29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 12:00 having arrived, the House will now be in Session. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Dean Beaty, Pastor of the Paith Baptist Church of Urbana. Reverend Beaty is a guest of Representative Timothy Johnson. Will the guests in the gallery please rise and join us in the invocation? Reverend Beaty."
- Reverend Beaty: "Shall we pray together? Heavenly Father, we're thankful that You have given men wisdom to be able to deal with the affairs and to help to govern the people of our state. And we pray, O God, that each one that are here and in the Senate might realize that God must govern in our own hearts before we can govern men. I pray, Lord, now that as these men and women gather together to work towards our good and the good of our state, that You would give them great guidance, wisdom beyond their own collective ability, wisdom from above. Now, Lord, I pray that You would bless them as they work today. In Jesus' name we pray. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Ropp, lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. Representative Ropp, Gentleman from McLean."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United
 States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,
 one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice
 for all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Johnson, would you come to the podium? Mr. Clerk, take the record. 116 Members having answered to the quorum call, a quorum is present. Committee Reports."
- Clerk C'Brien: "Representative Richmond, Chairman of the Committee on Agriculture, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 23, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass as

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

amended' House Bill 1025; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 1175; 'do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' House Bill 1163. Representative Steczo Chairman of the Committee on Cities and Villages, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 23, 1985, reported the same with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 1186 and 1395; 'do pass as amended' House Bill 1012: 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bills 1137, 1356, 1393 and 1394. Representative Preston, Chairman of the Committee on Consumer Protection, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 23, 1985, reported the with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 838, 1050 and 1051; 'do pass as amended' House Representative White, Chairman of the Committee on Human Services, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 23, 1985, reported the same back the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 537, 896, 897, 898 and 1399; 'do pass as amended' House Bills 888 and 894; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bills 1292 and 1335; 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' House Bills 944, 1388 and 1410; 'do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar • House Bills 816, 943 and 1302; 'Interim Study Calendar' House Bills 503, 945, 1242, 1473. 2048. 2386 and 2458. Representative Laurino, Chairman of the Committee on Insurance, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 23, 1985, reported the same 'do pass back with the following recommendations: amended! House Bill 800. Representative Levin, Chairman of the Committee on Public Utilities, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 23, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: pass' House Bill 470; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 282. Representative Ronan, Chairman of the Committee on

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Transportation and Motor Vehicles, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 23, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: * do pass' House Bills 740, 998, 1064, 1113, 1208, 1339 and 1340; 'do pass as amended' House Bill 940; 'do pass Consent Bills 986, 1103 and 1358; 'do pass as Calendar House amended Consent Calendar' House Bill 706: 'do pass Short Debate Calendar' House Bills 949 and 1314; 'do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' House Bill 1318; 'Interim Study Calendar' House Bill 122."

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel, are there any excused absences on the Republican side?"
- Piel: "Yes, would the record show that Representative Harris is excused today?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so show. Senate Bills First Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill #9, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Uniform Criminal Extradition Act. First Reading of the
 Bill. Senate Bill 281, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections
 of an Act concerning fees and salaries. First Reading of
 the Bill. House Sponsor on 281 was Hartke and Phelps.
 Sponsor on Senate Bill #9 was Representative Wolf."

"We're going to move to the Order of Second Reading Short Debate Calendar. On page two on that Order, appears House Bill 196. the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill 241. Mr. Ronan? Out of the record. Mr. Ronan, do you wish to proceed? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill 430. 430, Mr. Matijevich? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill 578. Mr. Curran? Out of the record.

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill 604. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill 626. Alright. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 626, a Bill for an Act to repeal
Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment $^{\circ}$ #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill
714. Ms. Wojcik, 714. Do you wish to proceed with that
Bill? Yes? Ms. Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, I have an Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "No. no. Do you wish to proceed?"

Wojcik: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Yes, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 714, a Bill for an Act to add Sections
to the Township Law of 1874. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Wojcik, amends House Bill.."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Wojcik. Excuse me. Yes...
Excuse me, Ms. Wojcik. For what purpose does the Gentleman

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

from Madison, Mr. McPike, seek recognition?"

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, would you ask the Sponsor to take this out of the record for a few minutes and discuss it with us?"

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Yes, Mr. Wojcik, that would be appropriate. Thank you. Out of the record. We'll get back to you, Ma'am. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill 778. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate, appears House Bill 929, Mr. Klemm. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 929, a Bill for an Act to add Sections
to the Conservation District Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk C'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate, appears House Bill 1013. Mr. Preston? Mr. Preston? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate, appears House Bill 1160. 1160. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1160, a Bill for an Act to add

Sections to the State Comptroller Act. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, page three of the Calendar, appears House Bill 1. Out of the record. Out... Mr. Cullerton? House Bill 1. Alright, Mr. Cullerton, we'll take that out of the record and come back to it. We'll give you leave... leave to return to that Bill. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 52, Mr. Preston. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

House Bill 60. Mr. Curran? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 68. Mr. Rea? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Yes, Mr. Rea, there has been a request for a fiscal note on House Bill 68. There had been a request... a mandate fiscal note had been filed, but the fiscal note has not been filed. Turn Mr. Rea on, would you? Yes, Mr. Rea."

Rea: "Mr. Speaker, I do have a fiscal note to file as the Bill as ...
amended."

Speaker Greiman: "You have a fiscal note to file at this time?"
...
Rea: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 68..."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Yes, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr.
McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McCracken: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. We don't object to considering the Bill on Second Reading, but we would like to have the note filed prior to that time and..."

Speaker Greiman: "The note as amended would have to be filed prior to the Bill moving to Second Reading, and I will give you appropriate time to examine it."

McCracken: "So, let's pass it. It isn't ready for Second Reading."

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon?"

McCracken: "So, therefore, it's not ready for Second Reading, that's all."

Speaker Greiman: "The Bill is ready for Second Reading. He doesn't have to put the amended fiscal note in until the Amendment is... has been adopted. When the Amendment is adopted, there will be... then he is required to provide you with an amended fiscal... with a fiscal note as amended."

McCracken: "There's a fiscal note requested."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "That's right."

McCracken: "That's not filed."

Speaker Greiman: "That's right. The... It is filed now, but that's the point."

McCracken: "It is filed."

Speaker Greiman: "We can consider this. What we cannot do is move it to the Order of Third Reading. That's what we cannot do, Sir. Yes, Mr. Piel, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Piel: "The Gentleman, Mr. Speaker, has filed a fiscal note as amended. At this point no Amendments have been tacked onto the Bill. How can a fiscal note be... tagged on... Excuse me, Mr. Speaker, excuse me."

Speaker Greiman: "Again... Yes, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "How can a fiscal note as amended be filed when he doesn't know if he's going to have... At the present time, he's got four Amendments filed. He doesn't know if there's going to be 24 Amendments filed. I think it's simply ludicrous."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel, let me say this. His fiscal note, as this moment, that he has filed as amended, as I understand it, that would not be an appropriate fiscal note to the Bill itself, as you have requested. However, under our rules, we are allowed to consider the Bill on the Order of Second Reading. The prohibition is moving it to Third Reading without a fiscal note. After we adopt the Amendments, your fiscal note request will be valid, will be recognized, and he must then file a fiscal note as amended before the Bill will be moved to the Order of Third Reading. Now, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 68, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Purchasing Act. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative

Rea, amends House Bill 68 on page seven by deleting lines

nine and tenas"

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea."

Rea: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I wish to withdraw
Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "... is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
...
Rea."

Speaker Greiman: "Leave to withdraw."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, offered by Representative Rea."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Rea?"

