70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 12:00 having arrived, the House will be in Session. Today, we will be led in the invocation by Representative Andy McGann. Will the guests in the gallery please rise and join us in the invocation? Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Oh Lord, my God, when I. in awesome wonder, consider all the worlds Thy hands have made, we are most privileged to serve You. We are most thankful for all You've given to us and especially on this day, over 200 years ago, when this country received freedom for which all of us enjoy today. We thank You for being so kind and everloving, for our families, and care of them today, because we are not with them. them and protect them. Guide our Leaders here that they will come to some agreement that they hopefully can share with us so that we can get on with the business of the State of Illinois, helping all of our people, those that are unemployed, those that are sick and those that are in very much of need. Help us, Dear Lord, this day, most historic day in the 84th General Assembly. Thank you, My God."
- Speaker Greiman: "With due respect to the Gentleman from McLean, because we are all free Americans, let us lead each other in the pledge to the flag."
- All: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of
 America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation
 under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright,
 Secretary. 'Nr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of the Bill of the following title: House Bill 655, together with Senate Amendment #1, and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, passed the Senate as amended July 3, 1985. Wright. Secretary. • A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #87. adopted by the Senate July 3, 1985. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. "

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Preston, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Preston: "Mr... Mr. Speaker, has word yet come from Nahbib Berry, and has the Capitol Airport been shut down?"
- Speaker Greiman: "The word has come from 'Yogi Berry', and it's still open, and Mr. Preston, I would remind you that Thomas Jefferson also worked on the Fourth of July, and he was a hell of a guy. Mr. Leverenz, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Leverenz: "I could hardly afford to break with tradition, so the inquiry of the Chair is, now?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Probably one of those traditions with which we could dispense. However, we'll call you. ... that call yet, Mr. Cullerton? Did you want to read it? Mr. Cullerton. We'll get to that call later."
- Cullerton: "Yes, tell me when we're on the... the Order of newspaper headlines."
- Speaker Greiman: "We'll get to that call a little later. Mr.

 Preston, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Preston: "Mr. Speaker, last night on the third evening of our

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

captivity, I learned information that addressed Mr. Cullerton's concern from yesterday afternoon. I fell in last night with bad Republican company that tried to ply me with... that tried to ply me with alcohol in order to get the Democratic strategy out of me, but..."

- Speaker Greiman: "That's why we don't tell you, Preston."
- Preston: "That must be it, but I was able to get some information out of them. I learned what had happened with Representative Vinson's automobile that he had advertised for sale for \$7,500, this ten-year-old automobile. I learned last night that he was able to sell that automobile. The Medical Society bought the car for \$12,000."
- Speaker Greiman: "What they didn't know was, Lee, they should have offered you some chicken soup with 'kreplah'. Mr. Priedrich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I checked out the rumor, and Representative Vinson did not sell his car to the Medical Association, but he did get an offer of \$500 from the Trial Lawyers, and they tried to suggest to him that was a fair deal."
- Speaker Greiman: "It's actually... It's part of the Build
 Illinois. It's earthmoving equipment. The Lady from St.
 Clair, Ms. Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you, Nr. Speaker. I move to take the following Bills from the table and place them in Interim Study: 191, 192, 194, 442, 444, 1219, 1221, 1222, 1223, 1226, 1227, 1228, 1230, 1235, 1236, 1237, 1506, 1695, 1696, 1698, 1700, 1908, 2111, 2112, 2292, 2404, 2459."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from St. Clair moves that... moves to take the stated Bills and place them on the Order... from the table and place them on the Order... the Interim Study Calendar from the Committee from which they were originally

- 70th Legislative Day

 July 4, 1985

 assigned. And on that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr.

 Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Are those the... I did not hear the
 Lady's delineation of the Bills. Are they the same Bills
 that are listed on her Notion on page eight of the
 Calendar?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, I followed them, and they were."
- Vinson: "In that event, I certainly have no objection to the Lady's Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is granted, and those Bills are placed in the Order of Interim Study in their respective Committees. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Mulcahey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm really not quite sure. I don't think we're going to get an answer anyway, but is there any... is there any light that you could shed on the Body as of right now? We've been sitting around here for an hour and fifteen minutes. Everybody was here on time. Are the Leaders meeting? Are we making any progress? Are we going to be here until 7:00? I don't really care if we're here until 7:00, but if you would just let us know, give us some idea of what's going on, what's transpiring, if they're working something out, or even if they're meeting, which... Could you repond to that?"
- Speaker Greiman: "I'll check it out and get back to you. Yes,
 Mr. Mulcahey?"
- Mulcahey: "I would certainly appreciate that, and if you don't get back to me, I'll call you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Mulcahey, as they say on Broadway, 'Don't call us, we'll call you.' The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

Postponed Consideration of House Bill 1038 on the Order of First Conference Committee until October 16 of 1985. And I believe that has been cleared on the other side of the aisle."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign moves that the House postpone consideration of House Bill 1038 on the Order of First Conference Committee until October 16, 1985. And on that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Winson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Lady's Motion, and I think that she is demonstrating how problems around here can be worked out in a bipartisan fashion. She's being very helpful in that regard, and I would suggest that you carry that message back to the back room."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Dupage, Ms. Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. I stand in support of Representative
 Satterthwaite's Motion. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady... Mr. Matijevich, the Gentleman from Lake."
- Matijevich: "I agree, except I think she has to suspend the rule
 to ask the Attendance Roll call be used, because I think
 Conference Committee Reports can't be considered after the
 Spring Session without suspending that rule. I think."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, we would take it as... as we did with the... Mr. Matijevich, when we continue... postpone consideration of other Bills, we have done so implicitly understanding that it bends certain rules, and you are correct, absolutely correct. So, if we have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call, leave is granted, and it is so ordered that House Bill 1038 will be postponed consideration on the Order of First Conference Committee until October 1985. 16. Mr. Giglio. Mr. Giglio has stepped off the floor. The House will be in order, Ladies

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

and Gentlemen. It is the intention of the Democrats to go to Caucus Conference in Room 114. Room 114. A Conference about one-half hour, and we will begin it now. We will return roughly at a quarter of three or shortly thereafter. Giglio... Hr. Giglio has made the request now. So, Democrats will go to Conference. Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd now request a Republican Conference in Room 118, please."

Speaker Greiman: "There will be a Republican Conference, as requested, in Room 118. Democrats, 114; Republicans, 118, immediately. Yes, Mr. Vitek?"

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. Point of personal privilege."
Speaker Greiman: "Proceed."

Vitek: "For all our newcomers here, I was amazed that one of our newcomers in discussing with Carol Mosely Braun just now, she had a little thing on her gums, and she didn't even know that we had a first aid station here, so I enlighten all the new Members that we do have a first aid station. Anderson is over there. If you need any help, right to the other side of the Republican office. The Republican office on the third floor, here. So, all you know that we got first aid for you, whether newcomers. you have a personal problem or whatever, go in there, Dorothy will take good care of you at no charge."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn. Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Well, contrary to what a lot of people think, I really am not immediately in need of first aid, and I would ask leave of the House to place House Bill 510 on postponement until October. I have cleared this with Representative Vinson on the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Greiman: "On what... The Gentleman asks leave of the House to postpone consideration of House Bill 510 until the 16th day of October."

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

Dunn: "1985."

- Speaker Greiman: "1995. Does the Gentleman... Yes... Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "I support the Gentleman's Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman have leave? Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is granted, and the Motion carries. The Gentleman from Cook, Nr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. 1 also rise for a Motion that I believe has also been approved by Representative Vinson. This would be to postpone consideration of Senate Bill 1091, placed on the Order of Conference Committee Reports until October 16, 1985."
- Speaker Greiman: "Leave to postpone consideration of Senate Bill
 1091 until that time. Mr. Vinson, the Gentleman from
 DeWitt."
- Vinson: "I wonder if the Gentleman would hold that Motion for a minute, please."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton? Alright, Mr. Cullerton withdraws the Motion. Yes, Mr. Vinson?"
- Vinson: "I now understand the substance of the Gentleman's Motion and have no objection to it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton moves that the House give leave to postpone consideration of Senate Bill 1091 until the 16th day of October, 1985. The Gentleman has leave to use the Attendance... the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is granted. The House will be in order. Conference Committee Report, Special Call Welfare. On that Order appears Senate Bill 476. Mr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We bring before you House Bill... or Senate Bill 476. Throughout the work that we've done this year, I've been privileged to work very closely with some Members on the other side of the aisle. That work was based on

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

commitment. It was based on good faith. It was based on carrying out each other's word. Regretfully, I ask to take Senate Bill 476 out of the record until we can continue on that basis."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 476."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, &r. Madigan, moves that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 476. And on that, is there any discussion?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes?"

Madigan: "To speak."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Proceed, Sir."

Madigan: "Ar. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Conference Committee Report for the Department Public Aid. It contains an appropriation of 3,400,000,000 The urgency for the adoption of this Report today is pretty simple. Yesterday was a mail date for 58,407 checks to recipients under this program. Today was to be the pick-up day, but since the currency exchanges are closed today, tomorrow could be the pick-up day for those checks. The 58,000 checks generally represents families under the Aid to Dependent Children Program, but there are 10,000 checks in the blind and disabled category Tomorrow is another mail date with a July 6th pick-up date, and that amounts to 91,065 checks. So, if this Report is not adopted, we will be holding hostage those thousands of people who are dependent upon the State of Illinois, not to a profit in a business, as many people do, but simply to survive, simply to put food on the table for themselves and for their children. Poor people will not be able to maintain any form of living. They will not be able to eat,

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

to pay their rent, to receive medical attention. These are people who are at the level of poverty. We must pass the budget, or we say no to the disabled. We are turning our backs to the blind. We must pass this Bill to provide for the needs of the aged. The public aid budget provides for assistance to dependent children. If we don't provide for their needs, no one will. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I think it's important, when we discuss this issue, to put the issue into the bigger picture of why we're and I would request that the Members of the House withhold their support for this Bill. Probably an appropriate would be a 'present' vote, for several reasons. The first reason is that the Leaders of this legislative process, a the process has worked over years to the benefit of not only the Members of the chamber, but the people of the State of Illinois, reached an agreement, and now we find after that agreement was reached, that it really isn't an agreement any more, and that one part or one segment of the five components to that agreement apparently believes that the process ought to work different than how it's worked to the benefit of everybody over these many years. as important as that, while we believe, on this side of the aisle, and I believe that public aid is an important element of the state's compassion and its willingness to reach out to people and to provide help for people who can't help themselves, equally important with that are the ramifications and the long-run benefit to the people of the State of Illinois from the agreement that was reached, for just as this public aid budget is bread and butter to segments of this state, likewise, the Build Illinois project that the Governor proposed and that the

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

Legislative Leaders reached an agreement on that's now been reneged upon, are the bread and butter for thousands and tens of thousands of other Illinoisians, not only for now, for the rest of this century, to provide for jobs, to provide small business assistance, to provide assistance for farmers who, in many ways, and agriculture is in just as failing a situation as the... as other segments. fact, more so, in our society today, to provide benefits for educational facilities around the state, roads so that people can get to market and to jobs and can get to the various functions that are part of their life. The long-run ramifications, long-run the effects from implementing the Build Illinois Program that's a compromise that provides benefits for people all over the state just as important now as this budget is for the proponents and the makers of this Motion, and in the long run, for the economic and social and governmental effects that this Build Illinois project will have, I think the ramifications are absolutely astronomical. And I think it's important for this process to work, for us to have honor, integrity and decency and for us to look over the simple issues of today and the expediency of trying to get out of here today or tomorrow, while I all hope that we do, and see that a 'present' vote on this Motion shows that we care about public aid, and we care about the recipients of public aid. and if that problem can be solved, but at the same time, that voting 'present' on this will bring the people who reneged on their agreement back to the bargaining table so that we can provide a program that works for everybodies benefit, all over the state, and to make this process everybody in a way that generations will remember for years and years and years to come. I urge a 'present' vote."

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Hastert, I've complimented many times this year, and I will continue to, because he and I worked very closely on the public utilities. We met for 20 25 hours. And I met with other Members of the Republican Party on other issues through long, serious negotiations, and we arrived at reasonable compromises. It's very difficult to understand what Representative Johnson alludes to when he says 'an agreement', since the Republican Party boycotted every single meeting that the Governor, through his Chief of Staff, Jim Reilly, called. It was very difficult to negotiate with someone who would not come to the table. But to the issue of bread and butter. to the issue that Representative Johnson raises. the question of bread and butter is not in regards to Build Illinois as to bread and butter in the year 2000. What the issue really is, to bread and butter next Wednesday for public aid recipients who will not have money to buy food. The real bread and butter issue comes to the blind week when they don't receive their \$300 check. And we're going to hold off and vote 'present', the Republican because we care. We care about the future, and the future hinges on the pork barrel projects that we have Republican supplemental appropriation Bill that came across from the Senate. Never mind that the Department Transportation's budget this year is 2.1 billion dollars to build roads - 2.1 billion. Never mind that CDB, that their budget to build buildings, universities, is 270 million dollars. Never mind that EPA's budget to build sewers over 100 million dollars. Those three alone are 2.5 billion dollars. But Build Illinois, for this coming year, will have 300 million to spend - one tenth the size of the

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

normal budgets of State Government. And this is bread and butter for the future? We've commended the ahead on a program, but let's not lose perspective as to what its impact will have on Illinois or our future. welcomed the Governor because it's a capital project. We join with him to do that, but let's not pretend like the whole future of Illinois and certainly the issue of and butter hinges on whether or not we pass an increase over normal spending of 10 percent today or tomorrow. And let's not pretend that the Gentleman that represents Winnebago County needs six million dollars for some type of park development, when 16,000 people in Winnebago are public aid rolls. And let's not pretend that the Gentlemen and Ladies that represent DuPage County, million dollars two for the DuPage County Water Commission, should hold that up so that someone, next week, cannot eat, nor should we pretend that the Gentleman from Madison that represents Collinsville is going to withhold his vote for one million dollars for a sewer project so people in Madison County will go hungry, nor 11,000 shall we ignore the fact that the Gentleman that represents Vandalia will hold up his vote for 5.5 million dollars for a sewer project so that 1500 people in his county can go hungry, nor shall we ignore the Lady that represents Naperville, who wants a million dollars, and if she doesn't get it for her sewers, then the people in her township can go without their public aid money. And the... And the Gentleman that represents Riverton School District and needs \$700,000 for his school that will be used for Illinois some time next year, is willing to withhold his vote so that the people in his county can go hungry. ha A the Gentleman from Vermilion, who needs 1.8 million dollars for a science lab is going to withhold his vote so that

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

7,281 public aid recipients from Vermilion will not public aid money. And the Gentleman that represents Lynwood, he's interested in building Lynwood firehouse, Lynwood garage, and the Lynwood municipal center. And if he doesn't get money for his firehouse, then the people his district can go hungry. I could go on and on, but I think it's clear what we are doing here today. There is no passion in someone's heart when he says, 'I care for someone who's blind and cannot see and does not have any money to eat, but I can't give an 'aye' vote for that man or that woman because I need my firehouse, and I need my sewer.' That's not passion. That's nonsense. This It's been a charade last week. Let's end it. Let's send out these public aid recipients' money that they need, and let's go home."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Nr. Young."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Young: House. I been waiting to speak on this issue for two or three days. The people in Chicago need their welfare checks. The people throughout this state need welfare checks; and, as far as the Gentleman across the aisle who spoke about Build Illinois, these separate issues. We're not talking about Build Illinois on We're talking about people who might danger of dying if it was the wintertime. As we stand here in Springfield and talk about how to cut a political pie like Build Illinois, the people who can least afford it are being denied the money that they need to survive. And I'll tell you, Ladies and Gentlemen, I feel a personal sense outrage. My community feels outraged. That outrage is directed to the Gentleman across the aisle, to our Minority Leader, your Minority Leader, who has withheld the votes that it will take to pass this piece of legislation. I

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

don't want to talk about Build Illinois. I haven't participated in the negotiations. Let's negotiate. stay here and work out the pie that... so it's agreeable to all; but, while we're doing that, let's pass public aid so the people in this state who need that money to survive can There's been a lot of reference to the word. survive. 'hostage', that the people on public aid are being held Well, there's no doubt about it. The people on hostage. the other side of the aisle refer to Build Illinois in a debate on public aid. It's clearly that public aid recipients are being held hostage. Now, in the newpapers. look at someone who takes innocent people. innocent women and children and holds them hostage for political purposes. then they're normally terrorists. Mr. Minority Leader, if you don't put the votes on the board to pass this Bill, then you are a terrorist. The people who just released our hostages can about religious reasons, try to explain moral or religious justification for what they do; but, f the green votes from that side of the aisle don't come up on this particular Bill, there is no religious justification, there is no moral justification. It's simply greed and avarice from our, or your, Minority Leader who has demonstrated these past three days that he is a terrorist. Some of colleagues have commented on my dress today, and I've told them all, considering the issues that we are down here for, considering the fact that we have not passed public that however we dress is appropriate, whatever we do is appropriate. There seems to be no rules in this Assembly until the terrorists on the other side of the aisle release the public aid hostages who are not here to speak for themselves. My seatmate just reminded me that I still have the yellow ribbon on the microphone. We all wе

