120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "House will come to order. The Chaplain today is the Reverend Samuel Hale, Jr., of Missionary Baptist Church in Springfield. Reverend Hale is the quest of Representative Curran. The quests in the balcony may wish to rise and join us for the invocation." Reverend Hale: "Let us bow our heads. Eternal Father, You first for this morning and allowing us to see this day and to be a part of it. We thank You for the life that Thou has given unto us. And we pray now that Thou might grant us the wisdom to so live our lives and to make those decisions and take those actions throughout this day that will first be pleasing in Thy sight, consistent with will and complementary to the development of we, Thy people who strive to obey Your word and to do what is pleasing in Thy sight. Bless this Assembly and these, Thy servants who have accepted the responsibilities of leadership of Thy people. Give them the wisdom and the commitment to do what is right in Thy sight. Move each of them and of us beyond ourselves so that we might come to grips with Your will. Let Thy will be done in our minds and our hearts in this Assembly. Bless each home and each family represented here for You allow us through them to realize responsibilities not only to them but to our society and our communities. Bless each life that we hold in our hands as we make decisions. Make us more conscience of the that we are accountable to ourselves, to each other and to Thee for all that we say or do or fail to say or do. Bless this day and bless us in it and grant that Thy will be done through us. For in the name of righteousness and of judgement and of justice we pray. Amen."

Speaker McPike: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Steczo."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Steczo et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Capparelli do you want to vote 'present'. Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Chairman, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let the record reflect the excused absences of Bruce Farley due to illness and Representative Ronan and Keane on official business and I think you oughta call on the Chairman of the Lame Duck Society, Bob Terzich. Some of his ducklings aren't here. You want to make a call for them to come in Bob?"

Terzich: "(duck call) You ought to see me call geese."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kubik."

- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that there are no excused absences on the Republican side of the aisle."
- Speaker McPike: "Take the roll, Mr. Clerk. 115 members answering the roll, a quorum is present. Committee Report."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Committee on Rules has met and pursuant to Rule 29(c)3, the following Bills have been ruled exempt May 18, 1990: Senate Bill 1289, signed John Matijevich, Chairman."

Speaker McPike: "Message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills with the following title the passage of which I'm instructed ask concurrence to the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Bill #1575 and 2190 passed by the Senate, May 17, 1990, Linda Hawker, Secretary of the Senate."

Speaker McPike: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1575, McCracken, a Bill for an Act

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

concerning uniform laws. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2190, Kubik, a Bill for an Act in relation to child support. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "House Calendar Supplemental #1, yellow calendar, page two. House Bill, Second Reading. House Bill 3220.

Mr. Clerk. Any Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3220, this Bill's been read a Second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?" Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Cullerton."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton. Turn him on please."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill deals with a problem that arose as a result of the court decision where the garnishment statute declared unconstitutional. So everyone interested in the garnishment statute knows that we have to pass the Bill to comply with the court decision. And it's involved in negotiations with the Legal Assistance Foundation and creditor...creditors, various representatives as well as employers. And we're trying to fashion a compromise and this Amendment #2 is the latest in our attempt to fashion a compromise. Basically, what the court decision had to say was there has to be a notice given to a judgement debtor, a notice which is not being So what's contemplated by this particular given now. Amendment is a situation where a...after a judgement the creditor would prepare an envelope, a stamped envelope, and he would give that to the person who serves the employer

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

with the summons and he, that would either be the sheriff or the clerk of the court, would then mail this notice, this new statutory notice which is spelled out in the Bill to the judgement debtor. The controversy comes into play to who it is that shall be required to give the notice. Whether it be the employer, whether it be the judgement, it be the creditor, whether it be in whether Amendment's case an envelope prepared by the creditor but given to the sheriff to mail or the clerk of the court. So the reason why the Bill's on the Agreed List is because we know we have to pass a Bill. All the parties involved are going to have agreed to continue to negotiate and so this is the Amendment is the best posture we'd like to have it in before it goes to the Senate. So, I move for its adoption. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to inquire.

Apparently there have been some discussions among interested parties, the city's involved now I guess. I'm told that there will be further discussions with the city next week. Is that your understanding?"

Cullerton: "Right. The reason why the city's interested is the largest employer in the State of Illinois. they have a number of employees whose wages, unfortunately sought to be garnished. On the other hand, they're also a creditor. And they garnish wages themselves, edged sword and both sides are trying to double figure out who's going to be responsible for sending out this notice. That's really the issue. Legal Assistance Foundation, they're interested in another subject matter which has to do with exemptions and that's a subject matter which is actually on another Bill that Representative Lang's the Sponsor of. But what we're trying to do with

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

this Bill is address this court decision so we have a garnishment statute that's constitutional."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment. It's a very difficult problem and there still may be a few details to work out but this is a good place to start and we'll continue to talk while it's in the Senate. I urge that this be adopted."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3261. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3261. This Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Hicks."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks. Representative Cullerton.

Representative McCracken, rather."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There have been some discussions in this area. Nothing has been concluded but the parties feel they need to keep the discussions alive. This Amendment which I offer at this time would make it a vehicle Bill. I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I think what we want to ultimately do do here is make this into a true shell Bill. Is that correct?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Cullerton: "And I don't know that Amendment #1 does it. I think

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

maybe #3"

McCracken: "Oh. Alright. Yes, you're right. You're right."

Cullerton: "I think Amendment #3 is the one we want to adopt."

McCracken: "I move to withdraw 1 and 2."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Hicks and McCracken."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken"

McCracken: "I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3282. Are there any Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3282. This Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Ronan."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stange - Parke - Williamson - Homer - Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, we'd like to withdraw Amendment #2. And go with Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw Amendment #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Ronan and Cullerton."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, Amendment #3 becomes the Bill. It creates the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Promotional Gifts and Contest Act, which applies to any promotion offer made to any person in Illinois. Requires disclosure for promotions of a notice containing the name and address of the promoter and the sponsor of the A statement that the notice contains important consumer information and other disclosure items, eligibility, the odds, the retail values and whether a person has to attend the sales presentation. If the prize is not available the sponsor has the option to give the prize of equal or greater value, the prize's verifiable retail value and cash or a check or a rain check stating the prize will be shipped within 30 days at no Ιf cost. the rain check is not honored the sponsor must mail a check or a money order. The exceptions to the Act include promotions for books, records, videos, or magazines, the person has the right to review the merchandise without obligation for at least seven days and then receive a refund. An Illinois retail seller offering in-store contest, sweepstakes or drawing and promotional mailing by a retail seller of personal property to its charge account customers. The first offense is a business offense, with up to a thousand dollar fine and two times the actual damages. Violations also constitute a violation Consumer Fraud Acceptive Business Practice Act. move for the passage of the Amendment. I think we all know what these promotions are like. I think we've all receiving these things in the mail and since we're all State Representatives we're hopefully sophisticated enough not to fall for them but many of our constituents drive out these things in the hope of winning some kind of a automobile or something and it turns out they're just there to buy a time share in some condominium, turns out that they're duped. So this is a response to that."

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion of this Amendment? Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think this is probably a great idea but I'd just like to ask the Sponsor one question if I could."
- Speaker McPike: "Yes."
- Black: "Representative, this is aimed primarily at direct mail
 promotions, is it not?"
- Cullerton: "The purpose of this Bill is to halt the hundreds of thousands of mailings to Illinois citizens each day claiming consumers have won fabulous prizes when in fact consumers have probably won nothing more that the right to pay a con-artist for bogus merchandise."
- Black: "You mean that card I got in the mail yesterday said I could win a new car if I go look at a campground, I may not win it?"
- Cullerton: "Well, actually, you might be the one guy that actually wins a car. But, I don't know of any Democrats that have ever actually won anything."
- Black: "Well, in that case it sounds like a pretty good idea then."
- Speaker McPike: "Anything further? Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Well, pending receipt of my prize I'm one of the suckers that has fallen for this. I got something in the mail that said if I spent 400 dollars on 45 coffee mugs, I would win one of the following prizes: a 1990 Ford Taurus, a two karat diamond you know...real diamond in a pendant, an apple computer or 1500 dollars in cash. So I sent in my money. And my wife just called me the other day and said we've won the diamond pendant or necklace. Now, we haven't seen it yet, but I will agree to this Bill as long as I can advise the Senators whether I've been taken in or not."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. The Amendments adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. We're never going to finish today if we spend 20 minutes on an Agreed Bill. House Bill 3367. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3367, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3510."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3510, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Morrow."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Morrow. Representative Turner, on the Amendment. Representative Turner, there's 3

Amendments filed. Withdraw Amendment #1. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Morrow and Turner."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw Amendment #2, Amendment #3."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Morrow and Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Amendment #3 becomes the Bill. It incorporates Amendment #1 and it provides that the plaintiff's Motion for the extension of the judgement of possession must contain a specifically worded notice directed to the defendant. It also provides that the courts must grant such Motions unless the defendant proves that the tenancy

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

has been reinstated. Or the breach has been waved. Number 3, a part judgement agreement has been performed by the defendant or grounds exists that bar enforcement of such judgement. And I move for the favorable support of Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Discussion? The question...Representative Black."

Black: "Representative, is this the Amendment that you had agreed to put on that would satisfy the objections of those in the real estate industry?"

Turner: "Yes it is, Representative."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3524."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3524, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3554."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3554, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Turner."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Can you withdraw no, no. We want to...Amendment #1, Amendment #1 spells out, requires that the tenant's possessory interest in real...in the foreclosure proceeding at the time of filing a complaint must be joined no later than 30 days before the sale of the real estate. And in essence, what we're asking is that in

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

any morgage forclosure that the tenant be given a 30 day notice prior to eviction. It also says that a tenant does not have any rights regarding the particular suit, and that's spelled out in this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted'? All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Turner."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraws Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3645. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3645, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3649. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3649, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Hartke."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

3649 as introduced in committee has been replaced with
Amendment #2. It was a controversial issue and I've worked
out an agreement with Farm Bureau and Amendment #2 contains
those details. There's also going to be an Amendment
introduced in the Senate which will do the second phase of
this Bill. I'd be happy to answer any questions that you
might have."

Speaker McPike: "Discussion? Representative Black."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Hartke: "Yes. There's going to be two Amendments. One we're still working on and that is agreed to be adopted in the Senate when it gets over there. The Farm Bureau has signed off on this totally per an agreement I worked out with them, and of course with Representative Homer's wishes in the Ag Committee."

Black: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3811 has been knocked off the Agreed Calendar. House Bill 4190. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4190, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representatives

Keane - Matijevich - Parcells - McGann and Kubik."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Representative Keane is not here."

Speaker McPike: "I see. Is Mr. Keane and Matijevich...is this supposed to be withdrawn?"

Matijevich: "Not that I'm aware of. Jim Keane didn't say he wanted to withdraw it and I surely wouldn't want to withdraw it."

Speaker McPike: "Take it out of the record for just a second.

We...it was the Chair's understanding that is was agreed,

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

that it would be corrected in the Senate. Representative McCracken, can you...alright, Mr. Clerk, are all the Bills on Second Reading with the exception of 4190 now on Third Reading?"

Clerk O'Brien: "All Bills have been moved to Third Reading."

- Speaker McPike: "House Bills, Third Reading. On Agreed Bill list, on the Supplemental...yes. Read the Bills and if there are any...if the Chair could have the attention of any Members that have a Bill on the Supplemental Calendar on Third Reading. Does anyone have a Bill on Third Reading that has to be back, brought back to Second for an Amendment? Please notify the Chair. House Bills, Third Reading. Read the Bills, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 3220, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3261, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3282, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to promotions and contests. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3367, a Bill for an Act in relation to storm water banishment. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3510, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedures. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3524, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Dental Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3554, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedures. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3645..."
- Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Now if we come to any Bill that someone wants moved back to Second, please stop us. Proceed."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3645, a Bill for an Act to create the
 Certified Public Accountants Administrative and
 Disciplinary Fund. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

3649, a Bill for an Act in relation to agri-chemical contamination. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3163, a Bill for an Act to amend the Cemetery Care Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3257, a Bill for an Act to amend the Responsible Property Transfer Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3283, a Bill for an Act to amend the Asbestos Abatement Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3326, a Bill for an Act in relation to nuclear safety. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3373, a Bill for an Act in relation to employer provided health care benefits. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3449, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Professional Land Surveyors Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3481, a Bill for an Act to amend Illinois Parentage Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3482, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vital Records Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3533, a Bill for an Act in relation to property owned by husbands and Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3702, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to public health. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3732, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3851, a Bill for an Act authorizing issuance of state bonds. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3866, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to public safety employees. Third Reading the Bill. House Bill 3879, a Bill for an Act to amend the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3894, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create the Supported Employees Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3983, a Bill for an Act to amend the Wildlife Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4061, a Bill for an Act to amend the State

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Comptroller Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4185, a Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4194..."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Keane. I'm sorry, Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I would ask that 4194 be returned to..."
- Speaker McPike: "Yes. The Gentleman asks leave to return 4194 to Second Reading. Any objections? Hearing none the Bill's on Second Reading. Are there any Amendments Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative

 Keane McGann."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, and Members of the Assembly.

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 49...4194 is a DCCA suggested piece of legislation. The Amendment raises from 100,000 to 500,000 the minimum amount of loans, grants or stock purchase serving as economic development incentives to domestic businesses. It is also the requires economic impact fact study of any grant loan, or stock purchase to be set forth in the agreement with the business. This is a change from the public purpose requirement in the Bill as it was introduced. And I ask for adoption to Amendment #1 to House Bill 4194."
- Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Third Reading. Proceed."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4194, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill, and Senate Bill 721, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Housing Development Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Environment, Second Reading. House Bill 3507,
Representative Kulas. The Bill's been read a second time.

Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representatives Balanoff and Peterson, W."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "We're gonna, we're gonna withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representatives Klemm - Deuchler and Balanoff."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Klemm. Representative Deuchler, proceed."

Deuchler: "House Bill 3507 has a wetlands provision that we have drafted, Representative Klemm, Representative Balanoff and myself providing for no net loss of wetlands."

Speaker McPike: "This is Amendment #2?"

Deuchler: "Yes."

Speaker McPike: "Alright. The question is, 'Shall this be adopted?' Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 3507 is a vehicle Bill to address the wetlands

problem in this state. We want to pass it out as a vehicle

Bill, therefore, I would urge all my colleagues to not

accept any Amendments to this Bill at the present time."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Yes, Ladies and...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Many of us feel that it's important, even in the shell, to have legislative objectives. And our objective to protect very valuable wetlands is that there will be no overall net loss. It's a policy for wetland acres types and function values and by promoting an increase in the quality, quantity and functional capability

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- of the state's wetland resources. And I would urge adoption of Amendment #2. And we'd ask for a Roll Call Vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Deuchler to explain her vote."
- Deuchler: "In order to explain my vote. An action alert has been put out by the Illinois Environmental Council, this melt...may well be one of the key Roll Calls on environmental issues on the wetlands protection this year."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 35 'ayes', 72 'nos'. The Amendment is defeated. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 4152, Representative Hicks. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4152, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Been read a second time previously. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted previously. Floor Amendment #3 is being offered by Representative Kulas."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. Floor Amendment #3 addresses the Department of Energy and Natural Resources Amendment to the recycling bit on this Bill and I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4 being offered by Representatives

 Edley Giglio and Hultgren."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley, Amendment #4. Mr. Clerk, what is the Bill we're on?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4152, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act."

Speaker McPike: "Did we just adopt Amendment #3?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3 was adopted."

- Speaker McPike: "Alright, Amendment #3 was adopted to 4152.

 We're on Amendment #4. Mr. Edley."
- Edley: "Thank you. Thank you Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is the result of the Speaker's task force on newsprint recycling. It's a voluntary program to encourage increased usage of recycled newsprint. The program phases in over three years. At the end of three years, there's a percentage of 28 percent recycled fiber that the Illinois Press Association has voluntarily agreed to reach as a goal. If they reach that goal then there's no further action. If they don't reach the goal then it becomes a mandated level in 1994 for each newspaper in the state to reach. I'll respond to any questions about it."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill the third time Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4152, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. As the two Gentlemen have described, Amendments #3 and Amendments #4 were adopted become part of the Bill. The only thing is the original part of the Bill is still intact in the Bill. I'd

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

be happy to try to answer any questions anybody has."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 100, 106 'ayes', 6 'nos', none voting 'present'. House Bill 4152 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3507, read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3507, a Bill for an Act concerning the protection of certain natural habitats. Third Reading of the Bill. Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I mentioned before House Bill 3507 is a vehicle Bill for the wetlands issue that we're working on. The Department of Conservation is meeting with the Department of Agriculture and the Home Builders and the other interested groups. It's just a shell Bill right now. Which we want to move. And I would move for its passage."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Ropp, to explain his vote"
- Ropp: "Well Mr. Speaker. I was gonna ask a question to make sure that the intent as a shell Bill that the intent will be exactly as is prescribed by the federal law dealing with wetlands as it pertains to agriculture. Is that the kind of commitment that you're gonna have? Or would have?"
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? The Gentleman indicates yes.

 Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will

 take the record. On this Bill there is 76 'ayes', 28

 'nos', 2 voting 'present'. House Bill 3507 having received

 the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The

 Chair is going to start, if you'll look at page two of the

 Calendar at the very bottom we're going to start with State

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Budget and then proceed up the line, Professional Regulation and Labor. We're not going back to any of these order...Orders of Business. We're not going to return. So you've got one chance to call your Bill here. If you don't want to that's your choice. Professional Regulation. House Bill 2074, Representative Kubik. Out of the record. Labor, Second Reading. House Bill 3114. The Bill's been read a Second Time. Are there any Amendments filed?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3114, it's been read a Second time previously. Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Lang."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang."

"Thank you Mr. Speaker. House Bill Lang: 3114 deals with Wage Deduction Act. Representative Cullerton had a Bill earlier that dealt with a different section of the Reduction Act relative to notice. Amendment #1 to this Bill does two things relative to the Wage Deduction Act. Firstly, it changes the fee that the employer will receive for, handling the wage deduction from \$8 to \$12. it changes a flaw in the Act that has been there for some time. And the flaw relates to who pays the costs of a wage deduction. As you know, all costs of a litigation or a lawsuit are chargeable to the defendant. The initial filing fee, the service of summons, the filing of the wage deduction, but for some reason there's an anomaly Act and in the \$8 fee that the employer's, has been that the employer's been able to charge in the past has not been chargeable to the debtor, the person that owes the money but in fact has been chargeable to the creditor. SO We have the situation where the employer takes the \$8 out employee's check but the creditor must credit that against the balance due. That's unfair. Ιt makes sense. The debtor is responsible for all other costs

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

relative to a wage deduction or all other costs relative to litigation. There's no reason he shouldn't be responsible for these costs as well. So these are the two changes that Amendment #1..."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion on the Amendment? Being no discussion the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Lang."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 deals with one This has been known in the past as the wildcard exemption. But in fact, it's not a 'wildcard exemption'. Into the Garnishment Law has been built in aside from the 85 percent exemption that debtors receive currently on their wages. Which, by the way, is 75 percent pursuant to federal law. There's been a \$2000 personal exemption built in. And if you take a look at the language in Section 12-1001, of the Act dealing with personal property exemption it discusses personal property. The intention of this Act and this Section was to deal with the personal possessions of a debtor and not allow a creditor to take them away. Things he needs to live with. Such as a refrigerator, things, the necessities of life. And the Section goes on to discuss wearing apparel, school books and that type of thing. And the Bible, failure in the previous drafting of this left a hole in the Act that has allowed some judges to interpret this section to include wages. So we have a situation, and it takes place more often in Cook County than any other place, where judges are applying aside from an 85 percent exemption on wages, which as I say is already more liberal than the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

federal exemptions, they're adding an additional \$2000 in some cases on an exemption on wages. This Section of the Act never intended to apply to wages it only intended to apply to personal property. This Amendment, therefore clarifies that and creates a situation where wages are not applied under this Act and only personal property. I ask its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Young."

Young, A.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment. I also disagree with his interpretation of law. It's not correct. What this Amendment will do, it will only affect low income debtors. The wildcard provision was written into Illinois law in 1982, as a general protection of personal property and the federal courts in Illinois have determined that any property means current wages as well as personal property. The wildcard protects the money income of a garnished worker only when the money income are only assets that the worker has. This law was intended to give low income workers income protection when they didn't assets that the higher income workers actually have. Amendment #2 was offered in the Judiciary Committee as a Bill in its own right. It was not successful in the The committee did not think it was a good committee. and would not send it to the floor of the House. bad Amendment. It will only hurt the lowest of low income wages workers, what it'll do, it'll cause low income workers to resign their jobs rather than be subject to this higher amount of garnishment than higher income workers have to pay and I ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn. John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I too, rise in opposition to Amendment #2 to House Bill

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

haven't read all the cases 3114. but it is mv understanding that the statutory exemptions from judgement creditors allowable for those who are sued, include cash. Section C of the personal property exemptions, and it's clearly understood that personal property includes cash. Section C says the, pardon me, Section B as in boy, debtor's equity interest not to exceed 2000 dollars in value in any other property. Any other property, course, would include cash. What happens is that this Amendment seeks to address the situation where a judgement creditor has wages coming, or pardon me, the debtor has wages coming, they are the subject of a wage deduction order and disaster strikes and the debtor seeks to claim his or her exemptions, that, those wages are cash, they are money which belong to the debtor they happen to be in hands of the employer being held at the present time. is clearly an asset of the employee of the debtor and this Amendment should not be adopted to defeat the purpose of that, of that situation which has been understood in the law for a long, long time. There is a problem which needs addressed and language needs to be articulated to solve that problem but this is not it. So I would respectfully urge defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker McPike: "Yes."

McCracken: "How is this wildcard exemption being applied in the circuit court in Cook County? Is the exemption available multiple times, depending on the number of garnishments and would you explain what is happening in the courts right now that makes this Bill necessary?"

Lang: "Well, I thank you for the opportunity. Currently, the court is applying it multiple times. People are allowed to

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

claim this \$2000 dollars over and over and over again. Creditors are entitled to be paid. People create bills and have a situation particularly in Cook County where they're not required to pay those Bills. Because if a person has over a period of the eight week garnishment period, \$1500 dollars in wages withheld. There's a judge in Cook County that adds another \$2000 dollars to the already 85 percent exemption and therefore the person isn't paying anything on the wage deduction. And we have the situation in Cook County where a person could be making 30 or 40 thousand dollars a year and if he claims this exemption may never have to pay any money to a creditor. And that certainly cannot have been intended by this statute."

- McCracken: "And there is already the safeguard as to wages which you referred to the 85 percent rule. Would you explain that to the Body?"
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang and Representative McCracken. We have a lot of Bills to move here. Now, the Chair would appreciate it if you would try to be brief. Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. It is very difficult on this kind of a Bill but I'll try. Currently, the Federal Law allows states to exempt 75 percent or more of a worker's wages. In Illinois we already exempt 85 percent of a worker's wages. We go well beyond what the Federal Government requires. Workers are protected and these rulings in Cook County that are based on an interpretation of this statute that is incorrect need to be addressed by this Bill and this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "There are ample safeguards. The wildcard exemption is being abused by the courts. No one is suffering under this except the creditors currently. This does not

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

represent a threat to the debtor. I rise in support."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Flinn."
- Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, because of the large number of Bills and the shortness of the time, I would suggest that the nonlawyer Members have a brief explanation of their Amendment and Bill, that Lawyers not be permitted to explain it at all."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright. The suggestion is that no lawyers or no one from St. Clair County will be allowed to speak today. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there's 75 'ayes', 30 'nos' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Lang."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang. Out of the record.

 Withdraws Amendment #3. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative
 Anthony Young."
- Speaker McPike: "Withdraws Amendment #4."
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3114, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang."
- Lang: "The Amendments become the Bill, I'd appreciate the same Roll Call."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 82 'ayes' and 26 'nos'. House Bill 3114 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed.' House Bill 3788, are there

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

any Amendments filed? Representative Young, do you want this Bill called? Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3788. It's been read a Second time previously. There are no Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3788, a Bill for an Act to guarantee a work opportunity for all Illinois citizens. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Young."

Younge, W.: "Thank you for Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Bill 3788 would establish the guaranteed work opportunity program for all the citizens of the state of Illinois. Basically, what this Bill would do would be to revise the old PWA (sic...WPA) the Works Progress Administration in which young people were placed in various parks and on public projects in order to help reveal the environment and while they did this 32 hours a week, would happen is they would work four days for minimum wage and then on the fifth day they would have an educational This Bill is very carefully crafted so that experience. there would be executive councils in each of the counties Then these executive councils would come up in Illinois. with the work projects. Based on the theory that local government of course knows best what would be the work projects for the various projects around this state. I ask your endorsement of this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Would the Sponsor yield for a question please?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes. Briefly."

Didrickson: "Representative Younge, I understand that there is a \$1.5 million dollar cost to this program. Does this come out of straight GRF?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Younge, W.: "I didn't hear the last part of your question."
- Didrickson: "I am advised by our staff that there's a \$1.5 million dollar cost to this. Does this come out of General Revenue Funds?"
- Younge, W.: "The, that cost would be at a later date. To begin with there must be stored up in a conceptulization of the program and I think that DCCA or the Department of Labor said that only about \$200 thousand dollars would be needed to start the program up. And to conceptualize the various projects. Then there would be two pallets probably during the second year at two areas in the state in which a number of young people would be employed. It would not be that cost really from the standpoint that young..."
- Speaker McPike: "Anything further? Representative Didrickson."

 Didrickson: "I'd just like to say that there's a \$1.5 million dollar cost to this. It comes out of General Revenue Funds basically to guarantee jobs for teenagers. The support services that its going mandate and require would be to provide transportation to and from work, childs healthcare and any other special materials needed. I think that perhaps it might be a good idea but certainly not one that's affordable or justified at this point and time."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Representative Younge to explain her vote."
- Younge, W.: "Thank you very much. Actually rather than being new money this would be a decrease in the payments that are made under the Public Aid budget because the state puts half of the money in for public aid and the state puts in money for general assistance and rather than giving checks to the young people this would be a work experience would teach them how to do the most important things that they have to do in life and that is to work. And for those

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

reasons, I think that it would not really add totally to the expense of the State of Illinois, but would be a savings. If we could teach young people how to work then they would not be in the penitentiary and they would know how to live as adult citizens. And those are the reasons I think that a work opportunity Bill for young people. We have thrown our youth aside and I need 10 more votes to pass this Bill. Could I have your attention? We have thrown our young people aside and we haven't shared the work of our state with these young people."

- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 51 'ayes' and 60 'nos'. Representative Younge.
- Younge, W.: "Postponed Consideration."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady asks Postponed Consideration. House Bill 3987, Representative Martinez. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments? 3987."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3987, it's been read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Martinez."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Martinez. Did you wish to withdraw the Amendment, Sir?"
- Martinez: "No. Thank you Ladies and Gentlemen."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative do you want to withdraw this Amendment? Proceed."
- Martinez: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker McPike: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Martinez."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Martinez. Proceed. Amendment
 #2. Proceed, Sir."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Martinez: "Yes, Amendment #2 becomes the Bill. Amendment #2 to House Bill 3987 as amended changes the required pay from 5 percent of each salary based classification established under the Personnel Code to the department. Changes it so the department may establish a pay supplement for bilinguals. The amount supplement and the length of the time it remains in effect shall be negotiated between the department and appropriate collective bargaining representative. I ask your approval of this."
- Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be...Representative Black."
- "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Black: A question to the Sponsor. I didn't hear everything he said. Ιt that Amendment #2 is establishing a supplemental pay, in other words additional pay for employees that are required under the job description to speak a language other than English. And that additional pay may apply for each foreign language required for the position. Representative, now you started out with a 5 percent supplement, I think this Amendment takes it out. The amount of the supplement then is determined by the collective bargaining process. Is that correct?"

Martinez: "Yes."

- Black: "So there's no specified supplement now in the Bill at all, right?"
- Martinez: "No there is not a subject to...subject to negotiations between the agency which is CMS and the AFSCME which represent..."
- Black: "Okay. So I guess the bottom line is if they don't bargain that initiative..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "I'm sorry Mr. Speaker, I got cut off. The bottom line is

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

if it isn't negotiated then there will be no additional pay supplement for someone required to speak a language other than English at all. Correct?"

Martinez: "This Amendment was...fashioned after suggestions by both the agency and AFSCME."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black, to the Amendment."

Martinez: "To insure..."

Black: "I'm not sure he answered the question. As I interpret it, I guess if it isn't bargained collectively then there would be no supplement. If that's the case I think that answers some of the concern."

Martinez: "Correct. Representative Black."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3987, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Personnel Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Martinez."

Martinez: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. House Bill 3987 is patterned after legislation signed into law in Ohio in response to the issue of higher pay for bilingual skills. Currently being addressed by both public and private sectors across the nation. House Bill 3987 requires the, the Department of Central Manager Services to establish four positions requiring an employee to speak or write other language than English. A bilingual pay supplement, I'm sorry we took that five percent out. Thank you and I ask for your favorable support on this matter."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 90 'ayes', 18 'nos'. House Bill 3387 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Labor, Third Reading. House Bill 2907. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2907, a Bill for an Act in relation to medical and family responsibilities leave. Third Reading of the Bill. Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 2907 creates the Illinois Family Responsibility and Medical Leave Act of 1990. It would require an employer with 50 or more employees to grant up to 8 weeks of unpaid leave over a 24 month period certain employees, for the birth of a child, adoption or foster placement of a child or to illness of the his child, spouse, or parents. Employees eligible for leave under this Bill must have been employed at least 12 consecutive months by the employer and work at least 20 This would require the employers to hours per week. continue the employee's health insurance coverage at the employee's expense during the period of the unpaid leave. the identical Bill that was passed out of this House last year which the Governor vetoed. Governor's veto message he stated that the reason he vetoed was because this should be done message collective bargaining. Well let's face it Ladies and Gentlemen, the majority of the workers in this state don't work under collective bargaining, so that was no excuse. Family leave is an issue which is here to stay and it's an issue which must be passed. We've debated this Bill extensively, I'll be glad to answer any questions and then I'll close."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Alright since, this is the identical Bill we debated last year, we're gonna ask Representative McCracken to speak against the Bill. Everyone else explain their vote. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in objection to this I'm absolutely opposed to it. You know, big brother Bill. lives beyond 1984. How paternalistic can we be? We think the people of Illinois are so stupid they can't take care of themselves and solve their own problems. So we're going to address this problem with a sledge hammer. We're going to tell businesses, and I'll tell you something, it ain't big business that's affected, 50 employees and up. Do you know that for other purposes big business in this state defined at 250 employees and up. So if you think you're only hurting AT&T, you are mistaken. You are This Bill is paternalism at its worst. the employers of Illinois that they have the freedom under our Constitution to deal with their employees fairly. the state of such sophisticated labor and collective bargaining laws and the ability of the employer to respond as the economics of his business dictate, we should not be here ruining whatever good the business of Illinois does. Don't forget. Even those of you with the large tax eater constituency. You have small business in your district Your neighbor employs people in his small business. brother is in small business. Your Aunt is in small business. This is absolutely the wrong thing to do. Chicago Tribune just a few days ago editorialized against this again. They believe that it's bad public policy that it paternalistic that it is counter productive. I rise in opposition."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- Representative Santiago to explain his vote.

