63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Braun: "The House will be in order. The Members will be in their chairs. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Michael Pfleger, Pastor, St. Sabina Catholic Church of Chicago. Father Pfleger is the guest of Representative Howard Brookins. Will the guests in the gallery please rise for the invocation?"

Reverend Pfleger: "Let us pray. O Mighty God, Creator of all life whose choice it was to wake us up this morning and give us another day, help us to live this day in Your service. We ask You to send Your blessing on each of whose heads are bowed before You this morning, that we may never forget that it is through Your blessing we have been given the opportunity to serve, to make decisions and to choose directions, to make our state and our world not only a better place to live, but a livable place for every woman and child. Guide us, Father, with Your wisdom and allow us to be Your instruments. Help us to create a state that is just and caring. Help us to create a state where quality hospital care for the poor may one day soon become a reality. Help us create a state that is committed to effective equal and quality public education where every child is given the opportunity to a future. And finally. help us, indeed, to build an Illinois, but build one as a governing Body in this room that is rooted in in touch with our conscience, sensitive to the poor and the oppressed and who live and act always from our hearts as well as our minds, that all we do may enhance the quality of life for each and every person and bring us a little closer to the reality of Your kingdom. We ask this in Jesus' name. Amen."

Speaker Braun: "Be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

ı

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United
 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,
 one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice
 for all."
- Speaker Braun: "Roll Call for Attendance. Excused absences. 118

 answering the Roll, there... a quorum is present.

 Representative Bowman for an announcement and an introduction."
- Bowman: "If I could have the attention of the Body, I'd like to four introduce students from the Fourth Legislative District, Representative District, excuse me. These young people have been chosen as the Outstanding Youth of 1985 by the Evanston Rotary Club and I would like to introduce them We have Ms. Lisa English. Mr. Henry 'Camerly'. Ms. Heather Sampson. And Mr. David 'Tesca'. I have given them copies of a House Joint Resolution which we adopted recognizing their... this honor and indicating their... their achievements for which they were recognized. simply say to you that all of these young people have made significant contributions to their community and ... and that is... this Evanston Rotary Club did a city-wide search and got dozens of nominees and these four young people were selected from the dozens of nominees made by teachers and adults from all over the City of Evanston. So we are honored that you all can be with us today. Welcome to Springfield."
- Speaker Braun: "On page 2 of the Calendar on the Order of Senate
 Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1, Representative
 Breslin. She's not here. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill #1, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Regents. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

House. This is the companion appropriation for the funding of the new engineering school at the... at Northern Illinois University. It is an issue that has been debated many times already on the floor of the House. I would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I rise
in support of this appropriation measure. As
Representative Breslin has stated, it's a very important
issue for northern Illinois and I think for the future
vitality of our whole state and I urge your support."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Could you simply explain the appropriation Bill again?"

Breslin: "Yes, yes. The appropriation is to fund the new engineering school at Northern Illinois University."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Breslin: "It's the same as the Bill that has already passed the House."

Leverenz: "That... Are we doing it... We are doing it twice?"

Breslin: "It's... It's just the crossover."

Leverenz: "Til we get it right?"

Breslin: "Right."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Lady from Marshall, Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Representative Breslin said, this Bill has been debated previously and I will not go into great detail on it, but I do rise in opposition because we do not need, in Illinois, an additional engineering school. We already

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

have seven in the state and we have a declining enrollment with regard to those going into the engineering field. We do not need to embark upon a new... building a new engineering school and I oppose the measure."

Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Champaign,

Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Braun: "She indicates she will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative, isn't it true that these same funds are already in the system's budget also? Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, it makes little difference, then, what we really do with a vote on this Bill because the funds are already a part of the system's budget in another Bill and so, I think that the passage or failure of this Bill is not really going to affect the outcome of funding for the new program."

Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Peterson."

Peterson: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Braun: "Previous question has been moved. The question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The previous question is put.

Representative Breslin, to close."

Breslin: "Once again, Ladies and Gentlemen, we are voting on an appropriation for \$1,057,000 to the Board of Regents to begin implementation of the engineering school at Northern Illinois University. I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Braun: "Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 1. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open.

The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel, to explain his vote."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Piel: "Wasn't to explain my vote, but I was wondering if we were talking about one or two engineering schools. She could probably hold up one or two fingers, but she was talking about Northern Illinois and according to our synopsis, it also says another one for Chicago State University besides Northern Illinois."
- Speaker Braun: "Chair recognizes Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, to explain his vote."
- Giorgi: "Hadam Speaker, I... I'd like... You know, I don't want to take too much time of the House, but our record in Illinois for training engineers, for seats for engineers, for keeping engineers after they have been educated is very abysmal and this Bill is going to make the engineering opportunities more available to Rockford students and I really appreciate your support."
- Speaker Braun: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 94 voting 'aye', 16 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 1, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 160, Bepresentative Breslin. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 160, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill appropriates \$2,000,000 from the Transportation Series A Bond Fund to the Department of Transportation for the completion of the reconstruction of Route 51 between Rockford and Decatur. As you will well remember, the Governor proposed in his State of the State... State of the State Address that the state should make a special effort to finish off Route 51 regardless of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

federal funding. This Bill is a mechanism to do that. It is offered under the assumption that his... his proposal will pass. This, however, is a backup proposal. Should the 'Build Illinois' funds not be included there, this would be a backup to the Governor's proposal. As you know, I live in this area. The building of Route 51 has been going on for my entire lifetime. I think it's time we complete it."

Speaker Braun: "Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 160, and on that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Lady yield, please?"

Speaker Braun: "She indicates she will."

Piel: "I'm not sure if my hearing is off this morning or what, but how much was the figure. I thought you said \$2,000,000 and the figure I've got is..."

Breslin: "Two... It's two... \$200,000,000."

Piel: "Two hundred million?"

Breslin: "Yes."

Breslin: "No, it's out of the Series A Bond Fund."

Breslin: "The... There is no Transportation Fund."

Piel: "No, the reason I was asking, you know, they have tried killing the 'Build Illinois' Program over in the Senate and if that is not killed, this is money that... going to be taken from projects all over the state to fund one single project, correct?"

Breslin: "Representative, I intend to support the 'Build

Illinois' proposal for the completion of this project.

This is merely a backup should that proposal not... fail to

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

reach the Governor's desk, and..."

Piel: "Well, that's what I'm trying to get at, Peg, is if that...

if 'Build Illinois' does fail, we are sitting here and we
are talking about \$200,000,000 that are taken out of the
entire road fund and I think, you know, that's... you know
it's quite... you know, usually with a road project,
instead of going with the whole thing, you know, they will
go with one section or another section, but..."

Breslin: "It would be done over a... in a section by section piece. This is just the authorization to use bond monies to do so."

Piel: "No further questions, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Macon,

Representative Dunn. Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Braun: "She indicates she will."

Dunn: "If this Bill becomes law, are these bonds earmarked for any particular stretch of the Route 51 highway from Rockford all the way south to Decatur... either by way of the legislation itself, or intention of the Secretary of Transportation, or the Governor?"

Breslin: "It's my impression it... that it is for the stretch
between Rockford and Decatur. No particular stretch there,
but for the total completion. That's how much it will
cost, between Rockford and Decatur."

Dunn: "What is the cost of the section from Bloomington north to Streator?"

Breslin: "I don't know."

Dunn: "Do you have anybody there with you who does?"

Breslin: "I have a staff person, but he doesn't know either.

That's an important area to me too though, Representative."

Dunn: "Yeah, thank you very much."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Representative Jack
Davis."

- Davis: "Madam Speaker, may I make an inquiry of the Chair? Since this is a bonding authorization, it is my assumption it will require an Extraordinary Majority. Is that correct?"
- Speaker Braun: "We have to examine the Bill. One second,
 Representative Davis. In the meantime, the Chair
 recognizes... We'll respond to your question. I just
 thought it made sense to go to the other questioners. The
 Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative
 McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Braun: "She indicates she will."

- McCracken: "I confess to you I don't know if it's still in, now that the Senate has had its way, but was this originally an Amendment for funding in the 'Build Illinois' program?"
- Breslin: "No, it was not an Amendment. It was a part of the original 'Build Illinois' proposal."
- McCracken: "Oh, that's right. It was a part of the Governor's speech, to build 51."
- Breslin: "Yes, yes, yes. That's what I indicated."
- McCracken: "So the Governor is publicly committed to building 51 with state appropriated money?"
- Breslin: "That's correct."
- McCracken: "And this is not state appropriated money. This would be... money from the proceeds of a bond issuance?"
- Breslin: "It's... It's state a... a bond... Series A bond monies.

 So, it's an alternative mechanism for funding should the

 Governor wish to accept it."
- McCracken: "And they use the term 'pay as you go' in reference to the Senate Amendments. Do you know what that refers to?

 Is this a pay as you go program?"
- Breslin: "I don't believe so."

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

McCracken: "And what do we... what do we mean by that?"

Breslin: "That... That's not my proposal, Representative."

McCracken: "No, I understand, but do you know what... what the difference between a pay as you go program is and this program is?"

Breslin: "They have nothing to do with each other."

McCracken: "Okay. Have you had occasion to determine whether or not the Department of Transportation is in favor of this proposal?"

Breslin: "I have spoken with the... Secretary 'Bays'. He has indicated that he much prefers the 'Build Illinois' program, and I have indicated that this is offered only as an alternative."

McCracken: "Okay. And do you know whether or not the Governor is in favor of it or opposed to it?"

Breslin: "I think that the Governor prefers his own program and

I... I can't blame him. This is just proposed as an
alternative."

Speaker Braun: "Is... Is there further discussion?"

McCracken: "Yes, there is."

Speaker Braun: "Oh, I'm sorry."

NcCracken: "Now, as you know, the Department of Transportation works on five year programs where they allocate funds over a five year period which are disbursed throughout the state. Would this take away, to the extent of \$200,000,000 the Department's authority to do that?"

Breslin: "If they decided to do it all at one time, it would.

They would have the authority to do it, but this gives them discretion."

McCracken: "Okay. To the Bill, Madam Speaker. What we are talking about is a \$200,000,000 alternative, an alternative to a commitment which the Governor has publicly made and which was not an Amendment to the 'Build Illinois' Bill,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

but which, in fact, was an original constituent part that Bill. I understand the desire to get 51 built. understand that it's been a priority of this and Legislators for many years. We are all desirous of seeing it built, but this \$200,000,000 allocation in this manner does a number of things. First, of course, it raises the bond issuance which would otherwise be engaged in. it... it does, in fact, hamper the Department ο£ Transportation in its ability to allocate over its five year programs on a statewide basis. This locks them into the \$200,000,000 for the five year... or for the U. S. 51 program and is the ... would create the only exception that rule on a statewide basis. Now, we have all had our problems dealing with the Department of Transportation, but the fact of the matter is, the Department deals on a statewide basis, allocates over a five year period and we appropriate on that basis. This creates a new and dangerous precedent to that rule and I would ask you to defeat it for that reason."

- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Simply to the Bill. I don't believe it does all of the things the Gentleman previous said. You have to show all of the project in a single Bill in the first year. It came out of Committee. It passed the Senate. I would encourage your green vote and get it over with. Pass it."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair has a response to Representative Davis'

 Parliamentary inquiry regarding the number of votes

 required for this Bill. The Parliamentarian advises that

 insofar as this is an appropriation of funds and not a bond

 authorization, 60 votes, Constitutional Majority, is

 required for passage. Representative Davis."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Davis: "Well, Madam Speaker, maybe I didn't understand the Sponsor who said it was a bond authorization for Series A transportation funds, is that correct?"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Davis, the Parliamentarian reviewed the legislation, has interpreted that legislation as bond... as a bond appropriation, an appropriation from bonded funds as opposed to an authorization for bonds.

Therefore, 60 votes, Constitutional Majority, would be required."

Davis: "Are we suggesting then, Madam Speaker, that the bonds have already been authorized, the Series A transportation bonds have already been authorized and this is appropriating... maybe I should ask the Sponsor that question."

Speaker Braun: "That... The Lady indicates she will yield."

Davis: "Representative Breslin..."

Breslin: "Yes, Representative, the bonds have already been authorized. This... this appropriates money from that bond authorization."

Davis: "Well, then to the Bill, Madam Speaker. Representative McCracken was absolutely correct. I don't think there should be... that this Bill should pass for a variety of reasons. Number one, and I think all the downstaters who don't have 51 in their district ought to understand that this particular measure and this side of the don't think there ought to be a Republican vote on this particular measure because some of those people who support 51, including the Governor and me and the Republican Leadership in 'Build Illinois' because it needs doing, it's been needed done for a long time, but some of the people that surround that issue in that particular corridor have signed on to a program that will cut it out and are looking for this for a backup to take your Series A transportation

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

bonds in other downstate districts and your road projects the next five years away from you. If you commit \$200,000,000 of Series A bonds... authorization appropriation rather, to this project, you take those Members off of the hook on 'Build Illinois' where we are committed to do 51 and then you put them in a position, by passing this Bill, of reallocating your highway dollars. your road projects in the five year multi-year road program, your road projects and your bridges away from and committing them to 51 when 'Build Illinois' is the way to do it. We all know it. The Governor is committed The General Assembly should be committed to it and you taking everybody off the hook and you are losing your own road projects if you do this. This is definitely a vote for every downstate Democrat and Republican."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. As a downstater does not have Route 51 in his Legislative District, I stand in support of this appropriation for ... under Senate Bill 160. First and foremost, since it is the ... one of ... it is the number one priority of the Governor of this state, I think that it is a necessary appropriation allow the Governor to make good on his priority system. are helping the administration out in this regard because the bridge over the Illinois River has... is now in the process of being built. The first leg between the Illinois River and Winnebago County is under completion now and I think that the only way that we could ever embark north-south freeway in this state is the continuation and completion of that road between the Illinois River and

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Decatur, Illinois. This \$200,000,000 appropriation will do just that. It makes Illinois the hub of the nation. The Governor will have the opportunity to stand up and be counted on his priority list and this takes it out of the realm of the previous speaker's position that downstaters should not be supporting it. I think downstaters should be supporting it because this is the only real thing you are going to be voting on. Everything else is going to be in a Conference Committee. So, I recommend most highly that you at least get your 'aye' vote on 160 so that that road can be completed. And I stand in support."

Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Madam Speaker, move the previous question, please."

Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The previous question is put.

The Lady from LaSalle, to close."

Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, if we are going to build Illinois. are going to 40 have to have a decent infrastructure. We are going to have to have a decent transportation system. Wе have one major transportation system that has a hole in the middle, if you want to Gulf of Mexico, there is one stretch from Canada to the between Rockford and Decatur that remains uncompleted. The Governor said, 'Let's build 51. Let's build it now. Let's build it whether we have state... whether we have federal funds or not.' This is a mechanism for funding it. the Department of Transportation not be able to use this money all at one time, and I don't expect that they can. there is authorization for reappropriation from year to year, but it at least provides them with the money they

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

need. They know they can budget it over a five or an eight year period and get the job done now like the Governor wan's. I request 'aye' votes."

- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 160. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from McLean, Representative Ropp, to explain his vote."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Someone said that during the time that Governor Stratton was Governor, he wanted to build a road from Chicago to St. Louis which was called 66.

 They did it in less than four years. Route 51 has been talked about for more than 20. It seems to me that it's long overdue and this Bill ought to pass so that we can get 51 built quickly. My concern is that this really probably will not speed up the process and for that I am sorry, but I am going to vote 'yes'."
- Speaker Braun: "Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowmau, to explain his vote."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. I rise in support of the Lady's Notion, and I would like to refresh the memories of my Republican colleagues. This is the way we normally fund transportation projects. We normally use Series transportation bond fund monies and/or federal monies in combination. Now, what I would like to particularly remind them of is that the Governor, in his 'Build Illinois' program, was talking about financing Route 51 with new taxes, new taxes, Ladies and Gentlemen, that haven't passed the General Assembly. Now, if you vote 'no' on this proposal, you are begging for a vote for a tax increase. You want to vote for a tax increase. You must vote for tax increase to get Highway 51 if you don't vote for this proposal because this is the only other game in town. you want 51, you better vote for this."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays, to explain his vote."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. To explain my vote, I had my speech light on in debate. I... We all got a five year road program on our desk about a month ago and a large portion of that, as I understand it, was premised on these Series A bonds funds. I would suggest that taking a \$200,000,000 lump out of that, what... regardless of your district, there is going to be other road projects even if you are along the 51 corridor that will go by the wayside in this obligation measure. It's my understanding that all the Series A bonds have been obligated and for that reason, I would vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 67 voting 'aye', 39 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. Representative McNamara... McCracken. The Chair recog..."

McCracken: "Verification."

Speaker Braun: "Representative McCracken has requested a verification of the Roll. Representative Breslin requests a Poll of the Absentees. Representative Younge requests verification. Representative McCracken. Wyvetter Younge, yes. Hr. Clerk, Poll of the Absentees. For what reason does the Gentlemen from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey, rise?"

Mulcahey: "Madam Speaker, may I have leave to be verified now, please?"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Mulcahey requests leave for verification. Representative McCracken agrees."

McCracken: "Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Berrios. Capparelli.

Flinn. Goforth. Johnson. Oh, scratch that Johnson.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Klemm. Richmond. Terzich. Tuerk. Wait. Williamson. No further."

Speaker Braun: "Mr. Clerk, Poll of the Affirmative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander."

Speaker Braun: "One second, please. Representative Saltsman requests leave to be verified. Representative McCracken agrees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bowman. Braun. Breslin."

Speaker Braun: "MT... Mr. Hartke requests leave to be verified. Mr. Hartke. Representative McCracken agrees. Representative Homer requests leave to be verified. Representative Giorgi requests leave to be verified. Representative McCracken agrees. Homer and Giorgi. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brookins. Brunsvold. Bullock. Christensen.

Countryman. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeJaegher.

DeLeo. Dunn. Farley."

Speaker Braun: "Mr. Clerk, Representative Tuerk votes 'no'.

Representative Tuerk votes 'no'. Continue. Representative
Flinn, Monroe Flinn, requests... votes 'aye'.

Representative Richmond, Bruce Richmond, votes 'aye'.

Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flowers."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Giglio requests leave to be verified. Representative McCracken agrees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hallock. Hanniq. Harris. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Koehler. Kulas. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Maurino. Mulcahey. Nash. O'Connell. Olson. Panayotovich. Pangle. Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Ropp. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Soliz. Steczo. Stern. Tate. Turner. Van Duyne."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Braun: "One second, Mr. Clerk. The Lady from Cook,

Representative Williamson, votes 'no'. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vitek. Washington. White. Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. Zwick. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Braun: "Representative McCracken, on the affirmative vote."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Cullerton."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Cullerton. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Currie."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Currie is in her chair."

McCracken: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Farley, Farley, Bruce Farley. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be.

Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Greiman."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Greiman. Representative Alan Greiman. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Hannig."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Gary Hannig is in the chamber.

For what reason does Representative McGann rise?"

McGann: "Madam... Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

McGann: "Would you kindly change me to 'no'?"

Speaker Braun: "Representative McGann votes 'no'. Representative Greiman has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Hicks. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove him. For what reason does the Gentleman from Boone, Representative Wait, rise?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Wait: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Braun: "Gentleman is... You are recorded as not voting."

Wait: "Please record me 'aye'."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Wait votes 'aye'. Further?"

McCracken: "Representative.. Did we do Laurino? Representative

Laurino?"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Laurino. The Gentleman is in his chair."

McCracken: "I'm sorry. Representative Levin."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Ellis Levin is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative O'Connell."

Speaker Braun: "Representative O'Connell. Representative

O'Connell is in the back of the chamber. $^{\mathtt{n}}$

McCracken: "Representative Nash."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Steve Nash. How is the Gentleman recorded? He doesn't appear to be in the chamber. Remove him. Representative Brookins requests leave to be verified. Bepresentative McCracken agrees. Further questions?"

McCracken: "Representative Panayotovich."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Panayotovich. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove him. Representative.. from... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Braun: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

O'Connell: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Braun: "Representative O'Connell votes 'no'."

McCracken: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Braun: "One second, Representative McCracken.

Representative Nash has been removed from the... from the
affirmative vote and O'Connell has changed his vote to
'no'. Representative McCracken, further questions?"

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

McCracken: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Helen Satterthwaite is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Steczo."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Steczo is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Vitek."

Speaker Braun: "Representative John Vitek. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative White."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Jesse White. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Wyvetter Younge. She was... she was given leave, right."

Speaker Braun: "She was verified earlier."

McCracken: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Ralph Capparelli is not voting."

McCracken: "Oh, I'm sorry. Representative Bullock."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Larry Bullock. Is the Gentleman

in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Soliz."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Juan Soliz. The Gentleman appears not to be in the chamber. Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative John Dunn. Oh, there he is."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Dunn is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Okay."

Speaker Braun: "Further questions?"

McCracken: "What's the count, Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Braun: "There are 60 'ayes', 43 'nos'. This Bill, having received..."

McCracken: "No, no. I'm not done. Representative..

Representative Harris."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Harris is in the chamber. The Gentleman... Representative Harris."

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

Harris: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Braun: "You're recorded as voting 'aye'."

Harris: "Please change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Harris changes his vote to 'no'."

McCracken: "Representative.. I'm sorry."

Speaker Braun: "Further cha... Further changes? Representative Hallock. Your switch is stuck, okay."

McCracken: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Lee Preston. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Koehler."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Koehler is in her seat.

Representative Preston has returned. Return him to the
Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Zwick."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady is in the chamber. For what reason does the Lady from Kane rise?"

Zwick: "Madam Speaker, would you please change my 'aye' vote to

Speaker Braun: "Representative Zwick changes her vote from 'aye' to 'no'. Further questions of the affirmative."

McCracken: "Representative Countryman."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Countryman, the Gentleman is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Okay, nothing further."

Speaker Braun: "On this... On this... For what reason does the Gentleman from Franklin rise, Representative Rea?"

Rea: "Madam Speaker, would you change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'?"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Rea changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Jesse White has just returned to the chamber. Return him to the Roll Call. Representative Hallock."

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

Hallock: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hallock: "Please vote me 'present', please."

- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman changes his vote to 'present'. Mr.

 Clerk, what's the count? For what reason does the

 Gentleman from Cook, Representative McGann, rise?

 Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Madam Speaker, I'm sorry, but would you kindly change my vote back to 'aye'?"
- Speaker Braun: "Representative McGann changes his vote to 'aye'.

 On this question there are 60 voting 'aye', 44 voting 'no'.

 This bill, having received... This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

 Senate Bill 226, Representative Capparelli. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 226, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Netropolitan Fair and Exhibition Authority Reconstruction Fund to the Netropolitan Fair and Exhibition Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 306.
 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 306, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Auditor General. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Leverenz, on Senate Bill 306."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. For the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Auditor General, we appropriate \$9,498,000 in General Revenue Funds. I would move for the passage of Senate Bill 306."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Leverenz, on Senate Bill 306."
- Leverenz: "Yes, Madam Speaker, we are appropriating \$900...
 \$9,000,000, I'm sorry, \$488,000 dollars of General Revenue
 Funds for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Office of the Auditor General. I would ask for everyone to vote 'aye' to pass the budget in Senate Bill 306."

- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 306. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 306 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this there are 106 voting 'aye', I voting 'no'. Senate Bill 306, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 356, Representative Keane. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 356, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations for higher education. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 356 is the ordinary and contingent expense for the Board of Higher Education. It's... appropriates \$35,000,000 and some other special appropriations. I'd be happy to answer any questions and ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 356. On that question, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Bill. In addition, I just want to point out that we are about to consider a number of higher education appropriation Bills for the OCE expenses of various systems. This is the first in the series. There have been no House Amendments added to these Bills in Committee or on the floor, and therefore these Bills will go directly to the Governor. In Committee, it was made clear, and I think all parties understood, that if there are no additional taxes for education reform and the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

like that when time comes to negotiate the budget, everything will be on the table and it may be necessary to modify this legislation through the Governor's amendatory veto, but we have agreed that we will make recommendations to the Governor along those lines. That is... meets with the approval of the various system heads and the Board of Higher Education. So, with that understanding, I'm pleased to rise in support of this legislation."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Keane (sic - Kendall), Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Chairman and Ladies and Gentlemen of I want to reiterate what the previous speaker the House. just said. All these appropriations, especially the higher ed appropriations, are without Amendment. There is also an that unless we cut some of the spending that we have spent this year in appropriations or raise taxes, these appropriations can't go through the way they have been appropriated. I want to have you just listen up for a minute so I can repeat what I said. We have spent appropriations than the State of Illinois will take in next year. That means we either raise taxes or we cut some of the funding of the Bills that have already come out of Committee. That ought to set the tone for what we are doing here today. I support this Bill and support the whole line of higher ed Bills as they came out of Committee unamended."

Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 356. All in favor vote... The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 356 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 voting 'aye' ... 108 voting

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

101... On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 356, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3...357, Representative Keane. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 357, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Illinois Community College Board and the Board of Trustees of the State Community College of East St. Louis for Fiscal Year 1986. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Community College Board and the Board of Trustees of the State College... State Community College of East St. Louis. I would ask... be happy to answer any questions. I would agree that on the series of Bills on higher education with the previous two speakers, that we have agreed on the condition that should there be reduced revenues, there would be appropriate action taken by the Governor. I'd ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 357. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I would remind you that this is the only junior college in the state that is paid for completely out of state funds, no local money. Not too long ago, it was really a disgrace to the state and to East St. Louis, but I'm happy to report, I think there is indications it has improved its management and it's on the way back so... and they do need this badly and I'm going to support it. And I hope they continue to

- 63rd Legislative Day
- June 27, 1985

- improve their operation."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes... Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the... of the House. I will second the speech by Representative Keane. We accept this appropriation on this side of the aisle and ask for its favorable passage."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 357. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 357 pass?'

 All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 357, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative McPike in the Chair."
- Speaker McPike: "Page 8 of the Calendar, under Concurrences, we are going to call a Bill for nonconcurrence. Is there leave to go to House Bill 90? Leave is granted. House Bill 90. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 90, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, together with Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie. Representative Bowman on the Motion. Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. I now move that the House nonconcur in Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 90."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 90. And on that, the Gentleman

 from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "I support the Gentleman's Motion."
- Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? There being none, the Motion is to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- 90. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries, and the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 90. Under Motions appears House Bill 257, Representative Mautino. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 257, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act together with Senate Amendment #2."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe I filed a Motion pertaining to the..."
- Speaker McPike: "The Motion is filed in writing. It's on the Calendar on page 21."
- Mautino: "I move for the adoption of the Motion on 257 to place this over to October 16th, Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman has moved to postpone action on House
 Bill 257 to the 16th day of October. Is there any
 discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative
 Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I support the Gentleman's Motion because I believe that that will give us the time to find the adequate figures and backup to determine what we should do with this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Mautino, to close."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to amend that Motion on its face to make it the Order of Concurrence on... on October 16th rather than Second Legislative Day. I think it has to go back to the same posture, and I'd like leave to amend it on its face."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman asks leave to amend the Motion on its face so that the Motion will be to postpone action on House Bill 257 to the 16th day of October 1985, and the Bill will

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

be placed on the Calendar on the Order of Concurrence. No objection, leave is granted. You've heard the Motion. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present', and the Motion carries. Representative Braun in the Chair."

- Speaker Braun: "Returning to page 2 of the Calendar appears

 Senate Bill 358, Representative Keane. Mr. Clerk, read
 the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 358, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane, on Senate Bill 358."
- Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 358 appropriates
 174 plus a million dollars for the Board of Governors of
 State Colleges and Universities for their ordinary and
 contingent expenses. Be happy to answer any questions and
 ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman moves the passage of Senate Bill 358. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the 'Shall Senate Bill 358 pass?' All in favor question is. vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 5... 358, received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 359, Representative Bowman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 359, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of certain retirement systems. Third Reading of the Bill."

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

Speaker Braun: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for passage of Senate Bill 359. As it was introduced, it was 127.5 million dollars. The Senate reduced that by 43.2 million dollars reflecting a 65 percent payout with respect to... 65 percent funding with respect to payouts and we did not amend it in the House Committee. It has not been amended on the floor, so we are passing this at the Senate approved 65 percent level. I move for its passage."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 359. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 359 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. Senate Bill 359, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 362, Representative Johnson. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 362, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations of the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

Senate Bill 362 is the appropriation for Fiscal Year '86

for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the University

of Illinois appropriated at the level of \$507,575,500. I'd

ask for its approval."

Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 362. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 362 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 362, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 363, Representative Bowman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 363, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense for the State Universities Civil Service System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for passage of Senate Bill 363. As it was introduced, it was \$823,000. The Senate reduced that by \$51,000. The House Committee restored \$32,000... 500 to fund the Board's own pensions at 65 percent of estimated payout. This is consistent with other pension policy in other Bills. I move for passage of 363."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate 363. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 363 pass?' A11 in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. Senate Bill 363, having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 364, Representative Richmond. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 364, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

the House. Senate Bill 363 (sic - 364) provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University in the amount of \$190,066,000. I move for the passage of this Bill."

- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 364. On that, is there any discussion? There the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 364 pass?' in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? open. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'ave'. none voting 'no'. Senate Bill 364. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby 450. Representative declared passed. Senate Bill 450, Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 450, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Public School Teachers Pension and Retirement Fund.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 450 appropriates \$3,379,300 from the General Revenue Fund of the Chicago Public School Teachers Pension and Retirement Fund. It is estimated by the Public School Teachers Pension and Retirement Fund of Chicago that 498 retired teachers receive benefits from this fund. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 450. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 450 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are !10 voting 'aye', none

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

voting 'no'. Senate Bill 450, having received the Constitutional Hajority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 454, Representative Barnes. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 454, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of Lieutenant Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Lady from Cook."

- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 454 appropriates approximately 1.5 million dollars to the Office of the Lieutenant Governor for the ordinary and contingent expenses and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 454. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 454 pass?' All in favor say 'aye'... All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "The total on this budget is \$1,590,000. Thank you."

 Speaker Braun: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 454, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 456, Representative Barnes.

 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 456, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Civil Service Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Braun: "Lady from Cook, on Senate Bill 456."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 456 is the Fiscal Year '86 appropriation for the Civil Service Commission. As amended, it appropriates \$415,300. I would ask for an

63rd Legislative Day
'ave' vote."

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Braun: "Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 456.

 On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 456 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 456, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 460, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 460, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission. Third Beading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook."

- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 460, as introduced, appropriates \$5,796,000 for the ordinary and contingency expenses of the Illinois Industrial Commission. I would ask for an 'aye' yote."
- Speaker Braun: "Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 460. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 460 pass?' A11 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 460, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 462, Representative Hastert. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 462, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Arts .Council. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."
 Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

House. Senate Bill 462 appropriates \$6,734,300 for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Illinois Arts Council. Be happy to answer any questions."

- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 462. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 462 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 462, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 465, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 465, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady from Cook."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 465, as introduced in the House, appropriates \$5,764,100 to the Office of the Governor for its Piscal '86 ordinary and contingent expenses. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 465. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 465 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', I voting 'no'. Senate Bill 465, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 466, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 466, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mines and Minerals. Third Reading of the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Bill."

- Speaker Braun: "Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 466 as introduced appropriates \$23,469,300 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mines and Minerals. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 466. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Representative Regan."
- Regan: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the House. Will the Sponsor leave for a question, please?"
- Speaker Braun: "She indicates she will."
- Regan: "Would you please tell me the percentage of increase from last year's budget to this budget and I would appreciate it if everyone that's reading the appropriation Bills would do the same thing? Is that a point of personal privilege?

 I'd just kind of like to have that information. Thank you."
- Barnes: "Be very happy to accommodate you, Representative Regan.

 There's a 13.2 percent increase from the Fiscal Year '85
 budget to the Fiscal Year '86 budget."
- Speaker Braun: "Are there further questions? There being none, the Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 466. On that question... All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 104 voting 'aye', 9 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 466, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 471, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 471, a Bill for an Act making certain reappropriations to the Department of Transportation.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Lady from Cook."

- Barnes: "Okay, thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 471 reappropriates \$1,994,727,961 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Transportation. As amended, it reapprops, which is a reduction, \$1,867,559,469."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 471. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 471 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. Senate Bill 471, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 474, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 474, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Pinancial Institutions. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Senate Bill 474, as introduced in the House, appropriated \$3,481,000 for the ordinary and contingency expenses of the Department of Financial Institutions. As amended, it appropriates \$3,501,600, which is an increase. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 474. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Regan."
- Regan: "Excuse me, Madam Speaker, but I asked the Sponsors of the various appropriation Bills to please give me the percentage of increase from last year's budget. I would appreciate that information."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Braun: "Lady indicates she will yield."

Barnes: "That particular budget was 7 percent, then I would have to really take some of these Bills out of the record and fill... figure out the percentages. What I was doing was giving you last year's budget figures and the increase or the decrease. I thought that would be sufficient, Representative Regan."

Speaker Braun: "Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 474.

All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. Senate Bill 7... Senate Bill 474, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 475, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 475, a Bill for an Act to provide for
the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Bureau of the
Budget and the Executive Office of the Governor. Third
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Lady from Cook."

Barnes: "Thank you, Nadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 475, as introduced in the House, appropriated \$2,959,800 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Bureau of the Budget. As amended, it appropriates \$3,022,200, which is a net increase of 3.8 percent. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Braun: "Lady has moved the passage of Senate Bill 475.

On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 475 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. Senate Bill 475, having

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 496, Representative Leverenz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 496, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the State Treasurer. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 496 is the appropriation for the Office of the State Treasurer. The total is \$481,807,000 which is a 9 percent increase over the previous year. I ask your 'aye' vote to pass the budget."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 496. On that, is there any discussion? There being the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 496 pass?' in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'aye', voting 'no'. Senate Bill 496, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 507, Representative Ronan. Representative Ronan. Is the Gentleman in the chamber, Representative Ronan? Out of Senate Bill 836... record. 863, Representative Churchill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 863, a Bill for an Act to amend certain appropriation Acts. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."

 Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This appropriation is an appropriation in the amount of \$98,800 which is to transfer some money to the Department of Human Rights because of their delayed move from their former location to their new location."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1995

- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 863. On that, is there any discussion? There being none. the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 863 pass?' favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 99 voting 'aye', 9 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 863. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1033, Representative Richmond. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1033, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Agriculture. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Richmond."

