'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. House will come to order. The Members will be in their seats. The Chaplain for today will be Father John Beveridge, Associate Pastor of the Cathedral of Immaculate Conception in Springfield. Father Beveridge is a guest of Bepresentative Mike Curran. Will the guests in the gallery please rise to join us in the invocation?"

Pather Beveridge: "Let us pray. Lord, Father, Creator of all, You have given man and woman great dignity creating us Your own image, sharing with us the work of creation. labor is an extension of Your creative hand, bringing order and purpose to what you have entrusted to our care. All is Yours. All belongs to You and has come from You. mindful that what You have given should be used for the good of all, that we not greedingly hoard, control or keep from others what they, by right, deserve. A production of goods and capital is not more important than those who labor to produce it; that those who labor are the most important subject in our every concern. Bless us this day, Oh Lord, in our labor. Let us be mindful of each other we speak, debate and propose. Keep us also sensitive to each one who labors in so many different ways. Keep before us their struggles, their hopes, their families. Make our efforts and our labor this day truly worthy of You. Let Your love, Your concern and Your provident care be our of life. We ask this through Jesus, the Lord."

Speaker McPike: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

Propp: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of
American and to the Republic for which it stands, one
Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
all."

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- reiman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representatives Christensen and Pierce should be excused for either illness or illness in the family and the record should so reflect their keys have been removed pursuant to the rules."
- :peaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Piel, do you have anyone?"
- Piel: "At the present time, no, Mr. Speaker. But if I find out, we'll get back to you."
- :peaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Keane, for what
   reason do you rise?"
- Keane: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to read into the record legislative intent for a Bill that passed out on Consent, and I missed it at that opportunity."

speaker McPike: "State the Bill and proceed."

'Eeane: "The Bill was Senate Bill 249."

peaker McPike: "Senate Bill 249."

:eane: "The legislative intent - it's an intent of Section 5, which sets the time limit on the expenditure of grant funds. The intent is to limit the length of time a recipient of a grant can hold the grant funds after cash disbursement, and prior to actual expenditure or obligation. This Section is not meant to limit the length of the grant agreement. That is the intent I would like read into the record."

'peaker McPike: "Representative Vinson."

'inson: "What page of the Calendar are we on, Mr. Speaker?"

finson: "Are we..."

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

ipeaker McPike: "That Bill is... has passed on the Consent
Calendar. He asked if he could read the legislative intent
into the record."

finson: "We're... We're creating legislative intent on something that we already did?"

Speaker McPike: "It is read into the record. That's all."

linson: "Fine."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Zwick."

- Zwick: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would request leave
  to take House Bill 2286 from the Table and put it in
  Interim Study."
- ipeaker McPike: "House Bill 2286. The Lady asks leave to take
  House Bill 2286 from the Table and place it into Interim
  Study. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is
  granted. The Attendance Boll Call will be used. House
  Bill 2286 Interim Study. Message from the Senate."
- Herk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #57, adopted by the Senate June 25, 1983. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."
- ipeaker McPike: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if any Members went home last night, in order to be paid travel, they must come to the well and sign a form that is up here.

  If you do not sign the form, you will not be paid travel for the weekend. Message from the Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright,
  Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the
  House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with
  the House in passage of Bills of the following title: House

12nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Bills 1328, 1339, 1386, 1388, 1390, 1399, 1402 1412, 1437, 1495, 1504 and 1521, together with attached Amendments and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, passed the Senate as amended June 25, 1983. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

- ipeaker McPike: "On page seven of the Calendar, Senate Bills

  Third Reading, appropriation Bills only, appears Senate

  Bill 389, Representative Farnes. Read the Bill, Mr.

  Clerk."
- :lerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 389, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."
- ipeaker McPike: "Representative Greiman in the Chair.
  Representative Barnes."
- arnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate
  Bill 389, as it came over from the Senate for the
  Department of Transportation, appropriated 1,480,782,700
  dollars as amended. The Amendments that were put on in the
  House added to what had been 1,358,246,200 dollars. Are
  there any questions?"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for passage of Senate Bill 389. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz. I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Since this is the... is not the transfer Bill... or the reapprop Bill, this is the operating provisions of the Department of Transportation.

  I've got a couple of areas I want to get into. Jane, number one, that five year plan for projects and proposals was to be completed. Many Members in the Appropriations Committee have asked for that pamphlet and that publication. Has that been done yet?"

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Warnes: "Representative Mautino, since the Road Program has not been settled, it is not available at this time."

Tautino: "Is it not a statute requirement that the Department must submit the transportation plans to the General Assembly, and it is required by law?"

Sarnes: "Yes, Representative."

fautino: "And you're saying they have not done that."

3arnes: "It's in the process of being readied, but it has not been submitted as of this time."

Mautino: "Now, one of your Members, specifically Representative Mays, presented that question. When it was raised, many of the Members in that Committee as well would like to have that proposal before this budget request is acted upon. In response to Mr. Mays' request, I think every Member of this General Assembly should have some knowledge or some idea about what programs will be presented. In light of that 1305, which enacts an increase in taxation for road programs, should be tied to that proposal, and it should be a... probably a dual edge situation. Would you not agree?"

arnes: "Well, Representative, I was going over some of the notes from our Committee Appropriation hearing, and I also had that letter of Jeff Mays. But I think the fact that the level of the gas tax has not yet been decided it's over in the Senate, as we are all aware, I think perhaps that has some impact on the report."

dautino: "Alright. Then is it your intention or the Department's intention to have additional add one should that highway tax be enacted by the General Assembly? Specifically, 1305 enacted increased taxation for construction maintenance of highways and bridges. Is it the intent of the Department to have additional add on employees in lieu of the specific construction funds that were set up in 1305?"

#### /2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- Jarnes: "There would have to be, Representative. I know in our Committee with Amendment #1 that we adopted submitted by Representative Leverenz we had added 80 highway maintainers."
- lautino: "No. I'm afraid that, if you'll recall, it was my Amendment. We took out 80 highway maintainers."
- harnes: "No. Amendment #1 restored them. Amendment #1 adopted..."
- autino: "Senate Amendment #1 restored them. The House Amendment eliminated them, if I remember correctly."
- 'arnes: "We restored the money."
- Amendment. It was, I believe, it was... I took it out with an Amendment. What I'm saying is that if in fact there's going to be additional money, and the Legislature says its for construction maintenance and bridges, it should not, in my estimation, be used for new head counts. My question is, where are you with that provision?"
- arnes: "Well, Representative, I think what's going to happen is what's happened in the past, as both you and I are totally aware of. When we finally get the budget together, this is going to go into a Conference Committee, and the questions that you are asking me will be addressed at that time."
- Nautino: "Yes, but for the record, I think all Nembers should know specifically that the legislation authorized the funds for construction, maintenance and bridges. And, in effect, there may be a provision for hiring what maybe six to eight hundred additional employees. Or, what is the number that you have in mind?"
- .arnes: "I think about 200 is what the Department has figured
- autino: "Two hundred. Now, maybe 220 or 230. Is that right?

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Is that the number?"

- Barnes: "Tentatively, 200. It could be 220."
- .iautino: "And when, in fact, most of the maintenance and construction and bridges will be done under contractual bid letting, what is the exact need for the additional people?"
- 'arnes: "Well, I think what's, to repeat myself, when we know what level of funding we have, then we're going to know how many bodies we really need, and then we can start negotiating at that point."
- autino: "Alright. How about the provisions for diversion of funds? Is it the intent of the administration to pay any of the departments of government with funds from Transportation that we in this General Assembly eliminated back in '79 but was implemented once again by the Governor in '81 and '82?"
- rarnes: "We have no Boad Fund diversions presently in this Bill,
  Representative Mautino."
- autino: "Without any new funding, what would then happen concerning the funds that are available in Transportation?

  Will there be an attempt to pay Judicial or law enforcement salaries, et cetera, out of that existing budget?"
- Jarnes: "I imagine that when they sit down in the Conference

  Committee, everyone will do the best of their ability to

  comply with all of the Legislator's requests that have been

  made."
- autino: "Well, what was the impact of the Governor's reserves with the Department of Transportation then?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Bring your remarks to a close after a while,
  Mr. Mautino."
- arnes: "The impact of the Governor's reserves, 72,600 dollars resulting in the elimination of two positions in the Division of Public Transportation and Bail, five positions in the Division of Water Bescurces and reductions in Travel

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

and Operation of Auto for both Division. Number two. 20,000 dollars resulting in Amtrak advertising reductions. Number three, 107,400 dollars resulting in the Divisions of Public Transportation and Bail and Mater Resources obligations for utilities, Morg processing and telecommunications being shifted to Central Administration and Planning from the Road Fund. Number four, 45,000 resulting in the reduction of the environmental studies for the Division of Water Resources River Basin Program. Number five, 80,000 dollars resulting in a reduction of the Rail Freight Service Assistance Frogram to meet actual levels of available federal dollars."

- "Was this an actual financial cut or was it a paper
  reserve?"
- Barnes: "The actual General Revenue Fund cost savings to the Department will be about 250,000 dollars."
- autino: "Wasn\*t the reserve supposed to be about 800,000 dollars?"
- Barnes: "The rest were lapsed funds, Representative."
- vautino: "In other words, they were basically paper bookkeeping shift of the other 600,000. Is that right?"
- arnes: "That is correct."
- autino: "That... Why is it that way when it wasn't those same provisions for all other departments of government? They had to give up that 2% and many employees as well gave up four or five days; but, in this case, the Department did nothing but make a paper transfer. That's a very interesting posture. Thank you for the responses."
- .arnes: "You're welcome."
- peaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman. Mr. Bowman, are you in the wrong row? Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Right. I was in the aisle and I went down the wrong

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "Somebody show Mr. Bowman which seat is his.

Proceed, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you very much. Just... I have a couple questions, but one thing that Representative Mautino asked about and I don't believe was fully explained, understanding is that, in terms of these published reports, the five year master plan was supposed to have been submitted in January of \*82. And it still hasn't been submitted yet, over a year and a half ago, and we are to believe it simply isn't ready yet. I mean, it seems to this is a deliberate attempt to delay, and I think the Department ought to be publicly critized for that. Now, a couple of other things I'm curious about, Representative Barnes. My understanding from our staff is that the state still has 350 to 400 million in bonded projects that may be eligible for federal funds and that by converting these projects and using federal cash to match some new federal funds, the state can redirect some of its own revenue. And it's not known currently what the Department's policy will be in regard to this matter, and I wonder if you could enlighten us at this time."

3arnes: "They're using, at the moment, what they have on hand for bond conversion."

'owman: "That's the full extent of the answer?"

arnes: "Pardon me?"

owman: "That's the full extent of the answer?"

arnes: "Yes, Repre..."

owman: "Don't you want to add something more to that?"

arnes: "No, Representative."

owman: "Well, then what will that leave us in bonded project funds that may be eligible for federal match? What will be the balance then?"

.arnes: "We don't have that available data at the moment,

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Representative."

Bowman: "Was this question not raised in Committee? I think that
the Department has had enough time to come up with that
figure."

armes: "Well, it wasn't raised quite that extensively, but,

Bepresentative Bowman, I would be very happy to get you
those answers from Secretary Kramer."

'owman: "Well, I believe that we will be seeing this legislation again, as you correctly pointed out, and I believe that that is a critical guestion. If we have 300 to 400 million dollars in bonded projects that are eligible for federal reimbursement and we're not getting it, I think that's a very important public policy matter that we need to be informed about before making a final decision. And I would appreciate it, before we get the Conference Committee Report back to us, that you could get that information from the Department."

Barnes: "Well, Representative Bowman, in answer to your question,

I don't know if you read one of the news clippings, I

think it was about two weeks ago, where they addressed the
fact that the Republicans in the Senate had been very

unhappy because Secretary Kramer is a Democrat. And they
felt that they wanted that position filled by a member of
their own Party. And the article went on to say that he
knew his way so well around Washington that the State of
Illinois has benefitted from more federal remuneration than
ever before in the entire history of the State of Illinois.

So, I hope, since he's a member of your Party, that that
kind of puts your mind at ease."

comman: "Well, thank you, Representative. I suppose it would put
my mind more at ease if he lived in my district, but he
doesn't. However, let me... let me proceed. Why is it
then that federal reimbursement for highway projects is

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

unnecessarily delayed, as the Department is not closing out projects on a timely basis? This was a finding of the Auditor General in his most recent analysis of the Department of Transportation and its procedures. Would you kindly explain to me either why that is the case or what is being done to correct it?"

Barnes: "Well, at the moment, they are working on those figures so that they can close out those accounts more rapidly."

Bowman: "Well, okay. I understand that Representative Leverenz has some further questions on the Auditor General's findings, and so I won't belabor that point. But I would appreciate it if you could go back to the... the Democratic Transportation Director and get from him information as to why we seem to have some funds that are available for federal match that aren't being used for that purpose and when we may expect the five year plan that was due 18 months ago."

