37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 12 having arrived, the House will be in Session. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend J. Ronald Bogart, Associate Pastor, Laurel United Methodist Church of Springfield. Reverend Bogart is the guest of Representative Michael Curran. Will the guests in the gallery please rise and join us in the invocation? Reverend Bogart."
- Reverend Bogart: "Let us pray. Eternal God, source of all creation, the sustainer of all who have gone before us and our God, we offer You our thanksgiving for this day and for all the opportunities for good that it offers us. Help us to open our minds to new ideas, our hearts to those around us and our hands to those in need. May Your blessings be upon this House of Representatives as it deliberates and decides for the common welfare of all the citizens of Illinois. Give to each a vision of truth and justice. And in each of our lives, help us to cultivate those qualities that make for peace. In our personal lives, in our state and nation and in our world, O God, grant us peace. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp, will lead us today in the pledge to the flag."
- Ropp et al: "Thank you. I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 113 Members having answered to the Call of the Quorum, a quorum is present. Mr. Matijevich, are there any excused absences on the Democratic side?"
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, let the record show Representative Panayotovich is excused for..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so reflect. Mr. Piel, do you

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

have any excused absences on the Republican side?"

Piel: "Good morning, Mr. Speaker. Yes, we do. We have two this morning. Representative Tuerk and Representative Hoffman are excused today."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Tuerk and Representative ..."

Piel: "Hoffman."

Speaker Greiman: "Hoffman. Alright, let the record so reflect that they are... have excused absences. ... of the Calendar, Consent Calendar, Second Reading Second Day."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading Second Day.

House Bill 1438 was taken out of the record, as there's a request for a fiscal note which has not been filed. House Bill 1468, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Lake County Forest Preserve District to exchange lands in Vernon Township. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Leverenz, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 8, 1985, reported the with the following recommendations: 'Do pass' House Bills 342, 362, 418, 529, 641, 656, 693, 1125, 2334 2489; 'do pass as amended. House Bills 143, 670, 675 and 1304. Representative Bowman, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 8, 1985, reported the same with the following recommendations: 'Do pass' House Bills 663, 902, 1911, 2243 and 2316; 'do pass as amended' Bills 660, 664, 672 and 679. Representative Steczo, Chairman of the Committee on Cities and Villages, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 30, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Interim Study Calendar' House Bills 1308,

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

1487, 1658, 1659, 1660, 1661, 1745, 1825, 2051, 2063, 2169, 2371 and 2397. Representative Cullerton, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary II, to which the following Bill was referred, action taken May 3, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'Do pass' House Bill 2327."

Speaker Greiman: "On page six of the Calendar, House Bills Second Reading, on that Order of Business appears House Bill 17.

Out of the record. House Bills Second Reading, House Bill 18. Out of the record. House Bill 20. Mr. Mautino, 20.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 20, a Bill for an Act to repeal Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 34. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Third Reading... Second Reading appears House Bill 54. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills... Second Reading appears House Bill 62. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills 73. Mr. Rea in the chamber? Out of the... Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills 82. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 82, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain criminal offenses. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Second Reading appears House Bill 93. Out of the record.

Alright. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, we'll
just back up to House Bill 73. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, wait. Mr... Excuse me. Mr. Rea. we'll take that out of the record for a... for a while. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill Ms. Currie. Ms. Currie. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 100. of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 104. Out of the record. On the Order House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 115. of the record. And 123, Mr. Brookins. Out of the record. Well, Ladies and Gentleman, I should advise you that the days are getting shorter. You're Bills will not be called each day, so I suggest that you respond when they are being called. Now, on the Order of House Bills... Mr. Brookins, I had just called House Bill 123. Did you want to go with that? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 123, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any...Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 124. Mr. Brookins. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 124, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading

of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

37th Legislative Day May 9, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Brookins, amends House Bill 124 on page two by deleting line nine and inserting in lieu thereof the following: arrangement."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brookins, on Amendment #2 to House Bill 124."
- Brookins: "Yes, Mr. Chairman... Mr. Speaker and Committee (sic House) Members, this brings it in compliance so that the defense and the State's Attorney have the same length of time which will... will begin after arraignment. And this was the Amendment that was agreed by with... the Minority Spokesman."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Brookins, the Gentleman from Cook, moves for the pass... for adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 124. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he'll yield for a question."
- McCracken: "Alright. Just for purposes of the record, so we're clear, it was agreed there would be an Amendment on Second Reading."
- Brookins: "That is correct."
- McCracken: "Okay. Now, this Bill, as amended, would start the clock ticking of ninety days from the date of arraignment regardless of how the person was charged; Grand Jury, probable cause hearing or otherwise."

Brookins: "That is correct."

McCracken: "Alright. And it is... it relates only to certain specified crimes in the Bill. All others are the 120 day rule."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Brookins: "That is correct."

McCracken: "And it relates only to cases where the person is in custody, or does it also relate to where he makes a demand under this Act? Is it both custody and demand? Do we know? John? Both? Alright, it's both custody and demand, and it specifies those circumstances under which a continuance can be granted by either side, as to either side. Is that right?"

Brookins: "That is correct."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 132. Mr. Giorgi, 132.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 132, a Bill for an Act in relation to charitable contributions to designated zone organizations under the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 147. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 153. Mr. Mautino, 153. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 153, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 159. Out of the record.

House Bill 160, out of the record. 164, out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills... On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 175. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 198, Mr. Hicks. Out of the record. On the Order of

House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 200, Mr.

Levin. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 202. Out of the record.

On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 209. Mr.

Cullerton, 209. Page eight of the Calendar. Yes, Mr.

Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Out of the record, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 224, Mr. Woodyard. Out

of the record. 231, out of the record. On the Order of

House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 240. Mr.

Culierton, 240. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 240, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Cullerton, amends House Bill 240..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Amendment #3."
- Cullerton: "I'm sorry, I don't have a copy of that Amendment. I

 believe it's technical in nature. Perhaps we can just come
 back to this, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. We can take that out of the... Mr.
 Piel, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Piel: "Yeah, I was just going to mention that we have no problems with Amendment #3. It is technical in nature. It just clears up a problem with Amendment #2."
- Cullerton: "Okav."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Mr. Cullerton moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 240. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Vinson, amends House Bill 240..."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on Amendment #4 to House Bill 240."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Vinson. The chamber is beginning to fill up. Welcome to you late arrivals, but let us maintain order and dignity in the chamber. Mr. Vinson, you have the attention of the House."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Sir. The function of the Illinois Housing

 Development Authority is to increase the availability of

 housing for poor and moderate income people throughout the

 State of Illinois. The Bill that Representative Cullerton

 presents to us, as amended, seeks to control the cost of

 that housing and to make that housing as affordable as

 possible for low and moderate income people in Illinois by

 limiting unreasonable legal costs associated with the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

construction of that housing. It is a laudable objective. The function of my Amendment is to complement his by further limiting unreasonable legal costs. The Bill, as amended right now, goes at the cost... the legal cost the Authority incurs, and my Amendment simply limits the legal costs the developers may incur. I believe it complements his approach, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 240."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt has moved for the adoption of Amendment 4 to House Bill 240. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, John Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think it's a great idea, and I'm sorry I didn't think of it myself."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Is there any further discussion?

 There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Ms. Satterthwaite, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Satterthwaite: "A point of information, please. For some time now we've had on the Calendar House Bill 202, for which various fiscal notes and mandate notes, et cetera, have been requested. We have on file now, as I understand it, a Pension Impact Note and a State Mandates Act Fiscal Note already on file. Can you tell me from whom I am supposed to get yet a third fiscal note in order for the Bill to move?"
- Speaker Greiman: "We'll have the Clerk look and see whether a fiscal note has been filed. Alright, Ms. Satterthwaite, we

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

will check into that, and we will get back to you. Alright?"

Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Thanks. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 251, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Cierk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 251, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Automobile Renting Occupation and Use Tax
Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 255, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 255, a Bill for an Act in relation to the occupation and use taxes on building materials used in enterprise zones. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Dunn."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, on Floor
Amendment #1 to House Bill 255."

Dunn: "Move for adoption of Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 255. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor could hold this Bill for a second. We just haven't had a chance to look at the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, we'll take that out of the record, Mr.

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Dunn?"

Dunn: "Yeah."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 290. Huff. 290. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 312, Mr. Soliz. the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 316, Mr. Davis. Out of the record. the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 317. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 320, Ms. Braun. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 324, Mr. Young. Anthony Young. record? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 341, Ms. Wojcik. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 341, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On page nine of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 346, Mr. Shaw. Out of the record. On the Order of... Mr. Shaw on 346. Mr. Shaw, I should tell you that a State Mandates Note has been requested on this Bill, and until we are furnished with that, it will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Do you wish to proceed? Yes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 346..."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "No, out of the record, Mr. Clerk. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 347, Mr. Hawkinson. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 350, Mr. Rea. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 350, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are none."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third heading. On the Order of House Bills Second Beading appears House Bill 350. Mr. Rea, 350. Mr. Clerk... Oh, I'm sorry, 350. Oh, I'm sorry. That's 350. Yes. Alright, we'll now go back to Mr. Dunn's House Bill 255, on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk."
- clerk Leone: "House Bill 255, a Bill for an Act in relationship (sic - relation) to occupation and use taxes on building materials used in enterprise zones. Has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1, Dunn."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 255."
- Dunn: "I move the adoption of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 255. And on that, is there any discussion?

 The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Usually when we have Amendments, the Gentlemen or Ladies do explain what they mean. Would be mind if we asked what it does?"
- Dunn: "Sure. The Amendment provides a slight change in the... in the procedural aspects of the Bill. The thrust of the Bill is to provide in enterprise zones an exemption for those... from sales tax for those who sell building materials. What we've found in the current law is that a retailer,

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

typically a lumber yard, wishing to cooperate with the Enterprise Zone Act system, found itself in the position of selling materials to a customer who had an exemption and the retailer then had to charge the sales tax and go back and try to get their money back from the Department The original Bill was filed to exempt the Revenue. retailer in this circumstance. But the Department of Revenue has provided for what they feel is a more acceptable procedure to the Department of Revenue and a procedure which will be acceptable to the retailer and that is to provide for a deduction rather than an exemption in this situation. And the retailer will then keep track the materials sold which are entitled to this deduction and include that deduction on its regular reports to the Department of Revenue."

Piel: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, the Gentleman... Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Macon noves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 255. The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 353. Mr. Preston, do you

wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 353, a Bill for an Act in relationship
to local criminal correction systems. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

- 37th Legislative Day

 May 9, 1985

 Second Reading appears House Bill 356. Mr. Clerk, read the
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 356, a Bill for an Act to create the
 Adelo (sic Aledo) Community Center Authority. Has been
 read a second time previously. Amendments #1 and 2...
 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Amendment #2 was
 adopted previously."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Notions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Homer, amends House Bill 356, on page..."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer, on Amendment #3 to House Bill 356."
- Homer: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask leave to withdraw Amendment #3."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, leave to withdraw Amendment #3.

 Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Friedrich, amends House Bill 356 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, on Amendment #4."
- Friedrich: "I'd like... I'd like to have that withdrawn.
 Withdraw Amendment #4."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Mulcahey, amends House Bill 356 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey, on Amendment #5. Mr. Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Withdraw."
- Speaker Greiman: "Withdrawn. Leave to withdraw. Amendment #5 is

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Correction."

Speaker Greiman: "What? Alright. There is another Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Brunsvold, amends House Bill

356 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Brunsvold, on Amendment #6 to House Bill 356. Mr. Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #6 would include as Civic Center Authorities; Aledo, Normal Civic Center Authority, Mason County, Jasper County, Brownstown (sic - Brownstone) Park District and Jo Daviess County. It basically sets up the authorities in those areas for civic centers. They then would have to apply to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs for the money, if they would in fact be granted the money for those community centers. The only variation would be on the Jo Daviess County Civic Center which allows for a general obligation bond with referendum. So that would be acceptable to me as part of the package, and I would ask for your support in the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Rock Island has moved for the adoption of House... Amendment... Amendment #6 to House Bill 356. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Piel: "Basically what your Amendment now is doing is taking everybody else's projects from all the other Amendments and putting them all into one Amendment. Am I correct?"

Brunsvold: "Correct. I've been approached, instead of having a lot of Amendments, we've incorporated into one Amendment,

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

and we're going with six community centers: one for Aledo which is mine; Representative Ropp; Representative Homer; Representative Hartke; Representative Friedrich and Representative Mulcahey."

Piel: "Okay. How many are there total now on the Bill?"

Brunsvold: "Six, Representative."

Piel: "We've gone from one to six."

Brunsvold: "Right."

Piel: "What... What is the estimated figure, cost figure, on this right now?"

Brunsvold: "The cost figure on this particular Bill, which sets up the Authority - and that's all it does - the acquisition of the money from the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs would be according to population in that area. For example, Aledo would only have access to about 400 thousand dollars of DCCA or civic center monies if they would be approved. The total package, Representative, I'm not...

I'm not aware of the total cost of the package. That would have to be with approval of DCCA."

Piel: "Well, obviously, I mean, you're the Sponsor of the Amendment, and I'm sitting here figuring, well, if you're the Sponsor of the Amendment, you're asking for six different authorities, which are going... you know, if it were to go through, we're talking about six different civic centers. We're talking about an astronomical figure, you know, to the taxpayers in the State of Illinois. And, you know, it seems that you'd have some idea of what this is going to cost if it goes through... the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. And that's the reason why I'm asking the question."

Brunswold: "The money for the civic centers comes from the racetracks, Representative. There are many, many authorities that have already been set up that are in... in

37th Legislative Day

- May 9, 1985
- line for money and request for money. These would simply be smaller authorities. These are very small... would be a very small amount."
- Piel: "Okay, let me... let me expound on that last remark then.

 You're saying that this money is coming from the
 racetracks."
- Brunsvold: "Right."
- Piel: "Where's that racetrack money now go?"
- Brunsvold: "It goes into... It goes into the civic center authorities, a lot of it: McCormick Place; Peoria; all the civic centers that are set up in the big cities around the area; Springfield..."
- Piel: "So basic... basically what you're saying then is, if this goes through, this money that's being used from the racetrack authority will be hurting the other authorities throughout the state then."
- Brunsvold: "No, we're at limit. There's no money available right now, Representative, for any more civic centers. The Representatives that have put this package... as putting this package together are simply setting up the authority. There's no guarantee that we'll ever get any money."
- Piel: "Well, I think we're setting up a dangerous precedence.

 Mr. Speaker, I would ask for a Roll Call on this

 Amendment, please."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Further discussion? The Gentleman from Rock Island to close."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The civic center authorities, or I like to put it as community authorities, are very small. The Governor in his last study on civic centers stated that the large civic centers in this state have already been built, and he said we'd need to move to smaller community centers. This is in that light. We are moving to smaller community centers. Centers that are 100

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

very small. Probably civic centers or by 100 feet, community centers that can run in the black and not the most of the large ones are... in fact as all of them are running in this state. I would ask support and support these smaller communities that are asking for community centers, not civic centers. This no way quarantees that any of these civic centers or community centers are ever going to get any money. They're simply setting up authority in the possibility of money if DCCA and the Governor approve it. So I would ask for your support on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 75 voting 'aye', 32 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Brunsvold, there is a request for a fiscal note as amended, which has been made, so the Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Mr. Speaker, then when the... fiscal note is filed then we move it to Third?"

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon?"

Brunsvold: "When the fiscal note is filed, then we can move it to Third?"

Speaker Greiman: "When the fiscal note's filed and we return to it on the Order of Second Reading, we will move it to Third Reading..."

Brunsvold: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "...at that time. Okay? On the Order of House

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 360, Mr. Homer.
Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second
Reading appears House Bill 364, Mr. Johnson. 364. Mr.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 364, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to support and maintenance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 367. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 367, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to hospitals. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Johnson, amends House Bill 367 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, on Amendment #2 to House Bill 367. Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "If I could have just one minute. I want to withdraw
Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 374. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

Bill 393, Mr. Bowman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 383, a Bill for an Act to amend Senior

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief and Pharmaceutical Assistance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #... No Amendments. No... No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk Leone: "There are none."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 384. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 384, a Bill for an Act to amend the New

Car Buyers Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 385, Mr. Pangle. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 385, a Bill for an Act to amend the Senior Citizens Real Estate Tax Deferral Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 387, Mr. Farley. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 390, Mr. Davis. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 393, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 393, a Bill for an Act to amend the Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act. Second Reading of the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 405. Mr. Tate, 405. Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 405, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act prohibiting local governments from regulating hunting and fishing. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendments #1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Zwick, amends House Bill 405 on page four and so forth."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Kane, Ms. Zwick, on Amendment #3 to House Bill 405. Ms. Zwick."
- Zwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would, because I think this Bill is so bad and that I would rather try and kill it, I'm going to try... I'm going to withdraw the Amendment at this time."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Johnson Tate, amends House Bill 405."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson...

 Mr. Tate, are you going to take that? Alright, Mr. Tate."
- Tate: "Yes, thank... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Because I think this Bill is so good, I'm going to withdraw the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Further
 Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 410. Mr. Clerk, out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 446, Ms. Younge. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 447, Mr. Giglio. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 447, a Bill for an Act to amend the Use

Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 448. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 448, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Johnson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Johnson: "Just an inquiry. How did we go from 405 to 448? 410 was the next Order of Business."

Speaker Greiman: "410 was called Mr. Johnson. 410 was called."

Johnson: "Did you move it to Third?"

Speaker Greiman: "No."

Johnson: "What did you do with it?"

Speaker Greiman: "No one gave me any symbol or notion that they cared to call it."

Johnson: "Nobody asked me, Mr. Speaker. I'm the Chief Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr... Mr. Johnson... Mr. Johnson, the way that the Chair makes inquiry is to call the Bill. And you were not... You just... I looked over there and you gave me no indication. Now, I'm told that there is, in any event.

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

an Amendment that's been filed and not printed. So that, in any event, that's the case. Yes, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Then I... If there's an Amendment... and I'm giving whoever that Sponsor is of the Amendment the courtesy of a... of holding that Bill, are we going to get to that today so that we can move it to Third Reading?"

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "My question was, are we... since I've given the... I
will give the courtesy to whoever the unknown Sponsor of
that Amendment is, the courtesy of holding this Bill on
Second Reading, are we going to get back to it so we can
move it to Third today?"

Speaker Greiman: "Well, we sure... I'm sure we'll get back to it today, Mr. Johnson. That's correct."

Johnson: "We'll get back to 410 today then."

Speaker Greiman: "Right."

Johnson: "Do you know when? Has the Bill... Has the Amendment already been introduced?"

Speaker Greiman: "The Amendment has been introduced, of course."

Johnson: "Okay. Well, I'm eagerly awaiting the Chair's calling this again."

Speaker Greiman: "I mean, Mr. Johnson, let me say this to you, Sir. A) We will be back to it. 2) It's a courtesy to take it out of the record. But (3) the Bill was called. The Clerk read it. I looked over to your area. Neither you nor Mr. Tate expressed any..."

Johnson: "What about Mr. Hicks and Mr. Slater and Mr. Young?

There are five hyphenated Sponsors on it. I've never seen the Chair in a previous..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson..."

Johnson: "...Bill today that I had..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson..."

Johnson: "Turn the electricity on ..."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson..."

Johnson: "This isn't a dictatorship. Now turn the electricity on."

Speaker Greiman: "We look... We look at that. Neither you nor Mr. Tate expressed an interest. We'll proceed... Mr. Johnson. Mr. Clerk, turn Mr. Johnson on. Now, Mr. Johnson..."

Johnson: "On a previous Bill, about a Bill ago, you specifically called my name, because I was apparently a Sponsor with Mr. Tate, even though I listed on 405. You gave me that courtesy. And even though I'm the first name Sponsor and was standing at my desk at 410, you didn't ask either me, or Mr. Hicks, or Mr. Slater, or Mr. Tate or Mr. papor the Bill. Now, we all know what you want to do with House Bill 410. And I understand that. You're opposed But we've certainly got a right to have that the Bill. issue heard, and we've certainly got a right to move that Bill onto Third Reading. It's kind of ironic that you wouldn't call on me... that you would call on me on previous Bill when I'm not even listed on the program, and yet on this Bill, where I'm the first name Sponsor standing an inch from my desk, that you don't even say anything to me. Nobody in here heard you call my name. you'd called my name, I would have called the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson. Now, Mr. Johnson, may the Chair..."

Johnson: "Sure. Sure."

Speaker Greiman: "May the Chair respond? Thank you, Mr. Johnson.

Firstly, on the previous Bill, I called your name as the tape will indicate. That's on 5... 405, and Mr. Tate raised his hand and asked for... he be recognized, which is common practice here when there are hyphenated Cosponsors.

He did... He took... He carried the Bill. Had you

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

interposed an objection to Mr. Tate carrying that Bill, I certainly, certainly would have called upon you and held... and asked him to not speak. On the next Bill, Mr. Johnson, I asked for... I had the Clerk call... read the Bill. The Clerk read the Bill. I looked in your area. You were not apparently paying attention to the number. Mr. Tate was not..."

Johnson: "What about Hicks or Slater ... "

Speaker Greiman: "...Mr. Johnson. Now, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Did you look at them?"

Speaker Greiman: "The Chair is not obliged to search the record for every single Cosponsor that might be involved. We look to the first name Sponsor on Second Reading. It is not June 30th. You will indeed have your day. You will probably have your day today."

Johnson: "That's fine."

Speaker Greiman: "And I would appreciate... And I take the..."

Johnson: "As long as ... Bill today. That's fine."

Speaker Greiman: "Just a moment, Mr. Johnson. The Chair is not through. Mr. Johnson, the Chair takes offense. So that you understand this, that you think that somehow this Bill was passed over because of the Chair's personal feeling about this Bill, I call lots of Bills that the... this Chair has no great compassion for or... to, but everybody gets a fair hearing while I have this gavel, Sir. And I take offense to that..."

