135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

- Speaker McPike: "House will come to order. Members will be in their seats. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverence John Curtis, Pastor of First Baptist Church of Taylorville. Reverend Curtis is a guest of Representative Karen Hasara. Will the guests in the balcony please rise and join us for the invocation?"
- Reverend Curtis: "He has shown you, old man, what is what does the Lord require of you but to do justly, to love mercy and to walk humbly with your God? Let us pray. Almighty God, You are the one who has gladly created world and given us the rythum of night and day and... and we begin our work today with the full knowledge that we represent the people of our state, our nation and our world and that we need an extra measure of wisdom and grace as we carry out the visions before us. We confess, Father, to a limitation of knowledge and insight as we decide what's best for our common life. The way is not always clear to Sometimes there is confusion as we labor for people. Sometimes our self-interest disfigures the plans and the perceptions of our common lite and the good and best sometimes clude our best efforts. We ask that You would enlighten our minds to find our way through the twilight of choices before us. Help us reason clearly. reflect compassionately and act with resolve in our life. intention, Father, is to promote life, liberty Our and the pursuit of happiness and we ask Your help through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen."
- Speaker McPike: "Be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Roppo"
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United

 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

 one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

for allog

- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Excused absences?

 Representative McCracken is excused from the Republican side and none from the Democratic side. Take the record.

 Mr. Clerk. 117 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1505, Hautino, and House Resolution 1506, Cullerton."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, these are two congratulatory kesolutions, and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves the adoption of the Agreed
 Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye',
 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed
 Resolutions are adopted. Message from the Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright,
 Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the
 House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to
 concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment to
 a Bill of the following title, to wit; Senate Bill 2129,
 together with House Amendment \$22, action taken by the
 Senate June 25, 1986. Kanneth Wright, Secretary."
- Speaker McPike: "General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 229, Keane. And House
 Resolution 1504, Giorgi et al."
- Speaker AcPike: "Committee on Assignment, Representative
 Barger."
- Barger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, I°d like to take this opportunity to introduce to you a Scout Troop from Glen Ellyn, Illinois, Scout Troop 348, with their scoutmaster, John Rutledge, there in the gallery there in back of the Cemocratic side.

 Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

- Speaker McPike: "Special Call Business Regulation, appears

 House Bill 2485. Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative

 Stephens."
- Stephens: "Ar. Speaker, with your indulgence, I'd like to take that Bill out of the record."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullertona"
- Cullerton: "Ar. Speaker, my understanding of the House rules Rule 39(b) gives a Sponsor the right to take a Sill out of the record only on Second and Third Reading. since anyone can make a Motion to either Furthermore. concur or nonconcur, any Hamber can do that, at this I would like to move to nonconcur with the Senate Amendment Mr. Speaker, if I can explain the reason. Governor has indicated that he wishes to advance tax package for horse racing. The only Billoso Ar. Speaker, if I could have a little order. I nave people asking for your name while I'm trying to talka"
- Speaker AcPike: "Representative Tate, if you could just wait a second, I'll get right to you."
- Cullerton: "So, Mr. Speaker, the point is, if I could finish, the point is I think we should be fair to the other I think we should let Representative Stephens read This would be the fourth time he's Rule 39(b). taken i t out of the record. I'd like not to have to do this. He did for our indulgence, which means, apparently, he's thinking about not taking it out of the record the next So, I'll be happy to let him read the time he's called. rule and next time we come to this Order of Eusiness. perhaps he might have a different Motion."
- Speaker McPike: "Thank you, Representative Cullerton. Now,
 Representative Piel, Churchill, Tate, Ropp, did you wish to
 address this? Okav. Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Although the rule is, as it

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

was stated by Representative Cullerton, I believe it's been the practice of the House to allow Members to take their Bill out of the Order when we're dealing with this Order of Business. And I think that even though the rule is as said, that it has been the practice of the House, and I don't see how the Representative who spoke on the other side of the aisle can demand that in future that a Member can lose that right to take his Bill out of the Order."

- Speaker McPike: "Well, Representative Churchill, your point... to be honest with you, I'm not clear what your point was. Our rules say that any Member can make a Motion and be recognized for that Motion. Here you trying to dispute that? Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "No, I would not dispute that. What I was disputing is the ability of a Sponsor to take his Bill out of the record at this stage in the process of the House."
- Speaker McPike: "You would... and at some future date, you're saying that when we're on the Order of Nonconcurrences, the Sponsor cannot take it out?"
- Churchill: "I guess that's what I'm asking as a point of order, if you would clarify that for the House whether or not a Sponsor of a Bill may take the Bill out of the record on the Order of Concurrence or Nonconcurrence."
- Speaker McPike: "The answer, Sir, is noo"
- Churchill: "So then, at any time in the future, if we're on the Order of Concurrence or Nonconcurrence, and a Sponsor wishes to take the Bill out of the record, then we can stand and object and it will be the ruling of the Chair that that Bill must be heard."
- Speaker McPike: "Well, certainly, at this point, I think it's mut, because Representative Cullerton has withdrawn his Motion. So, we will proceed."
- Churchill: "Ar ..."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

- Speaker McPike: "The Special Call Criminal and Civil Law, House
 Bill 2658, Representative Greiman. I beg your pardon.

 House Bill 2409, Representative Greiman. Representative
 Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you. Thank you, Speaker. Excuse me. I would move that the House concur in Amendments &1 and 2 which deal with some changes of venue but which are, we believe, not violative of the spirit of the Act and are protective.

 So, I would ask for a favorable vote.
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

 Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2409. And on that, the

 Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Hill the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will."

- "Representative Greiman. Senate Amendment 31. as I Countryman: understand it, that would allow a divorce... where a petition has been filed, the venue would be proper divorce in that proceeding or any other proceeding. Is that And that could be in correct? any county where the residence is located or in a contiguous county or judicial Is that right?"
- Greiman: "I think it provides for contiguous... venue is proper where it would be a contiguous county or judicial circuit but they would still have to have the normal residency requirements. In other words, you can... He still have a venue... We have venue provisions in our... in our Act and divorce is not quite a transitory cause of action. So, the courts have a right to transfer the venue back to a more appropriate circuit."
- Countryman: "Hell, if you remember, I believe Representative

 Johnson and I had the concern being downstate people..."

Greiman: "Right."

Countryman: "... that we didn't want to end up having our clients

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

have to travel many miles in the circuit in which Sanyamon County is located, the seventh, I believe, is quite big and goes all the way over to..."

- an appropriate concern. think that's But I think that courts are not particularly interested in keepina cases which really have no relation to their area or their county so that it seems to me if there's a transit... transitory person who files a suit, they have the power and the right to change the venue and to send it to a more appropriate forum. They still have that right to do that under Illinois law in any event. In any cases, they have that right."
- Countryman: "That's true, but usually that requires hiring counsel, going into that court and filing a Notion for a change of venue."
- Greiman: "I think... I think this is complex enough that it's...

 You know, we're talking divorces cases, and anybody who
 doesn't have a divorce... doesn't have a lawyer in a
 divorce case is, you know, sort of deserves what he or she
 gets, I suspect."

Countryman: "What is the effect of Senate Amendment #2?"

Greiman: "Pardon?"

Countryman: "What is the effect of Senate Amendment #2?"

Greiman: "Wait one second. Okay. It's where the... where the court has made a finding, you would want to have the... it's for the protection of the respondent who would want to have a right to open up interim orders. Where there's been some kind of action taken against that person and he wants to have the right to reopen and it says that he should reopen it if he has a meritorious defense, if there's been a lack of notice in the defense, and the court will then set a hearing within 14 days so that he has pretty responsive action if there's been an order which has been

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

against him. So, it's really to protect somebody against whom an order has been rendered. That's what the purpose of it is. It does, like this Act... does... it gives some balance to the Act."

Countryman: "Mr. Speaker, to the Amendments. I have a problem with Amendment 21. I don't have a problem with Amendment 22. And I would ask the Chair to divide the question. Pr. Speaker. Ar. Speaker, I have a problem with Amendment 21 and I don't have a problem with Amendment 22; and, therefore, I would ask the Chair to divide the question. Is that proper?

Speaker McPike: "Yes. Any Memper can ask for a Givision."

Countryman: "And then I would like to speak to Amendment 21. stand in opposition to Amendment #1 and not in opposition to Amendment #2. My reason for my opposition to Amendment $t^{i}1$ particularly borne out in my questioning Representative Greiman. I've supported the Act, supported it in Committee, and I've supported it here on the House floor. However, in downstate Illinois, many of us reside and many of our districts are, the judicial circuits become quite large. And what this say, where you're talking about a contiguous county or a contiguous judicial circuit, may be able to take you Champaign County all the way down to Carbondale or East St. I think that's too broad. I don't see a necessity And I would, therefore, hope that we would in Senate Amendment #1 and if the Sponsor would so seek, work out amicable language. So, I'd ask the Body to on Senate Amendment #1 and "yes" on Senate vote 'no' Amendment #2. Thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Young."

Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Vill the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "He will."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

- Young: "In regard to Senate Amendment 1 are there any requirements or prerequisites as to when a court outside the county of residence could enter an order for exclusive possession?"
- Greiman: "I don't understand the question. I didn't hear the question."
- Young: "Okay. My question is, in regards to the order...

 temporary order for exclusive possession, if that order is
 entered in a court outside the county or circuit where the
 property is actually located, are there any guidelines in
 this Amendment as to when a court or a circuit outside the
 county of the residence can actually enter such an order?"
- "Hell, yeah, I think the answer is generally found... - seeded in the law cenerally: that they would bе doing it the residence of one of the parties. o f Mawa if that party has found new residence, I think that thev may be in trouble and may not get exclusive possession then. So that, it cuts both ways. And here someone comes and says, "I live in Hill County', or something, but they really live in Lake County, then we have a recular problem and plus they would certainly dafeat some of the... some of the strength of their request for exclusive possession because thev b Luou not need exclusive Part... one of the things the court will look possession. at is the necessity of the family to determine... that's what this Act is about, to give the courts the kind of tools to make sense in the innerfamiliar relationship. think that they would not tend to make... they wouldnot tend to exacerbate the problem. I think they will bring it together. Just illogical."
- Young: "Well, for purposes of legislative intent, could you give
 us an example of when you think this Amendment would come
 into effect and a court would properly grant exclusive

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1936

possession?"

Greiman: "Oh. I think the exclusive possession is granted in the way that it has been granted previously, where one in... is in dangerous condition. continuance of that party to stav in that residence is dangerous to the... to the remaining person or to the children of the parties. And I think that guite clearly we have a body of law which is now about ten years old which defines the exclusivity of that. And courts have not been very willing to remove a husband - usually a husband - from the house. They have not been willing to do that. Mv. OWD experiance tells me that in handling matrimonial matters: that it is a tough nut to get that, and there's a lot talk about it than actually is done."

Young: "Okay. Our analysis indicates that this order entered by a ...by a court of a county outside the county of residence is effective for only 14 days. Is that correct?"

Greiman: "Yeah, Right,"

Young: "Well, at the end of that 14 days, could the parties continually go back to a court outside their county of residence and keep renewing the same order, or would they have to go back to the county of residence before they could be a permanent order?"

Greiman: "Obe nos nos They would have to go back in the county where the residence is located. So, as a matter of fact, you would change that venue and you would go back to county where it's regulated which is... which would make it lot easier and which, I think, would help Countryman's objection as well, to know that if fact. is a controversy that it will go back... eventually it does go back to the county where the residence is. wouldn't have this long spread that is of concern to some of the Members, justfully so."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

Young: "Okay. So this temporary order entered by a court outside the county would only be good for 14 days. Is that correct?"

Greiman: "Yes, that's right."

Young: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "With all the commotion, Representative Greiman, I'm sure this question has been asked and answered, but if you'd do it again for me. The Amendment that I added specifically directs itself to the situation and provides that for exclusive possession of a residence, that action has to be brought in the county where the residence exists, or the residence is. Is that provision still in the Bill? That's the one we debated and had a contested Roll Call one."

Greiman: "No, that's the one the Senate took out."

Johnson: "Okay."

Greiman: "The Senate didn't agree to that. They thought i t HAS inclusive. They thought, also, that the... the parties could be ... be living in another county. Now. OMD personal view of it is, Tim, that it would be a rare situation where somebody had established a residency such magnitude in another county, that they would be using that venue to file the suit, but yet were asking for exclusive possession because they were fearful of their life, they wanted to move back to the original county. I n any event, as I understand it, it's only good for one shot. It's only good for an emergency shot of 14 days and then you're pack in the residence, in the county where the residence actually is. So that it has very limited application in any event."

Johnson: "Which Amendment... Which Senate Amendment removed our Amendment on the exclusive possession issue? #1?"

135th Legislative Day June 26, 1986

Greiman: "Amendment #1, Tim."

"Okay. Then to the ... to the Bill or to the Amendment or Johnson: the Motion for concurrence with respect to the Sponsor and proponents of this 3ill who have worked well with come up with a product that's much better than it was initially. We had a long debate on this House floor. Δ1T sides were well represented, and we had a Roll Call and the result of that Roll Call was that a majority of the Members this House — I don't know what the numbers were — but a substantial majority said that when you're going to drastic remedy or take the drastic step of eliminating somebody's right to live in their home, when you're grant exclusive possession of a residence, even ex parte, without a hearing to one or the other the spouses, that you ought not to have to chase halfway across more than halfway across the State of Illinois to be able to maintain your right to possession. It may applicable only in a small number of situations or it may But the point is we were on record..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Tate, for what reason do you rise?"

Tate: "Thank vou. Mr. Speaker. Representative Johnson addressing a very important issue and trying to remind they voted just two weaks ago. And it's so how noisy; there's so many people out of their chairs, just hope that... can listen. And I would that the attention of the House can be... we have some order to this process."

Speaker McPike: "Your point is well taken. If you could clear the aisle right next to your seat and perhaps get that crowd out of the aisle. We'll try to do the same thing on the Democratic side. Sive the... give the speaker a little more attention. Representative Johnson."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Johnson: "Wish the electrician would give me some attention."

Speaker McPike: "Are you finished, Sir?"

"No, the electrician... there we go, okay. I appreciate really do appreciate the attention of the House. There was a vote on May 21st of 91 to 17 by which majority of Members of this chamber said that when you're going to take the drastic remedy of taking someone's possession of their home away from them, that, at the very least. that action ought to have to be brought in the county where the residence exists. And to do anything than that really damages the whole structure. Ιt requires you to spend money, time and resources that could otherwise devoted to the sustenance of the family to contest or to defend litigation to simply keep possession of a residence. I don't know why anything's different now. Senate may not have agreed with us. But it's not the The first time the Senate won't agree with us. We made a apod policy judgement before. And if we so retrenching on some the steps that we made to make this a workable Bill, then I think we're going to be back in the same situation we when House Eill 2409 came in originally. I would certainly hope that with respect to at least Amendment 01 that we would nonconcur just as we did before and that I suppose there's no particular objection that we would concur with Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? There being none,

Representative Greiman, to close."

Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. This is a ... I would tell you that the Senate did compromise the language that we put in.

There is a 14 day period, and it's only the initial period that can be done in this particular manner. The... They added that, and so that the only length of time that you could have would be 14 days... if you were removed from the

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

remember though, this is after a finding residencu. No H . of abuse. This is after one party has been quilty of abusing the person... a member of their household. This is where they have been physically abusive. It's not a casual thing. It's not done because somebody is one just, you It's done when there's a finding know, annoying. competent evidence of abuse. And it is because of that that I think it's critical. Sometimes people are griven out of their homes so they have to go to the next county to mother or their sister lives, and that's why where their this is in there. But that's why there's a balance i + provides that after 14 days you can cope back. Amendment, I think, is reasonable, and I ask that it that we concur in that Amendment. Thank your

- Speaker McPike: "The Motion is to concur in Senate Amendment &1

 to House Bill 2409. All those in favor of the Gentleman's

 Motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The

 Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite, to

 explain her vote."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, simply to reiterate the need for the Senate Amendment which I believe is a very good asset to women who have had to leave their county of residence and have to have a short term availability to that residence while a final resolution of their case is taking place. I see the votes are there, and I appreciate it. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish?

 Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 73

 'ayes', 40 'nos' and none voting 'present', and the House
 does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House 3ill 2409. On
 Senate Amendment #2, Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "I explained that earlier and I think Are Countryman Indicated that he agreed with the ... with the Amendment. I

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

would ask for concurrence."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment to House 2111 2409. Any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 22 to House 3I11 2409?* All those in favor signify by voting 'ave', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Эn this Motion there are 107 'ayes', no 'nays', 3 voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #2. And House 2409, having received a Constitutional Hajority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Cullerton.

Cullerton: "Yes, for an announcement. For those of you that did not receive your Veterans", or Veterans", Citation yesterday, you should meet Representative DiPrima on the west end of the rotunda for your photo and Veteran of Foreign Har Citation and the Disabled American Veteran Citation. That's at the west end of the rotunda. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder in the Chair."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Is the tape rolling afternoon it's my pleasure to have a very special introduction. A small town in my district Berry will be the site of an international competition. Oftentimes we have debates within this House concerning and there are very heated exchanges concerning handguns. that. But the one place where even folks like Representative Greiman and I agree 15 that there's a legitimate sporting use for competition of handguns. And in Berry, in August of this year, will be a olympic-quality international handgun competition, and we have a Resolution which we passed recently. And this afternoon each one of you in your offices will be receiving a poster concerning this... the Master's Competition. It's my pleasure to now

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

introduce to you the Mayor of Berry who'd like to tell you a litte bit about that competition.

Hayor Berry: "Thank you, Representative Ryder. Ar. Speaker, Members of the House, the Waster's International Championship promises to be a great economic benefit for western Illinois without any state tax dollars being used. primary sponsor is Smith and Wesson Corporation. Thev have pledged 250.000 dollars over the next three prize money this year is already over 100,000 dollars The and you can see why it's going to be an economic entire area. The City of Quincy is the host city because they have the hotels. As of right now, 400 rooms been taken for that week. And if you're handoun enthusiasts, I invite you all to be in Serry, August 6, because you're going to see Same shooters. And now on behalf of Lee 'Deeters', President of Smith and Wesson, on behalf of the Pike-Adams Sportsmen's on behalf of Vern 'Haystrom', Mayor of Quincy, Alliance and all of the people of western Illinois, thank you. Thank vou verv much."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mayor. And anyone desiring more information, please let me know. It's a fantastic event of olympic-quality shooters from around the world. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Special Call - State Administration, appears

House Bill 1446. Representative Alexander. Is the Lady

here? Out of the record. House Bill 2612, Representative

McNamara. Sir, would you like to call your Bill?"

McNamara: "Yes. Thank you, Ar. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

McNamara: "Bill 2612 is a... is the Bill that we passed out of the House. What we did in the Senate was change the Amendment to put the definition for help into another

135th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1936
- Section of it so it would not carry the cost, and I believe the Department is in favor of the Amendment at this time.

 So, I move for its concurrence.
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment 31 to House Bill 2612. Is there any discussion? Being none, the guestion is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House 5:11 2612. All those in favor voting 'aye', opposed vote *no*. voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk wi 11 this Motion there are 116 "ayes". no "nays". recorda Πn none voting "present". And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2612. and this Bill. received a Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared Representative Braun in the Chair. passed.
- Speaker Braun: "For what reason does the Gentleman from Hill, Representative Regan, rise?"
- Regan: "For an announcement, please. The VFW awards and the pictures are being taken out in the west side. Will all those please go out and get their picture taken?"
- Speaker Braun: "On the Special Call State Administration, appears House Bill 2839, Representative Olson. Representative Olson. Representative Olson. House Bill 2839. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2839, amends an Act in relationship to the University of Illinois, together with Senate Amendment
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Lee."
- Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment Ol to House Bill 2839."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate

 Amendment 1 to House Bill 2839. On that, is there any
 discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Yes, Madam Speaker, at this time I would like to make
 a substitute Hotion to concur with the Senate Amendment.

 So, I oppose his Motion to nonconcur, and I move to
 concur."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has made a substitute Motion that the House do concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2839. And on that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson."

 Olson: "Please take it out of the record."
- Speaker Braun: "Take it out of the record. The Bill is out record. House Bill 3340, Representative Greiman. Out 3346. Representative Braun. For what reason does the Gentleman from Winnebago. Representative Hallock, rise? No reason. Nkav. Special Call - Education and Local Government appears House Bill 3058, Representative Steczo. Is the Gentleman in the Representative Steczo. Out of the record. chamber? For what reason... Oh, Representative Steczo is here. For what reason does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel, rise?"
- Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Is there a possibility the
 Chair could give us an advance on what Mills you're going
 to be calling on your Special Calls? We'd appreciate it."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative, the printout on the Special Call on Concurrences has been distributed. However, on this Order of Business there is only one other ... this Special Call Order Education and Local Government, there is only one other Bill which is 3187, Representative Cullerton."

Piel: "Thank you."

- Speaker Braun: "You're welcome. Representative Steczo. Fir.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3058, amends an Act to revise the law in

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

relationship to recorders, together with Senate Amendments
#3 and 4."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczo." "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. to concur with Senate Amendment 33 and nonconcur with move Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 3055-House 9ill... Senate Amendment 33 with which I would like to concur changes the language as it relates to the Currently. Section 82 requires tax certificates issued in Cook County tο he memorialized nΒ the outstanding title on file with the registrar of titles certificate of within one year from the tax sale when the title is registered under the Torrens Act. these taxes are not extended in Cook Countv. the County before Clerk must search prior vears issuina the certificates, and the delay provides insufficient time for purchasers to search title and to the real estate tax purchase to determine if the property is registered the Torrens Act to prepare and file necessary documents for memorialization。 So, what this Amendment does is to afford additional time to the tax purchaser to complete all the... the procedures that have to be done, and it would also relieve unnecessary pressure on the registrar's personnel by the current deadline. I would move, Madam caused Speaker, for a concurrence in Amendment #3."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendments... in Senate Amendment 3 to House Sill 3058. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 3058. All in favor vote "aye", opposed vote "no". This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting "aye", 3 voting

135th Legislative Day

- June 25, 1986
- *no*, and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 3058. Representative Steczoon
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Now, I would like to nonconcur with Amendment 34 to House 3ill 3058. Amendment 34 provided an annual stipend of 3,500 dollars for county recorders, and I would move that the... the House do nonconcur."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 84 to House Bill 3058. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Amendment 4?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The House does nonconcur in Amendment 4 to House Bill 3058. House Bill 3187, Representative Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Cullerton: "No, out of the record. Out of the record, please"
- Speaker Braun: "Dut of the record. ... edification of the Membership, we will be at ease for a few minutes. We would like to add to this Special Call, just so that we can move the business of the House along, House 3ill 2642, which is Representative Giglio's Bill. Representative Piel, this was not on the printout, and I don't mean to surprise anyone. But it makes sense, I think, to take this Bill at this time. 2642. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Seems we're at ease for a couple minutes. Could we hold that for a couple minutes, please?"
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Mays, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Hays: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would you restate what you just did?"
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Piel had asked how many Bills were on this Order. I responded that there were two, which was

135th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1986
- the case. Since we're at ease, however, there is another bill which we could call at this time, and I suggested that we do that. Representative Piel apparently wants an opportunity to take a look at the 3ill.
- Mays: "Okay. So, Bob's looking it over then. Well, this is published on the Calendar, right? And then you've circulated this around?"
- Speaker Braun: "It is on the Calendar. I was referring specifically to the printout of the Special Call."
- Mays: "Thank you."
- Speaker Braun: "Alright. Representative Giglio, on House Bill 2642. Representative Giglio, 2642. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2642, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, together with Senate Amendment
- Giglio: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I

 move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment 81."
- Speaker 9raun: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur to Senate

 Amendment 1 to House Bill 2542 (sic 2642). All in favor

 say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. Is there any discussion?

