57th Legislative Day

June 19. 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 12:00 having arrived, the House will be in Session. The Chaplain for today will be Father Charles Skach, Pastor of St. Odillo Church of Berwyn. Pather Skach is the guest of Representative Jack Kubik. Will the guests in the gallery please rise and join us in the invocation? Father?"

Father Skach: "Almighty God, You have created all of us in Your own image, and in Your boundless love, have ennobled us all to become Your children, to share Your power, Your and Your love, that together we may renew the face of the earth. In Your gracious providence, You grant us leaders to promote justice and mercy that we may live in prosperity and peace. Bless Your servants, the Members of this House. Grant them Your spirit of wisdom, a spirit of justice always tempered by mercy, a spirit of understanding fortitude, and a spirit of joy in their work. Grant that they may ever seek the good of all whom they have been commissioned to serve, and never stray from your ways of righteousness. May they ever seek only what is right just, never being deceived or led astray by the corruption of self seeking or self service. Faithful to Your law, may they ever work for our good, that the riches of your creation be our common possession according to Your will. And after they have served You faithfully here on earth, may they hear your words, 'Well done, good and faithful servants. Enter now into the joy of your Lord. boundless confidence in Your goodness, we ask all of this from You, our God and Father. Amen."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Ropp will lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag."

Ropp: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

 Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 118 Members having responded to the Roll Call, a quorum is present. All Members are present. Committee Reports."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Leverenz. Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 18, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 306, 463, 465, 480 and 496: 'do pass as amended Senate Bills 342, 454, 460, 466, 471. 474 475."
- Speaker Greiman: "Page 30 of the Calendar, Consent Calendar Second Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar Second Reading, Second Senate Bill 9, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Criminal Extradition Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 31, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 53. a Bill for an Act to amend the Physical Fitness Service Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill a Bill for an Act to amend the Child Passenger Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 92, a an Act providing for the establishment of the Illinois Mathmatics and Science Academy, together with Committee Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 111, a Bill for an Act to amend the Senior Citizens' Disabled Persons' Property Tax Relief and Pharmaceutical Assistance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 158, a Bill for an Act to provide for the organization and operation of districts, together with Committee historical museum

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Amendment #1. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 180. a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code, together with Committee Amendment #1. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 202, a Bill for Act in relation an alcoholism and substance abuse. together with Amendment #1 and 2. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill 212, a Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 218, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 229, a Bill for an Act to amend the Burial of Indigent Veterans. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 248, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Lottery Law. Second Senate Bill 310, a Bill for an Act to Reading of the Bill. amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 315, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, together with Committee Amendment Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 404, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading Senate Bill 414, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, together with Committee Amendment # 1_ Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 419, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 447, a Bill for an Act concerning the compensation of individuals for self employment related injuries, disabilities and illnesses. Second Reading of Senate Bill 448, a Bill for an Act to amend the General Obligation Bond Act. Second Reading of the Senate Bill 497, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Second Reading of the Bill. Act. Senate Bill 489, a for Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 501, a Bill for an Act to amend relation to bonds of contractors entering into

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

contracts for public construction. Second Reading of Senate Bill 513, a Bill for an Act in relation to the filing date for returns for certain state taxes. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 576, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 597, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, together with Committee Amendment # 1. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 613, a Bill for an Act relating to Fox Valley Park District. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 681, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill 728, a Bill for an Act concerning establishment of standards through adminstrative rules. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 729, a Bill for an Act in certain state regulatory agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 733, a Bill for an Act to Act in relation to state finance. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 742, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 748, a Bill for an Act in relation +0 retailers, servicemen and suppliers maintaining a place of business in Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill 758, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Athletic Trainers' Practice Act, together with Committee Amendment Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 793, a Bill for an Act in relation to state finance. Second Reading of Senate Bill 817, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 823, a Bill for an Act relating to Military and Naval Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Bill for an Act to punish... to punish fund (sic or extravagance in the expenditure of appropriated for public improvements. Second Reading of

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

the Bill. Senate Bill 839, a Bill for an Act to amend the Property Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 850, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 858, a Bill for an Act to amend the Child Labor Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 865. a Bi 11 Act in relation to conveyance of public land. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 866, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 870, a Bill for an Act to Act concerning the rule making authority of the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 903, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, together with Committee Amendment #1. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 995, a Bill for an Act the Criminal Code and an Act to create the Minority and Penale Business Enterprise Act. Second Reading of Senate Bill 1005, a Bill for an Act in regard to Bill. Attorneys General and State's Attorneys. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1039, a Bill for an Act to amend the Beer Industry Fair Dealers' Act. Second Reading of the Senate Bill 1091, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, together with Committee Amendment Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1104, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 1106, a Bill for an Act to amend the Secretary of State Merit Employment Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1151, a Bill for an Act swimming pool safety. relation to Second Reading of the Senate Bill 1193, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to firearms and firearm ammunition. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1204, a Bill for Act to amend the Trust and Trustees Act. Second Reading of the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Bill. Senate Bill 1279, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Libraries Act. Second Reading of the Bill. 1338, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Officers and Employees Money Disposition Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1366, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning purchase contracts. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1367, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to state finance. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1413, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act. Second Reading of Senate Bill 1429, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bill. the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Senate Bill 1454, a Bill for an Act concerning the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Page 35 of the Calendar,
Consent Calendar Third Reading, Second Day."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar Third Reading, Second Senate Bill 18, a Bill for an Act to amend the Real Estate License Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 136, a Bill for an Act relating to the Veterinary Medicine and Surgery Practice Act. Second Reading ... Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 170, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the practice of podiatry. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 177, a Bill for an Act in relation to county zoning. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 246, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act revise the law in relation to recorders. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 286, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 322, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relation to compensation of sheriffs, coroners, county treasurers, county clerks, recorders and auditors. Third

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 338, a Bill for an Act in relation to tuition-free courses for senior citizens who attend public institutions of higher education. Senate Bill 348, a Bill for an Act to Reading of the Bill. revise the law in relation to fences. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 366, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 554, a Bill for an Act to amend the Real Estate License Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 577, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Notary Public Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 655, a Bill for an Act to the Code of Civil Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 673, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the control of travel by state personnel. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 674, a Bill for an Act the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 675, a Bill for an Act making confidentiality requirements governing state agencies applicable to data centers and electronic data processing contractors. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 676, a Bill for an Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 677, a Bill for an Act to amend the Property Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Bill 678, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Emergency Services and Disaster Agency Act. Third Reading of the Senate Bill 679, a Bill for an Act relating to the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 682, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 683, a Bill for an Act to amend the Dental Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 686, Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 785, a Bill for an Act to

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 812, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pesticide Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Bill for an Act creating the Energy and Natural Resources Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 841, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees' Group Insurance Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 851, a Bill for Act to amend the law concerning the maintenance of dental records by the Department of Law Enforcement. Third o£ the Bill. Senate Bill 860, a Bill for an Act to amend the Carnival and Amusement Ride Safety Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 874, a Bill for an amend the Illinois Clinical Laboratory Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 876, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to salvage warehouses and salvage warehouse stores. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 877, a Bill for an Act to amend the Structural Pest Control Third Reading of the Bill. Act. Senate Bill 891, a Bill an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 909, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Bill 1053, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1322, a Bill Act to amend an Act relating to the Board of Higher Education. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1398, a Bill for an Act to revise various Acts to resolve multiple Amendments and additions to correct technical errors and other patent errors and omissions to revise cost references delete obsolete and duplicative provisions. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1462, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the licensing of various

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

professions by the Department of Registration and Bducation. Third Reading of the Bill. Correction. I said Senate Bill 922, and it should have been Senate Bill 322, amends an Act in relation to compensation of sheriffs. Third Reading of the Bill."

- Speaker Greiman: "These Bills will be called for a vote later in the afternoon. During the afternoon, of course, you will have an opportunity to remove Bills from the Consent Calendar. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, seek recognition?"
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like leave to take... "

 Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Keane. Excuse me. I'd like to have the aisles cleared, please. We are in Session, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'd like the aisle cleared. We have with us Commissioner 'Lawry and Vaughn' of the 'Live Report' here. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, seek recognition?"
- Reane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave to take Senate
 Bill 513, which is on page 31 of the Consent Calendar
 Second Reading, off Consent Calendar for purposes of an
 Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, asks leave of the House to return Senate Bill 513, which is on Consent Calendar Third Reading, to the Order of Second Reading.

 Does the Gentleman have leave? You have leave. Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 513, a Bill for an Act in relation to the filing date for returns of certain state taxes. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Keane."

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, on Floor Amendment 1."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All Ploor Amendment #1 does is say that the Act takes effect January 1, 1986. I've cleared this with the Republican spokesman and would ask for adoption of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 513. Those in favor... Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Keane, do you have... Yes,
 Mr. Keane."
- Keane: "Mr. Speaker, could I have leave to leave that on the Consent Calendar?"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman asks leave of the House to return this to the Order of Consent Calendar Third Reading, Second Second Reding... Second Reading, Second Day. the Gentleman have leave? You have leave, Attendance Roll Call. Ladies and Gentlemen, it is the intention of the Chair to go to the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading on page 24, and to begin where we left off yesterday. That would be with Senate Bill 1008. Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition? For no purpose. Mr. Clerk, on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1008. Mr. Homer? of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1009. Mr. Mays? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1009, a Bill for an Act to amend

· 57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1010. Out of the

 record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading

 appears Senate Bill 1018. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1018, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of an Act creating the Department of Children and
 Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
 Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Bowman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Floor
 Amendment #1."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment was prepared for me by the Department of Children and Family Services, which the Bill affects, and it is basically a technical Amendment to satisfy some of their problems with the Bill. It doesn't make any substantive change in the Bill. I move adoption of Amendment \$1."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1018. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. With the noise level in here, we couldn't hear, you know, what the Amendment does. Would the Sponsor please, you know, repeat what it does? And also, a question of the Clerk. Has this been printed and distributed yet?"
- Speaker Greiman: "I'm advised that the Amendment has been printed

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

and distributed. Mr. Piel. Mr. Bowman?"

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The underlying Bill authorizes the Department to operate an emergency shelter program for homeless youth who are under the age of 18 years old. The Amendment simply is clarifying. It doesn't make substantive changes in the underlying Bill. It's only a paragraph long. The Bill is only a paragraph long. Want me to read it?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel?"

Bowman: "It was distributed yesterday."

Piel: "I've seen... You say it's only a paragraph long. I've seen one line with hundreds of millions of dollars in it, but I will take your word for it, Representative Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1019. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1019, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Speaker Madigan in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, if I could have your attention for a few moments, we have a special presentation to make today to a very long time friend of the House of Representatives and the Legislature, and a very long time

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

friend of all of us. So... So all of us have been honored by the friendship that we've had with former Representative Pete Miller. Pete served as a Member of the House when I first arrived in 1971. He tells me that he was first elected as a Member of the Legislature in 1947... 1945, and from that point forward, he has been responsible for arranging the annual Senate-House softball game, which will be tonight, and which acts as a form of financial support for the youth of the Springfield area. And I know that on a very personal basis, I certainly appreciate all of the untiring efforts that Pete has given on behalf of the softball game, but in addition to that, you all know that just a very good friend. So as he discharges his duties around the Legislature today, you know that if there problems or concerns that you encounter in the discharge of your duties as a State Representative, Pete Miller is always right there, willing and able to be of assistance and to help. And so, at this time, I would like present Pete with this plaque which reads that past and present colleages of the Illinois General Assembly... could we discontinue the caucus? And the unauthorized personnel leave the floor. And any other unauthorized personnel, please leave the floor. It's at this the Session that these... this becomes a serious problem. Back to the plaque. 'Past and present colleagues of the Illinois General Assembly, joined by Springfield Recreation Department and the hundreds of youths who have benefitted from the Senate-House softball game, express our gratitude to the honorable Peter J. Miller for tireless efforts making this rite of spring a continuing success. Presented Signed by this 19th day of June, 1985. the four Legislative Leaders and Governor Thompson. So, Peter. congratulations."

57th Legislative Day

June 19. 1985

Capparelli: "When I came down here in 1970, Pete put me on the softball team, and as he left, he told me to carry on. And I've been trying to do that over the years, and Roger McAuliffe and I, and now Gordon Ropp has joined me, and promise to continue in that fashion, to have a game against Senate everv year and try to bring the winning team back to the House each year. And I'd like to make a presentation to the Speaker. Every year, we... Lee, would you come up here? We have yours, too. We'd like to make a presentation to the Speaker with our 'Mr. Speaker' shirt, which has got to be a tradition. I think I'll... 1 I'll let Gordon make the presentation to his Leader."

"Wery good. Ropp: It's our pleasure to present this shirt to the Leader of the Illinois House, Lee Daniels, here. just fits."

Capparelli: "And now, we'd like to hear from Pete Miller." Pete Miller: "Thank you. Thank you. I'm very happy that my wife is up in gallery. You know, we used to get paid once every four years when I was first elected. My wife would come down here the first day and get the full four years' salary, and then she wouldn't come back for four more years But seriously speaking, this is one heart is with this House. It always has been, although I served eight years in the Senate, and over there closed club and all that sort of thing, but here the House is open. It's wonderful. What you do for the kids of Springfield is felt. Springfield has the lowest juvenile percentage record of any major city in the country, because you keep these youngsters busy in their leisure time. that wonderful ball park that labor and the House-Senate money built, those youngsters are doing something all long at that ball park. It's out there at the old brick yard. And that's just one accomplishment.

When

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

happened in Effingham, Illinois, the hospital, the nuns were rebuilding the hospital, we ran a game between Senate and the House and made \$13,000, and that was given to the good sisters. And after that, Joe 'Goldblatt', the chairman of the eradication of TV, we raised a game between the Aldermen of Chicago - \$5,000. Now that is type of things that can be done not only remembering in your busy time, all these youngsters in Springfield. Иe must remember they're the future citizens of this country, and I hope that you and I won't forget. I was 76 years of age Sunday. I don't know how many more I can go on, but Ralph Capparelli will do the job. and I thank the Speaker and the Governor and all those for this plaque. You know, it will be just closest to my bed. When I open my eyes in the morning, I'll see it and I'll think of all of you. Thank you."

"That's right. Ropp: Pete Miller is also represented by our distinguished colleague Lee Daniels, and we're delighted Let me also invite the Members of the House to for that. the House-Senate softball game tonight that will occur immediately after adjournment at Iles Park, which is at 6th Ash Street, I believe, and you know that we have never lost to that other Body, and we want to assure you that we maintain that continued, longstanding tradition will tonight, even though we haven't had a practice, and Senate's had two or three practices, and even though they ve broken two or three of their fingers, I think we'll be able to equalize them by at least 15 runs or better. So, we urge you all to get out there immediately after we adjourn. Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "And Pete forgot to mention that the lights at Chamberlain Park were donated by the electrical workers of Illinois."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Greiman in the Chair. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1021. Excuse me. Yes, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing, seek recognition?"

Ewing: "I was certainly pleased to find out who put up the lights at the park. Did they put up the lights at Wrigley Field."

Speaker Greiman: "Not yet."

Ewing: "Not yet. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "So, on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1021. Mr. Hastert? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1029. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1032. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1032, a Bill for an Act to provide for legal assistance to Illinois farmers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1036. Mr. Keane. Out

of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading

appears Senate Bill 1037. Mr. Keane? Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1037, a Bill for an Act in relationship
to property tax levy collection and payment in all
counties. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was
adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Notions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Ploor Amendments."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "And the State Mandates Piscal Act Note has been filed. Is that correct?"

Clerk Leone: "Mandate's Act Fiscal Note has been filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1047. Mr. LePlore? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1055. Mr. Hoffman? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1055, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1056. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1060. Mr. Preston. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1060, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the Military and Naval Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "None,"

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1063, Mr. Keane. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1063, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1064. Out of the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1073. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1073, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to offenses involving the operation of vehicles. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1077. Out of the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1082. Mr. McAuliffe? 1082, Mr. McAuliffe. Would you like the Bill read? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1082, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1."

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1090. Mr. Clerk, read
the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1090, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1095. Out of the Record. On the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Order of Senate Bills Second Reading... Alright. We had passed over Senate Bills Second Reading, Senate Bill 1029. Br. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1029, a Bill for an Act in relationship
to enterprise zones. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed. Floor Amendment #2, McNamara, amends Senate Bill 1029."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McNamara."

McNamara: "Amendment #2 is merely a technical correction. It renumbers the Section 7 as Section #4."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McNamara, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1029. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' I'm sorry, Mr. Woodyard. Yes? The Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Woodyard, on Amendment #2."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Woodyard: "Representative, would you run through that Amendment again? You're amending... This is an enterprise zone Bill, and what does your Amendment do?"

McNamara: "Yes, what the Amendment does - and I'll read it It... amends Senate Bill 1029 by renumbering Section 7 as
Section 4. What has happened is, it was just a technical
Amendment in the drafting where the Bill was put into the
wrong Section. It is now taken as Section 7 and
renumbered."

goodyard: "It has nothing to do with adding or deleting enterprise zones."

McNamara: "Nothing at all."

Woodyard: "Okay."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted.

Purther Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Beading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears... appears Senate Bill 1103.

Appears... On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading

appears Senate Bill 1102. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1102, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Business Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Sponsor asks leave of the House for the Bill to remain on the Order of Second Reading. The Gentleman has leave. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1103. Mr. Davis? Mr. Davis? Out of the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1119. Mr. Terzich? Out of the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1121. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1121, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Amendments with respect to... I'm sorry. Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1123. Mr. Laurino? Mr.

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1123, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1127. Out of the Record. On the Order of... Well, let's see now. Turner says, 'Out of the record.' Braun says, 'Go with it.' Yes? Out of the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1129. Ms. Braun? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1129, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Saltsman, amends Senate Bill 1129 on page..."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Saltsman, on Amendment #1. The Clerk advises me, Ms. Braun, that the Amendment that Mr. Saltsman is offering has not been printed and delivered. So, want to take it out of the record? Put Ms. Braun on."
- Braun: "This Bill is ready to go to Third Reading, Mr. Speaker.

 I have not had any discussions with the Sponsor of the Amendment, and I°d just as soon have it go to Third Reading at this time."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Saltsman, what's your pleasure, Sir?"

Saltsman: "Yes, I'll withdraw that Amendment, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Amendment is withdrawn.

Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Purther Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1133. Mr. Tate? Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1133, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act prohibiting local governments from regulating hunting and fishing. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Zwick, amends Senate Bill
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Kane, Ms. Zwick. Alright. I am advised that this is not printed either. Mr. Tate, do you wish to take this out of the record? We'll try to get back to it. It'll be printed. When the Clerk tells me it's printed, we'll get back to it sometime. Alright. Out of the Record. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, having arrived on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1103 Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 1103."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1103, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1
 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1136. Mr. Clerk, read

 the Bill.
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1136, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1156. Ms. Braun, 1156.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1156, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
in relationship to job training. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright. On the... Again, on page 25 of the Calendar on Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1163. Ms. Currie, 1163. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1163, a Bill for an Act to amend the Structural Pest Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1164. Out of the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1165. Out of the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills, 1166. Mr. Phelps. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 1166."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill... Senate Bill 1166, a Bill for an Act
to amend the Illinois Emergency Services and Disaster
Agency Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was
adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. For what purpose does the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, seek recognition?"

son: "Parliamentary inquiry, Nr. Speaker. Is..."

Speaker Greiman: "Make your inquiry."

Vinson: "I beg your pardon?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, make your inquiry."

