14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "The hour of 12:00 having arrived, the House will now be in Session. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Phillip Icenogle, Pastor, First United Methodist Church of Springfield. Reverend Icenogle is a guest of Representative Michael Curran. Will the guests in the gallery please rise and join us for the invocation? Reverend Icenogle."
- Reverend Icenogle: "Let us pray. O Lord, our God, we gather here in Your presence to offer up our gratitude for the gift of life and for the joy of serving You through the service of others. We pray, as this Session of the House of Representatives would meet, that Your spirit would be felt, that Your presence would be known, in compassion and love as decisions are made, as lives are affected. So draw near, O God, to touch each Member here, their families, their loved ones. Be close to them. We pray for the flood victims of our state and for other persons who suffer unjustly. Be present now, we pray, in this time, and we will give You praise and thanks. Amen."
- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Ropp will lead us in the Bledge ——
  to the flag."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States
  of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one
  Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
  all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 114 Members having answered to the quorum call, a quorum is present. Mr. Matijevich, do you have excused absences on the Democratic side?"
- Matijevich: "Yes. Yes, Mr. Speaker, let the record show Representative Berrios as an excused absence today."
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so reflect. Mr. Vinson or Mr.

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Piel? Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the record show that Representative Virginia Frederick is excused today?" Speaker Greiman: "Let the record so reflect. Committee Reports." "Representative Ronan, Chairman of the Committee Clerk O'Brien: on Transportation and Motor Vehicles, to which + ho following Bills were referred, action taken March 12, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bills 157 and 340: · do pass 25 amended Consent Calendar! House Bill Representative Steczo. Chairman of the Committee on Cities Villages, to which the following Bills were referred. action taken March 12, 1985, reported the same back the following recommendation: 'do pass' House Bill 314 and 336. Representative White, Chairman of the Committee on Human Services, to which the following Bills were referred. action taken March 12, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass as amended' 27: 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 391: 'do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar House Bill 26 Representative Mulcahey, Chairman of the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education, to which the following Bill was referred, action taken March 13, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do

Speaker Greiman: "Introduction of Bills. First Reading." Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 649, Curran, a Bill for an Act to provide additional financial support to local school districts. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 650, offered by Representative Tate and Homer, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Real Estate Time Share Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 651. offered Representative Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making

as amended Consent Calendar' House Bill 242."

### 14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of Commissioner of Savings and Loans. Pirst Reading of the Bill. House Bill 652. by Representative Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Prisoner Review Board. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 653, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Local Government Law Enforcement Officers Training Board. First Reading of the Bill. House Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of State Employees' Retirement System. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 655, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Teachers' Retirement System. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 656, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Pollution Control First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 657. and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Educational Labor Relations Board. First Reading of the House Bill 658, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for Bill. Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Local Labor Relations Board. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 659, Hastert - Daniels and Ryder, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for ordinary and contingent expense of the Human Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill Hastert - Daniels and Ryder, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Human Rights. First Reading of the

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Bill. House Bill 661, Hastert - Daniels and Wojcik, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Medical Center Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 662, Hastert - Daniels and Frederick, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 663, Hastert - Daniels and Deuchler, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of State Emergency Services and Disaster Agency. Pirst Reading of the Bill. House Bill 664. Hastert and Daniels Ryder, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense the Department of Children and Family Services. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 665, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Military and Naval Department. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 666, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Commerce Commission. Pirst Reading of the Bill. House Bill 667, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Liquor Control Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 668, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Revenue. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 669, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act to making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the State Labor Relations Board. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 670, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Racing

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 671, Barnes Board. and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Property Tax Appeal Board. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill Hastert - Daniels and Virginia Frederick, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Public Health, Governor's Council on Health and Physical Fitness and the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois - Division of Service for Crippled Children. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 673, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Employment Security. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 674, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Energy and Natural Resources. First Reading of the Bill. House 675, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Conservation. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 676, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the General Assembly Retirement System. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 677, Barnes and Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Judges! Retirement System. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 678. Hastert -Daniels and Ryder. Bill for an Act a making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense Department of Rehabilitation Services. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 679, Hastert - Daniels and Byder, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Mental Health

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

and Developmental Disabilities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 680, Klemm, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Medical Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 681, Klemm, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to county zoning. First Reading of Bill. House Bill 682, Kulas - Keane - Oblinger - et al, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of practice of respiratory care. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 683, Hastert - Daniels and Peterson, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary contingent expense of the Illinois State Historical Library. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 684. McGann - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unemployment Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 685, Bullock - Terzich - Mulcahey - McAuliffe and Panayotovich - et al, a Bill for an Act relating school districts and boards of education for school districts located in cities having a population in excess First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 686, Didrickson, a Bill for an Act in relation to dual capacity First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 687. Greiman, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Lobbyist Registration Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 688, Saltsman, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 689, W. Peterson and B. Pedersen, a Bill for relation to the sale of water Act by municipalities. First Reading of the Bill. 690, Cullerton - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections the Veterinarian Medicine and Surgical Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 691, Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 692,

### 14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to an Act in relation to State Police. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 693, Cullerton, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 694. Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the State Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 695, Johnson and Breslin, a Act to revise the law in relation to owner and resident ... of residential property to prescribe the rights and duties of owners and residents and to amend Acts repeal parts of Acts in connection therewith. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 696, Van Duyne, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 697, Rice - et al. a Bill for an Act to prohibit unfavorable credit reporting by credit reporting agencies in certain cases. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 698, offered by Representative a Bill for an Act to amend... to add Sections to the Hospital Licensing Act. First Reading of the Bill. 699, O'Connell, a Bill for an Act in relation to chartering and regulation of consumer banks and amending certain Acts herein named. First Reading of the Bill."

