

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Sister Bernadett McManigal who is with the Sisters of Charity of the Blessed Virgin Mary. Sister Bernadett is a guest of Representative Peg Breslin. Would the guests in the gallery please rise to join us in the invocation?"

Sister Bernadett McManigal: "God, our Creator Father, You have made us a people - dreamers and doers - cradling in our hearts a fragile future. We are a people, Father, chosen no more than any other nation, state or people, and yet, together with every other nation and state, we belong to You. Wanting You, we are one with all people. In our efforts to be united, help us to trust in You, O God, and not in the work of our hands. Help us to trust even when fear threatens to corrode our dreams of peace for we earnestly seek that day when the world will find bread in our arsenals and war shattered by peace. We are a people, O God, who long to be free. May our poor be free from hunger and our wealthy free from indifference. May those who grow old know days free of loneliness and those who grow young live years free of selfishness. May the weak live free of oppression and the strong free of the power that enslaves. Grant us the vision of your dream, Creator God, lest blindly bound to our own dreams we find ourselves enslaved. We are a people in quest of justice for all, struggling to unravel injustice while striving to weave Your justice. Our hearts are not one, despite the laws that proclaim us so. Our destinies are not one, despite the opportunities promised. We are not united despite a common citizenship and a land both generous and fruitful. And yet, we are dreamers seeking justice by law, not in spite

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

of it, striving to shape a common destiny because You promised Your presence, struggling to distribute opportunities because we believe in the power of Your love. Be with us, O God, as we seek dreams of justice, especially in this Legislative Session. Drive us on by Your presence lest patience dilute our pursuit. Let us respond to Your call to be our best selves - representatives of all the people. God, our Father, You have made us, the people of Illinois, dreamers and doers, cradling in our hearts a fragile future. May Your Spirit bring new hope to that future, new vision to those dreams and new fruitfulness to our actions. Amen."

Speaker Madigan: "We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Madigan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. McFike, are there any excused absences? Mr. Webb, are there any excused absences? Oh, Mr. Daniels has arrived. Let the record show that there are no excused absences. Mr. Clerk, take the Attendance Roll Call. There being 113 Members responding to the Attendance Roll Call, there is a quorum present. Ladies and Gentlemen, if I could have your attention at the podium, we have with us, today, two new Members of the House of Representatives. One of those Members is Sharon Markette from the City of Chicago. Sharon will take her oath of office as administered by Judge Jeanne Scott, an Associate Judge of Sangamon County. Judge Scott."

Judge Scott: "Would you raise your right hand please, and repeat after me? I, state your name, do solemnly swear..."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Markette: "I, Sharon Markette, do solemnly swear..."

Judge Scott: "...that I will support the Constitution of the United States..."

Markette: "...that I will support the Constitution of the United States..."

Judge Scott: "...and the Constitution of the State of Illinois..."

Markette: "...and the Constitution of the State of Illinois..."

Judge Scott: "...and that I will faithfully discharge the duties..."

Markette: "...and that I will faithfully discharge the duties..."

Judge Scott: "...of Representative of the 17th Legislative District..."

Markette: "...as Representative of the 17th Legislative District..."

Judge Scott: "...to the best of my ability."

Markette: "...to the best of my ability."

Judge Scott: "Congratulations."

Markette: "Thank you. To Speaker Mike Madigan, to all my colleagues, to my family and friends, it is, indeed, an honor and a pleasure to be here today to be assembling among such great leaders as yourselves. I look forward to working with each and every one of you, and this is history making for my family and for the people of the 17th Legislative District. I'm not going to talk long. I understand that we have a lot at hand, so I'd like to the turn the floor back over to the gracious and most comfortable, Mike Madigan. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Representative Daniels for the purpose of an announcement."

Daniels: "Mr. 'Gracious and Most Comfortable', I... Now... Now you're also a compassionate computer. I, too, am very pleased today to be able to introduce to all of you a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Gentleman that is our newest Republican Representative, Tom Ryder, who is sitting in Representative Reilly's former seat and now Mr. Ryder's seat. As I'm sure you're all aware, Tom was named in August to replace Jim Reilly who's now the Governor's Counsel. So all of you that treated him poorly before, you're going to pay for it now. But, of course, Jim is a tough act to follow from his district, but I'll tell you something, I'm very proud that we found Tom Ryder, because he is a man that will meet all of the expectations. Tom is 34 years old. He and his wife, Peggy, have two toddlers, Joshua and Timothy. Tom lives in Jerseyville, Illinois, where he is an attorney. He's been a public defender for Jersey County and is now the Jerseyville City Attorney. Tom is a magna cum laude graduate of Northern Illinois University and received his J.D. from Washington and Lee University in Lexington, Virginia. Tom is also a farmer, having purchased his grandmother's 185 acre farm near Goodhouse after her demise in 1979. He grew up on a farm, and his dad still farms in Green County, Illinois. Tom, on behalf of all of the Members of the House, we want to welcome you to the Illinois General Assembly. We're looking forward to working with you, and on behalf of all of us, I'm proud to say, it's a real pleasure to have you join us. Congratulations, Tom. Tom Ryder."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, Minority Leader - most gracious Minority Leader - he's already.... First time I spoke and he's already correcting me. I appreciate the warm welcome that you've given me. I will do my utmost to earn the respect and honor that it deserves. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, let the Attendance Roll Call show that Representative Markette is recorded as 'present'."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "Attendance Roll Call shall indicate Representative Markette in attendance."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, do you have any business? Ladies and Gentlemen, if I could have your attention for a few moments, I would like to explain the plan for today, so if I could have your attention and if you would all turn to page three of the Calendar, at the bottom of page three of the Calendar, you will see that we have set certain veto Motions under Subject Matter Calls. We shall go to that Order of Business tomorrow at 2 p.m. As we move down the Calendar, when we come upon a Bill which is already on a Subject Matter Call, we shall pass over that particular Bill, because we expect that it will be called at the time that the Subject Matter Call is taken. Approximately at 3:30, we shall go to the Order of Motions that take Bills from the table if those Bills have been exempted by action of the House Rules Committee. So this would apply to the category of Conference Committee Reports and just simply Bills that have been placed on the table. And we will entertain Motions at that time to take those matters from the table. It is the plan to work to approximately 7:30 this evening so that we can give every Member an opportunity to consider their Motions. On page four of the Calendar, under the Order of Total Vetoes, there appears House Bill 20, Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi, did you plan to call this Bill during the Session? Shall we remove this Bill from the Calendar? Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I do not plan to call that Bill for action this Session."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Giorgi. If the Membership could turn their attention to page nine, under the Order of Total Veto Motions, we will pass over those Motions where there is an indication that the Motion will

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

appear on a Subject Matter Call; and, therefore, we shall go to House Bill 97. Mr. Matijevich, do you wish to call your Motion?"

Matijevich: "No, Mr. Speaker, I want to call it at some time during the Session, but right now, I'm deliberating with the Governor's Office, hoping that probation is involved in the whole matter of the prison overcrowding..."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Matijevich. The Chair recognizes Mr. Friedrich. The Chair recognizes Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, we'd like to request a Republican Conference in Room 118 immediately."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels, could you tell us how long you would be?"

Daniels: "Four days. Excuse me. Forty-five minutes at the most."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine. So shall we plan to be back on the floor at 1:15?"

Daniels: "Yes, Sir, between 1:15 and 1:30, if that's alright with you, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Anything's okay with me."

Daniels: "Thank you, Sir - most gracious."

Speaker Madigan: "So the House shall stand in recess for the purpose of a Republican Caucus until 1:15 or 1:30. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The House will come to order. The House will come to order. The Members come to their seats. Is Mike Pollack here? Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative John Dunn, Chairman from the Committee on Transportation and Motor Vehicles, to which the following Bill was referred, action taken October 9... 18, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass as amended' Senate Bill 44."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Matijevidich: "While... While some of the attendance is coming back to the floor... No? Are you ready Zeke? No. Okay. Strike that. On page nine of the Calendar, under Total Veto Motions, House Bill 307, Birkinbine. Does he wish to proceed? House Bill 312, Representative Taylor. House Bill 349, Representative John O'Connell. Oh, that's on a Special Call. I'm sorry. House Bill 366, Representative Terzich. Page nine of the Calendar, House Bill 482, Terzich. Out of the record. 48... House Bill 487, Representative DiPrima. Does not wish to call his Motion. House Bill 488, Representative Rea. No. Out of the record on the Motion. House Bill 522, Representative Hawkinson. Out of the record. House Bill 662, Fangle. Out of the record. House Bill 682, out of the record. House Bill 730, Jim Rea. Out. House Bill 743, Kirkland. House Bill 791, Richmond. I think it's about time that I ask. Is there any Member that has a Motion - a Total Veto Motion - on which they would like proceed? I don't see anybody raising their hand. While we're waiting to have more Members drift in, we are going to call the Agreed Resolutions. There's a number of Resolutions that have been agreed to. Clerk will read the Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 466, Stuffle; 467, Didrickson; 468, Topinka; 469, Topinka; 470, Madigan; 471, Didrickson; 472, Daniels; 473, Mulcahey; 474, Satterthwaite et al; 475, Didrickson; 479, Barnes; 480, Topinka; 481, McGann; 482, McGann; 483, Rice; 484, Giglio; 485, Wojcik; 487, Curran; 488, Brockins; 490, Matijevidich et al; 491, Matijevidich and Churchill; 492, Matijevidich, Giorgi and Churchill; 494, Yourell; 495, Yourell; 496, Yourell; 497, Yourell; 498, Ralph Dunn and Richmond; 499, Ralph Dunn; 500, Roman; 501, Oblinger; 504, Brummer; 505, Topinka; 506, Topinka; 507, Van Dwyne and Christensen; and 511, Bullock. Also, House

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Joint Resolution 73, Woodyard - Stuffle; House Joint Resolution 74, Topinka; 75, Daniels; 76, Giorgi - Madigan - et al; 77, Friedrich - et al; 78, DiPrima - et al; 79, Terzich - Vinson - et al; and 80, DiPrima - et al."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, on the Agreed Resolutions."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House 466, by Stuffle, congratulates the Teamster Local in Danville; 467, by Didrickson, congratulates a Special Citizen of the Year Award; 468, by Topinka, commends the Hinsdale Humane Society; 469, by Topinka, congratulates the executive committee of a festival at St... St. (sic - SS.) Lauretana; Madison's... Madigan's 470 notes a retirement; 471 by Didrickson tells us of an Eagle Scout Award; 472 by Daniels highlights the trustees of the Village of Addison in their willingness to face up to their problem that plagues the entire state; 473, by Mulcahey, honors a scout; 474, by Satterthwaite, tells us of an outstanding professional career; 475, by Didrickson, notes an action by a Knights of Columbus; 479, by Barnes, tells us of a 10th Anniversary; Topinka's 480 honors the Brookfield Post 2868; 481, by McGone... McGann, honors a retirement village; 482, by McGann, tells us of a Mrs. Florence Welch; 483, by Rice, heralds a Little League Baseball Championship; 484, by Giglio, celebrates a Silver Jubilee; 485, by Wojcik, honors outstanding achievements; 487, by Curran, congratulates Naomi E. Lynn; 488, by Brookins, tells us about a Dr. A. R. Leak; 490, by Matijevich, tells the world that the American Association of Retired Persons was incorporated 25 years ago; 491, by Matijevich, heralds the saving of a life by Dennis Mivshek; 492... 492, by Matijevich, speaks about Ross Aiuppy; 494, by Yourell, again honors a Boy Scout; 495, by Yourell, tells us about Lane's School in Alsip; 496, by Yourell,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

notes Jean M. Gallagher of Oak Lawn; 497, by Yourell, commends Jenny Leehneer; 498, by Lunn, recognizes 50 years of exceptional service; 499, by Dunn, another Eagle Scout Award; 500, by Ronan, tells us about and lauds Frank Giglio in celebrating 10 years as Democratic Committeeman of Thornton Township; 504, by Brummer, notes a 100th birthday; 505, by Topinka, sings about a 65th anniversary; Topinka sings this on the Illinois League of Municipal Employees that will celebrate its 50th anniversary; Van Duyn's 507 spreads on the record an induction in the Naismith Basketball Hall of Fame; 511, by Bullock, tells about the Mercy Hospital's 130 years of dedicated service; and 501, by Oblinger, congratulates the Capital Area AEYC. Those are the... Those are the Resolutions - Agreed Resolutions - that have accumulated since adjournment, I guess. The House Joint 73, by Woodyard, tells us about gallantry and about the recipient of a Silver Star for Gallantry; 74, by Topinka, notes the Order of Merit of the Federal Republic of Germany; Daniels, Joint... House Joint 75 wants to enhance the community awareness of the problem caused by substantive (sic - substance) abuse; Giorgi - Madigan, 76 asks that the imports from Japan be contained so that they won't jeopardize the American jobs; 77, by Friedrich, asks that the... diligent search be made for qualified candidates for appointments as Auditor General by the General Assembly; 78, by DiPrima, picks a Man of the Year; 79, by Terzich, heralds the long association of a person with the Illinois Public Employees' Pension Laws Commission; and 80, by DiPrima, again talks about veterans' actions, urges the present Congress of the United States to call actions available to ease the plight of our country's veterans. I move for the adoption of all the Agreed Resolutions and House Joint Resolutions."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Matijevidich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Representative Vinson, are you seeking attention? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I was seeking recognition prior to the adoption of the Motion. And I just wonder if the Gentleman has cleared those on this side of the aisle. I think we have the prerogative to ask for that, and I did have my light on for quite a while."

Speaker Matijevidich: "He had said earlier that he had cleared them."

Vinson: "It's hard to understand him when he's addressing that point."

Speaker Matijevidich: "You're right. On page 17 of the Calendar, on the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions, appears House Bill 67, Gordon Ropp. Do you wish to proceed, Representative Ropp? Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as it pertains to House Bill 67 in that it did two things. It deleted the word 'probation office' and inserted in lieu of there the word 'court', which the Governor felt was a more appropriate manner in which to deal with that subject. And it also deleted an unnecessary word, and that word was 'the'. I support the Governor in his actions, and I urge the House to vote favorably on his action."

Speaker Matijevidich: "The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's recommendations on House Bill 67. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker, I just think as a procedural

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

matter on these amendatory vetoes, where we are asking to accept the amendatory veto that the Sponsor just briefly explain what the Bill does, rather than just tell us what the amendatory veto does. I just wonder if he could just briefly explain what the Bill does."

Speaker Matijevich: "Represent... Representative Ropp. Could we have the attention of the Members? Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Representative Cullerton, I appreciate that opportunity to speak on behalf of House Bill 67 which is a Bill that dealt with restitution, stating that the court has the permission to see that those victims should receive full compensation from the person who committed a particular crime rather than to previously allow the court to have the criminal pay on his basis or his ability to pay. It provides for payment in kind. It extends the period of time in which the person who is paying the victim is allowed, and I think it is a provision that has been long needed to give concern for the person who is a victim. And this Bill addresses that situation."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative John Dunn."

John Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

John Dunn: "One of the questions I had at the time this Bill was considered earlier, which I don't believe has been answered yet is, why do we need this Bill? Can't Judges do now what is recited in this Bill? We have a lot of people back home who don't want the statute books cluttered any more than we need to, and I thought a Judge could... could require restitution even without this statute."

Ropp: "This... This Bill, Representative, makes it more clear, and it gives the Judge, in my district and I'm sure

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

throughout the State of Illinois, the feeling that he is in a better position to do what he may have always wanted to do but felt under the law he could not do. And this Bill now allows him that authority."

John Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just very briefly to the Bill. I think the Judges are paid adequately to examine the circumstances of each case and use their discretion, and I really don't think we need this Bill cluttering up our statute books. So I would urge that we withhold our support for this legislation."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp to close."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This has a very important Bill. It's been one that provides, finally, full restitution to the victim, and I urge your favorable support."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 67 by the adoption of the Amendment. This is final action. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Requires 60 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? O'Connell 'aye'. The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 112 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 67 with the adoption of the Amendment. House Bill 84, Representative Carol Braun. Is Carol ready? Evidently not. House Bill 205, Jack Davis. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 205 had a controversial Amendment put on in the closing days of the Session that was objected to by Representative Greiman and others on the other side as well as on this side of the aisle dealing with filing of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

mortgage documents and recorders' fees for recorder of deeds in counties in the State of Illinois. After the Bill was passed - it did succeed through the process with that controversial Amendment - the Governor called in the Savings and Loan League and the mortgage institutions throughout the state and their representatives and, indeed, called in the recorders as well and worked out a compromise agreement that I think now is supported by everybody so that the mortgage documents, themselves, can still be sent in batches, but in each batch there is a fee of seven dollars for filing. I think everybody has agreed to it. To me... To my knowledge, there is no opposition. So, I would move now that we accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change contained in his amendatory veto on House Bill 205."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Davis has moved that we accept the Governor's specific recommendations with respect to House Bill 205. Is there discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 205 by the adoption of the Amendment?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Takes 60 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', no... 14 (sic - 114) 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 205 by the adoption of the Amendment. House Bill 292, Representative Ereslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask that we adopt the Governor's specific recommendations for change in House Bill 292. This Bill

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

allows corporate officers of small businesses to withdraw themselves from coverage under the Workers' Compensation Act. The Governor made two changes. The first one specifically defines corporate officers and changes the method for filing to withdrawing themselves from the Act. I agree with those changes. I think they are perfectly acceptable, and I suggest that we accept them."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Breslin has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations with respect to House Bill 292. Is there any discussion? If not, the Motion... is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations with respect to House Bill 292?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays'. The Motion prevails and receives the Constitutional Majority, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 292 by the adoption of the Amendment. House Bill 390, Representative Bullock. Out of the record. You want to go with it? Representative Bullock, House Bill 390. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. The Governor amended the Bill to, in essence, leave only one portion, and I have no disagreement with the portion that he's left. In consultation with the various associations, I find that the Governor's amendatory veto is acceptable. Basically, the Governor removed the items in the Bill that related to our... our intent to have leeway provisions and left only in the Bill that portion dealing with the electronic or automatic teller machines. I would ask at this time that the House vote affirmatively and concur with the Governor's amendatory veto."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Bullock has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations with respect to House Bill 390. Is there discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 390 by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays'. This Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 390 by the adoption of the Amendment. House Bill 417, Representative Richmond. Representative Bruce Richmond."

Richmond: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that's correct."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Oh, that's on Special Call. I'm sorry. House Bill 441, Representative Gordon Ropp. Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 441 was a Bill that deals with licensing and regulating of personal property warehousing which is in the jurisdiction of the Department of Agriculture. It's a Bill that has been approved and worked out over a long period of time with the Warehouse Association and the Department. What the Governor has done... As you may well recall, we had a lot of discussion on whether or not the word 'knowingly' ought to be placed in that Bill. It was in. It was out. It was in. It was out, and now it's... the Governor is recommending that it be out, based on the fact that he believes that it will be totally if not virtually impossible to ever obtain a prosecution for a violation of the Act. I have all along supported the Governor and this

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

idea, and I see no reason that we should have a Bill on the books - a law - which would make it impossible for ever a violation ever to come about... or a prosecution to ever come about. And I am supporting the Governor on this action, and I urge your support in supporting me in this action to go along with the Governor's amendatory veto."

Speaker Matijevich: "Before we proceed, we've given authority for a Gentleman from the Medical Society to take some still photographs, so he... he does have that leave... for the Medical Journal, rather. Representative Ropp has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations to House Bill 441. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Brummer: "Yes, the Sponsor indicated that the word 'knowingly' was being stricken. I wonder if he might explain to the Body what the significance of striking 'knowingly' is, how frequently it is being stricken and what the Bill does with the word 'knowingly' being stricken? I suppose specifically I would like to know what one could inadvertently do and be charged with a penalty or crime for, even though it be inadvertent and not knowingly. And that would appear to be the status of this with the... if the Governor's amendatory veto is accepted."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Well, first of all, let me say that I am not an attorney as has been heretofore given notice. And whether... whether or not the word 'knowingly' fits in or does not belong in it, it's my judgment that if a person is in the business of warehousing and he does not comply with the regulations, even though he's been in that business for a long time, and then you have to prove that he 'knowingly' has not properly had his insurance, or 'knowingly' he did

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

not have his fire extinguishers, or did not have his license on the wall or whatever the case, if you have to prove that, I think that whether it's negligence or whatever it is, it's a violation of the law, and this Bill ought to be passed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brummer.

