82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "The hour of ten o'clock having arrived, the
 House will come to order. Members will be in their seats.

 Our guests in the gallery are invited to rise and pray with
 us. The Chaplain for today will be Father Kevin Vann,
 assistant Pastor of Blessed Sacrament Catholic Church of
 Springfield. Father Vann is the guest of Representative
 Michael Curran."
- Father Vann: "Let us listen to the words of Psalm 98. Sing to the Lord a new song, for He has done wondrous deeds. The Lord has revealed to the nations His saving power. Let us see Your saving power today, Oh Lord, so that it may guide us in our decisions. And guided by Your truth and Your power, may we also sing of Your love and Your strength for us in our work for the people whom we serve. Amen."
- Speaker Breslin: "We will be led in the pledge today by

 Representative Hasara."
- Hasara—et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

 States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands,

 one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

 for all."
- Speaker Breslin: "Roll Call for attendance. Are there any excused absences on the Republican side of the aisle, Mr. McCracken?"

McCracken: "No."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich?"

- Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker. Let the record reflect the excused absence of Speaker Madigan due to a death in the family and Representative Rice due to illness."
- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you. Have all voted who wish? Mr.

 Clerk, take the record. There are 115 people answering the
 roll call. A quorum is present. Ladies and Gentlemen,
 Representative Wennlund is going to make an introduction.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Would his guest please come to the podium?"

- Wennlund: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, thank you very much for giving me this opportunity and the pleasure to introduce Eric Thomassini from Cannes, France.

 He's a guest of a good friend of mine, Cosmo Machicha (sic) and his son Jason and his wife Cathy, from my district.

 Eric is delighted to be here and he would like to say a few words to you this morning. Eric."
- Eric: "Hi. I "m....I "m Eric Thomassini. I live in France. I am an exchange student for the school year in the....High School in New Lenox. I just want to say that I am very glad to stay one year here in America. That it will be a very great challenge for me. That I enjoy very much this trip in Springfield. That I will thank very much Mister Larry Wennlund to extend the permission to me to be here and to explain me..... here in the House of the Representative. I wish to thank, too, Mister Madigan to allow me to be here and to speak...Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, it is the intention of the Chair to begin on the Regular Calendar on page 3 on the order of Total Veto motions. Total Veto Motions. Representative Davis, you have the first motion. It is Senate Bill 670. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the passage of Senate Bill 670, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, we're asking that the personal care assistants who assist the developmentally disabled, who help the elderly to get on with their lives, we're asking that they be paid on a twice per month basis, rather than once per month. We feel by keeping these workers we are also

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

helping those who are developmentally disabled get up in the morning, get dressed, get in their wheelchairs and go on to their jobs. Many of them we've seen down here in Springfield. Also, these workers help the elderly stay out of nursing homes because they are there to do chores for them that the seniors cannot do for themselves. We ask for an override of this veto."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to override the Governor's veto of Senate Bill 670, and on that question the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Parliamentary inquiry. Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

"Madam Speaker, on your Regular Calendar you have two Total Veto Motions relating to Bills which had already been voted upon-There are other Total Veto Motions apparently relating to still further Bills that areashave heen Now I Total previously voted on. helieve these Veto Motions are improper and I think the proper way to bring this back for a second vote is not by merely filing. i f that was done, a successive motion, but to move t o reconsider the vote by which the override motion lost in the first place and I move on a point of order that this is out of order for that reason. And I would also comment to Supplemental Calendars the Chair that this use of in conjunction with the Daily Calendar, particularly, when you every reason to know what should be appearing on the Daily Calendar is a very abusive process. I don't know why only two Democratic motions appear under Total Veto Motions and why those two had already been voted upon and are now Ours have brought back for a second vote. not been voted...ours have not been brought back for second votes and apparently they re shuffled off onto a second Calendar so you don't have to do all of the Total Veto motions. Now

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

if you're going to call Bills a second time and you are going to rule that my motion... or my point of order is not correct, the least you can do is put them all on a Calendar and call them in order."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, can you tell me what

Total Veto Motions have been filed that are not on this

Calendar? The Chair is not aware of any."

McCracken: "1226."

Speaker Breslin" "Is that a House Bill or a Senate Bill?"

McCracken: "Senate Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Senate Bill 1226."

McCracken: "Was voted upon yesterday."

Speaker Breslin: "And a motion was filed? No new motion was filed according to the Clerk. Sir. What else?"

McCracken: "I'm saying that refiling successive motions is not the correct way to do it."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. That is your point of order, but you are certainly not indicating to this House that people have filed motions that have not been properly put on the Calendar. Correct? There aren't any other Bills."

McCracken: "There aren't any other motions."

Breslin: "Okav. Representative McCracken, we Speaker have considered your point of order and we disagree that the method that a Member could use to have their motion considered again is a motion to reconsider. Under there is no prohibition against filing a motion again. We have in the past stated that that was the common procedure. Either is acceptable to the membership and the only time that the refiling of a motion itself, we believe, be considered out of order would be when the Chair would determines that it is dilatory. We have not presented to us at this time, so we really don't think that that's the appropriate way to proceed. I understand from

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Representative Davis that she refiled the motion because she thought there was a different understanding on the Bill and at this time she's going to take it out of the record to clarify that understanding. So that the Members you have lost on a motion you have two avenues to have that motion reconsidered. One is by refiling. one a motion to reconsider or making a motion to reconsider. You have to file, of course, an intent to make that motion on the same day that the motion originally lost, under our rules. So, Representative Davis, we will take this Bill out of the record with the commitment back to it at your request. Representative Ropp, for what reason do you rise?"

Ropp: "Yes, Madam Chairman. A question of the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "State your question."

Ropp: "In part with what you have said, I think I can recall instances where in the past several years we have done exactly as you have ruled. My question, which I think is even more serious is, why do we have so dang Supplemental Calendars in the last two or three days of the Session. if in fact...we get Supplemental Calendars Veto even before we convene. It seems like it would be so simpler for the leadership that seems to be in power, which obviously they re trying to confuse everybody by having so many Supplemental Calendars, if we just had really see no logic at all in why you even have to print a Regular Calendar if we've got so dang many Supplementals. What is your response?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ropp, your question is understandable, if you are not in charge of the operation.

In this kind of a situation, we are shuffling paper between the House and the Senate. You notice in a Regular Session we have very, very, very few Supplemental Calendars.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

get towards the end and we're going to However. when we Conference Committee Reports, as Bills are moving between the Houses, we come out with Supplementals quickly so that people can have their Bills considered and move them into a Conference Committee or over to the Senate. Whatever is necessary. That is what is happening now. We have many moving between the Houses as to Conference Committee Reports, et cetera, and we have a very short period of time in which to deal with them. So in an ordinary term, when a Bill passes this House we can wait until it gets on the Senate calendar for two and three weeks. Today we can't. Today we only have one more day before we adiourn until January, so it's in order to do the business that is before us that we print the Supplementals and get it before us immediately."

- Ropp: "I think you're absolutely right for today, Madam Chairman, but when we had one week delay from the time we met previous to this week, we had a whole week delay from the time the House met, the Senate met and that very first day, after having a whole week to get all the way from the Senate over to the House, we still had 5 or 6 Supplemental Calendars on that very first day."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ah, but the other Body had been operating for a few hours at that time, so they came in and did work, so they had to send things to us and we sent things to them."
- Ropp: "I've never seen the Senate operate before us any time. I
 mean, that's just uncalled...they just don't do that. I
 know that's not true."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would like just to clarify the record on this point. On today's Supplemental Calendar, House Bills Second Reading, appears 1416. 1416

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

was on a Supplemental yesterday and may even have been on the Regular Calendar or a Supplemental, Wednesday. vesterday on a Supplemental Calendar. 1229 on non-concurrence was out yesterday. 1377 was out yesterday. Madam Speaker, you are doing this so that you can take call vou pick and choose it. You are not doing it because business in the other House forces you to And we're calling upon you to conduct the business of this House in the same manner that it is conducted in the In the Senate they have one Calendar, even though Senate. vou've got Bills coming over from the House. and they never deviate from it. They go through chronological and subject matter order. They don't the need for all these Supplemental Calendars. Now apparently you don't want to call Senate Bill 1520. which now on Second Reading with approximately 75 Amendments. So rather than put it in the Calendar under its you put it on a Supplemental so you can skip around it if you wish. 1470, which is on Third Reading, House Bill Third...from the Third Reading is on the Regular Calendar. Now you're...or it's a Senate Bill, excuse me. Now you take something off of Senate Bills Third Reading. you don't have to deal with both of them if you 485. wish to. Ιt isn*t done because there's that forces you to do so. It's done so that you can move at your will, without objection, anvwhere VOII please. Not only can we not follow it, you can't even follow it. Gary LaPaille's up there whispering in your ear. go here, go there, do this, do that. And certainly the public can't follow it. No public participant could follow Do you know, in the Senate yesterday, Madam Speaker, if people wanted to go watch the debate on the veto override they could tell that it was 3 or 4 Bills away.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

because it was in the Calendar and the Calendar is being honored in the Senate. So people went over there and it was called when it was supposed to be called. Now you tell us, why do we have all these Supplemental Calendars? It is not merely because the two Houses are in operation at the same time. Call everything on the Calendar under the subject matter or don't call it, but don't jump around."

Speaker Breslin: "I just got a phone call from Mr. LaPaille. He wants to clarify the record. He does not whisper in my ear anvmore. Ladies and Gentlemen. I would like to clarify the schedule for those of vou who are interested in when and if going home this evening. This is the plan-The four Legislative Leaders are meeting at this moment with Governor. They are trying, obviously, to work out a compromise on the expenditure of state dollars. When meeting adjourns, they will come back to both Houses and we then proceed to a caucus of both sides. That will will probably take a couple of hours, so we envision that this meeting will probably take an hour to two hours with the Then we will come back in caucus that will Governor. to two hours, depending on what is decided by hour caucuses. There will be time necessary to print whatever Bills will be decided upon. So, you should not plan to before six o'clock in the evening leave here at the think it will take a substantial amount of earliest. We time. but we are doing everything we can to be sure that we go home today and do not have to wait over until what is anticipated. Sn that is You should plan accordingly. The Gentleman from Cook. Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "Yes, I heard a lot of yelling over there and I just wondered what the problem was. Could you summarize what it is that the..."

82nd Legislative Day

- November 6, 1987
- Speaker Breslin: "Forget it, Representative Cullerton. We're finished with that."
- Cullerton: "Oh. I see. Is everything okay then with Representative McCracken?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Everything's okay now. The Gentleman from Perry, Representative Goforth, for what reason do you rise?"
- Goforth: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I know one of our newest

 Members over here is very happy if we'd stay here till

 about eleven o'clock tonight. He thinks it's good for his
 business."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing, for reason do you rise?"
- Ewing: "Madam Speaker, a couple of inquiries of the Chair.

 First, when does the first meeting start?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The first meeting was to start at ten o°clock.

 I think it was a few minutes late, it would be closer to
 ten-thirty, so it really just began."
- Ewing: "So that's the first meeting on which we're all going to rotate. The axis upon which our world will turn today."
- Speaker Breslin: "At the moment."
- Ewing: "Madam Speaker, you always are so courteous and so nice and so stoic in the Chair, but I'm not sure that you answered Representative McCracken in regard to....You did answer him about the whispering and I'm glad Gary came out, but did you answer him about why we're having all these Supplemental Calendars and can we expect to get one full Calendar for today?"
- Speaker Breslin: "No, Sir, We can't possibly have one full Calendar for today. That Calendar is already printed."
- Ewing: "I know, but they....they are, I'm sure, printing all these Supplementals. Why don't they just put it all in one and bring it out and let's do it. We could start on Senate Bill 1520 now and put all your side's Amendments on. I

82nd Legislative Day

- November 6, 1987
- mean, why don't we go to that and do it?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Because the Chair doesn't wish to go to that

 and do it. The Chair doesn't intend to vote for all of

 those Amendments, Sir."
- Ewing: "But, Madam Speaker, it's here on the Calendar. Are you telling me that we are never going to get to 1520?"
- Speaker Breslin: "We may, Sir, but as you know, from having been on both sides of the Majority and Minority sides..."
- Ewing: "I've only been on this side. I've never been on the other side."
- Speaker Breslin: "But you have served in the Majority as well.

 You know that under the rules, the Speaker has the right to
 go to those items on the Calendar to which he sees fit and
 we don't wish to go to that item on the Calendar at this
 time and don't intend to. No matter how much the Minority
 wants us to."
- Ewing: "But couldn't that hold us up in getting out of here? I mean, certainly we want to do Senator Rock's Bill. He's the President of the Senate."
- Speaker Breslin: "The object is not to hold anybody up. The object is to get us out as quickly and as expeditiously as possible and still do the people's business."
- Ewing: "No. But not to...just respectfully to you, Madam Speaker, I think the object down here is not to do the Speaker's bidding, not to do the Majority Leader's bidding, but to do the people's work and I don't see if we don't go to these Bills that we're doing the people's work. There's some important Amendments on 1520."
- Speaker Breslin: "I agree. I will convey your message to the Speaker and the Majority Leader."
- Ewing: "The Speaker, he isn't back though, is he?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Not yet. No, Sir. It was all worked out till you raised it up again. Representative Matijevich, for

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

what reason do you rise?"

- Matijevich: "I am satisfied with all of the clarifications except
 the whispering in the ear. Would you clarify the
 'anymore'?"
- Speaker Breslin: "That was for Chris' benefit. Representative
 Piel. Does the Gentleman seek recognition? Yes, Sir."
- Piel: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. Tell you what we could probably We could alleviate this problem completely. Instead of. you know. one person saving that you're jumping around the Calendar, you're saying that you're not. Why don't you aive us about 5 or 10 Bills in a row, exactly where you're going to go. then we'll have some idea of where we're going to go and what we could do is just go on to the...seeings vou...there's such а bia question about Supplemental, we only have 2, 4, 6, 7, Why don't we just go right down the Supplemental, take everything there? And then you've got that completely done and then we can throw that in the garbage can, we've got so much paper on desks now as it is. And then we can just go to the Regular Calendar and say listen we're going to do amendatorys. then we're going to do totals, and then we've got an idea of where we're going to go. I mean. for ... not just for our sake. You know, Representative Kulas and the Mushroom Brigade, you know, would like to know where we're going too, because they don't like being kept in the dark."
- Speaker Breslin: "I appreciate your suggestion and when I began this morning, I told you we're going to page 3 on the Calendar and we are starting at the top of Total Veto Motions, we're going to do all of those..."
- Piel: "Well, there we're wrong again, Madam Speaker, you know, because it wasn't page 3 , it was page 2, see."

Speaker Breslin: "No Sir, it's page 3."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

- Piel: "No, you started with Representative Davis" Bill, which was...oh, you want to go with the motions, you don't want to go through each one individually."
- Speaker Breslin: "That's all I can call, Sir, are the motions."
- Piel: "Okay. Well, you said you want to hit Total Vetoes, which starts on page 2."
- Speaker Breslin: "And after that I'm going to do the Amendatory

 Veto Motions."
- Piel: "Okay. So, we're going to hit 670, 834, so we can write these down, then we're going to go to 1113, that's three...where are we after three?"
- Speaker Breslin: "I haven't made that decision yet."
- Piel: "Could you let us know? You said...I said 5 or 10 Bills and you shook your head yes, that that was a great idea. I mean, I very seldom come up with great ideas, you know...I mean I ve come up with one and you agree with me and we'd like to, you know, not go... just stop at three."
- Speaker Breslin: "That was as far as I've gone so far,

 Representative Piel. Representative Klemm, for what reason
 do you rise?"
- Klemm: "Well. I want to thank you for your clarification. that...that all these Bills that we worked hard on is at whim of the Chair, when we're going to call them. And I thought, wouldn't it be refreshing someday if we have Speaker that would represent all of us equally and let the Minority and Majority Leaders do the battling, but let be above the partisaness (sic). It would be nice if we end up for one time, before I leave this session, before I leave the chamber along with you, finally the Speaker would end up saying, "Hey, I'm going to represent of you equally and fairly and not at the whim of all whatever they wish to call this time. But I your clarification on it. I don't think we'll, you and I,

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

will ever live to see that day, but it would be nice if we keep working towards fairness in our Speaker's Chair.

Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hautino."

- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. The other day I noticed that the process of the General Assembly went along rather well when all the microphones were off and only two were alive. If the hot air continues, I'm sure that the microphone system will probably go off and you may consider doing that again. We could get a lot of things done here. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you, Representative. The next Bill under

 Total Veto Motions appearing on page 3 on your Calendar is

 Senate Bill 834. Representative Saltsman."
- Clerk Leone: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the passage of Senate Bill 834, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The reason I have filed motion to bring this back today, I was approached by some business people in the hallway yesterday afternoon after it lost by a few votes and the people from business who are in cooperation with the labor-management program have lobbied to some extent at this time and some people have asked me that they...I bring this back so they could change their vote help these programs in the area where experience has been and where the value of this program has been a success. So at this time, it's had much debate, I all looked at it. think that we*ve It's not an appropriation Bill. I f anything happens, or any formed, it will be in the 1989 appropriation councils are And that's what we're coming back here next process. for. is to work the appropriations process and see if any

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

additional monies are needed. At this present time this is not an appropriation Bill, it's just a structure of how these programs are going to be formed, so again I ask for your vote and I know this has been a great help to the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman has moved to override the Governor's veto of Senate Bill 834 and on that question, the Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp. Excuse me. Representative McCracken on a point of order."

McCracken: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. We've looked at the rules and we've also looked at Robert's Rules of Orders regarding questions previously, we thought. re-raising finally decided by a Body. Our rules apparently are silent on the precise question. but the reference in the rules regarding motions to reconsider clearly implies that SUCCESSIVE motions of failed votes are not in order and in Robert*s. specifically page 64 of the edition we've got, in the absence of making such a motion or something similar to it, it is improper to make successive motions, absent something unusual. And clearly, what that means is that something of an extraordinary nature. All we have here is motions and this matter is out of order. It shouldn't be on the Calendar a second time with merely a successive motion to override the Total Veto and I ask the Chair to so rule. And look at it before you rule. I've got it right here."

Speaker Breslin: "The Chair has already looked at..."

McCracken: "Have you looked at Robert's?"

Speaker Breslin: "We have already looked at Robert's. And we

looked at your first motion, Sir..."

Mccracken: "Page 64. Page 64."

Speaker Breslin: "Yes Sir, and it..."

McCracken: "Let me read it."

82nd Legislative Day November 6, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "No, Sir."

McCracken: "During the meeting..."

Breslin: "Representative McCracken, we have Speaker looked at that. I realize... I realize that you don*t... realize that you don't wish to hear this Gentleman's motion. We realize that free speech, however, Democratic process allows the Gentleman's motion. It is the Gentleman's belief that something has changed and be able to succeed in his motion if presented at he will this time. The Chair has determined already that in this is not dilatory. Perhaps if he does it again and again and again it will be dilatory, but it is the Chair's as to both of the motions that appear on this decision Calendar that they are not dilatory, that's why we went to the first place. So I would suggest, if you are opposed to the motion, that you vote 'no' and that you Democratic process work. I do not mean to indicate that you should be precluded from raising this issue. but it should be raised in the Rules Committee. If you wish to change the procedure it should be done through the rules. The Gentleman has made a motion. The motion before us is. the House override the Governor's veto of Senate Shall Bill 834?* Representative McCracken, do you have anything further to add?"

McCracken: "Yes, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

McCracken: "I move to overrule the Chair on that point and I°d

like to address that motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to overrule the Chair's decision and on that question, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Just by way of

introductory remarks. I am sure that Democracy is served if a person who wishes to be recognized is given his

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

microphone rights. I should have brought a loudspeaker This is much more than a question of the this time. Gentleman's Bill and it certainly does not relate in any manner. We are talking about the proper conduct of the House's business. This is no light matter. filina successive motions. Madam Speaker, you make a nullity out of the House rule regarding provision for motion to reconsider the vote by which a particular matter If you did not have that motion in the rules. lost. you would have an argument that it is contemplated mavhe that there be successive motions of this type, but that is clearly not the case. When we talk about overruling...or a vote on an overrule...to override a veto, we are talking about the concept of finality. The Gentleman had a fair hearing, the hearing went against him and it's time to nothina Democratic nn -There ic about allowing mave motions to be considered ad nauseum. particular And this is a very serious matter. And you know that where the rules are silent on a particular point, Robert's Rules of Orders applies. And at page 64 of this edition under the heading *Motions To Bring A Question Again Before The Assembly*, the Robert*s states the following During the meeting or series of connected meetings in which the Assembly has decided a question. the same or substantiallv the same question cannot be brought up again except through special procedures which imply an unusual circumstance. Now it is not only the existence of a special circumstance that warrants it to be brought other than by means of an extraordinary motion, but it is a requirement that the extraordinary circumstances exist and in that case, the motion to reconsider the question is brought and is argued on that basis. The Gentleman may have unusual circumstances. Does he have extraordinary

82nd Legislative Day

match..."

November 6. 1987

Has he brought an extraordinary motion? circumstances? He merely files another motion to have another a† this. Not everyone's doing it apparently. The two Bills on your Regular Calendar, and by the way all of the or all of the vetoes in question, could have been on the Daily Calendar, if any others had been are two Democratic Bills. So we want a successive motions. So what happens? We get three, four votes and only at the point where the Body runs out of time do we say. that's finally it? Ιt is improper parliamentary practice allow successive votes on final matters. Madam Speaker. and only where there are extraordinary circumstances and then only where a special motion is brought should a question be again considered."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson," Didrickson: "Thank you Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Representative McCracken has raised some verv parliamentary questions here, but I quess I°m going to look at it from a different slant. This gives me an opportunity and Representative Mays, to correct the closing statement of Representative Saltsman yesterday when he said that testimony on this Bill was incorrect. I would like to restate for those of you in this General Assembly, talked to the drafter of the Bill, the original Bill on the Labor-Management Councils and indeed, that intent was to be seed money. And indeed. the first year it was 75, the second vear 50 and the third year 25 with the local

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson. Representative Didrickson. I'm sorry, but I think you have to confine your argument for the time that we actually reach Representative Saltsman's Bill. The motion before us that we are debating is whether or not the Chair shall be

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

overruled on the parliamentary question that Representative McCracken has raised. Thank you. I will recognize you later at that point. The Gentleman from Lake. Representative Matilevich.

Matijevich: *Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, rise in defense of the ruling of the Chair and acknowledge that the Chair in that ruling has mentioned the past deliberations where we have always allowed successive I recall one time where one Member lost a motion motions. and the similar motion, the same motion was put forth by another Member and that has been done in past sessions. the Chair's ruling. just as case law very often becomes part of the law, the past deliberations of determine what we do in these cases where it is not House I think that even though the last speaker in our rules. may have been out of order, in her own mind by what she has said, things have changed with the testimony on the Bill as was presented...or, on the motion as it was presented the last time. So I think that this is a proper ruling fact of the matter is so that this may come up in the future, I think the ruling is very proper for us conducting normal business. So I would ask that Members on this of the aisle vote against Representative McCracken's motion to overrule the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative

Ewing. On the motion."

Ewing: "On the motion, ma'am. The motion to override the Chair?"

Speaker Breslin: "Correct."

Ewing: "Yes. It just seems to me, Madam Speaker, that we all listened very attentively to Speaker Madigan when he was discussing abuse of power in regard to the Governor's Amendatory Veto. I think there is a lot of analogy between his speech and what is happening here. I'm sure that if he

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

were not in California that he wouldn't allow this abuse of power to be perpetrated on this Body by the assistant Leaders in the Chair. Madam Speaker, it's a waste of our time to go through this again. Are you listening, Madam Speaker? I...

Speaker Breslin: "I am listening, Sir, and I am very aware of your time and how valuable it is. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Ewing: "You cut me off."

Speaker Breslin: "Did you have more to say, Representative Ewing?

Proceed, Sir."

Ewing: "Madam Speaker, it's just that when you know you find your students aren't listening, you stop and try and get And there was a young lady here talking to me, attention. Madam Speaker, when you called on me and I immediately asked her to step aside so that I could give you my full attention and now I just kind of wanted that same respect because I was talking about the Speaker. Speaker Hadigan and how he doesn't like abuse of power and I really wish that you'd reconsider your decision on this matter. clear the deck and move on. We got 1520 to do. We have all those Amendments that your people want to put on 1520. So just go to that? I don't think you're going to could we listen to me, Madam Speaker, so I'm going to quit."

Speaker Breslin: "Thank you, Representative Ewing, Representative Hallock. On the motion."

Hallock: "Thank you Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I think this is probably an especially exasperating moment for all Members of this Chamber and yourself included. And I think that exasperation probably emanates from the fact that we on this side really know that we're right and I think you on that side and you especially, Madam Speaker, probably know that you're wrong. The House rules, which we

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

debated many times before, very clearly state what have happens in these kind of situations. The House rules. we've tried to make suggestions to, and we've in fact in many cases made some additions to them on the side and we have tried to work together on those Minority rules themselves. But whatever they stand for, whatever we agree is right or wrong, they are, in fact, the rules of House. And the House rules very clearly provide that this the only way you can really do what you are trying to do here today is by filing a motion to reconsider a vote by which your vote failed. That hasn't been done. Vet that is the rule and it's very clear. When you get beyond that, there's a clear void as to what should happen situation like this. When a void occurs in the House rules we all know that Robert's Rules of Orders have to provide the situation. And Robert's Rules of Orders will not allow for this situation to occur, when one issue has been resolved, it is resolved and it is done with. The only way you can get back to that is by going back to the House again and filing a motion to reconsider a vote. And so we in this Chamber. I suppose. on both sides of the aisle are governed by that. And whether we like it or not, that is what the rule is. And Nadam Speaker. if you want to change the rules, you of course have the majority of votes and you can do that. But it's so ironic to me, as one ٥f the Members of the Rules Committee and the Spokesman thereon, that for the last couple of weeks we've heard constant remonstrations from the Speaker about the Governor's amendatory veto powers. but yet Ì٨ the couple of weeks we've also seen the Speaker of the House try to decide what's constitutional and also try to decide that the House rules don't really apply, that he can use any rules he wants, even though the House rules, in fact.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

do govern. It is a very ironic situation. And I get back to my first point. Madam Speaker, and how frustrating this is. It's because you know it's wrong and we know also that you're doing the wrong thing and we hope you can reconsider your position and change it. And I urge your vote in favor of this motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. rise in support of the ruling of the Chair on this issue. First, that ruling is supported by strong precedent. Precedent under Speakers of this Chamber of both political Secondly, the people who would oppose the ruling argue by analogy to our rules that apply to final passage on House Bills. We're dealing here not with final nassage House Bill. but with a motion. When you're dealing with a Bill, the Sponsor of that Bill has total control over the timing of the calling of that Bill to choose to proceed or not to proceed when the Chair moves When we're dealing with motions, however, motions are not under the control of any individual owner of Bill. A motion can be made by anybody in this Chamber. If the Gentleman who opposes this ruling were right, it could well be that we'd be debating Representative Saltsman's opportunity to call a motion on Senate Bill 834 at all. Conceivably, Representative McCracken could have offered motion on Senate Bill 834 vesterdav. He could have called the motion and he could have encouraged people not to support his motion to override, thus precluding the proponents of the measure from having their day in court. So not only is this ruling of the Chair appropriate in terms of our history as an institution, but it also good, basic parliamentary sense. Only by permitting second motions on the same topic can we ensure that everybody in

82nd Legislative Day

overruled?*

November 6. 1987

Proceed. Sir."

this Chamber has a fair shake and a fair opportunity at making his or her point of view a relevant point of view, a relevant perspective. When this Chamber decides to act."

