June 18, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 12:00 having arrived, the House will be in Session. Members will be at their seats. Those not entitled to the floor will withdraw from the floor immediately. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Randy Perry, Pastor, Gibson City United Methodist Church. Reverend Perry is the guest of Representative Tom Ewing. The guests in the gallery may wish to rise and join us in the Invocation. Reverend Perry."

Reverend Perry: "Oh loving God, we thank You for this day and for this privilege to once again gather and work amid the historic halls of this great structure. He do confess that as we walk through the massive maze of statistics and rhetoric and printed materials, it's seemingly difficult to discern Your will for us and to know which word is Your word, yet we know that we cannot save our nation nor ourselves apart from You, so we pause once again to seek Your help and Your guidance. Bless all people part of the legislative process, and especially bless those who occupy the seats of this chamber, for they come with many needs and concerns. Many are feeling the pressures of Many are deadlines and Committee work. concerned about personal finances and personal health. Many are concerned about their families and how these days separate them with time and distance. Many are feeling the pain of unfair accusations and criticisms that are a part of the political Meet each one. Meet each one amid his or her need. arena. Speak to that person. May they feel Your love and Your and Your healing power. In Jesus name we pray, nurture Amen."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing, to lead us in the Pledge to the Flag."

Ewing - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Matijevich, are there any excused absences on the Democratic side?"

Matijevich: "None, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Mr. Piel, are there any on the Republican side?"

Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Hill the record show that

Representative David Harris, Representative Myron Olson and

Representative Fred Tuerk are all excused today, please."

- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so reflect. The Chair would iust mention that I spoke with fred Tuerk this morning and he sounded like the old contankerous curmudgeon that we know and love. Alright. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 115 Members having answered to the Call of a Quorum, a quorum May I have your attention, Ladies is present. and Gentlemen. We are going to go to the Order of Consent Calendar Third Reading. We will read the Bills a third time and we will call for a vote some time later in the afternoon. Mr. Clerk, Consent Calendar Third Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "On page 24 of the Calendar, Senate Sill 12, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Notary Public Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 23, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to pseudorables. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 41, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill. 66, a Bill for an Act to amend the Township Bi 11 Organization Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 67, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 132, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 146, a Bill for an Act to amend an

June 18. 1987

Act to revise the law in relationship to recorders. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 152, a Bill for an Act to make application to police and firefighters available to various branches of public library. Third Reading of Bi11 165. a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 203, a Bill for an Act to Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Bill for an Act in relationship to township officials. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 257, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to prohibit minors buying and selling tobacco. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 259, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 298. Bill for an Act to amend the State Property Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 353, a Bill for amend the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act. Act to Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 359, a Bill for an Act in relationship to local and district libraries sharing. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 379, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 380. a Bill for an Act to amend the Township Law. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 383, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 388, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 411, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 418, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to pharmacies. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 461, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 466, a counties. Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to natural data collection and environmental studies. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bi 11 478. Bill for an Act in relationship to the regulation of podiatric medicine. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 488, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship the United States Olympic Committee. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 496, a Bill for an Act amend certain Acts in relationship to mass transit. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 546, a Bill for an Act to amend the Flood Control Act. Third Reading of the Senate Bill 547. a Bill for an Act to amend the Insect, Pest and Plant Disease Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 618, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill a Bill for an Act in relationship to county zoning. 667. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 702, a Bill for amend the Religious and Charitable Risk Pooling Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 708. a Bill for an Act in relationship to motor fuel dispensed by state for use in state vehicles. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 670 (sic - 760), a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 769, a Bill for an Act to amend provide for grants in local governmental units. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 760, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 769, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for grants to local governmental unitsa Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 809, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to licensing of clinical psychologists. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill

an

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

a Bill for Act amend the Illinois Student to Third Reading of the Bill. Records Act. Senate Bill an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Bill for Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 905, a Bill for Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 922, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 936, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code. Third Reading of the Bill. 3i11 956. Senate a Rill for an Act in relationship to calculation of state aid to school districts. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 962, a Bill for an Act to amend the Beer Industry Fair Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 992. a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1052, a Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1085, a Bill for an Act to revise various Acts. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1226, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the abused and neglected for the elderly Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1230. Bill for an Act to amend various Acts and Acts affecting the Department of Revenue. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1239, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to Self-employment Manufacturing the Assistance Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1278, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Physical Therapy Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1282, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship... Excuse me. Ar. Doorman, could you Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. going rectify this situation that's on right Blowhorns are not allowed in the gallery while the House is

June 18, 1987

in Session. Blowhorns are not allowed in the gallery while the House is in Session. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1278, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Physical Therapy Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1282, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relationship to definition, registration and regulation of the practice of land surveying. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1461, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Act on Aging. Third Reading of these Bills. And Senate Bill 359 has just been removed from the Consent Calendar."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Slater. Representative Slater is recognized on a Motion to take Senate Bill 165 off of the Consent Calendar on Third Reading, to return that Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of a technical Amendment. Does Representative Slater have leave? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave. Hr. Clerk, are there any Amendments filed on Senate Bill 165?"
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 165. Amendment #2 is being offered by

 Representative Slater."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Slater on Amendment #2."
- Slater: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #2 simply deals with the location of a probate proceeding in the event that there is no real estate located in the State of Illinois. It goes back to prior law. We have made some changes. This is a technical Amendment which puts it where it should be at this point in time. It's agreed upon, I believe, by all sides."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 165. Is there any discussion?

 Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Slater now leave for this Bill to be heard on the same day in which it was amended, which would be today. Does he have leave? The Gentleman has leave. Representative Slater also asks Senate Bill 165 be returned to the Consent Calendar. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave, and the Bill is returned to the Order of Third Reading on the Consent Calendar. Ladies and Gentlemen, is there anyone else who has a Bill on the Consent Calendar in the same circumstance as Representative Slater's Bill a technical Amendment before it is passed today? Is Bil1 there any other in that same circumstance? Representative Curran, what is the number of your Bill? 21? There is no Senate Bill 21 on the Consent Calendar Third Reading. Second Day. Today. I'm talking about the Consent Calendar today. Representative Curran, your Bill. Senate Bill 21 is on the First Legislative Day, Third Reading. If you would like to do now, we would certainly be willing to do so. In that case, on page 21 on your Calendar, under and Gentlemen• Ladies the Order of Consent Calendar Third Reading, First Day, appears Senate Bill 21. Representative Curran asks leave to remove this Bill from the Consent Calendar, to return it to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, he has leave. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments filed Senate Bill 21?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. Floor Amendment #2 is simply

64th Legislative Day

- June 13, 1987
- a technical Amendment agreed to by, it's my understanding, both sides of the aisle to solve a problem created in the Senate. I... "
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran moves for the..."
- Curran: "... Move for the adoption... yes I am... move for the adoption of Amendment #2."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 21. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Curran now asks leave that this Bill return to the Consent Calendar. First Day. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave, and Senate Bill 21 will be returned to the Consent Calendar Third Reading. First Day."
- Speaker Greiman: "Special Order of Call, page 2 of the Calendar, on that Order under State Administration, on the Order of Second Reading appears Senate Bill 63. Hs. Barnes. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 63, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted previously."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to that Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2 and 3 were withdrawn. Floor Amendment
 #4 is being offered by Representative Stephens."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Stephens, on

June 18, 1987

Amendment #4."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen the House. Amendment 124 to House Bill, or Senate Bill 63, would affect school buildings and school arounds that they could not be used for counseling or referring students or persons under age 18 for abortions, performing abortion procedures to students or persons under 18, or performing sterilization procedures on students or persons under age 18, and it further defines the of the school nurse and the position cannot include any of the above activities. We have passed this similar language Bill. out on a House I would be glad to answer questions about the Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 63, and on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will yield for questions."

Cullerton: "Representative, I have a Bill that passed the House that would cause for the recodification of the School Code. One of the reasons for that is because the School difficult to read and understand. The ... apparently there is one section that deals with Chicago. and another section of the Code that deals with the rest of the state. Now my analysis here shows that Amendment #4, it might be in error, but it says that Amendment #4 applies to the Chicago School District. Is... can you a general question. Does this only question, a kind of apply to Chicago, or is it meant to cover an error Bill that only applies to downstate, or ... "

Stephens: "The purpose of the language, Representative, is to cover all the schools in Illinois."

Cullerton: "Okay. So it's your understanding that it does that."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Stephens: "Yes, Sir,"

Cullerton: "Okay. Now, then, as to the... you indicated that we passed language like this already on a House 3ill? Which Bill was that? What did that Bill have to do with. Was that the issue on the contra..."

Stephens: "I forget the Bill number, but it was the partner bill in the House to Senate Bill 63."

Cullerton: "Okay."

Stephens: "And I do... I do forget the number, but I think that I

can get that for you rather guickly."

Cullerton: "Now, as to... "

Stephens: "925, I'm sorry."

Cullerton: "Okay. As to the need for the Amendment, the
Amendment prohibits counseling or referring students for
abortions, performing abortions, or prescribing
abortifacient drugs for children under 18 or performing
sterilization procedures on students under 18 on school
grounds. Is that correct?"

Stephens: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "Now, is this... is there some specific example of this practice that you are aware of that caused you to come forward with this Amendment or is this just something that you think is something that shouldn't happen and that you want to make sure it's in the law."

Stephens: "Well, we certainly think that it shouldn't happen and for those reasons, and in case it is happening in Illinois, we... because we believe that we don't want it to happen, we think and I believe that this should be a matter of law in Illinois."

Cullerton: "Okay, but specifically, there are no specific instances that you know of where there is, say for example, counseling that someone get an abortion."

Stephens: "Representative Cullerton, I cannot give you facts and

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

figures and names of any instance that I know of that this has happened. I can only tell you that this is something that we are very serious about and that we don't want to happen in Illinois. If it has happened in the past, or if it is happening today, we want to make sure we prevent it, and more importantly, we want to prevent it in the future."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Stephens: "You're welcome."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Sponsor (sic - Speaker). Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that she... that he will."

Cowlishaw: "Representative Stephens, I believe there was an Amendment that was offered to this Bill in Committee, and it was adopted in Committee, I believe, by a voice vote.

Would you please tell me whether that Amendment is still part of this Bill."

Stephens: "No, I cannot. I know that this Amendment does not affect... not delete language that was adopted earlier."

Cowlishaw: "Very good. Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All favor say 'aye', those opposed... Yes, Mr. Cullerton. Roll Call? Okay. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Mautino, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the On this question there are 77 voting... 77 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', 33 voting and Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, I'm advised... Ms. Barnes, I'm advised there is a fiscal note on this matter, so the Bill

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Yes. As. Barnes."

Barnes: "I had been informed by the Senate Sponsor that the same question had been raised in the Senate and that it was ruled that a fiscal note is not applicable to Senate Bill 63, and I would like a ruling from the Chair, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "We don't make those rulings in this chamber.

It is our custom not to rule whether it is inapplicable or not."

Barnes: "May I make a Motion, then?"

Speaker Graiman: "That's your privilege."

Barnes: "Thank you, Judge."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes moves that the Fiscal Note Act be held inapplicable to Senate Bill 63. And on that, is there any discussion? There amended. being none, the question is, 'Shall the Fiscal Note Act be held inapplicable to this Act, as amended? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. is now open. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. take the record. there are 80 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present', and the Motion carries. Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 85. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 266. Mr. Novak, 266. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 10 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 266, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Amendments?"

June 18, 1987

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears... Oh,

I'm sorry, Mr. Novak, a fiscal note was requested on this

Bill, so the Bill will be returned to the Order of Second

Reading. It will remain on Second Reading."

Novak: "He*ve sent a letter to the Department of Transportation.

and we*re expecting a response shortly."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. On this Order appears Senate Bill 454. Mr. Novak, do you wish to proceed on that Bill?

Alright, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 7 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 454, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the regulation of the practice of esthetics and the regulation of estheticians. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to those
Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Hotions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment &3 is being offered by Representative Novak, et al."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Hr. Novak."

Novak: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Novak: "I don't have Floor Amendment #3. I've got Floor

Amendment #5. #ithdraw #3."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further

Amendments?"

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Clerk Leone: "floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

Novak."

Novak: "Hithdraw... Withdraw #4."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #4 withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative

Novak."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kankakee on Floor Amendment

"Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Member of the House Novak: of Representatives. Amendment \$5 provides for the Farm Implement Buyer Protection Act, and it provides for year warranty to be mandated. It includes farm implements. means any self-propelled vehicle which is designed primarily for use in the occupation or business of farming. This has been a Bill that has been agreed to, finally, the John Deere Company, the Illinois Farm Bureau is a strong advocate of this Bill. Any Legislator that has many farmers in their district, I'm sure, would be wise and appropriate to vote for this Bill, and I certainly would enjoy your consideration by adopting this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kankakee moves for the adoption of Amendment \$5 to Senate Bill 454, and on that, the Gentleman from Henry, Ar. Sieben."

Sieben: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr. Novak, would you yield to a question?"

Novak: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Sieben: "Can you explain to me what the difference is in your

Amendment here and Senate Bill 894, that I tried to

discharge from Committee last week on a Farm Implement

Buyer Protection Act?"

Novak: "Well, from what I understand there was a lot... there was a number of confusion between the John Deere Company because it was some time ago last week, John Deere Company was... had adopted a neutral position on this Bill and I think after further consultation, now John Deere Company is a strong advocate of the Bill. So that's one of the

June 18, 1987

reasons why I believe it failed for the discharge, although I supported it."

Sieben: "Well, thank you, but I think there may be some inaccuracy here. We had worked on that Amendment to the Bill prior to going into the Committee Hearing and we worked out the Amendment to the Bill at the time of the Committee Hearing, and we had agreement and support the Farm Bureau, the Illinois Department of Agriculture, Deere and Company, and it was strong support. This is excellent piece of legislation, and the only thing that concerns me about it is that it didn't pass out Committee in the same form that you're proposing here and that it was not... there was not support for it Discharge Motion last Friday before this same Body. you know I'm strongly in favor this legislation, I think it's something that our farmers need, and I realize it's agreed to, and was agreed to last It's just surprising to me that it has to come out this week as an Amendment to this Bill."

Novak: "Thank you, Representative. I'd just like to say that the
Bill was never called in Committee. The Bill is on the
Floor now. And it wasn't..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman was addressing the group, and not asking a guestion, Mr. Novak."

Novak: "Alright. Thank you.".

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, point of order. I don't believe this

Amendment is germane. The underlying bill amends the

Barber and Cosmetology Act of 1985, and this purports to

amend an Act in relation to Consumer Protection. Neither

vertical nor horizontal germaneness can be found."

Speaker Greiman: "Let us look at the Amendment to the bill. Ar.

McCracken."

64th Legislative Day June 13, 1987

McCracken: "I'll address the Bill, pending your decision. How's that?"

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed."

"Thank you. I think we should oppose this Amendment McCracken: because the fact of the matter is, Representative Siehen did not get a fair shake from this Body. and now Representative Novak comes with an Amendment to a Bi 11 identical to that offered by Representative -Sieben, which was not heard in Committee, which was discharged by this Body, and all of a sudden a Member from the other side of the aisle, with four other Democrats Cosponsors comes up with this Amendment. I thinkess I do not make any argument as to the merits of this, but I think that we, on this side of the aisle, at least should protest the manner in which this has been handled. The fact of the matter is that our side of the aisle didnot get a fair shake on this issue and you have put on the Amendment five Democrats, including one recently appointed to this The fact is that since Representative Sieben did not... was not treated fairly in this regard, since this Amendment is no more than his Bill recast in Democratic Sponsorship, we should vote 'no' on this Amendment, if the Chair makes an erroneous ruling as to the germaneness. Thank VOU- "

Speaker Greiman: "While we are examining these instruments, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I'm very disappointed in the previous speaker's approach to this. I think it's very provincial, and knowing Representative Sieben as I do, I'm sure that he is certainly not going to get caught up in the partisan politics of who the Sponsor of a Bill happens to be. I'm sure that what's really at stake here and what really is important, is whether or not

June 18, 1987

we're going to have a Farm Implement buyer Protection Act in this State, and whether or not people who go out and buy farm implements are going to be protected from unscrupulous farm implement sellers, and I think that, really, to get bogged down in Party... partisan politics about who the Sponsor of the Bill is, is really not at issue. What we have to do is send this Bill to the Senate 50 they this on the Governor's desk as soon as get possible. I hope it has an immediate effective 1 think it applies to implements sold after January 1, *88, but if we don't... if we don't pass it, things like, see, a farm implement probably would be like a rake. A rake could probably be covered. Air conditioner on your tractor might be a farm implement, and so this is important Bill. I think I agree with Representative Sieben's point in trying to pass it. Here is a Bill... in fact. I think what Representative, the previous speaker really should do is withdraw his objection to this being germane or not, because then we would know that we can pass I don't think anyone's going to challenge it in court, the manner in which it was passed, and #IG can some meaningful protection to the people of the provide state who buy farm implements."

- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Novak. For what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to withdraw this

 Amendment from the record, please. Take it out of the
 record."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw it, and we'll take the Bill out of the record. Alright. On this Order appears Senate Bill 600. Hr. Homer... I'm sorry, Mr. Young. Mr. Young, are you prepared to proceed, Sir. Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 651. Hr.

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1967

Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. On this Bill (sic — Order) appears Senate Bill 742. Did you wish to proceed, Mr. Stevens? 742. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill. Yes, Mr. McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "I may have missed it, but did Representative Sarnes take 651..."

Speaker Greiman: "She did. She took it out of the record."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 742, on page 12 of your Calendar.

Senate Bill 742, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relationship to certain actions for public aid fraud.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative McCracken."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, on Amendment 2."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 is virtually identical to House Bill 2480, which was passed out of this Chamber, and provides for the Obscenity Profits Forfeiture Act. It is amended from that Bill in one particular, that being that there is an affirmative defense for librarians included in this, and I move its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Cullerton: "Representative McCracken, did you say that this Bill... this Amendment was the subject matter of a House

June 18, 1987

Bill that passed the House?"

McCracken: "Yes. Yes it did."

Cullerton: "Okay, and this is identical to it?"

McCracken: "No, it's not. It is identical except that this

Amendment adds an affirmative defense for librarians."

Cullerton: "Yes, I wanted to ask you about that. It adds the
affirmative defense to the charge of obscenity that the act
was performed in the course of employment at a public
library or at a library operated by an accredited
institution. What type of library accreditations for
non-public libraries are there?"

McCracken: "School libraries."

Cullerton: "So, do you mean for this defense to apply to just about every library you can... that there is?"

McCracken: "I mean it to apply to public libraries and libraries of accredited institutions."

Cullerton: "Okay, so you want to make sure that no one gets around this by saying, well, I have a library in my own home."

McCracken: "Correct."

Cullerton: "Okay, now, is this similar Amendment... this change... is this also going to be put on in the Senate to the Bill that we passed?"

McCracken: "No. That Bill didn't get out of Committee in the Senate. It was a 5 to 5 tie."

Cullerton: "Oh, so it was deemed controversial in the Senate."

McCracken: "Apparently."

Cullerton: "Okay, what is the... what is the... if you could just

briefly explain it, the forfeiture of property for people

convicted of obscenity. What... how does this thing work?"

McCracken: "The property used in the commission of the offense and profits derived from the commission of the offense would be subject to forfeiture. The Forfeiture Hearing

June 18, 1987

could be held only after a conviction on the charge of obscenity. Preliminary Injunctive Relief is available upon a preliminary finding of obscenity and a need to protect the assets. All this does is preserve the assets until the conviction, if there is one, and if there is one, a post-conviction hearing for forfeiture."

- Cullerton: "You mean, you mean, you mean you can seize someone's assets before they have been convicted under this Bill?"
- McCracken: "Only... No. They can't be seized. They can only be preserved."
- Cullerton: "Hell, when you say preserved, that means they can't

 be... does that mean you couldn't get a... you couldn't

 borrow money on a building, you couldn't get a second

 mortgage?"
- McCracken: "I think you asked that before, and honestly, I don't know the answer. I suppose if the building were used in the commission of the offense and the charge had already been made and there was reason to believe that the value of the building were in jeopardy, being transferred or encumbered, that you could get a preliminary injunction to preserve that; however, this does not purport to interfere with property rights of BFP's, bona fide purchasers."
- Cullerton: "Okay. Alright. Mr. Speaker, now that I understand what the Bill does, I don't think it... as I look down and see what Bill he is amending, I don't think that the Amendment is germane, and I would question the germaneness of Amendment #2."
- McCracken: "I'd like to respond to that, Mr. Speaker. If you look..."
- Speaker Grieman: "Proceed, Sir."
- McCracken: "Thank you. If you look at the underlying Bill, the underlying Bill, while it may not amend the same particular Act, certainly has the same subject matter, and that is the

June 18, 1987

preliminary determination that property of a person charged with public aid recipient fraud or vendor, is subject to forfeiture, so this provides for the granting of injunctive relief for prohibiting disposition of that property pending final disposition of the fraud proceeding, so that we have an underlying criminal charge. We have the availability, upon proper showing of preliminary injunctive relief. We have forfeiture upon a finding of guilty or conviction, and for all of those reasons, the Chair should rule that this is a germane Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you for the direction. Mr. McCracken. The Chair has examined both the underlying Bill and the Amendment and believes that it is not germane. The Bi 11 provides civil remedies. The Amendment addresses criminal liability, so the two are quite different and we would then... if we were to hold that this were germane, it would mean that everv civil procedure Bill h fuow he interchangeable with every Criminal Code Bill. Accordingly. I rule it ungermane. Are there further Amendments?™

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate
Bill 790. Mr. Sieben, do you wish to proceed on that? Do
you wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 790, on page 13 of the Calendar.

Senate Bill 790, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public

Utilities Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no

Committee Amendments."

Speaker Graiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Sieben."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. Sieben, on Amendment \$1."

64th Legislative Day

June 13, 1987

Sieben: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill was heard in Committee a week ago and at the end of the Committee Hearing, Representative Levin felt we needed to make some changes in the language slightly here, and so we have been working with the Interstate Power Company that this affects and also with Representative...

CUB to draft Amendment #1, which would answer that concern and I move for adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Henry moves for the adoption of Amendment &1 to Senate Bill 790, and on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "I'm sorry, Representative Sieben, I couldn't hear too
well. From my analysis, it looks that the Amendment
deletes a provision in the original Bill which makes
auditing requirements inapplicable to facilities located
outside the state. Does your... is that correct?"

Sieben: "That's correct. We deleted that and replaced it with language that shall the audit... the audit shall be at the discretion of the Commission, so the Commission will have the discretion to conduct the audit."

Cullerton: "Did the original... well did the original Bill require auditing of facilities located outside the state?"

Sieben: "The original Bill said, 'This auditing requirement, however, shall not apply to facilities located outside the state."