Brummer: "Yes. Specifically, is failure to have the license on the wall, is that one of the... is that one of the items that would be affected by the language 'knowingly'? I mean of..."

Bopp: "To be real honest, I am not sure, other than I know that if you have a license, you've got to have it hanging somewhere so it's easily identified."

Brummer: "Thank means if it fell off, or blew off the wall or something of this nature, even though the owner didn't know it, he would be guilty of a crime."

Bopp: "Let's talk about fire extinguishers or something else."

Brummer: "Well, no, I would..."

Bopp: "Well, I'm not sure whether or not if it blew off the wall, I think that..."

Brummer: "Well, if it inadvertently blew off the wall and the owner didn't know it, therefore, he did not 'knowingly' fail to have that on the wall, yet, this appears to impose an absolute penalty on him for that not having been on the wall despite the fact that he didn't... even know it. Some customer came by, knocked it off the wall, or some kid came through, and ripped it off and walked out with it, and the guy didn't even realize it, that doesn't make..."

Bopp: "I think the importance of the Bill far exceeds the being able to go to court and prove whether or not a person 'knowingly' knew that a license blew off the wall or didn't know it. I think the mere fact that his care, and possession and safeguarding of the property was not being

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

upheld to the letter of the law is what we're trying to protect. We're trying to protect citizens here, not to determine whether or not that particular licensee knew whether or not he was not complying with the law."

Brummer: "If the Sponsor would yield for one or two more questions, I now have the language in front of me, and among other things, it says, 'knowingly commits one or more Category 2 or Category 3 violations of the Act'. Could the Sponsor enumerate the Category 2 and Category 3 violations?"

Ropp: "No. I don't have it in front of me."

Brummer: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "If I might address this..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Brummer: "...matter, I... Ever since we left the General Assembly in July, we have heard about the prison crisis and about the turmoil that is being created because we have too many people in jail or too little facilities to hold the people in jail that... that are there. I understood there was a press conference either yesterday or today in which the Governor urged again the Members of the General Assembly to examine the serious prison issue. And I guess I fail to see why we should impose an absolute crime on someone who is involved in the business of serving as a warehouseman but who inadvertently violates some minor provisions of that Act. It is... It is not... It is not unusual that the state has to prove in a criminal matter the state of mind of an individual. Obviously, if they warn the individual and told him to put the certificate back on the wall or put the fire extinguisher on the wall and he failed to do so, they would then have a good case of 'knowingly'. I think the Governor's striking of this language was

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

ill-advised, and I think the General Assembly would be ill-advised to accept the Governor's amendatory veto. The 'knowingly' language appears to be appropriate, and I would suggest a more appropriate Motion would be to override the Governor's veto."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I concur with the previous speaker. I believe we should vote 'no' on this Motion. I have just filed a Motion to override the Governor's veto, amendatory veto, on this important Bill. It was important enough for us to pass it. It was important enough for the Sponsor to accept that language when we passed it, and he knows that the Governor's wrong. He knowingly knows that the Governor's wrong, and we should simply dispose of this Motion by voting 'no' and then right after that, vote to override the Governor on this amendatory veto."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp to close."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really can't understand why you want to allow warehousemen in the State of Illinois to not be in a position where they're providing the care, and the security and the safety for the citizens who put their personal property in their warehouse. What you're going to say is... if you do not accept the Governor's amendatory veto is to almost throw the whole warehousing system up in a shamble because no one is going to, in a long period of time, ever prove that anyone knew what they did or did not want to do. If you want to provide additional work for the attorneys, as apparently you are wanting to do, then you'll want to override the Governor's veto. I'm saying, for the protection of the people in the State of Illinois who want to be assured that when they take their property to a warehouseman that he is properly licensed, that the security is there, that the safeguard for fire and for

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

insurance is well handled, then you'll want to vote to support the Governor's amendatory veto. And I urge you to do that."

Speaker Matijevich: "On Representative Eopp's Motion, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 441 by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'nay'. It takes 60 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 49 'ayes', 60 'nays', 3 voting 'present', and the Motion fails. House Bill 564, Representative Bea. Representative Bea on... Yours is on Special Call, I believe. Yes. Representative Bea on House Bill 564."

Bea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would like to defer this one to Representative Hannig."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hannig on House Bill 564."

Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would move to accept the Governor's change, his amendatory veto, on House Bill 564. What the Governor did was simply change the word 'employers', plural, to 'employer', singular. The Bill, itself, would provide that teachers could accumulate up to 360 sick days as opposed to the present law of 180 and take this when they retire. This would not provide that the school districts would have to give them compensation as if they worked those days, but simply would allow that they could take these days in their... in their retirement calculation. They would be given credit. So, I would agree with the Governor that the... that the Bill was technically somewhat incorrect and would move to accept his change in the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bea (sic - Hannig) has moved

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

to accept the Governor's specific recommendations with regards to House Bills 564. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 564 by the adoption of the Amendment?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Requires 60 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 564 by the adoption of the Amendment. House Bill 615, Homer. Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I move that House Bill 615 do pass, the Governor's specific recommendations for change notwithstanding. The Bill changes the time that a school superintendent must report a battery committed against school personnel from a bond receipt of a complaint to within 24 hours after receipt of a written report. The Bill also requires that school personnel who miss work as a result of being the victim of such battery not suffer loss of salary, sick leave, seniority and other applicable employment benefits. It's the latter portion of the Bill that the Governor has amendatorily vetoed. The Bill passed the House 111 to nothing, in the Senate 57 to nothing. It was a uncon... noncontroversial Bill against which there was no opposition, and just basically provides that if a school personnel is required to attend court or is required to undergo medical treatment as a result of being the victim of a battery that that person shall not suffer loss of employment benefit. I would, therefore, move 'do pass'."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Homer has moved that House Bill 615 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. Representative Vinson. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will yield. Proceed."

Vinson: "Representative, do I understand that you are making a Motion to override the veto?"

Homer: "That is correct."

Vinson: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Governor's amendatory veto eliminated the Section of this Bill which stipulates that an employee who misses work as a result of a battery will not lose any employment benefits. Now, why do we not extend similar privileges to private employees? Why do we not extend similar privileges to every other governmental employee? Why should we create this kind of special legislation for teachers? Now you've all, many of us at least, have gone on record for teachers any number of times. We don't need to go on record to create a special class of teachers here, to create a special dispensation from them in contrast to other employees. Why should a teacher be treated any different than a member of the United Auto Workers at Caterpillar? Why should a teacher be treated any different than a small business employee on the street in Canton? There's no reason we have to create a special benefit just for teachers in this case, a special benefit that in no way corresponds to their employment, a special benefit that they should have no particular option to have, as opposed to other employees. If you want deal directly with the problems of teachers, there are a number of vehicles for doing that around here, but I don't believe that we need to extend this particular protection just to teachers and not to other employees. And for that reason, I rise in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

opposition to the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Homer to close."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In return, let me just say that we have a very special situation we're dealing with in our schools, and that's the increased propensity toward violence, particularly perpetuated upon our teachers in our schools. And that permeates throughout all grade levels of our public schools, as well as for that matter, others. It's a very dissimilar situation than you would find among the United Auto Workers or in a factory where such a... where such a problem does not exist. All that this Bill does, very simply, is require that teachers or any other school personnel who are required to miss work as a result of being a victim of a battery not be suffered to lose in forms of compensation. It's an equitable Bill. It passed without dissent, without opposition last spring, and I would urge your favorable vote for an override."

Speaker Matijevich: "On Representative Homer's Motion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 615 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' This is final action. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Requires three-fifths vote. Have all... Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. I'm sorry. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 66 'ayes' and 41 'nays', and the Motion to override fails. House Bill 622... Oh, Representative Homer."

Homer: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to poll the absentees."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman asks a Roll of the Absentees. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, on the board, you've got listed now House Bill 622. You declared that the Motion had failed. The Gentleman did not, at a timely fashion, pursue his remedy,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

and I believe that we're on 622. And I think you really have to rule that way if you're going to be fair."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Mr. Speaker, I wasn't recorded, and I'd like to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Vinson, you want to come up here for a moment? Hold everything. Representative Homer, I would suggest that you refile your Motion. You can refile the Motion, and because we have 622 on the board, I'm going to have to rule any further change of the votes. So you... you can refile your Motion. House Bill 622, Peterson. And I'll promise to go a little slower from now on. Representative Peterson. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Peterson."

Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to move to override the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 622. The Bill, itself, gives the school board the power by resolution and by backdoor referendum to impose a five cent levy for the purpose of... leasing school facilities from other school districts. The amendatory veto would make that a front door referendum. I feel that the amendatory veto should be overridden because the board already has the power in several other instances to enact a levy by its resolution of the board. Also, other governmental units have been given approval to implement backdoor referendum - tax levies - park district among one. The long term goal of this Bill is to utilize unused facilities that are vacant. In my particular district we have growing enrollments. Presently we have districts that are leasing vacant school buildings from other districts instead of them standing there vacant. I think that the many people in our area and I'm sure that other areas from DuPage County that I've talked to have similar situations. I feel

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

this is a valid request. This Bill passed with 95 votes out of the House. It passed the Senate with 36 votes, and I ask this Body to override the Governor's amendatory veto. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Peterson has moved that House Bill 662 (sic - 622) pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On that, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Fierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I agree with the Gentleman's Motion. It certainly makes sense for a fast growing school district in this day and age, instead of building additional schools, to rent... to rent schools in adjoining districts. There's vacant schools in adjoining districts - and we know the way population trend goes - this district may not need an additional school five years or ten years after it's built, but they can rent now. He provided for the backdoor referendum here for the rental, and it certainly makes sense for an elected board - school district board - subject to backdoor referendum to have a levy to rent a vacant space in schools in adjacent districts rather than spend all the money to build schools in their district that are needed now but they may not be needed in five or ten years. So, I support the Gentleman from Lake's Motion to override the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 622 and restore the Bill to the way we passed it this spring in the General Assembly."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank... Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise today as Chairman of the School Problems Commission. The School Problems Commission endorsed this Bill when it was originally introduced, and last night, at a School Problems Commission meeting with

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

two dissenting votes, we voted to support the Gentleman's Motion that is before you today. I concur with the remarks, and the Commission concurs with the remarks made by... both of the previous speakers. And I would ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Diana Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I, too, would like to add my voice to those in support of this Motion to override the Governor's veto. It makes so much better sense to encourage school districts to lease buildings than to build new ones, and I urge you to vote 'yes'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Peterson to close."

Peterson: "I thank... thank my colleagues for their support. I think we've discussed the Bill thoroughly, and I would urge your support to override the amendatory veto on House Bill 622. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "On Representative Peterson's Motion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 622 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action and takes a Three-Fifths Constitutional Majority. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this... Ebbesen 'aye'. On this question, there are 81 'ayes', 32 'nays', 1 voting 'present', and the Motion to override prevails. And House Bill 622 is declared passed, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. House Bill 643, Al Bonan. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bonan."

Bonan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to accept the specific recommendations made by the Governor on House Bill 643. The only... It's a very minor change he made on the Bill. He included investigators from the State's Attorneys Appellate Services Commission to be included under this

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

legislation."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gent... The Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's specific recommendations with regard to House Bill 643. Representative Diana Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree with the previous speaker that the Governor's changes in this particular Bill are rather minor and, in fact, what he does is add to the list of exemptions for those people in the state who can carry firearms - members of the State's Attorneys Appellate Service Commission Investigators. But it is also true that the Bill, itself, I think, makes a rather major change because it also adds to those persons who are allowed to carry firearms, not only detectives and also private security firm employees, but also burglar alarm installers. And I simply do not see any reason why those of us in Illinois who, in general, support handgun control legislation, would want to extend an exemption to people to carry firearms, which is what the Bill actually does. It is a broadening of the original Bill that came before the Sunset Committee that had to do with licensing of detectives and also with those people employed by private security agencies. All of us, I think, would agree that those two professions need to be regulated and that members of those professions need to be able to carry firearms. I do not, however, believe that it is necessary to extend that right to people who install burglar alarms. And, therefore, I'm going to vote 'no', and I would urge you all to do likewise."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ronan to close."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Notwithstanding the comments of the previous speaker, we debated the Bill extensively during the last Session. It passed out of the House with 78 votes. Obviously, burglar alarm installers, like any

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

other individuals covered by this Act, should be licensed. There should be controls upon them so that if they're out on the streets, they're coming into people's home, people's businesses... This is just an attempt to license those individuals, regulate them, to make sure that they're qualified and have the proper credentials in order to perform that work. I agree with the Governor's amendatory message, and I move for the acceptance."

Speaker Matijevich: "On Representative Egan's Motion, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 643 by the adoption of the Amendment?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no', and this takes 71 votes because it was passed after June 30th for immediate effective... Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Speaker, 'aye'. On this Motion, there are 91 'ayes', 19 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. This Motion, having received the Constitutional Three-Fifths Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 643 by the adoption of the Amendment. House Bill 644, Representative Dennis Hastert. Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 644 was the assessment accountability Bill which had, basically, two provisions in it. One was in order for an assessor to qualify for a stipend, he had to meet two requirements. One, that he does assess in his township at a thirty-three and a third percent level, and two, that he also meet a sliding coefficient and dispersment level that comes down over a six year period. We did, in our original piece of legislation, have a five percent range above and below. Otherwise, it would be two and a half percent above

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

thirty-three and a third, and two and a half percent below thirty-three and a third. The Governor's amendatory veto changed this to two percent which it slides and fits into accepted standards that are there now. It also says that this Bill would be effective in 1984 for the 1985 tax year, but for the 1984 assessment year, so that the organizations putting this into play have time to set up their standards and put it into action. I ask that we would accept the Governor's amendatory veto."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Bastert has moved that the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations with regard to House Bill 644. On that, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield? Denny, where does the money come from to pay the stipend?"

Hastert: "The money will come from the General Revenue Fund in 1985 tax year."

McMaster: "What is your estimate of the cost of this?"

Bastert: "The estimate of the cost right now is that if the people who qualify for this right now would be forty people throughout the State of Illinois, the... so the cost of it, for instance, this year, would be 3,000 times 40 - 120 thousand dollars."

McMaster: "And this is paid by the state, not by the local governments themselves, as you said, out of General Fund."

Hastert: "It's paid for... It's paid for by the state."

McMaster: "Personally... May I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. Personally, I feel that it is a mistake to pass legislation, although I know it is not too late to provide a stipend to assessors who are hired or elected to do a job. Now, if they don't do the job, of course, they just get their salary. But now, if they do they job and do it properly, as they're supposed to do anyhow, then we're

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

going to give them an extra 3,000 dollars. I think it's bad legislation, and I hope we can turn down the acceptance of this amendatory veto and kill the whole Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hastert to close."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in reply to Representative McMaster, if we had an equitable system, but I think the system shows itself. There are hardly 50 people... There are not 50 people in the State of Illinois over a possible 5,000 people that are doing the job right, and we have to find a way to do it. I think we've addressed the issue, and I certainly would appreciate your positive vote on this issue. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hastert on his Motion, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with regard to House Bill 644 by the adoption of the Amendment?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action and takes 60 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 95 'ayes', 15 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. This Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 644 by the adoption of the Amendment. House Bill 1683, Representative Zwick. Are you handling that, Zwick? Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to... I'd like to move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 1683. It was merely some technical corrections in the placement of one wrong word that was similar in spelling, and another correction was changing the number... the minimum number of people in a commuter van from eleven to nine to conform to federal law. Oh, it's the ridesharing

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "For those Members who are wondering, I skipped a whole page and a half, so we're going to come back to those others. I was wondering why the arms were waving back there. We'll complete this Bill."

Zwick: "And I would... I would ask for your favorable vote. It was almost a unanimous vote last time."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Zwick has moved that we... that we accept the Governor's specific recommendations with regards to House Bill 1683. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will."

Cullerton: "Representative Zwick, did we have a discussion about this Bill on Third Reading concerning the affect it would have on the taxis and the CTA, for example, and the RTA?"

Zwick: "Yes, we did, and I thought that we resolved that issue in assuring you that it would not do what you thought it might do, by dealing with the two round... limiting this to two round trips per day between your place of business and your home, John."

Cullerton: "Okay, and the Governor's amendatory veto in no way changes that... that intent?"

Zwick: "No, it doesn't at all. I believe all it does... The main purpose of the amendatory veto was to change the number... the minimum number of passengers in a commuter van from eleven to nine so that it is consistent with the federal definition in the federal laws that relate to ridesharing arrangements."

Cullerton: "Fine. Thank you."

Zwick: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Zwick to close."

Zwick: "I would just ask for your support again on this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Zwick on her Motion, the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1683 by the adoption of the Amendment?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Requires 60 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 108 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1683, by the adoption of the Amendment. Now we'll return to where we should be. I see what I did. I went to page sixteen instead of seventeen. House Bill 645, Representative Jim Rea. James Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. On House Bill 645, I move to override the Governor's amendatory veto. House Bill 645 had several provisions in it. It had... It provided for the PCW license plates for pickup trucks. It provided for... Those would be free, and we did make the provision for POW license plates for automobiles during the last Session of the General Assembly. It would also provide volunteer firemen license, and those they would have to pay for, and the vanity plates for pickup trucks and also included with the PCW's was the license plates for the Pearl Harbor survivors. That legislation that went out of the House was 99 to 7. There were... At the time that these particular areas were heard in Committee there was no objection that was voiced in Committee nor actually on the floor. The Governor had amendatorily vetoed out the POW license plates, and the Pearl Harbor survivors and the volunteer firemen's, and I would move at this time for an override."

Speaker Matijevich: "Was that a full override? I was talking to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

someone."

Rea: "Yes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes. Representative Rea has moved that House Bill 645 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On that question, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Vinson: "You are moving to totally override the Governor's veto. Is that correct, Representative?"

Rea: "That's correct."

Vinson: "And, essentially, as I recall the veto, it took out the provision for... volunteer firemen and people who might have been at Pearl Harbor at some point, to give them special plates. Is that basically the substance of the amendatory veto?"

Rea: "Yes, that is correct."

Vinson: "If we..."

Rea: "Three areas he took out - the Pearl Harbor survivor, the POW license plates for the pickup trucks and the license plates for the firemen."

Vinson: "Now, if we're going to have a special license plate for volunteer firemen, why not for volunteer paramedics?"

Rea: "Well, you may want to introduce that Bill next time."

Vinson: "If we're going to have a special license plate for Pearl Harbor survivors, what about the survivors of Eataan?"

Rea: "Well, Representative, if you recall, whenever the Amendment, that was placed in the Senate on the Pearl Harbor survivors, came back... that we did have to send it back to Committee because it did not state that they had served... would had to of served in the U.S. Military, and we changed that."

Vinson: "To the... To the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Vinson: "I understand the... I'm sure the Gentleman comes to us in very good conscience with his Motion. I'm sure he has concern about this. I'm sure there are a vast number of people in his district who've requested him to make this Motion to override this particular veto. I would suggest that if we get to this point where we're going to start creating, every time somebody talks to a Member of the Legislature, a new class of special plates, we're going to be up to about 11 million classes of special plates in this state before long, at least four or five million, as many people who drive. Everybody has some particularly unique feature that characterizes them as a driver. We may have any number of things. We may have a special class of plates for those people who have had corn blight, and I don't believe that we, particularly, need to begin doing that. I don't see what distinguishes a volunteer fireman from a paramedic. I certainly don't see what would distinguish a volunteer fireman from any number of other important occupations, and we do have in this state now a provision for vanity plates, whereby people who want unique license plates can come in and pay the cost that that occasions to the public of this state to get that unique plate. I believe that's a fair way of doing it. That's a user fee for people who want their automobile particularly distinguished. And for those reasons, I would urge Members to vote against this Motion, and I would point out that it does take 71 votes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bea to close."