Speaker "The question is. *Shall bе overruled?* All those in favor vote "aye"...excuse me, Representative McCracken wishes to close.

McCracken: "Thank you. Thank you. I'd like to state, I think. correctly what the issue is here. We're not more confronted with a case where a hostile party has second or successive motion. We*re not confronted where a third party who is friendly to the Bill files a successive We're not talking about a control or lack of motion. control over the Bill, we're not talking about the control lack of control over the right to make a motion. talking about successive motions. Override the Total vote is a final action of this House. The rules of our House require that unless you make a motion to reconsider the question on the day when the vote fails, then it is out of order. So, for this body to accept the ruling of the that merely by refiling successive motions is democracy being done, or is parliamentary procedure being honored, is absolutely false. The fact is that our specifically provide for a vehicle for reconsidering this type of matter. Our rules are silent on the precise question of filing successive motions. Robert's Rules is against you on this and our rules provide that where House rules are silent, Robert's Rules of Order So don't confuse the issue with party politics. control. Don't confuse it with control over some particular issue or motion or Bill. This is wrong to be doing it this way. Ιt does not further Democracy. It does not further iustice." Speaker Breslin: "The question is. *Shall the Chair

All those in favor vote

'yes'.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Sixty votes are required for passage. Representative Klemm, one minute to explain your vote. Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "I wanted to make a parliamentary inquiry rather than explain my vote."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

Klemm: "You had earlier said that on a motion, that is filed to rec...or to not reconsider a defeated motion, such as we're doing on Senate Bill 834 that Representative Saltsman had filed a new motion on the same day that it had failed. That you had said that he had new information that perhaps would change the vote on a subsequent vote and you, as the Chair, allowed that to take place, but that you probably would allow it to be dilatory by constantly filing motions. And I was wondering then, how does a Member approach the bench, the Chair, or whatever you wish to call it, to determine to let you know that I have new information to make you concerned to allo..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Klemm, you can whisper in my ear. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 51 voting 'aye', 63 voting 'no' and the motion fails. Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "Can they renew that motion again?"

Speaker Breslin: "They probably could if it wasn't going to be dilatory. The next item to be considered is Representative Saltsman's initial motion to override the total veto of the Governor on Senate Bill 834. That question has already been presented. Is there any discussion on that question? The Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I

did begin to state earlier on another motion, before it

became so partisan and unfortunately this whole issue has

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

become very partisan. Yesterday it was incorrectly stated by the Sponsor of this motion right now, that those of on this side, Representative Mays and myself who said that the initial, the initial intent of this legislation Labor-Management Councils was not for seed money. I talked the drafter of this Bill. It indeed was initially for The first year 75 percent, 25 money. state-local match, to 50 to 25 and at the end of three vears these councils were to be on their own. ¥e. funded ten of them. What we are doing now without the funds. without the funds, is expanding this original concept going to include Management...Labor-Management Councils across the state, but those original could on indefinitely receiving up to \$100,000 annually indefinitely keeping them going. That was never the intent of this. I think if you would like to get original a piece of the action like we've been able to enjoy in suburban area, and I'm doing this with my own Labor -Management Council that will be affected by this. then you'll not vote for this measure. We just appropriated \$297.000 this year to fund ten of them. You can see with that paltry amount that we're not going to be able to expand or fund this program the way the Sponsor would like. I ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays, on the question."

Mays: "Gentleman yield to a question, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Mays: "What's the cost of this Bill?"

Saltsman: "Nothing, right now."

Mays: "I should rest my case, but I do note that it does require
the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to
establish an office of Labor-Management Cooperation, does

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

it not?"

Saltsman: "It does."

Mays: "And you're not planning to fund that office at all, are you?"

Saltsman: "They already have staff doing it, Jeff."

Mays: "There is no cost this year, that's what you say."

Saltsman: "Jeff, you and I and Representative Didrickson are on the Appropriations Committee. If you have seen anything come out of there with this money in it, I don't know where it's at. That's why I disputed your word."

"Well, here we are and I'll just go right to the Bill. Mays: would appear to me that what we would be doing with this is expanding a commitment. In other words, renewing commitment. enlarging a commitment or otherwise...with no money and next year we're going to be beat on the head to fund i t because there was a commitment already made and a similar argument for spending money on a senseless thing generated like it was with TIFs and it would just seem to me that if we're going to be making new commitments or recommitting or expanding old commitments, we ought to able to prioritize even better than this Bill would do and for that reason I would urge opposition to the Bill." Breslin: "There Speaker being no further discussion.

Saltsman: "Yes. That's what we're elected to come down here for and us people that get assigned to the Appropriations Committee, it's to go through the appropriations process. We'll be doing that in the 1989 budget. I'm not willing to fall away from here worried somebody's going to hit me over the head with something I don't like in appropriations, because the 98 agencies have put approps in and I don't agree with none of them, but I didn't get them changed. We try to make a dent in something where us people over here

Representative Saltsman to close."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

that don't get a chance to work with those agencies like you do, that get your stuff put in. Ours is called out on us. Yours is in the agencies cause you've got that power and it's our authority as voters and the way the vote comes out of this General Assembly that we have a chance to restructure what we don't like in the agencies and that's what we're sent down here for. I urge a 'yes' vote on this."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Senate Bill 834 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote" no". Voting is open. Seventy—one votes are required to override. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn, one minute to explain your vote."

"Thank you Madam Speaker and Ladies and Dunn: Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this legislation. As I indicated when this was up before, we have a large contingent in our community and when times became difficult was friction between labor and management, however, cooler heads prevailed and a Labor Management Committee was formed. It is now 7 years old, both sides have found it to productive. It has resulted in a negotiated be verv between both sides, which has been presented to agreement businesses in the area. We call it a PROUD agreement. Ιt includes a provision...a provision is included in the agreement which provides for no work stoppages, That came out of this type of agreement. example. This is a wonderful thing, we should support this legislation. It's one of the best things we in can ďΩ the State αf Illinois. As I've said before, to send a clear message to outsiders that Illinois is a good place to come live and do business. I urge an 'aye' vote."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you, Representative Dunn. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 71 voting 'aye' and 40 voting 'no' and things did change. And Representative McCracken asks for a verification of the affirmative. Poll the affirmative, Mr. Clerk. Representative Braun. The Lady asks leave to be verified, Mr. McCracken. Representative Braun, you have leave. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- "Poll of the affirmative. Ackerman. Clerk Berrios. Braun. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Bowman. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Giglio. Giorgi. Goforth. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Hultgren. Jones. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. Leflore. Leverenz. Krska. Mautino. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. 1 evin-McPike. Morrow. Mulcahev. Novak. O'Connell. McNamara. Phelps. Richmond. Robert Olson. Panayotovich. Rea. Saltsman. Satterthwaite."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative McCracken, Representative Steczo asks leave to be verified and he has leave. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Shaw."

- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Kulas and Representative Martinez ask for leave to be verified also.

 Kulas and Martinez. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Shaw. Slater. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich.

 Tuerk. Turner. Van Duyne. White. Williams. Wolf.

 Anthony Young and Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Breslin: "Any questions of the affirmative roll?"

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio. Frank Giglio. The

Gentleman is not in the Chamber. Remove him from the Roll

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Call."

McCracken: "Representative Lang?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Lang. Lou Lang. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? He is not. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks. Larry Hicks.

Representative Giglio has returned to the Chamber, add him
to the Roll Call voting 'aye'. Representative Hicks is not
in the Chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative O'Connell?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell. John O'Connell. The

Gentleman is not in the Chamber. Remove him from the Roll

Call."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino. Bill Laurino. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? He is not. Remove him from the Roll Call. Representative Young asks leave to be verified and he has leave."

McCracken: "Representative Morrow?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Morrow. Charles Morrow. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? He is not. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative DeJaegher?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher. Bob DeJaegher. The
Gentleman is not in the Chamber. Remove him from the Roll
Call."

McCracken: "As it turns out, not very much has changed.

Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Williams?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks has returned to the Chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Representative O'Connell has returned to the Chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Williams?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams. Paul Williams. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? He is not. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Terzich?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich. Bob Terzich. The
Gentleman is not in the Chamber. Remove him from the Roll
Call."

McCracken: "Representative Bugielski?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bugielski. Bob Bugielski is in the Chamber."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams has returned to the Chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting aye. this On there are 66 voting *aye*, 40 voting *no* and 3 voting 'present' and the motion fails. The next order business appears under Amendatory Veto Motions on page 3 on calendar. Senate Bi11 1113. Representative your Countryman. Representative Countryman? Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, the House will be at minutes. The House will be at ease for 30 minutes. and Gentlemen, the House will come to order. We are going to go to the order of Conference Committee Reports, so this includes the action on...as final passage on Bills, so Members should return to their seats, please. Return to your seats. The House will come to order. The first Bill on this order...the Conference Committee Reports are on Supplemental #2. The Sponsors are Cullerton, McNamara and So please come to the Chambers. Supplemental #1. Flowers. Members should return to their seats, please. Ladies and Gentlemen, on the reverse side of Supplemental #1

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Conference Committee Reports. The first Bill is Senate Bill 126. Representative Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1025. Representative McNamara. Clerk, read the motion. Excuse me. This is a Conference Committee Report. Representative McNamara.

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1025. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I move to accept the First Conference Committee Report on Senate What 1025 does, in short, is to amend the Bill 1025. Criminal Code and provides that it is a Class I felony when a person commits the offense of aggravated battery of child within a 3 year period following an offense of ... a finding of quilt for the same offense. It also adds conference committee did was clean up some technical language and it also provides a second portion Conference Committee Report which is an aggravated battery is committed when a ...when a person causes great bodily harm to another or commits battery under certain aggravated conditions. those conditions to he somebody that is handicapped or elderly and I move accept the First to Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara has moved that the House accept the First Conference Committee Report 1025. Senate 8il1 On that question. is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. *Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1025?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote *no*. Voting is open. This is final passage. Sixty votes are required for passage. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? The Clerk should take the wish? record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', none

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

voting 'no' and none voting 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1025 and this Bill is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1506. Representative Flowers. Representative Flowers.

- Flowers: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I
 move to accept the First Conference Committee Report of
 Senate Bill 1506. It's basically a community right-to-know
 Bill and it just says that any agencies that comes into the
 community will first conduct a public informational
 hearing. And I move for the passage of Senate Bill 1506."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1506 and on that question the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "I notice in the Conference Committee Report that the
 House recedes from House Amendments I and 3 and one of
 those Amendments is Representative McNamara's 'Robin's
 Bill', for lack of a better term."
- Flowers: "It's no longer in the Conference Committee Report."
- McCracken: "Okay. So we only have your provision regarding the community-based right-to-know. Now, does that apply to private entities as well as government? For example, say, the Y.M.C.A. came under this definition and cut back or closed the facility, would hearings have to precede that?"

Flowers: "Yes."

McCracken: "And so it does not apply only to state agencies?"
Flowers: "Pardon me?"

McCracken: "It does not apply only to state agencies?"

Flowers: "No, it does not. It only applies for public funds.

People who are getting money from the state."

McCracken: "So, you're saying that it would not apply to a private agency if it were not receiving funds?"

Flowers: "No. No."

82nd Legislative Day November 6, 1987

McCracken: "And what is the Department required to do under this?"

Flowers: "They are merely required to either post notices in public places such as laundromats, grocery stores and they shall inform the Representative of that particular district what they are doing."

McCracken: "Okay. Is this...so, the community-based services relate to improvement of local health, housing, education, employment, recreation or corrections and it would apply... or the reporting and hearing requirements would apply to any entity which is receiving any funds from the state?"

Flowers: "You're correct."

McCracken: "Alright. And you don't draw a distinction between funds received from the state for this particular purpose or maybe funds received from the state for...by the same entity, but for an unrelated purpose?"

Flowers: "I don't quite understand that."

McCracken: "Well, it's like the controversy in federal aid to schools. Does your requirement pertain to all activities of an entity that receives state funds, no matter what the purpose for that?"

Flowers: "You're correct."

McCracken: "Okay. So it applies to everything. Now, does the Department of Public Aid have a position on this Bill?"

Flowers: "I'm not aware of their position, if they have one."

McCracken: "You aren't aware of it? Okav. I have a document which originally was dated June 15, 1987 and has been re-dated November 4, 1987 by hand, which states that the Department opposes this and cites as fiscal impact administrative costs to conduct the hearings, which may or may not be nominal, I suppose, and possible delay receipt of new federal funds. They apparently oppose it on the basis that the vagueness of the terminology o f

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

substantially changes could be interpreted to include any new medical provider that enrolls in the Department*s medical assistance program, any training facility contracted through *project chance*, any new child support contract to be executed for representation of the Department, any implementation of new Department policy for all assistance purposes."

Flowers: "Representative McCracken..."

McCracken: "Let me ask this. What prompts the Bill? Are you having an experience in your district?"

When Senator Alexander and I was down here in the Flowers: "Yes. spring, we had an occasion that an organization moved into the community and it was alleged that she and I responsible for it and we were not, because we had no idea that...what they were doing. So when we came back from the spring, we had to deal with this confrontation, whereas we were informed of the matter, this is not to say that the community based organization, or whatever it may be, cannot continue. They can proceed as usual, but we're just merely asking them to extend us the courtesy and extend the neighbors the courtesy of letting them know that there is a new mental health facility that is about to move community and you can be serviced by it. There is a new battered women organization that's moving in and if you are a battered woman, feel free to come here. That's all itts about."

McCracken: "Okay. There is a reference, however, to more than just notice. Isn't there a reference to a public hearing that has to be held?"

Flowers: "You can either give notice or a public hearing."

McCracken: "Okay. I see that now. And the notice has to be 30 days prior?"

Flowers: "Yes."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

McCracken: "Okay. It's kind of...On page 2 of the Conference
Committee Report, it's not clear that the agency has the
option. I agree with you that the first paragraph of
Section 3 states that there is an option, but then on page
2 it just talks about requirements of 30 days prior notice
of the public hearing. But it's your position that either
one will satisfy this requirement?"

Flowers: "You're absolutely right."

McCracken: "And what does the notice have to consist of? What is it that the notice has to be in order to satisfy this?"

Flowers: "Basically, you would just give notice on January fifth,

1988, we will be opening a new mental health facility, come
in and join us or talk to us about any concerns that you
may have."

McCracken: "Okay. But there is no statement in here of what adequate notice is as an alternative to this public hearing. It doesn't say, for example, that you can comply with this by giving notice if you do the following things.

I mean is there a requirement that you receive a letter, or that the aldermen receive a letter?"

Flowers: "Yes, there is."

McCracken: "Now where is that?"

Flowers: "The notice shall include...On line 7 it says. "In either case, notice shall be sent by mail to the corporate authorities of the municipality and the county and to the Members of the General Assembly who represents the community."

McCracken: "Okay. Now is that the notice for the public hearing, or is that notice which suffices in lieu of a public hearing?"

Flowers: "That's the notice to inform the elected officials that
there will either be a public hearing or that they're just
posting notice. But you're letting the elected official

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

know that you are moving into the community and what your services will be."

"Okay. To the Bill. I think that, you know again, McCracken: this is a good idea. I suppose we'd all like to be kept up on the comings and goings of our local community resources and I do agree that on the first page of the Conference Committee Report it does appear to create an alternative means of satisfying this requirement. That is. public hearing or adequate notice, but on page 2 of Committee there is no statement as to Report what constitutes adequate notice for the purpose of complying with the notice provision of the Bill. That reference, I think, that the Lady spoke to, is the notice requirement the notification of a public hearing. The Department opposes this Bill and it opposes the Bill not only because of the cost, but because the Bill is not drafted as well as maybe it could be. I suggest that better drafting would ve included a separate section on the notice requirements that will satisfy the Bill in lieu of the public hearing, that the definition of *substantially changes*, should probably be tightened up and the cost, of course, which could result even the delay of receipt of new federal funds. Thank VOU.

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Warren, Representative
Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Ms. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Hultgren: "I asked some questions about this when it was before this House before and I'd like to follow up to see how the Bill, as it exists now in the Conference Committee Report, relates to the form of the legislation earlier. In my home town there is a park district that operates the city

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

parks. It's a governmental entity, imposes taxes and there's an elected park board that administers the district. Would that be a agency as defined in Section 2a of this Bill?"

Flowers: "If the agency is getting state funds, yes."

Hultgren: "Well, agency, it means any Department or agency of the state or a local unit of government. Would this local park district be a local unit of government?"

Flowers: "I would think so, yes."

Hultgren: "Now, among other things, the local park district operates, or did this past year, operated a summer softball program. A summer softball league. Would that be under community-based services, a service that relates to the improvement of recreation, in my community?"

Flowers: "No, it would not."

Hultgren: "Summer softball league would not be recreation?"

Flowers: "It is considered recreation, but I'm sure that's part
of what they were doing in the first place. It was not
nothing new that they just started."

Hultgren: "Okay."

Flowers: "It's not a facility."

Hultgren: "If....You say that's not something new. My understanding is, in Section 3, that if they plan to substantially change the nature of the services they're providing, they have to have a hearing. If they want to discontinue the summer softball league, do they have to have a hearing?"

Flowers: "That is not a substantial change, because that is not a facility. That is an activity."

Hultgren: "What if they wanted to close one of the parks in which the softball is played?"

Flowers: "Well, I would think that they should inform the community that the park would be closed for whatever

82nd Legislative Day

- November 6. 1987
- purpose that they are closing it."
- Hultgren: "So, if they closed the park they have to have a hearing, but if they just discontinue the softball league, they do not have to have a hearing?"
- Flowers: "That is an activity, so no, they would not have to have a hearing."
- Hultgren: "What's the difference between an activity and a service?"
- Flowers: "The difference between an activity of playing, it's not being funded. The activity is not being funded. Playing softball. The services is being funded."
- Hultgren: "Okay. Among the other things that the park district does, they operate a public golf course and once a year they have a city golf...or city tournament on the public golf course. If they wanted to discontinue the city golf tournament, would that be the discontinuance of a community-based service and would they have to hold a public hearing to discontinue the city golf tournament?"

Flowers: "No."

- Hultgren: "Well, they provide funding for the tournament in terms of trophies and fees and so forth paid to the golfers. Is that not then a service?"
- Flowers: "Whatever services that they are providing for, so that
 you could continue your activities. There's a difference
 between the two. That particular organization is still
 providing some type of services."
- Hultgren: "Thank you for your responses. If I may, Madam Speaker, to the Bill. I listened to the responses of the Sponsor in terms of what she intends to do with this legislation. However, in reading the Conference Committee Report which sets forth the text of the Bill in full, it doesn't seem to me that the language as exists in the Bill is as limiting as the Sponsor interprets it and for that

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

reason. I would encourage a *no* vote on the Conference Committee Report and would also offer to work with the Sponsor to come up with language which might be more narrow in scope, instead of what I think is perhaps required by the broader language of the Conference Committee Report. Thank vou."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie."

- Currie: "Thank you Madam Speaker. Members of the House. I rise in support of the Lady's motion to adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1506. The Sponsors of this Bill in the House and in the Senate have will worked very hard on language that resolve organizations* initial opposition to the proposal. Thev..."
- Speaker Breslin: "While we wait, Representative Currie, I'm going to recognize Representative McCracken for a parliamentary inquiry. State your inquiry, Sir."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill in Section 2 states that it shall take effect upon becoming a law.

 That's an immediate effective date, and I ask the court...the court...I ask the Chair to hold at 71 votes required for passage."
- Speaker Breslin: "Seventy-one votes are definitely required for the passage of this Bill. Representative Currie, are you finished? Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you. So my point is that the Sponsors have worked very hard to allay initial opposition from organizations like United Way, from other social service providers. The Bill as initially drafted might've required each of those recipient organizations to schedule their own hearings or post their own notices. As changed by this Conference Committee Report, the Bill merely requires that the agency of state government, or the unit of local government that

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

is funding the program has to either hold a public hearing or post notices so the affected community is aware of program or the closings of an old beginnings of a new This is a question, an important public Members of this Chamber to address. told that some Departments would find it administratively difficult to comply with the terms of this proposal. On the other hand, the community itself would like to know kinds of programs are coming into town. issue before us. The Sponsors of the Bill have themselves erneriences when state funded programs come to their parts of the world without adequate notice to them or to community. It seems to me we have a responsibility to see to it that our communities know how public tο be spent. There is as I save no opposition from the private social service agencies that initially were concerned with the Bill. The Sponsors have worked long and hard to try to craft something that makes agod public policy sense. I would encourage your 'aye' vote for this Conference Committee Report."

Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells." Parcells: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my name appears on this, but unfortunately, I've been on the floor all of the time. never consulted. or I may have pointed out to the Sponsor. who has truly tried very hard to make this acceptable, that the points that Representative McCracken brought up are When they're referring to...everything here is correct. referring to the public hearing, not to the public notice. So if they post notice at the post office, is it 30 days, 60 days, 2 weeks, 2 hours? There's no reference made to and I would have, if they had come and asked for my signature, pointed that out to them, that

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

weren't clear on that and we maybe could have clarified that and made this a better Bill. I'd also like to point out that the Department of Mental Health, Department of Children and Family Services, Department of Public Aid, are all still opposed to this Bill. They think it is incorrectly drafted and therefor I would suggest that you do not override on this Bill and vote 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Houses I do hope, whatever happens to this Bill today. that you pay close attention to what this Bill is really This is a Legislator's right-to-know Bill. about. This Bill essentially says that we will have a right services are coming to our area, whether they are being provided directly by a state Department or indirectly through the state Department contracting out with the In many instances contracts are let for private sector. social services and the like. In communities... Corrections and the like, in our communities. Those contracts are not monitored directly by the state agency, or at least to the extent they are monitored, we don't know very often on, and so it can be that a state agency will negotiate and sign a contract to locate a corrections for example. in your area and you will be the last person to know about it. You will find out about it after the newspapers have found out about it. after your constituents have found out about it and long after the contract has been signed and the Department is obligated. That's what this Bill is intended to get to. going to get into a discussion of the technical am not debate that has taken place so far. I suggest to you. however, that the language of the Bill is specific enough to con... to address the concerns and to the extent

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

I believe that's been clarified confusion. there may he with the legislative intent stated in this debate. a11 of us, take a very close look at what this Bill does and what it's about. The opposition from perhaps in my opinion. the Departments need to take another look at the process that they use for out these contracts. And maybe as a part of that process we can be notified without having to go this route. But so far we haven't been able to get to that point. This route is the sensible route and it makes sense as legislation for of the elected officials of the state and particularly for Legislators who have a concern about what's going on in their district. What the state is paying for in terms services in their local districts. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. We spent a lot of time debating this Bill and I think, from the debate we've incurred a lot of discussion that really points out a lot of problems with this Bill. Clearly, from our point of view on this side of the aisle, there are things that can be resolved at some point in time. There's no urgency to do this yet this fall. And I would say that we should put this Bill over until the Spring Session, debate it then. In the meantime, if she does persist in this motion, I would say that we should defeat it and vote

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise
in support of this Conference Committee Report. I did not
like the report last spring. I think many of the problems
have been resolved. There's no reason to have a program in
a community if the people in the community don't know that

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

the program exists. Moreover, what is being proposed here novel. We do this now in the Chicago Metropolitan area, through the Northeast Illinois Plan Legislators. we receive notices of federally funded programs that go through NIPC. Moreover, a couple of years ago we had legislation that we passed that tightened notice requirements to elected officials and community projects in your neighborhood. of IDA So. what's being proposed here is not something that is new. that is novel. that we've never done before. We do do this now on a limited scale through Northeast Illinois Plan Commission others. And I suggest this is a good idea. doesn't make it, I think that we can come more at the notice requirements for NIPC and IDA and tighten up this legislation. But I think it's good enough now that we ought to pass it."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, Representative Flowers to close."

Flowers: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, really don't know what is the problem. What is the big secret? What it is that we're trying to hide here? agencies in which we're funding and the taxpayers of this state is putting their monies into these various agencies. There's no big secret here. It's merely saving that if there is a substantial change in the existing community service base, that's already there that you would notify the community of the substantial change. If there's a few little technical problems, I'm sure the Governor will than happy to use his amendatory veto power to be more straighten out the technical changes. But I feel that OUT and we, as Representatives, have the right to community know about any community-based organizations that's moving into the community. I would merely urge your "aye" vote on

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Senate Bill 1506."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1506?"

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. This is final passage. Seventy—one votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 62... 63 voting 'aye', 48 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report. Representative, do you wish to request a Second Conference Committee?"

Flowers: "Yes, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, the Lady requests a Second Conference that Conference Committee. And Committee will be appointed. Going back... Representative Cullerton, would like to do your Bill now? While we're on this order. vou No. Out of the record. The next Bill on Speaker's Table appears House Resolution 825. Representative Stange*s speak light is pushed. He does not appear to be seat. Would someone turn off that light, please? Thank VOU. Representative LeFlore, do you wish to proceed on House Resolution 825? Proceed. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 825, creates a bipartisan

Special Home Committee on Inmate Rights. Rules Committee

recommends be adopted."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore."

Leflore: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. House Resolution 825 has been amended. Amendment #1 clarifies the language in line 14. It says, "whereas the Department of Corrections shall report and testify to the committee any recent or past occurrence within the state penal institution." What this Resolution would do is merely create a bipartisan

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

subcommittee, with 4 members appointed by the Speaker of the House and 4 members appointed by the Majority Leader to investigate the penal institution, where I find that is having a lot of problems now within its population. And I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore, the Clerk has notified me that an Amendment has been filed. Do you wish to present that Amendment first?"

Speaker Breslin: "So. Representative LeFlore asks that...

LeFlore: "Yes, that's what I was doing."

Speaker Breslin: "I see, okay..."

LeFlore: "Presenting the Amendment."

that Amendment #1 be adopted. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. *Shall Amendment All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say *no*. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. And now Representative LeFlore. on the Bill as... on the Resolution as amended." LeFlore: "As amended. In line 14 of the Resolution, there was a language change that states, *whereas the Department of Corrections shall report and testify to the committee any recent or past occurrence within the penal institution ... state penal institution.* Merely, what we are trying to do is create a committee to investigate some of the problems that we are having within our penal institution, presently. I noticed over the past several months there have been a lot of... a lot of things happening within the penal Some of the parents in my district are very institution. upset about their sons who are housed in the penal institution and they would like to know what is happening. And in order to find out what is happening within the penal institution, I feel there should be an investigation. this committee will be made up of 8 members. 4 from that

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

is appointed by the Speaker, and 4 that is appointed by the Minority Leader. So I ask your favorable vote.