Cullerton: "I see, so... so this Amendment would authorize the auditing of an out of states facility if the... "

Sieben: "If the Commission so deems it appropriate."

Cullerton: "I see. And then there's a second part. These audits
would be discretionary in situations where the generating
plant is constructed in an area which serves less than

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

20,000 customers? Is that when the auditing is discretionary? Just in those certain circumstances?"

Sieben: "That's correct. Just for public utilities that serve in Illinois less than 20,000 customers."

Cullerton: "What's the thought behind that?"

Sieben: "The circumstance is this. Interstate Power Company,
which serves a small portion of northwestern Illinois, is
primarily based in Iowa and Minnesota, and they're
attempting to find some ways here to save their customers
some costs, and this is one way that they can do it."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 does not, and I repeat, does not implement the consensus that was reached at the end of our Committee meeting. I think what the Gentleman is intending tο do with Senate Bi11 790 is to remove the mandatory requirements for audits and some other provisions for power plants constructed outside of the State of Illinois, small companies, small utilities. What we agreed to in Committee was to give the Commerce Commission discretion small companies who have power outside of plants Illinois to eliminate... to waive the requirement for the filing of an audit. This Amendment does not do that. This Amendment, in fact, expands the exemption under the Bill to cover in-state power plants. I have filed a subsequent Amendment which embodies the consensus that was reached I think this is a very important issue because Committee. on Tuesday the Illinois Supreme Court, in the Commonwealth Edison case, focused on the audit provision, and reversing the Commonwealth Edison rate case, and mandated the Commerce Commission to extensively look at the audit situation. I am in sympathy and in complete agreement with

June 18, 1987

what the Gentleman intends to do, and I think his problem with Interstate Power can be fully resolved through Amendment... the next Amendment, which I have filed. 42. to expand the exemption within the State of Illinois. I think, goes beyond what he intended. T + ic nat necessary, and it is dangerous, so I would urge the defeat of this Amendment so that we can go on and put on Amendment #2. which accomplishes what I think is his objective."

- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the

 Gentleman from Henry, Mr. Sieben, to close."
- Sieben: "Mr. Speaker, here, we've just received the Amendments

 Representative Levin has circulated and just had a chance
 to look at those Amendments. I would ask permission to
 take this out of the record for a minute so we can examine
 these other Amendments and see if we might want to change
 our..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Sure. Fine. We will take the Bill out of the record and we will come back to you in a little while."
- Sieben: "We can come back to it. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Thank you. On this Order appears

 Senate Bill 1115. Mr. Clerk... Mr. Rice, do you wish to

 proceed on 1115? Mr. Rice? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1115, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment 1."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1258. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1258... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, will you... are you going to

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

proceed with that Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for
the uniform appointment and terms of various councils.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1266. Mr. McNamara? Yes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1266, a Bill for an Act to add to the
Illinois Fairness in Lending Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Piel."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel, on Amendment
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Piel: Amendment #1 basically is a simple Amendment. The way the current Bill states right now is that the funds have got to be returned at a lapsed time of 60 days. The problem that you run into with a lot of your mortgage houses, you know, when they're making a mortgage to somebody, especially it is an out of state mortgage, it's a situation to where it takes more than 60 days to get the information. A11 this would do would be to increase it to 90 days and I*d be... I'd ask for passage of the... or adoption of the I'd Amendment. Ьe more than happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1266, and on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McNamara."
- McNamara: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to inquire as to whether this has been printed and distributed?"

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk? We are advised that it has not been printed or distributed."

McNamara: "I move to table the Amendment."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McNamara, moves to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1266, and on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask the Sponsor's indulgence with the agreement of the Chair that we could come back to this. Obviously, as you can see, it's not a controversial Amendment. I think it's an Amendment that could definitely, you know, swing support for the 3ill and it improves the Bill, and with the agreement of the Chair, that we could come back to this and there's no reason we couldn't hear it, you know, I mean we're not under time constraint, so I ask the Gentleman to remove his Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McNamara, a cogent plea has been made."

- McNamara: "With... to Representative Piel. I have not even seen the Amendment yet. I would like to move this on to Third Reading so it gets in line with it. I would like to discuss that Amendment with you to find out exactly what it is and what it does to the Bill, and if we can come to an agreement, I'll be happy to bring it back to Second."
- Piel: "Maybe... maybe the Gentleman could momentarily take it out of the record, Mr. Speaker. I'll go over and talk to him about the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, the Gentleman has made a Motion to table.

 If he wishes to withdraw the Motion to table, the Chair, of course, will entertain that. If he wishes to proceed, the Chair will similarly move forward."

McNamara: "Okay. I will move to table the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon. You wish... The Gentleman wishes to table Amendment ©1. All those in favor signify... Hr. Piel, did you wish to speak... to address that issue

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

again?"

Piel: "To the issue, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Sir."

- Piel: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask at the present time... ask the Sponsor. This is something that can make the Bill more palatable to everybody. There are problems, I say minor, I don't think they are some minor major problems with the Bill. We are not under I would ask that we defeat the Motion at the constraints. present time and let me try and work out the problems with Sponsor. I don't know why he's in such a rush to move the thing to Third Reading, but, you know, I think without this Amendment and the ensuing Amendment on the Bill, that the Bill, you know, you really got problems, and I would ask for a defeat of his Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McNamara, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- McNamara: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. I will take this out of the record at this time with the intention of, we'll get back to it."
- Speaker Greiman: "We will return to this Bill, Mr. McNamara, so you will have an opportunity to move this to Third Reading, certainly. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1356. Mr. Park. Mr. Park, did you wish to proceed. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1356, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment \$1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to the

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

64th Legislative Day

- June 18, 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate
 Bill 1428. Mr. Turner? Mr. Turner? Mr.. Did you wish
 to proceed on 1428, Mr. Turner? Mr. Clerk, read the
 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1428, a Bill for an Act in relation to rehabilitation of abandoned housing. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1463. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1463, a Bill for an Act in relation to asbestos. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 31, offered by Representative
 Churchill and McCracken."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill, on Amendment #1."
- Churchill: "Mr. Speaker, a question."
- Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. This is Amendment #2. Amendment #2. Amendment
- Churchill: "Right. And is there not a Floor Amendment 31 that precedes that?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Giorgio"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, on Amendment #1."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, leave to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment @1 withdrawn. Are there further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
 Churchill and McCracken."

- June 18, 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill, on Amendment #2."
- Churchill: "Mr. Speaker, I think there has been some confusion between the Sponsor of the Bill and our side of the aisle.

 Would he take it out of the record for one second so we can get that cleared up?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, because I know there is going to be a lot of emphasis and a lot of scrutiny of whatever we do with this Bill, I want to move it to Third and move it back to Second when we get our agreement, and I won't move unless we give them a shot at whatever they want to try to do."
- Speaker Greiman: "So you wish to proceed, then, with the Bill."
- Giorgi: "I'd like to move it to Third and I'll call it back to

 Second because our Amendment isn't ready yet, either. Our

 Amendment isn't..."
- Churchill: "It was our understanding that we were going to do our Bill on the Bill that you moved yesterday, not this Bill."
- Giorgi: "I'll give you an opportunity to put your Amendment on when I pull it back to Second for my Amendment, but I'd like to move it to Third today. I promise you it won't go from Third and out until we get our Amendment and you get a chance to put yours on."
- Churchill: "Okay."
- Giorgi: "I make that in front of the full blown House."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Churchill, on Amendment #2, what is your pleasure, Sir?"
- Churchill: "I would like to withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment \$2 withdrawn. Are there further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
 Churchill and McCracken."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Churchill on Amendment #3. Withdraw it.

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1470. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate 3ill 1470, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

McCracken."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, on Amendment #1. Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker."

Bowman: "I question... "

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Ar. McCracken."

Bowman: "I question the germaneness of this Amendment... in order."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken, we will have to examine the Bill and the Amendment. The Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

McCracken."

Speaker Greiman: "Withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

Hoffman."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman.
Withdraw it. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Hultgren."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Warren, Mr. Hultgren. Mr. Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Withdraw the Amendment."

64th Legislative Day

- June 13, 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "Withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$5, offered by Representative
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman. Withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Now, remaining on this Order of Business, appears Senate Bill 600. Oh, excuse me. There has been a request for a fiscal note, Mr. Bowman, on Senate Bill 1470, so that Bill will be returned to the Order of Second Reading, pending a fiscal note filing. Alright?

 And now, Mr. Clerk, on Senate Bill 600, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 600, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Deuchler."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Kane, Ms. Deuchler, on Amendment #2."
- Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw Amendment 2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment 2 withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment ⊋3, offered by Representative

 Deuchler."
- Deuchler: "Withdraw. Withdraw."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Kane... withdraw Amendment 3.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
 Ewing."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

June 18, 1937

- Ewing: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill, as it currently exists, provides for an increase Did everybody in the property tax rate. hear me? property tax rate increase with no referendum provision. don't want Representative Young to get in any trouble. 1 want to make his Bill better. I don't want any of the targets on the other side of the aisle to get in trouble, would ask for their wholehearted support of this Amendment which will put a back door referendum Representative Young's good Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 600, and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Young."
- Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 is simply an Amendment to kill the Bill. The Bill is a tax increase without referendum. A Park District increase for programs for the handicapped, with very small increase. If you don't like the Bill, then let it go to Third Reading and vote against the Bill. However, this Amendment is designed to kill the Bill. I would urge a 'no' vote. Those of you who don't want to vote for an increase for the handicapped for recreational services, then just vote against the Bill on Third Reading, but I would hope that this Amendment is defeated."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Ms.
 Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would like
 to inform the Members that this Bill did pass through Doug
 Whitley and he has sanctioned the proposal, so the Tax
 Payer Federation is well aware of what's happening."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will yield."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Churchill: "Do you happen to know, does the original Bill include Chicago?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ewing, you're on."

Ewing: "I'm sorry. Thank you. I just would like a Roll Call vote on this Amendment. Anybody who wants to vote for..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ewing, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill, asked you a question."

Ewing: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Speaker Greiman: "That is why I recognized you."

Ewing: "Oh, well, I had my light on."

Churchill: "I'd be most happy to repeat it, Representative Ewing.

Do you know, did the original Bill include Chicago? Does
it include Chicago, the original Bill?"

Ewing: "I cannot answer that question."

Churchill: "Okay. From my reading, it looks like it excludes

Chicago, so really..."

Ewing: "I would not find that hard to believe."

Churchill: "So the tax increase is only for downstate. It's not for the City of Chicago."

Ewing: "That could be true."

Churchill: "Alright. So your Amendment, then, says that the people downstate should have a right to vote on whether or not they are going to have a property tax increase."

Ewing: "Without a referendum."

Churchill: "Without a referendum in the original Bill, but your Amendment says they have the right to vote on it."

Ewing: "That's right."

Churchill: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing, to

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I... I think that while we have made some light remarks about this, it is serious business. I'm not opposed to what this Bill provides for, but I can assure

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

you that the farmers and the taxpayers in my district want to have a say about when they are going to have more property tax. And I think that it's fair to at least have a back door referendum and I would ask for a Roll Call vote."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment bе adopted?* All those in favor signify bν votina those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question who wich? there are 70 voting 'aye', 41 voting 'no', 1 voting *present*, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. And on this Order of Business appears Senate Bill 790. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 790, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Sieben."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. Sieben, on Amendment £1."
- Sieben: "Yes, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment &1 withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &2, offered by Representative Levin."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Levin, on Amendment #2."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've conferred with the Sponsor of the Bill, and we've agreed to offer Amendment #2, which, I believe,

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

implements the intention of the Sponsor by giving the Commerce Commission the discretionary authority to waive the audit requirement for small power plants for generating facilities located outside the State. For purposes of the record, I would just like to indicate that the term 'facility' as used in the Amendment, is intended to mean 'electric utility generating plants and significant additions'."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 790, and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?

 I... I apologize for not having the language in front of me, but how does your Amendment modify the Bill? How does your Amendment change the Bill?"
- Levin: "Okay, Representative, the Bill, as drafted, requires...

 provides as a matter of law that there shall be no audit
 requirements for out-of-state generating facilities owned
 by in-state utilities. This... this Amendment and what was
 talked about in Committee was not to, as a matter of law,
 remove the requirement, but to give the Commission the
 authority to waive that requirement, and that is what I
 believe that Representative Sieben and Amendment 1 was
 attempting to do, and that is what we do pursuant to
 discussions with Interstate Power in Amendment #2."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. Sieben."

Sieben: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. He have worked cooperatively here with Representative Levin and reached an agreement that this Amendment will satisfy his concerns and the concerns of Interstate Power Company and we'd appreciate his cooperation in this effort."

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall the Amendment be adopted?" Those in favor 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Levin."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, on Amendment 3."

Levin: "Withdraw Amendments #3... "

Speaker Greiman: "Withdraw..."

Levin: "... and 4."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Withdraw 3, withdraw 4. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, there are some Bills that are on the Consent Calendar that required some technical Amendments. I would suggest to the Sponsors of those Bills that they communicate with the Chair so that we may address those Bills, and with leave of the House, I would like to now move to Senate Bill 1052, which is on the Consent Calendar, Third Reading. With leave of the House, we will return that Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Leave?

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1052, a Bill for an Act to amend the Retailer's Occupation Tax Act. This Bill has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$1, offered by Representative

McGann and Keane."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McGann, on Amendment #1."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. My

June 13, 1987

appreciation for giving me leave to take the Senate Bill 1052 from the Consent Calendar to Second Reading for a purpose of this Amendment. The Amendment, just as the Act, takes effect upon becoming a law. I have checked with the other side of the aisle, Representative McCracken, and everything is in concurrence with him, and I would ask for the adoption of this Amendment 31 to Senate Bill 1052.

Greiman: "The Gentleman asks for the Speaker adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1052. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment be adopted? Those in favor 'ave', opposed 'no'. the opinion of the Chair. the 'aves' have it. The Amendment is adopted. The Gentleman asks leave of the House to return this... Third Reading. I'm sorry, are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave of the House to return this Bill to the Order of Consent Calendar Day, which will be heard today and Third Reading. Second has previously been read today, and for leave that the Bill be considered today. Leave is granted using the Attendance Roll Call. Alright. Now o n the order Administration Second Reading. Senate Bill ... appears Senate Bill 1470. Clerk. Mr. T * m advised that the pension... that the fiscal note has been filed in this Bill... with respect to this Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Phelps in the Chair."

Speaker Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen αf House. It's my honor and distinct pleasure to introduce several ladies that represent this State in one the prestigious awards that could bе given. The American Mothers Incorporated, who have selected a

June 18, 1987

the Year for several years now, we have privileged to have some of those with us. Before I announce the recognize those from this year's award, 1 want to previous years that are with us down in front. I F thev raise their hands as I ask their... announce their Frances Killey, 1964 Mother of the year. names. Marv 1973 Mother of the Year, Mary Alvey. Della Mae Borke, 1979 Mother of the Year. Lois Bodine. 1984 Mavis Wright. 1986 Mother of the year. from Gallatin County in my district, this year's Mother Year is Lucille Loller. Her talents include teaching. writing, history, a very involved person in the community come from, very much envied by all of the mothers who have been rewarded in the last few years and introduce her for just a very few remarks. lika to Thank Lucille Loller." VOII-

"Ar. Speaker, Representative Phelps, Lucille Loller: Memhers House, distinguished guests, American Mothers and all my friends and relatives. This is a privilege and a today before this branch of the State Government and I want to thank you for the honor of passed a Bill for that. This afternoon I represent all the State of Illinois, Mothers in the Great I bring and greetings from all the American Mothers Associations, a 52 year old organization that's in 50 states and Peurto Rico. You are concerned and we are concerned about the ills in society today, that is illiteracy, drugs, immorality. So the American Mothers Incorporated, a non-sectarian, non-political, and a non-for-profit organization is working strengthen the moral and the spiritual values in the When we improve the home we improve the nation. And how did I get picked as the Mother of the Year? Five looked at three C's. They looked at my children,

June 18, 1987

they looked at my church work and community work. Then some of my philosophies about parenting. It's the most difficult, but the most rewarding job in the whole world. And full time mothers. I want to take my hat off to you and lucky are your children. Help children set goals, aim high and pray. The most important thing we can do for children is help them feel self-confident. If they feel good they are less likely to turn to drugs, to alcohol. They must learn to say 'no' to sex marriage. He want to try to give every student a chance for a good education. Also encourage they attend church Phelps and everyone Representative helped me stand in this spot today, I'm very grateful and thanks to all of vou.

Speaker Phelps: "Thank you, Lucille. Good job. Thank you.

Members of the Board, Mrs. Loller's family in the gallery.

Recognize them. Stand please. Thank you very much. Thank
you, Al."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Braun in the Chair."

Speaker Braun: "Page 23 of the Calendar, on the Order of Senate

Bills Short Debate, appears Senate Bill 1290,

Representative Madigan. Representative Cullerton, 1290."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1290, a Bill for an Act in relation to compensation of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative DeLeo."

DeLeo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Senate
Bill 1290 eliminates the Advisory Commission to the
Compensation Review Board. Since we have the Compensation
Review Board, we no longer need the Advisory Committee to
the Compensation Review Board. I ask for a green vote on
this."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

Bill 1290, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate... The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I have a question of the Sponsor.

please."

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will yield."

Ropp: "What did this Advisory Commission do before, then?"

DeLeo: "Same thing it was doing now, nothing. No, Gordy, seriously, it was formed... the Advisory Commission...

Committee was formed to form the Compensation Review Board, and once the Compensation Review Board was formed... this was formed in 1973."

Ropp: "Okay, so if you abolish the... what happens when the... "

DeLeo: "We have the Compensation Review Board now, so we no longer need the Advisory Committee. It's a technical..."

Ropp: "Is it in the works for replacement of those Compensation Review Board members? Do they serve a term or a period of time?"

Deteo: "No."

Ropp: "Once they're appointed they're in there for life?"

DeLeo: "That's what I assume."

Ropp: "What happens when they all die off?"

DeLeo: "Well, I think the Governor replaces them."

Ropp: "I mean, but that's in the current statute, right?"

DeLeo: "Right. Not for the Advisory Committee. For the Compensation..."

Ropp: "No, I mean for the Compensation Review Board."

DeLeo: "Right. For the Compensation Review Board, it's in the statute a lot. How the replacement is."

Ropp: "I thought the Advisory Committee might be a group that would make recommendations to the Governor as to who would actually serve on the Compensation Review Board."

DeLeo: "No, it does not."

June 18, 1987

Ropp: "Okay. Thank you."

- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none. Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 1290. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. This is Voting is open. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. Senate Bill 1290, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate 1335, Representative O'Connell. Representative O'Connell. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1335, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Take it out of the record."
- Speaker Braun: "Out of the record. Senate 3ill 1353.

 Representative Preston? 1353, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1353, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to programs and procedures for the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook."
- Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I urge an 'aye' vote on Senate Bill 1353. What Senate Bill 1353 ... oh, excuse me. I beg your pardon. I think we have an Amendment on this and I'd ask leave of the House to bring this back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman asks leave of the House to bring...
 to bring this Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for
 purposes of an Amendment. Is there leave? The Gentleman
 from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

McCracken: "Thank you. I don't have this before me on the Calendar. What Amendment are you proposing? Is it #5?"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Well, I don't know the number of it. The Clerk could give us the number of it."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Preston."

McCracken: "Okay. 5's going to be offered. Was 4 adopted?"

Preston: "I believe those were adopted in... I believe in Committee. I'm not certain."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 4 were adopted."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you. Yes, I have no objection."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Preston on Amendment 5."

Preston: "Thank you. Amendment \$5 really is а clean-up There were some technical problems with the last... the wording of the last two Amendments which. I believe, were adopted in Committee, and one of the problems that I know had to do with the ability of the Department of Children and Family Services to refuse a foster parent the ability to be a foster parent unless that foster for the reason that that... those foster parents had a drug or alcohol problem and there was SOME wording to this Amendment that said that DCFS, if these people were in an alcohol program, DCFS would therefore have to allow these people to be foster parents, and what this Amendment does is change that language so they will... would take into consideration this alcohol program, need not have to permit someone who, even though being in a program, still has an alcohol problem. The Department does not have to approve that person as a foster parent, and I'd ask for your adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 5, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none,

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

the question is, 'Shall Amendment 5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. The Gentleman moves for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 1353 on the Order of Third Reading. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, and Ladies..."

Speaker Braun: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1353, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to programs and procedures of the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1353 requires the Department Children and Family Services to do a number of things. One, to determine if foster parents, or applicants foster parents, currently have an alcohol or drug abuse Further, it charges the Department to determine problem. potential clients of DCFS have current alcohol or drug abuse problems, and if they do, to make referrals of those clients to licensed alcohol and drug abuse programs. Thirdly, it incorporates alcohol and drug abuse treatment recommendations into the DCFS case plan. It requires them to collect data and annually report on the incidence alcohol and drug abuse among its clients in the various programs operated by OCFS and it appoints an alcohol drug abuse panel of experts to... or various experts... to the DCFS Advisory Committees because we have a awareness now in this country and in Illinois of drug and

to encourage various agencies of State Government to

alcohol related problems. He are very... we very much want

prevention in cure of those drug and alcohol abuse

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

problems and that's what 1353 is designed to do. 1+ is supported by the Illinois Alcoholism and Drug Dependence Association and a number of other organizations, and I'd be glad to answer your questions and solicit your 'aye' vote." Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Bill 1353, and on that, is there any discussion? being none, the question is, *Shall Senate Bill 1353 pass?* All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The open. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'ave', I voting 'no', and Senate Bill 1353, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 7 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 1, Representative Dunn. John Dunn. Representative Dunn, Senate Bill 1. Out of the record. We'11 come back to it. Senate Bill Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks. Hr. read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 144, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Export Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "We're now on the Order of... Special Order Economic Development Second Reading. We've changed Orders of Business. Mr. Clerk... No, we want to go forward. Are there any Floor Amendments on Senate Bill 144, Mr. Clerk?" Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 468, Representative

 Novak. Representative Novak. Is the Gentleman in the

 chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 548,

 Representative Slater. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

64th Legislative Day

- June 18, 1987
- Clerk O'Grien: "Senate Bill 548, a Bill for an Act to amend the Flood Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 Terzich and Kubik."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Terzich on Amendment 1. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Kubik.

 Representative Kubik?"
- Kubik: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Amendment... what does is, it takes the control of Hoffman Dam to the Illinois Department of Transportation at the request of the Village of Riverside. The provision will have significant fiscal impact on the state. Both IDOT and the Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary District are neutral Amendment. The Amendment is identical to Senate Bill 30. which was not called in the House Executive and Veteran's Committee. I know of no opposition to Affairs the Amendment. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. House (sic Senate) aill 704,
 Representative Didrickson. Mr. Clerk, read the 3ill. Out
 of the record. Out of the record. House Bill 796, sorry,
 Senate Bill 796, Representative Panayotovich. Mr. Clerk,
 read the 3ill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 796, a Bill for an Act to amend the Employee Ownership Assistance Act. Second Reading of the

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$2, offered by Representative Panayotovich."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook on Amendment 2. Out of the record."

