

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Harry A. Mann, Pastor of the Calvary Baptist Church in Springfield, Illinois. Reverend Mann is a guest of Representative Michael Curran. Would the guests in the gallery please rise and join us for the invocation?"

Reverend Mann: "Our Father in Heaven, as we come to this great, august Body this morning, we realize they have problems before them that are so deep. And we pray, Heavenly Father, that Thou would be with them as they think on many things and out of it all will come a solution and bring the peace and the content, glory and happiness to all that be concerned. We thank Thee for Thy Divine Spirit that guides mankind and ask that Thou would ever be with us in everything we undertake to do and that Thou would guide and direct these brethren and in the mannerism in which they ought go. In the name of Jesus Christ, we ask it all. Amen."

Speaker Madigan: "We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

Ropp - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Madigan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Greiman, are there any excused absences?"

Greiman: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, let the record show that Representatives Flinn and Washington are excused this morning."

Speaker Madigan: "Let the record reflect those excused absences. Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, at the present time we have no excused

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 9, 1965

absences, but we're checking. We think we might have one or two."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Piel. Mr. Clerk, take the record. There being 112 Members responding to the Attendance Roll Call, there is a quorum present. Ladies and Gentlemen, I am waiting to be called down to the Governor's Office for another... I'm waiting to be called down to the Governor's Office for another meeting of the Governor and the Legislative Leaders. I remain very hopeful that we can resolve our differences today and conclude the business of this particular Session of the General Assembly. In the event that we are unable to resolve the differences today and finish the Session, I think that we should all plan to return to Springfield on Monday, even though it is a state holiday. So, as you make your plans, on a tentative basis, think in terms of spending Monday here with Josephine Oblinger once again. So, I think the best would be just to stand at ease. I am waiting for that call from the Governor. I'm anxious to follow his leadership after he achieves some of the success with his friends in the Senate. So, again, thank you for your patience and your tolerance and please stand at ease. Thank you. Chair recognizes Mr. Panayotovich. Mr. Panayotovich."

Panayotovich: "I'm waiting for a Gentleman to come back into the chambers, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to take this minute to make an announcement as soon as he comes back in. I guess I'll have to make that announcement a little later, Mr. Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels will be happy that you're at your stations. Mr. Clerk, do you have a Resolution?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 123. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 84th General Assembly of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when both Houses adjourn on Friday, November 8, 1985, they stand adjourned until Tuesday, November 12, 1985 at 12:00 noon."

Speaker Madigan: "The Resolution provides that we shall adjourn now and return Tuesday at 12:00 noon. The Governor and the Leaders will... The Governor and the Leaders will meet on Monday to continue our negotiations on the open questions and the appropriations conferees will also meet on Monday to consider their matters in terms of appropriations. For what purpose does Mr. Vinson seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have filed, along with Representative Daniels, House Resolution 873 and a Motion pertaining to the Resolution for the immediate consideration of the Resolution, and I would like you to now call that Motion for immediate consideration so that we could go to that Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, has that Resolution been printed? Has it been distributed? Fine. Mr. Vinson, on his Motion."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, the Motion is a Motion for immediate consideration of House Resolution 873. What that Resolution does is to demonstrate our concern for and desire that we... the state prepay, at this time, certain costs to the Federal Government that would result in saving Illinois employers some 217 million dollars next year. It would be a very important effect if we could achieve it for the business climate in this state. And I would move for immediate adoption... for immediate consideration of House Resolution 873."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would rise in opposition to the Gentleman's

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

Motion. I think the Resolution should be assigned to a Committee and that a hearing should be held on it. Two and a half years ago, when we had... when the Speaker of the House convened a meeting between management and labor, we struck a three year bargain. That bargain was extended last June for another six months until January 1st of 1987. At the time of that agreement and again last summer, there was a handshake agreement in the Mansion between the Governor, the four Leaders, labor and management that nothing would be moved through the legislative process without the agreement of all parties. But to my knowledge, no meeting has been called by the Governor or by the Legislative Leaders for labor and management, the Legislators and the Governor to sit down to discuss this and come to an agreement. And until such time as that happens, I think this Resolution should be sent to Committee where it can be afforded a due and proper hearing."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in support of immediate consideration of this Motion, and to speak to the issue which we just heard about from the other side of the aisle. Actually, this Resolution, or this issue, has no bearing on any Mansion agreement whatsoever. What that Mansion agreement stipulated was that we deal with state taxes and state benefits which is still in force. It had nothing to say about the federal taxes and penalties involved in this proposal. I think it's an absolutely proper Motion at this point. I think if it does go to Committee, it's a death nill of this possibility of saving the employers across this state an awful lot of money and, therefore, helping the business climate of the state. And the people on the other side of the aisle

