 933, Bill First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 934, Hicks, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Banking Act. First Senate Bill 935, Kubik, a Bill for Reading of the Bill. an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 937, Weller, a Bill for an Act to promote the adoption of appropriate modern

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

technologies in Illinois business. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 938, Balanoff, a Bill for an Act amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 942, Stange, a Bill for relation to criminal and civil liability of street gangs and their members. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 943, Regan, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 948, Trotter, a Bill for an Act to amend the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 952, DeLeo, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 960, Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 961, Hicks. a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 963, DeLeo, a Bill for an Act to amend the Prairie State 2000 Authority Act. First Reading of the Senate Bill 965, Cullerton, a Bill for an Act in relation First Reading of the to the appellate court. Senate Bill 966, Hen... Hensel, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning exemptions for charitable and benefit organizations. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 971, Kubik, a Bill for an Act in relation to increases in property tax levees. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 976, Hicks, a Bill for an Act to amend the School First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 981. Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mobile Landlord and Tenant Rights Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 984, Capparelli and Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning asbestos abatement. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 989, Parcells, a for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 999, Sutker, a Bill

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

an Act concerning child care services. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1000, Sutker, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1002, Stern, a Bill for an Act to amend Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1004, W. Peterson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1015, Goforth, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Oil and Gas Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1016, Goforth. a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1017, Sieben, a Bill for an Act in relation to Illinois emergency services. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1018, Countryman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Lottery Law. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1021, Mays, a Bill for an Act codifying the powers and duties of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1023, Ryder, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to controlled substance addiction. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1024, Didrickson, a Bill for an Act in relation to safety inspections. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1032, Parke, a Bill an Act in relation to insurance. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1034, Kubik, a Bill for an Act relation to transfer of various property rights by the state. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Goforth, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Oil and Gas Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1039. Balanoff, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to natural resources. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1041, Levin, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. First Reading of the Bill.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

1042. Levin. a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1043, Breslin, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Reading of the Bill. Protection Act. First Senate Bill 1047, Flowers, a Bill for an Act concerning services provided by local health departments. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1049, White, a Bill for an Act to amend the Child Care Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1050, White, a Bill for an Act concerning the expansion of child care facilities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1052, Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1055, Parke, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1069, Richmond, a Bill for an Act concerning occupation and use taxes. Senate Bill 1070, Curran, a Bill for Reading of the Bill. an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of Bill. Senate Bill 1075, Ronan, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1079, Phelps, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1080, Woolard...Woolard, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1081, Richmond, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Farm, Industrial and Construction Equipment Fair Dealership Law. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1083, Hannig, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning the approval of coal development projects. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1085, Novak, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1086, Currie, a Bill for an Act amend the Illinois Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1088, Brunsvold, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning House and Senate Journals. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1089, Bowman, a Bill for an Act relating to the Illinois Mathematic and Science Academy. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1094, Granberg, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic development. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1096, Kubik, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civic Administrative...Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1098, Hannig, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the installation of fire hydrants. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1114, Hasara, a Bill for an Act to amend the Emergency Medical Services System Act. First Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 1115, Frederick, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Act on the Aging. First Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 1123, Myron Olson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1125, Piel, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1126, Mays, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to forest products transportation. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1127, Ryder, a Bill for an Act in relation to income checkoffs. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1128, Barnes, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1147, Hicks, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1155, Homer, a Bill for an Act in relation to investor owned tollroad utilities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1159, Churchill, a Bill for an Act to provide for the creation and management of forest preserve districts. First Reading

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

of the Bill. Senate Bill 1161, Hicks, a Bill for an Act to amend the Township Law. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1168, Novak, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to farmland property tax assessments. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1170, Stange, a Bill for an Act concerning certain environmental facilities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1172, Hartke, a Bill an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 1179, Countryman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of Senate Bill 1185, White, a Bill for an Act to the Bill. amend the Illinois Act on the Aging. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1196, Hicks, a Bill for an Act to amend Bill. the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1197, Balanoff, a Bill for an Act to amend the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1199, Goforth, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1200, Black, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1210, Currie, a Bill for an Act relating to firearms and firearm ammunition. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1213, Pearson...not Pearson, Preston, Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to abused, neglected and dependent children. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1214, Lang, a Bill for an Act to amend Reading of the Bill. the Juvenile Court Act. First Senate Bill 1217, Granberg, a Bill for an Act to amend the Business Corporation Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1219, Kirkland, a Bill for an Act...Senate Bill 1219, Kirkland, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1220, Hultgren, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act with respect to

