80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the hour of one o'clock having arrived the House will come to order. Members will be in their seat. We invite those in the gallery to join us in prayer. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Dale Catlin. Pastor of the First United Methodist Church of Marshall. Reverend Catlin is the guest of Representative Mike Weaver."
- Reverend Catlin: "Lord of this quiet time, for a moment may we touch this Spirit mystery we call love. Ennoble our horizons with dreams of better worlds where people triumph over the markets and human values transcend all May we stand free of the Mafia and all greed that stifles the human spirit. Where the necessary trade-offs government by the people get out of hand, cool us down. Lord, and remind us once again of honor, While we good earth with the spewing wastes of our affluence. the us restless, Lord, until we see the dood for generations yet to come. May there be within distinguished people the Prophet's scorn of tyranny and courage to stand clear for justice and for hope. The words o f our OWD Poet-Prophet speak: 'At midnight in our little town, a morning figure walks and will not rest. Near the pacing up and down, the prairie lawyer, master courthouse. of us all. He cannot sleep upon his hillside now. when the sick world cries, how can he sleep? He cannot the Spirit Dawn shall come. * rest until Amid the necessities of this place, may we be the prophets of the Spirit Dawn, Amen,"
- Speaker Breslin: "We will be led in the pledge today by

 Representative Morrow."
- Morrow et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands.

80th Legislative Day

- November 4, 1987
- one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Breslin: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Ropp is recognized to make an announcement."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, I wanted to say for those of you who may heard that outstanding band in the rotunda that that was not the playing of the return of Sam Panayotovich to the House Republican side, but it was the ISU band and they're playing in a concert, I think right away, over in the Convention Center preceding the basketball game tonight at 7:30 between Illinois State University Redbirds and the National Soviet Team from the Soviet Union. We just had lunch with some of the fellows from the Soviet Union and thev are a sizable group of fellows. About 3 or 4 of them over seven foot, so it ought to be an exciting time. you would like to go, I think we could work out those ticket arrangements beginning at 7:30. Thank Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hatijevich, for what reason do
- you rise?"
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, let the record reflect the excused absence today of Representative Rice, due to illness."
- Speaker Breslin: "The record will so reflect. Representative Parke, for what reason do you rise?"
- Parke: "Thank you, Madam Speakar. I've been asked i F a moment to pass out Veterans* Day and American Education Week suggested speeches on behalf o f the Veterans* In addition, on the Democratic side, I arouns. guess I've been informed that Representative Van Duyne will be doing that as a courtesy, as well as I on the Republican side, so I'll be going around on the Republican side and if Representative Van Duyne doesn't have any and I run out...have some left, I'd be happy to give it to my Democratic colleagues."

80th Legislative Day

November 4. 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you very much, Representative Parke. Are there any absences on the Republican side of the aisle, Representative Piel?"
- Piel: "No excused absences today, Madam Speaker,"
- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you. 117 people answering present, a quorum of this House is present. Ladies and Gentlemen, it is the intention of the Chair to begin today on amendatory veto motions. They appear on page 7 on your Calendar. So if Members would look over the Calendar and be prepared to present their motion it would be appreciated. Representative Klemm, for what reason do you rise?"
- Klemm: "Just an inquiry of the Chair, Madam Speaker. I was wondering, many of us had filed some motions that don't appear on the Calendar at this point. Will there be a supplemental that we can continue on today, or do you plan to have that on the Calendar tomorrow?"
- Speaker Breslin: "We are planning for a supplemental today. If

 we don't get to it today, it'll be carried over on the

 Regular Calendar tomorrow."
- Klemm: "Alright. Fine. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Braslin: "Again, we are ready to go to amendatory veto motions. I notice that there are Members who are not in their chairs. I don't wish to preempt you from presenting your motions, but we do need to go forward. But since Representative Dunn is now here, we can begin on Senate Bill 1. You have filed a motion, Sir, to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 1. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'brien: "Motion I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 1. by adoption of their Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

- "I move that we accept the Governor's Dunn: recommendations regard to Senate Bill 1. Those of you who recall this legislation will recall that there were some State οf Illinois income tav incentives in this legislation. They have been removed Ьy the Governor's Amendatory Veto and 50 I think the controversy has been taken out of the Bill and I would urge vour support for this legislation."
- "The Speaker Breslin: Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's Amendments to Senate Bill 1 and on that question. the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I was unable to hear the

 Gentleman. I wonder if he could give me a brief

 explanation, please. Could I ask for order, too?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Yes. Ladies and Gentlemen. please give your attention to Representative Dunn. Upon action on this motion, this could be final passage of this Bill, so please given your attention tο Representative Dunn. Representative Dunn."
- Dunn: "Well: this legislation essentially had two parts. again. one of which was to encourage the creation of trading companies and while I don't have the legislation in front of me, it would provide for an Illinois export council. I don't think there's any controversy with regard to that aspect of the legislation. There was, in addition to that, a provision in the Bill which would ve provide for income tax incentives to certain groups. And in view of the economic climate in the State of Illinois, the Governor has stricken those provisions from the Bill by amendatory veto. The Bill, as vetoed, was accepted by the Senate and I think we should do also, and we should accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 1 and approve

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

this legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none. the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate 3:11 1?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all who wish? The Clerk will take the record. question there are 110 voting 'ave', none voting 'no' none voting 'present'. This motion, having received the required Constitutional Majority, the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations change. Senate Bill 2. Representative Hoffman. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 2 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. During the Spring Session, we passed four different Bills which dealt with the Baccalaureate Savings Account. The Governor signed Senate bill 2 with some changes, some of which were taken from the other legislation. The Governor's veto deleted a provision which allows for the actual purchase of CFB's to be tax free, much like but...however he did not change the provision that made interest earned on the bonds tax free. He raised the minimum incentive for using the bonds for educational purposes from 25 percent interest to 50 percent. He also deleted from the language in Senate Bill 2 for profit proprietary schools as being qualified to...for the use the bonds for incentive awards. He made some technical changes that the Authority will serve as strictly

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

advisory capacity to the Governor and the Bureau of the Budget. The Authority will not actually implement the program. It will advise the Governor and the 30% on how to implement the program. He limited the Authority studying and reviewing alternative tuition investment plans rather than actually implementing them. And finally. changed the manner in which the Board of Higher Education and the Illinois State Scholarship Commission develop the college cost information. The ISSC is to assist the Board of Higher Education which kind of changes it from a ioint venture as we had mandated, to giving the lead to the Board of Higher Education. Those are the changes that he made. The change in limitation from 25 to 50 proposed in Senate Bill 875 and House Bill 180 which some of you are familiar with. I would ask that we accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 2 and follow the action of the Senate."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved that the House accept
 the Governor's specific recommendations for change on
 Senate Bill 2 and on that question the Gentleman from Cook,
 Representative Sutker."
- Sutker: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I supported the other Bills that presented and directed themselves to the problem that Senator... Senate dill #2 addresses. I think this is an important measure. It's not the measure I would have wanted. It doesn't go as far as I think it should, but I support the Gentleman's motion to accept the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell, on the question."
- O'Connell: "Representative, I'm sorry, I didn't hear your discussion. But I...one concern that I had was the minimum investment provision. As I understood the...Hadam Speaker,

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

could I have order? If I understood the proposal, that the minimum investment was at a \$5,000 level. Is that still the case as the Bill stands now?"

- Hoffman: "Thank you for that question, Representative.

 There...no minimums have been set in the legislation.

 Those will be set by the Governor and the Dureau of the Budget consistent with the circumstances."
- O'Connell: "Alright. So the level of a minimum determination will be made by regulatory, as opposed to legislative?"
- Hoffman: "Yes, Sir, and that...what that does is, it gives us a...some flexibility over time."
- O'Connell: "Flexibility. Well, in that regard I would just like to set forth in terms of a legislative record that the purpose for the prepaid tuition concept was to benefit those middle income families that are in most need of the...of the savings benefits so that they can put their kids through college. And that if we set a level that's too high, which I think \$5,000 increments may be too high, we are ignoring those families that we are in most need of helping. So Representative, I hope you would share my concerns in terms of setting a legislative direction for the regulatory determinations. Let's keep those levels at a point where we can satisfy the most middle income families."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Representative. I'm certain that the
 Authority and...as they give advice and counsel to the
 Governor and the BOB, that certainly has to be part of the
 discussion, because I think you're absolutely correct."

O'Connell: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 2?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Voting is open. 60 votes are required to accept this motion. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question. there are 117 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for Senate Bill 23, Representative McCracken. Read change. the motion. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in passage of Senate Bill 28, the Governor's specific recommendations for change notwithstanding."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Governor's..."

Breslin: Speaker "Excuse me. Excuse me, Representative McCracken. Ladies and Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen. It is very difficult to hear the Representative McCracken. debate. Proceed with vour motion to override."

McCracken: "Thank you. Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Governor's Amendatory Veto deleted the reference to the daily rate of reimbursement to local governments as a result of the implementation of this 3ill and also made or cross-reference to the Supreme Court rules. It had been discussed and was expressly decided among the supporters of this Bill that this portion, the reference to the local reimbursement, was a vital part of the legislation. Consistent with that position, I'm asking the Body to override the Governor's veto and put this the form that it was originally agreed to among various supporters of it."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to override the

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Governor's veto of Senate will 28 and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies Gentlemen of the House. I support the Gentleman's motion and compliment him for taking this measure. The...One of the concerns, of course, that the Governor had was portion of the Bill dealing with the reimbursement by the state to the counties for the cost of this Constitutional Amendment, which we passed...which the citizens passed last vear. If we didn't have this section, it would still be in the Constitution that this...counties would be entitled to be reimbursed, so in the absence of the statute, it appear to me you'd have a court challenge by a county that would be seekina reimbursement and there would specific statutory scheme by which that county would be reimbursed, so it makes great sense for the state to this legislation. We're not really affecting the amount of money that would have to be reimpursed to the counties, although there is the possibility that we're actually limiting the amount of money that can be taken out of the state and sent to the counties, by putting a cap of \$50 per day per prisoner on that amount. So, for that reason I would encourage your support of the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Yes. I just wanted to comment that that tone of
 voice you used to quiet down the Assembly was very similar
 to the way you talk to your daughter and it seems to have
 worked. at least temporarily."
- Speaker Breslin: "It worked with her. Is there any further discussion on this motion? Hearing none, the question is,

 'Shall the House override the Governor's specific recommendations for change in Senate Dill 28?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 'ave'. none voting 'no' and none voting votina 'present'. This motion, having received the required Majority, the motion to override prevails and three-fifths Bi 11 28 is declared passed. the recommendations for change of the Governor notwithstanding. Senate Bill 147. Representative Daley. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 147 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Daley."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker and Kembers of the House. Dalev: move that we accept the specific recommendations for change on Senate 3ill 147. In the Bill the Governor provided that any certified nurse's assistant would be grandfathered into He also made it clear that once a CNA had passed the course, that certification would follow her or he through future employment. And thirdly, he made it clear that a nursing home could provide in-house training could be received through which a community college, providing that that course has been certified Department of Public Health. I move that we accept specific recommendations for change on Senate Bill 147."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change in Senate Bill 147 and on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Hill the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

AcCracken: "Our analysis indicates that the amendatory veto

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

narrows the scope of the application of the Bill, is that correct?"

Daley: "That is correct."

McCracken: "Okay. So, is it only those who are expected to come into contact who are now...who will be required to take the 12 hours, or... I'm just a little unclear on how it's changed."

Daley: "The Gov... In his veto, the Governor recommended that it be limited to nursing homes which provide to patients with Alzheimar's Disease. Those paople...only."

McCracken: "Dkay. Yes, I... I see it now. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for one question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cowlishaw: "Representative Daley, I really think this is an... a really important provision. People with Alzheimer's Disease obviously will be better treated if they are... the people who deal with them are trained in those specific things that have to do with that dreadful disease. My question to you is, is it your intent that this 12 hours of training be an annual requirement, or if a person takes this 12 hours of training once, is that sufficient for years and years to come?"

Daley: "At this time. At this time, it would be... the way the

Bill is written it would be limited to one time training."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 147?

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

The Clerk will take the who wish? record. Πn this there are 114 voting... llo voting 'aye', none auestion voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This motion the required Constitutional Majority. 50 the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate 3111 218. Representative Cullerton. Read the motion. Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate 3ill 218 by adoption of their
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Just before you begin that,
 Representative Cullerton, Representative Younge is
 recognized for an announcement."
- Younge: "Thank much. Madam Speaker. wanted vou verv T t n Committee American announce that the 0.0 the Bottoms Drainage Problems will be meeting at my desk immediately following the session today and I'd like for each committee member to come over to my desk and in that regard I to welcome the members of Operation Fail Safe Flood Gate to the General Assembly. Let's give them a hand. They're in the balcony. Very good. Good to see you. Thank vou. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, on your motion."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm moving to accept the Governor's Ιt is merely a . . . really a technical change in the veto-The Governor's Amendatory Veto removes a subsection Bill. Bill which exempts beverage cans purchased prior from the effective date of the Bill from the to the Bill's prohibition. He states that this provision conflicts with the intent of the June thirtieth, 1988, prohibition Let me remind you what this Bill is about. This Bill

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

passed the House 108 to 2 and the Senate 56 to 0. This bans the detachable tops from beverage cans in the State of Illinois starting June thirtieth. 1988. the soft drink industry they have already dona this voluntarily. This, however, is not the case in the beer industry. And so we work with the beer manufac...well, the can manufacturers and the beer distributors in order to phase in this Eill by delaying the effective date and also providing for inventory which is on the shelves after the effective date. That it means I think to the citizens o f is a very important step in not only the state. however. safety, because as you know, these detachable tops if they're thrown on the ground people step on them. If they're thrown back in the can they can cut neonle's but also the concern about the environment. So. for that reason I would move that we accept the Sovernor's recommendation for the change and get this Eill on the books."

