145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 1:00 having arrived, the House will be in Session. Those not entitled to the floor will please withdraw. Members will be in their seats. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Chaplain for today will be the Reverend M. C. Johnson, Pastor of Calvary Temple Church of Springfield. Reverend Johnson is the guest of Representative Michael Curran. Will the guests in the gallery please join us for the invocation? Reverend Johnson."
- Reverend Johnson: "Shall everyone just look to God and ask for His wisdom. We also realize, Lord, that there are many important matters to be dealt with and so give us, I pray, the wisdom that You gave to Solomon. Let Your hand be upon us and Your direction be upon our lives and help that because of these Sessions that Illinois would be a better state and that the citizens would not only be encouraged, but they will be blessed. Bless every Member of the legislation (sic Legislature) as they try to follow Your will and we'll praise You, for we ask it all in Your name and for Your glory. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp, will lead us in the Pledge to the Flag."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

  States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

  one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

  for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Matijevich, are there any excused absences on the Democratic side?"
- Matijevich: "None... none here, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Piel, are there any excused absences on the Republican side?"
- Piel: "None to report, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 114

145th Legislative Day

- December 2, 1986
- Members having answered to the call of the quorum, a quorum is present. Committee Report.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative White, Chairman of the Committee on Human Services, to which the following Bill was referred, action taken December 1, 1986, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass' Senate Bill 1699."
- Speaker Greiman: "Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 1692, Johnson; 1693, Stephens; 1694, Brookins; 1695, Curran; 1697, Klemm; 1698, Stephens; 1699, Curran; 1701, Barnes et al; 1702, McNamara; 1703, Washington; 1704, Brookins; 1705, Nash; 1707, Hartke; and 1708, Hartke."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, these are all congratulatory, and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

  The Resolutions are adopted. Supplemental Calendar."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #1 is being distributed."

  Speaker Greiman: "Death Resolutions."
- Clerk Joint Resolution 246. O'Brien: "House Dalev. House Resolution 1691. House Joint Resolution Johnson. 246 . offered by Representative Daley, with respect to the memory of James J. Floodas. House Resolution 1691, offered by Representative Johnson+ with respect to the Philip Wayne Smith. House Resolution 1696, offered by Representative Pedersen, with respect to the memory William Cody Boggs, Sr. House Resolution 1700, offered by Representative Daley, with respect to the memory of William Cahill. House Resolution 1706, by

145th Legislative Day

- December 2, 1986
- Representative Nash, with respect to the memory of Evans N. Sakellariou.
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, on the Death Resolutions."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, I move the adoption of the Death Resolutions."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Death Resolutions are adopted. Gentleman...

  Representative Stephens in the Chair for the purpose of an introduction."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's my pleasure to introduce to you the Triad High School Cheerleading Squad, the 1986 champions of the State Fair competition. Girls, you want to come on up? I'd also like to introduce their first year coach who took these young ladies to the championship, Ms. Melissa Taylor, for the purpose of introducing each of the members of the squad. Melissa."
- Coach Taylor: "On behalf of the cheerleaders, I'd like to you very much for inviting us here. He're very honored and excited to be here. This is my first year as cheerleading coach at Triad, and it's been a terrific year. they got first place at the Springfield Fair and just all. Saturday, they got first place at the ICC Regional competition. They're very good cheerleaders and they're all around good students and very active in I \* m very proud to be their sponsor. I'd like to introduce them time. Starting at this side. this this is Chris \*Reinhardt\*, ...Whitehead, Leslie Miller. Gina Petroski Beth Klaustermeier, Cathy Cory, Melissa Snyder. Blume, Amy May and Stacey Heape."

145th Legislative Day

- December 2: 1986
- Stephens: "Thank you, Melissa. Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen for your attention. Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Macon,

  Mr. Tate, seek recognition?"
- Tate: Mr. Speaker. ₩e were just wondering... we vou. understand that there is a small little event that's to take place at 3:00 this afternoon; however, in the meantime. there were a lot of Members on this side wondering whether we're going to stay for recess or at ease next couple hours and wondering whether we'd be able to go out and eat whether than order off the floor and Do you have any recommendations to aive to Membership on where they can eat right now, since we're not anything at all and we do have some business that we could conceivably conduct in the next couple of days?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, Springfield does not have an issue of

  Michelin as to where one might stop for a good meal, but...

  and I have no recommendations for you, Mr. Tate."
- Tate: "So, that means... are we going to continue to be at... at this ease right now?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate, we're in Session. He're in Session.

  The Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- McCracken: "To acknowledge, Mr. Speaker, Secretary of State, Jim
  Edgar, who's on the Republican side of the aisle.

