114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 12:30 having arrived, the House will be in order. The Chaplain for today will be Reverend Farris E. Morrison, Sr., Pastor, Prayerwheel Church of God and Christ in Springfield. Reverend Morrison is a guest of Representative Michael Curran. The guests in the gallery may rise and join us in the invocation. Reverend Morrison."
- Reverend Morrison: "In the presence of God, it is good to draw near to Thee, O God. In Thy presence we have a sense of peace and wholesomeness. We feel Thy spirit moving in our souls and we are refreshed. Abide with us always and keep us turning toward Thee in prayer repeatedly. As we come to Thee today, speak in our hearts that word which will comfort and encourage us. Impart Thy love that our selfish passions may be restrained and we may love as Thou has loved us. Grant us a renewal of our vision that we may see what is the best and right thing to do at every moment. forgiving Endow us with Thy grace, that sense in forgiveness for our sins we may live in the freedom of Christ, as purchased by His own blood for it is in His Name that we pray. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp, will lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

  States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

  one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

  for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Reverend Morrison today has brought Ars. Morrison to join us and she is in Representative Curran's chair and we welcome you along with your distinguished husband, Ars. Morrison. Ar. Clerk, take the record. 116 Members having answered to the Call

- May 7, 1986
- of the Quorum, a quorum is present. Ar. Piel, are there any excused absences on the Republican side?"
- Piel: "No. Mr. Speaker, none today."
- Speaker Greiman: "Hr. Matijevich, are there any excused absences on the Democratic side?"
- Matijevich: "Let the record reflect the absence of Representative

  Nelson Rice and also let the record reflect that

  Representative Chuck Hartke is one year older than he was

  yesterday. Happy birthday, Chuck."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Let the record so reflect that
  Representative Rice is excused today. Yes, Mr. Vinson, for
  what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, I would like to restore House Bills 2893 and 3230 to the Consent Calendar where they originally resided. I was the individual who knocked those off and I believe that it was due to a communications error and I would like to request leave of the House to restore those Bills."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman asks leave of the House to restore
  the Bills to the Consent Calendar. Gentleman have leave?
  He has leave and the Bills will be restored to the Consent
  Calendar. With the... With leave of the House, on page
  eight, House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2630.

  Mr. Holf. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2630, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Yes, Mr. Ronan, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I know it's a violation of House rules, but I don't really care. Representative Berrios,

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

myself and Representative Kulas would like to recognize 21 students from Association House who are getting their GED degree on May 23rd. We think it's important for young people in the inner city who are working hard to get a better education to be recognized."

Speaker Greiman: "On page 27 of the Calendar, Consent Calendar, Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bills."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar Second Reading. Second Dav. House Bill 3058, a Bill for an Act to amend an the law in relation to recorders. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Second Reading of the Bill -Bi11 3378. a Rill for аn Act to amend the Real Estate License Act. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3428, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to cemeteries. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. House Bill... page 27. House Bill 3484, (sic - 3474) a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Lake County Forest Preserve District. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3479. a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to easements and restore access rights to certain described lands in the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. That was 3474 where I said 3484. House Bill 3474 was a Bill for an Act relating to Lake County Forest P n S σ f h 11

in Committee. Second Reading of the Bill. And the two Bills that were restored to the Consent Calendar, House Bill 2893, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to public water districts. Second Reading of the Bill. And House Bill 3230, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Township Law of 1874. Second Reading of

May 7, 1986

the Bill."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. And Mr. Keane, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Alright. It is the intention of the Chair now to move to page 20 of the Calendar, House Bills Third Reading Short Debate. You will recall that in... under our rules, it is appropriate for someone to... who wishes to stand in opposition to seek recognition after the presentation by the principal Sponsor. And so, on the Order of House Bills Third Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 564. Hr. McCracken. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 564, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "And the Gentleman from DuPage. Mr. Cracken...

  McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 564 was amended in Committee and now simply addresses the issue οf multi-vear contracts permissible under the Municipal Code. Certain multi-year contracts are currently municipal. would expand it, but it would be limited in all professional services, management services. things of those... of that nature. Ιt does not relate to purchase of goods or payment over time beyond one year. allows contracting for these services and I move its passage."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken has moved for the passage of House Bill 564 and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Cullerton: "Representative, I know this is on Short Debate. I just have a couple of questions. First of all, the Section

114th Legislative Day

- May 7. 1986
- that you amended, the Illinois Municipal Code, would that be a Section that would apply to the City of Chicago?"
- McCracken: "I don't know that. I... I hadn't considered that and
  as I look at it, I can't tell from the numbering system
  whether it is."
- Cullerton: "Well, okay, as I understand the Bill then, it will

  permit the personal service contracts and perhaps such
  things as data processing equipment leases that could be on
  a multi-year contract but does not exceed the term of the
  presiding officer or the mayor? Okay. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Ms.

  Braun."
- Braun: "Will the Gentleman yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, indicates he will yield for questions.

  Again, our procedure is to... to allow questions briefly on any issue. Yes, Ms. Braun, continue."
- Braun: "I understand. I understand. Thank you. Representative

  McCracken, is the first part of the Bill as printed having

  to do with residency, that has been deleted by the

  Amendment?"
- McCracken: "Yes, in its entirety."
- Braun: "Yes, thank you. No further questions."
- Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, to close."
- McCracken: "I ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote "no". Voting is now open and this will be final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 110 voting "aye", none voting "no", 1 voting "present". This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of House Bills Third

114th Legislative Day

- May 7, 1986
- Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 1229. Ms. Younge, do you wish to proceed on that Bill? No, out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 1446. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1446, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "And on that, the Lady from Cook, Ms. Alexander.

  Yes, Ms. Alexander."
- Alexander: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The power just came to the mic. House Bill 1446 as amended and the language and it has been worked out with the Department of Public Aid merely puts the State of Illinois in compliance with Federal regulations with regards to payments to children first and other agencies second. And I would ask for an "aye" vote with regards to it. I'm through."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Alexander, has moved for the passage of House Bill 1446, and on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you..."
- Speaker Greiman: "You stand in opposition, Mr. McCracken?"
- McCracken: "I don't know. I'm going to ask a question, if that's all right."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Proceed. Sir."
- McCracken: "Ethel, what... what is it that this Bill does? Could you give some more detail on it, please?"
- Alexander: "I surely shall. This Bill merely provides for actions brought in the Circuit Court for back support money be consolidated with future actions for future support money. It provides that the Department of Public Aid shall be limited to the collections of current support obligations established through administrative procedures

May 7, 1986

and unless arrearages occurs. The Amendment was offered by me and has been signed off by the Department of Public Aid as being proper and in order."

- McCracken: "Alright. They... They can bring enforcement actions for past due aid and now they can enter an order for future support payments at the same time?"
- Alexander: "It merely means that where there is a support order when the Public Aid goes into the Circuit parent, Court to collect those payments, they will endeavor secure payments for the family for back support money as likewise reimbursements for anv monies that they have behalf of that family. expended in Ιt will simultaneous actions moving through the court system. In fact, it will decrease some of the public aid money because they will be giving back to that family and parent monies that are due and owing them by the absentee husband or wife or spouse."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This will be final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 64 voting 'aye', 46 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is here... Mr. McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "Seek a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from Dupage requests a verification. The Lady from Cook asks for a Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Breslin."

Speaker Greiman: "Breslin votes 'aye'."

114th Legislative Day May 7, 1986

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the Poll of the Absentees. DeJaegher.

Hartke. Klemm. And Stern."

Speaker Greiman: "Klemm votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "And Mrs. Stern."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Dunn: "Leave to be verified."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn asks leave to be verified. May he...
Yes, you have leave, Mr. Dunn. Mr. O'Connell asks leave to
be verified. You also have leave, Mr. O'Connell. Mr.
Clerk, proceed with the verification of the Affirmative
Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Breslin. Brookins. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Dalev. DeLeo. Deuchler. Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Gialio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig. Hawkinson. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Lauring Leflore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Mulcahey. Nash. O'Connell. Olson-Panayotovich. Pangle. Phelns. Preston. Rea. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Steczo. Sutker. Terzich. Shaw. Van Duvne. Washington. White. Wolf. Anthony Wyvetter Younge. Zwick. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Kirkland. How is Mr. Kirkland voted?"
Kirkland: "Yeah, change my vote to 'aye', please."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Kirkland goes from "no" to "aye". Ms. Stern."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker, would... I'd like to vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Vote Ms. Stern 'aye'. Yes, Mr. McCracken, questions of the Affirmative Roll Call."

McCracken: "My Leader tells me that we wish to withdraw the

May 7, 1986

Motion."

- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, the request for verification is withdrawn. This Bill, having received... 67 'aye', 46 'no', 1 voting 'present', this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. And on the Order of House Bills Third Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2486. Mr. Stephens, would you like to go forward with that Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2486, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Third Reading

  of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Ar. Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House
  Bill 2486 simply provides that expenditures from the
  Illinois Horse... excuse me, Illinois Race Track
  Improvement Fund be made pursuant to competitive bidding.
  I would urge an "aye" vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Stephens, moves for the passage of House Bill 2486, and on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative

  Stephens, this was on the Consent Calendar and I took it

  off so that you could have an opportunity to talk to

  Representative Vinson about this Bill. Have you done so?"
- Stephens: "I asked Representative Vinson if he had a specific reason."
- Cullerton: "I just wanted to know if you... if you could maybe take this out of the record for just one minute so we can... We'll come back to it as soon as we talk to Representative Vinson."
- Stephens: "I... I'd be more then happy to, yes. Yes, if the Speaker is willing to urge reconsideration, I'd be glad to."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, alright. We'll take this Bill out of the record and we will return to it. We'll also move back one Bill. Ms. Younge tells me she is prepared to proceed on House Bill 1229. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 1229."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1229, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from St. Clair, Ms. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1229 amends the General Assistance Article of the Code to prohibit the termination of assistance just because person is a resident in an alcoholism treatment Amendment #1 reiterates facility. that a residential facility that is licensed by the Illinois Department of Public Health shall not prohibit residency in that... shall not prohibit the termination of public aid. The intent the Bill is simply to have the person continue to get public assistance or general assistance while they are being treated for alcoholism and, as I understand it, the Department of Public Aid does not have any objections this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from St. Clair moves for the passage of House Bill 1229, and on that, is there any There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those vote "no". Voting is now open and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Ar. Clerk, take the record. question there are 70 voting 'aye'. 42 voting \*no\*\* votina 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading Short Debate House Bill 2528. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2528, a Bill for an Act to amend

May 7. 1986

Sections of an Act to require prompt payments by the State of Illinois for goods and services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 2528 amends the Prompt Payment Act in Houses Illinois. Currently legislation in the statutes require vendors who supply the state with supplies and services be paid promptly and we do have existing law However, there is such a routine and prompt payment. rigamarole in trying to have a vendor receive interest due on properly supplied services that are late in payment that House Bill 2528 addresses. And basically what it does is that it allows the various departments an additional time to pay if it's reduced in writing and the vendor knows that the terms will be less... I mean will be longer than 30 days which it now calls for. So, this gives the department of State Government and the vendor an opportunity to make different payment terms than what's called for in the Secondly, that if the state agency or the official is late in making its prompt payment that interest can and to a vendor, but that vendor would simply will aiven have to ask for it and provide a description of what the original transaction was including the invoice date, the amount of the bill and the date of it. What this does an automatic interest payment being made because prevents then the department would have to go through several thousands of bills and it would certainly be an additional cost to state government. So that at least now a he doesn't get paid promptly, makes a simple request of department. department then makes the payment interest as required by law now to that vendor. The last change is that it allows the Court of Claims to may... make

May 7. 1986

interest payments as... as prescribed in the Act if they come before the Court of Claims. I think we have answered all the concerns of all the departments and I do ask for your favorable support."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from AcHenry, Ar. Klemm, has moved for the passage of House Bill 2528, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open and this will be final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ar. Clerk, take the record. Ωn this question there are 116 voting 'ave', none voting 'no'. none voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Yes. Mr. Stephens, are you prepared now to proceed with vour Bill? Alright, Mr. Clerk, on the Order of House Bills Third Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2486. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2486, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2486 provides that expenditures from the Illinois Race Track Improvement Fund be made pursuant to competitive bidding and I urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Stephens, moves passage of House Bill 2486, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, this Bill pass?\* A11 those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open and this will be final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

question there are 107 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none 'present'. and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is herehv declared passed. Alright, we will now change the Order of Business. On nage two of the Calendar, House Bills Second Reading Short Debate Calendar appears House Bill 988. Mr. Steczo. did you wish to proceed with that? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 988, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 1043. Mr. Levin, are you... Mr. Levin, 1043. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2237. Ms. Currie. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2237, a Bill for an Act to amend law concerning maintenance and child support. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment &1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Short Debate Second Reading appears House Bill 2313. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill. Excuse me. Mr. McCracken, for what

purpose do you seek recognition? Alright. Mr. Homer

wishes to proceed. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2313, a Bill for an Act relating to

114th Legislative Day

May 7. 1986

state printing. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to

Amendments #1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer, on Amendment #3."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Homer."

Homer: "I would ask the Clerk to relate... there seems to be a little bit of disagreement... or misunderstanding as to what Amendment #2 adopted in Committee was. Could the Clerk read the LRB number of Amendment #2?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "LRB 8404887JSJHAM02."

Homer: "Okay, thank you very much. Request of Representative

Cullerton, I would ask that the Bill be taken out of the record temporarily."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Take the Bill out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2582. Mr. Preston, do you wish to proceed? 2582. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2599. Ms. Stern. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2613. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2613, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Health Maintenance Organization Act.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

- 114th Legislative Day
  - Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
  - Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Yes, alright. On the Order of
    House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill
    2582. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
  - Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2582, a Bill for an Act concerning missing children. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
  - Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor... Any Motions with respect to

    Amendment #1?"
  - Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

  Preston and McCracken."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston, on Amendment #2."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to let Representative

  McCracken handle this Amendment, if that's alright with the

  speaker."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, we'll let your lawyer do it. Mr.