 Representative Santiago, turn him on please."
- Santiago: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to let the record reflect that I would have voted 'aye' on House Bill 3987."
- Speaker McPike: "The record will so reflect. Representative Ropp to explain his vote."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think as the previous speaker mentioned that we should not really get ourselves involved in mandating what small business should do. The problem that has also not been addressed is the fact that you let someone get off for eight weeks then you hire a replacement, what does that replacement do after that period of time? I mean we're really not even addressing the intent of helping people. We are really putting them out saying that we will help you become fully employed but then only for eight weeks. This is not the way to solve unemployment."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Kulas, one minute to explain your vote."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One of the previous speakers mentioned that this effects the small business. It effects only five percent of the employers in this state. Let's look at the situation. Look what happened at the federal level. Congressman Hyde, Congressman Martin voted for the Family Leave Bill. Because they see the light. Now why don't you open up your eyes, vote for this Bill. Let's put Illinois in the forefront in this United States for family."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish?

 Representative McCracken to explain his vote. Oh, he wants
 a verification. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish?

 Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 65
 'ayes', 48 'nos' Representative McCracken, Representative

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Well, we have a minor problem here Mr. Speaker. In punching when Representative Shaw punches his light it does not work here on the board and in our haste we thought he didn't, hadn't voted and we kept punching it until we were off again on again. So..."

Speaker McPike: "What do you want to do sir?"

Van Duyne: "He wants to vote 'aye'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw would like to vote 'aye'."

Van Duyne: "Get somebody to fix the light. It mixes us up."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw, 'aye'. Representative McCracken. The question is...on this Bill there are 60...Representative Mautino, 'aye'. Anything else? On this Bill there are 67 'ayes', 48 'nos'. House Bill 2907 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3125. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3125, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3125 as amended the Civil Administrative Code to require Department of Central Management Services shall interest accrued at the rate of seven percent per year from 45th day after the claims are received Department of Central Management Services or 45 days from the date on which the amount of payment is agreed upon until the date the claims are submitted to the Comptroller payment. My understanding is that by October often that the fund for wage claims is depleted so that those entitled to back wages must wait great lengths of time for back payment of wages that they are entitled to. Ιf fund was funded sufficiently there would be no cost to the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

state for this Bill. Under current circumstances the cost of the state is approximately 20,000 would be approximately \$20,000."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 3125 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3239, Representative LeFlore. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3239, a Bill for an Act to amend the Steel Products Procurement Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3239 is not a new Bill to this chamber. This Bill has been around over the years, it's a Buy American Bill. This Bill changes the title of the Steel and Steel Products Act to the Illinois Domestic Procurement Act. It requires that every contract entered into by the State of Illinois for the purchase or lease of commodities and manufactured goods other than steel be domestic products. I don't see why we should put a lot of discussion in this Bill. This Bill has been discussed many, many times it's controversial and therefore I ask a favorable vote for House Bill 3239."

Speaker McPike: "And one Member in opposition, Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor?" Speaker McPike: "Briefly."

Harris: "I understand, Representative, I know we've seen this

Bill before. By 1993 you require that there be 70 percent

if I'm not mistaken, 70 percent American Prod..., American

manufacturing 70 percent."

120th Legislative Day May 18, 1990

LeFlore: "That's stated in the Bill, Sir."
Harris: "How is that going to be judged?"

LeFlore: "Well, I think that's something we have to figure out."

Harris: "Say again? I'm sorry."

Leflore: "Well, I think that's something that will have to work out."

Harris: "It's gonna have to be worked out. Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, if I may, to the Bill. It's got to be worked out. Quite frankly, there's a lot in this Bill that has to be worked out. How do you judge whether or not a product is at 70 percent of American manufacture? How do you judge when they make computer chips in Mexico and they make computer chips in Japan and when they make computer chips knows where else in the World and may show up an American...American computers. How do you judge it's 70 percent or not? When they make a car engine in Mexico they make the seat covers in Taiwan. They make other part, the brakes could come from Cana...another country. How do you judge whether it's 70 percent? You the Secretary of Agriculture, the United States Secretary of Agriculture is in Europe right now. And vou know what he's trying to do? He's trying to break down protectionist barriers. He's saying to the Europeans, look You're not letting us sell American products in we think that's bad. We want you to bring down Europe, those trade barriers and those trade walls. What we're doing right here is putting up barriers. And we are sending a signal. If you don't think those people overseas are watching what we are doing in this House, you are mistaken. They are. What we do is important. It has significance. They are watching it. They are saying, you guys are gonna throw up your trade barriers, why should we lower our trade barrier. This is counter productive.

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

It's not going to help us in the long run. It's not gonna help us competitively. The more we remove competition from our industries, the more we hurt our industries. Look at it closely, please. I know it's passed out of here before, it's a bad Bill. It shouldn't be passed. Look at it closely. I think you'll see that it's unworkable. Let's put this thing back where it belongs which is for a lot more revision and put 'no' votes up on the board. Thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Balanoff to explain his vote."
- Balanoff: "Yes. I rise in support of this, and to explain my vote. In support of this Bill, I come from the southeast side of Chicago which has been devastated by plant closings, in the steel industry. Had this Bill been law 10 years ago, probably many of the people that I grew up with and the parents of many of my friends would be working. Instead, unemployment is at probably 20 percent in my district and in many other steel areas of this state. So I would urge many more green votes."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Representative Ropp, did you wish to explain your vote?"
- Ropp: "Well, Mr. Speaker. I was only going to say that what the very people who are trying to help here are the people that we're going to end up laying off work because there will not be jobs as a result of this. I urge a 'no' vote because trade is an international street, we need to work together internationally. This only builds barriers we cannot afford to have them."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Olson."
- Olson, M: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Very quickly, Illinois' #1 industry is agriculture.

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

You're talking about swapping 80,000 jobs. The steel industry would have been in better shape to handle what had came along if they'd have made good investments in the years when they were making money. You can't equate that."

- Speaker McPike: "Vote your own switch. There'll be a verification. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there's 60 'ayes', 52 'nos'. Representative McCracken asks for a verification. Representative LeFlore asks for a poll of those not voting."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Mautino and Stern are not voting."
- Speaker McPike: "Verification of the Affirmative. Mr. Clerk, read the Affirmative."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Balanoff. Bowman. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Curry. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Edley. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. Leverenz. Levin. LeFlore. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Richmond. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. Williams. White. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge, and Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Brunsvold would like to be verified. He's right here Mr. Parke. Brunsvold is that alright? Mr. Parke, yes? Thank you. Mr. Parke."

Parke: "Representative Ronan?"

Speaker McPike: "He's not voting."

Parke: "Representative Keane?

Speaker McPike: "He's not voting."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Parke: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Laurino here. Representative Laurino. The

Gentleman's not here. Remove him from the Roll."

Parke: "Representative Satterthwaite?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite is here."

Parke: "Representative Balanoff?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Balanoff is here."

Parke: "Representative Rice?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Rice. Representative Rice.

Nelson Rice. Gentleman here? He's not. Remove him from

the Roll."

Parke: "Representative Bowman?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman is here."

Parke: "Representative Levin?"

Speaker McPike: "Levin. Representative Levin. Ellis Levin.

Gentleman here? Remove him from the Roll."

Parke: "Representative DeJaegher?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative DeJaegher is here."

Parke: "Representative Morrow?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Morrow. He's here."

Parke: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giglio's in his chair."

Parke: "Giorgi?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi..."

Parke: "Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't see him over there.

Representative Matijevich. I'm sorry. Sorry.

Representative Brunsvold?"

Speaker McPike: "He was verified."

Parke: "That's right. Thank you and Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative DeLeo, Jimmy Deleo.

Representative Deleo here? Remove him from the Roll."

Parke: "Representative Martinez?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Martinez."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Parke: "Martinez."

Speaker McPike: "Martinez here? Mr. Martinez? Remove him from the Roll. Representative Levin has returned. Put him on as an 'aye' vote."

Parke: "Representative Saltsman?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Saltsman. Is the Gentleman here? Mr. Novak, is Representative Saltsman here? Remove him from the Roll. Representative Dunn would like to vote 'aye'. Representative Preston would like to be verified. He's right here. Proceed, any further?"

Parke: "We have nothing more, Mr. Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Alright. Representative Martinez has returned. Put him on as an 'aye' vote. Representative Mautino, Representative Granberg, 'aye'. Representative Stern, 'aye'. On this Bill there are...Representative Stern 'aye'. On this Bill there are 60 'ayes'...Representative Saltsman has returned. Put him on as an 'aye' vote. On this Bill there are 61 'ayes', 51 House Bill 3239 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3624, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3624, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House
Bill 3624, amends the Insurance Code to extend the police
officers and firefighters and deputy sheriff's health
insurance retirement continuation privilege to all members
of the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund. All employees
now who are participants in IMRF, who retire or become
disabled would be able to elect to continue their group
accident and health insurance coverage until they become
Medicare eligible at age 65. I should mention to the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

declared passed. House Bill 4101, Representative Matijevich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. 4101 Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4101, a Bill for an Act to amend certain

Acts in relationship to collective bargaining. Third

Reading."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was introduced on behalf of the Associated Firefighters of Illinois. It would allow the employer and labor organization to establish through the collective bargaining process a procedure for promotion, which may differ from that provided by civil service. has happened too often have been that the municipalities have precluded someone from being promoted just because have been active in the labor organization. The Bill also includes an Amendment by Gene Hoffman which would provide a preference where someone may have two years successful course of study in criminal justice law enforcement. I would ask for the favorable passage of House Bill 4101."

Speaker McPike: "Any questions? Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "The Bill has been amended, apparently this was a very dramatic change in collective bargaining policy for the units affected. What does it do now relative to the collective bargaining procedures?"

Matijevich: "All it would do is, if there is an agreement, in other words through collective bargaining process, if the employer and the labor unit can agree to a procedure, for promotions. In other words it would have to go through the collective bargaining process, the as I said the Associated Firefighters, they have indicated that there have been too often where they have found because someone is involved in the labor union, they have been secluded from receiving promotions. So, if they can come to some agreement for a

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Members of this House that Federal law presently, the COBRA Law provides for employees and retirees to purchase up to 18 months health insurance continuation coverage, when they terminate their employment or when they retire. This Bill would extend the time for those employees retiring before the age sixty-three and a half. And I should also mention that the Bill specifically...specifically provides that the employees, the retiree, who wishes to take...to take advantage of this provision would pay both their share and the employer share or the municipality's share. Lastly. Mr. Speaker, the actuarial tables that have been provided by IMRF, show that the retirement age for regular employees is approximately sixty-four years of age, safety employees approximately sixty-two years of age. on the whole the...while this coverage is important, it provides that extra bridge that these employees need. The impact locally should not be that significant. I would answer any questions and ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? 'no'. will take the record. On this Bill there are 96 'ayes', 12 'nos', none voting 'present'. House Bill 3624 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4027, read the Bill, Mr. Representative Noland. Let the record reflect that Representative Noland would have voted 'aye' on House Bill 3624. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. 4027."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4027, a Bill for an Act in relation to correctional facilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The central issue in this Bill is to prohibit

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

prison privatization at the state level. There are a number of reasons why we need to support this concept. Despite the proponent claims, private prisons have not to be cheaper to operate. Several southern states have adopted this policy, it has proven to be quite threatening in many instances. Illinois prisons have dangerous, violent offenders in them and we need the accountability and security that only public management can provide. No matter what we want to think, however, we try to rationalize, we cannot escape the liability issues with respect to inmates. It simply remains a fact that the state is ultimately liable, whether we are private or public if inmates are violated. So it is not wise to turn over these operations to private management. Nowhere else in state government is such a practice tolerated. I appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this? Does anyone stand in opposition? Representative Ropp are you in opposition to the Bill?"

Ropp: "Question please."

Speaker McPike: "Briefly."

Ropp: "Representative, if this Bill passes and it...if a prison
is built and it's operated privately how does it make any
money?"

Phelps: "I'm not sure how it would."

Ropp: "Well then why have a Bill that would maybe never even be needed because it's not...if you're not going to make any money as a private business I don't...obviously the prisoners aren't going to pay for the care."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp, instead of getting into a dialogue. Let's proceed in opposition to the Bill or for the Bill."

Ropp: "Okay, I guess I'd say that to my knowledge any private

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

business like this that has ever gone in effect has always cost us more money that what anyone ever thought they could save. So I'd don't see any need for the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Question of Representative Phelps. Do local municipalities that are going to lease and put in private prisons of their own, are they included or have they been taken out of this Bill now?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Representative Hicks as amended we...we allowed state government, local government excuse me, to make that decisions now, so that's out of the Bill."

Hicks: "So in my case specifically, cause I'm the only one in the state right now that I'm aware of that has a privatization going on my local municipality is going to lease to an individual, they're going to actually put it in, they're going to lease to an operator that is allowed under this Bill."

Phelps: "Right. This just prohibits state government from the state level from doing it. The locals can do what they want."

Hicks: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Of course I, suppose it doesn't matter. This limits
 home rule though, does it require 71 votes? It doesn't
 matter."

Speaker McPike: "Requires 60 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, will take the record. On this Bill there are 83 'ayes' and 30 'nos'. House Bill 4027, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

different procedure that could be done through the collective bargaining process."

- McCracken: "I rise in opposition of the Bill. Where there boards or commissions they unilaterally decide the policy for hiring and promotions or not hiring. promotions. And this would subject every promotion decision potentially to the collective bargaining process. And that is an unwarranted extension of the collective bargaining process. Collective bargaining was never designed to insure promotion to be negotiated in the context of labor talks, it was designed for other and benefits. But not for promotion, I rise opposition."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, take the record. Bugielski 'aye'. On this Bill there are 71 Representative Trotter. On this Bill there are 71 41 'nos'. House Bill 4101, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Martinez."
- Martinez: "Could you please let the record show, I would have voted 'aye' on 4101."
- Speaker McPike: "Yes, the record will so indicate. Banking,
 Second Reading. House Bill 1679, Representative
 Cowlishaw. Out of the record. House...Housing, House
 Bill...Revenue, House Bill 2923. Read the Bill, Mr.
 Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Are there any Cosponsors on this?

 Representative Cullerton? Representative Cullerton?

 Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "I think Representative McAuliffe...is a Cosponsor on

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "May I have leave to present it?"

- Speaker McPike: "Yes, does the Gentleman have leave? Attendance
 Roll Call is used, the Gentleman has leave."
- McCracken: "Alright, this Bill allows the Department of Revenue to enter into cooperative agreements for the purpose of collection of Motor Fuel Taxes with various other Agencies.

 I move its passage."
- Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2923, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "All in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Turner? Have all voted? Have all voted
 who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill,
 there are 113 'ayes', 1 'no'. House Bill 2923 having
 received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared
 passed. House Bill 1042, Environment. Third Reading.
 Representative Parcells. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1042, a Bill for an Act to prohibit the use of live animals. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1042 as amended prohibits the testing of cosmetics, and household products in the eyes or on the skin of live animals, in a dermal or similar to dermal test for irritation. It makes a knowing violator...violation a Class A misdemeanor and it provides for civil penalties and authorizes civil proceedings to enjoy violation, enjoin violation. I stress that House Bill 1042 does not include any medical or any pharmaceutical testing, this is strictly cosmetic and household products. It includes no injestion

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

this isn't going to hurt children it has nothing to do with swallowing, animals will still be used for injestion they still be used for medical test pharmaceutical tests. This is strictly for cosmetics and household products over a hundred cosmetic companies are already going to other tests. There are alternative tests available for such testing, and therefore the unnecessary suffering of small animals is not needed in this area. I be happy to answer any question, anyone might have."

Speaker McPike: "Who stands in opposition to the Bill? Does anyone want to speak in opposition to this Bill? Who wants to speak in opposition to the Bill? Representative Giglio."

"Yeah, I'd like to say a little bit. Caught me off guard But...this is a Bill that we heard last there Margie. year. And...I think last year we said that we were going to try and do some more...meetings we were going to talk about it, you know this industry that...provides the tests and do the things we that we have to live with and the way live...in our...in our society. What it boils down to these things that they're doing in these laboratories, you know, the bottom line is do you do it on human beings or do you do it on animals. Now this industry has done an 'omen' job as far as trying to break it down step by step. it's a long way, but what these articles that these people have been sending to you is really ridiculous and they're not true. I would ask that you just leave this Bill alone, send it back this summer and this fall, there's going to be some more meetings all over the country with regards to They're doing their best and I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, Shall this Bill pass? All those in

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Representative Cowlishaw to explain her vote."

Cowlishaw: "Perhaps, the Sponsor could just nod yes or no please.

The chemical industries had some concerns about this because there are some tests required by the Federal Food and Drug Administration, which that administration does not recognize that there is any alternative. You either do that test or you can't pass the requirements of the Food and Drug Administration. Have you taken care of that problem? "

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 49 'ayes', 37 'nos'. Jesse White 'aye'. 50 'ayes' and 37 'nos', and the Bill fails. Public Utilities, Second Reading. House Bill 4171, Representative Hicks, Mr. Hicks, Representative Hicks. Out of the Record. Criminal Law. House Bill 2957, Representative McCracken. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Page four of the Calendar. House Bill 2957, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning jury instructions.

Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Cullerton."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton. Mr. Cullerton. Representative McCracken."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Representative McCracken I should withdrawn this right? Yes."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I withdraw that Amendment too."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraws the Amendment."

Cullerton: "Okay, I know what I'm doing here. I'm going to remove my name as a Sponsor of the Amendment.

Representative McCracken will be the Sponsor of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, the Gentleman asks leave to...change this Amendment to Representative McCracken. Gentleman have leave? Representative McCracken on the Amendment...Amendment #2."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This makes the requirement of jury instructions prior to trial discretionary with the court. I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have

it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Was the Amendment adopted?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2 is adopted."

Speaker McPike: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2957, a Bill for an Act concerning jury instructions. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "As amended the Bill allows the court to order the parties in its discretion, to prepare and tender jury instructions prior to the trial of a case. Currently, the practice typically is after the trial or after the evidence a jury instruction conference commences and is decided and

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

then the jury is instructed. This comes to me from a Circuit Judge who feels that the better practices to have the instructions ready so that some of the instructions at least can be given to the jury prior to the introduction of evidence. I move its passage."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in respectful opposition to this legislation, this is a very significant new and novel concept in the conduct of jury trials it may be the correct thing to do. But this is something which ought to studied, deliberated and discussed and it has not been adequately aired at this time. There were similar proposals in civil trials, which received considerable debate in House Judiciary I Committee. I think the sense those members was that this concept is premature, so I think we should slow this concept down until a time when we have...a better opportunity to consider its merits. I a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, this requires a little explanation. This was originally my Bill, along with Representative McCracken. And what basically happened was after, after discussions in the Judiciary Committee an attempt to work out an Amendment, I decided that I did not want to Sponsor the Bill anymore, and was not going to support it. But, as a courtesy to Representative McCracken I allowed him to become the Sponsor of the Bill. Now the reason why I still think it's a good idea to have some instructions be allowed to be given by a Judge at the beginning of a trial. And the current law says they can't do that, but the problem with this Bill as drafted it says that even if a Judge doesn't even want to read the instructions at the beginning of a trial, the way I read it, at the beginning of the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

trial the lawyers, both lawyers both sides have to bring in all of their jury instructions. Even if the case is settled and even if those instructions aren't read at the beginning of the trial. So, that's why I am not in favor of the Bill, but as I indicated I originally was the Sponsor, because we couldn't work out an agreement I changed by position on it and gave the Sponsorship of Bill to Representative McCracken. The reason why the lawyers, trial lawyers both plaintiffs and defendant lawyers are opposed to it, is that in the state courts they're used to preparing their Amendments at the end of a trial and they are very reluctant to have to do all this work before the trial starts. When it might not even go to trial and they don't know exactly what their instructions are going to be before the trial and as a result there's a good deal of lawyers who might not be in favor of Bill, because of that...of that objection."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 49 'ayes', and 55 'nos'. House Bill 2957 fails. House Bill 4126, Representative Homer. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. 4126."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4126, on page ten of the Calendar."

Speaker McPike: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4126, on page four of the Calendar. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal procedure.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen this is a

Cook County State's Attorney initiative that simply
provides that the...the right of the defendant to a speedy
trial, which is currently 120 days of in custody, 160 days

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

out of custody. The time shall toll where a defendant has been released on bail and has committed a Class B or That will trigger a restarting of the serious offense. time clock with respect to the speedy trial purpose. the purpose for the Bill, is to...because where a defendant facing multiple charges it requires additional trial court preparation, and the view is that if the defendant commits a crime while on bail that he has forfeited his right to a to be discharged should there be a lapes in the time within which he must be brought to trial to give the state additional time to prepare both cases. So, it came out of committee without any opposition that I recall, I would answer questions but I would ask for support."

Speaker McPike: "The House will stand at ease for just one second for an introduction. Representative Cullerton in the Chair."

Speaker Cullerton: "Ladies and Gentlemen, Ladies and Gentlemen, I have a brief introduction. I'd like to introduce to the House: Vince and Larry. Vince and Larry as you can tell are dummies and, and the reason why they are dummies is because they don't wear their seatbelts. These are the guys that are used in those crash tests to see what happens you don't wear your seatbelt, so I thought I would introduce them to you, Vince and Larry are unable to since they are dummies so you don't have to worry about them saying anything, but they're here to demonstrate I'm not sure what district they're from but Representative Weller or Weaver I'm not sure, but they are, they're here to emphasize that next week is Buckle Up America week. that's why they are in town. They travel throughout they're not the Democratic leadership team, that's not true. I just thought I would introduce them to you

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

they won't say anything so you're off the hook. Thank you. Representative McPike the Chair."

- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Weaver. House will come to order.

 Representative McPike in Chair. Representative Weaver."
- Weaver: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just...I

 wanted to make an observation, I noticed neither one of
 those dummies was wearing a helmet."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright, Representative Homer, did you finish on your Bill?"

Homer: "Yes."

- Speaker McPike: "Did you close Representative Young in opposition is that correct? Representative Young stands in opposition, to House Bill 4126 proceed, Sir."
- Young, A.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Bill, the right to a speedy trial is one of the basic fundamental guaranteed to everyone in this society. The charge of another crime is not and should be, should not be connected to the right to a speedy trial. If there's a charge of another crime, first of all its just a charge and no one is convicted. But secondly and most important for there to be a charge it means that person must have been out on bail. then the appropriate punishment of course is to revoke that bail and put the person in the penitentiary. absolutely no connection between speedy trial and perhaps a subsequent charge, all too often people wind up staying in jail for extremely long periods of time for a crime they are eventually not convicted of. So this is a bad Bill we need to force the State's Attorneys to bring people who want to go to trial to a speedy trail to help relieve the over crowding in our local jails."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 85 'ayes', 24 'nos'. House Bill 4126 having received Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Economic Development. House Bill 2714, Representative Wyvetter Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2714, a Bill for an Act to amend the Build Illinois Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2714 would amend the Build Illinois Act to permit the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to develop and operate an urban demonstration in the East St. Louis area of a small business incubator. There is presently, there in East St. Louis a small business incubator, but this Bill would ask the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to and make resources available for feasability studies and to develop an expand the prayer view of incubator into a demonstration, that would particularly go into defense contracts, under the defense contracts law five percent of the contracts would go for minority business or go to minority businesses. And McDonald Douglas Enterprise in St. Louis, Missouri is interested in the possibility of asking minority engineering firms, example around the country to branch and locate in the incubator to in order to expand the small business strategy and the redevelopment of the East St. Louis economy..."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone rise in opposition? Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Just briefly, Mr. Speaker. To point out, the city already has an incubator in place, the Lady wants the small business incubator to receive one hundred percent State funding instead of fifty percent as is currently the status. This was a duplication of effort, a duplication of

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

dollars, and a duplication of bureaucracy. We would stand opposed."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Doederlein to explain her vote. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 48 'ayes', 53 'nos'. House Bill 2714 having failed to receive Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. Transportation, House Bill 4172, Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks. Hicks. Representative Hicks. Out of the record. Insurance, House Bill 3323, Representative Mautino. Representative Mautino. House Bill 4048, Representative Williams. Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, I move to table House Bill 4048."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman, moves to table House Bill 4048. Does the Gentleman have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Gentleman has leave. House Bill 4048 is tabled. Special call Civil Law, House Bill 3019. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3019, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill was on the Agreed List yesterday and was knocked off last night. This Bill creates a statute of limitations in a malpractice action brought against an attorney. Right now there is no malpractice statute of limitation. The proposal would, which is supported by the some lawyers groups but opposed by others, I should point out. It provides a two year statute of limitation on attorney malpractice actions with a six year period of repose. There are some exceptions, of course, as in the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

case where you don't learn about the malpractice in the case of say a will or testamentary trust because the error could not be discovered until after the client has died. In those cases there's a two year period from the day of person for whom the attorney rendered the death of the services in order to bring an action. I should tell that there are some lawyers' organizations that are opposed to the Bill, the Trial Lawyers, I normally support the trail lawyers position but in this case I think they're wrong. The Trial Lawyers are opposed to the Bill, but I still think it's a fair Bill. So I appreciate your support."

Speaker McPike: "In opposition, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "This is an absolutely horrible Bill. If you want to go back to your districts and tell your constituents that what you want to do, by the way is this on Short Debate, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker McPike: "Pardon me."

Johnson: "Is this on Short Debate?"

Speaker McPike: "Everything we're trying to keep on Short Debate.

You're speaking against the Bill and he spoke for it.

We're trying to move along today."

Johnson: "Well, I think this is a very significant Bill and I would ask that at least five others to join with me in allowing us to discuss this issue in more than a limited context."

Speaker McPike: "We can discuss it all day if you want to Representative Johnson, it's fine with the Chair."

Johnson: "Well, as I..."

Speaker McPike: "We'll open it up and everyone can discuss this Bill. Proceed, Sir."

Johnson: "Do you want to go back to your constituents and tell them that what you did today, what you've done in your

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

function down here is to create special rules for lawvers. Let lawyers off the hook when they foul up then I guess you can go back and do that. This Bill strikes at the very core of the most essential relationship in our society, at least in the business contracts, between an attorney and a client. When you go in as a client whether you're a farmer or a laborer or whatever you might be and ask your for legal advice. You're asking him or her to give you advice in the most sensitive areas in your life. Whether it's a will, what you want to do with what you've acquired for a lifetime in terms of property, pursuing an where you've been hurt or otherwise. And when a lawyer fouls up, as we do, then we ought to have to pay the price. This Bill in essence makes it extremely difficult to sue a And when you go back home and after this Bill is in effect for a couple of years and you have people start calling you on the line and saying, why did you pass this special statute of repose a special treatment for then you're going to feel the price for it. Downstate anyway, when somebody comes into a lawyer's office and asks you to draw up a will most people assume that that will good until somebody else in the family dies or unless there is a major occurrence that causes a necessity for change in Often times that happens after six years after will. ten years, they assume a will is good forever. make mistakes. They violate the rule against perpetuity, they violate various rules in our legal system and when that happens and when somebody loses a lifetime savings because a lawyer fouled up. They come into the courts and they say no the Legislature acted in May of 1990 to create a special statute of repose for lawyers, you can't anymore, the statute of repose is run, your family estate is gone. You've got to pay the government everything then

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

they're going to wonder why did we do that. Why did we create a special set of rules for lawyers when the most intimate business relationship that exists is violated and when and we don't comply as lawyers with our obligation use ordinary care in dealing with our clients. very, very bad public policy. It strikes at the core of the relationship between an attorney and a client and it's bad business. In addition to that, you can only use own imagination to think of the number of areas where lawyers can potentially make mistakes. And the ramifications of those can mean the elimination of a cause action, the inability to represent somebody sensitive child custody or child visitation case, the ability to represent a family effectively in terms of family planning and on and on and on and on. If you want to vote to give a special S.O.P. to the attorneys to negligent attorneys who leave their client without a cause of action, you'll vote for this Bill. If you want to vote for the people, the people that you represent that are subject and come into a law office with the opportunity to get legal advice and then have their whole lifetime plan by a negligent lawyer, then...the obvious from there. House Bill 3019 is one of ramifications flow the worst Bills of this Session, and it ought overwhelmingly defeated."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I frequently agree with the previous speaker and I think he is very often on point. In this case, however, I think he could not be further from the right position. This Bill puts a statute of limitations as we have in virtually every area of human endeavor. And let me just give you a couple of examples if they haven't already been

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

said. In medical malpractice cases we have a four year statute of limitations. For accountants we have provided for a five year statute of limitations. This is providing for legal malpractice, a six and ten year period of a statute of limitations. That is extremely reasonable but let me point out what the opposite effect would have, and I'm going to use as an example our distinguished colleague, Representative Countryman who is over there and sitting...who sits next to Representative Johnson through а great loss to the General Representative Countryman has decided to become a judge of the circuit court. And I'm sure he'll be very distinguished in that new role. However, after he's left the practice of law for five years, for ten years, fifteen years, for twenty-five years because of a will that he may have written ten years ago, that he was paid perhaps the magnanimous sum of fifty dollars for, thirty-five years later he may be able to be sued for a million dollars because the person he wrote that will for in a thirty-five year span of time never bothered to have that document reviewed by a subsequent attorney. Even though tax laws change virtually every single year, family circumstances change with great frequency and with all important documents every lawyer I know who is not committing malpractice advises his or her clients, now this is a will, this is good today but I don't know what the tax laws going to be tomorrow or a year or two or three years from now, as your family circumstances change, as your financial circumstances change you should have this important legal document reviewed by other counsel periodically. And if that person, that client does not choose to do that, why should Representative Countryman, some twenty years after he has left the practice of law be liable in a malpractice

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

case. That makes no sense. That's why we put a four year statute of limitations on the books for physicians and a five year statute for accountants and this would put a six and a ten year statute of limitations for attorneys. It is absolutely meaningful, it is necessary, there is no reason why someone who leaves for any reason, the practice of law, should have to continue to buy malpractice insurance, ten, twenty, thirty, forty years after they no longer practice law. That makes absolutely no sense and I'd urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is without a doubt the worst anti-consumer Bill you could vote for this entire Session. What Representative Preston said is that the lawyer who draws your will and naturally you do it before you die you die eleven years later and the lawyer totally screwed up your will and your estate plan and costs your wife, your widow and your children maybe two hundred thousand dollars in federal state tax because the lawyer screwed up the will. We can't go back and sue that lawyer. That's what it is all about. This is without a doubt the worst anti-consumer vote, those of you who are concerned about your constituents, your consumers, you're sending the wrong message. You're telling those consumers, you can't go back and sue the lawyer that screwed it up. Here we have lawyers in the General Assembly, legislating their own safe harbor. It's the worst possible vote you could make this Session. And there are others, yes. But this is without a doubt the worst, when you've got to go back home to your districts and tell them that you let lawyer every practicing law in the State of Illinois off the hook, because you created a safe harbor for them. Yes,

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

lawyer but I'll tell you what, it sends the wrong message to the voting public in Illinois. Lawyers do not deserve special treatment, they're down here making laws to protect themselves. Sponsoring laws and making laws to protect their own profession. That sends the wrong message. I urge the colleagues on both sides of this aisle not to create any safe harbor for lawyers, be concerned about your consumers. And if you're so concerned about your consumers, you'll vote 'no' on this issue. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I warned you about those lawyers. I move the previous question."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the previous question is put. Representative Cullerton to close."