Bea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I... I do know that this passed out overwhelmingly before, and I also want to thank Representative Vinson for having voted for it before, because having done a little bit of fast research, Representative Vinson was very supportive of this Bill. So

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

I don't quite understand it. I do think that there is one thing that either the Governor - I know he had many Bills to deal with - and either he was dealing with this late at night or else he got some bum advice by a staff member, because surely, the Governor would not have made an amendatory veto on the license plates for the POW's after having passed and signed legislation for POW's during the last Session of the General Assembly and at the same time having approved other special plates including the vanity plate. So, I would ask that there... for a favorable Roll Call that we give the support that is needed for the override. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bea has moved that House Bill 645 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This takes a Constitutional Three-Fifths Majority, 71 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 66 'ayes', 41 'nays', 1 answering 'present', and the Gentleman from... Representative Bea asks for a Roll of the Absentees, from Franklin. Clerk will read the Absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Roll of the Absentees. Breslin, Dwight Friedrich, Giglio, Huff, Jaffe, McPike, Oblinger, Fullen, Ehem and Taylor. No further."

Speaker Matijevich: "What's the count?"

Clerk Leone: "66 'aye', 41 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. The vote is 66 'ayes', 41 'nays' and 1 answering 'present', and the Motion fails. Ch. Ch, I'm sorry. I didn't... I was talking here, and a few people wanted to change their votes and... Oblinger 'aye'. Breslin 'aye'. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Friedrich: "I don't think anyone belittles the people who are being recognized here, but history is full of people that made sacrifices. That's what made this country what it is, and I'm sure they didn't contemplate making a special license plate for everybody that's sacrificed themselves in the line of duty. I think it is a duty of people to be for their government and die, if it comes to that. But I think this is getting a little bit on the absurd side, because every Session we have another set of license plates for somebody else. Now, if you want to interpret that as my being unpatriotic, unfavorable to veterans, you can interpret it any way you want to, but I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Friedrich 'no'. Representative Giglio 'aye'. Giglio 'aye'. Representative McMaster. McMaster, are you seeking recognition? Representative McMaster. McMaster."

McMaster: "Will you change me from 'aye' to 'no', please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "From 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Rhem 'aye'. Could we have the count now, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 69 'ayes' and 40... 40 what, Tony? 43 'nays', 1 answering 'present', and the Motion fails. Representative Greiman in the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "On page 18 of the Calendar under Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 657. Amendatory Veto Motion. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I move to accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change. The Governor has correct... made a technical change to correct the penalty title from criminal trespass to building to the correct title, criminal trespass to residence. I move the House accept this change."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves to accept the Governor's amendatory veto. On that, is there any discussion? There

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

being none, the question is, 'Shall the House acc... Yes, I'm sorry. Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Normally, I'll just turn my... my speak light on. If you want me to yell, too, I'll..."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Is this more than just technical? The... The Bill, as we passed it, was a criminal trespass to a building. That's... A building is broader than a residence."

McCracken: "No. We passed criminal trespass to residence, and the error was leaving the word 'building' in the penalty Section, not the title Section."

Cullerton: "Oh, I see. So, the Bill was amended from when it was initially filed."

McCracken: "Correct."

Cullerton: "So that now it only... it only applies to a ... a residence."

McCracken: "Yes, Sir."

Cullerton: "Okay. Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 657, by adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all vote who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. This Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 657 by the adoption of this Amendment. On page... On page 18... Alright. And there

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

are 115 'ayes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and... and the Motion prevails. On page 18 of the Calendar, Amendatory Veto Motions, appears House Bill 701. Amendatory Veto Motions. Representative Bowman is recognized on that Motion."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 701, in its original form, permitted townships to lease their property to other units of local government without going through the customary bidding process. The amendatory veto provides that such leases shall not exceed a term of 50 years. I now move that we accept the Governor's amendatory language."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 701, by adoption of the Amendment?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 701, by adoption of the Amendment. On page 18 of the Calendar under Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 721. Amendatory Veto Motions. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels. Mr. Vinson, will you proceed with that?"

Vinson: "I... I'm prepared to do that or Representative Matijevecich, whichever he would prefer."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson, proceed. Gentleman from DeWitt."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am prepared to move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto in regard to House Bill 721. The Governor

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

argues that rather than to prescribe for the Attorney General a definition of legitimate police publications, that we, in essence, rest some minimal degree of discretion with him for distinguishing those things. I believe that's appropriate in this particular case, and I would move that the House do accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change in regard to House Bill 721."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there... Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 721, by adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 110 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion... Representative Nelson 'aye', making is 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion, having received a Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House adopts... accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 721, by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 747. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, I move to accept the amendatory veto. This... The Bill established a comprehensive requirement relating to care and custody and maintenance of prisoners, and the Governor has recommended that when the life or health of a prisoner is endangered to such a degree as to render his removal be necessary, no prisoner charged with a felony shall be removed from a mental or health developmental disability facility unless the prisoner is unfit to stand trial. I move to accept the Governor's

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

amendatory veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any further... any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 747, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 112 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', none 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change regarding House Bill 747 by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 754. Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to move to approve the amendatory veto of Governor Thompson to House Bill 754. This Bill provides for funding of alternate schools. The changes are both technical to make the alternate school appropriation process the same as the lab school, which are both in the same Section of the statute. There is no substantive change by his amendatory veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Yes, Representative Satterthwaite, Lady from Champaign."

Satterthwaite: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Ewing, as the Bill originally passed, it had nothing to do with the laboratory schools. Is that right?"

Ewing: "That's correct, and it still doesn't."

Satterthwaite: "It still does not?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Ewing: "It does not."

Satterthwaite: "I thought you indicated, however, that... that this would provide a separate line item for appropriations."

Ewing: "No. It... The appropriation process will be handled the same as for lab schools. The lab school authorization is in the same Section. He just wants it done the same way, instead of having two different processes."

Satterthwaite: "You're not... You're not talking about a separate line item of appropriation. The funds for both the alternative schools and the laboratory schools would still come directly from the Distributive Aid Formula?"

Ewing: "Yes. They would not change."

Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 754 by adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 113 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change regarding House Bill 754, by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 768. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich. Okay. Alright. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 798. Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 798 was a Bill relating to retirement for the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Secretary of State investigators and was also amended to take care of a few special agents in the Department of Law Enforcement who had not yet accrued eight years of service and were past the mandatory 60 year of age retirement. The Governor deleted that portion of the Bill which would have permitted those special agents of LIE to remain employed past the age of 60 if they had not accrued that eight years of service prior to January 1, 1964. He felt that that flied in the face of a recent Supreme Court decision. I'm not sure I agree with him, but I am now moving to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with regard to House Bill 798."

Speaker Greiman: "And on that, is there any discussion? Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 798, by adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 112 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 798, by the adoption of this Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 946. Mr. Klemm, would you like to come to the well for a moment, please? We'll hold this just for a second while you straighten something out. Mr. Klemm, we're going to just skip over this Bill for a moment. We'll get back to it, though. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 960. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Birkinbine. Do you wish to proceed, Mr. Birkinbine?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would remind you that this was the Bill that raised, from 25,000 dollars to 35,000 dollars, the limit beyond which people would have to fill out a statement of economic interest with the state, and this was at the request of a number of municipalities who found that they had firemen, they had blue collar workers, they had a number of people who were having to fill out these statements and that, frankly, that wasn't necessary. The original intent of this legislation was to have those people in the decision-making, in a policy-setting role, have to file such statements. I would remind you that every Legislator and Judges and anyone in the Executive Branch still has to fill out such forms but that this is designed to take off the... the load off of municipalities, and frankly, unions. We had a number of union people come to us and say they'd like to be included in this as well, because they find a lot of their members are having to fill out these statements which really don't impact on their job whatsoever. So, I would move to override the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 960."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Cullerton: "Representative Birkinbine, your original Bill raised the level from 25,000 to 35,000, and the Governor's amendatory veto raises the level from 25,000 to 30,000. Is that correct?"

Birkinbine: "Yes."

Cullerton: "What is the significance, in terms of number of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

people who have to file these statements, between the 30,000 and the 35,000?"

Birkinbine: "I don't have the exact numbers at my disposal. I do know that the 35,000 dollar number was presented by the municipalities and, as I recall, agreed to by both the teachers' unions. And I know... I think it was the AFT that came to me and said they would like to be included in that, because they have a number of their employees who fell into that range."

Cullerton: "Well, the Governor's amendatory veto says that the Bill would drastically reduce the number of filings under the Act if it went to 35,000. Does that... Do you agree with that?"

Birkinbine: "Yes. That's the intent. Right now there are a great many people having to file, yet those people are not the decision makers. They're not people who are setting policy and who we should be keeping an eye on to see whether or not they do have financial entanglements or not."

Cullerton: "Well, the Governor says that many employees in responsible decision-making positions would be eliminated from having to file. Do you disagree with that?"

Birkinbine: "Yes, I do."

Cullerton: "I'm just wondering if the 35... if there's any significance with his figure of 30,000. Where did he come up with that?"

Birkinbine: "I don't know. Last Session, when Dolly Hallstrom had a similar Bill, she tried to bring it up to 30, and the Governor kicked it back to 25. He's... It's sort of like we're trying to drag him into the present time of what people are actually getting paid."

Cullerton: "Do you think the Governor is confused?"

Birkinbine: "Yes. I'm... On this one and on a number of other

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

issues, I will readily agree that the Governor is very confused."

Cullerton: "And I assume that if you fail on your Motion, you'll accept his amendatory veto."

Birkinbine: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Alright. Okay."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Further discussion? Mr. Birkinbine to close."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask for an affirmative vote on this Motion to override the amendatory veto of House Bill 960."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 960, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 76 voting 'aye', 33 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change... Oh no, I'm sorry. Wait. It's an override. I'm sorry. To go back a moment. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House ... and the House overrides the Governor's... the Governor's veto... veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On the Order of Amendatory Vetoes appears House Bill 975. Out of the record. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1024, Mr. Rice. The Gentleman from Cook, 1024. Do you wish to proceed, Sir? Mr. Rice. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rice."

Rice: "Make the Motion to accept the amendatory veto as directed by the Governor in the matter of changing the 'local' to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

'state'."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman has moved to accept the Governor's amendatory. And on that Motion, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1024, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1024, by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 11.... House Bill 1170. Mr. Ronan, the Gentleman from Cook."

Ronan: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House - I've just filed a Motion - I want to accept that as opposed to override the amendatory veto. So, if there's no objection, I'd like to move to accept the amendatory veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Does the Gentleman have leave to hear his Motion to accept heard at this time? There being no objection, leave is hereby granted. Mr. Ronan, proceed."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to accept the amendatory veto on the specific provisions brought out by the Governor. It's just a technical change. I didn't realize it when it was... when it was filed, and that's why I am accepting it. It was brought to my attention by Representative Mays on the other side of the aisle, and I always like to agree with the Republicans whenever possible."

Speaker Greiman: "We'll just mark time for a moment or so,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Representative Bonan. We have some administrative problems here. Yes, Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "You're not going to deny Mr. Bonan a Roll Call on his Motion, are you?"

Speaker Greiman: "Certainly not."

Vinson: "Okay."

Speaker Greiman: "Goodness, no. We're working on some material up here. We'll be right with you, Mr. Vinson. Goodness me. Is there further discussion on House Bill 1170? Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 1170, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Okay, Mr. Vinson? Thank you. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change regarding House Bill 1170, by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1179. The Lady from LaSalle, Ms. Breslin. Ms. Breslin. Mr. Clerk, would you turn Ms. Breslin on?"

Breslin: "Thank you... Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House... House Bill 1179..."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Ms. Breslin. Please give the Lady some order."

Breslin: "House Bill 1179 is the Bill or one of the Bills that dealt with a mandatory requirement for high school graduation in the State of Illinois. The Governor has amended that Bill. Those Amendments are essentially technical. The mathematics requirement is altered to allow

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

for one year of study which may be related to computer technology, and the vocational education requirement is added to this as one of the elective options. Those are... are... were the considerations of this Assembly before. They did not happen to be in 1179. The Governor wrote them into 1179. I move to accept the Governor's changes in this regard."

Speaker Greiman: "And on that, is there... is there any discussion? The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the ... Representative Breslin's Motion to accept the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 1179. I think that parents, especially, statewide and taxpayers appreciate the General Assembly... the General Assembly's coming out with a statement in support of this piece of legislation, and I urge you to vote for this Bill which mandates a core curriculum. At some future time, we may be able to remove some of the other mandates that we have in the School Code, but it is very important that we understand the kinds of reports that have been coming out of our national commissions saying that there must be, for each child, a basic education that gives that child the kinds of expertise and skills and knowledge that he needs to go through life. Education is what you're left with after you've forgotten the facts, and I believe that this will provide for our students in Illinois the best kind of education. I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there further discussion? The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Breslin, I see that one of the portions of the Governor's amendatory veto deletes the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

language on line nine, 'in a four-year high school'. I don't know what the intent of that deletion was, but my concern is that if we put the Bill into effect without specifying a four-year high school program, are we then expecting that a three-year high school program will have to meet the same qualifications within three years that a four-year high school program would have to meet in four?"

Breslin: "I was under the... I am under the impression that that is correct, Representative. That is... That... The Governor has never communicated to me about this Bill. However, the statement specifically says he wishes to end the distinction between the three-year and four-year schools and have them... all of the distinctions throughout the School Code be the same."

Satterthwaite: "Well, another interpretation, I think, that could come about, with the striking of the language in regard to the four-year and the three-year, leaves us with language that simply says you have to have three years of language arts which doesn't have to be in the high school at all, apparently. And I'm wondering whether we can end up with only three years of language arts throughout the twelve years of our program. I think that technically he may still want it to apply to a high school program; but, in fact, without that language, I think it's very ambiguous, and I would think that perhaps it is not a good recommendation. I wonder if we might take this out of the record and discuss a little further what the impact of that might be."

Breslin: "That would be perfectly acceptable with me. Out of the record."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Then 11... House Bill 1179 will be out of the record. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1323. Mr. Churchill, proceed."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1323 is a Bill that creates a separate dispositional hearing for minors who are before a court, rather than having a hearing as a part of the adjudicatory process. The Governor amended the Bill; and, at this time, I would move to override his Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves to override the Governor's amendatory veto for House Bill 1323. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House override the Governor's amendatory veto to House Bill 1323?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Have all... Yes, Mr. Bowman, do you wish to explain your vote? Your light is blinking."

Bowman: "Well, we didn't have an explanation of what it does and why we're moving to override, and that's why I'm voting 'present'."

Speaker Greiman: "Well... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 108 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the Motion... and this Motion prevails, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On the Order of... We shall now return to Representative Breslin's Motion on House Bill 1179. The Lady from LaSalle, Ms. Ereslin."

Breslin: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We have looked over the amendatory veto message of the Governor again, and we believe..."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Mr... Excuse me, Ms. Ereslin. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, are we really going to go ahead with this Bill at this time? A few minutes ago I got Mr. Hoffman out here with his special expertise on education, because he

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

wants to speak to this Bill and then the Bill get taken out of the record. Now, we're back on it. If we're really going to go with it, I'll get him back out here."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Ms. Breslin has suggested that she really wants to go with the Bill, and so I did tell her that I would return to the Bill. And..."

Vinson: "That's perfectly alright. I just want to make sure we really want to go with it and it's not another false start."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, I don't want to disturb Mr. Hoffman, but perhaps.... He's probably having his tea now. Perhaps he could come out and join us. Thank you. Ms. Breslin..."

Vinson: "Proceed."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Ms. Breslin, would you proceed?"

Breslin: "After having reviewed the Governor's amendatory veto of this Bill, we are convinced that this... the change regarding three-year and four-year schools deals only with high schools. The requirement that three years of language arts be completed in a high school setting is very clear under the heading for the Section. So, we believe that that is not a problem at all. Again, I repeat, this is the Bill that provides a core requirement for a high school diploma in the State of Illinois. The Governor, while he had some reservations that... that Representative Hoffman expressed previously, has amended the Bill and believes that it is a good philosophy for the State of Illinois to have in its School Code. I move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from LaSalle moves to accept the veto... amendatory veto of the Governor. Is there any discussion? On that, the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor of the Motion yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1963

Friedrich: "I'm sure I should know this, but what are the requirements in the law now for the prerequisite of getting a diploma from high school?"

Breslin: "At the present time, there are three years of English, one year of... well then there are... The basic requirement is three years of English and then there are a number of other requirements. I don't have that file with me that we went through twice or three times during the earlier Session, but it dealt with consumer sales. It dealt with health education. It dealt with physical education. Those are the other requirements. There are no requirements for this core education which is provided in this Bill."

Friedrich: "Are they...?"

Breslin: "Two years of math, one year of science, two years of social studies and a selective electives. One year of either a foreign language, music, art or, under the Governor's change, vocational education."

Friedrich: "Are... Those are the requirements being replaced. This will be the whole set of requirements now?"

Breslin: "This is in addition to the other requirements."

Friedrich: "Alright. Now my... Three years of language arts. Now, can that be in Spanish or some other language other than English?"

Breslin: "No. I believe that is English."

Friedrich: "Well, my analysis here says language arts. English is English to me, and I... language arts could be Spanish or anything else."

Breslin: "Yes. Those are all English courses, though. Language arts can include a study of Shakespeare, for instance, but it is certainly in the English language."

Friedrich: "Is that spelled out in the Bill?"

Breslin: "Yeah the... No, but that... I think that is generally understood by educators."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Friedrich: "Well, I don't know. We talk more and more about Spanish-speaking people and so on. I'm concerned that some of the students I see that graduate from high school have no command of the English language. Now, we talk about high tech and all this stuff, but you really can't get an education unless you have command of the English language. And I want to be sure that if I support this we're talking about three years of English."

Breslin: "We are talking about three years of English, definitely."

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much. Will the Sponsor yield to a question, please?"

Breslin: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "She indicates she will."

Hoffman: "Can you share with this Body the number of years or courses that are currently mandated on the schools?"

Breslin: "I believe that would probably be difficult to understand, unless you went through them in a very definitive exercise, because some of the courses, I believe, they are only required to have one week's worth or an address to a particular kind of historical event so that they aren't whole-year courses and can't be dealt with all in one lump sum. I know that the core courses that are required for a high school diploma are only; number one, three years of English and; number two, one year of American history. That is all."

Hoffman: "Is... Is there currently on the books a requirement for four years of physical education?"

Breslin: "Yes, there is."

Hoffman: "So then, in fact, there is already on the books a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

requirement for four years of... of physical education. Is there a requirement for consumer education?"

Breslin: "Yes. I believe that... I don't know that that is a year course, however. I believe it's only a nine week course or something like that."

Hoffman: "Is there a requirement for health education?"

Breslin: "Oh, yes, there definitely is."

Hoffman: "What is that?"

Breslin: "I believe, again, one semester must be taken."

Hoffman: "Alright. So, we currently have, on the books beyond these requirements, four and three-quarters years requirements by your own admission. Is that correct?"

Breslin: "Four and three-quarter years for what?"

Hoffman: "Of requirements. We have four years of physical education, a half a year of health education, and a quarter of a year or nine weeks, I think you said, on ... in consumer education."

Breslin: "I see. Yes. Yes."

Hoffman: "Okay. And the normal number of... of requirements for graduation is what - 16, 18, 20, or is that up to the high school to decide how many courses, Carnegie units, as we used to call them, you need for graduation?"

Breslin: "Sixteen is the common requirement. However, high schools can and very often do require more."

Hoffman: "So, with the inclusion of the nine requirements that you have here, three, two, nine and four and a half, that would take us to thirteen and a half which would then allow a student to have, in a minimum situation, two and a half electives. Is that adequate, or is that accurate?"