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 825. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. I rise in opposition to House Resolution. I believe that we had decided some the time ago not to have these commissions or whatever they to essentially undertake, I don't know, tangents and run off with them. This Bill (sic), as amended, does not only relate to the Department of Corrections being required to report and testify before the committee, it purports to be in part at the request or for the benefit of the Department of Corrections, as well as the inmates. And I'm advised that the Department of Corrections is in opposition to this Resolution. I don't think we should be in favor of it. I don't think a need has been demonstrated for it. is just another extraneous demand put upon the Memberships. This special committee is by virtue of time. the Amendment, infused with a broad, broad mandate. The committee's purpose is expanded. It is made a special House Committee on Correctional Facility Conflicts. the committee's studies to include all facets of the state penal institutions and the problems purportedly that have been occurring. I would submit to you that there need demonstrated for this. The Department of DO. Corrections, presumably on whose... or for whose henefit. in part at least, this is been done, is opposed to it. And I think we should be voting against it."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,

 Representative LeFlore, to close."
- LeFlore: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. I find that this committee is necessary because if you notice the newspaper over the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

past four or five months. there have been a dissension excuse me -We*ve had а lot of problems And many of within the state penal institutions. OHE inmates who are in these institutions, is being mistreated. have gang structure there. And I... with this... with the gangs that we have organized in our penal institution. them are found with contrabands. How are these many Ωf weapons getting inside the penal institution? Some of the in the penal institution are afraid to eat their inmates food when they are served it. They are afraid because they feel that someone had put poison in it. have gotten thace complaints and I feel that this committee is necessary for an investigation of the penal institution. So I ask for a favorable vote. We need green votes on this Resolution."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall House Resolution 825 be All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed adopted?* vote 'no'. Voting is open. Sixty votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 51 56 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. voting ave. And the Resolution is not adopted, Representative. On the of Motions appears Senate Bill 1470. Representative Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen. Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill o f 126-Representative Cullerton. Turn on Representative Cullerton please."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate... Conference Committee on Senate Bill 126 is already passed the Senate. Senator Hawkinson is the Sponsor. And in the House I'm joined as Cosponsors by Representative Hultgren, Parcells, Wennlund and Regan. The Bill contains approximately five separate

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

I'll explain them one at a time, dealing concepts. and with the Criminal Code. The first provision provides unless the sentencing court orders otherwise, time served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision be credited against the sentence of imprisonment. So. the current law is, if someone... if time is served On probation or conditional discharge or supervision is... it is credited against the sentence of imprisonment unless the court orders otherwise. This switches it so that it's credited unless the court orders otherwise. The second part of the Bill deals with the offense of residential burglary and incorporates a number of corrections that were suggested by Members both on the Democrat and Republican side. What this would say is that a first... right now the current law is that residential hurglary is nnt probationable. This would provide an exception if a person is a first time offender. The charge of residential burglary must also... must also be shown that the house was unoccupied at the time of the offense. The court would have the discretion to give... continue to give the jail time of 4 to 15 years or they could give at least two years probation. One of the conditions of which must be that the defendant be sentenced to 100 days in custody and this can be served either in the county jail or in the state prison. also corrected a technical error that was brought to my attention by Representative Petka, concerning the fact that they would not be on parole after they receive the sentence of probation with the first 180 days in custody. provision deals with... includes House Bill 2722, which was Representative Regan's Bill. That Bill passed the House 116 to nothing, but died in the Senate Judiciary Committee. The Bill adds the phrase for an institutionalized severely or profoundly mentally retarded adult* to many the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

of the Criminal Code, which currently refer to Sections For example, the offense of aggravated battery a child would be applicable to an aggravated battery committed upon an institutionalized severely or profoundly The other offenses which are mentally retarded adult. amended to reflect this classification are aggravated child abduction, soliciting for kidnanping. prostitute, juvenile pimping, exploitation of child pornography, aggravated battery of a child, criminal sexual assault, aggravated criminal sexual assault and sexual abuse. The next provision was aggravated criminal found in Amendment... House Amendment #5, which was offered by Representative McCracken. This provides that the notice of probation violation ordered by the court may be issued by the Circuit Court Clerk as well. as by the County it's Probation Department. Right just the County DOM Probation Department. I'd be happy to answer any questions concerning the Conference Committee. Appreciate your support."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the
 First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 126. And
 on that question, the Gentleman from Will, Representative
 Wennlund."
- "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Wennlund: First of all, I want to make it clear that I was a House. Cosponsor of the Bill in its original form, which would not give credit for probationary time. However, I call the attention of the Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that what this Bill does is, it sends a message to everv home Illinois that if you're a first time offender, invader in if you get caught for the first time, if you get caught for the first time, you only get a 180 days in jail instead 4 years for a Class I felony. Think about this when you're

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

down here laboring in the House and your families are at home or your homes are unoccupied, think of the message you're sending to every potential home invader in Illinois, that if you get caught, and if it's only the first time you get caught, you only get 180 days and you don't get 4 years in the big time slammer. That's what this Bill says, and I urge everyone of you to think about that and give a 'no' yote. This does not deserve to be passed. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will. Representative Regan." Regan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. The previous speaker spoke in issue to one of the parts of this Bill and I tend to agree with him. I believe that Governor should amendatorily veto that part of the Bill out However. the third part of the Bill stands to protect, to fill a gap in the law that says that you can abuse a person with the mentality of a five year sexually old and there is absolutely nothing in the law that that's illegal. What that does, it places in there the same penalties that would be for a child abuser. would severely and profoundly mental disabled patient. These people have to be protected. They are abused day. When they're caught, there's no crime that they can be penalized with. If there's no violence involved. is no crime to protect these people with the mentality of a FIVE six year old. I particularly placed in the Bill only the last two severely and profoundly areas of mental There's two higher levels. These are only the people that have an IQ of under 40 that just don*t what's going on and they deserve to be protected. I would urge an *aye* vote.**

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion.

Representative Cullerton to close."

Cullerton: "Yes, I would just like to correct an impression that

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

may have been left by one of the previous speakers. We specifically amended this Bill. dealing with the residential burglary. to make it clear that the home must time of the offense. So, to label be unoccupied at the something home invaders, which is a separate offense by the way, if you're home and someone breaks in your house, separate offense of home invasion which is a Class X felony, not a residential burglary. It does not cover situation. But we have found, and I would point out this Bill is supported by the Cook County State's Attorney. testified in favor... their assistant testified in favor of in committee. What this talks ahout situation where if a judge does not want to give first offender. who might have been a lookout in a burglary of a summer home, who's 17 years old, who's never been arrested before, they don't want to give him 4 years in jail, so the are reducing the offenses to burglary and the guy doesn't spend any time in jail. So, what this is to do and what will do in many cases, is get people who are now just getting probation, result in them getting 180 days in custody. That's one of the reasons why Senator Hawkinson sponsored the Bill with me. So... and it's supported by the State's Attorney of Cook County, so, I would appreciate your support on the Bill. Keep it in mind that there are other provisions in here, including the one Representative Regan spoke of, which I think are improvements in the current law."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 126 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye'... all those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Sixty votes are required. Representative Regan, one minute to... excuse me, Representative Regan, for what

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

reason do you rise? You spoke in debate, Sir."

Regan: "Can I explain my vote now?"

- Breslin: "No, Sir, you may not. Sixty votes Speaker are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 62 voting 'aye', 43 voting "no" and 7 votina *present** Representative McCracken? Representative Wennlund asks for a verification of the affirmative. Representative Johnson wishes to bе Representative Johnson. Representative Wennlund. do vou approve of Representative Johnson being verified? Verv good. The Gentleman has leave. Poll the Affirmative, Mr. Clerk. Woops. Representative Curran ask leave to verified also. Representative Curran and Representative Currie asks leave to be verified, Representative Dunn votes *ave* Record Representative Dunn 'aye'. as Representative Martinez ask leave to be verified. Representative Wennlund. You have leave, Sir. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Berrios. Bowman. Braun.

 Breslin. Bugielski."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Leverenz votes 'no'.

 Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the Poll of the Affirmative. Capparelli. Christensen. Countryman. Cullerton. Currie. Daley. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Virginia Frederick. Gialio. Giorgi. Hicks. Huff. Johnson. Jones. Keane. Kirkland. Krska. Levin. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. Leflore. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. Mays. McPike. Morrow. Myron Olson. Robert Olson. William Peterson. Regan. Satterthwaite. Ronan. Ropp. Saltsman. Shaw. Sieben. Slater. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Turner. Van

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Duyne. White. Williams. Wolf. Anthony Young and Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ropp wishes to change his vote from "aye" to "no". Representative Mays changes his vote from "aye" to "no". Do you have any questions of the affirmative. Mr. Wennlund? Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Representative Ronan?"

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Ronan? Representative Al Ronan? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Al Ronan? The Gentleman is not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll Call. Representative Olson, for what reason do you rise?"

Olson: "Chairman, change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson changes his vote from 'ave' to 'no'."

Wennlund: "Representative Huff?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Huff? Doug Huff? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Wennlund: "Representative DeJaegher?"

Speaker Breslin: "Come again?"

Wennlund: "Representative DeJaegher?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher is in the chamber."

Wennlund: "Representative Terzich?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich? Bob Terzich? The

Gentleman is not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll

Call."

Wennlund: "Representative Berrios?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun asks leave to be verified."

Does she have leave?"

Wennlund: "Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "She has leave. Representative Berrios? Joe Berrios? The Gentleman is not in the chamber, remove him

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

from the Roll Call."

Wennlund: "Representative Granberg?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Granberg? Kirk Granberg is
in... at his chair."

Wennlund: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino? Bill Laurino? The Gentleman is not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll Call."

Wennlund: "Representative Van Duvne?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne is in his chair."

Wennlund: "Nothing further, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea, for what reason do you rise?"

Rea: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "You're recorded as voting 'present'."

Rea: "Please change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Rea to 'aye'.

Representative Hultgren changes his vote from 'present' to
'aye'. Representative Leverenz changes his vote from 'no'
to 'aye'. Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Would you please change my vote to 'no' please."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, I would move that the House Rules

Committee be... and ask unanimous consent that the House

Rules Committee meet at the same time that the Legislature

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

is in Session."

Speaker Breslin: "Well, before you do that, there are several Bills that we need exempted from the posting requirements."

Matijevich: "Well, I thought so. But I don't have a copy of them."

Speaker Breslin: "The Bills are: House Bill 911; House Bill 1859; House Bill 2746; Senate Bill 1229 and Senate Bill 1416."

Matijevich: "Alright. I would still move and I guess those are agreed. If Tom McCracken would allow me to put SJR 83 with my commitment that I will not call that Resolution until January. I would like that one added. But if they don't do it. that's alright. But if he would agree to that..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I'm sorry Madam Speaker, I cannot agree to that."

Matijevich: "That's alright, Tom. Okay."

McCracken: "2746 was one of them?"

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 2746, yes."

McCracken: "Which is that?"

Speaker Breslin: "It's a Flinn Bill dealing with public utility right-of-ways or rights-of-way."

McCracken: "That's not 2748. I thought that was the number, is that it?"

Speaker Breslin: "2746 is what the printout shows."

McCracken: "Okay, good. Thank you."

Ladies and Gentlemen, the motion Speaker Breslin: "Okay. 'Shall the posting requirements be waived so that vou is. the following Bills can be heard in the Rules Committee immediately: House Bill 911, 1859, 2746 and Senate Bills 1229 and 1416?* All those in favor say "aye", all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' And the Rule is waived. Now... So, in to the Bills that were posted prior to this time, these Bills will be heard immediately in the House Rules

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Committee. Representative Matijevich has made a motion that the House Rules Committee be able to meet while the House is in Session. Is there any objection? Hearing the House Rules Committee wi 11 there... Speaker's conference room to consider immediately in the these Bills. Representative Matijevich. do vou wish to make a separate motion on Senate Bill 83? No, he does not. Would all Members of the House Rules Committee proceed to the Speaker's conference room for a meeting of the Committee. Right now. Please, thank you. Representative Giorgi in the Chair."

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Giglio in the Chair. Committee
 Reports."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Committee on Rules has met and pursuant to Rule
 39(c)3 the following Bills have been ruled exempt. House
 Bills 911, 1736, 1859, 2070, 2276 and 2246. I'm sorry,
 2746. And Senate Bills 1229 and 1416, signed John
 Matijevich, Chairman."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Chair would like to make an announcement. You know, according to our rules, there is not supposed any balloons or paraphernalia in the chamber. However. there is a set of balloons in the back of the chamber. red. white and blue, and they're there because there is a And anybody wants a piece of cake, they're birthday. It's Representative Giglio's birthday welcome to have one. Monday. My secretary, Robin Brinkmeier, will be cut each and everyone of you a piece of cake. Can I have the Members attention? It's the intention of the Chair that as soon as Supplemental Calendar #2 comes out, we want Bills and we also want to try and do some to move some Conference Committee Reports. Hopefully, right after that both sides of the aisle will go into caucus and come back and try to resolve what we have to do. And hopefully,

82nd Legislative Day

- November 6, 1987
- everybody will be on their way at an early hour this evening... what purpose does the Gentleman from Perry, rise? Representative Goforth."
- Goforth: "I was just wondering, Mr. Speaker, if we had a new speaker up there? It seems to me like that Gentleman was... makes a fine looking young man standing beside of you. I was wondering if he was going to help you out or what?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He came over here trying to help me, yes, and he says maybe one day, hopefully, he'll be in the real Chair."
- Goforth: "Well, I would vote for him right now because I guarantee if he was Speaker, we'd be out of here, heading home, right now."
- Speaker Giglio: "...Barger, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Barger: "Mr. Speaker, considering we have the honor of having

 Tammy Faye Baker here, would you ask her if she would sing

 a song for us, please?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Supplemental Calendar Announcement."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #2 is being distributed."

 Speaker Giglio: "... Introduction, First Readings."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2918, Mays, a Bill for Act concerning Income Tax Refunds. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 2919. Cowlishaw. a Bill for an Act relating to school districts in the Illinois Mathematics and Science Academy. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2920, Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2917. Mautino and Phelps, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. **Bill** a Bill for Act to amend the Civil 2921, Martinez, an Administrative Code of Illinois. First Reading of House Bill 2922, Martinez, a Bill for an Act in 8111.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

relation to qualified court interpreters. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2923, Stephens, a Bill for an Act concerning corporations. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 24... 2924, Stephens, a Bill for an Act to provide for the recall of elected officials. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2925, Johnson — Hallock — Hautino, et al, a Bill for an Act to amend the Boat Registration and Safety Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2926, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "... from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I see from the Supplemental Calendar #2 the results of our Rules Committee meeting. And I'm disappointed to see that 1188 is not on 1188 was a Bill which had been agreed by the other side to be called and passed out of Rules a11 At the last minute today, we hear there's some sort of change of position. I had received various commitments on that Bill. And in fact, had allowed a Bill to be taken from the table, 1616, on condition that this Bill through Rules Committee and be considered on the would go merits. I would like an answer as to where 1188 ic assurance that it's going to come out of Rules and be voted upon today."
- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you, Representative. I'll contact the
 Chairman of the Rules Committee and we'll see if we can
 work this out for you. The House will come to order.
 House Bill... on Supplemental Calendar #2 appears House
 Bill 2065. Representative Van Duyne. Is Representative
 Van Duyne in the chambers? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2065, a Bill for an Act relating to conducting of certain games of chance. Second Conference Committee Report."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. This has been voted out this House once before and due to our early departure on the night we adjourned, the Senate could not act on this Bill. So. we brought it back here to review it again and add a part that was near and dear to Senator Dunn's county, in that he wanted the same exemption that Madison County and St. Clair County wanted. I just would like to say one thing in asking for your vote, this is a very, very, very important vote to the veterans of the State of Illinois. It almost amounted to either opening the door or keeping it open or turning the key in the door. Larry DePrima is back here with me and also people from the Veterans* Organization of the State of Illinois. They ve been around talking to each Member of the House for a couple of days And everybody, I think, is aware of what we're trying We're trying to keep our Veterans' Organization alive. So, I would appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt

Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2065. And

on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative

Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Piel: "Representative, when this Bill came up before, it had veterans' groups which nobody on the House floor had any problem with, but it also had bingo halls were able to use this. We had quite a lengthy discussion about the problems with having them at bingo halls. And my question is, does this new Conference Committee Report still have bingo halls in it?"

82nd Legislative Day November 6, 1987

Van Duyne: "Yes, it would. They would be allowed to sell them at their sessions..."

Piel: "Thank you. Thank you."

Van Duyne: "... but it is strictly regimented to the groups that

are... that are allowed now."

Piel: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker..."

Van Duyne: "It doesn't expand it."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Piel: "To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know if you remember in the waning hours of Session this came up. And the one big problem we had was June. that bingo licensees are basically set, as far as bingo. T would be very curious to see how the Gentleman who sponsoring the Conference Committee Report or is speaking on the other side of the aisle, how he's going to thing because of the bingo ramifications in the Bill. I asked him before, why don't you just put in Veterans* Organizations and nobody on this side of the aisle had any problems with it. He persists in keeping bingo halls in Bingo halls should not be in this Bill because the Bill. of the problems with the state and... as far as jar games at bingo halls when they're sitting there doing other types of gambling per se, and I would ask for a *no* vote. I mean the Gentleman obviously hasn#t the message that, you know, we've got no problems at all with the Veterans' Organizations, but when he persists putting bingo halls in there, which my feeling conflict of interest, I would ask for a *no* vote on Conference..."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Bill, simply because it

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

would benefit the Veterans* Organizations as well as many of the churches that are doing it at the present time. Even though it is illegal right now, many of these places Many of the bingos that the selling pull tabs. are churches and different charitable organizations are running. do run it and the state is making no money at the At least by legalizing the jar games or present time. pull tabs. the state would be making an income. And what's going on right now on the streets, the state is making And at least by legalizing it, we will have nothing. some income coming in. So, I ask for your support in this 8111.0

- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw."

 Cowlishaw: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and

 Gentlemen of the House, I would like to call your attention

 to page 3..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Would you kindly give the Lady your attention, please."
- Cowlishaw: "... I would like to call your attention to page 3 of this Conference Committee Report, beginning with line 1... line 19 or 111. It says that it is going to be alright to issue a license to conduct pull tabs and jar games to any bonafide religious, charitable, labor, fraternal, vouth athletic. senior citizen. educational or Veterans* Organization, organized in Illinois which operates without profit to its members. Do you have a little league in your town? If you do, the little league can get a license and conduct this kind of fundraising. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, do we really want to encourage our young people to learn that a good way to ao about raising money is to promote gambling? My friends, I think that teaches young people the wrong lesson. As much believe in the importance of education for all Illinois

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

citizens, this is one form of education which I do nnt need. In fact. I think it would be helieve thev detrimental. I see no reason why Veterans* Organizations that are exclusively for adults, other organizations should not perhaps be given this kind of provision. But youth athletic organizations, the little league, well they'll be the big league in gambling. T suggest we vote 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative

Johnson."

Johnson: "I'm going to take a real gutsy position on this. hν iust simply kind ٥f cataloguing the unbelievably inconsistent position of the State of Illinois question of gambling. We make it a substantial crime, I think it's probably a felony in some ways to run of gambling operation, and make it against public policy on one hand to conduct gambling operations, run numbers, rackets and that sort of thing, that may or may not be well and good. On the other hand, we turn around for charitable organizations and groups that can qualify, and make it not only legal but an encourageable activity to participate in gambling-related activities. On the other notwithstanding our policy in one respect. we run the greatest Madison Avenue commercials in the world to promote the Illinois lottery. To really promote the work ethic. mean just incredible the commercials that we run on the question of the lottery. Then on the other hand, we say no dog racing because that promotes the sort of activity that we don't want. So I'm really not sure what position of the State of Illinois is, with respect to the question of gambling. I know when Representative Vinson was here, one of his projects was to try to conduct a study to see what gambling really did to the economic and social

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

fabric of the State of Illinois. I'm not sure how this Bill really fits in, but I think it clearly says that we have no comprehensible policy at all. No consistent policy whatever in this state in any way, towards the question gambling. If it's state run gambling in the lottery that's And that may well be a good idea. And if it's some other form of gambling, it's not okay. I'm not really sure how I feel about the whole question, but I think at some point we got to take some kind of a consistent position that makes sense to the citizens of Illinois on this question of how we raise money. and whether it's legitimate way to raise money, and whether those activities that are served by raising the money, are truly in fact served by the way we're doing it."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Ιn order to clarify one of the positions that came up a little bit earlier as far as vouth gambling is concerned. in the Conference Committee Report, I believe that on page 6, it specifies that Article V. no person under the age of 18 vears shall play or participate in games under this This specifically takes care of the youth question and the youth groups that they are talking about are only are run bν adults and by that organization themselves or the leadership of that particular think that it's about time that we take a look at what we have done in the State of Illinois. We have reduced the funding for a lot of these groups in order to be able to raise funds, to give them funds or to allow them to do something. This is one time where we can support them by allowing them to raise the funds on their own, to to go out and do it. If the government is going to chop

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

the dollars, let's at least allow them to get the dollars from somewhere else to do the vast amount of good work that these charitable organizations do. I heartily support the issue."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van
- Van Duvne: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members. appreciate the comments and the worry of some people. But just want to reiterate something that Mr. McNamara just The money that is coming and derived from this is 32 of the gross, right straight from the manufacturer. Fifty percent of it goes to the common school fund and some of the rest of it... 2/3rds of it goes to the policina. Revenue Department. And this is one of the complaints that they ve always had. They said we always aive people the right to do this but we give them no revenues to So this is built into the Bill. As I said police it. initially, this is almost a life and death position for our Veterans ** Organizations. Some of the smaller. less successful Veterans* Organizations are in this state are faced with closing their doors. And this is a way for them to keep them open. And I ask for your affirmative vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2065. **A11** those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed The voting is open. This will take a simple majority of 60 votes. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 71 voting "ves". 33 voting 'no'. 10 voting 'present'. And the House does adopt Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2065. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 0n

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Calendar &2 appears Senate Bill 943. Representative McPike? Representative Steczo. Senate Bill 970... 943. The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 943, a 3ill for an Act to amend the Private Detective and Private Security Act. Second Conference Committee Report."

"The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin," Speaker Giglio: Breslin: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill is a large Bill containing several provisions in the regulatory rights and duties of the State Department, with regard to several agencies. First of all, it deals with a rewrite of the Illinois Nurse Practice Act. That is an agreed to provision of the Bill. Ιt variety of different changes. Let me just say that many of things that the Illinois Nurses Association initially opposed in the Department's Bill have been compromised. instance, the fine that was originally proposed to be For \$5.000 was reduced to \$500. The numbers of grounds discipline have been rewritten and reduced in scope. I t adds a new provision that provides that nurses performing voluntary services are not liable for damages due to acts or omissions unless willful or wanton misconduct was It does restructure the Nursing Committee and it involved. several other provisions in that regard. For the purposes of legislative intent, I would like to note during the negotiations on this Act, some specific issues were discussed, which should be clarified. Section 7 of the Act sets forth the powers and the duties of the The committee Committée on Nursing. is authorized to participate in a national organization of State Boards of Nursing. This language is intended to allow the to participate in activities of the National Council of 82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

State Boards of Nursing. However, this language will not the department as the voting member the committee. Ιn addition to that. Bi 11 authorizes the committee to recommend a list of registered nurses to serve as nursing coordinator and assistant nursing coordinator. This language allows for the committee to make a recommendation on these positions. **But** not preclude anv other group from making recommendations. Further. this language in no way binds the director to hiring the coordinator and the assistant the list prepared by the committee. from Especially in light of the fact that the director must hire these the personnel code. In addition. Section 25 identifies the grounds for discipline. Ground practice or other behavior which demonstrates pattern of incapacity or incompetency to practice. The quote *other behavior - unquote may include mental or physical illness. This Bill still contains the language quote *nursing and includes all its specialties. - unquote. You will remember that this Section was added by the Illinois General few years back to clarify nurse specialists. which includes but is not limited to, certified registered certified nurse midwives, clinical nurse anesthetists. nurse specialists and nurse practitioners. I would also that Section 39 dealing with the right of the director to appoint a new committee, when in a disciplinary hearing the director disagrees with the results οf that disciplinary hearing. That new committee that the director is authorized to appoint is limited to that one specific hearing and that one specific problem. It does not refer to an entirely new Committee on Nursing. Further, the Bill does contain a provision that the director consideration to recommendations submitted bv nursina

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

organizations in making appointments to the Committee οn It is the intent of this Bill, the intent of the drafters of the legislation and the intent of should you adopt this measure, that the phrase Assembly. 'nursing organizations' refers to a broad variety organizations. which have nurses as their members. The Illinois Hospital Association has a council on nursing for instance. which is made up of nurses that are concerned with nursing issues in the hospital environment. The the Illinois Hospital Association Council on Nursina of would be considered a nursing organization under this Bill. It should be noted, however, that organizations which interest in nursing issues and which do not have only or exclusively nurses among their members nursing issues which are addressed by the concerned about committee and they are not precluded from making recommendations to the director. Hospitals are the single most significant employer of nurses and their interest should of course be considered by the director. further be noted that this Bill includes rewrites Illinois Physicians Assistants Act. It amends the Court Reporters Act to remove the requirement tο provide transcripts. It amends the Vehicle Code to make violations certain tarpaulin equipment violations rather than moving violations. It amends the Plumbing License law two members to the Illinois State Board of Plumbing It amends the Barber and Cosmetology Act to add new qualifications... sections for registration of and one for cosmetologists registered elsewhere. Under the Detective, Private Alarm and Private Security Act, Private it defines a fire alarm system. It provides that the Act to persons who only sell fire alarms. does not apply T t makes one of the new members added to the board

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

detective, rather than a public member. It grandfathers in persons not meeting experience requirements, who wish to be private alarm contractors, with approval by the Department and the Board. And it removes requirements for agency certification, that the applicant be an Illinois resident. Last but not least, it amends the Administrative Code and extends the reporting date one year to December 31st, of 1988 for the task force for the study of long term care insurance. I would be happy to answer any questions."

- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich."
- Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for some questions?"
- Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."
- Panayotovich: "Peg, on the fire alarm protection part of the legislation that you have here, do you say anything about... we're talking about being able to sell alarms, burglar alarms in the home, right?"

Breslin: "Correct."