"The Gentleman has Speaker Breslin: moved to accept the Amendatory Veto and on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 218?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'ave', none voting one voting 'present'. Representative Berrios wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye', so there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and one voting 'present'. This motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 382.

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Excuse me, Senate Bill 226. Representative Keane. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk Leone: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate 3ill 226 by adoption of the following Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 226 took out two provisions of the Bill. The first was the provision that required the Department of Revenue to provide technical assistance to assessors regarding appeals of commercial property tax assessment exceeding \$350,000. And the second provision deleted required the Department of Revenue assess to certified landfill property in counties of 1655 than *88 and *89 assessment years. 275.000 population for move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Vetoes."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved ŧο accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with regard to Senate Bill 226. Is there anv discussion? none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 226? All those in favor vote 'ave'. all those opposed Voting is open. *00*0 vote 60 votes are required. Representative Didrickson, one minute to explain your vote. The Lady declines to explain her vote. Have all voted who all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the wish? Have record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. The motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, 50 the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the motion."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Clerk Leone: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 382, by adoption of the following Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 382 is a Bill that deals with an agreement on the bargaining unit in the City of Rockford and the Rockford Police Department. When this Bill was negotiated last year it was agreed that the legislation would be drafted so it would affect only the City of Rockford, but unfortunately we erred and instead of a population limit of 130,000 we provided a population limit of 100,000, Governor's Amendatory Veto does is raises the population level to 130,000. That's the way it should have heen the first place and I would move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 382. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 382?* All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. all Voting is open. 60 are required. Have a11 voted who Representative Hallock, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hallock: "Madam Speaker, to the Bill. It came up fairly quickly, but the community of Rockford isn't really behind this either. The Governor did amend it so that it affects us only, but this is not, in fact, a unanimous Bill from our community and I urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This motion has

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate bill 400. Representative Ronan. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Representative Ronan. Out of the record. Representative Berrios, for what reason do you rise? Representative Berrios."

Berrios: "Madam Speak...Madam Speak...Madam...It don't want to

Speaker Breslin: "Move to the microphone next to you, please to the... Representative Berrios."

Berrios: "Madam...Madam Speaker. What - it don't work."

Speaker Breslin: "Move to the one to your left."

Berrios: "Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Move across the aisle to Representative Dunn's.

Just to John Dunn's desk, please. This better be
important, Representative Berrios."

Berrios: "It is. Is it...? Madam... Testing. I quit."

Speaker Breslin: "Try again at that microphone. That microphone was working earlier today. Try again at that microphone."

Berrios: "Madam Speaker. It's on. Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Try...Try Paul Hilliams".

Representative Berrios. Turn on Representative Williams,

please."

Williams: "Hello. Hello. I think... Nope mine's not going through."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Berrios, why don't you come up and whisper it into my ear and then we'll know. We're going to check out the microphones here for a moment. The House is in session, we are just at ease for a moment. Supplemental Calendar announcement."

Clerk Leone: "Supplemental Calendar \$4 is now being distributed.

Supplemental Calendars \$1, 2, 3 and 4 are now being

80th Legislative Day

November 4. 1987

distributed."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Klemm, for what reason do you rise?"

Klemm: "I was wondering if our side of the aisle could have equal treatment? Oh. I'm not on."

Speaker Breslin: "It's not working, Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Why?"

Speaker Breslin: "The whole system is not working at the moment.

We are at ease, trying to figure out why. Agreed

Resolutions."

Klemm: "I want to find out if our..."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 871 offered by Representative Wyvetter Younge, 872 by Representative Johnson, 873 by Representative Johnson, 874 by Representative Panavotovich. 875 by Representative Black, 877 by Representative Black, 878 by Representative O'Connell, 879 by Representative Curran, 880 by Representative McPike, 881 by Representative Black. 882 bν Representative Churchill. 282 hν Representative Stephens, 884 by Representative Ryder, 886 by Representative Ryder, 887 by Representative Johnson, 889 by Representative Johnson, 890 by Representative Hensel and 891 by Representative Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich on the Agreed Resolutions."

Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, both sides of the aisle have examined the Resolutions. They are agreed to and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the Agreed Resolutions be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Ladies and

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Gentlemen, we are not preparing to adjourn. He are...We are merely waiting for the electrician to work on the microphones. All of the microphones in the Body except for these two have died for the moment. So we are working on that. In the meantime, we are going to do some housekeeping. General Resolutions."

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution #83 offered by Representative Matijevich."
- Speaker Breslin: "Committee on Assignments. Death Resolutions."

 Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 891...correction 392, offered by Representative Daley in respect to the memory of Hannah Corcoran. House Resolution 868 offered by Representative Johnson in respect to the memory of Doctor Rogers and House Resolution 870 offered by Representative Horrow in respect to the memory of Doctor Rogers and House Resolution 870 offered by Representative Morrow in respect to the memory of Mrs. Paine."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Death Resolutions are adopted. Introduction and First Readings."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2903 offered by Representative Saltsman.

 A Bill for an Act to amend sections of The Illinois Public

 Labor Relations Act. First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "...Can be heard in the Rules Committee, which will meet shortly. Is there any discussion or objection to that? Hearing no objection, we have leave to waive the posting rules on Senate Bill 959 and 1155. And now, Representative Giorgi also asks leave that the House Rules Committee meet while the House is in session. Is there any objection that the House Rules Committee meet while the House is in session? Hearing none, that will be provided.

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

The House Rules Committee will meet immediately in the Speaker's conference room. Would all Members of the House Rules Committee proceed to the Speaker's conference a quick meeting of the Rules Committee. anticipated that once the Rules Committee has met we will come back here and we will entertain motions on the part of Republican party for a conference. And then it is the hoped that after that we will be able to come back. that the sound system will be repaired and we will be able to Amendatory Veto Motions proceed on the Order of we began. So. right now, immediately, would all Members of the House Rules Committee proceed to the Speaker's a brief meeting of the Rules conference for a meeting. Committee. The quicker you get there the quicker the meeting will be over. Representative Giglio, when you finish with your call would you come to the podium?"

Speaker Giglio: "The House is at ease. He are not in recess. at ease, be at ease and we'll be right back. A11 Just be those in the Rules Committee please retire to the back o f in the Speaker's conference room please. the chambers Ιs Representative Panayotovich in the chamber? Is he chamber? Oh, oh, Representative Panayotovich, when you get time would you come to the podium, please? Ladies and Gentlemen, Representative Giorgi moves that House Resolution 59... Senate Joint Resolution 59 be allowed to be heard in Rules immediately and the appropriate rule be suspended. Is there leave? Leave is granted."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the Republican side of the aisle have requested a conference. They will therefor proceed to a conference in Room 118, immediately. The conference should last one hour. So, the House will come back into session at 3:15, allowing one hour for a Republican conference. There is no need, apparently at

80th Legislative Day

November 4. 1987

for Democratic conference. this time Ladies and Gentleman, the House will come to order. As you can see the microphones are not vet ready. However, when we get to Bills in which we need discussion. we will ask Members to proceed to the center of each aisle to... thora is one microphone placed next to Representative McCracken's on the Republican side and another microphone placed desk next to Speaker Madigan's desk on the Democratic side the aisle. So when we get to that portion of the proceeding we would ask you to do that. First of all. the Clerk has motions to read, is that correct? Committee Reports.™

- Clerk O'Brien: "The Committee on Rules has met in pursuant The following Bills have been ruled exempt on Rule 29(c13. 1987: House Bills 1038, 1416, 1763, 2190 and November 4. Senate Bills 187, 484, 485, 822. 961, 2756. 959. 1155. 1506 and 1520. Be adopted. House Resolution 528...I'm sorry. House Resolution 825 and 876 and Senate Resolution 59. Signed, John Matijevich, Chairman."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we are now going to Supplemental #5. Clerk? Are you ready, Mr. Here VOII finished? Okav. Supplemental #5. On Supplemental #5 They are motions that have appear 3 motions. been both sides of the aisle. They are Bills that deal with appropriations. The object is to activate Bills. although not act on them at the present time. The first motion Bill 2756. i < House spansared bν Representative Leveranz. Mr. Clerk, would you read the motion please?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion Pursuant to Rule 74(a), I move to take

 House 3ill 2756 from the table, suspend Rule 79(e) and

 place the Bill on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on
 the Order of Conference Committee Reports."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. A11 those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In opinion of the Chair, the Chair has unanimous consent for taking House Bill 2756 from the table and suspending 79(e). placing the Bill on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on the Order οf Conference Committee Reports. The next Bill is Senate Bill 485, sponsored by Representative Leverenz. Read the motion, Ar. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place

 Senate Bill 485 on the Calendar on the Order of Third

 Reading and suspend Rule 37(g)."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard...you have heard the Gentleman's Motion. Do I have leave by use of the Attendance Roll Call for this motion? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Attendance Roll Call will be used to move Senate Bill 485 from the table, place it on the Calendar on the Order of Third Reading and suspend Rule 37(g). The next Bill is Senate Bill 1520, sponsored by Representative Bowman. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion Pursuant to Rule 77(a), I move to discharge the Committee on Appropriations I from further consideration of Senate Bill 1520. Advance it to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day and suspend Rule 37(g)."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. Does the Chair have leave by use of the Attendance Roll Call to accept this motion? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And by use of the Attendance Roll Call this House discharges the Appropriations I Committee for Senate Bill 1520 and places the Bill on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day and

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

suspends Rule 37(g). Ladies and Gentleman, we are now to Supplemental #4. Representative Berrios, we're going to Supplemental 34. On the Order of Motions, appears With leave of the Body, Representative... Excuse me, on this matter on Senate Joint Resolution 59, going to take that out of the record. Representative Klemm, for what reason do you rise? That's just been taken out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are now going to proceed to business that appears on Cal...Supplemental Calendar #2. Supplemental Calendar #2. On Supplemental #2, the first Bill that we will address is House Bill 1616. Representative O'Connell has a motion. Representative O'Connell's motion. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1616. I move to take from the table
 and suspend Rule 79(e) and place on the Calendar on the
 Speaker's Table under the Order of Conference Committee
 Reports."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. As I understand it, on this motion it is agreed to by both sides of the aisle. Does the Chair have leave? Representative McCracken, you must use the microphone next to your desk, Sir. Representative McCracken. Representative McCracken.

McCracken: "I object."

Speaker Breslin: "Try it again, Mr. McCracken. Try the microphone again, please."

McCracken: "Yes, here I am. I object."

Speaker Breslin: "He'll take that one out of the record."

McCracken: "Madam Speaker, we skipped 1188."

Speaker Breslin: "That one is...we're checking the status of that one."

McCracken: "We know the status. Let me elucidate."

Speaker Breslin: "We're not sure that the Rules Committee has acted on it. So, we are checking it at the moment. We're

80th Legislative Day

November 4. 1987

trying to do those in which we are sure that both sides of the aisle agree. Okay?"

McCracken: "Well, we don't agree to any of them. We can make this brief."

Speaker Breslin: "You don't agree to any of them?"

McCracken: "No. We don't have any agreements, do we? Come over and talk."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. First Bill, on House Bill 1188,
Representative Frederick, the Bill has not been ruled
exempt by the Rules Committee. And it is for that reason
that it should not have even been placed on this Calendar.
So, in that case, we will only go to those Bills on the
Calendar that we can take action on. The first Bill,
Senate Bill 126. Representative Cullerton, you'll see them
down at the bottom of the list. Senate Bill 126.
Representative Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 126. I move to suspend Rule 79(e)

and place on the Calendar on the Order of the Speaker's

Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, you should proceed to the center aisle...of the side aisle, the center side aisle to present your motion. There will be an objection to your motion so we need debate on this motion."

McCracken: "No. Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative McCracken?"

McCracken: "Are you referring to my objection?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes."

McCracken: "Yeah, I have no objection to this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "I see. Excuse me, Representative Cullerton, there appears to be no objection to this Bill. So, Ladies and Gentlemen, the question before us, 'Should we take Senate Bill 126, suspend Rule 79(e), place it on the Calendar on the Order of the Speaker's Table on the Order

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

of Conference Committee Reports?* Is there any objection? Hearing no objection, and with use...with leave to use the Attendance Roll Call, the House does suspend Rule 79(e) as it pertains to Senate Bill 126 and places it on the Calendar on the Order of the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. The next Bill is Senate Bill 236. Representative Capparelli is the Sponsor of that Bill. Read the motion. Br. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 236. I move to suspend Rule 79(e)

and place on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table

on the Order of Conference Committee Reports."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli, please proceed to the side aisle to make your motion on this Bill. This motion requires only 60 votes, however there will be an objection raised by a Member. So we will require 60 votes for the passage of this motion. So, if you would explain your motion for us, it would be appreciated."