Johnson: "I haven't been..."

Speaker Greiman: "And that's the end of it. We'll go onto the next Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the... On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 448. Did we do that, Mr. Clerk? Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 448, which amends the Illinois Public
Aid Code, has been read a second time previously. No

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Giglio. Mr. Daniels, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Daniels: "Just so we can cover this matter completely. It's my understanding when the Amendment is printed that you will go back to that?"

Speaker Greiman: "We will go back it before the day's out, Mr.

Daniels."

Daniels: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Absolutely."

Daniels: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Giglio, we are going to get to you. Proceed, Sir."

Clerk Leone: "There are no Committee Amendments to House Bill

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 450. Mr. Mulcahey, on 450. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 450, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 45%. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 451, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 452, Ms. Frederick. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 452, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 457. Mr. Clerk, read the

 Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 457, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Uniform Commercial Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Zwick, amends House Bill 457."

 Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Kane, Ms. Zwick, on Amendment #2."
- Zwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

 Amendment #2 deals with some questions that were raised in

 Committee by Representative Breslin, which I thought were

 certainly founded. And in an attempt to address those

 concerns about how many times someone could present a bad

 check and not be charged a service charge, we drafted this

 Amendment, and I believe she's agreed that it does address

 her concerns. And I would move for its adoption at this

 time."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Kane, Ms. Zwick, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 457. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr.

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I couldn't hear her."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Zwick, Mr. Cullerton asks that you make a succinct summary of the Amendment."

Zwick: "Certainly. What the Amendment does is address
Representative Breslin's question in Committee as to how
many times a retailer could accept a check from the same
person and be affected by this Bill. I didn't feel that a
person should be able to present a check more than once and
still not be charged and not have the retailer charged a
service charge for accepting that check when that person
had a record."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Callerton: "I would inquire of the Clerk whether the Amendment's been printed and distributed."

Speaker Greiman: "They have not been distributed I'm advised; so Ms. Zwick, perhaps you could take your Amendment out of the... your Bill out of the record. Alright? Thanks. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 460. Mr. Leflore. Yes? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 460, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the filing date for returns of certain state taxes.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 463. Mr. Clark, read the

Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 463, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Pullen, amends House Bill 463."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 463."
- Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First I'd like to state that
 this is my Bill, so it's my Amendment to my Bill. The
 Amendment was actually intended to be adopted in Committee,
 and I believe was adopted in Committee, but didn't get as
 far as the Committee Report. So it is the shape in which
 the Bill was to go out of Committee. And it rewrites this
 Bill which is concerned with bribery to use the Chicago Bar
 Association recommended language to take care of the
 clarification that a middleman can be charged under the
 bribery statute, not just a public official and the briber.
 It did, as I indicated, pass out of Committee with the
 understanding that this Amendment was supposed to be on the
 Bill, and I move its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 463. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 464. Out of the record.

 On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

 Bill 473. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 473, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 474, Mr. Laurino. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 481. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 481, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 483. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 483, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed and no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "No Motions. Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 484. Let's take it out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 490, Mr. Johnson. Yes, did you want to proceed on that, Mr. Johnson?"

Johnson: "Yes, I do."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 490, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None,"

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 495. Mr. Clerk. Mr.

Wolf. Mr. Wolf, do you wish to proceed? 495. Mr. Clerk,

read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 495, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading, On the Order of House Second Reading appears House Bill 501. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Mr. Preston, 504. Out of the record. Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 505. Out of the ... Out of the record. On the Order of 507, out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading 508, Mr. Daniels. 508, Mr. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 510. Out of the record-Ωn the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 513. Mr. Hicks. Mr. Hicks. Out of the record. Oh. Hicks, do you wish to proceed? Alright, Sir. Mr. read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill... House Bill 513, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 514. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 514, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any... Any Motions with respect to Amendment

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Hicks, amends House Bill 514 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hicks, on Amendment #2."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #2 of the Bill simply corrects the problem area
with Amendment #1 having to due with firewood sellers on
the Bill, and I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jefferson moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 514. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, would you explain again what your Amendment does?"

Hicks: "Yes, Sir, I'm sorry. I was discussing the wrong They re on House Bill 514. 514 is the Bill having to do with the School Code. Amendment #2 simply combination of House Bills 515 and 516 as worked out with the House Education ... Elementary and Secondary Education The Bill does make some changes in the original Bill... the Amendment does, having to do with changes of the original dollar amounts in the Bill from 6,250 dollars to 7,000 dollars, as the original Bill asked for 11,000 dollars. And also an increase of a line item from original Bill from 2,500 to 4,500. That makes the changes to 2,800 dollars. And I would ask for the adoption of the Bill... of the Amendment."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Vinson: "Representative, does your Amendment deal with the depreciation of leaseholds?"
- Hicks: "Say again, Sir. Excuse me. I did not hear your
 question."
- Vinson: "Does your... Does Amendment #2 deal with the
 depreciation of leaseholds?"
- Hicks: "We are on Amendment #2? Let me get the straight here, Representative, and I'll answer that question. Yes, Sir, I believe you are correct in your... Amendment #1 was as I just explained it. Amendment #2 has to do with the leasehold, and it is a combination of House Bill 375, that we currently have on Third Reading. takes in account the changes that were made in 375 and puts it on 514, having to do with the dollars recouping... being able to be recouped by those facilities. They currently are allowed depreciation on those facilities which they are owned, but are not allowed a reimbursement for rental of facilities that are outside of their area. And that's what Amendment #2 does. It's a combination of House Bill 375 onto 514. Thank you for your help on that."
- Vinson: "Is... Okay. Now, I'm still not clear on a couple of other things. Who is going to depreciate these leaseholds?"
- Hicks: "There's not a depreciation on the leaseholds themselves.

 Right now, currently, if I am a special ed district, and I have to go out into a district outside of the current area in which I'm operating to hold classes, and I'm required to rent a facility, I am not allowed a reimbursement for that facility. If I do go out and buy a facility then I am allowed a depreciation of 200 dollars per pupil for a reimbursement cost. This simply allows them instead of having to buy facilities to depreciate to be able to regain their cost... to be able to regain their cost through a

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

rental process."

Vinson: "I understand. Thank you."

Hicks: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Churchill: "Representative Hicks, I'm not quite sure I understand. You're passing on a depreciation from a rental? So in other words..."

Hicks: "No, Sir, I'm not."

Churchill: "Okay."

Hicks: "What I'm doing is... is currently they're allowed a depreciation under current law."

Churchill: "If they own."

Hicks: "If they own, outside of their immediate area for which they are reimbursed. Okay. Now then, they are required to go out into other areas to hold classes. Instead of them having to go into that area and buy a facility in order to be reimbursed, as we currently do, this would say we are then going to allow them to rent a facility, which most of them do anyway, but be reimbursed at the same rate that they are currently reimbursed if they buy on a rental of a basis of 200 dollars per pupil."

Churchill: "Okay. So this Amendment really has nothing to do with depreciation."

Hicks: "No. Has nothing to do with depreciation at all. It simply... to answer your question."

Churchill: "I think that clears it up. Thank you."

Hicks: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Hicks... Oh, I'm sorry. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Hicks, House Bill 513 was moved to Third Reading in error. A fiscal note has been requested and none has been filed. So with leave of the House, I will return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading. Accordingly House Bill 513 is returned to the Order of Second Reading. 514 was advanced to Third Reading. Alright? On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 522. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 522, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 531, Ms. Zwick. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 531, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amenument #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 536, Mr. Ewing. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 536, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal... the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 548. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 548, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 561. Mr. Clerk. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 562. Mr. Levin, 562. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 562, a Bill for an Act in relation to condominium and community associations. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #!?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 563, Mr. Levin. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 563, a Bill for an Act i n relationship... in relationship... in relation ta condominiums. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Motions with respect to Amendments #1 and 2?"

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Levin - Parke, amends House
Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, on Amendment #3."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 clears up a problem that the Independent Insurance Agents had and was worked out with with respect to the coverage for directors officers liability. As somebody that practices in the condominium field, I can tell you the minimum coverage almost every association is a million dollars. And this Bill would provide ... this Amendment would, in respects, raise the coverage so it would be that million: otherwise, it would have been a lower amount for associations."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 563. And on that, is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 572, Mr. Dunn. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 572, a Bill for an Act to amend the Local Mass Transit District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #!?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

37th Legislative Day May 9, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn, a fiscal note has been requested on this Bill, so the Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. Turn Mr. Dunn on."

Dunn: "It's prepared. I... It hasn't been filed yet?"

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon, Sir?"

Dunn: "It has not been filed yet?"

Speaker Greiman: "The fiscal note has not been filed."

Dunn: "Alright. It's prepared. We'll have to find it. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, alright. This Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. On page 17 of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 574, Mr. Preston. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 574, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the public financing of gubernatorial campaigns.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #... No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Churchill, amends House Bill 574 on page..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr... I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 574."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a rather significant
Bill that Representative Preston has before us. It's a
Bill that we've seen before. What this Bill does is to
create a funding mechanism for gubernatorial elections. My
Amendment takes this Bill one step further and adds to that
funding mechanism the mayoral race in the City of Chicago.
And what I'd like to do is ask all those who think this is
a good Bill to vote for this extension of the concept to

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- the City of Chicago."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 574.

 And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I... I'd have some guestions for the Sponsor of this Amendment, if he'd yield for a question."
- Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Sir."
- Preston: "Representative Churchill, could you explain what it is that your Amendment does? This provides for downstaters to provide matching funds through their tax dollars to candidates for the Mayor of the City of Chicago?"
- Churchill: "This says precisely that, that as it is in the importance of all of us, very important thing that we all take a look at how we fund the race for Governor, so is it important for us to determine how we fund the race for the Mayor of the City of Chicago. As good as that proposal is for the Governor, so is it good for the Mayor of the City of Chicago."
- Preston: "Well, then, is there some requirement for the amount of money that a person has to raise in order to qualify for these matching funds?"
- Churchill: "Yes, the provisions are exactly the same as in the original Bill."
- Preston: "So then if... if former Mayor Byrne wanted to run for Mayor of the City of Chicago and she raised a 100 thousand dollars, then the people, for example, in your district, would be contributing through their tax dollar to match that... those funds that she has. And you're in favor of that?"
- Churchill: "No, Representative Preston. I think you have not read the Amendment correctly. It is the people in the City

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- of Chicago who have the right to check off on their tax returns for the City of Chicago race. In the original Bill, all of the people in the state fund the gubernatorial election. In my Amendment, only the people in the City of Chicago fund the mayoral race."
- Preston: "So then if Mayor Washington wanted to receive these matching funds, then if he were to raise the 100 thousand dollars, then the people in the City of Chicago could match that with yet another 100 thousand dollars. Is that correct?"
- Churchill: "What... It is dollar for dollar match up to the certain limitations that are provided in the original Bill."
- Preston: "Then if Alderman Vrdolyak, for example, decided that he wanted to run for Mayor of Chicago, and he raised the limit of 100 thousand dollars, then he also could be provided with another 100 thousand dollars in campaign funds through the residents of the City of Chicago."
- Churchill: "My Amendment is fair across the board. It seeks to provide an open election for all those who are interested and who meet the minimum qualifications."
- Preston: "Now does your Amendment, Representative, include any limitations on the amount of campaign contributions?"
- Churchill: "Yes, it's exactly the same as the Bill which you have originally proposed."
- Preston: "And if someone wanted to contribute 10 thousand dollars, who is a fat cat city contractor, and receive wonderful contracts from the City, they could contribute 10 thousand dollars to the mayoral candidates?"
- Churchill: "I believe that it is exactly the same in terms of prohibitions as is your original Bill, and I think there is a prohibition against people making large single term contributions. And I think also that those who do make the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

large contributions take themselves out of the matching funds, because I think it's only those who contribute 150 dollars and less that provide the pool for the matching funds."

Preston: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I could speak to the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Sir."

Preston: "Thank you. I ... To the Motion ... To the Amendment, rather. I... I'm in opposition to this Amendment, though it is a novel idea, and I commend Representative Churchill this somewhat novel approach. The reason I'm against this particular Amendment is that there are many people the City of Chicago who thinks ... who think that there should be indeed no funding whatsoever for candidates for Mayor of the City of Chicago. And there are people who think that there should be no Office of Mayor in the City of Chicago. And that being the case, Ţ couldn't go along with a means to provide yet more candidates with the opportunity to not only run for the office, but to get money in aid ... from the taxpayers in order to run for that office. There are some people Chicago and around the State of Illinois who don't look at that office in the exalted fashion, Representative, that you do. And I am very impressed and pleased that you look at the Office of Mayor in the same light that we. Illinois, view the Office of Governor of this state. That's an unusual characterization for someone from ... from your district, from a district outside of the City of Chicago. And because of your support for the Mayor's Office, I'm sure we can get you a key to the City upon your next visit to the City of Chicago."

Churchill: "Thank you."

Preston: "But taking this... this Amendment seriously, which I suppose I have to do, it is an obvious means to kill the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Bill. We... We approached the original Bill in a serious fashion as means of showing that perhaps the perception of undue influence over the Office of Governor can be erased by limiting the amount of campaign contributions to that office. I don't think that this is a frivolous idea for Mayor of the City of Chicago by any means. I think it's a good idea, and I... I'm not saying at all that I wouldn't be supportive of it in a separate Bill, but not when it's designed to kill this Bill. However, again, I think it's a good idea, and I'd be able... I'd be glad to talk to you about, perhaps, jointly sponsoring that kind of legislation in the future: but I'd ask for your 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lee,
Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Churchill: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will yield for questions."

Olson: "Representative Churchill, an august Member of this side of the aisle, I know you have given great thought to this Amendment and you see a meritorious concern. I'm wondering if we might also consider putting in something for the Mayor of Glencoe. I know that the... the premise of the Governor's financing Bill is such that those of us in the private sector get into financing. Would you entertain an Amendment of that nature, because I know you feel strongly about yours?"

Churchill: "I thank you for asking that question, Representative Olson, because I think that if this Bill is fair for the Governor, then it's fair for all those who are in public office. And I think that you could see this concept extended through all those who hold office and seek office in the State of Illinois, and perhaps someday that we could

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

extend that even to the township and municipal levels and to the library districts and the school districts because I think that this is such a fine concept it should be spread throughout our state."

Olson: "To the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. I think this is a significant Amendment. Over half the people who live in the State of Illinois live in Chicago. We notice how peace and harmony reigns in the City of Chicago. If they could resolve their financing problems, they could probably get on with the business of the City. I'd recommend support for this fine Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Mr. House. I take somewhat offensive one of the previous speakers from the other side of the aisle. I don't take this Amendment lightly at all. I think this is serious Amendment. I think this is an Amendment that could definitely... I think its time is here. It's a situation where, you know, he made the remark about the fat cats one thing or another, I think this is a type of Amendment that could help people who, you know, the little people who want to run for office, not just the ones who are... have a lot of well... friends. And it's a situation know, you're sitting here, you saying, well, the City of When the City of Chicago has Chicago. But let's face it. an election, it's a national attention. We are talking about a very big significant election in this State of Illinois. I think, you know, especially, I concerned with the Amendment at first, because I thought it was a situation to where the taxpayers throughout the State of Illinois would have to pick up the tab. But as the Sponsor stated, it's a situation where it would be on a

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

check off, a voluntary check off, through the residents of the City of Chicago, and it's something to where it would definitely... I think it would definitely help curb corruption as far as large campaign contributions in the City. And I would ask for support of Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The... Yes, Mr. Piel, have you concluded? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had turn my light out, but I really just wanted to observe that... that I don't think it's a frivolous Amendment. If we're going to address this issue in a rational fashion. T think Representative Preston, by his own admission, has said to the Sponsor of the Bill, it's a very good idea. separate Bill, he might consider that. And if it's a very good idea, then it's a very good idea on this particular Bill as well, and we can let the Governor decide what he wants to do with it Representative Preston. But I think this Amendment should be on the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Cullerton: "Representative Churchill, you intend for this to be

a... provide for a check off on the income tax form to

provide for matching funds for the Mayor's race in the City

of Chicago. Is that right?"

Churchill: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "So there would be two separate tax forms in the state. There'd be a tax form for those who don't live in the City of Chicago, where they wouldn't have this separate check off, and then there'd be a... residents of the City of Chicago would have a separate tax form with their own... with two check off boxes. Is that correct?"

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Churchill: "No. No, I think that we could do it all on the separate... on same form and just have a segment of the form that said, if you are a resident of the City of Chicago, then you may use this particular portion of the tax form. If you are not a resident, then you don't have to use that particular tax form."
- Cullerton: "I see. So... Every... The tax forms would all remain the same. There'd be two separate boxes on the tax forms.

 Is that right?"
- Churchill: "No. They could have one box, and for those who lived within the City, they could choose to use the box. If those..."
- Cullerton: "But what is you wanted to give money to the Governor's race, but not to the Mayor's race or the Mayor's race and not the Governor's race."
- Churchill: "Yes, you would have to have a... According to my Amendment, you would need one check off area for my Amendment. But then Representative Preston's Bill, if it passed and became law, would also require a separate check-off box for the gubernatorial election."
- Cullerton: "So if both of them passed, your Amendment and the Bill, there'd be two separate boxes on all the tax forms."

 Churchill: "That is correct."
- Cullerton: "And... But only those people in the City of Chicago could... could mark the box that deals with the City of Chicago's Mayor's race."
- Churchill: "I assume that would be correct."
- Cullerton: "Well, if we're going to do that, we're going to have
 two separate boxes on the income tax form, why not just
 specify that you can check off for any municipality's
 mayor's race?"
- Churchill: "That was the exact question that was asked by Representative Olson, and I said that that would probably

37th Legislative Day

- May 9, 1985
- be a natural extension of this fine idea."
- Cullerton: "Why not... Why don't you hold... hold the Amendment?

 We can draft a third Amendment that... or second Amendment that would do that?"
- Churchill: "If Representative Preston would like to hold his Bill, then I'll be happy to hold the Amendment. If he wants to take it out of the record, we'll proceed to this at another time."
- Cullerton: "Well, that's up to him, but I would think it might be a good idea. Then you would agree then to that Amendment that would allow for all municipalities to have a check off?"
- Churchill: "Allow me to say it's a natural extension of this concept, and I think that if you're going to go ahead with the concept you ought to do it across the board."
- Cullerton: "So you're in favor of that... of that Amendment."
- Churchill: "Sounds like a wonderful idea."
- Cullerton: "And if your Amendment passes, you're in favor of the Bill?"
- Churchill: "Well..."
- Cullerton: "Or are you just trying to kill the Bill? Let's get
 down to the bottom line. Are you trying to kill the Bill
 or are you trying to pass the Bill with your Amendment?"
- Churchill: "I'm absolutely in favor of the Bill if we can help out the residents of the City of Chicago for all the good work and fine things..."
- Cullerton: "So if the Amendment gets on, then you'd be for the Bill."
- Churchill: "Yes."
- Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt,
 Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, just for the purposes of requesting a

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

recorded Roll Call vote on this. And I don't want you to misunderstand, but that's just asking for a favorable vote on the Amendment. That's asking that the machine be activated and people have to have an opportunity to be recorded on the issue."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, so that if one asks for a Roll Call, that
means a machine Roll Call. If you ask for a favorable Roll
Call, that's generic to the Body. Is that correct?"

Vinson: "Yes, and I'd like both."

- Speaker Greiman: "Good. Well, the Chair can only give you a mechanical Roll Call. The favorable Roll Call is in the hearts and souls in the floor. Alright, further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill, to close."
- Churchill: "Well. thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you to those Representatives who questioned me on this Amendment. What I want to say about this is that this Amendment is no better than the Bill to which it seeks to be attached. This Amendment is no worse. This Amendment is a logical extension of the Bill which Representative Preston has introduced. If we're going to go ahead with the concept of public financing of campaigns, then this will be a natural extension, and at some point along the line, this extension will proceed throughout the whole State of Illinois. I'm asking that you would vote on this to show whether or not it's your intention to go with this total concept or whether or not we're not going to have the concept. And I would ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill. I'm sorry. Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Preston, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My name was mentioned by the previous speaker in debate, and I simply wanted to indicate

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

that there is a giant difference between permitting municipalities, every municipality which runs into the thousands..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Preston, your name could be mentioned in debate, but I don't believe that's a matter of personal privilege. So, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 50 voting 'aye', 61 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. I'm sorry. Excuse me, excuse
me. Mr. Preston, a fiscal note request has been made, and
I believe... Mr. Clerk, has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk Leone: "There is no fiscal note on file at this time."

Speaker Greiman: "Then the Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 575. Mr. Dunn? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 580. 590, Mr. Levin. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 580, a Bill for an Act relative to sales representatives. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments 1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendments I, 2 and 3?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 582. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 582, a Bill for an Act in relationship to ownership of agricultural lands. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Woodyard, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Woodyard."

- Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to table Amendment #2, to table Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "You want to withdraw Amendment... Oh, you want to table Amendment #2. The Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Woodyard, moves to table Amendment #2. All those in favor... On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment 2 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Woodyard, amends House Bill 592..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Woodyard, on Floor
 Amendment #3 to House Bill 582."
- Woodyard: "I wish to withdraw Amendment #3."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Woodyard, amends House Bill..."

 Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Woodyard, on Floor

 Amendment #4."
- Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is
 a farmland reporting Bill. And Amendment #4 basically does
 three things. It exempts those from this reporting Bill
 who are already presently reporting under the Agricultural

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

Foreign Investment Disclosure Act, both in the State of Illinois and at the federal level. Those people would not have to duplicate their reports. It also exempts the... exempts everyone from having to report right away. This was to take care of a problem with some of the oil companies on their pipelines. And the other thing that it does to accommodate some concerns of the home builders was to exempt from reporting..."

- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Edgar (sic-Woodyard). For what purpose do you seek recognition, Mr. Cullerton?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Woodyard, we don't have a copy of the Amendment. Could you just hold this for a few minutes so we can come back to it? Thank you."
- Speaker Grieman: "Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 597, Mr. Bowman. Mr.

 Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 597, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 599. Mr. White, do you

 wish to proceed on 599? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 599, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative McCracken, amends House Bill 599..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 599."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendment, in effect, House. This would delete requirement that the state pay for the WICK program in event that federal funding is not forthcoming. And the reason for that, essentially, is one of timeliness. has been much talk in the federal budget discussions about cutting the WICK program. But, as a matter of fact, in the forthcoming fiscal year, there is going to be an eleven percent increase in the federal funding for the WICK program for the State of Illinois over current levels. fact being that, therefore, the Bill is not appropriate this time and I think is a matter better left discussion at a time when, in fact, a need may arise. So it's not timely, and I would ask that we adopt the Amendment and await further federal action. There is no necessity for acting on it in this Session."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, moves for the adoption of House Amendment #1 to House Bill 599.

 On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. White."
- White: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like very much for a ruling from the Chair. I believe that this Amendment is defective on line six... line 20. Line six of the Amendment, line 20 of the Bill. Mr. Speaker, specifically the word 'any'. Will you zero in on that word? 'Any' in the Bill and 'any' in the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Amendment is out of order. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 615. Mr. Clerk, read the

 Bill.:"
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 615, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to election laws. Second Reading of the Bill.

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendments #1 and 2?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 617, Mr. Shaw. Out of
the record. Mr. Shaw, do you wish that to be read? Mr.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 617, a Bill for an Act concerning the administration of school districts. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Friedrich, for what purpose do you seek recognition? The Gentleman from Marion. Yeah, alright. Yeah, alright. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 618, Mr. Davis. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 619, Ms. Zwick. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 619, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments?"

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 623. Mr. Clerk, read the

 Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 623, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 627. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 627, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 6... 636. Mr. Clerk..."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Curran, do you wish to have that Bill called? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 645. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 645, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the licensing and regulation of raffles and casino nights. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading appears House Bill 650, Mr. Tate. Out of

 the record. On the Order of House... Yes, Mr. Dunn, for

 what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Dunn: "Not on this Bill. If you want to call my Bill, I'd prefer that. I didn't have my light on for any purpose, Mr. Speaker. It's up to you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Dunn. On the Order of House
 Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 682. Mr. Kulas.
 Mr. Clerk, call the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 682, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of the practice of respiratory care. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Kulas, amends House Bill 682 on page one."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 682."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1, which we spoke about in Committee, I agreed to put this Amendment on the House floor. It's an agreed Amendment by the Medical Society, and it takes care of the problems of the laboratory technicians and also the problems that the paramedics and the fire department had with this Bill. And I would ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 682. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."
- Johnson: "It takes care of the problems in what manner, Representative Kulas?"
- Kulas: "There was a problem that the paramedics who were

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

certified with the fire department would have... would have been included. Now it takes them out of this regulation. And the same thing... there was a certain problem with the laboratory technicians that they would have been prohibited from doing certain of their jobs. This takes care of their problem."

Johnson: "You're saying... and you prefaced your comments by saying that the State Medical Society supports this Amendment and there is apparently no opposition, is that correct?"

Kulas: "Yes. It's an agreed Amendment, right."

Johnson: "Has the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Greiman: "Has it been... Yes, it has been distributed,
Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Thank you for your explanation."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake,
Mr. Churchil."

Churchill: "Mr. Speaker, we don't seem to have the Amendment on this side of the aisle. Are you sure that it's been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Churchill, you've had an opportunity to examine it?"

Churchill: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Churchill: "No."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. No one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Second Reading appears House Bill 685. Mr. Bullock, 685?
Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second
Reading appears House Bill 691, Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Clerk,
read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 691, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Second
Beading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 692. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 692, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act in relation to state police. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. There is a request for a fiscal note requested as amended. However, the Bill is not amended.

Yes, Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Well, we still want the fiscal note."

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon?"

Vinson: "We still want the fiscal note."

Speaker Greiman: "There has been a fiscal note requested. There
was a fiscal note filed. Now there's a fiscal note for the
Bill as amended, but the Bill hasn't been amended."

Vinson: "But it ought to be."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, that's up to you. Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 694.

Mr. Clerk. Mr. Cullerton? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 694, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the State's Attorneys' Appellate Service
Commission Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 703. Ms. Breslin, 703?

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 703, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second

Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Breslin."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from LaSalle, Ms. Breslin, on Floor Amendment #1."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Bill, as it is presently written, requires, mandates that every county establish a countywide plan for disposal of solid waste. This Amendment that I would propose would change it from a mandatory plan to make it permissive. It would allow counties to establish such a plan. It would set out criteria that they should consider in developing such a plan, and it would allow them, at their discretion, to establish a fee on waste disposal in order to cover the costs of the development of such a plan. I would ask for favorable consideration."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I apologize, but for some reason, even though I'm told that the Amendment was distributed, we don't have a copy of the Amendment here. So I would ask that the Sponsor just give us a second."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Breslin, perhaps you could just hold it for a minute. We'll come right back to you. We'll go on to the next Bill and come right back to you while Mr. Cullerton gets another copy of the Amendment. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 715. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 715, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Pharmacy Practice Act. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Nash, amends House Bill 715 by deleting line..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DeLeo."

DeLeo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is to correct a drafting error in the number of hours of a pharmacists continuing education. It has been changed from 30 hours per year to 30 hours covering over two years correlate with the license renewal period. The effective date of this Bill has been extended to July 1, 1986 to avoid confusion during March, 1986 which is the license renewal cycle. The second paragraph says that the Department of Registration and Education is granted rule making authority for verification on continuing education and to grant waivers in cases of extreme hardship. address any fiscal concerns, the Department is given the authority to establish a 20 dollar fee to cover the cost of maintaining any required records. The Amendment was drafted with the cooperation of the Illinois Pharmacists! and the Department of R & E. It addresses the concerns raised by the Department in the Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DeLeo, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 7!5. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I was wondering if my light was working.

On House Bill 703, it's been on for some time. I realize

we've gone on."

Speaker Greiman: "We took 703 out of the record."

Ewing: "Thank you. That's what I wanted to ..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel, the Gentleman from Cook, which Bill would you like to speak on, Sir?"

Piel: "Why don't we try 715 first, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Let's try it."

Piel: "Mr. Nash, I know that you and Mr. DeLeo are seatmates, but I'm not quite sure which one wants to speak on the Bill. I mean, is this a team effort here? Oh, okay, because I just saw Nash's name on there."

Speaker Greiman: "Are you asking leave for a question?"

Piel: "Yeah, could I ask a couple of questions? I'm not sure
which one I'm supposed ask."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Gentleman will yield for a question."

Piel: "I'm not sure which one I'm supposed to ask the questions to. But..."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, ask them and we'll see who answers. Go ahead."

Piel: "Who... who put... You put this... I'm not sure. Okay.

I'll ask the guestion, and then either one who wants to...

Okay, that's fair. Who put the... You put the Amendment in, Representative Nash-DeLeo, for who?"

Nash: "The Bill came from the Pharm..."

Piel: "For what group?"

Nash: "The Bill came from the pharmacists and the Amendments from the pharmacists."

Piel: "But this mandates a 30 hour requirement, correct?"

Nash: "Yes."

Piel: "Why did they... You know, you're mandating a 30 hour

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

requirement over a two year period. What if a person doesn't? Does that start all over again from square one? If, let's say, they've got 28 hours done in two years and they were in the process of another course."

Nash: "Representative Piel, where do you see over a two year period?"

Piel: "I'm sorry, what?"

Nash: "Are you addressing the Bill or the Amendment?"

Piel: "The Amendment. It's requiring 30 continuous hours over a two year period, or 30 hours over a two year period. And the reason I asked the... the reason I asked the question is what happens if they've got 28 and they're going in... they're taking another..."

Nash: "The original Bill, they had to get 30 hours during a one year period and that was changed to a two year period. So they can get 15 hours one year, 15 the other year."

Piel: "So, in other words, it's got to be 15 and 15. It couldn't be 14 and 16."

Nash: "No, it can be 30 in one year but as long as it's 30 in a two year period."

Piel: "What... Are there provisions in there as far as clearing up if they were in a situation, let's say they finished 28 and they were taking the other two hour course at that time, when the time lapse ran up from two years?"

Nash: "Bob, if there's a problem, I'll be glad to bring it back from Third Reading and make those corrections. But, to my knowledge, this has all been agreed on."

Piel: "Okay. Well, if I see a problem, I'll talk to you about
 it. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

37th Legislative Day

- May 9, 1985
- Churchill: "Representative Nash, was this proposal brought to you by the Department?"
- Nash: "No, this isn't by the Department. This is by the pharmacists."
- Churchill: "Okay. And have you been able to ascertain whether or not there are sufficient courses for a registered pharmacists to receive 30 hours of continuing education during a two year period?"
- Nash: "No, I haven't personally checked it, but I've been told there is."
- Churchill: "Okay, so that if somebody... What I'm trying get at is if somebody were residing in the southern part of the State of Illinois, would that person have access to 30 hours of continuing education or would that person lose their licensure because they had not right to get to a place to study and meet these requirements?"
- Nash: "Representative Churchill, I'd be glad to check into it; and, if we get this Bill to Third Reading, I'd be glad to bring it back to amend it to correct that concern. That's a very good point."
- Churchill: "Okay. Based on that representation, I have no further questions."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Beading. Now, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 703. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 703, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. This Bill

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

has been read a second time previously. No Committee

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Breslin."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from LaSalle, Ms. Breslin, on Floor
Amendment #1... 2?"

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker ... "

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendment #1 or 2, Mr. Clerk? Mr. Clerk, is that Floor Amendment #1? Proceed, Ms. Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen. this Amendment would change this Bill to make it permissive than mandatory. It would allow counties or municipalities, pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement, to prepare solid waste management plans. It also sets out criteria that they should consider when developing such plans, and then it allows them, again at their discretion, to charge a fee on solid waste disposal in their community with ... to an amount of not to exceed 50 cents per cubic This fee would be restricted to covering the cost of the development of the plan itself and for any further preparation or implementation of their solid waste program, which might be a materials recovery program. It might be a market development of recyclable materials, etcetera. that is the purpose of this Amendment. It makes it permissive. It will then not be a state mandate and, think, makes it a better Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from LaSalle moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 703. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Would... Yes, would the Sponsor yield for questions?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will"

Ewing: "Representative Breslin, why do we have to have this

37th Legislative Day

- May 9, 1985
- permissiveness? Can't LaSalle County do this now without putting it in the statute book?"
- Breslin: "Well, supposedly, counties only have the authority that is vested in them from the state, and presently that is they do not have this authority, and they do not have the authority to charge a fee."
- Ewing: "But you're saying a county can't make plans for disposal of solid wastes then. We all know that many of them do."

 Breslin: "Yes."
- Ewing: "You don't think... You're certain that isn't in any of their general powers?"
- Breslin: "I am not certain, Representative. The major object is
 to encourage them to do so and to let them know that they
 can charge a fee in order to cover their costs."
- Ewing: "This isn't a plan to make it one step at a time? Next year we'll mandate it?"
- Breslin: "That's not the plan. My original... my original Bill made it mandatory. However, it has been brought to my attention that many believe that that would be too expensive. So we think we should leave it up to the counties."
- Ewing: "Yes, well, it certainly was, and I agree with you. It was much too expensive."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Does this Amendment apply to Cook County?"

Breslin: "It does, and it..."

O'Connell: "Well, Cook County presently is a home rule county."

Breslin: "Yes. And it allows them to develop the plan... allows the City of Chicago to develop such a plan on its own pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement. And as I... as it has been described to me, they have no problem with this language."

O'Connell: "But in following up on your previous line of

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

questioning, because the other communities are not home rule communities, it requires a state law to permit them. For clarification, isn't it true that Cook County or the City of Chicago can do this now?"

Breslin: "I think it probably is."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake,
Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To speak to the Amendment, we have a similar problem in Lake County, and we've been trying to wrestle with the problem of what to do with our waste for some period of time. We have a state's attorney's opinion that says that the counties and the municipalities do not have the right to enter into the intergovernmental agreements, which Ms. Breslin is talking about; that they need some statutory authority to do that. And so I would rise in support of Representative Breslin's Amendment to this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment, again coming from one of the collar counties. We have had a great deal of problems about landfills, and I think this is an attempt that is reasonable. And it certainly allows the townships... I mean the counties to finally do something about solving some of these problems. It's long past the time where these counties must start doing some planning. I think this will at least give them the funds to do it, and I stand in support of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 717. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 720. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 722. Mr. McGann? Out of the record. Mr. Matijevich? Is Mr. Matijevich in the chamber? Mr. Matijevich, if you had some duties that you wish to perform... On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 725. Mr. White, 725? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 737. Mr. Countryman, 737. Out of the rec... Yes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 737, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Liquor Control Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, any Motions with respect to Amendment #2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Representative Matijevich in the Chair."

Speaker Matijevich: "House Bills 753, on the Order of Second Reading, Steczo. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 753, a Bill for an Act in relation to the taxation of gasohol and amending certain Acts herein named. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't see Representative Steczo there.

Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 755, Loleta

Didrickson. Alright. She's ready. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 755, a Bill for an Act concerning spouse and child support payments and amending certain Acts

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

herein named. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1
and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 760, out of the record.

768, Steczo. Out of the record. 777, out of the record.

781, out of the record. 782, Representative Braun. Is she ready? Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 782, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. Out of the record.

House Bill 786, Berrios. You ready? Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 786, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 791, Saltsman.
791? Out of the record. 793, McPike. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 793, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to natural resources, research, data collection and environmental studies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative McPike, amends House Bill 793 as amended by deleting everything and so forth."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Speaker Matijevich: "The Majority Leader, Representative McPike, on Amendment #2."
- McPike: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Amendment #2 tries to meet the three objections that the Pollution Control Board voiced in Committee. Ιt provides that the ... that if the Pollution Control Board does not receive the preliminary economic impact statement within 30 days, the Board may proceed on the proposed rule and hold public hearings as allowed under current Number two, it removes the advice of the Economic Technical Advisory Committee. Number three, it removes the requirement of the Department to adopt rules specifying criteria for completing the preliminary assessments. the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McPike has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 793. Is there discussion? Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"
- Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."
- Currie: "This Amendment... If this Amendment is adopted to the Bill, is it accurate to say that the Bill will then provide that for every proposed rule change, there will be a 30 day period for a Department of Energy and Natural Resources assessment of the proposal before the Pollution Control Board?"
- McPike: "That's true, but it doesn't preclude them from moving ahead. During that 30 day period, they have to provide public notice of the first hearing. So it provides no delay for the PCB."
- Currie: "So, there is no delay for the Pollution Control Board's own hearing process. Every proposal for a rule or regulation before the Board is subject to this 30 day

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

assessment from DENR and all applicants for rule changes are treated the same under your Amendment, is that right?"

McPike: "That's right."

Currie: "So, if this Amendment passes, then House Bill 793 will not prolong the process for Pollution Control Board consideration of rules and regulations. It will only require an additional bureaucratic investigation by the Department of Energy and Natural Resources while that process continues. Is that right?"

McPike: "That's right."

Currie: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', and Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 797, Cullerton.

Are you ready on that Bill? Proceed. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 797, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Cullerton, amends House Bill 797....

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative John Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Technical Amendment. It just changes the word 'to'
to the word 'of'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 797. No discussion.

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 800, Laurino.

Laurino, are you ready? Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 800, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Tate, amends House Bill 800 as amended in Section 1 and so

forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate, on Amendment #2."

Tate: "I'd like to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Pedersen."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen, on Amendment #3.11 Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Everyone agrees that Illinois drivers should carry insurance, or at least be financially responsible. what all those surveys really tell us. The question is. can more government solve the problem? This legislation is a major governmental initiative into the private sector. Assuming this Body opts for a governmental intrusion. House Bill 800 needs some fine tuning. We have heard a lot about Oregon, but it's not a good state to compare Illinois to. Not only is there a big difference in population, but don't have a big city like Chicago. It's better to check the New York experience. It's the big cities that tend to problem. Much is made of the sunset provision, but how many states, no matter how horrible the results, gotten rid of compulsory auto insurance? Rather than rely

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

on just sunset, why not try a more prudent approach? Experiment with Chicago only on this Bill and just see how it works. If it does, then we can extend it to the whole state. In the meantime, it's also going to be easier to administer, and it will also be easier to discontinue under sunset since it would be a limited experience... since it would be a limited experiment. The debate under sunset would be more meaningful to keep it as is, to expand it or to dump it. I urge passage of this prudent approach to House Bill 300 by approving Amendment #3."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Mr. Speaker, we are requesting a Democratic Caucus."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, you're not the guy to do it. You can talk to somebody else. Representative Laurino, on Amendment #3."

Laurino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 is a very prudent approach to trying to solve a problem that is massive and effects the entire State of Illinois. What Representative Pedersen is suggesting is basically to give us the situation where it would be unenforceable because there's just no way of confining that type... this type of legislation to one part of the state. So I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate, on Amendment #3."

Tate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would ask the Chair to allow a Roll Call on this issue. But to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 3 is basically... limits the issue to the City of Chicago. If all those that are really sincerely concerned about the issue of uninsured motorists in this state will agree that the vast majority of uninsured motorists are located in the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Chicagoland area. And many of us have a concern that because this Bill would, in fact, raise insurance premiums throughout the state, that there is no reason to subsidize one specific location and one specific driver located in a specific geographical region. So, therefore, I think if we're going to implement a public policy of mandating insurance for the state, that, first of all, what we should try to do is address the issue where the issue needs to address, and that's in the City of Chicago. So I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to oppose the proposal suggested in Amendment #3. We have to realize, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen the House, that 85 percent of the traffic in this state at any one time of the day is on the Dan Ryan. And proved that of the two million cars that traverse the has Dan Ryan through the heart of Chicago, only 15 percent any time are cars from Chicago. I think this is a terrible Amendment to a terrible Bill, because it doesn't recognize fact that whenever the accidents, and there are thousands monthly, occur on that ... on that expressway. those accidents are charged to the people in the immediate rather than to the address of the insured. don't think that's right. And I think that we should into account that if we have a million motorists in Chicago without insurance it's simply because they cannot afford the rate. And I think this measure, 800, should allow for a rate review. And for that reason, I'm opposed not only to this Amendment but to the Bill itself."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen, to close."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, I agree that it's a terrible Bill and that it's unenforceable in the whole

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

state, and I agree that it doesn't work. All our figures show that... that the vast majority of uninsured motorists are in the City of Chicago so it's really unfair for the rest of the state to have to help solve that problem. It's... And if it's going to be enforceable and workable at all, it would surely be easier to enforce if we just had one city. So, therefore, I urge an 'aye' vote on this prudent approach to the problem."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 800. This will be a Roll Call vote. Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Laurino, are you seeking racognition? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."
- Laurino: "Let me assure you that House Bill 800 has had quite a few Sponsors over the years, and there has been a printing mistake in the Calendar. And it should read Laurino-McAuliffe."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Clerk will... Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 19 voting 'aye', 87 voting 'no', and Amendment #3 fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Parke, amends House Bill 800 on page nine in line 34."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Parke, on Amendment #4.

 Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Yes. I think the deleting... I'd like to see the 250 dollars deleted and insert 500 dollars as the fine for the first violation."
- Speaker Matijevich: "... Parke has moved for the adoption of
 Amendment #4. On that, the Gentleman from Cook,
 Representative Laurino. Representative Laurino, on
 Amendment #4."
- Laurino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It took quite a bit of

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

thinking to realize that the fine that would be imposed would not be so severe that it would cripple people and yet be severe enough so that they would understand that this is a serious enough problem where they should purchase their insurance. So I think 250 dollars for the first violation is in accordance with being a little benevolent and also being strict."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Parke, to close."

Parke: "If this is something as serious as people are claiming it to be, then I think we should take the initiative to make sure that there's some teeth in it. And I think 250 dollars is easy when you figure what cost of insurance is, is easy to overlook getting it. And I think 500 is substantially more, and I think it would make people think twice, because you're starting to get close to what the cost of this kind of insurance will cost the person. So they may feel that why not get the insurance. This is an incentive to do so."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Parke has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no', and Amendment #4 fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just a moment. The Gentleman wanted a Roll Call. Let's run it back. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed signify by voting 'no' on Amendment #4. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 23 'ayes', 83 'nos', and Amendment #4 fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
Regan, amends House Bill 800 as amended by deleting all
Sections and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Regan, on Amendment #5."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Regan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I feel that big brother has come to the road system of the State of Illinois. This is just one more way in which roadblocks can be put up and stop the public without any decent reason to check safety belts, drunken driving and now to check your insurance card. And I feel that this Amendment will give an innocent citizen a chance to defend themself in case his insurance is lapsed by a temporary vacation or something of this sort. Amendment #5 provides that the Secretary of State shall hold a public hearing before suspending a license for failing to have insurance."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Regan has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. On that, the Gentleman from Cook..."

Regan: "Roll Call vote, please."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, you'll have it. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Representative Regan, you're aware of the fact that there's been some complaints of the Secretary of State's Office that they don't have enough hearing officers to hear requests for restricted driving permits. Are you aware of that?"

Regan: "Yes, I'm aware. I believe that probably that the Secretary of State's Office will grow, and grow and grow with the passage of this Bill. So I think you'll be able to supply enough hearing officers if you pass this Bill."

Cullerton: "So, your Amendment would require the hiring of a good many more hearing officers, wouldn't it?"