Panayotovich: "Withdraw Amendment #2, please."

Speaker Braun: "Amendment 2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Churchill and McCracken."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Churchill. Representative

McCracken, on Amendment 3."

- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This eliminates the provision authorizing financial assistance for the expansion of currently operated employee owned and community associations and for the initiation of employee owned and community association owned enterprises. So the assistance would be not available for operating companies. It would be start up assistance only if this Amendment were adopted, and I move its adoption."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment

 3, and on that, is there any discussion? The Chair
 recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative
 Panavotovich."
- Panayotovich: ."Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in opposition to this Amendment. What he is doing is deleting the guts of the Bill and we should vote down this Amendment. That's strictly fact. That's all it is."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage to close."

June 18, 1987

- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I respectfully disagree with the Gentleman. The fact of the matter is this would not eliminate the guts of the Bill, this would merely eliminate the provisions relative to ongoing companies. If the intent is to provide for assistance in acquisition of plants or things of that nature, it ought to be limited to new companies. Almost by definition, I would think that it would be naturally limited to new companies and I ask for a Roll Call vote."
- Speaker Braun: "Alright. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Floor Amendment #3. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say *no** In the opinion of the ... oh, I'm sorry. The Gentleman has requested a Roll Call. All in favor vote opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all Have all voted who wish? On this question. there are 46 voting 'aye', 66 voting ... 65 voting 'no'. And the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
 Churchill and McCracken."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from DuPage, on Amendment #4."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #4 would require that this Assistance Fund, not be financed by an appropriation from the General Assembly. Currently, in the Bill, there is not provided for, funding for this Act, and the fund created hereby. This would require that the fund would issue bonds, or other monies be made available to them, from any source other than appropriations from the General Assembly. And I move its adoption."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich."
- Panayotovich: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Do you know what's in the fund right now, Representative McCracken?"

McCracken: "Nothing."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Panayotovich: "Approximately how much is ••• How much has been put in the fund?"

McCracken: "Nothing."

Panayotovich: "From the previous Bill from the Emergency
Assistance Act, that was passed in 1982, do you know what's
available in the fund right now? There is an Emergency Act
already. Are you familiar with it?"

McCracken: "No."

Panayotovich: "Are you familiar with it if there's any money in it?"

McCracken: "No."

Panayotovich: "Are you familiar that if anybody has ever used the fund?"

McCracken: "No."

Panayotovich: "Are you familiar with the Amendment and the Bill?"
McCracken: "Yes."

Panayotovich: "Okay. There's been approximately \$2,000,000 put aside for this fund, previously. Where do you expect the rest of the money to come from, if not from the General Assembly?"

McCracken: "From ITFA Bonds, or things of that nature. That's

Panayotovich: "Have you talked to ITFA Bonds ... ITFA about it."

McCracken: "No, I haven't."

Panayotovich: "Okay. I stand in opposition to this Amendment #4.

There's been \$2,000,000 appropriated before we had two companies take advantage of it. Two groups have taken advantage of it, and we must find funds to keep this fund ... to keep this Act going. So, I stand in opposition to this Amendment."

Speaker Braun: "Representative McCracken, to close."

McCracken: "Thank you. You know. if we're all ready to sit here and vote for a tax increase, or if the Sponsor of the Bill

June 18, 1987

is interested in voting for a tax increase, I'm sure that there could be funds found in the General Revenue Fund for this. But, if this is to operate in the future, it is not wise to, at the beginning of its start, to make these funds available from the General Revenue Fund. And this Amendment would allow bonds to be floated for that purpose. And I move its adoption."

- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #4. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 47 voting 'aye', 66 voting 'no' and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendent #5, offered by Representatives

 Parke and McCracken."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke, on Amendment #5."
- Parke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the One of the major problems we have in Illinois is House. high unemployment among our teenagers, among Some areas of the City of Chicago and downstate, as high as 50% of our minority teenagers are unemployed. Amendment #5 allows the small businessman, that has annual gross sales of under \$362,500, to have a base from the minimum wage down to \$2.90. What in fact this does then, is it allows the small businessman or woman, the opportunity to instead of one employee for the minimum wage of \$3.35, now may have the opportunity to hire 2 employees at salary of \$2.90. Once that small business becomes profitable. once that small business shows growth, once that small business has an opportunity to expand \$362,500, he must then raise all of those employees to the minimum wage. This is an excellent small business way

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

helping the Illinois small business man or woman. It also gives those people who may not have any skills, the opportunity to get employment, to learn skills to become more marketable, and it gives those people that are from minority areas an opportunity to reach down and pull themselves up with their own boot straps. I think this is an excellent Bill. I ask for every Member of the General Assembly to support this legislation for the small businessman or woman of Illinois, as well as the minority people and teenagers in Illinois to learn a skill of some sort, rather than remain unemployed in the State of Illinois. I ask for a Roll Call on this Amendment."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #5. And on that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich."

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen...

Hill the Sponsor yield for some questions?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."

Panayotovich: "Representative Parke, what is the minimum wage in Illinois?"

Parke: "\$3.35, Sir."

Panayotovich: "And you're addressing that people could make \$2.90 per hour?"

Parke: "To go down to \$2.90 at a base."

Panayotovich: "Who makes \$2.90 an hour?"

Parke: "Who ... There are employees that cannot be employed, because they are not able to get employment at that level because of the cap of \$3.35."

Panayotovich: "You mentioned that in your conversation, that this
was a very good Bill, and if you'd like there's room for
sponsorship, if you'd like on. You should have jumped on
right a way. Why did you pick the figure of \$362,500 in

June 18, 1987

annual sales?"

- Parke: "That was a ••• It's in compliance with the federal law already, which has an exemption at that level."
- Panayotovich: "Well, I again ... talking about the minimum wage
 law, we here are dealing with the Advisory Act. And I
 stand in opposition to this Amendment."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, to close."
- I think this Amendment Parke: "Thank you, very much. is helpful to our citizens of Illinois. something that is It's helpful to small businessmen and women of Illinois and ... you know, any opportunity that we can give to our young people and our minority people to be able to learn a skill, get employment, I think we should work towards that. think this is an excellent Amendment to this Bill. And I ask for a Roll Call. And I ask for support from Member of this General Assembly."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Floor Amendment #5. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 The voting is open. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 49 voting 'aye', 64 voting 'no'.

 And the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representatives

 Regan and McCracken."
- Speaker Braun: "For what reason does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich, rise?"
- Panayotovich: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I would like to know if

 Amendment #6 is germane to this Bill?"
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has raised a Parliamentary Inquiry regarding the germaneness of Amendment #6. The Parliamentarian advises that Amendment #6 is not germane, for reason that it amends the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, whereas, the Bill refers to the Emergency

64th Legislative Day

- June 18, 1987
- Business Retention Act. They are two different subjects, and therefore the Amendment is not germane. Further Amendments?
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$7, offered by Representative

 Regan and McCracken."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from... Representative Panayotovich."
- Panayotovich: "For the same reason, Floor Amendment #7, I would like to know the germaneness of this to the legislation."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Regan."
- Regan: "Withdraw Amendment #7, please."
- Speaker Braun: "Amendment &7 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative
 Panayotovich."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich, on Amendment #8."
- Panayotovich: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #8 just is a technical correction. In one part of the Bill, they use the word 'advisory' and all we're doing is striking the word 'advisory'. It's technical in nature and I just move for its adoption."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #8. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'aye's have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. House Bill ... Going back to
 House Bill (sic Senate 3ill) 468, Representative Novak.

 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Senate Bill. Representative
 McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"
- McCracken: "Senate 3ill 796, I believe a fiscal note was

June 18, 1987

requested. I don't know that it's been filed."

Speaker Braun: "We're checking that, Representative McCracken.

It would have been nice if you had raised this before the Bill went to Third Reading. Representative Panayotovich?"

Panayotovich: "Yes, Ma'am."

Speaker Braun: "A fiscal note, apparently has not been filed."

Panayotovich: "I didn't know one was requested, Hadam Speaker."

Speaker Braun: "And so the Bill will be returned to the Order of

Second Reading, pending receipt of the fiscal note."

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Braun: "Senate Bill 468, Representative Novak. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 468, a Cill for an Act to regulate

Ground Water Use amending Acts herein named. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 834, Representative Saltsman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 834, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Didrickson and McCracken."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook ..."

Didrickson: "I would like to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Braun: "Amendment \$1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Didrickson and McCracken."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook on Amendment #2."

Didrickson: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

June 18. 1987

This Amendment deals with Labor Management Councils T don't know if you have one in your region. He have one in the south suburban area. There are about 8 of them that would qualify. or do exist. What this Amendment says is. that we will allow matching grants from the Department Commerce and Community Affairs for 2 years; up to 75% the first year and 50% the second year. After that. hopefully with those matching grants, at the local level, you will be able to sustain vour Labor Management Council, which in some areas, in the Representative Saltsman's area, very successfully, the Peoria area. I believe he has 2 of those. But there are a number of you who And if you don't have them, I am certain that you would like to have that opportunity to participate the Seed money on a matching grant basis. That's all this Amendment does, is that would allow you to be able to assure that you would be able to tap into the local Labor Management Councils for 2 years and get them on their feet, working and operating, and then allow that grant. grant program to be used for 2 years elsewhere in the State, probably your district. Where they exist right Decatur, Carterville, Quad Cities, Kankakee, Harvey, Collinsville and Peoria. If you don't have one in your area, I'm sure you would like to be a part of this and with limited resources, this would give you the opportunity. If vou would. you would vote 'ave' on this Amendment."

- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #2.

 And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Saltsman."
- Saltsman: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. It is my information that there has been \$400,000 appropriated for new Labor Management Councils throughout the State. These are for start up Councils. And that is there. What this is, is it

June 18, 1987

sets a separate department and the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to work with this on a state-wide basis. I haven't received no opposition from DCCA on it yet, I don't know if they're taking a neutral stand or what, but there is funds there for new councils to start up. So, I think you have the wrong information."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook to close."

Didrickson: "With all due respect to Representative Saltsman, the \$400,000 exists to continue those Labor Management Councils that are already in existence. I named off those 8. There won't be any new money for Labor Management Councils for your area. That's why I think this grant program needs to be limited to 2 years. If you agree with this, and would like to see that your area would be able to have some of these resources, then you will vote 'aye' for Amendment \$2."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #2.

All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion

... The Lady has moved the passage of Amendment #2. All in
favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open.

Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will
take the record. On this question, there are 46 voting
'aye', 60 voting 'no'. And the Amendment fails. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. House Bill 916, Representative Olson. Representative Olson? Ιs the Gentleman in the Chamber? Out of the record. House 3i11 931. Representative Peterson. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? the record. Representative Peterson, do you want your Bill called? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Bi11 House Senate Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 931, a Bill for an Act to amend the

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1014, Representative

Dunn. Representative Dunn? Is the Gentleman in the

Chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1123,

Representative Kirkland. Mr. Clerk, read the 3ill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1123, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Solid Waste Management. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment & was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1249, Representative Mautino. Representative Mautino? Representative Mautino on 1249. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1251, Representative Young. Representative Young. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1251, a Bill for an Act to amend the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Parcells."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook on Amendment 32."

Parcells: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Amendment would change
the Agency ... change back to Agencies, those people who
would decide ... just a moment, let me get myself together

June 18, 1987

here ... who is going to consider the Minority and-Female Business Enterprise in the Act, who will be making the decision. The Agencies have been doing a very good job, and I think that to take it away from them and give it to the Council will make it very restrictive and we will have less minorities and women getting contracts. They're not geared for this and we've decided in our Committee that CMS and the Department of Transportation are doing an excellent job of this. The Council isn't ready to do it yet and they have been very long and arduous, and therefore, I move that we go back to having the Agencies make these decisions. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment \$2.

 And on that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Young."
- Young: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Houses This Amendment is merely another attempt to kill the Bill. What the underlying Bill does, is consolidate things within the Minority and Female Business Council. This Amendment would give to agencies, a task that agencies, for the most part, are not performing now, or not equipped to perform now, don't have the personnel to perform now. The Lady stated that CMS and IDOT are doing a good job right now. Well, this Bill would leave that with IDOT and the Council who's a part of CMS. So, this would just make the law more complicated. It's unworkable and I move and ask everybody to vote 'no' on this bad Amendment."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells to close."
- Parcells: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. They have been doing a good job. CDB has been doing a good job. This is not meant to kill the Bill, it's meant to make it a better Bill. The whole purpose of the Bill, is Minority and Female Business

June 18. 1987

to be taken on and used in this State and it is so restrictive under the Council, that it will not be done as well as the Departments are at this time doing it. They're doing a very good job of it. They are getting close to their 10%. And this will slow it down. The jobs will ... they won't be able to find the contractors to do the work. The jobs will not be awarded. It will slow it down both for the minorities and females and for the agencies that are looking to have this work done. I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #2.

All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion

Parcells: "Could I have a Roll Call?"

Speaker Braun: "All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 47 voting 'aye', 63 voting 'no'. The Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. House Bill 1300, (sic - Senate Bill) Representative Keane. Representative Keane. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1384, Representative Van Duyne. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1384, a Bill for an Act to authorize townships to establish Plan Commissions. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Van

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Will, on Amendment #1."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move for adoption of

Amendment #1. It's technical in nature and it's a staff

64th Legislative Day

- June 18, 1987
- Amendment. And it just adds one more word to it, the word is 'located'. So, I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Van Duyne, we have a little technical glich here, we'll ..."
- Van Duyne: "I'm sorry, Madam Speaker. This was given to me by
 the LRB, rather than staff, and delivered to me by staff.

 It's just technical in nature. It changes the word '12,000
 hand' to 12,000 located'."
- Speaker Braun: "That's fine, Representative. We have to get to the Bill. The Board has to catch up with you. Alright. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Going back to Senate Bill 1300.

 Representative Keane. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1300, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No
 Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Hultgren."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Warren, Representative Hultgren, on Amendment #1."
- Hultgren: "Withdraw the Amendment."
- Speaker Braun: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Keane."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, on Amendment #2."
- Keane: "Withdraw. Madam Speaker, please withdraw Amendment #2."

64th Legislative Day

June 13, 1987

Speaker Braun: "Amendment &2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &3, offered by Representative

Keane."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, on Amendment #3."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #3 makes several Keane: revisions to the 3ill. It makes a minor revision to the definition of a TIF blighted area. So that a blighted area prior to its TIF designation, may have a flooding condition substantially caused by one or more improvements. language under which an improvement *substantially contributes. Ιt cleans that up. Τt adds tο the Area. definition of Industrial Park Conservation Ιt clarifies that a redevelopment project can either be public or private. At the present time, the statute is silent at this. It clarifies that cost of rehab reconstruction repair, apply to public or private buildings. Clarifies that municipalities having TIFs may clear any area demolition of existing publicly or privately owned buildings and structures. Creates a new TIF certified municipalities. Such municipalities may create tax increment economic development advisory committees. gives the Department of Revenue authority, which they asked to investigate complaints. It establishes a penalty clause, which makes it a Class A Misdemeanor for any person who knowingly files, or causes to be filed, information for the purpose of increasing the amount State Incremental Tax Revenues. I'd be happy to answer any questions, and ask for adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment
#3. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman
from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Madam Speaker, I'm very interested in this piece of legislation. I didn't get a chance to talk to the Sponsor.

June 18, 1987

I wonder if he could take it out of the record for just a moment, and then if you promise to come right back to it."

Keane: "I have no problem. Take it out of the record."

Speaker Braun: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1400,
Representative Panayotovich. Out of the record. Going to
the Order of Economic Development, Third Reading... appears
Senate Bill 200, Representative Giorgi. Representative
Giorgi on ... Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 200, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of
the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Winnebago."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, this is a merely Bill. And it merely requests that a report and study be made of incentives that we grant to industries that want to locate in Illinois, and we want to know the impact from these incentives. It's just a request for a study and I urge support of this General Assembly."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 200. And on that, is there anv discussion? being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 200 pass?' All in favor vote "aye", opposed vote "no". This is Senate Bill 300, Mr. Clerk. (sic - Senate Bill action. 200). Alright. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'aye', none *00 * And Senate Bill 200 ... Representative Curran? Representative Curran would like to be recorded as voting On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. And Senate Bill 200, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 650, Representative Davis. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Leone: "Senate Bill 650, a Bill for an Act to amend the Clerk

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Illinois Job Training Coordinating Council Act. Third Reading of the 8ill."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook, on Senate Bill 650."

vou. Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Davis: "Thank Senate Bill 650 amends Houses the Job. Training Coordinating Council Act. It requires that the Chairperson of the Council establish a subcommittee to review the compliance of civil rights requests of employment training programs. It also asks that they review and make recommendations with regard to civil rights compliance components of the Governor's Coordination and Special Plan. and that thev review Services and make recommendations to the Council with regards to civil rights compliance component of each local entities Job Training Plan. We urge an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 650. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Braun: "She indicates she will."

McCracken: "Representative, is Amendment #1 on the Bill?"

Davis: "If there ... I don't know if there were Amendments or not. If so, it was accepted in Committee."

McCracken: "Okay. I found it in our Calendar. It says Amendment
#1 was adopted."

Davis: "In Committee, yes."

McCracken: "Okay. As adopted then, there's no need ... or there's no requirement to create the subcommittee now.

DCCA has to report to the Council, I guess it is. But there's no necessity of the creation of the subcommittee.

Is that right?"

Davis: "Well, my understanding is that, the subcommittee will still be in operation. But, they do not have to create a

64th Legislative Day

June 13, 1987

special subcommittee."

McCracken: "Okay. And that Amendment made DCCA neutral on the Bill?"

Davis: "Yes, that's my understanding."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Davis: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook to close."

Davis: "We just feel, Madam Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that amending the Job Training Coordinating Council Act will certainly improve the civil rights and humaneness of hiring practices in the State of Illinois.

And we urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bi11 650. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. And Senate Bill 650, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby Senate Bi11 708. Representative Granberg. Representative Granberg? Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Senate Bill 720. Out of the record. Representative Out of the record. Mautino. 720. Senate 3ill 848. Representative DeJaegher. Representative DeJaegher. İs the Gentleman in the Chamber? Representative DeJaegher, is it your intention to move the Bill?"

DeJaegher: "Madam Speaker, I don't believe that the Amendments have been disbursed as yet, so I will not call this Bill at this time. Thank you."

Speaker araun: "Out of the record. Thank you. Senate aill 914.

Representative Daniels. Representative Daniels? 914? Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

June 18. 1987

Clerk O°3rien: "Senate Bill 914, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Superconducting Supercollider Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from DuPage, on Senate Bill 914." Daniels: "Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 914 amends the Superconducting Supercollider Act. Τt transfers regulatory and inspection responsibilities for the SSC from local governments to the increases the maximum acreage, Department of Energy and Natural Resources may acquire for the SSC, and has several other changes combined in it. This is probably one of the most exciting pieces of legislation that we've had this Session. because it ground work for Illinois to be in the forefront of the competition for the Superconducting Supercollider Act. this will give us the ability to be in the forefront and to conduct our application in full faith with the Federal Government in applying for this Supercollider. I'd ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate
Bill 914. And on that, is there any discussion? The Chair
recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sowman."

Bowman: "Hill the Gentleman vield for a question?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will yield."

Bowman: "Now I know this is a very important project, and I know that approximately where it would be located if it were to be in Illinois, but does this Bill limit it to that general location? I mean could ... this Bill provides a blanket exemption from almost any State or local regulation. And I just wondered if they choose not to put it near Batavia, can they put it somewhere else in ...willy-nilly."

Daniels: "It wouldn't be as appropriate anywhere else. The reason it's around Batavia, is because of the presence of

June 18, 1987

the Argonne and Ferme Lab. As you know, there already is a ring circumference, where they have several scientific experiments going right now. The geological base that's contained in that area is excellent for the area. This ring would be 53 miles in circumference and is set up through the application process for this specific sight."

Bowman: "Hell, if I can listen between the lines, so to speak, it sounds to me like you're saying that the Bill in the way it's drafted, is open ended so it could legally be put anywhere, but you're saying that it probably would not."

Daniels: "Legally it could be, but it probably would not be. It wouldn't make sense to put it elsewhere."

Bowman: "Hell. Just as long as everybody knows that if the Supercollider comes too their community, that this Bill exempts it from any local ordinance, building code, safety standard, EPA standard, anything. They can just come in with their bulldozers and start tearing it up. It sounds like a terrific 3ill, Representative Daniels. I don't know how you got mixed up on this, but it sounds like a terrific Bill."

Daniels: "Every once in a while, I fall into it."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Young."

Young: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Braun: "Indicates he will."

Young: "Is Amendment #1 on the Bill?"

Daniels: "Yes, Sir. It was adopted in Committee by a unanimous vote."

Young: "Okay. And its my understanding that Amendment #1 exempts
this project from approval by the Attorney General and the
control that when it comes to the expenditure of public
funds?"

Daniels: "Yes."

June 18, 1987

Young: "And it allows the Department of Energy and Natural

Resources to dispose of property acquired under this Act
that is not needed?"

Daniels: "Yes, Sir, That is correct, Sir,"

- Young: "So, that under this Act, the Department of Energy and Natural Resources could acquire property through the expenditure of public funds, without any okay from anyone associated with the State, and then determine it wasn't needed, and then dispose of it as they saw fit?"
- Daniels: "Within the terms of the Supercollider Act, for the purpose of a Supercollider, they could conduct eminent domain procedure. If they found out, and if you can visualize the Supercollider, it's a large ring, 53 miles in circumference, and if you can visualize that as you condemn some of the portions, many of the portions of this ring will be underground. There will be no surface relocation whatsoever. Some of the areas of the ring though, necessity for access to repair, will have to be ground level and above the surface level. And those areas be needed for that, there may be after the final will construction is done, surplus properties, which they could dispose of, for purposes of this Act."
- Young: "Okay. And does the Amendment authorize the Department of Energy and Natural Resources to exceed the maximum payment limits of the Uniform Act, when and where it deems fit?

 Does the Amendment do that?"
- Daniels: "I didn't follow that question, I'm sorry. Could you repeat it?"
- Young: "It seems to me, the Amendment is saying that the
 Department is authorized to exceed maximum payment limits
 as authorized under the Federal Uniform Relocation
 Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act, wherever it's
 necessary."

June 18, 1987

Daniels: "It does in the cases cited in the Amendment, ves."

