71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 2:00 having arrived, the House will be in Session. The Chaplain for today will be Father Robert J. Jallas of the Cathedral of the Immaculate Conception in Springfield. Father Jallas is a guest of Representative Michael Curran. Will the guests in the gallery please rise and join us in the invocation? Father Jallas."
- Father Jallas: "I make a promise to you that I won't make this prayer as long as your Session. God, our Gracious Father, we once again invoke Your good name at the end of yet another work day. We ask Your blessing upon us, upon these Legislators, who endeavor to wind up the year filled with many demands and attention to endless details. Give them rest this day and night. Bless and protect them as they take leave of here. Give them a greater vision of Your constant care and love for them in the midst of their busyness. Watch carefully over their loved ones, and may they soon come to enjoy the summer recess they have worked so hard for. We ask all this in Your name. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp, will lead us in the pledge to the flag. Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United
 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,
 one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice
 for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 113 Members having answered to the Call of the Quorum, a quorum is present. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, let the record reflect the excuse absence of Al Ronan on official business."
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so reflect. Agreed

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Representative Davis - et al."

Speaker Greiman: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 661, offered by Representative Johnson and Didrickson, with respect to the memory of Leslie A. Ryan, Sr., of Champaign, Illinois."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the passage of the Death Resolutions. There being no objection, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Death Resolutions?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Death Resolutions are adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I have an inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "What is your inquiry, Mr. Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "Now?"

Speaker Greiman: "Not yet. We'll let you know."

Leverenz: "No, the word is 'not now'."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, not now."

Leverenz: "Not yet. Check your script."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Goforth, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Goforth? I don't know what it is, but I'll probably ingest anything, whatever it might be. Mr. Preston, for what purpose do you seek recognition? You want to try again with me, huh? Okay."

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. Last night, Mr. Speaker, during the fourth night of our captivity, my seatmate, Representative John Dunn, was gracious enough to offer me lodging and food at his home in Decatur, and we had a very enjoyable evening, and the price that I was charged for that was a mere \$45.50, but in addition to that, he graciously threw in for free, doing my laundry, so I am now ready to spend the rest of the week or next week here in Springfield, and for all the other Members. If you have

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Resolutions."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 657, offered by Representative Ropp; 659, by Wojcik; 660, by Richmond. And Senate Joint Resolution 85, by O'Connell and Terzich."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Giorgi: "House Resolution 657, by Ropp, congratulates Father...

 Reverend Gerike. Wojcik's 59 (sic 659), commends the

 Claessens. 660, by Richmond, recognizes Nicole Glasser.

 And Senate Joint 85 recognizes that Senator Lemke is being engaged to be married, by O'Connell and Terzich. I move for the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Agreed Resolutions today? The Gentleman from Winnebago has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say "aye", those opposed "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Oh, yes, Mr. Vinson. I'm sorry."
- Vinson: "There was discussion. Mr. Ewing is not here. He's the person who normally approves Agreed Resolutions. The Gentleman who presented the Agreed Resolutions did not read them in any audible fashion, and I think that's a poor way to start business today."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr... Mr. Vinson, we certainly don't want to impair the Agreed Resolutions passage, and wishing Mr. Lemke and Mr. and Mrs. Lemke good luck, so if... perhaps you'd like to go over and discuss this with Mr. Giorgi, and we could certainly... I know your talents would be specially suited for the Agreed Resolution list. So, if you'd like to check with him now, we'd be glad to have you do that. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 638, offered by Representative Bowman - et al. House Resolution 658, offered by

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

laundry that you need done, if you would drop that laundry off at Representative Dunn's desk, if it's in by 11:00, it will be out by 3:00. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Preston, does that mean you made a profit of \$19.55 per..."

Unknown: "That's yesterday."

Preston: "That's correct."

Speaker Greiman: "It's okay. It was a holiday, after all. Yes,

for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr.

Leverenz, seek recognition?"

Leverenz: "I have an inquiry of the last speaker. I'd like to know how much Mr. Preston lost on Mr. Dunn's pool table in the basement."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Preston."

Preston: "My name was mentioned in debate, Mr. Speaker... "

Speaker Greiman: "I hardly think that we would call that debate, but alright."

Preston: "I'd like the Gentleman to know. Mr. Speaker, that I won \$8.00 at pool, but the charge was \$12.00 for the use of the pool table, so again. I came up short."

Speaker Greiman: "The House will be in order. Mr. Vinson, have you had an opportunity to examine the Agreed Resolutions?"

Vinson: "Yes, I have, and I called to Mr. Giorgi's attention one that we would not agree to."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, then. We'll just do them later. I'm not sure which one that is. So, we'll just go to them."

Vinson: "Sure,"

Speaker Greiman: "At... We'll go to them later on. Alright."

Vinson: "Sure."

Speaker Greiman: "Supplemental Calendar #1, Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 476. The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert. The Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr.

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Madigan."

- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to move that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 476."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from... The Gentleman from Cook,

 Mr. Madigan, moves that the House adopt Conference

 Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 476. And on that, the

 Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker. I would request a Republican Conference in Room 118 immediately."
- Speaker Greiman: "How long will you need, Mr. Vinson?"
- Vinson: "Forty-five minutes."
- Speaker Greiman: "Forty-five minutes. Alright. Excuse me.

 Mr... Mr. Giglio."
- Giglio: "Mr. Chairman... Mr. Speaker, the Democrats would like to Caucus immediately in Room 114."
- Mr. Madigan has moved to consider Senate Bill... the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 476, which we will return to when we come back. There will be a Republican Conference in Room 118, a Democratic Conference in Room 114. We will be back at approximate... at exactly 3:30. 3:30. Thank you. The House will be in order. At the time the House recessed, Speaker Madigan had moved that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate 3ill 476. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, once again, I rise to move that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 476. This matter was considered yesterday. It could have been considered the day before. It is now three days later, and we have failed to adopt the budget for the Department of Public Aid. Thousands and thousands of recipients all over

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1935

the State of Illinois are without their checks to pay their rent, to buy food and care for their family. This situation is intolerable. We will continue to call this Bill until this budget is adopted, and those people can get their checks simply to survive, not to live luxuriously, but simply to survive. Mr. Speaker, again, I move that we do adopt the Conference Committee Report."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, moves
 that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to
 Senate Bill 476. And on that, is there any discussion?
 The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."
- Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I filed a written Motion which I'll just reiterate. I move to hear Senate Bill 476, House Bill 655 and House Bill 993 simultaneously, and further, that all three Bills be incorporated into a single Roll Call, a Roll Call vote immediately upon consideration. That Motion is in writing, and it's been filed, and I would so move."
- Speaker Greiman: "It is, indeed, in writing, but it is, indeed, out of order under our rules, Sir. Under the rules, when we leave, we are to return to the same place that... from whence we left. And that is where we came back to. Your Motion was filed after that time, so that it is not timely and it's out of order. Mr... Further discussion? Alright, Mr. Johnson."
- Johnson: "I'm going to be calm about this, just as you are, Mr.

 Speaker. I don't... I don't understand at all the
 substance of your ruling, and I think that we ought to know
 that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Then I will tell you, Mr. Johnson. Listen carefully. When we adjourned, when we recessed, Mr. Madigan had moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1. He had made a Motion. At that time, I... and

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

he put the Motion. At that time, Mr. Vinson requested Giglio a Democratic an d Mr -Republican Conference Conference. Under our rules, Rule 39, when we leave an Order of Business, we are... and we come back, we are to come back to the same Order of Business at the same place. Accordingly, Mr. Madigan, we come back in the posture that Mr. Madigan has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. In the interim period, you come up, and you file two Motions which, I guess, are the same, they are not timely, and they are out of order, and that is we are on Mr. Madigan's For that reason. a subsidiary Motion. It is not It is not Motion. Now, I will allow Mr. incidental Motion to that Bill. Johnson to address my ruling. I will allow some reasonable hysteria over the ruling, and then we will proceed to discussion of the public aid appropriation. And on that, the Gentleman from Champaign. Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I appreciate that. I feel a little like the defendant before the trial who's told what his sentence is going to be, but I'll simply make the point that the substitute Motion is always in order, under the rules of our House, and if that isn't good enough, then I would move, at this point, to suspend all appropriate rules so that my Motion, which I filed, and which I've just reiterated, can be heard immediately. I think a Motion to suspend all appropriate rules so that we can get to that Order of Business and so that my Motion can be heard is always in order. If it isn't, then we're making a... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson, the other day we had a ruling which was not appreciated too much by some Members of the House. And you fellows went through about 10 minutes of trying to figure out what the right Motion was.

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

because I don't want to hear it all over again. The correct Motion for you to make if you are unhappy is, 'I appeal the ruling of the Chair.' That is what your Motion is, and that is the only Motion for which I will now recognize you. Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "My Motion is that we suspend the appropriate rule... "No, Sir. Your Motion is ... I have ruled that Speaker Greiman: that other... that Motion is out of order. Sir, in the discussion of the Public Aid Bill. suggested that Motions had been filed. I recognized that, indeed, they had been filed, that those Motions were out of That is the posture, and that is for the reasons for which I have called upon you. You may now appeal my I am ... The Chair is ruling if that is your choice. willing to put his ruling to a debate. Now, Mr. Johnson, Hallock has called for recognition, and it is the on the Gentleman from intention of the Chair to call Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

- Hallock: "Well, in light of your decision on the matter we just discussed, I would now move, Mr. Speaker, that we go to the Order of Motions and move to the specific Motion which was enunciated by Mr. Johnson. And that is always in order, and I now make that Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "While we're looking at the rules, Mr. Vinson.

 No, Mr. Hallock. I'm sorry."
- Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, you don't really have to look too far back for precedent. We did this about three weeks ago when we debated one of the important Bills before the General Assembly this Session. You so ruled at that time, and I would now ask you to again rule the same way you did three weeks ago. The precedent has been established. It's very clear. I would ask you now to support my Motion, and let's take a vote."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Just to suggest to you that not all of the wisdom and not all of the justice present in the State of Illinois or present in this chamber will be found in that rule book. And I would suggest to you that you reflect upon the substance of the Motion and the substance of what would be accomplished by the Motion in making your ruling. One of the things that would be accomplished by that... by the substance of Mr. Johnson's Motion would be a chance to ensure welfare checks to recipients who don't now have welfare checks, and to assure jobs for people who don't now have jobs, and to assure...

Speaker Greiman: "Mr... Mr. Vinson, excuse me. Mr... Excuse That may... Those may be all valid reasons why one Sira might wish the ruling to be made. But I am bound, Sir, by the rules of the House, by Roberts' Rules of Order. so that we may dispose of Mr. Johnson's Motion, Vinson's plea and Mr. Hallock's suggestion, I would address says, While a the Precedence of Motions. Τt question is under debate, no Motion may be entertained and then goes on and lists a number of items that may be suggested for change. None of those Johnson's Motion. Accordingly: within the purview of Mr. I will recognize you now on the issue of Mr. Madigan's Motion to adopt Conference #1. Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in the calm, I hope, of this debate—
and I think the Chair is obfuscating the issue — I think
Mr. Johnson's Motion, while not properly put in light of
your ruling for whatever your purposes are of making that
ruling, is that Mr. Johnson incorporated, in his Motion,
476. So he's addressed that. He says, 'Yes, let's hear
that, Mr. Speaker.' Actually, I suppose he should have
amended his Motion to say that the inclusion of 476 along

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

with 655 and 993 should be made at this point. Because that, then, if that amended Motion was adopted by this House, then we could hear all three, and we want to hear 476. We want to pass 476. We want to get out of here. We want to do the budget. Why, Sir, will you not recognize us for that opportunity? Are you afraid, Sir, that the real agenda will come out? Are you afraid, Sir, that the Democratic Party does not want to pass 476?

Speaker Greiman: MMr. Davis. Yes, further discussion? The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich.

