7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

- Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The House will come to order. Members will be in their seats. The Chaplain for today is Dr. Robert Langworthy, Chaplain of Monmouth College, Honmouth, Illinois. Dr. Langworthy is a guest of Representative David Hultgren. The guests in the balcony please rise and join us in the invocation."
- Reverend Langworthy: "Let us pray to the Lord. Lord God. Almighty, Governor of the universe, fountain of justice and whose will is good and whose law is truth, bless and guide these Legislators that this day and always may, with courage and integrity serve the people of thev Illinois and the welfare of our state. Grant them the largeness of vision and the concern for all persons, to work together in mutual support and to strive wisely justice, fairness and human development. Send your spirit of grace and truth upon Governor he addresses the General Assembly today and governs the state for another term of office. Mav he and these Legislators find common ground in a zeal to answer the cry of the needy, to oppose the forces of violence and evil and to fulfill the potential of the creative people of Illinois. Ultimate governance belongs to You. O Lord. You are we all accountable. Hay this Body, through its political action, realize Your iust in faithfulness to merciful will the people and to the glory of Your great name. Amen."
- Speaker McPike: "3e led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Stern."
- Stern: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of
 America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation
 under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

 Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Piel."

7th Legislative Day

- February 4, 1987
- Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Would the record show that Representative McAuliffe is excused today?"
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Matijevich.

 Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect the excused absence due to illness in the family of Representative Braun and the excused absence of Representative Huff."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank... The record will so indicate. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. 113 Members answering a Roll Call, a quorum is present. Representative Greiman in the Chair."
- Speaker Greiman: "Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution @10, 'Be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 85th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that the two Houses shall convene in Joint Session on Wednesday, February 7th (sic February 4th), 1987, at the hour of 12:00 noon for the purpose of hearing His Excellency, Governor James R. Thompson present to the General Assembly his report on the condition of the state, as required by Article V, Section 13 of the Constitution of the State of Illinois."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Alright. Mr. Clerk, Resolutions. Mr. Rea in the Chair."
- Speaker Rea: "Mr. Clerk, would you please read House Resolution 48."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 48, offered by Representative Rea, "Whereas it has come to the attention of this Body that the Sesser-Valier High School Red Devil Marching Band has been invited to participate in the 1987 Kentucky Derby

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

and whereas the 1987 Kentucky Derby Festival consists of the Cavalcade of Bands, an indoor competition to be held on April 29, 1987, with a maximum of 12 bands to selected to compete in the parade which will be held on April 30, 1987, with a total of 20 bands competing: the Sesser-Valier High School Red Devil Marching Band will be representing the State of Illinois in the 1987 Kentucky Derby Festival; and whereas, during the past Red Devil Marching Band has been invited to the perform at various activities in the 1984 and 1985 and invited to the Mardi Gras parade in New Orleans; and whereas the members of the band include 112 of the 282 at Sesser-Valier High School; therefore, be it students resolved by the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois congratulate the Sesser-Valier High School Red Devil Marching Band on its recent invitation to participate in the 1987 Kentucky Derby Festival that we commend members of the band for their commitment to excellence and that we extend our best wishes to them for continued success in their future endeavors; and be it further that suitable copy of this preamble presented to Henry Taylor, band director of Resolution be the Sesser-Valier High School Marching Band. **

Speaker Rea: "On House Resolution 44 (sic - 48). all those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed. Motion Resolution adopted. At this time, it gives me great honor to introduce the Sesser-Valier High School Red Devil Marching Band officers and their band director. guite an honor to have them going and representing the State of Illinois and at this time, I would like to present the director of the band where they have... and they have won many honors throughout the nation over the years. Αt

7th Legislative Day

- February 4, 1987
- this time, I'd like to present to you Henry 'Skip' Taylor."
- Henry Taylor: "Thank you, Jim. We'd like to thank you for this honor and I'd like to introduce the president of our band, Jamey Vamzandt."
- Jamie Vansent: "I'd like to thank this Assembly for passing such a Resolution. I'd like to introduce my officers. Lauri 'Veach', Kristie Kelly, Christa 'Earnheart' and Chris 'Stubblefield', and it's really an honor and I thank you for letting us represent this great state. Thank you."
- Speaker Rea: "We want to thank you for a great job and we know the State of Illinois will be well represented at the Kentucky Derby. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Greiman in the Chair. Speaker

 Madigan in the Chair."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Doorkeeper for an announcement."
- Doorkeeper: "Mr. Speaker, the Honorable President Rock and Members of the Senate are at the door and seek admission to the chamber."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Doorkeeper. Mr. Doorkeeper, please admit the Honorable Senators. As designated, House Joint Resolution 810, the hour of 12:00 noon having arrived, the Joint Session of the 85th General Assembly will now come to order. Will the Members of the House and our esteemed guests from the Senate please take their seats? Mr. Clerk, is a guorum of the House present?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A quorum of the House is present."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr• President, is a quorum of the Senate present in this chamber?"
- President Rock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A quorum of the Senate is present."
- Speaker Madigan: "There being a quorum of the House and a quorum of the Senate in attendance, this Joint Session is

7th Legislative Day

- February 4, 1987
- convened. The Chair recognizes the Majority Leader, Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Clerk read the Joint Session Resolution #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Joint Session Resolution #1, resolved that the
 Committee of ten be appointed, five from the House by the
 Speaker of the House and five from the Senate by the
 Committee on Committees of the Senate to await upon His
 Excellency, Governor James R. Thompson and invite him to
 address the Joint Assembly."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. those opposed by saying 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. to the Resolution, the following are appointed as escort the Chief Executive. the House are Representative Giorgi, appointments from please escort the Governor. Representative Granberg. please escort the Governor. Granhera. Representative Flowers. please escort the Governor. Representative Hoffman. Hoffman. Mr. Representative Hoffman. Representative Tuerk. Mr. Tuerk. Mr. Tuerk, please escort the Governor. The appointments by Senator Rock are Senator Newhouse. Mr. Newhouse, Senator Severns, Senator Delvia, Senator Davidson... hey, and Senator Madigan. Will the Committee of Escort please convene at the rear of the chamber and await His Excellency, the Governor. Ladies and Gentlemen, would you please take your chairs? in your chairs? Would the Members please be in their chairs? Could we have your attention? Ladies and Gentlemen• we are ready to begin. Could we have your attention, please? Could we have your attention? Could we have your attention, please? Mr. Burris, would you please

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

take your seat? Mr. Ryan, please take your seat. Let us begin. The Chair would like to acknowledge the presence of certain dignitaries from the Executive Department. First. the Lieutenant Governor, Mr. George Ryan. Lieutenant Governor Ryan. The Secretary of State, Mr. James Edgar. The Attorney General, Mr. Neil Hartigan. Mr. Edgar. Mr. Hartigan. The Comptroller, Mr. Roland Burris. From the Department of Education, Mr. Ted Saunders. Mr. Saunders. And from the Supreme Court, Mr. Justice Miller. Justice Chair recognizes the Doorkeeper. Miller. Mr. Doorkeeper."

- Doorkeeper: "Mr. Speaker, the Honorable Governor of the State of
 Illinois, James Thompson and his party wish to be admitted
 to the chamber."
- Speaker Madigan: "Admit the Honorable Governor. Ladies and Gentlemen, please be in your seats. Could everyone take their seats and the Chair would like to acknowledge two more people. First, the Treasurer of the State of Illinois, Mr. Jerry Cosentino. Mr. Cosentino. Secondly, seated in the gallery at the rear, the First Lady of the State of Illinois, Mrs. Jayne Thompson. Mr. Governor."
- Governor Thompson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Mr. President, Leaders and Members of the General Assembly, my fellow Constitutional Officers and my fellow citizens, today I come before you to deliver my eleventh State of the State Message. I am ten years older, hopefully, ten years wiser and certainly ten years more experienced. In that decade's time, I have reported to you on our strengths and our weaknesses, our battles, our triumphs and our failures. We have come through a lot together, you and I and the people of Illinois. To the bottom line. We are a strong state and getting stronger. Last year at this time, 5,187,000 Illinoisans were working and 8.8 percent were

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

unemployed. Today, 5,231,000 Illinoisans are working and unemployment has dropped to 7.4 percent, the lowest rate in more than five years. And while it is still too high... And while it is still too high in all parts of our state. we can be proud of that accomplishment. We've all achieve it and we are still blessed with the abundance of nature, history and our own efforts, after 169 years of struggle to turn prairie into progress. are strong manufacturing state, but we are more today than ever before a mixture of manufacturing, agriculture, agri-business, high technology services and distribution. We are still an agricultural state, though our farmers, at the peak of their efficiency, despair at the increasing choice of the world to take less rather than more We are, in important ways, building and rebuilding our infrastructure in our transportation system. the subject of a later message and - this is most important - we are a strong and determined and hard working a people as ever inhabited this state. Without qualities, all other advantages, inherited or constructed, will fail us. Three questions confront us as we march into 1987 in the company of our brothers and sisters in 49 other American states. Do we have the will. the intellectual. and political courage to make the right choices for Illinois for us, for our children and for our grandchildren in this year, next year, in the next decade and into the next century? Can we reach a common accord, not just this year, in this Session and by this budget that will Illinois well as we compete against the world? understand that, like individuals and families and churches and veterans* posts and Lions* clubs and all the other institutions that we have formed in our society for its advancement, we have to pay for what we want, not just

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

but for those who follow us. I, for one, refuse to be the last Illinoisan who not only dreams but lives the American dream. And so long as I am your Governor, a privilege you have accorded to only 36 other men 169 I refuse to let you be the last generation of Illinoisans to dream or live the American dream, that succeeding... that each succeeding generation will have the opportunity because their parents cared and sacrificed and because the next generation built upon that caring sacrifice to move ahead. Here. in mν view. Illinois must do, what we must do as а people to move ahead, not just this year, but into the next decade and into the next century, and I cannot overemphasize the of understanding importance that we, the people Illinois, must do this. I agree with the view John Corbelly, the former President of the University of Illinois, who said, "I continue to be struck the fact that we seem unwilling to concentrate on what may be called the two major problems of will. WOLLA about something called political improvement. We worry about the deficiencies of the public sector without recognizing that we are the public. We require a call to leadership, but perhaps most of all, a call to commitment effort on the part not only of those who are supposed to be the saviours, you and I, but also on the part those who are to be saved, our people. Education. wavs it all begins and ends here. Education in its broadest scope civilizes us. It enables us to advance and then to compete. We have come a long way since Abraham Lincoln sounded the call for common public schools more than 150 years ago in Illinois. We are a civilized state and we do more than exist. He have advanced a great deal. But brother, as my Bob Orr.

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

observed in his State of the State speech to our Hoosier neighbors, we are struggling and at the moment losing the global competition to hold and improve our children's standard of living and our future. We recognized this forthrightly in 1985, the year of reform in in Illinois. We recognized that we needed to demand more from our parent, our teachers, our children. our schools, in short, from ourselves. We began the reform teaching and testing and curricula and goals. many other states of the Union, were not content with what education had done for our generation or preceeding generations. We dared not be content and we were right. But reform of education only began in 1985 and we cannot stop now. He cannot pause or reflect but must. competitors in the world are doing, plunge ahead and pay the price while we do it. Failure to move education now and next year and the next year carries a higher price. we cannot afford. It robs our children of the chance to compete for the jobs of tomorrow and it condemns thousands of our fellow citizens to lives of poverty and ignorance for which they and we shall pay for the rest lives. This is not to say that we can afford to spend this year all the money that the most well-intentioned of leaders in education and their allies among the press our and public say we must. He cannot. Even if we were significantly increase taxes just for education, we cannot. There are limits to the taxpayers pockets and there are other important priorities and we need not. things which do not require money, but an attitude. As I traveled the world in search of investment in and jobs in Illinois, I am continually struck by the fact that in other societies, those with whom we are losing the o f economic competition, parents seem to care more about

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

their children's education and that care is transmitted to the children. I rarely invoke the good old days. Those memories are faulty at best, but in my day, parents. least the parents I knew, cared more than many do today about their children's education and welfare. They did not push the task off onto teachers and schools as surrogate But even the strongest of parental attitudes and parents. community pride do not by themselves buy teaching skills and books and computers and laboratories. To my knowledge. we have never retreated from the necessity to advance educational spending in any year of our history save one. the recession year of 1982-83 and we dare not do so For now we know, all of us, that we are in a race against millions of others who not only want our iobs but want better jobs and better futures and are futures. willing to pay for them. My hope is that the budget I will present to you next month will allow us to reform state aid formula. It is needed. It is time. but if it, that budget, or your final decision on revenue and spending does not. we must still increase aid to elementary, secondary and higher education. And if choices must be made between priorities, I will choose to emphasize early learning, the prevention of lives squandered and lost and the greater linkage between education and economic development. If this means a leveling off expenditures for treatment categorical programs as the state's priority, then so be it. More than two decades state efforts to treat rather than prevent failure federal have produced marginal rather than greater afford a system of education in Illinois that tolerates a dropout rate of 50 percent in Chicago bigh and 25 percent in high schools statewide, for schools dropouts have an unemployment rate three times as high