McCracken: "Parliamentary inquiry."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 236, (sic —
Senate Bill 236) I move to suspend Rule 76(e) (sic — Rule
79(e)) and place on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's
Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Before 1 address the parliamentary inquiry. Doesn't this require...it's mν contention it requires 71 votes. Does the Chair rely on that arcane language that differentiates between House and Senate Bills?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Chair does rely on that language, Sir."

McCracken: "Do you want to hear a good story about that?"

Speaker Breslin: "Not right now but, maybe later."

McCracken: "No, no. Just briefly, I will, if I may, I'll address

my motion...or my inquiry. When the Rules Committee met at

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

the beginning of this new General Assembly. I moved to that language to make it clear that there was no distinction between the House and Senate 3i11 there is no logical distinction. course, fact. I was told that the language said what I thought it should have said in the first I...what...what and is inconsistent with the Chair's Representative Matijevich led the charge on that one and I think we have an inconsistent precedent on the point."

Speaker dreslin: "I'll be happy to discuss it with you later as I said before. Now, it is the Chair's ruling that this motion requires 60 votes. So the question is, 'Shall..."

McCracken: "Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "To the motion."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken will address

Representative Capparelli's motion. Proceed."

McCracken: "This is a pension Bill that is of the most critical critical subject matter. It is great change in the а pension laws. It represents a very substantial expense And I might remind everybody that the motion. this state. by virtue of making it for taking it from the table. in effect will bypass committee, it'll go back to Conference Report and will be considered only in the rush of this Veto Senate Bill 236 will vest at certain levels a number of pensioners, who by law, we can later not reduce their levels. Any time anybody vests disallowed from reducing those benefits. This Bill, by avoiding the committee system and by having such far ranging effects and potential for state expense, should be considered through the committee system and should considered very carefully. I object to the motion, ask my colleagues to join me in a record vote."

80th Legislative Dav

November 4, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli, to close."

Capparelli: "I move to...I move that we support this override...motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 236 on the Calendar Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?' All those in favor vote 'ave'. a11 those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required for the acceptance...the adoption of this motion. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise? Representative McCracken will ask for a verification of the Roll Call. Vota vour own switches. Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this question. there are 67 voting 'ave', 48 voting 'no' and none voting Represent ... Representative McCracken withdraws *present ** his request for a verification. And the motion is adopted. The next 3ill is Senate Bil1 652. Representative Capparelli. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 652. I move to suspend Rule 79(e)
and place on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table
under the Order of Conference Committee Reports."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I once again move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place on the Calendar on the Order of the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. How many votes will this require, Madam?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend Rule 79(e),
place Senate Bill 652 on the Calendar on the Order of
Conference Committee Reports. Is there any discussion?
Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House suspend
Rule 79(e) and place on the Calendar on the Order of
Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee
Reports?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

And with use of the Attendance Roll Call, Senate 3ill 652

will be so treated. Senate dill 943. Representative

McPike. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 943. I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place on the Calendar on the Order of Conference Committee Reports."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike."

McCracken: "We have no objection in using the Attendance Roll
Call."

Speaker Breslin: "Verv good. There is no...it's the understanding of the Chair that there is no objection either side of the aisle to this motion. So, does the Chair have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 943 on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports? All those in favor say *aye*, all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Senate Bill 943 will be so treated. and Gentlemen, we'll now go back to the regular order of call on our regular printed Calendar. Page 7 of the Calendar, Amendatory Veto Motions. He'll go back to where we left off and that was with Representative Ronan's That Bill is Senate Bill 400. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk. Remember, Ladies and Gentlemen, that in the debate on these motions, if you wish to debate and if you are the Sponsor, you must proceed to the side aisle to a microphone to make your presentation. Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I move to accept the amendatory veto on Senate Bill 400, regarding credit cards and interest rates."

Speaker 3reslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change on Senate

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Bill 400. Is there any discussion? Representative McCracken."

- McCracken: "I'm in favor of the amendatory veto. It makes a neutral Bill better."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. The question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 400? All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required for the acceptance of this motion. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'ave'. none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This motion received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Eill 421. Representative Hannig. Clerk, read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion: I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 421 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hannig."

- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. As this Bill passed the House of Representatives, it basically was set up to change the method of computing interest rates on the Illinois Farm Development Act. The only change the Governor really did, is in some language that talked about the payment of salaries and contractual agreements and bonuses, is he struck the word bonuses and said that he thought it was not appropriate. I would move that we accept the Governor's Veto...Amendatory Veto of this proposal."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 421?' All those in favor 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. votes are required for the acceptance of this motion. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clark will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. this motion has received the required Constitutional the motion is adopted and the House accepts Majority. so the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Representative Homer, your Bill is next. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk. On Senate Bill 441."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 441. I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 441 by adoption of the following Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This bill, as amendatory, as amendatorily vetoed, would require a local education president or district superintendent to report freedom of information requests at all regular board education meetings. The Bill had been amended in the House Dut in an enforcement mechanism whereby alleged violations of the Freedom of Information Act would enforced by the respective state's attorneys. The Governor took that out with his amendatory veto and at this time. I would move to support that Amendment and tο accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 441. Is discussion? Hearing none, the question is, *Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change respect to Senate Bill 441?* A11 those in favor vote

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

'aye', all those opposed vote *no* + Voting is open. Representative Hensel, you're up next. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question, there are lll voting 'aye', 1 record. voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This motion the required Constitutional Majority. 50 the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 461. Read the motion, Ar. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 461 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hansel."

Hensel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. The Bill as amendatorily vetoed by the Governor, now expands the exceptions for downstate county competitive bidding to purchases of used equipment, purchases at auction or similar transactions which by their very nature are not suitable to competitive bids, pursuant to an ordinance adopted by the county boards. What this does is allow those that instead of having to go out for competitive bids when they have to go to an auction to buy used equipment, allows them to do that. I move that we concur with the Senate and their action and move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 461. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, *Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change in Senate Bill 461? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 482. Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 482 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to accept the specific recommendations for change of the Governor in Senate Bill 482. What it does is clear up a technical error in 482 which if we did not adopt the specific recommendations would be inconsistent with a Bill that had been passed and signed with the...by the Governor, Public Act 85-0638, in regards to the Addiction Research Institute. And therefore, because of this technical error I do make such a motion and appreciate your support."

Breslin: "The Speaker Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 482. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change respect to Senate Bill 482?' Δ11 those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is Representative Kirkland, you're up votes are required. You need to come down here to the microphone on the next. side aisle Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this wish? question. there are 114 voting "aye", none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This motion has received the Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 505. Representative Kirkland. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 505 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senator Friedland sponsored this Bill in the Senate. It started out authorizing transfer of some property in Elgin and grew as these Bills grew, so that in the end, it authorized approximately 48 real estate transactions to allow...mostly to allow the sale of land no longer needed by the State of Illinois. The Governor amendatorily vetoed the Bill to take out a provision regarding an authorization of the Department Conservation to lease a strip of land tο the Joliet Regional Port District. He also made technical correction to exclude a portion of land from a conveyance to the Department of Transportation. I don't helieve there's any controversy and ask for the acceptance."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change Bill 505. Ιs there discussion? Hearing none, the anv question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 505?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Representative Steczo, you're up next. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, the

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 537.

Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in passage of Senate Bill 537, the Governor's specific recommendations for the change notwithstanding."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. House 537 (sic - Senate Bill 537) is the new Optometric Bill Practice Act. And the Governor, when the Bill reached his made two changes, which he since admitted were in One to eliminate the veto was DOMET Optometric Licensing and Disciplinary Committee. And the discontinue mandatory ather tο education was The Senate, by the nature of the continuing education. Governor's agreement, voted to override and I would suggest the same."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo has moved to override the Governor's Veto of Senate Bill 5...Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 537. And on that question the Gentleman from DuPage. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Hill the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

- McCracken: "I don't have anything from the Governor's office on it, one way or the other. Could you elaborate on what their position is now? They...They confess that this was done in error?"
- Steczo: "Representative McCracken, yes. The Governor made two changes. The current law provides for certain veto power of the Optometric Licensing and Disciplinary Committee and Technical Review Board. Under the negotiations that took place last spring, between the Department of Registration and the Optometrist, that power was supposed to stay. The

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Governor took it out ... "

McCracken: "The optometrist are in favor of it's being retained?"

Steczo: "Could you repeat that, please?"

McCracken: "I say, the optometrist are in favor of retaining that

power?"

Steczo: "That is correct. And secondly, mandatory continuing education the same."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific...no, the question is, 'Shall the House override the Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate 537?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 71 votes are required. Representative Currie, you're up next. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question. are 114 voting 'aye', none there voting *no* and none voting 'present'. This motion, having received three-fifths Majority. the motion to override and House Bill 537, prevails is declared passed the recommendations for change of the Governor specific notwithstanding. The next Bill is Senate Bill 646. Read the motion, Ar. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 646. I move that the House concur with
the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific
recommendations for change to Senate Bill 646 by adoption
of the following Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie on the motion."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I move that the House do approve the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 646.

The Bill amends the Capitol Development Board Act to make sure that when new facilities or substantial rehabilitation of state facilities are planned, that attention is paid to

80th Legislative Day

November 4. 1987

the question whether day care provisions should be included. The Governor limits in his amendatory veto the application of that requirement to facilities in which a fair number of state employees will be housed, that is 150 employees in that particular facility. And I think that's a reasonable change and I would urge your support for the Governor's Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change in Senate Bill 646. Ιs there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. *Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 646?* A 1 1 those vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. favor Voting is 60 votes are required. Representative Giorgi, vou're next. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted uр who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Ωn this there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and question. none voting 'present'. This motion has received the required Constitutional Hajority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's recommendations for change. Senate Bill 653. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk. You have to go to the center aisle. Representative Giorgi. Read the motion. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 653 by adoption of the following Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgio"

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, I move that the House do accept the amendatory veto of the Governor and accept his message for Senate Bill 653. It has to do with the election laws and cleans up some of the election problems."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Governor's specific recommendations for change on Senate 653. Is there any discussion? Change the board, Mr. He's got it. 653. Is there any discussion? Clerk. Hearing none...we have to wait to print the last vote. haven't printed the last bill yet, so we're trying to get that done so we can print your Bill, Representative Giorgi. will repeat the The question is...I Now we're okav. question, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change on Senate Bill 653?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting Representative Steczo, you're up next. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'ave', 6 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. And the House does accept the Governor's specific recommendations for received change. The mation has the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the recommendations of the Governor. Senate Bill 717. Read the motion, Ar. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change for Senate Bill 717 by adoption of their Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 717 contained language with regard to proprietary schools and allowed the State Scholarship Commission to promulgate rules and regulations. However, the..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo. Proceed, Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. As I was indicating, this...the Bill contained language that would have allowed the State Scholarship Commission to promulgate rules and

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

regulations with regard to proprietary schools. However, the enabling legislation never passed the General Assembly, so the Governor deleted that language. And I...in accepting the Governor's Amendatory Veto we would be in fact accepting that language. And I would move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 717."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved tο accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change on Senate Bill 717. Is there any discussion? Hearing none. the auestion is. •Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senata Bill 717?* A 1 1 those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed Voting is open. 60 votes are Representative Keane, you're up next. You have to come to the side aisle. Representative Leverenz? Have all voted who wish on Senate Bill 717? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no' and I voting 'present'. And does accept the Governor's the specific recommendations for change. Since the motion has received the required Constitutional Majority. that motion is adopted. The next Bill is Senate Bill 749. Representative Leverenz. Clerk, read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the adoption...in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 749 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Governor has made four changes. One of which narrows the Bill to deal with counties that have adopted a solid waste management plan.

The change...also the provision to properly reference the siting criteria, including the 100 year flood plan...plain

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

or site is flood proofed. The next is to delete a section that is inconsistent with other laws that he has signed. And I would move that we would accept the Governor's recommendations."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change in Senate Rill 749. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 749?' All those in favor vote 'ave'. all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Representative McPike. Representative Matijevich, would you come to the podium please. Have all voted who Clerk. take the record. On this question. there are 114 voting 'ave'. none voting 'no' and none This motion has received the required voting 'present'. Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Senate Bill 798. Clerk will read the Bill (sic motion)."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 798 by adoption of their Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, the change that the Governor made in this dill was primarily language change. Ιt does not change the concept. person who is in to teach courses at university system to be licensed under Illinois state law on a temporary basis and it says they have a temporary

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

license. I would move to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change in Senate Bill 798."

- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from LaSalle has moved to accept the Governor's recommendations for change on Senate Bill 793. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's recommendations for change on Senate Bill 798?' Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays'. And the House does accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change on Senate Bill 798. Would you record Representative Sreslin 'aye' on her own motion and she's in the Chair."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi, Senate Bill 827. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations of change for Senate Bill 827 by adoption of their Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, this is the Bill that allows a felon, that after he's completed his sentence after 20 years, to apply for a Federal Firearm Owners Identification Card.

 And the Governor also put into the Bill an effective date, so I need a three-fifths vote to get the Bill passed and accept the Governor's Veto Message. So I move for the adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 827. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 827?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

71 votes are required. open. Have all voted ⊌ho all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 98 voting 'ave', I voting 'no' and 14 voting 'present'. This motion has received the required Extraordinary Majority, so the motion is the House accepts the Governor's recommendations for change. Senate Rill 909-Representative Regan. Clerk, read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change of Senate Bill 909 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Senate 909 Aill authorizes the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority to prescribe form, manner, fees for non-criminal justice agency requests. The Governor's change simply moved it from the Authority...from Criminal Justice Information Authority to the Department of State Police because that is the administrating agency. And I move for the adoption of the Governor's changes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Regan has moved to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 909. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 909?* All those in 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required for acceptance n f this motion. Representative O'Connell, you're next. Representative O'Connell, you're next. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'aye', none voting *no * and none voting motion The has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the

80th Legislative Day

- November 4, 1987
- House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 926. Clerk, read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 926 by adoption of their Amendment."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would move to accept the
 Governor's Amendments...Amendatory Veto on...would you
 please take it out of record."
- Speaker Breslin: "Fine. Out of the record. Senate Bill 957.

 Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 957 by adoption of their Amendment."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. the Mould move tο accept Governor's specific recommendations for change on Senate bill 957. This is the Clinical Social Worker Licensure Act. After we passed the Act and it went to the Governor, some of the professions were concerned that this would affect them and the Governor in his amendatory veto message, said that he would rather err on the side of caution and said that the language herein would be that it would not take effect additional legislation, except that it would remain on the books for one year to serve as a basis for the next of action. We feel that within that next year that we will make sure that we pass the appropriate language. Me thought we took care of the other professions, but we now accept the Governor's change."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 957. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 957?' All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is votes are required. Representative O'Connell will be next. Wa # 11 ao back to his Bill. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Wait a minute. Representative Dunn wishes to vote 'aye'. There are, therefore, 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Clark, we'll go back to Senate Bill 926 that was just taken out of the record. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk. Gentlemen. Read the motion. Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 926 by adoption of their Amendment."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, I would move at this time to accept the Governor's changes to Senate Bill 926. recognized the lack of Specifically. they...the Governor funding for this year and therefore delayed the effective until 1990 as opposed to 1988. So, the new effective date would be July 1. 1990. Secondly, the responsibility for prescribing the form for the information...information and the manner bν which criminal history information would be distributed, would remain within the Department of the State Police. So. I would make a motion to accept those changes."
- "The Gentleman Speaker Breslin: has moved to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 926. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

recommendations for change with respect t o Senate Bill 926?* A11 those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Cullerton, vou're up next. Representative And after that... Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Ωn this question, there are 111 voting "ave", 1 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. The motion the required Constitutional Majority. 50 the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 1046. Read the motion, Ar. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 1046 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative...Representative Cullerton." Cullerton: "Yes. thank vou. Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill, Hou...Senate 3ill 1046 created a new Act to regulate rental purchase agreements. And it required disclosures. allowed for reinstatement. limits charges and provides for damages and penalties. Now, what the Governor's Amendment, which I wish to accept. did was to change the penalty provisions of the new As we passed it, the Bill imposed absolute liability upon any merchant who violated its provisions. The Governor requirement that intent be proven. added The Governor also changed the penalty from a business offense to a petty offense and this is, I believe, appropriate since the cap in the law is equivalent to the highest fine that can be imposed for a petty offense. So. for that reason I would to accept the Governor's move specific recommendations for change in Senate Bill 1046. I * d happy to answer any questions, for that matter."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

"The Gentleman has moved to Speaker Breslin: accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate bill 1046. Ιs any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change respect to Senate Bill 1046?* All those in favor vote *ave*, all those opposed vote *no*. Voting is open. are required. Have all voted who wish? votes Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Ωn this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. The motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted accepts the House Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 1052. Read the motion. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 1052 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you. Hadam Speaker. Hembers of the Assembly. T move to accept the amendatory veto of the Governor OΩ Senate Bill 1052. He made two changes. One was in regards to the Real Estate Transfer Tax Act. And there has been some problems with the Department of Revenue, Taxpayers Federation. Therefore, he deleted that from the Bill inasmuch as it came in...in a conference report at the late hour. Further he, regarding the payment options accelerated sales taxpavers, he made some change in that area. And I wish to accept those changes."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the amendatory veto on Senate Bill 1052. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, *Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

respect to Senate Bill 1052?* All those in favor 'ave'. all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. votes are required. Representative Leverenz. vou*re uр nevt. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question. there 103 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting *present*. And this motion has received the Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for Senate Bi 11 1181. Representative Leverenz. change. Clerk, read the motion."

- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 1181 by adoption of their
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. Representative Leverenz, talk."
- Leverenz: "Madam Speaker, I'm sorry, thank you. Madam Speaker,
 the Bill makes...the amendatory veto rather, makes two
 changes. One is a punctuation change, a correction. And
 the other one deletes that the ICC would be the only
 regulatory or enforcement agency allowing any enforcement
 agency to deal with this law. I would now move that we
 accept the Governor's recommendations."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Sentleman moves to accept the amendatory of the Governor with respect to Senate Bill 1181. Hearing none, the there any discussion? question is. 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1181?* Δ11 those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Farley, you're up next and open. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'.

This motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 1215. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 1215 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on this Bill. It's technical in changes and I talked to the Minority Spokesman and everything is in agreement. It's necessary changes, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept Governor's specific recommendations for change Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1215?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed Voting is open. 60 votes vote 'no'. are required. Representative Capparelli, you're up next. Have all Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting votina *no* and 2 voting 'present'. This motion has the required Constitutional Majority, so the received motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 1286 -Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 1286 by adoption of their

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli."

- Capparelli: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. There are four technical changes the Governor made. I understand they're agreed on both sides of the aisle. I move to accept the amendatory veto recommended by the Senate."
- Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Speaker Governor's Amendatory Veto of Senate Bill 1286. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change respect to Senate Bill 1286?* All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. votes are required. Representative Kulas, you're up next. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'ave'...113 voting 'ave', none voting and none voting *present*. This motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 1314. motion. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the passage of Senate Bill 1314, the Governor's specific recommendations for the change notwithstanding."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1314 dealt with the transfer of the Office of Coordination of Gang Prevention from the division of Forensic Services to the Division of Criminal Investigation in the State Police Department. The Governor vetoed out an important part of the Bill, which gives the teeth to the Bill as far as the mobile units of trained personnel to responding in gang activities and so on. And

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

the Senate in it's wisdom overrode the Governor's

Amendatory Veto and I'm asking you also to override the

Governor's Veto."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the amendatory veto of Senate Bill 1314. And on that question, the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Countryman."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Would the Gentleman yield for some questions?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

- Countryman: "Representative, it's my understanding that the Governor's Veto dealt with a question of...he felt the language consult with and use of services of religious leaders or other celebrities, is a bad public policy. Is that right?"
- Kulas: "No, he didn't say that. He said that in keeping with the fiscal constraint, I cannot approve the language which would create an expansion of the Departments responsibility in this program. He doesn't mention anything in his message as far as the religious, the clergy or celebrities."

Countryman: "What is meant by a mobile unit, do you know?"

Kulas: "I'm not certain what they're referring to. But these are mobile units that are in place at the present time. It's not like their going out and buying new mobile units or anything. These mobile units would be with trained personnel that would be able to deal with gang activities."

Countryman: "Hould a mobile unit include two investigators in a patrol car?"

Kulas: "Pardon me?"

Countryman: "Hould a mobile unit be like two investigators in a patrol car?"

Kulas: "I presume so. It would be somebody that would be proficient in dealing with these gangs."

80th Legislative Day

November 4. 1987

Countryman: "What kind of training must the trained personnel receive?"

Kulas: "I'm not familiar with that, Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "To the motion. Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

"I haven't studied this Bill in great magnitude, but Countryman: the State Police contacted me and they're concerned this override because the language is vague. And this mobile unit, it might invade the confidentiality of police officers at work. And I don't think we want to do that. And I think that that's something that's probably I think that they intended here. And believe it's a mandate for them to consult with and use the services religious leaders and other celebrities and they want to keep these things confidential. I think the Sponsor's motives are good and well intentioned, but I think we've ended up with some language here that we should work out in some other way. I guess I'd urge a 'no' vote at this time, until we can work out some sort of other language here or come up with another next year that can solve this problem. Thank you."

Speaker 3reslin: "The question before us, Ladies and Gentlemen, is whether or not the Gov... The House should override the Governor's Amendatory Veto of this Bill. There being no further discussion, Representative Kulas to close."

Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen House. I think the previous speaker was a little mistaken. a unit that has been in place for 3 or 4 years This is All we're doing is already. transferring it from one system tο another system within the State Police Department. They're doing a good job and we want continue doing a job, but, we want them also to work with these other people, with the religious clergy, with using 80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

celebrities to take care of these gang problems, with the mobile units. So, I would ask my colleagues to agree with the Senate and to override the Governor in this amendatory veto."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House override the Governor's specific recommendations for change in Senate Bill 1314? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 71 votes are required. 71 votes are required to override the Governor's specific recommendations for change in this Bill. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 49 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. So the motion fails. Representative Kulas? Okav. The next motion is Senate...is on Senate Bill 1484. Clerk, read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 1484 by adoption of their Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Slater."

- Slater: "Speaker, Senate Bill 1484 deals with the Controlled Substance Act. And it reclassified several drugs accordance with changes nade at the federal level. The Governor made amendatory vetoes to correct a drafting error in the Bill and his amendatory veto message also immediate effective date. I move it's acceptance."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the specific recommendations for change in Senate Bill 1484. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to Senate Bill 1484?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Representative Kulas, you're up next.

80th Legislative Day

November 4. 1987

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting *aye*, none voting 'no' and none 'present'. This motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Senate Bill 1498. Read the motion. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate in the acceptance of the Governor's specific recommendations for change to Senate Bill 1498 by adoption of their Amendment."

Breslin: "Representative Kulas. Representative Kulas." Speaker Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen I would move that the House do accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 1498. There was the Governor made. changes that SOME technical He made some deletions to make this legislation comply with the federal legislation and I would ask for acceptance."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the amendatory veto of Senate Bill 1498. there any the discussion? Hearing none, question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change respect to Senate Bill 1498?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. there are 115 voting 'ave', none voting 'no' and auestion. none voting 'present'. This motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, so the motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Ladies and Gentlemen, we will now go to Supplemental #6. Supplemental Calendar the Order of Speaker's Table appears SJR 59. Going...since

80th Legislative Day

November 4. 1987

the Gentleman does not wish to proceed on that Resolution. we'll now go to Supplemental #2, which is the Supplemental dealing with motions. House Bill 99, out of the record. House Bill 1055, out of the record. House Bill 1188 should not appear on this Calendar, so it is out of the record. The next Rill ic House Bill 1616. Representative O'Connell. Read the motion. Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to take from the table and suspend Rule
 79(e) and place House Bill 1616 on the Calendar on the
 Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee
 Reports."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell."
- O*Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. At this time I would move to have House Bill 1616 and its Conference Committee removed from the table and put on the Calendar for
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,
 'Shall the House take House Bill 1616 from the table,
 suspend Rule 79(e) and place it on the Calendar on the
 Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee
 Reports?' And on that motion, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "It's just...I told Representative O'Connell, I would not oppose the motion. I'm just curious, we skipped over again, 1188. Is that...is that supposed to go through Rules Committee?"
- O°Connell: "My understanding is that 1188 has not gone through
 Rules yet, but, it is on the list of Bills to go through
 Rules."
- McCracken: "Okay• And Representative Matijevich is nodding•
 Thank you• John•"
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, *Does the House have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to move House 3ill 1616 from

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

the table, suspend Rule 79(e) and place it on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House does have leave to so act on House Bill 1616. The next Bill is Representative Steczo's Bill. House Bill 1923. Read the motion, Hr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to take from the table, suspend Rule 79(e)
 and place Senate (sic House) Bill 1923 on the
 Calendar..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me... excuse me... excuse me, Mr. Clerk.

 Take that Bill out of the record. House Bill 2034.

 Representative... Out of the record. House Bill 2065.

 Representative Van Duyne. Out of the record. House Bill 2712. Representative Holf. Out of the record. House Bill 2748. Representative Flinn. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to take from the table, suspend Rule 79(e)

 and place House Bill 2743 on the Calendar on the Speaker's

 Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Monroe Flinn."