- Panayotovich: "Correct? Does it say anything about what requirements somebody has to come to somebody's house to be a salesman to sell burglar alarms or can anybody sell burglar alarms by this Act now?"
- Breslin: "Representative McPike will answer that question."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative

 McPike."
- McPike: "Representative Panayotovich, the way I understand the current law is that a consumer can buy a residential burglar alarm in the local hardware store or at Sears or at Montgomery Wards or at a variety of other stores around the state. This would simply allow one other retail merchant, and that is AMWAY, to sell these alarms. As you probably

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

know that is the law in 49 other states. But for some reason, it's not the law in Illinois. So this is really just a clean up to make sure that we aren't trying to pick out and punish AMWAY, unlike we have done in the past."

Panayotovich: "Now you're using AMWAY..."

McPike: "Yes."

- Panayotovich: "... Is there anything that... does that person that sells that burglar alarm, is there any kind of check on that person? An AMWAY salesman can be anybody, is that correct?"
- McPike: "Well, if your saying that the typical AMWAY salesman goes to somebody's door, the typical Avon salesman goes to somebody's door to case the joint, I think most people would disagree with you."
- Panayotovich: "Well, isn't it a little different with a burglar alarm, when they go around the house and they show the doors, the windows, the entrances, the various parts of the house, especially putting in a burglar alarm. The point is..."
- McPike: "Well, they're not putting it in. The AMWAY salesman don't put it in. This is a self-installed alarm. This is not installation..."
- Panayotovich: "But, that person still... they're selling the burglar alarm in that house, though..."
- McPike: "I presume they're selling soap powder and cosmetics and a variety of other things at the house, too."
- Panayotovich: "... They come to the door. I'm not opposed to
 this, I just wanted to let people know about this public
 protection..."

McPike: "Sure. Sure."

Panayotovich: "The... for example, you mentioned Sears, they're still there. Sears is still there the next day. Where do we find the AMWAY salesman a week later?"

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

McPike: "Well, I guess if you want to file a law suit against
AMWAY, you can do so simply by filing a law suit. You can
do the same thing against Sears. There's no problem with
that. It's a national company. I believe it's on the
Board of Trade."

the Bill. Mr. Speaker. All I... Panavotovich: "Thank vou. To the point I want to bring out here is a point of public protection. This letting people come into your home and letting them look around talking about burglar alarms your window has got to be protected, your doors to say. have to be protected. Here's what we want to do. we don*t know who's coming into the house. They have a card from a I've talked to the Illinois Retail company. Merchants. guaranteed me that if they see a problem that we can come back at some future date, but I think record it should be known that this should be a matter of public protection when they let somebody in your house to sell vou a burglar alarm. And the fact being that you don't know anything about them. Going to a Sears, going to a Wards, going to a Pennys' or somebody else, where you see that salesman, that reputable firm, not that AMWAY is be... anybody in this room can become an AMWAY vou can salesman without anything but just going to apply to become So, just remember that this might come back to a salesman. us sometime about public protection. And it's unfortunate it has to be part of this entire package."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to, I guess to try and help Representative Panayotovich in the answer of his question regarding the AMWAY distributors and their ability to put in a burglar alarm units. I happen to have a conflict, I am an AMWAY distributor. The alarm unit that the company sells is a

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

wireless We don't have to look system. at doors and Primarily. it's a windows. svstem that the previous speaker and the Sponsor of the Bill suggested, is one that the person can install themself. I hope that clarifies the intent of this legislation."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative
Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Black: "Representative Breslin, if you could just clear up one thing for me. I commend you for the many months of work you've put in on the Nurse Practice Act, but I didn't hear you and forgive me if you said so and I missed it, have all parties now agreed to the Nurse Practice Act, particularly, the community colleges?"

Breslin: "Yes, Sir, they have."

Black: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you. Mr. Speaker. With regard to the issue that's raised by one of the previous speakers, I just wanted to point out that I'm going to read from the Conference Committee. There are some protections that were in this Conference Committee, regarding potential in sellers of burglar alarms. The Bill on... the Conference Committee on page 68 and 69, first of all, provides that the burglar alarm system, that is sold door to door must be approved by Underwriters Laboratories another authoritative source recognized by the department. And the owner of that trademark must have expressly authorized the person to sell the trademark owners products and the person must be able to provide proof of such authorization upon

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

request. And finally, the owner of the trademark maintains the departments request, provide upon will: certificate evidencing insurance for bodily arising from faulty or defective products property damage in an amount not less than \$1,000,000, combined single limit. So. T think that some of the issues that were raised by one of the previous speakers, have been addressed in the Conference Committee. And I think that consumers are well protected as a result thereof."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn moves the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Representative moves that the House do adopt Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 943. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. One minute to explain your vote, the Gentleman from Warren, Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Mr. Speaker, I... parliamentary inquiry. What...
 under the rules, how long are the Conference Committee

 Reports supposed to be on our desk before we're voting on
 them?"
- Speaker Giglio: "I'll ask the Parliamentarian, Representative."

 Hultgren: "I'd appreciate a ruling under Rule 79."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Lake.

 Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, I was going to explain my vote, because someone mentioned about whether we can trust AMWAY distributors as salesmen. I just thought if you can trust someone who jumps from one party to another, you surely can trust an AMWAY salesman."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this legislation... especially in the section with the Nurses Practice Act. As a member of the Registration and Regulation Committee, that section has been worked on for months and months. I'm pleased on behalf of all people who work in the nursing profession to have this legislation finally ready and agreed upon. And I commend the Sponsor, Peg Breslin, on the hard work that she's put in as well as all parties in the nursing industry who have worked so diligently to make sure that we had an acceptable piece of legislation."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

 Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 I rise in support of the next Bill, and I wish we would move on to it. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Mr. Clerk. take the record. On this question, 113 wish? voting 'yes', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And the House does adopt Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 943 and this Bill, having received the extra majority of votes. is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Warren, Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Has the Parliamentarian had enough time to review that inquiry that I made?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He's still looking it up in the book. It's really thick at this time of the year."
- Hultgren: "Yeah, I understand that. Rule 79 is... Page 36, if he can't find it."
- Speaker Giglio: "He was looking at 89. On Supplemental Calendar #2 appears House Bill 2852. Representative Frederick. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2852, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Act on the Aging. Second Conference Committee

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Report."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Lake. Representative Matijevich move that the House Rules Committee meet in back of the chambers in the Speakers conference room to consider Senate Bill 1188. All those on committee please retire to the conference room. the he have leave? Hearing none, by the attendance roll call. Rules Committee meets immediately. Is there leave to the meet while the House in still in Session? Leave is All those... Leave is granted to allow the Rules to meet in the Speaker's conference room in back Committee of the House chambers immediately while the House is in Hearing none, leave is granted. Session. The members of Rules Committee please retire to the room. The Lady from Lake, Representative Frederick on House Bill 2852."
- Frederick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. The major part of the Bill dealt with the increase of the numbers of board members by two on the Alzheimer's Task Force. Senate, in their Conference Committee, added the powers of a municipality to erect and maintain a nursing home. I move that we adopt the Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves that the House do adont the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2852. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. this Πn question. there are 106 voting... record Representative DeLeo as 'aye'. On this question, there are 107 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And the House

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bi11 2852. And this Bill. having received the extraordinary majority, is hereby declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar #2 appears Senate Bill Gentleman from Madison. Representative McPike. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 484, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Unemployment Insurance Act. First Conference Committee

 Report."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative

 McPike. Senate Bill 484 amends the Unemployment Insurance

 Act."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not going to try to describe everything that's in this Bill. I think it's sufficient to compliment both organized labor and management that have negotiated in good faith of starting, I think, last March and carried through negotiations over the summer and as late as yesterday and finally signed off on a five year package. should be noted that in 1983 when we were \$2,000,000,000 in debt, no one ever believed that we would pay off the debt and have a positive balance in our trust fund by November of 1987. But in fact, we did pay off 2.2 billion dollars. \$800.000.000 in our trust fund. Our last payment to the federal government is due on the 9th and we make that payment. This is a package that will stand a five year period. It allows for benefit increases labor and at the same time it escapes the federal penalty tax for management. I think it will go a long way to bettering the business climate in Illinois, competitive with the other states in the country and at the same time protecting our unemployed workers. I'd everyone to support the Conference Committee Report."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. For Members on our side of the aisle who haven't had an opportunity to discuss this with us, we do stand in agreement with Representative McPike's comments. It does further us towards solvency in reliance on a trust fund at the state level, versus the continued borrowing since 1975 from the federal government. There is something in there for labor and there is certainly something in there for business. And in terms of the agreed Bill process, we stand behind and fully support this report."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgio"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I also rise in support of the agreement congratulate all of the negotiators at the bargaining table. But I want to put a statement in on behalf ٥f U-A-W-in saying that both sides have participated in the lengthy and difficult set of negotiations. Significant progress was made toward an agreement other than the lockout issue. In the interest of keeping the UI solvent. they have agreed on a partial solution of the lockout issue as part of the overall agreement. Further legislative assemblies are not bound by actions of previous assemblies, and this agreement does not do that either. agreement provides for untested formulas for the adiustment of benefits and other provisions that require revisions. At any time during the five introduction of legislation to further address agreement, issues including lockout may occur. With this understanding, labor is united in the passage of the package. And I endorse the statement and the work of the negotiators."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The question is, *Shall the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987 .

House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all wich? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting *ves*, none voting *no* and none voting And the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 484. And this Bill. having received the extraordinary majority, is hereby declared In the gallery, up on our left, are two former passed. Democratic Staff members who. I believe, found bliss were working on the Democratic side. Please welcome Tim Satterfield and Laura Lodemeier. Oα supplemental Calendar #2 appears House Bill 1038. Representative Rea. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1038, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Community Mental Health Act. Second Conference Committee

 Report."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea."
- Rea: "Thank vou. Mr... thank vou. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1038 amends the Community Mental Health This is the Second Conference Committee Report. Act. first one would have been okay, but the Minority Leader had an addition he wanted to add, so we took it back one. The Bill passed out of the House, initially 110-1 and out of Senate 58-0. This deals with... with Franklin-Williamson County Mental Health services in terms of their board of ... making some corrections there. T t townships, in fact all townships, to provide also permits facility and services for the mentally retarded and developmentally disabled by special levy, which is a front door referendum. It provides coordinating mental health

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

authority any purchase or improvement of real property to be approved by the individual township community mental health boards under that authority. This was proposed by the Illinois Association of Community Mental Health Authorities. And I would ask for its approval.

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1038. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Minority Leader, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Representative Rea, I understand that at our request that you have extended the Township Mental Health Facility

Act to include all townships in the State of Illinois, is that correct?"

Rea: "That is correct."

Daniels: "And with that change I°m very happy to endorse and support this very good Bill."

Rea: "Thank you."

Daniels: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Kane, Representative Deuchler."

Deuchler: "... Yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Deuchler: "Representative Rea, I'm noticing here in our analysis, that it's saying that community mental health boards must send copies of their budgets and annual reports to us in our districts. Is... would that also include plans that they might have to get permission for property purchase or property improvements? We have an organization called a mental health governing body that is appointed by 708 funds. Would they be required to get permission and to inform the government... governing body?"

Rea: "This legislation would only require a copy of the budget
and annual report be submitted to Members of the General
Assembly."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Deuchler: "Well, it does go on to say that a coordinating body would also obtain the approval of the governing body and I'm assuming that that would be an organization, an umbrella organization that makes up the 708 board."

Rea: "That only applies to the one board there in this case.

Yeah."

Deuchler: "This is on a slightly different issue than the copies of the budgets and reports. This also goes on to stipulate purchase for improvement of the property such as 708 monies being involved in real estate transactions. Could you clarify that?"

Rea: "Okay. As far as the purchase or the improvement of real property to be approved and I believe that's your question, it would only apply to the...to the one office there. It would not be...yeah, in Aurora. It would not be statewide."

Deuchler: "Well that's on page 11, I believe, where the coordinating body would go back and tell the 708 boards if they plan to build or acquire property. Is that correct?"

Rea: "That's...That's correct. Yeah. Yeah."

Deuchler: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea to close."

Rea: "I would just ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1038. question, all those in favor signify by voting that *aye*, those opposed *nay*. The voting is open. Have all who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Ωn this question 114...115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none are the House does voting 'present' and adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1038. And this Bill having received the extra majority is hereby declared

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

passed. On Supplemental Calendar #2, appears Senate Bill 1322. Representative Wojcik. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House (sic - Senate) Bill 1322, a Bill for An Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Clinical Laboratory Act.

Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Woicik." Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. B111 Senate 1322, Conference Committee Report #2, deletes everything in the Bill and amends the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, to change the time frame for testing for Prior to making change, the time transmissible syphilis. frame...excuse me. I'm trying to read here. Conference Committee Report does is, it brings the time from fifteen days to thirty days to coincide with syphilis testina. And the report also amends the Nursing Home Care Reform Act of 1979, to provide that nursing licenses shall normally be issued for a period of one year by the Director of Public Health, but may be issued for periods of between six and eighteen months in order to distribute expiration dates throughout the calendar Vear. The changes to the Nursing Home Act would also empower the Department of Public Health to petition the court for appointment of a receiver of a nursing facility when the department is notified that the facility is terminated, not bе renewed for participation in the medicare or medicaid reimbursement programs. The reason Conference Committee Report, it is needed to make the time the laboratory tests to obtain a marriage frames for license consistence with each other and the change will prevent the problem of engaged couples being forced to cancel wedding plans due to the confusion the differing time frames. Without this change, HIV tests must

be done within 30 days of

aet

making application

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

while syphilis tests must be done within 15 days. married. The differing time frames only serve to confuse individuals seeking to a marriage license. The changes of the Nursing Home Act are intended to accomplish two important purposes. First, the department's inspectional and paper workload involved in the renewal of nursing home licenses could be more evenly distributed throughout the year. empowering the department to place a receiver in a nursing home being nonrenewed or terminated from the medicare or medicaid reimbursement programs, would enable the department to continue operation of the facility while corrective actions are being taken. An abrupt removal of patients from the facility could be avoided. particularly important, since many times another adequate facility is not available for the patients transfer. provisions have been agreed to by the department and representatives of the nursing home industry and I move its favorable adoption."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves that the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1322.

 And on that question, the Gentleman from Warren, Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wondered whether the Parliamentarian had an opportunity to answer my question?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hultgren, the Parliamentarian informs me that it takes three days before a Bill could be considered and we take action. However, to suspend a rule, that rule, it would take 60 votes. And from here on in we will take a vote previous to any Conference Committee Report, before we start debating."
- Hultgren: "I don't want to raise any rights under the rule, I was just curious as to what the rule was. Thank you very much."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

- Speaker Giglio: "Thank vou. The ... Further discussion? Δ11 those in favor of adopting the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1322, vote "ave", those opposed The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 take the record. votina "yes", none voting 'no' and none voting 'present' and the House does adopt Second Conference Committee Report Senate Bill 1322. And this Bill having received the extra majority is hereby declared passed. Committee Reports."
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Committee on Rules has met and pursuant to
 Rule 29c3, the following Bill has been exempt: House Bill
 1188. Signed, John Matijevich, Chairman."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. The Senate has refused the First Conference
 Committee Report on House Bill 998. So at this point, I
 would move to not accept the First Conference Committee
 Report on House Bill 998 and request that a second
 Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not accept the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 998.

 All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House motion's adopted and a second Conference Committee will be appointed. On Supplemental #3, appears motions. House Bill 1188. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. I move to take from the table, suspend

 Rule 79e and place House Bill 1188 on the Order of

 Speaker's Table, Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Frederick."

 Frederick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

82nd Legislative Day

- November 6, 1987
- House. I move that we suspend Rule 79e, take House Bill 1188 from the table and place on the Order of Conference Committee Reports."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves that the House adopt the motion.

 All those in favor signify...all those in favor. Leave to...for the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted and the House accepts the motion to take from the table and suspend Rule 79 on House Bill 1188. The Lady from Lake. Representative Frederick."
- frederick: "Mr. Speaker, could we please have immediate
 consideration of House Bill 1188?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Is the intention to adopt the First Conference

 Committee Report. or reject it?"

Frederick: "Yes."

- Speaker Giglio: "Yes...yes to adopt it?"
- Frederick: "Yes, yes we do. And it has not been printed, so I
 guess that answers that. It isn't...it isn't a Conference
 Committee Report, it's a concurrence. It's a concurrence."
- Speaker Giglio: "In answer to the Lady from Lake, the Bill has to be printed. The Senate did accept the Conference Committee Report. We'll have it printed and distributed and we'll take action once it's been distributed on the Member's desks."

Frederick: "Thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "It's a Conference Committee Report. The
 Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Richmond, for what
 purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to request a waiving of the posting rules of the Economic Development Committee.

 I've spoken to the leaders on both sides concerning this, on House Bill 1684. I request unanimous consent to...for the posting rules to be waived."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks leave that the posting rules be

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

suspended for House Bill 1684. From what Committee was that, Representative Richmond?**

Richmond: "Economic Development Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Economic..."

Richmond: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "...Development Committee."

Richmond: "Right. I've spoken to the Chairman and the Minority

Spokesman."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House posting rules

be suspended and that this be...Bill be heard by the House

Rules Committee immediate...by the Economic Development

Committee. Gentleman have leave? Leave by the Attendance

Roll Call? Leave is granted. Supplemental Calendar #1 on

Concurrence appears House Bill 911. Representative

Brunsvold. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 911, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to emergency telephone systems, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 the House. to House Bill 911. A little background on the Bill. Senate Amendment #1 would become the Bill. The Bill House here as a study Bill to study the 911 system and what we could do to fund that program. And over the summer we had hearings and we met after the hearings in the early fall with the telephone company who have removed their opposition to the Bill now and are working...I want to congratulate them and thank them for their efforts on helping put this Bill together, working with the Board of Elections, with the LRB, with the Police Chiefs, Fire Chiefs and the interested parties in putting this Bill and Senate Amendment #1 becomes the Bill and it together.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

sets up a front door referendum for a surcharge on lines to fund the 911 program. Right now in the state, the program is funded out of the General Revenues for the county or the city or municipalities, and this is ground breaking legislation that would allow us now to put a surcharge as requested by front door referendum bill. And that was the opposition that was raised early in the spring by the phone companies. Thev since removed that opposition. The Bill sets up for that referendum for the board to be set up to administer the program and implement that program with the maximum charge being what was approved by the referendum. And I would happy to answer any questions dealing with House Bill 911."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative

 McCracken."
- McCracken: "I°m not opposed to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, but I see
 that this Bill is on Motions on Calendar 2 and Concurrence
 on Calendar 1. Same situation 1188 is in, I guess. Does
 the Gentleman have to take this from the table in order to
 proceed on concurrence?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright, the proper motion is to take it From Representative Brunsvold the table. moves that Sen... House Bill 911 be taken from the table. It has been exempt by rules. All those in favor signify by saying opposed *nay*• In the opinion of the Chair, the *aves* have it. The motion carries. The Bill has now been taken from the table and placed on the Order o f Concurrence. Further discussion? Representative The McCracken. Gentleman from Lake. Representative Matijevich. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."
- Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Klemm: "Representative Brunsvold, there are some areas, I think, in the state that have already started the 911 in some of the areas. How does this then affect their funding, where they had taken it from the counties or the cities, municipalities and their dollars? How does this refund or recoup for them what you're trying to do for the areas that don't have 911 now?"

Brunsvold: "Well, this would also affect, Representative Klemm, the areas that do have it. If they have a normal 911 system, they can improve that system and go to enhance 911, to improve that system. Now, this Bill does not dictate anything done on the local level. It's up to the local counties and municipalities to work out intergovernmental agreements to solve the problem you're talking about. is simply a method for them to acquire the funding for the program once it's approved by the voters. So, if you've got a county...or city that has it right now and they want to go countywide, then that city should meet with the other cities and the county and work out their problem so that they can go to referendum and solve their problem with what they have already."

Klemm: "Well, you're assuming they have problems. I'm going by
the assumption there are no problems where they're having
it. The City of Chicago has 911 right now."

Brunsvold: "Right."

Klemm: "Would they be able to go by referendum and start recovering on a surcharge or this extra fee the millions of dollars they've spent already on the program?"

Brunsvold: "No, they can't do that."

Klemm: "So, it's only for those who haven't gone through this before that will have the luxury of having a front door referendum and the tax extra add on."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Brunsvold: "Well, most... most referend... most 911 systems right now would be strictly just straight 911 without enhanced.

And they would want to go to an enhanced situation which would mean a lot more equipment at very high cost."

Klemm: "What of the voters turned down the referendum in the areas you're talking about it?"

Brunsvold: "If they turned it down, then they won't have 911 system."

Klemm: "Fine, fine, thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak."

"Mr... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Novak: I rise in support of this Bill and I'd like to commend the Representative from Milan. Representative the phone company and all the other interested Brunsvold. parties that worked out the differences in this Bill. Tt.c a very important Bill for the State of Illinois, for life We had public hearings around the state safety measures. and Representative Brunsvold took a bunch of people that were very interested in this to hearings around the state. And we had one in the City of Kankakee and we had verv substantial interest in it. We've had a substantial interest in it for quite some time because of funding And I believe this Bi 11 requires a verv mechanisms. equitable funding mechanism. Ιt gives the people the right, in a very democratic way, to say either yes or no to system that may some day save your son or your daughters lives or your relatives lives. So, I rise in support. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hensel."

Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Hensel: "I have a question. If this surcharge is approved by referendum how long will that surcharge be in effect or will that be on the referendum?"

"The surcharge will be in effect as long as they have Brunsvold: 911 The board that is appointed after the the svstem. referendum passes, would be in charge of administering the 911 system. And as long as they had a 911 system, that board would administer the money through surcharge to fund the program. And it could be lowered at any time and that will happen on every one of them usually. because the initial cost will be great for the equipment that's purchased then it will drop back to a maintenance cost of probably from 10 to 20 cents per Der month, or approximately between 2 and 3 dollars a vear."

Hensel: "Okay, so..."

Brunsvold: "Very minimal costs."

Hensel: "It... it will state on the referendum that's presented to the constituents then, how much the surcharge will be?"

Brunsvold: "Oh, yes. That... that... that in the Bill is left blank so that the locals of municipalities or counties can insert in there what it will cost them to implement the 911 system."

Hensel: "And then it could not exceed that but it could get lower in succeeding years?"

Brunsvold: "It will never exceed that amount and probably 100% would be less than that amount after the first two years."

Hensel: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. I rise also in support of this legislation. My
home community of Hoffman Estates has requested that I
support this legislation because they would like to

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

implement 911, but as a municipality they would have to assume major expenses throughout that area to implement it just for their own community. This legislation now allows it to be spread out among the other various municipalities in the county that would be interested in having this kind of program. So, I ask that you do pass and support this legislation."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich."
- Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Last spring the Law Enforcement Advisory Committee, which met on a weekly basis, made up of the various law agencies, wholeheartedly support this issue. And I commend Representative Brunsvold for the hard work you put in over the summer on this. And everybody should know that the law enforcement agencies did wholeheartedly endorse this in the spring. And I urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative

 Brunsvold, to close."
- "Thank you, Mr... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Brunsvold: In... just in closing. I would like to read the initial remarks on a article that appeared in a <u>Reader's Digest</u> a very It said, *the fast, fail-safe technology of time ago. enhance 911 systems is turning the telephone into the most effective lifesaver. crime fighter on the block. Does your community have one? And that's the issue can... we can pass this Bill and allow the citizens to institute a 911 system, which is a lifesaver, crime fighter for their neighborhood. And I ask for your support on House Bill 911."
- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, "Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 911?" All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed "nay". The voting

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Have all voted who wish? is open. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the On this question, there are 112 voting "ves", none record. voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House... the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 911. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've got a corrective procedural matter with the Bill that was discussed moments ago by Bruce Richmond. And I therefore move to suspend the rules, the posting notice on House Bill 1684, so that this Bill can be heard in the Rules Committee immediately. And to suspend the rules so that we can meet at the same time as the House. And this has been cleared on both sides."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Motion carries by the Attendance Roll Call. Rules Committee will please retire to the back of the chamber in the Speaker's conference room for immediate consideration of House 8111 1684, while the House is in Session. Supplemental Calendar #2 appears Senate Bill 1229 under motions. Representative Cullerton moves that the House suspend Rule 79 and place it on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table on Order of Nonconcurrence. Any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. Attendance Roll Call. Alright, the next motion now is that Representative Cullerton moves that refuse to recede to Senate Bill... Alright, we'll go to Supplemental #1. Supplemental #1 under Nonconcurrence appears Senate Bill 1229. Representative Cullerton now moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

#1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1229. Anv discussion? Hearing all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nav'. In the opinion of the Chair. the 'aves' And the House refuses to recede from House have it. Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1229 and a Conference Committee he appointed. Also on Supplemental #1, appears Senate Bill 1377. Representative Young. Representative Young moves that the House refuse to recede on House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1377. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House refuses to recede on House Amendments #1 and 1377 and a Conference Committee be appointed. Chair would now like to announce that the House Democrats to Room 114 for House Democratic Caucus immediately. Representative McCracken And MOVES that the Republicans go to Room 118 immediately for a Republican And we will return immediately Conference. conferences to the House floor. Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "Supplemental Calendar announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #4 has been distributed."

Speaker Breslin: "Committee Reports."

- Clerk O'Brien: "The Committee on Rules has met and pursuant to
 Rule 29c3, the following Bill has been exempt; House Bill
 1684."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to start Supplemental Calendar with Supplemental #4. £4_ Members should be in their seats and prepared to present these Conference Committee Reports. Representatives Curran, Flinn and Goforth, you have Bills on this order. Representative Har... Representative Goforth is the Member in the chamber, I guess ready to proceed at this

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

time. So, with leave of the Body, we'll go to Conference Committee Reports, on Supplemental #4. And the first Bill to be called... House Bill 2797. Representative Goforth moves that we suspend Rule 79d, which provides that Conference Committee Reports should be on our table for a minimum of... Representative Goforth.

Goforth: "Madam Speaker, take it out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 99.

Representative Curran. Representative Curran."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Curran: the House. Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 99 iust passed the Senate 55-0. The principal component parts have been agreed to by the Cook County State's Attorney. the Department of Children and Family Services, the Illinois Action for Children and I would sav that the language that had hung us up earlier, has been long since taken out and we*ve fashioned now a very streamlined, easy to get along with product. I don't think that there's any disagreement with either side of the aisle. And I for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran first asks to suspend Rule 79d so that House Bill 99 can be heard. Īs objection? Hearing none. the Gentleman has there anv leave. And he has moved that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 99. On that question. the Gentleman from DuPage. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "He're on the merits of the Conference Committee

Report?"

Speaker Breslin: "We are."

