75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 9:00 having arrived, the House will be in order. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Paul E. Flesner, Pastor of the Grace Lutheran Church of Springfield. Reverend Plesner is a guest of Representative Michael Curran. Will the guests in the gallery please rise and join us for the invocation? Reverend."
- Reverend Plesner: "Let us pray. Oh, God, we call You by different names when we pray to You, but we share in common our acknowledgement of You as the Creator of this world in which You have placed us. We understand that You have entrusted to us the care and the keeping of this earth and of her people. And such an awareness brings us a sense of deep humility, for there are times when we do not know all the answers, when we cannot see into the future, but when we must make decisions and step forward. And so, ohGod, we pray for Your guidance, humbly knowing that Your Will for this world and for her people is that which ultimately prevails. Guide us with Your Spirit. Guide our Leaders. Guide those who serme. We pray for people in any need today, people who are hungry, people who are dying, people who are ill, people who are victims of injustice and oppression. If we may be the agents through which You touch them with Your mercy, we pray that we may respond to their needs with compassion and understanding. We pray this humbly. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp, will lead us in the pledge to the flag."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under Gol, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. ...take the record.

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

On this, the... 114 Members have responded to the Call of the Quorum, and a quorum is present. On page two of the... On page three of the Calendar on the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 257. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 510. Mr. Dunn. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1529. Mr. Matifevich."

- Matijevich: "... Of the House, I now move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 4 to House Bill 1529."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves that the House do nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 4 to House Bill 1529. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Is this... This is a Conference Committee? Am I correct?"

 Speaker Greiman: "No, it's... there... It's concurrence. It's on
 the Order of Concurrence."

Pial: "I'm sorry. I'm sorry."

Speaker Greiman: "Yeah."

Piel: "Okay."

- Speaker Greiman: "All those in favor signify by saying 'aye',
 those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate
 Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 4 to House Bill 1529.
 ...Recognize the Gentleman from Lake for a Motion. Mr.
 Matijevich, on the Journals."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal, and that Journals #43 through 71 be approved."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake moves that Journals 43 through 70... What is that? 43 through..."

Matijevich: "71."

Speaker Greiman: "...Through 71 be approved. Is there any discussion? Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

- October 17, 1985
- Vinson: "What Session days, Mr. Speaker, are those Journals for?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Perhaps, we'll have the Clerk just read the dates, Mr. Vinson."
- Clark O'Brien: "Journal #43 of May 20 through Journal 71 of July 5."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, it sticks in my mind that those are the dates during which we discovered that Mr. Phelps had been improperly recorded. His vote had been changed on a Roll Call, and I wonder if that is, in fact, the case. And at the time that I brought that to the attention of the Chair, the Chair said that it would get back on that improper recording of Mr. Phelps' vote or changing of his vote. And I had never received a report back from the Chair on that, and I wonder if it does relate to those days."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, my information is that Representative Priedrich has looked over all these Journals and given approval of the Minority."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Those in favor signify by... Sam, yes? Mr. Vinson, do you wish to continue?"
- Vinson: "Yes, I have made an inquiry of the Chair, and I'd like to get a response."
- Speaker Greiman: "I thought that... I thought that the inquiry had been responded to by Mr. Matijevich and Mr. Friedrich being directly behind him on the Democratic side. He suggested that Mr. Priedrich, apparently, had examined the documents and that he had approved them and that that would be the... Since he's a Member of your Leadership, we have to give Mr. Priedrich the benefit of the doubt that he is appropriately representing your interest."
- Vinson: "Well, I still renew my inquiry of the Chair. I wonder if the Chair would explain if those are the dates in which the question about the improper recording of Mr. Phelps' vote occurred."

- October 17, 1985
- Speaker Greiman: "The Chair having an elephantine memory, generally, remembers everything. But, I couldn't tell you that, Mr. Vinson. Mr. Friedrich has, apparently, examined the documents and they are in good order. Do you wish to object to them or... You certainly may."
 - vinson: "I would like to have the issue taken out of the record at this time, so I could confer with Mr. Friedrich."
 - Speaker Greiman: "Absolutely. Mr. Matijevich, you have no objection in taking this out of the record? We'll get back to you on this important matter later. Resolutions."
 - Clark D'Brien: "House Resolution 783, Kubik; 784, Plowers; 785,
 Keane and McGann; 786, Leverenz; 787, Oblinger; 788,
 Barnes; 789, Madigan et al; 790, McPike; 791, LeFlore;
 792, Ronan; 795, Dunn. And House Joint Resolution 104,
 Homer."
 - Speaker Greiman: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from..."
 - Clerk O'Brien: "Oh, and House Joint Resolution 106, Kubik."
 - Speaker Greiman: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake,

 Mr. Matijevich, for a Motion on the Agreed Resolutions."
 - Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
 - Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes', and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."
 - Clark O'Brien: "House Resolution 782, offered by Representative Tuerk, with respect to the memory of Frederick Bloom. And House Resolution 794, offered by Representative Brookins, with respect to the memory of Albert Williams."
 - Speaker Greiman: "... Recognize the Gentleman from Lake, Mr.

 Matijevich, for the purpose of a Motion with respect to the

 Death Resolutions. Mr. Matijevich moves that the House do

- October 17, 1985
- adopt the Death Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolutions are adopted. General Resolution."
- Clark D'Brien: "House Resolution 793, offered by Representative Cullerton and Madigan."
- Speaker Greiman: "Committee on Assignment. The House will be in order. On page 13 of the Calendar on the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions, appears House Bill 60. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran, on a Motion. House Bill 60. This is the last day, Ladies and Gentlemen, to consider vetoes of House Bills. Mr. Curran."
- Curran: "Mr. Speaker, I move to accept the specific recommendations for change of the Governor for House Bill 60. The Governor recommended changing the method of calculating the disability benefit offset, and he also restores the village or a town attorney to the Board of Trustees."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran, moves that House adopt the the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 60. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to Bill 60? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open, and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 93 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the House does adopt the Governor's specific recommendations for change. The Motion. therefore, is carried. Page 14 of the Calendar, Amendatory Veto Motions, appears House Bill 99. Mr. Steczo, on a Motion to

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

override. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. 99, in its original form, corrected a drafting error which occurred when the General Assembly adopted the 80,000 pound maximum truck weight in 1983. When that happened, word 'state' was included in one Section of the statutes and, by doing that, we created a problem with vehicles being able to get to landfills. The word 'state' at that time was included throughout the Section of the statutes and we did that so we wouldn't lose funding for state road construction where applicable. But, in this it was added in this one Section, inadvertently. was not suppose to be there. It was just a general thing When this Bill was heard by the General that we did. Assembly, it passed both Houses overwhelmingly and it was contested by the State Police or by the Department of Transportation or the Secretary of State's Office. fact, the... Lou Lowder from the Motor Vehicle Commission indicated to us that, in fact, this was And if you look back at old Vehicle Codes, you will, in fact, find that that was the case. ЯA have discussed this matter with the Governor's Office which we... and we think... we hope we've convinced them that it was inadvertent and that they erred in this amendatory veto that they have issued. And I would Speaker, at this time to override the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 99."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr Steczo, has moved that the House override... that the House pass House Bill 99 the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for questions."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, do I recall in a course of the discussion about this Bill last spring that this was the Bill that had the... that dealt with the situation where when garbage is compacted on the garbage truck, you don't owe that... the weight may, in fact, be greater on a particular axle then... and exceed the permissible limits?"

Steczo: "In fact, Representative Vinson, the Bill itself does, in fact, deal with actual weights. So, I believe the situation that you're speaking of may be, if I understand correctly, may, in fact, be correct."

Vinson: "And with this outcome, we would be putting garbage trucks back in the problems that we were trying to correct?"

Staczo: "Could you repeat that, please?"

Vinson: "We were trying to correct a problem so that garbage trucks could operate. Will this change, negate our effort to correct that problem?"

Steczo: "If the amendatory veto is overridden, it will do what the General Assembly had intended that we do. The problem is is that in order to get to the landfills, the refuse trucks have to use nonstate roads, and the Governor's amendatory veto provides that those roads can be regulated to... to really inhibit those refuse trucks from having access to the landfills. So, by overriding the amendatory veto - what we do then is allow those refuse trucks access to those landfill areas."

Vinson: "So, you are moving to override the amendatory yeto?"

Steczo: "That is correct."

Vinson: "And that would create a situation where we were preempting local control."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

- Steczo: "Well, actually, Representative Vinson, I'm glad indicated that because people have suggested to us that that is, in fact, what we're doing. However, if you go back in 1983 to when the original Bill passed that increased weights, the word 'state' that the Governor took out of this Bill was added inadvertently. restriction on those local roads should never happened. Everybody that had anything to do with that Bill indicates that it should have never happened. And prior to 1983, what we're trying to do here was the norm and prior Vehicle Codes of the state suggest the same. I have copies of the old Vehicle Codes that show that that was the case. So, what we actually are trying to do here is to correct something that happened inadvertently in 1983."
- vinson: "Alright. Mr. Speaker, I think Members who are on the floor and who have carefully listened to this debate may understand this issue. Members who were not on the floor probably don't understand this issue.
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, if they're not on the floor, your words won't reach them, Mr. Vinson. Proceed."
- Vinson: "Well, my concern, Mr. Speaker, is that I've noticed that there are more people voting than are on the floor. And on an issue that deals with weight truck limits on the roads and given our past experience in this chamber with those kinds of issues, I would suggest that it would really be inappropriate for Members to vote switches of those who are not on the floor and not privy to the nature of this discussion, Sir. And I would hope the Chair, in this particular case, would try to protect our tardy brethren."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Mays? The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Mays."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. For the purposes of clarification. As I understand this amendatory

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

veto, Representative, it applies simply to taking the word 'state' out of the language of the Bill. Is that not correct?"

Steczo: "Correct."

Mays: "That is the only topic that it applies to. And what, indeed, we're doing by overriding this veto is putting the law back to the condition that it was prior to House Bill 1305 passing in 1983."

Steczo: "That's correct."

Mays: "If we were not to accept... if we were not to override then, we would then be accepting the Amendatory Veto which will keep the word 'state' in there. And it was not in there. It was, indeed, added inadvertently back in 1983.

The issue of weight, the one that I was concerned about when this Bill was first up in the spring, is not at issue in this amendatory veto. Is that not correct?"

Steczo: "That is correct."

"Thank you. To the Bill. I rise in Mays: support of this override message. It is my understanding that Governor's Office has been brought into the discussions on I can't pretend or purport that they are supportive of the override, but I also am not knowledgeable of their opposition to it. In my mind, the... you're returning this law to the position that it was from 1969 all the way to 1983, is not a very important topic. think we should go along with the Gentleman's Motion to override."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I concur with our spokesman on transportation. I also have information as of last night that the Governor is neutral on this. He... the will of the House is where it is. He is not married to this amendatory veto, he realizes that he may have erred.

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

So, I will support the amendatory veto and I hope that our colleagues on this side do as well."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from..."

Davis: "...Or the override."

Speaker Greiman: "...From Will, Mr. Regan."

Rejan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, he'll yield for a question."

Regan: "Representative, could this have come..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Steczo, where are you? Alright, he'll yield now."

Regan: "He was yielding all the way to the washroom. Mr. Steczo, could this have come about from hazardous waste being transported through some of the smaller townships and municipalities and they decided to stop it, they didn't like it coming through their community?"

Staczo: "Representative Regan, no, that is not the case."

Regan: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, to close."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Nembers of the House. I would just reiterate to the Members of the House that we are not dealing here with a question on weights or what have you as Representative Mays so graciously pointed out. We are dealing with the question of access and a situation that never should have happened in the first place. When we dealt with House Bill 1305 a few years ago in order to protect our federal funding, the word 'state' was just put in inadvertently through the statutes, and there was one Section where that word was not to have been included and this was the Section. So, there was a mistake made. Everybody acknowledges there was a mistake made. I think the Governor's Office now even acknowledges that, and so,

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

- Mr. Speaker, I would move to override the Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 99."
- Greiman: "The Chair would Speaker reiterate Mr. Vinson's admonition. We should all be voting our own switch. Those are the rules of this House. Now, the question is, 'Shall pass the veto of the Governor notwithstanding? this Bill All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those vote 'no'. Voting is open and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Vinson. for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I think that if you eyeball the situation, you'll discover that our mutual admonition was not adhered to."
- "Mr. Vinson. I've learned to live with that. Speaker Greiman: Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 81 voting 'aye', 20 voting 'no', none voting 'present', this Bill does pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On the Order of Amendatory Veto appears House Bill 231. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis."
- Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. We got a little problem yesterday on this Bill, and I think it's been straightened out. And I don't think anybody will have any trouble accepting the Governor's amendatory veto at this point in time. And the... the underlying substance of the what's important. It's important to the correctional officers and correctional employees throughout this state. It's important to ask me and it's important to law and order. And I think we... the confusion has all straightened out and that bipartisan support now exists for this acceptance of this amendatory veto on sentencing provisions for contraband and unlawful weapons and things

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

of that nature inside correctional institutions. I recommend the 'aye' vote to you. I don't know if anyone else is going to speak in favor, but my understanding is that it now has bipartisan support. So, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, moves that the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 231. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would concur with Mr. Davis' comments. The... That part of the Bill that the Governor removed contained in another Bill, and we can have a vote on that to override at a later time today. The material that included in this Bill. 231, dealing with increased penalties, possession of weapons in correctional institutions. a fine Bill and by is accepting Amendatory Veto, we will be sending this Bill onto the Senate. So, I would urge an 'ave' vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion. Tho question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 231?' And on that, all those in favor signify voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On question there are 106 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 344. Peterson."
- Peterson: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 344. House

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Bill 344 originally dealt with incorporation..."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Mr. Peterson, excuse me."

Peterson: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "The Chair did not note and notice that there was a Motion to override the veto of the Governor. And it is the rule of our Chair that we take Motions to override before we take Motions to accept; that being an orderly process. And so, I thank you for taking your Motion out of the record. Accordingly, the Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Ms. Frederick, on a Motion to override. Proceed, Ms. Frederick."