  Secretary Edgar."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. We do see... we welcome you, Mr. Secretary of State, and realize that while you are the Secretary of State, the most important office you've held is being a Representative in the General Assembly. Ms. Breslin in the Chair."
- Speaker Breslin: "...Recognizes Representative McCracken. For what reason do you rise, Sir?"

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Our side of the aisle is very fortunate today. The Constitutional Officers are all over here. Secret... Secretary... Attorney General Neil Hartigan right here..."

Speaker Breslin: "Welcome."

McCracken: "...Moving forward on the CHIPs program."

Speaker Breslin: "And Secretary Edgar is here as well. Welcome, Sir. Okay. To Jim Edgar. Ladies and Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to the Order of Motions on Supplemental Calendar #1. The Bill appearing there is Senate Bill 1699. Representative Greiman is recognized on a Motion. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker, I would move that the appropriate rule be waived to allow Senate Bill 1699 to be heard on the Order of Second Reading, Second Day. Has the Clerk read the Motion?"

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, does the Motion need to be read?"

Speaker Breslin: "No, it does not need to be read. The Gentleman has made a Motion, Ladies and Gentlemen, to move Senate

Bill 1699 to the Order of Second Reading. Second Legislative Day. Is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House move Bil1 1699 the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day? • All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Sill is moved to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Read the Bill on Second, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1699, a Bill for an Act to create the Comprehensive Health Insurance Plan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

Parcells."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parcells. Representative Parcells."

- "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Parcells: This Bill has come to us very quickly for and there may be good reason for that, but we are sent here as guardians of the public purse in addition to other things, and with all of the diseases that would be covered by this, new diseases that we hear of every day, this is wonderful, wonderful coverage. At 1500 dollars, we have stop loss. The point of my saying this is that the cost of this could be 50 or a 100,000,000 dollars. I present this Bill that we will match those premiums dollar for dollar. We will pay 100 percent out of the General Fund as a way of controlling. At least in the first year or two that we are payouts, it will be a way to control those making expenditures because we should be fiscally responsible and prudent. I want it understood that I do care about the people who need insurance who cannot get it. I am not sure that writing this kind of insurance policy is the only way to do that for them. I would suggest that we accept this Amendment so that we have some kind of a cost control what this Bill will cost us."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1699. And on that question. the Gentleman from Cook. Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. I would rise in opposition to Amendment #1. We, indeed, have many controls built into this... the fabric of this structure at this point. First, we have the time sequence between now and the effective date of the Bill, which is really a year from now, when the first policies will be, in fact, issued. We have that time limitation to determine what would be appropriate

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

parameters for it, that's number one. Number two, in the first years, there will be almost... it's quite clear everyone who has looked at this has suggested that there will be no overrun at all, that overruns, if there be at all, will be in the out years. Now, to limit that at point, this far away, and this obscure would be to curtail this program and to trivialize this program, if you will, to tie it into those premiums in that wav. Accordingly. I think would be bad public policy for us at this moment to try and divine something like this, think it would be... it would really strike at the very gut Bill and it would, in fact, destrov effectiveness of this Bill. I suggest, therefore, that we defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing, on the Amendment."

Ewing: "Madam Speaker, I want to speak to a later Amendment, I\*m sorry."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative McCracken, on the Amendment."

"Thank you. Madam Speaker. I rise in opposition to McCracken: the Amendment as well. The Bill provides for a thirtv... 135 percent premium payment as compared to a premium payment in the private sector if coverage were afforded under this plan. So. I think we have come to a responsible level of personal participation by that minimum requirement of the individual that he contribute not less than 135 percent of that otherwise applicable premium. In addition, this pool is set up to be self-sustaining. We understand that there are some costs involved here, but reaching into the General Revenue Fund is only done if pool has exhausted itself, and there is no indication at this point that that necessarily would happen. that we should keep the Amendments off the Bill and move it

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

to Third Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion... Excuse me.

The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Weaver, on the

Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I rise in support of this Amendment. The argument House. needs to be made that we're guardians of the public purse and there is no control on the amount of money that this program could cost the State of Illinois. I was initially told that the insurance industry has fixed their experience of loss at around just under 200,000,000 dollars. will far exceed the premiums that we see in the first year. I urge you to take a look at where your tax money is coming from and what good it's going to do and at least offer some containment of the cost of this program until we get an accurate experience as to what it's going to cost the state. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Stern."