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

the minimum use to present testimony. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1221, Cullerton, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1222, Munizzi, a Bill for an Act in relation to fees of certain officers. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1224, Munizzi, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substance Act. First Reading of Senate Bill 1254, Stange, a Bill for an Act in Bill. relation to commercial driver's license. First Reading of Senate Bill 1256, Piel, a Bill for an Act to the Bill. amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1259, Goforth, a Bill for an Act in Bill. relation to oil and gas. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1262, Black, a Bill for an Act to amend the Agricultural Fair Act. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1269, White, a Bill for an Act to amend the Radiation First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Protection Act. 1270, DeLeo, a Bill for an Act in relation to crimes and sentencing. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1280, Currie, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. 1288, Mautino, a Bill for an Act to amend the First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Insurance Code. 1294, Williams, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1296, Kirkland, a Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1301, Phelps, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois...to amend an Act in relation to health care. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill (sic - Senate Bill) 1302, Trotter, a Bill for an Act to amend the Family Practice Residency Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1303, Trotter, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning grants by the Department

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Public Health. 1304, Hicks, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1305, Trotter, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relation to indigent health care. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1306, Phelps, a Bill for an Act improve the delivery of health care services. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1307, Trotter, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1310, Granberg, a Bill for an Act in relation to dram shops. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1311, Granberg, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1313, Granberg, a Bill for an Act in relation to disclosure of health care information. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1315, Petka, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law and sentencing. First Reading of Senate Bill 1320, Granberg, a Bill for an Act to amend the Beer Industry Fair Dealing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1322, Kubik, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to state taxes and records. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1323, Bob Olson, Act relating to the Historic Preservation Agency. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1324, Myron Olson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Boiler and Pressure Vessel First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1325, Safety Act. Churchill, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1327, Parcells, a Bill for an Act relating to vehicles, highways and crosswalks. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1328, Hasara, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to guardianship and advocacy commission. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1330, McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1338, Petka, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. 1342, Balanoff, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1344, LeFlore, a Bill for an Act relating to higher education. Senate Bill 1345, Myron Olson, First Reading of the Bill. Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning grants, loans and other financial assistance. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1346, Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning college financial assistance. First Reading Senate Bill 1347, Cowlishaw, a Bill for an Bill. Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of Senate Bill 1348, Weaver, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act authorizing college saving programs. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1349, Black, a Bill for an pertaining to quaranteed student loans. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1352, Hicks, a Bill for an Act amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1353, Breslin, a Bill for an Act amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1369, Ronan, a Bill for an Act amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1375, Munizzi, a Bill for an Act amend an Act authorizing certain governmental units. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1377, Wennlund, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1379, Balanoff, a Bill for an Act to amend the Solid Waste Planning Recycling Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1380, Bugielski, a Bill for an Act in relation to fees and Senate Bill salaries. First Reading of the Bill. 1382, Terzich, а Bill for an Act to amend the

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

Transportation Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1391. Sutker, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1396, Parke, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1403, Stern, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to election of county commissioners. First Reading of Bill. Senate Bill 1406, Lang, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1407, Stange, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to tire abatement and recycling. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1408, Lang...that's Senate Bill 1408, Lang, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1414, Balanoff, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1417, Mautino, a for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1418, Terzich, a Bill for an Act in relation to land titles. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1421, Hicks, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to asbestos in schools. First Reading of Senate Bill 1422, Levin, a Bill for an Act to the Bill. amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. 1424, Countryman, a Bill for an Act pertaining to taxpayer's rights. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1427, Bob Olson, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to fish and wildlife. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1428, Brunsvold, a Bill for an Act to amend the Wildlife Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1429, Currie, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1431, Stange, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections.