Rea: "I wish to withdraw..."

Speaker Greiman: "Leave to withdraw."

Clerk C'Brien: "Amendment #4, offered by Representative Rea,
amends House Bill 68 on page seven by deleting lines nine
and ten..."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #4

is what had ... agreed on in Committee which changes the

Bill so the lowest in-state bidder will be given the award

if that firm is willing to lower its bid to lowest

out-of-state bid level. And I would move for the
adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Franklin has moved for the adoption of Amendment 4 to House Bill 68. On that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

McCracken: "Representative Rea, are you saying then with this

Amendment that even though he's within five percent, the

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

resident bidder will not be awarded the contract if he will not at least match that lower bid. Is that correct?"

Rea: "If he will match the lowest bid, then he would have the ... contract."

McCracken: "And only if he'll match the lowest bid. If and only if he'll match the lowest bid, correct?"

Rea: "That's correct, yes."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Piel."

Piel: "No question."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to House Bill 68 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third... Mr. Rea, there is a request for a fiscal note as amended. Mr. Clerk, has that been filed? I am advised by the Clerk that a fiscal note as amended has been... to cover Amendment 4 has been filed. Accordingly, Third Reading. Yes, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "A fiscal note as amendment... as amended has been requested."

Speaker Greiman: "Has been filed, also."

McCracken: "As amended with the Amendment #4? It's already been filed?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, that's correct."

McCracken: "Where is it?"

Speaker Greiman: "We keep things at the Clerk's Office... Clerk's well."

McCracken: "With Amendment #4. It was just requested and it's already been filed as amended?"

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Very common practice in this House."

McCracken: "Pepresentative Rea is extremely efficient."

Speaker Greiman: "Good. Third Reading. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel, seek recognition?"

Piel: "You know what the purpose is, Nr. Speaker. I'm standing here with my light going for the last five minutes. And the question is.... alright. The fiscal note that he has filed deals with Amendment #3."

Speaker Greiman: "4."

Piel: "It's a fiscal note.."

Speaker Greiman: "4."

Piel: "No, #3. If you'll check the fiscal note by Central Management Services."

Speaker Greiman: "The Clerk advises me that ..."

Piel: "Alright, my question will..."

Speaker Greiman: "May I suggest, Mr. Piel, that you walk down and you take a look at the Amendment... at the fiscal note.

That would be a suggestion I might make to you. Third Reading. Mr.... Ms. Currie. Representative Currie in the Chair."

Speaker Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

We're here today with the Caravan, the Mt. Carmel High
School Caravan, the group that won the Class AA Boys'
Basketball Championship in the State of Illinois. Alright.

I'm proud and delighted, because the Mt. Carmel High School
is in the 26th Representative District. Though I'm not an
alumna of the school, it is, afterall, an all boys' school,
nevertheless, the school building is in the good old 26th.

I'm sure many of the boys live in other districts across
the city and I'm sure the whole House of Representatives is
happy to welcome them today. The Clerk is going to read
House Resolution #249. #249."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 249, offered by Representative

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Currie and Madigan. Whereas. the Illinois House of Representatives, in a continuing effort to recognize the excellence of young athletes, wishes to congratulate the Mount Carmel High School basketball team, otherwise known as the Caravan, for capturing the Class AA State Basketball Championship for 1985 and ending the 1984-85 season with a finish that will long be remembered and cherished Caravan fans; and whereas, the Caravan achieved new highs in impressive dimensions as Coach Ed McQuillan quided the Caravan to win the State Championship and become the first parochial school in the state and first Catholic league school to win the Class AA Illinois High School Basketball Tournament: and whereas, their final victory confirmed the season long expectations that the Caravan is a championship caliber. as thev captured the State Championship with an impressive display ofability. precision and excellence; and whereas, the Caravan ended the season with a 28-4 record, only one indication of success attained by the team as a whole. Therefore, be it resolved, by the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois. congratulate the Mount Caramel High School boys' basketball team for capturing the 1985 Class AA State Championship; that we commend the coaches and especially the players for their dedication and ambition: that we extend a sincere for continued success for the Caravan and for the individual members of the team that they enjoy success their futures. And be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Coach Ed McQuillan and to each and every member of the Caravan as formal indication of the deep pride felt by the Members of this House."

Speaker Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. I now would like to

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

- present to the Assembly the Coach of the Caravan, Coach Ed McQuillan. Here is his Resolution."
- Coach McQuillan: "Thank you very much. I'd like to take this opportunity in this particular time to talk about taxes.

 Certainly, I'm only kidding. We at Mount Carmel High School are very happy to have won the State Championship and to have become the first private school that has ever won the State Championship on the AA level. And we would like to thank the House of Representatives for their fine Resolution that they have presented us today. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "On page three of the Calendar, on House Bills

 Second Reading, appears House Bill 94. Mr. White, do you
 wish to proceed on 94? Mr. Clerk. Out of the record. On
 the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill

 150. Mr. Flinn? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 150, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
 the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Is there any Floor Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
 Flinn, amends House Bill 150..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Plinn, on Amendment #1."
- Plinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 is the one that was drawn up with agreement with the Secretary of State's Office in order to modify the Bill so that he could accept it. The Bill itself restricts the sale of a drivers license information from a 15 through 17 year old, and this gives the Secretary more discretion. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from St. Clair moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 150. And on that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

29th Legislative Day April 24, 1985

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

McCracken: "Under what circumstances will the Secretary be able to release the information, Representative Flinn?"

Plinn: "Well, the Amendment pretty well leaves it up to his discretion. The thing that the Amendment also does is it forbids those people from passing the information on to a third party who might be unscrupulous and send all kind of undesirable mail to the young teenagers."

McCracken: "Okay. And it leaves up to the Secretary to determine

whether he is adequately assured that it will not be
re-released?"

Plinn: "No, he forbids them to release it to someone without his permission."

McCracken: "Without the Secretary's permission?"

Plinn: "Yes."

McCracken: "And... But he can do that if, in his discretion, he's assured it will remain confidential, the Secretary."

Plinn: "That's right. That's right."

McCracken: "Okay. And if it doesn't specify by age, then the list can be released generally. Is that correct?"

Flinn: "That's right. Unless there's some way to identify the age, it would be released generally."

McCracken: "Okay. And the Secretary is in agreement with this

Amendment?"

Flinn: "It's their Amendment."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 150?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk C'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Flinn, amends House Bill 150..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, on Amendment #2."

"Amendment #2 is also a Secretary of State's Amendment and Plinn: what this does is remove whatever ambiguity there may be in the law which might require farm vehicles crossing the road from not having to have seatbelts on them. There is no seatbelts on them now. I talked to Representative Cullerton and he and I believe that the language superfluous so far as the statutes are concerned. But in order to get the Bill in the shape that the Secretary State would not oppose it, I agreed to put this Amendment on also."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair has moved for the adoption of Amendment \$2 to House Bill 150. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk C'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "I am advised that a fiscal note as amended has been filed. So the Bill will be moved to the Order of Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 159. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 160. of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 175. Mr. Bullock, do you wish to proceed with that? Out of the record. ΩD the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 197. Mr. Out of the record. Oh, Mr. Preston. Mr. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill, House Bill Preston. Alright. 197."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 197, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act to revise the law in relations to names.

Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, Mr. Preston seems to desire to have that taken out of the record. So, that will be out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, page four of the Calendar, appears House Bill 202, Mr. Curran. Cut of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 245, Mr. Ewing. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 245, a Bill for an Act relating to the allocation of fines in drug related cases. Second Beading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to
...
Amendments #1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 298, Mr. Cullerton. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 298, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Liquor Control Act. Second Reading of the

Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Clerk C'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading appears House Bill 300. Mr. Bowman? Out of
the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

appears House Bill 308. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 308, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #2....! and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Just... moving back just a couple of Bills. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 197. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill, 197."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 197, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to names.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in

Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to Amendment #2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

McCracken, amends..."

McCracken: "Leave to table Amendment #3."

Speaker Greiman: "Leave is grant... Leave to withdraw, Mr.
McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
McCracken."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, on Amendment #4."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

McCracken: Speaker, Ladies "Thank you, Mr. and Gentlemen. Amendment #4 would provide an exception to the Bill itself. The Bill, in current form, allows the name of a minor to be changed when it is in the best interest of that child. was originally sponsored by the State Bar Association and sets forth criteria or standards which the court consider in determining the best interests of the child. In Committee there was some concern expressed that this was unnecessarily harsh or unnecessarily prejudicial to the natural father's rights and to the natural father's Amendment #4 to House Bill 197 seeks to provide an exception that where the father's rights have not been terminated or that he has consented or has predeceased that the name change shall not be granted. I know the Sponsor opposed to the Amendment. I would ask you to consider, however, the rights of the natural father in deciding issue. Where there has been an adoption, where the father has been found unfit and his parental rights terminated. where he has consented, where he is predeceased, then the court should consider the best interests of the child. Rut where you have a father who could be in conformity with court orders, who could be exercising his visitation rights, then you have the possibility, I think. οf harsh and inequitable situation. I m asking rights of the natural father be honored. except in the stated circumstances in Amendment #4. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, has moved for the adoption of Amendment 4 to House Bill 197. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House. The Sponsor of this Amendment, although well
intentioned, bright, diligent and certainly an able

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

is, in this one case, in Representative, error sponsoring this Amendment. What, in fact, it does is guts not only the Bill, but the ability of the court to make determination what is and is not in the best interest of the child, taking into consideration all relevant elements, including the fact that the parent, the noncustodial parent, has made proper support payments for the child, has shown himself or herself to be an attentive parent. Those are all circumstances that the court, with the Bill without this Amendment, is directed to take into consideration, the interests of the child, the wishes of the child, the interests of the siblings of the child, other are part of the child's new home step siblings that under current law, of environment. Right now, none there are no directions in the legislation to the court on what to consider in determining what is or is not in the best interest of the child. House Bill 197 gives the court guidelines, specific guidelines, and directs the court to take into consideration the wishes of the child's which is what this Amendment addresses, but this Amendment makes it a requirement that the wishes of parent is overwhelmingly to be taken into consideration by the court. The Bill, without this Amendment, Association Bill. It was part ο£ the Chicago Bar recommendations by the Supreme Court, and I would ask that this Amendment be rejected by the House so we can consider the Bill in its original form."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed. Gentleman indicates he'll yield."

Madigan: "Mr. McCracken..."

McCracken: "Yes, Sir."

Madigan: "Is there an age limitation for the application of your

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Amendment?"

McCracken: "No, Sir. No, Sir."

Madigan: "Let me ask a hypothetical question. If the child were
19 years of age, would this Amendment apply to a 19 year
old child who has appeared before a court seeking a change
of name?"

McCracken: "I think it depends on the definition of minor. The

Act applies to name change of minor. I assume, under

current law, that's 18. It may be 21. I don't know. But

the Name Change Act applies to minors."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wish to speak to the Amendment, especially if the Amendment were to apply to anyone over the age of 17. So. judgement, if a child was 18 years of age or older and if they have in their mind good cause to seek a change of name without regard to the attitude of the natural parent, then I don't think that the Legislature should be interfering in the rights of that child to seek that change of name. can cite one personal experience where a child did not speak to her natural father from approximately age 14 through age 19, and the child was raised by another adult. And at the time that child became 18, she decided to take the name of the second adult. And had she been required to consult with her natural father, he would have... he would have availed himself of whatever legal rights he might have to prevent her from pursuing ahead with that change of name. And because of that personal experience, I'd suggest that when a child gets to the age of 18, they ought to be able to do what they want to do relative to their last name and their first name, too. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Mr.
McCracken, to close."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

McCracken: "I would certainly agree that 18 is an appropriate age at which to cut off the application of this Amendment. However, this Amendment has nothing to do with the application of the Name Change Act. All the Name Change Act says is that in the case of a minor, the best interests of that child shall control. Nowhere in the Act is the age cutoff defined. I assume it's 18 because of voting age, but I must confess I don't have an answer to the Speaker's But I don't think it's improper for us to inquiry. consider the importance to a natural father of the name Ι£ change issue. a person had not kept up his responsibilities, if he had not visited with the child, had not kept up a relationship with the child, some of those grounds would be grounds for termination of parental and may be appropriate. I understand the concerns expressed. For purposes of legislative intent, I would agree that 18 should be the cutoff age, and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to House Bill
197 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying
'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the
Chair, the 'nos' have it. The Amend... and the Amendment
fails. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On page four of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 319. Ms. Braun? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 319, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to clerks
of courts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, has the requested fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A State Mandates Act fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Greiman: "But there's a request for a fiscal note, as well. Ms. Braun, there is a request for a fiscal note on this Bill. Yes... And it will have to stay on the Order of Second Reading. Ms. Braun?"

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There seems to be some problem.

The State Mandates Act fiscal note, which I have, indicates that there's no cost associated with this. I can't, at this point, determine where to get another reiteration of the statement on this piece of paper on another piece of paper pertaining to this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Ms. Braun, the State Mandate Act fiscal note indicates that it's not a mandate. It doesn't reflect whether there's a cost, in fact. And because it is a cost, it might be a cost to local government and a fiscal note has been requested, you'd have to go to DCCA probably or some agency. Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This did come from DCCA. I have called DCCA. They cannot find anyone to do anymore on this than has been done. Now..."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Ms. Braun, the Chair, of course, would not be in a position to reflect on its efficiency, but it would be appropriate... it would entertain a Motion, if you wished, that it is sufficient. That's something within the realm of the Body. We do it quite often."

Braun: "If there's no... I so move."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves that the Mandate fiscal note, which has been filed heretofore, be deemed as sufficient to comply with the Fiscal Note Act and the request for a fiscal note. Mr. McCracken, the Gentleman from Dupage, on that Motion."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

- McCracken: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. That has to be in writing, I believe."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes. At the request of any Member, Motions do have to be in writing under our rules. So, we'll come back to this Bill after you have had an opportunity to put your Motion in writing. We will return to the Bill before the day is out, Ms. Braun. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 356. Mr. Brunsvold, do you wish to proceed with that? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 356, a Bill for an Act to create the

 Aledo Community Center Authority. Second Reading of the
 Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment"
 #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed.'

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Ropp, amends House Bill..."

- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp. Mr. Ropp, we are on Amendment #2 to House Bill 356."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This includes the City of Normal as one of the civic center authorities, and I welcome for your support."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from McLean has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 356. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is... I'm sorry. Mr. Piel, Gentleman from Cook."
- Piel: "I'm sorry. With the noise level here, Mr. Speaker, it's

 very hard to hear the Gentleman's explanation. And if he

 could give a brief explanation again, I'd appreciate it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman will yield for a question. Mr. Piel,
 ...
 proceed, Sir."

Piel: "It's not a question..."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Ropp: "Sure, I'm delighted. It just includes as one of the civil authorities the town of Normal in the County of McLean."

Piel: "This is establishing one or two more authorities?"

Ropp: "Normal is only one more."