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

should be in favor of that sort of thing because it's going to continue to employ people, rather than unemploy people. I think it's a very fine move. It should be adopted. And if we wait to send it to Committee, the deadline is going to be passed long before the Committee even considers it. So, therefore, I think we should get to the work and adopt the Motion and implement the whole program into legislation and go on with the business."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this does not affect the payment to unemployed workers in this state one way or the other. It does save dollars for employers in Illinois which, hopefully, will encourage people to employ more people in Illinois and come to Illinois. This is something that can be done at no expense to the workmen and helps the employers. I don't see how anyone can object to it. And it has to be done today, as you know."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I guess lest this get out of hand, I think we should... I rise in support of the Motion obviously. I can't imagine why it wouldn't be obvious to everybody in the chamber, including yourself, Mr. Speaker, that... that this is... this is outside any agreement that we would live up to in the Mansion. I think Representative Tuerk was correct. I can't imagine why anybody would oppose a Resolution or an ultimate solution quickly of an anomaly, of an aberration in... in a window of opportunity that occurs in the federal way of doing things that allows, by a simple manipulation of dollars with no cost to the state, no cost to unemployed workers, but a benefit to the employment community of over 200 million dollars next year on a one time basis that could go to expand plants and to create more jobs so there's less

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

unemployed workers. I mean, if you can't see that, then I think maybe we wasted this week and our brains perhaps are petrified. It just... It's such a simple thing. We should adopt this... or support the Motion and adopt this Resolution and declare our intent to address this issue immediately as soon as possible, hopefully on Tuesday when we return."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McPike in the Chair. The Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan, on the Motion."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Resolution and the effort that it pertains to is a product of lobbying association politics. This idea has been generated by one of the business organizations which regularly appear here before the Legislature. It's an organization that is in competition with other business organizations. And from time to time, we see efforts from these organizations where they are obviously competing with the other organizations to maintain their membership or try to increase their membership. This is such an effort. This directly relates to the unemployment insurance agreement of 1983. You may recall that in January of 1983, the Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund was over two billion dollars in debt to the Federal Government. That problem was identified by the Governor of this state as the single most serious problem afflicting the business conditions of Illinois, afflicting the economy of this state. Immediately, in 1983, we gave our attention to the problem and very successfully negotiated an agreement between labor and business which put Illinois on a repayment schedule so that for the first time in approximately 20 years the Illinois Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund would once again be solvent. If you are like me and you hope to be able to advertise Illinois as a good place to do business, as a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

state that has a stable condition in its unemployment insurance system, then clearly you want that Fund to be returned to solvency as quickly as possible. The schedule was set in 1983. We were on the schedule now. This effort would constitute a deviation from the schedule and; therefore, it would constitute a retrenchment from our efforts to be able to advertise ourselves as a state which has a handle on its unemployment insurance system. That's clearly what it is. In addition, these matters have been handled by agreement. We have asked business and labor to come to the table to negotiate and to give us an agreed Bill. This is not agreed. There is an objection filed by the labor side of the equation. And for all of those reasons, Mr. Speaker, this Motion for immediate consideration should fail."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am indeed glad to hear that the last speaker is in favor of solving what is the major obstacle to industrial development, or one of the two major obstacles to industrial development in this state and business growth. I am somewhat mystified by the smokescreen which he puts up when he talks about competing business organizations. I don't think there's any competition between the Manufacturers' Association, the Chamber of Commerce, the Retail Merchants' on this issue. I would assume that all would be very, very much in favor of this regardless of who brought up the idea. So, I find that to be a very meaningless argument. And then we go on to talk about changing and the security and the solvency of the Fund. What we want to do here doesn't at all reflect on the solvency or threaten the solvency of our Unemployment Fund.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