49th Legislative Day

May 26, 1989

First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1441, LeFlore, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1443, Hallock, a Bill for an Act to amend the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1445, Parke, a Bill for an Act in relation to state services. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1451, Capparelli, a Bill for an Act in relation to airports. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1455, White, a Bill for an Act to create First Reading of the the Illinois Science Foundation. Bill. Senate Bill 1458, Parke, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1463, Turner, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to establish a comprehensive Illinois housing policy. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1466, White, a Bill an Act to revise the law. First Reading of the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following title, the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bills 592, 596, 601, 613, 631, 633, 635, 638 and 659, passed the Senate May 26, 1989. Linda Hawker. Secretary.' Similar message by the Senate. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bills 687, 694, 699, 700, 702, 705, 732, 737, 752, passed the Senate May 26, 1989. Linda Hawker, Secretary.' Being no further business, the House will now stand adjourned until Wednesday, May 31st, 1989 at the hour of 12:00 noon."

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1 04/23/90

HA-0008 MOTION	PAGE	194
HB-0034 SECOND READING	PAGE	108
HB-0034 THIRD READING	PAGE	108
H3-0035 SECOND READING	PAGE	274
H8-0039 THIRD READING	PAGE	73
HB-0039 THIRD REAUING	PAGE	284
HB-0039 MOTION	PAGE	74
HB-0074 SECOND READING	PAGE	275
H3-0074 THIRD READING	PAGE	275
H3-0083 THIRD READING	PAGE	75
HB-0085 THIRD READING	PAGE	82
H3-0112 SECOND READING	PAGE	3
HB-0131 SECOND READING	PAGE	236
HB-0143 THIRD READING	PAGE	38
H8-0145 THIRD READING	PAGE	220
H8-0154 MOTION	PAGE	190
HB-0168 SECUND READING	PAGE	4
HB-0163 THIRD READING	PAGE	196
HB-0211 THIRD READING	PAGE	147
HB-0211 THIRD READING	PAGE	
H8-0211 MOTION		149
H9-0215 THIRD READING	PAGE	148
	PAGE	234
HB-0227 SECOND READING	PAGE	23
HB-0227 THIRD READING	PAGE	23
HB-0249 SECOND READING	PAGE	284
Hd-0249 THIRD READING	PAGE	285
HB-0289 SECOND READING	PAGE	275
HU-0289 THIRD READING	PAGE	276
HB-0289 MOTION	PAGE	276
HB-0314 THIRD READING	PAGE	276
HA-0317 THIRD READING	PAGE	109
Ha-0340 SECOND READING	PAGE	239
H3-0340 THIRD READING	PAGE	239
HE-0400 THIRD READING	PAGE	114
H8-0424 THIRD READING	PAGE	43
H3-0476 S∈COND READING	PAGE	159
HB-0476 THIRD READING	PAGE	159
HƏ-0513 THIRD READING	PAGE	240
H8-0516 SECOND READING	PAGE	285
HB-0516 THIRD READING	PAGE	286
H3-0526 SECOND READING	PAGE	133
Hb-0526 THIRD READING	PAGE	135
HB-0565 THIRD READING	PAGE	115
HB-0591 MOTION	PAGE	294
H0-0599 THIRD READING	PAGE	246
H3-0603 THIRD READING	PAGE	177
Ha-0648 SECOND READING	PAGE	164
HB-0648 THIRD READING	PAGE	165
Ho-0648 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	124
HB-0650 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
HB-0656 SECOND READING	PAGE	277
HB-0656 THIRD READING	PAGE	277
H8-0694 SECOND READING	PAGE	293
HB-0712 SECOND READING	PAGE	116
HB-0712 THIRD READING	PAGE	118
HU-0722 SECOND READING	PAGE	286
HS-0722 THIRD READING	PAGE	286
HB-0743 SECOND READING	PAGE	248
HB-0743 THIRD READING	PAGE	248
HB-0755 THIRD READING	PAGE	257
HB-0761 THIRD READING	PAGE	44
HB-0774 SECOND READING	PAGE	24
HB-0774 THIRD READING	PAGć	25
HB-0779 SECOND READING	PAGE	161