Speaker, the only reason for having rules Friedrich: "Well, Mr. at all, including <u>Roberts' Rules of Order</u>, is to sea people have a right to be heard. And this is a free And that's what society, and we have self government. And they certainly can... And I think rules are for. you're thwarting the rules because you're denving people the right to have something put to them. you're afraid it will pass, then of course, that's reason for doing it, and you're right. Our only recourse is to appeal the ruling of the Chair, because vou sit up there as God Almighty in that position and that... the t o You're using that power Chair has a lot of power. destroy the right of the people to vote on a question."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ropp."

"Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Ropp: been extremely pleased with the leadership of the real this chamber for the last term and a half. of Speaker we have an individual who is extremely seems like every day of his life, is so extremely articulate, who. dedicated and so organized that I think all of could look to him for that outstanding leadership, because no person has taken the concerns of operating of this House any better than he has up until about three weeks

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Now, in an attempt to resolve a very serious situation that we have, in the last three days we ought to be in a position now to put two or three Bills together. It is not uncommon for us to have done this in the last several months."

"Mr. Ropp, I want to give you full latitude. Speaker Greiman: Let me... Let me say this to the House. to the give each of you an opportunity to address the t٥ and each of you will ho ruling. opportunity to briefly address the Chair's ruling, but I will not allow you to go beyond the scope of the ruling. The reasons for the ruling, the wisdom of the ruling is one I am bound, as are all of the other 117 of you, to thing. rule in accordance with Rule 72, on the Precedence αf I am following the rules for which Mr. Friedrich, Motions. the Gentleman from Marion, is the... the Minority Spokesman the Rules Committee. And I will certainly allow any of n f the speakers to address my ruling, but not to go Please don't abuse it, Sir. Is that an appeal from the ruling of the Chair? Is that what you're doing? Ob. Oh, surely. Alright, then. won't question it. On Mr. Madigan's Motion, Mr. Ropp. Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "I don't believe we had a resolution to my Motion. Мν Motion was - and I'll cite you the rule in a moment, here that I move that we go to the Order of Motions and then on that Order, we move specifically to the Motion, Senate Bill 476, the Public Aid Bill; House Bili 655 and House Bill 993. Now a specific citation that for here we have, on page six, for your right rules. OUT which says, *Anv information, Rule 11 (b). may be changed at any time upon the Motion of any Member supported by five additional Members. now And I make that Motion and ask for a vote on that Motion.

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Hallock, so that you understand, the Chair is in... is obviously aware of that ruling. That is when we are not in the midst of debate. We don't read these in an isolated fashion. Indeed, that's what it says, but I point out to you again, Rule 72 says that while a question is under debate, no Motion may be entertained except for some very specific procedural Motions. Now, Mr. Hallock, if you are prepared to overrule the Chair, you may... I will recognize you. If you are not, I will go on to others. Are you prepared to rule that? Mr. Hallock?"

Hallock: "We were on no debate at all... "

Speaker Greiman: "We were absolutely... "

Hallock: "Motion... The substitute Motion is always in order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hallock, you are out of order, Sir. We
were in the midst of debating Mr. Madigan's Motion to adopt
the Conference Committee Report. And on that, the Lady
from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, I do have a question."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Oblinger: "You referred to 39 (b), and it says, 'When a Bill or Resolution...', and you said we're to come back to the same Order of Business. However, in here it says, 'When it's called on either the Order of Second Reading or Third Reading', and we're not on Second or Third Reading. We're on Conference Reports. I want to know how that applies.

And when we're on Conference Reports...

Speaker Greiman: "It's a separate sentence, Mrs. Oblinger. We have returned to this Order. I recognized Mr. Madigan. He made the... He made his Motion again. He put his Motion a second time, and although he did not have to, because I announced that I was calling on him on that Order. At this point, I ruled Mr. Johnson's Motions as not being timely,

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

and I have pointed out that Mr. Hallock's Motion is also out of order. Now, Mr. Ewing, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Ewing: "Parliamentary Inquiry. If we vote on this Motion a second time and it fails to receive enough votes to pass, what will its position be?"

Speaker Greiman: "It will... It will be on the Order of

Conference Committee Reports. Mr. Vinson. Yes, I'm sorry.

Mr. Ewing. Yes."

Ewing: "Can we... "

Speaker Greiman: "Tom."

Ewing: "We can... Thank you, Allen. Can we vote on a Conference Committee Report any number of times? That's my inquiry."

Speaker Greiman: "Any number of times."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Till it... Yes, any number of times. Yes, Mr. Vinson?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker and Mr. real Speaker. Mike, I would ask you to withdraw your Motion at this time so that we can go to a Motion that would involve all three Bills and that would ensure not only that welfare recipients got checks, but also that unemployed people got jobs and also that coal was utilized in this state. We can take all three things together. We can act on all three things together. If you, as an individual and as the Presiding Officer in this chamber, would simply withdraw your Motion, and then we can provide jobs and welfare..."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Vinson, on the Motion, please.

Mr. Johnson, on the Motion. On the ruling, I mean."

Johnson: "Point of parliamentary inquiry and a point of order.

Rule 82 of our House Rules incorporates therein, we're not

in conflict with our other House Rules, <u>Roberts! Rules of</u>

Order. And I would specifically invite your attention to

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Section 10, pages 7... 95 and 96 of Roberts Rules of Order provide, among other things, quote, *A Member speaking in debate can urge rejection of the pending Motion, saying that if it is voted down, he'll offer a different main Motion on the same subject, which Motion is thereafter pending describe briefly. If the voted down, the Chair immediately recognizes this Member again for the purpose of making his alternative Motion. even if another Member rises to claim the floor first So in keeping with Representative addresses the Chair.* Ewing's inquiry, my inquiry is, if this Motion which we've addressed parliamentarily so far, fails, will you then move Roberts' Rules of Order, since it's not in t o conflict with our other House Rules, to my Motion, which would allow us to hear Build Illinois, jobs and welfare all on the same Roll Call?"

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson, the Chair is not prepared to make perspective rulings of any kind. I would also have to look and see whether such rule... such a Motion would stand the test of a request for division of... division of the question. I'm not sure. I would have checked that. But in any event, the answer is that I am now prepared, Mr. Johnson, I am now prepared to proceed on Mr. Madigan's Motion unless I hear an appeal from the ruling of the Chair.
- Johnson: "Well, since it's obvious, from the Chair, from the other side of the aisle, that we're not going to let the people of Illinois have an opportunity to hear all the issues that affect this Session and..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson. You, Sir, are very much out of order. Now, on Mr. Madigan's... Oh, you're definitely in order. Alright. The question is... Do you wish to debate that, Sir? Alright. I thought you were going to do it

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

calmly. Go ahead, Mr. Johnson."

- Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, I always keep my calm, just as you do. I have a tradition around here of being calm, cool, collected. And so, accordingly, and with my friendship for the Chair in mind, I would move, since it is, in my judgment, violation of the House Rules, to appeal the ruling of the Chair."
- six Members? Well. "Are you joined by Speaker Greiman: Motion Johnson's 50. Alright. On Mr. Seemingly the Chair. the overrule... to appeal the ruling οf Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."
- Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in "Yes+ Mr. Hallock: support of the Motion, and I do it very cautiously, because it's not too often that we in this House move to this Order of Business and take this step. And it is, in fact, drastic step, but I believe it's one which has to be taken for the citizens of this state. We have, here in Illinois, The Senate has been at a stalemate for four days, now. two days with their obligations and their for duties to the people of this state. This Resolution solve that crisis. This Resolution will ensure that those people on public aid who need the money to exist, in do get that money, and they should have it. This Bill also ensure... This Motion also will ensure that we get to will the Order of Business of Build Illinois, which will ensure that the unemployed people in our state and those who want to keep feeding their families in the future will also have their chance to do that. This is a proper Motion. very serious Motion. And I would hope all Members of the House will join me on this, and I urge... "
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Hallock. Alright, now.

 Understand... Understand, there are a few folks who would

 like to speak on this, and the other day, I held you

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

strictly to discuss the Motion, and that's what I expect today. The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I'd like to support Representative Johnson's Motion.

The reason is, I think your... your ruling was arbitrary.

There is a remedy in the rules. It's been pointed out to you, both in <u>Roberts' Rules of Order</u> and in our own rules, that you could make a different decision which would, you know, break the deadlock in this House over something that's very important. So, I say that I support it because, again, I say you're making arbitrary rulings. I suspect that your ruling was made before the Motion was

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Davis, the Gentleman from Will."

I rise in support of Representative Speaker. MMr. Davis: Johnson's Motion to overrule the ruling of the Chair, and it's very simple, because this Roll Call will prove to the people in the State of Illinois who is blocking the work of the House. Now, this Roll Call appeals an arbitrary premeditated ruling of the Chair. There's no question what now with ... through the Mr. Speaker, and vou*re doing. efforts of the fourth estate who is here, all the people of Illinois will know what the Democratic Party is up to. painfully obvious that we do not wish to overrule the He's our Speaker on both sides, but Speaker of this House. you, Sir, either acting in his capacity or on your own, have been capricious and arbitrary in denying us the incorporating all three Bills opportunity for And that's the reason for this Motion, and I'm Motion. speaking to it. It is painfully obvious now the people of Illinois that this Roll Call will tell exactly about, exactly what the Democrat Party is a11 hasn't been us for three the issue, here. Ιt blocking days, and it won't be us today... "

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "To the Motion, Mr... Davis. The Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. McCracken, on the Motion to appeal the ruling of the Chair."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Brief inquiry. On what

Motion did the Chair rule? Representative Johnson's

Motion, Representative Hallock's Motion, or both?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

McCracken: "All of the above. Okay. Thank you."

I... I think Mr. Johnson was the one, Speaker Greiman: "But however, who was requesting the appeal of the Chair, and it was on his Motions that he was making the therefore. questioning whether So that, we are, appeal. debate has begun, can enter the fray Johnson* after with a Motion. That is what is in front of us now. And on that, Mr. McCracken, ruled to the contrary. recognize you, Sir."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Speaker has denied what we have commonly called a substitute Motion in his ruling. That Motion would be to consolidate, for a single vote, the three Bills at issue -Public Aid, Build Illinois, and the Democrat version of Build Illinois. Those three Bills are, indeed, the logjam. would like you to consider, Mr. Speaker: Mr. He Johnson's discussion relative to the precise moved to suspend the appropriate rule on which you relied in denying his Motions or declaring them out of order. you know, any rule can be suspended by 60 affirmative votes in the absence of a stated requirement of a greater number. That Motion takes Motion is always in order. You That Motion can be heard at anv time. precedence. of order not only his originally written ruled out Representative you have ruled out of order Motions. Hallock's Motion to move to a particular Order of Business.

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

You have ruled out of order Representative Johnson's Motion to suspend the appropriate rules, which is done commonly, all of the time, orally and, as on noncontroversial matters, is done by leave of the House. That ruling, at this time, in light of that history of precedence, is an abuse of the Chair and, I think, demonstrates dramatically the fact that the other side of the aisle is not interested in resolving the Issue."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert." Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Again. I rise with a great deal of regret that we have to do this. I rise also with a great deal of sincere respect for the Gentleman in the Chair and respect for the Chair in total. I'm not the Parliamentarian here. I verv rarely stand up and speak on Parliamentarian issues, but you know, Mr. Speaker, and everybody in this chamber knows that there are ways to get around issues. As a matter of fact, yesterday, when I stood, there... you accepted, and then I made a Motion to take something out of the record. And there was a substitute Motion made at that time, and you accepted it. I believe that there's a way to There's a solution that we can find to expedite problem. passing, whether it's public aid or the rest of the that we talk about mental health or whatever those things that we have to fund in the state, and you all know what those are. There's a solution. It's practical. It can be done... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hastert, on the Motion. On the Motion."

Hastert: "And I would accept that... that Motion be voted upon

positively."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Let's vote and move the previous question."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Terzich moves that the main question be

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

put. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The previous question be put. The question is, 'Shall the Chair be overruled?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. It requires 60 affirmative votes. Mr. Churchill, one minute to explain your vote."