 The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Would the Gentleman mind explaining exactly what Amendment #1 does and why he's nonconcurring please?"
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman will yield. Representative Giglio."
- Giglio: "What the... what the Amendment does is there was something that the State Board of Election wanted to do on a... changing one form of government to another and there's something wrong with that Amendment. It's got nothing to do with the original Bill which was to notify the vending machine people whenever there was an increase in their fee. It went over to the Senate clean and they used my Bill as a

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1936

vehicle. And, therefore, I move to nonconcur."

Piel: "The one problem... the one pro..."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "The one problem you have with that is... let's say that they decide to nonconcur over in the Senate or nonrecede from the Senate. Now it goes into a Conference Committee and now you... you think your Bill is a vehicle now. What would happen then if it went into a Conference Committee?"

Giglio: "Hell, we'll have a couple shots at it in a Conference

Committee, Representative."

Piel: "Mell, so, in other words, you do expect it to go to

Conference Committee."

Giglio: "Yes."

Piel: "They're not going to take their Amendment off?"

Giglio: "If we can't clean it up, we'll take it off."

Piel: "What can we expect to see in Conference Committee on this?"

Giglio: "I assume next couple of days."

Piel: "No, I mean, can you give us a little insight, a forewarning of what we might be able to see on this?"

Giglio: "I think it will be alright."

Piel: "That's what worries me. Frank."

Giglio: "Don't worry. Everything works out by June 30th."

Piel: "No further questions."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? Representative Giglio, to close."

Giglio: "I just move that the House nonconcur, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2642. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1. For what reason does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton, rise?"

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

- Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Madam Speaker, on the
 House Floor at this time is a former Member, not only of
 the House, but also of the Senate, former Alderman in the
 City of Chicago, the much beloved committeeman of the 44th
 Mard where I live, Jack Murlow, Jack Murlow's over here."
- Speaker Braun: "Welcome, Senator Murlow. Representative Piel.

 Representative Piel, on page four of the Calendar is a Bill, 2741, Representative Hoffman, which would be appropriate to be called at this time. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 2741."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2741, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

 Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage,

Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: #Thank VPTV much. Madam Speaker. Ladies VOU and House Bill 2741 had Gentlemen o f the House. three Amendments adopted in the Senate. The first dealt with the issue of a district which had annexed all the territory of more other districts can qualify for supplemental state aid based on the state aid entitlement and of the individual in annexed district. second Amendment provided that territory that was from one school district and attached to another, the district losing the territory shall not count the daily attendance of pupils living in territory during the year preceding the effective date, but that it would moved to the other... to the other district. That meant that all of the accounting and paperwork. i f will. you done here rather than between the two districts and simplifies the process. The third Amendment, which was proposed bν Senator Maitland, provided that the the new statewide minimum admission implementation οf requirements and standards for public colleges and

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

universities in Illinois, which had been established by the Board of Higher Education, shall be deferred from their implementation or application at the Loard of Higher Ed level from 1990 to 1993 and provided that no public college or state university shall be under any duty or obligation to implement these standards until the fall of 1993. The original Bill provided that when school districts petition to form a new unit district, they could establish whatever tax rate they wanted to establish, and this is all subject to a front door referendum. So, Hadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House 3ill 2741.

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved for concurrence on Senate

Amendments 1 and 2. And on that, is there any discussion?

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Hill the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "I want to direct myself to Amendment \$3. Senate

Amendment \$3."

Hoffman: "Yes, Sir."

Cullerton: "Last year we passed a major reform package, is that correct, that you should be complimented for?"

Hoffman: "Absolutely. One of the outstanding reform packages in the United States, comparable probably to none."

Cullerton: "And you had your fingerprints on that, didn't you?"

Hoffman: "I'm not sure you would want to put it that way,"

Cullerton: "No. You should be... You were a primary architect."

Hoffman: "I'll accept that."

Cullerton: "A primary architect of that rine reform package which, as you describe, is one of the finest measures passed anywhere in the United States."

Hoffman: "Absolutely."

Cullerton: "Now, how does this Senate Amendment 03 improve upon

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1966

- that package?"
- Hoffman: "Senate Amendment #3, of course, was not part of the reform package. This was implemented by the Board of Higher Education. This was not part of the... part of the discussion."
- Cullerton: "I see. So, this was a reform package that had been passed prior to last year's reform package, or they did it on their own through rules."
- Hoffman: "They did it on their own. It was not ... we had nothing to do with it here. This..."
- Cullerton: "And so... and so..."
- Hoffman: "Actually what this is is a legislative response to a rule which was passed by a Board which is duly constituted by the statutes."
- Cullerton: "Right. And what we're saying simply with this

 Amendment is that we're going to tell you, we, the

 Legislature, is going to tell you when we're going to

 implement these provisions rather than have them decide

 when they think it's the best time to do it."
- Hoffman: "That's... that is correct. Let me point out to the Body that the implementation of the program in 1990 would affect what's happening this next year at the high school level because it will... this will be the class of is affected by this. And what we've said in this Amendment, rather than having it on that tight time frame, is to stretch it out for a longer period of time. was originally introduced at five years and think this by the Sponsor, who is, of course, He's seated here to my left and seems to me magnanimous. to be a reasonable compromise."
- Cullerton: "Alright. Hell, I see it gives a little bit more time for the implementation to work at the lower level, lower grade levels. And it seems like, if not a compromise, at

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

least a good deal."

Hoffman: "He do not have deals. He make arrangements."

Cullerton: "Right. That's a good arrangement."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? Representative

Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. In case there's be any confusion, I'm asking that we adopt... concur in Senate

Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Braun: "I'm sorry, Representative Hoffman. There are several people wishing to speak. I thought you had..."

Hoffman: "No... I just wanted to make sure there was no confusion. I'm asking for all three... concurrence in all three Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Alright. You had originally moved to concur in only 1 and 2. Now you are seeking concurrence in 1. 2 and 3. Alright."

Hoffman: "Correct. That was my error."

Speaker Braun: "Yes. Alright. The Gentleman from AcHenry,

Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. Hould the Sponsor yield for questions?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."

Klemm: "Representative Hoffman, on Senate Amendment 2 perhaps you could clarify something for me. And that is, it seems as if if a district is losing territory shall... and it shall... it shall not count the average daily attendance of the pupils living in the territory during the year preceding the effective date of the boundary change. Now does this mean that if a district loses a part of its school district area, say, in 1987, that it could not even count 1986 for those students that attended that school in its ADA count?"

Hoffman: The reason... what we're doing here is the state aid

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1966

comes in on last year's count, not on this year's count.

The only reason we used the last year's count is because that's the only absolute, certain figure we have.

Klemm: "Don't we use three years in averaging it?"

Hoffman: "Well, but that's still the last year's count. It's vou know. it could be a three year average. 5ut that's the last year count that we use to pay them on for expenditures in the following year. In other words, we are going to decide what state aid is this year based last year's count, and during the '86/°57 year, we're coing them on that basis. What this says is that those students who move on, that money will be paid by the directly to that school district rather than going back to the old district and having to have more paperwork to the money back to the other district."

Klemm: "So, in essence, it's not really diminishing the school count for that school that lost students while the students were still in that attendance center, because they had those expenses."

Hoffman: "That's correct. That's an excellent way to put it."

Klemm: "Alright. Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Lady from...

The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Hould the Representative yield?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."

Ewing: "Representative Hoffman, the original underlying Bill here, or maybe it's in one of the Amendments, deletes the maximum rates for certain rates that are... transportation, health, safety purposes. Does that mean that the school district can automatically increase to any level they want or does that mean that they can go by referendum to any level they want?"

Hoffman: "Goes by referendum when they're organizing the new

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

district so that the people know what the rate is going to be when they vote for reorganization.

Ewing: "Hasn't that been a problem in some cases where the maximum rates allowed would not allow that new district to function financially and so this is just an effort so that they can set the rates they need, but it will have to be voted on up front?"

Hoffman: "That is a correct analogy."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Praun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Gene, in reference to Amendment \$1, how does this differ from the... the way law reads today and why is Amendment #1 creating a liability or... asset as opposed to the way it exists today? Thy is it better than it is right now?"

Hoffman: "Actually what it does, right now, those supplemental payments affect only districts who have consolidated. This expands that to include those cases where there has been an annexation, which is different than a consolidation. One district just agrees to become part of the other, just go out an annex it. Under the present law, annexed territory would not qualify; yet, the result would have been the same. And so, we have broadened that definition to include annexation."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman has moved for concurrence on Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2741. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Voting is open. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 97 voting 'aye', 10 voting 'no' and House... and the House does concur in Amendments 1, 2

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

3 to House Bill 2741. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Regular Calendar on page two appears Senate Bills, Second Senata Bill 332, Representative McGann. the records Senate Bill 1734. Representative Leverenz. Sanate Bill 2000, Representative Out of the record. the Senate 3111 2061. Greiman. Out of record. Representative Mautino. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2061, a Bill for an Act to create the
 Atomic Radiation and Dioxin Poisoning Victims' Advisory
 Council. This Bill has been read a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment &1, offered by Representative

 Shaw Flowers et al."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw, on
 Amendment 1. Representative Shaw."
- Shaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment @1 sets aside a commemorative holiday for Viet Nam veterans March 29th.

 I'd move for its adoption."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment l.

 On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the

 Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."
- "Thank very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies Hoffman: vou Gentlemen of the House. Let me just share with you that Amendment provides a commemorative holiday and places it in the School Code. This is a Viet Nam commemorative holiday to be known as Viet Nam War Veterans* Day. to you that we have had a number of commemorative holidays which have become days in which students Senator Cullerton... or Representative school. Cullerton mentioned the Reform Act that we passed last year where we're putting emphasis on student learning and that

135th Legislative Day

June 25. 1986

we do not need to clutter up the statute with other commemorative holidays. Now this is not to negate service of the people who served in the Viet Nam War or to negate the fact that they were not treated properly by public when they... when they came back, nor to general recognize that we're going through a period in our history where we're beginning to understand the pressures under which these young people were put. I would suggest to you, that we do have a Veterans* Dav. that however. and Day recognizes all people who have served in V≥terans* the ... in the Armed Forces in an appropriate way interested in participating. I, too... I, too, served in the Army at an earlier period of time. I was in overseas dutv. I spent a year and a half overseas. So. I have some understanding of this... of this issue. an understanding of the issue from the School Code point of view and from the history of commemorative holidays. I recognize the Gentleman's interest in this. he had a request from this... from someone in his district, and I know he has done a great deal around to where we're in a position now that we're discussing it. I discussed it with him early on indicated my position. I would suggest that we have a veterans' holiday and that includes all veterans. think we should bifercate or divide one veterans' group from another in any other way, shape or form. And for that reason, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment. discussion? The

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I
guess it's been 16 years now since I came back from Viet
Nam and, like many of my comrads, from that war and wars

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

before. were not welcomed with a particular amount of Me glee by our comrads that were still here in the states. ΙŁ was my unfortunate circumstance to spend 18 months in the hospital recovering from wounds that I received in Viet Nam. And I have to tell you that although it's ae were not popular popular to sav that We Hereasa veterans, that we were shunned by the public, that that was not always the case. Indeed, many days that I layed in the hospital in Scott Air Force Base, civilian groups and those who cared of my naighbors came by to offer me encouragement. And to this day, on Memorial Day and on Veterans* Day, I feel proud to be not Viet Nam veteran, but a veteran for the vary meaning of the Those of us that have had the opportunity to serve our country are proud of what we did, no matter whether was in Europe or Korea or Viet Name Many of you who are going to vote on this issue may think that if vou don*t support this that it's an insult to the Viet Nam veteran. And I would like to tell you that that's not the case. He veterans, as our brothers who went before us. to be recognized just as that. We don't need a You have welcomed us home, and we're glad to be here. Thank you."

- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House, I don't anyone on either side of the aisle here that doesn't have respect for the veterans of all of the wars in which the United States has been engaged, which is now some But I certainly applaud Representative six or seven. Stephens in his statement. Those of us who served are all veterans, and we served our country in a time of need. I think we are recognized, for those who did not make it on

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Mamorial Day and for the others on Veterans' Day in November. And we keep on doing this, there won't be any school because we'll have so many holidays we can't keep track of them. This will lead to a holiday and from then on. We have no Korean veterans' holiday. And I think that it is not disrespectful to those who were in Viet Nam now not to commemorate it by another special day."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Lady from Cook,

Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. Hould the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Braun: "Indicates he will."

Didrickson: "Representative Shaw, this Amendment does what? How do you define a commemorative holiday? I just would like a point of clarification on this."

Shaw: "It would... it would be just a day that would be set aside for the veterans... for the Viet Nam veterans to have a parade, a march. There would be no schools, no banks,

Didrickson: "There would be no school?"

Shaw: "There would be no schools closed, no banks closed, no public buildings closed. It's just a holiday for them."

Didrickson: "So, this isn't the same as what we did with Pulaski

Day a year ago with regards to a day off from school."

Shaw: "No, it's not. No, it's not."

Didrickson: "Thank you."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Barger."

Barger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, I had relatives that have fought in every war that this country has ever been involved in from the very beginning through the war in Viet Nam. And the first Memorial Day parade I marched in, the older veterans were

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

left over from the Civil War. This Memorial Dav established at the time immediately after celebration was the Civil War - that's entirely right - to recognize the The Confederate Army had their veterans of the Union Army. Memorial Day in April. The two of them were combined and we have honored all of the soldiers of all of the the United States on the 30th of Nay since that time or changed to the date that was convenient for an extended weekend. Putting a special day for veterans particular way adjacent to the day that we honor ihe veterans of all of the other wars is ridiculous and is just step towards establishing a four day weekend at first the end of the month of May. There is no way that our soldiers more, our Korean soldiers more than considering them in the same class with all οf veterans who have fought for this country. No one... Viet Nam - alright, fine. The Korean War was also a police situation. But I do feel that it is totally improper to single out one category. If we did do that, we could for the veterans Memorial Day of the Revolution, a Memorial Day for the veterans of the War Day for the Mexican Har, a Memorial Day for the Civil Har, a Memorial Day for the Indian Hars. Memorial for the Spanish-American Har, a Memorial Day for World War I. a Memorial Day for World War II. a Memorial Day Incident and a Memorial Day for Viet Nam. the Korean and Custer's Last Stand, right. respectfully suggest that we vote "no" on this Bill."

- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Lady from Marshall, Representative Koehler."
- Koehler: Thank you. Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of
 the House. I know everyone here in this chamber today
 has tremendous empathy with those who are veterans of the

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1926

de also have tremendous empathy and deep Viet Nam War. appreciation for all of those who have served in the Armed I know I have a 19 year old son who just recently went in for his basic training into the service. certainly can appreciate what each one of us feel here in this House of Representatives and throughout the State Why, in fact, just a few weeks ago throughout Illinois thousands turned out in the streets of Chicago observe their appreciation for the service of the Viet Nam Thousands turned out in downstate Illinois. veterans. I know, even in my hometown of Henry, Illinois, there is a plaque being eracted to the Viet Nam veterans But I would point out that these are all park. outpourinas voluntary observances and they are οf the People throughout the State of Illinois are already observing and giving deep appreciation for the services of those who served in Viet Nam. But it is also important point out that we appreciate what all veterans of all wars have done and every individual who has taken the time the commitment to serve their country and the Armed I think that we would be better served in Forces. veterans of Viet Nam and the people of that era if we were to devote our attention to those who are missing in We have learned just recently that Illinois ranks actions second only to California in the number of those who in action from our state. I kno₩ in my own Representative District, there are four individuals who are missing in action and their families are crying out for our assistance in finding those that are missing in action. So, I would point out that we would be better served and so would those who are veterans of this Conflict if we were to concentrate our efforts in finding those who are missing in action. Thank you."

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question,"

Speaker 3raun: The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The quest... Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker... or Madam Speaker, Ladies and Sentlemen of the House, I have the rare privilege today to be able to introduce on this side of the aisle the... a Gentleman who, for a number of years, sat in this particular seat and voted and probably passed more Bills than anybody who served in the General Assembly at that time, Former Representative Chuck Campbell of Danville, Illinois. Hailed, hardy and ready to pass a Sill."

Speaker Braun: "Welcome back, Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?' All in favor say 'aye, opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the previous question is put. Representative Shaw, to close."

Shaw: Madam Speaker, I think it was pointed out by one of the speakers that... how we appreciate veterans and people that are still left in Viet Name I think there's no to remind the citizens of Illinois, to keep WAV pressure up on the government, to remind them that on March the 29th, that the commemorative holiday would be that we are still looking forward to bringing those people that are missing, are still left in Viet Nam, think this is a wood Amendment. I think it's well I think they deserving by the ... by the Viet Nam veterans. should have it. And there is no... this would not create a legal holiday. The only thing that this does is set day that would be... that the Viet Nam veterans and all

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

veterans that they care to join in in observance of the people that spent time in Viet Nam. And I think that it's a worthwhile cause. I think that the veter... Hell, I know that the veterans' organizations around this state supports this Amendment. They want the Amendment. They want the 29th. And I ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Floor Amendment 01. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'.

All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? On this question... Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 67 voting 'aye', 37 voting 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Representative Hautino asks leave immediate consideration of House ... Senate Bill 2061. On that. is there any discussion? Beinu none the question *Shall is. the House consider this Rill immediately? All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Bill is to be considered now. Mr. Clark, read the Bill." Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2061, a Bill for an Act in relationship

to Atomic Radiation and Dioxin Poisoning Victims' Advisory

Council. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Bureau."

Mautino: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of House, Senate Bill 2061 establishes and creates the Atomic Veterans* (sic - Radiation) and Dioxin Poisoning Victims* Advisory Council to assist those veterans and their with the effects of radiation and offspring dioxin Numerous Illinois vaterans were exposed to poisoning. radiation during the nuclear testing of the 1940 5 and 1950's and many others were exposed to Agent Orange during

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

the Conflict in Southeast Asia. It is the responsibility. in my estimation, of the state to determine the extent of injuries from such exposure and it provides support and counseling services to those victius and their families. The Advisory Council which is established in legislation is consisting of ten measurs from Walks of... all different walks of life and from those veterans who have served in areas where nuclear weapons were tested, members who served in the vaterans of the war in Southeast Asia who were exposed to the defoilents and herpicides used that Conflict and members from the medical profession and consultants with extensive knowledge of the effects radiation and dioxin exposure. There is also empodied in this legislation 150,000 dollars for the Council three year sunset provision which would be °89. completed on July 1st of I think that Mr. Jīm *Stachs* presentation as it pertains to Viet Nam vaterans just yesterday hit at the heart of this Bill the and State of Illinois necessities for the to provide the victims of Agent information for those Orange and the and nuclear weapons testing that occurred in previous years, not only in the wars, but here states in testing circumstances. Therefore, I move for and support for Senate Bil1 2061 seek your as amended by Representative Shaw setting forth the commemorative holiday and provide for the ... the importance of the counseling services, the research and analysis as it pertains to Agent Orange and atomic testing foilents. And I ask for your support."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate
Bill 2061. On that, is there any discussion? The
Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

I opposed Amendment 31. However. the basic House. contents of the Bill are important and should I think it's unfortunate that Amendment 31 has on this 3111: and. the issue because adoption of Amendment 31, I plan to vote "present" on this legislation."

- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none...

 The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Just briefly, since I stood in opposition to the Amendment 1. Even with Amendment 1, I think this is an issue that deserves our consideration, and I would urge an 'aye' vote from this side of the aisle.

 Thank you."
- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau, to close, Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank Hadam Speaker. I believe everyone you, understands exactly what's in the legislation. I support in total and I°m sure that all of my colleagues do the importance of providing the service, analysis, the research and development as it pertains to atomic weapons and Agent Orange considerations, for a "ves" vote."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Si11 2061. A11 in This is final action. Voting is open. Have all all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will Have On this question there 115 take the record. are 'aye', none voting 'no'. Senate Bill 2061, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. page seven of the Calendar, on the Order of Nonconcurrence, Ai 11 415. Representative appears Senate Weane. Representative Keana. Jut of the racord. Senate bill 1320, Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing.

135th Legislative Day

- June 26. 1936
- Bill 1320 on the Order of Nonconcurrence. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1320, creates a General Not-For-Profit

 Corporation Act, together with House Amendment 52."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative
- Ewing: "Madam Speaker, I move that we nonconcur in ... move to...

 pardon me, move to refuse to recede from the Amendment...

 Amendment 2."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1320. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, all in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The House does refuse to recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1320 and requests that a Conference Committee be appointed. Senate Bill 1517, Representative Terzich. 1517."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1517, amends the School Code. The
- Speaker 3raun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

 Terzich: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I would like to refuse to recede

 from House Amendment #2."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved that House... refuse to recede from House Amendment 32 to Senate Bill 1517. On that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Could you explain to us why the or what the action of the Senate was? Could the Sponsor explain to us why because I have a question in reference to the Amendment itself?"
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "No, it's just that they did not accept the Amendment $\mu 2$ and I don't want to recede from Amendment 62.%
- Piel: "The reason that I asked the question, Representative, is

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

because Amendment #2 was... did have a default to it because or a fault to it because the problem that we had with the 28 inch seat belt ..."

Terzich: "The high back seats on school buses."

Piel: "Right, but the problem was that the prerequisite or the requirements that were in this Amendment, and it was called to our attention after we had adopted it, were for the small... it was under the federal standards for the smaller buses, but we were saying that had to be on the larger buses in which it could not fit in, and that was possibly the reason they would not accept Amendment \$2, and if we refuse to recede on this thing because it is a faulty Amendment..."

Terzich: "Well, then we'll have it corrected in a Conference

Committee."

Piel: "I would ask that you reconsider that."

Terzich: "Well then we'll correct it. Well then we'll correct that."

Piel: "I'm sorry, what?"

Terzich: "I say, then we can correct that problem in a Conference

Committee."

Piel: "You would have to change the entire Amendment around.

Where I say, if you would just drop the Amendment we can go ahead and send the Bill to the Governor at the present time."

Terzich: "Yeah, but... or we could have a Conference Committee and correct it."

Piel: "You want to use it for some other purpose, correct? Thank
you very much."

Terzich: "You're welcome."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, as a Sponsor of the Amendment, I just wanted to

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

thank Representative Piel for pointing out apparently that there may be a defect in that Amendment, and I would be happy to work with him in the Conference Committee to correct the defect and to ensure that the Dill, when it passes, it passes in the proper fashion. But, the way to do that is to go to a Conference Committee and the way to do that is to refuse to recede."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "The Amendment 2, is that the part that provides the hold down restraints for seat belts?"

Terzich: "He'll let Representative Cullerton answer that. It was his Amendment. Where did he go any how"?

Leverenz: "Hell... "

Terzich: "Well its... oh, boy, look at this... I got a special carrier over there."

Leverenz: "Are you in favor of that Amendment?"

Terzich: "I'm in favor of good government."

Leverenz: "For seat belts and school buses which is what

Amendment 2 does?"

Terzich: "It is my understanding that... "

Leverenz: "And we all know that the ... "

Terzich: "... It has nothing to do with the seat belts. It has something to do with the height of seats in school buses and not with the restraints."