STATE OF ILLINUIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2 04/23/90

HB-0779 THIRD READING	PAGE	164
HB-0789 THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-0789 THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-0789 MOTION	PAGE	167
HB-0792 THIRD READING	PAGE	48
HB-0809 THIRD READING	PAGE	279
HB-0815 THIRD READING	PAGE	218
HB-0822 THIRD READING	PAGE	48
HB-0834 MOTION	PAGE	294
HB-0850 MOTION	PAGE	294
HB-0869 MOTION	PAGE	294
HB-0871 THIRD READING	PAGE	249
HB-0889 THIRD READING	PAGE	49
HB-0910 SECOND READING	PAGE	26
HB-0910 THIRD READING	PAGE	26
HB-0914 SECOND READING	PAGE	178
HB-0914 THIRD READING HB-0919 SECOND READING	PAGE	179
HB-0935 SECOND READING	PAGE	100
H3-0935 THIRD READING	PAGE	188
HB-0935 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE Page	189
HB-0946 THIRD READING	PAGÉ	187 124
HB-0947 THIRD READING	PAGE	36
HB-0948 THIRD READING	PAGE	124
HB-0955 THIRD READING	PAGE	51
HB-0981 THIRD READING	PAGE	52
HB-0983 THIRD READING	PAGE	251
H8-0988 THIRD READING	PAGE	167
HB-1010 SECOND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1042 THIRD READING	PAGE	231
H8-1056 SECOND READING	PAGE	252
HB-1056 THIRD READING	PAGE	253
HB-1078 THIRD READING	PAGE	85
HB-1091 SECOND READING	PAGE	12
HB-1098 SECOND READING	PAGE	254
HB-1098 THIRD READING	PAGE	255
HB-1131 SECOND READING	PAGE	180
HB-1131 SECOND READING	PAGE	180
HB-1131 THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1131 THIRD READING	PAGE	183
HB-1146 THIRD READING	PAGE	259
HB-1171 THIRD READING HB-1174 SECOND READING	PAGE	292
HB-1174 THIRD READING	PAGE	260
HB-1200 SECOND READING	PAGE Page	281
HB-1200 THIRD READING	PAGE	226 226
HB-1202 SECOND READING	PAGE	259
HB-1202 THIRD READING	PAGE	260
Ha-1211 MOTION	PAGE	294
HB-1212 MOTION	PAGE	294
H6-1213 MOTION	PAGE	294
H3-1214 MOTION	PAGE	294
HB-1215 MOTION	PAGE	294
HB-1216 MOTION	PAGE	294
HB-1223 SECOND READING	PAGE	5
Ha-1235 THIRD READING	PAGE	290
HB-1262 THIRD READING	PAGE	123
HB-1272 SECOND READING	PAGE	281
HB-1272 THIRD READING	PAGE	281
HB-1315 SECOND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1317 SECOND READING	PAGE	7
HB-1324 SECOND READING	PAGE	260
HB-1324 THIRD READING HB-1343 THIRD READING	PAGE	261
1212 HILLO KENDING	PAGE	93

