38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 9:00 having arrived, the House will be in Session. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Paul E. Flesner, Pastor of Grace Lutheran Church of Springfield. Reverend Flesner is a guest of Representative Michael Curran. Will the guests in the gallery please rise for the invocation? Beverend."

Reverend Plesner: "Let us pray. Gracious heavenly Father, we pause at the beginning of this day to acknowledge Your presence in all of life. This morning we pray first for others. We pray first for peace throughout our world, asking Your guidance and presence with those who counsel for the nations of the world, that the seeds of war may defused. We pray also for justice and for freedom wherever there is oppression. And we pray, O God, that You would move us where we have opportunity to reach out and touch those needs and to right those wrongs. We pray for prosperity, O God, for all people, for jobs for those who are unemployed, for food for those who are hungry. again, O God, we pray that You may instill within us that incentive that we be Your agents to work for those needs. We pray, O God, for Your strength and comfort for those in personal need on this day, for those who are ill, for those who are in crisis and for those who this day may face death. And finally, O God, we pray for ourselves that Your presence would guide our actions and our decisions that all we do may be for the good of this world in which You have placed us and whose care You have entrusted to us. We pray this in Your name. Amen."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance."
- Priedrich et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

- one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Clerk, take the record. 109 Members having answered to the Call of the Quorum, a quorum is present. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, are there any excused absences on the Democratic side?"
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect the excused absences of Representative Currie and Panayotovich."
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so reflect. Mr. Piel, are there any absences excused on the Republican side?"
- Piel: "Which became obvious to everyone this morning,

 Representative Ropp is excused today."
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so reflect and hope that he will be back to lead us in the near future. The Gentleman from... Speaker's Table, on page 58, the Adjournment Resolution, SJR (sic Senate Joint Resolution) 56. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution #56. Resolved by the Senate of the 84th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, May 9, 1985, it stands adjourned until Tuesday, May 14, 1985, at 12 o'clock noon; and when the House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, May 10, 1985, it stands adjourned until Tuesday, May 14, 1985, at 12 o'clock noon."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. Yes, Mr. Piel, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

- Piel: "I was so taken back by Representative Ropp I forgot to mention that Representative Hoffman is excused today also, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, alright, we'll let the record so reflect.

 The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's good to see you this morning. On the Calendar, on page 54, the first Bill on the Consent Calendar, Third Reading Second Day, is House Bill 354. I believe you might recall that I asked you yesterday or the day before to remove that Bill from the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, the ... "

Vinson: "Thank you."

- Speaker Greiman: "Yeah, objections have been filed. Is that correct? Alright. On page 57 of the Calendar appears... on the Order of Speaker's Table appears House Resolution 90. Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 90."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 90 urges the Illinois Commerce

 Commission not to consider altering the existing system of

 municipal franchise agreements with public utilities."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McNamara, on House Resolution 90."
- McNamara: "House Resolution 90 is merely a 90... a Resolution that will urge the Illinois Commerce Commission not to consider altering the... the franchise agreements that are presently made with the municipalities. The Municipal League is in favor of this. The villages, and towns and cities are also in favor of it. There seems to be no opposition from the public utilities as well. I would urge... urge its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McNamara, moves that the House adopt House Resolution 90. And on that, is

38th Legislative Day

- May 10, 1985
- there any discussion? The Gentleman from... The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."
- Braun: "Thank you. Mr... Representative... Will the Gentleman yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman indicates that he'll yield for a question."
- Braun: "Representative McNamara... I don't know... Representative McNamara, would you state in clear terms the actual substance and text of the Resolution."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. McNamara, have you satisfied her questions?"
- Braun: "The question is simply if he would restate the substance and text of the Resolution. I was not able to hear him, and he was speaking kind of low."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Mr. McNamara."
- McNamara: "Okay. The municipalities and the Commission... what has happened is that the municipalities and the utility companies come to franchise agreements among themselves. They have for many, many years. Illinois Commerce Commission has decided to take that out of the hand of the... of the utilities, and what they are urging to do is to make everything across the board exactly the same. Both the municipalities and the public utilities companies both feel that this is unfair. Of the ones that I've talked to, the Illinois Municipal League has supported it in this area, and they feel that every municipality has the right and a duty to create their own franchise agreement."
- Braun: "Mr... Representative, is there litigation on this issue pending right now?"
- McNamara: "It is before the Illinois Commerce Commission right now, and many of the municipalities have filed suit against the Illinois Commerce Commission in this area."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Braun: "And the Resolution provides that the municipalities should make their own agreement?"

McNamara: "As they do right now, and that the Illinois Commerce Commission should stay out of the municipalities home rule provisions."

Braun: "Yes. Okay, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The question is, 'Shall the House adopt... House Resolution #30?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted.

On the Order... On the Order of Speaker's Table appears HJR (sic - House Joint Resolution) 20, Mr. Hannig. Out of the... Mr. Hannig, HJR 20. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution #20 urges the President and Congress to continue the programs which benefit veterans."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, this Resolution was given to me by our former distinguished Member, Representative DiPrima. And all we're simply doing is asking that as the Federal Government looks at the various items that they have to cut in an effort to balance their budget - something that we all want them to do - that they remember the veterans who served them so well in Europe, and in the Pacific, and in Korea and in Vietnam. And so there was this Bill... This Resolution was debated in the Veterans Affairs Committee. It received bipartisan support, and I would ask today for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macoupin moves for the adoption of House Resolution 20. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the guestion is, 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 20?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted.

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

On the Speaker's Table appears HJR (sic - House Joint Resolution) 26, Mr. Mulcahey. Mr. Mulcahey. Out of the record. 27, out of the record. 28, out of the record. HJR (sic - House Joint Resolution) 32, Mr. Roman. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution 32 concerns the Consolidated
Rail Corporation's (Conrail) service."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the adoption of House

Joint Resolution 32. Conrail's a very important rail line
in our state. I think that the employees are concerned
about its future. I want to make sure that Congress acts
in the right action, and I urge the adoption of this House

Joint Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan, moves for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 32. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor might yield for a few questions."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Ronan: "Sure."

Vinson: "Representative, why is it that you want to keep from making this thing into a profit making private industry and keep it on the public dole?"

Roman: "I think that... Thank you, Representative Vinson, for that interesting question. I think Conrail's doing a great job, and I want to make sure that we can have a continuity of services for all the residents of this state. And I know you're very concerned about maintaining services for the residents of this state, and I know that you support me in this concept."

Vinson: "Well, why is it that ... that you don't want to see ...

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

why is it that you want to see this thing sold to the Chesapeake, rather than to the Southern?"

Ronan: "I don't have any desire to see this institution sold. I think that we have a concern about the price that's being recommended at this point. I think that there's some... concern that the Federal Department of Transportation might not accurately be reflecting what the... value of this rail line is."

Vinson: "Well, but your Resolution urges Congress not to approve a sale to the Norfolk and Southern. Isn't that correct?" Ronan: "Absolutely. It's doing an outstanding job right now, and

I have a tremendous concern about the misstated value that's been put on the rail line."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. speaker, to the Resolution. opposition. I rise in opposition for two primary purposes. Number one, if no sale is consummated Conrail remains a federal property. Now, I don't believe it's appropriate for the Federal Government to be operating railroads and particularly railroads that would be operated and could be operated under private ownership. But number two. Speaker, and more important, I believe that opposition to the sale to the Norfolk and Southern Railroad will probably result in a sale to the Chesapeake system of the Conrail I don't believe that the transportation system of this country or this state would be served consolidation of Conrail with the Chesapeake Railroad System. I believe a consolidation with the southern system would be much more pro competitive and would lead to a much stronger railroad system both in the United States and Illinois. Now, I'll tell you this has dramatic impact on the State of Illinois. If we take the approach of working with the federal process, we can assure that any number of railroad lines in Illinois, currently operated by other

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Illinois railroads, particularly the Illinois Central, which are scheduled to be abandoned and which the Central currently plans to abandon and for which the Illinois Central under the 'Staggers' Act has imposed surcharges on cars just to drive down traffic for the purpose of abandoning those branch lines, well, what we can do is absolutely insure, by working with the process that those branch lines have to be picked up by the consolidated Norfolk and Southern and the Conrail System ... I think this Resolution, while the Sponsor probably has good intentions, and while the Sponsor probably is concerned about working men on the railroads, will actually prove counterproductive. For those reasons I rise in opposition. I ask for a recorded Roll Call vote on this Resolution. And in the event that it should appear to get enough votes, I would ask for a verification."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Resolution came up in Transportation Committee. It was... Really, we were in the flush of deadline Bills, and we didn't really have a very good opportunity to go over it. A lot of people think, well, it's just a Resolution. Who really cares? You know, if we pass all of these Resolutions out, the Congress are (sic going to do what they want to do anyway on these things. But I would simply say that it is a whether this sale goes to Norfolk - Southern, or goes public or what. I have asked the Illinois Department of Transportation to come up with its analysis. I've tried to have it as impartial as it could be because it's an extremely complex issue. And on a number of given points, assertions on either side, they're saying that they just

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

don't have the facts to say whether it is absolutely right But I do want to say one thing in regard to this memo that was circulated by IDOT at my request to Members the Transportation Committee, the long term impacts on Illinois with regard to employment - and that's one of the factors in this Resolution - and overall levels of competition and rail service of a Conrail sale to Norfolk - Southern should be minor or perhaps even positive. Shippers, who are now served by Conrail, will gain single line service to the southeast. Shippers, now served by Norfolk - Southern, will gain single line service to the Shippers, who would otherwise lose service - and I think that's in the Charleston area, where Norfolk -Southern has already said they're going to shippers, who would otherwise lose service, will gain a new carrier, one with a reputation for good service and rates. So. I guess the bottom line is that there's a lot of information yet to be made available to Members of this General Assembly on this topic. And I know that the Chairman of the Transportation Committee, also the Sponsor of this Resolution are sincere in their endeavors. And I think May 10th, there is going to be a public sale type of thing proposed at the Congressional level, which should have been today. There's a lot yet to happen. 1 urge either a 'present' or a 'no' vote on the Resolution at this time while we try to sift through some of the more... some of the additional information that is going to bе forthcoming."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman... Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Conrail has proven to be probably the best... best... the star in the Reagan administration's galaxy of stars, and there aren't very many. This program,

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Conrail, has made over 800 million dollars, and Conrail has... has reduced shipping time. Conrail... Conrail has been an outstanding, successful enterprise. Reagan administration wants to take care of some of their cronies, and they want to sell Conrail for less what's ... in Conrail's bank account. I think the ... this could be ... This is probably one of the most ... This could develop into one of the greatest scandals in the Reagan administration. It could result in the indictment of entire Department of Transportation for the surreptitious attempt to sell Conrail to the cronies in the Reagan 'Kitchen Cabinet'. And they want to sell Conrail... They want to give it away for less than ... is in it's bank It's a steal. It's a national scandal. They've done an outstanding job in shipping, and providing jobs and keeping 'railbeds' up, and now they're surreptitiously trying to con the American people by giving Conrail away. So I arge the Members of this side of the aisle to support this Resolution.

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Mr. Ronan to close."

Ronan: "Thank you, Representative (sic - Speaker) Greiman. We've had a thorough discussion of this legislation. I think it's an important Resolution; however, since there's been a request for a Roll Call, a verified Roll Call, and we've obviously got a shortness of Members on this side of the aisle to support this concept, I ask that it be taken out of the record at the present time."

Speaker Greiman: "Leave is granted. Be out of the record.

Alright, we were going... We'll go on page 16. Under House

Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1136. Mr.

Brookins, do you wish... Mr. Brookins. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Bill 1140, Mr. Klemm. Mr. Klemm, 1140. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1140, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1143, Mr. Laurino. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1144, Mr. Bullock. Out of the record. Second Reading... On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 1145, Mr. Brookins. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears... House Bill 1148. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Cullerton will take that Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill !148, a Bill for an Act to create the
 Privates... Private Activity Bond Allocation Council.
 Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1151, Mr. McGann. Out of
the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading
appears House Bill 1153, Mr. McGann. Out of the record.
On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House
Bill 1154. Out of the record. On the Order of House
Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1159. Mr. Clerk,
read the Bill."

clerk Leon: "House Bill 1159, a Bill for an Act in relationship to manufactured housing and mobile homes. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1161. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 1166. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1167. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1167, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to establish Governors State University. It's been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Piscal note has been filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1179. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1179, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1182. Mr. Cullerton,

1182. Cullerton, did you want to proceed on 1182?"

Cullerton: "Yes. Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1182, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to juveniles. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 1186. Out of the record.
On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House
Bill 1188, Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson in the room? Mr.
McCracken, did you want to handle that Bill? Okay. Mr.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House... House Bill 1188, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1192, Mr. Kirkland. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1195. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1195, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1198. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1202. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1202, a Bill for an Act to amend the...

Comprehensive Solar Energy Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1."
Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1213. Mr. Johnson, do

you wish to proceed on 1213? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1213, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relationship to counties. Second Beading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1214. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1214, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the regulation of rivers, lakes and streams of the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1217. Mr. Clerk. Mr. Terzich, 1217. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1217, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
to create sanitary districts and to remove obstructions
from the Des Plaines and Illinois rivers. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Terzich, amends House Bill 1217..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, on Floor Amendment #1."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Floor Amendment #1 also included the North Shore Sanitary District under the Bill, and I would

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, I think moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1217.

And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I didn't hear the Gentleman's explanation of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, was that a... You want to ask some questions, Mr. Vinson?"

Vinson: "Yes. Could I ask the Gentleman a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Terzich: "Certainly."

Vinson: "Representative Terzich, I didn't hear the Amendment."

Terzich: "I said that the Amendment #1 also includes in the Bill the North Shore Sanitary District."

Vinson: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Did he say it builds the North Shore Sanitary
 District?"

Terzich: "It includes."

Hastert: "Includes. So it includes it for bonding or what?"

Terzich: "No. This is a... an adjustment, a salary adjustment.

It has nothing to do with..."

Hastert: "A salary adjustment. Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was hoping somebody from the Sanitary... North Shore Sanitary District would contact me on this because I really don't like to oppose my own sanitary district in any way. But what this Amendment does, it ties the North Shore Sanitary District, which is much smaller than the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Chicago, it ties any salary increase that they get to one-half for the North Shore Sanitary

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

District. Years ago when the North Shore Sanitary District were all appointed, they... they were paid 1,000 dollars a year. Then we made them elected, and we put a maximum 5,000 dollar ceiling for their salary. By this Amendment, they could go up to 18 thousand dollars. Now, about all the flack we've heard about salaries of the Legislature, for example, which I think were legitimate; here you have a very small board of the North Shore Sanitary District going from 5,000 dollars to 18 thousand dollars by 1990. Now, if the North Shore Sanitary District wants a salary increase, come up in the front door like the Metropolitan Sanitary District is doing with the Bill. Don't go in the back door with an automatic adjustment because they know that the Metropolitan Sanitary District has a lot of quote, 'power', around here. Let's do it legitimately. Let's not go in the back door. I oppose this Amendment, and I would hope that you do too. In fact. I don't think Bob Terzich even wants this Amendment on his So I would urge you to vote 'no' on this Bill... on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Mr. Terzich to close."

Terzich: "Well, I... I have a commitment on the Amendment. And as I mentioned that the Amendment basically... that the North Shore Sanitary District members would be adjusted in conjunction with the Metropolitan on the assumption that the district has grown quite a bit in size. There has not been an adjustment in their salary for a considerable number of years, and I guess we can argue until, you know, the time over as far as what it should be or shouldn't be."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1218. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1218, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
to create sanitary districts and remove obstructions from
the Des Plaines and Illinois rivers. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1224, Ms. Younge. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1224, a Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Bond... Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1228. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Well, Ms... Ms. Younge, there's a fiscal note requested. Do you want to have it read or do you want to just leave it until you come in with your fiscal note. Read the Bill? Alright. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1228, a Bill for an Act to create the
Office of Urban Assistance. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, the Bill has to remain on the Order of

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Second Reading because there's been a request for a fiscal note. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1229. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1229, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1232. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1232, a Bill for an Act to create the Enterprise High School Laboratory. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Rice, amends House Bill 1232..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rice, on Amendment
#2. Mr. Rice. Mr. Rice requests leave to withdraw
Amendment #2. Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 1233. Mr. Clerk, read
the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1233, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Community Development Finance Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

INNOCATETION

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

38th Legislative Day

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1239. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1239, a Bill for an Act to amend the Alcohol and Substance Abuse Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Ms. Younge, this... there is a request also on this Bill for a fiscal note, so the Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1250, Mr. Soliz. Mr. Soliz. Out of the chamber. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill... Alright, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson... Vinson requests leave to withdraw his objection stated to House Bill 354 on the Consent 354 will be returned to Calendar, and House Bill the Consent Calendar Third Reading, Second Day. On Order... On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1251, Mr. Phelps. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1251, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. It's been read a second time previously. Fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Second Reading appears House Bill 1252. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House
Bill 1256, Mr. Ronan. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1256, a Bill for an Act to provide for the use of community buildings and services for community educational programs. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendments #1 and 3?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1262, Mr. McPike. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1263. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1265, Ms. Breslin. Ms. Breslin. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1265, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1270. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1270, a Bill for an Act in relationship
to the sale and rental of video movies. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1277, Mr. Phelps. Mr.

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1277, a Bill for an Act creating and relating to the State Corporation for Innovation Development. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None,"

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 1279. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House
Bill 1291. Mr... Mr. Bowman, do you wish that read? Out
of the record. On the Order of House... Yes, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Has the fiscal note been filed? I think one was requested..."

Speaker Greiman: "No. A fiscal note has been requested. I don't know if it's been filed."

Bowman: "Okay. I just wondered if the Clerk had ... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, has the fiscal note been... been filed?"