 Richmond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1033, in its original form appropriated \$750,000 to the Department of Agriculture to fund grants made pursuant to the Illinois Farm Legal Assistance Act which we passed in this House a week or so ago. However, the Senate Amendment #1 reduced it by \$313,275, so the appropriation is presently \$436,725. I ask for your 'aye' yote on this."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 1033. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr... Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for questions?"
- Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."
- Vinson: "Representative, is this the ordinary and contingent appropriation for the Department of Agriculture?"
- Richmond: "This... No, it is not. This is an appropriation added to their budget for the purpose of funding the legal aid Bill that we passed a week or so ago."

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

Vinson: "Where... What's the appropriation from, what fund?"

Richmond: "It's...as I understand, it's from General Fund. Yeah,
General Fund."

Vinson: "General Revenue Fund?"

Richmond: "Yes, it is."

Vinson: "Now, is that supported by the state sales tax and income ${\sf tax}$?"

Richmond: "Yes, it is to a certain extent."

Vinson: "Is that the same fund that we pay for education from?"

Richmond: "It is and also it's... it's also supported by the tax

on parimutuel betting too."

Vinson: "To the Bill, Madam Speaker. I rise in opposition to this appropriation. I rise in opposition to the appropriation for three reasons. Number one, every cent we take out of the General Revenue Fund for some other purpose a dollar or a cent that we deny to education. every farmer that I have talked to, in the course of discussing what the state ought to be doing, says the state ought to live up to its obligation to fund education so that we can provide some property tax relief. This Bill. the extent that it funds this purpose, denies money for education and as a result raises property taxes. Number two, what this Bill does is to create a program for farmers that was originally designed to facilitate and to help poor people. Now, what happened when we found that program... when we observed the operation of that program for poor it ended up not helping poor people. It ended up helping lawyers, employing lawyers for the purpose of lobbying for the purpose of social action, not for the purpose of helping poor people and this program won't help farmers any more than that program helped poor people. this program is going to do is employ a bunch of expensive lawyers for the purpose of lobbying on legislation

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

here. It's a bad idea. Now, Mr. Speaker, the... the third thing that this Bill does that's bad is that Bill tries to turn farmers into an underclass. It tries to play on the difficult economic times that farmers are having and to try to turn them into a revolutionary force, underclass, rather than to deal with their economic This is the kind of thing Caesar Chavez problems. It's the kind of thing that Jesse Jackson might but it isn't the kind of support. thing that any self-respecting farmer with dignity would support. Farmers are rugged individualists and they don't like welfare programs. As a matter of fact, the biggest problem farmers in this state have right now is that we are throwing down a welfare drain rather than putting it into education and properly funding education. That's what's wrong economy in this state. That's what's wrong with the budget of this state. All you are doing is making common cause with a bunch of Jesse Jacksons and Caesar Chavezes and you ought to be ashamed of yourself. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the previous speaker has his figures a little bit backwards and perhaps he's confused because to do what he suggests, to fully fund education would probably cost about \$400,000,000. This is a \$400,000 appropriation. Let's get on with it and pass this Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill that actually will assist those farmers who will be going out of business tragically

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

enough. This money really, if it is to be approved, ought to be redirected and spent in the area of merchandising or selling agricultural products in this country and overseas so that those farmers who are in a position to continue to farm will be able to do that. It's a tragedy today that we are attempting to spend money on a program for a person that won't be in farming next year. I think this Bill ought to be defeated and the same amount of money ought to go into programs to expand international trade so that the costs of corn and soybean will be increased so that those farmers that are still operating will be able to farm for another year and another year. Thank you."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Well, for all the reasons the authorization Bill was the appropriation Bill was bad. These legal the experience the Federal Government has had service... with this legal service corporation has been disastrous. They have turned into political zealots paid taxpayer to attack the taxpayer. There is no reason ŧο think this is going to turn out any differently and the authorization Bill was bad and the appropriation Bill is worse. And I think we should vote 'no'."

Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jersey,

Representative Richmond, to close."

Richmond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Assistant Majority Leader... or Minority Leader listed some people who would support this type of Bill. He left out the Attorney General of the State of Illinois who supports it and wants this Bill very badly. He left out the National Association of Attorney Generals throughout this nation who, in March, passed a Resolution urging all of our states to take action of this type and so

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- I ask for your favorable action on this Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate
 Bill 1033. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

 The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

 voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The

 Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Nadam Speaker, I was going to seek a verification on this, but if I sought a verification, I'd knock some people off this Roll Call and I'd just as soon have them on there."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative... Gentleman from Cook,

 Representative Bullock."
- Bullock: "Well, since Reverend Jackson is not here to defend himself, Madam Speaker, I just want to tell Representative Vinson that Reverend Jackson represents the rainbow and this is for the share of the rainbow for the farmers."
- Speaker Braun: "Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 68 voting 'aye', 45 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 1033, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to Senate Bill 507, Representative Ronan. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 507, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Illinois Development Finance Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Roman."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Very simple concept. We appropriate \$25,000,000 to the Illinois Development Pinance Authority for use in it infrastructure loan program. As we are concerned about solving the infrastructure needs in the State of Illinois, this will go a long way in setting up a state mechanism to help do that.

 I move for the passage of Senate Bill 507."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- Bill 507. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor might yield for a question?"
- Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will. No, he indicates he will not."
- Vinson: "What? Oh, my. Oh, my. Oh, my that the Gentleman would be afraid to answer a question. I can understand why with this Bill. I don't even think it's necessary to ask the question."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage...

 Representative Ronan, to close."
- Ronan: "I look for 118 green votes."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 507. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 64 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no'. Senate Bill 507, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1112, Representative Leverenz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1112, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the State Attorney's Appellate Service Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 1112 is the appropriation for the State's Attorney's Appellate Service Commission. The total amount is \$2,827,400, a 12 percent increase over the previous year. I'd ask for your 'aye' vote to pass the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill III2. On that, is there any discussion? There being

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1112 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. Senate Bill 1112, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1410, Representative Turner. Representative Young. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1410, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Illinois Job Development and Venture Investment Fund. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Young."

Young: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1410 appropriates \$3,000,000 to the Illinois Job Development and Venture Investment Fund for the operation of the Illinois Job Development Corps. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1410. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Mr. Young, am I looking at this properly? It says it appropriate five dollars, five dollars to the Illinois Job Development and Venture Investment Fund for the operation of the Illinois Job Development Corps. Could you give me an explanation?"

Young: "That's the \$3,000,000 appropriation, Representative. I don't know what you're looking at."

DeJaegher: "It must be a misprint then. Thank you."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate Bill 1410. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 71 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no'. Senate

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27. 1985
- Bill 1410, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1411, Mr. Young. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1411, a Bill for an Act to appropriate \$5,000,000 to the Department of Central Management Services for the minority business bonding.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Young.

 Representative Turner."
- Turner: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Senate Bill 1411 appropriates \$100,000 to assist small businesses under the Small Business Bonding Assistance Act. The agency receiving the appropriation is the Small Business Bonding Assistance Board which was created under Senate Bill 1408. I ask for your favorable vote on this fine piece of legislation."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of Senate

 Bill 1410 (sic 1411). On that, is there any discussion?

 The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeWitt,

 Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, inquiry of the Parliamentarian or the Chair, whichever you prefer."
- Speaker Braun: "Proceed."
- Vinson: "My understanding is that the title of this Bill in no way reflects what's in the Bill, and I would ask if it would be possible under any circumstances for the presiding officer to certify such a Bill because the whole Bill is in an improper form."
- Speaker Braun: "Parliamentarian will review the Bill.

 Representative Vinson, we are reviewing the Bill and I

 think you... it would be better if you were to restate your
 question again."
- Vinson: "Well, Madam Speaker, I believe if you'll look at the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Bill and if you look at the title of the Bill, the two things are totally unrelated. And I think that the problem is that you might have very difficult time certifying the Bill. And I just wonder if you might not want to take the thing back to Second Reading and clean up the title."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Young. Representative Young...
Turner."

Turner: "Madam Chair, can we have leave to amend the Bill on its face?"

Speaker Braun: "It may not be necessary, Representative. Just hold your thought."

Turner: "Okay. We'll wait for the Parliamentarian's rule."

Speaker Braun: "The Parliamentarian has ruled that the Bill does not violate the single subject rule of the constitution and it deals... and as amended, is a Bill having to do with appropriations and; therefore, is in proper form for passage. Yes, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Well, Madam Speaker, I want to explicitly state for the record what the problems with the Bill are in terms of The title of the Bill is an Act to appropriate procedure. \$5,000,000 to the Department of Central Management Services for minority business bonding. In fact, the Bill does... appropriates only \$100,000 to the Small Business Bonding Assistance Board. It appropriates it to а totally different agency than the title says it does and it appropriates a different amount. Now, you're going to rule that that is in order?"

Speaker Braun: "Mr. Vinson, I have reiterated the ruling of the Parliamentarian, which was your question as a parliamentary inquiry. If you are addressing the Bill, that's one thing, but I'm... In regards to your parliamentary inquiry, it has been responded to."

Vinson: "Don't you think you've got a conflict of interest in

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- making that kind of a ruling when you are the Sponsor of the Bill?"
- Speaker Braun: "The Parliamentarian has made the ruling, Mr.

 Vinson. I've only reiterated it to you."
- Vinson: "Well, Madam Speaker, how... when did we get to the point
 when people that we employ determine what we do? I mean,
 at what point in this process did we get to that point?"
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Vinson, are you addressing the Bill?"
- Vinson: "No, I'm asking you a question, a parliamentary question.

 I mean, how in the world have we gotten to the point where people we hire..."
- Speaker Braun: "No, Sir, you made a parliamentary inquiry of the Parliamentarian. On behalf of the Parliamentarian, I've responded to your inquiry and answered it as he has answered it."
- Vinson: "Almost, Madam Speaker, almost every other time the words
 on behalf' have been used, it's been the Parliamentarian
 addressing the House and saying, 'On behalf of the Speaker,
 I'm making the ruling.' Now, you're saying it Speaker,
 that on behalf of the Parliamentarian, you're making a
 ruling?"
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, I made the point of order. You have made a ruling and his... he can only now appeal that ruling. All of what he is saying now is out of order. I might... I thought you might lift your Parliamentarian so you can show him who he is. Why don't you lift him up so Sam can show... that. But you've made your ruling."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Breslin in the Chair."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Parliamentary inquiry, Madam Speaker."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, we have before us a Bill which has a title, an Act to appropriate \$5,000,000 to the Department of Central Management Services for minority business bonding. All that Bill does... the only thing in that Bill is an appropriation of \$100,000, not \$5,000,000, \$100,000 to a different agency. Can you certify that Bill? And I would point out that the Sponsor has even offered to amend it on its face to correct the problems."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Turner, for what reason do you rise?"

Turner: "Yes, thank you, Madam Chairman. Taking leave from the Gentleman on the other side, Representative Vinson, I'm going to pull out my book on rules and take another look at this decision of his and because I think that we, as Legislators, should run this Assembly. And for the moment, I'd ask you take this Bill out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, the next Order of Business is Senate Bills Second Reading appears on page four on your Calendar. The first Bill is Senate Bill 132, Representative Hicks. Mautino. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 132, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Development Finance Authority. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Mautino and Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "I'd like to withdraw ... "

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Mautino and Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 is the appropriations for the Department of Conservation. It provides for the grants to the Illinois Humanities Council, \$500,000 in addition to the Forestry Development Commission of 35,000. The operating budget of the Department... This Amendment is the OCE, the grant program, the provisions in the Department of Conservation that got kind of hung up in the House Bill. The total of the Amendment is \$116,325 as it left the Appropriations I Committee with all those Amendments on it, and I ask for adoption..."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 132. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Piel: "Never mind, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments? Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, insofar as Amendment #2 amended the title

of the Bill, I would now under the rules exercise my demand

that the Bill be returned to Second Reading, First
Legislative Day."

Speaker Breslin: "First of all, Mr. Clerk, are there any further

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
 Mautino."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson. Representative Vinson, the Chair rules that your Motion is not timely, since further Amendments may change that... change the final decision on whether or not the title has been amended. That has been a previous ruling of this Chair. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Well, Madam Speaker, back when we had House Bills in the House, I had a House Bill in the House onto which I amended lights for Wrigley Field; and, at the time I did that, Representative Cullerton stood and asked, I Amendment #2 on that Bill to do that. Representative Cullerton stood and asked that that Bill be returned to Second Reading, First Legislative Day. I stood and made the point that Amendment #3 was pending on that Bill that on Amendment #3, the title was changed back to its original form and that you had to hear Amendment #3 before you could make a ruling on that. And at that time, the Chair ruled against me and for Mr. Cullerton. The Chair ruled that his Motion was timely. Now today, when the same situation is there and I'm making the point of order. you're ruling against me and for the other side. Don't you think that's a little bit arbitrary?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, earlier this week, the same question arose and the Chair articulated the orderly and appropriate reading of the rules so that we can conduct our business here, and this ruling is perfectly consistent with that ruling. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, it is perfectly consistent with that ruling, but it's because that ruling was raised on that

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

side. But it's not consistent with the rulings we get on this side. Now, why can't we have rulings that apply the same to both Democrats and Republicans? Would you answer me that question?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative, the Chair tries very hard to be evenhanded and... in the interpretation of the rules of this House as I'm sure you will agree. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the issue. days ago, we had this same situation where an Amendment changed a title., I moved, at that time, return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. You ruled that that Motion was timely and in order and granted it and; thereby, refusing acknowledge or to consider further Amendments to that Bill - the precise situation. Now, a second Bill arose same day and you raised the issue of whether it was not more convenient to consider this issue after all Amendments had been adopted and if a title change had occurred in of that you would grant the Motion for the convenience of the parties at that time. Now, I stand make my point clearly. You ruled, at that time, that it was timely to make the Motion and that it was effective relative to the Bill, and that was just two days ago and that was a ruling made relative to my Motion which granted."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative, there was no ruling made at that time that is my recollection. We have... The Chair has ruled that this Motion is not timely at this time. You are certainly free to make the same Motion at a later time when it is timely..."

McCracken: "Well, if ... "

Speaker Breslin: "... When we have finished and are ready to

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

advance the Bill from the Order of Second Reading to Third Reading. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I know that in your mind and in your heart of hearts you think that you want to be evenhanded.

 I concede you that. In order to determine whether or not the Chair is being operated in an evenhanded manuer, would you be willing to let us get a dub of a tape of the proceedings on the Bill I referred to, the Brigley Field Bill, and play that to the chamber and then play the... what... the tape of how you just ruled on this matter to the chamber and let the chamber decide if you're being evenhanded? Would you be willing to do that?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, you are always able to get a copy of that tape. It is not in order that it be played for this Assembly, but you can certainly take it to everyone's office and play it if you would like just like Representative Koehler took her movies to the office and played them. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"
- McCracken: "Madam Speaker, if the implication of the Chair's ruling this morning is that if later Amendments change the title back to that of the original Bill thereby making this Motion out of order, then, in fact, in effect, you will have obviated the rule which allows us to change back to Second Day... or Second Reading, First Legislative Day on demand. All an Amendment..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative..."

McCracken: "Well, then, let me ask you. Parliamentary inquiry.

Is your holding going to be at the close of all Amendments if, in fact, adopted Amendments change the title back to the original title? Is it going to be that the Motion is out of order because of that reason or are you just asking

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

us to wait until all the Amendments are adopted and if any of them changes the title at any time, then our Motion will be in order? What is the scope of the ruling?"

Speaker Breslin: "Your Motion will only be in order at such time as the Bill, when it is ready to advance from Second to Third, has had an Amendment placed on it, which... and adopted and it is in final effect, which changes the title of the Act. The rule is clear that the Motion is in order when it is time to advance the Bill... 'advance the Bill' is what the rule says, and we're not here advancing the Bill. On that question, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I don't want to slow down the operations of the House any further on this point. I'd just like to, in closing on this point because I know that you're going to rule against us, I'd like to ask you to do one thing for me, if you would. I'd like to ask you to appear in a press conference in the press room and let me play the dubs of both things so you can explain to the press after they hear the dubs of both rulings exactly how they were evenhanded. Would you do that with me?"

Speaker Breslin: "I'd love to appear in public with you, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Would you do it?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, are you on Amendment #3?"

Mautino: "Yes, and I stand in support of your position since we didn't change it, we added to it. Amendment #3 to Senate Bill !32 adds the sum of 1.2 million dollars to the Development Pinance Authority for its ordinary and contingent expenses, and I move for the adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 132. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Mautino."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"
- McCracken: "Just for purposes of preserving the record. The addition of that Amendment changes the title within the meaning of the rule and we renew our Motion for purposes of the record."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, on Amendment #4."
- Mautino: "Amendment #4 is a cleanup Amendment for four additional projects within the Department of Conservation. I move for adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 132. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I would ask to return this Bill to Second

 Reading, First Legislative Day because the... as amended,
 the title of the Bill has changed."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, for what reason do you rise? Your Notion is in order at this time.

 Representative Mautino, for what reason do you rise?"
- Mautino: "I now move... I now move to suspend the appropriate

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

rule so that this legislation can be heard at this time."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves... Representative Mautino has made a substitute Motion that Rule 36(d) be suspended and that the Bill be advanced to Third Reading and be heard immediately. On that Motion, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Initially point of order. It's not a Motion pending,
it's a demand. I think a substitute Motion is not
applicable under those circumstances. May I have a
ruling?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, your point is well taken. However, the Gentleman is within his rights suspend Rule 36(d) and advance this Bill to the Order of Third Reading for an immediate hearing on the Bill. case. if that Motion carries, your demand is not Again, for immediate appropriate. consideration. of course, it will require 71 votes. However, to suspend Rule 36(d), it only requires 60 votes. So, there will have to be two votes taken on the question. Okay? The first question is, 'Shall we... 'Shall this House suspend Rule 36(d) so that this Bill can be advanced to the Order of Third Reading? • All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 60... there are 70 voting 'aye', 44 voting 'no', and none voting *present*. Representative Mautino now asks leave for immediate... Okay, the Bill moves to Third Reading, Mr. Clerk. And now on Third... Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, on Third."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 132, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Development Finance Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino now asks... now moves

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

that... for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 132 on Third Reading as amended. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This Motion requires 71 votes for adoption. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson, one minute to explain your vote."

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, just to request a verification should this appear to get the requisite number of votes."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative
Mautino, one minute to explain your vote."

Mautino: "I find it very difficult to understand our colleagues on the other side of the aisle. For approximately there's been opposition by their party to provide weeks. the funding for the Department of Conservation. responsible position, I provided the funding of that Department within this legislation. I see no reason to not adopt and pass this departmental budget. Ιf there is individual who happens to be the Minority Leader of the other side of the aisle that has a problem, he should take that up with the Department. I don't think that the employees, the projects, the programs and the OCE budget of a department should be held hostage, and I don't understand why everyone is voting red. You're going to vote eventually, whether it's a Bill coming back from the Senate Bill in the House, and I think it's the responsibility of the Membership of this House to fund government. In that regard, the Department of Conservation deserves to be funded without any games being played. We've taken a responsible position. I ask for 71 votes, or that somebody who is voting red explain to me why they're opposed to funding a department of government under their administration?"

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

there are 67 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the Notion fails. This Bill will remain on the Order of Third Reading. Senate Bill 134, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 134, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the... to the Board of Higher Education.

This Bill has been read a second time previously.

Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative
Giglio and Didrickson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio or Representative Didrickson. Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #9 is
Representative Giglio's and mine. It goes to the Board of
Governors... Excuse me, I'll read it exactly - the sum of
\$362,000. There is so much there... this may be necessary.
It's appropriated from the General Revenue Fund to the
Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities.
This is for Governor's State University to provide a
communication infrastructure in developing a campus
teleport and communications center."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 134. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Brookins."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brookins. Representative Brookins."
- Brookins: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm asking to amend House
 Bill 134 to include \$508,000 to the Board of Governor's
 fund for the purpose of training and retraining public and
 private sector personnel at this school for program that is
 already in existence. Wait. Wait. Hold it. Hold it.
 Which one we're on? Hold on. One minute. I'm sorry.
 Madam Speaker, we withdraw this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #9. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative
 Weaver and Woodyard."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd move to withdraw this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #10. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, by Representative Matijevich."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I
 want to be fair and honest with all the Members. I don't
 believe this Amendment has been distributed. I'd ask leave
 to hear it without being distributed. I didn't have a
 chance to walk over and talk to Representative Vinson yet.
 We passed a House Joint Resolution so that the University
 of Illinois Circle Campus conduct an evaluation of the
 intensive probation system..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich, unfortunately, this

 Body cannot give leave to hear Amendments that have not
 been printed and distributed. That is a constitutional

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- requirement."
- Matijevich: "Alright. Alright, well, let's take it out..."
- Speaker Breslin: "We can take the Bill out of the record and get back to it."
- Matijevich: "Take it out of the record then."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Out of the record. Senate Bill 145, Representative Leverenz Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 145, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. This Bill has been read a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Ploor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Leverenz."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. Representative...

 Representative Leverenz needs assistance on Amendment #1."
- Leverenz: "I'm sorry for the delay. I was trying to get my book updated."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. The Amendment would eliminate the legislation's effective date in the Section. I move for the adoption to Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 145. On that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Leverenz now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 145

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- on Third Reading as amended. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 145, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "We are now in a posture of moving Senate Bill 145. It appropriate a dollar to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. The Amendment took out the effective date so that this Bill goes to a Conference Committee. I would now ask for your 'aye' vote to pass the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 145. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 145 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 167, Representative Leverenz Rea. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 167, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. This Bill has been read a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Leverenz."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #1 would eliminate the legislation's effective date. And I would

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 164 (sic - 167). And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Leverenz now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 167 as amended. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 167, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Bill now, Senate Bill 167, would appropriate \$9,600 to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to conduct a study of comparable economic development initiatives in other states. I'd ask for your 'aye' vote to pass Senate Bill 167."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 167. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 167 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. I'd like to take just a

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- moment for personal privilege."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. The Bill has not been declared passed yet. On this... on the question of Senate Bill 167, it having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A matter of personal privilege, please."
- Speaker Breslin: "State your matter."
- Weaver: "I would like to ask the Hembers of the Assembly to help
 me welcome some folks from back home. The ladies in the
 gallery are the Republican women from the Charleston area,
 and I would like the chamber to help me welcome them to
 Springfield."
- Speaker Breslin: "Senate Bill 168, Representative Leverenz.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 168, a Bill for an Act to amend certain appropriation Act. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz, out of the record.

 Senate Bill 173, Representative Barnes Hastert. Clerk...

 Out of the record. Senate Bill 174, Bowman Stern.

 Senate Bill 174. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 174, a Bill for an Act to make appropriations to the Department of Children and Family Services. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Read the Bill on Third, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 174, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Madam Speaker, I'd like to take this Bill out of the record for just a moment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, we understand that Representative Matijevich's Amendment has been printed and distributed on Senate Bill 134. So, with leave of the Body, we'll go back to Senate Bill 134. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 134, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Higher Education. Amendment #11 is offered by Representative Matijevich."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 Amendment #11 appropriates the sum of \$125,000 to the
 University of Illinois to conduct a statewide evaluation of
 the Intensive Probation Supervision Program. I move the
 adoption of Amendment #11."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 134. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Matijevich now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 135 (sic 134) as amended on Third Reading. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 134, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Higher Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Kendall, Representative Hastert."

- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in addition to the Amendments just adopted in the last 10 minutes, House Bill 134 appropriates the amount \$3,541,300 for various studies to the University of Illinois, rehabilitation work at Lewis and Clark Community College, the probation challenge program and funds for that, and an incubator facility at the State Community College of East St. Louis. I'm sure this Bill may end up in a Conference Committee but to help me move it along, I would urge your passage of House Bill... Senate Bill 134." Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 134. And on that question, the Gentleman from
- Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker), Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think... I would just want to reiterate one thing that the Representative just said. This was a Bill that started out for appropriation of \$12,300 and at this point with Amendments on it, it's over... almost three and a half million dollars. And, you know, these are dollars that will either go on, and something else will come off, or dollars that we have to find revenue sources for. So, I just want the Members to be aware of that when they vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 134 pass?'
 All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 100... there are 98 voting 'aye', 19 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 175, Representative Bowman Younge. Clerk, read the Bill."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 175, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Aid. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Bowman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman, on Amendment #1."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment reduces the appropriation on this line to \$1 for the purpose of putting the Bill in a Conference Committee in case we need additional vehicles at the end of the Session. I move adoption of Amendment 1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 175. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Bowman now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 175 as amended. Is there leave? The Gentleman has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 175, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bouman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment is the Bill. We've just discussed that. I now move for passage of Senate Bill 175 as amended."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentlemen has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 175. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 308, Representative Barnes — Daniels. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Excuse me. Out of the record. Senate Bill 342, Representative Leverenz. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 342, a Bill for an Act to provide for
the ordinary and contingent distributive expenses of the
State Comptroller. This Bill has been read a second time
previously. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

Barnes and Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Amendment recomputes the turnover in hiring lag in the Administration Statewide Piscal Operations Division. Adds funding to various line items for expenses associated with the passage of House Bill 805. Adds funding for the additional auditing expenses that the Comptroller will incur with the passage of House Bill 1912. The total restoration is \$225,000."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. And on that question, Representative Leverenz."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Leverenz: "What Bill are we on?"

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, this is Amendment #3. We're on Senate Bill 342."

Leverenz: "Well..."

Speaker Breslin: "You are the Sponsor. We're on Amendment #3."

Leverenz: "Yes, I was very... a little bit confused with Representative Barnes talking about Amendment #2 on Senate Bill..."

Barnes: "I said Amendment #3."

Leverenz: "I now move to table House Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate
Bill 342."

Speaker Breslin: "Okav. There is substitute Motion to Representative Barnes' Motion. That Motion is to table #1 and 2. On that question, is there Committee Amendment any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House table Committee Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate 342?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' Amendment and Committee # j and 2 are Representative Barnes, on Amendment #3."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and thank you, Representative Leverenz. Amendment #3 recomputes the turnover in hiring lag in the Administration Statewide Fiscal Operations Division. Adds funding to various line items for expenses associated with the passage of House Bill 805. Adds funding for the additional auditing expenses that the Comptroller will incur with the passage of House Bill 1912. Total restoration is \$225,000, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 342. On that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw. Representative Shaw.
Withdraw Amendment #4. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. Withdraw Amendment #5. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #6 adds \$35,000 to personal service."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 342. And on that question, the Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Did you say it'll add up to personal service like a
job?"

Shaw: "Yes."

Hastert: "Where is that job?"

Shaw: "It would be in the electric data processing unit."

Hastert: "Is that in Springfield or Chicago?"

Shaw: "Yes."

Hasterr: "Yes, what?"

Shaw: "It's in Springfield."

Hastert: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 to Senate
Bill 342 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all
those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Leverenz now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 342 as amended. Does the Gentleman have leave? He has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 342, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent distributive expenses of the State Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. With the Amendment we just adopted, the ordinary and contingent expense budget for the Office of the Comptroller is \$29,567,000 plus \$35,000. I would ask for your 'aye' vote to pass this budget. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 342. And on that question, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Braslin: "He will."

Vinson: "Representative, is there a new automobile in this appropriation?"

Leverenz: "Is there a new automobile in this appropriation?"

Vinson: "Yes, is there money to... for the Comptroller to purchase a new automobile?"

Leverenz: "Yes."

Vinson: "And how much is in there for that purpose?"

Leverenz: "About \$8,000... \$8,500."

Vinson: "I thought it was..."

Leverenz: "What... what he say, Joe? \$4,000?"

Vinson: "I think it's about \$12,000. Is that correct?"

Leverenz: "Oh, I see. It's the one with the AM/FM radio. That's

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

right. About, maybe, \$12,000."

Vinson: "And how many miles are on the existing car?"

Leverenz: "I don't know. 80,000."

Vinson: "Would 61,000 sound about right?"

Leverenz: "No, I don't think so, but if it is... Do you want the car that we have out there? If that is the case, I will take this back to Second and put on an Amendment to delete the automobile at \$12,000 so you can ride in the old one."

Vinson: "You're most kind. I..."

- Leverenz: "But, I would rather have you ride around in a nice shiny new one, deep dark blue. Those are the best colors like the Governor."
- Vinson: "I got no problem with the current Comptroller having a new car if that's what he wants. And I'd join you and urge in passage of the Bill. If he wants a new car, he ought to have it."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 342 pass?'

 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', 6 voting 'no', and I voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 360, Representative Countryman. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 360, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Regents. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of

the House. This Bill appropriates \$182,900..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Countryman. It has

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

not been read a third time. Let's go back and let the Clerk read it a third time. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 360, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Regents. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair.
Was there an Amendment filed?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk is searching. The Clerk's Office does not have an Amendment, Sir."

Countryman: "Okay. The Bill has been read a third time?"

Speaker Breslin: "It has."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is the ordinary and contingent expense budget for the Board of Regents. It appropriates \$182,901,200 to the Board of Regents for FY '86. It represents an 8.4% increase. I'd be happy to answer any questions. I move for its passage."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 360. And on that question, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Tate: "Amendment 1... Has Amendment 1 been adopted?"

Countryman: "I... that's why I just made an inquiry of the Chair.

The indication I have is Amendment #1 was never filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tate, the Clerk's Office does not have any Amendments filed."

Tate: "We have some confusion on this side of the aisle, then.

We have... We're wondering whether in the contractual service lines of the three regency universities, whether there was a decrease in the Chancellor's home. Can you answer that?"

Countryman: "No, there was not."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Tate: "There was not a decrease, then."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 360 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 103 voting 'aye', 10 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 361, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 361, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Withdraw."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Withdraw."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Read the Bill on Third, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 361, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 134 as it came to the House appropriates \$241,903,600 to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. The Senate reduced the Bill as introduced by \$2,184,800 and the House has kept that amount intact. I cannot bring this before you without commending the present head of the Illinois State ... Executive Director of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. As some of you who've been around here a long time ago, many of us Legislators very often criticized the Scholarship Commission in the past. It was sort of an arrogant group and it didn't respond to some legitimate concerns that we had. Under Larry Matejka, I've always mispronounced his but under name, Larry's leadership, it has been a Commission that I think we all now can feel very comfortable with the work they're doing. Also I might say that at the same time that Larry came on board, Sue Leonis was very helpful with many of us in our concerns and now Kathy Egizi does the same thing. applaud this Commission for its work and ask for your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 361. And on that question, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Matijevich: "Proceed, Mike."

Tate: "John, I've got two questions. I'm a little confused on what we did yesterday with the technical schools. Does this money now... will this money qualify for the technical schools and in addition to that, how about nonprofit private schools... will this money... or more profit private schools?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Matijevich: "No, that Bill died yesterday, as you know."

Tate: "Okay. How about poor private... profit private schools?" Matijevich: "Yes, for private colleges, but not for profit.

It... In other words, that Bill died."

Tate: "Okay."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 361 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 387. Out of the record. Senate Bill 403, Representative Leverenz. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 403, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. This been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Barnes - Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment 2 appropriates the total of \$3,745,596,000.81 for claims approved by the Attorney General and certified by the Judges of the Court of Claims."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 403. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Ropp, amends Senate Bill 403."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Madam Speaker, I would like to withdraw Amendment 3."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #3. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Ropp."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. This is an Amendment that would provide monies to McLean County to the tune of \$99,000 and to the counties of Livingston County for \$27,000. It picks up the remaining costs for children, juveniles that have been awarded to the county at the same rate of charge that the state pays their students through the Department of Child and Family Services, and I welcome your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 403. And on that question, the Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Nastert."

Hastert: "Yes, Representative Ropp, is this the same Amendment or same Bill? I believe it was a Bill back then that we defeated in this chamber a couple of weeks ago."

Ropp: "That appropriation then was to be given to the entire Department of Child and Family Services for this coming fiscal year's similar funds to the tune of two and a half million dollars which we did not support. This is to pay past services that are due these two counties that I have so indicated."

Hastert: "So, this just covers two counties out of the 102 counties in the state. Right?"

Ropp: "This covers those two counties who are interested in being

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

in compliance with state law."

Hastert: "Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has completed his discussion.

 The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 403 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have.

 The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Rea Leverenz, amends Senate
 Bill 403."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea or Leverenz. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #5 would appropriate \$609 to pay a Bill at the Southern Illinois Clinic for a client that was being handled by the Department of Rehabilitation Services. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #5."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 403. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6. Churchill - et al."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Churchill."

- Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #6 is the same thing as Representative Ropp's Amendment, except this covers DeKalb County, DeWitt County and Lake County."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 403. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All those in favor say

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #7 would take care of further debts in other counties. Woodford County, \$148,000, Stevenson County, \$11,900, Tazewell County, forty-nine thousand - nine and Kane County for ninety-one thousand - seven, so Representative Hastert can support this fine Amendment. I move for the adoption of the Amendment #7."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 403. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. A11 Members are reminded that they are not to use other names Members' i n debate. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments?"

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Read the Bill on Third. Excuse
me, Representative Leverenz asks leave to have immediate
consideration of this Bill as amended on Third Reading.
The Gentleman has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 403, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "For the Amendments that we have adopted here now, this is the total award Bill for the Court of Claims. I move for the passage of the Bill and ask for your 'aye' vote."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

"The Gentlemen has moved Speaker Breslin: for the passage of 403. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, Senate Bill the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 403 pass?' A11 vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. favor Voting This is final action. Have all voted wish? who will take the record. The Clerk On this question, there 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting This Bill, having received the Constitutional 'present'. Majority, is hereby... Representative Davis wishes to vote There are, therefore, 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. and none voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have a very special introduction that will be made bу Representative Representative Rea."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. We do have Rea: very honored quest here with us today. In fact, 1985 marks 50 years of service as Mayor of Colp. And the qentleman that I'm going to introduce was first elected in 1932 as City Treasurer and has since been elected as in 1935 and recently reelected. There were many noteworthy achievements during this 50 years. And, of course, many of those were emplified in terms of the Resolution that we passed here a few days ago, and he represents the finest in civic and moral leadership through the years of service. been a devoted person with much integrity, ability and has provided much tireless service to his fellow and he continues to do that. And all indications are that this is a world's record because the only one that we know. only mayor that we know of that have served for any great length of time to that end was 41 years in New York Today I am happy to present and his lovely wife is State. here, Lola, and by the way, this marks her 50 th

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

anniversary, 1985, also. So, it's really a double occasion and I present to you, Mayor Frank 'Caliper' of Colp."

