131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

- Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The House will come to order. Members will be in their seats. The Chaplain for today will be our good friend and colleague. Representative Nelson Rice. Will the guests in the balcony please rise and join us for the invocation? Representative Nelson Rice."
- Reverend Nelson Rice: "I would like to read to this body, this distinguished Body. Psalm 23rd. The Lord is my Shepherd. I He maketh me to lie down in shall not want. pastures. He leadeth me by the still waters. He restoreth my soul. He leadeth me in the paths of righteousness for Name's sake. Yea, though I walk through the valley of the shadow of death, I will fear no evil for Thou art with Thy rod, Thy staff, they comfort me. Thou prepareth a table before me in the presence of mine enemies. Thou anoints my head with oil; my cup runneth over. Surely goodness and mercy shall follow me all the days of my life, and I will dwell in the house of the Lord forever. this, I ask this in His Name. Amen."
- Speaker McPike: "Be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

 one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

 for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative

 Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Let the record reflect the excused absence of
 Representative Woods Bowman and take him off of this
 Attendance Roll Call."
- Speaker McPike: "Hundred and... take the record, Mr. Clerk. 117

 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present.

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

•••16 of the Calendar• Consent Calendar Third Reading•
Second Day•**

- O'Brien: "Consent Calendar Third Reading. Second Day. Clerk Senate Bill 1521, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1666, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill . Senate Bill 1988, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 2018, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate 3ill 2025, a Bill amend the Abused and Neglected Child for an Act to Reporting Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2105, a Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital Licensing Third Reading of the Bill. And Senate Bill 2205, a Act-Bill for an Act to amend the Fish Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall these Bills pass?' This is Consent Calendar Third Reading. The guestion is, *Shall these Bills pass?* All those in favor signify by voting *aye*, opposed vote *no*. ...voted who wish? voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On these 116 'aves'. no 'navs'. Bills there none votina are these Bills, 'present'. and having received the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. 20 of the Calendar, Speaker's Table, Consent Calendar, Mr. Clerk." Fourth Day.
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1248, directs the Department Rehabilitation Services to conduct a study of providing certain care to disabled persons. And Senate Joint Resolution 97. concerns parking areas reserved for handicapped people. Committees recommended *be adopted ...
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, "Shall the Resolutions on the Speaker's Table pass?" All those in favor signify by

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On these Motions, there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting Speaker's Table, Consent Calendar is hereby Page two of the Calendar. Senate declared passed. Bills Special Order of Third Reading. Business - Economic Development, appears Senate Bill 602. Representative Hastert. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 602, a Bill for an Act in relation to the manufacturing machinery and equipment exemption from state occupation and use taxes. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative

 Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I understand there are some

 Amendments filed and I've agreed to move this back to

 Second Reading."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman asks leave to return the Bill to Second Reading for purpose of Amendment. Any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Second Reading. Mr.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Hastert."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Hastert, Amendment #2."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The... Amendment #2 is an Amendment that deals with the used car tax, and it's a definition of what selling price is. It was asked by the Department of Revenue for clarification and so that they could give appropriate information so that they could track what the blue book prices are of a car, and I ask for adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? Being none, the

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

question is, 'Shall Amendment 02 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Gentleman asks leave to waive the appropriate rule so that the Bill can be heard on Third Reading at this time. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 602, a Bill for an Act in relation to manufacturing machinery and equipment exemption from state occupation and use taxes. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

 Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. That provision of the Bill has been struck, and what this Bill does now as amended is to give... it was a request by the Retail Merchants' Association. What it allows is to give retail merchants a third option and it moves the prequalification from 110% deposit of sales to 105% deposit of sales. It gives them that option and it's a technical prepayment and I ask for a positive action on this Bill, along, I might add, with an Amendment that we just adopted."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 602. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, Senate Bill 602 pass?* All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. 0n this Bill there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting *present *-Senate Bi 11 602, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1700, Representative Keane. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1700, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal tax increment allocation financing. Third

June 20, 1986

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane."

Most of the Nembers may be aware "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. thev got letters from their municipal... Governor... or their mayors and their local governments. this is the tax increment financing Bill. We introduced the TIF for 1975, and the basic thrust of this Bill is to a state component to a municipal TIF through commitment of state and local use and occupation or tax revenues which have been generated by a new development within a TIF or utility tax revenues generated within another kind of TIF. You cannot have both sales utility taxes committed. I * d be happy to answer anv questions and ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 1700. And on that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a second...

for a question."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Vinson: "Representative, this is part of a two Bill TIF package, is it not?"

Keane: "The... yes, it is."

Vinson: "And 2100 is the other Bill in that package?"

Keane: "Right."

Vinson: "Would you explain to me how the two Bills work together?"

Keane: "The 1700 basically says that you can... the TIF originally said that where there was an increase in real property values because of an improvement and the EAV and taxes went up because you have a rise in your EAV, that the funds... that increase could be used in the debt service for whatever municipal costs there were, the bonding costs.

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

What this Bill... 1700 expands that and says, if you have a commercial program, let's say, you put in a car dealership, if the car dealership goes in, the increase in the state sales tax, both local and state, would go towards... could be used in the same the way."

Vinson: "Now..."

Keane: "Bill 2100 does some... liberalizes a number of items in the way the TIFs are operated."

Vinson: "Is this the Bill that includes the caps?"

Keane: "That's correct."

Vinson: "This does include the caps."

Keane: "Yes."

Vinson: "Oh. Very good. Now, is this the bill that also expands the definitions of such things as blighted areas?"

Keane: "Yeah, it..."

Vinson: "And is this the Bill that describes the process for the tax rebates to the developers?"

Keane: "To the... yeah, that... this is the... this has the rebates as the Department of Revenue asked it to be designed."

vinson: "So, under this Bill, I mean, this is really the TIF Bill
that defines TIFs more than any other Bill we're going to
deal with through the Session and more than existing law
does, is that not correct?"

Keane: "Well, in 1975, we set up the TIFs. This expands the financing mechanisms of TIFs."

Vinson: "Now, and at that time, we might have believed that the people who collected the tax in the particular TIF were just going to keep the tax. This one says that the money is going to be collected by the Department of Revenue and then sent back to whomever it's supposed to be sent back to, isn't that correct?"

Keane: "What they do is, the Department of Revenue sets a base

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

and they find out, for instance, in sales tax, what the sales tax base is. Any increase that the sales... in the sales tax base due, which would be attributable to the improvement to put on their property, would belong to the TIF, you're correct."

Vinson: "Now, this also deals with the process for refunding of real estate taxes, as I recall from reading the Bill."

Keane: "That's the original Bill."

Vinson: "Yes."

Keane: "The original law, I should say."

Vinson: "Yes. But I think you make a... some changes in how that's dealt with necessarily in this particular Bill. don't you?"

Keane: "As you know, the law is already existing that deals with real estate taxes."

Vinson: "Yes."

Keane: "And the state component tax. This does... that was last year. this does not impact that."

Vinson: "Well..."

Keane: "Maybe, I'm misunderstanding you."

Vinson: "...One of the things that you have to deal with, as I recall, in this process, is that the... you have to set up an account that you pay..., that causes money to flow back to governments if too much money would otherwise be refunded to the developers. Isn't that right?"

Keane: "I don't... I think you're talking about some other Bill.

It's not in this."

Vinson: "It's not in this, hugh? Now, there is not a provision in here that says that if there is too much money in the account, that some of it goes to the state and some of it goes to the city and some of it goes to the school district?"

Keane: "No. I don't... are you looking at Senate Bill 1700?"

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Vinson: "Yes, Sir, I sure am."

Keane: "No. If you could point out whatever you're talking or whatever line you're talking about, I'd be..."

Vinson: "Now..."

Keane: "...Happy to answer that."

Vinson: "...And you're... In the school districts aren't the last
governmental unit that ever gets any money back from these
things in that particular provision?"

Keane: "I don't think you understand the Bill. What happens..."

Vinson: "I..."

Keane: "What happens is is that funds that are generated because of the... Take property taxes. There is a base set on property tax. When an improvement is put on it, the new increased EAV, that portion is used by local government in the TIF. The base still goes to the local taxing bodies within the TIF."

Vinson: "What is the Special Tax Allocation Fund?"

Keane: "That's what is used to pay the bonds."

Vinson: "Representative, I want to represent to you very honestly that if you say that what I just asked you is not true, that that's not in this Bill, that you don't know what's in the Bill, and I wonder if you'd take the Bill out of the record so I can show you, in detail, where that is in the Bill, and I will raise no objection of coming back to the Bill as soon thereafter as you wish."

Keane: "If... Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman has asked me to take the Bill out of the record for a moment. Is there a chance we could get back to it a little later?"

Vinson: "I'll raise no objection to coming back to it when the Gentleman wants."

Speaker McPike: "Yes. Yes, we can get to the Bill."

Keane: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Sure."

131st Legislative Day June 20, 1986

Keane: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker McPike: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk.

Senate Bill 2123, Representative Phelps. Read the Bill,

Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2123, a Bill for an Act to amend the General Obligation Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Saline, Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank vou. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the Senate Bill 2123 actually increases the bond authorization by 60,000,000 in the state for two purposes: 10,000,000 that will go for reconstruction; rehabilitation for old school buildings that are in dire need, really on the margin of Fire Safety Code violations: 50,000,000 for those school districts that would happen bе contemplating whether to consolidate, whether it county district or whatever. Now there is nothing in the school reform that would be in the way of incentive to try to help any school districts that would consolidate. This is an anti-consolidation Bill because this provides those school districts that were going to be forced to consolidate that now have the option for the local people through referendum to say whether they want consolidate or not, now to have some state money for assistance, especially those districts throughout the state that cannot afford to on their own. Also, understand that this does not designate any certain district or area of the state to be qualified for any of the money. It's open The State Board of Education will have quidelines to set forth for those who apply to tap into resource. This actually reactivates the school construction program that was set forth in 1973 that presently has no money there. This is very important to

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

any district in the state that might foresee some damage because of fire completely demolishing a building. There is no source to go to right now, and I think it's commentary on this state when we do not provide some source of funding to have available for school emergencies. whether it would be fire or other type of natural disaster if by chance, through referendum, the local people say we should consolidate in a certain area, there limitations that they have in the local level also. will provide some matching funds. Does not provide the total cost of any school district, only some assistance. This is all we're asking. If they choose to consolidate or if they want to have... to rehabilitate some old buildings are about to fall down, which I can take and show you several in my district, then they have a source to go

And I believe it's a very reasonable request. I appreciate your support. I urge your green votes.*

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate 3ill 2123. And on that, the Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First an inquiry of the
Chair, and then I would like to address the Bill, please."
Speaker McPike: "State your inquiry."

Cowlishaw: "Because this is increasing bonding authorization.

does it not require 71 votes?"

Speaker McPike: "It requires 70... 71 votes. You're correct."
Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Cowlishaw: "I would remind Members that this Bill is identical to
House Bill 3619 which died on the Order of Consideration
Postponed. I would also remind Members that whereas the
Sponsors of this Bill were attempting to address a specific
issue for which there was a need for \$10,000,000 - when the

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Sponsors or those with whom they were working approached the State Board of Education, they were told that, "Well, if you need 10,000,000, why not just ask for 60,000,000, because I'm sure we can find the use for it?"

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that is just plain greedy.

We don't have the money. We ought not to be authorizing

money we do not have, and I urge a "no" vote."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "Can you explain to me if a number, let's say 15 or 20 school districts chose to use this Fund, is it based on a first come, first serve, based on the matching grants? How do you perceive this to be operated?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Representative Ropp, the way I understand it, the State
Board of Education, when this original law was... in 1973
was passed, they had criteria that would decide what school
districts on what basis. I assume that would be continued
for this purpose. So, whatever criteria they had then.
There is nothing new that's been revised to say we should
look at a southern district. It could be a district
building that was burned down in central Illinois. They
would simply apply to State Board of Education and they
would have the authority, with the Capital Development
Board, I believe, to decide priority."

Ropp: "People that have talked to me about this Bill have talked rather to the issue of consolidation, and the potential assistance in consolidation, maybe, in addition... although no one ever mentioned anything about replacing old buildings or burnt down buildings or anything but... in other words, it could be used for any purpose within a school system. Is that correct?"

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Phelps: "Well, 10,000,000 of this, Representative Ropp. is for purpose. for rehabilitation reconstruction. The 50,000,000, which is the largest portion, we are concerned about providing some funds for those schools who might choose to reorganize, and I believe that the State Board of Education, in its wisdom, will decide those school districts that have voted to consolidate or reorganize, that are less wealthy or more economically strapped get high priority. That would be my assumption. but I cannot guarantee that."

Ropp: "But don't we have funds right now that allow for the encouragement of consolidation?"

Phelps: "Not for buildings. Not for capital construction.

That's what this Bill addresses."

Ropp: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative McCracken." McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I also rise in opposition to If I understand the Gentleman's problems back the Bill. correctly, it may be appropriate for a particular appropriation Bill rather than a statewide increase in general obligation funds backed by the full faith and credit of the state. This comes at a time when there have to be budget cuts in existing appropriations for capital improvements. It comes at a time when we appear to be facing another shortfall in revenues in the near future. It just comes at a time when it is inappropriate. think it goes just too far in what it seeks to accomplish.

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps, to close,"

And I stand in opposition to this Bill."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the only opportunity I know of that we have this year to tap into a source that will help improve the educational climate and quality of this state. There is

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

nothing in this Bill, bond authorization request - yes, that - there is nothing in this Bill that designates or specifies a certain area of the state will be able to dollars. Let alone what their application amount of anv request might be, nothing is guaranteed that it will aα certain place in the state or there will be certain amount of dollars appropriated. Yes, I admit to you there needs in my district that I have in mind that possibly they apply this would become legislation. hopefully, it would be seriously considered because we need the help badly in southern Illinois. But also, I look a vision as a statewide concern wherever you represent, that you will now have a chance, if your choose to consolidate, which was going to be forced in the past, and now they have the option, thankful for that, they do not have anything that says we can have assistance. If their local tax base cannot support that, now they have some state help. And in response to Representative McCracken's remarks, I feel sad and I'm very disappointed that we would have priorities to build prisons when we have school buildings falling down all over the state and afford \$60,000,000 for that purpose. That's a shame, and I your positive votes and we need this very badly. ask for Thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate
 Bill 2123. It's an increase in the bond authorization and
 will require 71 votes. All those in favor of the Bill
 signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative
 McCracken, for what reason do you rise, Sir?"
- McCracken: "If this appears to get the requisite number of votes.

 I will be seeking verification."
- Speaker McPike: "Very well. Representative Rea, one minute to explain your vote."

131st Legislative Day

June 20 1986

- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. As was Rea: mentioned earlier. this is not an isolated area of the This is a statewide program that is This affects... can affect any part of the state. needed. We've got buildings... facilities that dο need the assistance, and I feel that this is an excellent piece of legislation of high priority that we should be supporting would hope that there would be the appropriate votes cast up there in order to pass this Bill on-"
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Representative Phelps, one minute to explain your vote."
- Phelos: "Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, I'd just like to remind you that House Bill 3619 that did fail by two the last of Mav. was. I think misunderstood by several Members, and I think we cleared that up; because, if you'll look, your Senators in the other House that supported my Senator in this Bill that sent it over identical to this, should not hold you up in committing your vote to a project that you may end up coming back to the State Education before this year is over and applying for very much needed funds to help pull you out of situation. if there are referendums and especially. throughout the fall that approve reorganization, there will be funds now available."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 71 39 "nos". The Gentleman DuPage. 'ayes', from Representative McCracken, has asked for a verification. Representative Phelps asks for Poll ٥f the Absentees. Representative Breslin in the Chair.
- Clerk O°3rien: "Poll of the Absentees. Bullock. Dwight
 Friedrich. Hoffman. W. Peterson. Ryder. Vinson. And
 Weaver."

131st Legislative Day June 20, 1986

Speaker Breslin: "Poll the affirmative."

- Alexander. Berrios. Clerk O'Brien: "Poll αf the affirmative. Black. Braun. Breslin. Brookins. Brunsvold. Cullerton. Capparelli. Christensen. Curran. Currie. Dunn. Dalev. DeJaegher. Del en-Faclev. Flinn-Flowers. Virginia Frederick. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hasara. Hicks." Hannig. Hartke.
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative... Excuse me. Representative

 McCracken, Representative Washington asks leave to be

 verified. He has leave. Proceed."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Homer. Huff. Johnson. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Leflore. Laurino. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Mulcahev. Nash. O'Connell. Panavotovich. Pangle. Preston. Rea. Rice. Phelps. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Slater. Steczo. Stern-Sutker. Tate. Terzich. Turner. Van Duyne. Washington. Wolf. Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Hr. White. Anthony Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Vinson: "I'd like to vote 'no', Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Vote Representative Vinson "no". Mr.

 McCracken, do you have any questions of the Affirmative
 Roll?"
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Farley."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley. Representative Bruce Farley. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call. Representative Stange, for what reason do you rise? Change Representative Stange from 'no' to 'aye'. Any further questions, Representative McCracken?"

McCracken: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio. Representative Giglio

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

is not in the... Representative Farley has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call voting "aye". Representative Giglio is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative O'Connell."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell. Representative John
O'Connell. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove
him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Rea."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea. Representative Jim Rea."

McCracken: "I see him."

Speaker Breslin: "Is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino. Bill Laurino. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. Remove... Representative Laurino is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Panayotovich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich. Sam Panayotovich.

Representative O'Connell has returned to the chamber. Add
him to the Roll Call voting 'ave'."

McCracken: "Representative Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Oh, you didn't make a decision on Panayotovich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Sam Panayotovich. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call.

Representative John Dunn asks leave to be verified. The Gentleman has leave."

McCracken: "Representative Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash. Steve Nash. The
Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll
Call."

McCracken: "Representative McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane asks leave to be verified.

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

The Gentleman has leave. Representative McNamara is in the chamber.

McCracken: "Representative Currie."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie is in her chair."

McCracken: "Representative Glorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi is in the center aisle."

McCracken: "Okay. Is he gone? Representative Stange."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stange voted personally. And
Representative Steve Nash is in the chamber. Return him to
the Roll Call voting "ave"."

McCracken: "Representative Stange."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stange voted personally.

Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "No. I can verify anybody."

Speaker Breslin: "He was verified..."

McCracken: "He voted by his... he voted by his switch."

Speaker Breslin: "He voted by his switch and he stood up personally and changed his vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

McCracken: "And now he's gone and I'm verifying his vote. He's

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, the purpose of a verification..."

McCracken: "Madam Speaker, the purpose of the verification is that the people are in their seats when they're verified."

Speaker Breslin: "When the verification began, the man cast his vote. It is the Chair's ruling that that vote is verified.

If the Gentleman wishes to change his vote, he will certainly be recognized to do so. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Well, Madam Speaker, if that's the ruling, why after I have voted, do I get... I get leave to leave the chamber?

That doesn't make sense."

Speaker Breslin: "That's for people who have not been verified.

Sir. People... people who have voted personally do not

131st Legislative Day

- June 20, 1986
- need verification, Sir. Representative McCracken, do you have any further questions?
- McCracken: "Is there... is there a particular rule you could cite

 me to?"
- Speaker Breslin: "I won't say what the Parliamentarian told me.

 Remember that the purpose of the verification is to verify that people are here and present voting."
- McCracken: "Is there a rule you can cite me to, Madam Speaker?

 Is there a rule you can cite me to? I know."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Parliamentarian is working... is looking on that at the moment. Do you have any further questions?"
- McCracken: "Representative Tate."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tate is in the chamber."
- McCracken: "Where?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Sam Panayotovich has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call. Representative Slater, for what reason do you rise?"
- Slater: "Change my vote from 'yes' to 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Slater from 'yes' to
 'no'. Representative Tate, for what reason do you seek
 recognition?"
- Tate: "Madam Speaker, I would like to change my vote from 'yes' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Tate from 'yes' to 'present'."
- McCracken: "To your earlier ruling, Madam Speaker, I believe that
 the language of Rule 58(c) substantiates my point. It is
 the verification and the act of verification which makes
 the Gentleman no longer... or no later challengeable. It
 isn't your observation of his vote which makes any
 difference. It's the act of the person making the
 verification."
- Speaker Breslin: "Nice try, Representative McCracken, however..."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

McCracken: "Nice try for you. What have you got?"

Speaker Breslin: "...Please read Rule 58(a), 'A Member may demand
a verification of an electrical Roll Call vote. Those
people who vote in person have not participated in the
electrical Roll Call vote."

McCracken: "He didn't vote in person. He pressed his button."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stange got up and changed his vote personally."

McCracken: "Did he... did he press his button to do it?"

Speaker Breslin: "I don't... No, the Clerk changed it at that time. The man was here and voting."

AcCracken: "Okay. I have nothing further."

Speaker Breslin: "On this question there are 69 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. Representative Rea or Hicks, do you wish Postponed Consideration? There are 69 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. And Representative Hicks does request Postponed Consideration. Senate Bill 2177, Representative Terzich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2177, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Illinois Enterprise Zone Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

Senate Bill 2177 increases to 13 the maximum number of
enterprise zones that may be certified in the years 1983 to
1989, and I would urge your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 2177. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall Senate Bill 2177 pass?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Record Representative Breslin as voting "aye", Mr. Clerk. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no', and 3 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2285, Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the 6ill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2285, a Bill for an Act to establish regional groups and development corridors of opportunity.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Madam Speaker, I'm awaiting an Amendment. I would like
to reserve the right to come back to it. The Amendment
should be down shortly."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. On the Order of Senate
Bills Third Reading appears State Administration as a
Special Order of Business. The first Bill on that Order of
Call is Senate Bill 1558, Representative Hannig. Clerk,
read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1558, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the The underlying Bill provides for increasing the penalties when someone unlawfully uses a oscillating, rotating, flashing light, for the purposes of illegally stopping or detaining someone, and this would increase the penalty to a Class 4 Felony. We had an unfortunate situation in my pound woman was pulled over. and where subsequently, murdered and raped because she had the misfortune of stopping for someone who was using one of the oscillating lights improperly. The purpose of this Bill is increase that penalty and make it a felony to use those lights illegally. That's the purpose of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted yesterday by Representative DeLeo which would change the way drivers! license and fines

rise?"

June 20, 1986

That provision would... that provision generally states that we would repeal the Section of the law which that you could sign for simple traffic violations and that we would go back to the old system where a \$50 deposit or a driver's license would be required. Apparently, there has been about a 400% increase in individuals who fail tο show up for these type of hearings, and it's caused a great problem for the Secretary of State and for the circuit clerks. Amendment #3, which was my Amendment, suggested by the State Police and would increase the penalty for using these lights illegally in purposes other stopping, and make that a Class A Misdemeanor. So someone, for example, who used the red light and ran a stop sign or stop lights would then be guilty of a Class A Amendment #4. Representative Steczo had Misdemeanor. adopted, which clearly said that rotating or flashing lights could be used by board of trustee, fire protection districts, and Amendment #5, by DeLeo, clarified the second Amendment that he adopted. That is the thrust of the believe that it's a good Bill and I would ask for your support and be happy to answer any questions." Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1558-And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, . *Shall Senate Bill 1558 pass?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Representative McPike wishes to vote 'aye'. Representative Hicks, for what reason do you

Hicks: "Yes, Madam Speaker, in explanation of my vote, I'm casting a 'no' vote simply because of the changes that are being made and the way that people are no longer going to be able to sign for the tickets. They are going to have to

June 20, 1986

post their driver's license, and we got away from that once. We found out that wasn't a good way of doing thinas Illinois. We got away from it, and now we've gone back to it or we're going back to it. I think it's a terrible way of doing things. I'm not opposed to the changing of the lights. I believe in that. I think it's a good thing, but simply because of the change in the way the drivers. license now are going to be held by the clerks. And we saw that was a bad situation for many years in Illinois. changes were made just a couple of years ago. sign for tickets wherever you were stopped. I think it's a much better way of doing things, and I'd urge other people to take serious consideration before they vote green. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative Hawkinson, to explain his vote."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I concur in the remarks of the last speaker. What that Amendment will do to this otherwise basically good Bill is it will discriminate against your constituents and my constituents who are now, thanks to legislation sponsored by Representative Johnson. I believe, last year, able to sign a promise to appear. This will continue to allow out-of-state nonconstituents to sign a promise but will enforce tougher standards on your constituents, and I think a *no* vote is the proper vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw, one minute to explain your vote."
- Shaw: "Yes, I think one of the previous... Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think one of the other Gentlemen explained it.