- Speaker Greiman: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, seek recognition?"
- Bowman: "To announce a change in the meeting time for the Appropriations II Committee tomorrow morning. Instead of the posted time of 3:00, we have basically one item on our agenda, so I recommend a 9:00 meeting time. So, Appropriations II Committee will meet at 9:00 tomorrow morning in Room 118."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. So, Mr. Bowman has announced that Appropriations II will meet at 9:00 tomorrow morning. And

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

for what purpose does the Lady from Champaign, Miss Satterthwaite, seek recognition?"

- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House
  Higher Education Committee which was scheduled for 4:00
  this afternoon has been cancelled."
- Speaker Greiman: "So, the House Higher Education Committee will not meet today. That meeting has been cancelled. On page two of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill 129, Mr. Hannig. Mr. Hannig. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill 253, Mr. O'Connell. Do you wish to proceed? Yes? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 253, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of
  the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill 284. Mr. Terzich? Mr. Terzich, do you wish to proceed on 284? Okay. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 284, a Bill for an Act to repeal
  Section 5 of an Act to establish Northeastern Illinois
  University in providing for its operation, management,
  control, and maintenance. Second Reading of the Bill. No
  Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Excuse me. Mr. Cullerton, for what

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

purpose do you seek recognition?"

Cullerton: "Could you ask the Sponsor if he could please take it out of the record for a second?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Terzich will gladly take it out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 23. Mr. Giorgi, do you wish to proceed on that Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 23, a Bill for an Act to add Sections
to an Act in relation to Northeastern Illinois University.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, page two of the Calendar, appears House Bill 40. Mr. Klemm, do you wish to proceed? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 49, Miss Younge. Miss Younge, do you wish to proceed? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 146. Mr. Harris? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 146, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments. No Committee..."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

Second Reading, appears House Bill 156. Miss

Satterthwaite, do you wish to proceed? 156. Mr. Clerk,

read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 156, a Bill for an Act to amend

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Sections of the Firemen's Disciplinary Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 177. Mr. Giglio? Mr. Clerk... Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 188. Mr. Countryman, do you wish to proceed? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 217. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 217, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. For what purpose does the Lady from Marshall seek recognition?"
- Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just was already speaking...

  putting on my speak button because mine was the next Bill,

  and I wanted to inquire as to whether or not the Amendment

  was printed and distributed before I decided to go ahead."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, we'll have it called in the ordinary course. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 332. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 332, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

- Koehler and McCracken, amends House Bill 332 as amended."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Marshall, Miss Koehler."
- Koehler: "Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to whether or not that Amendment has been distributed?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Miss Koehler. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe the Amendment has just reached half of the desks. So, if we would just want to wait for a few minutes until the Clerk..."
- Speaker Greiman: "I'm sure Miss Koehler would like to give you that courtesy. We'll take this out of the record for a short time. Now we will return to House Bill... On the Order of Second Reading House Bills... House Bill 284. That's Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. House Bill 284. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 284, a Bill for an Act to repeal
  Sections of an Act to establish Northeastern Illinois
  University. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
  Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading... We will now be... On page two of the Calendar, House Bills Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar, and on that Order appears House Bill 99. Out of the record. House Bills Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bills Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar,
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 137, a Bill for an Act in relation to county zoning. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Church... Lake, Mr. Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

House. Last year we passed a Bill that gave counties in excess of 500,000 population the right to deal with agricultural parcels of land of less than five acres. This Bill solely includes Lake County by reducing from 500,000 to 400,000 the amount required for this statute."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake has moved for the passage of House Bill 137. This is on Short Debate. Does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed. The Sponsor will yield."

Cullerton: "Representative Churchill... Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Representative Churchill, did you indicate that the Bill

that authorized Cook and DuPage County to do this practice
was passed last year?"

Churchill: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "Do you know why Lake County was excluded last year when this was passed?"

Churchill: "I haven't the slightest idea."

Cullerton: "Do you think it was an oversight?"

Churchill: "I haven't the slightest idea."

Cullerton: "And has some officials from Lake County indicated that they wish to have this power?"

Churchill: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "And why do they wish to have this power?"

Churchill: "Apparently they feel that they have some problems with people who have horses on very small parcels of property and that there is a state's attorney's opinion that they are not able to deal with these problems with the law as it presently stands. Because this is such an urban county, it was felt by the local state's attorney and the county building and zoning department that including take County in this Bill would give them the power to deal with

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

this problem."

Cullerton: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Does anyone stand in opposition now this Bill? This is now final passage... This is Third Reading. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 137 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Third Reading. Final Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Move quickly, Mr. Ewing. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading, Short Debate, appears House Bill 223. Mr. Pangle? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 223, a Bill for an Act to add Sections
to an Act in relation to fire protection districts. Third
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Pangle."