Prelerick: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to move that the House override Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 344. This is a very tough issue. It's a controversial issue. affects... The Governor amended a portion of the Bill that affects a small community in my district. This community of 1800 citizens are simply asking in this Bill right to hold a referendum on whether or not to incorporate as a municipality. I must frankly tell you that this Motion is opposed by the IMA and the State Chamber of Commerce. You will probably remember that I sent you a copy of my letter to Mr. Lester 'Brandt' regarding his, I thought, untimely intrusion into a local matter. I have worked with this community for four years trying to help them solve problems of zoning, of traffic safety, of police protection, which they are unable to solve under their present nonstructure as a municipality. I don't really understand why the Governor amended this provision out, but I can say to you that I think he was wrong, and I'm simply asking for you today to support me in this override Motion. I move now to override the Governor's veto, amendatory veto on House Bill 344."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Lake, Mr. Frederick, moves that the House... the House pass House Bill 344, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. And on that, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, none Matijevich: of my remarks are directed at Representative Frederick. because she knows how strongly I feel about her and work as a State Representative and how she, even in this case, is protecting the concerns of a good portion of constituency. I think, frankly, that she has been abused in some criticism. So, I don't criticize her at However, this provision of House Bill 344 that is at issue is a 30 day law. We are, if we vote for this override. passing a 30 day law. The present law with regards to incorporation would mean that you need 2500 inhabitants and 250 of those electors must apply for the petition of incorporation. ₩e changing that portion of the law are that there not be 2500 inhabitants, but 1900 inhabitants. present law with regards to incorporations states that you must have the consent of the municipality within 1 miles of that area that is attempting to incorporate. ₩e are changing that law and waiving it, saying that the municipalities within the 1 1/2 miles, if it is within the 30 day period, cannot object to the incorporation. in the present law, the county boards have the right to file that the incorporation does not meet the regional plan and that there not ... may not be a sufficient tax base. can make that determination. We are, if we pass on this override, we are removing from the present law those protections. My municipality is the City of North Chicago. which vehemently objects to this override Motion because we lose that right. They have and the Lake County Board have filed through... have through Resolutions objected to this

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

override as have other surrounding municipal governments. I think that it is a matter of bad public policy that we pass a law for a 30 day period. We are saying for 30 days when this Bill becomes effective in law, for 30 days only and never more, will this become law. Now, we thought we were passing this Bill for Knollwood only, but I have found that there are some other areas looking at this for a 30 day period, saying, 'We might come within this law.' some of you sitting here may find out that some surrounding property owners will be as vehement in objection as those property owners near the Knollwood area. Yes, some of them are corporate entities and I think many of you here know that I don't stand on the floor of the House protecting corporate entities. One ο£ those property vehemently objects... objecting to it is the Lamb's Farms. which, I think, many of you know in its work in behalf of retarded citizens. But I think that all of us want to protect the rights of municipalities and county boards, and you will do that by voting against this override Motion and by supporting Representative Peterson when he comes on the floor afterward to vote for the amendatory veto. And I appreciate your support."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, it's my pleasure and honor to be able to introduce in left center field the Illinois Development Board, which is here today for the purpose of meeting with the Director of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs and the Leadership on both sides of this chamber. These are the Gentlemen that have aided the state and advised the state in regard to the Mitsubishi program and other such things of that nature. And I believe that it's appropriate that we recognize their willingness to take time away from

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

important jobs in the private sector to come to Springfield and to share their expertise with us. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Peterson."

Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's very difficult for me to oppose a Motion of an individual, a fellow Representative. who I've worked closely with on many Bills. We tried very hard to have the Governor sign the Bill as it went desk. The Governor and his staff felt that after looking at the Bill very carefully that it did raise a question of... constitutional question in the 30 day period. I think Representative Matijevich has ASLA eloquently described the problems with the Bill, and I would like your support on the override to not override the Governor's amendatory veto. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Park."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to oppose the override Park: I'd like to point out that not only is the State Chamber of Commerce against this Bill... this override the IMA, but the counties of Lake and not only the cities of North Chicago, but the cities of Waukegan, Lake Porest, Libertyville and Green Oaks. In addition, the North Chicag... North Chicago Chamber, the Waukegan Lake County Chamber. Amoco Tools, American Hospital Supply, 'Serial', William Wrigley, Abbott Labs and petitions signed by over 200 small businesses. If this Bill... if this Motion to override does get enough votes, I ask for a verification."

- Speaker Greiman: "Being no further discussion, the Lady from Lake, Ms. Frederick, to close."
- Prederick: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to respond to some of the statements that were made, if I might. Regarding the Representative on the other side of the aisle and the fact that North Chicago opposes this proposal, I might say there

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

is a very good reason from their point of view. Thev had hoped much to annex this territory into very municipality of North Chicago. If you were to look at the groups of people, the City of North Chicago and the residents of Knollwood, you would find that demographically they are very different kinds of people and really would make for a compatible community... well, and it's true and that is the reason that in the law was an exemption not allowing the objection of municipalities within a mile and a half. Actually, regarding the petitions that been signed by 200 homeowners who oppose this, may I tell you that I also have petitions signed by about homeowners who support this incorporation and who support the right to hold a referendum to decide whether or not to incorporate. I really think that when you look at the issues, it's a case of business clout versus citizen interest. And, of course, the businesses object. matter of economics for them. And so, in closing, Ι simply asking you to support my override Motion which will allow this community to hold a referendum on whether or not to incorporate as a municipality. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 33 voting 'aye', 66 voting 'no', and 7 voting 'present'. And the Motion to override fails. Yes, Ms. Frederick. Ms. Frederick."

Prederick: "Mr. Speaker, I pressed my green button; and obviously, it did not record. May I do that, please? It would be very bad if I did not vote green on this issue."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Frederick, the Sponsor of the Motion, will

- 75th Legislative Day October 17, 1985

 be restored to the Roll Call. Representative Giglio in the
 Chair."
- Speaker Giglio: "On page 14 of the Calendar, we have a Motion to accept the Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 344.

 Representative Peterson. Representative Peterson in his chair?"
- Peterson: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to accept
 the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 344. I think
 we adequately debated this Bill in the override Motion.
 Therefore, I move to accept the Governor's amendatory
 veto."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to accept the Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 344. And on that question, are... is there any... Mr. Peterson moves to accept the Governor's recommendation on House Bill 344. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', oppose voting 'no'. Voting Have all voted who wish? is open. Have all voted Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there wish? are 100 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', Motion, the having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations on House Bill 344. On Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 489, Representative Johnson."
- Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. т nove to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change in House Bill 489. The thrust of the Motion is that in the original Bill, we repeal the number of outdated Sections of the Criminal Code specific crimes. The Senate meant to take out two or three from the Bill which I really didn't like, but I accepted, and the Governor mistakenly made an amendatory veto having to do with the statute of limitations in murder and armed

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

robbery cases. The bottom line of this is that basically a revisory Bill as it went through, it's a basically revisory Bill as it now stands, and I would so move."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to accept the Governor's recommendation to House Bill 489. On that question... No question, Mr. Johnson, to close."

Johnson: "Appreciate your affirmative vote."

Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's recommendation to House Bill 489? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the On this question there are 111 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority; therefore, the accepts the Governor's specific recommendations regarding House Bill 489. On Amendatory Veto appears House Bill 751. Representative Terzich. Not time yet. Out of the record. On page 14 of the Calendar. Amendatory Veto, appears House Bill 7... 751 again. No. House Bill 880, Representative McGann. Representative House Bill 1026, Representative McGann in the chamber? Ropp. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 1026."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House
Bill 1026 is a Bill that was introduced and eventually
amended, and I certainly commend the Governor for knowing a
lot about a lot of things throughout the State of Illinois,
but sorry to say the understanding of the milk business is
somewhat low on his understanding list. In Illinois, we
have protective measures for consumers all over the state.
Por example, we have inspections to assure that scales in
which products are bought and sold are checked annually by
the Department of Agriculture to assure that those scales

75th Legislative Day

October 17. 1985

Any scales in the State of Illinois. are accurate. can see it all the way from the meat counters to the slaughter house, to the highway truck scales and so forth. This is a protective measure for consumers as well as for the business people, because it assures that items that are bought and sold are of equal value. In the milk business, there is nutritional standards that currently are not being Nearly half of the processors in this country are shortchanging the consumers by not fulfilling their nutritional requirements as they so indicate on the label. The initial intent of 1026 was to establish a testing procedure, whereby four times every six months. currently the Department of Public Health takes samples check for a bacterial count, the additional test would be to include the test for nutritional standards, butter solids not fat. At this particular time, the salmonella outbreak occurred in Illinois, and we amended to that test the test for salmonella, which is certainly very current and very important. The Governor in his wisdom decided to amend out the very important part of the Bill which would. in effect. provide for the balanced nutritional standards that we have in milk. It was iust yesterday we passed a Bill to... actually overrode the Bill to give dieticians a certain amount of certification because of the importance of knowing exactly how important nutrition is. I • m urging the override of this Bill, of this amendatory veto so that, in fact, we can provide consumers with that accurate information that all of the milk that is processed in Illinois meets the nutritional standards in Illinois, as well as accepting the importance of testing for salmonella. I'd be most happy to answer any questions or/and move for an override of the Governor's amendatory veto."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 1026. And on that question, Representative Dunn, from Macon."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. According to the information in front of me, the Governor's amendatory veto deleted language from the Bill concerning the testing of butter fat content and milk solids because these provisions are no longer necessary in view of his veto of Senate Bill 640, which is the Bill that would require additional solids in milk, and essentially, require... at the grocery store to buy five gallons of milk from the cow... or five quarts of milk from the cow to get a gallon of milk. So, in light of that, I would ask the Sponsor why he wishes to override and why he doesn't accept the Governor's amendatory veto of this Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Actually, the two Bills really have nothing to do. Senate Bill 640 increased the current minimum standards. This Bill, 1026, is to test the current standards that we have. There is no testing procedure right now to assure consumers that they are getting 8.25% solids not fat and whatever amount of butter fat. There is no testing right now of the current standards. Senate Bill 640 attempted to increase the minimum standards that we have. So, this Bill is just attempting to address testing the current standards that we are operating under in Illinois."

Dunn: "Well, then is the Governor off base in his remarks?"

Ropp: "Yes."

Dunn: "Gosh, I'm sure surprised to learn that. Well, thank you very much for this enlightenment."

Speaker Giglio: "On the question, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

- Hastert: "Representative, did I understand... you're talking about non fat solids testing and you're also talking about butter fat testing. Is that correct?"
- Ropp: "Yes. We currently do test for butter fat right now and for quality. Actually, quality is based on whether or not there is certain amount of bacteria in the milk and cleanliness of the milk. That is being tested now. 1026 attempted to address testing the nutritional value which was the solids not fat."
- Hastert: "Well, I'd assume part of that is up to the cow, the nutritional value, but... of the milk. However..."
- Ropp: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."
- Hastert: "I would assume that part of that is up to the cow how nutritional that milk is. However..."
- Ropp: "Well, let me assure you that no respectable cow would ever produce 2% milk or skim milk ever."
- Hastert: "Well, that's exactly what I... the issue that I want to talk about for a second. When you buy skim milk or it says, if it has certain amount of butter fat in it when you buy 2% milk, it says it has certain amount of butter fat and when you buy whole milk, it's assumed to be whole milk. You say there is no testing by the dairies or standard testings for that? I don't think that's correct."
- Ropp: "There currently is no state testing to assure consumers that they're getting the amount of solids not fat that it says on the label."

Hastert: "I'm talking about butter fat content."

Hastert: "So, that testing is there."

Ropp: "For butter fat."

Hastert: "Yes."

Ropp: "Because milk is bought and sold based on a butter fat

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

content."

Hastert: "So anyway, let's get back to the issue. The salmonella issue was added on to this. The cost of that salmonella issue, I understand, was in excess of a quarter of a million dollars and the cost for the butter fat and milk solids is an extra quarter of a million dollars. Is that correct?"

Ropp: "It was a little bit less than that."

Hastert: "Okay. One other..."

Ropp: "But... Might I add too, we're probably costing consumers far in excess of that in the fact that they're not getting what they're paying for."

Hastert: "Representative, let me ask you this question and I'm not a dairy expert although... anyway, when a cow produces a gallon of milk and that milk is processed and the milk is a natural product, do you take solids out of that processed milk?"

Ropp: "When it becomes processed that actually is not a natural product. If it was a natural product, it would be sold as raw whole milk. As soon as it goes into a plant, they automatically begin to process it, add to it vitamins A and D, pasteurize it, take butter fat out, put solids in and there, they practically have it wore out before it ever gets to the consumers door."

Hastert: "But, Representative, what I'm asking, I mean, a lot of people want the butter fat out because of health standards, but what I'm asking you is do they actually take milk solids out. If they don't take milk solids out, I don't see why you have to test it."

Ropp: "They do take some milk solids out because some cows produce more milk solids than what we have standardized as 8.25."

Hastert: "Then it goes back to what I said, it's up to the cow,

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

right?"

- Ropp: "Well, certain breeds may produce more, certain individual cows. That's right. That's why we have a standardization. That's why processors will put some in or take some out so that they can have the established standard which we are operating under. This Bill attempts to make sure that those standards that we are operating under is accurate."

 Speaker Giglio: "Are there further questions? Representative Ropp, to close."
- Ropp: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's extremely important that we assure consumers the fact that they are getting the nutritional standards that they have purchased. It's also important that we assure consumers that milk that they buy is free from salmonella because that's a serious effect. Both measures are extremely important, and I urge your support in overriding of the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 1026."
- Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me. Representative Leverenz, are you seeking recognition?"
- Laverenz: "I want to explain my vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright. The Gentleman moves that the House override House Bill 1026. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would rise in support of Representative Ropp in his Motion to override. I would remind you that from time to time we've had scandals with gasoline, leaded being sold as unleaded because of the higher price, and I would suggest that the same problems lie here with the milk industry."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, I

- October 17, 1985
- rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion and I do so with careful thought for what the Gentleman has said and for his expertise in this field. I believe we should override and I would urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 73 voting 'aye', 33 voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the Motion to... and this Bill to... Motion to pass the Governor's specific recommendations for change notwithstanding; therefore, the Motion prevails. Representative Tate, you seeking recognition?"
- Tate: "Yes, Speaker, I would have liked to been recorded 'no' on this."
- Speaker Giglio: "Record Representative Tate voting 'no'. The transcripts will reflect your desire, Mr. Tate, also Mr. Berrios. Representative Cullerton, are you seeking recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, I have an inquiry of the Chair."
- Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."
- Cullerton: "On this last vote, the record shows 73. I don't believe I heard you say, 'Take the record,' but I believe the record was, indeed, taken and then, there were two people that wished to change their vote, is that correct, to... from 'yes' to no'?"
- Speaker Giglio: "No, from not voting to 'yes'."
- Cullerton: "Well, Representative Tate is recorded as voting 'yes' on the board."
- Speaker Giglio: "Well, he inadvertently thought he voted 'no'.

 So, we will have no change on the computer printout."
- Cullerton: "It's too late for Tate to change his vote 'no', and it's too late for Berrios to add his vote as 'aye'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Correct."
- Cullerton: "So, the score is 73 to 33. Thank you."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "House Bill 880, Representative McMann... or McGann, excuse me."

McGann: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. It's nice to have my name correctly pronounced and spelled from time to time. I... Don't feel bad, Mr. Speaker, if I may take a moment of the Recently, I had a luncheon with Senator Dixon in House. Chicago on some matters pertaining to another cap I he left the luncheon and he went over and he appeared before a group and he said, 'I just had lunch with my good friend, Senator McGurn. So don't feel bad. Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly, I ask your support in overriding the amendatory veto of House Bill 880 with reluctance because the Governor has done a fairly good in all these areas, but this one here, I think he has made a mistake. The Governor disapproved the proposal to exclude Cook County from the Porestry Management Plan and has deleted this proposal from the Bill and cites following reasons for his action. The proposal will not afford forest owners in Cook County the same equitable benefits as other forest landowners throughout the state. The other reason was because it would interfere with the wild habitat in Cook County. The Governor has erred here. The Forestry Development Act, enacted as part of the Prairie 2000, the one part provides tax incentives for owners of forest lands who placed those lands under approved forestry plan. If they did, they received a substantial assessment reduction. This provision intended to encourage the production of commercial timber and, along with tax breaks, forestry conservative measures were also part of the agreement. There is no commercial timber industry in Cook County and there is no potential for a viable timber industry, but this individual tax incentive has been and is still used as a tax shelter. I.

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

may be an excellent program for the citizens of downstate, and I would certainly want to support that, but Cook County opted out of this program with this House Bill 880. The Governor's veto, in essence, places Cook County back into the program and would allow these tax shelters to continue. Example, 500 acres which would ordinarily be assessed \$37,500 dollars, under this tax shelter, is only paying \$500 dollars. That is why I'm going to ask your support. We want to correct the inequity of this forestry plan as far as Cook County is concern. Please help me and help the people of Cook County, and we override this amendatory veto, House Bill 880. Thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House override the Governor's specific recommendation on House Bill 880. And on that question, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out to Mr. McGann that future Senator Koehler will always remember his correct name."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to... for the record to point out that I'm a Cosponsor on this Bill. However, I think Representative McGann will agree when that Bill was in its genesis, it was a Bill to convey... dealing with the taxing of railroad property, and I really was not involved in this amendatory process. The Bill came on and took on a certainly different view and that was not why I was originally on the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative... Representative Woodyard."
Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Woodyard: "Representative, it's my understanding and maybe you can clarify this that Farm Bureau is supportive of your Motion to override. I do believe."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Woodyard, I believe you are correct. That information I have received."