Cullerton: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't mind if you want to vote against for...certain reasons but certainly not for, I don't want to misrepresent or have the Bill misrepresented. T specifically said. in the case of a will there is an exception. The statute of limitations doesn't even begin until after the person dies and after that you have two years to file the lawsuit. I would also suggest that this a special rule for the lawyers. If you want sav it's a special rule for the lawyers then you have also there's a special rule for physicians, dentist, registered nurses and hospitals. Those professions have a statute of limitation of two years with a four year statute repose whereas, this is a suggest...a six year statute of repose. Public accountants have a two year statute of limitations, architects, builders and contractors have a four year statute of limitations. Just about everybody has

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

a statute of limitations except for lawyers. So it is a needed and reasonable Bill, the only group of lawyers that are opposed to it are the trial lawyers that Representative Johnson alluded to, those are the trial lawyers who like to go out and sue anybody they can, especially other lawyers who they think they can get a claim of malpractice against. So, if...if you think that you want to vote with the trial lawyers so they can run around and get some more money for their lawsuits then fine but if you think this is a reasonable Bill as I do, I encourage you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson for what reason do you rise? You spoke in debate. A point of personal privilege? State your point, Sir."

Johnson: "Representative Cullerton indicated that I misled the General Assembly in regard to the provision, with regard to wills."

Speaker McPike: "I don't think he did, I..."

Johnson: "Yes...yes, he did."

Speaker McPike: "I think Representative Wennlund..."

Speaker McPike: "Is this a point of personal privilege?"

Johnson: "Yes, it is."

Speaker McPike: "Was your name used in debate?"

Johnson: "Yes. He indicated..."

Speaker McPike: "By who?"

Johnson: "He indicated that I can't be missed...absolutely."

Speaker McPike: "Was your name used in debate, Sir?"

Johnson: "Yes, it was."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, it was? Yeah, proceed, if your name was used in debate go ahead and proceed."

Johnson: "Indicated that with respect to wills that I had misled the General Assembly by indicating that the action had to

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

be brought two years after the death of the person. If you'd read page two of the Bill, page, lines fifteen thru eighteen, it specifically provides but in no event may an action be commenced more than ten years after the date on which the act or omission occurred regardless of when the death of the client was. So, I accurately stated the Bill from the beginning and Representative Cullerton's comments apparently accidental, did not correctly state the nature of the Bill and didn't correctly state my opposition to it. My opposition stands and I correctly stated the Bill in my debate originally."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton on a point of personal privilege, his name was used in debate by Representative Johnson."
- Cullerton: "I didn't feel personally offended by anything he said, so I already closed and I would just be happy...I would suggest that he read the Amendment that was adopted in committee not the Bill. That's okay, let's just vote on it."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Representative Hasara to explain her vote."
- Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to see more 'no' votes up there. To me, to compare the time for accountants and architects to that of a lawyer, is ridiculous. Go back and tell your constituents that you're comparing the damage that a lawyer could do to someone's life to that that an accountant or architect could do and see what they think about that. I urge more 'no' votes."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Sutker."
- Sutker: "Mr. Speaker, this is a very reasonable Bill. It's been characterized as one that is anti-consumer. To the contrary, it's one that will alert the consumer to the

120th Legislative Day

May 18. 1990

dangers that can incur when you don't realize what your own are and what they may be. If this unreasonable then every statute of limitations on the books should be removed. Now I say that to you because I see that there is a hesitancy to support what is a forward looking effort to make it clear to all that you have to be alert to your own rights and to protect lawyers who decades after they've made...done an act, may find that retribution has occurred from directions they never even conceived of. We've voted on accountants Bills that...that are certainly more outrageous, on medical malpractice Bills that are certainly more outrageous and here you had a thoughtful, reasonable Bill that was considered in committee and it's being denounced and characterized as anti-consumer. in itself is outrageous."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Morrow."

Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm voting gold on this Bill, 'present', due to the fact that lawyers who are voting on House Bill 3019, everyone else who has a conflict of interest on any issue gets...will rise and claim that they have a conflict but they will vote their conscience. Each...every lawyer on this floor should have rose and said, that I have a conflict of interest on House Bill 3019 and that they will vote their conscience. I feel that it's wrong, that everyone else can do that or has to do that but the lawyers in this chamber feel that they don't have to abide by the same rules that everyone else has to abide by."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you...Mr. Speaker, I think Mr. Morrow's remarks are well taken and I do have a conflict of interest and I will vote my conscience."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Cullerton."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Cullerton: "Yes, I agree with Representative Morrow, I think every lawyer should get up and indicate that if they are voting 'yes', they have a potential conflict of interest but they are voting their conscience, so we can spend a little bit more time while we run up the votes we need to pass the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "I would just like parity with the doctors to the extent, I have a conflict of interest I shall vote 'aye', for parity with the doctors."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Can you hear me through my duck?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Breslin: "Can you still hear me through my duck?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Breslin: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I too am a lawyer. I, however, disagree with Representative Morrow. I do not believe I have a conflict of interest and the reason I don't believe so is because I don't have a direct financial gain from the passage or the loss of this Bill. And that's the only reason when a person has a conflict of interest. Neither myself nor my husband who is also a lawyer would have a direct conflict of interest by the passage or the loss of this Bill. We don't sell this kind of insurance, etc, etc, etc. Maybe the insurance people ought to get up and tell us about their conflicts."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think those of us that aren't lawyers might be considered barracks lawyers ought to help the lawyers in the House. Now I know all of you are judging the mental quotient of the lawyers in the House, you know they need a lot of help, they need a lot of support and we ought to protect them against themselves, and I think they

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

need this Bill very badly. You don't want to go back home and put any of your lawyers in jeopardy. So let's give them some support and give them five more votes."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted the record to reflect I'm a certified non-attorney but I'm going to vote my conscience."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "I too, am a lawyer, I believe in parity. I stand to announce any potential conflict of interest, even though it may not be certain. I vote my conscience."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Morrow."

Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Since my name was used in debate of this Bill. I also on certain issues have conflicts of interests. I do not gain from those conflicts of interest but I still declare my conflict of interest. So the reasoning that was given as to why they did not...they did not feel that they have to get up to say that they had a possible conflict of interest is not valid. And I don't like my name being used in debate for that kind of reason or purpose."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black, are you looking for a verification?"

Black: "Well, I just want to help all the attorneys and everybody that might be in a conflict of interest, if you vote your own switch you won't have a conflict because we will request a verification should it be...close."

Speaker McPike: "Alright. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 60 'ayes', 32 'nos' and 20 voting 'present'. And Representative Black is asking for a verification. Representative Cullerton would like a Poll of those not voting. Representative...Mr. Clerk, proceed with Poll of those not voting."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Daniels. Goforth. And McCracken. No further."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, Mr. Cullerton did not hear those, would you repeat those?"
- Clerk Leone: "That was, Representatives Daniels, Goforth and McCracken."

Speaker McPike: "Any further?"

Clerk Leone: "No further."

- Speaker McPike: "Alright, proceed with the Poll of the Affirmative."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Balanoff. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Flinn. Flowers. Gialio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hanniq. Hartke. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Turner. Van Duyne. Trotter. White. Williams. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black, is there any questions?"

- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Representative Balanoff?"
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Balanoff. Representative Leverenz is right back there, he would like to be verified. It would be Leverenz, we're going to write these down and Lang, right here in this aisle, Mr. Black."

Black: "Fine."

Speaker McPike: "Okay. Leverenz and Lang. Alright,
Representative Balanoff. Is Mr. Balanoff here? Yeah,
there he is, he's here."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative DeLeo. Mr. DeLeo. Jimmy DeLeo.

The Gentleman's not here. Representative...remove Representative DeLeo from the Roll Call. Representative Curran would like leave to be verified. Representative Ellis Levin would like leave to be verified. Anyone else? Proceed, Mr. Black."

Black: "Representative DeJaegher?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative DeJaegher is here."

Black: "Is Representative Flowers over there?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flowers. Representative Shirley

Jones would like leave to be verified. She's in this aisle

over here, Mr. Black."

Black: "Fine."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, Representative Flowers? Mary Flowers.

Is the Lady here? Remove her from the Roll Call."

Black: "Representative White?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, he's here."

Black: "Thank you. Is Representative Munizzi over there?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "It's hard to see hiding behind those stacks of Bills here. Representative Van Duyne?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, he's here. He's right down front."

Black: "Representative McNamara?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative McNamara. There he is, in the rear."

Black: "Representative Granberg?"

Speaker McPike: "Granberg. Representative Granberg.

Representative Martinez would like to be verified, he's right down front. Representative Granberg. Yeah, here he is, he's here. Granberg is here."

Black: "Thank you. Representative Giglio?"

Speaker McPike: "Giglio is right in the center aisle next to

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Representative Cullerton. Representative Mary Flowers has returned. Vote her 'aye'. Proceed, Mr. Black."

Black: "I have nothing further, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Nothing further. Representative Hicks would like to vote 'aye'. Mr. Hicks 'aye'. On this Bill there are 60 'ayes', 32 'nos', 19 voting 'present' and House Bill 3019 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Yes...Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 3019 passed."

Speaker McPike: "Having voted on the prevailing side,
Representative Cullerton moves to reconsider the vote by
which that Bill passed. Representative Giorgi moves that
lay on the table. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.
The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. Government of
Administration, Second Reading. Second and Third House
Bill 613, Representative McGann. Mr. Clerk, the Bill has
been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative McGann."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "I'd ask that Amendment #2 be tabled."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2, further
Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative McGann."

McGann: "I respectfully ask that Amendment #3 be tabled."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraws Amendment #3, further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Shaw."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw. Amendment #4. Mr. Shaw.

Yes, Sir proceed. Proceed, Sir. Amendment #4."

Shaw: "Oh...Representative Currie, I will call on Representative

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Currie to explain the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Shaw: "What Bill are we talking about..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie, would you like to explain this Amendment? No, she doesn't want to. Do you want to withdraw the Amendment?"

Shaw: "The Amendment elects College Board Members by districts, that's what this Amendment does."

Speaker McPike: "Any opposition on this? Looks like there is a lot of opposition on this. Who wants to speak against the Amendment? Is everyone that's light is on, are they all rising in opposition? Does anyone rise in support? Alright we have...we have five lights on...Representative...Shaw."

Shaw: "Table the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Further

Amendments? Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative McGann."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. Amendment #5 becomes the Bill, what it does is it makes the Bill applicable to community college districts having a population of 345,000 or more which are contiguous to Chicago. The purpose of the Amendment is to insure that the Bill applies to Marine Valley community college district, which has a population of 348,244. This piece of legislation is important, it has nothing to do with the City of Chicago, but it does as far as the suburbs are concerned. And what we have is a problem of taxation without representation, and this is to correct this ill that is existing. Further it's a movement throughout the Country, that the community college districts, they should

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- be elected by the Members of the district wide, rather than at large. And I would ask for support of Amendment #5, to House Bill 613."
- Speaker McPike: "Does anyone rise in support of this Amendment?

 You can turn off your light if you're in support. Anybody
 in opposition? Opposition would be Representative
 Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker. At this point with this Amendment may I have the floor to speak in opposition based upon what I think that Amendment does. May I question the Sponsor on the Amendment 4?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yeah, yeah."
- Mautino: "...In your explanation of the Amendment, you are establishing districts as a opposed to district wide election of the community college members. Is that correct?

 And the original."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann, you'll have to speak into your microphone."
- McGann: "Thank you. It wasn't on Sir. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

 We're offering the right of a referendum to obtain the district wide, rather than at large by referendum."
- Mautino: "You are offering a referendum, whether or not the members of the community college board should be elected at large or by districts. Is that correct?"
- McGann: "That is correct."
- Mautino: "Your original Bill also had a provision that, no part time employees would be employed by a community college district. Which would eliminate seventy percent of the educators. Is that in your Amendment?"
- McGann: "That was taken out, it's deleted completely. Has nothing to do with this Bill any longer."
- Mautino: "At the current time, 613 only has the provision that would allow for a referendum on determination of who would

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

serve on the school board. By district or at large."

McGann: "Community college districts, right. By referendum."

- Mautino: "That's all the Bill does at this point in time? I happen to stand in opposition to the concept of the district as opposed to the at large positions, at large election process. So therefore I don't believe I'm the person to stand in opposition, since my other question was responded I will wave that to someone else."
- Speaker McPike: "Does anyone else stand in opposition to the Gentleman's Bill or the Amendment as described. Representative McNamara."
- McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I stand in opposition to this Amendment, there are eight communities in my legislative district. All eight of these communities are affected by this Bill. The Sponsor who lives in Chicago is not personally affected by the Bill, has only two communities that are affected by this Bill. I think it is absolutely the wrong way to go at this time, there are people on the board right now that are doing a very good job in fighting the taxes and trying to lower the assessment value. This is the wrong thing to do and the wrong time to do it. I am definitely in opposition to this Amendment and this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Question'is, Shall Amendment #5 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Wolf, to explain his vote."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment. This Amendment contains provisions very similar to a Bill that was passed last year. And simply gives the people in a particular community college district, the privilege of voting for their trustees on a subdistrict basis. It gives those taxpayers the same representation that they should have through, rather than a election at large. This is the same

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

provision that petition signatures in the amount of two thousand or more are presented to a board and upon presentation of those signatures the board presents the referendum to the public. I would move for adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative...Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My principle reason for opposing this Amendment is because it would limit minority access to the members of community college boards. In 1989, I would remind you that the officials οf the NAACP opposed geographical subdistricting for community colleges. Subdistricting requires a candidate to finish first, in order to win a seat, whereas a candidate when those candidates district wide can finish third or fourth and still win a seat. For the purposes of promoting representation on these boards. I stand in strong opposition to this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Young."

Young, A.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to support this Amendment...the figures the Lady just gave were involved with another district much further downstate in Illinois. reason I'm supporting this is because understanding by creating subdistrict in this area which is in Cook County there's a possibility that one of subdistricts could have...wind up with minority representation. When you have a large concentration of minorities in a small area, subdistricting is a plus for the minority population."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The previous Speaker, I believe the minority spokesperson on education on the other side of the aisle. Said that this is bad, that's the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

furtherest thing from the truth. This is exactly what you have in the City of Springfield. This is exactly what two years ago our...a prior Speaker on this side asked for his own high school district to provide representation by district and it's by referendum. Not what the previous Speaker said. This provides for equal treatment in a community college district, rather than running at large to provide an imbalance. Many municipalities are moving away from running at large. I would ask for your green vote to adopt Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just ask the Body one question. How many of you would be elected to this Body if we had to run at large? I think this is a strong attempt to allow minorities and regions throughout those community college districts to actually, legitimately have honest to goodness representation. Where you have areas of large populations it is very difficult to have any kind of broad representation if you have elections at large. We're attempting to address that this time. Giving broader representation, equal area representation and minority representation as a result of this Amendment. I urge your support."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I can't believe that we don't have enough votes to pass this Amendment out. This is amazing, this just simply says that people can be represented when they have junior college board elections. These trustees ought to be elected from various districts, and the district that I live in the...there's one pocket of people that supply all of the representation. If you believe in preelections, if you believe in representative government you ought to be voting green on this. I cannot

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

believe all the red votes on this issue. Please vote yes." Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Let me correct a misconception the...the community college folks don't support this Amendment. It only affects the College of Dupage, Harper College, Moraine Valley and Oakton, now if this is really a problem, let's sit down with the community college board, the community college trustees and let's get this thing worked out once and for all. It's been before us, I believe at least once in the last three years and it's never passed. If it's indeed a problem, let's..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative...no one else, Representative McGann to explain his vote."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to clarify some previous Speakers. They are totally off. Ι live in Chicago, but I represent Burbank and Evergreen park. we don't have representation on the district college board, further I got a call from two of the trustees yesterday in complete support of this proposition, it's something that we need in our community and other communities. as far as minority participation this will accelerate minority participation in being able to serve on these boards in these given areas. It's limited to the ask for your support, this is the right population. I thing to do. As Representative Ropp had stated earlier, a lot of us would not be here if we had to go at large rather than in districts. I ask your support."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish?

Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are

57 'ayes' and 54 'nos' the Amendment is adopted. Further

Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, being offered by Representative Ropp and Ewing."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment allows for the newest community college that was just last formed, which includes Livingston County, McLean County and Logan County to have the option to have their members elected at large, correction, by districts subject to voter approval."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, Shall this Amendment be adopted?

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it,
the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 613, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Public Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, I think we have debated it pretty well, I would ask for support and ask for the 60 plus votes that's need to send this to the Senate. I thank you, very much."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, Shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this legislation. The fact of the matter is that, what this does is it splits the district up, it breaks up the continuity and the congruity of the district and the voting patterns. This is not a way to resolve a particular problem in a particular district by using this shot gun kind of approach. By that I mean you and I know, those of us who have been here any length of time...that once you start down a road for one district, you're on that road eventually for everyone. I think given the givens we've allowed communities to organize their community college in a responsible way and...we should

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

leave well enough alone."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I believe that this Bill really provides for the democratic process to be put in place. The provision that these changes would be made by referendum is certainly something that then leaves it in the hands of the citizens of the local community college board to decide whether or not they want this option. It does not make it automatic, it is left in the hands of the voters to decide. I think that's appropriate, I would certainly hope that eventually we would extend this option to everybody across the state for their particular community college district. But in these cases in these areas we have an initiative to allow the people to make the choice. I certainly think that appropriate. Ιf they don't believe that this provides a better option than what they have now they will reject it in a referendum. For us to say that we don't give the people the right to make this choice, certainly does not seem like the logical way to go. I think that we eventually should have all of our districts having this option available, and I urge support of the Gentleman's Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, I am sure my community college opposes this Bill. However, as I listen to the debate, and in my mind I feel that the community college districts one day are going to fine they're face with lawsuits because their process does exclude minorities. And I really think that this is the more responsible way to go, and particularly when it is left up to the referenda so therefore I am going to vote 'aye'."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to ask for a verification should this receive the prerequisite number, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this, simply based on Representative Black's com...offer of a compromise. Why can't we sit down let those best in interest sit down talk it out, and solve it without one trying to take the advantage over the other. Quite frankly in our society it compromises what we try to work for, and I think that they should get together and solve this without bringing us and getting us involved. It's their problem let them solve it."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish?

Representative Ropp have spoke in debate. For what reason do you rise?"

Ropp: "I don't think I spoke in debate on this particular issue.
I spoke..."

Speaker McPike: "No, that's right you spoke on the Amendment.

We're on the Bill. Proceed."

Ropp: "Thank you, I would just like to say that yesterday I heard all kinds of conversation, urging letting the people decide by a Constitutional Amendment and so forth. Why are we not willing to let the people decide in their community college districts to be closer to their representation, rather than to have a major population area have all the members represent them on the community college. I urge your green vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The previous Speaker was exactly correct. All this Bill does is say that the residents and taxpayers within a particular community college district,

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

upon the presentation of 2,000 signatures or more would have the privilege of putting this issue on a referendum, and let the people vote on it. There's an old adage that says if it ain't broke don't fix it. Well we've got certain areas in this state where it is broke and this Bill would fix it. I would hope that you would support this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative McGann asks for a poll if those not voting. There's no one not voting. On this Bill there are 57 'ayes', 56 'nos'. And the Bill fails. House Bill 2867, Representative Lang. Mr. Clerk, the Bill has been read a second time are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2867, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Withdraw."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw Amendment #2 , Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 to House Bill 2867, would provide for stronger qualification for highway construction contractors working with the Department of Transportation. Basically, it would require that all bidders be pre qualifed for the department to perform at least half of the, 51 percent of the total work of the contract. Leased or new equipment must be in possession by the bidder for at least thirty days. Department must retain 10 percent of payment during the first half of the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

work performance, department should not release plans for bidding...detail plans for bidding to any contractor who is not pre qualifed. And all proposals shall be accompanied by a cashier's check in order to protect the bid deposits and to assure good faith bidding. I would move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it,
the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2867, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation on highways. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Stephens Amendment becomes the Bill. I would move for its passage."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Giglio 'aye'. Giglio 'aye'. The Clerk will take the record. Phelps, 'aye'. Santiago 'aye'. On this Bill there are 102 'ayes', 4 'nos', and 1 voting 'present'. House Bill 2867, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2874, Representative Shaw. Mr. Clerk, the Bill has been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Shaw."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw. Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "Yes, I would like to withdraw Amendment #2."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2874, a Bill for an Act relating to collegiate athletics. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. All this Bill does is in the, it set forth a provision where that the NCAA will offer some stiphend providing that half of the other states when half the other states pass similar legislation. That's all that, that the Bill does, I ask for an 'aye' vote on the legislation."

Speaker McPike: "In opposition to the Bill? Representative

Hoffman or Representative Black which one? Representative

Black."

Black: "Thank you, very much Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition of the Gentleman's Bill. We heard testimony that the University of Illinois and Southern Illinois University do not terminate athletic scholarships when an athletic is injured while participating in a sport or practice. which he is receiving a scholarship. We've asked for a fiscal impact it's very difficult to...to get one. not sure whether if this becomes law in Illinois, that the General Revenue Fund is gonna have to pick scholarship cost or whether it's passed on to University. I think the Gentleman's well intention, are too many questions on the Bill. We've got all kinds of problems with the NCAA floating around here. And we haven't been able to reach agreement on any of that. Αt this point I just think its safer not to pass this Bill and continue working on the problem. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Shaw, to explain his vote."

Shaw: "The only thing I wanted to...I wanted to make a correction, I was in error when I explained the Bill, it very certainly deals with the scholarships and I would ask for an 'aye' vote, because all the Bill does is say that if an athletic is injured while playing for the school, that they won't reject his scholarship. And I don't think that's too much to ask. No, no, no, no, that's all that this Bill does."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 48 'ayes', 60 'nos'. House Bill 2874 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2876, Representative LeFlore. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill has been read a Second time previously,

Amendments #1 and #2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2876, a Bill for an Act concerning Small Business Security Bonds. Third Reading of the Bill." Speaker McPike: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2876, creates a Small Business Surety Bonding Guarantee Act. This program will be administrated by the Insurance Department, Illinois Insurance Department and it creates the fund for to assist small business and also large business as far as bonding. I feel that this particular transaction is needed to give that assistance, that financial assistance, we have many small contractors who at many times receive contracts but

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- they can't get the surety bonding for the contract. So therefore I'm introducing this Bill and I'd like to have a favorable vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those
 in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative
 Black."
- Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I must rise in reluctant opposition to the Gentleman's Bill. I come from a family that's owned and operated a small business for sixty—five years. This legislation seems to apply only to business undertaken with governmental bodies and utilities, the fiscal impact from the Department of Insurance is one million dollars. I don't know where that money is coming from. We got some problems to workout. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, very much Mr. Speaker. We tried to work with this Bill in committee, it just didn't seem to work out and we have discovered the previous Speaker indicated a million dollar cost. That is simply the administrative cost, add to that another one point five million dollars cost of the program and the total fiscal impact is two and half million dollars. We simply don't have the money, I urge a 'no' or 'present' vote on this."

Speaker McPike: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm only asking for the substantive
Bill, I'm not asking for...I understand there is a cost for
this program. But, we need this program in order to assist
our small contractors."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Yeah, proceed."

Leflore: "We...we can spend money for other things, but when it come down for something that is creative, something will produce jobs, something that would enhance people, we

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

always is up to bulk against the appropriation. I'm not asking for appropriation, I'm asking for the substantive Bill, so I need your favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor...Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, will take the record. On this Bill, there are 64 'ayes', 49 'nos'. Representative Black."

Black: "I must rise to request a verification Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, Poll those not voting."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Bob Olson, no further."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed with the Poll of the Affirmative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Flinn. Flowers. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Giglio. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Mulcahey. Richmond. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge, and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Breslin would like leave to be verified, she's right here. Representative Tony Young, right here and Representative Art Turner and Paul Williams.

Anyone else? Representative Steczo. Proceed. Mr. Black."

Black: "Alright, Mr. Speaker. Let's see if we can do this as quickly as possible. Representative DeLeo."

Speaker McPike: "Deleo, Mr. Deleo here? Gentleman is not, remove him from the Roll Call."

Black: "Representative Sutker?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Sutker, Sutker, Representative Cal Sutker, remove him from the Roll. No, here he is. Sutker's here put him back on, 'ave' vote."

Black: "Representative DeJaegher?"

Speaker McPike: "DeJaegher is...Mr. DeJaegher, Representative DeJaegher, Gentleman's not here remove him from the Roll."

Black: "Representative Levin?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Levin. There he is. He's here."

Black: "Representative Martinez?"

Speaker McPike: "Martinez Representative Martinez? Martinez.

Martinez here? Representative Ellis Levin you've been verified now. Martinez. Remove him from the Roll."

Black: "Representative Capparelli?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Black: "Is he here?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, he's right here."

Black: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Black: "Representative Mautino?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Black: "Van Duyne?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Van Duyne? Van Duyne? He's not here remove him from the Roll."

Black: "Representative Flinn?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wyvetter Younge, would like to be verified she's right here. Representative Monroe Flinn?

Oh, there he is. Flinn and...Bruce Richmond are right here."

Black: "Representative Bowman?"

Speaker McPike: "Bowman is here."

Black: "Nothing further."

Speaker McPike: "On this Bill, there are 60 'ayes', 49 'nos'.

House Bill 2876 having received the Constitutional Majority

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3183. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3183, a Bill for an Act in relation to recycling of newsprint. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Edley."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Edley. Withdraw #1, further Amendments?"

Edley: "Withdraw #1."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Edley."

Edley: "Withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw Amendment #2, further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Edley."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Edley."

Edley: "Yes, I'd like to speak to that Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Edley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, this is the exact copy of an Amendment we've previously placed on a Bill. House Bill 4152...this morning. It creates the Recycled Newsprint Act, this is the product of a Speaker's task force on newspaper recycling. Its a voluntarily program the first three years, where we set a certain goal for a newspapers throughout the state to reach. If they reach that goal, then the Act becomes inoperative, if they don't reach the goal then we have mandatory goals, mandatory, a percentage of recycled newsprint for 1994. If there's any questions, I'll respond. It's an agreed to Bill with the Press Association as well."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this?

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Question of Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. One question, I think the Gentleman has adequately explained it under the mandatory section Representative. If they can't meet the goal, you given them several outs. There are no penalties involved if the market isn't there, they can't buy it, etc. Correct?"

Edley: "Yes, there is."

Black: "Thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have question about the penalty provision is that in or out of the Bill?"

Edley: "That's in the Bill."

Dunn: "And the Press Association has agreed to this penalty provision?"

Edley: "It's my understanding that they have agreed to it."

Dunn: "The reason I ask is when they were going into the last meeting of the newspaper recycling task force..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley, you mean they were neutral or did you mean they have agreed to the Bill?"

Edley: "I have just learned that they have agreed to it."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you."

Edley: "I mean agreed to be neutral on the Bill, yeah."

Dunn: "And it's because of the penalty provision, I think isn't it?"

Edley: "Let me clarify that, they have agreed to be neutral on the Bill with the penalty clause."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill, I think the reason the Press Association is neutral is that there is a criminal penalty provided in the legislation and I think they feel that may be, that may raise constitutional questions about taxing those who provide us with free speech. So I think this Amendment does need a little more

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

work. I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it,
and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3183, a Bill for an Act in relation to recycling of newsprint. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wanted to commend the Members of the task force, Representative Giglio and Edley and all the other Members that did a good job. This is an Agreed Bill, and I...it's a step in the right direction, and I would move for the passage of House Bill 3183."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 112 'ayes', 1 'no'. House Bill 3183 having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill...Representative Morrow would have voted 'aye' on that Bill. Let the record so indicate. House Bill 3317, Representative Shaw. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3317, a Bill for an Act...this Bill's
 been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was
 adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative

Currie and Shaw."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. The Amendment clarifies that penalties will not apply to individual paramedics, but establishes the opportunity for the Department of Public Health to impose fines against private ambulance companies. I move for the adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3317, a Bill for an Act in relation to emergency medical services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a piece of legislation that arises out of a incident dealing with the trama units up in Chicago, early part of this year. And as a result the Appropriation II Committee met and investigated the situation, and we out of that committee hearing comes this piece of legislation. And the Department of Public Health is on board with this, and as far as I know all of the groups that are concerned with this legislation have signed off on it. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are lll 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 3317 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3360...3363, Representative Hicks. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3363, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

#1 offered by Representative Hicks."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, this is a technical Amendment just simply cleaning up problems we had in the drafting of the original Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk o'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3363, a Bill for an Act to amend the Real Estate Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Real Estate Licensure Law. That's something we've been working on for some time as a continuing education Bill for real estate license holders. There is a grandfather clause in it. And I'd be happy to try to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'

Representative Homer. All those in favor vote 'aye',

opposed vote 'no'. Representative Homer to explain his

vote."