Pangle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 223 is a Bill that sets up a mechanism for a fee structure for fire protection districts to charge for fires of vehicles that are not in their fire protection district, as far as paying their tax into that district. The reason for the Bill is that downstate and along interstate highways the fire protection districts is often called on to go onto that interstate and put out truck fires, car fires and so forth. And, in fact, in some fire protection districts, as much as 75 percent of their protection district tax dollars is spent on putting out fires for vehicles and so forth other than the folks that pay for that protection within their fire protection district. What this Bill does is it sets up a mechanism

14th Legislative Day

March 13. 1985

for each fire protection district to charge \$25 per hour per vehicle and \$10 per man for firefighter per hour to go into their fire protection district fund. And it is something that is much needed, especially in the downstate fire districts, due to the fact that the people that actually pay the tax dollars into the fire protection district are not getting the service, the training that they could have because the money that they have is being spent on interstate and so forth with people that really don't live in their fire protection district. And I'll be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kankakee has moved for the passage of House Bill 223. Does anyone stand in opposition? The Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Olson: "Representative Pangle, I think I understand the thrust of the Bill. This does not have any relevance to those fire protection districts that offer ambulance service. This is strictly in the area of fire protection. Is that correct?"

Pangle: "That's correct."

Olson: "Alright. Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open and this is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'aye', 'I voting 'no', 'I voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading, on page three of the Calendar, appears House Bill 'I3. Mr. Leverenz? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 13, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 13 has been amended. It doesn't do anything that the original Bill did. The original Bill was a repealer and what we have done is used that Bill for Amendment purposes. The Amendment would people using streets to jog on would basically follow the same rules as a pedestrian walking on a street: that they would use the left side of the highway and that they would jog toward traffic and that they would wear bright colored clothing during daylight hours. second thing that the Bill did also is put into law the organizations that have meets and runs do already with the Illinois Department of Transportation, and that is that an organization that wants to use a state highway for a ten mile run or whatever simply writes a letter to the Department of Transportation requesting the use and the Department of Transportation sends them back a authorizing the use of the highway. So, I would ask for your 'aye' vote and pass House Bill 13 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, has moved for the passage of House Bill 13. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Cullerton: "Representative Leverenz, on page two of your Amendment to this Bill it appears that you are going to require that a jogger wear some type of clothing that is visible from a distance of at least a 100 feet, is that

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

correct?"

Leverenz: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "The purpose of this requirement would be to protect that individual jogger from being hit by a vehicle, is that correct?"

Leverenz: "That's true."

Cullerton: "So that what this Bill would do would be to stand for
the proposition that the government in certain
circumstances has the right to tell people what to wear in
order to make it safer on our state highways, isn't that
correct?"

Leverenz: "I would agree with that simply... much the same logic as your seatbelt, yes."

Cullerton: "And also it's very similar to the deer hunting statute which requires deer hunters to wear a specific amount of square inches of reflective clothing so that the deer hunters are safe when they're out hunting for deer, is that correct?"

Leverenz: "Yes, that's correct."

Cullerton: "Well, I think this is an excellent idea, Mr. Speaker.

I think it's consistent with other laws that we've passed,
and I think that it's certainly within the power of the
government because it deals with the safety of its
citizens. And I think it should be passed."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Dewitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And for Mr. Cullerton's benefit

I would say that he didn't need to wake me up, I'd already

read this Bill. I would like... I wonder if the Sponsor

might yield for questions?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Vinson: "Representative, I have several concerns about this Bill.

What constitutes a two-way street or highway in Illinois?

Is there a definition in the statutes on that?"

14th Legislative Day

- March 13, 1985
- Leverenz: "Yes, I would think so. It's the one with the stripe in the center."
- Vinson: "Is there a definition, though, in the statute that defines what a street or highway is?"
- Leverenz: "You know, I really don't know. I think it might be opposite. They define what a one-way street is. That's the one with the one-way signs."
- Winson: "Well, here's one of my concerns about this Bill and maybe you can explain it."
- Leverenz: "I'm very sorry that Representative Cullerton woke you up."
- Vinson: "No, I say I woke up before he spoke on the Bill."
- Leverenz: "I'm still very sorry."
- Vinson: "Are you familiar with the jogging path along Lake Michigan in Lincoln Park, for instance?"
- Leverenz: "Am I familiar with it? No. Are you?"
- Vinson: "My question is, would that constitute a street or a highway under this..."
- Leverenz: "No, not at all. That's a... As a matter of fact, I think all of those paths are cinder paths through all the parks up there."
- Vinson: "No. A number of them are paved. And there is automobile traffic. Some limited automobile traffic on those paths."
- Leverenz: "The whole lakeshore, however, is part of the park district rather than the state right-of-way."
- Vinson: "So, this would... this only applies to state right-of-way."
- Leverenz: "That's right."
- Vinson: "It does not apply at anytime to municipal right-of-way."
- Leverenz: "Oh, yes, it does, too. The second part of it is state highway with getting a letter authorizing an organization to use the city... or the state highway as they are doing

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

currently. And the first part sets forth that when you're using a city street, village street, a municipal street, as in a country setting, you would walk on the left side against traffic. We are putting in there that joggers will also use the left side and run against traffic."

Vinson: "Okay, now does that apply even if the street has not been formerly used as a street, but is a dedicated street?"

Leverenz: "If it isn't a street..."

Vinson: "If it's not used as a street but actually is carried on the plat map of the municipality as a street."

Leverenz: "Probably not. The street wouldn't be paved. It could be dedicated. There are streets like that in the Village of Westchester where they have sidewalks as a matter of fact. The streets are in, but the property currently is being purchased by the state piecemeal. I would suggest not."

Vinson: "It would not apply in that case."

Leverenz: "Correct."

Vinson: "Okay, now the language in regard to clothing. There's a standard there about visibility."

Leverenz: "Correct."

Vinson: "What if it's a foggy day and you can't see anything a 100 feet away? Is this a standard... a clear day standard that would also apply on a foggy day standard..."