Vinson: "Senate Bill 1166 on the board right now. Is that the earthquake preparedness Bill?"

Speaker Greiman: "I don't read the Bills until I'm required to,
Mr. Vinson. Alright. On page 26 of the Calendar, Senate
Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1171. Out of the
Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading
appears Senate Bill 1185. Mr. Soliz. Mr. Soliz? Out of
the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading
appears Senate Bill 1188. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1198, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1212. Mr. Clerk, read
the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1212, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Berrios, amends... "

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Amendment #1."

Berrios: "Amendment #1 merely puts the Bill in the proper perspective, and it's an Amendment that the Committee had requested I put on there."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, moves for

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1212. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Purther Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1213. Mr. Clerk, read
 the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1213, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "The ... Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Berrios, amends Senate Bill 1213."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios."
- Berrios: "Again, this is an Amendment that was requested by the Committee. It simply puts the Bill in proper perspective and makes it apply to Chicago only. I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill !213. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1214. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1214, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1215. Mr. Young? Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1215, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois School Student Records Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are none."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1217. Out of the

 Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading

 appears Senate Bill 1218. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1218, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
 to create the Educational Partnership Act. Second Reading
 of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Berrios, amends Senate Bill 1218."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Amendment #1."
- Berrios: "Amendment #1 simply takes care of some of the problems that some of the Committee Members had on it. It makes it permissive."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1218. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
 Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."
- Piel: "Representative, the way the Bill stands now, and then

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

after the Amendment is put on - correct me if I'm wrong the way that it stands now - is this just for the City of
Chicago, or is it for the entire state?"

- Berrios: "I think it's for the entire state, but there is a couple more Amendments coming to this Bill."
- Piel: "Okay. Now, the way it stands without the Amendment, it's for the entire state, and then once the Amendment goes on, then it just deals with just the City of Chicago. Am I correct, or am I reading something into it?"
- Berrios: "I believe this was supposed to be for the entire state,
 but I'll check, and before I move it, I'll, you know, get
 back to you."
- Piel: "Yeah, because the question I had, it authorizes, instead of requires, the Chicago Board of Education to establish peer assistance programs, which would, to me, unless I'm misreading it, it would change the Bill around to make it significant just for the City of Chicago instead of the entire state. So, you're going to hold this thing on Second Reading and then..."

Berrios: "Right."

Piel: "Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Mulcahey: "Representative Berrios, I don't know if you just explained the Amendment or not, but you alluded to the fact that there was some problem as far as the Committee was concerned, and this Amendment takes care of that. What..."

Berrios: "No, there's... There's another Amendment coming. That
was the part of the... There's another Amendment coming
that says... will correct that class felony."

Mulcahey: "Yeah."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Berrios: "It's going to make it a class A. That's coming."

Mulcahey: "Okay. What does this Amendment do?"

Berrios: "It makes it permissive."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Berrios? Do you wish this held on... held on the Order of Third Reading? Leave of the House to hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading. of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1224. Ms-Stern? Out of the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1229. of the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1237. Ms. Oblinger? Out of the On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1243. Ms. Satterthwaite? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1243, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to mental health education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright. We passed over Senate
Bill 1185, which appears on the Order of Senate Bills
Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 1185."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1185, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Hospital Licensing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1244. Mr. Homer? 1244.

Yes, Mr. Homer. Read the Bill..."

Homer: "No..."

Speaker Greiman: "Question? Oh, yes."

Homer: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes?"

Homer: "Perhaps I could make a parliamentary inquiry. I noted in the Calendar my name is on that Bill. However, my records show that the actual Sponsors are Cullerton and McCracken, and that my name is not listed as a principle Sponsor. What does the Clerk's Office show?"

Speaker Greiman: "We're going to check it. The terminal shows that you are the principle Sponsor, Mr. Homer. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1244, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to offenses involving the operation of vehicles while under the influence of alcohol or other drugs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1260. Mr. Mays. Mr.

Hays, 1260? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1260, a Bill for an Act in relationship to oil and gas drilling. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Mays, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Mays. Mr. Mays, your microphone

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

apparently... Mr. Hastert's."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just want to make it clear to the Members of the chamber that we will be bringing this Bill back for an Agreed Amendment from Third Reading, if you would move it to that, that Mr. Hicks will be sponsoring later on this week."

Speaker Greiman: "Do you wish to stay on the Order of Second Reading, or you want to move it to Third? Alright. Move it to Third Reading. Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1262. Mr. Cullerton? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1262, a Bill for an Act creating the
Sexual Abusers of Children Treatment Act. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1265, Ms. Oblinger.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1265, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1266. Mr. Stephens.

Mr. Churchill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1266, a Bill for an Act to amend the Radiation Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendments #1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Ploor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1267. Mr. Hoffman?

 Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1267, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Hoffman, amends Senate Bill 1267 on page two."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, on Amendment #1."
- Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 does a simple thing on line two on page two. It strikes the word 'public' in terms of institutions of higher learning. So, as it's now amended, it applies to all institutions of higher learning, and I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1267."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1267.

 And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted.

 Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1272. Ms. Didrickson, 1272? Out of the Record? On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1273. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1273, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to certain cigarette taxes. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1278. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1278, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1281. Mr. McCracken?

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1281, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1283, Mr. Young. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1283, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1284. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1284, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

relating to the adoption of children. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1286. Mr. Clerk, read
the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1286, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments? Any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Hotions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Regan, amends Senate Bill 1286."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Regan, on Amendment #2."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1286 is simply cleaning up some
language requested by the Committee. I move for its
adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1296. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Regan: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Well... Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Cullerton?"

Cullerton: "I asked if he would yield for a question."

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he'll yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Regan, this Bill was in the Judiciary

II Committee. Is that correct?"

Regan: "Yes."

57th Legislative Day

June 19. 1985

Cullerton: "And did you present the Bill in Committee?"

Regan: "Yes."

Cullerton: "And was this an Amendment that was drafted by... As a result of some recommendations made by the Committee Members?"

Regan: "Yes, Sir."

Cullerton: "Do you recall who it is that drafted it? Was it your staff or our staff?"

Regan: "I believe it was our staff. Mr. McCracken."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1287. Mr. Clerk. Mr.

Regan, you're doing a lot of business today. Do you want that Bill called? Call the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1287, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment... the Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright. Yes, the Gentleman from Marion, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask for a 30 minute recess

for the purpose of a Republican conference in room 118,

immediately."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from Marion, Conference Chair of the Republican side, has requested a conference for 30 minutes. There will be a Republican conference in room 118. Mr. Friedrich, we have a great deal of business, so we will begin promptly at 15 minutes after the hour of 2:00. The Chair will begin to operate business. So, the House will stand adjourned... I mean, stand in recess until the hour of 2:15, 2:15, for the purposes of a Republican conference. But we will begin promptly at 2:15."

Speaker Greiman: "The House will be in order. Will unauthorized persons pleaseleave the floor? The House will be in order. Will unauthorized persons please leave the floor? Alright. Page 27... Page 27 of the Calendar, Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1289. Out of the Record. the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Bill 1298. Out of the Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1303. Out of Record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1307. Mr. McCracken? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1307..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1307, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1312. Mr. Nash? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1312, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1318. Mr. Clerk, read

 the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1318, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Private Activity Bond Allocation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Greiman, amends Senate Bill 1319."
- Speaker Greiman: "Withdrawn, withdrawn, right? Is that right,
 Mr. Keane? Mr. Keane asks for it to be withdrawn, and it
 will be withdrawn. Purther Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading, page 28 of the Calendar appears Senate Bill

 1321. Ms. Braun, 1321? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1321, a Bill for an Act to improve urban schools. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are none."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1325. Mr. Clerk, read

 the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1325, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are none."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1337. Mr. Clerk, read

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1337, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Hotel Operators' Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, it is now the intention of the Chair to provide a Special Subject Matter Call, Second Reading, Environmental Protection Agency. And on that are three Bills, 658, 1351 and 1451, on that call. So, on the Order, Special Subject Matter Call on Environmental Protection appears Senate Bill 658. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 658, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Cullerton - Levin, amends

Senate Bill 658."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 658."

Cullerton: "Thank Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of YOU. Mr. the House. Ladies and Gentlemen, I believe that among the lobbying groups that are down here today, you may have noticed that some people from my district and Representative Levin's district have been down lobbying with regard to legislation dealing with lights at Wrigley Field. Now, let me give you the background with regard to this Amendment. As you perhaps all know, ¥е passed legislation a few years back which prohibited night baseball at Wrigley Pield. That action by the Assembly is currently being litigated and is now before the Illinois Supreme Court, as well as a city ordinance which

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

also banned night baseball. The Tribune Company has indicated their desire to have baseball games played, first all, in the post season, as well as games played during the regular season, at night. The Tribune is also meeting with community groups right now to discuss the Tribune's request. Now, the position of the community has always been, they have been opposed to night baseball. When the Bill was passed, of course, a few years ago, the Cubs had not won the division and had not been in a position where they were even playing post season games. But, as we know, last year they did play post season games. and I'm that after this seven game losing streak is over, they're going to be playing post season games again at the end of year. Now, let me just ... Let me just say this. couple of years ago when we talked about this, there was... there was an attitude, I think, of ... Well, it was kind of whimsical. It was, yeah, let's keep the baseball tradition of day baseball, or no, I'd rather see night games because I want to watch the Cubs play at night. And I think people somewhat misunderstood the importance of the issue to my district and to Representative Levin's district. And it is not just an issue which is whimsical. It's a very, very important issue in terms of health, in terms of safety, in terms of quality of life. and in rule. preemption of home Now, it was pointed out to the community that if the Cubs made it to post season qames. they could not play in Wrigley Field, because there's a contract between the baseball commissioner and the television stations to have night games. And so, in an effort to allow for the Cubs to be able to play their post season games in their own park, I come forward with this Amendment, along with Representative Levin, and offer it as a compromise. It is a compromise because it backs

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

from the current law, and it says that, with regard to the state law, that post season games would be allowed. And also made it very clear that the alderman in the ward, Alderman 'Hansen', is agreeable to allowing night games for playoff games for allowing for an season exemption from the city ordinance. Now, let me iust tell you why the people in my community are opposed to this idea having night baseball games throughout the entire year. And I want you to know, I'm not just here representing my district, I'm personally affected by this, because I used to live two blocks away from the park. Now, I live five away from the park. When I have an opportunity to explain to people what goes on, after they understand they are usually convinced. Now, there's health, there's safety and there's general noise considerations. You know there's not enough places to park in Wrigley People know that, which means people park within 12 Field. to 16 blocks of this park. Now, just so you know from looking at the census tracks, there's 55,000 people that live within a mile of Wrigley Field. And that's approximately the population of the entire city of Champaign, just to give you an analogy. Now, the capacity of the park is approximately 37,000. When people go to the they drink. They drink during the day. When people would go the park at night, they would drink more. This allow for 37,000 people who have been drinking would which is, by the way, approximately the size of the City of Urbana - to empty out, onto this mile area, into a population approximately the size of the City of Champaign. It's like a giant beer garden being let out onto a very small community. Now, when they do it now, they And there are drunks that come by my during the day. They do urinate on the lawns. house. They do throw

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

bottles on the street. They do make a lot of noise. Ιn fact, my wife told me that not too long ago, she was out in front of the house, and some people pulled uр in an hour and a half before the game. They pulled out a picnic lunch and camped out on the next door neighbor's lawn to have a little picnic lunch while... before the game started. When they park, they park illegally and they park block areas around intersections so you can't see where the cars are coming. There's a fire station, which you all probably are aware of that is right across from the that frequently has problems getting to and from fires because of the congestion. Now, this happens during people who live there knew about this when they But the problems are multiplied a thousandfold night games. have This Amendment allows for the night games for a maximum of seven games for the season games, but it does not allow for night games for the regular season. I would be happy to answer any questions, but I think that what we should do in addressing this issue is really not be whimsical, to look upon it as the right of a community and of a city... of a city council that voted, I think, 46 to 2, to not have night games. It involves the right of these people to decide these local decisions for themselves. Now, this Tribune Company, which is interested perhaps in making more money, perhaps in improving their baseball club. I'm not sure which. But I recognize the fact that they perhaps should have the right to play night baseball in the playoff games, even though some people in my district don't even want to do we view this as a compromise, and I certainly would that. appreciate your support for this Amendment, but not for you to support the Amendment that preempts home rule and allows them to have night games during the regular season. I'd be

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to HB 658. And on that discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan." Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the rise in support of the Gentleman's Amendment. spoken most eloquently concerning the concerns of the neighborhood that he represents. At this time and on this particular Amendment, I wish to state for the purpose the record that, as you all know, Commissioner Uberoff of major league baseball met with Senator Rock and myself ago, and the message of Commissioner Eubberoth and he said, very clearly and was very clear concisely, that the only need of major league baseball today is the ability to play night games in post-season play at Wrigley Field. That there is no need on the part of major league baseball to go beyond the guestion of post-season play. The need for lights in post-season play relates directly to a contract between ABC television and major league baseball which gives ABC television the ability to demand that the games be played at night and therefore the ability to demand that the games be moved out So that for the Wrigley Field. purpose οf this Amendment, it does meet precisely the need and the requirements of major league baseball and there is no need to go beyond this to satisfy the requests of the office of the Commissioner of Baseball. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I, too, believe that this is an important issue and I am glad that we have the opportunity to debate it even though the Amendment proposed is inadequate. I am somewhat sorry, and I think if Representative Cullerton

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

reflects upon it, he will probably choose to qualify remarks when he suggested that all 37,000 people who attend I don't believe that's the case. Cubs games are drunks. It's not been the case when I have observed Cubs games. T don*+ think that that is generally the case. do understand Representative Cullerton's concern a neighborhood problem and about how a particular commercial venture in a neighborhood creates a problem and about when legislation is brought before the General Assembly we ought to consider what it does to a specific neighborhood. I wish Mr. Cullerton would reflect on things of that nature he consistently votes against efforts people in this chamber have had to try to protect their neighborhoods about O'Hare Field from night flight noise. T thoroughly understand the need to work out compromise а between the community involved and the need to keep major league baseball in the City of Chicago. As а matter of fact. I believe that is the core of the issue that we debate when we talk about whether we are going to permit night baseball at Wrigley Field and whether we are going to possible to have night baseball there. If. in fact, we do not permit meaningful night baseball at Wrigley Field, the economics of the case are such that certainly, the Cubs will have to leave Wrigley Field. That leads to two other possibilities. One is that the taxpayers of this state would be willing to underwrite a domed stadium the Cubs to play in. I think that there are more pressing needs for taxpayer money than to build a domed stadium in the City of Chicago. The second... The third alternative is one I think is even worse and that would be for the General Assembly and the City Council of Chicago, a group of politicians to, in effect, drive a very major and symbolic business out of Illinois, whether we drive them to

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Arizona or whether we drive them to Florida or whether we drive them to Indianapolis, that will be the result night baseball in Wrigley Field because those are the economics of the case and those cities are actively pursuing the Cubs. Representative Cullerton's Amendment is no compromise in this field, and as I say, I would favor a compromise that restricted night baseball. Think what his Amendment does. It sets in place a framework where only night baseball can be played in the playoffs and that night baseball must be illuminated with temporary lights. Now, in order to enter into a contract just to do what he proposes to do, what the Cubs would be required would be to invest \$500,000 at a minimum for the auxiliary things required in lighting, the lights on the the apparatus which supports the temporary lights and so forth. Pive hundred thousand dollars that never be used. If they lose on the last game of the season, they would have invested that \$500,000 and they would never have a chance to get that back to amortize that they would never have a chance to play those night games in the playoffs and in the World Series. That preposterous in and of itself. It's the kind of economics which this General Assembly has sometimes been persuaded to adopt and when it does, we drive business out of the State Illinois. I think we ought to reject it in this case. But consider what the result is if we have temporary lights and night baseball in the playoffs. Then we are putting ourselves in a position where our team, the Cubs, the Illinois team, is having to play in a stadium under conditions that they have never played on before. That's not a friendly field. There is no home field advantage whatsoever to that. You are creating a situation where you don't know what the results are. It's as bad... it would

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

be as bad as the Cubs having to play night games in Yankee Stadium as their home field advantage and I think that all of us could reject that out-of-hand. Ladies and Gentlemen. the Illinois political climate and the Chicago political are at serious doubt at this time. Achieving a stable political climate in this state has been difficult and we all know what the continuing problems are with the Council war situation in Chicago. I think that it would be unconscionable for us to join in a plot to drive something symbolic as the Cubs out of the City of Chicago. think that would demonstrate beyond anything else we could to the minds of most of America just how deeply in decline the City of Chicago and the State of Illinois I would strongly urge a 'no' vote on this because it's more baseball. It is the symbolic stature of Chicago and We ought vote 'no' on this Amendment and I would request... Mr. Speaker, I would request a recorded Call vote on this Amendment. I might add, as the real Speaker spoke to what Mr. Eubberoth had said, that I occasion to speak with the Commissioner's office this Mr. Edward 'Derso', the General Counsel to the Commissioner on Baseball, and just so that everybody in this chamber knows, contrary to the rumors. Commissioner's Office is not prepared to pay for the costs temporary lights at Wrigley Field. Neither is NBC or ABC in their playoff and World Series contracts. That cost must be born by the Cubs and that is why, if you do this on a temporary light basis, what you are saying is no to major league baseball in Chicago. I urge a 'no' vote on Amendment because we should not do that."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am pleased to join with Representative Cullerton

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

presenting this Amendment which is extraordinarily important to the constituents in our area. And we have, as you have seen throughout the day, many, many residents from the community who have come down because of their concern for their neighborhood. This is a neighborhood that is fighting the kind of fight, Ι think. that every neighborhood in this state understands. The players may be The big corporation maybe have a different name, but it's the same kind of fight to maintain and preserve a neighborhood, a neighborhood where there are a lot of young professionals who have moved back suburbs and renovated because they have a pride in their community and they are willing to fight for it. have heard, however, on this issue from the Chicago Tribune lot of misinformation. We initially were told some three years ago that the Cubs couldn't win if they couldn't play at night. We heard that from Dallas Green. apparently, his players didn't hear that because they did a pretty good job last year. We also heard that the Cubs couldn't make any money playing games during the day time. Well, last year they had a quality product and if you tried to get a ticket, you couldn't because the games were pretty much all sold out. You are not going to get any more people coming to a night game than you are to a when you get the kind of quality team that we have right now. Now we are hearing that, you know, we don't care if community is concerned about its preservation. this Яe don't care about the compromise that the community willing to go along with, and I got to say there are a lot of people in the community who are very reluctant about this compromise that we are offering today, but they are realists and they don't want to stand... they don't want the Cubs to move away and they don't want the Cubs to play

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

in the playoff in St. Louis, so the community is offering this compromise. Yet we hear from the Tribune that they don't want this, they don't want to compromise. Thev night games during the regular season and they want permanent lights and temporary lights are infeasible. last year major league baseball contracted with 'Musgo' Lighting. The same contractor who did the lighting for the Olympics, including the lighting for the baseball games that were televised on the Olympics and has done the lighting for at least 22 football games that have televised. Well. that consultation with major league baseball, 'Mosgo' Lighting concluded temporary lights are feasible and they are feasible at a realistic price. Tribune is throwing around a figure of a half a million dollars for temporary lights. Senator Netsch talked to one representatives of 'Mosgo' Lighting for 45 minutes the yesterday and Representative Cullerton and others were present for the telephone call and that figure is totally incorrect. The cost of temporary lights is no more than one-tenth of what the figure the Tribune is espousing. It's hard for us to understand where the Tribune is coming from on this. but we have a community that's very much concerned about itself. We have a community that's to compromise on this very important issue and I would hope that you would join in standing up, not only for the community of Lakeview, but all of the communities around this state that are potentially effected by similar kinds of situations from big corporations and would support our Amendment and would oppose the Amendment to be offered by Representative Vinson. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the chamber. As Representative Vinson has already so