It would save every employer in Illinois about 60 dollars per employee. Now, that's nothing, Mr. Speaker. I'm sure that none of them would want to do that, Mr. Speaker. So, vote it down and let's let it known right here and now who wants to save business money on their unemployment and who doesn't."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, I rise in opposition for another reason. I think every Member of this House has been here all of this week and has been very patient and has steadily stood by waiting for agreement on some very critical issues. None of us want to be here this long. But the things that are tied up mean a lot to the State of Illinois. For example, I read where, as we debate the McCormick Place, a housewares convention has brought 60,000 visitors to Chicago. That brings in 35 million dollars to the city and state this week. So, we aren't really wasting taxpayers' money by being here, because of the critical issue that we are dealing with with the management of McCormick Place. But all of us are waiting for an agreement. We're waiting for some type of an accord. And all of us are tired. We want to go to our families and we've heard the Adjournment Resolution. And for anybody to bring up this type of Resolution that is part of no agreement, no accord and to waste our time knowing that this is pure politics, I think all of the people, at this stage of this week, would be disgusted by it. Frankly, I'm disgusted by it if it came from this side of the aisle, and I've done it before, believe me. When you keep people here when you no longer have to, when the Adjournment Resolution has been read, we're ready to go home. We'll come back Tuesday, hopefully for an accord on those issues. I join in opposition to this Resolution."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It appears to me that what we are... what's at issue with this Resolution is very, very simple. If we don't do as this legislation... or this Resolution would request that we do, we will be borrowing money from the feds for our cash flow in the month of January, and we will have to pay interest on that borrowing. If, however, we do as the Resolution requests we do, we will be borrowing from the state for those cash flow problems. There would be no interest rate. It would be repaid. We would be able to get around on a one-time-only basis, a nine-tenths of a percent federal unemployment tax penalty. We'll have to pay that back. Nobody is doubting that. But it's a question as to where we're going to have to borrow, as I read this Resolution, and it's a question of whether we should borrow from the state. It is my belief that is something that is within the state's powers to do. I have not heard... you know, while labor has been approached with this topic, I'm sure their reasons for not going along with it are not clear to me. And the benefits of this Resolution seem to speak to themselves. For that reason and the others that have been enunciated previously, I see nothing overbearing or irrational about this at all, and I would urge all Representatives in this chamber to support the Resolution because it, indeed, will benefit all business, large and small, throughout the entire State of Illinois. That, in turn, will benefit the unemployment. Thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's pretty generally understood that the jobs and business community in this state is really more concerned

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 9, 1985

about the costs of unemployment comp and workers' comp than they are about taxes. Now, we're trying real hard here in the Legislature this week and next week to shoot ourselves in the foot with another jobs-related issue, and that's Arlington Park. Now, this is a chance for us to save some money for employers and a negative vote on this would be just another negative message to those who might want to do business in the State of Illinois. So, I urge a favorable vote on this Resolution."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson, to close"

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I think it's appropriate, because other Members have, that we place in perspective where we are on this issue and in the Legislative Session at this time. For some three weeks, we have been trying to arrive at a resolution of some very significant problems for the state. McCormick Place and Arlington Park are among them. What most Members know and what all Members should know and what the world at large should know is that from the beginning of those discussions, Mr. Daniels has also raised as an issue this matter, this matter of unnecessary costs for the employers of Illinois. That has been discussed repeatedly in the summit conferences. Another summit conference two years ago has been alluded to, the summit conference on unemployment insurance. I was a member, a delegate to that summit conference and helped negotiate the solution that came out. I remember graphically well the understandings that were entered into in that summit conference in regard to future legislation, or, for that matter, future Resolutions. And we did agree that no changes in unemployment insurance taxes at the state level or on unemployment insurance benefits at the state level would be passed without agreement. Mr. Speaker, this does not