Breslin: "I don't believe that that is accurate. Again, I say... I repeat, I don't have my file with me. But when we added it up earlier in the year with the Governor's changes, I believe it's twelve and a quarter or perhaps twelve and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

three-quarters with all of the requirements including this Bill."

Hoffman: "Alright..."

Breslin: "Which mean there would be three..."

Hoffman: "There..."

Breslin: "I'll tell you... I'll tell you what I... I envisioned, and I don't believe the Governor's amendatory veto has changed it that much. I wanted the Bill drafted so that a core curriculum could be or would be required for graduation from a high school in the State of Illinois and still provide at least one year of electives for each student each year. And I believe that is still achieved through the Governor's amendatory change. You see,..."

Hoffman: "Alright. Okay. Fine. Thank you very much. I think if you look at the veto analysis, you... or the amendatory veto, you see that there are nine requirements here. If you just took the four years of physical education, which is already in place and which we've been unable, as a legislative body, to address the... the validity of this current requirement, we are already looking at thirteen. That's... That's simple... simple mathematics. And I would suggest to the body here that we have a great variety of students in this state with a great variety of needs, and I'm inclined to believe that local school districts and their school boards, who now have the authority to make this policy, are in a better position than we are to make it. As our resources have dwindled and as our ability to support education from a state level has dwindled, it would appear that in many ways our desire to direct local school boards how to spend their limited resources has escalated absolutely in reverse, in terms of our ability and willingness to support this responsibility. And it's for that reason and that reason alone, ladies and gentlemen,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

not that I don't think there is value in these programs, that I rise in opposition to the acceptance of this veto, as I rose in opposition to the Bill. We have such a diverse population out there that what you're going to do is you're going to make a farce out of these kinds of requirements, because there's an assumption on the part of a lot of you who aren't involved in the educational community that somehow there's an absolute standard out here in these areas. I've got news for you - there is not, because you don't have any standard - absolute standard - of a student population. And for that reason, Mr. Speaker and Madam Sponsor, whose intentions I know are nothing but good, I rise in opposition to this Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rice."

Rice: "Does the speaker yield?"

Breslin: "Yes."

Rice: "Or the Sponsor yield. Excuse me."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Rice: "Are we... In this Bill, are you attempting to make the high school requirements throughout the State of Illinois competitive?"

Breslin: "To make them what?"

Rice: "Competitive?"

Breslin: "Competitive. Yes."

Rice: "In terms... In terms of three years of English, three years of math, three years of science."

Breslin: "We don't have nearly that much in here, Representative. I wish that were the case, but the... these are much more modest requirements. There is only two years of math, one year of science and two years of social studies, as opposed to the three years. This is merely a beginning. It is nowhere near what the... the national recommendations were, Representative."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Rice: "I want to ask one other question, if I may."

Breslin: "Yes."

Rice: "If I'm.... If I graduated from the elementary school and I was below par in the English, will you count the social studies towards my requirements? In other words, if I got to go in and take remedial English, remedial math or remedial social services..."

Breslin: "Oh, surely. Oh, surely."

Rice: "... would they be counted towards the two years or three years?"

Breslin: "Oh, absolutely they would be."

Rice: "Okay."

Breslin: "Yes."

Rice: "I certainly rise in support of that, if that's the case."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, I stand in support of this Bill. In reference to what one of the latter speakers just said - that we were making a farce out of education, I do not believe this is true. He said the local districts know what their students need. They may know what they need, but they don't go ahead and provide that need, and I'm going to give an example of a town right here in Sangamon County where the superintendent and the principal called the parents together and said, 'We're getting rid of all our substantive courses, because your children aren't taking it.' And you know what the parents said? 'We don't care, just so they get the diploma. We don't care if they can read, write or do arithmetic.' So, somebody has to take care of those children. And if the local school districts and the parents can't, maybe we'd better assume that responsibility. I do not think that's making a farce out of education. I think it's making substantive believers of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

all of us."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Is there further discussion? Lady from LaSalle to close, briefly."

Breslin: "I... I move to accept the Governor's amendatory changes in House Bill 1179."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1179, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 105 voting 'aye', 8 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1179 by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1386. Mr. Peterson? Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Peterson. Mr. Peterson, I think you're turned on now. Go ahead."

Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 1386. This Bill deals with the residency requirements of school board members in various types of school districts. It also extends the terms of office when there is a consolidation of school districts to make sure there's continuity by board members in those particular districts. The Amendment on this Bill that was put on in the Senate had to do with the superintendent waiving tenure rights if he takes a multi-year contract, and the Governor amended that out of the Bill. And I agree with that amendatory veto and so wish this House to sustain the veto. Thank you."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "And on that Motion, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 1386, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 109 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1386 by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1388. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we accept the Governor's amendatory change in House Bill 1388. All it does is change some description of some property which was erroneous in the original Bill, either that or in the printing, one, and I'm not sure which. But at least the Governor has corrected that, and that's all that it does. And so I move for the acceptance of the Governor's change."

Speaker Greiman: "On that Motion, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1388, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1388. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1599. Mr. Pederson? Mr. Pederson? Proceed."

Pederson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to approve the veto... the amendatory veto of the Governor. All it does is take the day care provisions out of the Bill that are identical to those in Senate Bill 1232, which the Governor has signed. And, therefore, these provisions are not necessary. So, I just move to... to concur with the veto."

Speaker Greiman: "And on that Motion, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1599, by the adoption of the Amendment?' Final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1599 by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1667. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane. That's out of the record then. We're going to take that 1667 out of the record, Mr. Keane. We are now changing the Order of Business. On page 21 of the Calendar appears Motions. And on that Order appears House Bill 541 to take from the Table. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels with us? Mr. Vinson, are you going to take that?"

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

House. House Bill 541 is a supplemental appropriation vehicle which, if we move to the floor at this time, we can use for the purpose of addressing the various supplemental appropriations that will be necessary to address in the course of the... of the Veto Session. Everybody will have their opportunity to make their feelings heard, to voice their viewpoints on this particular... on this particular matter. I believe that the Membership will find that in the case of a number of agencies, various sources of federal money have become available that were not available in the spring. I believe the Membership will find that action of the General Assembly last year, that various court decisions have placed us in a situation where certain appropriations have to be made for things of the nature of tax refunds and things of that matter. As I say, each one of these matters will have the opportunity for full and open discussion by the Membership, and I would ask that the House do now approve the Motion in regard to House Bill 541 and bring this Bill to the floor."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I agree with the Gentleman on the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Is there further discussion? There being none... Bear with us for a moment, Mr. Vinsco. Okay. Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion to take House Bill 541 from the Table prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Mr. Leverenz, did you want to... Alright. On this Motion there are 109 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and this Motion prevails. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 556. The Gentleman from

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

DuPage, Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Vinson, you're going to take that? Mr. Vinson, the Gentleman from LeWitt."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is my understanding that House Bill 556 would be similarly used as a vehicle for resolving a particular problem that the Comptroller currently has in regard to the payment of certain expenses and that it would be used for that fashion. And, again, the subject matter would be fully debated when the Bill comes to Second Reading."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Is this the Sponsor of the Bill?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson, do you want to respond to that?"

Vinson: "I have been asked to handle this by the Sponsor."

Leverenz: "Does the Chair have a letter from the Spncsr? I understand it's on its way up. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you for answering the question, Mr. Leverenz. I appreciate that. Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion to take Sen... to take House Bill 556 from the Table prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 107 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and the Motion, therefore, prevails. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 720. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. House Bill 720 is the prenotification of abortion Bill. It was similar to a Senate Bill that was passed out and sent to the Governor. House Bill 720 will, if taken from the Table, be amended to incorporate several court cases to make the final version of the Prenotification of Abortion Act in conformance with the current state of the law. For that reason, we would be

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

making the Motion to take from the Table."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion?
Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. I rise to oppose this Motion. I fail to see the
urgency for dealing with it in this very short Session.
This is an extremely difficult and complicated issue, and I
think that it is ill-timed and ill-advised. And I urge the
House to reject the Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Is there further
discussion on this? There being none, the question is,
'Shall the Motion to take House Bill 720 from the Table
prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye',
those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all
voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take
the record. On this Motion there are 91 voting 'aye', 19
voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion prevails.
On the Order of House... of Mot... House Bills, Motions
appears House Bill 963. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr.
Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 963,
when we left the Regular Session, was in the position of a
Conference Committee vehicle Bill for the consolidation of
alcoholism and drug abuse. We have created, by this
Legislature, both a House and Senate Joint Committee to
implement the consolidation of alcoholism and drug abuse.
We... The Governor has also appointed a Transition Task
Force. The special Committee has met about a half a dozen
times, as late as this morning, on this issue, and we are
developing, I think, some salutary legislation which can be
concluded by the first week of November. For that reason,
we are taking 963 from the Table so we can have that
vehicle Bill in position. And I would ask and urge

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

bipartisan support, which we have had all along on this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I know the effort that Representative Matijevich is trying to put forth here. Representative Woodyard and I provided the Members with a memorandum this week with regard to this Bill. I have somewhat mixed emotions about it coming out. I'm opposed to what I've seen so far because of the cost considerations of what's been advanced to date and the fear of the loss of services at the local level that's been expressed, I know, to many people in this chamber by local people involved in the programs that would be affected by the potential Conference Committee Report, a Report that is put on a Bill that Representative Matijevich and I happen to be the Sponsors of. And I want it on the record that I'm opposed to this Motion. I know John's intentions are good. I know the concept of the Bill and what he's trying to; but, frankly, I think, at this point, that we ought not to put this Bill out on the floor. The agreement is not struck. The agreements that have been advanced to date, or the attempted agreements, I don't think meet the challenge of being fiscally responsible, nor of ensuring the provision... the programs and services will be continued in the communities as they ought to be or the people will not fall between the cracks and fail to get services. For those reasons, I think we should hold this particular Bill at this time, not move it out until we have some agreement or some assurances that all the parties have been satisfied, if they can be, and pursue an effort to reach an accommodation between the affected parties with regard to the provision of services on alcoholism and drug abuse.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

And for that reason, I regrettably rise and suggest that a vote of 'no' on this Motion is the appropriate one."

Speaker Greiman: "Let the record show that Representative Pullen, the Lady from Cook, has requested to be shown on the quorum call, was not on the original quorum call, and she will be so instr... She was excused earlier. Alright. She is now in the chamber. Further discussion? The Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, also, rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion to remove this Bill from the Table. I don't know whether you all heard what this Bill is intended to do or not. As John certainly indicated, this is a vehicle Bill. This is a Bill that will implement what we passed during the last Session, 670, which is a creation of a brand new agency and department on drug/alcohol abuse. I personally feel that the roughly five million dollars that we're going to be spending at a time, a budgetary time, like today, when our leadership certainly indicated to us that we must hold the line on spending is not the proper thing for us to do at this time. I also feel that we will have a lapse and maybe a lapse of up to a year, a year and a half, in being able to provide the types of services that the Department of Mental Health is doing to date within that drug/alcohol abuse program. I just think it's a bad move on our part to be creating a new department at this time of... in our state's history."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Legislature now finds itself in the position that it has adopted substantive legislation which combines these two agencies. We passed that Bill, and it's been signed by the Governor. What we have not done is to, in detail, write the legislation that would say how these two

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

agencies are going to be integrated. All they've done... All we've done is to draw them together under an umbrella. If we fail to act at this time and to create a vehicle for acting so that substantive legislation which says how these two functions shall be drawn together, are drawn together, if we fail to do that, then we're in the position where the Governor can entirely do it by Executive Order, and the Legislature will have totally abdicated its responsibility in this field. This is not a Bill to spend money. It will not be used for that purpose. This is a Bill to simply say how we're going to relate two functions together. That money has already been appropriated, and that money will already be expended. And the Governor can do it in any fashion he wants, unless the Legislature acts to enforce its will as to how that money can be expended and to how those two functions can be drawn together. In other words, what we are doing is asserting legislative prerogative by moving this vehicle to a posture where we can impose our will in regard to that function. If we fail to do that, the Governor downstairs can do whatever he wants in this particular field. And for that reason, I certainly rise with Mr. Matijevich to join with him in urging adoption of his Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Further discussion of the Motion? There being none, Mr. Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've attended, as Co-Chairman of this special Committee, all of the meetings, and let me tell you that the only opposition is coming really out of some fears which I think are really unfounded. And I said in today's Committee meeting, for example, that I introduced the first Bill in this Legislature on alcoholism; and, as long as I'm going to be in the Legislature, the people in the field on alcoholism

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

need not fear at all that, in the appropriation process, the alcoholism... those who suffer from alcoholism are going to be treated. Those who suffer from drug abuse are going to be treated. This Bill that we are developing will put us in the 20th Century with other states on a consolidation of those treatment services. And I would urge all the Members to join with me and Sam Vinson with an 'aye' vote to take this Bill from the Table so we can develop that vehicle, and I appreciate your support."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall the Motion to take House Bill 963 from the Table prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye, those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 83 voting 'aye', 27 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the Motion prevails. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 1031, Mr. Taylor. Mr. Taylor in the chamber? Take that out of the record. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 1205. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell. Yes. Mr. Ekkesen 'no' on the preceding 963. Is that right? Mr. Yourell, proceed, Sir."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I make the Motion to remove from the Table relative to House Bill 1205 with the thought in mind that it deals only with the salary, minimum, maximum salaries for the State Board of Elections. As you know, this Bill also deals with placing the State Board of Elections under the Personnel Code of the State of Illinois. Now, what we're attempting to do with this legislation is allow this General Assembly to raise the minimum and maximum salary of the Executive Director by 8,000 dollars. The minimum now is 22,000 with a maximum of 40. And what the legislation will do, besides... aside from placing the State Board

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

under the Personnel Code, is to raise those minimum/maximum salaries by 8,000. Happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any... Is there any discussion on the Motion? Discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion to take House Bill 1205 from the Table prevail?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, you may take the record. On this Motion there are 95 voting 'aye', 14 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the Motion prevails. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 1613. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment for this Motion is not prepared yet, and it's been downstairs for three hours. I would just like to move it to Third Reading and hold it there until the Amendment is ready tomorrow."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Capparelli, you have to move to take it off the Table..."

Capparelli: "I'll move to take it off the Table."

Speaker Greiman: "When it comes off, which is what your Motion is, when it comes off the Table, it will be wherever it was before. It was on Third Reading."

Capparelli: "Right."

Speaker Greiman: "So, tomorrow you will have to bring it back then to Second Reading. Yes."

Capparelli: "So, I would move to take from the Table House Bill 1613."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on that Motion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullertop. There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion to take House Bill 1613 from the Table prevail?'... Yes. Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Mautino: "Representative Capparelli, this amends the Illinois Housing Development Act authorizing, in its current form, additional loans. You're saying you're going to hold this Bill on Third Reading if it comes out. My concern is the bondoggle that was previously presented by this agency for home loans that had no restrictions or guidelines. It is the same subject matter?"

Capparelli: "No, it will not be. We're... what we're going to do is remove the August deadline for municipalities to voluntarily exceed their single-family mortgage authority to the Illinois Housing Authority. The Bill will be completely different."

Mautino: "Will there be any guidelines on who would be eligible for..."

Capparelli: "Yes, there will be. There... The guidelines will be showing that there will be a lottery."

Mautino: "It will be a lottery rather than setting aside 60 to 80 percent of the funds so that the citizens can't get a shot at it. Is that..."

Capparelli: "That's right. Right."

Mautino: "Alright."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion to take House Bill 1613 from the Table prevail?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 94 voting 'aye', 17 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present', and the Motion prevails and will appear at the Order of Third Reading on the Calendar. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 1982. Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate. Mr. Tate, do you wish to proceed? Yes, Mr. Vinson, the Gentleman from DeWitt."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Vinson: "Would you take this out of the record at this time for a minute, Sir?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate, take it out of the record? Alright. We'll take this out of the record. On the Order of Motions appears Senate ... House Bill 2014. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2014 is a Bill that deals only with the selection of delegates and alternate delegates to the National Nominating Convention and is in compliance with the recommendations of the Democratic National Committee. There was some debate during the discussion on Third Reading relative to how this would affect the Republican selection of delegates and alternate delegates; and, at that time, I thought I assured everybody that it would only affect the system by which Democratic delegates and alternate delegates would be elected. As you know, the conventions are nigh onto happening, and it's time that we passed this legislation and got it on the floor so that we can comply with the provisions of the... and recommendations of the Democratic National Committee. This Bill received considerable debate and several votes when it was passed on Third Reading, and I ask that you concur with my Motion to remove from the Table on House Bill 2014."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Will... Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he will."

Yourell: "Yes."

Olson: "Representative Yourell, as I see it, House Bill 2014 was a companion to Senate Bill 1277 which is now a Public Act 83-0032. Why do we need 2014?"

Yourell: "That's correct. What we're attempting to do with this is get an election Bill on the House floor so that we can

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

use it for other purposes, and I would hope that you would concur with that idea."

Olson: "Mr. Yourell, may I quote you from House Committee... Conference Committee Report #1? 'The purpose of this Bill is to resurrect Mike Lavell's boss bonus Bill, 1234, to have registrars of elections be paid bonuses to register voters, strike voters and to do other things'. I have a copy of the Conference Committee Report here. This is a shell Bill, Sir."

Yourell: "That's what it was June 30th, but that's not what it is today."

Olson: "What are you seeking to do with it today?"

Yourell: "I have no idea. We're trying to get this Bill on the House floor so that there will be a vehicle ready to act on should we have need of one."

Olson: "Thank you. May I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. Olson."

Olson: "I'd re... I would recommend, on behalf of the Republican side of the aisle, because we've already discussed 1234 extensively and because this appears to be an attempt to create a vehicle to pass a Bill which failed miserably, that we vote 'no' on this Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Alexander."

Alexander: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Eus, was this Bill in Committee?"

Yourell: "Yes, Ma'am. Was it in Committee? Is that your question?"

Alexander: "Yes."

Yourell: "Yes."

Alexander: "It was in Committee."

Yourell: "Yes. It came out on Third Reading. It passed out of this House with a vote of 92 'aye' votes."

Alexander: "Alright. Thank you."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "Further... Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, to close. Mr. Yourell to close."

Yourell: "Yes, all this Bill does, if we concur in the Motion, is to have it ready for any changes that the DNC might bring to us, and it will only be used for the selection process of delegates and alternate delegates to the Democratic National Convention. That's all it does. That's what I indicated to you originally, and I'm aware of the Senate Bill that is already law. And all we want to do is have this Bill ready should any changes come forth from the Democratic National Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall the Motion to take House Bill 2014 from the Table prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. To explain his vote, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. How many votes is necessary for passage of this Motion?"

Speaker Greiman: "It takes 71 votes, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "It has 49 now. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk... Yes, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Just briefly, Mr. Speaker. I really am sorry that there seems to be some difficulty with the vote on the board. As I indicated to all of the Members, that's the only thing this Bill will be used for should it prevail in the Motion to take from the Table. All this is going to do is provide a vehicle in case there's some changes from the DNC so that the Democrats who want to run for alternate... delegates or alternate delegates will have the opportunity to do so. That's all this Bill will provide for, and I can give you my assurance that nothing else will happen to this Bill. If it does, I'll table it."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Well, Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 55 voting 'aye', 58 voting 'no', none seen voting 'present', and the Motion fails. On the Order of Motions appears Senate Bill 1206. Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Curran. Mr. Curran. Proceed, Mr. Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1206 is a Bill which deals with the public aid fraud. One moment, Mr. Speaker. It requires that any person who commits recipient fraud to forfeit any money that they have acquired as a result of that fraud. It also establishes methods for distributing the proceeds of that acquisition as to whether it goes to the state or local government. I move for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Greiman: "And on that Motion, is there any discussion? Any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 120... Shall the Motion to take Senate Bill 1206 on the Table prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 106 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the Motion prevails. And Senate Bill 1206 will be on the Order of Third Reading. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 1982. Representative Capparelli, are you going to take that or Representative Tate? Representative Capparelli. Would you... You seem to be on. Proceed. Yes, Mr. Capparelli, would you like to turn him on?"