Barnes: "Well, Representative, in answer to your questions. I think Secretary Kramer, in appearing before the Committee and also in entertaining Appropriations I out at the facility itself, they were more than accommodating."

Bowman: "Well, I'm sorry. I don't serve on Appropriations I.

I'm sure... That would be... I understand that you guys have a lot of fun on that Committee."

Barnes: "We miss you, too, Representative Bouman."

Bowman: "Okay. Thank you. Thank you wery much for your attempts to answer my question."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "All my questions... I was up because Representative Leverenz wasn't here, and now he's here. Although, I will say, as long as I am up on the floor, that this appropriation does include, by Amendment, an Amendment that Representative Dan Pierce and I had in Committee the number

72nd Legislative Day

June 26. 1983

one dangerous intersection in all of Illinois. And I you have traveled down Highway 41 at Edens Road. This Amendment has seven million to allow for an overpass that dangerous intersection. It took so much publicity for the Department finally to realize that something must be done at that intersection. And also, I might say that, through my years here, the Department of Transportation has never really given proper attention to Legislators needs highway needs. We get a lot of attention highway... when they have a gas tax request, and some of you remember •79 Thompson-Byrne package that was supposed to solve all of our transportation needs. And we're still looking some of the projects that are needed in our area. was about the only time they really looked at Legislators are the needs in your particular and said. What I found, very often, that Legislators know district?'. more than highway engineers as to the real needs of the highway improvements in their area, because they listen to people who know the dangerous spots and know what ought to be done, not that all of us have all the answers. But really should be listened to. And I find through the years that I haven't been listened to enough. For example, at the college of Lake County, there's an entrance at Route 45 that needs a traffic signal desperately, and I asked for it about three years ago. And the response was, have the money. And I really think that things like this from so many of you - I remember Nike... Nike Getty was asking for a signal in Dalton. I doubt if they ever did comply with that request. He and, I think, Bob Piel, looking for that. So, these are the little things I think the Department ought to be looking at Legislators. We're not that unreasonable. ¥е try to be responsive to the needs of our district, and it's about time that the

72nd Legislative Day

- June 26, 1983
- Department listen to us. We've listened to them long enough.  $^{\rm m}$
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Br. Winchester."
- Winchester: "I move the previous question, Mr..."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall...' Yes, Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "I would hope, Bob, that you withdraw that just so
  the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee... I stole
  his time away from him..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Mr. Matijevich. Mr. Winchester, why
  don't we just hold that. Mr. Leverenz is on the floor and
  I think we should give him that courtesy. Proceed."
- Winchester: "Wait. Wait. Well, Mr. Chairman, that would be fine, but you've recognized three Democrats on that side of the aisle, not one single Republican over here. I can see lights flashing all over the place down here. Bow about giving a Bepublican a chance here?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Winchester. Mr. Winchester, I called on you."
- Winchester: "And I move the previous question."
- Speaker Greiman: "I called on you, and now I would just suggest that we..."
- Winchester: "And I will withdraw that, if you will recognize some of the Republicans."
- Speaker Greiman: "And I will certainly be glad to... my next person that I will call upon is Mr. Tate, but you were a Republican. I called on you. Mr. Tate, the Gentleman from Macon, for what..."
- Tate: "I move the previous question."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate. Shall we go to Mr. Johnson's Motion for the previous question, which is next? I think you want to give the courtesy to the..."
- Johnson: "I wanted to do the same thing."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Br. Leverenz, proceed."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Would the Sponsor yield? Turn on Representative Barnes."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Leverenz. While we're doing that, Mr. Johnson, you were seeking recognition."

Johnson: "Well, I was. I did move the previous question, and I
was just... I guess I wasn't recognized for that purpose.
Is that right?"

Speaker Greiman: "That's about right. Mr. Leverenz, proceed."

Leverenz: "Representative Barnes, could you explain how many aircraft the Department of Transportation has?"

Barnes: "We think approximately eight."

Leverenz: "Is that give or take five, or approximately? Did we buy a new one? How many helicopters do we have?"

Barnes: "I think we have two."

Leverenz: "I think you got three."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Leverenz: "Two little ones and a big one."

Barnes: "Oh. goody."

ieverenz: "Was the... How many regular, then, fixed wing aircraft do we have?"

Narnes: "Staff says they don't know the accurate number; and, since you may have it, I hesitate to say."

Leverenz: "The staff... I do not have it. Does the staff in the blue coat have it?"

arnes: "Approximately eight."

Leverenz: "Thank you. With what communities did the Department of Transportation make unauthorized loans to because the apparent community was a little out of cash? They made unauthorized loans, paying construction costs of projects as an audit exception in the Auditor General's report."

arnes: "Well, from the Auditor General's audit, it said the
Department has made loans to villages and utility companies

#### 2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- by paying for construction projects which are the contractual responsibility of such villages and utility companies and permitting reimbursements to be made over a period as long as 15 years. Is that what you're referring to?"
- reverenz: "Yes. The... Did you indicate that the local community
  has up to 15 years to repay the loan?"
- rarnes: "Yes, Representative. And the Auditor General recommends that this should be discontinued, this practice, making loans to these villages and utility companies."
- Leverenz: "Under what law does the Department of Transportation have to make loans?"
- arnes: "Self serving law... I really don't know,
  Representative."
- Leverenz: "I'm sorry?"
- armes: "I would say perhaps the individual Sections that deal with different responsibilities in the Department, but I wouldn't know which law it was."
- everenz: "Well, if the Auditor General pointed out that they made unauthorized loans, apparently they made it without statutory authority. How much money was in the loans to those communities?"
- rarnes: "He did not say it was unauthorized, the way I read this report here. He just said that they should discontinue this practice."
- Leverenz: "How many dollars were involved in those loan agreements where we are probably not going to be paid off until 15 years goes by?"
- rnes: "Well, one of the specifically discussed was a Foute 58 project in which the Department paid a contractor approximately 442,000 dollars to relocate water lines in order to proceed with scheduled highway improvements. The local water company has five years to pay back the money to

12nd Legislative Day

- June 26, 1983
- the Department with interest charged only on late
- \* E averenz: "Thank you. On the... Back to airplanes. You might find that the state out there at that beautiful new facility has a total of eighteen aircraft."
- Birnes: "Oh, I'm surprised. Thank you."
- Leverenz: "What we would like, if they could, is submit the type,
  how many passengers and what they do, why we have eighteen
  total aircraft. I understand we're the third largest
  aircraft in the state."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman bring his remarks to a close."
- communities, you ever find the Department of Transportation will not go forward with a project, if you can sit down and talk with them, you will find that they have apparently taken the authority to make loans or pick up the bills on the village's local share, and then they'll work out a nice little cozy agreement with you for up to 15 years on a pay back. I think that stinks, but I hope they correct themselves. Thank you."
- speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Gentleman from Champaign, Mr.
- Johnson: "I re... If I'm recognized for that purpose, I would move the previous question."
- speaker Greiman: "You're recognized, Mr. Johnson, for any purpose."
- inhnson: "Thank you very much, Sir, and I move the previous
  question."
- Sweaker Greiman: "Thank you. The question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?". All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the previous question shall be put. Ms. Barnes to close."
- Farnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

And Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

this Department of Transportation Bill was really discussed, I think, on two different occasions in our Committee. I think some of the problems that perhaps have disturbed some of the Legislators have been addressed today; and, for that reason, I would recommend an 'aye' vote on Senate Fill 389."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?". All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote "no". Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 99 vo... 98 voting "aye", 9 voting "no", 3 voting "present", and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Beading appears Senate Bill 390. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 390, a Bill for an Act making certain reappropriations to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."
- Birnes: "Senate Bill 390, as it arrived from the Senate for the Department of Transportation budget, was 1,521,775,700 dollars. After the Bill had been amended in the House, the new total is 1,522,405,700 dollars. If there aren't any questions, I would recommend an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady moves for passage of Senate Bill 390. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye, those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 103 voting 'aye', 8 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page eight of the Calendar,

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Senate Bills Third Reading, next Bill. Mr. Clerk, on the Ord... on Senate Bill Third Reading, page eight of the Calendar, next Bill, appears Senate Bill 437. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 437, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. Third Beading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook, Ms. Topinka."

lopinka: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 437 is basically the same Fill that we passed out House that died on the floor because it was not called as an item of business before the deadline. House Bill 16, which was basically called parental responsibility of underage drinkers. We have passed this out exactly the same. The Amendments that have been put on 437 do indeed make it exactly the same as the Bill we passed out, House Bill 16. What it does do is the ... a person would or should know that persons under 18 are consuming alcohol, that consumption of alcohol would not be otherwise permitted by the Act and that if the person under 18 left the premises intoxicated, the people who provide that liquor for him, and more than likely the parents in this case, would be subject to a petty offense which would be up to a 500 dollar fine. I'd be willing to answer any questions and would look for favorable passage of this Bill."

speaker Greiman: "Lady moves for passage of Senate Eill 437. On that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Will the Lady yield to a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "She indicates she'll yield for questions."

Bowman: "Representative Topinka, would this Bill affect the ability of a parent to provide their own children with alcoholic beverages?"

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Topinka: "No."

- Bowman: "It does not apply to family units where parents are providing alcoholic beverages to their own children, under any circumstances."
- ->pinka: "No, that's already covered by the law as it is in tact and which we have not touched."
- visiting a household for a party or for a wedding or a reception or whatever?"
- l ppinka: "Yes. It would apply to them, but only insofar as they would have to leave the premises in an intoxicated condition. Obviously, there are many things that go on behind closed doors that might even today be in contradiction to law as we have it. If they're not causing a disturbance, no one's about to go breaking down their doors to check on it. However, should this Fill over into the public domain, then these people would be responsible."

ייסששמת: "So, this is sort of like a little dram shop law for..."

ippinka: "Fardon me?"

Sowman: "This is sort of like a little dram shop law for ... households."

Topinka: "Well, that's a cute way... That's a cute way of putting it. It might be said that way."

.. owman: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she will."

on Representative Bowman's questions of you, if I had guests over to my house and among the guests were some minors and they were to have wine and have too much wine and leave the house intoxicated, I could be in violation of

¿2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

this new dram shop Act."

Topinka: "You should keep that in consideration when you would be giving them wine, because, you know, in the State of Illinois, at this point, it is illegal for anytody under 21 to drink. And needless to say, you know, if they would drink at your house and then proceed to go forth, you might have difficulty."

reston: "And what is the penalty that attaches to allowing a minor to leave my house, having had too much wine?"

ippinka: "It would be a petty offense. It's up to a 500 dollar fine, which would be adjudicated by a... by a court if it got to that point."

reston: "Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed."

reston: "With all due respect to Representative Topinka, know she cares very deeply and has great concern over people being intoxicated, and especially minors being intoxicated whether they re driving or not driving, I appreciate that. I must rise in opposition to this Bill. If I have guests over to my house for any kind of a dinner, including a religious observance, and the minor may have had a glass of wine too many, I'm guilty of an offense. without that minor having gotten himself or herself into any trouble whatsoever, I think that perhaps is extending the law a little too... making it a little too broad, putting too many penalties on me as a host where I have families over during the course of entertainment, and included in those families are minors who I do not have control over. And for that reason, I'm going to be voting \* BO \* - H

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Junn: "Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she will."

/2nd Legislative Day June 26, 1983

Dunn: "Is Amendment #2 the Bill, essentially?"

Topinka: "Mr. Speaker, if I might respond to Bepresentative Preston, the Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Freston just..."

.opinka: "Bepresentative Preston."

- Speaker Greiman: "Just... spoke on the Bill. Mr. Dunn propounded this last question."
- .ppinka: "I said it was the Bill. Yes, it was, and it also exempts religious services. The law at present exempts religious services."
- Junn: "A second question, Amendment #2 seems to refer only to people under 18 years of age. It is my understanding that in the State of Illinois at the present time it is illegal for anyone 19 or 20 to consume... purchase and consume alcoholic beverages. Why doesn't this Eill apply to 19 and 20 year olds?"
- Iopinka: "Because the good legal minds that helped in drafting this Bill off the Senate Judiciary Committee, as well as the House Judiciary Committee and others that I spoke to in putting it together, felt that 18 would be more reasonable as far as to get this passed and basically addresses the problem that this Bill addresses, which is the suburban beer bash which comes basically from parents allowing their children to drink and causing a huge police problem."
- Junn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to the Eill. Like one of the previous speakers, I respect the intentions of the Sponsor of this legislation, but any parent who has teenage children, if this Bill becomes law, is going to be faced with the responsibility anytime there is a gathering at home to check ID's to determine who is 18 years old and under, and who is 19 years old, and who is 20 years old and who is 21 years old. And if any of you have teenage children, when the word gets out there's a party, you try your very, very

f2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

best, but it's very difficult to control a situation that. And the fact of the matter is that if a parent tries to follow the letter of this law, there will be no parties at that particular home. Children will gather, and will gather somewhere else. In our home, we don't provide alcoholic beverages for youngsters who come in... into our home, but I'm not naive enough to think that teenagers don't know where to get booze. And whether they sneek in, bring it into a home or whatever they do, all we're going to do is clutter up the statute books here. instead of just the kids being hauled down to the police station, you're going to have to bail the parents out from now on, and maybe that's a good thing. I don't know, but I don't think it will solve any problems and it will create more. I recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this legislation and the reasons are obvious if you care to look for them. When we raised the drinking age to 21 in Illinois, that did not ... that legislation did not contain any provisions that would allow you to drink anywhere, including your home. Now, it seems to me that the law... the law does not say person or to a parent that that individual can give permission to another individual, even it's a child of theirs, to break the law. Nobody in this state or in this country can give anybody permission to break the law. And if they allow them to drink in their homes when they re less than 21 years of age, they're, in effect, giving them permission to break the law. The law is 21 in Illinois. It should be obeyed, and this is good legislation. should be voting 'aye'."

speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will... she will."