Mayor 'Caliper': "It's indeed pleasure to have mУ Representative to pass a Resolution in my behalf. I want to take the opportunity to thank the General Assembly for this action and I'll cherish it the rest of my life. 75, so maybe I can hold on, and I've just recently elected another four year term. So, with God's help and with the wishes of everybody that has contribute things to me and my wife - has meant so much to my family. I want to thank you all and God bless you."

Rea: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond, for what reason do you rise? Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just wanted add my... as a neighbor in the next door district and a dear friend of Frank 'Caliper's'. I wanted to add my congratulations and to point out that perseverance really pays off because Frank has been Mayor for 50 years and that in itself is a tremendous accomplishment, but he's got his pay scale up now to \$15 a month. So, I think we should congratulate him on that basis."

Speaker Breslin: "Senate Bill 429, Representative Parcells.

Clerk read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 428, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Has been read a second time previously.

Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee?"

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Olson, amends Senate Bill 428."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson."

Olson: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #3. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Olson, amends Senate Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 requests that the sum of \$40,000 be appropriated to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to send the Oregon High School Chorus Group to an international festival in Vienna in July of 1996. They're only the second Illinois invitation of the fourteen year history of this international convention and in view of the good results that the Chicago Symphony Orchestra performed for this state in their recent European tour, I would move for adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 428. Does anyone stand in opposition? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Parcells now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 428 as amended on Third Reading. The Lady has leave. Read the Bill, Nr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 428, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This appropriation will go

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

to DCCA for the tourism promotion fund to fund various bands, choirs and pom-pom squads to participate in parades and tours throughout the world, and I would ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 428 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 105 voting 'aye', 11 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 449. Representative Madigan - Leverenz. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 449, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Prairie State 2000 Fund. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Leverenz, amends..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 would be a frugal move for everyone to support. It reduces by \$400,000 - now the appropriation in the Bill leaving \$100,000 from General Revenue for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Prairie State 2000 Fund. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 449. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Leverenz now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 449 as adopted. The Gentleman has leave. Proceed."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 449, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Prairie State 2000 Fund. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. The... Senate Bill 449 contains \$100,000 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Prairie State 2000 Fund. I ask for your 'aye' vote to pass the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 449. On the question, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Tate: "What's the Prairie State 2000 Fund?"

Leverenz: "Pardon me?"

Tate: "What is it?"

- Leverenz: "It's a job training organization that we set up a couple of years ago. This is the approp... this is the appropriation to operate the authority of \$100,000."
- Tate: "What's the Prairie State 2000 Fund? I didn't hear that.

 I'm sorry, Ted."
- Leverenz: "The Fund is a name to operate a jobs training program which I believe you voted for last year and if you have a further question, I will have a Page bring you over a cigar."

Tate: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question... the question is, 'Shall Senate
Bill 449 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those
opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98 voting 'aye', 18 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 451, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill. Excuse me, Representative Barnes, for what reason do you rise?"

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, it is my understanding that there have been some Amendments filed and they haven't been distributed yet. Can we come back to this Bill?"

Speaker Breslin: "Surely."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 452,

Representative Barnes - Daniels. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 452, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capital Development Board. This has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Barnes, amends Senate Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #3 restores a total of \$21,100 for the agency's equipment line. In Committee, \$24,900 was cut in equipment due to an adequate justification of the equipment request. The agency has since provided further detail, thereby justifying the partial restoration. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 452. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The Lady now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 452 as amended. The Lady has leave. Proceed, Representative. Excuse me, read..."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 452, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate Bill 452 as amended appropriates \$5,113,400 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capital Development Board and that is an 8.4% increase. I would The Lady has moved for the ask for an 'aye' vote. of Senate Bill 452. Is there any discussion? none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 452 pass?' All favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. in Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 453. Representative Barnes - Daniels. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 453, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, minor capital improvements, repairs and maintenance. It's been read a second time previously.

Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25 were adopted

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "A Motion to table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 453, filed by Representative Dwight Friedrich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Madan Speaker and Members of the House, due to misunderstanding, a... an item which came over from the Senate on this Bill was deleted in the House Committee.

I've talked to Representative Leverenz and Representative Barnes and the Leadership on both sides. Just by adopting this... passing this Motion, it would restore it to the form that came over from the Senate. The item has been approved by the Bureau of the Budget and the Community College Board. So, I move to table Amendment 84."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 453. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, Shall Amendment #4 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Committee Amendment #4 is tabled. Аге there any further Amendments or Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #26, Matijevich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #26 replaces the appropriation approved under Committee Amendment #15 and makes a technical change only. Committee Amendment 15 had appropriated \$333,000 for life safety improvements at the Deaf-Blind Center and School. So, this, in effect, is strictly a technical Amendment and I urge its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #26 to Senate Bill 453. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #26 be

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #27, Laurino, amends Senate Bill 453."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #27 simply is an appropriation of bond funds for the remodeling of a building at Northeastern Illinois University. It is to take a building that was... is currently their swimming pool... and make a feasibility study to see if we can turn it into classrooms for the college. I move for the adoption of Amendment #27."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #27 to Senate Bill 453. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #27 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #28, Van Duyne."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne, Representative Van Duyne,"

Van Duyne: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. This is the fund set up for the statewide port authority, and I want to emphasize to everyone here that this spends no money. All it does... and it doesn't raise any new taxes. It doesn't spend a dollar. All it does is earmark \$20,000,000 of the General Revenue Fund and allows the Governor's people to keep this money — draw interest on it and then forces the different port districts of the State of Illinois to make application for this which must be approved by... through

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

the regular process that we now go through by the Department of Transportation. And I move for adoption of Amendment #28."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption... Amendment 28 27. or Mr. Clerk? I think it's 28. The question is, 'Shall Amendment You're correct. # 28 adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #29. Piel."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Piel. Representative Piel. Representative Piel."

Piel: "My apologies, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. My form here had Amendment #34. I'm not sure... Could I ask the Clerk? Is it 29 or is it 34? I've got cheated."

Speaker Breslin: "It's 29."

Piel: "Okay, thank you. Madam Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #29 to the CDB Bill basically appropriates a \$105,000. It was for a job that was done on a school in Lansing, Illinois. It was roof work that was done. The roof WOEK is defective. I talked to the Capital They say if the Amendment Development Board. JOD on they'd have no problems. They are in the process of bringing court action against the contractor. Any that will be derived from that court action will be given back to the state and go to the General Revenue Pund. I'd ask for passage of Amendment #29."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentlemen has moved for the adoption of Amendment #29. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #29 to Senate Bill 453 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #30, Dwight Friedrich."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Friedrich, this is Amendment #30. Ladies and Gentlemen, please be prepared on your Amendments. We have to move quickly here. Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "I apologize, Madam Speaker, I'd like to withdraw that."
- Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #30. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #31, Shaw."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw."
- Shaw: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 Amendment #31 appropriates \$700,000 to CDB for a grant for
 the City of Chicago. For the following: for Golden Gate
 Park, a land acquisition; for Carver Park, construction of
 a field house. And I ask for the adoption of the
 Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #31 to Senate Bill 453. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #31 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #32, Williamson Capparelli et
 al."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williamson."
- Williamson: "Amendment #32 is asking for \$150,000 for an emergency station to be built in the Village of Rosemont.

 Currently, the Village of Rosemont services 75% of its emergency calls on state highways, and we are asking to

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

have \$150,000, which is only three-fourths of the total cost, to back up these calls that are taking place in state highways. I ask for a favorable adoption on Amendment #32."

moved for the Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has adoption of Amendment #32 to Senate 453. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #32 be adopted?! All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #33, DeJaegher."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. What this Amendment does, is, it adds an appropriation of \$10,000 of CDB funds for the removal of asbestos materials from the Hoffman Elementary School District operated by East Moline School District #37. I ask for the approval."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #33 to Senate Bill 453. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #33 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #34, Piel."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #34. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #35, Matijevich - Van Duyne."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich. Van Duyne.

Representative Matijevich or Van Duyne. Representative

Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

House. Amendment #35 to Senate Bill 453 appropriates \$8,850,000 to the Secretary of State for library construction grants for the following libraries: In Rockford, \$800,000; East St. Louis, \$3,000,000; Joliet, \$4,000,000; Jonesboro, \$1,000,000; \$50,000 in Maukegan. I move the adoption of Amendment #35."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #35 to Senate Bill 453. On that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #35 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #36, Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I believe that's the Curran - Leverenz Amendment. The Amendment #36 would provide for \$25,000,000 in CDB bond funds for planning and construction of the new state central library in Springfield. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #36 to Senate Bill 453. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #36 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #37, Dunn."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. Floor Amendment #37 is the funding for construction
of a new Richland Community College Campus in the amount of

63rd Legislative Day \$15,000,000."

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #37 to Senate Bill 453. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #37 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #38, Weaver."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

Amendment 38 simply appropriates the sum of \$275,300 from
the Capital Development Fund to the Capital Development
Board for the Board of Governors for planning of a single
facility to house the College of Business at Eastern
Illinois University. I ask for your favorable passage."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #39 to Senate Bill 453. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #38 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Barnes now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 453 as amended. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 453, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, minor capital improvements, repairs and maintenance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. As amended before we added

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

all the Floor Amendments, it was \$158,069,500 and I spoke to Representative Regan, and he understands it's very difficult to figure the percentages on this Bill at this moment. But if everybody was paying attention, they heard all the Amendments, and I would move for the adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Senate Bill 453. Does anyone stand in opposition? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 453 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are voting 'aye', 13 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. And this Bill having. received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies Gentlemen. it is appropriate at this time that the Chair remind the Members that it violates the rule of this House to introduce Members in the gallery. The rules provides that only the Speaker can introduce persons in conjunction with a special announcement. Now, the Chair does not wish to embarrass any Member. So. please restraint and explain to your visitors that it would violate the rules to introduce them. We appreciate circumstance you are in but please realize that we have only a few more... we have only a few more days to are moving rapidly. Senate Bill 455, Representative Clerk, read the Bill." Barnes.

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 455, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Insurance, has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Notions filed."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 455, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Insurance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 455, as amended, appropriates \$9,366,900 for the Department of Insurance, and it's a 20% increase."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 455. Does anyone stand in opposition? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 455 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 459, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 458, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency. This has been read a second time previously. Amendments #2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you rise?"

Leverenz: "The written Motion has just come up to the well."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "What is the Motion, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Motion to table... Motion to table Amendment #3 to

Senate Bill 458 filed by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz, on the Motion."

Leverenz: "It's technically incorrect. I would ask your support to table that Amendment for a further Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #3

to Senate Bill 458. Is there any discussion? Hearing
none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All
those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In
the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The
Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Zwick, amends Senate Bill 458."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the General Assembly. I would ask for your support on Amendment #8 which appropriates \$500,000 for a grant to the Village of East Dundee for sewer construction. There has been some problems in the water table that have been created there and it would be very helpful if you would support me on this."

"The Lady has moved for the Speaker Breslin: adoption of And on that question, is Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 458. there any discussion? Hearing none, the question 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #9, Flinn, amends Senate Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Madam Speaker, what Amendment #9 proposes to do is to take the \$13,000,000 that are appropriated to the Department of Environmental Protection Agency simply

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

because this is the money that's being appropriated for the inspection of maintenance of automobiles. There has been no bids asked for and no reason for the money to be needed at the time. And if they're well enough along, we could put this back in in the fall. And I would ask for adoption of Amendment #9."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentlemen has moved for the adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 458. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, McPike - Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. This is the replacement for the Amendment I tabled earlier with your cooperation and support.

Amendment #10 would provide two and a half million dollars for the water works of the new secondary sewage treatment plant, I'm sorry, in the City of East Alton. Also \$951,200 for the City of Roxana to complete the construction on the Wood River service project. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #10."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 458. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #11, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #11 is 3.6

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

million dollars for the City of Maywood to complete the reconstruction and to make their sewer system operable for flood control purposes. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #11."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 458. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #12, Rea - Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #12 would appropriate \$2,750,000 for the grant for the City of Herrin to complete the construction and make operable a new regional secondary sewage treatment plant. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of #12 Amendment. to Senate Bill 458. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. Amendment #12 be adopted? All those in favor say all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. ATP there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #13, Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino? Representative
Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #13, which is Mautino - Leverenz, would appropriate 1.5 million dollars for the City of Ladd for the secondary sewage treatment plant, \$200,000 for the City of Spring Valley to complete the separate sewage treatment plant for flood control systems, \$100,000 for a grant to the Village of Cherry for the improvements to the water

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

mains in several locations, \$200,000 for the grant to the City of Buda for the water system improvements, \$50,000 grant to the Village of Walnut to construct a new water well, \$50,000 for the purpose of a grant in the Village of Sheffield for water supply system improvements. I would move for the adoption of Amendment 13."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #13 to Senate Bill 458. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clark Leone: "Floor Amendment #14, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment \$14 would make technical corrections in reduction of retirement on a Amendment that was placed on the Bill in Committee. I would move for the adoption of Amendment \$14."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment # 14 to Senate Bill 458. Ιs there any Hearing discussion? none, the question is. Amendment #14 be adopted?! All those in favor say all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #15, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #15 provides that the State Pire Marshall may use \$100,000 in funds from the U.S. EPA in support of state activities under the leading underground storage tank program. This line item should be... I would ask for its adoption."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #15 to Senate Bill 458. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #15 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #16, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "It's merely a technical Amendment that corrects an error in Amendment #4. I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #16 to Senate Bill 458. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #16 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6... 17, McPike."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "It's McPike - Leverenz. We will withdraw 17, go with 18."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #17. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #18, McPike - Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Amendment #18 would appropriate \$73,900 for a grant to Wood River Township for the completion of the Kendall Hills sewer system project. I move for the adoption of Amendment #18."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady... The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #18 to Senate Bill 458. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985 .

Amendment #18 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #19, John Dunn."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Amendment is Dunn - Leverenz. Three million dollars for the City of Decatur to construct a new sewer line and make other sewer system improvements. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #19."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #19 to Senate Bill 458. Is there anv discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall Amendment #19 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Barnes now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 458 as amended. Hearing no objection, the Lady has leave. Read the Bill on Third."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 458, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 458 as introduced in the House originally appropriated \$241,566,400. After all the Amendments that were just added, it is \$253,622,200, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is... Is there any discussion on

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

the passage of this Bill, Senate Bill 458? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 458 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 459, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 459, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Commission. It's been read a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Hartke - et al."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "This Amendment #2 makes some correctional... or technical changes, and it also appropriates \$200,000 or whatever is necessary to appropriate to the Environmental Protection Fund for a grant to the Department of Natural Resources for conducting a study for the environmental effects of oil field brine."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 459. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Curran, amends Senate Bill

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- 459."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran? Representative Curran.

 Clear the Board. Mr. Clerk."
- Curran: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is simply a Curran Zwick Satterthwaite Dunn Amendment, puts \$100,000 in
 from the Environmental Protection Trust Fund to the
 Department of Energy and Natural Resources. I move for the
 adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 459. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Barnes now asks
 leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 459 as
 amended on Third Reading. The Lady has leave. Read the
 Bill, Nr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 459, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate
 Bill 455 as originally introduced appropriated \$300,000.

 Now, as amended, Senate Bill 459 appropriates \$850,000 from
 the Environmental Protection Trust Funds to the
 Environmental Protection Trust Fund Commission. And I
 would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 459. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 459 pass?' All those in

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This... Representative Mautino wishes to vote 'aye'. There are, therefore, 109 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 461, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 461, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Court of Claims. It's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #3.... this Amendment... this Amendment corrects

Amendment #1. Amendment #1 subtracted \$26,400 when the

intent was to add \$26,400. I ask for the adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 461. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, Shaw, amends Senate Bill 461."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Yes, Amendment #4 recovers positions cut by Amendment #2,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

and... and Amendment #4 is justified because of the expected increase in work load."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 461. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and 'the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Barnes now asks

leave for immediate consideration to hear Senate Bill 461

on Third Reading as amended. The Lady has leave. Read the

Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 461, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 461 as amended from Committee Amendments appropriates \$5,564,300 and now we will be adding the Amendments that were just adopted, and I would move for the adoption of the Court of Claims budget."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the Bill 461. question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 461 pass?' A11 those favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 463. Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 463, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Barnes: "Madam Speaker..."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "I would like to hold this Bill, with your permission.

Commissioner Harris has an Amendment that hasn't been distributed yet."

Speaker Breslin: "Surely."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 464,
Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 464, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Registration and Education has been read a second time previously. Amendments \$\circ\$ #2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative.. Representative

Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 464 as originally introduced appropriated \$10,033,750 to the Department of Registration and Education. As amended, it DOA appropriates \$10,404,550, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 464. On that question, is there any discussion?

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Hearing none, the question is... Okay. We're running a test at this time to see if the machine is working properly. This is not a record vote. This is just a test vote. Not on the Bill. This is to see if the machine is operating properly. Representative Hawkinson, for what reason do you rise? It's... Representative Hawkinson, for what reason do you..."

Hawkinson: "My green button is not working."

Speaker Breslin: "Did you push the green button?"

Hawkinson: "Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "I think we... they closed the board. They closed the board. No problem. We're taking it out of the record. Representative Hastert, for what reason do you rise?"

Hastert: "Inquiry. That doesn't mean there's automatically 25
votes every time we..."

Speaker Breslin: "No, it does not."

Hastert: "Just checking."

Speaker Breslin: "No, it does not. Now, we're ready to take a vote on this... on this Bill. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 464... Representative Van Duyne, for what reason do you rise?"

Van Duyne: "I don't think they're working ... "

Speaker Breslin: "They're working just fine, just fine. Now, be ready to vote. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 464 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action on Senate Bill 464. Representative Didrickson, for what reason do you rise?"

Didrickson: "Speaker, my switches do not work, none of them."

Speaker Breslin: "He'll ask the electrician to check your switch.

It is now... It is now voting properly. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 467, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 467, a Bill for an Act to make appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Amendments #1 through 36 and #38 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "First Motion filed is Motion to table Amendment #1

to Senate Bill 467, filed by Representative Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It cuts the \$740,900 federal for OSHA inspection program. This will be transferred to the Department of Labor."

Speaker Breslin: "So you wish to table Amendment #1. Is that correct?"

Barnes: "That is correct. Restore it to DCCA."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady... Very good. The Lady tables...
moves to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 467. Any
discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall
Amendment #1 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all
those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any
further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Further Motion. A Motion to table Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 467, filed by Representative Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wish to restore the 'busiclimate' study money of \$150,000 to the budget."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to table Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 467. On that guestion, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Further Motion to table. Motion to table Amendment
#30 to Senate Bill 467, filed by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, I would move to table this Amendment for a subsequent Amendment. It was technically incorrect with the numbers."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 467. Hearing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #30 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #39, Yvetter Younge."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

Amendment #39 would appropriate \$1,000,000 for an incubator
at State Community College in East St. Louis. I move for
the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #39 to Senate Bill 467. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #39 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #40, Leverenz."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Amendment #40 would provide a program utilizing tourism promotion funds for \$700,000 to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to establish and implement a program of promotion of the horse racing in Illinois. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #40 to Senate Bill 467. Hearing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #40 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #41, Barnes - Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Floor
Amendment 41 deletes a reappropriation from the Job
Training Partnership Fund, which has been added to another
Bill, Senate Bill 1731."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #41 to Senate Bill 467. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #41 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #42, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #42 would appropriate 676,600 to DCCA to be used to reimburse the state's attorneys in counties of more than 3,000,000. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #42."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #42 to Senate Bill 467. And on that question,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall Amendment #42 be adopted?' All those in favor say

'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the

Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted.

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #43, McPike."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Amendment adds an additional \$300,000 GR for labor management grants by DCCA, and the provisions of the program solely grants to grants and resources."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #43 to Senate Bill 467. Is there anv discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall Amendment #43 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #44, Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of
the House. This Amendment would comply with the House Bill
which we passed dealing with reimbursements to the state's
attorneys in Cook County for the cost of their appellate
section. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 467. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #44 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #45, Anthony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "Anthony Young."

Young: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #5 appropriates the sum of \$4,000,000 to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs for grants and loans as authorized pursuant to the Civil Administration (sic - Administrative) Code. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #45 to Senate Bill 467. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #45 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #46, McMaster."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Nadam Speaker. Amendment #46 appropriates \$250,000 or as much thereof as may be necessary to the State Board of Education for a grant for ROVA Community Youth School District for the education of some 240 students for one year."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #46 to Senate Bill 467. On that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #46 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #47, Tuerk - Saltsman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Madam Speaker, Members of the House, this is an Amendment for \$150,000 for a grant for the National Swine

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Registry Association which is attempting to locate either in Illinois, Indiana, Kansas or Iowa, and what the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs has suggested is \$150,000 to assist them in either purchase of a facility or equipment."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #47 to Senate Bill 467. Is there discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall Amendment #47 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair. the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #48, Breslin Van Duyne Christensen."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne,"
- Van Duyne: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. This Amendment simply appropriates \$180,000 for two junior colleges for the establishment of small business development centers at Illinois Valley Community College and Joliet Junior College, and Lewis University, and I move for the adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #48 to Senate Bill 467. Τs there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall #48 Amendment be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #49, Ronan."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan. Representative Ronan.

 Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Amendment would appropriate \$550,000 to DCCA for a grant to the Chicago

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Association of Neighborhood Development Organizations, and to establish small business development centers. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #49."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #49 to Senate Bill 467. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. • Shall Amendment #49 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments? .

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #50, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Amendment #50 would appropriate \$50,000 to

DCCA for grants associated with various community

activities. I would move for the adoption of Amendment

#50."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to adopt Amendment #50 to Senate Bill 467. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #50 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #51, Churchill - Klemm."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ploor Amendment #51

appropriates \$75,000 from the State Boating Act Fund to the

Department of Conservation for a grant to the

Chain-o-Lakes/Fox River Waterway Management Agency as a

kickoff for that agency."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #51 to Senate Bill 467. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. Shall Amendment #51 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye',

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #52, Pangle - Barnes - Christensen - et al."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pangle."

Pangle: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The... The next appropriation
Amendment is for the Department of Commerce and Community
Affairs for \$3,500,000 for Galesburg at 300... \$3,500,000
for Mantino."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #52 to Senate Bill 467. On that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #52 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #53, Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Madam Speaker, Amendment #53 appropriates \$15,000,000 to
the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs for local
housing authorities for the renovation and repair of public
housing all over the State of Illinois."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #53 to Senate Bill 467. Is there any discussion?

Hearing none... Hearing... The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "May I ask Representative Younge a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes."

Barnes: "Is this... Doesn't this already... Didn't we already include this in the budget in Amendment #45?"

Younge: "I don't believe so. I have not heard of any Amendment for the repair of public housing. I'll look..."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Barnes: "56 and 57 are both addressed in Amendment #45, that have already been adopted."

Younge: "For public housing?"

Barnes: "Yes, Representative."

Younge: "Alright. What is the amount in 45?"

Barnes: "It's \$4,000,000, and it was introduced by Representative Young."

Younge: "Yes. Well, Representative Young informs me that that goes to a loan program, and it does not have anything to do with housing. This amount is to repair the very dilapidated state of public housing all over the State of Illinois in the various towns in Illinois. We're spending a lot of money for energy assistance and other programs because of the dilapidated stage of public housing. Yes, and staff informs me that 45 is entirely different."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes, do you stand in opposition?"

Barnes: "I stand corrected."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #53 to Senate Bill 450... 467 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #54, Mays."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #54 adds \$250,000 to the equipment line for the international offices. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #54 to Senate Bill 467. On that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #54 be adopted?' All those in favor say

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #55, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #55 adds \$1,550,000 to restore funding for Small Business Development Center Grants which were cut in the Senate."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #55 to Senate Bill 467. Is there any dis... opposition? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #55 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #56, Anthony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young."

Young: "Withdraw Amendment 56."

Speaker Breslin: "Hithdraw 56. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #57, Anthony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young."

Young: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #57 is a technical

Amendment that cleans up language in Amendment 45, and I

move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, there has been a request to film from the press gallery. Does the press have leave? Hearing no objection, the press has leave. Representative Young has moved to adopt Amendment #57 to Senate Bill 467. Does anyone stand in opposition? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #57 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #58, Bullock - Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock?"

Bullock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 467 with Amendment 58 would. fact, respond to the tremendous feedback that's coming into Department of Commerce and Community Affairs into the Division of Tourism due to and attributable to the successful tourism program in our state. In order to offset the impact or to assist with handling we're asking for five new inquiries, positions in the Division of Tourism, and the line item amount is \$185,300."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #58 to Senate Bill 467. Is there any discussion? Hearing question is, none, the Shall Amendment #58 be adopted? All those in favor say all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #59, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #59 provides \$250,000 for startup costs for the Illinois Export Development Authority. These funds will be used to pay consultants' fees associated with marketing the bonds."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #59 to Senate Bill 467. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #59 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #60, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This doesn't involve any new money. It's just a transfer. It adds \$150,000 for expenses at the Governor's Planning Office from the Job Training Partnership Fund. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #60 to Senate Bill 467. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #60 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative... Excuse me,

 Representative Leverenz. For what reason do you rise?"
- Leverenz: "Yes, we discussed another Hotion. I would now move... " $\label{eq:hotion}$
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. We have to move this Bill back to
 the Order of Second Reading for Representative Leverenz'
 Motion. Proceed, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. I would now move to take from the table
 Amendment #30, which earlier, I tabled and thought there
 was a replacement Amendment. I would now move to take
 that Amendment from the table."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman... The Gentleman moves to take Amendment #30 from the table. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is just a Motion to take from the table. It's not a vote on the Amendment. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 97 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the Motion carries.

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- Representative Leverenz now moves for... moves to adopt

 Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 467. Representative Leverenz,

 what's the Amendment do?"
- Leverenz: "The Amendment would appropriate \$1,470,000 that was previously deleted by the Senate. Earlier, I tabled it, and now wish to readopt it, because we did not have a replacement Amendment. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 467? Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #30 be adopted?! All those in favor say In the opinion of the Chair, those opposed say 'no'. the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Barnes now asks

 leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 467 as

 amended. The Lady has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 467, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Senate Bill 467 as amended in Committee, appropriated \$604,474,558. Now, we have added many Amendments, and I would ask 'do adopt' of the budget for the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 467 as amended. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 467 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 468, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 469, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Agriculture, has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11 and 13 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "A Motion to table... A Motion to table Amendment #4, filed by Representative Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I would move to table Amendment #4.

It has a technical flaw in it that we intend to correct with..."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 469? Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted... or the Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #14, Barnes - Leverenz, amends..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #14 provides funding for eight position and support costs of the new Bureau of Agricultural Development within the Department's Division of Marking. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 468. Is there any discussion?

The question is, 'Shall Amendment #14 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #15, Barnes - Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you... Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #15
appropriates 169,000 to the Department of Agriculture for
the purchase and maintenance of the telephones and related
equipment located on the Illinois State Fairgrounds."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #15 to Senate Bill 468. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #15 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #16, Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Madam Speaker. Amendment #16 simply is the correction to Amendment #4 that we just tabled, and I'd ask for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #16 to Senate Bill 468. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #16 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #17, Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I would ask for Amendments #17, 18,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

19 and 20 to be withdrawn."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #17, 18, 19 and 20. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #21, Oblinger."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This adds \$50,000 from the Agricultural Premium Fund to the Department of Agriculture for the Solid Gold Futurity. This will enable them to bring in 1,000 extra horses and expand the day from three to seven days... I mean, the racing period."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #21 to Senate Bill 468. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #21 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #22, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #22 would provide \$30,000 to the Department of Agriculture for commodities and building materials for the construction... construction of a pavilion for labor at the Illinois Fairgrounds. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #22 to Senate Bill 468. Is there discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall Amendment #22 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are the any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #23, Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Hicks: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I would move that Amendment #23 be adopted. It's an Amendment for 13,500 from the Ag Premium Fund for the 1985 Illinois State Fair."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #23 to Senate Bill 468. l's there anv Hearing none, discussion? the question is. 'Shall Amendment #23 be adopted? All those in favor say 'ave'. all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Barnes now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 468 as amended. The Lady has leave. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment... Senate Bill 468, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Agriculture. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As introduced in the House originally, the budget was 45.9 million. As amended after Committee Amendments were adopted, it was \$47,449,910, and we have adopted several more Amendments, so I would move for the passage of the budget for the Department of Agriculture at this time."
- Speaker Breslin: "The... The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 468. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 469 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', I voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 472, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 472, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation.

Amendments #1 through 14, 16, 17, 18 and 19 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #21, Mulcahey."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mulcahey,"

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

House Amendment 21 appropriates, I got it right here,
appropriates \$550,000 from the Road Fund to the Department
of Transportation for engineering studies for Route 26
south in Freeport. I would move for the adoption of
Amendment #21."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #21 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #21 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #22, Pangle."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pangle. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #22, Pangle - Leverenz would add \$70,000 from the Road Pund to DOT for the traffic signal... signalization replacement at Route 24 and 8th Street in Watseka, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

to Senate Bill 472. Amendment #22 Is there anv discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall Amendment #22 be adopted? All those in favor say all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair. the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #23, Phelps."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I wish to withdraw Amendment #23."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #23. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #24, Breslin."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #24, Breslin - Leverenz, adds \$25,000 for a tourist information structure at Route 6 and FAP 412, adds \$8,000 for the lighting at Route 23 and Waltham Road. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #24."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption οf Amendment #24 to Senate Bill 472. İs there any Hearing discussion? none, the question is, Amendment #24 be adopted?' All those in favor say those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #25, Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Amendment #25, Giorgi - Leverenz adds \$250,000 from the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation for an access road and interchange and traffic signals at Route 20 and Meridian Road in Winnebago County. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment... 25."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #25 to Senate Bill 472. And on that question, is there any discussion?' Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 4... Shall Amendment #25 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #26, Richmond."
- Speaker Breslin: "What was the name, again? Representative Richmond Leverenz. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #26, Richmond —

 Leverenz adds 2.5 million for phase one engineering and
 adding of two lanes to Route 15 and Route 30... 13, rather,
 between Belleville and Murphysboro, and I would move for
 the adoption of Amendment #26."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #26 to Senate Bill 472. Is there discussion? Hearing none, the question is, *Shall Amendment #26 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye'. all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are the there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #27, DeJaegher."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #27, DeJaegher Leverenz adds \$200,000 from the Road Fund to DOT for the
 sidewalks along 10th Street in Silvis. I would move for
 the adoption of Amendment #27."
- Senate Bill: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #27 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #27 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #28, Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #28 appropriates 1.5 million dollars from the Road Fund for design and engineering a four lane highway... Peoria County, 3.9 million from the Road Fund for road improvements on Route 37 in Mason County, \$200,000 for repair of the dam and intake at the Kent Lake in Fulton County, and \$968,000 from the Capital Development Fund for a flood control levy at Pekin in Tazewell County. I would respectfully move for the adoption of Amendment #28."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #28 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. Shall Amendment #28 be adopted? All those in favor say all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #29, Phelps."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I also wish to withdraw #29."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #29. Are there any further

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #30, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I was caught with my cracker. Amendment #30 would add 3.6 million dollars from the Road Fund for a modification of the interchange in I-90 and Barrington Road and the relocation of Central Road and the improvements to Barrington Road. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #30."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

"The Gentleman has moved for Speaker Breslin: the adoption of Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall Amendment #30 be adopted? All those in favor sav those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #31, Satterthwaite."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, this is \$150,000 to allow for the repayment of pavement that has already been accomplished, for the University of Illinois streets."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #31 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #31 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #32. Hartke."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Yes, the sum of \$75,000 or as much as necessary from the Road Fund for a traffic signal on Route 45 at Effingham."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #32 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #32 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye',

all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted.

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #33, Christensen."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Christensen."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Christensen: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This... The amount of \$5,000,000 or so much thereof as may be necessary is appropriated from the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation for plans, study, construction or any other necessary costs for an interchange... for an interchange on Interstate 80 near Seneca. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #32 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, •Shall Amendment #33 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #34, O'Connell."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell - Leverenz.

Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Withdraw."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #34. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #35, Van Duyne."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is the state's match for the ongoing program in 'Engles' Park. It's \$100,000 for their drainage problems there, and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Centleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #35 to Senate Bill 472. Ιs there any discussion? Hearing none, question the is, •Shall Amendment #35 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #36, Pangle."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pangle."

Pangle: "I move to withdraw Amendment 36."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #36. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #37, Giglio."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. It's Giglio - Leverenz.

The Amendment #37 would delete \$950,000 in General Revenue funding and appropriate it for a partial dredging of the Little Calumet River and replaces it with a 2,000,000 General Revenue appropriation for the dredging of the Little Calumet River and get it all done. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #37."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #37. And on that question, the Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #38 appropriates..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. This is... We're on Amendment #37."

Barnes: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay."