Gentlemen "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Churchill: A lot of people being affected today by this House. vote and by the other votes that are going to happen here. a lot of people who should be receiving checks There are people who are not receiving checks. There are who government or get checks from government There questioning whether or not those checks will come. are those who question whether or not the 15th of the month arrive, and we will still not have a resolution of will this matter. I want to vote for public aid. I want t o vote for the budget. I want to vote for Build Illinois. to vote for all of the items on the budget. We're in a logjam. We're in a logjam, a very serious logjam, here. I was always told that politics abhors a logjam, so what I say to you on the other side of the aisle is, ioin Let's get over this logjam, and let's move forward and finish up the business of the House."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ropp, one minute to explain your vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to recall for you a picture that I'm sure you have all seen — the fact of two large bales of hay, the fact with each being tied, one to an elephant and one to a donkey with a large chain, both of them stretched to the very end of the length of that chain, and neither one of them got to the hay. By voting green this time, we will complete that story, where both of those animals came together, ate one bale of hay, then went over

71st Lagislative Day

'yes'."

July 5, 1985

and ate the other bale. Please vote green."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Stephens, one minute to explain your vote." "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explanation of my vote, Stephens: would move to... I support the Motion, because I wanted to see how the board lit up, because yesterday, I heard a lot I saw the Chair use the same book of rules of rhetoric. that you're using today to defend your actions and several of the rules about decorum in voting, to sit there and listen to language that you knew was out of order. that you knew were out of order, and then you accusations turn to the book today in defense of your actions. explanation of my vote, this gives me a chance to vote for public aid and for jobs for Illinois, and those of you, who yesterday stood up in all your demagoguery and said really cared about the people of Illinois, where are You criticize this side of the aisle for you today? following a true leader. I think that you are in need of a leader on your side of the aisle and therefore, I vote

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson, one minute to explain your vote.

The clock is on."

Democrat, great Speaker. a Mr. real "Mr. Speaker. Vinson: Franklin Roosevelt once understood that you had to have welfare and jobs. Your vote, your denial of a chance to put those two issues together and vote for them today is because something you ought to seriously think about. and jobs to the you're the person now denying welfare people of Illinois."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 47 voting 'aye', 64 voting 'no', none voting 'present'.

And the Motion fails, and the ruling of the Chair is not appealed. Mr. Madigan on the question — on the original

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

question."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, once again I will move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. We have heard statements from the other side of the aisle to the effect that now, I and the Democrats are responsible for withholding the payment of welfare checks to those who simply cannot survive without those checks. Let's not get wrapped up and wound up in our own rhetoric. Here is the Bill. All you need do is to supply a handful of votes for the Bill now, and the checks can go out within an hour, because I'm sure the Governor would sign this Bill as soon as it arrives in his office. Mr. Speaker, again, I move to adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich." Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm really interested in what they're saying on the other side of the aisle. Suddenly, it's the fault of everybody around here that public aid isn't passed. For six months, we've had a Majority budget of Democrats in the Senate, a Majority of Democrats in We've had a Democrat President of the Senate, and a Democrat Speaker of the House. They had 60 votes any time The they wanted to pass a Bill on anything. The Bill... appropriation Bills, if the House had been run orderly and timely. would have been out of here on June the July the 5th or 6th or 7th or 8th, because the votes were there. They had... When you have the Majority, only have the privilege, but you have the responsibility of running State Government. They have not lived up to that responsibility, and no appropriations are passed for things that are needed. And here we sit, on the 5th. that we're somehow... and now they re trying to tell us we're responsible for public aid recipients not having their money on a timely basis. I say that's...

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

phony as a three dollar bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. think maybe it's appropriate to remember what our action on this Motion will do this afternoon. Today. some 32,658 were scheduled to be sent. By the end of welfare checks the afternoon, 91,065 checks will not have been sent. been sent, welfare recipients could have picked them up tomorrow. Let me remind you who those recipients of them are children. I don't think the children who rely upon us to see to it that there their 15 food on don't think they care about pork barrel projects in Republican districts. I don't think they care about how we do or do not run this House. What they care about whether there will be enough food for them to eat. What they care about is whether there will be medical assistance available should it turn out that they break an arm, should The basic responsibility it turn out that they need it. before us today is to see to it that 300,000 people in this get their the vast majority of them children. welfare checks tomorrow. They can have those checks in we say yes to the Motion to but only if hand tomorrows adopt Conference Committee Report 1 to Senate Bill 476."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the It would have been very well if consensus could House. have been reached, if we could have considered these issues and done our constitutional duty and left here, taken care of the business of the people of the state. consensus is patently clear, however, that has no that's unfortunate, not only for us, but for and reached. those thousands of people out there who are depending our action for their livelihood. Yesterday, in the debate,

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

rhetoric got... got heated and as tempers began to flare, there was a lot of discussion about who was holding the poor hostage, and now I think we sit here today, all of us equally responsible for the poor being held hostage to that It is a process this legislative process. supposed to take us beyond the kind of activity that we've seen in the middle east where people just go throw down the gauntlet and kill each other with no reason, rationale, no honor about what they do. It's a process that's supposed to serve us so that we can serve others honorable fashion. I think that this deadlock is most I think this deadlock is a tragedy. But unfortunate. of conscience, people have as 40. think that responsibility today to vote to see to it these checks 40 out, to pass this budget, to, as individual Members elected to see to it that this budget passes so as individuals. that we can move forward with the other business. There is There is a difference between Build Illinois a difference. and feeding Illinois. There is a difference in the future for economic development in our state and what we do today to feed the poor, and I appeal to the Members of this House, if we can set partisan differences aside and set aside the brinksmanship that we've seen going on here today and yesterday and the dav before that, and do what we have to do to break this logjam one vote, to break the logjam by our own act of conscience in seeing to it that the public aid Sudget passed and especially, especially, seeing to it that never again will this chamber be held up to public ridicule virtue of our crass tying together of the issues of public aid and the welfare of our people and any other issue that That is the tragedy here, that we ve tied comes before us. these issues together to begin with. They seem to be

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

inseparable, but I think we have to set a precedent here, a precedent here for future Sessions, that we will never again have the poor held out in front of combatants on other issues. I urge your green vote on Senate Bill 476."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Public perception, sometimes, for better or worse, is a

good part of what we do here. You know, two or three months ago or maybe less, people saw me on the floor of the House speak out for something that I believed in, and that was the cause of injured people and poor people who didn't have access to our judicial system in the debate on medical malpractice, and I believed that, and I believe it now. And I believe, as many of the speakers on the other side of aisle have said, that the problems of poor people are not only important, but of great importance. But I think it's important for the people of this chamber and the people of the State of Illinois to know that it isn°t the Republican Party, and it isn't our 'no' or 'present' votes on this Motion that are the problem. It's the Speaker this House who, in any one of a number of ways in the past, and now, and in the future, could have solved this problem. It's the Speaker of this House who's preventing those checks from going out. The Speaker of the House could have adopted the same Order of Call that the Senate had. The Speaker of the House could put the votes on Build Illinois. Speaker of the House could have kept his commitment that the other four actors in the process have kept, as important as the Speaker of the House, the acting Speaker could have let us, a few moments ago, vote on three issues that are important to all the people of Illinois time. So, don't let anybody leave this chamber today, don't let the media leave today and say that it's us who are punishing the poor. You run the House. The Democratic

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Madigan. this process, and if he runs Speaker, wanted to do it, and if he wants to do it or if he wants to do it five minutes from now, Mr. Speaker, you can get this whole problem solved. The checks will be on their way, and it won't be anybody... any for the worse. It's you who are doing it, not us. Now, Representative McPike, who... who is, and I hope always will be, a good friend of several of the other speakers today, in addressing this issue, really, in a lot of ways, very artfully made stress on the other parts of this package, as of our though, somehow, they didn't have equal significance. about a firehouse here, and somebody else said a Republican pork barrel project here, and so forth. I don*t think that's fair, and I think when we discuss this to look and see what they we*ve got issue, humiliating, what they were denegrating, thev Ware what We're talking about a small business loan down. putting program as part of these other two Bills that would provide would allow other families. that food for independent businessmen to grow up and meet their... meet this their dream in this great society of ours and And soil conservation - maybe enterprise system of ours. vou don't some of you who represent the City of Chicago. but for those of us who represents understand that, downstate... represent downstate Illinois, that's a vital That's how we feed the world. And those of you who issuethat that isn't important to us, that that isn't our own analogue to what you're talking about don*t here, the realities of downstate life soil and understand We're talking about technical schools conservation. engineering schools that can provide people who are going to provide a cure for cancer, who are going to build and schools and our whole future in the bigger sense of the

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

in this program, We're talking about, hazardous waste cleanup system, so that people whose homes and lives have been threatened by the problem of toxic waste are going to have an opportunity to have their lives and their homes - again, an analogue to what you're talking about - cleaned up and saved and have a brighter future. We're talking about scientific survey resources 5.0 people at the survey systems can provide new mechanisms for warning against hazardous weather conditions so that people can have their lives and property saved from tornadoes and We're talking, I'm sure, about roads that provide an economic future for this provide jobs, that We're state. We're talking about a lot more. about a supercollider that could have the potential to turn this state that has lagged in some ways economy of over the course of the progress to the sun helt... "

- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Johnson. Excuse me. Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, this is a Motion on a Conference Committee
 Report regarding the budget of the Department of Public
 Aid. Now, I'm Chairman of the Appropriations II Committee,
 and I can absolutely guarantee everybody here on this floor
 that the superconducting supercollider is not in this Bill.
 The Gentleman is not speaking to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson, confine your remarks to the issue at hand. Proceed, Sir."
- Johnson: "Representative Bowman is right. That isn't They didn't give us an opportunity to put it in this Bill. Motion, so we're consigned with the กก Bill to discuss, like Representative Braun and opportunity Representative Currie and Representative McPike yesterday: the bigger issue. But I'll accede to his wishes and close with two thoughts. One is, I intend, assuming this Motion

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

fails, acting pursuant to Rule 82 of our House Rules, in Section 10 and various Subsections of <u>Roberts, Rules of Order</u> to call my Motion that was previously out or order,,

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Now you are out of order. No. no. Now, you're discussing what you're... Mr. Johnson. I thought you were calm. Mr. Johnson. I thought you were calm. Now you may address the Motion at hand. We have concluded with the parliamentary issues, and if you will address the Motion and calm down, we will allow you to continue. Proceed, Sir."