- Young: "So that means anyone who ... any DuPage County property that is the subject of eminent domain in this Act, that the Department could pay as much for that property as they want, even if it exceeds federal guidelines. Is that correct?"
- Daniels: "It would have to be within guidelines, federal guidelines, because the ultimate money would come from the Federal Government. And let me just emphasize to you that most of this, the vast majority of this project is in Kane County."
- "Thank you. To the Bill, Madam Speaker. We Young: all support the Superconductor Supercollider, but I think Amendment #1 opens up a lot of doors that this General Assembly ought to carefully consider, whether we want to expend public money. Right now, any time you're going to expend public money, it tο be okaved hν the Attorney General the or These funds need no okav. a State Comptroller's office. Department will allow. It allows the Department to acquire property at a higher price than the federal standard, it will allow them to dispose of that same property. without any oversight bу any οf the constitutional officers. And then the provision, to exceed federal guidelines as far as payment, I just wonder what makes this property so... such that it could be taken domain. and it could be paying more than what eminent federal guidelines state. I think this Amendment is aoina little too far, and maybe we need to consider this a little further."
- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Lady from Kane,

 Representative Deuchler."
- Deuchler: "Madam Speaker, a previous... to the Bill. A previous speaker raised a question as to the location of the

June 18, 1987

Supercollider. One of the reasons that Ferme Lab is being regarded as the location is because of the Supercollider already in existence, and the fact that the technology would be used to inject the atoms from the smaller ring that is in use now, to the 53 mile. And think that's something that needs to be noted. Also, as proponents to the legislation, we see that the cities involved. certainly endorsed the proposal. from Sugar Grove, Naperville, West Chicago and Aurora, as well as the counties."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Madam Speaker, I think that Representative Young Bowman did raise some interesting issues and some concerns they have. But it's those concerns that make this Bill... the need for this Bill comes from those other words, what we're doing here, is we're in strict competition with 49 other States for this project. project in terms of jobs and income for the State of Illinois. He have to put forward an attractive package. The points that they raised are things that the government might look to and say 'Well, we better go to Illinois, because they're making such a fantastic offer'. think that it's important to realize that we can't be provincial, we can't just say well this doesn't apply to Chicago and this only helps out Kane and DuPage County. T think this is an unusual case, where it takes these unusual incentives in order to attract the project. So, I rise support of the Gentleman's Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. You

June 18. 1987

know, I really have a hard time believing the comments cited here by some of the critics of this concept. You know we are embarking here upon a project, which will probably not only move Illinois into the 21st Century. which will probably be economically project and technology wise, the biggest project the federal government has undertaken in 30, 40 years. This can be Illinois! savior for the 21st Century. Not only that, of course, all parts of Illinois will benefit from this project. It is absolutely essential that we pass this Bill. If we in fact in Illinois, want to have a chance to really capture some the federal largess and move ahead, this is the Bill we have to have. He have to have it today. Vote 'aye'."

- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from DuPage to close."
- Daniels: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Gentleman who spoke earlier, Representative Young, raised some questions, but I think he misunderstood a portion of the Bill. First of the exemption by the Comptroller or the Attorney General is only for land up to the amount of \$2,500. terms of the authority to spend the money, it only involved efforts and consort with relocation land in the Supercollider, so there are restrictions. But as other speakers have said, this is extremely critical to the State of Illinois. This is a tremendous project that will benefit the whole State of Illinois, and for that matter. scientific developments in the world, as we know that it will be in the future times. And I solicit your favorable support."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate
 Bill 914. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The

 voting is open. Have all voted? Representative Daniels?"

 Daniels: "I believe for the record, this does take 3/5 vote to

June 18, 1987

pass. And could you ..."

Speaker Braun: "Yes. Thank you. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. And Senate Bill 914, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate 3ill 914, having received an Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 918, Representative Black. Representative Black? Is the Gentleman in the Chamber?"

Black: "Thank you, Madam Speaker ... I don't believe the Bill is 918. I think it's 981."

Speaker Braun: "981, you're correct. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 981, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act. Third

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from ..."

Black: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 981 amends the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act. Very briefly, it does the following 3 things. One, it increases the maximum area of an enterprise zone from 10 square miles, to 12 square miles. Number two, it increases the maximum total number of enterprise zones to be certified in the 6 calendar years, from '83 to 1990, from 60 to 65. It increases the maximum total of enterprise zones to be certified in 1987 from 13 to 15. I would urge favorable consideration of Senate 8ill 981."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 981. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 981 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Daniels, do you intend to

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

vote on this Bill? 981. It's one of your Members Bills. Thank you. Just looking out. On this question, there are 101 voting 'aye', 11 voting 'no'. And Senate Bill 981, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Order of State Administration, Second Reading. This is the addendum and we're going back to the Order of Business, State Administration on Second Reading. On page 13 of the Calendar appears Senate Bill 841, Representative Wojcik. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 841, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1138, Representative Wojcik. 1138, Representative Wojcik. 1138. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1138, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1228, Representative Slater. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1228, a Bill for an Act in relation to Conservation of Marginal Agricultural Land. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 31, offered by Representatives

Slater and Richmond,"

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from McDonough, on Amendment 1."

Slater: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 guts the Bill. And it creates the

June 18, 1987

Illinois Conservation Enhancement Act, the Save Illinois
Topsoil Program and the Illinois Natural Resource
Enhancement Program. It also provides for bonding, for the
acquisition of betterment of public land and eavesment in
land created by this Act. I move its adoption."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment

1 to Senate Bill 1228. On that, is there any discussion?

There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 1 be
adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In
the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The
Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate bill 1484, Representative Slater. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1484, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Ill.inois Controlled Substance Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. On the same Special Order, State
Administration, Third Reading on page 25 of the Calendar
appears Senate Bill 379, Representative Hojcik. Senate
Bill 379. Representative Hojcik, do you want to proceed?
Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 379, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. What Senate Bill 379 does, it permits a State Agency to issue declaratory rulings as to whether compliance with a federal role, will satisfy the purposes and provisions of the

64th Legislative Day

- June 18, 1987
- Agencies similar applicable role. I ask its favorable
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 379. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill ... Representative Cullerton?"
- Cullerton: "Inquiry of the Chair. Is this Bill on the Consent Calendar?"
- Speaker Braun: "Yes."
- Cullerton: "Well, I just would note that so that we don't pass it

 again, later on. Unless it's that important of a Bill, we
 have to pass it twice."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Wojcik, can we take this out of the record?"
- Wojcik: "Please take it out of the record."
- Speaker Braun: "Thank you. Senate Bill 504, Representative Black. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 504, a 3ill for an Act to amend

 Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to

 Coroners. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Vermilion, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative 3lack."
- Black: "Thank you, Madam ... Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 504 is a Bill brought to us by the Coroners Association and various law enforcement agencies. It's simply an Act to revise the law in relation to Coroners, which will require all Coroners to become certified by completing a specific training program. Now this exempts home-rule counties. There is no cost to the local unit of government it comes out of a ... and is a fiscal note that was filed in the Senate. comes out of the Local Government Law Enforcement Grant Program, which is a dedicated funding source. The

June 18. 1987

Department of Public Health thinks that anything we can do to move Coroners to be more professional in their duties, would be worthwhile. And given that, I would ask your favorable consideration of Senate Bill 504."

Speaker Braun: "My apologies, Representative Black. The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 504. And on that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor vield?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Just so I understand it. This Bill does contain that material, that language that eliminates the DuPage County Coroner's Office, right?"

Black: "I don't see it specifically. Gosh, we had every intent of putting it in there, Representative Cullerton, but somehow I think it slipped out."

Cullerton: "Well, it might be in. But that's alright, I think we put it in."

Black: "Thank you."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Vermilion to close."

Black: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think that this Bill received a very thorough hearing in the House Committee, and obviously a very thorough hearing in the Senate. I would urge passage of Senate Bill 504."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 504. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no'. And Senate Bill 504, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 549, Representative Olson. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."

June 18, 1987

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 549, a 3ill for an Act in relation to the transfer of various property rights by the State. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Olson," Olson: "Senate Bill 549. this is an annual Department of Transportation conveyance Bill. It transfers several parcels of land, that are state owned, to other units of government, or individuals, by sale or agreement. are Amendments added. Numbers 1 and 3 are Department of Transportation parcels. Amendment #4 is Preservation Agency parcel. I would ask for favorable consideration."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 549. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 549 in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is This is final action. Have all voted. take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'ave', none voting 'no'. And Senate Bill 549. received a Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared passed. Senate 8111 1335. Representative O'Connell. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"
- McCracken: "Just so we can follow what's going on, what Order is
 this on? Oh, I see it, State Administration, Third
 Reading. The pink page?"
- Speaker Braun: "That is correct. Representative O'Connell, on Senate Bill 1335."
- O°Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill creates the
 Computer Crime Prevention Law. The genesis for this Bill
 began in 1986 when Senator Berman adopted a Senate
 Resolution 787, which directed the Attorney General to
 investigate the problem of computer related crime and hold

64th Legislative Day

June 18 • 1987

which nublic hearings, аt businesses, financial institutions and law enforcement agencies. could offer recommendations for legislation to deal with this unique problem. Heretofore, computer tampering and computer fraud had been prosecuted under the General Theft Statutes, here in Illinois. It became somewhat of problem to effectively these crimes prosecute because of the technological nature of the crime which gave rise and procedural problems. What this Bill does, is create distinct offenses. It takes a computer crime out of the General Theft Statutes and creates its own article, which deals with computer tampering and computer fraud. Ιt the work product of a list of very impressive business, criminal justice and financial organizations. The Attorney General's Office is the prime author of the Bill. It has received favorable support. It deals with a very growing problem. It addresses a void that is left in our ability to prosecute fraud, wherein the fraud occurs intrastate, as opposed to interstate or federally related crimes. answer any questions that any of the Members might have."

- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate
 Bill 1335. And on that, is there any discussion? There
 being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1335 pass?'
 All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is
 open. This is final action. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1335, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question. there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. And Senate Bill 1335, having received the Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared passed. Certain Bills were taken out of the

June 18. 1987

record which appeared on the Special Order of Business. We will go back to those Bills and call them for action at this time. And so, on the Order of State Administration, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 85. 85. Representative Pullen. Representative Penny Pullen. Is the Lady in the Chamber? Representative McCracken?"

- McCracken: "She's on the phone, right now. She's coming in. If

 we could take it out of the record, briefly, she'll be

 here."
- Speaker Braun: "We'll come back to it. We'll come back to it.

 yes, certainly. Senate Bill 266, Representative Novak.

 266, Senate Bill. Do you want to proceed? Out of the record. Senate Bill 651, Representative Jane Barnes.

 Representative Barnes, 651? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1266, Representative McNamara. 1266? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1266, a Bill for an Act to add to the
 Illinois Fairness and Lending Act. Second Reading of the
 Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel on Amendment 1."
- Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, and I want to also thank the Sponsor for holding the Bill until the Amendments were distributed. And I think the two sides have worked out some agreements on the Amendments. Amendment \$1, basically increases from 60 to 90 days, the time period that is set forth in the Bill. Some of the lenders throughout the State, did not have a problem with the 60 days, as far as instate lending, but lots of times a person needs out of State credit reports, or out of State appraisals and that

64th Legislative Day

- June 18. 1987
- 60 days brought into a real tight time frame, and so they were, you know, requesting a 90 day period. I would ask for the passage of Amendment \$1. If you have any questions, I'll be more than happy to answer them."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment

 1. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman
 from Cook, Representative McNamara."
- McNamara: "Yes, Madam Speaker. It was my understanding that this

 Amendment was going to be withdrawn. Is that true,

 Representative Piel?"
- Piel: "My apology, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I have just been informed that there was an agreement to withdraw this Amendment. And I would ask to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Braun: "Amendment 1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
 Churchill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill on Amendment 2."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. What this Amendment says is that, in the event that an application is withdrawn and the costs are returned, that those costs that relate to appraisal fees and the credit report shall be paid, so that the financial institution is not out of those out of pocket costs."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment

 2. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman
 from Cook, Representative McNamara. I'm sorry,
 Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "I'm sorry, Madam Speaker, it's my understanding that
 there's been some agreement on this Bill now, and I will
 withdraw Floor Amendment #2."
- Speaker Braun: "Amendment 2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

 Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Currie."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook, on Amendment 3."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment 3 is meant to address a concern and a problem that I think all of us noticed 4 to 6 months mortgage interest rates took a substantial dive. Many individuals went in to refinance the mortgage their on They went in tempted by advertising, explaining that interest rates were now 9.6 or 8.9 or 10.1%. unfortunately for many of them, by the time the savings and the bank institution decided whether or not to the application. manv months elapsed accept and the interest rates had shot back up again. The provisions of Amendment 3 would protect a consumer in that situation, that after the expiration date of a mortgage commitment, if is the institution itself that has failed to make a decision, the interest rate that was the proposed at the beginning of the negotiation, would be the interest rate that applies. I would be happy to answer questions, and I'd appreciate your support for Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1266."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment 3.

On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 3 be adopted?' All in favor

... Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you. Would the Lady yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Braun: "She indicates she will."

Piel: "Representative Currie, I noticed that we've got in here, a
30 day, you know, notification of the 30 days prior to the
expiration date. So it will be after 30 days after the
application. Is that correct?"

Currie: "That's right, Representative."

Piel: "Okay. Hould you explain exactly, I didn't quite catch it.

June 18, 1987

Exactly what the ... what you're meaning by this?"

Currie: "In the meantime, Representative, just as I finished the explanation of the Amendment, the Sponsor of the Bill asked me if I would withdraw this Amendment. And I would be prepared to do that. He tells me there was some agreement on the Bill itself and with that explanation, I have prepared to withdraw the Amendment."

Piel: "Good idea."

Speaker Braun: "Amendment @3 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Churchill."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook on Amendment 4. I'm sorry, from Lake, on Amendment 4. Withdraw Amendment 4. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 704, Representative Didrickson? 704? Out of the record. Senate Bill 916, Representative Olson. Representative Olson on 916."

Olson: "Out of the record."

Speaker Braun: "Out of the record. Senate Sill 1014,
Representative Dunn. Out of the record. Oh, I'm sorry.
Representative Dunn. Senate Bill 1014."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1014, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Job Training Coordination Council Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

Didrickson."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook on Amendment 1."

Didrickson: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

Amendment #1 just puts this Senate Bill 1014 into conformity with federal law. It eliminates the provision that one member of the Job Training Coordinating Council,

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

would be appointed by the Board of Directors from the Prairie State 2000 Authority. That is in conflict with federal law and this is not a nuisance Amendment, none of these are in this Bill. It's to make this Bill a better Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment 1.

And on that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Representative, would you yield for a question?"
Didrickson: "Yes."

Speaker Braun: "She indicates she will."

Cullerton: "You seemed a little defensive there, you were ..."

Didrickson: "That's only because that's my role on this side.

And I don't want anybody to misinterpret that I'm trying to make ... to kill this Bill. I am trying to help the Bill."

- Cullerton: "I see. You're trying to help the Bill. And that's why of course, you cleared this with the Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Dunn and Senator Severns. You took the time to explain what this Amendment does, so that they would impose it on the House Floor?"
- Didrickson: "I believe that DCCA has worked with them and talked to them about this."
- Cullerton: "Okay, could you explain it to me one more time? It

 deletes the provision requiring one member of the 45 member

 Illinois Job Coordinating Training Council to be appointed

 by the Board of Directors of the Prairie State 2000

 Authority?"

Didrickson: "That is correct."

- Cullerton: "And you say that federal law prohibits the Prairie

 State 2000 •••"
- Didrickson: "The Job Training Partnership Act says that the Governor makes those appointments."

64th Legislative Day June 18, 1987

Cullerton: "Say that again. The Illinois ..."

Didrickson: "The Job Training Partnership Act says ..."

Cullerton: "The federal Job Training ... I see ..."

Didrickson: "The federal law on that says that the Governor makes those appointments."

Cullerton: "Makes the appointments to the 45 Member ..."

Didrickson: "To the Coordinating Council, right."

Cullerton: "And under this Bill, how many members does the Governor appoint, 44? And Prairie State 2000 directs ..."

Didrickson: "Well, under this Bill, or prior to ..."

Cullerton: "Under this Bill."

- Didrickson: "Well, under this Bill he would appoint 44 plus then
 the Prairie State 2000 Authority Board of Directors would
 have one appointment. And that's the reason for the
 Amendment."
- Cullerton: "Okay, well, the only point I would make is that the Sponsor of the Bill ... No one contacted him. Maybe someone talked to Senator Severns, but no one talked to the House Sponsor and he just was curious why the Amendment was offered. I don't think it's a major... I don't think it's a major..."
- Didrickson: "That is ... Representative Cullerton, you're absolutely correct. That is a courtesy and I know I appreciate those courtesies, and when it doesn't occur with my Bills, it is a disappointment. However, we were under the impression that OCCA was working with the Sponsors."
- Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you, very much for answering my questions."
- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted.

 Further Amendments?"

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Didrickson."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook."

Didrickson: "Amendment #2 removes the requirement that the Job
Training Coordinating Council employ its own personnel.

And for Representative Cullerton and Representative Dunn,
the rational behind this Amendment, is the fact that this
sets up another agency without this Amendment. And I think
at a time when even Speaker Madigan has called for kind of
a cooling of our heels and reassessing what we're doing
with regards to economic development in the State of
Illinois, this is a needed Amendment."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #2.

And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. One quick question. The Bill then, would still allow for them to employ a director. Is that correct?"

Didrickson: "Correct."

Cullerton: "It just doesn't require it. Okay, thank you."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'aye's have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 3, offered by Representative

Didrickson."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook on Amendment #3."

Didrickson: "Well, Amendment #3 removes the provision specifying that the information to be included in the Job Training Coordinating Councils inventory of Employment and Training programs. That is done, my understanding is, right now.

But, it is not put into the data base. And this would require the Department of Employment Security to change

64th Legislative Day

June 16, 1987

their data base, their computer data base, in order to put this in at a time when this information is already available."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment 3.

And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from

Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I would defer to Representative Dunn."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Yes, let's explain this Amendment again, a little bit, if
you will. What information is being deleted and by whom
is it being deleted, and why?"

Didrickson: "Sure, Representative Dunn. Currently, the inventory of statewide Job Training Information is available. However, the format in detailed reporting requirements are not readily produced using the Department of Employment Security's existing computer data base. That's the reason for this Amendment. We would have to ... we collect this information, but in order for the Department of Employment Security to conform with your Bill, they would have to change their existing computer data base. They would have to create a third data base. They do it for State and Federal already."

Dunn: "Well, if this Amendment gets on the Bill, then how will the inventory of jobs be done?"

Didrickson: "We could use either State or Federal data base."

Dunn: "That's alright."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the question ... the Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment 3. All in favor say "aye", opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. And Amendment 3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Dunn."

64th Legislative Day

June 13, 1987

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Macon, on Amendment 4."

Dunn: "Amendment #4 provides that the Job Training and Coordinating Council shall consider the job requirements of the Federal and State laws, upgrading job training, employment opportunities, including the Social Security Act and the Food Stamp Act. I ask for adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Dunn?"

Dunn: "I urge the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker 3raun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment
4. And on that, is there any discussion? There being
none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion
of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is
adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Representative Dunn, is it your pleasure to proceed with Senate Bill 1?"

Dunn: "Is the fiscal note on file?"

Speaker Braun: "No, Sir, it is not. Out of the record. Special Order, Economic Development, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 720, Representative Mautino. 720. Out of the record. Senate Bill 848, Representative DeJaegher. Representative DeJaegher? Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Out of the record. Representative Brunsvold, for what reason do you rise? We will now move to the Order of the Consent Calendar and the Chair recognizes Representative Krska for a Motion."

Krska: "I would like to have leave to remove Senate Bill 418 from Third Reading, Second Day, Consent Calendar and to be placed on Third Reading, First Day, Consent Calendar, for an Amendment. May I have leave?"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Matijevich, for what reason do you

June 18 • 1987

rise?"

- Matijevich: "I thought the Body ought to know, we have a convention here of former Lieutenant Governor candidates, right here, Mike Howlett and Grace Mary Stern."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Just a brief question. It's a technical Amendment, is that right?"
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Krska. Senate Bill 418 will be removed from the Consent Calendar for purposes of an Amendment, from the Order of Third Reading, Second Day, to Third Reading, First Day. Mr. Clerk, are there any other Bills which have been removed from the Consent Calendar?"
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 359 has also been removed from the Consent Calendar. There are no further."
- Speaker Braun: "Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bills. Mr. Clerk, have the Bills appearing on the Consent Calendar, Third Reading, all been previously read?"
- Clerk Leone: "Bills on Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second

 Day, have all been read a third time."
- Speaker Braun: "The question is, 'Shall the Bills appearing the Order of Consent Calendar, Third Reading pass?' 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. The voting is open. Have all voted? Have all The Clerk will voted who wish? take the record. Representative Stephens, for what reason do you rise? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are ... on these Bills, there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting And the Consent Calendar, Third Reading, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills. Second Reading. Short Debate appearing on page 7 of the regular Calendar appears House Bill 2 (sic - Senate Bill 2). Representative Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

June 18. 1987

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2, a 3ill for an Act to create the

Baccalaureate Savings Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

- "Third Reading. Senate Bill 144. Speaker Braun: Representative Hicks Senate 3111 226. Representative Keane. Representative Keane? Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? of the record. Senate Bill 454, Representative Novak. Representative Novak? Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 591. Representative Steczo. Representative Steczo. Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 832, Representative Kirkland. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill. 832.
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 832, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Kirkland. Mr. Kirkland, did you read the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Floor

 Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Kirkland."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed with respect to

Amendment #1."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Kirkland on Amendment #2."

Kirkland: "Withdraw."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Kirkland withdraws Amendment #2.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment \$3, offered by Representative
Kirkland."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Kane on Amendment 3."

Kirkland: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #3 would preempt all local administration issuance of handicapped parking

June 18. 1987

decals and devices by anyone other than the Secretary of State. I would be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3. And on that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."

Mulcahey: "Representative Kirkland, the Secretary of State will handle these decals from this point on, is that correct?"

Kirkland: "That's correct."

Mulcahey: "How about the people that currently have decals, and
even though they might be of a different variety, is there
a grandfather provision involved there? Or what are they
going to have to do?"

Kirkland: "There is no grandfather clause, that's a good point.

There's no grandfather clause to grandfather them in. Some transition obviously, into the State decals would make sense."