Brummer: "Yes, Representative, the... this Bill, which I don't agree with anyway, but I have a guestion regarding it, this Bill provides a criminal penalty. They're guilty of a petty offense. Is that in? Is it your intention to, in any way, expand any civil liability with regard to any person occupying a premise, whether they're the owner or the tenant as a result of this legislation?"

lopinka: "No."

Trummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Barger."

arger: "Thank you, Honorable Mr. Speaker. On this particular issue, it originated in one of the ... this law originated in one of the villages in my district, and there is a very great problem in some of the suburbs of Chicago where, under the new way of life, both parents work. Both parents have their own social activities, and both parents ignore the children. There are wild parties that go on, and one accepts the responsibility for them. The children become wards of the state, in effect. This only establishes the fact, and when I had teenagers, and mine have all outgrown that, we had parties at my house. didn't allow liquor. So, it is possible for a person to keep drinking from happening in their homes. This major problem in some of the suburbs. We are keeping children from killing themselves in automobile accidents. We've had many instances where six to eight children in the station wagon will go off the road, and they all end up dead. This is your opportunity to help stop this problem. It is legal, because the city ordinance in Glen Ellyn was proven to be legal, and we would appreciate the support from anyone who is interested in helping us keep our

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

children from killing themselves. Thank you."

ipeaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Ms.
Topinka to close."

"opinka: "ladies and Gentlemen of the Bouse, I would appreciate your very serious consideration on this, because it huge problem, especially in our suburban areas. The Glen Ellyn ordinance has now, in some form or another, adopted by over 20 suburban communities and counting. The Village of Glen Ellyn itself has had over 80 inquiries from all over the United States on how to make a model ordinance very similar to this. There are numerous communities waiting to see how we act today so that they'll have something on which they can base their law and proceed to keep this situation in tow. The problem has gotten to epidemic proportions. It is backed by every major police association in the State of Illinois, Juvenile Officers' Association of the State of Illinois, christian and church groups, alcoholic treatment centers, township mental health boards. It is something that... that finally addresses the situation and reminds nom and dad that they are responsible for their children, because obviously the state nor the local municipality should have to be. And that is what it's come to. I would appreciate a favorable vote. need this legislation."

speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall this Eill pass?". All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this... Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask for a verification."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Gentleman was... is within his rights

to request a verification. Ms. Topinka, the Lady from

Cook, requests a Poll of the Absentees. Mr. Clerk, want to

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

poll the absentees?"

clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Eullock. Christensen.

DiPrima. Laurino. and Pierce."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, call the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the affirmative. Barger. Barnes. Birkinbine. Capparelli. Churchill. Cowlishaw. Daniels. Davis. Didrickson. Doyle. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewing. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Hallock. Harris. Hastert. Hawkinson. Hoffman. Karpiel. Keane. Kirkland. Judy Koehler. Krska. Levin. Marzuki. McGann. McPike. Neff. Matijevich. McCracken. Nelson-Oblinger. O'Connell. Olson. Fanayotovich. Pangle. Bernard Pederson. William Peterson. Piel. Pullen. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Reilly. Ropp. Steczo. Stuffle. Terzich. Topinka. Tuerk. Van Duyne. Vinson. Wait. White. Winchester. Wolf. Vitek. Woodvard. Younge. Yourell and Zwick."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Giorgi goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Tate goes from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Ewing, for what purpose do you rise?"

swing: "May I have leave to be verified, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon?"

wing: "Leave..."

speaker Greiman: "Mr. Jaffe, may Mr. Ewing have leave to be verified? Leave is granted. We now have 66. Is that correct, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "66 'aye', 22 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Jaffe, proceed with the
verification. Fxcuse me. Mr. McGann."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McGann goes frcm 'aye' to 'no'. Ms.
Satterthwaite goes..."

12nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Satterthwaite: "Leave to be verified please."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Satterthwaite asks leave to be verified.

Leave is granted."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, what ... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Jaffe, proceed."

Jaffe: "What is the count now?"

Speaker Greiman: "65."

Jaffe: "Okay."

Speaker Greiman: "65 'ayes' and 23 'nos'."

eaffe: "Okay. Zwick."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady is in her chair."

Jaffe: "Okay. Terzich."

lerk Leone: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Terzich."

Jaffe: "Okay. Capparelli."

3peaker Greiman: "Mr. Capparelli in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded?"

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

uff: "Change me to 'aye', please."

peaker Greiman: "Change Mr. Huff to 'aye'. Mr. Jaffe, proceed."

Jaffe: "Okay. Woodyard."

peaker Greiman: "Mr. Woodyard is in his seat."

Jaffe: "Okay. Stuffle."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Stuffle is in his seat."

saffe: "Shaw."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Shaw. Is the Gentleman in the chamber?

Mr. Shaw. Remove Mr. Shaw."

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

laffe: "Bonan."

ipeaker Greiman: "Pardon?"

laffe: "Bonan."

ipeaker Greiman: "Mr. Bonan. Is Mr. Bonan in the chamber? Mr. Ronan has voted ono. Mr. Jaffe."

faffe: "Oh, I'm sorry. Okay, fine. That's ckay. Rea."

ipeaker Greiman: "Mr. Mays."

Jaffe: "No. Rea."

speaker Greiman: "Oh. Mr. Bea. Mr. Bea in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman's recorded as voting \*aye\*."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Rea."

Jaffe: "Farley."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hensel."

ensel: "Would you change my vote to 'aye'?"

speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hensel from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Farley."

peaker Greiman: "Mr. Farley. Is Mr. Farley in the chamber? How
is the Gentleman recorded?"

lerk Leone: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Bemove Mr. Farley."

/affe: "Keane."

speaker Greiman: "Mr. Jaffe, pardon me?"

Jaffe: "Keane. Mr. Keane."

peaker Greiman: "Mr. Keane. Is Mr. Keane in the chamber? Mr. Keane is not in the chamber. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

lerk Leone: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

peaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Keane. Mr. Mays, for what purpose do you rise? Change Mr. Mays from \*no\* to \*aye\*. Proceed, Mr. Jaffe."

laffe: "Pangle."

peaker Greiman: "Mr. Pangle. Is Mr. Pangle in the chamber?

#2nd Legislative Day

June 26. 1983

Remove Mr. Pangle from the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Steczo."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Steczo. Mr. Steczo is at the door."

Jaffe: "Ballock."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Jaffe, who?"

Jaffe: "Hallock. Oh, I see him."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, Hallock. Mr. Hallock is over having a press conference. Proceed, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Stuffle."

Speaker Greiman: "No. Mr. Stuffle we verified before."

faffe: "Ckay. Shaw. No ... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Shaw we verified... We unverified Mr. Shaw.

He's been removed."

Jaffe: "Ckay. Alright. Let me see. Levin."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Levin is in his chair."

Jaffe: "Okay. Birkinbine."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Birkinbine is in his chair."

/affe: "Mr. Marzuki."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Marzuki. Mr. Marzuki is in the aisle."

Jaffe: "Okay."

Speaker Greiman: "Further questions of the Affirmative Boll?"

caffe: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, what's the count? There are 61 voting 'aye', 21 voting 'no', 25 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading next appears Senate Bill 459. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 459, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code and the Counties Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

ipeaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cock, Mr. Nash."

lash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Senate Bill 459 is a permittive (sic - permissive) piece of legislation. What it does, it permits counties, municipalities and townships to appropriate funds to private, non-profit organizations for the purpose of providing services to run the way for homeless youths and their families. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 459.

  On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 107 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 463. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 463, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Beading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ercokins."

  Brookins: "Mr. Speaker, this allows for the payment of fees..."

  Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Ercokins. Please give the Gentleman your attention. Mr. Brookins, proceed."
- 'rookins: "Yes, this gives for the payment of fees for the 'dependent' kids that wants on public aid, and it allows them to pay their fees for their text books and lab fees.

  And I ask a favorable vote on this Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Fill 463.

  On that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."
- fohnson: "I didn't quite understand you, Representative Prookins.

  So let me ask if I understood you correctly, and that is that this requires all school boards to waive all education

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

related fees for children whose families can't afford to pay the fees? Is that right?"

irookins: "That is correct for their textbooks and their fees...
lab fees."

Ichnson: "Pardon me?"

Prookins: "Yes, for their textbooks and lab fees."

Iohnson: "Lab fees?"

!rookins: "Yes."

Johnson: "What else besides textbooks..."

trookins: "Anything that would keep them from graduating."

Johnson: "Well, does the Bill specifically define what those things are?"

Brookins: "Class pictures, report cards, classroom fees and field trips."

ichnson: "What will the impact be on... What will the fiscal
impact be either on the state or on the respective school
boards if this Bill were enacted and signed?"

Brookins: "I don't know."

Johnson: "The Bill, as I read it, says textbooks for children and so forth and other fees. There isn't any specific limitation anywhere in the Bill that would limit it to textbooks, report cards, field trips, lab fees. It just says 'other fees'."

Prookins: "That is correct."

Tohnson: "Well, could you give us an idea as to what the impact would be from a fiscal standpoint? Our analysis says that DPA estimated the cost would be almost five million dollars, 4.8 million."

Prookins: "You could be right."

Johnson: "Does that sound reasonable?"

Brookins: "You could be right, but this brings the Bill in alliance with the Federal Government standards. And there is a lawsuit pending now against boards of education for

12nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

these fees to be paid."

Johnson: "There's nothing in the federal standards or federal law that would in anyway require us to enact this Bill is there?"

Foundation of Chicago. It is believed that that assessed education fee is against ADC clients. They'd be unconstitutional. Denial of free public education."

Tohnson: "Well, I guess everybody can vote the way they want to.

If everybody wants to spend or have there be a cost to
government of five million dellars and provide another form

of public aid, then I guess go ahead and vote for it."

:peaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Klemm."

:lemm: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

ipeaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

:lemm: "Representative Brookins, how does a school board then determine how a family needs that aid? I mean, is there some guidelines in the Bill? How would they know they need financial aid?"

Brookins: "By their own local standards."

(lemm: "The school district's own local standards?"

3rookins: "That is correct. If they're on public aid, that
 automatically says that they would be in need."

(lemm: "So a school board can determine whatever level of income they would want to meet this?"

Brookins: "That's the way they've been doing it now, yes."

Klemm: "Alright. So, it's... if ..."

Brookins: "They would have to follow the ACC standards for the dependent children."

Klemm: "Follow whose standards?"

Brookins: "The ADC ... "

Klemm: "ADC."

Brookins: "Aid to Dependent Children."

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

:lemm: "Alright. Thank you very much."

- ipeaker Greiman: "The Gentleman... The Lady from Cook, Ms.
  Pullen."
- 'ullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question please."
- Speaker Greiman: "He'll yield for questions."
- 'ullen: "What effect would this Bill have on the deficit of the Chicago public schools?"
- Grookins: "I have no idea. My analysis sheet says that it would cost the state approximately 4.8 million dollars, as an answer to your question, Johnson, also. I just found it."

'ullen: "It's supposed to save 4.8 million?"

Prookins: "That is correct."

'ullen: "Save it to whom?"

- rookins: "I didnot say save it. I said it would cost the state."
- 'ullen: "Cost it, oh. I'm sorry. Eut it's the local school districts that are paying it in the amended version, is it not?"
- 4rookins: "The average fee, according to the analysis, is \$15.28
  per... per year."
- 'ullen: "But it is a matter, not of the state paying this, but of the local school district waiving it. Is that not the case?"

Brookins: "That is correct."

- .'ullen: "So, it would cost the Chicago public school district and other public school districts in the state 4.8 million dollars a year in lost revenue. Is that not the case?"
- rookins: "I would say so."
- Pullen: "So, it would have a negative effect on the Chicago school district's deficit. It would increase it."
- Brookins: "But it's something they have to do. You're correct there, but... according to law, they'll have to do it."

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Pullen: "It's something they'd have to do if we passed it, yes.

I'm surprised. Thank you."

ipeaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fanayotovich. Did you
want to close or... No. Mr. Panayotovich."

!anayotovich: "I'd just like to ask a guestion of the Sponsor if he'd yield, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

?anayotovich: "Yes, Representative Brookins, isn't it a fact that
 out of the five million dollars that we have, isn't that
 actually only a base of 15 dollars per student?"

trookins: "That is correct."