Piel: "At what type of a cost? I mean, eligibility cost I'm talking about. Bligibility figures?"

Bopp: "I'm not sure exactly how much the cost... We, additionally, don't think it's... than a study of 15 or 20,000 dollars. And that will be from... the town is going to cover those costs."

Piel: "Under this Amendment, what type of eligibility would it allow the Normal authority?"

Ropp: "To consider developing a center for exhibitions."

Piel: "I'm talking about dollar amount - capital assistance and
.
what dollar amount?"

Ropp: "I don't have the exact dollar amount."

Piel: "Three million dollars."

Ropp: "Less than three million."

Piel: "No, it's three million dollars. It's written... there."

Ropp: "Less than three million."

Piel: "My question would be - you've got the Bloomington authority already. In other words, you're establishing another... everything else is Bloominton-Normal. Are you establishing a second authority now? Because the Bloomington authority is basically dormant..."

Ropp: "You're absolutely correct. The City of Bloomington does not choose to become involved at this point; whereas, the City of Normal does choose to. Under the current statute, they could not be involved because it says the Bloomington civic authority. This includes the Normal civic authority."

Piel: "No further questions. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the

29th Legislative Day

- April 24, 1985
- question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 356 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative

 Homer, amends House Bill 356 on page one..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer, on Amendment . #3."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen.

 Amendment #3 would add the Mason County Community Center to
 the Bill. The county ranks just under the assessed
 valuation limit in order to adopt a county board resolution
 but does have a desire to participate along with the other
 communities. And I would ask your favorable acceptance of
 the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 356. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Klemm."
- Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just have a parliamentary quest... inquiry, and I... it appears that Amendment #3 may be out of order because of the passage of Amendment #2.

 And I would like to make that inquiry."
- Speaker Greiman: "We'll check it. Alright, the House will stand at ease for a moment or so. Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll just stand at ease for a couple of minutes while some technical defects are being repaired in the wiring system.

 We're okay. We're back in shape. Okay, alright. We are back on the record then. Mr. Brunsvold, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Brunsvold: "Mr. Speaker, would you please take this Bill out of ...
 the record till we get these problems ironed out?"
- Speaker Greiman: "We will take the Bill out of the record. Mr.

Terzich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

This display will be

It's

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Legislator deeply interested in the ethnic heritage of our great country, I thought you would like to know about the Move to Freedom Exhibit which is on the Monroe Street side of the Capitol. The exhibit is a traveling display house in a 48 foot highway Wedge Van designed to recreate the images and impressions arriving immigrants shared of Ellis Island and the Statue of Liberty. The creative display of history and of patriotism includes a multi-media production and historical photograph and film footage of the 1976 bicennial celebration and a three and a half

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. We will return, as we originally provided, to House Bill 319 for the purposes of a Motion.

Ms. Braun. It's on House Bill 319."

would be a great exhibit for our Members to see.

our great Statue of Liberty. Thank you."

open from 9:45 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. today, and I think that it

great tribute to the ethnic heritage of the United States and it could also use your support for the restoration of

Braun: "Yes. Sir. I have filed a Motion."

minute audio-visual presentation.

Speaker Greiman: "The Motion that has been filed is whether or not, pursuant to rules and statute, the mandate... State Mandate Act fiscal note is sufficient to satisfy the fiscal note requirements which have been requested. The Lady has made that Motion. On that Motion, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Initially, point of order. I don't believe the Motion is in order. Rule 34 does not anywhere provide for any exceptions or any substitution of one note for another.

All of the language is mandatory. None of the language is directory. Even if the Motion is in writing, it's out of

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

order. It's not proper under Rule 34. There is no opportunity for the Body to vote on the issue of whether one note will suffice for the other. Point of order."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Your point of order is not well taken by reason of Section 42.33 of the Fiscal Note Act which provides that the House can decide whether a fiscal note is necessary, which is precisely what her Motion does, Sir. Is there further discussion on the Motion? Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "To the Motion then."
...
Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Sir."

McCracken: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what filed is a State Mandates Fiscal Act note. All that note says is that the State Mandates Act... there will impact upon local governments as a result of an alleged mandate. It does not talk about the cost to the state. which is what a fiscal note directs. They are two distinct issues; one dealing with the impact upon local units of government - that note has been filed. The other deals with the possible fiscal impact upon the state, which is the fiscal note. That note has not yet been filed. this Bill will place another duty upon the circuit clerk. The State Mandates Piscal Act note, which has been filed, the product of DCCA. DCCA is not the proper agency to pass upon a fiscal note regarding the court system of State of Illinois. She has not gone to the proper body to get the second note. The second note deals with entirely distinct issue - local government versus the fiscal note/state government. The Circuit Court clerks, at least in part, or the Circuit Courts of Illinois, at least in part, are funded by state money. So a very important issue has not been addressed. One, is there a fiscal if there is, what is it? It has not been impact: and,

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

addressed. That note does not address it. And I ask you to defeat the Motion to substitute one for the other."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun, to close."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like the Members to take a look at this issue, because it could happen to any of us on any of our Bills. Here is a Bill that does not require the state to do anything. It is a census that would come from the courts. I filed... went to DCCA to ask for a fiscal note Mandates Act note. They came back with a single piece of paper that says, State Mandates Act. fiscal Determination and fiscal note summary is the first line of the paragraph, and it says, 'In the opinion of this Department, it is not a mandate. Given all of that, it is almost a logical conundrum if it's not a mandate and it's not going to cost money on ... it doesn't apply to state, then how can there be another piece of paper? That is all this argument is about. Every Member here, i f you're called upon to have... if someone files a request and you're in a situation in which there is no requirement of anybody, then what you're looking for is duplicative pieces of paper. I've got this piece of paper. further to try to find where to get a second piece of No one has been able to answer that question one knows where... if another piece of paper could be generated, where it could come from. I submit to the that this State Mandates Act fiscal note satisfies the requirements of the statute, satisfied the curiosity... should satisfy the curiosity of the Members of this It is not a matter pertaining to any cost, and I encourage support of the Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Ouestion is, 'Shall the Motion be adopted?'

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed... those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, we'll take a Roll Call. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 63 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'no', and the Motion is adopted.... Clerk, it's been read a second time. Is that correct?"

Clerk C'Brien: "The Bill has been read a second time."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 360. Mr. Homer? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 367. Mr. Levin? 367. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 367, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act providing for liens for non-profit

hospitals and hospital maintained and operated entirely by

county. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #! was

adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed?"

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Johnson, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, on
Amendment #2. Yes, Mr. Levin? Mr. Levin takes the Bill
out of the record. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Panayotovich.
For what purpose do you seek recognition, Mr.
Panayotovich?"

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to take this opportunity for a purpose of an introduction to a former colleague of ours here in the House of Representatives,

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

former Representative Augie 'Rufe', who is here and served 1959 to 1961, who is here with the Slag Valley senior citizens. Welcome to Augie and his group. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. On the Order of House Bills... On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 370, Ms. Barnes. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 381. Mr. McCracken? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

McCracken: "No, wait, wait. I will defer to Representative
Cullerton. Are we ready to go?"

Speaker Greiman: "No. Alright. Out of the record. On the Order

of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 401. ...

Keane, 401? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 401, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois Library Systems Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Yeah, any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "There is a fiscal note request. And am I

correct that the fiscal note has been filed? Is that
correct?"

Clerk Leone: "Fiscal note has not been filed as of..."