Leverenz: "No+ no • no, мe agreed in Committee that compartmentalization okay. Those representing ษสร the school bus companies were against, and a commitment on a couple of other Bills was that this would never happen, but we see this same Amendment back in your Bill making it a very bad Billo So, I just want the Membership

135th Legislative Day

June 25. 1986

that those representing the school bus industry are not in favor of this. They are not for it and Mr. Noel diery never said he was for it and he's against it. Thank you. Terzich: "Who is Mr. Noel Biery?"

- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1517°? All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The House does refuse to recede. The question is, 'Shall the House recede from House Amenament 2 to Senate Bill 1517? All in favor vote 'aye, opposed vote 'no'. The question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1517?' Shall the House refuse to recede... Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Well, let's explain I don't want to recede from this.

 Now what does this require to meet my... I know, a 'yes' or
 'no'?"
- Speaker Braun: "If you want to refuse to recede, you vote 'aye'
 on that Motion."
- Terzich: "Oh, okay the good guys will vote 'aye' and the bad guys will vote 'no'."
- Speaker Braun: "Have all voted? Representative Van Duvne."
- Van Duyne: "I don't want to expose myself to any laughter, fladam Speaker, but to tell you the truth, Representative Leverenz has me sufficently confused that he said that the people did not want this that were on the on board of the buses they did not want this Amendment, and yet we are voting to not recede from the Amendment and it seems to me, it seems to me that we have a parliamentary maneuver going on here or some of us are voting wrong when we really should be voting the other way. So, I would like to have you to explain this to the Body and at least know which way we are heading..."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Speaker Braun: "Representative... "

Van Duyne: "... to the back of the bus or the front."

Speaker Braun: "The Motion, as made—by Representative Tarzich, was whether the House should refuse to recede from House Amendment \$2. If you agree that we should not recede from House Amendment 2, you vote "yes". If you want to recede from House Amendment 22, you vote "no". Representative Cullerton."

Van Duyne: "If we... "

Speaker Braun: "I'm sorry, Representative Van Duyne,"

Van Duyne: "Further clarification, if we refuse to recede it will go into a Conference Committee and all this will be worked out, right?"

Speaker Braun: "That's correct."

Van Duyne: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, just to... perhaps to attempt to clarify this.

I was the Sponsor of the Amendment."

Speaker Braun: "Proceed... Representative Piel."

Piel: "I'm sorry, Madam Speaker, but the Gentleman spoke in debate."

Speaker Braun: "Okay. Your point is well taken. Is there further explanation of vota? Representative Terzich. to explain your vote."

Terzich: "Hell, Madam Speaker, you know, I do not want to recede If we do not recede, then that Amendment which has now. the high back seats stays on. Now, if there is a pass this here legislation out of the House and I don't want to recege from it, it has nothing to seat belts. All it does is i t provides for a small additional cost that future buses would have high seats. Not... it has nothing to do with seat belts and I would like the Membership to know that and T

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Braun: "Alright. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 54 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no'.

Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "I want a Poll of the Absentees and also of the Nagative Roll."

Speaker Braun: "Request a Poll of the Absentees and verification of the negative. Representative Davis, for what reason do you rise?"

one, Madam Speaker, there is no point in Davis: "Hell, number verifing the negative I don't think on this and the Parliamentarian would have to request that. I think to refuse to recede takes a Constitutional Hajority. Okay, then I stand corrected. No? Motion fails then br. Parliamentarian, then the Motion to refuse to recede and place this in a Conference Committee or request a Conference Committee. T 5. that So, if this Motion should fail them a Motion to correct? recade from the Amendment would then be in order. I s that correct?"

Speaker Braun: "Not necessarily, it would depend on the Sponsor of the Bill. He can decide whether..."

Davis: "Well, but... But any Member can make that Motion, I think, at that point and time."

Speaker Braun: "Yes, that is correct."

Davis: "Alright, thanks."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Leave... leave to be verified."

Speaker Craun: "Representative Shaw, requests leave to be verified. There has been request to be Varification of the Affirmative Vote, but you will be verified in any event.

Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Request. Same request."

135th Legislative Day June 26, 1986

Speaker Braun: "Request to be verified. Yes. Representative
Roman."

Ronan: "Verified."

Speaker Braun: "Requests leave to be verified. Representative Ronan... Representatives Ronan and Shaw and Preston, no one has requested a verification of the affirmative Vote.

So, technically, you can't get leave to be verified at this point. Alright. Poll the absentees, Pr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "A poll of those not voting. Flinn, Steczo, and
Tuerk."

Speaker Braun: "Poll the negative, Ar. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: □Poll of those voting in the negative: Sarger. 3lack. Breslin. Churchilla Countrywan. Daniels. Davis. Cowlishaw. Curran. DeJaegher. Didrickson Swing. Virginia Frederick. Deuchler. Dwight Hannig. Friedrich. Goforth. Hallock. Harris. Hasara. Hoffman. Hensel. Homer. Johnson. Kirkland. Hastert. Kubik. Klemm. Knehlera leverenza Mautino. Maus. McAuliffe. McMaster. Mulcahey. Olson. Parcells. Parke. Bernard Pedersen. William Peterson. Phelps. Pullen. Ropp. Ryder. Satterthwaite. Rea. Regan. Slater. Stange. Stephens. Tate. Van Duyne. Vinson. Wait. Weaver. Williamson. Woicik. And Zwick."

Speaker Braun: "Any questions of the negative? Representative

Terzich."

Terzich: "Representative Breslin."

"Representative Breslin. Is the Speaker Braun: Lady chamber? Representative Breslin. She appears not Remove her vote-For what reason does the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative DeJaegher, seek recognition? I'm sorry, what? Representative DeJaegher, I'm sorry I didn't hear vou. Representative DeJascher votes Changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Remove Representative

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

Breslin's vote. Further questions? Representative

Terzich: "Representative Ryder."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Ryder. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative... the Gentleman is in his chair."

Terzich: "Representative Stange."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Stange. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Stange. He appears not to be.

Remove his vote."

Terzich: "Representative Zwick."

Speaker Graun: "Representative Zwick. The Lady is in the chamber."

Terzich: "Representative Koehler."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Koehler. The Lady is in the

Terzich: "Representative Homer."

Speaker draun: "Representative Homer. The Gentleman is in his chair."

Terzich: "Representative Wait."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Wait. Representative Mait. Is

the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not... Oh, yes

the Gentleman is in the chamber."

Terzich: "Representative McAuliffe."

Speaker Braun: "Representative McAuliffe. The Gentleman is in his chair."

Terzich: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Mautino. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove his vote."

Terzich: "Representative Hannigo"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Hannig. Representative Hannig.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Yes, he is. He is in the chamber. There are several people seeking recognition.

Representative Nash asks leave to be verified.

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1955

Representative Hicks changed his vote from "aye" to "no".

Representative Homer changes his vote from "no" to "aye"."

Further changes? Representative Terzich, proceed."

Terzich: "Representative Wojcik."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Mojcik. The Lady is in her chair."

Terzich: "How about Representative Hastert?"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Hastert. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Hastert. He appears not to be. Remove his vote. Proceed Representative... Representative Steczo changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Mautino votes 'no'. Return Representative Mautino to the Roll. Representative Giglio requests leave to be verified. Further changes? Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yeah, Representative Mays,"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Mays. The Sentleman is in the chamber. Representative Pangle changes his vote from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Terzich. Further questions?"

Terzich: "Yes, Representative Stephens,"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Stephens. The Gentleman is in his chair."

Terzich: "Representative Williamson."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Williamson. Representative Linda Williamson. Is the Lady in the chamber? She appears not to be. Remove her vote."

Terzich: "Representative Klemm."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Klemm. Representative Klemm. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Yes, he is."

Terzich: "Where is he?"

Speaker Braun: "He's in the back of the chamber. Further challenges, Representative Terzich?"

Terzich: "Yes, just give me about five or ten minutes."

Speaker Braun: "I can't do that, Representative Terzich. Do you

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

have further challenges?"

Terzich: "Yes, Representative Vinson."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Sam Vinson. The Gentleman is in his chair."

Terzich: "Smoking or not smoking? How about Representative Terry

Parke? I know he is never here."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Parke. Representative Parke. The Gentleman is in his chair."

Terzich: "He finally showed up. No, you're always here, Terry.

You have perfect attendance. I was only saying that in jest."

Parke: "Okayo"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Hastert has returned to the chamber and Representative Hastert, how are you voted?

Representative Hastert, votes 'no'."

Terzich: "How does the Congressman vote?"

Speaker Braun: "Further challenges, Representative Tarzich?"

Terzich: "How about Representative Regan? He mijht be in Washington."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Regan. The Gentleman is in his chair. Further challenges, Representative Terzich?"

Terzich: "I'm getting tired."

Speaker Braun: "No further challenges. On this question, 3r. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 55 voting 'aye', 57 voting 'no' and the House... and the House does not refuse to recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1517. The Motion fails. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "And now what happens? I mean now that... "

Speaker Braun: "Representative Leverenz. There is another

Leverenz: "Thank you, fladam Speaker,"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I now move the House recede in Amendment 52, to Senate

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

3111 1517."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved that the House do recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1517. And on that is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I attempted last time when we adopted this Amendment to go slow, to talk slow, and to talk loud and to have it explained as best I could. Representative Vinson, as brilliant a mind as he has, even he misread it initially and later on apologized. Apparently the represent school bus manufactures have read this Amendment. my opinion misread the Amendment, to think that it has something to do with seat belts on school busas. I attempted to explain that the first Section the Amendment dealt with the problem of a large school bus having any federal standards apply for the installation of seat belts. So, all that Section said and you can read i t line 16 of the Amendment, îf page two. vou are interested, for those school districts which have ordered buses which are equiped with seat belts, then federal standards should apply. No That's what the purpose standards apply UOM. Amendment was. Now, in the last vote, when people get and they say this requires seat belts on school buses, when doesn*t, I don't know what we're supposed to do. mean, how do you debate... how do you debate a Bill, someone says it's red and it's really green?. what... how else can you do it? 1 don°t Sn. people don't care about whether or not we have federal standards on the seat belts that are being installed If it goes to Conference large school buses. then fine. Committee and it will be on every Conference

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Report that deals with the School Codea I can assure of that and I'm going to be on all of them. We'll take out, and so all wa'll have left will be the high back seats. which is a safety measure, which these manufacturers supposedly are in favor of. So. all I can tell you is if you want to io this the right way, we should not recede from this Amendment. We should renew the Motion to refuse to recedes We should go to a Conference and I will do what I just said I would do. Committee can't help it when people don't know how to read. Itte not my fault. I've pointed out the lines, the page, and, if we are going to just sit here and kowtow to some group that can't read, then we are not doing our job. It's embarrassing. So. for that reason I ridiculous. would ask that we vote "no" on this Motion."

- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I wonder of the Sponsor would yield for a question?"
- Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."
- Vinson: "Representative, why is it, that time, after time, after time after time this Session you keep coming back here for mandatory seat belts on school buses? Apparently... apparently his sense of humor has deserted him. I think there are plenty of other reasons for opposing the Gentleman on this matter. I am told... I am told that this would cost in excess of a million dollars a year and I am urge a 'no' vote on the Gentleman's Motion."
- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Well even though the inference is that all of us can't read, I'm certainly grateful that Representative

 Cullerton can. We ought to have at least one person on

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

this floor that can read and I'm glad he can."

- Speaker Braun: "Alright, the question is, "Shall the House recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1517?" I'm sorry, Representative Terzich, to close."
- Terzich: "Yes. I would like to mention that regardless of whether or not this Amendment was on or off, or anything of nature, which many of you voted for the Amendment, but all this does is to put the Bill into a Conference Committee. there is anything that you do not like about the Amendment, whether it's the high back seats ۸r whore says now yes or no, on the seat belt, at that time you can vote the Bill up or down on a Conference Committee I certainly I don't think... if I want to nonconcur, then I think that the Asmbership if thev want courtesy extended to them, then they in turn should also... let's put it in the Conference Committee and if there are problems on it we can work it out. And, therefore, I would appreciate support of not to ... well, which I would think that this would be a "no" vote on this Notion. nothing to do with seat belts."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Leverenz, to close. I'm sorry,

 Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "I only wanted... I only wanted to clarify because John Cullerton said we can't... somebody can't read and now I want to make sure some of us heard properly because we and the Chair just said, that Representative closing. So, to clarify this, he wasn't closing. Was speaking against the Mation Representative Leverenz, who is going to close. to agree with Representative Cullerton and I thought gave a very good explanation of this and I don't think we ought to vote on a Motion on seat belts or no seat His explanation was very clear and I support the Motion and

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

Intend to vote 'no' and hopefully we can renew the Mution to refuse to recede."

"Representative Leverenz, to close on the Hotion." Speaker Braun: "Thank you. I just think the best thing to do Leverenz: rid of Amendment (2) because it makes an inference, if it doesn't say it direct. It does talk about the restraints and how they re bolted to the floor because of the federal regulations and adopting those for school don't like the idea of belts on the buses and T buses. this comes close and I would think that this might àe the hump and we'd wait for the second later. "ave" vote to recede from House Amendment #2."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved to recade from House

Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1517. All in favor vote "aye",

opposed vote 'no". The voting is open. Have all voted?

Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will

take the record. Representative Terzich, for what reason
do you rise?"

Tarzich: "Well, one, explain my vote...?"

Speaker Braun: "You spoke... Representative Terzich, you spoke in debate. I understand... Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "I would like to at least speak. I would like to have a verification on the vote and I will do this on other people's Motions to... not to recede."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has requested a verification of the vote. Mr. Clerk, proceed with the poll of the affirmative. Poll the absentees. Representative Mays, for what reason do you rise? If these are requests to be verified... Representative Terzich. there are several requests for Representative verification. Havs Representative AcHaster. Countryman. Representative Representative Kirkland. Representative Harris. And Representative Piel. Representative Didrickson and Churchill. Uhat

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

pleasure, Representative Terzich?"

Terzich: "Are they having a Conference or anything? I'd like to have a verification, I mean, I don't see why they would vote 'no' on my Bill, so... or 'yes', so I... How many of them are there? Are they having a Caucus or do they want a verification?"

Speaker Braun: "Are you objecting to leave to be verified,

Representative Terzich?"

Terzich: "Yeah. I think I will."

Speaker Braun: "Okav. Representative Mays. Mays, Countryman, Piel. McMaster. Kirkland. Harris. Didrickson and Churchill, there's been an objection to your For leave to be verified. You will have to be physically here your vote preserved. have Proceed. Terzich."

Clerk Leone: "Poll the affirmative. Caryer. Barnes. Black. Brunsvold. Christensen. Cullerton. Countryman. Cowlishaw. Curran. Daniels. Davis. Dauchler. Didrickson. Ewina. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Goforth. Hallock. Harris. Hartke. Hasara. Hastert. Hensel. Hoffman, Kirkland, Klemm, Koehler, Kubik, Kulas, Leverenz, Mavsa McMaster. Hulcahev. Mautino. Olson. Pangle. Parcells. Parke. Bernard Pedersen. William Peterson. Piel. Phelos. Pullen. Rea. Regan. Ronano Roppo Rydero Saltsman. Shaw. Slater. Stange. Stephens. Tate. Tuerk. Van Duyne. Vinson. Mait. Meaver. Hilliamson, and Hojcik."

Speaker 3raun: "Representative Mays has just asked the question, but I would make the point to anyone who requested leave be verified that leave has been denied, and so you will have to be here to protect your vote. Proceed, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Representative Stanga."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Stange. Is the Gentleman in the

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

chamber? He appears not to be, remove his vote."

Terzich: "Representative Koehler,"

Speaker Braun: "Representative Koehler. The Lady is in her chair."

Terzich: "Representative Zwick."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Zwick. The Lady is in the

Terzich: "The Speaker. Oh, then we'll leave him there. Alright.

Representative Roman."

Speaker Braun: "Representative konan. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove his vote."

Terzich: "Representative Curran.□

Speaker Braun: "Representative Curran. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be. Remove his vote.

Representative Ronan has returned to the chamber. Return his vote as 'yes'."

Terzich: "Representative Brunsvold."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Brunsvold. The Gentleman is in his chair. Representative Ronan changes his vote from "aye" to "no". Representative Kulas, for what reason do you rise? The Gentleman changes his vote from "aye" to "no". Proceed, Representative Terzich. Representative Shaw changes his vote from "aye" to "no". Proceed, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Representative Barger."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Barger."

Terzich: "Good looking guy."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman is in his chair."

Terzich: "Representative Countryman."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Countryman. The Gentleman is in the rear of the chamber."

Terzich: "Representative Mays."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Mays. The Gentleman is in the

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

chamber.

Terzich: "Representative Didrickson."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Didrickson. The Lady is in the chamber. She is on the Jemocrat side. Proceed.

Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Representative Christensen."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Christensen. The Gentleman is in his chair."

Terzich: "Representative Davis."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Jack Davis. The Gentleman is at the well."

Terzich: "Representative Friedrich."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Dwight Friedrich. Representative Friedrich. The Gentleman is not... appears not to be in the chamber. Remove his vote."

Terzich: "Representative Hannig."

Speaker 3raun: "Representative Gary Hannigo Representative Hannigo The Gentleman is in the chambero Representative Friedrich has returned to the chambero Return his voteo Further challengeso Representative Terzich? Representative Saltsman changes his vote from "aye" to "no"o"

Terzich: "Representative Pangle."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Pangle. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is."

Terzich: "Representative Phelps."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Phelps. Representative Phelps.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He appears not to be.

Remove his vote."

Terzich: "Representative Wojcik."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Kay Hojcik. The Lady is in her chair."

Terzich: "(Remark in foreign language.) Thank you.

Speaker Braun: "For what reason the Lady from Kane,

135th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1986
- Representative Zwick, seek recognition?
- Zwick: "Would you please change my vote from 'no' to 'yes', please?"
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady changes her vote from 'no' to 'aye'. this question, there are 57 voting 'ava's 52 voting 'no's and the Motion passeso The Hotion fails. The Hotion The Bill will remain on the Calender on this Order of Susiness. On the Calendar on page seven, appears Senate Bill 1552, Representative Giglio. Representative Giglio. Representative D'Connell. Out nf the record. 1565. Representative C.Connell. Out of the... oh. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate bill 1565, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. The Senate nonconcurred in House Amendments 1, 3, and 4."
- Speaker Braun: "I'm sorry, Ar. Clerk. Out of the record.

 Representative O'Connell would like the bill taken out of
 the record. Senate Eill 1809, Representative Hannig.

 Representative Hannig, on 1309. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1809, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. The Senate nonconcurred in House Amendment #1, 2, 4 and 5."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from... from Macoupin,

 Representative Hannig."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. I would Move that the
 House would refuse to recede from House Amendment 1, 2,

 3... 1, 2, 4 and 5, that a Conference Committee be set up."
- Speaker 3raun: "The Gentleman has... the Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 1, 2, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 1809 and requests that a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor say "aye", opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and the House does refuse to recede from House

135th Legislative Day

- June 26. 1986
- Amendments to Senate Sill 1809. Senate Sill 1931, Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton. Ar. Clerk, read the Sill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1931, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to numbers, appointment and retirement of Associate Judges. The Senate refused to concur in House Amendment #2."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I refuse to recede from House Amendment #2,
 which was explained by Representative Vinson. It sets a
 cap on the salaries of court reporters."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman moves the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senata 2:11 1931. A11 that. is there any discussion? Πn There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from Amendment #2?* All in favor say *aye*, opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair the ... the "ayes" The House does refuse to recede from House Amendment i + _ 32 to Senate Bill 1931. Senate bill 19... and requests a Conference Committee be appointed. Senate Bill 1957, Representative Homero 19... Fr. Clerk, read Bill."
- Clerk O'3rien: "Senate bill 1957, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. The Senate refused to concur in House Amendment #4."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Hould you... Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would you take it out of the record monmentarilly?
- Speaker Braun: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 19... Senate Bill 2003, Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton on 2003. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2003, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. The Senate refused to concur in House

135th Legislative Day

June 25. 1936

Amendments @3 and 4.0

Speaker Braun: "Representative Cullerton."

"Yes. thank you. Madam Speaker and Ladies Gentleman of the House. As a courtesy to Representative Dunn and Representative Preston, we in the House this Bill to include Amendment #3 and 4 to the Bill. which were Bills which passed... which were the subject matter of House Bills which passed overwhelmingly. For some reason the Senate Sponsor moved to nonconcur with Amendments-So. I think they should be included in tha Bill. So. I refuse to recede from Senate Amendments 3 and

"The Gentleman has moved that the House refuse Speaker Braun: Amendments 3 and 4. And on that, is recede from House there any discussion? There being none, the auestion the House refuse to recede from Amendments 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 2003? All in favor say *aye*, opposed say *no*, and the House does refuse to recede from Senate Amendments... from House Amendments 3 and 4 to Senate dill 2003 and request that a Conference Committee be appointed. will continue with this Order. Representative Vinson, we additional Bills on the Order There are about four then Representative Nonconcurrence and Greiman has requested that go back to the Order o f State **M6** Administration to pick up 3340. I wanted to advise you of that before we get there. "

Vinson: "I'd just as soon not do that."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Homer, are you prepared to proceed with Senate Bill 1957? Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Sill 1957, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. The Senate refused to concur in House Amendment 24."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Homer."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

- Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. At this time I would move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #4. The basis for which is the fact that there are ongoing discussions. This was Representative Hartke's Amendment that he offered on behalf of the interests of the Illinois retail merchants. There are some disagreements with the Senate about some of that language. I would ask that we refuse to recede so as to allow this Bill to be resolved in Conference."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved that the House refuse t o from House Amendment 4 to Senate Sill 1957. ſΙn recede that, is there any discussion? There being is, *Shall the House refuse to recade from House Amendment 4. to Senate Bill 1957? All in favor say "ave". opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the The House does refuse have it. to recede from House Amendment 4 to Senate bill 1957 and request that a Conference Committee be appointed. 2042 • Senate 2111 Mr. Clark, read the Billon Representative Daley.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2042, a Bill for an Act to amend the Senior Citizens' and Disabled Persons' Property Tax Relief and Pharmeceutical Assistance Act. The Senate refused to concur in House Amendment #1."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Daley."
- Daley: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. At this time, I would ask the House to refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2042."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2042. Cn that, is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeBitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I think it would be proper forum if

 Members who are asking that the House do something on the

135th Legislative Day

June 26 • 1986

substance of legislation would explain what the substance of the legislation is, so, that when a Member moves to refuse to recede on something he might describe what we're refusing to recede on, or when he moved to recede on something he might describe what we were receding on and I think that is a proper forum. Now, if Members are unwilling to do that, then I'll ask the Gentleman to yield for a question.

Speaker Braun: "Representative Daley."

Daley: "The reason this is being offered is on behalf of the Department of Revenue; that when House Amendment (2) was offered that it was a flawers flawed in regard to the phase in dates for diabetes and arthritis and that is the reason. It is being done on the request of the Department..."

Vinson: "You want to keep the... you want to keep the... you want to represent the position of the Department of Revenue then. Is that correct?"

Daley: "That is correct."

Vinson: "Thank you. I would support your position."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "On a parliamentary question, Magam Speaker. If the House refuses to refuse to recede then on a substitute Motion to recede on the Senate Amendments will the refusees who refuse to refuse to recede would they be voting "yes" or 'no'?"

Speaker Braun: "Of course. Proceed, Representative Daley.

Representative Daley, to close."