'anayotovich: "Thank you very much. To the Bill, it's a shame that today's... in today in Illinois that we have to put up five million dollars to help the poor children of the State of Illinois. We are going to help with five million dollars 314,000 students. So, for a fee of 15 dollars to help 314,000 students to graduate, I don't think any of us can argue with it, and I ask for a favorable vote. And I stand in support of this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bopp."

topp: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

peaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

rookins: "At kindergarten."

topp: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear."

drookins: "Kindergarten."

Lopp: "I... Well, anyway I didn't hear that. But let me go on to say that in my judgement, and I don't think anyone wants to deny anyone the opportunity to have an education, but in our society today we have established some sort of a policy that states that if we can get something from government, we ought to do our very best to get it. We have

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

established a kind of a philosophy that, even at an early age, if we do not learn that by getting out to earn some small amount of money, even if it is to provide funds for fee or some sort of a program at school, then if we can get it from the government or someone else giving it to us, we ought to do that. This chain of events will cease if we continue to perpetuate that kind of аn educational feeling in young people today, because We already have at least two generations in some areas that have learned that this is a way of life. If we are ever to curb the cost of government in a number of areas, can all name them one by one, that continued process will continue to grow. It is my judgement that on this issue we ought to vote 'no' and encourage young people now, young, learning age in elementary and in high school, that there is a cost in some areas as a part of a learning And I urge you to vote 'no' as a step to begin this learning process."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

- Fraun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to respond specifically to the issues, the philosophical issues that Representative Ropp just raised. The fact of the matter is that what we're teaching children now is that it doesn't matter how well you do in school. It doesn't... Mr. Speaker, may I have some order, please?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Please give the speaker your attention.

  This is an important issue. A number of people wish to speak on this Bill, and we should... we must have order in the chamber. Ms. Braun, proceed."
- raun: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The message that we're now giving to poor, young children in the schools is that it doesn't matter how

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

It doesn't matter how hard you work. It smart you are. doesn't matter how well you do in school. If you are poor, and you cannot afford the graduation fee, if you cannot afford the gym fee, if you cannot afford the fees attendant to attending schools, the public schools, then you can't graduate. You can't attend the class. You cannot have the benefits of an education that are given to everybody else. Now, I ask you, no matter how philosophically one is opposed to a welfare... to welfare, the fact of the matter is does it make sense for this General Assembly to go on record as penalizing children who are poor for poverty? This has nothing to do with merit. This has nothing to do with whether or not the child wants to learn, but whether or not that child's parent can afford expenses that go beyond his or her ability to pay because of the level of public aid assistance that we give in this state. I've got to tell you. I just find it absolutely horrible the notion of watching young people not being able to get their diplomas. Not being able to go to class because they \*re poor somehow strikes to the very heart of the kind of society we have, and I would hope, I would hope that everyone in this General Assembly would send a clear message that we don't want to penalize children because of the poverty of their parents when it comes to getting an education. Our schools should be free schools. Our schools should provide an education without regard to race, color, creed or economic status, and that's what this Bill will provide. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. I think there's two problems with this Bill that I
would just like to bring to your attention. I think,
philosophically, we can agree with the idea of a public

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

education which available to all regardless of their family's ability. The real problem with this is, as I see it particularly in terms of that issue, is that we say we're going to include parents but not limited to children receiving aid under Article IV of the Illinois Public Aid Then who decides beyond that Section of the Public Code. Aid Code who qualifies? You're in the same... You're in the same position of having a no man's land between the ADC and those who... who have no right to receive it, because certainly their parents can afford to pay it. The problem determining who that is is going to cause more problems than I think this is going to solve. Secondly, there limit in this Bill to the fees that will be waived. Ιf you want to talk about waiving educational fees, that's one thing; but, if you're going to include fees that some school districts are finding necessary to levy for driver's education, for athletic programs, those are also fees that they must levy. This Bill would waive those as well. And even if that is not in the intent, particularly in the language in Section 34 which applies only to Chicago said, 'The board shall waive all fees assessed on children whose parents are unable to afford them, including but not limited to. Who decides that the parents cannot them, number one? Number two, when you talk about all other fees, you've got a problem, because that is the only way those programs are being maintained. And for that reason, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise as a very reluctant, sincerely, a very reluctant but, yet, strong opponent to this legislation."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

aylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. You know, it bothers me when I come here to this House and see that you want to build hundreds of millions of dollars to

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

build prisoners, and yet you say everyone has to be qualified in order to get a job. Here this man is bringing before you a proposal to help those kids who cannot help themselves, and yet you want to deny them. This is wrong. When are we going to change our society, when are we to stop having this man, Mr. Lane, tell you you're going to have to have early release of peoples and prisoners? That's what you're telling the young kids today. You want them to prison, rather than give them an opportunity to earn a living. I suggest that you vote ave! for this Bill. It's a good Bill, and it should pass."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. DeJaegher."

BeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think the thing that we have to look forward to in this particular Bill and we have to address ourselves to is this. The Constitution demands that this opportunity be given to children. All I think this Bill is doing is putting the words in its proper form that no child will be denied the right. And for that particular reason, I am totally supportive of this Bill and, hopefully, that all other Legislators will also be with this particular Eill."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

uff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I raise to support this Fill. This is an give interesting Bill, because it rise to a certain hypocrisy that abounds in this General Assembly. It denies graduation to children because they are poor. And you, what do we do with the 17 million dollars that is levied on the Chicago property owner for free textbooks and; yet, when you go into school district at the school district, you see no textbooks in evidence. And yet, me remind you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that it's these same

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

poor children whose ADA, whose average daily attendance, is weighted to provide the state aid for all the children across this state and; yet, they do not get that. If that's not hypocrisy, then I have to find another definition of the word."

speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

allock: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield for a guestion?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Mallock: "The theory behind this Bill is a laudible one, but I have a question about the practicality. It seems to me in my part of the state that we have a situation where if a young man or a young lady is being denied graduation because he owes a fee the school board usually waives the fee and let's them graduate. Are we talking about a real problem here or just one in theory?"

to you that the point you hint at is not a new fee. It's something that the local boards have been doing all the time. So, there is not really a five million dollars cost to the state. It's a lateral move, in other words. But it is a real problem where these kids will not return to school because they owe the fee, therefore, not graduating. That's what we're talking about."

Mallock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. As I said before, this Bill is one which, in theory, sounds good, but it seems to me that most school boards do not deny students the right to attend those schools or to graduate because the fee is lacking. Most school boards around the state, even the Chicago Board of Education, I surmise, would allow that student to keep on going to school without this Bill, and I urge a 'no' vote."

ipeaker Greiman: "Mr. Hastert. Gentleman from Kendall, Mr.
Hastert."

"2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Mastert: "Mr. Speaker, I move the question."

- ipeaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves the previous question be put.

  All in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed "nay".

  The "ayes" have it, and the previous question will be put.

  Mr. Brookins to close."
- extent. I would let you know, number one, it is not a new fee. Number one, it will set a standard by which the... it could be judged, and I will encourage an "aye" vote on it."
- \*peaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Eill 463.

  The question is, "Shall this Eill pass?". All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote "no".

  Voting is now open. Mr. Buff."
- duff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my dayed vote. I, for one..."
- thite: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the past 24 years I've had the pleasure of teaching in an elementary school. And if you haven't been there, of difficult for you to understand some of the problems that these young people experience. My school is in the 'Cabrini Green' housing project, and I get a chance to see, on a daily basis, the problems that they have terms of making it through a day. They have a difficult time in coming to school properly clothed, and I know the problems that they have when it comes time for them to graduate. They have a multitude of fees to pay. And for the mother with a lot of kids and a lot of mouths to feed. it's very difficult for her to satisfy their wishes, their needs and their desires. I appeal to this Body to do what is right, to gut some green votes on the board. This is

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

for the kids who are less fortunate than some of the kids you  $^{\bullet}$  ve ever had a chance to meet.  $^{n}$ 

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leflore to explain his vote."

- in support of this Bill. This is for real. As I sit here daily and see thousand and millions of dollars spent across this state on other projects; and, when we get to our kids who are in need, who would maintain jobs if jobs was out there that they could get... I know back in my district I have many youngsters who are on public aid who wishes to have a job, but they can't get a job. So, what I'm saying to you... the Bepresentatives here today, will you please look at your vote and give this particular issue your green vote. Thank you."
- ipeaker Greiman: "Mr. Giorgi, the Gentleman from Winnebago, to explain his vote."
- iorgi: "Mr. Speaker, you know, it really rains me when I ... when I here a Representative from the farm block get on the floor of this House and cry about a few million dollars when the farm... farmers are going to cost us 34 billion dollars in give-away programs. Since World War II. given the foreign countries 200 billion dollars. and Argentina and Poland can't pay the lcans. qoing to extend their loans. We're not born equal. We don't have equal opportunities. Look at me. I haven't had equal opportunity. I wasn't born equal to everybody else. Let's give the kids a break. Look at my fingers. Two fingers are gone, but that's because I have too much talent for tin."
- :peaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook, Ms. Alexander."
- .lexander: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think a lot has been said concerning this issue. These are not new fees. It is merely a permissive action that a school district can waive

\*2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

the fees that sometimes are charged. I would hate to have one of my children, and I'm sure you would too, in the school system expecting to move along with his class and be told that he cannot receive his diploma or move to the next class because he didn't pay his fees. This is a necessary piece of legislation, and I implore your 'aye' vote."

:peaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston, to explain
his vote."

'reston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is not a Bill for poor people. This Bill is a Bill for all of us to enable people who happen to be poor to effectively educate their children, to enable those children perhaps to get productive jobs, perhaps to go on to higher education to make productive citizens of themselves. This is for all of us. This will help you. This will help me. And, yes, it will help the poor also, but not them only. This is good for all the people of Illinois. Let's get some more votes up there so we can pass this good Eill."

ipeaker Greiman: "Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

copp: "Mr. Speaker, if this should get sufficient number of votes, I would like to verify it, please."

ipeaker Greiman: "Mr. Bopp. No, Mr. Bopp merely asked that he
 wished to verify this Boll Call. Is that right? Alright,
 Mr. Ropp. The Lady from LuPage, Ms. Nelson."

Melson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'm voting 'no', because I think that we have already taken care of this problem in another Bill that passed out of this House. I was the Sponsor of House Bill 1567 that amended the School Code and prohibited the discrimination against students whose parents could not pay the required textbook or other kinds of fees. I think that is a much better way of taking care of this problem than requiring school boards, and most

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

of us know that this will impact severely on the Chicago school district, and to require them to waive those fees means that their deficit will only increase. That is what I think that we cannot afford to do at this time, and that's why I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 67 voting 'aye', 43 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and Mr. Ropp requests a Verification of the Affirmative Roll. Mr. Clerk... Mr. Brookins requests a Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Christensen. John Dunn.

Ralph Dunn. Dwight Friedrich. Chlinger. Pierce. No further."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, poll the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Barnes. Berrics. Bowman. Breslin. Brookins. Bullock. Capparelli. Cullerton-Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. Flinn. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig. Bicks. Homer. Huff. Jaffe. Hutchins. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin\_ Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe. McGann. McPike. Nash. O'Connell. Panayotovich. Pangle. Preston. Rea. Rhem-Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw\_ Slape. Steczo. Stuffle. Taylor. Terzich. Topinka. Turner. Van Duvne. Vitek. Shite. Wolf. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn \*aye\*. Mr. Bopp."

topp: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Slare, Representative Slape."

ipeaker Greiman: "Mr. Slape is at the rear of the chamber."

Mopp: "Representative Capparelli."

lopp: "Representative Homer."

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Speaker Greiman: "How is... did you want me to not take him off?"

πopp: "I thought you said he was in the chamber."

Speaker Greiman: "No, no, he doesn't appear to be. Bemove Mr.

Capparelli. Froceed, Mr. Bopp."

Ropp: "How about Representative DiFrima?"

Speaker Greiman: "Representative DiPrima. Bepresentative DiPrima

is talking with Mr. Bowman."

Ropp: "Representative Homer."

opeaker Greiman: "Bepresentative Homer. Mr. Homer in the

chamber? Remove Mr. Homer."

Ropp: "Representative Kulas."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Kulas. Mr... Representative Kulas in the

chamber? How has Mr. Kulas voted?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

:peaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Kulas."

Ropp: "Representative Bonan."

peaker Greiman: "Mr. Bonan. Is Mr. Bonan in the chamber? Mr.

Ronan. How is Mr. Ronan recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting "aye"."

ipeaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Bonan from the Roll Call."

lopp: "Representative Levin."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Levin. Representative Levin in the

chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

:lerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove the Gentleman. Representative Braun,

for what purpose do you rise?"

Braun: "To be verified, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, may the Lady have leave to be verified?