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

eloquently indicated, this really is no compromise. What the Gentleman is doing is not even preempting the local ordinance that has already been imposed. But I rise in opposition for a different reason. Just two weeks ago, in Wrigley Field and without question Wrigley Field is one of the finest sports facilities in the country today. There is not another place in this country that people can go see a baseball game with the proximity to the field, with the ivy around the walls and it would just be... it would just be an absolute crime for this chamber and this Assembly to prohibit and force a business out of that... that stadium. And it would be a crime not only for all Cub fans in this state, but for all the people in this state. issue here and many, many people on this floor have had deep concerns over legislation that was recently... few years ago passed that would regulate and close car dealerships on Sunday. What we are basically doing here is telling a business, a company, when they can work and when they can't work. The issue here of lights is one that we really... we should have never entertained in the first place and we have no business as a chamber telling people when they should be able to operate and when they shouldn't able to operate. And certainly, I understand the Gentleman's concerns about their neighborhood, and I... but I also would say that virtually every neighborhood City of Chicago probably has concerns about night flights to O'Hare Airport or flights that come close to their neighborhood. And the issue here is one that it would be an absolute crime, an absolute shame to see the Chicago Cubs be forced out of Wrigley Field, to be forced into a situation that they might, in fact, have to play games another place. I... When I attended the game, it certainly

one that is shared with a great deal of enthusiasm, but

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

I certainly resent the statement that there were 37,000 that attended the game. That wasn't the case. people there have conducted themselves in an orderly fashion. The people there are concerned about keeping the Cubs in Wrigley Field. Cub fans want games to be played in Wrigley Field and they... and what they really want what they would like and every other baseball team in this country has the opportunity to schedule. And iust allowing lights in Wrigley Field doesn't necessarily automatically translate into 82 or 81 night games baseball They have... They have scheduling season. opportunity for day games. I encourage everyone consider this with the utmost of importance, the utmost consideration and please, please, if you are concerned about business in this state, and concerned about one of our largest business and one of our best sports teams in this state, please vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. support of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 658 and I find it funny how things have changed over the last few weeks. As person who has waited all my life time for the Cubs to wind up in a World Series and realizing that we were last year and were cheated by major league baseball because of the inability to hold the number of night ... the home games that we were supposed to number of allocated to us because of the inability to play those games at night in Wrigley Field, that decision by the Baseball Commissioner's Office, I believe, cost the Cubs the playoffs and a chance for Chicago to host the World Series in 1984. When Commissioner Eubberoth visited this General Assembly a few weeks ago, we were told that purpose of his visit was to try to get the General Assembly

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

to allow lights at Wrigley Field for post-season play. now has extended the courtesy of withdrawing opposition to post-season play and I believe that in those of us who are staunch supporters and who would like to see the next playoffs held in Wrigley realizing that those playoffs would be held at night should be in support of this Amendment. I feel that it's a compromise that is worthwhile. I feel now that additional restrictions on the type of lights used and additional games that people are talking about simply are providing a position for us that really is not the position that came from the Baseball Commissioner's Office at the outset. So. I would encourage the Members of the House to toohs #1 and allow us to be able to spend those evenings in the friendly confines next November and October rooting the Cubs on to a victory in the World Series in 1985. "

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, Mr. Cullerton, to close."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen the House. I'd like to answer some of the points raised by Representative from Clinton, Illinois, Representative I certainly didn*t mean to imply that Vinson. all the people that go to the ballpark are drunks. I think that everyone here recognizes the fact that people who do drink during the baseball games, during the day games now, that clearly, more people would drink at night and those people would drink more. I want to make it real clear that is absolutely wrong when he says that failure to have night games means that the Cubs will move out of just isn't the case. Field. Ιt The Commissioner of Baseball, Mr. Eubberoth, has made it clear that he thinks that Wrigley Field is probably one of the best ballparks in

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

nation and he is very much in favor of the concept of the day baseball games and even the Cubs themselves, Green has indicated that he is a proponent of day baseball. they are not going to move out of Wrigley Field if they don't have lights. The only time they might move out Wrigley Field without lights is if this Amendment doesn't Now, I want to make something... first of want to just mention that the concept of the temporary lights and the cost. Mr. Vinson is absolutely wrong says it will cost \$500,000. I don't know if he means per game or for the whole playoff schedule. I can tell you that after talking with the people from the temporary lighting company that the total cost will be \$280,000 which something like is a drop in the bucket compared to the amount of money that baseball will lose they were to have to rebate money back to ABC. Now, let me just make something very clear. If this Amendment doesn't pass and Representative Vinson's Amendment doesn't pass. don't think it will because we know it requires 71 votes, then we will not be allowed to have night baseball the playoffs and the Cubs will have to move to some other park. So, if you are concerned about the possibility having the Cubs move out of Wrigley Field post-season games, then you should vote for this Amendment. This is the safety valve that you must support in order for have night games for post-season play. I would you to please ask you to consider the enormity of this decision and please vote 'aye' for this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Yes, Mr. Madigan."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to declare that I may have a potential conflict of interest on this matter, but as you can see, I will vote my conscience."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright."

Madigan: "As I told the Tribune Company."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 85 voting 'aye', 25 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Vinson - et al, amends Senate
Bill 658."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on Amendment #2."

Vinson: "I withdraw that Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #3, Vinson - Steczo - et al, amends
Senate Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on Amendment

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in light of the Senate Sponsor, I withdraw that Amendment too, and we'll offer it to a different Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Special Call - Environmental Protection Agency, appears Senate Bill 1351."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Olson, did you wish us to proceed on that?

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1351, a Bill for an Act to amend the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Yes, Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, I wanted to ask Representative Olson a question."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Cullerton, you seem to be basking in your glory. He may... Mr. Olson, would you like to give him the courtesy of a question?"
- Cullerton: "Well, that's alright. He... It was a Committee

 Amendment. I'll talk to him about it later."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, so it's on Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1352. Out of the record. Second... Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1358, Mr. Keane. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1358, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Motions with respect to Amendment #1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #5, Homer et al, amends Senate Bill 1358."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer, on Amendment $\sharp 5."$
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen.

 Amendment #5 would simply allow for some additional cosmetology schools in Illinois to participate in the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

scholarship program that is already being proposed for the Illinois taxpaying proprietary schools that were added the original language. Under the Amendment, what we would be doing would be fairly distributing the additional scholarship program monies in the same ratio of ten percent by including some additional schools. It would effect, in fact, 78 cosmetology schools in the State of Illinois. There are 178 schools throughout the state. This Amendment would limit the provisions as applied to only 78 of those schools because it would require various stringent requirements before those 78 cosmetology schools would be eligible. The grants would then be allowed to the students attending those schools and it would make what we Bill a better Bill. And I would urge your support for Amendment #5."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Fulton moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1358, and on that, is there any discussion? Mr... Mr. Shaw, are you seeking my recognition? Alright. On that, Mr. Shaw, the Gentleman from Cook."

Shaw: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. If this Amendment should receive the record number of votes, I'd ask for a verification."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to the Amendment to the Bill. A similar Amendment was offered Committee and lost either 18 to 1 or 19 to 1. The reason that it lost was that the Bill, as it's set up now would recognize the proprietary schools that are certified and recognized or have been certified by either the Illinois Board of Higher Education or the Illinois Office of Education. It would not ... I repeat, it would not include schools that are approved by R and E. The reason we did this was to keep the amount low, to keep the dollar

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

low and to keep it consistent with the pledge that I made and the Amendment that I put on in Committee to keep the funding restricted and so that it would not become a threat to the MAP program. And for that reason, I would ask opposition to Amendment #5. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite." Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I disagree with the previous speaker in regard to the outcome of this Amendment when it was handled in Committee. At that time, believe that many of the Committee Members voted against this Amendment hoping that the Bill would not proceed Committee. That not being the case, however, I think that many of the people who were in Committee that day choose to change their votes on this Amendment. I would certainly hope that we would give an equal opportunity to people who want to participate in this type of a professional training program would have the opportunity to do it. The argument in favor of the Bill and in favor of groups that are included among the schools that would be supported through these grants to students was that this will give a fairly fast turn around time. It will give people the opportunity to be trained so that they can be employed and be producing taxes for the State of Illinois. think that argument applies equally to the people who would be brought in under Amendment #5 and for that reason.

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer, to close."

I urge the support and adoption of Amendment #5."

- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just simply at this time ask for a favorable vote for Amendment #5."
- Speaker Greiman: "Okay, question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- 'nays' have it and the Amendment fails. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Satterthwaite."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite, on Amendment #6."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of House, this the Amendment merely changes the maximum award that could be provided under the Bill. It is my opinion that \$1000 more equitable for the amount that should go to a student going to these proprietary programs. In many cases. they were to choose to go to a community college instead to get their education in these fields, the amount of money that they would qualify for at that community college would be more in the neighborhood of \$700 to \$800. Therefore, believe that the \$1000 figure that I'm providing in this Amendment would be a much more comparable figure believe sufficient to make an equitable program for the proprietary schools. And I would urge the adoption of Amendment #6."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign moves for the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1358, and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane."
- Keane: "Thank Mr. Speaker. you, I rise in opposition to this Amendment which reduces the grant level from \$1800 \$1000. It does not, in any way, help. What we are talking about is that there is a given amount that will be appropriated for the grant and it was felt, after much deliberation, that the existing grant level was appropriate if there is not sufficient funds to make all applications, just as in the MAP program, the funds will be proportioned and for that reason, I object and I would ask for a 'no' vote on the Amendment."

57th Legislative Day

June 19. 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. Ιf could just have a little of your attention for just a couple of moments. I hope that everyone in this realizes what this Bill does and what Amendment #6 is trying to correct. The Bill. itself. addresses the fact that we will be supporting for-profit schools. Supporting for-profit schools. Now, whether the award goes through student, it's still going to a profit making school. this is very, very important that you consider this at this time. So, I, therefore, say that this Bill got out of the Committee, but I think we should look closer at it and not give an award of \$1800, but give an award of \$1000, this be the feeling, at least to see how it's going to We are giving money to for-profit schools and I work out. don't believe that that's proper under the State Constitution. I ask you to support this Amendment #6."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite, to close."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a new program. If Senate Bill 1358 passes into law, the state will have some responsibility for funding that are not currently qualified for grants to students who have their education within these for-profit institutions. My feeling is that if we are going to subsidize these by providing grants to their not-for-profit schools students that we should do it in such a way as to not an incentive for those for-profit institutions to produce increase tuition by some extraordinary amount. It appears that if we are going to go into this program, we would be far better off making limited grants, grants that rather comparable to what the student might

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

receive if the student were going to a community college.

For that reason, I believe that there is a great deal of justification in lowering the amount to \$1000 as the maximum award per student and I would urge the Membership to support me in this Motion and I would request a Roll Call vote, please."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ms. Oblinger, one minute to explain your vote."
- Oblinger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think everyone should realize exactly what Mrs. Satterthwaite was saying, that this is a for-profit organization that will be getting scholarships. Remember, they already get federal grants."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 56 voting 'aye', 52 voting 'no' and the Amendment therefore... yes, Mr. Keane."

Keane: "I'd like you to poll the absentees."

Speaker Greiman: "Br. Clerk, poll the absentees."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Bowman. Brunsvold.

 Laurino. Levin. Panayotovich. Pangle. Parcells. And

 Pullen."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Preston. Mr. Preston votes from 'aye' to
 'no'. Now, there are 55 voting 'aye', 53 voting 'no', 2

 voting 'present' and the Motion... and the Amendment
 carries. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

 Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1360. Mr. Ronan. Mr.

 Ronan. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1360, a Bill for an Act to amend

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendment?""

Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Representative Breslin, in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill... Senate Bill 1370. Representative

Phelps. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1370, a Bill for an Act in relation to regional correctional facilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wait a minute. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1375,

Representative Giorgi - Shaw. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1375, a Bill for an Act to authorize off-track parimutuel wagering in Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment \$1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw, on Amendment #2. Present the Amendment, please."

Shaw: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I'd like to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Yes, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #... Amendment #3. Are

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

there any further Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Ploor Amendment #4, offered by Representative

 Bullock Saltsman Nash Woodyard and Goforth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, Representative Bullock isn't here and his Amendment to House Bill... Senate Bill 1375 greyhound Amendment to off-track betting, but the Bill now is only a shell and it's agreement among the Leaders that this Bill needs more work from the task force, from the Governor's Office, everybody involved, so I would defeat Amendment #4 so that this Bill can go over to the Senate and we can get a Conference Committee started everybody that's concerned about the future OF non-future of off-track betting be allowed to sit in and give their versions and interpretations. So, I'd like to defeat Amendment #4, even though the Sponsor of Amendment isn't here rather than tabling it."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi, you'll have to move to table it. Otherwise..."
- Giorgi: "I'll move to table Amendment #4 inasmuch as he is not here and I would ask you to defeat it anyway."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay, the... the Gentleman has moved to table... The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1375, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "I would rise in support of the Motion to table. I think that the issue of off-track betting is a separate issue than greyhound racing. I think we ought to vote on each issue separately. There is a greyhound Bill on 169. I would urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to House... to Senate Bill 1375 be tabled?" All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1380,
Representative Turner. Representative Turner. Is the
Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill
1391, Representative Braun. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1391, a bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Human Rights Act. Second Reading

of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1402,

Representative Braun. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1402, a Bill for an Act to provide for vocational and professional enterprise training centers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Braun."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Washington."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Washington. Representative Washington. The Gentleman is not on the floor. Representative Braun moves to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1402. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

and the Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1404,
Representative Braun. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1404, a Bill for an Act creating the
Illinois Job Development Corps. Second Reading of the
Bill. No committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1405,

Representative Braun. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1405, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1414,

Representative Tuerk. Out of the record. Senate Bill

1415, Representative Hastert. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1415, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Message Tax Act. Second Reading of the

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1416,
Representative Parke. Representative Parke. Clerk, read
the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1416, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Beading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments?"

57th Legislative Day June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments?"

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1417,

 Representative Tate Levin. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1417, a Bill for an Act to create the
 Illinois Real Estate Time Share Act. Second Reading of the
 Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1428,
 Representative Davis. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1428, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1436,
 Representative O'Connell. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1436, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the

 Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 O'Connell."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"
- Cullerton: "I would ask if that Amendment has been printed and distributed, and I believe it has not."
- Speaker Breslin: "It has not been printed and distributed,
 Representative O'Connell, so we will have to get back to
 you. Senate Bill 1437, Representative Terzich.
 Representative Terzich. Out of the record. Senate Bill
 1448, Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the Bill."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1448, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Fish Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No

Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Amendment #1 to
1448 deletes the provisions in the original Bill that if an
an offense in the area of the legislation would be a Class
C misdemeanor, that puts it back to a petty offense.
Originally this Bill set forth penalties as it pertains to
conservation and outdoor recreation, fishing licenses, etc.
As it came over from the Senate, it was wrong. It should
be a petty offense if a person doesn't have, for example, a
fishing license, as opposed to a Class C misdemeanor and I
ask for adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1448, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "This is on Amendment #1. He will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Yes. Representative Mautino, as I understood the Senate Bill, it increased the penalties for violating the Fish Code, and it was recommended by the Cook County State's Attorney's Office and it appears that that's all that the Bill did. And your Amendment appears to just return those penalties back to a petty offense. Is that right?"

Mautino: "Yes."

Cullerton: "So what's left of the Bill?"

Mautino: "Nothing. I would like to point out, Representative,

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- that I think it's ridiculous to have a Class... or a Class

 C misdemeanor and a \$500 fine if someone was found without
 a fishing license."
- Cullerton: "Yeah, I don't necessarily disagree with you. Why don't you just table the Bill?"
- Mautino: "Because there's another Amendment coming and it isn't here yet."
- Cullerton: "Okay, well, it shows you that sometimes it's a good idea to ask questions if you are curious."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #! to Senate Bill 1448 be adopted?'

 All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

 In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

 Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1450,

 Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1450, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Wildlife Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Mautino."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much. I don't have my sequence in front of me, but I believe that Amendment #1 to 1450 is identical to the previous Amendment which provides for a petty offense rather than a Class C misdemeanor, and I would like... if that is the case, I would like to have that adopted as well."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1450, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook..."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- Mautino: "Excuse me. I was misinformed. Amendment #1 is not that Amendment. Amendment #2 is. Amendment #1 is the falconry Amendment. Basically changes the age criteria from 18 to 14 because there is a seven year provision before an individual can get into falconry, and I move for Amendment #1 and its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 as now explained to Senate Bill 1450, and on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "I have a number of questions. First of all, it allows for the lowering of the minimum age for a permit from the Department of Conservation from 19 down to 14 years old, is that right?"

Mautino: "That's the federal standard, yes, Sir."

- Cullerton: "Okay, so what... what does that mean, in effect, that

 a 14 year old can go out and hunt dear and bears and

 stuff?"
- Mautino: "No, it's to be involved in the practice of falconry and eagles and there is a federal standard that uses the age 14 and you must have seven years experience before you can be licensed and that is the apprenticeship provisions within falconry."
- Cullerton: "So, if you start in second grade... Well, is it just limited to falconry or is it everything?"
- Mautino: "Falconry and it's... he has to be with someone who has a license in it. Cannot start until he's 14. That is the federal standards. This was another Bill that came out of the House and got to Third Reading. It was Representative McAuliffe's Bill and it just wasn't called."

Cullerton: "That's fine. I just wondered if you could tell me

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- what is falconry?"
- Mautino: "Falconry is the art of training falcons for sport and for hunt. Do you know what a falcon is?"
- Cullerton: "And why are we changing... why are we changing the season?"
- Mautino: "It allows us, by administrative rule, to set the times for the season."
- Cullerton: "Okay, and is there something here about permitting the hunting of migratory game birds?"
- Mautino: "Yes."
- Cullerton: "Why do we want to do that?"
- Mautino: "Because falcons don't know the difference between migratory birds and other birds."
- Cullerton: "Well, but we are deleting the provision allowing for the hunting of migratory water fowl."
- Mautino: "They don't know the difference."
- Cullerton: "Yes, in other words, what is the difference between migratory game birds and migratory water fowl? If you know."
- Mautino: "Well, there is a difference. No, not off hand, I don't know, as it pertains to falconry, I'm handling somebody else's Amendment, to be honest with you."
- Cullerton: "Okay, so what this does then is it allows if someone in second grade would start take... taking up falconry, that means..."
- Mautino: "Only if the second grader is 14 years old. I don't know many kids in second grade that are 14."
- Cullerton: "No, no. Oh, I see. In other words, you can get this seven years of experience only after you are 14."
- Nautino: "You got it."
- Cullerton: "So, then you could be... you would have to be 21 before you could get licensed."
- Mautino: "Exactly."

