40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The House will come to order. The Members will be in their seats. The chaplain for today will be the Reverend Forrest Hagood, Pastor of Kincaid Church of God. Reverend Hagood is a guest of Representative Josephine Oblinger. Will the guests in the balcony please rise and join us in the invocation?"
- Reverend Porrest: "Let us pray. Oh mighty God, we've come to this place today to invoke Your blessings and Your guidance and direction upon the affairs of government. We believe that we are one Nation under God. We do entreat You today, Dear Father, that we might walk sensitive to Your Holy Spirit in every matter of government, in matters of civil rights and civil liberties, and that we might by Your grace be able to leave a heritage to our children. Those who will follow us and who will take up the banners of government. That government might at all times be under God and for the people. In the name of Jesus Christ we commit these proceedings into Your hands and Amen."
- Speaker McPike: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. 118 Members answering

  a... answering a Roll Call, a quorum is present.

  Representative Breslin in the Chair."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the Chair would like to recognize Representative Hastert for the purpose of a special introduction. Representative Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. It's my pleasure to

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

introduce to you today the wrestling team from Joliet Central High School. Joliet... Central Wrestling this year was Class AA Champions. And it's extremely a pleasure for me, because the Gentleman to my left past colleague of many years, somebody who is a coach myself I competed against, but also as young played on the same football team. Then we played against each other a few times. So, I would like to introduce the Joliet team and 'Mac' McLaughlin and Paul Morzorati, who is their athletic director. And I think we also have a... a little presentation for them, too. We have a Resolution." Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 380, offered by Representative Hastert, Van Duyne and Davis. Whereas. the House Representatives. in a continuing effort to recognize the excellence of young athletes in this state. congratulate the Joliet Central Wrestling team, otherwise known as the 'Steelmen'; and whereas, the 'Steelmen' finished the season as the State Class AA Champion, with an unbeaten record of 21-0; and whereas, the 'Steelmen' are led and inspired by veteran coach Eural C. McLaughlin, who has been at Joliet Central High School since 1967 and has compiled an outstanding 242-45-6 record with his wrestling and assistant coaches, Sam Parker and Mike Lanier: and whereas, the numerous achievements of the 'Steelmen' their head coach, Eural McLaughlin, confirm the belief that hard work and determination are effective when you set goals and strive to accomplish them; and whereas, 'Steelmen' have exhibited characteristics that distinguish championship team as deserving our admiration and respect; therefore, be it resolved, by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-Fourth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we congratulate the Joliet Central Wrestling team on a prolific season; and we commend Coach McLaughlin

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

on his many accomplishments throughout the years; and that we express our best wishes to the 'Steelmen' for much continued success in the future; and be it further resolved, that suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Coach McLaughlin and each and every member of the 'Steelmen' Wrestling team, as a formal indication of the respect we have for this outstanding team."

- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. At this time, I'd like to recognize Coach McLaughlin, as we know him, Mac. Mac, you want to say a few words."
- Coach McLaughlin: "Thank you, Denny. It's quite an honor to be As these young people have their first opportunity, for many of them to be in Springfield and to see how it We're very proud of what we accomplished this year. We think it ... We emulated some of things that we We come from all races and creeds and colors, and we have one common goal. I think that's ... was very paramount in our strive for a goal this year, was that to be the best we could be at all times. We're both... I • m very proud of these young men and young ladies. not only were they outstanding wrestlers and cheerleaders, but they were disciplined young people who show that they had the proper attitude to be a champion. Thank you very much."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just wanted to say that
  you just had a chance to see one of the finest coaches in
  the State of Illinois before you just now. Thank you very
  much."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ropp, for what reason do you rise?"
- Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. Just a point of personal privilege. I was just going to comment that having been

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- the father of a couple of sons who had been wrestlers and wrestled members of that team. They certainly exemplify the true competitive spirit and were outstanding sportsmanship."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Agreed Resolutions. Agreed Resolutions."
- O'Brien: "House Resolution 364, offered by Representative Clerk Klemm: 365, Matijevich: 366, Giorgi: 367, Saltsman: 368. Didrickson: 369, Brookins; 370, Brookins; 371, Klemm; 372, Churchill: 373, DeJaegher: 374, Plinn: 375, Johnson: 376, is Washington: 377, Matijevich; 378, Curran; 379, McNamara; 380. Hastert: 381, McCracken: 382, Matijevich: Terzich; 385, McNamara; 3... 384, Rea; 385, McNamara; DeJaegher: 387, Currie: 388, Van Duyne: 389, Cullerton: 390, Vinson: House Joint Resolution 48, Hensel: Joint Resolution 51, Panayotovich; 52, Panayotovich; and 53, Panayotovich."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, 364 by Klemm, tells about a retirement. 365, Matijevich, honors 30 years of public service. 366. by Giorgi, notes... eulogizes a Phantom Regiment. 367, by Saltsman, tells about Michael Hall. 368, by Didrickson, 369, by Brookins, notes another retirement. 370, by Brookins, tells good things about John newspaper. Howard. Klemm's 371, notes the Howard Electronics of Crystal Lakes. 372 by Churchill, eulogizes Warren Polley. 373 by DeJaegher, heralds Mr. and Mrs. Harlan R. 375 by Johnson, again notes a special olympics for Region 376... 374 by Klemm... correction, 374 by Flinn...
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the... Excuse me."
- Giorgi: "374 by Flinn, ask that the House Committee on Financial

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Institutions direct a study of programs and functions of depository institutional jurisdiction of the commissioner of banks and trusts. 376, by Washington, again tells of a retirement. 377, by Matijevich, talks about a Golden Deeds Award. Curran's 378, honors the Reverend Hugh Patrick Cassidy. 375, by McNamara, zings us about an eagle scout. Hastert, congratulates the Joliet Wrestling team. 381. bу McCracken. again notes a retirement bу Superintendent of the Schools. 382, by Matijevich, again congratulates Virginia Mullery. 383, by Frederick, notes an eagle scout award. 398 (sic - 384), by Rea, a 60th anniversary. 385, by McNamara, an eagle scout award. 386, by DeJaegher, a golden wedding anniversary. 387. Currie, notes another high school sevrance. 388, by Van Duyne, finally honors a union leader. 389, by Cullerton, says something about James Corelis. 390. by Vinson... 48... Scratch 390... House Joint 48, by Hensel. notes a 150th anniversary. 48, by Hensel, celebrates another 150th anniversary. Panayotovich's Senate Joint 51, commends Dr. Steven Economou. Panayotovich's 52, honors Mr. and Mrs. Pocernich. And I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted.

  Ladies and Gentlemen, the Chair would like to recognize, Representative Parcells, for the purpose of a special introduction."
- Parcells: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is indeed an honor to present this Resolution, which was adopted in this chamber last Friday to School District 225 which is made up of Glenbrook North High School in Northbrook and

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Glenbrook South High School in Glenview. These two schools are two of only four in Illinois to receive from the President of the United States and the United States Department of Education, the Exemplary School Recognition Award for Excellence in Education. I am very proud to have these two schools in my district. It was through the hard and cooperation of the administration, the faculty, work the students and the school board, that this Award could be achieved. I would like to introduce to you the President the School District 225 School Board, Marilynn McConachie."

Marilynn McConachie: "Thank you. teach our students аt Glenbrook High Schools that the future of American democracy depends on a n enlightened citizenry, individuals who learn and who think. There are excellent schools that have achieved what Glenbrook High Schools have all over the State of Illinois. Today, there are near1v 600 representatives of school boards and school administrators in Springfield. I hope when you talk them you will learn what is excellent about their districts . and how the state can supporttheir efforts. Thank you very Buch."

"Thank you. Speaker Breslin: Ladies and Gentlemen, the intention the Chair is to begin with House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. We will then go to a Special Order Business around 1:00 or 1:30 for the Bills dealing with Alzheimers Disease. So, let us begin now on page two your Calendar, House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate The first Bill on that Order of Call is House Bill 479. Representative McCracken. Out of the record. Members, of course, are reminded that we have very few days to move our Bills. So, hopefully your Amendments will prepared and be ready to go as soon as possible.

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- That Bill is ready now. That's House Bill 479, Representative McCracken. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 479, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Notions filed?"
- Clark O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clark D'Brien: "Floor Amendment... Ploor Amendment #2, offered by
  Representative O'Connell, amends House Bill 479 as amended
  in Section 2 by deleting 'before'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken on Amendment #2...

  Amendment #1."
- O'Connell: "Right, we're moving to table. Representative

  Countryman offered Amendment #1 in Committee which was

  adopted. He will be moving to table that so that

  Amendments 2 and 3 are in order."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Countryman moves to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 479. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #1 is tabled.

  Amendment #2, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment... Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative O'Connell, amends House Bill 479 as amended."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "We agreed to Amendment #2, Madam Speaker. This
  Amendment would make the Bill apply only to estates opened
  prior to the affective... or subsequent to the effective
  date of this Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 479, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

question is, 'Shall Amendment \$2 to House Bill 479 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
McCracken and Countryman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "This was suggested by the State Bar Association, which was the original Sponsor of the Bill, and it does not change the substance of the Bill. It still leaves the limitation for the small estates claim at \$25,000, and makes other largely technical recommendations by the Bar Association. I move its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 479, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to House Bill 479 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clark C'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 604. Out of the record. House Bill 850, Representative Davis. Out of record. House Bill 856, Representative O'Connell and Churchill. O'Connell - Churchill. Out of ... Okay. Out of the record. House Bill 904, Representative McAuliffe. Representative McAuliffe. Out of the record. Members should be advised that we may not get back to these Bills. If they intend to move their Bills to Third Reading. should be done today. House Bill 1016, Representative Van Duyne. Representative Van Duyne, do you wish to move this

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Bill today? Move the Bill. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1016, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Humane Care for Animals Act. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk has..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "... has a corrections impact note been filed yet?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A..."

Speaker Breslin: "That has been filed, Representative Van Duyne.

Are there any Floor Amendments offered?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1053, Representative Brunswold. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1053, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act for the regulation of pawn brokers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments? Representative
Brunsvold, the Clerk cannot find an Amendment #1, but we
have an Amendment #2. Can you tell us what happened to
Amendment #1? Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I withdrew Amendment #1 yesterday."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Amendment #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Brunswold, amends House Bill 1053 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Amendment #2 is the required language that was asked for by Committee raising the penalties for breaking of the punch, if Shop Act, from a petty to a Class C. It raises that to a Class C for the first offense and Class A for all

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

subsequent offenses, as was suggested by Committee. And I ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1053, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 1053 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1057, Representative Richmond. Out of the record. House Bill 1083, Representative Panayotovich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk D'Brien: "House Bill 1083, a Bill for an Act in relation to
the licensing of private detectives, private alarm
contractors and private security contractors. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendments \$1, 2 and 3 were adopted
in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1129, Representative Barger. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clark O'Brien: "House Bill 1129, a Bill for an Act to amend the law concerning conditions of cable television franchise.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1184, Representative Rea. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1184, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

Rea, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. This Amendment is an agreed Amendment by most of your utility companies that are utilizing Illinois coal and by the Illinois Coal Association and United Mine Workers. And what the Amendment does, it stipulates that the Illinois Pollution Control Board may require the use of Illinois coal as a condition in granting to a utility or any other coal burning source alternative emission standards or varience relating to the sulfur dioxide emissions. And the Illinois coal to be used would have to be of proper quality and price competitive. I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1184. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton. The Gentleman does not wish to speak. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

McCracken: "Representative Rea, does the consideration of competitiveness include or not include the cost of transportation?"

Rea: "Competitive would include the cost of transportation."
McCracken: "It does include the cost of transportation."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Rea: "Yes, that's my understanding, yes."

McCracken: "The reason I ask is because if you have a generating facility close to the state line, it might be cheaper for transportation reasons to get Indiana coal on a particular basis."

Rea: "As I understand it, it's the delivery cost... whatever the cost is at delivery at the site."

McCracken: "Okay. Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1134 be adopted?'

All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1187, Representative Saltsman. Out of the record. House Bill 1306, Representative Krska. Out of the record. House Bill 1417, Representative Braun. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clark D'Brien: "House Bill 1417, a Bill for an Act in relation to the powers and duties of the State Comptroller. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Braun."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "I move to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #2. Oh, the Lady moves to withdraw Amendment #2. Hearing no objection, the Amendment is... Representative Harris, for what reason do you rise?"

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- Harris: "An inquiry please. Is this the Amendment dealing with the Job Training Partnership Act?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady indicates that this is the Amendment that deals with the Job Training Partnership Act. She wishes to withdraw the Amendment."
- Harris: "I object to that, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Parliamentarian is inquiring as to whether or not you have standing to object, Sir. Do you have any indication in the Rules that you have standing to object?"
- Harris: "I'm sure the Parliamentarian will tell me that shortly.

  Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"
- McCracken: "May I just ask, why? The Northwest Municipal League wanted this included because of the time constraints placed upon them. Why... Why is the Amendment not in?"
- Harris: "Just tell us why."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, we're going to wait for a ruling by the Parliamentarian. This discussion may be unnecessary. Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you rise?"
- Leverenz: "I... Inquiry of the Chair of what we were doing there."
- Speaker Breslin: "There is a parliamentary inquiry as to whether or not a Member can object to withdrawing of an Amendment proposed by a Sponsor."
- Leverenz: "Oh. That's the Sponsor's Bill and Sponsor's Amendment. I thought they had total control."
- Speaker Breslin: "It will only take... That's my opinion, Sir. We're checking the Rules."
- Leverenz: "Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun, do you wish to speak to this issue?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Braun: "I do, Madam Speaker. I have never in my tenure in the General Assembly heard of an instance in which a Member's Amendment to her own Bill may not be withdrawn. Her own Bill. May not be withdrawn. Now, if Representative Harris wants to do something else in this area, I would recommend to Representative Harris that he file his own Bill. File a Bill. I'll support you. I'll go on Cosponsor, whatever, but don't fool around with mine."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Harris, in response to your request as an objection to the Motion to withdraw, the Chair rules, that before a Motion has been stated by the Chair, it is the property of its mover, that being Representative Braun. She can withdraw it, or modify it without asking the consent of anyone. Therefore, your objection is improper. The Lady has withdrawn Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1530, Representative Hallock. Out of the record. House Bill 1571, Representative Huff. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1571, a Bill for an Act to add
  Sections to an Act relating to the Department of Central
  Management Services. Second Reading of the Bill. No
  Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1582, Representative Brookins. Representative Brookins. Out of the record. House Bill 1599, Representative Nash. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1599, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash."

Nash: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 1599 says that on an identification card issued by the Illinois Department of Public Aid verifying that the person's name is a public aid recipient should be added with documentation. In return for the free overnight camping by the Department may require public aid recipient to volunteer his or her services to maintain conservation areas. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1599. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton indicates he does not wish to speak. Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1599 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment is adopted. 1775-Excuse me, are there any further Amendments. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1775, Representative Currie. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. House Bill 1776, Representative Currie. Out of the record. House Bill 1804, Representative McAuliffe. Out of the record. House Bill 1806, Representative Stern and Olson. Stern and Olson. House Bill 1806. Out of the record. House Bill 1889, Representative Leverenz - Stern. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1889, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act in relation to the creation and
management of forest preserve districts. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1906, Representative Vinson. Representative Vinson. 1906. Out of the record. House Bill 1925, Representative Didrickson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clark D'Brien: "House Bill 1925, a Bill for an Act in relation to
the recipients of service from Department... service from
the Department of Mental Health and Developmental
Disability facilities. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1954, Representative Vinson. Out of the record. House Bill 1961, Representative McAuliffe. Out of the record. House Bill 1973, Representative Hoffman. Representative Hoffman. Out of the record. House Bill 2079, Representative Friedrich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2079, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to provide for the management,
operation, control and maintenance of Southern Illinois
University. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2184, Representative

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Countryman. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2184, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Saltsman."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman on Amendment #1."
- Saltsman: "Can we ask Representative Countryman? Can we come back to this one in a few minutes?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has agreed to take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 2185, Representative McCracken. Out of the record. House Bill 2199, Representative Frederick. Representative Virginia Frederick. Out of the record. House Bill 2255, Representative Tate. Representative Tate. Out of the record. House Bill 2262, Representative Bullock. Out of the record. House Bill 2522, Representative Koehler. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2522, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "Amendment #! was withdrawn previously. Floor
  Amendment #2, offered by Representative Koehler and
  Giorgi."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Koehler."
- Koehler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2522 amends the Illinois Horse Racing Act, and it authorizes the Illinois Horse Racing Board to conduct blood and urine tests on jockeys and drivers. And after some of the jockeys expressed reservations, Representative Giorgi and I worked together on an Amendment which we are offering jointly that would

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

restrict the urine test to three times during a race meet only. It is an agreed to Amendment on both sides of the aisle, and I would request your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2522, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 2522 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. With leave of the Body, we will go back to House Bill 1973, Representative Hoffman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1973, a Bill for an Act to repeal

Sections of an Act for the regulation of mass gatherings.

Second Beading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Vinson, amends House Bill 1973."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman, there is an Amendment, and that is offered by Representative Vinson. The Chair recognizes, Representative Vinson, on Amendment #1."

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. The affect of Amendment #1 is to amend the Environmental Protection Act to resection the definition Section of the Act and to make the necessary changes with remainder of the Act so that that resectioning of the definition Act is comprehensively carried out. It makes the Act much more... much better for the practitoners in the field. And I would move for adoption of the Amendment,"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- 200

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption ofAmendment #1 to House Bill 1973. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Vinson, does... how does this
Amendment differ from House Bill 2036 which is on the Order
of Second Reading?"

Vinson: "I don't believe it does, Sir."

Cullerton: "Okay. So, why are you... just out of curiousity, I

have no objection to the contents, but why are you taking
another Bill and amending it onto this Bill?"

Vinson: "To make sure that... that the contents of this Amendment are on a noncontroversial Bill."

Cullerton: "Is House Bill 2036 then going to be left for a vehicle?"

Vinson: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, is that correct, Representative Cullerton?"

Cullerton: "I have no further questions, no."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1973 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments? Representative Hoffman, for what reason do you rise?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to request that it remain on Second Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Representative Countryman, are you ready. Representative Countryman, are you and

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Representative Saltsman prepared to go forward on this Bill. We are going to leave this Order of Business, Gentlemen. Representative Ropp? Very good. Representative Ropp, wants to proceed with his Bill first, then we'll go to your Bill. That Bill of Representative Ropp's is House Bill 604. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 604, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Lobbyist Registration Act. Second Reading
of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Greiman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

Let me begin my remarks Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. by requesting that I have a Roll Call Vote on this particular Amendment. I believe it is a matter of some significance, and that is why I ask the Chair for a Roll Call Vote. Ιt has been a long time to have an Amendment being able to be offered to the Illinois Lobbyist Registration Act on floor of this House. Five years ago, I asked the Legislative Counsel to do a study for us. A study that would take the various lobbying groups; medical, insurance, financial, real estate, realtors, what have you, and they did eleven or twelve groups. And they looked at California Act, which is strict, and the Washington State Act, which is strict, for the years I think 1978, Illinois Act, which is like holding mercury in one palm of your hand. And they found that in that year or Session, people in California with its 22 million residents reported lobbying expenses of \$49 million. In the small State of Washington, which has a strict statute with respect

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

lobbyist disclosure, they reported \$3 million of lobbying expenses, reported. In Illinois, which has almost lobbying disclosure Act, they reported \$38,000. the 11 million people in Illinois had an Act which provided \$38,000 of lobbying expense. And the people California, had an Act which provided for \$49 million dollars... million dollars in lobbying disclosure. This Amendment has some other unique factors. I have always wondered how much it costs to have the state... government lobby the Legislature. So, it provides for disclosure by government agencies, State Government agencies, as to how much they spend in lobbying the Legislature. Ιt provides, because the truth is that legislation today isn't made in this room. It's made by administrative agencies who are part of the bureaucracy. that Amendment 2, which restructures the Lobbying Act also provides for people who lobby the executive agencies because that's where the interstitial legislation is made. Now, there are those who say, my God, you expect a lobbyist to just write down everything that he spends. No, I don't care. I don't care what the lobbyist spends on legislative particularly. This Bill provides that if he spends \$300 in a six month period he ought to disclose that over \$300, but if he... if he spends... that means \$600 a year. If he spends \$600 a year on a Legislator, I think he ought disclose that. I don't think that's such a terrible burden. That a lobbyist is spending \$600 on anybody this room. That that shouldn't be disclosed to the public. believe that that should be disclosed to the public. That's a high threshold. Does it take volunteers. people who care about legislation and throw them out? No, it does not indeed. It says that if it's \$1,000... if they receive a \$1,000 in... in monies that they have to report. It says

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

that the people who employ lobbyists ... The people who employ lobbyists, that they have to report, and I think that's an unusual or improper request either. It provides appropriate remedies. It provides for, right now, the remedy is... well, no one is sure exactly who world should come forward on a violation of this Act. Ιt very specifically says, it gives the power to the Attorney General to do. It's a state action, and when it gives him the power to do that. It allows for fining. It allows for all kinds of things. Our Act is no Act at all. Now. the truth of the matter is. I've served here for eleven years. and I don't make public policy because I'm a back bencher compared to every lobbyist in this... in this complex. truth of the matter is, if you think you're are somebody, vou\*re a biq shot Legislator, the truth is VOI probably don't have half the power that the average lobbyist has here. I file a disclosure statement. I don't like it particularly. It's a pain in the behind. But I sat here in 1974, and I heard how you Legislators if you pass a campaign disclosure Bill, you'll all be in This is the Accountants' Acts. Accountants will make all, and we lived through it. As far as I know, nobody's for filing disclosure, and that's what this is. This is a disclosure statement. It tells us how much of the ... is paid for public policy be made, not evil. understand the value of lobbyist. We all understand the good that they can do. We all understand the information they can bring to us, but we at least should understand who pays for public policy to be made. Who bares the cost for public policy to be made. I have no illusions about the power of lobbyists. I respect that power, but I believe just as I disclosed. They should disclose. Madam Speaker, I would request a Roll Call Vote on Amendment #2 to

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Bill 604-"

Speaker Breslin: "And you shall get it, Sir. The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 604.

And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Piel: "Would you mind explaining, Representative Greiman, to the Body where this differs from the present law?"

Greiman: "Present law.... In the first place, the present law doesn't provide for the kind of thresholds for lobbyists to put down what they spend on individual Legislators or individual people. Secondly, it provides for input into ... for lobbying of the executive. Thirdly, it imposes a condition upon state government agencies to tell much they should lobby for. Fourthly, it increases the threshold of who should file. Fifthly, it provides some slightly different registration laws as to when the registration should be made. Sixthly, it provides a more kind of system for violations. sensible It allows different kinds of violations. Those are the kinds of things that it does."

Piel: "Presently, they have to file these reports, correct?"

Greiman: "Incorrect."

Piel: "They have to file reports on contributions and..."

Greiman: "They have to file reports, but they don't have to file the reports of what was really spent on lobbying. They don't have to... This requires people who hire lobbyists to also put down what they've spent for lobbying. So, as I said before in my opening remarks, Illinois... under Illinois' law and I'm not suggesting the lobbyist were doing anything illegal. They reported \$38,000, while in California under a law similar to this they were reporting

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

\$49 million."

Piel: "I heard that. I heard that, yes. But what I'm trying to
do is find out you know exactly where you're coming from.
Like I think, you know..."

Greiman: "Well, you know, where I'm coming from ... "

Piel: "Wait a minute let me finish would you."

Greiman: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Piel: "Thank you. Let's deviate from that just a second. You're talking about branches of government. I'm the Director of ABC agency, and I go out to lunch. You know, basically to... with a lobbyist that says we're going to amend one of your Bills. We're going to amend your Appropriation Bill and this type of a thing. So, I then as a director of this agency have to mention that Joe Doakes took me out to lunch."

Greiman: "No. The answer is no."

Piel: "That's what you said. You said, public agency and Joe Doakes makes more than a \$1,000."