Woodyard: "Yes."

McGann: "Because there is no forestry industry... pardon me, no timber industry in Cook County, and there's no reason for us to have that... for us to be part of that plan in Cook County."

Woodyard: "Yes. Thank you, Representative. And Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. For the reasons mentioned, certainly with the support of Farm Bureau, the fact that this would create a tremendous tax erosion... or an erosion of the tax base in Cook County and, in fact, that there is no timber industry in Cook County, I certainly support the Motion to override."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Representative McGann, as I understand it, the original Bill excluded Cook County from the Forestry Management Plan and that passed. Then the Governor by his amendatory veto wants to keep Cook County in the Forestry Management Plan. Is that correct?"

McGann: "That is correct, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "And I understand that there is only one management plan in Cook County that's been approved. Are you aware of that?"

McGann: "I am not aware of that, Representative."

Cullerton: "I believe it's in a privately owned estate in Barrington."

McGann: "Oh, that I'm very well aware of. I believe that in my presentation that I alluded to that."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

- Cullerton: "So, the effect of the Governor..."
- McGann: "And it was an example of just exactly what a tax break... tax shelter was being taken advantage of."
- Cullerton: "So, the Governor, in effect, with his amendatory veto is trying to help out that privately owned estate in Barrington. Is that correct?"
- McGann: "Representative Cullerton, I'm not going to be drawn into an answer on that question because I don't know what was in the mind of the Governor at that time. If it was, then fine. That's what he was doing it for. I felt as though the Governor had received wrong information on this specific piece of legislation. He approved one part of it and in this part he gave reasons, which I didn't believe were substantive in order to accept it. And that's why I'm asking for the override. And I gave the reasons in my original presentation."
- Cullerton: "I agree with your Motion and urge everyone to vote for it."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative McGann, to close."
- McGann: "I believe that enough has been said, and I don't want to take up the time of the Assembly any longer, there being no further questions. I would ask that we would have an affirmative vote here to override this amendatory veto of House Bill 890 and thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House override the Governor's specific recommendations to House Bill 880. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present', and the House... On this Motion... The House do pass the specific... the Governor's specific recommendations for

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

change notwithstanding. The Motion prevails. You're welcome, Representative McGann. On the Amendatory Veto Motion appears House Bill 1026. Representative Ryder, you ready? Out of the record. House Bill 1117, Representative Keane. Out of the record. Representative Vinson on House Bill 1922. Out of the record. Representative Olson on House Bill 2475. Representative Olson. Out of the record. Representative Wojcik, for what purpose do you rise?"

- Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it seems that
 my seatmate has a very special day over here. His birthday
 is October 20, and he does have cake for the Members of the
 Assembly. And you're all invited to come on over and have
 a piece of cake."
- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you very much. Representative Hensel, happy birthday. We're going to other business. Is there anybody that wants to have their Bill heard on the Amendatory Vetoes? Not at this time. flouse will It's the intent of the Chair to return to the Order of Total Vetoes and then we're going to give a little time for staff to come down to the floor and then we will go to the appropriation Bills. On page six of the Calendar on the. Total Veto Motions House 320. appear Bill Representative Young. Representative Young. Anthony I'm sorry. Out of the record. Representative Cullerton, on House Bill 691. Page six of the Calendar. Cullerton, on House Bill 691. Representative Having problems with the names."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill amended the Code of Corrections to provide for reimbursement to counties for the cost of housing prisoners in their county jails. The money would go to reimburse them for only for the days in which the prisoners were sentenced to the State Department of

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Corrections. After they've been sentenced there, they stay in the county. We debated this yesterday on another Bill. Incidentally, the Sanata has overridden the Governor's veto with regard to the appropriation with, I believe, 38 votes. That's 2.15 million dollars. This is the enacting There's numerous counties that will benefit legislation. from the Bill. It's not just limited to Cook County, counties that have prisoners who are... they're housing them before they are sent to the state. The reason think it's important that these counties be helped is because there is a real crisis in some of these counties jails. And many in the jails are under court order to let people go, let alleged criminals go because there is not enough room or because the facilities are so So, this is an effort to return a little bit of decrepit. money to the counties in order for them to improve their jail facilities. It's also fair because it is reimbursing them for money that was... that really should be spent by the state. As I indicated yesterday, this Bill passed 111 I would be happy to answer any questions. And I would urge your support for the override of House Bill 691."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House override

House Bill 691. And on that question, Representative Dunn

from Macon."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Dunn: "We had legislation a year or so ago to require the state
to pick up the cost of criminal misdemeanants who were
required to spend their time in the county jails because
the prisons were overcrowded. I don't think this
legislation has any impact on that situation. Is that

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

my..."

Cullerton: "No, that's right. Misdemeanants stay in the county jail. This only refers to felons who are sentenced to the state prison but who are housed in the county jail temporarily before they're transfered."

Dunn: "Okay. Okay. Alright. Thank you."

Cullerton: "I would also point out that as we indicated yesterday, the state pays for the transportation of these prisoners from the county jails to the state. And, of course, there is expense there in busing them and prison guards to guard them, and, you know, if the state wanted to take them everyday, then the county wouldn't be reimbursed any money. Of course, that would increase the cost to the state. So, one way or the other, the state is going to have to pay. I just think this is a more equitable way to reimburse the counties."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton, to close."

- Cullerton: "Yes, I would ask for a favorable vote. This is something which we've debated a great length yesterday. I believe it's something which will aid every county in the State of Illinois. It's a fair proposal. I would appreciate your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 691 pass veto of the Governor notwithstanding? This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the Excuse me, record. Representative Ewing."
- Ewing: "I question there are 78 votes here for that, and I would ask for a verification."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to verify the votes.

 Representative Cullerton moves that we poll the absentees."

75th Legislative Day October 17, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. McCracken. Oblinger.
Parke. Phelps and Shaw."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "May I be recorded as voting 'no'?"

Speaker Giglio: "How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Giglio: "Record him 'no'. Mr. Clerk, proceed with Affirmative Roll Call."

Clark O'Brien: "Alexander. Barrios. Bowman. Braun. Breslin. Brookins. Brunsvold. Bullock. Capparelli. Christensen. Churchill. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Daley. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Didrickson. Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Dwight Priedrich. Giglio. Giorgi. Goforth. Greiman. Hanniq. Hartke. Hawkinson. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Johnson. Keane. Kirkland. Koehler. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe. McGann. McNamara. McPike. O'Connell. Mulcahev. Nash. Panayotovich. Pangle. Preston. Rea. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Ryder. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Soliz. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Turner. Van Duyne. Washington. Weaver. White. Wolf. Woodyard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. Zwick. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps, for what purpose do you rise?"

Phelps: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Giglio: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Phelps: "Record me as 'aye', please."

Speaker Giglio: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'.

Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Bruce Richmond has a birthday cake on his desk and he'd like to share it

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

with anyone that's interested. We like to welcome... we like to congratulate Bruce on his birthday today."

Speaker Giglio: "Congratulation, Representative. Mr. Clerk...

Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call, Representative

Ewing?"

Ewing: "Representative Brookins."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Brookins."

Ewing: "Right there."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Brookins is in the chamber."

Ewing: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Capparelli's in the chair... in his chair."

Ewing: "In his chair. Alright. Representative Christensen."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Christensen is in his chair."

Bwing: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Farley. Is Representative Farley in the chamber? Representative Farley. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. There further questions?"

Ewing: "Representative Bullock."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me. Representative who?"

Ewing: "Bullock."

Speaker Giglio: "Bullock. Is Representative Bullock in the chamber? Representative Bullock in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. The Gentleman has returned. The Gentleman has returned. Return the Gentleman to the Roll Call."

Ewing: "Which one did we return?"

Speaker Giglio: "Bullock."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Ewing: "Representative Plinn."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Flinn. Representative Flinn in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman. Excuse me.

Representative McGann, are you seeking recognition?"

McGann: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could I have leave to be verified,
please?"

Speaker Giglio: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Gentleman has leave. Representative Zwick, are you seeking recognition?"

Zwick: "Yes, would you change my vote to 'no', please?"

Speaker Giglio: "Change the Lady's vote from 'aye' to 'no'.

Further questions?"

Ewing: "Yes. Representative Hicks."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks is in his chair."

Ewing: "Representative Krska."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Krska. Is Representative Krska in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Ewing: "Representative Shaw."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shaw. Representative Shaw in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman is recorded..."

Ewing: "Leave him that way."

Speaker Giglio: "Leave him that way. Representative Johnson, are you seeking recognition? Verified. Does the Gentleman have leave? Representative Johnson has leave to be verified. Further questions?"

Ewing: "Representative Turner."

Speaker Giglio: "Turner. Representative Turner in the chamber?

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Ewing: "Van Duyne. Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Van Duyne is in his chair."

Ewing: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wolf. Is Representative Wolf in the chamber? Remove Representative Wolf and restore Representative Turner. Art Turner is in the well here."

Ewing: "Dwight Friedrich."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Dwight Friedrich in the chamber?

Representative Dwight Friedrich. How is the Gentleman recorded? Friedrich. Dwight Friedrich."

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Ewing: "Representative Woodyard."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Woodyard. Is Representative Woodyard in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call.

Representative Kirkland are you seeking recognition?

Change Representative Kirkland from 'aye' to 'no'.

Representative Sutker asks leave to be verified. Does he have leave?"

Eving: "Rep..."

Speaker Giglio: "Sutker."

Ewing: "Just a moment. What was the last one we took off? Woodyard..."

Speaker Giglio: "Dwight..."

Ewing: "Was Woodyard removed?"

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, Woodyard... Is Representative Woodyard..."

Ewing: "Alright. Representative Weaver."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Clark O'Brien: "Wood... Woodyard is recorded as not voting."

Ewing: "Well, he is now."

Speaker Giglio: "He was remove... He wasn't in the chamber."

Ewing: "That's right. Now, I said, Representative Weaver. I'm moving on."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Woodyard is not recorded. He's not in the chamber. And now, you're requesting the verification of Representative Weaver?"

Ewing: "That's right."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Weaver in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call.

Representative Ronan, are you seeking recognition?"

Bonan: "Yeah, I want to be verified."

Ewing: "Representative Goforth."

Speaker Giglio: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be verified?

Leave is granted. Representative Churchill. Gentleman asks to change his vote from 'aye' to 'no'. Are there further questions of the... Representative Koehler.

Representative Koehler asks to be recorded as voting 'no'.

Do you have any further questions, Representative Ewing?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Goforth. Representative Goforth in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. Are there any further questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?" Ewing: "None."

Speaker Giglio: "No further questions. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm very impressed with this display of loyalty for the Governor, and I'd like to join with it by changing my vote to 'no'."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Change Mr. Cullerton's vote from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Berrios. Representative Nash. Representative Daley. Alright. We're... The Motion has failed and... Representative... Change Representative Didrickson from 'aye' to 'no'. Therefore, Mr. Clerk, the record. Alright, on this question there are 65 voting 'aye', 42 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill... House Bill 699, having failed to receive the necessary votes to override, fails. On Total Veto Override appears House Bill 693. Representative Cullerton, are you ready on 693? Out of the record. 694. Are you ready on 694? of the record. Alright, the House will now proceed to the Order of Business on Appropriations, which is page 12 the Calendar. Alright, on Reduction and Item Veto Motions on page 12 of the Calendar, appears House Bill Representative Bowman. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This override... or restoration Motion was prior to the announcement by the Governor of a funding proposal for the infant mortality reduction initiative which the Legislature developed in the spring. As you may recall, we passed several Bills aimed at reducing infant mortality in this state. We funded that legislation by additions to the budget. The Governor vetoed those After these veto restoration Motions were filed, the Governor announced his intention to provide additional funding in excess of the amounts that we had provided in the spring. So, accordingly, and in support of the infant mortality reduction initiatives that we have all participated in and helped to craft, I now withdraw this Motion... ask leave to withdraw the Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw the Motion on House Bill 664. Gentleman have leave? Leave is

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

granted. House Bill 672, Representative Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the item on page 12, line 24 through 26, amount of 500,000 on House Bill 672 be approved, the item veto of the Governor notwithstanding. The Governor's action to veto the 500,000 dollar basic health services grant item will hinder local health departments' attempts strengthen and enhance their communicable disease and sanitation programs. These programs are necessary to conduct routine sanitation inspections in... state and local laws pertaining to food sanitation and conduct timely and effective communicable disease investigations. health departments have experienced problems with shrinking resources as every state agency has. Because of cuts at the federal, state and local levels, the local health departments have been forced to reallocate funds into other program areas. decreasing the strength in areas such as food sanitation and communicable diseases. I was kind surprised that because of the salmonella crisis that this item veto was acted on by the Governor. Because of the crisis. because we must do all on the local level to protect against any outbreak or spread of communicable diseases and we must enhance food sanitation activities, I would urge the Nembers of the House to join with me overriding this item veto. It is in the best interest of public health, and please join me on... on this."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to override the veto Motion of the Governor on House Bill 672. And on that question, Representative Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to oppose the Gentleman's Motion. You'll look at the budget from last year, and you'll find that the appropriations to local health departments, 71 of them

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

across the State of Illinois, has increased 15.3%. They have a budget this year that's approximately 6.8 million dollars, and this money, the 500,000 dollars was added on as a partisan add-on in Committee. It was opposed Committee on a partisan basis. And what we're saying is that the Governor did substantially increase the local health departments, again, by 15.3%. And they're running with a budget that's again 6.8 million dollars, and we think this is a reasonable increase. Certainly a 15.3% increase across the state is a reasonable increase and that the extra 500,000 dollars that's at issue here is certainly excess. Again, we urge you to oppose the Gentleman's Motion."

- Speaker Giglio: "Further questions? Representative Matijevich, to close."
- Matijevich: "I would hope that the matter of public health is not a partisan issue. I would hope that because salmonella crisis that all of you feel as I do that we must provide these funds for local health departments. all received a letter from the Illinois Public Health Association indicating their strong support for restoring this item veto. So please join with me, a Democrat or a Republican. This is not partisan. This is for communicable disease control. This is for food sanitation programs. If you vote for it, you show your strong support for that."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House override the veto Motion of the Governor on House Bill 672. This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who record. On this... On this vote, there are 68 voting

75th Legislative Day

- October 17, 1985
- 'aye', 45 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'.

 Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, just so everybody is on board in support of public health or opposed to it, I would like a Poll of the Absentees."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks for a Poll of the Absentees."

 Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."
- Clark O'Brien: "Deuchler. Flinn. Klemm. Oblinger. And Shaw.
 No further."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Motion fails. There are 69 voting 'aye', 45 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. Excuse me. 68 voting 'aye', 45 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. And this Bill, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost... failed. The Motion's failed. Reduction Item Veto Motion appears House Bill 672. Representative Bowman, 17, page line 15... 24. Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this particular restoration involves 172,000 This amount was added by the General Assembly pursuant to House Bill 27, which we approved in the spring. Now I think all of us are by now are familiar with this... this process. We wait until June 30th when we see what substantive Bills have passed and which ones have And then for those which have passed, which provide new directions or new programs for the state we add Conference Committee an amount of money sufficient to get the programs up and running. That is what we did with this particular item. In the spring we passed House Bill 27. which provided for and directed the Department of Mental Health to provide in-home care services for the ill. NOW the Department already does this for developmentally disabled persons, but not for mentally

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

persons, so that you may have heard and indeed the Governor's amendatory veto message... I beg your pardon."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Representative Bowman.