Leverenz: "These are reasonable. As a matter of fact, the ones for hunters are more severe. They actually set forth the number of square inches of material that you have on your body. This just simply provides for orange, yellow, white or silver reflecting material. Normally it's a headband, or a vest, or a belt, or a leg band."

Vinson: "I understand that, and I wear those things when I jog.

My interest in this Bill, Representative, is not... does

not stem from my interest in seatbelts. It stems from my

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

- interest as a jogger. I do have a conflict of interest on this. I will vote my conscience on it. But I still want to determine what it is I'm now required to wear. If I wear something that under normal visibility conditions at dusk and dawn is visible from a 100... is it a 100 feet... from a 100 feet away. On a foggy day when that's not visible from a 100 feet away, am I legal jogging or not?"
- Leverenz: "If you determine that it's too foggy, I would think
  your conscience would also be your guide, and we're just
  trying to protect you. Would you like to be a Cosponsor of
  this?"
- Vinson: "Well, I want to find out first, if I wear something that's normally visible from a 100 feet away, but on this specific day is not visible because it is a foggy day, am I legal or illegal?"
- Leverenz: "You have a chance probably of being stopped by a police officer and told because it's too foggy you're not legal. But I would suggest that maybe the police officer would have a better chance not to hit you if you weren't out there in the first place."
- Vinson: "I wonder if you would take this back to Second Reading for purposes of clarifying Amendment particularly regarding that issue."
- Leverenz: "I don't think so."
- Vinson: "Well, don't you think that what you're really doing here
  is a Bill that's against public health because it's going
  to limit jogging on foggy days?"
- Leverenz: "Well, I don't know. I didn't talk to the Medical Society. Could you give us your signals from them? Are they for this or against this?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Bill in response to the Gentleman's question."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake,

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for some questions?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

- Churchill: "Representative Leverenz, there appears to be a conflict in the Bill between Subsection II and Subsection III. In the first part it talks about jogging in a municipality, and then in the other part it says that you have to get permission to jog on a state highway. What happens if the state highway were running through the municipality and it was a 40 mph highway within a municipality, would you have to get permission or not?"
- Leverenz: "That's correct. If it is a state highway, they have to get written permission if it's a group. The same thing that they do now. We asked them what their rules and regulations were for using a state highway in a group situation and that's what we put in the Vehicle Code."
- Churchill: "So, in other words if a jogger came out of his subdivision in a municipality, ran out on a state highway and down it for a quarter of a block, at that point he needs permission to run into a jogging area."
- Leverenz: "It could be an individual or group that they're using the state highway. They have to get written permission and that's what they are doing currently."
- Churchill: "In this Bill, it seems to indicate that a person may run on a highway. What happens if there's a sidewalk running along the highway? Can they run on either the highway or the sidewalk?"

Leverenz: "Yes."

Churchill: "So, in other words even though it may be safer for the jogger or for people driving along the highway to have that person on the sidewalk there's no requirement that the person ride... or jog on the sidewalk. They have the

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

option of choosing."

- Leverenz: "As the Vehicle Code stands now, the possibility is that a police officer could issue a person a ticket because they're a pedestrian. In fact, they're a jogger and not a pedestrian and that has already been ruled on by the courts. Therefore, that's the cause to bring forward something that covers joggers only and I believe Representative... the Representative that spoke prior to you would tell you that jogging on an asphalt street is in fact more healthy than jogging on a sidewalk."
- Churchill: "Do you have any estimate of how many people have been injured or killed in the past year in the State of Illinois for jogging on highways?"
- Leverenz: "No, but it is less than killed on the toll road changing tires."
- Churchill: "Our analysis indicates there were 263 pedestrians killed and 9,759 injured on Illinois highways in 1983 according to the Illinois Department of Transportation.

  Isn't this Bill..."
- Leverenz: "You mean the Department of Transportation specifically has kept track of joggers and the incidents of joggers or are you saying all incidents of accident?"
- Churchill: "I'm just reading what the analysis says and it says pedestrians killed. So, I don't think it's..."
- Leverenz: "Those are pedestrians. These are joggers. That's a different category and they do not, in fact, keep track of them."
- Churchill: "They do not keep track of the joggers so you wouldn't be able to break that out."
- Leverenz: "That's right."
- Churchill: "Okay, but I think you would agree that by permitting them to run on the highway where there is a sidewalk there is some increase in the possibility that there will be a...

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

dangerous accidents and possibly some of the joggers may be killed or injured."

Leverenz: "That's exactly why we're laying out in the law that they do it during daylight hours, and that they do wear brightly colored clothing and that they do jog toward traffic, so that if they're doing something wrong, they can be sited for it."

Churchill: "One last question, Representative Leverenz. Does the word jogger also include streakers?"

Leverenz: "That depends. In the case of a trench coat."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Vitek."

Witek: "Will the Sponsor answer one question for me?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Vitek: "Ted, you're talking about jogging. I see guys standing on the highway looking for a lift. Now, that's against the law. Is that right? Trying to get a lift on a state highway."

Leverenz: "Yes, they got a thumb up."

Vitek: "It's against the law."

Leverenz: "They got a thumb up. They're not moving. so, therefore, they're not joggers."

Vitek: "Alright. Now, my question is, would your Bill... it repeals the regulating pedestrians walking on the highways.

How does it affect the guy that's got his thumb out there looking for a lift? Am I allowed now to pick him up? Or will I be breaking the law by picking him up?"

Leverenz: "You'd probably still be breaking the law by picking him or her up."

Vitek: "Well, then I have to oppose this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook... from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. Giorgi."

