138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1906

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 6:00 having arrived, the House will be in order. Members will be at their seats. The Chaplain for today will be Frank Beard who works with us as a Doorkeeper for the House. He is the Pastor of the Allen Chapel African Episcopal Church of Lincoln. Mr. Beard is the guest today as... for the invocation of Representative Michael Curran. And will the guests in the gallery please join us in the invocation?"
- Pastor Beard: "Shall we pray? Eternal, wise Goc, we ask You that
 Thou would guide these minds that You have appointed this
 day. He ask these blessings in Thy Son Jesus' Name, for we
 know that You are leader. Let the words in my mouth and the
 meditation of my heart be acceptable in Thy sight. Uh,
 Lord, my strength and my redeemer. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. The Gentleman from McLean, Ar.

 Ropp, for the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag."
- Ropp et al: "I pledye allegiance to the Flag of the United

 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

 one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

 for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 117 Hembers having answered to the call of the quorum, a quorum is present. Mr. Piel, excused absences on the Republican side."
- Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative McCracken is excused today?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record show that Representative McCracken is excused today for ... on account of illness in the family. ... Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1529, offered by Representative Mautino and Hicks; 1531, offered by Representative Mash; 1533, offered by Representative McNamara; and 1534, offered

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

- by Representative Jargeran
- Speaker Greiman: "Sentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Siorui."
- Giorgi: "Ar. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed

 Resolutions."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Minnebago moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

 The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions.

 Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1530, offered by Representative

 Martinez, with respect to the memory of Mary Hightower."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Minnebyo, Mr. Giorgi, moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. Those in favor 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Resolution is adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1532, offered by Representative

 Bowman et al."
- Speaker Greiman: "Committee on Assignment. Ar. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I heard you mention Mr. Bowman's name in connection with some Bill or Resolution. And I wonder if you would repeat that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, why don't you chack with the Clerk? think it was a... was that a Resolution... Seneral Resolution. Mr. Clerk? Is that what it was? Ar. Vinson asked if Mr. Bowman had been a Sponsor of one Ωf the had been read. Resolutions that Ιt พas Resolution, and it went to the Committee on Assignment. Special Subject Matter Call - Financial Institutions, Order of Second Reading. And on that appears Senate 5111 2300. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2300, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to establish the Illinois bank Examiners' Education

- June 29, 1986
- Foundation. This Sill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amandments.
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair indicates he wishes to take the Bill out of the record. Out of the record. Supplemental Calendar announcement."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar Øl is being distributed."

 Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Hight be desirable to indicate the time in which that

 Supplemental Calendar is being distributed. It's now

 6:10."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Vinson, to... the Chair notes that indeed it was 6:10 when this was distributed. Kowever, the question is whether that is significant of anything. Rule 79 relates to how long a Conference Committee Report has to be on the desks and not how long a Supplemental Calendar has to be on the desks. But it's nice for you to, time to time, ask for what time it is and we appreciate you doing that. Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "At some point your Parliamentarian will have called to his attention of the rules in the rulebook which you will then understand the significance of, (ir. Speaker.)
- Speaker Greiman: "... and Gentlemen, if you returned home during... over the weekend, you ought to sign a Session Travel Form, which will be here at the Clerk's well. So that there will be a Session Travel Form for you to sign and fill out if you returned home over the weekend. Thank you. On page two of the Calendar, Senate Bills Second Reading, Subject Matter Call Environmental Protection. And on that Order of Business appears Senate Bill 2117.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate 3ill 2117, a 3ill for an Act in relation to environmental protection. This 3ill has been read a

- June 29 1986
- second time previously. Amendments \$\text{11, 2, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 26, 27 and 28 were adopted previously."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #30, offered by Representative

 Kulas."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas, on Amendment 830.9
- Kulas: "Point of inquiry, Ar. Speaker. Has the Amendment been printed and distributed?"
- Speaker Greiman: "While we're checking, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Wr. Leverenz?"
- Leverenz: "Just in support of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. We'll get to you after we find out whether it's in order. ... Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, just for purposes of answering Mr. Kulas*

 question, I have now had each Republican desk checked, and

 Amendment 330 has not been distributed to this side of the

 aisle.*
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Matijevich, it appears that some of the Amendments... this Amendment has not been distributed. So, we'll take this Bill out of the record now. Thank you. With leave of the House, on the Order of Motions appears House Resolution 1497. We have leave to go to that Order of Business and to consider that Dill... Motion with respect to that Bill at that time? Leave? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, Motion with respect to HR 1497.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. 'I move to discharge Committee on
 Executive from further consideration of House Resolution
 1497, Representative Brookins."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brookins, on the Motion to bypass Committee and place upon the Speaker's

- June 29, 1986
- Table for immediate consideration with respect to HR 1497.

 Mr. Brookins."
- Brookins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave of the House to place... to remove the appropriate Rule 243 and remove from the Speaker's Table."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman has unanimous consent to... for immediation of this? There objection? Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Would the Gentleman mind telling us exactly what this is, what the Bill is, what the Resolution is?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Brookins."
- Brookins: "Yes, Mr. Piel, the Resolution has a study of the
 Illinois State Lottery to see, number one, where the funds
 are going; number two, how much funds is being raised in
 what area; number three, where the winners are and the area
 of the winners and et cetera."
- Piel: "Fine. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman having unanimous consent, the Motion carries and, Mr. Brookins, now on immediate consideration of HR 1497. Mr. Brookins."
- Brookins: "Yes, I ask leave of the Fody to suspend the appropriate Rules and hear..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Ha've already suspended them. We're on the Resolution now."
- Brookins: "I ask for a favorable vote on the Resolution."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brookins, has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 1497. On that, is there any discussion? There being... there being none, the question is, 'Shall this Resolution be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted... and the Resolution's adopted. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate, seek recognition?"
- Tate: "Hell, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was wondering what the

- June 29, 1986
- intention of the Chair was to work tonight, whether we should order out dinner or plan on breakfast this morning.
- Speaker Greiman: "While, Mr. Tate, I have... the Chair has an intense interest in your eating schedule, I think we will be here for a good, long while, Mr. Tate. So I would be prepared to work. With leave of the House, on the Order of Speaker's Table appears House Resolution 1164. Leave to consider that Resolution at this time. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1164, directs the Clerk of the

 House to establish a program to recycle paper used by the

 House."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, are there Amendments with respect to that Resolution?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 21, offered by Representative
 Hallock."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Winnebago, ≅r. Hallock, on
 Amendment #1 to House Resolution 1164.□
- Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

 Amendment #1 changed the Bill a bit. The Bill... the
 Resolution, as introduced, requires the House to recycle
 all the paper that we use in this chamber. The Amendment
 would say that we have to either recycle the paper or
 conduct some waste paper distribution program, and I would
 ask for your support of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock, moves for the adoption of Amendment \$1. Is there any discussion?

 There being none, those in favor say "aye", opposed "no".

 In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?
- Clerk O'Brian: "No further Amandments."
- Speaker Greiman: "There are... Now, Ar. Hallock, on House Resolution 1164, as amended. Mr. Hallock."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House

138th Legislative Day

June 23, 1336

Resolution 1164 requires that the House... as amended now requires the House recycle all the paper we use in this chamber or conduct some sort of a waste paper distribution I think this is probably an issue which all of us systema have thought about from time to time. But I introduced this Resolution back in January because I'm really after all these years, of the paper that's wasted in this chamber. But, vet, unfortunately, the paper that s utilized here is paper that has to be used for this The Digest we use every week. He use them after DUIDOSE. a week, then throw them out. The Amendments, the Bills and The reems of paper are unanimously overwhelming. that it's about time that we pass a Resolution of think this type. The Resolution would ask that the Clerk recycle or utilize in some other effective purpose all the which we throw away every day, and I would ask for your support."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock, has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 1154. And on that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Ar. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."
- Vinson: "Representative, I have not read the... the text of your Resolution. I'm curious, does it include toilet paper?"
- Hallock: "Hell, to be very frank about it, I hadn't tried to get
 that specific. But I assume that most of that is tossed
 away in a different fashion, so that probably would not be
 covered. The paper specifically being mentioned is the
 paper generated on the House floor."
- Vinson: "That's why I thought it might include toilet paper this week."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Barger."

138th Legislative Day June 29, 1986

Barger: "Mould the Gentleman yield for questions?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he'll yield for questions."

Barger: "Does this include all of the paper or just the white

Hallock: "All the paper."

Barger: "Isn't it very probable that the cost of separating the various colored papers into the various components so that they would be usuable for recycling would cost more than the value of the paper that we would receive?"

Hallock: "Well, pursuant to my introduction of this Resolution, the Clerk of the House pointed out some of the facts that had to be dealt with such as that. He also painted that they have been trying to conduct a waste paper distribution program to different entities in Springfield which are caused by those problems. So, I would say that, yes, your point is well made. It would be easier recycle the white paper than it would be the pink or the yellows and so, in order to accommodate that variance of types of pieces of paper, we do allow recycling or some kind of waste paper distribution program to other people who can use it.º

Barger: "Recycled insofar as possible."

Hallock: "Yes."

Barger: "Thank you."

Hallock: "The answer was yes. He can vote on this today if you want to. In the next couple minutes."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall the House...' I'm sorry,

Mr. Kulas, do you wish to speak on this issue?"

Kulas: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Yes. Will the Gentleman yield?

I would just like to ask Representative Hallock if he would

be amenable to an Amendment that would maybe somehow

recycle all the hot air that is passed in this champer into

some form of energy so we could save the state a lot of

138th Lagislative Day

June 29, 1936

money then?"

Hallock: "Well, that's a great idea. I'm sure if we did that we would no longer need any utilities in Illinois, and all of our bills would go down."

Kulas: "I think it's a good Bill, and I think we should pass it out."

Speaker Graiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Daley."

Daley: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Hallock: "Sure, John."

Daley: "Representative Hallock, does this include any of your old college papers?"

Hallock: "Hell, since you and I were good friends in college, you know what I did with those papers. I would say that we could use some of those. But most of those have been long since tossed away."

Daley: "This is... I concur. This is a great Resolution, and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock, to close."

Hallock: "Than you. Ar. Speaker and Hembers of the House. Clearly the momentum is in favor of this issue, and I think we all, having sat through these Sessions - and this is my eighth year down here - have thought about this concept times. And I decided it's about time to do something about it. Last Session, for example, we in the Illinois House utilized 594 million sheets of paper. Tru + 0 contemplate that. It's just absolutely astronomical. T believe that we and the other state agencies have used that much paper and something has to be done about it. these pieces of paper, of course, represents a tree someplace in our nation. We have to conserve our natural resources. This Resolution is all about that task. and I would ask for your support."

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1936

- "The question is, *Shall the House adopt House Speaker Greiman: Resolution 1164? All in favor signify by saying *aye*, those opposed *no*. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Page two of the Calendar, on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Special Call and Subject Matter Call Environmental Protection, appears Senate Bill 2117. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas. Mr. Kulas, on
 Amendment 30 to Senate Bill 2117.0□
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of Amendment #30 to House... Senate 3ill 2117 expands the definition of a regional pollution control facility to include waste treatment facilities. It also adds a provision so that sites or facilities at which the state is performing removal or remedial action are excluded from this definition. Presently. most waste facilities are required to store waste prior to as a result of this, most such facilities are already included in this definition. This just puts it into statutes. The Environmental Protection Act... Agency requested the exclusion of sites that they are cleaning up from this definition so as not to inhibit their cleanup And I would move for the adoption of Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas, moves for the adoption of Amendment 30 to Senate Bill 2117. And on

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1936

that, the Gentleman from DeMitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, Amendment 630, on page four, seeks to include in the definition of regional pollution control facility, waste treatment facilities. Could you tell me what a waste treatment facility is?"

Kulas: "It's a facility that treats waste."

Vinson: "Any facility that treats waste is a waste treatment facility, then. Is that correct?"

Kulas: "I would presume so."

Vinson: "And is anywhere in state or federal law there a definition for waste treatment facilities?"

Kulas: "I couldn't tell you offhand, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I'm sorry, Sir. I couldn't hear your answer."

Kulas: "I couldnot tell you offhand, Representative Vinson,"

Vinson: "Now, what is the effect of defining a waste treatment facility as a regional pollution control facility?"

Kulas: "This would... This is for the purpose of local siting."

Vinson: "For purposes of what?"

Kulas: "Local siting."

Vinson: "Okay. What has to happen to approve the siting of a regional pollution control facility?"

Kulas: "Pardon me? I didn't hear your last question."

Vinson: "What is the process for approving the siting of a regional pollution control facility?"

Kulas: "It has to get approval from the local unit of government."

Vinson: "It ... I'm sorry? It has to what?"

Kulas: "It has to get the approval of the local unit of government."

Vinson: "And how are waste treatment facilities currently sited?"

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1966

Kulas: "They still have tο go through the local siting procedures, because they are... they store waste before thev treat it. So, they are included in the definition. This only spells it out in the statutes.

Vinson: "Ladies and Gentlemen, t o the Amandment. I rise in reluctant opposition to this Amendment. T t i s understanding that it is virtually impossible, in Illinois today, to achieve siting approval for anything defined as a pollution control facility. The approval process regional is so cumbersome that the practical effect is that such creatures just aren't approved anymore, I am told by the The ... My understanding of what a waste treatment facility is, is based entirely upon what the Gentleman offers the Amendment describes it as-I see no definition in the Amendment. I am not aware of any definition in of the State of Illinois. statutes It would be my belief that a recycling facility, a chemical racycling facility, for instance, would be a waste treatment facility. seems to me that one of the things we ought to be trying to facilitate is the recycling of waste. Иe dealt with Resolution on that just a minute ago. But we couldn't recycle wastes, I think, under this Amendment, the way that it's presented now. He would have to go through very cumbersome approval process to get to that point. If that is the case, if that is the case, yourre talking about a monopoly to a few people currently in makingaaa aivina existence, locking them in, grandfathering them in law so only they can recycle waste. You°re talking about substantially raising the cost for business and that's true. Now, I don't know for sure if that's true or not, because we face the unfortunate situation where an Amendment that could be an extremely costly Amendment for Illinois business is suddenly thrown at us in the

138th Lagislative Day

June 29, 1986

a Logislative Session. The appropriate place this Amendment is in the Energy and Environment Committee. The appropriate place for a discussion about this is in the heart of a Legislative Session when we could all be certain that we're not imposing exorbitant costs on and industry back home, where we can all be sure that we're not discouraging the creation of industry. an the recycling industry, which, in fact, is the only hope for properly dealing with waste in this state. This may be perfectly good. But to come in with it at the last minute. to throw it at us when we don't know anything about it. don't know what the costs are, when we don't know when we who we're benefitting and who we're hurting is clearly It's my understanding that a significant portion of the business community in this state opposes that a significant number of the people who care about the environment of Illinois oppose this. And I would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment at this time, until we know something about it."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, the Majority

Leader, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen House. As usual, the previous speaker was wrong in just about everything he said. This Amendment was at us in the last minute. The Amendment was introduced in Committee; it was discussed in the Committee; it was debated in Committee, and it was adopted in Committee. Unfortunately, it was out of order, because it was technically wrong. So, the Amendment was adopted Gentleman has offered the Amendment again in the proper form. He says he suspects that a large part of the environmental community is against it. I doubt if you could find anybody in the environmental community that

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

would be against this. He says a large part of the business community is against it. What he really means waste management is against it. waste Management against it because they don't like to go through regulations. local siting permits, when establish a waste facility, and they don't want to through local siting, local control when they establish a waste treatment facility. So, if the constituents in your area are concerned about hazardous waste, if they get a little upset about hazardous waste dumps, if they get little bit upset, as they did last year when people tried to burn cyanide chips, if they think that toxic waste harmful to them and it's a threat to both the environment and to their lives, and if you think that the local shouldn't have any say-so in whether or not treatment facility is established in the middle of then do what the previous speaker would have you do - vote with him and vote with Waste Management. On the other hand, if you think that there is a legitimate concern by the local people that they should have some input as to what is happening in their community, then I would suggest you vote with Representative Ayron Kulas and adopt the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Ar. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Hill the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Churchill: "Representative Kulas, what is a waste treatment facility, and how does that differ from all of the other items mentioned in lines 30 through 35 on page four of this Bill?"

Kulas: "It takes waste and changes it into something else, like from hazardous to nonhazardous. That's a waste treatment facility. Now, as far as Representative Vinson's question

- June 27, 1986
- on regional control... pollution control facilities, they include waste storage and disposal sites, waste transfer stations and waste incinerators. They wouldn't include recycling centers."
- Churchill: "So, in other words, if you had a recyc... like, if
 you're a local community recycling center and you pick up
 paper and glass and things and you take that and transfer
 it to some other place, then, because that now is a
 treatment facility, you're going to have to..."
- Kulas: "No, that would not be a waste treatment facility, no."
- Churchill: "So, in other words, if the treat... if a recycling center received glass bottles and they broke up the glass bottles, they have done something to change the form of the glass bottles, does that not become a waste treatment facility?"
- Kulas: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear your last question."
- Churchill: "I'm just trying to say that in a recycling center, if
 they take an item such as a glass bottle and they shatter
 the glass bottle to condense all the glass down, that is a
 process it's a treatment of that waste. Does that then
 fall under this?"
- Kulas: "No. Recycling centers would not fall under this definition."
- Churchill: "Okay. Is... I guess my problem is this is waste treatment facility defined anywhere in here?"
- Kulas: "No, it is not."
- Churchill: "Okay. So, in other words, that some court someplace could say that a recycling center was a waste treatment facility."
- Kulas: "This in only for the purpose of hazardous wastes."
- Churchill: "Alright. So then perhaps what you should be saying is a hazardous waste treatment facility, or a treatment facility dealing only with hazardous waste?"

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

- Kulas: "I think that's what Senate Bill 172... originally that's the way it was defined."
- "No, Senate Bill 172 covers a lot more than that. Churchill: Ŧ mean it covers landfill siting. I t covers a lot of different things. It goes way beyond the hazardous I think Representative Vinson's point is correct; that your definition is so broad that you're going to up some of these local based reclamation centers, and I don't think that's what you want to do. And I think. i f that's the case, the Illinois Environmental Council and those groups probably will oppose this."
- Kulas: "Representative Churchill, if you look at page seven, line 20, you would read the definition of treatment and how it is defined, and you would see that that does not include the things that you are speaking of."
- Churchill: "Okay. Well, I just... I think your definition is over broad. I wish the Bill had a definition, specific definition of waste treatment facility. At this point, I'm not sure that I agree with your definition as found on line 20, page seven. And I think you're going to pick up some groups, particularly the local groups that are trying to reclaim and recycle, and I'm not sure that that's a good thing for them."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas, to close."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. All Amendment 30 does is really clarifies the regional pollution control facility to definition of include waste treatment facilities. It does have... It has nothing to do with recycling centers. 1t just clarifies which is in law right now. The Environmental that Protection Agency wanted to make their change Thev excluded the sites which are being worked on now. He did that. The Environmental Protection Agency is in favor οf

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

the Amendment. The Chamber of Commerce has signed on the

Amendment, and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call.

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #30 be adopted?" All those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed 'no". In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes'... All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, to explain his vote."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. Let me just kind of clarify a couple of things. Most processing plants and things of that nature are already included by the virtue of the fact that the EPA says if you have a storage tank, you go through the local siting hearing. This really clarifies that. And I would ask for more 'aye' votes. You can't go wrong in your local community with a green."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ar. Vinson, do you wish to explain your vote? You spoke in debate."

Vinson: "No. Sir. I want a verification."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 61 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present'. And the Gentleman from DeHitt requests a Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Mr. Clerk, proceed with the Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. "Ar. Kulas."

Kulas: "Can we have a Poll of the Absentees?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, of course. A poll of those not voting,"

Nr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Koehler and McAuliffe. No further."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Sir."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Breslin."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Rice votes "aye"."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brunsvold. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton.

Currie. Daley. DeJaegher. Dunn. Farley. Flinn.

Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig. Hartke.

Hawkinson. Hicks. Homer. Johnson. Keane. Kirkland.

Krska. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin.

Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara.

McPike. Mulcahey. O'Connell. Panayotovich. Pangle.

Phelps. Preston. Rea. Regan. Rice. Richmond. Ronan.

Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Slater. Stern. Sutker.

Terzich. White. Wolf. Wyvetter Younge. Zwick. And Ar.

Speaker•⊓

Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Vinson, questions of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Vinson: "Mr. Brunsvold."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. 45. Braun, for what purpose do you seek recognition? May 95. Braun have leave to be verified?

Leave is granted. Proceed, Sir."

Vinson: "Ar. Brunsvold."

Speaker Greiman: aMr. Brunsvold is at the aislz over at the

Vinson: "Mr. Dunn."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn is in his seat. Mr. Turner. Mr. Turner votes 'aye'."

Vinson: "Ar. Farley."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Farley. Mr. Farley in the chamber? Mr. Farley. How is Mr. Farley recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Ar. Farley from the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Mr. Flinn."

Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Flinn. Excuse me. Ar. Farley has returned to the chamber. Restore Ar. Farley to the Roll Call. Ar. Flinn. Ar. Flinn. How is Ar. Flinn recorded?"

138th Legislative Day June 29, 1936

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Flinn from the Roll Call. Mr. Young votes 'aye'. Mr. Voung votes 'aye'. Mr. DeLeo votes 'aye'."

Vinson: "Ar. Hartke."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hartke. Is Mr. Hartke... Mr. Hartke is right here in the center aisle."

Vinson: "Ar. Hicks."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hicks is at the rear of the chamber."

Vinson: "Representative Krska."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Preston's in his chair."

Vinson: "I said Krska."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, Ar. Krska. Ar. Krska is at the door on the Democratic side."

Vinson: "Mr. Laurino."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Laurino. Ar. Laurino. How is Ar. Laurino recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'ave'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Ar. Laurino. Ar. 3rookins votes "aye".

Ar. Washington votes "aye"."

Vinson: "Ar. Martinez."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Martinez is at his desk."

Vinson: "Mr. McGanna"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McGann is at his desk."

Vinson: "Ar. Hulcahey."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Mulcahey is in his chair."

Vinson: "Mr. Panayotovich."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Panayotovich. Mr. Panayotovich. Mr. Panayotovich in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove him from the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Mr. Preston."

138th Legislative Day June 29, 1986

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Preston is in his chair."