- Mulcahey: "Well, it seems to me ... it seems to me that if somebody has those decals now, regardless of what they may look like, or what shape they may be, it's going to be an awful ... it's going to be a big job to try to make that transition. And do they have a grace period to make that transition?"
- Kirkland: "Representative Mulcahey, I'm told here we can do ... we can make the transition by administrative ruling. Until that time, the local stickers and ordinances will be valid."
- Mulcahey: "So you deal with this in Rules and Regs and there would be a reasonable grace period by which people would have time to make that transition. Are you going to be able to administratively accommodate the handicapped

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

parking decals around the State, all through the Secretary of State's office? Because the way it is right now, as far as local units of government have the authority to handle to hand these decals out in various locations. It seems to me it's going to be an awful ... it's going to be a big rush to get this job done. And that grace period to me, I think had better be rather extensive."

- Kirkland: "We agree. I mean, we're trying to get at a problem of different ordinances with different requirements, with different kinds of stickers, that local police departments don't know how to recognize the validity or invalidity of out of town stickers and so forth."
- Mulcahey: "I know. I understand that local units of government are hesitant to hand out parking tickets because they all vary, and it's hard telling what ..."
- Kirkland: "Sure. Not to mention, you can get them at your Five and Dime Store." Mulcahey: "Okay. So I agree with your concept, but I hope that the Department does provide reasonable, a very large, big grace period, as far as, making these people turn over from this decal to another. I guess that could create a problem."
- Kirkland: "I would be willing to suggest this, if there's no problem with the concept, we'll put it on and go to Third Reading. We will work on whether we can do it statutorily, or figure out how to better explain how we'll do it by rule."
- Mulcahey: "Okay, fine. Sounds good. Fine."
- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Countryman."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Kirkland: "Sure."

64th Legislative Day June 13, 1987

Speaker Braun: "Indicates he will."

- Countryman: "Will you be able to, after this law becomes
 effective, go to the Drivers License Stations in the local
 areas to get these permits?"
- Kirkland: "I certainly assume so. However they get them now, maybe, do you know whether they get them that way now?"
- Countryman: "I think they have to apply to Springfield, and I guess that's one of my concerns is... you know, if they got to wait three weeks to get the permit when they break their leg, you know, by the time they get the permit they may be out of the cast or out of the crutches or whatever, and you know, you got to have an expeditious way of getting these."
- Kirkland: "At least they are telling me at the state level the disability has to be over a 12 month period, so maybe when you're talking about a broken leg, you're talking about some local ordinance situations. Or do you know differently?"
- Countryman: "Well, I... I think that a 12 month period is quite a bit to ask somebody to have a disability if they can't walk or, you know, something on a temporary period of time. I sure people over a 12 month disability ought to have it, but I think you ought to be able to get it at a drivers license station."
- Kirkland: "I take that back. A different source... Secretary of State's office says they do have a short term disability provision, so back to the original question, are those... can you get those at the local drivers license station, or do you have to apply, you know, by mail to the Secretary of State's office, or what ever? You can apply at the local station, but it does come down to Springfield for..."
- Countryman: "Well, I would think that it ought to be issuable at the drivers license facility, I mean, I can walk in and take the test and walk out in 15 minutes with my drivers

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

license. I would think you ought to be able to take the documents in there and get the drivers license station to issue it, so I would think the Secretary of State's office would want to amend this so that you could get it issued right there with all their computer facilities and everything else. It seems to me to be reasonable, rather than have somebody have to wait four or five weeks for something that has to be processed. Houldn't you?"

Kirkland: "We will try to address that in the Bill also."

Countryman: "9kay. Thank you."

Kirkland: "I think we'll probably be pulling this back because he indicates the preference would be to have the transition set up in the statute, also, as opposed to rules, so...

Countryman: "#ell, it seems to me you've got a few problems.

Let's put the Amendment on and some agreement for you to

work it out."

Kirkland: "You bet."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Representative Kirkland, are there more handicapped license plates than regular license plates being issued today, or what?"

Kirkland: "I didn't hear the question. You'll have to..."

Terzich: "Are there more handicapped license plates issued than regular license plates?"

Kirkland: "Gosh. I don't think so. I did hear the... No."

Terzich: "And you mentioned that the definition of a disability can be immediately, like I got my bad back, I can get a handicapped plate?"

Kirkland: "I think we're trying to get that straightened out now.

If you'll hold just a moment."

Terzich: "I can't walk too good, I mean, can I get a handicapped sticker..."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Kirkland: "We hope not, under that circumstance."

Terzich: "... so I can park near the Capitol."

Kirkland: "From the baseball... from the softball game, or... "

Terzich: "Yes, and how long can I keep that handicapped sticker?"

Kirkland: "I think I'm going to have to have help to get your answer."

Terzich: "Fine. Maybe I can get a note from the nurse at the First Aid Station or something and I can get one."

Kirkland: "I think Laurino maybe qualifies more than you do, but we'll see what we can do."

Terzich: "Well you got a poor boy... he's the hero. He can get anything he wants."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? Has he responded $\underbrace{ \text{ ... "} }$

Terzich: "Well, I would... Madam Speaker, I... I would like to know if he has an answer as to what a basic definition of a disability is. What's a handicap? I don't know. Other than being Croatian. If he answers this we'll get him a job at the Secretary of State's office, by the way."

Kirkland: "What's the question again?"

Terzich: "The... What is... How do you, you know, what's a handicap? What a definition of a disability and for how long... If I got one now for my leg, you know, such as I got a handicap, now how long is that good? Is it good for a year or two years. There seems to be a proliferation of handicap stickers. Everyone seems to get a handicap... it's like those charity vehicle license plates."

Kirkland: "Well, going back and forth here, but the Secretary of State's, as now the information is coming to me, the Secretary of State's temporary permit is for a minimum of 12 months, a disability of 12 months or more. I don't know

how much more specific you want, Representative."

Terzich: "Well, I have a handicap. If I went and I got a sticker

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

today, because of my leg, which may be better next week or next month, hopefully, but how long would that be good for?"

Kirkland: "I don't think you could get that under this... "

Terzich: "Two months, four months, five months?"

Kirkland: "This Bill doesn't change that... would not change that
law, and under the current law you could not get a temp...
handicap sticker under that circumstance."

Terzich: "Well, limousines for all the handicapped."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Kirkland, have you concluded?"

Kirkland: "Yes."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Barger."

Barger: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. Representative Kirkland, you're talking about a sticker. Is this a sticker that is put in the window of the automobile?"

Kirkland: "Yes. A placard."

Barger: "In... what?"

Kirkland: "A placard. What's the difference?"

Barger: "The Secretary of State now issues an orange card, and card is given to an individual, and that can be used in any automobile. One of the big problems you have license plates or stickers is that they apply only to one particular vehicle. Now it's quite often a person i 5 who infirm will be traveling in someone else's car, and if they have the card which sets on the dashboard, it makes it possible for them to use cars other than that that has the sticker. And I found, when I particular one was dealing with this problem in the city, that the cards were far more desirable than license plates and stickers, and I would suggest you consider talking to the Secretary of State about that as an alternative."

Kirkland: "Right now you get your choice between a plate or a

64th Legislative Day

- June 18, 1987
- card. The card can go from vehicle to vehicle. The card would... under current law the card can go from one vehicle to another."
- Barger: "Right. But if you put a sticker in the window, or use a sticker, that sticker cannot be transferred from one car to another as the person goes in different automobiles."
- Kirkland: "Yes, but under current law you can choose, instead of getting the sticker, to get the placard."
- Barger: "... Well, will the new law allow for the use of the card instead of a sticker? That's what I'm trying to find out."
- Kirkland: "Okay. No sticker. The Secretary of State doesn't have a sticker."
- Barger: "There's no sticker. This is a card."
- Kirkland: "Those stickers are apparently under local ordinances that you see, and that's part of the problem."
- Barger: "Okay, fine. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik."
- Woicik: "Yes. Madam Speaker, for the purpose of a question."
- Speaker Braun: "He indicates he'll vield."
- Wojcik: "Representative Kirkland, are the townships still giving out placards or stickers as they had in the past?"
- Kirkland: "Some are, I suppose, under... some are."
- Wojcik: "Some... Okay... "
- Kirkland: "I don't know that it's... it's not under statutory law, as far as I know, that they can do that. Townships, under current statutory law, apparently, townships do give out stickers."
- Wojcik: "They give out the stickers or the request for the stickers?"
- Kirkland: "Okay, part of the problem is in fact that they do.

 They pick their own sticker, what kind of sticker, and so forth. So different townships have different kinds of stickers that they use. Other local governments, too,

June 18, 1987

municipalities, and so forth."

Wojcik: "Okay. When I was Township Clerk they came and asked us for a sticker request, and at one time we, because we are unincorporated Cook, we were able to give a handicap sticker that we designed ourself."

Kirkland: "Okay."

Wojcik: "I'm under the impression that that no longer is the same procedure. I ask, are they giving stickers or do they just have the request to get the stickers and then they send that request down to the Secretary of State? The individual would send it."

Kirkland: "I think they're still doing it locally. Still allowed to. Still allowed to do it locally."

Wojcik: "Alright. So this Amendment, then, would no longer allow the townships to handle this. Is that correct?"

Kirkland: "That's correct."

Wojcik: "Alright."

Kirkland: "You know, we're trying to get a uniform designation placard, and so forth, to get rid of the problem of different townships, different towns, having different kinds of decals, and so forth, different ordinances with different, you know, rules and regulations as far as what a disability is, and so forth."

Wojcik: "So you're trying to ... "

Kirkland: "Make uniform."

Wojcik: "... consolidate the request for handicap stickers or license plates or placards. Right. Thank you."

Kirkland: "Okay."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rice."

Rice: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Braun: "Indicates he will."

Kirkland: "Sure."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

- Rice: "Representative Kirkland, I think you need to check that, because here in Springfield, when I dealt with it three years ago, you took... they gave you a form, and you took the form down to them and they sent it here Secretary of State, who gave you the first orange card that Then, if I'm not mistaken, the card is good for vou aot. five years, at which time you have to take another form in and get recertified again. And that was just done. think you need to check that or get somebody with some information from the Secretary of State's office."
- Kirkland: "I'm not sure what I'm checking. That may be the proper procedure if you get a state disability..."
- Rice: "It's an orange card. You still... after a certain period of time you can recertify it again as to your handicap... disability. The card that Jim Edgar and them give supercedes what you do in the villages, because it the point that if you pulled into some of those certified parking lots, those local policemen would give the but if you go in with your orange card to the judge, he recognizes the Secretary of State's office other than the other. The other handicap stickers that they were putting on the back of the windows came you might have one today and go outside and its gone. Sn they only use the orange card and the municipality usually recognize that orange card."
- Kirkland: "Well, except the problem is, some municipalities and townships have their own arrangements with their own stickers."
- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Lady from Sangamon, Representative Hasara."
- Hasara: "Speaker, I might just comment that in my family we have a card, and I think that it's been pointed out that we really do need uniformity. For instance, our card comes

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

the City of Springfield. It is an orange card, but it's only good for a year, so if other people are getting five year cards, I think that just points out the fact that However, since Representative we do need uniformity. Kirkland is going to be working on the Bill anyway, I would like to add my big concern is that people will... may have difficulty getting the card from the Secretary of State than going to their own local community. I know that can be worked out and that the Secretary, you know, will be glad to do that. For the record, I would just like to express my concern that when we are working on this we try to make it as easy for all to obtain the card from the Secretary of State rather than going to their communities."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sutker."

Sutker: "Madam Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."

Sutker: "Representative Kirkland, has this been run by the Township Officials of the State of Illinois? Have they approved this as an organization?"

Kirkland: "No. It has not been."

Sutker: "What about the Illinois Municipal League or the

Northwestern Municipal Conference? Are they aware of this

Bill?"

Kirkland: "They are not aware of the Amendment. No."

Sutker: "Well..."

Kirkland: "The... we got it from a fellow, the Coalition of
Citizens with Disabilities in Illinois. And no, we have
not run it through them, you know, to see if they have
problems with the local ordinance."

Sutker: "Well, I would... to the Bill, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. This has been a time-honored custom in our

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

community to have the villages or the township issue handicap parking placards or stickers or cards, and it's been working well. I'm concerned about passing this on Secretary of State's office and taking it away from those villages and townships. In my own township it's important means by which handicapped people can quickly get this placard and use it immediately. Ny concern is that we make it so uniform throughout the state that it will cause additional delays and consternation with regard acquiring such placards. I would ask the Representative. if he's going to work this Bill or this Amendment through, that he contact the Illinois Township Officials as well as the Illinois Municipal League. I have a feeling that are more concerned about it than seems to be the attitude on the floor now."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Kirkland to close...

Representative Peterson, for what reason ..."

Sutker: "Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Braun: "Yes."

Sutker: "May I just conclude?"

Speaker Braun: "Oh please. I'm sorry."

'Sutker: "Representative Kirkland, it is not my intention to prevent the Secretary of State from doing it concurrently.

I have no objection to that continuing, but as I understand this Amendment, it would take away the opportunity for townships and villages to act with respect to providing for these cards."

Kirkland: "Yes."

Sutker: "Is that true?"

Kirkland: "That's true. We'll be glad to run it through them.

The problems we're running into are that, you know, that
they have different standards with different stickers.

Police Departments in one village or township don't know

June 18, 1987

what to do when cars are in there with stickers that they don't recognize from their own township or village, but may be or may not be validly from another township or village. Those kinds of problems. That's what we're trying to get at."

to the Bill. Madam Speaker. It seems to me that we should be willing to honor the stickers and placards and handicap parking signs of other communities and community, we do that. A handicap parking sticker in the Village of Morton Grove is effective in Skokie. The township officials that have issued such placards from Northfield Township and New Trier Township are Niles Township, and I would suggest that a police officer who refused to make... to honor such a placard or sticker or card, would not be effecting the purposes that you are seeking."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Holf."

Wolf: "Madam Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The previous question is put. Representative Kirkland to close."

Kirkland: "Okay. All I can say is, if... we would like to work on the concept, if it makes sense to the Speaker, we will move it to Third Reading, or ask to move it to Third Reading with the Amendment with the idea that it's not going to go with this Amendment, because I think it needs a couple of, you know, little work, but if you want to hold it on Second, we'll do it that way, too. It's just a matter of what's the most convenient to move the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair... you want a Roll Call on this. A 1 1 in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted? Have all voted? The Clerk will take the record. On this there are 94 voting 'aye', voting *no** The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Kirkland, do you want the Bill moved to Third Reading or not?"

Kirkland: "Yes."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Representative Greiman in the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "We will return to the Order... to House... to

Senate Bill 690 on this Order. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 690, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Land Trust Recordation and Transfer Tax Act. Second

Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Piel."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel, on Amendment

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. When this Bill was brought up Committee, I agreed to bring it to Second Reading and hold it until we had discussed with Bus Yourell, you know, problems that he might have with the ... with Cook County. His problem, you know, that the cost to Cook County would be... would be quite large. This was initially put in for somebody from Champaign County for Senator Weaver. and with the agreement on the other side of the aisle, and, you know, through Bus Yourell in Chicago, Amendment #1 basically exempts Cook County from the provisions o f the

June 18, 1987

- Bill. And I would ask for passage."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 690, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Yes, Mr. Cullerton. For what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "If I had filed a request for a fiscal note on that last Bill, as I thought I had..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Clerk advises me that such a fiscal note has been filed."
- Cullerton: "... and if, as a result of that, you're saying the
 Bill moved to Third Reading is inoperative..."
- Speaker Greiman: "No. The Bill returns to Third Reading... or to Second Reading."
- Cullerton: "Well, I want to remove my request for a fiscal note so that you could... I can make you... what you said to be correct."
- Speaker Greiman: "You can make me real and honest man of me."
- Cullerton: "For a change."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Cullerton. Then according Mr. Cullerton has graciously removed the fiscal note. Now, Mr. Wennlund, for what purpose do you seek recognition in this matter."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to take the time of
 the House to... and ask all the Representatives of the
 House to welcome Mayor Charles Conner, Mayor of the City of
 Joliet to the House floor."
- Speaker Greiman: "We welcome you, and while we do that, let us move this Bill to the Order of Third Reading. On the Order

64th Legislative Day

- June 18. 1987
- of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate, appears
 Senate Bill 925. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 925, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to banking. Second Reading of the Bill.

 There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative

 Hoffman•"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman. Mr.

 Piel, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Piel: "Mr. Hoffman's off the floor right now. We have agreed...
 you know, I've talked to him and he has agreed to withdraw
 Amendment #1. If need be I can, you know, move to withdraw
 it or we can move to table, whichever is the proper
 procedure."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, I suggest that you move to table it. It would be presumptuous of any of us... "
- Piel: "Okay. I would move to table Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 925. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be tabled?'

 Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is tabled.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, on Amendment 2. Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Same request. I move to table Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2 to

 Senate Bill 925. Is there any discussion? There being

 none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be tabled?'

 Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 934. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 934, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 959. Out of the... Mr. Capparelli, out of the record. are requests, Mr. Capparelli, for fiscal notes on both of these Bills. So... alright. Out of the record. This Bill and the next Bill, 960, and on this Order appears Senate Bill 986. Ms. Flowers. Is Ms. Flowers in the chamber? Alright, out of the record. On this... Order appears Senate Bill 109. (sic - 1009) Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1112. Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1113. Mr. Clerk. the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 113 (sic - 1113), a Bill for an Act in relationship to the disposition of unclaimed property and to make uniform the law. Second Reading of the Bill.

There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Mays."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays, on Amendment #1. Mays? Mr. Countryman, what is your pleasure, Sir?"

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to table that Amendment."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman moves to table

 Amendment #1. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the

 opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is

 tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Countryman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeKalo, Mr. Countryman, on Amendment #2."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is technical in nature and creates a defect in the Bill, because of the way it was amended in the Senate, and I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeKalb moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1113, and on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas."
- Kulas: "Yes. Would the Gentleman please explain the Amendment?"

 Countryman: "The Amendment deletes the number 5 on page 7 line 11 and inserts the number 7. Apparently in the Senate there had been at one time a desire to have 5 years as being the number of years for unclaimed property, and then there was an Amendment to make it 7, and unfortunately, in the Senate Amendment they missed the number 5 years in line 11 on page
- Kulas: "Does this Amendment, then, change the term for abandoned property from 7 years to 5 years?"

Countryman: "No."

Kulas: "What does it do, then."

7. so this is all it does."

Countryman: "It only is a technical Amendment to bring the 5 in

line 11 on page 7 to the number 7, which is to make the

Bill consistent and correct."

Kulas: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate
Bill 1136. Mr. Dunn. John Dunn? 1136, Mr. Dunn. Hr.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1136, a Bill for an Act to encourage peer review by health care providers. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1155. As. Braun, do you wish to proceed? 1155. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1155, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. It's been read a

Second Time previously. Amendment **..."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Braun, a fiscal note has been requested.

Has that been supplied?"

Braun: "I don't know. I think it should have been."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Braun."

Braun: "I was told it was to have been filed by 4:00 yesterday.

I don't know whether or not, in fact, it has. I have not seen one."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. We'll check and see whether it has.

Thank you. Ms. Braun, we are advised... the Clerk advises

me that it has not been filed so perhaps we should take

this out of the record."

Braun: "Yes, please."

Speaker Greiman: "On this Order appears Senate Bill 1177. Ms.

Currie. Ms. Currie? Out of the record. On this Order

appears Senate Bill 1192. Oh, Ms. Currie. Alright. Ms.

June 18, 1987

Currie on 1177. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1177, a Bill for an Act to amend the Minority and Female Business Prize... Business Enterprise Act. It's been read a Second Time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment \$1."

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "And a fiscal note has been filed, right? Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1192. Terzich. Mr. Terzich in the chamber? Out of the record. Order now appears Senate Bill 1228. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1255. Hr. Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1258. That's been moved. Alright. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1263. Mr. Curran. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1263, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee.".

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to ₩1."

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by

Representative Anthony Young."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Young, on Amendment #2."

Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 would add the Chicago Board of Education to the Bill. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of

- June 18, 1987
- Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1263, and on that, is there any discussion? The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor of this

 Amendment yield to a question?"
- Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will vield."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you. Representative Young, if I read this
 Amendment correctly, it provides that the City of Chicago
 Public Schools have the authority to develop and implement
 this approach to student counseling only in attendance
 centers maintaining grades Kindergarten through 3. Now,
 that is my reading of this Amendment. Is that your
 intent?"
- Young: "That is not my intent. I would direct your attention to page 7 of the Amendment, lines 25 through 27."
- Cowlishaw: "I'm sorry. I misread that. That's a 9, not a 3. So you do not intend this to apply to the secondary schools, only to the elementary and junior or middle schools. Is that correct?"
- Young: "It was my understanding that the underlying Bill only applied for grades Kindergarten through 9th and I was trying to put Chicago in..."
- Cowlishaw: "I'm... I'm sorry. I misread that. I thought it said a 3. Thank you very much, Representative."
- Young: "Okay."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #... Floor Amendment #3 is being offered by Representative Curran."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran, on Amendment #3."

June 18. 1987

- Curran: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies Gentlemen and o f the House. Amendment #3 simply adds. *commencing with school 1988-1989 . Career education is one o f the education taught. Ţ move for the adoption o f the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1263, and on that the Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the you will recall that as a part of the Education Hause. Reform Initiatives of 1985, we established what for State of Illinois are, and I want to make sure that we pay particular attention to the adjective, what are the primary purposes of schooling. And those of us who worked on those Bills worked long and hard to determine what are, in primary purposes of schooling. What we included in the that at the time, and as the legislation still stands tο day, the way that presently reads is 'language arts, mathematics, the biological, physical and social fine arts and physical development and health. we established as the primary purposes of schooling. want my colleagues to be sure to understand that if you adopt this Amendment, what you have said is that career education is a primary purpose of schooling and is equally important with language arts, mathematics, and the biological, physical and social sciences."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment as well. The truth of the matter is, if we want to help young people prepare themselves for whatever career that they may wish to pursue at some point in time, the best thing we can do is teach them to read, to write, and to cipher, and if

June 18, 1987

we don't concentrate on those activities, then we do them service bν showing them some potpourri. or what's available out there today, which by the time they get may not be there at all. It's kind of catchy today to talk about career education. but the truth of the matter is that we know from a historical perspective that to read and to cipher are what gives you the talent to write and the skills and the abilities to pursue any career might care to, so I rise in opposition to this and I would appreciate a Roll Call vote on this."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran, to close."

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We all know that we send our children to school for an education so that they can live better lives. so that they can have an occupation which fulfills them, allows them to be productive members of society. ₩hv should one of the primary purposes of schooling, leave out as career education. It needs to be one of the of schooling. Todays job market demands that students be prepared in the technical areas as well as career areas, and I move for the adoption of the Amendment. It's an ideas that's time has It's an excellent idea. come."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall the Amendment be adopted?" All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 38 voting 'aye', 73 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, has a fiscal note been... request

June 18, 1987

- been filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "There is a request for a fiscal note and it has not been filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Curran. Mr. Curran, there is a fiscal note request in this matter, and apparently no response has been made, so the Order... the Bill will have to remain on the Order of Second Reading."
- Curran: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the fiscal note does not apply.