McCracken: "Yes, Representative Curran is correct, I thank him for his courtesies. The Bill is agreed upon and I°m in favor of it."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 99? All those in favor vote *ave*. all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. ſΩ this auestion. there are 110 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 99. Bill, having received the required Majority, is declared Representative Morrow wishes to be recorded as passed. voting "aye" on House Bill 99. Representative Cullerton is recognized for a motion. Representative Matijevich in the Chair."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I would move that we suspend Rule 79d, dealing
 with Conference Committees, so that we can consider the
 Conference Committees tonight."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton has moved that... unanimous consent of the House that the suspended on the subject of Conference Committee so all Conference Committee Reports can be heard tonight. Does he have leave? Leave. And we will use the Attendance Roll Call for that motion. With leave of the House I would like to introduce, next to Karen Hasara's seat who is the Administrative Director of the Supreme Courts, the Courts of Illinois, Sam Conti, in the The next Bill is House Bill 1055. Representative The Clerk will read the Mo... with leave of the Breslin. House, we will hear House Bill 1055. And we've already had Representative Breslin, the Lady from LaSalle."
- Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I move that we adopt the Second

 Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1055. This Bill

 now only includes and the provision that deals with the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Field Sanitation Act. The... at one time there was from the Farm Bureau. this Conference objection Ĭn Committee Report, the objection of the Farm Bureau has been the Bill removed because the... does bean walkers. What the Bill does is detasselers and to provides that field workers have ready access to washing facilities and sanitation facilities and contaminate-free materials so that they can protect own health and protect the health of you and I. who are consumers of the produce that they handle. It is supported by all parties at this point, the Department of Public Health. the Farm Worker Ministry Consortium, the Illinois Catholic Conference, the Illinois Nurses Association, Illinois AF of L-CIO. I think it is a good provision and a sten forward. And I certainly urge that we adopt this Conference Committee Report."

- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from LaSalle, Representative
 Breslin has moved for the adoption of the Second Conference
 Committee Report on House Bill 1055. On that, the
 Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."
- Ewing: "Representative, how... does this apply to just anybody you have working the fields? What are the numbers? What's the..."
- Breslin: "This covers any individual who is engaged in employment involving the harvesting, planting or cultivating of food products bν manual labor. The term nurserv worker* does not include individuals whose *agricultural principal occupation is not agricultural employment, unless such individuals are required to be away from their permanent place of residence overnight. In particular, it primarily applies to migrant farm workers."
- Ewing: "And so if we have... if you have a group of migrant workers walk your bean fields, it's going to apply to

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

them?"

Breslin: "It certainly is. And that's what the current law applies to. Sir."

Ewing: "Well, why are you tightening it up then? Why do we have this if we already have that? I'm not talking about beans you eat, I'm talking about soybeans."

Breslin: "Soybeans. Yes. I know..."

Ewing: "Yes, soybeans."

Breslin: "It does not apply to bean walkers or to detasselers, Sir."

Ewing: "You say it does not?"

Breslin: "It does not." No, it does not. That has been amended out. The Farm Bureau has gone neutral on the Bill."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1055?* Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? On this question, there are 92 'ayes', 14 'nays', 1 answering this motion. having *present*. And received the Constitutional Majority, the House does adopt the Second Committee Report Bill 1055. Conference on House Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 1188. Representative Harris.

Representative Frederick, for what reason do you rise? Do

you wish to present this Bill? Proceed, Representative

Frederick."

Frederick: "Yes. Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House. I think my lead Sponsor was just going to

relinquish to me. So..."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Proceed."

Frederick: "I move that the House do adopt Conference Report...

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1188. The main change that was put on the Bill by the Senate was a change from 10 years to deteriorated condition of a mobile home. So, I move that the House do adopt First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves that the House adopt the First
Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1188. All those
in... On that question, is there any discussion? The
Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Novak."

Novak: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Will the Sponsor yield please?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Novak: "Representative, what are the Mobile Home Association feel about this Conference Report?"

Frederick: "The communications..."

Novak: "... The Mobile Home Park Owner's Association..."

Frederick: "I believe the Mobile Home Park Owner's are against the Bill."

Novak: "... They are against..."

Frederick: "However, the mobile home owner's are much in favor of this Bill. It's a much needed redress of injuries that have been suffered by the mobile home owners."

Novak: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Klemm: "Virginia, I was reading this and I just had a question on that, if you were to visit my mobile home and we're having dinner, and I said, 'yes, I would like to sell this mobile home'. And you said, 'yeah, I'd like to buy it'. And I sold it to you. How would I have gotten, prior to the sale, how would the park owner have known that prior to the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

sale that he had given me written notice to correct any deficiencies to move this thing or to do anything that it says here, because I don't have to talk to him before I sell it to you?"

Frederick: "That's correct."

Klemm: "I mean, how could I live up to... how could I live up to that?"

- Frederick: "Well, the Mobile Home Park Owner would not be allowed, as is happening today in great numbers, of removing a home from the park unless the home is less than 12 feet wide, unless it's in a very seriously deteriorated condition. What's going on now..."
- Klemm: "But... but... but, that's true, but only prior to the sale I had to give you notice. If I don't know you were going to sell your home, how would I have come to you and said, 'here's a notice just in case you're going to sell it'. I mean is that what the park owner would have to do? A park has a hundred mobile homes, it would be best that on the first day of the year, he sends a notice to everybody saying, 'you better fix it up, because I don't know when you're going to sell your mobile home'."
- Frederick: "Well, I think now, under the law, a mobile home owner
 has a right to sell his home whenever he chooses. I don't
 think that's any different."
- Klemm: "No, but you're... but you're saying that the park owner

 Is prohibited from doing anything unless he gives you
 notice prior to your selling it, but you don't tell him
 when you're going to sell it. So, how can he know when
 you're going to sell it to give you notice?"
- Frederick: "Well, I think you do. You must get a notice..."
- Klemm: "You know, but I think it's unfair to a park owner who is trying to clean up his park, to get rid of a run-down, rat-ridden mobile home..."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Frederick: "Well, under this Bill..."

- Klemm: "... When you don't give him notice, that's all. But, I understand what you're doing. I'm not opposing your Bill.

 I don't think it was drafted very well to be honest with you."
- Frederick: "Well, I... I really think that it's going to correct
 a lot of abuses that have gone on in our parks. Maybe you
 haven't had that problem in your district, but there
 certainly are problems like this around the state."
- Klemm: "Because of your integrity and knowing you wouldn't sponsor a poor Bill, I'll certainly vote for it, but I think you should look at it closer."

Frederick: "Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no furthe... Representative Harris.

 Ladies and Gentlemen. I would remind you that it is 5:30 on
 a Friday night, and there are many, many lights still
 flashing. Representative Harris."
- Harris: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of T would iust like to address myself Representative Klemm's question. Right now. Representative Klemm, the park owner has the authority to remove that park arbitrarily as... under current law. So, this actually tightens it up a little bit and gives the mobile home owner a little bit more... a little bit more chance of avoiding that arbitrariness. The short end of the stick right is being held by the mobile home owner at the discretion of park owner and this just sort of evens it out a little bit. And I hope that answers the question."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich."
- Panayotovich: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Panavotovich: "Representative, we talked about this a little ago, and having the only trailer park in the City of Chicago, it's definitely a concern of the tenants park. and I understand that they want this passed. The problem that I had and I guess maybe not even a question for the record. that you will agree to if you would, that in part of this legislation you talk significantly deteriorated or in substantial disrepair. Okay, now, doesn't say what happens, what legal rights, where do they go, what kind of enforcement we have. Hould d you be able to, maybe in the spring, to sit down and this. because according to the mobile home owners. this could be in litigation in the courts for and they could either be thrown out of the park or vears not be thrown out of the park and not have a place to live. So, would... could we work on this in the spring and I think it would take care of a lot of the concerns of the people on the floor?"

Frederick: "As I told you, Representative Panayotovich, I'd be
very glad to work with you in trying to iron out this
problem that you see with the Bill."

Panayotovich: "I... I see no problem with the Bill. It's a good

Bill. The people out in the communities want it. They're

talking about a 12 foot trailer, there's very few 10 foot

trailers even left and most of them got to be 34 years

old...30 or 40 years old. So, I move to... I urge an 'aye'

vote to adopt this Conference Court (sic) Report."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

Leverenz. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady will yield to a question.

Representative Frederick."

Leverenz: "What is in the Conference Committee Report because I'm

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

asking a question as we heard a couple of weeks ago, when a Legislator stood on this floor and very impassionately said, they snuck it through at the last minute and that person was promised that it would never happen again. The same thing is happening here. The Sponsor of the Bill agreed that he would not hear it or deal with it until the spring. So, please explain the Conference Committee Report."

Frederick: "Actually, Representative Leverenz, the Bill was not discussed on the floor on Concurrence, because we adjourned What the Conference Committee before that could happen. Report says, is the park owner is prohibited from requiring upon the sale by a tenant of a mobile home to a qualified purchaser, the removal from the park of mobile home unless the mobile home is less than 12 feet wide or is significantly deteriorated and in substantial in which case the park owner shall bear the burden of demonstrating such fact and must, prior to the tenant written notice thereof, and that have given unless first corrected, removal will be required UDOD sale. Now the reason this legislation is necessary is because only last week in downstate Illinois, 500 home met in a meeting and one after the other complained about the fact that a park owner came to their door one day and said. 'tomorrow we're pulling your trailer out of These were senior citizens and disabled people in park*. some cases, and it really wasn't fair to the mobile home owner, in my opinion. So, I'm hoping that this legislation will correct the abuse that I see."

Leverenz: "What are the standards that are in the Conference

Committee Report?"

Frederick: "I don't understand what you mean by that?"

Leverenz: "Well, you said deteriorated, who proves that? Who

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

side is it to prove that?"

Frederick: "That... that... that language was decided on in the

Senate and I do not know what the standards are that

requ... that define deteriorated. That was the language

of the..."

Leverenz: "...It has... it in effect has no standards."

Frederick: "Pardon me."

Leverenz: "It in fact has no standards."

Frederick: "It passed the Senate."

Leverenz: "It has no standards, is that correct?"

Frederick: "I do not know whether it does or not."

Leverenz: "Why don't you take it out of the record until you can answer that question?"

Frederick: "I do not want to take it out of the record at this time. I'd like to vote on the Bill. If I might please."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion.

Representative Frederick to close."

Frederick: "Yes, I'm just asking that we adopt Conference

Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1188."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1188? those in favor vote "ave", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. Seventy-one votes are required for passage or for adoption of this Conference Committee Report. This is final passage. This is final passage. Have all voted The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 93 voting 'aye', 12 voting 'no' voting 'present'. This Bill... Representative Parcells wishes to vote *aye*. There are 94 voting *aye*, 12 voting 'no', 7 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the required extraordinary majority, is hereby declared passed. And the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 18... 1188. House Bill

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Representative Flinn. Monroe Flinn. Representative Flinn. Representative Flinn, do you wish to have your Bill called? Proceed, Sir."

- flinn: "Madam Speaker, I would move to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report of House **BI11** 274B-Ιt originally dealt with the fees for the recorder of the county. We've added in now that another fee be charged in cases of arbitration. It will go to the arbitration fund. the state arbitration fund. That's all the Bill does. T+ kind of mixed up in the Spring Session, we didn't get And I would move for the adoption, and try to back to it. answer any questions if there are any ... "
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2748. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To... or will the Sponsor yield? This creates a surcharge to be used for the purpose of funding arbitration?"
- Flinn: "Yes. A \$5 fee and only one \$5 fee even if there's more than one participant in the arbitration case. It's a one \$5 fee goes into the arbitration fund and, what was going on before, such a fee went to the general fund, now it goes into an arbitrary fund... arbitration fund rather, which would be used for that purpose and that purpose only."

McCracken: "Okay. I didn't hear a word you said."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon..."

Flinn: "Well, I can hardly hear myself, either."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn,"

Dunn: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All
those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The main question is put. The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2748? All those in favor vote "ave". all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. Seventy-one votes are required. This is final nassage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted will take the record. who wish? The Clerk Πn this 112 voting 'ave', I voting 'no' and question. there are none voting 'present'. The House does adopt the First Conference Committee... the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2748, as this Bill has received the extraordinary majority required, and this Bill is declared On the Order of Motions appears House Representative McPike. The Gentleman is not chamber-Representative Goforth, do you wish to go back to vour Bill? No. Out of the record. Representative Bowman. On Supplemental #1, under the Order of Motions on the reverse side. appears Senate Bill 1470. Representative Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "I move to suspend Rule 37g until the deadline for Senate Bills in spring of 1988... 1988 Session."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Bowman: "Thank you, House. This is a very important Bill. It is a 8111 that funding the unfunded would require liabilities of the various public pension systems over an extended The problem with the Bill being taken up at this time is that it does cost money. There's no question about that. I believe we are all very sensitive on the subject of funding at this point. We'll be considering legislation later for Supplemental Appropriations. I believe this is a matter that is best considered in the cold light of a new Consequently, I now move to extend Session.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1937

until next spring."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to extend the deadline until next spring for Senate Bill 1470. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Where is this..."

Speaker Breslin: "It's on Supplemental #1 under the Order of Motions."

McCracken: "No, no, no, no, I'm sorry. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

McCracken: "Representative, where is this on the Calendar? If
this were to be returned to its place on the Calendar,
where is it. Third Reading?"

Bowman: "Third Reading, yes, Sir."

McCracken: "Okay. What is it that is stopping us from either deciding to act on this or to vote it down? I mean, why... why are we waiting? I mean, we have the money or we don't.

We know what we're going to do. Why are we putting it off another six months?"

Bowman: "Well, I believe what I would like, it is my hope that by delaying it that the Governor might be able to accommodate this in his budget for next spring."

McCracken: "Well, are you counting on a tax increase to fund this? If we let this go now and don't kill it tonight, is it going to come back to haunt us and be one of the reasons we're asked to vote for a tax increase in the spring to fund these liabilities? Is that what you're doing, Representative Bowman? Are you plotting against us?"

Bowman: "Why, Representative McCracken, I am surprised at you.

Of course not."

McCracken: "I was just kidding. I wanted to wake up the crowd."

Bowman: "Thank you."

McCracken: "But what is... Seriously, what is the point of

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

putting it off? Either we have the money or we don't. We're not going to have it in the spring because we don't have it now. And no projections are optimistic. I mean the only way we're going to have the money is if we vote for a tax increase."

Bowman: "Well, I think there are a couple of reasons. Number put your finger on one of them, maybe there be additional funds. Number will two. the Governor. between now and then, the Governor will come in with a new So, with or without additional funds, he will have budaet. the option of considering whether he would like to forward with a program like this and I certainly would like to give him that option. Thirdly, the stock market crashed about a week ago, and I think we ought to have some time to assess the effect of the stock market crash on public pension portfolios."

McCracken: "Is it true that none of our pension monies are invested in the stock market, as such? I mean, I heard that somewhere. Do you know if that's correct?"

Bowman: "No. I don't believe that's correct at all."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House suspend Rule 37g until Senate Bills in the spring of 1988 for the deadline for Senate Bill 1470?* All those in favor say "ave". all all those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote *no*. Voting is open. Seventy-one votes... 60 votes are required. Sixty votes are required for the adoption of this motion. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. wish? On this question. 70 voting 'aye', 41 voting 'no' and 2 voting there are *present* and the motion is adopted. On Supplemental under the Order of Motions appears House Bill

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Representative McPike. Mr. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk Leone: "I move to take from the table, suspend Rule 79e and
place on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on the Order
of Conference Committees, House Bill 1684."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Why thank you, Madam Speaker. And I so move."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved... You have heard the Gentleman's motion. Is there any discussion? Mr. Clerk, you tell me when you're ready for a vote. Excuse me, Representative Hultgren, on the question?"

Hultgren: "I couldn't hear the motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The motion is shall we take House Bill from the tahla. suspend Rule 79e and place it on the on the Speaker's Table under Calendar the Order Conference Committee Reports. The question is. *Shall that adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair. 'aves' have it. And by use of the Attendance Roll Call, House Bill 1684 will be taken from the table and placed on Order of Conference Committee Reports. Ladies and the Gentlemen, we're going now to Supplemental #2. Ωn the side under the Order of Motions appears House Bill Representative McPike. Out of the record. Bill 2070. Representative Satterthwaite. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk Leone: "I move to take from the table and suspend Rule 79e
and place on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on the
Order of Conference Committee Reports, House Bill 2070."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker, do we have leave to use the

Attendance Roll Call to approve that motion?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Do we have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

to approve that motion?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite asks leave with use of the Attendance Roll Call to take House Bill 1859 from the Table..."

Satterthwaite: "No."

Speaker Breslin: "... Suspend Rule 79e and place it on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table, excuse me, this is House Bill 27, and place House Bill 27... 2070 on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Does she have leave? Hearing no objection...Representative McCracken objects. Representative McCracken on the question, would you wish to debate it?"

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Apparently, what we thought was agreed among the parties is not agreed among the parties. So, I'm going to ask my friends on this side of the aisle not to vote in support of this motion to take from the table or any others. So, I hope you'll stay with me on these. We have to stick together. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite to close."

Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker, I don't know what the furor is the aisle. This is the other side of Committee Report that, to mγ knowledge, noncontroversial. It simply sets up a technical task force on community mental health services and asks that that task force examine wavs in which community mental health services may be funded, monitored and administered. Those you who were present in the committee hearing that was held Wednesday morning, dealing with the problems of institutional and community mental health, should be much aware that this in fact needs to be done. The Director the Department of Mental Health indicated that they do not have a means of monitoring community services at this time. And so it would seem to me that all of us should be in

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

to establish this task force. The task force is agreement composed of the Director of the Bureau of the Budget or his the Director o f the Mental Health Developmental Disabilities Department, the Director of the Commission on Intergovernmental Cooperation. the the Citizens Assembly and 8 Members of the General Assembly designated by the Leadership of the General Assembly. If people have reasons why we should not be able to establish this task force, I would be happy to hear them. But, to me all indications are that it is much needed that this task force immediately get about its work. It is to report back by May of next year and unless we pass it is going to be very difficult for them to do today. this study...."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is..."

Satterthwaite: "I would ask for your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, *Shall the House take House Rill 2070 from the table, suspend Rule 79e and place this Bill on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports? All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Seventy-one votes are required for the passage, or the adoption, of this motion. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative McGann, one minute to explain your vote-"

McGann: "Thank you... thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the Assembly. I'll address my remarks to both sides of the aisle. If I could have your attention for a moment. The subcommittee...Committee on the delivery of mental care took great length, took an awful lot of hours debating this piece of legislation. The Department of Mental Health, the institutions are in a problem, a great problem. We are losing federal dollars. We have to stop it.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

get in and get a study made to find out what's really going on. And the only way we can do it, is through this piece of legislation. I would ask you to please reconsider and bring enough votes on the board to let us get our job done. It's very, very important, because we are losing dollars and everyone..."

- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 61 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And the motion fails. House Bill 2276. Representative Younge. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "I move to take from the table, suspend Rule 79e and
 place on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on the Order
 of Conference Committee Reports House 3ill 2276."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I ask leave to use the Attendance
 Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Younge has moved that we take
 House Bill 2276 from the table, suspend Rule 79e and place
 it on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table under the Order
 of Conference Committee Reports. And on that question, is
 there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,
 'Shall the House take this Bill from the table and place it
 on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?' All those
 in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting
 is open. Seventy—one votes are required. Representative
 Younge, one minute to explain your vote."
- Younge: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill would establish a loan fund for flood victims that were victimized in the flood. And I ask for the other votes to make this 71. I want to take this from the table and have a Conference Committee set up. Attached to the Bill, is a Housing Assistance Fund. This will be taken out of the Bill and it

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

will simply be a... a... a Bill to permit the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to establish a loan fund for flood victims. There are many people in our state who were elderly and who were damaged by the flood in October of 1986. And there is need for this legislation. And I ask for your support."

- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 60 voting 'aye', 53 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And the motion fails. House Bill 2746. Representative Flinn. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "I move to take from the table, suspend Rule 79e and place on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports House Bill 2746."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flinn."
- Flinn: "Madam Speaker, I move to take from the table. This is a
 Bill which is really being used as a vehicle by
 Representative Steczo. And if it requires any explanation
 it deals with park districts. And he'd be happy to
 explain... so if there are any questions, I will yield to
 the Gentleman from Cook."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to take House Bill 2746
 from the table, suspend Rule 79e and place it on the
 Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee
 Reports. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook,
 Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Madam Speaker, I just make the motion to take from the table."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House take this Bill from the table, place it on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is open. Seventy—one votes are required. Clerk,

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

On this question, there are 113 voting take the record. 'aye', none voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. House does take House Bill 2746 from the table, suspends Rule 79e and places this Bill on the Order of Conference your Bill... Committee Reports. Representative Steczo. Conference Committee Report has vour to appear Calendar. Now. the Chair will recognize Representative Satterthwaite to make a motion that has been agreed to both sides of the aisle. Representative Satterthwaite.**

- Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker, I move to take 3 Bills together.

 House Bill 1859, House Bill 2070 and House Bill 2276. It's

 agreed that we will move to take those Bills from the table

 and place them before the House."
- Speaker Breslin: "The motion before you, Ladies and Gentlemen, is, "Shall the House take House Bill 1859, 2070 and 2276 from the table and place them on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?" And on that question, the Gentleman from Dupage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of the motion. We had some difficulties on our understanding of the agreement and we've hammered those out. So, I think we should move ahead."
- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you. All those in... you've heard All those in favor say "ave", all those Ladv's motion. opposed sav *no*. In the opinion of the Chair, the And by use of the Attendance Roll Call, these 3 Bills will be placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. The nevt R:11 is Senate B111 1229. Representative... or Mr. Clerk, has that motion alreadv heen heard? Supplemental #2 is what we've been working Senate Bill 1229 has been handled. Senate Bill 1416 is the last Bill to be called. then Representative Capparelli. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

82nd Legislative Day

- November 6. 1987
- Clerk Leone: "I move to suspend Rule 79e and place on the Calendar on the Order of Conference Committee Reports,

 Senate Bill 1416."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli."
- Capparelli: "Madam Speaker, may I have leave for the Attendance
 Roll Call?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave for use of the Attendance Roll Call to suspend Rule 79e and place Senate Bill 1416... 1416 on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave by use of the Attendance Roll Call. Representative Younge, for what reason do you rise?"
- Younge: "Yes, Madam Speaker, in reference to House Bill 2276,
- Speaker Breslin: "We have already accepted your motion, Madam, on one roll call."
- Younge: "Right. I move that the First Conference Committee

 Report not be accepted and ask for a Second Conference

 Committee be established."
- Speaker Breslin: "I'm afraid we can't do that until the Bill appears on the Order of Conference Committee Reports and we do not have it on a Calendar yet. When it gets on the Calendar, I'll recognize you for that motion. Ladies and Gentleman, on Supplemental Calendar #2, under Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1923 by Representative Steczo. Representative Steczo is recognized."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A question of the Chair."
- Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."
- Steczo: "Do... Has a motion been made to hand...to...to deal with all Bills, of which Conference Committee Reports are on the table, to exempt them from rules, or do I have to make the specific motion, to allow it to be heard now?"
- Speaker Breslin: "No. A general motion has been made for all

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Bills that are Conference Committees Reports.

Steczo: "Okay, thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House, I would move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1923. The Bill simply provides that the Comptroller, when provided an audit by a unit of if that audit does not meet established government. standards, that audit can be rejected. And it just simply provides that those audits shall comply with generally accepted auditing standards. There's no opposition, and in fact, support from the Illinois CPA Society, and in fact, no opposition to the Bill. So, I would move for the adoption, Madam Speaker, of the Second Conference Committee to House Bill 1923."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1923. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none. the Gentleman... the question... hearing none, the question is, *Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 20... on House Bill 1923? All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. This is final passage. Have all voted who Voting is open. wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will record. On this question, there are 108 voting "ave", none voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the required majority, the Bill is declared passed and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1923. Ladies and Gentlemen, on page 2 Calendar under the Order of Constitutional VOUL Amendments Third Reading appears HJRCA 6. Representative Rea's Amendment. Mr. Clerk, read this on Third Reading." "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #6, Clerk Leone:

offered by Representative Rea, proposes to amend the Legislative Composition and Session Sections of the State

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Constitution to provide that beginning in 1992, Senators shall be elected for 6 year and 4 year staggered terms and Representatives shall be elected for 4 year and 2 year staggered terms. Provides that within the same legislative district, no senatorial terms shall conclude in the midst of a Representative term, effective upon adoption by the voters.

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea."

- Rea: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Joint Resolution 6. Constitutional Amendment. would change the terms of office of the Senate to a 6 year a 4 year term, staggered terms within a ten year period. And Representatives would be elected to 4 year and 2 year staggered terms. There would be two 4 year terms 2... and a one 2 year term during that period of time. This would take effect in 1992 after the reapportionment. There has been many people throughout the State of Illinois that have said that the terms of office should be changed. That it's very expensive, that people are campaigning a11 And that it would provide much more time for the time. them to do the work that they are elected to do and to devote as much time to campaigning and would not be nearly as expensive, not only to the candidate public. I also t o the think i+ * c pretty well self-explanatory and would certainly ask for your support."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 6. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in support of HJRCA 6. I think all of us continually are hearing from our constituents, why are you continually running? And it seems like everytime you turn

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

around you're either running or passing out petitions or one thing or another. This is something that I think is long over due. It's something that will not affect your Senators, where obviously, the Senators would be a little bit concerned that you would be in mid... they'd be up... and you'd be in midterm. They would be running the exact same time you would, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote on the HJRCA 6."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative

Johnson: "With all due respect to both the previous speakers, both good Legislators and good friends. I think thev*re dead wrong on this issue. The reason the framers of the United States Constitution 200 years ago, and the reason framers of the Illinois Constitution as we've revised it from time to time, made provisions for 2 year terms precisely the reasons that this Amendment ought to be defeated, and that is that we ought to be accountable t o our constituents. Sure, people ask you why you're running every two years and my response is, because we ought to accountable to the voters every two years for the job that We have two chambers here and in Congress. we've done. And each one of those chambers reflects terms that reflect a variance in philosophy, and that is that the Senate is a for the most part a body ... a body where the terms are longer and the House is one where the terms and more subject to continual public scrutiny. Ŧ don't think too many people mind running every 2 years. ĭ don't think they mind being subject to public scrutiny. Ţ don't think they mind putting their credentials before public and given the re-election rate in this state, over the course of the last 20 years, I don't think should fear too greatly as a practical matter running every

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

two years as well. So, with all due respect to those people, I think this is a step away from the people. If you vote for it, and I think a 'no' vote is one that reflects what would ultimately be, I think the will of your constituents."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Kane• Representative
 Kirkland•"
- Kirkland: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will... Representative

 Johnson took the words right out of my mouth, so I guess

 that makes it 2-2 so far, but I wonder if the Sponsor would

 vield for a guick guestion?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

- Kirkland: "Curious if other states have enacted this kind of legislation if so, as yet, do you know, and how many?"
- Rea: "I really don't know. I do know that this has been brought to our attention of the people, not just in my area but throughout the state, and it has been a great concern to them because of the... every time you're running they look up where you're running for re-election."