Vinson: "Representative Flowers."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Flowers is at Ms. Braun's desk."

Vinson: "Mr. Giorgi."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Giorgi is at the well."

Vinson: "No further questions."

Speaker Greiman: "On this question there are 64 voting 'aye', 46

voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. And the Amendment's

adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Ar. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Isn't there a fiscal note filed on that dill as amended?"

Speaker Greiman: "There is neither a fiscal note nor a request for a fiscal note on the record. So, the Bill will remain on Third Reading."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Supplemental Calendar #1 appears Conference

Committee Report, House Bill 2688. #r. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2688, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation from the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority Reconstruction Fund to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority. First Conference Committee Report.

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2688, the First Conference Committee Report, the Senate Amendment... the Senate will recede in Senate Amendment @1 which, in effect, removed the original 4.8 million dollars in the Bill. Therefore, that is back in. It adds 32,043,400 dollars in debt service for the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority Improvement Bond Fund. I would ask for your "aye" vote to adopt the Conference Committee Report on

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

House Bill 2689."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Conference Committee &1 to House... to Senate 5ill (sic — House 8ill) 2638. And on that, is there any discussion?

The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, could you take this out of the record for just a few minutes so we could get right back it? I've got to check one thing."

Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yeah, we'll do that."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Supplemental Calendar 01 appears

House 3ill 2988. Mr. Clerk, read the Sill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2988, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, As. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Conference Committee Report, as the Bill was introduced for the Department of Conversation... Conservation, it was 116 million, 341 thousand point nine. After the Conference Committee Report and the add-ons, it's 119 million, 497.1. I would recommend do adopt the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, As, Barnes, moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2988. And on that, the Gentleman from AcLean, Are Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Hembers of the House. I guess, first of all, I'd have a question of the Speaker as to the

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1966

Conference Committee Report (1). Is it not true that the signatures have to be placed above the typed in name of the Representative signing that Report?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, were you questioning as to whether the Conference Committee Report was in proper order?"

Ropp: "Yes."

- Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Clerk, would you furnish me with a copy of the Conference Committee Report? Yes, Kr. Ropp, you are indeed correct that; the Rule 79(a) provides that the names of the Representatives... of the respective Members must be typed on the Report. Ar. Leverenz, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Leverenz: "Speaker, I would now move that we suspend that rule, inasmuch as those on the appointment sheet that we get a memorandum on are the same ones that signed. So, I would now ask for the unanimous consent to suspend that particular rule."
- Speaker Grieman: "The Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 79(a) which requires the typed signature... the typed name of the Representative below the signature line. Is there leave?

 And on that, Mr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. I'm sure that was an inadvertent error, and I would
 ask that we would have leave to do that."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Not on the Motion, on the adoption is what I want to speak on."

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry."

Friedrich: "I didn't have a question on the Motion. I want to speak on... ask a question on the adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Bill it... the underlying Bill."

Friedrich: "Righto"

Speaker Greiman: "Well, if we get to it, you can do it. Alright.

- June 29+ 1986
- Does the Gentleman have leave to waive Rule 79(al? Are Hastert? Leave is granted. Alright. And now, thank you, Mr. Ropp. Proceed, As. Barnes&
- Barnes: "May I ask you to repeat."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, we're on House Bill 2908. And you ware going... you were making the presentation."
- Barnes: "Hell, I had ended my presentation, Mr. Speaker, and had asked for do adopt."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Fine. Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee to House Bill 2988. And on that, is there any discussion?

 The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Yes, would Representative Leverenz or Representative
 Barnes yield for a question?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she will yield for questions.

 Proceed, Sir."
- Friedrich: "Representative Barnes, for years around here we were able to keep the state from taking over 100 foot right of way on the Rock Island Road up there which had been abandoned which is absolutely a bad investment. Is this what we're funding here for 1,690,000 dollars?"
- Barnes: "Mr... or Representative Friedrich, the parties involved had a meeting down here this week. Each of the appropriation leaders received a letter of agreement that was signed by all parties and Governor Thompson, and that is the reason that is was added to the budget."
- Friedrich: "Well, regardless of all the other good things that might be in this Conference Committee Report, it doesn't make sense for the State of Illinois to be funding a park that's 100 foot wide and 15 miles long or however long it is. It's absolutely absurd to try to maintain a park. In the first place, it creates a litter problem for all the people up and down the right of way on both sides. It's

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1936

impossible to maintain, and I think it's a pad investment. For years, we were able to keep this thing from becoming a park and now we're spending a couple million dollars on it."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you. ar. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this particular Conference Committee Report. For about aiubt years there was an attempt to sell this property back to the adjacent property owners as was early indicated by the railroad themselves, and it certainly seems interesting, at time when some have said we're maybe two to 300 million dollars short in revenues for this state, to come up this brand new program when. in the last four or five years, volunteers, through cooperative effort of Conservation, have apparently moved forward to address, to renovate, to clean up and to work on trail. I think it's really rather atrocious at this time in the Session to add a million point six to the budget to do a brand new project. I mean, it almost looks as if an agency is attempting to expand its growth during a time when we're short of funds, and I would certainly not speak in favor of this Conference Committee Report and urge people to oppose it. Thank you.™

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Sowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the to the concerns House in response expressed by the previous two speakers, let me, first of all, assure tham and all Members of this House that the property owners. association that, for years, has been fighting proposal has signed off on the agreement. In fact. the agreement and the funding contained in this 3 i 1 1 is necessary to protect the property owners* association and the property owners* interests, because one of their

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

concerns was litter and the possibility that people might wonder on their private property and so forth. And so, what we're providing for in this 3ill is money for fencing matters of interest to the other property owners themselves. And so I think that all of us would like this issue to rest once and for all. And what we have here is funding for an agreement. an agreement that signed by the property owners, an agreement that protects their interest, and I think we ought to approve this funding and put the issue to rest once and for all."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Peoria, Hr. Tuerk."

Speaker. Members of the House, I totally agree with Tuerk: "Mr. the previous speaker on the issue. As many of this has been a controversial issue for about 15 years in our area, back near Peoria and extending north to Actually, the Department of Conservation originally handled pretty poorly. We stopped the development of the trail for a number of years. There were some efforts to sell the land back to the property owners. That went down in defeat. Actually, Director Woody of the current Department of Conservation is to be congratulated for his fine work in coming to an agreement with the property owners. property owners are in agreement with the details of And as the previous speaker mentioned, this will develop the trail. it will fence the trail. which has been bad points of contention over the years - the the fencing element, the weeds growing, the trees growing along their property, et cetera. The Department of Conservation has agreed to the demands of the property owners, and the property owners have signed off on it. I think it's a good idea at this point to proceed, and I would ask for VOUE . favorable support."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

- Hallock: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Members of the House. Irise in support of this measure. You know, this Bill contains a lot more than just the Rock Island Trail. During my years in the General Assembly, the Rock Island Trail has been debated every Session. I'm very pleased that this now has been resolved. But this Bill also contains all the funding our state parks and state recreation areas which is so for important to all of us, especially downstate. I think Director Woody is one of the finest we have in State Government. He's done an outstanding job with this agency. and I would urge your support of this 3illo
- Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?" All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open, and this is final action. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. 0n this there are 103... 103... 1C5 voting 'aye', 6 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the... the House does adopt Conference Committee of to House Gill 2988. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar 31, appears House Bill 2991. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Grien: "House Bill 2991, a Bill for an Act to making an appropriation to the Historic Preservation Agency. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2991 appropriates money for the Illinois Historic Preservation Agency and Conference Committee Report 31 restored cuts to the tune of about 285,000 dollars, an I would recommend a do adopt vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves for the

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to House
Bill 2991. And on that, is there any discussion? The
Gentleman from Cook. Mr. Terzich.

Terzich: "Yes, Representative Barnes, could you explain to me what is a hot shot crew?"

Barnes: "A hot shot crew travels statewide for restoration and maintenance of projects, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Where are they and what do they do? They restore..."

Barnes: "They operate heavy equipment and they do whatever is necessary for the restoration and maintenance of a building."

Terzich: "Is that anywhere throughout the state or ... "

Barnes: "Throughout the state."

Terzich: "And also, I noticed that you deleted the appropriation for the rehabilitation of Hoffman Memorial Tower. Is that correct?"

Barnes: "Pardon me? For what?"

Terzich: "The Hoffman Tower in..."

Barnes: "No, Hoffman Tower is in one of the budgets,

Representative."

Terzich: "Oh. Hell then this can't be all that bad. Thank you."

Barnes: "No, that's been restored. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. To Representative Terzich, a hot shot crew is a gang of four. Also, that what you're interested in in Hoffman Tower was restored by Amendment 4."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?" I'm sorry. The question is, 'Shall the First Conference Committee be adopted?" All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted to wish? Have all voted who wish? Kr. Clerk, take the

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

record. On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the House does adopt Conference Committee &1 to House Bill 2991. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar &1 appears House Bill 3165. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'8rien: "House 5ill 3165, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen the Houses This is a supplemental appropriation for the He recommend that the House concur current fiscal year. with Senate Amendments 31 through 20 which, combined with Senate action, provide for 18.9 million in supplemental appropriations to various agencies of which 3.3 is General Conference Committee further recommends that 500,000 dollars in tourism promotion funds for the Chicago Convention and Visitors Bureau which was offered in House Amendment 316. deleted in Amendment 32... Senate Amendment further recommend a supplemental 2. He appropriation of 88,000 dollars in GRF for the Industrial Commission to allow the Commission to meet its June *86 payroll. We further recommend a 20.000 dollar transfer within the Human Rights Commission budget for dollar... dollars. And we further recommend and finally a 5,000 dollar supplemental appropriation for the Department of Corrections to correct a technical error in the drafting of their original '86 budget. I move for the adoption of this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3165. And on that, is there any discussion? There

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

being none, the question is, "Shall the First Conference Committee Report be adopted?" All in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. And this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 102 voting... 103 voting "aye", 5 voting "no", 2 voting "present", and the House does adopt First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3165. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Supplemental Calendar &1, appears House Bill 3257. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3257, a 3ill for an Act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Mat... Representative Matijevich, I would yield my five minutes to him."
- Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. Ar. Matijevich was the first name

 Sponsor. Mr. Matijevich, proceed."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3257 is a net restoration of 274,100 dollars which is a total appropriation now in General Revenue funds of 142,275,667 dollars which is about five million dollars less than introduced. I move the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3257."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3257. And on that, the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "I raise the same objection that was raised before.

 The names of the House Hambers are not typed. And I think,

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

as long as the Supreme Court continues to object to being audited by the Auditor General on some of their funds, we ought to object to letting them have funds.

- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I waive... unanimous consent to waive
 the lack of the names. They are the same persons that
 served on the Conference Committee. Ask unanimous consent
 to waive that rule."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman... yes. The Gentleman from Lake, Hr. Matijevich, asks leave of the House to waive Rule 79(a). Does the Gentleman. have leave? Leave is granted for the... and use of the Attendance Roll Call. Now, on the Bill, Mr. Terzich. Yes, objection? Fine. Gentleman from Cook, Mr... from Lake, Mr. Matijevich moves that the House Baive Rule 79(a). All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Mave all Hr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 92 voting 'aye', 19 voting 'no', none votina *present*, and the Motion is adopted to waive Rule 79(a). And now, Mr. Matijevich, on the Mo... on the Bill. Ar. Matijevich. Mr. Matijevich.™
- Matijevich: "Was there more you wanted or what? I thought... I

 move the adoption of the Conference Committee..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from Lake moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3257. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Ar.

 Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, Representative Matijevich, this is the appropriation for the State Supreme Court?"
- Matijevich: "Yes. That's right."
- Terzich: "How many... How many Supreme Court Justices are there?

 How many Judges do we have?"
- Matijevich: "Seven, I believe."
- Terzich: "Seven? You have seven Judges and they have a budget of

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

142 million dollars?"

- Matijevich: anow, Bob, how long have you served here? This is for the whole judicial system in the State of Illinois.

 You know that.
- Terzich: "Well I just was wondering what the average cost per Judge was."
- Matijevich: "I mean, they do pretty good, but they don't do that well."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3257?' Mr. Friedrich, did you wish recognition? Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "I'm not foolish enough to think we can get along without the courts, and I'm not foolish enough to think the courts can operate without money. But it's absurd for the state, to be paying the Auditor General to bring a suit in the Federal Court to allow them to be audited on money that's state funds. I don't know how you get their attention unless you just take the money away. that box right now, and it's the last day of the Session. And I doubt it's practical to do it. But I do want to point out that this has been going on for three or four years, and we're still in the Federal Court trying to get the Federal Court to tell the Supreme Court, will not hear the case, that they ought to be incidentally audited."
- Speaker Greiman: "All in favor signify by voting "ave". those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted wish? Have all voted who wish? Ηr. Clerk. take the record. On this question there are 30 voting 'ave'. 30 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the House does adopt

138th Legislative Day

June 27, 1966

First Committee Report to H3 3257. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Hajority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Breslin in the Chair. ... Breslin in the Chair.

- Speaker Breslin: "House Bill... Senate Gill 1737. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1737, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Aging, together with the First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Nice to see you in the Chair this afternoon. Senate Bill 1737 is the appropriation for the Department of Aging. I move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1. The effect of that Committee Report was that the House did recede from House Amendments 1, 3, 4, 14 and 17 and the Senate concurred House Amendments 5, 15, 16 and 18. Also, there was adopted in the Conference Committee a hold harmless. One of the questions that was going around about the Department n f before was the new formula. We have put a revenue Aging neutral... or a neutral formula in there with hold harmless and it should be acceptable to everybody. I move adoption for Conference Committee Report @1.0
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report 31 to Senate Bill 1737.

 And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1737?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.

 On this question there are 114 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no'

138th Legislative Day

Clerk

June 29, 1986

votina *present*, and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1748 this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. That was 1737, excuse me. 1748, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill." Leone: "House 3i11 1748, a Bi 11 for an Act appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Central Hanagement Services. Conference Committee Report #1.0

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Conference Committee Report #1 addresses t he concurrence of House Amendments 3. 6. 8 and 11. The House 10. receded from House Amendments 5, 9 and And further amended was an appropriation of 275.900 dollars revolving funds for the restoration of seven new were initially cut by the Senate. These positions will in the o f be located Bureau Information and Communiciation Services. I move do adopt."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1748. And question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, *Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1748? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no". Voting is opena is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. OΩ this question there are 108 voting *aye*, 5 voting *no* and 1 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1743. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1752, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the 3ill."

138th Legislative Day

June 29 1966

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1752, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Energy and Natural Resources. Conference Committee Report 81."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Jarnes."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen effect of Conference Committee Report 31, the House. Sanate concurred in Amendments 13, 18, 21 22. and The House receded from Amendments 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 8. 11. 12 and Further amended as follows: increased the acquisition 14. and exhibition of Art of Illinois artist line from 217.147; restored 430.000 dollars to the public museums grant line to reflect the introduced level: adds million solid ⊎aste management fund monies to E & R for its administration of Solid Waste the Management Act; adds 100,000 dollars GRF for the Des Plaines River Het Lands Demonstration Projects; added 100,000 for recional water resources; added 250,000 dollars for grants under the Local Land Resource Management Planning Act; adds 50,000 dollars to study the problem of situations... siltation in the Illinois River - counties - LaSalle, Putnam, Marshall. Starkwood... Peoria and Taswell; added 50,000 dollars to study problems with shoreline maintenance and recreational improvements on the Kankakee River. I would move do adopt."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1752. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, question is, *Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate 3ill 1752?* All those in vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

question there are 115 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1752. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Hajority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1753. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clark Leone: "Senate Sill 1753, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency. Conference Committee Report 31."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the The effect of Conference Committee Report 31, the House. House receded from House Amendments 2 through 30. 32. 33. 34 and 35. Senate Bill 1753 was further amended as follows: money for creation of Office of Noise Abatement; money for the Solid Waste Fund for programatic expenses under the Solid Haste Management Act; money for public workshops on Radon; a grant to Stone Park for sewage system improvements; a grant to Bellwood water and sewer improvements; grant to Lena for sewer plant improvements; grant to Milan for sewage treatment plant construction; grant to Cahokia for sewer system improvements; grant to Herrin for sewer grant to Bloomingdale for upgrading the sewage treatment plant: grant to Riverton for а construction; a grant to Effingham for sewer treatment plant construction; a grant to Elgin for sewer improvements in the northwest suburb area; a grant to Elmhurst for sewage treatment plant improvements; a grant to Northfield for sewer system improvements; a grant to Hartford for Sewer improvements: grant to Skokie for Sewer improvements. I move 'do adopt'."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

- Breslin: "The Lady has moved to adopt First the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1753. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate 3ill 1753?" All those in 'aye', all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 103 voting... 109 voting 'aya', 6 voting *no* and none voting *present*, and the House does adopt First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1753. the Senate Bill 1774, Representative Barnes, Clerk, read Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate 3ill 1774, a 3ill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of Commissioner of Savings and Loan. Conference Committee Report 21."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The effect of Conference Committee Report 31, the Report recommended the Senate concur in House Amendments 41 and 3 for a total of approximately 250,000 dollars from General Revenue Fund. I would move do adopt."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate 3ill 1774. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate 3ill 1774?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 111 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Committee Report on Senate Bill 1774. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1773, Representative Jarnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1778, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers" Training Board. Conference Committee Report #1.9

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barness"

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The effect of Conference Committee Report (1), the Senate concurred in House Amendment (1). Senate concurred in House Amendment (2). It was further amended as follows: there were a lot of personal services, according to the Bureau of the Budget guidelines, but they also retain the station wagon. I would move do adopt."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate 3ill 1773. there any discussion? Hearing none. the auastion 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1778?* All those in favor those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted The Clerk will take the record. this question there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 1 voting "present", and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate pill 1778. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Hajority. hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1716... no. Senate Bill 1808, Representative Leverenz. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1808, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Office of State Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor. Conference Committee Report \$1.00

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank vou. Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of The dill now contains, with the adoption of the House. the Conference Committee Report, 6,646,200. The Conference Committee Report recommends that the Senate concur in House Amendment 05 and that the House recede in the following House Amendments: House Amendment #1, which was the seven million dollars to the Supreme Court, which we will replace with a lower number; House Amendment 3, which added 80,000 dollars for four new attorneys. we will go with two And further, that we will have to go instead. after 100,000 dollars additional for the labor unit in subsequent Bill. And, also, that we recede in House Amendment ∜4 which added 240,000 dollars for the training program to familiarize attorneys with the techniques criminal proceedings is in... to a lower level. I would move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1808."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to Senate 3ill 1808. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing question is. •Shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1806?* A11 those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote 'no". Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question there are 105 voting *aye*, 1 record. voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present', and the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1808. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Why, thank you, Madam Speaker. I would like to find

138th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1986
- out if we can go back now and do 2668 with Representative Vinson• P
- Speaker 3reslin: "Surely. House Bill... Is Representative
 Vinson in the chamber?"
- Leverenz: "Why don't we do it without him? It's a merely Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Darnes, for what reason do you rise?"
- Barnes: "Could we check with Representative Vinson? He doesn't seem to be on the House floor at the moment and see if he has been satisfied?"
- Speaker Breslin: "They're calling him right now."
- Barnes: "Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, while we wait for Representative Vinson, with leave of the Body we will go to the Speaker's Table on page four, Senate Joint Resolution 166. It's similar to a Resolution that we have previously passed. I think it's identical to a previous Resolution. So it should not take very much time. Is there leave? Hearing no objection. Representative Graun is the Sponsor of the Resolution. Speaker's Table, Senate Joint Resolution 166. Representative Graun, on the Scsolution."
- Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Resolution that is almost identical. The language is slightly different to the Resolution we passed the other day from the House. The Senate, preferring to hold onto its prerogatives, has sent this copy of the Resolution over here. I move for its passage and entertain any questions you may have."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Graun moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 166. Is there any discussion on that question? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."
- Klemm: "Perhaps the Sponsor could just tell us what the

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Resolution is."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "I'm sorry. Representative Klemm, the other day we had a discussion on the floor regarding the issue of consultation by the State Board on the issue of athletics and its relationship to education. That's all that this Resolution is about. It is almost identical to the one that we passed here. It is simply a Senate version of the same Resolution."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, "Shall the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 69 (sic — 166)?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and the Resolution is adopted. Representative Vinson, are you prepared to go to House 3ill 2688 at the top of Supplemental #1?"

Vinson: "That's the Speaker's tax increase for McCormick Place?"

Speaker Breslin: "I don't know what it is, but I'd like to

learn."

Vinson: "That's okave"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli, are you ready?

He're going to the top of Supplemental &1, Conference

Committee Reports, House 3ill 2683. Clerk, read the Bill.

Representative Leverenz. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2688, a Bill for an Act making appropriations from the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority Reconstruction Fund to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority. Conference Committee Report #1.0

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. This would have the Senate recede from Senate
Amendment #1 which took the Bill back to a dollar from four
million, eight and that it would be further amended to

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

allow for a 32,408,400 dollar service amount that comes from the Authority's dedicated state tax revenue bonds. I would move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report &1 to the Bill.

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz moves for the adoption the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill And on that question. is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Eill 2688? Δ11 those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Representative Laurino votes 'ava'. Have all voted The Clerk will take the record. who wish? On this question there are 100 voting "aye", 9 voting "no" and 5 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2688. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Hajority, hereby declared passed. Representative Leverenz, for what reason do vou rise?"

Leverenz: "I have an inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

Leveranz: "Now?"

Speaker Breslin: "Not now. Ladies and Gentleman..."

Leverenz: "At least you know the script."

Speaker Breslin: "... we are waiting for the publication second Supplemental that will have more Conference Committee Reports appropriations on them. And as soon the Clerk's Office can get it to us, we'll start business again. So, just be at ease for a few minutes. clear the aisles, please? We have some business to to. Hould all unauthorized people leave the floor, please? Doorman, clear the floor, please. On the Order of Concurrence, on page three on your Calendar, under Special

138th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1986
- Subject Matter Call of Pansions, appears House Bill 2630, Representative Greiman. Clark, read the Bill.
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2630, a Sill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, together with Senate Amendments &1, 2 and 4.0
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Yes, thank you. I would move that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 4 and concur in Senate

 Amendment #2.."
- Speaker Breslin: "We'll take them... the Motions one at a time.