Homer: "I rise...I'm voting support of the Bill. But I want to say that I've heard from some of my realtors who complain about that grandfather clause. And I think rightly argue that those realtors who have held licenses for 10 years or more, are the very people who perhaps ought to have the continuing education requirement since there's been a lot of change in real estate law since that time. But I understand it was necessary to...to get a Bill agreed to by the association to take care of those older members, but I would urge and encourage them to pursue continuing

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

education so that it won't be necessary for this General Assembly to later provide an Amendment to cover all of the licensed realtors."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. We could try to solve part of that problem. We took it from 10 years to 15 years now, for the grandfather clause. So, it is a longer period of time. A lot of people are excluded, and are not included in the grandfather clause."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 111 'ayes', 2 'nos'. House Bill 3363 having received Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3597, Representative Kirkland. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3597, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Kirkland."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Withdraw, please."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Kirkland."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Amendment #2 applies to that part of the Bill that allows the department will allow the department to close a file when there's federal court litigation on the same issue. This would also add state court litigation."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Flowers."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House.

Amendment #3 limits the Bill effect only to contracts with
the state and not with local government. And I would urge
for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any opposition? The question is,

'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye',

opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's

adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3597, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is in three parts. One part makes some grammatical changes to clarify the jurisdictional coverages are cumulative. And also the Bill then also defines ineligible bearer as a person who's...persons who have prior to a bid opening have filed an employer report form with the Department of Human Rights Bill then would require that both contractors and eligible bidders to refrain from unlawful discrimination employment to undertake Affirmative Action comply with agency procedures and provide information to the department. And the third part of the Bill is what I described with the Amendment. The department will now have the option to close a file when the people involved in the file have chosen to process their case through federal or state court litigation."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 114 'ayes', 1 'no'. House Bill 3597 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3632, Representative Bugielski. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3632, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Bugielski."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would to table Amendment #1. And Amendment #2..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk...Mr.Clerk, was Amendment #1 adopted
in committee?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Bugielski."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2, what we have done, is we have changed from the previous Amendment is that now the DCCA can only give out loans to 'for profit' businesses and not grants. That's only for the loans and that what Amendment #2 does."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, could you just tell me how Amendment #2 differs

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

from Amendment #1?"

Bugielski: "Amendment #1 was able to give grants and loans to 'for profit' businesses. Amendment #2 can only give loans now. They cannot give a grant...to a 'for profit' business."

Cullerton: "Well, well, okay, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted? Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3632, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Promotion Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill would allow the department to assist tourism attractions and businesses to make much need renovations and improvements in an effort to improve the tourism industry in the State of Illinois."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 106 'ayes', 5 'nos'. House Bill 3632 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3753, Representative Edley. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3753, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Edley."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."

Edley: "Thank you very much. This Amendment provides some sunshine on the operations of four regional tourism councils in the State of Illinois. Currently these councils operate on a closed board meeting. This would...Amendment would make their meetings public as well as their records available. DCCA is neutral on the..."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone rise in opposition? The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3753, a Bill for an Act concerning certain not for profit organizations. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley."

Edley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. This Bill has a previous Amendment on it as well as requiring employees of the tourism council to make their financial interests known to the Board of Directors before votes are taken concerning any kind of financial interest they may have in the...the board's actions. I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone rise in opposition to the Bill?

Representative Dunn, do you rise in opposition to this?"

Dunn: "I don't know I want to ask one question."

Speaker McPike: "One question."

Dunn: "Does this apply to local tourism councils as well as at the state level?"

Edley: "This applies to only four regional tourism councils, it does not apply to the local convention bureaus and such."

Dunn: "Thank you."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 3...104 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 3753 having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill...Representative Shirley Jones, would have voted 'aye', and Representative Art Turner would have voted 'aye' on that Bill. House Bill 3902, Representative Steczo. Re...Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3902, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Petka."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Petka."

- Petka: "Mr. Speaker, would you please withdraw that Amendment?"

 Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 makes the Bill applicable to Will County only. And I would move for it's adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3902, a Bill for an Act concerning condemnation by certain special districts. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Last year the General Assembly dealt with a situation in Kane County, this Bill extends that to Will County where some districts have used their condemnations powers and secured a determination of value of property from the property owners and then drop it, and leaving the property owners in the state of the twilight zone, for instance. This would help correct that, and I would move for the passage of the Bill, and ask for 'yes' votes."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 109 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 3902 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3989. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3989, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Didrickson."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Amendment #1 would strip the minimum teachers' salary in the School Code. It's sat at \$10,000 for a great long time. Putting such a minimum requirement in the statutes, becomes obsolete in a very quick way. Salaries obviously should be bargained, and I believe that we should just endorse Amendment #1 and remove such a minimum out of the School Code."
- Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Hicks."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks. Withdraws Amendment #2.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Hicks."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 to House
 Bill 3989 takes care of my commitment in the committee that
 I would change the beginning date on this, and I changed it
 from the effective school year 1991 to effective 1991-1992
 school year."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Didrickson."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Didrickson. Withdraws Amendment #4. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3989, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3989 is a Minimum Teachers' Salary Bill. It affects some 6,000 teachers through out the State of Illinois. It changes the minimum teachers' salary from the current level that was adopted in 1980, from \$10,000 to \$20,000 effective 1991-1992. I would be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker McPike: "And on the Bill, Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Hicks does indeed have a serious concern in his part of the state, but

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

effecting the School Code and putting in an increase mandated minimum teachers' salary is not going to correct what his projected shortage is, and I would think that a 'no' vote on this is the exact, correct vote."

Speaker McPike: "Anything further? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Representative Parke."

Parke: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I...I'm, I will tell you this Bill passes, you are mandating a tax increase to every school district in the State of Illinois who will have to pay for not only the increased salary of this, of the minimum, but all the ripple effect of all the other teachers that are above them who will want to have a much because of seniority, and additional educational experience, want to have a higher amount of income. I think this is a bad precedent for us to continue to tell our school districts how they are to operate. This is another mandate which will ensue a local property tax referendum that you, by voting 'yes' on, are guaranteeing that there will be a referendum on the ballot when this pay increase goes into effect."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 77 'ayes', 25 'nos', 7 voting 'present'. House Bill 3989 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Back to the House Supplemental #1. Second Reading, House Bill 4190. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments? 4190."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4190, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Keane."

Speaker McPike: "And Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, we'll withdraw that and..."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Giorgi."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #3 makes the 4190 a shell Bill because there are a lot of problems in here in the Bill that weren't corrected the first time around. We'd want some time...the conference committee. So, I would move for the adoption of the Amendment that makes it a shell Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4190, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to construction operation regulation and maintenance for the system of toll highways. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "With the exception of House Bill 3811, that has been removed from the Agreed Bill list. Mr. Clerk, have all the Bills been read a third time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "All Bills have been read a third time."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall these Bills pass on the Agreed Bills #2...Supplemental Calendar #1, Agreed Bill list #2?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Mr. Clerk take the record. The

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Clerk is now passing out a change of vote lists. The pages will now pass out the change of vote list. And you will have one hour to fill your change of vote, and turn it into the Clerk's office. Those votes will then be tallied, and we will announce the results of this Roll Call later this afternoon. Re...House Bill 4050, Representative Currie. Read the Bill, Mr...out of the record. House Bill 4084, Representative Ryder. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4084, this Bill's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 becomes the Bill. It establishes an Advisory Committee to determine the correct way to govern the school for the deaf, school for the visually impaired, and children's school rehabilitation center. I move for it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4084, a Bill for an Act concerning jurisdiction and administration of state residential schools for children with disabilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment became the Bill. I'd be glad to answer any questions. And ask for it's adoption."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 110 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 4084 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4099, Representative Farley. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4099, this Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Cullerton."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, this Amendment puts the 7-1-90 date back in and makes it a shell Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Yes, and this'll serve for Third Reading as well. Is there an agreement being sought, is that the reason you want to more it with the shell?"

Cullerton: "I don't have any idea."

McCracken: "I appreciate the candor."

Speaker McPike: "Representative....Representative Turner might know. Representative Turner, he's the Cosponsor of the Bill."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes, there is an agreement being sought, and so we indent to send this over as a shell."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4099, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act providing for the enforcement of certain state and local food handling and health regulations. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Just an explanation of vote. I've been advised the

 Department of Public Health is working on the matter, and
 discussions are ongoing. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 4099 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4196, Representative Cullerton."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4196, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendments #1 and...l was withdrawn and 2 lost. Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have modified Amendment #2 which we debated at great length dealing with the NCAA, and I've removed from the Bill the criminal penalties that seemed to concern a number of the Members. So, now were talking about basically Representative Johnson's original Bill, with the exception that it doesn't apply to the current NCAA investigation. And we also provided for the Bill that deals with a stipend, but unlike Representative Shaw's Bill, we put a cap of \$250 per month. And I would reiterate that also this Bill doesn't that section of the Bill does not go into effect unless five other states, out

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

of a total of ten midwestern states also adopt a similar measure. I move for it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Yes, it's unfortunate for some reason Representative Johnson was publ...punished by the Speaker, and his Bill which was identical to the first part of this Amendment except for the fact that this does not apply to the current investigation was stripped out of a Bill. Now, it's five Democratic Cosponsors for reasons I still don't understand. I am relieved to see the criminal violations taken out of the Amendment, however, because that was a truly awful idea. So, I suppose on the merits we're okay, but we're disappointed in the way it's been handled."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4196, a Bill for an Act concerning college athletics. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 7l 'ayes', 37 'nos'. House Bill...Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Mr. Speaker, I was off the floor when the vote on House Bill 3989..."

Speaker McPike: "Let me finish this, please. On this Bill, there are 7l 'ayes', and 37 'nos'. House Bill 4196 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was off the House floor

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

when on the vote on House Bill 3989. Will the record please reflect that I would have voted 'yes', had I been here?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes it will. House Bill 3811, Rep...House Bill 3811, Representative Currie. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3811...."

Speaker McPike: "It was taken off the Agreed List."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3811, this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Amendment, although a large one is in fact a technical one, drafted in cooperation with the Department of Public Aid and the Department of Professional Regulation. I'd be happy to answer your questions, and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "That's ridiculous. You really insult all of us,

Representative Currie, to say this is a technical

Amendment. Let's...let's tell everybody what this

Amendment does."

Currie: "Point of personal privilege. It is a technical Amendment. You may..."

Johnson: "No...no, I'm not asking you a question. I'll talk about the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie, on a point of personal personal privilege."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Currie: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it is a technical Amendment. If the person who's just risen to speak want's to talk about the merits of the Bill, and wants to discuss the substance of the Amendment, he's welcome to do so, but it is a technical Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, okay, I'll wait until Third Reading. This is not a technical Amendment, nor a technical Bill, but if she wants me to talk about it at that stage, I'll do it."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed...Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Well, I want to speak about this Amendment twice."

Speaker McPike: "Very well."

Dunn: "Once...once now, and once on Third Reading."

Speaker McPike: "Well, certainly. Proceed, Sir."

"I...this is...this Amendment certainly is technical, but Dunn: it is not technical in the sense that we represent Amendment to be technical on this floor. What this Amendment says is that, anyone who is behind in child support and who is licensed by the Department Professional Regulations, shall not have a license renewed while they are in arrears on child support. And if anyone thinks that is a technical Amendment, that's a merely Amendment, they've got another thing coming. This is a very fundamental change in our statutes in Illinois. once again it puts the Department of Public Aid, which has not done the best job in the world at collecting child support payments in charge of the Divorce Laws of the State Illinois. I don't think they are the persons to make these decisions. The Amendments of our Divorce Laws should be done in consideration of the Family Law Sections of respective Bar Associations, and with the input

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

everyone who's interested, not just the Department of Public Aid. This is a bad Amendment, and should be defeated. And I would ask for a Roll Call vote."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Zickus to explain her vote."
- Zickus: "Yes, the purpose of the Licensing Act is to provide state oversite of the various professions, and to insure that persons involved in a particular professional practice, that they do that in a lawful manner. This Bill establishes a disciplinary mechanism for something that has nothing to do with a licensee's professional conduct."

Speaker McPike: "Bring your remarks to a close."

- Zickus: "The Bill is essentially...the Bill essentially allows the Department of Public Aid to tell the Department of Professional Regulations to suspend someone's license.

 Yes, there's a precedent for doing so, such action can be taken for nonpayment of taxes and student loans. But just because there is a precedent, it doesn't mean it's a good idea."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Just to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. This is a technical Amendment to a Bill. Ordinarily we give the Sponsors the courtesy of permitting them to amend their Bills in connection, in this case, with advice from the Departments of Public Aid and Professional Regulation. If you want to vote against a Bill that will help children secure the dollars that are owed them under court orders, you can vote 'no' on the Amendment, and 'no' on the Bill. But is a disgraceful discourtesy to a Member of this Chamber to say that you will not make sure that the Bill is in appropriate order, when you decide to vote it down. If

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

you want to be for the deadbeat dads, if you want to make sure the tax payers pick up the slack, you could oppose this Amendment, you can oppose this Bill. The Amendment and the Bill have the strong support of the Task Force on Child Support, of all the advocacy groups for women and their children in the state. If you want to oppose them, you're welcome to do so..."

Speaker McPike: "Give the Lady your attention."

Currie: "...you might wait for Third Reading."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 39 'ayes', 62 'nos'. And the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Do you wish to call the Bill?

Out of the record. Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "We're going to the Order of State Government Administration, Third Reading. The Sponsors are: Bugielski, Terzich, Homer, Curran, Krska, Matijevich, Please be ready to present your Bill. We will try to have one proponent and one opponent, so please listen carefully. The first Bill is, House Bill 890. Representative Out of the record. House Bill 2924. Representative Terzich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2924, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Madam Speaker. This Bill was amended and the Amendment is the Bill. And what it does is it provides a stipend for the sheriffs that are in control of the jails. At one point the I'd like to address that the amounts are small, that's directly in relationship to prison population that each sheriff is responsible for, and I would urge it's

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- adoption. It's sponsored by the Illinois Sheriff's Association."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2924, does anyone rise in opposition? There being...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."
- Williams: "Yes, I just wanted to know, originally I believe the

 Cook County Bar was opposed to this legislation, does it

 stand in opp...I mean not the Cook County, but the Chicago

 Bar. Does..."
- Terzich: "No, I...I said the Bill was amended, and that's out." Williams: "Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2924 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The

 voting is open. Representative Hultgren. One minute to

 explain your vote."
- Hultgren: "Wondering if the Sponsor could either shake his head 'yes' or 'no'. There was so much noise, I didn't hear your explanation of the Bill. Is the stipend a state paid stipend or a county paid stipend? State paid? Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Sixty votes are required for passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 93 voting 'aye', 13 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3025, Mr. Homer. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3025, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill does two things.

 Now, it provides that there will be a \$10,000 per year pay..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Put on, Mr. Homer. Proceed."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

"Yes, thank you. The Bill provides that in counties where Homer: there is one or more state correctional institutions that the circuit clerk shall receive a fee of \$10,000 per for the purpose of employing one additional person to perform services concerning the filings as a result of inmates that are in that institution. And also provides for a redefinition of state university with respect to the provision that allows for payments to county attorneys that have state universities within their counties to include public community colleges which have an inter institutional cooperation program with the state university leading to a Baccalaureate Degree known as Two-Plus-Two Degree program. I would move for the passage of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 3025. Does anyone rise in opposition? Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen the House. I rise in opposition to this. I think the Amendment that redefines state university is absurd really. To say when you have a junior college program and something else, that that's the same as a state university program in a small area just does not, is not reality. Now, if you wish, but you will take away from the impaction which the State of Illinois causes to communities such as I represent and people who represent Champaign-Urbana area, and the Macomb area, and all of those, when you put in a small communities 25 or 30,000 extra people and many times young people, good quality people, you...you tax the service of those communities. You take away from the tax rolls, many acres of land, and you don't deal with impaction realistically. It's taxpayers of DeKalb County, of McDonough County, of

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Champaign County that are bore...the burden all of these extra services over the years. We're going in the wrong direction if we amend this to allow Rock Island County to get special treatment for people who are no more than doing their graduate work at the junior college level. Let's save it for the state universities that we send the students to across the state. And I oppose the Bill."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3025 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting
 is open. Representative DeJaegher, one minute to explain
 your vote."
- "John, you're rather fortunate that you have a major university in your locale. I think what we're doing is trying to create a university atmosphere in our particular area. We've been working with Western. Western is totally supportive of this program. We're improving our education standard in Rock Island County by offering the Two-Two...Two-Plus-Two Program which will provide education for those people in our particular area without travs...without traveling the needed distance to go to I feel that is a very good Bill. The Amendment was put one just recently, and I think this is crucial that Rock Island County has this type of input."
- Speaker Breslin: "Sixty votes are required for passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 66 voting 'aye', 41 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3121, Mr. Curran. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3121, a Bill for an Act to amend the Children and Family Services Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen House. House Bill 3121 changes the way in which we respond to the occasion of child abuse in the State of Illinois. Currently, when a child is abused and someone reports it, send out an investigator and then later we send out a case worker to take care of the problem. Sometimes hear, and you've been hearing over the years of children who suffer horribly. Sometimes you hear of children who suffer horribly in spite, of the fact, that their case is under the state's care. Where an investigator or worker is already on the case. One of the reasons that children are hung upside down in closets and beaten months and suffer horrible deaths, more horrible then any of us can imagine, is because our case workers and our investigators are far overburdened. And the reason they are overburdened is because this chamber, and the chamber across the hall, while we live in luxury ourselves, have bestowed upon the children of this state circumstances which are beyond those children's control, and our response to that...those circumstances is grossly insufficient. This Bill would provide a gradual reduction in the case load of the DCFS case workers down to 25 to 1 and the investigators down to 12 to 1 over a period of about This would not bring us into compliance with the suggestions of the National Association of the Child Abuse...for the Prevention of Child Abuse, nor would it bring us into compliance with the Children's Defense Funds. It would leave us inadequate ov...after four years, but would at least be a step in the right direction. Department of Children and Family Services needs our help. Children within a couple thousand feet of this building which you can bet your bottom dollar are going to be abused

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

today, abused this week, need our help. This Bill needs your help. Over the last year or so I've had the pleasure of going out to McFarland Zone Center. mental а community, and I have asked the mν superintendent out there, how many of the people who end up in that facility at the cost of 20, 25, 30,000 а us. How many of those people have been abused as children? Fortunately the past director had done a study, that...that proved to her that over 90 percent of people who are in McFarland Zone Center, the females there had been abused as children, either sexually or physically abused. So, Ladies and Gentlemen, if we attempt to dodge the problem of child abuse by not putting enough money into the system, they simply...those children don't go away in some balloon and fly over to Minnesota or Wisconsin or Indiana, they end up our own penal sy...situation...in our own institutions. They end up in our mental health facilities. They end up on probation. They end up with lives of despair, and they do so because in occasions such as this, this chamber and other chambers have failed to respond to their needs. House Bill 3121 responds to the needs of abused children. I ask you to look in your heart, and when you read these stories in the paper, when you hear about children who were abused, and beaten, and murdered, you understand that this legislation is a big step in the right direction toward protecting those children. glad to answer any questions and I humbly ask for your 'aye' vote on behalf of the children of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 3121. On that question does anyone rise in opposition? There are several lights flashing, are any of you in opposition? Raise your hand. Representative

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I do rise in opposition, not to question the Sponsor's desire to improve DCFS' behalf of abused children, that's not the point at all. But it is a budgetary issue, the costs are tremendous. Once it's fully in place, it could cost 30 more million dollars and they spend on it now. The DCFS budget started in the Senate, it was cut from it's originally introduced level by \$15 million, as originally introduced it did not So that what we have now, is a budget budget this cost. which is about \$45 million short of what DCFS would like to accomplish and what the Sponsor of this Bill would like to accomplish. We're doing it all over again. We give with one hand, we hope that everyone sees that we're being in ordering these case load reductions, but we aren't willing to give the money. Nobody on the other side of the aisle is going to stand up and say I'm supporting a tax increase to earmark money for this. No one has so far at least introduced an Amendment to the appropriation process to bring this money into the picture. The Senate has been cutting millions of dollars from the budget, what sent over to us is what we are told is a balanced budget, but it does not include any money for this. We injustice to the kids. We do a disservice to the public by making them believe that we can correct this problem by an authorization Bill such as this. I rise in opposition."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran is recognized to close.

The rest will be recognized after. Proceed, Sir."

Curran: "The public to whom this Bill responds will never read about this, and we will not be fooling them. The public to whom this responds is small children. Many of whom are beaten to death. Let me tell you about two of them. There was a little boy named Robert, 15 months old. And

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

his...and his mother's boyfriend spun him around the room by his ears and the reason we know she spun him around the room by his ears, is that when his ears came off and his head banged up against the wall, the man who killed him threw the ears down in the middle of the room. That boy is dead in a cemetery in Chicago. And let me tell you about a little girl named Christine. She died, they both...those people, both those children were under the care of the Department of Children and Family Services. Both those children, the other one I will mention, had case workers who were handling their cases, that were at 40, 50, cases per case worker, they couldn't begin to do the job. The other little girl, a little girl named Christine, bruises and broken bones and punctured organs all over her body, but the most graphic thing, the thing that I saw a picture of, was that the skin on her right buttock had been chewed off by her mother. Ladies and Gentlemen, this particular legislation responds to those kinds of problems with children in this state. You can't turn your back on those kids. You can't say that it's just a budgetary when children live horrible lives and incomprehensible hardship. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3121 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

The voting is open. Representative Preston, one minute to explain your vote."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We will ultimately be judged not by what sports stadiums we build, or what road projects, or municipal convention centers we're able to provide for the people in our districts, but we'll be judged rather by how we treat children in our communities. And especially the least able the least privileged of those children. If you care at all

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

about how the people who...the kids who have the least help from parents and from government are treated, you have to see to it that they're provided with some supervision. You can't supervise 80 children in need. The national average recommendation is 20 children. We have case workers who have 60, 70, and 80 charges. They can't do the job, and as a result of that, children get abused. This is a message that we have to reorder our priorities, and provide the necessary case workers to handle a load that they can actually do..."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 70...on this question, there are 75 voting 'aye', 30 voting 'no', and 10 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3144, Representative Krska. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3144, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Krska."

Krska: "Alright, House Bill 3144 amends the Criminal Code of 1961 to provide for the seizure and forfeiture of a vehicle used in a commission of a shooting in a drive-by shooting with attempt to cause death or bodily harm. Ιn the City of we're having tremendous amount of drive-by They're increasingly so rapidly that the police shootings. can't even keep up with them. They do stop, but there's no way of doing anything with them. Young people in Chicago, these gang members, put a big emphasis on their vehicles and I believe that if we could start confiscating some of these vehicles, we would have less problems with the drive-by shootings. I would greatly appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3144 pass?'

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Does anyone rise in opposition? There being none. question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Sixty votes are required for passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this there are 112 voting 'aye' ... 113 voting 'aye'. none voting 'no'. This Bill having received Constitutional Majority ís hereby declared passed. Representative Williams. Representative Morrow wishes to be recorded to as voting 'aye'. It will be reflected in the record. House Bill 3152, Representative Homer. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3152, a Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill revises the requirements a business must meet to qualify for enterprise zone, sales tax to correct a drafting error in some legislation that we passed last year. Under this legislation a business would be...be required in order to qualify to both invest \$40 million and retain 90 percent of the jobs, and would not be an either/or proposition as was incorrectly passed a year ago. Plus, the Bill has on it the Amendment that Representative Hartke advanced that that would...would modify the Enterprise Zone Act to apply to the Graphic Arts Industry. And I'm informed that with respect to that provision DCCA is now in support, that Revenue stands neutral. I would move for the passage of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House
Bill 3152. Does anyone rise in opposition? Anyone in
opposition? Raise your hand if you're in opposition.
Okay, Representative Black."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Black: "Madam Speaker, I don't rise in opposition. I think sometimes when you make a mistake you have to get up and say so on the floor. I made a mistake yesterday on Representative Hartke's Amendment. I have since talked with the Department of Commerce Com...and Community Affairs. The Gentleman's to be commended for working it out. I'm sorry that I opposed his Amendment yesterday. It was a misunderstanding and it should fly out of here."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I'm sure this Bill will fly out of here. The real mistake was made DCCA. DCCA made a commitment to a particular printer in downstate Illinois without realizing that the state statutes did not permit them to make good on that agreement. So DCCA has come to ask us to bail them They went to the Department of Revenue, Revenue said you're wrong, this...this provisions that you've offered these people in their contracts does not apply and if you seek to change the law, we're going to oppose you. Well then DCCA went all shame faced, all red faced, all embarrassed I hope to the Revenue and to the Governor's office and said, oh dear, what will happen. So, we're asked to bail them out. is a lousy way to run state government. I know the Bill will pass, but I'm still going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3207, Mr. Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3207, a Bill for an Act to amend an

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Act in relation to the court system in counties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, House Bill 3207 is one of a series of Bills requested by the County of Lake. It would extend the \$5 county system fee currently assessed in traffic cases to all other case types, and provide a permissive fee structure for certain offenses under the criminal code. The Bill is a response to the increased volume of cases in the judicial system placing increased demands on local resources. It is a way to generate a revenue stream that more appropriately seeks to place some of the burden of funding from those who utilize the system. I would appreciate your support of House Bill 3207."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House Bill 3207. Does anyone rise in opposition? Being none. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3207 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Myron Olson, one minute to explain your vote."

Olson, M.: "I'm sorry. I hit the wrong button."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Have all voted who wish? Sixty votes are required. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3337, Mr. Homer. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3337, a Bill for an Act to promote drug free work place. Third Reading of the Bill."

Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. We did...we discussed this Bill last night. It...the Amendment became the Bill. It's

a drug free work place. It is a...an initiative that has

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

been negotiated with...with business and labor to help secure a drug free work place for all contractors who engage in business with the State of Illinois to ensure a high quality product and safety for the workers that are in the work place. I would move for the passage of the Bill." Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3337 pass?' All those is favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Sixty votes are required for passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there voting 'aye'. This Bill having received Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3581, Representative Trotter. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative McCracken for what reason do you recognition?"

- McCracken: "We are cooperating by discussing these briefly and moving along. It's not our intention to deprive anybody of a vote wants it on a Bill. But this Bill is on Postponed Consideration. I am asking you to not call these Postponed Consideration Bills until everything has had a chance once."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, the Bill has already been called. He will be limited to presenting the Bill and with opposition...one person to speak in opposition. We will not spend a lot of time on it."
- McCracken: "That's not my point. My point is, I don't think people should be getting a second bite at the apple before other Bills have been called a first time. I believe there's an issue of fundamental fairness here, and I want it honored. I do not want Postponed Consideration Bills called in precedent to or over other Bills that have not yet been voted on."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Trotter, would you come to the podium, please? For the moment we will take this Bill out of the record. House Bill 3600, Representative White. Clerk read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3600, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker. Jessie White has a little bit of laryngitis today. House Bill...House Bill 3600 is a Bill that slightly increases aid to nursing homes. Brings them to the 8...80 percentile...percentile...from 75 percentile. And at a cost of \$7 million half to be reimbursed by the federal government. I urge your support of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House Bill 3600. On the question, the Gentleman from Du Page, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you. Well, not only does it cost...well, at least I'm told it costs much more than that. It could cost \$26 million in its first full year. But also there were rate increases negotiated last year, which I'm told totaled \$40 million. So, I don't think there's any reason to go for this at all. This is not included in the department's budget. The department's budget has already been cut by this House Committee and the House, so that there is nowhere in the budget any money for this essentially an add—on. I rise in opposition."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3600 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is open. Representative Bugielski, one minute to explain your vote."
- Bugielski: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of this Bill, 3600. As you know it's a very traumatic experience to put someone in a nursing home, and just one point,

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Illinois is ranked nineth in economic wealth, and we are ranked forty-second in funding these homes. There's only eight states below us, and those are down in the southern belt. I think it's a shame that a state that ranks nineth in economic wealth is forty-second in funding long term care. So I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Sixty votes are required for passage. Representative Leverenz, one minute to explain your vote." Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The esteemed leader on the other side of the aisle does not come to the Conference Committees so, maybe he doesn't know about it. He said the budget already passed here. Well in fact, it did. fact is that budget is going be looked at by the Senate, and it's going to be looked at by the Conference Committees, and we will adjust it probably until the last day that we're here in Springfield. What he probably doesn't understand, and the red votes that are voting against this good measure don't understand is that these people vote. And it would be very interesting to take a copy of this Roll Call and go through all the nursing homes and find out who wants to take an absentee ballot for the fall election, so that they are fully informed on how they should vote for or against anyone standing for election. And as soon as this gets five more votes, I'll be very happy to sit down and eat my chicken noodle soup."

Speaker Breslin: "Unfortunately your time is up. Bring your remarks to a close, Sir. Have all voted who wish? Sixty votes are required. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 59 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no', 25 voting 'present'. Representative Giorgi asked for a Poll of the Absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Petka. Terzich. And

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Wait. No further."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich votes 'aye'. On this question, there are 60 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no', and 25 voting 'present'. Representative McCracken asks for a verification. Poll the affirmative, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Davis. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Martinez. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. Mc . . . "
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Matijevich asks leave to be verified. And leave is granted. Proceed, Sir."
- Clerk O'Brien: "McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak.
 Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Saltsman. Santiago.
 Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Van
 Duyne. Wennlund. White. Williams. Wojcik. Wolf.
 Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge, and Mr.
 Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Any questions of the affirmative?"

McCracken: "Representative Lou Jones?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Jones. Lou Jones. Is the Lady in the chamber? She is not. Remove her from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Flowers?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady's in the chamber."

McCracken: "Oh, I'm sorry. Representative Anthony Young?"

Speaker Breslin: "Anthony Young. Representative Young. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Richmond?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond. Bruce Richmond. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio. Representative Giglio.

The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the
Roll Call. Representative Richmond has returned to the
chamber, add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino. Bill Laurino. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Curran?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran. Mike Curran. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks?"

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Curran has returned to the chamber, add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'. Representative Hicks.

Larry Hicks. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call. Excuse me. Representative Parke, for what reason to you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "Hit it by mistake. Hit it by mistake."

Speaker Breslin: "Would someone turn on Representative Parke, please. Thank you. Mr. Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to change from 'no' to 'present', please."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Parke from 'no' to 'present'. Representative Anthony Young has returned to the chamber, add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Krska?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Krska. Bob Krska. The Gentleman is not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Call."

McCracken: "Representative Capparelli?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli. Mr. Capparelli. He's here."

McCracken: "I see him. Representative Monique Davis?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative John Dunn?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne. Is that who you asked for? Representative Dunn is in the chamber."

McCracken: "I asked for...oh, alright. Representative Saltsman?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman. Representative Saltsman. The Gentleman is not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo. Jim DeLeo. The Gentleman is not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Kulas?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas is in his chair."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Zickus, for what reason do you rise?"

Speaker Breslin: "Change the Lady to 'aye'. Representative Williamson, for what reason do you rise?"