Kirkland: "Okay, thanks."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

- Ropp: "One quick question. Why is it that you think the Senate ought to have only 6 years? I mean, why shouldn't they just have 4... 4-2 like they currently do?"
- Rea: "Well, this has been suggested also by the people and the U.S. Senate is for 6 years, so I think that this would work out. And I might also remind you that this would only place this issue on the ballot."
- Ropp: "Well, I think that has merit in allowing the people to choose and a lot of people say, well, were running all the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

time, but certainly it gives greater indication that we are attempting to be more responsive to those citizens who are concerned about what we are doing in this body. And I certainly think, even though I think it would be a good idea to allow the citizens to vote, again I doubt if the Senate will ever approve this. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Rea: "Yes."

Weaver: "Representative Rea, will you correct me if I'm wrong,

but should this House Joint Resolution pass, this would

still be subject to a vote by the people of Illinois?"

Rea: "That is correct. It would be a referendum and it requires

60% of the vote..."

Weaver: "... So, if some of the pre... if some of the previous speakers were concerned about citizens input on this issue, this is exactly what they want to see."

Rea: "That's exactly right and it would take it to the people and they would be the ones that would decide."

Weaver: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the Hou... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich."

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of states that the House. There are other do have program that it seems to be working out. What we should say, as one of the previous speakers said, don't mind Me going out campaigning every 2 years. But, our constituents mind it every 2 years. They're the ones telling us, you're You're at my door again. Leave me alone. back again. Come in another 2 vears. think vears. Representative Rea said it right, we're talking about the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

people will decide. It's a referendum, 60% of the vote is needed. Let them decide if they want their elected officials of Illinois to have staggered terms of 4-4-2 and 6-4, let them have their right to decide how we should be elected. And I urge "ave" votes."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt House

 Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #6?' All those
 in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting
 is open. Seventy-one votes are required. Representative

 Hultgren, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, to explain my vote. I'm not sure that I agree with the concept of this Amendment, but I have had a number of constituents express a preference for lengthening the term of office. Since this is only a Resolution, which would put this question on the ballot, I think the voters have a right to determine the nature of the Constitution. I think that they should have an opportunity to vote on this on the ballot."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman, one minute to explain your vote."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think that probably it isn't a bad idea to get some concept or get a good concept on the ballot. Whether they support it or not, give the opportunity to the voters. However, I think the difficulty is to create a 6 year term in the Senate is just too long.

 And I don't think that this is in the proper form. For that reason, I cast a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "Seventy-one votes are required. Have all voted wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 74 voting *no* none voting 'present'. 39 voting and And the Representative Davis. Resolution is adopted. Ladies and Gentlemen. on page 3 on your Calendar, under Total Veto

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Motions appears Senate Bill 670. We heard it earlier in the day. There was a commitment to come back to it. Read the motion. Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 670.

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 670. I move that the House concur with

the Senate in the passage of Senate Bill 670. the veto of
the Governor notwithstanding."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We're asking that 670 receive an override vote.

All we're asking is that the personal care attendants be paid twice per month rather than once per month. I think everybody realizes that the people that they serve help to keep the cost of welfare down. We're asking for an override of this Bill or veto."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to override the Governor's

Veto of Senate Bill 670. And on that question, the

Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. This
Bill hasn't gotten any better with age. I think this is
probably the third time we've called this Bill in the last
few days and debated it. It was a bad idea the first time,
a bad idea the second time. It's still a bad idea now, I
urge it be defeated."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Ropp: "How many individuals are we actually talking about that will be paid under this provision?"

Davis: "Approximately 4,000."

Ropp: "4,000?"

Davis: "Yes."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Ropp: "Do you know how many state employees we have in the State of Illinois that get paid twice a month?"

Davis: "I know the secretaries are paid twice per month."

Ropp: "Is it close to 4.000?"

Davis: "... probably the housekeepers..."

Ropp: don't have 4,000 secretaries, do we? Okay, I think, I know this is a situation that someone has said, well, it's going to cost a million dollars or so, just to re-program. I know we've got a position over here, but, it seems to me like we've got a number of people who, in fact, do receive payment twice a month. And this is an area that I think some justification. As you all recall, if the fact that it costs to program then, a rather substantial in dollars, the Legislature themselves, a number increase of years ago, we're paid once a year. So, if that was cost effective then, why did they go back to paying once month, which I think is certainly a good idea and should be So, this is an interesting concept and these few done. people, 4,000, undoubtedly need this extra money."

Davis: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative
Black."

Black: "Thank you very much. Madam Speaker. To the Bill. think the Lady has a good idea. I think she is very well... has good intentions. But, as the previous speakers have said, unfortunately, if we piecemeal this, we're going to have some problems. Obviously, there are other groups, I think, that should well come to us and like to be paid on a biweekly basis as well. And I think you've made a very good point yesterday, when you talk about electronic fund transfers and computers. I think this could be done. And I think it could be done for a very reasonable cost. only reason, Representative, that I can't join you in

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

supporting this Bill is the fact that I think we're piecemealing something that may very well need to be studied for all state employees. And I think you've hit upon a very good idea. And I'm sorry that I can't support your Bill at this time."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker and Representative Davis, we McCracken: are... we are great fans of yours, and you have all of our respect. So, when I speak to the Bill, I'm speaking only to the Bill and to the process by which it comes to our attention 3 times for a final vote. We debated this morning and the Chair did not rule in our favor, but we think it's an improper procedure and not consistent democracy, and not consistent with the deliberative process keep bringing Bills back, ad nauseum, to wear down the opposition until hopefully, a few arms can be twisted. And to call it again and again and again. is an abuse the I don't blame you Representative, you are an advocate for that Bill, but the Chair should know The Chair is up there for both sides of the aisle. foist upon us repeatedly, final votes which are in... in... in... in truth. no more than motions to reconsider a vote. is just wrong. And we talked about it this morning. And you are still in error, Madam."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis, to close."

Davis: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Gentleman who just spoke. First of all, this issue is so very important. The people who are served by these public personal care attendants are the developmentally disabled. They are the These workers help to keep those two groups of elderly. people off of welfare. The reason that I'm so concerned is because I happen to know some people who

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

are very happy when that attendant comes. chairs and who gets them out of bed, gets them dressed, pushes them out the door, so that they can go to work. I know some elderly senior citizens who are very happy when that attendant comes to wash her clothes, who she won't see for to support Senate Bill 670. The reason I'm verv happy these poor workers want to be paid twice per month because they only earn \$3.35 and the checks are usually late. Now for you or I, who get a check for over \$2,000 month, plus other cash, it's much easier to live from month and our checks are not late. Now, these people to month cannot continue to call the utility company and state is late in sending my check. The department does not use a feathered pen and ink to write these checks. suggest that you try and imagine yourselves in a wheel senior citizen. chair. imagine vourselves as а Ask yourself if you want someone to come and assist you at some point, to help you have a better life. And I urge those of you with some empathy, to vote for an override. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House override the Governor's Veto of Senate Bill 670?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Seventy-one votes are required. Shall this Bill pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding? Representative Turner, one minute to explain your vote."

Turner: "Thank you. Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I commend the Lady in her efforts Bill. it's particular think a great piece legislation. I'm reminded of the fact that the General Assembly. I guess some years ago, used to get paid once a year. I think we've come a long way from being paid once a year to once a month. I think a better Bill... better... I

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

think a thing that would make this Bill a little better, if we were to amend it, where some of the General Assembly Members were also to be able to get paid twice a month. Some of us are full time Legislators. When the 25th of the month rolls around it gets a little tough on us, but, for that reason, I'm still going to support this legislation. I think next spring, I'll come back with some additional Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Seventy-one votes are required. Representative

 Stern, one minute to explain your vote."
- Stern: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, it is my understanding that the Comptroller has said that this change would cost minimally, that it would barely be noticeable because of the computerized processes he pursues. I think that this is the kind of issue on which you could certainly bring yourselves to vote 'aye', if you have a heart in your breast. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 69 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no' and 4 voting 'present'. Representative Braun, for what reason do you rise?"
- Braun: "Madam Speaker, I was going to request a Poll of the Absentees, but I think that in light of the fact that this Bill is near passage, that to reiterate...I wanted to explain my vote, to reiterate Representative Davis' comments. This is a humane Bill and it is an appropriate Bill and we'd like to have..."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady... The Lady has requested a Poll of the Absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A poll of those not voting. Doederlein and Frederick. No further."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? There are 69 voting

82nd Legislative Day

Speaker Breslin:

November 6, 1987

aye, 40 voting *no* and 4 voting *present*. Since the Bill requires 71 votes and it does not have 71 votes, Representative McNamara, for what reason do you rise?"

McNamara: "Yes, I was just wondering, how am I voted on this Bill?"

Speaker Breslin: "You are voting 'aye'."

"No.

McNamara: "Oh, yes. Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "There are 69 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no' and 4 voting 'present'. And the motion fails. Representative Curran. Representative Saltsman, for what reason do you rise?"

Saltsman: "Being as we're still on page 3 could we have 834 called?"

Sir, you have to file such a motion and

then I will have to make a determination as to whether it is dilatory. Supplemental Calendar Announcement." Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #5 is being distributed." Breslin: "Supplemental Speaker Calendar #5 has now been distributed. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1684. Representative... Representative Representative McPike, I understand that 1684 been distributed. The House has suspended the 3 day rule for Conference Committee Reports to be on our desk.

therefor present House Bill 1684.

they need another couple of minutes.

and go to the Pension Bills. Okay? The first Bill on th

Conference Committee Reports dealing with pensions that I'd

take those out of...that one out of the record then

like to call, is House Bill 2715. Representative Wolf.

Representative McCracken. House Bill 2715, Representative

Wolf."

We'll

mav

Wolf: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I would defer to Representative Cullerton who will speak on

Oh, excuse me.

on

We'll wait

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

- "Thank you. Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Cullerton: the House. Madam Speaker, I'd like to address the issue of pension legislation and explain to the Members of the House what the Conference Committee has done. We have taken of the Bills that affected various systems, I think there's eighteen different systems throughout the state and we put them into three separate Bills. I would point out that these Bills have all just passed the Senate too, with no dissenting votes and the other one overwhelmingly. did was to take them and put them into three separate because they...they logically are grouped in three separate areas. The first one, the one that we're 2715, is a Bill that deals with basically Cook County and House Bill 2712, deals with the five state funded Chicago. retirement systems. And Senate Bill 236 deals with fire. police and the Illinois Retirement Fund. I want to explain what I think important, and that is the process. The Senate passed a good number of Pension Bills over tο the House. Those Bills were put...were in effect held and we kept a number of vehicles. We asked everyone who was involved..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Cullerton. There is
 a clicking noise coming from this side of the building.
 Would you...whatever is causing it, would you please
 arrange for it to discontinue? Thank you. Proceed,
 Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you. We asked the various individuals affected
 by a change in the pension system, that is various
 municipalities and employer groups, to sit down and to
 attempt to work out an agreement among themselves. And
 indeed in every case, that has happened and there have been

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

that interest groups have signed off. example. with the downstate funds. the Illinois Municipal League met over the summer with the various unianc representing the firemen and the policemen and they reached an agreement. So. this particular 8111 deals Chicago police. the Chicago municipal. Chicago labor. Cook Chicago teachers. County employees and the Metropolitan Sanitary District. I would point out that the Chicago fire system is not included in here and the reason for that is, as I've explained, we required that understanding and an agreement before we would agree to put the Bill into Conference Committee. On the issue αf Chicago Fire Pension System, there was no agreement. the As a result, it's not in. There are various including administrative changes in the Bill, but the point wanted to emphasize of course, was that in this case the City of Chicago Administration signed off on these changes after negotiations with the unions and the retirees from the various systems. I'd be happy to answer anv questions. I would appreciate your support.*

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee #1, to House Bill 2715. And on that question the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of you, the House. Lest there be some confusion about the process these Bills were arrived at. let me perhaps to some degree reiterate what the former speaker said. But key to this is, that we are now moving off on a the different tact in dealing with public pension systems in this state. Since we've passed collective bargaining for public employees, the position that we are now taking it's illustrated by these three Bills, is that we're saying

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

labor and we're saying to management, 'you sit down and you arrive at a conclusion on how you want to structure and to provide for benefits in your pension system. Until you resolved between the two of you, don't come back get that to see us. This is part of the collective bargaining We told labor, 'you're not going to get a bite of apple at home and then turn around and come back down here and get another...another bite of that apple. These three Pension Bills look specifically at three different categories that were negotiated bу three different And I believe the process is important. I think it is a step in the right direction and I encourage support for this legislation."

"The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Speaker Breslin: the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2715? **A11** those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Seventy-one votes are required. This all voted who wish? Have all voted passage. Have who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 93 voting "aye", 17 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And this Conference Committee Report having received the...an extraordinary majority, is hereby declared passed. House 8i11 2712. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. thank vou. Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Again. the same system with regard to this particular Bill. This affects the five state funded retirement systems, the state employees, state universities, downstate teachers, General Assembly and the judges. This Bill...actually, as a matter of fact. we basically told the state systems that there will be very little, if any, cost in their pension systems this year and that they can come back next year, perhaps if...and advance

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

With regard t o this COMA legislation. particular Conference Committee, the only one that I'd like to point out is the downstate teacher system does have extends the early retirement without provisions for certain teachers from June 29th• I'd be happy once again to answer any 30th-1085questions and appreciate your support. This Bill, I would point out, has passed the Senate 56 to nothing."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2712. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none. question *Shall the House adopt the is. the Firet Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2712?* All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote *no*. Seventy-one votes are required. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all The Clerk will take the record. On this question wish? there are 101 voting *aye*, 9 voting *no* and 1 voting This Conference Committee 'present'. Report having received the extraordinary majority vote is hereby declared House Bill... And now the last Pension Bi 11 passed. Senate Bi 11 236-Representative Capparelli. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen This Pension Bil1 affects the downstate of the House. the downstate police and the Illinois Municipal Retirement Funds. This one is. I think, an interesting in that the conferees reached upon an agreement which involves...it involved the Illinois Municipal League, the Northwest Municipal Conference and the Illinois City Management Association, who met with over the summer, with members of the fire and the police unions. They have agreed that no further...after this passes, that no further

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

legislative changes will be advocated by either party for the duration of this session and that in the next session they will work towards an agreed Bill that would have an effective dates in July 1st, 1991. So, I think it's an excellent way to again to commend the process by which they have worked. And I would ask for your support and be happy to answer any questions. This Bill passed the Senate 53 to nothing."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 236. And on that question the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to express my appreciation to the work that Representative Saltsman did in bringing this about. I know he spent a lot of extra hours in it and also the good faith bargaining on the part of the unions and management. And I would encourage the adoption of this Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 236 be adopted? All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. Sixty votes are required for the adoption of this Conference Committee Report. This is final passage. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 97 voting 'aye', 12 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And this Conference Committee Report having received the extraordinary majority required, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2746. Representative Flinn. Excuse me -Representative Steczo, for what reason do you rise?"

Steczo: "I'll handle the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "He'll handle the Bill. House Bill 2746.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Representative Steczo."

- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. House 2746 of the...First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2746, contains the language that we approved as House Bill 1256. which contained provisions relating to park districts and forest preserve districts. Last...two weeks ago rather, the House overrode the Governor's Amendatory Veto. some language that related to golf courses. The Senate had difficulty with that failed to do the same. So the language of House Bill 1256, the golf course language, is contained in the First ninus Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2746. The report also deletes the language originally contained in the and also provides three conveyances of state property for sums that would be due by the units...certain units of With that, Madam Speaker, I would answer local government. any questions, would urge the support of the Conference Committee and would let the House know that the Senate has approved this Report by a vote of 56 to nothing."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the
 First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2746. And
 on that question the Lady from Cook, Representative
 Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

- Didrickson: "Representative Steczo, does this not allow now first right of refusal of golf courses to forest preserves?"
- Steczo: "The right of first refusal on the...on the purchase or sale of golf courses has been deleted."

Didrickson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Report on House Bill 2746?* All those in favor Committee vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting ic Sixty votes are required for adoption of this Conference This is final passage. Have all Committee Report. wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take who the record. On this question there are 110 voting *no* and none voting 'present'. voting And this Conference Committee Report having received the extraord...the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate 8111 652. Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi. VOL recognized to proceed on this Bill."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, this is Senate Bill 652 and it has to with amending two Public Acts just recently passed and creates an effective date of December the 1st. Itte for the judiciary that was allowed to increase their numbers so they can file in the March primary and run in the that March and November elections. And this also has sunsets for the national convention delegates, where it allows the Democratic Party to use the totals that the Solidarity Party received in the last election in picking delegates. It allows the Republicans to nick their delegates in the same...in the manner that they have chosen. And this also proposes a payraise for election judges in Cook County, increasing the per diem from 45 to 60 and increasing any additional compensation due by virtue of completion of training school from 10 tο structure of compensating DuPage County is changed in the Bill from the present 45 per diem to a range between 45 and 65 with a possible ten dollar increase for completing training school. And that's the extent of the Conference Committee Report and I urge its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 652.

And on that question the Gentleman from Champaign,

Representative Johnson.

Johnson: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a matter of clarification of legislative intent?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Johnson: "Representative Giorgi, on page 2 of the Bill, lines through 5, there is provision in this language: 'In addition to the number of circuit judges authorized under Section 2 of this Act, there should be one additional circuit judge elected in the sixth circuit, who shall be of Champaign County.* What I want to do, is to clarify that the ... your intent as the Sponsor of the the intent of the Legislature in passing this that the election that's held provision. is shall circuit wide in the six counties that make up the sixth judicial circuit, but that the circuit judge elected shall be a resident of Champaign County."

Giorgi: "That's correct."

Johnson: "Pardon me."

Giorgi: "That is correct. That is the intent."

Johnson: "Okay. And just to further add to that, I had a discussion with Kel Hudson at the State Board of Elections, where he had some concern and his indication was, that he might interpret this as a resident circuit judge elected only in Champaign County. That is not your intention, is that correct?"

Giorgi: "That is correct."

Johnson: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. From the perspective of the minority who have reviewed the provisions of this Bill, we acknowledge it as

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

it has been described by Representative Giorgi and urge its adoption."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House adopt the
 First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 652?" All
 those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no".

 Voting is open. Seventy-one votes are required for the
 adoption of this Conference Committee Report. Supplemental
 Calendar announcement."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendars #6 and 7 are being distributed."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 103 voting 'ave'. 8 voting 'no' and 1 voting *present*. And the House...and this Bill having extraordinary majority required, the Conference Committee Report is adopted and this Bill is declared Representative McNamara. Mr. Clerk, hasn't this passed. vote heen taken? Yes. Representative McNamara. Representative McNamara, the vote has already been declared on this Bill. If you wish to ...

McNamara: "No it hasn't. It hasn't."

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, Sir."

McNamara: "The vote was not declared. You were on the phone and you didn't declare it. You just locked in the board."

Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk advises..."

McNamara: "If you'll check the record on it..."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk advises me that the Bill was declared passed, but you may certainly make a statement on the record if you wish."
- McNamara: "I believe that the Chair is in error on that interpretation and the transcript will so prove that.

 However, in case that is not the case, I would have voted 'aye'."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "Let the record reflect that Representative McNamara, while recorded as voting 'no', would have preferred to have voted' aye'. Representative Peterson, for what reason do you rise? Representative Peterson, the vote is over. We have finished with that Roll Call. If you wish to make a statement on the record you may, but you may not do so by making a motion from the floor. It won't be reflected in the transcript."
- Peterson: "Madam Speaker, I'd like to be...the record to show that I would have voted 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Saltsman, for what reason do you rise?"
- Saltsman: "Yes. I would like the record to show...reflect that I would have voted aye."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Matijevich, for what reason do you rise?"
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, because I was over in the Senate in fact on this Bill, I want to ... with leave of the House to explain my vote on this Bill. I would have voted on this Bill and I want the record to show that before we went into session this week, that I had contacted the staff of my majority party to indicate to the staff that I wanted the law changed with respect to the Judges in Lake County, that they be two elected from Lake County and residence from Lake County and one from McHenry, resident and elected from McHenry County. I was told when we came into session Wednesday∙ that we needed...we needed both sides of the Bill. It's important for aisle to pass this delegate went along with that. And I was told selection. And I that the Republicans would not go along with what I to do. I read the Conference Committee Report, I got madder and madder inside that anybody wouldn't go along with what I thought was a reasonable plan. I went to Dick

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Klemm. he listened to me and he agreed that that's the way I went to Senator Schaffer, he agreed should be done. that's the way it should be done. I went to Adeline she agreed that's what should be done. Geo-Karis. to Senator Barkhausen• he agreed that's what should done. And we thought we might have a Second Conference Committee Report that was agreeable. I realize it is night. I went to Senator Demuzio and I told him of the problem and he said. *John. we just passed that Bill we're going home." And we know that the Senate goes home when it wants to go home. And we know that they're aoina home as soon as they pass that Supplemental Bill. know that the House will not make the Senate come back like they made us come back. But. I think it's regrettable that I. as long as I've been here and don't ask for too much and don't ask too much from my majority and party T * m in leadership and still don't ask for too much. that I couldn't have been granted this little bit. don't want to jeopardize the delegate selection. I don't want to jeopardize the Judges. And when we had the Bill in evervbodv said. 'Well we just want three judges.' But. I think what I was trying to do made sense and I want the record to reflect that I felt, both Grace Mary Stern and I feel this way I know and I think Dick Klemm feels this I didn*t talk to Representative Churchill. because I didn't see him at the moment, nor Bill Peterson. got Dick Klemm's support. I knew I'd get the once I rest over to that side of the aisle. But thank you much. this meant a lot to me."

Speaker Breslin: "Thank you, Representative Matijevich. On the same order of Supplemental #5, Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 1684. Representative McPike.

Excuse me. Representative Richmond, for what reason do you

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

rise? Okay. Proceed, Representative McPike."

- McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1684. It does two things. Number one, it takes the money that was escrowed after the lawsuit from the Domestic Violence Shelter and Service Fund and allows us to transfer this into Public Aid. The second thing it does, it allows IDFA to guarantee private loans to third parties up to specified dollar amounts in order to promote economic development in the state. I move for the adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1684. And on that question is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House...' The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino, on the question."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. For the record, on page 10 of that Conference Committee Report, the authority may guarantee private loans to third parties up to a specified dollar amount, in order to promote the economic development of the state. I understand that can be done now by rule or reg, but will somebody please explain that exact process to me, on how we guarantee the third party loans?"
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, Representative McPike... Excuse me. Did you ask a question, Sir."

Nautino: "Yes, I did."

- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Richmond indicates that he has the answer to that question. Representative Richmond."
- Richmond: "Well, thank you very much. I'm not sure that I have
 the exact answer, but in negotiating with the company that
 wants to locate in this state and hire some 550 employees,

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

it was discovered that although they had the funding available, the people who were making the funding available wanted a guarantee of the interest and the loan from And in discussing this with DCCA and State of Illinois. with IFDA(sic - IDFA). they feel that...that they the...that authority at the present time, but for us to proceed with the negotiations on a...on a basis that sure of on firm ground, it was felt that this one provision be put into...into the Illinois...into the IFDA(sic should - IDFA) Act to further clarify it. And so that is the purpose of this effort."

Speaker Breslin: "You're still on, go ahead."

Mautino: "Oh. Thank you very much. May I follow that through,
Bruce? Let us assume that an economic development project
is a million dollars and the financing is a portion
leveraged by the Illinois Finance Development Authority and
the majority portion, the other 70 percent, let us say, by
private enterprise, Joe Blow Bank. Alright?"

Richmond: "Okay."

Mautino: "Are we, with this language, providing the guarantee for
the total one million package that the bank has
seven-hundred thousand invested in and we're guaranteeing
the whole thing by the state? Is that... That's an example
I'd like to have explained to me."

Richmond: "No, I think not. However, the scenario you describe isn't one that we're confronted with here. And it's quite possible... This is kind of a...you know something that's developed that has to be...we have to take some action on it, so we can proceed with negotiations. But, it's quite likely that there need be some refinements done as we move along on this in the spring. But they do have, like E.F. Hutton, willing to loan the money, but they want the state to participate in the responsibility for it. And so, we do

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

have a great number of instances where we've done a lot more than that to...to lure business into our state. This is a valid company, a valid request. DCCA has gone to California just this week and met and have come back with some reports that appear to be very favorable for their...their endorsement of the proposition. So, I'm a little short on details. Dick."

Mautino: "One final question, Bruce. You've taken out the language on page 9 that prohibited this same type of if in fact a private source... Basically, what *private you took out of the language was. sources shall nnt include amounts received directly or indirectly from the federal, state or local government, or any agency thereof. Now by taking that exemption out, you open it to everything. Isn't that about right?"

Richmond: "Well. I hope not. I don't think it's that broad, but I quess you might interpret it that way. And quite possible that this may need refinement, but we to move ahead with these want the authority negotiations...extremely important to my area and to the State of Illinois to add... This is a company that not only...it has great potential and has already had... And incidentally, the State of Pennsylvania has already passed authorization for eight million dollars for a subsidiary plant for this particular company. And the com...the plant we want in Illinois is to...it would he the of the fuselage and so forth. Andĩ٨ fabrication California when they went there early this week, Alabama Governor and contingent was there to lure them to Alabama. New Mexico is very interested in it. And certainly we need them in Illinois."

Mautino: "Thank vou."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

is. 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1684? All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 71 votes are required. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present'. And this Bill having received the required extraordinary majority is hereby declared passed. Going to Supplemental Calendar #6. to those two Bills that have been printed and distributed. Under Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 2756. Representative Leverenz, you are recognized to present this Conference Committee Report. Representative Leverenz."

- Leverenz: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. This is the one you've all been waiting for. Now. the Conference Committee Report nn Bill 2756 has a total of House general revenue n f 29,320,000 and other funds of a million...a hundred twenty-six million, nine fifty-one. Ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2756. And on that question the Gentleman from Cook, Representative McNamara."
- McNamara: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He will."
- McNamara: "On item #14, excuse me, my voice seems to be going.

 On item #14, the tuition increases, do they go to the individual institution, or do they go to the University of Illinois? Where do the individual..."
- Leverenz: "The Board of Governors and those institutions it controls. The individual institutions."
- McNamara: "So all of the...the increases from the students go to

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

that institution?"

Leverenz: "It goes through and then into these various institutions from which it came."