Stern: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I think this is not a timely Amendment. I think we need to have an experienced factor to deal with before we start trying to cap the benefits. I think if our... the beneficiaries of these policies are going to get treatment, the doctors involved are going to have to be assured that the State of Illinois will stand behind it. I object to the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McLean. Representative

Klemm. Excuse me. McHenry."

Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I could understand the concerns of the Sponsor of the Amendments. He're supposed to be responsible for dollars and there's no amount that's been given, so they want to put some limits, and I can understand that and I think that's probably commendable;

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

but, if we had caps that were to expire particular in a fiscal year, say the seventh or eighth or tenth month, then those that have the other policies and they have a claim. would not be paid. It would seem to me that would if we were to say to some people that get your betraval claims in early while the caps are still in force and when we run out of money, we won't reimburse you, would be wrong public policy in my mind. So, as though I support idea of trying to keep expenses to a minimum for the the State of Illinois, it's my opinion that caps on such as this would be detrimental and we should not support it."

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, Representative Parcells, to close."
- Parcells: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. I think that it... the guardian of the public purse, we owe this to our taxpayers. We must help those people who need this coverage; but, on the other hand, this could be a 100,000,000 dollars. Wе caps at all. We are making this an entitlement have no program by saying anybody who spends over 1500 dollars going to be covered. This isn't what they're asking for. They're asking for catastrophe insurance and we're going to cost the taxpayers of this state a great deal of money cover this. And I would suggest that you vote 'aye' on this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1699 be adopted?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 20 voting 'aye', 86 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

Parcells."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Please withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there any further

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Parcells."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parcells. Withdraw Amendment #3. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative ₩eaver."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weaver. Representative Weaver." "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the This is simply a dollar cap on the amount that House. we'll fund the state share of this program for the basic reasons that Representative Parcells Bill addressed the problem of cost overrun. What we're authorizing without some kind of a cap, is an open ended Bill that will much and as many claims as comes in. That could amount to two, three, 400,000,000 dollars. Ыe don't have any idea at this point how much it's going to cost the State of Illinois. And if you can go back and face your taxpayers and tell them that you voted for a Bill that had absolutely no limits on it in terms of their tax don't think you'll make it back here in another two years. We cannot routinely approve the cost without some kind level of control and if... a previous speaker mentioned one problems that if we have a cap on it and someone of the submits a claim that won't be paid. we go supplemental appropriations here on a daily basis, and that doesn't mean that we can't go back and refund it. What this cap will do is require that if there is an overrun, that a concerted effort be made to explain why there was an

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

overrun, why the estimates didn\*t meet the expenditures.

And I ask for your approval for this Motion.\*\*

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1699. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. If this General Assembly met every ten years, I suspect this would be a fine Amendment, but we meet, it seems once a year, it's, you know, annually, but seems like it's continually. We are in Session. There will be not one dime, not one nickel given to anyone this Bill unless it is appropriated annually by the General It is not like giving a bonding power to some agency at some astronomical figure and only hearing about He have constant annual oversight on this vears later. There will be not one penny distributed that we do not authorize. Now, to suggest that fifty-five fifty-five or two hundred and fifty-five is meaningful today is foolish. It sets in stone something that we... there is no reason for. It also by the way... we could change it any time we want with no Extraordinary Majority. So, what are we doing here, really? He're doing a nullity, and I suspect that on this kind of important legislation we ought not to do some kind of grandstand item. And as far as going back to my constituents, I can sure as heck them and tell them that I supported this Bill for people who have not had an opportunity to have... have the kind of health care that all Americans should have because I was afraid of some future General Assembly. I trust our process. I trust this Assembly to do the right thing this in future years. This Amendment and defeated."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of Amendment I think it's quite important for our constituents and as a Body to set a policy as to the total cost of for action we this program. We all know that whatever today can be changed by a later General Assembly, but I think we need to speak that this is not an While many of us who support this Amendment may program. well vote for the Bill, I think it's a better Bill if an outside limitation on it. I would urge people on this side and on that side of the aisle who we know interested in this legislation to support this Amendment. It will make it a better Bill today. He can if we need in later years change the amount of the limitation. would urge your support for this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Breslin: "State your question."

O'Connell: "Representative, is 55,000,000 dollars a pallatable amount for you to accept as an appropriation for this particular measure?"

Weaver: "Yes."

O'Connell: "You would... you would acceed then to spending \$55,000,000 dollars a year for this legislation?"

Weaver: "Up to."