 I think this is a bad concept, and I think it is discriminatory on all of the citizens of this state. And what you're going to do is punish the good citizens of this state by taking... allowing them... not allowing them to

June 20. 1986

sign for their driver's... sign for their tickets and take their driver's license or make them put up a cash bond. I think this goes against the very concept of what the... the original intent was, and I think this is a bad 8ill. I think that people should look at it and I think it should be defeated.

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hasara, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hasara: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I did vote for this Bill. I also have a lot of the concerns with the Amendment that many of the other Members have expressed; however, in talking to those out in the district who are administering the program, it has gotten totally out of hand. Counties are losing money because of the people who are not showing up for their tickets and the paperwork has simply become prohibitive. So, while I do feel we are punishing some of the good citizens who have been paying, I'm embarrassed to say that because of that, I feel we should vote for this Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing, one minute to explain vour vote. The timer is on."
- Ewing: "Yes, thank you. I thought surely you'd put that Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we keep talking the Bill and the total is going down. That concerns me. I'm voting for this Bill. All of us who are citizens of each county are good people and we're picking up the tab for people who walk off and don't pay their fines. Fines go to support our court system and our police systems. It is a good Bill. Why should we let people who are caught traffic violations walk away and we, the taxpayers, pick up This is a good Amendment, and we ought to have the cost? over a 100 votes on it like we had a few minutes ago."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Harris, one minute to explain

June 20, 1986

your vote. Representative Harris."

- Harris: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Just to explain my vote. It is this Amendment which concerns me and is the reason that I'm voting 'no', and I would counter some of the comments by previous speakers. If you are in the State of Illinois now, it is easy enough to track you down. You're not going to lose some... you're not going to lose the revenue by not posting your license. The people that we're going to lose are the people that are out of state. Those are the people we should be getting tougher on, and we're not doing it. The Bill is seriously flawed by this Amendment and deserves a 'no' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative

 Countryman, one minute to explain your vote."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And I voted "yes", I'm voting 'yes', but I'm going to change to 'no', and I'm going to do it because some years ago, under the old law. I appeared in court in Boone County, and it was and I looked over and they had a prisoner in morning. there, and they brought that prisoner up and he said to the Judge, he'd been in jail since Friday night. And he the charge? And he said, 'Speeding.' I don*t think anybody ought to have to sit in fail for three and cost the taxpayers any money for sitting in jail on a speeding charge. We need a system that can work and until I'm convinced that this Amendment can work, I'm going to change from 'yes' to 'no'.
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Turner, one minute to explain your vote."
- Turner: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I, too, am changing my vote
 on this Amendment. I don't know how many of you have the
 problem that I'm always confronted with when you go to cash
 a check, and that is that people ask for your driver's

June 20. 1986

license and/or two or three credit cards in addition to proving who you are. And I think that the fact that the Secretary of State can, in fact, cancel or can suspend your driver's license for you not showing up in court is enough. The driver's license is a pretty important tool of proving who you are in this world today, and I think that it's something that we should be entitled to keep, and the Secretary of State has his rights in terms of suspension. And I'm urging all Members to change their votes to 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo, to explain your vote." "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. I'd like to Del en: explain my vote. This Amendment that was added onto 1558 very important to the residents of Illinois. Not only we had... when people have been arrested for we're not only talking driver's license, here, offenses. we're talking that we have an option. We're going back to original position. You can post a bond card. You can post a cash bond. You don't have to give up your driver's We'll talk about driver's license, license. These people are getting rearrested, for on a suspended license where they have to post a \$100 cash bond and now they're charged with a misdemeanor. Wetre not trying to take anybody's rights away, we're just trying to make the system run more fluently and bring revenues to the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Olson, to explain his vote."

Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Just a moment, I would like to reinforce what Representative DeLeo has spoken. At the county level, this is a very significant issue. Those of us who have been privileged to serve in circuit clerks, offices, know the problems that they re having, and we are not taking away any of the privileges and rights of the

131st Legislative Day

- June 20, 1986
- people. And at the same time, we are protecting ourselves in the 39 state compact."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 82 voting "aye", 25 voting "no", and 9 voting "present", and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2038, Representative McGann. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2038, a Bill for an Act in relation to the disposition of interest from the investment on deposit of monies received by the state. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, I just jumped over Representative

 DeLeo's Bill. Since this Bill was already read, we'll get

 back to your Bill, Representative DeLeo. Proceed,

 Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you. Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 2038 is the Bill out of the Executive It will require the State Treasurer to direct Committee. the Comptroller to pay to a local government or school district anv interest made by the state from the monies representing investments of taxes or other assessments imposed by ... on the ... by the unit or the district. This legislation is also in compliance with a Supreme Court decision of the Village of Pawnee versus I would like, for legislative intent also to Johnsonpoint out it should be noted that this legislation would... would not affect any matter coming under present guidelines of the Audit Commission in reference to college restricted funds or any bonding agreement. I would ask for its It came out of the Committee unanimously and support. would appreciate a green vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

Senate Bill 2038. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, *Shall 2038 pass?* All those in favor vote *aye*, all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, you will notice that there are several Bills on the Order Concurrence on your Calendar. There are... They start on page 16 on the Calendar. If there are Members who wish nonconcur on Bills on this Order of Business, please come up and give the Clerk your Bill number and we will Business so that you can get on with your that Order of Conference Committees that would be necessary. So, if vou have Bills starting on page 16 on the Order of Concurrence that you wish to nonconcur in. come in... come up to the Clerk's Office... well and give that number to the Clerk. The next Bill is Senate Bill 1917, Representative Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1917, a Bill for an Act in relation to the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo."

DeLeo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Senate
Bill 1917 is a Bill that changes the existing law. Now
currently, when the Senate President and the House Speaker
calls for a Special Session, the Secretary of State has to
notify the Members. This is adding providing that when the
Governor calls a Special Session."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1917. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall Senate

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

Bill 1917 pass?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those annosed vate *no*. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'ave', 1 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, declared passed. Senate Bill 2185, Representative hereby Representative Phelps, would you go to the back and get Representative Homer? For the moment, we will pass to Senate Bill 2210. Representative Cullerton, are you Representative Cullerton, are you handling handling this? Senate Bill 2210. Senate Bill 2185. Clerk, read the Bill."

"Senate Bill 2185, a Bill for an Act Clerk O'Brien: tο revise Acts and resolve multiple Amendments or additions various to correct technical errors and other patent errors or omissions. to revise cross-references and t o delete obsolete and duplicative provisions. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 2185 is simply a law revisory Bill — technical changes that have been recommended by the Legislative Reference Bureau. The Bill has been submitted to the... two Executive Committees in the respective Houses. I would simply ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 2185. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2185 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

voting 'aye, none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'.

This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2210. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2210, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike. Representative

Daniels."

Daniels: "Are we ready to go on the Bill?"

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed, Sir."

Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 2210 is
the agreed Bill on the Illinois Unemployment Insurance Act
and represents the results of the negotiations between
business and labor. Basically, what it involves is a one
year extension of the agreement, and I recommend it highly
because I think it's a true effort on behalf management and
labor in order to enact this legislation. I would seek
your favorable support."

Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Speaker Senate Bill 2210. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2210 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are one hundred and... Excuse me. Representative Young. do vou seek recognition? On this question there are 114 voting 'ave'. none... Representative Keane, do you seek Turn off Representative recognition? Keane's light. Representative Brookins, for what reason do you rise?**

Brookins: "...Voting 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Record Representative Brookins as voting 'aye'.

There are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'.

June 20, 1986

Representative Giorgi, for what reason do you rise?"

- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker. I would just like to put in the record that in discussing the Unemployment Insurance Act with the director of the Unemployment Insurance Act. I was very much disappointed in the decision of those people that has to do with the strike in Rockford. Sunstrand Corporation which has 5,000 employees has chosen to lock out 1,000 employees other 4,000 employees are doing the work of the 1.000 employees that are locked out. And this Department not suggested any improvements in administering the Unemployment Insurance Act. I'm very much disappointed in them, and they are doing nothing about some of their hearing officers and their referess that seem to have hardening of the ears, so that a lot of our people that are laid off have no sympathy, no recourse from the Department of Employment Security. And I've asked the director to do about the lockout problem because, again, there something are 5.000 people employed by Sunstrand. The company to lock out 1,000 of them and 4,000 are in the plant working, and I think this is a travesty of justice people that are employed in Illinois, people that employ employment insurance and I am very much disappointed. And I shall register my disappointment by voting 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw, one minute to explain your vote."
- Shaw: "No, I'd just like... Madam Speaker, I'd just like to change my vote to 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Shaw from "aye" to "no".

 Have all voted who wish now? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 voting "aye", 2 voting "no", and none voting "present". And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of

June 20, 1986

Concurrences, appearing on page 16 on your Calendar, we will recognize those Bills where Members wish to nonconcur.

The first Bill, and I believe the only Bill, is House Bill 3162, Representative Giorgi. State your Motion, Sir.

- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, I choose to non... I move to nonconcur to House Bill 3162, which is... which an Amendment was placed on in error in the Senate, and I'd like to take it off by parliamentary procedure, rather than going to a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in House Bill 3162. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Just a question. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

- McCracken: "What was the effect of the Senate Amendment, was it to delete any of the Amendments adopted in the House?"
- Giorgi: "Yes, it was to delete the heart of the Bill, 3162, which
 I introduced in the House. And the Sponsor of the Bill was
 erroneously told by one of our leading lobbyists, that I
 had agreed to accept the removal of the Bill that pertains
 to the Rockford's needs. That was in error, and we're
 trying to correct the error by noncon... asking them to
 nonconcur that Amendment."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative

 Hallock."
- Hallock: "Have you cleared this with Representative Parcells?"
- Glorgi: "I spoke to the freshman on the way to the farm this morning."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House nonconcur in House Bill 3162?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in House Bill 3162.

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to go to page 10 on your Calendar, Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate 3ill 332. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1320, Representative Ewing. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1570, Representative Regan. Representative Slater.

Slater: "Can you go back to 1320, Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes. Senate Bill 1320."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1320, a Bill for an Act that creates
the General Not-for-Profit Corporation Act. Second Reading
of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative McCracken."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I move the adoption of Senate Amendment #2. It

provides that any not-for-profit corporation director or

officer receiving annual compensation greater than \$100.000

must file the same ethic statement as is currently required

for, as an example, Members of the General Assembly."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1320. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 deletes a provision of the Bill

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

that would have permitted the board of directors of a not-for-profit corporation to set their own compensation, irrespective of their personal interest. The effect of this Amendment is to delete that Section of the Bill. I think it's an improvement on the Bill. I move for its adoption."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1320. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representatives

 Levin and Parke."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin or Parke. Representative
 Levin."
- Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 simply puts back language Into the new Not-for-Profit Corporation Act, which we added last year to the old Not-for-Profit Corporation Act, which just didnot show up in the data base."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1320. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative

 Levin."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

I continue, I made a mistake. I should have asked to have journalized, I filed a letter with the Clerk, indicating that I am of counsel to a law firm that represents condominiums and community associations and would like to have that journalized in connection with both Amendments 4 and 5."

Speaker Breslin: "That will be done."

- Levin: "Thank you. Amendment #5 simply clarifies the relationship of an ambiguity between the not... new Not-for-Profit Corporation Act and the Condominium Act as far as it applies to condominiums."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1320. On that Amendment, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6. offered by Representatives

 Greiman and Levin."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. This... Amendment variation of a Bill that I offered. It essentially opens up co-op apartment buildings board of directors meetings, in the same way that condominium boards are open. There was objection to the Bill, and the Bill... the Amendment it now to a larger residential cooperatives in the City of Chicago only and contains... that's buildings containing over 24 apartments. I can see no rational reason to make a distinction between boards of directors of condominium associations and boards οf directors of cooperative corpora... residential cooperatives. There are essentially the same kind of dynamics in those buildings.

June 20, 1986

The issue is whether you should have this for small buildings outside Chicago. This limits it only to those larger buildings in Chicago. I think it's fair and I would ask for its adoption."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1320. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative

 Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The purpose of Amendment #7 is to clarify that the filing fees that are now, in effect... that are paid to the recorder of deeds throughout the state will continue and that the not-for-profit corporation will continue to pay to the office of recorder of the appropriate filing fees. I move for the adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1320. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, filed by Representative Levin."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #8 simply deletes a reference to a Section that does not exist. It was suggested by technical

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

staff."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1320. And on that question, are there any... is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Senate... shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate 8111 1570, Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the Representative Regan. record. Senate Bill 1624, Representative Keane and Curran. Keane anđ Curran. Currie Ladies and this may be the last time you Gentlemen. have ลก opportunity to move your Bills from Second to Third, so please be in your chair. Senate Bill 1620 (sic - 1624), Representative Keane. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1634, Representative Phelps and Homer. Representative Keane, we'll go back to your Bill. Senate Bill 1624. Clerk. read the Bill. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1624, a Bill for an Act to... relating
to procurement by State Government. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment 31 was adopted previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative

Keane and Friedrich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Floor Amendment... or
Amendment #2 clears up Amendment #1, makes some additions
that we agreed to in a meeting a week ago Tuesday with

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

those who... we had a meeting that... to allow people who were interested in the Purchasing Act to come forward and make suggestions and request for a change, and this embodies them.

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1624. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3. offered by Representative Keane
 and Friedrich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #3. Are there any further
Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

- Breslin: "Third Speaker Reading. Senate Bi 11 1634, Representative Phelps - Homer - Kubik - O'Connell or Cullerton. Do you wish to call that Bill? Out of the record. Senate Bi 11 1659. Representative Steczo-Representative Steczo, you want to call this Bill? Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate 3ill 1659, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by Representative Hoffman."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman. Is Representative Hoffman in the chamber? Representative Steczo, for what reason do you rise? Representative Steczo."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is the state aid formula
 Bill that we... we generally try to throw into a Conference
 Committee, and Representative Hoffman has discussed his
 Amendment with me. It's a very, very minor technical
 change, and I would move for its adoption. Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Is there any objection to Representative Steczo moving Representative Hoffman's Amendment? Representative Didrickson, do you object? Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "I don't... Is... is the objection... I don't
 object that he handles the Amendment."

 question on the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. The Gentleman has leave. The
 Amendment... the Amendment has been presented by
 Representative Steczo. Do you wish to speak further on the
 Amendment?"

Steczo: "No, Madam Speaker, but I will handle questions."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson, for a question."

Didrickson: "What does this Amendment do, Terry?"

Steczo: "Representative Didrickson, it's a... it just changes, I believe, the number six to the number six and a half or something there abouts. I don't have it in front of me at the present time. The purpose would be to allow this Bill at the proper time to be put into Conference Committee for the purposes of discussing any potential changes to the state aid formula."

Didrickson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment 21 to Senate
Bill 1659 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all
those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the
"ayes" have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any
further Amendment? Are any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Anthony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young. Representative Steczo."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Speaking to Representative
Young yesterday, he had indicated his intention to withdraw
this in the following Amendment. So at the appropriate
time. I would move to table those Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman not being present and with the Sponsor's permission, Representative Steczo has moved to table Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Anthony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young. Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. It was also Representative

Young's intention to... to withdraw this Amendment, so I

would move to table."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo moves to table Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1659. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. On page 13 on your Calendar appears Senate Bill 1838, Representative Countryman.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1838, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to limited partnerships and corporations.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. thank you. Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is a very long one and very involved. It deals with the rewrite of the limited So, as a result, lawyers put the Bill Partnership Act. together. Representatives of the Secretary Office and Members of the House and Democratic staff have Bill and we have come up looked over the with Amendment which makes some changes in the 3111. 1+ requires the limited partnerships to state that it partnership without any abbreviations so that people know that, indeed, it is a limited partnership. requires documents to be maintained in an office either in Illinois or at the limited partnership's principal place of The way the Bill was drafted, the documents business. could be held anywhere in the world. It places a penalty on using an assumed name. Right now there is no penalty at It repeals the indemnification provisions that were in the Bill which we felt were too broad. It requires more information to be placed in the certificate. It does It changes current law in that the names of the limited partners do not have to be disclosed, but we are requiring that the general purposes of limited partnership included, the total capital contributions of the be filed and the terms of withdrawal of partners. We permit the certificate to be filed at the Secretary of State's Office in Chicago, as well as in Springfield or any other place that the Secretary of State designates they can In the situation where a limited partner assigns he filed. his interest, we offer a little more protection for the one who receives that assignment on being informed as to the

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

nature of the limited partnership. It makes standard for limited partners to obtain information from a general partner easier."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Cullerton.

Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. We don't have it, and I just wonder if we could take it out for a short time so our staff could look at it and then come right back to it."

Cullerton: "Oh, sure."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record."

McCracken: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "On page 14 on the Calendar appears Senate Bill 1845, Representative Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1852, out of the record. Senate Bill 1991, Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1991, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment \$5 lost. Next Amendment is Floor

Amendment #6, being offered by Representative Mulcahey."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mulcahey, on Amendment 46."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

Amendment #6 is an Amendment which we are going to consider right now. It... This Amendment to... is very much similar to the one I offered yesterday, which was Amendment #5, but it did make... it did make a couple minor changes as the way it originated in the original Bill. And it puts a one week limitation on the eligibility of athletes participating in sports or any student who participates in a competitive interscholastic activity at the high school

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

level of a one week... a one week period if, indeed, that particular student is not passing one of the required courses, courses required for graduation. It is than the original Bill was, inasmuch that it's very similar with that ruling that the IHSA has in place at the current So, I would ask... Many people have referred time. the... as the no pass/no play legislation. prefer to call it the pass/play legislation. positive about it. And certainly if. indeed. those students are not up to snuff on their sub iects that graduation. if they're failing on a course, for limitation one week regarding their eligibility. And I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 1991.**

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1991. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, I would urge the House to do the same with Amendment #6 that the House did vesterday Amendment #5 and that the House did twice before with Bills dealing with no pass/no play on the House the Elementary and Secondary Committee did twice with no pass/no play on the House floor. The House has made it quite obvious that legislation providing for no pass/no play is not on our agenda for this year. I would recommend 1991, to the House that I would like to keep Senate Bill with the provisions that have been currently been adopted. without Amendment #6. And I think we have shown a of times this Session that ... that we do not wish to have this considered, and I would hope we would follow suit with Amendment #6."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mulcahey, for what reason do you rise?"

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Mulcahey: "Madam Speaker, no, I'm not going to take it out of the record. Madam Speaker, I do not want a voice vote on this.

I do want an electronic Roll Call on this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "That's fine. You could have requested that in your close without interrupting debate."

Mulcahey: "Well, I just..."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Would the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "Surely."

Didrickson: "Amendment #6 does what? It makes a technical change? Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "I beg your pardon?"

Didrickson: "What is the effect of Amendment #6?".

Mulcahey: "The effect of Amendment #6 is exactly the Bill as it
was in its original form with one exception. We took out
the two week provision and we put the one week provision
in, as is now... as the IHSA has been using all along."

Didrickson: "That one week provision is what the IHSA is already using. But, with regards to a strong academic statement, with regards to what academic excellence statement we are trying to make in the State of Illinois, my point on this is that what you are doing really is allowing them to suit up and play every day of the week, right, in practice? But what they really can't do is compete for a two week period, correct?"

Mulcahey: "No, no, that two week period is gone. It so... the two week period now is a one week period. And during that one week period, as the IHSA testified in our Committee over and over again, they feel that during that one week, if the student indeed has his grades up during the course of the week, they are once again eligible, if that F goes to a D. So, we changed that two week, took out that two week

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

provision, put in a one week provision which would satisfy it."

- Didrickson: "Which really does water it down even more so, doesn't it, for a strong academic statement..."
- Mulcahev: "Well. it won't... no. no. no. no.
- Didrickson: "... if that's your point. If that's your point, to send a strong message."
- Mulcahey: "Right. But the core subjects are still there. the whole key. That's the point of this, is that they have in all those subjects required for passing graduation. The IHSA doesn't mandate that. They don*t passing a course prior to graduation. to be Under this Bill they do and under this Amendment they do. But we took out the two week provision and put in a one week provision which should satisfy the IHSA."

Didrickson: "To the Bill, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Didrickson: "This Bill, I believe, when it was first introduced in the Senate, even had a stronger academic statement with regards to participation and got amended down so that it could pass out of the Senate to a two week neriod. it's being amended down to a one week period with regards to passing that... those four core courses or those core But the point of the fact is that they can still go out and practice every day after school. And what we really ought to be doing is strengthening rather than weakening this if it's an academic standard and an academic message that we want to send. Because we all know. university professors have been down here and have already explained to many of us on education commissions committees, that the key to strong academic performance in sending a message is time on task. and I think that's exactly what we are not sending a message with this. We*re

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

still allowing them to suit up. I think it's a meaningless
Bill and Amendment and I do urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Madam Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the main question is put. Representative Mulcahev, to close."

"Well. Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I see Mulcahey: that the <u>Peoria Journal</u> this morning also editorialized against that. But, of course, they were lookouts at Pearl Harbor, too. And... The Bill as we know it now, which is in the Amendment form, is one which will gear in towards the subjects which are required for graduation. That's all we're trying to do. The way the situation exists currently is that if a student is taking four subjects in high school and he's out for athletics and, as I said yesterday, three of those subjects are button matching #1, bb stacking advanced handball 1 and 2 is the third subject and they're taking an English course, they can be getting As in the first three courses and flunking English and still eligible to play. This Amendment changes that. They now have to be passing math or that English course regardless what they re doing in the other three. That's what we're getting at. The two week provision after the first grading period has been moved. We put it back to one week. the IHSA currently recommends and is enforcing at the high school level throughout the state. So. don*t whv they should have any problem with that. But the point is, why should somebody sitting across the aisle have to pass that math course or that English course

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

student athlete does not have to pass it and still be able to play football? Eventually it's going to catch up. We all know that. But he does have to be passing the core curriculum. I would as... I would urge you to vote "yes" on this... on this Motion, the Amendment to Senate Bill 1991."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 to Senate
 Bill 1991 be adopted?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all
 those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative
 Brunsvold, one minute to explain your vote."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speakers... Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an example of a Bill It was first put on a House Bill going to the Senate by Representative Kirkland. It was defeated twice It had a discharge Motion which was in Committee. defeated, and this is the second time we've voted on this Amendment. It's the same Amendment that was presented It's the same Bill. I don't see why we should the procedures of doing this again and again and again. And I ask for your 'no' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert, one minute to explain vour vote."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think it's time that we talk common about this piece of legislation or this Amendment that the Sponsor is persistent in bringing back to this floor. common sense of this Amendment is his very argument for it is the best argument against it. It doesn't require... requires only core courses. If you look at curriculum. those people who are taking core courses usually are freshman and sophomores. Ву the time they get to be iuniors and seniors. they*re not taking core courses: they're taking other types of academic areas. And what '

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

we're saying in this Bill is, 'Fine, you have to Dass X . Y and Z when you're a freshman and sophomore. But by the time you're a senior, you don't have to pass anything.* We this responsibility back in local put That's where it is now with the IHSA and the districts principals* approval and this Amendment should Ьe defeated."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara, one minute to explain vour vote."
- McNamara: "Thank you. Madam Chairman. I think it's a very important concept to take a look at what this Bill does, is minimum standards into the law that says that if put vou do not pass vour subjects, vou do not plav. That seems to me to be the common sense of it. The arguments are that rules are already in force on it. That's the argument. supposedly, against it. Then, all of those people should definitely be for it. provides because this minimum standards, minimum standards of education. I think another important concept of this Bill is that when we take a look at the no pass/no play and that people will not be or they will still be able to suit up for practice, if they are going to practice and not be allowed then that seems to be an incentive for better competition. education. And I think we ought to take a look and provide better education for our children."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 38 voting 'aye', 65 voting 'no' and 5 voting 'present'. And the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2000,

Representative Greiman - McPike. Out of the record.