  McCracken, on Amendment #2."
- McCracken: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 becomes the Bill and deletes the requirements that information be put in the LEADS computer and instead requires that the information be radioed and when all necessary information is gathered, immediately entered into the LEADS computer. This is regarding missing children when time is in order to get started on the case as soon as possible. The Bill also amends the law in that it provides for funds appropriated to I Search not to lapse and be returned to the General Revenue Fund in the case of their not being used for a particular fiscal year, but they would revert to the I Search Fund and be available for spending in the next year. That's all."

May 7. 1986

May 7. 1986

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, moves for the adoption of Amendment \$2 to House Bill 2582, and on that, the Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Countryman: "What does it really do in terms of... what information has to be gathered before the report is put in the LEADS computer?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. McCracken, proceed."

- McCracken: "Thank you. It does not address that issue. Under current rules and regulations promulgated by the Department of Law Enforcement, there are eight or nine elements of information necessary to put into the computer. They tell me that until they have all eight or nine of those it would be counterproductive to put it in the computer because of its linkup."
- Countryman: "Well, I guess my concern is that... and I have been through probably one of the most major cases in my district, using the I Search and there were some statements made that it wasn't put in the computer quick enough, that we don't stand around trying to find out some little detail item before we put the name or description of a child in the LEADS computer because somebody can't get ahold of a detail or find something else."
- McCracken: "No. that's correct and that's what the Bi11 originally did. Ιt required it to be put in the LEADS immediately. regardless o f the DLE says that that's a problem because they through LEADS to the NCIC which hook up needs imformation. So. this is a compromise suggested by the Department of Law Enforcement. Τt does not address the issue o f the LEADS computer, but it does require alternative immediate steps to be taken such as radio

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

broadcasting, telephoning I Search so that we do have that immediate communication.

Countryman: "Right, so the Department of Law Enforcement, I guess, it's now State Police, feel that this is an appropriate Amendment and appropriate language and they are the ones that are charged with the duty of really executing it, right?"

McCracken: "Correct. And the... the new statutory requirement now creates a dual responsibility, one to enter into LEADS immediately upon the gathering of the necessary information and also this immediate radio, phoning and other additional networking."

Countryman: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, were you seeking recognition?

Alright, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Bowman: "Representative McCracken..."

McCracken: "Yes."

Bowman: "Would you explain to me how money gets into the I Search

Fund in the first place?"

McCracken: "I believe it's..."

Bowman: "Doesn't your Amendment..."

McCracken: "I believe it's a line item of the Department of Law Enforcement."

Bowman: "Well, but... but what is the origin of the money?"

McCracken: "GRF, I believe."

Bowman: "Okay, well then, explain to me why it is necessary for lapsed monies to remain in the fund since we make annual appropriations from GRF anyway? Let me... Before you answer, let me just say that the common instance in which these things are done that you are suggesting is when there is a balance in some kind of a earmarked fund and interest

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

is earned on that balance and then the people who are supporting whatever program it is like to capture that interest rather than just having it vanish into thin air or go back to the GRF. If we are making a General Revenue appropriation every year, what's the big deal?"

- McCracken: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear the immediate last question."
- Bowman: "Okay, well, if the origin of these funds is General

  Revenue, what is the value in retaining the last funds and

  rolling them over from one year to the next?"
- McCracken: "I was mistaken. It provides that monies not expended
  by I Search, rather than lapse, be put into the Missing and
  Exploited Children Trust Fund, which was the scenario you
  had described earlier."
- Bowman: "Okay, so we have a Missing Childrens Trust Fund which then captures the lapsed or unexpended appropriations in other line items, is that correct?"
- McCracken: "According to the Bill, it's a... only funds which were originally disseminated to I Search but not expended by those units."
- Bowman: "Well, I'm having a hard time figuring out exactly what this Amendment does and while I don't have any problem with anything else in the Amendment or the Bill, this does give me problems because it does affect the appropriations process and, in my opinion, to the extent that it circumvents the process, undermines the integrity of that process and I wondered if... would we be creating problems for you legislatively if we took this out of the record right now? I would like to try and understand what you're trying to do."

McCracken: "No, as long as we can get back to it, that's fine."

Bowman: "Could... Is that possible?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, alright. The Bill will be taken out of

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

the record at this time. Excuse me, Mr. Preston. You are the Sponsor of the Bill."

Preston: "Take it out of the record. That's alright, fine."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. On House Bills Second Reading Short

Debate appears House Bill 2685. Mr. Hallock. Out of the
record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short

Debate appears House Bill 2705. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2705, a Bill for an Act in relation to sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. We are now on page three of the Calendar and the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2724. Mr. Hautino. 2724. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2724, a Bill for an Act to add

Sections to the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2726. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2726, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the creation and management of forest preserve districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

- ll4th Legislative Day

  May 7, 1986

  Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2741. Mr.

  Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2741, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2775. Mr.

  Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2775, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of
  the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

  Steczo."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, on Floor

  Amendment #1."
- Steczo: "Thank you..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Steczo. Let's give the

  Gentleman your attention, please. Mr. Steczo, proceed."
- Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment &1 to House Bill 2775 was brought
  to my attention by the staff and simply places the
  Amendment or the language of the Bill in the proper
  statutory context. I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2775. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is... I'm sorry. Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I'm sorry. Would the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "He will."
- Cullerton: "Okay, Mr. Speaker, I... I have no questions. I think

  I have had an opportunity to review the Amendment and it

May 7, 1986

- seems to be in order."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Yes, Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield to a question, please?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."
- Piel: "Terry, this basically ties the interest rate that they
  would be paying on a lease to the Public Corporation
  Interest Rate Act, is that correct?"
- Steczo: "Representative Piel, yes, it's what we do for other public corporations in this state. However, the... all the Amendment does is simply changes the reference from an Act that was approved November 8th, 1979, to May 26th, 1970.

  That's all the Amendment really does."
- Piel: "But it would not preclude us, if the rates fluctuated in a great upward swing from possibly leasing, as far as township or county officials, right?"
- Steczo: "Mr. Piel, could you repeat that? I couldn't hear your question."
- Piel: "I said if the interest rates were to go into a great upward swing, it would not preclude them from leasing any equipment? I mean it wouldn't put them out of the marketplace from leasing?"
- Steczo: "This, Representative Piel, no, it would allow them to do
  what other local municipalities do and take advantage of
  low interest rates, but also not be penalized by the
  current statutory reference of five percent."
- Piel: "Fine, thank you very much. No further questions."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, there being no further questions, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On page three of the Calendar,

  House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill

  2778. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2778, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of
  the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Saltsman, on Amendment #1."
- Saltsman: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 does nothing for the Bill,
  but it just eliminates some inconsistent language. I ask
  for its passage."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Peoria moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2778, and on that, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

McCracken: "Representative, as I understand it, this removes the maximum limit for the smaller counties, is that it?"

Saltsman: "That would be for every township, yes."

McCracken: "Oh, alright, for every township, okay."

Saltsman: "Uh-huh."

McCracken: "And..."

Saltsman: "That is what the Bill consists of. The Amendment just consists of the rest of the language that's not needed any longer."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you."

Saltsman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yeah, now I'm getting confused. Did the original
Bill deal with all township road commissioners or all road
commissioners?"

May 7, 1986

Saltsman: "Yes.™

Cullerton: "The original Bill dealt with all road commissioners and the effect of the Amendment is to limit it to... in some fashion, is that correct?"

Saltsman: "No, it just takes the \$45 cap off."

Cullerton: "That's what the Bill does."

Saltsman: "Yes. Most of them are making more than that anyhow, but it takes it off and allows the township commissioners

Cullerton: "But the Amendment deals with districts comprised of a single township of more than a hundred thousand population?"

Saltsman: "Well, the information that I received when they asked

me to run this Amendment is that there is... there are no

townships involved with this with a hundred thousand or

more population."

Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment \$1 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and Amendment \$1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2839. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2839, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to provide for the organization and
maintenance of the University of Illinois. Second Reading
of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to
Amendments 1 and 2?"

- 114th Legislative Day May 7, 1986
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
  Olson."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Olson, on Amendment
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment merely makes a technical correction to clarify the fact that only Section 1 of this Bill is amended by inclusion of the word "this". Originally, the Bill was designed to do away with some obsolete language. Amendment #2 was offered in Committee and adopted, which would change the context. So, this is merely a technical Amendment to change a Section on page two, line five. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lee. Mr. Olson, moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bi 11 2839. is there any discussion? There being none, the question is • \*Shall this Amendment be adopted? in favor say "ave", those opposed "no". In the opinion of the Chair. the 'aves' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
  Olson."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Olson, on Amendment
- Olson: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker. We amend the title of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Has this been distributed?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, has this Amendment been distributed?

  We're advised by the Clerk that the Amendment has been

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

distributed, Mr. Cullerton. Do you have a copy?"

Cullerton: "We on Amendment #4?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Olson: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Then I would say I do not. I wondered if he could take it out of the record for a second."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Olson, you want to take that out of the record? Alright. Out of the record. On the order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2876. Mr. Terzich, do you wish to proceed with that? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2876, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #17"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 2893.

Alright, yes. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading
Short Debate Calendar appears House Bill 2911. Mr. Clerk,
read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2911, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 2969. Out of the record.

On the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate
appears House Bill 3019. Mr. Clerk. Mr. Richmond, do you

114th Legislative Day

- May 7, 1986
- wish to proceed with that? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3019, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the Beef Market Development Act. Second
  Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
  Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Aotions with respect to Amendment \$1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright, I'm advised that we can move now... return to House Bill 2839. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill... House Bill 2839. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment... Floor Amendment #4 was offered by Representative Olson."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Olson, on Floor
  Amendment 84."
- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 amends the title of this Bill to reflect incorporation of Amendment #2 which was adopted in Committee as the result of the recommendation of the Democratic staff. It provides an Act to authorize the board of trustees. And so, this is designed to incorporate Section #3 into the Bill. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lee has moved for the adoption of Amendment &4 to House Bill 2839, and on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

114th Legislative Day

- May 7, 1986
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3040. Mr.

  Giorgi. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3040, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain sanitary districts amending Acts herein named.

  Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3043. Mr.

  Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3043, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the State Mandates Act. Second Reading of the

  Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3062. Mr.

  Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3062, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3078. Mr.

  Hawkinson, do you wish to proceed with that Bill? Mr.

  Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3078, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Metropolitan Civic Center Support Act.

  Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

May 7. 1986

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3097. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3097, a Bill for an Act to prohibit the discharge of an employee for filing a claim under group accident and health insurance policy. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. And on page four of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3135. Mr. Hastert. Mr. Hastert with us? Alright. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3161. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3161, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Emergency Service and Disaster
Agency Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3175. Yes.

I just wanted to point out that here we have the mother of
Andrew Madigan is with us on the floor of the House and
she... just say hello and not lobby us for the Art Council
at this moment. Yes, 3175. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3175, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Public Community College Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

114th Legislative Day May 7, 1986

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3175. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill. Oh, I'm sorry. 3178. Yes. 3178."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3178, a Bill for an Act in relation to
the borrowing of money by certain public corporations.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, are there any Motions with respect to

Amendment 81?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3181. Mr.
McGann, did you wish to proceed on that? Mr. Clerk, read
the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3181, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3217. Ms.

Currie, 3217. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3217, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act in relation to the creation,
maintenance, operation and improvement of the Chicago Park
District. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was
adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

114th Legislative Day May 7, 1986

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. I notice I inadvertently skipped over House Bill 3194. Mr. Young, Anthony Young, yes. Alright. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill, 3194."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3194, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections on an Act in relation to state finance. Second

  Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Anthony Young."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Young, on Floor
  Amendment #1."
- Young: "Mr. Speaker, Floor Amendment #1 is the Amendment that was requested in Committee wherein any interest earned in this working capital fund that isn't expended within one year will be returned to General Revenue. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Young, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3194, and on that, Mr. McCracken, the Gentleman from DuPage."
- McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, has the Amendment been printed and distributed?"
- Speaker Greiman: "I'm advised by the Clerk that it has."
- McCracken: "Okay, to Representative Young. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."
- McCracken: "Can you give me a more precise description of what the Amendment does?"
- Young: "As the Bill is written, the Bill allows for certain interest earned on the working capital fund to be deposited in the fund rather than General Revenue. What the Amendment does is provide that any interest deposited in that fund that is not expended within a year would then

May 7, 1986

be sent to General Revenue."

McCracken: "So the working capital fund is interest bearing and rather than remaining in that fund, any excess of the interest earned would be returned to GRF?"

Young: "Rather than remain in the fund over a year."

McCracken: "Okay."

Young: "The Bill allows it to remain in the fund for a year."

McCracken: "What is the purpose of the fund?"

Young: "The working capital revolving fund is the fund used by the prison industries."

McCracken: "The prison industries?"

Young: "Yes."

McCracken: "For what purposes? I'm not familiar with it."

Young: "The products they sell and their services, the fees that they will seek for their products and services are deposited in that fund."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3224. Mr.

Ronan. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3224, a Bill for an Act to adopt the
Tri-State Highspeed Rail Line Compact. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment &1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

114th Legislative Day

- May 7, 1986
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3266. Mr.

  Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3266, a Bill for an Act relating to state colleges and university systems. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading Short Debate appears House Bill 3267. Mr.

  Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3267, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to governing boards of certain public institutions of higher education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

  Keane."
- Keane: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, on Amendment #1."
- Keane: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker. I want to table Amendment #1.

  Table... #... Amendment #2 does the same thing."
- Speaker Greiman: "You want to with... alright. Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

  Countryman."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr... I mean... I'm sorry.

  The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Countryman, on Amendment
  #2."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 adds the Board of Regents to the Board of Governors and the governing body at Southern Illinois University to allow the same thing that the underlying Bill does. It's self insurance and I'd move the adoption of Amendment #2."

- May 7, 1986
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Countryman, moves for the adoption of Amendment \$2 to House Bill 3267, and on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an agreed Amendment and

  I urge its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none. the question is, \*Shall Amendment #2 be adopted? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the view of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Representative Rea to the Chair."
- Speaker Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Today we have a very special group in Illinois that has contributed greatly to the economic development, not only to the community they are located in in Herrin, but to all of southern Illinois and to this state. And at this time, I would ask the Clerk to read House Resolution 1267."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1267. Whereas Norge, a division of Magic Chef, Inc., located in Herrin, Illinois, honored by the town with a Norge Day Celebration on May 23 and 24; and whereas in 1943. a group of far-sighted. civic-minded people in Herrin began a search for industry which could be persuaded to relocate in their town to provide jobs for returning servicemen and ensure economic future of the region, they found that their needs and Norge Company's expansion plans were compatible to Herrin in 1946; and whereas the Norge Norge came division manufactures household and commercial laundry appliances for distribution nationally and internationally and employs 850 people from Herrin and surrounding doing an 18 million dollar business per year; and whereas

each

Norge has generously pledged \$25,000 to the construction of a new Herrin Civic Center and it is constantly benefiting the company through the millions it spends in public...

vear.

involvement. Norge has a corporate personal commitment that

Beyond

this

### 114th Legislative Day

local

purchases

May 7. 1986

expands from management to the plant and involves civic. and social educational, cultural activities in al 1 communities in which it employs ... its employees live; and whereas Norge is known for its high worker morale and management, it rewards perfect attendance with 12 percent of the workers... which 12 percent of the workers bonuses and a banquet and like other progressive companies, holds listening sessions each week to offer their input and air grievances; and whereas the town of Herrin appreciates the henefit from its association with Norge and prides itself derives on having been able to induce the company to move into be it resolved by community, therefore, the House of Representatives of the 84th General Assembly of Illinois that we congratulate Norge on being honored by the City of Herrin on Norge Day, that we commend Norge its services to the community in which its employees reside and that we wish its employees and their families continued happiness and prosperity. And be it further resolved that we present a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution to Norge, another Magic Chef Company, in recognition of its contributions to Herrin and the southern Illinois region." Speaker Rea: "At this time, I would ask for leave to add to House Resolution 1267, sponsored by Rea - Richmond -Phelos and Members of the Goforth. all House and Hicks. Leave All those in favor of granted. House Bill... Resolution 1267 signify by saying 'aye', those opposed. Motion adopted. At this time, I would like to introduce

May 7, 1986

two people particularly from Norge that have made this a great success. He'd like to introduce John Nicholas, on my right, who is Director of Human Resources for Norge and have had a great deal to play in the operations of that over the years. Also, I would like to introduce and ask him to make a few comments, John Carpenter, Vice-President and General Manager of Norge."

John Carpenter: "Thank you very much. We are very We are very proud of southern Illinois. been there 40 years. Hopefully, with your help, we'll We'd like some for manv. many more years. consideration, some thought on our competitive situation and looking аt the unemployment, the compensation, product liability. We need your help before get run out. ₩e are very proud to be southern Illinoisans. We are proud to be a part of Norge and I'd like to personally say, 'Thank you very much'."

Speaker Rea: "Thank you to Norge and Members of the House."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Greiman in the Chair. Subject

Matter Call — Airport Regulation. First Bill on that Order

of Call appears House Bill 3498. Excuse me. Mr. Peterson.

Okay, yes, Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek

recognition?"

Vinson: "Where does the notification of the Special Call appear on the Calendar?"

Speaker Greiman: "We don't need it. This is not a Special Call.

This is a call by subject matter which is within the province of the Chair according to Rule 39(1)..."

Vinson: "Have... Had there ever been a subject matter call in the history of this House before on Second Readings?"

Speaker Greiman: "Absolutely. Absolutely."

Vinson: "Would you tell me when? Pardon?"

Speaker Greiman: "Absolutely."

May 7, 1986

Vinson: "When."

Speaker Greiman: "Every... Every single Session that I have been in this Chair. Sir. Every single Session."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to the issue. There has never been, in my memory, on Second Reading, a Special Call, particularly on the Second Day for Bills on Second Reading. Now, what you are doing with this is deliberately trying to avoid an informed deliberative discussion of the issue of airport regulation in this state. Why would accelerate these Bills to Third Reading? Why can't you discuss them. let Members file Amendments in the ordinary of business? Why do you suddenly on the Second Day of Bills on Second Reading accelerate these Bills to Third Reading? Because you know they are full of holes like swiss cheese, they are full of holes like swiss cheese that need plugging and you don't want them plugged. i s more than a sham. It's the Speaker's effort to avoid airport regulation. That's what it is and VOU it and you ought to be... man enough to admit it on the floor."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr... Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson. You have not couched that in any objective... objection that I know of.

However, the House, by tradition and under the rules, can go to this Order of Business by a Special Subject Matter Call. As far as future Amendments, Mr... Mr. Vinson, the first Bill that I called is a Bill with the Sponsor Mr. Peterson. He can proceed if he wishes or not. That is up to him. Mr. Peterson, you wish to proceed?"

Peterson: "Out of the record, Ar. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Out of the record. On that same Order of Call appears House Bill 3632. Mr. Capparelli, do you wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3632, a Bill for an Act to amend the

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Illinois Aeronautic Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Hotions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Yes. There has been a request for a fiscal note on that Bill and a fiscal note has been filed. Yes, Mr. Vinson, what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Inquire about the fiscal note."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, it's been filed. Mr. Piel, did you
Wish..."

Piel: "My light's not on."

Speaker Greiman: "On page five of the Calendar appears... On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill Mr. Cullerton. do you wish to proceed with House Bill 1? Out of the record. Appears House Bill 45. Out of the record. House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 106. Mr. Nash. Mr. Nash, 106. Out of the record. On the Order House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 191. Younge. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 330. Ms. Braun. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 390. Mr. Davis. Out of the record. Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 523. Mr. Mautino. Out of the record. On the Order Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 546. On Out of the record. the Order ٥f House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 562. Mr. Levin. Out of On the Order of House Bills Second Reading record. appears House Bill 768. Mr. Steczo. Out of the 0n the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Bill 938. Mr. Ropp. Mr. Ropp. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 938, a Bill for an Act creating the Prohibition Alternative Project within Southeastern Illinois College. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 954. Mr. Clerk, read the

Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 954, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the township law. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment  $s_{12}$ "

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Deuchler."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Kane, Ms. Deuchler, on Amendment \$2."

Deuchler: "The Amendment was discussed in Committee. We agreed to exempt Cook County from the provisions of this proposal. Move the adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Kane moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 954, and on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she'll yield for guestion."

Cullerton: "Representative, I'm not on the Committee. I believe it was Counties and Townships?"

Deuchler: "Yes."

Cullerton: "What was the rationale behind eliminating Cook
County?"

- May 7, 1986
- Deuchler: "Yes, there is not much township government going on in Cook County. It did affect downstate areas and it seemed more prudent to cover the areas that are... that are needing the protection of an enforcement officer."
- Cullerton: "So this is... This Bill creates a... kind of like a little township police department and you didn't feel it necessary to have the townships in Cook County have this mini police department?"
- Deuchler: "Well, this enforcement officer is largely going to be used or totally going to be used to enforce township ordinances. They will not be carrying a gun, but their primary purpose would be to enforce the anti-dumping, garbage dumping that is a problem in the rural townships."
- Cullerton: "Well, Chicago has not township government, but Cook

  County does outside of Chicago. I'm just wondering if you

  discussed with some of the suburban Cook County

  Representatives whether or not they wished to be... have a

  mini-police force in their township... it's another job,

  right?"
- Deuchler: "Well, the agreement... The agreement in the Committee
  and the discussion in the Committee was that Cook County
  was to be taken out and we are... we are pledged to do that
  and that is what the Amendment does."
- Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?" Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment #2 is adopted.

  Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
  Second Reading appears House Bill 1130. Mr. Clerk, read
  the Bill."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1130, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Hotions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, alright, the Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, on Amendment #2.7"

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment #2 to House Bill 1130 provides... it's clean up of a Bill that we passed a couple years ago. The reason for the Amendment is the... there's a Judae Champaign County, I believe, that said the clean up of the Bill when we roll over multipliers, that those... those multipliers rolled over that people should be are given notice that their taxes have been increased. That took that legislation in his interpretation and said that any time that there is a multiplier applied, even though it's a zero multiplier or a one point zero multiplier, that they had to send out tax notices increase notices. What trying to do is, then, we are further define the law and we say only when there is an increase or decrease that those notices should be given. It's a clarification. It's a clarification to clean UD problem that we have had in interpretation of the courts and ask for your adoption of that Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1139... 38, and on that, is there any discussion? The Lady from..."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, it's 1130."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, yes. I'm sorry. 1130. And on that, the

May 7, 1986

Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."

- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I simply rise in support of this Amendment. I think provided legislation to notify property owners of a change in their assessment, we meant that just for that purpose. for a change and not when there was something that was simply verifying that they would have a multiplier of The situation in Champaign County is such that something in of \$6000 for postage alone, plus whatever the paper and other mailing expenses were has occurred because the feeling of the state's attorney's office was that the law requires them currently to send out notification even the multiplier 1.00 and we have complied with that is because the county assessor does not want to be violation of the law, but certainly we should not compel assessors in the future to go through this useless exercise and I rise in support of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

  Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$3, offered by Representative

  Shawe"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, on Amendment #3. Mr. Shaw."
- Shaw: Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, #3· all this Amendment does companies that are located in South Africa, it would remove tax credit or tax abatement that thev are now receiving. Now, if those companies chose to stay in they would lose that abatement or tax credit and I think it's high time that the companies that are

114th Legislative Day

- May 7, 1986
- business in South Africa be held accountable and I ask for the adoption of the Amendment.
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1130. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane."
- Keane: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "We're checking that, and we'll be back to you on that. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Churchill. before you make your request. the Chair has examined the Bill and the Amendment. The Rill provides that it is an Act in relation to local property taxes. The Amendment so increases the scope this Bill to state and local taxes, not just property taxes; that it would be beyond the realm... beyond the reasonable realm of germaneness. And, accordingly, I would rule the Amendment #3 as being nongermane. Further Amendments 28
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

  Second Reading appears House Bill 1155. Mr. McGann, do you

  wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1155, a Bill for an Act relating to sales of gasohol. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "No Motions filed. Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
  Shaw."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, on Amendment \$2."

114th Legislative Day

- May 7. 1986
- Shaw: "Amendment #2 is similar to the last Amendment which would remove the tax incentives that... of companies that are doing business in South Africa. And I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw, moves for the adoption of Amendment \$2 to House Bill 1155. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would request the... to look into the germaneness of this Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Amendment is germane."
- McGann: "Mr. Chairman, if you've ruled that the Amendment is germane, I move to have this... I'm speaking against this Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Proceed. Sir."
- McGann: "I don't believe that this Amendment belongs on this fine piece of legislation that both sides of this aisle worked out in the Revenue Committee. And I feel as though the Members of the Assembly should be very cautious allowing this Amendment to go on House Bill 1155. believe there are other ways to approach the South Africa without coming and bringing it in here on a daily basis to this General Assembly. And I certainly... I'm a sympathizer, and I'm very much in support of the problems that are happening over there; but, at the same time, we've got a job to do here, and I'd ask that the Members of this Assembly vote against this Amendment. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage,

  Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I move to table the
  Amendment. It is not germane or, in alternative, that
  it..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Hell, I've rule, Ar. McCracken, that the

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Amendment is germane."

McCracken: "Single subject matter is violated. The Amendment has
to do with tax credits, tax abatements, enterprise zone
legislation, and the original Bill has to do only with the
sales, occupation and use tax. It goes beyond the single
subject matter. In fact, this deals with enterprise zone
legislation, while the other deals with sales and use tax."

Speaker Greiman: "We'll look at it. In the meantime, Mr. Piel, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Piel: "Will the Gentleman yield to a quastion, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he'll yield for a question."

Piel: "Does this Amendment deal with the United Nations at all,

Representative Shaw?"

Shaw: "No."

Piel: "I beg your pardon?"

Shaw: "With the United Nation, you say?"

Piel: "With the United Nations."

Shaw: "I don't think so, no."

Piel: "Well, what about certification from the United Nations?

Is that entailed at all in the Amendment?"

Shaw: "Not that I know of."

Piel: "Well, then, I think you better take your Amendment out of the record and read your Amendment, because your Amendment does deal with certification from the United Nations. And, you know, obviously I ve got questions to ask in reference to the certification; but, if you don't know the Amendment, then it's pretty hard for me to ask you question in reference to the Amendment."

Shaw: "Yes, I beg your pardon. It does deal with the United

Nations."

Piel: "Okay. Explain to us, then, exactly what it deals with, as far as certification by the United Nations."