Daley: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to refuse to recede, House Amendment 31.7"

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment &1 to Senate Bill 2042. All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1936

Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House does refuse to recede from House Amendment of and requests that a Conference Committee be appointed. We will now go back to the Order of State Administration, as I had advised earlier, to pick up Representative Greiman's Bill, House 3111 3340. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3340, a Bill for an Act relating to civil cause of action against certain persons, together with Senate Amendments 31, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.0

"The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman." Speaker Braun: I would move to concur in these Greiman: "Thank you. Speaker. Amendments. Briefly, the Amendments &1 and 4 and 5 and 6, deal with the original Bill as it passed the House for the remedy of a community to go against those defraud it people who have sought to and thera apparently different issues that were addressed in various of these Amendments and basically they provide us with kind of remedy necessary to stop people from defrauding local communities. The other two Amendments, Amendments provide for the... first, 7 provides for a redo of the Mortgage Foreclosure Act. It is an Act important at this time particularly, but this Foreclosure Act as it is set up will have some very realistic impacts First. it will foreclosures change the redemption method and hopefully engender more successful bidders. that the price at the mortgage foreclosure sale will be higher. It will do better to preserve the equity the borrowers and will reduce deficiency judgements. reduce the lenders, inventories of forclosed real will provide a reinstatement just as we have in the law today. It provides for better notices of sale, so so that there can be more realistic advice to the public of sales like this. And finally, there is a resolution of the

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

residential rights. possessory rights of a mortgagee... mortgagor during the foreclosure period. There are various protections that are provided. In addition the material that was in the early Bill provides some of that I Sponsored last... it seemed like last vear. hut T just two weeks guess that it was ago, dealing with assistance to people who have ... who are in the middle of a foreclosure. It is a modest program that helps people to retain there homes. It gives them an opportunity to have. first, an appreciation that indeed they were... thev lost there house or lost their ability to pay for that house; they have a reasonable likelyhood that they will be able to save that house if they are given some assistance; there is some counseling provided for those people to assist them in... setting their priorities: and. that for a period of no more than three years, no more than three years, there is an assistance which is financial. The assistance is limited by 12... or 13 critera which limit the kind of situation in which you can do this. It is not a gift or a grant by any means and it is a loan. allows people... and the people will have a lien against their house at the conclusion of the payments. It requires still to pay 35% at least of their income for a... toward their housing mortgage, taxes and insurance. that allows people the right, if you will, or provision at least the opportunity if not a right to save their have done much for farmers in this General He homes. Assembly. We have done much for other people Hho are in This is aimed at people who are... who deep trouble. are... I would call them the recently impoverished, who bought homes, people who thought they could afford them reason to because they were working, because perhaps there were two people working in their home. and

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

now perhaps there's but one, perhaps there is no one, but there will be. They are not people who are out of the market. They're people generally middle class people who are... who have... sought the "American Dream" and the dream for some of them is a nightmare. We will try and assist them in making that nightmare into a dream, so that the dream will not be forgotten. I'd ask that you... that you concur with those Senate Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1. 4. 5. 6. 7 and 8 to House 3ill 3340.

On that is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeHitt. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I wonder of the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Braun: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, is... I think you know that Amendment &7

contains essentially a rewrite and codification of mortgage
foreclosure law in Illinois. Is that not correct?"

Greiman: "Yes, that is correct with the advice and consent of the banking community. The bankers... As you know, the Illinois Banking Association is enthusiastically in favor of this Bill."

Vinson: "Now many earlier versions of mortgage forclosure and assistance proposals contained procedural requirements which would have imposed delays on mortgagees in proceeding with the foreclosure. Are these all removed in this particular version?"

Greiman: "These have all been removed."

Vinson: "Everyone of them?"

Greiman: "Indeed the last bill that this House considered had a

35 day delay, but that has been removed. So that lenders

may proceed without any delay whatsoever."

Vinson: "Then what is the significance of the language on page

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

66, lines 15 through 17, which states that the mortgagee may not file a foreclosure of the mortgage until 35 days after the counseling notice was mailed?

Greiman: "I'm just checking on it. Hy understanding is that any delay was taken out and that is the intent of the Bill..."

Vinson: "But, the problem is that there is delay language—there.

Wouldn't you agree?"

Greiman: "I'm... not... I'm looking at it right no⊎, Sam."

Vinson: "Good."

Greiman: "I don't know."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion?"

Vinson: "He's... he's answering a question."

Speaker Braun: "Your question. Right. I thought he just had.

I'm sorry."

Greiman: "I'm looking."

Vinson: "No, he said, he's looking. He's trying to find the

Greiman: "Well. I'm looking at an Amendment on page 66 that deletes that."

Vinson: "You what?"

Greiman: "Well, I... "

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Greiman: "Just hold on a minute, Sam."

Vinson: "You're going to take the Bill out of the record for a while?"

Greiman: "No. Mr. Vinson, as I said to you and I represent this

House and believe, as a matter of fact, that there is no...

nothing in the Bill that would retard the filing of a

mortgage foreclosure complaint in proceeding with that.

I'm going to have to determine whether that, in fact, has

been removed from the Bill or whether there is a scribner's

error that would leave it in. So, I'm going to just check

it out and indeed I would like to take this out of the

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

record. And, of course, if, in fact, that material is still in the till, then I'm going to move for nonconcurrence and we'll put it in a Conference Committee and work it out that way."

Vinson: "Thank you, Siro"

- Greiman: "Yes, thank you. So, accordingly Speaker, I would like to take this out of the record, at this time."
- Speaker Braun: "The Bill is out of the record. Right. He have an announcement and then a Motion or a request from Representative Friedrich. First the announcement by Representative Barger."
- Barger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is a rare priviledge for you to hear me speak three times in one day. Right now I have the opportunity to introduce to you a young lady from Theaton, who has won the district contest for Miss American Coed that took place in Oakbrook just recently. In August, she is going to be representing us in the final pageant in Hawaii. I would like to, at this time, introduce files Carol Callum from Wheaton, her mother and father, Mr. and Mrs. Peter Callum and her brother, Mark, who is standing down there. Carol, would you like to say something to the Assembly?"
- Carol Callum: "Good Afternoon. I would like to say thank you for having me. It is a pleasure to be here. I hop?... I'm sure I'll enjoy it and I hope I can try and understand it as I'm sitting in here with you all. Thank you."
- Barger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, As. Braun in the Chair."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Friedrich, for what reason do you
- Friedrich: "Madam Speaker, I would like to ask for a 30 minute recess for the purpose of Republican Conference, in room
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Friedrich has requested a

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Republican Conference. One second please. Representative Friedrich, could you hold your Motion or your request for a Republican Conference for a few minutes? Actually, could you come up to the podium? Thank you. Representative Hasara."

- Hasara: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Tate and I would like for everyone to welcome 40 AFS exchange students who are up in the gallery. These students are visiting our district. They have spent the year in the Milwaukee area and are on their way home to their respective homes. So, we welcome Jean Blackman, their sponsor, and the 40 AFS students. Thank you."
- Speaker Braun: "Welcome. Representative Friedrich, I have just been advised that the Speaker and Minority Leader have... discussed the request for a Conference and that both sides Republican and Democrat, will Caucus at an appropriate time before we move to certain issues this afternoon. Thank you. Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Yes, Speaker. I merely wanted... I wondered if you could call the Pill so I could nonconcur with the Amendment because of an error in the drafting?"
- Speaker Braun: "House Bill 3340. Mr. Clerk read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3340, a bill for an Act relating to a civil cause of action against certain persons, together with Senate Amendments 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.0
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Yes, thank you, Speaker. I am sorry that the House will have to hear my speech again. Apparently, the time limitation was... removed from one part of the Bill, but was not removed from another part of the Bill and I would want it to be in the proper shape providing due honor to the various committments they have been made with respect to this Bill. Accordingly, I would move that the House do

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.0

- "The Gentleman has moved that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 3. All in that. is there any discussion? There being none, the question 'Shall the House is. nonconcur in Senate Amendments to House Bill 3340?* All in favor say *aye*, opposed say 'no' and the House does nonconcur in the Senate Amendments to House 2111 3340 and request that a Conference Committee be appointed. Representative Giulio, in Chair."
- Speaker Giglio: "Senate Amendment... I mean, Senate Bill 2076,
 Representative
- Churchill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2076, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to adoption of persons. The Senate has refused to concur in House Amendments &1 and 2."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Originally, the effect ٥f this Bill as it passed this Body or the Senate was to change some of the language about adoption, setting forth upon which an unwed father's paternal rights could be refused. In the Amendments that were placed on in the House, we had a two part Amendment. In the first the Amendment we put in a time limitation period. Ιn the second part of the Amendment, we did not put a I believe it was the feeling of the limitation on that. Senators that some time limit should be placed in the second Amendment and so they have sent it back to this Body. At this time I would move to refuse to recede Senate... from the Amendments 31 and 2 and throw this into a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate dill 2076.

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

On that question, all those in favor ... All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede and a Conference Committee shall be requested. Senate Bill 2165, Representative Daley. Kr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Eill 2165, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the prevention end penalties for the offense of driving under the influence by a person under age 21. The Senate refused to concur in House Amendment

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Daley."

Daley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. At this time I refuse to recede from Amendment 4 to Senate Sill 2165. Amendment 4, what it did, it deleted the provisions of the Bill dealing with media prohibitions."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #4 to Senate sill 2100. And on that question, the Sentleman from DeKalb, Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mill the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giglio: ™2165, excuse me. ™

Countryman: "Will the Gentleman vield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Countryman: "Representative Daley, we had several other

Amendments on this Bill. Has the Senate accepted all of
those Amendments?"

Daley: "Yes."

Countryman: "So when we go to Conferences is the idea only to work out on \$4?"

Daley: "Correct. Correct."

Countryman: "Alright. Hell, with that, I would join the

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the House refuse to recede. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and the House refuses to recede from Senate Amendment #4, and a Conference Committee be called. Representative Keane, are you ready? Senate 5111 2100.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2100, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. The Senate refused to concur in House Amendment #2."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2100, is the... one of the TIF Bills. I am going to refuse to recede so we can get it into a Conference and put on some agreed Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2100. On that question, all those in favor signify by saying. 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede and a Conference Committee be called. Representative Keane, are you ready on 4157"

Keane: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 415."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 415, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. The Senate refused to concur in House Amendment #1."

Speaker Gialio: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I refuse to recede from House

Amendment #1. The Amendment... There are two reasons.

One, the Amendment is defective and, also, we want to put

it into Conference. There's an agreed Amendment worked out

by the Department of Revenue and the Farm Bureau and we

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

would like to put that on the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that House refuse to recede on House Amendment &1 to Senate &111 415. And on that question, Representative from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Ar. Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "What was it you said about an agreed Amendment between the Department of Revenue and the Farm Bureau?"

Keane: "There is an Amendment that DOR and the Farm Eureau have agreed to following the changes in the Farm Land Assessment Law. I have discussed this with Representative Churchill and I thought that he might have given you some kind of...

Vinson: "Thank you."

Keane: "Okay."

Speaker Giglio: "Further Discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 415?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Conference Committee Will be called. Representative McGann, are you ready on Senate Bill 2173?"

McGann: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I'll have it heard if you don't mind."

Speaker Giglio: "Pardon?"

McGann: "I will have Senate Bill 2173 heard."

Speaker Giglio: "You're ready? Senate bill 2173. Ar. Clerk read the Bill."

Clark O'Brien: "House Bill... Senate 3ill 2173, a till for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. The Senate refused to concur in House Amendment #1."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McGann."

- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would ask the Body to support in refusing to recease the House Amendment #1 and request a Conference Committee. House Amendment #1 was setting and revising the penalty rates on unpaid tax under the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act and I'd ask to refuse to recede and a Conference Committee / appointed."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman... Gentleman asks that refuse to recede from House Amendment 5.1 to Senate 8111 And on that question. 2173. hearing all those none。 signify by saying 'aye', those bszoggo "nay". the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and the House refuses to recede from House Amendment 31 to and a Conference Committee be called. Representative Kulas, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A little while back, I asked a parliamentary question of the Chair, pardon me, and it some of the mushrooms here seems that the ... we... feel that we didn't get an adequate answer to the question. The is a parliamentary question and it is a serious question, Ar. Speaker, and you being a recent graduate, maybe you could enlighten us on this quastion. The question is, it's on a situation that happened just maybe an half an hour ago, that if the House refuses to refuse to recede then a substitute Motion is made to recede on a Senate Amendment, will the refusees who refuse to refuse to recede, should they be voting 'yes' or 'no'?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kulas, in the opinion of the Chair the germaneness of the subsequent... subsequent question through your proposed Motion would have to be tabled and then taken from the table, discharged, and moved to Second Reading Second Legislative Day. Does that answer

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

your question?"

Kulas: "No. Not... "

Speaker Giglio: "I hope you understand."

Kulas: "Not quite, Mr. Speaker. One more. If it's moved to Second Reading Second Legislative Day, then if there is a substitute Motion on the substitute Motion to refuse to recede, then should they be voting red or green?"

Speaker Giglio: "If they have leave." If they have leave."

Kulas: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "You're welcome. Hill Representative Phelps, are you ready, Sir? Special Call - Education. Concurrence.

House Bill 3062. Mr. Clerk, read the Eill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3082, a bill for an Act to amend the School Code, together with Senate Amendments 51, 2, 3 and 4."

Phelps: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the I rise to concur with Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 House. concerning the latch key reports that the school districts throughout the state and we're asking them to report back to General Assembly. Amendment 1 really acts... independent contractors to provide latch key services. Amendment 2 provides the school districts subject to dismissal hearings are precluded from compiling testimony of consulting teachers аt such teacher # 3, dismissal hearings. has a provision requiring nontenured teachers shall be evaluated once each year. move to concur on all four Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House adopts Senate Amendments \$1, 2, 3 and 4 to House 3ill 3062. In that question, all those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed "nay". The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted of this is final action.

135th Legislative Day

- June 26. 1983
- question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no', none voting 'present' and the House does adopt Senate Amendments

 1... 1, 2, 3 and 4, to House Bill 3062, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is nereby declared passed. Massage from the Senate, Mr. Clerk.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Nr. Wright, Secretary.

 'Ar. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bills 1709, 1737 and 1730, action taken by the Senate June 26, 1986. Kenneth Bright, Secretary."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Marion, Representative
 Friedriche"
- Friedrich: "... are getting restless, I just wondered when?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Real soon. ... concurrence appears House Bill 2630. Representative Greiman. Wr. Clark read the Bill."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 4 and to concur in Senate Amendment #2, and to... With respect to concurrence of Senate Amendment #2, I would ask to yield my time to Representative Braun to address the Body."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Vinson: "I would move to divide the question."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman's Motion is in order. We'll go to nonconcurrence first. The Gentleman asks that we non... the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments 1... I and 4. The question is that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments 1 and 4. On that question, the Gentleman from Dewitt,

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Representative Vinsonom

Vinson: "Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I wonder if the Sponsor might yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "What would the effect of nonconcurring in this

Amendment... these Amendments but then concurring in the
other one be? Would that be... would that just then invite
the Senate to recede. Is that what you are suggesting?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "That would be an option the Senate would have. The Senate could refuse to recede from those Amendments and ask for a Conference Committee, or the Senate could recede from those Amendments. The Amendment 1.2 would go to the Governor's desk and then the Governor... I'm not the Parliamentarian, but that is my belief."

Vinson: "That is... that means that our actions on your Motions are in, effect final, action, doesn't it?"

Greiman: "No, not on the Motion that we are presently addressing.

This is not final action at all."

Vinson: "But the next one would be final action."

Greiman: "The next one is the next one. This one is this one."

Vinson: "I'm... I just want to understand so Members... "

Greiman: "Yes."

Vinson: "Now, what is it that you don't like about Amendment #4?"

Greiman: "It is out of order, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes... how coma?"

Greiman: "It is not in order."

Vinson: "... How so? What's wrong with Northern Ireland?"

Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Vinson, I am for the principle of using economic... our economic power to solve evil situations throughout the world. I believe that is an appropriate extension of our power. I'm not certain that is the kind of extention of our power we would like to do to... to

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1906

people's at this point in Northern Ireland. I don't know, but in any event, I'm advised the Amendment is out of order, but I'll check it anyhow."

Vinson: "I don't quite see that and I have had... I understand what it is that you think might be out of order, but I've solicited legal opinions from the most expensive lawyers in the state on the subject and they tell me it is not out of order and that the two Amendments... because there is a provision in the statutes on statutes that the two Amendments are consistent and would be so upheld. And if its not out of order, then I don't understand why you don't want to clean up the problems in Northern Ireland too."

Greiman: "You know what, Ar. Vinson? If I wonder... so we could perhaps make a deal on this. I think... "

Vinson: "State your proposition, Sir."

Greiman: "Alright. My proposition or my preposition, perhaps we should look at 4 and perhaps we should let the Senate have a judgment on 4 and we might, indeed, if you will agree, of course, to for 2 and 4, we could send 2 and 4 back to the Senate with concurence and we would nonconcur in 1. Does that make you feel more comfortable? I know the litany of countries that you wanted to punish before, but now we are just talking about Ireland and South Africa and who I don't, want to, by the way, put in the same breath because of a different kind of action."

Vinson: "Why don't... why don't... to begin moving in that direction, why don't we just deal with I first and then you make your Motions in regard to #2."

Greiman: "Well, I think, Mr. Vinson, if you're sincere and of course..."

Vinson: "I am on Northern Ireland."

Greiman: "Then that would, of course, be redundant to suggest that you weren't. If you are sincere perhaps we can just

135th Legislative Day

June 26: 1986

take them together, since they both have the same kind of issue and, if you want to do that, I think that would make a lot of sense. I would be glad to of course..."

Vinson: "To do what now?"

Greiman: "To take a concurrence... a nonconcurrence of Senate

Amendment #1 and then to concur in Senate Amendments #2 and

4 together."

Vinson: "I don't want to concur in 2."

Greiman: "Well... "

Vinson: "I do want to concur in 4."

Greiman: "That's of course the choice we always have and the problem is that 2 is before 4. So, I think what I will do is... we will take these then by Amendments as they come, if you will, since you want yote on them separately."

Vinson: "That is ... that is what ... "

Greiman: "Alright, lat's do Sanate Amandment l, if we could and I

move to nonconcur with Sanate Amandment l."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright, the Gentleman now moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2630. And, on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed... Representative Vinson, are you seeking recognition, Sir? Put your light on."

Vinson: "Yeah. I'd like... I want to know what it is we're nonconcurring in. I just want to know what the substance of it is."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Grieman, on Senate Amendment $\psi 1$, to House Bill 2630."

Grieman: "Amendment #4 amends House Bill 2630 as amended in the title and introductory portion of Section I, by inserting... do you want it all or just... it effects one of... the Chicago Park District. There are many people who are envolved in... in... showed an interest in park district and other Pension Code Amendments and I believe

135th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1966
- that we would want to give a fair opportunity to those people to have their items considered in a Conference Committee."
- Vinson: "So... it's basically a park district pension increase of some sort or another."
- Grieman: "It is... yes, it is a way to increase the multiplier used to calculate the tax levy."
- Vinson: "Hell, I certainly can't see why we would have a park district pension Amendment on a pension Bill. I mean, this has become a foreign affairs bill and it shouldn't be cluttered up by the subject of pensions. And, I'm against putting a park district pension program into a foreign affairs Bill and I would certainly... "
- Greiman: "Then you're with me, Sam. So, you support my Motion.

 Thank you, Sam. Everybody together all of us."
- Vinson: "I would certainly join with the Gentleman in supporting his Motion to nonconcur. Let's make this a straight foreign policy Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Speaker, apparently there are some countries that have not yet been considered in this bill. So, I'm advised by some of the people interested in it, perhaps park district people, I don't know, that we should take this out of the record for a little while and perhaps come back later when we can give it the appropriate kind of attention after we've talked to the Secretary of State, the Foreign Minister of several countries and various other dignitaries and ambassadors plenipotentiary. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "The second time in less than an hour that the Gentleman has come up with one of these examples of coitus interuptis, and I just wonder if he might assure the Gody that he's not planning on doing this all night long."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Sam, Sam, since we're talking below the waist, don't worry. They'll be some things you'll remember."
- "Alricht. Mr. Clark, take the Bill out of Speaker Giglio: record. Alright. Now we're going to go the Order of Concurrence on page three of the Calendar. And on that House 3ill 737. Representative Serrios. Is Representative Earrios in the chamber? Any Motions filed, Clerk. Mr. Clerk, are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "I have three Motions filed relating to House Bill 787. A Motion to table House Bill 737, by Representative Berrios. A Motion to recommit House Bill 767 to Interim Study Calendar, by Representative Berrios. And a Motion... a substitute Motion to concur with Senate Amendments 31 and 2, by Representative Leverenz and Churchill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Berrios, you've heard the Clerk.

 What's your pleasure, Sir?"
- Berrios: "I want to withdraw my Motion to table and I want to withdraw my Motion to put it in Interim Study. And I want to put a Motion in to nonconcur on Senate Amendments 1 and 2."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Motion is to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. And on that question, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill"
- Churchill: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of order. Who is the Sponsor of this Bill?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative derrios, are you the Sponsor of the Bill?"
- Berrios: "My name is up there."
- Churchill: "Mr. Speaker, there was a Motion filed on June 12th to remove Representative Berrios as Sponsor of this Bill and to replace him with Representatives Leverenz and hyphenated Churchill. I would ask, as a point of order, that that

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Motion be considered and that the Sponsor of this 3ill be Leverenz - hyphen - Churchill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, there has been a world of controversy in regards to who is the appropriate Sponsor of this Bill, and that controversy depends on some questions that really get down to almost the kinds of things — angels dancing through the eye of needles and so forth. And I just wonder if we might not better spend our time dealing with other issues today, and I wonder if the Gentleman might take the Bill out of the record so the lawyers can look at this problem a little bit longer."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Churchilly in response to your inquiry, Sir. On May 23rd, House Bill 737 arrived in the Senate. The Bill was in the Senate at that time. In June the 12th, a Motion was filed to remove the Sponsor οf the Bill. On June 19th, on June 19th, while the Sill was in the Senate, the Sponsor of the Bill requested that a number of House Members be added to the Bill and that Motion was filed on June the 12th be withdrawn. And this all took place. Representative Churchill, while House Eill 787 was the Senate and: therefore, Representative Barrios the Sponsor of the Bill. remains Representative Leverenz. for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Leverenz: "You indicated there was a letter or something that came from the Senate to add Sponsors."

Speaker Giglio: "No, that's not..."

Leverenz: "That's what you said."

Speaker Giglio: "No, on June 19th, Representative Berrios asked that the hyphenated Sponsors be added to the Bill. And at that time, he also asked that the Motion that was filed on June the 12th be withdrawn. Therefore..."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

- Leverenz: "That does not show on the slip. Sir. What shows is simply, after he relinquished the sponsorship of a Bill, which was under his name in the House originally. relinguished his sponsorship of the Eill on June 12th. He signed the slip and relinguished the 5111 t o mv sponsorship. We've Jone that pefore. And I don't think it matters whether it's in the House or in the Senate. where the Bill is at that particular time at allow
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, the rules of the House state that no action can be taken, irregardless, while a House Bill is in the Senate. And the..."

Leverenz: "Cite the rule."