 The first one... the first Motion is to nonconur in Senate

 Amendments 11 and 4 to House Bill 2630. And on that

 question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question, please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He'll yield to a question."
- Piel: "Representative, first of all, would you explain to us what the three Amendments, the two that you want to nonconcur in and the one that you want to concur in, would do?"
- Greiman: "Yes, well, we are only on one because we're taking them in a series. This is one that deals with the park district pension article and incrementally increases the tax for employer contributions. It... the object of this is to put this Bill into a Conference Committee so that it will be... it will be used for a number pension things that the pension summit is now dealing with. There was a first meeting today, Ar. Piel, of all of the pensions systems which was convened by the Governor. And I think we're making progress in finding an agenda for pension legislation."
- Piel: "I've been advised that this will definitely be going into Conference Committee. Obviously, I would, you know, say I would follow my past pattern and oppose \$2, which you want to concur in. But I've been told this will definitely be

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

going into Conference Committee. And the one thing that would worry me — what happens if ••• that they agree with us and they don*t••• they recede from 1 and 37°

Greiman: "I'm sorry. There are a lot of people..."

Piel: "Don't we run into..."

Greiman: "... between us, as well as probably a few other kind of gulfs. But would you repeat that? I can't hear the guestions."

Piel: "No. My question would be, what... you know, what happens if they recede from 1 and 3?"

Greiman: "What happens if who recedes from 1 and 3?"

Piel: "The Senate."

Greiman: "Hell, it's 1 and 4. I suppose if they recede from 1

and 4, they would have to then 2 or whatever... 2 could

be... could go ahead to the Governor's Office. That was

what would happen."

Piel: "So, we're basically talking about..."

Greiman: "However..."

Piel: "... if they recede from 1 and 4, then we end up sending a

South African disinvestment Bill to the Government, am I

correct?"

Greiman: "Hell, that's right, that would be the effect of that.

However, it is my understanding that this Bill is to be used for a vehicle, is about the only thing left dealing with pensions. And there are a number of supplicants who are at the 'font', wishing to find some pension help."

Piel: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

Friedrich: "I wonder, Representative Greiman, if you'd just agree to nonconcur in all three and let's just send it all there,

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 19dá

and then we'll have another shot at it if it comes back."

Greiman: "Well, I think that there are some people who are on the floor who believe that it would be inappropriate to not have an expression on that. And so, I would have to respond to the sensitivities of those people and this is a deep, public issue, and I wouldn't want to do that, Sir."

Friedrich: "I would understand your position, but I don't like to

be in the position of having this go to Conference

Committee on a couple of them and not on the third one,

because we ought to have... at least have a chance to have

a final vote on #2."

Greiman: "Hello understando you would have that chance anyhow if
the Conference Committee, for exampleo recommends to recede
or to concur, it would have to have another voteo. It would
have to have another vote and would have to recede 71 or 60
votes, whenever the appropriate moment is. So, I don't
think that you're... I think you're prejudice. You're not
giving it away. You're still in...

Friedrich: "Alright. With that understanding."

Greiman: "You're still in the ballgame."

Friedrich: "Well, you had indicated it would go directly to the Governor if they agreed to recede from those 1 and 4."

Greiman: "I had indicated that that is parliamentarily possible.

I think it's not about to happen because there are lots of people who would like to have some of their wish list addressed. But, you know, I have to... Wro Piel asked me the question, and I wanted to cartainly give him a complete, direct and candid answer."

Friedrich: "Alright. In that case, Madam Speaker, I'm nct willing to take that chance, and I'll be opposing #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative

Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1966

Gentlemen of the House. As one of the individuals involved in the pension discussions with Representative Greiman, it is my intention that this Bill be used for a Conference Committee to address some legitimate concerns in the ... in the pension field. And without... without this vehicle in place to do that, we'll not be able to consider the task. The Conference Committee Report, of course, will come back to this Body and then you can make a decision on the text of the bill at that time.

Speaker Breslin: "Was that a question, Representative Hoffman?

Just a statement."

Hoffman: "State..."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. There being no further discussion,

Representative Greiman, to close. The Motion is to

nonconcur in 1 and 4.0

Greiman: "Proceed. I think everybody knows the issue."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 4 to House bill 2630? All say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. in favor In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 4 to House Bill does 2630-The Gentleman now moves to concur in Amendment 02 to House Bill 2630. All those in favor vote 'ava', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich, you have already spoken in debate, Sir. For what reason do VAL Representative... Excuse me. rise? It's a different Motiona That's right. One minute to explain your

Friedrich: "I would recommend a 'no' vote on this for the reason
I suggested. Let's put it all in Conference Committee and
then see what the Conference Committee comes out with. So,
I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

138th Legislative Day

Juna 29. 1936

wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk ₩ill take the record. On this question there are 68 voting 'ave', 47 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 32 to House Bill 2630. and Gentlemen, Supplemental 2 has been distributed. Supplemental 2 Calendar appears Conference Committee Reports. The first one is House Bill 2998. Representative Barnes. That one is not printed, Representative Barnes. we'll go on to the next. House Bill 3340 • Representative Greiman. Clerk. read the Billa"

Clerk C'Brien: "House 3ill 3340, a Bill for an Act relating to civil cause of action against certain persons. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

you, Speaker. This Bill is a rewrite of several Greiman: "Thank Bills that were con... we have been concerned with over the First, it amends the Illinois Mortgage Foreclosure provide certain technical changes in the Act, some of which make a foreclosure sale easier, but, in the time, protects the borrower's right. It makes the... It makes realistic sales in terms of a new redemption period and provides for improved notices of sale and improved sale provisions. At the same time, it protects a residential mortgager's possessary right so that it gives lenders and buyers. It also adds onto it a provision that we have talked about previously that I have debated on this floor previously, that sets up assistance to the ... as I pointed out recently. the recently impoverished or the formerly middle class who believe in homeownership, and who have sought to buy their homes. Live in their homes, based upon an ability to pav. sometimes based on two jobs, on a husband and wife working, on several people, and who have found, for reasons that is not 138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

their... of which they have not fault, that they cannot do that they have an opportunity to save that house. but There is a tight criteria of 11 or 12 items that that the Department of Financial Assistance will look at in determining whether a buy... a homeowner is likely to save his home. It is a modest proposal. It will give uposs allow money to be granted... lent, essentially, to that homeowner for no longer than three years and at the end it will be a loan on his house. Now, originally, a number of groups disliked it because it delayed foreclosure. However. at this time, at this time, all οf provisions have been removed from the Sill so that a lender is not delayed one minute, one hour from filing its It may move as rapidly as it wishes, and it foreclosures does not impair the right of a lender to acquire that home. The Illinois bankers enthusiastically have been working for the Bill as many of you know. And the truth of the matter is that it is a sound Bill. It does not impair for one minute the secondary market. He have letters from people in the secondary market, including Jenny Have that it does not impair that secondary markets It is a fair 3ill. Ιt makes sense. And I recommend it to you. I ask for adoption of the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3340. And on that question, the Gentleman from Marion, Representative Piel (sic - Friedrich)."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Friedrich: "Representative Greiman, you said that the wankers have been enthusiastically working on this. You didn't say they enthusiastically endorsed what you came up with.

There's a difference. Do they?"

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

Greiman: "I believe they do, yes. They apsolutely endorse...

Pardon?"

Friedrich: "You presume they do. Do they are don't they?"

Greiman: "They do. The Illinois Bankers" Association endorses

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Greiman: "You're welcome."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Hill the Sponsor yiald?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Homer: "The... Representative Greiman, the..."

Greiman: ™Yes•"

Homer: "The funding mechanism before, as I recall, was the income tax on unemployment insurance benefits. Is that changed under this Report?"

Greiman: "No. It's GRF. which the truth is it was the same But it is from GRF funds. However, the notion is figure that people are now paying taxes on unemployment compensation, so that the people, the very people who no longer are employed. in fact. are getting their unemployment compensation and paying taxes on it. So, is to try and get a balance and use that for a good purpose that maybe will be helpful to the very people who are paying that. So, the amount is about what they pay in taxes .

Homer: "Alright. So that the money that's raised through the vehicle... Currently, the State of Illinois imposes an income tax on the unemployment insurance benefits that unemployed workers get, and what you're saying is that the amount of cost of this 3ill out of GRF should be no more than... than that amount that the tax is generating."

Greiman: "That's correct. That's correct."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Homer: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam... Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

Piel: "What change has the Conference Committee adopted from what
the way the Bill came over to us from the Senate with the
Senate Amendments?"

Greiman: "Oh. I'm glad you asked that. When the Bill came over from the Senate... Well. in the Senate. the Sponsors in the Senate agreed that they would remove any kind of delay from lender foreclosing on the property. There was a 35 day delay period. And apparently it was in two places. It bad been removed in one place on Amendment 67 but they had remove it from the other place. forantten to And Representative Vinson very pointedly asked me whether there was... any delay had been removed. I said nainted out that it had not. I agreed with him. It had not. and so I immediately moved to nonconcur with the Amendment. The Conference Committee Report changes that so that it conforms with the intent of the Senate and what I represented to this dody."

Piel: "Okay. So basically the Bill that came over to us was defective and this has just cleared up that defection.

Greiman: "That's correct."

Piel: "Question. This is... the Bill is set up on a five million dollar cost to the state per year predicated on repayment to the state plus costs, correct, over a four year period?

So, we're talking about a cost to the state of 20 million dollars?"

Greiman: "I beg vour pardon?"

Piel: "We're talking about the way this Bill is set up. It's a

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

five million dollar cost plus fees... a

Greiman: "Well, that's not in... that's not in the Bill."

Piel: "Let me finish asking my question. But we're talking about it's set up as a five million dollar figure plus fees per year for a four year period, correct, to be paid by the delinquent person or the person that has been foreclosed, their home has been foreclosed, correct?"

Greiman: "Hell, I think that was a question, and I'll state first that the Bill does not prescribe any appropriation level.

That would be forthcoming in a different piece of legislation. That's number one. Number two, indeed, it imposes upon a home — a lien — so that it is essentially a borrowing situation. Also, it has a sunset provision so that it will automatically die within. I think, four years."

Piel: "So, you're saying a lien, but technically that's a second mortgage. In other words, the main mortgage would have to be paid off first."

Greiman: "That's who we're paying,"

Piel: "So if there were no funds available after that, then we technically wouldn't be paid."

Greiman: "It's possible."

Piel: "But there is the... the language in there for the money to be granted, correct?"

Greiman: "You mean, can they do what the Bill's supposed to do?"

Piel: "No, as far as the five million dollars per year I'm

asking•™

Greiman: "No. no."

Piel: "You say there's nothing in there that..."

Greiman: "See, we have this way we do it... we have this way we do it where we have the substantive Bills and we have then these Bills they call appropriations. And that's where they do that."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1966

- Piel: "Okay. I'd like to bring to your attention 'Section 46.81, Special Fund the Homeowners' Emergency Assistance Revolving Fund. A special Fund in the State Treasury is hereby created. The State Treasurer shall pay into said Fund five million dollars of net revenue realized from the Illinois Income Tax Act in each of fiscal years '87, '86, '89 and '90.' Four times five million equals 20 million dollars, Alan."
- Greiman: "That's right. But it has to be appropriated..."
- Piel: "But you just told us there was nothing in there as far as five million dollars a year."
- Greiman: "... on an annual basis. It has to be appropriated on an annual basis. That's what I was talking about."
- Piel: "Yes, but your remark to me was that there would be no money involved. There's nothing in the 3ill."
- Greiman: "Well, obviously, there's money involved, Representative."
- Piel: "Yeah, but you told us that there wouldn't be."
- Greiman: "Tell me... Hell, Mr. Piel, Mr. Piel, it will cost five million dollars or whatever amount this General Assembly chooses to appropriate. That is clear. So, do not, for a moment, think it will not cost. It has a cost. First, I believe much of it will be paid back. But so that the cost to the General Revenue, unlike most things we spend where we have no chance of recoupment, we have an opportunity to recoup this."
- Piel: "Yes. Just one quick... one final question. How... Hould you describe the repayment schedule to the state? How is it set up that the state will be repaid?"
- Greiman: "It's a case-by-case. We provide for some judgement to

 be used by the people who make the... the grants in the

 first place. Some people, if the equity would be low but

 there would be high interest and no chance to sell, you

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

might say at the time of sale. If it were a longer period, you might provide for some installments after the three year... after the four year period... actually three year period of payment. So that, it would depend on sort of a case—by—case basis."

Piel: "But basically... Are you saying to me that it's not covered in the Bill how each one will be paid back to the state?"

Greiman: "It's a discretionary plan. In other words, the notion is to provide planning."

Piel: "Thank you very much. I have no further questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative

Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he willo"

Tuerk: "Do you know what percentage in the state that the banks loan to people as opposed to savings and loan?"

Greiman: "I do not."

Tuerk: "Do you have any idea of the percentage?"

Greiman: "I do not."

Tuerk: "The banks are in favor of this Conference Committee Report. Is that correct?"

Greiman: "They have indicated their support of this Bill along with about...a number of other groups and organizations."

Tuerk: "Right. The Savings and Loan Association for the State of Illinois is opposed to the Bill. Are you aware of that?"

Greiman: "I believe they are, although [have not spoken with them."

Tuerk: "Do you know why?"

Greiman: "You know, Fred, I can't for the life of me figure out why anybody has a fund to pay the payment... pay the mortgage payments and why they wouldn't say, "God, that's a hell of an idea to help people not be delinquent." I think

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

that they are just afraid of anything that touches their mortgage. Those are like sacred documents brought down from the mount. The truth of the matter is, they should be wild over this Bill, but sometimes people are so... maybe it's the sponsor, I don't know. But sometimes people are just so backward they don't understand what's in their best interest. So, you're asked me, that's the answer."

Tuerk: "Well, you're speculating, as I would take it."

Greiman: "That's all I can do is speculate, but not with mortgages."

"Well, to the Conference Committee Reports Tuerk: I originally thought it was a good idea, too. The Savings and Loan Association for the State of Illinois feels that this legislation as far as the lending institutions across the state and, Lord knows, they have enough problems as is today, let alone adding to that. Now, why the banks and the savings and loans are disparit in their comments and their regards to the Conference Committee Report I cannot address at the moment because I don't know. Sut I do know enough that, at this point, I plan to vote °no° on the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Kane, Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Members of the House, on this particular Bill, I am today rising in support of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3340 even though originally when this Bill came before us I opposed it and I believe I did vote 'no' on it. However, we have come along way since that time. Representative Greiman has worked with the special interest groups that opposed this Bill originally. They have come up with a compromise. It is, in fact, the strangest combination of groups that I've ever seen that is supporting this legislation. Everyone from the various bar associations, to the Chicago Chamber of

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

and Industry, to some of the labor unions, to the Commerce 7 []linnis Bankers* Association. miaht address Representative... the earlier Representative's about why the savings and loans said that they opposed it. and I was informed that they felt that it might affect the secondary mortgage market. Well. I have in front of letter that would indicate that if that is their concern. they are clearly mistaken, because I have a letter from Fannie May which clearly states that this Bill, as written, would not have a significant negative impact Fannie May as a mortgage holder. That is the secondary So, I really don't think that their objections Bill are justified. It is a reasonable Bill. : t It serves businesso serves the consumers. I think i + * c good for the banks. It's good for people who... who have equity in their home and don't want to give that You know, it's pretty rough when you're been laid off a job and you can't make your mortgage payment and this isn't a What this is is simply giving giveaway. you a mortgage before the bank forecloses on your home so that counselor you have a chance to keep your home if you have established equity in that home. It's not for just anyone. And even that point, you still have to have, I believe, 35 percent of what your income is go towards the mortuage payment and then you can get funds from the state from this mortgage assistance pool. I would recommend the Bill to all of you. I think that it will serve all οf our constituents and some of the special interest groups that you might be interested in. And I would simply urge support of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3340. Thank you.ºº

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DoKalb. Representative Countryman."

- 138th Legislative Day June 29, 1986
- Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman will yield to a question."
- Countryman: "Representative, are you familiar with the concept of nonrecord claimants?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Repeat your question, Representative

 Countryman,"
- Countryman: "Are you familiar with what a nonrecord claimant is?"
- Greiman: "Well, I know under the old Mortgage Foreclosure Act, I have some sense of what it is."
- Countryman: "Is it... exist under this Conference Committee

 Report under the new Act?"
- Greiman: "Pardon?"
- Countryman: "Does it exist under the new Act that's incorporated in this Conference Committee Report?"
- Greiman: "I don't know. Those issues were worked out by the several Sections of the Illinois State and Chicago Bar Association, and I don't know. I just don't know."
- Countryman: "But this... You serve on the Judiciary Committee with me, is that correct?"
- Greiman: "I have only recently been appointed to that."
- Countryman: "Sut this Bill did not come through that Committee,

 did it?"
- Greiman: "I don't... I just don't know. You know the Committee on Assignment — who knows what they ore going to do."
- Countryman: "To the Bill, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."
- Countryman: "I stand in opposition to this and I do so for this reason. I serve on the Judiciary Committee. Matter of fact, I attended every meeting this year. This bill did not come through to us. It's been in the Senate for a long time. This is one bill that the Judiciary Committee should

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

have spent a great deal of time on. The concept of nonrecord claimants has been eliminated. Ttts paior It's a major revision. concept. And. in fact. it's something that's an oversight here. And i f 0 < ODE that should be brought to this Body's attention. I don't think there's any reason to move ahead with this with such at the closing hours of the Session, and I'd recommend to the Hembers that we vote 'no' on this and deal with it next year when we have the time to really rewrite the mortgage foreclosure. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff."
- Huff: "Thank you. Hs. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman will yield to a duestion."
- Huff: "Representative Greiman, does the genesis of this Bill have anything to do with the cessation of property owners who have federally insured mortgages that may be threatened?"
- Greiman: "I can't hear what you're saying, Doug. Could you speak into the mic?"
- Huff: "Well, yes. I was saying, does the genesis of this Bill have anything to do with the... the fact that HUD is no longer providing court order relief for people who have federally insured mortgages that are under foreclosure?"
- Greiman: "No. The genesis of this has to do with the fact that

 Illinois is the mortgage foreclosure capital of America."
- Huff: "Well, I understand that. And I'm not in opposition to the
 Bill. But I just wanted to know, do you know if HUD is
 still providing foreclosure protection for..."
- Greiman: "Yes. It wouldn't affect the FHA mortgages. It would not affect it. FHA has its own procedures to ceal with it.

 So, it wouldn't affect the FHA."
- Huff: "Alright. That's what I wanted to know. Thank you."

 Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Hojcik."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I rise opposition to this Conference Committee Report. As a real estate broker. I would like to point out some of the wavs that the individual would not lose their home. In the hard times of the 70°s and the early 80°s, most of your savings and loans and your banks allowed the homeowner to pay the interest on their mortgage which would also allow them time enough to place their home on the market in order for them to have it sold. If they were also playing a hardship case, they would then divide the interest and allow them to pay at a lesser fee until they could get their finances together. I don't believe that the homeowners should into the business of having the state provide. I think we have enough problems right now with our state provide for all of the benefits that we're accountable to. and I do not believe that this Conference Report should nass.a

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman, to close. Excuse me.

The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would rise in support of the Bill and would extend my thank you to Representative Greiman for his hard work on this Bill. As he remembers, I have received we debated this some time ago. pieces of correspondence in support of this Bill from people in my district. There was a rally held in the City Danville, County οf Vermilion in support of this legislation. My district, as most of you know, racked very high unemployment, and I think with the sunset provision and the other work that has been done is workable and it is certainly needed in my Bill. it district, and I would urge an "ave" vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman, to close."

Greiman: "Thank you. First, let me say that the issue of

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

Mortgage Foreclosure Act has been considered by the Senate. in depth, by the bar associations and has... I think, does improve our mortgage foreclosure system. respect to the comments about what some lenders did. indeed, some lenders were most... were most sensitive, were most tender and were most cooperative. Soue Here I just want to think about a always not be. picture. It's the picture that we all have. A nice family. the man's working, the woman's working, perhaps. Perhaps they have a nice little spoto They buy They want it to be their dream. They have the little children and they want the kids to grow up. and thatte their dream. And it's the dream that you talk about. You. you, you all talk about that dream. and vou• and It's American middle class. And that's the picture of it. happens - it's like a water color that the rain comes down and it begins to run and drip and drain and it's not in perception as it was originally. It's very different. And, suddenly, the dream is gone. And the dream is a nightmare, and people are faced with losing their homes. And that's not the dream that I have for America or that for America and for people who care about owning their own home. So, the savings and loans have wispered in your ears - they don't want to have their debt collection process ruffled. Nevermind that they get their money. that the state collects Nevermind its DODEV backa Nevermind any of it. Keep the dream. Vote for this Bill." Speaker Breslin: "The question is. 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3340?* those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote Voting is open. Representative Huff, do VOU seek recognition? You spoke in debate, Sir."

Huff: "I want to explain my vote."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

- Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. That's not allowed under our rules.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The

 Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe, to explain
 his vote."
- McAuliffe: "Mr... or Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, once a year Representative Greiman has a good idea and this is the one time. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are you going to vote? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question there are 78 voting voting 'no' and 3 voting *present*, and the House does adopt the First Confarence Committee Report on House 2517 3340. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declard passed. Senate Bi11 1320, Representative Ewing. Clerk. read the 3111. Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing. Clerke read the Billa"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1320, a bill for an Act to create the

 General Not... to amend an Act to create the General

 Not-For-Profit Corporation Act with First Conference

 Committee Report."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing."

"Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Conference Committee Report does two things. It takes controversial Amendment that was put on the Bill by Representative Vinson which deals with the registering... the filing of economic disclosure by certain board of not-for-profit corporations. and i t also provides some needed language which really doesn't affect the not-for-profit corporation part of the Ac & condominium owners in one area along the lake shore where they need to be able to make some decisions in regard to lakefront property with less than 100 percent of the

138th Legislative Day

June 29 1986

condominium owners agreeing to it. This provides for 50 percent. I would move for the approval of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1320. And on that question, the Gentleman from DeHitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will not."

Vinson: "Hell, in that case."

Ewing: "Yes, I will. Yes, I'll be glad to."

Speaker Breslin: "He's changed his mind, Sir. He will yi'eld to a question."

Vinson: "In regard to the condominium language, it's my understanding that it deals only with the percentage of Members of the condominium who are necessary to approve the granting of an easement for flood control. Is that true?"