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- Cullerton: "And does this raise the age from 18 to 21 or does it lower it from 25 to 21?"
- Mautino: "18 to 14. It's the 14 seven year apprenticeship, which would be age 21."
- Cullerton: "Okay, and the... Okay, well, we could talk more about this on Third Reading, perhaps."
- Mautino: "Perhaps."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a good Amendment. This Bill passed out of the Energy and Environment Committee, I think, 13 to nothing, and it was on the Consent Calendar in the House and it never was called. So, it's really a good Bill and I'd... good Amendment and I'd urge its support."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate
 Bill 1450 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all
 those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the chair, the
 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any
 further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1451,

 Representative Washington. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1451, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the Environmental... Illinois Environmental
 Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
 Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Washington."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Washington. Excuse me,
 Representative Koehler, for what reason do you rise?"
- Koehler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would inquire of the Chair

57th Legislative Day

June 19. 1985

as to whether or not Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1451 is Senate Bill 1451, in its original form, provides criminal penalties for the violations of the Environmental Protection Act, things that are used to make that our environment is enhanced. Amendment #1 to this Bill creates a rather complex and burdensome procedural requirement that is completely different from the underlying Bill, and I would ask for a ruling of the Chair as to whether or not these two very different things can be on the same Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Koehler, the Chair rules that
the Amendment is germane. They both Amendment the
Environmental Protection Act. Proceed on the Amendment,
Representative Washington. Representative Washington."

Washington: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill certainly is a Bill that does two things specifically. basically will change... this Amendment, rather, will change the apportionment of fines with respect to the Attorney General's Office ... agency and the Hazardous Waste Fund and the Environmental Protection Fund. The other thing that it will do, it will afford that notification should be given in the form that if there is 25 people or less living... or more living in a area of a three where a hazardous waste or a transfer station will be... applicant has requested for a transfer station, required... it will be required that 25 or more people will have an option to request that a public hearing be had before that application will be approved. This certainly is a consumer orientated Bill and it gives the public the right to participate in the areas such as hazardous waste or its transfer stations that may be put in even in our back yard. So, I move for a favorable adoption of this Amendment."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1451, and on that question, the Lady from Marshal, Representative Koehler."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to Amendment #1. well-intentioned. it is not a well thought Amendment. The Representative should be made aware of the fact that there is a formal hearing procedure that takes care of all the things that he is trying to do. What he is creating is an informal procedure that would not be subject to the Administrative Procedures Act and what this informal procedure would do would just be to allow unsubstantiated allegations to be made that would only increase community frustration and anger. And while it is well-intentioned can understand this, what this Amendment would do would be to destroy the underlying Bill. The underlying Bill is a Bill that is very important to our enforcement... our local enforcement agencies, such as state's attorneys and our local county enforcement officials such as county sheriffs because this would... this Amendment would endanger their receiving a part of the enforcement proceeds that come by way of fines or judgments against those who are polluters and so I would rise in opposition to this Amendment and would hope that you join me. I would like a Roll Call on this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Churchill: "Bepresentative Washington, a few years ago, we passed a Bill, it was Senate Bill 172, that dealt with the siting of regional pollution control facilities. In what ways does this Amendment of yours effect that Bill?"

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- Washington: "Well, basically, what will happen here, as we well that they have to give notice in a public paper and what I found out in my experience, there was station being place in one of my districts. And what we found out that that notification was given... put which theoretically, very few people read the Tribune, but however it is, that all they would basically do is that allows that there be public input if there are 25 or more people decide that there should be a hearing on this individual application for a transfer station or, as I if theoretically you end up having a hazardous waste site right behind your back yard if the people didn't have participation into the acceptance of that particular application."
- Churchill: "Isn't... Isn't there a local siting already? Isn't

 there a requirement of a public hearing before they can

 site these facilities?"
- Washington: "No, all they say... state that they would have to give notification in a newspaper, period, and we found that the paper that they gave notification in was in the Chicago
 Tribune
 and none of the people in the area were apprised of the fact that there were public hearings being held. As a matter of fact, I attempted to bring together the EPA, the company and they failed to respond to my request to sit down and discuss this matter and for that reason, this Amendment originated out of that because I truly believe that if people are going to have some input in what happens and we can all be hurt by things of this nature if we don't begin to give community input."
- Churchill: "Does this Bill allow for notification of the county board members in the district in which the siting is to be approved?"
- Washington: "It does, and it goes farther to give notification to

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- that particular individual, we it be man or woman that a representative in that particular district."
- Churchill: "In the... as a result of Senate Bill 172, is it not a requirement at this point that people who reside within so many yards, a hundred yards or a mile or whatever of the proposed facility be notified, in writing, that this hearing is to be held?"
- Washington: "Well, we are asking for a two mile radius and all we're saying that if at least 25 persons who reside within a two mile radius proposed facility, the agency shall hold a public hearing concerning the permit applications. If no one comes forth, then they won't hold no... no meeting concerning a public meeting."
- Churchill: "In Senate Bill 224, which we considered here, either yesterday or the day before, we discussed the fact that no waste treatment facility for a transfer station should occur unless everybody within a thousand feet were notified of that. Why doesn't Senate Bill 224 take care of what you are trying to do in this Amendment?"
- Churchill: "Well, I don't know why it doesn't take care of it.

 We felt as though this Amendment along with the additional aspect of public notification where the people participation would be more than sufficient and I don't think that that particular Amendment under that... what you cited basically takes care of what I am asking to happen in this particular Amendment under 1451."
- Churchill: "Madam Speaker, to the Bill. I rise in opposition...
 or to this Amendment. I rise in opposition to the
 Amendment. A few years back, we put into effect a Bill
 which was to consider the siting of local facilities for
 waste disposal. That Bill has yet to reach its full
 extent. It has been studied by many of the counties and
 many of the governments in this state. Many of those

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

counties and governments have not yet had a chance to under the old Senate Bill 172. I think it's premature at this time to come in and change the that Bill in this manner. requirements of I also think although this Bill... that. this Amendment ma v well-intentioned, I think that it's already covered under other things that have been brought before this already this Session. I would rise in opposition. Ι would ask all those who have paid attention to this issue to discuss it with their seatmates and vote 'no' on this Amendment. There has been a request for a Roll already and I would second that request."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Thank Madam you, Speaker. point as οf clarification. The Amendment to Senate Bill 224 did not include the City of Chicago, so accordingly, that does have relevancy to anv Representative So, his Amendment would not be Amendment. effected bv and I feel that the Amendment has ... to Senate Bill 224. Senate Bill 1451 has much merit and there should continual input from the community and I would highly support this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook... from DuPage, Representative.McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate Bill 1451 deals with a sensitive issue. There's The citing of these types question about that. facilities is of great public concern. However, I think too far in not Bill the goes requiring that the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act be followed in this case. You know, there is a great stake at interest here on the siting of these facilities and it

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

isn't a one way street. The General Assembly in the past has recognized that fact and has made these administrative findings on whether to allow the siting of a facility subject to the Administrative Procedure Act and that means this, that means that due process has to be accorded applicant. That means that evidence has to be taken. That means that the decision has to be supported by the weight of the evidence. That means that the loser in situation, whoever it be, the citizen or the landfill operator, has the right of review in the Circuit and Appellate Courts of our state and that on review, the evidence has to support the finding of the administrative body. This Amendment specifically exempts from Administrative Procedures Act proceedings of this type. holds specifically that for purposes of the Act, this shall not be considered a contested case. The Bill well-intended... deals with a serious issue, but is unquestionably a denial of due process. Let's put back the Administrative Procedures Act, otherwise this Bill has to be defeated. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion. the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, to close." Washington: "I would like to just close in saying that the County State's Attorney's Office in support They feel as though it's fine and Amendment. I certainly that it's consumer orientated and certainly the great manufacturing companies of this state probably opposed to this because it further dilutes their will to be able to do what they want to do. And I urge a favorable vote from all Members on this because it's a good Bill for the State of Illinois."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate

Bill 1451 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Koehler, for what reason do you rise?"

Koehler: "Madam... Madam Speaker, I would ask for a verification if it should get the required number of votes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"

McCracken: "Explanation of vote."

Speaker Breslin: "You have already explained your vote, Sir. I • m sorry. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take On this question there are 52 voting 'aye', 51 record. voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. Representative asks for a Pol1 ο£ the Absentees. Representative Zwick, for what reason do you rise?"

Zwick: "I did have my light on to explain my vote. I can understand that you might have overlooked it, but I'd simply like to clarify for the record that it was stated that the State's Attorney's Office supports this Bill... of Cook County, and they do support the Bill. They do not support the Amendment. I think that's important to be clasified. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed with the Poll. Representative Pullen, for what reason do you rise?"

Pullen: "How am I recorded, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "You are not recorded on my... no, you are not recorded as voting."

Pullen: "Please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pullen wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Washington asks for a Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Barger. Breslin.

Brunsvold. Bullock. Giorgi. Homer. Huff. Laurino.

Leverenz. Matijevich."

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich votes 'aye', and Representative Huff votes 'aye'. Representative Koehler, Representative Keane asks leave to be verified. May he have leave? Yes, he may."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the Poll of the Absentees.

 Panayotovich. Pangle. Satterthwaite. Van Duyne. No
 further."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara asks leave to be verified, Representative Koehler. May he have leave? Bepresentative Shaw requests the same. Representative Shaw and McNamara. Representative Huff, for what reason do you rise?"
- Huff: "Yes, Madam Speaker, How am I recorded?"
- Speaker Breslin: "You are recorded as voting 'aye'. There are now 54 voting 'aye' and 52 voting 'no'. Proceed with the Poll of the Affirmative, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Brookins. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Parley. Flinn. Flowers. Huff. Keane. Giglio. Greiman. Hannig. Krska. Kulas. LeFlore. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Mulcahey. Nash. O'Connell. Phelps. Preston. Rea. Rice. Bichmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Shaw. Soliz. Stern. Steczo. Sutker. Terzich. Turner. Vitek. Washington. White. Wolf. Anthony Young."
- Speaker Breslin: "Anthony Young asks leave to be verified,

 Representative Koehler. Anthony Young and Representative

 Currie ask leave to be verified. Proceed."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi asks to be voted 'aye'.

 There are therefore 55 voting 'aye', 52 voting 'no'.

 Representative Leverenz. Leverenz wishes to be voted 'aye'. Do you have any questions of the Affirmative Roll."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Koehler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Yes, I would like to know the count where we are starting and then we will..."

Speaker Breslin: "56 voting 'aye', 52 voting 'no"."

Koehler: "Thank you. Representative Ronan."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan. Representative Ronan.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. Remove him."
Koehler: "Representative Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded? Keane. We gave Representative Keane permission to be verified first, Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank... Yes, I... Alright, Representative Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley. Representative Farley.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

Koehler: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio. Representative Giglio.

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Remove him."

Koehler: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Plinn. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

Koehler: "Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Younge asks leave to be verified, Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Representative Wyvetter Younge?"

Speaker Breslin: "I... I'm asking leave for Representative..."

Koehler: "Anthony ... "

Speaker Breslin: "Alexander."

Koehler: "Oh, Alexander. Alright."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Wyvetter Younge is in her chair."

Koehler: "Oh, well, no, when you said Younge, I thought you meant

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Wyvetter Younge because Anthony Young has already asked."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. I'm sorry."

Koehler: "Alright, we were with Representative Van Duyne. Is

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Van Duyne. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is not voting."

Koehler: "Representative McGann."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann. Representative McGann.

The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Koehler: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino. Representative Laurino. Is the Gentleman in the chamber?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Koehler: "Representative Panayotovich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Koehler: "Representative Steczo."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo is in his chair."

Koehler: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Preston. Representative Preston is in the chamber. He is in the chamber."

Koehler: "Representative Turner."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Turner. Representative Turner.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative... the Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him. Representative Barger asks to be recognized so that he may be recorded as voting 'aye'... excuse me, 'no'. Representative Pangle asks to be voted

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

'aye'. Are there any more questions of the Affirmative Roll?"

Koehler: "Representative Berrios."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Berrios. Representative Berrios. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Remove him."

Koehler: "Well, I was going to ask about Coach Capparelli, but I see him down there. He hasn't yet left. Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman is in the chamber."

Koehler: "Hartke."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke is in the chamber."

Koehler: "Representative Bullock."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is not voting, Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Representative DeLeo."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo is in the chamber."

Koehler: "Representative McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara. He had leave to be verified."

Koehler: "Representative White."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative White is in his chair."

Koehler: "Representative Phelps."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps is in the chamber."

Koshler: "Representative Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer. Representative Homer."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Koehler: "Representative Bowman."

57th Legislative Day

June 19. 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman. Representative Bowman.

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye"."

Speaker Breslin: "Remove him."

Koehler: "What is the count, Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call. Representative Farley has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call."

Roehler: "What is the... Did you give the count, Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "We are tabulating it now. On... Representative

Turner has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll

Call. Representative Pangle, for what reason do you rise?

Okay, record Representative Breslin as voting 'aye'. There

are 54 voting 'aye' and 53 voting 'no', and this Amendment

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

is adopted.

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, going to go back to the beginning of this Business, Senate Bills Second Reading on page 15 оп vour and proceed through those Bills. Excuse me. ₽0 have just noted, Representative Washington. while I said that we were moving the Bill to Third Reading, that is impossible because we understand that there is a request for a fiscal note on this Bill as amended and thus the Bill has to remain on the Order of Second Reading until you supply a fiscal note as amended. Representative Terzich. going to go back to your Bill, if possible, before we move to this other Order of Business. That's Senate Bill 1437. Representative Terzich. Clerk, read Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1437, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business

Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

was adopted in Committee,"

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Amendment #2 addresses a area which clarifies a building permit would be... not getting a building permit would be a Class A misdemeanor. This...

There was some objection in Committee. This will remove that particular Section of the Bill and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1437, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1437 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. And also, on that same Order, with permission of the Body, we will go back to Representative Tate's Bill, Senate Bill 1133. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1133, a Bill for an Act to prohibit
local government from regulating hunting and fishing
amending certain Acts herein named. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any... Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Zwick."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "I believe the Amendment has not been printed."

Speaker Breslin: "The Amendment has not heen printed, Representative Tate. Representative Tate maintains that it has been printed. Can we confirm... Can we recheck I * m SOFFY. Representative Tate. It has not been distributed on this side of the aisle. We are going to go back and hopefully we'll get back to it anyway. Senate Bill 3, Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk D'Brien: "Senate Bill #3, a Bill for an Act in relation to concentration storage of radioactive waste and the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 16, Representative Levin. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 16, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading

of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Levin and Parke."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is basically cleanup. It embodies two provisions requested by the Illinois Realtors Association.

The first provision simply changes the number of units of a condominium that qualify for one of the provisions in the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Bill from 30 to 20 and the second change in the Bill deals with how management companies will maintain accounts for monies from condominium associations that they... that they collect monies for. It also includes a technical Amendment changing a reference and, you know, I know of no opposition to it. As somebody that represents condominium associations, I think it's good for the condominiums as well as for the realtors."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 6... 16, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 16 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 17, Representative DeLeo. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 17, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act in relation to criminal identification
and investigation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment
#1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

DeLeo and Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash."

Nash: "Madam Chairman, I move to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to withdraw Amendment #2.

The Amendment is withdrawn. Are there any further

Amendments?"

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 24, Representative

McNamara - O'Connell. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 24, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 39, Representative Wyvetter Younge. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 39, a Bill for an Act creating the
East St. Louis Development..."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill... would you read that Bill a second time and then hold it, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 39, a Bill for an Act to create the

East St. Louis Development Authority. Second Reading of
the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Okay, we'll hold it on Second Reading. Senate Bill 42, Representative Curran -Parcells. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative Curran."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 42, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 48, Representative Van Duyne. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 48, a Bill for an Act relating to the posting and publication of certain notices in school districts. Second Beading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Van Duyne."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. First, I want to table

 Amendment #1 which is... it's out of order. Amendment #1

 and #2 are out of order, and it's necessary for me to table

 #1 and reinstitute #1 as Amendment #3."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to table..."
- Van Duyne: "And if can I do that... if I can do that... with leave of the House, I'd like to do that in one Motion."
- Speaker Breslin: "We'll do it separately. Representative Van Duyne moves to table Amendment #1... Committee Amendment #1 48. Senate Bill and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 48 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. Ιn the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 48 is tabled. And then on Amendment #3, Representative Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Now, I offer the same
 Amendment as Amendment #3, and that will make them in
 proper order."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne, moves to adopt
 Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 48, and on that question is
 there any discussion? There being no discussion, the
 question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 48 be
 adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed
 say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have
 it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
 Currie."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 48 would establish a procedure for resolving questions about school annexations involving alternate opportunities to make decisions, recommendations by regional superintendents. In any case requiring voter approval, before annexations could go into affect."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 48. And on that question, the Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I resist Amendment #4. Tt. absolutely negates all the attempt that we have had over past 2 or 3 years to resolve this problem. It puts us back at square 1, as we were back in 1975, puts the... a 1 1 authority back in the... into the Office of the Regional Superintendent of Educational Service Region. where the application was filed. All our efforts are down the drain, and it puts us right back to where we 1975. would gladly elaborate on this, if ... if ... if anybody would so choose. But all of my efforts and all the efforts of Representative Steczo and the Lady from the side of the aisle over the past years would absolutely be ... would be for naught. And it would end up with the ... the filing that was filed in Grundy County with the Educational Service Region back in... way back... it's 8 or 10 years old... where it would be put right back into his hands. The court, it would negate any action future... present or future action of objection from the Joliet High School District. It would negate any future action as far as court suits are concerned, and I would vehemently oppose Amendment #4."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Christensen."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Christensen: "Thank you. Thank you, Madam Speaker. I... I very seldom get up and speak, and I certainly hate to speak against my seatmate, but he's absolutely wrong, and he knows he is wrong. It puts the authority where it belongs, and not only that, if this Amendment was not to be adopted, one of my school districts would lose about \$175 million assessed valuation and not lose any students. This Amendment should be approved."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from... The Lady from DuPage,
Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 48 is very similar to an Amendment that was adopted in Committee. But because of some technical problems with the wording of that Amendment, it was necessary for Representative Van Duvne to Amendment #3, which puts the Amendment that was adopted unanimously in Committee into the proper order. and which addresses a very serious problem that is a very unique problem in his own district. Amendment #4 on the contrary denies to Representative Van Duyne the remedy that he needs for this unique problem within his own district. I believe that Representative Van Duyne does in deed need the remedy that is represented by Amendment #3. I do not believe that Amendment #4 is in accord with that, and I stand in opposition to Amendment #4."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, Currie, to close."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Of course, it's one of those situations sauce for the goose, sauce for the gander, which district needs what kind of help. I stand with Representative Christensen, not that I think this is a single issue dispute between 2 separate legislative districts, but because the example that we have

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

in the situation of Joliet and the other school district. That issue here is one that's been around for, as a Gentleman standing in opposition to this Amendment pointed to 10 years. Bight to 10 years is a long time to have a problem still unresolved between 2 separate local school districts. I think the only sensible answer is a A compromise that does involve voter compromise. approval that does not take anything out of the hands of the local school parents, and the local taxpayers. Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 48 would establish that kind of procedure to resolve a dispute a long standing dispute in a way that fair, is even handed, is balanced, respects the local superintendent, in each area, the regional superintendents that would be involved. So that each will have a turn to have a say, and the voters in the affected areas will their say as well. I think it's a sensible compromise, and I would appreciate your support."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 48. The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, I just wondered if... if the Sponsor would yield?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has closed, Representative."
- Cullerton: "Oh, okay. Well, I just... no I didn't want to ask,
 her a question. I just wanted to know if she'd yield."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 48 be adopted?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 51 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no', and 8 voting 'present'. Representative Van Duyne, for what reason do you rise?"