- Speaker Giglio: "The rule indicates by the documents that

 Representative Berrios filed and signed on the appropriate

 dates that I had mentioned."
- Leverenz: "No, Sir. You ... you did something with this Bill, or someone did in the Clerk's Office, that I don't think you had any right to do. I will relinquish my time to 'Congressman' Vinson."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Cite the rule that you're referring too that rule of the House precludes that?"
- Speaker Giglio: "The Chair... the Chair states that we cannot deal with any Bill while the Bill is in the Senate and; therefore, that is the..."
- Vinson: "Hhat rule of the House so states?"
- Speaker Giglio: "... opinion of the Chair, Representative

 Vinson."
- Vinson: "Oh. Oh. No such rule exists then, is that correct, Sir?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Well, that..."
- Vinson: "It's just... It's kind of like a state of mind, not a rule."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Speaker Giglio: "That Motion...."

Vinson: "Kind of like a migraine headache."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Berrios, would you explain your Motion, Sir?"

Berrios: "I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur on Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 737. And on that question, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Inquiry of the Chair. Earlier, you had the Clerk read three Motions. The Gentleman previous withdrew two of those Motions. I suggest you deal then with the third Motion that was in writing in the order that they came in."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, the Motion is to

Leverenz: "Is it in writing?"

Speaker Giglio: "The Motion was made by Representative Berrios and that's the Order of Business we're ono"

Leverenz: "Is it in writing?"

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Leverenz: "Is it in writing?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the substitute Motion has preference and has to be voted on first."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Terzich, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Terzich: "Yeah. While everyone's making these Motions, I would like to divide the question, if I might. Add that to your list also. Whatever the appropriate rule is, I'd like to divide the question."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Vinson: "Because you clearly don't have the Motion in writing.

It's right there. He's trying to sign it right now. You

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

don't have a Motion in writing. You're doing that to Are Leverenz. It's unfair to him. You didn't have a Motion in writing. You don't have one now, and you ought to go on to the next Motion. Now, be honest in that Chair. Be straight. Sire?

- Speaker Giglio: "I'm trying to run the House in an orderly fashion, Representative Vinson. Representative Piel, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Piel: "What we could do, Ar. Speaker, would be go back to square If you'll recall, the Clerk read three Motions. One. he wanted to table the Bill. Second was ar . Berrios! Motion to put the öill into Interim Study. The third one was from Representative Leverenz the Clerk read and Churchill: and. I recall, it was to concur with both. as You had three that were read. The first two were withdrawn by Representative Berrios. Then, the Chair shoulá third Motion which was Representative Leveranz and the Churchill and that is the one we should be acting on. is Motion that the concurrence they Dade. And then Representative Terzich asks that we divide the question. should be going with Representative Leverenz -Churchill Motion that we are going to concur on That's all we need to do."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Piel, it's only right and fair that Representative Berrios, as the Chief Sponsor of this Bill, has requested that we nonconcur to Senate Amendments %1 and 2. And in that... in that order, Representative Piel, I don't think you'd want to have that happen to you if you were the Chief Sponsor of a Bill and the request that you're making to this House. On the Motion, Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "The substitute Motion still has preference, and you should rule that way. Any rule book in the place would

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

tell you that."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Motion is to nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 787. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I don't have nothing for you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Thank you. On that question, Representative

 Terzich."
- Terzich: "I would like to have a Motion to divide the question on Amendments 1 and 2."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright. The Gentleman's within his rights to have the question separated. The Gentleman asks that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment 1. Representative Berrios."
- Berrios: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the What we have here is a situation where an Assembly. individual puts in a House Bill and they turn around take a House Bill which, when the individual put it in there, was what he thought was fair and what should be of the State of Illinois. What did the Senate do with They took the entire Bill, deleted it i + ? and nut they wanted into it, not taking any consideration as to what a Member in this Body wanted to do with his Billa Bill, as it was, would have required that the Secretary of State not grant any titles unless a vahicle coming in from a foreign country was properly equipped with a11 standards. The dill itself was a Bill that I thought and other people thought was necessary and out of this House because of the fact that we wanted to maintain clean air and not allow cars to be licensed in this state that did not conform to emission standards. So. what did they turn around and do? They turn around and say that this Bill that Joseph Berrios put into this House should be something else. It should be something anti-consumer. Ιt should be a Bill that would not allow

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

certain companies to sell cars to people here in this state at lower costs than you could buy at a car dealership. in the world would anyone want to stop fair competition? don't understand it. And I wish somebody could fact is that they take a Bill that's a good Bill. Rut the and they gut it and they turn around and make it work against the individual who's carrying the Bill. That is why I want to send this thing back so that we can bring the Eill back to what it was supposed to do in the first place. And I would ask for your favorable vota."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 787. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

you very much. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen Hoffman: "Thank of the House. I'll tell you, if I was put in a position as the Sponsor of this bill was, I'd get off the Bill. I wouldn't stay on the Bill. You could take VOUL off the Bill. You don't have to stay with the Bill. name I certainly... I certainly wouldn't stay on a Bi 11 that I... that I didn't feel strongly about or wasn't interested it. in fact, had been taken out from under me. let somebody else take it. That's the only honest thing to do because you have to remember we're dealing ₩ith here, not with private property, not with something belonds you or me or anyone else as individuals. You also realize that when you get into a concurrence situation, effect, have lost the kind of sponsorship control that you normally have other ... under other circumstances. know. this is a... this issue is a double-edged sword. You We can stay on either side of this. My preference is tα down on the other side and to say that, in fact, what come should happen is that we should concur with these

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

Amendments and get on with the business in the House. So,

I stand in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion.

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook; Representative Roman."

Ronan: ``r。 Speaker and Members "Thank Λf the Houses you. Berrios is absolutely correct Representative nη what We have an issue here that's now on the floor of the Illinois House of Representatives. Ιt never went Committee You knou. ыe through have House Senate Transportation Transportation Committee. There's a Committee. The group that's in favor of this... prevailing particular issue could have brought on legislation into Committee. It would have notten hearing in both chambers and we'd have this situation where could have analyzed it, studied it and come up with a recommendation out of our Committees. 3ut∘ rather than dο that, they strip a Bill, put on something that's self serving and them ram it out of the Senate with nobody paying attention, which is how most things happen in the If you want to protect the integrity Senate. o f the Illinois House, you should support Representative Berrios® The issue is, does the House stand for anything? Are we individual Members? Do we have a voice? And if we're with Representative Berrios. If you want to let do. the Senate take... take charge of us, if you don*t even want to exist, if that's what your goal is, is not to have House of Representatives, be against Representative But if you stand for integrity in the House, if Berrios. you stand for our individual vote making a difference believe in the Committee process, you'll support Representative Eerrios. One of the greatest speeches I ever heard him give in his entire career. Thank you very much • "

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake,

Representative Churchill."

- Churchill: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the First, let met start off by requesting a Roll House. vote on this nonconcurrence Amendment... Motion. This is a Bill which is not something which is a surprise something that is new to this Body. It's a concept that has It was a House Bill in previous times. something that we have debated, we have discussed, we have seen. This is a pro-consumer Sill. This is something that prohibits car dealers and people who sell cars from people's areas. setting up a tent sale on a weekend, selling off a bunch of cars, picking up the leaving the going back home. poor and consumer who purchased a car from the ability to get back to that dealer for repairs, maintenance, warranty work or any other This Amendment of should remain on the Bill. things. Ιt And at this time, I would ask that should be the Bill. vote * 0.0 * on this. This is a Bill that has been sponsored bν the Illinois New Car/Truck Association. It's pood piece o f pro-consumer legislation. It's something that should be the law. think a 'no' vote is the proper vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Curran."
- Speaker. Curran: you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Gentlemen of the House, it is so seldom that Representative Vinson and I find ourselves in total agreement on any one So seldom that Sam Vinson and I agree on issue. anv one that I thought that it was important that I indicate at this point. When were listening Representative Ronan give his fairyland speech about the House evaporating into thin air, I think we to

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

this 3111 and what our vote realiza what acainst Representative Aerrins! intentions and in favor o f Leverenz's intentions would do. Representative If anybody here has a car dealer in their district, if anybody car dealer anywhere in their district, you should be voting against Representative Berrios, a good Gentleman who just gave a good speech, and you should be voting in favor Representative Laverenz, a great Gantleman who just gave a great speech. Thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry.

 Representative Klemm."
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It would seem to me this is Klemm: unusual year. Many of our Bills never got out of the Rules therefore. vehicles Here Looked Apparently this Bill that Representative Berrios had found some support in the Senate for their Amendments that certainly made sense to the Senators. The safeguards that and I have is that we're going to be dividing the We're going to be voting on each separate question. So. therefore. we have our rights still So, I would think we should just move on. these Amendments whether we wish to keep them or not in the Democratic system and move on for these Amendments. Thev seem to good to me, and I think I'm going to preserve ho them. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Centralia, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: The reason you should vote 'no' on this is that Amendment #1 is a good Amendment. It's something we should have in there. It's been pointed out you*ve cot dealers in your toan. They have to meet a lot οf requirements to stay there, and they service the cars they they*re subject to the lemon law and you can sell. And

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1936

find them and you can get your car fixed. Now the opposite of that is to have some guy move in, load up your town with a bunch of used cars and he's gone. Now then, let's see you get your car fixed. I don't think you want that, and I don't want it either."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenzo"

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "What is it that you're asking us to nonconcur in?"

Berrios: "Amendment 31 right now."

Leverenz: "Explain it."

Berrios: "You know it. Why don't you do it?"

Leverenz: "Ex... Pr. Speaker, would you ask the Sponsor to explain the Amendment he's asking us to nonconcur in since he won't do it for me?"

Berrios: "If it had been my bill and I knew everything that was in it, I wouldn't have any problems with it."

Leverenz: "I think the Gantleman just explained a prior problem —

if it had been his Bill. He just verified that it wasn't

in the first place. But you are now moving to nonconcur in

Senate Amendment 1. Would you explain the Amendment?"

Berrios: "Okay. Here we go. The Amendment adds provisions to the law regarding granting of supplemental licenses by the Secretary of State to new and used venicle dealers. The new provision states, 'A supplemental license shall not be granted to any licensed dealer with respect to any place of business outside the relevant market area. Relevant market area means within a radius of ten miles from the principal location of a franchise dealership if in a county of more than 300,000 or within a radius of 15 miles in all other Illinois counties."

Leverenz: "And who is it that that helps if you table this

Amendment, and who does it hurt? Who does it hurt if we

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

table this ... if we nonconcur in this Amendment?"

Berrios: "It hurts the consumer."

Leverenz: "Is that... Who else does it hurt?"

Berrios: "That's all I know right now."

Leverenz: "How about the small businessman in your area that sells automobiles? Do you think it would hurt that person?"

Berrios: "I really... you know, I look at it this way."

Leverenz: "And the... go ahead."

Berrios: "May I?"

Leverenz: "Proceed."

Berrios: "I look at it this way. If someone in my area can go to one of these tent sales and buy a car at lower than he can get it at a dealership in my area. I think he deserves that right."

Leverenz: "Where do they go to get it fixed then?"

Berrios: "Mechanic."

Leverenz: "Chop shop? Gas station?"

Berrios: "We don't have chop shops in my area."

Leverenz: "Maybe not anymore."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion?"

Leverenz: "To the... the Motion to nonconcur. This should be defeated overwhelmingly. The Gentleman clearly made a mistake in what he did with the Bill to begin with. That which is embodied in this Bill now was passed by this Mouse overwhelmingly and sent to the Senate to protect the small business people that are in our districts that have huge capital investments in our districts, who are the ones that we go to and ask for a little help every once in a while. The ones he wants to protect are those that beat the hell out of a car and then they put it on the market in a tent under your area and sell it and then they don't know where to get it fixed because the people that sold it to them

135th Legislative Day

- June 26. 1986
- have gone. I would ask everyone in the douse to vote red on this Notion to nonconcure $^{\prime\prime}$
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Dellitt.

 Ar. Vinson"
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is an issue of substantial controversy that, while you frequently take oral votes on nonconcurrence Motions, I would request and I want you to understand that I'm requesting an electronic vote on this, a record vote on this matter. Sir."
- Speaker Giglio: "That request has already been made by Representative Churchill, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Can't be made too often around here."
- Speaker Giglio: "We're ready to play the tape number two on Representative Daniels, Representative Vinson, if you care to hear that. Further discussion? Representative from Adams, Representative Hays."
- Mays: "Thank you very much. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
- Mays: "Didn't we deal with this subject last year;

 Representative?"
- Berrios: "I don't know. I don't remember."
- Mays: "Did we not deal with this subject last year?"
- Berrios: "I don't know."
- Mays: "Would Chairman of the Transportation Committee,

 Representative Roman, care to comment on that?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Berrios, you want to yield to Representative Ronan?"
- Berrios: "If he knows the answer, why not?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ronan, would you care to respond to Representative Mays?"
- Ronan: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I was in a conference with the Governor. Representative Mays, what was that question

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

again?"

Mays: "Have we not dealt with this issue? Did we not deal with this issue, indeed, last year?"

Ronan: "Representative Hays, we've dealt with this issue every time we've had an opportunity. That's why we have a Transportation Committee in the Illinois House of Representatives. But..."

Mays: "How did we deal with it?"

Ronan: "Fairly. Very fairly, that's how we dealt with the issue.

Like we deal with every Bill in the House Transportation

Committee. You and I, arm in arm and whatever other way we want to do it. We handled it fairly, Representative Mays.

You know that. Now, what happened to the Sill, I don't really remember but that we dealt with it fairly.

Mays: "And as I recall, when we got the bill amended in the form that we had it amended in that and it dealt with this topic, we passed it out of here, didn't we? With your support, Mr. Chairman, didn't we?"

Ronan: "Representative... Representative Hays, you know, I'm getting kind of old now, and I can't remember all the All I know is that we always act fairly in the House Transportation Committee, but let's talk about The issue here is that the Senate is trying to... rough-rod over the Illinois o f Representatives and every Member of the Illinois House of Representatives has got to stand up for onc∈ career and say, look it, I get elected by the people in my district. The people in my district pay me salary that we collect and hopefully we will adjust that later on today. But the bottom line is if you want to have a unicameral Legislature, Representative Mays..."

Mays: "Mr. Chairman... Mr. Speaker..."

Ronan: "If you want to go to a system where there's only one

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

House, fine, you do it. But if you want to protect the integrity of the 99,000...

Mays: "Mr. Speaker."

Ronan: "... people in your district who sent you here and they did not send only Senator Kent here, they sent you here.

Representative Mays — if you care about that as an issue, if you want to go to bed tonight..."

Mays: "Mr. Speaker."

Ronan; "... with that issue on your brain, then vote 'no'. Let's support Representative Berrios who, again, as I said, gave the finest speech of his career when he gave his presentation."

Mays: "He's got such an open mind, it all fell out. Er. Speaker, to the Bill."

Ronan: "Thank you, Representative Mays."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays."

"I wanted some clarification and I'm sure somewhere in Mays: that we got some. But it's my recollection that we passed a Bill very, very similar to this out of this chamber. know whether the Chairman supported it or not, but I got a hunch that he always has a good eye for good so at that time, he supported it. Further, the Governor, as I understand it, vetoed the Bill. And the changes the Governor sought... or felt that were in appropriate at time have been incorporated in the Amendment to the And it seems to me Senate Bill. that it would appropriate that we would go ahead and accommodate the Governor's Office as well as the new car dealers who have brought this issue before us and pass this Bill out and vote against this nonconcurrence Hotions"

Speaker Giglo: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DeHitt.

Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Assembly, with all due respect for the Gantleman from Cook, Mr. Roman. I don't believe that the issue here what he said the issue was. It's not whether the Senate is going to run rush ... for Members or not, or as he said, *rough-rod*, I think. Now, that's now what the issue What the issue is here, what the issue is here is whether the leasing companies are correct that they ought to bе able to sell these cars, or whether the new car dealers are that the leasing companies, if they dump these cars, are going to destroy the marketplace for automobiles. That's the real issue, and that's what people ought focus on, not questions about the Senate and the House and Thank you.™

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Perrios, to close. Excuse me.

 Representative Earrios."
- Berrios: "Thank you. Ar. Speaker. I wasn't sent down here to give speeches or anything else. I was sent down here to I wasn't sent down here to have pass good legislation. people amend Bills that I thought were required and then just take evarything out of a Bill and just put down what they think they're worth. If they wanted to pass like another Representative said, they had a chance to submit a Bill and let it go through the process everybody else does. I would hope that the Members of this Assembly would support me, as I would support any other Member in this General Assembly who would have the thing done to his Bill. I would ask you for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 787. And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas."

- Kulas: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlepen of House. those of you who are voting red should really think of what you're doing. Don't vote on the merits Amendment. This Amendment might be a good Amendment. you're setting a precedent on is that you are taking away the right of a Sponsor to handle his own Bill. The Senate stripped his Bill. They came back... They could come back with the best Amendment and this can happen to you. So, reconsider what you*re doing, because it's precedent."
- Speaker Giylio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "I just wanted to know, is this the same thing that happened to me? Is that happening to Joe Eerrios?"
- Speaker Giglio: "It was... I believe your Motion for the division of the question, Representative Terzicn, that started this. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Gn this question there are 31 voting 'yes', 75 voting 'no' and 7 voting 'present', and the Hotion to nonconcur on Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 787 fails. Amendment... Representative Leverenz, for what purpose do you rise. Sir?"
- Leverenz: "I now move to concur in Senate Amendment (). And we can all vote green, like we just had 75 vote red. The Motion is in writing on the Clerk's desk."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, we'll come back to your request. The Gentleman asks that the Amendments and the question be divided, and we just finished Amendment &1 and we'll go to Amendment &2. And we'll go back to you, Sir. Representative... Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Hith that agreement, fine."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

- Speaker Giglio: "Represen... the Gentleman from Cook,

 Representative Panayotovich."
- Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladics and Gentlemen of the House, for the purpose of an announcement. I'd like to welcome to the House floor Chairman of the Cook County Democratic Party and Algerman and Committeeman in the 10th Ward, Mr. Ed Vrydoliak, is with us here today. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Chairman, congratulations. Welcome to Springfield. Representative Berrios, on Amendment 32.9
- Berrios: "I move that we nonconcur with Amendment #2."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 7d7. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Going back to something that you just said, Ar. Speaker.

 The question was divided as far as... Ar. Speaker. Ar.

 Speaker. Ar. Speaker."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Piel."
- "I'm addressing the Chair, Mr. Speaker. The guestion was Piel: initially to divide the question of 1 and 2. faileda then they moved to concur with 1. You're still dealing with You divided the question amongst 1 the two Amendments. You've got problems with Amendment #2 **.** get that clarified got to bν either adopting #1 and then going to 2. But Mr. Leverenz's Motion was in proper form Jecause there was not a you divided the question as far as the two Motions. He, in turn, once it failed, once the first one failed, asked to concur with #1. And I think that is the proper position, to concur with 31 and then 40 on to 32, depending on what the final outcome on #1 is."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Piel. Representative Piel, the question... the question was put forth to divide the question. We accomplished Representative Berrios' request

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

for Amendment \$1. We are now on Amendment \$2. I informed Representative Leveranz that we would return back to him when we're finished with Amendment \$2. Representative Berrios."

Berrios: "Am I closing now?"

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I would like
to request a Roll Call vote on this. To keep it short and
simple, all 75 'no' votes from last time should continue to
vote 'no' at this time."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gantleman from McHenry:

Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to guestions?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Klemm: "Representative Eerrios, I know this is not vour Amendment, but I'm trying to read the definition or explanation of Amendment \$2, and it appears as if that presently trucks that are carrying certain loads have to be covered with a tarp; and, if they did not and they were found and cited and found quilty, they of be guilty moving violation. Now. I understand that Senate Amendment 2 would change that from a moving violation to eauipment violation. Nous. I'm from an area thatasa McHenry County and Lake Counties that have a great deal gravel extraction and the covering of the trucks with tarps is very helpful for our motoring citizens and, you know, the consumer. I was wondering, what penalty is it for equipment violation versus a moving violation that we would look at?⊓

Berrios: "I was told... That's one of the reasons that I'm opposing this Amendment. You know, there is no reason why we should not keep the current law as it is and not only

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

make it... you know, when a person is convicted, have it go against his license because of the fact that, you know, if we change the law according to Amendment 32, an individual with a truck can keep rolling up and down that highway 50,000 times a year, get ticketed, pay the fine and not have to worry about his driver's license. I think that this Amendment... you know, on the previous Amendment, people said it was a great Amendment. You know, it may have been. I lost it. Sut, you know, how in the world can this Amendment be a good Amendment? We should nonconcur on this Amendment, too."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion?"

Klemm: "Alright. But do you know what the violation would be?

If it's an equipment violation, what is the penalty?"

Berrios: "Just a fine. Just a fine, I was told."

Klemm: "What would the fine be? Do you have any idea?"

Berrios: "No, I don't know how much it would be."

Klemm: "Alright. So, what we're doing is diminishing the penalty
for somebody who doesn't cover their tarp (sic - truck),
letting the gravel spill out and spill all over the cars
and vehicles that are following them."

Berrios: "Correct. Correct."

Klemm: "Alright. Thank you very much for your explanation."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, the atmosphere around this chamber is not condusive to an orderly, deliberative discussion. And I think that you have the responsibility, as the presiding officer, to restore some order to the chamber."

Speaker Giglio: "The Sentleman is absolutely correct. All unauthorized personnel in the House chamber please remove themselves to the back of the chamber or remove themselves from the chambers. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I would ask the indulgence of the Members of the House

Speaker Giglio: "Give the Gentleman your attention please."

Piel: "... to listen to what I have to say very closely.

Amendment #2 is deficient. If you put Amendment #2 on the

Bill, you have a deficient Cill. You cannot put it on. I

would ask for a 'yes' vote on the nonconcurrence, because

Amendment #2... if Amendment 11 goes on, you've got to take

Amendment #2 off. You cannot have Amendment #2 on the Bill

because it's a deficient Amendment. So, I would ask for a

'yes' vote on the nonconcurrence Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen Cullerton: "Yes• just wanted to of the House. 1 concur with what Representative Piel just stated. I think, in this case, Representative Piel is correct, which is probably... the first time I can remember having agreed Amendment \$1... Senate Amendment everything after the enacting clause and put Amendment &2 referred to the original 8111 bν language line numbers that had been changed by Senate and So, for this dill to make any sense at Amendment #1. we will have to nonconcur in the Senate Amendment. I think the problem probably is that the Senate, they don't have the fine technical review staff that we have this side of the aisle in the House, and I know that the Republicans are attempting to establish a similar program. Representative McCracken and Vinson do a fine job there with Representative Piel helping out. And we rarely mistakes such as this. But the Senate I don't think has 135th Legislative Day

Speaker Giglio:

Representative Leverenz."

happened to this House."

June 26 • 1986

the same system. So. they have mistakes made like this. think. for this Bill to make any sense at all. we should nonconcur. Then, of course, the final passage could occur in the Senate if they recede from the Amendment. those of you interested in Amendment #1 could still that go into law. But to concur with Senate Amendment \$2 would, I think, fatally flaw the Bill. So. I b Fuon urge you to vote "ves" on the Motion to nonconcur."