Ewing: "That's true, Representative."

Vinson: "It does not deal with anything relating to mortgage...

to insurance pools or anything of that sort."

Ewing: "No, that's correct."

Vinson: "Okay, thank you."

Ewing: "And I would have immediately yielded to you, but I thought you probably wanted to discuss your Amendment."

Vinson: "No, I don't know whether I conveyed to you or not, but I conveyed it to a large number of groups that I was willing to recede from that Amendment."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1320?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. The

138th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1936
- Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin, one minute to explain his vote."
- Levin: "Madam Speaker, I would just ask to have the record reflect that I have filed a letter in connection with Senate Bill 1320 indicating the law firm I'm of counsel to represents condominium associations, and I would ask to have that journalized as part of the record.
- Speaker Breslin: "It will be journalized. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted... Representative Vinson, for what reason do vou rise?"
- Vinson: "I... the microphones are low. The distraction level is high. And I did not hear what was being journalized, and I wonder if I could be informed as to what was peing journalized."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin's letter with regard to Senate Bill 1320.™
- Vinson: "What's that letter deal with?"
- Speaker Breslin: "It deals with his business interests, I believe, dealing with condominium lawom
- Vinson: "I'm sorry?"

Vinson:

- Speaker Breslin: "Business interests dealing with condominiums." "Oh, okay. Thank you."
- Breslin: "You'll read it in the Journal. Speaker Have all voted The Clerk will take the record. question there are 115 voting *aye*, none voting *no* and 1 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1320. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Hajority, hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1931, Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1931, a Bill for an Act relating to number, appointment and retirement of Associate Judges with First Conference Committee Report."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The only difference between this Conference Committee and the Bill that we passed is that the cap that was placed on part-time court reporters of 75 dollars a day was rejected by the Senate and so now we have changed it to 60 dollars for part-time court reporters for a half days worth of work. Aside from that change, it is identical to the Bill that passed out of the House. So, I move for your support. Be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to Senate 3ill 1931. And on that question, the Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

Olson: "Representative Cullerton, on page two of the Conference Committee Report, first line it shows that the annual salary beginning July of '87 would go to 37,250. And further on down it describes the factors on which the Supreme Court would Judge on how these are paid. Could you go through that for me please on lines eight, nine and ten?"

Cullerton: "Yes."

- Olson: "For example, proficiency, would that be a grade A reporter at the max?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, proficiency rating is based on the speed in which they take."

Olson: "Right."

Cullerton: "And experience is pased on obviously the amount of time. The population of the area to which a reporter is normally assigned is taken into account since there's

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

more...

- Olson: "Would there be a population breakout on that?"
- Cullerton: "I don't think that they have a hard and fast, you know, rule. I think this is one of the factors that is taken into account, recognizing the fact that there's simply more work that's... in some counties than is in others."
- Olson: "Would that be counties, for example, over 500,000, or hasn't that been specified by the Supreme Court?"
- Cullerton: "Oh, no. This is statewide. This applies statewide."
- Olson: "Right. So, in other words then, my County of Lee where a court reporter would be perhaps working at that skill four hours a day, would they qualify for the 37,250 annually?"
- Cullerton: "No, that refers to full-time court reporters."
- Olson: "Well, they would be there for eight hours, but they would only..."
- Cullerton: "Oh, no, so then what the... they're entitled to make at least 6,000."
- Olson: "Right."
- Cullerton: "Anywhere between 6,000 and 37,250. And in determining that salary schedule, they take into account these factors. In the case that you cited, if they work half a... the example you gave, if they work half a day, I would assume they would make something like ... somewhere in the middle, between those two figures."
- Olson: "Well, as of five years ago, our court reporters were probably making 20 to 25,000 dollars a year, and I was just curious how that might be broken out."
- Cullerton: "I would think that they would not be affected by this
 Bill because they wouldn't... they're not being... they're
 not at the top right now and they won't... So that the fact
 that the top has increased, that won't affect their salary
 at all."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Olson: "What other factors might the director take into consideration? Have they ever spelled that out to you?

Other factors considered."

Cullerton: "Oh, you mean the statute says other factors considered relevant by the director?"

Olson: "Yeah, yeah."

Cullerton: "I think that's just a general discretion that's put into account by the director. It's obvious that in the case that you cited, there was a salary that was less than the cap. So, apparently, the cap isn't always hit in every case."

Olson: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall the House concur in the First Conference Committee Report to Senate bill 19319# Δ11 favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. those in Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Cierk will take the On this question there are 73 voting 'aye', 39 voting 'no' and I voting 'present', and the House does adont the First Conference Committee Report to Senate bill And this Sill, having received the Constitutional 1931. Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2998. Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Billom

Clerk Leone: "House dill 2998, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation.

Conference Committee Report 31."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2998, the House concurred in Senate Amendments #1, 3, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 13. The Senate receded from Amendments #6 and it was further amended as follows: A platform park over Eisenhower

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1936

Expressway, resurfacing of different streets in the Chicago area, widening in South Holland, widening in Elmhurst, Lake Street-Mill Road, Lake Street-Swift Road, Freeport, and curb improvements in Streetor, design and engineering for interchange of I-dO at Seneca, construction extension of Pfifer Road in Peoria, resurfacing of ... Road in Moultry County, construction of fire protection facilities at the Aurora Municipal Airport, statewide rural road improvements, land acquisition for flood control î n Wood River. land acquisition for the Indian Creek flood control project in Aurora Township, planning and associated studies for the DuPage County Airport and some RTA grants if an agreement is reached. I would move for adoption.

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2998. question, is there any discussion? Hearing none. question is. 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report on House Lill 2998? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting *no* and none voting *present*, and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2998. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority. hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas. for what reason ďο vou recognition?"

Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just wanted to warn the Members of the General Assembly that there is a furry little creature loose on the House Floor. It seems that Representative Araun presented Representative Ronan with a black gerbil this afternoon, and the little black creature

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

emancipated himself and he's loose on the House floor. Sos anyone finds him, please return Representative Braun or Representative Romanom

Speaker Greslin: "Representative Braun, please explain yourself." Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Following the activities that have recently occurred in this General Assembly, I thought that it would be appropriate that I give my good friend, Al Ronan, a special gift, and so I found for him a rare African black gerbil, which I thought he might really appreciate, and I suggested to Representative Roman that it was a special gerbil, especially for him and for which could decide its appropriate use. Now, there has been some discussions on this floor regarding the role of gerbils, particularly, the role of garbils... no, I won't say but many of us... there has been a lot of discussions about floor, and I thought that Representative gerbils on this Ronan would have a special appreciation of a gerbil, and so I made a gift to him of a rare African black gerbil. now appears that Representative Ronan has fallen short in his responsibilities and has lost the gerbil. Mowa it seems to me that if he can't take care of his own gerbil, then he ought to be censured. He ought to be held accountable and responsible, and he ought to be, frankly, I think, lashed with a wet noodle for his Now, Representative Ronan has always told me that appreciates little furry things. He... that he has a special place for little furry things and that he could take care of it. I am really shocked and surprised and disappointed that Representative Ronan has not better job taking care of his rare African gerbil, which I suggested to him could give him more pleasure and/or nain than anything he could even imagine in his wildest dreams. So, I'm going to suggest to Representative Ronan

he

that

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

undertake to find the gerbil and to put it in its rightful place. Thank you. $\ensuremath{^{\text{cl}}}$

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to censure Representative Ronan with a wet noodle. And on that question, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Inquiry of the Chair, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

Vinson: "Is that gerbil infectious?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Chair doesn't know the answer to that question. Sir. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan, on the question."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. This Ronan: a very serious point in my life, and I've received numerous awards from probably every special interest group in this state. I remember, up until this point when I received this gerbil. the greatest award I ever had was the pickle award last year, but the gerbil really was important to me, and, Representative Braun, I did watch that gerbil diligently, but there are some responsibilities I had to take on tonight concerning some significant legislation. Obviously, the key mistake I made - just a few days ago, Representative DeLeo came on the floor with a gerbil retention device. If I had only maintained the common sense to get that device, there would have been no problem. So, I do take full responsibility authority, and when you find my gerbil, please return and Representative Kulas is going to keep his eye on it it. for the rest of the evening. So, just keep your eyes open, we'll get it back somehow or another. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "... Clerk, Mr. Lucco is with us now. Would you read the Resolution, please?"

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1528. Whereas, Joe Lucco,

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Speaker Michael J. Madigan, and his wife, Assistant to Marina, celebrated their 49th anniversary on June 28, 1956 of on the 26th as in other years, for Joe confuses dates: whereas. Marina Tepatti and Joe L. Lucco married at St. Nicholas Church in Pocahontas, Illinois on June 26, 1937 and they are the parents o f son. 3111. and daughter. Marina, teacher traveller and they are now proud grandparents of four; for Joe, a championship basketball coach and whereas. member of the Illinois Basketball Coaches Hall of Fame. this is the first time in 49 years of marriage and his attendant anniversaries that he has dropped the he will surely win in overtime; whereas, Joe was elected to Illinois House of Representatives in the 56th District the 1974 and reelected in 1976 where he unfailingly demonstrated the good sportsmanship and leadership of an accomplished coach; whereas, knowing Joe and Marina know that the past 49 years have been rich and rewarding ones for them, filled with happy memories of their children in Edwardsville raising community. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 84th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we congratulate Joe and Marina Lucco on their 49th wedding anniversary and we commend them for achieving a long happy marriage built on shared values and commitment and that we wish them many more years of good health And be it further resolved that a copy of this happiness. Preamble and Resolution be given to Joe and Harina as an indication of our esteem and best wishes."

Speaker Breslin: "Joe. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Resolution has already been adopted. Joe says he doesn't want to make a speech this year. God willing, he'll be back here next year in the 50th to make a speech. Representative

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Matijevich, on the Resolution."

Matijevich: "Hell, rather than the speech, could be just tell us how he forgot the date? We don't need a speech. Could be explain how he forgot? I mean, after 49 years, how can you forget that date, Joe? Explain that?"

Joe Lucco: "When you work for Speaker Hadigan, as the sign says,

'It's not a job. It's an adventure.' So, you forget

everything else when you're up here."

Speaker Breslin: "Supplemental Calendar announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar &3 is being distributed."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

Leverenz: "Now?"

Speaker Breslin: "You know not now. He just have Supplemental 3 being distributed."

Leverenz: "Oh, it's on Supplemental 4 or 5?"

Speaker Breslin: "We'll see."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentleman, Supplemental 03 is on your desk. The first Bill on that Order is House Bill 1321, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill. Excuse me. Is Representative Keane in the chamber? Out of the record. House Bill 2546, Representative Kulas. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative Kulas, this is your Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2546, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to fire protection districts..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Madam Speaker, can we just take it out for a couple of minutes? A problem here."

Speaker Breslin: "Surely. Senate Bill 2100, Representative Ewing. Do you want to run with that? Clerk, read the

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

3111.0

Clerk Leone: "House (sic - Senate) Bill 2100, a Zill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Conference Committee Report 31."

Speaker Breslin: *Representative Ewing.*

Ewing: "Take that out of the record a minute."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Representative Keane has returned to the chamber. We'll go back to his Bill on Supplemental #3, Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 1321. Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Conference Committee Report #1, the basic Bill had a Department of Revenue revisory to conform the seizure sales provision of income tax to identical provisions added to the sales tax. The Senate added an Amendment, Senate Amendment #1, which provided that counties which have tort liability funds, the paid from fees... from fees paid to the county treasurer or by purchases of tax delinquent property be, 'may U a V be'. deposited in the county's general fund rather than in the indemnity fund. The third... what was added in Conference Committee what is known as sup-part F was dividends. Sub-part F dividends basically are specialized earned by foreign corporations which have... are treated by the IRS as corporate dividends. Because in Illinois we exempt foreign dividends from taxes. what sub-part F... what sub-part F... Madam Speaker. would you take this out of the record? Madam Speaker, would you take this out of the record?"

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Representative Kulas, House Bill 2546."

Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House accept Conference Committee Report 31 on House 3111 2546. On the Conference

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Committee Report, the House concurred in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. We had discussed this fill previously. There was some technical language. That's why we put it in a Conference Committee. I'd be glad to answer any questions. I would move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report.*

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas moves that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Sill 2546. on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept... adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2546?* those in favor vote *aye*, all those opposed vote *no*. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Hicks votes 'aye'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye' ... Have you taken the record, Mr. Clerk? 112 voting "aye", 1 voting "no" and 1 voting . *present*, and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2546. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Senate 8ill 2100. passed. Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing.™

Ewing: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is. I believe. an agreed Bill or a Conference Committee Report' which I would move for the adoption dealing with the Tax Increment Financing Act of Illinois. basically does just a few very minor things. Ŧŧ provides that bonds issued pursuant to the Tax Increment Financing Act will not be subject to the provision of the Act authorizing corporations to issue public bonds. this has to do with current proposed changes in the Federal It also clarifies in regard to the repayment of interest expenses as part of the development of tax

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

increment financing district, and it takes out some confusing language dealing with the definition of vacant land. And I would move for its adoption.**

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 2100. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, *Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 2100?* All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and one voting 'present', and the House does accept... adopt the First Conference Committee Report to And this Bill, having received the Senate 8ill 2100. hereby declared Constitutional Majority, is Madigan in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "Page two of the Calendar, on the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar, there appears Senate Bill 1597. The Chair recognizes Mr. Piel. Mr. Clerk, has the Bill been read a third time?"

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1597, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Piel, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would request a 30 minute Republican Conference immediately."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Nevermind."

Speaker Madigan: "There has been a request for a Republican Caucus. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, I ... I always like the public to be informed and, you know, we're getting so close to June 30th, I wonder what the stall is for. You know, we're ready to

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

work here on this side of the aisle, but evidently, the Republicans want to stall. Is that it? Have you got something you don't have yet and you want to work on it a little longer? Is that what this is all about? Groom Explain this to us."

Speaker Madigan: "Hr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, Representative Matijevich wouldn't understand because over here we have input from our Mempers, as opposed to the opposite side."

Speaker Madigan: "Republicans will meet in Caucus in Room 118 and we shall return to the floor at the hour of 10:50. The request was for a 30 minute Caucus. He'll return to the floor at 10:50. Please be prompt because there is only... at 10:50 there will be an hour and ten minutes left in this Legislative Day. Mr. Piel, do you understand that, as the Sponsor of the Bill?"

Piel: "I understand. Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "One hour and ten minutes left in this Legislative Day. Thank you."

Piel: "I understand. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. The House shall come to order.

Members shall be in their chairs. Page two of the Calendar

on the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading Short Debate

Calendar appears Senate Eill 1597. Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like leave of the House to
move this Bill to Second Reading for the purpose of an
Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "An objection has been raised."

Piel: "I would move to have this Bill be brought back to Second Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Gentleman moves that the sill be put on the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment.

On the Motion, the Chair recognizes Er. Levin."

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1966

- Levin: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry. If you look at the Calendar, the Calendar refers to the Motion having been made with respect to this Bill to move the consideration of this legislation to November the 18th. I believe if you examine the wording of the Motion, I don*t extended the deadline to the 18th. I think it moved back the time when we can again consider this legislation I would ask that we actually look at the ... at November. the actual Motion that was put and see whether or not this in effect, alive at this point or is in the deep is. freeze until November.º
- Parliamentarian Pollak: "On behalf of the Speaker, the Motion extended the deadline until November 18th rather than setting a specific date for the Bill to be heard."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to Rule 71(a), I would request that the Gentleman place this Hotion in writing if he has not done so at this time."
- Speaker Madigan: "Clerk has handed me a Motion for leave to return Senate Bill 1597 to Second Reading For the purpose of an Amendment, signed by Representative Lee A. Daniels.

 Mr. Young. Mr. Anthony Young."
- Young: "Speaker, I would request a Democratic Caucus."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Young. I suggest your request is not timely. Representative Braun."
- Braun: "Ar. Speaker, with regard to Representative Young's request, we have just, in the last few minutes, been given copies of the Amendments to this Bill which previously was on the Order of Short Debate, as such, and in order to allow us to read the Amendments and understand what's in them we have a sense of what the Amendments are about but I think that this is a matter of sufficient gravity and importance that the Democratic Members would like an

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

opportunity to discuss it and to... to take a Caucus... have a Caucus dialogue regarding the issues that are raised in the various Amendments to Senate Bill 1597. And as such, Mr. Speaker, I think it not only timely, but appropriate that we go into Caucus.

- Speaker Hadigan: "Representative... Representative Eraun, my reaction is that we have waited an half an hour for a Republican Caucus. And it would seem to me that the time for the Democrats to have caucused would have been simultaneously with the Republicans."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I understand that. Lut at the time that the Republicans requested a Caucus the Amendments weren't on our desks. He did not have an opportunity..."

 Speaker Madigan: "No."
- Braun: "It wasn't on my desk. We ... And I think. Wr. Speaker, that in light of the gravity of the issues raised by these Amendments, that it is appropriate and a Caucus, of course, is appropriate at any time when we have a matter which needs to be discussed among and between Democrats."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Shaw."

Shaw: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I. m... to request the... to expound on the Democratic Caucus. I think that ... I agree with the previous speaker that we should be permitted to have the Democratic... have a Democratic Caucus because I think what we're about to go into here is of concern to a great many people of Illinois and we should have some discussion about this matter as Democrats. And I think that a Democratic Caucus at this time would be most appropriate."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, I don't rise on the issue of a Damocratic

Caucus. I only rise on the issue of recalling this Bill to

Second Reading because what we're doing by recalling it to

Second Reading, we are saying that we intend now to hear

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

and that this bill then be considered on Third Amendments A Bill of this magnitude, I believe House acted responsibly in putting it over to the Fall Veto Even though we didn't, by doing that, say that Session. the ... that it had to be considered in the Veto Session, that everybody, when we did put it over, felt that that's when it was going to be considered. Everybody knows and the newspapers sure know why we're considering it at this late hour. The fact of the matter is that a deal has You know, the word deal has all kings of been made. connotations. I guess if you win, it's an arrangement, but But the fact of if you lose it's a deal. the matter is is a deal and what's going to happen now - we go back to Second Reading and we're going to hear all kinds of silly Amendments to this 3ill. I don't think anybody that. I think you've muddied up this whole wants to do Session. Ħе finished the O'Hara Airport Issue. finished it till the fall. Just because you cut a deal, vou want to muddy up this Session. And I don't think ought to do it. I voted the other day with conscience on the other issue. And I wouldn't have voted for î t i f T was involved. And I don't thought a deal think any Legislator... It will get worse later on if we jo believe me. But because the deal has been cut, and I think that if we're going to be responsible Legislators. individually, we're not going to be part of that deal. Τf you want to be tainted with it, go ahead. But I don†t.□

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion because I believe that we are travelling down a road that will lead us into the same kind of publicity and ridicule that has attended the Chicago City Council Council wars.

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

tadies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to welcome you to *Council War South*. Decause I think that we will to see even more Bills like this. First taking away O'Hare Airport and then taking away the right of the Mayor to make appointments to the Chicago School Board and then something the Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary District and on and on and on. This is... This is just the beginning. believe that if we are going to attempt to deal with O'Hare and a substantive level, to deal with it in a Airport reasonable way. that. indeed, нe should consideration of the issue in any way, shape or form until November as we had originally planned to do. Mhat wa is literally an eleventh hour attempt to however. move a piece of legislation that is going to dramatically affect the economy οf northeastern Illinois, will dramatically alter the balance of power in northeastern once and for all and this is not an issue that should be taken lightly or rushed through at if we want to bring Council wars to Springfield. then we can continue voting for Motions like this, voting for Amendments like the ones we have on our desks and voting on issues like this. But. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that we will rule the day that we began travelling down this road. So, I rise and urge all of my colleagues to oppose the Gentleman's Motion to return this bill to the Order of Second Reading and let's proceed with what has, up until last evening, been a very orderly Session of the General Assembly."

Speaker Madigan: "Are Huffe"

Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I came here this evening, Ladies and Gentlemen, fully expecting to see the legislative version of the march of the lemons or the march of the lemnings, and I don't want

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

to seem sanguine about this but I rather anticipated it. And I just want to know either we're going to see this spectacle tonight, if not, let's go home. $^{\circ}$

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Representative's Bowman's remarks were well taken, and I think, Mr. Speaker, that reflecting on what Representative Sowman said, or Representative Young's request for a renew my request Democratic Caucus. I think it is appropriate and timely. There is no need to rush to judgement on an issue like this, particularly when the Bill has been extended We have time to take this issue up. The Tambers no t be fully aware of all the ramifications. The impact it will have on the business against it. impact that it will have on the business community. The northeastern Illinois. climate in And as such. Speaker. Ť think that under the circumstances a Caucus is appropriate and we can do it as quickly or as long I พould request а half hour. Representative Young would like a half hour. He made the Motion. I don't know. Haybe you ought to ask him. But. in any event, Mr. Speaker, I think a Caucus at this point appropriate, and I would ask, Ar. Speaker, that you specifically rule or respond to our request, and I hope you do so affirmatively.

Speaker Madigan: "Representative 3raun, I'm told that the Conference Rules for the Democratic Conference provide that there shall be a Conference at the request of 20 percent of the Membership. My quick math comes out to 13.5."

Braun: "Thank you, Sir. I think we have 13.5, at least, Members on this side of the aisle who would request a Conference at this time."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay. There will be a Democratic Conference

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

for 15 minutes and we shall return at 11:15. 300m 114.º Let us go to Room 114. The House shall come to order. House shall come to order. The Mempers shall be in their chairs. Our posture is that there is a Motion by Mr. Piel that this Bill be placed on the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. The Chair has been I hereby give Representative Lee request. Daniels permission to present Senate Bill 1597, and request that the Chair call on Representative Daniels for that purpose in lieu of myself. Bob Piel. The Chair recognizes Representative Braun on the Motion.

- Braun: "Oh, well... Gee, Ar. Speaker• I was looking at the Calendar, and this thing says that it's on the Order o f Short Debatea I know... this goes to the Motion. Representative Vinson.
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Eraun, you are recognized.