Clerk Leone: "The fiscal note has not been filed on House Bill 1291."

Bowman: "Okay, Thanks,"

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1313, Mr. Mautino. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1313, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Bank Holding Company Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1316. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Bill 1317, Mr. Hartke. Out of the record. Out of the record, Mr. Hartke? Okay. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1324. Out of the... Mr. Brunsvold, do you wish to proceed on that Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1324, a Bill for an Act concerning certain elected officials. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1330, Mr. Kulas. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. No, out of the record. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1333. Mr. Dunn, 1333. Mr... Mr. Clerk, Mr. Dunn requests that you call this Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1333, a Bill for an Act in relationship to persons sentenced to county jails. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to House Bill... to
Amendment 1?"

Clerk Leone: "No ... No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1334. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1334, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1338. Read the Bill, Mr.

Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1338, a Bill for an Act to legalize and validate appropriation Bills and tax levy ordinances."

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1343, Mr. O'Connell. Mr. O'Connell. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1353. Yes, Mr. Berrios, for what... On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1353, Mr. Brookins. Out of the record. Mr. Brookins, do you wish to proceed on 1353? Brookins. We've made an executive decision on that? Alright. Alright, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 15... 1353, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1355, Ms. Satterthwaite.

Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1360. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1360, a Bill for an Act to amend the Electronic Fund Transfer Transmission Facility Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #12"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Deuchler, amends House Bill 1360 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Kane, Ms. Deuchler, on Amendment #2."

Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #2 clarifies existing language that when wire
transfers in banking are used that the trigger to set the
time frame for completion of the transaction is the new
phrase that after the entry of such debit sets the limit to
apply for the time frame as... as used in the phrase by the
end of the next business day."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Kane has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1360. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #2 to House Bill 1360 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1361, Ms. Braun. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1361, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1370, Ms. Satterthwaite.

Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Reading appears House Bill 1371, Ms. Zwick. Ms. Zwick, 1371."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1371, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Zwick, did you want us to proceed? Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1377. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1378. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1379. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1379, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Fiscal note filed? Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1392, Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1392, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Parentage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1409. Mr. Homer, 1409.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1409..."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "No, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Homer, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Homer: "To... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave at this time to recommit 1409 to Interim Study."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman asks leave of the House to recommit House Bill 1409 to the... to Interim Study. The Gentleman has leave. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1412. Mr. Homer, would you like to do the same to that? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1412, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Homer, amends House Bill 1412."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer, on Floor
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 1412."
- Homer: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1412 sets forth a criminal penalty for... for uncertified or unlicensed solicitation for firefighter magazines and police magazines and sets forth a felony violation, a Class IV felony, pursuant to agreement in the Judiciary II Committee where the Bill was heard. I am now proposing Amendment #1 which would... which would change that violation to a Class A misdemeanor. And I would ask for your approval, and I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1412. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 1413. Yes, Mr. Homer, do
you wish to proceed on 1413? Alright, Mr. Clerk, read the
Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1413, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Beading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1424, Mr. Hicks. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1436, Mr. Levin. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1440. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1445, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1446. Ms. Alexander,

1446. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1446, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1447, Ms. Alexander. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1447, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1453. Mr. Bullock's not

with us... appears House Bill 1453, Mr. Hicks. Out of the

record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears

House Bill 1459, Mr. Countryman. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1459, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regency University Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor... Any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Slater, amends House Bill 1459."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. Slater, on Amendment #2."

Slater: "Amendment #2 simply adds the Board of Governors to the Board of Regents. Would authorize the Board of Governors to have the same authority as the University of Illinois, Southern Illinois University and the Board of Regents, and I would ask for its favorable adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Slater, it's McDonough, right, County?"

Slater: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, alright. The Gentleman from McDonough

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1459.

And on that, is there any discussion? There being none,
the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in
favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the
opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #2
is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1466. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 1467. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1467, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
in relationship to county boards. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1468. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1468, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Civic Center Support Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Giorgi, a fiscal note has been requested.

We'll have to hold it on Third Reading (sic - Second Reading). On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1469, Mr. McAuliffe. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears

38th Legislative Day

11

May 10, 1985

House Bill 1472. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1472, a Bill for an Act to create the Milford and the Sheldon Metropolitan Exposition Auditorium and Office Building Authorities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect..."

Clerk Leone: "Correction. Correction. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 1474, Ms. Braun. Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1474, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, McCracken, amends House Bill
 1474."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, on Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1474."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Currently the Bill allows for the educational program to consist of up to a four year undergraduate level degree. The Amendment would require that only vocational programs be... be accepted programs, and that they not be for a longer duration than 18 months. The purpose of this is... is to make this educational requirement a functional purpose and not to allow it to be used to further what may not be a functional education in today's market. That's its only purpose. I ask its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, moves for the adoption of House Bill #1... Amendment #1 to House Bill 1474. And on that, is there any discussion? The

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Cullerton: "This Bill deals with the workfare program under the the Illinois Department of Public Aid. And the current rules that the Department of Public Aid has, as I understand it, limits these... this assistance to two year programs. Is that your understanding, Representative McCracken?"

McCracken: "I didn't have an understanding. I don't know."

Cullerton: "I think that's the case. And what your Amendment does... What the Bill does is try to expand it to four year programs, and what the Bill does is to limit it... what your Amendment does is to limit it to 18 months. Is there any particular reason why you'd want to lower the current rules of the Department of Public Aid from two years down to 18 month programs?"

McCracken: "If I amended it to two years, would you support it?"

Cullerton; "Well, if you amended it to two years, then it would

be the same... that would be the current law, and we
wouldn't have any need for the Bill. What she wants to do

with her Bill is to make four year educational programs
eligible for the program. So I... I just wondered if you
had an particular reason why you wanted to lower it from
two years to... to 18 months."

- McCracken: "Well, have you ever seen Representative Braun and I agree in public?"
- Cullerton: "Well, I just wonder why don't you just try to vote against the Bill, if you don't like the Bill, rather than lower the current law."
- McCracken: "I'm limiting it to vocational programs of 18 months."

 Cullerton: "Okay. Well, I would... I would think that the

 Sponsor of the Bill would be certainly opposed to the

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Amendment. I think that the purpose of the Bill makes sense, and I think the Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the In response to the Amendment, this Bill came about because of a particular way the workfare program doesn't Right now, if a person's on workfare, if they go to school to try to improve their conditions so they can get off of welfare, if it's a four year college, they are literally forced to drop out of school in order to protect their workfare. In Committee, the Department even recognized and... and admitted that a person can spend their time filling out pieces of paper that they've gone for job interviews and stay on welfare under the workfare program but can't go to school and stay on welfare under the workfare program. That's all that the Bill does. frankly, the Amendment guts the Bill, kills the Bill and makes it worse than current law. We..."

McCracken: "Right."

Braun: "We understand we're going to have to work with the Department to come to some kind of final resolution of this issue, because we... frankly, I've had good success in working with the Department of Public Aid and their staff these kinds of issues. We have discussed it with the director and the like. We have not come to conclusion yet. But this legislation, I think everyone's pretty clear, should go through the process as we try to work out one of these... this is basically a kink in the workfare program, a kink in the system now. You're Amendment, though, Representative McCracken, will gut the Bill, will kill the Bill and make it worse than current law already is. So if the current law is silly, as it was described in Committee, this Amendment would just make it sillier. And I would

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

really appreciate it if you would withdraw the Amendment." McCracken: "Well, I'm not going to withdraw the Amendment; but I would be willing to amend it so that four year programs were included, if four year programs were limited to nonliberal arts types pursuits. If ... My only purpose of Amendment is to make the education a functional education. And the liberal arts program doesn't necessary fulfill that function. 1 mean. ₩e understand importance of a college degree, but we're talking about more immediate concerns. We're talking about somebody getting a job as soon as possible. It is that person's desire. It is the system's desire. It's your desire. It's my desire. The purpose of the Amendment is to restrict the availability of the aid to those limited situations where we are going to do our best and most direct to get that person employed. So if it's important you that it be a four year undergraduate program, I would be willing to go for that as long as it were limited in some respect to a functional degree."

Braun: "Oh, if that's your concern..."

McCracken: "That's the point. I just don't want someone going for a liberal arts degree which is going to not further him in the job market. That's the only point."

Braun: "Representative, and I think we can reach a meeting of the minds on your concern. This Amendment doesn't do that.

When you put vocational in that has a specific meaning in the law and will limit, for example, someone who wanted to go into nursing, for example."

McCracken: "Right. Now..."

Braun: "That person would be knocked out of the program. In fact, that's what gave rise to this Bill. There was a young lady enrolled in the University of Illinois, Chicago Circle, who wants to be a nurse. She was also receiving

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

for herself and her one child public aid assistance. She was forced to... to literally miss her final exams or she had to take... she took the final exams, but her check was cut off because she went to take her final exam in her third year of college. I raised the issue with... with Director Coler. He agreed that it didn't make a whole lot of sense, and we are trying now to work toward something to make sense. I understand your concern, and we will address that, but this Amendment doesn't do it."

McCracken: "Well, why don't we... Alright. Alright. If you'll hold the Bill, then I would agree to an Amendment for four years, and the only restriction being that it be in some functional college or pursuit, not liberal arts."

Braun: "But how do you... how do you define that in the law?"
McCracken: "I can come up with that."

Braun: "You can't... Well, but Representative, you've fooled with

my Bill, and we've got a thousand Bills on the Calendar.

It needs to go to Third Reading."

McCracken: "I can do it like that."

Braun: "Representative, I would... I would..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken and Ms. Braun..."

Braun: "... oppose the Amendment. I would oppose it."

Speaker Greiman: "...we enjoy your negotiations, but Ms. Braun, to the Bill... to the Amendment, I mean."

Braun: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Amendment kills the Bill. The Amendment guts the intent of the legislation. I understand that Representative McCracken wants to have people... he wants to have people trained for productive activities in the job market. So do I. So do I. That's the whole purpose of this, but the Amendment doesn't do that. It, in fact, kills the Bill. I would urge your defeat of Amendment #1."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Vinson?"

Vinson\$: M"Well, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could not have leave of the House so that this Bill could be taken out of the record for a few minutes so that the two Members could try to consummate their negotiations and arrive at a reasonable agreement, and so that..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson..."

Vinson: "...can. We can come back to the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "I'm not sure what your sort of a Motion to assist... I'm not sure what your... how I parliament... catalogue it it. If the Sponsor wishes to take the Bill... asks leave to take the Bill out of the record for a short period of time, we'll take it out and come back to it. She says 'no', so... Mr. Levin, on the Bill... on the Amendment. I'm sorry."

Levin: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I concur with both Cullerton Representative Representative Braun. I think that there is negotiations that are going on in terms of this legislation. You know. I think we are going to be able to reach an accommodation. This Amendment, however, does not, you know, clearly does not resolve the problems. It... It does not do even what the Sponsor of the Amendment suggests. And we do have situation, I know, that affects my district. It's one of the reasons I joined in sponsoring this... this We... This Bill makes a lot of sense because it's going to allow people who want to get off the welfare rolls to be able to... They'll get the education they need in order get off the rolls. It's very consistent with workfare, and, you know, for the moment, I would urge that we defeat the Amendment. And I'm sure that we'll be able to reach an accommodation."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Mr... There being none,

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Mr. McCracken to close. The question is, 'shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of Chair... In the opinion or the Chair, we'll have a Roll Call. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 32 voting 'aye', 71 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the Motion... the Amendment fails. Purther Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1475, Mr. Giorgi. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1475, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments? Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Br. Giorgi, a fiscal note has been requested and not filed, so we'll hold the Bill on Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Second Reading."

Speaker Greiman: "On Second Reading, I mean, yes. Alright.

Excuse me, Mr. Christensen, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Alright. Second Reading... On the Order of Second Reading House Bills appears House Bill 1478. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1473, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Illinois Act on Aging. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

- LeFlore."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. LeFlore, on Amendment #1."
- Leflore: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to table Amendment...

 Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman asks to withdraw Amendment #1.

 Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

 Bowman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Amendment #2."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 simply provides a definition of homemaker services. The Bill itself pertains to homemaker services. I think a definition in the Bill is appropriate and, consequently, I offer this Amendment and move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1478. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?" All in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed "no". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 1479. Mr. Clerk. Mr.

 LePlore, 1479. Out of the record. On the Order of House

 Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1482. Mr. Clerk,

 read the Bill.:"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1482, a Bill for an Act to create the Jasper County Community Center Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1486. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1486, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Mobile Home Landlord and Tenant Act.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "I with to ... wish to withdraw #1."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1, by the Gentleman from Jersey, is withdrawn. Purther Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Ryder, amends House Bill 1486."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Ryder, on Floor Amendment #2."

Byder: "#2 deletes a portion of the Bill, which permits
management of mobile home areas to require prior approval
for perspective purchasers and other language which posed a
problem in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Ryder, has moved for the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 1486. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by... I'm sorry. Mr. Cullerton, I'm sorry."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question?"

Cullerton: "Representative Ryder, Amendment #1 had some technical problems. Does... were you aware of those?"

Ryder: "I withdrew #1, yes."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Cullerton: "Oh, you did. Okay. Fine. Fine. So Amendment #2
attempts to do what Amendment #1 did but in a different
fashion, or..."

Ryder: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Okay. Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1491. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1491, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading, On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1492, Mr. McAuliffe. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1492, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Fish Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading, On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1493, Mr. Wait. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1493, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "None_"

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill... Yes, Mr. Friedrich,

 for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Priedrich: "I'm just going to handle those next three Bills.

 Representative Keane's not on the floor."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. On the Order of House Bills Second
 Reading appears House Bill 1496. Mr. Clerk, read the
 Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1496, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to uncollected claims and accounts receivable by state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Dwight Friedrich, amends House BIll 1496..."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, on Floor Amendment #1."
- Friedrich: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. Give me just a minute. I
 guess we'll have to withdraw it. I don't have a copy of
 the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, you want to take this out of..."
- Friedrich: "Yes, temporarily."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Friedrich, it's apparently quite short. We'll let the Clerk read the Amendment."
- Friedrich: "Okay."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Adds the language, '...if the agency has pursued all reasonable means of collection'."
- Friedrich: "Okay. That is... That is the Amendment. This is a Legislative Audit Commission Bill, and that was asked for by the Auditor General."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1496. Is there any

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1500. Mr. Friedrich,

will you take that one too? Alright. Oh, Mr. Keane is

here now, I see. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1500, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois State Auditing Act. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1502. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1502, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the construction, operation, regulation and maintenance of a system of toll highways. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1504. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1504, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to authorize the organization of public health districts for the establishment and maintenance of a health departments for the same. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 1507, Ms. Younge. Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1507, a Bill for an Act to add

 Sections to an Act to create the Minority and Female

 Business Enterprise Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No

 Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 1508. Mr. Clerk, read

 the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1508, a Bill for an Act to create a pilot program for the creation of energy through the disposable waste. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Wyvetter Younge, amends House Bill 1508."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from St. Clair, Ms. Younge, on Amendment #1."
- Younge: "Withdraw the Amendment. Withdraw the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, the Lady moves... The Lady withdraws

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 1508. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 1510, Mr. Mautino. Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1510, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Liquor Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, on Amendment #1."

Hautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 was the request of the administration and the Liquor Control Commission addressing the question of the five percent provisions for licensure. In other words, if a person who applies for a license has five percent or less ownership in the facility and the residency is not in that geographical area, that will not stop that entity from having a license in that city. It affects only those businesses that do the majority of their business in nonliquor related fields, such as hotels, motels, et cetera. And I move for the adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1510. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1517. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1517, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

38th Legislative Day

- May 10, 1985
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 1518. Mr. Clerk, read

 the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1518, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Lottery Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Giorgi."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, on Amendment #1."
- Giorgi: "What this Amendment does, it exempts the Lottery Control
 Board from the bidding procedures as exemplified throughout
 state law because of the peculiar security and peculiar
 type of ticket that the Lottery has to use. So I urge the
 adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1518. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you. Will the Gentleman yield?

 Representative, is a low bid still a component in deciding to whom to award the contract?"
- Giorgi: "Yes, it would."
- McCracken: "It that a dispositive consideration or is that only one of a number of considerations?"
- Giorgi: "It's one consideration because of the peculiarity, and the security and the... the need for tickets and the constant change of the games, the marketability, the imagination, the creativity of the people who do it. We just can't be confined to the regular..."
- McCracken: "To the low bidder?"
- Giorgi: "Right. You know, it's one of those things that..."

38th Legislative Day May 10, 1985

McCracken: "Are there... Are there any..."

Giorgi: "If it isn't broke, you don't fix it, you know."

McCracken: "Right. Are there any parameters..."

Giorgi: "The good judgment of the Republican administration, who..."

McCracken: "Okay..."

Giorgi: "...appoints... Lottery Control Board and so on."

McCracken: "I see John 'Hedrick' over there. Is this an administration Bill? Okay. Thank you."

Giorgi: "Clean... Clean shot."

McCracken: "John, why aren't you over here with this good administration Bill?"

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1519. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1519, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1520. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1520, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the County Home Act. Second Reading of the

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1523, Mr. Terzich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1523, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to create sanitary districts and remove
obstructions in the Des Plaines and Illinois rivers.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1528. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 1529. Mr. Clerk, read... Out of the... Out of the

record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears

House Bill 1531. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1531, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee?"

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1534, Mr. Shaw. Out of
the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading
appears House Bill 1535. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1535, a Bill for an Act in relation to the reporting of abused and neglected children. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Hastert."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hastert, the Gentleman from Kendall."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman (sic - Speaker), Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 basically does two things. The first thing it does is deletes a provision in the Bill putting a six month statute of limitation on some child abuse reports... we're deleting that focus, and DCFS does support this change. And we also, in addition to removing that Section, we make some technical changes in the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1535.