Capparelli: "I've got it. I move to... for House Bill 1982 be taken from the Table. This is a possible vehicle for the expansion of McCormick Works if negotiations work through. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Motion, is there any discussion? There

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

being none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion to take House Bill 1613 from the table prevail?' All those in favor... I'm sorry. I'm sorry, 1982... to take House Bill 1982 from the table prevail? Yes, Miss Braun, you had a question?"

Braun: "Yes, a question of the Sponsor. Did you say that... for... this is the McCormick Place Bill?"

Capparelli: "Yes."

Braun: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, I will state... I'll put the question. The question is, 'Shall the Motion to take House Bill 1982 from the table prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 88 voting 'aye', 21 voting 'nay', 4 voting 'present', and the Motion prevails. And the Bill will be on the Order of Third Reading, Short Debate. Mr. Clerk, are there any further Motions? Yes, Mr. Clerk, would you read the first two Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion Pursuant to Rule 77 (a). 'I move to discharge the Committee on Judiciary and place the Bill on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. By Representative Jack Davis.'"

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis. A Motion on... on House... Senate Bill... I think that's... Senate Bill 546."

Davis: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 546, I think both sides have been talked to - is intended as a vehicle for Corrections this Spring, and inadvertently was posted yesterday for Judiciary Committee. And due to meetings that were taking place surrounding the subject, I was unable to be there. Therefore, I move to discharge the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Judiciary Committee, and advance the Bill to Second Reading, First Legislative Day."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Is there any discussion on the Bill? Alright, the question is, 'Shall the Motion to discharge the Committee... the Judiciary Committee on further consideration of Senate Bill 546... yes, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "The board. Wrong number."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, would you wipe the board? Clean. The question is, 'Shall the Motion to discharge the Judiciary Committee from further consideration of Senate Bill 546 prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. 71 votes required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 105 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The Motion prevails. Are there any further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion on Senate Bill 668, pursuant to Rule 74 (a). 'I move to take Senate Bill 668 from the table. Representative Larry Hicks.'" "

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "... Gentlemen of the House, I'd move to suspend Rule 74 (a) and ask for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 668."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Dunn, did you have an inquiry? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn. Excuse me, Mr. Hicks."

Dunn: "We haven't been able to find this Bill or the last one on the Calendar. Where are we on the Calendar?"

Speaker Greiman: "These were Motions that have been filed during the proceedings today, and they take 71 votes to be heard as well. I'm sorry. Mr. Hicks, would you proceed?"

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I... I move for immediate consideration on the Bill... on the Motion, excuse me... to take the Bill

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION LEDGER

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

from the table."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay, Gentleman moves to suspend the appropriate rule for hearing on Senate Bill 668. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed... well, Miss... the Lady from Dupage, Miss Nelson, yes."

Nelson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, may I ask a question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. Indeed, you may."

Nelson: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Now, this is on the Motion. Excuse me for one... this is on the Motion to allow his Motion to be heard. In other words, we have to move first to have his Motion be... to take from the table to be heard because it's not on the Calendar."

Nelson: "I withdraw my request. I'd like to wait one round."

Speaker Greiman: "So he will... he will... you'll get another shot in a minute for him, okay? Alright. Yes, Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion in this case because I think it is very important that the House bring these Bills to a posture where the Members can see them and think about them for a little bit of time before voting on them. Voting against this Motion or the next Motion would be to put us in a position where we had to act very quickly in the waning hours of this week, and where the House would not have the opportunity to give some rational thought to the problem at hand. By giving the Gentleman consideration at this point, and on this next Motion, we will have the chance to reflect on these things in a calm fashion. And so, I would rise in support of the Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman has moved to suspend the... rule 74 (a) with respect to Senate Bill 668. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

is now open. 71 votes required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 111 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the Motion prevails. Now, Mr. Hicks, on your Motion to take from the table. On Senate Bill 668, Gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, so move to take from the table, please."

Speaker Greiman: "And now, Miss... Miss Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had a question of the Sponsor."

Hicks: "Yes, Ma'am, go ahead."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed."

Nelson: "If you would just briefly explain why you wish to do this, Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Ma'am. There is an appropriation in place for a problem that arose from the... certain school districts across the state that failed to come up with the qualifying for the current aid formula."

Nelson: "To the Bill... or to the Motion, Mr. Speaker. I do support this Motion. Would urge people on this side of the aisle to vote for it."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 668... Motion in respect to Senate Bill 668 taken from the table prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 110 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', and the Motion prevails. Mr. Clerk, further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion on Senate Bill 1153 by Representative Cullerton. Pursuant to Rule 74 (a), I move to take Senate

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1963

Bill 1153 from the Table."

Speaker Greiman: "With respect to... to Senate Bill 666, that Bill will be on the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "Mr. Speaker, that's on a Conference Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "It is on a Conference Committee Report, right. It's been pointed out up here. Thank you. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "What is the posture now? We've got a Conference Committee on Second Reading?"

Speaker Greiman: "No, no. We had a note here that that was on the Order of Second Reading First Legislative Day, but apparently it's a Conference Committee. The print-out indicates it's in Conference Committee. So it will be where it was when it was... when we concluded our business last summer."

Vinson: "So it will appear in the Order of Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker Greiman: "Conference Committee, that's correct. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is Senate Bill 1153. It is also in the posture of a Conference Committee. My Motion is to suspend Rule 74 (a) so that I can then move to take the Bill from the table."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman has moved to suspend Rule 74 (a) with respect to Senate Bill 1153. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 77 voting 'aye', 31 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present', and the Motion prevails. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton on Senate Bill 1153."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

of the House. I would move to take from the Table Senate Bill 1153. The Bill is now in Conference Committee. I can tell the Members of the House that the purpose of taking this from the Table would be to have a Conference Committee available so that we could adopt House Amendment #9 which would be the only thing that would be in the Conference Committee providing... authorizing two junior law clerks for the Supreme Court. None of the other portions of the Bill would be included in the Conference Committee. So I would move that we take Senate Bill 1153 from the Table."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion to take Senate Bill 1153 from the Table prevail?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the... Have all voted who wish? Mr. Vinson, yes."

Vinson: "Does this require 71 votes?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, this requires 71 votes, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Well then, Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my vote on this matter."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Mr. Vinson. Proceed."

Vinson: "I would urge people to vote 'yes' on this particular Motion, which is not a vote on the substance of any Conference Committee Report. What this will do, will enable us to bring a Conference Committee Report to a posture early in the Session... early in the Veto Session so that Members will have the opportunity to have the Conference Committee Report on their desks and to fully survey the substance of Conference Committee Reports before they cast a vote on the substance. I believe if we don't do that, we may find ourselves in the posture of voting on these things very quickly and not knowing what's in them."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

And by casting a green vote on this and putting this Bill in a good posture at this time, which is not a substantive vote, but a procedural vote, we can get to the point of quickly acting on these things."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton. Okay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 75 voting 'aye', 38 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the Motion prevails. Are there any further Motions, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motions relating to House Resolutions and House Joint Resolutions."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, these are the Motions on the...on the Calendar."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motions on the Calendar."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of Motions appears House Resolution 390. Mr. Giorgi. Well, we'll just take that out of the record for a moment. He was just here. On the Order of House Joint Resolution 68 appears... On the Order of Motions. Representative Breslin, the Lady from LaSalle."

Breslin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Joint Resolution which names a bridge in my district, the Streator Veterans' Memorial Bridge. The only reason it was not put on the Agreed Bill Resolution, I believe, was because it was not a Congratulatory Resolution or a Death Resolution. It is not a matter of controversy either in my district or in this Assembly. I know of no opposition, and I would appreciate it being moved to the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "She indicates she will."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Cullerton: "Are you sure?"

Speaker Greiman: "If it's a short question."

Cullerton: "The name of the bridge would be the Streator
Veteran's Memorial Bridge?"

Breslin: "That is correct. Very nonpartisan."

Cullerton: "Are there... If we adopt... If we adopt this
Resolution, would we be kind of ruling out other names for
the bridge?"

Breslin: "That's right. They couldn't name it Representative
Breslin Bridge."

Cullerton: "How about former Representative Hoxsey? We could
honor her at a service by naming this bridge after her."

Breslin: "They couldn't name it that either, Representative."

Cullerton: "Well, are you sure that the... the people in Streator
want the name to be the Veterans' Memorial Bridge?"

Breslin: "Senator Welch and myself have been asked to do this by
the City Council of Streator."

Cullerton: "Mayor Frank 'Lux'?"

Breslin: "Correct."

Cullerton: "Alright, okay."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the
question is, 'Shall the Motion to bypass Committee and
place HJR 68 on the Speaker's Table for immediate
consideration prevail?' All those in favor signify by
voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now
open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 110
voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the
Motion prevails. Now, on the Speaker's Table appears HJR
68. The Lady from LaSalle, Ms. Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Now, I
would move to ask you to pass this Resolution that names
the new bridge in Streator the Streator Veterans' Memorial

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Bridge. It is the request of the City Council, and I repeat, I do not believe it to be controversial either in the community or in the Assembly."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Lady yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "She indicates she will."

Leverenz: "In what town is the bridge?"

Breslin: "The town is in the City of Streator."

Leverenz: "Could...Would it be more appropriate to name this after the recent... the late Mayor of Streator?"

Breslin: "It might be more appropriate, however, the late Mayor and the City Council have requested this."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Hard to turn down a request from beyond. Is there further discussion? Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt HJB 68?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Resolution, there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the Resolution is adopted. On the Order of Motions appears House Resolution 390. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 390 was introduced back in May or June, and the Committee was going to meet tomorrow, but they have decided not to meet. So I'd like to move for the immediate adoption of House Resolution 390. And what it does is because of the large... the great number of contaminants in the water in cities, villages and towns throughout the state, and because there is no state standards for water or for the contamination count of water, I'd like to create a task force with the Department of Public Health and the Environmental Protection Agency to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

study the problem of polluting the water throughout the entire state water table so that we could have one central body and one central regulatory agency to do something about it. Urge the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? Yes, Lady from Marshall, Miss Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor please yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Koehler: "Representative Giorgi, perhaps you could enlighten us as to the reason for this particular Resolution."

Giorgi: "Well, studies have shown that there are a lot of contaminants in local ground waters all over the state, and there is no central body that can issue regulations or do something about it. We're having trouble all over the state, and I think that there ought to be some central body entrusted with forcing water... or protecting our water tables throughout the State of Illinois. And there should be a body that says, 'This area is in a... dangerous situation. Something should be done.' They should come in with the authority because there is no local authority. There is no federal authority, no state authority at this time."

Koehler: "Well, perhaps you could tell us what studies these are that... that indicate that, and also what about the Illinois State Water Survey? Do they not do any studies of that type, or the Department of Energy and Natural Resources?"

Giorgi: "They may do the study, but they don't have any authority to force some action to clean up the pollutants in the water."

Koehler: "Well, would this task force have authority... what kind of authority would the task force have?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Giorgi: "They should study the problem and communicate with one another and form, you might say, a regulatory agency to control... control the underwater table in Illinois so that it's... the contaminants are lessened and water isn't dangerous to use."

Koehler: "Well now, did you say that they would control, or they would recommend..."

Giorgi: "They would recommend future..."

Koehler: "They would recommend. Well now, this task force, are they... are they going to be composed of state agencies only, or are they going to also be composed of federal agencies?"

Giorgi: "Just state agencies."

Koehler: "And would this task force be a paid task force, or would it be..."

Giorgi: "It's the... It's asking that the Departments of Public Health and the Environmental Protection Agency, through their Directors, to form a task force to solve this problem."

Koehler: "Well then, is this in answer to some of the... some of the information that was recently released by the Federal Government that indicated that there are problems with the water supplies throughout the nation?"

Giorgi: "Yes, but my Resolution is based on the reports of our own cities, townships and counties within the State of Illinois."

Koehler: "Okay. Well, thank you. I hesitated to ask so many questions, but as you said, it will not be reviewed by Committee, and I do support your Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he will."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Vinson: "This may be one that was already asked by Representative Koehler, and I apologize to you if she did. And I... my attention was diverted. But you have become rather expert in recent months, Representative Giorgi, in issuing press releases. A number of Members have mentioned press releases to me in connection with your name, and I wonder if this particular Resolution may be another one of your press release Resolutions."

Giorgi: "Sam, Representative Vinson, the press releases you allude to were written with yours in front of mine. I use yours as a..."

Vinson: "Did you clear yours with Representative Pierce?"

Giorgi: "This is a particularly good government Resolution."

Vinson: "A press release Resolution?"

Giorgi: "Not this. Not this. No, this is a... is after an expose in the northwestern part of Illinois, and I guess it must have occurred in the Peoria area also. We are having trouble... All cities, counties and townships are having trouble with water tables and contaminants in the water. Underground... our underground water supply is in danger, and there doesn't seem to be a central regulatory body that will insist we do this and do that."

Vinson: "Yes, well, to the Motion, Mr. Speaker. I certainly intend to follow the lead of the Republican spokesman on the Environment Committee and her pertinent comments on the thing. I just thought there were Members who might want to be aware of Representative Giorgi and the fact that this might not be a press release Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Representative Vinson, I might tell you that I would never have written any Resolutions had you not started the habit."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Further discussion? There

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

being none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion to discharge the Committee on Energy, Environment and Natural Resources prevail and the matter... and HR 390 advance to the Order of the Speaker's Table?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyn."

Van Duyn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to add my voice in support of the Resolution. Representative Giorgi was going to have it heard before our Committee today, or tomorrow morning, I should say, but there will be no Committee meeting tomorrow morning of Energy and Environment and Natural Resources. So, therefore, he won't get a chance to have it heard in Committee, and so I support his discharge Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 98 voting 'aye', 17 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the Motion prevails. Now, Mr. Giorgi. House Resolution 390 on the Speaker's Table. Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I request... I request the same vote for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 390?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Resolution, there are 99 voting 'aye', 14 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and House Resolution 390 is adopted. On page 19 of the Calendar on the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1683. The lady from Kane, Ms. Zwick, 1683."

Zwick: "Mr. Speaker, I believe..."

Speaker Greiman: "The Clerk advises me, Ms. Zwick, that we have

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION LEAFLET

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

already done that. Is that right?"

Zwick: "Yes, successfully, too. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "That was when we... when we skipped a few pages. Alright, fine. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1703. Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I move to accept the recommendations of the Governor amendatorily vetoing House Bill 1703. House Bill 1703 attempted to address the delay problem in getting decisions out of the Board of Review for unemployment insurance claims. The Governor's veto would increase the time allowed to do that from sixty days, as provided in the Bill, to a period of one hundred twenty days. And although I would have preferred the sixty days, I think that the hundred and twenty is a definite improvement over existing law. And for that reason, would move to accept the... the Governor's veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on that matter? Any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1703, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 109 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails. And the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1703 by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1707, Representative Federscn."

Pederson: "Thank... thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with the Governor's amendatory veto. What it does is to change a portion of the Bill to allow unemployment... or uninsured motorists property damage coverage. The original Bill is mandated on all automobiles. This would only apply to those automobiles who don't carry collision insurance. In addition to that, there was another Bill, Senate Bill 991, which had similar language to the one we... that we are using here. And in order to avoid confusion, we'll be... we'll be vetoing that language out of 991, and just have it in this Bill. So I move to concur with the Governor's amendatory veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1707, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1707 by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1788. The Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in the Governor's amendatory veto of House Bill 1788. The measure provided that the Stock Breeders and Detectives Act be amended so that they didn't have to file their respective certificates with county clerks. In researching, the Governor's staff found that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

the detectives provision of the Bill had been sunsetted on December 31, 1982, hence the Amendment kicking that out. So, for that reason, I would suggest that we accept the amendatory veto of House Bill 1788."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1788, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1788, by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Vetoes... Veto Motions appears House Bill 1847. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Out of the record at this time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1881. The Lady from DuPage, Miss Karpziel."

Karpziel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to accept the amendatory veto on House Bill 1881. The... the veto simply kept the Bill intact except that it made the Bill apply only to counties of more than five hundred thousand, but less than three million population."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1881, by the adoption of the Amendment. This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 109 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion having received the Constitutional Majority prevails, and the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1861 by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1925. The Lady from Marshall, Mrs. Koehler. The Lady from Marshall, Mrs. Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 1925. It makes certain technical changes in a land exchange Bill in the counties of Marshall and Adams Counties. It is supported by the Department of Conservation, the Department of Transportation and the Governor's Office. They all agreed that this change should be made, and I would hope that you would agree with them also."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Motion, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1925, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1925, by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1972. Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Eastert."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1972 is the annual conveyance Bill of the Department of Conservation. The amendatory veto contains five technical changes, three of them say instead of 'of pages' to 'on pages'. One was a transcription (sic - transposition) of numbers, and the fifth was... it says...from existing... inserts the word 'east', 'existing east of'. It's a technical change, there's five technical changes in that land conveyance. I move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1972, by the adoption of the Amendment?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is now open. This is final action. The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a conflict of interest of this Bill, and I'll be voting 'present'."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Ewing. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 112 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the Motion... Mr. Van Duyn. And this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 1972 by the adoption of the Amendment. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 2065. The Lady from DuPage, Ms. Nelson."

Nelson: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw my Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Now, so the Clerk is clear, you wish to withdraw your Motion to accept the Governor's amendatory veto, is that right?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Nelson: "That is right, Mr. Speaker. The purpose of the Bill has already been taken care of in the Zwick... Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, alright. That's alright. I just wanted to make sure so the Clerk didn't have any ambiguities. Alright, fine."

Nelson: "... Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 2085. The Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a substantive Bill that we are asking you to override the Governor's amendatory veto. We are not changing the appropriation Bill and replacing the hundred thousand dollars. We want this substantive legislation on the books so that 4A training money, when it comes down, can be used for this purpose. I have just come from a three day meeting of two hundred and fifty senior citizens over at the State House Inn. They asked that this be given consideration because it's one of their recommendations for the future in order to have preventive medicine. We are only asking for the substantive legislation. We are not replacing the hundred thousand dollars in the appropriation. We expect to have 4A federal training money."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2085 pass, the veto of the... the amendatory veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed, the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

amendatory veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 2110. The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Bastert."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2110 is a Bill that came out of the Local Governments Accounting Task Force. What it does, it says that the Department of Community and Commerce Affairs... Commerce and Community Affairs shall provide model forms for local governments so that there can be a uniformity in financial statement forms that come out of those local governments. The amendatory veto asks that we delay the enactment date to after January 1st, 1985 to let the parties involved, CPAs and local governments get together and put together those forms. If we said 1984, we're under a short time constraint, and I would move to accept the amendatory veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 2110, by the adoption of the Amendment?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voting... have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 2110, by the adoption of the Amendment. This is our last... On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 2111. Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Bastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

House. 2111 is very similar to 2110. What 2111 asks to do with the... what the statute states is that the Department of Community and Commerce Affairs... Commerce and Community Affairs provide a uniform disclosure form for all significant information to potential purchasers of debt or bonding. And what this does, just like in House Bill 2110, is to extend that date so those people who are forming those bond information forms have some time to put it together with CPAs and the local financial institutions and fiduciaries. We ask that... it does change it to January 1st, 1985, for the enactment clause, instead of January 1st, 1984. I ask for your acceptance to the Governor's amendatory veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? Discussion on the Motion. There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 2111, by the adoption of the Amendment?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 113 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change regarding House Bill 2111, by the adoption of the Amendment. We'll stand at ease for just a moment. We'll... On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions, we'll back up for one that we passed over before. House Bill 84. The Lady from Cook, Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto and specific recommendations for change on House Bill 84."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Dwyne."