Representative Hastert, you're seeking recognition?

Representative Hastert."

Bowman: "Yes, you're right. Yeah, Representative Hastert..."

Hastert: "Point... Point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. I think we might be talking about a different Bill. Would you..."

Speaker Giglio: "House Bill 672, line..."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yeah, I stand corrected. I beg your pardon. I wish to withdraw that Motion. I was debating the Motion I wish to go with. Let's withdraw this one and get on with it."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to withdraw the Motion on line 17... page 17 lines 15...24. Is that correct? The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw. Does he have leave? Leave is granted. Withdraw the Motion, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 672, Motion #3, line seven... page 17, line 25...28. Representative Bowman, do you wish to proceed with that Motion?"

Bowman: "Withdraw that Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw that Motion. The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Motion #3 on 672. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. The Motion is accepted to withdraw. House Bill 679, Representative Bowman. 679, page one, line 28."

Bowman: "Yes, thank you, Mr... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, thank you very much for your indulgence.

This is the Motion I began to speak on just a moment ago. You'll notice from the board that the base amounts over 11,000,000 dollars are large, but if you'll take a closer look you'll realize that the additional amount that we

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

added in the spring that the Governor reduced is actually It's 172,000 dollars. This 172,000 dollars very modest. was added to implement House Bill 27, which we passed last The reason the money was added, of course, was that the Bill had not passed prior to submission to the Governor's budget. We decided that it was time that in this state we provided in-home services for the ill - such services as medication management and resource management, attendant and housekeeping and other home health and psychiatric services, respite care services and home delivered meals. And these are for the people recently been released from mental hospitals. And these services are needed to stabilize the people in a community setting so they do not go off their medication, perhaps lose their place of residence and wind up becoming homeless on our streets. So this particular appropriation for the Bill is really an ounce of prevention in order avoid the pound of cure that will be necessary once the person becomes unstable once again and has to be returned to a mental hospital. We want to avoid that. We want to preclude that. That is why this money was added. I would point out to the Body that this particular item was the number one item on the Illinois Mental Health Association's legislative agenda this year. And I move to override the... or excuse me, restore the reduction of the Governor."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to restore the reduction line item veto on page one, line 29, of House Bill 679.

 And on that question, Representative Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand to oppose the Gentleman's Motion.

 The Gentleman stated that there was increased responsibility put on the Department of Mental Health

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

because of House Bill 27. House Bill 27 did pass. It did create home centers, and the Department is carrying out those responsibilities, I'm told at this time, without additional funding. The 172,000 dollars, I've been instructed by the Department, is unnecessary, and the responsibilities that they are given by the legislation are being carried out with available funds. So I ask opposition to this Motion respectfully. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there further questions? Representative Bowman, to close."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Well, Representative Hastert, I don't think, House. is making the distinction between mentally **ill** and developmentally disabled. We do provide in-home care services for certain DD clients, but the... this particular Bill was directed at requiring the Department to... to get actively involved in in-home programing for the ill. Here we are talking about medication management, and we're talking about psychiatric services. And there is not anywhere in this state, and I defy anyone here to challenge me, that... that we are providing currently medication management and psychiatric services in an in-home setting. And that's what House Bill 27 was intended to do. That's what this money is intended to do. If the Governor didn't intend to do it, he should have... when he vetoed the money he should have vetoed the substantive Bill. The fact is he signed the substantive Bill. We need the money to get the program up and running. That is why I'm moving to restore this item in the Mental Health budget, and I ask for the support of this Legislature which was good enough to support House Bill 27."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House override the reduction veto of House Bill 679 and the veto of the

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Governor notwithstanding. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those oppose vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 64 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "I believe... I believe it requires only 60 votes to pass because it is a restoration. I believe it has passed."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "If this gets the... number I would like to verify."
Speaker Giglio: "This Motion requires 60 votes. The vote is 64
 voting 'yes', 49 voting 'no'."

Hastert: "I'd like to verify."

Speaker Giglio: "Therefore the Motion... Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "I'd like to ask for a verification."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks for a verification.

Representative Bowman asks for a Poll of the Absentees.

Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Deuchler. Laurino. Oblinger. Shaw. And Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Saltsman asks leave to be verified. Does he have leave? Leave is granted.

Saltsman. Proceed with the poll of the affirmative votes, Mr. Clerk."

Clark O'Brien: "Alexander, Berrios, Bowman, Braun. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bullock. Capparelli. Christensen. Brookins. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Daley. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Keane. Krska. Kulas. LeFlore."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Richmond, are you seeking recognition?"

Richmond: "Yes, asking leave to be verified."

75th Legislative Day

40

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks leave. Leave is granted."

Clark O'Brien: "Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann.

McNamara. McPike. Mulcahey. Nash. O'Connell."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Rea asks leave to be verified. Does he have leave? Leave is granted."

Clerk O'Brien: "Panayotovich. Pangle. Phelps. Preston. Rea.
Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Soliz.
Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Turner. Van Duyne.
Washington. White. Wolf. Anthony Young. And Mr.
Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr... Representative Huff asks leave to be verified. Leave is granted. Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Breslin. Is Representative Breslin in the chamber? How is the Lady recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Lady from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Bullock."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock is in the chamber."

Hastert: "Representative Christensen."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Christensen in the chamber? Yes, he is. He's in the back."

Hastert: "Representative DeJaegher."

Speaker Giglio: "DeJaegher. Representative DeJaegher is on the telephone. He's in his chair."

Hastert: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Farley. Representative Farley in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call and

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

restore Representative Breslin to the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Flinn. Is Representative Flinn in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Giorgi is in the chamber."

Hastert: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks is right here in the front."

Hastert: "Not in his chair though. I didn't see him back there.

Representative Homer."

Speaker Giglio: "Homer. Representative Homer in the chamber?

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Keane."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Keane is in the Speaker's podium here, behind me."

Hastert: "Representative Krska."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Krska. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me. Representative Curran asks leave to be verified. Does he have leave?"

Hastert: "Who? Curran."

Speaker Giglio: "Curran."

Hastert: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Leave is granted."

Hastert: "Leverenz."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Leverenz. Representative Leverenz.

Representative Leverenz in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative McNamara."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me. Representative Leverenz has returned."

Hastert: "McNamara."

Speaker Giglio: "McNamara's in his chair."

Hastert: "Representative Nash."

Speaker Giglio: "Restore Leverenz. Did you restore Leverenz, Mr. Clerk?"

Clark O'Brien: "Leverenz was restored to the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Nash."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Nash in the chamber?

Representative Nash. How is he recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Ronan. Ronan. Representative..."

Speaker Giglio: "Roman. Representative Roman. Representative

Ronan... How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Giglio: "Satterthwaite is in the chamber, and restore Representative Ronan."

Hastert: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Giglio: "Terzich. Representative Terzich in the chamber?

How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "He's in the chamber. He's at the door here on my right. Restore... Representative Laurino, are you

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

seeking recognition? Do you want to... No."

Hastert: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Laurino. How's Representative Laurino recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman requests to be voted 'aye'."

Hastert: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Giglio: "Wolf. Representative Wolf. Representative Sam Wolf in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'ave'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Saltsman. He was... He was verified."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Saltsman. Is Representative..."

Hastert: "Excuse me. He was verified."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wyvetter Younge. How's the Lady recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as not voting."

Speaker Giglio: "Vote the Lady 'aye'. Further questions? Are there any further questions of the Affirmative Roll Call, Representative Hastert?"

Hastert: "No."

Speaker Giglio: "No further questions. On this question, there are 60 voting 'aye', 49..."

Homer: "Would you..."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Speaker Giglio: "Restore the Gentleman to the Roll Call. Vote him 'aye'. On this question, there are 61 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'nay', and the reduction item of the appropriation of House Bill 672 (sic - 679), on page one, line 28, of the Bill is restored to the original amount, notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor. Therefore, this Motion,

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

having received a Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House overrides the Governor's line reduction veto. On House Bill 679, Reduction Veto, page two, line 28, Representative Bowman, 679."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of This reduction veto is for 1.4 million dollars for House. developmentally disabled persons. Ιn 19... fiscal we anticipate that 2,013 severely disabled children will age out of public school special education program and will need private day training services, according to the Illinois State Board of Education. Now, these are children that have been receiving special education services for the first 21 years of their lives. They are, at their 21st birthday, no longer eligible to receive services through the Illinois Office of Education. So what becomes of them Well, unfortunately, we do not have a mechanism for guaranteeing and assuring that every child who ages out is then placed in a program for continued habilitation and put on the path towards being self-supporting. Many of the 2,000 children, who will age out this year, will wind up at home in front of a television set and will regress as a result of being unable to build on the training that they received during their first 20 years. The money that we, the taxpayers, have invested in those children will wasted. It will be thrown away unless we provide continued habilitation services and provide for them to live independently. That is the purpose of this ₩e monev. added 1.5 million dollars to the million dollars requested by the Governor because the million ... I stand corrected. We added 2.5 million to the Governor's budget, and the Governor let a million dollars stand. The entire 2.5 million was added in wisdom of the General Assembly last spring. I believe that we made a wise decision then, and I

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

ask for all of us to join together in confirming that decision and making a statement, not only to the Governor and the agencies, but to the people of Illinois — the statement that says, when a child is placed in a special education program and they stay in that program, when they reach their 20th birthday, we will not forget about them. We will not discard them. We will not walk away from them, that we will make sure that they will be to continue to receive habilatation services and ultimately to live independently — a self-supporting and rewarding life. That's what this restoration Motion does. I move to restore the 1.5 million dollars reduced by the Governor."

Speaker Giglio: "On the... Before we get into that, on the previous Motion to override the Governor's reduction veto on line one, page 28 of the Calendar was House Bill 679.

Inadvertently I said 672. The House did pass the Motion, and the Motion prevails on the previous item. On the reduction veto, on page two, line 28, of House Bill 679, on that question, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative Bowman, is there anybody who has ever brought you a cause for spending public money that you've said no to?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman, do you wish to respond to that?"

Bowman: "Is that a serious question? If it's not..."

Vinson: "Yeah. Yeah."

Bowman: "Well, Representative Vinson, I'm sorry that you can't discern a serious question from a frivolous question.

Perhaps if you could, we would spend less time on the floor of this House and more time conducting the people's

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

business."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hastert."

Vinson: "We'd certainly have more time to spend money."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hastert, on a question."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I reluctantly rise to oppose the Gentleman's Motion. The aging out question is certainly a question, a question that we debated at great length in the Appropriations Committee in this House. It's a question that seriously focuses on the problem of those thousands and thousands of children that we have in special ed programs in this state that all of a sudden become 21. there's many, many frustrated parents out there to do... what to do with those kids once they are out of the mandated programs that we've set up in this state. We need to look at this issue. We need to come up with... with solutions. I don't believe that all of the solutions are throwing money at the problem. We asked the Governor to look at this issue, to make a decision on what should be done. There are three million new dollars to cover this issue. I'm not sure if that's enough or not enough, but we did have a transfer from DORS of two million dollars. ¥е did add... The Governor did add a million dollars in... add-ons to this program. I think we have to continue to I think that the million and a half ask questions. reduction in this sense may be unfortunate, may not be. But the question is, the problem is so expanse, we're not sure what the answer to that question is yet. So I reluctantly ask to the Body here to reject the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "No further questions, Representative Bowman, to close."

Bowman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

I am confused and amazed at the previous the House. speaker, because let me refresh his memory and bring to the attention of the entire Body what transpired in the House Appropriations Committee this year. The money that we are talking about here is money that Representative Hastertadded in Amendment #1 to the Bill. This is Representative Hastert's idea, which the Democratic Majority supported, and we put his proposal, along with a number of others, into the Amendment #1. And I was happy to support it Representative Hastert wishes to that time. Now, if repudiate his original position, that's... that's fine. But I want to give credit where credit is due, and it is Representative Hastert's proposal, which I think is a And I see him seeking recognition, so I'll be happy to yield some of my closing remark time to him. I would like to continue closing on this if he wishes to rise on a point of personal privilege."

- Speaker Giglio: "We... We did... I did announce that nobody sought recognition until after you started speaking. Now there's two Gentlemen, and since Representative Hastert's name was mentioned, Representative Hastert, do you care to respond?"
- Hastert: "Well, I just want to rise on a point of personal privilege, and if the Gentleman wants to finish his closing then I will arise at the appropriate time, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright, Representative Bowman, to close."
- Bowman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Hastert surely will be given a chance to respond. But I did want everyone to understand that this proposal had strong bipartisan support in the House Appropriations Committee, because I think all of us recognize that this is a very important problem; that children, who are in the special education programs, they

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

reach their 21st birthday, the state just walks away from them. They fall through the cracks. And all the money that we invested in those children in the first 20 years of their lives, just thrown away, while the kids sit in front of a television set mesmerized, and all of that training just goes right down the drain. We've got to make these kids self-sufficient, self-supporting so they can lead rewarding lives, be taxpayers and not tax eaters. That's the purpose of this proposal. That's why I'm moving to restore the money."

Speaker Giglio: "Okay. The question is, 'Shall the item...
reduced item of the appropriation, on page...'
Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Yes, I would like to be recognized on a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've arisen in this chamber many times. I've always tried to be straight, honest and come across without too many charades in the political arena. I think my position was stated that I think this is unfortunate. But, you know, that there are such a thing as allocation of resources. And if we... If the previous speaker is shocked and chagrined and a whole bunch of other things about position on this Bill, let me remind him that the Bill that put forward or the Amendment that I put forward in the General... in the Appropriations Committee was not upon but the substitute Motion was in another area. Now. I think we have to look at the problems in the state. I think we have to look at, you know, needs in the state. think in partially the needs were covered. We talked about a two million dollar transfer to cover this issue. Иe talked about a million dollar addition on to talk about

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

this issue. And I take a little bit of personal affront of being used as a political scam in this situation. Now, you know, in all due respect to the Gentleman across the aisle, some of us have to stand up and be responsible about where the allocation of monies stand in this General Assembly and in this House."

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hastert, I think you're addressing the Bill now. You were recognized on a point of personal privilege."
- Hastert: "Well, I think my... my position, Mr. Speaker, was attacked, and I'm trying to rectify that. I think we know where we stand. We have to look at issues and as a person we have to look at issues and decide upon allocations. And I would ask that the previous speaker and the Members of this General Assembly take that into consideration."
- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you. The question is, 'Shall the reduction item of the appropriation on page two. line 28. of House Bill 679 be restored to its original amount, notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor? final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Representative Friedrich, one minute to explain your vote."
- Priedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think the Sponsor of this Motion is sincere, and I have no question about it. He has been active as the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee. I think sometimes he ought to be Chairman of the Revenue Committee so he could tell us where the money's coming from for some of these things he advocates. It's obvious that there is a limit to the amount of money we're going to have. We've lost the eight cent cigarette tax. The Bureau of the Budget is... was... overexaggerated what money we're going to have. We're faced with a tax increase and something's got to give.

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

- Now, I think that, again, that he ought to be Chairman of the Revenue Committee and tell us where the money's coming from to do all these things he wants to do. It's a matter of priority. We need money for education. We need money for a lot of other things. But there's not enough money to give everybody everything they want."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Satterthwaite, one minute to explain your vote."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise support of this Motion to restore the funds for community services for developmentally disabled. We, over the several years, have made a big effort to reduce the number of people in our mental health institutions, but at same time we have put an even greater burden on our community agencies to try to substitute quality care these particular people. We cannot abandon them, and, in fact, it is counterproductive for us to abandon them. These monies are needed for such things as sheltered workshop programs so that people can be in training become productive citizens, so that we have staff people who can help them get jobs in the community if they are able to function at that level. And so I urge that more Members put their support for the restoration of these funds."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further questions? Have all voted who wish?