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

affect unemployment insurance benefits. It takes nothing away from labor. This does not affect unemployment insurance taxes at the state level in any fashion. That's what was agreed to, and this... this Resolution and the legislation that would follow it would, in no way, violate those summit understandings. On November 10th it becomes too late to do anything in this matter. On November 10th, if we fail by inaction today, then we have saddled employers in this state with 217 million dollars of additional and unnecessary costs. Those 217 million dollars of additional and unnecessary costs are simply penalties and have nothing to do with the repayment of the federal debt. Mr. Speaker, this proposal does absolutely no damage to working men in this state. This proposal takes away nothing from labor. All this proposal does is to save for business 217 million dollars, 63 dollars a day an employee in this state. Think about what that means for payroll costs. Think about what that could do for increasing jobs in this state and making this a better state for working men and women. Beginning Monday of this week, Mr. Speaker, the Leadership of this chamber chose a strategy on these important issues facing Illinois of calling us into Session, taking a Roll Call and then standing at ease. We've transacted no business. We've discussed nothing seriously. We've done no work in this... in this chamber during this week. And as a result, you've almost eaten up the time to November 10th. Perhaps that was your strategy, to try to saddle employers in Illinois with 217 million additional dollars. What I would ask you to do is to reject that strategy and I would ask individual Democrats to stop and think about what their Leadership is doing to them. What you're doing is trying to create the most adverse business climate you could. That's what your

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

Leaders are doing. You can reject that. You can cast a vote for working men and women through this state and for small business throughout this state by rejecting your Leadership's lead on this issue. All you have to do is vote for immediate consideration, move to the Resolution and then move appropriate Amendments. And you can make this a much better labor state. You can make this a state, in fact, where perhaps we can increase jobs for people in this state. I urge a 'yes' vote on this Motion for immediate consideration, and I ask you to reject your Leadership's anti-business stand in this matter."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration of House Resolution 873. The Motion requires 71 votes. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Mautino, to explain his vote".

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I find it interesting that we have a Resolution before us that's going to call for a 216 million dollar drain, or 217 drain on the General Fund of this state at the same time that the administration..."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has order, please."

Mautino: "It's GRF, isn't it? If I'm reading the Resolution correctly, it said that, 'Resolved, we recommend the adoption of legislation requiring the Illinois employers to reimburse the General Fund for this debt.' What this says is that 217 million dollars will be used from General Revenue Fund to cover... sounds like I've struck a nerve along the way somewhere, Ladies and Gentlemen. I find it very difficult to understand that philosophy if, in fact, we have the... taken the funds from the soil and water conservation district and given it to Mitsubishi as part of a program. We're looking at an eight cents increase in the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 9, 1985

cigarette tax. I find it difficult to understand where our finances are coming from. We have one person that says we have a balance of 649 million, and then we say we don't have enough money to fund education reform that this General Assembly passed. Now we want to set forth an additional 217 million from General Revenue and cause a reduction in that funding source. As I look at it, it will be made from the General Revenue Fund, if I'm reading House Resolution 873 correctly. In that regard, I'd recommend to my colleagues here that you vote 'present' on this because I think I struck a cord."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Greiman, one minute to explain your vote"

Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. While the Gentleman from DeWitt lives in the same arena here that we all live in, I'm always convinced that he lives in some kind of fantasy land, some making sort of a legend in his own mind, actually. He is... Who of us remembers the valiant fight that he must have put up Monday when he screamed for attention on some Resolution dealing with... or was it Tuesday, or Wednesday? Or was it Thursday that he clamored for the floor? No, it wasn't, because this was filed today, a few minutes ago. This thing that he... he didn't just discover this. So what he's done is made this impassioned speech about how... for the employers of Illinois, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah; but, in the meantime, he filed this Resolution about five minutes ago. This great issue is five minutes old in the Gentleman's mind. Now, if he had perhaps come on Monday or Tuesday and tried to do it, well, maybe we would have had an opportunity to consider it. But on... He files it, and he gets up and screams today, today, as we are leaving this city after a long bout. Well, if the... by the way, if the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

94th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

Comptroller were to comply and allow the payment, I think that would be an outrageous illegal act, since we hardly can recommend... we hardly can require payment based on a Resolution. No Comptroller, no Treasurer of Illinois would pay this money on that basis. Even Mr. Vinson, if he were the Comptroller, would be a little nervous about making that payment... or Treasurer. So, accordingly, that's why I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman, one minute to explain your vote. The timer is on."