Van Dwyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, but as usual you are about five minutes late. I wanted to address the Chair to notify this Body about five minutes ago with a little interim there that we were not going to have an Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Committee meeting tomorrow morning legitimately. That's all I wanted to say, and thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Van Dwyne. Appreciate that notice. Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 84, by the adoption of the Amendment?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. This is final action on the Bill. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 84 by adoption of the Amendment. A change in the Order of Business now. On page 9 of the Calendar, Total Veto Motions, appears House Bill 307. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Birkinbine. Mr. Birkinbine, out of the record. Alright. House Bill 312, on the Order of Total Veto Motions. Mr. Taylor. Out of the record. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 349. No, that's out of the record. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 366. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 366. Although the Governor stated that some of these

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

provisions were contained in Senate Bill 288, it did exclude certain provisions of... one, which it eliminated the possibility of a spouse or children and other relatives from being liable, and also deleted provisions allowing for litigation against trustees should a disgruntled participant or annuitant believe in a particular investment, and should produce a better results than any trustee is already liable under both the common law and existing law. And it deletes the 'my brother's keeper' liability which requires a trustee to use reasonable care to prevent any other trustee from committing such a breach. This Bill was proposed by the Illinois State Board of Investment, and which was supported by all of the members, including Judge Robert 'Downing'. And it's a good Bill, and I think that the Governor was in error in vetoing this based upon the other Bill. And I would urge your support to override the Governor's veto."

Speaker Greiman: "The... on the Motion, discussion? Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he will."

Vinson: "Representative, my understanding is that this Bill contains identical language to Senate Bill 288, that Senate Bill 288 has been signed into law. So what is the purpose of trying to override on this if that's the case?"

Terzich: "The Senate Bill 288 was amendatorily vetoed, and is waiting in the Senate to, you know, to be voted on for the amendatory veto."

Vinson: "288 was amendatorily vetoed?"

Terzich: "That's correct, and I believe there's a Motion in the Senate on the veto."

Vinson: "Is there anything in House Bill 366 other than the indemnification language?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Terzich: "No, that's all that's in there... as originally submitted."

Vinson: "Well, given those factors, I have no opposition to the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Calendar doesn't seem to indicate that a Motion was filed to override the Governor's veto, and I'm wondering when such a Motion was filed on this Bill. I'm looking on page 9. I don't see Motion filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Page 9, Total Veto Motions. If... I think if the Bill... If there had been no Motion, it would not be on this..."

Braun: "You're right, on Total Veto Motions. Alright. In that case, I would like to ask a question of the Sponsor..."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Miss Braun."

Braun: "I'm sorry, I was under the impression... I was not under the impression that the Calendar indicated a Motion had been filed on this."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Miss Braun."

Braun: "Representative Terzich, in light of the other pension connected legislation that was passed this term, it seems that there's a concern at least that this legislation may well open up the pension funds to potential raid... raids by relaxing the fiduciary responsibility or requirements that are presently in the law. And can you respond to that... I mean what... do you believe that this opens up these pension funds to more relaxed requirements and thereby jeopardizes the pensioners' interests?"

Terzich: "Well, Judge 'Downing', who is the Chairman of the Illinois State Board of Investments feel that there, at the present time, is case law that would require... you know, that would cover this particular provision. And what the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Bill basically does, it applies the... excludes from liability all of the pension systems and the State Board of Investments was included. However, this provision would just simply extend it whereby a relative could not be sued. For example, my wife or my children would be sued for my actions, and also to protect them from harassment from any investment. The people on the State Board of Investments work on a non-salaried basis, and they do a good job, and they feel that this is a basic harassment on there. And that there is case law at the present time to cover any malfeasance or misappropriation of any funds."

Braun: "Alright. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed."

Braun: "I believe that this legislation, if the Governor's veto is overridden, will establish a very dangerous precedent, setting the stage for potential raids on the pensioners' funds, and jeopardy to the interests of pensioners in these very systems. And I... I would encourage a 'no' vote on the Motion to override."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion on the Motion? Further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, to close."

Terzich: "Well, again, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that there is laws on the books to protect that, and as stated by also Judge 'Downing' and the members of the Commission that it would be very, very difficult to be willing to serve as trustee under the present legislation, and feel that this is necessary to perform a good job for the state. And I would move its adoption... or override."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 366 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

voted who wish? Mr. Terzich to explain his vote."

Terzich: "Well, again, Mr. Speaker, this is a... a very good Bill. As I stated that the State Board of Investments is liable for investing in excess of one and a half billion dollars. They've been doing an exceptionally good job. That this was reviewed by Judge 'Downing' and the members of the Commission, and it does create some concern for these here people who do serve as trustees on this Board. I, personally, if anybody does have any fraud or any misrepresentation on the Board, they are presently subject to criminal prosecution under the current law. This simply provides that their family members and so on are not subject for acts that are performed by someone else. And it also would avoid the members to perform a good job, and also not be harassed by the possibility of criminal charges being brought before them. It's good legislation, and I would urge, you know, additional votes on this."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 55 voting 'aye', 57 voting 'no', 1 'present', and the Motion is lost. On the Order of Total Motion Vetoes appears... We'll go back for one. There appears House Bill 312. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor. Proceed."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to override the total veto of the Governor in relation to a work-study program for high school students in grades of 11 and 12. In his veto message, he stated that the program was working throughout the state. I regret to say to you that it's not. If you've read today's paper in the Sun-Times, and you read the headlines of yesterday's paper, it said that in black communities seven out of every ten children is out of work, and I'd venture to say in a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 16, 1983

district like mine nine out of every ten is out of work. Therefore, I think this is a good piece of legislation, and I think that we should attempt to override it and make certain that this Bill becomes law. I solicit your vote for House Bill 312."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 312 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 63 voting 'aye', 46 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the Motion is lost. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 487. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 487 limits the veterans' preference in layoff and reemployment situations to veterans who were in the armed forces during either World War I, World War II, the Korean conflict or the Vietnamese conflict, and provides that if the veterans' preference in layoff and reemployment situation would entitle a veteran to be retained or reemployed in preference to a widow with a dependent minor child, a widow would be entitled to be retained or reemployed in preference to the veteran, which I believe is only right. Now, one of the strange discrepancies that has struck me over the years is the way a nation, our nation at least, blows hot and cold on service men and women, now, too. Veterans have always been treated shabbily, promised and then disappointed, wooed with ardor and then shunned when the need for them has ended. I think it's time that we should give a little

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

consideration to the veterans, those that have served our country in the past, in view of what is going on in Lebanon today. You see, our boys are constantly putting their lives at stake, and I think we should remember them by giving them this little Bill to help them a little... some little way. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The... Is there any discussion on the Motion?"

The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he will."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sam."

Vinson: "Representative, is this the Bill that Representative Satterthwaite stole from you earlier?"

DiPrima: "No, no, that was Senate Bill 263, where you had to sign up for the draft."

Vinson: "Well, to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. This is the one that Representative Satterthwaite should have stolen from Representative DiPrima, and I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there further discussion? Further discussion? There being none, Representative DiPrima to close."

DiPrima: "Yeah, well, Sammy, you heard me say that I'm siding with the women here, you understand? And I know Satterthwaite is on my side of the fence there, along with all these other women here. Just give me a favorable vote. That's all I ask. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 487 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Yes, Mr. DiPrima to explain your vote."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

DiPrima: "I can't believe this. I'm really stunned. You mean to tell me I can't get 71 votes up there? Come on, let's move. Let's... I don't want to bother going to the absentees. Give me three more votes up there."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 68 voting 'aye', 35 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'... yes, Mr. DiPrima?"

DiPrima: "Yes, I'd like to have... have polling... Poll the absentees."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman asks for a Poll of the Absentees, within his rights. Mr. Clerk, Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Alexander. Barnes."

Speaker Greiman: "Alexander votes 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Barnes. Bowman. Braun. Currie. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Dwight Friedrich. Greiman. Jaffe. Klenz. Levin. Markette. McAuliffe. No further."

Speaker Greiman: "On this Motion there are 68 voting 'aye'... Johnson... vote Johnson 'no'. Alright. Does anybody else care to change their vote or make a vote? Therefore, on this Motion there are 69 voting 'aye', 36 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby lost. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 488. Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Bea."

Bea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 488 amends the Metropolitan Civic Center Support Act. It gives authorization and approval to promote civic centers in three counties, the same as we have done on other... in the past on other legislation. It does grant power to issue revenue bonds. It works... It makes these centers eligible for grants, which will not increase taxes, and it certainly gives a great boost to the area

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

economically and culturally as well. It came out of the House 96 to 15, and move for an override on this... on House Bill 488."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? Any discussion? There being none... I'm sorry. Mr. Cullerton, Gentleman from Cook."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask the Sponsor if he could just simply take this out of the record for just a few minutes. We're looking for the file. We want to review the file before we vote on the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Sponsor indicates he'd be happy to take it out of the record for a few minutes. On the Order to Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 522. The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. Hawkinson. Out of the record. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 662. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Pangle."

Pangle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to override the Governor's veto. House Bill 662 is a Bill that passed the House and the Senate. In fact, after it was known that the Bill was going to pass, the House had a lot of people come up and say they wanted to get on the Bill. It places lottery money into education, and we all are aware of the fact that the lottery seems to be doing quite well after last weekend. I move for an override."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is... yes. Oh, Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Ropp: "Mr. Sponsor, if, by chance, this Bill passes, would it prohibit, by its passage, the possible funding of a one day lottery for other special projects like we had in the last Session dealing with the University of Illinois?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Pangle: "Representative, I really can't answer that question if it would or if it wouldn't."

Ropp: "Well, if a red hot special project would be acceptable by this General Body, then that could also become a reality, is that right?"

Pangle: "Well, I think we could always pass special legislation for a one day lottery if that's what we... if that's what we wanted to do."

Ropp: "Yeah, but I didn't know whether or not this might preclude... at least until we ever pass any other Bills."

Pangle: "I wouldn't have any idea if it would or not, Mr. Representative, and we can make an exception, you know."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. While I note that both the sponsors of this Bill are from downstate, this Bill, I think, addresses a problem that we have this very minute in the City of Chicago. I have gotten numerous phone calls and communications from constituents because of our school strike who thought and continue to think that the proceeds of the lottery go for education, and who want to see that. And I think this is an excellent Bill. It was a good Bill initially, and I would like to see an override. I think it would help the situation in the City of Chicago."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Friedrich: "Representative Pangle, would you envision this increasing the amount of dollars available to education?"

Pangle: "Yes, I would."

Friedrich: "Alright, then obviously, the money that's going for other purposes would be reduced. What do you propose to reduce since the lottery money and the sales tax money and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

the income tax money all goes into general revenue. What department would you propose to reduce, or which taxes would you propose to increase to... wait a minute. May I finish the question? If this would reduce the other money in general revenue, what would you propose to eliminate in the other services, or what taxes would you propose to increase to replenish the amount taken out because the lottery money didn't go in there?"

Pangle: "I think that would have to just fall under the appropriation process or the Bureau of the Budget."

Friedrich: "Well, to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed."

Friedrich: "There are only so many drops of water in the bucket, and I think this Bill is kind of like rocking in a rocking chair. It gives you something to do, but it doesn't get you anyplace. All it does is take... it just says the lottery money goes to education, so some of the money that's going to education has to go for something else. Now, you can't have it both ways. You either have to raise revenues to replace the other, or you have to reduce services. The Sponsor apparently has no plan to raise taxes. He apparently has no plan to reduce other services. So I think that makes the issue a phony one at this time, and that's no reflection on the Sponsor, because I'm sure he is well-intentioned. I don't believe he understands the process of revenue and appropriations because there's only so many dollars total."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, I wonder if you could just hold it. There's just one or two people asking for... for recognition. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think before we

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEPARTMENT

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

debate and pass any Bill on the lottery, we ought to hear from the father of the lottery as to what he thinks on this Bill, Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, I missed the answer that you gave to a question earlier. Do you believe that this will increase the amount of money available for education?"

Pangle: "Yes."

Vinson: "You do? You do?"

Pangle: "Yes."

Vinson: "Will it do that at the expense of mental health?"

Pangle: "I can't answer that question."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would submit that if this can increase the amount of money available for education, it's got to do it at the expense of mental health and other state government functions. And I'm certainly against cutting off funding for the Kankakee Mental Health Center. So I'm going to vote against this."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you... Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Bill in support of the Governor's veto. I don't think anybody here is really so naive as to think that this is going to increase the amount of money available for education. You either take it out of the Common School Fund, or you take it out of the General Revenue Fund. It's six or one and half a dozen of another. There is only so much money raised, and we could argue right now that every cent that goes into the lottery goes into education because the general distributing fund alone this last year was a billion three hundred and eighty-one million dollars. So,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION CLERK

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

you know, this is really an example of an attempt to create a facade that appears that it accomplishes something, and I think most of us who have worked with this any period of time knows that it does not. All due respect to the Sponsor. I'm sure he's very sincere. However, I don't believe this will accomplish what he hopes it will, and it's for that reason that I oppose it."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand in support of the override on this particular question, House Bill 662. I hear a lot of statements here about having priorities and having a facade and saying that there's only so many drops in the bucket. I would like to remind this General Assembly that the lottery was used for the University of Illinois and the proceeds from one February allocation used for funding of that school system when they had their problems with the Big Ten. I'd like to remind this General Assembly they used this money as the backup funding source for the Chrysler Corporation's bail-out provisions. I think that those Members who have been sitting in this General Assembly since 1972, recall the original intent of the lottery, and that was for the Common School Fund. If you want to be honest and forthright and tell your constituents that since the lottery has been increasing the revenue of the State of Illinois, it should go for the common school funds of this state. If, in fact, there is an increase, that was the promise that was presented in '72 and should be carried out today. It's a good Bill. It should get the support for a total override."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion. Representative Giorgi, from Winnebago."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, in 1972, when the lottery only passed the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

31st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

House, that was true, but in 1973, when the lottery Bill was actually passed, there were four Amendments put on in the House, and they were taken off in the Senate, so that all the money from the lottery goes in the General Revenue Fund. The only reason Pangle's veto attempt override is dangerous today is because every dollar that we earn in tax dollars, almost thirty-six cents goes for education. But next year, the lottery is going to gross a billion dollars, and what you are going to do here is allocate four hundred million dollars to education, and then you are going to find that you are sending too much money to where you wanted to... to where you want to spend the dough. So the original lottery was passed. The lottery that became law did not have any earmarked funds in it. Everything went in the General Revenue Fund. But in this case, next year you are going to gross a billion dollars in the lottery, and you are going to net about four hundred and fifty million to the state. Now, if you want to send that to education, that's what you are doing today. I think it's a very bad precedent in this case."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Wolf was right. Mr. Pangle to close."

Pangle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In closing, I would just like to add I'm sure all the rural downstate area Representatives are aware of the facts that the small school districts are having, like Representative Roff and so forth, as far as funds, as far as the FIC program production and so forth. I must remind you this Bill was passed by House by a vote of 102. I would ask that the 102 that stood by me on the original Bill... would vote 'yes' again. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 662 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

31st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

This is final action. The voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 68... Mr. Hastert 'no'. Mr. Fangle."

Fangle: "Can... I'd like to poll the absentees, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon, Mr. Fangle."

Fangle: "Like to poll the absentees, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman requests a Roll of the Absentees. Within his rights. Mr. Clerk, Roll of the Absentees. Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson. For what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, in the event that this Motion should get the requisite number of votes, I would request a verification."

Speaker Greiman: "This Gentleman is also within his rights. Okay, Mr. Clerk, Roll of the Absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Roll of the Absentees."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr... Yes, Mr. Bowman. Oh, yes. Ms. Markette."

Markette: "Yes, I vote 'yes'."

Speaker Greiman: "Vote Markette 'aye'. Ms. Younge, for what purpose... Ms. Younge 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Roll of the Absentees. Jaffe. McAuliffe. Neff. No further."

Speaker Greiman: "On this Motion, there are 70 voting 'aye', 44... 45 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. Yes. Mr. Mulcahey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Greiman: "You're recorded as voting... How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "On this Motion, there are 68... 69 voting..."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

no, I'm sorry. What is the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "70 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "On this Motion, there are 70 voting 'aye', 45 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion is declared lost. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 682. Gentleman from... out of the record. Alright. On the Order of Total Veto Motions, we went to House... we passed up House Bill 488. We will return to that. Mr. Bea, the Gentleman from Franklin. Give the Gentleman some order."

Bea: "At this time, I would move for override on House Bill 488."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 488 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. This is final action on the Bill. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill... On this Motion there are 92 voting 'aye', 16 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'... yes. Brunswick 'no'. And this Bill having attained Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 730. The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Bea. Out of the record. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 743. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Kirkland. Mr. Kirkland. Mr. Kirkland, you are the Sponsor of House Bill 743. On the Order of Total Veto Motions."

Kirkland: "Thank you, took me by surprise. House Bill... just a moment. House Bill 743 is what's been commonly referred to as the 'school nurses' Bill. It passed out of the General Assembly last year and was vetoed by the Governor on the basis that it did not have an appropriation and that it

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

11st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

would cost state money. It passed out of the General Assembly again this year and was once again vetoed on the basis that it again would cost the state money. However, that veto... and the veto message that went along with it was incorrect because Section 2 of the Bill this year, unlike the Bill last year, indicated that the General Assembly hereby finds and declares that this amendatory Act does not require... reimbursement by the state under the State Mandates Act. In other words, it was exempted from the State Mandates Act, and any cost of the Bill this year would be borne on the local level. And that is how the Bill passed out of this House with 91 votes, and that's how it passed out of the Senate this year. Now, the Governor's... regarding the figures raised by the Governor in his amendatory veto, he indicated incorrectly, indicating this would be state money, that the Bill could cost from 2.5 million to 17 million dollars over three years. In fact, again, when we passed the Bill out, the fiscal impact statement indicated that the Bill would cost about 330,000 dollars a year, which over three years would amount to a little over a million dollars, not 2.5 to 17. Now, the purpose of this Bill is... this Bill will apply to about two hundred of the one thousand state school nurses because 800,000 of the... excuse me, eight hundred of those thousand nurses already receive the certified amount of pay that teachers get. This Bill would make it possible and, in fact, require that where a school district had a situation either similar to or having an increased amount from the minimum salary levels for teachers, that certified nurse... school nurses, in effect, like those certified teachers, their colleagues, would get the same rate of pay. Now, finally, the bottom line purpose of the Bill is to provide equity because school nurses are like school

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

11st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

teachers. They teach the same... they address the same students. In some cases they teach the students in addition to addressing their health care. They work on the same calendar. They have to get the same education requirements when they are certified, and they should be paid on the same salary schedule as certified teachers. We would ask that those 91 people, and maybe more, who voted this Bill out before, vote it out again and override the Governor's veto. Be glad to answer any questions. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Is there further discussion? Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion. I do so most reluctantly because I know of his devotion and concern for this particular cause. And I do so most reluctantly because I know it is a good cause. But I do so nevertheless, because I know that, unfortunately, in the current economic situation, the state just cannot deal with the financing of all of the good causes available for this General Assembly to consider. We are in a position where the projected revenues available for the State of Illinois in this particular fiscal year are coming in precisely on target with the estimates that the legislative leaders adopted in the summit conference on the budget last spring. We are in a position where we do not yet know what is going to be necessary to expend on the subject of rapid transit, mass transit in the Chicago area. We do not yet know how much it's going to be necessary to expend to deal with the problem of corrections and the prison overcrowding problem in order to create necessary cells to incarcerate people and to protect the public. We are in a position where, should we undertake new obligations? In this particular

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1963

obligation, the estimates are... could conceivably run as high as 17 million dollars. We are in a position where we're going to have to take that money away from some other important function of government, from some other good function of government, or we are in a position where we're going to have to take that money away from the people of the State of Illinois in the form of higher taxes. I do not believe this General Assembly will choose to do either one of those things and should not. I believe we have funded the essential services of government at this time, and I believe that in this Veto Session, we should reject good programs, no matter how reluctant we are to reject them because of the quality of the Sponsor or the quality of the program. I do not believe we have the money, and I believe, in our hearts and in our consciences, if we're going to keep faith with the public and the taxpayers of this state, we have to vote 'no' on this program and others like it. And for that reason, and reluctantly, I urge a 'no' vote on the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you listened to the last argument, and if you followed the arguments of the Sponsor, you'll know that the last argument does not hold water, because this Bill does not require payment by the State of Illinois. That Amendment was put on the Bill in Section 2, which Representative Kirkland read, which has the legislative finding and declaration of exemption from the State Mandates Act. The argument of the Governor about the potential costs cited by Representative Vinson is absurd - totally so. Two years ago, we had done, for the Office of Education, a salary study that showed the entire statewide cost to be less than \$375,000, and in fact, that's diminished, because there are some people who are

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

now on schedules that weren't then. All this suggests is that a handful of people in the state who are certified nurses employed by school boards, be paid in accordance with the provisions of salary schedules for teachers. We mandate by law that they have bachelor's degrees, that they have additional training, and in fact, they are trained to a greater degree in the same position that they otherwise would be on the salary schedule, than the teachers in the state. We suggest that they have to have those qualifications, but we refuse to pay them the same as people otherwise on the schedule who are only teaching who have less qualification and less of a mandate to be qualified. This Bill will not cost millions. It won't even cost \$400,000. As I indicated, it's a statewide program. It will be absorbed in small pieces in school districts. It only recognizes the need to put, if you will, our money locally where our mouth is, since we've mandated these people to do certain things and have certain qualifications. We passed this Bill twice out of this House by large majorities. We ought to be standing behind these school nurses. We ought to be recognizing what Representative Kirkland said, that the state has no financial liability at all, under Section 2 of the Bill, and it ought to be passed over the veto of the Governor, as the Sponsor indicated."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Motion. It is not correct to say that the training of nurses is the same as teachers. It, in fact, is not... is not the same. They're not involved in the same kind of decision making process in the school setting as teachers

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

are. To say that nurses are trained as teachers are trained, or have comparable training, is not true. They have done, unless they have gone and obtained a teaching degree, and then they're in a different category. I would also point out that this is another example of the General Assembly taking away some of the authority of the local school boards. The local school boards now can decide what and where they will... what they will pay and where they will place nurses on a salary schedule or if, in fact, they place them on a salary schedule at all. That's their decision. This, in fact, erodes that local responsibility. And it's for those two reasons, Mr. Speaker, and those two reasons only, that I rise in opposition to this Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Representative Kirkland to close."