Speaker Greiman: "Fiscal note has not been filed, Mr. Keane. So, the Bill will remain on the Order... Yes, Mr. Keane, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Keane: "Yeah, I'd like a point of information. We have a companion appropriation to this Bill, and that's the fiscal impact. I don't think a fiscal note is appropriate on this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Keane, the issues are not the same. The
Member who seeks a fiscal note is entitled to a fiscal

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

note, notwithstanding the fact that there will be an appropriation someplace along the line. Yes, Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Just for... again, I apologize for my ignorance on this area, but if we're going to fund the Bill... the substantive Bill through an appropriation, why do I need a fiscal note?"

Speaker Greiman: "Because the statute... The direct answer is that the statute requires it. Statute says that the Fiscal Note Act shall be applicable to every Bill, except those making a direct appropriation. This Bill does not make a direct appropriation. And the philosophy behind it, I suspect, is that Members in voting on substantive legislation would want to know what the fiscal impact is on that legislation. That's the reason for it, and that's the citation. I would recommend you look at Section... off hand... Section ! of the Fiscal Note Act."

Keane: "So any Bill that doesn't deal with a direct appropriation for which you don't need a substantive Bill is subject to a fiscal note?"

Speaker Greiman: "That's correct."

Keane: "And how do I fill that requirement? By indicating the Bill that has been... the appropriation Bill that's been filed? In other words, if I just indicate the Bill that's been filed, is that... does that fulfill the needs of the fiscal note?"

Speaker Greiman: "It's possible that the fiscal note could be more in the appropriation. We don't normally give advisory opinions, but we'll have somebody help you out. So the Bill is going to remain on the Order of Second Reading, Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 405. The Gentleman from Macon, are you

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

prepared, Mr. Tate, on that?"

Tate: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to table House Bill
1055 and 1056."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, I had called you on House Bill 405, Mr.
Tate."

Tate: "I recognize that. I don't want to call that Bill. I just
wanted to move to table those two."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Does the Gentleman have leave to...

Are you the principle Sponsors of those two Bills?"

Tate: "Both those Bills. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "What are the numbers?"

Tate: "1055 and 1056."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Cullerton?"

Cullerton: "Where are the Bills? Are they on the Calendar or are they in Committee?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate, where are the Bills?"

Tate: "They've been assigned to Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate, why don't you..."

Tate: "Wait a minute. One of them is in Rules Committee, too."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Why don't you just hold it for a moment, and we'll get back to you. Alright? We'll get back to you before the day is out. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 406. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 414. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 414, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning truancy. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Cullerton, amends House Bill 414..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Amendment #1 to House Bill 414."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

- Cullerton: "Yes, I believe that in the drafting of the Bill, they ommitted a Section dealing with chronic or habitual truancy. This amends that Section, provides the content of a petition to include the allegation that a juvenile is a chronic or a habitual truant. I believe it's a technical Amendment and the Sponsor should agree to it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 414. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp: "I concur with his proposed Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House

 Bill 414 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying
 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further
 Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Cullerton, amends House
 ...
 Bill..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on Floor ... Amendment \$2."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of
 the House. This Amendment limits the applicability of the
 statute to all those counties other than Cook."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 414. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think everyone understands what this does. I think you have to realize that what we're attempting to do is to deal with an educational problem; that is, to put young people back to school who have chosen not to go to school and are classified as truants. The biggest percentage of truants in the State of Illinois happen to be in the area that this Amendment wants to exempt that's in the area of Cook County. Now you may

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

say that, well, we ought to exempt them because the courts are going to be overburdened. I think that idea is rather kind of a foolish idea. If we are concerned about people not getting jobs, who are unemployed, who do not know how to read, who do not know how to spell and add, then you ought to defeat this particular Amendment because we ought to be dealing with the root of the problem and that is students who do not attend school. I want the Bill passed, and I think if we really are concerned about the problem in the State of Illinois, then we ought to deal with it and defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Purther discussion? There being none, Mr.
Cullerton, to close."

"Yes, the proponents of the legislation, at least all Cullerton: of the letters that I have received were from outside County - this is a Judge Steigman proposal, came to Committee and testified in favor of it. I'm opposed to the I voted against it in Committee. But the of the court system in Cook County representatives indicated that they would be opposed to the Bill unless So in an effort to Cook County was excluded. help Representative Ropp pick up some votes for his Bill. proposed this Amendment. So, if the Amendment is defeated, I would suggest that it makes the Bill much tougher for him to pass. So, vote your conscience."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Ropp, amends House Bill 414 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, am I correct that this Amendment has not been printed and distributed."

- 29th Legislative Day April 24, 1985
- Clerk Leone: "The Amendment has not been printed and distributed."
- Speaker Greiman: "So, Mr. Ropp, we'll take it out of the record of what? Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp: "I'd rather move it to Third Reading and then because I haven't seen it either. And if it's alright then we'll move it back..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Yes, Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Did he say he hasn't seen Amendment #3 that he is the ...

 Sponsor of?"
- Ropp: "Correct. That's correct. I haven't seen it yet. It has been... It was..."
- Cullerton: "Did someone put the Amendment in with your name on it?"
- Ropp: "It was sent to my office. My secretary had it prepared.

 And in her good leadership of my office, brought it over
 and properly introduced it, and I'm..."
- Cullerton: "Couldn't we call your secretary and find out what it does?"
- Ropp: "No. No, I'm not allowing anyone to talk to my secretary at all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McCracken, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- McCracken: "I saw it. It looks fine."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. We'll move the Bill, then, to the Order of Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, on page five of the Calendar, appears House Bill 431. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Ropp, do you wish to proceed with that 431? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 431, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Fair Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

"Third Reading. On the Order Speaker Greiman: οf House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 448. Mr. Giorgi, 448? No, I'm sorry. No, you're not the Sponsor of that. Out of the record. On the Order of House 4482 Bill 493. Ms. Bills Second Reading appears House Frederick? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 501. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears 507. House Bill 507. Mr. McGann, do you wish to proceed? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills, 511. the record. 512, out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 513. Mr. you wish to proceed with 513? Out of the record. Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 530, Out of the record. On the Order of House Mr. Leverenz. Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 545. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 545."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 545, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning emergency medical services. Second Beading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 558. Mr. Tuerk, do you wish to proceed with that Bill, Sir? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 558, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Tuerk - Saltsman, amends House

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Bill 558 on page two and so forth."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 558 Tuerk: "Mr. addresses a manner in which petitions are signed and in the original form stated how petitions ought to be signed. Committee, which it passed out of Committee as you can see, there was some concern among the Members, both Democrat and that it needed some clarifying Members. Republican language. And, therefore, we asked the staff on both sides of the aisle to come up with an Amendment and, frankly, I think they we improved the Bill immeasurably. What it does is include the street address or rural route number of the signer, as the case may be, as well as the signers city, And I think this does clarify the Bill village or town. and improves it. And, therefore, I would ask for your approval for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 558. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Representative Tuerk, the way the Bill was originally drafted, did you feel that there would be some problems...

I mean, it was beyond reasonably difficult for petition passers for things like referendums to get signatures?"

Tuerk: "No, that wasn't the intent of the Bill at all.

Basically, the intent of the Bill was that in times of contesting a petition signature and where the smaller communities only had to put in their village as opposed to their full address, it created some problems particularly if a street, for example, ran through a city, an urban city, as well as a small municipality or a village."

Cullerton: "Representative Tuerk, I understand what the Bill did.

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

I don't understand why you're amending it."

Tuerk: "Well, the amending was... this Amendment, as I stated,
would clarify the language so that there's no
misunderstanding that the petition signer would have to
sign it precisely as his registration card."

Cullerton: "Why not?"