- Flinn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Madam Speaker, and Ladies Gentlemen of the House, I move to take from the table, House Bill #2748 and to place on the Calendar on Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. There ₩as a...an Amendment got on inadvertently and it needs to be removed. And that's all that's wrong with the Bill. I'd appreciate a vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. The question is, 'Does the Chair have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call so that House Bill 2748 will be taken from the table, Rule 79(e) be suspended and place this Bill on the Order of the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?' All those in favor say

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And this Bill will be so treated. Supplemental Calendar announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #7 is being distributed."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to go now to

Supplemental Calendar #6. Pull out Supplemental Calendar

#6. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 1038.

Representative Rea's Bill. Mr. Clerk, read Representative

Rea's motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move to take from the table, suspend Rule 79(e)
and place Senate Bill 1038 on the Calendar on the Speaker's
Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports."

Speaker Braslin: "Representative Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I would move to take from the table and suspend Rule 79(e) and place on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 1038."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved that the House take this Bill, House Bill 1038 from the table, suspend Rule 79(e) and place the Bill on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, 'Does the Chair have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to move this Bill from the table, suspend Rule 79(e) and place it on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Bill will be so treated. House Bill..."

Rea: "Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, Representative Rea."

Rea: "I would ask leave now to move to reject the First

Conference Committee Report and ask for a Second Conference

Committee Report."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea now moves to reject the First Conference Committee Report and ask that a second Conference Committee be convened. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the Ho...shall House reject the First Conference Committee Report and appoint a second Conference Committee? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'aye's have it. And a second Conference Committee will bе appointed. House Bi 11 1416. Representative Giglio. Read the motion. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to discharge Revenue Interim Study

 Calendar and advance House Bill 1416 to the Order of Second

 Reading, Second Legislative Day and suspend Rule 37(g)."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio."

- Giglio: "Madam Speaker, I would move that the House discharge from Revenue Interim Study Calendar and advance to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day and that appropriate Rule 37(g) be suspended."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, *Does the Chair have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call that House Bill 1416 will be discharged from the Revenue Interim Study Calendar and advance this Bill to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day and suspend Rule 37(g)? All those in favor say 'aye', all those In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. say 'no'. And the Attendance Roll Call will be used so that House Bill 1416 can be 50 treated. House 3111 1763. Representative Kulas. Out of the record. Senate Bill 187. Representative Mulcahey, you're up next. Representative Mulcahey. Read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 187 on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table on

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

the Order of Conference Committee Reports."

- Speaker Breslin: "You have the heard the Gentleman's motion. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Does the Chair have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to move Senate Bill 187, to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 187 on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed...Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "We are opposed and since it's subject to that arcane rule, I guess we'll take a vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. It takes 60 votes. So the...and we will be voting on this motion. The question is Shall the House suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 187 on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?* All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes required. Only vote your own switches. Have all voted who wish? 60 votes are required. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'ave', 49 voting 'no' and none voting 'present. And the House does suspend Rule 79(e) to place Senate Bill 187 on the Calendar of Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Senate Bill 484. Representative McPike. read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate
 Bill 484 on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table on
 the Order of Conference Committee Reports."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike, on the motion."
- McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. That's the motion that the Clerk just read. This is a UI vehicle."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

 'Shall the House suspend Rule 79(e) so that Senate Bill 484

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

can be placed on the Calendar on the Order ٥f Speaker's on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?* Δ11 those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say opinion of the Chair, the "aves" have it. And the Attendance Roll Call will be used so that Senate Bill placed on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's he Table. Conference Committee Reports. Senate Bill 822. Representative Countryman. Clerk, read the motion."

- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate

 Bill 822 on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table.

 Order of Conference Committee Reports."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion, is there discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Does the House have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 822 20 the Order of Speaker's Table on the Order of Calendar an Conference Committee Reports?* All those in favor 'ave'. all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Senate Bill 822 will be treated. Senate Bill 959. Representative Capparelli. Clerk, read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to discharge Executive and Veterans'

 Affairs Interim Study Calendar from further consideration

 and advance to the Order of Second Reading, Second

 Legislative Day and suspend Rule 37(g)."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Does the Chair have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to discharge the Executive and Veterans' Affairs Interim Study Calendar of Senate Bill 959 and advance it to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, and suspend Rule 37(g). All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

80th Legislative Day

- November 4, 1987
- And Senate Bill 959 will be so treated. Senate Bill 961.
 Representative Hoffman. Clerk, read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate

 Bill 961 on the Calendar, Speaker's Table, on the Order of
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Does the House have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call, to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 961 on the Conference...on the Calendar under the Order of Speaker's Table, Order of Non-concurrence?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Senate Bill 961 will be so treated. Senate Bill 1155. Representative Braun. Clerk, read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate

 Bill 1155 on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table,

 Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Lady's motion. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall...Does the Chair have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 1155 on the Calendar on the Order Speaker's Table, under Conference Committee Reports? A11 those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. Τn the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Senate Bill 1155 will be so treated. Senate Bi11 1506. Representative Flowers. Clerk, read the motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate
 Bill 1506 on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table.

 Conference Committee Reports."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Lady's motion. Is there any discussion? Representative McCracken, on the motion on Senate Bill 1506."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

McCracken: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. I rise in opposition to I understand that the Chair will rule. is a 60 vote motion. I would still ask all of the Members on either side of the aisle to consider this. This is the Robbin's bail out Bill in addition to Representative Flowers' particular provisions on the Bill. This was hotly debated at the end of June and as a matter of fact, was the subject of some acrimonious parliamentary maneuvering at that time. At that time I failed to point out that this contained as well as that provision that Representative Flowers wants, the Robbins bail out measure. t think should be opposing this. That is a bad idea. It has been considered repeatedly by this House and all this will do is resurrect an old ghost and we should stand in opposition to i + _ #

Speaker 3reslin: "There being no further discussion, Representative Flowers to close."

Flowers: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1506 is what we call the Community Right Bill and the Robbins aill happened to be amended on Know And I feel that the community has the right to know of the various programs that are coming into their community and it's a very good Bill and is not to anyone's detriment and I would urge your 'aye' vote for Senate Bill Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House suspend Rule 79(e) so that Senate Bill 1506 would be placed on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table under the Order Ωf Conference Committee Reports? All those in favor vote 'ave'. all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. votes are required for the acceptance of this motion. Push your own switches. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Representative McCracken will request a

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

verification if the vote is close. Have all voted who wish? On this question... Mr. Clerk, take the roll. On this question, there are 63 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And Representative McGracken persists in his request for a verification. Poll the affirmative, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Affirmative. Berrios. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Daley. Davis. Dunna Farlev. Flinna DeJaegher. DeLeo. Flowers. Giorgi. Giglio. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Huff. Homer. Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. LeFlore. Leverenz. Laurino. levin-Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McNamara McPike. Morrow. Novak. O'Connell. Phelps. Rea. Richmond. Mulcahev. Satterthwaite. Ronan. Saltsman. Shaw-Steczo. Stern. Turner。 Van Duyne。 White. Sutker. Terzich. williams. Holf. Anthony Young. And Myvetter Younge."

Speaker Breslin: "Do you have any questions of the Affirmative Roll, Mr. McCracken?"

McCracken: "Yes. Thank you. Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. Ted Leverenz. Is the

Gentleman in the chamber? Ted Leverenz. He is not in the

chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley. Representative Bruce Farley? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not.

Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Richmond?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks. Larry Hicks. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. Remove him from the

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Roll Calle"

McCracken: "Representative Hannig?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hannig? Gary Hannig. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Satterthwaite?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite. Helen Satterthwaite. Is the Lady in the chamber? She is not. Remove her from the Roll Call. Representative Hicks has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'. Representative Hannig has also returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'."

McCracken: "Represent...Representative Currie?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Bowman?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Sowman. Woods Bowman is in the

McCracken: "Representative Phelps?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps. David Phelps. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps has returned to the chamber. Representative McCracken. Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'. Representative DeLeo is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Huff?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Huff. Doug Huff. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call. Representative Stern, for what reason do you seek recognition? I am advised that Representative Huff is in the nurse's station. That's up to Representative McCracken as to what he wants to do."

80th Legislative Day November 4, 1987

McCracken: "You can put him back on."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Leave the Gentleman on the Roll Call voting 'aye', Mr. Clerk."

McCracken: "Representative Rice?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rice is not voting. He's been excused today."

McCracken: "Representative Berrios?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Berrios is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Saltsman?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman is here."

McCracken: "Representative Williams?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams is here."

McCracken: "Representative Novak?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Novak is here."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino is here."

McCracken: "Representative Anthony Young?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tony Young is here."

McCracken: "What, you guys wait outside till you hear your names?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'."

McCracken: "That's all."

Speaker Breslin: "On this question, there are 61 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And the motion is adopted. On Calendar... On Supplemental Calendar ...6, on the Order of Speaker's Table appears SJR 59. Mr. Clerk, read the mo... Is there a motion to be read. Hr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 59 creates the Joint
Committee on Welfare Reform and final written report given
to the General Assembly by December 31, 1987. Rules
Committee recommends be adopted."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Berrios。 On Senate Joint

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Resolution 59."

- Berrios: "I move for the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Joint

 Resolution 59 which would extend the deadline to 1988."
- Speaker Breslin: "Do you have an Amendment filed, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 amends Senate Joint Resolution
 59 by deleting the following."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Berrios has moved for the adoption of Amendment &1 to Senate Joint Resolution 59. Is there any discussion on Amendment &1? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Yes, it's on the Speaker's

 Table, so it doesn't go to Third Reading. Representative

 Berrios, do you wish to proceed on the Resolution now?

 Very good. Proceed. Representative Currie on the

 Resolution."
- Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 59 is almost identical to House Resolution 56 adopted by this House in May of 1987. The would ioint create а committee...ioint Resolution Senate-House Committee with public members as well as issues in welfare Representatives and Senators to assess This state spends an enormous amount of money in reform. welfare budget. There's been a lot of enthusiasm for welfare reform and this Assembly adopted in House Bill 2852 in the Spring Session a major step forward in the area of welfare reform, but there is a good deal more still to be done. The creation of this joint committee would give the legislature and give members of the public an opportunity to participate in future decisions that will move this

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

state further in seeing to it that our welfare system is humane, is effective and encourages people to become economically independent. I would be happy to answer your questions and would appreciate your support for Senate Joint Resolution 59."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Senate

 Joint Resolution 59 and on that question, is there any
 discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall
 Senate...Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Before I proceed to a discussion on the merits, I'd like to make point I believe the Chair has erred in treating this as a consideration on the merits immediately. I think the Chair to distinguish between the issue of whether the Bill can be heard immediately and if it can be heard on whether it can be passed by a Constitutional or merits. Extraordinary Majority. I think under Rule 43 there is question that this has to be...in order to be considered on the merits immediately, the rule has to be suspended, 43(a), and that requires 71 votes. I am prepared for rule that on the merits it may require 60 for Chair tο adoption, but to suspend the rules requiring its being heard immediately, 71 votes, in fact, are required."
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. McCracken, it's the opinion of the Chair that your point is not well taken. This Eill was out of the Rules Committee as a substantive committee. The committee reported it to this floor. There was nο requirement for leave that it be heard immediately on this It was sent to this floor from the Rules Committee floor and it was to be acted on. He did allow, of course. be amended. That didn't require any vot...special vote requirement so that's why we didn't even ask if leave to be heard immediately. Representative McCracken."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Right. As I said, the Chair has McCracken: "Righta missed the distinction. Ιf it's reported out as a substantive Bill the requirements relating to time. committee assignment, days on the Calendar all apply. If it's a substantive Bill it is treated under the rules, for those purposes, the same as if it were not a Resolution, as if it a substantive Bill. The substantive committee is rules in this case, but that does not alter the requirements for the days on the Calendar, Second Reading, advance to Third Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "It is the opinion of the Parliamentarian, however, that if you go back and look at the motion, which originally put this Bill into the Rules Committee, all of those rules were suspended and that was done upon motion and accepted by both sides of the aisle and a Majority...an Extraordinary Majority of this Assembly so..."

McCracken: "Where was I?"

Speaker Breslin: "Maybe you were back in the Rules So you should check that. I think that was done. already. You have heard the Lady's motion. She has moved that we adopt Senate Joint Resolution 59. All those in favor *aye*, all those opposed... Requires 60 votes? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Only vote your own switches. 60 votes are Ladies and Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen. demonstrations are not allowed in the House, although I am sure the Sponsor appreciates it. this question, there are 65 voting 'aye', 40...66 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no' and one voting 'present'. And Senate Joint Resolution 59 is adopted. The next Bill is House Resolution...on the same order, is House Resolution 876. Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton? Mr. Clerk, can you read the Resolution?"

80th Legislative Day November 4, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 876 changes House Rules"

deadlines. Rules Committee recommends be adopted."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I move for the adoption. 'Mammy, how I love ya,

Speaker dreslin: "Representative Cullerton, are you finished?"