Shaw: "Well, until the apartheid is abolished and certified by

- May 7. 1986
- the United Nations, the companies would not be permitted to receive the tax credits."
- Piel: "What happens if apartheid is abolished and the United
  Nations does not come forward with a Resolution stating
  that it has been abolished? Which, things have been
  abolished and the United Nations have not come forward with
  a Resolution. Aren't you sort of dictating exactly what
  you want an international body to do?"
- Shaw: "I don't think... No, I don't think that I'm dictating what

  I want the United Nation... to be done."
- Piel: "Well then, let me ask you a question. Is it stated in here that if apartheid is abolished and the United Nations does not establish... you know, come out with a Resolution, etcetera, whatever you want to have on here as far as your Amendment goes that it has been abolished, what about these companies that have been taken to task for dealing with South Africa?"
- Shaw: "I don't believe that that would be any problem at the time that that was done, that the apartheid..."
- Piel: "Well, but what I'm saying though, Representative, is that
  the Bill states precisely that these businesses cannot do
  business in Illinois until the United Nation does that,
  until the United Nation certifies this."
- Shaw: "Well then..."
- Piel: "What I'm saying is what happens if... I mean, you're talking about apples and oranges here. You're talking about a situation where apartheid could be abolished, but the United Nations does nothing about it."
- Shaw: "Right. But then, if the United Nation would not certify that, then it's incumbent upon this Body to repeal the legislation."
- Piel: "Why does... what I would suggest is that you put in, you know, exactly this type of thing. If you're going to come

114th Legislative Day

May 7. 1986

in with a — what is it — a 60, 70 page Amendment, that that should be covered in the Amendment. You know, if...,  $^{n}$ 

Shaw: "I don't think so."

Piel: "... You know, it is abolished. I think when we as a state body start saying that we are not going to do anvthing until the United Nations, many times I think we overstep our bounds by stating that we will not do something until the Federal Government does it. But now we're talking about an international body. We're not even talking about, national body. We're talking about international body. and you're saying that until they do don\*t something - and even if they do something, though it's been cleared up - we are in violation of the law to have any businesses in Illinois doing this. what we're basically saying is if apartheid happens to be abolished, let's say, July 3rd, we're not in Session until the next January, none of these businesses can do anything as far as expanding or growing or starting in Illinois, i f this Amendment were to be adopted, for six months. don't you think this is an anti-business Amendment?"

Shaw: "No, it's not. I think the..."

Piel: "Well, then you'd better read your Amendment."

Shaw: "I think the businesses is anti-people."

Piel: "Ladies and... to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. To the Amendment. I would ask everybody in this House — we're talking about a 56 page Amendment — I would ask everybody in the House to closely read this Amendment before they vote on this type of thing, if, in fact, the Chair, you know, determines that it is germane, which I do not think it is."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, the Chair has already ruled that it is germane. The Chair also would advise you, Mr. McCracken, as to your inquiry, that we took into consideration in

May 7, 1986

making our original ruling on germaneness the notion of a single subject matter, and we find that it is in the general, generic, single subject matter and is appropriate.

Mr. Keane. Mr. Keane.

"Thank you... Thank you. Mr... Thank you. Mr. Speaker. Keane: rise in opposition to the Amendment. He heard this Bill has become an Amendment - was before the Revenue Committee as a Bill. You're going to see this Amendment on a number of Bills as we go through Second Readings, and we may have a full discussion on it now so that we could either vote it up or down and be sort of done with it. The reason for opposition... that I have opposition the Bill. we went through... It's a complicated Amendment. Ιt was a complicated Bill. But there are many deficiencies in it and basically it doesn't do what the Sponsor intended it to do. According to the various departments that into the operation of the Bill, it's impossible to administer. And, therefore, I think there are better Sponsor to meet the goals that he wants to meet rather than through this vehicle. And I oppose Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you. Mr., Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The issue is not the United Nations. In fact, the United Nations may be abolished, Representative, before apartheid is. The point is that whether or not we in Illinois are going to give tax breaks to companies apartheid and which support the slavery of the black people in South Africa. I think the Amendment is not only germane, but it is appropriate and would be a way that we can send a signal that our tax laws in Illinois are going to be used to benefit the supporters of apartheid and that repugnant system in that part of the world. I urge

May 7, 1986

your support for the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken, did you wish to address the Bill now? Alright, Sir, proceed."

McCracken: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he will."

McCracken: "Representative, what type of subsidiary would be subject to this Bill? For example, would it be only wholly owned subsidiaries, or how much ownership interest, if any, applies or triggers this Bill?"

Shaw: "It said... the Bill, I... The Amendment says any subsidiary, I believe."

McCracken: "So, it could be less than a majority interest in a particular firm. Right?"

Shaw: "It could, yes."

McCracken: "Okay. Now, you propose to take away the investment credit under the Enterprise Zone Act?"

Shaw: "Right."

McCracken: "And that would be triggered only if the subsidiary, say, invested funds in any stock of the Republic of South Africa or national corporation or any corporation organized under the laws of the Republic of South Africa. Now, that would include foreign corporations doing business in South Africa, is that right?"

Shaw: "If the parent company subsidiary is located in Illinois and receiving a tax credit."

McCracken: "Well, it's the corporation or any subsidiary which invests funds in any stock or other obligation of the Republic of South Africa. How does that work? You mean, if an Illinois company were doing business in South Africa, then its Illinois parent or subsidiary would be subject to these santions. Is that right?"

Shaw: "That's right."

McCracken: "And if a foreign corporation were doing business with

May 7, 1986

a... or had a subsidiary in Illinois and it... the parent invested in South Africa, then this would come into play.

Is that correct?

Shaw: "If they were receiving tax credits, yes."

McCracken: "Now, do you know if any of these types of companies with that relationship are currently within enterprise zones in Illinois?"

Shaw: "We'll find out as soon as you pass this Amendment."

McCracken: "So, you're saying you don't know." You don't know."

Shaw: "No, I don't have all that information."

McCracken: "Alright. Is there any other tax related sanction other than the enterprise zone investment credit that applies in this Bill? Any other sanction?"

Shaw: "No."

McCracken: "So, it's the enterprise zone investment credit only and we..."

Shaw: "No, no, no, no. It... no, no. It would be all the companies that are... not only those that are located in enterprise zones. It would be all of the companies that receive the..."

McCracken: "Do you know what determines whether a company is eligible for the investment credit?"

Shaw: "No, I expect to find out as soon as we adopt this

Amendment."

McCracken: "Okav. To the Bill. I also think this is an inappropriate vehicle for this legislation. Representative Keane makes a good point that it should be discussed on the merits, however. So, I'll proceed to that. If you look at the language of this Bill, it allows the most tenuous of connections to determine whether or not this sanction will This enterprise zone credit is designed for employed. purpose of going into what are often communities. promoting business in black communities.

May 7. 1986

which, in turn, produces jobs in black communities. 50of some ceremonial statement over which the the sake State of Illinois has no control, over which the United States of America has no control - this is even delegated to the United Nations finding of no apartheid aoina to give up something positive in State of the Illinois. We re going to deny tax credits t o the investments made in enterprise zones in the State of Illinois based on this most attenuated connection. bad public policy. It's tied to the wrong vehicle. I ask \*no\* And if it appears to get a requisite vote. number, I ask for a verification."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen the House. agree with the Sponsors intention nn this Amendment; however, I think he's going around the wrong way this because this Amendment, the passage οf this Amendment would probably hurt the people who need our help the most. I have an enterprise zone in my district. businesses would be hurt because this Amendment is so It's constructed in such a wav that you really couldn't even enforce it. So, I think that his intention is laudable, but I don't think we should put this Amendment on. And I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson." "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Vinson: to be a most interesting Amendment, and I think that all of us ought to stop and think about the ramifications particular Amendment. As I have listened to the debate, it would appear that what this Amendment does is to say that a company which does business in South Africa cannot avail itself of the tax abatement available to all other companies if it locates

May 7. 1986

enterprise zone. Now. think about that for a second. Because we don't like the system of government in Africa, we're going to deprive a company that locates in an enterprise zone a tax abatement. What that really says is that because we don't like the government of South Africa and the policies under which it operates, that we are going to cause more black and poor Americans to be unemployed. That's the policy behind this Amendment. We don't want the jobs in enterprise zones for blacks and underprivileged Americans because we don't like the government of South Instead of that. we're Africa. going to raise welfare rates. Were going to put more people on public aid. We're going to deprive people who want to work, and pride and want to work, of the right to have iobs because we don't like South Africa. That's the policy behind this Amendment. I think it's an outrageous policy and no one who claims about poor, underprivileged black people would vote for this Amendment. I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentlemen yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Churchill: "Representative Shaw, did I understand you to say that this Bill pertains only to enterprise zones areas and that's the only sanction contained in this Amendment?"

Shaw: "No, you didn't understand me to say that."

Churchill: "And then would you tell me what the other sanctions are that are in this Bill?"

Shaw: "Any company that's receiving a tax credit in Illinois that's located in South Africa or a subsidiary doing business with South Africa."

Churchill: "And then, you're talking about sales tax and income tax and real estate property tax and any other tax

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

·

exemptions."

Shaw: "Right."

Churchill: "So, in other words, if a company bought equipment to
do oil well drilling and that equipment now receives a
sales tax exemption under a Bill that was passed a year or
so ago, then they would lose those sales tax exemptions."

Shaw: "That's the intent of the legislation."

Churchill: "Okay. And there's a Member in the Body right now who has a ... has been proposing Bills on sales tax exemption for coal equipment and all those different types of equipment — farm machinery — all of those types of equipment. If there is a company that does that kind of business and purchases that equipment, they lose their sales tax exemption. That's what you're saying."

Shaw: "Right."

Churchill: "Okay. Tell me a little bit about the certification process that we have to go through to determine whether or not apartheid has been abolished. How does the United Nations do that?"

Shaw: "Well, I think that the United Nation have a handle on that, and I think they would go through the normal procedure."

Churchill: "Is there a normal procedure for determining apartheid in the world?"

Shaw: "No, because I think this is the only one that I know of that existed."

Churchill: "So, then, how could they have a normal procedure?

What kind of procedure would they use?"

Shaw: "The one that they have used in other countries."

Churchill: "But you just said that there weren't any other countries that had apartheid."

Shaw: "I don't know of any others existing today."

Churchill: "I'm having difficulty trying to determine how we are

May 7, 1986

going to get a certification that apartheid has been abolished if there is no place right now where that certification process is in form. You're saying here that we have to have the certification. How do we get a certification if there can't be one?"

Shaw: "Well, I think that the new government of South Africa would petition the United Nations for that certification."

Churchill: "That's a government that does not exist today."

Shaw: "Right."

Churchill: "So, in other words, you're creating an Amendment here
in the State of Illinois for a government that does not
exist today."

Shaw: "No, that's not... that's not the case at all."

Churchill: "Well, what government are we talking about then?"

Shaw: "You asked a question."

Churchill: "I have."

Shaw: "And I just answered that question. But I do expect that
the government... the government to change in South
Africa."

Churchill: "Will this certificate be filed here in the State of Illinois? How do we know? How are we going to find out?"

Shaw: "All that's necessary and all the Amendment speaks to is that it has to be certified by the United Nation."

Churchill: "Alright. Let me ask you some questions about the businesses that may lose these various tax exemptions. You have under the Amendment any firm, corporation, agency, association, bank, financial institution, or other business entity or subsidiary thereof. Now, let me ask you this. If there were bank located in an enterprise zone that is perhaps the financial hub of the enterprise zone, and it has a trust department, and that trust department has various stocks and bonds and loans and notes in it, and perhaps one of the stocks in that trust department belonged

114th Legislative Day

- May 7. 1986
- to a company defined in your Amendment, would that bank then lose its tax exemptions?
- Shaw: "The... the idea behind the Amendment is to get the companies out of South Africa."
- Churchill: "But aren't you getting the companies... I mean, you're getting the companies out of the enterprise zone is what you're doing."
- Shaw: "We want to keep them with the tax credit but we want to get them out of South Africa. And the Amendment speaks for itself."
- Churchill: "But perhaps if someone had a trust with a bank and that person was an individual that did not even live in the enterprise zone and they deposited various stocks in the trust, according to this Amendment, that bank would lose all of its enterprise zone status."
- Shaw: "But I think the Amendment speaks for itself."
- Churchill: "Well, unfortunately, it does, and it doesn't speak to
  these most important circumstances. Let me ask... let me
  ask you another question."
- Shaw: "That's in... that's in your mind, Representative."
- Churchill: "Hell, perhaps it is. Let me ask you this. Now, you're talking about a subsidiary. If Corporation A has a subsidiary Corporation B and Corporation B is doing business in South Africa, then Corporation A loses its tax exemptions. Is that correct?"
- Shaw: "We're not taking anything from anybody if they get out of South Africa. If they stay in South Africa, then we are removing the tax credit. But they don't have to lose their tax credit if they stop doing business in South Africa."
- Churchill: "But if Corporation A owns stock in Corporation B and

  Corporation B is doing business in South Africa, then

  Corporation A loses its tax exemptions."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Shaw: "What was the... what was the question?"

Churchill: "Two corporations, A and B — I\*ll make it simple, okay? A owns B. B is doing business in South Africa. A loses the tax exemptions, right?"

Shaw: "Right."

Churchill: "If you had three corporations, A, B and C..."

Shaw: "No, that does not... no, no."

Churchill: "C did..."

Shaw: "No, that does not apply."

Churchill: "Well then, what is the definition of subsidiary? have subsidiary in here. The Amendment says subsidiary. just trying to determine, because it's very easy for corporations to own other different corporations and own stock and loans and bonds in corporations. You can go down several lavers. And I'm trying to determine how many different layers do we have to go down to determine whether a corporation is doing business in South Africa? It can be very confusing. And your Amendment doesn't answer I'm trying to find out your intent. Representative Shaw, have you lost your hearing or... Nh. you\*ve down. Lost the strength of your leg."

Shaw: "I think he's being dilatory and he's... and I'm not answering anymore questions about this."