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

yellow ribbons. They're all over the place. were wearing The hostages that were just released. Well, there's 50,000 today, and the number will grow every day of hostages, innocent people, women and children who are hungry, who will not benefit from Build Illinois, who have nothing to Build Illinois. and I to submit you. · Mr. Terrorist, that Build Illinois and public aid are the type of issues that this Body has got to keep separate for the well-being of this state. And I submit to the people on the other side of the aisle that, regardless of what Leader has directed you to do, you must put some green votes on this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm in my second term, and the previous speaker is a little closer to that conference. but when we have our freshman conference, one of the first things that speakers from both sides of the aisle. they're addressing us, tell us down here is that the legislative process will not work, and YOU will not be successful unless you remember that your word is your bond, and the whole process breaks down when that principle breaks down or you lose that. That's what we're happening right now. An agreement was reached that would pass the public aid budget and the rest of the that's equally important to the men and women and children of this state who work and don't work, who collect public aid, who are dependent on state checks, who are dependent upon the jobs that are created by State Government through Build Illinois and everything else. The Governor has kept his word. The Minority Leader, who has just been disparaged. has kept his word. The Senate passed the entire package, as President Rock and Pate Philip their word. This Bill will pass when you, on that side of

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

the aisle, keep your word."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Build Illinois and the highways and the sewers and the airports that it represents may be bread and many people. but this public aid budget is a bread and water issue. It is a subsistence level of support for people who have no other means of support. And for those of you who think that your districts untouched by public aid because it is a Chicago problem. I remind all of you that there is a program in the Department of Public Aid, the aid to aged and blind and disabled persons, and all of those checks, not just a portion of them, but all of those checks were due to go out yesterday, and they did not go out yesterday because of this impasse that we have here on this floor. And those checks were going to be sent into every corner of the state, into every mook and cranny, every district, Democrat or Republican, and they have not yet arrived, and they will arrive until this Bill is passed. Now, I would just like to remind all of you that the average working person, the blue collar factory worker, the white collar clerk, hard time making ends meet under normal circumstances. and most of those people who are our constituents have a hard time making it from one paycheck to the next paycheck. But if you are not working, if you are dependent solely upon public aid for your existence, it is almost impossible to make it through from one check to another, and every day represents a real human I remind everybody that most rents are due on the first of the month. And we have now, people many throughout this state, almost a hundred thousand, if we don't pass this today, who will not be able to pay

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

So if you can't feel in your heart sympathy for the public aid recipients who can't pay their rent, maybe it in your heart to have sympathy for the can find landlords who are not getting their rent checks. urqe to consider, if you represent the landlords instead of the public aid recipients, think about the landlords, there on the other side of the aisle. If you want to hold something hostage, why don't you hold the rest of the budget hostage? Why don't we pass this Bill out and then leave the rest of the budget sit? Why don't we iust the rest of the budget sit? It can go on until the 14th or the 15th of July without any disruption to the government of the State of Illinois. Why don't we do that? But. no, because of political pride or perhaps because of greed, as others have suggested, no, we have this impasse here and I urge all of you to consider the real human tragedy, the bread and water issues of this state, and vote green."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this Motion is so that we can all say, 'Free at last, thank God, free at last.' I move the previous question."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair has moved that the main question be put. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the main question be put. Mr. Madigan, to close."

Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. There

have been references to a... an arrangement to finalize the Session, and as one who participated in that arrangement, let me simply tell you that there was a proposal made that I found to be workable, from my own personal perspective; but, when I returned with that proposal to the Democratic Caucus, it was rejected. And as you people know, from all those Caucuses that you conduct, it's important that the

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

Caucus view be recognized and be implemented, and that is precisely what we are doing here today. The individual, separate question of the public aid budget is not going to go away easily. If you think that this is going to happen once, and then everything will be peace immediately, I wish to tell you, you are wrong. If this matter fails today, we adjourn immediately, and we will return here tomorrow at 2:00. And if it fails then, we'll return on Saturday. And if it fails then, we will return on Sunday. We are not kidding this time. Our action was not foreordained. was not premeditated. It was not fashioned bу шe individually. It is a group reaction. It's a con job perpetrated upon this Body, by your side of the aisle, over the last two weeks of the Session. There was nobody on this side of the aisle that consistently asked for Oral Verified Roll Calls, and then, as we proceeded through the Roll Call, engaged in a charade of changing one vote after another. We came here early in the morning prepared to work all day. That we did. We never engaged in any delay. Throughout the three years that I have served as the Speaker of the House, every Member of this House has been treated fairly. I might add... I might add that the treatment of your side has been so fair that it's brought negative reaction among my own Democratic Members. commitment is to conduct myself and to conduct this in a fair and orderly manner. For my own purposes, I will continue to do that, but it requires cooperation from both sides of the aisle. We sit here today at an impasse. impasse need not continue. This budget could be passed. leave, take some time off, let some of the passions subside, come back in a short time and proceed to dispose of the issues of the Session. To those of you who are leveraging this budget and the other budgets for Build

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

you are being foolish. I am committed to Build Illinois, Illinois. When I spoke on behalf of the Build Program the other night, I explained that I have been subject to criticism within the Democratic Party because I support Governor Thompson's Build Illinois. And I have rejected that criticism because the idea that public expenditure of money is good for a stagnant economy is something that I agree with. And that's why I'm supporting Build Illinois. There will be a Build Illinois. We have the capacity to work our way through the differences, to negotiate which projects will be in the program, which will be out, which will start earlier than the others. that is subject to negotiation in the legislative process, and we have the capacity to do that, and we will do But don't hold hostage this appropriation for people who don't have the ability to sit in this beautiful chamber, in these soft chairs. We're talking about people that are sitting on hard benches and hard chairs, and they're not eating nutritious food because they can't afford it. that's who you're punishing, and it's foolish, and you ought not to do it, and if you're being sucked into a political, strategic position, reject it. Reject it now, before it gets worse. And again, Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #! to Senate Bill 476?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open, and this is final action. To explain her vote, one minute, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Those yellow votes up there, you ought to be ashamed of yourselves. In this silly, childish game of macho brinksmanship, you're going to choose human suffering.

You're going to extort the poor of the State of Illinois

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

because you can't get your pet projects, because you can't get your pork barrel. I had a phone call from a vesterday who said her lights and her gas and her water were going to be turned off if she didn't get her could she do? I said she should call the Governor. Where is the Governor of the State of Illinois? his team to explain to him that macho behavior on this floor has no place when people are starving in the streets in the State of Illinois? I call upon the Governor to exercise some leadership in this situation. I call upon people of the state to go down to that brand new behemoth building we have in the City of Chicago called the State of Illinois Building, to stand out there in front of the State of Illinois Building until such time as they are released, hostages from this hostile process in which there is no feeling, in which there is no human compassion, which there doesn't even seem to be any common sense."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, one minute to explain your vote."

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I've been I've never gone home yet without here several Sessions. passing a budget for public aid. I don't intend to unless the Speaker decides that he wants to send us home. But I really thought that sewers were used by the poor alike to maintain health. I thought schools and universities were for everybody, including the poor, as a way of the poor getting out of the public aid cycle, and I thought jobs were important to the poor so they could get off the public aid rolls, and that's what Build Illinois is all about. If the Speaker would the Bills in the order it was agreed upon, we could get out of here. We would have been out of here, in But I can tell you now that that's part of the last night.

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

deal, and that's the way it's going to go. But if that's the way it works, then I think we're going to be here quite a while, but I'll just tell you, this has nothing to do with the public aid budget, and he knows it, if he'll just stick by the agreement as the others has. You know, I've seen the Speaker — this Speaker — enter into agreements before, come back to the floor and he had the ability to deliver the votes on his side of the aisle. Now, if he's lost control of that, maybe they better get a new Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, one minute to explain your vote."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As an observer and as an admirer of the process by go through and those individuals who use it and use it well, I now believe very faithfully in the one man, one rule provision. I've watched one man, the Minority Leader of this House, bring the Governor to his knees. I've watched that same individual bring State Government to a complete stop. I now watch him bring forth virtually no votes for an appropriation Bill for multitudes in this state. As a supporter of this Bill, as well as Build Illinois, I find it unacceptable for an individual to stand and say he leads a Minority Party in total opposition to was accepted by the Governor of his Party, the Majority Members in both the House and the Senate. probably in all truthfulness, to the agreement of most of the Members on the Minority side of the aisle. I've used 'Minority' in this explanation five times, because to lead, you do the responsible, and you also do what you swore you would do, is to provide governmental services in accordance with our Constitution. If, in fact, you intend to remain a Minority Leader, this is the way you remain a Minority Leader. Do not lead your troops into the valley of death

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

and don't leave them with no way to get out. There's anyone can explain that the public aid budget means less than a Build Illinois. I'm proud to vote green and recommend that the Members on Independence Day, on the Minority side of the aisle, show their reasonableness. their responsibility and their independence and pass this Bill out so that we can get on with the rest of the budgets, which have the majority of Amendments on it by departmental divisions that are also in Build Illinois. There are 63 Democratic votes on this Bill. There will be 63 Democratic votes on every appropriation Bill, the responsibility of the Minority, and I would think the Governor would request that his Party provide the votes to let his administration administer this state. I'm happy to vote green."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, one minute to explain your vote."

Matijevich: "Yes, first of all, just slightly... briefly on Build Illinois, because Representative Hawkinson said an agreement was reached. Build Illinois is supposed to have billion dollars. The agreement, evidently, Representative Hawkinson is talking about would add 400 million dollars over and above that 1.3 billion dollars. proposed by Republicans. Now, Democrats have a Hajority in both Houses. Ιf that's an agreement. Representative Hawkinson, how stupid do you think we are? If you were a Majority Party, would you agree to that, that you would add on 400 million dollars of pork projects for the other party? You wouldn't do that, so everybody here knows that wasn't a legitimate agreement. It wasn't an agreement at all. So, we're not stupid. beyond that, why should anybody present such a partisan ploy on the backs of poor people? I don't think anybody

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

wants to do that. Representative Friedrich... Priedrich said that he believes sewers can be placed on the same level as the public aid budget. Are you telling Dwight, that people can eat something out of sewers? Are you trying to tell me that? They wouldn't eat rats. You wouldn't. Ι think that anybody ought to feel quilty in accepting \$65 a day per diem when we can't give poor people, and we're going to be here tomorrow month to at another \$65 a day? Are you telling me that? Add three days, and you're going to make more money, \$195, and you won't give \$144 to poor people. Don't tell me you in that. Tell the damn truth. The truth is that Lee Daniels is holding out, holding hostage, so poor because he wants projects in Republican areas. Now, I don't believe that any of us want to be here should be here on the Fourth of July. I take ... I say to myself, I didn't force it. I say to myself, honestly and for everybody here, no one on this side of the aisle forced I say to everybody here, your side of the aisle didn't force it. Only one man on your side of the aisle forced Now, keep getting your \$65 a day. Keep on. Keep on. let me tell you, poor people, I didn't force it. Lee Daniels forced it. You can't eat because o£ him. not because of me."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Currie, one minute to explain your vote." Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Our pleas based on compassion for poor people seem to fall on particularly deaf ears among our colleagues on the other of the aisle - deaf ears, and I would say, especially hearts. The fact is that general assistance recipients get the princely sum of \$154 a month. It isn't This month, they may not get even the \$154 a lot of money. a month. I'll try another tack. You may not care much for

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

compassion for the poor. How about contracts? That's kind of thing I think people on the other side of the aisle care a lot about - contracts and contractual obligations. We have, in law, a contractual obligation to fund that \$154 a month for the general assistance recipient, to fund program that supplies support for the aged, blind and disabled. This is not the largesse of our open hearts. isn't us saying will we or won't we do the right thing the least among us, the least able to provide for themselves. We have a contractual obligation, in those public aid recipients who wait upon our action today so that they might have their checks to tide them through the month of July. If compassion won't do it, maybe We don't have a contractual obligation to contracts will. build a sewer in Marion, to build a firehouse in Winnebago, but we do have a contractual obligation to fund the public aid budget. That obligation is here today, and until we do that, until we perform and meet those obligations, we have no business even talking about buying for ourselves new contractual obligations under a newly created Build Illinois Program."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas, one minute to explain your vote."

Kulas: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Let's look at the whole scenario of why we are sittng here on July 4, 1985. When we recessed this House July 2, or rather the morning of July 3, I was walking home, and I looked up in the sky, and there was a full noon, and I figured, well, that partially explains the lunacy that went on in these chambers on the evening of And then I got home, and I couldn't fall asleep. I kept thinking, how can one person - one person - make a sham out of the legislative process? How can he, on this

70th Legislative Day

July 4. 1985

House floor, make public ridicule of the Governor? How can he make fools out of all of us is beyond me. He's held us hostage, and now, he wants to hold the poor people of the State of Illinois hostage. Well, little Lord Fauntleroy has changed into the Ayatollah. Now, I ask you, Ladies and if you believe that the ego and the public and political ambitions of one man are more important than your constituents, then vote 'present'; but, if you believe, as I, that you've been sent here to represent your constituents, then you should be voting 'yes'. The Ayatollah's been sitting there very quietly. What do you have to say, 'Mr. Ayatollah'? What are we going to do? I vote 'aye'."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, one minute to explain your vote."
- Berrios: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the other side, supposed to be a celebration day - the Fourth of July - the birth of our country - and we sit here, as a Body, and tell poor people of this state that we're not going to give them the money to feed themselves. I think we should as a Body, hold our heads down in shame, if we don't pass this Bill. There should be more votes up there. think, can you imagine what our forefathers are thinking That we are turning our backs on the poor people state? Put eight votes up there. Let's pass it, and then come back later and say, 'We can work this whole thing Just remember, today's supposed to be the Fourth of Let's make it one for all the poor people of this July. state and vote 'aye'."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, one minute to explain your vote."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I hope that you will give me some leeway in the time constraint, because not very many

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

people have spoken on this side of the aisle on this issue. I would point out to some of the Members of this chamber, and perhaps some on that side who don't understand how we do things on this side, that Representative Daniels has instructed me in how we conduct the floor operation. He was not the person who asked me to request Verified Oral Roll Calls on Bills. What he did ask me to do, and what Members of our Republican Conference have asked me to do, throughout the course of this Session, is to try to get Republican Bills that are important to them called. have been a variety of Bills like that in this Legislative Session. Representative Tate has a Bill, a Bill that would simply implement an agreement last year between the and all of the Legislative Leaders Governor conservation lodge program in Illinois. And you know what? We went in to Mr. McPike, the Leader on the other side, and we corrected certain problems in that Bill that he wanted corrected. And now, that Bill sits on the Calendar and for days your side has refused to call the Bill. Last week, we wanted to go to an Order of Business so that ¥е provide some reform for McCormick Place, something where 250 million dollars, public money, citizens οf of this state have invested, is engaged in corruption and waste and fraud. And when we tried to that, and when we finally compelled the Speaker to do that, the result was, was the Speaker said, 'I'm going to kill Build Illinois. Mr. Speaker, a few... As a matter of fact, two years and six months ago, when you were elected by acclamation of the House at Mr. Daniel's you stood on that podium up there, and you read a speech to the people of Illinois, and what that speech said was that you were going to be the Speaker for all of people of Illinois, for all of the sections of Illinois.

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

and that in Mr. Daniel's seconding your nomination to be Speaker, that you recognized that he would be cooperative, and that old coalitions could be set aside and coalitions formed. Now, that imposes an obligation on you, an obligation that made it possible for you to become one of the great Speakers in Illinois history, one of And I ask you not to throw away that great Leaders. continuing opportunity by arbitrarily exercising the absolute prerogative that you have under the rules. hand that one absolute prerogative is the Order of Call of Bills on the House Calendar. Don't say to us that we've got this Bill first, and that you're going to adjourn the House until the 15th of July. Don't say to us that doesn't have the right to present a program important to downstate Illinois to this Assembly or that people are concerned about reform at McCormick Place or about the future of this state, can't present their Bills Assembly. You know, you look at that Build Illinois Program, and it's a blueprint for economic growth and Don't hold that hostage. Don't say that this Bill has to pass first. Sit down and be a reasonable and we'll agree to pass this Bill and every other Bill that provides progress for the people of Illinois. We can cooperatively do that, and the biggest victim of process is not going to be the fate of a particular Bill on particular day, because we know that eventually this chamber is going to do what's right for the people of The biggest victim is going to be the hope all of us had for you, that you could be a great Speaker who could make this process important and an element of renewed faith for the people of Illinois. Don't throw away that promise, Sir."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, one

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

minute to explain your vote."

Washington: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. before have I seem an act of deceptive practice as I'm here today. Each of you on the other side that witnessing have voted 'present'. you have displayed that deceptive practice. It's not only a question, a moral question, a Christian question, a humane question, but it's a question of what's right for people who can less afford to help themselves; the blind, the crippled, the sick, the senior citizens, and the hungry, and the poor. that right to serve and help those who are less fortunate. And I think, here today, if that vote is not changed, Bill is not passed, if those people don't receive those checks, if you ever seen a state of crime, you'll see one. And I say to the Minority Leader, come walk with 45th to 55th and State, from the first floor to the from top floor of the 'Robert Taylor Homes'. And you explain to the people why you refuse to give them that help, that this qovernment certainly has indicated that it's willing to do. One of the things that I must say that was great Jesus of Nazareth, that made him such a person that he is. he walked, he talked, but he helped those who was 1655 fortunate. He represents that which was right. He represents that which was a need to help those who were He helped those who were inflicted with illness. If you ever had a conscience, here today is the you to exemplify that conscience, because I know that if you sleep tonight, your conscience will be bothering you, you fail to change that vote. It's important, not from a standpoint of what Build Illinois will do. We're not here to negotiate out and compromise out the livelihood and welfare of an individual. We're here to represent that. That is the principle. If ever a word, as was indicated

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

your bond, our word should be our bond to those people that we're here representing. In the affluent of Barrington and the southern part of the state down as far as Cairo, in the City of Chicago and the western suburbs. we're here today to represent people in this state, and if we fail to do that, we have failed our to be an elected part of this elected Body. If you ever will want to be a party such as the great party that we are on this side, I think you have an opportunity to certainly exemplify that by voting this Bill out and letting the people of this state who are less fortunate know are willing to be of help, and that you understand that that's one of your rights as a Legislator. So I urge and women on the other side, the Minority Leader, I urge you to show compassion, to show concern, show the kind of leadership, if you want to say real leadership, show the kind of courage that we all, on this side, have shown, that we are committed to, and the Speaker is committed to. Show that concern, because it's important that we help the people who can least afford to help themselves. But I say again, come walk with me. Let you explain to the people in 'Robert Taylor Homes' why they didn't get their checks. Let you explain to the people throughout the state why they didn't get their checks."

