37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 12:30 having arrived, Members will be in their seats. The House is in order. The Chaplain for today will be Father James O'Shea, Pastor of St. Patrick's Catholic Church of Decatur. Father O'Shea is a guest of Representative John Dunn. The guests in the gallery may wish to rise and join us in the invocation. Father O'Shea."

Father O'Shea: "Let us pray. God of nations, author of freedom. source of all power, we, Your people, call upon You today. We bless You and praise Your Holy Name, for You are all good, all holy, all just and merciful. We thank You for our life and health, our families and our free country. ask that You send Your Holy Spirit to teach us all truth and preserve us from all evil motives and intent. Great power has been given to the men and women of this Their decisions profoundly affect the lives of all in this state - men and women, young and old, rich and poor, of all ethnic and religious backgrounds. Assist them, O God, with the virtues of wisdom and knowledge, understanding and in this awesome responsibility. laws that are always in harmony with Your divine truth. them with the desire and vision to ensure justice for all men and women of this state. Grant them a clarity of and purity of soul to promote and defend the dignity of each human being. By your grace, commit them to society built on truth and justice and love. They have been called to serve by their fellow citizens. Stir within them that sense of service and caring, dedication and commitment that led them to public life. Each has believed or she could make a difference for the good of that he their community and our state. Strengthen and renew them now the greatest integrity that will lead them to work

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

intelligently, perseveringly and conscientiously before their God and among their peers, for we are humbly mindful of Your words spoken to Your ancient people, O Lord. 'If My people, which are called by My name, shall humble themselves and pray and seek My face and turn from their wicked ways, then will I hear from heaven and will forgive their sins and will heal their land.' With Your help, we cannot fail, O God, living and reigning forever and ever.

Amen. Thank you."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Olson, will lead us in the Pledge to the Flag."
- Olson et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

 States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands,

 one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

 for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Matijevich, are there any absences excused on the Democratic side?"
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, there are none, but I would like all of us and the record to show that our prayers are with the father of Chuck Hartke. Chuck is here. He probably will have to leave. His father's suffered a stroke and he is in grave condition. You have our prayers, Chuck."
- Speaker Greiman: "Indeed, you do, Chuck."
- Matijevich: "On a happier note, Mr. Speaker. I'd like the record also to reflect, if we all look at our Calendar, that this is the 75th birthday of a gentleman all of us know around here, the man who does everything around here, Coach Joe Lucco. Our best birthday wishes to Coach Joe Lucco."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel, are there any excused absences on the Republican side?"
- Piel: "No excused absences today, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Clerk, take the record. 117 Members having answered to the call of the quorum, a quorum is present.

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Mr. McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?" "A point of information. Mr. Speaker. Our side of the McCracken: aisle has been advised that it is the Speaker's intent to add two Members to the Democratic contingent on the Utilities Committee. I am standing here to tell you and to tell all Members of this Body not to allow this to go on. It arises as a result of the Speaker's inability to control the Members of the Public Utilities Committee. He is forced to add two new Members to the Committee because Democrats are standing up to him and voting their And yesterday the Aging Committee was packed consciences. with Leadership because other Democratic Members would anything but vote their consciences yesterday, and they were replaced... replaced. And you, Mr. Speaker, know that in the Democratic Caucus at the beginning of this Session. it was told to the Democratic Membership that you would not be replaced unless you requested it. I'm here to tell you were replaced last night. That the Public that you Utilities Committee is being packed today because the Speaker will not allow you to vote your consciences, and don't stand for it. We're not going to stand for it. send a message to the Speaker that we will not tolerate packing Committees and replacing Members because they won't do anything other than vote their consciences."

Speaker Greiman: "On page 16 of the Calendar on the Order of Senate Bills on Second Reading appears Senate Bill 141. Mr. Clerk, call the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 141, a Bill for an Act to amend
various Acts in relation to marriage. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment \$1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

- 37th Legislative Day April 29, 1987
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
 Dunn."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn... Mr. Dunn. Ms. Barnes, why don't we take this out of the record. You have an Amendment that you filed which would be... which would not be printed by the time we would get to it, in any event."
- Barnes: "I know, Representative Dunn just filed it. Fine."
- Speaker Greiman: "Pardon? Is that alright? Alright, let's do that, then. Mr. Dunn, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Dunn: "Are you ready on this Bill?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Barnes, did you wish to proceed on 141 at this time? Mr. Dunn is now with us."
- Barnes: "Yes. Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 141, a Bill for an Act to amend
 various Acts in relation to marriage. Amendment #1 was
 adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "And there are no Motions with respect to

 Amendment #1. Right, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, on Amendment #2."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 I ask leave to withdraw Amendment &2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further

 Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
 Dunn."

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, on Amendment #3."

Dunn: "I request leave to withdraw Amendment #3."

- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further

 Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

 Barnes and Dunn."
- Dunn: "I think she wants me to present this Amendment. I... "
- Speaker Greiman: "Hell, the problem is, it's not... I don't think

 it's been printed and distributed. I'm not sure who has a

 copy or if anybody has a copy. Mr. Clerk? Ms. Barnes?"
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, that's what I wanted to bring to your attention, that it has just been filed. So, it has not been printed and distributed to the Hembers."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well then, we'll take it out of the record.

 We'll come back to it, then. Alright. Thank you. Yes,

 we've acted on this Bill. The Bill, Mr. Clerk, will be

the Order of Second Reading. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Christensen, Chairman Select Committee on Aging, to which the Committee 00... following Bills were referred, action taken April 28, 1987, reported the same back with the following recommendation: House Bill 815. Representative • do pass* Richmond. Chairman of the Committee on Agriculture, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 28, 1987, the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 1320 and 2377; 'do pass as House Bill 2378; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 2268 'Interim Study Calendar' House Bill 637. and 2269: Representative Steczo, Chairman of the Committee on Cities and Villages, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 28, 1987, reported the same following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 807, the

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

852, 1607 and 2767; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bills 866, 953, 1490, 1938, 1984 and 2085; 'do 812. 862. pass as amended Consent Calendar' House Bills 594 and 1377; *Interim Study Calendar* House Bill 1621. Chairman of the Committee on Consumer Protection. to which the following Bills were referred. action taken April 28, 1987, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 2146. 2376. sorry... 2609; 'do pass as amended' House Bill 1785; *do pass as amended Consent Calendar* House 3ill 1726; pass Short Debate Calendar House Bill 1386; do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' House Bills 2114 2766; and tabled in Committee, House Bill 1043. Representative and Flinn, Chairman of the Committee on Financial Institutions, to which the following Bills were referred. action 1987, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass Short Debate Calendar' House Bills 1597, 1605, 2123, 2166, 2477, 2533, 2708, 2788, 2790, 2795. 2804. 2806. 2865, 2867. 2868 and 2871 -Representative Levin, Chairman of the Committee Public on to which the following Bills were referred, Utilities, action taken April 28, 1987, reported the same the following recommendation: 'Interim Study... Interim Study Calendar' House Bill 1171. Representative Ronan. the Committee on Transportation Chairman of and Motor Vehicles, to which the following Bills were referred. action taken April 28, 1987, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass' House Bill 5, 612, 2035, 2056 and 2759; 'do pass as amended' House Bills 2438 and 2615; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 2007. 1299 and 1801: 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' House Bill 2532; 'do pass Short Debate Calendar' House dill 1238; pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' House Bill 597;

37th Legislative Day

- April 29. 1987
- *tabled in Committee* House Bill 1599; *Interim Study Calendar* House Bills 544, 675, 1286... 1281, that is, 1283, 1380, 1613, 2086, 2118 and 2613."
- Speaker Greiman: "On page three of the Calendar on the Order of
 House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill
 88. Mr. Clerk, read the 3ill."
- Clerk O'drien: "House Bill 88, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Liquor Control Act. Second Reading of the

 Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment \$17"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On that Order of Business appears House Bill 89, Mr. O'Connell? Out of the record.