O'Connell: "Well, I would submit, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that I am not necessarily prepared to spend 55,000,000 dollars a year on this legislation. I think rather what I propose to do is to support the legislation as it stands and accept it as a measure that we can address year in and year out and to take fiscally responsible measures to prevent an over expenditure of monies on such a

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

that the measure measure. We know has substantive to those people that are without ability to get I am not prepared in 1986, however, to ceiling in the amount of which the Sponsor this Amendment is prepared to spend today and expect that that acceptable to my constituents. I think it's a will be fiscally irresponsible act on the part that set a cap \$55.000.000 dollars. The essence of this legislation is that we will be able to affect a wash, i f vou will. bv taking people off the rolls of public aid and place them on a level of ability to get insurance where they can put in and we can supplement, from a General Assembly standpoint, amount of money necessary to obtain the insurance. giving a carte blanche expenditure are not without necessarily other returns by removing people from public aid. I think that this Amendment is an irresponsible act and I would suggest that the Amendment be defeated."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative

Homer."

Homer: Thank your Thank you, Madam Speaker, and Ladies I rise in opposition to the Amendment for many Gentlemen. of the reasons the former speaker mentioned, and to the practical effect of what this cap Picture yourself going to your own insurance company, vour own health insurance company and being asked to pay a premium, they're being told that the company had imposed the ceiling on the amount of deficit it might sustain in any one year. Would you be willing to pay a premium under those kind of restrictions? Of course, you would not, and neither would the people who might well benefit bv participating in the CHIPs program. This is a smoke This Amendment will do nothing. screen. Why, because the effective date of the legislation is July 1 of 1987. The

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

panel will not even bе in place, nor the program until after January 1 of 1988. The Economic operational and Fiscal Commission has projected that there can than a 10,000,000 dollar deficit for the year FY \*88, a 10,000,000 dollar deficit at most by Economic and Fiscal Commission and that doesn't even take into account the windfall that we will... or the savings that we will realize as a result of those people who are now off of public aid and a part of this pool risk insurance program. in effect, it is very probable and very possible and very likely that there will be no cost to the State that whatever deficit there is in this program Illinois. will be offset by a corresponding savings to the taxpayers public aid distributions. Therefore, it's improvident of us to act upon a measure before the facts are in. Let's pass the Bill. Let's give it a chance to operate. look at its performance after we have a period of experience to look at and; if, in fact, there is a need substantive cap at that time, we can do it, and we also, of course, maintain our control through the appropriation process to limit by appropriation the amount of money that will go to fund this program, but let's a substantive form, impose an arbitrary figure of 55,000,000 dollars which will wreck the program that's needed by so many people."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative
Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Madam Speaker..."

Speaker Breslin: "Please clear the aisle in front of Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "I have an inquiry of the Chair, please."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

Tuerk: "Would you please ask... would you please ask everybody

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

that has spoken on this Amendment to repeat their speeches.

I haven't heard a word that's been said?"

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tuerk, I will not ask them to do point is very well taken. that, but your Ladies and Gentlemen, the noise level on the floor is very difficult for Members to watch and participate in debate. Members not entitled... those people not entitled to the House floor, please leave now so that the Members can continue with the debate on a very important measure. There being no further discussion. Representative Weaver, to close."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The original Sponsor of the Bill when he spoke indicated that we can control the cost program by appropriations, how much would they this appropriate? Would it not also provide the 1imitations the program to as а cap? you only appropriate 50,000,000 dollars and the expenses q o over 50.000.000 dollars, then what do you do? My Amendment would cause us to have a cap in justification before the not after the fact. fact. A previous speaker also indicated that it was irresponsible for us to place 55,000,000 dollar cap on this Bill, but yet turned around and said he would vote for а Bill without anv any experience and without any means of control of the cost to the taxpayers of Illinois. I maintain we have to have some kind of limits, even though we don't have any specific experience as to what the costs are going to be so that we can come back and set some realistic limits that taxpayers of the State of Illinois can live with. I ask for your approval for this Motion."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 17 voting 'aye', 87 voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: 13 No further Amendments. Third Reading. Representative Greiman. Very good. The Bill will remain on the Order of Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we go to the Order of House Resolutions under the Order of Motions. On page five on your Calendar appears House Resolution 1684, Representative McGann. Hill you read the Motion. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone "Pursuant to Rule 77(a), I move to discharge Committee on Human Services from further consideration of House Resolution 1684, suspend Rule 77(b), relating to the Calendar requirements, advance to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day, Speaker's Table."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann, on the Motion."