Speaker Greiman: "Bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Washington: "So I urge you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, one minute to explain your vote."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wasn't planning on explaining my vote, but something was mentioned on the other side of the aisle that I wanted to respond to. I believe someone over there said that four out of the five Legislative Leaders have agreed

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

on Build Illinois and, therefore, we should agree to it here. It seems to me that four out of the five Legislative Leaders have agreed to pass the public aid budget as well. Even Pate Philip gave his vote to pass the public aid budget. He didn't do, and the Republicans in the Senate didn't do what you're doing now. But. Ι you have to follow your Leader, and I imagine that what I read in the paper is true. Your Leader feels that when I use the legislative tools available to me, I use them to the greatest extent. This is tough politics we're engaged in, and I acknowledge that he's trying to belatedly win one issue this Session. Well, I guess you're locked into your Caucus position. Now, I wasn't here at the time that this happened, but I was told that that always hasn't been the precedent. I was told that there was a vote. not public aid Bill, but for an election for Speaker of the House. And your Leader, your Leader voted for the Democratic candidate and broke the Caucus. I would ask you to do the same thing and vote for this Bill. Don't follow bad advice."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, one minute to explain your vote."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the... Illinois. I hope I'm allowed the same latitude. won't take much more than a moment. We've stood and sat listened to you heap abuse, disparaging remarks, some violently inflammatory, on our side of the aisle. You've accused us of being unsympathetic and uncaring. accused us of being terrorists. You've accused us of all vile epithets. And I suppose you're entitled to that, from your position and where you stand. The position of Speaker - Mr. Speaker, if I could have your attention, Madigan - and the position of President of the Senate Mr.

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

are extremely powerful political positions and powerful positions of public policy and direction for all of the people of Illinois. The President of the Senate is a Cook County Democrat. Speaker Madigan is a Cook County and a Chicago Democrat. The welfare budget, while for people of Illinois, the greatest portion of it goes to Cook County and the Democratic Party. The President of the Senate... Not the Democratic Party, the City of Chicago, excuse me. The Democratic President of the Senate did his work and lived up to the agreement that he promised, and he adjourned, and he went home. And unfortunately, from view, I suppose, or from anyone's, that position point of has locked us into passing what they did, because they back or they may come back. Now we see the Speaker of the House holding up everything because of intransigence on following the same procedure that the Senate followed. We simply think there is aп agreement. There should be an agreement that's followed. and your Caucus has reacted in a political manner. The Majority Leader spoke of Build Illinois as more important to us than children and blind people. That's simply not The Majority Leader himself has over six, seven million dollars in Build Illinois for an Alton sewer and a River sewer and a Lewis and Clark College, Wood River Flood Control. It's all over the place. That's in that will all be passed. We all know that this too, and will happen sooner or later, but while we're doing Speaker of the House and the Democrat Party have more culpability in holding up the public aid budget than the Republican Minority could ever have, because he simply has to follow some Order of Call, and we can all get out of here. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, the Speaker of the House has that ability and that power, and so does your

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

it, and we know it. For the last six weeks in this Session, the tone has been political from your side of Justice has been kidnapped and hidden the aisle. Speaker's Office for the last six weeks. And I can tell you something. If you want the public aid budget passed. Democrats sitting over there - and all of you rose to speak on this - then you can pass it with the proper Order of Call. And I'll tell you something else. If any of you over there, including you, Mr. Speaker, were arrested today for being a Democrat, there wouldn't be enough evidence to convict any of you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Pangle, one minute to explain your vote, Sir."

Pangle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just say I think we've just got some words from another possible state candidate.

But I have a brief message to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. Every day that the Republican side of the aisle keeps us in Session, the taxpayers will be spending \$7,670.

\$7,670 of hard earned tax money will be spent as long as the Republicans hold us hostage. I would say to you that if their real Leader, Abraham Lincoln, was in this chamber, he would lower his head."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer. One minute to explain your vote."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I have been watching the board as Members have risen to debate and explain their votes, and I'm not so presumptious or foolish to think that anything that I could say would change that board when no votes have changed. I just have a basic observation to make, and then perhaps a request. As one who has sat here for two terms and been a Member of the Majority Party only, I've admired the Minority Party, and I've worked with a number of you on a number of measures

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

friends with very many of you. made And I know that you're not uncaring people and that you are compassionate. And I'm really surprised that when we came here today, that I would have bet ... I would have bet all those per diem checks that you wouldn't have taken the position There seems to be something surreal about all During the past few weeks. we've had some parliamentary maneuverings by the Minority Party. There were... There was, when Representative Davis had his Bill that he wanted to call on the state right to a jury trial. we had Motions for Oral Verified Roll Calls in filibuster: and. when the medical malpractice Bills were held up in the House Judiciary I Committee, a Motion to discharge which passed. We've seen time after time when the Minority Party has been effective using the rules of this House to prevail, and I admire that, because I think that you're looking out for interest of the Members of your Party. But what's surreal about this is that the issue that we're voting on now one about which we all agree, and as Representative Vinson pointed out, there's no disagreement on the merits of Bill. Everyone on both sides of the aisle are for the appropriations Bill, and sometimes I think we need to back and step away from all this, as I'm sure we will, once we get away from here, and think about what And when we do this, I think that you're going to doing. realize that you're picking the wrong issue to stand and This is not an issue make your point. for parliamentary rules or your ability to block the passage of This is a public aid Bill. This is a Bill for this Bill. needy and the people who are not receiving those checks, who won't be able to go to the grocery store, won't be able to put food on the table. It's simply the

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

issue, and the people of this state tolerate us through all the parliamentary haggling with all these other issues, but they won't understand this one, and I think that need to do, instead of get locked into polarization rhetoric, is to stand back and think of ... iust moment, about the issue that's before us. And, Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a suggestion that perhaps, as we've done in the past, dump the Roll Call and have everyone reflect for a moment and call the vote again. know there are Members who are absent whose votes are not on that board, and perhaps there are Members who have voted who don't wish to be so conspicuous by changing their votes in the middle of a Roll Call. But there is precedent for dumping the Roll Call, and I think that no one... face over this. We've all taken positions for lose which we... we realize, perhaps, there should flexibility. I certainly have done that and risen to change my vote on a number of issues. And let's... let's consider that, if you will, Mr. Speaker, in dumping the Roll Call and taking a new Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger, one minute to explain your vote."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, most of you know that I am concerned about the people that we're talking about. I just have two very brief points. Number one, if this Bill was so terribly important, I wish you'd called it when you only needed 60 votes, and we wouldn't have gotten into this situation. But secondly, if we would only adopt the Order of Business that the Senate did, we could be out of here in ten minutes. They took Senate Bill 993, 655, put them together on one Roll Call, let that go out as Build Illinois with some confidence in the Governor, and then they went right to the public aid. Why can't we

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

do that? We'd be out of here in ten minutes. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vitek, one minute to explain your vote."

Vitek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I truly admire the vote up there, because I understand it's a vote of each individual's conscience, and I hope the media and the press and everybody take a copy of that Roll Call and publish it in every newspaper in the State of Illinois. I'm proud to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken, the Gentleman from DuPage, one minute to explain your vote."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I'd like the press to listen closely to this as well. You'll notice there are no red votes there from our side of the aisle, and given look to history, you'll notice that Republicans have always supported and helped pass the public aid measures. think we're confronted with a false issue. The real issue Are we going to pass what the Senate has passed, or are we going to pass this Bill and then be told to home and come back in a week or two and work on the rest of the budget? We're not fools over here. We've seen how the Calendar has been handled of late, and those Oral Verified Roll Calls were our only parliamentary device to force the Speaker, to force the Majority, to honor the Calendar. I submit to you that if you want this Bill passed, ao to the Speaker, you go back to your Caucus. You tell him that if he will call the Orders of Business in course, if he will call the Build Illinois Bills to which the Senate has already agreed, if he will call the rest of the budget to which the Senate has already agreed, if he will call the substantive Bills to which the Senate already agreed, and then he will call the public aid Bill, to which the Senate has agreed, then we'll be out of 5:00 today. That's all we're asking. We just want to

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

make sure it gets done. The Speaker's prerogative relative to the Calendar scares us. We are scared of it based on our experiences of the last few weeks. The Order of Call is the only issue. Go back and tell the Speaker that we want an Order of Call on which we can rely, and you can have this Bill, you can have every appropriations Bill, you can have every substantive Bill, and you can have them by 5:00 p.m. today."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Saltsman, one minute to explain your vote."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker. The same people that getting hurt by these welfare checks not being delivered are the same people that the Governor never allotted anything for in our area for Build Illinois. I voted for Build Illinois, and I also led the opposition to Speaker Madigan. and this is why we're in this position we are right now. Because in the district that I come from and many of us from downstate, there's nothing there that we can take back to these people from this program. If. for minute, these people who are not going to have food on their table Monday or Tuesday, thought that I sold in for the Governor's reelection campaign, they would like to go... they would probably want to go a couple more without food to think that the Governor's reelection campaign and Build Illinois where he beefed up all Republican areas that we should take their food from their mouths. For that reason, they would disrespect me for selling out to his reelection campaign. They're being hurt They're the ones that buy used cars. double. They're the ones that's going to pay the tax. Look our There's nothing in there for it. Look at the area where you all come from. This is a program for his reelection. It's a program to hurt the same people that we're holding

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

the welfare back from next week. We're hurting them twice, but I don't think they'd respect us if we sold out for the Governor's reelection campaign."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock, one minute to explain your vote."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I, many on this side, hadn't really planned to speak on this issue, but I've heard some things today that concern First of all, let me establish this loud and clear. Lee Daniels has 100 percent support on this side of the aisle. As a matter of fact, there has never been stronger support on this side on any issue before the General Assembly as he has on this issue. But I'm also alarmed. During my seven years in the General Assembly, I've heard some fantastic And, yes, we've even had some this Session. dehates. I have never, and I say never, heard such vilification or excoriation of one individual Member for a cause he believes in. And for that, all of you should be ashamed. We have great debates here. We have lengthy debates, they all deal with the merits of questions, and as they should. But you should be ashamed of yourself for personally excoriating one of our Members who's doing what he believes in and doing that on behalf of as Lee Daniels has done. Secondly, to the issue itself at stake here. You know, some of you may not be aware, but my community, during the last couple of years, has had the highest unemployment in the State of Illinois. And, yes, we have, in fact, recovered somewhat. But let me say this to you today. We are DOM unemployment level of about 13 percent. and that's appalling. I have laborers and blue collar people and every other citizen in my community who wants to go to work. And I must say to you here today, so there's

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

that those unemployed people in my city are as important to me as are the public aid recipients who also need our help. I want to do both. I will vote for both Bills, Build Illinois and also the public aid budget. Rut you can't do them separately because we're concerned citizens of my district. Ι want to help the people in my State of Illinois. I want to provide jobs for now and jobs for the future. This is the way to do it. Stick together on this issue, and together we will have, in fact, passed the public aid budget, passed Build Illinois what's best for all the citizens of our state. ask for your support in this 'present' vote. Thank Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne, one Speaker minute to explain your vote."

- Van "Thirty seconds, Mr. Duyne: Speaker. Ιn t o Representative Oblinger, 655 does not have what it was embodied in 993, only the 400... 370 million dollar Republican pork. We already ... The Speaker's credibility. as far as Call, has been questioned. Wе already went through that. Every Member of this Body, especially the Democrats, was hung out to dry on 570. Нe gave the Governor 1.3 billion dollars in taxes and bond issues, and only to watch 993, which embodies the things that we wanted to go right down the tube, and I just wanted to clarify that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 45 voting 'present', and the Motion fails. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an announcment. There will be a Democratic Caucus immediately after adjournment.

 And Mr. Speaker, I now move that we stand adjourned until

70th Legislative Day

July 4, 1985

2:00 p.m. tomorrow afternoon."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, moves that the House stand adjourned until the hour of 2:00 p.m. tomorrow. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House stands adjourned until the hour of 2:00 tomorrow."

12/11/85 14:12

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

JULY 04, 1985

HB-0510	MOTION	PAGE	6
HB-1038	MOTION	PAGE	5
SB-0476	CONFERENCE	PAGE	7
SB-1091	MOTION	PAGE	7

SUBJECT MATTER

PAGE	1
PAGE	1
PAGE	39
	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 2:00 having arrived, the House will be in Session. The Chaplain for today will be Father Robert J. Jallas of the Cathedral of the Immaculate Conception in Springfield. Father Jallas is a guest of Representative Michael Curran. Hill the guests in the gallery please rise and join us in the invocation? Father Jallas."
- Father Jallas: "I make a promise to you that I won"t make this prayer as long as your Session. God, our Gracious Father, we once again invoke Your good name at the end of yet another work day. We ask Your blessing upon us, upon these Legislators, who endeavor to wind up the year filled with demands and attention to endless details. Give them rest this day and night. Bless and protect them Give them a greater vision of Your leave of here. constant care and love for them in the midst of Watch carefully over their loved ones, and may husvness. they soon come to enjoy the summer recess they have worked so hard for. We ask all this in Your name. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp, will lead us in the pledge to the flag. Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

 one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

 for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 113 Members having answered to the Call of the Quorum, a quorum is present. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, let the record reflect the excuse absence of Al Ronan on official business."
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so reflect. Agreed

July 5, 1985

- Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 657, offered by Representative Ropp; 659, by Wojcik; 660, by Richmond. And Senate Joint Resolution 85, by O'Connell and Terzich."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Ar. Giorgi, on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Giorgi: "House Resolution 657, by Ropp, congratulates Father...

 Reverend Gerike. Wojcik's 59 (sic 659), commends the

 Claessens. 660, by Richmond, recognizes Nicole Glasser.

 And Senate Joint 85 recognizes that Senator Lemke is being engaged to be married, by O'Connell and Terzich. I move for the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Agreed Resolutions today? The Gentleman from Winnebago has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say "aye", those opposed "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Oh, yes, Mr. Vinson. I'm sorry."
- Vinson: "There was discussion. Mr. Ewing is not here. He's the person who normally approves Agreed Resolutions. The Gentleman who presented the Agreed Resolutions did not read them in any audible fashion, and I think that's a poor way to start business today."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr... Mr. Vinson, we certainly don't want to impair the Agreed Resolutions passage, and wishing Mr. Lemke and Mr. and Mrs. Lemke good luck, so if... perhaps you'd like to go over and discuss this with Mr. Giorgi, and we could certainly... I know your talents would be specially suited for the Agreed Resolution list. So, if you'd like to check with him now, we'd be glad to have you do that. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 638, offered by Representative

 Bowman et al. House Resolution 658, offered by

July 5, 1985

Representative Davis - et al.*

Speaker Greiman: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 661, offered by Representative

Johnson and Didrickson, with respect to the memory of

Leslie A. Ryan, Sr., of Champaign, Illinois."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the passage of the Death Resolutions. There being no objection, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the Death Resolutions?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Death Resolutions are adopted. The Gentleman from Cook. Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I have an inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "What is your inquiry, Mr. Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "Now?"

Speaker Greiman: "Not yet. We'll let you know."

Leverenz: "No, the word is 'not now'."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, not now."

Leverenz: "Not yet. Check your script."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Goforth, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Goforth? I don't know what it is, but I'll probably ingest anything, whatever it might be. Mr. Preston, for what purpose do you seek recognition? You want to try again with me, buh? Okay."

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. Last night, Mr. Speaker, during the fourth night of our captivity, my seatmate, Representative John Dunn, was gracious enough to offer me lodging and food at his home in Decatur, and we had a very enjoyable evening, and the price that I was charged for that was a mere \$45.50, but in addition to that, he graciously threw in for free, doing my laundry, so I am now ready to spend the rest of the week or next week here in Springfield, and for all the other Members, if you have

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

laundry that you need done, if you would drop that laundry off at Representative Dunn's desk, if it's in by 11:00, it will be out by 3:00. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Preston, does that mean you made a profit of \$19.55 per..."

Unknown: "That's yesterday."

Preston: "That's correct."

Speaker Greiman: "It's okay. It was a holiday, after all. Yes,
for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr.
Leverenz, seek recognition?"

Leverenz: "I have an inquiry of the last speaker. I'd like to know how much Mr. Preston lost on Mr. Dunn's pool table in the basement."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Preston."

Preston: "My name was mentioned in debate, Ar. Speaker... "

Speaker Greiman: "I hardly think that we would call that debate, but alright."

Preston: "I'd like the Gentleman to know, Ar. Speaker, that I won \$8.00 at pool, but the charge was \$12.00 for the use of the pool table, so again, I came up short."

Speaker Greiman: "The House will be in order. Mr. Vinson, have you had an opportunity to examine the Agreed Resolutions?"

Vinson: "Yes, I have, and I called to Mr. Giorgi's attention one that we would not agree to."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, then. We'll just do them later. I'm not sure which one that is. So, we'll just go to them."

Vinson: "Sure."

Speaker Greiman: "At... We'll go to them later on. Alright."

Vinson: "Sure."

Speaker Greiman: "Supplemental Calendar #1, Conference Committee
Reports appears Senate Bill 476. The Gentleman from
Kendall, Mr. Hastert. The Gentleman from Cook, Speaker
Madigan, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr.

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Madigan."

- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to move that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 476."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from... The Gentleman from Cook,

 Mr. Madigan, moves that the House adopt Conference

 Committee Report &1 to Senate Bill 476. And on that, the

 Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Ar. Speaker, I would request a Republican Conference in

 Room 118 immediately."

Speaker Greiman: "How long will you need, Mr. Vinson?"

Vinson: "Forty-five minutes."

- Speaker Greiman: "Forty-five minutes. Alright. Excuse me.

 Mr... Mr. Giglio."
- Giglio: "Mr. Chairman... Mr. Speaker, the Democrats would like to

 Caucus immediately in Room 114."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Then, we will be in this posture. Madigan has moved to consider Senate Bill... the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 476. which we will return to when we come back. There will he Republican Conference in Room 118. a Democratic Conference in Room 114. We will be back at approximate... at exactly 3:30. 3:30. Thank you. The House will be in order. Αt the time the House recessed, Speaker Madigan had moved that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 476. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, once again, I rise to move that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 476. This matter was considered yesterday. It could have been considered the day before. It is now three days later, and we have failed to adopt the budget for the Department of Public Aid. Thousands and thousands of recipients all over

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

the State of Illinois are without their checks to pay their rent, to buy food and care for their family. This situation is intolerable. We will continue to call this Bill until this budget is adopted, and those people can get their checks simply to survive, not to live luxuriously, but simply to survive. Mr. Speaker, again, I move that we do adopt the Conference Committee Report.

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, moves that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 476. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."
- Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I filed a written Motion which I'll just reiterate. I move to hear Senate Bill 476, House Bill 655 and House Bill 993 simultaneously, and further, that all three Bills be incorporated into a single Roll Call, a Roll Call vote immediately upon consideration. That Hotion is in writing, and it's been filed, and I would so move."
- Speaker Greiman: "It is, indeed, in writing, but it is, indeed, out of order under our rules, Sir. Under the rules, when we leave, we are to return to the same place that... from whence we left. And that is where we came back to. Your Motion was filed after that time, so that it is not timely and it's out of order. Mr... Further discussion? Alright, Mr. Johnson."
- Johnson: "I'm going to be calm about this, just as you are, Mr.

 Speaker. I don't... I don't understand at all the
 substance of your ruling, and I think that we ought to know
 that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Then I will tell you, Mr. Johnson. Listen carefully. When we adjourned, when we recessed, Ar. Madigan had moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1. He had made a Motion. At that time, I... and

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

he put the Motion. At that time, Mr. Vinson Conference an d Mr. Giglio a Democratic Conference. Under our rules, Rule 39, when we leave Order of Business, we are... and we come back, we are to come back to the same Order of Business at the same Accordingly, Mr. Madigan, we come back in the posture that Mr. Madigan has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report 31. In the interim period, you come up, and you file two Motions which, I guess, are the same, they are not timely, and they are out of order, and that is on Mr. Madigan's For that reason, we are Motion. It is not a subsidiary Motion. It is not incidental Motion to that Bill. Now. I will allow Mr. Johnson to address my ruling. I will allow some reasonable hysteria over the ruling, and then we will proceed to discussion of the public aid appropriation. the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I appreciate that. I feel a little like the defendant before the trial who's told what his sentence is going to be, but I'll simply make the point that the substitute Motion is always in order, under the rules of our House, and if that isn't good enough, then I would move. at this point, to suspend all appropriate rules so that my Motion, which I filed, and which I've just reiterated, can be heard immediately. I think a Motion to suspend all appropriate rules so that we can get to that Order of Business and so that my Motion can be heard is always in order. If 1 t isn't, then we're making a... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson, the other day we had a ruling which was not appreciated too much by some Members of the House. And you fellows went through about 10 minutes of trying to figure out what the right Motion was.

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

because I don't want to hear it all over again. The vou to make if you are unhappy is. "I correct Motion for appeal the ruling of the Chair. That is what your Mation is the only Motion for which I will now that and recognize you. Mr. Johnson."

- "My Motion is that we suspend the appropriate rule... Sir. Your Motion is... I have ruled that Speaker Greiman: "No. that other... that Motion is out of order. 1 called vou. Sir. in the discussion of the Public Aid Bill. You suggested that Motions had been flled. I recognized that. indeed. they had been filed. that those Motions were out of That is the posture, and that is for the reasons for which I have called upon you. You may now appeal ruling if that is your choice. I amaaa The Chair is willing to put his ruling to a debate. Now-Mr. Johnson. Hallock has called for recognition, and it is the intention of the Chair to call on the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."
- Hallock: "Well, in light of your decision on the matter we just discussed, I would now move, Mr. Speaker, that we go to the Order of Motions and move to the specific Motion which was enunciated by Mr. Johnson. And that is always in order, and I now make that Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "While we're looking at the rules, Ar. Vinson.

 No, Mr. Hallock. I'm sorry."
- Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, you don't really have to look too far back We did this about three weeks ago when we for nrecedent. the important Bills before the debated one of General Assembly this Session. You so ruled at that time, and I would now ask you to again rule the same way you did weeks ago. The precedent has been established. It's verv I would ask you now to support my Motion, and let's clear. take a vote."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Just to suggest to you that not all of the wisdom and not all of the justice present in the State of Illinois or present in this chamber will be found in that rule book. And I would suggest to you that you reflect upon the substance of the Motion and the substance of what would be accomplished by the Motion in making your ruling. One of the things that would be accomplished by that... by the substance of Mr. Johnson's Motion would be a chance to ensure welfare checks to recipients who don't now have welfare checks, and to assure jobs for people who don't now have jobs, and to assure... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr... Mr. Vinson, excuse me. Mr... Excuse That may... Those may be all valid reasons why one Sir. might wish the ruling to be made. But I am bound, Sir, rules of the House, by Roberts Rules of Order. may dispose of Mr. Johnson's Motion. Mr. Vinson's plea and Mr. Hallock's suggestion, I would address vou to the Precedence of Motions. It says. While a question is under debate, no Motion may he entertained except... • and then goes on and lists a number of items that may be suggested for change. None those the purview of Mr. Johnson's Motion. Accordingly. I will recognize you now on the issue of Ar. Motion to adopt Conference #1. Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in the calm, I hope, of this debate and I think the Chair is obfuscating the issue - I think Mr. Johnson's Motion, while not properly put in light of your ruling for whatever your purposes are of making is that Mr. Johnson incorporated, in his Motion, So he's addressed that. He says, 'Yes, let*s that. Mr. Speaker.* Actually, I suppose he should have amended his Motion to say that the inclusion of 476 along

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

with 655 and 993 should be made at this point. Because that, then, if that amended Motion was adopted by this House, then we could hear all three, and we want to hear 476. We want to pass 476. We want to get out of here. We want to do the budget. Why, Sir, will you not recognize us for that opportunity? Are you afraid, Sir, that the real agenda will come out? Are you afraid, Sir, that the Democratic Party does not want to pass 476?"

Speaker Greiman: *Mr. Davis. Yes, further discussion? The

Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich.**

"Well. Mr. Speaker, the only reason for having rules Friedrich: at all, including Roberts Rules of Order, is to people have a right to be heard. And this is a free society, and we have self government. And that's what rules are for. And they certainly can ... And I think vou're thwarting the rules because you're denving right to have something put to them. you're afraid it will pass, then of course, that's your reason for doing it. and you're right. Our only recourse is to appeal the ruling of the Chair, because you sit there as God Almighty in that position and that... the Chair has a lot of power. You're using that destroy the right of the people to vote on a question."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. leadership been extremely pleased with the real Speaker of this chamber for the last term and a half. Ιt we have an individual is seems like who extremely who, every day of his life, is so extremely articulate, dedicated and so organized that I think all o f us here could look to him for that outstanding leadership, because no person has taken the concerns of operating of this House any better than he has up until about three weeks ago.

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

Now, in an attempt to resolve a very serious situation that we have, in the last three days we ought to be in a position now to put two or three Bills together. It is not uncommon for us to have done this in the last several months."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ropp. I want to give you full latitude. Let me... Let me sav this to the House. to want to give each of you an opportunity to address the Chair's ruling, and each of you will be given that opportunity to briefly address the Chair's ruling, but I will not allow you to go beyond the scope of the The reasons for the ruling, the wisdom of the ruling is one thing. I am bound, as are all of the other 117 of you, to rule in accordance with Rule 72, on the Precedence I am following the rules for which Mr. Friedrich, the Gentleman from Marion, is the... the Minority Spokesman the Rules Committee. And I will certainly allow any of the speakers to address my ruling, but not to go that. Please don't abuse it. Sir. Is that an appeal from the ruling of the Chair? Is that what you're doing? Oh. you won't question it. Oh, surely. Alright, then. On Mr. Madigan's Motion, Mr. Ropp. Mr. Hallock.*

Hallock: "I don't believe we had a resolution to my Motion. My Motion was - and I'll cite you the rule in a moment, here -I move that we go to the Order of Motions and then on that Order, we move specifically to the Motion, which to call Senate Bill 476, the Public Aid Bill; House Bill 655 and House Bill 993. Now a specific citation for that rules, right here we have, on page six, for your information. Rule 11 (b). which says. *Any Business may be changed at any time upon the Motion of any Member supported by five additional Members.• And T now. make that Motion and ask for a vote on that Motion."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Hallock, so that you understand, the
Chair is in... is obviously aware of that ruling. That is
when we are not in the midst of debate. We don't read
these in an isolated fashion. Indeed, that's what it says,
but I point out to you again, Rule 72 says that while a
question is under debate, no Motion may be entertained
except for some very specific procedural Motions. Now, Mr.
Hallock, if you are prepared to overrule the Chair, you
may... I will recognize you. If you are not, I will go on
to others. Are you prepared to rule that? Mr. Hallock?"

Hallock: "We were on no debate at all... "

Speaker Greiman: "We were absolutely... "

Hallock: "Motion... The substitute Motion is always in order, Mr.

Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hallock, you are out of order, Sir. We were in the midst of debating Mr. Madigan's Motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report. And on that, the Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, I do have a question."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Oblinger: "You referred to 39 (b), and it says, 'When a Bill or Resolution...', and you said we're to come back to the same Order of Business. However, in here it says, 'When it's called on either the Order of Second Reading or Third Reading', and we're not on Second or Third Reading. We're on Conference Reports. I want to know how that applies. And when we're on Conference Reports..."

Speaker Greiman: "It's a separate sentence, Mrs. Oblinger. We have returned to this Order. I recognized Mr. Madigan. He made the... He made his Motion again. He put his Motion a second time, and although he did not have to, because I announced that I was calling on him on that Order. At this point, I ruled Mr. Johnson's Motions as not being timely,

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

and I have pointed out that Mr. Hallock's Motion is also out of order. Now, Mr. Ewing, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

- Ewing: "Parliamentary Inquiry. If we vote on this Motion a second time and it fails to receive enough votes to pass.

 what will its position be?"
- Speaker Greiman: "It will... It will be on the Order of
 Conference Committee Reports. Mr. Vinson. Yes, I'm sorry.

 Mr. Ewing. Yes."

Ewing: "Can we... "

Speaker Greiman: "Tom."

Ewing: "We can... Thank you, Allen. Can we vote on a Conference

Committee Report any number of times? That's my inquiry."

Speaker Greiman: "Any number of times."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Till it... Yes, any number of times. Yes, Mr.
Vinson?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker and Mr. real Speaker. Mike, I would ask you to withdraw your Motion at this time so that we can go to a Motion that would involve all three Bills and that would ensure not only that welfare recipients got checks, but also that unemployed people got jobs and also that coal was utilized in this state. We can take all three things together. We can act on all three things together. If you, as an individual and as the Presiding Officer in this chamber, would simply withdraw your Motion, and then we can provide jobs and welfare..."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Vinson, on the Motion, please.

Mr. Johnson, on the Motion. On the ruling, I mean."

Johnson: "Point of parliamentary inquiry and a point of order.

Rule 82 of our House Rules incorporates therein, we're not

in conflict with our other House Rules, <u>Roberts! Rules of</u>

Order. And I would specifically invite your attention to

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Section 10, pages 7... 95 and 96 of Roberts Rules of Order provide. paome other things, quote, speaking in debate can urge rejection of the pending saying that if it is voted down, he'll offer a different main Motion on the same subject. which I f the pending briefly. Motion is thereafter voted down. the Chair immediately recognizes this again for the purpose of making his alternative Motion, even if another Member rises to claim the floor first and addresses the Chair. So in keeping with Representative Ewing's inquiry, my inquiry is, if this Motion which we've addressed parliamentarily so far. fails, will you then move pursuant to Roberts' Rules of Order, since it's not in conflict with our other House Rules, to my Motion, which would allow us to hear Build Illinois, jobs and welfare all on the same Roll Call?"

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson, the Chair is not prepared to make perspective rulings of any kind. I would also have to look and see whether such rule... such a Motion would stand the test of a request for division of... division of the question. I'm not sure. I would have checked that. But the answer is that I am now prepared. Mr. anv event. Johnson, I am now prepared to proceed on Mr. unless I hear an appeal from the ruling of the Chair. Mr. Johnson."
- Johnson: "Well, since it's obvious, from the Chair, from the other side of the aisle, that we're not going to let the people of Illinois have an opportunity to hear all the issues that affect this Session and..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson. You, Sir, are very much out of order. Now, on Mr. Madigan's... Oh, you're definitely in order. Alright. The question is... Do you wish to debate that, Sir? Alright. I thought you were going to do it

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

calmly. Go ahead, Mr. Johnson."

- Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, I always keep my calm, just as you do. I have a tradition around here of being calm, cool, collected. And so, accordingly, and with my friendship for the Chair in mind, I would move, since it is, in my judgment, violation of the House Rules, to appeal the ruling of the Chair."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are you joined by six Members? Well, yes.

 Seemingly so. Alright. On Mr. Johnson's Motion to

 overrule... to appeal the ruling of the Chair, the

 Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."
- Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in Hallock: "Yes. Mr. support of the Motion, and I do it very cautiously, because it's not too often that we in this House move to this Order of Business and take this step. And it is, in drastic step, but I believe it's one which has to be taken for the citizens of this state. We have, here in Illinois, been at a stalemate for four days. now. The Senate been done for two days with their obligations and their duties to the people of this state. This Resolution would solve that crisis. This Resolution will ensure that those people on public aid who need the money to exist, in fact, do get that money, and they should have it. This Bill also ensure... This Motion also will ensure that we get to the Order of Business of Build Illinois, which will the unemployed people in our state and those who want to keep feeding their families in the future will also have their chance to do that. This is a proper Motion. Tt*s a very serious Motion. And I would hope all Members of the House will join me on this, and I urge... "
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Hallock. Alright, now.

 Understand... Understand, there are a few folks who would

 like to speak on this, and the other day, I held you

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

strictly to discuss the Motion, and that's what I expect today. The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich.

Friedrich: "I'd like to support Representative Johnson's Motion. reason is, I think your... your ruling was arbitrary. The There is a remedy in the rules. It's been pointed out both in Roberts! Rules_of_Order and in our own rules. that you could make a different decision which would. VOU break the deadlock in this House over something know. that's very important. So, I say that I support ١t because, again, I say you're making arbitrary rulings. suspect that your ruling was made before the Motion was put."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Davis, the Gentleman from Will."

Davis: HMr. I rise in support of Representative Speaker. Johnson's Motion to overrule the ruling of the Chair, very simple, because this Roll Call will prove to the people in the State of Illinois who is blocking the work of the House. Now, this Roll Call appeals an arbitrary premeditated ruling of the Chair. There's no question what you're doing, Mr. Speaker, and now with... through the efforts of the fourth estate who is here, all the people of Illinois will know what the Democratic Party is up to. Ιt painfully obvious that we do not wish to overrule the Speaker of this House. He's our Speaker on both sides. but you, Sir, either acting in his capacity or on VOUT been capricious and arbitrary in denying opportunity for incorporating all three Bills And that's the reason for this Motion, and I'm speaking to it. It is painfully obvious now to all the people of Illinois that this Roll Call will tell exactly what the Democrat Party is all about. exactly who is blocking the issue, here. It hasn't been us for three days, and it won't be us today... "

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "To the Motion, Mr... Davis. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, on the Motion to appeal the ruling of the Chair."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Brief inquiry. On what

Motion did the Chair rule? Representative Johnson's

Motion, Representative Hallock's Motion, or both?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

McCracken: "All of the above. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "But I... I think Mr. Johnson was the one, however, who was requesting the appeal of the Chair, and I suggest it was on his Motions that he was making the appeal. So that, we are, therefore, questioning whether Mr. Johnson, after debate has begun, can enter the fray with a Motion. That is what is in front of us now. I have ruled to the contrary. And on that, Mr. McCracken, I recognize you, Sir."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Speaker has denied what we have commonly a substitute Motion in his ruling. That Notion would be to consolidate, for a single vote, the three Bills at issue -Public Aid. Build Illinois. and the Democrat version o f Build Illinois. Those three Bills are, indeed, the logiam. I would like you to consider. Mr. Speaker. Johnson's discussion relative to the precise moved to suspend the appropriate rule on which you relied in denying his Motions or declaring them out of order. you know, any rule can be suspended by 60 affirmative votes in the absence of a stated requirement of a greater number. Motion is always in order. That That Hotion takes precedence. That Motion can be heard at time. anv You out of order not only his originally written have ruled Motions, you have ruled out of order Representative Hallock's Motion to move to a particular Order of Business.