Kirkland: "Thank you. Just to reiterate, the point was made earlier that this Bill would cost state money. It does not cost state money. We admit, and it was known when it was voted out of here before that this is... will affect local payment of certified school nurses. It will force those few districts who refuse to pay their school nurses... certified school nurses for the training and experience that they've gotten similar to and greater than their certified school teachers. It will force those districts to pay them the same that they have agreed to pay the teachers, and I think that's only fair, and I ask that this veto be overridden. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 743 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 68 voting 'aye', 38 voting 'no', 2 voting

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

'present', and the Motion fails. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 791. The Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 791 provides that landowners who enter into soil conservation agreements with soil and water conservation districts may receive tax credits up to 10 percent of the expenditure for soil erosion control measures. It authorizes... These projects are authorized and set up by the Department of Agriculture. The Governor, among other things, said in his veto message, said that this additional tax item would complicate a tax law that is currently easy to administer and understand. He is making that statement as if this were the only change that has been contemplated, in fact, the only one that he's considered in his veto actions, and certainly, that isn't true. There are other areas that have set precedent. But with his veto, he shows his continued lack of support for increasing soil and water conservation efforts. Whenever we consider that there's 11.7 tons per acre - yes, tons per acre per year - of our valuable topsoil going down the Mississippi River and winding up... down in the Gulf of Mexico, and that half of our topsoil in... over the last hundred years has disappeared, and at the rate we're going, within the next 50 years, the remaining seven or eight inches that averages in this area will be gone. This is not just a farm problem. It's a problem for everyone in Illinois and in this nation. In fact, in the world, because we do furnish so much of the food that is necessary for the rest of humanity throughout the world. And so therefore, it is a serious problem. Also, those of you who think that the farm problem in this instance doesn't apply to you because you live in an urban area, let me point out

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

31st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

that the silt... the silt that washes into your drinking water is costing you a lot of money to remove it, and the impurities... and silting in of our reservoirs is a very serious problem. So, I would ask you to support me in this Motion to... for this Bill to pass, irregardless of the Governor's action."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Eastert."

Eastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to remind the Members of this Body that this Bill has a very grave fiscal impact on the revenue of the State of Illinois. It's an income tax credit. It is a... certainly a very large deduction against the money coming into the State of Illinois. It's a deduction on... that sometimes is already collected, such as the fuel taxes that have abatements already. I think it's a... not a prudent Bill to pass at this time, and certainly, those people who have concerns about water conservation and soil conservation, I think there might be a better way than the enactment of this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'm sure that everyone is familiar with the Bill that we've passed in the last several years dealing with soil conservation. This particular Bill, though I'm not... though I am in full support of the idea that there will be some tax credit given, but first of all, in order to get that tax credit, you're going to have to spend money. The farmer's going to have to spend money to provide for the grass waterways, the sod dams and so forth, and under the current economy, I don't see that anybody's going to do that. There certainly isn't going to be the tax loss that has been indicated, if

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

you don't spend the money. And in order to comply with the federal standards that we are to begin to become involved with, soil conservation-wise, I think there's going to have to be some incentive. This is a small incentive to encourage farmers to get involved in soil conservation. If we're not in a position to at least offer some modest incentive, such as being introduced by this Bill, we're not going to really comply with the statutes as we now have on our books. Secondly; we're going to see more and more of our precious topsoil erode, both wind-wise and water-wise, and I think that we ought to give some consideration to beginning a measure to which we can lend some support. Without this, without some measure of concern, we're not going to see our soil conservation program really become a fact of life and the preservation of our most natural resource that we have, our soil here in Illinois."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 791 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 72 voting 'aye', 36 voting 'nay', and the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hoffman: "I rise to request a verification of the 'aye' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage requests a verification of the affirmative vote. The Gentleman from Jackson requests a Poll of the Absentees, and on that, the Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Bowman. Currie. Greiman."

Speaker Greiman: "Bowman, 'aye'. Greiman, 'aye'. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Clerk Leone: "Huff. Jaffe. Levin and Zwick."

Speaker Greiman: "Levin, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "No further."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, proceed to the verification of the Affirmative. By the way, Mr. Hoffman, do you persist in your request? You do. Proceed in the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Mr. Kirkland votes 'aye'... votes 'no'. Mr. Cullerton votes 'aye'. Ms. Koehler, for what purpose do you rise? Ms. Koehler votes 'no'. Mr. Clerk, proceed to verify the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Alexander."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Neff. Representative Neff, are they intimidating you? Mr. Neff. Turn on Mr. Neff."

Neff: "'Aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Neff goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Vinson, move away from Mr. Neff's desk. Now, Mr. Clerk, there are... Would you give us a count?"

Clerk Leone: "73 'aye' to 39 'nay'."

Speaker Greiman: "Would you now proceed to verify the affirmative vote?"

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Alexander. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Breslin. Brookins. Erummer. Brunsvold. Bullock. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. DeJaegher. DiPrima. Domicc. Doyle. John Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Leone. Mr. Dunn? Mr. Dunn votes 'aye'. That's Mr. Ralph Dunn. Proceed, Mr. Leone."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the Poll of the Affirmative. Hannig. Hicks. Homer. Keane. Kiska. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Leave to verify Mr. Slape. Mr. Hoffman? Alright. Ms. Braun? Yes, Ms. Markette?"

Markette: "May I have leave to be verified?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "May Ms. Markette have leave to be verified?
Thank you. This is early in your career, so they'll be
nice to you. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the Roll of the Affirmative.
Leverenz. Levin. Markette. Marzuki. Matijevich.
Mautino. McGann. McMaster. Mulcahey. Nash. Cblinger.
O'Connell. Panayotovich. Pangle. Pierce. Preston. Rea.
Rhem. Rice. Richmond. Roman. Ropp. Ryder. Saltsman.
Satterthwaite. Shaw. Slape. Steczc. Stuffle. Taylor.
Terzich. Turner. Van Duyn. Vitek. Waite. White.
Winchester. Wolf. Woodyard. Younge. Yourell and Mr.
Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Questions of the Affirmative Roll? Mr.
Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, what is the count?"

Speaker Greiman: "The count is 74 'aye'..."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "... And 39 'no'."

Hoffman: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Breslin. She is in front of Mr.
Brunner."

Hoffman: "Thank you. Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Capparelli is in his seat."

Hoffman: "Representative Christensen."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Christensen? Mr. Christensen in the
chamber? How is Mr. Christensen recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove him from the Roll."

Hoffman: "Representative Bullock."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Bullock. Is Representative
Bullock in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Hoffman: "Representative Krska."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bullock has returned. Add him back to the Roll Call. Proceed, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Representative Krska."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Krska. Is Mr. Krska in the chamber? How is Mr. Krska recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Krska from the Roll Call."

Hoffman: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Leverenz is right here in the chamber."

Hoffman: "Fine. Thank you. Representative Mautino."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Mautino? Representative Mautino is at the Page desk."

Hoffman: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Satterthwaite. Is Representative Satterthwaite in the chamber? Mr. (sic - Mrs.) Satterthwaite. How is Mrs. Satterthwaite recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Then remove Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Hoffman: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Preston. Representative Preston is in the chamber, at Mr. Ronan's desk."

Hoffman: "Representative... Representative Terzich?"

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Terzich is in the Pages' pew."

Hoffman: "Representative Yourell?"

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Yourell. Representative Yourell is right here at the well."

Hoffman: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Laurino is at my desk."

Hoffman: "Representative Huff?"

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Huff. Mr. Huff has not been recorded as voting."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1963

Hoffman: "I'm sorry. Representative LeFlore?"

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon?"

Hoffman: "Representative LeFlore?"

Speaker Greiman: "Representative LeFlore is in his chair."

Hoffman: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Domico is at the well."

Hoffman: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Farley. Is Representative Farley in the chamber? How is Representative Farley recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Representative Farley."

Hoffman: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Dunn. Is Representative Ralph Dunn in the chamber? How is Representative Dunn recorded? Representative Ralph Dunn."

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Representative Dunn from the Roll Call."

Hoffman: "No further questions."

Speaker Greiman: "On this Motion, there are 69 voting 'aye', 39 'no', 1 'present', and the Motion fails. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 799. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 799 is the state police collective bargaining Bill. I want to tell the Members that a few of you have talked to me on both sides of the aisle and have some legitimate concerns with the Bill in its present form. And you've told me that you don't want to be recorded as voting against a collective bargaining Bill for state police, and I respect your legitimate concerns. I'm not going to call the vote because of that. We've all received a well written memo from Al Bennett which outlines the fact that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

they do not feel that the Department of Law Enforcement, through their Director, have included them in the process where they could have been in a collective bargaining Bill. I... As I say, I'm not going to call the Bill, but I would implore the Department and the Director and all concerned that this day forward, that we try to work for a legitimate collective bargaining Bill for the state police. And with that, Speaker and Members of the House, I am not going to call my Motion, and I appreciate the concerns that you Members on both sides of the aisle have. I know that in the future, we will have a collective bargaining Bill. We need one for the state police, and I appreciate your support in the future."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Matijevich. The leave for withdrawal of your Motion to override. Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman has leave, and the Motion is withdrawn. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 835, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich. 835."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Matijevich. Just one moment. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've been here for a while, and understandably a little restless. If you could give Representative Matijevich your attention, we don't have a long time to go. It would be appreciated. Thank you. Representative Matijevich, proceed."

Matijevich: "Yes, Members... Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 835 is a Bill which is a 25 year increment longevity Bill for the state police. The Governor vetoed the Bill, but strangely, left the monies intact in the... which we appropriated for the Bill, in the amount of \$277,461 for half-year funding of the increment Bill. I

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

11st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

have filed the override Motion, and I would now move that House Bill 835 pass, notwithstanding the Governor's veto, and I would appreciate your support of it."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 835 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 87 voting 'aye', 26 voting 'no', none voting 'present'; and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 932. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan. Mr. Ronan, 932. Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 932, I move to... to override the Governor's veto."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? Yes, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would direct your attention to your analysis of this Bill. I think it's very clear that local school districts, park districts and any other governmental units that are interested can enter into community education programs and to direct the State Board of Education to get any more involved with this than they are, dilutes the already limited resources of the State Board of Education to address themselves to some of the kind of tests that we place before the schools with the... for example, legislation of Representative Breslin's that went out of the House today. And it's for that... for that reason that I support the position on the Governor on this legislation."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bopp, were you seeking recognition? The Gentleman from McLean. Mr. Bopp?"

Bopp: "Mr. Speaker, I did not have that sheet in my book, and I was going to ask some questions as to what it did. The previous Representative so indicated what it... what it is inclined to do, and I'm well taken care of. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there further discussion? There being none, Representative Bonan to close."

Bonan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I disagree with the comments made by the previous speaker. This legislation was brought to me by the Community Education Association. All it does, it allows the system to develop where... where there can be greater cooperation in a local area between the educational community and the various other public bodies, and I move for the override of the Governor's veto."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 932 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bonan. The Gentleman requests a Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Davis. Jaffe and McAuliffe. No further."

Speaker Greiman: "Accordingly, on this Motion, there are 68 voting 'aye', 45 voting... Mr. Davis votes 'no'. 68 voting 'aye', 46 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the Motion fails. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 1032. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'm not going to take much time on this Bill, because I think this Bill is one that is good for all of us. In the Governor's total veto message, he mentioned the fact that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

this would cost the State of Illinois somewhere between... between the areas of five million and seven million dollars if this particular program was instituted throughout the State of Illinois in the 20th police district. This is a bomb disposal unit, and what I... what was intended by this legislation was to have one unit in this particular area where this Capitol complex is located at, because in years gone past since I've been in this House, there have been many threats of bombs... of bomb threats here in the State Capitol. We do not have an effective bomb disposal unit here. The Secretary of State's Office does not have it. The State Police does not have it, and the United States Army is not serving this area. And the only area that was given some help to this area was in Champaign, and that is no longer in existence. Mr. Speaker, I think that this is a good Bill. I think the Governor was wrong in his veto message. I suggest that we override it and make certain that this one district here. It is permissive legislation, and I think that this State Capitol should be protected, and this police district should request the use of that bomb disposal unit. I solicit your support for House Bill 1032."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have, again with great reluctance, to rise and oppose a Bill that would create a desirable program. But I wonder whether we can take five to seven million dollars at this time from education, or from a strapped public aid budget, or from mental health. Obviously, we can't. We don't have the money there to do it, because the state is in such a tight fiscal situation. If the Gentleman would come back in with this Bill, would withdraw his Motion now

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

and come back in with this Bill next spring when the economic revival has continued for several months, and when, in a new fiscal year, the state is going to be in much better shape, I think I could probably even not only vote for it, but join him in sponsoring this kind of Bill, because it is a desirable program. But at this time, I'm not quite sure that we should appropriate five to seven million dollars for bomb disposal when we really need to be about the business of preserving the essential services of education and mental health. And for those reasons, I would urge a 'no' vote and request a verification, should it get the requisite number."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he will."

Piel: "Representative Taylor, was the accompanying appropriation on this Bill vetoed?"

Taylor: "It was not an accompanying appropriation. It's going into the Department of Law Enforcement."

Piel: "I... With the noise level, I'm not sure if I heard you right. There's no accompanying appropriation?"

Taylor: "There were no accompanying appropriations, Mr. Piel. The request was for this to be placed in the Department of Law Enforcement which is in existence and have an appropriation today."

Piel: "Okay. Well, what were you planning on doing, I mean, if this Bill were to pass, and we're sitting here with a five to seven million dollar cost to the state? Are you planning on taking it out of another area of the Department of Law Enforcement?"

Taylor: "No, I think that you are wrong in your analysis of what the Governor's intent was. He said, in his message, that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

it could cost that. It is permissive legislation. If every district in the State of Illinois requested that, then yes, we would have that problem of five to seven million. The only thing that I'm interested in is in one district, and that's the district that this Capitol complex is located in, and I grant you that it would not cost more than \$500,000 in order to get this unit working properly."

Piel: "I understand your concern, Representative. The only question that I have, and I hope you understand my concern, if this does - and what you have to do is look at it statewide as if this does cost five to seven million dollars - where is the money going to come from? And, you know, without an accompanying appropriation, it seems sort of ridiculous to have a Bill without an accompanying appropriation and say it's only going to cost \$500,000. Still, we do not have the \$500,000 allocated, so you're putting together a program without any accompanying appropriation. I would ask the Members of the House to think about this seriously before they vote for the Motion. Thank you."

Speaker Greinan: "Further discussion? Further discussion? Representative Taylor to close."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. When you are talking about the appropriation and where the money's going to come from, I have been in this House long enough to know that the Governor himself put on 60 investigators where there were no appropriations at all. I don't think that this Bill here will cause that great of an effect for us to be able to find \$500,000 in the Department of Law Enforcement in some of the slush funds that they have. I do suggest that you support this piece of legislation. It's not a five to seven million dollar Bill. It could be, but it's not that. It will only cost you about \$500,000 to put one or two men

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

to work and make certain that this Capitol complex is properly taken care of. I solicit your support for Senate Bill... House Bill 1032."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1032 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 60 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Motion fails. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 1337, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane. Out of the record. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 1390, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fohan. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bill Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 1503, Mr. White, the Gentleman from Cook. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. White. Catch your breath and proceed."

White: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. White."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now that I've regained part of my breath. This Bill deals with physical education, which is very important to not only the kids in the State of Illinois, but those who were, at one time, a part of the system. I rise in opposition to the Governor's veto of House Bill 1503. And the Bill basically says this, that a school district is awarded or provided with a maximum of three waivers, and that after the third waiver, they are not in the position to receive any more. And I ask this Body to join with me to make sure that the young people in the State of Illinois are provided with the physical education program that is mandated by us in the General Assembly and by the people of the State of Illinois."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1503 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk... Mr. Clerk, take the record. Mr... The Gentleman from Cook... The Gentleman from Cook asks for a Poll of the Absentees. Fight?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Dwight Friedrich. Jaffe and Winchester."

Speaker Greiman: "Friedrich votes 'no'. On this Motion, there are 70 voting 'aye', 41 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'; and this Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 1526, the Gentleman from... Out of the record. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 1557, the Lady from Kane, Ms. Zwick. Do you wish to proceed, Ms. Zwick?"

Zwick: "Yeah. Yes, please, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1557 was voted out of the House on an almost unanimous vote, I believe. There were only a few dissenting votes. It was a Bill that I had introduced in response to some problems in my district concerning the return of surety bonds that were posted by businesses with the Department of Revenue in anticipation of collection of sales tax revenues from the businesses. What was happening is, that after a final determination was made after a business had closed for any one of various reasons, the surety bond, after final determination, is supposed to be made to the owner of the business. And apparently, many of these businesses in the area that I represent were complaining to me because the money was not being returned to them in a timely fashion. I introduced the Bill and it

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

did receive some... much support from the business community, because it would set a time limit, a 30 day time limit for return by the Department of Revenue after final determination was made and there were no more outstanding issues between the state and the business, that the money must be returned to the business in 30 days. The Governor vetoed the Bill. I happen to think that there's a misunderstanding. He says that it will be a revenue loss to the state and has recommended to the Department of Revenue that they return this money in a timely fashion, rather than to sign my Bill and say that they must return the money to the business, whose money it is. I am asking for an override of the Governor's veto so that the money can be returned to the rightful owner, which would be the owner of the business, and I would appreciate your support and would be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? On the Motion, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Pangle."