Regan: "I think the citizens should be protected from ripping their license away with one offense."

Cullerton: "Well, how many more hearing officers do you expect

- May 9. 1985
- would be needed to be hired for the implementation of your Amendment?"
- Regan: "I think we should have quite a few of them and, also, we're probably going have to have a lot more officers, too, to set up all these roadblocks. So, we should expand this budget considerably."
- Cullerton: "Ckay. I understand that about 20 hearing officers
 would cost about a million dollars. Now, how many millions
 of dollars do you expect your Amendment to cost?"
- Regan: "I don't know the exact figures involved in this, but I know that the Bill is bad. And I think that it's an invasion of privacy, and I think this is one way to give the public a break."
- Cullerton: "Okay. I just want to ascertain whether or not you're serious about the Amendment or you're not serious about the Amendment. And I believe your answer is that you're not serious about the Amendment."
- Regan: "Very serious about the Amendment."
- Cullerton: "Okay. And you want the Amendment to get on so that
 you can make the Bill worse so you can vote against it
 again or something. I don't understand. So that more
 people can vote against it? Do you think if the Amendment
 gets on you'll get more 'no' votes or more 'yes' votes?"
- Regan: "I haven't the faintest idea."
- Cullerton: "Okay. Alrighty. Then... And you know that you're sponsoring an Amendment that will cost the state millions more dollars, right?"
- Regan: "The Bill itself is going to cost the taxpayers millions of dollars."
- Cullerton: "But you want it to cost more."
- Regan: "I think the situation has been explained, and I think we should call for a Roll vote."
- Cullerton: "Oh, I'm sorry. I'm not allowed to ask any more

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

questions, apparently. I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. Has my time run out?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Not yet."

Cullerton: "Well, the Sponsor of the Bill should, I think, entitled to give his opinion. If he runs out of time. maybe we can get somebody else to yield their time to because I think we do have ten minutes normally to speak to an Amendment. I think that the Secretary of State's Office would be opposed to this type of Amendment. They have enough trouble, from what I can gather, with the request for restricted driving permits, and there's been a delay in the response to those requests. Now, if we were to impose these... right to notice of hearings for these drivers. they'd have to get even more employees or the delays would just go on and on. So I think it's probably not well thought out Amendment, and I would rise in ВV opposition to it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate, on Amendment #5."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Previous speaker had inquired about the cost of generating an additional bureaucracy. If you'll look carefully at this Amendment, it is probably one of the most Amendments that will be offered today. All we're asking is that every individual in this state has the opportunity to due process before we're going to go out and yank driver's license. Now that's a simple privilege. Ιt shouldn't ... No citizen should be denied that opportunity. Before we start yanking people's license because they don't carry insurance in this state we should have a proper investigation done and those citizens should have the opportunity to have a public hearing on whether they're going to be allowed to have their drivers license yanked or Because there's many cases, not. and we all know how

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

bureaucracy works in this state, and there's many times that the bureaucracy may not work right. And... just, in fact, to give you a couple examples of how this process could, in fact, work, let's say if you're in the process right now of transferring your insurance for one... however your card that we're going to be issued by right now says that your insurance is going to be carried by Allstate Insurance. but you... the previous month before that transferred it to State Farm. Yet, it doesn't show up on the card, and you're going to have your drivers license yanked. That's not fair. That's not right, and this Amendment should go on."

Speaker Matijevich: "Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Laurino, on Amendment #5."

Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker, aside from this being an economic boost to the Secretary of State's budget obviously, who doesn't particularly feel that he wants this Amendment, I feel that basically what you're doing is turning it into a bureaucratic nightmare. Right now if you get your... for any reason if you get your license suspended, you can request a hearing. And in that light, I don't think there's any reason to mandate that every suspension be a situation where you have to go and have a public hearing. the man or woman or youngster feels that they have a reason for a hearing, they can request one from the Secretary of State. It's their right at the moment. don't think there's any necessity to adopt this Amendment. I move the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Regan, to close."

Regan: "I'd just like to bring to the floor this Amendment. I think that it protects the rights of the innocent victims that may be attacked out there by the roadblocks. And bring it to a Roll Call vote, please."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Regan has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 29 'ayes', 78 'nos' and 1 answering 'present'. Amendment #5 fails. I understand that... Representative Giglio, the Gentleman from Cook, for what purpose do are you seeking recognition?"

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Democrats are requesting a Caucus."

Speaker Matijevich: "How long do you need, Frank?"

Giglio: "Oh, maybe about half hour."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Half hour. Let's be here at... Is

3:00 alright, Frank? Can you do it that quick?"

Giglio: "Three o'clock would be fine."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. We'll be back on the floor at 3:00. The House stands in recess for a Democratic Caucus..."

Giglio: "Want to give us a room?"

Speaker Matijevich: "... in Room 114. Representative Daniels, do you seek recognition?"

Daniels: "We could never allow you to have a Caucus without us having a Conference."

Speaker Matijevich: "Be our guests."

Daniels: "So we will have a Conference, with your permission,

Sir, in Room 118 so we can talk about what you're talking

about so we can be prepared when you come back."

Speaker Matijevich: "You have our... You have our permission."

Daniels: "However, Mr. Speaker, we would prefer that Mr. Bullock not be there."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. He's going to be busy in ours.

Democrats in 114, Republicans in 118. Back on the floor at

3:00. The House stands in recess. We're going to do a

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- little business now. The recessed Session of the House will come to order. Committee Reports."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Levin, Chairman of the Committee on Public Utilities, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 3, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'Interim Study Calendar' House Bills 389, 417, 794, 973, 1322, 1336, 1509, 1594, 1653, 1674, 1724, 1727, 1883, 1913, 1982, 2041, 2049, 2140, 2292, 2314, 2319, 2360, 2366, 2374, 2388, 2403 and 2453."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Bullock: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to give a report on the Republican Caucus."

 Speaker Matijevich: "Were you the referee or ..."
- Bullock: "The fact of the matter was Representative Vinson did not deliver the invitation in time, so I was unable to attend. But I want the distinguished leader to know that I stand ready to attend their Caucus at any time and, hopefully, bring about a more civil atmosphere on my side of the aisle. And T think that. Mr. Speaker. Representative Daniels and I have scheduled a dinner engagement tonight, and I think by next week I can on who attended and what we discussed. The important thing, however, is that the Republican Caucus is always It's always the same. Vinson talks and Daniels civil. listens."
- Speaker Matijevich: "And it's always long. Representative Vinson, in rebuttal."
- Vinson: "Not in rebuttal, Mr. Speaker. I just understand that we have a number of Republicans of color today, and I'm proud to see them here."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Friedrich, is there anything

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- you want to add to that?"
- Friedrich: "Well, I just thought... Just was going to ask if it was an open meeting under the Open Meetings Act."
- Speaker Matijevich: "With leave of the House, we are going to, just for the moment, leave House Bill 800 and go to Consent Calendar Second Reading, page 51. Page 51. The Clerk will read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar Second Reading, Second Day.

 House Bill 1438, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to

 create the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act. A

 request for a fiscal note has been withdrawn."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The fiscal note request has been withdrawn on that Bill. Third Reading. Messages from the Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright,
 Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House
 of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the
 following Senate Joint Resolutions, and the adoption of
 which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, to
 wit; Senate Joint Resolution #56, Senate Joint Resolution
 #50, Senate Joint Resolution #51, and 52, and 53 and 55,
 adopted by the Senate, May 9, 1985. Kenneth Wright,
 Secretary."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The House will now return to the Order of second Bills... House Bills Second Reading, on page 15 of the Calendar. We were on House Bill 800. And Amendment #5 had failed. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, offered by Representative Tate, amends House Bill 800 on page ten, line four, by inserting '500'... by deleting '500' and inserting in lieu thereof '\$1000'."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate, on Amendment #6."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 I would table Amendment 6."

- May 9. 1985
- Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #6. Leave, and Amendment 6 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative
 Parke, amends House Bill 800 on page ten, by deleting line
 14 and so forth."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terry Parke, on Amendment #7."

 Amendment #7."
- Parke: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment 7 simply states, and a lot of people are hanging their hat on, that...."
- Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Gentleman from Cook,
 Representative Laurino, for what purpose do you seek
 recognition?"
- Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, I've looked at Amendments #7, 8 and 9. I believe they're technically incorrect, and I would like a ruling from the Chair on that."
- Speaker Matijevich: "We'll be at ease for a moment. The Parliamentarian will look at those Amendments. Representative Tate."
- Tate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. Amendment 7, 8 and 9 were drafted by the Legislative Reference Bureau. Can you ask the objector what the nature of his objections are?"
- Speaker Matijevich: "Let's proceed with 7. 7 is alright. It is in order. So, Representative Parke, on Amendment #7."
- Parke: "Thank you very much. One of the things that the opponents... proponents of this Bill seem to be telling is that we don't have to worry anything about this legislation because, in four years, it will be sunsetted. and we'll have an opportunity, at that time, to determine whether or not this, in fact, is working. What I like to ask, by virtue of this Amendment, is that if, in fact, this is such a great idea, that why not have a report to this Assembly on January 1 of 1990 telling us, in fact, this wonderful piece of legislation that is supposed to be

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

so good for the people if, in fact, it really is working. And all this report... all this is is an Amendment asking for a report from the Department of Insurance telling us so that we have some reference, some material that we can use to make a determination of whether or not it should continue or it should be, in fact, dropped at July 1, 1990."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Parke has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #7 is requiring the Department of Insurance to create a study. And inasmuch as Secretary of State's Office is going administering this Bill, I don't think he needs the Department of Insurance to study his actions. If the Bill isn't working, I'm sure he'll let us know rather quickly. So, I move for the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #7 quite simply just provides that the Department of Insurance shall study the effects of this Act and report to the Illinois General Assembly by 1990. First Department of Insurance went on record in the House Insurance Committee as being in favor of this legislation. Second of all, there is no better place to have a study conducted than by the Department of Insurance that has to work with insurance companies throughout this state. so if we're going to give this Bill and if the proponents of this Bill sincerely feel that they want to implement this in the public policy in this state and have some of evaluation whether this is the appropriate public policy that this state should carry through, then I feel that we should have at least some vehicle alive in this legislation

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

that allows for an objective study done and done no better than by the Department of Insurance. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Friedrich. Representative Dwight Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if you've been following the insurance business, you know we're losing some of our markets in Illinois. Some of the companies are pulling out of Illinois for various reasons, and I think they'll be pulling out if this Bill should become law. So I think it's really important that the Department of Insurance have an update and a report to us, because they'll know when the insurance companies are leaving and why."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terry Parke, to close."

Parke: "Thank you. I guess I'm kind of perplexed. I would just think that the Sponsor of this legislation would want to join with me in Cosponsoring this piece of legis... this Amendment. It just makes sense to me that if he feels as strong as he seems to say he is about this, that why not give all of us the opportunity and a written evaluation to make sure, in fact, that it's working. Because I guarantee you each one of us is going to have input from our constituents whether they think this is good or And don't you think that as intelligent Legislators that we should have the tool to help make that decision of whether or not this legislation should continue? I ask you. Good common sense would dictate that this legislation should be part of it. And I ask for a favorable vote. you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Parke has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 800. Those in favor say "aye", those opposed 'no'. Alright, we'll have a Roll Call. Those in favor

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted? Yes, Representative Tate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tate: "Yes, to explain my vote."

- Speaker Matijevich: "You spoke in debate. You're out of order.

 Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On
 this question there are 38 'ayes', 68 'nos', 1 answering
 'present', and Amendment #7 fails. We'll be at ease.

 We're checking out Amendments 8 and 9 for the moment.

 Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative Pedersen."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The ruling of the Chair Amendment #8 is in order. Representative Pedersen, on Amendment #8."
- Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Everyone agrees that Illinois drivers should carry insurance or at least be financially responsible. That's what all those surveys really tell us. The question is. can more government solve the problem? This legislation is a major governmental initiative in to the private sector. Assuming this Body opts for a governmental intrusion, there is a need for adequate time to properly plan this major, makework project. State of Illinois will have to train many new employees. The Legislature will hire and have to begin its annual tinkering with the new law, which major, especially the first year. Insurance companies will have to plan, hire, train new employees and try to pass on as much of the massive new workload onto the agency force. Agencies will do likewise, including how to keep the massive new workload with the insurance companies. Little agents will need time to increase sales to cover greatly increased costs for malpractice insurance and mailings, and then the technology needed to compete with

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

big agents and training time needed to train employees in an explanation of why compulsory auto insurance and government intrusion don't work. Amendment #8 delays the effective date and sunset date by just one year. I urge support for this Amendment #8."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Pedersen has moved for the adoption of Amendment #9. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino, Chief Sponsor of the Bill."
- Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this Bill has been around the Legislature for 15 years. It's been introduced every year since I've been here. And the Secretary of State's Office is working in compliance with the Bill. They support it. They know that they can handle it. They don't need an extension of one more year to get geared up for this type of legislation. I move for the defeat of Amendment #8."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate, on Amendment #8."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #8 is another Amendment that is being offered in good faith to improve this legislation. What we have in front of us today is many different Members of this chamber feel that there needs some substantive changes in the it needs some improvements. We've talked about hearings. We were going to talk about fines. Now talking about just one more issue which is having an opportunity to delay the effective date, giving us additional year for the insurance companies, the insurance agents and the consumers to comply with this legislation. I ask again for you to think before you vote on this and give it a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen, to close."

Pedersen: "Well, I agree that Illinois has been tinkering around with this legislation for a long time and so have a lot of

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

the other states, both before and since they passed the legislation. Maybe the Secretary of State is in good shape to take on all this extra work, but they are not the only institutions involved, the only businesses that are involved. So I urge sincere support for this Amendment which would give us more time to set it up."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8 to House Bill 800. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 33 'ayes', 70 answering 'no', and Amendment #8 fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative

 Regan, amends House Bill 800 as amended by deleting the

 title and so forth."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Regan, on Amendment #9 to
 House Bill 800. The ruling of the Chair, the Amendment is
 in order."
- Regan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to table Amendment #9, please."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #9.

 Leave, and Amendment #9 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative Shaw, amends House Bill 800 as amended in Section 1 and so forth."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Shaw, on Amendment #10."
- Shaw: "Amendment #10, all that it does is require that the Department of Insurance set up uniform rates around the state. I think this is a good Amendment because of the fact that the... what has existed in the... in certain neighborhoods around Illinois in terms of what the insurance companies has done in terms of red-lining neighborhoods, I don't think that we can afford to allow

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

this Bill to get out of this House and become law without having some forms of uniform rates or a cap set on the rates that an insurance company can charge the people of this state. And I ask that this Amendment be adopted."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw has moved for the adoption of Amendment #10 to House Bill 800. Lady from Cook, Representative Braun, on Amendment #10."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Representative... I rise in support of Amendment #10. Representative Shaw is attempting to bring before this Body a very serious problem in our innercity communities. As a matter of fact, people who live in innercities have been discriminated against and have been red-lined operation of the insurance companies in this state. T÷ costs me, Representative Alexander, Representative Shaw. minority Members of this General Assembly one and a half to two times as much to purchase insurance as it does in the non-minority communities throughout this state. major insurance companies which write policies across the state avoid our neighborhoods. The major insurance companies have left our naighborhoods. We are left to be victims of unscrupulous companies in many instances which charge our people much more than would be considered fair by anyone else. All that this Amendment seeks to Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is to put some fairness into this proposal. We would ask Secretary Edgar. if you are concerned about mandatory insurance. are you concerned about fairness? If you are concerned about protecting people who drive automobiles in this state. you concerned about protecting all of the people who drive in this state? If you are concerned about coverage people who may be injured or who may have difficulties in some accident throughout the state, are you concerned about

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

all of them? Because if you are concerned about all of them, then you should stand up on this floor in support of fairness in rates throughout the state against red-lining and against the kinds of discriminatory treatment by insurance companies that our communities have suffered in the past. I rise in support of this Amendment, and I encourage your support as well."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Well. Mr. Speaker, last year when Representative Shaw put this Amendment on, I suggested to him at that time that if he felt that strongly about it why not introduce it as a Bill and have it get a full and correct hearing in so that it could be discussed logically and intelligently. He refused to do it evidentally this and so he tries to put this on as an Amendment. I think it's too serious of an Amendment in nature alone to be Bill OB а that does not necessarily need Representative Braun indicates that she wants fairness. any other ability to secure fairness than open competitive bidding. I move for the rejection of this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw, to close."

Shaw: "Thank you, Mr.... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All I ask that they... for a favorable vote on this Amendment because what no one has said in terms of this Amendment and in terms of this Bill; that uninsured motorists - this Bill does not remove uninsured motorists. Uninsured people will still be paying uninsured motorists around this state. And that's... because of that uninsured motorist, it was brought about by the very purpose that he is now presenting this Bill and, hopefully, that the people of this Assembly will adopt this Amendment. It's a good Amendment, and I

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

urge you to vote 'yes' on the Amendment."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw has moved for the adoption of Amendment #10 to House Bill 800. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 33 'ayes', 62 'nays', 3 answering 'present', and Amendment #10 fails. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative Tate, amends House Bill 800 as..."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate, on Floor Amendment #11."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 Amendment #11 provides that the Secretary of State shall issue a report regarding the compliance with the mandatory insurance requirement no later than January 15, 1987 and each year thereafter. It requires that certain information be included in the report and allows any person disagreeing with the report to request a contested hearing. Provides for the distribution of the reports and for approval by the Illinois General Assembly of the last report."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11 to House Bill 800. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."
- Laurino: "As I indicated before, Mr. Speaker, the Secretary of State will certainly keep this Body apprised, and I don't think there's a necessity to indicate that he has to report back here within 90 days after this Bill has gone into effect. Representative Tate also asks for roadblocks by police and Secretary of State police and local police to put a little hardship on people, and I don't... that's not the intention of this legislation. So, I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate, to close."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. In no in no shape or form in this Amendment is there anything that even addresses the issue of roadblocks. Amendment does is ask the Secretary of State in 1987 to conduct and file a report concerning the compliance of this legislation. And for every year after that, up until 1990, for the next three years, asks for a report to the Illinois General Assembly. If we're going to implement policy in this state, and we're going to change virtually... and have impact upon virtually every insurance policy in the State of Illinois for all Illinois consumers think that this General Assembly should have opportunity to be able to review that type of report. we have virtually no assurances at this point whether we're going to ever be issued another report and this is all we're asking is to have the opportunity so each and every one of the Members of this General Assembly can take a look at this for future reference to determine whether it's good public policy of whether it's bad public policy."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11 to House Bill 800. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk...

Representative Doug Huff, one minute to explain his vote."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm voting green on this because this Amendment, if it serves no other purpose, it will show that this Bill that we are considering will ultimately prove to be a bad policy. I agree with Mr. Tate."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 37 'ayes', 68 'nays', 3 answering 'present', and Amendment #11 fails.

Lady from Cook, Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, for

37th Legislative Day

Nay 9, 1985

what purpose do you seek recognition?"

- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. When Amendment #11 was called I
 was in the aisle trying to signal the Chair so 1 could be
 recorded as voting."
- Speaker Matijevich: "You mean 10, 10."
- Currie: "10, sorry. Before... before you saw me, you had called the Roll. I know that we do not permit change of votes, but I would like the record to show that may intention was to be voted 'yes' on Amendment 10 to House Bill 800."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Let the record show Representative Currie's intentions. Further Amendments?S"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative

 Tate, amends House Bill 800 as amended in Section 1 by

 deleting...'
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Michael Tate, on Amendment #12."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I can tell I'm on a roll now. Amendment #12 reduces the fine for the first violation from 250 to 50 dollars. It reduces the fine for a subsequent violation from 500 dollars to 250 dollars. This Bill... this Amendment is a very, very simple Amendment. All I'm asking right now, if we're going to implement public policy again and fine virtually every consumer in the State of Illinois 250 dollars for something that is going to take a little bit of time for Illinois citizens to get acquainted with this legislation, I'm asking that at least the... allow for a violation of a fine to reduce it to a little bit more reasonable fee, the 50 dollars, and a more reasonable fee on subsequent offenses to 250 dollars. Not a simple... very simple Amendment. It's not going to kill the Bill. All I'm asking is for this chamber, the new Members to give this some serious consideration. phones are... you're going to be the one that are

- May 9, 1985
- answering your phones when you implement this policy. And you're going to have to respond to your constituents. And when they pay those 500 dollars, they're going to be calling you."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #12 to House Bill 800. On that. the Gentleman from Cook. Bill, the Sponsor οf the Representative Bill Laurino."
- Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Amendment #12 basically takes some of the teeth out of the Bill that we're trying to impose. And I suggest that... the fine now is logical, it's easily payable if the Gentleman or Lady doesn't carry insurance. And, let me say this, that under this Amendment it would mean that a person could be convicted twice and still not spend the amount of money you would for his insurance premium. So, I certainly am not in favor of this Amendment and urge a 'no' vote on it."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate to close."
- Tate: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Again, the issue is very simple. We're implementing a policy right now that will fine Illinois citizens 250 dollars and then 500 dollars the second time. It's as simple as you. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #12 to House Bill 800. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 33 'ayes', 72 'nays', 2 answering 'present'. Amendment #12 fails. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Ploor Amendment #13, offered by Representative Tate, amends House Bill..."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Tate, on Floor Amendment 13."

- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 Amendment #13 simply changes the effective date from July

 1, 1986 to November 4, 1986. I think it's appropriate that
 the election day would be a very good day for all... to
 get all... all uninsured motorists off the street."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #13. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."
- Laurino: "Why not make it Christmas Day? You know, this is really a ridiculous Amendment and understandably, Mr. Tate may not come back here on election day anyway. So, there's a possibility that he should... should've taken this Amendment out. I certainly ask for a 'no' vote on this Amendment. We've got enough to do on election day."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate to close."
- Tate: "The issue is simple. I'd just move for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #13 to House Bill 800. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 23... 24 'ayes', 82 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. Amendment #13 fails. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, offered by Representative Tate."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Michael Tate, on Floor Amendment #14."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 Amendment 14 requires that the Secretary of State conducts
 random investigations. Under the current legislation, the
 Secretary is just authorized to conduct those

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

investigations. It also removes a provision prohibiting inspections on a highway for the purpose of verifying insurance coverage."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #14. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."
- Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, number one, the... this Amendment asks for the Secretary of State to have a verification, which he's going to do. He's deleting the prohibition against road blocks once again, and that was added at the request of some of the minority Representatives here in the General Assembly. And so, I have no idea what Representative Tate is trying to do with this, nor I think does he. I honestly urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate to close."

- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment just requires the Secretary to conduct some kind of investigation. If we're going to implement public policy, at least we can do is provide for some vehicle to find out whether we really do have uninsured motorists on the streets or not. So, all we're asking to do is make it explicit in the legislation that we do require, we do have random surveys. If this is such a good Bill, then we should at least find out whether there are uninsured motorists on the streets or not. Thank you."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #14 to House Bill 800. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

 Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 20 'ayes', 89 'nays'. And Amendment #14 fails. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, offered by Representative Tate, amends House..."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative

 Tate, on Floor Amendment 15."
- Tate: "... Amendment #15."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #15. Leave, and Amendment #15 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16, offered by Representative
 Tate."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate, on Floor Amendment 16."
- "Number 16... Amendment #16, Mr. Speaker, Ladies Tate: Gentlemen of the House, very simple Amendment again. Ιt reduces the fine for first violation from 250 dollars 100 dollars. A11 I'm saving right now. Ladies and Gentlemen, it's your phone that's going to be ringing, it's your mail that you're going to have to answer, itis 250 dollars out there. And I think if we're going to implement this policy, a 100 dollars is a more reasonable fine. And I'm sure all of you will end up agreeing, if the 250 dollars goes on."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment 16 to House Bill 800. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."
- Laurino: "Once again, Mr. Speaker, I think that the fines imposed in the Bill itself are reasonable, and I see no reason to drop them down to 100 dollars. I feel that 250 is reasonable, and I urge the rejection of this next Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate to close."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I don't know what's so magic about 250 dollars and 500 dollars. I mean, what we're doing here is we're talking about a million people right now that are uninsured motorists supposedly in the State of Illinois. If you in

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

fact... that would be like generating a million people times 250 dollars. Figure it out. I'm saying a 100 dollars is a little bit more reasonable figure. It's something that my colleague on the other side can figure out with his calculator, and I move for a favorable Roll Call."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #16. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 34 'ayes', 71 'nays', 2 answering 'present'. Amendment 16 fails. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17, offered by Representative
 Tate."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate, on Amendment 17 --"
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #17 again is, I feel, since we have already denied the citizens of Illinois the opportunity for due Since we've already denied the citizens of Illinois an opportunity for a public hearing. -A11 Amendment #17 does is that it provides that the if Secretary of State makes an error in suspending a or a vehicle registration, if a citizen of Illinois has to go through the discomfort, the inconvenience of their license yanked, at least we can do is provide some restituition. And this provides for a 100 dollar repayment program for the Secretary of State. Simple Amendment. good Amendment. And I ask for all of your 'aye' votes."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved for the adoption of Amendment #17. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Laurino: "Once again, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Tate sometimes gets confused on indicating whether there's due process or not. There were notices sent out. There were hearings for the Bill. So, in as light as, he's addressed that part of the subject matter, I can't imagine where he's coming with that. But to get back to this Amendment, would he like a personal check from Jim Edgar, or would it be okay from the Secretary of State's Office?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate to close.

Laurino: "Let me say this, Mr. Speaker, that..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed. Proceed."

Laurino: "I think if you had your license suspended in error, you have certain rights of recovery. And if you so desire, you can sue the Secretary of State, or something like that.

You don't need to get 100 dollar fine. So, I honestly move for the rejection of this Amendment also."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate to close."

Tate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment puts it into the statute. It's very explicit. It quarantees the citizens of this state a 100 dollars restitution, and they deserve that. I am ... what Tim saying here is if we're going to yank a license, if going to deny them due process, we're going to deny them hearings, we should at least give them the opportunity 100 dollars. If you're suggesting that a citizen has to sue the state to recover anything... It's good Amendment. I ask for a favorable Roll Call. It Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 33 'ayes', 69 'nays', I answering 'present'. And the Amendment fails. Johnson, 'no'. Tim Johnson.

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18, offered by Representative B.

 Pedersen, amends House Bill 800 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman... Representative Pedersen, on Amendment #18."
- Pedersen: "Everyone... Everyone agrees that Illinois drivers should insurance or at least be financially responsible. That's what all those surveys really tell us. The question is, can more governments solve the problem. This legislation is a major governmental initiative into the private sector. Assuming this body opts governmental intrusion. We should make House Bill 800 a tough law. One that works. Much as DUI is being In fact, our present financial responsibility now. laws could be made to fulfill the goal of swift and certain action against the financially irresponsible. The parellel with DUI is quite appropriate. Amendment #18 helps do that for House Bill 800. In lieu of a fine, the convicted person's vehicle could be impounded for up to six months. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I urge an 'aye' vote Amendment #18 to help make House Bill 800 really work."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen has moved for the adoption of Amendment #18. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."
- Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're trying to create a law here not a Unitarian state. I mean, my God, let's go back to wearing swastikas. We're not trying to destroy people.

 All we're trying to do is mandate that they be responsible.

 So, I move for the rejection of this Amendment, also."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen to close."
- Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All we're really talking about, our opponents all say that what we have doesn't work. There trying to tell us this will work. They can't

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

point that out in legislation from all those other states who have been trying all this long time to make theirs work. So, you know, if we're really serious about this, we should make sure the citizens of this state realize what a serious problem they're not being financially responsible poses to the really responsible people of this state. Therefore, we should make sure that we get their attention. There's nothing Draconian about this. It's a privilege, as people tell us, to drive an automobile. And so we should really do our best to make this Bill work. So, I urge an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #18 be adopted?" Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 10 'ayes', 86 'nays', and Amendment #18 fails. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19, offered by Representative Shaw, amends House Bill 300 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw, on Amendment #19."
- Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Amendment #19 is a good Amendment, and I know the Gentleman from Cook will be glad ŧο join with sponsoring this Amendment. This Amendment does not cost the State of Illinois one penny. And this Amendment is ... this Amendment is designed to help the senior citizens of this state. It requires that the insurance companies of this state, that are doing business in this state, that they would be giving our senior citizens who are... qualify for the circuit breaker, requires the insurance companies to provide those senior citizens with coverage at half price. Now, I know that this is a good

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendment, and I know that Secretary Edgar would, if he's interested in the people of this state, he will come over here and support this Amendment. When he can't talk it cost the people of this state any money, because it doesn't. It costs the insurance companies. It might insurance companies a little bit of money, but they're the the ones that's making the money. I don't know how any Member of this House can sit up and vote against Amendment 19, because it affects every senior citizen of this state that qualifies for the circuit breaker. And you might, Representative Laurino, go over and Governor, 1 understand that he supports mandatory insurance. Let's put this Amendment on there senior citizens of this state, because they're the ones that's going to be penalized as a results of mandatory insurance. Let's see what the Secretary of State talks about in terms of why he don't want this Amendment on Bill. This is a good Amendment. We talk about helping senior citizens and programs for senior citizens ο£ this country, of this state. Now, let's talk about this Now, many senior citizens... many senior citizens that own their own car would be glad to get the few pennies that this Amendment provides and the state does not have to pay for it. So, I would urge... I would every Member... every Member, along with Secretary Edgar and the Governor of this state to support this Amendment. If not go out there... If not, when they go back... go across this state, explain to the people of Illinois why they didn't support this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw has moved for the adoption of Amendment #19. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, I guarantee the Secretary of State doesn't

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

support this Amendment. I don't know where Shaw gets his information, that's number one. Number two, why don't we expand it if you want to get to be benevolent in your attitude and include guys with blue eyes or people that wear topees or some other group of people that are special interest to your satisfaction. I suggest that we defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw to close."

Shaw: "Yes, this is... this is not a special interest group. We have hundreds and thousands of senior citizens across this state. And what you are telling the people of this General Assembly is they beat the senior citizens of this state. Well, Representative Laurino, I tell you this, Mr. Dotson is not the one who need the Pardon Board and the Governor to issue a pardon. The Governor need to come here to this General Assembly and if you don't put this Amendment on, then pardon the Members of this General Assembly because they are the ones that's raping the old people of this state if they don't put this Amendment on. And I want to see... I want to see the votes up on that board for the senior citizens of this state."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bepresentative Shaw has moved for the adoption of Amendment #19. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 34 'ayes', 64 'nays', 5 answering 'present'. Amendment 19 fails. Further Amendments."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20, offered by Representative
 Shaw."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw, on Amendment 20."
- Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #20 is a good

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendment. It's a very simple Amendment, and all that it called for is for every citizen of Illinois is to pay the premium one time a year. And I think everyone can understand an Amendment like that. I think it's a good Amendment. And I think the Amendment should be passed."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw moves the adoption of Amendment #20. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."
- Laurino: "Why don't we just have Shaw prepay his insurance premium for a twelve month period. I think that would certainly be putting a hamstring on people, and it's not necessary. And then according to his Amendment he also after he gives you a requirement for a prepayment of twelve months, he wants a prorated refund from the cancelled policies. You know, it's going from one extreme to the other. So, I suggest we defeat this Amendment, also."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw to close."

- Shaw: "I don't think that's an extreme. I think that... I think that this is what the people of this state want, and I think... really this is what Representative Laurino wants.

 And I ask an 'aye' vote on this Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw moves for the adoption of Amendment #20. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk take the record. On this question, 22 'ayes', 80 'nays', I answering 'present'. Amendment 20 fails. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #21, offered by Representative Parke, amends House Bill 800 on page ten."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Terry Parke on Amendment #21."
- Parke: "I do not wish to prolong this any longer. I ask for a tabling."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw

- May 9, 1985
- Amendment #21. Leave. And Amendment #21 is withdrawn.

 Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #22, offered by Representative Tate, amends House Bill 800 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Oh, I thought your light was on. I'm sorry. Representative Tate on Amendment #22."
- Tate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #22 is probably without question the... one of the most..."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I believe that the Amendment is out of order. Ask the Parliamentarian to look at it."
- Speaker Matijevich: "He'll look at it. Meanwhile, Representative Tate, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Lights off. Okay. Amendment is out of order since it seeks to repeal a Section of the statute that doesn't exist. Representative Tate, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Tate: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #22 is probably one of the most significant pieces..."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Mr. Tate. Mr. Tate. Well, let's let the Chair first respond. The Chair has ruled the Amendment out of order. Any further response would be out of order. With... Go ahead. Proceed."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Then if the ruling of the Chair is to rule this Amendment out of order, I would appeal the ruling of the Chair and move to overrule the Chair."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves that... that the... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, maybe this might help Representative Tate. The

 Amendment #22 amends a Section and the Section is

- May 9, 1985
- misnumbered, Representative Tate. You seek to repeal a Section by using the wrong number. That's why it's out of order. There's nothing to... to fight about."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate, do you persist on your appeal? That's the only question I have to ask."
- Tate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #22 is probably one of the most significant issues..."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Well... No. No. Representative Tate, would you respond to the Chair? Do you persist... Alright."
- Tate: "Okay. Then I would ask..."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate, the Chair has ruled Amendment #22 out of order and given the reason for its ruling. Representative Tate now has moved to overrule the ruling of the Chair. The question is, 'Shall the Chair be overruled?' Those in favor of the Chair being overruled...

 I'm sorry. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Vinson: "On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman does have the right when he places the Motion before the Body to explain the reasons for him... for doing that and why the Body should vote in the way in which he wants to."
- Speaker Matijevich: "You're correct if he... if he speaks to the issue of why they should vote to overrule. Proceed, Representative Tate."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I certainly don't feel... I don't feel good about the Motion to overrule the Chair. However, Amendment #22 repeals the mandatory seatbelt law of this state. It's a very significant..."
- Speaker Natijevich: "Representative Tate. Tate, the Chair wants to be fair with you, and you have a right to overrule the... move to overrule the Chair. You have a right to give your reasons, but you cannot go into the substance of the Amendment. Now if you... if you just would confine

- May 9, 1985
- your remarks onto why the Body should vote to overrule the chair, you can proceed. Otherwise, we're going to go to a vote."
- Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I appreciate the courtesy you've given me on this. Again, just Amendment #22 is a very significant piece of legislation. A piece of legislation, I think, that the entire Assembly should have the opportunity to hear."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate, the Chair has got to interrupt you again, and you can't have the opportunity...

 I gave you two opportunities. The question is, 'Shall the Chair be overruled?' Those in favor of overruling the Chair will vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. On Representative Tate's Motion to overrule the Chair, take the record. On the Motion to overrule the Chair, there are 20 voting 'aye', 79 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present'. And the Motion fails. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Representative Peg Breslin in the Chair."
- Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 805, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 805, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to authorize the withholding of state income tax..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 807, Representative Levin. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 807, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Intergovernmental Missing Child Recovery Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, for what reason do

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

you rise?"

Cullerton: "I would move to suspend Rule 65(b)."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has ruled to suspend Rule 65(b), is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion, the Gentleman has leave and Rule 65(b) is suspended. Are there any further Amendments on House Bill 807?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 810. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 810, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Sheriff's Merits System Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 811,
Representative Homer. Clerk, read the Bill. Excuse...

Excuse me, Representative Homer, let's go back to House
Bill 810 if Representative Matijevich is ready. Clerk,
read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 810, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sheriff's Merits System Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Matijevich, amends House Bill 810 on page one by deleting
all of line one and two and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "This is... Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've spoken to the Sponsor about this Amendment, and this is a clarifying Amendment which places Chapters 49, 53 and 123 in conformance. And I would move the adoption of Amendment #1."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 810. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 810 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 811, Representative

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 811, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code

of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment

#1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Homer. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Homer, amends House Bill 811."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam... Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Amendment #2 was referred to me by staff. It's simply a technical Amendment. Amendment #1 inadvertently removed the word 'Act' from the term 'Revenue Tax Act'. This Amendment would put that word back in. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 811, and on that guestion is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 811 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 815, Representative Levin. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 815, a Bill for an Act to prohibit public school districts and public institutions of higher education from discriminating against students. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Levin, amends House Bill 815 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment #2 addresses a question that House. was raised in Committee, and which I agreed to clarify on the floor. It adds a definition of religion to the Bill. Bill that provides that students in the public schools run into a conflict in terms of testing because of their religious observances can make it up. There was a question raised as far as what religion is. And this Bill adds the definition of religion that is in the State Human And that definition tracks with Title VII of the U.S. Civil Rights Act. And I think this resolves a concern expressed by some of the Members of the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee in terms of this question."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 815, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 815 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 819. Out of the record. House Bill 831. Representative Leverenz. Representative Leverenz. Out of the record. House Bill 833, Representative Hastert. Out of the record. Bill 834, Representative Brookins. Representative Brookins. Out of the record. House Bill 938. Representative Phelps. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 838, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act.

 Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Cullerton Phelps, amends
 House... House Bill 838 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, this was a recommendation that was made in the Committee changing the penalties to a Class A and a Class 4 Felony, respectively. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 838, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 838 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Huff, amends House Bill 838 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Huff."
- Huff: "Yes, Madam Chairman, I move to table Amendment #2 to House
 Bill 839."

37th Legislative Day

- May 9, 1985
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #3, Phelps, amends House Bill 838 as follows."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps."
- Phelps: "Yes. Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would respectfully like to withdraw Amendment #3."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Phelps: "... but I would like to... Go ahead."
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Phelps, amends House Bill 838 as follows."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps."
- Phelps: "Yes, on Amendment 3 there was merely a drafting error and this will correct, page one to say page two. So, that's all there is to it. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative... The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 838, and on that question, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Has the Amendment been produced and distributed?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Amendment has not been printed and distributed, Representative Phelps. We'll have to hold this Bill on the Order of Second Reading until the Amendment is printed and distributed. Out of the record. House Bill 839, Representative Panayotovich. Out of the record. House Bill 841. Out of the record. House Bill 849, Representative Kulas. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 849, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Appearing on page sixteen of your Calendars, House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 851, Representative Dunn. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 851, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the verification of statements, documents and data and the authentication of signatures.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 852, Representative Homer. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 852, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the adoption of persons. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 855, Representative Krska. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 355, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning public utilities. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 857, Representative O'Connell. Churchill. Representative O'Connell. Clerk, read the Bill."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 957, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 861, Representative
Shaw - Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 861, a Bill for an Act to provide for tuition waivers for children of tenure teachers at state supported colleges and universities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Shaw - Keane, amends House Bill 861 as amended."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane on Amendment #2."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Chair... Madam Chairman. Amendment #2 to
House Bill 861 was a... an Amendment we discussed in
Committee. And it clarifies the granting of those
scholarships to the Board of Regents and to the Board of
Governors."

Speaker Bræslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 861. And on that question, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn. Your light is flashing. On that question, there appears there is no discussion. Therefore, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 861 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 867, Representative

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

McPike. Representative Ronan. Representative McPike or Ronan. Out of the record. House Bill 863, Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 869, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to video lottery games. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 874, Representative Levin. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 874, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Trust and Payable on Death Account... Accounts
Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 879, Representative McGann - Panayotovich. Representative McGann - Panayotovich - Capparelli - McAuliffe. Out of the record. House Bill 885, Representative Bullock. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. House Bill 889, Representative Madigan - Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 889, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Beading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Notions filed. Respect to Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Bowman, amends House Bill 889 as amended."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #2 is very similar

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

to... to the Amendment which was adopted in Committee. The main thing that it does is to eliminate some unduly restrictive specificity on the nature of the pilot program. So, we believe that this would provide the state board with enough flexibility to design the pilots, and I now move adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 889. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Gentleman ... Will the Gentleman ... "

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield to a question."

Amendment. Doesn't Amendment 2 change the title of the Act

Bowman: "The Amendment that I have, which I believe is a duplicate of the..."

McCracken: "Alright. Well, let's ... "

Bowman: "It does not change the title of the Act, no."

McCracken: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Bowman: "Yes. Oh, I beg your pardon. I beg your pardon,
Representative McCracken, I stand corrected. I didn't have
my... my copies numbered correctly. Yes, it changes the
title to indicate they were adding a new Section to the
School Code."

McCracken: "No. No, I was just wondering why the title was being changed."

Bowman: "Yes, I'm sorry."

McCracken: "Alright."

Bowman: "That's all the Amendment #2 does. I beg your pardon."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 889 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 890, Representative Madigan - Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 890, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the prevention of developmental disabilities.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Bowman, amends House Bill 890 on page two and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill... Excuse me. Amendment that we're considering, Amendment #1, deletes reference to the purpose of the hospital perinatal center notification because there was some concern in the... expressed in the Education Committee that we're imposing a new mandate on the schools. That it was not our purpose. Our purpose was really to impose a requirement on the hospitals to report which is really an extention of a requirement that's already in the Act... the statutes. So, we wanted to clarify that we're not imposing any new mandate on the schools. So, that is the purpose of this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 890, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 890 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 891, Representative

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Madigan - Levin. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 991, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 892, Representative Madigan - Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 892, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Bowman, amends House Bill 892 as amended."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House... Amendment #2 changes the date for the completion of the Aging Out Plan from January to July and expands its scope to include handicapped children who complete a secondary school program in addition to those who will leave the school system at age twenty-two. So, it is really a modest change, I believe, and provides the council with an adequate amount of time to study the problem. I move adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 892, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 892 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Beading. With leave of the Body, we will go back now to House Bill 867 that was just passed over.

Representative McPike. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 867, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Beading. Back on the regular order of call is House Bill 893, Representative Madigan.

Representative Madigan. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 893, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #! was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 903, Representative Bullock. House Bill 903, Representative Bullock. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 903, a Bill for an Act concerning state advertising. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 907, Representative Wait. Representative Wait. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 907, a Bill for an Act to amend the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 908, Representative Van Duyne. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 908, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
in relationship to fire protection districts. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 909, Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Leone: "House Bill 909, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act

to create sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 910, Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich on 910. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 910, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill-Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 914, Representative McCracken. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 914, a Bill for an Act to amend the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 918, Representative McCracken. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 918, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code
of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 925, Representative Huff. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 925, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clark Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 930, Representative Klemm. Representative Klemm, for what reason do you rise?"

Klemm: "Madam Speaker, I*d like to ask leave of the House that
House Bill 930 could be placed on the Interim Study
Calendar of the House Revenue Committee. I checked with
the Committee Chairman and the Minority Spokesman and they
agree."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has leave... has asked leave to place House Bill 930 on the Order of Interim Study. That is your right under the Rules, Sir, whether you check with anybody else or not. So, this Bill shall be returned to the Order of Interim Study. House Bill 932. Out of the record. House Bill 934, Representative Giorgi. Representative Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 934, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act

37th Legislative Day

May 3, 1985

relating to the practice of social work. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 935, Representative Hoffman - Steczo. Hoffman - Steczo. Out of the record. House Bill 940, Representative Ropp - Tuerk. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 940, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor... Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 950, Representative Ropp. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 950, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to milk promotion programs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, is offered by Representative Ropp, amends House Bill 950."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #1 is actually the Bill, but it changes 'not one inch' the intent of the original Bill. It more clearly identifies the procedures that conform with the current statute that we have dealing with the corn check off, soybean check off, beef check off and will check off, and I welcome your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendment #1 to House Bill 950, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 950 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 952, Representative Hicks. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 952, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 953, Representative Deuchler. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 953, a Bill for an Act to amend the Township Law. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 961, Representative Currie. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 961, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 962, Representative Stern. Clerk, read the Bill."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 962, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Stern, amends House Bill 962 and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stern on Amendment #1. You have to tell us what Amendment #1 does, Representative Stern."