 Yes, Mr. O'Connell, did you wish us to read the Bill?"
- O'Connell: "Please,"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 89, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 O'Connell."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell, on Amendment #1."
- O'Connell: "This Floor Amendment simply rectifies a technical correction. It refers to the proper portion of the Library Code, and that's what the essence of Amendment #1 is. It's just a technical Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Amendment #1 to House Bill 89. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the record,
Representative, this drafting change is to make it clear
that the Illinois Local Library Act is being amended and
not the Illinois Public Library Act. Is that right?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "That is correct, Representative."

AcCracken: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. And now, on page four of the Calendar on... still on the Order of Second Reading. House Bills Short Debate appears House Bill 106. Mr. Klemm, did you wish us to proceed on 106? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 106, a Bill for an Act to require prompt payments by the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 172. Mr.

Countryman? Mr. Countryman, do you wish us to proceed?

Alright, we'll take that out of the record for a while.

Oh, Mr... Okay, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 172, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Uniform Commercial Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 181. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 181, a Bill for an Act to create the
Small Business Litigation Expense Act. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 31, offered by Representative

Mautino."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, on Amendment #1."

"Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 deletes Mautino: everything after the enacting clause, thus becomes It places a 5,000 dollar limit on the litigation Bill. expenses a state agency may be required to pay by reason of its having made some untrue allegations without reasonable cause. Also. i t includes general not-for-profit corporations under the provisions of small business. move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 181. And on that, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield for some questions?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll vield for questions."

McCracken: "Representative, you've included a not-for-profit corporation within the definition of small business for purpose of the Act?"

Mautino: "Yes."

McCracken: "And that's in addition to the comprehensive nature of the definition, so it should be all inclusive."

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Mautino: "That's correct."

McCracken: "And this limits the amount awarded under the circumstances to 5,000 dollars, but does not apply other than in an administrative proceeding? Is that right?"

Mautino: "Yes, that was a recommendation of the Capital

Development Board."

McCracken: "So, we're not talking about actions under the

Administrative Review Act in the Circuit Court or anything

of that nature."

Mautino: "That's correct."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$2, offered by Representative

Mautino."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, on Amendment #2."

Mautino: "One second, please. I've been informed that that's purely a technical Amendment cleanup on a couple words."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau... "

Mautino: "It changes 'correction action' to 'corrective action'."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House dill 181. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted.

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

37th Legislative Day

- April 29, 1937
- Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 246. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 246, a Bill for an Act to create sanitary districts in certain localities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading. Short Debate Calendar appears House Bill

 248. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 248, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to public water districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 272. Ar.

 Levin? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 272, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Food, Drugs and Cosmetic Act. Second Reading of
 the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment \$1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
 Levin."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, on Amendment #2. Excuse me, Mr. Levin. Excuse me. Ladies and Gentlemen, the noise level in the room makes it impossible for Members to hear the discussion. Mr. Levin, on Amendment #2."
- Levin: "Yeah. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Amendment #2 is simply an LRB Amendment that adds a reference in the title to the Section that was added in the Bill in Committee."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 272. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?" Those in favor say "aye", opposed "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 351. Hr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 351, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment \$1?".
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 396. Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 396, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 Terzich."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, on Amendment #1."

- 37th Legislative Day April 29, 1987
- Terzich: "Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further

 Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Terzich."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, on Amendment #2."
- Terzich: "Withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further

 Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
 Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 406. Ar.

 Johnson, do you wish to proceed on 406? Mr. Clerk, read
 the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 406, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Uniform Anatomical Gift Act. Second Reading of the Jill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor... Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Johnson and Currie."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson has leave to handle this Amendment in a seated position. Ms. Currie? Ms. Currie, you going to take that? Alright, Ms. Currie, you are recognized for Amendment #2 to House Bill 406. Ms. Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It's a clarifying Amendment establishing the relationship between... between various survivors in respect to anatomical gifts. The technical error was discovered by

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

staff, and I believe this Amendment will solve the technical problem. I'd appreciate your support, and I would be happy to answer questions."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, As. Currie, moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 406. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Ar.

McCracken: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Hill the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she'll yield for questions."

McCracken: "Does this conform this Bill to prior law, specifically Public Act 84-1409, relative to hierarchy of relatives?"

Currie: "That is precisely what the Amendment does."

McCracken: "Okay. So, there's no change in the priority of relatives to be consulted?"

Currie: "There is no change in the priority. It is merely a cross-reference so that we're clear that there is a priority list and that the meaning of that priority list is... is... as it already was established in 84-1409."

MCCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. This Amendment is adopted.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 425, Hr.

Rea? Mr. Rea? Yes, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 425, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Conservancy District Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

- 37th Legislative Day April 29, 1987
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 443. Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 443, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the adoption of persons. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$1, offered by Representative

 Homer."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer, on Amendment #1."
- Homer: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. This... Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment suggested in Committee and moved to 'do
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, the Gentleman from Fulton moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 443. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading, Short Debate, appears House Bill 454. Out

 of the record. On the Order of House Bills Short Debate

 Second Reading appears House Bill 457. Mr. Clerk, read the

 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 457, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in the relation to fences. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$1, offered by Representative

 Barger."

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1937

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Barger."

Barger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There was only one slight objection to the Bill, and that was from the farm Bureau, and this Amendment changes it. So, now the Bill is acceptable to everyone. I ask for your moving it."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Barger, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 457. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading. Short Debate appears House Bill 479. Out

of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading,

Short Debate appears House Bill 507. Mr. Preston, do you

wish to proceed with that? 507. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 507, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 509. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 509, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of that Order of

37th Legislative Day

- April 29, 1987
- Business appears House Bill 516. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 516, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
 the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 535. Ar.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 535, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Farm ••• "
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me... Excuse me. Mr. Richmond? Mr.
 Richmond, do you wish me to proceed with... out of the
 record? Alright, out of the record. On the Order of House
 Bills Second Reading, Short Debate on page five of the
 Calendar appears House Bill 558. Mr. Clerk, read the
 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 558, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Illinois Export Development Act. Second Reading of the

 Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 Mautino."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, on Amendment #1."
- Mautino: "Thank you... Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

 Amendment #1 provides the security and the authorization at
 the recommendation of the financial institutions and the
 Auditor General for providing for the public's knowledge as
 it pertains to who receives the grants and loans under the
 Illinois Development Authority Act. It's very similar to
 the Senate Bill, and I move for its adoption."

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 558. And on that, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

- McCracken: "Representative, is it correct that the... the information of a financial nature necessarily disclosed in conducting the audit will remain confidential and not subject to the Freedom of Information Act?"
- Mautino: "That's exactly correct. When the original legislation was provided by myself and former Representative Stuffle, there was a..."
- McCracken: "Speaker, could we have some order? I can't hear him."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Please give the Gentleman your attention.