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Giorgi: "Mr. Sponsor, is there a relief mechanism here in case the Department of Transportation denies your request?"

Leverenz: "No."

Giorgi: "You mean there's no appeal from this Section? Where do you paste the letter, on your forehead?"

Leverenz: "You know, if you had your way, they'd probably all have license plates."

Giorgi: "I think it'd be better if you tattooed them on their forehead... the permission."

Leverenz: "Good idea."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn, J: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Dunn, J.: "There was some discussion about sidewalks. This legislation doesn't have anything to do with jogging or not jogging or what kind of apparell to wear for those who jog on sidewalks, does it?"

Leverenz: "Only when you're in the street."

Dunn, J.: "Well, very few sidewalks are in the street so I guess... This Bill doesn't apply to sidewalks then, I guess, is that right?"

Leverenz: "That is correct."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair has moved the previous question. All those in favor that the previous question be put signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the previous question will be put. Mr. Leverenz, to close."

Leverenz: "Hr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd simply ask for your 'aye' vote after all of the discussion so that we set forth the requirements for joggers that jog

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

in the street against traffic and we'll go with that. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 13 pass?"

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed

vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This is final action. Mr.

Mays, one minute to explain your vote."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Obviously this is one of the most far-reaching Bills this Assembly has had the opportunity to address thus far. But also obviously, the Sponsor has indicated an in-depth knowledge and willingness to deal with the topic at hand, and I think we ought to support him despite its obvious flaws such as it does not get into regulating the speed of the joggers or the penalties for speeding as a jogger. But we ought to go ahead and back this Bill and send it on out and let those types of things be addressed by the appropriate deliberative Body across the hall."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, to explain his vote."

Leverenz: "Well, my counsel, Representative Cullerton, suggests I take it out of the record. I don't if the Bill number is wrong. That might be a problem. Representative Vitek just wants to vote red. It's a hitchhiking Bill, I guess, in his mind. Could the Chair tell me how many votes it takes to pass this beauty?"

Speaker Greiman: "You need two more friends. I takes 47 wotes."

Leverenz: "I need 47 just to put it on Postponed?"

Speaker Greiman: "Right."

Leverenz: "Well, let it run."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all... Well, excuse me. The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, one minute to explain your vote."

Hastert: "Just an explanation on my vote and asking a question.

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Is this the Sponsor's first Bill perhaps?"

- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 52 voting 'aye', 48 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, requests the Bill be put on the Order of Consideration Postponed and it will be so ordered. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 87. Mr. Bowman? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 195.
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 195, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of an Act to create the State Universities Civil

  Service System. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Miss Satterthwaite.

  Yes, Mr. Clerk, change the number, would you?"
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is Bill that was passed overwhelmingly by the House last year. but the Senate decided not to move things that they felt were not emergency measures, and so I've reintroduced legislation. What this does is provide for a mechanism for people who come under the jurisdiction of the Universities Civil Service System to apply for responsibility leaves for a maximum of one year. We passed a similar piece of legislation during the 83rd General Assembly under Representative Zwick's sponsorship that applied to state employees in the state agencies and this would simply put parallel language in the statutes for university employees. I would be happy to respond to questions and otherwise ask for the passage of the
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from... The Lady from Champaign has moved for the passage of House Bill 195. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

Hallock: "With universities all under different systems, does this apply to all university professors in the State of Illinois?"

Satterthwaite: "This applies to the State Universities Civil

Service System and I believe that the nonacademic employees

for each of those systems come under this."

Hallock: "So, all employees at all the institutions - Northern, U

of I and so on - would all be covered by this Bill."

Satterthwaite: "Yes, that's my understanding."

Hallock: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

Cullerton: "Representative Satterthwaite, my question regards the issue of seniority. The Bill indicates that seniority, quote, 'shall not be reduced'. Does that mean that the seniority would be told or does it mean that the seniority would continue to accrue?"

Satterthwaite: "I really don't know the answer to that guestion.

I would assume that that is something that could be clarified in the rules and regulations that the merit board would issue under the law."

Cullerton: "What is your intent?"

Satterthwaite: "It is not a question that I have even considered before. I would think that we would need to use whatever example is being used for state employees in the state agencies as a guide since the language is the same otherwise."

Cullerton: "Well, do you know whether or not ... "

Satterthwaite: "I do not know. Perhaps Representative Zwick may

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

know the answer to that question. But I would presume that it would go into effect the same way that the state agencies have applied it."

Cullerton: "Okay. Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will yield for a question."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question relative to the hypothetical question, assuming an instructor is teaching a particular class that may be dropped during that period of time that that person is taking leave, this Bill indicates that the person shall be rehired in the same position.

What kind of a posture are we in if that particular course is eliminated from the institution?"

Satterthwaite: "I believe that this does not apply to the academic positions. These are for nonacademic positions."

Ropp: "Oh, in other words like..."

Satterthwaite: "It is not the teaching staff. They are not covered by this Section of the statutes."

Ropp: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

Ryder: "Thank you. Who is it that decides the family responsibility definition? I notice in the Bill it gives certain examples. Were they meant to be inclusive or are there other circumstances that would comply?"