Leverenz: "She's for that."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #37 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #38, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #38 appropriates \$6,663,500 from the General Revenue Fund, Motor Fuel Tax Fund and Road Fund for

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

operations for the Department of Transportation, restores
48 requested new positions that were cut by the Senate,
including 38 highway maintainers, engineer and data
processing analysts. I would move for adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #38 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #38 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #39, Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi - Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment 39, Giorgi —
Leverenz adds \$100,000 from the Road Fund for an access
road and interchange in traffic signalization to East State
Street and Phelps Street on U.S. 20 in Rockford, and I
would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #39 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, Amendment #39 be adopted? All those in favor say all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #40, Oblinger."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Madam Speaker, I would have leave to withdraw Amendment #40."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #40. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #41, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #41 would restore an additional 2.486 thousand to the Department of Transportation for various operational line items, making it identical in the Senate cuts in the operational line items. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #41."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of #41 to Senate Bill 472. Amendment Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, Amendment #41 be adopted? All those in favor say all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #42, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Withdraw."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #42. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #43, Oblinger."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This appropriates \$816,000 for operating Route 104 between I-55 and the City of Pawnee."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #43 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #43 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #44, Koehler - et al."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #44 to Senate Bill 472, sponsored by

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

myself, Representatives Tuerk, Vinson, Saltsman, Homer, Olson and DeJaegher appropriates a total of \$62,680,000 from the Road Fund for land acquisition and bridge construction on Illinois Route 121 between Morton and Lincoln and construction of Route 121 as a four lane highway from Morton to Hopedale."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #44 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #44 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #45, Hartke."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Madam Speaker and Hembers of the House, Amendment #45
appropriates five and a half million for an underpass
viaduct on Route 45 in Effingham, one million dollars for
road repair on the road from George Field in Lawrence
County, and \$750,000 for the extension of Raney Street in
Effingham. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption Amendment #45 to Senate Bill 472. Ts there anv discussion? Hearing none. the question is. 'Shall Amendment #45 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #46, Hartke."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #46
appropriates \$10,000,000 for the resurfacing and necessary
work for... on Route 50 from Flora to Lawrenceville. I

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

move for the adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #46 to Senate Bill 472. Is there discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #46 be adopted? All those in favor sav 'ave'. all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #47, Barnes - Daniels."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #47 appropriates \$500,000 from the Road Fund for preliminary engineering for a corridor providing western access to O'Hare Airport."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #47 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #47 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #48, Barnes - Daniels."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #48 appropriates \$125,000 from the General Revenue Pund for a flood control feasibility study for Spring Brook and other tributaries of Salt Creek and the DuPage River."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #48. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #48 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #49, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Hadam Speaker, I wish to withdraw Amendment #49."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #49. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #50, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. Amendment #50 is Barnes - Hojcik. It appropriates three million from the Road Fund for construction of an interchange at I-290 and Butterfield Road in Elk Grove Village."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #50 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #50 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #50... 51, Cowlishaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #51 appropriates the sum of \$2,000,000 for... from the Road Fund for construction of a new eastbound entrance ramp on Illinois 5 at Naperville Road. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #51 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #51 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #52, Kirkland - Parke."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This appropriates the sum of \$850,000 from the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation for phase II design and engineering on Algonquin Road between 'Rosa' Road and Barrington Road in Cook County."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #52 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none. the question is. *Shall Amendment #52 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair. the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #53, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes,"

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #53 appropriates 1.75 million from the Capital Development Fund for design and construction of flood control facilities on the Lower Des Plaines tributaries watershed."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #53 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #53 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #54, Phelps."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 54 appropriates \$2,851,000 for road work Illinois 146 from Illinois 34 in Hardin County and \$853,000 for resurfacing and shoulder work U.S. 45 Eldorado and

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Harrisburg. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #54 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #54 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair. the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #55, Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran. Representative..."

Curran: "Thank you, Nr. Chairman... Madam Chairman. Amendment #55 adds three road projects to the Department of Transportation Budget. One is in Representative Vinson's district, interestingly enough, in Menard County line to CH 46 out of Salisbury, also South 16th Street in Springfield for 200... 2,354,000 and for the surfacing of Business Interstate 55, that's 5th Street from Spruce to Stanford in Springfield for 374,000. I move for the adoption of Amendment.... "

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #55 to Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #55 to Senate Bill 472 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Barnes now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 472 as amended. The Lady has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 472, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. As originally introduced in the House, the Department of Transportation was appropriated \$1,877,103,700. As amended when it came out of Committee to the floor, it was \$1,913,729,400, and after all the Amendments that have been added today, it is well over two billion, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 472. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 472 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. With leave of the House. Gentlemen, we will go back to a Bill that I skipped, and that was Senate Bill 470. Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes, Senate Bill 470? Okay? Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 470, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the State Fire Marshall has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. Floor Amendment #4 restores personal services and
related reductions made by the Senate and the House.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

retains the Senate productivity cuts, total restoration \$108.100."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 470. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #5 reappropriates \$10,000 in federal grant money to the Management Services Division for coordination of the Community Volunteer Fire Prevention Program."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 470. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ploor Amendment #6 appropriates \$119,000 from the General Revenue Fund that a division of Management Service for payments to the Fire Service Institute at the University of Illinois for development and regional presentations of a four-part hazardous materials training program."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 470. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #7 moves \$40,000 that was going to be taken out of the Fire Prevention Fund and simply changes that to come out of the General Revenue Fund. I move for the adoption of Amendment #7."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 470. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All those in favor... Excuse me, Representative Barnes, on the question."

Barnes: "Representative Curran, would it be necessary, then, to table your Amendment #3 that was adopted in Committee?"

Curran: "Thank you for the question. I believe it is not. Jane,
that would make #7 technically incorrect. We are doing
this for the Department."

Barnes: "Thank you. I just wanted to clarify it."

Curran: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #8 would delete one million, one hundred ninety-seven thousand six and add back their one million

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

two nineteen six hundred, and also by deleting seventy-two thousand seven and replacing that with the proper retirement number of seventy-nine thousand two seventy-four, I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 470. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #9, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. Withdraw Amendment #9. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Barnes now asks
leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 470 on
Third Reading as amended. Does the Lady have leave? She
has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 470, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of State Fire Marshall. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 470 as it the Senate appropriated \$6,017,700 for the State Fire Marshall's budget. As amended in Committee, it \$6,054,700, appropriated and now we have just added additional Amendments, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 470. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 470 pass?' All those in

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Hajority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Greiman in the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 473. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Senate Bill 473, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Nuclear Safety. It's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendments #1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Barnes - Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Ploor... Ploor Amendment #3 restores \$18,600 i n personal cuts to the Department of Nuclear Safety. It provides seven months funding for new positions related agreement state program. These funds inadvertently deleted from House Amendment #2, which makes restoration for Senate cuts. I would move 'do adopt'."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves for the adoption of Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 473. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves to waive Rule 37(c) so that this Bill may be heard at this time. Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 473, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Nuclear Safety. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from... Ms. Barnes."

- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 473 as introduced appropriated \$11,986,785 for the Department of Nuclear Safety's budget.

 As amended in Committee, it appropriated \$14,074,315. With the new Amendment now, it is \$14,092,315, and I would move 'do adopt'."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the passage of Senate Bill 473. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 'll' voting 'aye', I voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 476. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 476, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Public Aid. It's been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 3, 9 and 10 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to those

- 63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985
 - Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Motions to table Amendment #4. 'I move to table

 Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 476,' by Representative

 Bowman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Motion to table Amendment #4."
- Bowman: "Okay. Yes, Mr. Chairman... or Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 4 is technically defective. We're going to replace it with Amendment 11, and I now move to table Amendment #4."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #4. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be tabled?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #4 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Further Motion to table. 'I move to table

 Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 476,' filed by Representative

 Hastert."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, on a Motion to table Amendment #5."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #5 was an increase in the budget of the Department of Public Aid of \$36,250,000, and it was increased for the AFDC grant line. This was not an agreement by either side of the aisle. It was put on in Committee on a partisan vote, and, you know, very, very honestly, that money isn't there. And it's my position and the position of the people on this aisle... on this side of the aisle that we're portraying a sham, at least to those people who are dependent upon that grant, unless we pass a tax increase or cut \$30,000,000 out of a budget item someplace else, I would just ask to pass this Motion."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall moves to table
Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 476. And on that, the
Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: you. Hr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe that this Notion is inappropriate. It is Committee in wrong. Ιt should be defeated. The its wisdom, deliberated. We heard testimony as to the... the need for these monies, and we, after proper deliberation, put this Amendment on the Bill. Now, let me say it was a... I acknowledge it was a partisan Roll Call. The other side of the aisle, of course, attempts at every opportunity to support the Governor's budget, and that's fine. their institutional role around here. But just everybody in this House to understand one thing about public aid budgets. The Governor has never once, in nine years, proposed a grant increase for public aid recipients, never once. He often and frequently proposes increases for medical providers, doctors and hospitals and pharmacists and chiropractors and so forth, and that's fine, but never once for actual recipients. Now, in nine years, we have approved six grant increases, and this will be the seventh, if it goes all the way through. And how does that ... HOW that happen? Well, the Legislature has always initiated the grant increase, and lo and behold, it negotiated out, and the Governor always signs it. So I want everyone to understand that this is par for the course, this legislative initiative that emanated from the House Appropriations Committee is par for the course. This is a necessary... part of the negotiating that goes on the budget process, and I predict, if we are able to defeat this tabling Motion, it will all be negotiated out in the end, and the Governor will sign the Bill with a increase in it. I predict that. I urge a defeat of this

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Or. Matijevich." Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the couldn't agree more with the last speaker. You know, every in a while, we have to chase off... lobbyists off the floor of the House, within our rules. The poor people don't have a lobbyist down here. We've got to speak in behalf of the poor people, and I hope that that partisan issue. Now, today when we were led in prayer, I heard the message of the minister that led us prayer. And he said, 'Let us, in the State of Illinois, provide for the poor. I think that we, in Legislature, are here to make life and the quality of life. the minister also said, better for all people. of life is what we ought to be about. And I think that ... Chairman of the Appropriation II Committee, Hoody Bowman, is absolutely right, that every grant increase has been the initiation of the Legislature. The Governor has a 'Build Illinois' program. The 'Build Illinois' program, full of mortar and bricks, and at the same time, are we going to tear at the hearts of people and not provide for the ordinary needs of people? I don't think that we... that's what we're all about. I think that you - all of you understand the needs of ordinary people. I think that this cannot be a partisan matter. I urge the Members of of both sides of the aisle to vote against the tabling

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hastert to close."

Motion."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies Gentlemen of the House. I have the greatest admiration for the Chairman of the Appropriations II Committee, and the last speaker. I know they're compassionate, and I know they have concerns about their constituents and constituents around this

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

country, or this state, but one of the things that we've just done, the previous speaker talked about spending millions of dollars on bricks and mortar. We just passed Bills out of here where we spent, also, additional millions of dollars for concrete and sewers and schools and other things that were bricks and mortars, and I think we're both guilty on both sides of the aisle, of doing that. ₩e have an appropriation before us, an additional appropriation under the public aid budget of thirty some million dollars. I'm saying, and to focus on here, if you want to come back in a couple of hours or tomorrow or the next day and solve the problems with education in the State of Illinois and put on another 200 million dollars or 300 million dollars, or stick to the appropriated 400 million dollar level that was funded there, if you want to take the mentally handicapped and those people who are also in need in the State of Illinois, that's fine. If we want take care of all those other people in the State of Illinois, farmers and agriculture and the unions and the other people who are workers and all those things that we want to appropriate and have appropriated, all I'm Ladies and Gentlemen, is there's not enough bucks. not enough bucks. If you want to add on, if you want to add on 3... 35 or 36 million dollars here, fine, but you're going to have to take it off someplace else, or you're going to have to pass a tax increase. I want you to keep that in mind. You can vote the way you want to on Motion, but you got to be honest with yourself, and you got to be honest with your constituents."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 5 be tabled?'

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed
'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it,
and... All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 50... 51 voting 'aye', 52 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the Motion to table fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #11, Bowman, amends Senate Bill...
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Amendment #11."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment replaces Amendment #4. It makes a technical change which assures \$854,000 GRF as appropriated for 50 field staff positions. I move adoption of Amendment #11."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 11. And on that, is there any discussion?

 There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment 11 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #12, Shaw."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, on Floor Amendment #11... 12. Floor Amendment 12."
- Shaw: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment #12 appropriates \$30,000 for domestic violence."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, moves for the adoption of Amendment 12 to Senate Bill 476. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment 12 is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #13, Braun."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun, on Amendment #13."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 13 adds \$2,000,000 to the public aid budget in order to equalize the prescribed drug line so that all categories of clients have equal access to drug therapy and treatment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun, moves for the adoption of Amendment 13 to Senate Bill 476. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be adopted? Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Lady from Cook... I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, moves... leave... asks for leave of the House to waive Rule 37(c) with the use of the Attendance Roll Call so that this Bill may be heard at this time. Does the Gentleman have leave? He has leave. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill on Third Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 476, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I bring before you now, Senate Bill 476, appropriations Bill for the Department of Public Aid. The total amount of this appropriation \$3,487,000,849.80. That's quite a bit of dollars, and there's an... there's an additional appropriation in excess of \$36,000,000 that's not added to this."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall moves for the passage of Senate Bill 476. And on that, is there any

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Hr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 97 voting 'aye', 19 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 477. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 477, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Health Care Cost Containment Council has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to those Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Clark, call the Bill on Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 477, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Health Care Cost Containment Council. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 477 is indeed the appropriation for the Health Care Containment Council, the total appropriation of \$2,186,150. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr.

Hastert, has moved for the adoption... moved for the

passage of Senate Bill 477. On that, is there any

discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 478. Mr. Clerk read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 478, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to those Amendments?" Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, Currie."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie, on Amendment #7."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Amendment 7 would add \$150,000 to the Department of

Alcoholism and Substance Abuse budget for purposes of

operating four community school intervention programs."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 7 to Senate Bill 478. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Rendall asks leave of the House to waive Rule 37 (c) so that this Bill

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

may be heard at this time, using the Attendance Roll Call.

Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 478, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 478 is the appropriation for the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse. Their budget appropriation with the last Amendment is \$60,127,200. Be happy to answer any questions. Incidentally, it's an increase of 13.1 percent from last year."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 478. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. this question, there are 102 voting... 104 voting 'aye', 6 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby... Mr. Young, Young, 'aye'. Mr. 'aye'. 105 voting 'aye', 6 'no'. voting none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 480. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 480, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Barnes - Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, on Amendment #2."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3. Saltsman."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Saltsman, on Amendment #3."

Saltsman: "Mr. Speaker, withdraw that Amendment, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 withdrawn. Further Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Saltsman."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Withdraw that Amendment, too."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, LeFlore - Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Nr. Speaker, I move to withdraw Amendment #5."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #5 withdrawn. Further Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, LeFlore - Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 480 for the amount of 287,000 for gang crime prevention. This is a pilot program for the west side of Chicago."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. LeFlore, moves for the adoption of Amendment 6 to Senate Bill 480. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendment."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, Cullerton Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Leverenz, you going to take that? Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. Amendment..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Representative Giglio in the Chair.
 Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #7 would appropriate 3,150,400 to the Department of Corrections for reimbursement to counties for holding prisoners prior to transfer to the state, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #7. Any discussion? No discussion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Wyvetter Younge Flinn."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Younge. Wyvetter Younge, on Amendment #8."
- Younge: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I withdraw that Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #9. Further
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #9, Barnes Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, Amendment #9."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #9 restores the entire amount of \$14,666,700 cut by the Senate action."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Lady moves for the adoption on the... adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 480.

 All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, Barnes."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #10."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #10 appropriates a total of \$100,000 state's contribution for the early retirement of Department of Corrections school district employees."

Speaker Giglio: "Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #10.

Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify
by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the

Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #10 is adopted.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, Barnes."

Speaker Giglio: "11?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #11, Barnes."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #11."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #11 appropriates a total of \$425,000 allocated to five correctional centers — Jacksonville, Dixon, Lincoln, Shawnee and Danville — for contractual lines and equipment for legal libraries at these locations."

Speaker Giglio: "Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #11.

Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify
by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the
Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.
Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #12, Salts..."

Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #12."

Saltsman: "Like to withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #... Representative Mautino, do you seek recognition? Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Mr. Speaker, yes, the Co-Sponsor of this Amendment,

Representative Mautino, he'd like to speak on the
question."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mautino, on Amendment #12."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Hautino: "Yes, I think, at this time, it would be a good Amendment to adopt to the Bill and then discuss further the process of leasing provisions by all our departments of government, including Corrections. This Amendment deletes the... I think \$306,000, which is the differential between where they are now and the new building that they want to lease and we'd like to continue those negotiations at this time and I'd like to see the Amendment adopted and we'll continue talking."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for adoption #12. On the question, Representative Friedrich."

Priedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Friedrich: "My analysis here says contractual personnel and you said something about buildings. Is my analysis wrong?"

Mautino: "Excuse me. I stand corrected. This is not the Amendment that I originally wanted."

Friedrich: "Pardon me?"

Mautino: "I stand corrected."

Friedrich: "It is personnel. Okay, I want... I need to know or I would like to know what contractual personnel you propose to eliminate? For example, at Centralia, the education personnel are contractual with the college, the medical personnel..."

Mautino: "Representative, no, no. No, no. I apologize. This is not the Amendment that I was interested in with Representative Saltsman. I will agree with his evaluation to withdraw this one. There is another one I think..."

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I believe the Sponsor agreed to withdraw this Amendment. I... You may check with him."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Mautino."

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

Mautino: "I was just informed that the lease provisions are in this contractual line under administration."

Friedrich: "Does it affect personnel?"

Mautino: "No, it does not."

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Mautino: "Then I once again present it for adoption. It was correct the first time around."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #12 is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves to waive Rule 37(c) with use of the Attendance Roll Call so that this Bill may be heard at this time. Does the Lady have leave? You have leave. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 480, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 480, as introduced in the House originally, appropriated \$390,135,800 for the budget for the Department of Corrections. As amended in Committee, it was \$395,828,300 and we just added more Amendments on the House floor and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook has moved for the adoption...

for the passage of Senate Bill 480, and on that, is there
any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall
this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting
'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open and

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, take the record. Slater, 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, take the record. Okay. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 481. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 491, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #3, 4 and 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to the Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Withdraw Amendment #6."

Speaker Greiman: "Withdraw 6. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #7 would appropriate \$1,425,000 in personal services, \$74,000 in contribution. The total is \$9..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 481. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Further... All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Inquiry of the Chair. The Amendment #7 ends up changing the title of the Bill so do we have to suspend the rule? Yes, we just ask leave to... to hear it."

Speaker Greiman: "The rule is that if no one has asked for it to be returned to that Order, then it will move to Third Reading automatically."

Leverenz: "Just want to play a heads up ball game and..."

Speaker Greiman: "So the Bill is on Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 481, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 481 appropriates \$129,907,459 in original form for the Department of Law Enforcement. amended, for the Department of Law Enforcement budget, it's \$142,586,559. The Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority has been added to this budget and for the record and for the Members of the Republican side of the aisle, would like them to know that the problems that did exist when they appeared before us have been corrected and we have been assured that they are going to be far more fiscally responsible in next year's budgets. And at this time, I would move for passage of the budget for the Department of Law Enforcement and for the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

"Before I... Before recognizing the Motion, Speaker Greiman: the Lady asks leave of the House to suspend Rule 37(c) with the use of the Attendance Roll Call so that this Bill may be heard at this time. She has leave. Leave is granted. Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves for the passage of Senate Bill 481. And on that, is there any discussion? being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' A11 those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', none 'present'. This Bill, voting having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Hastert wishes to go from 'no' to 'aye' to complete the set. On this question there are 118 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order... On page 7 of the Calendar, on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 492. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 482, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Veterans Affairs. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to the Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
Hastert."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What this Amendment does is

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- correct a drafting error... error and I ask for passage of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yeah, Gentleman from Hastert (sic Kendall)
 moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 432.

 Is there any discussion? There being none, the question
 is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Further... All in
 favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the
 Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further
 Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative
 Mays."
- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Mays. Mr. Mays, on Amendment #6."
- Hays: "Thank you very... Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #6 changes from the Road Fund to the General Revenue Fund about \$300,000 in the R and M budget and I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Adams moves for the adoption of Amendment 6 to Senate Bill 482, and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."
- Bowman: "Representative Mays, the... the money that you are proposing to spend with this caption change is for road repair. Now, it seems to me that the most appropriate source of the money for road repair would be from the Road Fund, not the General Revenue Fund. Why are you proposing to change the caption this way and thereby designating the General Revenue monies for this purpose?"
- Mays: "I think in 1979 or 1990, the General Assembly passed a law regarding Road Fund diversions and they did not want to see monies going from the Road Fund to other agencies for other

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- purposes regardless of whether they were roads or whatnot. When this... this..."
- Bowman: "Okay, so this... Yeah, this money is going to be spent on road repairs for..."
- Mays: "This will be spent on road repairs at the veterans' home."
- Bowman: "Okay, okay, on state property, is that correct?"
- Mays: "It is on state property. That is correct."
- Bownan: "Okay. Thank you very much. No further questions. I stand in..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Just to let the Body know that I support Amendment 6,
 we do the same type of thing with conservation roads in
 DOT's budget and we do these things back and forth."
- Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?'

 All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed,

 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and
 the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative
 Curran."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran. Mr. Curran, on Amendment #7."
- Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #7 restores the cuts to the Vietnam Veterans Outreach Grant of \$171,000 that was made in Committee. I understand this is agreed to on both sides of the aisle and I ask for adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran, moves for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 482, and on that, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to support the Gentleman's Motion. However,

 I would just point out that we have been here before, that we have appropriated monies in the past for this program

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

that the Department has not spent. The reason the Committee had cut the money in the first place was not to reduce the program, but only because the Department hadn't spent the money. I'll support the Gentleman's Motion. passes. I hope the Governor signs it. But then, if that's the case, we will expect the Department Veterans Affairs to spend money for this worthwhile I think they have been derelict in their duty in I hope they see their duty more clearly in the future and that they will do it."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further... Oh, excuse me. Mr. Hartke, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

Hartke: "Personal privilege."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hartke."

Hartke: "Members of the House, I'd like to direct your attention to the Speaker's Gallery. We have some 90 individuals, young individuals, from all over the State of Illinois who are at the fairgrounds studying agricultural issues with the Department of Agriculture. Would you give them a hand, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Hartke. Actually, you are out of order, but we recognize it's your first... first ten or twelve years here. Further Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Hastert."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #8 restores the contractual line that was reduced to a dollar, restores \$1,195,998 to that budget. I

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- think that was a message. I think the message has been sent and, I understand, received. And there's agreement to put this back in."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall moves for the adoption of Amendment 8 to Senate Bill 482. Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion for the adoption of the Amendment. I have met with the Director. He has assured me that the seven pages of infractions that have been pointed out to him by the Auditor General are being corrected at this time and I would stand in support of the Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I rise in support of the Amendment. If it was not for our good friend, Colonel Klink, this budget would have real serious problems. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, asks leave of the House to waive Rule 37(c) so that this Bill may be heard at this time using the Attendance Roll Call. Does the Gentleman have leave?

 Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 482, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Veterans Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Hr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 482 is for the operation and the budget

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

of the Department of Veterans Affairs. The total budget is \$28,319,402. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall moves for the passage of Senate Bill 482, and on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I simply want to alert the House to the problems that this Bill had in the Appropriations Committee because we may be back here again next year with similar problems. I hope not. By making a record, I hope to avoid that. two kinds of problems. Number one, there were numerous violations of state law and proper accounting practices that were uncovered by the Auditor General. Since this is a fairly recent report, however. and we have assurances from the Department that they are correcting those problems. I look forward to seeing a clean slate next year. But more significantly, we had a number of complaints from our Committee Members that veterans in their districts were not being properly served. And I think that that is a... not only a tragedy, but quite unusual. We rarely hear Members of the Committee so unanimous in castigating a Department for failure to serve constituents. So, I just wanted to put the Department of Veterans Affairs on notice that if those kinds of problems are not dealt with, the budget next year will be in serious trouble, but for the time being and the... with the assurance from the Department that they will move to correct those problems, I stand in support of the Gentleman's Motion,"

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all voted who... Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

record. On this question there are 117 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 483. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 483, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to those Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative Oblinger."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, permission to withdraw Amendment #12."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #12 withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative
Oblinger - Rea - Hanniq..."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Permission to withdraw Amendment #13."

Speaker Greiman: "13 withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, offered by Representative
Braun."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 14 was offered by the Department. It simply shifts a position from the contractual into the personal services line."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook moves for the passage... for the adoption of Amendment 14 to Senate Bill 483. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Hastert."

Hastert: "Yeah, I was carrying that and the Department didn't say anything to me about that Amendment."

Braun: "I have the... they should have because this piece of paper here that the Amendment... I think this is even the Director's handwriting on the top. She handed it to me."

Hastert: "Alright. Where was that... Where is that job at?"

Braun: "It's already in the Department. The position is already there."

Hastert: "Where... Where at? Where is it?"

Braun: "It's a secretary position in the Department on Aging."

Hastert: "In what ... where?"

Braun: "The ... In the Office of the Director."

Hastert: "In Chicago?"

Braun: "Yes."

Hastert: "Thank you."

Braun: "It's only... The Amendment is for \$13,000."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further... Have you concluded,

Mr. Hastert? You have concluded with your questions?"

Hastert: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, there being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #14 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted.

Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, offered by Representative
Oblinger."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment 15 allocates to the Department on Aging money to give to the City of Pawnee for the purchase of a senior citizens center in the amount of \$15,000."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger, moves for

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

the adoption of Amendment 15 to Senate Bill 483. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16, offered by Representative
 Oblinger Rea Hannig and Hallock."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger."
- Oblinger: "Yes, this is for an appropriation from the General Revenue Fund to the Department of..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Excuse me, Ms. Oblinger. Ladies and Gentlemen, the level of noise is beginning to rise.

 Let us give our attention to Mrs. Oblinger. Proceed."
- Oblinger: "This is an appropriation from the General Revenue Fund, the Department on Aging, in the amount of \$400,000 to help with the nutrition program for those 85 and older who are unable to attend the nutrition centers. This is in the request of the Governor. I am joined by Representatives Rea, Hannig and Hallock in this request."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger, moves for the adoption of Amendment 16 to Senate Bill 483. Is there any discussion? There being none, the guestion is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, asks leave of the House, using the Attendance Roll Call, for this Bill to waive Rule 37(c) so that this Bill may be heard at this time. The Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill on Third Reading."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 483, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the budget of both the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board and the Department of Aging. That Bill failed to pass the Senate, has been changed. The joint appropriation is \$150,863,600. Ask for your positive vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall has moved passage of Senate Bill 483. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now Have all voted who wish? open and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. this question there are 105 voting 'aye', ! voting 'no', voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate 528, 528. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 528, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Hastert."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, on Amendment #1."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a restoration of a cut of about \$6,600 so

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

that those people who work there can get their increases, yearly increases. No additional in personnel."

"Gentleman from Kendall moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 528. There being discussion. the is, 'Shall Amendment question be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. Ιn the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, moves to waive Rule 37(c) by the use of the Attendance Roll Call so that this Bill may be heard at this time. Does the Gentleman have leave? He has leave. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill on Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 528, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just ask for a positive passage of Senate Bill 528, which is the budget for the Judicial Inquiry Board and if you have any questions, be happy to try and answer them."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 528, and on that, is there any

discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This is final Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? action. Hr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. Mr. Giglio, would you come to On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

appears Senate Bill 552. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 552, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the various legislative support agencies.

This Bill has been read a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Wish to bring this Bill, 552, back to the Order of Second Reading for Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. Pardon?"

Leverenz: "We are on ... "

Speaker Greiman: "It's on Second Reading... Bill's on Second Reading, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Then it's Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment 1 was adopted in Committee. There were no... There are no Motions with respect to Amendment #1."

Leverenz: "I was just watching the wall."

Speaker Greiman: "Yeah, are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Leverenz and Barnes."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz, on Amendment #2."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Floor Amendment #2 corrects some drafting errors and it adds an additional \$75,900 for the following items: Thirty-one thousand seven for the oral history program at Sangamon State, \$33,000 of the Acting Director's salary for the printing unit, and \$3,000 in equipment for the Space Needs Commission. The Amendment now would total \$10,101,274. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the... Mr.

Leverenz moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate

Bill 552, and on that, is there any discussion? There

being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, asks leave of the House to waive Rule 37(c), using the Attendance Roll Call, so this Bill may be heard at this time. The Gentleman have leave? He has leave. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 552, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the various legislative support agencies.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I just enumerated, the Bill now has a total appropriation of \$10,101,274 for the Commissions for the Legislature. I would move for the passage of Senate Bill 552."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves passage of Senate Bill 552. Is there any discussion? There being none, question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Giglio in the Chair."
- Speaker Giglio: "On the Order of Second Reading appears Senate
 Bill 1368, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the
 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1368, a Bill for an Act making reappropriation to the Capital Development Board for

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

permanent improvements, minor capital improvements, repairs or maintenance and related purposes. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions. Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Barnes."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes, on Amendment #5."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. Amendment #5 reappropriates \$3,861,245 for projects of the Legislative Space Needs Commission. This includes 3.7 million for a parking and visitors center in the Capital complex area, \$150,000 for demolition and site improvement to St. John's Lutheran Church and \$11,254 for demolition and cite grading in the Capital complex area. I would move to adopt."

Speaker Giglio: "Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #5.

Any discussion? Hearing none, those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate..."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady... The Lady moves to suspend the appropriate rule to have the Bill heard on Third Reading.

Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1368, a Bill for an Act making reappropriation to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, minor capital improvements, repairs and maintenance and related purposes. Third Reading of the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Bill."

- Speaker Giglio: "Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes, on Senate Bill 1368."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1368, as it was originally introduced, was a total of \$345,959,058 to the Capital Development Board. As amended from Committee, it was \$347,394,647. As we added the Floor Amendment, it's approximately \$350,000,000. I would ask 'do adopt'."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves for the passage of Senate Bill 1368. On the question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Mr. Filan, would you please come to the podium, please? Mr. Filan. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this issue there are voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and Senate Bill 1368, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. It's the intention of the Chair now to go back to page 4 and go through the Bills that we passed over and... On the Order of Second Reading appears Senate Bill 168. Representative Leverenz, are you ready on 168? Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 168, a Bill for an Act to amend certain appropriation Acts. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Brookins."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Brookins on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 168. Representative Brookins."
- Brookins: "Thank you... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill will retain and retrain public and

- 63rd Legislative Day

 June 27, 1985

 private sector personnel..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me. Excuse me. For what purpose does

 Representative McMaster... or McCracken rise?"
- McCracken: "Point of Order, Speaker. I believe Amendment #1 is out of order. It refers to amend Senate Bill 168 as amended. There are no Amendments. Senate Bill 168 is currently unamended. I object. It's out of order."
- Speaker Giglio: "House will be at ease. Representative HcCracken, the Parliamentarian informs the Chair that the Amendment is in order and the word 'as amendment' is superfluous."
- McCracken: "A wise ruling. A wise ruling, Mr. Speaker, but I think I respectfully dissent from the ruling."
- Speaker Giglio: "That's your prerogative. Representative Brookins, on Amendment #1."
- Brookins: "Thank you. This Amendment will appropriate \$508,000 to the Board of Governors for State Colleges and Universities to implement the Board of Governor's Human Resource Development Program. And with that, I ask for adoption of this Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1

 to Senate Bill 163. On the question, here...

 Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Amendment. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
- McCracken: "Representative, do you know whether or not this matter has been passed upon or approved by the Board of Higher Ed?"
- Brookins: "No."
- McCracken: "No, you don't know or no, it has not been approved?"
- Brookins: "It has not been. I... It has not been."
- McCracken: "Okay, and is the Governor in favor or opposed to

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

this?"

Brookins: "I think he will be."

McCracken: "I admire optimism. Have the funds been allocated in the Governor's FY '86 budget?"

Brookins: "No."

McCracken: "Mas this been a program request made by the FY '86 budget?"

Brookins: "No."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you. To the Amendment. I... 1 understand that this is not a great deal of money we're talking about relative to the entire budget. However. Board of Governors' Human Resource Development Program is one which I am not personally familiar with. I don't know exactly what it does. I think it is a... some type of board and I don't think it's been adequately justified that half a million dollars should go to a... to a board. talking about education. We're talking about Amendments to help Governors' State. This goes to a board or some sort of development program and I... I think it would be better to make this a clean Bill and I respectfully object to it."

Speaker Giglio: "Purther discussion? Hearing none,
Representative Brookins, to close."

Brookins: "This is a program that has already started in the Board of Governor's schools. It's at all five of their schools. It's just not enough money for them to implement in the way that it should be implemented. And with that, if it's implemented, it will help small businessmen in the areas of these schools. I now ask for your 'aye' vote in favor of this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1

to Senate Bill 168. All those in favor signify by saying
'aye', those opposed. Opinion of the Chair, we'll have a

Roll Call. Mr. Clerk. All those in favor signify by

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Hr. Clerk, take the record. On this Amendment there are 63 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #2."

Leverenz: "Withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #3."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. The Amendment appropriates \$1,200,000 from the General Revenue Funds to the Illinois Development Finance Authority for its ordinary and contingent expenses. I move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for adoption of Amendment #3.

On the question, Representative from DuPage, Mr.

McCracken."

McCracken: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker. I understand now that the Bill would purport to amend an Act relative to a July 17, 1984 effective date and now make the Act effective upon its becoming law... or strike that. Effective July 1, '85. If you look to Section 1 of the Bill, Section 1 of the Bill refers to a law approved July 17, 1984. That Bill, or Act, is amended to take effect, according to this Bill, July 1, 1985, and I think on its face it is a nullity because of that fact, and I would ask the Chair to rule it out of order."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken, could you restate your point? The Parliamentarian was..."

McCracken: "Incognito."

Speaker Giglio: "Bear with me on this one."

McCracken: "The law which this purports to amend is an Act approved July 17, 1984, pursuant to Section 1 of the Bill. That Act, approved July 1... or 17, 1984 will be amended so that the Act, which is being amended will take effect only on July 1, 1985, so that it is not... by its Amendment, it either amends an Act that does not exist or it deletes the effectiveness of an Act which was at one time in existence, therefore amends something that doesn't exist. Is the Parliamentarian... okay, thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Point well taken, Mr. McCracken, but...

Representative Vinson, do you seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Not until I hear what comes after the 'but' and I suspect... no, I better not say that. It might be in poor taste."

Speaker Giglio: "Parliamentarian is going to explain it."

Parliamentarian Pollak: "On behalf of the Speaker, the point is not well taken because the words in the... in Section 1, approved July 17, 1984, is merely descriptive and is not part of the title of the Bill and therefore, the Amendment is in order."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I don't believe the Speaker or the Parliamentarian understood the essence of the objection.

An Amendment is out of order if the situation is that if you adopt the Amendment to the Bill, the Bill becomes meaningless or the Bill can't be read. Now, what Mr. McCracken accurately pointed out to you, and which I'm sure you failed to understand because if you had understood it you'd had to rule with him, is that the... we're dealing

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

here with a supplemental appropriation Bill. Section 1 of the Bill is a supplemental appropriation, a change in an appropriation Bill that we passed last year. Section 3 of the Bill is the effective date of the Bill, the effective date of this Bill. The effective date of this Bill would be July 1. Now, how in the world can you effect ... can you effect an appropriation Bill for this fiscal year. ends June 30th, if the effective date of the Bill is not before June 30? It's just literally impossible. I don't know how often you folks are going to make weird rulings, but one thing you can't effect is time. Time marches and no man can stop time. Half of your Bill only effects what happens before June 30th, but your effective date Bill only effective after June 30th. Speaker, there is no way you can say that that in order, thoughtful, considered idea. Time defies you in this case. I don't care whether you've got 67 votes over there that say time doesn't matter, but in this case, time defies you and I'd ask you to change ruling. I think you now understand the issue. even the Parliamentarian now understands the issue and I'd like you to change your ruling. Would you do that?"

- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Vinson. Your remarks are very well taken and you did a very good job, however, the Amendment is in order. Are there further Amendments? You move to... Any... Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #3. You want to close?"
- Leverenz: "Yes, in closing, I appreciate the fine words from the two Gentlemen on the other side of the aisle and regardless of what they say, I move to adopt the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves to... for the adoption of
 Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 169. On the question,
 Representative Vinson, you seeking recognition?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Vinson: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a few question?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "I now understand that you folks are going to operate things in the fashion that defies the concept of time and the Calendar and I'm even willing to acquiesce in watching the humor of that event, Mr. Leverenz. I... I would just like one question for the record. What about all of those valiant assertions that the people who have spoken on behalf of this agency on this floor have made for years? That this agency would never come in for an appropriation for operating expenses. What happened to those valiant assertions? Why are they suddenly changing?"

Leverenz: "Did... Did you want me to answer that?"

Vinson: "Yeah, yeah."

Leverenz: "Well, keeping with their word, they did not come in.

We are appropriating it and it is our idea."

Vinson: "Do you remember the Speaker standing on this floor saying this will never require an appropriation for operating expenses? The real Speaker or maybe that's just Hr. LaPaille's Chief of Staff."

Leverenz: "Oh, slowly we turned, step by step."

Vinson: "Yes, I thought so. Well, to the Amendment. I'd oppose it because it defies time and it would be a... it would be the Speaker reneging on a promise or at least the Speaker's Chief of Staff reneging on a promise and I'm sure he wouldn't want to do that."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed. Opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Hicks."

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks, on Amendment #4."
- Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 makes an appropriation of \$5,000,000 for the Illinois Development Finance Authority for use in its direct loan program and I'd ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. Any discussion? Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Does the Department Finance Authority currently receive direct appropriations from the... from this Body?

 How is it currently funded?"
- Hicks: "Yes, in the last six years they have received three direct appropriations similar to this."
- McCracken: "Oh, okay. What has the total prior appropriation history been? Has it ever been as much as this?"
- Hicks: "The total amount was approximately 5.5 million dollars in the three appropriations."
- McCracken: "Okay. Now, in the past, has that been deposited with the Treasurer of the state?"
- Hicks: "I'm advised that we believe that it was always made outside of the format of making it to the Comptroller himself."
- McCracken: "To the Comptroller... or Treasurer?"
- Hicks: "In the State Treasury, right. Right."
- McCracken: "Okay, so this is a... an appropriation Amendment which almost equals all of the prior funding history of this Authority and it is to go to the custody of the Treasurer of the Authority which is a change from the prior practice, is that correct?"
- Hicks: "Yes, Tom. I don't believe it's really a change in the format of which we have done it in the past. It has been made in the past to the Treasurer of the Authority and it's actually for direct loans to small businesses."
- McCracken: "Okay. Does this Authority also have bonding

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

authority?"

Hicks: "Yes, they do have."

- McCracken: "And is that bonding authority for the purpose of making loans to small businesses as well?"
- Hicks: "Principally, the bonding authority is for large businesses rather than for small businesses."
- McCracken: "Ckay, do you define anywhere or does the Department define anywhere the distinction between small and large businesses?"
- Hicks: "I don't know what that currently is. The Department itself does have regulation by which they set up the bonding authority itself. Those regulations are within the Department. I'm not aware of what those regulations are, but these funds will be leveraged at a three to one ratio with private source money, not just with state money."

McCracken: "Thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Hicks, to close."
- Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would simply ask for the adoption of the Amendment for the appropriation."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Representative Vinson."

- Vinson: "Well, I want to wait until the next Motion is made and
 I'd like to be recognized as soon as somebody asks for
 leave to hear this on immediate consideration."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks, do you move to have this
 Bill heard immediately?"

Hicks: "It's Representative Leverenz' Bill, please."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, I'm sorry." I'm sorry."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Leverenz: "Yes, Representative Hicks and I would ask to have this heard immediately and hopefully no one will be opposing that because they didn't have the courtesy to walk over here and say, 'I gotta do this.'"

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman... Gentleman moves to have leave to hear Senate Amendment #168 heard immediately... Senate Bill 168. Any discussion? Representative Vinson, are you seeking recognition?"

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, for purposes of discussion."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Vinson: "I object to that Motion because the way this Bill now stands before us, a substantial part of appropriated in the Bill would have to be expended before June 30th, but the effective date in the Bill doesn't occur before June 30th so it couldn't be done. Яe have here a nullity. The Parliamentarian has made a bad ruling on behalf of the Speaker or on behalf of the Speaker's Chief of Staff. It is apparent that the Democrat staff ought to be drafting these Amendments with some greater care. Gentleman might have asked for leave to amend the Amendment its face. He didn't. Might have redrafted Amendment. He didn't. For those reasons, I object and ask for a Roll Call vote on the Gentleman's subsequent Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "On that question, Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'm growing a little weary of
Representative Vinson, and I move the previous question."

Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of suspending the appropriate
rule vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this
question there are 69 voting 'yes', 48 voting 'no' and the
Motion carries. Parliamentarian informs me that this takes
71 votes. Mr. Leverenz."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would you poll the absentee?"

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster. No further."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, what's the count? On this question there are 69 'ayes', 49 'nos', none voting 'present', and the Motion fails. On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 170... or Senate Bill 173. Representative Barnes? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 173, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations and amending certain Acts herein named.

This Bill has been read a second time previously.

Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions on Committee Amendments. Floor
Amendment #4, offered by Representative Mautino."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mautino, on Amendment #4."

Mautino: "Withdraw."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #4. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #5."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #5 is a transfer. It transfers \$400,000 out of personal services and into the line item for operating expenses of the regional offices. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5. Any discussion? Representative Barnes, are you seeking recognition? Hearing none, all those in favor of Amendment #5 signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- Clerk O'Brien: "Ploor Amendment #6, offered by Representative

 Curran and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Curran, Amendment #6.

 Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #6, Curran Leverenz, would appropriate \$40,000 to... from General Revenue to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs for the implementation of a plan to develop the Sangamon State University Co-operative Complex project. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #6."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #6. On the question... hearing none, all those in favor signify... all those in favor signify saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative
 Barnes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #7."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #7 reappropriates \$100,680,128 from the Job Training Partnership Fund. As you recall, this afternoon we removed it from DCCA's main budget and the purpose of putting it in this budget is it will allow for more timely disbursement of funds to service delivery areas. I would move to adopt."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #7.

 Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify
 by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of
 the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #7 is adopted.

 Purther Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative
 Barnes."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #8."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #8 provides a transfer of funds within the budget of the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts. A total of \$89,000 is being transferred from lapsing funds in the group insurance and deposit insurance study line items to provide for cost increases arising from implementation of collective bargaining agreements and increased computer and telephone usage. I would move to adopt."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #8.

 Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify
 by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of
 the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #8 is adopted.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk C'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative Mays."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays, on Amendment #9."
- Hays: "Amendment #9 is technically incorrect. I would like to withdraw that Amendment, please."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves to withdraw Amendment #9.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative
 Hastert."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hastert, on Amendment #10."
- Hastert: "Yes, this does the supplemental in Nuclear Safety and ask for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #10. On the question... Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'.

 Representative Leverenz. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I do believe that Amendment 10 is technically incorrect inasmuch as we adopted Amendment #5. You would now have two Section 17(b)s. Could we make it... Could I

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- offer to the Chair that we would amend this on its face to make it Section 17-1? With leave of the House, I would move that we would amend it on its face to be Section 17-1.
- Speaker Giglio: "Is leave granted? Leave is granted. The Amendment's been amended on its face. Any further discussion?"
- Leverenz: "Remember when I asked you for a vote?"
- Speaker Giglio: "None. All those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'may'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative
 Hastert."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hastert, on Amendment #11, Senate
 Bill 173."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's a transfer of funds from Nuclear Safety Emergency Preparedness Fund of \$45,000 to be used by ESDA to replace equipment no longer furnished by Commonwealth Edison. Ask for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #11. Any discussion? Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Could you explain that in light of adopting Amendment #10? Line 14 in both Amendments is the same. And line 24 and all the other lines. I think we've got to withdraw this, but you better ask Representative Vinson."
- Hastert: "Mr... I think the point is well taken. I would like to move back to Amendment #10 to with... the purpose of withdrawing that... tabling that."
- Leverenz: "Speaker."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman... The Gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #10. Hr. Clerk, take Amendment #11 out of

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- the record and return back to Amendment #10.

 Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I would now move to... and I have filed a written

 Motion to table Amendment \$10, and I think I'd have the

 support of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks to table Amendment #10. Any discussion? Hearing none, Amendment #10 is tabled.

 Purther Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative
 Hastert."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hastert, on Amendment #11."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #11 transfers funds from the Nuclear Safety and Emergency Preparedness Pund, a \$45,000 expenditure and ask for your... the movement of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 173. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #11 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$12, offered by Representative Tate."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Tate, on Amendment #12."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 Amendment #12 appropriates \$23,000 for the Bethany High

 School and participate in the World Band Festival in

 Sarasota, Florida."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #12. Any discussion? Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Could you explain that Amendment again? I'm out of cigars."
- Tate: "Amendment #12..."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Leverenz: "12, rather, yes, you are right."

Tate: "12, it appropriates \$23,000 for the Bethany High School Band to participate in the World Band Festival in Sarasota, Florida."

Leverenz: "What is the formula used by DCCA and would you also explain when are they going?"

Tate: "The formula for DCCA?"

Leverenz: "Yes, the formula DCCA uses to dole out this money."

Tate: "Oh, yeah, it's 20... It's 25 percent, I believe."

Leverenz: "This, then, represents 25 percent of what they raised?"

Tate: "I couldn't respond to that. I'm not sure. I don't know."

Leverenz: "Well, you knew the formula."

Tate: "That's because my staff just told me."

Leverenz: "You had the Amendment drafted for 23,000. Would you tell the Body when are they going?"

Tate: "I think they have already gone."

Leverenz: "Well, you either think or you know."

Tate: "Well, I... I think they have. I'm not sure if they are back yet, though."

Leverenz: "Well, what dates are they to be in Sarasota, Florida?"

Tate: "I'm not sure, Representative Leverenz. I'm really not."

Leverenz: "Well, would you then withdraw the Amendment until you figure out if this meets the formula?"

Tate: "No, I think it's an appropriate Amendment. Everyone else has a band that travels worldwide and..."

Leverenz: "Oh, is it... it's a band that travels worldwide or just within the states?"

Tate: "Well, this band is... yeah, this band is just going to Florida."

Leverenz: "This time."

Tate: "Well, unless they win any other honors, of course. I'm sure they will, though. They are probably one of the best

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

bands in the nation."

Leverenz: "Would they use all \$23,000?"

Tate: "If they don't use it, I'm sure they would return it."

Leverenz: "Hell, that's kind of impossible. It's only 25 percent of what they raise or the total cost of the trip does the state pick up. Would you make a point of sharing with the Hembers of the General Assembly how much the total cost of the trip was and then how much they paid and how much we subsidized so that it would meet the formula?"

Tate: "Representative Leverenz, I really don't know that."

Leverenz: "We might have a little problem on Concurrence. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Johnson. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "This band and this village is not in my district, but because I live in downstate Illinois I'm aware... I really am of the... not only the terrific amount of success have enjoyed, but the pride they have brought to that whole part of the state. For those of you who live in other areas, you have various projects and schools and so forth. We have passed some things earlier today that are important you. This, to the people of this part of the state, very, very important. It's a small town with a group of people and their teachers and so forth who have put a lifetime of work into forming up what's one of bands in the country. It's a minimal amount of money for a terrific amount of civic pride and pride for this whole part of the state and I think they serve and I know from the number of people that I know that are involved with this organization as real goodwill ambassadors for the whole State of Illinois and our whole educational system everywhere they have gone. And I urge you, for this little amount of money, to support Representative Tate in

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

effort to support the Bethany band."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, Representative Tate, would you like to close? Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #12 to Senate Bill 173. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed. the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it. The Member... Mr. Clerk, take the Roll Call. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 59 voting 'yes', 59 voting 'no'. Mr. Tate, are you recognition?"

Tate: "Mr. Speaker, I think it's my right to verify the negatives on this."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, are you seeking recognition?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask Mr. Leverenz if
he couldn't reconsider. After all, we have been here all
day and there have been many other Members that have gotten
their little bands and they are sending them to Hawaii and
some people are coming from Germany and I don't see why
Michael Tate, as a Member of the Appropriation I Committee
who is a faithful Member and always in attendance, can't
get this small amount for a band in his district. I don't
think it's quite fair."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes, I would be happy to work with you. However, if you will recall, the Bill prior to this was 168, my Bill. A loyal Member of the Appropriations Committee that is in attendance every time we have a meeting and I would be very

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- happy to discuss that with you. In the interim, I rise that if it is necessary to verify the green vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Tate, do you proceed... persist in your request? Mr. Clerk, Poll of the Absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No absentees."
- Speaker Giglio: "Excuse... Representative Bullock asks leave to be verified. Does he have leave? Representative Bullock asks leave to be verified. Gentleman has leave. Mr. Clerk... Representative McMaster... or McNamara, he asks leave to be verified. Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. Representative Nash. Representative DeLeo. Representative Hartke. Anybody else seeking recognition? Mr. Clerk, proceed."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Negative Vote. Alexander. Berrios.

 Bowman. Braun."
- Speaker Giglio: "Bepresentative.. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk.

 Representative Bowman asks leave to be verified. Does he
 have leave? Leave is granted. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Breslin. Brookins. Brunsvold. Bullock.

 Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie.

 DeLeo. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi."
- Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Leverenz, are you seeking recognition?"
- Leverenz: "Yes, if the Speaker could simply ask everyone to take their seats, get out of the center aisle and we'll try to find some Roll Call sheets with pictures on them like the Republican freshman use, I appreciate it."
- Speaker Giglio: "Continue, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Greiman. Hanniq. Hartke. Hicks. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Natijevich. Mautino. McNamara. McPike, Nulcahey. Nash. O'Connell. Panayotovich. Pangle. Phelps. Preston. Raa. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Shaw. Soliz. Steczo.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Sutker."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Steczo asks leave to be verified. Does he have leave? Leave is granted. Representative Shaw, you ask leave to be verified? Does he have leave? He's in the back. Leave is granted. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich. Turner. Van Duyne. Vitek.
Washington. White. Wolf. Anthony Young. And Mr.
Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Young asks leave to be verified.

Does he have leave? Leave is granted. Representative
Panayotovich. Mr. Tate, does he have leave? Leave is
granted. Representative Berrios asks leave to be verified.

Leave is granted. Questions of the Negative Roll Call.

Representative Tate."

Tate: "Yes, Representative Berrios."

Speaker Giglio: "He... Representative Berrios..."

Tate: "Oh, he got leave. I'm sorry. He's down here on my list.

Representative Bowman. Nope, he got leave, too. Okay.

Representative Braun."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Braun. Representative Braun. Is

Representative Braun in the chamber? How is the Lady
recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Lady from the Roll Call."

Tate: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Breslin. Is Representative Breslin in the chamber? How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Lady. Representative Laurino asks leave to be verified. Does he have leave? Leave is granted."

Tate: "Representative Brookins."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Brookins, he's in the center aisle."

Tate: "Representative Brunsvold."

Speaker Giglio: "Brunsvold is in the chamber."

Tate: "Representative Christensen."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Christensen is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative Culler... Cullerton is there.

Representative Currie."

Speaker Giglio: "Currie, Representative Currie is in her seat."

Tate: "Representative Curran."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Tuerk?"

Tate: "Curran. Curran."

Speaker Giglio: "Curran. Curran is in the chamber."

Tate: "Okay. Representative DeLeo."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative DeLeo, I believe he had leave."

Tate: "Yeah, you're right. I'm sorry. He did have leave."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kulas asks leave to be verified."

Tate: "Yes, he has leave."

Speaker Giglio: "Leave is granted."

Tate: "Representative Farley... It's kind of... I have given leave to so many people, I have to cross reference here. Farley."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Farley is in the chamber."

Tate: "Okay, Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Giorgi."

Tate: "Yeah, there he is."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Giorgi is in the chamber."

Tate: "Representative Greiman."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman is in conference with the Speaker."

Tate: "Representative... Have I given leave to Keane?

Representative Keane."

Speaker Giglio: "Keane? Representative Keane? He's up on the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker's podium."

Tate: "Okay, Representative Krska."

Speaker Giglio: "Krska. Krska. Representative Krska is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative O'Connell."

Speaker Giglio: "O'Connell. Representative O'Connell is in his chair. Representative Greiman is here... has returned.

Restore him to the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Tate: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mautino. Representative Mautino in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Tate: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston in the chamber?

Representative Preston. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Tate: "Representative Steczo... No, I think we've... Steczo, did we give him leave?"

Speaker Giglio: "Steczo has leave. Yes."

Tate: "Okay. Representative LeFlore, did we give him leave? I can't remember."

Speaker Giglio: "LeFlore?"

Tate: "Yeah."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative LeFlore is in his seat."

Tate: "Representative.. I gave McNamara leave. Let me see.
Representative Soliz, did we give..."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Soliz is in the front of the chamber, Sir."

Tate: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wolf. Is Representative Wolf in the chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Tate: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ronan is in his chair.

Representative Ronan is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative Mulcahey."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mulcahey is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative McPike."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McPike is in the center aisle."

Tate: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Richmond is in his chair and return Representative Breslin to the Roll Call. She has returned."

Tate: "Okay, I... I'm complete."

Speaker Giglio: "No further questions of the Negative Roll Call.

Mr. Clerk, what's the count? Representative Mautino, are
you seeking recognition? Oh, return Representative Mautino
to the Roll Call. Representative Stern, for what purpose
do you rise?"

Stern: "Mr. Speaker, will you change my vote to 'no'?"

Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks to have her vote changed from 'yes' to 'no'. Representative Barnes, you seeking recognition?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to take this Bill out of the record at this time."

Speaker Giglio: "We went... We have to... We are in the middle of
a Roll Call on the Amendment. When we complete the
Amendment, you may proceed with your request.
Representative Younge, for what purpose do you rise?"

Younge: "Change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Change the Lady's vote from 'yes' to 'no'.

Representative Wyvetter Younge, Wyvetter Younge.

Representative DeJaegher. Change Representative

DeJaegher's vote from 'yes' to 'no'. Mr. Clerk. On this

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

question there are 56 voting 'yes', 59 voting 'no'.

Homer... Representative Homer wishes to record as voting
'no'. That's... Ur. Clerk. Representative Tate, you
seeking recognition?"

Tate: "No."

Speaker Giglio: "Oh, alright. The count is 55 voting 'no', 60 voting 'aye', and the... 55 voting... 50... 55 voting 'aye', 60 voting 'no', and the Amendment fails.

Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Nr. Speaker, I would like to take the Bill out of the record at this time."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady asks leave to take the Bill out of the record. Leave is granted. On the Order of Second Reading appears Senate Bill 174, Representative Bowman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 174, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Children and Family Services. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions? Any Motions, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "No Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "I mean... no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "No Committee Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Bowman."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman on Amendment #1."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 deletes Section 2 of the Bill which is the effective date. This is a vehicle Bill they have available at the end of Session in case it is needed for appropriation matters. I move adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 174. On the question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave to have for immediate consideration Senate Bill 174. Does he have leave? Leave is granted by the Attendance Roll Call. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 174, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman for immediate consideration on Senate Bill 174."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise and move passage of Senate Bill 174 as amended. I believe we discussed this on Second Reading. No further... I have no further points to make. I move for passage of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 174. Any discussion? Hearing none, Nr. Clerk. favor signify by voting 'aye'. This is final All those in favor (sic - opposed) signify voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. Senate Bill 174, having received the Majority, Constitutional is hereby declared passed. Representative Barnes on 309. Are you ready? Out of the record. On the Order of Second Reading appears House... Senate Bill 387, Representative Bowman. Hr. Clerk, the Bill, 387."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 387, a Bill for an Act making

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

appropriations to the Department of Public Health. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Bowman."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 387."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 deletes the effective date, and I now move for adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1, and on the question, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, would you explain to me why we're deleting the effective date on a Bill?"

Bowman: "So that the Bill becomes a pure vehicle, Representative Vinson. There were some nasty rumors floating around that this Bill actually had some substance to it, and I just wanted to lay those rumors to rest. We want to make sure the Senate has an excuse to put this Bill in the Conference Committee."

Vinson: "We want to make sure what?"

Bowman: "The Senate has reason to put the Bill into Conference Committee. If we don't put any Amendment on this Bill, it goes right to the Governor's desk. And we're not serious about the Bill. It's a vehicle Bill for appropriation matters in case we need it. If we don't need it, we won't use it."

Vinson: "Don't we have a lot of Bills in Conference?"

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

Bowman: "Representative Vinson, you ain't seen nothing yet."

Vinson: "No further questions."

Speaker Giglio: "No further questions. Further discussion?

Representative Bowman moves for the adoption of Amendment
#1. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those
opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes'
have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Representative Bowman moves for the immediate consideration of Senate Bill 387. Does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 387, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Department of Public Health. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe we've had a complete discussion on this Bill. I move for passage of Senate Bill 387."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate 387. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', Bill those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. This final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. Senate Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Second Reading on page 5 of the Calendar, appears Senate Bill 451. Representative Barnes, are you ready with 451? Senate Bill 451. Department of Central Management Services. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 451, a Bill for an Act making

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Central Management Services. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 3, 10, and 11 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative

Barnes and Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes on Amendment #12 to Senate
Bill 451."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #12 increases the contractual services line by \$50,000 for a contract by competitive bid to review claims for unemployment insurance by state employees. I would move 'do adopt.'"

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #12. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #12 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #13."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This would appropriate to Central Management Services \$700,000 in General Revenue funds for payment of wage... employee wage claims in situations where the fiscal year and the lapsed period have expired. I'd move for the adoption of Amendment 13."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #13 to Senate Bill 451. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #13 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, offered by Representative

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Turner."

Braun - Turner and Anthony Young."

Turner: "Thank you, Madam Chairman... I mean, Mr. Chairman,
Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Amendment #14
appropriates \$100,000 to the Department of Central
Management Services for the payment of expenses related to
assisting the funding of small businesses under the Small
Business Bonding Assistance Act. I ask for your favorable
adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman... the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #14. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

Amendment #14 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, offered by Representative
Leverenz and Barnes."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #15."

Leverenz: "Here's a good one just to show you the spirit of cooperation. All of this? The sum of \$2,300,000 of General Revenue monies. We want to have Central Management Services acquire a building right here in Springfield."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Representative Leverenz.

Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Is that a... or is there a different one?"

Barnes: "I believe we're discussing Amendment #15, Mr. Speaker, and he's talking about Amendment #16. If he would like to think about it."

Leverenz: "Oh ... "

Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #15."

Leverenz: "Is 15 printed and distributed? Well, they didn't want

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

to share it with us."

Speaker Giglio: "The Amendment has been distributed."

Leverenz: "Well, then have her explain it."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks Representative Barnes to explain Amendment #15."

Barnes: "Amendment #15, Leverenz - Barnes, appropriates \$250,000 for payments of awards to the previously mentioned cases rather than going to the court of claims."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Representative

Leverenz, you seeking recognition? Amendment #15."

Leverenz: "Yes, in the spirit of cooperation for the Lady that makes every Appropriations Committee and is a good loyal Hember of the Committee, I would support the Amendment."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? All those in favor on Amendment #15 signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #15 to Senate Bill 451 has been adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16, offered by Representative
Oblinger and Barnes."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Oblinger on Amendment #16.

Representative Oblinger, do you care to address the Amendment?"

Oblinger: "Yes, this is for the \$2,300,000 to buy the building at 5th and Capitol in which is located the Department of Financial Institutions and the Department on Aging. They'd been there for now for 8 years. I think it's about time we started to think of buying instead of paying rent."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #16. Any discussion? Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

Leverenz: "You want 2.3 million to do what?"

Oblinger: "To buy the building at 5th and Capitol in which is located Financial Institutions and the Department on Aging.

They've been located there since 1977, and they've been paying rent for the 8 years. It looks like they're going to continue to be there. I think it'd be a good investment to purchase it rather than keep on paying rent."

Leverenz: "Who owns the building?"

Oblinger: "I think it's Mr. Ralph... it is..."

Leverenz: "Horowitz and Clark."

Oblinger: "I think it's Horowitz."

Leverenz: "Has there been an appraisal of the property?"

Oblinger: "Has there been an appraisal?"

Leverenz: "Let me rephrase the question. Has a person qualified to make valuations of property given anyone a value of the property?"

Oblinger: "I understand that there have been three appraisals, and this is taking the average of all three. However, I'd like to advise you, Representative, that I used to be the assessor for the City of Springfield. I think I still have some knowledge. I think this is a very very fair price."

Leverenz: "But if they don't sell, will the state utilize condemnation?"

Oblinger: "I would think not."

Leverenz: "What does the annual lease cost?"

Oblinger: "I can get that for you immediately."

Leverenz: "Okay. Tell me immediately. Perhaps while you're looking that up, you'll respond to another question. Why is this not in CDB's Budget instead of CMS?"

Oblinger: "I don't serve on the Appropriations Committee. I just know what has to be done and should be done."

Leverenz: "Well, take that, Ted."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Oblinger: "Well."

Leverenz: "Would we have a figure of what we pay in rental for this space currently?"

Oblinger: "He's on the phone getting it now, Sir."

Leverenz: "Is there any possibility that either one of these agencies could move into the new Willard Ice Building on the first floor where the Lottery, since the building opened, has not moved into, and the space has been vacant since the building opened?"

Oblinger: "I would suspect that the Lottery better move in from out in the boondocks where they are, where nobody can get to them, and leave Aging where it is, which is accessible to all the older people who use the Department."

Leverenz: "Is this in the Governor's Budget?"

Oblinger: "Yes, it is. This is an Administration Amendment."

Leverenz: "Is it in his original budget? The answer to that,
Representative, unfortunately is no. It is not in his
original budget. This is an agreed add-on. Have we found
out what the lease cost is per year? I mean, we might only
pay \$100,000 a year, and we could stay..."

Oblinger: "Are you an optimist?"

Leverenz: "No, they rejected my application. But we may only pay \$100,000, and we could stay here for 20 years for this amount of money."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Greiman in the Chair."

Leverenz: "And could it be separated..."

Oblinger: "Alright. I now have it. The rental is \$238,612 a year for the three departments."

Leverenz: "Annually for all three different departments we pay \$239,000. What additionally do we pay for the associated parking facility at a different address at 221 East Capitol?"

Oblinger: "421 East Capitol is 5th and Capitol. There are about

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

9 parking spaces in conjunction with this building."

Leverenz: "Are those included in the rent?"

Oblinger: "Yes, they are."

Leverenz: "And for how many years could we continue to rent, rather than use General Revenue funds to buy the building? It seems unconscionable with what we've had with prior Amendments to burn up that type of General Revenue funds for something we should perhaps bond. Has that Central Management Services made the decision that they should buy versus lease or build as we have through... the Audit Commission found they should?"

Oblinger: "During the ten year period, we could've purchased the building for the amount of rent, approximately. I recommended when I was Director of the Department of Aging that it would be a wise move to buy the building. At that time, I was told that this would be under consideration. I'm glad to see it has finally come to fruition, and that they've realized that the rent should be put into payments to purchase a building."

Leverenz: "I would stand to oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Oblinger."

Leverenz: "Vote red."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Oblinger to close."

Oblinger: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker. Sometimes it's hard for me to understand opposition to Bills. I've never participated in anything called a Christmas tree thing around here in the eight years I've been here. When I put in an Amendment, it's because I believe it's a really worthwhile Amendment, and I'm not trying to pork barrel. I'm trying to do something that I think is to the wellbeing to the state and to the department in which it represents. I ask you to vote for this Amendment, because I truly believe it's worthwhile."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon moves for the adoption of Amendment 16 to Senate Bill 451. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it, and the Amendment fails. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #..."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, she asked before I... All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 58 voting 'aye', 56 voting 'no'... Yes, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Hell, I'll poll the one absentee and verify the affirmative."

Speaker Greiman: "Poll the absentee. Mr. Richmond. Mr. Richmond. Mr. Richmond votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentee."

Speaker Greiman: "Poll the absentee."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stern."

Speaker Greiman: "Stern. Stern."

Stern: "Ar. Speaker, I would have preferred to remain silent through this, but I will vote 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Stern votes 'no'. White votes 'no'. Krska votes 'no'. Soliz votes 'no'. Mr. Turner votes 'no'. On this question, there are 55 voting 'aye', 62 voting 'no'.

And the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17, offered by Representative

Barnes and Huff."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I added Representative Huff's name to this because this is in his district. It appropriates \$90,000 to CMS for repairs in the Chicago Medical Center Complex for the

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- heating plant. I would ask for an 'aye' vote, and do adopt this Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Inquiry of the Chair. The Amendment is sponsored by who?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Barnes Huff."
- Leverenz: "An excellent Amendment. I would hope we would all support it."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes asks leave of the House to waive Rule 37(c) using the Attendance Roll Call so that this Bill may be heard at this time. Does the Lady have leave? She has leave. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 451, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Central Management Services. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As the budget was originally introduced in the Appropriations Committee, it was \$377,422,900. As amended in Committee, it was \$377,607,200 and then we added some Amendments this afternoon. I would ask for 'do adopt' for this Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves for the passage of Senate Bill 451. On that, there being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 109... 110 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of House Bills... Senate Bills... Senate Bills... Senate Bills... Senate Bills...

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 463, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Barnes."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "I would like to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 withdrawn. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Barnes."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "Amendment #2 provides for one technical advisor, one secretary, and two correspondents to be hired on August 1, 1985, and one technical advisor to be hired on September 1, 1985. Additionally provides necessary equipment and other related support items and additional office space in the Springfield office. The total for personal services, retirement, social security, group insurance, contractual travel, commodities, equipment, telecommunications, \$167,250. I would move 'do adopt' on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 463. There being no

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, asks leave of the House to use the Attendance Roll Call to waive Rule 37(c) so the Bill may be considered at this time. Does the Lady have leave? She has leave. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 463, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 463 appropriates approximately 6.7 million for the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts. I move 'do adopt.'"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves for the passage of Senate Bill 463. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open, and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Clerk, take the record. wish? Mr. On this question, 'aye', 2 voting 'no', none voting-112 voting This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Brunsvold, for what purpose do you arise?"
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My button wasn't operating.

 Be recorded as 'aye' on that vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "The transcripts will indicate that you favored

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

that Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, it is the intention of the Chair to go to the Order of Concurrences on page 8 of the Calendar to entertain Motions of the Sponsor only to nonconcur... to nonconcur, so that the Chair will recognize Sponsors of Bills on the Order of Concurrence for Motions to nonconcur. Alright. On page 8... Hr. Johnson, the Gentleman from Champaign."

Johnson: "We're just going to do it a page at a time of the Calendar. Is that right?"

Speaker Greiman: "A page at a time?"

Johnson: "No, I have a Bill I want to nonconcur on, but it's not on page 8."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, no. We're starting on page 8, and then we'll move along yes."

Johnson: "I would move to nonconcur..."

Speaker Greiman: "No wait. Well, I'm going to call the Bills in order. You know how fussy Mr. Vinson gets about those things."

Johnson: "Yes, but he wouldn't be fussy about me."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, you never know, Mr. Johnson. You never know. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I won't be fussy with Mr. Johnson."

Speaker Greiman: "I will be. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I'm glad you said that."

Speaker Greiman: "I got your wink. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 38, Mr. Wolf. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 49. Ms. Younge, do you wish to nonconcur? No. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 50, Mr. Preston. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 52. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 52. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 60, Mr. Curran. Do you wish to nonconcur? Mr. Curran."

63rd Legislative Day June 27, 1985

Curran: "Wish to concur."

Speaker Greiman: "No, Mr. Curran. We're just taking nonconcurrence, and you don't want to nonconcur."

Curran: "We do not want to nonconcur."

Amendment on House Bill 123."

Speaker Greiman: "I know. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 69, Mr. Rea. On the Order of Concurrence. appears House Bill 82, Mr. Pangle. Out of the record. the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 93. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 90. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 100. Mr. Hartke, do you wish nonconcur? Out οf record. the On the Order Concurrence, appears House Bill 112, Out of the record. House Bill 123. Do you wish to nonconcur? Mr. Brookins." Brookins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to nonconcur with the

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brookins, wish to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1... to House Bill 123. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 123. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 131, Mr. Giorgi. Do you wish to nonconcur?"

Giorgi: "I'd like to move to concur to House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, you wish to nonconcur?"

Giorgi: "To concur."

Speaker Greiman: "No, we're only doing nonconcurrence. Now we're on page 9. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 137. Out of the record. On the Order of Nonconcurrence, appears House Bill 150. Out of the record. 152, Mr. Mautino. Out of the record. 157. Out of the record. House Bill 167. Out of the record. House Bill 182, Mr.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Ont οf the Kulas. record. House Bill 202. Ms. Out of the record. Satterthwaite. House Bill 204. Cullerton. Out of the record. This is to nonconcur. Mr. Cullerton, on House Bill 204. Mr. Cullerton. Out of record. House Bill 228, Ms. Zwick. Out of the record. House Bill 231, Mr. Nash. Yes, Mr. Cullerton, for purpose do you seek recognition?"

Cullerton: "Would you entertain a Motion on that Bill to nonconcur with some Amendments and concur with others at this time?"