Churchill: "If the issue does not merit this type of discussion, then this Amendment does not merit being placed on this Bill. I would ask for a Roll Call for and I think we ought to vote it down now. And everytime that it comes up on a Revenue Committee Bill, I think we ought to vote it down, because Representative Shaw has placed this on every Revenue Bill that's come out of Revenue Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

May 7, 1986

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I would move that the word "more" be struck in the records of this House for Mr. Shaw's last statement when he said would not answer anymore questions."

Speaker Greiman: "I will provide that with the appropriate ruling. It's not in order. Proceed, Mr. Bowman."

Rowman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm really glad the Gentleman from DeWitt got up and made that wisecrack. because I think it reflects what we have been seeing hearing on the floor of the House for the last many minutes from the other side of the aisle. It seems to me that there has been, from the other side, a trivialization this issue, an attempt to be dilatory, attempt to just prolong the nitpicking and there has not really address to the substance of the legislation and I would object to the line of questioning and the wisecracks the wise remarks from the people who are speaking in opposition to this. I will simply say that we ought tο with this issue reasonably and once and for all. As it has been observed. Representative Shaw has offered every Revenue Bill. I think we need to give this issue serious consideration that it deserves and we have not been getting that from the other side. Lastly, let me just say In support of this particular proposal, it seems to me that benefits i f providing we are public to private organizations, then those private organizations have affirmative obligation to the taxpayers that the money that taxpayers are providing and that the benefits that the taxpayers are providing not be used for purposes which antithetical to the stated public policies of this state and the conduct of governmental policies in South Africa are definitely antithetical to the policies that are trying

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

to promote in the State of Illinois. That is the substantive issue here. It is not being addressed by the opponents. It is high time that we begin focusing attention on the real issue. I rise in support of this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall, Ar. Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the... would the Sponsor of this Amendment yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, excuse me."

Hastert: "Ar. Shaw..."

Speaker Greiman: "Wait. Excuse me. Mr. Shaw, do you yield for questions? Mr. Shaw."

Shaw: "No."

Speaker Greiman: "No. Proceed, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Shaw. the Committee that we discussed some of these issues in. was quite concerned about the issues that ... like issues of this in 'Berundy', Zaire and 'Berundy', three countries just north of South Africa. And he said that he would be interested in getting involved in that because they have very like issues. And of course, several years ago, in the late 1970's, there were actually tens of thousands of people murdered in "Murundy" by people from "Berundy". And, you know, certainly those types of issues there are... you know, exist there. There's investments in Amendments countries and he hasn't expanded his cover t o those countries, certainly, where both people that were black and white that were killed, were slaughtered because of policies in those nations. And until he addresses those issues too, I would ask that we would vote negatively on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Yeah, I move the previous question."

May 7, 1986

"Mr. Ronan has moved that the previous Speaker Greiman: question Those favor say "aye"... vote "aye", those put. in opposed vote 'no'. Those opposed vote 'aye', those opposed... those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is on the previous question. Mr. Clerk. the record. On this question there are 56 voting... 59... 60 voting 'aye', 52 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The Motion fails. Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I also must vote against the Amendment. But I don't think it's today to malign the Sponsor of the Bill. necessarv He finds reprehensible the practices οf apartheid as in South Africa. I think he should be commended practiced for his stance for that position, not ridiculed. Μv opposition, however, is because I think the intended result by the Sponsor would not come to pass should this Amendment be effectuated into law. The Sponsor has indicated that he is hopeful that those firms located within enterprise zones would, if this became law, divest themselves of their holdings in South Africa and, thereby, continue to take advantage of the incentives in the enterprise zones. My fear is that that is not what the outcome would be but. that companies who may be looking to locate in an rather. enterprise zone, which was created in blighted areas the state in communities desperately trying to throughout compete on a national scale for job opportunities for their unemployed and poor, would find that they had a obstacle built in by the Illinois Legislature in engaging in that competition and that the actual result would be the loss of jobs not, as the Sponsor intends, the divestiture by those companies. And for that reason, I must action oppose the Amendment, although I commend the Sponsor for bringing this matter which we should a11 find

May 7, 1986

reprehensible, the practice of apartheid in South Africa, before us. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brookins."

Brookins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the I would imagine that my colleague, Ar. Shaw. Assemblv. brought this action. this Amendment. because the constituents in his district is demanding that they pull their tax investment out of South Africa, investment There are 11 million blacks in the State of Illinois and, theoretically, their tax dollars is going to help support the enslavement of their brothers and sisters in Africa. In my district also I'm receiving those demands to pull our money out of South Africa, to pull our monies out of South Africa, to pull our tax investments out of South Africa. And, therefore, I must rise in support of Representative Shaw in this action. This will start and it will Cause businesses to look at their investments and This will then cause one of the bring them out. governments in the world to reform. So, with that, I support and ask for an "aye" vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Morgan. Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen T rise in opposition to this Amendment. And. in Houses it will be somewhat redundant to what certain wavs. Representative Homer said, because it appears that we're talking about jobs. We're talking about creating enterprise zone in which we're asking people to come to and invest in the State of Illinois, an enterprise zone for the most worthy of our people, the most worthy of the people of State of Illinois. And in some ways, the people that would be most benefited are those that the Sponsor of Amendment seek to represent. And, yet, at the same time, we're placing yet another restriction upon who may come

May 7, 1986

how they may invest. As a consequence, we're talking That's not the intent. I'm certain, of iobs. the Snansar of this Amendment. But that's the effect. The effect is that we're going to be denying jobs to those need them the most. He're aoina to bе denvina opportunities to those for whom opportunity has not come in a long time. So. I have to rise in opposition οf this because we are, in essence, opposing jobs for the people of Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Shaw, to close, there being no further discussion."

"Yes, it's been some very interesting discussion here Shaw: afternoon• Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Someone even said that this would... inferred that this would only... the enterprise zone would only apply to That's not true. It applies to the poor all state. Someone referred to... they agreed with the this Amendment, but it was the wrong way to go. I remember, 1940's and 50's, that blacks in this country were suffering many of the same things that blacks are suffering in South Africa. And the wrong way to go... We was to the back door. We couldn't get around to the front door and that was the argument at that time. And people here in this Assembly should remember those days and many of the Members of this House have a black constituency, a minority constituency, in their respective district. And if thev something for their constituency, they should want to do support this legislation. I'm surprised at the other aisle. They get up and make those great speeches about the great emancipator which happens to have in 1860. Republican back But today they have gotten on this floor and talk about, you should keep the South Africa in bondage. I don't know what's wrong with

May 7. 1986

those people. Here you got people that cannot be citizens their own country. And in 1986, you've got this Body which have an opportunity today to be a leader Nation. This state has an opportunity to be a leader to the rest of the Nation in supporting this Amendment. They will tell you, say, 'Hell, this is not the way. He shouldn't do It will work itself out on its own. But the nothing. problems of the south that blacks had in this country worked themselves out on their own. children... and the former police superintendent sicked the dogs on the children and womens of Alabama. ī t was then that it was brought to the conscience of the American And I don't see why they don't understand people. this But in South Africa, they have cut off the news! today. They don't show you what's happening there and many people are being killed daily. But yet we have companies in this state who are over there financing the government who are killing black folks in their own country, 22 million of them. There was a lot οf hlacks killed in this country, but it's been some 30 years ago and people have forgotten that. But those of us who suffered remember and those of you who have constituency remember. Because if you don't remember, they will remember you, because they are in support o f this legislation and you cannot go back to your district and talk about this was the wrong Bill, this was a wrong way to Those people sent you here to make a decision should make that decision. And you should make it in the best interest of the people of South Africa. Those of us who represent people and especially those of us who are in government, we talk about great free Nation that we live in and talk about majority rule. What about the people of South Africa? Are those

May 7, 1986

human beings? Nobody wants this Amendment attached to their Bill. I can understand that, but you should not want the government of a minority shooting black folks in South Africa and then go back to the blacks that you have voted against and tell them you want their support. That is unfortunate. And I want a Roll Call vote. And I urge the passage of this Amendment.

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, "Shall Amendment 2 be adopted?"

All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote "no". Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 28 voting "aye", 70 voting "no", 7 voting "present", and the Motion fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3. Hastert."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Ar. Hastert, on Floor Amendment #3."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is rather simple after the last one. What this does is it's an agreed Amendment. It's a cleanup Amendment. It deals with the used car tax cleanup. And in the question of the original Bill, if somebody buys a used car and takes a car in trade, they're only taxed between... You signaling to me, Sir? No. The only thing is that they can deduct the taxability of that. He're saying that they have to do that... both have to file so that we can at least establish what the cost of the trade in value of the car is. That's... It's a technical piece of... It's agreed on by the Department of Revenue and by both sides of the aisle. Ask for its passage."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Kendall has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1155. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

- ll4th Legislative Day May 7. 1986
- Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."
- Cullerton: "Representative Hastert, this involves the tax that we passed last year that I think we used to fund Build Illinois, one of the taxes we used to fund Build Illinois."
- Hastert: "It does affect the used car tax, yes."
- Cullerton: "Do you remember... do you know how much money we've collected on that used car tax?"
- Hastert: "I really don't know, Representative. What this does...

  Representative Mulcahey had this Bill, and this is a technical cleanup to his Bill."
- Cullerton: "Well, I think... I'm in favor of it. I think it's
  more than a technical cleanup. I mean, isn't it designed
  to make sure people don't trade cars in an attempt not to
  have this tax applied to them?"
- Hastert: "Well, it's intended, if people do trade cars, you trade cars with your neighbor, for example, that his car and this is the intent I'm talking about Representative Mulcahey's Bill that they are only taxed on the difference of the cost of the cars, not the total cost of the car. Okay?"
- Cullerton: "In that case it would be... have the effect of limiting..."
- Hastert: "So, what I'm saying, what this does is a technical
  Amendment of... to Representative Mulcahey's Bill saying
  that at least they both have to file so there's a
  determination on what the cost of the trade in car is.

  That's all my Amendment does."
- Cullerton: "Well, is Mulcahey's Bill on this Bill?"
- Hastert: "This is an Amendment to Mulcahey's Bill. It's a

  Committee Bill. You best ask Mulcahey when the Bill comes

  for debate."
- Cullerton: "Okay. Do you know how much money this will..."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Hastert: "I really don't... I don't know what the amount of dollars is. My Amendment doesn't affect the amount of dollars. All it does is ask for verification of a value of a car."

Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Leverenz: "Will this reduce the amount of tax collected?"

Hastert: "My Amendment will not reduce anything. All my
Amendment does is ask for a verification of what the value
of the trade in was. Representative Mulcahey would have to
ask that when he talks about his part of the Bill."

Leverenz: "So, you are, in effect, by this Amendment, treating used car sales where there is a trade in the same as at a dealership on a new car."

Hastert: "No. My Amendment merely defines what the value of the trade in is. Representative Mulcahey's Bill, as I understand it, allows people to deduct ability on a trade in."

Leverenz: "I see."

Hastert: "This is a definition Amendment."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Wr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I
rise in suppport of this Amendment of Representative
Hastert. It was a Committee Amendment. It was an
Amendment that was resolved on both sides of the aisle in
the Revenue Committee, and we support it."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment

May 7, 1986

is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 1227. Ms. Younge, do you
wish to proceed on that? Out of the record. On the Order
of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1236, Ms.
Younge? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1236, a 3ill for an Act to create the Enterprise High School Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment &1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady... Yes, are there any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Yes, I'm sorry. There's a fiscal note filed. The Bill will remain on the Order of Second Reading. I'm sorry. Representative Breslin in the

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 1245, Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. House Bill 1302, Representative Levin. Out of the record. House Bill 1321, Representative Keane - Churchill. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1321, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Shaw, on Amendment #2."

Shaw: "Yes, Madam Speaker, this is the similar Amendment that we

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

heard on the last Bill, and the only thing that I°m asking for is a Roll Call vote on the Amendment, and I ask that the Amendment be adopted. And this is removing tax credits from companies that are doing business in South Africa. If those companies choose to not do business with South Africa, they would not lose the tax credit. I ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has... this is an issue that has just recently been debated in lengthy fashion. We hopefully will not have to go to an extreme on that. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton, on Amendment #2."

Cullerton: "Yes, I don't believe the Amendment's been printed."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, has the Amendment been printed. It

has not been printed and distributed, Representative Shaw.

Representative Keane, what is your pleasure."

Keane: "I would like to move the Bill to Third."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Shaw. Representative Shaw, this Amendment has not been printed and distributed. The Sponsor would like to move his Bill to Third Reading. Would you consent to withdraw your Amendment?"

Shaw: "I'll withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1332, Representative Pangle. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1332, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Emergency Medical Services System Act.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment &1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

114th Legislative Day May 7, 1986

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1442, Representative

Braun - Huff. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1442, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state revenue sharing with local governmental entities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment &1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Has the Amendment been printed and distributed?

It has not been printed and distributed, Representative

Shaw. The Gentleman is not even in the chamber.

Representative Braun, what is your pleasure?"

Braun: "Move to table the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to table Amendment \$2. All those in favor say "aye", all those... She's not the Sponsor of the Amendment. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I would certainly oppose the Lady's Motion, if the Motion is properly made. I think the Members have a right to have their Amendments considered on this House floor. We opposed an attempt by Mr. Terzich to table an Amendment. We opposed that because we thought Mr. Klemm had the right to have his Amendment heard. While I might disagree with the substance of Mr. Shaw's Amendment, he is a Member of this chamber, elected by his district to come down here and represent them, and I think that it's atrocious that a Member would try to deprive him of his right to offer an Amendment."

114th Legislative Day

- May 7, 1986
- Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion on the issue?