And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1539, Mr. Curran. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1539, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to the civil rights of municipal employees. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1540. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1540, a Bill for an Act to permit certain municipalities to utilize quick-take eminent domain procedures. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Floor Amendment #2."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's a technical Amendment, merely adds the underlining to some language which was not underlined in the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1540. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question?"

Vinson: "Representative Cullerton, you're Amendment would provide for quick-take powers for the CTA? Is that correct?"

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes..."

Cullerton: "I'd like to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon?"

Cullerton: "I'd like to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendment?
Withdraw 2. 2 is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1544. Out of the record.

Under House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1547.

Ms. Alexander, 1547. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1547, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to

counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1552, Mr. Steczo. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1553. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1555. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1555, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Construction Evaluation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Ronan."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan, on Floor
 Amendment #1."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Floor
 Amendment #1 changes the composition of the board that I'm
 recommending be established for this Act. I'd like the
 members of the board to include the Auditor General,
 Director of the Bureau of the Budget and Director of the
 Department of Central Management Services to be... or their
 designess to be the members of the board."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1555. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Second Reading appears House Bill 1556. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1557. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1558. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1558, a Bill for an Act to authorize pari-mutuel wagering at the state fairs and county fairs.

 Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Vitek..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. I'm advised that the Amendments to this are not printed, so I would ask leave to return to this Bill at such time as they are. Alright, do I have leave? The House gives leave. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1564. Out of the record. House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1566, Mr. Churchill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1566, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Code of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of
 the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

 Johnson."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson.
 Mr... Mr. Churchill, are you seeking recognition?"
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an Amendment that
 was agreed upon in Committee that we would put it on. It's
 an Amendment that takes away a mandate and puts in
 something that would be permissive, and I would accept the
 Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are you sure Mr. Johnson doesn't want to withdraw it?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Churchill: "No. He asked in the Committee that it be put on, and I told him that I would accept it being placed on it."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from Lake moves for adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1566. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the guestion is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment... House Amendment #1 is hereby adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1567, Mr. Steczo. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1578, Mr. Peterson. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1578, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to clerks
of courts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Beading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 1589. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House
Bill 1596, Mr. Steczo. Out of the record. On the Order of
House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1598. Out of
the record. Is that correct? Out of the record? Okay.

Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second
Reading appears House Bill 1614, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Dunn in the
chamber? Mr. Dunn. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1614, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Dunn, amends..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, on Floor Amendment \sharp 1."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was discussing related subject matter with some other Members; but Amendment #1 to House Bill 1614 is Amendment which will provide automatically a restricted driving permit for someone whose license has been suspended or revoked if that suspension is for the first time there was no injury or death. And what the terms and conditions, the restrictions, the confinement. limitations upon the restricted driving permit are simply to get to and from work and if the vehicle is... vehicle is needed in the course of employment to permit that also. The purpose of this Amendment is to allow those who... who do bad things, whose licenses are suspended or revoked to continue to go to work, however, and to support themselves and support their families. At the present time, as many of you know around the State of Illinois, if a license is... suspended or revoked, even though it may be for the first time, even though there may be no... injury, no death, the ... the driver must walk for a minimum 120 days. All over downstate Illinois that means you lose your job. If you break into a grocery store after dark and commit buglarly and it's a first offense, you get probation. And the first thing the probation officer tells you is, 'Be sure to go to work'. We're telling people whose drivers license are suspended that you cannot go to work."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Dunn. Excuse me."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Dunn: "Yes."

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ronan, were you seeking to... for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Roman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. During the last Session, we had a Bill that the optometrists fought the opthomologists, and I assume you are on neither side of that issue, because it appears that House Bill 1598 then jumped to 1614 on the Calendar. And I just wanted to make sure that your Calendar is not incorrectly printed."
- Speaker Greiman: "1598 was, I think, taken out of the record. In any event, we're in the middle of Mr. Dunn's Bill..."
- Ronan: "No, no, Mr. Speaker, I just want... I have a question."

 Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Ronan."
- Ronan: "We had House Bill 1598. Then it appears that we're on House Bill 1614. I just want to know how that event occurred..."
- Speaker Greiman: "I just opened up the curtains. Maybe you're correct. Maybe there weren't good visibility. So, Mr. Dunn, proceed."
- Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. So what I'm asking in House Bill 1614 is for just a little touch of mercy in the event a license is suspended; that you can't go to the tavern, you can't go to work... Shall I proceed, Mr. Speaker?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn, excuse me. Yes, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, if the Gentleman is saying... if Mr. Ronan is saying that this Bill is carried on the Calendar wrong,

 I'd like him to be able to finish his point...'
- Speaker Greiman: "He finished his point, but go ahead. Proceed."

 Vinson: "I'd like him to be able to finish his point so that we can get to the bottom of this."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Ronan, back to you, Sir. Did you have a point?"

Ronan: "Yeah, Representative Greiman."

Speaker Greiman: "Had you finished it?"

Ronan: "I just wanted to check your vision standards. As I mentioned earlier, House Bill 1598 was called and taken out of the record, and I just would like to understand the progression process and how we got to House Bill 1614."

Speaker Greiman: "We'll get back to you, Mr. Bonan. Mr. Dunn, proceed."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, once again what House Bill Dunn: 1614, Amendment #1 does, so all the Members will know, is it says that restricted driving permits shall be issued on the occasion of a first suspension if there is no injury or death, and these restricted driving permits automatically issued and they shall be automatically issued only for the purpose of going to and from work and for work purposes arising out of and in the course of employment. The purpose of the Amendment is to enable someone who does a bad thing and no one is hurt, no injury results, to continue to go to work. If you have to lose your license in downstate Illinois and wait 30, 60, 90 days, 120 days to even apply to get a hearing to get a restricted driving permit, you can't get to work, you lose your job. Jobs are very precious all around downstate Illinois as they are everywhere in this state. If you have a job you ought to be able to keep it. As I said earlier, if you commit burglary, the very first thing the probation officer says, *By gosh, if you've got a job, make sure you get to work and keep that job!. We are telling people it's policy in the State of Illinois that you have to lose your job if your drivers license is suspended. That punishment doesn't fit the crime. Those whose licenses are suspended should

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

required to go to work and all over downstate, especially - a motor vehicle is needed to get to and work and for work purposes. This would not allow anyone to visit the tavern, would not allow them to even go to church or a doctor, just to get to and from work. I would ask your support of this Amendment and indicate subsequently there will be a Bill coming up that will put restricted driving permits in the hands of Judges. may be a good step, but even that Bill says there shall be a 30 day period during which the driver has to walk. suggest to all of you who have had contact from your constituents about the problem with restricted driving permits, anybody who has to walk for 30 days is in serious jeopardy of losing a job. If you don't have a job, you're not entitled to one of these permits. If you have a you should have one of these permits so you can get to and from work and do your job and be a tax paying, contributing citizen to the State of Illinois while your suffering the ignominy of not be able to drive for other purposes. I urge an affirmative vote on Amendment #1 to House Bill 1614."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Macon moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1614. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's somewhat of an awkward position to take, because, in the past, I have sponsored Bills that do exactly what Representative Dunn wishes to do. However, this year, when Rep... when Mr. Edgar made some proposals, we had negotiations that went on for a number of weeks concerning the DUI package. Including... Included in those negotiations were representatives from the various bar associations and the Secretary of State's Office. Now one

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

of the major accomplishments of that compromise was to provide for judicial driving permits for first offenders, and that's what this Amendment deals with. Representative Dunn is correct in that he is as suspicious of the Secretary of State's record with regard restricted driving permits as I am: but, because of this compromise - and, of course, you know, the compromise hasn't been passed yet so I can see why Representative Dunn would want to push for this Amendment - as a result of that compromise, we're going to have a situation where the Judges will be in a position to issue judicial driving permits, not the Secretary of State's Office. So what this Amendment says is that the Secretary of State's Office must a restricted driving permit, but what the Bill that's presumably going to pass says is that the Judges shall judicial driving permits and there are no restrictions. There is considerations that they must into account in whether or not they should issue a judicial driving permit, but there are no restrictions. So, I think that we're going to find that Judges who have the person right in front of them who will ask that person where they work, how far is it they have to go to work, get some verification that they are employed, listen in a compassionate way perhaps than some hearing officer as to the hardship that will come to the family if they don't get the right to drive. And I think that it will be a improvement. Now ... So the Amendment would be something that I would support only if we didn't compromise. But we do have the compromise. The Secretary of State has given in on certain things that he wants, and in on certain things that we, being the representatives of the bar association, would want. think that to pass this Amendment would show a little bad

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

faith with regard to this negotiation process that we had.

I know Representative Dunn, you know, wasn't a party to those negotiations, and he certainly has the right, as any Member here has the right to, you know, reject those negotiations. But I think when you consider that we have an enormous package that was negotiated which directly deals with the problem that he is addressing with this Amendment, I think the best thing would be to not vote for the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage,
Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he'll yield for questions."

McCracken: "Representative Dunn, the Bill in Committee did not address directly this subject matter, is that correct?"

Dunn: "It addresses the Illinois Motor Vehicle Code. It does not... did not address the same Section. That's correct."

McCracken: "And essentially what the Bill did in Committee was...
was... Well, maybe I should ask you. As considered by the
Committee, what did the Bill do?"

Dunn: "As considered by the Committee, the Bill, prior to consideration of this Amendment, the Bill proposes to change a Section which will allow the Secretary of State to suspend a license for a fender-bender collision in which one party suffers a hangnail. The current Code allows the Secretary to suspend a driver's license where there has been one conviction of a traffic offense of any kind or nature, where there is an injury and there is no limitation upon the seriousness of the injury. So, literally, in a fender-bender where one party suffers a broken fingernail, the Secretary can suspend and the problem is the Secretary has suspended. I'm informed that the Secretary attempted to suggested regulations to JCAR to correct this,

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

but I think we need to have policy set forth in the State of Illinois that we're not in the business of suspending drivers' licenses for minor traffic offenses."

McCracken: "Okay. That Bill, prior to this Amendment as considered in Committee, did not concern itself with the availability of automatic restricted driving permits, did it?"

Dunn: "That's correct."

McCracken: "Didn't talk about anything about a undue hardship requirement relative to automatic RDPs?"

Dunn: "We're talking about Amendment #1..."

McCracken: "Well, the point I'm trying to make is Amendment #1

is... is... while it may be germane within the meaning of
the rule, deals with an entirely different subject matter
than was passed upon by the Committee."

Dunn: "Well, I... I don't know that it's an entirely different subject matter. It addresses the Illinois Vehicle Code. And typically we get into these discussions when the Sponsor of the main legislation is not in agreement with the text of the Amendment. However, if you'll look at House Bill 1614, you'll find that I am the only main Sponsor. And if you look at the Amendment, I am the only Sponsor of the Amendment. I agree with the Bill, and I agree with the Amendment. So I don't have any problem."

McCracken: "Are you familiar with the provisions in the Section or the Bill that Representative Cullerton was referring to?"

Dunn: "The proposed agreement on..."

McCracken: "Yes, Sir."

Dunn: "Only in a preliminary fashion. As you know, I was just discussing that with you. The concern I have about the proposal is that it will require, under all circumstances, that someone who receives a suspension or revocation will

38th Legislative Day

May 10. 1985

have to be without the use of a motor vehicle for an absolute minimum of 30 days and probably longer. And what I am suggesting to this Membership is that there are many, many cases where suspension is proper, where there should be automatically issued a driving permit to allow that person to go to and from work and to use the car for work purposes only. And I think ... I think we're being shortsighted if we don't take that into consideration. had the proposed legislation allows for a judicial driving permits and puts discretion in Judges but mandates to Judges that they make everyone walk for a minimum of 30 days. And I am here to suggest to this Body that everyone walk for 30 days is going to deprive people of employment, and I don't think that's the policy of this Body or the policy of the State of Illinois, particularly in these tough times."

McCracken: "Okay, to the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Sir."

McCracken: "I agree with Representative Cullerton. I know that Representative Dunn was not a personal party to those negotiations. However, I think all four Leaders have signed off on the agreed Bill of Secretary Edgar and that that, in fact, will be considered by this Body in a short time. One of the main tradeoffs in that generally agreed Bill is that the Judges, for the first time, will be given the opportunity to issue what we call driving permits, restricted driving permits controlled by the Judiciary. The 30 day hard suspension Representative Dunn refers to reflects a substantial change in the law. I agree with that. It's deterrence value is undisputed and was agreed to by all the bar associations, by all of the Leaders of both chambers and is an agreement which has a broad concensus among the public

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

generally, and I stand in opposition to this Amendment. It should be defeated. The subject matter is being covered in a Bill which was the subject of a great deal of negotiation and work."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Byder."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to speak also on the Amendment. I believe, given the circumstances here, and I'm not just speaking of the circumstances of Amendment - I'm talking about the circumstances that this Amendment addresses, which is requiring the Secretary of I understand the three problems, or I should say criteria, that Representative Dunn has indicated in this but I don't believe that those cover all of them. I helieve there could very easily he circumstances in which this restricting driving permit should not be issued, even if it meets the three criteria that the Amendment speaks to. ... a consequence requiring, giving absolutely no leeway to the Secretary of State on this restricted driving permit could mean that we're allowing people back out on the roads for their jobs or for whatever other reason, allowing those people back out on the roads, and they're going to endanger the safety of the people of the State of Illinois. We've had Bills here talking about seatbelts in school buses and seatbelts in We've talked at prior times about speed limits and about the safety of our roads. But this single issue are the people that commit more deaths on the road than any other source, and I find it very difficult for you and I to go back to our constituents and say we voted for a Bill that would allow these people back out on the road. especially when you go back to a community such as one in my district in which a person who would fit this category, by the way, killed a mother when she was out on a bicycle

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

riding with her son and her husband. And in the company of those two, this drunk driver killed that mother. He could have been out on the road prior there with a restricted driving permit. It's very difficult for me to believe that this Amendment would... voting for this Amendment would be easy to explain in that and I urge a 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill. Yes, Mr. Dunn, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Dunn: "I'd like to respond to the ..."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Dunn, he didn't frame it in a question. You'll have an opportunity to close. Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Before I begin to ask some questions, I would like to request a Roll Call vote on this Amendment. And will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question?"

Churchill: "Representative Dunn, I was trying to think of some possible situations where a suspension would be given, and I think the one that perhaps came to mind first was a situation where somebody is driving down the road and there is a school bus dropping off kids. The arm is out. The lights are flashing. The kids are crossing the road, and the driver does not obey that stop sign and either goes through and perhaps kills a child getting off a school bus. In this Amendment, the way I read the Amendment, if the driver's license was to be suspended, there will be an automatic restricted driving permit to allow this person to continue to drive. Is that true? Will that be the case under your Amendment?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn? Switch... Turn on Mr. Dunn, please."

Dunn: "In answer to the question the answer is no. In that

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

circumstance there would be a death. The Amendment provides that where the offense resulted in death or personal injury of another individual there shall be no automatic restricted drivers permit."

Churchill: "Representative, I think that's true under the first part of your Amendment, but the Section which deals with the surrender and return of a license does not have any restrictions. So I think that if you read Section 6-209, it provides for an automatic RDP. It does not include the language that you have under the previous Section. So I think that that situation that I suggested with the school bus, the person would get an RDP under your Bill."

Dunn: "We're not talking in my Amendment about when the Secretary can issue RDP in a discretionary situation. I'm not attempting to change that in any way. What I'm saying is there is certain instances where the Secretary shall issue a restricted driving permit, and I say in the Amendment that the Secretary shall issue only where there is no injury or death. In your example, you indicated there would be a death involved. And I agree wholeheartedly. There should not be an automatic restricted driving permit, and my Amendment would not cause the issuance of a restricted driving permit."

Churchill: "Representative, I just think if you take a look at your different Sections, 6-113 includes the portion that you're talking about. 6-209, under notice of cancellation, suspension or revocation, surrender and return of license, does not include that same language. And that's the part that mandates the automatic RDP. I think the... you know, what you're trying to do in your Amendment in terms of leaving out the personal injury case is probably valid."

Dunn: "I think you don't understand. What I'm saying is that the Secretary will have the same power before and after this

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

legislation to take away a restricted driving permit. What I'm getting at is the timing of the issuance of one. I'm saying that there are circumstances in which the restricted driving permit ought to be issued automatically to allow the driver to save a job and, if the driver is a breadwinner, to put bread on the table for the family. What I'm also saying is that once that permit is issued, if the Secretary thinks that the driver should not have a permit, the Secretary has every right to bring about a hearing to take it away."

- Churchill: "Okay. So that in a situation we were talking about with a school bus, in the event that there was no personal injury, under the first Section, there would still be a situation where there would be a suspension in..."
- Dunn: "No. No, our law is that... if I recall correctly, that

 you have to get the second ticket for passing a school bus
 before there is a suspension."
- Churchill: "I see. How about in a wreckless driving situation?

 Is that subject to suspension?"
- Dunn: "I'm not an expert about this, but I think that may be in the mandatory suspension Section."
- Churchill: "Okay. So in other words, if a person was ticketed for wreckless driving, that person would have a suspension and then come back in and get an RDP under your Amendment."
- Dunn: "If it was the first suspension, if there has not been a prior suspension or revocation within three years and if there was no injury or death, yes, for work purposes only..."

Churchill: "Okay."

Dunn: "... not for church, not for taverns, not for graduate school, not for marriage counseling, not for alcohol counseling, not for anything except going to and from and doing work. And what's wrong with that?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Churchill: "One final question, Representative. Then how would this Amendment effect subsequent offenses so that, you know, if the person had received the suspension with the RDP and then another offense occurred two or three days later? Would they then come back and also have a chance for an RDP?"