 Members will be in their seat. Those not authorized to be
 on the floor shall withdraw from the floor. If you are not
 authorized to be on the floor, withdraw from the floor.

 Proceed, Sir."
- Mautino: "Basically, what this Amendment does, removes the amendatory provision specifying that information included in the Auditor General audits of Export Development Authority eliminates that language that provided for secrecy into who received those loans. a t cetera. which was an oversight when the original legislation passed in 1984. This is the information necessary by the Auditor General's examiners. It will not go into the financial details of the individual company, and it will keep those patents... those patents under the secrecy provision."
- McCracken: "So, for the record, the information of the type specified in your Amendment may be considered by the authority in executive session, not in violation of the

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Open Meetings Act."

Mautino: "Yes."

McCracken: "Now, is there confidentiality of any kind extended to
any of the... any other information not specified in your

Amendment, or is the full extent of the confidentiality

specified in the Amendment?"

Mautino: "It's specified in the Amendment, and it's the same information that is provided for any agency of government offering grants or loans to the private sector for auditive purposes."

McCracken: "Okav. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 560. Mr.

Clark, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 560, a Bill for an Act in relation to transit merchants. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 593. Mr. Hensel, do you wish us to proceed with that 3ill? Out of the... out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 613. Ms. Breslin? ... Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 613, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Hospital Licensing Act. Second Reading of

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 672. Mr.

Steczo, 672. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Steczo, we're advised that there has been a request for a Fiscal Note.

So, you might take this out of the record until you get that. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 730. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 747. Ms. Doederlein, do you with to proceed?

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 747, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Chemical Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Dunn, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I rise to request that the appropriate rules be suspended to waive the posting requirement so that all of those Bills shown on the Calendar for the Judiciary I Tort Reform Subcommittee tomorrow morning at 8:00 a.m. can be heard with the other Bills set for this evening at 6:00 p.m. And this Motion has now been cleared with the other side of the aisle, and I would request leave to waive this posting requirement."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, moves that

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

the House waive the appropriate posting requirements so that the House Bills posted for Tort Reform Subcommittee tomorrow can be heard in the Committee scheduled for this evening on the Subcommittee on Tort Reform, and asks unanimous consent. Mr. Ewing, the Gentleman from Livingston, on that request."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't hear. Did John Dunn... said House 8ill 933? Is that part of that request?"

Speaker Greiman: "No, he said all the Bills posted for tomorrow could be heard this evening."

Ewing: "Maybe he's going to do that as a separate Motion."

Dunn: "Yes. Yes."

Ewing: "Okay."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. 30, Mr. Dunn... Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "That's correct, Speaker. I'd just like to notify everybody. I had occasion to talk to the Sponsors of the Tort Reform Package, and they've agreed to this evening, so everyone should take notice. There will be no Subcommittee meeting tomorrow. Those Bills will be considered tonight."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, is that correct, that you were... in other words, if you don't finish, you're not going to go over until tomorrow. Is that right?"

McCracken: "That is correct."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright."

McCracken: "We will finish this evening."

Speaker Greiman: "Then, the Gentleman asks leave of the House to use the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is granted. Now, Mr. Dunn, do you have other matters to bring before this House?"

Dunn: "Yes. I ask leave with regard to one additional Bill.

House Bill 933. Is that correct. Tom? 933. Which was
posted for Judiciary Committee this morning and assigned to
Tort Reform Subcommittee. We would like to hear that this

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

evening, also. So, I would ask that the appropriate rules be suspended so that House Bill 933 can be heard. Is that in there also? And... And House Bill 2062, Representative Slater's Bill, can be heard in Tort Reform Subcommittee this evening. Request unanimously so to do."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon asks leave to suspend the posting requirements with respect to House Bill 933 and 2062. So those may be heard this evening in Judiciary I Subcommittee on Tort Reform. Does the Gentleman have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Hr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "We're in agreement on 933. Hould the Gentleman just explain 2062? Has he talked to someone over here about it?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn, Mr. McCracken wishes an explanation to 2062."

Dunn: "Do you wish clarification about what I said?"

McCracken: "Alright, I got the word, Speaker. Thank you."

Dunn: "House Bill 933..."

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn, you're on a roll. The Gentleman has leave to use the Attendance Roll Call and waive the posting requirements on those two Bills. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Ms. Currie?"
- Currie: "I'd ask leave to suspend posting requirements so that Bill 178, Representative Ropp's Bill, which has been reported favorably by a Subcommittee, might be heard in the full State Government Administration Committee this afternoon. And, I would like to waive posting requirements so that House Bills 1832 and 2536, which were inadvertently omitted from the posting schedule could be heard this evening at 6:00 in the Subcommittee on Equality and Delivery of State Services."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook asks leave of the House to

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

use the Attendance Roll Call to hear the enumerated Bills this evening and this afternoon by waiving the posting requirements. There being no objection, the use of the Attendance Roll Call to waive the posting. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "I move to waive the posting requirements for House

Resolution 312."

Speaker Greiman: "So that that may be heard in the Committee on State Government today?"

McCracken: "This afternoon. Yes, Sir."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Currie. Yes, Ms. Currie. Alright. Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is granted, Mr. McCracken. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 863. Mr. Clerk? Ms. Pullen, did you wish to proceed on that Bill? Ms. Pullen. No? Out of the record. Okay. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 994. Mr. Giglio. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills... ves. Mr. Clerk. on 994. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 994, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Liquor Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 1014. Mr.
Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Bowman, there's a Fiscal Note
request on this Bill and there has been no filing of a
Fiscal Note. So .why don't you take it out of the record.
Alright. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 1018. Ms.
Cowlishaw? Read the Bill."

37th Legislative Day

April 29. 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1018, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Board of Higher Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 1072. Mr. Clerk? Mr. Giorgi? Take that out of the record. We'll come back to it. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 1108. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1108, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Sutker: "Mr. Speaker. I'd like to take 1108 out of the record."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay, Mr. Sutker. On the Order of House Bill Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 1109. Do you wish to have that read, Mr. Sutker?"

Sutker: "I'd like to proceed on House Bill 1109."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1109, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to power of attorney.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Sutker."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sutker, on Amendment #1. Mr. Sutker."

Sutker: "Amendment #1, Mr. Speaker, incorporates House Bill 1108 and House Bill 1110 into 1109 to bring all of those Bills under one umbrella. They essentially include all of the

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Bills that came in under this package and were approved for Short Debate."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Sutker, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1109. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield for some questions?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

McCracken: "Representative, you no longer need House 3ills 1108 and 1110?"

Sutker: "That's correct."

McCracken: "Would you table those as long as this Amendment gets on?"

Sutker: "If this Amendment gets on, I would table 1108 and 1110, ves."

McCracken: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'

Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Now, returning to House Bill 1072. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1072, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, Short Debate appears House Bill 1306. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Giorgi, a Fiscal Note has been required... been requested on this Bill, so perhaps you'd

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

like to file a Fiscal Note, and then we can come back to it. Okay? Turn Mr. Giorgi on, please. Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "The Calendar says a Fiscal Note has been filed."