Senate Bill 2078, Representative McCracken. Out of the

131st Legislative Day

- June 20, 1986
- record. Senate Bill 2117, Representative Matijevich.
 Representative Matijevich.
- Matijevich: "Madam, there's still some late Amendments which haven't been analyzed, and I'd like to have... take it out of the record with leave to return today because this is going to be our last..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave. The Bill will be out of the record. Senate Bill 2157, Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2157, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment &1 and 2 were adopted previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2255

Representative Richmond - Phelps. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2255, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to rural economic development."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed or Amendments filed?"

- Clerk Leone: "Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2
 were adopted previously. There are no Motions filed. Next
 Amendment is Floor Amendment #3, being offered by
 Representative Ronan."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan? Is that correct, Mr.

 Clerk? Representative Ronan?"

Clerk Leone: "Ronan - Piel - DeLeo - Farley - Kubik - et al."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 2255 is a very important

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

I hope everyone pays attention. What we've got concept. a good idea in order to do something about problems as it affects the State of Illinois, but we're using the wrong method. What this Amendment does is it's going to replace the dollars that would be taken the... the Road Fund and maintaining that balance. out of As we're all aware, the Road Fund is one of the important concepts and institutions we have in this state. By maintaining a high balance in the Road Fund, us to increase federal dollars back to the State of I feel that the goals of this legislation are Illinois. at the same time, they're using the wrong laudable: but. mechanism and that I move to adopt this Amendment so we can keep the Road Fund intact and do what we have to do to maintain a decent road system in the State of Illinois. We originally withdrew these kinds of funds back in 1983 when I passed the motor fuel tax increase at that point. feel that it's worked since 1983, and I want to make sure we maintain the integrity of the Illinois Road Fund. move for the adoption of this Amendment."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 2255. And on that question, the Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Richmond."
- Richmond: "Thank you, Mr... Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I certainly can understand the arguments by the last speaker concerning his intent in that Amendment. I*d like to point out what the intent of the Senate Sponsors were in regard to this particular portion the Bill. Section 67 of Senate Bill 2255 establishes a new distribution formula for the sales tax portion of the fue1 tax. Under this distribution, 30 percent goes to municipalities, 20 percent to the state, 33 percent counties and 17 percent to road districts. The fiscal

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

impact of this change to the state is 9.3 million dollars FY *87. for a half a year, and 28 to 32 million dollars thereafter. This change will not result in the loss of any the IDOT federal matching funds, according to both HSDOT. This change will not have a notable effect on the state's road program. It would result in a redistribution 128 million out of 3.3 billion over five years or a 3.8 percent reduction. This change should be viewed of what it can do for local government. Local governments have been hit extremely hard by reductions in federal state funding. Their budgets have been stretched and stretched almost to the snapping point, while taxes have been raised and raised simply to allow local governments to meet their day-to-day obligations. And this modest change will result in all the Illinois counties receiving 15.8 million additional dollars funding for roads, nine million for cities and municipalities and 6.2 million for road districts on an annual basis. The City of Chicago will receive nearly two million additional funding, two million dollars additional funding annually. Cook County and its townships will collectively receive over six million dollars annually. All other municipalities in Cook and other than Chicago will receive 1.3 million dollars. The five collar counties, along with its municipalities and road districts will receive an additional four million All other downstate counties will receive nearly 18 million dollars annually. We do have a detailed report of what this would do to your individual counties, if you'd like to see it. I am opposed to the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, on the Amendment."

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House. I rise in support of this Amendment. Now, some of

you who come from districts similar to mine, rural

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

districts particularly, may have had numerous conversations the winter over the course ٥f and spring with local where they might have related to you government people, such stories as that they are getting less money for purposes than they did prior to the 1983 gas tax fuel increase. I had that experience with people telling Soone of the things, when I came that. back to Springfield, that I did was I got a printout to find just how much truth there was in that. I can tell you that every single local governmental unit in my Legislative District gets at least 29 percent more money for motor fuel tax purposes today than they did prior to the 1983 increases - every single one at least 29 percent more. Most of them are up in the mid thirties. So, there 15 unfairness in regard to the money flowing to the locals. certainly no reduction in the money flowing to the locals. There's a substantial and a hefty increase. What this Amendment does is to preserve the current balance road funding system. It preserves the integrity of the It makes sure that there Road Fund. sufficient revenues to support an ambitious road repair and construction program in Illinois. If you deprive the state of the revenues that the Bill would deprive the state of. you are not going to have a meaningful road construction program in Illinois. You're not going to be able to match federal funds. You're not going to be able to build not going to be able to replace Route 121. You're not going to be able to deal with the Central Illinois Expressway and the numerous other major road construction programs around the state. Bevond that. and probably far more important, you're going to find, in state the little two lane roads that predominate for us roads. downstate and, for that matter, in much of the suburbs,

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

you're not going to find an ability to fill those pot holes, to overlay with some asphalt, to widen where you to widen. And up in the suburbs, you're not going to find an ability to be able to put up stop lights. to be able to create left turn lanes, to try to create some sense of ease and convenience in moving around the suburban areas The Gentleman's Amendment is absolutely of this state. crucial to keeping and retaining a decent state road construction and repair program. Make no mistake about it. You are not going to have a decent road construction program if the Gentleman's Amendment is not adopted. failure to adopt this Amendment absolutely condemns you to having to come back in here and talk about raising the gas tax. Now, I don't know how many people want to do that. don't particularly think that's a good idea this year. There's no need for it. if we adopt the Gentleman's if vou don*t Amendment. But adopt the Gentleman's Amendment, then you're going to have to be talking because; otherwise, you're not going to have a road program in Illinois. And to take it a step further, what you going to say to the teamsters and the laborers and the road construction people back in your district who have depended on this thing for their livelihoods, as well the citizens who don't like to tear up their cars on pot holed highways? I support the Gentleman's Amendment. It's a crucial Amendment to preserve a good state road program. And the alternative is unnecessary. I would an 'aye' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to, first of all, address, if he will, to the Sponsor a couple questions."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Mautino: "Representative Ronan, the formula that's provided in the Bill as presented, without your Amendment, provides for funds for downstate rural roads. Is that correct?"

Ronan: "That's correct."

Mautino: "And that formula is nine million this year and possibly

31 million next year. Is that correct?"

Ronan: "The figures that we've gotten would show... okay. Yeah.

I can live with those figures. Right. Nine million this

vear and about 28 million next year."

Mautino: "And the funds for that formula are provided by the sales tax and not the motor fuel tax. Is that correct?"

Ronan: "Correct."

Mautino: "And we raised the motor fuel tax the last two years.

Is that correct?"

Ronan: "Yeah, it's gone up because of the 1983 tax that I passed."

Mautino: "To the Bill, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative..."

Mautino: "To the Amendment. I'm sorry."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Mautino: "I hope, Ladies and Gentlemen, everyone just understood the provisions of that formula based upon the sales tax for downstate roads, not the motor fuel tax that was presented by the previous speaker. If, in fact, you've got a program before you that provides for a good formula for downstate, when. in fact, the local governments received no funding. no increased funding under the last two raises; therefore, I think it's time that an Amendment like this be defeated. What you're doing if you support downstaters on both sides of this aisle, if you support the adoption of this Amendment, you're eliminating nine million dollars 31 million dollars next year, with a cap of about 50 in the oncoming years from the sales tax at the point where 131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

that gas is sold. If you want to be fair to downstate areas, because most of the mass transportation funds go to the urban areas, the only way that you can get your piece of the pie..."

- Speaker Breslin: "Turn on Representative Ew... Representative Mautino's microphone, please."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. The only time that you will have to provide for a formula that's beneficial to your downstate roads is by maintaining what's in the rural revival Bill and defeating this Amendment. This certainly should send up a red flag to all of those in downstate who are need of repair and maintenance and defeat this Amendment which will be detrimental if it gets on the Bill. And it has nothing whatsoever to do, as it provides for the previous speaker's comments, on the matching funds. The dollars will be there, based upon the motor fuel tax that was enacted and additional tax provided. Therefore, I recommend defeat of this Amendment so that we can have sufficient transportation needs in downstate Illinois."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, were you finished?"
- Mautino: "Yes, in opposition to the Amendment, I was finished, yes."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."
- Ewing: "Madam Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor of the Amendment would yield?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."
- Ewing: "I have received some literature on this, Representative Ronan, and a question maybe you could clear up for me did we redesign the distribution formula a year ago or two years ago when we increased the gas tax and the sales tax? Was there a change there that was detrimental to locals?"

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

1983, we passed a motor fuel tax increase here in the State of Illinois that I was Chief Sponsor of. The goal of that tax was increased money into the State Road Fund. That was the purpose of the tax. The tax has gone up. The money in the Road Fund gone up. That's why we've got a pretty decent road program here in the State of Illinois. That was the purpose of that tax. This would take away dollars that went into that tax, so that we didn't change any formulas. When we all voted for the tax increase in 1983, and we had pretty good support here in the House and in the Senate, it was very clear what we were doing. This is trying to change the rules three years later and it's a bad concept."

- Ewing: "But, I guess my question I'm trying to clear up my memory when we increased the gas tax and we increased the sales tax, did we, on gasoline, at that time, the new tax money was distributed under a little different formula than the old gas tax money. Is that correct?"
- Ronan: "Correct, because what was very obvious in 1983, and the situation hasn't changed, that we need money into the Road Fund. That's the reason I passed the gas tax in 1983, because we needed that... those dollars. We still need those dollars. So that, you're absolutely correct in what you're saying."
- Ewing: "When we passed that tax, though, we set up the distributing... the distribution formula and the locals got a share of it, not as big a share as they were getting of the old tax."

Ronan: "That's correct."

Ewing: "But that... that's the Bill we voted on, wasn't it, and it had that formula in there?"

Ronan: "Correct."

Ewing: "I also get information saying that they can take 30

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

million dollars out of our Road Fund and that that won*t affect any of our projects. Have you talked to the Department about that?"

Ronan: "Representative Ewing. I don't ask absurd questions. There's so much money in the Road Fund. When you take money away from the Road Fund, how are you not going to not affect existing projects, but any. future It's a common-sense approach. There's only so many dollars in that Fund. When you take dollars away from that Fund, dollars are not available for today's projects, nor next year's projects. DOT, as everyone who understands process in this General Assembly knows, operates on a five year plan. This five year plan will lose 133 dollars if my Amendment is not adopted. That's 133 million dollars in projects all over the State of Illinois. This isn't a Chicago versus downstate versus any issue. This is an issue that we all need road projects in our district. need them for my streets. You need them for vour roads. If you take 133 million dollars out of that Road Fund, your projects aren*t going to be done when you need them, neither are mine. That's why I feel it's absurd to change I have empathy for the local road people. be more than happy to work with them to increase taxes for the local road people. This is not the method to do it, though. If they want an increase in local taxes, then should come into the General Assembly and increase them for But this is not the way to do it. We can't take money out of that existing pie. It's only so big. This is a bad mechanism."

Ewing: "Thank you, Representative, for a very clear explanation."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps, on the Amendment."

Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to the Amendment. On the

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

basis of the inception of this idea, the rural economic Senator Poshard and myself and several other revival. colleagues really tried to design a way and got with a lot officials. such as the DOT people. They acknowledged that there would be no matching funds jeopardized, and they could not definitely say that there would be any jeopardizing of those federal funds that 50 important to us. And at the same time, we thought this would be a way to propose where the counties would be getting their fair share of the pie before it gets buried in the GRF as before 1983. to what Representative Vinson has indicated with contrarv his printout, all of my counties in my district will from 40,000 to 120,000 more per year in the Road Fund if this stays in. Now, the DOT... the State Road Fund is realizing the third highest surplus in the state funds the history of this state. I think this is a time that we can try to redistribute in a more equitable manner those rural roads back in shape to where they can take care of themselves in a much more independent manner. passed out of the Senate 54 to 1. bν onlv... Republicans voting against it. And for those particular think it should stay in and I rise reasons. T î n opposition."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question".

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, "Shall the main question be put?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the main question is put. Representative Ronan, to close."

Ronan: "I understand it. Thanks, Madam Speaker. I understand

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

exactly and I respect the... my colleagues who have spoken against my Amendment. They're excellent individuals, great Legislators who have an agenda that they want to pursue and I support their concepts tremendously. except here. they're wrong. This Bill should have never even come t o the floor of the Illinois House of Representatives. transportation matter. It's a road Bill. And where was it sent to the Agricultural Committee. guarantee you if this Bill had gone to the Transportation Committee, it would have gotten a fair and thorough hearing it would still be sitting in the Transportation Committee. However, somehow, through the magic the Illinois General Assembly. this Bil1 went the to Agricultural Committee. Luckily. for us. we ve chance now to review it. We've had a great dialogue. feel that my colleagues who have spoken against mv Amendment have a very legitimate concern. I agree with their concerns. I'll be glad to work with them to increase the share to the locals by raising taxes. Let's do it you want to give money to locals, let's raise taxes to do it. I love to raise taxes. That's the reason we've aot the money sitting here, is because I sponsored that Bill in 1983. I'll join with anybody wants to raise taxes for the locals, but let's not take away money that we've earmarked and that we need. remember where those roads are built. We built state roads district. Where do you think we build them, in in vour Indiana, Mars? No, we build them in southern Illinois. Representative Phelps, are you willing to give up all your state roads? If you are, then you can have some money the counties. Just give me that state road money and I'll Avenue, Fullerton Avenue, Irving Park put it on Elston Road. But, no, you want state roads and you also want 131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

local roads. If you want it, join with me. Let's increase taxes for local roads, but don't strip the Road Fund. Bad idea. Bad concept. I urge at least 95 votes on this good concept. Let's send this Bill back to the Senate in the right form, which means not stripping the Road Fund. The Road Fund Is where we protect our state roads. Let's join together to make sure we have that protection. I urge an "aye" vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #3 to Senate
Bill 2255 be adopted?" All those in favor vote "aye", all
those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. Have all voted
who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take
the record. On this question there are 88 voting "aye", 24
voting "no" and none voting "present", and the Amendment is
adopted. Are there... Are there any further Amendments?"
Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino."

Mautino: "Madam Speaker, on Amendment #4, I had committed to Senator D*Arco to withhold that Amendment until that conference that's meeting now on this subject matter is completed from the Governor's Office. ..If my Cosponsor wants to go ahead with that Bill, I*d be happy... that Amendment, I*d be happy to remove my name from the Amendment, because I did give the commitment to Senator D*Arco. If he wanted to go with it, that's up to him."

Speaker Breslin: "And who is the other person on the Amendment?"

Mautino: "Representative Hoffman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "I think the most reasonable thing to do is if the Sponsor of the Bill would be willing to hold... hold the Bill until the conference is concluded, then we can either move forward or... or not move forward on the Amendment so

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

that we are more certain than we are right now, you know, where we are on this particular... particular issue.

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond, is that acceptable with you, to hold the Bill on Second? Very good. The Bill will be held on Second."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 2292,

Representative Parke. Clerk, read the Bill. Clerk, read
the Bill. 2292."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2292, a Bill for an Act to require the registration of habitual child sex offenders and in relationship to confidentiality of information concerning minor victims of sex offenses. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Next Floor Amendment is Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Parke."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parke."

Parke: #Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 2292 makes several to the Habitual Child Sex Offender Act which were asked for and we agreed to make in Committee. It redefines a habitual child sex offender to include only those persons who have had a second felony conviction after July 1, 1986 for certain felony sex offenses. The first conviction could have been any number of years ago or could have an out-of-state conviction. The duty to register only applies after second convictions in Illinois after July 1986. Amendment further clarifies the date The of termination of the duty to register bν requiring registration for ten years from the date of conviction if

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

not confined or ten years from the date of release if confined. I ask for adoption of this Amendment.

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 2292. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Representative, on page two of your Amendment, line six, the... if I could direct your attention to that sentence, it says, "any habitual child sex offender, as defined by this Article, who is released on probation or discharged upon payment of a fine because of the commission," and then it just stops. It doesn't... it doesn't seem to complete the sentence."

Parke: "I'm sorry. What is your question?"

Cullerton: "Well, what does it mean? It says, *because of the commission, and then it just hangs there like... like in the air. like it's the middle of the..."

Parke: "Well, of course, it goes back to the original Bill at that point and continues on."

Cullerton: "I don't think so because it's got quotation marks

after lt so as to indicate that that's the end of the

sentence, unless I'm misunderstanding that page."

Parke: "What page in the Act is that, Representative Cullerton?"

Cullerton: "You can look on page two of the original Bill down on the bottom of line 34."

Parke: "Okay. If you look at line 34 of the Bill, you'll see
that it says 'commission' and deletes that point and then
continues on, 'or attempts to commit one of the offenses
determined... defined in the Amendment'."

Cullerton: "Right. So, the purpose of this was to take out the term "suspended sentence", is that correct?"

Parke: "That is correct."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Cullerton: "Now, let me ask you this, though. Since in another part of the Bill you are limiting this to felonies..."

Parke: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "... wouldn't this whole Section here contemplate a misdemeanor, someone being fined by a misdemeanor?"

Parke: "I don't believe it does. That's one of the reasons why
we had an Amendment to redefine what was the sexual
offenses."

Okay, now you're defining in this Cullerton: "Let me ask... Section the definition of *habitual child sex offender* and you're saying, 'any habitual child sex offender as by this Article who is released on probation or discharged upon payment of a fine because of the commission. Now, no felon can be discharged upon payment of a fine because of the commission or attempt to commit one of the offenses defined because... Now. this may have made sense when you had misdemeanants in there. But by removing misdemeanants from... in another part of the Amendment, this language would become, you might say, superfluous or meaningless or Would you agree? I just want unclear or surplusage. I want to make clear that this does not apply to misdemeanants."

Parke: "Well, I will tell you our intent, this is only to apply
to the defined felony convictions and that there's no...
we've alleviated any thought of a misdemeanor being
involved here."

Cullerton: "Okay. So... so, you're talking, then, about a habitual child sex offender who is released on probation or... or released from..."

Parke: "Or discharged."

Cullerton: "... or discharged from jail for the commission of a felony offense. Is that correct?"

Parke: "Yes, it is."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Cullerton: "Okav. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 33 to House (sic — Senate) Bill 2292 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate 8ill 2293,

Representative Regan. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2293, a Bill for an Act in relationship
to sexual offenses against children and family members.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Represent... Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Regan."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I'd like to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw #2. Are there any further
Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, being offered by Representative

Regan."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Regan."

Regan: "Floor Amendment #3 is a Committee request which makes it clearer for processors to report child pornography. It makes it a business offense if they fail to do so with a limit of 1000 dollars. And I move for its Amendment (sic — adoption)."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 2293. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, being offered by Representative

 Regan."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Regan."
- Regan: "Floor Amendment #4 is simply a cleanup of Amendment #3 by
 the changing of one word, "which" to "when". I move for
 its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 2293. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. "Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2294.

 Representative Pullen. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2294, a Bill for an Act in relationship to child abduction and child visitation violations. It's been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Amendments filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. We'll go back now to Senate
 Bill 1838, appearing on page 13 on your Calendar,
 Representative Countryman. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1838, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to limited partnerships and corporations.

 Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in

131st Legislative Day

Cullerton:

June 20 • 1986

Committee.

the House.

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Cullerton."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of

I was about two-thirds the way through in my

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

explanation of this Amendment. And if it's okav with the I'd like to take up where I left off. deals with the Limited Partnership Act. This Amendment which has been worked on by staff of both sides of the aisle and with the Secretary of State's Office and the lawyers who were on a Committee dealing with the rewrite of the Limited Partnership Act. To continue on with the changes. it requires foreign limited partnerships to disclose who their general partners are and where the information can be found on who are the limited partners. It authorizes the limited partner in a derivative suit to attorneys' fees and other reasonable expenses and. collect just like with the Not-for-Profit Corporation Act, It makes it clear that the filing fees that currently have to the recorder of deeds will remain in effect and paid to they will continue to have to pay the same filing fees. 1.11 bе happy to answer any questions, if I can. I would appreciate you to support the adoption of the Amendment. Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1838. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is. *Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?* All those in favor say *aye*, all those opposed say *no*. In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted.

131st Legislative Day June 20, 1986

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I believe that I filed the fiscal note. I would ask that that be withdrawn at this time."

Speaker Breslin: "He said it was already filed, already complied with."

Cullerton: "Oh, they responded to it?"

Speaker Breslin: "So, no problem. Yes."

Cullerton: "What does it say?"

Speaker Breslin: "So, the Bill... You'll have to come up and read it, Representative Cullerton. The Bill moves to Third Reading. Senate Bill 1706, Representative Hastert - Hawkinson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1706, a Bill for an Act in relationship to high impact businesses and various tax incentives related thereto. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 is being offered by

Representative Hawkinson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hawkinson."

I * 00 "Thank you, Madam Speaker. offering this Hawkinson: Amendment along with Representative McPike and Pangle. The Amendment essentially was House Bill 3078, which we passed here with a hundred and some votes, got tied up in the Senate Rules Committee. DCCA found this Bill and thought that this would be an appropriate Bill to attach What it does is 3078 and this Amendment 1 affects some civic centers, including the Galesburg Civic Center which had an agreement with OCCA well over a year ago for funding and the interest. DCCA found a couple of months ago that 131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

the law would need to be changed for the agreement so that the interest could be retained by the fulfilled Galesburg Civic Center. This Bill amends the Grant Recovery Act to allow them to retain that interest on the grant. There is also a provision civic center Manteno, Representative Pangle's district, and affects Galesburg and would amend the Grant Recovery Act to allow two communities, in their economic devalopment efforts, to keep the interest, and I would move for adoption of Amendment #1."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1706. And on that question, the Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. I understand this Amendment is by bipartisan
 agreement. I would move... I would accept that Amandment
 on this Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment \$1 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1848.

 Representative Steczo. Representative Steczo. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1858. Representative Flinn McMaster.

 Representative McMaster or Flinn. do you want this Bill called? You might not get another chance. Out... Okay.

 Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1858, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Labor Relations Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted previously."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Amendments filed?"

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment \$2. offered by Representative Flinn."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flinn is not in the chamber.

 Representative McMaster, can you present that Amendment?"
- McMaster: "Yes, Madam Speaker. The Amendment #2 is technical in nature. Deletes provisions of a violation by a hearing officer's professional standards set by the State Board of Education in its rules as grounds for removing that hearing officer from the list of qualified hearing officers."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1858. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cullerton: "It's a technical Amendment, and I have a technical question."

McMaster: "I hope I can answer it. It is really flinn's

Amendment, and I'm just trying to help him out."

Cullerton: "You think he needs help?"

McMaster: "I don't know. You tell me."

Cullerton: "Well now, who prepared the Amendment? Looks like the Republican Staff prepared it."

McMaster: "This is a JCAR Bill, and I'm assuming the Amendment was prepared by JCAR."

Cullerton: "Okay."

McMaster: "Not by Republican Staff, or I doubt by Democrat Staff.

This is a JCAR Bill."

Cullerton: "Well, I... okay. It's just that it's kind of unusual in that they don't refer to any page and line number. They refer to House Amendment #1. They delete... They delete..."

McMaster: "I agree it's odd, John."

Cullerton: "By deleting from Section 2 the following. So, you're

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

eliminating some words in the... You know who wants this?"

McMaster: "Joint Committee on Administrative Rules."

Cullerton: "Anybody else?"

McMaster: "Amendment #1 was a JCAR Amendment also, John."

Cullerton: "I understand that there's some groups that are opposed to the Bill. I'm just wondering if this satisfies their objection."

McMaster: "I don't know who is opposed. I assume that will occur when we have this Bill on Third Reading."

Cullerton: "Right. Okay, fine. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Yes, if I may clarify, the Bill was knocked off the Consent Calendar because the... the IFT had a problem with this clause and asked to have the four words removed that the Amendment removes. You know, with this Amendment, they no longer have any objection. CTU. Dave Peterson. That their concern was that they did not want to give this agency authority to set standards beyond what was in the law currently. So, by deleting those four words, it was clear that they couldn't set independent standards."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #2 to Senate
Bill 1858 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all
those opposed say "no". In the opinion of the Chalr, the
"ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there
any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2074.

Representative White. Excuse me. Representative Levin.

for what reason do you rise?"

Levin: "Madam Speaker, as one of the Sponsors of Senate Bill 1858 which was on the Consent Calendar and was knocked off so that this Amendment could be put on, and with this Amendment, the objection of the CTU has been removed, I

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

would ask leave for this Bill to go back onto the Consent Calendar.