Tuerk: "Well, the Committee thought it was restrictive and; therefore, this clarifies it so that it would make it easier for the petition signer to sign, avoid any problems... or avoid any occasion of a problem where, for example, your name may be John F. Cullerton on your registration card but you signed the petition John Cullerton. And, therefore, under those conditions, why, as long as it had to be the same as your registration card, it may create some problems. And the Committee Members felt that such a clarifying Amendment would be in order."

Cullerton: "Thank you. Thank you very much."

Tuerk: "Sure."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 558 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 558. Mr. Levin, do you wish to proceed on 558? Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I'm sorry. 563, Mr. Levin. 563. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 571. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 571, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 572. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Bill 575. Second Reading appears House Bill 582, Mr. Woodvard. Woodvard? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 597. Mr. Bowman? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 598. Mr. Saltsman? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears 599, Mr. White. Mr. White, 599? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 623. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 640, Mr. McAuliffe. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 640, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Chicago Regional Port District Act. Second Reading of the

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright. We will move to the bottom now on page six and taking substantive Bills only and not appropriation Bills. On the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 692. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 692, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act

in relationship to the State Police. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Piel, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel, on Floor

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Amendment #1 to House Bill 692."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Piel: Basically what Floor Amendment I to 692 does. establishes that all the fines collected on the interstate by the State Police, if the Bill goes into effect, would go to the Common School Fund of the State of Illinois. think with the situation that we're in in the Illinois General Assembly is that we have to approach education with a eye for any funds that can be derived through fines, lotteries, etcetera, etcetera for increasing the Common School Fund in the State of Illinois. I understand what the Gentleman wants to do for the interstate system. getting city police back on the city streets, and I have no qualms whatsoever with State Police policing the state roads. But I definitely feel that if the State Police do police the state roads that those fines collected on the in state highways that type of а situation nunicipalities should go to the Common School think this is a very good way to generate funds for education in the State of Illinois, and I would ask, Speaker, for a Roll Call on Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 692. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Piel, where do the fines collected by

the State Police go now? What fund do they go to now?"

Piel: "I'm sorry. I couldn't get your question, John."

Cullerton: "The fines that are collected right now by the State

Police, what fund do they go into now? The General Revenue

Fund or the Road Fund, or do they go to the

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

municipalities?"

Piel: "Yes, presently, some go to the courts and some go to the municipalities."

Cullerton: "Is there a percentage or does it... what determines ... who gets the money?"

Piel: "John, I couldn't tell you."

Cullerton: "Well, I might..."

Piel: "Okay, the cost of the clerk and the remainder goes to the city."

Cullerton: "Oh, so, you want to... the effect of your Amendment would be to take the money from the cities..."

Piel: "Or the unincorporated county, if they're in an unincorporated area."

Cullerton: "Okay. So the effect of your Bill would be to take the money from the cities..."

Piel: "It's not my Bill. It's my Amendment."

Cullerton: "Alright, the effect of the Amendment then, if we adopted it, would be to take the money from the cities and possibly the counties and give it to the state for the School Fund. Is that correct?"

Piel: "Well, in the situations to where they are presently patrolled by city police. There are certain areas in the state that are presently patrolled by the city police, and that's what this Bill does. It wants to take the work away from the City and put it in the state. And in that case, I've got no problem as long as we're giving it to education if we're going to have the State Police having to come into that area, and I just figure it's a very good way to collect funds for educat... the Common School Fund in Illinois."

Cullerton: "Okay, now the Amendment says that any funds collected or received by the reason of any traffic violation issued by the State Police on the interstate highway system. So,

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

you only want this Amendment to effect fines collected on the interstates. Is that correct? Not fines collected by State Police on other highways?"

- Piel: "The Bill states interstate system, and that was the reason the Amendment did."
- Cullerton: "So, you want to limit the collection of the fines
 that go to the Common School Fund only to those fines
 imposed by people who commit a traffic offense on the
 interstate only?"
- Piel: "That is the way the Bill is written, John. The Bill states interstate systems. So, my Amendment, to comply with the Bill. has to say interstate systems."
- Cullerton: "Well, I just want to find out what you want to have done. You know, you could..."
- Piel: "I'm just complying with what the Bill says, John."
- Cullerton: "Okay. So you want... You see, the purpose of the
 Bill was to make sure that the State Police patrol all of
 the interstates not just some interstates and some
 municipalities and not in other municipalities. So, you've
 come forward with an Amendment here that takes certain
 fines away from the municipalities and gives it to the
 Common School Fund, and only... the way your Amendment is
 drafted, it only applies to fines given on the interstate.
 And I'm just wondering if that wouldn't pose a problem with
 the administration of your Amendment if, you know, one
 State Police..."
- Piel: "Not at all, John, not at all, because the way that the
 Bill is stated that the State Police will now cover the
 areas that are presently covered on interstate systems by
 city police. And so now with them going over that would
 take that money that is collected in place of that... go to
 the Common School Fund."

Cullerton: "Alright. Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't think there's

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

any need for a Roll Call. I think the Amendment is fine and I'll be happy to accept it. I don't think there's any reason for a Roll Call. I'll accept the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lee,
Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Olson: "Thank you, Representative Piel. Having been where they have to administer the disposition of these funds to the Circuit Clerk's Office, what kind of mechanism would we have to set up to set the designation of that when those traffic tickets roll into the Clerk's Office? How would you..."

Piel: "Basically, the mechanism is set up right now."

Olson: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."

Piel: "Basically the mechanism is already set up right now."

Olson: "Well, I'd just like to pursue this just slightly further if I may."

Piel: "Very slightly."

Olson: "Alright. Interstate, would this be applicable to toll highways? Like if they..."

Piel: "Do you have a specific toll highway that's policed by a city police department right now?"

Olson: "No. The state police do..."

Piel: "Well, than no, because this deals with city police department. You didn't read the Bill, Myron."

Olson: "So, then the tickets... Well, I read the Bill and the ... Amendment."

Piel: "Oh okay. Closely. Okay."

Olson: "Then in other words the tickets would prescribe that if a state police officer made an arrest on I-90 in the Rockford market, then the County of Winnebago would not receive their money, but it would indeed go to the general School

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Fund, is that what you're saying?"

Piel: "If that area was policed by the city before where the state had to pick it up, correct."

Olson: "Thank you very much. That's a delightful explanation."

Piel: "You're more than welcome."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield. Go ahead."

Leverenz: "What amount of money would you guess that you want to divert under your Amendment from the City of Chicago as they receive money for processing tickets, etcetera and to put into the common School Fund of the state?"

Piel: "Ted, I don't have the slightest idea what would be diverted. I'm not the one who fills out the fiscal impact statement, so maybe that would tell us."

Leverenz: "What on a dollar or is there a percentage that

normally goes to the municipality or the county where the
ticket is ajudicated?"

Piel: "No. We discussed that before. It was after the court costs and then went... the court costs and then..."

Leverenz: "You want to take the entire fine and instead of sending it to the Road Fund where those fines go now, you want to send it to... in essence kind of taking what almost was road..."

Piel: "No, they don't go to the Road Fund right now."

Leverenz: "State tickets?"

Piel: "No, we're talking about city tickets. This... The Bill,

Ted, basically states that where cities are patrolling

presently those are collected in the city and they're spent

there. So, basically what this says is if the state police

is taking over for the city, then in those areas the money

would go to the common School Fund."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

- Leverenz: "Where does the money for a ticket issued by a state trooper now go? Where are you diverting it from then?"
- Piel: "That's not... That's not in the Bill. That's not diverting it. The Bill states, where the city is presently patrolling the interstate."
- Leverenz: "In the County of Cook in the suburban area, if you get
 a ticket from a state trooper for example on the Eisenhower
 Expressway, where does that money go because you're
 redirecting it to the common School Fund?"
- Piel: "Are you talking about an area that is presently patrolled by the city? Or are you talking about an area that is presently patrolled by the state?"
- Leverenz: "Yes. First Avenue... First Avenue is patrolled by the
 Village of Maywood. It's a state highway."