Cullerton: "Yes. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the...of House Resolution 876 which deals with a change in House Rule deadlines and on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank vou. Before I address the merits, I'd like a point of order that this cannot be considered at this time because of the 71 vote requirement. ThiseasThis vou make to a prior action. I think again is reference inaccurate. Any prior action as to the posting of these or the hearing of these Resolutions or dills in the Rules Committee was only to waive the posting notice. no other matter. The fact is that these Resolutions are brand new. You asked the posting requirements to be waived, they were waived by agreement, but that's all that Nothing else was waived. waived. It's true on the last Resolution and it's true today and if after Chair's ruling, if it's appropriate, I'd like to address the merits."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative McCracken, again checking the record, this Resolution was not one of those in which we had to waive the posting rules, et cetera. It was posted and properly heard in the Rules Committee so those...as well as 8...House Resolution 825, so there were no requirements for those rules to apply."
- McCracken: "Alright. Well then, if it was properly posted then nothing was waived. There is no prior act, either for that

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Resolution or this Resolution that would've resulted in a waiver of these issues at an earlier time. If in fact the posting wasn't waived and there was no request for a waiver of any kind, then the rules clearly apply. If the rules apply, then to have it heard immediately on the day it comes out of a substantive committee, because that's how you're treating these Resolutions, then clearly the daily Calendar requirements are in force. The constitutional requirements are in force and it can't be considered."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, the Chair has decided that your point is not well taken. Reading Rule 83(f), this Resolution was adopted by the House Rules Committee by a three-fifths Majority vote and in any event, that rule requirement requires that it then be adopted by only 60 Members. So..."
- I understand. 1 don*t think that*s McCracken: HYES ma * am • responsive to my position. If this is a substantive and is considered by the Rules Committee in its capacity as a substantive committee, then all of those daily Calendar requirements, the constitutional requirement for is that not applicable? All of the daily readings. whv Calendar requirements are applicable."
- Speaker Breslin: "Because it does not apply to Resolutions.

 Those things only apply to Bills."
- McCracken: "No, no, no. In Rule 43(a), for certain purposes,

 Resolutions are treated the same as Bills. If it's voted

 out of the committee today it is not applic...or, it cannot

 be considered immediately unless the rules are suspended."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative AcCracken, we have re-read Rule
 43 and all of the referenced rules in it and it is still
 our opinion that First, Second and Third Readings do not
 apply to Resolutions other than Constitutional Amendments
 and never have, so..."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

McCracken: "Let us move forward. We just aren't going to agree."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. He'll agree to disagree on this one.

The question before us is..."

McCracken: "Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes?"

McCracken: "I'd like to address the motion, then."

Speaker Breslin: "Please do."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, are you still in the chamber? Would you address... Would you answer some questions, please? He will, Sir. Proceed."

How does this differ from the previous McCracken: "Thank you. change made earlier in 1987, to the rules? Didn't the original change to this rule regarding deadline dates, wasn't that changed as a part o f the permanent this session of the adopted for General Assembly and doesn't this change it back to the original rule? And if it changes it back to the original rule, what is the hurry? We're talking about May, now, 1988 deadlines. Why are we acting on this today?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "We are conforming with the changes that the Senate made last week. The Senate made these changes last week.

This is in conformance with the Senate Rules. And you had another question concerning how it differs from last year, is that what...?"

McCracken: "Well, alright, we can move on to that last answer.

Doesn't... don't these rules... oh, I see. You want the

House Rules to conform with the Senate Rules that were

changed last week."

Cullerton: "Well, with regard to the schedule, yes."

McCracken: "Okay. I mean, why do we have to do this before May?

I mean, why do we have to do it today?"

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Cullerton: "Why do it now?"

- McCracken: "Yeah, because the deadlines in question aren't affected until May of 1988. What's... What is the point?"
- Cullerton: "What about introduction deadlines, for example? Why
- McCracken: "They are all in May. All of the effective deadlines are..."
- Cullerton: "Well, for example, what if you wanted to schedule a trip to Disneyland with your children and you know that there are certain weeks where your children have time off from school...catholic schools have different vacations than public schools..."
- McCracken: "I didn't think of that. That's right. I didn't think of that. That's right. I should've thought of that."
- Cullerton: "So, I think the idea that we are given well in advance the schedule for the next year, including when to file 3ills, et cetera, we should inform the Members and the public as soon as possible and that's what we're doing .right now, what that schedule would be."
- McCracken: "They aren't filing dates. They are all dates in May and June. It isn't for introduction of Bills. It's for various interim deadlines. I mean, I don't see the point."
- Cullerton: "Hell, I don't see the point as to why one would be opposed to them at this point in time."
- McCracken: "I asked the question originally, why are we doing it and if you want to do it, why are we doing it now?"
- Cullerton: "And my answer is, why not?"
- McCracken: "Okay. Hell, this has been a productive exchange."
- Cullerton: "If you could tell me there is some great reason why
 we shouldn't do this. We can always change it in the
 future, right? Let's let the public know what the schedule
 is going to be next year. There's school groups, there's

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

school groups that determine when they're going to come down to Springfield."

McCracken: "Okay. John, I just don't have a comeback for that.

I wish I had thought of it originally, I would have saved

us all a lot of trouble..."

Cullerton: "Thank you. I appreciate your support."

McCracken: "...but you know when the Democrats, or the majority, wants to change a rule that has some application in May of the next year and they want to do it in one day when they pop something out of Rules Committee before we have a chance to even know if it's a good idea, we get curious, that's all."

Cullerton: "And suspicious."

McCracken: "And suspicious."

Cullerton: "And we're just doing it for the kids."

McCracken: "And we're doing it for the kids. Alright. Well, I see my leader up there, so he probably has the answer by now."

Speaker Breslin: "He says anything for the kids. That's exactly what the Minority Leader said was 'anything for the kids', so the question is 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 876?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 60 votes are required. Only vote your own switches. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 64 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. Representative McCracken asks for a verification. Poll the affirmative, Hr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Daley. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Flinn. Giglio. Flowers. Giorgi. Granberg. Hanniq. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Jones. Keane. Krska.

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Laurino。 Lang. LeFlore. Leverenz. Kulasa Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. Morrow. Mulcahey. Novak. O'Connell. Rea. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Turner. Van Duyne. Steczba White. Williams. Wolf. Anthony Young. And Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker dreslin: "Do, you have any questions... Representative McCracken, John Dunn asks leave to be verified. Does he have leave? John Dunn, does he have leave? He has leave to be verified. Representative Shaw also asks leave to be verified. Proceed. Do you have any questions of the affirmative?"

McCracken: "Yes I do. Thank you. Representative Mulcahey?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mulcahey. Dick Mulcahey. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. Remove him from the Roll Call. He is in the chamber. He has returned.

Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. Ted Leverenz.

Representative Leverenz is not in the chamber. Remove him
from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Jones?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Lou Jones. Representative Lou Jones. She is not in the chamber at the moment. But she is in the chamber now, so keep her on the Roll Call. Any other questions?"

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you. Representative Bowman?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Woods dowman. Woods Bowman is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Phelps?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps. David Phelps. The

Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Call."

McCracken: "Representative White?"

Speaker dreslin: "Representative White. Jesse White. The Gentleman is in the chamber. The Gentleman is in the chamber. Keep him on the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Preston?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Preston. Lee Preston. The Gentleman is not voting. And Representative Phelps has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting 'aye'."

McCracken: "Representative Kulas?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas. Myron Kulas. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Roman?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan. Al Ronan. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Keane?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane. Jim Keane. He's here."

McCracken: "Okay. I see him. Representative Farley?"

Speaker 3reslin: "Representative Farley. Bruce Farley. The
Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll
Call."

McCracken: "Representative Rea?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Okay. Representative Richmond?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond's in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative... Oh, I see him. Representative

Berrios?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Berrios. Joe Berrios. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Joe Berrios. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Madam Speaker."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, Sir."

- McCracken: "One of our Republican's was ill and went to the nurse's station and tells me he's the only Representative in there. I'll be happy to take your word for it that Representative Huff is in there, but unless someone can confirm it, I'm going to have to verify him off."
- Speaker Breslin: "That's just fine. Representative Stern just indicates that that's what her seatmate said when he left. So if you indicate that he is not there, then we will certainly accept that."
- McCracken: "Well, I just heard it, too. I'm not saying it's not true, but that's what I heard."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. So the Gentleman is verifying
 Representative Huff. Representative Doug Huff. The
 Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll
 Call. If we find later that's he's in the Speaker's
 station...or the nurse's station, we will contact you."
- McCracken: "The Speaker's hiding him back there. Okay, I have nothing further."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan and Representative Kulas have returned to the chamber. Add them to the Roll Call voting 'aye'. On this question, there are 60 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And the motion is adopted. The next motion is House Reso...the next Resolution on the Speaker's Table on Supplemental #6 is House Resolution 825, Representative Leflore. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Very good. Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 825 creates a bipartisan Special

 House Committee on Inmate Rights. Rules Committee
 recommends be adopted."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore."
- Leflore: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Resolution 825 creates

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

a bipartisan committee made up of 8 Members, 4 from the and 4 Members from Minority. Majority Ι find this committee is necessary because we have had in our penal institutions and many of their parents in my district is asking for an investigation. this is the reason why this committee is being formed."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 825 and on that question the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken. You must go to the...?"
- McCracken: "Yeah. I keep forgetting. We're opposed tο this Resolution. as well. However, it appears that the Chair has ruled in error that 60 votes was necessary, or all that was necessary, to pass that last Resolution. Thatte it had a three-fifths vote in the Rules Committee and we've just checked the list here and if our math you did not have a three-fifths Majority in the correct. Rules Committee by which that Bill passed. We count 11 necessary to be a three-fifths Majority. You had 10 in favor and 7 opposed. According to what we see here according to the rules, you have a Calendar requirement that can only be suspended on the affirmative vote of 71 and since we don't have that it's not passed."
- Speaker Breslin: "We will certainly check that. Do you have anything further to say on the Resolution before us?"
- McCracken: "Yeah. A lot of these studies in committees are for worthy purposes. This is one of them, but I thought that we took a position here in the General Assembly to do away with these Commissions and studies. There is no reason to go back to the status quo on a piecemeal basis. Either they were good ideas or good functions or not. We decided that they were not good functions. This is, again, a worthy cause, but not necessarily the right way to pursue

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

it."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore."
- LeFlore: "Yes, Madam Speaker, why don't we just take this out of the record for the time being?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Fine. Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, going to Supplemental Calendar (2), appears House Bill 2034. On Supplemental #2. Representative Cullerton will present this motion. Mr. Clerk, read the motion, please. House Bill 2034, on Supplemental #2."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to take from the table and suspend Rule
 79(e) and place House Bill 2034 on the Calendar on the
 Order of Speaker's Table, Conference Committee Reports."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton has moved that we take House Bill 2034 from the table, suspend Rule 79(e) pass...and place on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House use the Attendance Roll Call to place this Bill...to take this Bill from the table, to suspend Rule 79(e) and place on the Speaker's Table on the Order of Conference Committee Reports?* All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair. *ayes* have it. And House Bill 2034 will be so treated. Appearing on Supplemental Calendar 36 under the Order Motions is House Bill 1763 by Representative Kulas. the motion, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to take from the table and suspend Rule
 79(e) and place House Bill 1763 on the Calendar on the
 Order of Speaker's Table, Conference Committee Reports."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is.

 *Shall the House use the Attendance Roll Call to take House Bill 1763 from the table, suspend Rule 79(e) and place it

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

on the Calendar the Speaker's Table on the Order of on Conference Committee Reports?* A11 those in all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Attendance Roll Call be used to place House Bill 1763 on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. Ladies and Gentlemen, agreement by both sides of the aisle we are going to go to Supplemental #3. Supplemental Calendar #3. There are several motions pending there. With the agreement of both sides of the aisle we are going to take all of motions on one Roll Call. but the Clerk must read each motion separately, so I'm going to ask the Clerk tο read these motions and then we will take the motions on one Roll Call. Proceed. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion: I move to take from the table and suspend Rule 79(e) and place House Bill 998 on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table, Order of Conference Committee Reports. Motion: I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place on the Calen...and place Senate Bill 916 on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table, Conference Committee Reports. I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 1025 on the Calendar on the Order of Speaker's Table. Conference Committee Reports. Motion: I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 1322 on the Calendar the Speaker's Table, Order of Conference Committee Reports. I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 1326 on the Calendar on the Speaker's Table, Conference Committee Reports. Motion: I move to suspend Rule 79(e) and place Senate Bill 1377 on the Calendar the Order of Speaker's Table, Conference Committee Reports.

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard all of the motions. House Bill 998 requires 71 votes, all of the rest of the motions require only 60 votes. The question before you is, "Shall

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Hausa adont all ٥f the motions presented on the Supplemental Calendar #3, with regard to House Bill 998. Senate Bill 916, Senate Bill 1025, Senate Bill 1322, Senate 1326 and Senate Bill 1377?* All those in favor...all those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', questions. none voting 'present'. And all of the motions are adopted. Going now to Supplemental Calendar #7. On the Order o f Motions appears Senate Bill 714. Representative O'Connell. Clerk, read the motion,"

Clerk O'Brien: "I move to discharge Executive and Veterans'

Affairs Interim Study Calendar of Senate Bill 714, advance
to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day and
suspend Rule 37(g)."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell. On the motion."