Satterthwaite: "Well, certainly in regard to the rules and regulations that the merit board is required to issue, if there is a need for further definition, that could be established by that route. I think, however, that it is fairly clear in the language of the Bill where it indicates that it is to be something relative to a situation where

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

the employee would find it impossible to have uninterrupted employment with the institution if they were not to take this leave. So, it's not something that is to be done to say take a two day leave at some particular time, but it's rather where there is an ongoing family responsibility that would preclude that employee from performing regular duties for the period of time that they're requesting to leave. And one of the things that it will do, I believe, is to eliminate absenteeism of the sort where a family member may need attention a particular day and someone would be absent because they could not fulfill their employment duties that day. This would give an opportunity on a longer term basis for them to decide to take the leave."

- Byder: "Am I to understand that there is a minimum? I believe the maximum states up to one year. Is there a minimum that it shall not be less than?"
- Satterthwaite: "No, the language does not include a minimum. I would assume, however, that an employee would not even take this route unless it were to be a fairly long leave of absence that was needed."
- Ryder: "But the statute... or as you propose it, the statute
  doesn't indicate that."
- Satterthwaite: "That's right."
- Byder: "Does the statute as proposed indicate who is to make any decision concerning whether the person's reason complies with the intent?"
- Satterthwaite: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question."
- Ryder: "Does your Bill provide any means by which a test is made concerning the reason or is it simply the employee files for this, says that I have a family responsibility and, therefore, I wish to have a leave for the next two weeks?"
- Satterthwaite: "The final language in the Bill indicates that the merit board will promulgate rules to implement the

14th Legislative Day

- March 13, 1985
- legislation. And so I would assume in that process they would establish a method of reviewing the request and determining whether or not that was a valid request.
- Ryder: "And it... You... It is your understanding that the board would be the people that would make the review or is that the supervisor of the individual employee?"
- Satterthwaite: "The board would issue the rules and regulations under which that review would occur."
- Ryder: "So, it could be the individual supervisor?"
- Satterthwaite: "I would assume that if the board puts that into its rules and regulations that it could be the supervisor, yes."
- Ryder: "I have a little problem with another portion of the Bill to which I'd like to ask some questions, indicating that even up to a year later this individual could come back and obtain the same job. Is that the intent of the Bill?"
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know what kinds of games we're playing today, but I suggest that we take the Bill out of the record."
- Ryder: "Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, the Bill will be out of the record.

  On the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears House
  Bill 485. Out of the record. Your attention is directed
  now to page four of the Calendar, on the Order of Speaker's
  Table, appears House Resolution 87. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 97 concerns the House Revenue Committee regarding tax collection procedures of this state. Rules Committee recommends 'be adopted'.
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan. Mr. Madigan on House Resolution 87. Mr. Cullerton, will you take that? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

of the House. I move for the adoption of House Resolution #87, which asks the House Revenue Committee to examine questions relating to the Amnesty Program, consult with the Department of Revenue and report its findings and recommendations to this Body by June 30, 1985."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 87. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Resolution be adopted? All those in favor signify voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Mr. Harris, would you... Mr. Harris, are you on this? Alright. Mr. Kirkland, over there, would you do the honors for him? Alright. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'ave', none voting 'no', | voting 'present'. And the House does adopted House Resolution 87. Returning to the Order of House Bills Second Reading, on page two of the Calendar, appears House Bill 332. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 332, a Bill for an Act to add Sections
to the Criminal Code of 1961. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Koehler and McCracken."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Henry, Miss Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 332 was introduced to clear up an unintended omission in the law regarding periodic imprisonment or work release. The law did not provide

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

penalties or an expedient method whereby a sheriff could quickly apprehend an individual who did not report for their work release. The Bill had a thorough review in Judiciary II Committee and passed out 12 to nothing with the understanding that a technical Amendment would be presented on the floor. This is the technical Amendment, Amendment \$2, and it has been agreed to by both the Chairman of the Committee and Minority Spokesman. And I would ask the adoption of Amendment \$2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Marshall has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 332. And on that is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she'll yield for a question."

Leverenz: "What technicality does it clear up?"

Roehler: "The Amendment itself clears up an original problem in the Bill... in the statutes as it was passed two years ago.

It takes the language out, 'or charged with the commission of a felony', on line 16 and 17 of page one. And it also takes out the same language on page two, 45 and 46."

Leverenz: "What is the language being taken out for?"

Koehler: "It is being taken out at the request of Chairman Cullerton of the Committee. He thought it was unnecessary."

Leverenz: "What impact then would the Amendment have, for example, on the sheriff in Cook County?"

Koehler: "Well, apparently a good one since Chairman Cullerton comes from Cook County."

Leverenz: "Are you sure about that?"

Koehler: "Well, you would have to ask Mr. Cullerton whether or not he still resides in Cook County."

Leverenz: "Or was that just a flippant remark?"

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

- Koehler: "Well, Mr. Cullerton would have to answer whether or not it was a flippant remark when he stated he was from Cook County."
- Leverenz: "Does the Amendment differentiate then in terms of the individual crime the person committed that didn't show up?"
- Koehler: "Mr. Speaker, would you have the Gentleman repeat his question? I'm having difficulty hearing it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz, repeat the question."
- Leverenz: "Does it differentiate on the crime that was committed that the person was convicted of that they are out, whether they go after them or not?"
- Koehler: "Pardon? Would you repeat that question, Mr. Leverenz?"

  Leverenz: "Does it cause someone to differentiate in terms of

  pursuing that individual that did not show up? Does it

  differentiate because of the crime they committed?"
- Koehler: "Well, the original legislation, Mr. Leverenz, allowed the sheriff to go after that individual if they did not show up for... after they had been on furlow. But the law did not provide that that person who did not show up for the first time of periodic imprisonment, there was no mechanism whereby that individual could... could be charged with an offense for simply not showing up. And there were several instances in McLean County, I believe it numbered in the twenties, of individuals who did not show up for that first time and the sheriff did not have the ability to immediately go after that individual who did not show up. And it was unintended that this was submitted from the legislation and it now has been corrected by this Amendment."