Dunn: "It doesn't change the statute, because there would be, in that event, a second suspension. And my Amendment makes it perfectly clear that it applies only in the event of a first suspension. If there is a second suspension, you're in the hands of the Secretary of State and you're exposed to whatever he or she might do to you."

Churchill: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, to the Amendment. I rise in opposition to this Amendment. I think that even though the questions I've asked are somewhat confusing, it is confusing that someone can commit an offense for which a suspension should be issued and that if that person going to receive in the mail the suspension of their driving privileges and at the same time receive restricted driving permit, then we've done nothing to the person. We might as well just have said, 'Well, you don't get the suspension. You don't get any tickets. Just go out and commit makem on the roads if you want to. And for that reason, I rise in opposition."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Would the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he'll yield for a question."

Hastert: "Representative Dunn, I have a few questions on this one, that I've been listening to the debate and trying to figure this out. If what your Bill... or your Amendment to the Bill does is say that if somebody is in jeopardy of losing his license or, in fact, does have the three convictions, loses his license or has a drunk driving

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

conviction and loses his licenses, he automatically gets a restricted license as long as there is not the death factor and those types of things. Is that correct?"

Dunn: "As long as... There are three factors which would prohibit the issuance of a restricted driving permit. One of them is injury or death. The other is that the offense must not... there must not have been a suspension or revocation within three years, and the... actually two. Those two."

Hastert: "The suspension must not have been what now? More than three years?"

Dunn: "Yes, that's right."

Hastert: "Alright. And then is there any mitigating circumstances; otherwise, we're talking about the economic factor here of people keeping jobs and going to work and mothers that have to get kids to school and things like that. Is there anything how close he lives to work or..."

Dunn: "If you would be interested in liberalizing the Amendment, we could talk about that. But what I wanted to do is let people who are not arch fiends, who are not candidates for the penitentiary get to and from work if they lose their drivers license."

Hastert: "Well, that..."

Dunn: "This may not be a popular position, but we were not sent here in all respects to do popular things. The people of this state who have jobs ought to be able to protect those jobs and go to work, and that's what I'm about doing. And I'm saying to the State of Illinois, to the Secretary of State, if this becomes law, that the Secretary of State will have an adequate means if he thinks a restricted driving permit has been issued to an arch fiend, to immediately begin proceedings to take it away. But there... what I'm doing is addressing the gap between the suspension and the issuance of a hardship permit because

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

that gap at the current time causes people to lose their jobs without good reason, therefore, and I want to close that gap and say, 'You can get to work'."

- Hastert: "Well, Representative, that wasn't the question. The question was, is there any certain circumstances to be considered about how far somebody lives from work, if they live in the rural area or the city area, before they're getting this restricted license? That's all I asked. Is there a consideration before the restricted license is granted?"
- Dunn: "Well, if you can conjure up how to articulate what the...

 the route from home to work is and how long or how short it

 will be, I would accept the language. The language in the

 Bill is taken exactly from the language in the Workers'

 Compensation Act which uses the language substantially in

 this form, arising out of and in the course of employment

 and then to and from work. And I think that the answer to

 your question really is that if someone is on the road and

 they are 50 miles from home in a tavern and that's not on

 the way to and from work, and they don't belong in the

 tavern, they have violated the conditions of a restricted

 driving permit and subject themselves to quick and prompt

 and swift revocation of that permit."
- Hastert: "So I could assume from that answer that there...
 somebody automatically gets that restricted license. There
 are no subjective..."
- Dunn: "If he meets the preconditions. That's correct. There are two preconditions."
- Hastert: "Thank you. Mr. Chairman, spokes... Mr. Speaker, to the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Hastert, please go ahead. Proceed, Sir."
- Hastert: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I credit the Sponsor

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

of this Bill. He is trying to address a problem, and that's keep people working. And I've had people... those people come into my office and... after three convictions and they've lost their license or they have been convicted of DUI and they've lost their license and all of a sudden they say, you know, 'I can't get to work or I need to keep my job, and I need some type of a special dispensation. personally haven't been very successful or probably very feeling for those people. It seems to me, with the life... the letters that I get in my office from people and then the letters I get from groups like MADD, Mothers Against Drunk Drivers, SADD, Students Again Drunk Drivers - these types of things and these people are saying constituents are saying, 'Get these people off the road'. I think the Representative from Decatur makes a good point. You know, these people aren't fiends bound for prison. have strengthened our laws in criminal justice in the last few years and sent more criminals to jail. I think, however, the argument would overlap into this area, and I think we might take a look in saying, as people say, let's get those people who commit crimes off our streets'. Basically what we're saying here and the voice of the people of this state is saying, 'Let's take people who don't drive well, or who are hazards on the road, or who are drunk drivers, or who have had a record of drunk driving and committing hazards on the road, let's get off the streets'. I think this Amendment does just the opposite of what the public intent is. And certainly the intent of this Legislature over the last few years is to address that subject. And I would ask that you would defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Wolf, the Gentleman from Madison, moves the previous question. All in favor of the previous question

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the previous question will be put. Mr. Dunn, to close."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Dunn: the Just a couple of things. This Amendment has nothing to do with who loses or doesn't lose a license. This Amendment has nothing to do with who does or who doesn't get restricted driving permits, except in a circumstances. What this Amendment does do is state, in the form of policy, that not everyone whose license is suspended or revoked is an arch fiend. If your license is suspended or revoked for three minor... or actually suspended for three minor speeding violations you're not an arch fiend. If your license is suspended for any one of a number of categories of discretionary authorization on the part of the Secretary of State, you're not an arch fiend. You should be able to go to work. In our Criminal Code, if you commit burglary, the probation officer will tell you to go to work. As a matter of fact, you could go out and steal a car and get probation and drive to work. You can steal a car and drive to work. But if the Secretary of State gets your driver's license, you can't get to work. What I'm saying to you is have a little mercy. Mercy does season justice. For God's sake, eliminate the gap. If it's a first suspension, say you can go to and from work and you can drive for work purposes. If you're naughty, take the permit away, but don't make people downstate walk. Pass this Amendment. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed

vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish?

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.

Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 49

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

voting 'aye', 54 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1604. Mr. Ronan, did you
wish that Bill called?"

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate the opportunity to have my Bill heard today. We've got a number of Amendments filed, but I still think it's important that we consider the issue, and I'd like to have the Bill taken out of the record."

Speaker Greiman: "Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1624, Mr. Flinn. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1636, Ms. Satterthwaite. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1641. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1641, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act relating to certain investments of
public funds by public agencies. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1647. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 1648, Mr. Bowman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1648, a Bill for an Act in relation to property rights of aliens. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Second Reading appears House Bill 1651, Mr. Levin. Out of the record. House Bill 1656, Mr. Levin. Out of the record. Mr. Levin, you want to go with 1656? Mr. Clerk, read 1656."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1656, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business
Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Huff, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 1656."

Huff: "Ar. Speaker, I'm going to... I have to withdraw this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 will be withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Yes, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "... pick up 1651?"

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon?"

Levin: "Can we go back and pick up 1651?"

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1651. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1651, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No... Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments? I mean, any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Levin."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, on Amendment #2."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is simply a technical cleanup of Amendment #1. There were some bad references in Amendment #1 and some language that should have been listed as deleted that was not. There is no substantive changes in Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1651. And on that, is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1663, Mr. Ryder. 1663.

Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1665. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1667, Mr. Terzich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1667, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "No Floor Amendments, but there is a pension impact note requested so the Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Reading appears House Bill 1671. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1671, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1671. Out of the record.

I'm sorry, 1677. Out of the record. On the Order of House

Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1680. Mr. Clerk,

read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1680, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1681. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1681, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker "Gleiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1682. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1682, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Chicago World's Fair 1992 Authority Act.
Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Second Reading appears House Bill 1683. Mr. lerk, read the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1685. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1685, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1687. Mr. Van Duyne, 1687? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1687, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Financial Assistance Program for capital development projects within Illinois port districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1694. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 1699. Ms. Younge? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1699, a Bill for an Act to create the Urban Civilian Youth Service to address the problems of unemployment among youths in urban areas. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 1714. Mr. Clerk, read

 the Bill.:"
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1714, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, White."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. White, on Floor
 Amendment #1."
- White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd
 like to have leave to table Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman requests... to withdraw... table

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 1714. It's withdrawn. Further

 Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative White."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. White, on Amendment #2."
- White: "I'd like to offer Amendment #2. Amendment 2 simply removes a word 'food' and 'food stuffs' and replaces it with 'perishable foods'. And this language was asked for by the Members of the Committee, and I assured them that I would do just that. And so now I'd like to offer Amendment 2 to House Bill 1714."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. White, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1714. Is there any discussion? The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative White, the Amendment is precisely what was requested by the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee, and I urge its adoption.

 Thank you."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, do you have a definition... Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Cullerton: "What the Bill does apparently is to allow contracting for food and beverages without competitive bidding. Is that correct? And you're changing food and beverage to parishable foods and beverages."

White: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "What is the reason for the Amendment?"

White: "First of all, the Chicago Board of Education seemed to think that they could save 1.5 million dollars by aborting the bidding process or going around the bidding process especially on perishable foods. It takes about six months for them to initiate the bidding process. And by the time the food is delivered to the school, the prices have greatly reduced. So they think they could save a great deal of money, especially..."

Cullerton: "So this is going to save some money."

White: "Pardon me?"

Cullerton: "This is going to save some money, right?"

White: "1.5 million seems like a lot of money."

Cullerton: "Sounds like a fine Amendment."

White: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? None. Mr. White, to close."

White: "Just ask for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1714."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?'

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1717. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1717, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Agricultural Areas Conservation and
Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1722. Out of the record. Yes, Mr. Keane, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask leave to place House
Bill 1133 in Interim Study."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman asks leave of the House to place
House Bill 1133 on Interim Study. Does the Gentleman have
leave? Leave is granted, and the Bill will be placed in
the Interim Study Calendar. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading, on page 24 of the Calendar, appears House
Bill 1730. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1730, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to contribution among joint tortfeasors. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1740, Ms. Younge. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1740, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act creating the Department of Children and
Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Beading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1741. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1743. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1746. Mr. Turner? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1746, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1752, Mr. Leverenz. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1756. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1756, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Radiation Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1763. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1763, a Bill for an Act concerning the operation of vending facilities at highway rest stops.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Vinson."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on Floor Amendment #1."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly...

pardon me. Floor Amendment #1 to this Bill is an equal
opportunity provision."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me."

Vinson: "What it does..."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Vinson. Mr. Levin, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Levin: "Can we take the Bill out of the record for a few minutes?

Leadership doesn't have the Amendment, and I haven't seen it either."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Leave to take the Bill out of the record and return to the Bill at the appropriate time.

Leave."

Vinson: "Deaf people aren't going to like that."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1765. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1765, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Credit Card Act. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell, on Amendment #1."

O'Connell: "Amendment #1 brings back the class of crime from a Class IV which was in the original Bill back to Class A misdemeanor, which is the current law under the Credit Card Act. And it also reduces the amount... the amount of fine which the original Bill had at 100,000 dollars to 50,000 dollars and also reduces the penalty from 300 in the original Bill down to 150 dollars.. the amount... not the penalty rather, but the amount of theft from 150... rather from 300 down to 150."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House bill 1765.

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. We will return to House Bill
1752 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading. Mr.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1752, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1767. Mr. McAuliffe?

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1767, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act in relation to firearms training for
peace officers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative McAuliffe."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe, on Amendment #1."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

Floor Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment that the

Democratic Leadership asked me to introduce. I'm complying

with their wishes."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe, moves

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1767. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1769. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1769, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Vinson."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on Floor
 Amendment #1."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1769 merely exempts home rule units from the operation of the proposed statute. The statute says that no person shall operate a helicopter for raising, lowering or, otherwise, moving any external objects unless the helicopter has two operating engines. I believe that in many cases home rule units are better able to deal with that problem in a home rule unit area and would move for adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1769. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Giorgi: "Representative Vinson, how do you feel that Amendment is

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

going to improve my Bill?"

Vinson: "Well, Representative, I know that with your record on home rule in Winnebago, that you might have problems with the problem with the idea of respecting the rights of home rule units of government. I believe that home rule units of government, where most of this kind of activity probably occurs, ought to be able to deal with the problem because just the general principle of protecting home rule units of government."

Giorgi: "Representative Vinson, in your vivid imagination, what home rule city did you like to see steel beams cascading from the sky on the... hapless citizens? What city can you imagine did you like steel beams flying around in the air because the helicopter wasn't able to maintain its momentum and keep the steel beams from falling through the sky? What city do you imagine you'd like to see those steel beams falling from the sky? In what city?"

Vinson: "None."

Giorgi: "Do you have a home rule city in your district?"

Vinson: "No. None."

Giorgi: "Well, I just..."

Vinson: "The answer to your first question though is none, Sir."

Giorgi: "You don't imagine... You wouldn't want to go on record as imaging steel beams cascading from the sky in any city, right? You don't want to be on the record on that, do you?"

Vinson: "Oh, I can... I don't... I have no problem in going on record in imagining steel beams cascading from the sky. I don't have a problem with imagining steel beams cascading from the sky. Is your imagination so limited that you can't imagine steel beams cascading from the sky, Sir?"

Giorgi: "I don't want to be a party to your sinful imagination."

Vinson: "You don't want to be a party to..."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Giorgi: "Your sinful imagination."

Vinson: "Your imagination cannot conceive of steel beams cascading from the sky?"

Giorgi: "My imagination can see you flying... riding the steel beam from the sky somewhere on a helicopter."

Vinson: "You know..."

Giorgi: "This is a frivilous Amendment."

Vinson: "You know, Sir, yielding to the temptations of demagoguery is below you."

Giorgi: "He's gutting my Bill. Here is a chance for the Members of the Democratic side to show a guy that's been trying to scuttle your Bills for years, send him down in booming defeat."

Vinson: "What home rule unit do you have in your district?

Identify one."

Giorgi: "One steel beam, or one helicopter or one home rule
 city?"

Vinson: "What home rule unit is in your district, Sir?"

Giorgi: "Speak more concisely. Speak in phenominal English."

Vinson: "Where's your home rule unit?"

Giorgi: "Where a home rule unit? There's a hundred of them in Illinois."

Vinson: "What?"

Giorgi: "There are only a hundred home rule units in Illinois.
One home rule county."

Vinson: "What's in your district? Where's one in your district?"

Giorgi: "In my district?"

Vinson: "Yeah."

Giorgi: "I had one."

Vinson: "Yeah, but you don't anymore, do you?"

Giorgi: "Till the Republicans... I had one till the majority of the Republicans voted to abolish home rule in Rockford. It wasn't the Democrats."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Vinson: "Even on a steel beam falling from the sky, as quickly as that would occur, such demagoguery is beneath you."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is... this is frivilous on the part of the Majority Leader. He try... Min... I'm sorry, Minority Leader."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Giorgi, we are not conceding yet. Minority

Leader. Proceed, Mr. Giorgi, on the Amendment."

Giorgi: "And he's been.."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I would appoint... I would be glad to appoint Mr. Giorgi as an Assistant Minority Leader."

Giorgi: "I'm sorry I can't say the same for you, Sam."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Greiman: "To the Amendment, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "According to the Department of Transportation, the advantage of a two engine helecopter is that if one engine fails, the other engine will keep the helecopter in flight. However, the helecopter will still have to drop its load, but the single engine will at least keep the helecopter afloat. I think it's a very serious Amendment. I think that Sam Vinson has made merry here today, but I think we ought to decimate his attempt to defrock my Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "No further discussion, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, to close."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, the Gentleman who spoke about steel beams cascading from the sky and about being against my Amendment on that basis has now admitted and made an admission against interest that those steel beams would still cascade from the sky even... even if his Bill were unamended. The purpose of my Amendment is simple. If we believe in local government, then we ought to believe that home rule can deal with the subject of regulating how many engines helecopters should

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

have when they carry steel beams. And for that reason, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nos' have it, and the Amendment fails. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1770. Mr. Clerk. Mr.

Cullerton, do you wish to proceed. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1770, a Bill for an Act in relation to the filling of judicial vacancies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1774. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1778. Mr. Countryman? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1780. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1780, a Bill for an Act in relation to
the lending of Road Fund money to units of local
governments. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1787. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1787, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1800. Mr. Bowman? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1801, Ms. Didrickson. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1801, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1814. Mr. Hastert? Out of the record. 1815. Mr. Clerk, read the House... read House Bill 1815."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1815, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 1828. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 1832. 1832. Out of the record. On the Order

of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1833. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1833, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1841. Out of the record.

Moving back on the Calendar on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1832. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1832, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act in relation to bonds of contractors
entering into contracts for public construction. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Tate."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 1 simply amends House
Bill 1832 on page two by deleting lines 12 through 16 and
inserting in lieu of 'reasonable approval or disapproval
including suspension by the state or political division
thereof concerned. It was an agreed Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Macon moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1832. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1845. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1845, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1847. Out of the record. 1848, out of the record. 1849, out of the record. 1850, out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1855. Nr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1855, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Ms. Breslin. Representative Breslin, would you come to the podium? On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1857. Out of the record. Representative Daniels, did you want to proceed with 1857, or did you want to hold that out of the record? Alright. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1857, a Bill for an Act in relation to water commissioners and repealing an Act herein named.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1858. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 18..."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1858, a Bill for an Act to amend

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1859, Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1859..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm the principle

Sponsor of House Bill 1859, and I would like to put that

Bill in Interim Study."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has asked to put this Bill on the Order of Interim Study, and the Bill will be recommitted to the Committee from which it was originally assigned on the Order of Interim Study. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Madam Speaker, House Bill 63, can we put that on Interim Study, too?"

Speaker Breslin: "Are you the principle Sponsor?"

Cullerton: "No, Representative Vinson is."