I just hope that you'll aive Johnson: "I am calm, Mr. Speaker. me the opportunity, like any other Member of this House, to points heard. We were friends before. mv I would friends again, but please be fair. In closing. simply say that the Speaker of the House has prevented an We've given these checks from going out. We're going to give you another opportunity, opportunity. but for right now, we're going to vote 'present'. you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Speaker, Mr. "Thank vou. Bowman: House. The previous speaker tried to make it seem that combined into some kind of a package. issues were these that somehow, the... that sewers and life support for plane. that we had to on the same moral people were remind all. I would consider them together or not at that the for the budget this chamber everybody in this Department of Public Aid is an annual ritual It is something that we do every year. chamber. it is something that we must do every year, because statutes of the State of Illinois command us to fund these programs. The package that the people on the other side of

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

the aisle are trying to prepare, here, contains elements are unique, that this is the first that are novel, that time in the... perhaps in... ever, that we have considered of these things. They are extras. They are frills. Ladies and Sentlemen, compared to the issues contained in the Motion before us. Now, I... I hope all of us can agree that it is a crime that this Motion has to be considered but it this late in the Session. It is a crime, not It is premeditated murder. Ιt involuntary manslaughter. is premeditated because the Sponsors of this legislation. Republican Sponsors, of whom the Minority Leader is one, took this Bill out of the record on several occasions to prevent the Bill from even going to a Conference Committee prior to the June 30th deadline. If we had been able to put this Bill into Conference Committee a week ago, and we were prepared to do this, and yet weeks ago: two they took it out of the record, if we could have aot it into a Conference Committee a couple of weeks ago, we could have disposed of this issue in a timely fashion and not had held to our heads. No, strike that. Not had the the gun held to the heads of poor people in this state at It was a deliberate attempt orchestrated by the Republican Party starting as long ago as two weeks into this posture to put poor people into the posture they are now with a gun to their heads. think everybody should understand where the locus responsibility lies, why we have come to this pass and why we should this Motion now."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn." Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, moves that the main question be put. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

ayes have it and the main question will be put. The question is, *Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 476?* All those in favor signify by voting *aye*, those opposed vote *no*. Yoting is now open. Hr. Ewing, the Gentleman from Livingston, one minute to explain your vote.*

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, vote's much the same, but certainly, the attitude in this chamber is very different. I feel a little bit like dog that's alternate days. Once he's kicked, and old the next day, he's given candy to try and get him to Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I'm voting 'present' because we have given you an You have turned it down. I think do what you want. it's certainly time for Speaker Madigan to take the next move and to move an alternative that will break this deadlock."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Levin, one minute to explain your vote." "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I heard Levin: people on the other side of the aisle refer to a several deal which was not followed up on. It was broken. Well. I remember about three nights ago, there was a deal on Build Illinois, and I remember the Minority Leader getting up and talking about how good the deal was, and they voted for the first part of the deal on that side of the aisle, but then, they broke the deal, and they didn't vote for the second So who's not voting for deals? Who's not Build Illinois? But that's not what I heard when I about went home today and was in my office, and the calls came in from the people who didn't get their checks, and there a woman who didnot get her public aid check, and on top of it, she had just had her food stamps stolen. What was Well, about all she could do is call Mr. to do? going

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

then, there's the phone call from the And individual who asked me to call his landlord, because he was going to be thrown out because his public aid check I think Mr. Daniels ought to call the didn*t come. landlord, and Mr. Daniels ought to be the one to pay the late fees for all the public aid recipients around the state."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. Hawkinson, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Several days ago, the five parties reached an accommodation. The Senate upheld The Governor stands ready to do the same. Mr. the same and this to pass Daniels stands ready to do budget, as well as the rest of the budget and Build Illinois. Today, this side has been accused of trying to muddy the waters by putting the three Bills together. recognize know and that the think we all However, I way of breaking this another Governor offered this as logjam so that the public aid checks can go out, as well as We have accepted the people getting jobs and keeping jobs. Governor's suggestion to break this logjam. You have When you're ready, we will be ready, and the rejected it. checks can go out."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Young, one minute to explain your vote."
- Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I didn't intend to speak on this issue today because I thought I expressed myself yesterday. I feel exactly today as I did yesterday. But I wanted to just make it clear that I think it's outrageous for the people on the other side of the aisle to tell me that if I want to vote "yes" on public aid, that I should not be allowed to cast that vote individually and that you're giving us an

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

alternative by allowing us to put public aid with a 378 I think every Member of this million spending package. Body ought to have a right to vote on an individual basis as to whether or not we're going to fund public aid and on an individual basis as to whether or not we have to 378 million that your Governor did not request. I think it's outrageous and ridiculous, and for you to say you have offered us an alternative, it's an ultimatum. saying, 'I know you won't vote 'no' against public aid. You'll probably vote 'no' against 378 million Republican we want to put them together so you won't have a choice."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw, one minute to explain your vote."

Cowlishaw: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the would offer my personal perspective on the vote I have cast I am aware of the fact that the central message, among all the lessons of St. Paul, has to do with the quality of humankind, that is, that we're all in it together. It's a sort of, 'for whom the bell tolls - no man is an island kind of issue. And I would point out you that there must be a reason beyond my comprehension for Speaker of the House to insist that one, and only one, appropriations Bill is important. It seems to me that the whole appropriations process is what is truly important, before me, appropriations and I see here on the Calendar Bills for the Human Rights Commission, the Emergency Services and Disaster Agency, the Department of Children It seems to me that all of those and Family Services. Bills are of great importance, just as this one again. I sincerely have to wonder why the Speaker has chosen this one Bill, and only this one Bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Brunsvold, one minute to explain your vote."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't rise to explain vote, I rise on a point of confusion. The other night, and Build Illinois contained 1.3 billion dollars in projects, 800 million by the Governor, 500 million by Democrats. was agreed on. The Republicans on that side of the aisle evidently don't know the Governor, because they weren't included in his 800 million dollars worth of projects, so they humiliated the Governor in the back of the room and decided to put 400 million on their own other day package on. And today, just a few speakers ago, this the Governor's suggestion to put these together. I * m Are we... Do we know him, or don't we know him? confused. then, in Republican spending. And billion total, Democrats are supposed to be the big spenders. I * m confused. I feel like a piker over here."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hallock, one minute to explain your vote.

The Gentleman from Winnebago."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. kind of baffled, but probably about a different too. topic. I've heard, for the last couple of days, from your side of the aisle asking and pleading us to call the public aid budget and pass it. But we disagreed to on the last Motion. We agreed to pass Bills which would provide, in fact, needy assistance and also jobs for But I'm really baffled, because I people in Illinois. don't understand the Democratic logic. I mean, here are willing to support 3.4 billion dollars on an annual basis, 3.4 billion for public aid, which I guess we and that helps part of the million people in Chicago who need it, and we should do that. But at the same time, they won't... they want to support 3.4 billion on an annual

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

basis, they will not support 1.3 billion on a five year basis for jobs and economic development to help the unemployed in our state. Now, that logic just baffles me, and I think that's a clear message to be delivered here today. We can do both. Let's do them. I urge a 'present' yote until we can do that."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Kulas, one minute to explain your vote."

Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. This is extortion with a capitol E, and the main... head extortionist is sitting in his chair over he's sat there for two days and hasn't said a word. He's extorted the Governor, and now, he wants to extort the poor people of the State of Illinois. Why haven't we heard from Don't blame the Speaker. Don't blame the Democrats. him? blame where it belongs. You made a fool of the Governor, and now you're going to make fools of everyone. Well, Governor, I'm going to apologize for this whole Body treated on Tuesday night, for the way you were deserves to be treated that way, and I don't think nobody the poor people of the State of Illinois deserve treated the way the Republicans are treating them tuday."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Turner, one minute to explain your vote."

"Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Turner: I know that lobbyists aren't allowed on this floor, but I consider myself both, both a Legislator and lobbyist for the poor people of this state who don't have a voice, who cannot afford to pay to have a lobbyist here to I am asking you and urging tell you their viewpoint. to pass the public aid Bill out of this vou vote that political Assembly today, and that we continue with our not on the backs of poor people of this bickering. but state. I've heard everybody say that, oh the poor public

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

they don't want... they think that what we're doing here is wrong, and I'm asking you today, lobbying you for future legislation, that next... that as we come back and prepare to deal with Bills in the future, that a Bill put in place, that public aid be continually fundad regardless to how we decide what we're going to do on And I'm lobbying you now to free the public aid... 30ththose people in this state who are depending upon but more importantly, because the political finauling aidis going to continue, that this General Assembly deals with poor people, and let's not involve them in political the bickering that we continue and... continue on. So I ask you not only to give us a vote today, but to help the people of this state stay out of the pork fights and all of other political carrying on, because they don't have the any... they don't know what's going on, here, just as of us are not sure what's going on on this floor. we're going to hold firm with our green lights, here. asked the Members on the other side, those of you who keep saying that you're sympathetic, and you go along, don't think that the poor people should be held hostage, to them the extra six votes at this particular time and to support future legislation so that this does not happen again."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 47 voting 'present', and the House does... and the Motion to adopt Conference Committee #1 fails. On the Motion, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I have filed a Motion. Would the Clerk read the Motion?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to postpone the consideration of the attached Bills on the Order set forth until October 16, 1985: House Bills 66, 137, 431, 567, 655, 692, 703, 720, 993, 1188, 1279, 1285, 1500, 1769, 1918, 1921, 1977, 2232 and 2418; Senate Bills 448, 537, 830, 847, 879, 1004, 1095, 1152, 1287 and 1379. Signed by M.J. Madigan."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, on the Motion. Excuse me? Yes, Mr. McCracken, for a point of
- Mr. Speaker. First. Representative Points, McCracken: "Two Johnson's Motion has precedence pursuant to Roberts' Rules Second, the Gentleman's Motion is out of order, of Order. because some of the Bills he refers to do not appear on any Calendars. And I call your attention specifically to House Bill 655 which, in direct violation of Rule 79(c), has not been reported on any Calendar, Supplemental or Regular, even though it's been passed by the Senate, even though the message has been transmitted to the Clerk, even though Rule 79(c) requires mandatorily that that Bill so appear. nowhere on any Calendar, and I didn't catch all of the Bills in question, but at least a few others of appear on any Calendar anywhere. The Gentleman's do not Motion, for both reasons, is out of order. I'd like a ruling relative to Representative Johnson's previously put Motion and my Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken, if the Chair understands your objection, first let me tell you that Mr. Madigan's Motion is a Motion to postpone and accordingly, has precedence over any of the Motions that might otherwise be put. That is the question on that issue. And on the... As far... And that would be accordance with individual... description of classes in individual order and precedence of Motions as it appears in Roberts' Rules of Order. Insofar as the other

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

question is concerned, the Bill is within the House, and there would be nothing that would stop us from dealing with it on a Motion. Mr. Johnson, for what purpose do you seek recognition? For what purpose, Mr. Johnson? A point of order. Proceed, Sir."

Johnson: "Who controls that electrician, by the way? Every time

I get to a point that I want to make that you don't want me

to make, somehow, it magically shuts off."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "My point is this: Rule 82, as I've previously stated, as I think you agree, makes — and I think you've acknowledged that in your ruling — makes Roberts! Rules of Order, newly revised, governing of the House in all matters where they are applicable, and in all matters where they are not otherwise in conflict with the Rules of the 84th General Assembly. Then, I want to call your attention specifically, and I want you to rule on this specific point of order, that my Motion that I filed and that we discussed earlier, a consolidated Roll Call is in order, for this reason, and that is this, pages 95 and 96... "

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Johnson. We are not addressing your Motion. Your Motion has not been called. recognized you for your Motion. You have filed a Motion, indeed you have, as have others. You have, several but that's not times in debate, referred to your Motion, We are on Mr. Madigan's filing it, Mr... Mr. Johnson. Motion. He has made a Motion to postpone.... Mr. Mr. electrician, leave Mr. Johnson Johnson, please. Mr. Johnson to conduct himself Mr. expect We OD. Mr. Madigan has filed a Motion to Now. way. courteous It is a subsidiary Motion which has precedence, esnoatzone. in accordance with <u>Roberts' Rules of Order</u>. The Chair is making that holding. Your Motion is not before the

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Now. Mr. Johnson, did you wish to respond? Excuse me.

Clerk for a Calandar announcement. Excuse me. Mr. Clerk.

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #3 is being distributed."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson. Excuse me. Mr... Now. Mr.

Johnson."

Johnson: "I'll withhold my specific comments on this specific rule until Representative Vinson talks, and I'd like to yield my time to Representative Vinson."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from... Well, let's see. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I am advised that not only Mr. Madigan but... and now this entire chamber should be advised that in the event that Mr. Madigan's Motion is considered and in the event that Mr. Madigan's Motion should pass this House, the Governor will issue a proclamation immediately calling this General Assembly back into Session at 10:00 tomorrow with these specific Bills on the call... on his Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson, a question. Was that... Mr. Vinson, was that a point of order you were rising to now?"

Vinson: "I am just... No. It is debate on... "

Speaker Greiman: "... Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker, relative to your ruling on my Motion. Now, we see the Supplemental Calendar appear with some of these Bills put on the Order of Business. Now and only now, does the Gentleman's Motion comport with the rules. But since July 3rd, House Bill 655 has been available for publication on the Calendar. Since July 3rd, we've had the opportunity, or should have had the opportunity, to have these called for a vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Make your point concisely and briefly, Sir."