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has requested that Senate Bill 1858 return to the Order of the Consent Calendar. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Bill Will go back onto the Order of the Consent Calendar. Senate Bill 2074, Representative White. Do you want to call this Bill? Out of the record. Representative Braun. Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, appropriation matters. Representative Braun in the Chair."
- Speaker Braun: "On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1602, Representative Keane.

 Representative Keane. Is the Gentleman in the chamber?

 For what reason does Representative Leverenz seek recognition?"

Leverenz: "I'm Cosponsor of that."

Speaker Braun: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1602, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations for higher education. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, being offered by Representative

Leverenz."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, on Amendment 1."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Amendment would make a transfer between the minority educational achievement grant line and the Illinois Consortium for Educational Opportunity Act grant line. No dollar amount change. Simply a transfer, and I'd move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment

1. On that, is there any discussion? There being none,

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

the question is, "Shall Amendment 1 be adopted?" All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1606, Representative
Richmond. Representative Richmond. Is the Gentleman in

the chamber? Yes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1606, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1607, Representative Hatijevich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1607, a Bill for an Act making approp... certain appropriations to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representatives

Johnson and Matijevich."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Matijevich, on Amendment 2."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #2 would allow the state to capture 750,000
dollars from the Federal Government for the Carl B. Perkins
Scholarship Program. These scholarships are for
outstanding high school students who wish to pursue a
career in either elementary or secondary school teaching.
The awards are available for high school students who

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

graduate in the top ten percent of their high school class.

The Amendment would also appropriate 75,000 dollars of state GRF for administration of the program. I would urge and appreciate the adoption of the Amendment.

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1607. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is. 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1609, Representative

Satterthwaite. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1609, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1611, Representative

Keane. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1611, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Community College Board and the Board of Trustees of the State Community College of East St. Louis for Fiscal Year 1987. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Brookins."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Brookins. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Brookins on Amendment 2.

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Representative Keane.*

Keane: "Take the Bill out of the record."

Speaker Braun: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1737.

Representative Hastert. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1737, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department on Aging. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 and 3 through 7 were adopted previously."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative

Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. On this Bill, there's a Motion... there's some Amendments that were put on. Representative Bowman is not here. However, I understand that we have agreement to table a Motion and then put some Amendments on and bring that Bill back with the agreement we could bring it back to Second Reading Monday when Representative Bowman is here. With that understanding, I would move to... Amendment #6 is faulty, and I would like to move to table Amendment #6."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #6.

And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment 6 be tabled?" All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment is tabled.

Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "I would yield to Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

Leverenz: "On which? Amendment 7?"

Speaker Braun: "Amendment 6 was just tabled by Motion of Representative Hastert."

131st Legislative Day June 20, 1986

Leverenz: "Right, right. What I..."

Speaker Braun: "He has represented that..."

Leverenz: "My intention is to allow Amendment 7 stay on and
Representative Hastert has agreed to move the 3ill to Third
and bring it back once we straighten out the formulas
problems."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Hastert."

- Hastert: "Could we temporarily take this Bill out just for a minute? We need to check base on a couple things."
- Speaker Braun: "Yes. Take the Bill out of the record. Senate
 Bill 1747, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the
 Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1747, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Agriculture. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendments #1, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

- Clerk Leone: "No Motions.... Motion to table. Motion to table

 Amendment #8 filed by Representative Goforth."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Perry.

 Representative Gorforth, on a Motion to table Amendment 8."
- Goforth: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. I would... with permission of the House, I'd like to table #8 and offer Amendment #10 in its place. Amendment 8 just had a technical error.

 It's agreed by your side of the House."
- Speaker Braun: "You are withdrawing your Motion to table?"
- Goforth: "No, I wish to table Amendment... table \$8."
- Speaker Braun: "Withdraw your Motion to table Amendment 0. Is
 that correct. Sir? The Gentleman has moved to table
 Amendment #8. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from
 Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I concur with that. There was a drafting error and Amendment 10 is correct. And we'll support that."

June 20. 1986

- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment 8.

 All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment is tabled.

 Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment 39, offered by Representative Hawkinson."

 Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Knox,

 Representative Hawkinson, on Amendment 9."
- Madam Speaker. Hawkinson: "Thank you, Amendment 49 would. appropriate for the Department's budget three and a half million dollars for the Department of Agriculture diagnostic lab to be located on the former mental health center grounds in Galesburg. We had this similar appropriation last year which passed both the House and the Senate and was not signed by the Governor. At that time some temporary money for refurbishing and correcting of the problems with the existing building was put in. The roofs are still having problems. That was a temporary solution at best, and the Department of Agriculture has offered this Amendment. It would have been offered in Committee but staff didnot get it delivered in time, and I would offer Amendment #9."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 9 to Senate Bill 1747. On that, is there any discussion?

 The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "On the the Amendment, to the Sponsor, do you have an idea of how much we're paying to lease now?"
- Hawkinson: "I'm sorry. Would you repeat?"
- Leverenz: "How much are... how much are we paying annually to lease the existing site that has been used for years?"
- Hawkinson: "I don't think there is a lease being paid."
- Leverenz: "Okay. What we're trying to establish and what we have asked information for is the following: You're asking for

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

three and a half million dollars for a new lab and we're not really against that yet until we can find out what you're paying currently to do the same thing."

Hawkinson: "Well, the Department's lab was on state owned property, was part... were part of the old buildings on the mental health center grounds. The building sits right in the middle of many old buildings on the ground. Last year, I think it was some 300,000 dollars that was appropriated so that that unit could have a separate heating/cooling system and do some other repair on the buildings. But I don't believe any lease money is being saved for use of the existing building, nor would there be any payment, as I understand it, for the ground that has been deeded to the City of Galesburg. There would be no land costs, as I understand it."

Leverenz: "We just got the facts. We're not paying anything now."

Hawkinson: "Right."

Leverenz: "And we spent 300,000 to refurbish the existing facility and you're asking for three and a half million dollars to build a new one."

Hawkinson: "Right. The 300,000, when we discussed this last year, the Department..."

Leverenz: "So, maybe the Department can simply give us a memorandum stating the 300,000 dollars for refurbishing was to take care of maybe two or three years for that building and then we can make a decision whether it's a good Amendment or bad at that point. But right now we'll say okay."

Hawkinson: "We'd be happy to... We'd be happy to furnish that."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? There being none,

the Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #9.

All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion

- June 20. 1986
- of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative Goforth."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Perry.

 Representative Goforth, Amendment 10."
- Goforth: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #10 just corrects

 a technical error in Amendment #8."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 10. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 10 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1749, Representative

 Hastert. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1749, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments £1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'8rlen: "Floor Amendment... Floor Amendment #7...

 Amendment #7 failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #8,

 offered by Representative Bowman and Hastert."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert, on Amendment 8."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. Amendment #8 is an appropriation from DCFS Federal
 Projects Fund to the Department Care Program for the

131st Legislative Day

- June 20. 1986
- expansion of school age day programs. It's a federal pass through and it's a total increase of \$200,100, and they are federal dollars and would ask for the approval of that Amendment."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill... We're going to go back and pick up Senate Bill 1737, which was pulled out of the record... taken out of the record. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1737, this Bill has been read a second time previously. The next Amendment was Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative Bowman."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
 Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "As a Cosponsor of the Amendment, we'll withdraw the
- Speaker Braun: "The Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amandment &9, offered by Representative

 Bowman and Leverenz."

Speaker Braun: "Yes, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Withdraw Amendment 9."

Speaker Braun: "Amendment 9 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Leverenz: "And... And 10."

Speaker Braun: "Amendment 10 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative

Hastert."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Hastert."

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to withdraw Amendment #11."

Speaker Braun: "Amendment 11 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, offered by Representative Bowman and Leverenz."

Speaker Braun: "Amendment 12, Representative Leverenz, on Amendment 12."

Leverenz: "Yes, withdraw."

Speaker Braun: "Withdrawn."

Leverenz: "And 13."

Speaker Braun: "And 13 withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Leverenze"

Leverenz: "At this time, I now move to table Amendment 7, which is mine."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment \$7.

All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment is tabled. Representative Hastert. Third Reading. We're going to go back to Senate Bill 1611. Representative Keane. Representative Keane. Out of the record with that Bill. Senate Bill 1750. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1750, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Employment Security. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Gentleman from Adams, Representative Hays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. It is my

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

understanding that Committee Amendment #2, which prompted some discussions with the Department over a certain item... concern raised in Committee, those discussions are ongoing and may bear fruition. Would it be the Sponsor's intent to bring this back once those discussions are completed to reconsider Amendment #2 at some point? The Sponsor of Committee Amendment #2, Mr. Leverenz."

Speaker Braun: "Yes, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "What... What is your question?"

Mays: "Well, there was considerable discussion on the telecommunications line item."

Leverenz: "That's right. We cut it in half. When we get the answers... the intent is to move the Bill to Third Reading..."

Mays: "And then move it back?"

Leverenz: "... and when we get the answers, then we'll move it back and draw up the Amendment."

Mays: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Braun: *Is there further discussion? Third Reading.

'Senate Bill 1752. Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1752, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Energy and Natural Resources. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments 21, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #... #9 was withdrawn in Committee. Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative Hoffman."

Speaker Braun: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman, on

June 20. 1986

Amendment 10.º

- Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Madam Chairman. Amendment 310 provides \$75,000 in PBF funds for District 300 in Dundee.

 This is... This Amendment provides for the application under the institutional category and I would move for the adoption of Amendment 310."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment

 10. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from

 Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "This Amendment would distribute some Exxon money, is that correct?"

Hoffman: "Correct."

Leverenz: "But the posture, I think, of earlier Amendments has
taken all the Exxon money and put it into the Department of
Commerce and Community Affairs for weatherization and the
insulation program, I think. Can..."

Speaker Braun: "Is there further dis..."

- Leverenz: "No, the question then is, can this money be used with the intent that you have set forth in the Amendment?"
- Hoffman: "Well, the fact of the matter is that a larger amount than the total resources have been appropriated, so that, you know, some of it has gone to Public.Aid, some to DCCA and, of course, this is a very modest amount for a heating and ventilating system for District 300."
- Leverenz: "Well then, I would recommend to the Sponsor that you might withdraw the Amendment because I don't think it fits with the guidelines, but further, we haven't had the word come down from on high or have you that the Governor has said, 'This is how the Exxon money should be spent.'"
- Hoffman: "That... Those meetings are ongoing, as we both know, and I would like to, you know, adopt this Amendment so that at whatever point in time the final shoe drops on this, quite possibly, this could be included in the

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

consideration."

- Leverenz: "Well, what you are trying to do then, is it... would it be my correct assumption that you are trying to delegate up to the Governor instead of having the Governor tell all of us how the money should be spent because in his release last Friday, he has not really come out with a plan, but it includes payments of \$115,000,000 total and 68,000,000 for weatherization, 7,000,000 for small business and 40 for the shortfall. Now, this is not fitting with what the Governor wants. Why would you go and oppose the Governor's plan?"
- Hoffman: "Well, it is my understanding that one of the foremost

 Members on your side put a formula into DCCA's budget and

 itage"
- Leverenz: "I'm glad you brought that up. Continue."
- Hoffman: "And it... you know, so that... that should not... you know, preclude me from this modest... this modest Amendment for a district which actually isn't even in my district. It's good government. It's good for schools."
- Leverenz: "But wouldn't you not agree that that program which has been set out by the Speaker and this side of the aisle that all of the money should go for weatherization at least fits within the federal guidelines. Isn't that true?"
- Hoffman: "Well, this... this would also, you know, in terms of efficiency, related to the mean... the same kind of causes that we try to do with weatherization would fit in the overall parameter as well."
- Leverenz: "No, I disagree, but I just thought it would save you a

 lot of embarrassment between you and the Governor if you
 would withdraw the Amendment."

Hoffman: "Doesn't embarrass me a bit."

Leverenz: "To the Amendment. The Gentleman wishes to embarrass

Speaker Braun: "Is there

June 20, 1986

The

Chair

himself with the Governor on an Amendment that in the first place does not fit into the federal guidelines for the use of the money. He does not wish us to help him save that embarrassment with the Governor, and as always. the Governor now is dragging his feet in terms of what he really wants to do, but the Democratic side of the aisle, with our Leadership, has said that the money will go for weatherization in low income, which fits with the So. I would encourage your response to this Amendment with an overwhelming loud *no*.**

recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin.*

Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe the last speaker is absolutely correct. The issue dealt with in Amendment £10 is a very, very substantial issue involving in excess of \$140,000,000. I think many of the proposals that the Governor appears to be making may make some sense, but this is not an Amendment that's going to implement that or implement anything which is going to be consistent with what the Federal Government says we should be going towards. We're going to have lots of time in the next week to come in with something that is

further

discussion?

Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman, to close."

consistent and is legal; and, as a result, I concur with the call of the last speaker to defeat Amendment #10.

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Notwith... Notwithstanding the objections raised by the two previous speakers, this is a very modest request and I suppose the smaller the amount of the request, the more controversy it's likely to... to generate. I probably should have asked for \$3,000,000 and

June 20, 1986

it probably wouldn't have been noticed. But trying to, you know, to meet the needs in a modest and restrained way, I have asked for \$75,000 and it does fall within the federal guidelines in terms of the... one of the five sections was the institutional section. And it's on the basis of that, as well as the need, that exists in District 300 to provide this facility or to provide the funds for the renovation of this facility that I introduced the Amendment. And for that reason, I would ask for a favorable vote on Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 1752."

- "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment Speaker Braun: Bill 1752. All those in favor say "aye", #10 to Senate opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "nays" have it. Could you hear me? Alright. The Gentleman has the adoption of Amendment 10 to Senate Bill 1752. moved All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion the 'nays' have it. The Amendment fails. the Chair. Further Amendments?**
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative
- Speaker Braun: "Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee,

 Representative Pangle."
- Pangle: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #11 to 1752 is for the sum of \$100,000 to study the sediment infiltration that's filling in the Kankakee River, causing permanent damage to the river itself and also to the water supply for the City of Kankakee and actually three surrounding areas.

 I'll be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment
 11. On that, is there any discussion? There being none,
 the question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All in
 favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the
 Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

June 20, 1986

Further Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative

 Phelps and Rea."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Saline,

 Representative Phelps."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment 12 actually appropriates \$200,000 into House. the Office of Coal Marketing that we created with House Bill 2073. which cleared the Senate and is now on the Governor's desk. This Office of Coal Marketing within Department of Energy and Natural Resources will cooperate with the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs marketing and promoting coal at home and internationally. In order to do this effectively to create the staff that we need and to meet the expenses of marketing coal that we need, there are a lot of miners that are out of work. *Brusher* Creek Mine in my own home county shut down. laying off 100 and some employees and miners there that need to go back to work. This is the type of thing that we can do to ensure that we are marketing coal abroad I move to adopt Amendment 12."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 12 to Senate Bill 1752. On that, is there any discussion?

 There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 12 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative
 Barnes."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #13 appropriates \$800,000 Coal

- June 20, 1986
- Development Fund to the Department for the installation of pollution control equipment at the incinerator plant at the U of I in Champaign."
- Speaker Braun: "I understand Representative Keane is on his way
 to the floor."
- Barnes: "What does that have to do with my Amendment?"
- Speaker Braun: "I'm sorry. Is there any discussion? Lady has moved... the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Could she simply explain the Amendment again, briefly?"
- Barnes: "Yes, Representative Leverenz. Floor Amendment #13
 appropriates \$800,000 Coal Development Fund to the
 Department for the installation of pollution control
 equipment at the incinerator plant at the U of I in
 Champaign."
- Leverenz: "They... Would that be used for the University of

 Illinois garbage, the burning of their garbage over there?"
- Barnes: "I really am not aware of what they are going to burn."
- Leverenz: "Will this tie into another Capital Development Board appropriation for 2.1 million for a building to house the incinerator?"
- Barnes: "Not to my knowledge."
- Leverenz: "Is there a signoff from the BOB or 'Governor' Reilly on this?"
- Barnes: "I received this from the Department."
- Leverenz: "Okay, thank you. We'll agree."
- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea."
- Rea: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Braun: "She indicates she will."
- Rea: "The monies that would be used for this purpose, are there

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

monies originally appropriated for the use of desulfurization of coal or new coal burning technologies?"

Barnes: "It... This is from the same fund, Representative."

Rea: "Would... Would this be doing the... the purpose for which the funds were originally set up for?"

Barnes: "Yes, Representative, it's for the coal scrubber."

- Speaker Braun: "Is there further discussion? Representative Rea, is there further discussion? There being none, the Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment 13. All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, offered by Representative
 Barnes."
- Speaker Braun: "Lady from Cook."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #14 restores \$999,200 General Revenue Fund to the Department's research lines, which are funded totally by GRF. It deletes the \$990,200 (sic) Public Utility Fund added by the Senate for the same purposes. I would ask for a 'do adopt'."
- Speaker Braun: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment 14.

 On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 14 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, offered by Representative
 Richmond."
- Speaker Braun: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jackson.

 Representative Richmond, on Amendment #14. Repres..."
- Richmond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. There is a problem with the next three Amendments, 15, 16 and 17, and I was going to

- June 20, 1986
- ask the Sponsor if it might be possible to bring it back from Third, if we could get these put in shape?"
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "I would be very happy to accommodate you, Representative
 Richmond."
- Richmond: "Thank you very much. Then, Madam Speaker, I would table 15, 16 and 17... withdraw, I'm sorry."
- Speaker Braun: "Amendments 15, 16 and 17 are withdrawn. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18, offered by Representative
 Richmond."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Richmond."
- Richmond: "Amendment #18 is a technical one that corrects E and

 R's appropriation Bill to allow funding for the Rural

 Energy Conservation Act, which is part of the rural revival

 Bill #... Senate Bill 2255. This makes the appropriation

 language consistent with the changes in Senate Bill 2255.

 So, therefore, it is necessary and I would ask for your

 approval."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Floor Amendment 18. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 18 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1753, Representative

 Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1753, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Environmental Protection Agency. Amendments #1 through 26 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #27, offered by Representative
 Rea."
- Speaker 3raun: "Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea, on
 Amendment 27. Representative Rea, is the Gentleman in the
 chamber? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook,
 Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "As a Cosponsor of the Amendment 27, it would appropriate \$400,000 in General Revenue funds for a grant to the City of Christopher for a water storage system for economic development. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Braun: "Gentleman has moved the adoption... Amendment 27.

 On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 27 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #28, offered by Representative Rea and Leverenz."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Giglio, in the Chair."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment 28. Mr. Clerk, are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #28, offered by Representative

 Rea and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "They did turn me on. Thank you. Amendment 28 would appropriate \$1,700,000 General Revenue for a grant to the City of Herrin for a sewer system. I'd move for the adoption of Amendment #28."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #28 to Senate Bill 1753. Any discussion? All those in

- June 20, 1986
- favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #29, offered by Representative

 Rea and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative... Amendment 29, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Amendment #29 would appropriate \$380,000 for a grant to the City of Ziegler for a sewer system. Move for the adoption of Amendment #29."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #29 to Senate Bill 1753. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and Amendment #29 is adopted.

 Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #30, offered by Representative

 Rea and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #30. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #30 would appropriate \$150,000 for a grant to the West City for a sewer and water system extensions. I'd move for the adoption of Amendment #30."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 1753. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #30 is adopted to Senate Bill 1753. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #31, offered by Representative
 Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #31."
- Leverenz: "Like to withdraw 31."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #31.

 Leave is granted. Amendment #31 is withdrawn. Any further

June 20. 1986

Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #32, offered by Representative

 Parke Barnes and Wojcik."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #32, Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 32 asks for a sum of \$3,000,000 for the Village of Bloomingdale to upgrade a sewage treatment plant."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #32 to Senate Bill 1753. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have and Amendment #32 is adopted.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #33, offered by Representative Slater."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Slater, on Amendment #33, to Senate Bill 1753."
- Slater: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #33 appropriates a sum of \$25,200 to the City of Bardolph for a water repair system. Move its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #33 to 1753. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #33 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #34, offered by Representative

 Tuerk and Hawkinson."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #34 to Senate Bill 1753.

 Representative Tuerk."
- Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #34
 appropriates \$728,000 to the EPA as a grant to the City of
 Peoria to construct Charter Oaks interceptor sewer project,
 which is already on stream to some extent in a
 reappropriation through EPA. I would move for the adoption

June 20. 1986

of this additional sum."

- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #34 to Senate Bill 1753. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #34 is adopted.

 Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #35, offered by Representative

 Vinson."
- Speaker Giglio: *Representative Vinson, on Amendment #35 to Senate Bill 1753.**
- you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Vinson: "Thank Amendment 35 asks for \$350,000 for Riverton for... to complete their sanitary sewer system. Riverton has a particularly unique problem in that most the sewers in Riverton were constructed during the life and the history of the Works Progress Administration. The sewers were not constructed to the normal standards that the WPA put in. They were very thin-walled sewers and many are collapsing now. Riverton has enjoyed a grant from the state for the purpose of replacing these grant has periodically been cut back during the various stages. And I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #35. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #35 to Senate Bill 1753 has been adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1754, on page 12 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1754, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

the Department of Insurance. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee.**

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1754."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 2 would reduce the original request in General Revenue funds by 355,600 and would reduce the IPA Fund by 35,000 and add 295,000 from the Insurance Regulatory Fund and would restore 273,600 in funds to the appropriation from cuts realized in the Senate Amendments. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1754. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1755, Representative

Barnes. Ar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1755, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Labor. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1756, Representative

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1756, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Nuclear Safety. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative

Currie."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Currie, on Amendment #5 to Senate
Bill 1756."

Currie: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of Amendment 5 would appropriate \$500.000 or as much of that as is necessary to the Department of Nuclear Safety to organize statewide workshops on the dangers of radon gas. A Better Government Association Channel 5 investigation of gas perils in the State of Illinois suggests that radon this State Government is not doing all it might, could should do to protect the health of our people, particularly in suburban Chicago communities, communities like Elmhurst, Arlington Heights. The BGA Channel 5 investigation found high levels of that deadly gas, radon, in individual homes. This Amendment, Amendment 5 to Senate Bill 1756, is a specific suggestion, a recommendation from the Better Government Association to see to it that our Department Safety is responding to this problem. I would be happy to answer your questions and I urge adoption of Amendment 5 to Senate Bill 1756."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady... The Lady asks for the adoption of
Amendment #5 to 1756. And on that question, the Gentleman
from Adams, Representative Mays."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Mays: "Can you explain how you arrived at the \$500,000 figure in your Amendment?"

Currie: "We worked on this Amendment with people who are at the Better Government Association. the BGA. Thev were particularly impressed. as they described their investigation to us in House Energy and Environment Committee with work that's being done in the Bonneville that operates in the State of T believe Washington. They have had great success with their programs. The figures for that program are, in fact. higher than those that we decided we would go with in this Amendment. So, we were looking at experience in other states where state agencies and officials have taken the health consequences of radon quite seriously. We reduced the amount that's being spent in Bonneville, but we think need to begin some major initiative in this area and that's the purpose of the Amendment."

Mays: "That was a very adequate explanation. I certainly appreciate elucidating the position and I don't know how we can oppose something like this."

Currie: "Right."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie, to close. Lady asks leave that Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1756 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #5 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1758. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1758, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of State Police. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$5, offered by Representative

Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1758."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment &5 would restore \$148,000 for the telecommunications in the Division of State Police for the radios and also makes a technical change. I would move for the adoption of Amendment &5."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #5 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative

Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #6."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment &6 would provide \$452,200 for the annualization of the gang crimes activities unit. It was an initiative in 1986, FY *86 year, to \$90,000 for the airplane for the state troopers; \$455,300 to maintain and upgrade the LEADS system used in the state; \$142,000 to maintain the State Police ability to gather information and conduct investigations. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #6

- June 20, 1986
- to Senate Bill 1758. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and Amendment #6 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1759. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1759, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Veterans' Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hastert, you are seeking recognition?"
- Hastert: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to take this Bill out of the record."
- Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk.