Speaker Breslin: "I'm sorry. The principle Sponsor must make such a request."

Cullerton: "I think that anyone can make a request to put a Bill on Interim Study."

Speaker Breslin: "No, I'm sorry."

Cullerton: "He's sleeping at the switch. I don't hear of any objections."

Speaker Breslin: "Fortunately, the Speaker will be fair, regardless. We are now on the Order of House Bill 1865, Representative Dunn. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1865, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Dunn."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "This is a technical Amendment. I urge the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1865. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1865 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1869, Representative Leverenz. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1868, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1869, Representative McGann and Keane. Out of the record. On page 26 in your Calendar appears House Bill 1871. Out of the record. House Bill 1884, Representative Vinson. Out of the record. House Bill 1885, Representative Vinson - Greiman. Vinson - Greiman. Out of the record. House Bill 1886, Representative Homer. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bouse Bill 1886, a Bill for an Act to add
Sections to the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Leave to withdraw Amendment

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Amendment #4 would amend House Bill 1886 as follows. House Bill 1886 is a Bill which, in part, allows by a front door referendum municipalities to opt to create a special industrial development fund which would permit them, approved by a majority of the voters, to levy a tax not exceeding . 10 cents per hundred dollars valuation for the purpose of creating a fund to purchase land and develop for industrial purposes. Amendment #4 in that regard simply adds townships to those units of government which may participate in this program, but again, and I emphasize, this would be only if approved with a front door referendum by a majority of the voters. Would move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 1886. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "He yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Homer, this Amendment #4 then gives the authority to townships to issue revenue bonds for

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

- certain industrial projects?"
- Homer: "That is correct, if approved by a front door referendum."
- Cullerton: "So if you're opposed to the... if one is opposed to
 the whole concept of townships, if they believe townships
 shouldn't even be in existence, then they would be opposed
 to the Amendment."
- Homer: "Well, I'll leave that to each individual's decision in that regard. But it does nothing more than incorporate the townships into the Bill which would empower municipalities to take advantage of these provisions."
- Cullerton: "So, this would equate... this would give the same powers to townships that municipalities would have under the Bill"
- Homer: "Well, with regard to this specific legislation, I guess that's correct"
- Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Is there any provision in this Amendment that gives townships the permission to issue industrial revenue bonds even when the user of that industrial revenue bond is domicile in a municipality capable of issuing industrial revenue bonds?"
- Homer: "Can you repeat that question, Representative?"
- O'Connell: "In other words, does this... would this Amendment have the effect of preempting a municipality who is willing and capable of issuing an industrial revenue bond?"
- Homer: "There's no preemption feature here. This would be... This is to create... Let me just explain. If passed by a majority of the voters with a referendum, a township under this Amendment could create a special fund whereby... whereby bonds could be issued for the purpose of purchasing, by the township, lands which could then be

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

developed for industrial purposes. But there's no preemption. Under the Bill, municipalities, if this Bill passed, could do the same thing within their own municipal boundaries."

O'Connell: "Well, I... Does this give the authority to issue industrial revenue bonds for a township?"

Homer: "For this limited purpose."

O'Connell: "Well, there's no fund involved in industrial revenue bonds."

Homer: "Right."

O'Connell: "Industrial revenue bonds are payable strictly from the revenues of the user which is a private industry."

Homer: "Correct."

O'Connell: "I guess I don't see how a township could get into..."

Homer: "Alright. The normal... I think maybe it's the matter of semantics, as I understand it. The revenue bonds... This Bill would not or this Amendment would not empower townships to issue industrial revenue bonds, IRBs, which, of course, as you explained, is a situation where the local government merely lends its name to the instrument but does not incur any liability or sell any bonds."

O'Connell: "Right."

Homer: "The developer sells the bonds and receives the benefit of the tax-free status of the IRBs. This Bill does not extend to townships any... any authority to do that. This allows townships, if approved by the voters, to create a special fund for industrial development purposes where the township itself would issue and sell the bonds. The township would be liable to repay those bonds, and the township could purchase land..."

O'Connell: "This has no bearing whatsoever on a township being able to issue industrial revenue bonds."

Homer: "No. No, it does not. It would... It does not have... It

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

does not empower townships to do that at all."

O'Connell: "Okay."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Yeah, Representative Homer, would you yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Ronan: "Yeah, Representative Homer, the leadership of the Town of Liverpool, what's their position on this legislation?"

Homer: "Well, ever since you appeared in the district to hold those hearings, Representative Ronan, the mayor there speaks directly to you and then I have to get that information from you. So I haven't heard from him on this."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,
Representative Homer, to close."

Homer: "Okay. I just simply would ask for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to House Bill 1986 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #5 is a technical Amendment which is necessary in order to correct some language that was in Amendment #4. Amendment #4 initially as drafted was to substitute the words 'townships' where the original Bill had 'municipalities'. But in a portion of the Bill a neglect to do that occurred. So Amendment #5 would simply clean up that language to make it the... the Amendment..."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 1936. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 to House Bill 1886 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative
Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Ask leave to withdraw Amendment #6."

Speaker Breslin: "Amendment #6 is withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative
Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "The Amendment #7 deals with another Section which allows municipalities and counties to demolish abandoned and dangerous structures. And the current law is that if the county or the municipality is required to do that and to condemn property and to demolish it, that if that cannot be recovered from the owner of the property, then that local government gets a lien against the property. But apparently some courts have been narrowly construing what the costs of demolition are for the purpose of determining that lien and have limited that to just the actual raising costs themselves. This Amendment would redefine costs σ£ demolition to include also appraisals, investigative reports and attorneys' fees that are incurred in connection with the demolition or repair. The original Bill already has that redefinition municipalities which condemn. This would simply amend the

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Counties Act with respect to the county's authority to condemn and recover costs. I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 1886. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I don't think we've received this Amendment, Tom-Could you go over it in a little more detail?"

Homer: "Okay."

McCracken: "Give me some background on it?"

Homer: "Okay. Alright. Thank you. Amendment #2 which is on the Bill, Representative McCracken, actually is identical to Amendment #7. The only difference being that Amendment 7 amends the Counties Act; whereas, Amendment #2 amends the Municipal Code. But under current law, if a local... let's take the case of a municipality. If the municipal officials find in their village or city an unsafe and dangerous structure, they have authority to order the owner to demolish it. And if, in fact, the owner refuses, the law allows the municipality to go ahead and undertake that proceeding itself and then says that the municipality shall have a lien for the costs of demolition incured. some courts have said, well, that's only going to be actual costs of going out and demolishing the structure, the amount that was paid to the contractor that went and raised the structure. What this Amendment would do is say, no, that the cost of the demolition is more than It also includes the costs of appraisals, the that. investigative reports and the attorneys fees that the municipality had to incur before they could go out and raise the building. And so it's to give the municipality a lien for all of its actual costs expended for these proceedings. That was Amendment #2 which is... which was

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

adopted in Committee. Amendment 7 says that we'll also make that same definitional revision in the Counties Act because county boards have the same authority out in the unincorporated areas that municipalities have. So we're making it consistent."

McCracken: "Okay, Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 to House Bill 1886 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1900. Out of the record. House Bill 1901, Representative Matijevich. Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1901, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1902, Representative Vinson - Madigan. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1902, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Out of the record. House Bill 1903,

Representative Zwick. Representative Zwick. Out of the
record. House Bill 1912, Representative Johnson. Clerk,
read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1912, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Funeral and Burial Fund Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1914, Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1914, a Bill for an Act to enlarge the corporate limits of the metropolitan sanitary district of greater Chicago. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo? Representative Steczo or Didrickson. Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1915, Representative Woodyard. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1915, a Bill for an Act in relationship to lost and abandoned boats. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1916 Representative Davis. Clerk, read the Bill"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1916, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments".

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1917, Representative Davis. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1917, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1918, Representative

Davis. Out of the record. House Bill 1919, Representative

Ryder. Clerk, read the Bill."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1919, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have been asked to announce that gridiron tickets are on sale with the Illinois Correspondents' Association. If you wish to go to the gridiron dinner on Wednesday evening of next week, you should purchase your tickets as soon as possible. Ladies and Gentlemen, we will now go back to Bills that we have passed over previously that Sponsors have requested that they are ready to go on at this moment. They are Bills on Second Reading. I will give you the number of the Bill, the page that it's located and the Sponsor. The first Bill is House Bill 1192 on page 17 on your Calendar. That's House Bill 1192, Representative Kirkland. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1192, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next one is House Bill 1343. It's on page 19 on your Calendar. House Bill 1343, Representative O'Connell. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1343, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the practice of pharamacy. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, 0°Connell, amends House Bill 1343..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

O'Connell: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #1 is at the request of the Department of R & E and changes the original Bill in that instead of the pharmacy coordinator reporting to the Board of Pharmacy, he will continue to report to the Director of Pharmacy, provides that monies that deposited in the Illinois State Pharmacy Disciplinary Fund shall be invested in order to earn interest. Ιt the number nine investigators down to seven investigators at the request of the Department as a budget measure. it also provides that the Governor ... The original Bill provides that the Governor shall appoint this Disciplinary Board and that those members who currently are on the Board shall continue to serve on the Board until the expiration of their term. The Amendment is at the request of Department of R & E."

Speaker Breslin: "Gentleman has moved for the passage... the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1343. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1343 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill will be House Bill 1453 on page 20 on your Calendar. House Bill 1453, Representative Hicks. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1453, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Local Government Tax Collection Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill is House Bill

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

1558 on page 22 on your Calendar. House Bill 1558, Representative Vitek. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1558, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
to authorize parimutuel wagering at the state fair and at
county fairs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #1, Vitek - et al, amends..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 was requested by Representative Ewing, which would specifically make an effective date for quarter horse racing. And this Amendment would apply to any quarter horse racing that's delegated in the State of Illinois".

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1558. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1558 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Vitek, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vitek."

Witek: "Amendment #2, again at the request of Representative Woodyard and Ewing and Vitek, that we have an individual... independent certified public accountant on the payroll to cover the County and Purse Fair (sic - County Fair Purse) and the Administration Fund and Race Track Improvement Fund. I ask for approval of Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1558. And on that question, the

38th Legislative Day

- May 10, 1985
- Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."
- Piel: "A question, John. I noticed this is talking about different funds. Now is this establishing new funds or is it changing the funds that the monies are going to be going into? Would you explain it to us?"
- Witek: "It actually covers the new County Fair Purse and Administration Fund and the Race Track Fund... Improvement Fund. This will be a new setup for the county fairs only where they have race tracks and including, like, if we have the track brought in. We don't have no betting here at the state fairgrounds. We would have, if this Bill passes, you would be able to bring in a totalizer board and have an independent auditor that will audit these daily receipts when the races are held."
- Piel: "So basically what... you know, this new fund that you're setting up, where would these funds be going? Would they be used for one specific purpose then?"
- Vitek: "Yes, as I explained here, it would be used for the improvement of the county fair grandstands, the race track that about ten county fairs have racing at, and it would go into a specific, special fund."
- Piel: "So, in other words, none of these funds that would be derived from these county fairs on the parimutuel betting would be going to the state whatsoever then, per se, I mean, as far as state use?"
- Vitek: "That's right. It would be used there and any excess that would be left over would go back into the General Fund."
- Piel: "You know, that was the one thing I was getting at. I wasn't quite sure. Now you say the excess would go over.

 Is this the excess of each year?"

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Vitek: "Right. Right."

Piel: "Those funds would... from this. would they be appropriated? I mean, not the General Revenue funds. I · m talking about the county fair funds. Would they be appropriated by us to what funds would be expended? reason I ask the question... the reason I asked the question, John, is because let's say, you know, at the of the year we have two million dollars in the Fund. You know, with these county fairs figuring, you know, hey. we've got a windfall here. We could spend all two million dollars where maybe there really is only a need to spend one million. And this would end up being a million dollars we might be able to use for education if the funds were really not needed, per se."

Vitek: "Well, hopefully that we do make that much money and we anticipate making more money, because we feel that having this in a special fund we'll just about break even after we get through right now with setting up this new system. And after that, why then once it's going, why we can send most of the money that's left over back into the General Fund."

Piel: "Thank you very much. No further questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved... The question is,

'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 1558 be adopted?' All

those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In

the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the

Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Vitek - Woodyard - Ewing."
Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Again, modeling this Bill on the racing they have in Ohio, we've come up with a new testing of horses, and this may surprise a lot of people, here, that will eliminate a lot of veterinarians, and the old racing form shall provide for the testing of horses 30 minutes prior to the races to

39th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

determine whether or not the horse has been administered drugs in violation of the Act. Now, we've checked this out with the Ohio group. We find out that within 30 minutes of the race, they can take the urine test and have a report before the horse is even racing, and if they find any indication of drugs or anything in the horse, that horse is pulled out of that race and the owner is fined at least \$500. So with this new procedure, we can get the test without having the veterinarians wait two-three days. And this, I think, is a good improvement in our Racing Act. I ask for approval of Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1558. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to House Bill 1558 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill is House Bill 1466. It appears on page 20 on your Calendar. House Bill 1466. Representative Countryman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1466, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regency Universities Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1, Weaver, amends House Bill 1466 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

Amendment I simply extends the rights of votership the student board members of the Board of Regents to... extends

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

that same right to the student members of the Board of Governors. It would provide for a collective vote for all of the student members except on personnel collective bargaining, tenure matters, or tie votes. And I would ask for your favorable response on this Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1466. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1466 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to change the Order of Business and go to House Bills Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar which appear beginning on page 36 on your Calendar. House Bills Third Reading, Short Debate. House Bill 31, Representative McNamara. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 31, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. (sic - Madam) Speaker, Ladies and This Bill is a Bill that merely changes the law Gentlemen. in a certain area to allow the municipalities to collect parking fees. It also allows for the ten or more parking tickets to be accrued before that collection process takes The case came up from the position that the Judges place. have where they are no longer allowed to issue warrants for a non-jailable offense, and this puts them into a bind because they would be liable for a civil suit. It's supported by the Municipal League. The State's Attorney

38th Legislative Day

- May 10, 1985
- has put the Amendment on, which becomes the Bill, and has been agreed by the State's Attorney's Office and the Municipal League."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 31. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you. Representative McNamara, has this been amended since it was considered last?"
- McNamara: "It has not been amended since it was considered last."
 McCracken: "Okay."
- McNamara: "The Amendment I am speaking of is the Amendment that
 was negotiated with the Secretary of State's Office in
 Committee."
- McCracken: "This would allow the City of Chicago, in effect, to beef its... beef up its enforcement on parking violations?"
- McNamara: "I do not represent the City of Chicago. This allows the State of Illinois all of the municipalities within the State of Illinois to enforce their parking ticket regulations and for a way of collecting their parking tickets."
- McCracken: "Alright. Do you have any idea how much it's worth to the City of Chicago the enhanced enforcement?"
- McNamara: "I have no idea. I did not ask the City of Chicago as to how much it would be worth to them."
- McCracken: "Okay. Now, this allows for suspension without necessarily any conviction of the offense, merely the existence of ten or more tickets plus two notices?"
 - McNamara: "The existence of ten or more tickets, according to
 the law, seems to be sufficient proof that the person has
 been... has convicted themselves by choosing to not look at
 the ten tickets."
- McCracken: "Okay. Is there any provision in the Bill for service

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

of process prior to the suspension of the driver's license?"

McNamara: "An official notice has to be issued."

McCracken: "What does that consist of?"

McNamara: "It's a letter from the clerk."

McCracken: "That official notice is sent to the Secretary of State, though. I'm talking about notice to the violator.

Is there a pre-suspension notice sent to the violator?"

McNamara: "The clerk sends a notice to the Secretary of State, and the Secretary of State gets a... hold on. The clerk sends the notice to the Secretary of State, and to the violator."

McCracken: "And to the violator?"

McNamara: "That's correct."

McCracken: "So, assuming the mail's not significantly different,
each of them receives the notice at about the same time,
and the Secretary, is he required to suspend immediately
the driving privileges?"

McNamara: "It is not simultaneously. It's sent to the violator first, then to the Secretary of State. It is important to note that prior to that, the violator would have received ten mailed notices, one for each ticket that was received."

McCracken: "Okay. Directing your attention to page two of the Bill as amended, what does that Paragraph I refer to, that two or more warrants are outstanding? Is that an alternative basis for suspension, or is that part of the same requirement for suspension?"

McNamara: "It's an alternative."

McCracken: "Now, the warrants, can they be issued prior to conviction?"

McNamara: "Yes."

McCracken: "They can be issued for failure to appear."

McNamara: "That's correct."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

McCracken: "Okay. Now, is there any provision for which address

the violation notices or the warrants are addressed to?"

McNamara: "The Secretary of State's notice. Yeah. The address that the Secretary of State's notice has given them."

McCracken: "Alright. And that's taken from the license plate of the offending vehicle."

McNamara: "It's for... It is from the license plate... "

McCracken: "... of the offending vehicle."

McNamara: "That's correct."

McCracken: "And it goes without saying that the offender may not be the person who was in title to that vehicle. It could be a relative. It could be someone who would be using the car on a regular basis, but not necessarily the titled party. Is that correct?"

McNamara: "That is correct. However, there are ten notifications prior to it, and you would think that a person that would have ten separate notifications, it's really a freebee for the ten points, could possibly at least respond to that particular case at any given time and has chosen not to do so. This is no different than the existing law in that regard. What it does do is that it changes the implementation of that law."