57th Legislative Day

- June 19. 1985
- Van Duyne: "Yes, Mam, seeing the vote up there, I would like to for the first time in my 11 years here verify the Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Christensen, for what reason do you rise?"
- Christensen: "First of all, to thank the ones that voted green.

 But secondly, my first time in 9 years, I'd like to ask for
 a Poll of the Absentees."
- Speaker Breslin: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Breslin. Brunsvold.
 Bullock. DeJaegher. Ewing. Homer. Klemm. Laurino.
 McNamara. White. Woodyard. Wyvetter Younge. No
- Speaker Breslin: "Would you poll the negative votes?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Barger. Barnes. Churchill. Countryman."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Verify the affirmative, Mr. Clerk.

 I'm sorry."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Affirmative, Alexander. Bowman. Braun. Brookins. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeLeo. Dunn. Farley. Giglio. Giorgi. Flowers. Greiman. Hartke. Hannig. Hicks. Hoffman. Huff. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Levin. Matijevich. McGann. McPike. O'Connell. Olson. Pangle. Phelps. Preston. Rea. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Ropp. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Soliz. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Vitek. Waite. Washington. Anthony Young. And Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne, do you have any questions of the affirmative roll?"
- Van Duyne: "Yes, thank you. Representative Preston."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Preston. How is the Gentleman recorded?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman..."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1995

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Van Duyne: "Olson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson is in his seat."

Van Duyne: "Phelps."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps is in his seat."

Van Duyne: "Hartke."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke is in his seat."

Van Duyne: "Krska."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Krska is in his seat."

Van Duyne: "Representative Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Remove him. Representative Cullerton has returned to the chamber, add him to the Roll Call."

Van Duyne: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley is in the chamber."

Van Duyne: "Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi is in the chamber."

Van Duyne: "Representative Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw. Representative Shaw. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Van Duyne: "Representative Stern."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stern. Is the Lady in the chamber? Remove her. She is in the chamber. She's returned to the chamber. Add her... keep her on the Roll Call."

Van Duyne: "Representative Vitek. Unless he's laying down, he can't be here."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vitek is in his seat. Do you have any further questions of the affirmative roll?

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Representative Ewing, for what reason do you rise?"

Ewing: "What... what is the count on this?"

Speaker Breslin: "51 voting 'aye' and 47 voting 'no'."

Ewing: "So, the Amendment will be adopted?"

Speaker Breslin: "That's correct."

- Ewing: "Yes. Well, my great respect for the Sponsor of the Amendment, I'd like to be added to the positive Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman, Representative Ewing, would ask to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Van Duyne, you're losing ground."
- Van Duyne: "When you're down, it don't make much difference if you go further, does it? Can I challenge the Speaker?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady is not voting out of respect for you, Sir."
- Van Duyne: "Thank you. I'm talking about the one designated as ${\tt Speaker} \ \ {\tt on} \ \ {\tt tote} \ \ {\tt board."}$
- Speaker Breslin: "You may, however, I know that the Gentleman is in the building, and it has been the policy not to challenge either the Speaker or the Minority Leader as a courtesy, Sir."
- Van Duyne: "Okay. No further questions."
- Speaker Breslin: "On this question, there are 52 voting 'aye', and 47 voting 'no', and the... Representative Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "But I'm just going to get a Roll Call anyway."
- Speaker Breslin: "There are 52 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no', and 8 voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 60, Representative
 D'Arco (Senator D'Arco)... Representative Tate Nash.
 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 60, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 lost in Committee. Floor Amendment"
 #2, offered by Representative Laurino."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino on Amendment #2. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. What is your pleasure, Representative Nash? Representative Nash moves to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 60. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
 Laurino."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino is not in the chamber.

 Bepresentative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise? Out

 of the record. Senate Bill 75, Representative Alexander.

 'Representative Alexander. Clerk, read the Bill, on Senate
 Bill 75."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 75, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Unemployment Insurance Act. Second Reading

 of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "The... there is a fiscal note requested. Has that been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is not filed."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Bill, therefore, must remain on the Order of Second Reading, Representative Alexander. Senate Bill 77, Representative Ewing. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 77, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. With permission of the House, we would like to go back to Senate Bill 60. Representative Laurino is back on the floor. So, Senate Bill 60."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 60, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Amendment #1 lost
 in Committee. Floor Amendment #2 was tabled. Floor
 Amendment #3 offered by Representative Laurino."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino on Amendment #3."
- Laurino: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, basically what
 Amendment #3 to House Bill... or Senate Bill 60 does is it
 removes a cap on the recovery for punitive damages incurred
 with an insurance company, and I move for the adoption of
 the Bill... the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 60. And on that question, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."
- Tate: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I rise in opposition to Amendment 3. Senate Bill 60 is... which is Senator D'Arco's Bill, was an agreed Bill by the insurance industry as... and passed out of Senate 59... or 57 to 0. And I have agreed as well as with the Sponsor... the Senate Sponsor on this that if an Amendment such as this would go on it, I would be forced to table the Bill. And so, for the following reasons, I would rise in opposition what we... what the Sponsor of this Amendment is essentially doing is changing the entire mechanism of how we're determining punitive damages. itself is already a 400 percent increase. You know, for those reasons I would oppose the Amendment, and ask for a 'no' vote on it. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Nash."

Nash: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I too rise in opposition to

this Amendment for the same reasons that Representative

Tate just stated."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino to close."

Nash: "I ask for a Roll Call on the vote."

Laurino: "Well, Madam Speaker, it's not the idea that we're changing the conception of how punitive damages are to be judged. What it's doing, is taking the cap off, because the cap is relatively small when you consider the... the problems that people have in collecting the... what is due them, when a company wants to go belly up and not pay their debts. So, my idea is you know on a 5,000 or a 25,000 dollar cap, it's a slap on the wrist and doesn't mean nothing. This would act as a deterrent for these people to be paying their debts accordingly, and I ask for a favorable vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The... the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 60 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 26 voting 'aye', 63 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 89, Representative Woodyard - Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 89, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third... Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "I'm sorry, Madam Speaker. I had a question about

 Amendment #1 which was adopted in Committee. So, I'll ask
 the question on Third Reading."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Bill moves to Third Reading. Senate Bill 91, Representative Dunn. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 91, a Bill for an Act in relation to support and maintenance and amends Acts herein named.

 Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed... or are there any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 Johnson."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Johnson. The Gentleman is not in chamber, Representative Dunn. What is the Your ο£ pleasure? Out the record. Senate Bill 95. Representative Barger. Clerk... Out of the record. Senate Bill 97, Representative McCracken. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 97, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the
 Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 112, Representative Washington. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 112, a Bill for an Act to create the
 Environmental Toxicology Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
 No Committee Amendments."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Washington."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Washington."
- Washington: "Yes, Madam Chairman, Members of the House, this...

 Amendment #1 basically is some... making some technical

 correction, and I move for a favorable vote on Amendment
 #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 112, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall... Representative Piel, for what reason do you rise?"
- Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield, please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."
- Piel: "Representative Washington, would you do us a favor? You said it makes technical corrections, would you mind explaining exactly what the Amendment does, please?"
- Washington: "Yes, for example, on line... line 6 and line 32 by changing from line 6 and line 32 by inserting after 'means', on page 2 and line 23 by deleting 'work place', and line 30 by deleting 'toxic' and inserting in lieu of there 'hazardous', in line 31 by deleting 'or work place'.

 These are the technical changes that were necessary in terms of the word itself. The basic... the Amendment is basically a good Amendment... Amendment to the Bill, and it doesn't change things in any major scope."
- Piel: "Well, you want to explain exactly what the... are you changing the days and analysis... changing an initial assessment within 75 days, what exactly do you mean by that?"
- Washington: "Well, the purpose of that to transmit... of

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

municipalities with counties within 75 days of request. According to this Amendment, such requests shall be made in the Department within 14 days of the filing of the application, and then the Department shall transmit its evaluation, after they get their findings, to the municipality or county within 75 days of request. That is a time frame in which they have to respond after giving their evaluation."

Piel: "Fine. Thank you very much. No further questions."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 112 be adopted?'

All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Washington, this Bill remains on the Order of Second Reading until you supply all of the notes requested. Senate Bill 114, Representative Young. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 114, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Environmental Protection Act and an Act
relating to State Fire Marshals. Second Reading of the
Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee, and no Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 120, Representative

Kulas - Terzich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 120, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to Casimir Pulaski's birthday. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 122, Representative

Nash. Clerk, read the Bill."

clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 122, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 lost and adandoned boats. Second Reading of the Bill. No
 Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 137, Representative

Mautino. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 137, a Bill for an Act in relation to the use of automobile repairs and rebuilders. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Koehler."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Madam Speaker, I withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 141, Representative Giorgi - Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 141, a Bill for an Act concerning the terms of office of various state commissioners and board members. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 144, Representative

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 144, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Bill 144 is a Bill that deals with stun guns and In the definition of stun guns and tasers in the context of Bill, they're treated as one. Although in other portions of the Bill the two items are treated separately. Working with the Department of Law Enforcement, we have come up with a definition of stun qun and definition of taser, which are included in Amendment #1. This seeks to clarify these two items. So. any reference to the other portions of the statute included within the context of the Bill will be more understandable. I will... I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 144, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 144 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 164, Representative Pangle. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 164, a Bill for an Act to provide for partial rights of recovery for damages resulting from sale

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

of illegal drugs to minors. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Hartke... no... Hawkinson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hawkinson. Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #1 to Senate
Bill 164 attempts to eliminate what would be a problem with
the Narcotics Profit Porfeiture Act that exists now by
deleting the Section that would allow the parents to go
after the profits of the drug deal which would be in
conflict with current law. Whereby, law enforcement may go
after those profits and have them seized so that they can
be used in the fight against drugs, and I would ask for an
'aye' vote on Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 164, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 164 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 169, Representative

Bullock - Saltsman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 169, a Bill for an Act in relation to the funding of certain metropolitan exhibition civic center authorities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 176, Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 176, a Bill for an Act to establish
the Community Fire Protection Grant Program. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 179, Representative McCracken. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 179, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Ploor Amendment #1..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "Yes, I just would ask the Sponsor to take it out of the record for a few minutes."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Fine, but we get back to it?"

Speaker Breslin: "We'll try to."

McCracken: "No. No."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 200, Representative Braun - Young. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 200, a Bill for an Act to provide for the assistant director of apprenticeship and training for programs and systems of apprenticeship. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Saltsman."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman."

- Saltsman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Amendment is similar to a Bill that we passed out earlier that is currently over at the center... the Senate. And it's kind of a backup Bill on prevailing wage. I ask for the passage."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #! to Senate Bill 200. And on that question, the Gentleman... Representative Braun, for what reason do you rise?"
- Braun: "I rise as Sponsor of Senate Bill 200. I don't know how it got called in my absence. I really want the Bill out..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young asked that the Bill be called, Representative Braun."
- Braun: "Oh. Well, I'd like to take the Bill out of the record."

 Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record."

Braun: "Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "Senate Bill 201, Representative Cullerton Pangle. Out of the record. Senate Bill 204, Representative Ronan. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 204, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan, we still need a fiscal note filed on this Bill. So, it remains on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 205, Representative Cullerton Nash. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 205, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the
 Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

57th Legislative Day June 19, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I'd like to take the Bill out of the record, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 206,
Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 206, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "I'd like to take this out of the record, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 209,

Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 209, a Bill for an Act to award income tax deductions to businesses which contribute money or resources to community groups. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Bowman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 is identical to House Bill 536, which passed the Committee last... this spring and got caught in the log jam just before deadline time. That Bill in turn was identical to one which passed both chambers the year before, and was vetoed by the Governor. It is permissive legislation. It simply permits and authorizes the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Department of Law Enforcement to provide grants to units of local government for gang crime control. I see no reason why anyone should oppose it, because it is permissive legislation. It deals with an important issue of gang crime control, which I think we all are concerned with, and... So, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 209. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Question of the Sponsor, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Piel: "Representative Bowman, you said this was identical to House Bill 596."

Bowman: "Yes, Sir."

Piel: "Our analysis shows it's identical to House Bill 597."

Bowman: "I stand corrected."

Piel: "There is a difference between the two. Where's the Department of Law Enforcement stand on this Amendment?"

Bowman: "I don't know... the Department of Law Enforcement is...
works for the Republican administration, can you enlighten
me?"

Piel: "Well, I'll tell... first of all I didn't hear your answer.

But why don't you explain the Amendment to a little further

detail?..."

Bowman: "Well, it's a very simple... Okay, Representative Piel.

It's a very simple Amendment. The... the Amendment simply authorizes the Department of Law Enforcement to provide grants to units of local government and school districts for purposes of gaining... controlling gang crime activity. It also does have them set up a office of gang crime control coordination, I believe is the name in the Amendment, to provide technical assistance to units of local government."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- Piel: "Aren't you basically setting up a social agency or a social department in a... in a law department... isn't this... wouldn't this better be served on another Bill?..."
- Bowman: "Representative... Representative Piel. Representative Piel, we're talking about gang crime control. I mean gangs aren't social clubs. Gangs are law breaking entities. This is where the Amendment belongs, Representative Piel. If you think gangs are social clubs, you've been spending to much time in your sheltered suburb down there in south Cook County."
- Piel: "Well, that could be. That could be, too. But you know. a lot of the social clubs that I see, you know, could be classified as gangs. I mean you don't have to... you don't have to get, you know, testy about it. I just asked a question, simple you know. I mean... I noticed you're laughing. Maybe you think the Amendment is humorous. don't think it's really humorous myself. Question, what would this cost the State of Illinois if enacted?"
- Bowman: "Well, Representative Piel, the cost would be driven solely by the appropriations process. That is to say it's not an entitlement program. There is no formula in here, or some mechanical artifice that would automatically generate dollars. It would be whatever the General Assembly and the Administration in their joint wisdom felt was appropriate."
- Piel: "Well, you're the Sponsor of it, Representative. I mean you're making cute little remarks before, but you're the Sponsor of this. You should have some idea of what it's going to cost the State of Illinois, the taxpayers of Illinois."

Bowman: "Well, I'll tell you what I..."

Piel: "Is it a dollar, a million dollars, a hundred million, what?"

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Bowman: "Well, I'll tell you if you think this will help. After the Bill passed a year ago in the... then in Conference Committee we put in a quarter of a million dollars to the Department of Law Enforcement in the Conference Committee Report a year ago."

Piel: "You said you put in how much in a Conference Committee?"

Bowman: "\$250,000. One quarter of a million."

Piel: "\$250,000 for starters."

Bowman: "Yes, Sir."

Piel: "Just for starters. Alright. Now, what's it going to cost... is it going to be \$250,000 every year then to the State of Illinois?"

Bowman: "Well, we only appropriate on a year-by-year basis. I can't tell you, Sir."

Piel: "Do we have any other programs in the state right now dealing with gang crime prevention?"

Bowman: "We don't have any that provide state support to local governments. I mean I think that's very important. The state should provide support to local governments. After all, gangs do travel back and forth across municipal boundaries, and are a scourge to some small communities adjacent to larger communities with gangs. I think we have to provide state support to local communities."

Piel: "No further questions, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Woodyard: "I missed part of the early discussion on this Amendment. Is there an appropriation for this?"

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam... Madam Speaker. In response to the second question about appropriation that I've received so far, there is no appropriation in the budget at the present time because the law doesn't exist. A year ago, we passed

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- an identical piece of legislation. The Governor vetoed it.

 When we passed that legislation before adjourning on June
 30, the Conference Committee put in \$250,000 appropriation
 to the Department of Law Enforcement, which the Governor
 also vetoed. But that's what we thought, at that time,
 would take to do the job. \$250,000."
- Woodyard: "Are you saying then that the Governor is opposed to this program? Since he vetoed the appropriation last year?"
- Bowman: "Since he vetoed it, I presume that he's opposed to it.

 However, since I'm willing to give him another chance. He

 may have made a mistake. We all make mistakes. I forgive

 him. I'm willing to give him another chance."
- Woodyard: "Well, Madam Speaker, to the Amendment. Since the arrows are flying out on the second floor, I think I will stay with the Governor's position and be in opposition to the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, Representative Bowman, to close."
- Bowman: "Well, I'm just surprised and dismayed that the Governor of the State would oppose a legitimate effort to provide state support to local governments that are grappling with the problem of gang crime control. I move adoption of this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate
 Bill 209 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all
 those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take
 the record. On this question, there are 57 voting 'aye',
 40 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the Amendment is
 adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, a fiscal note has

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

been filed as amended. So, the Bill remains on the Order of Second Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to go to the Order of Consent Calendar Third Reading, Second Day, on page 35 on your Calendar. The Clerk is now going to read those Bills that have been removed from that Order. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar Third Reading, Second Day. One
Bill has been objected to, Senate Bill 891, is removed from
the Consent Calendar. All other Bills have been read a
third time previously today."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall all of the Bills on the Consent Calendar on Third Reading, Second Day pass?! those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. questions, there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. and none voting 'present'. These Bills, having received the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. We learned that there is an objection to one Bill on the Consent Calendar, Senate Bill 829. Representative Giorgi therefore moves to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 829 was passed. All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question, there are 107 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. And this does move to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 829 passed. This Bill, therefore, is on the Order of Third Reading Short Debate. And since it is objected to, it has reverted to Second Reading Short Debate Calendar. Second Legislative Day. Ladies and Gentlemen, with the indulgence of the Members, the Chair would like to go to those Bills that appear on the Special Order of Business that

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

be moved from Second to Third. So, that they are ready for action on Third Reading on Thursday. The first Order of Business, as you can see on page 2, is Agriculture. Senate... the first Bill that needs to be moved is Senate Bill 254, Representative Ronan. It appears on page 18 on your Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 254, a Bill for an Act relating to gasohol and amends Acts herein named. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 418, Representative

Hartke. It appears on page 19 on your Calendar. Clerk,

read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 418, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the regulation of the rivers, lakes and streams of the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments and no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 875, Representative

Ropp. It appears on page 13 on your Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 875, a Bill for..."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. The next Order of Business is Business and Economic Development. The first Bill that needs to be moved on that Order is Senate Bill 319, Representative Homer. It appears on page 10 on your Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 319, a Bill for an Act to authorize the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

designate high pact business... high impact business and provide for various tax incentives related thereto. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed or Floor
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Braun, for what reason do you rise?"

Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. During this lull in activity,

I'd like to announce the presence today in the gallery of
interns from Chicago State University under the direction
of Dr. Sesay. Alright."

Speaker Breslin: "There they are. Welcome."

Braun: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading on page 17 on your Calendar the next Bill to be called is Senate Bill 214, Representative Terzich - McNamara. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 214, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 215, Representative
Zito (sic - Senator Zito) and Ronan. Clerk, read the
Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 215, a Bill for an Act to establish high impact training service programs. Second Reading of

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Didrickson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes. Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 215 is an agreed Amendment by Representative Ronan and McNamara. It is also been suggested by the State Chamber of Commerce. It deletes the displaced worker proviso and concentrates the limited resources of \$2 million to be appropriated next year to the State Board of Education for the HITS Program. And it creates the Illinois High Impact Training Services Fund in the State Treasury."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 215. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

Didrickson: "Yes."

Cullerton: "I would... I would ask a question of the Sponsor, if she'll yield for a question."

Didrickson: "Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "I understood that this was Representative Roman's Bill is that correct?"