"Okave thank you. Now to the Bill. Ιt McNamara: seems common sense has taken on a new definition this week when the Senate rejected the funding for education. It they say that education is a top priority and then that they cut the funding for all the schools in the state. They say we didnot have the money and then they find it for pet projects. We have a new math that makes a sham of common sense, when even the players cannot agree on the Let's explore the old math. The appropriations last year were 10.57 billion dollars. Appropriations after the budget cuts were 10.395 billion. That means the appropriations this year are \$175,000,000 less than last year, vet we're told that we need a tax increase t o less money. Then we're told that this is common sense. hear it on all the news reports. We're told that the budget proposals submitted by the Bureau of the Budget. we're to believe this one. Even after we're told to believe this one, this bare bones budget, we're able to come up with another 25 to 30 million dollars. So what do believe? Where is the common sense? We hear in the press that the Governor states that it's irresponsible us to fund programs at last year's level. I submit to you, that it's irresponsible leadership to have Membership argue against measures that would prohibit the utilities from turning off home power when the person in the home is on a life support system. That it's irresponsible leadership to have Representatives who have espoused support for education, then have them turn around and cut last year's levels to all below of the schools in the state. It's irresponsible leadership t o have

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Representatives argue on the floor, that DCCA is House increasing the economy of this state and yet additional revenue to show for that. I don't believe we should become part of this sham and this hypocrisv. vote "yes". we accept the crumbs and become part of the sham. If we vote 'no', we insinuate that we are of the worthy projects. The responsible common sense Eliminate the vote is to vote present. last minute blackmail. Let our views be known that we are tired, tired of false information permeated throughout this state and tired of sham and hypocrisy that is evidenced dollar figures and let's take control of our destiny and vote 'present' on this measure. I ur...I vour *present* vote.*

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Ropp: it a bit interesting that many of us did not vote for of these necessary programs a week ago and yet we have sufficient dollars now that we can come up with 29 million support certain programs. that I think in many here to areas are very important. My concern a couple of weeks ago was the fact that when I told people back in my district I thought we had to exactly find out where we could come up with money in order to provide Some leeway overriding and that I thought we could do that by not stating that we needed to carry over 200 million dollars in our cash balance. And I was told we could not that. because in fact we had to provide that 200 million. because were making sure that the bonding companies would step on our toes, because we would not then maintain our bond . Now here we are today and the fact is that the 29 rating. million and other figures will come out of that area, which

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

I don't think is necessarily a bad idea in the situation you have short dollars to make some rearrangements. My concern is that in all of these necessary programs provided for, we have not provided one stinking revenue dollar for higher education. We have in sunnorted 3.2 million dollars for 380 youngsters that I think are important, with the Math-Science Academy. when got 22,000 kids at I.S.U., we said, *We don't have one general revenue dollar for you, but we want to take 150 bucks from you in order to increase your tuition. so that you can go to school. I think that's a sad mistake. The fact that we have not provided any dollars, though slight they may be, we have not given any indication to higher education that they should in fact be on our high we have indicated with some of these other areas. And I think tonight. Ladies and Gentlemen. it's tragedy we could not have increased at least 5 to 10 million dollars to increase, or what you might say, reduce the carry-over, because we are beginning to move in that direction of reducing our carry-over anyway. I don't think the state will come to a close at the end of if that carry-over figure is lower vear. \$200.000.000. The fact is ultimately it might be lot The other area that I think we have neglected is the 10554 fact that our mental area situation has...did not receive any money in this supplemental appropriation and in lot of our providers at home that are in fact extremely short. And we're seeing that some people. if not all of them. will not be paid from the state the way from 60 up to 90 days. Why should we ask them a11 to borrow money to provide services for the needy the and people who actually need care? I think that is another erroneous step that those who have made these decisions

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

have fallen short in. We have begun and as the previous speaker said, our primary concern is for education. The of money that we're actually putting into the state aid formula now is a mere pittance. The fact though we could not stand pat on the fact that we were short of dollars is also a tragedy. And the fact that now here a position where we're asked to support 29 million in extra dollars. I'm probably going to end up supporting this, because I think they're necessary areas. And since we have begun to move into our carry-over. should have moved in a little bit deeper, so that we could provided adequate funds for many of the other particularly higher education and increase elementary and secondary. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative

Flinn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I, too, am one of those that's disappointed in the amount of money being offered to education, really disappointed especially in due to the fact that I was one of those who openly supported a tax I am ready to lay my political life on line for increase. kids of this state. But there's talk about hypocrisy the and all that sort of thing. The real hypocrites are those are willing to spend but not vote for a tax increase. I'm telling you right now every dollar we spend comes out the taxpayers pockets and there's no pot of gold down at the bottom of the rotunda. There's no other way to money except from the taxpayers. I think that when we let the kids down in this state so far as a decent education, we all do not deserve to be re-elected. None of us - not a one of us. We ought to stay home and send a new crew here. I think it's high time we recognize the fact that that is a much...very much a part of our job as Legislators.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

only reason I'm going to vote for this Bill, it's the only ball game in town right now. But if we come back next spring, and try to supplement the income for the State Board of Education, I'll be one of those voting for the supplement and voting for a tax increase to support it."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage• Representative

Daniels•"

Daniels: "Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Two weeks ago I stood on this House floor and argued as strong I could about truly hypocrisy when this House overrode Governor's veto in the amount ٥f the elementary and education in secondary amounts of money totalling 75.000.000. because you know and I know and we knew at that time, the money was not there. None of us toniaht pleased with the fact that we're dealing with supplemental Bill of only \$29,000,000. For which one of us would stand on this floor and say the children of Illinois enough money for education? Nobody. Which one of us have would stand on this floor and say that people mentally ill or handicapped are sufficiently provided for by this state? None of us. Which one of you would up and say that the unfortunate people that suffer from developmentally disabilities have enough monev for assistance when they can't care for themselves? This House comprised of compassionate and feeling people. do everything that we can to help those people that help themselves. This Bill contains a bare essential amount of money that the Governor has said that he will sian into law after extensive negotiations with the four respective leaders from the two chambers. And yes, people from the City of Chicago and from downstate Illinois and from the collar counties argued for more education. And ves. they argued for more money for the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

mentally ill, more money for flood control, more money for But the money wasn't there. the homeless grants. isn't there. And the fact of the matter is that this keeps the Math - Science Academy open, provides \$16,000,000 in general school aid, the majority of which will go into the City of Chicago, provides money for public school pupil transportation of any situation that you know. and you know that 28% will go under the City of Chicago of that money. provides a \$1.000.000 for special education and provides money for public health. Now scream as you may, not one single...any one of you from the City of Chicago who are standing up arguing, putting forth programs increases, none of you are willing to talk about school reform. The fact of the matter is..."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen...Ladies and Gentlemen."

Daniels: "...that the Bill that we have in front of us right now is reasonable. And argue as you may argue, the fact of the matter is, the funds are not there. You know this is a reasonable Bill. We wish there was more. And we should support it."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Braun." Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of I'm one of the people who signed this Conference House. And I intend to vote for this Conference Committee Report. Committee Report. But I have to tell you that T da sa that it recognizing the outrage represents. And recognizing the fact that it sets forth very clearly our priorities are in Illinois."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun. Representative Braun."

Braun: "Okay. Thank you. Thank you. Right. Did you know what I was going to say? No, I don't think you do know what I was going to say. because what I intend to say about this Bill, particularly as it pertains to education, is that it

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

makes it very clear what our priorities are, because we're now in this state in a form of educational engaged right We're cutting off the funds to the needy We're cutting off the money that the schools in the City of and other districts across this state need, in Chicago order to fully fund an experiment in education, alheit one, with the Math - Science Academy. That's what we're doing. Now I supported the legislation for the Science Academy, and I think it's a marvelous idea that we devote resources to our best and our brightest in this But I cannot in good conscience stand here and not state. object to devoting 3,000,000...3.2 million dollars for at the same time, we're devoting \$16,000,000 to everybody else in the school systems throughout the rest of this state. In the City of Chicago alone, we're looking at 400,000 little more than 3.2 million dollars for all students in that entire system. I think it is an outrage, an outrage that we would begin to cut off funding general public education school obligations at the same time that we experiment with providing additional resources. dormitories and the like for resources. newer our best and our brightest. That is an outrage. That is That is cutting off your society where it needs it triage. That is what's wrong with this Bill, Ladies and Our priority is obviously not education in this This administration's priority is obviously We can take a look at the DCCA education in this state. budget and fund offices all over the world. I was i n Europe this summer and visited the office in Brussels. We have offices all over the world to talk about are you going to develop Illinois if you Illinois. How don't educate its children? How are you going to develop Illinois if the school system in the City of Chicago closes

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

you going to develop Illinois with down? illiterates coming out of the system who can't read and failed to put the resources there? It because we makes a mockery, a mockery οf OHE constitutional It makes a mockery of our commitment to our obligation. And I say to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that this Conference Committee Report should say loud and clear to everybody in this State of Illinois exactly where our priorities are, because this is where our dollars are going. And don't tell me there's not the money. In this budget, we've gone through this process, this is what's left. So we say, 'Oh, well, we'll take the crumbs off the table. What's left we'll give the children a little bit. We'll give them just enough, just enough so teachers can continue to come out of their pockets to pay for pencils and pens and paper and books. And that's the situation. Ladies and Gentlemen. If it's not in your district, it certainly is in mine. That's where priorities are in this state. Fund the Department of Corrections. We just continue to build new prisons as we shut down the schools. We ought to have a new slogan for this state. Open the prisons and close the schools. I SAV to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, this Conference Committee Report is an outrage. But we were forced this time to take nothing or a pittance. I cannot in good conscience turn my on day care and the homeless and all the other issues that are tied in here. All the federal funds are in 8111₋ So the bottom line of the Bill is almost \$200,000,000. How do you turn your back on \$200,000,000 worth of spending for human services and the development in the state? How do you do that? You can't do that. And so I'm going to vote for this Conference Committee Report, but Ladies and Gentlemen, that we have an I sav to vou.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

obligation that we have failed to fulfill. And the time is fast running out when we can play catch up. And if we don't begin to address a sensible revenue base for schools in this state, we may as well put up the cordon and close up Illinois, because we won't have much of a state to deal with."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative

Johnson: "On behalf of those Legislators who represent districts where higher education is a first priority. I think all of us has to...have to express some disappointment that this budget or this supplemental doesn't contain the new monies for higher education that we think are important to make up the economic and educational and social fabric that makes strong...for a strong state. Rut like thic process always does, it puts us in a position of having to vote yes or no. And like many others who ve spoken before. the process puts me in a position of having to vote This money...or this supplemental does several things. of the things that it does, in maybe a small way, it does put money into areas where there's a clear need. And at same time, it recognizes the fact that there's people the who work for a living like the majority of Illinoisans to work everyday whether they're a plumber secretary or an attorney or whatever, who come pass around money to every conceivable place, and we increase their taxes that it has a dramatic effect their life as well. And so given those alternatives and the things that we have to live with, the priorities we fund and the inevitability that to provide enough money for the various sources various people who need the money, as Illinois citizens, we've done a pretty responsible job here. I'd like more

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

money for higher education. I'd more...like more money for elementary and secondary education. I think the people who put this together, the Membership should be commended for doing the very best they can to still keep their commitment to the ordinary citizens, the 90 plus percentage of people who go to work and come back everyday and still realize that when you tax them, you're having a dramatic impact on their Christmases and their holidays, too. And they work for a living."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn," "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Dunn: the I. like many others here, wish that the contents of spread among several Bills, because if it this were the educational portion of this WOTE iust hudget consider, it's a laugher. We should just throw it back and say if that's the best you can do, forget it, we'll do with what we have. And I don't think there's any educator in my who would disagree with that point of view. Sixteen million dollars spread statewide is a pittance. one of the Chicago radio stations as I was driving to Springfield this week indicate that the levels of education in elementary and secondary level in this for state is currently forty-first in the nation. And that was before \$63,000,000 was cut from school aid this spring. we're going to address that problem by restoring 16,000,000. It's a sad, sad day for the State of Illinois. I don't...I just feel terribly intimidated, and I all do. I can't...still can't grasp how the Governor could veto the funds for kidney dialysis and hemophilia medicine. That's comprehension. Ιt is bevond mv morally reprehensible to do that. And I think we have no choice since the funds are in this Bill to restore the funds which were cut for kidney dialysis and for hemophilia and for

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Alzheimer's disease, to pay \$30,000,000 to restore two and half million dollars worth of funds to those people who should never have had to listen to the radios television tonight to see if there's going to be money for kidney dialysis and hemophilia medicine. To those people. I quess, we're helping you tonight, but I'd like you all to that a lot of us who are voting for this legislation are doing so because we have been intimidated to \$30,000,000 to get you two and a half million. The rest of money will go to worthy causes but it's not a drop in the the bucket. It won't do any good. It's meaningless. it's a sham, it's a show. So I'd just like you to know that I'm willing to pay \$30,000,000 to help you get your medicine and that's the only damn reason I'm voting for this Bill . It stinks to high Heaven."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw." and Gentlemen of Shaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies the I would like to set the record straight. One of Gentlemen on the other side of the aisle mentioned the fact that where were we when the...when the...when proposed the tax increase. Well. I'd like to tell you where most of us was, particularly those Members the We met with the Governor, and we signed onto black caucus. We agreed to raise the taxes, to support the his tax Bill. schools of Illinois. We asked the Governor at that time, and this was back in June, around June the 26th, 'Governor, where's the Bill and who's introducing the Bill? said. I don't...I believe that he stated that "I don't have anybody that will introduce the Bill. That's what he indicated. Which means that your Governor was willing to a tax increase for the children of this state. but you would not. You wouldn't even introduce the Bill. you are sending a measly \$16,000,000 to cure a \$100,000,000

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

That is not the answer. The children of this state SOFF is the most precious things that we have. And we in the General Assembly here is not going to give those youngsters chance. As one of the other speakers said, we would rather build prisons...we would rather build this state and let the children go uneducated. throughout But yet we are...your Governor and mine are going to Europe sometime in the near future to talk about bringing business into Illinois. Why are you going to bring business into Illinois when you're not educating its people? Two years ago we funded 1.3 billion dollar project...project, talking about Build Illinois. How can you build Illinois when you take care of the young people? That's not building Illinois when you're not educating the children this How do you plan to do that? No one on the other side of the aisle had the guts to introduce the that's necessary to run our school system. But you will go back to your respective districts and talk about what you would have done and how you've been down here fighting. You haven't been fighting. because the people that you should be fighting for is your children and my children and other people's children across this state. The taxpayers paying the bills, and they're willing to pay the bills for their children. But you don't have the form those programs. Here you've given one school with 200 said earlier. 3.2 million dollars and people. someone as given Chicago just a little bit more than that. We talk about this is the top of the students in Illinois. What every mother, every parent, they think that their children the top too, and that they deserve an education. And they do. Иe have a responsibility in this state as responsible Members of this Body to vote for what's right. And what's right is to vote the money to fund the things

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

to be funded. that .s necessarv And that thing is education...is education. But you don*t have the media are here and the media should go back. and they have a responsibility, too. It's to go back and show across this state, that you all are not interested in the voung people of this state. You are interested in demagoguery. rhetoric. That's all you're interested in. And as one of the previous speakers said, every one of should be defeated...should be defeated. You don't have a right to come back here if you don't support the vouna people of this state. And the ... and the media should They should not allow project that across this state. to get away with it. And the ... I don't know who negotiated this package...I don't know who negotiated this package. but it's my understanding that the President of the this But if the President of the negotiated nackage. Senate negotiated \$16,000,000 for the children of this should be run out of Illinois, because he knows state. he that that's not enough. We funded...we funded education at last year's level. But your Governor cut that. Ifit enough last year. it should be enough this year.

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative

Mulcahev."

Madam Speaker, Mulcahey: #Thank Members of the House. vou. Remember back in 1975 when I came to this chamber, Governor Walker was in office at that time. And he would make the same political speeches on the campaign trail throughout the state as other Governors had. Priorities, of course, are education. The reason education is a priority is for all o f the reasons that everybody's been alluding to tonight and all year long. But the sad thing about situation we have right now, one of the sad things, is the \$62,000,000 that we overrode the other day, it simply would

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

have out us at the same level that we were at last vear. Which was still low. This is the less funding...less funding that we've ever had for education in the thirteen I've been in the Illinois General Assembly. have people go on and on and on and they talk I think that what is happening throughout the priorities. state is when the people in the local units of...the local of government. of course. are going out and they're trying to raise money at the local bases by way of the property tax, who put their responsibility right back on to local units of government, the local school districts. And they re getting tired of it, just like we*re all aettina tired of it. So I have a suggestion to make. I f this continues, and obviously it's been continuing for thirteen years I've been here, what I'm going to urge every school district around the State of Illinois to do sinale if something doesn't happen very soon is tell the State of Education and tell the Illinois General Assembly and the State of Illinois to take their mandates and out the window. Don't teach the mandates. not going to fund us, we don't have to teach Thev're it. sick and tired of being told by us, whether it's the *85 education reform, whether it's driver training, whether physical education, all of the great mandates that we tell them they have to teach because it's good for kids. turn right around and don't fund it, is absolutely ludicrous. This budget is embarrassing. And I'm ashamed to go back to my district embarrassing. and say that you got \$19,000,000. One school district in is going to receive \$500 this year increase in area state aid. So I will suggest to them that if vou can't it, the state's not going to pick up the tab, don't teach it. I don't advocate violent revolution, but bv

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1997

golly, this is one way maybe we can show the powerhouses in this...in the General Assembly in Springfield exactly where they're coming and exactly how they feel."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Regan." "Thank you. Madam Speaker. Members of the House. Penan: I'm not proud of this Bill in regards to education either. I think that we should have definitely got our priorities changed around a little bit. There's a \$20,000,000,000 budget here, and for some reason we don't come up for education. 1.11 tell you one thing though I'm going to be proud of when I go back. It hasn't been mentioned enough. We held 20,000,000,000 is enough and the taxpayers have told us that. We held the line. We didn't raise taxes." Speaker "The Breslin: Ladv from Champaign. Representative Satterthwaite."

"Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Satterthwaite: many us have already spoken about the problems that our funding level this year is creating for education. Let out iust a few more problems that may otherwise be missed. For the last two years, we had helped a little bit in decreasing the gap between the non-academic people who work at university campuses and people with similar jobs in state government. This year we are reversing that. increasing that gap and sending a very bad message to the people who work on our campuses. In terms of academic personnel, we are also slipping by comparison with institutions of like character. We are finding that the morale is going down drastically and will continue to slide unless we show that our commitment is strong and consistent. And that message has certainly not come across this Wе are putting an additional burden on our vear. students by increasing their tuition costs, and we have not even provided in this Bill any money to help with

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

This is going to impact particularly on scholarships those people who are slightly above poverty level, do qualify for full funding of their education or any funding at all. and they may find it impossible continue. It is going to impact on our community colleges particularly, because those are the people who register and who will not have applied in a timely fashion for the limited funds available. What we are doing, Ladies and Gentlemen. is placing an increasing burden on individual families. both in terms of paying directly for their education and in terms of the property tax that We have is so onerous for people these days. But certainly our elementary and secondary schools are going to route if they can possibly get the support, and they will have more complaints in the future. T hone in the spring that will help to reverse take action will these very detrimental trends."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the main question is put. Representative Leverenz to close."

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I now move the House adopt

 the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2756

 and ask that you vote *aye*."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
 First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2756?' All
 those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.
 Voting is open. This is final passage. Seventy—one votes
 are required. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative
 Matijevich, one minute to explain your vote."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

"Well, I'll say briefly. One of the best friends Matijevich: had in the Legislature, a warm, friendly Irishman was ever Elmo McClain. Some of you may know his son. Mike. habit when there was a bad Bill, he would put his nose...hand...fingers to his nose and sav this Thatte the wav I feel today. But Ŧ think wotro getting...we're lucky in Illinois, you know, we've got bargain. We've got two Governors. We've got a Governor who before election says we're in good shape and says after election, we're in bad shape. A Governor who savs he's. you know, he passes the Thompson Tax Proposition, you know, And then after, you know, at election time. after election, he's got ten tax increases. Let me tell you, see why nobody wanted to pass his tax increase. under that tax increase, education was going to fare..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weaver, one minute to explain your vote."

Weaver: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've listened to an awful lot of dehate. and i + doesn't seem like it's headed in but one direction, and an awful lot of outrage from a great number of people in here this Bill. Like most of you, I did not have the opportunity to serve on the Conference luxury or the Committee that drew up this report. But I've heard a lot of outrage from an individual who had a chance to sign report. If I felt that much anger and outrage, I wouldn't have signed the doggone thing. But it is approaching criminal neglect to offer pennies to education and particularly absolutely nothing to higher education in this state, many elements of which have been suffering for I'm going to add my 'yes' vote to this only under vears. the idea that we in state government need to put our fiscal House in order. We need to establish long-term spending 82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

and revenue priorities instead of our current haphazard *rob Peter to pay Paul* pension system and operating budget system. The reason we ---*

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Davis, one minute to explain your vote."
- Davis: "Yes• you. Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of thank With great regret, I vote 'ves' for this the House. My regret lies in the fact that one school serving 200 children receives \$16,000 per year to educate that child whereas the majority of the children in the State of Illinois will be educated on \$1,000 or less per America. your children are dying. Sadness. suicide. school dropout, your children are dying. Pregnancy, puberty, peer pressure, our children are dying. Gangs, guns, genocide, America, our children are dying. As you vote against my Bill. Democrats, and I against your..."

Speaker Breslin: "Bring your remarks to a close."

- Davis: "...don't fund education, I wonder how they'll treat us when they become adults."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much. Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I see a lot of green votes up there, and I am ashamed to see the green votes up there for a budget that doesn't take care of the children of this state. I may only one voting 'no', but I am simply appalled at the fact that we would try to attempt to satisfy a portion of this state by taking it upon the children and that we're going to take it away from. When I came up here this year. I told my educators at home that I thought had fund our commitment for the mandates that we put forth for the school reform. And I thought we'd provide a hundred to two hundred million new dollars this year.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Well, it's very evident we can't even take care of what we said we'd do last year. I vote 'no', Madam Speaker, and I think anybody that votes 'yes' up there is wrong and I'm ashamed of that vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative

 Curran, one minute to explain your vote."
- Curran: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We all know why we are voting green, those of and we're almost all ashamed of it. And that is because we want to get something rather than nothing. However. I would like to draw your attention to the things that happened earlier this year, because, I think. ought to be a year where we and our children and our children's children remember what took place. This year we have a \$400,000 rose garden in the Governor's vard. This we've got a Department of Corrections with a plane, vear while we're laying off parole officers. This year going to help build White Sox Ballpark while our kids get beat up and continue to be abused and we don't do it. This year we're going to give racetrack owners an incredible tax break. but we're not going to do anything for our kids in education this year. We quaht t o he ashamed of ourselves. And I think most of us are."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative

 Hannig, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the I'm voting 'yes', but I'm voting 'yes' under protest. protesting because two weeks ago we were told that the State of Illinois didn*t have the \$65,000,000 for education, and yesterday when the Senate took up the debate we didn't have the \$65,000,000 for education, but as that vote was defeated in the Senate, we suddenly have some money that we can start talking at least

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

And here we are looking at \$29,000,000. And you spending. that next April when we come back in session, the hat will come marching in state agencies here with for this and for that, and we'll supplementals asking probably spend another \$40,000,000 with them. So the or not we had the money in the was not whether bank, but whether we had the will to spend it on say that we of this state. And I'm sad to children didn't."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams, one minute to explain your vote."
- Williams: "Thank you. Madam Speaker and Members of the chamber. You know, I'm a freshman here and this is the opportunity I get to take probably the worst vote that I'll make. I came here and I heard the Governor have to give me a great speech at the start. He told me how about education, told me how much he cared about our children. Yet out of a \$20,000,000,000 budget, he sixty something million dollars. three hundred and one-third of it from education. If that's what you care, if that's showing how much you love our children, I say take your love elsewhere, because your love's not wanted. that's love, than I'd rather be hated, because from my point of view, the prisons that you're building should built to lock us up, because what we're about to do now is to give a pittance where we know there's a real need. Ιf you care about this state, you ought to realize and do Pass the tax..." something.
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There's been a great deal of misinformation in this debate. For one thing, the Math — Science Academy has

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

close to 350 students. Number...secondly, it is...that's significantly different than 200, significantly different than 200. It is...it is state, basically it's a statewide school that provides services for all the children in this state. All of those who are accepted. It isn't for any one district or any one area. It is...it is an investment in research and development in a major and very important area that we have not really addressed in education over the years, and that is in the area of gifted education. These are our best. These are our brightest. And all we are doing with this money is..."

Speaker Breslin: "Finish your sentence, Sir."

Hoffman: "...is giving them the resources to finish out the year.

There isn't one other school in this state that's going to
go out of business because they didn't have the resources.

If this money was not available for the Math — Science

Academy, it would have been gone."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Turner, one minute to explain your vote."

Turner: "Thank you. Madam Chairman and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. The other day there was some legislation brought here on the floor, and in talking with some of the quys that were working on this Bill, they said, "Well, this Bill has already been agreed. There's three or four people who have made the decision and they ve signed off brought this legislation to the floor mν Membership and we talked about it. there was a o f debate and a lot of discussion where they did not like that particular issue. So the Bill wasn't called. I think this represents the same type of legislation. Bill I'm not going to vote green on this Bill because I'm tired, and tired, of three or four people making legislation for all of us here in this state. I was elected to represent

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

the people of the 18th District which is 95,000 people, and as far as I'm concerned, the seven years that I've been here, I have not had the opportunity to provide real input into that legislation. And for that end I'm not voting in favor of this legislation. I know that it's necessary. I'm voting present and I hope that as we start..."

Speaker Breslin: "Finish your sentence, Sir."

- Turner: "...I sincerely hope that as we come back in the spring
 of next year that this General Assembly starts to listen to
 its Membership and not four or five leaders who are leading
 us astray. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, one minute to explain your vote."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. You know the pious on the other side of the aisle act like we're getting the Let me tell you something. better end of this deal. the distributive aid formula favors you, it favors the cities, it favors Democratic constituencies. We didn't want the distributive aid formula. We wanted money in the categoricals. We're taking a hit, so don't look over and act like we're the bad guys. Your Speaker was the one who ignored the calls for revenue, who refused to the budget. So don't tell us that we've caused the problem are the beneficiaries of your problem. I resent your all looking over here for the sake of the cameras if we did something wrong. It isn't true."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas, one minute to explain your vote. Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. You know, we're told that this is the only train in town and if you don't vote for this, then there's nothing. There is no second Conference Committee. You talk about political blackmail, you're seeing it at its best right now."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young, one minute to explain your vote."

Young: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. just rise to also express my frustration at having a green vote up there. I spoke against this Bill in our I was under the impression that as with most caucus. Bills, if we seek one Conference Committee, perhaps could go back to the negotiating table and do a little better. Our leadership was informed by the Governor though that this was take it or leave it. The Senate was leaving. There would be no recalls. One Member said that this taking crumbs. I absolutely agree with him. But as was said yesterday when the Governor balanced the budget, he cut this budget, he left the fat and cut the meat. And in cutting the meat. he made my constituents bleed deeply. And we need these crumbs. And finally I'd say to the Governor, you talk about building the infrastructure of state. when are you going to realize that the infrastructure of this state is its people?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barger, one minute to explain vour vote."