 Please proceed."
- Braun: "Thank you. The Bill appears on the Order of Short Debate, and I think, under our rules, upon the request of any 10 Members, you can take something off of the Short Debate so we can have time to really discuss the issue and the Amendments that are attending to it. I'd like to be joined by a sufficient number to take the Bill off Short Debate. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be taken off the Order of Short

 Debate. Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Ar. Speaker, I would just inquire if it might be possible for you to put the timer on."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Ar. Vinson. Ar. Levin."
- Levin: "Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, to address the Motion before us. As I indicated the other night, I come from a district that is tired of the council wars in Chicago. It is a district that, given the

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

opportunity, would probably vote for none of the above, and opposing this Motion. because I think one of the things that the Speaker has been successful in doing in the last three vears is keeping council wars out of General Assembly. Opviously, this is a political Body, but it's a Body that has been dealing with issues one at a time on the merits, and we have a series of shifting coalitions based on the issue. Weirs not polarized. itaaa He do different Parties. We do come from different parts of the state. But that what we have been able to do for the despite what is going on in the City of vears is. Chicago, we've basically peen able to keep that Ωf And I think that if we call... if we take this Bill back to Second Reading, we are, in effect, changing We are, in effect, establishing the precedent that the whole tone of this Body will be changed. tell you that, you know, the people in my district, they don't want to see it in Chicago. but they certainly don't want to see it extended to this General Assembly. They are tired of the din, they are tired of the noise, and it is... it has certainly adversely affected the city the ability of the city to operate. But we've been able to that from happening down here, and what we've been able to do is, we have been able to keep functioning, because we have been able to keep the Chicago situation out of the General Assembly. Ŧ had the opportunity to run for the City Council of Chicago, but I turned down that opportunity because I felt that, you know, we... that this is a real Legislative Body here. Chicago City Council has some serious problems, and I didn't want to be a part of that. and а part οf those problems and a part of that polarization. And I can tell you that the people in my district who were, in fact, the

swing in the last mayoral election, and may, in fact, be

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

the swing again this coming... this coming... not want to see what is voing on in coming election, do Chicago extended to Springfield. Certainly, there are legitimate issues as far as O'Hare Field, but that's not what's really before us today. Hhat's before us today is whether or not we are going to extend the council wars, as Representative 3owman said, and wake the Illinois General Assembly 'council wars south'. So, I encourage every single Member on both sides of the aisle to give that some participate thought, to decide if you want to Legislative Body as polarized and as rancorous as that body in Chicago, or if we want to continue the tradition that we've had for the last three years of not being polarized, not being rancorous, dealing an issue at a time, think this is a very critical vote in terms of making that determination. So, I would encourage a 'no' vote Motion to recall this 3ill from Third to Second Reading. After all, I can tell you, O'Hare Field is functioning at this point very well. I went back to Chicago, and I went to ... had to take a plane to come back here this evening, and the airport is doing fine. Planes are taking off on They are landing on time. Safety ... Safety is going on the way it should. But I do know that the business community is very concerned about this particular issue." Gentlemen.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin... Mr. Levin, Ladies and Gentlemen, the timer is on. The Gentleman has over five minutes left in his alloted time. Right. So... Mr. Vinson, we all show a great amount of respect for you, and I think you should do the same for the other Members of the Body. Thank you. Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have received some communication from Mambers of the business community who

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1906

really couldnot care less about what happened last Friday They couldn't care less about the politics Park District. They couldn't care less about who controls it. They couldn't care less about the jobs. But what they do care about is the functioning of O'Hare Field, and that means jobs to them, and that means their business, and they do not want to see the chaos, the dissention occur and bring down that very, very critical body which is so important to the economy, not only of Chicago, but to the entire state. So, these people that are calling me, many are Republicans. They don't necessarily support the Mayor of the City of Chicago, but they said, you want with the Park District, but we don't want you to touch, we don't want politics affecting the operation and the safety and the viability of O'Hare Field.' For these reasons. I intend to vote "no", and I encourage every other Member to do the same thing."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Brookins."

Brookins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the O'Hare Airport is a lovely airport. Assembly. the best airports in the country. I have had the and depart from that airport. Ιt opportunity to arrive is... It is the type of airport that function. i s and we have continued to improve it, and it will become improved. Now, I know that there is some dissention there from the neighbors, and I know that they considerations, have been considered, will their and continue to be considered. As was pointed out. the interest in the State of Illinois and in the City business of Chicago rest with a functioning and viable airport. Ma such an airport as that in the City of Chicago that services the surrounding areas, the suburbs of the City Chicago, that has grown by leaps and bounds because there 138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

is such a place as O'Hare Airport. The companies that have located in the suburbs in the City of Chicago has been very The high tech industries that are now arriving and useful. building in the suburbs surrounding Chicago are benefitted by the O'Hare Airport as it is today. He need not change anything there. We should not, at this time, bring Body, the strife, the fights, the infights. the innuendoes that has visited our City Council in Chicago. We stand resisting that. We stand for the reasons that it is So, I ask, Mr. Speaker, and I implore you and I proper. implore my fellow Members of this General Assembly. keep this Body high and honorable as we have operated over the last three years. That is why I feel that this Motion should not pass, should not be considered here this evening. I know and you know that O'Hare Airport functioning, is a viable, is a first-class airport and will remain that way as long as it is under good control and become controlled. So, that is the reason that rising here today. I have talked and worked with Members of this House on other subjects, and we have always agreed, worked together, for the best interests of this General Now, something that is vital, something that we Assembly. need, something that must remain intact, a working, one that has serviced many of you here. convenience of going and coming, the improvements which are being made every day - that is what we are talking That is what is at stake here, and that is why I am urging you to work with us to prevent this airport from changing and changing hands and changing management. Over the years experience that has been gained by the management and the ... and the personnel at the airport is intact. The improvements that are being made there will continue to be made there, so that is why we are staying here this

138th Legislative Day

June 29 1986

evenina. this afternoon, tonight, to urge you to give me vour support in this matter. Mr. Speaker, I implore you to use your powers also to all us in this event. Thank "Mr. LeFlore."

Speaker Madigan:

LeFlore: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Not too often "Thank vou... that I have talked in this General Assembly since I've been here for three and a half years, but I rise tonight oppose this Motion, because I feel it's a bad Motion. I feel that this Motion will hurt the City of Chicago and the people of the City of Chicago, number one; because D'Mare is one of our busiest airports in this county. find O'Hare Airport to be a beautiful place. I find O'Hare Airport to transport more people than any other airport throughout this country. I look at the surrounding of O'Hare Airport now, and i think back 10 or 15 years have lost a lot of industry in the City of Chicago, and this industry many... many of the companies have around O'Hare Airport, and the reason being is to transport their goods at a very fast manner in order for it to get to the destination that they are sending it. O'Hare Airport is important to the people of the City of Chicago. He have a number of people, even from my district, who work O'Hare Airport. I do not feel that we should let happen here in this General Assembly what has happened in Chicago. bean praying and I have been following Leadership of you, Mr. Speaker, since I've been here, and I've found that this General Assembly had ran real smoothly without any consultation on behalf of its Members. Hany of the Members have came to me since I've been hara Assembly and asked me for support, and I have not General changed a word. I will say to them. *Yes. Representative. [will give you support on many issues.* Since I've been here in this General Assembly, I can't say that I have

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

taken a lot home to my district. I have not, and I've been for three and a half years, and I still will be leaving here at the end of this Session ⊎ithout very if anything at all, unless it is for social I doubt And I feel that this is unfair, too, because programs my district, I have unemployment about 50 percent, and on a basis. I have people coming into my office seeking employment. I cannot provide it. because the General Assembly is not a place for employment, but I try to sit down and reason with them and talk to them and I say that to say this: We are here tonight. We are talking about an that is very important to the City of Chicago in the State of Illinois, and I do not wish to see this particular Motion act upon here in this General Assembly tonight. because it's not going to only hurt my district, but it's aaina to hurt the City of Chicago and the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn. For what purpose does Ar. Vinson seek recognition?"

Vinson: "To move the previous question, Ar. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "You've all heard the Hotion. Those in favor of the previous question... Those in favor. Mr. Shaw, we will have a Roll Call vote. This Hotion will take precedence. Those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting *ave*. those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Dunn, to explain his vote. Mr. Young, to explain his vote. Okav. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 64 'aye', 47 'no'. The Motion fails. He are now on the Motion, the Bill be put on the Order of Second Reading, and on that question, the Chair recognizes Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

During the debate, I was thinking back and when, as House. many of you know. I ride a bicycle a little bit. and Wilbur were working in their bicycle shop and working hard and late at night and they decided tο the product of their genius out to 'Killdevil Hill*, and they had some success and some not success, and hard. they worked long they were working on an invention, but they didn't think they were inventing football. thev thought they were inventing a heavier-than-air machine. And likewise, when а immigrant from 'Grosse', Norway, named Knute Rockne, one summer went off to a summer lake resort with a fellow names *Gus Dore*, and they began throwing a... an elongated spherical object around, they thought they were inventing a forward pass and playing football. Neither Rockne nor 'Dore' thought they were inventing an airport, did nor Orville and Wilbur think they were inventing football, but the thing they had in common - all four of them - was them thought that they were making an airport a political football. that's what we're doing And Нe shouldn't be making an airport a political toniaht. football, and if we bring this Bill back to Second Reading, I have Amendment \$26, which rose to the top of the pile on desk. There may be even more Amendments. He're doing to be here long into the morning hours debating this B111. all know that the outcome, in fairness and equity, is that O'Hare Airport should not be a political O'Hare Airport, for better or for worse, for richer or for poorer, is married to the City of Chicago. and that marriage should... should continue until death, and it should not be terminated tonight by divorce on the floor of this chamber, nor should it be done tomorrow. And I urge every Member to defeat the Motion to bring this Bill

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

back to Second Reading, not only for the City of Chicago, but in fairness, I think, really, to Orville and Wilbur and to Gus and Knute. Thank you very much.

Speaker Madigan: "On the Motion, the Chair recognizes Mr. Young.

Mr. Young."

Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Houses I rise in opposition to this Motion. Metve the airport situation to some degree discussed this Session. I think there are a lot of other issues that are much more important to the people of the state that need to be thoroughly debated and discussed during the remaining and that this issue should more probably be put over to the Fall Session. Δs one of the previous speakers alluded. there are 30-odd Amendments filed on this Bill. Some of the Amendments are quite lengthy and technical With subject as important as the airport. I think that we ought to be able to study these Amendments in detail. have time for our staffs tο dο proper analysis, have meetings and negotiations and probably a couple of summits like we've had on the insurance issue. T think with the benefits of analysis and summits, we'd get course of the summer over tha and the fall. and if necessary, next Session, or maybe the Session after even the Session after that. I don't think we have to rush into debating the airport during the last days of when i t is still... we have part of the budget that's not passed. We have tort reform which is passed. For those reasons, I would think that we should not entertain this Motion. I would also just like to point out some of the language in some of the Amendments. Some o f the language is quite technical, quite confusing, says things such as, *It is the purpose of this Section as specifically described than later Sections, to establish a 138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

Regional Airport Planning Authority to evaluate plan and need for airports and and related facilities in northeastern Illinois, to coordinate future development, and to cooperate with the United States Government in planning projects for airports and related facilities in this region. It is not the purpose Authority to engage in or to... or to what? Or to supervise the day-to-day operation and administration of or construction projects at airports and related facilities in northeastern Illinois. We have a Section on definitions that's quite confusing. I don't think that in the limited time available to us, that we could really give situation... Yes, I think that we should look at some of these definitions such as, *Affected area means geographic area which experiences an average day/night noise level otherwise known as LDN of 65 LDN or greater measured in accordance with the Federal Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 as amended. Now. I. for one. will be happy to admit that I really don't know what the LDN means. And I've asked staff to explain it to me. They really don't seem to have... Hr. Speaker, could I make an inquiry of the Chair as to the time for the record?"

Speaker Madigan: "The time shown on the board is correct, so let the record show that the time is now 12:01."

Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Shaw on the Motion." Shaw: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen οf HOUSE. Ŧ rise in opposition to this Motion because I can't, for the life of me, understand the reason why rape the City of Chicago of O'Hare Airport. to And above all, rename it, but, not only... not only that. It's a... Back some 20 some years ago, O'Hare Airport was built in the City of Chicago, and I think that's where it should

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

stay today. The other matters... He have matters here that we should be debating, and we are not. Не are debating O'Hare Airport. When I was elected to this Body. I thought that I was coming to a group of honorable people that look out for the interests of the people of Illinois. Sut. [m disappointed. looking at this 3ill, here - this grab attempt some Members of this General DOWER bν Assembly. there is no reason that this attempt should be What... How changing O'Hare Airport from made. the City of Chicago is going to help the situation of the people who around it. But there are some people saving... who are trying to lead the people to believe That is unfortunate. I think that the best thing that we could do, as Members of this General Assembly. to defeat this Motion and leave the airport where it is. If we were to attempt to take... move this Capital Springfield to Chicago, it would be totally unacceptable. It would be totally unacceptable to the Representatives from Springfield and down in central Illinois, here. And that's the way we feel, every Hember of this Assembly should feel about trying to move O'Hare Airport, snatch it from the City of Chicago. There is no legitimate other than a change of administrations in the City of We have looked at other administrations that have served in the City of Chicago. There have never like this made under those administrations. du t only today, when we have a different administration that we might not agree with, some of us might not agree with. He to take O'Hare Airport. Last year, it was McCormick Place. This year, it's O'Hare Airport. Next year, I don't know what it will be. They will probably be trying to move City Hall. Yep, they will probably be trying to move Lake Michigan to DuPage County. That's unfortunate. That is

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

unfortunate, and I appeal to the Ladies and Sentlemen Assembly that we should seriously look at the problem that we... or the issue that we are about to deal with, We should look at this issue. If the same thing and this Assembly could do it to you - if this Assembly and move something or snatch something from vour area, you would feel the same way that I do, and many Members on this side of the aisle, and maybe on the other side of the aisle, you'd feel the same way. And T to you that you should not allow this to happen to the City of Chicago, because today it's the City of Chicago. tomorrow, it might be your town. And that's not anina to help your situation any. It's not going to help the people of the area any, that you represent. Chicago, no one has said O'Hare International Airport was unsuccessful. has said anything about that. What this is about. think one of the previous speakers said it best was. it's a deal. And we should ... down here, should not be a part of any deal, especially when it's not serving in the best interests of the people of Illinois, the people who sent us here. You should... You should seriously think about your And many of the people that are talking to you and telling you about what you... how you should vote issue in terms of taking O'Hare Airport from the City of Chicago, they are only serving their own self-interest. not interested in your wellbeing. They are not are interested in that. They are serving themselves, and doing this to make themselves a big person. Well, I'd like to say this: every Member of this General elected from districts all over Illinois, and you are individuals. You should think individually. And certainly, maybe the Leadership from time to time give us some guidance, but we were thinking when we got here, and

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

many times, the Leaders here didn't know we were coming. But I appeal to you again and your own good judgment. And since the people of this state elected Representatives that they thought were capable of making equible decisions, and I believe that you are. And I think that you are. And I think that... I think that this Notion should be defeated no matter what, and I think the airport should stay within the City of Chicago. Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, would everyone please understand that we have now gone beyond midnight. He are in another Legislative Day. He will not adjourn until we have completed this Bill, so I think we've arrived at the time where we can proceed to a orderly debate, take a record vote, and then move on to other issues. And I would suggest that the Chair would recognize either Mr. Piel or Mr. Daniels to close on the Motion that the Bill be put on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am... I think vou*re absolutely correct in your analysis. Actually, there*s of Amendments that are pending on the Bill. He'd like to get to those, but the most important thing to cover allo is that what we are seeking to do on one of our is to set up a Regional Airport Planning Amendments Authority. It does not take the airport away from the City It never was that intention. It sets up an authority where people from all parts of the region are able to participate in policy making decisions, operations, influence, day-to-day operations operations of the airport, concession fees, the landing fees, the flight patterns and the like, still remain with 0'Hare Field, Airport. Meigs and the like. If the people on the other side of the aisle that are objecting to this right now

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

would read it, they'd find out that it has nothing to do with some of the things they are concerned about. Are Speaker, I'm also anxious to get to Amendment 213. So, I would hope that people would join me in supporting this return to Second Reading. Thank you.

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall this Bill be placed on the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment?"

Those in favor of the Motion will signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no". We are on Roll Call. Have all voted who wish? Ar. Shaw, you spoke in depate. Er. Shaw."

Shaw: "Mr. Speaker, I'd asked for a Verified Roll Call before.

And... And I would like, starting here, could we have a

Verified Roll Call? Oral Roll Call. I'm sorry."

Speaker Madigan: "If you request... We have already begun the Roll Call, and if you request a verification of this Roll Call, you can have a verification. For what purpose does Representative Breslin seek recognition? Record Representative Breslin as 'no'. Have all voted who The Clerk shall take the record. Have all voted who wish? 54 'no'. On this question, there are 59 "ave". Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Would you poll the absentees, and then... "

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk..."

Daniels: "Go ahead."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Bullock. Krska. And Rice."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Bullock as 'aye'. Anyone else? On this question, there are 60 'ayes', 54 'nos'. The... Mr. Bowman?"

Bowman: "I request a verification of this Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine. Clerk shall read the Affirmative Roll

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1936

Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barger. Barnes. Berrios. Clacks Bullock. Capparelli. Churchill. Countryman. Cowlishaw. Daniels. Dauchler. Davis. DeLeo. Didrickson. Frinca Farley. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Gofortio. Hallock. Harris. Hasara Hastert. Hawkinson. Hensel Hoffman. Johnson. Kirkland. Klemm. Koehler. Kubik. Kulas. Mays. McAuliffe. AcMaster. Nash. Laurino. Olson. Panayotovich. Parcels. Parke. B. Pedersen. Ы. Piel. Pullen. Regan. Ronan. Peterson. Ropp. Ryder. Stange. Stephens. Tate. Tuerk. Vinson. Heaver. Williamson. Woicik. And Zwick."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman, any questions?"

Bowman: "First of all, I'd like to compliment everyone on their fine attendance. However, yes... Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "The Lady is in her chair."

Bowman: "Okay. Representative Nash."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Nash. He's on the Republican side."

Bowman: "Oh, no wonder I couldn't find him. Is... Representative

Deteo?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. DeLeo is in the rear of the chamber."

Bowman: "Representative Wojcik."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady is in the chamber."

Bowman: "Representative Barger."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Barger is in his chair."

Bowman: "Representative Parke. Representative Johnson."

Speaker Hadigan: "Mr. Johnson? He's in the rear of the chamber."

Bowman: "I couldn't see over there, but is Representative

McMaster in the champer?"

Speaker Hadigan: "Mr. McMaster is in his chair."

Bowman: "No further questions."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question, there are 60 *aye*, 54 *no*.

The Motion carries. The Bill is on the Order of Second

138th Legislative Day

June 27, 1986

Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment .41, offered by Representative Braun."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "We will accept Amendments 1 through 5.00

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that Amendments 1 through 5 be adopted. Ar. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I believe I'm the author of Amendment 35. I
wish to withdraw it."

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment &5 shall be withdrawn.

Representative Braun."

Braun: "Ar. Speaker, you know what I just noticed? I just noticed that the first Amendment that I filed on this Gill is exactly the same thing as the Bill. So, I think that...

I really think I should withdraw Amendment 31.0

Speaker Madigan: "Amendment g_1 shall be withdrawn. Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "Mr. Speaker, I object to Amendment #2.0

Speaker Madigan: "The Rotion before the Body is that Amendments 2, 3 and 4 be adopted. Ar. Terzich."

Terzich: "I would like to have an explanation of what Amendment 2, 3 and 4 is."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "They're not real good, because they aren't my

Amendments, but I'll accept them, if you want them,"

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Motion say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries.

The Amendments are adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative

Daniels."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Withdraw"

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative

Daniels."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment #7 has been discussed by reference, but let me be specific in the details, because I think more i+ " 5 important that we spend the time to discuss precisely what Amendment #7 does, not what some people who are concerned with what they perceive as their individual rights to power may feel that Amendment 37 says. Amendment 37 does not say person, individual, section of a state that any or municipality shall take control over the operations or take property of O'Hare Field, Midway, Meigs, DuPage or Pal-Waukee. Amendment #7 specifically does say limits the authority of the City of Chicago to be the only voice in an airport policy. It also says. ves. that limits the authority of the Fox Valley Airport to be the only authority or voice in the operation of that airport, iust as it does with Midway, Meigs or Pal-Waukee. It has no effect on other than planning for airports under 200,000 operations a year. It creates a board of 15... 15 appointed by the Mayor. Governor, President of the Cook County Board, Cook County Commissioners outside of the Collar County areas. It also states that there is no contracting authority bγ this Regional Airport Authority, no bonding authority, no eminent domain, no taxing authority, and yet at the same time, it gives representation to every region in the affected area which is utilized as what is called the RTA area. It does give a strong voice to the people around O'Hare Field in the areas of airport policy that they have been fighting for. for

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

How many times have you heard people talk about. vears. "We need voice in terms of the noise pollution and air pollution and the crowded skies. He need a mechanism in those. This Amendment sets which we can discuss voice in operation, but at the same time, recognizes that O'Hare Field is a tremendous facility and important not only to the City of Chicago but to every suburban region that is around O'Hare Field. You know. T come from a community that was in existence way before O'Hare Field was ever thought of. Hy community celebrating. this year, its 150th year anniversary. And that community was as such a time that we are now looking at O'Hare Field as something that, yes, is relatively new to our area. And it's getting to a point where the that's coming out of it is unfortunately becoming, to some extent, intolerable. And I'd like to say to you that time that we can act on behalf of 400,000 affected people in the homes and the schools around the airport, reasonable manner, in a manner that does, in fact, give them some voice in the overall policy and future of the are affected. fields that What we've done hv this Amendment in creating the Regional Planning Authority, to set forth the ability to set policy plans for five years over five primary airports that have operations 200,000 a year. And that is specifically: Fox Valley Airport. Pal-Haukee. Meigs, Midway and O'Hare. And in so doing, we've set standards that requires a permit from this board when there will be a total expenditure in excess 2,000,000 dollars or land acquisition in excess of 250,000, action that would increase the number of flights by 10 percent during any one annual operation. It also allows for permit. or requires a permit, where there is an a effort to operate larger aircrafts in any particular

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1966

The permit criteria set forth along with the criteria of the board and the makeup of the board and staggered five year terms for the 15 members. It sets forth within great reason, the necessity that I think people of this region desire. And let me tell you that the Amendment has been carefully drafted to consider all of the rights and all of the duties and oblications and responsibilities of all the affected people. But vet. not an airport, there is not a single person that there's can claim that this is anywhere a power grab, because it is not power that they will be grabbing. What it voice that suburbanites and City of Chicago residents and other people will be starting to implement in the policy of the future of the affected... affected persons. And Hr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move the adoption of this very reasonable Amendment, one that gives a voice to the people of an affected area."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Hill the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Braun: "Thank you. Representative Daniels, this novel construct that you have in Amendment 7 — does this form of governance of an airport authority exist anywhere else?"