Williamson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please vote...change my
vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Williamson to 'aye'.

Representative Noland."

Noland: "'Aye', please."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Noland to 'aye'. Do you have any more targets over there who want to change their

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

votes? Representative DeLeo has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'. Add Representative Saltsman, voting 'aye'. Representative Giglio, Representative Hicks, voting 'aye'. There are 60 voting 'aye', 26 voting 'no', 24 voting 'present'. havinq received the Constitutional Representative Wojcik. Representative Wojcik. Change Representative Wojcik from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Leverenz: "Leave to be verified."

- Speaker Breslin: "Leave to Representative Leverenz to be verified. He's already finished that."
- Leverenz: "Oh, I just...I haven't finished my chicken noodle soup, and we were trying to...I got one of these messages, you know. And I have to stretch this out as far as I can."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay, that's enough Representative Leverenz.

 Representative Krska has returned to the chamber. Add him
 to the Roll Call voting 'aye'. On this question, there are
 60 voting 'aye' and this Bill having reached the
 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The
 next Bill is House Bill 3610, Mr. Homer. Clerk, read the
 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3610, a Bill for an Act in relation to the establishment of uniform procedures for the seizure and forfeiture of drug related assets. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Again, this is a Bill that is part of the Drug Task Force that we discussed last night.

The Amendment became the Bill. It provides for restructuring of the forfeiture statutes to combine them into a uniform method for accounting for the assets,

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

seizing the assets, and distributing the assets that are seized under various provisions of the Criminal Code, and Chapter 56 and a half. I would move for passage of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House Bill 3610. On that question, is there any discussion? question is, 'Shall the Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. votes are required for passage. Have all voted who wish? Representative Flowers. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are votina 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Bill 3623, Representative Currie. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. House Bill 3825, Representative Stern. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3825, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the licensing and regulating of certain games of chance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stern."

Stern: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House. This is the Bill which we amended yesterday which does some clean up stuff on the raffles legislation we passed last year for campaign committees. It provides that a political committee shall be denied a raffle license if the committee has been assessed a civil penalty and failed to comply with the Campaign Disclosure Act. Or if it is more than ten days over due in submitting statutorily required reports. Also, no campaign committee which has been in existence less than a year is eligible. That is the whole Bill, and I ask your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved the passage of House Bill 3825. On the question, is there any discussion? There

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' A11. in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is Sixty votes are required for passage. who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will the record. On this question. are...Representative Buqielski votes 'ave'. On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill 3826, Representative Stern. Clerk, read the Bill."

Stern: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House. The..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. The Bill has to be read I believe. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3826, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stern. Now, Representative Stern."

Stern: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House. This is third Election Bill presented by Representative Rice and myself. This deals with Democratic Party matters, and I would ask this time that you delay long enough on the vote to give him a chance to close. This provides that women of the Democratic Central Committee shall be know as Democratic Central Committee Women. It provides that each state central committee person shall be a delegate to the state convention, and shall be authorized to appoint more. It provides that any Democratic State Central Committee man or woman who has been elected for a term which will continue through the reapportionment of a Congressional District shall serve out his or her term."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved the passage of House Bill 3826. And on that..."

Stern: "May Representative...Rice close?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker Breslin: "Surely. The Lady has moved the passage of this Bill. Is there any further discussion? Representative Rice, do you care to close?"

Rice: "Thank you. You heard the merits of the Bill. When in the favor of gender, looking out for our women. We certainly need that. We would appreciate that."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3826 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Sixty votes are required for passage.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The

Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are

112 voting 'aye'. This Bill having received the

Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill

3952, Mr. Novak. Is Mr. Novak here? Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3952, a Bill for an Act concerning organic labeling. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3952 creates the Organic Labeling Act. Creates the Organic Certification Accreditation Board to accredit private organizations to certify organic products which may be labeled organic and set standards, provides penalties. There's been a lot of discussion between the various groups. I'd like to name off the groups that had a lot of input in ameliorating all kinds of considerations. The Bill is supported now by the Department of Agriculture, the University of Illinois School of Agriculture, the Illinois Sustainable Ag Society, the Department of Public Health, Organic Farmers Task Force, The Illinois Specialty Growers Association, and the Illinois Stewardship Alliance. In addition to the Lincoln Land Organic Group up in Barrington Hills. This is sort of a new trend in the State

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

of Illinois, and I think it's catching on across the nation that a number of farmers pr...prefer to grow produce certain products use of chemicals or without the pesticides, and by developing certain standards to allow farmers to indicate to the realtors that if they grow produce, if they raise meat, that it's labeled a certain way, that it doesn't have...hasn't been...certain pesticides and herbicides and other chemicals haven't been used on this particular consumer item. So, it's beneficial to those people that are in the market for purchasing organic foods. I'd be happy to entertain any questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House Bill 3952. Does anyone rise in opposition? Representative Doederlein, are you in opposition? Proceed."

Doederlein: "Oh, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know that Representative Novak has worked very hard on this Bill, and he's attempted to be very fair and for that I thank him. The fairness did not come in on the Bill, but came in on the committee hearing. I asked three constituents to come up here to testify in committee on the Bill, and they were not allowed to have their concerns aired. I know he has been working with these constituents and he has progressed on this...on their concerns. But I would like to ask, have all their concerns been addressed, Representative Novak?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Representative Doederlein, as we discussed previously, no they have not been addressed. I talked to Mrs. MacArthur, and we are willing to address those concerns. I think that the work we've made and progressed on the House side is admirable. When we get the Bill to the Senate, I think we can take care of those concerns."

Doederlein: "Then you are willing as the Senate Sponsor is

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

willing to work with her too?"

Novak: "Yes."

- Doederlein: "Alright, thank you very much. Now to the Bill. I really don't believe that this Bill is necessary at this time. The Federal Government has cast out of the Senate a Bill addressing these concerns, and we've lived this long without the Organic Bill, and I think that we can live six months more. So, I would request that we do not vote for this Bill. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3952 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Sixty votes are required for passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are voting 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. House Bill 3958, Mr. Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill. Yes, excuse me. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"
- McCracken: "Yes, this is another Postponed Consideration Bill.

 This had already been called, defeated for a vote the first time. I would ask...I ask the Chair that we be accorded this fundamental fairness."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Bowman, would you come to the podium please. Out of the record. House Bill 4008, Representative LeFlore. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4008, a Bill for an Act concerning enforcement of affirmative action. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore."
- LeFlore: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 4008 insures that there are programs established to train qualified minorities who can be promoted into official management categories of state agencies. Improve the current problems

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

of unutilization of minorities. We have a lot of problems with promotion and training of minorities among state agencies. I could recite a list of those agencies, but I...I know we are pressed for time. So, I would like to urge a passing vote on 4008."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House
Bill 4008. On that question, does anyone rise in
opposition? In opposition, Mr. McCracken? Proceed, Sir."

McCracken: "Yes, thank you. I rise in opposition to the Bill. I believe that in fact all this will do is create more bureaucracy. It will not help the advancement of minorities seeking to make better lives for themselves. It's a boone doggle. It will be counter productive. It will cost state money that will go to waste. I rise in opposition."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4008 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative

Trotter, one minute to explain your vote. I see, the

Gentleman indicates he does not wish to speak. Have all

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will

take the record. On this question, there are 69 voting
'aye'. This Bill having received a Constitution Majority
is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4009, Representative

Capparelli. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4009, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Yesterday we deleted from the enacting clause everything from this Bill. We'd like to keep it alive. We're trying to work out something on that line, Reciprocal Act. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4009 pass?'
 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.
 Voting is open. Sixty votes are required for passage.
 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The
 Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are
 105 voting 'aye'. This Bill having received the
 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House
 Bill 4067, Mr. LeFlore. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr.
 LeFlore."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4067, a Bill for an Act to amend the Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore. Representative LeFlore, this is your Bill. Do you wish to call it? We have already read it, and we're waiting for you."
- LeFlore: "We need to bring it back to Second, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Return the Bill to the Order of Second for the purposes of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Are there any Amendments filed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Weller."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weller."
- Weller: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #5 and I thank the Sponsor for allowing me to attach the Amendment. The Amendment becomes the Bill. It establishes a task force to research and make recommendations on a need to address the high rate of recidivism of teenagers following drug treatment, which is about 82 percent always seem to be going back. It establishes a Public-Private Sector Task Force which would have to be established by this fall to report by March 1991. Has no fiscal impact. The General Assembly would determine if they want to reject, improve or

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- adapt...adopt the recommendations. There's no known opposition. I ask for an 'aye' vote, and move for the Amendment's adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 5 be adopted?' Representative Williams do you seek recognition, Sir to speak on this Amendment? The question is, 'Shall Amendment 5 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Le...LeFlore now asks leave for immediate consideration of this Bill as amended this day. Is there any objection? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative LeFlore. Read the Bill. Excuse me."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4067, a Bill for an Act concerning drugs and alcohol. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore."
- LeFlore: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think Representative Weller explained the Bill...the Amendment which becomes the Bill. So, I ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4067 pass?'
 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.
 Voting is open. Sixty votes are required for passage.
 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The
 Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are
 113 voting 'aye'. This Bill having received the
 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House
 Bill 4208, Representative Davis. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4208, a Bill for an Act in relation to public assistance benefits. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "There is an Amendment filed on the Bill do you

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

wish to have it heard. The Lady asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second for an Amendment. Does she have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Davis on Amendment #5."

"Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. This Amendment becomes Davis: the Bill. Αs most of you know, currency exchanges in Illinois enjoy a virtual monopoly on the cashing of public aid checks in our state. This Bill will give them competition with the banks being allowed to cash public aid checks. This simple, reasonable approach would allow public aid recipients to cash checks at banks rather than only currency exchanges. At the request of the Department of Public Aid. we've instituted strict identification requirements in order to cash a check. We also are requiring public aid recipients to promptly notify the department of lost or stolen checks. The major difference between this Amendment and the Amendment rejected last night is that we responded to the concerns of the banking institution, and we...we want to guarantee that they won't be held liable for the checks that are cashed. I think the result is a sensible proposal and a good Bill. And I would like your support for this Amendment. I'd be available for questions. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment 5 to House Bill 4208. On that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you. The Banker's Association supports the Amendment and asked me to support it and I do."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment 5 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Davis now asks leave for immediate consideration of this Bill as amended this day. Is there any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. Present the Bill, Representative Davis."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4208, a Bill for an Act in relation to public assistance benefits. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "We request passage of House Bill 4208."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for passage of House Bill 4208. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this...Did I announce that Roll Call, Mr. Clerk? There...lll voting 'aye', so House Bill 4208 having reached the Constitutional Majority is declared passed. Representative Parke, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Parke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentleman of the House, as we all know we will not be session next week. I have Memorial Day speeches for those people that feel they may need one for the upcoming holiday. I'll be passing them around shortly."
- Speaker Breslin: "The next Order is State and Local Government,
 Second Reading. The Sponsors are: Flinn. Giorgi.
 Matijevich. Leverenz. Bugielski. Williams, etc. Please
 be prepared to present your Amendments, and your Bill. The
 first Bill is House Bill 2399, Representative Flinn.
 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2399, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #7 was adopted previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Flinn now asks leave for immediate consideration of this Bill. Excuse me, he has the right to have the Bill heard. So, read the Bill on Third, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2399, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flinn."
- Flinn: "Madam Speaker, thank you. This is the drug testing Bill for people on probation. It was thoughly explained last night. If there are any questions, I'd be glad to answer them. Otherwise, I move for the adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of House Bill 2399. On the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Sixty votes are required for passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'aye'. This Bill having received Constitutional Majority is hereby...on this question, there are 110 voting 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. and Gentlemen, the Agreed Bill list will be called for a final vote at 2:00. If you have Bills on this list you wish to vote 'no', 'present', or not vote on, please get your slips to us by 2:00. Thank you. The next Bill, State and Local Government, Second Reading, is Senate Bill...or rather House Bill 2991, Representative Giorgi. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2991, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary Districts Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Daniels - Kubik."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #1 would simply require..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Mr. Kubik. Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "I question the germaneness of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay, we'll look at it. Representative Kubik,
 the Chair rules that your Amendment is not germane. Are
 there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Daniels Jack Kubik."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton questions the germaneness of that Amendment also. Could we see that Amendment? Representative McCracken...Representative Kubik, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Kubik: "I'll wait for the Chair's ruling, then I'd like to make a statement, Madam."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Kubik, this

 Amendment is not germane either. Now would you like to

 make a statement. Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Inquiry of the Chair. First of all, I'd like to know why it's not germane, and secondly, I'd like to know why...secondly like to know if Amendment #2 is not germane for the same reason that Amendment #1 is not germane."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kubik, the reason that Amendment #1 and 2 are not germane is that the Bill...the orig...the underlying Bill amends the Sanitary District Act, while the Amendments you propose amend the Revenue Act. Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Well, Madam Speaker, the underlying Bill allows sanitary district to levy increases in property taxes without

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- the...without referendum. My Amendments deal with the issue of property taxes and limitations on property taxes. So, I...I would question why those...the Amendments would not be germane to the Bill. If they deal with the same subject, it would seem to me that they would be germane."
- Speaker Breslin: "I'm sorry I don't think they deal with the same subject. They have to deal with these particular kinds of taxes."
- Kubik: "But what the underlying Bill is talking about is increasing property taxes. These are...we're talking about property taxes in these particular Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Good try. But it's still not germane. Are there any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Daniels Kubik."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Question the germaneness, and I would ask for the same reason to be employed with regards to this, since it's the same Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay, thank you. Representative McCracken for what reason do you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "Have you ruled on 3 yet?"

Speaker Breslin: "No."

- McCracken: "Why don't you give me that ruling, then I have a Motion."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, the Chair rules that

 Amendment 3 is not germane. You're recognized for a

 Motion."
- McCracken: "Thank you. I move to overrule the Chair as to all three Motions and consider it on a single Roll Call. I make...and I would like to open debate on that."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

McCracken: "These Bills or...these Amendments which have been

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

ruled nongermane all concerned property tax and property tax relief. One of them is the Edgar Plan, known as the Edgar Plan after Jim Edgar. Ιt limits property tax increases to cost of living changes, and in the absence of that or in the face of a larger proposed raise in the tax rates, requires a referendum. The only way we are going to give our people local property tax relief is to control the spending. Period. All the exotic formulas, all exemptions, all these other things don't mean much of anything. Spending is the key. There have been many Democrat proposals to limit spending none of which have seen the light of day. Our Bill has not seen the light of day, because you kill the...the Revenue Bills of Republican Sponsors, because you do not allow Amendments to reach the We are forced to do this. Move to overrule vote stage. the Chair in order to have heard in this forum, the important issue to every citizen in Illinois. And for that reason, I move to overrule the Chair. We want Roll Call votes on the Motion. We want Roll Call votes on these is vital to the people. And if you don't Amendments. Ιt think so, just look at the press. They're whizzing away with their pencils now. This is the issue that's here today. Nothing else matters."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."

- Giorgi: "Rather than tie up the House, I've done my deed. I'll take the Bill out of the record."
- Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 3148, Representative Matijevich. Mr. Matijevich. Out of the record. House Bill 3275, Representative Leverenz. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3275, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to criminal information systems. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

120th Legislative Day May 18, 1990

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #2 would provide that the executive director would serve for a term of two years. And that would be the entire Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 3275. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Out of the record. House Bill 3498, Representative Bugielski. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3498, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative...Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Matijevich Cullerton."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich. Withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Bugielski."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bugielski."
- Bugielski: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

 The Amendment becomes the Bill. House Bill 3498 makes

 clear that the confidential investigatory file of the

 department remains confidential unless there is a public

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- complaint filed by the disciplinary board against the physician and parts of the investigation file are used as evidence to discipline."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 3498. On the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the chair the 'aye's have it are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Bugielski asks leave for immediate consideration of this Bill as amended this day. Any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3498, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bugielski."
- Bugielski: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. You just heard what the
 Bill does...with the Amendment becomes the Bill. An
 agreement has been reached between the department, the
 Illinois Medical Society and the Trial Lawyers. And I ask
 an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3498 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

 The voting is open. Representative Williams, one minute to explain your vote."
- Williams: "I just wanted to know, the Trial Lawyers were opposed to this. Are they still opposed?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111...113 voting 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3567, Representative Williams. Clerk,

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3567, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #4 was adopted previously."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman...Representative...Are there any Motions or Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions to Amendment #4. Floor Amendment #5 is being offered by Representative Williams."
- Williams: "Yes, I would like to table Amendment #4."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #4. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 4 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment 4 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Williams."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams."
- Williams: "Yes, Amendment #5 basically is the product of the negotiations between the department and the Work Welfare Coalition. And I...it's an agreed, as I understand it, an agreed upon Amendment. And I urge it's adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment 5 to House Bill 3567. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question...the Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."
- Ropp: "Madam Speaker, I just had one question. What does this have any effect on the program that we now have called Project Chance?"
- Williams: "The program in general deals with certain guidelines for Project Chance. It does affect the general area. It doesn't in any way harm the program."
- Ropp: "So it doesn't initiate a new program, it just stimulates or initiates guidelines within the existing program."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Williams: "Correct."

Ropp: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The quest...the question is, 'Shall Amendment 5 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Read the Bill on Third, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3567, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill, as I just explained, 3567 it's an attempt to try to deal with certain rules and other aspects of the department and Work Welfare Coalition. I've been working on in order to make the Project Chance comply with the Federal Family Support Act. It tries to make sure that we be in compliance to maximize our dollar and also the effectiveness of the program. And I urge it's passage of House Bill 3567."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 3567. And on the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Sixty votes are required for passage. Representative Lou Jones, do you wish to speak? She indicates she does not. Turn off her light, please. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have a Page who has brought a cheeseburger for someone and cannot remember who ordered it. He's down...up, it's

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

right over here. Okay. Representative Steczo, I guess, they're saying. Representative White, up there. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, this was for Al Greiman."

Speaker Breslin: "The next Bill is House Bill 3587, Representative Didrickson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3587, a Bill for an Act to amend the Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 being offered by Representative Didrickson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #1 virtually becomes the Bill. It seeks to amend the Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act and includes the definition of ambulatory surgical treatment center authorized to provide postsurgical care. There is some language in here that has...I've been asked to include with regards to enforcement procedures and fines in order to strengthen the ASTC Act by the Department of Public Health. The basic guts of the Bill directs the Governor to appoint a five member Postsurgical and Obstetrical Center Board to oversee six pilot projects in the State of Illinois. There would be a board that would be established, there would be five members. This Act would actually repeal itself 1994. Basically many of you have been lobbied by the Illinois Hospital Association, The Illinois Medical the business community and some of the insurance industry. This proposal, I would like to start off saying, was brought to me by a hospital that I happen to serve on as the board. Much of the impetus from this particular pilot project comes from a project that was set

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

up out in California. It provides an option whereby those that are not acutely ill can go and have postsurgical treatment care afterwards at an ASTC. It allows for an alternative to some very expensive acute care and for those that are very concerned about that in the State of Illinois and how we are going to deliver health care in the next century. I think this an option that we should be looking at. For those of us who are very concerned about the destitute care in rural Illinois and for those of us who are concerned about providing some options with regards birth control...birthing centers, excuse providing this option for obstetrical care in southern I think this in a pilot project that is worthy of our consideration. And I would be glad to answer any questions that you might have."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 3587. Does anyone rise in opposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a brief question?" Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Cullerton: "You indicated the Hospital Association was opposed to the Bill. I assume that they're opposed to this Amendment which is the...becomes the Bill. Is that correct?"

Didrickson: "That is my understanding."

Cullerton: "So, let me ask you, if this Amendment failed would you still...would the Hospital Association still be against the original Bill that came out of committee?"

Didrickson: "I think that is something that the Hospital Association would have to answer."

Cullerton: "Did they testify against the Bill in committee or..."
Didrickson: "No they did not."

Cullerton: "Okay...so, alright...the...point is then that if you're opposed to the Bill you should be opposed to this

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Amendment. Because the Amendment becomes the Bill."

Didrickson: "The Amendment does become the Bill. And for those of you who would like to offer some options for health care in rural Illinois and options for obstetrical care where there is none. This would be an Amendment that you would vote for. If you are interested in putting forth a proposal for consumers, if you are interested with regards to the business costs and the insurance costs for health care in the State of Illinois. You would be for this Amendment which becomes the Bill."

Cullerton: "Well, thank you very much for answering my question."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House...Shall Amendment

#1 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those
opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Wojcik,
one minute to explain your vote."

Wojcik: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in opposition to this Amendment. I too serve as a trustee at Alexian Brothers Hospital. And for those of you who have hospitals in your areas, they are absolutely against this. You're hearing that the suburban hospitals would be helped with this. This is not true nor would the collar county hospitals be helped with this nor would the ambulatory care in the rural area be helped with this. First of all, you're an ambulatory care that's mostly in the suburban area. And that hospitals already are being devastated by the fact that they cannot receive or collect the dollars that are owed to them through the State of Illinois in the Medicaid or Medicare process. This would also devastate them which would take dollars away from them. And would allow the private pay patient to go to a surgi-care facility. This is not a good Amendment. It is not good for your hospital. So, I urge 'no' votes."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 52 voting 'aye', 48 voting 'no. And the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Hallock - McPike..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock. Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #2 does provide some parity between the hospitals that are now existing and the the ambulatory surgical treatment centers. is an Amendment that's endorsed by the Hospital Association. It defines the limits on stays, overnight for postsurgical and obstetrical patients. requires that the center maintain contractual а relationship with the general acute care hospital and that it complies with all the statutes and regulations ambulatory surgical treatment centers as well as Hospital Licensing Act. Accepting in the cases of chronic disease hospitals and emergency, psychiatric, alcoholism and rehabilitation services facility. Also, the treatment center must comply with the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Board regarding the construction and modification of a hospital. And further states that 'no center can be located where the Health Facilities Planning determines there is a surplus of beds. But, of course, the center must be located in a Federally designated health manpower shortage area. The Amendment also sets up a 12 person oversight board and requires that the board shall establish three pilot programs: one a hospital owned program, one a hospital affiliated, one a free standing clinic program. Each may last no longer than three and at the end of that time there will be an evaluation and a report to the General Assembly. This Section of the Act

- 120th Legislative Day

 also would be repealed in January of 1994. I move adoption

 of Amendment #2 to 3587."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment 2
 to House Bill 3587. Does anyone rise in opposition?
 Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in opposition to the Amendment but my first question is, is this Amendment in order?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson, can you tell us why you think it might not be in order?"
- Didrickson: "The question has been raised over here with regards to the fact that it needs to...the Amendment would have to say 'as amended'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson, the Amendment is in order. Proceed, Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Okay. With that ruling then I would just rise to oppose this Amendment. This is a hostile Amendment. It is not designed to make this a better Bill this is designed to kill the Bill. It clearly does not have the support of the Medical Society, the insurance industry, the business community nor those consumers that you all represent. I would just recommend a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "Does anyone else rise in opposition? If not I will recognize you during debate later on. Representative Woolard, in opposition."
- Woolard: "Yes, I'd like to ask the Sponsor of this Amendment a couple of questions if I may, please? First off, if you are successful in getting your Amendment passed, who would be in control of these three facilities that would be involved in your Amendment?"
- Frederick: "Well, how do you mean? Who will be in control?"
- Woolard: "Who's going to be the management of these three facilities?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Frederick: "The management will be the same as it would be should this Amendment not pass as I understand it."
- Woolard: "Are the hospitals going to be involved in these three facilities?"
- Frederick: "No. No. They will not be. This is a different kind of facility. It will be put in place if the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Board deems that there is a need put such a facility in a certain area course...for example, in my area where we have seven hospitals within 15 miles of each other. I am sure the Board would find that that was not a necessity. the treatment centers do allow for overnight incidently, stays for postsurgical cases and also for obstetrical cases. One day in case of surgery and an additional day if the physician so designates. Three days in the case of obstetrical if the physician so designates."
- Woolard: "So, none of these three facilities would in fact be controlled or a part of any of the existing hospitals?"
- Frederick: "There is one that is hospital owned."
- Woolard: "Oh, it's only owned by the hospital. It's not controlled by the hospital. It's only owned. Oh, okay, I misunderstood that. How 'bout the second one?"
- Frederick: "Now wait a minute. In the pilot program one...in the pilot program one of the facilities is hospital owned."
- Woolard: "One of them is hospital owned, okay."
- Frederick: "One. There are three pilot programs. One is hospital affiliated, the other is a free standing center."
- Woolard: "Okay, what about the second one? One is controlled, one is free standing, what about the middle one?"
- Frederick: "Well, it's hospital affiliated. I suppose there would be a coordinated kind of program that went on with that one. The idea is to evaluate which is a better way to go in which part of the state as I understand it."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Woolard: "Okay, to the Amendment. As an independent businessman believe that positively if I could control If I had the opportunity to say that there competition. would be no competition move into Williamson County in the lumber industry, I would be pleased to do so. Т believe that the hospitals in the area are identifying competition with these facilities. That's not what really is. what it is is giving and extending an opportunity for the people that are in underserved areas the chance to have some kind of help. This is only a positive thing, Amendment #1 addresses that very correctly. Amendment #2 puts the control of these facilities hands of those people who don't want competition right now. I truly believe that this is a hostile Amendment. cannot be passed if we truly want to effectively address the needs of the people in those settings that need help." Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the last call for your change of votes on the Agreed Bill cutting...bring your change of votes immediately to the well and we will cut it...it will be cut off at this time. Representative Weaver, on Amendment #2 to House Bill 3587." Weaver: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Just a short question of the Sponsor. Representative, on page 3 of your Amendment, it speaks to the location of these facilities only in an area where the Health Planning...Health Facilities Planning Board has determined that there is not surplus of general acute care hospital beds. What is...under that Facilities Planning Board what makes up a surplus? Is it one bed or two beds? Is it done on an annual basis or ... "

Frederick: "No, there is a formula and that Board operates and has been in operation for a long time regarding overbedding of an area. They came into play when we had the same

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

problem in Lake County where another hospital wanted to come in. And we had about 500 extra beds as it was. I would think they would be a help to you in rural downstate areas because you certainly are not over served and you need beds. And so I would think that the way the Board works that you would get a facility such as a treatment center in case you could not put up a whole hospital."

Weaver: "Would this be the same as applying for Certificate of Need?"

Frederick: "It would be similar to that, yes."

Weaver: "Okay, the same formula then."

Frederick: "Yes."

Weaver: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well. just briefly, I stand in strong support of the Amendment. The key to the Amendment is that the centers can be located in an area...No centers can be located in an area that the Health Facilities Planning Board determined has a surplus of general acute care hospital beds. requires that these centers only be located in Federally designated health manpower shortage areas. think that's the key. Now, in the absence of Amendment there's a number of hospital administrators, I've got letters from them, indicating that the problem with the Bill before...without the Amendment is the excess hospital capacity currently available. And, so the purpose of the Amendment, in part, is to limit where these things could operate. And I think it's a good Amendment for that reason. Urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is opened. Have all voted who wish? A simple majority is required. Representative Mulcahey votes

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

'no'. Mr. Clerk, could you vote him, please? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 51 voting 'aye', 56 voting 'no' and 5 voting 'present'. And the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Didrickson, excuse me. Read the Bill on Third, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3587, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act regarding Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act. Third Reading of the Bill.

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment now has become the Bill. I would ask that we would give this initiative and the State of Illinois an opportunity with regards to a pilot project. becomes sunsets in 1994 after the six pilot projects: two rural, two urban and two outer urban have completed their...for the next few years have completed their beginning with regards to the pilot project. And we've been able to study and assess what they can do in the State of Illinois. I reference that this was done out California and for those of you who do serve on hospital boards, for those of you who are very concerned about Illinois Hospital Association. I would say to you that in California half of the pilot projects were put in place the Hospital Association. This idea in concept was brought to me by a hospital. It has the full support of the medical community, the business community and the insurance community. And beyond all that those consumers out there who you have not yet heard from, who really would like to see this option here, this alternative with regards to

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

delivering health care in the State of Illinois. Ladies and Gentleman what we are really talking about is an option to be able to save some money for those not acutely ill but who still need postsurgical treatment. We are talking instead of 800 to \$1000 a day, and yes that's what we've gotten to, we're talking about costs that are \$350 Obviously, if this were to become a full blown day. project, the Certificate of Need in the State of Illinois would still apply. What we are looking at in the State of Illinois and for those of you downstate, for those of you who are concerned about rural health care, we are looking at 14 counties who have no obstetrical care. We looking at 21 counties that where the entire county is designated as a health manpower shortage. This provides an alternative in the mix of health care in the State of Illinois. And I would urge the adoption of this."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady's moved the passage of House Bill 3587. Representative Cullerton rises in opposition."

Cullerton: "Yes, Madam Speaker. In the absence of the previous Amendment passing, I really have to strongly oppose the Bill. I have with me a letter from a downstate physician who happens to own an ambulatory surgical center. And he, to quote from, says 'it's inappropriate for such centers to approved for accommodating postsurgical and delivery patients for a period of two to three days as proposed by this Bill.' And he also says 'that given the excess hospital capacity currently available the addition of such centers will ultimately increase the cost of health care in Illinois...Maybe...The medical center up near my area they also have indicated opposition. They are calling it an unnecessary duplication of services already provided by acute care hospitals. Now maybe there might be certain areas of this state where this might be appropriate, but

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

that would have been made possible with the Amendment that
was previously offered which barely failed. So, I think,
in considering whether or not you wish to vote for this
Bill take these factors into account. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3587 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.
Voting is open. Representative Trotter, one minute to
explain your vote."

Trotter: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I just wanted to explain my vote. You know this is a poor Bill. Initially the intent probably was a good to whereas they might have had more access to health care downstate in those areas that desperately need it. But it does just the opposite. What's happening it's going to further the closing or have closures of those hospitals that are on the brink of closing because there is not adequate funding at this point in time. These centers centers will be cherry picking those patients that have insurance away from those hospitals that need that kind of cash flow. So, I ask all of you to vote with me and vote 'no' on this poorly conceived idea."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wojcik, one minute to explain your vote."