McCracken: "And only in the context of a Motion to Postpone

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

Consideration do we see these Bills come alive."

- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from Marion, Mr.
- Friedrich: "Well, I'd just like to say, we've got... records are made to be broken. We've got a new one. That's the first time it took three days for a Senate Message to get on the Calendar, and I'm happy it finally got here, but I challenge anybody else to show one that took longer."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."
- Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry, and actually, a request. I went up to the well to see if I could get a copy of the Bills that we're postponing. They were read rather rapidly. They say that isn't available. I had asked earlier for a very personal little Bill that I have for a Senator, if it could be postponed. I don't believe that it was read in the list, and I'm wondering, well...

 Now I have the list, and I'm certain, Mr. Speaker..."
- Speaker Greiman: #1152. Wasn't that the question? Yes. Right
- Ewing: "Yes. Yes. 1152. I thank you, but you know, I'm... My request is that we have a chance to look at this list. We know that you can gavel this through. You have proven that today. You've proven it on many days. But certainly, the fairness that you all indicate that you have on your side would give us a few moments to review this list."
- Speaker Greiman: "Of course. Of course. Mr. Ewing, you may certainly have an opportunity to examine the list, and while you are examining it, we will call on Mr. Piel. Is this... Mr. Piel, now, Mr. Madigan has put the Motion to postpone. There have been several points of order. Mr. Piel, are you on the Motion? On the Motion, Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. According to our rules, first of all, we cannot

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

act on anything that's on a Supplemental Calendar until the Calendar's been on our desk for an hour. It was on our desk, and as I recall, it was about five minutes ago Second thing - You know, I would that it was announced. the question's like the Chair to sort of answer. I mean• brought up a couple of times, Mr. Speaker, reference to the one Bill that's on the Calendar. This day that this thing has finally... 655 has finally appeared on the Calendar. This is something that very serious. Today, it might be one Bill. Tomorrow. it might be somebody else*s Bill or somebody else's where it's arbitrary where the Speakers... you know, I don't like that Bill or I don't like this Bill, we're going to hold in our back offices underneath a bunch of papers for two, three, four days until we decide to in on the Calendar. Oh, fine, and everybody's looking at it as a political yesture right now, but Ladies what happens to one individual this House. Gentlemen of If you don't have... today can happen to you tomorrow. you have a Bill that the Speaker doesn't like, look might come over from the Senate and be lost. And I can't believe that the Chair is making such light οf Mr. Speaker, and you know, if it's not proceedings, something that you don't like, you sort of smile and shrug off and say, 'Relax.' But this is something where you Members of are trampling on the rights of the other and it should not House, both Democrat and Republican, This House should be run in an orderly way so get finished with the business of the people of the State of Illinois, but if we have to stay here Saturday, Sunday, or even Monday, Mr. Speaker, we will stay here even Monday."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Davis."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Davis: "Aloha... Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Davis?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry. I did not quite hear Speaker Madigan's Motion. I heard the Bills, and I tried to jot them down. I understand there's a list in front of us. What time did... What time certain did he indicate he wished to put these over to? I'm sorry. I can't hear you. I'll just ask the Chair. I don't wish to bother Speaker Madigan. He's in a Conference."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, you'll have to ask me, then, I suppose."

Davis: "Well, I will. I'll ask you, then."

Speaker Greiman: "What can I... What can I do for you?"

Davis: "Was it... Was it October 16th? Someone standing...
Representative Cullerton..."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, it was October the 16th. Yes."

Davis: "Well, let me suggest to the Body that every single Bill on that list in the budget will be back in here tomorrow morning at 10:00 because the Governor's going to call a Special Session to have them in here, and we'll be sitting here discussing the entire budget for as long as it takes.

Aloha, Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. And to correct the record for all of you, and I don't know this for a fact, but I have been told that one of your Members in your Caucus stated that I was leaving for Hawaii on Monday. And just to clarify the record, I have no plans at all ever to go to Hawaii. So, let the record reflect that."

Speaker Greiman: "There goes the Hawaiian vote. Further discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson, excuse me. You spoke on this. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Pursuant to what rule is the Motion made?"

Speaker Greiman: "Roberts' Rules of Order. It's a... It's a subsidiary Motion to postpone. Quite appropriate under the rules. We did it on some other Order of Business recently."

McCracken: "Roberts! Rules applies only when our rules don't...
and our rules... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan. And our... And our rules provide that. Mr. Madigan."

McCracken: "And our rules provide... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker. Mr. McCracken, give us all about ten seconds, then he can rest for awhile. So, I have been requested by the Governor to come to his office to For the full understanding of the discuss this matter. Membership, this Motion would take the two Build Illinois appropriation Bills and set them for hearing on the Fall And in my judgment, the adoption of this Motion would eliminate further consideration of the appropriation for Build Illinois and permit us to proceed to consider the Governor's Budget as given to us by Mr. Thompson. He has asked me to come to his office with Mr. Daniels to discuss In my judgment, we should accede to the the situation. request of the Governor, but we can just simply stand ease, because if there is no resolution of the impasse, we shall return to the floor, and we shall consider this and my judgment is, the Motion will be adopted. So, Mr. Speaker, if we could simply stand at ease."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan, it is the intention of the Chair to stand at ease with the proviso that when we return from standing at ease, we will be on this Order of Business in precisely the same position and posture. And on that, the

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

House will stand at ease until such time as the Chair calls... at the call of the Chair."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #4 is being distributed."

 Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, there's no need for excitement immediately. This is just to announce to those over in their offices that they should start to come over to the floor, because we'll be ready to begin in about 10 minutes. So again, for those in their offices, you should begin to come over to the House chamber. The House shall come to order. The Chair recognizes Kr. Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have a 45 minute recess for the purpose of a Republican Conference in Room 118 immediately."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich, do you think we could do it in half an hour?"
- Friedrich: "I... Well, we have a lot of people on our side of the aisle who want to express their opinion. I'll try it."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. Mr. Giglio."
- Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, the Democrats would like to caucus immediately."
- go to Members will Speaker Madigan: "Alright, so all respective Caucuses. Let's try to do it in one half hour. The Members The House shall come to order. Thank vou. The Chair would like to be in their chairs. shall recognize the presence of the state's two leading citizens, Governor James and Jayne Thompson. Welcome. First Bill to be called will be Senate Bill 448 on Supplemental Calendar Bill shall be taken together with Senate Bill That #3. 1095 on Supplemental Calendar #3 and Senate Bill 476 on be taken Bills shall Those Supplemental Calendar #1. recognizes Mr. The Chair together on one Roll Call. Mr. McPike." McPike.

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike, we have called for the consideration of three Bills together Senate Bill 448, Senate Bill 1095, Senate Bill 476, these Bills to be taken on one Roll Call."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could the... I presume these are all on Conference Committees, and I presume that this is all Conference Committee Report #1 on all three, Mr. Clerk."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike, relative to Senate Bill 448, I
 believe that the Motion will be to adopt the First
 Conference Committee Report. The same would apply to
 Senate Bill 1095 and Senate Bill 476. Mr. McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen. ! move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 448, These include the Senate Bill 1095 and Senate Bill 476. public aid budget that we've debated for the last two days, and they include substantive language which is cleanup language for the Build Illinois program that we passed the During the process of putting together the other night. substantive language in order to cut 500 million dollars out of the Governor's original proposal, we had to strike various language for broad categories that would money in areas like coal conversion, for spend soil conservation, for wildlife habitat scrubbers, for We want to put that development and various others. substantive language back in the authorization Bill. that if in this fiscal year or in future fiscal years, if we appropriate line item monies for those purposes, Governor will have the authority to spend it. That, together with the public aid budget, I would move for the adoption of these Reports."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall On this question, there are 103 *aye*, take the record. Reports, having received an *no*. These voting Extraordinary Constitutional Majority, are adopted, and the Bills are hereby declared passed. The next matter will Special Call, Appropriations, Human Services. The Clerk will read the Bills. Mr. Clerk, are you able to read the page number that these Bills appear on the Calendar as you read the Bills? That's the number on the left side, The Clerk shall read the Bills on this read that also. Special Order of Call, Appropriations. Mr. Clerk.

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 559 on Calendar page four; House Bill 660, page four; 661, on page four; 663, on page four; 664, on page four; 672, on page five; 679, on page five; 683, on page five; and Senate Bill 483, on page seven."
- Speaker Madigan: "On that Order, the Chair recognizes Mr.
 Hastert."
- Ladies and Gentlemen of the Speaker. Mr. Hastert: "Thank you, of Call, House Bill 659, is the This Order House. appropriation for the Human Rights Commission. The amount appropriation for the is \$726,000. House Bill 660, the appropriation of an Human Rights, of Department appropriation for the Senate Bill 661, the \$3,947,800. Medical Center Commission, an appropriation of \$1,792,100. House Bill 663, the appropriation for the an appropriation Disaster Agency. and appropriation for the \$26,658,200. House Bill 664, the Family Services, an of Children and Department 672 . the House Bill appropriation of \$256,193,600. for the Department of Public Health, an appropriation House Bill the 679. of \$185,674,800. appropriation Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities and also the Department of Guardianship and Advocacy, àn

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

appropriation of \$679,705,900. House Bill 683, the Historical Library, an appropriation of \$10,353,800. House Bill 483, the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board, an appropriation of \$149,218,800. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that those Bills pass.

Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes Mr. Anthony Young to explain his vote."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Young: Conference Committee Report on House In... the House. the budget for the Department of is Bill 664. Which Children and Family Service, there is an appropriation in a model the sum of \$500,000 for the establishment of demonstration project for sex offenders. For the purposes of legislative intent, I'd like the record to clearly show Senate Bill 1262 was rejected by this House when it that failed on the Consent Calendar. And I believe this shows that it rejected the use of the drug known as depo-provera. And I'd like the record to reflect that even though we are in support of the budget and we're in support the program, we are in opposition to the drug depo-provera and its use."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dwight Friedrich, to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "We eliminated the appropriation for guardianship and advocacy when it came through here, and the Senate and the Conference Committee for some reason, put it back in. I have no choice now but to vote for this Roll Call, but I will tell you that I will be appealing to the Governor to reduce that appropriation so that this Commission will no longer be a nuisance to the people of the State of Illinois."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 3 voting 'no'. These Bills, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, are hereby declared... Mr. Vitek."
- Vitek: "Please show that my switch wasn't working. I intend to vote 'aye' on this Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "So, let the record show that Mr. Vitek is recorded as 'aye'. These Bills, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, are hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be House Bill 567. The Chair recognizes Mr. Daniels. This matter is on page four of the regular Calendar and it would be a Motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report."
- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 667 which is the IHDA funding... 567. It's got the wrong number up there, Tony. Stay alert, Tony. Which is the IHDA appropriation. The original Bill raised the Illinois Housing Development Authority's bond authorization by \$1,000,000,000. And I would ask for a favorable approval of First Conference Committee Report on 567."
- "Those in favor of the adoption of the Report Speaker Madigan: will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Please record yourselves. The Clerk question there are 83 shall take the record. Oπ this Bill, having received a 20 voting 'no'. This 'aves'. Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be Senate Bill 830. The Chair This Bill appears on page seven of recognizes Mr. Tate. the regular Calendar. Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Senate Bill 830 amends the Civil Administrative Code to authorize the Department of Conservation to assess fees for the use of facilities under the jurisdiction of the Department and to permit the Department to develop and operate public accommodations and service facilities on land under this jurisdiction and to permit the Department to offer cash incentives not exceeding 35% of the total documented cost of qualified bidders for the development of any concession complex. I move for its adoption."

"Those in favor of the adoption of the Speaker Madigan: Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Ωn this question there are 100 'ayes' & voting 'no'. Extraordinary Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Order shall be Appropriations Order II - State Government, Regulation and Revenue. The Clerk shall read the Bills on this Order of Call along with the page on the Calendar where Bills appear.".