Greiman: "The answer is no. What they have to do... What they have to do is that public agency has to sit down and say we send so and so into lobbying and so and so spends about percent of his time in lobbying and we pay him \$30,000. So, that's \$7,500 we spend on that. We have half a secretary who pay... who is into lobbying and doing lobby support. We have to add that into there. we do Mr. Piel, you may not know this. We do that with printing. Printing. We require agencies to tell us how much it costs to print a state document and that state document has to... has to be analyzed in terms of how much went into the agency. How about the writing. How about the editing. That ... we do that. We do that for printing. My God, why wouldn't we do it for lobbying."

Piel: "Alright. But what I'm trying to say is, that you said

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- that if a lobbyist lobbies a state agency, correct? Either yes or no. I mean it's basically a simple question."
- Greiman: "I said that state agencies have to state how much they spend for lobbying. That's what they have to do. Now, that's another question..."
- Piel: "I... I heard that, Alan. I heard that, but what I'm saying if a state agency is lobbied by a lobbyist or discusses legislation with a lobbyist."
- Greiman: "What's the question?"
- Piel: "If I as a director of a department, a state agency, go out to lunch with a lobbyist, he discusses legislation that they... that affects my department. Now, he is technically lobbying me as a state agency."
- Greiman: "I... I don't think you'd have to report that... I don't think that would be your share, no. Absolutely not.

  You're not lobbying, you're being lobbied."
- Piel: "I as a director am a part of state government."
- Greiman: "But I as a lobby... for example, we have lobby reporting now. I don't have to report when somebody comes to me and lobbies me, and it's the same with that. You understand? It's when they..."
- Piel: "The lobbyist presently... The lobbyist presently have to declare what they are spending in their lobbying efforts.

  Now, you're saying that reports have got to be filed out on both sides, and what I'm asking is if a state agency per say has to file these reports?"
- Greiman: "And I'm saying to you, a state agency would have to report what they spend in lobbying, not what's spent on them in lobbying. What they spend in lobbying. For example, let's see, we see representatives of agencies walkdown here. I see Miss 'McDonna'. I see Miss Dunn. They come on the floor and they good job and they're fine public servants, but that's cost. There's a cost factor to

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

them being in lobbying. So, part of the Bill... a small part of this Bill, is what does it cost for state legislatures to be lobbied by state government? What are we lobbying? How much public funds goes into lobbying a public body? That's what we're talking about."

- Piel: "Basically, what we're doing right now, we're paying these people to, you know, help us in other areas, but also to give us information on Bills. I mean maybe you know the content of every single one of the 4,000, 5,000 Bills that are in this Session, but I don't... I think you're probably the only one on the floor and that's basically the situation. I don't classify that as lobbying. I classify that assimilating information for the good of the entire State of Illinois."
- Greiman: "Well. that would be, Mr. Piel. a matter of interpretation, and I suppose the Secretary of State or whoever would determine that. But I don't think there'd be a big problem with that. I think, for example, that the Director of Labor knows who his lobbyist is, and he knows how much is in the payroll for that lobbyist. He knows how much of a secretary that lobbyist uses, and he would report we spend "x" dollars for lobbying or advocacy before the You know, that's not a bad thing by the way. You assume it's a bad thing. I think it's a good thing. It's not bad."
- Piel: "Okay, let me ask you... Let me ask you another question.

  Do you have any ballpark guesstimate on what this is going to cost the State of Illinois to put this in?"
- Greiman: "I would say it would cost nothing. We have a index division..."
- Piel: "It would cost nothing, if you're sitting here and doubling or tripling the work of Secretary of State's... Index Division, it wouldn't cost them anything."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Department. We're just talking about people who are just coming on and filing some documents. I don't think it will be certainly a large... a large following. I mean there aren't millions of lobbyists. There's a couple hundred lobbyists. There'd be a couple hundred people more would have to file. That's about all."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Representative Piel,
  there are many other people seeking recognition. Please
  bring your remarks to a close."
- Piel: "I think I've got ten minutes, Madam Speaker. If you want
- Speaker Breslin: "And you are using them up."
- Piel: "I think according to my watch. I've got three and a half minutes to go. No. I think it's ... it's very interesting that you say, well, you know, if they have to hire extra people one thing and another. So, basically what you're saying is that it's only going to cost the state, a million And I don't see, you know, here and a million there. any ... We sit here as Legislators and have to, you know, turn out our forms. We fill them out. I have nothing to hide. The lobbyists have nothing to hide, and I think what you're getting at ... you're getting at having people register as lobbyists away from state agencies. away from state agencies for a second. People who are lobbying us, who are not registered as lobbyists. That's not our problem. That's not our problem."
- Greiman: "I don't think you understand the Bill. Let me ask you a question, Mr. Piel. I don't think you understand the Bill."
- Piel: "I'm not asking a question. If they're violating the law, then it's there problem. It's not our problem, and I think, you know, you're trying to throw the baby out with

40th Legislative Day

Dunn:

May 15, 1985

the bathwater, and I would ask ... "

Greiman: "How public policy is made in this state is our problem, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "No further questions, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn." "Thank you, Mr. Speaker (sic - Madam), and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Amendment. I'd like to call to the attention of the Members just why we need this kind of legislation. you know, the Mandatory Seatbelt Law is a very hot item in the Illinois General Assembly. I don't know about home community, but back where I am there's been a massive radio campaign in support of mandatory seatbelts and against the repeal of that law which is pending before the Illinois General Assembly. I took the time this morning to call the radio station and ask them who placed the ads and who was paying for them. They told me the ads were placed by the Coalition for School Safety, and I said, you have an address for them. They said they did, in fact, that address is at Brady and Griffin in Chicago and the placing agent was a Gentleman named, Paul 'Liss'. many of you in this General Assembly may know all three of those people, but I defy you to find out how much are spending in this statewide radio and perhaps television campaign to support the seatbelt law. interest of the general public, we ought to know that kind of information. If they're coming into your community with a massive ad program, you ought to be able to find out not only who places it, but how much money is being spent on legislation upon which you are required to vote what. your position is. We should support the Gentleman's Amendment. What he's proposing is a good thing. nothing against radio campaigns, but I am for the right to

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

know who is placing the ads and how much they re spending."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative

Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I.d like to give a little bit of history of from whences ¥e. have cometh. This particular Amendment was offered in Committee and received a whopping two votes and substantially defeated, and now is attempting to bring it forth and put on a Bill that received 12 votes with opposition to it. There may be those who want to do things relative to a lobbyist. I certainly think the statutes are adequate at this time. You also have to remember that those people who are lobbyist actually receive money from These are all people who are attempting to constituents. direct their wishes in some manner collectively at Illinois General Assembly through a seperate body, and we call those people lobbyist. I certainly do not want impede a good piece of legislation which actually would allow all of the lobbyist to be placed in a booklet form pictures so that we can actually see who they I think that's a very valid and a good approach that's what I would like to do, hope to do, and intend to And I don't want another piece of legislation that was severely beaten in Committee to jeopardize what I consider very good Bill, and I urge your opposition in this particular activity."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Kane, Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. First of all let me say, I rise in support of what I think is a fine Bill. That we have looked at in the past and I have supported in the past and I think it's... time has passed. So, we certainly should recognize that today and support the Gentleman's Motion to put it on as an Amendment. But I'd also like to

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

ask one question. As we go through the appropriations process, would your Bill allow us, Alan, in Committee to breakdown budgets and find out right there in Committee how much is being spent by each state agency on lobbying so we could also address it in Committee as we go through the appropriation process?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well, the... the filings of an agency would indicate what they had spent on lobbying effort and... So, you would know... in the appropriations. It would be a matter of public record like everything else and the appropriations staff would have it obviously, sure."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Hello. Thank you. I think that would be a very valuable addition, as a matter of fact, to the appropriation process so that we could break those budgets down. We've often said among ourselves how we need seperate line items in those budgets so that we can seriously look at the budgets and the public will have information on what we're doing. As I have traveled around, one of the things that has often come to my attention from our constituents and from people in other states is that we are infamous nationwide as having one of the weakest Lobbyist Registration Acts in this Nation. We need to update our lobbyist registration. previously, there's nothing wrong with was said lobbying, but we have a right to know and the public has a right to know who is lobbying us, who is paying for that lobbying and who is paying for lobbying on which And then we can analyze if those issues are relative, if they are correct, if they are properly being done. Currently, we simply don't have the tools to analyze the kind of lobbying that's going on in Illinois, not only by registered... currently registered lobbyist, but by those

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

who are not now registered as lobbyists and should be. a n d those who are within state agencies and are obviously, as we all know. much... even more influential instances than paid lobbyists by special interest groups. And to me they are really one in the same. because they lobby us on the appropriations issue. The issues that are even dearer to the hearts of our constituents then many of the other issues that these very select special interest group lobbyists are here for. So, I enthusiastically support Representative Greiman's Amendment #2 to House Bill and urge you all to support this Motion. Thank you." Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Madam Speaker, I happily move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The previous question is put. Representative Greiman to close."

Least there be any question, Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. Representative Ropp's original Bill is in the Amendment. So, that I know he's concerned that we put pictures in booklet. I'm more interested in the checkbook than the booklet. And I think that we can... I'd like to make Gordy Ropp the father of lobby reform by this Bill. which he would be, because he's the Sponsor of the Bill. And I think we can do that. I think it's time... I think it's time for us to know who pays for public policy. bad. That's not an indictment of anybody. That's just a statement that people ought to know. Now, if a lobbyist \$600 a spends year on an individual, we're not talking about campaign contributions. That's picked up someplace else, but I mean \$600 a year. Maybe we ought to know about Maybe we should. You know Legislators isn't going

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

to do it, but maybe that lobbyist ought to do it. And if some company is spending thousands of dollars on lobbying efforts, we ought to know about that. Not bad. Nobody is going to go to jail for doing this. They're just going to make a disclosure, like you make a disclosure, and like I make a disclosure. So, I ask you, therefore, to adopt Amendment 2 to House Bill 604. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House
Bill 604 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all
those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted
who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question,
there are 62 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no' and 3 voting
'present'. Representative Ropp, for what reason do you
rise?"

Ropp: "To poll the Absentees, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ropp wishes to poll the Absentees. Proceed, Mr. Clerk. It should be noted, that should all of the people who are absent do vote, it will not change the outcome, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Well, Madam Speaker, you never know, there might be some of those green ones that would finally have the wisdom to change to red while we're in this process."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees, Brunsvold. Bullock.

Daniels. DeLeo. Giglio. Keane. Krska. Leverenz.

Mautino. McGann. Nash. Pangle. And Vitek."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert, did you seek recognition? No. Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "Inquiry of the Chair. How many votes does this Bill need to pass?"

Speaker Breslin: "You mean on Third Reading?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Cullerton: "Yes,"

Speaker Breslin: "We'll look at the Bill. Just a moment, please.

Are there... There... There being no further questions in the Poll of the Absentees, there are 62 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. This Amendment, receiving the necessary Majority, is hereby declared adopted. Are there any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Bill then moves to Third Reading. We're...

  We're waiting to answer Representative Cullerton's

  question. Representative Cullerton, do you have any reason

  to believe that this Bill should take more than 60 votes

  for passage?"
- Cullerton: "No, I... if the answer is 60, I was going to point out to Representative Ropp that the Amendment got 62 votes, and that it would be a good idea for him to... to go with the Bill when it's on Third Reading. I think the Amendment improves his Bill dramatically."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Bill does take 60 votes for passage. Ladies and Gentlemen, our Calendar indicates that we intended to go to a Special Order of Business at 1:00. There are a few Bills that we understand we could moment that will take a short time still on this same Order of Call. With the indulgence of the Membership, go to those Bills and then go directly to Alzheimers. The next Bill to be called on House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. appears House Bill 904. Representative McAuliffe. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clark O'Brien: "House Bill 904, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"
Clark O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill is House Bill 1806, Representative Stern. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1806, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
  No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Stern."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stern."

- Stern: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House, the Amendment to this Bill, House Bill 1806, really becomes the Bill. It is an agreed upon Bill with the Minority Spokesman and is a simple housekeeping Act on the Election Code prepared by the State Board of Elections. It takes care of inconsistancies and omissions and obselete terms. And I don't believe there will be any question about it."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1806, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1806 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill is House Bill 1804, Representative McAuliffe. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1804, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Ploor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
McAuliffe."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker (sic - Madam) and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Floor Amendment #1 is really House Bill 1803, and we just incorporated two... together. It has to do with secretary requirements for local library boards and park commissioners."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1804, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1804 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill is House Bill 1961, Representative McAuliffe. Yes, please read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1961, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2184, Representative Countryman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2184, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Saltsman."

40th Legislative Day

1

May 15, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes, Madam Chairman, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill... The next Bill to be called is House Bill 2255, Representative Tate. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2255, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to postpone the Special Order of Call on Alzheimers Disease until 1... the hour of 1:30. We will, therefore, move to House Bills Second Reading on page 4 on your Calendar. House Bill 17, Representative Terzich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 17, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich, on Amendment #2.

Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I move we adopt Amendment #2. What it does, it provides the benefits for retirement benefits that have been approved by the Pension Board and also by

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

the local unit of government, and I would move..."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 17, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 17 be adopted?' Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

McCracken: "Bob, I understand there's no cost to either state or local government."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich. Try the microphone next to you, Representative."

Terzich: "Yes, the... the Bill is for the Cook County Pension

System, which I do have a letter from the... the Board of

Commissioners from Cook County and also excluding the

mandate. These are the benefits that have been approved by

the local unit of government."

McCracken: "Bight. But there's no cost to them, because the pick up is from the pension..."

Terzich: "Pension system itself, yes..."

McCracken: "Is from their contribution."

Terzich: "Yes."

McCracken: "Okay. Good. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Ewing: "Who is picking up the cost?"

Terzich: "The present... At the present time, the pension system is funded at approximately 70 percent, and it's going to be coming out of the assets of the pension system."

Ewing: "And your Amendment will do what?"

Terzich: "Well, the Amendment provides the benefits that have been requested by the Pension Board, and I also have a

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- letter from the Cook County Board of Commissioners approving that benefit under the mandate."
- Ewing: "Well, Mr. Terzich, would this be kind of like us sending a letter to somebody saying what kind of pension benefits we'd like to have? Having them put into legislation and then having the tax payers pick up the bill?"
- Terzich: "No, not necessarily. That the request has to come from somewhere. That's either by the participants or by a local unit of government... you know, if it's a governmental agency. On the same basis, where does the benefit request come for the General Assembly, the state employees, or anyone else? You know, these benefits have been requested by the Pension Board in itself, reviewing the needs of the people and also at the same time that it has been approved by the Cook County Commissioners and has received their approval under the Mandate Act."
- Ewing: "Did this go to the Pensions Committee? Was it on another Bill or something?"
- Terzich: "This was basically... Yes, there were three different Bills, and it's all being combined into House Bill 17."
- Ewing: "And this Amendment though, was it... was this subject of a Bill in Pensions Committee?"
- Terzich: "Yes, it was basically mentioned that these would be approved by the... by the Committee."
- Bwing: "Well, it wasn't approved by the Pension Committee then."
- Terzich: "Well... the benefits, Representative Ewing, were reviewed by... by the Committee."
- Ewing: "They were what?"
- Terzich: "They were reviewed by the Committee under other Bills and rather than baving four seperate Bills, it was combined into House Bill 17."
- Ewing: "Well, how come the benefits that are the subject of this

  Amendment weren't in the Bill when it came out of

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Committee?"

- Terzich: "I just said, Representative, that these were being negotiated to find out from the system what the benefits are. I mean they... there was a number of other provisions and this is the final benefit."
- Ewing: "Do you know if this proposal had the approval of the Economic and Fiscal Commission, the arm of this General Assembly that's suppose to review pension Bills."
- Terzich: "The Committee will file the report on all impact statements, Representative. Who is the Economic and Fiscal... what do they approve or something or what? Or do they give you information with regard to the legislation? I didn't know they approved anything."
- Ewing: "Yes, they make a recommendation. That's part of their responsibility on all pension Bills."
- Terzich: "I didn't know that."
- Ewing: "Maybe you should ask for the recommendation on this. But you don't know what that recommendation is."
- Terzich: "I didn't know we even got recommendations from them. I thought they gave reports on legislation, but I didn't know they made recommendations."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."
  Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman
  yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."
- Mays: "This Amendment applies to the Cook County Sheriff's Office only, is that correct?"
- Terzich: "It applies to the Cook County Pension System, which also includes sheriffs as well as other county employees."
- Mays: "You have a Section (f) on page 23. The tax levy computed under Section 9-169 shall be based upon employee contributions including the amount of optional additional employee contributions. Do you raise the employee

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

contributions rate in this Bill to match?"

- Terzich: "There... I believe there is an adjustment in the contribution because of the change in the benefits for the Cook County Sheriff's Police, which is approximating the same type of benefit that is provided by the Chicago Police Department, the Fire Department, Downstate Police and Fire, their benefits are being equal and their contributions are being adjusted accordingly."
- Mays: "Okay. Then pursuiant to Section (f) then... And I'm glad you're approaching it in this way, where an increased benefit is proposed but you're proposing increasing employee contributions also. But in conjunction with Section (f) then, you would be proposing an increase in taxes levied for the Pension Fund in Cook County, too then."
- Terzich: "I don't believe it's adjusting the levy on the Bill.

  It provides a number of changes. It also provides for revisionary. It provides for employees to... purchase optional benefits by contributing an additional 3 percent of salary to purchase an additional one year service. And these are basically benefits that have been reviewed by the Pension Board. That these are the recommendations from that Board in itself."

Mays: "Thank you very much."

- Speaker Breslin: "Are you finished, Representative Mays? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would rise in support of this Amendment. The Amendment has been cleared with the County Board President, George Dunn. It only affects Cook County, and County Board President has got a reputation for being very closed fisted with the dollar. And if he approves of it, that's good enough for me. And I'd recommend an 'ave' vote."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House
Bill 17 be adopted?" All those in favor say 'aye', all
those opposed say 'nay'. In the... All those in favor vote
'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have
all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On
this question there are 56 voting 'aye', 32 voting 'no', 2
voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Are there
any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Braun and Bowman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 simply says that the increased money, the increase to the fund, which is authorized by House Bill 17. should not be invested in South Africa. straightforward Amendment. Ιt does not call for divestment. In fact, there are other pieces of legislation which do that. I want to call your attention to the fact that to the extent that our pension dollars are going to South Africa, we provide the economic mortar that holds apartheid together. That is the repressive system of the government of South Africa, which mandates by law and by Constitution separation of the races. We believe that to invest money in South Africa is to deny our pensioners the benefit of investments of those dollars in other uses where we would not be supporting slavery. In order to address the issue of slavery in South Africa and it's continuation and support by U. S. pension funds, we have filed this Amendment in an effort to see to it that future dollars which are authorized by this Legislature do not go to that illicit use. I encourage your support for Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 17, and on that question, the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Gentleman from Cook. Before we proceed to that, however, Ladies and Gentlemen, we have had a request for filming from the press gallery. The Chair would like to ask leave for filming from the press gallery for a short period of time. Hearing no objections, the Gentlemen have leave. Representative Piel now, to Amendment #3 on House Bill 17."

Piel: "Question of the Lady, please."

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

Piel: "Has this been cleared with the Sponsor of the Bill and is it okay with him that it be... it is tied on to the Bill?"

Braun: "Representative, I had dis... I have discussed at some length the issue of South Africa and the moral imperative that we remove our dollars from there with this Sponsor. He has not agreed to the Amendment, but then that is the purpose of my proposing it here on the floor."

Piel: "Fine, thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, yes, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as the Sponsor of the Amendment stated, you know, that... it's my Bill and if she wants to put in Amendment. can put in her own Bill. she I \* m necessarily for this. I don't believe that we should adopt this Amendment with regard to South Africa, that basically, her Amendment stipulates that the pension benefits are not to be invested in corporations hereafter doing business with South Africa. And I simply would maintain is would involved the trustees in fiduciary rights and that they should have the flexibility to get the maximum return their investments for their participants, regardless, it should not be developed by excluding certain businesses that do business with other countries, whether it's South Africa, Iran or any other country, that their

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

obligation is to the responsibility of the member of the pension system and to get the most safe and expedient and most return out of their investments and I would move that we do not adopt Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,

Representative Braun is recognized to close."

Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I can't think of any other instance in which this General Assembly would suggest that it was prudent or otherwise financially responsible to pour money country which is in the middle of a civil war. In South Africa right now, eighty percent, fully eighty percent of the population, are denied even basic human rights. That eighty percent of the population is in the newspapers every other day. There are killings going on, there is war South Africa. They are in the middle of civil unrest. 1 submit to you that if we put additional money into these pension systems, we hold our pensioners up to lose every dime of it because the only issue at this stage in the game is whether there will be peaceful change in South Africa or whether there will be violent overthrow of the government. If we do not do that which is responsible for the pensioners in Illinois and proceed to take our money out of South Africa or, as this Amendment suggests, additional monies there, we are going to hold those pensioners up to lose everything. I believe that it is the prudent, it is the financially responsible tack for us to take. I believe it is within our obligation to see to it that our pensioners interests are protected. It is for that reason that Amendment #3 has been filed seeking to keep new funding out of that troubled country. I encourage your support for Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Amendment #3 to House Bill 17. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative DeJaegher. Have all voted who Representative Greiman. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On question, there are 37 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no and 28 voting 'present'. Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like a Poll of the Absentees."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady requests a Poll of the Absentees.

Proceed with a Poll of the Absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clark O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing, for what reason do you rise?"

Ewing: "Yes, would you change my vote to 'present'?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing... wishes to change his
"no" vote to "present". The Lady from Lake, Representative
Frederick."

Frederick: "Would you please change my vote to "present"?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Frederick, you are recorded not voting on our screen, but on the board you are. she would like to change her vote from 'no' Representative Parke, the same request. Representative Representative Hawkinson, the McCracken, the same request. same request. Representative Daniels, for what reason The same request. And Representative Wojcik, you rise? And Representative... Representative the same request. Hensel and Representative Regan are the only two... other two requesting changes. Proceed with the Poll of the Absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O; Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Brunswold. Davis.
Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun, for what reason do you

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

rise?"

- Braun: "Madam Speaker, I think if you declare the result now, that would be alright."
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, can you tell me what the count is?