O*Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. At this time I would move that the Executive Committee be discharged...the Senate Bill 714 be discharged from the Executive Committee and would ask leave for immediate consideration."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell has moved to discharge Executive and Veterans' Affairs Interim Study Calendar for Senate Bill 714 and advance this Bill to the Order Second Reading, Second Legislative Day and suspend Rule Is there any discussion? 37(a). Hearing the is, 'Shall the motion be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. opinion of the Chair, the 'aves' have it. And by use of the Attendance Roll Call this Senate Bill 714 will he discharged from the Committee and advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Read the Bill second time, Mr. Clerk."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 714, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois-Michigan Canal National Corridor Civic Center Authority in Cook County Act, Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative

DeJaegher, Brunsvold and Sieben. Amend Senate Bill 714, as

amended in the title by deleting certain Acts and

Sections."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher? On the Amendment."

DeJaegher: "Move for immediate consideration. Mrs. Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: " \forall e are on the Amendment. Present the Amendment, please. Amendment #2.9"

DeJaegher: "Oh. Basically, what the Amendment does...we presently do have a Quad City Civic Center Authority. What this would do would be broadening out the scope which would include Rock Island County, adding two additional members."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of
Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 714 and on that question, is
there any discussion? Representative Sieben.

Representative Sieben."

Sieben: "Thank vou. Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the I rise in support of Amendment #2. Houses He have worked out an agreement here between all parties concerned to Authority from 8 members to 10 members, with expand this the two additional members being appointed the County Board Chairman, making one appointment from each of the respective political parties in Rock Island County. We've also enlarged the jurisdiction of this Authority to include all of Rock Island County rather than just the cities of Rock Island, Moline and East Moline and I would urge a "yes" vote on Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

And the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

We cannot give Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. immediate consideration for this Bill, Representative DeJaegher, because the Bill must be heard on Third Reading on a separate day, so we can take it up tomorrow. ladies and Gentlemen. on the Regular Calendar under Total Veto Motions, page 3 on your Calendar appears Senate 3ill 200. Representative Giorgi. Read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move the House concur with the Senate in passage of Senate Bill 200, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgio"

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, I think everyone in the House is aware of what Senate Bill 200 purports to do. It asks that DECCA report to the General Assembly on the incentives offered to foreign companies that want to locate in Illinois and the report is to include that...how many net jobs would be gained. How many direct and indirect jobs would be gained. How many, in some instances, if there are any job losses. Also, the report should include that the economic cost to the local units of government that grant these be...there be an attempt to measure those incentives. or to economic cost. This is a case that measure the happened...it was prompted by a firm that's located in Rockford that wanted to bid on the Mitsubishi plant and found out that the State of Illinois brought a plant Japan to perform the same services that the Gates Rubber Company was performing so that there was a hue and cry from everyone concerned that maybe we've gone overboard

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

on incentives. This is one of the few Bills in history that has been endorsed by the Illinois Chamber of Commerce, the Illinois Association of Manufacturers, the AF of L-CIO and the UAW. I urge the adoption of the override of the veto."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to override the Governor's Veto of Senate Bill 200 and on that question, the Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. In all due respects to Ropp: the Sponsor of this Bill, it is a very serious issue if we are talking about international trade and what it means to this state or to this country. The fact is that number international countries; Japan, for example, others, have a very interesting and unique philosophy that don't always accept or understand. Should this 3ill be overridden, the detrimental effect that it will bring as its passage is a serious one in regards to the result of kind of message that it is sending to Japan and some of our neighboring countries who do trade with us stating that are not really interested in doing trade with you. And as a result this is going to be a detrimental kind of a Bill. is my understanding that the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs have said very clearly, to even and others, that they would, in fact, provide this kind of information, but would choose not, would choose not to have it in law, which really sends a very strong message to our international trading partners and I would hope, Ladies and Gentlemen, that you would not support the override of this because of the detrimental effect that i t really places on the State of Illinois as it pertains to international trade, with not only Japan, but other countries around the world."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi to close."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, this Bill will not deter any foreign nation from locating in Illinois. All we're asking is that we be informed as to the net cost of some of the incentives that we've created to lure countries to create plants in Illinois. This Bill passed the House 110 to nothing and out of the Senate with 59 to nothing, so I consider that Representative Ropp is arguing against his own vote. I urge the override of the veto."

Speaker Breslin: "The guestion is. 'Shall the House override the All those in favor Governor's Veto of Senate Bill 200? vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 71 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 83 voting 'aye', 16 voting *no * and 9 voting 'present'. Representative O'Connell votes 'aye'. Representative Wolf 'ave'. Representative Williams votes fave! votes Wait votes 'ave'. Representative Piel Renresentative changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Parke changes his vote from 'present' to 'ave'. There's a shining light coming from the Republican side of the aisle. What is that? Shall we close the curtains? What*11 happen? Oh. it's still there. Representative...Representative Panayotovich, is that vou? Chair recognizes Representative Panavotovich from Representative Weller's chair. Representative Panayotovich. Change Representative Panayotovich from 'no' to 'ave'. Representative Black. You are. Representative Black changes from 'no' to 'ave'. Representative Frederick changes to 'aye'. Representative Harris changes from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Ronan votes *aye*. Ronan votes 'aye'. Leflore votes 'aye'. Flowers votes 'aye'. McGann "ave". Hicks votes 'aye'. votes Shaw votes *aye*. Christensen votes 'aye'. Flinn votes 'aye'. Berrios votes 80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

'aye'. DeJaegher votes 'aye'. On this question, there are 103 voting 'aye'...Representative Bugielski votes Representative Kulas votes 'ave'. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And the motion to override prevails. Senate Bill 454. Representative Giglio. Out of the record. Senate Bill...Ladies and Gentlemen, going to the Regular on page 4 under Reduction Veto Motions appears Senate Bill 349. Representative Bowman. Read the motion. Mr. Clerk.

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House concur with the Senate and restore the following reduced items of appropriation in Senate Bill 349, the reduction of the Governor notwithstanding."

Speaker Braslin: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the This is for Lekotek. Lekotek is a service program House. for disabled children. Infants and toddlers. ī t them develop in those very early formative years. reduction of \$220,000. It may not sound like a lot to you. but it's 47 percent of their budget. Without this override, without this money the ... many of these programs have to close throughout the state. There are almost a score of these programs scattered throughout the state in almost every region. I move to restore the funds. you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to restore funds cut in Senate Bill 349 and on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House'...the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate. On the question."

Tate: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to maintain a consistent position that this party has taken over here. It is a

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

worthwhile program. However, we just don't feel like we have the funds so I would encourage a 'no' vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House restore...Representative Bowman wishes to close. Proceed, Sir. Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Representative Tate, please do not worship at the altar of consistency for these disabled infants and toddlers.

 That's who's affected here. Infants and toddlers for \$220,000, but it's 47 percent of their funding. Please, please, I implore you, do not worship at the altar of consistency for these children."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House restore funds vetoed on page 1, line 23 for the Lekotek system?* A11 those in favor vote "ave", all those opposed vote 'no". Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Only vote your own switches. 60 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present'. And the...and the motion prevails. The next Billage That motion received the Constitutional Majority. The next Bill is under Reduction and Item Veto Motions page 4. The Bill is Senate Bill oπ 290. Representative Martinezo Excuse me. Representative Satterthwaite. Read the motion."
- Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker, and Members of the House, the Daily Calendar has the wrong amount listed under thic The correct motion is to restore \$461,900 to the motion. University of Illinois budget. This would be for hospital services. The Senate had agreed to replace this money in the hopes that the University could continue to serve of the indigent patients who are not currently being accepted for medical treatment at the University Hospital.

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

I would urge the Members to support the motion to restore this fund...these funds. There will be only enough for a partial restoration of those services. I would not want to mislead anybody into thinking that this would solve all of the problems that the University faces in terms of serving hospital clients, but it would be one step forward in helping to serve some of those clients who are needy and not able to provide...to get the services provided otherwise."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to restore items vetoed in Senate Bill 290 and on that question the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Point of order, Madam Speaker. I don't know if the
 Lady made a motion to amend the motion on its face or what
 she wants us to do. I object, however. Let's put it on
 the Calendar tomorrow."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "I checked with the Clerk and the appropriate motion has been on file all along. It is simply an error in the Calendar in the terms of the dollar amounts. If you look immediately above, in the Reduction and Item Vetoes, above the motions, the correct amount is stated there."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very Good. Mr. Clerk, is what Representative Satterthwaite state correct? The Clerk indicates that that is correct, so we will proceed to a...Representative Martinez? Excuse me. Representative Tate on the question."
- Tate: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Hill the Lady yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "She will."
- Tate: "Helen, did I understand you to say that this 461,000 was for the U. of I. Hospital?"
- Satterthwaite: "Yes. The intent is that it would be to provide

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

services to under insured and needy clients. The University indicates that the particular line item that was restored by the Senate has traditionally been used by the Division of Services to Crippled Children, but they have worked with the Comptroller's office and they are in agreement that the funds can be used for the purposes for which the coalition has designated their desire to have funds restored."

Tate: "Thank you. These...our analysis said this was for the purpose of purchasing of hospital and medical services for clients of U. of I., Division of Services for Crippled Children. That is correct, right?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes."

Tate: Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to the Bill. Again, in an effort to remain consistent. We TVe heard time and time again from the...from different Members of this chamber requesting restoration of override vetoes. I think we're all familiar with the current budget situation, the current revenue level of the state. assure you that each and every Republican on this side of the aisle would be more than happy to sit and go right back to work and rearrange our priorities in this current budget, but we just don't have any additional monies available. Therefore, we rise in opposition and I would encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Young."

Young: "I have a guestion for the Sponsor."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Young: "It's my understanding that at the present time the U. of

I. Hospital has stopped admitting public aid patients. If

this motion passes, will they start admitting public aid

patients again?"

Satterthwaite: "It is not my understanding that they have stopped

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

serving public aid patients. The patients that they have stopped serving are those who do not qualify for public but do not have any other means of payment. The University indicates that in order to address that problem it would take far more than the amount of money that's being restored by this particular line item, but they they can focus this small amount of money on some of those recipients and be able to serve some that otherwise would not be served. It would not serve a11 those clients."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Martinez."

Martinez: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. rise in support in the Lady's motion. The medical center lies in the center of my district. I am familiar with problems of the district and the ... I rise in support in trying to make available the \$462,000 axed University of Illinois' hospital and medical budget by the Governor...by Governor Thompson last Julv. This restoration, if passed, represents a small step towards resolving the financial problems' at the medical centera Not only must more money be found for indigent patient care, but changes are necessary in hospital policies so that health care again clinic's available to all who come here in need of it. There sentiment among physicians and faculty here that the new admissions policies are seriously damaging education and research programs and that we are losing patients which are our most important resource. Not only are patients being lost, but jobs are going to be affected if we lose these resources. I ask for a favorable vote for this legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall this item in Senate Bill 290 be restored to its original amount,

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required. Representative Woods Bowman, one minute to explain your vote."

- Bowman: "Pursuant to the statement filed with the Clerk and journalized, I'm voting 'present' because this directly affects the University of Illinois."
- Speaker 3reslin: "Representative Williams, one minute to explain your vote."
- Williams: "I basically ditto Woody Bowman's remarks. Thank you."

 Speaker Breslin: "40 votes are required. 60 votes are required.

 On this question, there are...Hr. Clerk, take the Roll. On this question, there are 39 voting 'aye', 54 voting 'no'

and 14 voting 'present'. And the motion fails.

Representative Saltsman."

- Bill is Senate Bill 338. Representative Saltsman.
- Saltsman: "Thank...Thank you, Madam Speaker. As we all know. this Bill has...this amendatory veto has been lobbied very heavy by our municipal officials and all we are doing is keeping our accountability by living up to our commitment that we have made with local government. I think there are some needed changes in this program and these changes are currently being discussed by Members of this General Assembly. I'm sure we can establish a program that's fair for all that are concerned, but now live up to our previous commitment and if there is abuse in the system, we will work to iron that out later. At this time. I'm asking for the override."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to restore this item to its original amount, notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor and on that question, the Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman

80th Legislative Day , November 4, 1987

yield for a few questions?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

- Mays: "Hould you explain to the Body the way the program works?

 We're thinking of restoring...how much...6.6 million

 dollars this year?"
- Saltsman: "Yes. There was a commitment made for ten million dollars when the General Assembly started session from last year's legislation. At the present time the ten million dollars has been reduced by the Governor to 3.4 million dollars. Therefor, the money from this sales tax that was supposed to go back to the TIF districts, our local economy is being hurt by only a third of it being returned. And therefor, this is a commitment, and we owe it to these people and I don't think there's a person in this General Assembly that hasn't been lobbied by this in the past few weeks."
- Mays: "So, how do we...how do we arrive at ten million dollars?"
- Saltsman: "This was the estimated projection that the Department of Revenue and the members of the TIF districts arrived at."
- Mays: "How much did we give TIF districts last year for this inaugural?"
- Saltsman: "This is the first year for it."
- Mays: "I believe that this year we actually gave more money to

 TIFs than we did the previous year and we sought to hold

 everybody else at last years * level."
- Saltsman: " β ut there's more TIF districts involved in it this year."
- Mays: "How many more TIF districts are there this year than last?"
- Saltsman: "I think there's about a...what...123 now if I'm not mistaken."
- Mays: "You know, this commitment that you mentioned about ten

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

million dollars. I don't understand where you're coming with that information. Could you explain that? As I recall the legislation we even provided for the monies to be prorated in the event we don't have enough dollars to spend to TIFs. Did we not?"