Indicates that you may. Proceed, Mr. Rcpp."

lopp: "Representative McAuliffe."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Nash would like to have leave to be

verified. Mr. who - McAuliffe?"

topp: "McAuliffe."

12nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- ipeaker Greiman: "Mr. McAuliffe is in his seat, and Mr. Levin has returned. Mr. McAuliffe is on your side of the aisle, Mr. Ropp."
- hopp: "Yeah, but I didn't think he was voting right on this issue..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, yes, well, Mr. Levin has returned, Mr. Clerk."

Ropp: "How about Representative Rhem?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Them is in his seat."

Ropp: "Bepresentative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Satterthwaite is in her seat."

copp: "Mr. Speaker, I'll withdraw my request."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman withdraws his request for verification. Mr. Clerk. Accordingly, on this Bill, there are 68 voting 'aye', 43 voting 'no', 2 voting This Bill, having received a Constitutional 'present'. Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 8 of the Calendar, continuing Appropriations only, Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 481. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Jerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 481, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Br. Nash."

- "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual Court of the Claims appropriations Eill. I ask for an "aye" vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Eill 481.

  On that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr.

  Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Sponsor yield? He indicates he will."

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he will."

\_everenz: "How much is "Medley Movers" in the Bill for this

2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

year?"

- Wash: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I asked staff to draft me an Amendment to put 'Medley Movers' in, and they refused to do so. So, it's not in the Bill."
- Leverenz: "Well then, I would think that this Bill probably would get 59 'aye' votes and then would have to be brought back to the Order of Second Reading. Is that correct, Bepresentative Nash?"
- 'ash: "Well, I don't know of any Amendments that are filed on this Bill. I think it's in its right form right now."
- .everenz: "Why do you think staff would be so uncooperative as to
  not provide us with an Amendment for \*Medley Movers\*?"
- ..ash: "Well, in all my time down here, "Medley Movers" has been in this Bill every year, and every year we take it out. So, they're getting tired of drafting the Amendment for 'Medley Movers'. Howard 'Medley' doesn't like it, but..."
- everenz: "Is that, perhaps, because you asked?"
- wash: "Since Howard 'Medley' is a friend of mine, there might be a conflict."
- 'everenz: "Oh, I guess then we may as well give the measure more than 60 'aye' votes, or we'll hear from Representative Taylor. Thank you."
- :peaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."
- 'aylor: "Rising on a point of personal privilege. My name was used in debate. Howard 'Medley' is not dead. Wait till the Conference Committee, and he'll be alright."
- ipeaker Greiman: "Have a Committee on Besurrections. Yes. Mr.
  Preston."
- 'reston: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- peaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."
- reston: "Representative Nash, would you tell me what the amount of the appropriation of the Court of Claims is? No, Mr. Nash, I was not asking Veronica. I was asking you, Sir."

'2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

lash: "1.9."

!reston: "And how much of that is for claims against the State of Illinois?"

fash: "All of it."

'reston: "And how much is it... Is there nothing in there for the employees of the Court of Claims?"

(ash: "Representative Freston, this is the awards Bill for the Courts of Claims. This isn't their budget Bill."

lash: "No, there isn't."

'reston: "Are there anything in there for claims made by Members of your family?"

dash: "No. There might be something from your family though."

'reston: "Then I think ... I think this is a good Bill, Mr.

Speaker, and I'm going to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "From Cook, Mr. Vitek."

'itek: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

.:peaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves the previous question be put. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", those opposed "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. Mr. Nash to close."

fash: "Mr. Speaker, I ask for an 'aye' vote. We have to be out of here by 6:00 tonight. We can't... We have someplace to go."

ipeaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?". All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 104 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 7 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 498. Mr.

/2nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Clerk, read the Bill."

ipeaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, the
Minority Leader. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, will take
that Bill. Thank you, Ms. Barnes."

Harnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 498 appropriates 281,900 dollars from the General Revenue Fund for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Judicial Inquiry Board. If there aren't any questions, I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

ipeaker Greiman: "Lady moves for passage of Senate Bill 498. On
that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Leverenz."

heverenz: "Yes, would the Lady respond to questions?"

Jarnes: "Yes, I will."

Severenz: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

ipeaker Greiman: "Indicates she will."

Leverenz: "How many inquiries did they have?"

Three resigned before a hearing was taken. Three were removed from office. Seven were suspended without pay for periods ranging from one month to one year. One Judge then resigned. Three were censured, and six were reprimanded. The Illinois Supreme Court, on appeal, then expunged one suspension, Representative."

Leverenz: "I think that's very important that the Membership give their attention to that, especially the press. Many times our Judiciary have been maligned. Could you repeat those answers on how many inquiries and the disciplinary action?"

Barnes: "Well, they had proposed charges. When you say total,

you must mean the proposed charges again 91 Judges had been

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

filed. A total of 34 complaints against 32 Judges with two Judges having two complaints each against them. Two complaints are currently pending disposition by the Court's commission. Now, the results from these complaints. The Court..."

Leverenz: "That's the important part. Right."

- Barnes: "Alright. The Court Commission took disciplinary action against 19 of the Judges. Three resigned before a hearing was taken. Three were removed from office. Seven were suspended without pay for periods ranging from one month to one year. One Judge then resigned. Three were censured. Six were reprimanded. The Illinois Supreme Court, on appeal, then excunged one suspension."
- .everenz: "We reduced, through Amendment, 20,500 dollars by eliminating a reserve. How much... I forget now. How much did we reduce the contract for Sam Skinner by?"
- larnes: "I think about 10,000 at your request, Representative
- 'everenz: "From what ... Yes. From what to what?"
- 3arnes: "Let's see. From... Let's see. Bestores an 8% cost of living increase cut by the Senate, adds 12,800 dollars, reduces contractual by 20,500, eliminate 10,500 dollars for additional attorney and legal counsel retainer by 10,000 dollars. So, I think..."
- Leverenz: "And did you not have a policy Amendment from... from
  your side to reduce... How much was the policy committee
  recommending a reduction for?"
- Barnes: "Twenty-five hundred dollars. We reduced subscriptions, in-state travel and out-of-state travel."
- Leverenz: "Well then, the net result of Amendment #3 is that your policy committee reduced this appropriation by 200 dollars.

  Is that correct?"
- Barnes: "There had been a technical discrepancy, and that was why

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

we had to introduce Amendment #3, Representative."

Leverenz: "I think that's very important to strip this agency of that 200 dollars. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 108 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 4 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading, appropriations only, appears Senate Bill 680. Mr. Clerk, read the Eill."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Fourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 680 is for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Board of Elections. There were six Amendments added to this Bill, and it increases the appropriation for Fiscal \*84 to about 100,000 dollars from what the appropriation was in Fiscal \*83. I move for the adoption of Senate Bill 680."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Fill 680.

On that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr.

Bowman."

Bowman: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Bowman: "Fiscal year will run through June 30th of \*84, which should put them within the range for planning for the \*84 fall elections. I know, in the past, the State Board had been interested in trying to set up a statewide monitoring

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

system for the general elections so that they would be able to get reports from all over the state on election night and be able to give accurate reportings to news media and so forth as to what the outcome in the state legislative races as well as constitutional officer races. And I wondered if any similar plans were being made for this upcoming election, since they did not do it last time."

Yourell: "Well, I don't believe the money was in there by an Amendment. In fact, I know it wasn't in there by Amendment, but they do plan on doing that with my... through my conversations with members of the State Board."

Bowman: "Okay. So, they plan on doing it, and you presume that they have put in whatever they need."

Yourell: "That's correct."

Bowman: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I just wanted to verify some of the things I thought
were correct. Representative Yourell will respond to a
question or two."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield."

Leverenz: "We added 8500 dollars to their appropriation to be able to verify petitions. Is that now confirmed with the agency that they need that money?"

Yourell: "That's correct. The original amount was 15,000, and we deleted 6500 to make it 8500."

Leverenz: "And on the money we put in for electronic data processing equipment, were we able to verify that amount of money?"

Yourell: "That's correct."

Leverenz: "That will be used for what type of data processing?"
Yourell: "That's to be used to verify vote tabulation machines."

Leverenz: "Very good. I would hope that everyone would support with a green vote this Bill as we have amended it. It will

12nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

allow the State Board of Elections to fully function so that we do not get embarrassed. Thank you."

ipeaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Fill pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Eave all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no!, 1 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading, appropriation only, appears Senate Bill 714. Mr. Clerk, read the Fill."

:lerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 714, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Third Reading of the Eill."

ipeaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."

satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as the Bill now stands, it has a very large number of Amendments that include, along with the original \$11,000,000 project for the purchase of the Research Fark Euilding in Chicago, it now includes the reappropriations for capital development projects. The approved capital development projects for fiscal Year '84 and a number of Member Amendments that have been added on. I will be pleased to try to answer questions that the Members might have, but ask for a favorable Boll Call."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves for passage of Senate Bill 714.

On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

Mr. Yourell."

'ourell: "Would the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

Yourell: "Bepresentative, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 714, does that include about seven million dollars for the funding of

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

a new high tech building in Mcraine Valley Community College?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes, Sir."

Yourell: "Can you tell me why we are cheating the taxpayers out of 25% of that money, rather than having... The state's going to... The junior college is going to contribute seven million, and it's my understanding the state would contribute another seven million for a fifty-fifty split. Hasn't it been the custom for the state to supply 75% of the money and the local taxpayers 25%?"

Satterthwaite: "I believe that is right, but I will defer to

Representative Leverenz on that Amendment. Representative

Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Chairman Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, Representative Yourell, the difference where the state is not going to pick up 75%, I believe is the question of 25% local, is that they are trying to desperately get this project under way. They have, because of the former president, left a number of dollars sit in a bank. It has gained interest. They thought that it would be able to raise the project on the list of projects to be completed by providing an inordinate amount of local share."

Speaker Greiman: "Further question, Mr. Yourell?"

Yourell: "Do you think that's fair to the local taxpayers? A taxpayer in that district, I cannot hardly believe that we're going to allow the state to shirk its responsibility of providing 75% of the cost of this new construction and allow the taxpayers to... to foot the Bill for 50% of it. I just... I think the local taxpayers are being raped in this case. It's always been the formula of 75/25, and now, in order to do something that is not absolutely of any immediate benefit, they're going to go all out to cheat the

72nd Legislative Day

June 26. 1983

taxpayers of 25% of the total seven million... or 14 million dollars. I think that's wrong, and that's why I'm going to vote against this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Br. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Well, I tried to ask the...answer the Gentleman's first question, but I do concur with you that the locals should only provide 25. You may find that we can get this appropriation through, and perhaps because οf the contractors being able to bid less, you will find that the percentages may change. I agree with you that the locals should not provide a 50% ratio, but they should only provide 25. The problem is with the Governor on the second floor, and a lot of the projects are under a freeze. Consequently, nothing is being done, and we are trying to find a way, with the people representing that district, to get the job underway."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will....she will."

Friedrich: "Are you aware of Amendment \$4 regarding the animal proposed...repairing the office building in Mt. Vernon for an animal disease laboratory?"

Satterthwaite: "I am aware that Amendment #4 is approximately two and a half million dollars. Yes."

Friedrich: "Did you agree to that Amendment going on your Bill?"

Satterthwaite: "Well, Representative, a number of Amendments have

gone on, whether or not I agree with them personally."

Friedrich: "Well, my question is..."

Satterthwaite: "But I think that if we get this Bill through in the House, there will obviously be further negotiations with the Senate and perhaps in a Conference Committee."

Friedrich: "My question was, did you agree to this Amendment?

The reason I ask is, you're quite aware that we had worked

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

out an arrangement to get the University of Illinois lab \$300,000 a year on this new fee Eill, and you were a party to that. Now I just wondered if you were going contrary to our agreement?"

Satterthwaite: "I'll defer, again, to Bepresentative Leverenz in regard to this project."

Priedrich: "Well, I believe you're the Sponsor of the Bill."

Satterthwaite: "I am the Sponsor of the Bill, but what has happened is that normally the capital development funding goes through Senator...or Representative Leverenz's Committee."

Priedrich: "I think I'm getting ready to kill the fee Bill. I didn't know if you were interested in that or not. If you're going to be for this Amendment, then I think I'll just kill the Bill that provides the fees."

Satterthwaite: "Well, Representative Friedrich, I would wait until we see what further negotiations go on on this Bill before we kill other legislation."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she'll yield for a guestion."

Ropp: "Amendment #11 that was withdrawn, do I understand that those provisions were included in Amendment #8 or some other Amendment?"

Satterthwaite: "I believe so. That was Representative Reilly's

Amendment, and I believe that that was the reason for
withdrawing that Amendment."

Ropp: "That's a yes?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes, I believe that's right, but Representative
Reilly was the Sponsor of the Amendment. I'm suggesting
that he may know specifically which Amendment included that
instead."

Ropp: "Okay, thank you."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the Lady from Champaign to close."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I ask for an "aye" vote. I believe that we do need to provide funding for capital development projects within the State of Illinois. I suspect that this is not the final version of the Bill, but I ask you to give it a favorable vote now so that we can continue with the negotiation process on which of these projects will be funded."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?". All in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote "no".