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

those who finish high school and one out of four girls who drop out will have a child while they are still children themselves That is an immoral society and passing morality. I do not want to pay for it nor have it. The affluent, the hard working and the lucky can escape the ghettos of poverty and ignorance we still pay for them. For one third of all unwed teens with children will go on welfare immediately and one half will go on welfare shortly thereafter. We ought to be increasing rather than decreasing time spent in school. are an older more complex society than we were when the voluntary dropout age was set at 16. These children. iust our children. are our future. They will be our They will hold - or worse - not hold the neighbors. tomorrow and they will literally be our state in the next century and we dare not let them go today. Every Governor in every state in the nation will argue rightly and convincingly for welfare reform this year and before adding to the litany, let me pause to proudly that in our fledgling American efforts thus far-Illinois has come a long way. We are not jumping ΩĐ the We helped get it rolling right here bandwagon. Illinois. If the heart of welfare reform is moving dependency to employment, then in just one year. Project Chance has created a welfare to work program and trains, has placed 40,000 recipients in jobs and covers the broadest category of recipients in the nation and from the halls of Congress to statehouse corridors, there has been recognition that the failure noncustodial parents to meet child support obligations contributes significantly to increasing welfare rolls. You and I have moved boldly in recent years to ensure parents who fail to pay are brought into compliance with court

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

We have leaped from the bottom of all states in recovering child support for dependent mothers to number twelve in 1986 and we can reach sixth place this year. But there is more, much more to be done and nat just Illinois, but with our help, in Washington. There are many answers to breaking the chain of dependency. Ιn fact, this may be one of those rare times in American politics where an answer put together by a Committee can be Usually. it's wrong, but there are so many right riaht. answers and good answers to help different people under different circumstances break that places chain, that life of dependency that this may truly be the Except for those with physical, developmental or chance. mentally debilitating conditions, welfare recipients and work for their grant, not just exist. Welfare recipients who are moving from dependency to independence able to cross that bridge over sturdy planks of medical care and day care, but it is not right to encourage dependency by benefit levels that make informed choice family size irrelevant or give greater benefits to those who do not work than to those who pay for them and by working or going without. The time has come to admit plainly that in Illinois except for keeping people alive, sustaining existence, welfare, like its counterparts in every other state in this nation, is a multi-billion dollar failure that is immoral and unaffordable. Before the adjournment of this General Assembly, I will send you a detailed package of further welfare reforms for Illinois. but it is just as important to get people with names Reagan and Kennedy and Dole and Moinehan and Micheal to agree with us and to help us and I intend to be a leader in that fight. If education cannot succeed without welfare reform and conversely, welfare reform cannot

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

without available jobs for those who are on welfare, for those who are unemployed, for those who are underemployed and for those who are entering the work force from school. have much to be proud of in our fight back from recession, in coping with foreign competition and changing technologies. but we have much more to do. Here, as in education, we cannot afford to slow down or look They are gaining on us and they have caught up with us and they have passed us. One, we must continue and efforts to train and retrain this generation of workers for the new technology. That will cost money. We must provide it. It is as important as any other program of education. Two, we must continue to encourage new investment from our state. from our neighbors, from across the world, treating all alike. An Illinois job is an Illinois and if it's not, it's an Indiana job or a Kentucky job that compete with us in Illinois for domestic and foreign We must continue our favorable tax climate market share. and learn and relearn the lesson that in the business end, businesses don't pay taxes. People do. And to the extent... And to the extent that we are tempted for political reasons to load new taxes onto businesses. ultimately deprive people of the chance for jobs in our state. We must link and settle permanently the issues οf unemployment compensation and worker's compensation. We can have better system of unemployment compensation because we have made the sacrifices in the past few years that will enable us cautiously to improve eligibility and lower business taxes. henefits We need a system of workers compensation that fairly and quickly compensates and relevant injuries and I am willing to invest more state dollars to more efficiently administer that reform. Five, in my view, we must settle fairly and quickly in the

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Commerce Commission the issue of the cost of power for northern part of the state. Commonwealth Edison has made a without precedent in America. proposal In return for a rate increase of less than ten percent and even less including senior citizens, the company will keep three nuclear plants out of the rate base forever freeze rates for five years. I continue to believe, together with the Attorney General, the State's Attorney of Cook County, the Chicago Chamber of Commerce and the State AFL-CIO, the State Chamber of Commerce and other consumer groups that this is not only a fair settlement difficult issue, one which has eluded most of rest a most of the nation, but an extraordinary chance to seize national leadership on the issue of stable and predictable costs of doing business and it could serve as a creative rate making Ьy Commission and elsewhere in Illinois. If we were to now enter upon a period of a prolonged time where business taxes were low, fair and stable, worker's comp and unemployment systems were fair, compassionate and of predictable costs rates were known and fixed we and power could offer in Illinois an Illinois guarantee unmatched by any other state. Six, we must diversify the crops now produced by Illinois farmers. Whether or not traditional markets for traditional crops can be restored vigor, the plain fact is that much more of the world now grows what we grow, grows it well, grows it for themselves and their neighbors and grows it more cheaply. Unless we are willing to continue to see a steady of our family farms to foreign competition and technology, in good price years and bad, and I am Illinois farmers must be encouraged to diversify crop production. I propose a new agricultural diversification

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

loan program to begin this process. The loans would be for building construction or renovation, equipment and working capital and must leverage private sector investment without refinancing existing debt or purchasing new land. must, the state, our farmers, agri-business concerns. our laboratories and our manufacturers come together make new foods and products from traditional Illinois crops reality. We can feed and supply the world from Illinois in new ways and we have all the tools assembled here. farmland in agricultural production in the world. the best transportation system in the world. the most productive workers in the world, the best distribution systems in the world, headquarters for most of the world's international food processing giants. It is time to put all these tools to work in Illinois. Eight, we must recognize the new realities of traditional manufacturing The John Deeres and Caterpillars of the world. processes. which now and in the future will no longer manufacture and assemble but will mostly assemble parts obtained elsewhere to a greater and greater degree. Rather than continue to wring our hands about lost manufacturing jobs. truth all of America and indeed the world manufacturing jobs, we ought to be encouraging the setting up of new plants in Illinois which can be spun off by employees of traditional concerns like Deer and Cat to produce those parts here, not in Mexico or in the Far East. I propose that we begin a self-employment manufacturing assistance program to provide who know they are producing a quality product that is in demand with a chance to produce it for others in employee owned factories across the State of Illinois. Nine, we must aggressively encourage Illinois manufacturers to use Illinois suppliers. I propose the creation of

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

products and services exchange to assist Illinois businesses in locating in state vendors for products and services they need. We must buy more of our own. Ten. we must step up our international business ventures sell Illinois and its products to the world. This effort requires less in the way of a dollar investment by state government than it does a continuing change towards our place in the world market. attitude I'm going to ask the Lieutenant Governor to serve as our Illinnis trade and tourism representative to help coordinate current efforts the Department of Commerce of and Community Affairs, the Department of Agriculture and the Governor's Office. He is a good salesman for Illinois, but we all must be-I recently returned from a short mission to Japan to seek new investment in jobs in our state and I believe that we have the potential of adding several new and · important plants with hundreds of jobs in high technology areas. These efforts must be stepped constantly renewed. The investment is coming to the United States market. Of that there is no doubt at all. The is whether it will come to Illinois. but question for us for every trade or investment mission I lead abroad. to lead a dozen right here in intend our own and neighboring states. Illinois trade missions will recognize that companies. their leadership and emplovees in Illinois can grow, prosper and compete currently exist with the assistance and aggressive Illinois government can offer. There are too many myths now getting in the way. That we care only about foreign investment. That is myth. Or that we care only about large companies. That is Or that we care only about new industries. But before I set foot abroad again, I intend to lead Illinois trade missions within Illinois to ask our

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

entrepreneurs, our CEOs, our workers and our unions what help they need to stay, to grow, to expand and to prosper. Eleven, we must reach out to disadvantaged areas of the state with special help in special circumstances. There obviously more - southern Illinois is a good example but let me use two as examples. I urge again the southwest regional development authority, a local state private sector partnership to bring to the Illinois o f the river the beginnings of the prosperity now found on the Missouri side in the Metro-east area seemingly just a few feet away, but in reality worlds apart. election is over and turf battles must now be put aside and propose the creation of a northwest regional f would development authority to help the troubled Quad Cities long time almost singular reliance on recover from their manufacture of farm implements and I would not give up a shred of that industry that we can keep, but the economic reliance of that region change, must diversify and grow and we can begin with the regions most visible symbol, not of failure, but change. With the creation of the northwest development authority. I would acquire the facility from Navi-Star as the first tool of the authority, modify it, repair it, customize it and aggressively market it to the world. Though the causes... though the causes of change are different. I would help the Quad Cities as we helped Galesburg and Manteno and other parts of the state which have undergone radical change. And as we begin to see success here, we can replicate efforts equally disadvantaged parts of Illinois. All we need is the vision and the will and the daring and the refusal tο hobbled by the past or the challenges of today. Wе cannot be afraid to take risks. Business will

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

nor expand jobs within a state which does not fairly and compassionately deal with those in need of help, nor bend every effort to improve the quality of life for us. That is an increasingly important part of the all bottom line of jobs location. We need to do more encourage, perhaps even to mandate the delivery of services mentally ill and developmentally disabled in our communities and to integrate service deliveries with the remaining network of state facilities that must help those whom communities cannot or will not serve. As I said in my Inaugural address, I am willing to experiment here, am unwilling to do this year is to further squeeze down the budget of DAHDD and its community providers to fund programs even as important as education. The budget decisions of this year were not necessarily mistaken, but cannot be repeated without internal and external danger to a system in which we must have pride, not SUCCESS. not failure, treatment, not warehousing institution to community treadmills. I f ыe to considerably more time and resources to the prevention of ignorance, poverty, disease and disability for children, then we must also upgrade our efforts to prevent children who have avoided these handicaps from suffering the damage and associated terror of abuse and neglect. Let there be no mistake about it. We have come a long way in a decade and we do much that is *boop still do not do enough and we still do not do what we do well enough. While we must recognize that we can no prevent every crime to children than we can prevent every crime and while we must recognize that child abuse workers and administrators cannot be the only humans who serve us perfect, we others being allowed moments fallibility in our lives and our work, we must do more to

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

integrate the delivery systems of DCFS, the courts. the police and community providers. The turf battles. the snipings, the finger-pointings and the jealousies must end. Adults, even disadvantaged adults, have at least chance to protect themselves. Children do not. a wounded child. abused, battered and neglected, is the most frightening sound to be uttered on this earth and i t be heard with diminishing frequency in Illinois. Our public health is at stake as we learn more each threats to our environment. We have begun the process of cleaning Illinois and we are doing well, but more must done now to safeguard the air that we breathe indoors and out, the land upon which we live and the ground that drink. God gave it to us and in many ways in greater abundance than he has given it to others. terms, we must stop trashing Illinois and as in areas. we must begin not only with dollars or enforcement. though these may become increasingly necessary, but with an attitude. Why do we throw trash and garbage and debris our front yards and streets and alleys and parks and places of commerce? Other societies with whom we increasingly compete for jobs and resources do not do this. hundreds of millions of dollars do we spend in Illinois simply cleaning up after ourselves or in combating associated health risks of garbage and pollution? Too many, far too many. The trashing of Illinois must end with this generation and the next and the next. Tο great extent. pride and discipline can replace dollars which could be much better spent in the answering of other have been discussing this afternoon. We are a state which is made up of many contradictions. crowded. noisy, exciting cities and pastoral landscapes. We are a restless, seeking, curious people. Travel, tourism and

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

recreation come naturally to us and again, we possess many advantages that we are just beginning to develop and market to ourselves and to the world. But we must do more two ways. We must acquire more land for recreation is gobbled up by the demands οf housing. transportation, business and agriculture. Even cannot afford to develop it or operate it now. begin to bank it for the future. Second, we must look seriously at how we support our existing recreational facilities. I think the Department of Conservation does an extraordinary job in balancing most ' the diverse constituency of any state agency. But we rank low ашопа the states in supporting our recreational facilities from fees for service apart from reliance on General have long and perhaps foolishly funds. reviewing this formula, but it is at least time and the users to sit down together to see whether our current system is rational and productive for and the next century. Ten years ago I asked you to radically change the way we deal with the sentencing convicted offenders and you responded and responded well by passing Class X and related legislation. We have, out of necessity and despite generations of neglect, refurbished expanded our system of correctional facilities. the best, most well run system in the nation, but I believe that after a decade the time has come for all of tο reexamine the justice system, its purposes and its means, to see if we can do better. While some offenders - and pretty much know who they are - simply need to be locked up to protect the rest of us, I have this magging feeling that we are letting too many youthful offenders who commit the most crime escape meaningful punishment until we have had enough and suffered enough so that long incarceration seems