 Have all voted who wish? Representative Stephens, one minute to explain your vote."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I...
 regrettably rise in opposition of this Bill. The... The
 problem of aging out is indeed a serious one. It's one, I
 think, that we all need to take serious consideration of in
 the next year. We've made a serious and responsible...
 attempt by moving three million new dollars into this area

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

to cover some serious problems. But I think we... if we can't be anything else but responsible with the money that we are hereto entrusted with, then we may be doing more damage than good to the cause of those with mental and developmentally disabled problems. I would hope that this Motion would fail. It appears that it's going to pass, and I think that in the long run that may be regretable. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further questions? No further questions, have all voted... have all voted who wish? Have all voted wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there 69 voting 'aye', 43 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. This Motion, having received a Constitutional Majority, prevails. and the House overrides the Governor's item reduction veto on page two, line 28, of House Bill 679. page 13 of the Calendar, under Amendatory Vetoes, to go back and put up House Bill Representative Keane, are you ready on House Bill 1117? Amendatory veto."

Keane: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 1117. The Governor recommends that 1117 be amended to provide that any terms and provisions of the contract, which would increase expenditures for salary or benefits after the first year of such contract shall be contingent upon sufficient available revenues. And I agree with the Governor, and I ask for... I move to accept his amendatory veto."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to accept the amendatory veto on House Bill 1711 (sic - 1117). On that question...

Hearing none, Representative Reane, 1117, Representative Keane, to close."

Keane: "Ask for a favorable vote, an 'aye' vote."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House accept the amendatory veto on House Bill 1117. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present', and the House does accept the amendatory veto on House Bill 1117. The Motion prevails. Representative Keane, on House Bill 1500."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr..."

Speaker Giglio: "House Bill 1500."

Keane: "On House Bill 1500? Yes, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to override the Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 1500. The Governor cited his opposition provision of the Act. I think he was mistaken. states that the requirement could be costly on county boards. And basically what the piece that the Governor vetoed out was is that the ... any county official leaving office should have an audit of the... of his records. We... Or I mentioned when the Bill first went through, as part of the legislative record, that that audit could be part of the regular audit. It need not be a special audit. It's not something that the Auditor General would have to do. I believe it's just good government a public county official when he leaves office to clear the books so that his successor comes in with a clean sheet. I therefore, ask mу colleagues to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 1500."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to override the Governor's recommendation on House Bill... amendatory veto on House Bill 1500. And on that question... Hearing none, this is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

voted who wish? Representative McGann."

McGann: "Switch is not ... Vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McGann, hit it again. Not recording. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Mr. Clerk, take the record. We'll Representative McGann 'aye'. On this question, there are voting 'aye', 27 voting 'nay', none voting 'present', and the Motion to override the amendatory veto on Bill 1500 prevails. Representative Kubik, for introduction. Representative Kubik and Representative Stange, for an introduction."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I am going to make this very brief because I know we have a very, very busy schedule today. Representative Jim Stange, myself and Bob Terzich have a very important group of ladies and gentlemen here with us These ladies are from a home town, our home town, today. in our district, called Brookfield. Brookfield is normally known for its zoo. Today it's known for the fact that these 11 and 12 year old girls are the national champions of the United States for softball. This is the first time that this particular region has had a national softball champion. So we're... we're very, very proud of them, and we're very thankful that they could come down and join us today. With them are the boys team which are third in Accompanying them are the Village President, Pierce 'McKabe' and their manager Jim Sullivan. And I want to thank you all for your attention. I'd like to have maybe the... the Village President make a remark or two, and thank you."

Pierce 'McKabe': "Thank you, Representative Kubik. And I'd just like to thank all the Members of the House. It is a privilege for us that the House is honoring the girls from

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Brookfield on this occasion. Just so that you're all aware, this is a World Series that they won. Our girls played from the district to the region. They are the first one from the central region, the first one from the State of Illinois to win the World Series. They won 13 straight games, winning the championship by beating California 1 to nothing in extra innings. And the boys we have with us, we're also very proud of as they were third in the state. Once again we'd like to thank you very much."

Kubik: "Just a brief remark from the manager, Jim Sullivan."

Jim Sullivan: "Actually I was the coach. My brother, haven't been this nervous since we won the World Series. But it was really a big thing for us because we really enjoved representing Illinois. Right before the championship game we got a telegram from Governor Thompson. and it really spurred us on to win the championship for the State of Illinois. I'd like to introduce you to all girls - Julie 'Casey', here. The girls in the back, if you'll raise your hand when we get to you. Jennifer 'McCarthy', she scored the winning run against California. It was 1 to nothing, eight innings. 'Gena Brower' right fielder: Sue 'Grandis': Jennifer 'Delvachio'. I'm going to forget somebody's name. Jennifer... Becky Hall was... Jennifer. That was the manager's daughter. Katie 'Bacca', Jennifer 'Kirk', Chris 'Lennon', Sherry 'Michael', Karen 'Marzeck', Maureen 'Sherrick' and Kari Anne 'Rita'. We had them on the road for three weeks, bunch of kids. They behaved very well. and they were really good. We'd also like to call out the boys names. Their manager wasn't So they're down here. They took third in state. here. And boys, I'd like to say, it's a lot harder to win the boys district, state and so on down the line. They represented Brookfield national, which we did and showed

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

that Brookfield has a good program, and we're going to keep on going for the state. So boys, if I call your name, will you raise your hand down there? Dan 'Morrel', Kevin 'Sevaka', Kevin 'Osborne', Brian 'Jackaboski', Jin 'McCarthy', Jim 'Masick', Frank 'Senice', Brian 'Serroda', Eric 'Harms', Mike 'Doepeck', Sammy 'Struheart', Chris 'Slickman' and Peter 'Puchiney'. And we'd like to thank you for your time. We realize how busy you are. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Giglio: "We're going to go to Total Veto Motions on page six of the Calendar. Page six of the Calendar appears House Bill 67... 761, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, could I just ask leave of the Body to take it out of the record, but only momentarily? I'm looking, and I don't see Wayne Goforth over there. This is a Bill for political rights for state troopers, and Wayne is at a meeting regarding DuQuoin... the DuQuoin fair on the first floor. And he asked me not to call this Bill if he's not on the floor. And everybody knows we haven't heard Wayne Goforth all Session, and this would be our opportunity."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright, we'll return back to 761."
- Matijevich: "But I'd like to return to it as soon as he gets

 back, because I don't want to jeopardize the Bill too late,

 if... if that's alright. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Yes. Alright, on... on Total Veto Notions appears House Bill 781. Representative Keane, are you ready with 781. Mr. Clerk, 781."
- Clark O'Brien: "House Bill 781, a Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act. Motion to override by Representative Keane."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to override the

75th Legislative Day

October 17. 1985

Governor's veto of House Bill 781. A little background on the Bill, I think, would help clarify what happened. Τn we passed... we were having some of the retailers in gas... gasoline retailers were not paying their motor fuel tax, so we put in a Bill that said that the distributors would collect the three... three cent sales tax, and they would send it in, in that part of that Bill was that the two and a half... or the 2.1% for the vendor's discount for keeping records was passed on to the retailers. Later... Later on what happened was, in 1984, the... By the way, the distributors, at that point, were living on the float. they collected monies in January, they didn't have to submit them until Pebruary... with their February payment to the Department of Revenue. What happened was is that we put in... in '74 we put in a 10,000 dollar remit. have to... If they collect over 10,000 dollars, they have to submit quarterly, four times a month I should say, also we took away, we effectively took away their discount. What happens now, the situation now without House Bill 781 is that the distributors prepay taxes by invoice. In other words, they actually, to get the 1% discount, must taxes before they've collected them from the retailer. We had a Bill, 781, earlier this Session which had two... original form had two provisions. One, it said that instead of using 10,000 for the weekly, you might as well weekly payments, that it would go to 25,000, and we also said that we were going to give them something for their collection purposes. It was a 2.1% discount. Ιn negotiations between the marketers and the Department Revenue, it was agreed that if they would only... if the Bill would read that the threshold would be 25,000 instead of 10,000 that Revenue would withdraw its opposition to the Bill. We amended the Bill in that manner. Revenue

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

withdrew its opposition, and we passed the Bill. I don't think the Governor was aware of the agreement between the petroleum people, petroleum marketer people and the... and the Department and his veto... he vetoed the Bill. Therefore, I ask for your assistance in overriding the Governor's veto."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House override the Governor's veto on House Bill 781. And on that question...

 Hearing none, Representative... Excuse me. Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "I'd like to stand in support of the veto override measure. For those individuals who are reading the... the Governor's Message, Representative Keane is exactly correct in the chronological order of what we did in 1974 and 1983 and under the current provisions. This is a good measure to show exactly how much product was delivered to that retail gas station and on the existing invoice shows the amount of money owed to the State of Illinois by printout. It's an excellent measure, and I move for... in favor of the override."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Keane, to close."

Keane: "I ask for a favorable... an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 781 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?" This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 101 voting 'aye', 9 voting 'nay', none voting 'present', and the Motion on House Bill 781 prevails. The House does override House... the Governor's Total Veto Motion on House Bill 781. Now we're going to return to House Bill 761,

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Representative Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Representative. I see we have a former Member here in our... in front of the well here, former Representative Neff, Clarence Neff."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, rise to move to... that House Bill 761 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. House Bill 761 is the Bill to provide for political rights for the state troopers in the Department... what was the Department οf Law Enforcement, now the Department of State Police. As I said vesterday. before we voted on the same type of issue for local law enforcement people and firefighters, I said reason or other, some people like to put the state police at a different standard, and I don't think The Governor indicated in his veto message ought to be. certain remarks that would indicate that providing these rights would somehow disturb the merit system for state police. And I don't think it would disturb the system at all. I don't think in any way that it would make lesser competent and responsible state police organization in the State of Illinois. But I think we ought to provide for full citizenship rights to our state As all of you know, we police. have Representative McAuliffe on the floor of the House. He is a Chicago policeman. There's no reason why he can't hold political office, and... and we know that he is responsible in doing such. We have Wayne Goforth, who is... retired as a state policeman. I think that it would be interesting to hear Representative Goforth and tell us how he believes that when he served as a state policeman that he should have had those rights as an individual that all of us have.

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

would urge the Members of the General... of the House here to support me, to pass House Bill 761, and let us return... let us now help the state police to be in the 20th century so that they can have those rights."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On that question, Representative Johnson, you seek recognition?"
- Johnson: "I don't want to preempt Wayne Goforth. My seatmate tells me here I'm preempting him. I just want to speak to the Bill, but... go ahead."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Goforth."

Goforth: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I hate to be taking up the House's time like talking so much here. But really, you have all become my friends, and I really appreciate it. But this Bill is. I think, important to us. I spent 26 years plus on it. And what it amounts to right now is the captains, the lieutenants, the superintendent, they can all play politics, but the poor old trooper out there on the road, he's not even allowed to come to my fund raiser or fund raiser or nobody elses. And I just don't think that's fair. I have been approached by several troopers. even as high up as captains, that said they was informed, not subtly or whatever you want to be, that they wasn't even supposed to talk to us Representatives. And I also don't think that's fair, and I told the Director in front Appropriations Committee that. He said that he didn't come up with that statement but it sure come somebody. I think by overriding this veto we can give the state troopers the same rights as everybody else in country's got. There's plenty of policy within Department that they can keep somebody from advantage of this law. So I ask for all you in support on this here. Thank you very much."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? No further discussion, Representative Matijevich, to close."

Matijevich: "Let's give it one for the Gipper, Goforth."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 6..., 761 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 92 voting 'aye', 16 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. Representative Matijevich."

Hatijevich: "Speaker, I pulled a Virginia Frederick. I didn't
vote my switch, and I'm sponsor of the... Is it open yet?
No."

Speaker Giglio: "The vote... The switch is open."

Matijevich: "Everybody... The world knows I'm for the troopers.

It really doesn't make..."

Speaker Giglio: "Vote it, John, the switch is open. Mr. Clerk, record Representative Matijevich as voting 'aye'. You can't, John, but the Clerk can. On this question, there Representative Curran. Mr. Clerk, record Representative Curran as voting 'aye'. With the new switching system that we have, if you voice your opinion when the machine is still open, the Clerk can record but your switch cannot, before I declare it closed. Alright. Are we ready? On this guestion... Mr. take the record. On this question, there are 94 voting 'aye', 16 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present', and on this Motion... and the Motion to override on House Bill 761 prevail. Representative O'Connell, on House Bill 856. Are you ready on 856? Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman, on House Bill 882. Representative Greiman in the chamber? Representative Greiman, on House Bill 882,

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

seven of the Calendar, Total Veto Motion."

Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. House Bill 982 is an effort to provide interested political committees with the voter information that is current. It is... What it requires is information which is presently being collected, presently being established in electronic basis by local authorities to provide them with... to bring them and deliver them to the State Board so that they may be gotten in a uniform, reasonable cost by interested parties. now there is a patchwork all over the state. Different county clerks charge one... charge different prices, there ought to be... access to those records should be reasonable, inexpensive and uniform. The veto message, so often happens, the Governor... One always gets the feeling sometimes that the Governor's staff person reading another Bill and not writing on the Bill that he's been reading. So that's what happened in this don't think that... that the Governor's veto responds to the Bill at all or to the facts around Bill. Accordingly, I would ask that we override the Governor's veto. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "On that question, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of Representative Greiman's Motion to override. This Bill moved out of Committee comfortably — Elections. Passed the House 108 to 10. Moved out of the Senate 59 to nothing. The program to have a centralized source to receive this information is of eminently good judgment. I would urge an 'aye' vote on the override Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "No further discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House override the Motion on House Bill 892, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. take the On this Notion, there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present', and the Motion 882 prevails. override on House Bill Representative O'Connell. Ont ο£ the record. House Bill 903. Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock in the chamber? House Bill Representative 1020. Sutker. Representative Sutker on... Out of the record. House Bill 1072, Representative Young, Anthony Young. Out Representative Terzich, on House Bill 1218. record. Ont of the record. Representative Flowers. Representative Flowers, on House Bill 1335. Representative Terzich, on House Bill 1218. Do you wish to proceed? House Bill 1218. Mr. Clerk."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, House... I move that we override the Governor's veto on House Bill 1218 provided that persons with temporary House Bill appointments with the Metropolitan Sanitary District on the effective date of this amendatory Act, that have been employed for two or more years and have met standards required for jobs positions may take a qualifying examination for their job's position within six months after the effective date of this amendatory veto. Governor's message on this basically stipulates that it would encourage a... a patronage practice within district. However there are many, many positions that, with over 2,000 positions in the Metropolitan District that there is a need to put people in temporary positions. example, if anybody is on a disability that they would not be... that position would not be open until that person was totally taken off the disability rolls, and an individual

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

would have to be put on a temporary position. They have approximately 158 positions out of 2,400 positions that some people have been in those positions over two years. What the Bill simply allowed is that those people that were put into those positions be able to take an examination to see if they qualify for that position, and if they do qualify then they would be on a permanent basis the same as anybody else in a civil service position. extremely important to those individuals that otherwise their jobs would be terminated. I might also mention that under the current civil service positions, and I think this is true in all state governments, that if a person is to be put in another position such as an advancement or so that that person would have to be... take another qualifying provision in a... in the Civil examination. There's no Service Code for someone who might be transferred to another position for an advancement or any other temporary position that they would qualify. This Bill would allow these people to qualify for the position that they've been in there for a good number of years. They have done the job, and I would appreciate your support in overriding the Governor's veto on House Bill 1218."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House override the Motion on House Bill 1218. And on that question, no discussion. The question is, 'Shall the House pass the veto of the Governor notwithstanding on House Bill 1218?'