  Representative Braun, on the Amendment."
- Braun: "To the wit from DeWitt, the Gentleman is not on the floor, Representative Vinson. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentle... Representative Vinson, you have already spoken on the Motion. That is not a proper response. I realize it is done, however, but it is not a proper response. Representative... Is there any other discussion on the Motion? Representative Vinson, state your point."
- Vinson: "As order. Madam point of Speaker, and to Representative Braun, this is the second day Second I don•t think that there's any problem in giving Mr. Shaw his day in court tomorrow with his Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Motion is to table Representative Shaw's All those in favor Amendment #2. say 'ave', all opposed say 'nay'. In the ... We'll have a Roll Call vote. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? majority of those voting in order to table. wish? voted who Have all voted who Representative Mulcahey wishes to be recorded as "ave". Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?

Cullerton: "I thought I'd explain my vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed, Sir. One minute."

- Cullerton: "For those people who are interested in press releases, they should really consider how they re voting on this Amendment. I think that some people should be very concerned about how they vote because it might be misconstrued. So, however you vote, have a good reason for it."
- Speaker Breslin: ™Representative Vinson, for what reason do you

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

- seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Should the Lady's Motion attempt to... appear to get the requisite number of votes, I request a Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Vote your own switches, Ladies and Gentlemen. There has been a request for a verification. Have all voted who wish? This requires a majority of those voting in order to pass. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 53 voting "aye", 54 voting "no" and 4 voting "present", and the Motion fails. The Bill remains on Second Reading. House Bill 1451, Representative Hicks. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1451, a Bill for an Act in relation to river conservacy districts and amending certain Acts therein named. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed or Floor
  Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Excuse me. There is a Floor

  Amendment. Proceed."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 31, offered by Representative
  Rea."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea. Out of the record. House
  Bill 1613. Is that out of the record, Sir? Out of the
  record. House Bill 1647, Representative Terzich. Clerk,
  read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1647, a Bill for an Act concerning the manufacture, sale and display of fireworks. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 1675, Representative Anthony Young. Anthony Young. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. House Bill 1676, Anthony Young. Out of the record. House Bill 1699, Wyvetter Younge. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1699, a Bill for an Act to create the
  Urban Civilian Youth Service to address the problem of
  unemployment among youths in urban areas. Second Reading
  of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

  Hyvetter Younge."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

  Amendment #1 would change the Department that is involved in this 3ill from the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to the Department of Conservation. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are you finished presenting the Amendment.

  Representative?"

Younge: "Yes."

- Speaker Breslin: "She is. The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1699. And on that question, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, has the Amendment been printed and distributed?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Has the Amendment been printed and distributed?

  It has not. Representative Younge, it has not been filed.

  Please note..."

Vinson: "It hasn't even been filed?"

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. It has not been printed and distributed. The Clerk also informs me, Representative Younge, that a fiscal note request has been filed, if the Amendment is put on. So, be prepared for that. House Bill 1865, Representative Dunn. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1865, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Hotions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

Dunn."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn."

"Floor Amendment 82 would drastically limit the scope of Dunn: the application of this legislation. The Amendment will provide, if adopted, that the legislation will apply only to ADC cases, and this is legislation which would enable those who are eligible to continue to receive a green card and Medicaid benefits while they are at work because probably can't get health insurance. Hith the adoption of this Amendment, the legislation would apply only to ADC cases and the fiscal impact of the legislation would be reduced from numbers which were large and varied all the board, but some people said six to seven million. The Amendment would reduce the impact fiscally of this legislation to 241,000 dollars statewide. And I would ask for adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1865."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1865. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the

May 7, 1986

Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted.

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "This Bill has a fiscal note requested,

Representative Dunn. So, the Bill has to remain on Second

Reading."

Dunn: "I understand that."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Just to draw your attention to that fact."

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, it's printed on the Calendar. House Bill 1945, Representative Churchill. Out of the record. House Bill 2015, Representative Peterson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2015, a Bill for an Act relating to the working cash fund in certain counties. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments &1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments?"

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2044, Representative

Kirkland. Representative Kirkland. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2044, a Bill for..."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 2060, Representative Churchill. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2060, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Low Level Radioactive Waste
Management Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2073, Representative

May 7, 1986

- Phelps. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2073, a Bill for an Act to amend the

  Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of
  the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Phelps."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Phelps."
- Phelps: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment 1 to House Bill 2073 was agreed between the Director of the Department and myself that the attention was given too much to a word saying 'division'; whereas, we have agreed to make it an office of co-development in the Department of Energy, Environment and Natural Resource. So, I would just move to accept the Amendment 1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2873. (sic 2073) And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No... No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2093, Representative
  Braun. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2093, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Social Workers' Registration Act. Second

  Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2279, Representative Steczo. Out of the record. House Bill 2409, Representative Greiman Stern. Greiman Stern. Out of

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

the record. House Bill 2459, Representative Wyvetter Younge. Out of the record. House Bill 2529, out of the record. House Bill 2537, Representative Braun. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2537, a Bill for an Act in relation to the continuing appropriation and authorizing payments to certain public aid recipients. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2549, Representative

Ronan - Kubik. Ronan - Kubik. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2549, a Bill for an Act to create the

China Development Corporation of Illinois. Second Reading

of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Turning to page eight on our Calendar appears House Bill 2552, Representative Curran.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2552, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Comptroller Act and the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2564, Representative

Shaw - Anthony Young. Out of the record. House Bill 2573,

Representative McNamara. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2573, a Bill for an Act concerning missing children. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment \$1 was adopted in Committee."

114th Legislative Day May 7: 1986

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you very much, Madam Chairman. Amendment @2
merely clarifies the language as far as what information is
required in order for the person filing the records. It is
to address one of the concerns brought up in Committee, and
it's just merely a clarification of that language."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2573. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2583, Representative Preston. Out of the record. House Bill 2585, out of the record. House Bill 2587, Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman. Out of the record. House Bill 2596, Representative Wolf. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2596, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Uniform Criminal Extradition Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment @1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Hotions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Speaker Breslin: "This Bill has a fiscal note requested, according to the Calendar. Ar. Clerk, has that been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Breslin: "The Bill has to remain on the Order of Second Reading, Mr. Wolf. Representative Holf."

Wolf: "Madam Chairman, would you have the Clerk re-check that?

It's my understanding that the fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wolf, we will have to call upstairs to check that."

Wolf: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "We will come right back to that as soon as we have the information from the Clerk's Office. Meanwhile, we will move to House Bill 2612, Representative McNamara.

Out of the record. House Bill 2620, Representative Countryman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2620, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Uniform Commercial Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2622, Representative

DeLeo. Representative DeLeo. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House 3ill 2622, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Wolf, on House
Bill 2596, the Clerk's Office informs me that no fiscal
note has been filed. So, the Bill will remain on the Order

May 7, 1986

Second Reading until you can clear that up. Continuing on on page eight, Ladies and Gentlemen, appears House 2630. Representative Greiman - Wolf. It's already moved to Third Reading. Very good. House Bill 2635, Representative Rea. Out of the record. House Bill 2656. Currie. Representative Currie. Out the record. οf House out of the record. Excuse me. Excuse me. House Are there any Motions filed?" 3ill 2666.

- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. 'Being the Principle Sponsor of House
  Bill 2666 and pursuant to House Rule 37(h), I recommit my
  Bill to Interim Study Calendar in the Committee in which it
  was heard. Representative LeFlore.'"
- Speaker Breslin: "This Bill, House Bill 2666, is recommitted Order o f automatically to the Interim Study. Representative Currie has returned to the chamber. With leave of the Body, we will go back to her Bill, House Bill Representative Vinson objects to going back to Representative Currie's Bill. Representative Currie, for what reason do you rise?"
- Currie: "A point of order, Madam Speaker. Is it permitted in the rules for him to object to going back to a Bill that was just skipped over on the Calendar?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He have gone back on courtesy, Representative Currie. If you would like to αo over and talk Representative Vinson. I'm sure he will be courteous. Meanwhile, we are going on to page nine on our Calendar -House Bill 2667, Representative Hicks. Representative Out of the record. House Bill 2670, Hicks. out House Bill 2680, Representative LeFlore. the record. House Bill 2693. Representative Churchill. Out the record. House Bill 2700. οf Representative of Richmond. Out the record. House Bill 2709. Representative Dunn. John Dunn. Out of the record. House

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Bill 2711, Representative Nash. Out of the record. House Bill 2715, Representative Brookins. Out of the record. House Bill 2717, Representative Shaw — Flowers. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2717, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading

of the Bill. Amendment 31 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Mo..."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Motions? Any Floor..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions and no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2720, Representative

Stern. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2720, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. House Bill 2734, Representative Representative Hartke. Out of the record. Hartke. Bi 11 2735. Representative Steczo. Out of the record. House Bill 2757, Representative Giorgi - Hallock. Giorgi -Hallock. Out οf the record. House Bill 2765. Representative Capparelli. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2765, a Bill for an Act to authorize sheriffs" fees for executing arrests and search warrants. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments? Representative Capparelli, would you mind taking the Bill out of the record for a moment? Okay, thank you. Out of the record. House Bill 2771, Representative Alexander. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2771, a Bill for an Act to amend

114th Legislative Dav

May 7, 1986

Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Any Floor Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Alexander."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "Thank you. To the Members of the House, Amendment #2 places the Bill back into its original alpha postular. This Amendment says we're changing the words 'educational operations' to 'operation', and in the last portion we're resubmitting, as it was in the original Bill, the lines, 'and he shall also submit to the General Superintendent evaluation of all other personnel assigned to the attendance center. I ask for the adoption of Amendment."

"The Lady has moved for the Speaker Breslin: adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2771. And on that question, the Gentleman from DeHitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

not

Vinson: "Do I understand that the Amendment would work so as negate the impact of the Amendment adopted in Committee?" Alexander: "In the Secondary Educational Committee, I informed Committee a body that I was gutting my original as Bill that came out 17 zip-zip because of negotiations between all persons involved in this litigation. After six hours of negotiation options were presented to each faction. They decided to exercise their options As the Bill left the Committee room, I their membership. was advised by one of the persons involved that they go with any of the three options. I had informed all

May 7, 1986

persons involved that if it could not accept fifties/fifties or forty-five/fifty-five splits in order to move this piece of legislation, I would return it to its original Bill form. As the Amendment to read now, \$2. it is the Bills original form."

- Vinson: "Representative, would you tell me who the parties to these negotiations were?"
- Alexander: "The parties to the negotiations, the Operating
  Engineers and the Principle Association of the City of
  Chicago, myself, staff members from the Chicago Board of
  Education and a member from the Speaker's Staff, Mr. Gary
  LaPaille."
- Vinson: "Was the Superintendent of the Chicago School District a
- Alexander: "I don't hear you, Ar. Vinson. I did not hear your first word, please."

Vinson: "What?"

Alexander: "I did not hear your first word."

- Vinson: "Was the Superintendent of the Chicago School District a party to these negotiations?"
- Alexander: "He was a party to these negotiations via his liaison person here in Springfield."
- Vinson: "Alright. Now, the Operating Engineers, are they for or against the posture the Bill would be in were this Amendment to be adopted?"
- Alexander: "There would have been no need for a six hour negotiations if all parties were agreed to the subject matter. That's number one. Number two, all parties were given three options to take back to their various associations. They agreed to do so. This Bill has been pending for some time, waiting for some response. The only response that I have had had been from the Operating Engineers who has reneged on all three options which would

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

have brought the Bill into a fifty-fifty split between the agreed parties or persons and now they want to say, 'The Bill can't go', and I believe I have a right to have this matter heard before you and the rest of this General Assembly."

Vinson: "You certainly do. But I still don't understand the answer to my question. Are the Operating Engineers against what this Bill would be if this Amendment Is adopted?"

Alexander: "You know they would be against it. Why would they now..."

Vinson: "They're not against it?"

Alexander: "They are against it."

Vinson: "Okay. The Principles' Association, where would they be on the Bill?"

Alexander: "What did you say, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Where would the Principles' Association be if this

Amendment were adopted? Are they for the Amendment?"

Alexander: "They are strongly in support of it, 100 percent."

Vinson: "What about the Board of Education?"

Alexander: "They are strongly behind it, 100 percent."

Vinson: "Now, if I understand what the Amendment does, the

Amendment will place the people who operate the boilers in

the schools under the direction of the principal of the

school. Is that right?"

Alexander: "The Bill would say that the principal, as in your home there is always a head and not a triad supervising person, would be in charge of the school. We do not look at engineers operating otherwise when problems arises in school. We hold the principals responsible."

Vinson: "Yeah, but am I right that the principal would then be in charge of the people who operate the boilers?"

Alexander: "They would be in charge of all personnel assigned to their respective schools."

114th Legislative Day

May 7. 1986

Vinson: "Okay, now, who currently supervises the people that run the boilers?"

Alexander: "A supervising engineer."

Vinson: "A supervising engineer?"

Alexander: "Yes, or the engineer on the premises."

Vinson: "Is the supervising engineer in the individual school, or is he at the board level?"

Alexander: "Sometime. There is an engineer, presumably, in every school who reports to his supervising engineer who reports to a district supervising engineer. There's a whole series or chain of command where work is not being done because of this series of commands."

Vinson: "Okay. Now, if I understand what you're saying here properly, there are two chains of authority. Education personnel reports to the principal, to the hierarchy at the Board of Education and maintenance workers and boiler people and so forth report through a separate parallel chain of authority. Is that the way the system works?"

Alexander: "No."

Vinson: "It's not?"

Alexander: "No."

Vinson: "Okay. Tell me how it works then."

Alexander: "The... Are you talking about the Amendment or the

Vinson: "The way it works right now."

Alexander: "The way it works now?"

Vinson: "Yeah."

Alexander: "The Bill or the Amendment?"

Vinson: "The way the system works right now. The way the Board of Education works right now."