McCracken: "Okay. To the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

McCracken: "I know that this is Representative McNamara's freshman... well, no. You were appointed last term, so we... You've already passed your first Bill. But this Bill - and I want the Republican Members to realize it - this is a very important Bill, especially to the City of Chicago. I've heard estimates that this is worth 30 million dollars in revenue because of its enhanced enforcement of parking violations, parking violations that are a very important source of income to the city. Now, I was under the

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

impression that we were going to work on this Bill for the purpose of seeking Amendments to it, but let me tell you what can happen under this Bill, and for those on the other side of the aisle who thought Representative Dunn's was a good idea to avoid improper forfeitures, I think there are some compelling arguments along those same lines. The person who is the subject of this suspension is the titleholder to the car, not necessarily the person who has committed any or all of these offenses. It could be wife who has been the parking violator. It could be your child who has been the parking violator. the titleholder is the person who's going to be suspended. The titleholder is going to be suspended without any hearing prior thereto. The titleholder is going to be suspended without any hearing, necessarily, on the parking tickets. The titleholder is going to be suspended on the issuance of warrants, and the issuance of warrants can be done what call 'ex-party'. As a matter of fact, that's commonly how they're done. They are done for a failure to appear - the titleholder's failure to appear, the titleholder who may or may not be the guilty party. This is the City of Chicago Bill. It should be on the table for June 30, at the time when we're negotiating the important issues in the General Assembly. It's worth 30 million dollars to them each year, and it is something that we should not be in favor of. affords no due process. It ought to be a bargaining chip later in the year, and excuse my candor if I'm being a little too blunt about how things are conducted here. Let's keep it on the table for later use, and I encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill is on the Order of Short Debate. There are four lights flashing. We have had, remember, one person already speak in opposition. We

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

therefore, unless this Bill is taken off the Order of Short Debate, these Members will only be allowed to ask questions. Representative Barnes, for what reason do you rise?"

- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. The thing that disturbs me about this particular Bill, say you have a lot of children in your family, and they're the ones that are running about in the car..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes, the Bill has not been taken off the Order of Short Debate."
- Barnes: "But we all put our hands up, Madam Chairman."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. You... The Lady has made a Motion to take this Bill off the Order of Short Debate, and she has been joined by seven people. This Bill is therefore on the Order of Regular Debate. Proceed, Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you. What really disturbs me about this Bill. you have a lot of children in your family, and they're out using the family car, and they're getting these tickets. and even though you would be mailed the violation, when our children were small, it used to kind of be a joke as they grew older, because they told my husband Barney, he was the fifth one to see the Playboy magazine. All four sons had it first, and they very carefully put it back in the brown wrapper, and he never was aware of it at the time. I think kids are very shrewd. They're going to see these notices. If they're the ones that had the parking violations. they re going to take them, and the poor father, these days, is going to have his license suspended, and I really think this is a very poor Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."
- Johnson: "We could call a driver's license a privilege or a right. I guess the terminology varies, but the fact of the

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

matter is, it's a necessity of life. And before you suspend it or take it away, there ought to be something either directly connected with the criminal character of the driver, or in his ability to be a safe driver or to pose a threat to others on the road, or before you suspend that right. Now, how in the ... on earth can we sit here, as a Body of 118 Members and say that because doesn't pay ten parking tickets, that that's the basis for taking away their ability to feed their family and to exist as a member of society. And we go and consider Bills with respect to drunk driving and with respect to serious criminal offenses, and then we have something in here that basically equates drunk driving or serious felony offenses with not putting a nickel in a parking meter. Now, I agree that there ought to be some mechanism - and I think is - for patrolling and controlling that, but to suspend a license plate or an ability to drive based on failure to pay a parking ticket is absolutely ridiculous, and I hope the Members of the chamber would agree and vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of House. Maybe I can shed a little light on the reason for this Bill. There was a decision issued by a court that basically said that Judges can bе held responsible if they issue a warrant and it was improperly issued. So as a result, the current law cannot be implemented, because Judges are not signing warrants. So that's why there's a need for this Bill. Now, let explain what happens. If you get a ticket on your car, you have a notice that you got a ticket. Now, maybe that ticket might blow off. Maybe your daughter or your son is

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

driving the car, and they might throw it away, so you get a notice sent to you. A postcard comes to the address of the... of the... to where the car is registered. the second notice that you get. That has to happen ten times, so there is, in effect, 20 notices. Then you another letter from the clerk - that's the 21st notice where you have to come to court to pay your tickets or them through the mail. You haven't done it. Now, what are these offenses? These are parking offenses, but in some cases, there are parking offenses like parking in front of a fire hydrant or parking in an intersection so that people can't see cars coming from the other direction, so they can be very serious. So, what this Bill says is that if you haven't done ... if you haven't paid any of those tickets. you receive 21 notices, your license can be suspended. As soon as you pay, the license is automatically granted. Now, I am just fascinated, to tell you the truth, by the ... by the concerns about due process that the Representative from DuPage County is concerned with. As Chairman of the Criminal Law Committee, we're seen Bills that eliminate 200 years of common law. We see Bills put in that make it easier to execute people, even when they're mentally unfit, and we don't care about due process. But when we get parking tickets, parking tickets for when people go into the city and don't want to pay to park so they leave their car in the middle of an intersection, and then we get our... we get real concerned about due process. It's true that it involves money for the City of Chicago, but the Bill applies to the whole state. So any city that's having a problem enforcing its parking ticket laws can utilize this procedure. I feel it does clearly, with 21 notices, provide due process, and I think it's ... it's really outrageous to think that you can get so upset about

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

process when it's clear that someone should be responsible for paying the fines."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, and thank Representative Cullerton clearing up some of those problems, but I would like to explain to him and some of the other people that in the district that I represent, we have some people that underprivileged. And I say 'underprivileged', because they've never had the opportunity to visit the fair City of Chicago. However, apparently their automobiles regular trips up there without drivers, because on a regular basis, the folks in my district get notices from the fine City of Chicago that they ve violated parking laws on dates when they have been in the district minding their own business and not anywhere near the City of Chicago. Now, these things happen on a regular basis, and some of those fine people who are underprivileged and haven't seen the wonderful sights and sounds of the good City of Chicago, continue to get those notices. So, when we talk due process, perhaps what we're talking about is the kind of bureaucracy problems that ticket automobiles that don*t go to Cook County and don*t go to the City of Chicago, and yet, they get parking tickets for that For those kinds of problems, I would hate to think that our people would then receive other notices, oftentimes... certainly on several occasions, they have received those. when they get one, they get Normally, And I would hate to think that they would then several. lose the privilege of driving, so that they would forego the privilege at some future time of being able to go to the City of Chicago and fairly and justly receiving one of those parking tickets. So for that reason, I believe that

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

this Bill is ill-conceived, and I would urge a 'no' vote." Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative DeLeo." DeLeo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. support House Bill 31, and I'd like to make it clear to the Members of this House, I've worked at the Chicago Traffic Center for almost ten years. I have an administration there, and yes, we get thousands and thousands of letters from downstate people saying that I've never been to City of Chicago. I have a pickup truck, not a '71 Chevy or an '95 Cadillac. The Chicago Police Department writes parking tickets. It's turned into the Circuit Court of Cook County, the Clerk's Office, and the Clerk's Office gets their registration from the Secretary of State. Secretary of State has an office inside the traffic center. Now, I just had a problem recently with a Member of the House on this side of the aisle that was getting parking tickets that didn't belong to him. So I checked the Chicago computer, and it wasn't Representative Leverenz' It was somebody else's license plate. And but ... plate. That's how they receive those notices. It comes from the Secretary of State's Office, and if there's a registration backlog or an update when plates are transferred to a owner or a plate isn't renewed, and they came into the City and got those tickets, they go back to the registered owner. I'd be glad to ask... answer any questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There are a couple of things I would like to point out, but I thank Representative DeLeo for telling us of his involvement so we know where to go when we have these problems. There are civil actions which have been held by the courts in this state to allow civil recoveries

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

against rental car companies for these actions for those people who rent the car, in essence, against the company, and the company is held vicariously libel. I think this Bill needs some work. It isn't that we're against the concept, I don't think, but I think those of us who come from particularly downstate and in my area where you have a lot of these problems, want to see a procedure that some due process to the holder of a driver's license before his license can be suspended. Suspension of a driver's license is a serious business. Once it's been suspended. your insurance company becomes aware of it, and you'll be rated or you'll have to go into the risk pool. And serious business. And if, in fact, you have not been the recipient of those tickets, then you are innocent ought to be given an opportunity to do that. I certainly think there are other remedies other than the way this Bill is drafted, and I certainly would ask for a 'no' vote this Bill until such time as those remedies can be worked out. And in response to some of the other comments that have been made, we do have a Bill in this year to give indemnity to the Judges, and maybe that 11 rectify the problem with them issuing the warrants and take it back the way that it used to be. I'd ask for your 'no' vote. Thank you. "

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move the previous question."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In... Representative Vinson, you object to moving the previous question? Representative Van Duyne, can you

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

withhold. There are only... There are only two people left to speak. Will you tolerate their speech for a few more moments?"

Van Duyne: "If it was only Vinson, I'd object."

Speaker Breslin: "There is a Democratic Member who would like to speak, too, Representative Van Duyne. The Gentleman kindly withholds his Motion... withdraws his Motion. The next person to speak is the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw. Representative Shaw? Representative Shaw withholds. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was pleased to hear Representative DeLeo from the other side rise to explain the problems with this Bill. The problem is very simple. Very frequently, people who been in the City of Chicago are accused of having parked the car improperly in the City of Chicago. Just the night, I had dinner with a group from Clinton, and the mother of one of those people, an 84 year old woman who had not driven her car outside the City of Clinton for several years, was notified of a parking ticket on her car in the City of Chicago. That happens with some frequency. Whether those are mistakes in the Secretary of State's Office or whether those are mistakes in the operations of the Clerk's Office in Chicago or the County Clerk or the Circuit Clerk or whomever, doesn't much matter to those What matters is the simple miscarriage of justice when we are proposing the concept that their license their driver's license - can be pulled for something they've never been involved in. This is a very bad idea on the merits. It's the kind of thing that harrasses the little person in our districts. It's the kind of thing where, in order to facilitate big government, we're willing

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

to harrass the little person, and that's wrong, and I would urge a 'no' vote on this very bad Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, Representative McNamara is allowed to close."

McNamara: "Thank you. I'd like to address a couple of the things in my closing remarks, that the law presently requires the license to be taken away after ten violations. We must, in this society, retain the enforcement rights of the law. When we don't wish to enforce the laws that are on the books right now, we are saying that if finds some way of circumventing that law, then they have the right to ignore the law. All we are really trying to is enforce a law that's presently in the book. As far as notification, hearing is offered twenty times to that Actually twenty-one times before that person is required to have that license taken away. When we're talking about the little people, most little people pay their parking fines. What the problem is, is the rich. The people that are out there to just circumvent that law. they're the ones that are getting away with it because the law only pertains to in excess of ten times. I would urge an 'aye' vote on this particular measure."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 31 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich, to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I was impressed a few years ago in the Chicago Police Department came up with this boot. If you parked ten times and didn't pay your... misparked ten times and didn't pay your ticket, they made it real simple for you. They just put the boot on the car, and you walked to the police station and

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

settled up. I think that's the fair way to do this, and that's to catch the guys who are really causing the problem."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 52 voting 'aye', 57 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has requested a Poll of the Absentees. Mr. Clerk, please poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Currie."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative
McNamara?"

McNamara: "I'll ask for Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has requested Postponed Consideration. This Bill will be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. House Bill 48, Representative Dunn. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 48, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections

of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the

Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. (sic - Madam) Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 48 is a Bill which passed this chamber last Session, essentially unanimously non-controversial legislation. It died in the Senate. We need to... we need to adopt this legislation to clean up a gap in the Enterprise Zone Act. There is an advantage in the enterprise zone for those who are individuals or corporations, but the incentives do not apply at the present time to partnerships in Subchapter S corporations. What this Bill would do is treat partnerships in Subchapter

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

S corporations the same as individuals in corporations for the purpose of investment credit incentives under the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act, and I ask for an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 48. And on that question, is there any discussion? On the Short Debate Calendar. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 48 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 121, Representative Priedrich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 121, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
in relationship to state finance. Third Reading of the
Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Friedrich."

Priedrich: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, this increases the amount of petty cash that can be held in a petty cash fund from \$500 to 1,000, and the maximum single expenditure limit from 25 to 50. A number of departments issue a... an unbelievable number that have petty cash funds issue an unbelievable number of vouchers, and this would cut those in half, and at the cost of \$20 a voucher, we passed this Bill a year ago, and late in the Session, it got bogged down in the Senate, but at that time, the estimate was, it would save a minimum of \$75,000. The internal auditors find no problem with it, and neither does the Auditor General, says it does not hurt the audit trail, and I think it's a good chance to save some money by updating this law."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 121. And on that question, is there any There being no discussion, the question is, discussion? 'Shall House Bill 121 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 241, Representative Ronan. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 241, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the Infrastructure Revolving Loan Fund.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan."

Roman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. House
Bill 241 creates the Infrastructure Revolving Loan Fund.

I've amended it to remove some of the objections made by
some of the Members concerning the allocation of those
funds. I'll be glad to answer any questions. I move for
an Affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 241-And on that question, is there any There being no discussion, the question is, *Shall House Bill 241 pass? * All those in favor 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. all voted who wish? The Clerk will take... Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 301, Representative Madigan - Bowman. Madigan -Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 301, a Bill for an Act creating the Alzheimer's Disease treatment assistance..."
- Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 302, Madigan Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill ... "
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Bowman, for what reason do you rise?"
- Bowman: "These Bills through 307 are on a Special Order of Call for next week."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Out of the record. House Bill 303,
 Representative Brunsvold... Richmond, rather? I see. All
 the way to 307. Therefore, we'll take House Bills 303
 through 307 out of the record. The next Bill to be called
 is House Bill 313, Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the
 Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 313, a Bill for an Act in relationship to retailers, servicemen and suppliers maintaining a place of business in Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. This legislation addresses those border areas of Illinois with other states whereby unfair competition currently reigns. For example, an Illinois retailer if selling from, let's say, Rock Island-Moline into Iowa must pay the Iowa sales tax. That is not necessarily true with the Iowa firms that, say, in Bettendorf selling goods and commodities in Illinois. Basically, this puts on a... puts us in a competitive advantage with our sister states when, in fact, they do not have an establishment in here, but they may have a distribution point, yet there is no sales tax involved or coming to the State of Illinois. The two cases in question - Quincy, Illinois and Kewanee, Illinois, as it pertains to furniture stores, brought this to the attention of the

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

"IRMA", the Illinois Retail Merchants Association. This legislation resolves that situation. It should have been done many years ago, and I move for adoption of this legislation. I believe Representative Mays will close on this legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of
House Bill 313. And on that question, is there any
discussion? The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative
Hastert."

Hastert: "Yeah, I just want to say, Madam Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Mays is not here right now. He's off the floor for a second. But it's a good Bill. This Bill was worked out in Revenue Committee. It needs to be passed. It addresses a very, very severe question in the Illinois General Assembly in our revenue situation in the State of Illinois and I commend the author of this Bill for bringing it forward."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 313 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 344, Representative Peterson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 344, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Peterson."

Peterson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, House Bill 344 provides unincorporated area in Cook, DuPage or Lake Counties which is 60 acres or less and which is wholly bounded by a creek and one more municipality, may annexed bу of one the bordering municipalities

39th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

ordinance. It prohibits a municipality from annexing territory in the Cook County Forest Preserve District without first obtaining the districts approval. In the... Last year in the Spring Session, this Bill passed this House 112 to 0. I would appreciate your affirmative vote on House Bill 344."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 344. And on that question, is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? We have to wait for the board to clear. Okay. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 344 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative DeJaegher, would you wote Breslin 'aye', please? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. question, there are 105 voting 'aye', | voting 'no', and | voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 375, Representative Hicks. Out of the record. House Bill 394, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Leone: "House Bill 394, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, I wish to table number... Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has... The Lady has asked that the Bill be returned to the Order of Second for the purposes of an Amendment. Hearing no objection, the Lady has leave, and the Bill is on Second Reading. Proceed, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you. And may I withdraw Amendment #2?"

Speaker Breslin: "Has Amendment #2 already been accepted?"

Barnes: "No."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Barnes: "But it's been filed."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Barnes: "Yes, I think there is Amendment #3, and that has been filed, and that's what I... "

Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk advises me that there is a Motion to table an Amendment on file."

Barnes: "That was Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Did you also file a Motion to table an Amendment, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "I'm sure somebody else did."

Speaker Breslin: "Oh, I see. Okay. Amendment #3."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Barnes, amends House Bill 394

on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Amendment #3 been a compromise between JCAR, the Department of Public Aid, the Medical Society. The reason we've held this Bill so long is, there have been many meetings, and now this Amendment is agreed, and what it does, House Bill 394 provides a statutory basis for improving the system by which drugs approved b y the U.S. Food and Drug Administration are added to and drugs are deleted from Illinois Department of the Public Aid Manual. And the present system had resulted in too long of a delay in the availability of important new drug therapies, and this merely speeds up the process and makes the available drugs more available."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady... The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 394. And on that question, the Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

of the House. I would confirm Representative Barnes description of the Amendment which has been offered and accepted by all parties concerned, including the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Barnes?"

Barnes: "I think maybe for the record, we should make sure that the Committee Amendment #1 is tabled."

Speaker Breslin: "Committee Amendment #1 is not tabled,
Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "I would like to make the Motion, then, to table that Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #1 to House
Bill 394. Is there any discussion on that Motion?
Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Lady explain why she wants to do that?"

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, Representative Barnes, is why do you wish to table Amendment #1?"

Barnes: "May I ask who's asking the question?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz, your friend."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Barnes: "Yes, she will."

Leverenz: "Could you explain why you want to table Amendment #1?"

Barnes: "The reason, Representative Leverenz, I explained in the

beginning of the Bill, that House Bill 334 has been held on Third Reading because there were many meetings involving the Department of Public Aid and JCAR and the Medical

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Society, and they finally came to a meeting of the minds, and the Amendment #3 resolved all of the problems that had been involved in this particular Bill."