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Representative Terzich, to explain his vote."

Terzich: "There really isn't anything to explain. I mean, all we need is 71 brave people to give me a vote. That's all. I mean... I mean, let's put it this way that Saturday is Sweetest Day, and if anybody wants to be a real sweet guy

75th Legislative Day

October 17. 1985

or girl they can give me an 'aye' vote on this. It only...

It only effects the Chicago area and the Metropolitan District, and this is an extremely important Bill for the 158 people that their job depends on this legislation. And as I mentioned to you, all it does is simply allow these people to take a qualifying examination the same as any other civil service position and, if they qualify for that position, that they would retain otherwise, that it would be filled from a vacancy that's set up by the Civil Service Commission. And like I... I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Rice. Representative Rice."

Bice: "'Aye'."

Speaker Giglio: ""Aye". Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. take the record. On this Motion, there are 54 voting 'ave', 56 voting 'nay' and 3 voting 'present', and Motion to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 1218 On Total Veto Motions appears House Bill fails. Representative Steczo. Out of the record. Representative Keane on House Bill 1698. Representative Keane in the Representative Anthony Young. chamber? Out of the record. Out of the record. Representative White on House Bill 1714. Representative White. Yes. Mr. Clerk. House Bill 1714."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to override the Governor's veto on Senate... on House Bill 1714. This is a simple Bill. All it does is that it would allow school districts to purchase perishable food stuffs and beverages outside of the bidding process. We have found that in the City of Chicago this will be a savings of about three million dollars, and I'm certain that the school districts across the State of Illinois will receive

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

a great savings if they, too, were allowed to enter into this process. If you're thinking in terms of saving dollars for the school system, you will support this Bill. And I'm certain that your school boards will rally behind you and sing your praises if you were to help me to override the Governor's veto on this fine piece of legislation. It's one that does not cost the State of Illinois any money."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 1714. And on that question, discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 14... 1714 pass, the veto of the notwithstanding. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative White."
- Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in White: "Mr. explaining my vote, as I said earlier, this Bill does not cost the State of Illinois any dollars. All it does is that it will allow your school districts to purchase food stuffs, bread and milk, at a time when the prices are low. In this way your school districts can realize a In the State of California, they have enacted savings. this piece of legislation, and they have received ... saved millions of dollars by using this process. And I would urge you, Ladies and Gentlemen, to join with me in overriding the Governor's veto in order to save dollars for your school district."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Notion, there are 57 voting 'aye', 57 voting 'nay', 2 voting 'present', and the Motion to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 1714 fails. House Bill 1880, Representative Ronan.

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Representative Ronan in the chamber? On the Calendar appears House Bill 1880. Representative... Excuse me. Representative Priedrich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

- Priedrich: "Mr... Mr. Speaker, I'd like for... to ask for a one hour recess for the purpose of Republican Conference."
- Speaker Giglio: "We're... We're trying to find a room for you, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "I'd suggest 119. That's where we normally meet."
- Speaker Giglio: "At the present time, we'll take it under advisement. We'll get right back to you. On the... page seven of the Calendar appears House Bill 2391, Representative Madigan, Speaker Madigan. Representative Madigan, on House Bill 2391."
- Madigan: nar. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2391 would provide a preference in hiring for a disabled person. The Bill is very simple, and it simply states that in an employment situation where an employer has the opportunity to hire a disabled person and there is another job applicant in contention for a particular in that instance, under the law, preference should be given a disabled person. I offered this Bill based upon my to own personal experience with a number of people coming to office who are disabled, for whatever reason. there's a mental affliction, maybe there's a physical is, they are members of society. handicap. Whatever it They can function in society. They... They simply need some meaningful function to perform - a job that they can go to in return so that there's something to do in their life. Unfortunately it's very difficult for these people to find any kind of a job, even a menial job. And I think this condition and given that... that given this circumstance that the Illinois law should clearly provide

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

and clearly state that the people in these circumstances be given a preference in hiring. And, therefore, I would move to override the Governor's veto of this Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding, on House Bill 2391.

On that discussion, Representative Johnson."

is... To speak against a Bill like this, which I'm Johnson: "It going to do, is, I guess you can characterize as being ... people would characterize as being unfeeling. That's not the point. I have a lot of personal exposure handicapped people in my life. But the fact of the matter is, there's a whole variety of citizens in this county who are would-be employees, and to pass a Bill that effects the private sector when we all say that we ought to keep government's hands out of things, to create a penalty for people simply operating in the marketplace, doesn't make sense, but it goes against every sort of grain of free enterprise commitment that we have in this country. In addition to that, what's ... what's wrong with the ... with the position or the rights of individuals who aren't handicapped who need jobs to feed their families as well? Let's let the market work. Let's let employers make free choices. We certainly aren't advocating, and I would certainly support legislation to prohibit discrimination against handicapped people or against minorities. that's not what we're talking about. We're talking about letting the system operate to the maximum benefit of employer so that all the citizens have equal rights rather than elevating some over others. Not reluctantly, but with the admonition that I certainly am not opposed to the basic commitment to helping disabled people, this is a bad Bill, and it ought to be defeated."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Bowman."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to point out that legislation was modeled after legislation that's already on the books that provides for preference for veterans. what we are suggesting here is that handicapped individuals be given a preferential treatment only to the same extent that we currently provide it to veterans. And I think these are two classes of people who deserve our support and deserve all the help that we can give them. And that is why I'm rising in support of the Motion to override the veto."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Madigan, to close."
- Madigan: "In closing, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, one of the previous speakers said that we ought to oppose the Motion to override the Governor and, therefore, permit the marketplace to operate according to normal economic forces. The fact is that the marketplace does not operate correctly for these people because they do have a certain handicap because they are disabled. And just as the Federal Government and the Congress affects the American marketplace every day by its expenditure decisions, we in the Illinois Legislature ought to by law impose preference for hiring in these particular cases. And again, I would recommend the Motion to override Governor's veto."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Motion... On this Motion, the... House Bill 2391 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. All those in favor signify by 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Hawkinson, are you seeking recognition?"
- Hawkinson: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explanation of my vote, I would just point out that under Chapter 68 it is

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

already against the law in Illinois to discriminate against the handicapped in hiring."

- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Stephens, one minute to explain your vote."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. explanation of my vote, I... I am an employer of some 20 to 25 individuals, one of which I know is handicapped. hired her, I hired her because she could do the iob. I think with this law on the books, I and other employers like myself would feel that ... a little bit intimidated. And I believe that the Sponsor of the Bill is... is probably not very serious in his legislation; otherwise, he would have put an ample penalty. Many of us faced with the decision of hiring a handicapped person are not. already feel an intrusion of government into our lives and our business. And for the fine of 25 to 50 dollars. might just opt out and not hire the handicapped. think he's going to wind up not only getting the news coverage that he wishes, but winding up doing more harm to disabled persons than good. And so, I vote 'no'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 68 voting 'aye', 44 voting 'nay', 5 voting 'present'. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you poll the absentees?"

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clark Leone: "Poll of the Absentee. Shaw."

Speaker Giglio: "On this question, there are 68 voting 'aye', 44
voting 'nay', 5 voting 'present', and the Motion to
override House Bill 2391 fails. On the Calendar on Total
Veto Motion appears House Bill 574, Representative
Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 574?"

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Yes, House Bill 574."

Madigan: "Fine. Nr. Speaker, House Bill 574 is a Bill which would provide for public financing of the Governor's election. I was privileged to be joined in sponsorship of this Bill by the outstanding Representative from Chicago. Representative Preston, who was largely responsible for the of this Bill in the House and in the Senate. now I'm very happy that he would join me in this Motion to override. The Bill is very simple. The politics are very simple. Those of you on the other side of the aisle control the Governor's Office. You control the awarding of contracts in the Highway Department and all of the other departments of the state. Fund raising for your candidate for Governor is very easy. On the other hand, for those not blessed with incumbency, fund raising for the Office of Governor can be very difficult. This Bill would provide that for the election of Governor in Illinois fund raising would be done as it is done for the Office President of the United States. There would be public support for these campaigns. In addition, the legislation would provide for a lid on the amount of expenditure that can be done in a gubernatorial election. And for those you who understand that in the next Governor's election one the candidates, namely the incumbent, announced that he will spend ten million dollars, there's a need for the lid on expenditure in elections such as for Governor of Illinois. Again, this is good, sound legislation, legislation whose time has come. I expect that there will be a few reactionary people in the chamber who will not see the wisdom of this legislation, but you can live with your deeds. Mr. Speaker, I move to override the Governor's veto."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House pass House

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Bill 574, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' And on that question, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I will be the first reactionary to speak to this Bill. This has come before us on a number of occasions. None of the parameters have changed. Illinois has many other needs. The constituent out there has a concern relative to the benefits of this package and; therefore, I would suggest a 'no' vote on the Speaker's override Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "Is there further discussion? Representative Preston, from Cook."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the I rise in support of the Speaker's Motion to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 574. This Bill been amply debated many times in this chamber; and, on each occasion, this chamber, as did the Senate, passed this Bill to the Governor's desk showing that it is indeed will of the people of Illinois, through their elected Representatives, to have the perception, the perception of undue influence over the highest office in this land The people deserve that protection and to have their feelings that, through large campaign contributions, you cannot get yourself large influence over the Governor's Office. And I mean by this no criticism whatsoever of Governor Thompson or of any other Governor of this state. But nonetheless when, as the Speaker said, there is raised spent some 10 million dollars to run for the Office of Governor of Illinois, to raise that kind of money, the public has a perception that you have to make interest groups to get the large deals with special donations that are needed to raise a 10 million dollar figure. We need to put that asunder, to give the public

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

the perception that the Governor's Office is not for sale, that it's not riding under the heavy burden of undue influence, and I would your 'aye' vote on Speaker Madigan's Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none,
Representative Madigan, to close."

"Again, Mr. Speaker, in support of the Motion to override. one of the previous speakers spoke in opposition to this Motion. I think it's noteworthy to note that Gentleman represents the district where President Reagan was born and raised and unless I'm ... unless I'm incorrect. Mr. Reagan took the money under the federal financing program for the Presidential Election. So, it's very If you control the apparatus, if you control simple. access to fund raising, you'll oppose this Bill. However. if you're one who's on the outside, if you're one who's a tough time raising money so that you can get your message to the people so that your message and your will be known and understood, then you'll support this Bill. It's a good, sound, realistic proposal. I think it's day has come. There may be some who don't think that its day has come. In addition, it would impose a lid on expenditures in the election, and that is a key provision of the Bill. I would move to override the Governor's veto."

"The question is, 'Shall House Bill 574 pass, the Speaker Giglio: veto of the Governor notwithstanding? This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Mr. Clerk, take the record. wish? On this question there voting 'aye', 51 voting 'nay', are 66 none voting 'present'. This vote... On this Motion there are 66 voting

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

'aye', 51 voting 'nay', none voting 'present', and the Motion to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 574 fails. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "... (malfunction in sound system)..."

Speaker Giglio: "The Republicans may have their Conference in Room 118, Representative Priedrich, and the House will stand in recess. We'll give you until 1:00. Is that satisfactory? Thank you. The House will stand in recess until 1:00 p.m. Will the Members please return to the House floor? All Members please return to the House floor. We are ready. ... Barger, have all the Republican Members returned to the House floor? Are we ready to proceed? The House will come to order. The hour of 9:30 having arrived, the Second Special Session of the 84th General Assembly of State αf Illinois will. BOW come to order. Representative McPike asks leave that the Attendance from the Call Regular Session be used as the Attendance Roll Call for the Second Special Session. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution #4, from the Second Special Session. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 84th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when both Houses adjourn on Thursday, October 17, 1985, they stand adjourned until Tuesday, October 29, 1985 at 12:30 p.m."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. McPike, on the Resolution."

McPike: "Move the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I now move that the Second Special Session adjourn until 12:30, October 29th."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

- Speaker Giglio: "You've heard the Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'nays'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House Second Special Session will stand adjourned until October 24th (sic 29th). Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "... (malfunction in sound system introduces students)..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Let's give them a hand. Alright. The House will now come to order. On page seven of the House Calendar, Total Veto Motions, appears House Bill 1880, Representative Ronan. Representative Ronan in the chamber? Out of the record. House Bill 1880. Representative Young. Out of the record. Representative Greiman. Representative House Bill 2259. Greiman. OΩ Out of the record. Representative Soliz. Representative Soliz on House Bill Total Veto Motions on page seven of your Calendar. Representative Soliz."
- Soliz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 1 move to override the total veto on House Bill 2512 which is an Act creating the Illinois Youth Employment Program. The Illinois Youth Employment Program is a program which would be created under this Act to provide for employment for youth between the ages of 16 to 20, disadvantaged youth, youth that otherwise many times turn to gangs, crime and to the streets. I want to, with program, be able to provide the incentive so that employers our districts, employers in private enterprise and in government will be able to employ these youth. And the incentive is there to be able to provide for the reimbursement of the amount that they contribute to the employment of these youth. So, it's an incentive program to try to provide for employment among the most acutely state which are the youth, unemployed in our the disadvantaged youth. I move to override the Governor's

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

veto on this particular Bill and ready to answer any questions. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "On this question, is there any discussion?

Representative Tate, Gentleman from Macon."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the chamber. I rise in opposition to this Bill. Ιf you will look at the Governor's message... the veto. offers virtually no training or there's no (malfunction in sound system) indication to require in the program, neither were there any wages or the types of jobs were not defined. DCCA will prescribe rules hna since only and the regulations of those rules. DCCA already administers Job Training Partnership Act. Presently, DCCA with its Job Training Partnership Act close to 100 million, and this would replace the President's GF or the CEDA program. Bill was written very, very loosely and provides no link... responsible... any educational facility or governmental group for organization.. hire kids for a state agency tax deduction... 1000 per kid. What that means is that a political unit like the 'award organization' in the City of Chicago can receive a thousand dollar deduction with virtually no job description for these kids, no prior training for these kids. A political entity of any type can hire these... That's not what we want to do young people of this state and that's not the type of proper public policy that this state wants to be supporting. And SO. for those reasons, 1 stand i n opposition and conclude that House Bill 2512 provides specifics. It could easily be used for patronage, for job ... at state expense which is essentially providing the tax deduction. It provides no training or education for kids hired. And already, DCCA, through their existing program, provides 130 million dollars a year for a less... program

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

to address the very same issue."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, Representative Soliz, to close."

Soliz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that we have serious about attacking one of the major problems that we have in this state and that is youth unemployment. true that DCCA, in its administration of the Job Training Program and Partnership Act, does have monies that distribute for different kinds of employment programs. However, these programs are not targetted to combat youth What I am seeking to do with this particular unemployment. Bill is to target youth unemployment. We have a serious problem that we must address. I'm not saying that with Bill we'll be able to address all of the problems associated with the youth unemployment. As a matter of this could only be a beginning, inasmuch as the budget for this particular program is only 2.5 million It's a pilot program. It's a beginning program. dollars. It's a program which will begin to address this problem. It is not going to provide all the solutions. I think it's totally fallacious to suggest that this kind of program would be used for political purposes. DCCA. in promulgating the rules and regulations to administer this program, could be very specific as to who would be eliqible to be a part of this program. They could very specifically exclude any partisan political organization, and I would... I would think that they would do that. ¥е iust want to give them the discretion to be able to promulgate the rules and regulations to operate this program that is much needed in our state. And I, therefore, move that we override the Governor's veto. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2512 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' This is final

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

action. It takes 71 votes. The voting is open. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Representative Capparelli requests to be voting 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. this question there are 51 voting 'aye', 53 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion to override on House Bill 2512 fails. Representative Mulcahey, for what purpose do you rise?"

- Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, since it was brought to my attention that on House Bill 1026, which was overriden earlier today, I was recorded as being absent. I could have sworn I pressed my switch and did all the things I was supposed to do. So, would you please have the record show that if I would have done things properly or things would have worked properly, I would have voted 'yes' on the Motion, to journalize it, please? The Motion to override House Bill 1026? Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "The transcript will so reflect your position.

 Alright. We're going to go back into the Total Veto
 Session Bills that there's anybody here that would like
 to have their Bills called, please notify the Chair, or
 amendatory veto. If you have something on the Calendar.

 Representative Braun."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On Senate Bill 1156, I have a Motion to accept the Governor's recommendation for change.

 1156. The Motion was passed the Senate yesterday. I don't even know if it's on the Calendar yet. Is it on the Calendar yet? It's not there yet. Okay, thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Braun, the Messages from the Senate have not arrived to the House side. Representative Matijevich, for a Motion."

75th Legislative Day

- October 17, 1985
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we dispense with the readings of the Journal and that, with the exception of Journal \$47 of May 24, that Journals \$43 through 71 be approved."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that we dispense with the reading of the Journal. And on that question, Representative Friedrich. Representative Friedrich."
- Priedrich: "No, I have a similar Motion on the... I have a another Motion to file... Journal... (malfunction in sound system)..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Okay. Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "I wonder... out of the record... (malfunction in sound system)..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Representative McCracken, if you're concerned about the one Journal that we deleted, that's been... If you're concerned about the one that we delted, that's being worked out with the subsequent Motion."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "I would just as Representative... I understand, but
 I'm just doing... (malfunction in sound system)..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright, Mr. Clerk, just take it out of the record. Representative Priedrich, are you seeking recognition?"
- Priedrich: "I'm sorry. My understanding was it was all agreed upon, and I... (malfunction in sound system)... sorry for the misunderstanding."
- Speaker Giglio: "That's quite alright. Since we're at ease, this is no indication that we're ready for adjournment. We're going to go to Agreed Resolution. Mr. Clerk, House Resolution... House Resolution 79 (sic 799)."
- Clark O'Brien: "House Resolution 799, offered by Representative Plinn. Whereas, the House of Representatives is pleased

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

and homored to recognize significant milestones in the lives of its Members; and whereas, it has come to our attention that Representative Bruce Richmond of the 116th District is celebrating his 65th anniversary of his birth on October 17, 1985; and whereas, born October 17, 1920 in Johnson City, Representative Richmond was educated in the Johnson City public schools and he later attended Southern Illinois University in Carbondale and the Federal School: and whereas. veteran of World War a II, Representative Richmond was in the United States Naval Reserve; and whereas, in over 28 years of public service, Representative Richmond has never lost an election. He served on the Murphysboro City Council from 1957 to 1970. was Mayor of Murphysboro from 1970 to 1975 and was elected to the Illinois House of Representatives in 1974 where he continues to serve; and whereas, Representative Richmond is a member of the Pirst Presbyterian Church of Murphysboro. the Elks Club, the Moose Club and served as President of Illinois Elks' Association in 1963; and whereas, in Representative Richmond was named 'Man of the Year' by the Murphysboro Chamber of Commerce and he received several awards as an outstanding Legislator; and whereas, 45 years ago, Representative Richmond and his wife eloped with another couple. Although married OB impulse, Richmond's have sustained a happy and fulfilling marriage: whereas, Representative Richmond and his wife are richly blessed with three children, Michael, Susan Randall, who is now deceased, and two grandchildren: and whereas, as an outstanding member of the church, community Legislature, it is an honor to celebrate with and Representative Richmond as he observes his 65th anniversary of his birth. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 84th General Assembly of the State

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

of Illinois that we congratulate Representative Richmond as he celebrates his 65th anniversary of his birth, that we commend him on his outstanding service to the people and that we extend the very best wishes possible of continued success, health and happiness in the future. And be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Representative Richmond in honor of his service to the people of this state."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Monroe Plinn."

Plinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is my honor and my pleasure to congratulate my seatmate, my officemate, my good friend and colleague on his 65th birthday, today. It is always nice to sit by somebody who's older than you are. Him and Sam Wolf are the only two I know that are older than me. But. it is my sincere best birthday wishes to my friend. Bruce Richmond. I would ask that all of you join me on this Resolution as a Sponsor, and I'm sure it's something he can hang on the wall and wish he was younger than I am. he'll never be."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman have leave to have all Members of the House join him in being Sponsors of this House Resolution?

All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and now we're all Sponsors of the Resolution. Purther Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 796, offered by Representative

Currie - et al; 798, by Williamson; 800, by Pullen and

McAuliffe; and 801, by DeJaegher."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Alright. House Resolution 796, Currie, relates to the Paul and Evelyn Waltman who were on the Achille Lauro and are Illinois citizens. 798, Williamson, is on the East Leyden High School. 800, Pullen, honors George

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Sharringhausen, Jr. 801, DeJaegher, congratulates the International Union of United Auto... the UAW. I would... I would move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. But before you act on it, would you call on Representative Currie on her Resolution."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Currie."

- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Resolution 796 is a welcome back safely home Resolution to Paul and Evelyn Waltman who were among the 500 captives of the Palestinian terrorists aboard the Italian cruise ship a few weeks ago. These were the only two Illinoisians among the group of 500, and I wondered if the Members... all the Members of the House might wish to join me in sponsoring this Resolution welcoming them back and condemning acts of terrorism wherever they are found. May I have leave for all the Members of the House to join as Cosponsors? Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted. Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "... the adoption. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolutions are adopted. Representative Matijevich, for a Motion."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, and this has been cleared on both sides of the aisle, I ask unanimous consent and use of the Attendance Roll Call to suspend the rule to allow the Rules Committee to meet while the House is in Session and to waive the posting notice so that the following Bills can be heard in the Rules Committee: House Bill 1263, House Bill 2528, House Bill 2540, Senate Bill 502, Senate Bill 625, Senate Bill 806, Senate Bill 892 and Senate Bill 307 and

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Senate Bill 1307."

- Speaker Giglio: "The first Bills number, Representative Matijevich. The Clerk..."
- Matijevich: "126... House Bill 1263."
- Speaker Giglio: "1263. On that question, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "... (malfunction in sound system)..."
- Speaker Giglio: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those... Does he have leave by the Attendance Roll Call?

 He has leave. Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, now would I ask the Rules Committee to go
 to the Speaker's Conference Room right out in the corridor
 here for a very short meeting. The Members of the Rules
 Committee at the Speaker's Conference Room immediately."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Priedrich."
- Friedrich: "I would like to address the Motion previously made by
 Representative Matijevich on the proofing of the Journals."
 Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Alright. Before we go to the Rules Committee then,

 I will move to dispense with the reading of the Journal and
 with the exception of Journal #47 of May 24, that Journal
 #43 through 71 be approved."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Motion. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave... Hearing none, leave is granted. Representative Friedrich."
- Priedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Journal 47 was not included in that.

 Now I move to accept the House Journal of May 24, 1985 with
 the following changes. On page 57, House Bill 1277,
 changing the number of 'aye' votes from 70 to 69, on page
 544 House Bill 1277 change Representative Phelps' vote from
 'aye' to 'absent'. I believe that's been agreed upon too."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "I support... We support the Motion."

75th Legislative Day

- October 17, 1985
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks leave. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'nays'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion is accepted. Introduction and First Reading."
- Clark O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading of Bills. House
 Bill 2550, Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend the law
 concerning residential burglary and crimes of violence.
 First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2551, Davis, a Bill
 for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First
 Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2552, Curran, a Bill for
 an Act to amend Sections of the State Comptroller Act and
 the School Code. First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Senate Bills Third Reading, page two of the Calendar. Senate Bill 1136, Representative Watson. Representative Rea. We promoted him to the Sénate. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clark O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1136, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea."
- Rea: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to take this Bill back for Second Reading."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks leave to return the Bill back to Second Reading. Does he have leave? Leave is granted.

 Representative Rea."
- Clark O'Brien: "Amendment #1, offered by Representative Rea, amends Senate Bill 1136 and in the title by deleting and so forth."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea."
- Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The members of the Auto and Truck Recyclers' of Illinois are presently facing a very serious problem trying to comply with a law that was passed in 1984. And this here Amendment will help to rectify that problem. I think most of you have received

۶.

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

some correspondence. And what the Amendment will do, which has been prepared by the Cook County State's Attorney's Office, will revise the language that the Auto and Truck Recyclers' of Illinois attempted to pass last spring. Bill was passed in the Senate by a heavy vote, was sent over here. We moved it out of Committee and then it was not heard before the Session was over because we needed to make some changes on it. And this Amendment now is agreed Amendment, and it requires the vehicle identification numbers to be kept on certain parts, the major parts of the car regardless of age and, number two, for other essential parts, a recordkeeping of identification number will only be required on parts which are eight model years of age or newer. It also replaces... or places the responsibility on the licensees to make sure that the gross appearance of the essential part corresponds with the number. And finally, it defines how to determine whether an essential part is eight years of age or newer. I know of no opposition to this legislation which does have the support of the Cook County State's Attorney's Office and the Auto and Truck Recyclers' of Illinois, and I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea moves that the House accept
Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1136. And on that, discussion?
Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Woodyard: "Representative, does this Amendment also address the... you mentioned the... Does it also apply to the used car part dealers? Does the Bill apply to that also, as well as the recyclers? You mentioned recyclers. Does it also apply to the used car part dealer?"

Rea: "Yes. Anybody that would be dealing with parts. Yeah, used

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

car dealer, salvage dealer."

- Woodyard: "Okay. Does it deal in any manner with the rebuilt titles... that have become such a... and inspection of those rebuilt vehicles that have been such a big problem?"
- Rea: "No, only with invoices and parts."
- Woodyard: "In the... you mentioned the copies of reports that
 would have to be kept by these people. Does it eliminate
 for the need for the so called police report that has to be
 kept by these dealers?"
- Rea: "No, this has nothing to do with the police reports, and all this..".
- Woodyard: "Now, wait a minute. What I mean by it are... in turn that it would sell to you... actually what it is, the buyer and the seller of this used part must sign an affidavit that that part is not stolen and that was part of the Bill.

 This ... a problem also... (malfunction in sound system)..."
- Rea: "That has nothing to do with this legislation whatsoever."

 Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Rea, to

 close."
- Rea: "I would just ask for a favorable vote and because I think that this has become a nightmare for the... for the auto and truck recyclers in Illinois and it certainly has created a tremendous amount of paperwork. And for some of the marginal operators, it has practically put them out of business, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House adopt House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1136. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea. Third Reading.

 Representative Rea."

- 75th Legislative Day October 17, 1985
- Rea: "I would ask for leave of the House for immediate consideration now".
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks leave. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1136, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Senate Bill 1136, Representative Rea."
- Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Amendment now becomes the Bill, and I would just ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the Senate Bill 1136 pass. Any discussion? No discussion... Representative Ewing."
- Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I think that I'm probably very much in support of this, but I'd like to ask the Sponsor a couple questions. Representative Rea, the support for this Bill, where does it come from? I mean, what groups want to..."
- Rea: "Well, the Secretary of State is in support of it and the Auto and Truck Recyclers' of Illinois because it has become such a burden."
- Ewing: "What has become such a burden?"
- Rea: "Okay. The recordkeeping and, in fact, in 1984, whenever this law was passed, it was placed on all the essential parts of vehicles that were sold by auto part dealers in Illinois. And there may be 14, 20 essential parts to a vehicle; and, since January 1, 1985 when it became effective, there has been the attempts to comply. But the problem is that it's impossible to put the vehicle identification number mark on an essential part if the part is already disassembled and racked with other similar parts in inventory. And in some cases, the number is no longer

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

available. It may have been even melted down years ago and; therefore, they need some type of relief provision in order to be able to apply or to be able to... to abide to the law. And this ... And when you go beyond the eight years... and the different police organizations have said that it's not needed also, and when you go beyond that eight years, you've got so much recordkeeping, so much paperwork that it's just practically impossible for them to do."

Ewing: "Does this deal with the used parts provision?"

Rea: "Both."

Ewing: "Both. And it deals with... on somebody who may have rebuilt an automobile once to get a rebuilt title and they have to have on these identification marks on the parts... (malfunction in sound system)..."

Rea: "... not to the individual and regardless the dealer would have to keep a record of the parts."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Hastert: "Representative, just for my own... here.
...(malfunction in sound system)... I have a couple...
auto... good-size... in my district, and they have
complained to me that a lot of that business is going to
Iowa because Iowa doesn't have these restrictions. Now, I
want to make sure that... same issue."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea."

Rea: "This will help alleviate that problem."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. Just briefly I'll rise in support of this Bill. The Legislature in its wisdom a few years ago passed regulations that

75th Legislative Day

- October 17, 1985
- overburdened the industry. The... Many of the people that work in this industry are so burdened with keeping records that they can't go about their work and it's costing jobs.

 This is an attempt to alleviate some of the problems. I urge a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Rea, to close."
- Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that that... as I said earlier, the Amendment becomes the Bill, and I would just ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that Senate Bill 1136 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. voting 'present'. And Senate Bill 1136, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 241, Representative Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative Daniels."
- Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I might have your indulgence for one second, I'd like to introduce to all of you to the House chamber Mr. Gary LaPaille, star of television, stage, screen and radio up there in the gallery up to the right. Gary LaPaille."
- Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Senate Bill 241."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 241, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Daniels, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Daniels: "I believe the Sponsor was going to place an Amendment

- 75th Legislative Day October 17, 1985

 on this Bill. Does he have the Amendment ready? ... take
 it out of the record then? Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "We'll hold the Bill on Second Reading.

 Representative Daniels, would it be alright if we just

 leave this Bill on Second Reading while we're working up

 the Amendment?"
- Daniels: "I think the Amendment... (malfunction)... go to it as soon as the Amendment's there. If that's alright with the Body."
- Speaker Giglio: "Yes, thank you. The Bill will have been read a second time and left on Second Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading there appears Senate Bill 1449.

 Representative Van Duyne, are you ready on Senate Bill 1449?"
- Van Duyne: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Thank... Thank you very much. I understand that Representative DeLeo wanted to put an Amendment on this to make the effective date immediate, so I would defer to him."
- Speaker Giglio: "Okay. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1449, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of an Act in relation to the sale of tickets to

 certain places of entertainment or amusement. Second

 Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1449. As Representative Van Duyne indicated, this Amendment makes the Act take effect upon becoming law. Gives it an immediate effective date."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Representative

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

- Johnson."
- Johnson: "What does the Bill which has an immediate effective date do?"
- Cullerton: "It amends the Ticket Scalping Act to provide consumers, upon application by the state's attorney or the Attorney General, with the ability to obtain injunctive relief and restitution from injuries arising from violations of the Act. Increases the amount recoverable through a civil action brought under the Act from 20 dollars to 100 dollars."
- Johnson: "That would be then to... Cub game and by some wild stretch of the imagination somebody might pay more than face value for the tickets, that you'd have the ability to recover injunctively and monetarily for damages sustained, is that right?"
- Cullerton: "It would apply to Cardinal games as well."
- Johnson: "Well, the Card games would apply. There are people who want to pay big money to see the world championship, but..."
- Cullerton: "If you pay less... if you pay less than the face value, it's not scalping."
- Johnson: "Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
- Hawkinson: "Representative Cullerton, does this give the right of action to someone who has presently paid... price for a ticket... (malfunction)..."
- Cullerton: "Any person who operates or manages a place of public entertainment may be enjoined and required to pay restitution if that person sells or permits the sale of tickets at places other than the box office at higher than

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

box office prices."