Pangle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in favor of the override... veto override. I have the same problem within my district. We've had a lot of businesses close. In fact, our unemployment rate's over 20 percent. We have a lot of small businesses that are still presently waiting for their return. I rise in the... in favor of the override."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussions? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill... Shall House Bill 1557 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. This is final action. Beal action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 110 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'; and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

this Bill... and this Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed, the Governor's veto notwithstanding. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 1753. The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we override the total veto... the Governor's total veto of House Bill 1753. 1753 amends the existing Motor Vehicles Franchise Act in a number of provisions to further balance out the unequal relationship between auto manufacturers and dealers. The Governor vetoed this Bill in its entirety with its 10 or so provisions, but he exercised his veto power based on his belief that one provision in the Bill - the definition of 'relevant market area' - will prevent this type... will prevent the type of price and service competition of most benefit to consumers. I would argue that the Governor's conclusion, though at first apparently reasonable, is not really correct. First; the Bill does not absolutely preclude the manufacturer from putting another new dealership into the franchise area or an existing dealership or from relocating another existing dealership into that franchise area. It must be read along with the present law which provides procedures for challenging the decision to relocate. The Bill simply accounts... amounts to giving the existing dealer an opportunity to notice and a chance to argue before a court or arbitration panel. It really requires a manufacturer to prove that the market can support another dealership before he transfers in another competing dealer. And I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion on the Motion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1753 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 95 voting 'aye', 14 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'; and this Motion, having received a Constitutional Majority... the Bill is hereby declared passed, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. On the Order of Total Veto Motions appears House Bill 2008. The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 2008. The purpose of the Bill is to be an assistance in collecting monies due the state, particularly from the public aid recipients who die but have an estate available for recapture of some funds to the state. The experience seems to be that the system currently does not function in an appropriate fashion to provide a timely notification for the Attorney General's Assistants out in the fields to be in court at the time a hearing is held for probate of that estate. It is my feeling that if these notices were sent and distributed to the regional workers of the Attorney General's Office, that in many cases, they will be alert to the time when that probate action is taking place, will be there in a timely fashion to try to regain the money that the state is owed from the estate of that deceased person. And for that reason, I would ask for your support in a Motion to override the Governor's veto of House Bill 2008."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Ms. Topinka."

Topinka: "Yes. May I ask a question of the Sponsor, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she'll accept... yield for a question."

Topinka: "I'm just curious. Don't you think that this Bill in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

general, including your coming back on this, might wreak kind of a problem for public aid recipients at a time they might be in very sore straights as it is?"

Satterthwaite: "Representative, this would only apply to deceased public aid recipients. If they have left an estate on which the state has a lien, there is an appropriate expectation that the state would recapture some of the money that had been provided for the support of that person. The problem is that if the estate is already settled before the paperwork catches up and before the case worker... the field worker is aware that the case is being heard that day, they are not in court in a timely fashion. This would simply be another route of giving the notification so that they could be there in court and gain for the state the money that is rightfully the state's."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman... Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady indicates she will."

Vinson: "Representative, I had to step off the floor for a second to speak to a constituent, and I didn't... I don't know if anybody has asked this question yet. But, is the purpose behind this that the Attorney General feels that public aid recipients are dying in order to defraud the Public Aid Department?"

Satterthwaite: "No, Sir, Representative Vinson. Nobody is claiming any fraud at all in relationship to this Bill. The problem is a bottleneck in the system where the notification to the worker for the Attorney General out in a particular county, that notification to try to be in court with a claim on behalf of the Department of Public Aid is not coming through in a timely fashion. If there were another route for notifications so that the Attorney

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION LETTER

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

General's Office was aware of the death of a public aid recipient, they could be another source of watching for the scheduling of these probate hearings and be there in timely fashion."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Friedrich: "Are you thinking about, particularly in terms of assets, real estate?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes, primarily, I think that was... "

Friedrich: "Well, my question is, we deal a little bit in real estate. Wouldn't it be necessary, in order to make title to that real estate, for someone to make an affidavit that all the bills had been paid of the deceased?"

Satterthwaite: "I'm sorry. I'm not sure I caught all of the question."

Friedrich: "In order to make title, in the case of a decedent's property, wouldn't it be necessary for the heirs or someone to make an affidavit that all the bills had been paid before you could make good title to that property?"

Satterthwaite: "I presume that it would be, but it's much more difficult after a settlement has been made to open up the case again if they are not even aware that there is a claim on behalf of the state to be presented."

Friedrich: "Well, to the Bill. I'm sure that anyone examining the title would require that the bills to the... all the bills of the estate be paid in order to make title. Now, if someone makes a false affidavit, they're committing fraud, and certainly would be subject to prosecution under the existing laws. I can't exactly see what this would solve."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION CLERK

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Ms. Satterthwaite to close."

Satterthwaite: "I would ask for the support of the Members in the override of the veto of House Bill 2008 in order to provide another mechanism for the state to try to collect money that is due to the state but not currently being collected because of a hitch in the process."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2008 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion, there are 66 voting 'aye', 44 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present', and this Motion fails. On the Calendar on page 21 on the Order of Speaker's Table appears Senate Joint Resolution 34. The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 34 requests the Illinois Energy Resources Commission to conduct a study on the feasibility of marketing Illinois mined coal overseas and elsewhere in the United States and to report its findings back in December of 1983. We feel that this is a... is something that is very important, that we should be looking more at our exports of Illinois coal and ways and means of being able to market it, and this passed overwhelmingly out of the Senate, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote here in the House."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Franklin moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 34. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Resolution, there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'; and the Resolution is adopted. On the Order of Speaker's Table appears Senate Joint Resolution 35. The Gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 35 is simply a measure of requesting the Illinois Commerce Commission to establish a international conference here in Illinois on agriculture, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote on the Bill, and I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Did I understand you to say that the Illinois Commerce Commission was requested to create this compact?"

Hicks: "No, Sir. The Illinois Commission on Intergovernmental Cooperation."

Vinson: "What about the Department of Agriculture?"

Hicks: "Pardon me, Sir. I didn't hear."

Vinson: "What would be the function of the Department of Agriculture?"

Hicks: "The Resolution calls for the Department of Agriculture to participate in the conference. Of course, you know they would want to do so."

Vinson: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to the Sponsor of this Resolution. We have an organization here in the midwest called Mid-America International Agricultural Trade Council, which I think is in place to do what you're attempting to do. It would seem to me like you'd just want to maybe put a little fire under them rather than to set up a brand new duplicating compact at this time, and I'm

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

wondering to know why you want to just cause another layer of bureaucracy to duplicate what we're already doing."

Hicks: "Well, it's my understanding, Sir, that that organization concentrates basically upon developing export markets, and what this conference is is more to develop the markets here and locally here, rather than the export markets."

Ropp: "You're saying this will do... will deal with local exports? I mean, with products within the midwest?"

Hicks: "Actually, Sir, it's my understanding that your organization, or the organization you're talking about develops the overseas markets, what we're trying to help the exporters here locally, at this level, to be able to export their product, not develop that market overseas, but to help the exporter here with the development of that."

Ropp: "Well, it just so happened I led two trade missions to Japan doing exactly what you're trying to do, here."

Hicks: "I'm sorry, Sir. I didn't hear it."

Ropp: "About 10 years ago, we did this very thing - led two trade missions to Japan."

Hicks: "Was it successful then? I hope it would be again."

Ropp: "Well, I would hope so. I don't know why they just haven't continued to do it."

Hicks: "Well, I agree, Sir. I think that's good and I hope we see fit to put in place and be able to do that."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Inquiry of the Clerk, Mr. Speaker. Has the Resolution been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Greiman: "This was voted out of... out of the Agriculture Committee on the floor. It's a holdover, Mr. Vinson, from the... from the Spring Session."

Vinson: "Eut, has it been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Greiman: "It's not... There's no requirement that it be

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

printed and distributed."

Vinson: "You mean we're voting on... "

Speaker Greiman: "It has been printed, clearly."

Vinson: "We're voting on something here that hasn't been printed or distributed?"

Speaker Greiman: "It's been... It's been in the Journal and of course, you have Members on the Journal Committee, and I assume, read it daily on a daily basis."

Vinson: "Yeah, but they generally have to spend so much time looking for mistakes in the Clerk's Office, that they don't have a chance to read Resolutions."

Speaker Greiman: "Perhaps you should... Well, perhaps you should get some staff to do that."

Vinson: "But I just wanted to ascertain, it has not been printed and distributed. Is that what... what the response was?"

Speaker Greiman: "That's correct. It was not. Further discussion?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. DeWitt... Mr. Vinson, you still... "

Vinson: "It's my understanding that the... the rules require that Resolutions be treated the same as Bills, and the Constitution requires the distribution on Members' desks."

Speaker Greiman: "What provision of the rules, Mr. Vinson, are you suggesting?"

Vinson: "I beg your pardon?"

Speaker Greiman: "What provision in the rules... alright... would you lead my attention to?"

Vinson: "83-f, I believe. Speaker? Speaker? I misspoke."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Vinson?"

Vinson: "It's 43-a, which says that each Resolution reported out of Committee shall be treated in the same manner as a Bill... as a Bill, as provide in Rules 36, 39 and 40."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, alright. Referring to the rules, Rule 36

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

refers to Amendments. Rule 39 refers to the calling of the Bills and the order upon which they were called, and in this case, Senate Joint Resolution was appropriately called in the appropriate Order of Business, and 40 relates to Postponed Consideration, and we are not at that point, at this point. Mr. Vinson, anything further? Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "It says... Rule 43 also says, 'This subsection may be suspended only as to the assignment of a Resolution to Committee, but only after the Resolution has been reproduced and distributed.' If it hasn't been reproduced or distributed, you can't do it."

Speaker Greiman: "That's a... That issue relates, I believe, Mr. Vinson, to... where there is a suspension of the rules to allow immediate consideration. In that case, we obviously believe that the rules speak to the importance or necessity of having a copy of the Resolution on the desk. But where there has been no suspension of the rules requested, as in this case, where it has gone through the normal process, that requirement is not required by Rule 43-a."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, then, could I just ask you to request the Clerk to read the Resolution so that Members do know what they're voting on?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hicks, so that there not be precedent out of this, Mr. Vinson, I believe that my rulings were correct in that it would be perfectly appropriate for us to proceed under the rules. But I think that Mr. Hicks wants you to have a fair opportunity..."

Hicks: "Take it out of the record, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "To do that, so we will take this out of the record. I thank you, Mr. Hicks, for your consideration for Mr. Vinson. We'll stand at ease for a moment or two. While we're waiting, Mr. McGann, the Gentleman from Cook."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask if this would be an

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

appropriate time. I'd like to request the waiving... the posting requirements for House Bill 1302 to have it heard in Subcommittee, Labor and Commerce, on Thursday morning. This is a Bill that's been on Interim Study, and I just want to... "

Speaker Greiman: "Have you... Have you discussed the waiver of the posting rules with the Leadership on both sides?"

McGann: "No, I have not."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, it probably is a good idea."

McGann: "I will, Mr. Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczo, for what purpose do you arise?"

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for an announcement. The Members of the Counties and Townships Committee may have gotten notices that the Committee was due to meet tomorrow morning at 9:00. I would like to inform the Members of the Committee that that was an error. A Subcommittee of the Committee will meet tomorrow at 9:00, so only those people who have been appointed to the Subcommittee for House Bill 1123 need show up."

Speaker Greiman: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf, arise?"

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Also for the purposes of an announcement. The Personnel and Pensions Committee will meet at 9:00 tomorrow morning in room 118."

Speaker Greiman: "The lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to call attention to the Members of the Higher Education Committee, that we will be meeting immediately upon adjournment of Session here on the House floor for the Committee that had originally been scheduled for 7:00 this evening. I understand that there are a goodly number of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

people waiting to have us come in, and so I hope that Committee Members will be prompt in getting to the hearing room."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor. For what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an announcement. The Committee on Elections will meet promptly at 9:00 tomorrow morning. I expect every Member in attendance, please. C-1. Room C-1."

Speaker Greiman: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McGann, seek recognition?"

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have cleared this requirement... waiver re... posting requirement with the other side of the aisle, so I would appreciate if we could waive this posting requirement for House Bill 1302 so it will be heard in the Labor and Commerce Committee on Thursday morning at 8:30 a.m."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McGann, we will check with you in a moment to see whether that Motion's in order, Sir. Mr. McGann, are we correct that you are speaking of House Bill... you are referring to House Bill 1302?"

McGann: "That is correct, Mr. Speaker. It has been in Interim Study. In order to keep the Bill going along, it has to be heard. And it's a... "

Speaker Greiman: "Well, the problem with that is that we cannot consider... Our rules provide that unless a Bill goes through the Rules Committee, we cannot consider that. Now, they can have a hearing on it, but we can't consider it, I don't believe, here. But we're... Just kind of hang in for a moment. We're check... We're kind of developing a parliamentary position on this. Yes, Mr. McGann?"

McGann: "We are not asking to have this Bill heard on the floor. It used to be in the Subcommittee, and then it will remain

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

there. It will remain there."

Speaker Greiman: "I understand. I know what you're saying. Right. For what purpose does the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it would seem to me that the Gentleman is just asking for the waiving of a posting rule to have a Bill heard in Committee. He's just trying to keep his Bill alive, and I can't see, you know, why you would do something negative to him in that regard. We certainly support his Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McGann, it is always the position of the Chair, as you know, to try and accommodate all of the Members, certainly in keeping their Bills alive. Certainly, a Chairman may provide a posting of a Bill even though we are not going to consider it and could not consider it, so it went to the Rules. However, it would be inappropriate, under our rules, for the House to be considering that at this moment. My suggestion to you is that you provide a posting now for... when we return in two weeks from now. That way, you would have your... Your rights under the Interim Study section would be preserved, so the Bill would be sprung, as it were, for next year. You would have had your hearing, but it would have been the first or second day in November. That way, we don't do violence to the rules."

McGann: "Thank you very much, Speaker. We certainly do not want to violate any rules of the House. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 477, Brookins, with respect to the memory of Frank Alexander. House Resolution 478, Mautino - Olson, with respect to the memory of Robert 'Ken' Brown. House Resolution 486, Brookins, with respect to the memory of Mr. Claude 'Buddy' Young. House Resolution 489,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

Brookins, with respect to the memory of Cornelius Francis Stanford. House Resolution 502, Ralph Dunn, with respect to the memory of Joseph C. Fenn. House Resolution 509, Nash, with respect to the memory of C. Frederick Fetter. House Resolution 510, Nash, with respect to the memory of Louis P. Kuchuris. House Resolution 520, Tuerk and Saltsman, with respect to the memory of Claude J. West. House Joint Resolution 81, DiPrima - et al, with respect to the memory of Vincent R. Lucania."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Giorgi moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Death Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolution of former Member."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 503, Madigan - Daniels."

Speaker Greiman: "Would the Membership please rise, please?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Whereas the Members of this Legislative Body learned with great and heartfelt sorrow of the recent, sudden death of our former colleague, the Honorable John P. Touhy; and whereas Mr. Touhy, former Speaker of this House of Representatives and Former Chairman of the State Democrat Central Committee, was a graduate of Georgetown University and DePaul University Law School; and whereas John P. Touhy was a veteran of the United States Army, serving for four and one-half years during World War II, including more than two years overseas; and whereas Mr. Touhy was an active member of the Veterans of Foreign Wars and of the Georgetown Club of Chicago; and whereas Mr. Touhy, whose statesmanlike leadership earned him a reputation as one of the greatest political leaders of this state, was first elected to this House in 1948; and whereas Mr. Touhy, whose valuable and noteworthy participation in public life continued a life tradition of service to the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1963

City of Chicago and the State of Illinois, was the son of John J. Touhy; and whereas John F. Touhy, who served in this august Body for 22 years was chosen as Minority Whip in 1963 and was elected Speaker of the House in 1965; and whereas after concluding his distinguished career as a Member of this Chamber, Mr. Touhy served with honor and distinction as Chairman of the State Democrat Central Committee from 1973 to 1982; and whereas, John Touhy's integrity, ability and tireless devotion to duty won him unequivocal admiration and a permanent place in the memories of associates and friends; and whereas, Mr. Touhy is survived by his wife, Mary, and three daughters, Deborah Sullivan, Nancy and Mary Jacqueline; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 83rd General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we express our heartfelt sorrow at the death of the Honorable John F. Touhy, and that to his family we extend our sincere sympathy; and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Mrs. Mary Touhy; and be it further resolved that as a further token of our respect and honor for John F. Touhy, the House of Representatives stands adjourned upon the conclusion of the reading and adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Resolution, the Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is with deep regret that I rise to speak to this Resolution. Mr. Touhy served as a Member of this Body for more than 20 years, and at the end of those 20 years, served in the Democratic Leadership as the Minority Leader and also as the Speaker of the House. Mr. Touhy was both a political and personal friend of mine. I valued his political judgment and advice, but in many times I would

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION LEAFLET

81st Legislative Day

October 18, 1983

seek his personal counsel as well. I always found him to be full of spirit and vigor, always prepared for a partisan fight, but yet, at the same time, always prepared to compromise in the best interest of the people of the State of Illinois and in the best interest of the institution of the House of Representatives. For my part, and on behalf of all of the Members of the House, I wish to convey to his family my personal sympathy and condolences for their loss and also for the loss that all of us have suffered."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan asks leave that all Members be added as Cosponsors of the Resolution. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. Speaker Madigan moves for the adoption of House Resolution 503. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed... and the House will stand adjourned until the hour of 10:00 tomorrow morning."

01/20/84
10:29

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

OCTOBER 18, 1983

HB-0067	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	10
HB-0084	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	94
HB-0205	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	12
HB-0292	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	13
HB-0312	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	99
HB-0366	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	95
HB-0390	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	14
HB-0441	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	15
HB-0487	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	100
HB-0488	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	102
HB-0488	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	110
HB-0488	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	103
HB-0541	MOTION	PAGE	60
HB-0556	MOTION	PAGE	61
HB-0564	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	20
HB-0615	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	21
HB-0622	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	24
HB-0643	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	26
HB-0644	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	28
HB-0645	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	32
HB-0657	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	36
HB-0662	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	103
HB-0701	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	38
HB-0720	MOTION	PAGE	62
HB-0721	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	38
HB-0743	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	110
HB-0747	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	39
HB-0754	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	40
HB-0791	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	116
HB-0798	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	41
HB-0799	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	122
HB-0835	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	123
HB-0932	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	124
HB-0960	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	42
HB-0963	MOTION	PAGE	63
HB-1024	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	45
HB-1032	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	125
HB-1170	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	46
HB-1179	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	47
HB-1179	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	50
HB-1179	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	49
HB-1205	MOTION	PAGE	67
HB-1323	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	49
HB-1386	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	58
HB-1388	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	59
HB-1503	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	129
HB-1557	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	130
HB-1599	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	60
HB-1613	MOTION	PAGE	68
HB-1683	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	30
HB-1703	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	87
HB-1707	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	87
HB-1753	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	132
HB-1788	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	88
HB-1881	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	89
HB-1925	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	90
HB-1972	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	90
HB-1982	MOTION	PAGE	73
HB-2008	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	133
HB-2014	MOTION	PAGE	70
HB-2065	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	91
HB-2085	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	92
HB-2110	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	93
HB-2111	VETO MESSAGE	PAGE	93
SB-0546	MOTION	PAGE	74

01/20/84
10:29

STATE OF ILLINOIS
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

OCTOBER 18, 1983

SB-0668 MOTION	PAGE	75
SB-1153 MOTION	PAGE	77
SB-1206 MOTION	PAGE	73
HR-0390 MOTION	PAGE	82
HR-0390 ADOPTED	PAGE	86
HR-0503 ADOPTED	PAGE	146
HR-0503 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	144
HJR-0068 MOTION	PAGE	80
HJR-0068 ADOPTED	PAGE	81
SJR-0034 ADOPTED	PAGE	136
SJR-0035 ADOPTED	PAGE	137
SJR-0035 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	140

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MADIGAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - SISTER BERNADETT MCMANIGAL	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
OATH OF OFFICE - REPRESENTATIVE MARKETTE	PAGE	2
INTRODUCTION OF REPRESENTATIVE BYLER	PAGE	3
RECESS	PAGE	6
HOUSE RECONVENES - REP. MATIJEVICH IN CHAIR	PAGE	6
COMMITTEE REPORT	PAGE	6
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	7
REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	36
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	143
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	146