Bowman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my 'no' vote, I would observe that on the face of it this Resolution appears to be innocuous because it is recommending that we impose taxes on the employers to pay back this amount. However, I would point out that what this... effect of this Resolution is is an interest free loan to corporations throughout the State of Illinois. And this comes at a time when we are considering imposing a cigarette tax for purposes of education. We cannot, in good conscience, give an interest free loan to the corporations of the State of Illinois while at the same time we are considering legislation in the General Assembly to provide adequate funding for our schools."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken, one minute to explain your vote."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Motion, and I want to correct some of the errors that have been inadvertently made by prior speakers. This 80 million dollars is a loan. It's a loan that will be paid back commencing in February of 1986. If we make this loan, the State of Illinois does not have interest for that interim period - three or four months as the payback begins. And what does Illinois save?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1935

All of you who talk about helping the climate of Illinois, the economic climate, what are you going to do about it? This is your opportunity to do something about it. If you make this advance now, you will save not big corporations, not big business, but small business, the small business you profess to protect by saving them from another 217 million dollar penalty. It's a... It's the wisest use of 80 million dollars I can think of. Everybody should be supporting it. Democrats are in small business. Democrats are in business. There's plenty of time..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken, for the last speakers, I've had the automatic timer on, and I've had it on for both Democrats and Republicans. The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Weaver, one minute to explain your vote."

Weaver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge all of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle, particularly those who border other states, to take a real hard look at what this is going to do to your district. I got three of my four counties bordering Indiana, and I have a weekly problem, weekly, of employers contacting me and asking me to explain to them why they shouldn't move their company across the river, taking jobs with it. Now, this debt was incurred not for the benefit of business people. It was incurred for the benefit of unemployed laborers. And I think the least that we can do is to try and make the business conditions here in Illinois good enough so that we can retain jobs for labor in the state and not watch them move across state boundaries, and I urge an 'aye' vote on this Motion."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm, one minute to explain your vote."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to point out one statistic that I think we have forgotten, that 90 percent

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

of the Illinois businesses employ 20 or less employees. So, when we're talking about large employers, we're only talking about relatively few companies. But generally speaking, 90 percent of Illinois businesses have less than 20 employees. So, we're really talking about the businesses back in our home districts, and this is who we're voting against if we don't support this Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Have... Representative Vinson, one minute to explain your vote."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I hope that Members of this chamber will look at where the Democrat Leadership is putting the Democrat targets. That shows what the people think about this issue. That shows what we need for the business climate in this state. When they put Mrs. Stern, when they put Mr. McNamara, when they put the targets on there, the other Democrats ought to be there, too. Your Leadership's leading you down the garden path."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Marshall, Representative Koehler, one minute to explain your vote."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in answer to some of those on the other side of the aisle that have actually said and others that have inferred that we are here wasting our time on this Resolution. Frankly, saving 217 million dollars in penalty interest is certainly not wasting our time. We certainly are doing well by debating this Motion. Frankly, saving employers money saves all of us money. It saves employees as well as those who are going to create new jobs because they have an opportunity to save some money. The cost of doing business is too high in Illinois in many instances, and that is what discourages others from coming to our state and those already in our state to expand. I would

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

encourage you to support this Motion; because, in the end, this is a jobs Resolution and certainly creates a better atmosphere for Illinois."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 36... On this Motion there are 62 'ayes', 36 'nos', 9 'present'. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask you to poll the absentees and ask the..."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks for a..."

Vinson: "... Yellow votes if they don't want to demonstrate a little bit of courage for the business climate in this state."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman asks for a Poll of the Absentees. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Berrios. Bullock. Capparelli. Krska. Ronan. Terzich. Turner. Wyvetter Younge. And Zwick."

Speaker McPike: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Thank you. On this Motion there are 62 'ayes', 36 'nos', 9 voting 'present', and the Motion fails. Just a minute, Mr. Vinson. The Clerk accidentally dumped the last Roll Call. So, there was no... So, there was no printout. So, the Motion will be voted upon again. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson, moves... Mr. Clerk, put it up on the board, please. The Gentleman moved for the immediate consideration of House Resolution 873. All those in favor of the Motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. ... voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Mr. Vinson, do you... Mr. Vinson, do you want a Poll of the Absentees again? Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Berrios. Bullock. Capparelli. Krska. Ronan. Terzich. Turner. White. Wyvetter Younge. And Zwick."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

Speaker McPike: "On this Motion there are 63 'ayes', 34 'nos', 9 voting 'present', and the Motion fails. Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, did we get a Roll Call that time?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, we did. Thank you."