McGann: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly. On House Resolution 1684. I ask that the Special House Committee, which is... this Resolution is in the Human Committee presently, that that Committee Services discharged and I have checked with the opposite side of the aisle to Representative Ryder. a Cosponsor and I would ask that this Committee... Human Resolution. Services be discharged and be placed on the Speaker's Calendar."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved pursuant to Rule 77(a) to discharge Human Services from further consideration of this Bill and suspend Rule 77(b) relating to the Calendar requirements and advance it to the Order of the Speaker's Table. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall the Motion be adopted?" All those in

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have, and the Motion is adopted with the Majority vote of the Membership. Representative McGann."

McGann: "Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly, I would ask for immediate consideration of House Resolution 1684."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has asked for leave for immediate consideration on House Resolution 1684. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the Rule be suspended so that the Gentleman can have immediate consideration of this Resolution?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Gentleman's Motion is granted. Proceed, Representative McGann... Excuse me, can you read the Resolution?"

McGann: "Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly..."

Clerk Leone: "Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the 84th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that the Special House Committee on Developmental Care created by House Resolution 1139 is directed to file a raport with its findings and recommendations with the Clerk of the House before May 1, 1987."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly. The Special House Committee on Developmental Care, which was created by House Resolution 1139, was directed to file a report by December of 1986. This Resolution is asking for an extension of that report to show its findings and recommendations with the Clerk of the House before May 1 of 1987. This Resolution has been cosponsored by Representative Tom Ryder, it has been checked with Republican side of the aisle. They were most cooperative in supporting this House Resolution, and I would

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

House to generally approve of this HR 1684."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 1684. Is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion? The question is, 'Shall House Resolution 1684 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. Representative O'Connell, for what reason do you rise?"
- O'Connell: "Madam Speaker, may I have leave to reject the First

  Conference Committee for House Bill 1103 and request that a

  Second Conference Committee be appointed?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Is it on the Calendar and i f 50. where? O'Connell. Representative Representative O'Connell, understand that the Bill has to go through Rules before can act upon it because it had been tabled, so we will get you. Нe are working on developing a Rules Committee meeting right now. Representative Matijevich is recognized for Motion. purposes of a Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask leave of the House and use of the Attendance Roll Call to suspend the rule prohibiting a Committee meeting... Committee meeting while we are in Session for the purpose of the Rules Committee to meet in the Speaker's Conference Room immediately for consideration of Bills that have been posted, and I ask leave of the House for that purpose."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has leave to suspend the appropriate rule so that the Rules Committee can meet while the House is in Session. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave with use of the Attendance Roll Call for that purpose. Ladies and Gentlemen, those of you who are on the Rules Committee, proceed immediately to the Speaker's Conference Room to

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

consider those Bills before the Rules Committee now.

Proceed immediately to the Speaker's Conference Room.

Representative Giglio in the Chair.

Speaker Giglio: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Committee on Rules has met and pursuant to Rule 29(c) 3, the following Bills have been rule exempt on December 2, 1986: Senate Bills #416, 1004, 1164, 1398, 1442 and 2116. Signed, John Matijevich, Chairman."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are about to Our process will be to adjourn the adjourn. Session of this General Assembly until tomorrow at 11:30, and then we will just... and when we finish that, go into the Special Session and we will adjourn that until tomorrow too. However, I would make this announcement that Democratic Members who are... who will be Members of next General Assembly, please meet immediately and directly after adjournment in Room 114-Immediately adjournment in Room 114. Thank you. And on the... and the Majority Leader, Mr. McPike, the Gentleman from Madison. Mr. McPike moves that the House stand until the hour of 11:30 tomorrow. All in favor signify by \*aye\*, those opposed \*no\*. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House stands adjourned until the hour of 11:30 tomorrow. The Third Special Session of the 84th General Assembly is now in order. Mr. McPike moves that the ... Mr. McPike asks leave of the House that the Roll Call of the Regular Session stand as the Roll Call for the Third Special Session of the Attendance Roll Call. And on that, Assembly... is there objection? No objection. A unanimous leave. anv Mr. McPike, the Gentleman from Madison, the Majority Leader, moves that the... that the Third Special Session of the 84th General Assembly stand adjourned until

145th Legislative Day

December 2, 1986

12:00 tomorrow. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed 'no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Third Special Session of the 84th General Assembly stands adjourned until the hour of 12:00 tomorrow."

12/19/86 10:15

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

DECEMBER 02 + 19	<b>ن</b>	c	3
------------------	----------	---	---

SB-1699 SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1699 MOTION	PAGE	5
HR-1684 MOTION	PAGE	16
HR-1684 MOTION	PAGE	17

### SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - M. C. JOHNSON	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	19
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	19
THIRD SPECIAL SESSION IN ORDER	PAGE	19
THIRD SPECIAL SESSION STAND ADJOURNED	PAGE	19