Barger: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. We have been speaking to a great extent, and we've all been saying the same thing. when I came here in •83• the budget \$16,000,000,000. Last year it was 22,000,000,000. it's 20. ₩e teach courses in parenting vear and entrepreneurship. And if you run a business or you run a family, you know there are times when you do have to make the budget fit the income rather than go out and make the income fit the budget. I wish we could make the income fit the budget, and that would be a very nice thing to do. raising taxes to make the income fit the budget is not desirable at this time. So for this year, we will have to

82nd Legislative Day

- November 6, 1987
- settle for some nine percent less than last year. But I know that we can do it."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Jones, one minute to explain your vote."
- Jones: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. also, like Representative Williams, a freshman, first year. And I reluctantly, very reluctantly, vote "yes" for But I am very ashamed. Very ashamed to go back to my community, to my district and take this pittance which you given me to take back. Also, the previous speaker a few minutes ago said, 'Why are we looking on that side of aisle? 1 have only one question. Why in the world would you elect a Governor that you don't trust and vou don't support and you was not willing to go along with an income tax? All I can say to you over there and also 'a mind is a terrible thing to waste'. Governor. And that's just what you did to the children of this state."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no' and 5 voting 'present'. And this Bill having received the required extraordinary majority is hereby declared passed. And the Conference Committee being adopted. House Bill 1763. Representative Kulas. Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd ask for a second Conference Committee be appointed for House Bill 1763."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for a Second Conference
 Committee Report on House Bill...or a second Conference
 Committee on House Bill 1763. Is there any discussion?
 Hearing none, the question is, "Shall the House appoint a
 second Conference Committee?" All those in favor say
 "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Chair the 'ayes' have it and a second Conference Committee will be appointed. House Bill 2070. Representative Satterthwaite."

- "Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I move to accept the First Conference Committee Report OD. House 8111 2070-T+ establishes a technical task force on community mental health services. The purpose of this task force would be to examine the mental health services in the community, how they are funded and monitored and It establishes the task force members and administered. asks for a report back to us by May of next year. And would move for the adoption of the Conference Committee It was supported in the Senate by Repressed Senator Topinka and Senator Rock and to my knowledge has no opposition."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2070. And on that question the Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Ryder."
- 5074r: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And I reluctantly I have signed this Conference Report, to indicate that I think that we should be very careful about this particular vote. There were some Bills from the other side of the aisle that we worked very hard on. Representative McGann was the Sponsor of those Bills. We worked very hard to try to put together a program, a comprehensive was going to help mental health. Now we have a in which those are dead the situation in Senate. Senator...Representative McGann has filed those and I've joined him as a Cosponsor, as a comprehensive kind of program. What we don't need is to have one part going forward, which is what this Bill does and the other lagging behind. It's either all or nothing. We don't need

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

to have this kind of a Conference Report going on at this time. We don't need this with...unless we're committed to the other. Obviously the Senate's not committed to the other. And therefore, I reluctantly stand and oppose the adoption of this Conference Report."

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion.

 Representative Satterthwaite to close."
- Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Those of you who were present at Wednesday morning's hearing when we discussed both the problems in institutional care and in community mental health are very much aware that there are problems that need to be addressed in this area. I have no objection to having the other facets of our mental health delivery system investigated as well, but we know quite well that there have been longstanding problems in regard to not only the funding but the monitoring of community health services. This portion is ready to move forward. And I would ask for your support in helping to do that by adopting this Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
 First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2070?' All
 those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this
 question... Representative McGann, for what reason do you
 rise?"

McGann: "Take the ... Take the roll, please."

Speaker Breslin: "On this question there is 72 voting 'aye', 36

voting 'no' and 5 voting 'present'. Representative

McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"

McCracken: "Parliamentary inquiry. Does this require 71 votes?"

Speaker Breslin: "I asked that of the Parliamentarian, myself."

McCracken: "And what did he say?"

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "He didn't have it in front of me...of him and since it had 71 votes, he said, 'Oh, run it.'"
- McCracken: "Okay. Well, I'm asking for a verification."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. We'll look and see. Representative Dunn votes 'ave'. Representative McCracken, the Bill requires sixty votes to pass. On this question there are 73 voting 'no' and 5 voting 'present'. *ave*. 36 voting And thesathis Bill having received the Constitutional 2070-Majority, the House adopts House Bill AIII Senate Representative Mulcahey. Excuse me. 187. Representative Wyvetter Younge. Representative Younge for House Bill 2276. Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I move that the
 House not accept Conference Committee Report Number 1 on
 House Bill 2276."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has asked for a second Conference Committee on...The Lady has moved to refuse to adopt the First Conference Committee Report and asks for a second Conference Committee. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House refuse to accept the First Conference Committee Report?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And a second Conference Committee will be appointed. Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I move that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Breslin: "It has to be on a Calendar, Representative.

 And it is not on a Calendar. Representative Mulcahey, are
 you ready to proceed? Senate Bill 187 appears on
 Supplemental Number 6. Representative Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate...First Conference

 Committee Report on Senate Bill 187 said...makes a couple

 of changes. It provides that the boundaries of a school

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

that are changed by annexation or detachment of a district territory that the school district in which the being annexed has no outstanding bonded indebtedness on the date of annexation of when that occurs and the annexing school district shall not be liable for anv bonded indebtedness. We've been doing this for some time. It's to help a couple school districts. Number two. additional school districts having enrollments in grades nine through twelve of less than 600 students may be added to the agreement in accordance with the processes has been in place, which simply means that unit districts likewise ioin together with other districts to work out curriculum programs. Likewise the enrollment at the Science Academy not exceed the design capacity of the dormitories existing on the effective date of the Amendatory Act And this is simply that date in there to provide the Academy to continue building these necessary dormitories. And I would move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report Number 1.º

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 187. And on that guestion is there any discussion? Hearing none. question is. 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 187?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote *no*. This is final Voting is open. passage. i s open. Seventy-one votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 94 voting *aye*, 16 voting *no* voting 'present'. This...Representative Shaw votes There are 94 voting 'aye', 17 voting 'no', no. *present*. And this Bill having received the required extraordinary majority is hereby declared passed since the

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

House adopts the First Conference Committee Report. On Supplemental Number 7 appears Senate Bill 1229.

Representative Cullerton. Representative Keane, for what reason do you rise?"

Keane: "Madam Speaker, I can handle this Bill if you wish."

Speaker Breslin: "Okav. Proceed."

"Oh! Okay. Senate Bill 1229 is just the Department Revenue cleanup. It's a technical Bill. It provides the necessary legislation that they need for in house It does nothing substantive. It is strictly adiustments. revenue...Department of Revenue Bi 11 and has I'd urge an 'ave' vote." requested.

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1229. And on that question is there any discussion? Hearing none. *Shall the question is. House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1229?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Seventy-one votes are required. This is Have all voted who wish? Have all voted final passage. who wish? The Clerk will take the record. this On question there are 97 voting "aye", 6 voting 'no' and 9 This Bi 11 voting 'present'. having received extraordinary requirement. it is declared passed and the adopts the First Conference Committee Report. Supplemental Number 7 appears House Bi11 998. Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the Stormwater Management Bill. That's the way it started out, that's the way it's ended up. Unfortunately it got caught in the last hours of June 30th and we did not have a chance to put it through. Basically, this allows the counties in the metropolitan area,

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

excluding Chicago, to prepare stormwater plans to get the assistance of IDOT, DOC and NIPC to have hearings and to implement those plans. There was some discussion earlier in the Session as to the problems that some of the groups had with the taxing provisions. At this point there are front door referendums on all taxing provisions and all of the opposition that had existed to this Bill has now been removed. I would ask for you to vote positively for House Bill 998."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report on House 3ill 998. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Leverenz: "Does this address all of the yellow cards we got on our desks?"

Churchill: "And what do those yellow cards say? I didn't get

Leverenz: "Flood...flooding, I was flooded."

Churchill: "That's...this is the Bill. You were flooded..."

Leverenz: "That came from out of my...that came from out of my district?"

Churchill: "If the water came into your district, hopefully if
you pass this Bill, you'll never have to worry about that
again."

Leverenz: "What do you have in the Bill for suburban Cook?"

Churchill: "Suburban Cook is included in this Bill."

Leverenz: "And what are they included for?"

Churchill: "Everything that...everything that all of the rest of
the areas have, they have the ability to do. And if you
want I could go through all of the items in the Bill..."

Leverenz: "What are those...while the cameraman takes your

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

picture?"

Churchill: "You have...yeah, you have the right to put together a stormwater management committee. You have the right to prepare a stormwater plan which must be presented to the Illinois Department of Transportation, the Department of Conservation and NIPC for view and comment. You have the right to have hearings. You have the right to vote by that planning committee in terms of ordinance to put into effect this plan and implement the plan..."

Leverenz: "How about bonding?"

Churchill: "You have the right to..."

Leverenz: "Do we get the same privileges DuPage and the collars on the buy down on the bonds in Cook County?"

Churchill: "Yes, you do."

Leverenz: "That is included?"

Churchill: "That is correct."

Leverenz: "And it's the sanitary district that is going to draw the plan?"

Churchill: "In...I don't know what they're planning on doing in Cook County. Cook County said that they will have some supplemental legislation to this to define how they wish to treat the flooding problem and that is to be brought before this Body in the spring."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

O*Connell: "Just a clarification. You had said it applies to all counties except Chicago. Chicago is a city. Are you referring to Cook County? Is Cook County excluded from this Bill?"

Churchill: "All metropolitan counties are included, but the City

82nd Legislative Day

- November 6, 1987
- of Chicago has asked to be excluded from the Bill."
- O'Connell: "So suburban Cook is in it, but the City of Chicago is
- Churchill: "That is correct."
- O°Connell: "And what is this providing for a front door referendum?"
- Churchill: "There is a tax to implement the plan and construct
 the various water courses and things that are necessary,
 and that has a front door referendum in it."
- O'Connell: "Alright. The...Are the taxes applied to the non-home rule municipality or the...or to Cook County?"
- Churchill: "I'm sorry..."
- D*Connell: "I*m sorry. In reference to anything located in Cook

 County."
- Churchill: "The taxes that are applied pursuant to the front door referendum do not apply to Cook County."
- O*Connell: "Alright, only to the non-home rule municipalities in Cook County."
- Churchill: "That would be in account for the counties that adopt a plan that would be included."
- O'Connell: "Alright, so..."
- Churchill: "So if Cook County doesn't adopt a plan, then you don't have to have that..."
- O'Connell: "Alright, so any...if DuPage County should adopt a plan, any non home municipality within that county can have a front door referendum and raise its funds."
- Churchill: "That is correct."
- O'Connell: "But until the County of Cook adopts its plans, no municipality in Cook can have a referendum."
- Churchill: "That's correct."
- O'Connell: "...on this. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to question the Sponsor, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

"Representative, on page 1 of the Conference Committee Ropp: you have added a new sentence which now includes Report. counties in populations in excess of 100.000 which the Mackinaw River flows. We have a situation that I'm sure this is attempting to address and I want to aet your opinion as to what it's going to do. One county is attempting to drill water test sites in another Does this prevent them from doing that? And what kind of a method or procedure is established by that sentence that you now included?"

Churchill: "I have been advised by Staff that it provides for IDDT to monitor those wells."

Ropp: "Are...it does not then, in fact, preempt or exclude a county from coming in to make those test sites, allowing another county in which those test sites would be taken to tell the first county to hit the road, would it?"

Churchill: "It does not specifically preempt them, but it does allow IDOT to set up rules and regulations regarding them."

Ropp: "In other words, the control more is in IDOT rather than in the county that may feel some degree of attempting to preserve their water rights."

Churchill: "I believe that's a correct statement."

Ropp: "Okay. thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, *Shall the House adopt question is. the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 998?* All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote *no*. Seventy-one votes are required. is open. Have all voted Clerk will take the record. On who wish? The are 75 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no' and 4 question there

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

voting *present*. And the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 998, this Bill having received the extraordinary majority required. On Supplemental Number 8 appears House Bill 1763. Representative Kulas. One after this."

- Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1763, the original Bill was completely changed in the Conference Committee. All that the Conference Committee Report does, this would allow the City of Collinsville to impose a one percent sales tax on food and beverages prepared for immediate consumption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1763. And on that question, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."
- Klemm: "You know, I wanted to speak on the Bill, but I see I've a First Conference Committee Report. The second has not been distributed. I wonder if the Clerk...you could check with the Clerk and see if actually it has been distributed. We do have it, so I'd like to speak on it again if...Thank you very much. My question to the Sponsor is, what is the change between the first and the second, Hyron. I didn't...I just got the second right now."
- Kulas: "The first Conference Committee allowed any non-home rule unit to impose, that has a civic center, to impose such a tax. Unfortunately the Senate didn't agree with that so now we're just limiting it to the City of Collinsville."
- Klemm: "Alright, so this is where the business community of that
 area said they'd like to be taxed to support this. And
 it's excluding the rest of the state except for
 Collinsville. Is that correct?"

Kulas: "Right, just Collinsville."

Klemm: "Alright, thank you very much."

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question *Shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1763? All those in favor vote 'ave'. all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. votes are required. This is final passage. Have all voted Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take who wish? the record. On this question there are 73 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no' and 7 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required extraordinary majority is hereby declared passed and the House adopts the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1763. On Supplemental Number 1, under Senate Bills Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 485. Representative Leverenz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill on Third."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 485. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for asbestos abatement. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Madam Speaker, I would now move to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to
 the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an
 Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, he
 has leave. Are there any Amendments filed, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment Number 1 offered by Representative Keane and Leverenz."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane withdraws the Amendment.

 Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment Number 2 offered by

 Representative McCracken."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "If I may, what's the opposite of divide the question, combine the question? I'd like to withdraw 2 through 6 and

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Number 8."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendments 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8. Is there an Amendment Number 7? Withdraw Amendment..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor...Floor Amendment 7, Mays."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment Number 7.

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment Number 9 offered by

Representative Leverenz and Mays."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment Number 10 offered by Mautino and Churchill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much. Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Amendment Number 10 which becomes the Bill does not include any new funds. Γt provides three transfers that are necessary and should have been in 2762 on existing appropriations that must be acted on this evening so that on January 13th they could be addressed in the Senate. The appropriation funds that you see in there are no changes. They are what have been approved in previous appropriations, but the transfers must be completed because they are in the wrong agencies. ask your support for Amendment Number 10."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino asks for the adoption of
Amendment Number 10 to Senate Bill 485. And on that
question the Gentleman from McHenry. Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Klemm: "Representative Mautino, there were three schools that were listed that projects had already started. Are those the three that are still now included?"

82nd Legislative Day November 6, 1987

Mautino: "No, they were deleted, Sir."

Klemm: "Which ones are included then? You said there were three.

You didn't mention who they were."

Departments, one Representative Churchill Mautino: "The changing from the Environmental Protection Agency tο the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. One by Brunsvold and DeJaegher, Representative Department o f and Community Affairs from **Environmental** Commerce Protection Agency. And one from the Build Illinois Bonds and Build Illinois Purposes Funds no change..."

Klemm: "They are very fine projects. Thank you very much."

Breslin: "The question is. 'Shall the House Speaker 10 to Senate Bill 4857 Amendment Number there those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say anv...All "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "aves" have it and Amendment is adopted. Are there any further the Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Does the Gentleman have leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 485 on Third Reading? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman...the Gentleman has leave. Representative Leverenz."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 485. A Bill for an Act to make appropriations and reappropriations for various state agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Chairman and Members of...or Speaker and Members of the House. What you just heard, the dialogue from Representative Mautino and Representative Klemm is now the Bill. Ask for your 'aye' vote for its passage."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Sen...Senate Bill 485 pass?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

This *no*-Voting is open. is vote final action. Representative Peterson votes *aye*, Mr. Clerk. Clerk, vote Representative Peterson *ave*. I see. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 4 voting *present*. Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative McPike is recognized."

- McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. If I could just make a statement for the record. I'd just like to indicate that, unfortunately, despite good faith negotiations by all parties involved, we were not able to work out the problems on the Solid Waste Management Act, Senate Bill 961. It is the intent of this side of the aisle to continue to work on it in hopes that we can have it called in January when we come back. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen...Representative Daniels, for what reason do you rise?"
- Daniels: "I agree with the statement of the last speaker. The
 Solid Waste Bill is extremely important and those of us on
 this side of the aisle will continue to work, and we're
 glad that it will be brought up again in January. And
 we'll do our best to negotiate a resolution of that."

Speaker Breslin: "Thank you. Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 490 (sic - 940) offered by Representative Curran et al. WHEREAS, Bob Walters, who has been assistant to the Clerk of the House of Representatives for the past three years, is retiring today; and WHEREAS, Bob, whose other hat is that of Mayor of Beardstown, is resigning from the Illinois House to accept a position in private industry; and WHEREAS, Bob, a dedicated family man and a longtime public servant, will be greatly missed in

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

this chamber where his services have been invaluable; and we want him to know how much we have appreciated everything he has done for us; therefore, be it RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE EIGHTY-FIFTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate Bob Walters on his new position; that we thank him for his outstanding service to this House; and that we wish him well in his new endeavors; and be it further RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Sob Walters with our appreciation and best wishes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran on the Resolution."

Curran: "Ladies and Gentlemen, before you leave, we are losing ours tonight. One person who is with us this evening but will be with us on no other evenings. been an employee and a friend and an ally of a lot of ours He's shaking hands down there for a long time. in the is a friend of mine, a friend of yours. well. He He is the Mayor of the people of Beardstown. He has been honored by the Governor of this state being one of the outstanding Mayors in terms of economic development in this His name is Bob Walters. this vear. We owe him a state round of applause, because he's one hell of a guy."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich on the Resolution." "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Panavotovich: Mayor Bob. congratulations to you in your new endeavors. knows what a hard worker And everybody VOIL Representative Curran said, everybody in this chamber is We're losing a hard worker. losing a friend. And I ask οf the House that everybody be added leave OΩ as Cosponsors."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mays on the Resolution."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I, too, want to

Bob the best in his future endeavor. You know I've been

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

his State Representative now for seven years and he still has a picture of Mike Curran hanging in his office. But...but we've had some rocky times together. We've also had some good times together. I do wish him well."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Novak. Representative Novak." Novak: "Thank you. Thank you. Madam Speaker. Ladies Gentlemen of the House. Mayor Bob, good luck to you. wishes. You•ve been asset to the House an of Representatives and we're sure going to miss you. Take care of yourself."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Madi...Panayotovich has asked leave for all Members to be added on the Resolution. Shall the Resolution be adopted? All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. We will miss you, Sir. Are there any further Resolutions, Mr. Clerk?"
- Madigan Daniels; 915, Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 914, Matijevich; 916, Van Duyne; 917, Turner: 918. Parcells; 919, Turner; 920, Churchill; 921, Pullen; 922, Pullen; 924, Mautino; 926, 927, McGann: 928, Bowman: 925. McNamara: McNamara; 929, McNamara; 930, McNamara; 931, Stern; Berrios: 933. O'Connell: 934. O'Connell: 935. Younge: 936. Johnson and 937, Ewing."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Ladies and Gentlemen, you leave, do not leave anything of importance on This building, this room, will desks. tomorrow for a ceremony and everything will be cleared off the desks. So if you have anything of importance, take it DOW. Representative Matijevich now moves that the Resolutions, the Agreed Resolutions be adopted. All those in favor say *aye*, all those opposed say *no*. opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the

82nd Legislative Day

- November 6, 1987
- Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 138 offered by Representative Countryman— et al."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 91. RESOLVED BY THE

 SENATE OF THE 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF

 ILLINOIS, the House of Representatives concurring herein

 that when both Houses adjourn on Friday, November 6, 1987,

 they stand adjourned until Wednesday, January 13, 1987 (sic

 1988), at twelve o'clock noon."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike moves that the House adopt the Adjournment Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted.

 Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 937 offered by Representative

 Johnson with respect to the memory of Charles Durst. House

 Resolution 938 offered by Representative Johnson with

 respect to the memory of Carl Trueblood."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we have two Resolutions regarding the death...Excuse me, as to the former, the other Death Resolutions, the question is, 'Shall they be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and those Death Resolutions are adopted. We have two Death Resolutions of former Members. We are going to read those Resolutions. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 901 offered by Representative
 Matijevich, Stern and others. WHEREAS, The House of
 Representatives is saddened to learn that one of its former
 Members, Howard R. Slater, has passed away; and WHEREAS,
 Howard R. Slater graduated from Columbia University in 1936
 and from its Law School in 1939 and he was active for many

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Committee Tax the Chicago vears with the ٥f Bar Association: and WHEREAS. Mr. Slater served for five years during World War II with the U.S. Army, and he the rank of Private to Captain; and after his discharge, he moved to Highland Park. where he lived for many years; and WHEREAS. An active Democrat since 1948. Howard Slater as a precinct committeeman and on the Steering Committee of the Lake County Democrat Central Committee: and WHEREAS. In 1964. Howard Slater was elected and served one term in the Illinois House of Representatives, where he was cited for his distinguished service and he represented of North Chicago as its City Attorney; therefore be it RESOLVED. BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES FIGHTY-FIFTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS. that we are saddened by the passing of Howard R. distinguished himself who as a public servant during his service in the Illinois House of Representatives; that Howard Slater served as a respected and successful attorney in Illinois for many years; and that we honor the memory of Slater by the recognition that he served ably in many public, political and civic capacities; and that we extend our sympathies and condolences to his wife, Rita, and their children: Paul, of Winnetka; and Jan Barnow, a f and Dr. Elizabeth Slater of New York; and be Angeles: it further RESOLVED. That a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Rita Slater."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Representative Giorgi is the only one that served here with Representative Slater. He served only one term here. I knew him well in the political circles in Lake County. And he served as the city attorney in my hometown. Grace Mary Stern knew him well being a resident of Highland Park for so many years. I offer my

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

sympathies and condolences to his wife, Rita, and the family. $^{\circ}$

Speaker Breslin: "And the second Death Resolution. Mr. Clerk." Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 923 offered by Representatives Daniels and Madigan. WHEREAS. the Members of this Body were saddened to hear of the death of William K. Cavanagh. illustrious career spanned several decades whose included innumerable accomplishments; WHEREAS, during his an Illinois State Representative. William tenure Cavanagh earned the respect of his colleagues through tireless commitment tο the people of the City o f Springfield and the fifty-first district; WHEREAS. Mr. Cavanagh graduated from Springfield High School, Washington Catholic University of America and Georgetown WHEREAS. University Law School: and durina distinguished career. William Cavanagh served an Illinois Special Assistant Attorney General, practiced before the United States Supreme Court, and worked as a Federal Bureau of Investigation Special Agent; and WHEREAS, Mr. Cavanagh served on the Pacific Fleet for the U.S. II, and was an active member of the during World War American Legion, and served on the Advisory Commission of the Labor Management Policy for Public Employees: and WHEREAS, William Cavanagh and his wife Eleanor raised nine and four sons -- all of whom children -- five daughters possess the compassion, commitment and common sense that marked William Cavanagh's life; and WHEREAS, these and countless other accomplishments and services will be sorely missed by the thousands of individuals whose touched by William K. Cavanagh; now therefore be it further RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ASTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS. that da express our deep appreciation for William K.

82nd Legislative Day

November 6. 1987

Cavanagh's efforts on behalf of the people of this State; and be it further RESOLVED, that we do hereby express our deepest sympathy to his wife, Eleanor, and his children: Bill Jr., Tom, Bob, Pat, Anne, Mary, Susan, Maureen and Kathleen; and be it further RESOLVED, that a suitable copy of this Resolution and Preamble be presented to his wife, Eleanor, and their children."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. William Cavanagh loved his country and loved his family. We are touched by him constantly in the Illinois General Assembly not only as a former Member. and the memories of a former Member serving in the late sixties, we also remember his service to World War II and to his country...as a Member of our Armed Services along with service in Federal Bureau of Investigation. But those of us on the Republican side of the aisle specifically are touched bv this loss this morning, early this morning...for his daughter, Maureen, is employed in the Republican Press And where we have lost a valued friend and a Office. former colleague and somebody who served his country so left his widow and five daughters and four sons. we will remember the service to us and his compassion friendship through his daughter and through her and his other children, his efforts will continue. Madam Speaker. ask that all Members of the House join in sponsorship of this Resolution."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Daniels asks that all Members be joined in the sponsorship of both of these Resolutions. those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution will be adopted bearing the names o f Members. As a further... allowing time for the Clerk in

82nd Legislative Day

November 6, 1987

Perfunctory Session... as a further sign of respect of this Body, this House on the motion of Representative McPike will stand adjourned until Wednesday, January 13th, at the hour of twelve noon. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and this House stands adjourned until twelve noon, January 13th."

Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction First Reading of Bills. House Bill 2927. McNamara. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2928. Cullerton. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2929. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act codifying the powers and duties of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. First Reading of House Bill 2930, McGann and Ryder. A Bill for the Bill. an Act to establish a Bill of Rights of persons developmental disabil...with developmental disabilities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2931, Levin, Sutker, Lang and Stern. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. There being no further business, the House now stands adjourned."

03/15/88 11:28

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

NOVEMBER 06, 1987

	NOTE NOT 1701		
HB-0099	CONFERENCE	PAGE	91
HB-0911	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	83
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	167
	CONFÉRENCE	PAGE	76
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	92
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	94
HB-1188		PAGE	81
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	134
HB-1684	CONFERENCE	PAGE Page	105 172
HB-1763		PAGE	162
HB-1859		PAGE	110
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	111
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	57
HB-2070	CONFERENCE	PAGE	163
HB-2070	MOTION	PAGE	105
HB-2070	MOTION	PAGE	110
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	165
HB-2276		PAGE	108
HB-2276		PAGE	110
	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE	PAGE	126
	CONFERENCE	PAGE Page	123
HB-2746		PAGE	128 109
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	101
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	138
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	72
	FIRST READING	PAGE	56
HB-2918	FIRST READING	PAGE	56
	FIRST READING	PAGE	56
	FIRST READING	PAGE	56
	FIRST READING	PAGE	56
	FIRST READING	PAGE	56
	FIRST READING	PAGE	57 57
	FIRST READING FIRST READING	PAGE Page	57 57
	FIRST READING	PAGE	57
	FIRST READING	PAGE	183
	FIRST READING	PAGE	183
HB-2929	FIRST READING	PAGE	183
HB-2930	FIRST READING	PAGE	183
	FIRST READING	PAGE	183
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	46
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	165
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	127
	CONFERENCE RECALLED	PAGE	74
	THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	173 175
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	130
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	2
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	118
SB-0834	VETO ACTION	PAGE	13
SB-0943	CONFERENCE	PAGE	64
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	30
	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	89
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	167
\$8-1229		PAGE	89
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	79
SB-1311	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE PAGE	90 110
SB-1410		PAGE	102
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	31
	ADOPTED	PAGE	45
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	43
HR-0940	ADOPTED	PAGE	178

03/15/88 11:28

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

PAGE 176 PAGE 112

112

NOVEMBER 06, 1987

HR-0940 RESOLUTION OFFERED HJR-0006 THIRD READING

SUBJECT MATTER		
HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER BRESLIN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - FATHER VANN	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	81
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	90
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	178
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	179
ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION	PAGE	179
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	179
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	183
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	183
PERFUNCTORY SESSION ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	183