Daniels: "Yes."

Braun: "You want to tell me where, Sir?"

Daniels: "I'm not totally familiar with where, but other parts of the country, they do have regional airport authorities. Not to... not to as... "

Braun: "Is there any... "

Daniels: "... Less an extent as we have here, because in those parts, the airport authorities operate the airport. As you know, this specifically states that it does not operate

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1966

O'Hare Field, for we feel that basically, in terms of the operations on a day-to-day basis, that airlines like United or American should be commended for their excellent efforts, and we really have no desire to operate on a day-to-day basis. All we're saying is that the people of an affected area should have a voice in the policy and a place to go to talk. They should have a right to place noise monitoring devices in a reasonable place, and they have the right to deal with the air pollution problems, something that your city has been unwilling to do."

Braun: "Well, Representative, do you... "

Daniels: "I'm told that Dallas-Fort Worth has an airport authority."

Braun: "But we don't have any of these ... these in Illinois.

This is new for Illinois."

Daniels: "Yeah, that's why it's needed."

Braun: "Right. Okay. You were saying that... that this Authority wouldn't operate the airport, but it's understanding. reading here that before any improvements can be undertaken, or anything else can undertaken, the people who do operate the airport have to... shall file with the Authority, so they have to get permission from the authority to operate the airport, unless I'm reading this wrong. Is that your understanding of this?"

Daniels: "Yeah, you're reading it wrong."

Braun: "I am. Well, explain it to me."

Daniels: "Well, I mean... it's... you asked a rhetorical question
and I'll be happy to explain it to you and go through it in
detail, if you would like. What it... What it...

Braun: "Well, I think... I think this is of sufficient importance..."

Daniels: "I do... I do too. because I want to make sure you

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

follow this to know that it is not over the operations of He've carefully drafted it so it wasn't. didn't want to interfere, and there's reasons for that. one thing, we think that, for the most part, the operations on a day-to-day basis have been generally O'Hare Fieldo He're concerned, o f course, about increasing numbers of near misses that are being reported and that has to always go back to the operators of an airport, and we assume that there will be efforts in which to correct that. But for the most part, the operations have been pretty good, so we've avoided that also the OΒ basis that we don't want to get into the quastion of the bonded indebtedness that is sitting in the airport right With the airlines making the financial commitment that they have made, we don't want to interfere with fact. there are specific recommendations or specific statements that I want to make sure that evervone understands that the Authority shall exempt construction or improvement projects planned prior to January 1, 1987 and commencing prior to January 1, 1989, which means that the is current construction at the airport right DOM specifically exempted. Nous granted, many of unhappy about the refusal to deal with that on a reasonable but we know that ponds have been put out and money has been put out, and we're trying to avoid infringing upon that, so when we talk about the airport itself. He re talking about future expansion desires that may be in excess of 2,000,000 or, Representative Braun, coming vou from the City of Chicago, I'm sure that your people such as in Representative Capparelli's district, wouldn't want to be adversely affected by adding over 10 percent number οf flights in any given year at O'Hare Field. You know that they fly in about 800,000 flights a year right DOM o Ten 138th Legislative Day

June 29+ 1986

percent more would add 80,000,000, so we require a permit in that case, but it doesn't apply at all to the to the day-to-day operations."

Braun: "Well, but you know, we're talking about operations.

Again, part of this seems to say that the operating authorities have to apply for permission to spend more than 250,000 dollars on some land. I mean, you can't buy much land out in that part of the world for 250,000 dollars.

It's not going to give you room for a storage facility... "

Daniels: "The ... The only way that ... "

Braun: "Or a mail handling facility or a... machines... anything.

I mean, 250,000 dollars isn't a whole lot of money, and it seems to me that what you're looking at here is hamstringing the ability of... to have the airport operated properly."

Daniels: "You mean, you would suggest to me with a landlocked airport that you would knock out Des Plaines or Park Ridge or Bensonville or Schiller Park or Franklin Park, and you don't care about the people that live there?"

Braun: "Oh, absolutely."

Daniels: "You wouldn't... You wouldn't consider that your eminent domain powers that you have shouldn't be severely limited as far as your taking their communities and moving homes?"

Braun: "No question."

Daniels: "You can't even move a roadway without knocking out homes in certain areas. You are landlocked out there, Representative Braun. There's only one way you can get more land, and that's if you take people's homes. Is that what you're suggesting?"

Braun: "Representative Daniels, if it were a cowfield, it would cost more than 250,000 dollars."

Daniels: "There are no comfields around there. You know that."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

- Again, I'm getting to the Braun: The factors operational and you know, talk about a rhetorical answer is the fact of the watter is that we're not talking displacing anybody, we're talking about hamstringing the operation of the airport, and again, it seems to me with a limit like that and limits that are throughout this Bill like that, that what you are doing is hamstringing the ability to have the airport operated without the imprimatur of this... this huge... not huge, but this strangely constructed commission that you've put together. Let me The Amendment addresses an ask you another question. appropriation for expenses. How much will... How much will the Authority cost?"
- Daniels: "The Authority would provide in law, as you know, 150 dollars a day while in operation, for the payment of its members. That was taken after the Certificate of Need board for that had similar appropriation or similar salary attached to it, as well as expenses. It is anticipated that application for permit fees would be a sufficient charge, and that would be a charge for the applications. Other ways for the Authority to receive money, they can receive contributions from municipalities or interested units."
- Braun: "But Representative, again, if you... if you are going to have the... financial... the limitations that you've got in this Bill with the operations circumscribed as they are in the Bill, isn't that flying..."
- Daniels: "There aren't any... There aren't any operations circumscribed, Representative Braun. You know that."
- Braun: "Well, it seems to me that if you have to apply or hamstring..."
- Daniels: "That's not an operation. What that is... "
- Braun: "If you have to apply in order to have permission to

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1936

operate...

Daniels: "No, you have to apply in order to expand."

Braun: "And... Well, that's not... that's not all that it says in here, Representative. I mean, again, I just got it when we went down to Caucus and we were talking down there, and haven't had a chance to... to finish reading it... all of the Amendments, but I'm working on them."

Daniels: "Well, that's why I wanted to make sure you have straight... "

Braun: "Well, that's right. That's why I wanted to..."

Daniels: "... Carefully avoided the operations questioning, because we knew that you were concerned about that, and we aren't involved in the daily operations of the airport.

This... This does... "

Braun: "Okay. Hell, with regard to the operations, getting to operations, because I... "

Daniels: "Okay."

Braun: "I... I have a little problem about the five year plan requirement and the application process. Suppose the airport wanted to put up a new mail receiving facility on some land that it already has and tear down an old building and put up a new one, under this, they would have to come and get approval. And so, what I'd like to understand is what... How does that process work? I mean, what would the airlines and what would the operating authority have to do... have to provide in order to undertake, for example, construction of a... of a more modern facility in replacement of an older one?"

Daniels: "Only if it requires a total expenditure in excess of 2.000.000 dollars."

Braun: "Well, a new mail handling facility would cost that."

Daniels: "Then, they would... they would need a permit."

Braun: "Okay, and then, what's the process for the permit?"

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

Daniels: "You file for the parmit."

Braun: "Okay, and what do they have to file with the... I mean, what do you file?"

Daniels: "An application. An application."

Braun: "What's in the application?"

Daniels: "They would develop that. The Authority would develop
the application process just as any municipality or any
other unit would do that."

Braun: "Okay, so we don't know what all the airlines would have to come up with. I mean, it could be like one of those... those inventions that has 19 different pieces. What are those things called? Inventions that you have to come up with one thing and another thing and another thing and another thing and another thing. A Goldberg. Rube Goldberg. Right. So, we could have a Rube Goldberg application in which... in which requirements were made of the operational authority that were...

Daniels: "In anticipation of your question, we wrote that into page 13 of the Bill, as I'm sure you've read."

Braun: "Oh, good, Okay,

Daniels: "Briefly, the permit required under this law would be granted by the authority and sets up those provisions and the permit criteria is set forth therein."

Braun: "Right. Well, one of them says the application provides in sufficient detail, information on the scope, timetable and the impact of all elements of the project."

Daniels: "Right."

Braun: "Again, using my previous example, we want to put up a new mail handling facility to replace the old mail handling facility, and of course, mail coming into the northeastern region is real important, because that's part of the lifeblood of our commerce and our business, okay?"

Daniels: "Sure."

138th Legislative Day June 29, 1986

Braun: "So this is real important."

Daniels: "It is important."

Braun: "It's going to cost more than 2,000,000 dollars."

Daniels: "I thought most of it goes to Midway, though."

Braun: "What... What... What do we have... What would the airline, the operating authority, have to provide to be in compliance with this Section of the Act?"

Daniels: "Sufficient detail and the scope timetable and impact of the elements of the project."

Braun: "Sufficient... Representative, I'm sorry. I missed your answer, and it didn't sound to me to be... to be an answer.

But if you'd restate it, I'd appreciate it."

Daniels: "Sufficient detail in order to meet the information, scope, timetable and impact of the elements of the project."

Braun: "So, in other words, what you're talking about is the basic... I mean, we don't know what that... what sufficient information is. It could be a pig in a poke is what you're asking us about here, because it might be... it might well be that information that's considered sufficient by the authority might be considered overly sufficient or burdensome by the operating... by the operating authority of the airport, right?"

Daniels: "If you were going to put a poke in a pig or a pig in a poke, I guess that would... the detail would be in it."

Braun: "Okay. With regard to that, what happens... what happens when or if the information submitted by the operating authority is... if the information is submitted, and both parties agree on that, moving past the information, what happens if there's a disagreement? What happens if the Airport Authority, the operating authority, says, 'We need a new mail facility in order to be competitive with Taiwan or something, we need a new mail handling facility and want

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

- to build it here.* And they give you all the information you want, and yet somebody for some reasons that have nothing to do with airports, but have to do with the kind of silly politics that we deal with here in the General Assembly, for example, somebody might... They... the application gets turned down. Then what happens?"
- Daniels: "Well, it sets out provisions for judicial review, just like other Sections of the Statute."
- Braun: "Okay. The talk about judicial review in Section 25.03...
- Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Tate seek recognition?"
- Tate: "Speaker, point of order. I believe Members are only supposed to be allowed 10 minutes, and if we're going to continue this dialogue, I would suggest we put the timer
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair would suggest to Representative

 Daniels and Representative Braun that we might bring the

 discussion to an end, and then proceed to speak to the

 Bill."
- Braun: "Okay. I just have a couple of more questions. Section 25.03, (g)(e)7, does that... you mentioned judicial review in respect to the judiciary. There's already a consent degree... decree between..."
- Daniels: "There's always provisions for judicial review, as you know."
- Braun: "Right, and I'm referring to something before the courts.

 The consent decree between the City of Chicago and certain litigants regarding the airport situation, what does this Section have to do with..."
- Daniels: "What are you referring to? What... What consent decree?"
- Braun: "There is a consent decree that was... the Village of...

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Scott versus Butterfield, I'm told by staff. There is a consent decree already in existence, and it seems to me that this Section may well just do an end run around the courts."

Daniels: "Which Section are you referring to?"

Braun: "Section 25.03 (g)(a)7."

Daniels: "It doesn't. It does not."

Braun: "Pardon?"

Daniels: "It does not."

Braun: "Oh, so this Section doesn't relate to the consent decree and in no way abrogates any part of it."

Daniels: "It doesn't do an end run around it."

Braun: "... Let me me put it in more specific language. It is not your intention, Sir, to abrogate any part of the consent decree that has already been entered... "

Daniels: "It would be impossible to abrogate a decree that does nothing."

Braun: "But, it's not your intent, even if you could."

Daniels: "I think the Amendment speaks clearly."

Braun: "Okay. Okay. Now if there is a conflict between the decisions of this authority and the FAA, the federal authorities..."

Daniels: "Oh, the FAA is preemptive. They are the big guys."

Braun: "Good."

Daniels: "Because, see, this is one of the problems, as you know.

What you had done, what your city had done was, they
excluded and exempted dealing with local regions in the
area and are the only airport in the country that doesn't
have to deal with local areas like the Illinois Department
of Transportation. And now what happens is, the only way
you can get anything done is to deal with the FAA, so all
of you people that live out in the suburban areas or Cook
County that are affected by the noise pollution, here,

138th Legislative Day

June 29 1966

there's only one way that you can really presently deal with anything, and that's go to the Federal Government.

Some of your Congressmen have that done in Hashington.

It's the only airport in the country that that happens to."

Braun: "Okay. Now, one last question. One last question."

"With regard to the appointment authority, you've Braun: σοż. kind of curious situation here in which, if there's a... well, first off, you'd get Members of the General Assembly doing appointments to an executive agency, and then, which is even, in my mind, stranger, you have, if there's then the Legislative Members in the affected area vacancy. get to pick the raplacement. So, if... if the... first question is, how do you decide which Legislative Members that is, and number two, even if they do nick the replacement, aren't you running afoul of the constitutional prescriptions on Legislative... Members choosing executive officers?"

Daniels: "We... We took your language out of the RTA Dill."

Braun: "I'm sorry, Representative Daniels. Has that suggesting... "

Daniels: "When you... When you... When you... your city brought
the RTA to Illinois, you had that provision in it, and
that's what we copied from."

Braun: "I'm not... I'm not asking whether you copied it. I'm just asking whether it was right or not. You know, it's a constitutional question, it seems to me. Alright, thank you. Mr. Speaker, let me just say I rise in opposition, obviously to Amendment 7. I think that for all of the questions, and there are a host of them, and I don't want to infuriate my Members by the... the Hembers of this House by continuing to go over this Bill line by line. I think we really have to look at this and bottom line what happens

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

I mean, I don't know. If I lived in DuPage County, here-I'd have a problem with some of this. I don't live in DuPage County, though. I live in the City of gives me even wore reason to have a problem with live in the City of this, because people who Chicago o f recogize that the City Chicago and the airport have always been, as one of the previous speakers inexorably, intricately married to each other. It's been a faithful marriage. It has worked. Yes. there are problems, but not, certainly, any problems that can't he worked out by the operating authority and the citizens of the area... who live in the areas around O'Hare Field. and then, with regard to the people in downstate Illinois, let me suggest to you also that this Amendment. Bill. will have a devastating effect, in my opinion, on the operation of the airport. because I . . . suggest, that if I, you know, was the chairman and chief executive officer of United or American or one of airlines. I'd be real upset about this. And I would sav. "What do you mean, I got to listen to some Legislators who appointing Same people to а boardage that .s are constructed like this board is. and I didn't ask Representative Daniels to go through it, because I'm sure everybody's had a chance to look at it. This is one of the more bizarre boards I've seen in a long times chief executive office of ... of ... chairman or United or American or Britt or "Lufthsanza" or whatever. would he real upset that I wouldn't know whether or not I could have an... have improvements in the facilities, if could have construction go on, if anything could happen at that airport without going through a construct, a maze, Rube Goldberg of a political kind of a construct like this. And that really is what it ultimately comes down to - the

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

politics of the situation. I haven't talked about the...
the... small 'p' politics, but the fact of the matter is,
this is no more and no less than a power grab, and I find
it offensive, and it's probably unconstitutional, and I
hope you vote against Amendment 17... 7."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr... Mr. Hatijevich."

Matijevich: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Matijevich: "Representative Daniels, when I spoke in dehate against the Motion to return the Bill to Second Reading, I referred to what everybody knows now as the deal for O'Hare Airport. deal and the also with the Chicago Park District. My question is vou harause... Lee, because I remember last year when we had a Bill relating to the McCormick Place, and you were wavinc Sun-Times article to all the Members and saying that the Sponsor of that dill had no right to have that Bill because of some allegations. And the guestion I've got to I've got a <u>Sun-limes</u> article that I can wave to you, I can wave this... today's Sun-limes article, read it to you. 'Responding to whispered charges that keeping Kelly in power would benefit Daniels. firm. Daniels acknowledged that his firm does legal work for the Park District, but Daniels said his vate for Bill. related to my position as a Legislator and my efforts on behalf of the issues important to the MVaaa question to you. Lee, because you evidently admit that your law firm does work for the Park District. I've heard Ellis Levin I don't know how many times, get up on a 3ill and say he's got a conflict ٥f interest. I've seen others here and there get up on a Bill and say they've got a conflict of interest. I didn°t hear you, on Friday night, say that you had a conflict

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

interest. Could you respond to that and the article?"

- Daniels: "John, let me just suggest to you that of the 200 lawyers in our firm, one of the more successful firms in the country, I am a partner in it. "Ay firm also represents the City of Chicago. That answers the question."
- Matijevich: "Not any more, Carol says. Hell, Well, I... I'll accept that, Lee. I consider you my friend, and I respect
- Daniels: "I could tell by... I could tell by the way you presented that, John."
- Matijevich: "No, I'm like... I'm like someone across the rotunda. *I love him, You always say that to me. but... probably know who that i 5 a But I said that sincerely. because I don't know, nor does anybody else know. know, there's a lot of similarities between the Bill we had do with the Park District and this Bill. You know, we heard a lot of debate the other day about takeover - a political takeover, and we hear a lot about patronage. auess some of us - and I voted for that Park District Bill - frankly, I didn't like the way things happened quickly. and I thought I was voting to keep 4,000 people, at least for a while, until somebody found out if they were doing their job or not. And I don't like anybody to their job too quickly. So, I guess when people like me talk about patronage. they re talking about guys that are making a little bit of money to feed their families. But there's another form of patronage that we don't hear enough about. He don't hear enough about when it costs... when it costs 10,000,000 or 20,000,000 dollars to fund a Governor's campaign in Illinois Neu what, that's a different type of patronage. believe me, that patronage is worse than the patronage when we talk about that little guy. And when we're talking

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

about O'Hare Field, I'll tell you, you're not talking about You misled a lot of people in DuPage County. You're You're not talking about noise. talking about bucks that megabucks. You're talking about a lot o f somebody wants to control, and you're also talking about we heard in the debate the other night, in the as middle of the ball game. There's been vast improvements being made at O'Hare Airport, the busiest airport in the whole world. And now, you want to disrupt all those improvements by this sill after midnight when none of us really know what we're going to be considering. that's bad legislation. That's not a good way to act. That's not a good way for a responsible Legislator to act. It's not a good way for a Leader of a Party to act. thought it was responsible that we put this over until November. Now. I come from Lake County. He*ve got an airport in Lake County run by the Maukegan Park District. Bill, we'd have one representative from Lake County, from the County Board, I quess, or the County Board Chairman would name the... the representative. probably... somebody who wouldn't know a darned thing about airports. He've got a well run airport, and I don't think your Bill does anything for our airport in Lake County. If anything, it makes it worse. We've done a good job. He've grown... grown probably more than your DuPage Airport. And I think. Ladies and Gentlemen, that you're misled. You're misled if you think that this issue came to you because of noise. matter is in court. That matter is in Federal Court That where it belongs. And to bring this issue to the Legislature because somebody wants control. They talked about control. Who will control? It's going to be the Governor and the... the collar counties. And I don't say this because I'm a Democrat. I think that's political

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

power. I think political power used... if it's misused, is wrong, whether the Democrats have it or the Republicans have it. And I don't think any... You know, and I say this on both sides of the aisle. I think you may vote for this because of... politically, somebody told you to. But I cannot believe... I think I know too many responsible people in this Assembly. I cannot believe that this issue... We should get a majority. I can't believe that Members of this House think that this is the right thing to do at this time. It is an irresponsible act.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

- Bowman: "Inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. I don't have a copy of the underlying Bill, here. This is a very wide-ranging Amendment, and I would question the germaneness of the Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman, I'll ask the Parliamentarian to respond to your inquiry. Did you wish to speak to the Amendment?"
- Bowman: "Yes, actually, I'd like to ask the Sponsor a couple of questions, if I might."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."
- Bowman: "Representative Daniels, you establish, on page 11, a number of criteria which will trigger a permit mechanism beginning with Subparagraph (i), double... and following (ii) and so forth. Is that my understanding? These trigger a permit application mechanism.

Daniels: "Yes."

Bowman: **Okay. I'm curious as to how many permit applications will have to be dealt with through this rather cumbersome procedure of yours. Do you have any idea how many development... facility development projects, say at O'Hare Airport, for example, on an average annual basis would require a total expenditure in excess of 2,000,000

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

dollars."

Daniels: "The criteria would be developed between the authority and the Department of Transportation... Illinois Department of Transportation so as to make sure that it's an orderly one and one that has worked and will work properly."

Bowman: "Well, thank you, but that doesn't answer my question.

The question is, do you have any idea how many facility development projects at O'Hare Airport take place on the average annual basis that are in excess of 2,000,000 dollars?"

Daniels: "Hell, you know, first you should understand that we worked very carefully with the Illinois Department of Transportation in drafting this language, and we consulted with them as to only set thresholds of those important areas of increase of the numbers that... that we may be dealing with in individual airports. So, I think you'll find that it's been a reasonable leval that has been set."

Bowman: "Well, Representative Daniels, let me... let me just suggest that there is a series of developments at O'Hare Airport at the present time that is fairly expensive. I mean, I think you're aware of that, are you not? That the total costs that I have heard exceed a billion dollars. Is that not correct?"

Daniels: "Exceed... How much did you say?"

Bowman: "One billion dollars. Is that correct?"

Daniels: "I've heard that, too."

Bowman: "Okay. So that a billion dollars is the... the total package, but now, we're talking here about facility development, and that billion dollars is divided up, a building here, a building there, a people mover. There are subprojects under that, and so it is quite possible to reach this 2,000,000 dollar threshold, that we could have as many as five hundred 2,000,000 dollar projects for a

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

billion dollars. Five hundred 2,000,000 dollar projects.

Now, I don't know exactly what the figure is, but it undoubtedly runs well over a hundred individual projects at the facility.

Daniels: "That one would go through as one... one application."