 Senate Bill 1760. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1760, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Barnes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment Ø1 to Senate Bill 1760."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #1 totally increases \$386,379 from the Bank and Trust Company Fund. Restores \$54,900 from personal services for a lump sum vacation pay, which is required by statute, but was cut by the Senate. Adds \$331,479 in personal services and related items and support

- June 20. 1986
- costs for ten additional positions for the regulation of interstate banking. I would recommend 'do adopt'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks for the adoption of Amendment #1 to

 Senate Bill 1760. All those in favor signify by saying

 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair,

 the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 32, offered by Representative

 Barnes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #2 to Senate 3ill 1760."
- Barnes: "I would like to withdraw Floor Amendment #2, Mr.

 Speaker."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady asks to withdraw Amendment #2.

 Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
 Barnes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #3."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #3 adds a new line for \$15,000 from the Bank and Trust Company Fund for expenses related to the research of Illinois bank failures. Results of the study can be used both for the Commissioner's current regulatory operations and for possible future examination of the need for a state alternative to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. I would recommend 'do adopt'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1760. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1761. Mr. Clerk,

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brie: "Senate Bill 1761, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Bureau of the Budget of the Executive Office of Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1762. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1762, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wyvetter Younge. The Amendment is not printed. This Amendment is not printed. Representative."

Younge: "Could it be taken out of the record until it gets distributed?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, the Lady asks leave to take the Bill out of the record until the Amendment is printed. Would you oblige that request? Representative Wyvetter Younge? Yes, take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk."

Younge: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Senate Bill 1763, appropriation of the Capital Development Board. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1763, a Bill for an Act making

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 through 16 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #17 lost in Committee. Floor Amendment
#18. offered by Representative Giorgi and Hallock."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hallock, on Amendment #17 to Senate Bill 1763."

Hallock: "I would move to withdraw #18, please."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves... 17 was withdrawn in the Committee. This is Amendment #18."

Hallock: "Move to withdraw."

Speaker Giglio: "We're on 18, Mr. Clerk. Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #18. Amendment #18 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19, offered by Representative
Rea."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea, on Amendment #19 to Senate Bill 1763."

Rea: "Okay, House... Amendment #19 provides 400,000 for a water storage tank. This will provide for economic development and also to take care of some of the health needs there in the community, but especially will provide the opportunity for expansion into an area for industry."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #19

to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in
favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In
the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment
#19 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20, offered by Representative
Rea."

131st Legislative Day

June 20 • 1986

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea, on Amendment #20."
- Rea: "House Amendment 20 provides 1.7 million to complete the sewer system in the City of Herrin. They had received some monies under Build Illinois and it*s... this would help complete that and they serve as an economic development hub for several communities in that area. I move for adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #20 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #20 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #21, offered by Representative

 Rea."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea, on Amendment #21 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Rea: "Amendment 21 provides 380,000 for the City of Zeigler and this would expand their lines to new industry and also further develop their system in the City of Zeigler. I move for adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #21. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #21 to Senate Bill 1763 is adopted.

 Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #22, offered by Representative
 Rea."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea, on Amendment \$22."
- Rea: "Amendment 22 provides \$150,000 for the City of West City,
 which would provide extension of lines for their... for a
 nursing home and for other industry that is locating there
 in an industrial development area. I move for adoption."

 Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment

- June 20. 1986
- #22 to Senate Bill 1763. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #23, offered by Representative
 Rea."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea, on Amendment #23."
- Rea: "Amendment 23 provides 250,000 for the renovation of an opera house at Sesser which is very historic within the Rend Lake area and fits into the total recreational complex at the Rend Lake area. I move for adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #23. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #24, offered by Representative
 Wyvetter Younge."
- Speaker Giglio: "Wyvetter Younge, on Amendment #24 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to withdraw that Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks to withdraw Amendment #23. Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendment? 24. 24 is withdrawn."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #25, offered by Representative
 Anthony Young."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Anthony Young. on Amendment #25 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #25 appropriates \$2,000,000 from the Capital Development Fund for the improvement of Legler Branch Library."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #25

 to Senate Bill 1763. All those in favor signify by saying

 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair,

- June 20, 1986
- the "ayes" have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #26, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder, on Amendment #26."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. #26 appropriates 1.8 million to

 John Wood Community College for construction of a joint
 facility, library expansion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #27, offered by Representative

 Hensel."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hensel, on Amendment #27 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #27 to Senate Bill 1763 reduces the appropriation for renovation of the laboratory building at the Joliet Crime Laboratory from 1,024,000 to 725,000, which is a reduction of 299,000. I ask for its adoption."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #28, offered by Representative

 Hasara and Curran."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #... Representative Hasara, on
 Amendment #28 to Senate Bill 1763."

- June 20, 1986
- Hasara: "Amendment 28 appropriates \$132,375 to the Capital

 Development Board for a grant to Sojourn Women's Center in

 Springfield for building renovation and expansion. I move

 for the adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady asks for the adoption of Amendment #28 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #29, offered by Representative
 Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #29 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. Amendment #29 would be \$1,400,000 for the rebuilding of Triton College. I'd move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment... Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #29 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #30, offered by Representative

 Curran and Hasara."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Curran, on Amendment #30."
- Curran: "Amendment #30 requests 1.3 million dollars for restoration of the Dana House in Springfield."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 1763. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #31, offered by Representative
 Levin."

- June 20, 1986
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Levin, on Amendment #31 to Senate
 Bill 1763."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #31 would appropriate \$1,000,000 to the Capital Development Board for the renovation of the Uptown Theater in Chicago. I would move the adoption of Amendment #31."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment
- Levin: "It would improve the Governor's property values. He lives around the corner."
- Speaker Giglio: "... to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Senate Amendment... or the Amendment #31 is adopted to Senate Bill 1763. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #32, offered by Representative

 Mulcahey."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mulcahey, on Amendment #32."
- Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

 Amendment #32 appropriates \$25,000 from the Capital

 Development Fund for the renovation of a school house in

 German Valley. I move for the adoption of Amendment #32."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #32

 to Senate Bill 1763. All those in favor signify by saying
 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair,

 the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #33, offered by Representative

 Bowman and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #33."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 33 would provide \$2,250,000 in Capital Development Funds for the

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

construction of a viaduct under the CTA tracks located at Clark Street between Maple and Benson in the City of Evanston. I'd move for the adoption of Amendment 33."

- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #33. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #34, offered by Representative

 Curran and Hasara."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Curran, on Amendment #35."

Curran: "Amendment #34, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "34."

- Curran: "Amendment #34 requests \$125,000 for lighting at Lincoln

 Land Community College."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #34
 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in
 favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the
 opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #34
 is adopted to Senate Bill 1763. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 035, offered by Representative

 Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #35 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 35 would be \$31,000,000 Capital Development Funds for the renovation of the Old State of Illinois Building at 160 North LaSalle. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #35. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #35 to Senate Bill 1763 has been adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #36, offered by Representative

June 20. 1986

- Mays and Pangle."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mays, on Amendment #36."
- Mays: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #36 transfers \$285,000 from Manteno, dollars that were earmarked for demolition to the Quincy Veterans." Home for repair and maintenance of various facilities. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the Amendment to adopt...

 Amendment #36 to Senate Bill 1763, and on that question,

 Representative Pangle, the Gentleman from Kankakee."
- Pangle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to vote in favor of this legislation. We have agreed at the Manteno Veterans' Home, in fact, that we should replace these funds over to the Quincy Veterans' Home."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #36 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment has been adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #37, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shaw, on Amendment #37 to Senate
 Bill 1763."
- Shaw: "Withdraw. Withdraw Amendment..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks to withdraw Amendment #37 to Senate Bill 1763. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #38, offered by Representative Pangle."
- Speaker Giglio: ™Representative Pangle, Amendment #38 to Senate
 Bill 1763.™
- Pangle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #38 requests \$110,000 for the demolition of the Watseka High School Gymnasium which was condemned by the state eight years ago with an asbestos problem and would appreciate the adoption."

- June 20, 1986
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #38 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #38 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #39, offered by Representative
 Anthony Young."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #39. Representative Young.

 Representative Wyvetter Younge on Amendment #39 to Senate

 Bill 1763."
- Younge: "Thank you very much. Amendment #39 appropriates \$135,000 for the purchase of a building in East St. Louis.

 I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady asks for the adoption of Amendment #39.

 And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying
 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair,
 the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment #39 is adopted.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #40, offered by Representative
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #40 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment would provide \$100,000 in Capital Development Funds for the renovation of the Rosemont Theater. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #40."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #40. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #41, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shaw, on Amendment #41.

- June 20. 1986
- Gentleman asks to withdraw Amendment #41. Amendment 41 has been withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #42, offered by Representative

 Shaw."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shaw, on Amendment #42."
- Shaw: "Yes, this appropriation appropriates \$3,000,000 for the construction of a sport facility at Chicago State
 University. I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #33

 (sic #42) to Senate Bill 1763. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #...

 42 has been adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #43, offered by Representative

 Barnes... Representative Barnes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #43."
- Barnes: "Amendment #43 to Senate Bill 1763 appropriates
 \$11.624.000 from the Capital Development Fund for planning
 dormitory construction and equipment for the proposed Math
 and Science Academy in Aurora."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady asks the adoption of Amendment \$43 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #44, offered by Representative

 Curran and Hasara."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Curran, on Amendment #44."
- Curran: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #44 requests 6.9 million dollars for the planning and construction of a State Police laboratory in Springfield."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #44. All those in favor signify by saying *aye*, those

- June 20, 1986
- opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and Amendment #44 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #45, offered by Representative

 Giorgi and Hallock."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hallock, on Amendment #45."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This

 Amendment appropriates approximately \$750,000 for various

 Rockford projects. I would urge that it be adopted."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #45. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #45 is adopted.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #46, offered by Representative

 Hasara and Curran."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative 46 on Senate Bill 1763.

 Representative Hasara."
- Hasara: "Amendment #46 is a transfer of \$400,000 in the Capital

 Development Fund to the Capital Development Board for
 rehabilitation and installation of fire security systems in
 horse barns at the State Fairgrounds. Move for the
 adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks for the adoption of Amendment #46 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #46 has been adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #47, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder, on Amendment #47 to Senate
 Bill 1763."
- Ryder: "Thank you. This appropriates \$800,000 for replacement of

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll now go to the Special Order of Business appearing on page four on your Calendar under Child Protection. The first Bill is Senate Bill 1491, Representative DeLeo. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1491, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to child abuse investigations. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo."

DeLeo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Senate 1491 amends the Abused and Nealected Act and several other Acts regarding Reporting reporting of abused and neglected children. Part one of Senate Bill 1491 expands the definition of a person responsible for the child's welfare per the Abused and Reporting Act to include health care Neglected Child professionals. educational personnel, recreational volunteers for support personnel in any supervisors and setting where children may be subject to abuse and neglect. Two. requires that the Children of Department of Services (sic - Department of Children and Family Services) cooperation school officials to distribute with in materials in school buildings listing DCFS statewide child abuse telephone number which is, in short, setting up a hotline for child abuse. Provision number three provides that DCFS, upon receipt of a suspected child abuse or neglected report, shall make an initial investigation determine whether a report is in good faith of indication potential child abuse or neglect. Paragraph at the conclusion of this investigation, DCF (sic provides - DCFS) determines the report to be in good faith, DCF (sic

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

 DCEST shall inform the appropriate supervisor or administrator of the alleged person's employment if there's an alleged person engaged in an activity resulting in frequent contact with children. It also authorizes the Department of R and E to refuse, issue, suspend or revoke a license if the following has been named as a perpetrator in abuse or neglected child report: doctors, nurses, optometrists, physical therapists, physicians, physicians* assistants, psychologists, podiatrists, social workers and athletic trainers. The term 'perpetrator' is Abused and Neglected Child Report Act as a person who. as a result of an investigation, has been determined hv DCFS to have caused child abuse or neglect. It requires superintendents to notify the State Board of Permits any teaching certificate issued by the State Board of Education or the Chicago Board of Examiners for an appropriate length upon time of to be suspended evidence of this certificate. Requires the State Superintendent of Education or the appropriate Regional Superintendent of Schools to serve notice to the receipt of evidence and serve written notice to the individual for opportunity for a hearing prior to the suspension of the certificate. Provides that when a teacher's certificate should have the right to appeal the been suspended. State Teachers Certification Board. And Amendment #1 o f adopted on the House floor... excuse me, in It provides that more due process for teachers, which was a controversial issue; that they would process. being notified and for a hearing prior to any suspension of their license. I ask for a favorable Roll Call on Senate Bill 1491."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1491. And on that question, the Gentleman from

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

McHenry, Representative Klemm.**

Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for questions?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

Klemm: "Representative DeLeo, I don't have a copy of the Bill in front of me, but there was one inquiry that... that some constituents had expressed to me and perhaps you could clarify it. In the preamble, I believe, of the Bill, it says that if an investigation is to begin, that an employer or the supervisor of the person to be investigated would be notified that such an investigation was going to take place. Do you find that in the Bill, in the very beginning?"

DeLeo: "In Section 8, it requires the State Superintendent of Education or the appropriate Superintendent of the School..."

Klemm: "No, it's more in the preamble, I think, of the 3ill."

Deleo: "It says it will serve notice to receipt of that... serve written notice to the individual of an opportunity for a hearing."

Klemm: "No, that's not the point I'm talking about. If you'd find the original Bill and it's in the preamble of it. think in page one or page two, its says an Act to do something. Do you see where I... Perhaps the staff will point it out. The concern that was expressed to me was that there's an area of interpretation in fact, a child were to maybe indicate that there might have been an abuse case, that the employer or person charged person worked for would be informed that such an investigation would take place. And the concern would be not necessarily for school employees or day care center employees, but for anybody. And it that to call up an employer that you work for - let's say

June 20, 1986

you work for United Airlines, or you work for Standard Oil, why it is necessary to call your employer to have that notification when, in fact, the investigation may prove baseless and, therefore, leaving on that person's record life with their employer, this rest of their stigma. And there was some. I felt. need for of what we're doing. clarification And perhaps now that it's been pointed out, you could answer that concern."

• DeLeo: "Thanks, Dick. I'm glad you went on for a little longer.

I would have got the total explanation. But you're right.

The preamble is a little vague, and if we go into a little bit more depth into the Bill it says, "The Department shall inform the appropriate supervisor or administrator of that employment... of that employment or activity that the unit has commenced a formal investigation." So, it does clarify what you're saying. It's not..."

Klemm: "Well, but it's an interpretation. Now, several people interpret one way - you interpret it another way. I don*t know what a different director will interpret it some other some other year from now. I'm only concerned and I ask that perhaps the Senate Sponsor be called this at this point to their attention so that we know what we're doing, because it didn't seem fair to me that I should. in corporation, my company, get a phone call from the director or from his staff saying that some employee of mine is being investigated because I'm going to look at that person perhaps a little differently; that are you a child or something - and it may not even affect their line of work because they're not in the child care. Sojust wanted to be sure we narrow that interpretation down to the areas that I certainly support and... what the intention of But it seemed like that preamble was so Bill is. all-encompassing that anybody who is under investigation,

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

you would have to call their employer and let them know that, even though that person was innocent, and it wasn't even affecting their children. And that's the only reason. I just wanted to call your attention to that.

DeLeo: "I will make sure that it's directed to Senator Rock."

Klemm: "Alright. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara, on the question."

McNamara: "On the question, just to sort of respond to the other one, to the Bill, is is that in that particular Section, it only applies that the enforcement agency will notify the employment person if the person who is alleged to have caused the abuse or the neglect is employed or otherwise engaged in an activity resulting in frequent contact with children and the alleged abuse and neglect are the course of such employment and activity. So, in that way, on page nine of the Bill, it sort of delineates as to in which cases the employment person will be notified."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp.

Representative Ropp, did you wish to speak on this Bill?"

Ropp: "Just to make a... ask the Sponsor a question, please, if I
may."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Ropp: "Representative, in this... in our analysis it states that if this Bill becomes law, it would provide substantial increase in costs of operating. Do you have any estimates as to what this might cost, and have you provided for that in any appropriation?"

Deleo: "Yes, I do. It's approximately 200,000 dollars."

Ropp: "I thought according to ours it's got something like a million dollars for that operation in some..."

DeLeo: "I have a note here from D... DCFS and to implicate (sic - implement) this program, the startup would be around 200,000 dollars."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Ropp: "Well, startup, but what... in a full year of operation, what are we talking about? I've got here a 1,353,000."

DeLeo: "My notes don't reflect any more than 200,000, Gordy.▼

Ropp: "Okay. One other question. I notice here there is a provision for the hotline process which, if there is a false report that is given, a penalty for that. Ooes this include, and I know of actual cases where there have been some young people who have called in stating that either one of their parents have sexually abused them, does... and it has been proven not to be the case — does this also provide that penalty for that child or, let's say, teenager, in this case?"

DeLeo: "Well, we're being very vague. You know, many of these complaints... Are you talking about an incident where a child reported his parent, or if it's an anonymous tip

Ropp: "Yes. It was the child using it as a threat to get something from their parents that their parents did not think they should have."

DeLeo: "So, if it's a ..."

Ropp: "This individual called in and accused their parent of sexually abusing them as a result of..."

DeLeo: "So, if It's a nonfounded complaint, you want us to fine the child?"

Ropp: "Not fine them."

DeLeo: "We take away their allowance?"

Ropp: "Well, I think there ought to be some kind of a penalty or something, because this certainly, in more cases than not, some youngsters are turning in their parents when it is not a valid, legitimate abuse case."

DeLeo: "Well, we have in the existing law in the State of Illinois for... it's... for falsifying a report."

Ropp: "Okay. So, the penalty also..."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

DeLeo: "That's in the existing Child Abuse Law now."

Ropp: "... applies... Okay, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a ..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brunsvold, proceed."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Brunsvold: "Representative, if a school child would, for some reason, accuse a teacher of some abuse and report it to a principal, would that principal, then, in effect, according to the language of the Bill, he would have to investigate it and... he couldn't file a false report, then. He wouldn't even know it was a false report, would he?"

DeLeo: "The purpose of the Bill is the teacher to file a direct report with DCFS."

Brunsvold: "The teacher files the report."

DeLeo: "Yes."

Brunsvold: "What if the student accused the teacher and went to the principal?"

DeLeo: "If the teacher... Well then, the same would hold for the principal to notify the Department... DCFS."

Brunsvold: "He would notify DCFS, but he should investigate that first, shouldn't he?"

DeLeo: "And they would... investigate that complaint and see if it's founded or unfounded."

Brunsvold: "Okay. And then there is a procedure, I think, in the back of the Bill that does call for a hearing process for teachers in case this..."

DeLeo: "Yes, it does."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo, to close."

June 20, 1986

DeLeo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. We've heard numerous testimony on this in a hearing. It passed the Senate. It's just... It's adding to the child abuse protection. I think it's a very important piece of legislation for this state. Anything that we can do to protect the school children, the young children, the underprivileged children in this state. I think this is a very, very good Bill, and I ask for a favorable Roll Call...

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1491 pass?" All those in favor vote "ave", all those opposed vote "no". This is final passage. Have all voted Voting is openwho wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'ave'. none voting *no* voting *present*. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are now going to the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading. Short Debate. The first one is Senate Bill 1673. Representative Kubik. Clerk. Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1673, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments 1,

2, 3, 6, 7 and 8 have been adopted previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #9, being offered by Representative

Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. I would ask leave to withdraw Amendment #9."

Speaker 3reslin: "Withdraw #9. Are there any further Amendments?"

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

- a school condemned by the state architect in Triopia."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #47

 to Senate Bill 1763. On that question, all those in favor

 signify by saying "aye", those opposed "nay". In the

 opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and the Amendment
 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #48, offered by Representative
 Barnes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #48 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment 48 appropriates
 \$1,300,000 General Revenue Fund to the Capital Development
 Board for the cost of removing asbestos from the Attorney
 General's Office Building. I would recommend 'do adopt'."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady asks for the adoption of Amendment #48

 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in
 favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the
 opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment
 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #49, offered by Representative

 Hartinez and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on... Representative Martinez, on Amendment #49. Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #49 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. The Amendment would provide \$3,000,000 in

 Capital Development funds for the planning and the

 construction preliminary work for the hospital in Cook

 County. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #49."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment \$49

 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in
 favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. In the
 opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment \$49
 has been adopted. Further Amendments?"

- June 20, 1986
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #50, offered by Representative
 Washington and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment ∉50 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Leverenz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #50 would be \$200,000 in General Revenue funds for a recreational center in the Robert Taylor Home complex. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #50 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #50 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #51, offered by Representative
 Barnes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #51 to Senate Bill 1763."
- Barnes: "I already adopted this Amendment previously, so I think it would be in order to table this Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks to table Amendment #51. Withdraw

 Amendment #51. The Amendment has been withdrawn. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #52, offered by Representative
 Wait."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wait, on Amendment #52."
- Wait: "Amendment #52 would appropriate \$85,000 to the Woodstock

 Armory for repairs and renovation."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #52

 to Senate Bill 1763. All those in favor say "aye", all

 opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have

 it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #53, offered by Representative
 Wyvetter Younge."

- June 20, 1986
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wyvetter Younge, on Amendment #53."
- Younge: "Yeah, please withdraw the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #53. The Amendment has been withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #54, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder, on Amendment #54."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have been informed by staff that a technical problem exists with the Section number and I would ask leave of the Assembly to amend it to read Section 18.12(b) and if I have leave and in the event that we can amend it on its face, then I would ask for adoption of this Amendment which would appropriate 3.1 million to the Scott County Community District for renovation of a high school building."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks that we amend the Bill on its face to change one... one letter on page... Section 4 on the Amendment, which read 18.12. Now, he wanted 18.12(b). Gentleman has leave. Leave is granted. The Amendment is adopted on its face. It's amended on... it's amended. It's amended on the face. Leave is granted. The Amendment is in proper form. Gentleman asks leave now to adopt the Amendment, Senate Bill 1763. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Floor Amendment #55, offered by Representative Hoffman."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative... Representative Leverenz.

 Representative Hoffman, on Amendment \$55."
- Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #55 appropriates \$500,000 GRF to

- June 20, 1986
- the Capital Development Board to the DuPage County Forest Preserve District for land acquisition.**
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #55, and on that question, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I now move to table Amendments 49 and... oh, I'm sorry. We didn't adopt them? Time out."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright. The Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #55 to Senate Bill 1763. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and Amendment #55 has been adopted. Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you seek recognition. Sir?"
- Leverenz: "Because of technical problems with the two Amendments.

 I want to table Amendment 49 and 50 and we'll replace them with 56 and 57. So, I now want to move to table 49 and Amendment 50."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks to table Amendments #49 and 50.

 Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. The

 Amendments are tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #56, offered by Representative

 Martinez and Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #56 to Senate Bill 1763.

 Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. Amendment 56 replaces 49, which we adopted, \$3,000,000 for the planning and architectural engineering preliminary work in the hospital in Cook County. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #56."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #56. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have and Amendment #56 adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #57, offered by Representative
 Washington and Leverenz."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #57."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. This is the replacement for a previous

 Amendment, \$200,000 in Capital Development funds for a

 recreational center at the Robert Taylor homes in Chicago.

 I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #57
 to Senate Bill 1763. All those in favor say "aye", those
 opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes"
 have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #58, offered by Representative
 Rea."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea, on Amendment #58."
- Rea: "Amendment 58 provides \$170,000 for waste disposal system for the Village of Royalton. I move for adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #58. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the... opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #59, offered by Representative

 Rea."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea, on Amendment #59."
- Rea: "Amendment 59 provides \$175,000 for the Williamson County

 Fair Association for renovation of the grandstand at the

 Williamson County Fairgrounds. I move for adoption."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #59. All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'.

 In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1764. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1764, a Bill for an Act making

- June 20. 1986
- appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1, offered by Representative Mautino."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any objections to table #... Representative

 Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move to table

 Amendment #1 that was adopted in Committee as it pertains

 to the Criminal Justice Information Authority budget on the

 travel provisions, \$4,000."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks to table Amendment #1 to Senate
 Bill 1764. And on that question... Hearing none, the
 Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments? All those in
 favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the
 opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment
 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment &3 lost in Committee. Floor Amendment #4. offered by Representative Barnes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1764."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment &4 is merely a technical change in language that the Comptroller requested. I would move 'do adopt'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks for the adoption of Amendment #4 to
 Senate Bill 1764. And on that question, all those in favor
 say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the
 Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.
 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative

June 20, 1986

Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1764. Does the... has the Amendment been distributed. Mr. Clerk?"