Leverenz: "I support the Lady's Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 394 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #1 to House Bill 394 is tabled. This Bill is now on the Order of Third Reading."

Barnes: "May I have leave of the Body to have the Bill heard at this time?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes asks leave of the General... of the House to have immediate consideration of House Bill 394. Is there any objection? Hearing no objection, there is unanimous consent for leave to hear this Bill immediately."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 394, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the
Bill involves exactly what the Amendment #3 explained. It
instructs the Department of Public Aid to implement a
procedure for the timely addition of new drugs to and the
appropriation deletion of drugs from the Department's drug
manual, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill 394. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 394 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 421, Representative Van Duyne. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 421, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Bingo License and Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Very simply put, this is supported by the bingo director, and it came out of Committee 11 to 0. It very simply eliminates the provision that one card is valid for all the five special games and the regular games, and I solicit your "aye" vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of And on that question, is there any House Bill 421discussion? Hearing no discussion, the question is. 'Shall House Bill 421 pass? All those in favor say... 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Ωn this question, there are 104 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 422, Representative Van Duyne. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 422, a Bill for an Act to amend the Bingo License and Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is almost the same thing. It has to do with women helping... the women's auxiliary helping the men's organization, and Amendment #1 addresses the opposite lets the men help the women. It also was supported by the director. It came out of the Committee!! to 0. I solicit your "aye" vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 422. And on that question, is there any

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 422 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 98 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 430, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 430, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Chairman, before we proceed, we adopted Amendment #1 on House Bill 430, and the Clerk's Office informed me that it was in error as to form, so we went to the Reference Bureau and had another Amendment drafted. There's no change in substance, so I would now ask leave of the Body and the use of the Attendance Roll Call to reconsider the vote by which we adopted Amendment #1 and table Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman first asked leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for this procedure. Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave by... The Gentleman has leave, and the Gentleman has placed his Motion to reconsider the vote by which House Bill... Amendment #1 to House Bill 430 was adopted. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion passes. We therefore are in a position to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted."

Matijevich: "I now ask leave to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 430. All those in favor say 'aye', all those

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #1 is tabled. Are there any further Amendments. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Matijevich, amends House Bill

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, this is the Amendment prepared by the Secretary of State's Office. It is exactly as Amendment #1 except that it is now in proper form, and I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment \$2 to House Bill 430. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment \$2 to House Bill 430 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Matijevich now moves for immediate consideration of House Bill 430. Is there any objection? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave by use of the Attendance Roll Call for immediate consideration of House Bill 430."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
House Bill 430 is a Bill which would require a different
color driver's license for persons under 20 years of age.
It also has been amended where the vehicle identification
cards for minors be of a different color. I've worked this
Bill out in complete cooperation with the Secretary of
State's Office, and that office had intended to administer
such a change, color-coded driver's licenses, even without
legislation. I feel, and I know you do too, that it is

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

- proper that it be written into the law, and I ask for your support to House Bill 430."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 430. And on that question, the Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just a... Would the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Sponsor will yield for a question, but remember that the Bill is on the Order of Short Debate."
- Hastert: "Just one question a short question. You, in your explanation, said 'under 20 years'. My analysis says 'under 21'."
- Matijevich: "That's... If I said that, I was in error. I'm sorry, Dennis."
- Hastert: "Alright. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 430 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 101... 102 voting 'aye', I voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. Representative Cullerton wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. There are therefore 103 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 437, Representative Keane. Representative Keane. Out of the record. Bill 467, Representative Steczo. Out of the record. House Bill 496, Representative Klemm. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 496, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Klemm."
- Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

House Bill 496 amends the Revenue Act to provide House. that the Homestead Exemption for persons 65 years of age or older continues if that person enters a nursing home continues owning the residence and that residence remains unoccupied. There's a quirk in the law, the present that says that if a person enters a nursing home and their spouse is still living in that home and is 65, exemption would continue, but if we have a widow or widower who is 65 years of age, temporarily goes into a nursing home, because that home is not occupied by their spouse, they are thrown off the Senior Citizen Homestead Exemption This Bill would correct that. This Bill would simply say that if a person who is 65 years of age, has a senior citizen's homestead exemption awarded to them - allowed for them - that if they go to a nursing home, and if that home remains unoccupied, nobody's living there, doesn't collect rent, they would still enjoy these benefits. This Bill, I believe, has no opposition that I am aware of. I do ask for your support. I'll be happy to answer any questions." Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 496. And on that question, the Gentleman from

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "So the exemption would be extended if the homeowner is in a nursing home, and no one is living in his or her house? What if the... I don't understand. What if the spouse is living in... in the house?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Klemm, to answer Representative Cullerton's question."

Klemm: "If there is a spouse living there now, that exemption continues."

Cullerton: "They already have the exemption. Alright. So, you

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

want to give the exemption to the homeowner... "

Klemm: "I want to continue the exemption to that person who had it, if they are forced to go to a nursing home temporarily."

Cullerton: "Okay. And what if they... What if they're renting the home to someone?"

Klemm: "I don't understand... They wouldn't get a senior citizen homestead exemption if they don't own the home."

Cullerton: "No, they own it."

Klemm: "Okay."

Cullerton: "The senior citizen owns it. They are forced to go into a nursing home, and they rent their home to..."

Klemm: "They would not get the exemption."

Cullerton: "It has to be unoccupied?"

Klemm: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Okay."

Klemm: "That allows the senior who may come out of the hospital, let's say, in December, needs some help, goes to a nursing home for three or four months for rehabilitation, by law, they're thrown off that homestead exemption."

Cullerton: "I understand. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' In keeping with the Speaker's policy, it should be noted that this Bill places a state mandate on local government. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 496 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 538, Representative Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 538, a Bill for an Act in relationship to State Occupation and Use Tax Acts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill relates only to the administration of the sales tax exemption, and what this Bill does, technical support from the Department of substantial Revenue in writing this legislation. It provides for a computerized system of recordkeeping so that we will know who is exempt, under present sales tax legislation. now, if you try to find out from the Department of Revenue who's exempt or who isn't exempt, they can't tell you. they can tell you is whether ... what the class of exemption is, and we sort of leave it up to vendors to figure out for themselves whether a person falls into the exempt class or This issue was brought to my attention by a local government official who tried to find out whether certain fraterníties and sororities at Northwestern University were exempt from paying sales tax. I called the Department of Revenue. They couldn't tell me, because they had no So this legislation provides for a system of records. automated recordkeeping so that not only the Department can keep track of exemptions, but units of local government. So if your local government officials want to know what firms or associations or agencies within your corporate limits are exempt from paying local sales taxes also, they can find out. So I think this is a good Bill. has certainly come for us to have this information readily available to ... not only to the state, but also to local governments, so I now am pleased to move for passage of House Bill 539.

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 538 pass?'

38th Legislative Day

May 10. 1985

Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 538 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? who wish? The Clerk will take the record. question, there are 102 voting 'ave', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared House... House Bill 556, Representative Ryder. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 556, a Bill for an Act to amend the Open Meetings Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ryder."

"Thank you, Madam Chairman. This Bill was presented in Rvder: Committee, and as a result of the Committee Amendment, it does amend the Open Meetings Act for a very specific purpose. It allows meetings to be closed when the selling price of real estate is being considered by a school board of a public school district. It's very limited in scope. We do not amend the Open Meetings Act lightly, and it is only for the selling price. As an extra layer to avoid any abuse of this, as you know, in public school districts, they are not ... real estate is not sold by them, but rather by a board of trustees. Only the selling price, and the reason is fairly obvious. If a minimum price is required publicly announced, then obviously any bidders or people interested in acquiring that real estate would be only bidding on the minimum amount."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 556. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 556 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 104 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 601, Representative Giorgi. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 601, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Lottery Law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, House Bill 601 provides that any bonuses for selling winning lottery tickets shall be payable to the vendors, whether or not the prize money is clean, provided that the vendor can be identified. By the way we sell our tickets by the computer, the control we have of our vendors, we know who the vendors are, but in many instances, the claimants don't come up and claim their ticket, and we found in the past some vendors have not received their prize money because the winner didn't claim it, so we want to change the law so that any citizen that sells lottery tickets ought to be able to get his share of the winnings. I have no objection to the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for... The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 601. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 601 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question ... Representative Mulcahey asks to be added to the Roll Call. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye' ... Representative Mulcahey is voting 'aye'. There are 105 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

hereby declared passed. House Bill 608, Representative McGann - Capparelli. Out of the record. House Bill 707, Representative Kirkland. Out of the record. House Bill 710, Representative Pangle. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 710, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Lottery Law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pangle."

Pangle: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We're all aware of what 710. It was the same Bill that we've had for the last two years, putting all of the proceeds and profits from the lottery into the Common School Fund. The Governor has vetoed this Bill in the past but has agreed to sign it, and I would certainly appreciate a positive vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 710. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion... Excuse me. The Gentleman from Winnebago wishes to discuss it. Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you. Will you yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Hallock: "Have you checked with the father of the Illinois

Lottery on this Bill?"

Pangle: "Yes, I have, and he supports it 100 percent."

Hallock: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 710 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 104 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 714, Representative Wojcik. Clerk, read the Bill."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 417 (sic - 714), a Bill for an Act to amend... add Sections to the Township Law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "This is House Bill 714."

Speaker Breslin: "Yes. House Bill 714."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 714, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Township Law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Madam Chairman and Members of the House, I present this Bill to you as a request of a constituent who lives in my district who stated that he is repeatedly harrassed with evening solicitations at his door. The purpose of this is to have some sort of control in the unincorporated areas of both DuPage and Cook County. Because of the vastness of the areas, they are not able to go to municipalities to get a license to solicit, so therefore, I am asking that we work now with township clerks and that the solicitors would, in fact, have to be licensed so that we could have better control in the unincorporated areas."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman... The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill 714. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, as I understand the need for this Amendment, you have gone to the Cook County Board, and they have not passed an ordinance that allows for the regulation and the licensing of hawkers, peddlers, pawnbrokers, itinerant merchants, transient vendors of merchandise, theatricals and other exhibitions, shows and amusements, and so, since you can't get it passed at the county board, you want to give the authority to a township to do the same thing. Is that the reason for the Bill?"

Wojcik: "First of all, Representative, shows and amusements are

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

- out. That's out of the Bill."
- Cullerton: "With the exception of shows and... Is that the purpose of the Bill?"
- Wojcik: "Right. Secondly, the.. it is.. To date, Cook County does not have an ordinance that would control this area."
- Cullerton: "Have you tried to get an ordinance passed in Cook County? Because they do have the authority, right, to do such a thing?"
- Wojcik: "Yes."
- Cullerton: "And they have refused to do it, or ... "
- Wojcik: "I don't know if they refused to do it. I don't believe that they have had any contact with anybody asking them to do it."
- Cullerton: "You've not asked anyone on the county board to try to do this?"
- Wojcik: "We have spoken with a commissioner as late as yesterday, and yes, we are planning on working something out."
- Cullerton: "Well, here's the problem, then. What if the townships go ahead and license these... regulate these people and the county does it as well. Who's licensing, if they differed, would, according to your Bill, whose would prevail?"
- Wojcik: "Well, the county most certainly would prevail, but currently, we have an agreement with the county right now that the township clerks can handle the license... the stickers for the cars in the unincorporated area. I would like to have it under that same concept."
- Cullerton: "But there's nothing in the Bill that makes that agreement. Right?"
- Wojcik: "No, but I was planning on working on that in the Senate."
- Cullerton: "Oh, so this is one of those great promises, one of the great promises."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Wojcik: "Oh, yes. Oh, I always keep my word."

Cullerton: "I'll amend it in the Senate."

Wojcik: "No, I am a woman of my word, and I promise you that."

Cullerton: "Who is your Senator?"

Wojcik: "Senator Philip."

Cullerton: "Well, I think this probably should be on Postponed.

That would be my best. That's the best way to amend it, when it's on Postponed Consideration or if it's on... taken out of the record, because you know, Senator Philip is a busy man, and he may not get around to the hawkers and the peddlers and the pawnbrokers Amendment, and then we'd have this confusion in the law as to which would prevail, the township's right to regulate or the Cook County Board's power to regulate."

Wojcik: "Cook County is a home rule county. That would take precedence."

Cullerton: "It might, but then again, the Bill doesn't say which one would, does it?"

Wojcik: "No, but I suggest that we say that... "

Cullerton: "So you're going to amend it in the Senate."

Wojcik: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Well, okay. If you can sell the House on that, good luck."

Wojcik: "Well, I think the House knows me. I've always kept my promises."

Cullerton: "What if the Senate passes the Bill without amending

it. What are you going to do then? Tell the Governor to

veto it?"

Wojcik: "No. Sir. I'm the Chief Sponsor."

Cullerton: "Yeah."

Wojcik: "Right. So if they don't take..."

Cullerton: "You're the Chief Sponsor."

Wojcik: "That's right."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Cullerton: "And then it goes over to the Senate, and they pass

Wojcik: "I will follow it."

Cullerton: "Then it goes to the Governor's desk. Are you on good terms with the Governor? Would you ask him to amendatorily veto it?"

Wojcik: "Representative, they will not pass it in the Senate unless it's agreed to through Cook County."

Cullerton: "And that's been pledged to you by Pate Philip."

Wojcik: "No, he doesn't even know that he's going to carry this Bill."

Cullerton: "Well, this would be a good test. Why don't we pass
this Bill to see whether Pate Philip keeps his word, and
we'll monitor it, and we'll see if it comes back on
Concurrence."

Wojcik: "I think that sounds great."

Cullerton: "Alright. Pine. Let's vote it out of here."

Wojcik: "Okay."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 714 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 96 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and 7 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 733, Representative Hensel. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 733, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hensel."

Hensel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

House Bill 733 amends the Revenue Act of 1939 to allow
board of review in counties of 100,000 or more inhabitants
to hire independent appraisers in complaint cases. The

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

specific statutory provision does not prevent county boards
from hiring independent appraisers at this present time,
neither does it have any statutory language which endorses
this practice. The way it's going, they felt that if it
were in the statutes, that they were allowed to... they
would feel better about it, and I know of no opposition and
ask for a favorable Roll Call vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 733. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Now, as I understand the Bill, it only applies to DuPage County?"

Hensel: "No, counties of over 100,000. It includes Kane County.

Kane County is also in it."

Cullerton: "So DuPage... "

Hensel: "Dupage County is presently doing it, and Kane County is in my district, but they would like to see it in writing that they can hire appraisers. So it's just a permissive Bill."

Cullerton: "It's DuPage and Kane only."

Mensel: "Well, it does include DuPage, yes, but... "

Cullerton: "And DuPage is doing it now."

Hensel: "They are doing it now."

Cullerton: "They're hiring from the ... "

Hensel: "On occasion, yes."

Cullerton: "Okay, and who pays for the board of review budget?"

Hensel: "The county board."

Cullerton: "Okay. So the county board in DuPage County going out and hiring..."

Hensel: "They hire outside appraisers in certain cases where they
feel they would like a better appraisal or a more accurate

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

appraisal of the property under question."

Cullerton: "Well, they ... "

Hensel: "It's only under... when it's under question.

Cullerton: "Well, do they think it's illegal for them to do that right now?"

Hensel: "No, they don't, but Kane County felt that they would like to..."

Cullerton: "Kame County thinks it would... it would be illegal if they didn't do it."

Hensel: "Pardon?"

Cullerton: "Kane County thinks it would be illegal unless they had this Bill."

Hensel: "Well, they would like to see it in the statute spelled out, and it's a permissive Bill. I see no problem with it."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman... There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 733 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 104 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 778, Representative Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 778, a Bill for an Act to amend a Bill of Rights for Victims and Witnesses of Violent Crimes Act.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill augments and strengthens the Crime Victims' Bill of Rights that we passed in previous General Assemblies. The major additions to the Bill of Rights that

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

this Bill provides in the case of the death of a person which death occurred in the same transaction or occurrence in which acts occurred for which the defendant is charged with an offense, the spouse, parent, child or sibling of the decedent shall be notified by the Office of the State's Attorney of the date of the trial of the person or persons allegedly responsible for the death. It also provides that people... such people are given notice in writing of their rights under this Act. Many of the rights provided this Act can be enforced only upon specific requests, and unless the person knows about the existence of these rights, they do not know whether they can make a request or not, very often fail to exercise their rights, because they have not been properly informed. So I believe that legislation rounds out the very good legislation which we've passed in the last Session, and I'm pleased to move for its passage at this time. '

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 778. And on that question, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Would you have the Parliamentarian examine Amendment #2

to make sure that any technical defects in it can be

corrected in Enrolling and Engrossing?"

Speaker Breslin: "I will. Can we proceed with the Debate until that time? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I can't find a copy of Amendment #2, that's why. Oh,

Representative Bowman took it. Okay. Representative

Bowman, would you yield for a question?"

Bowman: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Could you tell me what, if any, changes there are

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

with regard to the right to cross-examine the victim?"

Bowman: "My understanding... I would like to direct this response to... or ask Representative Hawkinson to respond in my stead, because it was he who recommended that specific change."

Cullerton: "It doesn't sound like something you'd do."

Bowman: "Well, I... As I read it, I did not see any major change in the law, but I would prefer that Representative Hawkinson respond in my stead. Madam Speaker, would you recognize Representative Hawkinson to respond to this question? Because he was the one who suggested the language..."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Hawkinson, to respond to Representative Cullerton's question."