Leverenz: "Sounds confusing to me. Thank you."

- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Is that Cook, Mr. Cullerton? Is that correct?"

Cullerton: "Yes, I was born and raised... I was born in Chicago,

but I was raised in DuPage County. I left at an early age

to try to find a Democrat somewhere."

Speaker Greiman: "We have an insight on your voting record now,

Mr. Cullerton. Proceed. Sir."

Cullerton: "Right. I just thought I'd try to clarify if there's any confusion with regard to the Amendment. The purpose of the Bill was to provide that if someone was sentenced to periodic imprisonment and they didn't show up for the first day that they were suppose to appear that we deem that the offense of escape. Of course, if they showed up the first day but didn't come back the second day, it would escape. So, that was the purpose of the Bill. In drafting the Amendment, the Section that ... or first Amendment amended also dealt with people who are just charged with the commission of a felony; that is people who are awaiting trial. Clearly, people who are awaiting trial cannot be sentenced to periodic imprisonment and so all the Amendment does is take out that Section which applies to people who are awaiting trial and makes it clear it only applies to people who have been convicted and have been sentenced to the offense of periodic imprisonment. For that reason, I would support her Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson.
Further discussion? There being none, the question is,
'Shall the House adopt Amendment #2 to House Bill 332?'
All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed
'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and
the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Bill

14th Legislative Day

- March 13, 1985
- 129. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 129, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of an Act in relation to fire protection
  districts. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was
  adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Amendments filed... Floor
  Amendments filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hannig."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Hannig."
- Hannig: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

  This Bill is simply a cleanup Bill which has been proposed.

  And the Amendment which I'm offering to it today simply clarifies and cleans up some of the language which was put in improperly. It does not change the Bill in anyway and I know of no opposition to it. And I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macoupin has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 129. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Amendment #2 to House Bill 129?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

  In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 115, offered by Representative Williamson; 116, Matijevich and Friedrich; 117, DeJaegher: 118, Ronan and Kirkland. House Joint Resolution 19, offered by Representative Kulas."

14th Legislative Day

- March 13. 1985
- Speaker Greiman: "And on the Agreed Resolutions the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, 116 by Matijevich commends. DeJaegher's

  117 wishes another 80th birthday. Ronan's 118

  congratulates, and Kulas's 119 (sic 19) praises and I

  move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 115, offered by Representative Williamson, with respect to the memory of Mr. Walter P. Bykowski."
- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Giorgi, the Gentleman from Winnebago, moves for the adoption of the Death Resolution.

  All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Death Resolution is adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution #20, offered by Representative Hannig."
- Speaker Greiman: "Committee on Assignment. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne, seek recognition?"
- Van Duyne: "Thank must make this you, Mr. Speaker. I announcement. A couple э£ weeks ago Helen... Representative Satterthwaite and Representative Woodyard came to me as Chairmen of the Counties and Township Committee and requested that we give a hearing to the county board chairmen of the different counties State of Illinois before our Committee. I have no real information as to what they want to say, but nevertheless, they did ask for a hearing before our Committee so we are giving them that special consideration tomorrow and we've

### 14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

asked the Speaker for permission to use the House floor. So, the Committee has sent a letter to all 102 county board chairmen and invited them to appear before our Committee, and they are going to appear tomorrow. And I have no idea how many are going to accept the invitation, but they have all been invited and you are also as Representatives of those particular counties and... well, whatever county you come from, to be here to, you know, ingratiate yourself with them if you so desire. So, that's my announcement. You are invited immediately upon adjournment tomorrow afternoon on the House floor."

- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas, seek recognition?"
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The House Energy, Environment and Natural Resources Committee will not meet this However, there will be a short meeting at 4:00 afternoon. with the Director of the EPA, and I would urge all Members attend, especially the new Members. Also, former Representative DiPrima wishes to remind us to keep Wednesday, March 20, open so that you may be wined and dined by the Veterans of Foreign Wars. That's next Wednesday, March 20. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the announcement. Committee on Registration and Regulation will not today. Ιt will not meet today. Registration and Regulation. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Committees on Energy and Environment and on Registration and Regulation will not meet today. But at 4:00 Members of the Committee on Energy will have an opportunity to meet with the Director of the EPA. Yes, the

14th Legislative Day March 13, 1985

Gentleman from Fulton, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, purpose of an announcement concerning the House Judiciary Committee II meeting tomorrow and specifically the Criminal Procedures Subcommittee, which is scheduled to meet at 8:00 in Room C-1, will meet instead at 8:30 a.m. in Room C-1. That's the Criminal Procedures Subcommittee of Judiciary II meet at 8:30 a.m. in Room C-1 at the Stratton Office Building. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, for the Adjournment Resolution. Mr. McPike moves that the House do stand adjourned until the hour of 1:00 tomorrow, leaving the balance of the afternoon for the Clerk to receive filing of Bills, so that we will be in Perfunctory for the balance of the afternoon. A11 those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the House does stand adjourned until the hour οf 1:00 tomorrow."