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

like the only and appropriate answer. As an example, are we missing the benefits of the short sharp jolt, the early incarceration at the beginning rather than in the middle or at the end of a criminal career? Those of us who are supposed experts in these matters owe this General Assembly and the people of Illinois another look after ten years. A last, but important word. It is time we ethical house in Illinois. Our laws and practices relating campaigns, campaign financing, elections and election conflicts are a confused, archaic, jumbled, overlapping They need to be reformed now. Laws and practices mess. relating to ethics by those who serve at all in Illinois are scattered. contradictory. inadequate and sometimes out of touch with the real world. sometimes too soft, sometimes too tough. They need to be reformed now and if the lengthening, deepening, shadow of 'Greylord' does not teach us that we must bring more accountability to our judicial system and responsibility for the selection of judges, then we are blind fools who have paid a very large price in oildua. confidence for nothing. I do not say that good judges cannot come from the political life. Of course they sits right One in front of me, a dear friend and a respected jurist and I have seem them, respected admired them and worked with them for 27 years that I have been a lawyer. What I am, in reality, asking for in asking you to pass a plan for the merit selection of judges is affix greater political accountability to the process. Political parties, as recent events have shown, easily be held accountable for those who stray and bedsheet invite bewilderment and contempt from the voters. know have barely scratched the surface of the imperative agenda for Illinois. You will all be quick to

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

recognize omissions. The State of the State in Illinois this year ought to be a continuing speech, delivered not just by a Governor under Constitutional mandate, but chorus of Illinoisans in and out of government, especially out of government, who care, who really care. Next week in forum I will address the another issue AHE infrastructure. our needs in transportation. waste water treatment, flood control and housing. Next month return to this forum to give you my recommendations on how we pay for all of this. That will not be easv. Neither the needs I have outlined nor the means to pay for them by new revenues or adjusted priorities will come painlessly or in a single year or in a single budget. recognize, and I think we do, that at least for the last two years we have expended one time resources. our balance. to say wes to permanent ongoing worthwhile needs in education for children, for seniors, for farmers. for displaced workers. business tax reform. You passed those Bills overwhelmingly and bipartisanly and I even though the Comptroller told us day by day just how much money was left in the bank. But now our been drawn down. probably too far and the natural revenue growth cannot sustain the magnitude of past expenditures that our people demanded and we gave them. Reconciling this current imbalance while we reform our system to pay for today's and tomorrow's needs will be difficult, but not impossible. Nothing is impossible people of Illinois and I intend to spend the next four years proving it. I need your help and the people of Illinois have sent you here to give me and them that help and I know you won't let me or them or our great state down. Let Browning be our guide. She said, *The sweetest lives are those to duty wed whose deeds, both great

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

- small, are close knit strands of unbroken thread, where love ennobles all. The world may sound no trumpet, ring no bells, but in the book of life, the shining record tells. I want Samantha, and your children and their children to read this shining record, that book of life that we begin to write today in Illinois. Thank you and God bless you.
- Speaker Madigan: "Will the Committee of Escort please come forward to escort the Governor from the chamber? Will the Committee of Escort please join the Governor to escort him from the chamber? The President of the Senate is recognized for a Motion."
- President Rock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the Joint Session do now arise."
- Speaker Madigan: "The President of the Senate has moved that the
 Joint Session do now arise. All those in favor signify by
 saying 'aye', all those opposed signify by saying 'nay'.

 The 'ayes' have it and the Joint Session will now arise.

 All Members should be prepared to go to party caucuses.

 All Members should be prepared to go to party caucuses.

 All Members should be prepared to go to party caucuses.

 Again, all Members should be prepared to go to party caucuses.

 The Chair recognizes Mr. Giglio for the purpose of calling a Democratic caucus."
- Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. I move that the House Democrats meet in Room 114
 immediately for a House Democratic caucus."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tuerk. The Chair recognizes Mr. Tuerk. Is

 Mr. Tuerk in the chamber? Are there any Republican Leaders

 available? Mr. Hallock."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. There will be a Republican conference immediately in Room 118."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Hallock. We shall now stand in recess until the hour of 1:45. All Members should go to

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

party caucuses and we shall stand in recess until 1:45.

Please go to the caucus now. Thank you."

- Clerk O'Brien: "May I have your attention please? Any Members in the House, you can get your ID picture taken in the rear of the chamber. You can get your ID picture taken immediately the rear of the chamber. Chicago staff people, you may get your ID picture taken in the rear of the chamber immediately. Chicago staff people, ID pictures in the rear the House chamber. Have your attention staff Chicago people, you may get your ID card pictures taken in the rear of the House chamber. We'll fix them for you right away. Members, run back and get your picture taken. Members that have not had their ID pictures taken, they can go to the rear of the House chamber and get vour ID picture taken. Chicago staff people, ID picture taking in the rear of the House chamber immediately. Members may their ID pictures taken in the rear of the chamber immediately. Members that don't have their ID cards picture taken in the rear of the chamber your aet immediately. Hembers may have their ID pictures taken in the back of the chambers immediately. Any Members that have not had their ID pictures taken."
- Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. On page 2 of the Calendar under the Order of Speaker's Table, there appears House Resolution 44, as reported by the House Committee on Rules.

 There have been Amendments filed to the Resolution. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 44, Amendments 1 through 5 failed in Committee. Amendment #6 was withdrawn.

 Committee Amendment #7 failed. Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representative Ewing and Hallock."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

McCracken: "I was inquiring, Speaker, if we had a quorum back from the caucuses. It doesn't appear that we do."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken, the Democrats are all here, so there's a quorum right there. Now, is there any further questions? We're ready for Amendment #8, and Mr. Clerk, the Sponsors again? Mr. Ewing, on Amendment #8. Is Mr. Ewing in the chamber? Yes, Mr. Ewing. Would you turn on Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment 8, I believe, will help keep us on the straight and narrow as far as the number of Committees that these... the proposed rules would create. We can only need to think back to the day in which we were sworn in to remember the discussion on this floor concerning the Election Committee or the Select Committee on Elections. Speaker, it seems to me that the proposal here in the rules takes us right back to the day on which we had our swearing in but only a few weeks later. The rules as proposed create an Elections Committee and an Election Committee. Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I can't for the world of me understand why we would need an Committee and an Election Laws Committee. Each Committee that we create, Mr. Speaker, requires staff, requires a room. The more Committees we create, particularly if we don't have a tremendous amount of business is only to confuse the public, confuse the press and - I hate to say it - probably confuse the Members. My proposal. Mr. Speaker, I don't want to decimate your House Resolution 44. I just think we need to clean it up a little bit. to remove one of the Election Committees. Maybe in the presentation of the Resolution, someone could explain to us why we need two Election Committees. Now. we currently have an Election Committee that I think is operative and is

7th Legislative Day

February 4. 1987

business. I don't know or I hope there aren't a great many more election contests to be heard. Probably won't be that many Amendments to the election laws in this state and I would earnestly ask that this Body not to the controversy and acrimony of a few weeks ago and that accept this Amendment as fair, that we keep one Election Committee, that we don't try and subvert what OD opening day here by now creating two Election Committees and it's going to save the taxpayers and I think a great deal of confusion around here. And in that regard and for those reasons, I earnestly vour support. Let's put on this first Amendment and then pass all the others and go right on out of here. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand in opposition to the Amendment #8 for this purpose. Laws Committee The Election is created so that Flections substantive Bills can bе heard as soon as possible. Anybody who has served on an elections contest knows that that is very time consuming. I thought I heard the argument on the opening day that you don't want the Elections Committee to rush into this matter the elections challenge contest, that they do deliberatively. Ыe don't know if it will take a month or two months or what, but there will be Bills relating elections that ought to be heard as quickly as possible. Also, we heard the Governor today say that the urgency getting to the matter of ethics legislation. legislation relates to the Elections Laws Committee. would urge the Members on this side of the aisle to oppose this Amendment and I stand here and do so."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock."

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Hallock: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker and Members of the House. I have risen to speak on the Amendment itself. Is he finished?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes, he is,"

It seems to me that over the course of Hallock: "Thank you. history of this General Assembly we've all been fairly well served by the purposes of the Election Committee. have met and in most cases in a timely fashion really addressed the needs that face our state with regard to that the election contest and other elections issues. So, it seems to me now to go ahead and actually establish another Commission or Committee, whatever you might want to it. really superfluous when we have a Committee over call the time that has really done a good job. We, on our aisle, have, for the most part, Committee of, we believe, some outstanding people and don't a need to really expand that to really see another Committee. Additionally, I would guess I must point out that this Committee has already met. the Elections Committee. the standing Election Committee. which is ongoing from the last Session, has already met process of really looking into this election contest. So. clearly, another Election Committee. whether it's called the Election Law Committee or whatever you might choose to name it, really is going to serve no purpose other than to really thwart or obfuscate the purposes of the original Committee. I would urge that this Amendment be adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to support the Amendment as offered. To those Members who were with us last year, you may recall, we had some important business to attend to in the

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Elections Committee, but can you believe it? We didn't meet a single time. Now, all of a sudden, we find it necessary to have two Committees to do the role which one can very adequately function. Think real hard about this. We would be better served with a single Committee which is already operative."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker and Members of the House. 7 would like to add my support to this Amendment primarily because. as manv of us supported the idea of reducing the Commissions that we had so that we can, in fact, save money, but we can save some substantial time by allowing existing Committees to hear and to conduct business of the House and be in a better posture to support legislation as it would come through the Committee process. the proposal that you have suggested here somewhat contradicts that attempt because we have an Committee that is extremely involved in the knowledge of the election laws that we have and in the process that they are currently involved in, in recounting and so forth, certainly will be in a position to understand the needs for legislative change. To then have a separate Committee that is not as knowledgeable as those who are involved in it, to with the laws that need changing because of the problems that may occur certainly seems too duplicative and unnecessary. And so, I urge you to support the proposed Amendment that is offered so that we can be even more efficient than what I think you are attempting to do."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I certainly support the
Amendment as presented. It seems to me that once we have
an Election Committee that was designed all these years
that I have at least been down here to address these

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

problems of election law, election contest or issue that affected voters at election contests and conduct of an election that we've conducted ourselves within this standing Committee who gain this expertise. Now, it seems like when we have a contested election, that we now create a special group just for this one contest. It would seem to me then next year we will do away with the Election Laws Committee. Next following year, we may create it It seems like we should have some We may or may not. consistency for either side or for both sides to be able to address the issues, not to create standing Committees who will conduct and resolve an isolated case, one that may never happen again, or constantly create other Committees take care of special concerns that I... t o had originally set up that the original Committee should have handled and would have handled and still can handle. it would seem to me rather than increasing our roles. increasing government, when we already have Committees that would be better served to keep the Elections Committee who has the expertise, who has the knowledge, who's already started looking into this particular issue that has prompted us to even be here to consider a new Committee, that we should adopt the Resolution, eliminate the Election Laws Committee and let the standing Committee that we had formed for many years go on with its business to resolve its problems. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Amendment. The... It seems that if we have some sort of an emergency having to do with the Election Committee that somehow you're reacting to deal with that emergency by giving the already overworked Election Committee another way out by creating this second Committee. Representative

7th Legislative Day

February 4. 1987

Olson pointed out earlier that last year the Committee only met or did not meet in 1986. T don't think that the standing Committee is terribly overworked, and they don't have a long agenda anyway. I don't see any reason just can't let the current Committee deal with the issue under current rules. The ... with all due respect Members. I know that they are capable of dealing with this issue and taking care of the immediate crisis. if we have a revenue problem and because with the budget crunch this year that we're all aware of, that we're going to create another Committee for Revenue and then kind of insult those sitting Members on the Revenue Committee. whomever they may be, by creating this redundant Committee on Revenue. The point is, Mr. Speaker, that we don't Committee, so why don't we just proceed with the business of the House today, adopt this Amendment Elections Committee proceed in the manner that their Chairman and Minority Spokesman and Members have plenty of time. The legislative schedule now is very. Verv lax. We've had less than 200 Bills filed. Many of those Bills have not been forwarded to Committee Committee process has not really started yet. So, I see no reason why we can't just use the current system under your leadership and the guidance of the Minority Leader and proceed under current rules. So. I move... I would urge ourage Members on both sides of the aisle to Amendment 8 and get on with the business of the House. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I stand in support of this Amendment, and I do so with some experience having served on the Elections Committee for the past four years. First, Mr. Speaker, that Committee has never been

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

- overworked. In fact, it has probably spent less time..."