Leverenz: "Correct. I have it now."

Speaker Giglio: "The Amendment has been distributed.

Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, Amendment #5 would restore the \$7800 that was removed to put the vehicle back in the Criminal Justice Information Authority, eliminated by the Senate. I'd move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5

to Senate Bill 1764. And on that question, all those in
favor say "aye", opposed "no". In the opinion of the
Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment is adopted.
Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Alright, we are going to return back to page 11 and pick up a Bill that we went over, Senate Bill 1611. Representative Keane. Mr. Clerk, 1611. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1611, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Illinois Community College Board and the Board of Trustees of State Community College of East St. Louis for the Fiscal Year 1987. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Brookins and McGann."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Brookins, on Amendment #2."

Brookins: "Yes, Amendment #2 would provide \$250,000 to the

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Illinois colleges for the Probation Challenge Program, and I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #2

to Senate Bill 1611. Representative... On that question,

Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Hastert: "Representative Brookins, is this the same appropriation challenge that we have had here and we have defeated and we have had here and we have defeated several times?"

Brookins: "No, it is not. We have passed a appropriation Bill from this and sent it to the Senate, but it did not get out the Rules. So, this is not..."

Hastert: "What? Didn't what?"

Brookins: "It did not get out of the Senate Rules Committee."

Hastert: "So, we're taking a shot at it again, right?"

Brookins: "That is correct."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1611. And on that question, all those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representatives

Madigan - McGann and Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #3."

Leverenz: "Thank This would provide a \$2,000,000 vou. appropriation to the city colleges of Chicago for the for development of program high school dropout prevention. I move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #3

to Senate Bill 1611. And on that question, all those in
favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of
the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #3 is adopted.

June 20, 1986

Further Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
 Ropp."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ropp, on Amendment #4 to Senate
 Bill 1611. Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."
- Ropp: "This is \$150,000 for the similar kind of a probational program down in southwestern Illinois. We passed that out of the House too, and as a previous Amendment, was having trouble getting out of the Senate. So, we will attempt to provide that for a vocational program for those people who are involved in probation for the first time."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #4

 to Senate Bill 1611. And on that question, all those in
 favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of
 the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted.
 Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Alright, now we will return to page 12 of the Calendar and go back to Senate Bill 1762.

 Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 1762."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1762, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 32, offered by Representative
Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wyvetter Younge."

Younge: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I move to withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks to withdraw Amendment #2. Amendment

#2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- June 20, 1986
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Alright, Mr. Clerk, moving right along, Senate Bill 1765."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1765, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Court of Claims. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1765, the ordinary and contingent expense of the Court of Claims."
- Leverenz: "The Amendment would delete the \$12,000 that we put in the Bill. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1765. And on that question, all those in favor say "aye", those opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1766. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1766, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Wait."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wait, on Amendment #1."
- Wait: "Thank you. Floor Amendment #1 would reappropriate \$250,000 to the City of Harvard for a water well."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #1

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

to Senate Bill 1766. And on that question, all those in favor say "aye", those opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1766 been adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1770. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1770, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Pollution Control Board. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1771."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1771, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Prairie State 2000 Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1773."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1773, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Property Tax Appeal Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- June 20, 1986
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1774. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1774, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of Commissioner of Savings and Loans. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes, on Amendment #1."
- Rarnes: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1774 is a total House. increase of 233.600 dollars from General Revenue Fund. provides funding for 13 new examiner trainees to compensate for a decrease in the number of federal examiners in Each examiner contributes tο GRE Illinois. through examination fees charged to savings and loan associations; thus, this initiative will enable the Office of the Savings and Loan Commissioner to run a surplus in Fiscal Year I would recommend 'do adopt'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks for adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate
 Bill 1774. And on that question, all those in favor say
 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair,
 the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's then adopted. Are
 there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
 Barnes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #2."
- Barnes: "I wish to withdraw Amendment #2, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks to withdraw Amendment #2. Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

June 20, 1986

Rarnes.

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Barnes, on Amendment #3."

- Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #3 is a total increase of 16,200 dollars in GRF. Restores Senate cuts in personnel services and contractual services to provide full funding for two examiner trainee positions which are currently vacant. I recommend 'do adopt'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1774. And on that question, all those in favor say 'aye, those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 has been adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1775. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1775, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the State Employees" Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 1776, Senate Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1776, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the General Assembly Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 1777. Mr. Clerk, read the

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1777, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Judges' Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1778. Mr. Clerk.

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1778, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Local Government Law Enforcement Officers' Training Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments? Exuse me. Any Hotions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1808. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1808, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Office of State's Attorneys'

Appellate Prosecutor. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #2, offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz tables Amendment #2.

Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Amendment is in error, and I would move to table the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks to table Amendment #2 to Senate

- June 20, 1986
- Bill 1808. Amendment's been tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: *Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

 Leverenz.**
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #3."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. This corrects the errors that were drafted
 in Amendment #2. I move the... I move for the adoption of
 Amendment #3."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #3

 to Senate Bill 1808. All those in favor signify by saying
 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair,

 the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$4, offered by Representative

 Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #4. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment &4 would provide
 240,000 dollars for the State's Attorneys' Appellate
 Prosecutors' Office for conducting the training programs.

 I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #4

 to Senate Bill 1808. And on that question, all those in
 favor say "aye", those opposed "nay". In the opinion of
 the Chair, the "ayes" have it. Amendment #4 is adopted.
 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1822. Mr. Clerker read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1822, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

June 20, 1986

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. 18... Senate 3ill 1841. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, we're going to take Senate Bill 1825 and 1826 out of the record. We're going to go to page 14 on Senate Bill 1841. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1841, a 3ill for an Act making reappropriations for permanent improvements, minor capital improvements, repairs and maintenance and related purposes.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 32. offered by Representative Rea and Goforth."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Rea, on Amendment #2 to Senate 1841. Representative Leverenz. Amendment Senate Bill 1841. Representative Rea. Representative Rea Amendment #2 to Senate Bi 11 1841 making reappropriation for improvements and minor capital and maintenance and for other improvements. repairs purposes."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment 2, all this does is change the language originally. The monies have already been appropriated. There's no dollar This broadens the scope in terms of a request bv Department of Conservation for a the more complex recreational development. I move for adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #2

to Senate Bill 1841. And on that question, all those in

favor say *aye*, those opposed *nay*. In the opinion of

the Chair, the *ayes* have it, and Amendment #2 is adopted.

June 20, 1986

Further Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

 Leverenz."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #3."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 would provide a language change to allow Triton College to purchase an existing building; whereas, the language we adopted last year would be to construct a new temporary building. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #3."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #3

 to Senate Bill 1841. And on that question, all those in
 favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of
 the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. On page three of the Calendar,

 Senate Bills Third Reading Senior Citizens, Senate Bill

 1945. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1945, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that this is a noncontroversial Bill in the sense that it has been successfully passed through the Senate, and it simply changes one small part of the calculation for rates, capital cost component for nursing homes, the amount that they receive from Public Aid."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the passage of Senate Bill 1945. And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Senate Bill 1945. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question

- June 20. 1986
- there are 112 voting "yes", none voting "no", none voting "present". And Senate Bill 1945, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page three of the Calendar, Senior Citizens, appears Senate Bill 2042. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2042, a Bill for an Act to amend the Senior Citizens, and Disabled Persons, Property Tax Relief and Pharmaceutical Assistance Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Daley, on Senate Bill 2042."
- Daley: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 2042 amends the Senior Citizens' and Disabled Persons' Property Tax Relief and Pharmaceutical Assistance. It expands the covered prescription drugs of pharmaceutical assistance to include drugs for diabetes and arthritis. I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks for the passage of Senate Bill 2042. On that question, all those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed "nay". The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 105 voting "yes", 7 voting "no" and none voting "present". And Senate Bill (2042), having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Daley."
- Daley: "... through the House."
- Speaker Giglio: "We're going back to page 14 of the Calendar to pick up a Bill that was taken out of the record. Senate Bill 2255, Representative Richmond. Page 14 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2255, page 14 of the Calendar, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to rural economic development. It's been read a second time

- June 20. 1986
- previously. Amendment #3 was adopted. Next Amendment,
 Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Mautino."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative McPike, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir? Plck up the... Something is wrong with your microphone, Representative McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. I would question the germaneness of this Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Vinson: "Thank vou. Mr. Speaker. In regard to the Gentleman's point of order, I think it's very clear that Amendment #4 What the Bill does is to provide for a series germane. of grant programs, a series of tax measures designed to revitalize the rural economy in Illinois. what the Amendment does is to provide for a means so that utility rates for farmers will not be as high as thev otherwise would be. I think it's very clear that there is nothing any more critically related to the farm economy and the rural economy of Illinois question of utility rates. And so, when the Gentleman an Amendment to bring down utility rates for the rural part of Illinois, it's clearly consistent with a Bill designed to economically advantage rural Illinois. It's Verv clearly germane. It's about the most germane Amendment you see around here."
- Speaker Giglio: "We appreciate your comments, Representative Vinson. The Chair feels that this Bill pertains to rural economic development and the Amendment we're talking about, the Amendment #4 pertains to the Energy Assistance Act. So, in the opinion of the Chair, the Amendment is not germane. Representative Hicks, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, I just want to point out to Mr. Richmond that a

June 20, 1986

Republican Speaker wouldn't rule that way."

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. I was prepared to speak on Amendment #4 prior to your ruling of the Chair being that the Amendment is not germane. I would... At this time, I guess I'll relinquish to the power of the hammer in the Chair and go along with that proposal since that is my Speaker in the Chair and I will back off and be a nice boy like I should be, I guess."
- Speaker Giglio: "You may do that now, now that I have the gavel in my hand. Representative Preston, are you seeking recognition?"
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to respond to a comment made by Mr. Vinson. While he said that this was the most germane Amendment he's ever seen, this is probably more germane than two or three other Amendments that we've seen around here but a lot less germane than four or five other Amendments. So, I think he was out of order."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further Amendments? Mr. Clerk, are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative

 Phelps."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps, on Amendment #5 to Senate

 Bill 2255. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you
 rise?"
- Vinson: "Ar. Speaker, I would ask the Chair to rule that

 Amendment #5 is out of order, because Amendment #3 was

 adopted to the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps."
- Phelps: "Mr. Speaker, I'll withdraw Amendment #5."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves to withdraw Amendment #5.

 Amendment #5 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative

June 20, 1986

Phelos."

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps, on Amendment #6 to Senate 8ill 2255."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #6 is an attempt to try to place back in what Representative Ronan took out with Amendment 3 with the stipulation that it now applies to counties of 250,000 inhabitants or less, downstate, where we really need it. So... So, with the agreement that... from what Amendment 3 was saying is that we should not look at a statewide concern and redistribute the sales tax portion for motor fuel tax. So, we agree with that and say, now, put it back in, looking for counties of 250,000 or less. I move to adopt #6."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #6

 to Senate Bill 2255. And on that question. Representative

 Vinson."
- Speaker. I am somewhat amazed by what the Vinson: *Well. Mr. Gentleman's Amendment does. We dealt with this issue and resolved this issue by a vote of about 80 to 10 a couple hours ago. And now, just because Mr. Ronan, who opposed happens to be off the floor, the Gentleman Gentleman. brings the issue back up. Mr. Ronan was against this. Mr. Ronan spoke forcefully on the subject. We've got... had an 80 vote Majority on the subject. It is a bad idea. Tt .s unfair. It's unfair to the collar counties. It's unfair It's unfair to most of the people who live to Cook County. in the State of Illinois. And, besides that, destrov. totally destroy, the state highway construction If you've got a state highway being program. built being repaired, a pot hole being filled in Cook County or, for that matter, in DuPage, or Lake or Kane, this Amendment would deny you your ability to have your transportation

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

needs attended to, would deny your ability to have pot holes filled, would deny your ability to have roads built. It's a bad Bill... It's a bad Amendment. We disposed of it earlier. It's just totally amazing to me that the Gentleman would come up with this after Mr. Ronan's off the floor, when he's just lost it a minute ago. And I would urge a 'no' vote on Amendment #6."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Jureau,

Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I find it amazing that our colleague from downstate on the other side of opposed to a provision that would provide aisle would be for funds for downstate roads and transportation system. find that rather unique. The previous Amendment that Mr. Ronan presented was one that sent too much money. based upon the amounts that would go to basically the largest counties. Cook and the collar counties, could not be So, I think that Representative Phelps has afforded. an idea to provide for that formula for those excellent counties in less than 250,000 dollars (sic) and he'll up finding that the break out is much more amenable to most Members here. I see no reason why downstate couldn't provide in this legislation a good financial program for transportation in those counties less than 250,000. It's a good downstate vote, one I think that someone would have a very difficult time trying to explain It's an awav. excellent idea. And I recommend an "aye" vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ropp, Gentleman from McLean."
Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Ropp: "As I read this Bill, the intent is to put some new life into rural Illinois, which we have considered for some time the agricultural producing sector of our economy, which

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

does not certainly exclude roads or other small communities. Can you tell me, though, for a farmer who is in real trouble, in financial need because costs are higher than his income, how this Amendment is going to help that individual at all?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Did you question in consideration to farms, you said?"

Ropp: "Sure, that's what this whole idea is about, rural revival."

Phelps: "Well..."

Ropp: "I mean, if I'm going broke in downstate Illinois, as you indicate you are, how in the world is improving the road going to improve my financial status so I won't go broke?"

Phelps: "Well. Representative Ropp, the rural revival Bill is very comprehensive, and we are not talking about the farm issues that's in that Bill. There are very many things that it could help, such as the dislocated worker part But as far as the motor fuel tax law, I that program. mean, yes, we would have better roads for the farmers all of us to travel, as far that's concerned. But we have other things in this Bill that addresses the issue I'm talking about roads that farmers do use, bringing. VP5.#

Ropp: "The issue that I bring up could also include the corrections and prisons and all that."

Phelps: "Right."

Ropp: "I guess I have a little bit of difficulty finding out how this has a lot of stimulus for rural revival. I mean, it doesn't do very much for that individual farmer who is really in financial stress that we're attempting to address with this whole Bill. I'm trying to find out why this Amendment is going to do anything for that individual or even that small business owner who is in financial stress.

June 20, 1986

How is this going to help him?"

- Phelps: "In answer to that question, I think maybe you're getting to this. The counties that are pretty well farm based are the most depressed counties because of the slump in the farming, right?"
- Ropp: "Sure. Not because the road's bad, though."
- Phelps: "Those are... But those are the counties that also are being taxed that can't even maintain the road levels for pot holes or whatever else problems they're having. So, this will provide more money for them for counties that are being set back because of the farm crisis. So, it makes much more sense for them."
- Ropp: "In other words, this really helps the asphalt manufacturer, the cement mixer. It really doesn't help the guy who's actually trying to stay on the farm and live."
- Phelps: "If you're being taxed as a farmer trying to maintain the roads in a county that's going down every day, I'd say this would be much more of a help than to be taxed when you're going down, as you well know the farmers are."
- Ropp: "Yeah. But what this really does, then, it will help the roads as I go to seek an attorney for declaring bankruptcy."
- Phelps: "Well, I don't view it that way, Sir. I'm sorry."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative McPike."
- McPike: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would agree with Representative Vinson that this Amendment does not treat all portions of the state fairly, and I would ask for a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps... close. Representative
 Phelps."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In closing, I would just say

 Representative Vinson used the word "amazing". Before

 Ronan left the floor, I went to him and told him I'd be

June 20, 1986

offering this Amendment; and, if he were here, I would have no more doubts to do that. So, that's makes no difference to me. Secondly, I'm amazed that he would go against an Amendment that would provide more money for his county, the way I see the formula. So, I... with that, I ask to adopt #6 and encourage your vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McGann, are you seeking recognition, Sir?"

McGann: "Well. Mr. Speaker, I've had my light on for some time,
and I have great respect for the Chair, but sometimes I get
a little hot under the collar when I am patient enough to
wait and I'm not recognized."

Speaker Giglio: "You're recognized."

McGann: "Since 1983. Mr. Speaker and Members of this Assembly. a lot of us went out on the limb in voting for a motor fuel tax legislation in the belief that it was going to be an equitable plan for the whole State of Illinois and that this date, served its purpose well. I attest to to Representative Vinson. the remarks of Now. all this Representative that I have great respect for is going to. at this late hour, try to ramrod this through this House. Well, I don't think it should happen with this Membership. I am going to ask for all those 88 "yes" votes of this morning to put 88 'no' votes this afternoon. you."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, "Shall Amendment... Amendment #6

be adopted?" All those in favor signify by saying "aye",

those opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the

"nays" have it, and the Amendment's lost. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. House will come to order. We're going to return to page four of the Calendar, Senate Bills

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Third Reading — Local Government, Special Order of Business. Senate Bill 934. Representative Phelps, for what purpose do you rise?"

- Phelps: "Mr. Speaker, respectfully, I... first of all, I don't
 believe I was allowed to close after a closing. Secondly,
 I asked for a Roll Call vote before it was too late. And
 I think I should have been extended that courtesy."
- Speaker Giglio: "I respect... accept those remarks. Thank you,

 Representative Phelps. Representative Vinson, for what

 purpose do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I certainly object to the Amendment Phelps was offering. I certainly was pleased to that Mr. that there actually is some red blood flowing through this Assembly. It's the first evidence that there's real life in this chamber in the past three or four weeks since the real Speaker dropped his wet blanket on things. But I do think... I do think that the Gentleman, Mr. Phelps, has a right to have... a Roll Call on his Amendment. Every Member of this Assembly has the right to a Roll Call vote. It's guaranteed in the Constitution. And I think the Chair is very remiss in denying the rights of the Members, and I just want to take the time to point out to the Gentleman from southern Illinois that perhaps now you understand that minorities always aren't parties. Sometimes the minority can be an individual with an idea, and that's why we insist on trying to get the minorities their rights. Your rights, Sir, have been violated by the Chair, and I'll certainly defend you on that. If you appeal the ruling of the Chair, I'll support you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Brunsvold, Gentleman from Rock
 Island."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would request the Chair to go back and let Mr. Phelps close. He made a closing remark

June 20, 1986

and then Representative McGann spoke. So, Representative McGann closed on the Bill, not Representative Phelps. And allow Representative Phelps to close and take a Roll Call vote. I'd like to be one of the few that votes for the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Thank you, Representative Brunsvold. The Order of the House is page four of the Calendar, Senate Bills Third Reading — Local Government, Special Order of Business and appears Senate Bill 937. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 937, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to certain projects financed through the issuance of certain bonds. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Dunn, Senate Bill 937."

Ounn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the As hyphenated Cosponsor. I'll be handling this Bill with the knowledge and consent of Senator... Senator Representative Panayotovich because the main Bill has been deleted by Amendment and this is now the substance of formerly House Bill 3587. As we discussed on Second Reading yesterday, this legislation now is additional incentives to employers in the State of Illinois who are willing to take the long term unemployed the welfare relief rolls and put them to work. T t provides for a six month wage subsidy. Fifty percent o f hourly wage will be paid by the state up to an hourly rate of eight dollars. That means at eight dollar rate, four dollars will be paid by the state. employer keeps the employee on board for the second months of employment, the employer will receive a bonus of one-sixth of what was received during the first six months. There is penalty to the employer for letting employee go after the first six months. Current law does provide a penalty, and this Amendment will that

- 131st Legislative Day June 20, 1986
 - penalty. I respectfully ask for your vote on Senate Bill 937."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the passage of Senate Bill 937. And on that question, Representative Mays, the Gentleman from Adams."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman vield for a question?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."
- Mays: "Is... This law passed last year and it had an appropriation with it, did it not? A similar law to this passed last year."
- Dunn: "The Bill passed but the appropriation failed and there was an indication from the Governor's Office that there would be support for a supplemental appropriation during the Veto Session, but the support was not forthcoming."
- Mays: "Okay. And then, what you're doing is expanding upon the Bill that you passed last year by allowing further subsidy into the second six months of employment. Is that not correct?"
- Dunn: "No, making the Bill workable. Employers around the state indicated, as I pointed out yesterday, that they were reluctant to hire long term unemployed if it could result in a penalty to them. So, this legislation removes the penalty and substitutes a modest bonus for the penalty."
- Mays: "Do you have an appropriation for this Bill this year?"
- Dunn: "There was five million dollars added to the DCCA budget in the House. I'm not sure of the exact status of that in the Senate. I believe it's being reduced to one million dollars."
- Mays: "Thank you very much. To the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."
- Mays: "I simply rise in opposition to the Bill. If we're looking at a place where we can save some money in an ever

June 20, 1986

increasing fiscal concern period of time we have right now. this would be one area to do it. We don't need to spend a million dollars on this. We didnot spend the ten million on it last year. We didn't spend the two million for a supplemental in the fall. This is a program that will get on the books and won't have any money put to it. And Ιf it does get on the books, it's think it's just... people's expectations when it leading people... raising should not be. And as a result, I would oppose this Bill." Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Leverenz."

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. With regard to the immediate previous speaker's comments, I think totally the reverse is true. Time after time we have seen the administration ignore employment and do what it can to stifle the initiatives of this side of the aisle in good programs like this. I would encourage your "ave" yote for this Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken, the Gentleman from DuPage."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
- McCracken: "The mandatory paybacks, which are currently required under the law, are deleted by this Act. Is that correct?

 Or this Bill."
- Dunn: "That is... that is correct. The mandatory paybacks are eliminated."
- McCracken: "And on what basis... and on what basis do the mandatory payments get paid back under current law? Are they paid back within six months after the first six months, or on what schedule are they paid back?"
- Dunn: "I don't have the current law in front me, but that's...
 that may very well be."
- McCracken: "Okay. And what is the cost in deleting the mandatory

June 20, 1986

payback requirements from the current law?"

Dunn: "Well, there's no cost because nobody's been hired under this provision yet. That's part of the problem. This General Assembly, this House, the Senate and the Governor approved this legislation last year and it was enacted into law, but the appropriation was not forthcoming with support from the Governor's Office. So, there hasn't been any money spend yet."

McCracken: "What is the anticipated or desired appropriation?"

Dunn: "The desired appropriation last year was ten million dollars. At this point in time, to try this program, to see if it will work, there was a five million dollar appropriation Amendment attached to the DCCA budget. But, as I indicated a moment ago, I think the current status in the Senate is that it will probably wind up at about one million statewide."

McCracken: "And was that as a result of DCCA's efforts? Were they opposed to the five million appropriation?"

Dunn: "I don't know who in the Senate proposed the catch. DCCA should be for this. It's a good Bill. They want to put people to work, and that's what this Bill does, gets them off welfare and puts them to work. So, they ought to be for this Bill. And as... They were not opposed to this concept when it was here in the House with the revisions that were made in House Bill 3587."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you. To the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

McCracken: "Thank you. I agree with Representative Mays. This issue is something that can wait, particularly considering the condition of the budget at this time. DCCA is not in favor of the appropriation. I'm told they're neutral on the Bill, but they're neutral on the Bill because there's no cost without the appropriation. If that's as far as the

June 20, 1986

Gentleman wishes to go, to create the authorization and not appropriate anything to it, then we can support it. But on the assumption that he'll be seeking money for it, I think it's not appropriate at this time, and I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Dunn, to close."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of In response to the previous speaker, this is not an appropriation Bill. This is a substantive Bill and the will of the General Assembly and the Governor level. if any, appropriation will determine what be forthcoming to support this legislation. This is cleanup legislation. This is legislation to follow up and clean up now law. a law which was passed by this General what is Assembly just this past year, the purpose of which is to people off the welfare rolls and put them to work. take Previous speakers have indicated that we can't afford this What they're saying is what I indicated yesterday on Second Amendment (sic - Reading); an appropriation for this is not contained in the Governor's budget. The Governor's budget is cast in bronze. And when it isn't the Governor's budget. we can't afford it, no matter how good it is. Well, I hear all day, every day, and so do vou. that we have too many people on welfare, that we should do something about it, that we should get them off the rolls. that we have training programs which don't work. This is the Bill which has the magic formula to say that if a job exists out there, and if an employer is willing hire someone off the welfare rolls, the employer will be encouraged to do so and will be encouraged bу having 50 of the hourly wage rate paid by the State of Illinois. It's a pilot program. Maybe it will work.