Speaker Greiman: "They're checking, Mr. Giorgi. He'll get back to you. Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, have you made a determination about the Fiscal Note on 1306? Okay. We'll take this Bill out of the record for the moment and get back to it. House Bill 1319. But of the record. House 3i11 Cullerton. Representative Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton? Clerk, read the Bill. Clerk. read the Bill. House Bill 1351."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1351, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Going to page six on your Calendar under the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. The Bill we just passed over was House Bill 1306. With leave of the Body, we will go back to it. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk, and has the Fiscal Note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1306, a Bill for an Act to amend the
State Comptroller's Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "And the Fiscal Note?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal Note is filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Turning to page six on your

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Bills Calendar under House Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears House Bill 2173. Representative Capparellia Representative Capparelli. Out of the record. House 3ill 2175, Representative Capparelli. Representative Capparelli, do you wish to have these dills heard on Second? Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 2173-Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2173, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain loans. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to the Order of House Bills Second Reading on the Regular Calendar on page six. The first Bill is House Bill 2, Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. House Bill 3. Out of the record. House Bill 27, Representative Stern. Representative Stern. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 27, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 46. Out of the record. House Bill 49. Representative Stange.

Representative Stange. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 49, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

37th Legislative Day April 29, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 62, Representative

Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 62..."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 62, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Rapresentative
Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, on Amendment #2."

- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Amendment #2
 addresses a flaw that is in the legislation. It provides
 that the inventory that a retailer and wholesaler has on
 hand would not be affected by the implementation date.
 This is an Agreed Amendment with the Sponsor of the
 legislation. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 62. And on that question, the Gentleman from... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, that is correct. I agree with Representative
 Mautino's Amendment. It's designed to cover the situation
 where there's stock already in inventory that's existing at
 the time the Act takes effect."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Please withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #3. Are there any further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'3rien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #4 addresses the implementation date changing from basically January 1st of *88 to July 1st of *88. A six month provision."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 62. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This has nothing to do with the effective date of the legislation. Is that right?"

Mautino: "This has to do with the implementation date of the legislation."

McCracken: "Right, but not when it becomes law."

Mautino: "Exactly correct."

McCracken: "Now, will your Amendment #2, then, protect inventories up to and including June 30, 1988?"

Mautino: "Yes, Sir."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, on the Amendment."

Cullerton: "Yes, I would rise in support of the Amendment. I
would say that I was initially very reluctant to hold back
this great reform six more months, but Representative
Mautino is very convincing in explaining to me the need for
certain manufacturers of these cans to have an additional

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

- six months to gear up their equipment. So, only because Representative Mautino is so successful in arguing in behalf of this Amendment, do I accept it. I appreciate his efforts. I'd be happy to have it passed.
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' Representative Mautino..."
- Mautino: "After the Amendment, I'd like to make a comment. After the voting."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "This Bill, Representative Cullerton, has a Fiscal Note requested that has not been filed.

 Representative Mautino, for what reason do you rise?"
- Nautino: "I would just like to thank the Sponsor of this legislation for being so cooperative in providing for the necessary Amendments to make everything work. Thank you, Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, did you seek recognition on the issue of a Fiscal Note? He did not seek recognition, Representative Cullerton. So, the Bill will be held on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 63, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Maybe Representative McCracken is thinking of another Bill. I believe I talked to him about this, and I indicated... I asked him if they'd look at the Bill, and since it was drastically amended in Committee, I thought there was no need for a Fiscal Note, so... I mean, the Bill just bans the manufacture of disposable tops on cans in Illinois after June 30th, 1988, and there's probably no

37th Legislative Day

- April 29, 1987
- need for a Fiscal Note. I thought that we had that understanding. If we don't, then maybe there's some new rules."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "My staff has looked at the Bill as amended and will... he's right. I was thinking of another Bill. We'll withdraw the Fiscal Note request."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Mr. Clerk, withdraw the Fiscal

 Note. And the Bill can now be moved to Third Reading.

 House Bill 63, Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the

 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 63, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to coroners. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed or Amendments filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No... No Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 lost
 in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by
 Representative McCracken."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."
- "The Bill, as passed Committee, abolished the office McCracken: of coroner in any county of more than 600,000 in population and transferred those duties to the medical examiner. Amendment would require a referendum in order to make that The referendum can be initiated either by a decision. county board resolution or a petition by 10 percent or more of the registered voters in that county. If the referendum were held and was successful in abolishing the office the medical examiner requirements would coroner. And I move its adoption." retained.
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 63. And on that question, the Gentleman from... that was Amendment #2 to House Bill 63.

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Representative Cullerton, on the Amendment."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

- Cullerton: "Now, your Amendment would require a county-wide referendum at the expiration of the term of the current coroner in the counties that this Bill affects. Would this referendum be automatic, or would it require some affirmative action by the county board?"
- McCracken: "It would require action, but it could be either by county board resolution or by a petition by 10 percent or more of the registered voters."
- Cullerton: "How does this Amendment differ from current law. If
 you know? In other words, can't this referendum be... come
 about under current law if there was a request by the
 county board or by a sufficient number of petitions to be
 signed? Isn't that the current law?"
- McCracken: "You know, we... we... you and I spoke about this a day or two ago, and I... and I said at that time that it could be done without a change in the law. Candidly, I don't know that answer, as I reflect on it."
- Cullerton: "Normally, I would say... I would be happy to the Amendment, because if I had some assurance from you that there was going to be a referendum, then that's the way to do it. Rather than have us abolish it by a state statute. The problem was, and the reason why the Bi11 was originally introduced was because... mγ understanding was, it was necessary for the county board to have taken affirmative action to allow the people to have the referendum, and the county board members got up and walked out of the meeting and never voted on the subject. Maybe I'm mistaken."

McCracken: "No, that's not correct."

Cullerton: "Okay. I got that from a press report from a local."

37th Legislative Day April 29, 1987

McCracken: "Right. Right."

Cullerton: "That was inaccurate?"

McCracken: "Correct. You are referring to an incident where county board member's walked out when a Motion was made to appoint to that office, a medical doctor."

Cullerton: "I see."

McCracken: "There was not a purported change to the medical examiner system."

Cullerton: "So, it's your understanding that there is... there is a desire to have a referendum on this subject matter."

McCracken: "That's my understanding, but I would not make that representation as a part of seeking support for the Bill."

Cullerton: "Well, I think that's good enough for me, so I'll be
happy to support the Amendment. Madam Speaker? Madam
Speaker, I would support the Amendment that's been offered
to my Bill by Representative McCracken."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House
Bill 63 be adopted?" All those in favor say 'aye', all
those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there
any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Floor Amendment #3 would apply to all counties in Illinois. This same procedure for determining the question of whether a county wishes to switch to a medical examiner, that is, on the petition of 10 percent or more of the registered voters of the county in question, and/or by county board resolution. The referendum could be held in any county in the state, and not just in counties over 600,000 that do not have medical examiners. I move its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Amendment #3 to House Bill 63. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton.

Cullerton: "Okay, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

McCracken: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Now, is it your intent, with Amendment #3, to knock out Amendment #2 that you had just offered?"

McCracken: "That will be the effect, yes."

Cullerton: "Okay. Now, does Amendment #3 apply to all counties, or is it all counties which is a non-home rule?"

McCracken: "There's no reference to home rule. There's only one
home rule county in the state. That home rule county
already has the medical examiner system.