O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading of Bills. Clerk Bill 700, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to protect workers in the general public from injury or death doing construction or repair of bridges and highways in the State of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 701, offered by Representative Ronan, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Community College Act. Reading o£ the Bill. House Bill 702, offered by Representative Kirkland, a Bill for an Act concerning working cash funds and amending certain Acts herein named. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 703. offered by Representative Breslin, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the

### 14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

House Bill 704, offered by Representative Mautino -Bill. Dunn - Cullerton - McNamara - Johnson - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 705. offered by Representative DeJaegher, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 706, offered by Representative Ronan, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 707, Representative Kirkland, a Bill for an Act to amend the Open Meetings Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 708, offered by Representative Capacelli, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 709. offered by Representative Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 710, offered by Representative Pangle, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Lottery Law. First Reading οf Bill. House Bill 711. the offered bу Representative Preston, a Bill for an Act in relation teachers and employees of public schools. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 712, offered by Representative Hannig, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 713, offered by Representative DeJaegher, a Bill for an Act in relation to uniformity of travel regulation for state officers and employees. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill offered by Representative Hojcik, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Township Law of 1874. First Reading House Bill 715, offered by Representative of the Bill. Nash and DeLeo, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Pharmacy Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 716, offered by Representative Bullock - Brookins -

### 14th Legislative Day

March 13. 1985

Giglio - Breslin and Ronan, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Development Finance Authority. Reading of the Bill. The Perfunctory Session stands at ease until call of the Chair. The House will be back in Perfunctory Session. Committee Reports. Representative John Dunn, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 13. 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass' House Bill 131 and 378; 'do pass amended! House Bill and 220; \*do pass Consent 204 Calendar' House Bill 35. Representative Terzich, of the Committee on Executive, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 13, 1985, reported the same back with the following recommendations: \* do pass\* House Bill 68. 333 and 356. Representative Alexander, Chairman of the Committee on Elections, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 13, 1985, reported the same back with following recommendation: pass as amended Consent Calendar! House Bill 338. Introduction and First Reading of Bills. House Bill 717. Giorgi - et al. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 718, Bowman - et al. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Juvenille Court Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 719, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act Sections of the Criminal Code. Pirst Reading of the amend Bill. House Bill 720, offered by Representative Madigan -Daniels and Greiman, a Bill for an Act to create the Citizens' Assembly and Citizens' Advocacy Councils. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 721, Breslin - Leverenz and Barnes, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of State Appellate Defender. First Reading of the Bill. No further

14th Legislative Day

March 13, 1985

business, the House now stands adjourned."

03y o

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

MARCH 13, 1985

	11 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1		
HB-0013	THIRD READING	PAGE	15
HB-0013		PAGE	25
HB-0023	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-0129	SECOND READING	PAGE	34
HB-0137	THIRD READING	PAGE	11
HB-0146	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-0156		PAGE	9
HB-0195		PAGE	25
HB-0195		PAGE	29
HB-0217		PAGE	10
HB-0223		PAGE	13
HB-0253		PAGE	8
HB-0284 HB-0332		PAGE	11
HB-0649		PAGE PAGE	30
HB-0650		PAGE	2
HB-0651		PAGE	2
нв-0652		PAGE	3
HB-0653		PAGE	3
HB-0654		PAGE	3
HB-0655		PAGE	3
нв-0656	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-0657	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-0658	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-0659		PAGE	3
HB-0660		PAGE	3
нв-0661		PAGE	4
HB-0662		PAGE	4
нв-0663	· · ·	PAGE	4
HB-0664		PAGE	4
HB-0665		PAGE	4
HB-0666 HB-0667		PAGE	4
HB-0668		PAGE	4
HB-0669		PAGE PAGE	4
нв-0670	·-	PAGE	4
HB-0671		PAGE	5
HB-0672		PAGE	5
HB-0673		PAGE	5
HB-0674	FIRST READING	PAGE	5
HB-0675		PAGE	5
HB-0676		PAGE	5
HB-0677		PAGE	5
HB-0678		PAGE	5
HB-0679		PAGE	5
HB-0680		PAGE	6
HB-0681	· · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PAGE	6
HB-0693		PAGE	6
HB-0684		PAGE PAGE	6 6
HB-0685		PAGE	6
HB-0686		PAGE	6
HB-0687	·	PAGE	6
HB-0688	FIRST READING	PAGE	6
HB-0689	FIRST READING	PAGE	6
HB-0690		PAGE	6
HB-0691	FIRST READING	PAGE	6
HB-0692		PAGE	6
HB-0693		PAGE	7
HB-0694		PAGE	7
HB-0695		PAGE	7
HB-0696 HB-0697		PAGE	7
HB-0699		PAGE	7
HB-0699		PAGE	7 7
110-0033	IIAJI REMUING	PAGE	,

(2) 37 · · ·

## STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

### MARCH 13, 1985

HB-0700	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 37
HB-0701	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 37
HB-0702	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 37
HB-0703	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 37
HB-0704	FIRST	READING	PAG	
HB-0705	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 38
HB-0706	FIRST	READING	PAG	-
HB-0707	FIRST	READING	PAG	
HB-0708	FIRST	READING	PAG	
HB-0709		READING	PAG	
HB-0710		READING	PAG	
HB-0711			PAG	
		READING	PAG	
		READING	PAG	
		READING	PAG	
8B-0715		READING	PAG	
HB-0716			PAG	
HB-0717		READING	PAG	
HB-0718		READING	PAG	
HB-0719			PAG	
		READING		
		READING	PAG	
			PAG	
HR-0087	ADOPTI	ន្តហ	PAG	E 29

### SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND PHILLIP ICENOGLE	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	34
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	35
GENERAL RESOLUTION	PAGE	35
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	37
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	37
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	39
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	39