Clerk Leone: "House Bills 652, on page four; 653, on page four; 657, on page four; 666, on page four; 668, on page four; 669, on page four; 670, on page four; and 674, on page five. Senate Bills 452, on page five; 454, on page five; and 459, on page six."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Representative Barnes_""

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to adopt the Conference Committee Reports on the following Bills. House Bill 652, Prison Review Board, \$816,700. House Bill 653, Local Government Law Enforcement Officers Training Board, \$7,203,400. House Bill 657, Educational Labor Relations Board, \$1,302,952. House Bill

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

666. Illinois Commerce Commission, \$14,526,800. House Bill Department of Revenue, \$1,738,646,700. House Bill 669, Local and State Labor Relations Board. \$2,128,000. House Bill 670, Illinois Racing Board, \$7,144,400. House Energy and Natural Resources. 8111 674. Department of of Conservation. \$107.711.600. \$79,925,800. nepartment Development Board, \$5,128,100. Senate Bill 452, Capital Senate Bill 454, Lieutenant Governor, \$1,592,600. Senate Trust Protection Environmental tha 8111 459. Commission, \$850,000."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of those Bills will signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no".

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.

 Brunsvold, to explain his vote."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that
 I would have voted "no" on Department of Conservation
 budget."
- Speaker Madigan: "Let the record so reflect. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 6 voting 'no'. These Bills, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, are hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 2418. Representative Daniels. This matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #3. Mr. Daniels."
- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House
 Bill 2418 amends the Illinois Coal and Energy Development
 Bond Act to require that \$2,000,000 of the bond already
 authorized shall be used to develop alternative forms of
 energy. I move the adoption of Conference Committee Report
 #1 to House Bill 2418."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish?

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 108 "ayes", 2 voting "no". This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 692. Mr. Cullerton, this matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #4. Mr. Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we adopt Conference Committee #1.

 One second please. Could you come back to it, Mr. Speaker?

 I'm sorry. I have it. This Conference Committee would allow municipalities to serve notice of multiple like violations of any ordinance in nonjailable cases in one certified mailing and would require the Secretary of State to pull the drivers license of anyone upon certification that has failed for 30 days to satisfy any final civil judgment arising from failure to pay 20 or more parking violations."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish?
- Mautino: "Thank you. I did have my light on to ask a question.

 My analysis of 692 also provides for a suspension for two
 or more warrants outstanding. Is that correct?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Could you repeat the question, please?"

Mautino: "On page two of the Section, line five, that Section, there are two or more warrants outstanding. An individual would lose their drivers license — be suspended without a hearing on the drivers license or permit for that person."

Cullerton: "That's current law. The new language refers to 20 or more outstanding warrants."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson, to explain his vote."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, with the change to 20 warrants, I think this becomes a reasonable Bill. I would ask you to reconsider this matter and to put green votes up on this Bill, because I believe that it's an essential part of the process."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mays, to explain his vote."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know the Secretary of State's Office has worked with the Sponsor of this measure to try to get language that's acceptable to him. I believe that this Report reflects and embodies that language. I believe it's a program that can be administered properly. I would urge its support."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the wish? On this... Mr. Clerk, leave the score board open, record. Alright, the record has been taken, but this Call shall be dumped. Once again, those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted This matter Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted require 71 votes. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? this question wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On there are 74 'ayes', 33 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared be Appropriations Special passed. The next Order shall Order #3 - Public, Safety, Regulation and Licensure. Clerk shall read the Bills on this Order of Call along with the pages where the Bills appear on the Regular Calendar. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1097, on page #5. Senate Bill 403, on page #5; 460, on page #6; 461, on page #6; 464, on page #6;

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

468, on page #6; 470, on page #6; 473, on page #6; 475, on page #6; 480, on page #6; and 481, on page #6.*

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Barnes."

you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Barnes: "Thank House. I move to adopt the following Bills in Conference Court of Claims 403. Bi 11 Report: Sonate \$5,630,739; Senate Bill 460, the Industrial Commission, \$5,715,100: Senate Bill 461, Court of Claims, \$5,617,100; Senate Bill 464. Department of Registration and Education. Bill Department o f 468. the \$10,034,700; Senate \$46.546.200; Senate Bill 470. the Fire Agriculture, \$6,176,000; Senate Bill 473, Department Nuclear Safety, \$14,092,900; Senate Bill 475, Bureau of the o f Budget, \$12,613,200; Senate Bill 480, Department Corrections, \$398,454,800; Senate Bill 481, Department of Law Enforcement, \$138,916,700; Senate (sic - House) Bill 1097, Supreme Court, \$129,260,600."

Speaker Madigan: "Have you finished?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor, please."

Speaker Madigan: "Question of... The Sponsor indicates she will yield."

Mulcahey: "Representative Barnes, the... you mentioned the Supreme Court, how much is the Supreme Court budget this year above and beyond what it was last year or is it below, probably?"

Barnes: "Well, as it was introduced, it was \$127,804.9. As it passed the House, it was \$127,304.9. As it passed the Senate, it was \$126,211.8. The Conference Committee is a \$129,260.6."

Mulcahey: "So, what does that mean? What is the answer to my question?"

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Barnes: "That means the difference between 27... about \$12,000."

Mulcahey: "Above last year's?"

Barnes: "That is correct."

Mulcahey: "What does that go for? Where is it at?"

Barnes: "Just one minute and I'll get back to you. Part of that includes the Judges' pay raises and then the entire court system."

Mulcahey: "I beg your pardon, the Judges" pay raises?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "How much were the Judges' pay raises?"

Barnes: "I'm really not the Sponsor of the Bill. I can see why they gave it to me."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz."

Barnes: "The ... "

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz will answer the question."

Leverenz: "The Bill was about 20% increased over the previous year. The bulk of the 20%, if not all of it, is consumed with the new probation services division that we put in there for two million, three, six, one. I would ask for your 'aye' vote to pass the Bill or adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Clerk for a Calendar announcement."

Clerk Leone: "Supplemental #5, that House Calendar is now being distributed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There is a pay raise for the Judges in there. Is that correct? What... How much... What kind of a pay raise are they getting this year?"

Leverenz: "6 1/2% is the personal services increase."

Mulcahey: "I see. Alright, the Department of Agriculture, for example, what kind of a... how is that budget compared to... to last year's?"

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Leverenz: "Good."

Mulcahey: "Representative Barnes."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "The Agriculture budget is up 3.2%. I think it is better than good, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you very much. Any pay raises involved in the

Department of Agriculture? Does that remain pretty much

the same as it was last year?"

Barnes: "Just the same as for the rest of the state employees..."

Mulcahey: "Okay, and the series of Bills that you alluded to that
we're going to vote on in one lump sum, the only pay raises
involved, of course, are for the Judges of the Supreme
Court, Is that correct?"

Barnes: "No, all the state employees."

Mulcahey: "Oh, state... Alright, the state employees. Is that correct?"

Barnes: "They get a... Yes, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "No. I don't think it's necessary. It's a good
Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of these Bills will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Make sure the Clerk records Mr. Mulcahey as 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110 'aye', no one voting 'no'. These Bills, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, are hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 1857, Mr. Daniels. This appears on page three of the regular Calendar. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1857 creates the Water Commission Act of 1985. It

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

provides funding mechanism for that Water Commission, and I would ask for your favorable support and adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. I wholeheartedly support this fine Bill. Been waiting to vote for it all Session."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will... Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Mulcahey: "The water... What Act was that, Representative Daniels?"

Daniels: "Water Commission Act of 1985."

Mulcahey: "Where does that affect? Does that affect the entire state, is that the idea?"

Daniels: "It affects Cook County and DuPage County."

Mulcahey: "DuPage County. Could you elaborate a little more on that? How does it affect DuPage County?"

Daniels: "Yes. Let me tell you. I'm happy you asked that question because I've been waiting all Session to tell you the story. Lake Michigan has too much water in it and we want to drain some of that water down through Cook County into DuPage. And in so doing, we intend to buy our water from Cook County and spend about \$30,000,000 a year to the citizens of Chicago to assist in their revenue projects. So, that's why Chicago is really very interested in the sale because we'll spend about \$30,000,000 a year."

Mulcahey: "Oh, well, that's not too... I thought it was about \$17,000,000. So that's... Okay. Well... Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- O'Connell: "Representative Daniels, portions of DuPage County are already receiving Lake Michigan water, specifically, I refer to the Village of Burr Ridge, Will the property tax apply to the Village of Burr Ridge?"
- Daniels: "No. No. All worked out with them. Mayor... is absolutely delighted and endorses this legislation."
- O*Connell: "So there is no double taxation for the Village of Burr Ridge?"
- Daniels: "Correct. My brother lives in Burr Ridge. We wouldn't allow that to happen."

O*Connell: "Thank you."

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels moves that the House do concur in the Senate Amendment. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 12 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 694. This appears on page five of the Supplemental Calendar. The Chair recognizes Mr. Saltsman for a Motion. Mr. Saltsman."
- Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman (sic Speaker). Voting on the prevailing side on the Conference Committee Report which lost on 594, I vote for reconsideration of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion is adopted. Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to adopt Conference Committee #1 on House Bill 694. This is a Bill which we've voted on more than once before which appropriates funds. Allows for the appropriation of funds to the County of Cook to reimburse them for the cost of the State's Attorney's Office in the

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Appellate Section. Appreciate your favorable support.**

Speaker Madigan: **Mr. Vinson.**

- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, after... reflecting on Mr. Cullerton's arbitrary in the subject for the full Session, I now decided that this is, in fact, an essential part of the process and would urge an 'aye' vote on the Bill."
- in favor of the adoption Madigan: "Those Speaker Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? HAVE who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk this question there are shall take the record. 0n having received a *no*. This Bill. 35 voting Extraordinary Majority. Majority... Constitutional hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 1070, Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Report #I on House Bill House, the Conference Committee 1070 is the FY *86 state appropriation to Elementary amounting to \$2,612,000,000.4. This Secondary Education, over FY dollars 386.2 million The highlights are the reform programs which expenditures. Session reflecting in this passed haven't 99.3 million dollars. reform amounting to substantive \$200,000,000 over at is funded aid expenditures. Teacher's retirement is at the 60% payout or \$32,000,000 over FY '85 expenditures. mandated categoricals, which are funded at 100%, are funded I would like to at 29.8 million over FY *85 expenditures. all of those who worked on education reform and none the least of which was Dick Mulcahey. In fact, were up to me, you'd get a salary increase for all did think thev the hard work you've done. But I

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

outstanding job. And Illinois now, I believe, is at the forefront in education reform in providing quality education. I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1070 and appreciate your support."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Mulcahey: "Representative Matijevich, I understand we've had a great deal of discussion throughout the state in the last two years regarding the mythical 51% funding. It's my understanding now, with this appropriation, we shall approach approximately 45% of that 51. Is that correct?"

Matijevich: "I believe that's correct, Dick."

Mulcahey: "Thank you very much. I think it's a great Bill. We should all vote 'aye'."

Matijevich: "I'm with... I'm with you, Dick."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? This is for education everybody.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "There is just no telling, Mr. Speaker, when these red heads will turn pleasant."

Speaker Madigan: "The next Sill shall be Senate Bill 453, Representative Barnes. Senate Bill 453, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate 8111 453 appropriates \$224,226,400 to the Capital Development Board for new capital projects. And I would move do adopt on the Conference Committee Report."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. On this question there are 102 shall take the record. This Bill, having received a *ayes*, 7 voting no. Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared The next Bill shall be 8111 Senate nassed. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 458 appropriates \$262,119,700 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. And I would move do adopt on the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to be recorded... well, I'll punch myself as 'no', but I'd like to explain why first. This Bill provides that the Transportation the to \$16,000,000 Department lose Protection Agency for the auto, maintenance, and inspection cost. It's nothing but blackmail highway robbery from federal government forcing us to spend money of all the people of the State of Illinois for two areas of the state. And I think it's a terrible crime that we, in the State Illinois, can't control our own destiny. This is money that we pay in taxes, and I think it's very unnecessary begin with, but besides that point, I don't think we should cave into the Federal Government anymore. And I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

this question there are 83 'ayes', 23 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be Senate Bill 467, Representative Barnes."

- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 467 appropriates \$492,636,700 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs, and I would move do adopt on the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 4 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be Senate Bill 472, Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 472 appropriates \$1,931,447,759 for the Department of Transportation's budget. It also includes \$3,794,000 for the Department of Labor's budget. And I move do adopt on the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? This is transportation everybody.

 This is for Secretary 'Baiz'. Have all voted who wish?

 The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 4 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be Senate Bill 552, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to adopt the First Conference

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Committee Report for the Legislative Support Agency's budget, the total is \$10,289,366. I would ask for your green vote to adopt the Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clark question there are 98 shall take the record. On this 8ill, having received 13 'nos'. This 'aves'. Majority, is hereby declared Constitutional Extraordinary The next 8ill will be Senate Bill passed. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. Here is the one that I would hope you would put me
in the Century Club with. I move to adopt the First
Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 134 and request
your "aye" vote so we can get to the Second Conference
Committee Report. No?"

Speaker Madigan: "I believe your, Mr. Leverenz, I believe that your Motion is do not adopt."

Leverenz: "I'll go for that."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine. The Gentleman moves that the..."

Leverenz: "...Now?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 134. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 134 and requests the appointment of a Second Conference Committee. The next Bill shall be House Bill 769 (sic - 1769). Mr. Giorgi, this matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #4."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 769 (sic - 1769) provides for the governing board of

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

the Fox Valley Airport Authority which allows the four mayors to appoint members, the Mayor of St. Charles, Geneva... and West Chicago and five members be appointed by the DuPage County Board Chairman. And the other part of the Bill has to do with the... And I urge the support of the Assembly of this Bill."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all... Mr. Vinson, to explain his vote."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this Bill is a good Bill and a most important Bill. And I would urge all Members to vote for this most essential part of the process."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take On this question there are 76 Mr. Cullerton. record. Bill, having received This ayes + 27 "no". Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared The next Bill shall be House Bill 720. passed. This matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #5. The Chair recognizes Mr. Vinson for a Motion."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on a previous occasion when tempers
 were foul, I believe we made a mistake. And I would move
 that this House reconsider the vote by which the
 concurrence on House Bill 720 failed."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote.

 Those in favor say "aye", those opposed say "no". The

 "ayes" have it. The vote by which this matter failed has

 been reconsidered. Mr. Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. I would move that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. The underlying Bill is the citizen's assembly. The Amendments provide for additional leadership for the Senate only, and appropriate level of

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

compensation for the leaders through the Amendment. I

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have Cullerton, to explain his vote."
- I believe I was the one "Yes. thank you. Mr. Speaker. Cullerton: that made the Motion the other night when we talked about some of the fine leaders in the Senate that this affect, including Senator Geo-Karis, the first woman leader the Republican side in the Senate, I believe, and also, we talked about the substantive Bill itself, the men in the We had great dehate Commission Reorganization Act. the councils that were affected, including the concerning on Children. Economic Development. Energy Council Health, Developmental Disabilities, Mental Resources. Public Aid, school problems and the Council on Women... concurring with these Motions also be passing the Bill. believe for that reason it would be worthwhile to support the Motion."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman, to explain his vote."

"Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen Hoffman: This Bill includes a number of, I think, Houses very significant items. Gives us an opportunity for... seven of the major areas, input from citizens outside the process although the advisory committees will be Members of the Legislature, plus members appointed by the respective You noticed that there are some "present" votes leaders. Some of those 'present' votes are there on the board. because of... those individuals are directly and personally on this Bill. Ladies and Amendment the affected by Gentlemen, I think those people in the leadership that

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

would have a small - miniscule, if you will - increase in I would their compensation are very deserving. And Members of the House who have voted or who have not supported the Bill at this present time, to join with and the sixty other Members of the House to recognize the work and the effort of Members of the Body who are directly affected by this as well as recognizing the importance significance of the various specific items addressed by the citizens' advisory council. And I would like to ask, well in fact. I would like to ask nine more people to join with about eight more people to join with those of us who are presently on the board and see the wisdom of the Majority as we move forward in this decade of progress into and every minute to the next moving closer every day century. And we want to have these people with us and we like to have those commissions with those particular issues We're going the wrong way. I'll conclude my represented. comments on that and ask you to either use your judgment or otherwise... and put the votes on the board."

- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? We need four more. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Davis, to explain his vote."
- Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't think anybody in this chamber would want to face either of the Senators that are involved in this Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 71 'ayes', 35 'no'.

 This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 1285, Mr. Olson. This appears on page five of the Calendar."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

House. House Bill 1285 is an initiative which amends the Administrative Procedures Act, and it permits the state agency to submit an agenda of rules that is considering proposing to the <u>Illinois Register</u>. The effect of Senate Amendment #1 was to add elements of a provision asked for by the State Fire Marshall which suggests that they'll conduct a hearing in accordance with the IAPA upon receiving appeal from the order of the Deputy of the Uffice of the State Fire Marshall. I would move for the adoption of Concurrence Report #1 on House Bill 1285."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be House Bill 1279, Mr. McPike. This Bill appears on page five of the Regular Calendar. Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of I move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to that Jack Davidson House Bill 1279, the RTA vehicle Bill and I worked on, provides very small changes for both It allows demonstration programs downstate and the RTA. against the fare box recovery. will not count allows for a security force grant from the RTA to the three various factors. That will not count against fare box grants to the RTA for And it provides the the οf I move the adoption service to the disabled. Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish?

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 80 'ayes', 28 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be House Bill 431, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ropp, excuse me. This matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #4. Mr. Ropp."

to commend you and the Minority Leader and the Ropp: "I want Governor for working out such an outstanding arrangement. seems like things are moving along quite well. Bill 431 is a Bill that the Conference Committee Report has come back, and we want to concur with Conference Committee which means that we recommend the House concur #1 Report aill with Senate Amendment The essence of the 1. initially to add two additional members of the State Fair Advisory Board and in addition to it, the Senate Amendment states that the one county in the state, namely, DuPage shall take over their DuPage County Fair. And I move acceptance of this House Bill 431... Conference Committee 1.0

"Those in favor of the adoption the Report o f Speaker Madigan: will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Πn question there are 78 'ayes', 28 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is The next Bill shall be Senate Bill hereby declared passed. seven of 847, Mr. Saltsman. This matter appears on page Mr. Saltsman." the regular Calendar.

Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to adopt Conference

Committee Report 2 to Senate Bill 847. The House recedes

from House Amendment 4 which concerns financing to county

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

jails and courthouses. The Bill is further amended as follows: Language originally contained in Senate Bill 846 concerning eligible investments which the authority may engage in is added; and the Authority's infrastructure assistance program is amended to delete the provisions concerning creation and the evaluation committee as well as a limitation on the size or a unit of government which may participate in a program. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to adopt the Conference Committee Report. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 101 'aye', 9 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next order shall be Appropriations Special Order #11, State Government Administration. The Chair recognizes Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur in Amendment #1 to House 3ill 651.

And with that concurrence, \$1,206,900 will be appropriated for the Savings and Loan Commission budget. Should I go right down the line?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes, please."

Barnes: "House Bill 656, I move to concur in Amendment #1 and with that concurrence, \$1,184,921 will be appropriated to the Pollution Control Board. I move to concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 665 (sic - 656) and with that concurrence \$8,567,000 will be appropriated to the Military and Naval Department. I move to concur in Amendment #1 with House Bill 667 and with that concurrence, \$1,089,700 will be appropriated for the Illinois Liquor Control Commission. I move to concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 671 and with

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

that concurrence, \$791,800 will appropriated to the be That's \$791,800. I move to Property Tax Appeal Board. concur in Amendment #2 to House Bill 673 and with that \$195,561,800 will be appropriated to Department of Employment Security. I move to concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 676 and with that concurrence, we will appropriate \$12,443,900 to the General We will appropriate \$2,386,600 for the Retirement System. Judges' Retirement System. It will be \$10,057,300. to concur in Amendments #1,2,3,4 and 5 to House Bill and with that concurrence, we will appropriate \$139,640,600 to the Department of Rehabilitation Services."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

you. Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Braun: "Thank With regard to the appropriation for the retirement House. system. I would like to express... I intend to vote for these Bills as presented to the General Assembly. But with regard to the retirement system Bills, I will vote for those with... understanding and the reservation that retirement systems have indicated they will study the riskiness of their investments in South Africa and that that reservation and on the conditions that they will look at the issue of the investments in South Africa damage that those investments due to the funds, I will vote for that appropriation."

"Those in favor of the adoption of the Motions Speaker Madigan: for concurrence will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed *no*. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted by voting who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take On this question there are 108 "ayes", 1 the record. These Motions having received *no*• person voting Majority, the Bills Extraordinary Constitutional The next Bill will be House Bi11 declared passed.

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Mr. Keane. This appears on page three of the regular Calendar."

- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1500 amends the State
 Auditing Act. It's a multiple audit provision. The Senate
 amended it by providing for audits of public funds of
 county officials as soon as possible after leaving office.
 And we clarify that in terms of legislative intent to say
 that the county... to point out that the county auditor is
 qualified to perform such an audit. I would ask for
 concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1500."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion for concurrence will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The On this question there Clerk shall take the record. This Motion having no one voting *00** 111 'aves'. received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, the Bill is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 2232. Mr. Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 and #2 to House Bill 2232."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in... Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for one question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Parke: "Yes?"

- Hawkinson: "What would the effective date be on the Senate

 Amendment regarding the appointment of Superintendent of

 Schools?"
- Parke: "At this point, I do not have an answer for your question."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

o f

Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 93 This Motion having received a *ayes*, 12 voting *no*. Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, the Bill is hereby The next Bill shall be House Bill 1974 . declared passed. This appears on Supplemental Calendar #4.* Stephens. Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the I would move for concurrence on Senate Amendments House. #1, #2 and #3 on House Bill 1924."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

"Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker. What does it Mulcahev: do, Representative Stephens?"

"The Sponsor indicates he will vield." Speaker Madigan:

land "Well, the underlying Bill was an exchange Stephens: the Department of Conservation and the Metro East Sanitary District. Senate Amendment #1 changes It's a technical changing acreage from 140.93 to Senate Amendment #2 authorizes the Department convey approximately 153 acres of real Conservation to the Quality Stone Company to property in exchange for approximately 80 acres of real Illinois. property near the Illinois Cabins Geological Formation Monroe County. It also requires all incidental expenses in land exchange to be paid for by the connection with the Also authorizes the Department of Quality Stone Company. Conservation to convey certain real property to the Village of Forest City to be used for recreational purposes. event the Provides that the property shall be... in the for recreational purposes. seizes to be used property Senate Amendment #3 authorizes the Department of Services to convey certain parcels of real... Management parcels of real property totaling approximately acres in Union County for the price of \$300 per acre until June 30, 1986."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey, did you hear all that? Thank you. Those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there 94 'aye', 15 'nos'. The Motion having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, the Bill is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 1977, Mr. McCracken. This matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #4."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move this House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1977. There are two parts to it. One, is cleanup technical language, which was the original Bill and which passed. And the other is to bring the Governor's appointees of the various boards under the Compensation Review Act. And I move its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor please yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "I yield."

Koehler: "Thank you. Representative McCracken, for the purposes of informing the Gentleman from Durand, is this a pay raise Bill?"

McCracken: "No."