Alexander: "I thought we were on the Amendment, now, whether or not this House would decide to pass it or not, and I will speak to how it works when this chamber listens to the Bill

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

in its entirety. Either vote it up or vote it down, attorney... Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "You won't to tell us now how things work?"

Alexander: "I won't tell you now? Are you saying that?"

Vinson: "Yeah, I'm just trying to figure out how things work."

Alexander: "I thought we were speaking to the Amendment, whether

the Amendment was worthy of being accepted by this House."

Vinson: "Yeah, and the Amendment, as you say, makes a substantial change."

Alexander: "It is the Bill. The Amendment is the Bill."

Vinson: "And it makes... it makes a change..."

Alexander: "And we are not discussing the Bill."

Vinson: "... in the way the system currently functions, is that right?"

Alexander: "Yes, that is the Bill."

Vinson: "And I'm just trying to figure out how the system currently functions so I can decide whether I'm for the Amendment or not. I'm not necessarily against your Amendment, but I want to understand it."

Alexander: "I know you're not against it."

Vinson: "What?"

Alexander: "I know you're not against it."

Vinson: "Hell, would you explain to me... Am I right in believing that currently there are two parallel chains of command?"

Alexander: "That is correct."

Vinson: "One for educational personnel and one for maintenance and operating personnel."

Alexander: "That is correct."

Vinson: "And under your Amendment, which would become the Bill, there would be a single chain of command."

Alexander: "That is correct."

Vinson: "Okay. Now the top engineer in the current system, does he report to Mr. Byrd?"

114th Legislative Day May 7: 1986

Alexander: "Mr. who? Byrd? Superintendent Byrd?"

Vinson: "Yes. Yes."

Alexander: "No, he goes through a series of commands upward."

Vinson: "No, I'm talking about the top engineer over the whole educational system."

Alexander: "The whole..."

Vinson: "Does he report to Mr. Byrd?"

Alexander: "I presume he does. I would say, yes, he probably does. I cannot say for sure to clarity."

Vinson: "And Ar. Byrd is for or against this change in the law."

Alexander: "He is for this change. It is a part of the educational package for the City of Chicago."

Vinson: "And why is the change desireable?"

Alexander: "Because of a lack of communication between the personnel in the various schools."

Vinson: "Now, currently in the School Code I think we specify the qualifications for principals. Is that not correct?"

Alexander: "That is correct."

Vinson: "Is there any training in boiler room operations for principals?"

Alexander: "I presume there is."

Vinson: "There is?"

Alexander: "I presume that there would have to be some training."

Vinson: "And that's required in our laws that specify..."

Alexander: "I don't know whether it's in the law or by trade."

Vinson: "I don't think it is. To the Bill or to the

Amendment..."

Alexander: "Thank you."

Vinson: "... Madam Speaker. I like simplified change of command, clear accountability, clear lines of authority in government. I think it makes a lot of sense, and I think often government is slow and wasteful because you have these overlapping chains. I think on occasion the benefits

Hay 7. 1986

we get from having separate chains of command and from authority divided is desireable. Obviously, in having structuring the Constitution of the United States. the Constitution of the State of Illinois, we chose to create a system of checks and balances because we didn't want efficiency as the sole goal for our government. Obviously. in many agencies, those that deal with the public health. instance, we have one person to run administration and another person in charge of medical affairs. for instance, in the case of a hospital. There is probably equipment more complex and more dangerous than a boiler that heats a school. We put tremendous amount of the kind of heating equipment that we use to heat buildings with. That equipment is dangerous equipment. That equipment can burn up a school. That equipment, in many cases, is the most severe threat to the health and safety of the pupils in the school room. For me personally, without seeing that there's going to be some expertise on the part of the principals who are supervising these engineers, to cast a vote to remove a system balances that ensures that this equipment will be operated safely, or is designed to ensure that, discussion about that and about how kind of clear we're going to protect the kids from that health hazard. that safety hazard, I think it's just going too far, and so, I personally, as one individual, intend to cast a vote against this Amendment at this time."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane, on the Amendment."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in opposition to this

Amendment. I don't think the principals... in fact, I

know, most principals are not qualified to evaluate school
engineers in the Chicago system. We have a licensing

May 7, 1986

procedure, and there are three licenses that engineers must obtain, they must pass competencies in; one, is in boiler room operation; one, is in swimming pools, where they have swimming pool... where they have swimming pools; and one. where they have cafeterias, they have to pass licensing the use of insecticide in food areas. absolutely no similar requirement in any teacher. or principal, educational administrator curriculum. are the... the principals are not qualified. I had an Amendment to this Bill, which is still in the Reference being drawn up, that would say, that no principal can, even if he is qualified, can supervise an engineer. unless, his... his reading levels, his mathematic levels and the educational levels of the students in his school are appropriate... at appropriate grade level. some very, very serious problems in all of our schools, but especially, in a number of schools in the Chicago where we're behind national norms, we're behind state I would urge that the principals look to increasing the educational competency of their students, before start getting into areas where they are not appropriately fitted to evaluate the school engineers, and for reason, I oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative
Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Hill the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She indicates she will."

Cowlishaw: "Representative, the prior speaker caused a couple of questions, which I would like to address to you. One of them has to do with whether this Amendment would provide for a principal of a school to have any jurisdiction over evaluation of a person, who in someone's term was 'he who oversees the boiler', or whether, in fact, that principal

May 7, 1986

would have any input into whether that individual were to receive, for example, a pay increase or a promotion?"

- Alexander: "In response to your inquiry, the principal bfunw nearly be able to say to the engineer, 'Would you sweep the floor, please? Would you pick up the litter that is around school grounds? Would you, please. do maintenance jobs?\* In which many instances, they refused to do. And then a teacher, of course, as the prior speaker spoke, does not necessarily in many instances do not have those certificates, which are three in to be a maintenance person. But I'm sure each and everyone of us in this House knows when a house is clean or a school And when teachers are rebuffed and principals clean. are rebuffed so far as that part of a maintenance iob. I the chain of command is long over due for changing. think The Chicago Public School, is the only school in this state where there is a bifactual chain of command. district in this state, the principal... the buck stops at the principal. Does that answer vour question. Representative Cowlishaw?"
- Cowlishaw: "Let me... Let me make sure I understand. What you mean to say is that, according to the provisions of this Amendment, the principal can give directions maintenance person in that building, and that person expected to follow those directions, but the principal does not have authority, at least not according t a the of this Amendment, over provisions who gets salary increases, or who gets promotion, or anything like that whatsoever."

Alexander: "The principal has nothing to do with that operation whatsoever."

Cowlishaw: "Okay, because..."

Alexander: "That is still under binding contracts and whatever

May 7, 1986

not with the Chicago Board of Education."

Cowlishaw: "Well, Representative, there was a question raised about that, and I thought it was well for all of us here to have that clearly understood."

Alexander: "He cannot fire anybody, cause anybody to be fired, change their salary pay, increase their salary pay, other than saying... have the jurisdiction to say, 'Mr. Engineer, would you see that this glass is cleaned up? Mr. Engineer, a fence is down over here on the school ground, a child might be injured. Would you, please, put that fence in its place?"

Cowlishaw: "Representative, just one last question, please."

Alexander: "Yes. Thank you."

Cowlishaw: "You have indicated to us, that in the negotiations that have been apparently not totally successful, there have been at least one of the participants in a condition at this moment of not being satisfied. Now, we all know, I think, that when we have some group of individuals who have negotiated and we have one of those participants who is dissatisfied, that we try to go forward and make further efforts to make sure that we find something agreeable to everyone, is that your intent?"

Alexander: "That intent was the original intent. When the original Bill came out, as I informed the Committee. honesty to all factions involved, I informed them that I would send a shell Bill to this floor to give them time for further negotiations, six hours were spent în the persons I just priorly addressed between was present in the meeting. It is then, after the shell Bill came out, the operating engineers came and said, "We renege. We don't want any parts of it. We're not going to go with it. And at that point, I informed them that, as I told the Committee, that I would return the Bi11 its

May 7. 1986

form and trust that original this Assembly would have compassion upon. that the Public School System in Chicago. put us in the same postular (sic - posture) as every other school in this state that the... the principal he the governing body in that school structure, not to override anybody, but have the authority to say, ·Please, garbage. Please, cut the tall weeds. Please, the mop a clean room. Please, attend to the emergency needs of Please. clean the filthy assembly maintain soap and wash towels in the washroom. Please, check the badly heated classroom, before the school That's all this 3ill is going to allow a principal opens. to do."

- Cowlishaw: "Alright. Representative Alexander, I think, I understand. You do not intend, that there shall be any further negotiations. You do not intend, that at some further point in the legislative process this Bill would once again be amended. That is not your intent, is that correct?"
- Alexander: "That is not my intent. The Bill will remain in the postular (sic posture) it's in now."
- Cowlishaw: "Alright. So, clearly it is your intent, that we are not passing a shell Bill over to the Senate and giving control in the Senate of something that may come out of any further negotiations, because you do not believe there will be any further negotiations. Very good. I think it's well that we have all that clear, and I thank you very much for answering my questions."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite, on the Amendment."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "She indicates she will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative, is it my understanding then, that

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

the engineer that we have referred to is responsible not only for the heating of the school building, but for general maintenance of the condition of the building?

Alexander: "That is correct."

Satterthwaite: "Does the engineer, also, keep the keys for entrance to and from the building?"

Alexander: "They should."

Satterthwaite: "Well, but... I mean, is... is the principal excluded, if the engineer choses to exclude the principal?"

- Alexander: "Yes, the engineer could exclude a principal from entering into the school grounds or into the building, they could. Although, most principals do have accessibilities via keys, but they could, in fact, keep a principal out of a school until they decide to let them in. They are the maintenance. They may say the building is unsafe for whatever reason they want to, or whatever they wanted to say, they could."
- Satterthwaite: "And you are indicating that when principals have requested that certain maintenance chores be completed within the school buildings, that the engineers refuse to do that or do it at their own schedule rather than at the principal's schedule."
- Alexander: "That is ... That is correct, or they may say, 'Well, I don't think that's necessary to be done right now. fact. Representative Satterthwaite, I took time out and rode around many of the schools in the Chicago area, in the last two weeks, reviewing just the outside perimeters, saw was rather shocking, and it really hurt my And, in fact, I went into several of the schools to see what the conditions were, and in one of the schools. there was a gaping hole in the tile ceiling. Now. there's many persons in this chamber knows how to put piece tile back up in a ceiling. One piece had been down for

May 7, 1986

five years in this particular school."

Satterthwaite: "Well, as we went throughout the state Reform Commission, what we heard time after time was that quality of education within the school building reflected the ability of the principal not only in regard to the instructional program that was occurring there, but terms of the general attitudes that prevailed, and that is was the principal that was the key to upgrading the quality of education within our schools. And with that being the case, it seems to me that. we undermine effectiveness of а principal, i f M6 do not give that principal control to see that maintenance iobs taken are care of and that the safety of the children is not assured, and for that reason, it seems to me that the Sponsor has made a valiant effort to try to get an agreed Amendment take care o f the problem. Lacking that kind of an agreement, then it seems to me we should continue with the that was very apparent to those of us who went philosophy. throughout the state. and give the authority to the principal. And for that reason, I will support the Sponsor's Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative

Hoffman, on the Amendment."

Hoffman: "Thank very much. Madam Speaker. Ladies and VOU Gentlemen of the House. The previous speaker has indicated that one of the things we know about successful educational endeavors is that the principal is the key and has to control of the instructional curricular, as well as, the fiscal... physical environment of the school building, is truly going to be a leader. Which also been pointed out, that nowhere in that State of Illinois, except in the City of Chicago, does this type of bifurcated relationship Now, put yourself in the position of a principal exist.

May 7, 1986

a responsibility of running a building and recognize that you have no control over the individual responsible for maintaining the building, in fact, in many cases, you as principal of the building, don't even to the building. Now, that is absolutely mind Ιt is absolutely contradictory anv responsible way of running any kind of an operation, when the leader of that operation can't even get in tο the building. In fact, I don't know of any place else in the United States where it is like this, and I think it's due that we address this issue, and we should support the Lady's Amendment to this Bill. i f we're really interested in improving the quality of education in the state, but in particular, in Chicago, this is one step to move in that direction."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

\*\*McAuliffe, one... to the Amendment, please."

McAuliffe: "Move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "There's no need for that, Representative

McAuliffa. Representative Alexander, to close."

Alexander: "Thank you very kindly. I hold in my hand, for those Members of the House who would care to see it, a report force on the Chicago Public School, prepared in September of '85, by then Chairperson, Senator Paul Simon. One of the items of his recommendation. was that the principal. he she. should have or supervisorv responsibility of all personnel in the school building. This idea or concept, which is long overdue, has the total support of community organizations in the Chicago area; the Chicago Principals, Association, the Chicago Urban League, the Citizens' School Committee, Chicago United. and the regional PTA persons. They, too, feel that this type of management control should belong to the principal.

May 7, 1986

than the bifactual type of management soup that is going on now. I would ask for your support for the passage of this Amendment. There is no fiscal year impact with it. There is no change in anything, and no additional monies will be needed to place this piece of legislation in place. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 2771 be adopted?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are... Representative Cowlishaw, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Cowlishaw: "To explain my vote, please."
- Speaker Breslin: "You spoke in debate, I believe. I'm sorry,
  under the rules your not allowed to explain a vote, if you
  spoke in debate. There... Representative Vinson, for what
  reason do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "To request a verification of the affirmative."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Curran, for what reason do you rise?"
- Curran: "I, inadvertently, pushed the 'yes' button. I'd like to be declared as 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Curran from 'aye' to
  'no'. Representative VanDuyne, for what reason do you
  rise?"
- Van Duyne: "I missed my button, Madam Speaker, and I wanted to vote 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Record Representative VanDuyne as 'aye'.