Wojcik: "Yes, again I would like to reiterate to the Members of this august Body, that for those of you who have had hospitals who were in crisis two years ago, when the Medicaid payments were not coming to them and they had to go out and they had to seek other financing to offset their Bills, this will cause the same thing to happen. This is for the affluent hospital this is not for the inner city hospitals nor is it for the collar or the suburban hospitals. You want to talk competition. Hospitals everyday have got to compete with the doctors, they're

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

building buildings, they're giving them low cost rent and what have you. This is disastrous for our local hospitals and for the hospitals in the inner city. And I urge you all to vote 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ryder, one minute to explain your vote. Mr. Ryder"

Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Perhaps if we had hospitals that were competing this Bill might have a little different impact. But for those of us from rural Illinois that don't have access to medical service this Bill makes eminent sense. A hospital in my district closed. We do not have medical service in that town or that community now. this Bill were to be passed then we would be able provide medical services, needed medical services, in that community and other rural communities in Illinois. Perhaps in the suburbs where you have the luxury of hospitals competing against each other for patients it might not be as beneficial. But for us, in downstate Illinois, it makes very good sense. And I would ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Turner, one minute to explain your vote."

Turner: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the I'm a bit confused on this particular piece of legislation because my community reflects a little bit of what's happening in rural America. Yes, I have three hospitals within a mile of my particular home. Next this time there may be one, there may be none. This idea is not a bad one when you think about the hospital closures but the issue is much bigger than that. Until this state starts dealing with Medicaid reimbursement payment...paying these hospitals in a timely fashion...You know, it's only going to become more disastrous. I'm going

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

to vote 'yellow' on this particular Bill because I'm not clear just where we're headed here. Something has to be done to provide medical care and there's too many hospitals that have closed in my community. People have to be served somewhere, some how, some place. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson, one minute to explain your vote."

Didrickson: "Thank you, I would just like to allay with here regards to the reimbursement differential. We will be bringing that down and drawing it closer this actually will help that. With regards to the fact that the comment was made that this is a duplication of services. Obviously, it is not a duplication services, this is a service that has not been offered that we would like to try in terms of a pilot project. I think for those of you who are concerned about Cook County hospitals and speaking on behalf of them this is no threat. Anything that we could do to assist them certainly would be a positive. I'm pleased to see the 61 'ave' votes and the opportunity to try this in the State of Illinois. It's an alternative to some very costly health care delivery system that we have."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Lang, one minute to explain your
 vote."

Lang: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've listened to both sides of this debate and I think both sides make some very interesting and cogent points. Which make it very difficult to vote either way on this Bill. I think if we can get the two sides to sit down together perhaps..."

Speaker Breslin: "You have one minute to explain your vote."

Lang: "Thank you, perhaps if we get the sides together we can get them to come together and come to something they can both

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

live with and something we can live with. I think that rural Illinois needs some kind of program like this to work with. But I think that the Hospital Association in their efforts to lobby against this Bill have made some other interesting points. And I think those...there are some out there like me who are concerned with both sides of this issue. I think what we should do is send them back to talk about it a little further. I think we should vote 'vellow'."

Speaker Breslin: "The Bill now has 66 votes. Representative Ropp, one minute to explain your vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. If your hospital is in a situation where you have abundant resources then you can afford to gote green on this. But if your hospitals are finding it difficult because they do not receive enough state dollars because this is a program that ultimately is going to deplete the available dollars that are currently coming into those hospitals. I don't think current hospitals can afford this program."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 66 voting 'aye', 30 voting 'no'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. With leave of the Body I'd like to go back to a Bill I passed over on State Government Administration, Third Reading and that is House Resolution 1710, Representative Matijevich. Proceed, Representative."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, this is a Resolution offered by Representative Frederick and Churchill and myself. It relates to the attempts by a bus..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "...attempts to operate a cement and asphalt crushing business in Park City right on the border of Gurnee which

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

is too close to a residential area. In the Resolution we express our opposition because it is too close to the residential area. And we urge the EPA to hold public hearings on the application for the operation of such a business, so that the citizens of Park City and Gurnee may be heard and their concerns may be expressed. I move the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of House Resolution 1710. On the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Resolution All those in favor vote 'aye'...all those in 1710 pass?' favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment...the Resolution is adopted. Going back to the Order of State and Local Government, Second Reading. The next Bill is House Bill 3667. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3667, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed. The first Amendment is Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Floor Amendment 5 is offered to address some concern...industry concerns...that were expressed in the committee in discussion of this Bill, this is the Caller ID Bill. Amendment #5...is opposed by no one that I know of and I would urge support for the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 5. On the question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is the same as Amendment #4 which we

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

have already defeated. The fact of the matter is that Illinois Bell is neutral on this but they're not for it. And in my judgement there isn't a necessity for the Amendment because there's no necessity for the Bill. So, I rise in opposition to this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 5 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is opened. Simple majority is required. Have all voted who wish? Representative Homer, one minute to explain your vote."

Homer: "Madam Speaker, this is...this is silly. I mean, this vote is absolutely silly. Nobody opposes this Amendment. Illinois Bell, as the Gentleman says, is neutral. They're the only opponent to the Bill but they're neutral on the Amendment. In fact, if the Bill passes they would prefer that it had this Amendment on it. So, we're going straight to Third Reading after this Amendment. Let's not play around with the vote on this Amendment. Nobody's against this Amendment. Let's vote on the Bill. But please support the Amendment that everybody's for or at least neutral on."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Harris, one minute to explain
your vote."

Harris: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The speaker said that this was the same Amendment that we defeated before. Since we are under limited constraint on debate here on the House floor right now, the fact that we defeated the Amendment before where we had full debate simply tells you, yes, indeed there are people who are opposed to this Amendment as is self evident from 46 votes up there. Obviously, not enough to defeat the Amendment but it is a bad Amendment. It's a bad Bill. vote 'no' on both."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Regan, on minute to explain your vote."

Regan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. The State Police are in favor of this Amendment. And the Bill itself regulates this phone calling operation. It doesn't allow it. It regulates it. That's why it failed before because it was misunderstood. Anybody that's opposed to having their phone number spread out across the World should vote 'yes' on this Amendment and 'yes' on the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 60 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no'. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Read the Bill on Third."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3667, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utility Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 3667 is a Bill that deals...deals with Caller Identification. The phone companies in Illinois, some of them including Illinois Bell, are on the verge of offering a Caller Identification Service to any customer. This would entitle that customer to know who it is that's placing calls to that phone. may sound like a good idea, however, upon review and it is a good idea, it's a service that ought to be provided. We have no complaint with the service but it has a great potential for abuse. And it creates the potential for a great invasion of privacy into matters which...into situations where there are legitimate reasons why a person placing a call may not want to have the receiver know that call is being placed. For example, undercover officers will risk divulging the identity of the undercover

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

People who pay agents. extra for unlisted telephone numbers will have their numbers given out each time make a call. People who want to report a crime to the police department anonymously can't do so without whereabouts and identity being known. People who want to call a suicide prevention hotline, an AIDS hotline, a child abuse hotline, any kind of service cannot do under Caller ID without blocking...without risking having their number being known. So there are many, many times people, including consumers, may want to call about an advertisement but don't necessarily want the business to have access to their phone number so that they can be recipient of a lot of telemarketing efforts and campaigns. And let's be candid, that's who this service is designed for. Sure there'll be some individuals who will take advantage and subscribe to the service. But service is primarily marketed to businesses who want to use this information for telemarketing so that they can call into your home and prospect and try to get business. you talk about a Bill where there is almost virtually every organization for it. This Bill is supported by the Department of State Police, Association of Chiefs of Police, Cook County State's Attorney, Illinois Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Illinois Coalition Sexual Assault, Illinois Office of Public Council, ACLU, Citizens Utility Board, Illinois Public Action Council. Every one of those groups...yeah, I've just offended everyone, I'm sure. But every one of those groups is supporting the Bill. The Bill simply provides that there's a compromise. There's no effort to say that you can't have Caller ID. All the Bill says is that, 'if you have Caller ID the phone company must offer everyone an opportunity a call by call basis to block their telephone number,'

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

that's all that it says. And, in fact, CENTEL which is another phone company that's getting ready to offer it says 'We were going to give that anyway. We think that's just fundamentally fair to have..."

Speaker Breslin: "Bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Homer: "So, let me just urge you to support this Bill. And, finally and very briefly, to those who are minority members here, what could be more invidious than to allow what could be utilized for red lining where you would have a unscrupulous real estate broker channel calls from this ID system into a constant permanent busy signal. Because the prefix on the number calling that real estate broker is coming from a neighborhood of the city with which they do not want to do business. Now, I would urge every Member to support this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I will recognize one in opposition and then others to explain their vote.

Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "I have questions there may be others. So I would ask that at least five...the necessary number of people join to take this off Short Debate in case there are other questions, this is a difficult concept, there may be a lot of questions about this Bill. The first question that I have is, if I make a telephone...if this becomes law and I want my calls blocked, what do I have to do?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer, the Gentleman has asked a question. And the question is..."

Dunn: "If this Bill becomes law and I want to make telephone calls and I do not want the person on the other end to know my telephone number, I want to block my identification, what do I have to do?

Homer: "You would have to push like...a number and star or two numbers like a prefix before you dialed the regular

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

number."

Dunn: "And, alright then...Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to the Bill. It's my understanding that the way things currently are if you dial an 800 number or 900 you're essentially making a collect call. So the person receiving the call receives information about you I don't really object to that. But this is a provision which, to the best of my knowledge, is accommodate telephone solicitors to get names and mailing lists and numbers to call back. And that may worthwhile goal, I don't know. But I don't think that should take place under circumstances where every time I pick up the telephone I'm going to have to push #, * (pound, asterisk) and two other numbers to block my calls. legislation ought to be crafted so that my calls are blocked to begin with unless I affirmatively unblock And I realize that if we do that this legislation is not so attractive to the retailers. But what we're doing , if we pass this Bill in its present form, is we are saying that each of us in our own homes, every time we make a telephone call in our own home are going to be required to punch two or three numbers and when we do we're accommodating someone else. Our calls should be blocked affirmatively unless decide to unblock them and not the other way around. So, unless I misunderstand something I think this Bill in proper form at this time to become law. And I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Does anyone else want to ask questions? If
 not, Representative Black for questions."

Black: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. To the Bill's Sponsor, Representative, you had indicated that if you wanted the phone blocked out you would punch in a code or something. I guess my question is if someone is using the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

telephone for obscene or threatening purposes. Why would we make it so that person could just punch in a code and then that person who is misusing the telephone is then protected?"

Homer: "Representative Black, under the Amendment we adopted he would not be...the...Oh, on the obscene phone call, let me just say that what would happen is with Call Trace which is already current technology. All the recipient would have to do after receiving an obscene phone call would be to hit a couple of buttons and the phone number would be automatically recorded at the telephone company switching station and report it to the police. So, you know, there is no blocking by an obscene phone caller. That information can be obtained."

Black: "Well, isn't it true it can only be obtained if you've gotten the necessary legal documents to put a trap on your line?"

Homer: "No, that's one way to do it the other way is with Call Trace. I don't know if you've ever heard of Call Trace but that's where you get a call and you want it reported to the police after you hang up. You hit a couple of coded buttons and that goes into the switching board as reported to the police. And that's current technology. You can do that under current technology. This Bill would not...You know, and also you could call the police and have them put a tracer on your phone in which case those numbers would be recorded."

Black: "You had also mentioned that if you wanted to report a crime in progress and do so anonymously...Don't most enhanced 911 systems trap that line now so that the police can instantly trace that call coming into the 911 board?"

Homer: "Representative Black, sure if you placed a 911 call from your phone your identity would be known. But there are

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

people who want to report crimes that don't want their identity known. They don't dial 911. They dial the nonemergency number. And they don't want their identity known. And so they need to have the right to block their call."

Black: "So, what basically your Bill says that you don't have to certify a reason why you want your Caller ID blocked. If you want it blocked it's blocked no ifs, ands, buts or ors, right?"

Homer: "That's true."

Black: "Well, I don't want to incur the wrath of the Speaker but
I'm not sure I favor that either."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino for a short question."

Mautino: "Representative Homer, how many phones will have to be replaced in the State of Illinois with the enactment of this legislation? I have a phone in front of me that we all use. Right? Does that mean that I've got to buy a new phone in order to find out what number has been calling me on this new system that's being offered?"

Homer: "Representative Mautino, I'm glad you asked that question because there's so much confusion about this Bill. It's obvious from that question and the one Representative Dunn asked me. This legislation does not authorize Caller does not authorize it. It's in response to Caller ID. The phone companies don't need legal authority they've now. They're going to use it. They've got application going to the Commerce Commission. That's all they need. They don't need anything more. This Bill does not authorize that. This Bill says, 'if they get it as a condition of getting it Commerce Commission you have to require them to let their customers block individual calls.' So the only people that would change phones are the people who buy this equipment from the phone company

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

that want the identification service. If you're calling somebody and you want to block your call, you don't need any special new equipment. All you do is use your current equipment and punch in a couple of numbers before you dial the number. And there's no cost to you to have your call blocked. You can do that with your current equipment. It's just assuring you that when you call that car dealer or that life insurance company to get a quote that they won't have your number reported in front of them."

Homer: "We thank you, Madam Speaker. I know this is a complex issue but it's an important one and it involves the right to privacy. You know, in this technological have there are many wonders and many beneficial things that come about. And Caller ID is going to be on us whether we want it or not. The phone companies are going to make available. When you call a business they're going to have your phone number. When you call your neighbor they're going to know who called. And that may be okay but you ought to have as a fundamental right of privacy the opportunity, if you wish, to not let them have your number. Because there are many times where you may want to make a phone call that for whatever reason, although it's a legitimate one, you don't want them to know your number. And so all this Bill does is strike a compromise and that if the phone companies go to Caller ID they have to give the option of blocking to all of us. It's a right of It's a fundamental right. And one we all should privacy. champion."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3667 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Representative Hoffman to explain your vote."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Hoffman: Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the "Thank you, House. I rise in opposition to this legislation. Gentleman says there's a lot of confusion about this issue. The fact of the matter is the technology is not in this state. And where they are using it, in New Jersey, working out fine. And the role of the Commerce Commission is to deal with those questions that cause the confusion. They have a hearing program that they go through. And the Legislature at this stage of the game should not be involved in this issue. Let's let technology move forward. And we have a Commerce Commission to deal with the issue, there are no applications before that Commerce Commission to deal with this kind of a program at this time, and this is premature legislation. And I stand in opposition."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bugielski, one minute to explain
 your vote."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Bill. Again, just as the previous Speaker said, we are putting the cart in front of the horse. We don't even have this service yet and we are already making...putting regulations onto this. Illinois Bell annually receives in complaints over a 180,000 complaints on harassing calls and obscene phone calls. That's just what they receive a 180,000. We are not counting the thousands of people that never call Illinois Bell to make a complaint. I feel that this is a very...Caller ID would be a very good piece legislation to have here...just having Caller ID here. W۵ should give Illinois Bell, we should give the phone companies a chance to bring this in this service in then we could put the regulations in if we see that there's problems with it. But I ask for a 'no' vote on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Harris, one minute to explain

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

your vote."

Harris: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the The Sponsor of this legislation made two comments in his closing remarks which I think are important: One, he says it's a complex issue. Indeed it is a complex issue which I don't think has gotten a fair hearing in this very, very Short Debate. We are dealing with leading edge technology something which is coming very soon but state of the art. It is complex it needs further discussion. he made a second point, he said there's a right to privacy. Well, you know, a phone call has two parties and the party receiving the call a the right to privacy too. We've got a lot of smart people on this floor. Let's discuss it greater length than we've given it here. I think it's too short a debate that we've had because there is a question of right to privacy. Caller ID has been used in New Jersey and it's been very, very effective. The police concerns have been taken care of. This is not the right answer to this right now, and vote 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 36 voting 'aye', 59 voting 'no'. And the Bill fails. House Bill 3872, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. House Bill 3881, Representative Kirkland. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3881, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Kirkland."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Amendment #1 simply

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- makes the Act become effective on becoming law."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3881. Do you wish to discuss that? No. Representative Rice."
- Rice: "I was trying to seek personal privilege before you..."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Kirkland."
- Speaker Breslin: "Just a moment, Representative Rice, what personal privilege do you request?"
- Rice: "On House Bill 3587 I appear 'present'. I would like to vote 'yes' on that Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The record will reflect that in the transcript.

 Representative Kirkland is recognized on Amendment 2."
- Kirkland: "Amendment 2 will make the effect of the Bill
 retroactive."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentlemen moves the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 3881. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Read the Bill on Third, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3881, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kirkland."
- Kirkland: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill is designed to increase supervision of released inmates on parole or

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

mandatory supervised release during the critical period when research shows that they are most likely to commit technical violations or new offenses. In other words, offenses that cause recidivism. The Bill modifies the terms of supervision reducing them by a third to reduce parole case loads, allow more case supervision and ensure public safety within current budget restraints. This is a proposal that is a recommendation from the Report of the Task Force on Released Inmates published in January of this year. That task force was assembled and met pursuant to the Senate...to Senate Joint Resolution 83 adopted two years ago. I move for passage of that Bill."

- Speaker McPike: "Question is...Representative McPike in the Chair. Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 106 'ayes', 2 'nos'. House Bill 3881 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3993, Representative Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3993, Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Levin."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin withdraws Amendment #2 and he withdraws Amendment #3. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4 being offered by Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Withdraw. Withdraw the Amendment, please."
- Speaker McPike: "Withdraw. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Black."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment incorporates provisions of House Bill 970 to this Bill. simply allows municipalities to own and operate their own cable tv franchise. It does require that a municipality that is considering such a move to first hold a meeting before making that decision. And that public meeting has certain specifications listed in the Amendment. I think it puts it in concert with federal law that says thing. I'd ask your favorable basically the same consideration of Amendment #5."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'...Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think on philosophical grounds I'm going to oppose this because again it allows government to get into the marketplace into the free enterprise system. I think it's the opposite direction in which our society would want us to go. Since when does government do a better job than business and free enterprise? And so therefore I will oppose this Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "It's as the Sponsor of the Bill I have no problem with the Amendment. I accept the Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #6 is proposed by the City of Chicago. It's an attempt to allow the city to speed the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

process by which they acquire abandoned buildings and acquire title to those buildings in order to put it to some productive use. So the proposal would be to streamline the demolition for closure procedures and to establish the procedures from which the city can acquire property to abandoned property before demolition. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote on the Amendment. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Yes, I understand that this addresses a serious problem in the city. I'm just concerned that the notice is adequate to protect the property owner. What are the provisions relative to the notice? Does the court have to have the jurisdiction over the person of the owner? I mean is that still something that the city would have to secure?"

Cullerton: "All that the owner has to do is file an appearance in court and my understanding is none of these new provisions would apply. All do is file an appearance."

McCracken: "And you still require a personal service?"

Cullerton: "Yes."

McCracken: "Okay. I had discussed this earlier with Representative Cullerton and have considered it. And I think as long as this veto power of the owner is preserved and personal service of process is still required that it balances appropriately the competing interests. I rise in support."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton"

Cullerton: "I move to adopt the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?'

All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'

have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Levin

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

and Cullerton."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #7 modifies the time limits in Amendment #1 which was previously adopted to provide that for condominiums the time limit for responding to a design plan would be 90 days rather than 30 days. This Amendment recognizes that in most condominiums there is a negotiation process that goes on between the association and the cable company and that they need more time. This is at this point a compromise. We expect that there will be continued negotiations in the Senate on this issue."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3993, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill?

Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are lll 'ayes', l 'no'. House Bill 3993 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Martinez."

Martinez: "The record to reflect that I would have voted 'present' on HB 3667."

Speaker McPike: "The record will so reflect. House Bill 4013, Representative Kulas. Representative Kulas on a Motion."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have a Motion on the Calendar. On the written Motion that I filed to extend the deadline on House Bill

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

4013 to November...thru November 30th, 1990. This is the Municipal Waste Planning and Siting Bill on which an Ad Hoc Task Force has agreed to work thru this summer into the fall to come out with some kind of an Agreed Bill. And I would move to extend the deadline."

Speaker McPike: "Any objections? Hearing none, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Balanoff objects. Anyone else? The question is, 'Shall this Motion pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The Motion is to extend the deadline on House Bill 4013 thru November 30, 1990. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 95 'ayes', 10 'nos'. And the Motion carries. Representative Edley. Representative Edley indicates he would have voted 'no' instead of 'present' on that Motion. House Bill 4124, Representative Homer. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4124, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substances Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted previously."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4 is being offered by Representative Homer and Weller."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Article...Amendment #4 creates the Drug Free Schools and Communities Program. This was an initiative from the task force that was brought to us by Lieutenant Governor Ryan. And also creates the Youth Drug Abuse Prevention Fund Check Off to provide that on individual income tax returns for tax years beginning in 1991 that tax payers may contribute one dollar or more to the Drug Abuse Prevention Fund. The proceeds from which

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

would be distributed: 25 percent to the Department of Corrections to administer the Drug Testing Program that we authorized in a previous Bill, 25 percent to the Probation Office to conduct the drug testing for probation, 25 percent would be awarded to DASA for grants to non-profit community organizations, another 25 percent to DASA for grants to non-profit agencies providing inpatient outpatient drug rehab programs or crime prevention in neighborhoods. That portion of the Bill was taken from recommendations of Representative Tony Young and the Black Caucus. The Bill is a product of the Drug Task Force and a means to provide voluntary funding for very worthwhile programs to help address the growing problem of drug dependency among youth and among the population in I would urge your support for Amendment 4."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4124, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substances Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this...Hicks 'aye', Shaw 'aye'. On this Bill there are 109 'ayes'...Petka, Representative Petka changes from 'no' to 'aye'. On this Bill there are 110 'ayes', no 'nays'. Representative Martinez."

Martinez: "I want the record to reflect I would have voted 'aye' on this."

Speaker McPike: "On this Bill?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Martinez: "Yes."

Speaker McPike: "Martinez 'aye'. On this Bill, there are 111
'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 4124 having received the
Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. State
and Local Government, Third Reading, appears House Bill
706. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, this matter is on Postponed Consideration. It's already had a vote. The Chair has been taking out of the record Bills on Postponed Consideration so people can have votes, the first time on some Bills before the others are called. I would ask that you take this matter out of the record and proceed with Bills that have not yet had a Third Reading vote."

Speaker McPike: "The Bill's out of the record. House Bill 1007, Representative Levin. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1007, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Over the last four years the courts of this state have reversed four major rate increases Commonwealth Edison, and it said that the monies collected from the consumers of Common Wealth Edison and those rate increases should be returned. The amount of money involved between 1.4 billion and 1.7 billion dollars of consumer money that Commonwealth Edison continues to hold. And yet as of today not one dime of that money that has been collected that the courts have said is illegal and should be returned has been returned. In addition, we are still paying for these rate increases every time a customer of Commonwealth Edison makes a payment he is paying more money to the utility for these rate increases that have been held by the courts to be illegal. Yet, approximately a month

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

ago this utility came in to the Illinois Commerce Commission and said not only don't we want to return the money the courts have said we should but ask for a new rate increase. The public has been outraged because they have yet to see their first dollar returned and they have, they're outraged moreover that this utility is asking for yet another increase without returning the money the courts have said they should return. This legislation is very simple, this legislation says that if a court has reversed one or more rate increases and said..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative..."

Levin: "that the money should be returned to the consumers..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative..."

Levin: "and that money has not yet been returned. The utility..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin..."

Levin: "cannot have the Commerce Commission consider a new rate increase until it is in fact dealt with it."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin, bring your remarks to a close. Representative Ewing to close...I'm sorry Representative Ewing in opposition."

Ewing: "Thank you, I'd like to ask some questions of the Sponsor."

Speaker McPike: "Well, would someone like to stand in opposition
to this?"

Ewing: "I am in opp...yes."

Speaker McPike: "Alright Representative Ewing in opposition."

Ewing: "You don't want questions then..."

Speaker McPike: "We would prefer no questions."

Ewing: "Alright, we'll just...this is probably one of the worst

Bills to come out of the Public Utilities Committee. The

Speaker is talking about refunds from Com Ed. No one
questions whether those should be made or not but he's

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

using a shotgun to try and get the goal which he has and that is the refunds. I want to say that this Bill encourages interveners to appeal every ICC order. when they appeal it they stop the company from filing anything else with the ICC. That's very important, should remember that. It encourages delay in the appeals process because once they get an appeal filed, they tie the company. I think that we have a very serious problem here, if this Bill were to become law we would make chaos out of the process which the companies have in filing for rates and in the court process. Representative Levin, this is bad legislation, as Chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, you ought to be ashamed of yourself for even offering it. And I would suggest you withdraw it now and it back to the drafting boards and get it improved."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Hoffman to explain his vote."

Hoffman: "Thank you very...thank you very much, Mr. Speaker,
Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The language in this
Bill creates a very, very unnatural and unsound incentive
for anybody to get involved who isn't already involved in
the buying and selling of natural gas for resale. The
reason for that is it exempts anybody who does that and
it's ridiculous to exclude any part of the utility from
this particular action if you're going to do it. If your
going to make it possible for other people to take
advantage of it at some other time. So, for that reason as
well as previous reasons mentioned I stand in opposition to
this legislation."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Piel, to explain his vote."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I sit on the Public Utilities Committee and I think in this

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Body the one rule that applies to everybody, your word is your bond. The Sponsor of this Bill said that a...he amended it in committee and said that it did one thing. called his attention to it later on that the Amendment did not do what he stated it was supposed to do. And he you're right, so we amended it. CUB and the utilities both called to our attention that they thought that certain areas of what he wanted to do was not done in Amendment. Amendment #7 has been filed, it was filed about Monday of this week, and the Sponsor was promised to put Amendment #7 on, if you'll check your calendar it was never called to put Amendment #7 on. The Sponsor never put Amendment #7 on so the language that CUB needs is in Amendment #7, it's not on there. The utilities, certain utilities had agreed to Amendment #7, I think Ladies and Gentlemen on the strict basis of your word is your bond you should vote 'no' or 'present' on this Bill and send a message that when you make an agreement in committee you'll stick by that agreement. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This has the same sound as the Coalition for Political Honesty. It says you gotta give back the money that you wrongfully collected or you can't have another rate increase even heard. This will tie up those hearings, the ICC the same as the courts have done, it sounds good, does exactly the wrong thing and deserves to die."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my 'aye' vote, let me suggest first of all, that those that say this encourages appeals are absolutely wrong. That while this legislation only applies where an appellate or a supreme court has issued an order finding that a whole or a part of

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

a rate increase is illegal. And that is a matter of record, the fact that somebody appeals an increase has absolutely nothing to do with this legislation. As far as this gas issue, we intended to exempt gas companies, that is what this legislation does. We did put Amendments on on the floor to make that clearer. If it's still not clear. be happy to work with anybody in the Senate to clear up any technical problems that exist. This is an important Bill, to many millions of consumers in the state who are asking where are their rebates right now? If the utility asks for an increase there are time limits there are no ways of encouraging the disposition of what benefits consumers now."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative McCracken asks for a verification. Representative Levin ask for a poll of those not voting."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Flowers. Harris. Lou Jones. Krska. Martinez. Mautino. Santiago. And Williams."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, Poll of those...Representative Harris."
Harris: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as 'present'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Harris votes 'present'. Proceed with the Poll of the Affirmative. Representative Klemm, Representative Klemm, are you seeking recog...Representative Klemm changes from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Deuchler changes from 'aye' to 'no'. Is anyone else seeking recognition? Representative Martinez, Martinez."

Martinez: "Yes, please let the record reflect I wish to vote 'aye' on this."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Martinez is your switch working?" Martinez: "I've pushed it several times, it doesn't respond."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Would the electrician check his switch. Vote Representative Martinez 'aye'. Representative Representative Petka, 'no'. Does anyone else want to change your vote? Representative Williams, 'ave'. leave to be verified Novak. Terzich would like to change from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Krska, votes 'aye'. Representative Williamson votes 'ave'. Representative Bowman would like leave to be verified, he is in the rear. Who's taking the verification? Representative McCracken? We go two...two were verified so far, Bowman and Novak."

McCracken: "Yes."

- Speaker McPike: "Anyone else seeking to change their vote?

 Representative Santiago, 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, read the affirmative."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Balanoff. Black. Breslin. Brunsvold. Capparelli. Cullerton. Currie. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Edlev. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hallock. Hannig. Homer. Hultgren. Shirley Jones. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. McNamara. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak. Noland. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Regan. Rice. Richmond. Santiago. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Sutker. Tenhouse. Stephens. Stern. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. Weaver. Weller. White. Williams. Williamson. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. Zickus. And Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw, would like to change from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Shaw. Ready?

 Representative Charles Morrow would like to be verified."

 McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

McCracken: "Thank you. Representative Van Duyne?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Van Duyne. Is the Gentleman here? He is not, remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Mulcahey?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mulcahey. Is the Gentleman here?

He is not. Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Kulas?"

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

McCracken: "Representative White?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative White. Is the Gentleman here?

He is not. Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Preston."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston. Is the Gentleman here?

No. Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Shirley Jones?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shirley Jones. Is the Lady here? She is not. Remove her from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Novak?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Novak. He was verified."

McCracken: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giglio. Is the Gentleman here?

He is not. Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi. Is the Gentleman here?

Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Mautino? Nope, I see him, I see him."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino, 'aye'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman was verified."

McCracken: "Representative Lou Jones?"

Speaker McPike: "Not voting."

McCracken: "Representative Phelps?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps, is the Gentleman here?

He is not. Remove him from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Flowers?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flowers. She's here.

Representative Turner."

Turner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, while there is a lull, I'd like to introduce Lawndale Elementary School, from the great west side the City of Chicago, they are in the gallery. Lawndale Elementary School."

McCracken: "Representative Black?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black, is the Gentleman here? He is not. Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Petka?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Petka, is voting 'no'."

McCracken: "Representative Hallock?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hallock. Is the Gentleman here?

Mr. Hallock here?"

McCracken: "Okay, I'm sorry."

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

McCracken: "Yeah. Okay. Nothing further."

Speaker McPike: "On this Bill there are 55 'ayes', 40 'nos', 10 voting 'present'. House Bill 1007, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost."

Speaker McPike: "House Bill 706, Representative Cullerton."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 706, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill passed out of the Judiciary II Committee, 13 to nothing. The Federal Government passed this year the...a Bill that requires the Attorney General to acquire data about crimes that manifest evidence of

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

prejudice. Otherwise known as hate crimes. President Bush signed the Bill at a ceremony in the White House on April 23rd, 1990. And this Bill is meant to implement that federal statute. The state police have indicated through a letter that this Bill will have no fiscal impact because the Illinois State Police Uniform Crime Reporting Program has just received \$500,000 to redesign their program to meet these reporting guidelines. So, I would appreciate an 'aye' vote and be happy to answer any guestions."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken, in opposition."