  Representative McPike asks to change... to be recorded as

  voting 'aye'. Representative Cullerton, for what reason do
  you rise?"
- Cullerton: "Well, Mr... Madam Speaker, it appears to me that the Republicans changed their votes to 'present' so that they could adopt the Amendment so that they could help kill the Bill, and even though I support this concept, this Amendment and I voted green, I'm going to change my vote to 'present' as well."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton changes his vote from 'yes' to 'present'. Representative Braun, for what reason do you rise?"
- Braun: "I'm sorry, Madam Speaker. Representative Cullerton brought his remarks to a close. I was going to point out it was inappropriate at this stage of the process."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has a right to change his vote,
  Representative Braun. On the count, there are 37 voting
  'aye', 31 voting 'no' and 38 voting 'present'.
  Representative Greiman, for what reason do you rise?"
- Greiman: "Thank you. I, like Representative Cullerton, I have a sense for this issue. I am... I planned to vote for the South Africa... Bills when they are called. Everybody in this chamber recalls that as Chairman of the Committee on Assignment, I made some assignments that certainly were of interest to the Body, that in order to correct posting, or at least to look at posting, I managed to look at a Calendar with a... certainly an interesting eye, and so I am committed to this. I am also committed to the orderly business of developing a orderly process for pension

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- legislation to pass through this House. And accordingly, I will vote 'no'. Change my vote to 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman changes his wote from 'present' to 'no'. Representative Dunn."
- Dunn: "Change me from 'present' to 'aye'... or from 'aye' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman changes from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative DeJaegher, for what reason do you rise? Representative DeJaegher wishes to change his vote from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Nash. The Gentleman wishes to change his vote from 'present' to 'no'. Tim confused too. There are now 35 voting 'aye', 33 voting and 34 voting 'present' just by my calculations. there any other changes in vote? Representative Steczo. what reason do you rise? The Gentleman changes his vote from 'present' to 'no'. Representative Terzich. for what reason do you rise?"
- Terzich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, as the Sponsor said before, this is

  my Bill, and not her Bill, and I don't want this Amendment

  and if it should get... I want a verification. And...

  that all the other systems are getting the same Amendment,
  including the downstate teachers and everyone else."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Very good. Representative Kubik, what... for what reason do you rise?"
- Kubik: "Madam Speaker, I would like to change my vote from
  'present' to 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman changes his vote from 'present' to 'no'. There are, therefore, now, 35 voting 'aye', 35 voting 'no' and 32 voting 'present'. Representative Barger, for what reason do you rise? The Gentleman wishes to change his vote from 'no' to 'present'. Representative Phelps, for what reason do you rise?"
- Phalps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would like to change my

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

vote from 'yes' to 'present', please."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman changes his vote from 'yes' to 'present'. So there are 34 voting 'aye', 34 voting 'no' and 34 voting 'present'. The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea."
- Rea: "Yes, I would like to change my wote from 'aye' to 
  'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman changes his vote from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Braun, for what reason do you rise?"
- Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Parliamentary maneuvers that are occurring right now are lost on no one. There is an interest, obviously, in protecting the Bill and for different reasons, people are changing their votes all over the place, and, quite frankly, I have lost track of where But I just want to say that there are many of in this chamber who feel very strongly that we cannot stand by and watch our pension systems profit on blood money and that to put additional dollars into South Africa is effectively to do just that. That in order to... to not only make the moral statement, but to make the financial judgment that our investment system should have unbridled discretion in this area to support slavery is something that is beyond my conscience to do. encourage those people, if you feel... if you feel strongly about South Africa, that's one thing, but this is not an issue to be bandied around and to become the subject of a Parliamentary maneuver over... on this floor at this time-I would ask the Sponsor if he would consider accepting the Amendment and maybe possibly we can something out. But at the present time, when this Bill... whether... if this Amendment doesn't go on, I must say for the record now that I will not be voting on any of these

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- pension issues without a prohibition on further use of those dollars to go to South Africa."
- Speaker Breslin: "On the question... the Gentleman from Lake,
  Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "'Present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman changes his vote... oh, the Gentleman was not recorded but wishes to be recorded as voting 'present'. Representative Weaver, for what reason do you rise?"
- Weaver: "Madam Chairman, would you change my vote to 'no', please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman wishes to change his vote to 'no'. #e... There has been a request of the Sponsor to accept the Amendment. The Gentleman refuses to accept the Amendment. From my count, there are 32 voting 'aye', 35 voting 'no' 36 voting 'present'. and Representative Braun. There being no further changes, therefore, Mr. Clerk, what exactly is the... the Roll Call? Representative Van Duyne, for what reason do you rise?"
- Van Duyne: "Parliamentary inquiry, Madam Speaker. You said, a moment ago, will the Sponsor accept the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Correct."
- Van Duyne: "And that sort of boggled me. Do you mean to tell me and this Body, by our rules, that he could circumvent the will of the whole Body by just saying..."
- Speaker Breslin: "No, he could not. The request was just made on the floor and we had an answer to the request. Certainly, it would take a vote of the Body in order to do so. The Lady from Lake, Representative Stern."
- Stern: "Madam Speaker, I would like to be recorded as voting 'aye' on this."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stern wishes to be recorded as

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

voting 'aye'. So, there are, therefore, 33 voting 'aye',
35 voting 'no' and 40 voting 'present' at this moment. The
Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane."

Keane: "Change me from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "He wishes to change his vote from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Braun, for what reason do you rise?"

Braun: "I'm sorry, Madam Speaker. I was going to request that you continue the Poll of the Absentees."

Speaker Braun: "We have completed the Poll of the Absentees, I believe."

Braun: "We have?"

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, have we not? Yes, we have completed the Poll of the Absentees."

Braun: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any further requests? Representative Ryder, from Jersey."

Ryler: "Leave of the Chair to change my vote to 'present'."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman goes from 'no' to 'present'.

There are 32 voting 'aye' and 35 voting 'no'. Mr. Clerk,

what is the official count? There are 32 voting 'aye', 35

voting 'no' and 41 voting 'present'. Since there is no

request for a verification, this Motion fails. Is there

any further Amendments... Are there any further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, the hour of 1:00 having arrived and passed, we will now go to the Special Order of Business, House Bills 31, 32... excuse me, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306 and 307, Subject Matter - Alzheimer's Disease. The first Bill is House Bill 301. Representative Bowman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 301, a Bill for an Act creating the Alzheimer's Disease Treatment Assistance Act. Third

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Last Wednesday, actor Edmund O'Brien. famous during the 50's and 60's for his role as a tough guy movies, died of Alzheimer's Disease. &r. O'Brien was just one of the most recent of thousands of Americans who die every year from this dreaded illness. It's dreaded because it is always fatal, because it is incurable. because it is nearly impossible to diagnose and because it strips away from a person all vestiges of their humanity. They become progressively unable to recognize who they are, where they are, the identity of people around them. close friends, relatives and loved ones and people with whom they have lived all their lives. They know no one and they know nothing. And they are condemned to die that way. The disease is unique in that it cannot be diagnosed. T+ cannot be cured because although it is a disease correlated with aging, that it can strike people who are very young. and consequently, all of the state programs that we that deal with medical programs or programs for the aging or programs for rehabilitation of people with debilitating diseases, none of these programs really apply to the Alzheimer's victim or their ... or their families. And that the reason for this package of Bills. The House Committee on Aging Select had a Committee Subcommittee ... or excuse me, a Select Subcommittee on Alzheimer's Disease which held four hearings around the state, heard testimony from dozens of persons, and accepted written testimony from dozens of other. All in all, we had testimony from a hundred individuals and this testimony is summarized in the document that I have in my hand, which is... I'd be happy to make available to any Member of

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

this... this chamber. This was the basis for the package you have in front of you. House Bill 301, the first Bill today, establishes a diagnostic we are to consider treatment network in the state. very similar to the perinatal network that we have to deal with high risk It establishes... or requires the Public Health infants. Department to identify centers, specialized centers with specialized expertise, at least two centers in the state, that conduct active research programs and they, in turn, will develop a network of participating hospitals so families all over the state will have convenient access the state-of-the-art in diagnosis and treatment. It also provides for a system of grants to these participating institutions to facilitate these programs. I also have with me, and I will be happy to share with any Member of the House. a proposal for the development of a regional Alzheimer's center from the SIU School of Medicine, which has an outstanding research program. And it is my belief that passage of Rouse Bill 301 will also help us capture some federal research dollars that are now being rationed, that I believe... that with this legislation, will be in the forefront of the nation in research on this dreaded disease. And it is with that hope and that expectation that I offer to you House Bill 301. I now move for passage of House Bill 301."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 301 and on that question, is discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 301 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'.

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 302, Representative Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 302, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. House Bill 302 addresses the problem of access to services and Medicaid support for that access. House Bill 302 as amended requires compliance with prospective Medicaid requirements and ensures that Alzheimer's victims can qualify for alternatives to nursing home care under the Community Care Program of the Department of Aging and the Home Services Program of the Department of Rehabilitation Extends the same asset standard with respect to consideration of ... property to each program. Also, the Bill... the basic Bill provided the same guarantee for nursing home residents. I believe that House Bill 302 as amended will be a boon to families all over the state. Families will not have to become impoverished as a result of this debilitating illness on the part... of one of their family members. That the assets and income of that family member, the stricken family member will be considered in qualification for Medicaid, but the assets and income of the other family members will not. I believe this is needed legislation so that we can maximize access to the systems that we now have in place for persons with other chronic medical conditions. I move for passage of House Bill 302."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 302, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is,

'Shall House Bill 302 pass?' All those in favor vote

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 303, Representative Richmond. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 303, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now, House Bill 303 creates the Alzheimer's Task Force under the Department of Aging. And it changes the composition of the task force from the original Bill as Now, it would provide for 21 members. amended. Director on Aging or designee, as Chairman, two House Speaker appointees, two President of the Senate appointees, two House Minority Leader appointees and two Minority Leader appointees, along with twelve from the Governor. And they are specified in the Amendment. where... what part of the public segment they would come from. And the task force is required to have a conference within one year after the effective date of this... of this I would move for its passage."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 303, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 303 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House
Bill 304, Representative Richmond. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 304, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Act on Aging. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 304 amends the Act on Aging and requires the Department on Aging to administer three year pilot project grants for the development of specific services to meet the needs of victims of Alzheimer's Disease or related disorders including adult day care, crisis intervention, respite and home companion and home nursing services. I believe you well understood what the Bill does. I would move for its passage."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 304. and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 304 pass?! All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. all voted who wish? Representative Richmond. Excuse me. did you still seek recognition? No. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 305, Representative DeJaegher. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 305, a Bill for an Act to require the reporting of Alzheimer's Disease. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Madam Chairman, Members of the General Assembly. Today, as you are all knowledgeable of is May 15th, a day that I will always remember. In 1983, I was a

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

freshmen Legislator seeking ways for betterment of life for citizens of this state. In 1983. I became knowledgeable of Alzheimer's Disease and the terrible effects of this disease by reading a series of articles that was done by Ann Landers, who all of you are quite familiar with. And from that information, I submitted a Resolution to this honorable Body and basically, you did adopt that Resolution, In fact, you adopted that Resolution unanimously. Today, over 100,000 people in the State of Illinois are looking at us to see if we will implement all of these Bills which are seven in number. goes without saying that we have removed ... we have moved in a responsive manner to the wishes of the people of this state and for this action that you are taking today, may I commend you, may I thank you for what you have done for this. Now, to the Bill, and basically, all of you, by now, are familiar with the series of Bills that we are making you knowledgeable of. House Bill 305 has been rewritten by Amendment #1, which seemed to be some of our problems, as far as the reporting goes. We have satisfied those objections and with that Amendment, I ask of you to be totally supportive of House Bill 305."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 305, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 305 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 306, Representative DeJaegher."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

DeJaegher: "House Bill 306 is again a series of Bills that we are adopting today pertaining to Alzheimer's Disease. Again, this particular Bill has been changed and it has enlarged the task force from a membership of 13 to 21. It also changes the date of when the reporting will take effect from March 1st, 1986, to December 31, 1986. Again, hopefully, we will have total support on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 306, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 306, and on that question, the Gentleman from Jersey, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Ryder: "As you know, I spon... or I filed a fiscal note on this and you have complied with that fiscal note. I'm just wondering if you could tell me the amount that the fiscal note indicates?"

DeJaegher: "The figures that we have are... we have gotten from the Department of Insurance is \$98,400, and basically, staff feels that this is quite high. We don't feel that we are going to attain that particular figure, Sir."

Ryder: "Fine, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Brookins."

Brookins: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and to Members of this General Assembly, I rise in support of this legislation. I recently just found out that one of our dear friends, the Doorkeeper of the House's wife suffers with this disease.

And he has been troubled with this for over eight years

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

now. She is now in the final stages and the cost alone is just fantastic. It is unimaginable. Their talking \$2000 and \$3000 a month. So, therefore, I rise knowing that this series of Bills will do and relieve all kind of misery across the State of Illinois for all the people of the State of Illinois. So, with that, I rise in support of this fine package of legislation."

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,
  Representative DeJaegher, to close."
- DeJaegher: "Hopefully that the board will show nothing but green and again, thank you, thank you, thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 306 pass?'
  All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

  Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'aye', 8 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 307, Representative Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 307, a Bill for an Act to amend the

  Nursing Home Care Reform Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

  Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House Bill 307 has been amended so that nothing of the original Bill remains. I wanted to stress that at onset because in its original form, which was pre-screened Bill, a number of questions were raised from various persons and organizations and while I think the issues raised by the original form of 307 are important. that we are not prepared to address them at this stage. And so, Amendment #1 changed the focus of the Bill so that may now, if this Bill as amended passes, take the quality incentive program, which is being pioneered by the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Department of Public Aid, to upgrade nursing home services, and provide that these extra incentive payments can be used to stimulate the provision of special targeted services for Alzheimer's patients living in long-term care facilities. And as you know, in the middle and latter stages of the most victims do have to go to nursing homes. And it does create a problem because... because they are not cognitive of their ... where they are or who they are or what room in the building they reside in, they do create special problems and I think the staff needs to be trained. have special training. Staff should deal with these people differently and provide special programming for them, and we need to pioneer in this area. Illinois can lead the way for the rest of the country and I think we can use our quality incentive program, which is already up and running to do this. This will not cost the state any additional money, but it will provide for significant increase in the quality of life for these Alzheimer's victims in nursing homes and so I $^{\bullet}$ m pleased to move for the passage of 307 and in conclusion, just let me add my thanks to all Members of this House, especially the Committee on Aging. I think it was ... this was an example of Committee work at its finest. The hearings were very useful in shaping the legislation that you consider today. I thank... I just want to everybody for their role in that."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 307, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 307 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are [13] voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'.

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. That completes our Special Order of Business, Ladies and Gentlemen. We will now return to page four on your Calendar, under the Order of House Bills Second Reading. Representative Vitek, for what reason do you rise?"

Vitek: "On a point of personal privilege. Very quickly, Madam Speaker. I also rise to thank everybody that worked on this Alzheimer's Committee study. They really worked hard and they done a good job and I really appreciate their support. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "You are correct, Representative Vitek. They did a very good job. House Bills Second Reading. The next Bill to be called... We will not be taking appropriation Bills. The next Bill to be called is House Bill 54, Representative Preston. Out of the record. Remember again that this... we cannot guarantee that we will get back to Bills so call your Bills if at all possible as guickly as possible. House Bill 56, Representative Shaw. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 56, a Bill for an Act to amend a certain
Acts in relationship to police force for the Regional
Transportation Authority. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 73, Representative Rea - Hannig. Representative Hannig, do you want to call this Bill? Out of the record. House Bill 76, Representative LeFlore. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 76, a Bill for an Act to amend the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "There are no Floor Amendments, but
Representative LeFlore, there is a state mandates fiscal
note requested. Representative LeFlore."

Leflore: "Madam Chairman, I move to table House Bill 76."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to table House Bill 76.

All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and House
Bill 76 is tabled. House Bill 94, Representative White.

Out of the record. House Bill 100, Representative Hartke.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 100, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Countryman - Hartke, amends
House Bill 100 as amended."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Countryman, amends House Bill 100 on page 12."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

Amendment #4 to House Bill 100 introduces or puts back in
the certificate of attending physician, a form which was

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

inadvertently left out on prior Amendments. It also requires that a voter's physician complete that certificate if the voter is hospitalized within the five days immediately before the election. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call. I don't ask for Roll Call. Favorable adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 100, and on that question, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Are we on ... "

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton. We are on Amendment..."

Cullerton: "House Bill 100 or House Bill 94?"

Speaker Breslin: "We are on House Bill 100."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Cullerton: "Okay, could you give me one second? No objection to the Amendment. It's fine."

Speaker Breslin: "There are no questions. The question is,

'Shall Amendment #4 to House Bill 100 be adopted?' All

those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In

the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the

Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 104, Representative

Greiman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 104, a Bill for an Act in relationship

to the practice of acupuncture. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Greiman in the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of House Bills Second Reading

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

appears House Bill 115. Mr. Clerk. Opt of the record. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, on page five of the Calendar, appears House Bill 159. Mr. Ronan. Mr. Ronan. Out of the House Bill 160. Mr. Ronan. Out of the record. Order of House Bills Second Reading is House Bill 164. of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 175. Mr. Bullock. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 200. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 200, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 202. Out of the 'record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 209. Mr. Cullerton, 209. Out of the record. Mr. Cullerton, was that out of the record? On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading

Clark Leone: "House Bill 231, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 290, Mr. Huff. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 312, Mr. Soliz. 312. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

House Bill 316. Mr. Clark, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 316, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for tax loss impact grants. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #1, Davis, amends House Bill 316."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, on Amendment #1."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment #1 is an attempt to clean up the Bill as originally introduced and define exactly how the process will work for a local unit of government to take advantage of the tax impact grant mechanism that we set up Bill itself. The Department of Revenue has designed this Amendment and I have signed off on it and I hope vou concur in... in the Amendment itself. What it... The Bill was introduced as a response to a Union Oil disaster in Will County in which 17 people lost their lives. And. unfortunately, because that was a utility intensive operation, the village of Romeoville in which it... exists... in which that facility exists, lost about 15 percent of their tax revenue in one year as Union Oil rebuilding that facility due to a lack of revenue on their utility tax charged to that facility. So, consequently, we have tried to address that and we are limiting in this Amendment the impact grant to those municipalities that have a natural disaster that can certify that their disaster impacted their corporate funds by over ten percent the corporate fund itself and then to make application to the Emergency Services and Disaster Agency and the Bureau of the Budget with a signoff by the Governor and the Department of Revenue to take advantage of that grant if they meet the criterion in the Amendment. I think the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Amendment is a good Amendment and we can debate the Bill on Third Reading, but it's the Amendment that I would like to see on the Bill so we can discuss the issue fully on Third Reading and I would move for its passage."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will moves for the adoption of House Amendment #1 to House Bill 316, and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will yield for question."

McPike: "How does the Amendment differ from the Bill?"

Davis: "The Amendment narrowed the scope. The Bill itself said that the Department of Revenue and the Governor and ESDA, the State ESDA Agency would have to sign off. Now, it is the Bureau of the Budget, the ESDA, the Governor and the Department of Revenue. It also eliminates property taxes and only considers those tax loss revenues that are other than property taxes such as sales tax loss, utility tax loss or other taxes that are assessed by a local unit of government."

McPike: "And your example of an oil refinery?"

Davis: "Yes, yes."

McPike: "So that would not be covered, the property taxes would not be replaced?"

Davis: "No, the property taxes would not at that particular point in time in this instance that Union Oil Refinery is being rebuilt. So, consequently, it is a utility... utility intensive industry, as you know, having some refineries in your district and the loss during the rebuilding process of a year will cost the village of Romeoville some \$500,000 in lost utility tax revenue. So, it's aimed at that kind of a disaster. We could not honestly figure out a way to roll property taxes into this mechanism. We are still working

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- on it and there may be a way to do it should this Bill succeed the House and get to the Senate."
- McPike: "So, the Amendment adds the Bureau of the Budget and deletes property taxes?"
- Dawis: "That's essentially correct. It changes the mechanism just a little bit on how the application is made."
- McPike: "Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
  Second Reading appears House Bill 321, Mr. Keane. Out of
  the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading
  appears House Bill 324. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 324, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code

  of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

- Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clark Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, McCracken, amends House Bill 324."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, on Amendment #2 to House Bill 324."
- McCracken: "Please withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further
  Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, McCracken-Younge, amends House
  Bill 324."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, on

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Amendment #3."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment #3 is the culmination of a long, House. history of consideration of the issue of the improper use of preemptory challenges in criminal trials. This has come to our attention over various Sessions of the General Assembly. It has been addressed by both the State and the United States Supreme Courts and there have been various Bills designed to deal with the problem which I have uniformly opposed in Committee and on the floor in vears For the first time, I was persuaded by an argument which I thought reconciled the concept of preemptory challenge with the concept of an improper use. Representative Young made that argument in Committee he said that, in fact, if you are discriminating on the basis of race then it isn't a preemptory challenge. It's really a challenge for cause, cause which would never be sustained by a court in this land. We have discussed this issue at some length and I think it's important for a Member from our side of the aisle to say to his colleagues the Democratic side that we are not insensitive to this So, what we propose or what I propose is Amendment **#**3. Amendment #3 would allow for the challenge solely upon court's Motion to examine either side if it has reason the to believe that either side is exercising its preemptory challenges in a racially discriminatory manner, and cause that side to explain on the record its reasons for the use of those preemptory challenges. If the court finds that the reason for the use of the challenges is solely on the base of race and that the use is conducted in a systematic and purposeful manner to achieve that end, then it can cause the panel then under consideration to be dismissed and for new selection to be commenced. Now, there are some

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

unanswered questions raised by this Amendment which I have asked Representative Young not to address. We are going to leave that to the courts. But let me say this. This is a far step beyond the current state of the law. The current state of the law, both nationally and in Illinois allows for a Constitutional challenge to conviction only if the pattern of discrimination is systematic and even system wide. What many Bills in the past have sought to do is to create a statistical record keeping system or a method by which a pattern of discrimination can be proved disproved. This Bill goes far beyond that and gives relief on a trial by trial basis. I think it is a major change, a major departure from what we would be Constitutionally required to do, and I would like it to be viewed by the other side of the aisle as at least one Republican's attempt to say, 'Yes, we are not insensitive to problems. We are not insensitive to your needs. You have raised a legitimate issue. Here is a generous, fair manner of resolving this problem. And I hope it's taken in the spirit in which it is offered."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 324, and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will yield for question."

Levin: "A couple of questions about this. I commend you for putting forth what I think is a very novel approach in terms of dealing with the problem, one I think that certainly needs to be dealt with. Just trying to understand it a little bit, in terms of how it would operate. First of all, would this be something that only the court could do?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

McCracken: "Only the court could initiate it, that's correct."

Levin: "So that... What would... Let me raise a hypothetical.

Let's say one side wanted to know what the strategy was of
the other side, as far as court challenges, and they
objected to the Judge in terms of this. The Judge then
makes a decision whether or not he wants to make inquiries
on this... You can't hear? You said this was something
which the court could only do on their own Motion."

McCracken: "Correct."

- Levin: "But they would still be, in most cases, responding to an inquiry by one side or the other."
- McCracken: "Well, I think, as a practical matter, that's how the court is going to decide to exercise this."
- Levin: "Now, you indicated that the questioning would take place on the record?"
- McCracken: "No, the reasons... the conversation could be a side bar. I wouldn't want it in the presence of the jury, but I would want it as a part of the trial record, yes."
- Levin: "But it would be something which both sides would hear?"
  McCracken: "Oh, sure, and I assume it would be conducted in chambers."
- Levin: "What is to preclude an abuse of the situation... again

  I'm just raising a hypothetical here... where one side

  wishes to know the preemptory strategy of the other side

  and raises an objection. The court then asks the other

  side, you know, what is your strategy, why are you doing

  this?"
- McCracken: "Well, the Judge would not be required to do that. I think you are correct, as a practical matter, someone is going to stand up and say, 'I object to the way he's using them', but it's in the court's discretion whether to initiate the inquiry and also in the court's discretion as to the findings it makes."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Levin: "Good. Let me just throw out a suggestion, and, you know, as I said, I understand your proposal is not totally refined and it's in that context in which I throw this out. You know, to alleviate that possibility, you may want to put it on the record, as a side bar, but only with one side at a time so that if there is a legitimate strategy that's being pursued, the other side is not... is not apprised of what that strategy is. That is the concern I have. I... but I think it's... it's a good starting point. I do think it needs some further refinement, but, you know, I intend to support it."

McCracken: "I hadn't thought of that. That's... That's a good point. I'm not sure how to address it, but I... I think you raise a good point."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clark Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, on page six of the Calendar, appears House

Bill 346. Mr. Shaw, 346. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 346, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 347. Mr.