  The voting is now open. Mr. Leverenz, for what purpose do you rise? You spoke in debate."
- Leverenz: "Now that it...yes. Now that it has the sufficient number of votes, I don't need to explain my vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there wish? Mr. are 81...82 voting \*aye\*, 25 voting \*no\*, 6 \*present\*. and this Bill. having the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Beading, appropriations only, appears Senate Bill 715. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 715, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Third Reading of the Eill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."

  Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Bill,
  as originally introduced, would have provided 1.3 million
  dollars for the University of Illinois to administer the
  program at the research park in Chicago. By Amendments,
  the Bill now has various other projects and comes to a
  total of 4.369 million dollars, and I would solicit your
  support for passage of the Bill."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady moves for passage of Senate Bill 715.

  On that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr.

  Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield for a question?"
- Speaker Greiman: "She indicates that she'll yield for questions."

  Piel: "According to my synopsis, Representative, we have two identical Amendments. We've got Amendment #5 on 715 which appropriates 125,000 dollars to the SIO high tech training center in East St. Louis. And in looking at the thing, also on the previous Bill, Committee Amendment #17 does the exact same thing. Could you answer this please?"
- Satterthwaite: "No, I believe not. Amendment #5 on this Bill is

  Capital Development money... on this Bill is General

  Revenue money. In the other Bill, it is planning funds

  which come out of Capital Development."
- Piel: "So, in other words, we're basically talking about two different figures of 125,000 dollars each."
- Satterthwaite: "I believe that's right. Yes."
- Piel: "So, we're talking about 250 total for the high tech
- Satterthwaite: "That would be my understanding, yes."
- Piel: "Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. A11 those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed no . Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Оn this Bill there are 93 voting 'yes', 18 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Order of Senate Bills Third Reading, appropriations only. appears Senate Bill 766. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 766, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bowman, are you going to take that?"

Bowman: "Yes, thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "You're welcome."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate Bill 766 makes an appropriation to the House, Guardianship and Advocacy Commission which was not included in the Governor's doomsday budget. However, the ... Governor's attempt to abolish the statute in which Guardianship and Advocacy rests was thwarted in the Senate, and this particular agency is very much alive and And I believe that we need to fund it for another year. Consequently, Senate Bill 766, in its original appropriated an amount sufficient to continuous programs at existing levels. Now, in the House, we added some We, with Amendment #1, clarified the purpose of the Guardian ad litem line. There was no fiscal impact to that. We also had an Amendment to appropriate some money for the transcripts for the indigent mentally ill and developmentally disabled persons who appeal commitment. The same Amendment, however, other reductions. So, there was a total net reduction of Amendment #2 of 57,000 dollars. In addition, Amendment #3 added 527,000 of federal funds for the Guardianship... or, me, for the Protection and Advocacy Program. excuse Protection Advocacy is now performed by a separate agency. There is no appropriation for that program. So. we've found a home for it on this particular Fill. That money is federal money. The fourth Amendment appropriates about two million dollars for various mental health programs and

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

probably will ultimately be taken care of on the mental health Bill when that one goes to Conference Committee, but that is the present status of the Bill. I believe this is a worthy program, despite the Governor's lack of interest in it, and I think we ought to fund it. And I urge passage of Senate Bill 766."

- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 766.

  And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Beilly."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On the same theory that we used on the Arts that is to say that it's not in the budget. We still don't have a decision on tax, and we ought not funding agencies that are not included in the budget until we have a decision on tax. I would urge everyone to vote either \*present\* or 'no' on this appropriation. Τ personally hope that eventually we do come to some funding for this agency, but now is not the time. I intend to vote 'present'."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Further discussion? There being none, the question... guestion is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 76 voting 'aye', 14 voting 'no', 26 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Beading, appropriations only, appears Senate Bills 1144. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1144, a Bill for an Act relating to the State's Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission. Third Beading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, to

72nd Legislative Day

June 26. 1983

- handle that Bill. Is that right? No. Mr. Roman."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is the appropriation for the Illinois State's Attorneys' Appellate Services Commission. The dollar amount this year is 2,150,000. I move for the passage of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill
  1144. On that, is there any discussion? The Lady from
  DuFage, Mrs. Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I put my light on before we voted on Senate Bill 766. It was on after the vote was taken, and I would have liked the opportunity to explain my vote on that measure; but, since I was not recognized until now, I have nothing to add."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Question is, "Shall this Bill pass?". All those in favor signify by saying... by voting "aye", those opposed vote "no". Voting is now open. The Lady from LaSalle, Ms. Breslin."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I have a conflict of interest in this legislation and will thus be recorded as not voting. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 102 voting 'aye', 8 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed. Alright. We will now go to the Order of those Bills on the Special Order of Economic Recovery which are to be recalled to the Order of Second Reading for Amendment on those Bills. I'm sorry. Those Bills on the Order ... on the Order of State and Local Government for which Amendments have been offered and for which we will be recalling Bills to the Order of Second Reading. On that Order of Business first appears Senate Bill 1153. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1153, a Bill for an Act to amend the Court Reporters' Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, asks leave that the Bill be returned to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendments. Gentleman have leave? Gentleman has leave, and leave is hereby granted. Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Erien: "Amendment #10, Cullerton."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We discovered that we inadvertently left off some material on Amendment #8. This provides for changes in the Fifth Judicial District, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment

10 to Senate Bill 1153. On that, is there any discussion?

Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, you indicated that either 8 or 11 provided for some changes in the Judicial Districts. Could you elaborate on that?"

Cullerton: "Right. Amendment #8 provided for additional Judges in Cook and DuPage, and this was to include Judges in the Fifth Judicial District that was inadvertently left off.

This is what Amendment #10 provides."

Brummer: "Okay. There are... Is there in this Bill, in either of the ... any of the Amendments, any Judicial redistricting?"

Cullerton: "No."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, shall...

the question, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?'. All in
favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The
'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On this Order appears Senate Bill 1222. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1222, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Beading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, moves that... asks leave that this Bill be returned to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Gentleman have leave? Leave is hereby granted, and the Bill is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, any Amendments? Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Amendments filed. Amendment 1 was withdrawn on June 23rd."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, when the Bill was called on Second Reading, the Amendment wasn't printed. So, I withdrew it, and the Bill went to Third. The Amendment has now been printed and distributed, and the Sponsor and I have brought it back to try to adopt it. So, I'm not sure what you want me to do, but the Amendment..."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, I think you've withdrawn the Amendment and you're saying... What you're saying is the Amendment was not refiled. Is that... Is that right?"

Cullerton: "Apparently not, but it has been distributed and...

So, I would ask leave to have it considered, have the Amendment that was withdrawn now be considered."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, the Chair finds that you may proceed with your Amendment, being no objections, on this basis that there were no subsequent Amendments adopted to the Bill and that the Amendment is still before us,

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

accordingly. Therefore, Mr. Cullerton, on Amendment #1, proceed. Yes, Mr. Hoffman."

- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could I ask the Gentleman to just hold this in abeyance until we have a chance to chat?"

  Cullerton: "Fine. We have other Bills we have to..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. We will go to the next Bill. Then we will return to this Bill, Mr. Hoffman. On the Calendar...
  Yes. The Bill will be held on Second Beading pending your discussions. On that Order of Business appears Senate Bill 1264. And Mr. Hawkinson moves to... asks leave of the House to return the Bill... Senate Bill 1264 to the Order of Second Reading. Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman has leave. Leave is hereby granted. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Hawkinson, amends Senate Bill 1264 on page 22 and so forth."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Knox, Mr. Bawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an agreed Amendment with Representative Cullerton. What it does is it reduces for possession of certain drugs to a penalty probationable offense to make the Bill constitutional. holding was court case this statute unconstitutional. This possession of drugs will equal to the penalty for the offense for delivery, and I would move its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1264. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Continuing on the same Order,

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Senate Bill 775. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 775, a Bill for an Act in relation to the transfer of monies from the General Bevenue Fund. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, asks
  leave of the House to move this Bill to the Order of Second
  Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does the
  Gentleman have leave? Gentleman has leave, and the Bill is
  on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, further
  Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Vinson, amends Senate Bill 775..."

  Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, the Sponsor, on Second Reading, agreed that if we had a problem in regard to the Bill, to bring the Bill on back to Second for purposes of a corrective Amendment. And I would offer that corrective Amendment at this time. The purposes of the corrective Amendment, Amendment #2, is to ensure that what the Bill does is precisely what the Gentleman sought to do with Amendment #1. And for those reasons, I would offer Amendment #2 and ask for favorable consideration."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman asks for the adoption... moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 775. On that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook... from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I recall Mr. Vinson that what I said that I moved it on Third Beading and that I wouldn't call it until I talked to you, and then we could attempt to pass the Bill. But tomorrow is the last day. So, I've got to go. I know what the Bill does, as introduced: and, in fact, that's exactly what I want. The Bill, actually, is a recommendation from an Auditor General's opinion... report

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

rather and an unofficial opinion from the Attorney General dated August 26, 1980. What happens is that the ... the Bureau of the Budget really recommends that more general revenue be paid to certain debt service funds, and actually necessary to pay the debt service payments that And that makes for artificially low General Revenue Fund balances. So, my Bill is to counteract those phony GRF balances, and we do that by the Bill And in fact, if anything has to be done, we introduced. should include five more debt service funds that are not included under the Bill as introduced. And we do that with Amendment #3. So, I would urge the Members to defeat Amendment #2 so that we can adopt Amendment #3, and the is clean as a whistle then. And the Governor can't get away with these phony things he's been getting away with. Thank you. Right, Ed Webb?"

Speaker McPike: "Is there any further discussion? Representative McPike in the Chair. Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?".

All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. Amendment's adopted. We'll have a Roll Call on the Amendment. All those in favor of the Amendment signify... All those in favor of the Amendment signify by voting "aye", opposed vote 'no'. Bepresentative Vitek to explain his vote."

Vitek: "My switch is stuck here. I want to vote "no". Could the electrician check us?"

Speaker McPike: "Switch Representative Vitek from "aye" to "no".

Is your switch stuck? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 49 "ayes", 65 "nos", 1 voting "present", and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Matijevich."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich, Amendment #3."

Matijevich: "Yes, as I said, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, what Amendment #3 does, it adds five more debt service funds that should be included in the provisions of the Bill. It is... They are the Anti-Pollution Fund, Transportation A and Transportation B, the Mental Health and the University Building Fund. I move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? Eeing none, the question is, 
"Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?". All those in favor 
signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it, 
and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Natijevich."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #4. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. We will now return to Senate
Bill 1222 that was taken out of the record. Read the Bill,
Br. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1222, a Bill for an Act to amend the School... This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1, Cullerton, amends Senate Bill 1222 on page one..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton on Amendment #1."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Br. Speaker. I have now cleared this

Amendment with the other side. This clarifies the
legislative intent of Section 24-12 and 34-85 of the School
Code as to the authority of school boards to suspend
teachers. Suspension is to apply only in relation to
dismissal procedures. This clarification is necessary due

#### 72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- to some school boards attempting to expand the suspension authority by local policy changes rather than by legislative action. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. On that, the Gentleman from DuPage, Bepresentative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "On the grounds of the assurances that the Gentleman gave me in our conservation between the time we considered this Bill a first time and now, I support the Gentleman's Motion."
- Speaker McPike: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the guestion is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 613. Read the Bill,
  Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 613, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Buman Rights Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return this to Second Reading for purposes of Amendments. Is there any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 613, Second Reading. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was withdrawn, 2 lost. Floor
  Amendment #3, Levin..."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin, Amendment #3."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment clears up a problem which Representative Vinson had with a previous Amendment. It deals with a court decision that came down on the 4th District that held that home rule jurisdictions could not

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

go beyond the human rights ordinance... the Buman Rights Act in promulgating local ordinances. I ask... This would, in effect, protect and validate existing ordinances that various jurisdictions have which, given this court decision, would be subject to challenge."

Speaker NcPike: "The Genleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker BcPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 620. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 620, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return this to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 620, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Piel - Capparelli."

Speaker McPike: "Bepresentative Piel on Amendment #2."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

After Senate Bill 620 passed out of the Revenue Committee,

I talked to Representative Capparelli, explained to him
some problems that I saw with the Bill. And the present
form the Bill is in right now, there's just no way it would
become law. What the Bill does now, it basically opens up
all adoption records to adopted children. I explained to
Representative Capparelli the problems that he had with the
Bill. We... He agreed to amend the Fill. The... Both
sides, the pro and con sides, on this issue have got no
major problems with opening up health records, I repeat,
just health records, to adoptive children. And all this

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Amendment does, it provides a procedure whereby adoptive parents or adopted children, after the age of 18, can obtain health history on the adoptee's biological family upon request. It doesn't automatically give it. It has to be requested. Second of all, the Amendment permits, I would say does not require, permits the biological parents to give this information if they so deem necessary, and I would be more than happy to answer any guestions on the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Piel."