- Speaker Giglio: "Further... Further discussion? The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I don*t there's any doubt. but there's some Members on this floor who would like to see this Bill go to Conference Committee There's nothing wrong This is a good Amendment. die. If you live downstate where farmers and so with it at all. on are moving things around, you know it's needed. So, don't be trapped into the idea that's being put around here that we ought to get this in Conference Committee. If you want to kill this Bill, then vote 'ves' here. If you want the Bill alive and then turn around and vote to concur in this Amendment, then vote 'no' on this Motion." "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
- Leverenz: "Thank you. I think that if ... the right thing be to do would be to vote green to get rid of the Amendment that's bad; or, in the alternative, I think I would ask the House to again vote 'no' in this case, and then we will move to accept both Amendments and send the Bill t o the Governor and let the Governor straighten it out. So. I'd ask for your red vote here and then we will have the Motion to concur in both of them and get rid of this Bill House and Senate which is probably the best thing that ever

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Berrios, to close."

- Berrios: "I would hope that all the work that we've done in the past with the tarpaulin sills does not go down the tubes.

 And I would hope that the Hembers would support me on this bad Amendment by nonconcurring on it. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 787. And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Sentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."
- Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Terzich: MHOll. (1r actually, this Amendment %2 is really a Amendment. had What the Amendment simply states is that if someone was driving an overloaded semi-trailer or overloaded truck, that they shouldn't get a ticket; they should simply ticket owner of the vehicle, rather than the driver. the In the same way that if a driver accepts a vehicle that is overloaded, that spews debris all over the highway, that he any responsibility and he shouldnot be not to accept getting a ticket for this. This is a very bad Amendment, think that the House should certainly Representative berrios and nonconcur with Senate Amendment We did pass legislation last year with regard to these 02. here trailer trucks that go on our highways, spew debris all over, wreck cars and do a lot of other things, and we did increase the fine. And simply, this Bill will simply negate what we passed in the last Session."
- Speaker Giglio: ™The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van
- Van Duyne: "Yes, I would just like to add my voice to

 Representative Berrios, along with Representative Tarzich.

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1966

you don't know what you're doing, you should be driving along the highway someday and have one of those rocks of one of those trucks and wipe out your windshield. and I think you'd be probably voting a different I think when they say that the loss of a Amendment. tarpaulin is a not a reportable... or the absence of tarpaulin is not... where it's required is not a reportable absolutely ludicrous in the sense that that's why we put this law in the books in the first place And you don't want to enforce the law, why don't you just repeal it in its entirety, rather than say itºs reportable offense? And I reiterate one more time, if it's windshield that those rocks break, you're going to think about this thing a little bit differently."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Hays," "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker. What Representative Van Mavs: Duyne and Terzich said is absolutely correct. However. we've got to make a decision here, and this Bill has two completely different topic matters that it's dealing with. And if you want to make Amendment #1 good, then you Amendment 32 on there. So, you should be voting red on this issue and simply make that choice at this point that then we can send this... we can concur in both and the Governor can make his decision as to which one of these. if But the fact of the matter is on anv. he would like. Amendment #2, that by making it a nonreportable offense, vou're creating... you're allowing that driver of the truck that has not properly tarped his vehicle to create safety hazard three times and still not lose his license. Now, that's not very good. I admit. 3ut bν i f you leave this Amendment on and send this to token. Conference, that's no good. So, a red vote. as Leverenz said, is absolutely right."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 50 voting 'yes', 63 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the Motion to table Amendment... or the Motion to nonconcur Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 767 fails. Further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion. 'I move to concur with Senate

Amendments 31 and 2 to House Eill 787°, by Representative

Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Rep... Representative Leverenze"

Leverenz: "Let fireman 3ob interrupt, I guess."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "I would like to divide the question."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks that the question be divided.

The question will be divided. Representative Leverenz, on

Amendment £1."

Leverenz: "That... Yes, on Amendment w1, I have good explanation on both. He should accept and concur in Senate Amendment mainly for all of the reasons that have been pointed here and especially by Representative out Churchill. Representative Mays, that we want to take care of the business community that has been taking care of not want to have those that come in and sell cars in mass and not be around to take care of the problems you and I have been confronted with by our constituents. would now move and ask to be joined by those that voted red before to accept Senate Amendment 🛭 with your green vote 004-0

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 787. And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

135th Legislative Day

June 25. 1986

Mr. Clark, take the record. On this question there are 95 voting 'yes', 7 voting 'no', 11 voting 'present', and the House does concur on Senate Amendment '11 to House Jill 787. Senate Amendment #2, Representative Leverenz."

"Thank you, fire Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the laverenz: House. number of people have asked about Senate 42. Some have said that i t Amendment Meakens the enforcement, but I would ask for you to understand this Truck drivers come to us and say, 'I'm going to lose my driver's license, or it Was suspended. it's revoked because I have another anina t o be moving And you ask, "Why did that happen?". violation.' And he 'Well, because I didn't have the loag covered, because there was no tarp. And really, I think, equipment violation and fine the company for not having the tarp and the company for not have in place by its driver, rather than to end up with more people losing their licenses and hurt the, as we call them, the little people that are blue collar and work their little rear-ends off trying to put bread and butter on the table. And that I would ask for your green vote to Senate Amendment \$2. And, if it is, in fact, flawed, fine - let the Governor straighten that problem outvour *aye* vote to accept the Senate Amendment #2 at this time."

Speaker Giglio: "On the question, Representative Berrios."

Berrios: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave of the House to, first of all, to remove my name from House Bill 787."

Speaker Giglio: "Come up and file a slip, Representative Berrios."

Berrios: "Okay. On this Motion. Mr. Speaker, Nembers of the
Assembly, I cannot believe that... what we're going to do
with this thing. Me... you know, the prior Representative

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

talked about protecting the little people on the road. Hhat we are doing right now is telling every truck driver who wants to spill stuff all over the highways in this state, that they can go ahead and do it, and the General Assembly really doesn't give a hack. We are wrong in doing this. We should not make it a petty offense where the individual just can get a fine. When you're driving down the road and you get a rock through your window, you know, I hope you remember how you cast your vote on this.

- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Marion.

 Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Two or three people have tried to give the impression that you can go down the road legally without a tarp truck and scatter rocks everywhere and not be subject to arrest or fine. That is not true. If you'll Amendment, it just said that it is not a moving violation; it's a vehicle violation. You're still subject to arrest. You're still subject to getting a ticket. You're still subject to a fine, and you're certainly subject to civil recovery if a rock goes through someone's windshield. A11 it does is change it from a moving violation which has cost some truck driver his driver's license."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative

 Rea."
- Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I know that this is a special time and, as a special privilege, and if it was not against the rules of the House, but certainly for a good cause, I think we all should recognize the American Legion Police Youth Program up here in the gallery on my right."
- Speaker Giglio: "Welcome to Springfield. Further discussion?

 The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

135th Legislative Day

June 26 1986

I'm a little bit taken back by different comments a n the Let the Governor take care of it. You've ontana Amendment &2 is a five page Amendment that basically is flawed. **Uhat** I will tell you - 81 geleted Section 3-408. #2 goes to the same line, deletes Section 3-408 which there is not a Section now that Amendment of is oncouple people say, you know, "Let the Governor take care of He're sitting here and having a situation where, you itknow, he should be able to take care of, you know. thina.* I'm sorry. I think that responsibility to take care of it. And then thev don't want the thing to go to Conference Committee because this will get knocked out. " We just only which means had 7 'no' votes on the last thing a11 seven people on this floor would be willing to sit in a Conference Committee and put Amendment 31 back in. that is a falacy. I think it's a fraud when you say if it goes to Conference Committee, it won't pass. And so, I would ask, along with my friend Ted Leverenz, for a *no* vote on Amendment 52.0

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

like Representative Piel stated, since we already Terzich: "Yes. adopted Senate Amendment Ol, that is going to stay The Conference Committee basically would either eliminate this here Amendment 82 and make the Sill its correct form. At the same time, if anybody has followed or been followed by one of these gravel-hauling trucks, not the white knights of the highway. As a matter of fact, they're probably the black knights of the you ever had one of these grave? trucks come up from behind and going 60 or 70, 30 miles an hour and don't a damn about the safety of the people, spilling all of this 135th Legislative Day

Speaker

Giglio: "Further

Representative Leverenz, to close,"

June 26, 1986

all over the highway. While you come around maybe to stuff Cook County or some downstate, and these drivers. wark per load, certainly shoulú assuae the responsibility of what they're hauling. And if a going to be made. then they should also suffer the penalties because they're a danger to the people and, also, a menace on the highway. And I would urge you to support Representative Berrios and nonconcur with this Amendment. Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook. Representative Kubikan

- Kubik: vou. Mr. Speaker, Ladies "Thank and Gentlemen of the I'd just like to remind the Members confusion to an already confusing issue that under current law you do not have to have a tarp on a truck unless VOU® VE been stopped. 50unless vougve don*t stopped. vou have to have a tarp on a truck. So, what that means is that if you've been stopped and you a tarp on a truck and you're still spilling, we're not going to charge you with a moving violation -He Te you with an equipment violation. It's my view that if the ... if the problem is that bad, that we ought to have a moving violation and not an equipment violation. I would move, with all due respect to Representative Leverenz, to... for a 'no' vote on this issue. Thank you."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. I think the first order of business has already been done and we have accepted Amendment #1. And it's very clear that the House and the Senate feel the same way. I would now move for the House to concur in Senate Amendment #2 so that we can put this Bill on the Governor's desk. Thank you."

discussion?

Further

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur with

discussion?

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

Senate Amendment 32 to House Fill 767. And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aya', those opposed 'no'. The voting is open."

Leverenz: "Green votes. Green votes. Green vote."

- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? f.r. Clarks take the ₩ish? record. On this question there are 75 voting "vas". 32 voting 'no', 5... 5 voting 'present', and the House does... does concur on Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 787-And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared passed. Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment 52 to House Bill 787 was concurred in."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Steczo moves that that Notion lie on the table. All those in favor signify by voting... saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Motion to reconsider is tabled. Special Call State Administration. House fill 1446, Representative Alexander Concurrence. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House 3ill 1446, a bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Public Aid Code, together with Senate Amendments
 #1 and 2.0"
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Alexander." you, Ar. Speaker. To the Mambers of the House Alexander: "Thank οf Representatives. this Bill came before vou on concurrence Motion yesterday, and a Rotion to divide the I subsequently took subject matter was agreed upon. the record... the Motion... the Bill out of the record. Today. have been in meetings with all parties involved with the subject matter, and I move at this time for the Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2 be givided. I will

135th Legislative Day

Juna 26, 1986

nonconcur in Senate Amendment 41 and I will concur in Senate Amendment 62. I ask the support of the House of these issues.

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman... Lady asks that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1446. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1446."

Alexander: "Senate Amendment #2 I'm asking for concurrence Speaker Giglio: "The Lady asks that the House concur to Senate Amendment \$2 to House Bill 1446. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed the opinion of the Chair... Roll Call. Ιn 2011 All those in favor vote 'ave'. Call vote. those opposed Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who vote *no*. wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. take the On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', name voting 'present', and the House does on Senate Amendment \$2 to Mouse \$111 1446. On Special Call, Concurrence - Education and Local Sovernment, appears House 3ill 3187, Representative Cullerton.□

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 3187. In Senate Amendment #1 takes out a provision of the House Bill providing that all regional superintendents of schools and the superintendent of the City of Chicago School District 299 shall provide assistance to school districts concerning truancy problems. Senator Berman pointed out in this Amendment that last year we set up truancy programs and that this Bill would duplicate those programs. So, that's the purpose of Senate Amendment #1. Now, Senate Amendment #2 was the... put on

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

by Senator Berman at the request of some special interest it. I'm not sure the name οf It deals with punitive action against truants. Ιt proviaes that no punitive action, including out of school suspension. expulsions or court action shall be taken against chronic truants unless the available support of services have been provided to the student. House Bill 3187 as passed by House prohibited the lowering of grades for nonacademic reasons against truants for training unless services failed to result in the cessation of trauncy or been offered or refused... offered and refused. happy to attempt to answer any questions on either of the Amendments. I would move to accept them by concurring. P

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate
Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 3187. And on that
question, the Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

a question, please?"

Didrickson: "Representative Cullerton. I agree with you on the concur with Senate Amendment &1. But you said something about the effect of Senate Amendment &2 that I°m wondering if you could clarify. When you said with regards to schools and school suspensions, no school suspensions... I didn't clearly hear you on that."

Cullerton: "It allows, unlike the House fill, it allows the lowering of grades for nonacademic reasons. It permits punitive action against truants, but not chronic traunts. And it would allow for punitive action if services were provided. But it would not require that the services to have failed first. Those are the three changes from the House Eill. So, the changes refer to the area of when you can take punitive action against a truant. The changes in

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

the Senate Amendment refer to when you can take punitive action against a truant, and they, in effect, make it... give them more discretion in... and give the school administrators more discretion in taking punitive action.

Didrickson: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from "AcLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Hould the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "Representative, I'm a little bit uncertain about your explanation relative to lowering grades of chronic truants.

Can you further explain that? And also if there's a possibility that this person might not be able to play basketball if the lowering of the grade because he's a truant would take him out of the no pass/no play rule?"

Cullerton: "I'll try to get the Amendment and read it with the Bill. So, I'll just read the language so you have an understanding of what we mean. Okay. So, on page the Bill, this is the way it would read. Under the Section 26-12, Punitive Action. 'No punitive action, including out of school suspensions, expulsions or court action, shall be taken against chronic truants unless available support of services and other school resources have been provided It strikes 'a chronic or habitual truant' student. • from such truancy. So, the way I see it, it expands it to to allow for punitive action to be applicable to a habitual traunt, but not a chronic truant."

Ropp: "So, what does that mean?"

Cullerton: "I don't know how else to explain it other than to
read the language. He... the current law as we passed the
House said no punitive action including out of school
suspension, expulsions, lowering of grades for nonacademic
reasons or court action shall be taken against truants or

135th Legislative Day

- June 25, 1986
- chronic truants. So, we struck... the Senate struck 'lowering of grades for nonacademic reasons', so as to allow lowering of grades for nonacademic reasons. But only for habitual truants."
- Ropp: "Okay. In other words, if you're getting a b and if you're a chronic truant, they could, in fact, give you a C just because you aren't there, even though you wight be a B student."
- Cullerton: "As long as you're not a chronic traunt."
- Ropp: "No, if you are a chronic traunt, then they're going to lower your grade because you are a chronic truant."
- Cullerton: "What was that question? I didn't understand the question or hear it. I didn't hear the question."
- Ropp: "I guess I'm raising the question, why are they allowing you just to lower their grade if you have a 2 student because he's truant? If someone is reasonably intelligent, why should you lower the grade just pecause he's a truant?"
- Cullerton: "Well, you want to... the purpose of the Bill is to get tough on these truants."
- Ropp: "Yeah, I want to send them to court so they have to stay in school."
- Cullerton: "So you should be for this Amendment. You want to send them to Judge Steigman."
- Ropp: "Yeah, because he's a good Judge."
- Cullerton: "Throw them in jail."
- Ropp: "No, only those that have no intention or ever staying in school. That's, I think, our real concern."
- Cullerton: "I'm trying to answer your question as best I can. As

 you know, it's a Senate Amendment and..."
- Ropp: "It's a little bit difficult. The Amendment..."
- Cullerton: "It's difficult to read but I... and I have an analysis here done by our fine staff member, and all I can do is read it for you. It appears to have the effect of

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

authorizing the lowering of grades for chronic truants."

I guess in... one of the problems with truants is the fact that they are somewhat discouraged going to school and my question is whether or not this is an attempt to uplift them and want to keep them in school when we lower their grades which has a tendency discourage them from wanting to continue in the process learning or even wanting to stay in a vocational program. I don't know whether that's a yood idea. I kind of question whether or not it's even a good idea to just lower the grades, because that gives some conditions that were... value of a student's ability has not been given any consideration at all and I really don't like that second Amendment if that's what the intent is, and I think we agree that it is allowing you to lower the grade inst because you're a chronic truant."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

Representative Mash,"

Nash: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Nash: "This Amendment, just to clarify something - it a student, say, is a straight A student, he's a genius, he misses classes, they can change his grade to a C or a D?"

Cullerton: "I think it might. So, let me do this. Mr. Speaker.

When you get a chance, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Yes."

Cullerton: "I think the controversy on this Eill deals with Senate Amendment #2. So what I'd like to do is first take Senate Amendment #1, which I don't think there's any controversy on and move to concur in that Senate Amendment #1. Then we can come back to the Senate Amendment #2 and we can debate it."

Speaker Giglio: "It's your prerogative. You want to divide the

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1946

question, is that what you want to do, Sir?"

- Cullerton: "Right. I want to change this Motion to just a Motion to concur in Senate Amendment 31."
- The Gentleman moves that the House Speaker Giglio: "Alright. concur in Senate Amendment 31 to House will 3187. Δ11 those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed "no". Have all voted who wish? Have all The voting is open. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ar. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', 1 voting *no* • • • Hartke voting 9 y 25 % a Mr. Clerk. Representative Hartke would like to vote 'yas'. question there are 115 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting "present", and the House does concur to Senate #1 House Pill 3107. Representative to Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, in all fairness to the Members of the House that have asked questions, I wish to now take the Bill out of the record, and I can perhaps find out the genesis of this Amendment and then be able to answer those questions more intelligently. So, I'd like to take the Bill out of the record."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks... Does the Gentleman have leave?

 Leave is granted. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk.

 Representative Olson, are you ready on 2539, Sir? The

 Special Call on Concurrence State Administration. House

 Bill 2839. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2839, a Bill for an Act relating to the University of Illinois, together with Senate Amendment
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlewen of the House. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 2839."

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment (1) to House Bill 2039. And on that question, the Gentleman from Hinnebago, Representative Mulcahevo
- Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor explain the
- Amendment. Representative Mulcahev. Olson: WY254 the the 18 months principle element is a quick-take provision for permit the trustees of the University of Illinois to acquire some properties still involved in the *Bequeth* bequest acquisition."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 2839. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 2939. Massages from the Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate, by Mr. Mright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bills Ø1748, 1751, 1752, 1753, 1756, 1759, 1763, 1765, 1758, 1770, 1774, 1778, 1808, 1841, 2018, 2020, 2108, 1698, 1666 and 1468, action taken by the Senate June 26, 1986. Kenneth Bright, Secretary."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Saltsman in the chamber? Page three of the Calendar on Concurrences, House Will 1467.

 Representative Hensel, are you ready with that, Sir? Ar.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O*Brien: "House Bill 1467, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to county boards, together with Senate Amendment ℓ1.
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hensel."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Hensel: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment of to House Bill 1467. What Senate Amendment al does is deletes everything the enacting clause. It provides that when a city which is coterminous with its township intends to annex anv territory constituting one percent or more of the equalized assessed valuation of the adjacent township, in an adjacent township the board of trustees have 45 days in which to object to the annexation and cause a referendum in the adiacent township. A majority vote at such referendum determines whether the territory will be annexed to the coterminous township or remain in the adjacent township. adjacent township requesting the referendum pays for its proportionent costs and such referendum is exempted statutory limit of three public questions on a from the ballot. It also provides that territory in an adjacent township may be annexed to a coterminous township without a referendum when such territory constitutes less than one adjacent township's equalized percent of the assessed valuation. This one percent cutoff is cumulative over any 12 month period commencing with the initial annexation. provides for the automatic annexation unincorporated areas within a coterminous citv township's boundaries on the effective date of this Act. The agricultural lands are exempted. It also requires the coterminous city township to reimburse an adjacent township for a ten year period an amount equal to the lost real estate taxes of the adjacent township. [ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the House concur in Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 1467. And on that question, the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Ar. Speaker and Members of the House, House Sill 1467, as

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

went through this chamber recall. a waar ago and I happened to be one of the three or four dissenting votes on this particular Bill as it went through a hundred and votes to three or four. I thought at that time there were some onerous provisions of the Bill, and that's why And it seems to me that I have been cast a negative vote. justified in that vote r or the simple reason that the Senate has had that 3111 for a vear and it had some extensive hearings throughout the summer and into tha spring and so forth on this particular Jill, and there has been a good compromise worked out between the tawnship officials and the Municipal League and the various cities involved with coterminous boundaries with the township. a good solution to the problem. think it is I would solicit your support, an 'aye' vote for the concurrence Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Madison. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

McPike: "Representative, if I live in a township and desire to be annexed into the city, I'm a homeowner, and I wish to be annexed into the city so that I can receive city sewers, city fire department protection and city police department protection, how would I go about it after this becomes law?"

Hensel: "Hould you... Kould you repeat that question again?"

McPike: "I'm a homeowner. I live in the township..."

Hensel: "Adjacent township."

McPike: "Adjacent township, adjacent to a coterminous city township. I'm a homeowner. I want my property annexed into the city. I want the city sewers, the city police protection, city fire protection. I want those services.

135th Legislative Day

jun= 26, 1986

How would I go about... How would I go about having my property annexed into the city if this becomes law?"

Hensel: "Well this would have no effect on it because any homeowner could petition to become into the city if they wanted to."

McPike: "And who would receive the taxes from my property after I was annexed into the city?"

Hensel: "The city would."

McPike: "And would the city have to pay those taxes back to the township for ten years?"

Hensel: "I believe they would under this Eill, yes."

McPike: "So when you say that the city would receive my taxes, it's not exactly accurate. The city would collect my taxes and turn them over to the township for ten years."

Hensel: "They would be "

McPike: "Is that correct?"

Hensel: "They would receive the municipal taxes. The township taxes would be turned back to the township."

McPike: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Hensel, to close."

Hensel: "Thank you, Are Speaker. As was stated before by a colleague of mine, this Bill passed out of the House by a vote of 110 to 3 last year. It came back this year by the Senate voting 55 to nothing. And through the efforts of the Senate Subcommittee, through hearings during the summer, it's been compromised by the Municipal League, the township officials and we feel it's a good government 3ill and I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House adopt Senate
Amendment &1 to House Bill 1467. And on that question, all
those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed
'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted this wish? Have

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

- wish? Representative Terzich "aye". all voted who Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. this there are 103 voting 'yes', 11 voting 'no'. Representative Capparelli ave'. Representative Ronan Ωn this question there are 104 voting 'ves', 11 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And the House with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1467. Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is declared passed. Genîleman hereby from Macon. Representative Tate, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? you seeking racognition, Sir? Appropriations, on page three of the Calendar, Concurrences. House 3i11 3111 2625, Representative Leverenz -Olsonin the chamber? Representative Laverenz Representative Dison. do vou went toas Take it out of the record, er. Clerk. Representative Capparelli, on 2680? House Bill 2688. Mr. Clerk, read the Cill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2688, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation from the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority Reconstruction Fund to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority, together with Senate Amendment #1."

 Speaker Giglio: "Representative Capparelli."
- Capparelli: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have a substitute

 Motion, nonconcur with Senate Amendment \$1.5
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 268d. On that question, all those in favor signfy by saying 'aye'o those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2688. Representative Ereslin, House Bill 2876.

 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2878, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Office of State Appellate Defender,

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

together with Senate Amendment 61.0

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ereslin."

- Ladies and Gentlemen, this Breslin: "Thank you, are Speaker. appropriation for the Office of State Appellate It hado... Senate Amendent #1 reduces the amount Defender. of that appropriation in the personal services by the percent. It brings the personal services in line with what the overall cost of living increase was for other state employees. It did reduce the number of people in the death penalty division from five to two. I think that *s but I think that the House should concur in regretable. those Amendments. And I would now move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2378. Thank you.□
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves that the House do concur to Senate Amendment of to House Bill 2879. All those in favor signify by... On the question, the Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Hould the Sponsor yield, please? I notice in my analysis here that there is an additional component of that Senate Amendment 1 that we would be concurring with which eliminates improvement requests... permanent improvement requests at the State of Illinois Center. Is that correct?"
- Breslin: "I thought that was in the original Bill. There was a request for permanent improvements at the State of Illinois Building, and it dealt with the doors. The Senate took that out. We had requested doors to the lawyers' offices. I really think they ought to have goors so that they can control the sound and the heat problem, but the Senate took it out."
- Didrickson: "Thank you. And I was also just wondering why this was in this particular budget, and it's been explained by staff. Thank you."