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3 04/23/90

HB-1359 SECOND READING	PAGË	155
HB-1396 THIRD READING	PAGE	196
HJ-1396 MOTION	PAGE	194
HB-1398 THIRD READING	PAGĒ	289
H3-1400 THIRD READING	PAGE	290
HB-1415 THIRD READING	PAGE	55
HJ-1434 THIRD READING	PAGE	150
HB-1453 SECOND READING	PAGE	7
HB-1469 THIRD READING	PAGE	215
HB-1505 THIRD READING	PAGE	295
H3-1523 THIRD READING	PAGE	263
HB-1527 THIRD READING	PAGE	203 57
H3-1546 THIRD READING	PAGE	153
HB-1551 THIRD READING	PAGE	227
HB-1551 MOTION	PAGE	227
HB-1557 SECOND READING	PAGE	136
HB-1557 THIRD READING	PAGE	140
Ha-1559 SECOND READING	PAGE	27
HB-1559 THIRD READING	PAGE	30
Hd-1561 THIRD READING	PAGE	59
HB-1578 SECOND READING	PAGE	261
HB-1578 SECOND READING	PAGE	265
HB-1578 THIRD READING	PAGE	168
HB-1578 THIRD READING	PAGE	265
HB-1578 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	147
HB-1591 SECOND READING	PAGE	211
HB-1591 THIRD READING	PAGE	214
HU-1594 SECOND READING	PAGE	185
HB-1594 THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1594 THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1622 SECOND READING	PAGE	164
HB-1622 SECOND READING	PAGE	184
HB-1622 THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HE-1622 THIRD READING	PAGE	185
HB-1626 THIRD READING	PAGE	157
H3-1635 MOTION	PAGE	190
HB-1648 THIRD READING	PAGÉ	216
Hb-1653 THIRD READING	PAGE	229
HU-1655 SECOND READING	PAGE	
HB-1655 THIRD READING		31
	PAGE	32
H8-1657 THIRD READING	PAGE	240
HB-1659 MOTION	PAGE	14
HB-1680 THIRD READING	PAGE	244
HS-1680 THIRD READING	PAGE	245
HB-1680 MOTION	PAGE	244
HB-1695 THIRD READING	PAGE	261
HB-1720 SECOND READING	PAGE	206
HB-1720 THIRD READING	PAGE	207
HB-1724 SECOND READING	PAGE	263
H3-1724 THIRD READING	PAGE	264
HB-1734 SECOND READING	PAGE	291
HB-1734 THIRD READING	PAGE	292
HB-1784 SECOND READING	PAGE	35
HB-1784 THIRD READING	PAGE	35
Hb-1803 THIRD READING	PAGE	265
HB-1824 THIRD READING	PAGE	65
H3-1863 THIRD READING	PAGE	165
HB-1870 THIRD READING	PAGE	231
H8-1871 SECOND READING	PAGE	237
HB-1871 THIRD READING	PAGE	239
HB-1890 SECOND READING	PAGE	8
H3-1926 SECOND READING	PAGE	168
HU-1926 THIRD READING	PAGE	171
HB-1927 THIRD READING	PAGE	69

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 4 04/23/90

	MAY 26. 1989		
HB-1958	THIRD READING	PAGE	232
HB-1958	THIRD READING	PAGE	233
HB-1961	THIRD READING	PAGE	291
	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
	THIRD READING	PAGE	262
	THIRD READING	PAGE	283
	THIRD READING SECOND READING	PAGE Page	266 203
	THIRD READING	PAGE	203
	SECOND READING	PAGE	219
	THIRD READING	PAGE	219
	THIRD READING	PAGE	152
Hd-2098	THIRD READING	PAGE	194
	THIRD READING	PAGE	108
	THIRD READING	PAGE	125
	THIRD READING	PAGE	265
HB-2130	THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	70
HB-2130		PAGE	15 17
	THIRD READING	PAGE	175
	SECOND READING	PAGÉ	171
	THIRD READING	PAGE	103
HB-2160	THIRD READING	PAGE	163
H8-2170	THIRD READING	PAGE	267
	SECOND READING	PAGE	232
	THIRD READING	PAGE	232
	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-2183 HB-2183	THIRD READING	PAGE	21 20
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	268
	SECOND READING	PAGE	282
	SECOND READING	PAGE	287
HB-2196	THIRD READING	PAGE	287
	SECUND READING	PAGÉ	268
	THIRD READING	PAGE	268
	THIRD READING	PAGE	283
	SECOND READING THIRD READING	PAGE Page	216 217
	THIRD READING	PAGE	177
	SECOND READING	PAGE	123
	SECOND READING	PAGE	267
	THIRD READING	PAGE	267
	THIRD READING	PAGE	293
	SECOND READING	PAGE	270
	THIRD READING	PAGE	270
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE Page	9 37
	SECOND READING	PAGE	271
	THIRD READING	PAGE	273
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	37
	SECOND READING	PAGE	223
	SECOND READING	PAGE	201
	THIRD READING	PAGE	201
	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
	THIRD READING THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	184 134
	THIRD READING	PAGE	287
	THIRD READING	PAGE	296
	SECOND READING	PAGE	273
	THIRD READING	PAGE	274
	THIRD READING	PAGE	45
	THIRD READING	PAGE	235
	SECOND READING THIRD READING	PAGE	9 282
UD-5311	INIKO KCAUINO	PAGE	202