 The Illinois State Board of Education contends, as amended,
 that this Bill would cost five to ten million dollars;
 however, the Amendment did not go on. So the cost would
 not be applicable to this Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman, Ar. Curran, ... the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, indicates withdrawal of the request for a fiscal note. The Bill will be moved to the Order of Third Reading. And on this Order appears Senate Bill 1269. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill... "
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr Excuse me, Mr. Cullerton, did you wish to... like for us to proceed on that Bill? Alright. Out the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1377. Mr. Young, do you wish us to proceed with that Bill. the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1390. Mr. Giglio, do you wish to proceed? Mr. Giglio in Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1415. Mr. Piel. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1415, a 3ill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Hoffman."

- June 18, 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, on
 Amendment #1. Withdraw Amendment #1. Further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hoffman. Withdraw Amendment #2. Further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1493. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1493, a Bill for an Act to amend the Forest Products Transportation Act. It's been read a Second Time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are none."
- Speaker Greiman: "Has the fiscal note request been complied with?"
- Clerk Leone: "There is no fiscal note on file."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Calendar... Ms. Hasara, yes."
- Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's my understanding that that's been worked out and it has been withdrawn."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes. Who requested the fiscal note, if I could inquire?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, it appears that you filed this request for a fiscal note."
- Cullerton: "Hell, the reason why I asked, of course, is that I
 wouldn't want to stand up here and withdraw the fiscal note
 when I wasn't the person that requested it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes."
- Cullerton: "I wouldn't want to misrepresent that... that I had filed it if I hadn't. I really, quite frankly, I don't remember whether I filed it or not. But you assure me that

- June 18, 1987
- I was the one that requested it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Hell, the Clerk assures me that you were the one who requested the fiscal note."
- Cullerton: "So then it would be within my... would I be the appropriate person to ... "
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, is this a slow down?"
- Cullerton: "No. No. We put Representative Braun in the Chair for that. This is a..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, are you going to tell us that you're going to withdraw the request for a fiscal note?"
- Cullerton: "If it's appropriate?"
- Speaker Greiman: "It is appropriate. You have a forwarding jurisdiction to it."
- Cullerton: "I also... I also... I also don't know what inning it is, but the Cubs are winning 4 to 2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Alright. Ms. Hasara, Mr. Cullerton has been kind enough to withdraw the fiscal note and the Bill will move to the Order of Third Reading."
- Hasara: "Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ropp, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, there was a Bill, Senate Bill 1263, Amendment

 3, I was voted inaccurately. Had I been here I would have
 been voting 'yes', and I would like to be so recorded, at
 least in the Journal."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, the transcript will so reflect that."
- Ropp: "Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Alright, Ladies and Gentlemen, we are now going to... on page 10 of the Calendar, on the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 451, which is where we left off yesterday on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. DeLeo. Is Mr. DeLeo in the chamber? Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 460.

- June 18, 1987
- Ms. Didrickson, did you wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read the Eill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 460, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are none."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 468."
- Clerk Leone: "That has been passed. That went to Third."
- Speaker Greiman: "On this Order appears Senate bill 482. Hr. Matijevich, do you wish to proceed? Out of the record. Ωn this Order appears Senate Bill 483. Mr. Churchill? ar. Churchill. Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 484. Out of the record. On this Order... Mr. Churchill, let's back up and pick up your On this Order appears Senate Bill 483. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 483, a Bill for an Act in relationship to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments? Mr. Clerk, were there Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, being offered by Representative Rea."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea, on Amendment #1. Yes, Mr. Giorgi, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Giorgi? Oh, Mr. Rea. The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea, on Amendment #1."
- Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 1, all this does is give authorization to West Frankfort to develop a civic center authority. There's no moneys involved whatsoever. It's the same authority that we've given to other communities in

June 18, 1987

the state and I would ask for the adoption."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea, moves for the adoption of Amendment 31 to Senate Bill 483, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Giorgi, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Glorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I thought you had called 385, on this Call."
- Speaker Greiman: "No, Mr. Giorgi, we didn't. We started at the next Bill, which was at 451. Mr. Giorgi, if you wanted me to do anything wrong, you have to clue me. On this Order appears Senate Bill 487. Mr. Flinn. Out of the record. 497. Out of the record. 498. Out of the record. 508. Mr. Slater. Do you wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 508, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Slater."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McDonough, Mr. Slater."
- Slater: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 eliminates
 the lack of authority to possess contraband as an element
 of the offense and makes possession with authority an
 affirmative defense. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McDonough, Ar. Slater, moves the adoption of Amendment \$1 to Senate Bill 508, and on

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Slater."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McDonough, Mr. Slater."

Slater: "Floor Amendment #2 permits the Director of the

Department of Corrections to increase reimbursement for

assistant states attorney's salaries if special

circumstances exist. I move its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McDonough, Mr. Slater, moves for the adoption of Amendment 32 to Senate 3ill 508. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order... On this Order appears Senate Bill 516. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 516, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate 3ill 525. Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 527. Hr. Levin. Is Mr. Levin in the chamber? Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 535. Hr. White. Is Mr. White in the chamber? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- June 18, 1987
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 535, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Ryder, or Amendment #1."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The purpose of the Amendment changes the effective date to July 1st of 1988."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 535. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook. Mr. White."
- White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in opposition to this hostile Amendment. I want the effective date to be immediate, and that is what is in the Bill, and his Amendment would move it back to 1988, so I ask the Members to join me in resisting Amendment w1 to House Bill... Senate Bill 535."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall the Amendment be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nays' have it, and the Amendment fails. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 536. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 536, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative
 Hultgren."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Representative Hultgren, the Gentleman from Warren."

Hultgren: "Withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment $\mathfrak O2$ is being offered by Representative Hoffman."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Ar. Hoffman, on Amendment \$2."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Hoffman: Senate Bill 536 deletes from Section 10-19 of the House. School Code the language which indicates that in the event school board fails to provide the minimum number... the minimum school term, which is established, which is 175 days of actual pupil attendance, the penalty provided for in Section 18-12 shall be the exclusive penalty proposed by the state... or imposed by the state, I'm sorry. Section 18-12 of the School Code states that for each day of pupil attendance less than the minimum required by law. district will receive 175th cut in their state aid. so if they had 173 days instead of 175. they you will. 2/175ths of their state aid. Now. frequently, when we have a strike. part of that negotiations which takes place to settle that strike will be the negotiation of how many of the days that have been missed will be made up, therefore, they will receive the state aid for that and the teachers will get paid for those days and how many days will not be made up; therefore, teachers will not get paid for those days, and possibly the school district will take a cut in their state aid. Īη most cases the loss to the school district is less than the loss in the salary, and so, in the negotiation process, the teachers recognize that if they go on strike,

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

taking a risk and that is their choice when they go on the strike. They know what it is. If my Amendment is adopted. it will make very clear that if the school year. due to beyond the school calendar, which strike. runs is established, those days beyond the closing day to arrive at a minimum number of days for a total state aid, will become a negotiable item. That's all this Amendment clarifies that this does not remove this issue from the bargaining table. When we put collective bargaining place, the idea was that, at least when we passed the law, we thought we had a fair... a fair balance. This legislation as it stands without this Amendment tips the scales in one direction and I don't think that's the intent of this General Assembly, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 536 and would be glad to respond to any questions."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 536, and on that, is there any discussion? Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to oppose Amendment 42 to Senate 536. My distinguished colleague on the other side of the aisle realizes his intent of the Amendment is the Bill. The purpose of this legislation is so that if we have a school strike, we keep those kids... we'll have the opportunity to have a negotiated settlement after strike's over SO those young people will have an opportunity to make up those school davs. T think it's very important that if there are going to be school strikes, and no one obviously wants them, that young people get the opportunity to make up those very needed days. only misleading part of the Amendment which is a case that this still will be negotiated between the school board and the teacher organizations and this doesn't

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1937

anything away from those negotiations. I feel that it's a good piece of legislation and what this Amendment do will be gutting it. The State Board of Education also is opposed to the Amendment. So, I move for the defeat of Amendment #2 to Senate 3:11 536."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will yield for questions."

** I want to understand what your Amendment Representative Hoffman. Ιf the school year is extended a strike. would not the teacher still required to put in the full 176 days?"

Hoffman: "No. Not if that extension was less than the minimum."

Homer: "Explain that."

Hoffman: "Can I take a hypothetical? Lets, say that we have in the school district and they re on strike for 10 davs. They have scheduled in... they are required schedule 185 days in their calendar with 175 days of pupil attendance. Some of that is for emergency leaves, some for institute. But let's say they have 185 days in the calendar, it stops at June 1. They have a 10 that would take them to June 10. They negotiate... they... part of the negotiation is that they will make up 6 of the 10 days. Alright? They make up 6 of the 10 days. which is still going to leave them 4 days short of the required minimum in the law. What that means is that the district's only penalty is that they will not receive 4/175ths of their state aid and the teachers receive 4/175ths, if that's the number they use, of what the salary would have been had they worked. risk they take when they go out. If you take that... this out of the law as it is proposed in this Bill, that doesn't... that is no longer a negotiable issue.

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Because you have removed the risk of having a minimum number of school days, teachers in that situation would risk nothing, because it is no longer... is no longer becomes... is a negotiable item. And this, what this does, is says, 'Oh, yes, it still remains a negotiable item.'"

Homer: "Under... what is it under current law? 'Is it now under current law a negotiable item?"

Hoffman: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear that."

Homer: "Under... what is current law?"

Hoffman: "Current... current practice is that this is a negotiable... it's a ... commonly negotiated to reach the

Homer: "That's current law?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

Homer: "Current law allows this to be negotiated."

Hoffman: "Correct."

Homer: "The Bill... the underlying Jill would say the only sanction has to do with the school aid formula so that it would make it impossible for the school board to negotiate a reduction in annual salary."

Hoffman: "Pardon. I'm sorry. I was looking something up. Go ahead. repeat that."

Homer: "Alright. Your... what your... is your Amendment trying to reimpose current law in this respect?"

Hoffman: "What my Amendment is trying to do is to make clear that this remains a negotiable issue, which is basically what, I believe, the Sponsor of the Bill says it does in the absence of not... you know, the absence of language. I am saying by putting this language in it is very clear that it remains the same."

Homer: "Okay."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Rock

June 18, 1987

Island. Mr. Brunsvold."

- Arunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen I Representative the House. support Homer and Representative Ronan's comments and would simply add that the State Board of Education does support this Bill. not this Amendment, and also the teachers, associations do support the Bill but not the Amendment so I would also rise in opposition to Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. For those of you who have been listening, I'm sure you have a fair understanding and if you don't have a fair understanding, you know where some of the people with whom you may have an understanding stand on this issue, and I would ask for a Roll Call vote on Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment adopted?* A 1 1 those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have al 1 voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this **guestion** there are 30 voting... there are 29 voting 'aye', 74 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. 1 voting there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 548. Mr. Slater, do you wish to proceed with 548? appears Senate Bill 550. this Order Mr. Cullerton? Cullerton? Out of the record. On this Order 551. Mr. McAuliffe. Out of the record. Senate Bill this Order appears Senate Bill 556. Mr. Ryder. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 556, a Bill for an Act to amend the

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate
Bill 561. Mr. Berrios, in the chamber? Out of the record.

Mr. McAuliffe, we will return to Senate Bill 551. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 551, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representatives

Hasara and McCracken."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Hasara."

Hasara: "Wish to withdraw Amendment #1, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment \$2, offered by Representative

Hasara and McCracken."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Hasara."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 2 clarifies the amount of surcharge from forfeited bail for the Drivers Ed Fund. It simply removes the fraction 1/8 and instead inserts \$4.00 of every \$40.00, which is the same amount taken from a fine as the Bill now reads. I move for the adoption of Amendment 2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #2

to Senate Bill 551. Is there any discussion? There being

none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?'

All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the

Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are

there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

64th Legislative Day

June 13, 1987

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate
 Bill 569. Mr. O'Connell. Is Mr. O'Connell in the chamber?
 On this Order appears Senate Bill 570. Mr. O'Connell. Out
 of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 586. Mr.
 Terzich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 586, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Representative 3reslin. On this Order appears Senate Bill 597. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 597, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. It's been read a Second Time previously.

 Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted on June 11."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to

 Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any floor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative

 Mautino."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Ar. Mautino. Ar. Mautino. Ms. Breslin, what is your pleasure?"
- Breslin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Representative

 Mautino and I have discussed these Amendments previously,

 last week, when I took the Bill out of the record to

 discuss it with him. He had agreed at that time to

 withdraw the Amendments, so since he is not here, I would

 now move to table Amendments 3, 4 and 5."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves to table Amendment... moves to table Amendments 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 597, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the

June 13, 1987

question is, 'Shall these Amendments be tabled?' All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendments are tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate

Bill 600. Mr. Young, do you wish to proceed?

Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "The next Bill is Senate Bill 630,

Representative Greiman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 630, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Compensation Health Insurance Plan Act. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Greiman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Yes, thank you, Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 limited the liability somewhat of the people involved in the Comprehensive Health Insurance Board. It was the liability in the Bill, as originally drafted, was totally exculpated and this broadens it so that there are situations where the Board... where the employees of the Board could be liable and that's what this does. It's been passed around and everyone involved in this has signed off."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of
Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 630, and on that question, the
Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

McCracken: "I know that there were negotiations among the

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

interested parties. Is this the result of that?"

Greiman: "Yes."

McCracken: "So we have agreement on the Bill and the Amendment?"

Greiman: "Yes."

McCracken: "And that's true of the next Amendment as well?"

Greiman: "Yes. The next one is purely technical."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Greiman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Yes. This is a purely technical Amendment to change the order in numbering."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment 62 be adopted?" Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?" All those in favor say 'aye", all those opposed say 'no". In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill is Senate Bill 651. Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes? Do you wish to call this Bill. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 651, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to sexually transmittable disease control.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

- June 18, 1987
- Barnes: "Madam Speaker, we have been meeting quite a few times

 this afternoon. We're trying to work out an agreed

 Amendment. I'd like to get back to the Bill later."
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 652,

 Representative Giorgi? Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Giorgi, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, the Senate Bill 652 and 653, if there are no Amendments, I wouldn't mind moving them to Third and we'll pull them back to Second for the... or shall I just....
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay, we'll do that and we'll see what is filed."
- Giorgi: "If there are no Amendments. If there are no Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative... Mr. Clerk, read Senate Bill 652."
- Giorgi: "No. There... there's 35 on each Bill, so let's pull 652 and 653 out of the record because they are going to be agreed Bills by the time they're done. You have a number of Amendments, don't you Jack? So let's pull the Bills out of the record. 652...."
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, how many Amendments are filed on 652?"
- Giorgi: "There are quite a number, at least 35, so, to save the time of the House, we'll pull 652 and 653 out of the record and I'll go with Senate Bill 385."
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record for 652. 653 out of the record. Senate Bill 670. Representative Davis. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 670, a Bill for an Act in relation to chore and housekeeping services for disabled persons.

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Davis, did you not want to move that Bill to Third Reading? Okay. Third Reading. Senate Bill 688, Representative McPike? Out of the record. Senate Bill 704, Representative Didrickson.

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 704, a Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 707,

Representative Granberg. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 707, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 717, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 717, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane." Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment or a clarifying Amendment recommended by staff. All it does is it sets the... anyone who... it sets the date of this Act to coincide with the implementation of the Bill... the scholarship for...

June 18, 1987

teacher scholarship Bill."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 717, and on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. With leave of the Body I'd like to go back to Senate Bill 688 for Representative McPike.

 Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 688, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Worker's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No
 Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Saltsman."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman."
- Saltsman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Amendment only pertains to the court reporters that work and do the transcripts for the Industrial Commission. I think there's around eight of them. And what this does, it does not cost the state any money, but for people buying from the private sector, it puts them on the same level as purchasing these type of transcripts from someone else in the private sector. So they haven't had a raise on this for 20 years and, as a small organization, I recommend its passage."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of
 Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 688, and on that question, the
 Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "This is intended to move the Bill along in the process and we're still talking about the substantive?

June 18. 1987

Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?" All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 722, Representative Ronan. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill... Senate Bill 722, a Bill for an Act
to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman... the question... Are there any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &1, offered by Representative Wojcik."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "I wish to withdraw."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw \$1. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 731, Representative
Giorgi. Clerk. read the Bill."

Cl'erk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 731, a Bill for an Act to legalize an validate certain appropriations and tax levy ordinances of the City of Aurora. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Giorgi, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Giorgi: "I'm still holding 385 in my hand."

Speaker Breslin: "Sir, we will get to that when we get to it.

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

The next Bill is Senate 3ill 742, Representative Stephens. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "That Bill's been moved previously."
- Speaker Breslin: "That Bill has been moved previously to Third
 Reading. On page 13 on the Calendar is Senate Bill 749,
 Representative Leverenz. Out of the record. Senate Bill
 752, Representative McNamara. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 752, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Low-level Radioactive Waste Management Act.

 Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions or Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Sill 772, Representative Williams. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 772, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act requiring an attachment of pamphlets about fetal alcohol syndrome in marriage licenses. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 774, Representative Levin. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 774, a Bill for an Act to amend the Electric Supplier Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Clerk, read the Bill. You've already read it.

 Are there any Motions or Amendments? Okay. That Amendment hasn't been printed yet. Let's withdraw the Amendment and the Bill can move to Third. 'Withdraw Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 777, Representative Parcells. Clerk, read the Bill."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 777, a Bill for an Act in relation to the definition, licensing and regulation of community currency exchanges. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 782, Representative Giorgi. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 782, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to establish Regional Service Agency Fellowship Act.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, Amendment #1 provides that the program
administrator shall be designated by the Board of Higher
Education rather than them being the administrator, so I
move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment \$1 to Senate Bill 782, and on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall Amendment \$1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 790, Representative Stephens. Clerk... Clerk, read the Bill. The Bill has already been moved to Third. Senate Bill 796, Representative Panayotovich. Is the Gentleman in the

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

chamber? Mr. Panayotovich. Out of the record. Out of the record. Senate Bill 822, Representative Countryman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 822, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 827, Representative Giorgi. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 827, a Bill for an Act in relation to firearms. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'3rien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Hultgren."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Madam Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the The subject of this Amendment was suggested by County Board of Supervisors in a Resolution. spoken with the Sponsor of the Bill and he's aware of the Amendment. Essentially what it does, it amends the Criminal Code relating to the unlawful use of weapons and makes it unlawful to carry weapons in certain governmental buildings. It is in response, in part, to the situation in Mt. Pleasant, Iowa, which occurred last year when the Mayor and it's City Councilman were shot there during a meeting. would note that currently it is unlawful to carry the same... enumerated weapons in a elementary or secondary school, community college, college or university building, and this simply adds other state and local municipal

June 18, 1987

buildings in which it would be unlawful to carry weapons like that. There are exceptions, of course, for law enforcement officers and other authorized purposes. I would ask for a favorable vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of
Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 827, and on that question, the
Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cullerton: "Representative, first of all, was this the subject matter of a Bill?"

Hultgren: "No, it was not."

- Cullerton: "Okay. And if I understand the Amendment, it treats
 the possession of certain weapons, which are now an offense
 of unlawful use of weapons, and enhances the penalty?"
- Hultgren: "No, Sir, it does not. It... currently, the law indicates that it's unlawful to carry these devices in the school, community college, college or university building, and this simply says that it is also unlawful to carry them in government buildings, either state, local or county buildings."
- Cullerton: "You mean these... these things can be carried anywhere. It's not illegal to have them anywhere else?"
- Hultgren: "It may be illegal to have them in other places, but
 they currently can be legally carried, as I would
 understand it, in these government buildings. Yes."
- Cullerton: "Well, would this mean that you couldn't carry a slingshot in a public building? It would be illegal to possess and it would be a Class 4 Felony if you brought a slingshot into a public building?"
- Hultgren: "As it is now illegal to carry such a device in an elementary, secondary school, community college, college or university building, yes."

- June 18, 1987
- Cullerton: "What's your definition of governmental building?

 Secretary of State, one of the Attorney General's many field offices ... "
- Hultgren: "If it were a building used for governmental purposes?

 Yes."
- Cullerton: "Well, what if... what if there's an office that's

 leased in the first floor. Let's say the Secretary of

 State has a drivers license facility on the first floor of

 a building and there is up above that is regular general

 office... offices."
- Hultgren: "To the extent that building is used for governmental purposes, then one would not be able to legally carry bombs, grenades, pistols, clubs, tear gas and so forth."
- Cullerton: "Well, my question is, in the situation I asked about, let's say the government leases a portion of a building.

 Is it your intention that the Bill would only apply to inside the governmental leased space, or would it apply to the whole building?"
- Hultgren: "I... I think it's the intention that it would only apply to that portion of the building, and indeed, the statute indicates it's only under the control of and being used for governmental purposes."
- Cullerton: "But of course, the Amendment doesn't say that, though, does it?"
- Hultgren: "No. I think the Amendment does say that. The building, which is under the control of and being used for governmental purposes, so if there were portions of the building that were leased for other commercial or other enterprises, then this would not apply."
- Cullerton: "Okay. Then would you agree with me though that you also, even though it specifically says bomb and grenade, it also says things such as well, slingshots, so is that in your intent to make this as broad as it is, to include...

June 18, 1987

make this a Class 4 Felony just to possess a slingshot?"

- Hultgren: "Well, I think if you look at the other portions of the same Criminal Code, the slingslot... slingshot, excuse me, is already included, and so if you think, for example, that that is too severe a penalty, then I think we need to go back and amend other portions of existing law, which makes the possession and carrying of a slingshot a Felony. This does not enhance the penalty, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "What's the need for this? What's the theory behind this."
- Hultgren: "As I indicated a moment ago, it's in response to a

 Resolution from the Peoria County Board and I think they

 were motivated, in part, by what happened last year in Mt.

 Pleasant, Iowa. It would be an opportunity for them to

 control dangerous weapons in the public building, the

 Peoria County Court House."
- Cullerton: "Wasn't this offered as an Amendment to another Bill in Committee?"
- Hultgren: "If it was, it was without my knowledge or Sponsorship."
- Cullerton: "I see. I just thought that Representative Countryman had spoken against a similar type of Amendment in Committee, and he seems strangely silent right now, but oh, okay."
- Hultgren: "If... if he did... I... he may have well have done so.

 It was something that was... "
- Cullerton: "I••• I don't have any••• I don't have any further questions• I'll defer to Representative Countryman•"

Hultgren: "Fine."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Stern."
- Stern: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Hultgren: "Be most happy."