Cullerton: Well, on this particular Amendment. ages beyond the scope of the original Bill. really wav original Bill was only designed to affect any county 600.000, and the reason for that is because as counties get larger, there's a greater need for the people to have the right to have a referendum as to whether or not thev want to abolish the coroner and have a medical examiner. Just because the office itself gets much larger. And indeed, in Committee, Amendment #1 was offered to have the Bill to the whole state and it was soundly defeated. don't believe that the Coroners' Association. for example. in any way be in favor of this Amendment, and I just would don't think it's needed, and it really goes beyond... beyond the scope of my Amendment, so... my Bill, so it... I would respectfully indicate that I don't support this Amendment. I did support Amendment #2. The other thing is that this Amendment would knock out Amendment #2, which I... which you offered and which I accepted. So for that reason... Madam Speaker, for that reason I oppose Amendment #3."

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "The Sponsor opposes Amendment #3. Is there any further discussion on this matter? If not, Representative NcCracken, to close."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm asking for a Roll Call vote on this Amendment, since it's opposed. I'd like to say this. There was some controversy regarding a county board and whether a medical doctor would be appointed to fill the office of coroner. The Sponsor of the Bill has indicated that his understanding of that event that the issue in question was whether a medical examiner system would be adopted by that county board, opposed to a coroner. I respectfully suggest to him and to Members of this Body that what he interpreted as an issue of substance, that is, whether a medical examiner will be the officer responsible for the coroner's duties or not at issue. What at issue was a decision to appoint or a decision whether to appoint a medical to the office of coroner. There was no suggestion made by the Sponsor of that proposal that the office was ineffectual or that we were in danger of doing a disservice public bу operating a coroner respectfully submit that what he took to be matter o f substance. in fact. was a political issue. which somewhat divisive, but again, did admittedly, was necessarily concern itself with this issue, which the... which the Gentleman seeks to effect. It was not of medical examiner versus coroner. So, I submit to you that if the Gentleman feels that this is a aood idea for does require a front door referendum, one county and it that it cannot be done without the successful conclusion of that referendum. If that's good enough for one county where the issue was not even raised, then it's good enough for all counties in the State of Illinois. Let them

37th Legislative Day

April 29. 1987

abolish the coroner system if they choose. Let them ao to a medical examiner system if they choose, and I submit one following thing: You know, there are other jurisdictions in this state where there are a number of unnatural deaths. Other jurisdictions with high crime rates. East St. has a high crime rate, a violent crime rate. That is under coroner system. as I understand it. Peoria has that same... not maybe as high a rate, but certainly, a big city considerátion governs that county's decision whether or not to go with the coroner system. In contrast, I would submit that the suburbs, with some exceptions. are mare That they do not, in fact, have the property crime state. numbers of violent crime and unnatural death other jurisdictions in this state have. So. I think that there is a case to be made for uniform application referendum, and I respectfully ask that this it he adopted."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to House

 Bill 63 be adopted?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all

 those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative

 Mautino, one minute to explain your vote."
- Mautino: "I was... Thank you, Madam Speaker. I was under the impression that the coroners throughout the State οf to this legislation. Illinois opposed were and the my 'ne' Coroners' Association. I guess that vote will reflect the fact that if there is a problem within one specifically DuPage County that county. is home rule provision, they should have the authority within their home rule provisions to resolve this themselves. I don't think it's fair to provide the cost and expenditures an an issue which does not address anything but election on DuPage County. And I stand in opposition."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Representative

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"

McCracken: "To explain my vote."

Speaker Breslin: "One minute to explain your vote, Sir."

McCracken: "In response to the Gentleman. This issue concerns It's a referendum which may or may all of us. conducted as either 10 percent of the registered voters or the county board sees fit. It's a referendum which may may not pass, as the voters of that county see fit. certainly an issue of general application to this If the Sponsor of the Bill contends that the coroner system outdated and ineffective and represents a danger to the public safety, then that certainly exists in East St. Peoria. Rockford. any other jurisdiction in the There is no reason to confuse what the perceives to be a substance issue with what, in fact, generates this entire dill, and that was a political dispute."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer, one minute to explain your vote."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would urge all Members who, outside the County of DuPage to vote 'no' on this Bill. We haven't had any contact from any of our coroners, county boards, county administrators or other citizens that I'm aware of that would ask for this authority. Why would we want to do this when no one out there wants it? There's already a statutory authority to eliminate the office of coroner in those counties that elect to do so without the creation of the office of medical examiner. There's no reason to vote for this Amendment, every reason to vote against it, and I would urge you to do that."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 46 voting 'aye', 57 voting 'no', and 4 voting 'present', and the Amendment

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

is lost. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 67, Representative
Young. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 67, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Petka and Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Petka."

Petka: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. The purpose for this

Amendment is very simple. That is, to ensure that there

would not be a mandated transcript at a preliminary hearing

in counties where there has been specific orders not to.

The Sponsor agrees with this Amendment, and I would ask for

its adoption."

Speaker 3reslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment \$1 to House Bill 63 (sic - 67). And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment \$1 be adopted?'

Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "I didn't hear the explanation."

Speaker Breslin: "You're supposed to be listening, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "But. I can't hear."

Speaker 3reslin: "Representative Petka, could you re-explain in short form what this Amendment does... Sponsor agrees to?"

Petka: "What this basically does is simply restore the intent of the law back to the way it exists right now. That's really the bottom line."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is • Shall Amendment ₽1 be

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

adopted?* All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$2, offered by Representative
Petka and Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative... Representative Petka."

Petka: "Thank you, again, Madam Chairman. The purpose of this

Amendment is simply to avoid an unjust result. The Sponsor

does agree with... once again with this Amendment, and I

would urge its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 67. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll now go back to House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. House Bill 2175. Representative McCracken, it was understood that there was a Fiscal Note filed on that.

Do you agree to withdraw that Fiscal Note. The Gentleman indicates that he agrees to withdraw the Fiscal Note.

Would you read the Bill a second time, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2175, a Bill for an Act authorizing certain loans. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Leverenz.

Representative Leverenz. You had an announcement to make,

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Sir?"

- Leverenz: "Just to remind the Membership the Appropriations I

 Committee will meet at 6:00 p.m. this evening on three

 Bills."
- Speaker Breslin: "That is not listed on the Calendar, Ladies and Gentlemen, but Appropriations I..."
- Leverenz: "Now I find out it is."
- Speaker Breslin: "Is scheduled to meet at 6:00 p.m. this evening. Appropriations I. Ladies and Gentlemen, we're now going to the Order of the Speaker's Table appearing on page 18 Calendar. Under those Bills, Special Subject Matter Call for those Bills dealing with non-profit organizations. Page 18a Speaker's Table. House Resolution 104. Representative Madiyan - Williams. Representative Williams. I would like you to present House Resolution Does it need to be read, Mr. Clerk? No.
- Williams: "Okay. First, I'd like to seek leave to have House Resolution 104 and 163 taken on one Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams has asked leave to have House Resolution 104 and House Resolution 163 discussed and heard on one Roll Call. They deal with the same subject matter. Is there any objection? Representative 30wman... has no objection. Is there any objection? Hearing none, the Gentleman has leave. Proceed, Representative Williams."
- Williams: "Okay. House Resolutions 104 and 163 both are, what you call, recommendations to Congress that they reconsider new rules that are being proposed by the Internal Revenue Service that affects 501-(c)3 organizations' ability to do various lobbying activities, particularly what's called grass roots activity. This Resolutions asked the Congress to reconsider the rules that have been acted by the IRS in hopes that it will allow for tax exempt organizations to

37th Legislative Day

April 29. 1987

areas

lobbying?"

their present practice without being subject to loss of their tax exempt status and possibly being even fined back as far as 10 years. With that, I'm... "

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams has asked for the adoption of House Resolution 104. And on that question. the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken.ª

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor vield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

McCracken: "In fact, Representative, 104 and 163 do differ in some respect. because 163 applies specifically to charitable organizations, and 104 has more general application. Is that correct?"