Didrickson: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "And is he here?"

Didrickson: "I don't see him, but I did speak to him yesterday.

And he agreed to it..."

Cullerton: "As... as I understand it, as I read the Amendment, he should be opposed to it."

Didrickson: "Well, he wasn't when I spoke to him. If we want to

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

hold this until he comes back, so that I..."

Cullerton: "I think we better... right."

Didrickson: "I'd be glad to."

Cullerton: "The way I read it, I think he'd be opposed to it."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 217,

Representative Young. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 217, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Giorgi moves to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1398 passed. recently passed on the Consent Calendar. Representative Giorgi having voted on the prevailing side reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1398 was All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This Motion is being made at the request of the Sponsor of the Bill. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 100 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. And... Representative McNamara wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. There are therefore 101 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And this Bill been reconsidered, and therefore, goes to the Order of Second Reading Short Debate Calendar, Second Legislative Ladies and Gentlemen, we are now going to go to the Day. Order of Senate Bills Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar, starting on page 3 on your Calendar. On page 3 Calendar. appears Senate Bill 7, Representative Oblinger. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 7, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. At this time, we give scholarships to the children of MIA's and POW's. This would say that... that the children who are not able to accept these scholarships because they are mentally or physically disabled should be allowed the amount of money that they would have had for scholarships for training and... mostly for training for the people that could not accept scholarships."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 7, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 7 pass?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 38, Senate Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 39, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to foreign trade zones.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Chairman. House Bill 38 (sic - Senate Bill 38) sponsored by Representative Mautino and Breslin addresses the foreign trade zones provisions that were in the initial legislation. That the 50 mile radius, reduces it to 35 miles within an existing That is the legislation. Amendment #1, which port area. was added to this legislation, changes the name of the Chicago Regional Port District to the Act. The Chicago Regional Port District Act to the Illinois International

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- Port District Act. That's basically what the legislation does."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 38, and on that question does anyone stand in opposition? Seeing no opposition, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 38 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 118 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 40, Representative Wyvetter Younge. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 40, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill amends the Election.

 Code and increases from 400 to 600 the number of voters in
 a precinct and then it makes the maximum number of voters
 from 600 to 800. I move for the adoption of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate
 Bill 40, does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman
 from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."
- Vinson: "Representative, I did not catch the thrust of your remarks. Would you explain the Bill again, please?"
- Younge: "Right now the minimum size of a precinct is 400, and the Bill would move that minimum size to 600. The maximum size of a precinct is 600, and it would move that maximum size to 800."

Vinson: "Thank you."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Priedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

Friedrich: "I was under the impression that the Constitution provides that the election laws be uniform throughout the state. Wouldn't this be in violation of the uniform laws?"

Younge: "There is no opposition to this Bill..."

Friedrich: "I understand that."

Younge: "It does not violate... would not violate the Constitution."

Younge: "No, I don't."

Speaker Breslin: "No one is standing in opposition. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 40 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 62, Representative DeJaegher. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 62, a Bill for an Act to provide funding for alzheimer's disease research. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Madam Chairman, Members of the Assembly.

I believe that all of us are looking for the adoption of
this Bill. This is one of a series of ten dealing with
alzheimer's, and I think that citizens of the State of
Illinois are looking to us for response. What it does is
establish the new Income Tax Checkoff Program for

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Alzheimer's Disease Research, and authorizes the Department of Public Health to award research grants to physicians, hospitals, labratories, educational institutions and others from the funds collected thereof. I appreciate a positive vote. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 62, does anyone stand in opposition? Seeing no one standing in opposition, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 62 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 90, Representative Plinn. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Flinn. Out of the record. Senate Bill 86, Representative McAuliffe. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 86, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Humane Care for Animals Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Senate Bill 86 is a Bill to combat illegal dog fighting in
Illinois. What it does, it requires the veternarians to
report dogs that they are suspected were involved in dog
fighting to the authorities. I move for its passage."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 86. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "If they... this Bill deals with dog fighting, and my question is, if they got a violation, and they got to take them to court, do they... do they have to confiscate the dogs and bring them in the court? Because I think it says they have to give possession to the court."

McAuliffe: "Well, I don't know if they'd bring the dogs into court."

Cullerton: "Well, what does it mean to say, give possession to the court?"

McAuliffe: "Maybe they're going to bring the dogs into the judge's backroom, and let them talk to the judge."

Cullerton: "I think that's what it says, you know. How about the..."

McAuliffe: "John, if you still have a problem with it, I'll take it out of the record. I know you had a problem with it."

Cullerton: "Yes. That's right. That's right. I forgot."

McAuliffe: "This was one we wanted to amend maybe for those technical problems and... alright..."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record,"

McAuliffe: "You wouldn't mind taken..."

Cullerton: "I'll take it out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Out of the record. Senate Bill 113,

Representative Phelps. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 113, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Third
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This amends the Environmental Protection Act, adds a requirement that will be a suitable emergency response plan as one of the criteria of the county board government body of municipalities should consider in regard to the approval site location suitable

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

for regional pollution control. I move the passage of this Bill. $\ensuremath{^{\prime\prime}}$

- "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Speaker Breslin: Senate Bill 113, does anyone stand in opposition? Seeing one standing in opposition, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 113 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question ... Representative Shaw, for what reason is your light shining? Representative Shaw. On this question, there are 118 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 172, Representative Ronan - Wolf. Out of the Senate Bill 193, Representative... Representative record. Christensen, for what reason do you rise?"
- Christensen: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I was away from my seat when

 Senate Bill 62 was voted on. I would like the record to

 indicate I would have voted 'yes'."
- Speaker Breslin: "The record will so reflect that. Senate Bill 193, Representative Young. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 193, a Bill for an Act to amend an
 Act to create the Department of Children and Family
 Services. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Andrew Young... Anthony."
- Young: "Thank you. Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 193 enables the Department of Children and Family Services to promulgate regulations to encourage all adoption agencies to forward names and addresses of persons who have applied for and have been approved as adoptive homes for hard to place or handicapped children. The Bill was introduced to create more widespread distribution of available case information regarding

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

placements for hard to place, special needs children. I urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young has moved the passage of Senate Bill 193, does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I don't oppose it. I just wondered, do they need to be told to promulgate regulations?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young."

Young: "It's my understanding the Department needs this rule to be able to spread information throughout this state. Some of this information would be privileged as to people who want these children, or as to some of the hard to place children's particular histories."

McCracken: "Okay. But it's permissive that it encourages the forwarding of the information, but cannot require it."

Young: "That is correct."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 193 pass?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question. there are 115... 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate 211, Representative Saltsman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 244, Representative Weaver. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 244, a Bill for an Act in relation to motor fuel tax identification devices. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weaver. Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Senate

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Bill 244 makes a couple of changes in the motor fuel tax laws. It allows semi truck drivers who are now required to post a permit decal on the right side of the windshield to also carry the cards on the inside without displaying the cards. It exempts school buses from displaying the motor fuel stickers, and it also allows normal buses to display the motor fuel tax stickers on the driver's side of the vehicle. It increases the penalty for failure to display a permit from \$75 to a \$500 fine. And I would ask for your passage on this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 244, does anyone stand in opposition? With no standing in opposition, the question is, 'Shall Senate All those in favor vote 'aye', all Bill 244 pass? opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill... Representative Mulcahey wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. There are therefore 111 voting 'aye', voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 247, Representative Phelps. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 247, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to coroners. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This just allows the coroner the provision also to join his other former... or his other colleagues in the courthouse to maintain a special fund for travel, for seminars, and educational workshops, and his office."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 247, does anyone stand in opposition? With no one standing in opposition, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 247 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action.

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 281, Representative Martke. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 281, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning fees and salaries. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill provides for the sheriff to collect a fee when he attempts to serve a summons whether he gets that summons served or not, he gets his fee for that attempt. I'd appreciate an affirmative vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 201. Does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Does Cook County currently have the authority to collect fees for attempted unsuccessful service?"

Hartke: "Yes, they do."

McCracken: "Alright. And you seek to have the rest of the state treated like Cook County."

Hartke: "That's a fact."

McCracken: "That's a very unusual position for your side of the aisle. Have you cleared this with leadership?"

Hartke: "I don't have to."

McCracken: "Okay."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Dekalb, Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Countryman: "Yes, Representative Hartke, sometimes the sheriff
has to go back to a residence or place of a vote more than
once to effectuate service. Does he get one fee for
attempts, or can he charge for everytime he goes out?"

Hartke: "No, it's one fee."

Countryman: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from... there being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 281 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 292, Representative Ropp. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 292, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Soybean Marketing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. This Bill does two things. It reduces the number of required signatures for someone seeking office on the Marketing Board from 250 to 150. And it allows for now in the fifth year to increase the checkoff of soybeans per bushel to one cent. Currently, it is a quarter of a cent for the first year, and then a half a cent for the first four years as is the statute now. This is after a referendum subject to approval. The Soybean Marketing is a board that attempts to promote the sale of Board

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- Illinois soybeans throughout the world. I urge your favorable support."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 292. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Ropp, I have not received a lot of letters about this Bill from my district."

Ropp: "Sorry."

- Cullerton: "The Soybean Marketing Act is just not something which is on the minds of the people there, but I do want to ask you a question because it does seem to impose some kind of a tax on... per bushel on soybean production. And I'm just wondering if you could tell us, you know, who has to pay the tax, and who gets to spend the money, and what's it for?"
- Ropp: "First of all, I regret very much that members of your district did not take as much interest in this important Bill, as they did with the lights at Wrigley Field."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I have the Roll Call here, too, and I see that you voted 'no'."
- Ropp: "That's right. I was on the side of baseball. What it actually does, it's not a tax, it's a checkoff subject to the approval of the majority of those voting. Those people being soybean producers throughout the State of Illinois. Those monies go into a fund that are appropriated by the marketing board to come up with new uses of soybeans such as, plastics, and any number of more than a 1,000 different uses of soybean in an attempt to provide greater markets for Illinois soybeans."
- Cullerton: "Okay. And then this tax right now or checkoff is a half a cent, and you want to raise it to a cent."
- Ropp: "That is correct."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Cullerton: "And that would bring in about... that doubles it, and it would bring in about what? Three million more dollars into the..."

Ropp: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "That seems like a lot of money to spend marketing soybeans. Six million dollars total. Has that raised the cost of the soybeans to the people in my district?"

Ropp: "No, it doesn't raise the cost, because producers are the ones that are taking that money out of their own pocket before they ever get it. If you actually look at the industry let's say of any alcoholic beverage, Coca Cola, Pepsi Cola, they spend many many more dollars in the promotion of their product. And we're just saying that those people who are in the production of soybeans will be given that option to contribute more money to a fund that will sell more soybeans grown in Illinois in this state and throughout the world."

Cullerton: "Do you have a lot of soybean producers in your district?"

Ropp: "Yes, Sir."

Cullerton: "Well, I'm going to support your... your district.

This is obviously something that doesn't affect my district, but it is important for your district. And if you think it's important... I think if it's a matter of local concern, I think we should give you your support on this Bill, and pass this Bill out of here."

Ropp: "I appreciate your concern and support, and I'd be almost added... happy to add you as a hyphenated Cosponsor if you'd like to go on."

Cullerton: "Well, I'll just indicate for the record that I'll vote 'aye'."

Ropp: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, it should be noted the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

camera light is on, and that there are people taking pictures in the gallery. Does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill that is on Short Debate? Representative . Ewing."

Ewing: "Can I ask a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "You may. Proceed."

Ewing: "Is this increase in the checkoff, is there any kind of referendum in there?"

Ropp: "Yes, there is. There is a referendum."

Ewing: "And... Gordy, sometimes I think you forget your district,
your new district. Where do they grow the soybeans now on
North Main Street in Bloomington, or...?"

Ropp: "Just on the other side of Division Street."

Ewing: "Oh, okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 292 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 298, Representative Wojcik. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 298, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Nembers of the House, this Bill is identical to House Bill 314, which we passed so soundly. What it refers to is the fact that we can levy a fine to small businesses or restaurants that have been involved in selling liquor to young adults that are not of age. We have one Amendment which also gives the counties the power to levy fines for violations under the Liquor Control Act. I move its passage."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 298, does anyone stand in opposition? No one standing in opposition, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? is final action. The Clerk will take the record. this question, there are 110 voting 'aye', 6 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 301, Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 301, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill was introduced in the Senate at the request of the Illinois State Bar Association public insurance to clarify the role of adjusters. prohibits adjusters from representing that they may provide legal advice or representation to the insured as well as prohibit the provision of legal advice and engage in unauthorized practice of law. It requires adjusters to keep records of the name of the attorneys representing insured and the reps name of the insurance company. And would allow for adjusters to represent the insureds on the I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton has moved the passage of Senate Bill 301, does anyone stand in opposition? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I wonder if the Gentleman might yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Vinson: "Representative, it's my understanding there's already a statute on the books in Illinois that prohibits anybody not

57th Legislative Day

June 19. 1985

licensed as a lawyer from engaging in the practice of law. What is the need for this given that statute?"

- Cullerton: "Well, apparently the Bar Association felt that there was some perhaps specific infringement by public adjusters in that they were... they were trying to give legal advice. And they just felt that it would be helpful to have right in the public adjusters Article of the Insurance Code a specific prohibition against representing that they are an attorney or giving legal advice. It also does a couple of other things. The Bill also requires adjusters to keep records of the name of the attorney representing the insured, and the name of the insurance company rep. And it also allows for adjusters to represent people on the weekends."
- Vinson: "Does the Bill prohibit an attorney who is also an adjuster from giving legal advice?"
- Cullerton: "No, it does not, and there are a few public adjusters that I know that are attorneys. I've run this by them, and they have no objection to the Bill. The way the Bill is written, it certainly allows them to continue to practice law."
- Vinson: "But they could not represent the person whom they had adjusted?"
- Cullerton: "Well, that's... I don't see why that would be the case. I believe that they could."
- Vinson: "Well, it says on page 3, line 5, it says, 'a public insurance adjuster may not provide legal advice for representation to the insured'."
- Cullerton: "Right. That... as a public adjuster he could not, but if you're proposing a situation where he also is an attorney, and there's certainly no prohibition on an attorney providing legal assistance."
- Vinson: "So, an attorney who's a public adjuster could provide

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

legal advice and representation to the insured."

Cullerton: "Yes."

Vinson: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rice."

Rice: "May I address the ... "

Speaker Breslin: "Ask a question. Proceed."

Rice: "Representative Cullerton, in many claims in the area of fire, a person relies upon the public adjuster to make settlements, make basic decisions as it relates to that claim. Now, would you consider that acting as a lawyer or could you describe to me what role he would be... acting as a lawyer?"

Cullerton: "Well, we just... we don't want to change what they do as public adjusters. What we're saying is that they can't represent themselves as an attorney. That was the genesis of the Bill. There was some public adjusters who were saying... representing that they were an attorney, and that was the need for the Bill. Public adjusters can continue to provide advise with regard to settling of claims. That's what they do for a living."

Rice: "Dealing with a particular claim."

Cullerton: "Right."

Rice: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McClain, Representative ${\tt Ropp."}$

Ropp: "Madam Speaker, I just had a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Breslin: "Quickly."

Ropp: "Yes. Is this important for your district?"

Cullerton: "It's for the whole state. This one's for the whole state."

Ropp: "Okay. I'll vote for it anyway."

Cullerton: "Thank you."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 30! pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. and voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. and Gentlemen, we are now going to go back with leave of the Assembly to consider those Bills that need to move from Second to Third so that they can be heard on Special Orders Business tomorrow. We had gotten to Business and Economic Development. The next Bill on that Order of Call is Senate Bill 320 sponsored by Representative Ronan. appears on page 18 on your Calendar. Representative Ronan-Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would ask leave with Representative Ronan's prior instructions to handle the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave. Senate Bill 320.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 320, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Ronan, amends Senate Bill 320 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer. Represent..."
- Homer: "Thank you. Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Bill itself actually amends the Civil Administrative Code to provide and allow for grants up to 50 percent of unemployment and workers compensation insurance costs to new manufacturing facilities which

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

locate in Illinois which employ a hundred people or more. The Amendment is simply a technical Amendment that was suggested by the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to make clear that the corporations who apply would make the application to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs and further that no single grant shall exceed five million dollars in any calendar year. I would ask for support for Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 320. And on that question, the Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Mays: "Did you say, Tom, that no single grant could total more than five million dollars a year?"

Homer: "Yes, thank you. I appreciate that question of clarification, Representative Mays. Actually I think I misstated. The total aggregate amount of grants that would be awarded pursuant to this Section in any one calendar year would not exceed five million. I think I said five million per application. Thank you for pointing that out."

Mays: "Thank you very much. I have problems with the underlying

Bill and I think I'll save that for Third Reading. But I'm

qlad we got that point clarified."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate
Bill 320 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all
those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there
any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Tuerk, amends Senate Bill 320."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House, actually, Amendment

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

#2 to Senate Bill 320 merely gives everybody that's heen contributing a great deal to this state the same opportunity that the new manufacturing companies proposed in this Bill. And there can be no reason, based public policy, really why it should differentiate between and old Bew businesses. Those that contributed a great deal to the economic stability of the state should he afforded the same opportunity. I understand what is being proposed by the Bill. I think fair is fair, and this would give all an opportunity for the same grants. So, I would move for the adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate... Excuse me. This is Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 320. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Tuerk, I may not have noticed what this Amendment did until you said the word merely."

Tuerk: "I didn't hear you. I've got four different meetings going on here."

Cullerton: "Yes. I said I probably wouldn't have noticed what this Amendment did until you used the word merely."

Tuerk: "Did I say merely?"

Cullerton: "Yes."

Tuerk: "I didn't mean to."

Cullerton: "Okay. Alright. That's number one. And then you said simply."

Tuerk: "Well, I don't usually use those terms on the floor, because merely and simply are generally no-nos in my vocabulary. I don't know how I made that freudian slip."

Cullerton: "Okay. And we don't want to tribunalize this

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Tuerk: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "Now, here's the problem. The Sponsor of the Bill is not here, and apparently the Bill is on a Special Order tomorrow. So that's why we're doing Second Readings. I'd like to find out whether, in your opinion, you think he'd be opposed to this Amendment."

Tuerk: "I don't think he would, insofar as he's proposing the
Bill to give new manufacturing firms a break like this. I
think he'd probably go along with giving those companies
that have been in existence for a number of years the same
opportunity."

Cullerton: "Okay. Now, the Bill then is designed to encourage new business to come into Illinois. And your Amendment would encourage the businesses that are already here to stay."

Tuerk: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "And how much money does your Amendment involve, would you think?"

Tuerk: "Considerable."

Cullerton: "Okay. Do you have a rough idea within a couple of hundred million?"

Tuerk: "No, I don't have a rough idea. I just think it makes eminently good sense to do this for those that have done such a good job for us in the past."

Cullerton: "Okay. Well, I think that the Sponsor of the Bill,
Representative Ronan, would view this as an Amendment which
is somewhat hostile to the purposes of his Bill. His Bill
is designed to encourage new manufacturing facilities in
Illinois that employ over a hundred people. And the
Amendment, obviously, by going back to all the facilities
that are here - does it say prior to 1976? Boy. That this
would be an enormous change in the purpose of his Bill,
would involve an incredible amount of money, and I think

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

that the Amendment should be opposed."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I can assure the Assembly that I understand the workings of Representative Ronan's mind as well anv Member of this chamber. I could assure... I could assure Representative Cullerton that I confer with Representative Ronan more often than Representative Cullerton does, and I understand his philosophy and purpose of government. can assure everybody in this chamber that Representative if he were here and had a chance to reflect on this issue, would arrive at the conclusion immediately that this is an eminently reasonable concept, because what does is to retain and generate jobs for Illinois. concept And there's nobody in this Assembly more committed idea of jobs than Representative Ronan. know Representative Ronan would be for this, and I know that this as a favor to Representative Roman in ought to do addition to... favor for Illinois employers. T strongly urge adoption of this Amendment and would request a Roll Call on the issue."