135th Legislative Dav

June 26. 1986

- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Senate Amendment 31 to House Bill 2878? those in favor signify by voting 'ave'. those opposed The voting is open. This is final action. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerko take the record. 9n this question 114 voting *yes, 3 voting *no* and none voting there are The House does adopt Senate Amendment #1 to *present*. House Bill 2878. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 2974. Representative Barnes. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House 3ill 2974, a Eill for an Act making an appropriation to the Military and Naval Department, together with Senate Amendment 31."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentiemen of the House. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 and that makes a total reduction of 196,500 dollars. I would move do adopt."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves that the House adopt Senate Amendment \$1 to House Bill 2974. And on that question, all those in favor signfy by voting "ave", those opposed "nay". The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ar. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes, 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the House does adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2974. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby We will go back to page three, House Bill declared passed. 2625, Representative Leverenz. Are you ready on 2625, Sir? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2625, a Bill for an Act making an

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1936

appropriation to the State Eoard of Elections, together with Senate Amendment 91 and $2 \cdot n$

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Gr. Speaker, I'd like to concur in Sanate

Amendments &l and 2 which put on the additional monies for

Elections and for the data processing. So, at this time,

I'd move that the House concur in Senate Amendment &l and

2."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the House adopt Senate
Amendments &1 and 2 to House &ill 2325. And on that
question, the Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Hould the Sponsor yield please?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Ropp: "Did this... either one of these two Amendments reduce some money?"

Leverenz: "That's correct."

Ropp: "Good. This is ... I want to commend you for supporting this because this is somewhat the idea that several of us had recommended when this Bill was in the House to only allow them to spend money for those projects that we had authorized. And I fully support your concurrence."

Leverenz: "Well, what we're doing is those things that we added, as we tried to do, the Senate eliminated them. The State Board of Elections says that they can do without them. So, with them saying that, that's why I'm moving to concur in the Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lee,

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move in support of Representative Leverenz's Motion to concur in the Senate Amendments. For those of you who have your analysis sheet in front of you, you notice that this agency is looking down the road to what we

135th Legislative Day

Juna 26, 1986

may anticipate in the next fiscal year. They are accepting a cut of some 655,000 gollars. They will be well serviced by what they have. Their staff acknowledges that everything that is essential to their operation is there. And, therefore, I'd urge your support for this concurrence Amendment (sic - Notion)."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, to close."

Leverenz: "Just simply ask for your concurrence in Senate

Amendment #1 and 2. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that the House adopt Senate and 2 to House Bill 2625. And on that Amendments #1 question, all those in favor signify by voting *aye*, those opposed 'nay'. And the voting is open. This is Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. this guestion there are 116 voting "yes", none voting "no" and none voting *present*. And the House does adopt Senate Amendments ≴1 and 2 to House Bill 2625. And this Bill, having received the required Constitutional Majority. i s hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes Representative Friedrich and Representative McFike and Democratic Caucus. Republicans meeting in The Democrats meeting in 118. He*re going to... WE tried to do something here. but we can*t ńο Representative Friedrich. The Democrats will meet in 114 and the Republicans in 118. We shall return at 5:45. Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Next year we'll be in 114. Okay?"

Speaker Giglio: "And we'll be in Room 212. The House stands in recess till 5... 4:45 (sic - 5:45). The Democratic Members and the Republican Members please go to their respective Caucus rooms immediately. Those Members that are not in the chamber, would you please return to the chamber.

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

please? The House is ready to reconvene. Those Representatives who may be in their office, would you please return to the House floor? The House is reconvens. The House will come to order. Ar. Clerk. Supplemental Calendar."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #1 and #2 have been distributed."
- Speaker Giglio: "On the Order of Speaker's Table, on page eight of the Calendar appears... on page nine of the Calendar, Consideration Compensation Review Board Report. The Chair calls House Joint Resolution 181. Representative Homer. Representative Homer.
- Homer: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. House

 Joint Resolution 181 addresses the issue of the

 Compensation Review Board's report..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer. We're on House Joint Resolution 181. And on that, Representative Vinson, are you seeking recognition, Sir?"
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. How in the world do you get to point on the Calendar? It's in complete violation of the rules. The Cal... there is a Calendar rule that specifies you have to deal with the Calendar. Now, how do you get to 181? Į f you're going to αo to the Table, Speaker's then you got to take a11 Resolutions on the Speaker's Table in the order in which appear on the Calendar. That's what the rule says. thev If you're going to go to Subject Matters, then there is a Resolution above that on the Calendar with that same subject matter. Now, what are you doing? Is this a cheap political trick, or what?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Represen... Representative Vinson, we've had posted for three days on page two of the Calendar, Special Order of Business, 3:00 p.m., Subject Matter -

135th Legislative Day

June 26: 1986

Consideration of Compensation Review Soard Report. The called House .toint Resolution has 151. Representative Homer. Нe will... Ne will give opportunity of every Representative a chance to callege who Resolution on this sub iect after House .ioint Resolution 181。 Representative Homer。

Homer: "Thank you, Nr. Speaker. Ar... Ar. Speaker, I would like... I would appreciate some decorum in the House so that I can present my Resolution."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you."

Speaker Siglio: "Just a minute, please. Just a minute. The
Minority Spokesman (sic - Leader), the Gentleman from
DuPage, Representative Daniels."

"Ar. Speaker, we are in the closing moments of a Session Daniels: that you and your Leadership have intentionally destroyed aside every major issue of this state and put it set over till the month of November. Now, on one of the issues facing the people of Illinois, you, Sir, representing Ar o Michael Hadigan, in that telling the Members of this House that you're going to abrogate every, single rule of the House. Once you're doing it. Yesterday, you sat in that Chair and you told a Member of the House that he didn°t even have a right to present his own Bill, and you told one of your Members that you wanted to get that Kember withdrawn from a in violation not only of the nouse rules, but the Constitution of this state. Now, on the issue of the DAV many different opinions on this there are many. raise. We are prepared to debate this issue; that is of you're going to allow a debate on it, because i f you've shut off every other debate on every other critical issue of this state. And now, Ar. Speaker, you're telling

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

us that you're going to violate the rules of this House Why don't you put the real Speaker in the again. Chair? Why don't you bring him out here? And lat him decide how ha's going to run this, instead of you ram-rodding some of his efforts right here? Δnd once you get the real Speaker in the Chair, let's see if he's going to tromple on everybodies rights in this House. Representative Koehler is one of the Leaders in this state in opposition to a pay raise issue. I personally. Mr. Speaker, am going to support this pay raise. I personally am going to do it. But, Mr. Speaker, let be tell you right now that this side of the aisle will not tolerate anymore actions of your side stifling debate, stifling public input and stifling the true rights of people of this state. It's time you stop it. And it's time that you start things the right and proper and just way. Call Representative Koehler. She has a right to present her Bill. and you know it."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Daniels, the Chair has always been fair, especially to the Minority Party. Your request has been granted. The real Speaker, Speaker Hadigan, in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock. Mr. Hallock."

"Hell, Mr. Speaker, it's a pleasure to see Hallock: you ĭn the You know, we have two Resolutions filed on this issue, HJR 180, by Koehler; HJR 181, by Homer. rule that you may proceed under, under any Order, it's very that 180 would come first. If you decide to go to a Special Order of Business on this issue, clearly, 180 comes first. No matter what you do. Mr. Speaker, it's very clear that the number has to come before the other number. 180 should be first. You may want to use this as a political issue and maybe you can try to do that. Sut it should

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

clear to all in this present chamber today, the press and everybody else, that one 180 precedes 181. Me°re first. We filed first. It's very simple. Let's call on Judy Koehler."

Speaker Hadigan: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I think that Are Hallock and Er. have very clearly articulated the rules of the House in this regard. I'm curious as to whether you will adhere to rules in this case. You said earlier from that Chair the that you would specifically, with regard to this matter, at least, this year, adhere to the rules. You, you're going to do that, number one. Number two, Pro Speaker, I just wonder why it is that you don't call Mrs. Koehler's Resolution. I wonder why it is that you're trying to deny her the chance to move her Motion. wonder why it is that vou're afraid of that little Lady from Henry."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Koehler."

Koshler: "Thank you, Pr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the I would certainly like to stand in protest in which my Resolution is being treated. shabby manner filed my Resolution first, and I think I have a right have my Resolution heard. The people of Illinois have the right to know how each individual here is going to vote this very important issue that is important to them. that we have had to... that the Legislature enough created the Compensation Review Loard and used that tο as a subterfuge. And it is important now that the people of Illinois have the opportunity to have their from each one of these voices heard individuals who represent them. I would protest, Representative shabby treatment that you are giving to the people of the 89th Representative District and their interests, which

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

I represent.

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Hr. Speaker. 1 think the issue is overridingly important that it be heard. And I can recall some three weeks ago when we erroneously, charged you. Br. Speaker. with deliberately trying to obfuscate this issue and hold it over until November. and I think if the tape were played back today, you clearly delineated that this would not happen, that you had... As a matter of fact, Hr. Speaker, you said you had promised Representative Koehler that you would hear her Resolution and you would set a date certain, which you did And I know you played Representative Daniels' tape the other day on... on a gaffa that perhaps in 1981. Яe don't want to see you make the same mistake, because that tape will definitely reflect. Speaker. in all your fairness, and you have been a fairly good Speaker for the last three years. It's only this year that you have deviated from the norm dramatically. But . nevertheless, you did state, unequivocably to this Body three weeks ago, Mr. Speaker, that you would hear Koehler's Resolution on a time certain, on June 26 and that, of course, would be the first one on the Calendar. I think you should live up to your own a11 fairness words, rather than have us halp you eat them."

Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Ar. Speaker, I am hopeful that you're going to change the ruler... ruling of the temporary Speaker in calling this Motion. I can't imagine, although we've had some very innovative rule making here, particularly in the last week, how you could possibly get to this Motion ahead of Representative Koehler's, unless possibly we are now getting so fine an art of setting Special Orders that we're

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

going to have Orders by male Hembers οf the Seneral come before female Members. I say Members of this side of the aisle respect the Lady Members, and we think that they are equal to the rest of us. And her Motion was filed first, should be heard think that first."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich. Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I have known vou noti for saventeen and I have seen you from the Constitutional veacs. I saw you come to the House and you Convention. Grae stature of the Leadership and, finally, was elected Speaker Frankly. even though I was on the other side of the aisle, I was kind of proud because I knew I was proud particularly when you made your acceptance speech in which you said you would deal fairly。 that VOU would abide by the rules regardless of the Party. NOH then, I'm not quite as proud of you as I was, iŕ ďο Representative Koehler, on a partisan basis, that would take this away from her, which obviously don't think that's up in keeping with partisan move. T what I've known of you. I don't think it's in kezping with vour acceptance speech."

Speaker Madigan: "Chair recognizes Mr. Homer on Mouse Joint Resolution 181."

Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Homer: Hr. vou. January 9, 1985, at noon, the Members of this 84th General Assembly were sworn into office. An hour prior to that. the 83rd General Assembly took action that resulted in the fact that He. the Hemmers of this E4th General Assembly. would receive a 15 percent pay increase. Other public such as the Governor, faired better. The recommendations and the pay increases for that Jffice almost 50 percent."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Homer, excuse me. For what purpose does

Mr. Johnson seek recognition?"

- Johnson: "Just to... I have a good solemn and solution for I've just filed a written Motion, I think it's permitted by our rules and Robert's Rules of Order, that HJR 181 and HJR which are the two Resolutions in question, 180. h e consolidated and heard on one Roll Call. Then we don't have any question about partisanship. de don't have any question about private authorship. They're the same it will allow both sides to present themselves on this common question at the same time. I filed that and it's in writing and on file with the Clerk's Office. I don't how anybody could oppose that."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson has filed a Motion which states, "I

 move to consolidate HJR 181 an HJR 180 on one Roll Call."

 On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Rower."
- Homer: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. I certainly, at this time, would rise and join the Gentleman in his Motion. This is not to be a partisan question. House Joint Resolution 181 has bipartisan sponsorship. I would welcome the Lady from Henry to join in sponsorship of 181. I would ask to be given leave to be added as a Sponsor to 183. And I would simply ask that we move to expedite these proceedings so that we can get into the guts of this important issue.
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Homer has now joined in support of Ar.

 Johnson's Motion to consolidate the two Resolutions.

 Therefore, the question before the Body is the Motion of Mr. Johnson. The Chair recognizes Representative Kochler.
- Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I stand in support of Mr. Johnson's Motion. I think that it is important to point out, though, that after six years, the six years I've been in this State Legislature, that this issue is still a very sensitive issue and the Legislature is, again, going about

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1935

it in a manner that will disappoint the people of Illinois.

I certainly think it's important to discuss this issue and discuss it in a fair and open manner, allow each Representative the opportunity to discuss this issue. And so, I join with Representative Homer and Representative Johnson in asking for the passage of this Resolution.

- give the Lady proper respect. Speaker Madigan: "Please, let's The Motion is to consolidate the Resolutions. 'ave', those opposed say 'no'. The 'aves' have sav The Resolutions ara consolidated. The Chair Mr. Homer on the consolidated Resolutions. recognizes Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. Once again, last January the Hambers of this General Assembly were noon. sworn into office. On January 9, 1985, at 11:00 a.m., received a pay raise, as a result of action that was taken on a Resolution, House Resolution 1334 in the Senate Now, in spite of that, the Members of earlier that day. that General Assembly voted in this House, by a vote of 76 31. to reject the pay raise of that Compensation Review Board, to reject the 16 percent increase that was given recommended to us in that report and the 50 percent increase that was recommended for the Governor of the other higher offices. Here we are on June 26, 1986, 17 months later, voting on additional pay raises of almost ten percent increase for all of these same public officials over the next two years. Now, logic alone would dictate that if 76 Members of this Body opposed the substantial pay raises given back in 1985, that vou resolute against the pay raises that are being proposed on top of those increases here 17 months later in There were those at the time. you will recall. who suggested that the House action was insincere; because,

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1936

time we voted in 1985, the Senate had already refused the Resolution. accept the therebv. accepting recommendations of that Compensation Review Board. opportunity today, since the Senate has not yet acted, for you same 76 Hembers at least to act again when it means something by voting in favor of this Resolution to reject Lesides all of that, the timing of the those pay raises. proposed pay increases stinks. Today, in checking with the Comptroller's Office, I find that the daily... the General Fund checking account today is 189 balance in 274 million dollars million dollars, which is some it was a year ago today. It has been commonly agreed upon that a 200 million dollar balance is the danger point. We. today. are 189 million dollars. in other below the danger signals. And what's the forecast for next year? The Governor presented a budget in February of this year and now, even by all estimations. inc Luding will be, at best, 200 million dollars Governor's. MA short in FY *87; that on top of our precarious position That means, a) that we're going to have cuts, cuts in education, cuts in public aid benefits, cuts human services, or it means that we're going to have Now, on top of that, we have a tax increase. will cost the taxpayers of the State of Illinois, for our pay increases and the other public officials, I would challenge each and seven million dollars a year. every one of you to specify what tax you*re or what program... which of the programs you're going to propose be cut so that we can receive pav Shall it be the kids in the schools who will increase. suffer? Shall it be the public aid recipients? Or be the other people who depend upon state human service This state is faced with unprecedented programs?

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

have lost 300,000 manufacturing jobs in the problems. Нe past five years. Today, Illinois suffers an unemployment 8.5 percent, well above the national average of farm crisis of unprecedented other states. proportion. Businesses ace shutting their doors at Horkina and women alarming rates. nen making are sacrifices daily in the form of wage concessions to their All of our citizens are being asked We are their elected officials, their elected leaders. We enjoy a luxury that they don't have on how much our benefits shall be, how much we decidina shall be paid. Are we willing to share the burden the great unresolved issues of the day, or will we create a separate set of rules that will paid to public officials? compensation I would call upon each and every one of you today to search Vour Soul in determining the answer to those questions. Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the 3ody join in approving the Resolution which would reject in its entirety the recommendations of the Compensation Review Board. blsiv bluow I bnA closing to Representative Coehler."

- Speaker Madigan: "Ar. Tate has indicated that he has a question of the Sponsor. Mr. Tate."
- Tate: "Thank you, Nr. Speaker. Hould the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman indicates that he will yield."
- Tate: "Representative Homer, since you have joined Representative Koehler now in filing this... this Motion, do you plan also on giving your pay raise back, as Representative Koehler does?"
- Homer: "No, I'm not going to give anything back, because I feel
 that the people of my district are entitled to compensate
 their Representative at the same rate the other

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1936

Representatives are compensated. But I think that s... "

Tate: "Thank you. That will suffice."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Koehler. And Representative Giglio in the Chair."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Marshall, Representative Koehler," Koehler: "Thank you, "ir. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the There are many reasons to support the combination House. of House Joint Resolution 18C and 191. The main reason why I have always objected to the manner in which this is being done is a philosophical reason - the philosophical reason that the Compensation Review Board process itself is a very major and serious threat to representative government, and, thus. it is also a threat to accountability because we have here a Compensation Review board, a Review Board that is composed of unalected individuals who have the ability to issue a report, that, without a vote of the General Assembly, can become law. These unelected individuals accountable to the taxpayers, but, yet, they can spend taxpayers money. That's simply not good government. say, 'Jut, Representative Koshlar, the Illinois Supreme Court has acted upon the Compansation Review they say it's constitutional. Hell, of course, they have said that and I'll leave that to the lawyers and Judges to decide. But the major question that we are debating today is, is it good government for Representatives, people like you and me, 8ho represent the people of our Legislative Cistricts. it good government for us to hand over the tough decisions to an unelected 3oard that is not accountable to the people of the State of Illinois? If we can do it for such sensitive issues as pay increases, why can't it be done for such things as tax increases? Perhaps the Legislature will then create a 'Revenue Enhancement Board'. I think, Ladies

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

Gentlemen. the Compansation Review Eoard process itself is not good government and is a violation of the principle of government and а threat to citizen accountability for the taxpayers money that they spend. oppose the Compansation Review Board process. I appose the manner in which this pay increase is being considered. T opposed it originally, but I'm even more opposed to it now. herause I'm a member of the Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission: and, in our last Economic and Fiscal Commission meeting, it was projected that the State of Illinois very well have a budgetary revenue shortfall of close to 300 million dollars. The state may very well not keep the promises that they made to the school children of the State of Illinois in that reform package last school children of the City of Chicago and to many It is ludicrous for the Legislature to others. he considering a pay increase for state officials that simply takes it off the top. Now, Ladies and Gentleman, the Gettysburg Address, saido government of the people by the people and for Under the Compensation Review Board process, this government by an elite few that arenot even accountable to the taxpayers of the State of Illinoisa Let us reject this report of the Compensation Review Board because of its threat t o representative government, its threat tο accountability and show that we are sensitive, Representatives, to the State of Illinois budgetary shortfall that may very well be experienced Because. I would predict. Ladies and Gentlemen. next vear. we do not show that sensitivity. if we do not show that responsiveness to the people of Illinois, that in 1973 (sic - 1988), when the people of Illinois have the opportunity vote on whether or not to call a Constitutional

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

Convention, that the people of Illinois and the citizens will vote to call that... that Constitutional Convention so that they might reject the concept of the Compensation Review Board and bring back accountability. accountability iust like they demanded in 1980 when they said to their Representatives, *We did not like the manner in which done in 1978', and they reduced the size of the Legislature. I predict that in 1986 the citizens will call another Constitutional Illinois vote to Convention and abolish the Compensation Review Soard. you to join with Representative Homer, myself and reject the report of the Compensation Review Toard. you very much•□

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Hadigan."

Madigan: #Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. T rise to support the recommendations of the Compensation Review Board and to oppose the Hotion. Let me two reasons for my action and ask that all of you First, I believe that the creation Compensation Review Board was an important act in the ongoing effort to improve the quality of the General Assembly. The improvement occurs because there is now a mechanism in place to provide for periodic reasonable increases in a method that allows for public hearings and input. As you know, there have been incidents the Legislature found it politically past where expedient to act on substantial increases in lame duck Sessions or without any public input. That era has ended. The report was approved in a public meeting. The action been scheduled for one here today has week. The emotionalism once attached to this issue has, by and large, has become a thing of the past. Let us now turn substance of the report. It calls for modest raises for

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1936

the Governor and the other constitutional officers, Members the Circuit, of the General Assembly and Appellate Court Judges. If we were to Compensation Review Board report to the recently approved union contract for state employees, we find there has been a three year agreement negotiated that provides for a three step increase of 4, 4.5 and 5 percent. The Compensation Review Board report before you today calls for raises of approximately 4.5 and 5 percent over two years. It means. for example, that the salary of a Hember of the Legislature from 32,500 to 35,661. believe that that will I recommendation is justifiable and reasonable. Whether or not, State Government is in competition, in a way, with the private sector for the talented competent managers needed to implement state services and programs. In order to retain and attract these peoples we must be to offer salaries that are competitive. position Traditionally, the salary levels paid elected officials as a ceiling for salaries for top executives. 1984, we started to correct the deficiencies bν providing salary adjustments for the directors of the code agencies and other gubernatorial appointees. If we reject Motion and accept the Compensation Review Board report today, we will take yet another step in that direction. my judgement, the report proposes reasonable, affordable and I sincerely urge your support. Thank you adjustments. very much."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan,"

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We've listened to the dialogue. Let's talk about what this issue is all about, and let's talk about some facts. Number one, I oppose the Resolution, because I think it's hypocrisy.

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Last week, in U.S. Horld and News Report, they talked about what you make when you work in this country. Know what you make if you're a bus repairman In the City of Chicago? make 42.000 dollars a year. That's the process. kid nurselvesa You want to repair a bus, you get 42,000. If you're a cabinet maker in Spokane, Washington, you make 36,000 a year and they deserve it. They make good cabinets there. I support the concept. If you fly an airplane - airplane pilots make 95,000 a year. When get in an I want a guy who knows how to fly it, and I'm willing to pay 95,000 dollars a year and those costs. And. you know what? If you write for *Hill Street 3lues* what If you work your tail off and write for 'Hill make? Street Blues', you make 250,000 year. °Hill а And if it bears 250,000 pretty good show. dollars year, that's fine. Let's set the record straight. Everybody here ran for the office. You file your petitions; you collect your signatures; you raise money; you put an organization together and you run for the And there's a salary there. And you know what the When I got here the salary was 20,000 a year. I didn't think it was enough, but I accepted it recause When I've run for reelection, I've for the office. always told the people of my district I work hard; I put in I'm here tonight. It's 7:00. I started in my office at 9:00 this morning. If I was a bus serviceman. I'd be making 30 bucks an hour right now, but I'm not. think this is an important job. This is public service and that's what it's all about. The one issue I want to talk about, and why I'm happy we're doing this Resolution, because I want to have all the Members who support it to be able to look and see where people are atwhat's going to happen? This fall we've got an election

135th Legislative Day

June 26 1986

for all the offices in the state that are UP o ana the one office is United States Senator. He've got an incumbent who's going to run on his record, and we've opponent who's going to run on 00.5 issue - that politicians are bad guys and they don't deserve what And know what's going to happen? The people of this are pretty smart, and the people of this state can't state be hoodwinked into what they should be doing. people of this state are going to vote by a two million candidate ಚho's running vote plurality for the the process that he wants to serve the reople of this state. and the candidate who's running on the tax issue be repudiated. So that, hopefully, once and for all, people will come here and run for public office because think thev can do anna iosa They re going to represent their constituents, and they re going to h۵ willing to vote for a pay raise if it's the right thing to I've supported every one since I've been here, and I'm going to continue to support them, because I right. And this fall, just remember the day after the election, look for the plurality for that one particular office and then I'll use that speech the next time we have a pay raise, because I'll be back here. Thank you much."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DaKalb.

Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to support the Sponsors of this Resolution. I O D Cosponsor of both Resolutions. I do 50, though, not for the pay o f but what T felt ผลร tha failure Legislator, the at the Compensation Review Board to look disparity in compensation amongst the various offices for which they were granting pay increases. A few years ago, I had the 135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

fortunate opportunity to serve as a member and chairman of the State Board of Elections and that office carries And that salary has been increased in the a salarva time. last pay raise and again this And. in essence. what's happened is there's been a blanket, as the Speaker has indicated, a blanket across the board CCLA And frankly, don't feel that those part time offices I. deserve that. I have Judges in my district and most of you know I'm a lawyer, and those Judges call me and they 'Vote for this pay raise.' But I'll frankly tell you that downstate Judges are paid well. It's hard for lawyers to go out in my area and make 73,000 dollars a year. Thevere compensated fairly. Matter of fact, when they open up Judgeship, the list now is very long, and everybody applies And I think the same thing is even tο get ontrue now in the city where the compensation isn't adequate. So. we aren't addressing the problem, because the Judges in the city are not always compensated and that's part of the problem that we saw with Grey Lord. out the Judges in Illinois are overcompensated. Everything is southern relative. This state is 500 miles long. I think we need a system. And in order to have a new system, I think we need to disapprove this pay raise, and I ask VOU for it."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative HcGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Hould the Sponsor of HJR 181

yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "They indicate they will."

McGann: "No, we're talking about HJR 181. Representative Homer."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman yields."

McGann: "Representative Homer, you made some very accurate, factual, financial accounting of the terrible conditions of this state, and I agree with you. You also stated it's

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

going to cost seven million dollars a year to have this pay raise for all those concerned under the direction of the Compensation Review Board. Tell me, Representative Homer, how much pork have you requested for your district for this year or next year?

- Homer: "Well, I think that's a rhetorical question,

 Representative. I don't think..."
- McGann: "I don't think it's rhetorical at all, Representative
- Homer: "I think if you want to make a statement, that you should make your statement and not include me."
- McGann: "You have made a statement in regards to finances,
 leading up to the reasons why we should not have this pay
 raise or anyone else should have any pay raise in the State
 of Illinois. All I'm asking you is a question."
- Homer: "Well, your question's irrelevant to the matter at hand, and I'm not going to answer it."
- McGann: "That's fair enough. I didn't think vou would. Representative Homer. And, Ar. Speaker and Hembers of this Assembly, that is just another example of the hypocrisy that goes on on this floor, constantly. We've got it both sides. Once that wanted to run for higher office and another that's running for higher office. And they before us to embarrass avery one of us that are sitting or standing here in this Assembly. It's totally wrong. Τt shouldn't be. I support the Speaker of the House."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative

 Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As a Cosponsor of both House

 Joint Resolutions, I rise in support of the Resolutions. I

 don't think the issue here before us is whether we, as

 Representatives, or the other constitutional officers are

 worth the salaries that are being proposed for the Hambers,

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

because I think, by and large, the Members work hard and earn the money that they get. The issue in this year is the timing of the increase. I represent a which have 19 percent unemployment, where working men and women have taken cuts in pay in order to keep their jobs in the district. Representative Komer and others have worked hard for jobs in securing what has been disparaged as pork by getting a prison or other businesses for district which mean jobs for the people in those districts so that people can work and feed their families. This seven million dollars is a symbol at a time when we're talking about cutting back on community mental health, fear of cutting back on education and cutting back in other areas of government which яе will responsibly do because that's all the state afford. CAD Mhat this Resolution suggests is that we аt least join in that effort, not because we're not worth the money that we are being paid, but to set an example so that we can say that are joining in that effort to be fiscally responsible." Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

you, Ar. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Preston: "Thank I rise in opposition to these House Resolutions, and I have great respect for all the previous speakers on both sides of this issue. I think that on both sides feel sincerely. I don't think anyone's peing I think that there are arguments for and against a pay raise. The reason I am for it and the reason I'm against some of the arguments that I've heard, even though I respect the speakers of those arguments, is that because there are people who might be out of work in the State of Illinois or because there are people who may be underpaid in the State of Illinois is not an argument

Representative Prestono"

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

people in this General Assembly should join those the who are underpaid. This is a very modest pay raise. whole idea of the pay review... the pay Compensation board was to have, through an attempt, take it out have some other body make recommendations, in this case very modest recommendations, for pay raises for Members of General Assembly, for members of the Judiciary. And I think there can't be a racommendation much more modest than a four and a half percent pay raise. This God the type of pay raise that aldermen in the City of Chicago vote themselves, a 45 percent pay raise increases. This is four and a half percent. He're not irresponsible. is the kind of process that, indsed, responsible. And I would certainly urge people to reject these House Joint Resolutions, even though everyone has a conflict of interest. And, Mr. Speaker, I, too, have a conflict of interest as a Member of this General Assembly for other reasons, and I would like to make that part of the record."

- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook,

 Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the with Assembly. I join Representative Koehler and in support of this Hotion. Representative Homer de read daily that our citizens are disillusioned, disenchanted and discouraged with government in general and those elected officials who don't do their job well, who shirk their duties, and shirk it we will if we let the Compensation Review Board decide on all of those salary increases which we should be deciding. And what elsa đ o They dislike citizens dislike? taxes and You've already heard from Representative Homer and Representative Koehler the dangerous financial position

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

in. And we are telling the citizens of Illinois. 'You may be having financial problems, but we're And, furthermore, we're going to give going to get ours. big raises to the constitutional officers and Judges. * Then what will happen? Another tax increase. He say it's only ten million dollars, but ten million is a lot of money to someone who has very little. And, finally, we can cop and say, 'Well, in order to attract high caliber out candidates, we have to raise the salaries of these important elected offices.* Hell. if that is the case. ladies and Gentlemen, then why is it we have bundreds and there hundrade of candidates out readv. Hilling and knocking themselves out to run for these offices? They seem to think those salaries are so bad. and these jobs, and these Judgaships they're willing spend hours and hours of their time and all the woney they can get their hands on to run for and be elected to these I support the Motion and I encourage you to do offices. the same."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Just a... I said, and I'm going to keep my word that I'm not going to ... I'm just going to vote on this but pass... I really can't help it - I help don't think any of us can - to make a comment on at least a little part of the debate here. There's a lot of reasons why people would want to vote in favor of these Resolutions there's a lot of reasons why they might oppose them. Representative Homer and Representative Koehler sincerely balieve that by introducing these Resolutions, thay're implementing part of their beliefs in government. And I really think it's unfortunate when at least one of our Members feels it's necessary to castigate Representative 135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

I guess, by implication, Representative Koehler Homer and as being a hypocrite in conducting a personal attack Member and to call him a pork barrel king or whatever the language was simply because on one issue out they happen to disagree. And I'm not... obviously not in a nosition. and I wouldn't call on anybody to applopize for anything, but I would certainly hope that on an issue like this, that we would have enough respect for each other, partisanship and position on this issua aside. could debate the merits of the issue and avoid personal attack that I don't think serves anybody and certainly doesn't serve the interests of the people of Illinois.

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative
Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Move the previous question."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the previous question has been put. Representative Koehler, to close."

"Thank you, Ar. Speaker and Ladies and Sentlemen of the Koehler: I ask you to join with Representative Homer in rejecting the report of the Compensation Review Board and passing House Joint Resolution 130 and important to point out that the Speaker and I certainly have disagreed on the issue of the Compensation Review and one of the factors that he ... that he mentioned Board. was that this Compensation Review Board means regular and periodic pav raises. Well. I would also suggest to you that that means more and more regular and periodic that leads to higher taxes and higher spending Sessions. and higher costs for the people of Illinois. The people of Illinois want less government; they want less taxes, and want less spending. They want it because they, too, 135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

are feeling the pinch of lower pay checkso the pinch of higher costs of doing business. It is important that we in Illinois, that we concentrate on important issues like reducing the cost of doing business in Illinois sensitivity that is necessary. And it is important that we set the right example. the right example. and would be to reject this report. People want the Illinois Legislature to be sensitive to their needs. That's why for many years they have endorsed the concept of the citizen Legislator, the citizen Legislator who lives among them. who understands what it's like to be a small businessman who has difficulty meeting his payroll at the end of the month. A small..."

- Speaker Giglio: "Please bring your remarks to a close,
 Representative Koehler. Representative Koehler.
 Representative Koehler. You're on."
- Kochler: "Representative Friedrich for a point of order, I
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Friedrich, do you seek..."
- Friedrich: "This is the second time when Representative Koehler's speaking that we've had a Nemocrat Caucus next door. Would ask them to keep their seats until she's finished please?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Will the House please... Will the House please give the Lady your... attention? The Chair would like to be fair. Representative Koehler, will you please bring your remarks to a close?"
- Koehler: "Well. I think that we should have a tape recording of that remark about the Chair would like to be fair and have replayed that earlier in the evenings?
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Koehler."
- Koehler: "But I will bring my remarks to a close. And, Ladies
 and Gentlemen, I would like for you to join with
 Representative Homer and myself in rejecting the report of

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

the Compansation Review Board so that the citizens of Illinois will continue to have citizen Legislators who believe in the same things in which they believe in less spending and less government. And I think that we should raject the raport of the Compensation Review Board so that we will return t o the theory o f representative government, accountability and that also we will recognize the fact that the State of Illinois is yoing through a period of economic difficulty with revenue and we will. instead. shortfalls. keep the promises to education, rather than taking it off the top for state officials. Thank you."

- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, "Shall the house adopt House Joint Resolution 181 and House Joint Resolution 180? signify by voting 'no', all those approve in favor vote 'yes'. (sic) The voting is open. All those in favor those against vote 'yas'. (sic) Those in vote 'no' all favor of the adoption of the Resolution vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ar. Clerk, the record. On this question there are 71 voting "yes" and 45 voting 'no'. House Joint Senate Resolution... House Joint Resolution 181 and 180 are adopted. Supplemental Mr. Clerk. under Motions. Calendar 51. Representative Cullerton. Out of the record, Jack. Fir. Clerk. Senate Bills Second Reading, page two of the Calendar, Senate Bill 332. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1734. of the record. Senate Bill 2000. Representative Graiman. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2000, a Bill for an Act to the regulation of insurance rates and amending an Act herein named. This Bill has been read a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments."

135th Legislative Day June 26, 1966

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 31, offered by Representative

Tate."

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Tate, on Amendment ∴1 to Senate

 Bill 2000. Representative Tate."
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Tate: Amendment #1 basically amends the Insurance Code to require that insurance companies provide a 60 day advance notice of policy cancellations, nonrenewals and premium increases excess of 25 percent. It also requires that such notices include a loss experience report for the insured. This some of the problems that we have heard in the different hearings that we have the state on the liability crisis and with throughout people not having the opportunity to find insurance shart notice when being cancelled. Ţ move for its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment &1

 to Senate Bill 2000. On that question, the Gentleman from

 Cook, Representative Greiman."
- The Amendment is one that will be Greiman: thank you. covered in other legislation that will be Defore the House. A portion of the legislation that will be considered out dealing with this specific question that been worked will... that has been worked out and agreed Ťα òν the Department of Insurance and by the carriers as well as by the consumer groups. This is not... There's nothing wrong this, except that what it would do is it would impair the consideration on the Governor's desico possibly. o f House... of Senate Bill 2000. So. because it will be considered elsewhere, I would ask that defeat WP Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The question is, 'Shall

135th Legislative Day

June 26. 1986

Amendment &1 be addpted to Senate will 2000? All those in favor... Representative... the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate, to close. Excuse me, Sir.

"Thank you, Ar. Speaker. As the previous speaker has Tate: already indicated, this Amendment is an Amendment that a... pro-consumer Amendment. It's also been one recommended by the House Task Force. Unfortunately, what the speaker... the pasis of his argument in opposition to the Amendment is that someday we may get the opportunity to hear issue. I'm not sure when that opportunity will be provided, and I hope the Members take a serious look at this, because a 'no' vote on this Bill basically would indicate that you're in favor of allowing insurance companies to raise their rates, that you're in favor of allowing insurance companies to cancel your insurance providing consumers with the appropriate vou*re not protection. I move for its adoption. I'd like a Roll Call on that, too, Speaker.

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman... the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2000. All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed 'nay'. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Have all voted who wish? Please wish? vote Representative Giglio's switch 'no'. Ukay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. take the On this question there is 53 voting 'aye', 62 record. voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present', 1 not Representative Tate."

Tate: "Speaker, because this is the first opportunity that the House has had the opportunity to address this issue and this is a pro-consumer issue, I would ask to verify the Roll Call and give Members an opportunity to read this Amendment and possibly change their vote, hopefully."

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

Speaker Giglio: "Does the Gentleman persist in the varification?"

Tate: "Yes, ves,"

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Kane, Representative Zwick, for what purpose do you rise?"

Zwick: "Hould you change my vote to 'yes', please?"

Speaker Giglio: "Change the Lady's vote to 'yes'. Poll of the Absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "There is no one that is not voting."

Speaker Giglio: "Poll of the negative votes, Ar. Clark."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Brunsvold Bullock. Capparellia Christensena Brookins. Curran. Currie. Dalev. Cullerton Delaesher. Bet en-Farley. Flinn. Flowers Giulio. Giorgia Greiman. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Hanni da Keane. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Mautino. McGann. McPike. Nash. Matijevich. O°Connell. Panavotovich. Pangle. Phalps. Preston. Rea. Rice. Richmonda Ronana Saltsman Shaw. Steczon Stern-Washington. White. Turner. Van Duyne. Sutkera. MOIF. Anthony Young. Myvetter Younge. And Are Speakeren

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mautino,"

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to change my 'no'
vote to a 'yes' vote. I just got a look at the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Change his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Gentleman
from Madon, Representative Dunn. Change the Gentleman to
'no'. |Representative Steczo asks leave to be verified.
Does he have leave? Leave is granted. Any questions of
the Negative Roll Call? Representative Tate."

Tate: "Yes, I'd like to... Representative Huff."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative duff is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative Hannig."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hannig? Is Representative Hannig in the chamber? Ha's here at the press box.

135th Legislative Day

June 25, 1986

Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "I'd like leave to be varified."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted.

Further questions?"

Tate: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Giglio': "Representative Capparelli is in front of the well."

Tate: "Alright, Representative Hartke."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hartke. Representative Hartke is at Representative Steczo's chair."

Tate: "Thank you, Chuck. Representative Turner."

Speaker Giglio: TRepresentative Turner is standing in front."

Tate: "Okay" Representative Christensen."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Christensen? Representative

Christensen is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative Curran."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Curran? Representative Curran is in the back of the chamber waving his hand."

Tate: "Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Van Duyne? Representative Van Duyne? Oh, here he is right by the door here. ... to my

Tate: "Representative... Representative Panayotovich."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Panayotovich? Is Representative Panayotovich in the chamber? Representative Panayotovich is here."

Tate: "Okay. Representative Dalay."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Representative Tate, Who did you mention?"

Tate: "Daley."

Speaker Giglio: Daley? Is Representative Caley in the chamber?

He's in the back on the Republican side of the aisle.

Tate: "Represe... I can't remember. Did I do Representative Van

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1986

Duvne?"

Speaker Giglio: *Yes. Representative Van Duyne is right here talking to one of the newsmen.*

Tate: "Alright. Okay. I should maybe polithe tress boxes.

Maybe it-would be easier if I looked at Members in the press box. Representative DeJaegher."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative DeJaegher's in his chair."

Tate: "Well, Representative Bowman."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Powman? Is Representative Bowman in the chamber? He's in the front of the well here."

Tate: "Well."

Speaker Giglio: "Further questions?"

Tate: "Representative Flinn. Representative Flinn."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Flinn is in his chair."

Tate: "Okay. Representative... Representative Mulcahay."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mulcahey. Is Representative Mulcahey in the chamber? Ha's in his chair.□

Tate: "Oh, that's right. He's voting the right way. Hell, Ar. Speaker, since this is the first opportunty that we've had to address the issue, the issue goes to Third Reading, I guess."

Speaker Giglio: "On this question, 54 voting "yes", 64 voting "no", 1 voting present"... 62 voting "no", 1 voting present", and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Supplemental Calendar 61, on the Order of Motions. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to suspend Rule 37(g), Third Reading deadline, until June 30th, 1966 on Senate Bills 332, 2000, 2117 and 2300. I'll be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks that Rule 37(g) we suspend and
Third Reading deadline we extended until June 30th. And on

135th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1936
- that question, the Gentleman from DeMitto Representative
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
- Vinson: "Representative, could you tell me why it is that you want to suspend this rule?"
- Cullerton: "Well, the... the rule was suspended previously till today's date. If we don't vote on these lills today, we would... they would be tabled, I believe, and they'd be dead. And we would not want to put them on the table and so, as a result, I'm extending the deadline until June 30th so that they can, if needed, can be acted upon sometime prior to June 30th."
- Vinson: "Why haven't we been able to deal with them prior today?

 They've already been suspended once."
- Cullerton: "Hell, we just did one of them; that was, Senate Bill 2000. We just moved it to Third Reading. And it's just a matter of extending the deadline because there's no time tonight to vote on them and it's just not timely."
- Vinson: "Could you... could you tall me what you intend to do with these Bills?"
- Cullerton: "Well, Senate Bill 2000, I'm sure now that it's on
 Third Reading, we know what's in the Bill. Me can try to

 pass it if you're vote it; and, if you're against it, you'd

 vote 'no'."
- Vinson: "What about those others?"
- Cullerton: "Well, I would just suggest that you look at the...

 what has been filed with the Clerk by way of Amendments and

 see what's up."
- Vinson: "Are you aware of any plans to do things with those Bills that are not in Amendments filed with the Clerk right now?"

 Cullerton: "No, that's why they had me make the Motion."

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1935

Vinson: "Yeah, I thought so."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake,

Pedersen,"

Peterson: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. To the Motion. Thera's bean some sub... accusations have been made relative t n for this Motion and the use of these Mills will be DULLOSE I had hoped that we would become put to. they would groundless when these Bills died teday. who may intend to misuse these Bills. siven substance to certain accusations, ought to know that such behavior will not be tolerated by the people of Illinois will not participata in any coverup and improper behavior around these Bill. And I recommend a 'no' on this Motion"

Speakr Giglio: "Further discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House suspend Rule 37(g)?" Representative Cullerton, to close."

Chairman of the Criminal Cullerton: "Yes, being the Lau just discovered that we have another ... Committee. another crime that we haven't outlawed yet - Bill abuse, and the previous speaker talked about abusing the Sills. don't... maybe we could have aggravated Bill abuse. can have second time loser Bill abuse. If you set convicted of abusing a Bill the second time, you may go to your local police station and register with the police so they know where you live so we can get printouts those people who abuse Bills and we can put them on mailing list. There's no abuse of Bills. There's Roll Calls and there's majority vote. That's your protection that you have, and that's what you'll have with regard to these Bills, and I would hope that we get a majority vote in this democratic society to extend this deadline."

Speaker Giglio: "The Sentleman moves that the House suspend rule

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

37(g). Third Reading deadline, till June 30th. All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed "nay". The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Have all voted who wish? Hr. Clark. record. On this question there are 67 voting *vas*... Representative Ewing votes *no*. On this question there are 67 voting 'yes', 50 voting 'no' and none voting *present*, and the Motion carries. The House is ready to adjourn. And before we adjourn, I'd like to make an announcement as to... Representative Mulcahey, in the Chair."

Speaker Mulcahey: "Chair recognizes Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Executive Committee, which is scheduled for 3:30 tomorrow morning, has been changed. We will meet on-half hour before we go into Session which will be at 10:30 a.m. tomorrow morning. So, that's 10:30 a.m. in Room 114."

Speaker Mulcahey: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Ar. Speaker, as a person that might have been associated
with the Contras, I'm curious as to whether you received
any Contra assistance from the Speaker."

Speaker Mulcahey: "Again, please."

Vinson: "As the one person in the Chair today who may have been associated with the Contras, I'm curious as to whether you have received any Contra assistance from the Speaker."

Speaker Mulcahey: "I have Daniel Ortega to my left.

Representative Panayotovich."

Panayotovich: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Chairman of the Cook County Democratic Organization would like to invite you for cocktails over to Bauer's after we get done.

Speaker Mulcahey: "Representative Leverenzo"

Leverenz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would resent the remark from the

135th Legislative Day

June 26, 1936

Gentleman from the other side - I think he's in Leadership - because you really resemble that remark.

- Speaker Mulcahey: "Representative Dunno"
- Dunn: "Well, with you in the Chair, we just have adjourned. I just didn't hear the Resolution."
- Speaker Mulcahev: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you. We're somewhat interested in... as to what time the 'Downstate Democratic Caucus' is meeting tomorrow.

 Some of us have been following with great interest the progress."
- Speaker Mulcahey: "Since I'm not temporary Speaker, it's at the call of the Chair we. I have an announcement to make for this weekend's schedule. On behalf of the Speaker, I would like to announce that we will be back in Session tomorrow morning at... Friday morning at 11:00 a.m. and work until approximately 8:00 p.m. or later, if necessary. Saturday we'll come in at 9:00 a.m. and work till about 2:00 in the afternoon. On Sunday, we'll be returned to the chamber at 3:00 in the afternoon and work until necessary. Chair recognizes Representative AcPike for the Adjournment Motion."
- McPike: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. I move the House stand adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 11:00 a.m."
- Speaker Mulcahey: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House stands adjourned."

09/19/86 11:48

STATE OF ILLINGIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 26, 19J6

H3-0787	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	ύ2
H3-0737	HOTION	PAGE	77
H3-1446	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	106
HJ-1467	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	113
HB-2409	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	5
HB-2312	CONCURRENCS	PAGE	15
H3-2625	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	117
H3-2630	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	72
H3-2630	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	77
H6-2642	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	20
HE-2688	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	117
H3-2741	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	22
H5−2839	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	16
HS-2339	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	112
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	17
H3-2678	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	117
H3-2974	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	119
Hb-3053	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	17
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	71
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	167
	OUT OF RECURD	PAGE	112
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	60
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	65
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	64
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	63
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	38
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	3 ರ
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	56
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	57
	SECOND READING	PAGE	145
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	56
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	58
	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
	THIRD READING	PAGE	35
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	66
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	63
	CONCURRENCE	PASE	57
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	69
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	129
MJX-0131	RESOLUTION JEFFRED	PAGE	122

SUDJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER	MCPIKE	IN	THE	CHAIR	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND CURTIS					PAGE	l
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE					PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE					PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTIONS					PAGE	2
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE					PAGE	2
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS					PAGE	2
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE					PAGE	72
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE					PAGE	113
RECESS					PAGE	121
HOUSE TO RECONVENE					PAGE	122
ADJOURNMENT					PAGE	154