"I believe that is correct, that they Speaker Breslin: under House Resolution 104. would the Legal McCracken: "And Services Corporation... strike that. Under the rules by the IRS, would the Legal Services Corporation proposed

Williams: "I'm not really sure, because basically, I was principally sponsor of 163. We're trying to move them both I do believe, though... okay... " together.

be disallowed from using any of its monies for

McCracken: "Okay. Let me... Let me suggest this. Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

"I know we gave leave to do this, but since the Gentleman was more familiar with 163. I'd ask to divide the question and take them separately."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has asked for... has made Motion to divide the question. Is there any objection to that Motion at this point? Hearing no objection, the divide the question will be honored. Motion to Representative Bowman, on the question, the question House Resolution 104."

Bowman: "Yes. 104?"

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "Yes."

Madam Chairman ... Speaker, rather, Bowman: "Yes. Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the proposed IRS rules closely follow the pattern attempted three years ago by the Federal Office of Management and Budget. DMs. at that time, proposed cutting off federal funds t a anv not-for-profit organization that lobbied on social policy, legislation or agency appropriations. That lobbying sanction, known as the OMBA 122 Rules, were substantially watered down after Federal and State Legislators intervened to defend lobbying as a useful information service. Two themes of the OMS proposal re-emerge in the current IRS proposal. These are retroactive applicability in tainting. Incredibly, the IRS is proposing that the new rules he retroactive to 1977. Ladies and 1977. Gentlemen. Any non-profit agency or retroactive. non-profit funding source such as a private foundation who uses its assets for lobbying or use their funds or staff for lobbying since 1977. lose their non-profit status for this entire The tainting concept relates to all costs associated with the annual reports. newsletters. copying equipment that includes any mention of Bills, enactments or subject area, legislative reports, and even one corner of the materials. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I submit that the issue is not who is covered or who isn't covered. but the basic underlying fairness of the rule that they are proposing that any ... any expenditure, whatsoever, the entire contribution to that agency. And furthermore, they're saying that if this occurred at any time since 1977. even i f on only one occasion. that all the not-for-profit status would be disallowed for the entire period since 1977. That is not fair. It doesn't make any difference who it applies to. We should adopt

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Resolution 104."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, on Resolution 104.

Representative McCracken, do you seek recognition again?"

McCracken: "Yes, I do."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

McCracken: "Since... Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

McCracken: "Representative, back to 104. The... I guess my question again is, after you've had time to think about it, do you know whether or not legal services corporation would be subject this IRS action?"

Bowman: "Mr. McCracken, I cannot... absolutely cannot make that representation. It is my understanding, however... "

McCracken: "Who's the Sponsor?"

Bowman: "... That this applies to all non-profit organizations, so unless there is an exception in the IRS Code that puts it in a different category, then I would assume that it would apply, but I can't absolutely say that. I wasn't anticipating your question. So, I'm sorry I don't have a ready response for you. The point of my remarks, however..."

McCracken: "Okay. Let me ask this, then. Is the scope of the IRS proposed rules limited to those which have federal funding? That is, that not only would they suffer the possible loss of tax exempt status, but they may also suffer the loss of federal funding. Is it limited to tax exempt entities which receive federal funds? Is that... Is that the scope of the IRS action?"

Bowman: "I believe so, because the OHB A-122 rules did deal with the broader issue. This is the IRS, and I do not believe the IRS has it within the scope of its authority to withdraw federal support. As much as they may like to in some cases, they don't have that within their authority.

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

So. I believe it deals only with the tax exempt status."

- McCracken: "Well, I direct you to the second paragraph of your Resolution which provides, in pertinent part, that the organizations lobbying since 1977 would lose their non-profit status for this entire period of time with the threat of losing federal funding. So, my question is, is it limited, or is the scope of the IRS action limited to those which have federal funding, in whole or part?"
- Bowman: "I believe that it is a... broadly construed. I think
 the operative word in that clause is the threat, the word
 'threat', that if a not-for-profit organization were to
 lose its not-for-profit status, it would then be unable to
 capture private monies which, then, in many cases, are used
 as matching monies for federal programs and federal
 funding. So, I think the intent of that 'whereas' clause
 relates to those kinds of matching programs."

McCracken: "Well, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall House...

Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "To the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Sir, you have already spoken twice on this issue."

McCracken: "No, I haven't. I asked to divide the question. You divided the question. I got another Sponsor. I asked a question. I will address the Bill briefly."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

McCracken: "Thank you. I believe this comes down a philosophical issue, and I think it's this: Should the taxpayers be funding paying for special interest lobbying? Oftentimes, these interests are most... most admirable. Oftentimes, they are most controversial. There has been an ongoing battle between the legal services corporation and the

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

administration which I think is well-founded. question there is, again, should be paying, as taynavers. money for a group to lobby the Federal Government? Federal Government funds it. The Federal Government decides whether i t lives or dies, and the Federal Government gets lobbied by its own money. It happens a11 too often. It happens in Congress even more. I think we should oppose the Resolution."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams, to close."

- Williams: "Basically, we've heard the argument. I'd just move

 'do pass'. I believe this is a fine Resolution. That it

 deals with the purpose of trying to make it still possible

 for organizations to have their opinion heard, and that

 this is a very important sort of basic amendment rights to

 have redress of our government, and I do move 'do pass'."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Resolution 104 be All those in favor vote "ave", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk wi11 take the record. On this question, there are 75 voting 'ave', 34 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. the House does adopt Resolution 104. Representative Laurino, it's too late to be recorded, but the record will that. had you voted. you would like to have voted 'ave'. House Resolution 163. Representative Williams. Representative Williams."
- Williams: "Yeah. Again, we've already heard the explanation of this Resolution. It's basically the same. It's even less limited than the previous one we've just passed. This is one, particularly for groups in my district, and I would hope that we would be able to give me a favorable Roll Call based upon the same explanation we've just heard. I so move."

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 163. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, •Shall Resolution 163 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye'. all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the "aves" have it, and the Resolution is adopted. Now going back to the Regular Order of Call under Speaker's Table. House Resolution 27. Out of the record. Resolution 94. Representative Ronan. Representative Ronan. Representative Ronan, you asked that this Resolution be called? 94."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. Yeah, this is House Resolution Ronan: What happened is that ... the reason that I'd like to see the House favorably adopt this Resolution, we've had situation in the Illinois Department of Tranportation where there has been a number of serious accidents throughout the I'm aware of one particular incident where a vears. maintenance employee was killed about two months ago, and that really spurned the Resolution. My attitude is that I think the Department really should be looking at procedures for protecting its people who work out there on highways. They're very dangerous jobs, and I'm just urging the Department to re-establish its Safety Council. internal mechanism, but include on that council people that the maintenance capacities and who have actually work in got the background and experience in order to hopefully establish procedures to protect those employees. So I move for the adoption of House Resolution 94."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 94. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Does the Resolution, Representative, provide for appointments to the council?"