Speaker Greiman: "No."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Again on the Order of Concurrence, appears
House Bill 243, Mr. Klemm. Out of the record. House Bill
253. Out of the record. House Bill 257, Mr. Mautino. Out
of the record. House Bill 261, Mr. Terzich. Out of the
record. Mr. Mautino. Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It should not appear on today's Calendar. There was a Motion yesterday on 257 for October 16."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, okay. On House Bill 261, Mr. Terzich. 265. 263. 270, Mr. Terzich. Out of the record. House Bill 301, Mr. Bowman. Out of the record. House Bill 312, Mr. Soliz. Do you wish to nonconcur? Well. Ladies since I have no great interest in hearing and Gentlemen. myself read from the Calendar, perhaps it might be a better way to recognize dembers who have Motions who wish to nonconcur. And on that, I recognize Representative Johnson. Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur to the Senate Amendments on House Bill 1188."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign moves that the House nonconcur on Senate Amendment ! to House Bill ! 188.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

And on that, is there discussion? There being none... Yes, Mr.... Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I believe this is the first nonconcurrence we have, and I think that it would be a good policy if we were to have just a brief explanation of the Senate Amendment and the reasons why the Sponsor wishes to nonconcur."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson, Mr. Cullerton asks for an explanation of the reasons for nonconcurrence, and an explanation of the Amendment."

Johnson: "I don't know."

Cullerton: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "The Amendment allows contest over custody by a person other than the surviving parent. We want, in Conference, to eliminate that, except where the surviving parent is found unfit, as defined under current law."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I didn't mean to be facetious. I know what the Amendment does. I tell you candidly, the other reason is that there is a noncontroversial Bill that everybody agrees to, that Representative Didrickson has asked us to put on in Conference Committee, and I have acceded to her wishes, and that's the primary reason I want to nonconcur. Completely noncontroversial."

McCracken: "Before we go any further, I didn't know that."

Johnson: "Now you do."

McCracken: "The other reason is what I said."

Johnson: "Right. I adopt Representative McCracken's comments by reference."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign moves that the
House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1188.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1188. Mr. Levin. Mr. Levin, if you're going to give me a Bill, give me the page number."

Levin: "Okay. Page 16, House Bill 1763. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "And what is that, Mr. Levin?"

Levin: "There... there's a technical problem in the Amendment in that it refers to 'privately owned'. This is the Blind Vendors' Bill. And the Senate Sponsor found out after he put the Amendment on that the program actually owns the facilities, and so we have to take out the references to 'privately owned'. The intent is to leave in the rest of the Amendment, but to take out the references to 'privately owned'."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Hr. Levin, moves that
the House 'do nonconcur' with Senate Amendment 1 to House
Bill 1763. And on that, the Gentleman from McLean, Mr.
Ropp."

Ropp: "I just... no."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, alright. Alright. Is there any discussion? Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Representative Levin, are you saying that you would... would be inclined to accept the Senate Amendment even though... if it was properly drafted?"

Levin: "The... the intent of the Senate Sponsor was to provide...

the Bill authorizes us to opt into what the Pederal
Randolph 'Shephard' Act provides in terms of blind vendor
vending machines along the roadside. The concern that
this... that Senator Nedza had in the Senate, Chairman of
Senate Transportation Committee, is if no blind vendor

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

participates — takes a particular location — the Bill provides that they can put it out for a private bid. He wanted to ensure that any cost of maintenance in terms of a private contractor would be picked up by... by that private contractor."

Cullerton: "Okay, I just ... was the Amendment ... "

Levin: "I would leave... I would leave the changes from 'shall' to 'may'."

Cullerton: "So, the Amendment was improperly drafted by whoever did it in the Senate."

Levin: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, has moved and has moved that the House do nonconcur in Amendment I to House Bill 1763. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House opinion of does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill Ladies and Gentlemen, we're just going to stand at ease for couple of minutes to give all of the Members who wish to nonconcur the opportunity to come to the well and place the... the House Bill number on... their name and the House Bill number on the pad provided by the Clerk. The Chair is unable to tell whether you want to speak on the Motion, or whether you wish to have a Bill nonconcurred with. we'll just stand at ease for a few minutes while this group assembles. Alright. The House will come to order. On the Order of Concurrence, appears... I'm sorry. Leave to move on these nonconcurrence Bills on the order... Without any ... without numerical order. The Gentleman ... does the Chair have leave? Leave is granted. Thank you. On the Order of Concurrences, appears... we are taking only nonconcurrence Motions, appears House Bill 692. 692.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wish to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1.

The Amendment changes the effective date from immediate to the first of January 1986, and this Bill is so important that I want it to go into effect as soon as the Governor signs it. So, I would ask that we nonconcur with this Senate Amendment..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 692, and on that is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "This is the State Police Bill on Chicago interstates?"

Cullerton: "No, this says that the state police shall patrol all the interstates in the state."

McCracken: "Does the Senate Sponsor intend to recede?"

Cullerton: "I have no idea."

McCracken: "Now, how is this going to come out when it's done?"

Cullerton: "It's going to have something to do with lotteries."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Bill... in House Bill 692 to Senate Amendment #1.

All in favor... Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, what was wrong with that Senate
Amendment?"

Cullerton: "The Bill, as you know, requires the state police to patrol the interstates throughout the entire state, and it's come to our attention that there's certain portions of DuPage County and in Cook County where they're not policing. Now if this Governor decides to sign the Bill, we want it to go into effect immediately, and

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

unfortunately, the Senate Sponsor changed the effective date to January 1, 1986."

Vinson: "Hell, if it went into effect immediately, wouldn't he be
a lot less likely to sign the Bill because he wouldn't be
in a position where the state police could prepare to do
what you're asking them to do."

Cullerton: "Well, that's the ironic thing. They're already prepared to do it. They've already identified the 100 state troopers who are going to move from Clinton, Illinois and other parts throughout the state up to Chicago. And housing is available, and they're going to go and start patrolling the interstates right away. And that's why we need the Bill to go into effect as soon as the Governor signs it."

Vinson: "You're telling me that this is all alright, then."

Cullerton: "Right. That's right. This is okay."

Vinson: "Okay."

Cullerton: "Okay."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 692?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 692. On the Order of Concurrence, appears Senate Bill 1182, Mr. Cullerton. House Bill 1182."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1182. This Bill delays the implementation of the Speedy Trial For Juveniles Act that we passed last Session. The Bill extended that implementation of the Act from this Monday to January 1st of 1986. Unfortunately, the Senate extended the deadline even further to July of 1986. So, I don't accept that, and I move to nonconcur

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

with the Senate Amendment."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1182.

 And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1182?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1182. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 1392, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen I would move to nonconcur of the House. with Senate Amendments #... I believe there's three. 1. 2. and 3 offered in the Senate. The Bill deals with the Parentage The Amendments eliminate filing an appearance fees in cases of consent. This could have serious financial impacts on the counties. I don't agree with it. There's other technical problems that have been discovered as a result of Amendment #2, and for that reason... as well as # 3. For that reasons, I would move to nonconcur with the three Senate Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1392. Is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3 to Senate... to House Bill 1392?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 894, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 894."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 894. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 894?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes'... Mr. Cullerton, do you wish to speak on that?"
- Cullerton: "We do... we do want to have an explanation of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Cullerton, you did. Mr. Bowman."
- "Okay. Bowman: Representative Cullerton, the Bill provides for installation of special telecommunication devices for the deaf at transportation facilities. Now, the intent of Bill is to have them installed in things like airports and railroad terminals. The CTA, however, is concerned that the language in the Bill might require them at every... each and every El station and so forth. That was not the intent of the Bill. That's why we want to put it in a Conference Committee to clarify the problem with respect..."
- Cullerton: "Well, was the Amendment that was adopted in the Senate, was it adopted... was it improperly drafted?"
- Bowman: "Yes, it should have been a little more tight... more tightly drawn. The basic idea of the Amendment, however, is acceptable. It just needs to be a little more tightly drawn."
- Cullerton: "Well, we can have that debate when we have the

 Conference Committee, because I may not personally agree

 with that, but I'm very much in favor of sending it to a

 Conference Committee."
- Bowman: "Oh, I see. Maybe I should concur. No, we'll... renew my Motion to nonconcur."

Cullerton: "Fine."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 894. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 894.

On the Order of Concurrence, appears Senate... House Bill 1970, Hr. Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to vote for... to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1 which deletes the effective date. And we want it to go into effect right away because of the provisions of the basic law."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Pedersen, moves that the House do nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1970. And on that, there being no discussion.

Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Cullerton: "Representative, who is the Senate Sponsor of the Bill?"

Pedersen: "Senator Davidson."

Cullerton: "And who is the Senate Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Pedersen: "Davidson."

Cullerton: "And so, this Bill was proposed by the Department of Central Management Services?"

Pedersen: "Yes."

Cullerton: "And you are saying that you disagree with the Senate's Amendment?"

Pedersen: "Yes."

Cullerton: "And so, you're going to go tell Senator Davidson to recede from the Amendment. Is that correct?"

Pedersen: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Thank you."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1970. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 1172, Mr. Brookins. 11...

 I'm sorry. 1142. 1142."
- Brookins: "Mr. Speaker, I'd nonconcur with the Amendment in
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Brookins, Mr. Cullerton wishes a brief explanation of the character of that Amendment."
- Brookins: "Give me a minute. Let me pull my records on that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Brookins, what do you want..."

 Brookins: "Give me one second, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Surely. Are you prepared? Are you ready, Mr. Brookins, to proceed? Mr. Brookins, we could get back to you. We can certainly get back to you. The Gentleman from Cook, Hr. Brookins."
- Brookins: "It's an Amendment that was offered by Senator Jones, and what the Amendment is... requires to control the... to conduct a hearing before revoking or refusing the issue of the renewal of trustee license. And I wish to nonconcur in that Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brookins, moves that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1142. The Gentleman from Madison, Majority Leader McPike."
- HcPike: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, but I could not understand his
 explanation."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Brookins."
- Brookins: "This is a... requires the Comptroller to conduct a hearing before revoking or refusing to issue or renew the trustee license of a funeral director... that's his trust

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

fund license."

McPike: "Well, it appears... Well, what's wrong with that? It looks like it's a decent Amendment. I don't understand what's wrong with the Amendment. It simply requires an applicant for a license as a trustee to contain a statement of the applicant's approximate net worth rather than a detailed statement of assets and liabilities, as currently required. This looks like the Amendment weakens the current statute and would help funeral directors. Why would you be against concurring in the Amendment? Representative Brookins."

Brookins: "The reason that I'm not concurring in the Amendment is because that we need to take it and make some corrections on it."

McPike: "Well, what corrections are you looking to make, Representative Brookins?"

Brookins: "We're going to clean up the language, McPike,"

McPike: "Representative Brookins, what's the title of this Act?" Brookins: "This is the Funeral Directors' Trust Fund. Funeral

and Burial Trust Act."

McPike: "Oh, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Absolutely. He'll yield for a question."

Pullen: "Is it your intention to put this into Conference Committee, Sir?"

Brookins: "That is correct."

Pullen: "Do you have any contemplation on what might come out of that Conference Committee?"

Brookins: "I have no idea."

Pullen: "Is this going to come out looking like House Bill 1884 or Senate Bill 293?"

Brookins: "Not sure."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Pullen: "Haven't chosen yet which one, huh? Thank you very much."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."
- Tate: "Representative Brookins, what exactly were your objections to Senate Amendment #!?"
- Brookins: "Mr. Tate, we want to clean up the language."
- Tate: "Representative Brookins, do you have any designs on putting this into the Conference Committee Report for... to repeat Senate Bill 293?"
- Brookins: "It'll be assigned to the Conference Committee, Mr.

 Tate. And as you know, in the Conference Committee, a

 couple of your people will be there, and we'll work all of
 that out."
- Tate: "Well, Representative Brookins, is... in regards to that issue, has there been any agreement yet between the senior citizens of this state, the consumers, the funeral home directors, as well as the insurance industry and cemetery owners?"
- Brookins: "Mr. Tate... Representative Tate, that would be a long explanation on all of those folks, but I can tell you that over 75 to 80 percent of the people that you named, they is in agreement."
- Tate: "Speaker, to the Motion on nonconcurrence. I would oppose the Gentleman's Motion and ask for a Roll Call. I think all the Members of this Assembly certainly have gone through the motions of deliberating on those issues that we've already discussed. And it doesn't seem like, at this point, any agreement has been made, and I'm sure that the vast majority of Members would prefer not to entertain that until we can work out some reasonable compromise between

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

all those interested parties. So, I would encourage a 'no' vote on this..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Sir. Mr. Brookins, Mr. Keane has a question for you. I assume you yield for a question."

Brookins: "Yes, Representative Keane."

Keane: "Your Bill amends the... a Bill that we had... 297, or 293, or whatever it was, and I had an Amendment. As that Bill fell off the Calendar yesterday, so also did my Amendment. My Amendment dealt, as you probably are aware... my Amendment dealt with the..."

Brookins: "Representative Keane, I just ask that I'll work with you and talk with you..."

Keane: "Well, no, I want you to do more than work with me. I want..."

Brookins: "I'll talk with you in a few minutes concerning that."

Keane: "Well, I'm going to give you a commitment with my 'aye'

vote. I want a commitment from you that if it goes in and

it comes out and it deals with the subject matter of my

Amendment, that you, in effect, take the way my Amendment

was written. Otherwise, I can't support your Motion."

Brookins: "I'll strongly consider it."

Keane: "I... I'll strongly vote against your Motion if that...

Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, Mr. Brookins to close. Brookins to close. Brookins to close."

Brookins: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, I'll ask you for your favorable vote in this matter."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

take the record. On this question, there are 36 voting 'aye', 71 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And the House does... and the Motion to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1142 fails. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 1109, Mr. Wolf. Mr. Wolf."

- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1109. The Amendment that was put on in the Senate had the effect of gutting the Bill as it was originally proposed, and I move to nonconcur."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison moves that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1109.

 And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1109.

 On the Order of Concurrence, appears Senate Bill... I'm sorry... House Bill 1641, Mr. Hastert. Mr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1641. In our opinion there's a technical problem with Senate Amendment #1, and I ask for your support on this nonconcurrence."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1641.

 And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1641.

 On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 809, Mr. Rea."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move for nonconcurrence on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 809 which amends the river conservancy districts. The... there's two reasons for nonconcurring; one is further clarification on a restrictive district; and secondly, the Department of Transportation has a noncontroversial provision that they would like to place into the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea, moves that the House do 'nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 809. And on that, is there any discussion? being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Amendment... Senate Amendment #1?* Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur # 1 to House Bill 809. On the Order Concurrence, appears Senate Bill 2103 (sic -House). Flowers. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence, appears Senate... House Bill 1292. Mr. Hensel. 1292, Mr. Hensel."

Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to nonconcur with House Bill 1292, Senate Amendment #1. Actually the... I have no problem with the Amendment #1. Since the Senate has amended it, I have come across more information that will make this Bill a much better Bill. It's being drafted now, and I would like to send it back to the Senate so we can make this Bill a better Bill. And I ask for nonconcurrence."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1292. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Bill 1292. On the Order of Senate Bill... of House Bill... Concurrence, appears House Bill 1947, Mr. Barger."

Barger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur to Senate
Amendment #1 to House Bill 1947."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Barger, did you explain it?"

Barger: "You want an explanation?"

Speaker Greiman: "Well, I don't, but Mr. Cullerton does."

Barger: "Mr. Cullerton wants an ex... He's not even listening.

The explanation to this is that in the Senate, someone apparently inadvertently put an Amendment on this Bill to delete the, or to remove the control of the Department of Health from the sanitary facilities of large gatherings.

And this seems to me to be ridiculous, and I think it should be removed."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Barger, moves that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to Senate... House Bill 1947, and on that, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Oh, I... Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Yes. The Senate Amendment repeals the Act... I see, it repeals the Act. It doesn't amend the Act. Wasn't there a Bill dealing with mass gatherings?"

Barger: "The thing that it repeals is the portion of the state statutes that dealt with mass gatherings. It does not repeal the original part of the Bill."

Cullerton: "So, the..."

Barger: "The original part of the Bill repealed a Section of the state statute. The Amendment repealed another part under the health and... under the Department of Health which was the Department of Health's obligation to control sanitary things and things of that nature in mass gatherings."

Cullerton: "And why would Senator Donahue want to allow people to have 25,000 person mass gathering without getting a permit

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

from the Department of Public Health?"

Barger: "I have not spoken to Senator Donahue, and I have no idea."

Cullerton: "When was the last time she had 25,000 people in her district in one... in one location, if ever?"

Barger: "I think this Bill was enacted back at the time of the outdoor rock concerts."

Cullerton: "Woodstock?"

Barger: "Yes. We had something similar to that here in Springfield last year with the car weekend that they had the last weekend of our Session, and you remember the problems that developed there. So, I think it's worthwhile to..."

Cullerton: "Okay. I agree with your Motion, then."

Barger: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Barger: "Go ahead."

Levin: "It seems to me we had a Bill which was amended or was intended on being amended that dealt with mass gatherings that had an Amendment that would deal with Wrigley Field."

Barger: "I know nothing of that Bill, and I know nothing of the reason for Senator Donahue amending this onto my Bill. And I do somewhat resent it, but I will, before... before the Senate acts on it again, discuss with her the reasons for her having done it. I think it's wrong, and it should be taken off."

Levin: "Is it your commitment that this Bill will not be used for anything relating to Wrigley Field, if it goes to Conference?"

Barger: "To the best of my knowledge, there's no reason. This is

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- the... deals with public health. It has nothing at all to do with Wrigley Field."
- Levin: "So, your representation to this Body is that it will not be used for that purpose, for Wrigley Field."
- Barger: "I have no idea what the Conference Committee is going to
 do. You've been here long enough to know that I have no
 control over the Conference Committee. If it does go to
 one and I sincerely hope it doesn't I will ask Senator
 Donahue to recede."
- Levin: "Alright. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1947?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1947. On the Order of Concurrence, appears Senate Bill... I'm sorry, House Bill 1801, Ms. Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Yes, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 on
 House Bill 1901. The Amendment needs some clarification
 with regards to the Governor's Commission on Science and
 Technology and what is really meant."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1801. And on that is there any discussion? Is there a Senate Amendment #1, Ms. Didrickson?"
- Didrickson: "Yes, there is."
- Speaker Greiman: "And you're moving to just nonconcur with that... with #2."
- Didrickson: "With Senate Amendment #2. That's correct."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson, has moved that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1901. And on that, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2?' Those in favor say

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1801. Mr. Cullerton, yes."
- Cullerton: "So, on these... an inquiry. On these Bills where they wish... does she wish to concur with Senate Amendment #12"
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, we are not... we are not entertaining Hotions to concur."
- Cullerton: "Right, so let's go back to... let's go back to the Bill then."
- Speaker Greiman: "We will have to. Yes. I don't know if it was her intention to take this Bill back and have it... and have the Senate recede. If that's her intention, she should wait and ask for a concurrence on one Amendment and nonconcurrence on the other. And then have it go back with an opportunity or possibility of the Senate receding, but that was not her request. Ms. Didrickson?"
- Didrickson: "That would be my request, Mr. Speaker. I did not phrase that properly."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, we're not considering Motions to concur.

 So... it's just... it's just out of the record. We'll take
 it out of the record. We'll take it out of the record so
 that we can clearly deal with the Bill at the appropriate
 time. On the Order of House Bills, Concurrence, appears
 House Bill 497, Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 497, I move to nonconcur in it. There is Senate Amendment 1, 2, and 3 in our Calendar, but I really have never seen Amendment 2 and 3, and Senate Amendment 1 needs some grammatical errors corrected. And I move to nonconcur."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp, moves that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendment 3 to... is that 1, 2, and 3, Mr. Ropp?"

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Ropp: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Greiman: "1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 497, and on that, Mr. Cullerton. Alright. The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 497?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 497. On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 1279, Mr.... Mr. HcPike."

McPike: "Thank you, ar. Speaker. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #! to House Bill 1279. House Bill 1279 was introduced by Representative Davis and myself as an RTA vehicle Bill. The Amendment on... in the Senate is inconsequential. I'm moving to nonconcur so we can get into a Conference Committee, so that we can put into the language that Representative Davis and I have worked... worked out over our last few days in a few meetings."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, moves that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1279. And on that, is there any discussion? ... Amendment #1 and 2... 1 is that all? 1. A11 those favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1279. Mr. Giglio, would you come to the podium, Sir? Representative Giglio in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 43!, Representative Ropp, on the Order of Nonconcurrence. Excuse me, Representative Breslin in the Chair. It's on the Order of Concurrence. Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House, I move to nonconcur in House Bill 431. It is a... Senate Amendment #1 that, in my judgement, is not in the best interest of the intent of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

the State of Illinois. It deals with county fairs, and my Bill originally deals with the State Fair Act. And I move to nonconcur."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 431. And on that question, there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 431?* All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed In the opinion of the Chair, the say 'nay'. and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 431. Senate Bill 9... House Bill 971. Pepresentative Brunsvold. Representative Brunsvold."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 971."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 971. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 971?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 971. The next Bill is House Bill 2426, Representative Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 2426. I've discussed it with Representative Nash, who is my Chief hyphenated Sponsor with me on this Bill, and the Department of Conservation has an issue they would like to resolve in Conference Committee."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate
 Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 2426. Is there any
 discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #... the Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike, on the question."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Is the Motion only to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3?"

Speaker Breslin: "No, it's to nonconcur in all three Senate
Amendments. 1, 2, and 3 were adopted in the Senate."

McPike: "Would the Sponsor explain the Amendments?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "Yes, Senate Amendment #1 deals with snowmobiling. There's nothing controversial about any of the Amendments they've got. The issue that came up, however, was a court ruling about 2 or 3 weeks ago which had to deal with windsurfers as not being classified as a boat, and there are not any Bills around for vehicles for that subject matter. And so we figured we'd try to get that on."

McPike: "What is the subject matter?"

Mays: "Windsurfers. They're not classified as boats pursuant to a court, and that takes them off all state lakes and areas like that. It's a very major issue. I discussed it with Representative Nash and the Conservation Department. That is the intent, to take care of that court ruling on this Bill."

McPike: "The Court ruling prohibits?"

Mays: "They interpreted windsurfers as non-boats, and I'm sure that..."

McPike: "And the court ruling would prohibit them from using Illinois lakes?"

Mays: "That's right."

McPike: "Thank you."

Mays: "I'm sure you can see the immediacy of this... this topic matter, and I would appreciate your concurrence with my nonconcurrence Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 2426? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 2426. The next Bill is House Bill 316, Representative Davis."

- Davis: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. Apparently my Senator put a change in the effective date that inadvertently cut out the people we were attempting to help in the district, and it is my belief he will recede."
- Speaker Breslin: "Would you change the board, Mr. Clerk? only applies to Senate Amendment #1. The Gentleman's Notion is that this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 House Bill 316. On that question. is there discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill The next Bill is House Bill 344. It's on page 10 your Calendar, Representative Peterson."
- Peterson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I
 move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment. I to House Bill
 344. I've been advised there are some technical problems
 with the Amendment, and I ask for your support on a
 nonconcurrence Motion."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Peterson has moved that the
 House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 344.

 And on that question, the Gentleman from Madison,
 Representative McPike."

McPike: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

McPike: "Is it your intent in Conference Committee to correct those technical problems or to add other Amendments to the Bill?"

Peterson: "We possibly could add another Amendment. I'm not sure what would happen."

McPike: "What subject matter are you interested in adding?"

Peterson: "It would have to do with the Municipal Code with incorporations."

McPike: "It would have to do with what?"

Peterson: "The Municipal Code. The... the Bill as it stands now deals with incorporations and the Municipal Code."

McPike: "Thank you."

Peterson: "Village incorporations and annexations."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 344?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 344. The next Bill is House Bill 879. Representative McGann. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative McGann. We'll get back to it with leave of The next Bill, then, is House Bill Representative Olson. Clerk, read the... or excuse me, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1285 deals with an addition to the Illinois Administrative Procedures Act. I'm advised by staff that Senate Amendment #1 which made a reference to the Fire Prevention and Investigation Act is technically incorrect, and I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 in House Bill 1285."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 1285. On that question is there

63rd Lagislative Day

June 27, 1985

any discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike. Representative."

McPike: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Olson: "Yes."

McPike: "I apologize, but due to the noise in the chamber I could not understand the explanation."

Olson: "I've been advised by staff, Representative, that Senate
Amendment #1, which dealt with the Fire Investigation Act,
is technically incorrect, and they have asked that we take
out and redraft that."

McPike: "That's the only intent?"

Olson: "Yes."

McPike: "Thank you."

Olson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1285?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1285.

The next Bill is House Bill 1977, Representative McCracken. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1977. This Bill deals with... it's an administration request from the state... which amends the Personnel Code, and the agency came to me and told me that they did not agree with certain portions of Amendment #2. And I ask to nonconcur."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate

Amendment #2 to House Bill 1977. And on that question, the

Gentleman from Madison, Representative HcPike."

McPike: "Would the Sponsor take this out of the record, and we'll get back to it? We haven't had a chance to read this."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Okay."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Out of the record. Senate... House
Bill 2276 is the next Bill. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment
#1 to House Bill 2276. This Bill was requested by a former
employee of my local state's attorney. And the Youth
Service Providers and DCFS want to amend the language which
Senator Etheredge put on. That's the only purpose of my
nonconcurrence."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to noncon... the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2276?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2276. Representative McGann is back, and we would like to go back to his Bill. That is House Bill 879. Representative AcGaun."

McGann: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the Assembly. I would like to nonconcur with House Bill 879."

Speaker Breslin: "Are you moving to concur or nonconcur, Sir?"

McGann: "I am nonconcurring, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate
Amendment... which Senate Amendment, Sir?"

McGann: "With the House Bill itself as it's come across is

Amendment 1... Senate Amendment 1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate
Amendment #1 to House Bill 879. And on that question, the
Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I support the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 879?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 879. Ladies and Gentlemen, there has been a request that we stand at ease for approximately five minutes so that Hembers can read the... the Amendments that there are proposed nonconcurrences on. So if... if we will stand at ease for about five minutes, and then we will come back to this Order of Business, allowing you time to read the Bills and the proposed Amendments. At this time, the Clerk will read the Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Leone: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Speaker, I am directed to inform the Representatives that the Senate has concurred with House in the passage of the following Bills, together with Amendments, and adoption of which I am instructed to concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: House Bills 143, 418, 529, 530, 569, 583, 641, 651, 652, 653, 654, 656, 657, 659, 660, 661, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 676, 674, 2263, 2293, 2310, 2400, 2418, 2421, 2437, 2440, 2444, 2445, 2475, 2499, 2515 and 2523, passed by the Senate as amended June 26. 1985. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Further Message from the Senate Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to by Mr. inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in the passage of the following Bills, together with Amendments, and adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence ο£ the Representatives, to wit; House Bills 683, 693, 721, 947, 993, 1011, 1070, 1097, 1247, 2240, 54, 66, 241, 146. 275. 374, 398, 514, 720, 888, 922, 678, 1318, 1517, 1529, 320, 2184, 2387, 2434 and 679, passed the Senate as amended June 26, 1985. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Further Messages from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the House in the adoption of the Amendment of the following title: Senate Bill 114. together House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 114. I am further asked... directed to inform the House of Representatives Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of the following Amendment; House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 114, action taken by the Senate June 27, 1980 (sic - 1985). Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Another Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of Amendment of the Bill of the following title: Senate together with Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 320, and I am further directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of following Amendments: House Amendment #2 to Senare Bill 320, action taken by the Senate June 27, 1985. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Further Ressages from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred in the House in the adoption of the Amendment of the Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 165 with House Amendment #2. I am further directed to inform Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of the following Amendment: House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 165, action taken by the Senate June 27, 1985. Kenneth Wright. Secretary. "

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, again on the Order of Concurrences, taking those Motions where Members wish to nonconcur, the next Bill is House Bill 1395, Representative Steczo. Bepresentative Steczo. House Bill 1395."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Steczo: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker, Hembers of the House. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1395.

 The Bill in its original form was a Bill that provided a life safety levy for the park districts of our state, a very confined levy. The Senate, in Amendment #1, put in a complete front door referendum where the original Bill had called for a partial non-referendum and partial front referendum, and I would move to nonconcur with that Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 1395. And on the question, the
 Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: Nadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the "Thank you, House. I would caution the Members of the House to he aware of this Motion. I would have to reluctantly stand to oppose the Gentleman's Motion. Basically, what he wants to do, the Bill has been put into a form to where to pass it, you would need a front door referendum. What he wants to do is take the front door referendum provision off the Bill. This Motion is, I can let you know, opposed by the Illinois Farm Bureau, the Taxpayers' Federation, and the Illinois Association of Realtors. I would ask for a Roll Call, Madam Speaker, and ask for a 'no' vote on the Gentleman's Motion."
- Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion?

 Representative Steczo to close."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. In rising to nonconcur with Amendment #1 to House Bill 1395, I think it's a very, very legitimate Motion to make. In the last two Sessions of this General Assembly, the Legislature has given the same authority to both community colleges and school districts within the state. In fact, the provisions of House Bill 1395 are much more confined than the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

provisions of those two authorities that we have granted. the case of House Bill 1395, number one, the park district has to have insufficient funds. and number for these life safety types of provisions, there has to be a certified estimate from an architect that the project will cost over \$25,000. It means that it would be a significant project and not a nickel and dime type of operation that would go on forever. In addition to that. community colleges, school districts all have bonding authority and levy for life safety to pay off those bonds. Park districts... Park districts do not have the bonding authority. Therefore, the scope of this... of this levy would be even more limited. Madam Speaker, Members of House, I have one other item that I'd like to... I'd like to bring to your attention. This provides assistance to them in cases where we mandate. We mandate them to provide accessibility to the handicapped. We mandate other things on them. How many other cases do we know of where a unit local government who is mandated doesn't really come back and complain, 'Oh, you're doing this to us, In this case, the park districts are doing that to us. ! saying, 'We understand that you're mandating. Wе would like to have some help from you to be able to take that mandate and provide the things that we have to do. . think, on that basis, the fact that they never complain about mandates that we provide them, the fact that would... would provide limitations as to those types of mandates and provides limitations as to the kinds of projects that could be covered, I think this Motion is in order, and I move for nonconcurrence."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1395?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. This Motion

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

requires a Majority of those voting on the issue in order to carry. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 51 voting 'aye', 64 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. So, the Hotion... The Motion fails. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

- Vinson: "Leave of the House to use the last Roll Call on a Motion to Concur."
- Speaker Breslin: "We're not on that Order of Business,

 Representative Vinson. This Bill is out of the record.

 The next Bill is Senate Bill (sic House Bill) 1552,

 Representative Steczo. It appears on page 16 on your

 Calendar. Representative Steczo, on House Bill 1552."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to nonconcur with the Senate Amendments to House... House Bill 1552. House Bill 1552 had two Senate Amendments Amendments #1 and 3. Amendment #1 provided a pay raise for county officials, and Amendment #3 was... the other Amendment, I think it was #3, was a State Mandates Act Amendment, and I would move to nonconcur with those two Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate
 Amendments #... Which numbers are they, again? Numbers 1
 and 3. And on that question, is there any discussion? The
 Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "I'd just like to ask for a Roll Call on this, please."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman requests a Roll Call. All those in favor of nonconcurring in Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 1552 vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take... You're voted, Representative Hastert. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 197 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', and 3

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

voting 'present', and this House does nonconcur in Senate
Amendments #! and 3 to House Bill 1552. The next Bill is
Representative Levin's Bill, House Bill 563.
Representative Levin."

Levin: "I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 563. The Reference Bureau included some errors in the Bill which cannot otherwise be cleared up."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 563. On that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 563?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 563. The next Bill is House Bill 571. Appears on page 11 on your Calendar. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 571. The Bill is not one that has anything to do with eternity, but anyway, but Representative Steczo has checked with both sides of the aisle and both Houses and with the Governor's people, and he would like to amend this, and the only way we can do it is to put it in the Conference Committee, and he will be amending it with his gasohol Bill, which would deny Brazil the opportunity to take advantage of the gasohol tax break."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 571. And on that question, the

Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

McCracken: "Representative, what is the intended Amendment, to make it state-wide again, or to limit it to St. Clair."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Flinn: "No, we're not... we're not going to fool around with the Bill. We're just going to leave the Bill like it is.

We're going to use it as a vehicle for something else.
Representative Steczo's Bill."

McCracken: "I wonder, could I... could I ask the Gentleman?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo, would you yield to a

question?"

Stezco: "Yes, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Explain to us what will be put on this Bill."

Steczo: "Madam Speaker, there's been discussions going on which have tentatively reached an agreement on the gasohol schedule which we had passed out of this House earlier and on the use of cereal grains in the making of... in the production of ethanal, and House Bill 571, if that agreement holds, will be used as that vehicle. If not, it will not."

McCracken: "Alright."

Steczo: "Otherwise the Bill will stay as it is."

McCracken: "Okay, but in any event, the Senate Amendment will stay on?"

Steczo: "That is correct."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in House Bill... in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 57!?'

All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 57!. The next Bill is House Bill 150. It appears on page nine on your Calendar. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. I move that we nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 150. What Senate Amendment... and that we ask the Senate to recede. What Senate Amendment #1 does, it takes away the main body of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

the Bill, and it lists... limits it, rather, to the drivers' license list alone, and excludes the permit list where the youngest people are involved. Altogether, the Bill originally was to try to keep away undesirable information in the mail from a list of names and addresses from the drivers' license and permit list, so I would ask for adoption of my Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate

Amendment # | to House Bill 150. On that question, the

Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you... Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm sorry, Monroe.

It's a little bit noisy over here. I didn't really hear your explanation or reason for nonconcurrence."

Flinn: "Well, I'll explain it to you a little clearer and a little slower and maybe a little louder, too, The Bill originally sought to... stop undesirable people undesirable mail going to the 15 through 17 years old drivers, and what the Senate Amendment does is strike the list of the permits and leaves it only up to the drivers' list, which is a very limited list, and it almost guts the Bill. It makes the Bill sort of meaningless, and I... that's mу objection to the Amendment."

Tate: "Did the Secretary of State support Amendment 1?"

Flinn: "I don't think they had anything to do with it. I don't think they knew about it. In fact, I asked them just today, the representative from the Secretary of State, and he indicated he was not aware nor had any desire for the Amendment or opposition to removing it."

Tate: "Okay. Monroe, I don't have any problem with the... the Motion. However, I would prefer this Bill not to come back in the form of mandatory insurance. This House has debated that issue time and time again, and I would assume that the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Majority of Members would prefer not to hear that."

Flinn: "Well, let me say this to you. I was one of those who opposed the mandatory insurance, and I'm sure that you will not see that on this Bill with my support."