June 20, 1986

Maybe it won't. Hardcore unemployment people sometimes don't have the skills that employers want. There may not be a lot of them out there. But if there are any, if we could get any people off the welfare rolls, it will be worth the investment. And I would respectfully ask you to support this good piece of legislation which is only cleanup to legislation which was passed and enacted into law on this very subject matter less than a year ago. Thank you very much. Need a green vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the passage of Senate Bill On that question - this is final action - all those 937. favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed "nay", and the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 65 voting 'yes', 46 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Page four of the Calendar appears Senate Rills Senate Bill 1814. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1814, a Bill for an Act in relationship to county jails. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1814, as amended. provides for the creation of a County Jail Revolving Loan Fund. The money would be used to renovate and build county jails the Fund will come from numerous sources. And the state has two million to appropriated get the already program started. Number two. the Bill repeals the present system of county jail good behavior allowance and replaces it with a day-for-day system similar to what's used by Department of Corrections now. It also prohibits the good behavior allowance to persons who have inflicted physical

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

the offense for which he is confined. Number three, the Amendment offered by Representative Hawkinson would give the trial court the opportunity to give good behavior allowances to prisoners serving periodic imprisonment sentences. Presently, prisoners serving periodic sentence are not allowed good behavior allowances. I move for approval.

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Representative, just so I'm clear on this issue of the day-for-day. As I understand the Bill, and I'll read it as it's amended — and perhaps Representative Hawkinson can verify it. This is what his intent was with his Amendment. 'The prisoner shall receive one day of good behavior allowance for each day of service of sentence in a county jail.' That remains in the Bill and that refers to misdemeanants getting day-for-day good time. Is that correct?"

Phelps: "Yes."

Cullerton: "And then it goes on to read, "except that a prisoner serving a sentence of periodic imprisonment, under Section 571 of the Unified Code of Corrections, shall only be eligible to receive good behavior allowance if authorized by the sentencing Judge."

Phelps: "I believe that's true, but I'll yield to Hawkinson, if

he'd like to explain."

Cullerton: "Perhaps, Mr. Speaker, Representative Hawkinson would yield for a question. He was the author of the Amendment.

Would Representative..."

Speaker Giglio: MAlright. Representative Hawkinson, Gentleman from Knox.**

Cullerton: "Representative, is it your understanding, after

June 20, 1986

you've amended this, that in a normal sentence of a misdemeanant to, say, 365 days, that under this Bill, there would be a day-for-day good time allowance?"

Hawkinson: "That's correct."

- Cullerton: "And if there's a periodic imprisonment such as weekends, that the Judge... there would be no good behavior allowance unless the sentencing Judge specifically specified that, is that correct?"
- Hawkinson: "There would be no day-for-day good time allowance.

 Many of the counties have their own policies with regard to credits for good time."
- Cullerton: "Okave Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, by the way, Bill, I would point out that this came through our Committee. The Bill initially had some controversial Amendment which was taken off in Committee. As a result. I think what's is left. the County Jail Revolving Loan Fund. is a... will be a tremendous asset to the problem of the crowded county jails and the day-for-day good time, as amended by Representative Hawkinson, I think, is a... nossible relief for the county jails. And I stand in support of the Bill.
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Gentleman from DuPage.

 Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?

 Representative, what... what is the determination for

 the... the eligibility for this loan? I mean, how is...

 how is that determined in the Bill?"
- Phelps: "I would yield to Representative Hawkinson, if it's referring to his Amendment."

McCracken: "No. I'm not. I'm referring to the underlying Bill."

Phelps: "I'm sorry."

McCracken: "You know, the Revolving Loan Fund."

Phelps: "What was your question again? I'm sorry."

- June 20, 1986
- McCracken: "What are the standards for eligibility for the institutions which will seek a loan?"
- Phelps: "I think it still is under the noncompliance standards
 that DOC has handed down to those counties that it would
 apply to first in priority."
- McCracken: "Okay. There are factors of eligibility criteria that are... that are considered and they are; the credit worthiness of the county, ability of the county to borrow money otherwise, evidence of the county's efforts to raise funds, the cost of borrowing that would encounter in traditional markets. Is there a requirement on these loans if they are made that they will be paid back with interest?"
- Phelps: "The county must show that it can make debt service payments."
- McCracken: "Does that include interest or just principle?"
- Phelps: "The term of payment of the loan is within at least ten years of the time. I believe that would be interest and principle."
- McCracken: "Well, I don't see any reference to interest. I see a sentence which states that the Department may impose other charges or fees..."
- Phelps: "Right."
- McCracken: "... necessary to defray the cost of administering the
- Phelps: "So, I think the charges would include interest with that language, wouldn't you?"
- McCracken: "No. I don't. I think that what that means is that it can only charge fees necessary to offset the cost of administering the program. Hy reading is that... that they are interest—free loans. Would you agree with that?"
- Phelps: "Well, I would hope so, but I didn't interpret it that way, no."

June 20. 1986

McCracken: "What about jails which have already been recently upgraded and completed? Not in the process of completion, but completed? Could a county, under that circumstance, borrow money to help retire an outstanding debt and have the advantage of this interest-free loan?"

Phelps: "Yes, they're still eligible, if they're still in the process of going about that."

McCracken: "But if they've already completed, they are not eligible."

Phelps: "I don't believe so, no."

To the Bill. I understand McCracken: "No. Okav. Thank you. Gentleman's concern. Apparently, his counties have the trouble raising the money that traditionally is used to build. county iails. The criteria for determining eligibility in... in essence. is going to help counties which are apparently unable to raise it for themselves. But what's interesting about this is is a... at least a ten year payback schedule of what could be a substantial loan, up to 75 percent of the cost and it's interest free. Not only that, but discriminates against counties which and completed the upgrading in counties of their upgraded So that, any of you who has recently upgraded or constructed or has worked to keep a county jail up to standards and, by using your own county funds, have done so, you cannot take advantage of this. So this is at least interest bearing loan for persons in the ten year, no pro... or counties in the process or to engage of constructing a new jail. There is no allowance made for those counties which have already met standards There's no allowance for those using their own funds counties which have recently built and completed using their own funds. I think, again, given the nature of that

- June 20, 1986
- system, I certainly agree with the Amendments regarding the good time and all of that, but I think the underlying Bill is flawed. And I would ask for a "no" vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Phelps, to close."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In due respect to my colleague, Representative McCracken, you know, all those things that he brought up, I addressed in a former Bill that failed the of May in here that would have had grant entitlements that addressed those counties that are already construction. those that are already completed, went back For heaven sakes, this is a loan, to July 1, 1980. And if we're serious about upgrading the fails and the counties are going to be sued, especially those cannot even afford to start getting architectual and engineering agreements, then perhaps we can provide them assistance, some resources. And for that, I think it deserves support, and I move to adopt 1814."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 1814. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Representative Dunn. to explain your vote."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Only those people who believe in the State Mandates Act should support this Bill because what this Bill says is, if your county is mandated to build a jail, we'll do at least a little bit to help you. If... So, if you go home and tell your people back home that you support the State Mandates Act, you ought to be voting green."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 71 voting 'aye', 31 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having

131st Legislative Day

- June 20 1986
- received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Third Reading Local Government appears Senate Bill 2231. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2231, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston, on Senate Bill 2231."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Senate Bill 2231 does is to permit the Department of Transportation to have added to its duties and responsibilities the protection of the Lake Michigan shoreline. The Bill applies to the entire lakeshore. But in particular the area in my district and Representative Levin and others' districts, there is a great problem of lakefront erosion with buildings being injured. Mr. Speaker, I'd ask leave of the House to take this Bill back to Second for the purpose of a technical Amendment, if I may, and then go forward with the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks leave to take the Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendments. Gentleman have leave? Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Vinson: "To object."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves to take this Bill back to the
 Order of Second Reading for the purpose of a technical
 Amendment. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'...
 Representative Preston."
- Preston: "Mr. Speaker, I'll withdraw that request. We'd like to go ahead... Third Reading. I'd like to go forward on Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright. Continue on Third Reading. as is."
- Preston: "That's correct. Yes."
- Speaker Giglio: "Continue. Continue. Representative. Are you

June 20, 1986

finished?"

- Preston: "Mr. Speaker. No, I'm not finished. If you could call
 it for Third Reading because we did take it back to Second.
 I would like it passed on from Second to Third... Oh, we
 never did."
- Speaker Giglio: "We didn't have it because you did not get leave.

 The Bill is..."
- Preston: "Okay. Alright. Thank you. Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Bill in its posture right now is on Third Reading. You may continue your discussion."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the What this Bill does is to permit the Department of House. Transportation to be responsible for the protection of the Lake Michigan shoreline. In my district there is a problem of lakefront erosion with damage to property and to the lakeshore and this puts the responsibility for that into theas of protecting the shoreline into the Department of Transportation. In addition to that, one of the Amendments that was added on was to permit condominium associations to grant easements. Under existing law, the granting of has to be done by each of the individual condominium owners. And this allows the association to, as an association, grant easements for the purpose οf protecting the Lake Michigan shoreline. And I'd be pleased to answer any questions."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the passage of Senate Bill 2231. And on that question, Representative Greiman, the Gentleman from Cook."
- Greiman: "I just wondered if he'd yield for a question. If the erosion continues, does this mean that Skokie will be a lakefront community?"
- Preston: "Eventually, yes, Skokie would be a lakefront community.

 But if it continues as is happening in my district, it

131st Legislative Day

- June 20. 1986
- won't only become a lakefront community, it will be under water as a portion of a former lakefront community."
- Greiman: "I see. Alright. Well I just wasn't sure. I want
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Gentleman from DeWitt.

 Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, on a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I would like the examine Senate Bill 2231 as it has been amended. The... and I believe Amendments 1, 2, and 3 have been added to the Bill. The underlying Bill is a very simple that simply says... the only new language in the Bill is pursuant to appropriation by the General Assembly for that to administer flood relief projects on Lake Michigan. In other words, it gets DOT into the relief situation on Lake Michigan. Amendment #2 dealt with the enormously germane subject of the percentage of votes needed by condo owners to authorize easements. And the other Amendments are equally unrelated. And I would ask the Chair to rule that this Bill cannot be heard by Assembly on Third Reading because it is not a single subject and violates the single subject clause of the Constitution."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe that since we are on Third Reading, that the point raised by the Gentleman from DeWitt would not be timely and, if the Bill goes to the Governor and is signed, I think the appropriate action would be to file a lawsuit challenging the constitutionality on the basis of the single subject constitutional mandate. But, certainly, any indication that the Bill is not germane, whether there's dual subject, is certainly not timely since we are on Third Reading."

June 20, 1986

Speaker Giglio: "Representative from DuPage, Representative

McCracken."

point of order. I stand in support of the McCracken: "To the Gentleman's Motion. Single subject is different from 'Single subject' has been defined by the germaneness. courts as rolling up into one Bill, subjects at variance with each other, such to the point that persons could not vote on it because of that disparity between the subjects. fact of the matter is that a single subject matter point of order is never out of order. It can be attacked This is a requirement of the Constitution. any time. And it is ... makes this constitutionally defective whenever This is not the same as germaneness which may or may not be subject to attack only at Second Reading. clearly is subject to attack at any time. and it's well founded, and I'd ask that you rule accordingly."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken, many of us are laymen.

Do you have a particular case number in question that you want to refer that to or..."

McCracken: "If you're serious, I can bring it up. I'll have to go through my book."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would submit that indeed those Amendments are germane and also Mr. Cullerton's point, I think, should be well taken; that the time to object is at Second Reading and not on Third Reading. germane in that the Bill itself deals with the broadening of the responsibility of the Department of Transportation to include the protection of Lake Michigan That is also what these Amendments do as well. shoreline. They provide for the protection of the Lake Michigan shoreline. And so I would submit that the Amendments are germane to the Bill itself."

June 20, 1986

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mati jevich."

- Matijevich: "Well, further support of Representative Cullerton's point of order, the single subject matter is under the Rule 36 relating to Amendments, (d), where no Amendment is in order unless it is confined to the subject of the Bill. And that would be the time for the Member to bring up the matter of the single subject. I don't think it's for us to, on the floor, determine the constitutionality of a Bill. If it was a point of order to the Constitution, I think that's up to the courts to decide. So, I believe that the Gentleman from DeWitt's point is out of order."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Chair rules that the point is not well taken. That's the ruling of the Chair. Now, do you seek to seek more recognition, Representative Vinson? Proceed,
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, is it, in fact, your ruling that the

 General Assembly ought not be concerned about the

 constitutionality of the Bills before it, as Mr. Matijevich

 suggested? Is that the ruling?"
- Speaker Giglio: "We're always concerned, Representative Vinson.

 However, we do have a court that looks at things that we
 do, as you have noted in the past."
- Vinson: "Well, what about... don't you think, as a Body, that we ought to have some proper respect for the Constitution?

 You took an oath, Sir."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Preston, to close. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Vinson: "To speak on the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Well, I think I've given you two opportunities?

 If you want to ..."
- Vinson: "No, Ar. Speaker, you did not. You gave me a chance to speak on a point of order, not on the Bill."

Vinson: "I find it amazing. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly.

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

Speaker Giglio: "Alright. Proceed."

would get to the point where a Gentleman would that stand on the floor and say that this General Assembly ought not be concerned about the constitutionality of legislation before it. Be that as it may, I want you to consider the equity of the matter. Maybe... maybe equity will strike your heart in a way that constitutionality does vour conscience. And I would consider whether you think it's appropriate and wise this General Assembly to authorize a welfare program for the very rich in Illinois. Think about the lakefront in that this Bills deals with. Think about the north How many poor people live on the north shore? How many poor people live on the lakefront? We're talking about subsidizing the richest area in Illinois. It may be the richest area in the United States. I have to tell vou. I recently visited the lakefront, the north shore. I was in Lake Forest. Stopped at a place, a friend recently of mine - I don't know that you'd call that person a friend anymore - the differences in social circumstances this point that it's just a case where I can stand at a distance and admire this person. Not somebody I can legitimately call a friend or a colleague any more. I suppose. But, Stonegate... Stonegate Street, or road. or lane. or way, or whatever it is there. 234 Stonegate, and saw who the Gentleman to with this Bi 11. You know, you can't measure houses up there by square feet. You got to measure them by likelv cubic at least cubic feet. more meters. These places have a first floor with a ceiling 20, 30 feet off the ground, and then a second floor similarly situated and then a third floor. And we're going to subsidize them?

131st Legislative Day

June 20. 1986

We're going to... The people in Cabrini Green are being called upon to subsidized the people along the lakefront. Now, that is government turned on its ear. That is income redistributionism that none of us should be asked to deal with. You know, I wish Mr. McPike were out here because I'm sure he could even find a farmer on that lakefront that we shouldn't be subsidizing. I'd urge a 'no' vote on this reverse income redistribution proposal."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Parcells: "I'm a little confused because the underlying Bill, the original Bill, refers to 'pursuant to appropriation by the General Assembly for that purpose to administer flood relief projects on Lake Michigan." Now, as Representative Vinson has said, I have a lot of districts on Lake Michigan and those people would love to get something from this General Assembly. But then in the other Bills, it says the Bill is part of a four Bill series designed to help condominium owners. So, if they're in a condominium, they get help, and if they're in a house, they don't. Is that right?"

Preston: "No, that's incorrect. What, first of all, the analysis that you are referring to is indeed an old one. There is not a four Bill package. There is a one Bill package which is this Bill, number one."

Parcells: "That's correct."

Preston: "And the reason that the Bill came to fruition in the first place is because in my district there are condominium buildings, high rise buildings on the lakefront; that the lakefront, because of the abnormally high level of Lake Michigan last year, the year before and this year and in projections for years to come, the lake level is rising.

June 20, 1986

The damage that that Lake has done to these condominium buildings that are right on the lake and the lake wall, the water wall in front of the buildings is astronomical. don't have the photographs here to show you. during the wintertime especially, the effect of the waves going against these buildings, there is water seepage on the fifth and sixth floor of these buildings and the entire face of these buildings are one sheet, very heavy sheet, of The effect of that sheet of ice is in the winter it becomes very heavy and is pulling down the entire wall these buildings. What is needed is a program by the Department of Transportation to be able to get federal monies to put in some abatement program for this lakefront erosion that has taken place. That's the reason And the reason the Amendments refer to for this Bill. condominiums is because in order to get this protection for lakefront where the lakefront has condominium the buildings, under existing law, there has to be an easement granted to the government in order to do anything protection of the lakefront. Under existing law, in order to get an easement, each individual condominium owner to agree. Well, that's impossible. What the Amendments do is make it possible for a condominium association to agree to grant an easement to a government entity in order to get assistance in protecting the lakeshore, and that's what the whole Bill. with its Amendment... that s what it does.™

- Parcells: "Then, would the homeowners that are in single-family dwellings, they would be..."
- Preston: "Of course, if their... the whole purpose is for the protection of the lakeshore, the lakefront."
- Parcells: "It is terrible. There was a special on Channel 5 several weeks ago in Winnetka where a whole street has fallen into the lake."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

- Preston: "Well. that's exactly what's also happened in mν district. in Evanston and in the district south of mine. The damage is really astronomical. In much of the City Chicago. there are no buildings right on the lake. my district, that's not the case. In my district they right on the lake and in Evanston they re on the lake and in some of the wealthy areas that Representative Vinson is trying to protect, some of those properties are right on the lake and it's those properties and properties behind them that need protection. In fact, it's a protection of the property; it's a protection of the lakefront."
- Parcells: "So, we're talking from the Wisconsin line right down to Indiana."
- Preston: "Well, wherever the problem exists to dwellings that are affected by the... by the lakefront erosion."
- Parcells: "Should... Do you think that IDDT should be authorized to repair basement flooding? Is that part of the..."
- Preston: "No, that's not what this does. That's not what this does, Representative. They should, though, be involved in protecting the lakeshore. And in fact, in similar ways, they are right now involved in some lake and river protection. But this extends the responsibility to the lakeshore, beyond just the lake, to the lakeshore itself.

 And that's a natural..."
- Parcells: "But the repair of their condominium is up to them, is that correct?"
- Preston: "No... Of course, that's an individual problem, but protecting the lakeshore is... should be... ought to be the Department of Transportation's responsibility, as well as Federal Government and other agencies in State Government."
- Parcells: "I thought I read in here that it said condominiums only. Now, maybe I misread it."

June 20, 1986

Preston: "No, it's the lakeshore, Representative. And in my district, the lakeshore has a lot of condominiums on it, but it's for the lakeshore. And there's nothing in here whatsoever in terms of..."

Parcells: "But it's in the Condominium Act."

Preston: "Well, that's... that's... what is it in the Condominium Act is the portion permitting a condominium association easement so that there can be this protection. There has to be an easement granted to a government in order to allow the Department of Transportation to protect what amounts to public property. the lakefront. right now, under existing law, in order to grant that easement. vou need 100 percent concurrence bv the This... these Amendments will permit condominium owners. the condominium association to grant that easement to government."

Parcells: "I understand it. Then the mansions to which
Representative Vinson refers to, they can make their own
easements, an individual."

Preston: "That is correct. That is correct."

Parcells: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

Representative Preston, to close."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just very briefly, there has already been obtained for the protection of the lakefront about a million dollars so far from the Federal Government for the protection of the lakeshore, and it's not just the property on the lakeshore. The effect of damage to that

June 20 • 1986

property is water spilling over into the streets, streets ending up as part of the lake and it goes further as the lake rises. There has got to be a uniform program of government industry in protecting our most valuable one of the most valuable in the state and that's our lake front. And that's what this Bill authorizes. Thic B:11 not appropriate a dollar to this. It just authorizes Department of Transportation based an whatever appropriations mav be forthcoming from this General Assembly."

- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the passage of Senate Bill 2231. This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'no'. The voting is open. Representative McAuliffe, to explain his vote. One minute. Timer is on."
- Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to McAuliffe: "Mr. explain my 'no' vote. If you downstaters think vou*re have money in the future to repair your roads, aning to wait until you see what it's going to cost to build wall along take Michigan from the Indiana state line to the Wisconsin state line. I sympathize with these people who bought condominiums and bought houses close to Lake But perhaps it was a bad investment. The lake Michigan. was there before the houses were there, before the streets were there and before the roads were there. And it's going to cost a fortune to try and build a sea wall. How are they going to stop the lake from rising? The lake is going to keep rising and it's going to get more and more and more expensive. Should we build a sea wall all the wav from the state line in Wisconsin all the way down to Indiana? They're doing it in some places in Wisconsin now and they re fighting a losing battle. The lake is going to keep rising. And if it keeps rising, it's going to end up

June 20, 1986

claiming, reclaiming the territory that was taken away before. Many of these houses and many of these parks were built on land that used to be part of Lake Michigan. I think the Speaker knows about lake erosion because I know he had a problem with it in his home in Michigan. But I see it in Wisconsin, and you're fighting a losing battle. And if we start taking IDOT money and spending it on flood relief for Lake Michigan, it's going to be the proverbial rat hole. You're going to be pouring money down a rat hole for the next fifty years."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Frederick, one minute to explain vour vote. The Lady from Lake, Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, to explain my "no" vote. My community, which was mentioned in debate by Representative Vinson, is take Forest and we've had a lakeshore problem and, as a result of that, we just passed locally an 8.5 million dollar to address that problem. I agree with Mr. Vinson. This is a proper response to erosion and I would say a "no" vote is a proper vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, one minute to explain your vote."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Timer's on."

Leverenz: "Thank you for the whole minute. The fact is, we may
as well pass this Bill because the Department of
Transportation is spending money in Chicago right now for
this very purpose by the emergency powers in the Department
of Transportation. If you didnt get it, the letter came
around this last week."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explanation of a

present vote, which I do not like to do down here, I

think there is a considerable problem with regards to the

June 20, 1986

elevated water levels of Lake Michigan. I'm not certain that this will address it. I'm not convinced that it will. I would like to work with Representative Preston on some type of a Resolution with regards to the midwest states and Canada whereby we may be able to increase the flow into the rivers and there could be some benefits for downstate with regards to siltification and some of their problems. I vote 'present'.'

- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin, one minute to explain your vote."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the In explaining my 'aye' vote, you know, we do have a House. situation now where we have the lake at its highest and one of the condos in our area 15 years ago had 150 feet That's all gone. The water is now right up shoreline. against the edge. There is federal money and what we would be doing is participating in a match, so the amount o f money we would be putting up for the Army Corps of Engineer would be very minimal. And, you know, for every dollar we put up, we get about four dollars back in return. The Army Corps of Engineers sees this as problem. Thev have developed plans. There has been a federal appropriation. There's also been a local match that's been come up with from the City of Chicago. So. the amount that would be spent of state money is very minimal order get some relief for the on lakefront."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hastert, the Gentleman from Kendall, one minute to explain your vote, Sir."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. Simply, this is not the solution to the problem.

 If you have a problem with the lake rising, you need to
 drain the lake and you need to lower the water level. And

June 20. 1986

I have a very good solution if we can get together with the people on the other side of the aisle. I know there's some people in the suburbs, for a small price, who will take that water off the hands of the City of Chicago and maybe if the City of Chicago would be so kind to provide the wherewithall to pipe that water out, we'll help you to drain that lake level and certainly take care of the problem."

Speaker Giglio: "Lady from Lake, Representative Stern."

"Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to explain my 'aye' I think there is no question that we have tried the single owner deals all these years where people build a sea wall or a pile of rocks to keep the lake off the shore, and it hasn't worked. One man's cure destroys the beach of the next owner. I think we have got to have a more global view of the problem. I think there is no question that we aot have one single unit. a department like the Department of Transportation in charge of this to give the overview of what's going on. We are having an open meeting in my district tomorrow on this very subject at which, presumably, experts from every field on this will has been tried and what has not worked and what is a possibility. Maybe nothing is. but I think we need to have one department in charge of finding that out. I urge *ave* vote. This is an important issue. The lake is a resource for the entire area, the entire state. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Preston."

Preston: "I'd just like to ask some of my colleagues if they
would be kind enough to get some green votes up there to
get it up to 47 so I could put this on Postponed
Consideration and give me an opportunity to discuss the...

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

what is, in fact, a very great problem in my district.