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Hawkinson, 347. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 356. Mr. Brunsvold, 356. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 360. Mr. Homer. Mr. Homer, 360. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 360, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code and Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 374. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 390. Mr. Wait. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill... Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Davis, 390. Mr. Davis. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 390, a Bill for an Act relating to axle weight allowance for county streets or highways. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

"Third Reading. Speaker Greiman: On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 398, Mr. McGann. Out the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 410. Mr... Mr. Tate. Alright. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill. Representative Matijevich in the Chair."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 410, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to fire arms and fire arms ammunition. Has been read a Second time previously. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were ruled non-germane. Amendment #4 lost. The next Amendment is Amendment #5, Greiman, amends House Bill 410 on page one

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Alan Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a short and not very earth-shaking Amendment to a rather earth-shaking Bill. House Bill 410 preempts home rule units from regulating qun control. If that the will of the extraordinary majority of this House and the Governor and the Senate, so be it. But there communities that have already spoken on this issue. Morton Grove. which is part of my constituency, passed its ordinance perhaps three and a half years ago. four A case was filed. It moved to the Supreme Court of the United States and found it was a valid exercise of the police power. A community next to the community in which I live, Evanston, following suit, passed the ordinance, a similar ordinance banning guns. The community in which I live considered a qun ordinance and rejected it. I think Oak Park has accepted ... has adopted one. City of Chicago has a Resolution of some kind. Arlington Heights turned it So, communities have addressed this problem over the last three and a half years. Not a lot of communities, but a few communities that had... where there was a This Amendment #5 merely grandfathers in those three communities or so, four communities that have acted upon this. Now, since the Morton Grove Amendment was... ordinance was adopted, it's been three or four Those communities that were going to do it have done it. Those that weren't have not done it. But those communities who cared to act should be given some kind of credit for them caring to act. I hear my colleagues down here, a lot of times from downstate they say, 'Oh,' they say, I got a faceless bureaucrat in Springfield or Washingtontown that's

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

telling me what to do. Some faceless person that's telling us all how to go about our business and government on our back. Well, that's not what those communities who have adopted ordinances have. Those were your neighbors. Τn Morton Grove, there are six trustees and a mayor. Τn there are six trustees and a mayor. Skokie. In Morton Grove they adopted it. In Skokie they turned it down. Six people, neighbors, not professional pols, just people serve on the village board and have a sense of what their village is about. They are not nameless bureaucrats. people tied to their community who expressed expression on qua control. Amendment #5 merely says that for those communities that have acted in this four period since Morton Grove acted, let them be excluded from Mr. Johnson and Mr. Tate's and Mr. Hicks Bill. communities who have already spoken, let them control their own destiny. I think the people of Morton Grove know more about what they want in Morton Grove than even Mr. Johnson Tate know about Norton Grove. Accordingly, Mr. and Mr. I ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Before we proceed, it is the Chair's honor introduce a long time former colleague, a Legislative Leader and a personal friend and a Mayor, former Mayor Elmwood Park, longer than anybody can remember, Elmer Conti, in the middle of the aisle. Plmer was replaced Mayor Don 'Sterino', who is here with him today. Representative Greiman has moved for the adoption Amendment #5 to House Bill 410. The Gentleman from Macon. Representative Mike Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

What the Sponsor of this Amendment is basically trying to
do is gut the entire Bill of this legislation. I somewhat
resent this attempt in the fact that this... the opponents

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

legislation have used virtually every tact available to them to slow this Bill down through the But to the Amendment... or to the issue of the Amendment, what the Sponsor is attempting to do is to allow a system to exist that exists in this state right now denies certain citizens around this state their Constitutional fundamental right to keep and bear arms. What we presently have in the State of Illinois right now is a hodgepodge network of Amendments or of different ordinances throughout this state that allow certain law-abiding citizens to have guns outside of and in certain municipalities, law-abiding citizens become criminals because they try to concur with a law that should be ... is blatantly unconstitutional. the Sponsor of this Bill fails to also recognize is what's happened throughout this state is that we have municipalities throughout the state that have not only gone to the extreme of outright gun bans, but to the other extremes of requiring virtually all citizens to own guns. we are going to have any consistency in this state, we all should agree that the best way to address the issue regulation is through the state. to have uniformity, to have some consistency so all citizens abide by what should be a uniform state law. this entire chamber to reject this Motion and I also concur with the Sponsor of this Bill in his request to have a Roll Call on this issue."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Sponsor of the Bill, Tim Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, I wasn't... I wasn't going to speak, but I guess
I will. The obvious intent of this Amendment is to destroy
the purpose for the Bill. If you support the Bill... or if
you oppose the Bill, you ought to vote accordingly, but

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

this isn't the stage to gut the Bill. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Jefferson, Representative

Hicks. Larry Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to just also urge the Members on this side of the aisle to take a very strong look at the Amendment and even some of the Amendments that I think are going to follow here that the vote on the Bill is really... every one of these Amendments is trying to gut the Bill and trying to destroy what the Bill is trying to do and I would urge a 'no' vote on the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bepresentative Greiman, to close.

Representative Greiman."

"Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Greiman: In closing, to somehow that the present ordinance, which there are about four are unconstitutional, as Representative Tate did, flies in the face of a Supreme Court case. Now, I realize that that may not mean much to the Gentleman from Macon. but to many of us, that's what we use when we talk about unconstitutionality. But to the issue. to suggest that it guts the Bill. There are four communities, really, have ordinances dealing with gun ban in the State of Illinois. To suggest that when we allow Mr. Tate and Johnson to prohibit the other 1300 communities from doing it, that somehow that would gut the Bill. He. are for four communities that have acted. They have acted. They've thought about the problem. Their local trustees. their local boards of aldermen have responded to it. have done what they thought they could do and which thev And now we are just saying, 'Okay, the other 1300 communities, if you don't want to have gun control, your Representatives in the General Assembly can preempt it, but for those four communities, let those four communities

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

have what they have already... what they already voted for on a local level. That's not asking much. We grandfather things... God, the things we grandfather in this place are... are incredible. And all I'm asking to do is what we do so often. We grandfather in somebody in a Bill and that's what I'm asking here. Grandfather the four communities that have dealt with this subject from the preemption. That's all it is. It doesn't gut the Bill. It doesn't restrain anybody from making... if they want their city to be Dodge City, so be it, but let the people who have spoken on it have their ordinances."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 410. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

  Representative Young, one minute to explain his vote."
- Young: "I'm voting 'no' on the Amendment and I urge the other fellow Members to do so. The reason I'm casting this 'no' vote is not only for consistency state-wide on this issue, but some of those communities which Representative Greiman has mentioned, the issue has not been presented to the people. It has been a trustee decision. I represent a community, Oak Park. Recently, I saw a petition with 5000 registered voters who wanted this issue to be put on a referendum. I think the issue of gun control should be decided state-wide on a state-wide referendum."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish?

  Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 30

  'ayes', 72 'nays', 4 answering 'present'. Amendment #5

  fails. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Greiman, amends House Bill 410 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Alan Greiman."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Withdraw Amendment #6."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw. Amendment #6 is withdrawn."
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, Greiman, amends House Bill 410, as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman,
  Amendment 7."
- Greiman: "Withdraw Amendment #7."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw 7. Leave. Amendment 7 withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Greiman, amends House Bill 410."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman, on Amendment 9."
- Greiman: "Alright. Let's go again. Now, this Bill... This
  Amendment is a special different kind of Amendment. It
  deals with some requirements of insurance. It amends the
  Municipal Code in the same way that previously the Bill
  itself amends so that I believe it to be germane."
- Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. The Gentleman from Champaign,
  Representative Johnson, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Johnson: "I may save Representative Greiman some time. I would question the germaneness of this Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman asks for a ruling on germaneness and we'll be at ease for one moment. Ruling of the Chair, Mr. Johnson, is that it is germane. Proceed, Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "And so, Mr. Speaker, what this Bill does... what this Amendment does is to require that a person who has a gun gets an insurance policy to cover liability, to cover injuries that may be done with or without the consent of the owner with this handgun. Under the Illinois Insurance Code, there is a guestion of whether insurance can be

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

gotten for an intentional act and the Amendment provides that indeed it will cover intentional and unintentional Who will take care of the youngster who is shot by the gun that's lawfully kept? Who will take care of the person who walks into a room late at night, the father who walks in a little late and is shot by someone? Who will take care of the people that are injured with guns? Well, we know the answer to that. You and I will. We'11 provide... the state will have to provide it... the state will have to provide some kind of support, some kind of medical for people who are injured with guns. And I sav that if you want to have guns, that's okay. If you... then have them. But there should be protection for people are injured with those guns. Now, nobody, not even... you know, I see... I read bumper stickers that, you know, people kill people, not handguns, but no one can argue that these aren't dangerous instrumentalities. all understand that, just as automobiles are dangerous instrumentalities in... when they are in the flow of commerce, when they are in the hands of people. And when ... when accidental and unaccidental things can happen. And so, I say to you that this Bill... this Amendment, pardon me, merely provides a requirement of insurance. inhibit or impair anybody's right to carry a gun or have a gun. It says, 'If you have a gun, insure it.' That's what it says. For the protection of other people. That's what it says. And I ask for a Roll Call on Amendment #6."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman ... "

Greiman: "Or, I'm sorry, Amendment #8."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8 to House Bill 410. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Tim Johnson, Sponsor of the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Bill."

Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members. This is interesting idea, but there are a number of issues, a number of problems that are raised by this Amendment. Enforceability, insurability, whether, in fact, people will be able to acquire insurance to cover the provisions of this Amendment, and I suppose most importantly, whether the ... whether the Amendment can combination with the Bill will really work to achieve the objectives Representative Greiman has indicated and I agree are worthy objectives. In addition to that, you have the public policy problems of the basic prohibition against insurability of intentional acts. That's common law in Illinois and there is nothing in this Amendment that would to this issue. Representative Greiman had opportunity and he will have an opportunity next year the following year to introduce this novel approach as a separate Bill so that we can debate it, so that it can go to the appropriate committee and so that the various people would involved in this decision. who he companies, hand gun groups, wild life groups, pro-qun control groups and otherwise would have a chance to analyze the implications of this Amendment. And for those reasons and because it really speaks at best indirectly to what the Bill, the underlying Bill is trying to do and because would, for all practical purposes at this point effectively gut the Bill, I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Marion, Representative Priedrich, Dwight Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Friedrich: "I don't have a copy of your Amendment before me, but do you have to enumerate the serial numbers or so on of the

### 40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- gun to... under your Bill? If I have five hand guns as a collection or otherwise, do they have to be enumerated on the policy or can I have one that covers it? The other question, while you are looking at your thing, I happen to have a homeowners which has a liability portion on it. I've also got a cap policy on top of that. Am I covered? Do I have to have another policy under your Bill?"
- Greiman: "Well, the answer to the identity is it would tie into the fireowner... firearm owners identification card, so I assume..."
- Friedrich: "In other words, I'm going to have file my policy with them?"
- Greiman: "Well, I assume... I assume that you... No, you would have to have your policy... your policy would have to be... would have to respond to the gun... to the identification card."
- Friedrich: "And filed with the people who handle fire owners permits?"
- Greiman: "But there is no separate identification of the guns.

  If you are a fire owner..."
- Friedrich: "Okay, yes, but I do have to have the policy filed with them under your Bill?"
- Greiman: "No, I think you have to show it to them... To get it, you have to have some evidence of your insurability."
- Friedrich: "Well, but suppose my policy expires? My firearm owner's permit's good for four or five years. Do I...

  Suppose my policy expires? What happens? I've already got my permit."
- Greiman: "Then you get a new policy."
- Friedrich: "Yeah, but how's the department going to know that?"

  Greiman: "Well, when you have law-abiding citizens like you, you

  just would do it, because it's the law. Aren't most gun

  owners... are law-abiding citizens? They would certainly

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

do it. And they have to renew their firearms identification card, anyhow."

Friedrich: "Alright. On the Bill, then. On the Amendment. Speaker, obviously, this isn't workable, like a lot of other things proposed around here. I can have a perfectly valid policy today when I apply for my permit, I didn't pay the premium. It expires next month. I don't have it. Who's going to do the bookkeeping? It would take 5,000 people over in that department to run down to see that everybody had a valid insurance policy on every qun in the and besides that, the criminal's not going to worry whether he has a permit or the policy, either one, that's the guy that causes the problem, not the law-abiding citizen you refer to. You're putting a burden on the law-abiding citizen, and it won't affect the person who's a criminal in the first place."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr... Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the speaker yield... the Sponsor?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Regan: "In this Amendment, Representative, is the terminology 'willful and wanton', in this Amendment?"

Actually, this answers your question and the statement that Mr. Johnson made, if you look on page 30 of the Amendment under Subsection (g), you'll find that is says that it insures against death, injury or damage to property caused by the use of the handgun by the insured or by any person using the handgun, and it says, 'with or without consent' or whether or not there was... whether or not it was caused intentionally or otherwise. So, you see, we have...

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

we have a provision which says that if it's caused intentionally or otherwise, then the insurance covers it."

Regan: "Representative, 25 years in the insurance business, I think you'd find a very, very limited amount of carriers, and I think that even Lloyds of London would look askance at this Amendment. I would urge a 'no' vote on the Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman to close."

Greiman: "Yes, I'm giving Mr. Regan an opportunity to reach new vistas in the insurance industry to build... This could be part of the Build Illinois Program, actually. It brings good insurance dollars to Illinois. But you know, you only... There are none so blind as those who do not see. But anyhow, enforcement, Mr. Priedrich, is in the renewal of the card, and when you get the card, it's a policy which can extend for the life of the card. I have no question in my mind that the insurance carriers who deal in this state have the ingenuity to develop insurance policies that will cover this. I thank the Gentleman from Champaign for allowing me to file this next year and the year after that, but I may not be here then. Who knows? So that's why I do the process as I... as I am today. I... some suggestion that this was all gutting the Bill. To the Gentleman from Macon, one of the... part of the process here is to file Amendments and to have an exchange of ideas based on those Amendments, and that's what we're doing, and it doesn't qut your Bill. As a matter of fact ... as a matter of fact, I think it's going to bring people to Bill. Now, you got 72 votes on that last Amendment. may give you some good feelings. You may think you're going to get 71 on the ... on the showdown, but I'm not sure of that. But this Amendment might very well do just that for you, and so, if you are wise, the truth be known, you'd

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

put this on an Amendment. I have here a person who would vote for this Bill if this Amendment were on, only if this Amendment were on. Accordingly, and I.. and now, serious for a minute. This is a new concept. It is no place else in the United States being debated or discussed. but yet, I think it is a time... an idea whose time has come for consideration. I think we must protect people who are shot by guns without impairing the ... the right of a person to have a gun. And that's what this does. This protects the innocent people who will get in the way of that fire. And I say, let's lift the people of Illinois from the crossfire and accept Amendment #8. And a Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman noves for the adoption of Amendment #8 to House Bill 410. Those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed by voting 'no'. Those in favor signify voting 'aye', opposed voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 18 'ayes', 79 'navs'. answering 'present'. Amendment #8 fails. Further Amendments?"

Clark Leone: "Floor Amendment #9, Greiman, amends House Bill 410 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Allen Greiman, on Amendment #9."

Greiman: "Withdraw." '

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #9. Leave?

Leave. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "There is a request for a fiscal note, and it has not been filed. House Bill 410 will remain on the Order of Second Reading. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Tim Johnson, for what purpose do you rise?

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Tim Johnson."

- Johnson: "I don't question what the Chair says. My understanding was that the fiscal note had been filed by DCCA."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Mr. Johnson, the State Mandates fiscal note has been filed, but there is also a request for the ordinary fiscal note, which has not been filed, so it'll...

Johnson: "Speaker?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, Representative Johnson."

- Johnson: "Speaker, I would... I would move then, under the applicable provisions of the State Mandates Act and our rules, that a... "
- Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. We think Representative Greiman requested it, and that he is asking leave to withdraw that request. We're checking to see if it is Greiman's request. Yes, the Clerk informs me that the request was from Greiman, and he now withdraws that request. The Bill... Move the Bill to Third Reading. Representative Allen Greiman in the Chair."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Johnson? For what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Johnson: "I just want to take one second to sincerely thank you for your courtesy and understanding on that issue, and it's sincerely appreciated by us. We... All we want's a fair hearing, and we may win and we may lose, but we really appreciate it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Conti, could you please come to the podium, Sir? Now Elmer, it's not first and 10 for the Cardinals. Representative Daniels temporarily in the Chair."
- Speaker Daniels: "Overwhelming support. We now got it, kids.

  Are you ready? You know, Elmer, we've been up here when we were lucky enough, Representative Greiman, to have control

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

of the House. Elmer and I were up here on occasion. Remember how nicely we treated you all of the time? great respect and due deliverance and everything else. Elmer, we are very proud to be able to participate little festivities to remember you on some of the times that you spent in the House. In fact, right where Ewing is sitting is where I used to sit. Elmer sat right in front of me, right next to Virginia Prederick, where she's sitting right now, Elmer Conti sat. For two years, I sat in that spot, and for two years, I learned more about the General Assembly and the legislative process than any previous two years that I had served up until that time. And Elmer, let's start today, a day for you honoring you with the reading of a Resolution. Mr. Clerk, will you read the Resolution, please?"

Clark Leone: "House Resolution 391, Sponsored by Representative Daniels. Whereas, Elmer W. Conti, a former Member of this Body, retires this year as Village President of Elmwood Park, a position he has held since 1953; and whereas, Conti has also served the people of the Village of Elmwood Park as a Village Trustee for four years from 1949 to 1953; and whereas, Mr. Conti continues to serve the people as Republican Committeeman from Leyden Township, a position he has held since 1960; and whereas, Mr. Conti, during his six terms in the Illinois House of Representatives, contributed greatly to the welfare of the citizens of this state through his active participation as a Member of the House Financial Institutions Committee. Revenue Committee, Executive Committee and Licensing Committee: and whereas, Elmer Conti's leadership was recognized by his peers who elected him Assistant Minority Leader in the 81st General Assembly and Assistant Majority Leader in the 82nd General Assembly; and whereas, Mr. Conti's talents and service

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

been recognized over the years by many institutions, including the Mogen David Adom, Jewish Red Cross, and the Chicago Amerital Chapter of UNICO which named him 'Man of the Year', and a citation from the Israel Governor, him one of the only three Americans so cited; and whereas, in addition to his political service, Mr. Conti maintained an active role in many civic and professional organizations. including service as Founder and President of the Leyden-Norwood Municipal League, Founder and Past President of the West Suburban UNICO Chapter, Founder and Past President of the Suburban Contractors Association, former Director of the St. Jude's Foundation and member of the Moose, Kiwanis, Montclair-Elwood Park Chamber of Commerce, American Legion Post 668 and the Amvets Post 124, where he was Adjutant; and whereas, Elmer Conti also defended his country, interrupting his college education to enlist in the United States Naval Air Force during World War II, where he rose to the rank of Cadet V5. Whereas, in appreciation of the many years of service to his community and his state, this day has been proclaimed Elmer Conti Day by the Governor, James R. Thompson. Now be it resolved by the House of Representatives therefore. of the 84th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that honor and commend Elmer W. Conti for his contributions to the people of the State of Illinois; and be it further resolved that suitable copies of this Resolution and Preamble be presented to Elmer Conti, his wife June, their daughters Christine and Kimberly, and their sons Stephen and John."

Daniels: "You've heard the Resolution. Representative Vinson moves for the adoption. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. Resolution adopted. And Ladies and Gentlemen, with your leave, we'll add all

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Members of the House as Sponsor of the Resolution. Ladies and Gentlemen, who you have in front of you right now, Elmer Conti, on Elmer Conti Day in Illinois, you all should be so lucky as to have a day named after you. He told me earlier, he said, 'I didn't know if Jim would do that.' You have truly, one of the remarkable public servants right in front of you today - the Gentleman who has served with great distinction his community, served his family, is loved by many, the Gentleman that joined the General Assembly in 1956. Think back to those days. Some of weren't even here in 1956. I know I was... I know I was in eighth grade. He served with my grandfather during that Right, Elmer? When I first joined the General Assembly, he said, 'Lee, I knew your dad.' And I said, 'Elmer, I got bad news for you. It was my grandfather that you served with. This Gentleman is truly a person that hold in great esteem. Will you please join with me once again in recognizing, as brief as it may be in our process. this fine Gentleman? Elmer Conti."

Elmer Conti: "I haven't forgotten the time of the year it is. It's May 15, and an awful lot of you have Bills and you're counting the days and wondering whether you can get through this House so it can get over to the other side of the aisle, so I won't be too long. But in tenure of office that I've held, I've received many achievements, many awards, and I haven't done it on my own. It's the people that I've with... were with for the last 30 years. Lee's grandfather, as he mentioned, made me look good, and the people on my right, the people that sit on the left of the House, have been very, very good to me when we've had some lean years. In fact, all of those years that I spent here, we've only had two Republican Speakers. The rest were all Democratic Speakers. So I won't take up

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

any more of your time, and for the benefit of those of you when I'took this Chair up here, most of you thought I had a peripheral problem in seeing, and I forgot to call the people on the right side. I was just being a little partisan, and for that, I apologize. Thank you very much. As long as you hold off as you still make the worst mistake that you can ever make, I've got my wife June with me who put up with me for 32 years, my two sisters Ida and Leanita, and I've got my... four of my five grandchildren here; Michael, B.J., Frank and Joey, and my daughter... my granddaughter Lisa was too young to be with us today, and half of those people up there and... oh, I'm sorry again. My son, Stephen, my daughter Chris, my daughter Kimberly, and my son Steve and John, both of my sons, Steve and John. They're up in the gallery."

- Daniels: "The area where Mr. Conti comes from is represented by Representative DeLeo and also now, Linda Jean Williamson.

  And Representative Kulas?"
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On behalf of the Royal Order of Mushrooms, of which Representative Conti was a faithful Member, I'd like to welcome him back to Springfield. I'd just like to tell you, Elmer, that since the Democrats took over, we've been a little more enlightened. Welcome back."
- Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen, from the hour of 3:00 to 5:00, there will be a reception. You're all invited if you, during the course of your work, could step off the floor, step into the Republican Leadership offices, there's a little reception for Elmer Conti to honor him and all of his friends, who I think are with us all today. They're up there in the gallery, recognizing him, so please come into the Republican offices. Share a little time to honor Elmer Conti on Elmer Conti Day. Thank you for your courtesies. Thank you."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Greiman back in the Chair. So, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 419. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 419, a Bill for an Act to amend the Foreign Banking Office Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Cullerton asks that it be taken out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 434, Mr. Berrios. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 434, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Notary Public Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 436. Mr. Clerk, read
  that Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 436, a Bill for an Act to repeal the Vehicle Emmissions Inspection Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 464. 464. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 474. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- clerk Leone: "House Bill 474, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code
   of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment
   #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Laurino, amends House Bill..."

  Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Laurino, on Floor
  - Amendment #2."
- Laurino: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 to House Bill 474 merely provides a schooling or a ... a proper instruction on how to serve a process. The sheriffs have had numerous complaints on people doing these things, and they thought it best to put it through."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Laurino, moves for the pass... for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 474. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 494. Mr. Clerk, read...

  Mr. Nash? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill, 484."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 494, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to state finance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Nash, amends House Bill 484 on page..."
- Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  What Amendment #1 does is puts a 5000 dollar maximum on the
  amount that can be paid for relocation expenses in
  gang-related crimes. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 484. On

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "A question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. Has this Amendment been printed and distributed?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Has this Amendment been printed and distributed, Mr. Clerk? Yes, we're advised it has heen printed and distributed. There being no further discussion, the question is. Shall this Amendment adopted? All those in favor signify by saving 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'aves' have The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clark Leone: "No further Amendments."
- "Third Reading. Speaker Greiman: On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 501, Mr. Bullock. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 504, Mr. Preston. Mr. Preston. 504? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 505. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House 507. Mr. McGann. Out of the record. Alright. to back up a minute to correct an Amendment that was adopted in error. Mr. Clerk, on the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 474. Mr. Laurino asks the House to return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of tabling Amendment # 1\_ Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman has leave. House Bill 474 is on the Order of Second Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clark Leone: "House Bill 474, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code
  of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. Motion to
  table Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook moves to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 474. And on that, is there any discussion?

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- There being none... Yes, Mr. Laurino. There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #...' Yes, Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Would ... It might help us a little bit if you told who was the Sponsor of the Amendment. Was it his Amendment?

  And..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Laurino, would you like to make that explanation?"
- Laurino: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 was my Amendment in Committee, and it had technical flaws in it. We substituted Amendment #2 and erroneously didn't ask for the tabling of Amendment #1. That's all I'm trying to do is just get rid of the technical errors."
- Piel: "Fine, thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "All those in favor of the Motion to table
  Amendment #1 signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

  In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment
  #1 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 508, Mr. Daniels. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 508, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code
  of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. No
  Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Cullerton, amends House Bill 508..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Floor
  Amendment #1."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment I believe is agreed to by the Sponsor. I also talked with the Illinois Press Association and their attorney concerning this Amendment. It adds the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

liable slander of reporter language to the Section on the application to the court for divestiture of the privilege. The Bill as amended leaves open the possibilty that disclosure will be ordered. To get disclosure, the plaintiff must make a prima facia showing a falsehood and actual harm. I believe it improves the Bill. It's a very fine Bill that Representative Daniel's has brought forward, and I think it will improve it. I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 508. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "We agree."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, "Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?" All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 510. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 511. Mr. Matijevich? House Bill 512. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 513, Mr. Hicks. Mr. Hicks? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 561. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Cecond Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Cecond Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 567, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Cullerton Bullock, amends
  House Bill ..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Amendment #1."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is part of Build Illinois. This Bill amends the Illinois Housing Development Authority. I beleive that this Bill and another Bill like it will add about a billion dollars in bonding authority for IHDA."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I don't believe that a Bill can be presented on Second Reading without the Sponsor."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, I thought Mr. Daniels was the Sponsor, but I..."