- Stern: "I am interested in how the National Rifle Association
 views this since they felt so concerned about my Bill to
 prohibit plastic firearms that would go through a metal
 detector."
- Hultgren: "They have not contacted me with regard to this

 Amendment."
- Stern: "Well I would alert them then that this is certainly a danger to their right to bear arms into a court house."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative

 Countryman."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Cullerton is right. I did oppose this. I don't believe it was this Sponsor in the Judiciary Committee. Somebody else was Sponsoring it and the language hasn't changed and hasn't improved one bit. The difficulty which I pointed out there was that it allowed such a thing as a slingshot, or even other things that weren't subject to good definition to be considered elements of the offense and that it is a Class 4 Felony. It is a serious sort of thing. It was defeated in the Judiciary II Committee, and with all due respect to the Sponsor, I have to stand in opposition to it."
- Speaker: Breslin: "The Lady from Kane, Representative Doederlein."

 Doederlein: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Will the

 Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

- Doederlein: "The buildings that you are asking that are government buildings, would you call the Armory a government building?"
- Hultgren: "Yes. The Armory would be a government building, but
 there is an exception in the law for those possessing
 firearms with the consent of governmental authorities. I
 would assume that the... these in charge of the

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Armory would consent to, for example, National Guard Members and Army Reserve Hembers, having weapons in their possession when they were in the Armory. So I think there is an exception that takes care of that situation."

Doederlein: "Well I'd like to advise you that many of the gun shows that are privately held are held in Armories, and this would take the rights of the gun show people to hold their meetings and their shows at the Armory. Also, would you think that the civic buildings in the towns are government buildings?"

Hultgren: "No, they are not, and with respect to the other question, I don't think it would even effect the yun shows held in the Armory because, again, assuming that the gun show was held in the Armory with the consent of the Armory officials, that is provided for an exception here. They could do so. It would be only those who were not authorized to have weapons in the Armory that would be violating the law, and as I say, if the gun show were held with the consent of the officials at the Armory, it would appear to take care of it under the exception."

Doederlein: "How about McCormick Place?"

Hultgren: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear your question."

Doederlein: "McCormick Place?"

Hultgren: "Yes, I think McCormick Place would be included within this... within this statute."

Doederlein: "I think that there is a lot of sports shows held there. Would those be excluded, then, or..."

Hultgren: "If the show was with the consent of the officials at McCormick Place, they would be excepted under the Act."

Doederlein: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of this

June 18. 1987

is a good Amendment. Amendment. Ιt Let's not nit pick this to death. There is a serious issue here. He forget that the Mayor of San Francisco and a City not Council Member in San Francisco were killed in the someone wielding a gun. I think this is a office by worthwhile Amendment. I would just also remind everybody watched a western movie on television, you has ever know, in the old west, they... when you went into a saloon, they asked you to check your weapons at the think public buildings have as much... people in public buildings have as much right to be protected as people patronize saloons in the old west. I think we ought to ask people to check their guns at the door. This worthwhile Amendment and we should not nit pick it."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

 McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question.
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

 The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Motion is put. But Gentlemen can speak later to the vote if they wish. Representative Hultgren, to close."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Simply, I believe in the questions and answers we were able to flush out what this does, and I would ask a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Hicks, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hicks: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker. I would just draw everybody's attention to page 5 of the Amendment, where in line 152 the Amendment itself says, that it's a Class 4

June 18, 1987

Felony of anyone convicted of anything in paragraph 12, which that means that in any public building whatsoever, if you carry a slingshot, or anything else in, it's a Class 4 Felony conviction. And I would ask everyone to take a look at that and be sure ... and urge them to vote 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 35 voting 'aye', 67 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Rea and Goforth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. This Amendment would repeal the F.O.I.D. card. This is similar to the House Bill 653, which we had voted out of here before. This card has not really served of value or what its original intent was. It's served as a harassment to the sportsmen and to the people who own guns, and I would ... I know we've discussed it quite a bit in length before, and it passed out of here in House Bill 653. And I would ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 827. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cullerton: "Hill the Sponsor agree to speak up? Now,
Representative Rea, this Bill amends Representative
Giorgi's Bill. Does this Amendment delete everything after
the enacting clause?"

Rea: "No. Not to my knowledge."

Cullerton: "I mean, it certainly reads that it deletes everything

June 18. 1987

after the enacting clause. I'm just wondering why you gutted, if you gutted Representative Giorgi's Bill? Senator Savickas and Representative Giorgi's Bill?"

- Rea: "That is certainly not the intent of this Amendment and I

 don't believe you can show me there where it does gut it."
- Cullerton: "Hell, all I have is your ... I have vour which apparently is 38 pages long, and it starts off by saving. *It amends Senate Bill 827 by deleting everything after the enacting clause. And then it goes on. just wondering if you could tell me whether or not included Representative Giorgi's Bill somewhere in here ... 1111 vou've autted it. Well. tell vou what, while you're thinking about that, maybe you could tell me what the Amendment does again. Does this ... What does this do? really hear you too well the first time around."
- Rea: "What this does, is to repeal the F.O.I.D. card and basically the same as the Bill that Representative Hicks

had in House Bill 653, which we voted out of here earlier."

- Cullerton: "I see. Now, isn't the issue in the F.O.I.D. card. is whether or not we should perhaps. improve it. by providing more enforcement money: or whatever, for the Law Enforcement, or just abolish it Isn't that what the argument is, basically? altogether. There's two different approaches to the problem. people say you should beef up the enforcement of the F.O.I.D. card, so that there's some control over obtaining And your approach is to the ability to obtain a weapon. ... is to just decide that it doesn't work and the whole thing should be abolished. Right?"
- Rea: "It doesn't work and there's two schools who have thought on it. Some people think that yes, you could beef it up. But this has never been done in a period of time that it has been in operation and there's never been notices sent out

June 18, 1987

as to the expiration date of the cards. It has cost as much to administer the program, as the revenues that have come back in. So, even the director of law enforcement had stated earlier that the way it's operating now, it should be abolished."

- Cullerton: "Okay. I have a question for you. Apparently, right now, there's funds that are used to conduct the Firearms and Hunters Safety Course. And that comes out of the money that's charged from the F.O.I.D. card. What happens to those safety courses?"
- Rea: "Those safety courses will continue on, and I would like to defer that question to Representative Hicks, if I might, because I remember that he responded to that question on the major Bill before. And that's in terms of the training."
- Cullerton: "Well, let me ask you this. Is there anything else in the Bill besides ... it is a 38 page Amendment ... is there anything else in the Amendment besides the F.O.I.D. card removal that you can tell me about? Doesn't it do something about the Department of Mental Health and Hospitals having to provide State Police with certain information?"
- Rea: "Well this would ... this would abolish the whole legislation that established it, which does have those provisions in the original legislation."
- Cullerton: "Oh, I see. In other words, the law right now says that private hospitals and mental health clinics have to notify the Department of State Police about certain information, so as to prevent us from issuing a Firearm Owners Identification Card to someone who was just released from a Mental Institution. And since we're removing the Firearms Owners Identification Card requirements, that would be no longer necessary for us to know whether or not

- June 18, 1987
- someone who just got out of the Mental Institution has applied for a weapon. Is that the reason for that change?"
- Rea: "At the present time, Representative Cullerton, we've had different ... we've had Al Capone, Mickey Mouse and different ones, have been able to obtain cards. So, you can see that it's not even working in that form."
- Cullerton: "Do you know how much money is raised by the Firearm

 Owners Identification •••"
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Cullerton.

 Representative Giorgi, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, to put an end to this monotonous soliloguy, I'll take the Bill out of the record."
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. The next Bill is Senate
 Bill 855, Representative Klemm. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 855, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 Klemm."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Klemm."
- WThank you, Madam Speaker. In the Illinois Vehicle Code, we have a provision that allows local authorities to, at the request of owners of private subdivisions, to at least put some traffic control devices to have some semblance of traffic safety in their areas. With that consent of the local authority, and upon written request, counties and cities can put these various signs in these subdivisions. When we passed our indemnity laws last year, I think it was Senate Bill 1200, we had left through the cracks, this provision, that if they get involved in the private highways and streets and do try to put stop signs that go

June 18, 1987

out to different highways, that they would not have the liability charge against that county or municipality for the use of those private roads. And Amendment #1 gives that limited liability coverage for them. And I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 855. And on that question, the Gentleman from Jefferson, Representative Hicks. The Gentleman is not interested in speaking. Is anyone else interested in speaking on this Bill? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Klemm, this Bill has a fiscal note requested on it, filed by yourself and by Representative Cullerton. So, the Bill can not move to Third Reading."

Klemm: "Well, with the Amendment, would that persuade the maker of the fiscal note to withdraw?"

Speaker Breslin: "Well, you're one of them. Would you withdraw your fiscal note?"

Klemm: "Absolutely."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, are you interested in withdrawing your fiscal note now that the Bill has been amended?"

Cullerton: "Did the Amendment change the ... the original Bill?"

Klemm: "No, not really."

Cullerton: "No. I would think as a general policy, whenever a

Sponsor of a Bill himself or herself, asks for a fiscal

note, that ... I get suspicious enough right there, to want

to remain ... to not remove my request."

- June 18, 1987
- Klemm: "Well, what the Sponsor did do, was to try to respond to your fiscal note request, asking for that information. That's all the Sponsor did. I did not request a fiscal note in itself."
- Speaker Breslin: "The form you filled out, Representative Klemm, was a request for a fiscal note."
- Klemm: "I think you probably are right. Staff had given me the incorrect form."
- Speaker Breslin: "Hell, at any rate, the Bill has a fiscal note request, and so the Bill remains on the Order of ..."
- Cullerton: "No, just so it's clear. Ay request for the fiscal note does not apply to Amendment #1. It only ..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The Bill remains on the Order of Second Reading. Representative Giorgi, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, we've cleared up the problem with Senate
 Bill 827 that we just pulled out of the record, and I would
 like to get it back in the record so that the Sponsor of
 the Amendment can make his move."
- Speaker Breslin: "If you don't mind, I'd like to go next to Representative •••"
- Giorgi: "No, he's going to pull it out. He's going to table his

 Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Then, the next Bill is Senate Bill 827.

 With leave of the Body, we'll go back to that Bill.

 Representative Giorgi calls the Bill. There is an Amendment filed on the Bill. Amendment #1. Representative Rea is the Sponsor of the Amendment. Representative Rea.

 Representative Rea? Excuse me, it's Amendment #2.

 Representative Rea, what is your pleasure?"
- Rea: "Withdraw."
- Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

64th Legislative Day June 18, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "The Bill moves to Third Reading. Now, with leave of the Body, I'd like to go back to Representative Greiman's Bill on page 11 on your Calendar, Senate Bill 497. Senate Bill 497. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 497, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Child Support. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1

was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Wojcik."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. This Amendment comes at a request from my Congressman, which Congressman Hyde, and he is putting in the identical Bill in the U.S. House of Representatives. What it does, is requires a statement of that when you apply for your marriage license, they will ask you to sign this statement that you are not obligated for child support. What we have in Cook County, is a very bad problem with trying to collect our support payments. And smaller counties have that problem, but because of the vastness of our population and the number of backlog of court cases. it's very difficult for us to collect child support. So, it's the feeling of Congressman Hyde and myself, that this would be an excellent way to enforce that the women, the mothers, would be able to get the money that they so necessary need. to keep their family going and to feed their children. I ask its favorable passage."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman ••• The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment \$2• And on that guestion, the

June 13, 1987

Gentleman from Cook. Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you. Speaker, when I first saw this Amendment, I thought it was ... I kind of smiled, I thought it was kind of cute and assumed that you would withdraw it. Ιt is. number one, hopelessly unconstitutional. There ic question about that. And what it does is to This ... is It says that if you owe child support, you what it does. essentially can't get married. Now, think of the that that gives the last spouse over your life. It is just sense to put this unconstitutional it makes no Amendment on this. No one ... I take a back seat to no one on this Floor as to my commitment to collect child support. but this is just silly. And I ask for you to vote 'no' it."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative

Johnson: "I told Representative Wojcik ahead of time what I going to say about her Bill, because I really like Representative Wojcik. But, I agree with Representative I can't believe that we're seriously considering this Bill. What about somebody who lost their they couldn't afford a lawyer, or didn't think about it, didn't go in for a year and 1/2 or 2 vears modify their child support order, and now they've got a minimum wage job, and they've got an arrearage of \$3,000 and there's no way they'll ever pay the \$8,000 off. everybody knows that. They just won't pay the \$8,000 do. At least if they do, it would be impossible to over a 10 or 15 year period that the court would This Bill says if you're not up to date in child support, you can't get married. I would think Reno and Las Vegas and those areas, Mexico, where ever you can get quicky marriages, would probably be in favor of this, but you

June 18. 1987

could say you could fly out there, or hitch hike or somewhere and get married in Las Vegas and add more to Nevada Economy, or we could do what I think Representative Wojcik doesn't like to do, and a lot of people here, are committed to good, sound, Judeo, Christian values don't like to do. and that is you know. to live in sin. you can't get married, so you just live together and illegitimate children and the various other consequences of living with one another without the benefit of marriage. just suggest to you that I'm as concerned, as I know Representative Woicik is sincerely concerned about this. as the Sponsor of the Bill is, but to say that you can't married because you're not up to date on your child support, (a) is beyond any comprehension, unconstitutional and (2) it just is not sound public policy. I like Henry Hvde, he's a good man, but this is a very bad idea and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and the House. I think the previous two speakers are missing the point. This is just another opportunity grab hold of these guys who get married, have children, leave their wives and children behind, get in arrears They don't live up to their obligations or child support. responsibilities after they're thev're . . . certainly friendly enough to get their wives pregnant. thev*re certainly maybe friendly enough to stick around the first year or so. Those of us that have been divorced and have obligations ought to be forced at whatever interval. maintain those obligations, to live those to obligations. That's the purpose of the Lady's Amendment. don't want people walking out on their families, and

June 18, 1987

then going off and creating another problem, down the road, with no regard for their previous marriage and the children that they brought into the world. That's all this isn't a matter of unconstitutionality. The judge to be. seems very definitive in his remarks. but I would question the wisdom of his judgement at this point. think this is a good idea to get those deadbeats responsibilities that they, themselves have incurred. And the Ladies Amendment would improve this 8ill. would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the main question is put. Representative Hojcik, to close."

Wojcik: "Well, yes. I would like to close, but I would also like point out to the Members of this General Assembly, this is not a silly proposal. This is not a proposal to people live in sin. This is a good, good Bill. What we're trying to do is help the women, and the help the women who are trying to feed their family. I don't know about you, but I have many, many constituency cases, of where there's a divorce, the man leaves, they can't find him, they're not able to feed their family, they're going to go on Aid. It's a terrible problem in the county I live in. Maybe in the other counties, it's a little bit different. going to tell you, in Cook County it's bad, and it's bad for a lot of the people that I'm representing, and I don't think it's a bad Bill at all. I think to teach them good, good habits. It's going to show them

June 18, 1987

that they've got a responsibility. That they can't be going from one house to the other and getting married, and not face the problems that they've created. So, I think it's good. I hope the Members of the House do. And I ask for its favorable passage."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Countryman, one minute to explain your vote."
- Countryman: "Thank you, thank you, Madam Speaker. this is overwhelmingly being defeated. And I think all due respect to Representative Hoicik. I mean ... I think it's absurd. But, you might as well say they can't divorced before you could say they can't get married There's certain individual rights and liberties this country. The preamble to the Constitution recites those things, and I think this is one of them. I iust think that this is the way to do it. The Sponsor of this Bill has worked hard, sometimes I don't agree with him on his proposals, as to collect child support, but this is sure not the way to do it."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 31 voting 'aye', 78 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Greiman in the
- Speaker Greiman: "On this Order appears Senate Bill 856. Mr.

 Bowman, do you wish to proceed? Mr. Bowman? 856. Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 856, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Keane."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, on Amendment #1. Amendment #2."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 is Bi 11 embodies a Bill that Representative Weaver and I passed out House earlier this year, and which got hung up in Higher Ed Committee. Ιt amends the Public Community Collage Act and establishes the minimum levy rates for educational purposes in corroboration for districts that are formed after January 1, 1987. It's a very simple Bill. be happy to answer any questions, and ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, moves for the passage ... the adoption of Amendment \$2 to Senate Bill 856. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he'll yield for questions."

Bowman: "Mr. Keane, for the record, I'm not adverse to the substance of your Amendment. Only ... I have just become aware of this, recently, and so I'm still trying to figure out whether it's going to complicate the underlying Bill, in terms of politics, if we run into trouble later on ... If this Amendment goes on, if we run into trouble with the Senate, and the Senate does not accept it, can we then recede?"

Keane: "No problem. I'd be happy to remove it at that time."

Bowman: "Thank you, very much. Then, I have no objection to the Amendments."

- June 18, 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate
 Bill 868. Mr. Granberg, do you wish to proceed on 868?
 Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 875.

 Ms. Satterthwaite, 875? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 875, a Bill for an Act to provide for
 Illinois College Savings Bonds. Second Reading of the
 Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Satterthwaite."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite on Amendment #1."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, please withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Are there further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Satterthwaite."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwalte, on Amendment #2."
- Satterthwaite: "Amendment #2 is now a program that sets up a board for investing for Higher Education. And what it does, it to say that we will provide a bonding program, very much like the Bill that went out of here a few weeks ago, on House Bill 320, providing for 0 coupon bonds issued by the government, but also giving the board other investment options to provide to people who want to save for college educations. Under this proposal there is nothing that sets up a guaranteed tuition program. We

June 18. 1987

could not get approval from the Senate to go with a guaranteed tuition program, but the board can further investigate the option of a guaranteed investment program, and report back to us if they see it feasible at a future date to provide for that type of program. I would ask for adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 875. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate
Bill 886. Mr. Hannig, do you wish to proceed? Now,
there's a fiscal note request on this Bill, Mr. Hannig.
Out of the record. And now on page 14 of the Calendar, on
Senate Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill (sic-Senate
Bill) 896. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 896, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate
Bill 897, Mr. White. Mr. White in the Chamber? Mr. White,
what's your pleasure? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 897, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate

64th Legislative Day

- June 18, 1987
- Bill 898. Ms. Braun, do you wish to proceed on that? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 898, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Braun."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun, on Amendment
- Braun: "Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment, changing the effective date."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun, moves for the adoption of Amendment &1 to Senate Bill 898. And on that, the Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Pardon me, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "She indicates she'll yield for questions."
- Cowlishaw: "Representative Braun, to what does this change the effective date? What does it now become?"
- Braun: "Representative Cowlishaw, I believe it puts it off for a year. This is a Bill that was requested by the Joint House Senate Committee on this ... and this just pushes it forward."
- Cowlishaw: "Okay. The effective date is one year later, not one year forward."
- Braun: "Right. Yes. Later than now."
- Cowlishaw: "Okay. Thank you, very much. Okay, thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. And this Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. 909, Mr. Regan. Out of the

64th Legislative Day

- June 18 1987
- record. 910, Mr. McCracken. Out of the record. 912, Mr. Regan. Out of the record. 916, Mr. Olson? Out of the record. 918. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 918, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for and regulate the admission of trusts by trust companies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and #2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendments 1 and
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 926, Mr. O'Connell? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 926, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Illinois Department of State Police.

 Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment & Was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &2, offered by Representative
 Anthony Young."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Young. Is

 Representative Young in the Chamber? Mr. Young? Mr.

 O'Connell, what is your pleasure, Sir?"
- O'Connell: "Mr. Speaker, with all due respect to Representative
 Young, I was not consulted about any Amendments. If it is
 one that's acceptable, I would return it from Third Reading
 to Second Reading, but I really was not consulted about it
 so I would prefer to have it proceed to Third Reading."
- Speaker Greiman: "Hell, if you'll make the Motion, we will

June 18, 1987

address that."

O'Connell: "Well, I'll move to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment \$2 to Senate Bill 926. And on that, is there any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun. There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be tabled?' Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order of Business appears Senate Bill 942. Hr. Rice, do you wish to proceed?

Out of the record. On this Order appears Senate Bill 943,

Mr. McPike? Out of the record. On this Order appears

Senate Bill 950, Mr. Regan? Do you wish that called? Mr. Regan, did you wish that called?"

Regan: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Mr. Clerk ... excuse me, Ms. Braun, for what purpose do you seek recognition? I'm sorry."

Braun: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, and again, I didn't want to digress from the conduct of Business, I would like to have made this announcement while we were momentarily at ease

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, proceed."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, my son is very, very upset, because there is apparently a protest going on downstairs in the Governor's office, and he asked that we, in the General Assembly, do what we can to resolve the issue that has the people who are sitting in, in the Governor's office right now, so upset. And I assured him that we would do everything we could, in the waning hours of this General Assembly to address, and hopefully resolve, those public assistance issues that have the people gathered in the Governor's

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

office right now. That's all I wanted to say. He insisted that I make a statement. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, 950, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 950, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Motions with respect to Amendment \$1."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Regan."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Regan, on Amendment #1 (sic #2)."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the House. Amendment

#2 simply adds some corrective language that was suggested
in Committee. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 950. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Regan, did you wish to comment on ... Did you have some comment you wish to make?"

Regan: "I would appreciate it if we could get back to 909, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, we're moving ... on 909?"

Regan: "Yes. I was out of the room at the time it was called."

Speaker Greiman: "909. Alright, fine. We'll try and move back

here. Alright on 909. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 909."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 909, a Bill for an Act to amend the

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment . #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Regan."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the House. Another corrected measure for ... suggested by Committee to add in that the fees charged by DCFS has to be based on actual costs. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 909. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, be considering, with leave of the House, a Resolution that is of great concern to many of our Members. I did not want that to be a signal to any of the Members, that it was time to make dinner reservations quite yet, or leave the Chamber. because we have much work ahead of us. will be considering a Resolution. With leave of the House. with unanimous consent, we have been requested to consider 592, Resolution which has been sponsored bν Representative Wojcik and Representative Bugielski. Mr. Clerk, if you would read the Resolution. With leave of the with unanimous consent. Thank you. House. Leave is granted."