With the people on the other side of the aisle, I would appreciate it. We need about another 14 votes to do that.

- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Representative Churchill, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Churchill: "As soon as you... Mr. Speaker, as soon as you have declared the Bill, I have a point of order."
- Speaker Giglio: "Is anybody in deep thought about changing their vote? Representative... Mr. Clerk, did you take the record yet? Want to take it again? On this question there are 38 voting 'yes', 69 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. and Senate Bill 222 (sic Senate Bill 2231), having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. On page four of the Calendar appears Senate Bill 22... Excuse me. Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of order. There is a Bill which is a House Bill. House Bill 787. which is a Bill that has come back to this chamber. June 12th, there was a Motion filed by the Representative who was the Chief Sponsor of that Bill to remove himself as Chief of that Bill sponsorship and to replace the sponsorship with Representative Leverenz and myself hyphenated Cosponsors. Now there has been a subsequent Motion filed on June 19th in which the previous Chief Sponsor seeks to add additional Cosponsors to this Bill. My point of order is ask for the court... for or Speaker to render a ruling as to who the Chief Sponsor of this Bill is. That's House Bill 787."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Churchill, we're looking to see where this Bill actually is — if it's still in the Senate, if it's back here. Just have patience, please.

- 131st Legislative Day

 Representative Piel, for what purpose do you seek
 recognition. Sir?"
- Piel: "Just for a clarification, Mr. Speaker. On the previous

 Bill, 2231, when you announced the results, you gave the

 wrong Bill number."
- Speaker Giglio: "Senate Bill 2231 failed to receive the Constitutional Majority. It's hereby declared lost. Representative Churchill, would you repeat that Bill number again? Was it House or Senate Bill?"
- Churchill: "That is House Bill 787."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Churchill, your inquiry to the Chair, that Bill is still in the Senate and it hasn't been read into the record. So, therefore, the House cannot take any action on it."
- Churchill: "Mr. Speaker, are you saying that the Chief Sponsor of a Bill loses control of the Bill when it goes to the other chamber?"
- Speaker Giglio: "No, I did not say that. The Chair says that the House cannot take any action on that particular inquiry that you have made because the Bill is not in the House at the present time. It's in the Senate. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Vinson: "Well. Mr. Speaker, the only provision in the rules relates to changes of sponsorship in Bills is the provision that says that after final action, you can't change the Sponsors. Now, we haven't had final action on that And as a consequence, you can take... make changes of sponsorship because the rules don't prohibit it. The Gentleman has filed a slip to change Sponsors. Now. whv won't you let another one of your own Members change Sponsors when he has filed a slip to do it? Why does the Chair keep denying Members their rights today?"
- Speaker Giglio: "The Chair has no intention of denying anybody

131st Legislative Day

- June 20 1986
- their rights, Representative Vinson. The Chair ruled that when the Bill comes back to the House, we... the House will then take action on this particular Bill.
- Vinson: "Well, why can't we just do it now, like the Gentleman wants to do?"
- Speaker Giglio: "The Bill... the Bill is not in our House at the present time. It's across the rotunda. And until that Bill comes back, the House will not take any action."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I don't see where the rules prohibit that in any fashion. Would you cite a rule?"
- Speaker Giglio: "You just said it. The rule did not prohibit it, so it's the ruling of the Chair that's the posture of the Bill."
- Vinson: "That we can do it. Okay. And if the rules don't prohibit it, why can't the Gentleman do what he wants to do?"
- Speaker Giglio: "When the Bill comes to the chamber, we will do what the Gentleman asks to do."
- Vinson: "You will honor... you will honor the request that Mr.

 Churchill put before you at that time?"
- Speaker Giglio: "At that time, Mr. Vinson, the House will deal with that inquiry at the proper time when that Bill comes back to this chamber."
- Vinson: "Well, why... if the rules don't prohibit doing it now, why can't we do it now?"
- Speaker Giglio: "It's the opinion of the Chair that we'll do it then. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 2232. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2232, a Bill for an Act amend an Act in relationship to natural resources, research, data collection, and environmental studies. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If you liked that last Bill, this one's a very controversial Bill. This Bill as amended, amends the Department of Energy and Natural Resources to permit, in their lists of duties and responsibilities and things they may do if they wish, to develop or contribute to the establishment of a museum for public broadcasting. It doesn't appropriate anything, doesn't require anything, just establishes the possibility of it and that's what the Bill does. I'll be thrilled to answer any questions."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentlemen asks for the passage of Senate

Bill 2232. And on that question, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentlemen would yield for an enlightening series of questions?"

Preston: "From who, Representative? I'd be glad to yield.

Vinson: "I just hope the answers are equally enlightening. Was

Amendment #1 adopted to this Bill?"

Preston: "Yes, it was.

Vinson: "And could you explain what Amendment #1 does?"

Preston: "Yes, it expands the definition of a public museum to include a public broadcasting or museum for public broadcasting."

Vinson: "And would that be a public or private museum?"

Preston: "Maybe I stuttered, Representative. I thought I said that it was for a public broadcasting museum."

Vinson: "Yes, but would the museum be public or private?"

Preston: "Public."

Vinson: "It would have to be a public museum and how is 'public museum' defined?"

Preston: "As a museum that's open to the public."

Vinson: "I see. Now, where would this particular museum be located?"

Preston: "It would be in the south portion of Chicago's loop in

June 20. 1986

the area called River City."

Vinson: "I see. Now, I ve been told as I read the analysis here, that in the Sponsor's district exists the River City Condominium Complex, a reported city in itself in the south loop area of Chicago. Within the complex there is, or will be, a museum for exhibiting public broadcasting paraphernalia and the supporters want funds from ENR to operate the museum. Is that correct?"

Preston: "I now understand where your objections come from
Representative. No, that is incorrect. River City is
nowhere even remotely close to my district."

Vinson: "I thought so."

Preston: "It's, it's about as far away within the City of Chicago as you can get from my district."

Vinson: "I thought so. This is a public museum in River City?"

Preston: "That is correct, which is no where near my district."

Vinson: "And that's a private condominium complex?"

Preston: "No, that's a portion of this, that's a way of ...

Vinson: "No, River City is a private condominium complex, isn°t it?

Preston: "I... Actually I don't know. Well there's nothing...

I'm told there are condominiums in that portion of the south loop area."

Vinson: "Now, who owns this public museum?"

Preston: "I can't answer that question."

Vinson: "To the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, we have here another Bill that came to us to seek to deal with Lake Michigan flooding problems and now we're down the South River, at the River City Complex, subsidizing public museums who are owned by, somebody we can't figure out who, but they're owned by somebody. The Gentleman just doesn't

June 20, 1986

know who the museum's owned by, and we're asked to give public money to this museum that we don't know who owns it. Now, I want, first of all, to make it absolutely clear that I support subsidizing museums. I have consistently for the legislation that has been brought forward by various Members of this General Assembly to provide for museums. I think that the Art Institute of subsidies Chicago, the Museum of Science and Industry, and many of museums in the City are highly desirable things that benefit the education and culture of Illinois. quite sure why state taxpayers have to be called T * m not upon to subsidize a museum that we don't even know who owns it, in the River City Condominium Complex. I would suggest that there is at least a question as to whether that is appropriate investment of public money, and I would certainly suggest that before we're asked to subsidize this public museum, that we ought to have some evidence about who the ownership of the museum belongs to and who is going to get this subsidy."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston."

"Thank vou. Mr. Speaker, just... I know the Gentleman wasn't framing that as a question, but since he asked his original question, I found the answer to that. The museum is a not-for-profit corporation that owns this museum for public broadcasting and that *s... we now have established that portion of it. It's not privately owned. Ιt is publicly not-for-profit. it's а public museum not-for-profit owned, and it's for radio and television broadcasting."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I wonder what that... who that not-for-profit corporation is?"

Preston: "Who it is? You want to know who the registered agent

June 20, 1986

is?"

- Vinson: "Yes, and maybe who the officers might be
- Preston: "Well I, that's a matter of public record. Mr. Edgar,

 I sure can provide you with that. I don't have it."
- Vinson: "Well what's the name of the not-for-profit corporation?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston, do you wish to yield Representative Greiman?"
- Preston: "My understanding is that is the Museum for Public Broadcasting."
- Vinson: "Okay, my final question is, what does this museum that we're subsidizing, have to do with Lake Michigan overflow?"
- Preston: "First of all, we are not subsidizing anything pursuant to this legislation at all. There's not penny one involved in this. There are about 40... 30 to 40 different obligations, responsibilities, or possible responsibilities that the department has. This simply adds one that it may, if it wishes and pursuant to appropriation by this Body, establish among other things, other museums that it may establish, may establish or contribute to the establishment or promoting of a museum for public radio and television broadcasting."
- Vinson: "Yes. The question was, how does that relate to Lake Michigan overflow?"
- Preston: "I can't answer that. I'm not sure that's an appropriate question."
- Vinson: "I don't think anybody could, Sir, but I'd urge a 'no'
 vote."
- Preston: "It promotes... it promotes the City of Chicago which has fine, excellent public broadcasting, some of the best in the country."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Greiman, the Gentleman from Cook."
- Greiman: "Yes, thank you. The... Lest there be any question

June 20, 1986

The people... the museum itself about it. that is being created is not-for-profit museum. T t deals with 75 broadcasting of radio and television over the last in the Chicago area. Chicago was once the total center of broadcasting for radio in the early days of radio. We have a rich heritage and a rich background in radio broadcasting. Most of the great early shows emanated Chicago in broadcasting. the City of Many of the shows on television, in the early days came from Chicago. award presentations... our Emmy presentations provide for the people of all of the middle our radio and our television goes beyond because Chicago, source... a great source of cultural advantage and of interest. This is an effort by many people. I * m for example, that Neilson from Neilson Service is one of the people who has provided funds for this museum to start up, and he is not, to my knowledge, a Democrat. bν way, and there are other people who are interested in I think it is a very appropriate thing. this museum. Ιt public... It has an excellent public purpose, and I think it is a good idea for us to do the very kind of thing that we are doing. And its name is the Museum of Broadcasting and it's an appropriate... it's an appropriate contribution. Mr. Vinson has riled against it as he riles against so many things and had he taken the time to quietly certainly. people could have advised him what this It is a fine public purpose and I vote... a vote for it."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lee,

Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Olson: "Would it be possible for Representative Preston to

June 20, 1986

address this issue in 1987?"

- Preston: "The beauty of this process, Representative, is that things always have the ability of being brought back. I hope we don't have to address it in '87°. I hope we can address it now. In '87°, perhaps we'll be asking you to express your opinion on whether or not there should be any monies appropriated, but right now we're only trying to include this in the possible powers of the department.
- Olson: "I have the strong feeling, Sir, we will look at this again in 1987, because I think we'd construe that most Members of the General Assembly would not look on this issue as either an emergency or fiscal in nature, by your own word. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Giolio: "Representative Preston, to close."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm just asking for an 'aye'
 vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks that Senate Bill 2232 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

 The voting is open."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Countryman. Representative Countryman wishes to vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 34 voting 'aye', 70 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And Senate Bill 2232, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is here by declared failed. Representative Leverenz, are you seeking recognition, Sir?"
- Leverenz: "I just wanted to let you know they're out of sausage."

 Speaker Giglio: "Until when?"
- Leverenz: "Senator Zito picked it all up and that's why they're out. Sorry to disappoint you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright, continuing on Senate Bills Third

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

Reading, Consumer Protection, page four the Calendar, Senate Bill 1815. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1815, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Controlled Substance Act. Third Reading of the
Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Didrickson, on Senate Bill 1815."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker"

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative
Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you. Senate Bill 1815 amends the Illinois Controlled Substances Act to prohibit designer drugs, which a chemical structure substantially similar to a controlled substance. What we are finding happening talk about the drug. 'ecstasy', perhaps some of you vou have seen some of TV programs on the drug. take on the it's a chemical derivative and they put in a effects of. molecule into it and then it begins to take on the effects it begins to take on the effects of heroine, of cocaine. And the problem is, is that it is LSD, et cetera.) in the State of Illinois right now because it is not heroin. It is not cocaine and it is not these that we have under the Controlled Substances Act. What is occurring also in New York in specific, and in California, and this is to take care of the situation in the State of Illinois with regards to legality before it really becomes is that a back alley chemist can take a problem here. 2,000 dollar investment in equipment and chemicals, turn it into 200 million doses of drugs with a potential value of 70 billion dollars. Somebody can pass these on to unsuspecting person with the potency 200 times the existing drug and be told that it is illegal, or rather i t legal, not illegal. This Bill would make it clearly illegal under the Illinois Controlled Substances Act with

June 20, 1986

the same penalties."

- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Lady asks if Senate Bill 1815 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 104 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. And Senate Bill 1815, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Third Reading, Consumer Protection, on page four of the Calendar appears Senate Bill 1854. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate 3ill 1854, a Bill for an Act concerning the hunting of game and the handling of aquatic life in Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative
- flinn: #Thank vou. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 1854... Senate Bill 1854 is a JCAR and what it does is three different things that, as a matter of practice, are being practiced now under Fire's Arms Identification Card Act, and although they're agreeable with JCAR to do those things. they did not legally have a... or statutorily have the authority to do so, and all this Bill does is grant them the statutory authority to do something that we... that should of done a long time ago. I would ask adoption of the Bill and answer any question, if any."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks for the passage of Senate
 Bill 1854. And on that question, all those in favor
 signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The
 voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the

- June 20, 1986
- record. On this question, there are 104 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and Senate Bill 1854, having received the Constitutional Majority, is here by declared passed. Senate Bill 1946. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1946, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to nursing care facilities. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder, on Senate Bill 1946."
- Ryder: "Ar... Mr. Speaker I would ask permission to take it out of the record for a moment. I understand..."
- Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record. Mr. Clerk."
- Ryder: "...that there's an agreement to come back to it."
- Speaker Giglio: "Senate Bill 2271. Senate Bill 2271,

 Representative McGann. Representative McGann in the
 chamber? Mr. Clerk, take it out of the record. Alright,
 we passed one, one Bill up. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 1856.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1856, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House. Senate Bill 1856 is a JCAR Bill, which has been subject to a number of a Amendments which add provisions from other JCAR Bills, which have passed here earlier. If there are questions about anything specifically, I will be happy to answer them, otherwise I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the passage of Senate Bill 1856. On that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The voting is open.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted as wished? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this

June 20, 1986

question, there's 108 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. And Senate Bill 1856, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ryder, are you ready on Senate Bill 1946? On page 14 of the Calendar appears Senate Bill 1845, Representative Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1845, a Bill for an Act to amend
Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Pullen and Johnson."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton, for what purpose do

Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this Bill, as passed the Senate, dealt with the issue of joint custody. After a number negotiations with various interest groups concerned with the Bill it was decided that we were not going to pass Bill this year. We weren't going to call studied the matter over the next few weeks. Amendment #6 to the Bill guts the Bill and makes some minor changes with regard to the Uniform Transfer to Minors Act. So with that explanation, I would ask that the Sponsors of the perhaps withdraw the Amendments, or even if we adopt them, they'll be removed by Amendment #6. So. ask Representative... T would. indicate Representative Johnson, we're not going to pass anything dealing with the issue of joint custody. Amendment 36 becomes the Bill. It deals with the Illinois Uniform Transfer to Minors Act. And, so I mean, if you want...

June 20, 1986

if you want to pass the Amendments I've... put them on the Bill as long as Amendment \$26 gets on it. It guts... it strikes them, so nothing dealing with this joint custody issue which was the subject matter of the Bill, will be on the Bill after Amendment #6 is adopted."

- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Johnson."
- Johnson: "Well, in keeping with the spirit of good faith, I'll agree to withdraw this Amendment. I hope that you'll join us at the appropriate time in trying to implement some of these important principles that these various floor Amendments embody. I think you probably will, because they are ones you and I basically agree on. Bottom line is, I withdraw the Amendment."
- Speaker Giglio: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

 Kirkland and Johnson."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kirkland. Withdraw Amendment #3.

 Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

 Countryman and Johnson."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Countryman, on Amendment #4."
- Countryman: "Withdraw Amendment 4."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks to withdraw Amendment #4.

 Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative

 Cullerton."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton withdraws Amendment #5.

 Amendment #5 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton, on Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1845."

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

"Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen Last year under the sponsorship of a Representative Breslin and the House, we passed, I believe, Senate Bill 992 dealing with the uniform... Illinois Uniform Transfers to Minors Act. The effective date was The effective date was delayed so that these technical changes could be added sometime during the This is what this Amendment becomes. Ιt deals Session. with the Illinois Uniform Transfers to Minors Act. expands in the definition of *beneficial plan*, changes the *beneficial interest* and creates other definitions, establishes a little more demanding standard care of custodians, provides for a standard determining whether custodians should be reimbursed for in losses. The... most of the Amendment is technical Section 13 (b) retains the prudent man rule for investments currently in use under the Gifts to Minors Act. I'll be happy to answer any questions. Appreciate your support for the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #6

to Senate Bill 1845. Any discussion? Hearing none, all

those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed

'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair's... opinion of the

Chair the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #6 is adopted.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Agreed Resolutions.

Representative Cullerton, for a Motion.

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we would continue the Special Orders of Business originally scheduled for today, Friday, June 20, limited to those Special Orders of which there are still Bills pending, that those Special Orders be continued

131st Legislative Day

- June 20. 1986
- until Monday, June 23, at the call of the Chair. Move that the... renew my Motion."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the Motion be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

 In the opinion of the Chair, the 'aye's' have it. The Motion carries. Reading of the Journal."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 118th Legislative Day."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Hr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the reading of the Journals be suspended and that the following Journals be approved: #118 of May 15; #119 of May 20; #120 of May 21; #121 of May 22; #122 of May 23; and \$123 of June 3."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor... all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 223, Ropp; House Resolution 1471, Ryder; 1472, Terzich; 1473, Brookins; 1474, Keane and McGann; 1475, Kubik; 1476, Wojcik; 1477, DeLeo; and 1478, Pullen et al."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich, on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, these are all congratulatory and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment... the Resolutions are accepted.

 Introduction and First Reading, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3656, offered by Representative Berrios and Cullerton, Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill."

June 20, 1986

Speaker Giglio: "Allowing the Clerk time to give all Bills on Second Reading... read a second time. The House now recognizes, Representative McPike. McPike moves that the House now stand adjourned until 12:00 p.m., Monday, June 23. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The House stands adjourned until Monday, 12:00. Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just for an announcement. We are now planning the fourth annual "Didrickson — Churchill.

Closed" for next Saturday evening, so stay tuned for further announcements."

Speaker Giglio: "Is that twilight golf, Representative?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Correction on the Introduction and First House Bill 3657. Berrios and Cullerton. a Bill Bills. for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bill 332, a an Act to amend an Act Bill for concerning public Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill be held utilities. Second Reading. Senate Bill 1759, a Bill for an Act appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Veterans* Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill will he held OΠ Second Bill 1825, a Bill for an Act making Senate Reading. appropriations to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill will be held on Second Reading. Senate Bi 1 1 1826. a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Higher Education. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill will be held on Second Reading. Senate Bill 1852, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning the Board of Higher Education. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill will be held on Second Reading. Senate Bill 2117. Act to amend an Act in relation to environmental for an

131st Legislative Day

June 20, 1986

protection. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill will be held on Second Reading. Senate Bill 2074, a Bill for an Act to protect the public health by ensuring the privacy of individuals who are subject to testing of antibodies to HTLV-III virus. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill will be held on Second Reading. No further business, the House now stands adjourned."

03/Q4/87 11:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE L

JUNE 20, 1986

H3-3162 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	31
H6-3657 FIRST READING	PAGE	186
SB-0332 SECOND READING	PAGE	186
SB-0332 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	186
SB-0602 RECALLED	PAGE	3
SB-0602 THIRD READING	PAGE	4
SB-0937 THIRD READING	PAGE	145
SB-1320 SECOND READING	PAGE	32
SB-1491 SECOND READING	PAGE	66
S3-1521 THIRD READING	PAGE	2
SB-1558 THIRD READING	PAGE	20
S8-1602 SECOND READING	PAGE	78
SB-1606 SECOND READING	PAGE	79
SB-1607 SECOND READING	PAGE	79
SB-1609 SECOND READING	PAGE	80
S8-1611 SECOND READING	PAGE	125
SB-1624 SECOND READING	PAGE	36
SB-1659 SECOND READING	PAGE	37
SB-1666 THIRD READING	PAGE	2
		73
	PAGE	
SB-1700 THIRD READING	PAGE	4
SB-1700 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	9
SB-1706 SECOND READING	PAGE	74
SB-1737 SECOND READING	PAGE	81
SB-1737 SECOND READING	PAGE	
		86
S6-1737 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	82
SB-1747 SECOND READING	PAGE	82
SB-1749 SECOND READING	PAGE	85
SB-1750 SECOND READING	PAGE	87
SB-1752 SECOND READING	PAGE	88
SB-1753 SECOND READING	PAGE	96
SB-1754 SECOND READING	PAGE	100
SB-1755 SECOND READING	PAGE	101
SB-1756 SECOND READING	PAGE	102
SB-1758 SECOND READING	PAGE	104
SB-1759 SECOND READING	PAGE	186
SB-1759 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	186
SB-1760 SECOND READING	PAGE	
		105
SB-1761 SECOND READING	PAGE	107
SB-1762 SECOND READING	PAGE	107
SB-1762 SECOND READING	PAGE	127
S3-1762 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	107
SB-1763 SECOND READING	PAGE	107
SB-1764 SECOND READING	PAGE	123
S8-1765 SECOND READING	PAGE	128
SB-1766 SECOND READING	PAGE	128
SB-1770 SECOND READING	PAGE	129
SB-1771 SECOND READING	PAGE	129
SB-1773 SECOND READING	PAGE	129
S3-1774 SECOND READING	PAGE	
		130
S8-1775 SECUND READING	PAGE	131
SB-1776 SECOND READING	PAGE	131
SB-1777 SECOND READING	PAGE	132
SB-1778 SECOND READING	PAGE	132
SB-1808 SECOND READING	PAGE	132
SB-1814 THIRD READING	PAGE	
		150
SB-1815 THIRD READING	PAGE	179
SB-1822 SECOND READING	PAGE	133
SB-1825 SECONO READING	PAGE	186
SB-1825 HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	186
SB-1826 SECOND READING	PAGE	186
	PAGE	
SB-1826 HELD ON SECOND		186
SB-1838 SECOND READING	PAGE	39
SB-1838 SECOND READING	PAGE	64
SB-1841 SECOND READING	PAGE	134

-03/04/87 11:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 20, 1986

	SECOND READING	PAGE	182
	SECOND READING	PAGE	186
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	186
	THIRD READING	PAGE	180
	THIRD READING	PAGE	181
	SECOND READING	PAGE	75
	THIRD READING	PAGE	27
	THIRD READING	PAGE	135
	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
SB-1991	SECOND READING	PAGE	41
	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
SB-2025	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
SB-2038	THIRD READING	PAGE	26
SB-2042	THIRD READING	PAGE	136
SB-2074	SECOND READING	PAGE	187
SB-2074	SECOND READING	PAGE	187
SB-2074	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	187
SB-2074	RECALLED	PAGE	187
SB-2105	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
SB-2117	SECOND READING	PAGE	186
SB-2117	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	187
SB-2117	RECALLED	PAGE	187
SB-2123	THIRD READING	PAGE	9
SB-2157	SECOND READING	PAGE	48
SB-2177	THIRD READING	PAGE	19
SB-2185	THIRD READING	PAGE	28
SB-2205	THIRD READING	PAGE	2
\$3-2210	THIRD READING	PAGE	29
SB-2231	THIRD READING	PAGE	156
SB-2232	THIRD READING	PAGE	172
SB-2255	SECOND READING	PAGE	48
SB-2255	SECOND READING	PAGE	136
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	60
	SECOND READING	PAGE	60
SB-2293	SECOND READING	PAGE	63
	SECOND READING	PAGE	64
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SJR-0097	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER	MCPIKE	IN	CHAIR	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND NELSON	RICE			PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE				PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE				PAGE	1
SPEAKER BRAUN IN CHAIR				PAGE	78
SPEAKER GIGLIO IN CHAIR				PAGE	97
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - AD.	JOURNMER	IT.		PAGE	187