Vinson: "He is."

- Speaker Greiman: "Of course, Mr. Madigan is the Sponsor as well."
- Viason: "Neither one of them. We'd just as soon have this out of the record for nov."
- Speaker Greiman: "Out of the record. Is that... House Bill 568, out of the record. 570, out of the record. 572, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 572.

  Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 572, a Bill for an Act to amend the Local Mass Transit District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee... or adopted on Second Reading."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any further Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments?"

Speaker Greiman: "Fiscal note has been filed, right?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Clerk Leone: "Piscal note has been filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 574, Mr. Preston. 574.

  Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 574, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to public financing of gubernatorial campaigns.

  Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 lost previously. No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading, page eight of the Calendar, appears House

  Bill 618. Mr... Mr. Davis? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 618, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Amendment... Any Motions with respect to Amendments #1 and 2?"
- Clerk Leone: "A Motion to table. "I move to table Amendment #2 to House Bill 618", signed by Representative Davis."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, moves to table
  Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 618... I'm sorry, just 2.
  Amendment #2 to 618. And on that, is there any discussion?
  Yes, Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "The... Okay, I see Representative Churchill here. He was the Sponsor of the Amendment. That's why I wondered if he was in favor of tabling his Amendments. I think it's still going to be a bad Bill, Jack. ... #88, okay. He's answered by question, Mr. Speaker. The Sponsor of the Amendments is in favor of tabling the Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Aright. So, accordingly. Mr. Davis moves to table Amendment #2 to House Bill 618. There being no

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

discussion, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be tabled?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #2 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Davis, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, on Floor Amendment #3."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 would replace
Amendment #2 by lowering the percentage of impact that a
school district would be impacted by state-owned
property.... district from 50 percent to 45 percent,
thereby narrowing the Bill almost specifically to one
isolated incidence."

Speaker Greiman: "The ... Mr. Davis, the Gentleman from Will, has moved for the adoption of Amendment 3 to House Bill 618.

On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Cullerton: "You indicated that it would lower the percentage from 50 percent to 45 percent?"

Davis: "Yes, we did. In conversations with Representative Keane, we misspoke ourselves in the Revenue Committee, Representative Cullerton, and we thought that the district was impacted at 53 percent by Stateville Penitentiary. And we put a 50 percent Amendment in to limit it to that school district. However, we find out in recomputing with the school district and the county clerk that it is actually 48.3 percent. So our own Bill would have cut out the very people it was designed for. So, we're lowering it to 45 percent to keep the idea of the Bill in tact. ... on Third Reading is another story."

Cullerton: "Right, but the Amendment says that it deletes

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- 'one-third' and inserting in lieu thereof '45 percent'."
- Davis: "Well, the original Bill said one-third. We raised it to 50 in the Committee by Amendment 2 which we have just tabled. Now we are coming back and amending the Bill from one-third to 45 percent."
- Cullerton: "Okay. So, the Amendment, after we have tabled the other one, increases it from one-third to 45 percent."
- Davis: "Yes, essentially. Essentially correct. I misspoke myself again."
- Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just simply want to add my support to Representative Davis' attempt to help the ... area in our... in his district. And, you know, it is lovely to have all these state institutions in your district, but it does have a terrific impaction on your school districts. And I would just add my support to his Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Davis, amends House Bill 16..."

  Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Will, on Floor Amendment #4."
- Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would invite Representative
  Van Duyne to become a Cosponsor of the Bill. Lee, talk to
  me about it. We'll do that. Amendment #4 there was an
  oversight in the mechanism if we're going to restore tax
  equivalent impact grants. This mechanism in Amendment #4
  really needs to be on the Bill because it's a contra or an
  offset of the resource equalizer formula dollars now going

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

to that district against what would be awarded on the basis of the tax impact grant. So this Amendment really needs to be on the Bill, because it reduces the amount of money that would go to that district."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will has moved for the adoption of Amendment 4 to House Bill 618. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 636. Mr. Curran? Mr.

Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 636, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to health and safety of public employees.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 650. Mr. Tate? Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 650, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Real Estate Time Share Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Tate, amends House Bill 650..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate, on Floor
Amendment #3."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Amendment 3 is... is essentially technical in nature.

Amendment 3 has been worked out and has the support of the

Illinois Association of Realtors, the Department of

Registration and Education and the different home building

and other concerns that have worked on this, and I ask for

its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Macon moves for the adoption of Amendment 3 to House Bill 650. Is there any discussion?

The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Amendment #3."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Tate, I know you indicated this was agreed. I wonder if you could explain, however, the new paragraph 7 which will be added to page 16, immediately after line 20 on page 16. Could you explain the effect of that amendment of that paragraph?"

Tate: "Yes, Representative Cullerton, if you'll hold a second, we'll have to get the Bill and... That was page seven, line what?"

Cullerton: "If you just look at your Amendment, on page two of the Amendment, lines four through nine, they add a paragraph, which is to be found on the Bill on page 16, immediately after line 20."

Tate: "Are you referring to the language on the developer or agent of a developer?"

Cullerton: "Yes. Yes."

Tate: "Yes, that's... that, from my understanding, is clarifying language, apparently, that had not been present that just... well, it does simply what it suggests in the language, if you read it. What's your guestion in regards to?"

Cullerton: "Well, what is the effect of it?"

Tate: "The effect is it's going to be filed with the Department."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Cullerton: "I'm sorry?"

Tate: "I don't understand what you mean. The effect is... the effect is, I think, fairly obvious, John. It would just...

it allows for complaints to be filed with the Department."

Cullerton: "What happens if it isn't filed within 30 days?"

Tate: "I don't think there is a penalty that's incurred."

Cullerton: "Alright, nothing happens. Well then, why do we need it?"

Tate: "Well, for a process to be given, and obviously this allows for a process if there are complaints provided."

Cullerton: "Oh, I can accept that. Who did you say you were negotiating with on this Bill?"

Tate: "Representative, this Bill, which was formally introduced by Representative Stuffle and myself two years ago, has endured the scrutiny of the Illinois Association of Realtors, the Department of Registration and Education and some other various constituencies that have been concerned about this issue."

Cullerton: "And where has the disagreement been? You said..."

Tate: "There is no disagreement at all. This is an agreed Bill."
Cullerton: "With this Amendment."

Tate: "This Amendment is basically technical in nature."

Cullerton: "I see. Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, on page ten of the Calendar, appears House

Bill 695. Mr. Bullock? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 685, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

relating to school districts and boards of education."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Rea, would you come to the podium?"

Clerk Leone: "Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 685. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "And were there any Floor Amendments? I mean,

I'm sorry, any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Notions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of... House Bill 717, out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 720. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "No, Nr. Clerk, take it out of the record. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 722. Mr. Clerk, out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 725, Mr. White. 725. Mr. Bowman. Mr. White. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 753, Mr. Steczo. Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, there is no guarantee these Bills will ever be called again. Mr. Clerk... Okay, on the Order... Yes, Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Sure. On the Order of House Bill 725. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clark Leone: "House Bill 725, a Bill for an Act relating to the
Illinois Department of Public Health and establishing an
Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome Adversary Committee.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 760. Mr. Rea? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 760, a Bill for an Act in relationship to traffic violation citations. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Rea, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea."

- Bea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #1

  is an Amendment that we discussed in Committee, and this

  restricts it to the state police. And I would move for its

  adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Franklin has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 760. Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Dupage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield? Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

- McCracken: "Jim, I know that this Bill in Committee dealt with the issue of police ticket quotas, whether quotas should be allowed as a matter of public policy. And the last paragraph of the Bill deals with that in the context of state police. What does the rest of the Amendment do, though?"
- Rea: "Well, what this Amendment will do is that it means that a quota system would not be able to be utilized by the state police. In other words, they would not... could not be required to issue a certain number of traffic tickets based upon promotions or any other promotions, equipment that they might receive or any other benefits, which this gets back more to the service on the road to the people of the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

state, to the motorists."

- McMaster: "Yeah, but what does new Section 16(a), beginning on page one going onto page two? I have read it, but I don't understand it. What is ... What does it do? It has nothing to... not that it's not germane, but it's new subject matter from the... from the Bill as in Committee. What is the purpose of Section 16(a)?"
- Rea: "Okay, actually, in terms of that Section, it only... it only restricts it in terms of the highway traffic violations as it relates to the state police. I don't... I don't know that this has changed it from what the original intent was of the Bill."
- McCracken: "Okay. To the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. I have... I have no quarrel with the Gentleman putting this Amendment on the Bill, and I think the issue of whether or not quotas are proper is something that can be discussed on Third Reading. But I still don't know what Section 16(a) means. It looks to me like preamble-type language. I don't know why it's here, and I guess we'll find out on Third Reading. All done."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'

  All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading, On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 769, Mr. Steczo. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 777. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 777, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the system of probation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

40th Legislative Day

May 15. 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Matijevich, amends House
Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, on Amendment #2."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 was carefully drawn by the Cook County Circuit Court, the administrative office of the Illinois courts. and has the complete approval of the Sponsors, those responsible for ne introducing this Bill. Representative Cullerton is now for it, too, he tells me. The ... In Committee there was some concern over the issue of community-based services. That's been deleted as to residential services, and we have a new Section, Section 16, which provides for reimbursement for various types of individual services, like vocational drug or alcohol education. drug or alcohol treatment services and non-residential rehabilitation programs. And also another provision of the Bill retains the appointment power as we have in the present probation law with the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court. And I think they have worked out a very good Amendment, and I understand now there is complete support for the Bill. I move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 777."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 777. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

adopted. Purther Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 781, Nr. Keane. Mr.

  Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 781, a Bill for an Act to amend..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Nr. Clerk, excuse me. Out of the record. On page 11 of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 782, Ms Braun. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 782, a Bill for an Act to amend the
  Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 805. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 305, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to authorize the withholding of state income tax refunds.

  Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 819. Mr. Ronan? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 931, Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 931, a Bill for an Act to amend the Crime Victims' Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Leverenz, amends..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 931."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment #1 provides some clarifacation language. taking the new maximum for an award to 25,000, and the clarification is that under the Bill it would be unclear whether those who have filed already would be available to the 25,000. This clears it up that only after the Bill passes and is signed into law and has an effective date would the max go to 25,000. Further, it amends another part so that a convicted defendant who has served time would not get the five dollars credit per day served that would be paid into... or would reduce the amount paid into the Violent Crime Victims' Assistance Fund. And I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 831. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 933. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 833, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 839, Mr. Panayotovich.

  Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 839, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 841. Mr. Clerk, read the

  Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 841, a Bill for an Act relating to cannibus, controlled substances, look-alike and counterfeit substances. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Berrios - Cullerton."

- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Floor
  Amendment #1."
- Berrios: "Amendment #1 just deletes the problem that the Committee Members had, and it puts the Bill in its proper perspective."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 841. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 879, Mr. McGann. 879.

Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Reading appears House Bill 885, Mr. Bullock. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 932, Mr. Laurino. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bill Second Reading appears House Bill 935, Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1023, Mr. Nash. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1023, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. It's been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee.

  Amendment #2 was withdrawn on the floor. Floor Amendment #3, Nash, amends House Bill 1023."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash, on Floor
  Amendment #3."
- Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  Amendment #3 takes out the civic center legalizing of alcohol sales, retail sales, in the civic center. It leaves the State of Illinois Building... new building in there. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1023. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1026. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clark Leone: "House Bill 1026, a Bill for an Act to amend the Grade A Pasteurized Milk and Milk Products Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Loene: "Floor Amendment #1, Ropp Bullock, amends House
  Bill..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Amendment #1 to House Bill 1026 adds the provision of testing... of taking samples four times every six months from processing plants of processed dairy products and test the liquid component which is milk for solids not fat as well as butter fat and salmonella. That's the entire content of the Amendment and is the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from McLean has moved for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1026. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On page 12 of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 1034, Ms. Satterthwaite. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1034, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Satterthwaite, amends House
  Bill..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite, on Amendment #1."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment
  #1 is in agreement with the Committee recommendation for
  Amendments to the Teachers' Scholarship Program that is

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- established in 1034, and I would ask for your support of that Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1034. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr... I'm sorry. The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in support of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1034 and commend the Sponsor for the considerable amount of work and thoughtfulness that has gone into it. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have 'it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1037. Ms. Didrickson? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1038. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1038, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Yes, Ms. Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Has the fiscal note been filed on this Bill yet?"
- Speaker Greiman: "It has not been. You want to take it out of the record?"
- Satterthwaite: "We'll take it out of the record then."
- Speaker Greiman: "Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1039, Mr. Mulcahey. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1039, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1045. Mr. Flinn, do you wish that called? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1045, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Notions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1062. Mr. Ropp? Mr. Ropp, 1062. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1081. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1081, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Giglio, amends House Bill 1081..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio, on Floor
Amendment #1."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 1081 is a simple Amendment. We forgot to include the sale of the drugs by the licensed physicians under the Medical Practice Act or dentists. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1081. Is there any

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 1090. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House
Bill 1111. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1111, a Bill for an Act to create the
Illinois Capital Budget and Five Year Capital Improvement
Programs within the Governor's Office of Planning. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1112. Mr. Ronan? Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1112, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1122. Mr. Clerk, read
that Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1122, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the collection of property taxes. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15. 1985

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Giglio, amends House Bill...'

  Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio, on Floor

  Amendment #2."
- Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, leave to table Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio, moves to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 1122. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is tabled. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Giglio, amends House Bill
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio, on Amendment #2."
- Giglio: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #2 deletes what Amendment #1 does. There was a
  misprint by the Reference Bureau, and we corrected it and
  now that the township collectors will be paid by the
  township officials like the other township officials in the
  townships."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio, moves for the Amendment... Amendment #2 for House Bill 1122. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
  Second Reading appears House Bill 1136. Mr. Brookins? Mr.
  Brookins. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills
  Second Reading appears House Bill 1143, Mr. Laurino. Out

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1144, Mr. Bullock. Out of the record.

Mr. Bullock? I'm sorry, Mr. Bullock. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1144, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
to provide funding for the Chicago World's Fair 1992
Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1145, Mr. Brookins. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1151, Mr. McGann. 1151. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1151, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the information on utility tax returns. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1153. Mr. Clerk, read...

Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1153, a Bill for an Act in relationship to cigarettes. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Clerk. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House
Bill 1154. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1854, a Bill for an Act in relationship to state occupation and use taxes. Second Reading of the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Keane - Woodyard, amends House
Bill.."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, on Amendment #2."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 is requested by the
Revenue Department. It's strictly a technical Amendment.
It clarifies... It makes it easier for them to enforce the
Bill. I'd move for adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1154. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1161, Mr. Pangle. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1166, Ms. Satterthwaite. 1166."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1166..."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Satterthwaite? 1166. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1166, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1181. Mr. Giglio, 1181.

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1181, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Township Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1186, Ms. Currie. Out of
the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading
appears House Bill 1198, Mr... Out of the record. On the
Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill
1228, Mr. Young. Mr. Young. I'm sorry. Ms. Younge.
Excuse me. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill... .

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry."

Clerk Leone: "... 1228, a Bill for an Act to create the Office of
Urban Assistance. It's been read a second time
previously."

Speaker Greiman: "Fiscal note been filed. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Further Amendments?"

Clark Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1246, Mr. Steczo. Mr. Steczo. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1250, Mr. Soliz. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1252. Out of the record. Alright. We'll just move back just a little bit on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1246. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1246, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to require prompt payments by the State of Illinois for

and the second of the second property

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

goods and services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1... No Committee Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Steczo, amends House Bill 1246

  On page one..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, on Amendment
- #1 to House Bill 1246 clarifies the intent of the original Bill and it provides for the written notice of disapproval of bills or invoices for goods and services must be provided within 30 days of the latter of either the receipt of the bill, receipt of the goods and services, dates specified in any lease provision and the date on which the appropriation from which the payment is made becomes law.

  I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1246. And on that, is there any discussion? Mr. Cullerton, were you seeking recognition? Alright. Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Now, we skipped over two of them, and we just... just above this. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1186. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1186, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating... relating to Chicago Lakefront Harbor Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Also on this page, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 1145."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1145, a Bill for an Act to create the probation challenge program. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1239. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1239, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Now back to the Call. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1262. Out of the record. Mr. McPike? On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1263. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1279. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Mr. Bowman? Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1291, a Bill for an Act in relation to
the acute and subacute medical care. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Clark O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Ryder."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Ryder, on Amendment #2."
- Ryder: "This is requiring that the facility wishing to provide the care described in the Act must be in conformance with either the Hospital Licensing Act or the Nursing Home Care Reform Act."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jersey moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1291. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "I accept the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill.... Oh, I'm sorry.

Excuse me. Excuse me. There is a fiscal note request on
1291, Mr. Bowman, so the House... the Bill will remain on
the Order of Second Reading. Yes, Mr. Bowman, for what
purpose do you seek recognition?"

Bowman: "I believe the Fiscal Note Act says that the agency has five days to provide the note. The request was made... I made a timely request of the agency, and they have not responded. And so, I would like to move the Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "What agency is that, Mr. Bowman?"

Bowman: "I beg your pardon? What did you say?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "What agency is that?"

Bowman: "Department of Public Aid."

Speaker Greiman: "They don't respond to the Chairman of Appropriations?"

Bowman: "They haven't yet, but we don't have their budget in front of my Committee yet, but we will."

Speaker Greiman: "Perhaps, Mr. Bowman, we should just take this out of the record for awhile and we'll get back to you tomorrow."

Bowman: "Maybe we can work it out."

Speaker Greiman: "I betcha. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill... Oh, Second Reading. I'm sorry, Second Reading. The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1316, Mr. Hawkinson. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1316, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1317, Mr. Hartke. Mr.

Hartke. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1330. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1330, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Liquor Control Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Kulas."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 deletes the term 'alcoholic liquor' and inserts in lieu thereof the word 'spirits'. This Amendment is put on on behalf of the Beer Distributors', and I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas, moves to adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 1330. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1341. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1341, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Berrios."
- Berrios: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Amendment #1."
- Berrios: "Amendment 1 just simply clarifies the Bill, and it also puts in that no tow truck shall be allowed to tow another vehicle more than fifty miles on a highway."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1342. Out of the record.

  Alright. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1342. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1342, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the Illinois Public Labor Relations Act.
  Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, offered by Representative Greiman, amends House Bill 1342..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Representative McPike in the Chair."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman,
  Amendment #1."
- Greiman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 corrects the decision recently made by the Labor Board which provided that the threshold of 25 employees should be made without viewing the number... total number of employees in the system... working for the... for the particular unit of local government. The original intent of the Bill was that they look at the number of the employees working for the community whether under the Act or not and that they use that as a threshold of 25. I ask for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker NcPike: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1.

  Gentleman... On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt,

  Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I wonder if the Sponsor might yield for a question."
- Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will."
- Vinson: "Representative, as I read your Amendment, the effect of your Amendment would be to bring more units under

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

collective bargaining than the original Bill did. Is that correct?"

Amendment at the last moment, as 536 was going through this Assembly. The clear understanding was that 536 should apply to those communities having 25 employees, whether they were employees under the Act or not. That was the intent of the drafter. I'm the drafter and that was my intent. In accordance..."

Vinson: "No, I'm talking about 1342."

Greiman: "Excuse me. In answer... What?"

Vinson: "It would cover more units than are covered under 1342." Greiman: "No, this ... I'm saying to you that this Bill ... this Amendment merely relates to the number of employees that would be covered under the Act. It conforms to the original intent of House Bill 536 so that ... you may not be aware of this, but that recently a hearing... the hearing officer held in accordance with the intent of this particular Body and the Board overturned the judgement of the hearing officer. This would conform the Board's judgement with the judgement of this House when we it two years ago."

Vinson: "How much would this Amendment cost local government?"

Greiman: "No more than it costs now."

Vinson: "What?"

Greiman: "No more than it costs now, because I believe that a court will carry out the intent of this Legislature and reverse the Board. So, no more than it costs now."

Vinson: "If the Bill is unnecessary, why don't we just table it?"

Greiman: "Because we should make clear the General Assembly's

words cannot be cast aside and not... and ignored, that

each word, each dot, each paragraph must be given meaning."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, to the Bill, Members, the Gentleman's Bill

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

is a Bill which will bring under collective bargaining far more units of local government than currently are under collective bargaining. The units of government which be brought under collective bargaining for purposes of this are small units of government that have a low number of employees, the kind of units of government which can least afford the extraordinarily higher cost involved in collective bargaining, whether you're talking about the increased wages that collective bargaining brings - otherwise, why would anybody be for collective bargaining? - or whether you're talking about the increased number of lawyers and labor counselors and litigation and so forth that we be occasioned by the Bill. The costly, extremely costly for small units of government. Now, if you represent a big unit of government and your only concern. I can understand why you could be for the Bill, because it won't have any impact on big units of qovernment. But if you have small units of government in your district, then by voting for the Amendment or for the Bill, you're imposing extraordinarily higher costs on them. You should not do that. It's wrong. You ought to vote 'no', because you're just sticking taxpayers with money that they have to pay for. I would urge a 'no' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Davis."

Davis: "Well, I would simply echo Representative Vinson's remarks and remind the Sponsor who was the Sponsor of Senate Bill 536 that the agreement on 25 employees or less was struck after heated debate in a series of Amendments two years ago that would and indeed ... it was negotiated from a position, I suppose, of strength because this General Assembly had unquestionably rejected the notion that every small unit of local government should be counted... or

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

should be included under the Act. And it seems to me that this Amendment violates the spirit of that agreement and takes in part-time workers and supervisors and probably trustees, for all I know, and is probably ambiguous to the Board at this point in time, even moreso than the Sponsor of the Amendment would indicate. It's a very bad concept. The original plan was a good one, and I think we should roundly defeat this Amendment. And, Mr. Speaker, I would request a Roll Call vote on this particular Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? No further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, to close, Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Good. Well, again, I'm sort of in Alice in Wonderland. We're walking through the looking glass again. I drafted the intent of what we had agreed upon. Mr. Davis was, at no time, a party to those discussions or agreements and voted against the Bill, as a matter of fact, so couldn't... wasn't involved in any agreement, as I recall. But nonetheless, this Amendment has been put on to correct a decision of the Board. The Board's hearing officer held in accordance with the intent of this General Assembly. The Board reversed the judgement of the hearing officer. Maybe a court will reverse the Board. But why should we have our intent bandied about by hearing officers and boards when we can express it in a clear wav. apologize to the House for not being a better draftsman two years ago; but, nonetheless, we should indeed just make this Amendment which carries out the original intent of the Bill, 536. I ask you to adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 1342. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment
#1. All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed
vote 'no'. Representative Davis, for what reason do you
rise?"

40th Legislative Day

carry."

May 15, 1985

- Davis: "Should this Amendment appear to have succeeded, I would request a verification of the Roll Call."
- Speaker McPike: "Very well. ... Greiman, to explain his vote."

  Greiman: "Well no, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to verify the

  negative vote if it should carry... if the negative should
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 57... On this Amendment there are 57 'ayes', 56 'nos' and the Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis, has asked for a verification of the 'ayes'. Representative Greiman asks for the Poll of the Absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees".
- Clark O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Homer and Krska."
- Speaker McPike: "Proceed with a Verification of the Affirmative Roll."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Breslin.

  Brookins. Bullock. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton.

  Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Farley."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, excuse me. Representative Steczo.

  Mr. Davis, Representative Steczo would like to be verified.