Hawkinson: "Representative, in the legislation passed last year, the right to cross-examine was placed in conjunction with the personal right of the defendant to make a statement before sentencing is imposed. I felt that that was an improper place to do it, and by moving it to the next Section, we provide for the right of the defendant to cross-examine through counsel, or if he's 'prosai', any sworn testimony or any evidence that's in the existing law, if he's sworn or offers any evidence, the right of cross-examination will be there. What I wanted to clarify that this was somehow no new individual right of the defendant to cross-examine an unsworn statement that was given by the victim at the hearing which was not offering new evidence."

Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? We will be at ease for a moment while we examine the Bill, pursuant to Representative Vinson's request. Representative Bowman, would you mind taking this Bill out of the record for the

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

moment?"

Bowman: "Always happy to cooperate."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. We'll try to get back to it today, if we If not, we will try to accommodate you. It only appears to be a technical problem. This Bill is out of the House Bill 787, Representative Berrios. the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, we will now go to a Bill that ¥e had would get promised back to we Representative Levin. That's House Bill 1763. which appears on page 24 on your Calendar. Second Reading. House Bill 1763. Representative Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1763, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning the operation of vending facilities at highway rest stops. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Vinson, amends House Bill 1763 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen οÊ the Assembly. The Sponsors have explained to me - the Sponsors of the Bill have explained to me - that the purpose of the Bill is to permit the State of Illinois to qualify under certain federal law for programs which assist handicapped. My intention had been to broaden their Bill so that it would provide assistance for the deaf as well as the blind. The problem is, the federal law discriminates against the deaf, and does not provide access for the deaf. As a result, my Amendment to broaden the state law to take care of deaf people would, in effect, be futile, because it would not fit within the discriminatory federal law. as a result, I would like leave of the House to withdraw the Amendment."

38th Legislative Day

- May 10, 1985
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Hearing
 no objection, the Amendment was withdrawn. Are there any
 further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Levin Ronan, amends House Bill 1763."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Mouse. Amendment #2 is a rewrite of the underlying Bill, as a result of conversations with the Department of Transportation. It simply clarifies a number of points that the department wanted to have clarified. There's also been involvement by the various blind groups, and this Amendment and the Bill is supported by all of the blind groups in the state."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to adopt Amendment #2 to
 House Bill 763 (sic 1763). And on that question, is
 there any discussion? There... The Gentleman from Lake,
 Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."
- Churchill: "Representative Levin, does this Amendment put the overseeing of this program in the Department of Rehabilitation Services?"
- Levin: "The program will be overseen by the Department of Rehabilitative Services. So could they, of course, have the blind vendors' program now."
- Churchill: "Okay."
- Levin: "This simply provides authority for commercial vending machines on facilities on right-of-ways of interstate highways."
- Churchill: "Okay. So this fits into an already existing program."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Levin: "That's correct."

Churchill: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House
Bill 1763 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all
those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there
any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Returning back now to Representative Bouman's Bill on the Order of House Bills Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar, we have House Bill 778. In response to Representative Vinson's inquiry, there is no technical problem, since Amendment #2 has replaced Amendment #1. I think the Bill has been completely debated. Is there any further discussion on whether or not House Bill 778 ought to pass? If not, the question is, *Shall House Bill 778 pass?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Chair will now go to page 54 on your Calendar, Senate Bills Third Reading. That's on page 54 on your Calendar. Senate Bills Third Reading, House... Senate Bill 339, Representative Giorgi. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 339, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, Senate Bill 339 appropriates \$18,500 to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs from the

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Tourism Fund for two grants, one to the Ashland High School, I think from the Quincy area, and one to the Kantorei Boys' Choir of Rockford that are going to Japan on a good will tour, and I think the details have been worked out, and I urge the support of the Senate Bill 339."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 339. And on that question, the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I strongly believe that one of the more laudable programs within DCCA is this program which would allow our groups in Illinois to visit other parts of the country and the nation. And this is a good idea, and I urge your support. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman... The question is, 'Shall House Bill... Senate Bill 339 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 100 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Again, changing... Again, changing the Order of Business, Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll go beck to House Bills Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar, on page 37 on your Calender for House Bill 792. Representative McPike. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 792, a Bill for an Act to provide for electronic data processing of the Illinois Revise Statutes.

 Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Last year, we authorized the LRB to acquire an electronic data processing computer memory system and to

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

put the Illinois Revised Statutes on that computer. This Bill would authorize the LRB to make those services available to the other governmental agencies and to the general public and allow the LRB to adopt rules and fees for such services. I move for the passage of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 792. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I apologize, because it sounds like the Gentleman was losing his voice, but will you yield to a question, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will,"

Piel: "Representative, you mentioned fees. Is there... Are there any fees... Really a twofold question. What would the cost to the state be on this, and second, are there fees associated with the Bill the way it stands now?"

McPike: "Well, I don't think there would be any cost to the state. We have the computer system. It's available to the Attorney General and to the General Assembly and to the LRB. All the Bill says is that if we have it, let's try to make a little money on it. If somebody wants to pay us for the... accessing that system, let's let the LRB decide how much they should charge."

Piel: "Okay. Just one final question. As far as your facilitating public access to this, have you got any idea as far as making modifications to this, what type of a cost there might be as far as making modifications and how long it would take to set these modifications into working order?"

McPike: "No, I really don't."

Piel: "Thank you. No further questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 792 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 104 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we will now entertain Motions to discharge appropriations Bills appearing on page 58 on your Calendar. House Bill 24, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

House Bill 24 is the appropriation Bill for House Bill 23,

which is a Bill which, in fact, establishes the School of

Engineering at NIU in DeKalb. The Chairman of the

Committee had called this a member Bill and had asked that

this be set later in the Session. The Committee was

supposed to hear member Bills at 11:00 that morning this

week. At that time, there really was not a quorum, and

therefore, the Bill could not be called. I move now that

it be discharged from that Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves now to discharge the Appropriations II Committee for House Bill 24. And on that question, the Chairman of the Committee, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in opposition to this Motion. In Committee this last week, we heard all the agency Bills first, and then, as I had announced at the beginning of the Session, I said that we would take up member Bills at 11:00. In fact, we started the member Bills around 11:05, and so consequently, they had plenty of time to arrive. I took the Bills in numerical order. Their's was the first Bill called, and they weren't in the room, so we went on to some other pieces of legislation. Now, there was a difficulty in keeping a quorum, I grant you. We were teetering right

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

on the edge of having a quorum and, in fact, after the Gentleman who makes this Motion left the room, we voted the Bill out. So we had an adequate number of Members business. and aia We business subsequent to this Gentleman's Motion. So if ... This Gentleman's Bill. so had waited around. he could have probably gotten his Bill out, too. Let me suggest, for those of vo u interested in the substance of the Bill, which I won't even bother to discuss, just to say that there is another Bill coming... that's come over from the Senate that does exactly the same thing, and so, don't worry. If that's your concern, don't worry about it."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, on the Motion."

Giorgi: "Yes, Ma'am. I want to... Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Giorgi: "This Bill... I was chasing the Committee myself with Representative Hallock yesterday morning and... or the last week and this Bill is in the Governor's budget. money... money should be appropriated today because it is a Bill that is very important to Representative Giorgi, Representative Hallock, Representative Representative Olson and Wait, and this Bill has been fully The northern Illinois region is very much aware that the... the engineering school is going to be located at DeKalb. They have already selected a site. The contract has been let. We need the money there to implement the contract. We need the money to make the Governor an honest man and I think that ... I repeat, need this... we need this money to make the Governor an honest man and I urge the Members of the General Assembly to support Representative Hallock and Giorgi's Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to the Motion for most of the reasons stated by the Chairman of the Appropriations II Committee. was in the chamber... in the Committee room at the time all this happened because I had a Bill to present The Gentleman Sponsor of this legislation was in the room. He's a Leader of his own party. All the Members of party left the room. That's why there weren't enough votes there. They did it at the instructions of their Leadership and I don't think we should honor their actions with a Motion to discharge at this time and, as a matter of fact, it was not only Democrats who lost Bills. Other Members... at least one Member on their own side of the aisle was sitting in a room to call his Member Bill and they out of the room so there were insufficient votes and even challenged a quorum. They arranged to challenge a quorum Appropriation II yesterday morning. So, I don't think we should honor this Motion to discharge at this time. this is a critical matter, we can take care of it on some OCE later, but we ought to respect the Committee process and deny this Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would just hope that all of the Members can stay together and defeat the Motion to discharge as we should. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock, to close."

Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. I ask the Chairman of the... to call... Madam Speaker, I asked the Chairman to set this Bill a month and a half ago, at which time we had passed... we had previously passed House Bill 23, which, in fact, establishes, in substance, a school for engineering

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

at NIU in DeKalb. I asked him to set this Bill a month and a half ago. He wanted to delay and delay because he, himself, is against the idea. I then showed up yesterday morning at 8:00, trying to have this Bill called and he postponed it until 11:00. I was then there at 11:00 and they weren't hearing the Bill then and that's when the quorum problem occurred. I then went into a Republican Leadership meeting and could not come back at five til twelve to have the Bill called. There was not a quorum at that time either. I would ask that this Bill be discharged and heard on the floor."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 24 be discharged from the Appropriations II Committee?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. This requires 60 votes for passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 74 voting 'aye', 21 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the Motion carries. House Bill 2201, Representative McMaster. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2201, a Motion to discharge
Appropriation II and advance to the Order of Second
Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McMaster, on your Motion."

McMaster: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to discharge

Appropriations Committee #2. I think that I was there when
the Committee took up Session at 8:00 in the morning and
was advised that in all probability my Bill would not be
heard before 11:00. I returned at 11:00 and stayed until
the end of the Committee hearing. The Chairman was
gracious enough to allow me to present my Bill, but I think
we all knew the possibilities of lack of a quorum,
therefore, we did not call for a vote on it. For that

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

reason, I would like to discharge Committee \$2 of Appropriations and move this Bill to the House floor on Second Reading."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to discharge Appropriations II on House Bill 2201, and on that question, the Chairman of the Committee, Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just to point out, once again, that we did take up business on Member Bills follow... in the hour between 11:00 and 12:00 and although it was touch and go with the quorum, we did vote Bills out. And... And so the Gentleman could have called his Bill at... at any time and we would have considered it. So, I believe, since he did not, we should now reject this Motion."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Well, I have something different to add. I understand
 when I went over to get my Bill out of his Committee also,
 there wasn't a good amount of Members there because the
 Republicans walked. So, everyone vote your conscience on
 this one."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McMaster, to close."

McMaster: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. I would disagree with the Chairman of the Committee. I was in attendance. There were no Bills that were voted out of that Committee after I presented my Bill, to my knowledge. I could be wrong and I would stand corrected if that is true. I do feel that it was unfortunate that there was not a quorum there. I made my statement to the Committee when I presented my Bill. I regret that there was not a quorum and I now ask leave of the floor to move this Bill out of Appropriations II Committee to the floor of the House. I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'shall the Appropriations II

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Committee be discharged for House Bill 2201. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. 60 votes are required for passage of the Motion. Representative DeJaegher. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 74 voting 'aye', 14 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present', and the Motion carries. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 362..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Pangle, for what reason do you rise?"

Pangle: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. Point of personal privilege and this is of interest to all the male Members of this House. I feel that we have been discriminated against by a certain female Member by not being able to watch certain material, certain movies that is relevant to some legislation that is now pending and I would request that that female Representative give us the opportunity to also view these films."

Speaker Breslin: "And now the Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 362, offered by Representative Parcells. 363, by Churchill. House Joint Resolution 47, by McAuliffe. Senate Joint Resolution 50, by Hensel - et al. And Senate Joint Resolution 54, by Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich, on the Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint 54 was not was not on the Agreed list"

Natijevich: "Alright. Madam Speaker, House Resolution 362,
Parcells, tells of an education award. 363, Churchill,
commends Jane Fryers. House Joint 47 protects the
veterans. Senate Joint 50, Hensel, recognizes Louis
Barony. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Vitek, for what reason do you rise?"

Vitek: "Point of personal privilege, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Vitek: "Madam Chairman, Members of the House, I want to take this opportunity to wish every mother on this floor and their mothers a very happy mother's day. I know what it is to lose one."

Speaker Breslin: "Thank you, Representative Vitek. General Resolutions."

Speaker Breslin: "Committee on Assignment. Allowing two minutes for Perfunctory Session, Representative McPike moves that this House stand adjourned until 12:00 noon Tuesday, May 14th. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House stands adjourned until noon on Tuesday, May 14th, allowing, of course, two minutes for Perfunctory Session."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Reports. Representative Kulas, Chairman of the Committee on Energy, Environment and Natural Resources, to which the following Bill referred, action taken May 2, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendation: *Interim Study Calendar' House Bill 2173. Representative Kulas, Chairman the Committee on Energy, Environment and Natural Resources, to which the following Bill was referred, action taken April 17, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Interim Study Calendar' House

38th Legislative Day

May 10, 1985

Bill 744. No further business, the House now stands adjourned."

08/16/85 11:23

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

MAY 10, 1985

	nar 10, 1985		
HB-0024		PAGE	149
	THIRD READING	PAGE	104
	THIRD READING	PAGE	117
	THIRD READING	PAGE	118
	THIRD READING	PAGE	119
	THIRD READING	PAGE	120
	THIRD READING	PAGE	121
	RECALLED	PAGE	122
	THIRD READING	PAGE	125
HB-0394		PAGE	125
	THIRD READING	PAGE	126
	THIRD READING	PAGE	126
	RECALLED	PAGE	127
	THIRD READING	PAGE	128
HB-0430		PAGE	128
	THIRD READING	PAGE	129
	THIRD READING	PAGE	132
	THIRD READING	PAGE	133
	THIRD READING	PAGE	134
	THIRD READING	PAGE	135
	THIRD READING	PAGE	136
	THIRD READING	PAGE	139
	THIRD READING	PAGE	141
	THIRD READING	PAGE	146
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	144
	THIRD READING	PAGE	147
	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
	SECOND READING	PAGE	98
	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
	SECOND READING HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	17
	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	19
	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
	SECOND READING	PAGE	20
		PAGE	20
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE	20
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE	21
		PAGE	21
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE	22
		PAGE	22
HB-1338		PAGE	22
	SECOND READING	PAGE	23
		PAGE	98
HB-1360		PAGE	23
		PAGE	23
HB-1301		PAGE	24
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE	25
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	25 25
	ARTICLE STORY OF THE STORY		

08/16/85 11:23

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

MAY 10, 1985

775 4443			
	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
	SECOND READING	PAGE	99
HB-1459	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
HB-1466	SECOND READING	PAGE	103
	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
HB-1469	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	29
	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
HB-1474	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
HB-1475	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	36
HB-1478	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
HB-1482	SECOND READING	PAGE	37
HB-1486	SECOND READING	PAGE	38
HB-1491	SECOND READING	PAGE	39
HB-1492	SECOND READING	PAGE	39
HB-1493	SECOND READING	PAGE	39
HB-1496	SECOND READING	PAGE	40
HB-1500	SECOND READING	PAGE	41
HB-1502	SECOND READING	PAGE	41
HB-1504	SECOND READING	PAGE	41
HB-1507	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
HB-1508	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
HB-1517		PAGE	43
HB-1518	SECOND READING	PAGE	44
	SECOND READING	PAGE	45
HB-1520	SECOND READING	PAGE	45
HB-1523	SECOND READING	PAGE	46
	SECOND READING	PAGE	46
	SECOND READING	PAGE	46
	SECOND READING	PAGE	47
BB-1540	SECOND READING	PAGE	47
HB-1547	SECOND READING	PAGE	48
	SECOND READING	PAGE	49
HB-1558	SECOND READING	PAGE	100
HB-1566	SECOND READING	PAGE	50
HB-1578	SECOND READING	PAGE	51
UB- 16.14	SECOND READING	PAGE	51(6
淵淵	SECOND READING	PAGE	69
HB-1651	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
HB-1656	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
HB-1667	SECOND READING	PAGE	71
HB-1667	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	71
HB-1671	SECOND READING	PAGE	72
HB-1690	SECOND READING	PAGE	72
HB-1681	SECOND READING	PAGE	72
HB-1682	SECOND READING	PAGE	72
HB-1683	SECOND READING	PAGE	73
HB-1695	SECOND READING	PAGE	73
HB- 1687	SECOND READING	PAGE	73
HB-1699	SECOND READING	PAGE	73
HB-1714	SECOND READING	PAGE	74
HB-1717	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
HB-1740	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
HB-1746	SECOND READING	PAGE	77
HB-1752	·	PAGE	79
	SECOND READING	PAGE	77
HR-1763	SECOND READING	PAGE	77
HB-1763			

08/16/85 11:23

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

MAY 10, 1985

HB-1763	OUT OF	RECORD		PAGE	78
HB-1765	SECOND	READING		PAGE	78
HB- 1767	SECOND	READING		PAGE	79
HB-1769	SECOND	READING		PAGE	80
HB-1770	SECOND	READING		PAGE	84
		READING		PAGE	84
		READING		PAGE	84
HB- 1801				PAGE	85
HB-1915				PAGE	85
HB-1832				PAGE	86
		READING		PAGE	85
		READING		PAGE	86
HB-1955				PAGE	87
		READING		PAGE	87
		READING		PAGE	87
HB- 1865				PAGE	88
		READING		PAGE	89
		READING		PAGE	89
		READING	\	PAGE	96
		READING		PAGE	96
		READING		PAGE	97
		READING		PAGE	97
		READING		PAGE	97
		READING		PAGE	97
		READING		PAGE	98
HB-2201				PAGE	152
SB-0339				PAGE	146
HB-0090				PAGE	3
HJR-0020				PAGE	5
		TION OFFERED		PAGE	6
HJR-0032				PAGE	10
SJR-0056	ADOPTE)		PAGE	2

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND PAUL E. PLESNER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN CHAIR	PAGE	87
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	154
GENERAL RESOLUTION	PAGE	155
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	155
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	155
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	155
PERPUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	156