July 5, 1985

You have ruled out of order Representative Johnson's Motion to suspend the appropriate rules, which is done commonly, all of the time, orally and, as on noncontroversial matters, is done by leave of the House. That ruling, at this time, in light of that history of precedence, is an abuse of the Chair and, I think, demonstrates dramatically the fact that the other side of the aisle is not interested in resolving the issue."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Houses Again. I rise with a great deal of regret that we I rise also with a great deal of have to do this. respect for the Gentleman in the Chair and respect for the Chair in total. I'm not the Parliamentarian here. T rarely stand up and speak on Parliamentarian issues, but Speaker, and everybody in this chamber knows that there are ways to get around issues. As a matter vesterday. when I stood, there... you accepted, and fact. then I made a Motion to take something out of the record. And there was a substitute Motion made at that time, and you accepted it. I believe that there's a way to solve a There's a solution that we can find to expedite passing, whether it's public aid or the rest of the that we talk about mental health or whatever those things that we have to fund in the state, and you all know what There's a solution. It's practical. It can be those are. done... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hastert, on the Motion. On the Motion."

Hastert: "And I would accept that... that Notion be voted upon positively."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Let's vote and move the previous question."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Terzich moves that the main question by

July 5, 1985

put. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The previous question be put. The question is, 'Shall the Chair be overruled?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. It requires 60 affirmative votes. Mr. Churchill, one minute to explain your vote."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen A lot of people being affected today by this the House. vote and by the other votes that are going to happen here. a lot of people who should be receiving checks There are who are not receiving checks. There are people government or get checks from government who are questioning whether or not those checks will come. There are those who guestion whether or not the 15th of the month and we will still not have a resolution of will. arrive. this matter. I want to vote for public aid. I vote for the budget. I want to vote for Build Illinois. to vote for all of the items on the budget. a logiam. We're in a logiam, a very serious logiam. was always told that politics abhors a logiam, so what I say to you on the other side of the aisle is, ioin Let's get over this logjam, and let's move forward and finish up the business of the House."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ropp, one minute to explain your vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to recall for you a picture that I'm sure you have all seen — the fact of two large bales of hay, the fact with each being tied, one to an elephant and one to a donkey with a large chain, both of them stretched to the very end of the length of that chain, and neither one of them got to the hay. By voting green this time, we will complete that story, where both of those animals came together, ate one bale of hay, then went over

71st Legislative Day

'ves 1.4

July 5, 1985

and ate the other bale. Please vote green."

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Stephens, one minute to explain your vote." Stephens: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. In explanation of my vote. would move to... I support the Motion. because I wanted to see how the board lit up, because yesterday, I heard a rhetoric. I saw the Chair use the same book of rules that you're using today to defend your actions and several of the rules about decorum in voting, to sit there and listen to language that you knew was out of order. accusations that you knew were out of order, and then you turn to the book today in defense of your explanation of my vote, this gives me a chance to vote for public aid and for jobs for Illinois, and those of you, who vesterday stood up in all your demagaguery and said you really cared about the people of Illinois, where are criticize this vou today? You side of the aisle following a true leader. I think that you are in need of a your side of the aisle and therefore, I vote on
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson, one minute to explain your vote.

 The clock is on."
- Vinson: "Mr. Mr. real Speaker, a great Speaker. Democrat. Franklin Roosevelt once understood that vou had to have welfare and iobs. Your vote. your denial of a chance to put those two issues together and vote for them today is something you ought to seriously think about, vou*re the person now denying welfare and jobs to the people of Illinois."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 47 voting 'aye', 64 voting 'no', none voting 'present'.

 And the Motion fails, and the ruling of the Chair is not appealed. Mr. Madigan on the question on the original

July 5, 1985

question."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, once again I will move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report. We have heard statements from the other side of the aisle to the effect that now. the Democrats are responsible for withholding the payment of welfare checks to those who simply cannot survive without those checks. Let's not get wrapped up and wound up in our own rhetoric. Here is the Bill. All vou need do is to supply a handful of votes for the Bill DOM . the checks can go out within an hour, because I'm sure and the Governor would sign this Bill as soon as it arrives office. Mr. Speaker. again, I move to adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion. Mr. Friedrich." "Well. Mr. Speaker. I'm really Friedrich: interested in what thev*re saving on the other side of the aisle. Suddenly. it's the fault of everybody around here that public aid budget isn't passed. For six months, we've had a Majority of Democrats in the Senate, a Majority of Democrats in We've had a Democrat President of the Senate, and a Democrat Speaker of the House. They had 60 votes any time they wanted to pass a Bill on anything. The Bill... The appropriation Bills, if the House had been run orderly and timely, would have been out of here on June the 15th. July the 5th or 6th or 7th or 8th, because the votes were They had ... When you have the Majority, you only have the privilege, but you have the responsibility of running State Government. They have not lived up to that responsibility, and no appropriations are passed for all the things that are needed. And here we sit, on the 5th, and now they re trying to tell us that we're somehow... we're responsible for public aid recipients not having their money on a timely basis. I say that's... that*s as

July 5. 1985

phony as a three dollar bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie."

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Currie: "Thank you, think maybe it's appropriate to remember what our action on this Motion will do this afternoon. Today. some welfare checks were scheduled to be sent. By the end of the afternoon. 91.065 checks will not have been sent. they been sent, welfare recipients could have picked them up tomorrow. Let me remind you who those recipients Most of them are children. I don't think the children who rely upon us to see to it that there is food I don't think they care about pork barrel projects in Republican districts. I don't think they care about how we do or do not run this House. What they care is about there will be enough food for them to eat. they care about is whether there will be medical assistance available should it turn out that they break an arm, should The basic responsibility it turn out that they need it. before us today is to see to it that 300,000 people in this the vast majority of them children. get their state. welfare checks tomorrow. They can have those checks in hand tomorrow. but only if we say yes to the Motion to adopt Conference Committee Report 1 to Senate Bill 476."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen o f the been very well if consensus could 1 t would have House. have been reached, if we could have considered these issues and done our constitutional duty and left here. taken care of the business of the people of the state. Ιt is patently clear, however, that consensus no has been and that's unfortunate, not only for us, but for reached. those thousands of people out there who are depending our action for their livelihood. Yesterday, in the debate,

July 5, 1985

the rhetoric got... got heated and as tempers began to flare, there was a lot of discussion about who was holding the poor hostage, and now I think we sit here today, all of equally responsible for the poor being held hostage to this legislative process. It is a process that supposed to take us beyond the kind of activity that we ve seen in the middle east where people just go forward and throw down the gauntlet and kill each other with no reason, no rationale, no honor about what they do. It's a process that's supposed to serve us so that we can serve others honorable fashion. I think that this deadlock is most unfortunate. I think this deadlock is a tragedy. But T people of conscience. think that as have we. responsibility today to vote to see to it these checks out, to pass this budget, to, as individual Members elected as individuals, to see to it that this budget passes so that we can move forward with the other business. a difference. There is a difference between Build Illinois and feeding Illinois. There is a difference between what we do in the future for economic development in our state and what we do today to feed the poor, and I would appeal to the Members of this House, if we can set partisan differences aside and set aside the brinksmanship that we've seen going on here today and yesterday and the before that, and do what we have to do to break this logjam this one vote. to break the logjam by our own act of conscience in seeing to it that the public aid budget is passed and especially, especially, seeing to it that never again will this chamber be held up to public ridicule virtue of our crass tying together of the issues of public ald and the welfare of our people and any other issue that comes before us. That is the tragedy here, that we've tied these issues together to begin with. They seem to be

July 5, 1985

inseparable, but I think we have to set a precedent here, a precedent here for future Sessions, that we will never again have the poor held out in front of combatants on other issues. I urge your green vote on Senate Bill 476."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Public perception, sometimes, for better or worse, is a good part of what we do here. You know, two or three months ago or maybe less, people saw me on the floor of the House speak out for something that I believed in, and that was the cause of injured people and poor people who didnot have access to our judicial system in the debate on medical malpractice, and I believed that, and I believe it And I believe, as many of the speakers on the other side of aisle have said, that the problems of poor people are not only important, but of great importance. But I think important for the people of this chamber and the people of the State of Illinois to know that it Republican Party, and it isn't our 'no' or 'present' votes on this Motion that are the problem. It's the Speaker this House who, in any one of a number of ways in the past, and now, and in the future, could have solved this problem. It's the Speaker of this House who's preventing those checks from going out. The Speaker of the House could have adopted the same Order of Call that the Senate had. The Speaker of the House could put the votes on Build Illinois. Speaker of the House could have kept his commitment that the other four actors in the process have kept, and just as important as the Speaker of the House, the acting Speaker could have let us, a few moments ago, vote on three issues that are important to all the people of Illinois So, don't let anybody leave this chamber today. time. one don't let the media leave today and say that it's us who are punishing the poor. You run the House. The Democratic

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Madigan, runs this process, and if he Speaker. wanted to do it, and if he wants to do it or if he wants to do it five minutes from now. Mr. Speaker. Vou can get whole problem solved. The checks will be on their way, and it won't be anybody... any for the worse. It's you who are not us. Now. Representative McPike. who... who is. and I hope always will be. a good friend of mine. of the other speakers today, in addressing this coveral issue, really, in a lot of ways, very artfully made light of our stress on the other parts of this package, as though, somehow, they didn't have equal significance. about a firehouse here, and somebody else said a talked Republican pork barrel project here, and so forth. T don't think that's fair, and I think when we discuss this whole to look and see issue. we've ant what theu humiliating, what they were denegrating, what thev down. We're talking about a small business loan program as part of these other two Bills that would provide food for other families. that would allow little independent businessmen to grow up and meet their... meet their dream in this great society of ours and this free enterprise system of ours. And soil conservation - maybe some of you who represent the City of Chicago. understand that. but for those of us who downstate... represent downstate Illinois, that's a That's how we feed the world. And those of you who issue. think that that isn't important to us, that that isn't our own analogue to what you're talking about here, don't understand the realities of downstate life and soil conservation. We're talking about technical schools engineering schools that can provide people who are going to provide a cure for cancer, who are going to build roads and schools and our whole future in the bigger sense of the

July 5, 1985

- ₩e're talking about. in this program. word. hazardous waste cleanup system, so that people whose lives have been threatened by the problem of toxic waste are going to have an opportunity to have their and their homes - again, an analogue to what you're talking cleaned up and saved and have a brighter future. We're talking about scientific survey resources people at the survey systems can provide new mechanisms for warning against hazardous weather conditions so that people have their lives and property saved from tornadoes and We're talking, I'm sure, about roads so forthprovide iobs. that provide an economic future for this state. We're talking about a lot more. Matre talking about a supercollider that could have the potential to turn economy of this state that has lagged in some ways over the course of the progress to the sun belt... "
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Johnson. Excuse me. Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, this is a Motion on a Conference Committee
 Report regarding the budget of the Department of Public
 Aid. Now, I'm Chairman of the Appropriations II Committee,
 and I can absolutely guarantee everybody here on this floor
 that the superconducting supercollider is not in this Bill.
 The Gentleman is not speaking to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson, confine your remarks to the issue at hand. Proceed. Sir."
- Johnson: "Representative Bowman is right. That isn't in this They didn't give us an opportunity to put it in this Bill. Bill on this Motion, so we're consigned with the discuss, like Representative opportunity to and Representative Currie and Representative AcPike vesterday. the bigger issue. But I'll accede to his wishes and close with two thoughts. One is, I intend, assuming this Motion

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

fails, acting pursuant to Rule 82 of our House Rules, in Section 10 and various Subsections of <u>Roberts* Rules of Order</u> to call my Motion that was previously out or order.,.

- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Now you are out of order. No, no. Now, you're discussing what you're... Ar. Johnson, I thought you were calm. Ar. Johnson, I thought you were calm. Mow you may address the Motion at hand. We have concluded with the parliamentary issues, and if you will address the Motion and calm down, we will allow you to continue. Proceed, Sir."
- I just hope that you'll Johnson: "I am calm, Mr. Speaker. me the opportunity, like any other Member of this House, to have my points heard. We were friends before. He*11 be In closing. friends again, but please be fair. MANIA simply sav that the Speaker of the House has prevented these checks from going out. ₩e° ve given opportunity. We're going to give you another opportunity. but for right now, we're going to vote "present". you.

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the The previous speaker tried to House. make it seem that issues were combined into some kind of a package, that somehow, the... that sewers and life support for were on the same moral plane. that we had to consider them together or not at all. I would remind everybody in this chamber that the budget for the Department of Public Aid is an annual ritual in this chamber. It is something that we do every year. it is something that we must do every year, statutes of the State of Illinois command us to fund these programs. The package that the people on the other side of

July 5, 1985

the aisle are trying to prepare, here, contains elements are novel, that are unique, that this is the first time in the... perhaps in... ever, that we have considered some of these things. They are extras. They are frills, Ladies and Gentlemen, compared to the issues contained in the Motion before us. Now, I... I hope all of us can agree is a crime that this Motion has to be considered this late in the Session. It is a crime. but i t ic not involuntary manslaughter. It is premeditated murder. T t is premeditated because the Sponsors of this legislation. Republican Sponsors, of whom the Minority Leader is one, took this Bill out of the record on several prevent the Bill from even going to a Conference Committee prior to the June 30th deadline. If we had been able to put this Bill into Conference Committee a week ago. weeks ago. and we were prepared to do this, and yet they took it out of the record, if we could have it into a Conference Committee a couple of weeks ago, we could have disposed of this issue in a timely fashion and not had gun held to our heads. No. strike that. Not had the the gun held to the heads of poor people in this state at It was a deliberate attempt orchestrated by the time. Republican Party starting as long ago as two weeks into this posture to put poor people into the posture they are now with a gun to their heads. everybody should understand where the locus responsibility lies, why we have come to this pass and why we should pass this Motion now."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, moves that the main question be put. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the

July 5, 1985

ayes have it and the main question will be put. The question is, *Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 476?* All those in favor signify by voting *aye*, those opposed vote *no*. Voting is now open. Fire Ewing, the Gentleman from Livingston, one minute to explain your vote."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the vote's much the same, but certainly, the attitude in this chamber is very different. I feel a little bit like old dog that's alternate days. Once he's kicked, and the get the next day, he's given candy to try and do Ladies and Gentlemen of this House. I'm he wants. voting 'present' because we have given you an alternative do what you want. You have turned it down. T think it's certainly time for Speaker Madigan to take the alternative that will break this move and to move an deadlock."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Levin, one minute to explain your vote."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I heard several people on the other side of the aisle refer to a deal which was not followed up on. It was broken. Well. I remember about three nights ago, there was a deal on Build Illinois, and I remember the Minority Leader getting up and talking about how good the deal was, and they voted for the first part of the deal on that side of the aisle, but then, deal, and they didn't vote for the second thev broke the So who's not voting for deals? Who's not part. concerned about Build Illinois? But that's not what I heard when I went home today and was in my office, and the calls came in from the people who didn't get their checks, and there woman who didnot get her public aid check, and on top of it, she had just had her food stamps stolen. What was she going to do? Well, about all she could do is call Mr.

July 5, 1985

And then. there's the phone call from the Daniels. individual who asked me to call his landlord, because he was going to be thrown out because his public aid check I think Mr. Daniels ought didn*t come. to call the landlord, and Mr. Daniels ought to be the one t o pay the all the public aid recipients around the for state."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Knox, Hr. Hawkinson, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Several days ago, the five parties reached an accommodation. The Senate upheld stands ready to do the same. bargain. The Governor Mr. the Daniels stands ready to do same and to DASS this of the budget and Build well as the rest budget. as Illinois. Today, this side has been accused of trying putting the three Bills together. mudd v the waters bν However, I think we all know and recognize that the offered this as another wav of breaking this logiam so that the public aid checks can go out, as well as people getting jobs and keeping jobs. We have accepted the Governor's suggestion to break this logjam. You have rejected When you're ready, we will be ready, and the it. checks can go out."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Young, one minute to explain your vote."
- Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Young: *Thank you, Mr. House. I didn*t intend to speak on this issue I thought I expressed myself yesterday. because exactly today as I did yesterday. But I wanted to iust make it clear that I think it's outrageous for the people on the other side of the aisle to tell me that if I want to vote "yes" on public aid, that I should not be allowed cast that vote individually and that you're giving us an

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

alternative by allowing us to put public aid with a 378 I think every Member of this million spending package. Body ought to have a right to vote on an individual to whether or not we're going to fund public aid and on an individual basis as to whether or not we have to million that your Governor did not request. T think it's outrageous and ridiculous, and for you to say you have offered us an alternative, it's an ultimatum. You're saying, 'I know you won't vote 'no' against public aid. You'll probably vote 'no' against 378 million Republican so we want to put them together so you won't have a pork. choice."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw, one minute to explain your vote."

Cowlishaw: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would offer my personal perspective on the vote I have cast todav. I am aware of the fact that the central message. among all the lessons of St. Paul, has to do with the corporate quality of humankind, that is, that we're all in it together. It's a sort of, 'for whom the bell tolls - no man is an island* kind of issue. And I would point out you that there must be a reason beyond my comprehension for the Speaker of the House to insist that one, and only one. appropriations Bill is important. It seems to me that the whole appropriations process is what is truly important, and I see here on the Calendar before me, appropriations Bills for the Human Rights Commission, the Services and Disaster Agency, the Department of Family Services. It seems to me that all of those Bills are of great importance, just as this one is, and once again, I sincerely have to wonder why the Speaker has chosen this one Bill, and only this one Bill. Thank Mr. Speaker."

July 5. 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Ar. Brunsvold, one minute to explain your vote."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. I don't rise to explain my vote, I rise on a point of confusion. The other night, and Build Illinois contained 1.3 billion dollars in projects. 800 million by the Governor, 500 million by Democrats, agreed on. The Republicans on that side of the aisle evidently don't know the Governor, because they weren't included in his 800 million dollars worth of projects, so they humiliated the Governor in the back of the room the other day and decided to put 400 million on their own And today, just a few speakers ago, package on. this was the Governor's suggestion to put these together. T * m Are we... Do we know him, or don't we know him? billion total, then, in Republican spending. And are supposed to he the biq spenders. I · m confused. I feel like a piker over here."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hallock, one minute to explain your vote.