Tate: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Churchill: "Representative Flinn, my reading of analysis on this
Bill shows that the original Bill was to exclude only those
peoples... people between 15 and 17 from the drivers'
license list and the driving permit list."

Flinn: "Right."

Churchill: "So, the way I read the change from the Senate

Amendment is only to delete the driving permit list. Is
that correct?"

Flinn: "That's right, which is most of the young people we were trying to protect to start with."

Churchill: "How many people are on the driving permit list?"

Flinn: "I don't know, but how many... ever how many there are, we don't want them getting mail that's undesirable for young people. That's the whole purpose of the Bill."

Churchill: "Was this Amendment in the Senate placed on by the Secretary of State's Office?"

Flinn: "No."

Churchill: "No further questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. It's my understanding that the Secretary of State's Office, which had been involved with this issue since Representative Flinn brought it up in the Bill that we had previously, they were for the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Amendment that the Senate adopted. They feel that it's workable. I don't know if they're really that hard on the subject one way or the other, but I believe that they would just as soon like to have it stay the way it is right now, and so, I would rise in opposition to the nonconcurrence Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flinn to close."

Plinn: "Alright, and apparently, if the Secretary of State's people are telling Representative Mays that, then they're talking out of both sides of their mouth, because they're telling me that... right the opposite. They had nothing to do with the Amendment. They amended the Bill in the House with two Amendments. They wrote... They rewrote the Bill almost completely in order that I could get it passed. I did not want an argument about the Bill because, as I mentioned, it's not that important so far as I'm concerned, to start a war over one Bill. But I say this to you, that if they told you they are in favor of the Amendment, they told me the opposite, that they had no position on it."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 150?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the Motion carries. Representative Parke, we've already announced the Roll Call, but it is not final action. It will be coming back to us. The next Bill on this Order is House Bill 2103. It appears on page 18 on your Calendar. Representative Flowers. Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I
move to nonconcur in Senate Bill... Senate Amendment 1 to
House Bill 2103. I have spoken with the Senate Sponsor,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

and he agrees."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to nonconcur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 2103. On that question, the

Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Representative Flowers, on 2103, it's my understanding, there isn't any idea of amending into this legislation the Department of Children and Family Service Juvenile Correction Bill by adding on. That was defeated in Committee. You are not going to add that on, that the..."

Flowers: "Sir, the sooner I can get rid of this Bill, the happier
I'll be. No."

Terzich: "But you are not going to amend that into the Bill?"

Flowers: "No, I'm not."

Terzich: "Okay. Thank you."

Flowers: "No."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #! to House Bill 2103?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #! to House Bill 2103. The next Bill is House Bill 1027. It appears on page 13 on your Calendar. Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 1027 has three Amendments. If you're looking at your synopsis, шy synopsis indicates the wrong analysis of the Bill. Bill as it left the House was House Amendment #1 which provided that the teacher should have the ultimate authority in the issuance of the grade. The Senate Amendment 1 by Welch provided... gutted the Bill and provided for non... ma y not discriminate non-certified personnel for not living in the district of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

which they are working. That's the school board.

Amendment #2 clarified that Chicago was not in the provisions. Amendment #3 ensured the language about Chicago, and I would move not to concur on the grounds that the Senate... or Senate Amendment #1 gutted my Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur Senate Amendment... Mr. Clerk, is it... yes, Senate Amendment #1.

The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1027. Representative Brunsvold, are you sure you don't want to nonconcur in all three?"

Brunsvold: "Yes, yes. I'm sorry."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The Gentleman wishes to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1027. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Madam Speaker, is the Motion to nonconcur on all three
Amendments?"

Speaker Breslin: "It is."

Tate: "Okay. Let's walk through that one more time... Amendment #1, which is the Bill, provides that residency within a district shall not be considered in determining the employment or compensation of any non-certified employee or whether to retain, promote, assign or transfer such employee?"

Brunsvold: "Yes. That's the... That's Senate Amendment #! that gutted my Bill. Now, the original Bill that came from the House dealt with the school board's authority to ensure that the teacher had the ultimate say in what the grade for individual student would be. Now, that was completely deleted from the Bill."

Tate: "And what's the position of the... the Illinois Educational
Association on Amendment #1?"

Brunsvold: "Well, Amendment #1 was, if I remember correctly,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Representative DeJaegher's Bill that was supported by the Illinois Education Association. I object to that fact that the Senator took my provisions out of the Bill."

- Tate: "And the effect of... Our analysis is somewhat confusing.

 Our analysis has that Senate Amendment #1 does not apply to

 cities of over 500,000 and that Amendment #2 makes it

 apply... Senate Amendment #2 just excludes Chicago?"
- Brunsvold: "Senate... Senate Amendment #2, I think, was confusing in the fact that Chicago was supposed to be eliminated from the Bill, so Senator Berman... Senator Berman eliminated... made sure that, with Amendment 3, that Chicago was out of the Bill."
- Tate: "Do you want to stop... Do you want to stop for an announcement. Speaker?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Sure. Surely. We have a special introduction.

 Speaker Madigan in the Chair."
- Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, if we could have your attention for just a few moments, Representative Daniels and I are pleased to introduce a special guest from the City of Chicago, a former House Member, where he distinguished himself as a very outstanding and aggressive Legislator, and then a former Senate Member and a former member of the United States Congress, currently the Mayor of the City of Chicago, who is very happy to return to a peaceful Legislative Body. Mayor Washington."
- Mayor Washington: "Thank you. Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Had you treated me that well... when I was here, I never would have left. Thanks for the introduction. Actually, that was a corny, horrible joke. Mike and I got along famously, then and now, and it's good to be back here with your Minority Leader Lee Daniels, an individual who I saw break into this House and do a marvelous job, and I predicted that one day he would go

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

places, and he has. I'm looking out at 16 years of my life this audience, and I must confess to you, it's somewhat nostalgic, and I can recall some fond memories sitting back in that seat right behind Vitek, there. I made some of the worst speeches and worst votes in my life. I know what you're going through during these past few days. This is the crunch. This is the crucible. This is it. And a lot of you have got a lot at stake at these last few moments. so I won't bother you or lobby you. Suffice to say that I know you will vote in such a way that Chicago will be proud of you whether you live in Chicago or not. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The question before us, Ladies and Gentlemen,
was a Motion to nonconcur on Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3
on House Bill 1027. And Representative Tate was in the
middle of a discussion. Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To continue this dialogue again, it's... it's rather confusing. The three different Amendments, according to our staff, one Amendment puts in Chicago, one Amendment took out Chicago, and one Amendment put back in the municipality. Will you walk us through the three Amendments and see if you can clarify that language and... and give us an indication again, because really, it looks like the... the essence of this... this Amendment, which is basically your Bill, is Amendment 1, and I can't tell from Amendment 2 and Amendment 3 how that affects Amendment 1."

Brunsvold: "Okay, Representative Amendment! is the Bill, as it comes out of the Senate, which deals with non-certified personnel in a school district, and that Bill, as my analysis indicates, exempts Chicago. But there was some thought there that that is already exempted because of the Article... Article 10, dealing with downstate in which it

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

came. I think there was... confusion in the Senate trying to make sure that Chicago was exempt, and so, Senator Welch attached Amendment 2, which was to be clarifying language. And evidently, it was not. And Senator Berman put on Amendment 3, which ensures that Chicago is not bound by the provisions of the first Amendment. So, right now, as the Bill stands, as I see it, the first Amendment deals with non-certified people in downstate only, not including Chicago. And I move not to concur with Amendments 1, 2 and 3 because it has gutted the original Bill, which I spent a lot of time working on.

Tate: "Okay. And I... I can appreciate that, Representative
Brunsvold. Amendment #!, though, is Representative
DeJaegher's original Bill that this House passed which the
IEA supported earlier this Session. Right?"

Brunsvold: "Correct."

Tate: "Okay."

Brunsvold: "The IEA supported my Bill, also."

Tate: "All righty. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Are you finished, Representative Tate? Are you finished? Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in support of the Gentleman's Motion. I feel if he's worked hard on the Bill, and the Senate guts the Bill, he certainly has the choice and the privilege. of asking to nonconcur, and I would support his Motion to do that."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1027?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1027. The next Bill is House Bill 1769. It

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

appears on page 16 on your Calendar. Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi on a Motion to nonconcur." "Madam Speaker, I hope my ... my colleagues are not Giorgi: listening with a forked tongue... or forked ears. it a minute. I want to swallow the bullet. Hold it. Madam Speaker, I think I know more about this Bill than understand. But... I think the problem is, the Senate is entirely too laxative about some our matters, here. a... I sent a helicopter over to the Senate with two engines and a motor, and they sent me... the helicopter's

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in both Senate
Amendments. Is that correct, Representative Giorgi? Both
Senate? I see. The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate
Amendment #2 to House Bill 1769. And on that question, the
Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

nonconcur on Senate Bill... House Bill 1769."

gone, and my engine's gone. I have nothing, so I'd like to

Vinson: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Vinson: "Representative, you remember we had some discussion regarding this Bill when it was in the House. I think this is the Bill where you were concerned about helicopters dropping steel on people."

Giorgi: "The phrase I used was 'girders raining down from the sky'."

Vinson: "Yes, that's right. Now, have we protected the people adequately, at this point?"

Giorgi: "Well, the only thing I'm perplexed about, and I'll admit, I think I know more about this Bill than I understand, I... I sent a Bill over to the Senate with a couple of engines on it, and they sent me back an engineless Bill, so I've got a real problem."

Vinson: "Well, my understanding is that this was Mr. Madigan's

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Bill, wasn't it? Isn't that what you told me?"

Giorgi: "Nobody owns any Bills around here. It's for the common good. It's the people's House. The people own all the Bills."

Vinson: "Thank you,"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson."

Giorgi: "Nonconcur."

Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Olson: "Representative Giorgi, we spoke about this Bill while it was still in the House, and I expressed a concern which was expressed to me by one of my constituents at Mendota, Illinois who, under the provisions of this Act, would probably be put out of business if the two engine situation was a matter of fact. Have their concerns been addressed?"

Giorgi: "Would you repeat the question? I was entertaining the Mayor of Chicago."

Olson: "Yeah. Yes. We spoke about this... while the Bill was still in the House, and I had a phone call from a firm in Mendota who had a single... single engine."

Giorgi: "Evidently... Myron... Myron, you didn't listen."

Olson: "I listened, but I just wanted to... I don't have the Amendment here."

Giorgi: "I sent my... I sent a fully intact helicopter over to the Senate, and they sent me back an engineless Bill."

Olson: "Well, let me ask you this. If it comes back in a

Conference Committee Report would we provide for a single

engine helicopter to do this kind of work?"

Giorgi: "The... The plans by the Republican Leadership in the Senate are an entire air fleet."

Olson: "State funded?"

Giorgi: "No, private funded."

Olson: "Okay. Thank you."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1769?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1769. The next Bill is House Bill 567, Representative Daniels."
- Daniels: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments to House Bill 567."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 567. Is that the only Senate
 Amendment, Representative Davis? Representative Daniels?

 Is there only one Amendment?"

Daniels: "#3. #1 and 3."

- Speaker Breslin: "Okav. The Gentleman moves to uonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and 3 to House Bill 567. Is there discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 567? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say Ιn the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 567. The next Bill is House Bill 570. appears on page 11 on your Calendar. Representative Daniels."
- Daniels: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9 to House Bill 570. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in the Amendments mentioned to House Bill 570?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in those Senate

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- Amendments to House Bill 570. The next Bill is House Bill 1037. It appears on page 13 on your Calendar. Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. I wish to nonconcur with the Senate Amendments on the House Education Committee Bill 1037."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to nonconcur in which Senate
 Amendments?"
- Didrickson: "On Senate Amendment 1, 2, 5, 6 and 8."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 5, 6 and 8 to House Bill 1037. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 5, 6 and 8 to House Bill 1037?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in those Senate Amendments mentioned to House Bill 1037. The next Bill is House Bill 1038. It appears on page 13 on your Calendar. Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1038."
- Lady Speaker Breslin: The moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1038. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1038?* All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1038. The next Bill is House Bill 360. appears on page 10 on your Calendar. Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. House

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Bill 360 originally dealt with the issue of when an offense becomes a felony, with regard to the threshold dollar amount. However, when the Bill went over to the Senate, the original Bill was gutted, and additional provisions were put on. So, I would move to nonconcur and send this Bill back to the Senate."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 360. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 360?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 360. The next Bill is House Bill 1103. It appears on page 13 on your Calendar. Representative O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd ask to nonconcur in Amendment #1. It places an effective date which is incorrect. The effective date should be... occur at some point in time after the Amendment that was put on in the Senate."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #! to House Bill 1103. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1103?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1103. The next Bill is House Bill 1412. It appears on page 15 on your Calendar. Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 1412 has to do with illegal solicitation of advertising for police and fire magazines. When this Bill was called in the House Judiciary Committee, an agreement was made whereby the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

penalty for violation would be reduced by Amendment on Second Reading from a Class 4 felony to a Class A misdemeanor. That Bill then passed out of the House and went to the Senate, but the Senate then reinstated the penalty to a Class 4 felony. We've had discussions with some of the opponents of that provision, and I believe that we'll be able to come up with a Resolution, but I would ask at this time for a nonconcurrence."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1412. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1412?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1412. House Bill 2113 appears on page 18 on your Calendar. Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. It was a department suggestion, and there is some more technical changes that need to be made."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2113. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2113?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2113. The next Bill is House Bill 1544. It appears on page 16 on your Calendar. Representative Zwick. Go to the one next to you."
- Zwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1544. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1544. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1544. House Bill 269 appears on page nine on your Calendar. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to nonconcur in Senate

Amendment #! to House Bill 269. And on the question, the

Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Zwick. Representative Zwick,
will you yield to a question from Representative Vinson?"
Zwick: "Is this on 1544?"

Vinson: "No, it's on 269, the Sponsor of which is Representative Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. I'm sorry."

Vinson: "I understand why you'd make mistakes up there, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes."

Vinson: "Representative, this is a revisory Bill, isn't it?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

Vinson: "Is it your intention to use it for a substantive vehicle?"

Terzich: "No, but we may include the revisory Bill 1398 from the Legislative Reference Bureau."

Vinson: "You're... You're going to combine the two of them into a

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

single revisory Bill because the other one was... "

Terzich: "Yeah."

Vinson: "Okay, that's fine. I would support the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 269?" Is there any further discussion? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 269. We're going back now to House Bill 1977. It appears on page 18 on your Calendar. Representative NcCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1977."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate
Amendment #2 to House Bill 1977. Is there any discussion?

Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the
Gentleman from Saline, Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Phelps: "Was this not already... already to your request? Wasn't this already dealt with as another Amendment a while ago?"

McCracken: "No. They... They took it out of the record.

Representative McPike took... asked me to take it out of the record."

Phelps: "Okay. I'm sorry. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1977?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1977. The next Bill is House Bill 1249. It appears on page 14 on your Calendar. Representative Keane."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27. 1985

- Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to nonconcur with

 Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1249, which amends the

 General Obligation Bond Act. I've talked to the Minority

 Spokesmen, and they agree, and I'd ask for your support of
 the nonconcurrence."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1249. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1249? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill The next Bill is House Bill 2263. Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock. House Bill 2263. I understand that this Bill is not on the Calendar yet, Representative, and so it cannot be called. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are preparing to do some work on the Order of Concurrences, but it's going to take a little while to organize it, so that we will have about five minutes trying to organize what Bills have already acted on on Nonconcurrence, so we're getting ready, in case VOU! TO wondering. Representative Cullerton, for reason do you rise?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I won't make a habit of this, but I... it does relate to legislation. The... As I indicated yesterday, the jinx the Vinson jinx is over with. The Cubs won again, 4 to 2."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Johnson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Johnson: "You know, for five or six years, now, I listen every year this time of the year, to the Cub fans talk about whether they win or lose and so forth. Everybody knows when the bottom line comes, they're not going to be in

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

either... they're not going to be in the World Series in November. I'd just like to announce that the team that's won four pennants and three world championships in the last 15 years and is still four and a half games or four games ahead of the Cubs, the St. Louis Cardinals, won again today, too, 4 to 3."

- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to the Order of Nonconcurrences. It... Excuse me, the Order of Concurrences. It appears on page... beginning on page eight on your Calendar. However, what we would like to do is as many of the noncontroversial concurrences that we can get done this day. If a Bill becomes controversial, we will ask the Sponsor to take the Bill out of the record. This has been agreed to by both sides of the aisle, so should we get into a Bill that becomes controversial. should expect to be asked to take the Bill out of the record. I think we have in order, those Bills that have already been made Motion for nonconcurrence. If I make a mistake, please advise me. The first Bill is House Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to concur in Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 38. The Amendment that was put
 on by the Senate is strictly a technical Amendment, and I
 move to concur."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 38. Is there any discussion?
 Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in
 Senate... Representative Piel, for what reason do you
 rise?"
- Piel: "Just a question or a comment to the Chair, Madam Speaker.

 Seeing as this is final action on these Bills, could the

 Sponsors, when they stand up, give a, you know, sort of a

 detailed explanation of what the Amendment does besides

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

what the Bill now does, please?"

- Speaker Breslin: "A very good recommendation, Representative Piel. Remember, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is final action on Bills that we have already voted on but have been amended in the Senate. The Sponsor should not only tell us what the Amendment does, but should tell us what the Bill did originally. Representative Wolf, can you tell us what the Bill did originally?"
- Wolf: "Yes, Hadam Speaker. The Bill originally addressed the addition of certain emergency medical personnel to be qualified for the same... death benefits that we gave to paramedics about a year ago. The Amendment that was put on by the Senate was put on at the request of the Illinois Department of Public Health and merely changed the Bill to refer to the proper systems act as required."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved again to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1... rather, to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 38. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from... Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 38? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. This is really final passage. Have all voted who is open. The Clerk will take the record. On this question. there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and having voting 'present'. This Bill. received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 49, Representative Wyvetter Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill ! to House Bill..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative... Representative
 Younge. The Republican side of the aisle has indicated
 this will be a controversial Bill. We will ask you to take

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

it out of the record, and the Lady complies. Thank you. House Bill 50, Representative Preston." Representative. Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 50 was the first Bill in the child The Bill in its original form required protection package. every school district, kindergarten through eighth to include in its curriculum a course of instruction to children on how to avoid being kidnapped. The Senate Amendment simply requests or requires that this information curriculum develop be made available to nonpublic schools as well."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the... has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 50. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 50? favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 52, Representative Preston."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of House Bill 52 is another Bill in the child the House. The Bill in its original form and with protection package. the Senate Amendment now requires criminal background checks on day care center employees to disclose prior convictions for the crimes of murder, kidnapping, sex related offenses and aggravated battery of a child. The Amendment that the Senate adopted was at the request of the Department of Children and Family Services. It tightens up the procedure involved and makes this, indeed, a better Bill, and I ask for your concurrence."

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 52. And on the question, the
 Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill has become controversial, and I'd ask the Gentleman to take it from the record."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Preston, would you take this
 Bill out of the record? And the Gentleman complies. Thank
 you, Representative. House Bill 60, Representative Curran.
 Representative Curran, tell us what the original Bill does
 and then what the Amendment does."
- Curran: "Madam Speaker, could we take this out of the record for just a couple of minutes with the understanding that we can come back to it?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 62,
 Representative Greiman. The Gentleman is not in the
 chamber. Out of the record. House Bill 69, Representative
 Rea."
- Rea: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 69 provides for POW license plates for pickup trucks and Amendment... Senate Amendment 1 just rewrites the Bill. It provides for the same provisions as what went to the Senate, and I would move for the adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 69. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House concur Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 69? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 118 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Bill 82, Representative Pangle. Clerk, read the Bill. Excuse me. Representative Pangle."

- Pangle: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 82 is the Bill that toughens up the laws on prostitution. The Senate Amendment #1 makes it a Class 4 felony. I think that's a little tough, but we'll still concur."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 82. Is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 82?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 96 voting 'aye', 10 voting 'no', and 7 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 93, Representative Wait."
- Wait: "Yes, House Bill 83 deals with the deferral of special assessments. It's similar to the Bill we passed last year which deals with special deferral for property taxes. The Amendment that was put on over in the Senate was recommended by the Department of Revenue, simply requires that they turn the... ask for these receipts within 30 days of receipt of the Bill. And I move for adoption of concurrence on this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 83. And on that question, the
 Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Please take this out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wait, would you take this Bill out of the record?"

Wait: "Sure."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman agrees. Out of the record.

House Bill 90, Representative Currie. Out of the record.

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

House Bill 100, Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Hadam Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 100 eliminated the requirements that voters seeking absentee ballots for being physically handicapped was eliminated, and the Amendments made it a better Bill and brought it in with federal compliance."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate
Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 100. And on that
question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor vield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cullerton: "With regard to Senator Maher's Amendment, Amendment
#3 dealing with the accessibility to disabled people, what
did that Amendment do?"

Hartke: "Amendment #3 brought the... It's not curbside voting, if that's what you're getting at."

Cullerton: "I'm sorry?"

Hartke: "It's not curbside voting."

Cullerton: "Amendment #3 empowers the State Board of Elections to
exempt certain polling places from accessibility
requirements of the Federal Voting Accessibility for the
Elderly and Handicapped Act. And I'm... My question is,
how can we exempt certain polling places from federal
requirements, and secondly, why would we want to do that?"

Hartke: "Representative, House Bill 100 as amended would permit a disabled voter in a precinct where there are no accessible polling places is available to have the ballots brought to him or her by two judges of opposite parties affiliation at the point of inaccessibility nearest the point... polling place. For example, if a disabled voter in a wheelchair might be able to enter the building in which the polling place is located only to be confronted by a flight of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

stairs, that she cannot negotiate, if this Bill is enacted, this disabled voter, upon prior request to the election authority, they would get the authority, would be permitted to vote at the point where he or she is unable to continue forward motion towards the polling place."

Cullerton: "Okay. What about the fact that there's a federal law?"

Hartke: "The federal law authorizes the State Board to go to a secondary measure."

Cullerton: "Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative

Johnson. The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative

Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Countryman: "Representative Hartke, what's the effect of Senate

Amendment #2?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke, do you have an answer to that?"

Hartke: "That's the county clerk's notice."

Countryman: "Will the State Board of Elections still be the agency which grants the exemptions under the Bill as amended?"

Hartke: "Yes."

Countryman: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank... Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To the Bill. This Bill is well thought out.

I think it meets all of the standards both with regard to federal guidelines, with regard to the role of the State Board of Elections. It's designed specifically to make those who are handicapped and finding it difficult to get

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

into polling places to be able to vote. The integrity of the ballot is protected. The accessibility is provided for, and I would urge adoption of the Motion of Representative Hartke."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Bullock: "Representative Hartke, who's opposed to this Bill?"

Hartke: "Originally, I proposed this Bill."

Bullock: "No, Representative. Opposed. Who is opposed to the Bill as amended?"

Hartke: "No one."

Bullock: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendments #2 and to House Bill 100? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur on Senate Amendment 1, which basically specifies that a physical fitness center shall not include a facility operated by or/and for the use of private individuals. The Bill as it was originally passed out, of the House required physical fitness centers to have somebody on staff who knows CPR at all times."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 112. Is there any discussion?

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Kubik: "He will."

Cullerton: "Thank you for yielding. The effect of the Senate

Amendment was to indicate that this does not apply to

facilities operated by a private individual... a group of

private individuals. Is that correct?"

Kubik: "What the Amendment basically does, Representative, is to remove some of the objections of those clubs that will have a group of people to get together, not for profit, but a group of people to get together and work out as a group.

So, it would... it would not..."

Cullerton: "Are you... Is it... Are you saying that it... it definitely is limited to not-for-profit? I only see it saying association of private individuals and not open to the public."

Kubik: "Correct."

Cullerton: "Is it limited to not-for-profit?"

Kubik: "No. It would... It would simply be a group of private individuals..."

Cullerton: "The East Bank Club in Chicago is owned by... It's a corporation, and it's owned by private individuals, and it's not open to the public. You have to be a member."

Kubik: "But it is... It is a for-profit... you pay... "

Cullerton: "Very much for-profit."

Kubik: "A for-profit... "

Cullerton: "Yes."

Kubik: "Type of corporation. What I'm talking about is a group of people who might get together who don't create a... a...

Cullerton: "Could you just take a second and show me in the Senate Amendment on what page and line it says... it

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

says... it doesn't say it."

Kubik: "I beg your pardon?"

Cullerton: "It doesn't say 'not-for-profit' in the... in the

Amendment, in the Senate Amendment. Does the Bill say

'not-for-profit' somewhere?"

Kubik: "No."

Cullerton: "And the Amendment doesn't say 'not-for-profit'."

Kubik: "Why, did I say 'not-for-profit'?"

Cullerton: "That's what I thought you said."

Kubik: "Oh, I... I apologize. What I meant was not open to the public, and..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. It was my understanding that
if the Bill was controversial, that we wouldn't proceed
with it. There are other Bills that were taken out of the
record that would have required less discussion than this
Bill. If it's controversial, perhaps we should..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I didn't... want to indicate that it was controversial, I just wanted to have an answer to my question, and..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Preston."

Cullerton: "He has answered the questions for me, and I'm satisfied, now."

Speaker Breslin: "We don't mean to cut off debate, but if Members indicate that they know it's going to be controversial and that there will be substantial, for instance, 'no' votes on an issue, they want it taken out of the record, and that is not the case on this Bill. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, I would like clarification on one point. Will the Gentleman yield for one question?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Kubik: "Yes, I will."

Bowman: "The East Bank Club in Chicago, which was alluded to by Representative Cullerton, did you indicate that they would be exempt under the Bill as amended, or not?"

Kubik: "No, they would not. They would not."

Bowman: "They would not. And other clubs that are similarly in the same situation? Okay, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hensel."

Hensel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "That's not necessary. The question before Ladies and Gentlemen, is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 112? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 123, Representative House Bill 123, on the... I understand we've Brookins. already done that on concurrence. That nonconcurrence. Do you wish to concur at this point? I understand that Motion failed to nonconcur?"

Brookins: "No, that Motion passed."

Speaker Breslin: "Oh, that Motion passed? Representative.. Mr.

Clerk, can you tell us the status of this Bill at this
time? It's a Bill that amends the School Code. House
Bill 123. Representative.. Okay. We nonconcurred in that.
You're correct, Representative Brookins."

Brookins: "Madam Speaker, they seem to have the funeral directors on the brain. Hey. Hey. "

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 131, Representative Giorgi.

- 63rd Legislative Day

 Representative Giorgi. Out of the record. House Bill
 137, Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 137 provided that the agricultural purpose exemption shall apply only to parcels of land consisting of five acres or more in counties of over 400,000. The effect of Senate Amendment #1 was to add counties in excess of 275,000 but less than 300,000."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 137. On that question, the
 Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Is there a Representative here that represents Kane

 County that would like indicate whether they're for or

 against this Amendment? Who represents Kane County?

 Representative Deuchler? Representative Kirkland?

 Representative..."
- Speaker Breslin: "None of those... None of those people are seeking recognition."
- Cullerton: "Well, I want to ask a question, then. Does this affect Kane County, Representative Churchill?"
- Churchill: "The only... I'm not sure which counties fall between 275,000 and 300,000. It's my understanding that St. Clair County... Hadison or St. Clair Counties may be one of the counties that was interested in this."
- Cullerton: "Well, they may not want it, so I think maybe we better take it out of the record until we find out which counties are affected."
- Churchill: "That's fine. Take it out of the record if that's what..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 152,

 Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to concur with Senate

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 152. ... the underlying Bill

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

152 require that the county collector mail notice to persons requesting copies of notice to lienholders of the intended application of the courts in a judgment sale. At the request of Representative Churchill, I believe, the Senate adopted the Amendment that provided for notification to be given to the lienholder of record if they so notify in writing that the clerk and treasurer... that they would like to be notified of any nonpayment of real estate taxes. I move to adopt and accept that Amendment, and I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 152."

Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Speaker Amendment #1 to House Bill 152. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #... Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 118 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Now, with leave of the Body, we will go back to Representative Giorgi's Bill, House 131. Bill Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, House Bill 131... I move to concur to the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 131. 131 provided that the court could, under the authority of the court, provide to establish administrative programs under which certain multi-county circuits designated by the Supreme Court may acquire essential administrative personnel. The Senate changed it to allow the Supreme Court to do it to any district without a multi-county circuit. So, there's no grave change, here, and I agree to that, and let's move to concur."

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 131. On that guestion, the
 Gentleman from DuPage, Representative HcCracken."
- McCracken: "I... I agree with you, Representative, but what was the point in taking out or putting them in in the first place on a multi-county basis?"
- Giorgi: "For the experimental nature of it, they wanted to start with multi-county districts... I mean, multi-county judicial districts, and someone decided let's let just the court decide which district they want to start with, inasmuch as not many of us, especially licensed members of the bar, want to take on the Supreme Court generally, anyway."
- McCracken: "I couldn't agree more. It's a good Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "I guess I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question or two."
- Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."
- Pullen: "Does this Bill have the effect of shifting at least certain administrative costs of various county court systems to the state?"
- Giorgi: "Not that I know of."
- Pullen: "Come on, Zeke, what is the purpose of it, if it isn't that?"
- Giorgi: "It authorizes the Supreme Court to establish administrative programs... if you have any question or any information that indicates otherwise, please tell us."
- Pullen: "So that they may acquire essential administrative personnel, equipment and supplies at the expense of the state..."
- Giorgi: "Well, the state's paying the... "
- Pullen: "... To assist their Chief Circuit Judges in fulfilling their administrative responsibilities, right?"
- Giorgi: "The state's paying the salaries of the entire judiciary

63rd Legislative Day

- June 27, 1985
- now. There's no difference. And they're paying for the court... the judicial administrator. This is just a... for more acoutrements to better administer the courts."
- Pullen: "In what way do these acoutrements better administer the courts?"
- Giorgi: "Well, that I'm not qualified to tell you, not being a member of the Bar and not being able to invade the courtroom and see how these decisions are made. Maybe one of the enlightened attorneys might help us with that. One of the officers..."
- Pullen: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House, apparently this

 Bill either shifts the cost to the state or isn't

 necessary. Since the Gentleman says this is already being
 done. I would suggest that we probably should have
 defeated this before, and it might be a good time to do it

 now."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi to close."
- Giorgi: "Yes, this Amendment was on behalf of the efforts of the Minority Leader in the Senate, Senator 'Pate' Philip and his cohort, Aldo DeAngelis."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 131?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority... Representative Barger, for what reason do you rise? This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill is House Bill 157. Out of the record. House Bill 167, Representative McMaster."
- McMaster: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 167 was a Bill

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

drawn up in regard to a requirement that was facetiously put on the Bill by the Senate by a Senator last year, which would have required townships to go through an operation that they had gone through a few years ago, of redrawing boundaries and holding referendums. The purpose of this Bill is to make the redrawing of township boundaries permissive, rather than mandatory, and it still leaves the possibility in that the townships can do it if they so desire, or the counties can. And I would move the concurrence in the Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur i n Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 167. Is there any discussion? The question 'Shall the House concur in is. to House Bill 167? All those in favor vote Amendment # 1 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 118 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 167. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. House Bill 182, Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 182. House Bill 182 amended the Illinois Municipal Code, and it raised the maximum annual compensation for commissioners of water commissions from \$600 to \$1,000. The Senate, in their wisdom, added and made reference to commissioners of joint water and sewage systems to the Bill, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved that this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 182. Is there any

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 182? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no', and none 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Hajority, is hereby declared passed, since House has concurred in Senate Amendment #1 to House the Bill 182. House Bill 202. Out of the record. House Bill 204, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Out of the record, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 228,

Representative Zwick or McCracken. Representative

McCracken."

HcCracken: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1. Senate Amendment #1 specified that the date for the requirement of warning devices on garbage trucks commence January 1, 1987, and to the extent the definition of the warning device differs from the House Bill, it was only to conform to federal requirements which are currently so stated. This was supported by industry then. It's supported by industry now. I move we concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 228? Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 228?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill... And the House does concur in Senate Amendment

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

#1 to House Bill 229. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 231, Representative Nash."

Nash: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur on Senate Amendment 1 and 2 and move to nonconcur on Amendment 3 and 4."

Speaker Breslin: "On that Motion... Excuse me. Ladies and Gentlemen, excuse me. We have had a problem with the machine. We have to go back to House ... Oh, I see. just have to print it over. We just have to wait for the machine to catch up with us. Meanwhile, we are on House If the Members don't mind, we will allow Bill 231. discussion on the... the issue. And the issue is that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2, but nonconcur in Senate Amendments #3 and 4. Is there any discussion on that issue? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor will yield for a question."

Speaker Breslin: "I'm sure he will. Won't you, Representative

Nash?"

Nash: "A lot depends on the question."

Speaker Breslin: "State your question, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Senate Amendment #3. You're moving to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3? Senate Amendment #3 carries the provisions relating to the offense of threatening a public official. Is that correct? What? Yeah, it does. It says... says so in my analysis, anyway."

Nash: "Representative Vinson, Senate Amendment 3 and 4 have about 10 Bills on it that Senator Lemke, who was the Senate Sponsor, put on this Bill, and the agreement with Senator Lemke, we have decided to nonconcur in his two Amendments, on 3 and 4."

Vinson: "Well, yeah. But on #3, isn't the offense that carried

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

in there about threatening a public official? Isn't there language regarding that? Expands the definition of threatening a public official to include... "

Nash: "I really don't know, but that's... We have a statute in the books that does that now."

Vinson: "Yeah, is your intention to somehow deal on this matter with the... the Coleman - Vrdolyak controversy?"

Nash: "No, not really. I don't know what Senator Lemke had in mind when he introduced that Amendment."

Vinson: "It's not the Coleman - Vrdolyak controversy that you're dealing with on this?"

Nash: "No, it's not. That one is being dealt with, the Bill we passed out of here a couple of years ago."

Vinson: "Okay. I don't have any objection."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "We've dealt with this threatening public officials scenario before."

Nash: "We're nonconcurring on Amendment 3 and 4."

Johnson: "Oh, on that... on that Amendment, huh?"

Nash: "We are concurring on 1 and 2."

Johnson: "Okay. Okay."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 but nonconcur in Senate Amendments #3 and 4?' Oh, I'm informed that we have to have two separate votes for that, Representative. Two separate Hotions."