Speaker McPike: "Bepresentative Peil, Amendment #3."

Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #3, after we filed Amendment #2, we found some
technical problems with the Bill, periods, commas, this
type of a thing. And all Amendment #3 does is take care of
those technical problems with Amendment #2."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capparelli."
- Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, I'm just curious. Do we have to...

  Amendment #1. Do we have to table Amendment #1 before we adopt Amendment #2 and 3?"
- Speaker HcPike: "Representative Piel, was it your intent to table
  Amendment #1?"
- Piel: "I don't think you need to, Mr. Speaker. I thought, when I
  was originally talking to the Democratic staff, I thought
  we had to, but Amendment #2 deletes the title and

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

everything after the enacting clause. So, I believe that, you know, if we have to, we can do that tomorrow. But I don't think we have to, Ralph."

Capparelli: "Representative Cullerton just..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Piel: "Frobably we should, though."

Cullerton: "I think the safest thing to do would be to table

Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Amendment #1 is Representative Capparelli's Amendment.

So, we... whichever way you want to do it."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Capparelli moves to table
Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? There being none,
the question is, "Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?". All
those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The
"ayes" have it. The Motion carries. Amendment #1 is
tabled. Representative... Representative Fiel on
Amendment #3."

Piel: "I just emplained it. I'd just ask for the adoption of
Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 668. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 668, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return 668 to Second
Reading for purpose of Amendment. Are there any
objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill
668, Second Reading."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Bastert."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bastert on Assendment #3."

- Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly,
  Amendment #3 to 668 just says that if a property tax appeal
  decision lowers an assessment value or raises an assessment
  value, that that should be in the determination of the
  state aid formula for the 1983/1984 school year. Move for
  positive."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by voting... saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1054. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1054, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning the state fair and county fairs. Third Reading of the Eill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return the Bill to
  Second Reading for purposes of Amendment. Any objections?
  Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 1054, Second
  Reading. Any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #2 by Representative

  Bopp."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp moves to table Amendment #2.

  Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Ropp."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bopp."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 further clarifies Ropp: the intent of Amendment #2 and it also provides that the qeneral operating policy and refunds for the state fair shall be set by rule and covered by the Administrative It provides that certain kinds of Procedures Actnegotiable contracts shall be made in accordance with the specific criteria and procedures set forth by the rule And the third thing, that the premiums and concessions shall be exempt from rule making but shall be established and published in a premium book. This really to give assistance in operating of the state fair, specifically, and I urge your favorable adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? Being none, the guestion is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1119. Bead the Bill. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1119, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return the Bill to Second Beading for purposes of an Amendment. Are there any objections? Objections have been raised. Bepresentative Davis, we'll take this out of the record for a moment. Senate Bill 726. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 726, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning to provide for the Illinois-Michigan Canal National Corridor Civic Center Authority of Cook County.

  Third Beading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return this to Second Reading for purposes of Amendment. Are there any

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. 726, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Terzich - Giorgi."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich, Amendment #3.

Representative Giorgi on Amendment #3."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this clarifies some of the language that we adopted in Amendment #2. And all it does, it clarifies that the Metro Center may own, lease or acquire interest in or enter into a lease of public or private firms, corporation or associations in conformance with its rights and powers. It's just technical language. I move the adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment 3.

And on that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative
Vinson."

Vinson: "It... Will the Gentleman yield for a question, please?" Giorqi: "Yes, Sir."

Vinson: "Did I understand the explanation of the Amendment to, in effect, be that this particular civic center will be granted more authority than in the Fill?"

Giorgi: "No, less. Less. Less authority."

Vinson: "Would you explain that?"

Giorgi: "Well, what happened was Amendment #2 was a little too far-reaching, and the people that are monitoring this type of legislation said that some of the language wasn't very proper. So, we cleared it up so that the Metro Center now... For example, we have people in the Bockford area that want to get involved in building an... Metro Center parking facility. They're willing to pledge the money up front, but they want to be able to amortize this money, use it as a tax write off. And they want to be sort of like a limited partnership, and the law has to allow that. That's what we have to do."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Vinson: "Now, does your Amendment #3 deal only with the portion of the Bill that is covered... that effects the Rockford Civic Center?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Sir."

Vinson: "It does not deal with anything else."

Giorgi: "No, Sir."

Vinson: "No further questions."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?'.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', cpposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Terzich."

Speaker McPike: "Bepresentative Terzich, Amendment #4."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #4 removes the power of eminent domain from the
Bill, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. And on that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman indicates he will yield."

Vinson: "Would you explain how your Amendment does that?"

Terzich: "It deletes, on page two, by deleting lines 24 and 28..."

Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Representative Terzich. Have you confused Amendment #4 with Amendment #5?"

Terzich: "No. Amendment #5 will clarify in addition to Amendment #4, which is Representative Fullen's."

Speaker McPike: "Very well. Proceed. Amendment 44. Froceed with your explanation."

Terzich: "Yes, by deleting on line 29 by deleting Section (E) and inserting in lieu of Section (D). And, also, we have

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Amendment #4 which is further clarifying the intent of removing the power of eminent domain from the Eill."

Vinson: "Okay. So, you... I now understand. You've got two
Amendments that deal with eminent demain."

Terzich: "That's correct."

Vinson: "Ckay. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. Question is, "Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Pullen - Terzich."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pullen, Amendment #5."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In further refining the eminent domain question, this Amendment clarifies that the authority does not have eminent domain by an implied authority either. The previous Amendment removed the expressed authority, and this clarifies that it does not have an implied authority either. And the Sponsor has agreed to the Amendment and is Cosponsoring it with me, and I urge adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #5.

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave for the Bill to remain on the Order of Short Debate. Is there any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. It remains on Short Debate. Senate Eill 620. It's the Chair's understanding that we have to corr... to return to Senate Bill 620 to table an Amendment. The Gentleman asks leave

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

to return Senate Bill 620 to Second Reading. Is there any objection? Bearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 620, Second Reading. Representative Piel."

Piel: "My apologies to the chamber, Mr. Speaker. What we should have done was withdrawn 2 and gone on with 3. We ended up adopting 2 and 3, and I would ask at this time to table Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks... The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?".

All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no".

The "ayes" have it. Amendment #2 is tabled. Amendment #3 has been adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Braun, for what reason do you rise?"

Braun: "I had a question of the Sponsor regarding Amendment 3 on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Well..."

Braun: "It's too late, huh?"

Speaker McPike: "...3 was adopted about ten minutes ago."

Braun: "Oh, alrighty. I just... It didn't appear on my printout, and I didn't know what it said. And I was concerned.

Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Fine. We will return to Senate Bill 1119.

Representative Davis we will take that out of the record

for just a minute again."

Vinson: "... Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Bepresentative Vinson, are you seeking recognition?"

Vinson: "Yes. Did you return 620 to Third Beading?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, I did. Senate Fill 1061. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1061, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Beading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The... The Gentleman asks leave to return 1061... The Lady asks leave. Excuse me, Bepresentative Barnes. It was my mistake. The Lady asks leave to return Senate Bill 1061 to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Is there any objection? Bearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 1061 on Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, McAuliffe, amends Senate Fill 1061 on page one..."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative McAuliffe. Represen...

  Representative Barnes?"
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, since Representative McAuliffe isn't here, could I have permission to handle this for him?"
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady asks leave to handle Amendment #1. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted.

  Representative Barnes on Amendment #1."
- Barnes: "I would like to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady asks leave, on behalf of Bepresentative McAuliffe, to withdraw his Amendment."
- Barnes: "Now I would like to go with Amendment # .... "
- Speaker McPike: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. The Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Vinson."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson on Amendment #2."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Vinson."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Please withdraw Amendment #3."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. Further
Amendments."

Vinson: "Floor Amendment #4, McAuliffe."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Earnes, would you like leave to handle that Amendment also?"

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes."

- Speaker McPike: "The Lady asks leave to handle the Amendment for Representative McAuliffe. Are there any objections?

  Hearing none, leave is granted. Bepresentative Barnes on Amendment #4."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #4 provides fixed length santions for persons
  failing to comply with good cause with the work
  requirements of the WIN demonstration project."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #4.

  And on that, the Gentleman from Winnelago, Bepresentative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "... elucidate a little bit on the Amendment?"

- Barnes: "Yes, Representative Giorgi, as best I can. It seems that there is a court suit at the moment and... about length of time, and the Department of Fublic Aid, in order to do their duty, they're trying to establish a definite termination of time that financial act of that person shall be denied or terminated for as long as the refusal persists. And they want to make it for a length of time three payment months for the first failure to participate and a santion period of six payment months for subsequent failures to participate. And what they're trying to do is conform with the Federal Code."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #4.

  The question is, "Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?". All
  those in favor signify by saying "aye", cpposed "no". The
  "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Vinson."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson on Amendment #5."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This simply brings state AFDC procedures in line with federal law. It prohibits public aid from giving assistance to people that are not qualified for it under federal law and federal reimbursement, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Vinson."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson on Amendment #6."

Vinson: "I withdraw Amendment #6, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #6. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Currie - Giorgi - Matijevich."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi, Amendment #7."

Giorgi: "This is really Currie's Amendment. Give me #7.

Amendment #7 just gives some interim assistance to people
that have been dropped by SSI by the Federal Government,
and then there's an appeal period. And because the
analysis is done by a unit of State Government, we feel
that public aid should pick up and help these people in the
interim study period."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I rise in opposition to Amendment #7 to this Bill. Amendment #7 does is statutorily create a new public aid program in this state. We're in the position right where we don't know if we can even pay for general assistance after June 30th. Unless tax Bills are adopted by this General Assembly, we're not going to be able to pay for general assistance. It would seem to me to be pure folly for the Assembly to be mandating a new general assistance program, a new public aid program, a new welfare program at substantial cost when we can't pay for the ones we have right now. What this does, this sort of thing, kind of effort to continue adopting new programs, new welfare programs is the sort of thing that builds in higher costs for State Government, higher taxes for taxpayers and discourages the business climate in this state. And for those reasons, I would ask for a 'no' vote on Amendment #7 and request a Roll Call vote on it and reserve the right for a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? There being none, Representative Giorgi to close."

Speaker, what is happening now is that arbitrarily Giorgi: "Mr. the Social Security people and SSI are being terminated day notices, and they have newhere to turn. end up on public aid. This is going to save the State of Illinois public aid dollars by getting them back on SSI...on SSI. How many Legislators here have people come into their office and telling them, "I've been arbitrarily dropped off of the SSI Programe? And you look at person and you wonder who the Judge was that had lost his mind that dropped those people out of the SSI very... This is a very sensitive and serious Amendment, Mr. Vinson, and I don't feel that ... We let you

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

put two on that we thought were very discriminatory, but this Amendment, it'll save the state money in the long run, because the Federal Government is dumping them off of SSI and putting on public aid. I urge your support of this Amendment on a Boll Call vote."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption Amendment #7. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 adopted?". All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 66 'ayes', 43 nos', 3 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ploor Amendment #8, White - Giglio - Braun."

Speaker McPike: "Representative White on Amendment #8."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1061 is a simple Amendment.

All it does is just provides that a person seeking general
assistance, public aid or whatever the program may be will
go into one office and submit the application, and it will
be left up to the Illinois Public Aid Department to assist
a person in filling out the application and determining
what programs they qualify for, rather than having them
going to two or three different offices."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #8. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", cpposed "no". The "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 792. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 792, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to prviate security agents and private security agencies. Third Beading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return the Bill to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 792, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Bonan."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ronan on Amendment #3."

- Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 was an Amendment worked out, because the Department of Registration and Education had some problems with the Bill. I agree to the Amendment, and I move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Ronan."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bonan, Amendment #4."

- Ronan: "I move for Amendment #4 the same reason. The Department of Registration and Education had a problem with the Bill.

  I move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #4 he adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Ewing - Olson."

Speaker McPike: "Bepresentative Olson on Amendment #5.

Representative Bonan."

72nd Legislative Day June 26, 1983

Ronan: "Amendment #5 is out of order. I move to table it."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #5. Further
Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Ewing - Olson."

Speaker McPike: "Bepresentative Olson, Amendment #6."

Olson: "It's out of order. Withdraw it, please."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, the Gentleman withdraws Amendment #6.

Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Beading. Senate Bill... Leave to...

Senate Bill 792 on Short Debate. Bearing no objections,

leave is granted. 792 on Short Debate. Senate Bill 800.

Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 800... Senate Bill 800, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to authorize the establishment of the Illinois Grain Insurance Program. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 lost in Committee... or

Committee Amendment 2 lost in Committee. Ploor Amendment
#3, Richmond."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Richmond on Amendment #3."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 800 is a very innocuous Amendment being offered for the purpose of keeping the Bill in a position in case it's needed to be in that particular position before the deadline while we go ahead with some meetings on it that we are striving for we're very near agreement on. I've discussed this with Mr. Vinson, and he has no objections. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- #3. On that, the Gentleman from McLean, Representative  ${\tt Ropp\_n}$
- Ropp: "I just wondered whether the Amendment was passed out. I haven't seen it, but if it... "

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ropp: "Okay."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?'.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed, 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker McPike: "Senate Bill 477, Representative Davis. I'm sorry. Senate Bill 800. Third Reading. Senate Bill 477, Representative Davis. Read the Bill, Br. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 477, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to taxation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Cullerton."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton on Amendment #1.