Bowman: "Indeed? One application for a billion dollars? Ιt to me, Representative Daniels, that despite your remarks about how well thought out and finely crafted this... this Amendment is that we do not, in fact, have in this legislation set out the criteria that will bе necessary for us to determine whether or not we have created a reasonable mechanism for public input on the whether we have created a device for strangling developments at O'Hare Field. Let me ask another question. Hhat is the funding How will this authority be funded? mechanism for this authority?"

Daniels: "It could be several sources, but the main one would be

by permit fees. It also can receive money from individual

municipalities or local units of government."

Bowman: "Who would pay these permit fees?"

Daniels: "Hhoever applies."

Bowman: "Take the people mover project, for example. Let's just take a concrete example, if you pardon the pun, at O'Hare Airport. Who would pay the permit fee on the people mover?"

Daniels: "The person or the individual operator of the airport, if he were the applicant for the people mover system."

Bowman: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Sir."

Bowman: "Can you be more precise? I mean, I think we all understand that. Who would it be? Can you name that person, natural or otherwise? Would it be the City of Chicago? Would it be the contractor?"

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Daniels: "If they were going to pay for it, it would be. If they were not, then it would be the individual that was applying for that or the company."

Bowman: "Representative Daniels, I think we have to have more concrete answers to questions like this. How are we to make a judgment if we do not know the identity of the person who would make the... pay the permit fee for a very precise development project which has been published in the papers. We all know about it. It's no secret, and you're giving us a very vague answer to this very precise question."

Daniels: "Who's paying for it?"

Bowman: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes."

Bowman: "You are the Sponsor of the Amendment, and I expect you to have the answers to the question."

Daniels: "But you asked the question. The question is, that you raised, was as to the people mover system. You brought that question up. I asked you who paid for it, and I can answer your question."

Bowman: "Hell, Representative Daniels, if you insist on treating me like the Sponsor of this Amendment, then I'll move to table the Amendment."

Daniels: "Okayo"

Bowman: "Representative Daniels, then can you explain on page 27
just... there are a couple of references here to a tax
levy. Will there be any taxing authority?"

Daniels: "For what purpose does fir. Tate seek recognition?"

Tate: "Speaker, on a point of order, again. This is the second time... this questioning started over 10 minutes ago, and this is the second time I would request that if we're going to continue this, that we could be here late, late this evening, and if you're not going to put the timers on, then

138th Legislative Day

June 27, 1986

you should at least provide the Membership with blankets and pillows. $^{\rm cr}$

Speaker Madigan: "Your point is well taken. Mr. Bowman, we will put the five minute timer on."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels, do you wish to answer his question?"

Daniels: "Would he repeat it?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman, would you repeat the question?"

Bowman: "Yes. Is there any taxing authority for the... for the

Daniels: "No."

Bowman: "Then, can you explain what the references are to levies of an annual tax and discontinuation of levies and the

Daniels: "You're in another Section of the Bill. That doesn't apply to the Regional Airport Authority."

Bowman: "What does it apply to, Sir?"

Daniels: "To the Metropolitan Airport Authority or the Fox Valley
Airport Authority."

Bowman: "So, can you explain to us how the... do those... those are different Authorities?"

Daniels: "Oh, yes. One is the Fox Valley Airport."

Bowman: "Okay. Okay."

Daniels: "That's like the O'Hare Field Airport or Midway or Meigs or Pal-Waukee and that provision refers to the Fox Valley Airport."

Bowman: "How do they get their financing? What is the source of their financing?"

Daniels: "The source of their financing?"

Bowman: "Yes, Sir."

Daniels: "Basically the same as O'Hare."

Bowman: "Wait a minute. Then... Then what is the reference to

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

tax levý in the Bill?"

Daniels: "Language that you have there is cleanup language that
the people and individuals combined with Fox Valley Airport
have worked out in terms of resolving the problems that
they had on it. It doesn't apply to O'Hare Field or Midway
or Meigs at all."

Bowman: "Alright. You've made that point, but... "

Daniels: "Yes. Sir."

Bowman: "It strikes me that... does not that... the Fox... take

the Fox Valley Airport Authority, does that not have a

taxing authority?"

Daniels: "Yes, it does."

Bowman: "Is that taxing authority in any way enhanced or impaired by this legislation?"

Daniels: "We're checking. Could we stop the clock while he's checking? It's the same as present law."

Bowman: "Well. Mr. Speaker, to the Amendment Representative Daniels pauses any longer in response to my questions. I have asked a series of questions involving the activity level of the authority involving O'Hare Airport. that have not been answered. I have asked questions involving who will actually be responsible for paying the permit fees and who... who will bear the burgen of the financing of the O'Hare Airport Authority. cited specific examples. and I have gotten incoherent answers in reply. I have asked, with respect to other airport authorities about taxation authority and whether this legislation enhances or impairs taxation authorities, and I have gotten in reply, *The same as existing law. The Gentleman is evading my questions. is deliberately, I believe, giving us vague answers. not even sure whether he knows what is in this legislation. I am very certain that hardly anybody on the floor of this

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1986

House can know what is in this legislation with answers t o questions such as he has given us. I respectfully suggest that at a minimum further study is needed of But the vagueness of the answers gives me a I don't believe that we can proceed in a rational. logical. reasonable manner to consider this legislation until we know more about such questions authority, who will bear the costs, how much the costs will be and whether, indeed, we have created here, on the one hand, a reasonable method for public input or whether ыe are strangling the development of O'Hare Airport. I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Cullerton: "Yes. Representative Daniels. on page 19 οf the Amendment, there's a reference to a Board of Commissioners appointment of and it talks about the the Board Commissioners. And it's a little confusinge I'm trying to figure out how many members there would be on this Board of Commissioners and to what... I'm talking about page 19. I was... the reference you are making to is on page 22. Ωn page 19, we're talking about a Soard of Commissioners why I'm asking is the question. It appears to be inconsistent, and I just want to find out i f there's different rules for different airports. This Board of Commissioners, on page 19, it talks about municipalities population of 5,000 or more. The commissioners will be appointed as follows: If there's only one such municipality, three commissioners shall be appointed from each one and two appointed at large, et catera. And then *except that when the physical facilities of the airport of the Authority are located wholey within a single

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

county with a population between 600,000 and 300,000, there shall be one commissioner appointed from each municipality within the corporate limits of the Authority having 5,000 or more population and five commissioners appointed at large. What does that refer to? What airport does that refer to?

Daniels: "The Section that you're referring to is a repeat of current law and the experts that are here on the floor around me tell me that in the drafting they had to recite the current law and the provisions therein in order to make the transition over to the Fox Valley Airport which then refers to, as I told you earlier, to the nine commissioners."

Cullerton: "Okay. So now, your understanding is then that the
Fox Valley Airport shall consist of nine commissioners and
they are appointed by the county board chairman of the
county with a population between 600,000 and 300,000, is
that correct?"

Daniels: "Three million."

Cullerton: "Six hundred thousand and three million."

Daniels: "Three million."

Cullerton: "So, that means that the DuPage County Chairman would appoint all nine commissioners."

Daniels: ™Yes•™

Cullerton: "And this is for the DuPage..."

Daniels: "Fox Valley Airport."

Cullerton: "It's called the Fox Valley Airport?"

Daniels: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Okay. And is this the Fox Valley Airport that is located wholey in the County of Cook... of DuPage?"

Daniels: "Where there are foxes. Yes."

Cullerton: "It just happens to border on Kane County."

Daniels: "It borders on it, but..."

138th Legislative Day June 29, 1986

Cullerton: "Like the runways kind of stop at Kane County."

Daniels: "Doesn't get into their..."

Cullerton: "They haven't built them quite up to the border yet."

Daniels: "No."

Cullerton: "We did contain.."

Daniels: "How do you feel?"

Cullerton: "I'm a little tired from running this morning. Two hundred thousand dollars..."

Daniels: "Twelve miles. I heard."

Cullerton: "... from the DOT budget for money to be appropriated for the DuPage County... sorry, Fox Valley Airport Authority?"

Daniels: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Good. So this basically says that if you're from Kane County, what you're doing here with this Bill is you're saying that this airport which borders on your... which comes up to your border will be run by the inine commissioners from DuPage County, appointed from the second floor or the third floor of the DuPage County..."

Daniels: "Well..."

Cullerton: "What... what floor are we on?"

Daniels: "Third floor."

Cullerton: "Third floor in Wheaton, Illinois"

Daniels: "Right."

Cullerton: "Okay. I just wanted to make that clear to the
Representatives... if there's anyone here from Kane County
who's interested in knowing what they're voting on. Thank
you."

Speaker Madigan: "Are you finished, Mr. Cullerton? For what purpose does Mr. Van Duyne seek recognition?"

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Duyne: "Maybe I can preface my question, Representative, by Van just saving that the illusion that's created here talking strictly about at least up until the up until the last... up until the last last questions, was that we were strictly talking about an organization that was going to control O°Hare Airport. lately. in the last few questions, it has come out that it's going to cover other airports in the metropolitan area and it sort of looks like the STA reincarnated in its geographical location and maybe even its taxing powers. I don't know. Is Will County involved in this at all?"

Daniels: "Yes. And for that reason, We are particularly sensitive to the reference that you're making, and we made sure that in doing so, that we are very careful to make that there were no taxing authority, like the RTA region had. and. furthermore. that ме nade this was a limit the that on growth government, versus an increase in the growth of government, as we all experienced from the RTA.□

Van Duyne: "So, it's not going to cost Hill County any money."

Daniels: "No."

On page 31, it looks like another thing Van Duvne: "Thank you. reincarnated here, and I was assured that Senate being Bill 502 had died somewhat in an ignominious death the dav. but it looks like it's being reincarnated in And if you will allow this Bill on page 31. me. i f follow with me, on pag... on line six, starting with the parenthesis *(a) such air navigation facility will be owned effectively or controlled. operated. repaired maintained adequately during its full use for life for the benefit of the public* and parenthesis *(b) in connection with... blah. blah. blah. and *(c) in counties with populations greater than 300,000 and less.than 350,000°. I

138th Legislative Day

Juna 29, 1986

want to know quite specifically if this would have anything to do with the Christian Brothers Lewis College Airport.**

Daniels: "No."

Van Duyne: "I am assured of that."

Daniels: "I'm... I'm sure. I want to make sure you're sure."

Van Duyne: "I'm just saying, am I assured of thal?"

Daniels: "Oh, yes."

Van Duyne: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Flinn."

Flinn: "Ar. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "You've all heard the question. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The previous question is moved. Mr. Daniels, to close."

Daniels: "This Amendment that we have now heard debated at some length, it's from the debate that some clear ٥f the and fears of some of the people as to the attempt concerns to take over O'Hare Field or, for that matter, anv other the area are just unfounded. It's clear that airport in what we need is a voice, a voice by people in the Chicago that are affected by O'Hare Airport, or Midway or Miggs and a voice of those people around the suburban that are affected. I want to emphasize once again areas that there has been no effort, no inclination, no desire to take over O'Hare field or any other airport; that what doing here is setting up a Regional Airport Planning Authority which gives a voice. gets a saying by through the appointed Authority set forth throughout the region in terms of their ability to look and, yes, in cases, to look with pride at the airports that surround I think it's a reasonable request, a reasonable And I'd solicit your favorable support.

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Representative Braun seek recognition?"

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Did the Parliamentarian ever respond to the inquiry of whether or not this Amendment was in order?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment is germane."

Braun: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 58 'aye', 53 'no'. Record Hr. Harris as 'aye'. Mr. Levin. Record Hr. Levin as 'no'. Mr. Young."

Young: "If this Amendment should get the required number of votes, I would ask for a verification."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 59 'aye', 54 'no'.

There has been a request for a verification. For what purpose does Mr. Shaw seek recognition?"

Shaw: "Well, I was trying to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker".

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Shaw, did you speak in debate?"

Shaw: "No, I didn't."

Speaker Madigan: "I seem to recall hearing your dulsive tones."

Shaw: "No. no. But I didn't speak in debate. Ar Speaker. just wanted to make one point here - is that what most Members I don't think recognize, the Mitsubishi Plant here in Peoria. Illinois is... is this Amendment affect all airports in Illinois in... Now, that's what I've been told And I feel as though that they should know. by staff. Anc it would seem as though to me that it will stifle growth of business in this state, when the Governor is running all over Europe and all over... and China trying to bring new business into Illinois, that you would... you would... you would vote on an Amendment like this where you're going to be cluttered up trying to get That would seem to me would discourage businesses from

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

locating in your area. So, I think you should take a good look and find out exactly what this Amendment does.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Young."

Young: "I withdraw a request for a verification."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, after listening — I wasn't going to

vote on this Amendment, but after listening to Mr. Shaw,

vote me 'ves'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Hastert as 'yes'. On this question there are 60 'ayes', 54 'nos', and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &d, offered by Representative

Cullerton."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Cullerton."

"Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen Cullerton: of the House. This is an Amendment that we have adopted in other pieces of legislation this year. It simply returns the law back to the way it was last year about this time dealing with the Fox Valley Airport Authority. It returns of the Fox Valley Airport Authority to Kane County as it was, as I indicated, last year before we passed similar legislation as is being attempted this year. would point out that the Regional Authority that Amendment 07 sets up basically is set up with 15 members. Even with Democratic Governor, there would always the be eicht Republicans on it and that's why it was drafted so that there would be a Republican dominated Board running O'Hare Airport. On the other hand, when we get out to DuPage County, when we have two counties that abutt each other, where we have most of the population around the airport in Kane County, with plans for expansion of the airport, planes taking off over people who live in Kane County. these people don't have any say at all on the Authority.

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

As a matter of fact, all the decisions are made by a nine member Board, all of which come from DuPage County. It's not fair. It's not a regional approach in DuPage County. It's only a regional Republican controlled approach in D'Hare, at O'Hare Airport. This Amendment corrects that, at least to the extent that it allows regionalization. It allows two counties, which are both affected, to have a regional approach. I would appreciate your support for the Amendment.

Speaker Madigan: "Hr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Hell. I don't know why the Gentleman would want to undo the many fine things that the people of that area have resolved. The Amendment that you just adopted to the Bill. Amendment 07, has the language in there, as expressed in the debate, relating to the Fox Valley Airport Authority resolved many of the concerns of the mayors and has people out in that area and has resolved this that existed now, by a Bill that Representative Giglio. I believe. was the Sponsor of. Fither that or i t was Zeke Giorgi. I think it was Zeke Giorgi who sponsored the Bill last year. And helped the Fox Valley Airport, and I thought it was a very progressive move on his There was some concern on the area, so the people out of that area expressed it to the Governor and the language discussed earlier Amendment has been a resolution of that problem. It would be a shape if the whole problem brought up all over again by an Amendment that is not progressive in nature, but actually is regressive."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton, to close."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. After the power grab that we passed last year, it... the people in Kane County discovered that not only didn't they have control of the airport authority, they

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

were still paying property taxes to support it. There Has a Senate Bill 1921, I think, which was buried in the House Rules Committee. That's basically what Pro referring to that was in Amendment 27 that said, *#ell, okav. we'll do vou a favor. You won't have to continue property taxes to support this airport that you don't control anymore. What this Amendment savs is that the people in Kane County will once again have control over the airport authority that is so drastically affects them. people in Kane County, the cities of St. Charles and Batavia, the people in those areas want this Amendment And I urge you to support the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 59 "aye", 51 "no". Ar. Vinson."

Vinson: "Request a verification of the affirmative."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. 30mman. Eullock.

Deuchler. Harris. Hensel. And Panayotovich."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Bowman. Record Ar. Bowman as "aye". Ar.

Clerk, read the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Bowman. Braun. Breslin. Brookins. Brunsvold. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran-Daley. DeJaegher. Curries Dunna Fliona Flowers. Gialio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig. Hartke. Hastert. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Keane. Kirkland. Krska. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Mulcahev. O'Connell. Pangle. Phelps. Preston. Sea. Rice. Richmond. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich.

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Turner. Van Duyne. Washington. White. Holf. Anthony
Young. Hyvetter Younge. Mr. Speaker.

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Vinson."

Vinson: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady is in her chair."

Vinson: "Ar. Brunsvold."

Speaker Madigan: "Gentleman's in his chair."

Vinson: "Mr. DeJaegher."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman's in his chair."

Vinson: "Representative Flowers."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers is in the rear of the chamber."

Vinson: "I'm sorry, Ar. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady is in the rear of the chamber."

Vinson: "Mr. Giorgi."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi is in the center aisle."

Vinson: "Mr. Hicks."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hicks is in his chair"

Vinson: "Representative Hartinezo"

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Martinez is in his chair."

Vinson: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Madigan: ™Mr. Preston is in his chair.º

Vinson: "Representative Stern.□

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Stern is in the front of the chamber."

Vinson: "Representative Sutker."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Sutker is in his chair."

Vinson: "Representative Turner."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner. Mr. Turner. Remove the Gentleman

from the Roll Call. The Chair recognizes Ar. Mensel.

Hensel: "Mould you record me as "no", pleasa?"

Speaker Madigan: "Record the Gentleman as "no". Ar. Turner has returned to the chamber. Return Ar. Turner to the Roll

138th Legislative Day

June 27, 1965

Call. Representative Dauchler."

Deuchler: "Record me 'no's"

Speaker Madigan: "Record Deuchler "no". Ar. Daniels."

Daniels: ^{m}Hy staff has raised the question as to whether or

not... what did you say. Penny? Nevermind. "

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Anything further?"

Vinson: "Yes, Representative HcGann,"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGann. Mr. McGann is in the rear of the chamber on the Republican side."

Vinson: "Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Saltsman is in his chair."

Vinson: "Mr. Holf."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf is in his chair."

Vinson: ™Representative Van Duyne.™

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Van Duyne, in the center aisle."

Vinson: "Representative Huff."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huff is in the center aisle."

Vinson: "Mr. Pangle."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Pangle is in his chair."

Vinson: "Mr. O'Connell."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Connell is in his chair."

Vinson: "Representative Capparalli."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Capparelli is in his chair."

Vinson: "Ar. White."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. White is in his chair."

Vinson: "Mr. Steczo."

Speaker Madigan: "Are Steczo is in his chaire"

Vinson: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Madigan: "There are 60 "ayes", 53 "nos", The Amendment

is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative

138th Legislative Day

June 29. 1966

Braun.

- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to withdraw Amendments
 9 and 10."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendments &9 and 10. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative

 Anthony Young."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Young, Withdraw Amendment &11. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment ()12, offered by Representative
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Turner. Withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ar... Mr. Shaw."
- Shaw: "No, we want to adopt this one. Amendment &13 renames the O'Hare Airport to the Lee Daniels International Airport. I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Are Danielson
- Daniels: "He are opposed to that Amendment."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Aatijevich."
- Matijevich: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question? Would you amend it on its face to be called the International Daniels

 Kelly Airport?"
- Shaw: "Yes, I would."
- Matijevich: "Alright. Hell, let's amend it on its face then.

 Kelly Field."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say "aye",
 those opposed say "no". The "nos" have it. The Amendment
 fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, offered by Representative

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

- Anthony Younger
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Young. Withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, offered by Representative
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shaw. Withdraw the Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$16, offered by Representative Rice."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ar• Rice• Withdraw the Amendment•

 Representative Braun•"
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Rice is in the nurses station. And on his behalf, I'd like to have the Amendment withdrawn."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment is withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O°Srien: "Floor Amendment $\wp17$, offered by Representative Flowers."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers, withdraw the
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 818, offered by Representative
 Huff."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huff. Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 19, offered by Representative Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Shaw. Withdraw the Amendment.□
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20, offered by Representative

 Anthony Young."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Young. Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #21, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shaw. Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment -22; offered by Representative

 Anthony Young."

- 138th Legislative Day June 29, 1906
- Speaker Madigan: "Hithdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #23, offered by Representative Flowers."
- Speaker Madigan: "Hithdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$24, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 325, offered by Representative
 Turner."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mithdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #26, offered by Representative
 Young."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$27, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment ,28, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &29, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #30, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #31, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 832, offered by Representative
- Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &33% offered by Representative
 Turner."

138th Legislative Day June 29, 1986

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &34, offered by Representative Shaw."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk D'Brien: "Floor Amendment &35, offered by Representative
Vinson."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. I move for the adoption of Amendment 835."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you. Would the Gentleman please share with us an explanation of what this Amendment does?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Deletes Section 3."

Braun: "Okay. And, in so doing, what happens to the Bill? What Section... what does deletion of Section 3 do to the Bill?"

Vinson: "It changes the effective date"

Braun: "Right. And it changes the effective date to when,

Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "What? It removes it."

Braun: "Pardon?"

Vinson: "It removes it."

Braun: ™Removes the effective date. Why do you want to do that?™

Vinson: "What?"

Braun: "Why do you want to do that?"

Vinson: "I just think it's a good idea."

Braun: "You think it's a good idea to remove the effective date so we can have a later effective date, right? And if we have a later effective date, then fewer people can ramrod this takeover of the airport at O'Hare."

Vinson: "No, it just removes the earlier effective date."

Braun: "It removes... I'm sorry. What were you saying?"

Vinson: "Hhat?"

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1956

Braun: "You said something and I was trying to understand you."

Vinson: "I said it just removes the earlier effective date. It

doesn't... it doesn't delay the effective date. It
removes the early one."

Braun: "Right. So, in removing the earlier effective date, it has the effect of changing the vote requirements on the Bill, doesn't it?"

Vinson: "It could."

Braun: "Okay, thank you."

Vinson: "But it might not."

Braun: "I rise in opposition to Representative I think that if anything the arguments that Amendment. we've heard already about how this is a rush to iudgement type power grab is confirmed by this Amendment. On the one hand, it looks like it's a delay, but, in fact, what it really is is a fake left-go-right so that we can pass this with a minimum number of votes and ram it down the throats of the people who live in the City of the County of Cook and... so they won't have any recourse. I think it's bad government. I think it's a bad idea, I encourage your opposition to Amendment 35.0

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just rise briefly to savor of the hypocrisy of this moment. I mean, we, afterall, have been treated to an Amendment that is offered by the other side of the aisle as a matter of urgency. Somehow it is very important that we move quickly and that we not postpone consideration of this. He must move to day on June 30th. And now, with this Amendment, it sort of delays the effective date of the legislation so... by a whole year. So, suddenly, what was very urgent and necessary and compelling only ten minutes ago now can be put off for another year. I just wanted us

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

to savor the hypocrisy of this moment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, we want to talk about hypocrisy, we just tabled

10 Amendments that would have delayed the effective date.

Now, when we want to delay the effective date they call it hypocrisy. I don't quite understand the reasoning here."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Would the Gentleman vield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Levin: "Representative, let me pose a hypothetical. Let us assume that this Bill goes to Third Reading and is called on, let's say, July 2nd, 1936."