Stern: "Alright. This particular Bill deals with the distribution of political materials in a shopping center.

Amendment #1 was requested by those at the Committee Hearing that it be made very specific that the distribution be made by hand from the candidate or his/her workers to the individual rather than under windshield wipers and doorhandles."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #! to House Bill 962, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #! to House Bill 962 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 968, Representative Nash. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 968, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Pharmacy Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 971, Representative Brunsvold. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 971, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 982, Representative Mulcahey. Representative Mulcahey. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 982, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Notions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 997, Representative Ryder. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 997, a Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 998, Representative Ryder. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 998, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Ryder - et al, amends House
Bill 998 on page three and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ryder on Amendment #1."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Ryder: "This Amendment was suggested during Committee, and I'm joined on it by Representatives Hannig, Rea and Hicks. It adds soybeans, corn, milo and other grains to the definition given."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #! to House Bill 998, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #! to House Bill 998 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1000, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clark Leone: "House Bill 1000, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich. Excuse me, are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Matijevich, amends House Bill 1000 as amended."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #3 was the Amendment... Committee Amendment #1
was inadvertently drafted without leaving the words
'knowing... 'knowing repeated violations' in two particular
Sections of that Amendment. And I promised the Committee
that on the floor, I would put the word 'knowing' in those
two places. That's what this Amendment does, and I move
for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1000."

37th Legislative Day

may 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1000. And on that question, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

- Churchill: "Representative Matijevich, our analysis indicates that this would limit permit denials. In other words, it would be less... or more restrictive... or less restrictive than it would've been before. Is it your intent to make it less or more restrictive?"
- Matijevich: "Representative Churchill, my intent is that the... I think you know that the instant... the incident we had in my home town, the City of North Chicago, where a chemical company had knowingly operated for eleven years without a... an EPA either federal or state permit. And this, as I understand it, would allow the EPA to deny a permit based on those knowing repeated violations. And... And it, as far as I'm concerned, hits right to the issue that I had in my... even though it is after the fact, I wouldn't want some other community to live with what I've lived with in my community. So, I think it really hits to my particular problem, Bob."
- Churchill: "Representative Matijevich, I'm not seeking to harm your Amendment, but I think there may be a technical problem with it. Could you take this out of the record for one minute and let me just come over and talk to you?"

Matijevich: "Sure."

- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 1006, Representative Mulcahey. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1006, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1010, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1010, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the Department of Law Enforcement.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1014, Representative Giglio. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1014, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to compensation sheriffs, coroners, county treasurers, county clerks, recorders and auditors. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Giglio, amends House Bill 1014 on page 4..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #3 is the Amendment we worked out in Committee,
and I promised that I would talk to both sides and come up
with an agreeable solution. And what we did, we amended it
to include the county treasurers, county clerks, recorder
and auditor and establish the new minimum salaries for
those officers."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1014. And on that guestion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield, please?"

37th Legislative Day

day 9, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."
- Piel: "The fiscal note we had, Frank, was on the Bill previous to this Amendment, what change... or what is the fiscal impact now if this Amendment is adopted? I don't need it exactly to the penny, but just an approximate figure."
- Giglio: "Nadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 Representative Piel, this is been exempt from the State
 Mandate Act. It's now... It doesn't pertain to the state,
 it goes back to the counties."
- Piel: "I'm sorry. I caught the first part, you said it was exempt and you started to explain..."
- Giglio: "It's been exempt from the State Mandate Act. It doesn't fall. The state has nothing to do with the payment. The counties have to pay for these."
- Piel: "What... I know there's an additional 3,500 dollar a year award made to certain people on this... on the Amendment.

 What classification, if additional duties, what additional duties, what classifications would fall into that category?"
- Giglio: "There's no additional duties, Representative. What this is, we're just trying to bring the increases in line with some of the increases like the Legislature got."
- Piel: "No, basically... maybe... let me just basically read what I'm talking about here. It said the election commission shall receive an award of 3,500 dollars a year for additional duties required for such officers by the Consolidation Election Laws. Now, this is above and beyond, correct? And... And how many does this affect statewide?"
- Giglio: "No... No, Representative... Representative, I think you're getting the Amendments mixed up. It's not over and beyond. We're just trying to file or follow some precent.

 The sheriffs got it last year. The other county officers

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

did not, and what we're trying to do truthfully is bring these other elected officials somewhat in line with modern times."

- Piel: "Just as a ballpark figure, what would the average increase be per county? I mean, I realize that you got some that are huge counties, some that are smaller counties, but with this Amendment what would the average increase be per county?"
- Giglio: "There... There broken down according to county population. You're talking counties less than 14,000 which most of them paid a minimum. It increases at 7,000 dollars. You're talking a county with 300,000 to a million, an increase of 14,000 dollars. I don't think that's exaggerant."

Piel: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 114 (sic - House Bill 1014).

And on that question, all those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1018, Representative Young. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1018, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code and amend an Act to create the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman... Representative... Are there any Amendments from the floor?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Young, amends House Bill 1018."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young."
- Young: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

House. What Amendment #1 to House Bill 1018 does is simply make the Bill consistent throughout. This Amendment was suggested by the staff when it was pointed out that in some Sections of the Bill the penalty for violation of the substance of the Bill was a Class 2 Felony, in other Sections it was a Class 3. This Amendment makes it a Class 2 Felony throughout. This Amendment also prevents the duplication of penalties that set... The original Bill duplicated penalties and the Amendment will..."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1018. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Question of the Chair. I don't have this Amendment. Has this Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, has this Amendment been printed and distributed? Number one has been printed and distributed, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Because we don't have it over here."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any further questions? There being no further questions, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #! to House Bill 1018 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1020, Representative Sutker. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1020, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
to provide for funding of Election Campaigns. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. With leave of the House, we will go back to House Bill 1000 that was taken out of the record a moment ago. Representative Matijevich, please proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Is that 4 or 3? Is that 4?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Alright. Amendment #3, Representative Churchill and
I have resolved our issue, and I now move the adoption of
Amendment #3 to House Bill 1000."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1000. There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to House Bill 1000 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill, on the regular Order of Call, we return to House Bill 1025, Representative Ropp. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1025, a Bill for an Act to amend the Grade A Pasteurized Milk and Milk Products Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Excuse me, I skipped over a Bill when we came back to the regular Order of Call. House

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Bill 1023, Representative Nash. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1023, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Nash, amends House Bill 1023 on page three and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash."

Nash: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Nash, amends House Bill 1023 on page three and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash."

Nash: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 takes out from this Bill the permission of selling alcoholic beverage to the Chicago Civic Center. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1023. And on that question, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Madam Speaker, has this been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, has this Amendment been printed and distributed? No, it has not. We'll have to take the Bill out of the record, Representative Nash. House Bill 1026, Representative Ropp. Out of the record. Yes. House Bill 1026, do you wish to proceed? No. Out of the record for House Bill 1026. House Bill 1027. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1026... 1027... House Bill 1027, a Bill

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Notions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1031, Representative

DeJaegher. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1031, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1033, Representative Homer. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1033, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1034, Representative Satterthwaite. Out of the record. House Bill 1037, Representative Mulcahey. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "Oh, excuse me. Out of the record. House Bill 1038, Representative Mulcahey. Out of the record. House Bill 1039, Mulcahey. Out of the record. House Bill 1043, Representative Levin. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1043, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

37th Legislative Day May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading for House Bill 1043. House Bill 1045, Representative Flinn. Vinson. Representative Flinn or Representative Vinson. Out of the record. House Bill 1047, Representative Flinn - McPike. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1047, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1050, Representative Brunsvold. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1050, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
for the regulation of pawnbrokers. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment #1... No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Brunsvold, amends House Bill 1050."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brunsvold."

Brunswold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #1 addresses a problem that the Committee had with identification. The Bill requires two forms of identification for pawning items. The Committee requested that the identification be in the words of the Election Code, and this Amendment does that. And I would ask for the adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1050, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1050 be

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1051, Representative Brunsvold. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1051, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act for the regulation of pawnbrokers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1059, Representative Olson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1059, a Bill for an Act in regulation of the authority of various state agencies... relation to the authority of various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1062, Representative Ropp. Out of the record. House Bill 1064, Representative Woodyara. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1064, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Woodyard, amends House Bill 1064..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 1064 is another of the package of... submitted by the Professional Towing Association. This is a technical Amendment. It changes

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

one word in the Bill from 'will' to 'must' on the notification the Secretary of State by an insuring firm.

And I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1064, and on that question is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1060 (sic - House Bill 1064) be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1071, Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1071, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1072, Representative Oblinger. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1072, a Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of the Illinois Language and International Studies Academy. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1075, Representative Oblinger. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1075, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the license and regulation of business of transient merchants. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

37th Legislative Day May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1081. Out of the record. House Bill 1082, Representative Friedrich.

 Representative Friedrich. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1982, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Friedrich, amends House Bill 1082 on page two and so forth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment merely provides that the Secretary of State provide the Department of Transportation with a copy within fifteen days of the accident reports."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1082, and on that question is there any discussion? Yes, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "How does this Amendment affect the position of the Secretary of State's Office with regard to the Bill?"
- Friedrich: "It doesn't affect it at all. The report... original reports will go to him, and then he has to furnish others to the Department of Transportation. Department of Transportation uses these reports ŧο determine where the accidents are, the nature of it, what caused them and so on. He will still be furnished that information. They now go to Transportation and get back to the Secretary of State. But this merely... Amendment, if you confine yourself to the Amendment, this Amendment merely provides that the Secretary of State provide the Department of Transportation with a copy of the accident report with in fifteen days."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Cullerton: "Well, I... My question was what... how does this affect their position on the Bill?"

Priedrich: "The only expression I've ever had from the Secretary of State was he was neutral on it, and the Department of Transportation is also neutral on it. They... because the turn-around time the other way is... the minimum is a 100 days and they say this does not create any problems for them. And the Secretary of State as indicated in the beginning he was neutral and I haven't had any indication he said any different."

Cullerton: "Okay. Now what does it do with regard to the... the current law I believe says that the Secretary of State can suspend for within 60 days, and the original Bill said that he could revoke within 30 days, and now this Amendment changes that."

Friedrich: "This Bill... The Amendment puts it back to the suspension."

Cullerton: "But it still keeps it at 30 days, right?"

Friedrich: "Yes."

Cullerton: "I see. So, that... So, that the Department of
Transportation is the one that's opposed to the Bill."

Friedrich: "No."

Cullerton: "Secretary of State's Office is opposed to it?"

Friedrich: "No, I proposed the Bill."

Cullerton: "No. No. Opposed. The Department of Transportation is opposed?"

Friedrich: "No. A few days ago the Department of Transportation indicated they were opposed to it, because it thought it took some responsibility away from them. They have removed their objection because they say if it takes a 100 days then... then they will not oppose this Amendment. Greg 'Baze' himself told me that."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1082 be adopted?'

All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1086, Representative Mulcahey. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1086, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1090. Out of the record. House Bill 1112. Out of the record. House Bill 1115, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1115, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to state colleges and university systems. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Countryman, amends House Bill
1115 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman on Amendment #2 to
House Bill 1115. Representative Mulcahey's Bill."

Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 to House Bill 1115 amends an Act with regard to the management, control and maintenance of regency university systems, adding authority to the Board of Regents in essence to come into compliance in the same way that the Act allows the University of Illinois and

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

for further Amendment to take care of that."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, are you finished?

Very good. There being no further discussion, the question

is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill !!!5 be adopted?'

All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the

Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1117, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1117, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane, we'd like to pass over this Bill just for the moment because we have a technical problem in finding it in the Clerk's Office. So, with leave of the Body, we'll go to 1118, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1118, a Bill for an Act to amend the General Obligation Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. With leave of the Body, I would like to go back to House Bill 838, a Bill that we took out of the record because we were waiting for an Amendment to be printed and distributed. That's House Bill 3... rather House Bill 838 on page 15 on the Calendar."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 838, has been read a second time previously. Next Amendment is Amendment #4, Phelps, amends House Bill 838 as follows."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment 4 merely corrects a drafting error, made

37th Legislative Day

- May 9, 1985
- other boards, SIU Board, to operate under the similar portions in the statute. And I move its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1115. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."
- Cullerton: "Representative, this deals... this Bill deals with indemnification, is that correct?"
- Countryman: "You're right. I got it confused with another Bill.

 It deals with indemnification of state universities. In a similar way that the University of Illinois has a Indemnification Bill. And it was originally presented for the Board of Regents. And my Amendment would add the Board of... excuse me, was originally for the Board of Governors and my Amendment would add the Board of Regents."
- Cullerton: "In most of the indemnification statutes, there is a Section that says that the Attorney General has the right to refuse to represent an employee if the acts were intentional, willful or wanton misconduct. And I don't believe that this Bill... I know it's not your Bill. I don't think it's your Bill, is it?"
- Countryman: "No, it's Representative Keane's Bill, I think."
- Cullerton: "Right. I don't think that this Bill has that language in it, and I wondered if your Amendment added that."
- Countryman: "No, it does not. It's certainly something I would consider though."
- Cullerton: "Okay. I think that that is something which we perhaps can add on with a further Amendment. I just wanted to know if your Amendment did it or not."
- Countryman: "My Amendment doesn't do it, but if the Sponsor would agree with you, we could put my Amendment on and hold it

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

page one become page two. And just merely to correct a drafting error with leave of the Body, please."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 838, and on that question is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Representative Phelps, this language is not dissimilar to what's in current law, limiting the use of assumed names in various different types of business entities?"

Phelps: "No, Representative, to my knowledge this was just offered in discussion in the Committee which I believe you're a Member of. That corrected to allow Sears and people like that to subcontract and not be held accountable under the alias name that I was really trying to clarify."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Phelps: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, have you completed?

Very good. There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to House Bill 838 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any further Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "A Motion to table. A Motion to table Amendment #2

to House Bill 838 signed by Representative McCracken."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I believe Representative Huff already tabled this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "He withdrew the Amendment before it was presented."

McCracken: "Alright. Thank you."

37th Legislative Day May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any further Amendments or Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments or Motions."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading."

Phelps: "Thank you, Madam."

Speaker Bresiin: "Mr. Clerk, were we able to find House Bill 1117 for Representative Keane? No, we have not. Okay. We'll come back to that then, with leave of the Body, later on. House Bill 1122. Out of the record. House Bill 1127, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1127, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. We have something called 1127 tabling Motion on 1127. Thank you. Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1130, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill !!30, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. On page 20 on your Calendar,
Ladies and Gentlemen, appears House Bill 1131,
Representative Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill !131, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to eliminate Vehicle Recycling Fund. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Bowman, amends House Bill 1131

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

on page one and so forth."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 deposits the money that would normally have been paid into the Vehicle Recycling Fund into the Common School Fund instead of the General Revenue Fund, as the Bill initially specified. I believe the Common School Fund is more appropriate, and besides that's why I said it was going to be in the Committee. And so, I'm offering this Amendment. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman has asked for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1131. And on that question, the Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike."
- McPike: "Representative Bowman, what's the point in transferring it to the Common School Fund?"
- Bowman: "Well, if we eliminate the Vehicle Recycling Fund, we have to put the money someplace. And..."
- McPike: "Well, why not leave it in the GRF? What's the real point of that? Is there any point at all?"
- Bowman: "Yes, it's to protect my honor, Representative McPike.

 In Committee, I said it was going to be the Common School
 Fund."

McPike: "Okay."

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 1131 be adopted?'

 All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

 In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen at this time, with leave of the Assembly, we would like to go back and pick up Bills that were passed over either waiting for

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendments or to have problems worked out on individual Bills. Mr. Clerk, the first Bill will... to be called will be House Bill 410 on page 9 on the Calendar. Representative Johnson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 410, a Bill for an Act relating to firearms and firearm ammunition. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Currie - Bowman, amends House
Bill 410."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. 1 to House Bill 410 is a simple straight-forward position. As the underlying proposition as the underlying Bill, it deals with the issue of guns, specifically handguns. The proposal in Amendment to House Bill 410 is to band the sale and manufacture of handguns in the State of Illinois. We have been treated over the last many years to instances of violence, of violent death, of attacks upon presidents and popes..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Currie.

Representative Vinson for what reason do you rise?"

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, Members of the Assembly, I rise on a point of order."

Speaker Breslin: "State your point."

Vinson: "I believe that Amendment #1 to House Bill 410 is not germane to the Bill. The Bill itself is entitled, an Act to prohibit municipalities and counties from regulating or taxing firearms. It is a Bill which deals with the Municipal Code of the State of Illinois. Amendment #1 is a Bill that creates a whole new Act and does nothing with the Municipal Code. It deals with a totally different area, and as a result, by any traditional ruling in this House is

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- nongermane to this Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman for what... Excuse me,

 Representative Currie for what reason do you rise?"
- Currie: "Just to point out to the Chair and the to Parliamentarian that the ... the Bill deals with the regulation of firearms. Firearms are, of course, subject of Amendment 1, and I would think on that grounds that might well make this Amendment germane."
- Speaker Breslin: "I'm sorry, Representative Currie, but the Amendment is not germane. The Gentleman's point is well-taken, and Amendment #1 is ruled out of order. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Currie Bowman, amends House Bill 410."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

 Amendment 2 merely provides that if a local community, a
 home rule municipality, or county in the State of Illinois
 has standards with respect to firearms that are more
 constraining than those that might be available in State
 law, the state enforcement shall appreciate and shall take
 notice of those more restrictive constraints."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson for what reason do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Same objection, Madam Speaker. The Bill deals with the Municipal Code. The Amendment would create a whole new Act. It is not a Municipal Code Amendment, and for the same reasons and by all traditional standards of germanes in this Assembly. The Amendment is nongermane to the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie on the point of order raised."
- Currie: "Well, in addition to the argument I made with respect to

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Amendment 1, that we are dealing here with firearms as we were with the underlying Bill. I would point out that specifically the issue in Amendment 2 has to do with municipalities, and if municipalities are the point of the underlying Bill, it's hard for me to understand that this Amendment could be anything but germane. Both with respect to the subject of municipal ordinances and regulations."

Speaker Breslin: "I'm sorry, Representative Currie, your point is not well-taken. Representative Vinson is correct. The Amendment is not germane, and the Amendment is ruled out of order. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Greiman, amends House Bill 410 on page ! and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of House. This is an Amendment that you should purge your mind, your prior prejudices, whether you are Representative Johnson, against handgun control, or Representative Currie, for handgun control, you should begin anew to look Amendment #3. Amendment #3 says that if you have a handgun, and you can have one, that you should insurance for that handgun. It sets up a process in our statutes to require that a handgun owner have a policy of insurance, much like we do with other hazards. We debated mandatory insurance. While we don't have mandatory insurance in this state, we do have some provisions that make it unfortunate if you don't have insurance. For example, you lose your... you lose your license to drive if you don't have financial responsibility after an accident. So this provides a method of insuring that you least \$100,000 handgun liability. The intent is that it shall apply for ... apply to willful and negligent

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

both. That is the intent, that we believe that Section G on page 29 of the Amendment will precisely allow that, you know, it's a new concept. I must tell you with some pride that it is my little baby, that I have never seen the notion of handqun insurance requirement anyplace else. is something that I think will ... is the kind of thing that everyone should be for. After all, if there is an injury from a handgun, who is going to pay it? whether it's proper to have a handgun or not. When the trigger gets pulled and it goes into the spine of the kid next door, we the taxpayers are going to pay for the rest of that youngster's life, and their ought to be a policy of liability insurance, a policy that will take care of injuries caused by a weapon. I think people who are for handqun control can be for this. I think people who are against handgun control can be for this. It is a simple. forthright piece of legislation ... Yes now, quite clearly, Representative Currie's Amendment, had it been would have killed the Bill. This will not kill the Bill. This will make this Bill the kind of Bill that will intriguing for people who are in favor of gun control to possibly vote for it. So my recommendation is that this House send this Bill out 100 ... this Amendment out 118 to 0. and I would ask for a record vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has asked for the adoption of
 Amendment #3 to House Bill 410. And on that question, the
 Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."
- Johnson: "Two questions of the Sponsor of this novel Amendment.

 First, do you think the insurance industry,
 internationally, is prepared to insure against the sort of
 risks that you've posed in this Amendment?"
- Greiman: "Timmy, from my examination of the insurance...

 observation of the insurance industry is that they're

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

prepared to do any kind of selling. They'll make you a policy for anything they want that you need. And I think this is the kind of thing, by the way, that statistically, they could predict. I know of very few injuries where there are better records kept. Police records abound in the kind of gunshot wounds, and I think it would be very easy to make some kind of insurance determination as far as this kind of insurance is concerned."

- Johnson: "My second question is, if we were to deliver the votes to put this Amendment on the Bill your novel approach, which really is a novel approach would you then be willing to commit, personally and to the extent you can 'prostheletize' your colleagues to support the Bill in whole, have not only Amendment #3, but the pre-emption Bill, generally?"
- Greiman: "Well, Representative... Representative Johnson, I spent
 a lot of time in drafting some of the districts in this
 General Assembly, so I know a lot of the ins and outs and
 twists and turns of all of the 118 districts in this room.
 However, you may not know that the first district, which I
 represent, has, as its... one of its kingpin, fine
 communities, the Village of Morton Grove. So I would
 certainly talk with my constituents in Morton Grove before
 I committed on that issue."
- Johnson: "Well, we know that you're a very persuasive force, not only in terms of your delivery on the House floor, but in terms of votes on that side of the aisle."
- Greiman: "Only when I've got the gavel, am I persuasive."
- Johnson: "Would you... Would you be willing to give us 10 votes from non-Morton Grove, anti-gun Legislators to pass this Bill generally?"
- Greiman: "Representative.. Representative, let me... So you don't make any mistake about my own position and power, I am in

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- the Chair often, and I used to think that I was the captain when I got up there, and I found out I'm only the helmsman.