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 5 04/23/90

25, 275,		
H3-2520 THIRD READING	PAGE	166
HB-2531 THIRD READING	PAGE	258
Hd-2541 THIRD READING	PAGE	269
HB-2557 THIRD READING	PAGE	246
HB-2568 THIRD READING	PAGE	205
HB-2570 MOTION	PAGE	15
HB-2581 SECOND READING HB-2584 THIRD READING	PAGE	12 202
HB-2596 MOTION	PAGE Page	17
HB-2600 THIRD READING	PAGE	141
HB-2604 SECOND READING	PAGE	204
HB-2604 THIRD READING	PAGE	204
H3-2605 THIRD READING	PAGE	60
HB-2639 THIRD READING	PAGE	63
HB-2669 SECOND READING	PAGE	186
HB-2669 THIRD READING	PAGE	187
HB-2669 THIRD READING	PAGE	167
HB-2670 THIRD READING	PAGE	221
H3-2687 THIRD READING	PAGE	64
HJ-2694 THIRD READING	PAGE	287
HB-2706 SECOND READING	PAGE	99
HB-2709 THIRD READING HB-2712 SECOND READING	PAGE	210
HB-2712 SECOND READING HB-2713 THIRD READING	PAGE	213
HB-2713 MOTION	PAGE Page	222 222
H3-2721 SECOND READING	PAGE	37
HB-2721 THIRD READING	PAGE	37
HB-2756 SECOND READING	PAGE	205
HB-2756 THIRD READING	PAGE	206
HB-2769 SECOND READING	PAGE	278
HB-2769 THIRD READING	PAGE	279
H3-2779 SECOND READING	PAGE	288
HB-2779 THIRD READING	PAGE	289
HB-279d THIRD READING	PAGE	200
HB-2806 SECOND READING	PAG <i>Ē</i>	205
HB-2806 SECOND READING HB-2806 THIRD READING	PAGE	210
HB-2816 FIRST READING	PAGE Page	210 298
SB-0004 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0008 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0016 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0051 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0052 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0060 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
S5-0111 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SE-0118 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0119 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0125 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0151 FIRST READING SB-0171 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0194 FIRST READING	PAGE Page	300 300
SB-0204 FIRST READING	PAGE	301
SB-0219 FIRST READING	PAGE	301
SB-0219 FIRST READING	PAGE	301
SB-0237 FIRST READING	PAGE	301
SB-0237 FIRST READING	PAGE	301
SB-0249 FIRST READING	PAGE	301
SB-0260 FIRST READING	PAGE	301
SB-0293 FIRST READING	PAGE	300
SB-0296 FIRST READING	PAGE	299
SB-0307 FIRST READING SB-0333 FIRST READING	PAGE	301
SH-0333 FIRST READING	PAGE Page	299 300
SB-0335 FIRST READING	PAGE	299
End . MENDING	IAGE	.,,