Koehler: "Well, is this a Bill that would allow certain boards and commissions to resign one day and be reappointed the next day at a higher salary?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Koehler: "Thank you. I object to this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Am I to understand Representative Koehler is

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

withdrawing her opposition? Well, then, speaking in favor of the Bill. This isn't a pay raise. It isn't anything resembling a pay raise. Technical cleanup Bill and it's necessary to the orderly administration of the executive branch of the government. I've never voted for pay raise. I'm not going to start now, but this is not a pay raise. And I urge a 'yes' vote."

in favor of the adoption of Madigan: "Those Speaker Conference Committee Report will signify by voting *aye*, those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Mr. McCracken, to explain his vote." all "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the McCracken: Representative Johnson was correct. This is not a pay raise Bill. This Bill would allow the appointees whose served term fixed by law to he Governor the reappointed for purposes of coming under the Compensation It is not a pay raise. In fact, the Review Board Act. result of our failure to adopt this would be a disparity of treatment between those appointees and other office holders affected by the Act. There was an anomaly created the Act so that midtermers or persons in a adoption of similar situation would find themselves years behind the raise scale accorded to other various members who come under the Act. That anomaly is corrected by this Bill. reason the person has to be appointed or guaranteed by law, appointment the day after the resignation is for which ... to both, your political security purposes of often are The appointees our benefit. benefit and often bipartisan and we're looking for a nonpartisan. couple more green votes up there to change that anomaly and

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

treat them fairly."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 37 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have two more matters. The next Bill shall be Senate Bill 216. And the Chair recognizes Mr. Vinson for a Motion."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly,

I would move that this House take Senate Bill 216 from the

Table for consideration on the House Calendar, Second

Reading, Second Legislative Day on October 16."

Speaker Madigan: "You've all heard the Motion. Is there leave?

Leave is granted using the Attendance Roll Call. The next

matter will be... Next matter shall be a Resolution. The

Clerk shall read the Resolution. This matter will be House

Joint Resolution 89. There is a Motion on the Calendar on

page 8. There is an Amendment by Mr. Daniels. So, Mr.

Clerk, if you could get the Resolution on your desk. And

the Chair recognizes Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 89 has yourself and Mr.

Homer as Sponsors. With leave of the Body, I would like to

add myself as the Sponsor and..."

Speaker Madigan: "Leave is granted."

Daniels: "...And Representative Ryder, if that's alright with

Speaker Madigan: "Leave is granted."

Daniels: "Alright. And I would move to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Are

there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Daniels - Ryder."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Floor Amendment #2. Mr. Speaker, is the Amendment that we discussed with you which strengthens the Resolution as met with your agreement. I'd ask for the favorable

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye':
those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Resolution, Mr. Homer... I believe Mr.

Homer is the Sponsor of the Resolution. Is that correct,

Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "You are, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "...Okay. I understand that Mr. Homer is an abbreviated hyphenated Sponsor. Mr. Homer, on the Resolution."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. House Resolution 89 is the... House Joint Resolution 89, rather, is the Resolution which calls for a joint investigation of the McCormick Place annex project and would require each of the four leaders to appoint four members — four legislative Members to serve on the Committee which will then report its findings back to the General Assembly. I would move for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 89."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 2 voting 'no'. This matter, having received a Majority vote, is hereby declared passed... is hereby declared adopted. The next matter will be an appropriation Bill. House Bill 2240. For what purpose does Mr. Daniels seek recognition?"

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Daniels: "Yes, Sir. A point of personal privilege. Oh. Hold it, I got a phone call."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let me just very briefly tell all of you that in the last few days have been a very difficult time for all of us and a most difficult time for me personally. We have done what we think is right. I think the culmination of what seen tonight is reflective of all of our work. For those of you that stuck with me through a very trying time, to thank each and everyone of you. To those of you that represented your view points and your beliefs. all of you who participated in the And to understand. culmination of the settlement tonight, I thank you. Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

- point of Mulcahev: "Thank vou. Mrn Speaker. Δ I think what we done here in the last three privilege. total waste of time and something we days has been a probably could have settled in the last three weeks of And I think it's unfortunate we're here and the deal's been cut as we all know and will be cut again It's just been a pretty obnoxious three days.**
- Speaker Madigan: "The next matter will be House Bill 2240, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. They're just wanting me to ask now. On House Bill 2240, I would move the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 which increases the appropriation by \$946,000 for the General Assembly's OCE. The total being \$18,336,600. I'd ask for your green vote to concur."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Friedrich, to

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

explain his vote."

Friedrich: "I just like to point out that this is one percent of the total budget. I don't think that's too much expense.

I think we're worth it."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. Mr. Ewing, to explain his vote. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', 8 voting 'no'. This Motion, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be the appropriation for the General Assembly. That's the matter that we just considered. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, I have been asked to read into the record clarification purposes on House Bill 570 which are read by Mr. McPike, and the debate on House Bill 570. And I had made a comment at that time that that was not my intent of the legislation. I misunderstood his words. With leave of the House, I would like the record, official record on House Bill 570 to show that Mr. McPike's statements on that Bill as to legislative intent, as to statement #1, 2 and 3, and the clarification that he read into the record were accurate reflections of the legislative intent on House Bill 570."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, I told him that the other night."

Madigan: "Okay. The... Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker. I would like to make a Motion at the proper time for Senate Bill 1152 to be put over..."

Speaker Madigan: "This will be the appropriate time, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, put over to October 16th."

Speaker Madigan: "Senate dill 1152?"

Ewing: "Yes, the Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "The same Motion with respect to Senate Bill 1188."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Madigan: "First, let the record show Mr. Daniels' comment relative to House Bill 570. Second, let us consider Mr. Ewing's request on Senate Bill 1152, that that matter be placed on the fall Calendar for October 16. Is there leave? Leave is granted using the Attendance Roll Call. Next, Mr. Johnson's request that Senate Bill 1188 be placed on the... Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I guess it's House Bill 1188."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Yeah, I'm... House Bill 1188."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bill. Mr. Johnson requests that House
Bill 1188 be placed on the fall Calendar for consideration
on October 16. Is there leave? Leave is granted using the
Attendance Roll Call. Ladies and Gentlemen, let me have
your attention, please. First, for those of you who went
home over the... For what purpose does Mr. Churchill seek
recognition?"

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to place
House Bill 137 on the Calendar for October... Conference
Committee Report on the Calendar for October 16th."

Speaker Madigan: "Wasn't part of the agreement."

Churchill: "We never talked."

Speaker Madigan: "Let's... Why don't we proceed to wind down. Okay, sit down, Mr. Churchill. First, for those of you who went home over the weekend of June 30th and over July must complete a request for travel expenses. you went home over the weekend of June 30 or over July please complete a special form for Session travel. Next, our friend, the Governor, has called a Special Session for And we... You've all been given Monday at 12:00 noon. This Session. we 111 copies of the proclamation. House Bill 993 and House Bill 655, the appropriation Bills for Build Illinois. So that, we will be due here at 12:00

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- noon on Monday. The Clerk shall read the Adjournment Resolution. Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi. H
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I... There are three Agreed Resolutions. I move for the adoption of 657, 659 and Senate Joint #85."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Agreed Resolutions say "aye", those opposed say "no". The "ayes" have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Clerk, read the Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution 87. Resolved by the Senate of the 84th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Wednesday, July 3, 1985, it stands adjourn until Wednesday, October 2, 1985 at 12:00 noon, and when the House of Representatives adjourns, it stands adjourn until Wednesday, October 2, 1985 at 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. First Reading. Introduction."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2528. Klemm. a Bill for an Act to require prompt payments to the State of Illinois for goods and services. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2529. Pullen et al. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. McPike for the Adjournment Motion. Mr. McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the House now stand adjourn until Wednesday, October 2nd at the hour of 12:00
- .Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed will say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. We stand adjourned in Regular Session until October 2nd, but we shall return here on Monday in Special Session at 12:00 noon. Thank you very

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

much."

13/04/87 1:53

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84YH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JULY 05, 1985

HB-0431 CONFERENCE	PAGE	65
HB-0567 CONFERENCE	PAGE	46
HB-0651 CONFERENCE	PAGE	65
HB-0652 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
	PAGE	47
HB-0653 CONFERENCE		
HB-0656 CONFERENCE	PAGE	66
HS-0657 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
H8-0659 CONFERENCE	PAGE	44
HB-0660 CONFERENCE	PAGE	44
	PAGE	44
HB-0664 CONFERENCE		
HB-0666 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
HB-0667 CONFERENCE	PAGE	66
HB-0658 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
HB-0669 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
HB-0670 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
HB-0671 CONFERENCE	PAGE	66
HB-0672 CONFERENCE	PAGE	44
HB-0673 CONFERENCE	PAGE	67
HB-0674 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
HB-0676 CONFERENCE	PAGE	67
HB-0678 CONFERENCE	PAGE	67
	PAGE	. 44
HB-0679 CONFERENCE		
HB-0692 CONFERENCE	PAGE	49
HB-0694 CONFERENCE	PAGE	55
HB-0694 MOTION	PAGE	55
HB-0720 CONFERENCE	PAGE	61
HB-0720 MOTION	PAGE	61
	PAGE	56
HB-1070 CONFERENCE		
HB-1097 CONFERENCE	PAGE	50
HB-1152 DISCUSSED AND POSTPONED	PAGĒ	75
H8-1188 DISCUSSED AND POSTPONED	PAGE	75
HB-1279 CONFERENCE	PAGE	64
HB-1285 CONFERENCE	PAGE	63
HB-1500 CONFERENCE	PAGE	67
HB-1769 CONFERENCE	PAGE	60
	PAGE	53
HB-1857 CONFERENCE		
HB-1924 CONFERENCE	PAGE	69
HB-1977 CONFERENCE	PAGE	70
HB-2232 CONFERENCE	PAGE	68
HB-2240 CONFERENCE	PAGE	74
HB-2418 CONFERENCE	PAGE	43
HB-2528 FIRST READING	PAGE	77
	PAGE	77
HB-2529 FIRST READING		
SB-0134 CONFERENCE	PAGE	60
SB-0216 MOTION	PAGE	72
SB-0403 CUNFERENCE	PAGE	50
SB-0448 CONFERENCE	PAGE	42
SB-0452 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
SR-0453 CONFERENCE	PAGE	57
SB-0454 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
	PAGE	58
SB-0458 CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
SB-0459 CONFERENCE		50
SB-0460 CONFERENCE	PAGE	
SB-0461 CONFERENCE	PAGE	50
SB-0464 CONFERENCE	PAGE	50
SB-0467 CONFERENCE	PAGE	59
SB-0468 CONFERENCE	PAGE	50
SB-0470 CONFERENCE	PAGE	51
SB-0472 CONFERENCE	PAGE	59
	PAGE	51
SB-0473 CONFERENCE	PAGE	51
S8-0475 CONFERENCE		4
SB-0476 CONFERENCE	PAGE	
SB-0476 CONFERENCE	PAGE	5
SB-0476 CONFERENCE	PAGE	21
SB-0476 CONFERENCE	PAGE	42

13/04/87 1:53

STATE OF ILLINOIS 64YH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JULY 05, 1985

SB-0476	MOTION		P	PAGE	6
SB-0476	MOTION		ρ	PAGE	8
\$8-0476	MOTION		ρ	AGE	15
\$8-0476	MOTION		ρ	AGE	36
SB-0480	CONFERENCE		ρ	AGE	51
SB-0481	CONFERENCE		P	AGE	51
\$8-0483	CONFERENCE		P	AGE	44
SB-0552	CONFERENCE		P	AGE	59
\$8-0830	CONFERENCE		ρ	AGE	46
SB-0847	CONFERENCE		ρ	AGE	65
SB-1095	CONFERENCE		P	AGE	42
H IP-0089	RESOLUTION	DEEERED	Q	AGE	72

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - FATHER ROBERT J. JALLAS	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
COMMITTEE ON ASSIGNMENT	PAGE	3
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	3
RECESS	PAGE	5
HOUSE RECONVENES	PAGE	5
RECESS	PAGE	42
HOUSE RECONVENES	PAGE	42
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	77
ADJOURNED	PAGE	77