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Levin: "And were to pass both Houses on that day or July 3rd or, God forbid, July 4th. It goes to the Governor. Governor signs it. Under your Amendment, when would this Bill, given our current laws and procedures, assuming it has, you know, 61 votes in this chamber and a similar number of proportional votes in the other chamber, when would it take effect?"

Vinson: "I am advised that it would be July 1st, 1987."

Levin: "So, in other words, what you're proposing here to do is

to delay the effective date of this very important Bill

till after the next Legislative Session. Is that correct?"

Vinson: "No. I doubt that because I doubt that the next
Legislative Session will come to an end before July 1st,
1987."

Levin: "You know, if, you know, we want to delay it, why... you know, why don't we put it over to the fall the way we had initially proposed to do when the Motion was made and have due deliberation..."

Vinson: "That may come at any time, Sir,"

Levin: "Or why don't we put it over to the spring. Pardon?"

138th Legislative Day June 29, 1986

Vinson: "The vote might come at any time."

Levin: "I'm just trying to understand your rationale."

Vinson: "I doubt that you can."

Levin: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor of the Amendment signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Graun, to explain her vote."

Braun: "Oh, Mr. Speaker, I was just going to request a verification should this Amendment seem to prevail."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 57 'aye', 55 'no'. Mr. Levin wishes to be recorded as 'no'. Representative Braun."

Braun: "Poll the absentees, please."

Speaker Madigan: "There's a request to poll the absentees. The Clerk shall poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Berrios. Bullock.

Harris. And Martinez. No further."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Martinez as 'no'. Mr. Berrios as 'aye'. Anything further? There are 58 'ayes'. 57 'nos'.

Is there a request for a varification, Representative Braun?"

Braun: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, read the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barger. Barnes. Berrios. Black. Capparelli. Churchill. Countryman。 Cowlishaw. Oaniels. Davis. Deleo. Deuchler. Didrickson. Ewing. Farlev. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Goforth. Hallock. Hasara. Hastert. Hawkinson. Hensel. Hoffman. Johnson. Klemm. Koehler. Kubik. Kulas. Mays. McAuliffe. McMaster. Nash. Olson. Panayotovich. B. Pedersen. ₩. Peterson. Parcells. Parke. Piel.

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

Pullen. Regan. Ronan. Ropp. Ryder. Slater. Stange. Stephens. Tate. Tuerk.

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Brauna"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, you know, I don't want to drag this out unnecessarily, and I understand he's up to the S's, but I don't want to... the Members to think that I'm just trying to drag this situation out. I'd like to get to closure on this issue. So, I'm going to withdraw my request."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady withdraws her request. There are 53 "ayes", 57 "nos". The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &36, offered by Representative
Daniels."

Speaker Madigan: "Aro Danielso The Amendment shall be withdrawno"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #37.6 offered by Representative Vinson."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Clark, who is the Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Vinson."

Speaker Madigan: "Are Vinsone"

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I would move for the adoption of Amendment #38. Assembly. What Amendment S... I'm sorry. Amendment 637. Amendment #37 does is a technical Amendment to clarify that members from the city, that there are ตembers appointed from the city and that they are to come from within the city. not outside the city. And that the... it corrects a misspelling error."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, with all due respect. I believe he's arguing, not that he doesn't done this in the past, but he's arguing the wrong Amendment."

Speaker Hadigan: "You mean, someone turned on the arong switch?

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

Mr. Vinson, have you heard this allegation?"

Cullerton: "He're on 37."

Speaker Greiman: "There's a grave allegation that's been filed against you, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "You know, mea culpa, Mr. Speaker and Ar. Cullerton.

What 037 does is to correct an error in 35 and it Jeletes

the, by adopting 337, we deal properly with deleting the

effective date, and I would move for the adoption of 337.

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, point of order."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I believe the
Amendment is out of order. It refers to Section numbers in
House Amendment \$7. I would just ask the Parliamentarian
to look at it and see if it's in order. I don't believe it
is."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Let's just withdraw it."

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment is withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 338, offered by Representative
Vinsono"

Vinson: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Spea..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Has this Amendment been printed and distributed? I don't find it on my desk. It hasn't arrived yet."

Speaker Madigan: "It's on the way. Ar. Vinson."

Vinson: "It's a technical Amendment that corrects a misspelling error of the word 'appointing' and deletes the word 'within' and substitutes the word 'outside'. And the purpose on changing outside and within is that one of the

138th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1986
- gubernatorial appointments is to be within the city and one is to be outside the city. \Box
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say "aya",
 those opposed say "no". The "ayes" have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment $\omega 39$, offered by Representative Vinson."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinsono"
- Vinson: "I withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment is withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Madigan: "There have been requests for fiscal note.

 correctional budget and impact note and the appropriate

 notes have been filed. Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Yes, I believe Representative Cullerton's Amendment, I believe, #8, amended the title of the Bill and I now ask to have the Bill returned to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. That's good, too."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Ar. Speaker, I would move to suspend the provisions of Rule 36(d)."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman's point is well taken. The Gentleman's Motion is in order. Requires 60 votes. Those in favor of the Motion signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mave all voted who wish? For what purpose does Gr. Bowman seek recognition?"
- Bowman: "Well, just to explain my... my vote. This is actually one of the most significant votes on this particular issue because it will determine whether we will be proceeding with this issue at this time. I would point out... yes, and will determine to the extent of which we will be able

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1906

to go home and march in 4th of July parades and share the holiday festivities with our families. I would just point out that the effect of one of the Amendments placed on this Bill is to delay the effective date by a year. So, there is no urgency to act on this matter at this time, and I believe that this Motion is one that should be defeated so that we may consider the matter before us in a sensible, calm, rational manner and not rush to judgement on something that will not take effect for another year.

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Goforth."

Goforth: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Would you do me a favor and get all this stuff over so I can go home?"

Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I guess I rise to make sure I know what we're voting think he suspended the rule whereby it doesnot so on. back to Second Reading First Legislative Day. Sut under the rules, it can't be considered until tomorrows takes 71. I think Sam knows that. I don't think we're suspend that... What rule did you say we're suspending, 36(6). Hell, I want to make sure that you didn't say you suspended 37(c) because that's the one that's going to take you 71 votes in another Legislative Day. With that. I'm still voting 'no', but I wanted to make that, as say, one of our ex-Presidents used to say, perfectly as clear."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. There are 59 'aye', 55 'no'. The Motion requires 60 votes. Ar. Harris wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Braun."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to verify."

Speaker Madigan: "Clerk shall read the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barger. Barnes. Berrios. Black. Capparelli.

139th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

Cowlishau. Churchill. Countryman. Daniels. Davis. Deuchler. Didrickson. Eminc. Farley. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Goforth. Hallock. Harris. Hasara. Hasterta Hamkinsona Hensel. Hoffman Johnson. Kirkland. Klemm. Kochler. Krska. Kubik. Kulas. Laurinos Mays. AcAuliffe. Achaster. Nasha DIson. Panayotovich. Parcells。 Parke. 3. Pedersen. H. Piel. Pullen. Peterson. Regan. Roman. Ropp. Ryder-Stange. Stephens. Tate. Tuerk. Vinson. Slater. Hait. Weaver. Williamson. Hojcik. And Zwick.

Speaker Hadigan: "Representative draun, any questions?"

Braun: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Representative Nash."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Nash. Is Mr. Nash in the chamber? Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Braun: "No further."

Speaker Madigan: "There are 59 'aye', 56 'no'. The Motion fails.

Not timely. The Bill shall be placed on the Greer of Third Reading. The Parliamentarian corrects me. It shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. First Legislative Day. The Chair will now go to the Order of Genate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bill 1734, which is concerned with Build Illinois. Sr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1734, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment 1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, who was the Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions relating to Committee Amendment \$1.

Floor Amendment \$2, offered by Representative Daniels."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels. Amendment ∂Z . Mr. Daniels, did you wish to consider Amendment ∂Z ?"

Daniels: "Withdraw."

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

- any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 33, offered by Representative
 Ronane"
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Roman, Amendment #3. The Amendment shall be withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 34, offered by Representative Van Duyne."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Van Duyne, Amendment €4. Ar. Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is the... my part of Build
 Illinois, 750,000 dollars for the Lewis Airport. I think
 we've talked about it so many times that everybody knows it
 by heart. So I'll just move for adoption of Amendment 84."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the Amendment say "aye",
 those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment
 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$5, offered by Representative Ronan."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 06, offered by Representative

 McPike."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 06 reappropriates 303,379,000 and appropriates in new dollars 417,474,000 dollars for the FY *87 appropriation. So, the reapprop and the new appropriations of the reapprop and the new appropriations and I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ewing."
- Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, while we have been discussing for some weeks the Euild Illinois project and while we have attempted to work this matter out with your side of the aisle, we tonight, in maybe normal

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

fashion, get dropped upon us your Amendment. Of course, wa was coming, but when you get an Amendment of this size, it would be nice, particularly at this hour, to time to examine it. But I want everyone on our side of the aisle to know that if you've kept your promise there will be a 40/60 split in the projects, that is 60 percent for the Democratic side of the aisle and 40 for us. Now, it's a little difficult for our side of the aisle, I'm sure, and maybe not as much for yours, to decide how can come up with this type of a split and call it fair. TF you take the number of Mambers in this side of the aisle and, by any mathematics that I might figure, ма соша with more than 40 percent of the people in this body. I think the point that everyone on our side should know before we vote for this is that it is only a 40/60 solit in not the parity that we should establish on this projects. issue. There is no better way than to have parity between both sides of the aisle in both chambers on the projects that build Illinois."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for questions?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Mautino: "It's my understanding, Representative McPike, that we're about 100 million over the allocated authorization for funding, is that correct?"

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. McPike."

McPike: "I believe it's closer to 90°

Mautino: "I'll accept that. Is it the intention, with this
Amendment, that the reapprops that were authorized by this
General Assembly with the enactment of Build Illinois,
would be funded on a priority basis that was presented last
year as part of the package yet not authorized by the

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

administration to the best of your knowledge?"

McPike: "Hell, as you know, last year, we had about 90 million dollars for Democrats and roughly... let's take just the House side. We have 45 million dollars for House Republicans. The Lieutenant Governor went around the state and looked at the projects and rejected nine million out of 45 million for the Democrats and rejected one million out of 45 for the Republicans. We thought that was a little scute in favor of the Republican Party and we thought it didn't happen by accident. So, we took all the projects that the Lieutenant Governor rejected and we put those back in and we have made a special plea to the Governor that he take another look at those projects and fund them first."

Mautino: "I guess my question was, we are once again, under Duild
Illinois, allowing the Governor to determine which projects
get authorization and signoff and which ones don't by this
Amendment. Is that correct?"

McPike: "Hell, we certainly are giving the Governor a little bit of flexibility. I would prefer to give him none, but very difficult to draft a Bill that has no flexibility in it and still takes care of Members' requests. As you know. when we had the CDS budget, it is often returned to the Governor higher than what it was introduced. The EPA budget is returned to the Governor higher than what it introduced. DCCA's budget is returned to the Governor higher than what it was introduced. And 201's budget is introduced... is returned to the Governor higher than what It was introduced. That is not to say that we don't take a hard look at every agency and try to be responsible budgeting and bring them in at a budgeted level of very close to what the Governor asks. But as we all know on those other agencies all of us have a tendency to want to

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1933

have pork projects added for his or her own district and the same happens in Suild Illinois. So, although we try to return the budget to the Governor as its introduced level, it is very difficult to do so.

Mautino: "I guess... I guess that I'm asking is for a commitment, which is difficult to give as it pertains to priorities for projects that were authorized and subgitted to the Governor under the initial program by the Legislators here, and in my recollection, those that voted for the implementation of the tax to fund that bond issue. This is a rather unique program since we do provide for the funding under authorization which has, to some of us, been very remiss in the project area for... for the votes provided to make sure that the funding mechanism was there. I have no objections with your Amendment. But I do want for at least intention of Legislators for the record that my green vote is on for this Amendment with the understanding that those projects that are reapproped, not authorized under last year's program, would be those that would be funded before any new add-ons were to be provided under Build Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker and Members of the House. First of all, a point and then a question. You know, we've been working on this virtually since we began this Session a few months ago, and it's really kind of appalling to me to be in a position here tonight whereby, after all that time, we all of a sudden, a minute before the vote it taken on this Amendment, have the Amendment dumped on our desks. It's all very convenient and it's all very obvious what's trying to be done here. And I fault you for that. Now, clearly, you may, in fact, have incorporated our projects herein and maybe we can find that out when we peruse this Amendment,

138th Legislative Day

June 27, 1986

but the way you're going about it is wrong and you know it and you ought to be ashamed of that. Now, to the Amendment itself. Since we haven't had a chance to look at it, we're going to have to ask you for just what's in here. Let me first pose a question on the total amount. How much money is contained for projects in this Amendment?

McPike: "Seven hundred and some odd million - 720,553,000."

Hallock: "Hell, if we proceed from the premise of the Governor's original Bill, was about 326 million of new add-ons, you have then added to that another 400 million of projects?"

McPike: "No. Representative. This also contains the reapproper

Hallock: "Well then, have you deleted some of his projects and inserted other ones; and, if so, where have you deleteg?"

McPike: "Well, we have deleted some, you are correct. He asked the Governor to take another look and we asked the Governor delete some and the Governor agreed to do it. And T really don't recall which ones he deleted, but Representative Reilly I'm sorry, Jim Reilly, Governor's Chief of Staff, presented us a trimmed down list and that's what we've used."

Hallock: "Hould then... speak to the reapprops. Do you know in which Members' districts projects were cut? I mean. clearly if we had had this Amendment even an hour ago. we could have gone through it and found out just what projects were being cut and who they affected. So you know which projects were being cut?"

McPike: "No."

Hallock: "Are you saying that the projects which, in fact, were cut are the reapprops that were cut by the Governor's Office?"

McPike: "No."

Hallock: "Hell then, if you're not saying that, by omission, I assume you're saying those projects were cut by yourself

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1956

and your staff, □

- McPika: "Hell, we did cut some projects, yes. I already stated that. He did cut some projects."
- Hallock: "Hell, I happened to notice, as I looked over the list quicky in terms of the reapprops, that there were projects missing from Representative Mait's district, Hensel, Williamson, Regan, myself and a couple others. Was that a deliberate effort to speak to those districts, or was that, in fact, just a cavaliered attitude towards the cuts?
- McPike: "Hell, Representative Hallock, you know I have nothing against you. We put in nine... nine million dollars for a new state office building in Rockford for you and we put another two and a half million dollars for a purchase of a federal facility for juvenile celinquents for you in the new budgets that we worked on yestercay. So, I don't think anyone that's getting 11 million dollars in the CD budget should be complaining too much."
- Hallock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I were getting that kind of money, I wouldn't say anything. But we may, in fact, for that office building, receive a couple million this year. We hope we do. That was pursuant to last year's negotiations. But that doesn't answer the question. The question is, how did you go about cutting the reapprops for Members? Was their some strategy you used, or was there, in fact. ลกง certain attitude that you proceeded on for those cuts?"
- McPike: "I was just spinning the wheel. Had to cut somewhere. I
 guess Representative Hallock, one was yours, but I really
 don't recall which ones they were."
- Hallock: "Well, let me ask you another question. In terms of total amount, what is that for, the Republican House Members and House Democrats, what are those respective totals?"

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1966

McPike: "The staff did not add the things up by Republican and

Democratic totals. They simply totaled the entire amount."

Hallock: "Are the Senate projects incorporated herein also?"

McPike: "The list we received from the Senate was incorporated into this."

Hallock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, you know, we. this side of the aisla, have given the Democratic staff all our projects with the idea being that we had hoped this was going to be a bipartisan agreement, and it may, in fact. be that. But the lamentable fact is that we don't really know what's in here. As we look at it now, 40 are finding some projects we wanted any probably some we had asked for aren't in here. But if we had done this earlier in the Session, ₩c could have resolved this. We could have this... if we could have had this Amendment a couple hours ago, we would have known exactly what was in here. hope that it's there. But at this point in time voting on this really without knowing what is in here. hope that, from my point of view, that all requests I've had from Republican Hembers are incorporated herein. And I home. for your sidea that yours are too. But . unfortunately. none of us really know right now. And I think that it's a shame that it happened this way."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker... Ar. Speaker, just for the record. Lieutenant Governor Ryan wrote me a letter back in January in which he said what their committee had done or their team had done and he pointed out that the two year approved total by his team was 113 million. He goes on to say that Democrat sponsored projects totaled 70.4 million. 63 or of all that was approved. Thus, the percentaged percent approved was virtually identical to the percentage of reviewed projects that were Democrat sponsored. Of the *86

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

total, Democrat sponsored projects totaled 67 percent of the first year money, 56.9 million. Again, the percentage if virtually identical to the percentage of reviewed projects. So, I think the Lieutenant Governor made an honest effort here to do this pretty much as we have done it. Chicago's total of the state population received 41 percent of the first year total and only had 31 percent of the population. So, even as we gid it before, it was not evenly distributed, but I haven't had time to review this, but I hope it's equal, at least that much fair.

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield just for one question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Ropp: "Representative McPike, on page Six, line 13, that figure is 16,635,000 dollars. In talking to the Department of Transportation people, there was indication that that should have been more like 28 million because that was a major portion of road interchanges at the Diamond Star Plant, and I wonder whether or not the staff that drafted this was aware of that. Twenty-three million of this total was supposed to go to the Diamond Star interchange and there's only 16 in this Amendment."

. Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."

McPike: "I wasn't aware that the Governor had promised 23 million to Diamond Star. I did hear that he had promised 35 million to Arlington Park Race Track for infrastructure. But it's surprising this time of year you find out exactly how much we're paying for some of these things. The figures that you ask about were put in at the request of the Governor's staff. We are using his figures for those."

Ropp: "Well, hold on one moment please. I guess my concern is that this is a major economic growth, labor-intensified

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1936

project that has come into the State of Illinois. And if the facility is for moving of goods in and out is not taken care of, why, we've lost considerable amount of time, effort and attempts to resolve a lot of labor and economic concern. So... Okay. Thank you.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike, to close."

McPike: "I would ask for a favorable Roll Callo"

Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 102 'aye', 10 'no'.

The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Ar. McPike."

McPike: "Would ask for... to walve the appropriate rules for immediate consideration of the 2ill."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave is granted. Objection is registered. Mr. AcPike moves that the matter... Skay.

Mr. Vinson..."

McPike: "Speaker, I would withdraw that."

Speaker Hadigan: "Ar. Vinson, I understand from the Parliamentarian that he has a right to have the Bill heard on Third Reading. Hr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Ar. Speaker, he made the Motion. You specified that it is moving to suspend the appropriate rules, and I just objected to his suspension of the appropriate rules, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Hell, thank you..."

Vinson: "So, if you were right, then I'm right."

Speaker Madigan: "And again, Ar. Vinson, thank you for all your help. Again, the Gentleman has a right to have the Bill heard on Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Sill."

138th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1986
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate 3ill 1734, a 2ill for an Act waking appropriations and reappropriations to various agencies.

 Third Reading of the 3ill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe the Amendment is now the Bill. As I've already stated, it reapprops 303 million and new appropriations of over 400 million for a total of 720 million dollars for the Governor's Suild Illinois Program. And I move for the passage of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Those in favor of passage of the Bill will signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no".

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? ... Vinson. Mr. Vinson.
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, is there something wrong with the board?

 Why can't we take the record on this issue?"
- Speaker Madigan: "We've come to enjoy your company so much, Mr.

 Vinson, that we thought we would take you in for a few more minutes."
- Vinson: "Hell, I'm concerned about what's wrong with "Speaker"

 LaPaille and Mr. Dillard. It's looks like they're applying emergency first aid over there, but maybe the patient needs a transplant instead. And I just wonder if we could ascertain what the problem is so it can be a matter of public record. Looks like Mr. McMaster's desire to have hog racing in Illinois is coming."
- Speaker Hadigan: "Ar. Hallock."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Mouse. Having voted on 40 Amendments to Senate 3ill 1597 and having a 35 page Bill here, I'm glad to see we passed our recycling Resolution this afternoon."
- Speaker Madigan: "Fine. So, have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 104 "aye", 12 "no". This 3ill, having

138th Legislative Day

June 29, 1986

received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Is there anything further to come before the Body? He plan to return at 11:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. De prepared to work a full day until midnight today. So, the... Mr. McPike move that the House stand adjourned... Mr. McPike moves the House stand in recess until 11:00 a.m. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does stand adjourned until 11:00 a.m. stand in recess until 11:00 a.m.

03/04/87 11:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

MUL

JUNE 29 + 1986

HB-1321	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	70
HB-2546	CONFERENCE	PAGE	70
HB-2546	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	69
HB-2546	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	70
HB-2630	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
HB-2688	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	21
HB-2988	CONFERENCE	PAGE	21
HB-2991	CONFERENCE	PAGE	26
HB-2998	CONFERENCE	PAGE	64
HB-3165	CONFERENCE	PAGE	28
HB-3257	CONFERENCE	PAGE	29
HB-3340	CONFERENCE	PAGE	46
SB-1320	CONFERENCE	PAGE	59
SB-1597	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
SB-1597	THIRD READING	PAGE	72
SB-1734	SECOND READING	PAGE	140
SB-1734	THIRD READING	PAGE	149
SB-1737	CONFERENCE	PAGE	32
SB-1748	CONFERENCE	PAGE	33
SB-1752	CONFERENCE	PAGE	33
SB-1753	CONFERENCE	PAGE	35
SB-1774	CONFERENCE	PAGE	36
SB-1778	CONFERENCE	PAGE	37
SB-1808	CONFERENCE	PAGE	37
SB-1931	CONFERENCE	PAGE	61
SB-2100	CONFERENCE	PAGE	71
SB-2100	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	69
SB-2117	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-2117	SECOND READING	PAGE	10
SB-2117	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	4
SB-2300	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	3
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	6
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	67
SJR-0166	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	39

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
SPEAKER GREIMAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	1
PRAYER - PASTOR FRANK BEARD	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	ı
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2