 The Gentleman from Winnebago."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Τ. too. am kind of baffled, but probably about a different I've heard, for the last couple of days, from your side of the aisle asking and pleading us to call the public aid budget and pass it. But we disagreed to that on the last Motion. We agreed to pass Bills which would provide, in fact, needy assistance and also jobs But I'm really baffled, because I in Illinois. people don't understand the Democratic logic. I mean, here they are willing to support 3.4 billion dollars on an annual basis. 3.4 billion for public aid. which I guess we and that helps part of the million people in Chicago do. who need it, and we should do that. But at the same time, they won't... they want to support 3.4 billion on an annual

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

basis, they will not support 1.3 billion on a five year basis for jobs and economic development to help the unemployed in our state. Now, that logic just baffles me, and I think that's a clear message to be delivered here today. We can do both. Let's do them. I urge a 'present' vote until we can do that."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Kulas. one minute to explain your vote."

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, This is extortion with a capitol E, and the main... House. head extortionist is sitting in his chair over there, and he's sat there for two days and hasn't said a word. He's extorted the Governor, and now, he wants to extort the poor people of the State of Illinois. Why haven't we heard from him? Don't blame the Democrats. Don't blame the Speaker. blame where it belongs. You made a fool of the Put the Governor, and now you're going to make fools of evervone. Well. Governor. I'm going to apologize for this whole Body for the way you were treated on Tuesday niaht. deserves to be treated that way, and I don't think the poor people of the State of Illinois deserve treated the way the Republicans are treating them today." Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Turner, one minute

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Turner, one minute to explain your vote."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen the Assembly. know that lobbvists aren't allowed on this floor, but I consider myself both, both a Legislator and lobbyist for the poor people of this state who don't have a voice, who cannot afford to pay to have a lobbyist here to tell you their viewpoint. I am asking you and urging you pass the public aid Bill out of this that you vote to Assembly today, and that we continue with our political but not on the backs of poor people of this I've heard everybody say that, oh the poor

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

they don't want... they think that what we're doing here is wrong, and I'm asking you today, lobbying you legislation. that next... that as we come back and future prepare to deal with Bills in the future, that a Bill place, that public aid be continually funded regardless to how we decide what we're going to do on 30th. And I'm lobbying you now to free the public aid... those people in this state who are depending upon public but more importantly, because the political finagling aid. is going to continue, that this General Assembly deals with poor people, and let's not involve them in the bickering that we continue and an continue on-So I ask you not only to give us a vote today, but to help the poor people of this state stay out of the pork fights and all of political carrying on, because they don't have other any... they don't know what's going on, here, just as sure what's going on on this floor. are not But we're going to hold firm with our green lights, he asked the Members on the other side, those of you who keep saying that you're sympathetic, and you go along, and vou don't think that the poor people should be held hostage. to give them the extra six votes at this particular time and to support future legislation so that this does not again."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 47 voting 'present', and the House does... and the Motion to adopt Conference Committee #1 fails. On the Motion, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I have filed a Motion. Hould the Clerk read the Motion?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk."

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

- Clerk O'Brien: "I move to postpone the consideration of the attached Bills on the Order set forth until October 16, 1985: House Bills 66, 137, 431, 567, 655, 692, 703, 720, 993, 1188, 1279, 1285, 1500, 1769, 1918, 1921, 1977, 2232 and 2418; Senate Bills 448, 537, 830, 847, 879, 1004, 1095, 1152, 1287 and 1379. Signed by M.J. Madigan."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, on the Motion. Excuse me? Yes, Mr. McCracken, for a point of order."
- First, Representative McCracken: "Two Points, Mr. Speaker. Johnson's Motion has precedence pursuant to Roberts' Rules Second, the Gentleman's Motion is out of order. of Order. because some of the Bills he refers to do not appear on any And I call your attention specifically to House Calendars Bill 655 which. in direct violation of Rule 79(c). has not been reported on any Calendar, Supplemental or Regular, even though it's been passed by the Senate, even though the message has been transmitted to the Clerk, even though Rule 79(c) requires mandatorily that that 3ill so appear. appears nowhere on any Calendar, and I didnot catch all of the Bills in question, but at least a few others appear on any Calendar anywhere. The Gentleman's do not Motion, for both reasons, is out of order. I'd like a relative to Representative Johnson's previously put Motion and my Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. HcCracken, if the Chair understands your objection, first let me tell you that Mr. Madigan's Motion is a Motion to postpone and accordingly, has precedence over any of the Motions that might otherwise be put. That is the question on that issue. And on the... As far... And that would be accordance with individual... description of classes in individual order and precedence of Motions as it appears in <u>Roberts* Rules of Order</u>. Insofar as the other

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

question is concerned, the Bill is within the House, and there would be nothing that would stop us from dealing with it on a Motion. Mr. Johnson, for what purpose do you seek recognition? For what purpose, Mr. Johnson? A point of order. Proceed, Sir."

Johnson: "Who controls that electrician, by the way? Every time

I get to a point that I want to make that you don't want me
to make, somehow, it magically shuts off."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "My point is this: Rule 82, as I've previously stated. think you agree, makes - and I think VOU! VE acknowledged that in your ruling - makes Roberts Rules of Order, newly revised, governing of the House in all matters where they are applicable, and in all matters where they are not otherwise in conflict with the Rules I want to call your attention Assembly. Then. General specifically, and I want you to rule on this specific point of order, that my Motion that I filed and that we discussed earlier, a consolidated Roll Call is in order, for this reason, and that is this, pages 95 and 96... "

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Johnson. We are not addressing your Motion. Your Motion has not been called. not recognized you for your Motion. You have filed a Motion, indeed you have, as have others. You have, several times in debate, referred to your Motion, but that's filing īt. Mr... Mr. Johnson. We are on Mr. Madigan's Motion. He has made a Motion to postpone... Mr. Johnson, please. Mr. electrician, leave Mr. Johnson Johnson to conduct himself Wе expect Mr. on. courteous Now-Mr. Madigan has filed a Motion to way. It is a subsidiary Motion which has precedence, postpone. in accordance with Roberts! Rules of Order. The Chair is making that holding. Your Motion is not before the

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Now, Mr. Johnson, did you wish to respond? Excuse me,
Clerk for a Calendar announcement. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk.
Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #3 is being distributed."
Speaker Greiman: "Hr. Johnson. Excuse me, Mr... Now, Mr.

- Johnson: "I'll withhold my specific comments on this specific rule until Representative Vinson talks, and I'd like to yield my time to Representative Vinson."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from... Well, let's see. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I am advised that not only Mr. Madigan but... and now this entire chamber should be advised that in the event that Mr. Madigan's Motion is considered and in the event that Mr. Madigan's Hotion should pass this House, the Governor will issue a proclamation immediately calling this General Assembly back into Session at 10:00 tomorrow with these specific Bills on the call... on his Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson, a question. Has
 that... Mr. Vinson, was that a point of order you were
 rising to now?"

Vinson: "I am just... No. It is debate on... "

Speaker Greiman: "... Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker, relative to your ruling on my Motion. Now, we see the Supplemental Calendar appear with some of these Bills put on the Order of Business. Now and only now, does the Gentleman's Motion comport with the rules. But since July 3rd, House Bill 655 has been available for publication on the Calendar. Since July 3rd, we've had the opportunity, or should have had the opportunity, to have these called for a vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Make your point concisely and briefly, Sir."

McCracken: "And only in the context of a Motion to Postpone

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

Consideration do we see these Bills come alive."

- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from Marion, Mr.
- Friedrich: "Well, I'd just like to say, we've got... records are made to be broken. We've got a new one. That's the first time it took three days for a Senate Hessage to get on the Calendar, and I'm happy it finally got here, but I challenge anybody else to show one that took longer."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."
- Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry, and actually, a request. I went up to the well to see if I could get a copy of the Bills that we're postponing. They were read rather rapidly. They say that isn't available. I had asked earlier for a very personal little Bill that I have for a Senator, if it could be postponed. I don't believe that it was read in the list, and I'm wondering, well...
- Speaker Greiman: "1152. Wasn't that the question? Yes. Right there."
- Ewing: "Yes. Yes. 1152. I thank you, but you know, I*m... Hy
 request is that we have a chance to look at this list. We
 know that you can gavel this through. You have proven that
 today. You've proven it on many days. But certainly, the
 fairness that you all indicate that you have on your side
 would give us a few moments to review this list."
- Speaker Greiman: "Of course. Of course, Mr. Ewing, you may certainly have an opportunity to examine the list, and while you are examining it, we will call on Mr. Piel. Is this... Mr. Piel, now, Mr. Madigan has put the Motion to postpone. There have been several points of order. Mr. Piel, are you on the Motion? On the Motion, Mr. Piel.
- Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. According to our rules, first of all, we cannot

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

act on anything that's on a Supplemental Calendar until the Calendar's been on our desk for an hour. It was on our desk. and as I recall. it was about five minutes ago that it was announced. Second thing - You know, I would like the Chair to sort of answer. I mean. the question's brought up a couple of times. Mr. Speaker: reference to the one Bill that's on the Calendar. the first day that this thing has finally... 655 has finally appeared on the Calendar. This is something that very serious. Today, it might be one Bill. Tomorrow. it might be somebody else's Bill or somebody else's where it's arbitrary where the Speakers... you know. know. I don't like that Bill or I don't like this Bill. going to hold in our back offices underneath a bunch of papers for two, three, four days until we decide to on the Calendar. Oh, fine, and everybody's looking at it as a political gesture right now, but Gentlemen of this House, what happens to one individual today can happen to you tomorrow. If you don't have... you have a Bill that the Speaker doesn't like, look out. might come over from the Senate and be lost. And I can't believe that the Chair is making such light out these proceedings, Mr. Speaker, and you know, if it's not something that you don't like, you sort of smile and shrug and say, 'Relax.' But this is something where you are trampling on the rights of the other Members of this both Democrat and Republican, House. and it should not This House should be run in an orderly way happen. can get finished with the business of the people of the State of Illinois, but if we have to stay here Saturday, Sunday, or even Monday, Mr. Speaker, we will stay here even Monday."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Davis."

71st Legislative Day July 5, 1985

Davis: "Aloha... Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Davis?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry. I did not quite hear Speaker Madigan's Motion. I heard the Bills, and I tried to jot them down. I understand there's a list in front of us. What time did... What time certain did he indicate he wished to put these over to? I'm sorry. I can't hear you. I'll just ask the Chair. I don't wish to bother Speaker Madigan. He's in a Conference."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, you'll have to ask me, then, I suppose."

Davis: "Well, I will, I'll ask you, then,"

Speaker Greiman: "What can I... What can I do for you?"

Davis: "Was it... Was it October 16th? Someone standing...

Representative Cullerton..."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. it was October the 16th. Yes."

Davis: "Well, let me suggest to the Body that every single Bill on that list in the budget will be back in here tomorrow morning at 10:00 because the Governor's going to call a Special Session to have them in here, and we'll be sitting here discussing the entire budget for as long as it takes.

Aloha, Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. And to correct the record for all of you, and I don't know this for a fact, but I have been told that one of your Members in your Caucus stated that I was leaving for Hawaii on Monday. And just to clarify the record, I have no plans at all ever to go to Hawaii. So, let the record reflect that."

Speaker Greiman: "There goes the Hawaiian vote. Further discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson, excuse me. You spoke on this. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

71st Legislative Day July 5, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Pursuant to what rule is the Motion made?"

Speaker Greiman: "Roberts" Rules of Order. It's a... It's a subsidiary Motion to postpone. Quite appropriate under the rules. We did it on some other Order of Business recently."

McCracken: "Roberts! Rules applies only when our rules don't...
and our rules... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan. And our... And our rules provide that. Mr. Madigan."

McCracken: "And our rules provide... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker. Mr. McCracken, give us all about ten seconds, then he can rest for awhile. So, I have been requested by the Governor to come to his office to For the full understanding of the discuss this matter. Membership, this Motion would take the two Build Illinois appropriation Bills and set them for hearing on the Fall And in my judgment, the adoption of this Motion would eliminate further consideration of the appropriation for Build Illinois and permit us to proceed to consider the Governor's Budget as given to us by Mr. Thompson. He has asked me to come to his office with Mr. Daniels to discuss the situation. In my judgment, we should accede to the request of the Governor, but we can just simply stand at because if there is no resolution of the impasse, we shall return to the floor, and we shall consider this Motion, and my judgment is, the Motion will be adopted. So, Mr. Speaker, if we could simply stand at ease."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan, it is the intention of the Chair to stand at ease with the proviso that when we return from standing at ease, we will be on this Order of Business in precisely the same position and posture. And on that, the

July 5, 1985

House will stand at ease until such time as the Chair calls... at the call of the Chair.

- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar 24 is being distributed."

 Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, there's no need for excitement immediately. This is just to announce to those over in their offices that they should start to come over to the floor, because we'll be ready to begin in about 10 minutes. So again, for those in their offices, you should begin to come over to the House chamber. The House shall
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I.d like to have a 45 minute recess for the purpose of a Republican Conference in Room 118 immediately."

come to order. The Chair recognizes Ar. Friedrich."

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich, do you think we could do it in
- Friedrich: "I... Well, we have a lot of people on our side of the aisle who want to express their opinion. I'll try it."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. Ar. Giglio."
- Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, the Democrats would like to caucus immediately."
- Speaker Madigan: "Alright, so all Members will QQ to their respective Caucuses. Let's try to do it in one half hour. The House shall come to order. Thank you. The shall be in their chairs. The Chair would like tο recognize the presence of the state's two leading citizens, Governor James and Jayne Thompson. Welcome. First Bill to be called will be Senate Bill 448 on Supplemental Calendar #3. That Bill shall be taken together with Senate Bill 1095 on Supplemental Calendar #3 and Senate Bill 476 Supplemental Calendar #1. Those Bills shall be taken together on one Roll Call. The Chair recognizes Mr. McPike. Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike, we have called for the consideration of three Bills together Senate Bill 448, Senate Bill 1095, Senate Bill 476, these Bills to be taken on one Roll Call."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could the... I presume these are all on Conference Committees, and I presume that this is all Conference Committee Report &1 on all three, Mr. Clerk."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike, relative to Senate Bill 448, I believe that the Motion will be to adopt the First Conference Committee Report. The same would apply to Senate 3ill 1095 and Senate Bill 476. Mr. McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you very much. Ladies and Gentlemen. T move tο adopt Conference Committee Report \$1 to Senate 3ill 448, Senate Bill 1095 and Senate Bill 476. These include public aid budget that we've debated for the last two days. they include substantive language which is cleanup language for the Build Illinois program that we passed the other night. During the process of putting together the substantive language in order to cut 500 million dollars the Governor's original proposal, we had to strike out of various language for broad categories that would authorize spend money in areas like coal conversion, for scrubbers, for soil conservation, for wildlife and various others. Ыe want to put that substantive language back in the authorization Bill. that if in this fiscal year or in future fiscal years, if we appropriate line item monies for those purposes, the Governor will have the authority to spend it. That, together with the public aid budget, I would move for adoption of these Reports."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all

July 5. 1985

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall voted who wish? On this question, there are 103 'aye', take the record. *no*. These Reports. having votina received an Extraordinary Constitutional Majority, are adopted, and the Bills are hereby declared passed. The next matter will Special Call. Appropriations. Human Services. will read the Bills. Mr. Clerk, are you able to read the page number that these Bills appear on the Calendar as you read the Bills? That's the number on the left side. The Clerk shall read the Bills on this read that also. Special Order of Call, Appropriations. Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 559 on Calendar page four; House Bill 660, page four; 661, on page four; 663, on page four; 664, on page four; 672, on page five; 679, on page five; 683, on page five; and Senate Bill 483, on page seven."
- Speaker Madigan: "On that Order, the Chair recognizes Mr.

 Hastert."
- Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Hastert: "Thank vou. Mr. This Order of Call. House 8111 659. is the appropriation for the Human Rights Commission. The amount is \$726.000. House Bill 660. the appropriation for the Department of Human Rights. appropriation o f an \$3.947.800. Senate Bill 661, the appropriation for the Medical Center Commission, an appropriation of \$1,792,100. House Bill 663+ the appropriation for the Emergency Agency. Disaster an appropriation Services and \$26,658,200. House Bill 664, the appropriation for the Department αf Children and Family Services. ลก \$256,193,600. House Bill appropriation of 672 . the appropriation for the Department of Public Health, an \$185,674,800. House Bill appropriation o f 679. the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities and also the Department of Guardianship and Advocacy, an

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

appropriation of \$679,705,900. House Bill 683, the Historical Library, an appropriation of \$10,353,800. House Bill 483, the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board, an appropriation of \$149,218,800. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that those Bills pass.

Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes Mr. Anthony Young to explain his vote."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen Young: of the House. In... the Conference Committee Report on House is the budget for the Department which Children and Family Service, there is an appropriation in the sum of \$500,000 for the establishment of demonstration project for sex offenders. For the purposes of legislative intent, I'd like the record to clearly that Senate Bill 1262 was rejected by this House when it failed on the Consent Calendar. And I believe this House shows that it rejected the use of the drug known as And I'd like the record to reflect that even depo-provera. though we are in support of the budget and we're in support of the program, we are in opposition to the drug depo-provera and its use."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dwight Friedrich, to explain his vote." Friedrich: "We eliminated the appropriation for guardianship and advocacy when it came through here, and the Senate and Conference Committee for some reason, put it back in. Ŧ have no choice now but to vote for this Roll Call, will tell you that I will be appealing to the Governor to reduce that appropriation so that this Commission will nο longer be a nuisance to the people of the State of Illinois."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 3 voting 'no'. These Bills, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, are hereby declared... Mr. Vitek."
- Vitek: "Please show that my switch wasn't working. I intend to vote 'aye' on this Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "So, let the record show that Mr. Vitek is recorded as "aye". These Bills, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, are hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be House Bill 567. The Chair recognizes Mr. Daniels. This matter is on page four of the regular Calendar and it would be a Motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report."
- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 667 which is the IHDA funding... 567. It's got the wrong number up there, Tony. Stay alert, Tony. Which is the IHDA appropriation. The original Bill raised the Illinois Housing Development Authority's bond authorization by \$1,000,000,000. And I would ask for a favorable approval of First Conference Committee Report on 567."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Report will signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no".