Piel: "State highway or interstate?"

Leverenz: "The municipality gets some of that money."

Piel: "No, the Bill just states interstates, not state highways."

Leverenz: "94. I-94."

- Piel: "Okay, let's take I-94. What area of I-94 is patrolled by city?"
- Leverenz: "Well, the Village of Broadview, the Village of Maywood, Bellwood, all have to respond to Interstate 94."

Leverenz: "They can."

- Piel: "Okay, if at any point in that that is not. See there is certain areas that are not patrolled by the state police per say as far as moving violations and traffic violations... and that's exactly what this Bill addresses, Ted. It does not address..."
 - Leverenz: "... about the City of Chicago. What we're talking about are state troopers patrolling the interstates, I think, in the City of Chicago and you want the fine money

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

raised or derived from those tickets to go to the common School Fund by your Amendment, is that correct?"

Piel: "Areas that are presently, whether it be the City of Chicago or whether it be Cairo, Illinois. If it's a interstate highway that is being patrolled and ticketed by the local authorities, this Bill states that the local authorities will no longer have to traffic those situations and the state will traffic them. If the state does traffic them, the fines collected will go to the common School Fund."

Leverenz: "And what is the dollar amount of money proposed or under your Amendment would be diverted from any of those towns?"

Piel: "No, I basically made the remark before that I am not the fiscal officer of the state and I have not... I'm not the one that files out the fiscal notes. That would be a good question for a fiscal note."

Leverenz: "I filed it. Thank you."

Piel: "Good."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Mr.
Piel, to close."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr..."

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. Excuse me. Hr. Van Duyne. I'm sorry."

Van Duyne: "Thank you. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Van Duyne: "Quickly. Maybe I'm being redundant, but where does the fine go right now? To the county, right?"

Piel: "You're the third one that's asked the question. If it is patrolled by a county or a city presently, it goes into the court system and after court costs goes to that county or municipality."

Van Duyne: "What if the state police write the ticket in that

29th Legislative Day

- April 24, 1985
- county on a state highway? It still goes to the county doesn't it?"
- Piel: "If that happens to be the situation. You don't find it that much, but if that happens to be the situation, correct."
- Van Duyne: "Well, wait a minute let's clarify it. We have a
 state road within a county..."
- Piel: "We're talking about interstates, Leroy. Interstates, not
 a state road."
- Van Duyne: "Well, it's still within a county though."
- Piel: "You're being dilatory, but go ahead and ask the question."

Van Duyne: "Alright."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel."

- Van Duyne: "Am I being dilatory, Mr. Speaker? I'm asking..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Van Duyne, you are never dilatory. Ask the question."
- Van Duyne: "Then I'd like to have you be specific, ask your aid over there if you don't know, if a state trooper writes a ticket in Cook County on an interstate highway where does the fine go now? I think it goes still to the county."
- Piel: "No. My question was are you talking about as far as the Amendment goes or what does it do now?"
- Van Duyne: "Your question... Your Amendment sends it to the common School Fund and I'm just merely asking you what the present situation is now. Where does it go now without your Amendment?"
- Piel: "If it is collected by a city officer. A city officer is what the Amendment deals with. The Bill changes city to state policeman. And so, if that is a situation where city is patrolling that street and the state takes over for that street, that money will go to the common School Fund."
- Van Duyne: "I think you're evading my question."
- Piel: "I'm not evading your question. I'm answering your

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

question."

Van Duyne: "No, you're not. I'm asking you, if the state police gives a ticket on an interstate highway within the county of Cook County, where does the fine go now and I think it goes to the county and I want you to collaborate that."

Piel: "If it's a non-incorporated area, yes."

Van Duyne: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel, to close briefly. Sorry. Excuse me,
Mr.... The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

McPike: "Yes, it's sometimes hard to follow the conversation around here."

Piel: "I agree."

McPike: "But this Amendment takes money that currently goes to local court systems or to local municipalities or counties and returns that money to the state for education, is that the general intent of this?"

Piel: "Jim, the way it's set up right now that if the money is collected by a local policing district, a local policing authority, the local policing authority, that does go into the local area. Basically, you know, to go back into their city fund or county fund, whatever the case is and to pay salaries amongst other things. Alright, so the Bill is basically stating that the police, the state police, will now be policing this instead of the local authorities policing that interstate area whatever it is. And so with the state police now policing that territory the money would be going to the common School Fund instead of to the city, because the city is no longer doing it and the state is doing it."

McPike: "But it only applies to interstates? Interstate
highways."

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Piel: "That was the way the Bill was written. I'm just going...

My Amendment is going along with the way the Bill was

written. The Bill is written 'interstates', my Amendment

says 'interstates'. If the Bill says city streets, I'll

add city streets on here."

McPike: "So, this would prohibit the local police from jurisdiction over interstates within their... within their territory?"

Piel: "Would my Amendment do that?"

McPike: "Yes."

Piel: "No. The Bill is doing that. The Bill is doing that."

McPike: "Okay. Well, I think this is a rather silly Amendment,

Mr. Speaker."

Piel: "No, it's a silly Bill."

McPike: "To the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Sometimes the General Assembly does strange things and occasionally we should laugh at ourselves. But yesterday, I believe if I'm not wrong, we took a million dollars in state money and gave it to the counties for the county fairs. Now, today we're going to turn around and take local, county and city money and have them send it back up to the state. Now, you know, we could cancel this Bill and yesterday's Bill and we'd all break even. But, I think, it's a silly proposal and we should just kill it."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I certainly resent the Minority

Leader's remarks over there."

Speaker Greiman: "He's the Majority Leader, Mr. Vinson, but go ahead."

Vinson: "I... you know the thing that bothers me the most about this Bill is that thirty minutes ago when I was on this side of the aisle Representative Cullerton agreed to the

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Amendment. And then I wandered around over on that side of the aisle for a while and now I hear Mr. McPike objecting to it. Now... He's been appointed as your floor leader and I think Mr. McPike is bound by his agreement to accept the Amendment, which is a good Amendment, and I'd urge an 'aye' vote if he's not reneged on his promise."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel... Mr. Piel, to close."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Basically what this Amendment does, presently under the present form if city police are policing an interstate highway, the money goes to the city. This Bill wants to take that authority away from your city police and give to the state police. We're talking about a added cost to the state of millions of dollars. I feel this way that we are going to put this onto the back of the state police, that the money should go to the state. Fine, if the police it. let the money... go to the local authorities. if the state is going to do it, I think the money should go to the state. All of us in our campaigns talked about doing something for education here's a good way to do it. Right here. This would put all those fines into the common School Fund and I would ask for a 'yes' vote on Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Piel, you had indicated earlier that you wanted a Roll Call Vote."

Piel: "Yes, I do, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Did you want to leave it to the auditory powers
of the Chair or..."

Piel: "No, I'll take a pass on that and ask for a Roll Call Vote.

Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, alright, Sir. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 692 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 47 voting 'aye', 60 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present' and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "There is no fiscal note on file."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, I note that there is a request for a fiscal note. Has that... Has a fiscal note been filed?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, the Bill will have to remain on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Agreed Resolutions.

Clerk, under Agreed Resolutions, House Bill 274."