 So I have no votes to give you. I have only one vote in this General Assembly, and I will confer with my constituents in Morton Grove about that vote."
- Johnson: "What... What you're saying, probably is, given Morton Grove's history, that even if we adopt your Amendment to the Bill, you'll probably vote against the Bill in its final form. Do you think that's a safe statement? No more questions."
- Greiman: "You know, we're talking to the Amendment, but that's all I can tell you."
- Speaker Breslin: "On the question, the Gentleman from Cook,

 Representative Cullerton. Excuse me. Representative

 Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Well, Mr. (sic Madam) Speaker, after that intriguing question and answer session, I have no recourse but to raise a point of order. Under the previous rulings of the Chair, even on this particular Bill in question, this Amendment is not germane. This... The Bill deals with the Municipal Code as a pre-emption Bill on the... in the Municipal Code, and the Amendment enacts a new Act in relation to firearms and firearm ammunition and amends the Insurance Code. And for those reasons, by all traditional standards of this House, the Amendment is non-germane."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has raised a point of order. The Gentleman is correct. Representative Greiman?"
- Greiman: "Well, Mr. (sic Madam) Speaker... Speaker, you will note that I have amended the title to this Bill as well.

 And so, in doing that, I provide for... I think I changed the direction of the Bill. The change is also the rule this far as germaneness is concerned, so I believe that while your ruling may have been correct previously, I think

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

the Amendment of the title in lines five through seven may give another rule... may obtain."

Speaker Breslin: "Unfortunately, Representative Greiman, we can't... the Chair cannot agree with you. The Amendment is not germane, and therefore, the Amendment must be ruled out of order. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Braun, amends House Bill 410 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Actually, if I may comment on the past Amendment of Representative Greiman's Amendment, just think, for those of you who are for mandatory insurance, if we had his Bill, we'd never have a problem with handguns in the inner city, because nobody could get insurance for them. Be that as it may, Amendment # ... Amendment #4 has to do with novel concept, for those of you who are interested in keeping handguns in the home and handguns in your place of business and handguns where you work to protect your personal property as well as yourself, this particular provision says that it's okay if you want to do that. only time you'd have a problem is if you are transporting handgun, that is to say, if you are taking it upon the highways and the byways and on the streets people can be expected to walk and get together. It's called ... That's exactly right. Thank you, Representative, that is where it's needed, and the issue of the handgun transportation permanent Act suggests that we allow a system whereby permits to move a gun from one place to another, except for when you first buy it, of course, will be put into place, and I suggest ... submit to the Chair ... the Members of this House that the handgun transportation permit provisions will qo a long way to

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

dealing with the carnage on our streets and in our inner cities that we are suffering from the prevalence of handguns in those particular areas, without unduly detracting from the rights and interests of people who want to protect their homes. I urge your support for this Amendment."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 410. And on that question, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, again in regard to Amendment #4,
 Amendment #4 does not deal with the Municipal Code, which
 is all the Bill deals with. Amendment #4 creates a new Act
 unrelated to the Municipal Code and to the pre-emption
 issue, and for those reasons, it, too, suffers the fatal
 defect of nongermaneness."
- Speaker Breslin: "I'm sorry, Representative Vinson. but the reason for the Chair's ruling in the previous Bills... the previous Amendments was because the previous Amendments did not deal with the licensure of firearms, which is what Bill deals with. the This Amendment deals with licensure of firearms, and the Amendment is therefore germane. Does anyone wish to speak to the issue? being no further... There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #... Your light's not on. Representative Johnson. Representative Johnson. "
- Johnson: "This is an anti-gun Amendment to a pro-gun Bill. I suggest that we ought to have the opportunity to vote on House Bill 410 in the form that it was voted out of Committee. Legislation similar to, if not identical to this Amendment has already been defeated and placed in Interim Study. So I think we ought to have, as Sponsors of the Bill bipartisan Sponsors of the Bill the opportunity to vote up or down on House Bill 410. NRA and

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

all other wildlife gun groups all would unanimously oppose this Amendment, and I would ask for opposition to House Amendment #4."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Point of order, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "State your point."

McCracken: "I respectfully submit that while this Bill may be germane according to the ruling of the Chair, it certainly violates the single subject rule, the single subject rule of the Constitution, and is therefore out of violative thereof. Single subject rule has been historically applied by the Illinois courts more narrowly the germaneness rule, and its precise purpose is to avoid the requirement of Legislators voting on a issues which are necessarily in conflict with each other. Its precise purpose is to avoid what the Sponsor of Amendment has just created, and that is an irreconcilable vote on a single Bill. We have one Bill which deals with pre-emption of home rule. We have a second Bill which creates an entirely new Act, whose position or mandates are entirely inconsistent with the underlying Bill. The single subject rule is different from the germaneness rule. It's interpreted much more broadly... or I should say much more narrowly, so that the single subject violation is indeed the case, and I ask for a ruling thereon."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, it's our... It's the Chair judgment that the Bill, if adopted... if the Amendment is adopted, will not violate the single subject rule. Are there any other questions? The Lady from Cook, Representative Braun."

Braun: "Well... Madam Chair, I was simply going to respond to...

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "No need to respond, Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Piel?"

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, just to ask for a Roll Call on this vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Surely. The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I don't think there's any philosophical inconsistency between the underlying Bill and Amendment 4, which is now proposed. The underlying Bill says there will be a single state statute governing the regulation of firearms. Only at the state level will we permit regulation of firearms in the State of Illinois. Representative Braun's proposal is only one to ensure that when it comes to transporting certain kinds of firearms, there are appropriate civil penalties if the individual seeking to transport that handqun is not, in fact, permitted to do so under state statute. Nothing inconsistent between the Amendment and the Bill, and it seems to me that if the Members of this House are interested in seeing to it that it is we at the state level who regulate firearms, we should be especially concerned about the transportation of handquns across highways from one community to another. I think the Amendment is a good one, and I think both those who are for and against handgun control, both those who are for and against the underlying proposition in House Bill 410, would be well advised to support it."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall this House adopt Amendment #4 to House Bill 410?' Representative Braun to close."

Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. This

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

legislation is fully consistent with the provisions of House Bill 410 in that it does set up a state-wide system, it does not violate the rights or interests of any person who wants to own a handgun. If you want to own a handgun under this formula, it's okay by us. The only place this Bill comes into effect is when you want to take handgun out to 57th and State Street or Madison and Halsted or ... and do harm to your fellow person. This way, people who are legitimate business people, who want to carry their handguns, who want to take their guns from work to home home to work, who have a legitimate reason to have their handgun on them, can have a permit from the department that says. 'You're okay. You can carry your No problem. 1 However, those people who are underage who are criminals or who are likely to use that mandgun on somebody else, probably the police would deny permit to transport their handguns. So for all of you solid citizens here in the General Assembly, you ought to be for this Bill, because this Bill says you can get a permit to carry your handgun, but the guy out there going to rob you won't be able to get a permit to carry his, or hers, as the case may be. So I encourage your support for Amendment #4."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to House Bill 410 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 100... there are 18 voting 'aye', 81 voting 'no', and 7 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Johnson, I'm sorry, but there

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

has been a fiscal note filed on this case, so the Bill has to remain on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 147, Representative Shaw. This is on page seven on your Calendar. Representative Shaw. Clerk, read the Bill. 147, on page seven on our Calendars."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 147, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning job training. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Brestin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 147, offered by Representative DeJaegher."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher. Representative DeJaegher on Amendment #2 to House Bill 147. This is Representative Shaw's Bill."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the General Assembly. Basically, all what Amendment #2 to House Bill #147 does is remove the population element. I feel if everybody should deserve consideration when we're talking about jobs, and basically, all it does is remove the population segment."

DeJaegher: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 147. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 147 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 199, Representative Hicks. It's on page eight on your Calendar. Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks. Clerk, read

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

the Bill."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 198, a Bill for an Act in relationship
 to taxation of oil field equipment. Second Reading of the
 Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed, or Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions or Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 312, on page eight on your Calendar. Representative Soliz? Representative Soliz? Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 312, a Bill for an Act to provide for justice for all citizens and residents of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Soliz, amends House Bill 312 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Soliz."
- Soliz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I'd like to withdraw or move to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Soliz, amends House Bill..."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative Soliz."
- Soliz: "What I've done with Amendment #2, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, is to make this Bill a lot more palatable. This Bill is a Bill which would provide for the certification of interpreters that are used in judicial proceedings. At the present time, there is a law which requires that interpreters be used in judicial proceedings whenever a defendant is not able to speak in the English language. What this Bill essentially does is to create the procedure whereby these interpreters are assured to be competent and are certified by the Office of the Courts in

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

the State of Illinois."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 312. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Soliz: "Yes."

McCracken: "The requirement is limited to criminal and juvenile cases. Is that correct?"

Soliz: "That's correct."

McCracken: "And it is discretionary in civil cases that a... so that, in effect, a competent non-certified interpreter may be used in civil cases?"

Soliz: "That's correct."

McCracken: "And this will be administered by the Illinois Office of... Judge 'Gulley' Office? I forget the name."

Soliz: "That's correct."

McCracken: "Alright. So it's not going to be limited to Cook

County any more. It'll be statewide."

Soliz: "That's correct."

McCracken: "And is that a change from the Bill as it came out of Committee?"

Soliz: "No, it isn't."

McCracken: "I thought it was... I thought was just Chicago."

Soliz: "No, it ... It was ... "

McCracken: "Okay. Alright."

Soliz: "The Bill was... "

McCracken: "But in any event, it's the whole state now."

Soliz: "It always has been. Yes."

McCracken: "Alright. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further... The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "(Question asked in Spanish)"

37ta Legislative Day May 9, 1985

Soliz: "I didn't under ... "

Speaker Breslin: "Would you repeat... "

Hallock: "(Question repeated)"

Soliz: "(Reply in Spanish)"

Hallock: "(Second question in Spanish)"

Soliz: "Excellent Spanish. It is... It is necessary... It is...

It is necessary because we have a system whereby many times, Judges, attorneys or defendants use just anyone, really, off the street to provide interpreting, and there's no assurances that this interpreter is competent to interpret in a court of law. And this Bill simply provides for the certification to assure that interpreters are competent when they are used in judicial criminal proceedings in the State of Illinois."

Hallock: "('Thank you' in Spanish)"

Soliz: "I commend him for his Spanish."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Churchill: "Representative, do they presently have a certification program anywhere in the State of Illinois?"

Soliz: "Within certain counties. For example, Cook County, they have a minimal certification program for the interpreters used in Cook County, but we had testimony, particularly from interpreters in Cook County and the administrator of the Cook County program, about the need for this kind of program statewide, to assure uniformity, and to assure that there are competent interpreters used in the court... in

Churchill: "And to they give tests, presently?"

the courts of the State of Illinois."

Soliz: "Yes, they do."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Churchill: "And are the standards of this statewide Bill greater or lesser than the standards in Cook County?"
- Soliz: "The standards are not really defined within the context of the Bill. It allows or gives the discretion to the Office of the Illinois Courts to provide for such standards and to provide for the actual testing. The standards are not provided or specified in the Bill."
- Churchill: "Okay, but this is a mandated program. In other words, this is a requirement that this program exists."

Soliz: "That's correct."

- Churchill: "And do you have any idea what the fiscal cost of this program would be?"
- Soliz: "Well, the cost of the interpreters will be borne by the counties. The Office of the Courts will actually only be responsible for assuring that they... that they... interpreters are competent and will provide a list of the interpreters that have... that have completed the test satisfactorily, to the different Circuit Courts throughout the State of Illinois."
- Churchill: "And then, Judge 'Gulley's' office will be the one that will run this program statewide?"
- Soliz: "All the... Chief 'Gulley' would do is certify the interpreters. The Circuit Courts would then choose from the list, and they would... they would actually bear the cost of the... of the interpreters."

Churchill: "Okay, so where... "

Soliz: "That's current law, actually."

Churchill: "Throughout the State of Illinois?"

Soliz: "That's correct. The law already requires that interpreters be utilized in situations where there is a demonstrated need. All that this Bill actually does is to provide for the certification of these interpreters that are already provided through the expense of the counties."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Churchill: "Have you checked out with Judge 'Gulley' as to whether or not he approves the certification and the fact that he will administer this program?"

Soliz: "No, I have not, because this is really a legislative mandate, and we're... we are actually requiring the Office of the Courts to institute a program which would assure that justice is provided to every citizen of this state, irregardless of whether they are... whether they are monolingual or bilingual or whether they are deaf or not. This would provide for the certification of interpreters for the deaf, also, in the court systems, to provide that they are actually competent when they interpret in judicial proceedings."

Churchill: "Thank you very much. No further questions."

Soliz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Preston: "Representative Soliz, is there anything in this Bill that would regulate the amount of money that a certified interpreter could charge for his or her services as an interpreter?"

Soliz: "No, there isn't. It's... The fee is actually set by the counties, because the counties are the actual... they actually are the payors. They actually pay for the interpreters."

Preston: "Well, as I... "

Soliz: "The state would not pay for the interpreters."

Preston: "As I read the Bill, the Bill says that a certified interpreter has to be appointed if that interpreter is available. Is that correct?"

Soliz: "That's correct. It would be appointed by the counties...
by the Circuit Court."

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Preston: "And if a certified interpreter is available and charges, I don't know, \$100 an hour, but that person is available, is there anything in the Bill that says that you don't have to hire that person? The person's available, but if they hire an exorbitant amount of money to act as an interpreter, need you nonetheless hire that person?"

Soliz: "My understanding is that the counties have a system whereby they employ interpreters at a certain rate per hour, and that's the set fee."

Preston: "Well, but they're..."

Soliz: "The counties will actually set the fee."

Preston: "I'm asking this because maybe I don't understand the
Bill, but if I speak Hindustani, and I want to become a
certified interpreter in the Hindustani language, can I
become a certified court interpreter?"

Soliz: "What you would... In order to become a certified interpreter, you would make an application to the Office of the Courts to be certified, and you would actually have to pay a fee, and this fee would be used, then, to generate monies to pay for the certification procedures, and then once you're on the... then the Office of the Courts would put you on a list, and then the Circuit Courts would be able to use your services at a certain fee that the Circuit Courts or the county would set."

Preston: "I see. And that's in the Bill, that the Circuit Court

or the county would set the fee that is to be paid?"

Soliz: "That's correct. That's correct. And that's presently the law as it exists at the present time, as well as the practice. The Courts are required to appoint interpreters in judicial criminal proceeding, when a defendant is not able to speak the language, or in the case of a deaf person."

Preston: "But does this... Does this Bill apply only to criminal

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

proceedings, or does it apply also to civil proceedings?"

Soliz: "Only to criminal proceedings."

Preston: "I see. I see. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,

Representative Soliz to close on his Amendment. Do you
have anything further to say?"

Soliz: "I would just like to urge the adoption of this Amenament.

It simply... simplifies and, I think, makes the Bill as was enacted in the Committee, much more palatable. It actually provides for very little expense to the state, and most of the expense of the interpreters, or all of the expense of the interpreters or all of the counties, and it's essentially a Bill to provide for assurances that competent interpreters are used in judicial criminal proceedings. And I'd urge... "

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House
Bill 312 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all
those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there
any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "There is a State Mandates Act fiscal note already filed on this Bill, Representative Soliz, so the Bill will have to remain on the Order of Second Reading until you get that fiscal note. Does anyone else seek recognition on this Bill? No. Therefore, we... the next Bill to be taken appears on page eight on your Calendar. It's House Bill 317. Representative Braun? Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 317, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 320, appearing also on page eight on your Calendar. Representative Braun. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 320, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 330 on page eight on your Calendar. Representative Braun. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 330, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 436, Representative Flinn. That appears on page 10 on your Calendar. House Bill 436. Representative Flinn. Out of the record. House Bill 457 appears on page 10 on your Calendar. Representative Zwick. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 457, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Uniform Commercial Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$2, offered by Representative Zwick, amends House Bill 457."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I believe 1 presented
Amendment #2 to House Bill 457 earlier and didn't realize

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

that it had not been distributed. I would ask for the adoption now of Amendment #2, which deals with concerns that you, Madam Speaker, raised in Judiciary Committee to limit the scope of this Bill to apply to only the first check that would be presented to a retailer, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 457. And on that question, the Gentleman form DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

McCracken: "... Can you give us an example of how the bank could show that the payee of the check should have known it would be dishonored?"

Zwick: "Certainly. What we are trying to achieve is to make sure that, should someone present a check to a retailer on... yesterday, for example, and that business submits the check to their bank to clear it, and it comes back to them on perhaps, Friday, if that person would come in with another check the following week, they should have known not to accept that check because they have gotten the other check, the first check that that person wrote to the retailer, back from the bank, and would have reason to not accept any further checks from that person. They should have had knowledge that the person wrote a bad check."

McCracken: "What if the person came in with a new bank account and claimed it was sufficient, could they sue them for a civil rights violation for failure to sell goods to them?"

Zwick: "It is certainly not the intention of this Bill to allow

that to happen."
McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

Zwick: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Who would you say would have the burden of proof to show that the... to allow the bank to impose a charge? In other words, the way the Amendment reads, it allows the bank to impose a charge if the person who gave the check to the bank knew, or should have known, that the check was likely to be dishonored. Who has the burden to show that? Can the bank charge the fee every time and make the customer prove that he didn't know, or does the bank have to investigate each circumstance under which the check was written and decide?"

Zwick: "It is my intention that the bank would have to show that when they return the check."

Cullerton: "Now, I really want to vote for this Bill, and I don't know if this Amendment's making it more and more difficult to do that."

Zwick: "If you would prefer that the retailer would have the burden, then I would certainly be agreeable to that, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, it's your Bill."

Zwick: "How about if the retailer has the burden?"

Cullerton: "I don't know. Move it to Third, and let's talk."

Zwick: "Okay ... "

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 457 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 582... Excuse me.

House Bill 466, Representative Younge. That appears on...

I'm sorry. Representative Younge, where does that Bill appear on this Calendar?"

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

- Younge: "Madam Speaker, it's 446, on Second Reading."
- Speaker Breslin: "446. Excuse me. House Bill 446 on page 10 on the Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 446, a Bill for an Act to create the Exhibition and Auditorium Authority of East St. Louis. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed or Amendments filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments and no Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 575, Representative Dunn, page 12 on your Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 575, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed or Committee

 Amendments filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments or no Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "... Floor Amendments. Third Reading. House Bill 582, which is on page 12 on the Calendar. Representative Woodyard. House Bill 582. Clerk, read the Bill. Okay. The Bill is presently upstairs and not available for us to call right at this moment. We'll try to get back to it, and they'll send it down to us, Representative Woodyard. House Bill 791, Representative Saltsman. That appears on page 15 on your Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 791, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act regulating wages of laborers, mechanics and other workers employed in any public works by the state, county, city or any public body of any political subdivision or by anyone under contract for public works. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

37th Legislative Day May 9, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Mays, amends House Bill 791 as amended on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mays."

"Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Amendment #1 to House Hays: Bill 791 redefines the way in which a prevailing wage is calculated. It will take into consideration both private as well as public works projects in the area, and it also gives the powers for determining what the prevailing wage be totally to the state. Right now, the way we calculate the prevailing wage, many of us, I'm sure, been called by various local government units protesting the way that the state comes down and hands down prevailing wage determinations or their recommendations, leaving the local units of government nothing but a rubber stamp mechanism, so we wanted to... if we're going to get the blame for setting the prevailing wage, I figure might as well just have that in the statute books, so I move for its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 791. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Mays, when you put House Bill 752 on Interim Study, was it your intention to hold hearings on that Bill in order to get testimony to decide whether or not that should become law?"

Mays: "Representative Cullerton, I did not put House Bill 752 on Interim Study. I am not the Sponsor of that Bill. That

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

happens to be the Amendment that we have before us at this time. 752..."

Cullerton: "Oh, I'm sorry. This..."

Mays: "I did not put 752 in Interim Study."

Cullerton: "Okay, I'm... Okay, you weren't the Spousor of 752?"

Mays: "No, I was not."

Cullerton: "Okay. Is this Amendment the subject matter of another... of another Bill?"

Mays: "It is the subject matter of 752."

Cullerton: "Oh, I see. I see. And what happened with 752?"

Mays: "It was heard in Labor and Commerce Committee, I think, on the second Wednesday before... second to last Wednesday of our hearing. At that hearing, there was one, maybe two of the Members of your Party present, and we didn't have any votes there to pass the Bill out, so it was necessarily committed, I think at that point, to Interim Study. But it being as the Members of your side didn't have the opportunity to hear it, I figured that we'd try to bring it up."

Cullerton: "Okay. It's Weaver... Weaver is the Sponsor of 752.

Is that correct?"

mays: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "And you're just a Cosponsor."

Mays: "I'm not even a Cosponsor."

Cullerton: "Yes, you are. The Digest says you are."

Mays: "I like the issue. It's an interesting issue."

Cullerton: "The Digest says Weaver - Williamson - Tate - Mays and

Barger. I just wanted to get it straight in my mind that

what... you know, what this..."

Mays: "This is 752."

Cullerton: "So this Amendment guts the... guts Saltsman's Bill and then puts the Bill that was defeated in Committee on."