37th Legislative Day

April 29. 1937

Ronan: "No. I think the only requirement I had in the Resolution was to make sure that they took employees from the department who work in the maintenance section. So that they have on-line employees."

McCracken: "Okav. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Resolution 94 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is... and the Resolution is adopted. House Bills Second... Senate Bills Second Reading, page 16 on the Calendar. (Sic Senate Bill 141) Representative Dunn."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. I think Representative Barnes actually wants to
 table an Amendment first before we proceed, here."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes."

 Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First

 of all, we find that we have to table Committee Amendment

 #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to table Committee Amendment #1. And on that question. is there anv discussion? Hearing none, the question is, *Shall Amendment #1... Committee Amendment #1 be tabled?* All those in favor 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Committee Amendment #1 is tabled. Are there any further Amendments. Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 and 3 were withdrawn. Floor

 Amendment #4, offered by Representative Barnes and Dunn."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In short, this is a Senate Bill which has been hustled over here to put this Amendment on because we have a mandate from the Federal Government to enact this

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

compliance requirement in the area of child support or we will lose 21 million dollars in federal support requirement in the area of child support where we will lose 21 million dollars. The requirement lousv. Amendment is lousv. but the price tag is 21 million dollars, and it's your choice. You either vote to - - capture 21 million dollars and vote for a bad Amendment, or to kill the Amendment and you lose 21 million dollars, and the Department of Public Aid wants you to vote to capture the monev."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn has reluctantly moved to adopt Amendment #4 to Senate Sill 141. And on that question, the Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn."

Pullen: "Would the Gentleman please tell us what this bad

Amendment does?"

"The bad Amendment... the bad Amendment provides that each Dunn: child support payment which is an order by the court. In other words, if the court orders 25 dollars a week child support payments to be made, each one of those 25 shall be a judgment against the payor, and that has nothing to do with whether there's an arrearage whether the current child support payments shall all be a So. whoever pays child support will iudament. iudaments entered against them, and to get them released, they must go to the payee, the ex-spouse, and release or go to the source of payments, the circuit clerk, and provide some satisfactory evidence to whoever they have to deal with after they have made payments. And of course, it will be a very difficult matter to enforce. The realtors are not happy, the ... none of the bar associations are happy, I'm not happy. I'm not happy especially with the

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Federal Government, that says doing this will get us 21 million dollars which we'd otherwise lose in the form of a penalty to the Department of Public Aid and... ADC payments."

Pullen: "Could the Gentleman perhaps estimate how many millions of dollars this is going to cost in additional court costs to the taxpayers every year?"

Dunn: "It's very difficult to estimate, because I'm not familiar with torren ... system in Cook County about how titles are searched, but I know a little bit about downstate. When someone sells a house, there will be an abstract of title brought to date, and the abstractor will be required to to the circuit clerk's office in every instance to check to see if their child support order is entered and what the balance and the arrearage is, and they aren't going do that for free. So, every time a piece of real estate changes hands, there's going to be a cost which, in view, will not be incremental. It will be quite large, and Lord only knows. the cost state-wide might exceed this federal penalty, but I don't know that."

Pullen: "Can you tell us what the experience is in other states? Are there other states that have fallen for this mandate?" Dunn: "I... I don't know. All I know about the mandate 1984. there were eight federal mandates that were imposed upon us by the Federal Government, and last fall. it's my understanding that United States Senator Bradley from New Jersey concocted this mandate and had it law, and instead of the other eight mandates, gave us at least a two year time frame in which to comply or suffer a penalty. This latecoming mandate was tied into the time frame with the other eight mandates, and so, we have a May 1 deadline. If this Bill is not law by May 1, the State of Illinois stands to lose 21 million dollars in federal

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

support."

Pullen: "This is not a court order. Is that correct? This is an Act of Congress that we are facing?"

Dunn: "It's an Act of ... yes..."

Pullen: "Something like the speed limit law?"

Dunn: "Public Act 50... Public Law 509 and Public Law... 1984

number, I forget. It is an Act of Congress. That's

correct."

Pullen: "And the deadline for all states is May 1st, but you don't know what..."

Dunn: "May 1, 1987, I believe. Well, the deadline will vary from state to state because it's articulated in a convoluted way that boils down to something like four months after the opening day of a Legislative Session which commenced after the effective date of the law. In the case of Illinois, it's May 1, 1987."

Pullen: "Could I just ask for a little more clarification as to what the Amendment does. Is it wy understanding that a child support order, under this Amendment, if we were to adopt this and not to defy the Federal Government and tell them that they're wrong, that if every child support order would be a judgment against the person who is ordered to pay..."

Dunn: "That's correct."

Pullen: "And that he would have to show that he had paid it in order to get the judgment released respecting any of... respecting clear title to any of his property."

Dunn: "I think you grasp the problem exactly. Each weekly or each monthly installment becomes a new judgment, and to get it released, you go to the circuit clerk's office — or your abstractor does — and get whatever the abstractor will require, a certified copy of the payment record, and when you've done that, by the time you get that back to the

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1937

abstractor and it's two weeks later and you're ready to close again or two more payments and two more judgments and you start over. It's going to be very, very difficult. It's a goofy Mandate. There's just no doubt about that, and maybe it will be unwound at some point, but I think that the issue is clear and simple, that the price tag for failure to act is 21 million dollars. And Hay 1 is the deadline. So it has... "

Pullen: "Twenty-one pieces of silver."

Dunn: "This is a Senate Amendment, also. This Bill has to go back to the Senate for concurrence should this Amendment be adopted."

Dunn: "Representative Barnes, to close."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In closing, I would like to point out that the Illinois Bar Association, the Chicago Bar Association and the real estate attorneys have met for over a week on this, and this is an Agreed Amendment. I would urge for its passage."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to House
Bill 141 (sic - Senate Bill 141) be adopted?' All those in
favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the
opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment
is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are preparing to adjourn. Are there any Resolutions? Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House... House Joint Resolution 63, offered by Representative Curran. House Resolution 313, McNamara; 314, McNamara; 315, Johnson; 316 Peterson and Pedersen; 317, Piel; 318, Panayotovich — et al."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich. Representative

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 Both sides of the aisle have examined the Resolutions.

 They are agreed, and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Cnair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted.

 General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 62, offered by Representative McAuliffe; and House Resolution 312, offered by Representative Daniels."
- Speaker Breslin: "Announcements. Representative Huff."
- Huff: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. The Select Committee on Local School District Reorganization meeting has been cancelled."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie. Announcements.

 Representative Currie. An announcement."
- Currie: "This is not an announcement, but a request to waive the posting requirements so Representative Kirkland's Bill, House Bill 2339, can be heard in the State Government Administration Committee this afternoon at 2:00. I believe he's cleared the request with his Minority Spokesman."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved that the posting rules be suspended so that House Bill 2339 can be heard in State Government Administration today. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the posting rules are waived. Representative Wolf, for an announcement. Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. For purposes of an announcement. The Personnel and Pensions Committee will meet at 4:00 this afternoon in Room D-l."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich, for an announcement."