"House Resolution 274, offered by Representative Clerk C'Brien: Curran and Madigan. Whereas, the Members of this House are recognize events that are honored and pleased to significant to the citizens of this State; and, Whereas, it has come to the attention of this body that in consolidate elections held April 2, 1985, Bob Walters was elected mayor of Beardstown, Illinois; and, Whereas, native of Beardstown, the 'Watermelon Capital of the World, Mayor Walters swept into office with him all the Members of the City Council and the city treasurer; and, Whereas, Mayor Walters served this country with honor distinction during the Vietnam War as a Specialist Forward Observer with the 1st Infantry, the Big Red One, and he was awarded the Purple Heart Medal for valiant service and injuries sustained during a mine explosion; and, Whereas, an exemplary member of his community, where he served two terms on the City Council before being elected mayor, Bob Walters has long been involved in a myriad of civic fraternal organizations, including the Elks, Lions, and VFW clubs, the Beardstown Economic Development Council, and

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Beardstown Boys Basketball (sic - Baseball) Incorporated: Whereas, Mayor Bob Walters has long been supported in his public service work by his loving wife, Nancie, and their two sons, Joe and Todd, and he admirably exemplifies the finest in moral and civic leadership and is a example of professional and personal selfless service worthy of emulation by all who serve public trust: therefore, be it resolved, by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-Fourth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we wholeheartedly congratulate Bob Walters on his election as Mayor of Beardstown; and be it further resolved, that a suitable copy of this preamble Resolution be presented to Mayor Bob Walters as a formal token of our best wishes."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran." $\mbox{\colored}$

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's been my pleasure to work with now Mayor Walters of Beardstown over the last ten years. We're lucky to have him here with us in the well today as he's with us everyday that we're in the well. I'd like the Members to understand that the Gentleman down there with the shadey glasses, but not the shadey past is the new Mayor of Beardstown. We're all proud to have him. Welcome, aboard."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Curran moves for the adoption of House

Resolution 274. All those in favor signify by saying
'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair,

the 'ayes' have it. Congratulations. Further Agreed

Resolutions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 258, offered by Representative McNamara; 259, DeJaegher; 260, DeJaegher; 261, DeJaegher; 262, DeJaegher; 263, DeJaegher; 264, DeJaegher; 265, DeJaegher; 266, DeJaegher; 267, Curran; 268, Curran; 271, Johnson; 273, Nash - et al; 274, Curran; 275... and 275,

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

Deuchler - et al."

- Speaker Greiman: "And on the Agreed Resolutions, the Gentleman...
 the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."
- "House Resolution 258, McNamara, recognizes Richards Matijevich: DeJaegher, honors Fred and Margaret 259. High School. 260, DeJaegher, congratulates Josephine Stephens. DeJaegher, wishes Elsie Bufe a happy birthday. 261. DeJaegher, commends Charlotte Beck. 263. DeJaegher. alludes Ruth and Norm Anderson. 264, DeJaegher, talks of Ed Huggart. 265, DeJaegher, congratulates Mary Nafe. 267. Curran. DeJaegher, commends Mr. and Mrs. Loding. honors Bob Remack. 268, Curran, commends Gary Budd. 271. Johnson, recognize Kit Condill. 273, Nash, salutes the Chicago Land Restaurant Tours. And 275, I don't have here. Joe, where is 275?"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions... Oh, I'm sorry."
- Matijevich: "Wait, 275, we got to find out what it does. We may not... Deuchler, 275, establishes a Subcommittee of the House Energy, Environment Committee for the purpose of working with the Illinois Department of Energy and Natural Resources. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Tate. Mr. Tate. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."
 - Tate: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like leave of the House to table House Bill 1055 and 1056."
 - Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman asks leave to table 1055 and 1056. Yes... and you've represented that you're the Senate Sponsor of that Bill, is that correct? Sponsor of

29th Legislative Day

April 24, 1985

those Bills? That what you said earlier. Okay. The Gentleman has leave to table House Bills 1055 and 1056. Death Resolutions."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 257, offered by Representative et Sutker al, with respect the memory of Williams. House Resolution 1069 (sic - 269), offered by Representative Johnson, with respect to the memory of Richard Wikoff. House Resolution 270, offered by Representative Johnson, with respect the memory o.£ Courtland E. 'Buster' Conkwright, House Resolution 272, offered by Representative Flowers, with... in memory Alphonzo Rico Heins."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake has moved for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendments are... and the Resolutions are adopted. The Gentleman from Madison, Majority Leader McPike. I'm sorry. Excuse me, Mr. McPike. Mr. Matijevich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Hatijevich: "Only to remind the Members of the Rules Committee
 that we have a meeting immediately after adjournment in the
 House... the Speaker's Conference Room."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. So, Members of the Rules Committee, there will be a meeting immediately upon adjournment in the Speaker's Conference... Mr. Farley, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Purpose of an announcement.

 The House Labor and Commerce Committee will meet here on
 the House floor immediately upon adjournment. I would ask
 all Members of the House Labor and Commerce Committee to be
 here for Attendance Roll Call after adjournment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Wolf."

29th Legislative Day

- April 24, 1985
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Personnel and Pensions

 Committee will meet at 4:00 in Room C-1 in the Stratton

 Building."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any... Yes, Mr. Leverenz. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I ask leave of the Body to post House Bill 665 in the Appropriations Committee tomorrow morning so we can again vote on that Bill. I believe it's cleared on the other side. We heard the Bill last week. It was not posted for this week and we want to vote on it."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves to waive the appropriate rule so... for posting so that House Bill 665 can be heard in the Committee on Appropriations I. Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman has leave and the posting requirements for 665 are waived inaccordingly. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the purpose of an announcement. The House Energy, Environment and Natural Resources Committee will meet at 4:00 at a different room. The room change is Room 122-B for the House Energy, Environment Committee. Room 122-B at 4:00."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further announcements? The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, for adjournment. Mr. McPike moves that the House stand adjourned until the hour of 12:00 tomorrow. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the House does stand adjourned until the hour of 12:00 tomorrow."

07/31/85 14:54

STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

APRIL 24, 1985

| HB-0068 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 7 |
|----------|--------------------|------|----|
| HB-0150 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 12 |
| HB-0197 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 16 |
| HB-0245 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 15 |
| HB-0298 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 15 |
| HB-0308 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 16 |
| HB-0319 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 20 |
| HB-0319 | MOTION | PAGE | 22 |
| HB-0319 | MOTION | PAGE | 25 |
| HB-0319 | OUT OF RECORD | PAGE | 22 |
| HB-0356 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 22 |
| HB-0356 | OUT OF RECORD | PAGE | 24 |
| HB-0401 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 29 |
| HB-0401 | HELD ON SECOND | PAGE | 30 |
| HB-0414 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 31 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 34 |
| HB-0545 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 35 |
| HB-0558 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 35 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 37 |
| HB-0626 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 4 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 38 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 38 |
| | HELD ON SECOND | PAGE | 50 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 5 |
| HB- 1055 | | PAGE | 52 |
| HB-1056 | | PAGE | 52 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 5 |
| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| | RESOLUTION OFFERED | PAGE | 10 |
| HR-0274 | ADOPTED | PAGE | 51 |
| | | | |

SUBJECT MATTER

| HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN | PAGE | 1 |
|---|------|----|
| REVEREND DEAN BEATY | PAGE | 1 |
| PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE | PAGE | 1 |
| ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE | PAGE | 1 |
| COMMITTEE REPORTS | PAGE | 1 |
| AGREED RESOLUTIONS | PAGE | 50 |
| DEATH RESOLUTIONS | PAGE | 53 |
| ADJOURNMENT | PAGE | 54 |