  Thank you. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman."
- Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Homer would like to be recorded as 'aye'. Proceed."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Hannig. Hartke. Homer. Huff. Keane. Kulas. Laurino. Leflore. Levin. Matijevich. McGann. McPike. Nash. Panayotovich. Phelps. Preston. Rea. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Soliz. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Turner. Van Vitek. Washington. White. Wolf."
- Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Davis, could we verify Representative Richmond? Thank you. Proceed."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge, and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Christensen and Representative Turner would like to be verified. Thank you. Have you completed, Mr. Clerk? Representative Davis, questions of the affirmative."

Davis: "Representative Keane."

Speaker McPike: "Keane?"

Davis: "Keane. James Keane."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Keane in the chamber?

Representative Keane is not in the chamber. Remove him

from the Roll Call. Representative Brunsvold would like
to change from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Representative Farley."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Farley. Is Representative Farley here? Gentleman is not here. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Davis: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giglio? He is... Is he here?

Representative Giglio is not here. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Davis: "Representative Curran."

Speaker McPike: "Curran?"

Davis: "Curran. Michael Curran."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Curran. Mike Curran."

Davis: "Springfield, Illinois."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Keane has returned. Return
Representative Keane to the Roll Call. Representative Mike
Curran is not here. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Davis: "Representative O'Connell."

Speaker McPike: "Representative O'Connell is recorded as voting
'no'. Is the Gentleman here?"

Davis: "I beg your pardon."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman in the chamber? He's not here.

Remove him from the Roll Call."

Davis: "Representative Braun. Representative Carol Mosely Braun,
Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Pardon me?"

Davis: "Representative Carol Mosely Braun."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Carol Mosely Braun?"

Davis: "She certainly is."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hartke, are you what? You're here. I don't think you've been challenged.

Representative Braun? The Lady in the chamber? She is not. Remove her from the Roll Call."

Davis: "Representative Vitek. Is he in the nurses station, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker McPike: "Well, I can't answer that. We've..."

Davis: "We make it a habit not to verify him if he's in the nurses station."

Speaker McPike: "I know. Well, he is here today, and it's up to you to..."

Davis: "He's almost always in his seat. We'll hold that on in reserve."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you."

Davis: "Representative Nash."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Nash. Representative Nash? I don't think he's here either. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Davis: "Nice try. Didn't work. Representative Preston."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Preston. Representative Preston is not here. Mr. Clerk, remove him from the Roll Call."

Davis: "Representative William Laurino."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Laurino is gone. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Davis: "Representative Charles Pangle from Kankakee County."

40th Legislative Day

May 15. 1985

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pangle. Is Representative Pangle here? No, he's not here. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Davis: "I beg your pardon. He's voting 'no', and you'll have a chance to do that when they verify the negative. I beg your pardon. Oh, that's okay. Remove him. I don't care."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vitek is here."

Davis: "Okay."

Speaker McPike: "He was in the nurses station. John, you were not... you were not challenged."

Davis: "We weren't going to verify you. We weren't going to do that."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Braun has returned to the chamber. Mr. Clerk, return Representative Braun to the Roll Call."

Davis: "Yeah, I know. Representative... Representative Steczo."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Steczo was verified."

Davis: "Oh, that's correct. I beg your pardon, Sir. Is

Representative Currie back in the chamber?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Davis: "Yes, indeed. Representative Homer."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Homer was verified."

Davis: "He was, indeed. I beg your pardon. Representative Breslin in the chamber?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, she is."

Davis: "Is Representative Wyvetter Younge in the chamber?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wyvetter Younge. Representative Monroe Flinn is waving in the back."

Davis: "That's not quite good enough. Same county, different sex."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flinn, did you have something to... no. Representative Younge is not in the chamber.

Remove her. Representative Nash has returned. Return him

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

to the Roll Call."

Davis: "That concludes my questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks, for what reason do you rise?"

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker McPike: "Recorded as voting 'no'."

Hicks: "Would you change my vote to 'aye', please?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks from 'no' to 'aye'.

Representative Brookins, you were not challenged. You were here, I know, but you were not challenged. Representative Leverenz would like to change from 'present' to 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, what's the Roll Call? On this Amendment there are 55 'ayes', 54 'nos', 2 voting 'present'. The Amendment's adopted. The Amendment's adopted. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Pangle is back in the chamber, and I did verify him. I want to make sure he's on the Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pangle was removed from the Roll Call."

Davis: "Yes, but you did not recognize me, Mr. Speaker, in a timely fashion. When I'm verifying a 'no' vote, which is my prevailing... or my side, and I... I..."

Speaker McPike: "Excuse me. Excuse me, Mr. Davis. The Chair stands corrected. The Clerk did not remove Representative Pangle from the Roll Call."

Davis: "You did not remove..."

Speaker McPike: "That is correct. The Amendment has been adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Greiman in the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1355. Ms. Satterthwaite? Mr. Clerk,

**40th Legislative Day** 

May 15, 1985

- read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1355, a Bill for an Act to add

  Sections to an Act in relation to the adoption of persons.

  Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Satterthwaite."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite, on Amendment #1."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, during the Committee hearing, there was a question about one phrase in the Bill, and we have removed that phrase in Amendment #1 to House Bill 1355. I would move for adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Champaign moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1355. Is there any discussion?

  The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on Amendment #1."
- Vinson: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Lady will yield... She yields for a question."
- Vinson: "Representative, I don't understand what the Amendment really does. Could you explain that to me?"
- Satterthwaite: "Well, Representative Vinson, in the original Bill there was a phrase 'or place children anywhere other than in the custody of their mothers'. That was language that was adapted from the Public Health and Safety Act and is not really appropriate to this legislation."
- Vinson: "Okay, thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Purther discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted? All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Further Amendment?"

- Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1362. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. No, Mr. Brunsvold... Excuse me. Do you wish... alright. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1362, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of an Act for the regulation of pawn brokers.

  Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Amendment #1."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a technical Amendment which changes larceny to theft as suggested in the Committee. I move for the adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, has moved for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1362. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #! be adopted?" All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 1370. Mr. Clerk, read

  the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1370, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Satterthwaite."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite, on Amendment #1."
- Satterthwaite: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, again, this was an Amendment that was agreed upon in Committee. It changes the notificat... or the time for compliance in the Bill from five days to fifteen working days, which is consistent with the rules and regulations already in existence."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Champaign moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1370. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1377. Read... Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1377, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clark O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 1378. Mr. Clerk, read

  the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1378, a Bill for an Act to amend

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Currie."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Amendment I to House Bill 1378 is a technical correction in
the Illinois Income Tax Act recognizing that financial
institutions that invest in municipal bonds, in fact, pay
taxes in Illinois under the Illinois Income Tax. This
merely permits them to take deductions, appropriate
deductions for the expenses occurred in relation to those
bonds activities. I'm happy to answer questions, and I
urge adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie, has moved for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1378. And on that, is there any discussion? Yes, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

Vinson: "Who would get the benefit of this tax loophole, Representative?"

Currie: "Financial institutions, Representative Vinson. As you're no doubt aware, at the federal level, because these bonds are not taxed, there was a limitation on the amount of money that could be exempted by virtue of having spent the money in order to buy the bonds in the first place. That makes very good sense at the federal level, but these bonds are taxed in the State of Illinois, and ordinarily we permit businesses to deduct business expenses when they are making out their tax returns."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Vinson: "What about the ... "

Currie: "That's all this does. The same... It makes financial institutions fit the same kind of requirements that are available for all other businesses in the State of Illinois."

Vinson: "What about the bonds that are not taxable at the state... level of the State of Illinois. Do they get the exemption then?"

Currie: "My understanding is that this Amendment was drawn so as only to include those bonds upon which the financial institutions are charged... are charged taxes."

Vinson: "So, for instance, IHDA bonds would not be effected by this?"

Currie: "I believe that's right."

Vinson: "Okay. And the people who are getting the benefit of the tax loophole are the big banks and insurance companies?"

Currie: "And the little banks. Just the financial institutions,

I believe. But it would bring them into conformity with
all other business. And as I say, the reason for the Bill
was changes at the federal level that had to do with the
fact that they were not paying income taxes on these bonds
at the federal level."

Vinson: "In the... In the rhetoric of Senator Kennedy, this is a tax expenditure for economic royalists?"

Currie: "Pardon me?"

Vinson: "It's a tax expenditure for economic royalists?"

Currie: "It's just closing up a technical problem in the Illinois
Income Tax Act, Representative Vinson. I'm sure you
support this fine proposal."

Vinson: "Thank you for elucidating who you're giving the tax benefit to."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Woodyard."

Currie: "The word is paralysis, I'm given to understand."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Lady does have a good Amendment. I certainly support its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, quite proper. The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading, On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1424, Mr. Hicks. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1424, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1436, Mr. Levin. Mr.

Levin, 1436. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1436, a Bill for an Act in relation to
the safety of hazardous substances stored and used in
industrial plants. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1440. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1440, a Bill for an Act to... in relation to fire prevention funds in the state treasury.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 1448, Mr. Bullock. Mr.

  Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1448, a Bill for an Act in relation to collective bargaining agreements. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Cullerton."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 1448."
- Cullerton: "Yes, this is a very famous knowingly Amendment. I move for the adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1448. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1468. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1469. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1469, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Wildlife Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. I'm sorry. Yes, Ms. Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Is there some reason that we're not calling
- Speaker Greiman: "1440? 1440 has already been moved to the Order of Third Reading. 1448 has been moved to the Order of Third Reading."
- Satterthwaite: "1440 has been moved to Third..."
- Speaker Greiman: "1440 was moved to the Order of Third Reading."
  Satterthwaite: "Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "We go so quick, Ms. Satterthwaite, you know.

  On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House
  Bill 1475, Mr. Giorgi. Out of the record. On the Order of
  House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1479, Mr.

  LeFlore. Oh, I'm... Yes, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1479, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading
  of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Turner."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Turner, on Floor Amendment #1. Well, we'll see if Mr. Young... Mr. Turner. Alright, we'll come back to that Mr. LeFlore. Take it out of the record for the... for a while. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1528, Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1528, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Second Reading appears House Bill 1529, Mr. Matijevich.

Mr. Matijevich. Out... Out of the... Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 1534, Mr. Shaw. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1534, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1544. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1544, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1552, Mr. Steczo. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1553. Out of the record. Alright. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1556. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk D'Brien: "House Bill 1556, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain credit transactions. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright, just backing up for a moment. On the bottom of page fourteen appears House Bill

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

1475. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1475, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Giorgi, there's a fiscal note been requested that has not been fulfilled, so it will remain on the Order of Second Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1479. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1479, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Turner."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. LeFlore."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, could you table that Amendment #1?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. LeFlore moves to table Amendment #1 to

House Bill 1479. And on that, is there any discussion?

There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be

tabled?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those
opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1552. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

it, and Amendment #1 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1552, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to clerks of court. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Clerk O'Brien: "None."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 1553. Mr. Clerk, read

  the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1553, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, on Floor Amendment #1."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to withdraw Amendment #1, please."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further
  Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, on Floor
  Amendment 2?"
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 is a technical clarifying Amendment, which indicates a change in the language to make the Bill compatible. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Steczo, the Gentleman from Cook, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1553. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Second Reading appears House Bill 1557. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1557, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to installation of smoke alarms. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1564. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill. I'm sorry. Excuse me. I'm sorry. On 1557...

Were you seeking recognition, Mr. Cullerton?"

Cullerton: "Yes. I wonder if Representative Ropp would yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Ropp, as a courtesy to Mr. Cullerton, would you like to yield for a question? Mr. Ropp, Mr. Cullerton has asked if you would answer a question about 1557. He had his light on, and I didn't see his light."

Ropp: "I'd be more than happy to answer one of his questions."

Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Ropp, I just wanted to point out to you that the... the Amendment #1 that was adopted in Committee had technical problems. It needs to... The Bill needs to be amended. It's got an effective date of 1-1-85, and a few other technical problems. So, it's on Third Reading now. If you... Just want to make you aware of the fact that it may need an Amendment, so that you can pull it back to Second for the purpose of an Amendment when we get to it on Third Reading."

Ropp: "I... I appreciate your close scrutiny, and the university will thank you for that. And I'll look into it."

Cullerton: "If you want to send the staff person over, we'll be

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

happy to work on the Amendment for it."

Ropp: "I'll be happy to do that."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of House... I'm sorry, Mr.

Cullerton, I didn't see your light. On the Order of House

Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1564. Mr. Clerk,

read the Bill."

Clerk D'Brien: "House Bill 1564, a Bill for an Act in relation to equipment used for trapping.... for tapping beverages.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1567. Mr. Clerk. Out of
the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading
appears House Bill 1577. Mr. Clerk... Excuse me. Mr.

Steczo, did you want us to proceed on that, 1577. Mr.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1577, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to clerks
of courts. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was
adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, on Amendment #2."

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, would it be possible for me to move back to
Amendment #1 and move to table Amendment #1?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "That would be possible. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, moves to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 1577. Is there any discussion on that? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Steczo."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, on...

  Floor Amendment #2."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 is a technical change which deals with the fees paid with relation to misdemeanors, offenses and municipal ordinances in conservation cases. It corrects an inequity that was created by passage of legislation last year, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1577. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Perhaps we could... you could answer this on Third Reading. But this Bill, as amended then, would apply to traffic cases as well?"
- Steczo: "I believe, Representative Cullerton, if my information is correct, and I will check it for you by the time we get to Third Reading, that it may, in fact, do so. But... it's in relation to legislation that we did pass last year. There has been a problem in terms of the interpretation of that, and the Bill is a Bill that seeks to address and clarify that... that legislation."
- Cullerton: "It also raises a fee, doesn't it?"
- Steczo: "No. The fee was one to three dollars that we passed last year, I believe."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Cullerton: "This does not ... This does not raise..."

Steczo: "It doesn't create any new fee. We created the fee last year. This is just a..."

Cullerton: "... can be used for."

Steczo: "Correct."

Cullerton: "I see. Okay."

Steczo: "And what the county board should do."

Cullerton: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'... I'm sorry, 'Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1589, Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1589, a Bill for an Act in relation to pre-need cemetery sales. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1536. Mr. Steczo, left

us again. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1604. Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We still got a little more work
we're trying to do on this Bill as far as a compromise. I
do have an assurance from the Speaker that it will be
called and be given a fair hearing for all these
Amendments. But at this point, I'd like to take it out of

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

the record until it appears the next time on the Roll Call.

Under the... With the understanding that it'll be called..."

Speaker Greiman: "Out of the record."

Ronan: "...sometime in the near future."

Speaker Greiman: "Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1624, Mr. Flinn. Mr.

Clerk, call... call the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1624, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Liquor Control Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1636. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1636, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Professional Service Corporation Act.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On top of page 16 appears House Bill 1647. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1647, a Bill for an Act concerning the manufacture, sale and display of fireworks. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1663. The Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Ryder, wish that called? Mr. Clerk, call the Bill, 1663."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1663, a Bill for an Act to amend

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Sections of an Act in relation to Agricultural Co-operative
Associations and Societies. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1665. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1665, a Bill for an Act to amend the law concerning public purchases, leases and contracts."

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Panayotovich, there's a fiscal note been requested on the Bill, so it will remain on the Order of Second Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1667. Out of the record. On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 1677, Mr. Countryman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1677, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Pension Code (sic - an Act to
prohibit the solicitation of legal business for
renumeration and to provide a penalty therefore.) Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cullerton."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Floor Amendment #1."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This adds the word knowingly and also

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- changes the... makes technical change by adding attorney at law to... where it's appropriate in the Bill, and it removes the clarifying language."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Giorgi moves for... Oh, Mr. Cullerton I'm sorry moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1677. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Countryman."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't have any problem with the Amendment, but I believe the... the Clerk read this as a Pension Bill, and it's not. And I want to make the record correct."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Clerk, do you wish to read the title again?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1677, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of an Act to prohibit the solicitation of legal
  business for renumeration and to provide a penalty
  therefore. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 on House Bill 1677. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, 'the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
  Second Reading appears House Bill 1694, Mr. Turner. Out of
  the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading
  appears House Bill 1722, Mr. O'Connell. Out of the record.
  On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House
  Bill 1741. Ms. Satterthwaite, 1741. Mr. Clerk, read the
  Bill."
- Clark O'Brien: "House Bill 1741, a Bill for an Act to amend

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #1..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, excuse me. Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "I believe there's an Amendment that's not printed to
  the Bill. It's been offered and not printed, Amendment
  #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, do you wish to take it out of the record,

  Ns. Satterthwaite, on that basis? Alright, out of the
  record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears
  House Bill 1743. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1743, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the Illinois Plumbing License Law. Second
  Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "None."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 1774. Mr. Clerk, read

  the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1774, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second
  Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
  Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Currie."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie, on Amendment #2."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, the Bill itself provides for a program of air permit fees for air quality monitoring in the State of Illinois. Amendment 2

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1995

clarifies some of the language in the Bill that has to do with the fact that we are establishing, by statute, the fees involved rather than through the Pollution Control Board. It's a clarification Amendment. I'm happy to answer questions and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie, moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 1774. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she'll yield for questions."

Cullerton: "Representative Currie, have you affected a... with regard to this Bill?"

Currie: "It is my understanding, Representative Cullerton, that
this Amendment... this Bill is a... between the
environmentalists, the State Chamber of Commerce, the
Manufacturers Association and the people of the great State
of Illinois."

Cullerton: "You've been waiting to do that for three years now.

That's a very good..."

Currie: "Thank you, Representative. And a hell of a program if I may say."

Cullerton: "Program."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, on Amendment #2."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield."

Currie: "Indicates she'll yield for questions?"

McCracken: "The original language which this Amendment changes is in conflict with. Right? You're seeking to delete that phrase and to insert the phrase, 'fees which are different'."

Currie: "That's right."

McCracken: "Okay. I'm... My analysis says that this would prohibit the board from adopting permit fees for water

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

pollution sources. And I don't know if that's correct, but I'd ask you to address that."

Currie: "I believe it is not correct. The intention of the Bill, with Amendment 1, was to say that as we were statutorily defining fees for the ear monitoring program to that extent, the board would be precluded from changing the fee schedule set by the Assembly. It certainly was not the intention to preclude the board from other opportunities under other provisions of the Environmental Protection Act... Act to establish fees. And I believe that the Amendment clarifies that when... that if the statute becomes law, then with respect to the air permit program, the board may not offer fees that are different from those enacted by statute."

McCracken: "Okay. I'm told..."

Currie: "But my understanding is it only includes fees set by this Assembly for this program rather than other programs under the Environmental Protection Act."

McCracken: "Okay. I'm... I'm... I have the staff person here who listened to you and says that we still have a problem. I wonder if we could take it out briefly, resolve it and get right back to it."

Currie: "Sure."

McCracken: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "1774 be out of the record. We'll get back to it. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1778. Mr. Clerk. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1800, Mr. Bowman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1800, a Bill for an Act in relationship to certain infrastructure, research and technology development grants. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Bowman, amends House Bill 1800."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Floor
  Amendment #2."
- Bowman: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, DeJaegher, amends Rouse Bill 1800."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. DeJaegher, on Amendment #3."
- DeJaegher: "Leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #3, please."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further
  Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Hawkinson, amends House Bill 1900 as amended."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. Hawkinson, on Amendment #4. Yes, Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "I don't believe it's been printed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bowman, the Amendment has not been printed and distributed. Would you take the Bill out of the record? Yes, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "I would just point out that since we have withdrawn a couple of Amendments that Amendment 4 may be out of order when it's... when it is printed and distributed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, we... we'll have to get to... Well, we can look at it but..."
- Bowman: "Okay. Let me..."
- Speaker Greiman: "...it appears that the Bill (sic -

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Amendment)... is not on the floor of the Members... or the desks of the Members..."

Bowman: "Okay. Let me take it out for a second. If it appears that the Amendment, upon examination, is out of order..."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, we can look at that... We can look at that now. Let's look..."

Bowman: "I would like... I would like the Clerk to move the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk. Yes, Mr. Bowman, you're correct.

Amendment... Mr. Hawkinson, Amendment #4 is out of order.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1814, Mr. Hastert. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1828. Mr. Steczo, 1828. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1841, Mr. McCracken. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1847, Ms. Currie. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1847, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Davis, amends House Bill 1847."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think you all might want to pay close attention to this Amendment. It's an interesting Amendment. It was a Bill. In a rare departure for me, I have filed this Amendment to Representative Currie's Bill, but I think it's a Amendment that need to be adopted. It was a Bill. It was defeated in a Revenue Subcommittee on a

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Nevertheless, in researching the statutes, I 3 to 2 vote. find that in the state statutes there is a statewide property tax provision for the Common School Fund and a statewide property tax provision for the General Amendment attached to Representative Currie's Bill would make her Bill a lot better by simply repealing those two provisions. I seriously doubt if we should leave that kind of temptation on property taxes to be levied statewide in the State of Illinois on the statute books so this General Assembly could even possibly or remotely consider the notion that a statewide property tax would levied in Illinois for any purpose. Now the Bill was killed in that Revenue Subcommittee because there was a who was looking at the notion that this year we should look at a statewide property tax to fund schools. think that's a particularly bad idea, going exactly degrees out. So if you adopt this Amendment, Ladies and Gentlemen, and there were, I think, 34 Cosponsors to Bill originally, if you adopt this Amendment, what you will have done is to remove from the state statutes the property tax... statewide levy property tax provisions so that the temptation will be removed from in front of the children in the candy store. I think that's what we ought to ought to vote affirmatively on this... on this Amendment. And I think we should have a Roll Call vote. Mr. Speaker, please."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1847. Is there any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie, on Amendment 18... on Amendment #1."

Currie: "Repre... Questions of the Sponsor, please."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman indicates he'll yield for questions."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Currie: "The provisions here, Representative Davis, are the same as those in House Bill 1347. Is that right?"

Davis: "That's essentially correct, Representative Currie."

Currie: "And that Bill... that Bill was killed in the House

Revenue Committee. Is that right?"

Davis: "On a 3 to 2 vote, Representative Currie."

Currie: "And my understanding is that you've also filed the same
Amendment, the same Bill, the provisions of which were
killed in Committee, the same proposals on several other
Bills amending the Revenue statute. Is that right,
Representative Davis?"

Davis: "Well, one... one never knows..."

Currie: "It seems to me I've seen at least... Just answer the question, please."

Davis: "I'm trying to answer the question, Representative Currie, if you'd give me a minute."

Currie: "Was it 'yes' or 'no'?"

Davis: "One never knows what... what Bills will be called. Yes, it's been filed to three different Bills."

Currie: "Well, may I suggest to the Sponsor and to the Members of this House that he pursue his intentions on some other Bill. Certainly among those many Bills upon which he has attached this Amendment he will find a Sponsor friendly to the proposal. Unfortunately this Sponsor is not. I am not comfortable with his proposal, both because I think it makes this Bill more controversial and primarily because it is my understanding from the Illinois Department of Revenue that the fact of that language that he wishes to take out of the statutes is there means that some bonds which have been let by the State of Illinois would suddenly come into question were that language removed. According to the Department of Revenue, we cannot take this language out of the statutes now, even though we have no immediate

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

intention of actually applying any kind of tax under that language because there are bonds outstanding that in rely upon the fact of that language in our statute books. It is a custom in this House to permit a Sponsor to have a Bill in shape, the shape the Sponsor chooses, by the time that Bill comes to Third Reading. The Sponsor of this Amendment has offered the same Amendment on a variety other Bills. It seems to me fair, and in keeping with the traditions of this Assembly, to defeat Representative Davis\* Amendment with respect to House Bill 1847 and, perhaps, give him an opportunity to pursue it in some other fashion. Both for the reason that I think this Amendment is not one that we can give in bonding... sensibly adopt and for the reason that the Bill, the underlying Bill. quite different from the proposal in Amendment 1 to House Bill 1847, I would ask the Members of this Assembly defeat the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Mr.

Davis to close."

Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I... it's a common practice, to identify Bills that ... that actually you can make them better. I'm really shocked to find that Representative Currie does not like the idea: and. therefore, probably likes the idea of statewide property I don't think the people of Illinois like property taxes at all in any way, shape or form. And for provision to be sitting in the statutes, available for this General Assembly, without the scrutiny of enacting that particular legislation, I think it should be repealed forthwith. And let me say to Representative Currie that to knowledge, and we worked on this Bill a long time - the staff did with the Department of Revenue - I personally did, to my knowledge there is absolutely no reason why this

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

should not be repealed. There are no outstanding bonds that are secured by statewide property tax revenues or the prospectus indicate in those bonding obligations that that is a possibility. There is a move afoot, Ladies and Gentlemen, to invoke a statewide property tax in the State of Illinois. Now, you won't want to vote for that when it gets to the floor, and you certainly won't... won't want to vote on this Roll Call vote to keep that provision in the statute as it currently exists. Ladies and Gentlemen, this repeals the statewide property tax levy from the statute of the State of Illinois, and it's a good vote for you back home. And I move for the passage of Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Yes, I'm sorry.

Yes, Mr. Bowman to explain his vote."

Bowman: "Well, I'd just like to ... to point out to the ... the Membership that earlier today we had a major battle on the floor over an Amendment that the Sponsor did not wish have on his Bill. And there appeared, at that time, to be a considerable amount of sentiment on the floor not to... to put such an Amendment on. That being the case, I would merely appeal to the same Members, who felt that way at the that they should reject this Amendment. Unfortunately, this Amendment is a political Roll Call. Representative Davis has no purpose to be served Amendment, except to get a Roll Call, and... and I this think that is regrettable. He should have done it discharge Motion or some other... some other fashion than trying to mess up somebody elses Bill. So now that you've got your Roll Call, Representative Davis, I hope you won't call your other Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, excuse me. Mr. McGann to explain his

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- vote. Yes, Mr. Davis, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Davis: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. My intentions and motivations are in question from the last speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Now, Mr. Davis, we'll let you explain your vote. We'll let you explain your vote. I'll call on you.

  I just called on Mr. McGann. Mr. McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. This Amendment #1 to House Bill 1847 appeared as a Bill before the Real Estate Subcommittee in Revenue. It had a thorough hearing. The Department of Revenue was opposed to it, I believe, and I do believe that in as much as we do support the Committee structure we should not be putting too many green votes on this. We should be putting a lot of red votes. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, to explain his vote."
- Cullerton: "I just wanted to give a little background. Apparently these Sections of the statutes, which he wishes to repeal with this Amendment, were imposed about 40 years They haven't been since. Apparently there have been ago. bonds that have been sold based on the... existence of this statute being on the books, even though it's not being used. And so by removing the... the Sections, it may be impairing those bonds that ... that have been issued by the state. So we may have some... pretty convinced that there'd be some constitutional problems with impairment of contract imposed if we were to pass this Amendment. Of course, the Sponsor of the Bill has indicated she's opposed to the Amendment, and this will not become law anyway because she'll have to table her... her Bill. So it's probably a good reason to vote "no"."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Davis to explain his vote."

Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully disagree with the last speaker. The Department of Revenue has indicated that bond companies consider these two provisions a fail-safe mechanism in the event... in the event, in future bond sales, that all else fails that they can turn to this particular mechanism. And if that is the case, we can reimpose this particular mechanism if it's necessary. the best of my knowledge, to the best of my conversations with the Department of Revenue, there are no outstanding bonds that are backed by this provision in the statutes. To the former speaker who questioned my motivation and intent, I have had this Bill in front of the Legislature two years now. ΜV intention is to remove 'temptatious' and vexatious items from the statutes. It is a political Roll Call. It is not a political vote. Vote any way you want to vote, but if you want to leave these in there then you're tampering with fire."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 80 voting 'aye', 31 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright, we will return, as agreed, to House Bill 1774. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill, 1774."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1774 has been read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #2, Currie, amends House Bill 1774 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie, on Floor Amendment #2."

Currie: "I believe Representative Koehler has a question to

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- address to the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Ms. Koehler, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a question for the Sponsor of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, let her... let her move to adopt

  Amendment #2."
- Currie: "Yes, I move to adopt Amendment 2. We were in discussion of it earlier."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Currie, moves to adopt
  Amendment #2 to House Bill 1774. Is there any discussion?
  The Lady from Cook, Ms... Marshall, Ms. Koehler."
- Koebler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you. Representative Currie, for giving as time to clear up any problems that we have with the language in this Amendment. And while we do have some reservations as to whether or not this does indeed cause a conflict for the board in adopting regulations, we understand that the board has proposed this particular language and has agreed to it, and that the Agency has agreed and most of the interested parties have agreed to this. But I think it is important to ask whether or not it is your intention to... to prevent the board from adopting any type of fees effecting pollution sources not mentioned in this Act, for example, water pollution sources."
- Currie: "Absolutely not. The intent of this Amendment, the intent of the Act is to say that the board may not change the fee structure for the air permit program imposed by this statute, the statute in House Bill 1774. It is not the intent of either this Amendment or the underlying Act to have anything to say about the board's authority to impose other kinds of fees for other kinds of programs authorized in the Environmental Protection Act."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Koehler: "Thank you very much, and we withdraw any objection."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes'... the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. We'll just go back and pick up a couple of Bills that we have passed over. On page 13 of the... of the Calendar appears House Bill 1263. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1263, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. And on the same Order appears
House Bill 1262. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1262, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workers' Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Vinson, amends House Bill 1262."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 1262. Yes, Mr. McPike, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McPike: "Is the Gentleman on the floor?"

Speaker Greiman: "He is not on the floor."

McPike: "I'd move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McPike... Mr. McPike moves to table
Amendment #1 to House Bill 1262. And on that, is there any
discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Piel: "Thank you, Nr. Speaker. I would ask the Gentleman to, you know, to, you know, sort of reconsider his request, and I'll tell you why. You know, we're sitting here going through a normal progression of Bills, and all of a sudden we jump back to a Bill. A person sits here and looks at the Calendar, and they step off the floor for a couple of seconds, seeing they... they don't have anything coming up, and all of a sudden they jump back to a Bill four pages beforehand. You know, we're all sitting here waiting for our Bills to be called, and I'd just ask the Gentleman to wait."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, I would renew my Motion to table the Amendment. The Gentleman's not on the floor."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is tabled. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Now, we're back on... Alright, on... still picking up some Bills we passed over on page 16. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1814. Mr. Hastert, 1814. No. out of the record. I'm sorry. Alright, then on page 17 of the Calendar appears House Bill Second Reading House Bill 1849. Currie. Out of the record. 1850, Ms. Currie. Out of the record. On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 1869, Mr. McGann. Out of the record. 1871, Mr. Curran. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1871, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1884, Mr. Vinson. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1885. Out of the record. Never see those Sponsors again. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1900. Mr. Keane, 1900. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1902. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1903. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1903, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to fee disclosure. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1918, Mr. Davis. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1922, Mr. Vinson. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1926, Mr. Johnson. 1926. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1926, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1931. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1931, a Bill for an Act to amend an

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Act... amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Ryder - Harris, amends House
Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Ryder. Mr. Shaw, would you come to the podium?"

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, we've worked out an agreement on this.

Representative Cullerton had some questions yesterday. Are

we okay? His staff prepared an Amendment which we
submitted, and so I would ask for the passage."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, the Gentleman moves for Amendment...

for passage of... for adoption of Amendment #2 to House

Bill 1931. On that, is there any discussion? Mr.

Cullerton. Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "There... There are three Amendments proposed. We're on Amendment #2 now. Now, I want to find out from the Sponsor whether he wishes to go with Amendment #2 or Amendment #3."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "You now have Amendment #3, Representative Cullerton?"

Cullerton: "Yes."

Ryder: "Okay. Fine. Then I move to table #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright... asks to withdraw Amendment #2.

Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clark Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Harris - Ryder, amends House Bill 1931."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "This is the Amendment that we worked out on both sides of the aisle. It was drafted by the staff at the request of Representative Cullerton. I believe he's now in agreement

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

with it, and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jersey moves for the adoption of Amendment 3 to House Bill 1931. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1950. Mr. Clerk, read...
Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1963. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1963, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clark Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Countryman, amends House Bill 1963..."

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. Who was the Sponsor, Sir?"

Clerk Leone: "Countryman."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Countryman, the Gentleman from... DeKalb, on Amendment 2."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment \$2 clarifies one of the Subsections of this Bill, which says that reasonable sums of money may be retained and uninvested by representative in order to pay the current and eminent expenses of the ward and shall not be considered wrongfully and negligently uninvested. This is language which, after reviewing the Bill, I thought

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

would be appropriate in light of the provisions which could require a penalty in the Bill in the event the sums were not invested. It's my understanding this is the current status of law, and it just codifies it. And I'd ask for a favorable vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 1963. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clark Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1981. Mr. Clerk, read
the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1981, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Wojcik, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Lake... The Lady from Cook, Ms.

Wojcik."

Mojcik: "Yes, Mr. Chairman (sic - Speaker) and Members of the House, what this Amendment does, it provides that a sponsored alien will not be considered ineligible in cases where the sponsor defaults on a promise of support within three years. It allows the Department of Public Aid on the local government unit to contact the Immigration and Naturalization Service in cases where the sponsor has defaulted and seek to enforce the promise of support. This Bill has come to me from Schaumburg Township, and they are having a problem with the aliens, where the sponsors are having them come into the country and they just let them

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

go. And so that is the reason that we've got that the Amendment - is to clarify the wording. I move for its passage."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1981. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Lady yield..."

Wojcik: "Yes."

Cullerton: "...for a question? Your Amendment attempts to clarify that a legal alien is not eligible for General Assistance for a period of what - three years after entry?"

Wojcik: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Unless the sponsor refuses to provide support?"

Wojcik: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Now, what level of support must the sponsor provide?"
Wojcik: "In what respect, Representative?"

Cullerton: "Well, let's... Just give me a second to look at the Bill. Jim."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion?"

Cullerton: "Yes, I have a question if you'd just give me a..."

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. Proceed, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Okay. I'm talking about the Bill on page two, line 22, and it refers to the promise of financial support of a private sponsor. And what... what level of support does that sponsor have to provide? What do you have in mind there?"

Wojcik: "When... Okay. When a... When a sponsor sponsors an alien, he states that he will fully support the alien for three years."

Cullerton: "What does that mean?"

Wojcik: "Clothing, shelter, food, whatever he would need, he would support him, with the exception, I guess, if the man would, you know, have a job. But he is taking on the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

obligation of taking care of him for three years. What is happening is the sponsors are bringing them here and then they are defaulting on their promises. So what we want to do is we want to enforce the promise."

Cullerton: "Okay. Alright. But the problem is... My question would be, what if they, the sponsors, say, 'Well, I provided free rent, but... I provided free rent, but I don't provide... I'm not going to feed them.' Would that be providing some support or not?"

Wojcik: "I would say you would have to provide full support.

You'd have to feed him, clothe him, shelter him and take

care of him. That is what you're agreeing to."

Cullerton: "Okay. Fine. I just wanted to get it in the record what it is that you intend to do with the Bill."

Wojcik: "Okay."

Cullerton: "Thank you."

Wojcik: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, are you still speaking?"

Cullerton: "Well, I think we can, perhaps, asks some more questions on Third Reading if some more questions develop."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Alright, fine. Further discussion? The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

Wojcik: "Yes."

Cowlishaw: "If I understand this correctly, what you are attempting to provide is that should an illegal alien be admitted to this country on the basis of there being a sponsor who is willing to take over financial responsibility for that individual, the sponsor then fails to do so, that your measure would provide that then the state and/or township or whatever general assistance

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

provisions were in effect, that in fact, public aid would be provided to this alien. Is that correct?"

Wojcik: "Yes."

Cowlishaw: "Why don't we just send them home?"

Wojcik: "They have a right to come to the land of the free,
Representative."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, further discussion? The Lady from Cook,

Ms. Wojcik, to close."

Wojcik: "I would just ask for a favorable passage. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #! be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, on the top of page 18, appears House Bill 1999, Ms. Currie. 19... Out of the record. Appears... Appears House Bill 2009, Ms. Parcells. 2009, out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2032, Mr. Tate. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2034, Mr. Peterson. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2034, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 2036. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 2040. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2040, a Bill for an Act to amend the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Business Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2062. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2062, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 2100. Mr. Clerk, read
the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2100, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2106. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill... On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2132. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2132, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Purchasing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "floor Amendment #1, Countryman, amends House Bill 2132."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Countryman, on Amendment #1."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 to House Bill 2132 provides that purchases and contracts for office equipment associated supplies when utilizing the federal general services administration contracts or pricing or when such contracts or pricing result in an economical advantage to the state would be exempt from the Purchasing Act. What in essence it means is that the ... Office ... Department of Central Management Services can look at the federal GSA prices and see if they can obtain the same quotes if those quotes are advantageous to the State of Illinois and use those lower quotes in that instance. And I would ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeKalb has moved for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 2132. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Representative Countryman, I wasn't going to ask any questions on this, but I... I thought I denoted a little change in the tone of your voice with regard to this Amendment kind of a little lower tone, to be talking a little slower, not quite as audible as you normally would.

  And so I think I might... I might have to ask you a question."
- Countryman: "Well, would it... would it help you to tell you that
  I haven't been feeling well for about the last 20 minutes?"

  Cullerton: "The last 20 minutes? It just started... Since you saw this Amendment or... This... Now, I'm not... I'm just curious. This exempts from competitive bidding purchases

Countryman: "That's right."

Cullerton: "And maybe you could explain how the state would save money by exempting it from competitive bidding. Give me a

of office equipment. Is that correct?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

scenario of how... an example of how the state could save money.  $^{\rm n}$ 

Countryman: "Alright, let me use typewriters for an example, if I might. There are several manufacturers of typewriters, IBM being one and other types. The Federal Government deals with these people usually on a fairly low priced basis. And the Federal Government, through the General Services Administration, obtains the ... the lowest price that they possibly can. They have kind of an open order. they could order 500 IBM typewriters. They could order one IBM typewriter. They do it with all the various companies. So... So, in essence, they would then have the ... when this pricing is favorable to us - that is when it's lower price than we could get otherwise - it would save Central Management Services from having to bid every state agency that comes in and is looking to buy IBM typewriters. ΙĒ they came in and said, 'We need one IBM typewriter.' Thev would have to set up the bidding specifications, have people submit the bidding price. My understanding is that when they get to these federal GAS (sic - GSA) contracts that most suppliers will supply at those levels, and they could go to a regional supplier in that area and that will supply at these levels. It can be done ... The agency can request that they want a different type of typewriter, that typewriter has a GSA contract on it or the specifications for it, then they will use that pricing. This will only apply if that pricing results in an economic advantage to the State of Illinois."

Cullerton: "Was this... Was this Amendment ever the subject matter of a Bill?"

Countryman: "Yes, it was."

Cullerton: "What was that Bill number?"

Countryman: "House Bill 1942."

40th Legislative Day May 15, 1985

Cullerton: "And where is that?"

Countryman: "The Bill is in the Interim Study Calendar - the State Government Review Board."

- Cullerton: "And do you know what happened to the Bill in Committee?"
- Countryman: "It did not receive enough votes to come out of Committee."
- Cullerton: "Oh, yeah, I see there's something about this. Now, I still haven't figured out why I should be against it, but why just office equipment and supplies?"
- Countryman: "Because in those particular areas, I think, IBM typewriters, dictating equipment, those sorts of things, there are lot... a large number of quantities offered. The Department of Central Management Services spends a lot of time having to bid these things, and they've had a reduction in personnel and indicate that it would improve the efficiency of their office by not having to bid these things. And they feel they can get a better pricing structure this way. It's a good Bill... It's a good Amendment."
- Cullerton: "Okay. Well, like I say, I... I still haven't figured out why I should be against it, so I'm not going to oppose But I think that Representative Ryder the Amendment. should know that it's very possible that we may oppose this Bill, as amended, on Third Reading, once we figure out what ... what it really does. And so. Representative Ryder might want to give an opinion as to whether he's in favor or opposed to the Amendment. definitely hope you feel better, and I... I think that you've got to watch your voice. I'm very good at, you know, hearing voices. That's how I can do impersonations, and I did denote a little bit of ... not a mumbling, but a little bit lower tone, you know, like hoping that nobody

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

asked any questions about this. So I think you'd be... you've got to disguise your voice a little bit better."

Speaker Greiman: "Further... Further discussion? The Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Aside from trying to disguise my voice so that

Representative Cullerton may not think that I'm attempting

to do something that he might find suspicious or whatever,

I am willing to welcome the Amendment and accept it."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb,

Mr. Countryman, to close briefly."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I... I did note that your voice changed a little bit. Sometimes these mikes don't always work well, and I think Mr. Cullerton misconstrued these things. This is a good Amendment, and I'm certain he'll find good reasons to vote for it on..."

Speaker Greiman: "In my case, it's puberty. Alright."

Countryman: "And I'd move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Well, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr... Yes, Mr... Yes, Mr...

McCracken: "Verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Mr..."

Unknown: "Come on, you guys. Beat it on Third Reading. Let...

Let the Amendment on."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken."

Unknown: "Beat it on Third Reading."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken, if you're explaining your vote fine."

McCracken: "I want a verification."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "If you're asking for a verification, you'll get it. Alright. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 52 voting 'aye', 60 voting 'no', ? voting 'present'. And the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, asks for a verification of the negative vote. Yes, Mr. Clerk, verify the negative Roll Call."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Verification of the Negative. Alexander.

  Berrios. Bowman. Brookins."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Mr. McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- McCracken: "I'm sorry to interrupt. I wanted to ask for a Poll of Absentees as well. I'm sorry."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, they're... they're here in the room if they're here in the room. You didn't ask for it. Mr. Clerk, continue. Proceed with the verification of the Negative Roll Call."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Brookins. Brunsvold. Bullock. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. Deleo-Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Greiman. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Huff. Keane. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Mulcahey. Nash. O'Connell. Panayotovich. Pangle. Phelps. Preston. Rea. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Soliz. Turner. Vitek. Washington. White. Anthony Young, and Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken, questions of the Negative Roll Call."
- McCracken: "Yes, Sir. Representative Laurino."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Laurino is, of course, at his desk."
- McCracken: "Representative Panayotovich."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Panayotovich. Mr. Panayotovich in the

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

chamber. How is Mr. Panayotovich voted?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Panayotovich from the Roll."

McCracken: "Representative Shaw."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Shaw. Mr. Shaw in the chamber? How is Mr.

Shaw recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Shaw."

McCracken: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Giglio. Mr. Giglio in the

chamber? How is Mr. Giglio recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Giglio."

McCracken: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Farley. Mr. Farley in the chamber? How is

Mr. Farley recorded?"

Clark O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Farley."

McCracken: "Representative Keane."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Keane. How is Mr. Keane recorded? Mr.

Keane in the chambers? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Keane."

McCracken: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr... Pardon... Mr. Roman is in the back of the

chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Washington."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Washington. How is Representative... Is

Representative Washington in the chamber?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. Who did you ask?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

McCracken: "Representative Washington,"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Washington. How is Mr. Washington..."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Washington."

McCracken: "Representative Bullock."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bullock. Is... Mr. Bullock is right there at his seat in the well."

McCracken: "Oh, I'm sorry. Representative Flowers."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Flowers is right there at her desk."

McCracken: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Preston. Is Mr. Preston in the chamber?

Mr. Preston. How is Mr. Preston recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Preston."

McCracken: "Representative Krska."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Krska. Mr. Krska is not voting."

McCracken: "No vote. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Representative
Wyvetter Younge?"

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Younge. Is Ms. Younge in the chamber? Ms. Younge. How is Ms. Younge voted?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's not recorded as voting."

Speaker Greiman: "She did not vote Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Do we challenge the Majority Leader, or is he not..."

Speaker Greiman: "No, I don't think you want to challenge the Majority Leader."

McCracken: "Okay. Representative Wolf."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Wolf is in his seat. Yes, Mr. Wolf. Mr. Wolf is 'no'. Mr. Wolf votes 'no'. He did not vote. He now votes 'no'."

McCracken: "Is this becoming counterproductive?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yeah, I think so. You should have quit when you were behind."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- McCracken: "Okay. I'm done. I can take the hint. I'm done."
- Speaker Greiman: "On this question, there are 52 voting 'aye', 54 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails.

  Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2138. Nr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2138, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Corporate Take-Over Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Churchill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill, on Amendment 1."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 deletes all the language in the original corporate take-over Bill and inserts new language that requires a higher vote in situations where corporations are sought to be taken over. It establishes a fairness in pricing so that all the shareholders are offered the same price, and it establishes a payment for the shares in the same form so that you don't have the minority shareholders ending up with a different form of payment than the majority shareholders. I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "I believe there's a second Amendment that's been offered that's not been printed. I wonder if we could verify that, and if that's the case have... to have it taken out of the record."
- Churchill: "I'm sorry."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Cullerton: "Second Amendment is not printed."

Churchill: "That's correct. This should be deleted. There's a second Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there..."

Churchill: "Move to withdraw Amendment #1."

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Churchill, Amendment #2 has not been printed. Do you wish to withdraw Amendment #1? And we'll... Alright. The Bill will then stay on the Order of Second Reading and be out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 215... Yes, Mr. Churchill. Alright, let's see, Mr. Churchill, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Churchill: "Has Amendment #2 been printed and distributed? I believe it has."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Churchill, perhaps you can tell us what the LRB number is according to your Amendment #2."
- Churchill: "I'm working off a copy of Amendment #1. Is Amendment #2... Here. Amendment #2 is LRB 8403623JSJWAM01."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, I'm not sure which one it is, so we'll just take it out of the record, Mr. Churchill. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2158, Mr. Berrios. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 2158, Mr... I'm sorry. Yes, 2158, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2158, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Berrios."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Amendment 1."

Berrios: "I'd like to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "Wish to withdraw?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Berrios: "Leave... Leave to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, #1... Amendment 1 will be withdrawn.

  Purther Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Berrios."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from... from Cook, Mr. Berrios,
  Amendment 2."
- Berrios: "Okay, Amendment 2 merely puts the Bill in order. It provides the... how the Auditor General is supposed to audit the dropout statistics. And it's to report to the Governor and the General Assembly, and it defines 'dropout'."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2158. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favors 'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2160. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2160, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment..."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Yes, Mr. Berrios, did we act on Amendment #1 according to you, your records? We did? Mr. Berrios, please. Yes, Mr. Berrios."

Berrios: "Yes, it was submitted, and it has been passed out."

Speaker Greiman: "It was adopted you're saying?"

Berrios: "No, it hasn't been."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "It was withdrawn."

Berrios: "We're going to hear it now."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, Amendment #1 lost?"

Berrios: "No, it hasn't. We want to put it on the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, we... we are trying to find Amendment...

if there's an Amendment #1. We have an Amendment 2."

Berrios: "There was..."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Berrios."

Berrios: "This was the Amendment that I came up to the well.

They had put Amendment 2 on it. It's actually 1, because there wasn't 1. They had corrected it on their records supposedly."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. We'll just stand at ease for a minute while the Clerk figures out what's Amendment 1 and what's Amendment 2. Yeah, Mr. Berrios, we're going to take this Bill out of the record with your kind permission and get back to that hopefully... before the Order of 'Berrios' is through. If not, certainly tomorrow. But... So, on the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 2162. Mr. Clerk, read that Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2162, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Berrios..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Amendment #1."

Berrios: "Simply, Amendment #1 just changes the Bill because some of the Committee Members brought out the fact that if the Bill had gone through in its current status they wouldn't have been able to have ROTC and a couple of the other things. It just makes a technical change in the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, moves for

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2162. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I just wanted to rise in support of this Amendment. And I think that Representative Berrios should... should keep in mind on Third Reading that he should make sure that he reminds the Members of the House that the lawyer from the National Rifle Association actually drafted this Amendment, so this Bill has the approval of the National Rifle Association. It's very important."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 30... 2165. Mr. Clerk,

  read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2165, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Berrios."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Amendment #1."
- Berrios: "Amendment #1 merely provides that counselors to be provided on a 250 to 1 ratio in the Chicago School System."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2165. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Adams,

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he'll yield for a question."

Mays: "Your Section 19 is just of the Amendment that we've got, and all I see here is, by 1990 to employ a sufficient number of certified school counselors to maintain a student/counselor ratio of 250 to 1. What's the current ratio?"

Berrios: "About... In the Chicago School System, anywhere from 750 to 1 to 300 to 1."