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 6 04/23/90

SB-0335	FIRST	READING	PA	\GE	300
SB-0338	FIRST	READING	P.	\GE	299
SB-0341	FIRST	READING	P.A	IGE .	299
\$3-0344	FIRST	READING	P/	IGE	301
SB-0392	FIRST	READING	P.A	IGE .	299
		READING			301
		READING		GE	301
		READING		GE	301
\$8-0454					301
		KEADING			301
		READING			299
SB-0486					299
S3-0492					30 I
SB-0499					299
\$B-0505			P A	.G E	299
SB-0543	FIRST	READING	P#	∖G E	299
SB-0556	RESOLI	JTION OFFERED	P#	GE	297
\$3-0572	FIRST	READING	P.A	GE	299
\$8-0589	FIRST	READING	P#	GE	301
SB-0663	FIRST	READING	P.A		301
SB-0667					301
SB-0681					301
SB-0696					302
SB-0710					302
\$8-0753					302
S3-0764					
SB-0879					302
					302
\$8-0884					302
SB-0895					302
\$3-0900					302
S3-0907					302
SB-0917					302
SB-0920			P A	GE	302
S3-0925			P A	GE	302
S3-0927			PA	GE	302
SB-0933	FIRST	READING	PA	GE	302
SB~0934	FIRST	READING	P A	GE	302
SB-0935	FIRST	READING	PA	GE	302
SB-0937	FIRST	READING	PA	GE	302
\$8-0938	FIRST	READING	PA	G €	302
SB-0942	FIRST	READING			303
\$8-0943					303
SB-0948					303
SB-0948					303
\$8-0952					303
SB-0960					303
SB-0961					
SJ-0963					303 202
SB-0965					303
					303
SB-0966					303
SH-0971					303
SB-0976					303
SB-0981					303
SB-0989					303
SB-0999					303
SB-1000			PA		303
SE-1002			PA	6E :	303
SB-1004			PA	GE :	304
SB-1015	FIRST	READING	PA	GE :	304
SB-1016	FIRST	READING	PA	GE :	304
SB-1017	FIRST	READING	PA	GE :	304
SB-1018	FIRST	READING			304
					304
SE-1021	CIDET	READING			304
	LIKOI				-
SE-1021 SB-1023	LIKSI				

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 7 04/23/90

SB-1024 FIRST	T READING	PAGE	304
SB-1032 FIRST		PAGE	304
SB-1034 FIRST		PAGE	304
SB-1034 FIRST		PAGE	304
S8-1039 FIRST		PAGE	304
SB-1041 FIRST		PAGE	304
SB-1042 FIRST	T READING	PAGE	305
SB-1043 FIRST	T READING	PAGE	305
SB-1047 FIRST	r reading	PAGE	305
\$3-1049 FIRST	T READING	PAGE	305
S8-1050 FIRST		PAGE	305
S8-1052 FIRST		PAGE	305
S8-1055 FIRST		PAGE	305
S6-1069 FIRST		PAGE	305
SB-1070 FIRST		PAGE	305
SB-1075 FIRST		PAGE	305
SB-1079 FIRST		PAGE	305
S8-1080 FIRST	T READING	PAGE	305
S8-1081 FIRST	F READING	PAGE	305
\$8-1083 FIRST	r reading	PAGE	305
SB-1085 FIRST		PAGE	305
SB-1086 FIRST			
		PAGE	305
\$6-1088 FIRST			305
S&-1089 FIRST		PAGE	306
SH-1094 FIRST		PAGE	306
\$3-1096 FIRST		PAGE	306
SB-1098 FIRST	READING	PAGE	306
S8-1114 FIRST	READING	PAGE	306
S8-1115 FIRST		PAGE	306
SB-1123 FIRST		PAGE	306
S8-1125 FIRST		PAGE	306
SB-1126 FIRST		PAGE	306
SB-1127 FIRST		PAGE	306
Sp-1128 FIRST		PAGE	306
SB-1147 FIRST	READING	PAGE	306
S&-1155 FIRST	READING	PAGE	306
SB-1159 FIRST	READING	PAGE	306
S8-1161 FIRST		PAGE	307
SB-1168 FIRST		PAGE	307
S8-1170 FIRST		PAGE	307
SB-1172 FIRST			
		PAGE	307
SB-1179 FIRST		PAGE	307
SB-1185 FIRST		PAGE	307
SB-1196 FIRST		PAGE	307
SB-1197 FIRST	READING	PAGE	307
\$3-1199 FIRST	READING	PAGE	307
SB-1200 FIRST	READING	PAGE	307
S6-1210 FIRST	READING	PAGE	307
Sd-1213 FIRST		PAGE	307
SH-1214 FIRST		PAGE	307
S8-1217 FIRST		PAGE	307
SB-1219 FIRST			
		PAGÉ	307
SB-1220 FIRST		PAGE	307
SB-1221 FIRST		PAGE	308
SB-1222 FIRST		PAGE	303
SB-1224 FIRST	READING	PAGE	308
SB-1254 FIRST		PAGE	308
SB-1256 FIRST	READING	PAGE	308
S6-1259 FIRST		PAGE	308
S8-1262 FIRST		PAGE	308
Sa-1269 FIRST		PAGE	308
SB-1207 FIRST			
		PAGE	308
SB-1280 FIRST		PAGE	308
S3-1288 FIRST	READING	PAGE	308