 Speaker Madigan: "Excuse me, Representative. For what purpose
- does Mr. McCracken seek recognition? Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "I wonder if we could have some order so we could hear what the Ladv has to sav."
- Speaker Madigan: "Members will give their attention to Representative Cowlishaw. Proceed, Representative Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Well. Mr. Speaker, my husband doesn't pay much attention to me, so I don't see why my colleagues any rate, I certainly thank Mr. McCracken for his courtesy, much more courteous than my husband. Having served on the Elections Committee for four years. I have found that of all the Committees I have served on that the one that has had the least work to do. I believe that the people who sent us to Springfield... sent us least do a substantial amount of work. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Elections Committee is not going to be overburdened if it simply consists of one Committee, which not only hears the election's contest. but also deals with legislation that has been introduced that has to do with elections. I would further submit, Mr. Speaker, that the very people who on the Election Contest Committee will learn from that experience, and therefore, be better equipped to be better Members of the Elections Committee when legislation is being considered by the Committee. And finally. Mr. Speaker. I must confess to really being perplexed. T know that there are Committees that have been in existence for that are in great demand, that are coveted, that everyone wants to be assigned to. I have rarely found anybody who wanted to be assigned to the Elections Committee. So, the reason I am perplexed, Mr. Speaker, is

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

why is the Elections Committee suddenly in such demand that we have to have two of them? That is perplexing. Thank you. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also rise in support this Amendment. So much of what's done in the area of Elections is not done by statute or by regulation or but it is done by precedence, and it's precedence that we look back to find out what's happened in What you're doing by creating a separate Committee as you've done here is to take away from all Committee Members the right to be a part of the process of this election contest, and you stripped them of the knowledge that they would gain if they were a part of the process, and you steal from them the ability to be a part of the procedure and learn the precedence. I'd say that's bad for a Body such as this because of the fact that you ve limited the number of Members in the Committee and: therefore, you've taken away the ability and rights and knowledge of the other Members. I think that the aniv thing that's fair in this situation is tο keep one Elections Committee just as we've done in the past precedence has taught us in the past, and then we should proceed just as we did when I first came down there were two election contests. to run all election contests through the present Elections Committee and not create a separate Body."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I, too, rise in support of this Amendment. I think the most telling argument was, as I heard on the floor today, that during 1986, the Election Committee did not meet, and yet, there were some very important proposals submitted to this Body

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

during 1986, namely, the State Board of Elections wanted to do some correcting of the statutes relative to recounts. particularly in light of the 1982 gubernatorial race, but apparently, it was decided by the Majority Party that that should nat be heard because it might be embarrassing to the opposing party. I think ludicrous. I don't think that should prevail. I think the Elections Committee should meet. It should meet often, because we have some important things to discuss. The fact remains it wasn't overworked as has been reiterated several I think that one Committee is sufficient to do the Body's work and that's why I think this Body should support the Amendment offered by Representative Ewing. I support it and would solicit your support for the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Members of the House. to me just by looking over the new Committee structure that we've formulated through your office, that we've added nine additional standing Committees. one more additional Committee for Elections, that makes two for So. that. we're coming up to the point where we'll have about thirty-five different Committees once the Subcommittee structure is completed. I can't visualize what it's going to be like running around this floor at the time Bills hit all those Committees with Members going to serve on five, six, seven Committees instead of a normal four, five. I had a heck of a time getting adjusted to the situation as a Freshman being... serving on a Committee, also having Bills coming up in front of another Committee at the same time, racing around, needed roller skates and now we need all those additional Committees. plus two Election Committees. It's astounding. I don't understand it, and I certainly support Amendment #8."

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

the

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of I ask you. why the haste? Why do we need two House. Elections Committees? The day we were sworn in. were... or temporary rules were sought to be adopted which created two Elections Committees. After that withdrawn. immediately thereafter an Elections Committee Immediately thereafter. appointed. the Elections started to try Representative Weller, who is the Committee declared and certified winner of that election. the first day back since that time we were sworn in. faced again with the creation of two Committees. Why the haste? Why the haste? Why do we have to have this second Committee? They've had approximately half a month since we last to conduct their investigation. another month before we go into Committees in this House in order to conduct their investigation. ₩hy do we need these two Committees? The answer is because Representative That's the reason and that's has to he unseated. the reason for the creation of the two Committees. I+ * < because one Committee is too cluttered. not It's not because one Committee has too much work. It's not Committee cannot sustain the one burden investigation. It's because Representative Weller has to gotten out of this chamber more quickly than if he were to be accorded simple due process by our ordinary rules, if he were to be judged and tried by the Elections Committee, rather than by this Election Law Committee. So, the fact of the matter is. Ladies and Gentlemen, we already have Committee appointed. They ve already been investigating Representative Heller's election. There's no need for a second, and I respectfully submit Representative Ewing's Motion or Amendment should be adopted."

7th Legislative Day F

February 4, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Piel."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the This sort of catches me a little bit off guard to Houses see this type of a maneuver. I'm for the Amendment because of the manuevering that's been going on in the last It's not... it's not a situation where, you know. the Speaker can do whatever he wants, because it's situation where we've had election contests, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, throughout the years. Ιn election contest in the House and that was when the Republicans happened to be in the Majority, but in 1980 you didn't see a forming of two specific Committees on Elections and Election Law specifically to hear an election contest. I sat on Elections at that time and something that was held... it was handled expeditiously, and it was not something that was handled to where we decision overnight. In fact, the final decision on that election contest was not made until two days before the end of the Session. So. it's not a situation. Ladies Gentlemen, to where the Committee, singular, can't handle this type of a, you know, load, because let's face reality. If you look at the Bills that have been filed, there's been over 200 Bills filed and what are you sitting here with. maybe 10 election Bills total. It is a bad maneuver. It's bad maneuver to set a precedent of setting up a verv special Committees, setting up two Committees hearing one Committee hearing, one specific of contest and the rest of them hearing the rest of the Bills. Why doesn't the entire Committee... we've already ant the Committee in process. Let that Committee handle the Bills the way the normal Committee structure would, and I would ask for passage of Floor Amendment #8."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich to close. Mr. Matijevich to

- 7th Legislative Day February 4, 1987

 close. Excuse me. Yes. Mr. Ewing to close. Mr. Piel.

 Mr. Ewing."
- Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think there's been one good reason by the opposition why we shouldn't adopt this Amendment. I don't think anybody in this room believes we need two Election Committees. Mr. Speaker, I implore you and the rest of the Members of this Body to support this good Amendment. Let's don't start gobbling up the system this early. Vote 'yes'. Thank you all."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?" Mr. Ewing has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8. Those in favor of Mr. Ewing's Motion will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Parke, to explain his vote."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If this requires... if this receives the required number of votes, I'd like a verification."
- Speaker Madigan: "The vote requirement, Hr. Parke, is more 'ayes' than 'nays'."
- Parke: "Okay. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. We heard you. On this question, there are 50 'ayes', and 64 'nos'. Mr. Parke has requested a verification of the Negative Roll. Mr. Clerk, read those voting 'no'."
- Clerk Leone: "Berrios. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Daley. Davis. Flinn. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Farley. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Greiman. Hannig. Hicks. Homer. Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. Levin. LeFlore. Leverenz. Martinez. Matijevich.

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Mautino. McCracken. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. O'Connell. Panayotovich. Pangle. Phelps. Rice. Richmond. Preston. Rea. Ronan -Saltsman. Sattherthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Van Duvne. Williams. Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Questions, Mr. Parke?"

Parke: "Thank you. Ar. Paul Williams."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Paul Williams. Mr. Williams, would you raise your hand so that Mr. Parke could identify you? Thank you."

Parke: "Thank you. Representative Young."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tony Young is in the center aisle."

Parke: "Ar ... Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Capparelli. Remove Mr. Capparelli."

Parke: "Representative Huff."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huff. Is Mr. Huff recorded as voting?"

Parke: "I'm sorry, he didn't vote. Representative O'Connell."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell is in the rear of the chamber."

Parke: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Ronan is in the rear of the chamber."

Parke: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn. Mr. Flinn. Remove Mr. Flinn."

Parke: "Representative DeJaegher."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeJaegher is at his chair."

Parke: "Representative Homer."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Homer is in his chair."

Parke: "Thank you. Representative Morris."

Speaker Madigan: "You mean. Morrow."

Parke: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Morrow is standing right here. Could you raise your hand, Mr. Morrow?"

Parke: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

7th Legislative Day February 4, 1987

- Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 50 'ayes' and 62 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative

 Hallock."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock. Mr. Hallock. Would you turn on Mr. Hallock?"
- Hallock: "Thank vou. Mr. Speaker, Members αf the House-Amendment 89 to HR 44 seeks to delete the creation of the Select Committees, and we seek to do this for a First of all, if you look back in history, what reasons. concerns me, that we now have а proliferation οf Back to the 82nd General Assembly when we had Committees. in this chamber 177 Members, we had at that time 25 standing Committees and two service Committees. Now. of course, we have a 118 Members with a Committee process that virtually doubled. To this proposal, it's suggested standing Committees. 12 standing Subcommittees and 9 select Committees be created. I think that's wrong several reasons. First of all, you know, even the most tenacious Hember in this House chamber will find it hard to sit on six or seven Committees and do his job adequately, not only in terms of Committee processes and what he does there, but also in terms of serving his district, in things that have to be done back home. And as you look around this chamber, we have some outstanding people. can try to stretch them to the limits whereby they can't really perform their duties as adequately as possible. we, here in this chamber, have prided ourselves over the past history on the effectiveness of the Committee but I'm concerned that the more Committees we, in fact, establish, the more you dilute the strength of the Committees and the less screening and scrutinization each

7th Legislative Day

February 4. 1987

Bill will receive in those Committees. So, Ţ think it's For that reason, I also ask you to consider that. wrong. But, I suppose even more important than all those things, overriding concern is, how is the public going to find out what goes on down here? With this juncture in time, I suppose the public finds out best what happens here is through the media, and if you consider that we're now about to double the size of the Committees, media cover those meetings? And furthermore, how can the media cover the meetings when they don't even have schedule set. You know, one of the advantages of the standing Committees, that each of those Committees is given a certain day and time whereby you can assume they will that time, but the Select Committees don't have such a facility. So, it's virtually impossible to project with any certainty when, in fact, they may ever meet and if And so, not only is it hard for the Membership, but also for the media to follow those meeting. In essence, what you're trying to do here, it seems to is really hide government from the people. When you do that, the whole process suffers. So, I would say Mr. Speaker, Members of this chamber, that it's virtually important that what we do here today be adopted through this Amendment, because we are setting a stage here whereby either going to have a lot of public input from the citizens of our state because they know about the meetings have advance notice and can follow the process or they And that's why this Amendment is so very important. I would urge all of you to vote 'yes' on this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to Amendment #9. I don't think that we

7th Legislative Day

February 4. 1987

ought to operate differently this Session than we operated last Session. And I'd like to make a few points. point of the cost that the Gentleman alluded to. Speaker Madigan has not in any way increased the cost n f Committee. In spite of the fact that there may be increased cost of living, that there may be ought to be higher, we have lived within the budget under Speaker Madigan. So, that point I think... WP can that point out. Secondly. I think it is appropriate and proper that the Speaker determine that in a Session of Legislature there shall be key issues, that there shall be critical issues, and much like Congress does, have Committees to key on those particular issues. think you quant to be telling southern Illinois. for that we shouldn't have a Select Committee on Coal Development. You get your southern Legislators to that point and I dare to tell you that they won't. I don*t be telling the people in the State of ouaht to Illinois that we ought not have a Select Committee The Governor has told us this is going to be a critical issue. I think that you dare not tell the senior citizens that there shouldn't be a Select Committee on I'm not going to tell them Aging. You tell them. that shouldn't be such a Select Committee. You tell the people on unemployment that we shouldn't have Committee on Economic Development. You tell them. going to tell them, and I dare say you don't mean it I believe that we have operated in a good fashion. In fact, I believe that Speaker Madigan not only by the creation of Select Committees, but also by task forces has really allowed every Member to do work, has allowed Member to be a part of the process. And I really feel that us, not just that side of the aisle, but all of us

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

should stand here in opposition to this Amendment. So, I would urge, especially this side of the aisle, vote against the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment. You know, really, this Amendment is more for benefit of the Majority than it is for the benefit of the Minority. By sheer numbers, you can kill in Committee or kill them on the floor, do whatever you want to them just because you can outvote 115. this does is, it allows a process to exist whereby you can kill the Bills of the Majority. You kill them without hurting anyone's feelings. You put them into extra Committees. You chase the Members around. You shove a lot of Bills into the system and the Bills of the individual Members, particularly the Majority Members, Bills, die in those Committees or if they get to the floor, perhaps, they die by the creation of a Special Order of Business, another kind way of killing the Majority's Bills, not necessarily the Minority's Bills. So, I think if I were Member of the Majority, I would be concerned about keeping the process as streamlined as possible so that I would have a chance to have my own Bills heard without being chased around to a lot of Committees. And it's for that reason that I think the Hembers of the Majority have as much reason to vote for this Amendment as the Members of the Minority do."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Amendment. I don't submit that these Select Committees are used for some improper purpose, but the fact of the matter is that they are subject to the call of the Chair. They don't have those set times that Representative Hallock referred to.

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Those standing Committees which are... should be the principle work horses of this Body now number. Resolution is adopted, 25 in number. There is not a conceivable piece of subject matter that does not fit into the standing Committees. The standing Committees are called on a regular basis with a schedule and the media can follow. The Speaker, in his discretion, calls the Select Committees. Now. there nothing wrong with that. He're not accusing the Speaker of calling these to hide what's going on, but the point of the matter is, they cannot be followed as easily by the public or the media. They are subject only to two days. postina They're not subject to a week's posting notice. notice. They are called again at the behest of the Chair, and for that reason, they should be limited. They should be the exceptions to the rule. They should be places which have no place in standing Committees. You look at Aging, you look at Coal Development and Marketing. Coal and Marketing does not fit in FREEDY Environment and Natural Resources. Aging does not fit in any one of a number of standing Committees. Aeronautics does not fit into any one of a number of standing Committees. The point of the matter is that unless there is a particular reason for these standing Committees, which is unusual, which does not fit into the standing Committee scope, then I submit that there is no reason for them and no case has been made in support of them. So. I think we should vote in favor of the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the maker of the Amendment answer a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, who is the Sponsor of the Amendment?