Mays: "Will the state then be required to pick up the cost for the additional counselors?"

Berrios: "No."

Mays: "Why not?"

Berrios: "Chicago Board of Education would be... would have to pick up the cost."

Mays: "Even though we're mandating that they reduce it to this level? Okay."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I reluctantly rise in opposition to the Amendment that the Gentleman is proposing. The Amendment, as I understand it, was the substance of another Bill that was tabled in Committee, and for that reason, I think that it would be inappropriate for us to be superseding the action of the Committee in regard to this issue."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, she'll yield for a question."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Cowlishaw: "Representative Berrios, is it correct that this Amendment and, therefore, the Bill applies only to the City of Chicago schools?"

Berrios: "Yes."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, to close."

Berrios: "Just ask for a favorable response."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Berrios."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Floor Amendment #2."

Berrios: "Speaker..."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Sir."

Berrios: "We were actually speaking on Amendment 2. I was supposed to withdraw 1. Can we..."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Alright. Let's do this. We have adopted Amendment #1, is that right? Alright. Mr. Berrios moves..."

Berrios: "Table 1."

Speaker Greiman: "... to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 2165.

Does the Gentleman have leave? Gentleman has leave to
table Amendment 2165, and that Amendment is tabled. Now,

Mr. Clerk, further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Berrios."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Amendment #2. Mr. Berrios moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2065. And on that, is there any discussion?

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- 2165. There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Berrios."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Amendment #3."
- Berrios: "Amendment #3 merely provides counseling days within the
  City of Chicago. It's to set up five special career
  counseling days for students and parents."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "I believe that the Amendment is out of order. And I know that the Sponsor is going to try to clean it up. Amendment #3 makes references to House Amendment #1 which was tabled."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 appears to be out of order. So

  Amendment #3 is ruled out of order. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Berrios."

- Berrios: "Like to hold this one on Second Reading till we get..."

  Speaker Greiman: "Alright. With leave of the House, the Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2166.

  Yes, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2166, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Berrios."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Amendment #1."
- Berrios: "This authorizes the Board of Education of the City of Chicago to provide night school, summer school and after school activities."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, moves for adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2166. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Is there a cost with this Amendment? Is there a price tag with this Amendment?"
- Berrios: "The Bill makes it permissive, and it allows the Chicago Board of Education to charge for summer school and night school, unless the student is indigent."
- Hastert: "So, it allows the school board... did you say school board, Representative? Allows the school board to charge for tuition, correctly... correct? And so there's no cost?"
- Berrios: "Unless the student is indigent?"
- Hastert: "They can waive the cost? Alright, if they waive the cost, is that a mandate... does the State Mandates apply?"
- Berrios: "No. It's permissive. They can do it. They don't have to."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 2167. Mr. Clerk, read

  the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2167, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Now, Mr. Clerk, on the Order of
  House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2160. Would
  you read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2160, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Berrios."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, on Floor Amendment #1."
- Berrios: "Amendment #1 simply changes the appointment process in the Bill. And it makes it... advisory councils in each district in the City of Chicago."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berrios, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2160. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2175. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2182. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2187. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2187, a Bill for an Act to create an

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Office of Urban Assistance and to define its powers and duties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was withdrawn previously. No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Fiscal note has been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the top of page 19 on the Calendar on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears
House Bill 2195. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill"

Clark O'Brien: "House Bill 2195, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Didrickson."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson, on Floor Amendment #1."

Didrickson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #1

deals with the dismissal procedure regarding teachers and

it states that whereby a teacher who has more experience in

the grade level or is more qualified with regards to the

subject matter shall be retained and given preference over

the teacher who may have more experience. It also provides

for board approval of this, and there's a proviso in here,

unless the sequence for seniority is established by the

local collective bargaining agreement."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson, has moved for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 2195. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, now, Representative Didrickson, when you..."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Lady indicates she'll yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Thank you. Will the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "She indicates she will"

Didrickson: "Yes, I will."

Cullerton: "This Bill, 2195, started out as somewhat innocuous, you might say, correct?"

Didrickson: "Correct."

Cullerton: "Dealing with sabaticals. Now, the Amendment... First of all, was the Amendment ever a subject matter of another House Bill?"

Didrickson: "No, it wasn't."

Cullerton: "So this has not at the scrutiny of the Committee structure applied to it?"

Didrickson: "Correct. It's a good Amendment, though. We've done this periodically all day long."

Cullerton: "Right. Now I just was curious if there was any registered opposition to this particular Amendment. Was it ever a Bill before in a previous life?"

Didrickson: "No, it wasn't, and I know of no opposition to it."

Cullerton: "Okay. Is there any ... "

Didrickson: "It just provides that we retain those teachers who are best qualified in a mechanism to keep those teachers within the system, professional teachers."

Cullerton: "Right. I'm just curious. Do you know of any of the unions, teaching unions, the IEA and those others, would they be for this or opposed to this?"

Didrickson: "They have contacted me on other Amendments for other Bills today, but not on this one."

Cullerton: "Okay. Well..."

Didrickson: "We've had a running dialogue all day."

Cullerton: "And they haven't indicated their opposition to this Amendment?"

Didrickson: "I'm not aware of any."

40th Legislative Day May 15, 1985

Cullerton: "And it's their job to know what the Amendments do

Didrickson: "I would say so."

Cullerton: "And this has been printed and distributed?"

Didrickson: "Yes, it has..."

Cullerton: "And it's up in the Bill Room."

Didrickson: "... for two days."

Cullerton: "It's up in the Bill Room if they want to read it."

Didrickson: "Indeed, They must think it's a good Amendment."

Cullerton: "And they haven't told anybody they're opposed to it, then it should go on."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Representative."

Cullerton: "Wait a minute. They have just registered their opposition."

Didrickson: "From up in the gallery?"

Cullerton: "Well, they're off the floor, but the door is open.

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the

It doesn't mean it's not going to pass. It's your Bill."

question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Didrickson."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson, on Ploor
Amendment #2."

Didrickson: "Yes, this is another little Amendment added onto this Bill with regards to granting of tenure and eliminating the two year granting for after the first two school terms of tenure and in placing five years for the granting of tenure."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2195. And on that, is there any

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

discussion? Yes, the Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask the Lady a question? I'm not sure that I heard appropriately."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady will yield for questions."

Satterthwaite: "Did I hear you say that this changes the teacher tenure time?"

Didrickson: "Correct."

Satterthwaite: "To what?"

Didrickson: "Instead of granting it after two school terms, it would grant tenure after five school terms."

Satterthwaite: "And you're supporting this in addition to the Bill that will require evaluation and dismissal if there is not remediation?"

Didrickson: "That is my plan, yes."

Satterthwaite: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Didrickson: "Because we're not certain what's going to occur."

Satterthwaite: "It seems to me that this is a little bit of an overkill in regard to security of the teaching staff, and I would suggest that we not adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry, Ms. Satterthwaite. Did you.."

Satterthwaite: "I'm suggesting that we not adopt this Amendment, because I believe it would provide for conditions that might be very difficult for our teaching staff to comply with. We would be extending the time for receiving tenure to five years rather than the current two, and I think we would also be adopting, by some other measures, some very strict evaluation remediation requirements. And the combination of the two, I think, would be undesireable."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Ms.

Didrickson, to close"

Didrickson: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I would be glad to work with

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Representative Satterthwaite in, indeed, she could support the first Amendment in this Bill without the second Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, do you wish to take this out of the record to discuss this?"
- Didrickson: "I will take this Amendment out of the record, the second Amendment, if she could agree to work with me and sponsor the first Amendment that went on the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, do you want to take the Bill out of the record and we'll get back to you tomorrow?"
- Didrickson: "No, I don't want to take the Bill out of the record, just Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 30 voting 'aye', 65 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. And the Amendment fails. Further Amendment?"
- Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2196, Mr. O'Connell. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2202, Mr. McMaster. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clark O'Brien: "House Bill 2202, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Satterthwaite."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

discussion of this Bill in Committee, the Sponsor agreed that we could amend in some language that will take care of a situation for annexation of one portion of a school district to another that provides for the funding to follow the student from the original district to the receiving district, and this language has been provided for us by the State Board of Education. Although they are not agreeing to be in support of the Amendment, they have provided us with the language to do that. And I would move for adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2202."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Champaign has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2202. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster. Representative Matijevich in the Chair."

McMaster: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am a Cosponsor of this Amendment, and I support it and ask the House to vote for it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Satterthwaite has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to... Representative... I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, proceed."

Cullerton: "Did he explain the Amendment?"

Speaker Matijevich: "It's Representative Satterthwaite's Amendment, and I understand she has explained it."

McMaster: "You should listen, John."

Cullerton: "I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you."

McMaster: "It was not me explaining it, John. It was

Representative Satterthwaite. You should listen."

Cullerton: "Yeah, I'm trying to listen. And you didn't explain it so that's why I stopped. That's why I asked the question.

Is she going to explain it?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He has already, John."

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Cullerton: "No."

Speaker Matijevich: "You.didn't hear her voice."

Cullerton: "Did she explain it?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman tells me she has".

McMaster: "Yes, John, she did explain it fully, and I got up to speak and supported her Amendment and the explanation of it."

Cullerton: "Oh, so, you don't know what it does."

McMaster: "Yes, I do."

Cullerton: "Okay. Will you answer our question?"

McMaster: "Well, either Helen or I will. Go ahead."

Cullerton: "Okay. Could you explain it again?"

McMaster: "John, to begin with, we had a deannexation of part a school district and the subsequent annexation of it into We were not especially happy with the language another on. contained in the Bill. For that reason, at Representative Satterthwaite's suggestion, we went to the State Board of Education to secure an Amendment that would take care of this problem in future cases. And I personally think, John, that with what is going on in regard to education and the General Assembly and in the State of Illinois. are going to consolidate school districts further than they are at the present time, there is a very good opportunity that we will need language that clearly states what happens when there is a change of school districts."

Cullerton: "Okay. Here's my specific question. The Bill basically requires the state to reimburse the district that has..."

McNaster: "Well, that will come in 2201, John. Under this, it's a matter of the state's share of the funding to... per student to a district..."

Cullerton: "Okay, let me get to my..."

McMaster: "... would have them... that money follow to the new

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

district."

Cullerton: "Okay. When do they get the money? That's what I want to find out."

McMaster: "Well, I would say in the school year when the kids attend that school, the new school."

Cullerton: "What does the... How does the Amendment address that, though? It appears that under the Amendment, that the money may become available upfront before the money would be expended, before the normal formula would work for them to get reimbursed. That's the bottom line of my question."

McMaster: "Helen, would you answer that question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Would the questioner repeat the question, please?"

Cullerton: "Yes. When does the school district, the losing school district, get the money pursuant to your Amendment?"

Satterthwaite: "I don't know who you're defining as the losing school district. The district losing students?"

Cullerton: "Yes. Isn't that the one who's getting the money?"

Satterthwaite: "No."

Cullerton: "Okay.."

Satterthwaite: "The district that is losing students would be paying... would be..."

Cullerton: "The other school district."

Satterthwaite: "... the other school district."

Cullerton: "The district that gets the money. When do they get the money?"

Satterthwaite: "During the first year of attendance of the students in that new district."

Cullerton: "Won't... Under the normal formula, when would they get the money?"

Satterthwaite: "Under the normal formula, the reimbursement to a

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

school district depends on past attendance figures, and that's where the problem arises in that in the case of an annexation, the receiving district is expected to educate an additional number of students without additional funding. And so we're simply saying that where that condition exists, the money would follow the students from the district that had lost the students to the district that receives the students, so that the district providing the education has the funding."

Cullerton: "Well, could you say then... safely say that they don't get the money any sooner than they would.."

Satterthwaite: "Yes, they do get the money. They get the money in what would otherwise be a year of vacuum when they had no funds supporting those students who had come in by annexation."

Cullerton: "Okay, Thank you,"

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Knox, Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

Hawkinson: "Representative, I just want to clarify that this

Amendment would not apply this school year to any of these

annexations that have already taken place."

Satterthwaite: "That is my understanding; that this would be prospective for the event of an annexation that would occur in the future. And Representative McMaster has agreed that he will address his particular problem through the appropriations process."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Tom, 2202, is that addressing the problem that the ROVA school district is having?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Bob, it will not address the current problem in ROVA school district. That is past tense. What we are doing with 2202 is working on future occurrences. House Bill 2201, the appropriation Bill, will address the current problem of ROVA school district."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Tom. I can support the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Satterthwaite, to close."

Satterthwaite: "Simply ask for your support in adopting Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Champaign has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2202. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Van
Duyne."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne, on Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2202."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to withdraw Amendment #2, please.."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #2. Leave, and the Amendment 2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Van Duyne, on Amendment 3."

Van Duyne: "Yes, Amendment #3 to 2202 just simply states that regardless of when a petition is filed for boundary changes, that petition will be judged by the language in Senate Bill 513, which we passed in 1983."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative... !

Van Duyne: "And I move for its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Van Duyne has moved for the

40th Legislative Day

- May 15, 1985
- passage of the adoption of Amendment #3. On that, the Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster, the Sponsor of the Bill"
- McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's my understanding that
  this Amendment does not affect my Bill and the situation I
  have in Knox County. It goes back to a problem that Leroy
  had a couple of years ago, and I have no objection to the
  Amendment. And I would support it."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Van Duyne has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bills 2205, 2206 and 2207 out of the record. Oh, call... I'm sorry. House Bill 2205. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2205, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Trust and Trustee Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Cullerton. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton,
  on Amendment#1."
- Cullerton: "Yes, this Amendment encompasses House Bill 2207 so that it consolidates those two Bills, 2205 and 2207 in one Bill. Be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. On that, the Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."
- Ewing: "The Amendment is agreed."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Move for the adoption of Amendment

  #1. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. And Amendment

  #1 is adopted. Further Amendment?"

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2206, Ewing. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2206, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Trust and Trustees Act. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2207, Ewing.
Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2207, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to provide for the... to provide for and
regulate the administration of trusts and trust companies.
Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Ewing."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing, on Amendment #2."

Ewing: "Amendment #2 becomes the Bill and just merely encompasses in the Bill that any trust company which conducts operations in more than one city is required to put up only one bond, but they must put up the bond for the highest bond or deposit which would be required for any of their operations."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ewing has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2226, Turner.

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

- Read the Bill? Read the Bill. No... Out of the record.

  2241, Leverenz. Clark will read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2241, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Impoundment Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments... Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Be at ease for a moment.

  House Bill 2249, Flinn. Is... Out of the record. House
  Bill 2251, Currie. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2257, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Illinois Low-Level Radioactive Waste

  Management Act."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 2259, Greiman. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2259, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments?"
- Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

  Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. I'm sorry. I skipped over Flinn's Bill. He was there and did want to call it. 2249, leave of the House to return to that. Clerk will read the Bill. 2249."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2249, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the Election Code and Sections of the Revenue
  Act. Second Beading of the Bill. No Committee
  Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clark O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

Flinn."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative
Monroe Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, this Amendment just goes along with some problems that the Republican staff found with my Bill in Committee, and rather than delay the Committee, I offered by put it on on the floor. It deletes the reference to random sampling. It makes a couple of technical changes where the wrong numbers were used, and it strikes out one word, the word 'immediately' which was unnecessary. And I would move for the adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Flinn moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. There being no discussion, all in favor say "aye", opposed "nay", and Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. We will now go to page 54 of the Calendar, Consent Calendar Second Reading, Second Day, House Bill 354. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 354, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Criminal Code, together with Committee Amendment #1.

Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Are there any announcements?

Representative... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative

Greiman, moves, with five minutes allowed for the Clerk to

conduct and complete the business of the House, that the

House stand adjourned until... One moment for an

announcement from Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Task Force on Education

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

will meet tomorrow morning at 9:00 in the Speaker's Office as opposed to the original scheduled time which was 10:00."

Speaker Matijevich: "Was that the same thing you wanted to say?

Alright, Representative Satterthwaite withdraws her request. Representative Greiman now moves that the House stand adjourned, with five minutes for the Clerk, until 9:00 a.m. in the morning, 9:00 a.m. till 8:00 a.m. (sic -p.m.) It should be a very interesting day tomorrow. We stand adjourned. Good evening."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Reports. Representative Bullock. Chairman οf the Committee on State Government. Administration. Regulation and Review, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 1, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: ob 1 pass' House Bill 1642. Representative Leverenz. Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 15, 1985. reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass as amended House Bill 569. Representative Mulcahev. Chairman of the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education, to which the following Bill was referred. action taken May 2, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'Interim Study Calendar' House Bill 118. A Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following title, and the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Bills #330, 365, 366, 373, 375, 389, 390, 391, 398, 401, 404, 415, 422, 429, 436, 448, 487, 492, 498, 499, 501. 506, 521, 527, 530, 531, 533, 535, 538, 544, 564, 566, 577, 597, 601, 602, 609, 610, 611, 614, 619, 647, 672, 683, 696, 706, 715, 716, 717 and 718, passed by the Senate May

40th Legislative Day

May 15, 1985

1985. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Senate Bills First Reading. Senate Bill 366, Cowlishaw, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 373, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Open Space Lands Acquisition and Development Act. Pirst Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 564. Churchill, for an Act to amend the Boat Registration and Safety First Reading of the Bill. Act. Senate Bill 566. Churchill, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 597, Steczo. a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading the Bill. Senate Bill 601, Didrickson and Steczo, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the creation and management of forest preserve districts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 619, Cullerton, Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 706, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 715, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 716, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 717, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Act in relation to the creation, maintenance, operation and improvement of the Chicago Park District. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 718, Terzich, a Bill for an to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. No further business. the House now stands adjourned."

08/23/85 14:06

### STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

36

PAGE

MAY 15, 1985

HB-0017 SECOND READING

4100-00	PECOND REVOTOR	PAGE	30
HB-0056	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
HB-0076			
		PAGE	60
	SECOND READING	PAGE	60
HB-0104	SECOND READING	PAGE	61
	SECOND READING	PAGE	62
	SECOND READING		62
		PAGE	
	THIRD READING	PAGE	49
HB-0302	THIRD READING	PAGE	52
HB-0303	THIRD READING	PAGE	53
	THIRD READING	PAGE	54
	THIRD READING	PAGE	54
HB-0306	THIRD READING	PAGE	56
HB-0307	THIRD READING	PAGE	57
	SECOND READING	PAGE	63
	SECOND READING	PAGE	65
	SECOND READING	PAGE	69
BB-0354	SECOND READING	PAGE	200
	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	70
	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
HB-0434	SECOND READING	PAGE	88
	SECOND READING	PAGE	88
	SECOND READING	PAGE	88
	RECALLED	PAGE	90
HB-0479	SECOND READING	PAGE	7
HB-0484	SECOND READING	PAGE	89
	SECOND READING	PAGE	91
	SECOND READING	PAGE	92
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	93
HB-0572	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
	SECOND READING	PAGE	94
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	20
	SECOND READING	PAGE	94
HB-0636	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
HB-0650	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
	SECOND READING	PAGE	99
RB-0/25	SECOND READING	PAGE	100
BB-0760	SECOND READING	PAGE	101
	SECOND READING	PAGE	102
	SECOND READING	PAGE	104
	SECOND READING	PAGE	104
	SECOND READING	PAGE	104
<b>HB-0933</b>	SECOND READING	PAGE	105
HB-0839	SECOND READING	PAGE	106
	SECOND READING	PAGE	
			106
	SECOND READING	PAGE	33
HB-1016	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1023	SECOND READING	PAGE	107
	SECOND READING	PAGE	107
	SECOND READING	PAGE	108
HB-1039	SECOND READING	PAGE	109
HB-1045	SECOND READING	PAGE	110
	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1081			
		PAGE	110
	SECOND READING	PAGE	10
HB-1111	SECOND READING	PAGE	111
HB-1112		PAGE	111
	SECOND READING	PAGE	111
	SECOND READING	PAGE	10
	SECOND READING	PAGE	113
BB-1145	SECOND READING	PAGE	117
HB-1151		PAGE	113
HB-1154			
4D-1134	DECOMD RESULTS	PAGE	113

08/23/85 14:06

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

2

MAY	15,	1985

HR-1166	SECOND READING	PAGE	114
	SECOND READING	PAGE	115
HB-1184	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
	SECOND READING	PAGE	116
	SECOND READING	PAGE	115
	SECOND READING	PAGE	117
	SECOND READING	PAGE	115
	SECOND READING	PAGE	160
HB-1203	SECOND READING	PAGE	160
	SECOND READING HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	117 118
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	119
HB-1330		PAGE	119
	SECOND READING	PAGE	120
	SECOND READING	PAGE	121
	SECOND READING	PAGE	130
	SECOND READING	PAGE	131
	SECOND READING	PAGE	131
HB-1377	SECOND READING	PAGE	132
HB-1378	SECOND READING	PAGE	132
8B-1417	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
HB-1424	SECOND READING	PAGE	135
	SECOND READING	PAGE	135
	SECOND READING	PAGE	135
	SECOND READING	PAGE	136
	SECOND READING	PAGE	136
	SECOND BEADING	PAGE	139
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	139
	SECOND READING	PAGE	139
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE	137
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	138
	SECOND READING	PAGE	138 139
	SECOND READING	PAGE	140
	SECOND READING	PAGE	138
	SECOND READING	PAGE	141
	SECOND READING	PAGE	142
HB-1571	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
яв~ 1577		PAGE	142
	SECOND READING	PAGE	144
	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
	SECOND READING	PAGE	145
HB-1636		PAGE	145
HB~ 1647		PAGE	145
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE	145
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE PAGE	146 146
	SECOND READING	PAGE	146
	SECOND READING	PAGE	148
	SECOND READING	PAGE	148
HB-1774	SECOND READING	PAGE	158
HB-1774	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	150
HB-1800	SECOND READING	PAGE	150
	SECOND READING	PAGE	34
	SECOND READING	PAGE	34
	SECOND READING	PAGE	152
HB~ 1871		PAGE	161
HB-1889		PAGE	16
HB~1903 HB~1925	SECOND READING	PAGE	162
HB- 1925		PAGE PAGE	16 162
HB-1931	SECOND READING	PAGE	162
HB-1961	SECOND READING	PAGE	35
HB~ 1963	SECOND READING	PAGE	164
		PAGE	

08/23/85 14:06

## STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

MAY 15, 1985

HB-1973	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	19
HB-1981	SECOND READING	PAGE	165
HB-2034	SECOND READING	PAGE	168
HB-2040	SECOND READING	PAGE	168
HB-2062	SECOND READING	PAGE	169
HB-2079	SECOND READING	PAGE	16
HB-2100	SECOND READING	PAGE	169
HB-2132	SECOND READING	PAGE	169
	SECOND READING	PAGE	177
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	178
	SECOND READING	PAGE	178
HB-2160	SECOND READING	PAGE	179
HB-2160	SECOND READING	PAGE	186
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	180
BB-2162	SECOND READING	PAGE	180
	SECOND READING	PAGE	191
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	184
	SECOND READING	PAGE	184
	SECOND READING	PAGE	185
HB-2184	SECOND READING	PAGE	35
HB-2187	SECOND READING	PAGE	186
HB-2195	SECOND READING	PAGE	187
HB-2202	SECOND READING	PAGE	191
HB-2205	SECOND READING	PAGE	197
HB-2206	SECOND READING	PAGE	198
HB-2207	SECOND READING	PAGE	198
HB-2241	SECOND READING	PAGE	199
	SECOND READING	PAGE	199
HB-2255	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
	SECOND READING	PAGE	199
	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
_	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	FIRST READING	PAGE	202
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
HR-0391	ADOPTED	PAGE	84

#### SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND FORREST HAGOOD	PAGE	1 -
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN CHAIR	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	4
REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	61
REPRESENTATIVE MATIJEVICH IN CHAIR	PAGE	70
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	83
REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	88
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN CHAIR	PAGE	121
REPRESENTATIVE GREINAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	129
REPRESENTATIVE MATIJEVICH IN CHAIR	PAGE	192
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	201
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	201
CONNITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	201

08/23/95 14:06

#### STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

. . . . . .

MAY 15, 1985

SUBJECT MATTER

MESSAGE FROM SENATE		PAGE	201
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	202