Nash: "I move that we concur in Senate Amendment 1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendments... Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"

McCracken: "Why not... Why not just nonconcur in two Amendments and send it out? Oh, simple answer."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House concur Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 231?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. And on this... Excuse me. Vote Representative Breslin 'aye'. On this question, there are 100... Representative Shaw wants to vote 'no'. So, on this question, there are 114 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 231. Representative Nash now moves that this House not concur in Senate Amendments #3 and 4 to House Bill 231. All those in favor say 'aye'. all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #3 and 4. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"
- McCracken: "We don't want this. We wanted a Conference on this Bill, and that was the basis of the agreement for going along with it. If the Senate is going to recede on 3 and 4, we're not in agreement. Take it out of the record. We want a Conference. We don't want them to recede on 3 and 4."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, can you advise us on this circumstance?"
- Cullerton: "Well, I think first of all if there's som€ I'm sure that Senator... Representative Nash controversy. would be happy to take it out of the record, but the ... there's no way we can guarantee what the Senate is going to do. To put it in the Conference, we have to nonconcur, and then hope that the Senate will... will not recede. the only way you can get into a Conference Committee. The Senate still has the right to... in fact, they have to refuse to recede. It's a possibility that they could

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

recede."

Speaker Breslin: "Gentlemen."

Cullerton: "So, I think if... if there is some controversy, we should take it out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash."

Cullerton: "But we have concurred in 1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. We have already concurred in Senate Amendments #! and 2 on House Bill 231, and have taken no action at all on Senate Bill... on Senate Amendments #3 and 4. So, the Bill is out of the record. House Bill 243, Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Madam... Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 on House Bill 243. House Bill 243 gave a definition of secondary education as defined and needed for the equal access law. possibly the inclusion in Amendment #1, Senate Amendment #1, by putting the term in, 'equal access', possibly would raise a constitutional question. So, Senate Amendment #1 removes the reference to the equal access. Senate Amendment #2 allows the State Board of Education to, under extenuating circumstances, to make some exceptions to requirements of citizenship if the Board has determined there is an extreme shortage in a particular area for So, I move the adoption of Senate Amendments 1 teachers. and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved that this House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 243. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Okay. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Bowman: "Representative Klemm, according to my analysis it says here that, this will make it illegal for any public

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

which receives secondary school federal financial assistance and which has limited open form to deny access students who wish to hold religious, political, philosophic, other types of meetings. Meetings affected by the law are those that are conducted voluntarily initiated students with no sponsorship by the school or its officials, and that are scheduled to be for or after school hours, is that correct? Is this Amendment one of these Amendments address that issue?"

Klemm: "It said in Amendment #1, which I'm concurring with, will correct that problem. It was in fact suggested by the American Jewish Congress. I think it clears up the air, and nobody is now opposed to the legislation."

Bowman: "Let me also ask whether any of these Amendments would make it more difficult in some way to... for people to educate their children at home?"

Klemm: "Not at all."

Bowman: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none. question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 243? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 253. Rep... Representative Panayotovich, for what reason do you rise?" Panayotovich: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would just like to make an announcement, please. A special person brought a birthday cake over here, and I'd like to invite everybody over for a piece of birthday cake. It's American birthday cake, and I would like Representative Ropp to be the first

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

one to come over here and have a piece of this. American birthday cake with a flag and everything on it. So, you are all welcome. Thank you, Cindy."

- Speaker Breslin: "Happy birthday, Representative Panayotovich.

 Now, with leave of the Body, we'll go back to House Bill
 231. That's Representative Nash's Bill. When we took this
 Bill out of the record, the Motion to be considered was a
 Motion to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 and 4.

 Representative Nash, on that Motion."
- Nash: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we concurred in Amendment 1 and 2, and I move that we nonconcur in Amendment 3 and 4 to House Bill 231."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House nonconcur ia Senate Amendments #3 and 4 to House Bill 231?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 and 4 to House Bill 231. House Bill 253, Representative O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 253 as it was originally proposed created two additional exceptions to the rule for contiguity before a district or an area can be annexed into a municipality. The Bill added a forest preserve districts and right-of-way... utility right-of-way to the exceptions for the contiguity rule. In the Senate, the Amendment #2 further clarified that the forest preserve district could not be annexed into the municipality, and further that the forest preserve district could not be used for further rights-of-way, for access or services between the annexing municipality and the annexed portion to be into the municipality. I'd move for the concurrence of Amendment #2."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate
 Amendment #2 to House Bill 253. On that question, is there

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'ave'... 'aye', 6 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. voting This Bill, having... and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 253. And this Bill, having received its Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 257, Representative Mautino. House understand that we did a Motion on this and transferred the Fall Calendar. House Bill 261. Representative Proceed, Representative Terzich. Terzich. Proceed."

- Terzich: "Yes, I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1.

 This is a revisory Bill, and this is purely a technical nonsubstantive change. And I would move for its adoption."

 Speaker Breslin: "You have to tell us what the original Bill does, Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, it amends various Acts to coordinate terminology with that of the Civil Code of... Code of Civil Procedures."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 26121 Is there anv discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116... 117 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 261. And this Bill, received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

passed. House Bill 264, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Hadam... House Bill 264 amends the Code of Civil
Procedures to make various editorial changes revising or
supplying captions, revising Sections, numbering and
correcting technical errors. The revisory Bill deletes the
captions to Sections and Subsections of the Code. This
Amendment restores these captions as they exist under
current law, and I would move for concurrence with Senate
Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 264. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cullerton: "Representative Terzich, I think you just described

Senate Amendment #1. Could you also describe... or Senate

Amendment #2? If... perhaps you could tell me, first of
all, if it was adopted, and if it was adopted, as I believe
it was, perhaps..."

Terzich: "Well, I thought we only had one, Representative."

Cullerton: "I... I..."

Terzich: "I don't have the analysis on... on 2."

Cullerton: "Okay. I think you better take it out of the record,

because I think Senate Amendment #2 is a substantive

Amendment, which may be controversial."

Terzich: "Okay. We'll take it out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "The Bill is out of the record. Representative
McPike is recognized for the purposes of asking for a
Democratic Caucus."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd ask for a Democratic

Caucus immediately in Room 114."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks for a Democratic Caucus

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

immediately in Room 114. Representative Churchill."

- Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would ask for a Republican Caucus in Room 118."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Churchill asks for a Republican

 Caucus immediately in Room 118. How much time do you need,

 Gentlemen? How much time, Representative Churchill?"
- Churchill: "Whatever amount of time that you're going to have your Conference."
- Speaker Breslin: "We need one half hour, 30 minutes. Report back to this chamber at 7:00. Both parties, please. This House stands in recess until 7:00. Messages from the Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Secretary. 'dr. Speaker, I a m directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments the following Bills: Senate Bill 651, 416, 653, 212, 730, 796, 813, 830, 856, and 859, action taken by the Senate June 27, 1985. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #11, adopted by the Senate June 27, 1985. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Speaker, T a m directed to inform the Representatives that the Senate has concurred House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, to House Joint Resolution 13 together with attached Senate Amendment #1, passed by the Senate as amended June 27, 1985. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution #35, adopted by the Senate June 27, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolutions, and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolutions #47, 48, 61, and 69, adopted by the Senate June 27, 1965. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. ""

Speaker Breslin: "House Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 620. Whereas, Sam Panayotovich, our good friend and colleague from the 35th Representative District, will celebrate his 39th birthday on July 6, 1985; and whereas, during his three years in the House, displayed superior legislative and Panayotovich has political acumen, clearly demonstrating the value and scope of his education under the tutelage of one of Chicago's most cunning and skillful political leaders; and whereas, Sam Panayotovich is now the erstwhile proprietor of Milan's Pub, having sold his interest in the family establishment hoping to soon open Hilan's South in the Land of Lincoln: and whereas, having engaged in the... the assistance of valet, Sam Panayotovich has appeared fit to be tied in recent legislative Sessions, astounding his colleagues with his courage to retain the knot in his tie for long periods of time; and whereas, despite his popularity in Springfield rock'n'roll impresario, Sam Panayotovich's recent appearance on WMAQ-TV provoked a number of calls to Peter Nolan inquiring about this balding, middle-aged man who

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

claims he can play rock'n'roll; and whereas, his seatmates hope that Sam's 39th birthday celebration will put an end to his strict diet, because although it has melted pounds off his svelte frame, it has also sapped his normally cheerful disposition and his buddies want 'good ole Sammy' back; and whereas, Sam Panayotovich has only one year left enjoy his middle-aged childhood: therefore be it by the House of Representatives of the 84th resolved, General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that this House offer its sincerest congratulations to Sam Panayotovich on completion of his 39th year on this good earth; and be further resolved, that we pray his coming 39 years will be as happy and successful as the first 39 and that his dream appearing on the cover of Rolling Stone will come true in the near future; and be it further resolved, that suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution shall be presented to Sam Panayotovich as formal token of our esteem and admiration."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich, for a few words."

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To all my friends who

worked so hard to put this Resolution together,

Representative Laurino, who gave me a hairpiece for my

birthday. I thank you all, and there's a little cake left

if you want to come. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Now?"

Speaker Breslin: "Not now. Ladies and Gentlemen, going to the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appearing on page 4 on your Calendar there appears Senate Bill 847. It's on Second Reading. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 847, a Bill for an Act to amend

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Sections of the Illinois Development Finance Authority Act.

This Bill has been read a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted June 26, 1985."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Sepresentative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton, on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 847."

Cullerton: "I would move to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Amendment #3 is identical to, I believe, Senate Bill 846, which amends the Illinois Development Finance Would redefine Authority Act. industrial projects providing that in evaluating the eligibility of those prospective industrial projects to be located within any area of a critical labor surplus, the Authority shall considered relationship between the amount of the funds to be provided by the Authority and the degree to which the projects will contribute to the creation or retention of employment, including employment in the construction industry and to the economic development of the area in which the project is located. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 847. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker. Yes, this Bill here allows the Authority to be able to sell bonds that may be provided for county jails and county courthouses, and it allows them to participate in these facilities, and their construction. I ask for its approval."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 847. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Withdraw."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #5. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Withdraw."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #... is it 5 or 6, Mr.

Clerk? Withdraw Amendment #6. Are there any further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman, there has been a

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1365

fiscal note filed on this Bill as amended. Senate Bill 849 as amended. Excuse me. Mr. Clerk, this says Senate Bill 849. not 847. Excuse me. There was no fiscal note filed. Bill moves to the Order of Third Reading. Representative Saltsman now asks leave for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 847 on Third Reading. there any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman has leave. Proceed. Excuse me. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 847, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Development Finance Authority Act.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman."

- Saltsman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill amends the Illinois Development Finance Authority Act. It increases the Authority board from 13 to 15 members. And the first Amendment, what it did was, it just increased the amount of votes that would be needed from 7 to 8 because they went from 13 to 15 Amendments... 13 to 15 members. And I ask for this Bill's passage as anended."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 847. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan."
- Madigan: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I simply wish to rise in support of this Bill and to explain that for several months I have been opposed to the Bill and the provisions contained in the Bill, but because a very intense lobbying effort by Representative Saltsman, I have now been pursuaded to support the Bill. So, I just want to thank Representative Saltsman."
- Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 847 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Representative Saltsman?

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, going to the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, appearing on page 7 on your Calendar, appears Senate Bill 849, Representative Vinson — Daniels. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 849, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock, there was a request for a fiscal note as amended. Has that been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "Madam Speaker, who... if I could inquire the Clerk,
who made the request for the fiscal note or the... was it a
fiscal note or a physical note? 'Looky here.'"

Speaker Breslin: "It was a fiscal note..."

Cullerton: "Oh, a fiscal note."

Speaker Breslin: "... and it was filed by Representative Bowman.

Representative Bowman. Representative Bowman, for what:
reason do you rise?"

Bowman: "Well, just to show the... the folks on the other side of the aisle what a good sport I am, I'm going to withdraw that request."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws his request for a

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

fiscal note. Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 849, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Third

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I only hope you'll be so gracious when it comes time to vote on this Bill. Madam Speaker and Members of the House, we're in this posture here tonight because the Bills which we passed in this area, House Bill 300 and House Bill 2036, died in the Senate. Now, I strongly believe when we passed those Bills that we made a statement here in the that statement was, that we here believe strongly that the citizens of Illinois have a right to know just chemicals exist in the communities. Unless we pass a Bill here tonight, they won't have that right. Make no mistake about it, this is now only ... the only community right to know Bill in town. Unfortunately, the Senate has defeated both Bills. 2036 died in Committee. House Bill 300 died on the Senate floor. This is the only community right to know Bill. Now, I won't belabor the points... as to what's in this Bill, because you all know. We voted for it All I would say, this is the only Bill we left, and if you truly believe in community right to know, if you believe as I do, that every citizen in Illinois right to be informed of what chemicals exist in our state, if you truly believe, as I do, that a incident or others may occur here in our state unless we take action here tonight, you'll vote 'aye' on this Bill. And I ask for your support. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 949. And on that question, the Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike. Please give the Gentlemen

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

your attention."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the When this Bill came up the first time, I got up and made a few joking comments about it because I really didn't Republicans were serious in calling community right to know Bill. And since I thought they weren't really serious, we helped them pass it because it was so weak and meaningless and did absolutely nothing, we thought we'd go along with the little facade and send it to the Senate. It was a gag. But now, they're serious. They're really trying to tell the public that there's something in this Bill. The Sponsor of the Bill says that this is a community right to know Bill, and the public has a right to know what chemicals are present in the community that are being released in the community that can threaten their help... their health. It starts off good, as I said a couple of months ago. It has a preamble of findina purpose, and that's the only tough part of the Bill. Finding and purpose, that the proliferation of hazardous chemicals in the communities of Illinois poses a growing threat to the public health, safety and welfare. That's the strongest part of this Bill. The next 5 or 6 pages deal with definitions. Finally, they speak to the guts of and what a company actually has to tell the public. And this is what the company has to tell public, and what the public is going to find out; the name of the facility, where it's located, what type of is, and then they get into a category that they call, where an employer has to assess the potential now get this, assess the potential for release of a dangerous chemical, hazardous substance, assess the potential of that, that is likely to result in a sudden unplanned release. Now, this is a company, mind you,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

has to tell people how often they have unplanned releases of dangerous chemicals. Now, if they say, frequently, they should shut down. If they have one every 30 days... days they have an unplanned release of a dangerous chemical into the air, then they should be shut down, just fill out a form that says 'very frequently'. The next category is 'frequently'. That's between 30 and 90 days. Now, would you like to live next door to a plant that had unplanned releases? They're supposed to be responsible to the community, and they're admitting here that they have unplanned releases frequently. The next category is 'seldom', and finally, it's 'almost never'. So, Ι presume any company that is at all responsible would check the box that says 'almost never', and 'almost never' once every 6 months. So, you check this box on every chemical that says you have an unplanned release every months, 'almost never'. Well, I don't know what that tells As I said, in Bophal, they would've checked this box us. that it's 'almost never'. But then they release a couple of thousand pounds of methylisothiocyanate and 2,000 people and they said, but the community had a right to You know, we've never had this before. Naturally, we had to check this box that said 'almost never'. What other box could we check? I suggested before we should box on here, 'never on Sundays. 'never Christmas', or whatever it would be. But, my gosh, a has to say 'almost never'. Is it any wonder that the environmental community is saying, 'What is this Bill?' it any wonder that the Manufacturers' Association would if there's a choice between the Democractic say, Well tough Bill that's going to tell us some information, this crazy gag, let's take the crazy gag. Let's run with Finally, they... they tell... finally, they say

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

they have to submit a copy of this... a copy of a list of alphabetized chemicals... they're going to submit local sheriff's office. So, that every morning the sheriff gets together with deputies and he says. we want you to listen. be on the outlook for anv unauthorized chemicals that you would see. We want you patrol the plant gates, patrol around the fence area. Ιf you see any unauthorized chemicals not on this list. we're going to get a posse. We'll get a posse. Right. posse. ' So, I don't know what can be said about the Bill. Right. T did forget to mention one thing. I got carried away because, when I said that this form that they fill out would tell you when they say 'almost never', it would you almost nothing. Actually, in most cases, it would tell you nothing, because on a previous definition section, they about trade secrets. You see, when you release this chemical into the air, let's say that what you release 10 dangerous chemicals in it. You don't have to tell anybody what these chemicals are, because it's a trade secret. You just give out the trade name. Oh. we released ABC, but no, we're not going to tell you what trade name. the chemicals are. Besides that, we almost never release Well... they finally are going to say, but we do have emergency planning in this Bill. But Representative Hallock, we already have a Bill on emergency planning. Governor instituted a task force. He agreed to go with his recommendations. Representative Ellis Levin and Representative Hallock cosponsored the Bill. the comprehensive look at the chemical industry in this state. was done by a team of experts working with industry, working with EPA. They came up with the recommendations. put it into the Bill in the Senate. It's back here for concurrence, and it'll probably get 116 votes. So,

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

not needed. So, what do we have left? We have left nothing. A joke. A gag. Absolutely nothing that you can take home. You can go home and say, you have a right now to know, under our Bill, absolutely nothing."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn, on the question."

Flinn: "Well, Madam Speaker, following someone like Majority Leader McPike is pretty difficult. But I would like to start out by saying that if we're going to have a posse maybe we could find a woman sheriff from Texas or someplace like +hat. But I am the only one who voted against Bill 2036. and I said at that time, we don't need a community right to know, because if everybody knew Montsanto... Montsanto Chemical Company in my district produced by its chemical name, they would be just as smart afterwards as they were before, and they wouldn't know anything about it at all. What you do is open up avenues for every nut in the neighborhood to try to shut a plant down they didn't like, because their brother-in-law got fired there. But let me tell you something else, I think what we ought to do is take 949, 2036 and 300 and join them up with the Funeral Directors' Bill 293, and bury them all."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,

Representative Hallock to close."

Hallock: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I assume that the Majority Leader is against my Bill from the tone of his comments. He never did say that, but I guess that's the gist of it. Speaking of the Funeral Home Bill, by the way, I happen to have picked that one up, and the controversy on that one made this one look like a Consent Calendar Bill. But speaking to this Bill, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, the Majority Leader

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

facetious about this became very whole issue. And unfortunately, to me, it's not the kind of issue we should really joke about. To me, it's a very clear cut issue. either believe in community right to know, or we don't. But let me respond briefly to a couple of the... jocular comments which Mr. McPike addressed within the Bill. of all, he spoke about his Bill and our Bill, and his, of course, he said was much preferred. With regard to the number of employees, let me point out why this Bill is a far more comprehensive Bill than his Bill. First of all. in terms of company... companies, this Bill covers over 15,000 companies statewide. Their Bill, had it been passed by the Senate, covered only 2,000 companies. covers over 700 chemicals, and as a matter of fact, we utilized, within this Bill, the Worker Right To Know Law. the law which we all supported and most of us supported two years ago, because we thought it was a good idea that workers had the right to know what chemicals were in the community and in their employment places. And now we say that... that chemical list should also be utilized for the community. And we used that list, because we thought it was a very good list. But I find it ironic that Mr. McPike would say that's not a good list to use, that the 700 chemicals on that list aren't enough, because he, in fact, was a Chief Sponsor of that Bill two years ago. think that points out how they were really trying to be facetious here on their side of the aisle, because they don't want to vote for this overall. Let me mention another point. He talked about emissions. The Illinois I have a statement here in front of me, says, EPA. and that specific numbers or even a range of numbers reflecting emissions may neither be accurate enough, nor, by themselves, a good indicator of the degree of concern

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

associated with the release'. The gist of that statement is that our Bill does what's practical. and feasible. and what's attainable. Their Bill would have tried to ascertain some information, which even could've done it on a costly basis to employers, would've had no merit, would nt have no use to anybody, and have sat on shelves and done nothing for the community. And a last remonstration on this point on the... issue he mentioned about the sheriff. Now, he may have been... tried to be facetious on that point as well, but I should point out to you that the language about the sheriff identical to that within House Bill 300 of which he was one of the Sponsors. So, joke if you may about this issue. but let's not deny the facts. The issue is clear, repeat myself again. If you believe in community right to know as I do, and as I believe most Republicans do, you'll vote for this Bill. All joking aside, this is a very fine Bill. Worked on it for over three months by the Republicans on this side of aisle. We worked on it with the business groups. All business groups have signed off and support this Bill. If you believe we should have a community right to know Bill, which in fact, protects the community and at the same time does not chase employers out of our state, you'll vote for this Bill. If you want to have a Bill which will send our businesses down to the south and to the west where they have no environmental restrictions whatsoever, you want a different Bill. is the best Bill in town. It's the only Bill town, and I ask for your support. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 849 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Representative Madigan, to explain his vote."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Madigan: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise to explain my 'present' vote and to say unequivocally that this is a fraud. It's a copout. It's an effort by those that don't want to stand up and support House Bill 300, which is a real legitimate effort by the Legislature to provide community right to know. The issue comes down very simply. Either you want to soft pedal this issue, either you want to pass this Bill, and this Amendment, which is iust coverup, or you want to join the ranks of the people on this side of the aisle and support House Bill 300, which is meaningful and tough, but fair. Fair, because it simply provides that those companies which must get permits from the EPA today would come under the covers of community right to know. Fair, because it simply tells all the citizens of this state and the watchdog groups precisely what chemicals are used in plants, and therefore, emitted into the environment. All we are asking for in House Bill 300 is that our fellow citizens, people who live next door to you and I, have a right to know what is being put into the environment so that, hopefully, with the grace of God, we could prevent a repetition of Bophal right here Illinois."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels, to explain his vote."

Daniels: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, who just addressed this I'm a little puzzled. You give great speeches. You talk like a great Legislator, and in most instances I agree with a lot you do. But where's your Amendment? Where are strength in Majority to talk about your beloved House Bill 300? We didn't kill it. Your counterparts over iп the Sanate killed it. Where is it on this Bill? You have the Majority Party. I hear a little hypocritical talk in this Body. hear some Democrat demagaguery. Ţ hear

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

I hear talk that all we're doing is talk. partisanship. talk. talk. But yet, you got the votes to pass the legislation, Ar. Speaker. Where's your Amendment to You had the opportunity. Did you decide that you didn't want to put up? I think you did. That's why not here. This is the only show - the House Republican Policy Committee Report. We had the guts. Иe had the stamina to come out and try it again. It's before you now. Why didn't you join us and pass this Bill? Democrat majorities that you lead in this Assembly and it on another Bill. Strengthen it, and make House Bill 300 a reality. You haven't done it. You could do it. But why don't vou join with us and at least take a step in community right to know. That's what Representative Hallock has done. That's why this Bill ought to pass. That's why he deserves your support. It just might be that this is a good idea."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock, to explain your vote."

Bullock: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker. In explaining my vote. going to ask, 'Where is the beef,' but I know where Daniels just the beef is. gave us a dissertation tells us that this was put together by the Republican Policy Committee. If the Republican Policy Committee this report together, then they are lollipops for the Illinois Manufacturers Association. The fact of the matter is. Ţ received, this afternoon, a contribution list, Mr. Hinority Leader. Where's the beef? The fact of the matter is, the Illinois Manufacturers' Association contributed over \$26,000 last year to the boys that serve up this panacea. It's a placebo for the manufacturers. The fact of the matter is, the Democrats received \$2,000, but if you think that isn't heinous, they

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

spent \$8,000 to defeat one of the finest Representatives we have in this Body, Grace Mary Stern. They spent \$9,000 to Assembly a distinguished Legislator. But the fact of the matter is, this Bill is not a Policy Committee It was boiled. It was concocted. It's being served by the Manufacturers* Association. The Bill in the Senate is not dead. It's just temporarily delayed. justice delayed is not denied. You'll see that Bill, and you'll talk about the votes not on the Bill. Whv don't you talk about the votes that were on that Bill? And T have every confidence that the velvet hammer can work magic in both chambers and sometimes down on the second This Bill deserves to be defeated. The Manufacturers should know that we have a right to know, and we do And the voters of this state will know that this is a partisan attempt to develop a Roll Call which will The real Roll Call was on House Bill 300. denied. T+ shall come back, and in the meantime. I urge Members vote 'present' or 'no', which is exactly what you're doing."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Stephens."

you, Madam Chairman. As I look at the board, I Stephens: "Thank see the yellow votes. It's the same... I can associate those votes with the faces that I saw laughing, as I looked across the aisle earlier when the Member from Madison I felt very proud at that County was speaking to the Bill. moment to be a Republican, as proud as I've felt since I've been here, because we're dealing with the issue, and laugh and joke about it, but as our leader said, can 'Where's your Bill?' You control the House. You control the Senate. Or at least maybe they think they do. it's a matter of philosophy. And it's a matter of

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

philosophy and principals that made us introduce that Bill... this Bill. And if you care about the people back in your district, it's a pretty simple vote. You either vote for the Bill, or you don't care about the people back in your district. You can address it. Maybe you don't think it's strong enough. Well, if it's not strong enough, where's your Amendment? If it's not strong enough, vote for it and change the law next year. But vote for it."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas, one minute to explain your vote."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Senate Bill 849 is not a joke. It's a sham on the people of the State of Illinois. It was under the Speaker Ryan that we formed the Royal Order leadership of Mushrooms. now the Republicans want and mushrooms out of all the citizens of the State of Illinois. Senate Bill 849 would only serve to frustrate the public more and keep them in the dark about toxic chemicals. It's a bad Bill, and it should be soundly defeated."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, to explain my vote. know, to those of us on this side of the aisle, this is a serious issue, and I would think it would be to you, Now, Bophal, India may be a long ways from where too. live, but a company in Rockford, Illinois about a month and a half ago absolutely blew up and wiped out a certain part of our city. Now, that to me was an indication what might happen statevide. As a matter of fact, it's not just an indication. It's an indication of what will happen to all of us, and we have to be prepared for that and now is the time to do that. You know, let's not joke with ourselves. All of you and probably all of us have gone around in the

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

state in the last year during our campaigns and said, 'Yes, we're for a good environment. Yes, we're for good environmental protection laws,' and so on. At the very same time we have said to our citizenry that we also believe strongly in keeping jobs in Illinois and bringing jobs to Illinois. Well, this Bill will do both. And I submit to you, it's the best proposal we've seen yet this Session on the topic. And I ask you to vote for it."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, one minute to explain his vote."

Bowman: "Okay, you people on the other side of the aisle. YOU want to be serious? Let's be serious. Let's talk about the tons, and I mean tons of dangerous chemicals that are being emitted into the environment minute by minute, day by month by month. day, and You are trying to pretend that the only problem, the only problem that is deserving of being addressed is emergency response. Well, if that is the case, we do have a Bill. There is an alternative Bill. and it is on the Calendar. The real issue, the issue that 300 was trying to address and that this Bill House Bill completely ignores... completely ignores is the day by day, month by month, release into the environment of dangerous toxic chemicals in the air and in the water. And that is what this Bill does not address. That's why I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 52 voting 'aye', 9 voting 'no', and 56 voting 'present', and the Bill fails.

Are there any... Representative McPike is recognized."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to extend the deadline on Senate Bill 1454 until tomorrow at the hour of midnight."

63rd Legislative Day

June 27, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to extend the time on Senate Bill 1454 until when, Sir?"

McPike: "Until midnight tomorrow."

Speaker Breslin: "Until midnight tomorrow. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the deadline on that one Bill, Senate Bill 1454, is extended until tomorrow at midnight. Representative McPike for the adjournment Motion."

HcPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move the House now stands
adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 9:00 a.m."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike moves that this House stand adjourned until the hour of 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And this House stands adjourned until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning."

12/16/85 14:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

	JUNE 27, 1995		
HB-0039	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	262
	CONCUBRENCE	PAGE	264
HB-0052	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	264
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	265
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	265
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	266
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	25
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	267
	CONCURRENCE CONCURRENCE	PAGE	269 211
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE PAGE	272
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	274
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	273
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	273
HB-0150	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	244
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	273
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	285
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	276
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	277
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	278
	CONCURRENCE CONCURRENCE	PAGE	279
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE PAGE	284 282
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	282
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	284
HB-0257		PAGE	26
HB-0261	CONCUBRENCE	PAGE	285
HB-0264	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	286
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	286
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	259
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	234
	CONCURRENCE CONCURRENCE	PAGE	234
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	256 79
	THIRD READING	PAGE	80
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	231
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	230
HB-0563	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	243
	CONCUERENCE	PAGE	255
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	255
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	243
	CONCUERENCE	PAGE	215
	CONCURRENCE CONCURRENCE	PAGE	237
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE PAGE	218 232
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	248
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	256
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	256
HB-1103	CONCUBRENCE	PAGE	257
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	221
	CONCUBRENCE	PAGE	217
	CONCURBENCE	PAGE	212
	CONCURRENCE CONCURRENCE	PAGE	260
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	231
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE PAGE	235 218
HB-1395		PAGE	239
HB-1412		PAGE	257
HB-1544		PAGE	258
№ НВ- 1552		PAGE	242
7HB-1763	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	214525
HB-1769	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	252
HB- 1801	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	229
HB-1801 HB-1947	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	230
UD-1347	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	227

HB1109-pg. 225

HB141

12/16/95 14:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 27, 1985

	JUNE 27, 1953		
HB-1970	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	220
HB-1977		PAGE	236
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	260
HB-1977	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	237
HB-2103	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	247
HB-2113	CONCURBENCE	PAGE	258
HB-2276	CONCUBRENCE	PAGE	237
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	232
SB-0001		PAGE	2
	SECOND READING	PAGE	48
	THIRD READING	PAGE	55
	SECOND READING	PAGE	57
SB-0134		PAGE	63
SB-0134	THIRD READING	PAGE	63
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	59
	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
	THIRD READING	PAGE	60
SB-0167	THIRD READING	PAGE	5
	SECOND READING THIRD READING	PAGE	60
	SECOND READING	PAGE	61 173
	SECOND READING	PAGE	183
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	62
	SECOND READING	PAGE	196
SB-0174		PAGE	197
SB-0174		PAGE	63
	SECOND READING	PAGE	65
	THIRD READING	PAGE	65
	THIRD READING	PAGE	21
	SECOND READING	PAGE	66
	THIRD READING	PAGE	69
SB-0356	THIRD READING	PAGE	22
	THIRD READING	PAGE	24
	THIRD READING	PAGE	27
	THIRD READING	PAGE	27
	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
	THIRD READING	PAGE	71
SB-0361	SECOND READING	PAGE	72
SB-0361	THIRD READING	PAGE	72
	THIRD READING	PAGE	28
	THIRD READING	PAGE	29
SB-0364 SB-0337		PAGE	29
SB-0387		PAGE	197
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	199 74
	THIRD READING	PAGE	77
	SECOND READING	PAGE	79
	THIRD READING	PAGE	80
	SECOND READING	PAGE	31
	THIRD READING	PAGE	82
SB-0450	THIRD READING	PAGE	30
SB-0451	SECOND READING	PAGE	199
SB-0451	THIRD READING	PAGE	207
SB-0452	SECOND READING	PAGE	83
	THIRD READING	PAGE	84
	SECOND READING	PAGE	84
SB-0453	THIRD READING	PAGE	91
SB-0454		PAGE	31
SB-0455	SECOND READING	PAGE	92
SB-0455	THIRD READING	PAGE	93
SB-0456	THIRD READING	PAGE	31
SB-0459 SB-0458	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
SB-0458	THIRD READING SECOND READING	PAGE	99
SB-0459	THIRD READING	PAGE	100
22 0433	THE MUNDING	PAGE	101

. 12/16/85 14:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

JUNE 27, 1985

	•		
SB-0460	THIRD READING	PAGE	32
SB-0461	SECOND READING	PAGE	102
SB-0461	THIRD READING	PAGE	103
SB-0462	THIRD READING	PAGE	32
SB-0463	SECOND READING	PAGE	104
SB-0463		PAGE	208
SB-0463	THIRD READING	PAGE	203
SB-0463	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	104
SB-0464	SECOND READING	PAGE	104
5B-0464	THIRD READING	PAGE	104
SB-0465	THIRD READING	PAGE	33
SB-0466	THIRD READING	PAGE	33
SB-0467	SECOND READING	PAGE	106
SB-0467	THIRD READING	PAGE	118
SB-0469		PAGE	119
58-0468	THIRD READING		
SB-0470	SECOND READING	PAGE	122
SB-0470		PAGE	137
		PAGE	140
SB-0471	THIRD READING	PAGE	34
SB-0472		PAGE	123
SB-0472	THIRD READING	PAGE	136
SB-0473		PAGE	141
SB-0473	THIRD READING	PAGE	142
SB-0474	THIRD READING	PAGE	35
SB-0475	THIRD READING	PAGE	36
SB-0476	SECOND READING	PAGE	142
SB-0476	THIRD READING	PAGE	148
SB-0477		PAGE	149
SB-0477		PAGE	149
SB-0478	SECOND READING	PAGE	150
SB-0478	THIRD READING	PAGE	151
SB-0490		PAGE	151
SB-0480	THIRD READING	PAGE	156
SB-0481	SECOND READING	PAGE	157
SB-0481	THIRD READING	PAGE	158
5B-0482	SECOND READING	PAGE	159
SB-0492	THIRD READING	PAGE	163
	SECOND READING	PAGE	165
SB-0483		PAGE	168
SB-0496	THIRD READING	PAGE	37
SB-0507	THIRD READING	PAGE	42
SB-0529	SECOND READING	PAGE	168
SB-0528	THIRD READING	PAGE	169
SB-0552	SECOND READING	PAGE	170
SB-0552	THIRD READING	PAGE	171
SB-0847	SECOND READING	PAGE	289
SB-0947	THIRD READING	PAGE	292
SB-0849	SECOND READING	PAGE	293
SB-0949	THIRD READING	PAGE	294
SB-0863	THIRD READING	PAGE	37
SB-1033	THIRD READING	PAGE	38
SB-1112	THIRD READING	PAGE	43
SB-1369	SECOND READING	PAGE	171
SB-1410	THIRD READING	PAGE	44
SB-1411	THIRD READING	PAGE	45
HR-0620	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	288

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER BRAUN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND MICHAEL PFLEGER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	i
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	i
MESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	238

12/16/85 14:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 4

JUNE 27, 1985

SUBJECT MATTER

RECESS	PAGE	287
RESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	287
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	306