Mays: "Yes. Mr. Saltsman's Bill redefines what a public works

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

project is, so I felt that I would redefine, rather than go one way, I would redefine what 'prevailing wage' is."

Cullerton: "Well, now, are you opposed to Mr. Saltsman's Bill?"
Mays: "I am not at all in favor of it, that's correct. If I was,
I would have left that intact."

Cullerton: "I see. So this all... So, I understand that you strike everything after the enacting clause and you gut his Bill."

Mays: "I think you've done that once or twice, too."

Cullerton: "Well, no. I'm just asking..."

Mays: "Absolutely."

Cullerton: "We just had a chance to get the Amendment. I just want to find out exactly what it does. Okay, thank you.

No further questions."

Mays: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, in support of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Weaver: "The reason that I'm rising in support of this Amendment is that it is a vehicle to help provide additional employment in county areas where we've had a problem with public projects that were cancelled simply because the smaller contractors could not afford to pay outrageous rates that were not in keeping with the average wage of the area. We feel that by adding this Amendment, it would actually increase employment, because it would make possible public projects that would otherwise be eliminated because of the high cost of that project. We feel that this Amendment will also give a fairer representation of what the actual wage in the area is, and we respectfully ask for support for this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I am definitely opposed to this Amendment, and this Amendment here would definitely hurt our local contractors in all the midwest. The reason is, they got a prevailing wage there that they do have to pay, and their bids are put out accordingly, so if you're going to let someone come in and destroy this program, you're going to bring these right-to-work contractors in here like they did in Peoria, Illinois, at ADM. You're going to bring these contractors in here at lower rates, and the contractors that stuck with us with all these years are not going to have a fair chance to bid on these issues. Therefore, I ask that this Amendment be defeated. It's nothing more than to gut the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

McPike: "What is the practical effect of this Amendment? Would it lower the amount of wages paid to construction workers, or would it raise the amount of wages paid to construction workers on public works projects?"

Mays: "There... Thank you. There are at least two practical effects. Number one, it would determine the wage for that given geographical location on the basis of wages prevalent in that geographic locations, and whatever the effect might be up or down, would be determined on the basis of what the wages are being paid on both private and public works projects in that given area, and then the second effect is that the State Department of Labor would actually, finally, once and for all be totally responsible for this whole mechanism for price fix... or wage fixing."

McPike: "The Department of Transportation or the Department of

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

Labor?"

Mays: "Department of Labor."

McPike: "The Department of Labor has that responsibility now. Is that correct? The Department of Labor currently must determine the prevailing wage in every county of the state, under current law. Is that correct?"

Mays: "No, not... not... In practicality, that's the way it works. In... You know, in the statute, the way it works is that the county board or that given unit of local government that is going to be doing public works has to adopt a prevailing wage schedule for each of the different categories of work. That schedule is recommended for their adoption by the Department of Labor. They can vary that, supposedly, but then they are subject to appeal to the State Department of Labor."

McPike: "Back to the first question. Would the effect of this be to lower the wages paid to construction workers, or to raise the wages paid to construction workers on public works projects?"

Mays: "I would imagine, with the way that the prevailing wage has been determined with the fact that the previous year's prevailing wage becomes the base for the next year's prevailing wage, and so on ad infinitum, I would imagine that the net effect might be to reduce the wages paid construction workers for public projects."

McPike: "Thank you. To the Amendment, Mr. (sic - Madam)

Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

McPike: "To the Bill, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

McPike: "Well, I think it's interesting that the Gentleman from
Adams would introduce an Amendment in order to lower the
wages being paid to workers in his district. Most of us

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

try to benefit, through bringing projects to our area through trying to make a better life for the people that live in our area. Few people introduce Bills that would working men and women, that would take the current prevailing wage paid for a bridge or a road and *Next year, we're going to make sure that you get paid less than you got paid this year. We're going to make sure that you have less money to take home to your family than you do today. And we know we still have five percent or four percent inflation, but really, we really don't care that you're out there working on construction projects all and have to buy the same food that we buy. We don't care that we get raises every year or that white collar people get raises every year. We want to make sure that when we introduce Bills in Springfield, these Bills would be embarrassed to go home and face your wages. I workers in my area and tell them that that was my intent, to introduce Bills to hurt them. I would much prefer to try to help the people that I represent, rather than trying to hurt them and their families. The purpose of prevailing wage is to make sure that the government doesn't come area and try to destroy the local wage base. men and women have a right in this state. One them belong to unions. One million of them sit and bargain collectively for their good. We don't think the state should then come in and try to destroy those agreements reached across the table in order to lower the wages that these men and women work for under their normal course of business. I think the Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mays to close."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. In practical effect,
what this Bill would do is promote local labor and promote
local employment by making sure that the wage base is

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

competitive, rather than having a St. Louis firm come in a bid on Quincy projects or Adams County projects and not have a competitive price, you know, taking that completely away. What this would do is, in Quincy or in Coles County or in wherever the other county might be, local wages would be the determinant... would be determined. So this Bill will... is a taxpayers' Bill. It's an employment Bill for a lot of people that are currently unemployed, and it's a competitive Bill... competitive Amendment, and for those reasons, I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 791 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 42 voting 'aye', 64 voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill is House Bill 83.

It appears on page seven on your Calendar. Representative
Wait's Bill. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 83, a Bill for an Act to provide for the deferral of payments of special assessments on property of senior citizens and disabled persons. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Wait."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wait."

Wait: "Yes, Madam Chairman, Members of the House, Amndment #1 basically just ties in with the system that we set up last year for a deferral on property taxes on the residents that we have. Since we had a good Bill last year, this would

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

simply extend this to special assessments. Oftentimes, special assessments are ten times what the burden is placed on the local taxpayer, the senior citizens, and this would help to keep them in their homes."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 83. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I'm sorry. I just couldn't understand your explanation. I was the Sponsor of that senior citizens/disabled persons property tax relief Bill along with Senator Bermann, and I didn't understand how your Amendment changes the original Bill."
- Wait: "This just piggy backs onto your Bill but allows the deferral for special assessments, as well as the property tax which we implemented last year."

Cullerton: "Oh, I see. Alright. Thank you."

Wait: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative O'Connell on the question."

- O'Connell: "I'm sorry, Representative I did not hear the substance of your Amendment. Could you..."
- Wait: "Okay. The Amendment, basically, just piggy backs onto Representative Cullerton's Bill from last year. Actually, I had this Bill two years ago, but there's no sense of having, you know, two systems set up, since we had the existing system set up there that would allow the special assessment to be paid by the state. The state then would have a lien on the property, and so when the senior citizen then moved out of their home, at that time, then the state would get their money."
- O'Connell: "I guess I'm not really familiar or recall what

 Representative Cullerton's Bill did last year. Could you
 just tell me what..."
- Wait: "Okay. His Bill allowed, basically, senior citizens who

37th Legislative Day

- May 9, 1985
- live in their home who made \$10,000 or less to have their property tax deferred and a lien placed on their homes so that they would not have to be evicted from their houses because they could not pay their property taxes."
- O'Connell: "Would you be willing to add special service areas to special assessments... to the special assessment provision in the Senate?"
- Wait: "Yeah, I would have no problem with that."
- O'Connell: "Thank you. I'd like to talk to you about that.
 Okay."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 83 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill is House Bill 115 on page seven on your Calendar. Representative Oblinger, House Bill 115."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 115, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of
 the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments? No Floor Amendments filed, Representative Oblinger. However, a fiscal note has been filed as of today. That's requested, rather, as of today. So you will have to file that before we can move the Bill. So we'll take that Bill out of the record. House Bill 592, Representative Woodyard's Bill appearing on page 12 on our Calendar. House Bill 582. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 582, a Bill for an Act in relation to the ownership of agricultural land by certain corporations, partnerships and trusts. Second Reading of the Bill.

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

This Bill has been read a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted, Amendment 2 was tabled, and

Amendment 3 was withdrawn."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Woodyard."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #4 actually does four things, some of these different associations. We delete utilities as the only individuals exempt from the reporting in this farmland reporting Bill. This was requested Shell Oil Company to take care of some pipelines that would not have to be reported. It also exempts from the reporting, the requirement of land held bу foreign which is already being reported under the Agricultural Foreign Investment Disclosure Act at this It also exempts from the reporting the agricultural time. land that has a pre-annexation agreement or has been platted... or a platted subdivision has been recorded. This was at the request of the Homebuilders' Association. thing the Amendment does is to require the final Department of Agriculture to make the information available to the General Assembly and to the public. And I would urge adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 582. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to House Bill 582 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The next Bill to be called is

House Bill 1117. !117, appearing on page 19 on your

Calendar. Representative Keane? Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1117, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1132 appears on page 20 on your Calendar. Representative Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1132, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 34 appears on page six on your Calendar. Representative Terzich. That's House Bill 34. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 34, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Professional Boxing and Wrestling Act.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 85 appears on page seven on your Calendar. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 85, a Bill for an Act in relation to

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

sale of used motor vehicles. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk G'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Satterthwaite, amends House Bill 85 on page two and line 24
by inserting 'fifty percent of after four'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and ... Madam Speaker and Members of House, the Bill before us deals with used car warranties, and the responsibility under the Bill without Amendment falls totally on the used car seller, and my Amendment would instead divide the responsibility for faulty portion of the automobile between the seller and the I've talked to several of the used car dealers in my legislative dictrict, and they agree that some warranty be appropriate but feel that if they, in fact, have to take the complete responsibility for any failure, that they would have to unduly increase the price of the automobile before selling it. With a 50/50 split of the responsibility, it would be a greater... it would lesser risk on the part of the used car dealer, and they would therefore not have to go over the car fine-tooth comb to guarantee that absolutely everything was perfect operating condition. And for this reason, it seems to me that a 50/50 split of the responsibility is a much more logical way to go than putting all of the responsibility on the used car dealer, and I recommend the adoption of Amendment #1.4

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 85. And on that question, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A trial attorney who is wisened in these matters has told me what will really

37th Legislative Day

May 9. 1985

happen in this regard, and for those reasons, I would like to ask a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Breslin: "Was that a question?"

Vinson: "I would like to ask a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Proceed."

Vinson: "Representative, am I correct in believing that what this really does is to say that the dealer only assumes half of the cost of making the repair, rather than all of the cost of making the repair?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes."

Vinson: "And isn't the real result going to be that the unscrupulous dealers who sell these lemons are just going to double the price of the repair?"

Satterthwaite: "I cannot guarantee what any unscrupulous dealer is going to do. If they are unscrupulous, they will be unscrupulous whether or not this Amendment goes on this Bill. My intent is for the legitimate used car dealer, in providing a warranty for the sale of the... providing a warranty for the used car that he is selling, that he not have to bear the total risk of any faulty part that the car may possess."

Vinson: "Would a... Would a legitimate dealer sell a lemon?"

Satterthwaite: "A legitimate dealer would not intentionally sell a lemon, but it's a question of how far a legitimate dealer should be expected to go in guaranteeing that there is no fault with the car."

Vinson: "Well, to the Bill, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Vinson: "To the Amendment. It was my intention to simply let this matter pass us by, even though I knew that what we were dealing with was an Amendment that made a bad Bill better and more likely to pass. But on the wisened advice 37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

of a seasoned trial attorney, I rise in opposition to A11 that's qoing to happen is those unscrupulous dealers who sell these devilish lemons, they're just going to double the Bill. And that poor consumer is going to end up paying every bit as much for that repair as he would have under the Bill to begin with. Now, we don't need, through this game of mirrors, to confer legitimacy on unscrupulous lemon dealers, and that's what this Amendment does. So I would urge a 'no' vote on the Amendment and request a recorded Roll Call vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, I am against this Amendment also. The Used Car Buyer Protection Act, as it currently is in House Bill 85, is a limited warranty at the present time, and it just covers certain parts. It's not a full warranty. It's a limited warranty, and therefore, I would be opposed to this Amendment on the same grounds as Representative Vinson. For once, he's right."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In response to the Gentleman from DeWitt's point, I think what we will have, then, is the market forces redirecting people away from these unscrupulous dealers who previously have been able to hide the fact that they were unscrupulous dealers, but now, will have to let it all hang out for everybody to see by doubling their prices, and it seems to me that the dealers who are doubling their prices are driving business away from themselves, and if that's what they want to do, then they deserve to go out of business. So I think the Gentleman from DeWitt is exorcised over a minor point, because I think the Bill

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

would work very well with this Amendment on it."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,

Representative Satterthwaite is recognized to close."

Satterthwaite: "Well, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, the Gentleman from across the aisle who rises in opposition the Amendment has essentially indicated. by his own statement, that this would improve the Bill, and yet twist of his comments, he chooses to act against the Amendment. I assume, in order to then act against the Bill I suggest to you that there is a legitimate reason for having the responsibility for the risk split between the dealer and the buyer, and I would hope that the Members of the General Assembly would support me in effort to try to make it equitable so that we are not totally penalizing either the buyer or the seller case, but realize that there is a risk on both sides when a used car is purchased, and that we would split that risk equally among the two parties involved. And for reason, I would arge your support of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 85. The question is, #1 be adopted? Amendment All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote no. Voting is open. Representative Greiman and Homer, would you vote please? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 26 voting 'aye', 77 voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the Amendment Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. On page five... This is changing the Order of Business, Ladies and Gentlemen. We are going to page 50 on your Calendar. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading there appears Senate Bill 333.

37th Legislative Day

- May 9, 1985
- Representative Giorgi? Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 339, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. This Bill has been read a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, offered by Representative Dunn, amends Senate Bill 339 on page one by inserting below line 15 the following: Section 1(b) and so forth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn. Representative Dunn withdraws Amendment #1. Are there any further Amendments?"

 Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Agreed Resolutions... Excuse

 me. Would you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk? Would you read

 that? We'd like to read it a third time today. Okay. On

 Third Reading appears Senate Bill... Senate Bill 339."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 339, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi. Very good. Leave the Bill on the Order of Third Reading. Agreed Resolutions, please."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 356, offered by Representative Ropp. 357, by Representative Daniels. 358, Terzich Krska and O'Connell. 359, by Terzich. 360, by Terzich, Krska and O'Connell. 361, by Representative Tate. And Senate Joint Resolution 55, offered by Representative Madigan."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, 365 (sic 356), by Ropp, tells of Mayor Godfrey. 357, by Daniels, lauds the MDA. 358, by Terzich, commends... 359, by Terzich, praises Father Kinsella. 360,

37th Legislative Day

May 9, 1985

by Tuerk, recognizes an Eagle Scout. 361 congratulates Mr. Allgood. And Madigan's 55 suggests that the Saturn plant be located in Illinois. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Representative McPike is recognized for the purposes of making the Adjournment Resolution. Representative McPike moves that this House stand adjourned until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning, allowing two minutes for Perfunctory operations. All those in favor say 'aye', all those In the opinion of the Chair, opposed say 'nay'. 'ayes', have it, and this House stands adjourned until 9:00 a.m. sharp tomorrow morning, allowing two minutes for Perfunctory Session. We have moved 142 Bills on the Calendar today. That seems like a lot, but it's not nearly enough. We have to do better tomorrow."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Reports. Representative Bowman, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 9, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 219, 662, 683, 994, 1040 and 1697; 'do pass as amended' House Bills 659, 678, 993 and 1070. Representative Leverenz, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 9, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 721, 1011, 1097, 1110, 1506, 2239 and 2240; 'do pass as amended! House Bills 668, 1247 and 2110. further business, the House now stands adjourned."

A 45 08/19/85 09:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

1

	MAY 09, 1	985		
HB-0020	SECOND READING	}	PAGE	3
	SECOND READING		PAGE	177
	SECOND READING		PAGE	.3
	SECOND READING		PAGE	173
	SECOND READING SECOND READING		PAGE	177
	SECOND READING		PAGE PAGE	4
	SECOND READING		PAGE	6
	SECOND READING		PAGE	155
	SECOND READING		PAGE	6
	SECOND READING		PAGE PAGE	156 7
	SECOND READING		PAGE	10
	SECOND READING		PAGE	12
	SECOND READING		PAGE	156
	SECOND READING		PAGE	162
	SECOND READING SECOND READING		PAGE PAGE	163 163
	SECOND READING		PAGE	11
HB-0350	SECOND READING	}	PAGE	12
	SECOND READING		PAGE	13
	SECOND READING HELD ON SECOND		PAGE PAGE	14
	SECOND READING		PAGE	18 19
	SECOND READING		PAGE	19
	SECOND READING		PAGE	19
	SECOND READING		PAGE	20
	SECOND READING SECOND READING		PAGE	20
	SECOND READING		PAGE PAGE	20 21
	SECOND READING		PAGE	144
	HELD ON SECOND		PAGE	155
	SECOND READING		PAGE	166
	SECOND READING SECOND READING		PAGE PAGE	22 25
	SECOND READING		PAGE	26
	SECOND READING		PAGE	26
	SECOND READING		PAGE	27
	HELD ON SECOND		PAGE	28
	SECOND READING SECOND READING		PAGE PAGE	163 28
	SECOND READING		PAGE	28
	SECOND READING		PAGE	29
	SECOND READING		PAGE	30
	SECOND READING		PAGE PAGE	30 30
	SECOND READING		PAGE	31
HB-0513	SECOND READING	ł	PAGE	31
	HELD ON SECOND		PAGE	35
	SECOND READING		PAGE	31
	SECOND READING		PAGE PAGE	35 35
	SECOND READING		PAGE	36
HB-0548	SECOND READING	i	PAGE	36
	SECOND READING		PAGE	36
	SECOND READING SECOND READING		PAGE	36
	HELD ON SECOND		PAGE PAGE	37 38
HB-0574			PAGE	38
HB-0574			PAGE	48
	SECOND READING		PAGE	166
	SECOND READING SECOND READING		PAGE PAGE	48 49
	SECOND READING		PAGE	175
	HELD ON SECOND		PAGE	50

08/19/85 09:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

2

MAY 09, 1985

HB-0597	SECOND	READING	PAGE	50
HB-0599	SECOND	READING	PAGE	50
HB-0615	SECOND	READING	PAGE	51
HB-0617		READING	PAGE	52
HB-0619			PAGE	52
HB-0623		READING	PAGE	53
HB-0627		READING	PAGE	53
HB-0645			PAGE	53
HB-0682			PAGE	54
HB-0692		READING	PAGE	56 56
HB-0694			PAGE PAGE	57
HB-0703			PAGE	57
HB-0703			PAGE	61
HB-0703			PAGE	58
HB-0715	SECOND	READING	PAGE	58
HB-0737			PAGE	65
HB-0755			PAGE	65
HB-0786			PAGE	66
HB-0791		READING	PAGE	166
HB-0793			PAGE	66
HB-0797		READING READING	PAGE	68
		READING	PAGE	69
		SECOND	PAGE PAGE	80 78
HB-0807			PAGE	105
		READING	PAGE	106
HB-0811			PAGE	107
		READING	PAGE	108
HB-0838			PAGE	109
HB-0838		READING	PAGE	140
HB-0838			PAGE	110
HB-0849			PAGE	110
HB-0851		READING	PAGE	111
HB-0852 HB-0855		READING	PAGE	111
HB-0857		READING	PAGE PAGE	111 112
HB-0861		READING	PAGE	112
HB-0867		READING	PAGE	117
HB-0869		READING	PAGE	113
HB-0874	SECOND	READING	PAGE	113
HB-0889	SECOND	READING	PAGE	113
HB-0890		READING	PAGE	115
HB-0891		READING	PAGE	116
HB-0992		READING	PAGE	116
HB-0893		READING	PAGE	117
HB-0907		READING	PAGE PAGE	117 117
HB-0908			PAGE	117
HB-0909			PAGE	118
HB-0910	SECOND	READING	PAGE	118
HB-0914	SECOND	READING	PAGE	118
		READING	PAGE	119
HB-0925		READING	PAGE	119
HB-0934			PAGE	119
HB-0940			PAGE	120
HB-0950 HB-0952			PAGE	120
HB-0953		READING READING	PAGE PAGE	121 121
HB-0961		READING	PAGE	121
HB-0962		READING	PAGE	122
HB-0968		READING	PAGE	122
HB-0971		READING	PAGE	123
HB-0982	SECOND	READING	PAGE	123
HB-0997	SECOND	READING	PAGE	123

₹- ; 09/19/85 09:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

MAY 09, 1985

	110	11 05,	1903		
HB-0998	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	123
HB-1000				PAGE	124
HB- 1000				PAGE	130
HB-1000				PAGE	125
HB-1006				PAGE	125
HB-1010				PAGE	126
HB-1014				PAGE	126
НВ-1018				PAGE	128
HB-1020				PAGE	123
HB-1023				PAGE	131
HB-1023				PAGE	131
HB-1025				PAGE	130
HB-1027				PAGE	131
HB-1031	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	132
HB-1033	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	132
HB-1043	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	132
HB-1047	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	133
HB-1050	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	133
HB-1051	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	134
HB-1059	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	134
HB-1064	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	134
HB-1071				PAGE	135
HB-1072				PAGE	135
нв-1075				PAGE	135
HB-1082				PAGE	136
HB-1086				PAGE	138
HB-1115				PAGE	138
HB-1117				PAGE	177
HB-1118				PAGE	140
HB-1127				PAGE	142
HB-1130				PAGE	142
ЯВ-1131				PAGE	142
HB-1132				PAGE	177
HB-1438				PAGE	80
HB-1488				PAGE	2
SB-0339	SECOND	READIN	G	PAGE	182

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE FO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND J. RONALD BOGART	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE NATIJEVICH IN CHAIR	PAGE	65
RECESS	PAGE	78
HOUSE RECONVENES - MATIJEVICH IN CHAIR	PAGE	78
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	79
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	80
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN CHAIR	PAGE	105
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	193
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	183
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	183
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	183
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	183
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	183