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 83 "ayes", 20 voting "no". This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Hajority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be Senate Bill 830. The Chair recognizes Mr. Tate. This Bill appears on page seven of the regular Calendar. Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

July 5, 1985

Senate Bill 830 amends the Civil Administrative Code to authorize the Department of Conservation to assess fees for the use of facilities under the jurisdiction of the Department and to permit the Department to develop and operate public accommodations and service facilities on land under this jurisdiction and to permit the Department to offer cash incentives not exceeding 35% of the total documented cost of qualified bidders for the development of any concession complex. I move for its adoption."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On question there are 100 'ayes' 6 voting 'no'. this This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Order shall be Appropriations Order II - State Government. Regulation Revenue. The Clerk shall read the Bills on this Order of Call along with the page on the Calendar where these Bills appear."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bills 652, on page four; 653, on page four; 657, on page four; 666, on page four; 668, on page four; 669, on page four; 670, on page four; and 674, on page five. Senate Bills 452, on page five; 454, on page five; and 459, on page six."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to adopt the Conference Committee Reports on the following Bills. House Bill 652, Prison Review Board, \$816,700. House Bill 653, Local Government Law Enforcement Officers Training Board, \$7,203,400. House Bill 657, Educational Labor Relations Board, \$1,302,952. House Bill

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

666, Illinois Commerce Commission, \$14,526,800. House Bill 668. Department of Revenue. \$1.738.646.700. House Bill 669. Local and State Labor Relations Board. \$2,128,000. House Bill 670, Illinois Racing Board, \$7,144,400. Bill 674, Department of Energy and Natural Resources, \$79.925.800. Department of Conservation. \$107,711,600. Capital Development Board, \$5.128.100. Senate Bill 452. Bill 454, Lieutenant Governor, \$1,592,600. Senate Bill 459. the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Commission, \$850,000."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of those Bills will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ar.

 Brunsvold, to explain his vote."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that

 I would have voted 'no' on Department of Conservation
 budget."
- Speaker Madigan: "Let the record so reflect. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 6 voting 'no'. These Bills, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, are hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 2418. Representative Daniels. This matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #3. Mr. Daniels."
- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2418 amends the Illinois Coal and Energy Development Bond Act to require that \$2,000,000 of the bond already authorized shall be used to develop alternative forms of energy. I move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2418."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish?

July 5, 1985

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 2 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 692. Mr. Cullerton, this matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #4. Mr. Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen the House. I move that we adopt Conference Committee #1. One second please. Could you come back to it. Mr. Speaker? This Conference Committee would I'm sorry. I have it. allow municipalities to serve notice of multiple like violations of any ordinance in nonlailable cases certified mailing and would require the Secretary of State to pull the drivers license of anyona upon certification that has failed for 30 days to satisfy any final civil judgment arising from failure to pay 20 or more parking violations."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no". Have all voted who wish?

 Mr. Mautino, to explain his vote."
- Mautino: "Thank you. I did have my light on to ask a question.

 My analysis of 692 also provides for a suspension for two
 or more warrants outstanding. Is that correct?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Could you repeat the question, please?"

- Mautino: "On page two of the Section, line five, that Section, there are two or more warrants outstanding. An individual would lose their drivers license be suspended without a hearing on the drivers license or permit for that person."
- Cullerton: "That's current law. The new language refers to 20 or more outstanding warrants."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson, to explain his vote."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, with
the change to 20 warrants, I think this becomes a
reasonable Bill. I would ask you to reconsider this matter
and to put green votes up on this Bill, because I believe
that it's an essential part of the process."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mays, to explain his vote."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know the Secretary of State's Office has worked with the Sponsor of this measure to try to get language that's acceptable to him. I believe that this Report reflects and embodies that language. I believe it's a program that can be administered properly. I would urge its support."

Have all voted Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? who Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the wish? record. On this... Mr. Clerk, leave the score board open, Alright, the record has been taken, but this Call shall be dumped. Once again, those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This matter will require 71 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this there are 74 'ayes', 33 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Order shall be Appropriations Special Order #3 - Public, Safety, Regulation and Licensure. The Clerk shall read the Bills on this Order of Call along with the pages where the Bills appear on the Regular Calendar. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1097, on page #5. Senate Bill 403, on page #5; 460, on page #6; 461, on page #6; 464, on page #6;

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

468, on page #6; 470, on page #6; 473, on page #6; 475, on page #6; 480, on page #6; and 481, on page #6.

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Barnes."

vou. Ar. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Barnes: "Thank House. I move to adopt the following Bills in Conference 403. Report: Senate Bi 11 Court of Claims Award. \$5,630,739; Senate Bill 460, the Industrial Commission, \$5,715,100; Senate Bill 461, Court of Claims, \$5,617,100; Senate Bill 464. Department of Registration and Education. \$10,034,700; Senate Bill 468the Department οf Agriculture, 846,546,200; Senate Bill 470, the Fire Marshall, \$6,176,000; Senate Bill 473, Department Nuclear Safety, \$14,092,900; Senate Bill 475, Bureau of the \$12.613.200; Senate Bill 480. Budget. Department Corrections. \$398.454.800: Senate Bill 481. Department of Law Enforcement, \$138,916,700; Senate (sic - House) 8111 1097, Supreme Court, \$129,260,600."

Speaker Madigan: "Have you finished?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor, please,"

Speaker Madigan: "Question of... The Sponsor indicates she will yield."

Mulcahey: "Representative Barnes, the... you mentioned the Supreme Court, how much is the Supreme Court budget this year above and beyond what it was last year or is it below, probably?"

Barnes: "Well, as it was introduced, it was \$127,804.9. As it passed the House, it was \$127,804.9. As it passed the Senate, it was \$126,211.8. The Conference Committee is a \$129,260.6."

Mulcahey: "So, what does that mean? What is the answer to my question?"

71st Legislative Day July 5, 1985

Barnes: "That means the difference between 27... about \$12,000."

Mulcahey: "Above last year's?"

Barnes: "That is correct."

Mulcahey: "What does that go for? Where is it at?"

Barnes: "Just one minute and I'll get back to you. Part of that includes the Judges' pay raises and then the entire court system."

Mulcahey: "I beg your pardon, the Judges" pay raises?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "How much were the Judges' pay raises?"

Barnes: "I'm really not the Sponsor of the Bill. I can see why they gave it to me."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz."

Barnes: "The ... "

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz will answer the question."

Leverenz: "The Bill was about 20% increased over the previous year. The bulk of the 20%, if not all of it, is consumed with the new probation services division that we put in there for two million, three, six, one. I would ask for your 'aye' vote to pass the Bill or adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Clerk for a Calendar announcement."

Clerk Leone: "Supplemental #5, that House Calendar is now being distributed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There is a pay raise for the

Judges in there. Is that correct? What... How much...

What kind of a pay raise are they getting this year?"

Leverenz: "6 1/2% is the personal services increase."

Mulcahey: "I see. Alright, the Department of Agriculture, for example, what kind of a... how is that budget compared to... to last year's?"

71st Legislative Day July 5, 1985

Leverenz: "Good."

Mulcahey: "Representative Barnes."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "The Agriculture budget is up 3.2%. I think it is better than good. Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you very much. Any pay raises involved in the

Department of Agriculture? Does that remain pretty much

the same as it was last year?"

Barnes: "Just the same as for the rest of the state employees..."

Mulcahey: "Okay, and the series of Bills that you alluded to that
we're going to vote on in one lump sum, the only pay raises
involved, of course, are for the Judges of the Supreme
Court. Is that correct?"

Barnes: "No, all the state employees."

Mulcahey: "Oh, state... Alright, the state employees. Is that correct?"

Barnes: "They get a... Yes, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "No. I don't think it's necessary. It's a good
Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of these will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Make sure the Clerk records Mr. Mulcahey as 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk On this question there are 110 shall take the record. 'aye', no one voting 'no'. These Bills, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, are hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 1857. Mr. Daniels. This appears on page three of the regular Calendar. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1857 creates the Water Commission Act of 1985. It

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

provides funding mechanism for that Water Commission, and I would ask for your favorable support and adoption of this Bill_{a}

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I wholeheartedly support this fine Bill. Been waiting to vote for it all Session."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will... Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Mulcahey: "The water... What Act was that, Representative
Daniels?"

Daniels: "Water Commission Act of 1985."

Mulcahey: "Where does that affect? Does that affect the entire state, is that the idea?"

Daniels: "It affects Cook County and DuPage County."

Mulcahey: "DuPage County. Could you elaborate a little more on that? How does it affect DuPage County?"

Daniels: "Yes. Let me tell you. I'm happy you asked that question because I've been waiting all Session to tell you the story. Lake Michigan has too much water in it and we want to drain some of that water down through Cook County into DuPage. And in so doing, we intend to buy our water from Cook County and spend about \$30,000,000 a year to the citizens of Chicago to assist in their revenue projects. So, that's why Chicago is really very interested in the sale because we'll spend about \$30,000,000 a year."

Mulcahey: "Oh, well, that's not too... I thought it was about \$17,000,000. So that's... Okay. Well... Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

- O*Connell: "Representative Daniels, portions of DuPage County are already receiving Lake Michigan water, specifically, I refer to the Village of Burr Ridge. Will the property tax apply to the Village of Burr Ridge?"
- Daniels: "No. No. All worked out with them. Mayor... is absolutely delighted and endorses this legislation."
- O°Connell: "So there is no double taxation for the Village of Burr Ridge?"
- Daniels: "Correct. My brother lives in Burr Ridge. We wouldnot allow that to happen."
- O*Connell: "Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels moves that the House do concur Senate Amendment. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall record. On this question there are 95 'aves'. 12 *nos*. This Bill, having received а Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. next Bill shall be House Bill 694. This appears on page the Supplemental Calendar. The Chair recognizes Mr. Saltsman for a Motion. Mr. Saltsman."
- Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman (sic Speaker). Voting on the prevailing side on the Conference Committee Report which lost on 694, I vote for reconsideration of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion is adopted. Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to adopt Conference Committee #1 on House Bill 694. This is a Bill which we've voted on more than once before which appropriates funds. Allows for the appropriation of funds to the County of Cook to reimburse them for the cost of the State's Attorney's Office in the

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Appellate Section. Appreciate your favorable support.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson."

- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, after... reflecting on Mr. Cullerton's arbitrary in the subject for the full Session, I now decided that this is, in fact, an essential part of the process and would urge an 'aye' vote on the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. 0n this question there 35 voting 'no'. This Bill. having received a Constitutional Majority... Extraordinary Majority. hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 1070, Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the the Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill House. 1070 is the FY '86 state appropriation to Elementary Secondary Education, amounting to \$2,612,000,000.4. This 386.2 million dollars over FY *85 estimated expenditures. The highlights are the reform programs which passed in this Session reflecting substantive reform amounting to 99.3 million dollars. at \$200.000.000 over FY 185 State aid is funded expenditures. Teacher's retirement is at the 60% pavout or \$32,000,000 over FY '85 expenditures. level And mandated categoricals, which are funded at 100%, are funded at 29.8 million over FY '85 expenditures. I would like tο compliment all of those who worked on education reform and none the least of which was Dick Mulcahey. In fact, if it were up to me, you'd get a salary increase for all the hard work you've done. But I think they did an

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

outstanding job. And Illinois now, I believe, is at the forefront in education reform in providing quality education. I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1070 and appreciate your support.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates he will vield."

Mulcahey: "Representative Matijevich, I understand we've had a great deal of discussion throughout the state in the last two years regarding the mythical 51% funding. It's my understanding now, with this appropriation, we shall approach approximately 45% of that 51. Is that correct?"

Mulcahey: "Thank you very much. I think it's a great Bill. We should all vote 'ave'."

Matijevich: "I'm with ... I'm with you, Dick."

Matijevich: "I believe that's correct. Dick."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? This is for education everybody.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "There is just no telling, Mr. Speaker, when these red heads will turn pleasant."

Speaker Madigan: "The next Bill shall be Senate Bill 453,

Representative Barnes. Senate Bill 453, Representative

Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 453 appropriates \$224,226,400 to the Capital Development Board for new capital projects. And I would move do adopt on the Conference Committee Report."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. shall take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 7 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared The next Bill shall be Senate Bi 11 458. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 458 appropriates \$262,119,700 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. And I would move do adopt on the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to be recorded... I'll punch myself as 'no', but I'd like to explain well. why first. This Bill provides that the Transportation Department lose \$16,000,000 to the **Environmental** Protection Agency for the auto, maintenance, and inspection cost. It's nothing but blackmail highway robbery from the federal government forcing us to spend money of all the people of the State of Illinois for two areas of the state. And I think it's a terrible crime that we, in the State Illinois, can't control our own destiny. This is money that we pay in taxes, and I think it's very unnecessary begin with, but besides that point, I don't think we should cave into the Federal Government anymore. And I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On

71st Legislative Day

July 5. 1985

this question there are 83 "ayes", 23 voting "no". This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be Senate Bill 467. Representative Barnes."

- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 467 appropriates \$492,636,700 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs, and I would move do adopt on the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting "no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The shall take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 4 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be Senate Bi 11 472. Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 472 appropriates \$1,931,447,759 for the Department of Transportation's budget. It also includes \$3,794,000 for the Department of Labor's budget. And I move do adopt on the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? This is transportation everybody.

 This is for Secretary 'Baiz'. Have all voted who wish?

 The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 4 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be Senate Bill 552, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

 House. I would move to adopt the First Conference

July 5, 1985

Committee Report for the Legislative Support Agency's budget, the total is \$10,289,366. I would ask for your green vote to adopt the Report."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 98 Bill. 'ayes', 13 'nos'. This having received Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared The next Bill will be Senate Bill 134. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. Here is the one that I would hope you would put me
 in the Century Club with. I move to adopt the First
 Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 134 and request
 your 'aye' vote so we can get to the Second Conference
 Committee Report. No?"
- Speaker Madigan: "I believe your, Mr. Leverenz, I believe that your Motion is do not adopt."

Leverenz: "I'll go for that."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine. The Gentleman moves that the..."

Leverenz: "...Now?"

- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 134. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 134 and requests the appointment of a Second Conference Committee. The next Bill shall be House Bill 769 (sic 1769). Mr. Giorgi, this matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #4."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Conference Committee Report #1 to House

 Bill 769 (sic 1769) provides for the governing board of

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

the Fox Valley Airport Authority which allows the four mayors to appoint members, the Mayor of St. Charles, Geneva... and West Chicago and five members be appointed by the DuPage County Board Chairman. And the other part of the Bill has to do with the... And I urge the support of the Assembly of this Bill."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Bill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all... Mr. Vinson, to explain his vote."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this Bill is a good Bill and a most important Bill. And I would urge all Members to vote for this most essential part of the process."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall taka the Mr. Cullerton On this question there are 76 record. "no". *ayes*. 27 This Bill, having received Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 720. Mr. This matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #5. The Chair recognizes Mr. Vinson for a Motion."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on a previous occasion when tempers
 were foul, I believe we made a mistake. And I would move
 that this House reconsider the vote by which the
 concurrence on House Bill 720 failed."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote.

 Those in favor say "aye", those opposed say "no". The

 'ayes' have it. The vote by which this matter failed has
 been reconsidered. Mr. Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. I would move that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. The underlying Bill is the citizen's assembly. The Amendments provide for additional leadership for the Senate only, and appropriate level of

July 5, 1985

compensation for the leaders through the Amendment. I would move for its concurrence."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the Sill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Ar.

 Cullerton, to explain his vote."
- "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe I was the one Cullerton: that made the Motion the other night when we talked about some of the fine leaders in the Senate that this would affect, including Senator Geo-Karis, the first woman leader the Republican side in the Senate. I believe, and also, we talked about the substantive Bill itself. the men in the Reorganization Act. Иe had the councils that were affected, including the concerning Council Children. Economic Development. on Fnerav Resources. Mental Health. Developmental Disabilities. Public Aid, school problems and the Council on Women... concurring with these Motions also be passing the Bill. I believe for that reason it would be worthwhile to support the Motion."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman, to explain his vote."

"Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen Hoffman: the House. This Bill includes a number of, I think, very significant items. Gives us an opportunity for ... seven of the major areas, input from citizens outside the process although the advisory committees will be Members of the Legislature, plus members appointed by the respective leaders. You noticed that there are some *present* votes on the board. Some of those *present* votes are there because of... those individuals are directly and personally affected by the Amendment on this Bill. Ladies Gentlemen, I think those people in the leadership that

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

would have a small - miniscule, if you will - increase in their compensation are very deserving. And I would Members of the House who have voted or who have not supported the Bill at this present time, to join with and the sixty other Members of the House to recognize the work and the effort of Members of the Body who are directly affected by this as well as recognizing the importance significance of the various specific items addressed by the citizens' advisory council. And I would like to ask, well in fact, I would like to ask nine more people to join with those... about eight more people to join with those of us who are presently on the board and see the wisdom of the Majority as we move forward in this decade of progress into moving closer every day and every minute to the next century. And we want to have these people with us and like to have those commissions with those particular issues represented. We're going the wrong way. I'll conclude my comments on that and ask you to either use your judgment or otherwise... and put the votes on the board."

- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? We need four more. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Davis, to explain his vote."
- Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't think anybody in this chamber would want to face either of the Senators that are involved in this Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 71 'ayes', 35 'no'.

 This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next 3ill shall be House Bill 1285, Mr. Olson. This appears on page five of the Calendar."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

July 5, 1985

Bill 1285 is an initiative which amends the House. Administrative Procedures Act, and it permits the to submit an agenda of rules that is considering proposing to the <u>Illingis Register</u>. The effect of Amendment #1 was to add elements of a provision asked for by the State Fire Marshall which suggests that thev*11 in accordance with the IAPA conduct a hearing receiving appeal from the order of the Deputy of the Office of the State Fire Marshall. I would move for the adoption of Concurrence Report #1 on House Bill 1285."

- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the passage of the dill will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Hajority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be House Bill 1279, Mr. McPike. This Bill appears on page five of the Regular Calendar.
- McPike: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1279, the RTA vehicle Bill that Jack Davidson I worked one provides very small changes for both downstate and the RTA. It allows demonstration that will not count against the fare box recovery. allows for a security force grant from the RTA to the three various factors. That will not count against fare And it provides the grants to the RTA for recovery. service to the disabled. I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish?

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 80 'ayes', 28 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be House Bill 431, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ropp, excuse me. This matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #4. Ar. Ropp."

Ropp: "I want to commend you and the Minority Leader and the Governor for working out such an outstanding arrangement. seems like things are moving along quite well. Bill 431 is a Bill that the Conference Committee Report has come back, and we want to concur with Conference Committee Report #1 which means that we recommend the House concur The essence of the dill with Senate Amendment 1. initially to add two additional membars of the State Fair Advisory Board and in addition to it, the Senate states that the one county in the state, namely, DuPage shall take over their DuPage County Fair. And I move for acceptance of this House Bill 431... Conference Committee 1."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 78 'ayes', 28 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be Senate Bill 847, Mr. Saltsman. This matter appears on page seven of the regular Calendar. Mr. Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker. I move to adopt Conference

Committee Report 2 to Senate Bill 847. The House recedes

from House Amendment 4 which concerns financing to county

July 5, 1985

jails and courthouses. The Bill is further amended as follows: Language originally contained in Senate Bill 846 concerning eligible investments which the authority may engage in is added; and the Authority's infrastructure assistance program is amended to delete the provisions concerning creation and the evaluation committee as well as a limitation on the size or a unit of government which may participate in a program. I ask for its adoption.*

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to adopt the Conference Those in favor will signify by voting Committee Report. 'ave'. those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 101 'aye', 9 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared The next order shall be Appropriations State Government Administration. The Chair Order #11. recognizes Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur in Amendment #1 to House 3ill 651.

And with that concurrence, \$1,206,900 will be appropriated for the Savings and Loan Commission budget. Should I go right down the line?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes, please."

Barnes: "House Bill 656, I move to concur in Amendment #1 and with that concurrence, \$1,184,921 will be appropriated to the Pollution Control Board. I move to concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 665 (sic - 656) and with that concurrence \$8,567,000 will be appropriated to the Military and Naval Department. I move to concur in Amendment #1 with House Bill 667 and with that concurrence, \$1,089,700 will be appropriated for the Illinois Liquor Control Commission. I move to concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 671 and with

July 5, 1985

that concurrence, \$791,800 will be appropriated to the Property Tax Appeal Board. That's \$791,800. concur in Amendment \$2 to House Bill 673 and with concurrence. \$195,561,800 will be appropriated to the Department of Employment Security. I move to concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 676 and with that concurrence, we will appropriate \$12,443,900 to the General Retirement System. We will appropriate \$2,386,600 for the Judges' Retirement System. It will be \$10,057,300. to concur in Amendments \$1,2,3,4 and 5 to House Bill 678 and with that concurrence, we will appropriate \$139,640,600 to the Department of Rehabilitation Services."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Braun: "Thank With regard to the appropriation for the retirement system, I would like to express... I intend to vote for these Bills as presented to the General Assembly. regard to the retirement system Bills, I will vote for those with... understanding and the reservation that the retirement systems have indicated they will study the riskiness of their investments in South Africa and that with that reservation and on the conditions that they will look at the issue of the investments in South damage that those investments due to the funds, I will vote for that appropriation."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the for concurrence will signify by voting *aye*, those opposed voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall On this question there are 108 *aves*. 1 the record. These Motions having person voting "no". received a Constitutional Extraordinary Najority, the Rills are declared passed. The next Bill will be House Bi 11 1500.

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Mr. Keane. This appears on page three of the regular

- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1500 amends the State
 Auditing Act. It's a multiple audit provision. The Senate
 amended it by providing for audits of public funds of
 county officials as soon as possible after leaving office.
 And we clarify that in terms of legislative intent to say
 that the county... to point out that the county auditor is
 qualified to perform such an audit. I would ask for
 concurrence with Senate Amendment & I to House Bill 1500."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion for concurrence will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Motion having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, the Bill is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 2232, Mr. Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 and #2 to House Bill 2232."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in... Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for one question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Parke: "Yes?"

- Hawkinson: "What would the effective date be on the Senate

 Amendment regarding the appointment of Superintendent of

 Schools?"
- Parke: "At this point, I do not have an answer for your question."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Motion will signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no".

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 93
ayes, 12 voting *no*. This Motion having received a
Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, the Bill is hereby
declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 1924,
Mr. Stephens. This appears on Supplemental Calendar #4."
Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. I would move for concurrence on Senate Amendments

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahev."

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker. What does it do, Representative Stephens?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

#1. #2 and #3 on House Bill 1924."

Stephens: "Well, the underlying Bill was an exchange of the Department of Conservation and the Metro East hetween Sanitary District. Senate Amendment #1 changes reference It's a technical changing acreage from 140.93 to from... 141.01. Senate Amendment #2 authorizes the Department Conservation to convey approximately 153 acres of real to the Quality Stone Company o f Illinois, in exchange for approximately 80 acres of real property near the Illinois Cabins Geological Formation in Monroe County. It also requires all incidental expenses in connection with the land exchange to be paid for by the Quality Stone Company. Also authorizes the Department Conservation to convey certain real property to the Village City to be used for recreational purposes. Provides that the property shall be... in the event property seizes to be used for recreational purposes. Senate Amendment #3 authorizes the Department of Central Management Services to convey certain parcels of real... parcels of real property totaling approximately 227.56 acres in Union County for the price of \$300 per acre until June 30, 1986."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey, did you hear all that? Thank you. Those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there 94 'aye', 15 'nos'. The Motion having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, the Bill is hereby declared passed. The next Bill shall be House Bill 1977, Hr. McCracken. This matter appears on Supplemental Calendar #4."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move this House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1977. There are two parts to it. One, is cleanup technical language, which was the original Bill and which passed. And the other is to bring the Governor's appointees of the various boards under the Compensation Review Act. And I move its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor please yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield. Ar.

McCracken."

McCracken: "I yield."

Koehler: "Thank you. Representative McCracken, for the purposes of informing the Gentleman from Durand, is this a pay raise Bill?"

McCracken: "No."

Koehler: "Well, is this a Bill that would allow certain boards and commissions to resign one day and be reappointed the next day at a higher salary?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Koehler: "Thank you. I object to this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Am I to understand Representative Koehler is

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

withdrawing her opposition? Well, then, speaking in favor of the Bill. This isn't a pay raise. It isn't anything resembling a pay raise. Technical cleanup Bill and it's necessary to the orderly administration of the executive branch of the government. I've never voted for pay raise. I'm not going to start now, but this is not a pay raise. And I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen Representative Johnson was correct. This is not a House. This Bill would allow the appointees nav raise Bill. whose served term fixed by Governor law the tο he reappointed for purposes of coming under the Compensation Review Board Act. It is not a pay raise. In fact, the result of our failure to adopt this would be a disparity of treatment between those appointees and other office holders affected by the Act. There was an anomaly created adoption of the Act so that midtermers or persons in a similar situation would find themselves years behind raise scale accorded to other various members who come under the Act. That anomaly is corrected by this reason the person has to be appointed or guaranteed by law, appointment the day after the resignation political security which -- to both, your purposes of benefit and our benefit. The appointees often are often bipartisan and we're looking for a nonpartisan. couple more green votes up there to change that anomaly and treat them fairly."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 37 'nos'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have two more matters. The next Bill shall be Senate 3ill 216. And the Chair recognizes Hr. Vinson for a Motion."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly,

I would move that this House take Senate Bill 216 from the

Table for consideration on the House Calendar, Second

Reading, Second Legislative Day on October 16."

Speaker Madigan: "You've all heard the Motion. Is there leave?

Leave is granted using the Attendance Roll Call. The next matter will be... Next matter shall be a Resolution. The Clerk shall read the Resolution. This matter will be House Joint Resolution 89. There is a Motion on the Calendar on page 8. There is an Amendment by Mr. Daniels. So, Mr. Clerk, if you could get the Resolution on your desk. And the Chair recognizes Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 89 has yourself and Mr.

Homer as Sponsors. With leave of the Body, I would like to

add myself as the Sponsor and..."

Speaker Madigan: "Leave is granted."

Daniels: "...And Representative Ryder, if that's alright with you, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Leave is granted."

Daniels: "Alright. And I would move to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Daniels - Ryder."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Floor Amendment #2, Mr. Speaker, is the Amendment that

we discussed with you which strengthens the Resolution as

met with your agreement. I'd ask for the favorable

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye',
those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Resolution, Mr. Homer... I believe Mr.

Homer is the Sponsor of the Resolution. Is that correct,

Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "You are, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "...Okay. I understand that Mr. Homer is an abbreviated hyphenated Sponsor. Mr. Homer, on the Resolution."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. House Resolution 89 is the... House Joint Resolution 89, rather, is the Resolution which calls for a joint investigation of the McCormick Place annex project and would require each of the four leaders to appoint four members — four legislative Members to serve on the Committee which will then report its findings back to the General Assembly. I would move for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 89."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 2 voting 'no'. This matter, having received a Majority vote, is hereby declared passed... is hereby declared adopted. The next matter will be an appropriation Bill. House Bill 2240. For what purpose does Mr. Daniels seek recognition?"

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Daniels: "Yes, Sir. A point of personal privilege. Oh. Hold it, I got a phone call."

Speaker Madigan: "Okav. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let me just very briefly tell all of you that in the last few days have been a very difficult time for all of us and difficult time for me personally. We have done what we I think the culmination think is right. of what seen tonight is reflective of all of our work. For those of you that stuck with me through a very trying time, I to thank each and everyone of you. To those of you that represented your view points and your beliefs. And to all of you who participated in the culmination of the settlement tonight. I thank you. you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mulcahey."

- Speaker. A point of Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. personal privilege. I think what we done here in the last days has been a total waste of time and something we probably could have settled in the last three weeks of Session. And I think it's unfortunate we're here and the deal's been cut as we all know and will be cut again It's just been a pretty obnoxious three days."
- Speaker Madigan: "The next matter will be House Bill 2240, Nr.

 Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. They're just wanting me to ask now. On House Bill 2240, I would move the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 which increases the appropriation by \$946,000 for the General Assembly's OCE. The total being \$18,336,600. I'd ask for your green vote to concur."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Friedrich, to

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

explain his vote."

Friedrich: "I just like to point out that this is one percent of the total budget. I don't think that's too much expense.

I think we're worth it."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. Mr. Ewing, to explain his vote. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', 8 voting 'no'. This Motion, having received a Constitutional Extraordinary Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill will be the appropriation for the General Assembly. That's the matter that we just considered. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, I have been asked to read into the record clarification purposes on House Bill 570 which are read by Mr. McPike, and the debate on House Bill 570. And I had made a comment at that time that that was not my intent of the legislation. I misunderstood his words. With leave of the House, I would like the record, official record on House Bill 570 to show that Mr. McPike's statements on that Bill as to legislative intent, as to statement #1, 2 and 3, and the clarification that he read into the record were accurate reflections of the legislative intent on House Bill 570."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, I told him that the other night."

Madigan: "Okay. The... Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a Motion at the proper time for Senate Bill 1152 to be put over..."

Speaker Madigan: "This will be the appropriate time, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, put over to October 16th."

Speaker Madigan: "Senate dill 1152?"

Ewing: "Yes, the Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "The same Motion with respect to Senate Bill 1188."

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

Speaker Madigan: "First, let the record show Mr. Daniels' comment relative to House Bill 570. Second, let us consider Mr. Ewing's request on Senate Bill 1152, that that matter be placed on the fall Calendar for October 16. Is there leave? Leave is granted using the Attendance Roll Call. Next, Mr. Johnson's request that Senate Bill 1188 be placed on the... Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I guess it's House Bill 1188."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Yeah, I'm... House Bill 1188."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bill. Mr. Johnson requests that House
Bill 1188 be placed on the fall Calendar for consideration
on October 16. Is there leave? Leave is granted using the
Attendance Roll Call. Ladies and Gentlemen, let me have
your attention, please. First, for those of you who went
home over the... For what purpose does Mr. Churchill seek
recognition?"

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to place
House Bill 137 on the Calendar for October... Conference
Committee Report on the Calendar for October 16th."

Speaker Madigan: "Wasn't part of the agreement."

Churchill: "We never talked."

Speaker Madigan: "Let's... Why don't we proceed to wind down. Okay, sit down, Mr. Churchill. First, for those of you who went home over the weekend of June 30th and over you must complete a request for travel expenses. So. if you went home over the weekend of June 30 or over Julv please complete a special form for Session travel. our friend, the Governor, has called a Special Session for Monday at 12:00 noon. And we... You've all been given copies of the proclamation. This Session, we'll treat House Bill 993 and House Bill 655, the appropriation Bills for Build Illinois. So that, we will be due here at 12:00

71st Legislative Day

- July 5, 1985
- noon on Monday. The Clerk shall read the Adjournment Resolution. Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi.
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I... There are three Agreed Resolutions. I
 move for the adoption of 657, 659 and Senate Joint #85."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Agreed Resolutions say
 "aye", those opposed say "no". The "ayes" have it. The
 Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Ar. Clerk, read the
 Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution 87. Resolved by the Senate of the 84th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Wednesday, July 3, 1985, it stands adjourn until Wednesday, October 2, 1985 at 12:00 noon, and when the House of Representatives adjourns, it stands adjourn until Wednesday, October 2, 1985 at 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. First Reading. Introduction."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2528, Klemm, a Bill for an Act to require prompt payments to the State of Illinois for goods and services. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2529, Pullen et al. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. McPike for the Adjournment Motion. Mr. McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the House now stand adjourn until Wednesday, October 2nd at the hour of 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed will say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. He stand adjourned in Regular Session until October 2nd, but we shall return here on Monday in Special Session at 12:00 noon. Thank you very

71st Legislative Day

July 5, 1985

much•"

03/04/87 11:53

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84YH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE I

JULY 05, 1985

HB-0431	CONFERENCE		PAGE	65
HB-0567	CONFERENCE		PAGE	46
H3-0651	CONFERENCE		PAGE	66
HB-0652	CONFERENCE		PAGE	47
HB-0653	CONFERENCE		PAGE	47
HB-0656	CONFERENCE		PAGE	66
HB-0657	CONFERENCE		PAGE	47
H8-0659	CONFERENCE		PAGE	44
HB-0660	CONFERENCE		PAGE	44
HB-0664	CONFERENCE		PAGE	44
HB-0666	CONFERENCE		PAGE	47
HB-0667	CONFERENCE		PAGE	66
HB-0668	CONFERENCE		PAGE	47
HB-0669	CONFERENCE		PAGE	47
HB-0670	CONFERENCE		PAGE	47
HB-0671	CONFERENCE		PAGE	66
HB-0672	CONFERENCE		PAGE	44
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	67
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	47
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	67
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	67
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	44
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	49
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	55
HB-0694			PAGE	55
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	61
HB-0720			PAGE	61
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	56
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	50
	DISCUSSED AND	DOCTOOMED	PAGE	-
	DISCUSSED AND		PAGE	75 75
	CONFERENCE	PUSTPUNED	PAGE	64
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	63
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	67
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	60
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	53
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	69
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	70
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	68
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	74
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	48
	FIRST READING		PAGE	77
	FIRST READING		PAGE	
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	77 60
S3-0216			PAGE	72
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	50
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	42
	CONFERENCE			
	CONFERENCE		PAGE Page	47 57
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	31 47
	CONFERENCE			58
	CONFERENCE		PAGE PAGE	47
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	50
	CONFERENCE		PAGE PAGE	50 50
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	59 50
	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE		PAGE PAGE	50 51
				59
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	
	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE		PAGE	51 51
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	51
			PAGE	4
	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE		PAGE PAGE	5 21
	CONFERENCE		PAGE	42
35-0410	CONFERENCE		FAGE	7 4

03/04/87

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84YH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

JULY 05, 1985

				-
SB-0476	HULLUN		PAG	E 6
SB-0476	MOTION		PAG	E 8
SB-0476	MOTION		PAG	E 15
S3-0476	HOTION		PAG	E 36
SB-0430	CONFERENCE		PAG	E 51
SB-0481	CONFERENCE		PAG	E 51
SB-0483	CONFERENCE		PAG	E 44
SB-0552	CONFERENCE		PAG	E 59
SB-0830	CONFERENCE		PAG	E 46
SB-0847	CONFERENCE		PAG	E 65
SB-1095	CONFERENCE		PAG	E 42
HJR-0089	RESOLUTION	OFFERED	PAG	E 72

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - FATHER ROBERT J. JALLAS	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
COMMITTEE ON ASSIGNMENT	PAGE	3
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	3
RECESS	PAGE	5
HOUSE RECONVENES	PAGE	5
RECESS	PAGE	42
HOUSE RECONVENES	PAGE	42
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	77
ADJOURNED	PAGE	77