Mr. Hallock. Mr. Hallock agrees to answer your question."

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Parke: "Thank you. It was in reference to one of the previous speakers that they saw no increase in cost, and it was my understanding that when you expand Committees that there were... obviously have to be people staffing those Committees. My question is, has there been any estimate of what it would cost in additional manpower or spreading our manpower out?"

Hallock: "Well, thank vou for the question. Ιn comments, I didn't really speak to the cost issue. raised by ... the rebuttal comments, by Mr. Matijevich, but my thoughts would be on the costs. You can weigh First of all, you can try to assess whether or two wavs. not there's a financial cost, and clearly, I would assume there might be. Well, I couldn't really pin down the exact detail of that at this time. There's also costs terms of human spirit and the cost there would be, first of all, you are expanding, if you're not going to increase the staff size, you're expanding and stretch to the limits the current staff that we have and because of that, I think you may not ... they may not be able to put forth their effort in every situation. And then, of course, you'll have the cost which is also kind of ethereal, but the Members themselves in terms of ensuring that they put forth their best effort in all the Committees. So. would say, yes, there will be a cost. Although there may not be a dollar cost at this point in time. definitely going to be a cost, I think, in efficiency."

Parke: "Thank you. My question, obviously, is that now we're looking at 23 standing Committees, 12 standing Subcommittees, 9 Select Committees. If I total those up, it comes to 44 Chairmanships. It just seems like anybody on the Democratic side who hasn't gotten a Chairmanship

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

that they've done something wrong, because it seems like we're spreading it out enough that everybody should get one. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The ... there is a lot of costs. It just seem that a couple of years eliminated Commissions and now they ve been reborn under a The ... one of the costs that we seem not different name. to deal with up here much is the cost of printing, the cost paper alone. All of these Committees, just in being notified that the Members have to attend the meetings, what the meeting dates and times are, is going to be costly, but even beyond that, these Committees, proud Committee Chairmen, are going to want to report to you and to the Minority Leader on their activities and their actions. going to call for many reports. They're going to call for lots of printing time and use of the the Capitol, and that's going to be costly. would point out that although we only have a 118 we operated last year with 32 total Committees. This year, we have 44. If we went back in the General Assemblies when had more Members... in the 83rd General Assembly, we only had 29 Committees standing, and before 27 and on down. The fact of the matter is. Mr. Speaker, that we don't need all these Committees. The business can be taken care of at the standing Committees that we had last year or a close assemblance of that. other point is that if we do have these Subcommittees, many the topics are going to be brought right back to the Committee anyway, and I think it would be unfair to denv Member who presented a Bill or opposed a Bill before a Subcommittee, you wouldn't want to deny him the right speak to the whole Committee before the Bill, by our rules,

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

would be presented on the House floor, just as you wouldn't deny a Member his right to speak on the issues here on the House floor. We wouldn't want to deny a Member his speak before the regular Committee. So, that will make the Subcommittees somewhat redundant. I would also point that the United States Congress has adopted many years out ago use οf Subcommittees. We would be ourselves to the Congress of the United States. I've said on this House floor before, I think that's one of the last things we want to do. Congress... the enlightened Members we elect in our districts, we send off to and something Washington. happens on that trip, whether it's a short trip or if it's... whether you're coming far out west. When you go to Congress, you've crossed some border somewhere and you get into this bigger and better and more government belief. And Subcommittees in Congress that were established, just like these Subcommittees that you're talking about making permanent Subcommittees, going to eat up time and energy and create waste. Mr. Speaker, I plead with you to agree with this the aisle that these Subcommittees are just too much for the State of Illinois. We can either bring ourselves together in compact, concentrated, bursts of energy so that we can deal with the issues and not waste our time doina thinas and over again just so that we can please a few Members and give them more... a few more Chairmanships of whatever the real agenda is, Mr. Speaker. I would plead you on behalf of the people of our district that we have enough government in Illinois. Let's not make example by letting the General Assembly grow and telling the people back home that we're really not interested making government more concise and more responsive. just interested in making it bigger. Thank you."

7th Legislative Day

February 4. 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Three years ago, this august Body decided that they were going to cut down on the size of government. Commissions were removed, combined, a wise, wise decision. A cost effective suddenly, Now twelve more Committees. twelve more staffers. twelve more tane recorders, twelve more billion pounds of paper - not cost are we doing it? effective. And whv We're doing it because we want to spread around some Chairmanships We have twelve additional leaders weren't there before. now that we didn't have before. We had thirty-two last year, we now have forty-four, and I can't understand why there's only twelve more, because there 67 are there. What happened to the 23 that didn't get an agency head or a commission? You know, let's... let*s the whole route. Let's put everybody to work over there. This is the most ridiculous proposal. It*11 absolutely affect negatively the smooth moving operation that we're supposed to be doing down here. I shiver in my boots when I see the children come in from the schools and sit up in the balconies and watch the disorganized disarray happens here now. They should hesitate not to come during the time when we close and the deadlines are here, and hallways will be full of running people from one room to the other, knocking down the senior citizens that come to look at the beautiful Capitol. I would vote 'yes' for this Amendment."

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Countryman. I'm sorry, Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. When I first came here in my first Session down
 here, I thought this Body did a wonderful thing when I saw

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

them with all of their press conferences, telling the folks back home we're going to save a lot of money. We're getting rid of these needless commissions. We're going to 10,000,000, 12,000,000 dollars, and I was 7.000.000. very impressed and was very proud to be a part of this Body that was going to save money through needless expenditure. We're doing it all over again. Now. we turn around. is going to cost a lot of money. As a matter of fact. is more Committees here than there were of think there those commissions. If you think you're going to fool those guys at home that you told, *Look how we*re saving you money. elect me again. You're not going to fool them. They're going to know this costs money. Your voters are stupid as you might think they are. On the other hand, if you decide you need these Committees, mavbe tο break them down a little farther. Under agriculture, maybe we should go crops, cattle livestock, three separate Subcommittees. Maybe under... if to have two elections, just breaking out coal isn*t enough, we ought to have gasoline and maybe if we're going to break out aeronautics from transportation, we've got to have semitrailers, vans and automobiles. And then, if we're going to pull horse racing maybe we ought to break that apart because remember there is the standardbreds and the thoroughbreds race two different ways with two different kinds of lockeys different parks, and they'll probably need two Subcommittees. The harness racing, exactly. This is waste of the taxpayers' money and not a good efficient use of our time here in Springfield. The taxpayer needs to represented by you people and you can't do a good job if you're racing from Committee to Committee, and we'll all be on six, eight, ten Committees. Also, when the public comes

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

down to have their input, they shouldn't be just heard by three or four people on a Subcommittee. They should be heard by the entire Committee so that those people on that Committee can make their decision. I encourage you to support this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Where is Pat Quinn when we need him? Pat Quinn, of a few years ago, said, 'We were too large, too cumbersome.' And the question that is frequently asked at home, 'What in the dickens are you doing down there? It's going to be hard to explain that we'll sit on five standing Committees, three Subcommittees and spend our time using the monorail between the Stratton Building and the Capitol. I would suggest we support this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. When we aot rid o f Committees, we did a good thing because we reduced the number of bodies that meet in this chamber on various but one of the disadvantages of that was that the... I mean, the commissions, was that the commissions allowed participation by citizens on those commissions. Ые overrode that because we wanted to reduce the number of Now, we turn around and we want to increase bodies. numbers back again, except with Committees Subcommittees and so forth that won't involve members general public. We really... what we've done is told the general public we want to keep you confused. kept... we... look like we did something good for you for a couple of vears. Now, we're going to have multiple Subcommittees and so forth that you have to try to keep track of, the media for you has to try to keep track of as best they can because they don't have a regular schedule,

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

and that really isn't... doesn't help the public. Furthermore, I think we look to the Federal Government to see how bad an example a system with so many Subcommittees can be. When your Congressman or Senator qoes to Washington and is assigned to this Subcommittee or that Subcommittee, you really don't know how much power Subcommittee has. There's so many Subcommittees you don't know what kind of issues this or that Subcommittee miaht handle there's because mavbe three or four other Subcommittees with similar names or close similar that could receive the same kind of issues. And so, it's just a hodge-podge, and I think we're going in the wrong direction at the state level by doing this. And I support the Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think one of the things that's come to our attention here is that what we're really talking about is the typical Democratic Party approach to government. It *s another make-work project. You're going to make lots more work for Legislators. You're going to make a lot more work for the people that want to testify in Committees and the Subcommittees and whatever. You*re going to have more jobs for the people on staff. So. it's really in the great tradition of the new deal and the fair deal and all the other deals. They love spending. They bureaucracies. They love taxes. And vet. in this state, the popular vote in legislative districts is And we have, what is it, 67 to 51 margin and the Democratic Party goes on doing its same old practices that they've always done. And I think what we need to do is to reduce spending, reduce bureaucracies, reduce taxation, and this Amendment is designed to do that by

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

process more efficient and less costly. One other little point is that we're going have so many Chairman in this... so many Committees that they've really become kind of meaningless. It's fine to be a Chairman of a Committee if the Committee stands for something and it does something. They've passed out so many that it really doesn't matter now. So, I would urge that we... that you also support this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock, to close."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the purpose of Amendment is to really try to keep this Body from obscuring the important work of the Committee processes. If this Amendment does not succeed, we will then have a process whereby nine Select Committees with no definite schedule assigned to us for meetings will be set up and meet time during the Spring Session. that, of course, is clear. And what it means is public, through the press and the citizens back home, won't when or if any issues will be discussed before these Committees. And what alarms me about this is that really are, without this Amendment, obscuring the process that the public doesn*t have its input. which is important to all of us here. If you want to ensure the press has access to all that goes on in this Body and public does as well. vou'll vote for this Amendment. If you don't believe in those goals, you'll vote 'no'. strongly believe the public has a right to know what goes on down here and when it's going to go on. And I ask that this be adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock has moved for the adoption of Amendment #9. Those in favor of Mr. Hallock's Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed to Mr. Hallock's Motion will signify by voting 'no'. Have all voted who

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 50 'ayes' and 64 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative

Tuerk."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tuerk."

"Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, some of you over on the other side of the aisle may think that what I * m say is a little contradictory and to some extent, it's true, but the fact remains, I am actually amazed, surprised and as one Member of this Body has said over the years. chagrined. and actually insulted, the fact that you have taken Veterans' Affairs out of the purview of a standing I happen to be a veteran and I know there are Committee. several other veterans in this Body, and I think deserve the recognition and the status that they And in order to give them the recognition and the status they deserve, they must have a forum in this General Assembly and: therefore, in the House there ought to be Committee to hear the Bills of Veterans' Affairs. Tt *c always been that way since I been here in the 18... I'm in my 19th year now, and I don't think that there is any reason to shortchange the veterans of point in our career and; therefore, I offer the Amendment to put back as a standing Committee in order give them the status and recognition and the constituency that it deserves the fact that we should be adopting the Amendment to put back, as a standing Committee, the Veterans' Affairs Committee. And I offer that for your adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stephens, are you seeking recognition? Mr. Stephens."

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I... I suppose that we did make some arguments about why we wanted to cut back the number of Committees, but if there is one Committee of all, Veterans' Affairs is one that needs to stand as a think standing Committee. The... to do anything less is to veterans of Illinois that, 'Well, the Viet Nam War is over and you're all... there are no more problems for The Korean Har is to be forgotten, and those of you who fought in World War II and... * And even that, Mr. Speaker, your problems are all gone now if the State of Illinois and some how this chamber doesn't want to recognize officially that there are after effects. that there are veterans who have lost limbs and suffered psychological damage and all the other things that go fighting a war. Defending your country is something that many of us have done. Yes, I, and other Members House have fought and fought proudly. And we did that knowing that our country and our state was committed no matter what the consequences us Ωf battles. Mr. Speaker, I implore you and the Members on the other side of the aisle to reconsider striking Veterans* Affairs Committee from the normal status that it deserves here in Illinois and in this chamber. And you who are watching, I think you need to take note of the direction that this House is taking. Here hand expanding our Committee process and at the same time insulting Illinois veterans by not giving them the place that they belong that they have earned, a place of honor and a place where they can bring their problems to be recognized and dealt with appropriately. Mr. Speaker. support of House Amendment 10 and hope that the stand in Members on the other side of the aisle will join me. Thank you."

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I * m Representative Tuerk admitted to his alad that own contradiction and saved me the trouble of doing it. come as a surprise to many of the new Members here that for most of the years of the Legislature. the Committee Affairs was not a single Committee. When I came here in the Legislature and for years afterward. i t believe it was always part of the... I the Pensions Committee that it was lumped together with. So this very recent that we had the Veterans Affairs Committee as a single Committee. Now, because the Committee doesn't have a lot of work, ordinarily when we pick Chairmen for Committees, it's usually by seniority and usually when you get down to one's request, the person who might be the Veterans' Affairs Committee is probably a young Member and may not be a veteran. That happened last when Representative Hannig, under the seniority system, was line for the Veterans' Affairs Committee. some of the veterans groups felt that the Chairman of the Veterans* Committee ought to be a veteran. And what we've done this year, we have put the Veterans Affairs Committee with the Executive Committee. Somebody told me is a veteran of World War I, but the truth of the matter is that he's a veteran of the Korean And a standing Subcommittee also of that Committee, a standing Subcommittee on Veterans' Affairs. We will have a Chairman who is a veteran of that Subcommittee. veterans* groups concerns will be answered. So, I think we you know, really blowing the smoke storm that doesn't exist, something that happened for years and years. We had combined Committee. So, I would urge the Members on this side of the aisle to vote 'no' on the Amendment."