Speaker Breslin: "The Chair would note that you break the rules,
Sir, when you take another Member's name in debate. The
Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Homer.
Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment and I do so with the very thought that this Bill, Senate Bill 320, will..."

Speaker Breslin: "Represent... Excuse me, Representative Homer.

Representative Ful... or Representative Vinson, for what
reason do you rise?"

Vinson: "I think if you would consult with the Parliamentarian,

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

you would only find that that is, in fact, a violation of the rules if you take the name in vain. And I did not take the name in vain."

Speaker Breslin: "We'll check that. Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Again, Representative Tuerk offers this Amendment in order to, as he indicates, include those firms that are already in the State of Illinois because, certainly, we all admit that we all have an obligation not just to attract new business to Illinois and new firms but, in fact, to help those firms that are here. Senate Bill 320, without Amendment 2, not only will attract new business to the State of Illinois, but it also will be a direct benefit to existing business. Why? Because when firms come to the State of Illinois and employ a hundred or more new employees, where are they going to hiring those employees? Those people will be people who are unemployed, currently drawing unemployment benefits. which result in higher unemployment and workmen's compensation premiums for existing Illinois businesses. people go to work for a new firm who comes to When these Illinois as a result of this legislation, that existing employer will be relieved of the further penalty associated with the payments of unemployment insurance attributable to unemployed, laid off worker so that, in the end, it's a win for new business. It's a win for the State of Ilinois. It's a win for existing business. and the addition of Amendment #2 does nothing but to undermine the entire Bill and to make it so expensive that it would have absolutely virtually no possibility of being implemented. I would join with Representative Cullerton. I am confident that Representative Ronan is opposed to this Amendment, and would ask all of our colleagues to join in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays." "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment. I figure if we're going to go on this kind policy decision in the first place, then we might as well try to be as consistent as we can be. I. while I support this Amendment, don't believe that it goes far enough. If an employer in this state adds a hundred new employees, they're not qualified for this grant. the employer in this state opens a new facility in another portion of this state, the way I read the Bill they're not qualified for it. So, while this Amendment doesn't quite far enough and why it's cut off at 1976 instead of 1965, I have no idea, but I'm going to support this Amendment simply so we can have some consistent policy if this is indeed the policy that we want to follow."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Regan." Regan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #2 addresses the problem where one manufacturer can come up and set up business next door to a manufacturer that has been in existence in the state previously therefore, be in a noncompetitive situation with the competitor that gets the discount. I stand in favor this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Marshall, Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise in support of Representative Tuerk's Amendment. I think many of us have been talking with a lot of groups recently about what we are doing to bring jobs and businesses to Illinois, but the second question then that they ask us is, 'What are you doing to help those existing businesses who are already here, those that are already our friends, those people that are already

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

creating and giving the jobs to those individuals who already live here? They're saying to us, 'What can you do to help us? We've been here. We need help, too.' And this is one thing that we can do to help them. It is not only important to bring new jobs and industry to Illinois, it is important to say to those that are already here. We thank you for what you've done for us in the past. We want to keep you here. We're going to let you share in those things that we can do to create more jobs and industry for our entire state.' I firmly support this Amendment and hope you will join us in placing it on this Bill."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I also support Amendment, and I think I know why 1976 was picked. think the reason it was picked, Representative Tuerk, is because in 1975 we had greatly liberalized rules relative to scope of benefits and level of benefits for these two programs which, over ten years, has cost Illinois much of its industrial base. Is that the reason? Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tuerk."

- Tuerk: "Well, you raised the question which I was going to point out in my closing remarks, and you are precisely right on target."
- McCracken: "Well, then I think it's incumbent upon all of us. including the other side of the aisle, to support this. You know, the reason 1975 is a watershed year in our economic history is because of that liberalization. It was well intended, but, frankly, it just went too far. And ever since then, we've been paying the price. The cost Illinois manufacturers and other businesses has risen dramatically directly as a result of that. had UT

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

bailout two years ago necessitated by this increased level of benefits and scope of benefits. We have some of workmen's comp figures in the country. And our manufacturing and business climate is consistently ranked the lowest in the nation. ₩e. in fact. ourselves asked to support a Bill which requires us to give a fifty percent break to attract new business. The better question is shouldn't we deal with the existing conditions? Shouldn't we seek to retain as well as attract businesses to this state? So this is a good Bill. Ιt addresses issue long overdue. I realize that it is an emotional I realize that it's a labor issue. Ru+ it's that should be fairly addressed, something and this Amendment seeks to do that. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,

Representative Tuerk, to close."

Tuerk: "Well, Madam Speaker, Members of the House, the previous speaker was very perceptive in his thoughts relative to the Amendment. I believe that if you go back to the records and inspect my voting record and the remarks that I have made on the floor of the House in the seventeen years been here, there is no one, and I say no one in this chamber more dedicated to the creation and retention of jobs than I. Now, we may approach it on a different appeal therefore. that's where philosophically we differ in our approach. But the end product and the objective are the same and that is to create and retain jobs. Now Bill, what is expected in the Bill is that it will attract new businesses to locate, and I applaud that I've worked to that degree and that end for a number of But what the Amendment speaks to is that precisely previous speaker remarked that back in 1975 the liberalization of work comp and unemployment insurance

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

benefits was so great that we have worked diligently since to try and rectify that situation. Now comes Bill... Senate Bill 320 with an idea of correcting some of that, some of the ills that were created back in the '75 Session and that's why I'm proposing Amendment #2 to this Bill because I think it will go a long way to correct many of the problems facing this state in the last ten or twelve years. And, therefore, I would urge your support and passage and adoption of the Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Daniels, for what reason do you rise? To explain your vote. Representative Kulas, for what reason do you rise?"

Kulas: "I'll explain my vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate
Bill 320 be adopted?' A'll those in favor vote 'aye', all
those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative
Daniels, one minute to explain your vote."

Daniels: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, very because I see some... many affirmative votes up briefly. I want to explain to you why I'm voting 'yes'. First of all, I'm for every part of this state being an enterprise zone. I'm pro-Illinois. I want to see Illinois continue to move forward. I support this Bill. I support this Amendment, because I took the time, like some of you did, to go to Detroit to talk to General Motors to bring Saturn to Illinois and to emphasize the benefits of Illinois. But, at the same time, I recognize some of the abuses that have been brought on by the ... towards the businesses of this state by certain Members of This Amendment says to Illinois businesses Legislature. that we love you, too. You just don't have to come into this state to be part of it. You tell John Deere, you tell Caterpillar, you tell the other businesses of this state

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

that you don't care for them as much as we do about new businesses you're trying to allure and then you're not telling them the truth. That's why you ought to support this Amendment proudly, to correct some of the past abuses."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas, one minute to explain your vote"
- Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just wonder if all those who are voting for this Amendment will also vote for a tax increase to compensate for the revenue which will be lost by the passage of this Amendment. Of course they won't. This is a blatant attempt to kill a good Bill. If you don't like the Bill, vote against the Bill, but don't try to kill the Bill by putting a bad Amendment on it. Shame on you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk... Representative Vinson. Ts Representative Vinson in the chamber? Representative Vinson, the Chair has reviewed the rules, and it should be noted that you are incorrect with regard to decorum. proper decorum that you not use another Member's name in debate. It has nothing... The rule says nothing about using a name in vain. The Parliamentarian advises us that that applies only to God. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "First, I wish you would take the record and then I'd like to discuss God and Representative Ronan."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer, for what reason do you rise?"
- Homer: "Madam Speaker, I just would request that the Chair hold the Bill on Second Reading."
- Speaker Breslin: "We will do that after we take the record."
- Homer: "And I would request that the Bill be taken out of the record."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Yes. We have to... We can take it out of the record after we announce the vote on this Amendment, Representative. Once we have started with a Roll Call, we have to proceed. That is the rule. Is that acceptable? Representative Parley, for what reason do you rise?"

Farley: "Inquiry, Madam Speaker. Was Amendment #1 adopted to this Bill?"

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 is on the Bill."

Farley: "Then, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I would like to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye', because
this Amendment as... or this Bill as amended will now go
back to the Senate where Senator Luft can review these
Amendments. We can then discuss these Amendments and, if
so, go to a Conference Committee. So, Madam Speaker, I
would like to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "The vote is still open, Gentlemen, but understand that Amendment #1, Representative Farley, Amendment #1 has already been adopted to the Bill. So we don't need to adopt a second Amendment. Representative Farley"

Farley: "That's fine. I just want to vote 'aye' on this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Change me from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Please be advised that the vote is still open. If Members wish to change their vote, please vote it. Representative... Representative Hawkinson, are you seeking recognition? No. Have all... Representative McGann."

McGann: "I vote 'aye', Madam."

Speaker Breslin: "You can do it on the board. The vote is still

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

open. So change it yourself. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 90 voting 'aye', 22 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. On the... Excuse me. There is a fiscal note requested on this Bill. So the Bill has to remain on the Order of Second Reading, Representative. Second Reading for Senate Bill 320. On the Order of Elections there appears Senate Bill 237, Representative Stern. It's on page ten on your Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill. Page ten on your Calendar. Senate Bill 237."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 237, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 616, Representative Steczo. Page 12 on your Calendar."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 616, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Steczo, amends Senate Bill 616..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 616 is an Amendment that

Senator Welsh has worked out, I believe, with the Illinois

State Chamber of Commerce regarding toxic art supplies in

schools. It establishes requirements for the purchase of
art supplies containing toxic substances. It provides that

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

schools and school districts are prohibited from ordering or purchasing art supplies containing toxic substances from grades kindergarten through six. And for grades seven twelve, art supplies containing these substances through can be purchased or ordered only if there is It applies after 6/1/86. requirements. It provides for various definitions, warning labels and other types of information as well as studies by the Illinois Department of Public Health and a list to be distributed to school districts by the State Superintendent of Education. would move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 616. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "An inquiry, Madam Speaker. Has the Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, it has."

McCracken: "Okay. Alright. Representative, you made a poop explanation. What... What mechanism or corrective mechanism, if any, is there for the toxic substances currently on the premises or as of the effective date of the Bill? I mean, what is it that we're going to do merely notify people, or are they going to have to get it off the premises or ...?"

Steczo: "Representative McCracken, the Bill indicates that the various school districts will be notified by the Department of Public Health, by the State Superintendent of Education which substances have high degrees of toxicity and they will be requested to remove those from the premises. It does not mandate moving them from the premises. I think the idea is that if they currently have them, chances are they will not have had them for very long. It provides that from June 1st of '96 that labeling requirements will

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

be in effect for that '86/'87 school year and the requirements will be done by those people that do the testing for the various manufacturers so everything can be uniform."

McCracken: "The labeling will be done by the manufacturers?"

- Steczo: "That's correct, in conjunction with the American Society for Testing of Materials which is... who develops a standard that art and craft materials. Manufacturers and artists have generally agreed to... to follow."
- McCracken: "So there's a list of the toxic materials in existence already?"
- Steczo: "There's a standard for manufacturers, and, based on that standard for manufacturers, a list will be developed. And there is an extensive provision in the Bill regarding public health and other hearings that they would have. And the purpose, really, is to provide warnings, too. So, if the substances are in a school, substance... warning labels on various degrees of toxicity will be either on the package or as an insert to the package so that people will know exactly, you know, how to deal with those particular substances."
- McCracken: "Okay. Now, you would expect, then, the manufacturer to pick up the cost of the labeling or just make that part of the cost of the product? Is that where the expense will be?"
- Steczo: "I would presume, Representative McCracken, since this legislation is already in effect in California, and there has been interest expressed in other states, that it will be uniform at some point. And because we have provided for an insert to be able to be used rather than labeling, that would suffice, in terms of the manufacturers having to have different packages made for Illinois and other states. So, inserts can be used rather than labeling."

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- McCracken: "Alright. Now, if an insert were used, making it subject to Illinois only, how would that be accomplished under the Bill, and who would bear the cost?"
- Steczo: "Representative McCracken, I believe the manufacturer would bear the cost of inserting. I should... I think I did point out that California currently has this same type of activity, and I don't think it's causing much of a problem in California."
- McCracken: "Okay. But I'm just trying to get straight, to the extent there's a cost, that it will be borne by the manufacturer."
- Steczo: "Right. But we are trying to provide that with the insert it would be less costly than designing two different packages, one for Illinois and California and other states and one for those that do not have this type of requirement."
- McCracken: "Okay. Can you give me an example of what would be toxic? Do you have some idea of that at this time?"
- Steczo: "Representative McCracken, I'll refer to the Bill which defines an art or craft material which contains ... it contains an ingredient which is toxic, whether an impurity percent or more by weight of the product is... is included therein. I think that that is the standard that the technical people that do the testing, the American Society for Testing of Materials, have. It's their standard D-4236, which they have provided, and I believe that that definition also meets with the approval of the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce and their people that have reviewed the Act with Senator Welsh."
- McCracken: "With Senator Welsh. Was this the same or similar to a Bill considered by the Senate?"
- Steczo: "I believe it is. I'm not sure if its' in exactly the same form that it was considered when it was in the Senate,

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

but...

McCracken: "Alright."

Steczo: "... a similar subject was considered."

McCracken: "And that didn't pass out of the Senate."

Steczo: "No, it did not. It failed by a vote or two."

McCracken: "Alright. Where is enforcement of the Act lodged, with the State Superintendent or...?"

Steczo: "The Department of Public Health."

McCracken: "The Department of Public Health. Alright. And is there an anticipated cost to the state of... because of the enforcement requirements? Do we anticipate extra money going to the Department?"

Steczo: "I think, Representative McCracken, it would currently fall under the purview of the Department of Public Health's, you know, current duties. I don't think there would be much of a revenue... or a... very much of an additional cost."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Marshall, Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I... Would the Sponsor please yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Koehler: "I was interested in your response, and you've been very thorough in your responses to Representative McCracken on this Bill. Well, I should say on this Bill, because apparently it has been a Bill or at least thought about over in the Senate but did not make it out and that is one of the things that concerns me about this. This is a massive Amendment, a very important Amendment. And here we are without a Committee hearing in the House addressing such a all-encompassing piece of legislation. And many

57th Legislative Day

June 19. 1985

things like this go through the Energy and Environment Committee and... rather than go to the responsibility of the Department of Public Health, which is ill-equipped to take care of such a massive mandate. Can you tell me you have chosen the Department of Public Health rather than the Environmental Protection Agency which really has a list of many of these chemicals? We also have the Department of Labor that oversees the employee right to know legislation. Perhaps you can tell us why you have chosen the Department of Public Health, which really is not equipped to do this." Steczo: "Representative Koehler, I think, in terms Department of Public Health's abilities, we are addressing that this Session, but I will indicate to you Department of Public Health will simply draw the list of the substances which contain the toxicity. There standard currently. The standard D-4236 provided by the American Society for Testing in Material. They will provide... they provide the standard. The Department of Public Health comes up with the list. They distribute the list to the schools. And in terms of your remark regarding large Amendment, I don't think... I've seen larger provided to us or given to us at this period in time. keep in mind that this is only Second Reading and we will have ample time to review the legislation before called and will have ample debate on Third Reading as well."

Koehler: "Well, thank you, Representative. I was not referring to the size of the Amendment. I was referring to the size of the mandate that we are giving not only to the Department of Public Health, but to our schools. On the one hand, our schools are saying to us that we do not want any more mandates. On the other hand, we are handing them a massive mandate with this particular requirement. I know

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

that our industries have had difficulty, even though they've worked very hard to comply with many of the right to know legislations that we now have and with many of the rules and regulations in the regulatory area, and here we are regulating upon our schools and the Department of Public Health new responsibilities. Do you have any idea of the cost of this?"

Steczo: "I think the cost for the Department of Public Health, Representative Koehler, would probably be minimal, and we are not really mandating a great responsibility on school districts. Quite frankly, in smaller children, kindergarten through six, toxic supplies... toxic art supplies can cause a great deal of health ... health and physical problems over the long run. Simply all this is to provide a warning label either on the to do package or inserted in the package from the manufacturer and a list to the schools to say if you have these supplies up to January 1... I'm sorry, June 1, 1986, please, we would ask you to dispose of those. After that, we will give you a list of things that will not be able to be purchased. And that's simply all the Bill does. It's not a really massive mandate."

Koehler: "Well, thank you, Representative Steczo. And, Madam Speaker, to the Amendment. While, on the one hand, this may be a very good idea, on the other hand, it may not be a very good idea. We have not had an opportunity for the schools to speak out on this issue. And I think that it is important that this particular Amendment be defeated at this point so that we might have an opportunity to address this in the proper fashion. And as I said, I think that it would be a good idea to address this as a Bill perhaps perhaps next year at a time when we could address it with its full ramifications. Thank you."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we have over twenty more
 Bills to consider yet tonight before we adjourn. If
 dembers could keep their remarks as short as possible, it
 would be very beneficial. The Lady from DuPage,
 Representative Cowlishaw. Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank, you, Mr... Madam Speaker. I move the previous question."
- Speaker Breslin: "That's unnecessary. Representative Steczo, to close."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I think the comments made during the questioning points out the substance of the Bill... pointed out the substance and rationale for it. The fact is that kindergarten through sixth grade students who are using the... art supplies with toxicity could, in fact, have grave physical and health problems due to those. Simply, we are providing that warnings be provided, that school districts be provided lists submitted through the manufacturers and through the testing firm that test materials through the manufacturers. And I think that it's not a massive mandate. Something that really could provide a protection for our kindergarten through sixth graders and seventh through twelvth graders, and I would appreciate the House's support in the of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 616 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay". In the opinion... All those in favor vote 'aye", all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 55 voting "aye", 48 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present' and the Amendment is adopted. A fiscal note has been filed as amended, Representative Steczo, so the Bill remains on the

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Order of Second Reading. Again, Ladies and Gentlemen, we don't want the House to lose this game by default. We will move as quickly as we can, but we have over 22 Bills to move tonight so that we can be prepared for action tomorrow. The next Bill is Senate Bill 1180, Representative Sutker. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1180, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments?"

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 98, Representative Currie. Out of... Has the Amendment been distributed on Senate Bill 98? Senate Bill 98."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 98, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we have made arrangement with the agreement of both sides of the aisle a number of Bills to be read a second time and then held so that those Bills possibly could be heard tomorrow and qo for final passage. So. that with agreement, we will read the following Bills and then them held on Second Reading. Senate Bill 98, Senate Bill 291, Senate Bill 416, Senate Bill 431, Senate Bill 557. Senate Bill 612, Senate Bill 625, Senate Bill 629, Senate Bill 734, Senate Bill 925, Senate Bill 976, Senate 1030 and Senate Bill 1249. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill... Senate Bill 98, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 291, a Bill for an Act to amend

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Sections of an Act in relationship to regulation of rivers, lakes and streams of the State of Illinois. Second Reading Senate Bill 431, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 557, a Bill for an Act to Sections of an Act to revise the law in relationship to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 612, Ri 11 for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 625, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Metropolitan Civic Center Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 734, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 925, a Bill for an Act to encourage state employee suggestions and to add Sections to the State Comptroller's Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 976, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Act to create the Office of County Auditor in certain counties. Second Reading of the Bill. And Senate Bill 416, a for an Act to amend an Act relating to spouse maintenance and child support. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1030, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating practice of dentistry. Second Reading of the Bill. And Senate Bill 1249, a Bill for an Act relating to counties. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Add to that list Senate Bill 875,

Representative Ropp's Bill on page 13 on your Calendar."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "875."