McCracken: "Yes, I rise in opposition and the reason we're not objecting to its being called again is because 2 5 Representative Cullerton reminded me, this is on Postponed Consideration from last year and apparently it went through the process again this year. But it doesn't make the anv better. it does is send us down a road toward All creating certain classes of people who are entitled to more protection of the law than the average citizen. We want to go down the road where the taxpayer pays for more protection for certain classes of people...than there is for the average taxpayer. Now everyone says this wonderful thing to do. compassionate, We should collecting these statistics because some day declare war on these awful crimes. I grant you, they are awful crimes, but any crime is an awful crime, does it hurt any less or more when you're stuck up at the point of a qun because it's who you are rather than the fact that you were chosen at random? No, it is not any better or worse. This is another example of the liberal agenda. create little subsets of people and then we will attract all these various subsets and the product will be a majority. Well I say to you it is not a majority, it is a splintered remnant of a once great party, the Democratic

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Party. That is precisely what you're making and that is precisely why this is a bad Bill. The people of this country have rejected the concept of special rights for certain types of people. They don't like it, they don't approve of it. They don't like quotas, they don't like these sorts of things. And I say this is wrong, look beyond the short term, look beyond what this Bill itself does, look at the trend, look at what it represents, and what it represents is wrong. Vote 'no'."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Cullerton to explain his vote."
- Cullerton: "Well, I just wanted to reiterate the fact that the President Bush just signed the Federal Act on April 23rd, it doesn't cost any money, in fact the Illinois State Police testified to that in committee. So I don't...and also it passed unanimously out of the committee and the Republican Members, I asked them if they had a problem with it, and nobody said anything so they voted for it. So, I certainly would appreciate at least the Members in the Judiciary Committee to vote 'aye'. Appreciate passing the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 53 'ayes', 50 'nos', one voting 'present'. House Bill 706, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 1014, Representative Giorgi. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1014, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill that Representative Lang put an Amendment on, Matijevich put an Amendment on and Terzich

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

put an Amendment on. It was fully debated a couple of days ago and I urge support of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "In opposition, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of I rise in opposition to House Bill 1014. debated this Bill a number of times but to refresh you. The Amendments that went on creates a new standard of forms that supposedly are going to be more readable but, in fact, what they end up doing is taking away the ability for the legal aspects of a contract. I think that the contracts need to be written in the legalize so that it is a legal document. And, therefore, if we are to rewrite all insurance policies of all the kinds that we have and to put the new forms out. It's going to be so costly that the cost of doing business...insurance business in the state In addition, we're going to...with Amendments will rise. put on said that this Bill now will not allow an insurance company to determine how they are going to set up billings to the people in this state. They want to exclude from a quarterly or a monthly. They said that it cannot change the rates except for once every six months. There very...and the Sponsor of this Amendment agreed, there are very few companies that even do this. We want to further restrict the insurance industry in this state and tell them how to operate free enterprise. I rise in opposition because these are IPAC Amendments that have gone on and we think that they are counter productive to the citizens of this state having quality affordable insurance. It will just raise the cost of doing business in this state. Please vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Lang to explain his vote."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Let's clear about what these Amendments are. The first Amendment that's on is a very simple Amendment. It doesn't deal with regulation. Ιt doesn't regulate insurance companies. They can charge whatever they want for their insurance. It simply says that they should do it once every six months. So that your constituents don't Bill every two months or three months or every four months with an increased premium to pay. Second Bill deals with policy simplification. And here I am an attorney trying to take business away from the attorneys and now I'm attacked for that. The attorneys can't get away with anything on the floor of this House. Vote 'aye' on this Amendment...on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. You know, this is a great consumer Bill. All of these here Amendments were thoroughly discussed that they were all adopted by the House. This is a good Bill there's nothing in there that provides protection there for the consumer. And there's nothing that's supposedly against the insurance company. Only fairness for the people who have problems with insurance. And this was worked over by everybody and it deserves everybody's support. And the ones who are voting 'no' on this Bill should be ashamed of themselves."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 56 'ayes', 49 'nos', 3 voting 'present'. Representative Martinez 'aye'. Representative Leverenz 'aye'. Representative Mautino 'aye'. Mr. Electrician, would you check Representative Martinez's switch? On this Bill, there are 59 'ayes', 49 'nos'. This Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority...Representative Giorgi

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- asked for...Representative Giorgi, do you want it on Postponed? It's your Bill. Representative Giorgi asks for a Poll of those not voting, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Harris. Lou Jones.

 Shirley Jones and Petka."
- Speaker McPike: "On this Bill there are 59 'ayes', 49 'nos'.

 House Bill 1014 having failed to receive the Constitutional

 Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 1649,

 Representative Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1619, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."
- Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1649 directs...DCCA...its office of urban assistance to establish an economic Bill of Rights for the disadvantaged. And to declare revitalization areas...in areas of high unemployment. I move for the passage of this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "In opposition, Representative Doederlein."
- Doederlein: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Bill because this would be an additional cost of over \$1.25 million. And we did have a discussion in the committee meeting and several of the departments came in to testify that they were already doing this service. So I would ask you to vote red."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted?

 Have all voted who wish? Representative Stephens to explain his vote. Mr. Electrician. Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just...to note to the General Assembly that this, I think, has a cost of \$2.5 billion associated with it...oh, that's the next one, excuse me...excuse me. This is 1.25 million...this is only

120th Legislative Day slightly bad."

May 18, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens, are you talking against all five Bills at once? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 51 'ayes', 54 'nos'. House Bill 1649 having failed to receive the Constitutional...Representative Younge."

Younge, W.: "Postponed Consideration."

Speaker McPike: "Representative, we are not coming back to these Bills."

Younge, W.: "I'd like it on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker McPike: "Postponed Consideration. House Bill 1656, Representative Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1656, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 166...1656 would require that the places of public housing not be permanent residences, become places of temporary occupancy as would public aid. The Bill would require the Illinois Housing Development Authority to make seventy-five percent of the monies necessary for mortgages available to occupants of it would require that the Department of And Commerce and Community Affairs buy abandoned plants near where public housing recipients live so that they could be open and people could work and therefore move public aid. This is...and off of public aid...this is not a finished concept, but it is the beginning of a concept that would better use the resources of the State of Illinois by having poor people acquire equity in...by ownership. And have them rather than languish...languishing on public aid...be workers...and be involved in a gainful private employment system. I have been working on this concept with the administrators of the

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- public housing authority, and it would greatly improve the economics of the State of Illinois."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We respectfully rise in opposition. The cost to the Illinois Department of Public Aid by itself is \$2.5 billion that's not counting DCCA's cost...We sympathize with the Sponsor..."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 30 'ayes', 65 'nos'. House Bill 1656 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2533, Representative Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Representative McCracken...Representative Younge."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 25..."

Speaker McPike: "2533."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2533, a Bill for an Act to amend the East St. Louis Area Development Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

- Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

 House Bill 2533 would establish the Family Resource Center
 at the State community college in East St. Louis in
 conjunction with the East St...East St. Louis Development
 Authority. This center would teach people...low income and
 on public aid coping skills so that they can move off of
 public aid into private gainful employment."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright. This Bill is on Postponed Consideration so we have debated it before. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Doederlein."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Doederlein: "I rise in opposition to this Bill. I know Representative Younge has worked very hard with Governor Thompson in the East St. Louis Task Force. And their report..."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Representative Younge."
- Younge, W.: "This is a very important...important Bill to my community. I think that we ought to follow our theory, if we really want people to be employed and get off the backs of the state, we ought...we have to give them the training that they need. I ask for your support...of this matter."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 45 'ayes', 57 'nos'. House Bill 2533 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2535, Representative Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2535, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."
- Younge, W.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2535 houses an economic recovery demonstration, which has been promised by Governor Thompson for the East St. Louis area. I served on a Task Force in reference to municipal bankruptcy and one of the recommendations was that East St. Louis be used as the subject matter of a demonstration as to how to economically revive urban inner cities. This Bill would provide for an industrial park area to be implemented in the East St. Louis area would provide for grants and loans for...for police, fire and trash pickup among a number of other things. And it will be..."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge, the Chairman has spoke...This is on Postponed Consideration. So it's been

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

debated before. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Nothing."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 46 'ayes', 52 'nos'. House Bill 2535 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2538, Representative Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2538, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

Younge, W.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2538 F [WAW establish cooperatives in urban areas and it would authorize the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to establish these cooperatives. Many of our young people do not work, have no potential to work and will not work because they do not have the skills or places to work. This Bill would require DCCA to set up a cooperative of four or more people and help the young persons through a feasibility study a proto-type development and finding This concept has been tried in other places and it has led to many permanent jobs and I ask for support on this matter."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Doederlein."

Doederlein: "Again, I rise in opposition to this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 51 'ayes' and 58 'nos'. House Bill 2538 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

lost. House Bill 2870, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2870, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hultgren."

Hultaren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2870 would increase the current limitation on damages for vexatious or unreasonable delays in settlement or payment of claims against insurance companies. Current limits are 25,000 and 25 percent. This would raise it to 50,000 or 50 percent whichever is larger. This measure does not affect those companies that operate in good faith, only those that are unscrupulous. Would ask for a favorable Roll Call, Mr. Chairman. And in closing I'd like yield to Representative Cullerton for closing."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parke in opposition."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it was about four years that it was raised to 25,000. In that four year period no insurance company has had a claim put against it. This is totally unnecessary. To raise it from 25 to 50 accomplishes nothing. The insurance company in good faith agreed to raise it to 25, being that there is no claims at all...in the four years against it. We just feel that this is further government interference in something that is functioning well. I ask for a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 59 'ayes', 51 'nos'. Representative Hultgren asks for a Poll of those not voting."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting: Shirley Jones, Van

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Duyne, Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker McPike: "On this Bill there are 59 'ayes', 51 'nos'. House Bill 2870 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2929 Representative Novak. Read the Bill, Mr. Representative Novak, just a minute. On House Calendar -Supplemental #1, Agreed Bills. These Bills having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. The vote totals are up here at the Clerk's office if anybody wants to see the individual vote totals, but all Bills passed. House Bill 2929. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2929, a Bill for an Act to amend the Fireworks Regulation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2929 simply broadens the definition of fireworks and incendiary devices as being dangerous to the public by the State Fire Marshall. I ask for your affirmative support."

Speaker McPike: "In opposition Representative Williamson."

Williamson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could the Sponsor yield?" Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Williamson: "Representative Novak, do you know at this time whether or not this Bill is planning on being amended in the Senate?"

Novak: "Pardon me?"

Williamson: "Do you know if at this time the Bill plans on being amended in the Senate?"

Novak: "I have no idea. No. I do not."

Williamson: "Well, to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, I would just ask for a 'no' vote on this Bill. This is a vehicle Bill that is being used for the fireworks legislation to legalize the sale of Class C fireworks, to my

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- understanding. That this would be adopted in the Senate. I ask for your 'no' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative.

 Wennlund."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you vote 'no' on this Bill, you are voting against the Bill sponsored by the Illinois Society for the Prevention of Blindness. This is a very simple Bill. Let's run it out of here in accordance with the Sponsor."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 72 'ayes', 36 'nos'. House Bill 2929 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3119, Representative Parcells. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3119, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Purchasing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Out of the record."

- Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. House Bill 3142, Representative Stange. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3142, a Bill for an Act relating to crime statistic reports. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stange."

- Stange: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3142 is regarding crime...statistics reporting by public and private universities to the State Police. It is a very simple Bill. It's Agreed Bill. Agreed in the Senate. I'd like to have your support."
- Speaker McPike: "In opposition, Representative Countryman."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I take difference with this Agreed Bill. We've opposed it before. I think it's been on Postponed Consideration. It's a bad Bill. It does

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

nothing, but put more of Big Brother on the backs of local government, creates mandates to our local governments and to our private universities. I urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the previous speaker indicated this is the legislation which would require the keeping of statistics on crime by college and universities and they don't need this burden placed upon them. This is a bad vote, and I urge you to put your red votes up there to soundly defeat this legislation."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 41 'ayes', 51 'nos'. House Bill 3142 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 3293, Representative Capparelli...Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3293..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "...a Bill for an Act to create sanitary districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. This Bill allows the bond anticipations note not to mature more than...not more than three years rather than one year after its issuance. And I know of no opposition. I'd urge your support."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 86 'ayes', and 23 'nos'. House Bill 3293 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Bill 3412, Representative Dunn. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3412, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is the subject of ongoing negotiations, both proponents and opponents recommend an 'aye' vote and passage of the Bill at this stage to keep it moving."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 88 'ayes', 16 'nos'. House Bill 3412 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3575, Representative Bowman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3575, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman, did you announce to the House last week that we were passing your last Bill?"

Bowman: "Well yeah, actually I did, because I hadn't expected to be here this week."

Speaker McPike: "You want this out of the record?"

Bowman: "No, actually because...No. Let's go with it."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed. Proceed."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The emphasis of state and local homelessness efforts over the last ten years has been on sheltering those who are already homeless. But we have done nothing to address the underlying causes of homelessness. In many cases it can be prevented. What we have in many cases are people who lose their jobs, who fall behind in their rent or mortgage payments, who become evicted, who then go on public aid and wind up...wind up being in a metaphorical

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

sense wards of the state. We can prevent families from becoming homeless and get them back on their feet, but what need to do first of all is make sure that they are able to remain in the private residential facility. So what I'm recommending with House Bill 3575 is that we provide arrangement whereby the Department of Public Aid can grant up to three months of rent or mortgage arrears to AFDC eligible families to prevent evictions and foreclosures. Now, we are going to limit payments to those who can that they will be able to pay for their housing when their arrears are paid up. So, this is not a bottomless pit or anything. We're not throwing the money away, only to find the people out on the street at the end of this period. But we're going to require that these payments be people who can show that they will be able to get back on their feet and regain the control over their cash flow. It also allows the program to be administered on a pilot basis. I think this is very important because concerns have been raised about whether this is feasible. Those are the essential points. I think we a 1 1 know what's in the Bill. I urge that you support this legislation as an effort to prevent homelessness. Thank

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken in opposition."

McCracken: "This is worse than his last last Bill, will cost \$43 million and should not be passed under any circumstances."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill won't cost anything. This Bill will save the state a fortune of money. If this Bill does not pass the state will have to spend money to provide foster care

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

for children, who this Bill will permit to be staying with their own parents. This is a small expense to prevent a very large expense to the state."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston...I'm sorry.

Representative Bowman, you are not allowed to close. No
one has closed in the last four hours. To explain your
vote, Representative Bowman. To explain your vote,
Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Right. Representative McCracken give me a break. \$43 million! Baloney! This is a pilot program. The...yes, I do blame them...because if the Department of Public Aid were something to prevent homelessness, we wouldn't have to be pumping millions of dollars into homeless programs and shelters. We ought to do something to keep people out of the shelters to keep people in their homes. This is a pilot program, and so we can see exactly what the parameters need to be in order to make sure that a program like this applied on a statewide basis will in fact work."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Davis to explain her vote."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really think this is an excellent piece οf legislation, because I recently experienced a case in which a man had worked for twenty years or more and had become suddenly incapacitated, but upon receipt of the payments that were owed him company that he worked as well as the industrial commission, he was allowed to save his home. There another case in which a woman had experienced a lot of deaths at one time, and before her...the estate was settled she was being put out of her home because she needed funds for a very temporary period of time in which she would have been allowed to pay it back. And I think this is a piece of legislation that will actually save the state and the taxpayers money over a short period of time. By not voting

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

for this kind of legislation we're really...we're continuing to add people to the rolls who really don't have to be there. We're creating people...we're making homeless people who...people out of people who could actually save their property and be self—sustaining. Why are we doing this?"

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 45 'ayes' and 62 'nos'. House Bill 3575 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 3576, Representative Goforth."

Goforth: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's against the rules to introduce people from Red Bud up in our class, now, isn't it? Up there in the audience. Isn't that against the rules?"

Speaker McPike: "Where are they from?"

Goforth: "From Red Bud, Illinois."

Speaker McPike: "Yes. That's against the rules."

Goforth: "Thanks."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman on House Bill 3576. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3576, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman. Give the Gentleman your attention."

Bowman: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have in Illinois right now a catch-22, that leaves...could we have just a little more order, thanks...just, thanks. Okay...we have in the state right now a catch-22, which leaves some children in limbo, because what we...what happens is the parents are evicted, they go out on the street and now the state moves in through DCFS to take the kids away from the parents because

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

they are homeless and the parents can't provide for shelter. The law says that if the parents can't provide for shelter that's sufficient grounds to take the kids away from the parents. The trouble is...now they can't get reunited because the parents are living in shelters...and there is no way of reuniting them because as long as they are there, they cannot...receive any kind of assistance from public aid. So, what we're going to do with this Bill is permit the department to pay security deposits and one month's rent to get the families back together again, to reunite the kids with their parents they aren't in limbo. This will effect a very small number of kids statewide. but for those kids it is a big, big problem because they are separated from their families I urge you to support this legislation."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken in opposition."

McCracken: "This is money that is not in the budget. There was no Amendment offered to put this money into the budget. The budget as introduced by the department was cut by about \$11 million. You give with one hand and take away with the other, this should be defeated."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those
in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative
 Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I sometimes wonder how Representative McCracken is able to sleep at night. This is going...this Bill simply saves the State of Illinois money if this Bill doesn't pass, those children effected by it will have to be in foster care, which costs one hell of a lot of more money, than does a month's security deposit to help the family stay together with their own parents."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 47 'ayes' and 55 'nos'. House Bill 3576 having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 3611, Representative Santiago. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3611, a Bill for an Act to provide for the recovery of civil damages from certain torts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Santiago."

Santiago: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is part of the Dug...Drug Package that we put together in this House of Representatives. This Bill was also debated last night very thoroughly, so what I'm going to ask is for your favorable consideration. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson in opposition."

Johnson: "No...just..."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone rise in opposition?"

Johnson: "Yes...I rise in opposition. I just wonder if we could explain a little more of the Bill, than just to say we debated it yesterday. What does it do?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Santiago."

Santiago: "Well, what is your question? The Bill...the Bill has three main parts. One, number one, is the Drug Paraphernalia Control Act, which is...has been amended. It makes the drug paraphernalia a Class IV felony. Number two, it has the..."

Johnson: "Is that...let me just ask along the way is that the attempt to reenact to law that continues to get declared unconstitutional, or is it something different?"

Santiago: "I can't hear you...what you're saying."

Johnson: "Well, I...we've been passing drug paraphernalia Bills down here for fifteen years and the court continues to strike them down. I wonder if this is the same one or

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

something different?"

- Santiago: "This is the same...the same thing that was proposed by Father Clements last year. This part of the Bill was in the Grand Jury Bill."
- Johnson: "I'm sorry. I won't ask the question again. Go ahead...what's the second part of it?"
- Santiago: "The second part is...has the Torts Claim Act. What this does...this part will create a statutory rights of actions for civil damages for the use of properties to facilitate a controlled substance transaction."
- Johnson: "When you...who does it give a cause of action to and against whom is the cause of action going to be asserted?"
- Santiago: "Property owners may be able to sue drug dealers that...for example, they rent an apartment to a drug dealer and he uses that facility to sell narcotics, what happens is that the...the owner...property owner will be able to sue that individual civilly for damages."

Johnson: "Well, what kind of damages? What..."

Santiago: "And also if..."

Johnson: "What kind of damages would they sue for?"

- Santiago: "There is a whole list. There's a range of penalties here. When the property is used to facilitate..."
- Johnson: "That's not what my question is. What...what is the nature of damages that would accrue to a property owner because someone misused his property? I mean..."
- Santiago: "Well, the Bill doesn't have that explanation. It has a...a number of situations where the property owner may be able to collect."
- Johnson: "But my question is, you know, create a cause of action in a dram shop, you create a cause of action for people who are hurt because somebody is intoxicated and caused an accident, create a cause of action for structural work act, you create a cause of action for somebody who is injured as

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- a result of falling off the scaffold. My question is what damages...not the amounts, but what kind of injury does someone accrue because of misuse of their property?...that wouldn't be covered under current law?"
- Santiago: "What basically is...if someone uses your property without your knowledge, I think your...you should be able to sue that individual for damages."
- Johnson: "That's my question. What are the damages?"
- Santiago: "I told you...have the list of damages that...in the
 Bill if that you want me to go through, there's ten of them
 here...seven of them."
- Johnson: "So, somebody misuses your property, uses it for an illegal purpose, now you can get what amounts to punitive damages, is that right?"
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Johnson, could we bring your dialogue to a close, so we could move ahead today?"
- Santiago: "What we are creating is statutory damages in such cases."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 102 'ayes', and 2 'nos'. House Bill 3611 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House...Bill 3625, Representative Ronan. 3625, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3625, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to contractors and material men's liens.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative DeLeo."
- DeLeo: "I'd like...Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members. I'd like leave of the House to bring this back to Second Reading...for purpose of an Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman asks leave to bring the Bill back to

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- Second Reading. Any objections? Hearing none, the Bill is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Ronan and DeLeo."
- DeLeo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once again I'd ask leave if I may present this for Representative Ronan. Amendment #1 becomes the Bill. It changes the definition. It no longer amends the Mechanic Lien's Acts, which originally included real estate brokers. Now this will change the definition of a contractor entitled to a lien, to include individuals who lease other than the residential purposes a tract of land or structure and a commercial leasing agent. This Amendment was worked out with the Illinois Association of Realtors and Home Builders. I ask for its adoption, which it becomes the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?'

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it

 and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk...The
 Gentleman asks leave to hear the Bill on Third Reading at
 this time. Are there any objections? Hearing none the
 Attendance Roll Call is used. Leave is granted. Read the
 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3625, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to contractors and material men's liens.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those
 in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative
 Dunn."
- Dunn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we've moved awfully fast here. If what

 I understand, maybe I don't, but I think this gives a real
 estate broker a mechanic's lien. And mechanic's lien law

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

is quite complicated and I don't think that real estate brokers need to have mechanic's liens, so I don't know whether that's it or not. No chance to explain the vote, so I vote 'no' and urge defeat of this Bill, if I'm correct."

Speaker McPike: "Representative DeLeo. Representative Giglio.

Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will
take the record. On this Bill there are 97 'ayes' and 3
'nos'. House Bill 3625 having received the Constitutional
Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3699, read
the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3699, a Bill for an Act in relation to training for county treasurers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3699 provides for a training program for the county treasurers. Requires county treasurers to apply for admission to the program within sixty days after assuming office. This Bill was discussed in Constitutional Officers Committee and it received a favorable vote unanimously. And I ask for your approval."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'
Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One question of the Sponsor.

Does the Bill also include a pay raise for the county treasurers?"

Novak: "A stipend. A stipend has been in effect for them since 1986, but..."

Harris: "It increases the stipend?"

Novak: "Right."

Harris: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 70 'ayes', 38 'nos'. House Bill 3699 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3707. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3707, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill extends the statutes of limitations for the victims of childhood sexual abuse. Under the amended version of this Bill, the Amendment coming about as a result of conversation in committee. It would now permit the action to be taken up to two years after the discovery of the incident with a cap at age 30, so that no one would be able to file past that age. I think that it is a good addition to our protection for childhood victims of sexual assault and would ask for support of the Members."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Countryman in opposition."

Countryman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Bill. This creates a statute of limitations that really goes on...well beyond any other statute of limitations that we have ever seen. It will go on now...the Sponsor says to age 30, but it's...when somebody claims that they have made a discovery or claimed that they have had some sort of sexual abuse. It really means there's no statute of limitations before age 30. I think it's a bad Bill and vote 'no'."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Satterthwaite to explain her vote."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, what happens in the cases of

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

childhood sexual abuse is that usually the person represses the fact that this abuse has occurred. And that is the reason that we need to extend this limitation. I thank the Members for their votes."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 98 'ayes', 9 'nos'. House Bill 3707 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3846, Representative Kulas. Out of the record. House Bill 3868, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3868, a Bill for an Act to amend the Counties Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ask leave to bring this Bill back to the Order of Second Reading."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to the order of Second Reading. Are there any objections?

Hearing none, leave is granted. The Bill is on Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 deletes everything after the enacting clause and relates the Bill to cable television and conforms cable TV franchising rights in unincorporated areas franchised by a county board and then subsequently annexed by a municipality to the provisions of the Federal Cable Act of 1984. The Amendment allows an annexing municipality to regulate the cable TV services in newly annexed area. It maintains the original agreement between the counties and the municipality. A franchise fee shall be paid to the franchising county for a period of

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

five years or upon termination of the franchise. Finally, it provides for the equitable competition for cable services in a newly annexed area. The Amendment is necessary to avoid unnecessary litigation over arbitrary termination of duly granted county franchises and duly annexed area. I move for the adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3868, a Bill for an Act to amend the Counties Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 133 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 3868 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3875, Representative Giorgi. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3875, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vital Records Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the present law indicates that any person can seek to disinter the remains of another without notifying next of kin survivors. This amends the Vital Records Act to provide that a surviving spouse and next of kin must be notified of a petition to disinter a body. I know of no objection to the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 109 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 3875

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Speaker Madigan in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bill 3941, Representative Satterthwaite."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3941, a Bill for an Act to amend the Health Maintenance Organization Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I've amended this Bill so many times trying to accommodate anybody that I'm not sure it does anything more. With leave of the House I would like to ask to have the Bill placed in Interim Study."

Speaker Madigan: "Leave is granted. House Bill 4023, Mr.
Turner."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4023, a Bill for an Act concerning driver education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 4023 simply makes it a prerequisite that drivers education instructors successfully complete a course, which teaches them training in drug and substance abuse as well as the Anatomical Gift Act. I move for the favorable Roll Call of House Bill 4023."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Okay. I got my answer. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken? Those in favor of the Bill vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourself. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4116, Mr. Hicks. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4116, a Bill for an Act to amend the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Illinois Lottery Law. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr, Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 4116 is a grammatical change of the law. I'd be happy to answer any question."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Balanoff."
- Balanoff: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, earlier today in an inquiry of the Chair we found out that it was out of order to introduce children who were in the balcony from Red Bud, Illinois...I was just curious if it was also out of order to introduce children from Robert A. Black school who are now in the balcony from Chicago?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Mr. McCracken. Mr. Hicks. There is a request for a verification. On this question there are 62 'ayes', 49 'nos'. Mr. Clerk will you Poll the Absentees?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting: Lou Jones and Martinez, no further."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the names of those voting 'aye'."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Goforth. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Homer. Shirley Jones. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Turner.

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Questions? Questions? Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Yes. Thank you. Representative Shirley Jones?"

Speaker Madigan: "Shirley Jones. Remove the Lady from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Davis?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady is in her chair."

McCracken: "Representative Mulcahey?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey. Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Stern?"

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Stern? Remove the Lady from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Santiago?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Santiago? Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Capparelli?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Capparelli? Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "It's going to die..."

Speaker Madigan: "Were there more questions, Mr. McCracken?"

McCracken: "No."

Speaker Madigan: "More questions? Place this, this matter now has 57 'aye' votes and it shall be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. House Bill 4180, Mr. Shaw. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4180, a Bill for an Act in regards to scholarship athletics at state universities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is a Bill that deals with the...with the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

living expenses for athletes for scholarships. And certainly this Bill has been debated once and certainly I would appreciate your support on the...on the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "We rise in opposition to the Bill and ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I may be confused, but I thought we passed this Bill already today."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shaw."

Dunn: "They're telling me it was a different Bill back here, so...let me...does this have the..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "Can...can...we take it out of the record, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Madigan: "Take this matter out of the record. House Bill 4193, Mr. McGann. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4193, a Bill for an Act to amend the Build Illinois Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

McGann: "Mr. Speaker, can I have leave of the House to take this back to Second Reading for purpose of Amendment?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading."

McGann: "Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 offered by Representative Keane and McN...McGann."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the Assembly,
Amendment #1 is actually really a cleanup Amendment. It's
a change that was suggested by DCCA relative to disclosures
and I would ask for adoption of Amendment #1 to 4193."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'.

Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. McGann. Mr. McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. House Bill 4193 has the support of DCCA. What it does it iust deletes the requirements for public disclosure financial the department, but at the same information by time businesses receiving assistance through the Build Illinois Programs under the control of DCCA will required to disclose financial information t o the department only, and I would ask for passage of House Bill 4193. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 100 'ayes', 8 people voting 'no'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Let's go back to House Bill 4180,

Mr. Shaw. Mr. Shaw on House Bill 4180."

Shaw: "This Bill has been debated and it deals with..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Just want to inquire of the Clerk. What Amendments have been adopted to the Bill?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Amendments are adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman wishes to call the Bill. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Clerk shall take the record. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 37 'ayes', 61 'nos'. This Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3395...failed. The Bill failed. House Bill 3395, Mr. Preston. Mr. Clerk."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3395, a Bill for an Act in relation to charitable trusts. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Preston."
- Preston: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ask leave to bring this Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Preston."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 essentially makes this Bill a shell Bill. This is the Charitable Solicitation Act which has been the subject of substantial negotiations between all interested parties. Most of the problems have been worked out. There are still negotiations taking place between the Attorney General's Office and the Veterans Admin...the Veterans Association and we...I'd ask that this Amendment be adopted so we can pass this Bill to the Senate and continue those negotiations."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "I understand that the Attorney General is working with the interested parties and plans on reaching an accommodation. I support the Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'.

 Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading. Those in favor of the passage of this shell Bill vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3395, a Bill for an Act in relation to charitable trusts. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. On this...Mr.

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Parke. Mr. Parke."

- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I might point out that there is agreement on behalf of the Veterans and the Attorney General's Office. And unless you have some other reason, there should be really no red votes on this. They made an agreement through the Attorney General's office and the Veterans that this Bill would not move. The agreement was made that this Bill would not move unless the Veterans group said it was okay."
- Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 96 'ayes', 7 'nos'.

 The Bill having received...Record Mr. Novak as 'aye'. On
 this question there are 97 'ayes', 7 'nos'. This Bill
 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby
 declared passed. House Bill 3755...3755. Clerk, read the
 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3755, a Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home Care Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lang."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative
 Levin."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lang."
- Lang: "Mr. Speaker, I...I'm now a Cosponsor of this Bill. On
 behalf of Representative Levin we'd like to table Amendment
 #1."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1.

 Those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Mr. Speaker, Amendments 2 and 3 can be withdrawn, please."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Amendments are withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Lang."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 does one thing. It allows the Department of Public Aid to develop a rate structure by which residents in long—term homes that need kosher food can get kosher food to them. This allows the Department of Public Aid to provide a 50¢ per day stipend to long—term homes for the purpose of providing kosher food. As I'm sure many of you know the religious rules that some Jews live by require them to eat certain types of food. This type of food is more expensive in most cases than other food, and so that these people can continue to live by their religious rules, we ask adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We've worked out an agreement on this from our side, and there should be no red votes on this. There is no problem with this Bill now."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'.

Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3755, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 3 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3318. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 3318."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3318, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "There was a Floor Amendment, I believe, Mr. Clerk. I think the Bill was waiting for a fiscal note and I believe the fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "We're told there are to be two Floor Amendments, is that right? Just one?...alright."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, how many Amendments are there?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 1 has been adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment is adopted?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment has been adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third Reading. And on the Order of Third Reading, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3318, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of this House. This
Bill would merely add to the kinds of consideration the
department uses in setting the standard of need. Some
consideration for winter clothing needs. It does not
create a special needs category. It just asks the
department to include that among the factors that go into
setting that standard."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Alright. We...we had phenomenal sums of money necessary for this, and now I'm being told that's not true.

But the Floor Amendment doesn't seem to me to accomplish that. Will you explain to me why this doesn't cost any money now?"

Currie: "Because all the Amendment...what the Bill does as amended is state that the department among the other things that it considers in setting the standard of need shall consider the need for winter clothing among school children. As you know that standard does not determine our appropriation level and the Department of Public Aid...says there is no fiscal impact."

McCracken: "Alright...okay. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107, 'ayes' no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3565. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3565, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This codifies what the Department of Public Aid currently does to provide support services to people in the Project Chance Program. I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On

120th Legislative Day

- May 18, 1990
- this question there are 107 'aye'...107 people voting 'aye', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Mr. DeJaegher...on Senate Joint Resolution #121. Mr. DeJaegher."
- DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate support on Senate Joint Resolution 121. All it does is extend the deadline for board care facilities."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Resolution signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 people voting 'aye', no one voting 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. Ladies and Gentlemen, let me have your attention. It is now 20 minutes to 5. There are three special orders which have not received any attention today. That would be Education, Human Services, and Civil Law. Our plan would be to go through those three orders one time and then we leave. If we move quickly, we ought to be able to finish in about twenty minutes to a half an hour. So, on the Education Special Order, Second Reading. House Bill 1222."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1222, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 4 were adopted in committee."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Curran."
- Curran: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill is not in a proper position to be voted on at this time. I wish it to be taken out of the record."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Curran. House Bill 3067. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3067, a Bill for an Act to amend the Baccalaureate Assistance Law for Registered Nurses. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Does anyone rise on this Bill? 3067. Mr. Clerk, who was the Bill's Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Turner and Homer."

Speaker Madigan: "...Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Mr. Speaker, I understand this matter is somewhat controversial. Let's take it out of the record."

Speaker Madigan: "Very good. House Bill 1382. Mr. Clerk, who
was the Bill's Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill Sponsor is Representative Cowlishaw."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1382 as amended simply places community colleges in the same...with the same provisions for the Purchasing Act as state universities already have. The floor leader on your side has...had raised some doubts about whether the exempt...whether the current exemptions and provisions of the...Purchasing Act as they are now in effect for state universities are really as they ought to be. I think I have to agree with that. And perhaps at a later date we ought to look at all of this for both community colleges and state universities, but for the time being it seems to me if this is what we have for state universities, this is what we ought to also have for community colleges. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1382, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 103 people voting 'aye', one person voting 'no'. This Bill

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3421, Mr. Matijevich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3421, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 3421 is a vehicle Bill for the Illinois Adult Association. Larry Stuffel, who lobbies for them, informs me that they've had discussions with the Board of Higher Education and State Board, and they are close to language that is agreeable, but they'd like to move the Bill to the Senate, so I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 people voting 'aye', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 975, Representative Currie. Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 1651, Representative Younge. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1651..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Is this on Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Madigan: "I believe it is, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "We have been taking out of the record, these Bills until giving everybody a chance for a vote. I would ask you to do that this time as well."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, I think your point is well taken. So the Bill shall be taken out of the record. House Bill 3347, Representative Lou Jones. Take the Bill out of the record.

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

House Bill 3515, Representative Lou Jones. Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 4216, Mr. Bowman. Take the Bill out of the record. We'll skip over the resolutions and we'll go to the Call of Civil Law. House Bill 3108, Representative Stern...excuse me...the Clerk informs me that House Bill 3108 is on the Order of Interim Study. House Bill 3674, Mr. Kubik. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3674, a Bill for an Act in relation to child support. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Kubik."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kubik."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I have leave to withdraw 1 and 2, and go to Amendment #3?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Amendments #1 and 2 shall be withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, there is an Amendment #3. Mr. Kubik is recognized on Amendment #3."
- Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 strips the Bill, makes it a shell. This is a Citizens Council of Public Aid and Women initiative on the child...the expedited child support process. What we'd like to do is strip the Bill, send it over to the Senate, continue the discussion and when we get an agreement, pass the Bill. So, I appreciate your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Third
 Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3674, a Bill for an Act in relation to child support. Third Reading of the Bill."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 people voting 'aye', no one voting 'no'. having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2972, Representative Stern. Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 3256, Mr. John I'm informed that this Bill is on the Order of Dunn. Interim Study. House Bill 3395, Mr. Preston. I believe this Bill was already passed, hasn't it, Mr. Preston? House Bill 3402, Representative Stern. Take this Bill out of the record. House Bill 3509, Representative Wojcik. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3509, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes. I'd like to have leave to take it back to Second for purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Wojcik."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Amendment The Amendment would return becomes the Bill. judicial standing to mayors acting as the Liquor Control Commissioners in cases in which he wished to appeal an adverse decision from the Illinois Liquor Control Commission. Previous to the court case of Greer versus Illinois Liquor Control Commission the local commissioner had the opportunity to appeal in this matter. Amendment is a result of an agreement between a Northwest

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Municipal Conference, Illinois Retail Merchants, Associated Beer Distributors and the Illinois Retail Liquor Association. The Illinois Liquor Control Commission also supports the Amendment. I ask its favorable passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3509, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', no one voting 'no'.

This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3513, Mr. Countryman.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3513, a Bill for an Act in relation to electronic home detention. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan" "Mr. Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill amends the Juvenile Court Act Code of Criminal Procedure and the Unified Code of Corrections. It creates a home. Electronic Home Detention Law, establishes the standards for placing offenders on the approved electronic monitoring devices as an alternative to incarceration. We have certain limitations that we have amended into the Bill. It does not apply to first degree or second degree murder or attempted first degree murder or Class X felony, certain drug violations, Class II or greater felonies, criminal sexual assaults, A-rated battery

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

of senior citizens. This is my last House Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 104 people voting 'aye', 3 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Chair would direct the attention of the Members to Supplemental Calendar #5 where there are two Senate Bills which do not have House Sponsors. We would encourage you to pick up the sponsorship of these Bills, so that we can move these Bills through the House process. House Bill 3766, Mr. Homer. 3766. Mr. Clerk. Mr. Homer." Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3766, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to clerks of courts.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Homer."

Third Reading of the Bill."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is offered by the Clerks Association to standardize the practice of collecting the \$36 annual fee for child support. I would move for its adoption...its passage."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Hoffman. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall the record. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 10 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We...we will do four more Bills...four more Bills. No more requests. No more requests. Four more Bills. First will be House Bill 3284 by Mr. Myron Olson. Mr. Clerk,

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3284, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to certain investments. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Olson."
- Olson, M.: "Mr. Speaker, there is an Amendment which I wish to offer. Would be Amendment #1 it was filed about three or four days ago."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is there an Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Myron Olson."
- Olson, M.: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is offered to redress Senate Bill 952 last year, which basically precluded treasurers in small towns from also being bankers. We are going back to the old rule which would in effect put in the seven and a half percent conflict of interest rule. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3284, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to certain investments of public funds. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Quick question. Which treasurers are you talking about?"
- Olson, M.: "We're talking about those, Sir, who may live in small towns."
- Cullerton: "County treasurers?"
- Olson, M.: "No. These would be city treasurers...municipal."
- Cullerton: "Not the State Treasurer? Fine. Thank you."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Olson, M.: "No. This is a Municipal League initiative. We move for adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of this passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 104 people voting 'aye', 2 people voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2898, Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2898, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton on Amendment #2."
- Cullerton: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, this provides for drug and alcohol testing for...public transportation drivers annually by a doctor or under a doctor's supervision unless the driver is also subject to testing pursuant to federal law. Requires random testing upon discretionary requests of the employer. The employer pays for the testing. It does not apply to school bus drivers, but rather public...people who would transport the public."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Just to clarify that last point. This Bill does not apply to school bus drivers because they do not transport the general public, is that correct?"

Cullerton: "That's correct."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'.

Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2898, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Just one quick question, Mr. Speaker. Representative, if a transit company wanted to institute a drug testing program for its drivers, at the current time, could they do so without this Bill?"

Cullerton: "Yes. And the CTA for example has a drug testing program right now and they are in support of the Bill."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 104 people voting 'aye', no one voting 'no'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On page 14 the regular Calendar under the Order of Conference Committee Reports there appears Senate Bill 1333, Mr. Hultgren. Mr. Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We discussed this last night. Representative Matijevich had a question at that time and I think that question has been resolved. Also, I can report to you that Douq Whitley from the Taxpayers Federation has taken a look at this Conference Committee Report and his concerns have been resolved as well. So, I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call on the Conference Committee Report. It's a clean up on some legislation we passed last Spring."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Dunn: "Maybe that answered my question. This doesn't make any major changes in that Bill, does it?...Okay. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Report will signify by voting 'ave', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 102 voting 'aye', no one voting 'no'. This Conference Report having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes Mr. Steczo concerning a Conference Report on Senate Bill 1289. Mr. Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask for the suspension of the appropriate rule to have the Conference Committee Report heard at this time."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Yeah. I'm not sure what we are doing. Are we trying to extend the deadline or something?"
- Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, we're trying to adopt a report which has to be adopted and signed into law prior to the end of June."

 McCracken: "Did we talk about this?...okay."

Steczo: "Yes."

Speaker: "Those in favor of the adoption of the report signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 104 people voting 'aye', no one voting 'no'. This report having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. There is one more item, Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson. One more item. Recognize Mr. Johnson. 3182, Mr. Johnson."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Johnson: "Oh, I thought you were going to give me an early birthday present. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "This is the Bill that deals with the University of Illinois."

Johnson: "Well, it could."

. Speaker Madigan: "Right."

Johnson: "Could deal with Bradley and Southern and a lot of them.

This is, or was, the NCAA Due Process Reform Bill. As we're aware it is...a number now and a title and we're hopeful that as the course of the Spring goes along that we can put something together that would be productive for the whole state and University of Illinois and Bradley and various other scholars. So, thanks for your help."

Speaker Madigan: "Did you clarify that this is now a shell Bill?"

Johnson: "Well, I wish it were a shell Bill. Unfortunately, it

is an involuntary shell Bill. I would appreciate your

vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Right...it is a shell Bill. Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "I don't think there is anything good to be put in this shell Bill so I rise in opposition and I hope this Bill is soundly defeated."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, when we started out, Mr. Speaker, Johnson had the substantive Bill and I had the shell Bill. Now he's got the shell Bill and I've got the substantive Bill. Normally...normally, of course, we know we are not supposed to pass Republican shell Bills, but maybe we can make an exception in this case and have two Bills over in the Senate to see what happens with this issue."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3182, a Bill for an Act concerning athletic associations and right of member institutions.

Third Reading of the Bill."

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On question there are 96 'ayes', 7 'nos'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared We shall do two more items: the Adjournment passed. Resolution and the Agreed Resolutions. Mr. Clerk, read the Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 181. Resolved, by the Senate of the 86th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Friday, May 18, 1990 it stands adjourned until Tuesday, May 22, 1990 at 1:00 o'clock p.m. And when the House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, May 18, 1990 it stands adjourned until Wednesday, May 30th, 1990 at 12:00 o'clock noon."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 172, Munizzi; 173, Black; 178, Black; 180, Munizzi; 176, Madigan. House Resolution 1762, Krska; 1763, Ropp; 1764, Wennlund; 1765, DeJaegher; 1766, Keane; 1767, Davis; 1768, Johnson; 1770, Harris; 1771, Harris; 1772, Harris; 1773, Stephens; 1774, Bowman."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Agreed Resolutions say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1769, offered by Representative

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Deuchler - et al."

Speaker Madigan: "Committee on Assignment. Anything further, Mr. Clerk? Providing Perfunctory Time for Messages from the Senate and also for the First Reading of Senate Bills. The House will now adjourn to May 30th at 12:00 noon and please be advised and reminded that when we return our weekly sequence will be for Wednesday, Thursday and Friday of that week. So, we are coming back on May 30th at 12:00 noon for a Wednesday, Thursday, Friday sequence. Mr. McPike moves that the House stand adjourned. Those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does stand adjourned."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Ms. Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills the following title, the passage of which I am instructed concurrence to ask of the House οf Representatives, to wit; Senate Bills #1482, 1510, 2013, 2015, 2084, 2213, 2267, 2274, 2291, 1569, 2116, 2164, 2304, 1488, 1489, 1497, 1532, 1589, 1761, 1809, 1951, 1986, 2012, passed by the Senate May 18, 1990. Linda Hawker, Secretary of the Senate."

Clerk Leone: "Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills:

Senate Bill 1488, offered by Representative Cullerton, a
Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. First
Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1489, offered by
Representative Bugielski, a Bill for an Act making
appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community
Affairs. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1497,
offered by Representative Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to
amend the States Mandates Act. First Reading of the Bill.
Senate Bill 1532, offered by Representative Lou Jones, a
Bill for an Act in relationship to regulated professions.

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1589, offered by Representative McPike, a Bill for an Act to appropriate for the Alton Lake Heritage Parkway. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1761, offered by Representative a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Low-level Radioactive Waste Management Act. First Reading of Bill. Senate Bill 1809, offered by Representative Frederick, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Office of State Fire Marshall. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1951, offered by Representative Wolf, a Bill for an Act in relationship to...retirement and annuities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1986. Representative Ronan, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2012. offered by Representative Peterson, a Bill for an Act to amend the Statute on Statutes changing Section 1.12. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1569, offered by Representative Hasara, a Bill for Act to amend the State Employees Group Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2116, offered by Representative Ryder, a Bill for an Act in relationship to taxation. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill offered by Representative Novak, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unify...Unified Code of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2304, offered by Representative Bill for an Act to Cullerton, а amend an Act relationship to the Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1482, offered by Representative Steczo, a Bill for an Act pertaining to incineration emission standards. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1510, offered by Representative Mautino, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2013, offered by Representative

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Peterson...that's William Peterson, a Bill for an Act amend an Act to establish a Joint Legislative Reference Bureau. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill offered by William Peterson, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation...by combining multiple enactments and making technical corrections. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2084, offered by Representative Ewing, a for an Act to amend the Illinois Lottery Law. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2213, offered by Representative Shirley Jones. a Bill for an Act in relationship to private decision making to forego life sustaining treatment. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2267, offered by Representative Cullerton, a Bill an Act in relationship to criminal law. First Reading of Senate Bill 2274, offered by Representative the Bill. a Bill for an Act concerning economic development. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2291, offered by Representative LeFlore, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Continuing with Introductions and First Readings: Bill 1487, offered by Representative McCracken, a Bill Act in relationship to corporations. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1496, offered by Representative for an Act to amend the Counties Code. a Bill First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1565, offered by Representative...correction...Senate Bill 1496 is offered by Representative Capparelli and Bugielski, a Bill for Act to amend the County Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1565, offered by Representative Bugielski, Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1571, offered by Representative DeJaegher, a Bill for an Act to amend an in relationship to transfer of property. First Reading of the

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Senate Bill 1593, offered by Representative Trotter, Bill. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Alcoholism and Other Drug Dependency Act. First Reading of Senate Bill 1627, offered by Representative Pullen, a Bill for an Act in relationship to property taxation. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1638, offered Representative McPike, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1639, offered by Representative McPike, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts regarding injuries. First of the Bill. Senate Bill 1783, offered Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1788...continuing with Introductions and First Readings: House Bill 1788, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to Board of Governors. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1791, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill an Act making appropriations to the Illinois community college Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1793, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to certain retirement systems. First of the Bill. Senate Bill 1794, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to State Universities Civil Service System. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1795. offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Abandoned Mine Lands Reclamation First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1799, Council. offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Bureau of the Budget. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1800. offered Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

appropriations to the Department of Central Management Services. First Reading of the Bill. Senate 1801, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Civil Service Commission. First of the Bill. Senate Bill 1802, offered by Representative Leverenz, Bill а for an Act appropriations to various agencies. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1803, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Conservation. First reading of the Bill. Bill 1804, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to Court of Claims. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1805, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Employment Security. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1806, offered Representative Leverenz, Bill for an а Act making appropriations to the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Act Commission. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1807, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Environmental Protection First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1812, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for making appropriations to the Industrial Commission. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1813, Representative Leverenz, а Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Labor. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1814, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Local Labor Relations Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1815, offered by Representative Leverenz, Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of the Lottery. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1817,

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

offered by Representative Leverenz, Bill а for an making appropriations to the Department of Affairs. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1818. offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Nuclear Safety. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1819, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to Prairie State 2000 Authority. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1820. offered by Representative Leverenz. a Bill for an Act appropriations to the Office of Public Counsel. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1821. offered Representative Frederick, a Bill for an making appropriations to state agencies and certain retirement systems. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Office of Commissioner of Savings and Loan Associations. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1823, offered by Representative Leverenz, Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Labor Relations Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1824, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of State Police. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1825, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act appropriations to State Police Merit Board. First Reading Senate Bill 1830, offered by Representative of the Bill. Leverenz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Prisoner Review Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1833, offered by Representative Leverenz, a Bill for an Act relating to the Bound Authorization. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1846, offered by Representative Bowman, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Comptroller's

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1905, offered by Representative Harris, a Bill for an Act to amend the Local Government Debt Reform Act. First Reading of Senate Bill 1949, offered by Representative Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating cemeteries. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1962. offered by Representative Mautino - et al, a Bill Act to amend an Act in relationship to comprehensive health First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1991, insurance. offered by Representative Black, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the Vermilion County Conservation District. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2067, offered by Representative Saltsman, a Bill for an Act to amend First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Municipal Code. 2111, offered by Representative Parke, a Bill for an Act in relationship to waste management. First Reading of Senate Bill 2127, offered by Representative Wojcik, Bill. a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Health and Safety Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2155, offered by Representative Stern, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2166, offered by Representative Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2176, offered by Representative LeFlore, for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2182, offered by Representative Anthony Young, a Bill for an Act concerning state agencies. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2184, offered by Representative Currie, a Bill for an Act in relationship to taxes. First Reading of the Senate Bill 2193, offered by Representative Phelps, a Bill for an Act to create the Juvenile Detention Center Revolving Loan Fund. First Reading of the Bill.

120th Legislative Day

May 18, 1990

Bill 2216, offered by Representative Countryman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Principal and Income Act. Senate Bill 2229, offered by of the Bill. Representative Munizzi, a Bill for an Act in relationship to home rule units. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 2237, offered by Representative Cullerton, a Bill an Act to amend an Act concerning condemnation. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2239, offered by Representative Mautino, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 2275, offered by Representative Novak, a Bill for an Act to discourage illegal controlled substances. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2306, offered Representative Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to demolition of buildings. First Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 2308, offered by Representative Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2309. offered by Representative Anthony Young, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. No further business. The House will now stand adjourned until Wednesday, May 30th at the hour of 12:00 noon."

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

91/03/06 11:36:43

MAY 18, 1990

H5-0706 THIRD READING	PAGE	184
HB-0706 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	177
H3-1007 THIRD READING	PAGE	177
HB-1014 THIRD READING	PAGE	186
HB-1042 THIRD READING	PAGE	
		46
HB-1222 SECOND READING	PAGE	221
HA-1222 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	221
HB-1362 THIRD READING	PAGE	222
HB-1619 THIRD READING	PAGE	189
HB-1619 POSTPONED CONSIDERATION	PAGE	190
H3-1656 THIRD READING	PAGE	190
HB-2399 SECOND READING	PAGE	136
H6-2399 THIRD READING	PAGE	137
H3-2533 THIRD READING	PAGE	191
HD-2535 THIRD READING	PAGE	192
HB-2538 THIRD READING	PAGE	193
HB-2714 THIRD READING	PAGE	
		54
HB-2867 SECOND READING	PAGE	79
HE-2867 THIRD READING	PAGE	80
HB-2870 THIRD READING	PAGE	194
HB-2874 SECOND READING	PAGE	80
HB-2874 THIRD READING	PAGE	81
H3-2876 SECOND READING	PAGE	82
HS-2876 THIRD READING	PAGE	82
H3-2893 SECOND READING	PAGE	229
HB-2898 THIRD READING	PAGE	230
HB-2907 THIRD READING	PAGE	31
HB-2923 THIRD READING		46
HB-2924 THIRD READING	PAGE	
	PAGE	108
HB-2929 THIRD READING	PAGE	195
H3-2957 SECOND READING	PAGE	48
HB-2957 THIRD READING	PAGE	49
HB-2991 SECOND READING	PAGE	137
HB-2991 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	140
HB-3019 THIRD READING	PAGE	55
HB-3025 THIRD READING	PAGE	109
HB-3067 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	222
HB-3114 SECOND READING	PAGE	20
HE-3114 THIRD READING	PAGE	25
HB-3119 THIRD READING	PAGE	196
HS-3119 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	196
HB-3121 THIRD READING	PAGE	111
HB-3125 THIRD READING	PAGE	34
HB-3142 THIRD READING		
	PAGE	195
HB-3144 THIRD READING	PAGE	116
HB-3152 THIRD READING	PAGE	117
H3-3182 THIRD READING	PAGE	231
HB-3183 SECOND READING	PAGE	86
HB-3183 THIRD READING	PAGE	88
H3-3207 THIRD READING	PAGE	118
HB-3220 SECOND READING	PAGE	3
HB-3239 THIRD READING	PAGE	35
Ha-3261 SECOND READING	PAGE	5
HB-3275 SECOND READING	PAGE	140
H3-3275 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	141
HA-3282 SECOND READING	PAGE	6
H3-3284 SECOND READING	PAGE	228
HB-3284 THIRD READING	PAGE	228
HB-3293 THIRD READING	PAGE	197
HB-3317 SECOND READING	PAGE	88
HB-3317 THIRD READING	PAGE	89
HB-3318 SECOND READING	PAGE	219
HB-3316 THIRD READING	PAGE	219
HB-3337 THIRD READING	PAGE	119

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: >002

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

91/03/06 11:36:43

MAY 18, 1990

H3-3337	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	121
	SECOND READING	PAGE	89
HB-3363	THIRD READING	PAGE	90
Ha-3367	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-3395	MOTION	PAGE	216
	THIRD READING	PAGE	198
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	223
HB-3498	SECOND READING	PAGE	141
HB-3498	THIRD READING	PAGE	142
HB-3507	SECOND READING	PAGE	16
	THIRD READING	PAGE	19
	SECOND READING	PAGE	225
	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
H3-3510	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-3513	THIRD READING	PAGE	226
H3-3524	SECOND READING	PAGE	10
	SECOND READING	PAGE	10
	THIRD READING	PAGE	220
	SECOND READING	PAGE	143
HB-3567	THIRD READING	PAGE	144
HB-3575	THIRD READING	PAGE	198
HB-3576	THIRD READING	PAGE	201
	SECOND READING	PAGE	145
	THIRD READING	PAGE	
			153
	SECOND READING	PAGE	91
	THIRD READING	PAGE	92
HB-3600	THIRD READING	PAGE	121
HB-3610	THIRD READING	PAGE	126
	THIRD READING	PAGE	203
	THIRD READING	PAGE	40
	SECOND READING	PAGE	205
	THIRD READING	PAGE	206
H3-3632	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
HB-3632	THIRD READING	PAGE	94
HB-3645	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
	SECOND READING	PAGE	
			159
	THIRD READING	PAGE	161
HB-3674	SECOND READING	PAGE	224
HB-3674	THIRD READING	PAGE	224
H3-3699	THIRD READING	PAGE	20 7
	THIRD READING	PAGE	208
	SECOND READING	PAGE	94
	THIRD READING	PAGE	95
	SECOND READING	PAGE	217
	THIRD READING	PAGE	218
HB-3766	THIRD READING	PAGE	227
H3-3788	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
	THIRD READING	PAGE	26
	POSTPONED CONSIDERATION		
			28
	SECOND READING	PAGE	105
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	108
HB-3825	THIRD READING	PAGE	127
HB-3826	THIRD READING	PAGE	128
HB-3868	SECOND READING	PAGE	209
	THIRD READING	PAGE	210
H3-3875	THIRD READING	PAGE	210
	SECOND READING		
HB-3881		PAGE	169
HB-3881	THIRD READING	PAGE	170
HB-3902	SECOND READING	PAGE	96
HB-3902	THIRD READING	PAGE	96
HB-3941	THIRD READING	PAGE	211
HB-3952	THIRD READING	PAGE	129
	SECOND READING	PAGE	28

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: > 003

Ş

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

91/03/06 11:36:43

MAY 18 + 1990

HB-3987	THIRD READING	PAGE	30
	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
	THIRD READING	PAGE	98
	SECOND READING	PAGE	171
	THIRD READING	PAGE	174
	THIRD READING	PAGE	131
	THIRD READING	PAGE	
	THIRD READING	PAGE	132 211
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	41
HB-4048		PAGE	55
	SECOND READING THIRD READING	PAGE	133
		PAGE	134
	SECOND READING	PAGE	101
	THIRD READING	PAGE	101
	SECOND READING	PAGE	102
	THIRD READING	PAGE	103
	THIRD READING	PAGE	44
	THIRD READING	PAGE	211
	SECOND READING	PAGE	175
	THIRD READING	PAGE	176
	THIRD READING	PAGE	51
	THIRD READING	PAGE	53
	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
	THIRD READING	PAGE	18
	SECOND READING	PAGE	213
	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
HB-4190	SECOND READING	PAGE	99
	THIRD READING	PAGE	100
	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
	SECOND READING	PAGE	103
HB-4196	THIRD READING	PAGE	104
	SECOND READING	PAGE	134
	THIRD READING	PAGE	136
SB-0613	SECOND READING	PAGE	69
SB-0613	THIRD READING	PAGE	76
S3-1289		PAGE	231
SB-1333	MOTION	PAGE	230
S3-1482	FIRST READING	PAGE	235
SJ-1487	FIRST READING	PAGE	236
S3-1488	FIRST READING	PAGE	234
S5-1439	FIRST READING	PAGE	234
SB-1496	FIRST READING	PAGE	236
SB-1496	FIRST READING	PAGE	236
S3-1497	FIRST READING	PAGE	234
	FIRST READING	PAGE	235
SB-1532	FIRST READING	PAGE	234
SB-1565	FIRST READING	PAGE	236
SB-1569	FIRST READING	PAGE	235
SB-1571	FIRST READING	PAGE	236
SB-1575	FIRST READING	PAGE	2
S3-1589	FIRST READING	PAGE	234
SB-1593	FIRST READING	PAGE	236
SB-1627	FIRST READING	PAGE	237
\$8-1638	FIRST READING	PAGE	237
SB-1639	FIRST READING	PAGE	237
SB-1761	FIRST READING	PAGE	235
SB-1783	FIRST READING	PAGE	237
SB-1788	FIRST READING	PAGE	237
SB-1791	FIRST READING	PAGE	237
S3-1793	FIRST READING	PAGE	237
SB-1794		PAGE	237
SB-1795		PAGE	237
SB-1799		PAGE	237
SE-1800		PAGE	237

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE:>004

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

SB-1801 FIRST READING

91/03/06 11:36:43

PAGE 238

MAY 18, 1990

30 1001	1 11/31	READING	FAGE	230
SB-1802	FIRST	READING	PAGE	238
\$8-1303	FIRST	READING	PAGE	238
		READING	PAGE	238
		READING	PAGE	238
SB-1006	FIRST	READING	PAGE	238
SB-1807	FIRST	READING	PAGE	238
\$3-1809	FIRST	READING	PAGE	235
		READING	PAGE	238
		READING	PAGE	238
SB-1814	FIRST	READING	PAGE	238
SB-1815	FIRST	READING	PAGE	238
		READING	PAGE	238
		READING	PAGE	239
		READING	PAGE	239
SE-1820	FIRST	READING	PAGE	239
SB-1821	FIRST	READING	PAGE	239
		READING	PAGE	239
		READING	PAGE	239
		READING	PAGE	239
SS-1825	FIRST	READING	PAGE	239
SB-1330	FIRST	READING	PAGE	239
		READING	PAGE	239
		READING		
			PAGE	239
		READING	PAGE	239
SB-1949	FIRST	READING	PAGE	240
SB-1951	FIRST	READING	PAGE	235
		READING	PAGE	240
		READING		
			PAGE	235
		READING	PAGE	240
SB-2012	FIRST	READING	PAGE	235
SB-2013	FIRST	READING	PAGE	235
		READING	PAGE	236
		READING	PAGE	240
		READING	PAGE	236
SB-2111	FIRST	READING	PAGE	240
Sd-2116	FIRST	READING	PAGE	235
		READING	PAGE	240
		READING	PAGE	240
		READING	PAGE	235
SB-2166	FIRST	READING	PAGE	240
SB-2176	FIRST	READING	PAGE	240
		READING	PAGE	240
		READING	PAGE	240
		READING	PAGE	3
59-2193	FIRST	READING	PAGE	240
SB-2213	FIRST	READING	PAGE	236
SB-2216	FIRST	READING	PAGE	241
		READING	PAGE	241
		READING	PAGE	241
SB-2239	FIRST	READING	PAGE	241
SB-2267	FIRST	READING	PAGE	236
S8-2274	FIRST	READING	PAGE	236
		READING	PAGE	241
		READING	PAGE	236
		READING	PAGE	235
S3-2306	FIRST	READING	PAGE	241
SB-2308	FIRST	READING	PAGE	241
		READING	PAGE	241
		JTION OFFERED	PAGE	158
		JTION OFFERED	PAGE	221
SJR-0181	KESUL	JTION OFFERED	PAGE	233

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 005

STATE OF ILLINDIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

91/03/06 11:36:43

MAY 18, 1990

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE	PAGE	1	
PRAYER - REVEREND SAMUEL HALE: JR.	PAGE	1	
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - REPRESENTATIVE STECZO	PAGE	2	
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2	
COMMITTEE REPORT	PAGE	2	
MESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	2	
AGREED BILL LIST	PAGE	13	
HOUSE AT EASE FOR INTRODUCTION	PAGE	52	
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN - IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	108	
SPEAKER MADIGAN IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	211	
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	233	
GENERAL RESOLUTION	PAGE	233	
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	234	
PERFUNTORY SESSION	PAGE	234	
MESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	234	
PERFUNTORY SESSION ADJOURNED	PAGE	241	