7th Legislative Day

February 4. 1987

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ropp."

Rooms "Thank you. Hr. Speaker and Members of the House. I know that these efforts are an attempt to hopefully improve situation of the operation of the House, and that's why we're making these important comments. This Committee that has just been stricken as an individual Committee is. in my judgment, a tramendous insult to one of your own Members. Larry DiPrima, who's been such a stalwart supporter of the veterans, program, and I think that, in that regard, it an interesting situation. Having just worked for a number of veterans programs in our own district attempt to raise money for the Viet Nam Memorial Fund here in Springfield, it does seem ironic to have such important issue on the minds of all of us throughout the state to at this particular time just abolish a Committee for Veterans' Affairs. You know, were it not for those veterans, who so bravely fought in our wars to preserve the freedom that we all cherish so much. i t does kind of ironic that all of a sudden that freedom that they've fought for has just been given away."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. the key point about this whole issue here is that you may. if you take away this Committee, assign the Bills to other Committees. but by removing this Committee from the Committee structure and the standing Committee process, you are, in essence, taking away the focus on this Affairs Committee has existed in one think the Veterans* way, shape or form, probably as long as this General existed. And surely, it may have, over time, been named Veterans* and Pensions* Affairs or Veterans* and Personnel and Pensions or whatever, but it has always existed in this chamber as a standing Committee, not as a

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Subcommittee, not as a Select Committee, but as a standing Committee of this chamber. And, of course, by that existence, it stood as a statement that we, as a policy here, believe that the veterans are important to this country and to this state, not only to our past for what we are today, but also for our future and what we'll be in the future. I urge you to vote for this Amendment.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Parke."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Parke: No organization such as the VFW. the American Legion and VETS, Disabled Veterans, Viet-Now, VA hospitals, need a formal form of public discussion. That's why instead of weakening a Committee like this, I think we should strengthen it. You know, many of our World War are getting older, very old, and the needs that they have are becoming more and more pronounced. it's time that the State of Illinois try to do more for the people that have served our country. Many of you in this gallery, in this audience right now have never served the military and never put your life on the line. I've had pleasure and the honor of serving the military and our And it seems funny that every time there's problem somewhere in the world, there is conflict or, Lord forbid, another war, we're going to to the service man and woman, and why should we turn away I suggest that my colleagues on the from them now. side as well as my colleagues on this side seriously consider this Amendment and ioin with the Sponsor myself in voting for this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tuerk, to close."

Tuerk: "Ar. Speaker, Members of the House, I just rise and put a ditto mark on everything that was said in support of my Amendment, except for the last speaker who said some of us

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

who were veterans of World War II are getting older. I don't feel any older than I did 30 years ago, but the fact remains that I'm glad I am getting older because the alternative is real bad. I still come back to the basic argument that the veterans deserve a forum in the General Assembly. They deserve the status of a standing Committee that when they have concerns of legislation that needs to be adopted that they have a hearing, a due process in the Legislature, and that's why I think it should be a standing Committee and that's why I urge your support for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tuerk moves for the adoption of Amendment #10. Those in favor of Mr. Tuerk's Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the question there are 50 *ayes*, 63 *nos*. record. On this Amendment fails. Before we proceed pair of keys for a Chrysler or a there are Plymouth car that were found in the women's restroom. They re from Harvey Chrysler-Plymouth on South Halsted Street in Harvey. So, they're up here. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative
Barnes."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #11 amends House Resolution 44 on page 2 by inserting immediately above the line in which there appears to write to the public the following: Smoking shall be prohibited in any official Committee hearing and no Committee Member, staff member or member of the public shall be permitted to smoke in the room in which the

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

hearing is being held. I don't know how many of you read the metropolitan papers today, but there is an editorial and it refers to one of the other Members on the other side of the aisle who has consistently introduced legislation to prohibit smoking in public places. And the editorial recommends that we take care of the State House first. And that's what this Amendment does. And I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I only rise for this side of the aisle to say that on this one, everybody's on their own. This is a very emotional issue. Some of us have emotional arguments for it. Some have emotional arguments against it. I only hope I don't have to hear all those arguments, because I think I know everybody knows how they're going to vote. I intend to support it, but I want to tell the Members on this side of the aisle, you're on your own."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, on this nonpartisan issue... no, the... this particular Amendment should be adopted. There are many, many Committee rooms that are very, very small. believe a number of them also have no smoking, food, or beverages to be brought into the Committee room. that people come into these Committee rooms to testify and whether they have allergies or any other sicknesses, certainly they shouldn't be bombarded by cigarette smoke or smoke or whatever the case may be. I think not only for their protection and health, but also the direct you went into a court or any other official place or capacity that you wouldn't want to have a smoking of this nature. So, I think that this is a great idea and we should all stand behind it and show the courtesy of

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

people that are in attendance of the Committee hearing and also their testifying. And I would urge your support of adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Tο the Amendment. You know, we have for years debated the idea of smoking and nonsmoking. I believe now is our chance. Last Session, I believe, the Bill sponsored by the previous speaker gained close to 57 votes and almost did pass. This is our chance to establish what and that is that smoking should be banned in Committee rooms and we can hopefully expand it from there to other parts of this state, but this is the first step, and I would ask for your support."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Stern."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in the spirit of bipartisanship that characterizes this day, I would like to underscore all that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle have said, and so, I would be happy to join you in an 'aye' vote as I will on others in the future."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm just glad to see that
the other side of the aisle has been released to vote their
individual consciences on this one. God bless America."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shaw. Mr. Shaw. Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think what we have to be concerned about here, I believe that this is a Republican attempt not just for Committee, but what they are attempting to do is get those smoking Members out of Committee when Bills are being heard, when Bills are being heard, and therefore, they can get what they want in those Committee. Not only that, this is just a start, as the Gentleman on the other side of the

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Come June, the end of June and Members of this aisle said. Body will be smoking. They can't smoke on the floor here and they'll go outside and there will be crucial votes missed right here on this floor. And those ωf us who last year I believe that we raised the cigarette tax to finance education, and it's good for It's good for education, but the fact of the matter is, it's a ploy by the Republican Party to rid this chamber of the aisle of the votes that's needed to run side And I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment." this House.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stephens. Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Parke."

Parke: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Houses I am pleased to have a Bill, an Amendment on nonsmoking that I finally can vote for, one that interfere with the private sector's right enterprise. I probably had no other Bill talked about more in my legislative district than a Bill to restrict in public places. I rise in support of this Amendment because it is a Bill that is necessary, an Amendment that's necessary and does not restrict free enterprise. Thank VOU."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman."

Speaker. Greiman: "Thank vou. Ar. There are some human activities that people like to have a cigarette right after and there are other human activities that I think like to have a cigarette during. I don*t smoke. I don*t smoke, but I would like to perhaps suggest a suggestion. In listening to this debate, and I heard one of the speakers suggest that some of the rooms ventilated, some of the rooms make it quite uncomfortable. On the other hand. some of the rooms are very well ventilated and are perfectly open. So, why don't we provide in our rules instead... why don't we defeat this

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

in our rules instead that the Chairman with and provide leave of the Committee may restrict smoking on any given date depending on the place and depending on the time and depending on the crowd. There may be times you're 114 six people or there may be times when you're in 122B with 40 people. Obviously, those are different we ought to be able to be flexible enough to do that. So. when we do the rest of the rules later this... later in the month, I would certainly support a Motion, an Amendment which allowed the Chairman with leave of the Committee to make individual rules on an as needed basis, but then, I think I would let people have the kind of freedom to get rid of the tension when you're sitting four or five and not have to wait, like in some human activities, until you're through to light up. Thanks."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Goforth. Mr. Goforth."

Goforth: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As one of the Representatives said that this was a Republican ploy, well it's not... this is one Republican that's not supporting this Bill. Now, people every year up here, we take more and more rights away from our people back home. Now, we're turning right around and doing it to ourselves. Now, you better pay attention to what you're doing on this Bill because you're going to let the foot in the door. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wholly concur with Representative Greiman's comments because there are different situations in which smoking can or cannot that would be of detriment to other people. in those cases, it is important that we not deprive of their right to smoke or to not smoke. I think it's more important in that issue to defeat this Bill in this area

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

and take Mr. Greiman's suggestion and go forward and make an individual basis on the room, the size and also the Committee Chairman. That's a more logical approach. It's a way to do it, and I think it's the fairest way for all democracy to work."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I think we ought to support this Amendment. This is one time when the Legislature doesn't decide to exempt You know, too often we pass laws in this state and in this government and in Federal Government. They apply to everybody else except us. Now. Ī know Representative Terzich is going to have a number... I *m sure he's going to try very, very hard to pass a no smoking Bill this year, and irregardless of how you feel about that issue, I think if we're going to consider that and pass that legislation, we ought to have it also impact on ourselves. So, I think we ought to take the leadership, and we ought to pass this Motion and prove to the citizens of Illinois that we're leaders and that we're willing do something that we're asking the public to do. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeJaegher. Mr. DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Most often the Members of particular House are rather compassionate. arise in the State of Illinois, they usually try problems resolve those problems. When face we problems pertaining to drugs, we try to find a cure. We try to find purpose and what we can do for that particular person that's addicted. Many of you people here today realize that there are many of us that started smoking rather young in life. I know that I started smoking when I was quite young and probably made sacrifices to acquire

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

that cigarette. After smoking for well over 40 years, I realize that I am addicted to tobacco, and I also realize this is probably dangerous to my health. that those are problems that I must be confronted with. but I don't believe that I only speak for myself. but I believe that I speak for many people in the State of Illinois that basically wish to a right of choice. Again, we're talking about legislation that deals with right of choice. these people, if they had the opportunity or could. would quit smoking. But it's a difficult situation, especially for some of you that have never smoked in your life. Some of you that had smoked before but now have given up that cigarette, realize that it was indeed a problem for many of you to confront the situation. I think this is wrong. that we should take right of choice away from I think that people are knowledgeable. people. extensive have been made, the Attorney General has issued warnings warnings, and if that person is desirous of daina this. then let that person rest. At least he'll have that peace of mind that it wasn't caused through legislation that It was only because he was desirous of abstain. doing it himself."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Hasara."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment. One of the things that the people in the State of Illinois don't want is to be forced to do something that we who make the laws don't force upon ourselves. For this reason, I think we should definitely support this Amendment. We're going to be asked to come back in a couple of months and vote on the Clean Air Act, and I think it's very difficult to put these restrictions on other people when we're not willing to put them on ourselves. If we're going to say that the Speaker

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

has the authority to exempt certain Committee rooms, then I think, at the very least, we should give the President of the Restaurant Association the authority to exempt certain restaurants that are well ventilated, so I rise in support of this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Panayotovich."

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that... "

Panayotovich: "She will?"

Speaker Madigan: "She will yield."

Panayotovich: "Representative Barnes, is there a penalty for somebody who will... that does smoke in that Committee?

Will we censure them or remove them from office or... is there any..."

Barnes: "Hell, Representative Panayotovich, the way I felt about this, I thought that we would pass it today, and then if you had any Amendments, I would be very happy to entertain that Amendment. I do feel that there could be an incentive. I think we could have piggy banks in all... the way you cut back and save the money, you could put it in the piggy bank, and on the way home, buy your wife a box of candy or some flowers."

Panayotovich: "Oh, okay. Also, are we going to have to have like

a Secretary of State police officer there that's going to

have to bodily remove somebody that does light up?"

Barnes: "Representative Panayotovich, I would be the one that would want to take care of your body and remove it myself.

I would not delegate that to the Secretary of State."

Panayotovich: "Is this before or after we smoke?"

Barnes: "Time will tell."

Panayotovich: "I mean, let's... let's be serious. I mean, like I
said before we had the no smoking Bill up..."

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Barnes: "Representative, I am serious. I take issue with your last statement."

Panayotovich: "The next... the next move that we have after this would be, again, like I said before, smoking and nonsmoking urinals and toilets, and I think this is ridiculous, and we should vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Regan. Hr. Regan."