Hawkinson: "So, we're only talking about a person who operates a place of amusement such as..."

Cullerton: "Right, right."

Hawkinson: "And not individual..."

Cullerton: "Remedies may not be applied against unauthorized ticket scalpers."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Leverenz,

Gentleman from Cook."

Leverenz: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "With the immediate effective date would this mean if
you didn't like the game and you got scalped you could get
more on your money back, more than you paid?"

Cullerton: "That would apply to the Cardinal games."

Leverenz: "Super."

Cullerton: "Further discussion? Representative Cullerton, to close."

Cullerton: "I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House adopt the Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1449. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Purther Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. On page six of the Calendar, on the Order of Total Veto Motions, appears House Bill 694, Representative Cullerton. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 694, a Bill for an Act to amend the State's Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission Act. Motion to override."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen This Bill was voted on... of the House. this Motion was voted on yesterday and there was some confusion about the position of the State's Attorneys' Appellate Commission. And as a result, the Bill failed by a few votes. There was thought at the time that the Attornevs* Appellate Service Commission was opposed to the Bill, and that's been clarified that they are not opposed We have voted on this Bill numerous times to the Bill. throughout the past year, and I'd like to pass it sometime. What the Bill does is to reimburse Cook County for as much money as we appropriate - there is an appropriation for two million dollars in another Bill - to reimburse them for the providing the appellate section of the State's Attorney's Office. As you know, all of the other counties have the availability of the State's Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission, except for Cook, and this reimburse Cook County. The two million is less than two-thirds of the total cost. That's why the number It will benefit Cook County; however, I would chosen. point out that I think it's consistent for people other than Cook County to vote for it because it's a fair concept. I would be happy to answer any questions, and I would urge an 'aye' vote. I also would indicate that I have ten tickets to the world series, if anybody is interested."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House pass House Bill 694, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. And on that question, discussion? 'Shall this Bill pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative

75th Legislative Day

- October 17, 1985
- McCracken, to explain his vote."
- McCracken: "Just to verify if this appears to get the requisite number of votes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 66 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present'.

 Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I wonder if we could have a Poll of the Absentees."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the Poll of the Absentees.
 Mr. Clerk."
- Clark O'Brien: "DeJaegher. McCracken. B. Pederson and Shaw."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative... Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Please record me as 'no'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. There are now 66 voting 'aye', 48 voting 'no' and I voting 'present'.

 This ... And this Motion, having failed to receive the necessary votes to override, fails. Does anyone have a veto Motion that they would like called? Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "Mr. Speaker, I voted on the prevailing side of House
 Bill 899 and pursuant to Rule 73(a), I would like to have
 that reconsidered. Representative Woodyard could present
 the Bill again and I... several other Members have
 indicated that we think we vote incorrectly on that Bill.
 That's why I'd like to have it reconsidered."
- Speaker Giglio: "... Capparelli, for what purpose do you rise?"
 Capparelli: "I rise in celebrating my dear friend here, Bob
 Terzich, who's going to turn 50 years old in two days. We
 want to wish him a happy birthday. And Sam Panayotovich,
 back there, would like to say something."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Panayotovich."
- Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To a fellow Yugoslav, a

- 75th Legislative Day October 17, 1985

 Coation side with the 'Cervian', happy birthday 50th, Bob
 Terzich."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Terzich, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Terzich: "Well, first, I have to make a Motion to reconsider about the 50 years old, but in any event, in any event, I would like to thank everybody for wishing me a happy birthday, and I do have this beautiful Croation cake here.

 And don't believe the 50th on there, but you're all welcome to have a little piece. It's 'Cervian' cake, so it's got to be good, right, Sam? So, thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Parcells, it was not the intent of the Chair to return to Motions on page 15. And, therefore, we're going to now return to House Bills Third Reading. On the Calendar appears House Bill 1954, Third Reading, on page two. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to proceed with 1954 by taking it back to Second Reading for purposes of Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks leave to return House Bill 1954 to the Order of Second Reading. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted. House Bill 1954 is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Vinson, amends House Bill 1954 on page one by deleting line one through three and so forth."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson, on Amendment #3."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I would withdraw Amendment #3."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #3.

 Leave? Hearing none, leave is granted. Amendment #3 is
 withdrawn. Purther Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Vinson."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson, on Amendment #4."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 embodies a series cleanups to various actions this General Assembly took in the recent past in regard to licensed professions. Ιt cleans up the Barber and Cosmetology Act which took effect on January 1, 1986 by doing ... permitting the Department of promulgate rules for apprenticeships. It authorizes the Department to promulgate rules for inspection and it cleans up administrative language to conform to model practice language. Secondly, it is a cleanup in regard to the Dental Practice Act, Senate Bill 1030, which is effective on January 1, '86, by providing the continuation of the practice allowing dental hygienists to work in Department of Mental Health facilities based on the 90 standing order of the dentist. And it clarifies the role of insurance companies in the administration of dental specifically the approval by dentists of claims claims, involving the interpretation of dental x-rays. Finally, it effectuates a cleanup in the Real Estate Act in response to an Illinois Supreme Court decision in the Coldwell Banker lawsuit. The Amendment deletes the language found unconstitutional and retains the language concerning guaranteed sales plans. I would move the adoption of Amendment #4 and I do not believe there's any controversy in regard to it."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4

to House Bill 1954. Any discussion? Hearing none, all
those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The
Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
Matijevich."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Ploor
Amendment #5 to House Bill 1954 would provide for the
continuing education..."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Representative Matijevich.

Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have any objection to that Amendment. Why don't we just put it on?"

Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #5."

Matijevich: "I move the adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5

to House Bill 1954. Any discussion? Representative
Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in objection to this Amendment. There has been a continuing discussion the dentists and the dental hygienists and part of that discussion has focused on whether or not the dental hygienists should be mandated and subected to continuing education. I'm not sure whether those who are in the profession of dental hygienists really want to have the continuing education because we've never had a chance to bring this issue before a Committee that would consider that and give the dental hygienists a chance to come in and voice objection or voice for this. It's something that should be brought up in a separate Bill for us in the Session which would go through the Committee stage and allow for public participation. This is also a part of a package that we voted on last spring and, at that point. I rose in objection to this particular part of it and we soundly defeated the Bill at that time. The Illinois Dental Society is not in favor of this Amendment. have asked me to stand up and say that they object to the Amendment. At this point, I would request a Roll Call vote on this and I'd ask my fellow colleagues to vote 'no'."

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House we do this all too often. We bring up or resurrect something that didn't make it two months before, to try and slip it through at the last minute. This may be good. It may be... but it certainly deserves to go to a Committee and be heard as any other legislative proposal and not put on an Amendment in the Veto Session. I agree with Representative that we should vote against this Amendment and, if we want to hear this proposal, that's great, let's do it then."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Vinson." Vinson: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I rise in support of the Gentleman's It seems to me that when the dental hygienists recognize that they need continuing education, that it's poorly advised for the dentists to say that these people are... have inadequate training to come in and oppose continuing education. I think what we have a here is a situation where certainly the dentists have every right to have in place a reasonable Dental Practice Act, but I think if the dental hygienists want to be better educated people. better trained people and particuarly when dentists say that they're inadequately trained, that it's this General Assembly to provide a appropriate for procedure to accomplish that better education and that better training for this particular profession. I don*t think there's anything wrong with the concept of the General Assembly saying that important health care professionals need adequate training and proper training in need to be current in their field. And that's really all we're saying here. Maybe if some of the other professions in this state were current in their fields, we'd have far

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

less malpractice than we've had in the past. I would rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion and urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Johnson." "I can't think of a reason why people would oppose this. We're talking about people who are dealing with a... if not safety situation, at least something involved with the public health and safety. As a member of the legal profession, I know there's big a significant movement in the legal profession as well as the medical profession others towards increased education and continuing education for professionals. And it appears to me. and as Representative Vinson said, when an occupation says that we ought to professionalize ourselves even more, we ought to people who deal with people's safety and health more educated and more professionalized, but the last thing the General Assembly ought to be in the position of doing is to thwart that effort. I think this is a reasonable Amendment. It's something that's along the lines of simply providing legislative affirmation for self policing by And I would joint Representative various professions. Vinson and others who spoke in favor of this Amendment urging a 'yes' vote on Amendment #5 to House Bill 1954."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Matijevich, to close."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would hope that this House stands for a group of professionals who want to improve their profession to enhance stability... the ability of those professionals to provide for better dental care. That's all this does. I think some of you had... may have had a knee-jerk reaction that this is a typical dentists... dental hygientists fight that we... that we had on the floor during the regular

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

Session. This is a different question, a different issue, and I think that we all ought to stand for providing the dental hygienists and with the reasonable exceptions. After all, the Department does control, through rules and regulations, reasonable exceptions to this. So, I think that this is fair. It's legitimate. It's reasonable, and it makes sense to me and I would hope we have your support."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House adopt Amendment #5 to House Bill 1954. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Hr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 38 voting 'yes', 54 voting 'no', 9 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading., Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Speaker, why don't we just hold that Bill on Second Reading for a while."

Speaker Giglio: "Second Reading or Third? It's on Third now?"

Vinson: "Could you... I didn't ask for it to be moved to Second... to Third."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright. Bring it back to Second Reading, Mr. Clerk. Alright. On page three of the Calendar, on the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 257, Representative Mautino. Concurrence."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate amended House Bill 257 and Amendment #2 becomes the Bill. What Amendment #2 does is to delete the deductability of the replacement tax and the Prairie State 2000 Fund as it pertained to the premiums and expenditures from the state income tax. It creates a

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

common base when you deduct... you delete those deductable provisions of the replacement tax and the Prairie 2000 Fund. It puts in place of those two provisions the tax credit in the amount set forth by our statute percent to those same entities. To give you a little background on the rationale for the... the credit, which is no more than the original deduction - basically it's a wash - it makes. number one, for easier accountability accounting procedures at the Department of Revenue, and there will be no carry back for any of those provisions since the enactment... enforcement date is December 30th of 1985. This Amendment addresses a differing treatment of corporations which may lose money and are included in a consolidated federal income tax return. The corporate entities are required to file in Illinois tax returns on a separate return basis without regard to whether or not they filed a federal return as a member of a consolidated group. Illinois is the only state that provides that provision and such taxpayers have felt that they have been discriminated against because of the consolidation of federal This legislation does not cost the State of Illinois any money and it involves no revenue loss computation. It does allow for a credit as it pertains to those previous funds, and I move for concurrence of Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 257."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur to

 Senate Amendment #2 on House Bill 257. And on that
 question, Representative Cullerton. Further discussion?

 Representative Mautino, to close."
- Mautino: "I believe that the Department of Revenue has signed off on the Bill. The Amendment was under the auspices and investigation of Representative Keane and Ewing. They both signed off, and I move for adoption... or passage of the

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

concurrence Motion."

- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House adopt Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 257. This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? take the record. Representative Johnson wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Hicks 'aye'. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. And the House does adopt Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 257. This Bill is Bill declared passed. Next is House Bill Representative John Dunn. John Dunn."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 510 and I ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright. The Gentleman asks that the House do not concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 on House Bill 510. Any discussion? No discussion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendments are not adopted."
- Dunn: "I request that a Conference Committee be appointed, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman requests that a Conference Committee be... The Senate will concur to have a Conference Committee on the Bill. It's a House Bill. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 797, offered by Representative
 W. Peterson. And Senate Joint Resolution 93, offered by
 Representative Kubik Stange and Terzich."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich, on the Agreed

- 75th Legislative Day October 17, 1985
 Resolutions."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 797, Peterson, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Ward. Senate Joint Resolution 93, Kubik, commends the Brookfield girls' softball team. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Adjournment Resolution."
- Clark O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 98, resolved by the Senate of the 84th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Thursday, October 17, 1985, they stand adjourned until Tuesday October 29, 1985 at 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, I move the adoption of the Senate
 Adjournment Resolution."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House adopt the Senate

 Joint Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying
 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair,
 the 'ayes' have it. Representative McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House stand adjourned until October 29th at the hour of 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Giglio: "Allowing perfunctory time to read into the record all Messages from the Senate, the House now stands adjourned until 12:00 noon on October 29th. All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The House is now adjourned."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Reports. The Committee Rules has met, and pursuant to Rule 29(c)-3, the following Bills have been ruled exempt on October 17, 1985: House Bills: House Bill

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

1263, 2528 and 2540; Senate Bills; Senate Bill 502, 625, 882 and 907. Signed, John Matijevich, Chairman. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright. Secretary. Mr. Speaker. I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following title and passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bills #1467 and passed by the Senate October 17, 1985. Kenneth Wright Secretary. Senate Bills First Reading. Senate Bill 1467. Leverenz and Madigan, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Chicago World's Fair 1992 Authority. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1469, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Horse Racing Act. First of the Bill. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has passed the items which attached to the Bill of the following title, the item veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding and passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bills 467, 472. 476 and 430, passed by the Senate bv a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. *Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following title, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, to wit; Senate Bills #3, 9, 161, 209, 239, 291, 343, 481, 576, 640, 887, 890, 957, 1129 and 1322, passed by the Senate by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House αf Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the

75th Legislative Day

October 17, 1985

following title, the Governor's specific recommendations for change to the contrary notwithstanding, and the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. to wit; Senate Bills \$527, 583, 1183, action taken by the Senate by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Speaker, I am directed to inform the of Representatives that the Senate has accepted the Governor's specific recommendations for change, which are attached to a Bill of the following title, the acceptance of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, to wit; Senate Bill #113, 114, 158, 190, 206, 207, 244, 413, 459, 486, 653, 690, 758, 785, 829, 834, 844, 856, 864, 866, 897. 1029, 1052, 1132, 1156, 1430, 1289 and 1295, action taken by the Senate. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Being no further business, the House now stands adjourned."

12/16/85 14:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE - 1

OCTOBER 17, 1985

HB-0060	MOTION	PAGE	5
HB-0099	HOTION	PAGE	6
HB-0231	MOTION	PAGE	11
HB-0257	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	105
HB-0344	MOTION	PAGE	12
HB-0489	MOTION	PAGE	18
HB-0510	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	107
HB-0574	HOTION	PAGE	75
HB-0664	HOTION	PAGE	38
HB-0672	MOTION.	PAGE	39
HB-0679	MOTION	PAGE	42
HB-0691	MOTION	PAGE	30
HB-0694	MOTION	PAGE	97
HB-0781	MOTION	PAGE	61
HB-0880	BOTION	PAGE	26
HB-0982	MOTION	PAGE	67
HB-1026	MOTION	PAGE	19
HB-1117		PAGE	57
HB-1218		PAGE	68
HB-1500		PAGE	58
HB-1529	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	2
HB-1714	MOTION	PAGE	70
HB-1954	RECALLED	PAGE	100
HB-2391	MOTION	PAGE	72
HB-2512	HOTION	PAGE	80
HB-2551	FIRST READING	PAGE	89
	FIRST READING	PAGE	89
SB-0241	SECOND READING	PAGE	94
SB-0241	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	95
SB-1136		PAGE	89
SB-1136		PAGE	92
SB-1449	SECOND READING	PAGE	95
	FIRST READING	PAGE	109
	FIRST READING	PAGE	109
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	87
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	84
HB-0761			63

SUBJECT MATTER

		_
HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND FLESNER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	4
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	4
RECESS	PAGE	79
ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION	PAGE	79
SECOND SPECIAL SESSION TO ORDER	PAGE	79
SECOND SPECIAL SESSION ADJOURNED	PAGE	80
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	107
ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION	PAGE	108
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	108
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	108
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	108
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	1 10