 Terzich: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I'd like to waive the posting

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

requirements on House Bill 2228, which is presently in the Executive Committee, and I'd like to waive it so it could be heard in the Veterans' Subcommittee this evening at 6:00 p.m."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved that House Resolution... House Bill 2228 can be heard in the Veterans' Subcommittee tonight in the... of the Executive Committee. Is there any objection? Representative... No objection being heard, the posting rules are waived. Representative Shaw, for an announcement."

Shaw: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I just wanted to make the announcement that I will be showing an HMO film in Room 122-B immediately... immediately following this Session."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, for an announcement.

Representative Parke, for an announcement."

Parke: "Thank you, Ms. Chairman. I understand that the Local School Reorganization Committee scheduled for 6:30 tonight is supposed to be cancelled. That's what the Chairman just told me a little while ago. Yet, no announcement's been made, and if, in fact, that is going to happen, then... Did they announce that already?"

Speaker Breslin: "Who's the Chairman?"

Parke: "Mr. Huff... Representative Huff."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Huff just said it was cancelled."

Parke: "Did he cancel it?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes."

Parke: "Thank you very much. That's all I wanted to clarify."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman, for an announcement."

Representative Hoffman, for an announcement."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Due to the movement of legislation to be heard in a Wednesday Revenue Committee to a Subcommittee on Tuesday evening at 6:00 p.m., I talked with the Chairman, and I'd like to waive the seven day

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

posting requirement so that House Bill 1674 could be heard in the appropriate Subcommittee at 6:00 p.m. on Tuesday evening."

- Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion on 1674. Ťς there any objection? Hearing none, the Rill posting rule is waived. Committee OΩ Assignments. Committee on Assignments. I see. The General Resolutions have been sent to the Committee on Assignments. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have a Special Death Resolution that will read. At which time we will stand in adjournment. Ar-Clerk, read the Resolution."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 208, offered by Representative Whereas. the Members of this Assembly Were saddened to learn οf the death of former Bernard C. Prutinski, who represented the Representative. 27th District in the Illinois House from 1950 to 1954: whereas, many in Chicago will long remember him for helping save that city's St. Stanislaus Kostka Catholic Church, an historic landmark, from destruction by devising a way to reroute the Kennedy Expressway around it; and whereas, Mr. Prutinski was born in Chicago on June 7. 1906. receiving his education through the parochial and public schools there and attending Lewis Institute and Armour Institute in Civil Engineering, which became his chosen occupation in life; and whereas, in 1928, Bernard Prutinski married Helen R. Gierzynski and the couple had three children, Bernard, Joseph and Grace Ann, all of whom survive; and after serving in the 76th and 78th (sic - 67th and 68th) General Assemblies, Mr. Prutinski went on to be elected alderman of Chicago's 32nd Ward on the north side, a position he held from 1955 to 1959; and whereas. in addition to his dedicated work as a public servant both on the state and local levels, Bernard Prutinski also

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

active community leader as a member of numerous civic and fraternal organizations; therefore, be it resolved by House of Representatives of the 85th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we express our sorrow State Representative and former Chicago former Alderman Bernard C. Prucinski, and further express our heartfelt sympathy to his family, and ne it further resolved that suitable copies of this Preamble and be presented to them, and Resolution as a indication of our sorrow, the House will stand adjourned."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barger."

Barger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I doubt very much that anyone here remembers Ar. Prutinski. He served here 30 to 33 years ago, but this request was brought to my attention persons who are working at the Parkway Terrace Nursing Home in Wheaton, where Mr. Prutinski spent the last few The ladies working there said that they had adopted vearshim as a member of their family, he was such a wonderful person, and that it was such a pleasure to have had him there to help keep up the spirits of the people in that I feel that we all are honored by having allowed to serve in the same House where he served so many years ago. I would like to ask that all of the names Members of the House be added as Cosponsors to this the Resolution."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves that all Members he added Resolution. Hearing no objection, the Gentleman this has leave. Representative Barger has moved the adoption of the Death Resolution, and allowing one minute for Perfunctory Session. this House will stand adjourned in honor of the former Representative. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House stands adjourned

37th Legislative Day

April 29, 1987

until 2:00 tomorrow."

O'Brien: "Senate Bills First Reading. Senate 3ill 62. Clerk offered by Representative Piel, a Bill for an Act to amend the Prairie State 2000 Authority Act. First Reading of the Senate Bill 201, Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substance Act. First Reading of the Bill. Committee Report. Representative Laurino, Chairman of the Committee on Insurance, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 28, 1987, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 1592, 2453 and 2605; 'do pass Consent Calendar* House Bill 187; *do pass as amended Consent Calendar' House Bill 1222; 'do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' House Bill 124 and 1717; 'Interim Study Calendar' House Bills 751, 1491, 2143 and 2491. Being no further business, the House now stands adjourned."

STATE OF ILLINOIS 05TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

APRIL 29, 1987

HB-0027 SECOND READING	PAGE	27
HB-0049 SECOND READING	PAGE	27
HB-0062 SECOND READING	PAGE	28
HB-0062 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	30
HB-0063 SECOND READING	PAGE	31
HB-0067 SECOND READING	PAGE	38
HB-0088 SECOND READING	PAGE	7
H3-0089 SECOND READING	PAGE	7
HB-0106 SECOND READING	PAGE	8
HB-0172 SECOND READING	PAGE	8
H3-0181 SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-0246 SECOND READING	PAGE	11
HB-0248 SECOND READING	PAGE	11
HB-0272 SECOND READING	PAGE	11
HB-0351 SECOND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0396 SECOND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0406 SECOND READING	PAGE	13
HB-0425 SECOND READING	PAGE	14
HB-0443 SECOND READING	PAGE	15
HA-0457 SECOND READING	PAGE	15
HB-0507 SECOND READING	PAGE	16
HB-0509 SECOND READING	PAGE	16
H8-0516 SECOND READING	PAGE	17
HB-0558 SECOND READING	PAGE	17
HB-0560 SECOND READING	PAGE	19
HB-0613 SECOND READING .	₽AGĒ	19
HB-0747 SECOND READING	PAGE	20
HB-0994 SECOND READING	PAGE	23
HB-1018 SECOND READING	PAGE	24
HB-1072 SECOND READING	PAGE	25
HB-1108 SECOND READING	PAGE	24
HB-1108 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	24
HB-1109 SECOND READING	PAGE	24
HB-1306 SECOND READING	PAGE	26
HB-1351 SECOND READING	PAGE	26
HB-2173 SECOND READING	PAGE	27
HB-2175 SECOND READING	PAG≿	39
SB-0141 SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0141 RECALLED	PAGE	47
S3-0141 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	5
HR-0094 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	46
HR-0104 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	40
HR-0163 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	40
HR-0208 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	54

SUBJECT MATTER .

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN P	AGE 1
PRAYER - FATHER JAMES O'SHEA	PAGE 1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE F	PAGE 2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE P	PAGE 2
COMMITTÉE REPORTS F	PAGE 5
AGREED RESOLUTIONS P	PAGE 51
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS P	PAGE 52
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE 55
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT P	AGE 56