Saltsman: "Say that again, will you?"

Mays: "Did we not, when we passed the initial legislation provide for the amount of money to be prorated in the event we could not make 100 percent commitment to the TIF districts?"

Saltsman: "Under the prorating, we're coming out with about a third of what the cities deserve."

Mays: "It would seem to me that if we provided for a prorating mechanism and that if we were...capped the program in the first place, there was definitely no commitment for funding especially in a kind of year that we've just had. Are you familiar with the Taxpayers Federation Report on Tax Increment Finance districts?"

Saltsman: "Yes, but I don't pay too much attention to them."

Mays: "Well, that's obvious. Especially with this motion. want to just bring out for the Body a couple of salient It's a six page report, they*re points. going to be following up with a much lengthier report later on. This is a dis...this is an item that is going to have to be discussed next year as a substantive issue and it's an item that ought to be discussed this year as a budgetary because we don't have the dollars. He've been saying no to people that have wanted to have \$500,000 for this or that and it may have been much more worthy than this project We said no to education. That's still up in right here. the air. Why should we take care of this right the conclusion to the Taxpayers Federation read Report because I think it's salient. Ιn the name o f

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

stumbled development. the state economic into an unregulated program to provide state money to 137 TIF located in 104 municipalities. The potential cost will exceed 40 million dollars per year and most these districts will exist for 23 years. Frequently, the type of economic activity being promoted has shifted increasing the value of the land to increasing the amount of retail sales. And in some of these districts, might add, you get sales tax increases in the district, but in the town as a whole you get sales tax decreases. This make doesn*t anv sense. Τn light of the haphazard development of the program and all of its unintended repercussions, it's difficult support contensions that a policy decision and commitment full to funding was made in '85 and '86. The end. There may be a viable role for the state to play in supporting TIF but as it stands, districts. the current law is just throwing money at a group of municipalities in hope good ₩ill result. 1 couldn't some agree more wholeheartedly with that assessment. If we have learned anything the last two weeks in our override discussions it has been that we have got an extremely tight budget this year, an extremely tight budget coming up. It makes no sense, in my mind to fully fund this program. Ыe funded above last years level, yes a lot of them got on the gravy train when they realized that this is a possibility. I don't know how many of them have actually gone ahead and sold the bonds that have to have the money reimbursed. So. I would urge a 'no' vote in view of the times that we have, in view of the...in view of the situation and the questions that have been arisen on the program in itself and in view of all of the other programs that should have a much higher priority than this."

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer. driefly."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker, I would like to address and speak in favor of the override. The time to have made the arguments the last speaker made was last year, not this year. In 1986 the General Assembly adopted the TIF legislation that allowed for the incremental increase in sales tax for the designated TIF area since 1985 to be into a special fund which would fund bond issues that municipalities would authorize to make improvements in the TIE area. It was a way to allow cities to revitalize depressed areas by bootstraping monies that had not there before, but monies that had accrued through sales tax because of renovations and redevelopments of these blighted It was a way to let cities renovate blighted downtown areas. The debate and arguments were had in 1986. At that time the legislation provided a cap in 1986 seven and a half million dollars. It was increased for this year for ten million dollars. Those were commitments that were made. The cities took those commitments, went out, found developers, came in, had commitments made and proceeded accordingly. Now, after we launched this program year ago, here we are saying that we really didn't mean what we said when we launched the program and we're really going to fund it. I think that's wrong. I think it's wrong because it sends a signal to businesses and throughout the nation who want to deal with the State of that this General Assembly, or this state government, does not keep its word. Therefore, when you get involved in economic development in these areas you better beware because what the General Assembly and the Governor says in 1986 may not be what they'll say in just can't do business that wav. You lose your credibility and unless we restore this appropriation to its 80th Legislative Day

November 4. 1987

rightful level, I think that we have eroded the credibility of the State of Illinois and of this General Assembly and we've betrayed those municipalities who have tried to utilize this tool to revitalize their blighted downtown areas. That's why you're hearing from your mayors, your aldermen and others and that's why it's so important that you vote to override this reduction veto."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman. Briefly."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is an important issue and I supported the TIF projects and support the but...and there's a lot o f debate into that merits, but that isn't what we're talking about today. Today what we're talking about is how much money do we in the State of Illinois have to spend and then, where are our priorities? And I think the important priorities at in time are in education of our young people in this state, whether it be in elementary and secondary in higher education. I represent a district that will benefit from the override here, but will also tell you that we have a major university in this state where the kids get the classes to graduate. They can't get out of Northern Illinois University. They can't get out of the University of Illinois. They can't get out of Eastern, We are having problems in our elementary programs. If we can't invest this money in our first priority, and that is education in this state. should not vote for this override. These projects can wait, there'll be a time, we'll be able to resolve this. Now is not the time for this. Let's get our priorities right and vote 'no'. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman to close."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. We have a commitment. We have a commitment to our municipalities and we are going to

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

fulfill it with the vote here tonight. I don't care what the Taxpayers Federation said, they could take me off their mailing list if they want. I've got 15 mayors that don't care what came out of the Taxpayers Federation. They want the money that was committed to them. They want the money that we're obligated to give back to them and as far as the amounts of money, it's prorated if the amount of money would have been over ten million dollars. There was no prorate in this until that amount of money went over the ten million dollar mark. We should have at least 100 votes on this Bill. I ask for your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this item be restored to its original amount notwithstanding the reduction of the All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 52 votes are the passage of this Bill. 40 votes? 30 votes? is the last Bill. How many votes are required? Have all Representative Krska? voted who wish? One minute to explain your vote. No? Excuse me. Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. 60 votes are required for passage and on this question. 75 votes...75 voting 'aye', 30 voting 'no' and 8 voting 'present'. This motion. having received the Constitutional Majority prevails and the House restores the item. Representative Van Duyne...Representative Terzich, for what reason do you rise?"

Terzich: "Yes, Madam Speaker. The Executive Committee which was scheduled to meet at...tomorrow morning at 9:30 is cancelled since we handled the business today. No meeting of the Executive Committee tomorrow at 9:30 a.m."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne, for an announcement."

Van Duyne: "Thank you. I have the speeches over at my desk, so

if anyone wants them there's two there, they can have one

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

- of each. And I'd like to have leave of the House to suspend the posting rules for House Bill 2261 and 2262 for tomorrow afternoon's meeting of the Counties and Townships to listen to interim study Bills."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to waive the posting rules on House Bill 2261 and 2262. It is agreed on both sides of the aisle. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave. Representative Krska for an announcement."
- Krska: "I'd like to have leave to suspend the rules so that we may hear the following Bills in the Registration and Regulation Subcommittee meeting on Friday morning at 9 p.m., or 9 a.m. That will be House Bill 453, 559, 967, 1575, 1761, 1991..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Whoa, whoa, show down. Slow down. Go back to 559."
- Krska: "Alright. House 3ill 453, 559, 967, 1575, 1761, 1991, 2131, 2755, Senate Bills 566, 456 and 567."
- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's motion. It is agreed to on both sides of the aisle. Does he have leave?

 Hearing no objection, he has leave to suspend the posting rules so that all of those Bills named can be heard on Friday. Representative Ronan."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just want to remind all the Members of the House Transportation Committee meeting, since the House is going in at 9 o'clock, we'll meet at 8:45..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Whoops, whoops, whoops. The House is going to meet at 10."
- Ronan: "Excellent. Alright. The House Transportation Committee will meet at 9 o'clock, rather than 8:45 then. The meeting will take one minute. We just have to keep a couple of Bills alive in interim study. It's both sides of the

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

aisle. A lot of bad Republican Bills also. We'll keep them alive. It's in room 118, not our normal meeting room. So we'll be there for one minute. Be on time."

Speaker Breslin: "Did you mean 9 o'clock or 9:45?"

Ronan: "9 o'clock."

Speaker Breslin: "9:45."

Ronan: "Alright, let's make it 9:45 tomorrow. Sure. 9:45."

Speaker Breslin: "9:45. Going once, going twice. Very good.

Transportation Committee meets at 9:45 tomorrow.

Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. The Aeronautics Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Is it still in existence?"

Cullerton: "Still in existence and scheduled to meet at 8:30 and
we're passing out free tickets to Japan. We have 10
tickets, so the first ten people to get there will... So we
need a guorum."

Speaker Breslin: "Do you have to be a committee member?"

Cullerton: "Yes. If you're a member of the Aeronautics

Committee, please show up tomorrow at 8:30."

Speaker Breslin: "8:30 in the morning for Aeronautics. The Clerk has some resolutions..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Agreed Resolutions. Senate Joint Resolution 80,
by Terzich. House Joint Resolution 136, Mautino. House
Resolutions 864, Mulcahey; 865, Countryman; 893,
Daniels-Madigan; 894, Harris; 895, Harris; 896, Berrios;
897, McGann; 899, Black and 900, Madigan-Daniels."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Not working. Oh, Madam Speaker. These are all agreed to, and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Any discussion? Hearing none, the

80th Legislative Day

November 4, 1987

question is, 'Shall the Agreed Resolutions be adopted?'
All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'.
In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 88 offered by Representative Saltsman et al, with respect to the memory of John M. Sack. House Joint Resolution 137 offered by Representative Homer et al, with respect to the memory of Michael J. Angarola."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Death Resolutions are adopted. Representative Cullerton now moves that this House stand adjourned until 10 a.m. tomorrow morning. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And this House stands adjourned until 10 a.m. tomorrow morning."

01/29 88 11:01

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

NOVEMBER 04, 1987

	NOVEMBER 317 1701		
HB-0453	MOTION	PAGE	94
HB-0559		PAGE	94
HB-0967		PAGE	94
HB-0998	MOTION	PAGE	76
HB-1038	MOTION	PAGE	54
HB-1416	MOTION	PAGE	55
HB-1575	NOTION	PAGE	94
HB-1616	MOTION	PAGE	22
HB-1616	HOTION	PAGE	52
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	22
HB-1761		PAGE	94
HB-1763		PAGE	75
HB-1991		PAGE	94
HB-2034		PAGE	75
HB-2131		PAGE	94
HB-2261		PAGE	94
HB-2262		PAGE	94
HB-2748 HB-2755		PAGE	53
HB-2756		PAGE PAGE	94 20
	FIRST READING	PAGE	18
	VELO ACTION	PAGE	3
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	5
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	8
SB-0126		PAGE	23
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	10
SB-0187	MOTION	PAGE	55
SB-0200	VETO ACTION	PAGE	79
SB-0218	VETO ACTION	PAGE	12
SB-0226	VETO ACTION	PAGE	14
SB-0236	MOTION	PAGE	24
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	83
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	37
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	82
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	14
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	27
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	28
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	29
SB-0456	VETO ACTION	PAGE PAGE	94 30
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	31
SB-0484		PAGE	56
SB-0485		PAGE	21
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	32
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	33
SB-0566		PAGE	94
SB-0567		PAGE	94
SB-0646	VETO ACTION	PAGE	34
\$8-0652	MOTION	PAGE	26
SB-0653	VETO ACTION	PAGE	35
	SECOND READING	PAGE	78
SB-0714		PAGE	77
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	36
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	37
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	38
SB-0822		PAGE	57
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	39
	VETO ACTION	PAGE	40
SB-0916	VETO ACTION	PAGE PAGE	76
SB-0943			42 27
30-0747	VETO ACTION	PAGE PAGE	41
SB-0959		PAGE	9 L 57
SB-0951		PAGE	58
SB-1025		PAGE	76
00 1023			

01/29/88 11:01

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

NOVEMBER 04, 1987

SB-1046	VETO ACTION	PAGE	43
SB-1052	VETO ACTION	PAGE	44
SB-1155	MOTION	PAGE	58
SB-1181	VETO ACTION	PAGE	45
SB-1215	VETO ACTION	PAGE	46
58-1286	VETO ACTION	PAGE	46
SB-1314	VETO ACTION	PAGE	47
SB-1322	MOTION	PAGE	76
SB-1326	MOTION	PAGE	76
SB-1377	MOTION	PAGE	76
SB-1484	VETO ACTION	PAGE	50
SB-1498	VETO ACTION	PAGE	51
SB-1506	MOTION	PAGE	58
SB-1520	MOTION	PAGE	21
HR-0825	MOTION	PAGE	73
HR-0825	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	75
HR-0876	MOTION	PAGE	66
SJR-0059	MOTION	PAGE	62
SJR-0059	ADOPTED	PAGE	65

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN	PAGE	l
PRAYER - REVEREND DALE CATLIN	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	17
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	18
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	18
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	20
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	95
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	96
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	96