  Representative Younge. Wyvetter Younge, wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative McAuliffe, wishes to be voted 'no'. Representative Black, wishes to go from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Mulcahey, for what reason do

May 7, 1986

you rise?"

- Mulcahey: "Madam Speaker, this is a good Amendment, and I urge everybody to vote 'yes'."
- Speaker Breslin: "It's too late to explain your vote.

  Representative Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Well, I just did anyway, shortly."
- Speaker Breslin: "Good try. There has been a Verification of the
  Affirmative Roll Call. Proceed with the verification, Mr.
  Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Barger. Berrios. Bowman. Breslin. Brookins. Brunsvold. Churchill. Cullerton. Currie. Davis. DeLeo. Deuchler. Didrickson. Dunn. Flowers. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Giorgi. Greiman. Hoffman. Huff. Kirkland. Kubik. LeFlore. Levin. Martinez. Mautino. Laurino. McMaster. McPike. Mulcahey. Olson. Panayotovich. Pangle. ₩. Peterson. Piel."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Mr. Clerk. Representative Piel. acks t o he verified, Representative Vinson. leave Representative Piel, asks leave to be verified. Representative Piel, asks leave to be verified, and Representative Levin, and Representative Currie. Representative Zwick. and Representative Braun. Do you have all those names? You have all been granted leave to be verified. Proceed. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the Poll of the Affirmative. Preston.

  Regan. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Slater. Stange. Terzich.

  Tuerk. Turner. VanDuyne. Wait. Washington. White.

  Wojcik. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. Zwick. And Mr.

  Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Do you have any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call, Representative Vinson?"

Vinson: "Mr. Panayotovich."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich, is the Gentleman
in the chamber? Representative Panayotovich. He is not,
remove him from the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Mr. Preston."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Preston. Representative Preston. Representative Preston. He is not. remove him."

Vinson: "Ar. Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw. Representative Shaw, is in the chamber."

Vinson: "Mr. Davis."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis. Jack Davis, is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not, remove him."

Vinson: "Mr. DeLeo."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo. Representative DeLeo, is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not, remove him."

Vinson: "Mr. Laurino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino. Representative Laurino, is not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Mr. Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz, is in the chamber."

Vinson: "What?"

Speaker Breslin: "He's in the chamber, right by his desk."

Vinson: "Oh... I was just giving him an opportunity to change his

vote. Mr...."

Speaker Breslin: "He didn't vote anyway."

Vinson: "Oh, we'll give him a chance to realize that. Mr.

Berrios."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Berrios. Representative
Berrios, the Gentleman is in his chair."

Vinson: "Mr. Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi, is in his chair."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Vinson: "Mr. McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara, is voting 'no'. Do you have any further questions?"

Vinson: "I'm sure I will here. Ar...."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich and Representative

DeLeo, have returned to the chamber, add them to the Roll

Call. Representative Laurino, you are not recorded as

voting. Representative Laurino, wishes to vote "aye".

Record, Representative Laurino, as "aye"."

Vinson: "Mr. Slater."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Slater. Representative Kent Slater, is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call. Excuse me, Representative Slater, is not recorded as voting either. Oh, excuse me, Representative Slater, was taken off of the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Ar. McMaster."

Speaker Breslin: \*\*Representative AcMaster. Tom McMaster, is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call.

Representative Friedrich, for what reason do you rise?\*\*

Friedrich: "I'd like to change my vote from 'aye' to 'no',
please."

Speaker Breslin: "Change, Representative Friedrich, from 'aye' to

Vinson: "Mr. Tuerk."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tuerk. Representative Tuerk, is
the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll
Call. Representative Terzich, for what reason do you
rise?"

Terzich: "Change my vote from 'aye' to 'no"."

Speaker Breslin: "Change, Representative Terzich, from 'aye' to
'no'. Representative Laurino. Change, Representative
Laurino, from 'aye' to 'no'."

Vinson: "No further questions."

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli, wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Panayotovich, changes his vote from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Alexander, now requests a Verification of the Negative Roll Call. Proceed with the verification. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "Never... Request a Poll of the Absentees, I'm so

Speaker Breslin: "It might not help you."

Alexander: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed with the Verification of the Negative.

Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Black. Capparelli. Countryman. Curran. Daley. Daniels. DeJaegher. Ewing. Farlev. Flinn. Dwight Giglio. Goforth. Hallock. Hannig. Harris. Hartke. Hasara. Hastert. Hawkinson. Hensel. Homer. Klemm. Koehler." Johnson, Keane,

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Stern, wishes to change her vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kulas. Laurino. Mays. McAuliffe. McCracken. McGann. McNamara. O'Connell. Panayotovich. Parcells. Pedersen. Phelps. Pullen. Rea, Richmond, Ronan, Ropp. Rvder. Saltsman. Steczo. Stephens. Sutker. Tate. Terzich. Vinson. Weaver. Williamson. And Wolf."

Speaker Breslin: "Do you have any questions of the Negative Roll Call, Representative Alexander?"

Alexander: "Thank you. Representative McGann, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann. Andy McGann, the Gentleman is in the chamber."

Alexander: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino, was verified and is in the chamber."

114th Legislative Day May 7, 1986

Alexander: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ronan. Representative Al Ronan.

is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not, remove him."

Alexander: "Representative Panayotovich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich, is in the chamber.

Representative Ronan, has returned to the chamber, add him

to the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Representative Weaver."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weaver, is in the chamber."

Alexander: "Representative Jane Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes,

the Lady is not in the chamber, remove her from the Roll

Call•"

Alexander: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley, is in the chamber."

Alexander: "Representative Regan."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Regan, is the Gentleman in... He

is in the chamber."

Alexander: "He is in the chamber, thank you. Representative

McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara, is the Gentleman in

the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Representative Tim Johnson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tim Johnson, the Gentleman is

not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Thank you. He's sitting... I'm looking at him behind

ne. Representative Klemm, is he here?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dick Klemm, the Gentleman is not

in the chamber, remove him from the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Representative Sutker, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Sutker, is in the chamber."

Alexander: "Okay. Thank you." We asked for McNamara to be

cleared, haven't we? Who's the other one? Representative

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Kulas, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Myron Kulas. Myron Kulas, is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not, remove him from the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Representative Tom Ewing."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tom Ewing, the Gentleman is not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Representative Hastert."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Kulas, has returned to the chamber, add him to the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Representative Williamson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williamson. Representative Williamson, is the Lady in the chamber? Remove her from the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Representative Hastert."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert. Representative Dennis

Hastert, is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not,
remove him from the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Madam... Oh, Lord. Representative Mays."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mays. Jeff Mays, is in the

Alexander: "Representative Flinn. Monroe Flinn."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flinn, is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not, remove him from the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond. Representative Richmond, the Gentleman is not in the chamber, remove him from the Roll Call."

Alexander: "Would you, please, give us the Roll Call, please?

Speaker Breslin: "It is recorded on the vote. Representative

Ewing, has returned to the chamber, but you are not

recorded as voting, Sir. Do you wish to be recorded as

114th Legislative Day

- May 7, 1986
- voting? He are... Representative Ewing, wishes to vote
- Alexander: "Madam Speaker, could I ask for one... Representative

  Hawkinson, please, for verification."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hawkinson. Representative Carl Hawkinson, is the Gentleman in the chamber?"
- Alexander: "Okay, please call the roll."
- Speaker Breslin: "Remove him from the Roll Call. Representative

  Lolita Didrickson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Didrickson: "Hould you please change me... my "aye" vote to a "no" vote, please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson changes from 'aye' to
  'no'. Representative Churchill changes from 'aye' to 'no'.

  Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Record me 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Record Representative Leverenz as voting 'aye'.

  Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Would you change me from "aye" to "no", please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Changes from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative

  Krska wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'.

  Representative Barger changes from 'aye' to 'no'. Are all

  Members recorded as they wish? Representative Alexander,

  for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Alexander: "May I have a Roll Call, please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo changes his vote from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Berrios changes his vote from 'aye' to 'no'. On this question there are 45 voting 'aye'... Representative Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Ms. Speaker, record me as voting 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "You are recorded as voting 'aye', Sir. On this question there are 45 voting 'aye', 53 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present' and the Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Alexander, for what reason do you rise?"

Alexander: "Thank you. I would like to take the Bill out of the record because it's nearly a shell Bill at this point.

Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Bill remains on the Order Second οf Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, due to a problem in the Clerk's Office, we had asked Representative Capparelli to take his Bill out of the record. We... With leave Body, we will go back to that Bill. House Bill 2765. Clerk. read the Bill. Excuse me. Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"

Cullerton: "Yes, I object."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli agrees to take the Bill out of the record. Representative... Representative Leverenz, are you ready for an announcement?"

Leverenz: "Yes, Madam Chairman... or Speaker, sorry, the
Appropriations I Committee will meet at the hour of 9:00 a.

m., not 8:00 a. m."

Speaker Breslin: "Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1270, offered by Representative Flinn and Younge. 1271, Curran. 1272, Pullen - McAuliffe. 1273, McNamara. 1274, Virginia Frederick. 1275, Mautino. 1276, Greiman. 1278, Black. 1279, Black. 1280, Richmond. 1281, Richmond - et al. 1282, Bowman - et al. 1283, Hensel. 1284, Curran. 1286, Slater."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, all the Resolutions have been scrutinized. They are agreed. I move the adoption of the

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

Agreed Resolutions."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the Agreed Resolutions be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1277, offered by Representative Greiman, with respect to the memory of William Rand. And House Resolution 1285, offered by Representatives Regan and Piel, with respect to the memory of Michael E. Craig."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Resolutions are adopted.

  Representative Vinson, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I have been asked to announce that on next Tuesday evening, May 13th, the annual Gridiron Dinner will occur. I have been asked to point out that for Chicago Legislators tickets are available from Charles Wheeler, the <a href="Sun-Times">Sun-Times</a> bureau and that from downstate... for downstate Legislators, they are available from Mr. Bernie Schoenburg of the <a href="Pantagraph">Pantagraph</a> news bureau. And I have been asked to further point out that unlike last year when Mr. Cullerton gave the rebuttal, it will be a good rebuttal this year."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are you giving the rebuttal, Sir? Mr. Clerk, is there any further business?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further business."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich moves that this House stand adjourned until 12:00 tomorrow, Thursday. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the

114th Legislative Day

May 7, 1986

opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and this House stands adjourned until 12:00 tomorrow noon."

REQ STORE PRINT D
DOCUMENT NAME OPER GROUP COMMAND DATE COPY WIDTH DEPTH ERROR
T050786 61 0 pj 03/26/87 1 66 78

03/04/87 11:58

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

MAY 07. 1986

HB-0564	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
	SECOND READING	PAGE	38
	SECOND READING	PAGE	38
HB-0988	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
H3-1130	SECOND READING	PAGE	40
	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
	THIRD READING	PAGE	10
HB-1236	SECOND READING	PAGE	65
HB-1321	SECOND READING	PAGE	65
	SECOND READING	PAGE	
			66
HB-1442	SECOND READING	PAGE	67
HB-1446	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
HR-1451	SECOND READING	PAGE	69
	SECOND READING	PAGE	69
HB-1699	SECOND READING	PAGE	70
HB-1699	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	71
HR-1865	SECOND READING	PAGE	71
		PAGE	
	SECOND READING		72
HB-2060	SECOND READING	PAGE	72
HB-2073	SECOND READING	PAGE	73
	SECOND READING	PAGE	73
	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
HB-2313	SECOND READING	PAGE ·	13
HB-2486	THIRD READING	PAGE	9
	THIRD READING	PAGE	
			12
	THIRD READING	PAGE	10
HB-2537	SECOND READING	PAGE	74
HB-2549	SECOND READING	PAGE	74
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	74
H3-2573	SECOND READING	PAGE	74
H3-2582	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
HB-2582	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	19
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	75
	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
HB-2620	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
HB-2622	SECOND READING	PAGE	76
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	2
	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2717	SECOND READING	PAGE	78
HB-2720	SECOND READING	PAGE	78
	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2726	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2741	SECOND READING	PAGE	20
H3-2765	SECOND READING	PAGE	78
	SECOND READING	PAGE	
			78
HB-2771	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	101
HB-2775	SECOND READING	PAGE	20
HB-2778	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
	SECOND READING	PAGE	23
	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
HB-2839	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	25
HB-2876	SECOND READING	PAGE	25
	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
	SECOND READING	PAGE	25
HB-3019	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
HB-3040	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
	SECOND READING	PAGE	
			27
	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
HB-3062	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
	SECOND READING	PAGE	27
HB-3097		PAGE	28
HB-3161	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
HB-3175	SECOND READING	PAGE	28
HB-3178		PAGE	29
HB-3181	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
UD-2101	SECOND READING	FAUE	27

03/04/87 11:58

## STATE OF ILLINGIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

#### MAY 07, 1986

HB-3194	SECOND	READING	PAGE	30
HB-3217	SECOND	READING	PAGE	29
HB-3224	SECOND	READING	PAGE	31
HB-3230	SECOND	READING	PAGE	3
HB-3266	SECOND	READING	PAGE	32
HB-3267	SECOND	READING	PAGE	32
H3-3378	SECOND	READING	PAGE	3
HB-3428	SECOND	READING	PAGE	3
HB-3474	SECOND	READING	PAGE	3
HB-3479	SECOND	READING	PAGE	3
HB-3599	SECOND	READING	PAGE	3
HB-3632	SECOND	READING	PAGE	36
HR-1267	RESOLUT	TION OFFERED	PAGE	33

#### SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND MORRISON	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	101
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	102
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	103