Clerk Leone: "... 875, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act for Grade A Pasteurized Milk and Milk Products Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Those Bills will all then be read...

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

have been read a second time. They will be held on Second. We still have about eight Bills that have to be considered. The first Bill is on page seven on your Calendar. Senate Bill 224, Representative O'Connell. This Bill is on the Order of Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 224. It's been read a second time previously. Amendment #2, O'Connell, amends Senate Bill 224 as amended."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, O'Connell."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #2 is a technical Amendment. There was some question in debate on the original discussion as to whether or not the waste transfer station referred to garbage. The word 'garbage' has been inserted in lieu of 'waste transfer station' to make it clearly applicable to garbage."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 224. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Does this also exempt the City of Chicago from the Bill?"

O'Connell: "Yes, that's true."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 224 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 497, Representative Richmond. Clerk, read the Bill. It's on page !! on your Calendar."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 497, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment \$2, Richmond, amends Senate Bill 497 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Actually, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the description in the... on the Calendar is in error and it calls... refers to this Bill amending the School Code. Actually it amends the Grain Dealers' Licensing Act. The Amendment would allow grain dealers who are already licensed in Illinois to continue their business until the first renewal date after January 1, 1988, as long as they maintain a net worth of at least 20,000 dollars. I move adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 497. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 497 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. On page 37 on your Calendar

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- appears Senate Bill 829 which was removed from the Consent Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill. 829."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 829, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. It's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1, Barnes, amends Senate Bill 829 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes, the Amendment has not been printed. The Bill, however, has been read a second time and will be held on Second until the Amendment can be printed. Senate Bill... On page 23 on your Calendar is Senate Bill 849, Representative Vinson. Clerk, read the Bill. 849, on page 23."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 849, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Beading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Daniels Hallock, amends
 Senate Bill..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. This

 Bill incorporates the right to know Bill which we passed

 unanimously in the House, and I would ask for it support."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of ... or the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 849. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 849 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments? Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I believe that with the adoption of Amendment #1, that the title is amended, and I would request that the Bill go back to Second Reading First

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Legislative Day."

- Speaker Breslin: "Pursuant to your request, this Bill goes back to the Order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day...

 First... Second Reading First Legislative Day. Excuse me.

 Senate Bill 865, on page 32 on your Calendar. Senate Bill

 865, page 32 on your Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 865, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the conveyance of public land. Has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1, Hastert, amends Senate Bill 865."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert, your Amendment has not been printed and distributed. With leave we will keep this Bill on the Order of Second Reading. It has been read a second time. And it can be heard later. So the Bill remains on the Order of Second Reading having been read a second time. On page 24 on your Calendar is Senate Bill 1036, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill. Senate Bill 1036."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1036, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago World's Fair 1992 Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Page 24. Page 24 of your Calendar."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Amendments filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Mautino, amends Senate Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Madam Speaker, Amendment #2 (sic - #1) is a very important Amendment, one that puts some responsibility into the World's Fair. At the request of the Sponsor, with the full understanding that the same Amendment is being drafted for the follow up to Amendment #6, he has agreed to withhold 1036 until that Amendment is redrafted. With that understanding that it is read, it will be held, I will withdraw that Amendment at this time with the... reserve

57th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1985
- the right to provide it at a later."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Mautino."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, on Amendment #2.
 Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #3, Bullock Shaw, amends Senate
 Bill 1036..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock. Withdraw Amendment #3.

 Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Shaw, amends Senate Bill 1036..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw. Withdraw Amendment #4.

 Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Keane, amends Senate Bill 1036..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane, the Amendment is not printed and distributed. The Bill has been read a second time. So, it will be held on Second Reading.

 Representative Keane, for what reason do you rise?"
- Keane: "Yes, did the... my Amendment changes the title of the Bill. So, that's why I needed it read in the ... read today so that as soon as you ... It has not been printed and distributed?"
- Speaker Breslin: "No, it has not. We can ask that it be printed and distributed and get it here as quickly as possible.

 Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Yeah, a parliamentary question. If I move the Bill to
 Third, brought it back to amend it... put a new title on it
 tomorrow, would that be Pirst or Second Legislative Day?
 That would be Pirst?"
- Speaker Breslin: "It could be moved back to First Legislative Day upon the request of any Member."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

- Keane: "Alright. Just have it read a second time."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Okay. The next Bill... Representative Friedrich, for what reason do you rise? Representative Friedrich."
- Priedrich: "I was just going to object to it being moved to
 Third."
- Speaker Breslin: "On page 37 appears Senate Bill 815 on page...

 There is a Motion to reconsider. The Bill was on the
 Consent Calendar. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 815, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Energy and Natural Resources Act. Has been read a second time previously."
- Speaker Breslin: "Who's the Sponsor of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "Representative Churchill Klemm Deuchler."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, were you the person who wished to present a Motion to reconsider?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, Madam Speaker, thank you. I move to reconsider... Having voted on the prevailing side, the passage of House Bill 815... Senate Bill 815, I move to reconsider the vote by which that Bill passed."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton moves to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 815 passed. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is Have all voted who wish? open. The Clerk will record. On this question there are 94 voting ave. 4 voting 'no' and 5 voting 'present'. And this Motion carries. This Motion to reconsider carries, and the Bill will appear on the Order of Second Reading Short Debate On page 13 on your Calendar is Senate Bill 959. Representative Frederick. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 859, a Bill for an Act to amend the Amusement Ride and Attraction Safety Insurance Act."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Clerk Leone: "Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Virginia Frederick, amends

Senate Bill 859."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Frederick."

Prederick: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #1 amends the Carnival Amusement Ride Safety Act
and provides that any person contracting with the carnival
operator must then, after the contract is signed, inform
the Department of the name, the address, the date and the
location where the amusement ride will be installed. This
was requested by the Department of Labor."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1, is it, Mr. Clerk? Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 859. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "It gives me an opportunity to thank everyone today. I
was embarrassed beyond belief. But what Reading are we on
on the wall?"

Speaker Breslin: "We're on Senate Bills Second Reading, Senate Bill 859, Amendment #1."

Leverenz: "Would the Lady explain the Amendment again now?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, proceed, Representative Frederick."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Frederick: "Yes. What it does, Representative Leverenz, it provides that anyone contracting with an amusement ride or carnival ride operator then will supply to the Department the name, the address, the date and location of where the ride will be installed."

Leverenz: "What is Director Bernardi's opinion of your Amendment here? Does he support it?"

Prederick: "He requested this Amendment."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Leverenz: "I'd like to let all my friends on the Republican side know that Al Lombardi - is that his name?"

Prederick: "Bernardi."

Leverenz: "Vince Lombardi, your friend wants this Amendment. For those of you that can't appreciate that, check with someone on the Appropriations I Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 859 be adopted?'

All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Frederick."

Prederick: "I would like to ask leave of the House to return this
Bill to Consent Calendar from which it was taken."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of the Consent Calendar. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Bill is moved to Third Reading and is put on the Order of the Consent Calendar. We will use the Attendance Roll Call for that Motion. On page 13 on your Calendar is Senate Bill 937, Representative Alexander. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 997, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. On page 24 on your Calendar is

Senate Bill 1036, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the

Bill. Yes. Page 24."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1036. It's been read a second time previously. Amendments #1 through 4 were withdrawn. Ploor Amendment #5, Keane."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

- Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #5 puts Senate Bill 1036 in with the proper title and the proper language so that it will become the Chicago World's Fair Bill. And I would ask for the passage of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1036."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1036. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1036 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 1036."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I believe with the adoption of

 Amendment #5 that the title of the Bill has been amended."

 Speaker Breslin: "It has."
- Cullerton: "So I would request that the Bill be returned to Second Reading First Legislative Day."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton has moved that the Bill be returned to the Order of Second Reading First Legislative Day, as is his right. Representative Mautino, your Amendment has not been printed and distributed so that the Bill... I understand the Amendment is being distributed now. Do you wish to go forward? Okay. Representative Mautino."
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6, Mautino."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino. Excuse me.

 Representative Cullerton."

57th Legislative Day

June 19. 1985

Cullerton: "Madam Speaker, the Bill is on First Legislative Day.

Second Reading First Legislative Day."

Speaker Breslin: "I see. You're correct. The Amendment cannot be heard now. So the Bill remains on the Order of Second Reading First Legislative Day. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I just want to inquire as to the specific cul de sac Representative Cullerton has placed us in to make sure that I fully understand it. I think... Am I right in thinking that Amendment #5 was adopted to this Bill?"

Speaker Breslin: "It was."

Vinson: "And then the Gentleman exercised his rights under the rules to move that back to Second Reading First Legislative Day?"

Speaker Breslin: "It was."

Vinson: "And it is the Chair's ruling that that Motion can be made before other Amendments are considered?"

Speaker Breslin: "We did not make that ruling. At the time the Motion was made, the... further Amendments were not printed and distributed and could not be considered. So we took that Motion..."

Vinson: "The subsequent Amendments after #5?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes."

Vinson: "Okay."

Speaker Breslin: "Then we found that subsequent Amendments were going... were being distributed so we were going to go back to that and the Chair was... had to note that it had already been returned to the Order of Second Reading First Legislative Day."

Vinson: "And that was Mr. Cullerton that asked that it be returned to Second Reading First Legislative Day?"

Speaker Breslin: "It was. It was."

57th Legislative Day

June 19, 1985

Vinson: "And that was the World's Fair Bill?"

Speaker Breslin: "It was."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we are ready to play ball. Representative Cullerton moves that the House stand adjourned until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning, Thursday, June 20th. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay', except for a few minutes for Perfunctory Session for the Clerk to do some cleanup business. This House stands adjourned until tomorrow at 9:00 a.m."

Clerk Leone: "Committee Reports. Representative Bowman, Chairman from the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 19, 1985, and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 174, 175, 387, 462 and 863; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bill 1, 476, 477, 478, 482 and 483. Being no further business, the House now stands adjourned till 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning."

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 19, 1985

SB-0003	SECOND READING	PAGE	81
SB-0007	THIRD READING	PAGE	411
SB-0009	SECOND READING	PAGE	2
SB-0016	SECOND READING	PAGE	91
SB-0017	SECOND READING	PAGE	82
SB-0018	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0024	SECOND READING	PAGE	83
SB-0031	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	2
SB-0038	THIRD READING	PAGE	112
SB-0039	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	93
SB-0040	THIRD READING	PAGE	113
SB-0042	SECOND READING	PAGE	83
SB-0048	SECOND READING	PAGE	
			83
SB-0053	SECOND READING	PAGE	2
SB-0060	SECOND READING	PAGE	90
SB-0060	SECOND READING	PAGE	92
SB-0060	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	91
SB-0062	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	114
SB-0074	SECOND READING	PAGE	2
SB-0075	SECOND READING	PAGE	91
SB-0075	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	91
SB-0077	SECOND READING	PAGE	91
SB-0086	THIRD READING		
		PAGE	115
SB-0086		PAGE	116
SB-0089		PAGE	93
SB-0092	SECOND READING	PAGE	2
SB-0097	SECOND READING	PAGE	94
SB-0098	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	148
SB-0111		PAGE	2
	SECOND READING		94
		PAGE	
SB-0112		PAGE	96
	THIRD READING	PAGE	116
SB-0114		PAGE	96-
SB-0120	SECOND READING	PAGE	96
SB-0122	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
SB-0123	SECOND READING	PAGE	2
SB-0136		PAGE	6
SB-0137			
		PAGE	97
SB-0141	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
SB-0144	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PAGE	98
SB-0158	SECOND READING	PAGE	2
SB-0164	SECOND READING	PAGE	38
SB-0169	SECOND READING	PAGE	99
SB-0170	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0176			
	SECOND READING	PAGE	100
SB-0177	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0180	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0193	THIRD READING	PAGE	117
SB-0202	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0204	SECOND READING	PAGE	101
SB-0204	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	101
SB-0209	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	102
SB-0209		PAGE	107
SB-0212	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0214	SECOND READING	PAGE	109
SB-0215	SECOND READING	PAGE	109
SB-0215	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	111
SB-0217	SECOND READING	PAGE	iii
SB-0218	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	3
SB-0224	RECALLED	PAGE	150
SB-0229	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0237	SECOND READING	PAGE	140
SB-0244	THIRD READING	PAGE	118
SB-0246	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0247	THIRD READING	PAGE	119
 . •		4	

STATE OF ILLINOIS 94TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 19, 1985

SB-0249	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0254		PAGE	108
SB-0281	THIRD READING	PAGE	120
SB-0296	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0291	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	148
SB-0292			
	THIRD READING	PAGE	121
SB-0298	THIRD READING	PAGE	124
SB-0301	THIRD READING	PAGE	125
SB-0310	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	3
SB-0315	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0319	SECOND READING	PAGE	108
SB-0320	SECOND READING	PAGE	129
SB-0320			
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	140
SB-0322	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
SB-0338	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0348	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0366	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
5B-0404	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0414	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0416	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	149
SB-0418	SECOND READING	PAGE	108
SB-0419	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0431	HELD ON SECOND		
		PAGE	149
SB-0447	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0448	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0497	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0489			
	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0497	SECOND READING	PAGE	151
SB-0501	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0513	SECOND READING		ğ
		PAGE	
SB-0554		PAGE	7
SB-0557	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	149
SB-0576	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0577			7
		PAGE	
SB-0597	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0612	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	149
SB-0613	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0616	SECOND READING		140
		PAGE	
SB-0616	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	147
SB-0625	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	149
SB-0655	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0658	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	37
SB-0673	THIED READING	PAGE	7
SB-0674	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0675	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0676			
	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0677	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0678	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0679	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0681	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0682	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0693	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0686	THIRD READING		7
		PAGE	
SB-0728	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0729	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0733	SECOND READING	PAGE	t.
SB-0734	HELD ON SECOND		•
		PAGE	149
SB-0742	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0748	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0758	SECOND READING	PAGE	i,
SB-0785			
	THIRD READING	PAGE	7
SB-0793	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0812	THIRD READING	PAGE	8
SB-0815	THIRD READING	PAGE	9
SB-0815	MOTION		
20.0013	HOLLOR	PAGE	155

STATE OF ILLINOIS 34TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

JUNE 19, 1985

SB-0817	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0823	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0829		PAGE	152
SB-0929	MOTION	PAGE	107
SB-0836	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
SB-0839	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-0841		PAGE	
			8
SB-0949	SECOND READING	PAGE	152
SB-0850	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-0851	THIRD READING	PAGE	8
SB-0858			
		PAGE	5
SB-0859	SECOND READING	PAGE	155
SB-0860	THIRD READING	PAGE	8
SB-0865	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-0866			
	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-0870		PAGE	5
SB-0874	THIRD READING	PAGE	8
SB-0875	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	149
SB-0876		PAGE	8
SB-0977	THIRD READING	PAGE	8
SB-0903	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-0909			8
		PAGE	
SB-0925	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	149
SB-0976	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	149
SB-0995	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-0997		PAGE	
			157
SB-1005	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1009	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
SB-1018	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
SB-1019	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	12
SB-1029		PAGE	19
SB-1030	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	149
SB-1032	SECOND READING	PAGE	16
SB-1036			
		PAGE	153
	SECOND READING	PAGE	157
SB-1036	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	154
SB-1036	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	159
SB-1037			16
		PAGE	
	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1053	THIRD READING	PAGE	8
SB-1055	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
SB-1060	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
SB-1073	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
SB-1082	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
SB-1090		PAGE	18
SB-1091			
		PAGE	5
SB-1102	SECOND READING	PAGE	20
SB-1102	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	20
SB-1103	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
SB-1104			
	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1106	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1121	SECOND READING	PAGE	20
SB-1123	SECOND READING	PAGE	21
SB-1129			
	SECOND READING	PAGE	21
SB-1133		PAGE	80
SB-1133	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	81
SB-1136	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
SB-1151	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1156	SECOND READING	PAGE	23
SB-1163	SECOND READING	PAGE	23
SB-1166	SECOND READING	PAGE	23
SB-1180	SECOND READING	PAGE	148
SB-1185	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
SB-1188	SECOND READING	PAGE	24

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 4

JUNE 19, 1985

	0000 13, 1303		
SB-1193	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
	SECOND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1212	SECOND READING	PAGE	24
SB-1213	SECOND READING	PAGE	25
SB-1214	SECOND READING	PAGE	25
SB-1215	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
SB-1218	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
SB-1218	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	28
SB-1243	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
SB-1244	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
SB-1249	HELD ON SECOND		
SB-1260	SECOND READING	PAGE	149
SB-1262	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
SB-1265		PAGE	30
	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
SB-1266	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
SB-1267	SECOND READING	PAGE	31
SB-1273	SECOND READING	PAGE	32
SB-1278	SECOND READING	PAGE	32
SB-1279	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
SB-1281	SECOND READING	PAGE	32
SB-1293	SECOND READING	PAGE	32
SB-1284	SECOND READING	PAGE	32
SB-1286	SECOND READING	PAGE	33
SB-1287	SECOND READING	PAGE	34
SB-1307	SECOND READING	PAGE	35
SB-1312	SECOND READING	PAGE	35
SB-1319	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
SB-1321	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
SB-1322	THIRD READING	PAGE	8
SB-1325	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
SB-1337	SECOND READING	PAGE	37
SB-1338	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
SB-1351	SECOND READING	PAGE	52
SB-1358	SECOND READING	PAGE	52
SB-1360	SECOND READING	PAGE	58
SB-1366	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
SB-1367	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
SB-1370	SECOND READING	PAGE	58
SB-1375	SECOND READING	PAGE	58
SB-1391	SECOND READING	PAGE	60
SB-1398	THIRD READING	PAGE	8
SB-1399	MOTION	PAGE	111
SB-1402	SECOND READING	PAGE	60
SB-1404	SECOND READING	PAGE	61
SB-1405	SECOND READING	PAGE	61
SB-1413	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
SB-1415	SECOND READING	PAGE	61
SB-1416	SECOND READING	PAGE	61
SB-1417	SECOND READING	PAGE	62
SB-1428	SECOND READING	PAGE	62
SB-1429	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
SB-1437	SECOND READING	PAGE	79
SB-1448	SECOND READING	PAGE	63
SB-1450	SECOND READING	PAGE	64
SB-1451	SECOND READING	PAGE	67
SB-1451	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	79
SB-1454	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
	THIRD READING		3
30 1402	ILLED READING	PAGE	5

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - FATHER CHARLES SKACH	PAGE	- 1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 5

JUNE 19, 1985

SUBJECT MATTER

ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
SPEAKER MADIGAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	12
INTRODUCTION - PETE MILLER	PAGE	12
REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	16
RECESS	PAGE	35
HOUSE RECONVENES - GREIMAN IN CHAIB	PAGE	35
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN CHAIR	PAGE	58
THIRD RDG CONSENT BILLS PASSED	PAGE	107
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	160
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	160
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	160
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	160