Regan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if this does pass, I would please ask a favor of the Speaker, if he could come up with the expenses to put a monitor in the hallway outside of all the meeting rooms so that I would be able to witness the debates inside. That... Mr. Shaw's comment certainly would hold true, that people that do walk outside may miss very important... important testimony, so that if we had a monitor out in the hallway where the smokers were allowed to go, then we'd be able to keep track and be able to vote. Also possibly, switches out there, too. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. TF this failed. maybe we'd need monitors for the nonsmokers. There... I think smokers sometimes don't... don't realize some of the disconvenience... inconvenience that results from their smoking around people who just irritated but sensitive physically to cigarette smoke. In hockey rinks. for example, those of you who go to the Blackhawks Stadium and see the Zamboni come out on the ice to drive around to clean the ice, those Zamboni machines are all gasoline driven, and they emit carbon monoxide, and hockey rinks are... all across this country are always concerned about the emission level of carbon monoxide in the hockey rink because of the athletes, both young and old. Sometimes you have children five and six years old

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

hockey in ice rinks, and they're worried about the carbon monoxide level buildup. And... And you don't smoke If you go to a basketball game, inside the hockey rink. you don't smoke in the gymnasium because you don't smoke hanging over the basketball floor. athletes are running up and down the floor. breathing don't want... you don't want their breathing deeplv. VOU constricted. So, we are... we don't smoke in theaters. don't smoke in lots of other places, and... and there's reason why we can't accommodate people who have great difficulty and who cannot leave. As a matter of fact. in this chamber right now. the smoke level 15--is disconcerting for a number of us, including myself, at this I have inquired about the ... with the very moment. responsible for the air conditioning chamber, and they tell me that... that the air circulated to the outside in here, that it is, in effect, stored and recirculated over and over, so that as the grow longer in here, in spite of the best efforts, the smoke cannot be cleaned out of the air in here. and the longer a day goes on in here, the worse it gets, and those of us who are nonsmokers have no place else to We're hearing today about... about rights, and I think nonsmokers have been very patient, have been considerate, have not tried to... to intimidate people about their rights for smoking. There are many people smoke on this floor in here right now. I *m one of the Members who doesn't smoke, never did smoke. It's I've been here for 12 years, and we have a nonsmoking section on the Democrat side of the aisle time, created a term or two ago at my at the present request. What... What about those of us who are... are breathing or health sensitive and may have sinus or

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

problems? Can't we have consideration? This is a good Amendment. It might shorten some of our meetings, too, if people are impatient to get out because they want to step outside and have a smoke. So, I would urge everybody to support this legislation out of consideration who have emphysema or those who have breathing and health problems who really can't tolerate smoke becauseasa there's no way to get away from it. Unfortunately, no matter how considerate a smoker tries to be• always comes back to you and gives you a problem, and it's very, very difficult for anyone who has... who is caused difficulty by smoke, and I would certainly ask that you give consideration to nonsmokers and adopt this Amendment to our House rules."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn. Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves the previous question.

Those in favor say "aye", those opposed say "no". The

"ayes" have it. The Motion fails. The Chair recognizes

Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is issue that has been talked about often and long. an Me have legislation on the books that deal with those who cause people to die, such as those who are... commit murder by strangulation or handguns or any other such measure. think we have, as a Body, a real opportunity here the fact that those of us who may be the result of secondary smoke, and ultimately, as medical science bas proven time and time again, that death by secondary smoke as a result of lung cancer is just a deadly as if you at the end of a rope or an electric chair or at the end of a bullet. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have an opportunity express our opinion to begin to attempt to save here to

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

lives because it's important. It's a way of life. I urge you to support this Amendment."

Speaker Hadigan: "Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment. One of the that has not well been touched on in the comments that I've heard is the reason for this Amendment. just that smoking is personally offensive to nonsmokers. but it's very unhealthy to smokers and to nonsmokers alike. Ιt causes cancer. Ιt causes emphysema and other respiratory illnesses. Ιt causes and aggravates heart disease and heart deterioration, circulatory problems. And all of those, if you choose to inflict yourself with problems, to inflict the people who sit next to you and sit around you and sit a few seats away from you with an aggravation of these health problems or the initiation health problems, is very unreasonable. It's an imposition on personal and private rights, and it ought not permitted in public places, and that's what this Amendment gets to, and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Barnes, to close."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I appreciate all of the good debate on this Amendment. I think it is an Amendment that is good for our health. It will show good faith to the residents of our districts and all of... also of the people in the State of Illinois, that we are very serious when we introduce other nonsmoking Bills, and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Lady's Motion to adopt Amendment #11 will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question,

- 7th Legislative Day February 4, 1987

 there are 69 'ayes' and 38 'nos'. For what purpose does

 Mr. Tate seek recognition?"
- Tate: "I'd like to verify the Roll Call. I would. I think... I
 think some smokers should have some rights, so let's verify
 the Roll Call."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk will proceed to read the names of those voting in the affirmative, and the Chair would point out to Mr. Tate that the rules do provide for dilatory actions, Mr. Tate, and I would simply suggest..."
- Tate: "Well, maybe some of these people will change their mind, then."
- Speaker Madigan: "Well. I would just simply suggest that you might wish to read that rule. Ar. Clerk, read those voting in the affirmative."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Barger. Barnes. Berrios. Black. Rowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Capparelli. Churchill. Cowlishaw. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Daley. Deuchler. Didrickson. Doederlein. Dunn. Ewing. Flinn. Virginia Frederick. Hallock. Hasara. Hensel. Hicks. Hultgren. Johnson. Kirkland." Hoffman.
- Speaker Hadigan: "Mr. Clerk... Mr. Clerk. Mr. Tate."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was hoping that a few of those green votes would change their mind when they found out that this Amendment really was going to pass, and so now since it's passed and it looks like a few people are serious in this chamber. I'll withdraw my Motion."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman withdraws his request for a verification. On this question, there are 69 *ayes* and 38 *nos*, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor... Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative Hoffman."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman. I

7th Legislative Day

February 4. 1987

think... "

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to withdraw Amendment #12."

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment #12 shall be withdrawn. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 313, offered by Representative

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr... "

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the with Amendment #13 deals House. the results οf Subcommittee action, and on the Amendment, if you'll look down to line 20, we point out, in no case shall any action taken on a Bill or Resolution by a Subcommittee preclude a Sponsor or Committee Member from... for the Sponsor presenting the Bill or Resolution to the full Committee for full debate and a vote. What we are addressing here. Mr. Speaker, is the right of individual Hembers of this sponsor legislation to present that legislation to the full Committee. The fact of the matter is that cases. Subcommittee action on legislation is reported to the Committee as a whole, and there is no testimony from the Sponsor, no presentation of the Bill by the Sponsor, to the Committee. We think that everyone in this House should a right to present their legislation from their point have of view t n the entire Committee. notwithstanding Subcommittee action. Now, the Committee may take the position that the Subcommittee is... is correct and vote in that manner. They may choose, however, to do otherwise. based on the presentation. And for that reason. Mr. Speaker, we would like to amend the rules to... this for inclusion, and I move the adoption of Amendment #13 to HR

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

44.0

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #13. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Keane."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Keane: Amendment. I think it specifically discusses the process that the Revenue Committee has used, and I would like explain that the Revenue Committee has used briefly Subcommittees. Any Member of the Revenue Committee... Every Member of the Revenue Committee is aware of the Bills, that the Bills are being assigned to Subcommittee. They have an opportunity, all the Members of the Sub... of the full Committee have an opportunity to aet Subcommittee. to participate and listen to the testimony. There's a full hearing. There's many witnesses. T F Sponsor wants to bring in all kinds of witnesses, there's a good chance for... they have a full opportunity to testify. What occurs is that... and the Members on both sides of the aisle have told me they're very happy with this setup simply because it's a Wednesday morning Committee. from 8:00 in the morning until noon. There are other major Committees meeting at that time. Members of the Revenue Committee are able to make other... to go and testify on on the Bills that they have before Education, Executive and similar Committees. The Committees... Subcommittees, again, do give a full hearing to every Bill, Senate... every House Sponsor. The Sponsor is able to present all the testimony he wants. Anv Member οf the Revenue Committee who is interested in coming and listening to that testimony and questioning the is welcome, as they all know, but I think that it has proved a very, very advantageous process for the Members. way to just thwart what The easiest the Sponsor's

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

attempting to do is that if there's... if we... if there's a Motion made, it could be easily overridden by the Majority, so I don't see that... I think what it is, is, it's a situation... it's taking a situation that's worked very, very well in throwing roadblocks up. And for that reason, I would ask you to vote 'no' on this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. I rise in support αf the Amendment. I was a part of the process in the Revenue Committee last year whereby we created the Subcommittees. must say that sometimes I felt that streamlined the process and that it was better. But I also note, because I was a part of that process, that there were some problems with that system, and I think the problem was that our own Members did not have a right to reach other Members concerning their Bills. If you Bill into a Subcommittee that has three Members who are opposed to your Bill, that Bill is never going to You don't have a chance to go before the full Committee, you don't have a chance to talk to the Members full Committee and maybe of the get your legislation Oftentimes. I heard the complaint from witnesses passed. wanted to testify to the full Committee. They didn't want to just testify in a Subcommittee, they testify to everybody in the full Committee, because they both sides of the aisle in had friends on the full. Committee, but perhaps the three Member Subcommittee was stacked against them. I think what we do if we create Subcommittee process is, we take away the rights of the Members and of the public to have their Bills duly heard in the system the way the system was originally established. and over the course of time, has been allowed to exist.

7th Legislative Day

February 4. 1987

think that this affects the Majority Members as well as the Minority Members. I don't think this is something that's just a Minority issue. I think the Majority Members will find that their Bills will find a nice death in a very polite way, without anybody getting their feelings hurt, in a Subcommittee that was formed ostensibly for the purposes of hearing Bills."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. My experience has been the Judiciary Committees where the Subcommittees have been used effectively, but primarily for the purpose of refining the Bills that are brought before the Judiciary Committee as a whole. In... In regard to holding hearings before Subcommittees by giving a full those hearing Subcommittee so that the Subcommittee can report to the full Committee, that's just not possible in We're not set up as Congress is set up. not full time. We're not here 220 business davs fact of the matter is that when Subcommittees are used as hearing Committees, those rights of the public are. i n fact. violated. and it isn't because you intend it, it isn't because we intend it. The fact of the matter is. we're not capable of handling Subcommittees and Committees. Committee schedule in the House fills all of the time we're not on the floor, and we all know that to be the Unlike the United States Congress, where Committees can be in Session while the House is in Session or we are limited. We cannot hold Committee hearings other than when the House is not in Session. already, with 25 standing Committees, filled up all of that available time. Subcommittees are effectively used when they are used to refine the legislation for presentation to the full Committee. They are not effectively used to

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

on those Bills, to make a judgment on which the full Committee will rely. The public is thwarted in its attempt to get its case heard before the Legislature. We do ourselves a disservice by ignoring that fact. I think that the Subcommittees can be used very effectively, but not as hearing Committees, and for that reason, I support the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Last Session. I had a number of Bills that went before the Revenue Committee, and add that I was treated with all the respect that I would want any Representative to be treated. Mv Bills were They did a fine job, and I commend the Committee heard. Chairman on the Revenue Committee and the Minority process of In the some of those Bills, I was asked to appear before a Subcommittee, a Subcommittee of the Revenue Committee that would hear the legislation, and I did, and I was told that I would not have to appear before the Committee. And then, during the debate of the Bills that I had - I think there were three of them that day - all three were recommended by the Subcommittee to be adopted. that was fine, and I was elated by it, obviously. passing a Bill through the Subcommittee. And I was... it was suggested that I did not have to. then. appear before the full Committee. Oh, about two or three weeks after the Committee's deliberation, I had asked of the Chairman, what ever happened to the other Bill, one of the Bills. them on the Calendar, but not the third one, and I was told that it had been defeated and did not... adopted by the full Committee. And I said. *Well, what happened?* And he said, 'Well, the full Committee only agreed with two of the three. And I said, Well, you know, if I had known, I would have been to the full

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Committee to at least argue the point of my Bill. So it seems that as we go along, if we maybe... to speed it up, if we perhaps affirmatively have a Bill passed. fine. that speeds it up, but it doesn't seem to be fair Representative to have had the Subcommittee recommend *do adopt or 'do pass' and then find out that they didn't they haven't had a chance to talk to anybody about it. Sa Committee doesn't even hear the arguments or the the full merits or demerits of a Bill. So for those reasons. felt that that Committee could not have done it any better than any other Committee, that it really the Representative who leaves a Subcommittee with the consent and the approval on a Bill with the thought that that Bill has now been adopted by the Committee, disadvantage of not being able to discuss those Bill with that full Committee. So for those reasons, support we should Representative Amendment #13, because it really affects all of us, and it's not a... an attempt to hurt anybody's Bill. it's attempt to obviously allow everybody that full debate that vou would want us to have. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Thank you, Nr. Speaker. I would... I would just I think it... it will be a disservice to members of the public to create a massive Subcommittee situation cause a logjam, causing many Members not to be able, at times, to attend Subcommittee hearings. Members of public will come from different parts of the state, travel long distances to testify on an issue, and find that they're talking to two or three or four or five Members of a Subcommittee, probably have an exaggerated idea importance of that hearing and find out later, indeed, how probably unimportant that particular hearing was. Ŧ iust

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

think it creates a logjam that's not fair to the general public, that should have an opportunity, through their Legislature... through their Legislators, to have ideas heard by a fully attended and full Committee. And I urge adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman to close."

- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think the case for this Amendment has been clearly stated in a number of different ways. I appreciate the concern of the Chairman of the Revenue Committee, but notwithstanding those concerns, I would ask for "aye" votes on this Amendment, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #13. Those in favor of Mr. Hoffman's Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 50 'ayes', 64 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, who is the Sponsor of the Resolution?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Committee on Rules... "

- Speaker Madigan: "On the question of Resolution #44, the Chair recognizes Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 House Resolution 44, introduced by the Committee on Rules,
 now creates our standing Committees, our select Committees,
 and two service Committees, and it also establishes our
 permanent deadlines for this 1987 Session of the General
 Assembly. It also establishes rights of the public, which
 are a part of our rules presently, makes them permanent,
 establishes media access to house proceedings, makes those

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

rules permanent, and provides that the temporary rules of the 84th General Assembly will continue to govern the conduct of the House, except as they are inconsistent with these permanent rules. I would now move the adoption of the... House Resolution 44, and the Legislature... the House will be ready to conduct business."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

McCracken: "John, I'm looking at the Bill, and I understand that this incorporates as ... as rules, those temporary rules we adopted last time we were here, except to the extent are inconsistent with Rules 1 through 6 in your Resolution. the temporary rules, there are exceptions to the Committee deadlines. I think it's Rule 22(b)-2. find it. It's 27(b). I'm sorry. It's 29(b). I'm sorry. 29(b). 29(b) is the exceptions to the Committee deadlines. Now, you don't have that in your Bill. There are... no exceptions stated to the Committee deadlines. and I note the language regarding inconsistent rules not heina adopted. And my question is, are the exceptions to the Committee deadlines in effect if we adopt this Resolution?"

Matijevich: "What was that question again, Tom?"

McCracken: "Are the exceptions which are stated in Temporary Rule 29(c)... I'm sorry, it was (c). Those are exceptions to the Committee deadlines. Are those now going to be in effect if we adopt House Resolution 44?"

Matijevich: "Tom, the 29... rule that you referred to only referred to Bills in the even number. What we're doing now with our permanent deadlines refers strictly to the odd number year."

McCracken: "No, no. 29(c)... I'm sorry. I probably gave you the wrong rule. 29(c)."

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Matijevich: "Same argument. In other words, if... "

McCracken: "No. no. Sub... Subsection (b) refers to the Committee deadlines, which you now have in House Resolution 44. That's amended. Subsection (c) is an exception to those Committee deadlines. My... and my question îs, exceptions incorporated into our temporary rules, or does House Resolution 44 conflict with those and therefore voids those? I just want to know if the exceptions to the Committee deadlines are still with us?"

Matijevich: "They're still with us."

McCracken: "Okay. Alright."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken? Mr. McCracken?"

McCracken: "Yes?"

Speaker Madigan: "Are you finished?"

"To ... To the Bill, very briefly. In the course McCracken: o f the Amendments, I know we've had occasion to discuss a lot of the aspects of House Resolution 44. I asked the Members on this side of the aisle to remember that the Election Law is part of this Bill, the Election Committee which we have been fighting against in an attempt to give Representative Weller his due process, and I would ask Members on this side of the aisle to vote against House Resolution 44. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I also rise in opposition to the House Resolution 44. It seems to me that if we really want to have a good House Resolution before us, we had a chance to make it better today, and that didn't really happen. First of all, we suggested, on this side of the aisle that we, in fact, continue the Election Committee as the only Election Committee and let them do the work of the House as they have done in years gone by. And that was not adopted, so I

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

believe that that is one flaw in this Bill. Seconda we suggested, of course, that we abolish all the select Committees because we have a proliferation of Committees which I think has become a serious problem in this House. It has made the House less efficient and by and large. really obscures some of the things that are done down here. We also suggest that the Veterans Committee, which I think is probably the oldest Committee in the history of this House, be reestablished. So Members and people from across the State of Illinois can see that we have a primary focus. and that is on Veterans' Affairs. Then we also suggested that a Member have a right to have his Bill heard, not only in Subcommittee, but also before the full Committee, and that, too, unfortunately, lost. So I would say to you here today, we have made some suggestions which I believe would have made this House a much more efficient and we tried to do that in a bipartisan fashion. Unfortunately, we did not succeed, and because of that ask for your defeat of this Amendment... reason. would this Resolution."

- "The question is Speaker Madigan: the adoption οf Resolution #40 (sic - 44)... Mr. Matijevich has moved for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor o f the of the Resolution signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 64 'ayes' and 48 'nos'. The Resolution adopted. Mr. Clerk, ďο you have an Adjournment Resolution?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker,
 Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House
 of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the
 following Senate Joint Resolutions, and the adoption of

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives. to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #5. resolved by the Senate of the 85th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the House of Representatives adjourns Wednesday, February 4, 1987, it stands adjourned until Hednesday, February 11, 1987, at 11:00 a.m.; and when on Wednesday, February 11, 1987, it stands adjourned until Thursday, February 19, 1987, at 11:00 a.m.; and when it stands adjourned on Thursday, February 19, 1987, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, February 25, 1987, at 11:00 a.m.; and when it adjourns on Wednesday, February 25, 1987, it stands adjourned until Tuesday, March 3, 1987, at 12:00 noon."

- Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Flinn seek recognition?"
- Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, before we adjourn, I would just like
 to remind the Members of the Election Committee that we do
 have a meeting in Room 114 shortly after adjournment."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has announced that there will be
 a meeting of the Elections Committee in Room 114
 immediately after adjournment. For what purpose does Mr.
 Stephens seek recognition?"
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As we will not be in Session on Thursday, February 12, I wonder if it might be appropriate if we took a moment today to pause to remember the birth of Abraham Lincoln, a great Republican, a great American, and certainly one worthy of recognition here on the House floor."
- Speaker Madigan: "Absolutely, Mr. Stephens, and it might be very nice if you Republicans would emulate Mr. Lincoln."
- Stephens: "I thought that that's what we were doing, Sir."

 Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. Ar. McPike has moved for the

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. The Chair will now take several Agreed Resolutions and then the Adjournment Motion, so Mr. Clerk, read the Resolutions... will be in the Chair."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 18, offered by Representative Ewing."

Speaker Madigan: "... Giorgi in the Chair."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 19, Didrickson; 23, Hasara - and Curran; 29, Johnson; 32, Daley; 33, Matijevich - et al; 34, Matijevich; 35, Johnson; 36, Johnson; 37, Johnson: 38. Johnson: 40. Keane: 41. Turner: 42. Churchill: 45. Matijevich; 46, Matijevich; 47, Matijevich; 49, Bowman; 50, Regan: 52. McGann: 53. DeJaegher - and Brunsvold: 55. Pullen and McAuliffe; 57, Parcells; 58, Phelos: 56. Morrow: 60, Jones; 61, Didrickson; 62, McCracken; 63, 25. which is out of order, is Hicks; 64, Tuerk. House Joint Resolutions 11, Wojcik; 13, Parke; 14, 15. Parke; 16. Parke; and 17. Parke."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Matijevich on the Agreed Resolutions."

- Matijevich: "Yes, it's my honor to approach the Dean of the House
 now, as our temporary Speaker, and move that the Agreed
 Resolutions be adopted."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 51, Currie, with respect to the memorv οf Eddie Mae Johnson. House Resolution 43. Countryman, with respect to the memory of Janice Priede. House Resolution 39, Johnson, with respect to the memory of Paul Scott. House Resolution 31. Johnson. with respect to the memory of Robert Lyle Gordon, II. House Resolution 30, offered by Representative Johnson, with respect to the

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

memory o f W. Carl Allen. House Resolution 28, bν Representative Johnson, with respect to the memory of Jean House Resolution 24, offered by Wyvetter Younge, Hammer. with respect to the memory of Barbara Jean Luckett. Joint Resolution 7, offered by Representative Daley, with respect to the memory of Sergeant John A. Costas. House Joint Resolution 8, offered by Representative Daley, with respect to the memory of Harry H. 'Sparky' Hurling. Joint Resolution #9, offered by Representative Daley, with respect to the memory of Nora Ryan."

- Speaker Giglio: "Mr. AcPike moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed "nay". The "ayes" have it. The Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 12, Braun. House Resolution 59, Didrickson and Kubik. House Resolution 54, Shaw and Flowers. House Resolution 26, by Stange."
- Speaker Giglio: "Committee on Assignments. The Clerk needs 10 minutes perfunctory time. The House will now stand adjourned until March... Representative McPike moves that the House stand adjourned until March 3, when the Members have to be back in Springfield, and there are Perfunctory in between for the... for the introduction of Bills. He's under his desk. All in favor say 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The House stands adjourned until March 3."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading of Bills. House
 Bill 178, Ropp, a Bill for an Act to amend the Lobbyists'
 Registration Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill
 179, Bowman and Daley, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Senior Citizens' and Disabled Persons' Property Tax Relief
 and Pharmaceutical Assistance Act. First Reading of the

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Bill. House Bill 180. Satterthwaite. Bowman - and Breslin. a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 181. Mautino - and McNamara. for an Act to create the Small Business Litigation Bi 11 Expense Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Resolution (sic - Bill) 182. Mautino, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act. First Reading of 183. Mautino. a Bill for an Act in relation to registration of itinerant vendors. First Reading of the House Bill 184, Mautino, a Bill for an Act to amend Bill. the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bi 11 House 185. Cullerton. a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 186. Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend the County Executive Art. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 187. Cullerton. a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 188, Satterthwaite, a Bill for an Act in relation rate of interest and other charges in connection with sales on credit and the lending of money. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 189. Anthony Young, a Bill for an Act to the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act. amend First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 190, Anthony Young, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 191, Anthony Young, a Bill Act relation to minority and female business enterprises. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill Anthony Young. a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 193, Stephens, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 194, Homer - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Johnson - et al. a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 186 (sic - 196), Anthony Young... strike that. That's Wyvetter Younge, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Metro East Sanitary District Act. First Reading of House Bill 197, Curran, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the House Bill 198, Anthony Young, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 199, Anthony Young, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 200, Dunn - and Currie, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 201, offered by Dunn - and Currie, a Bill for an amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 202. Anthony Young - and Daley. an Act to amend the law concerning voter First Reading of the Bill. registration. House Bill 203. Anthony Young - and Daley, a Bill for an Act to amend the law concerning the appointment of deputy registrars. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 204, Anthony Young, a Bill an Act to amend the Illinois Election Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 205, Ropp - et al, a an Act concerning truancy. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 206, Parke - et al. a Bill for an Act to amend Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 207, Parke - et al, a Bill for an Act Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 208, Shaw, a Bill for an Act to amend Regional Transportation Authority Act. First Reading of House Bill 209, Tuerk - and Saltsman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 210, Panayotovich - et al, a Bill for an

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

limit civil liability of volunteer Act tο coaches, instructors, umpires, referees who render services sports program of a nonprofit association to limit the liability of nonprofit associations and their officers employees who conduct or sponsor such sports programs. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 211. for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 212, Matijevich - and a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Food. Drug Currie. and Cosmetic Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bi 11 213. Matijevich and Saltsman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of 214, Van Duyne and Saltsman, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to fire protection districts. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 215. Breslin. a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with Introduction and First Bill 216, Johnson - Satterthwaite, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Motor Vehicle Retail Installment Sales Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 217. Flowers - Currie, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 218, Ewing, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relationship to the adoption of persons and to repeal an Act therein named and to amend Sections of the Code of Civil Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 219. Ewing - Matijevich, a Bill for an Act in relationship to public and community service for offenders. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 220, offered by Representative Terzich, Hoffman - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relationship to the Chicago Transit Authority Retirement Plan. First Reading of the

7th Legislative Day

February 4, 1987

Bill. House Bill 221, Hallock — McCracken, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. No further business, the House now stands adjourned."

03/18/87 11:41

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

FEBRUARY 04, 1987

HB-0178	FIRST	READING	PA		81
HB-0179	FIRST	READING	P/	AGE (81
HB-0180	FIRST	READING	P#	AGE (81
HB-0181	FIRST	READING	P/	AGE (82
HB-0182	FIRST	READING	P/	AGE 4	82
HB-0183	FIRST	READING	P/	AGE :	82
HB-0184	FIRST	READING	P/	AGE :	82
HB-0185	FIRST	READING	P/	AGE :	82
HB-0186	FIRST	READING	PA	AGE :	82
HB-0187	FIRST	READING	P	AGE (82
HB-0188	FIRST	READING	P/	AGE (82
HB-0189	FIRST	READING	P	AGE :	82
HB-0190	FIRST	READING	P	AGE :	82
HB-0191	FIRST	READING	P	AGE :	82
HB-0192	FIRST	READING	P	AGE .	82
		READING	P	AGE :	82
HB-0194					82
HB-0195					82
		READING			83
		READING			83
		READING			83
		READING			83
		READING			83
		READING			83
		READING			83
		READING			83
		READING			83
		READING			83
		READING			83
HB-0207			P	AGE	83
HB-0208					83
		READING	P	AGE	83
HB-0210			P	AGE	83
HB-0211					84
HB-0212					84
HB-0213					84
HB-0214					84
HB-0215					84
HB-0216					84
		READING	P	AGE	84
HB-0218					84
HB-0219					84
		READING			84
		READING			84
		UTION OFFERED			24
1111 0071			•	-	-•
	SUBJEC	T MATTER			

03/18/87 11:41

STATE OF ILLINOIS 85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

PAGE

PAGE

81

85

FEBRUARY 04, 1987

SUBJECT MATTER

GENERAL RESOLUTIONS PERFUNCTORY SESSION ADJOURNED