Vinson: "Good. Now, Mr. Speaker, I filed a Motion with the Chair to go to immediate consideration of Senate Bill 1468. And pending on that Bill is an Amendment that would accomplish this. With 63 votes that were up there for the Motion, we can go to immediate consideration of that Bill and save the employers of this state and the working men and women of this state 217 million dollars. I would ask you to go to that Motion for immediate consideration of that Bill, Mr. Speaker, so that we can save that money. The votes are there for it. There's 63 votes that voted for the last Motion. If they'll vote for this Motion, we can do something positive for the business climate in this state."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman's Motion is to move to change the Order of Business to go to the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading, Senate Bill 1468 immediately. All those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries. Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1468."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1468, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code and an Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like this Bill taken out of the record at this time."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady would like the Bill taken out of the record. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "I think the Clerk has a letter from the Senate Sponsor

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

on that Bill. I think you'll find out that I am now the major Sponsor, the Chief Sponsor of Senate Bill 1468. It's a great idea, I thought. For those of you on the other side, Mr. Curran would support this Motion, I'm sure. He supported the Resolution, and I'm sure that he'd want to help us save the employers money. Mr. Phelps, Mr. Hartke, those of you that voted for this. We need your help to save the employers 217 million. It's now the time to stand up and be counted for the employers of Illinois. I'm sorry, Carol. I know you're running for Lieutenant Governor, but I didn't have much of a chance on it."

Speaker McPike: "Representative... Representative Daniels. Representative Daniels is correct. The Clerk has received a letter from Senator Maitland having Representative Braun removed as the Bill's Chief Sponsor and Representative Lee Daniels substituted in her stead. Senate Bill 1468, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "I would ask leave that we move it to the Order of Second Reading for purposes of a fine Amendment for the employers of Illinois."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman asks leave to return Senate Bill 1468 to Second Reading for purpose of Amendment. Is there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. The Bill is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, offered by Daniels - Vinson and Tuerk."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, has the Amendment been printed?"

Speaker McPike: "No, the Amendment has not been printed."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we now stand adjourned until Tuesday at 12:00 noon."

Speaker McPike: "Speaker of the House moves the House stand adjourned until Tuesday at the hour of 12:00 noon. All

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

84th Legislative Day

November 8, 1985

those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.
'Ayes' have it, and the House stands adjourned. Gentleman
from Cook, Speaker Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, in the Special Session, I move that we
stand adjourned till 12:00 noon on Tuesday."

Speaker McPike: "Mr... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Second
Special Session of the General Assembly will now come to
order. The Gentleman from Cook asks leave that the
Attendance Roll Call used for the Regular Session be used
for Attendance Roll Call for the Second Special Session.
In favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'
have it. So done. Gentleman now moves... Gentleman now
moves that the Second Special Session stand adjourned until
Tuesday at the hour of 12:30 p.m. All those in favor
signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it,
and the House stands adjourned. Representative
Panayotovich, for an announcement."

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of
the House. Now that everybody's in a happy mood that we're
going home, we have a celebration we would like to
announce. Our Italian Stallion, our Chef Supremo, our
Caucus Chairman is celebrating his 52nd birthday tomorrow,
Representative Frank Giglio. And if anybody would like to
stay the weekend, he'd invite them all over to a local
establishment for a drink. I just thought I'd let you
know. Happy birthday, Frank."

12/17/85
09:21

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

NOVEMBER 08, 1985

SB-1469	RECALLED	PAGE	19
SB-1468	THIRD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1468	MOTION	PAGE	18
HR-0873	MOTION	PAGE	3
HJR-0123	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MADIGAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND HARRY MANN	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN CHAIR	PAGE	6
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	19
SPECIAL SESSION	PAGE	20
SPECIAL SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	20