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 8 04/23/90

SB-1294 FIRST READING	PAG E	308	
S3-1296 FIRST READING	PAGE	308	
Sa-1301 FIRST READING	PAGE	308	
SB-1302 FIRST READING	PAGE	308	
SB-1303 FIRST READING	PAGE	308	
SB-1304 FIRST READING	PAGE		
		309	
SE-1305 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SB-1306 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
S3-1307 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SG-1310 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SU-1311 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SB-1313 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SB-1315 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SU-1320 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SB-1322 FIRST KEADING	PAGE	309	
SB-1323 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
Sa-1324 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SB-1325 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SB-1327 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
Sa-1328 FIRST READING	PAGE	309	
SB-1330 FIRST READING			
	PAGE	309	
SB-1338 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SB-1342 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SB-1344 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SH-1345 FIRST READING			
	PAGE	310	
S3-1346 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SB-1347 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SS-1348 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SB-1349 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
Sa-1352 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SB-1353 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SS-1369 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SJ-1375 FIRST READING			
	PAGE	310	
SB-1377 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
Su-1379 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
S8-1380 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SB-1382 FIRST READING	PAGE	310	
SB-1391 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1396 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1403 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1406 FIRST READING			
	PAGE	311	
S9-1407 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1408 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1414 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1417 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1418 FIRST READING	PAG€	311	
SB-1421 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1422 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
S8-1424 FIRST READING			
	PAGE	311	
SB-1427 FIRST READING .	PAGE	311	
SB-1428 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1429 FIRST READING	PAGE	311	
SB-1431 FIRST READING			
	PAGE	311	
SB-1441 FIRST READING	PAGE	312	
SB-1443 FIRST KEADING	PAGE	312	
SB-1445 FIRST READING	PAGE	312	
SB-1451 FIRST READING	PAGE	312	
SB-1455 FIRST READING	PAGE	312	
SB-1458 FIRST READING	PAGE	312	
S8-1463 FIRST READING	PAGE	312	
SD-1466 FIRST READING	PAGE	312	
HR-0261 RESOLUTION OFFERED			
	PAGE	297	
HR-0536 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2	
HR-0537 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2	

e 4 s

STATE OF ILLINUIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMOLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 9 04/23/90

MAY 26, 1989

HR-0538	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0539	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0540	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0541	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
	RESOLUTION		PAGE	2
HR-0543	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2 2 2
HR-0544	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0545	RESOLUTION	OFFEREC	PAGE	2
HR-0546	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0547	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
	RESOLUTION		PAGE	2
HR-0549	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0550	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0551	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2 2 2 2 2
HR-0552	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0553	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0555	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0557	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	298
HR-0558	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	298
HR-0559	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0560	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0562	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0563	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	298
HR-0564	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0564	RESOLUTION	OFFEREC	PAGE	297
HR-0565	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0566	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0567	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0568	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0569	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0570	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0571	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0572	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0573	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0574	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	298
HR-0575	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	297
HR-0576	RESOLUTION	UFFERED	PAGE	298
HJR-0058	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAGE	2
HJR-0059	RESCLUTION	OFFEREC	PAGE	297
	SUBJECT MAT	FTER		

HOUSE TO ORDER-SPEAKER MCPIKE

HOUSE TO ORDER-SPEAKER MCPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER-FATHER CORREDATO	PAGE	ı
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	2
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	2
AGREED BILL LIST	PAGE	1.7
MATHERVILLE FIFTH GRADE	PAGE	63
REPRESENATATIVE CULLERTON IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	73
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	136
SPEAKER MADIGAN IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	155
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	297
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	298
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	298
COMMITTEE REPORT	PAGE	298
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	312
PERFUNCTORY ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	312