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 592. whereas the State Department acknowledged that it is considering a change in the nearly 6 year old policy on the special Poles who have lived in the United States since the Martial Law crackdown in their homeland in 1981: and whereas, Congressional and Justice Department officials, who have said that the State Department wants to and whereas, Representative William O. Lipinski of Illinois, has asked President Reagan to halt what he says an effort to deport those Poles, who have been granted temporary residency permits; and whereas, Representative Lipinski has introduced legislation that would provide permanent residence status to Polish refugees, but not be considered before the current immigration law waiver expires; and whereas, if Polish nationals were to be sent back, they would face almost certain reprisals for having sought asylum in the United States. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 85th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we oppose the State Department's plan to send 10,000 Poles back Poland, and that we urge the State Department to delay action until Representative Lipinski's Bill is acted upon by the United State's Congress; and be it further resolved, that a suitable copy of this preamble and Resolution. be presented to each Member of the Illinois Delegation, t o President Ronald Reagan and to the Secretary of State, George Schultz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I urge my fellow Members to support House Resolution 592, calling for the United State's Congress to provide special consideration for the Polish immigrants, who have taken refuge in the United States since 1981. The

June 18. 1987

United States and the State of Illinois have a long, proud heritage. providing freedom and democracy for the prosecuted peoples of the world. The Polish people are repressed by a government, which continues to hold an iron fist over their lives, refusing to allow these individuals from staying in the United States, will send many of them back to a life of imprisonment. We cannot turn our need to renew their lives and freedom. strongly urge you to support this Resolution. and I ask for your help. Thank you."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, moves for the adoption of House Resolution 592. And on that, the Lady from Cook, Ms. Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would also like a fine Gentleman to be placed on this Resolution. Mr. Ed Petka, who was inadvertently not asked to be a part of I would like to point out that the Polish people this. have been a strong, brave, driving force over the many, many years in the plight of communism. They have the wonderful man of trying to face the privacy and their own invasion of their kind, free will, and it's a terrible travesty that they would have to be faced with the possibility of having to go back to Polanda I certainly urge the United States government to see what they can do, to see what areas or what avenues we would all have to go down, to insure that these Poles would remain in the United States."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Hr. Berrios."

Berrios: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. I
also stand in support of this Resolution, but there are
things that we should all also look at. There are a lot of
other individuals, under the new Immigration Act, that are
going to be suffering because of the fact that they will

June 18. 1987

going back to Central American countries, where also be they are going to be receiving punishment. Иe set task force in this House, that have been working, trying to it a lot easier for those individuals that are going But when Congress saw fit to pass to be applying. this piece of legislation, that they call the Immigration Reform Act, they forgot about those poor individuals have to back to poor countries and have to struggle They forgot about those individuals, who have back to countries where they re going to be persecuted and put in jail. Congress should re-evaluate what done to this Country. This is supposed to be the of the free, and to be open to want to come here and make a life for individuals who I support this Resolution, but I also ask that themselves. we also include those individuals from Central America, that are also going to be going back to severe punishment. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Petka."

"Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Petka: this Resolution. I feel that it is the in support of humane and compassionate thing to do. I strongly commend Congressman from the 5th Congressional District. Representative Lipinski, for his courageous connection with those Polish Americans, or the Polish who fled to the United States of America, as a result invasion of Poland that crushed the Solidarity Soviet movement in 1981. These individuals, should they will most assuredly end up in the Soviet back to Poland. •••••• to face a long term of imprisonment, torture. degradation and humiliation. This is something that the United States simply should not tolerate, permit. And I ask all individuals, who cherish liberty and

June 18, 1987

cherish justice, to join in support of this Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. One of the things that we don't appreciate in this Country. is the freedom that we have here, because we have taken it for granted. We've had this freedom so long. we just take it for granted. Well, let me tell you something, have relatives behind the iron curtain, who don't have that freedom. They can't even write a letter. They can't anyone that they want. They can't go from one town to another. Now, if you send these Polish immigrants back, they came here when Martial Law was pronounced there. the Polish government there is concerned, they are traitors. They asked for asylum here, if they are sent back, they are sent back to jail. And I would ask for your support on this Resolution."

"The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Panavotovich." Speaker Greiman: Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in utmost support of House Resolution As Representative Berrios said, as a Member of the Speaker's Task Force on Immigration, we've heen along with the Department, with the Federal Government, on the new immigration law. But one thing we've ant remember, and I hope that everybody picked it up when Representative Berrios said, the Poles, we are definitely worried about the Poles. But, in my district, we have the we have the Serbo-Croatians, we have the Italians, we have the Irish, we have all the nationalities that should be included in a Resolution of this because these people face persecution when they go back to their homelands. I would hope that the Sponsors, and would be able to work on this Resolution, or even adopt another Resolution, to include all ethnics that

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

face the possibility of going back to face persecution in their homelands. And I urge your support.

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Martinez."

Martinez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I concur with my colleague, Joe Berrios, that this Resolution should also include the people of Mexico, that face deportation. It is estimated that over 300,000 people of Mexican dissent are in this Country illegally. They, too, will be deported if they don't meet with the requirements of the IMS, and I think that it's only right that this Resolution should include them and be considerate of them.

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kubik."

Ar. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Kubik: "Thank vou. House. I rise in support and a Sponsor n f this legislation. As a Czech-American, I look across to Eastern and I see Party Secretary Gorbachov talking about Breschnev, and yet, Ladies and Gentlemen we all know, return these citizens back to Poland, to return these refugees back to Poland, would be nothing more than a death sentence for their freedom and their ability to continue as You know, we don't spend enough time free citizens. this subject and how important it is to thinking about preserve our freedom. Let's make sure that we never forget. Let's make sure that we ••• we protect these citizens because we are a nation. He are truly a nation of refugees. The first people who came over to this nation were religious refugees. And let us never forget that those whose paths have been before us were important, let's never forget now that we're here, we should invite those who suffer the same kind of persecution to join us. I urge the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from St. Clair, Ms. Younge."

June 18, 1987

- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I merely wanted to say, very quickly, that I definitely support this Resolution, and I join the other Members of our General Assembly to do so. I think that no one more than a Black American who is persecuted in the United States, could understand why Polish should not be sent back to Poland to be persecuted."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Novak."
- Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. this Resolution also. T. as Yugoslavian American, can feel the empathy of the people of Poland that being threatened to be deported. I also feel the empathy of all other ethnic groups, and all racial other in this great State of ours. And I do believe that everything maybe we can incorporate in Resolution and I thank you for your time."
- Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Resolution be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And House Resolution 592 is adopted. Mr. Hartke, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Hartke: "Mr. Speaker, I move at this time to discharge Committee

 House Joint Resolution 34 and also move for immediate

 consideration of that Resolution."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hartke, the Gentleman from Effingham, moves
 to discharge the Executive Committee, with respect to
 further consideration of House Resolution ... House Joint
 Resolution 34. There being no objection, use of the
 Attendance Roll Call to discharge that Bill. And now Mr.
 Hartke, on the Resolution ... House Joint Resolution 34."
- Hartke: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. This House Joint
 Resolution deals with the Veterans and Veterans Code. It's
 been agreed on both sides and it probably should have been

June 18, 1987

on the Agreed Resolution list, but it was signed to Committee, and therefore, that's why we have to go through this. I appreciate your support."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Effingham, moves for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 34. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And House Joint Resolution 34 is adopted.

Mr. Brunsvold, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Brunsvold: "Mr. Speaker, I stand to make a Motion, also, if it's appropriate at this time."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, what is your Motion, Sir?"

Brunsvold: "I would like to suspend Rule 74(a), and move it to take House Bill 911 from the table, and also suspend Rule 37(g), so the Bill may be considered immediately. And I would ask Representative McCracken to support that Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Brunsvold, moves to take from the table, House Bill 911, and to thereafter waive the deadline rule with respect to consideration of House Bills on Third Reading. And on that, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken. This takes 71 votes. Mr. McCracken?"

McCracken: "We're in agreement."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken agrees with that. And therefore, with leave of the House, using the Attendance Roll Call, we will take House Bill 911 from the table and we will waive the deadline rule with respect to this Bill. And the Bill will be placed in accordance with the Motion, on the Order of House Bills, Third Reading. Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is hereby granted. Mr. Brunsvold, the Bill is not in the physical possession here in the well, so that we can not call the Bill at this time. We will try to accommodate you, today, if we can, if not it will be on the

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

call Order of House Bills, Third Reading, tomorrow."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Mr. McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

"Speaker, Mr. Sieben has filed a Motion to take Senate McCracken: 894 from the table ... or is that House Bill? Bi11? Senate Bill 894 from the table. we te And since doing these Motions at this time, I'd like to proceed on that Motion now. This was the subject earlier some partisan debate. It's unfortunate that it has reduced but for the sake of the general good, I to that. would suggest that we take that Motion up now, pass it get to the merits of the issue."

Speaker Greiman: "We will consider that Motion on the Order of Motions tomorrow. We will return to the Order of Senate Bills. Second Reading, Short Debate for those Bills for which a fiscal note has been requested, and for which there has now been a fiscal note filed. On that Order appears Senate Bill 1155. Mr. Clerk, on 1155, has a fiscal note now been filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1155, on the Order of Second Reading, a fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. And on that same Order, Senate
Bills, Second Reading, Short Debate appears Senate Bill
1390. Mr. Clerk, read that Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1390, a Bill for an Act in relation to the merger of special districts into townships. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Ryder, the Gentleman from Morgan, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Mr. Ryder?"

64th Legislative Day

June 18. 1987

- Ryder: "I was asking for recognition on a Motion, but if we're considering a Bill, I'll hold that for a moment."
- Speaker Greiman: "No, that's alright. I will recognize you at this time, if you will have leave to consider a Motion with respect to House Resolution 501. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted to you. Mr. Ryder, proceed."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This simply ... I move to discharge Human Services from further consideration and advance to Second Reading, House Resolution 501. It is a Ryder-Daniels Resolution. Representative McPike has asked to also to be a Sponsor. It was my error, that I received notice of the assignment prior ... or after the posting time, and I would like for it to be considered."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman asks ... the Gentleman moves to discharge the Committee on Human Services for further consideration of House Resolution 501. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook. Mr. White."
- White: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Committee ...

 of the Assembly, I stand in support of the Motion to

 discharge House Resolution 501 from the Human Services

 Committee."
- "Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call Speaker Greiman: discharge House Resolution 501 from the Human Services Committee. Ar. Ryder, you have not put your Motion, vou provides might wish to amend your Motion. T t for consideration advance to Second Reading, First Legislative know that it is a Resolution. That's not our procedure. Now, you may wish to place it on the Speaker's which would be more appropriate. So, with leave to amend the Motion, to allow discharge of the Human Services Committee from further consideration of House Resolution 501, and that it be advanced to the Speaker's table.

- June 18, 1987
- to use the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is granted."
- Ryder: "Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "We are not adjourning quite yet, however, Mr.

 Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 585, offered by Representative Matijevich, et al. 586, offered by Representative Steczo. 587, offered by Representative Ronan. 588, offered by Representative Bowman. And 591, offered by Representative Hasara."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Ar. Matijevich, on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, these Resolutions are congratulatory, and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Ar. Matijevich, moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say "aye", those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 589, offered by Representative

 Curran, et al."
- Speaker Greiman: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 590, offered by Representative Rice, in respect to the memory of Richard Harley of Chicago."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "I just wanted to let all the CUB fans know, the CUBS won again, 9-7."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson, for the St. Louis."

64th Legislative Day

- June 18, 1987
- Johnson: "I just want to let all the CUB fans know that they're still 4 1/2 games out of first place."
- Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Barnes, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, we have finally agreed on an Amendment for Senate Bill 651, and I would be pleased if you would call it."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady asks that we return to the Order of Second Reading ... House Bills ... I'm sorry ... Senate Bills, Second Reading, for House Bill (sic Senate Bill) 651. Do we have leave? Is there leave? Leave is granted.

 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill on Second Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 651, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Sexually Transmittable Disease Control.

 Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and #2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to
 Amendments &1 and \$2."
- Clerk Leone: "A Motion to table Amendment #2, offered by Representative Barnes."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, on a Motion to table Amendment #2."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wish to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 651."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady asks ... moves to table Amendment #2

 to Senate Bill 651. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook,

 Mr. White."
- White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I concede to the tabling Motion of Senate Amendment ₩2."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?" Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #2 is tabled. Are there further Amendments.

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

- Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representatives

 Barnes, Capparelli, and Keane."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, on Amendment #3."
- Barnes: "Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wish to withdraw Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 651."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, on Amendment
- Barnes: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment \$4 to Senate Bill 651 removes the penalty for nondisclosure of sex partners. The Amendment provides persons with a sexually trasmissible disease, shall report for complete medical treatment to a physician, or a local health department, as required by the Department of Public Health. No person shall be examined or treated for a sexually transmissible disease, except provided for. by rules and standards developed by the Department of Public Health. It requires that the Public Health formulate criteria standards Department o f and procedures regarding the identification and contact of any person to be interviewed, or subject to examination and This is an agreed Amendment with Representative White, the Department of Public Health, Senator DeAngelis and myself."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 651. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Well, does the Amendment address the entire Bill, or

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

just one portion of it? You said it was agreed, I wondered, what part of the original Bill does this amend?"

Barnes: "It amended the parts of the Bill that seemed to be most offensive to Representative Jesse White, and that's why we've had several meetings this afternoon, so we could come to a meeting of the minds, and have an agreed Amendment, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, for example, do you still have quarantining in here?"

Barnes: "Yes,"

Cullerton: "Do you still have the authority to enter prisons, and test in prisons?"

Barnes: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Department of Corrections is still against that, aren't they? They were against it in the past."

Barnes: "Not to my knowledge at this time, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Okay. Alright, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. White."

White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in support of this Amendment. He've worked long and hard to come up with what we consider to be, a compromise. I may not be in full support of the Bill, but I do think that the content of this Amendment would improve the Bill. And I stand in support of it."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Nr. Levin."

Levin: "Would the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "She indicates that she will."

Levin: "Okay. I just ... Representative Cullerton may have asked some of this, but I didn't hear all the answers. Does ... this Bill removes the criminal penalty for not reporting all the sex partners, that I understand. That's out?"

Barnes: "That is correct, Representative."

64th Legislative Day

June 18, 1987

Levin: "Okay. Well, does it address the portion of the Bill that gives Public Health the authority to require testing of anybody exposed to a sexually transmitted disease?"

Barnes: "It directs the Department to set up criteria and standards, and we have alot of faith in our Department of Public Health and know that they will do it with confidentiality and with haste."

Levin: "Okay. What about the portion of the Bill that requires
the reporting of any positive test by either a doctor, or a
laboratory. Is that changed by this?"

Barnes: "Representative Levin, I didn't quite understand you.

Could you please repeat the question, a little louder?"

Levin: "Does this effect the portion of the Bill that, as it passed the Senate, require the reporting of any positive test, by either a doctor or a laboratory?"

Barnes: "It does not impact that at all, Representative."

Levin: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I, too, rise in support as minority spokesperson of Human Services, there has been many long hours given to this special Bill, and many areas of compromise. And I do request that we have a favorable passage."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from St. Clair, Ms. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "She indicates she'll yield for questions."

Younge: "Would you explain lines 5 through 10 on page 2 of the Amendment?"

Barnes: "On the Amendment, Representative?"

Younge: "Yes."

Barnes: "What it says, Representative, that there will be a closed hearing, and a record shall be made of such proceedings, but shall be sealed, impounded and preserved

June 18, 1987

in the records of the court."

Younge: "It says that proceedings will be conducted in camera. I

didn't see anything about closed hearings."

Barnes: "Privately, Representative. Confidentiality is all throughout the Bill."

Younge: "I don't see the word 'privately'."

Barnes: "Well, that's just ... that's just the legal terms that are in the Bill, but the confidentiality is held throughout the Bill."

Younge: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Well, excuse me. Have the ...

have the Mandates Act Fiscal Note ... Has that been filed?

And the Fiscal Note?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Fiscal Notes on file."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Then the Bill will have to remain on the Order of Second Reading, Ms. Barnes, until we have been supplied with these items. Thank you. Now returning to the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 950. Mr. Regan, do you wish to proceed with that? Out of the record. Mr. Regan, some kind of activity to show that you wish to do something would be helpful."

Regan: "Mr. Speaker, 950 was just called."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, fine. On this Order appears Senate Bill 953. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 953, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Toxic Substances Disclosure to Employment Act. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

64th Legislative Day June 18, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Yes, excuse me. Mr. Giorgi,

did you have a request, Mr. Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "Is it time now for 385?"

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Giorgi, why don't you ask and perhaps can get leave to return to that. We had started just below that. Alright, perhaps tomorrow would be a nicer and better day for that. Mr. Giorgi. The Chair recognizes Majority Leader. Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike, the Majority Leader, moves that the House stand adjourned until the hour of 9:00 tomorrow, allowing the Clerk Perfunctory time for Committee Reports. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the *ayes* have it. And the House now stands adjourned until the hour of 9:00 tomorrow, allowing time for Perfunctory Session for Committee Reports."

Clerk Leone: "Committee Reports. Representative Leverenz. Chairman from the Committee on Appropriations I, to which following Bills were referred, action taken June 18, and reported the same back with the recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 52, 328, 329, 334, 342, 434, 836, 839, 1348 and 1464; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bills 48, 49, 237, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320. 323. 326, 330, 331, 332, 333, 335, 336, 337, 340, 341, 345, 363, 417 and 783. Representative Bowman. Chairman from the Committee on Appropriations II. to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 18th and reported the same back with the following recommendations: Senate Bills 325, 346, 348 and 835; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bill 324, 343, 344, 347, 349, 784 and 785."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further business, the House now stands adjourned."

10/15/87

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 18, 1987

SB-0002	SECOND READING	PAGE	87
\$8-0012	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
	RECALLED	PAGE	7
	THIRD READING	PAGE	
			2
	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
SB-0063	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
SB-0066	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
SB-0067	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
	SECOND READING	PAGE	44
	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
	RECALLED	PAGE	6
SB-0165	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
SB-0185	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	61
	THIRD READING	PAGE	
			3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
SB-0259	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
SB-0266	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
SB-0266	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	13
	THIRD READING	PAGE	
			3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
SB-0379	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
SB-0379	THIRD READING	PAGE	72
SB-0379	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	73
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	
			3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
S3-0418	THIRD READING	PAGE	3
SB-0454	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
\$3-0454	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	17
	SECOND READING	PAGE	113
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	3
	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
	SECOND READING	PAGE	53
SB-0478	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
SB-0483	SECOND READING	PAGE	113
SB-0488	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
	SECOND READING	PAGE	145
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	73
	SECOND READING	PAGE	114
	SECOND READING	PAGE	115
SB-0535	SECOND READING	PAGE	116
SB-0536	SECOND READING	PAGE	116
SB-0546	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	45
	THIRD READING	PAGE	75
SB-0551	SECOND READING	PAGE	122
SB-0556	SECOND READING	PAGE	121
SB-0586	SECOND READING	PAGE	123
	RECALLED	PAGE	123
	SECOND READING	PAGE	31
	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	4
	SECOND READING	PAGE	124
	THIRD READING	PAGE	61
	SECOND READING	PAGE	125
SB-0651	SECOND READING	PAGE	168
SB-0651	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	172
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	126
	ee- er meerie		

10/15/87 08:59

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 18, 1987

SB-0667 THIRD READING	PAGE	4
SB-0670 SECOND READING	PAGE	126
SB-0688 SECOND READING	PAGE	128
SB-0690 SECOND READING	PAGE	100
SB-0702 THIRD READING	PAGE	4
SB-0707 SECOND READING	PAGE	127
SB-0708 THIRD READING	PAGE	4
S3-0717 SECOND READING	PAGE	127
S8-0722 SECOND READING	PAGE	129
SB-0731 SECOND READING	PAGE	129
S8-0742 SECOND READING	PAGE	18
SB-0752 SECOND READING	PAGE	130
SB-0760 THIRD READING	PAGE	4
SB-0769 THIRD READING	PAGE	4
SB-0772 SECOND READING	PAGE	130
SB-0774 SECOND READING	PAGE	130
SB-0777 SECOND READING	PAGE	131
SB-0782 SECOND READING	PAGE	131
SB-0790 SECOND READING	PAGE	21
SB-0790 SECOND READING	PAGE	34
SB-0790 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	24
S8-0796 SECOND READING	PAGE	45
SB-0796 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	53
SB-0809 THIRD READING	PAGE	4
SB-0822 SECOND READING	PAGE	132
SB-0826 THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-0827 SECOND READING	PAGE	132
SB-0827 RECALLED	PAGE	144
SB-0827 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	142
SB-0832 SECOND READING	PAGE	87
SB-0834 SECOND READING	PAGE	53
SB-0841 SECOND READING	PAGE	71
SB-0855 SECOND READING	PAGE	142
SB-0855 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	144
SB-0856 SECOND READING	PAGE	149
SB-0861 THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-0875 SECOND READING	PAGE	151
SB-0896 SECOND READING	PAGE	152
SB-0897 SECOND READING	PAGE	152
SB-0898 SECOND READING	PAGE	153
SB-0905 THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-0909 SECOND READING	PAGE	156
SB-0914 THIRD READING	PAGE	64
SB-0918 SECOND READING	PAGE	154
SB-0922 THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-0925 SECOND READING	PAGE	102
SB-0926 SECOND READING	PAGE	154
SB-0931 SECOND READING	PAGE	55
SB-0934 SECOND READING	PAGE	103
SB-0936 THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-0950 SECOND READING	PAGE	156
SB-0953 SECOND READING	PAGE	172
SB-0956 THIRD READING	PAGE	5
S8-0962 THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-0981 THIRD READING	PAGE	70
SB-0992 THIRD READING	PAGE	õ
SB-1014 SECOND READING	PAGE	80
SB-1052 RECALLED	PAGE	36
SB-1052 THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-1085 THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-1113 SECOND READING	PAGE	103
SB-1115 SECOND READING	PAGE	24
SB-1123 SECOND READING	PAGE	56
SB-1136 SECOND READING	PAGE	105
SB-1138 SECOND READING	PAGE	71

10/15/87 08:59

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

JUNE 18, 1987

SB-1155	RECALLED	PAGE	105
SB-1155	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	105
SB-1177	RECALLED	PAGE	106
SB-1226	THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-1228	SECOND READING	PAGE	71
SB-1230	THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-1239	THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-1251	SECOND READING	PAGE	56
SB-1258	SECOND READING	PAGE	24
S3-1263	SECOND READING	PAGE	106
SB-1266	SECOND READING	PAGE	25
SB-1266	SECOND READING	PAGE	77
SB-1266	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	27
SB-1278	THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-1282	THIRD READING	PAGE	5
SB-1290	THIRD READING	PAGE	39
SB-1300	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
SB-1300	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	61
S3-1335	THIRD READING	PAGE	75
SB-1353	RECALLED	PAGE	41
\$8-1353	THIRD READING	PAGE	41
\$3-1356	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
SB-1384	SECOND READING	PAGE	58
SB-1390	SECOND READING	PAGE	165
SB-1415	SECOND READING	PAGE	110
SB-1428	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
SB-1461	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-1463	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
SB-1470	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
SB-1470	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	31
SB-1484	SECOND READING	PAGE	72
SB-1493	RECALLED	PAGE	111
HR-0501	MOTION	PAGE	166
HR-0592	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	158
HJR-0034	MOTION	PAGE	163

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND PERRY	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	167
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	167
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	167
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	173
PERFUNCTORY - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	173