  Representative Breslin asks leave to bandle Amendment #1

  for Representative Cullerton. Are there any objections?

  Hearing none, leave is granted. Representative...

  Representative Breslin at Representative Cullerton's desk."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen.

  Amendment #1 adds \*knowingly\* to the Bill. I move for its

  adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #1.

  Are there any objections? Is there any discussion, rather?

  There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed, "no". The "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Stuffle - Woodyard."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stuffle on Asendment #2."

Stuffle: "Withdraw Amendment #2, please."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment \$2. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Davis."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Davis on Amendment #3."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 talks about transportation companies that have services for hire that, in the direct chain of doing auxiliary business, have to have indirect or direct control of 100 percent of any voting stock of another corporation for apportionment purposes for income tax."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? On that, Representative Cullerton. Representative Breslin at Representative Cullerton's desk."

Breslin: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will."

Breslin: "Representative, why are you increasing to 100 percent the ownership of stock before the cumer... before common ownership or control test is satisfied? Traditionally, it's 50 percent."

Davis: "That's true."

Breslin: "Why are you increasing it to 100 percent, when the common situation is 50 percent? And doesn't that have an effect on the tax revenues, eventually, of the state?"

Davis: "Yes, it does, a positive effect."

Breslin: "What's the positive effect?"

Davis: "In that it cuts down the number of transportation companies for hire that can take advantage of this."

Breslin: "It cuts down on the number of transportation companies

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

that what?

Davis: "That... That would be able to take advantage of apportionment."

Breslin: "So you believe that this will lead to an increase in taxation. Is that correct?"

Davis: "I believe it, at this point, to be the case, yes."

Breslin: "Thank you,"

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1119. The Gentleman asks leave to keep Senate Bill 477 on Short Debate. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 477, Short Debate. Senate Bill 1119. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1119, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Third Beading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return the Bill to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 1119, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, McCracken."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken on Amendment #2."

McCracken: "I move to withdraw Amendment #2, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Klenm."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Klemm on Amendment #3."

Klemm: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 - Currently, townships and counties are able to use their motor fuel tax funds that were appropriated back in 1979 for improvements

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

to non-dedicated subdivision roads. Amendment #3 would simply allow counties, townships and municipalities to use any new motor fuel tax monies for the same purposes, and I ask for its adoption.

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed, "no". The "ayes" have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, McCracken."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken on Amendment #4."

McCracken: "Move to withdraw Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #4. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave for the Bill to remain... Correct that. The Bill is on Third Reading, Full Debate. Representative McCracken, for what purpose do you rise?"

McCracken: "Ask leave of the Body to bring a Bill back to Second Reading to table an Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "If you could bring that request up here, we will take a look at it. Representative Giorgi, for what reason do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make an announcement about the party at the mansion this evening."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Giorgi: "I've been notified that the... the security guards at the mansion will not let you in without a ticket, so you'll have to ask for Representative Nash to be identified if you've misplaced your ticket."

Speaker McPike: "The Chair would like to move the rest of the

72nd Legislative Day

- June 26, 1983
- Appropriations Bills at this time, so we will return to page seven of the Calendar. Senate Bills Third Beading. Senate Bill 391. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 391, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission. Third Beading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is the Industrial Commission Budget in Senate Bill 391 appropriates \$4,405,900 for the Fiscal Year \*84 expenses. House Amendments reduced \$25,500 from the Bill as it passed the Senate. The Fiscal Year \*84 request is \$113,500 below the Fiscal Year \*83 appropriation. If there are no questions, I would recommend an \*aye\* vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for the passage of Senate Bill 391, and on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Bepresentative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. We have restored the money for the downstate hearing sites. I would ask for your "aye" vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Fill 391 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 107 'ayes', 1 'no', 3 voting 'present'. Senate Fill 351, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Fill 392, Representative Feilly. Read the Fill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 392, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Aging. Third Beading of the Bill."

  Speaker McPike: "Representative Reilly."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 392 appropriates the operating funds for the Department on Aging. The Bill now stands at \$74,217,400, which is just a few dollars above the doomsday level. I would move passage of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 392. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 392 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk take the record. On this Bill, there are 110 'ayes', 1 \*no\*, none voting \*present\*. Senate Bill 392. having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 393, Representative Beilly. Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 393, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Reilly."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 393 appropriates the operating funds for the Department of Children and Pamily Services. The Bill now stands at \$199,484,600. I would be glad to answer questions. Otherwise, I would move for passage of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 393. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, "Shall Senate Bill 393 pass?". All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed vote "no". Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 108 "ayes", no "nays", 2 voting "present". Senate Bill 393, having received a

72nd Legislative Day

- June 26, 1983
- Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate
  Bill 394. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 394, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate
  Bill 394 as it arrived from the Senate was \$102,483,500 for
  the Department of Law Enforcement, and it has now been
  reduced to \$101,429,000. If there are no questions, I
  would recommend an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 394. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 394 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 109 'ayes', 1 'nay', none voting 'present', and Senate Bill 394, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 395, Representative Barnes. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 395, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Military and Naval Department. Third Beading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the budget for the Military and Naval Department arrived from the Senate, and Senate Bill 395, with \$7,383,700, and it has been reduced to \$6,985,600. If there are no questions, I would recommend an "aye" vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Bill 395. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 395 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 100 'ayes', 4 'nos', 4 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 395, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 398, Representative Feilly. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 398, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Rehabilitation Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 398 appropriates funds for the operation of the Department of Rehabilitation Services. We changed it around a good deal in Committee to the displeasure of the Department in some cases. The new Bill totals \$102,602,217. I'd be glad to answer questions. Otherwise, I'd move for passage of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 398. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On this, I would just like to reassure Members of the House that we have provided adequately for the schools for the deaf, the schools for the blind, Illinois Children's School and Behabilitation Center, and the Illinois Visually Handicapped Institute, all of which, I believe, Members have received a substantial amount of mail, but they are adequately provided for in this budget. We did add money back for them."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, one question. Representative Reilly, in the line for Rehabilitation Services Bureau, what's the highest... what are the highest contracts, or what are the most exorbitant expenditures in that...?"

Reilly: "Contracts for direct care, I'm told."

Giorgi: "Isn°t that the group that does the... the re-evaluation of the people that are dropped from SSI? Isn°t that the same group?"

Reilly: "No. No. That's a separate department which is basically federal funded called Disability Adjudication."

Giorgi: "Is that in this budget?"

Reilly: "Yes. It's federal money, but it's in this budget."

Giorgi: "I'm getting my signals from my Leader."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Morgan to close, Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "I'd just ask for a favorable Boll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 398. The guestion is, "Shall Senate Bill 398 pass?".

All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed vote "no". Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 98 'ayes', 1 'no", 8 voting 'present". Senate Bill 398, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 399, Representative Reilly. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 399, a Eill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Veterans' Affairs and for a veterans' memorial. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill appropriates the operating dollars for

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

the Department of Veterans' Affairs. The Bill now stands at \$19,153,100. I'd be glad to answer questions. Otherwise, I'd ask for passage of the Bill."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 399. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Senate Eill 399 pass?". All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed vote "no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Eill, there are 106 "ayes", 2 "nos", 1 voting "present". Senate Bill 399, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 401, Bepresentative Reilly. Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 401, a Fill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Universities' Civil Service System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Reilly."

- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 401 provides the operating money for the State Universities' Civil Service Herit Board. The Bill totals \$617,400, which is the FY '83 spending level. I'd be glad to answer guestions. Otherwise, I'd move for passage of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 401. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Senate Bill 401 pass?". All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed vote "no". Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 108 "ayes", no "nays", none voting "present". Senate Bill 401, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 407, Representative Feilly. Fead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 407, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Third Beading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Beilly."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Last Eill, I hope the last Bill on Approp., anyway. Senate Eill 407, despite the title, provides the operating expenses for the Department of Public Aid. The Bill came out of Committee at \$3,3,981,782. That is at the... considerably below the House Republican Policy Committee level. It is above the doomsday level. I would move for passage of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 407. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 407 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 87 'ayes', 17 'nos', 6 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 407, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair has a few requests to return Eills to Second Reading for Amendments, and we would like to accommodate those. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"

McCracken: "Senate Eill 581, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker McPike: "Senate Bill 581. Bead the Fill, Mr. Clerk."

  Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 581, a Bill for an Act to amend the

  Code of Civil Frocedure. Third Beading of the Bill."
- McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to return the Bill to Second Reading to table Amendment #1."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return Senate Bill 581 to Second Reading. Is there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 581, Second Reading.

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Representative McCracken on a Motion."

- McCracken: "I move to table House Amendment #1 to Senat∈ Bill 581."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 
  Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's tabled. Any further Motions?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Beading. Bepresentative Levin on Senate Bill 418. Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Levin: "Would move for leave to bring this back to Second Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 418, a Bill for an Act in relation to condominiums. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Levin: "Mr. Speaker, I would move for leave... "
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return Senate Bill 418 to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Is there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 418, Second Beading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Levin Karpiel."
- Speaker McPike: "Sepresentative Karpiel on Amendment #4-Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is purely a technical Amendment. It removes the reference to a Section it would have been a new Section of the Condominium Property Act, which was deleted by another Amendment from another Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion?"
- Levin: "4."
- Speaker McPike: "Put #4 on the board, Mr. Clerk. The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. Is there any

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave for Senate Bill 418 to remain on the Order of Short Debate. Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Senate Bill 418, Third Reading, Short Debate. Bepresentative... yes, let me. Excuse me. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Chair announced this when we first started today, and I will make a second announcement. If you traveled home over the weekend, in order to get travel pay, you need to come to the well and fill out the proper form. Representative Breslin at Representative Cullerton's desk for a Motion."
- Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Fursuant to Bule 12 (c), I
  move that the Special Order of Eusiness, Subject Matter
  Workers Rights be modified by continuing the Special Order
  until Monday, June 27, at the hour of 10:00 a.s."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to extend the Special Order of Business, Subject Matter Workers' Rights until tomorrow, Monday, June 27, at the hour of 10:00 a.m. Are there any... Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the Motion pass?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. Subject Matter Workers' Bights will appear on the Calendar tomorrow, June 27, at the hour of 10:00 a.m. Representative Breslin on a second Motion."
- Breslin: "Again pursuant to Rule 12 (c), I move that the Special Order of Business, Subject Matter Economic Recovery be modified by continuing the Special Order until Monday, June 27, at the hour of 11:00 a.m. 11:00 a.m. for Economic Recovery."

72nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to continue the Special Order of Business, Subject Matter Economic Recovery until tomorrow, Monday, June 27, at the hour of 11:00 a.m. Is there any discussion? There being none, the guestion is, "Shall the Motion carry?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it. The Motion carries. Subject Matter Economic Recovery will appear on tomorrow's Calendar, June 27, at the hour of 11:00 a.m. Any announcements? Any announcements? Representative Giorgi on the adjournment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, does the Clerk need any time?"

Speaker McPike: "No."

Giorgi: "I move the House do now adjourn until Monday, June 27, at 9:00 a.m."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 9:00 a.m. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it. The Motion carries. The House stands adjourned."

12nd Legislative Day

June 26, 1983

Clerk, read the Bill."

ipeaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, the
Minority Leader. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, will take
that Bill. Thank you, Ms. Barnes."

House. Senate Bill 498 appropriates 281,900 dollars from the General Revenue Fund for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Judicial Inquiry Board. If there aren't any questions, I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

ipeaker Greiman: "Lady moves for passage of Senate Bill 498. On
that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Leverenz."

heverenz: "Yes, would the Lady respond to questions?"

larnes: "Yes, I will."

Leverenz: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

ipeaker Greiman: "Indicates she will."

Severenz: "How many inquiries did they have?"

Three resigned before a hearing was taken. Three were removed from office. Seven were suspended without pay for periods ranging from one month to one year. One Judge then resigned. Three were censured, and six were reprimanded. The Illinois Supreme Court, on appeal, then expunged one suspension, Representative."

Leverenz: "I think that's very important that the Membership give
their attention to that, especially the press. Many times
our Judiciary have been maligned. Could you repeat those
answers on how many inquiries and the disciplinary action?"

3arnes: "Well, they had proposed charges. When you say total, you must mean the proposed charges again 91 Judges had been

against

47

01/04/84 10:35

### STATE OF ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 26, 1983

	·		
HB-2286	MOTION	PAGE	3
SB-0249	OTHER	PAGE	2
SB-0389	THIRD READING	PAGE	4
SB-0390	THIRD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0391	THIRD READING	PAGE	87
SB-0392	THIRD READING	PAGE	87
	THIRD READING	PAGE	88
	THIRD READING	PAGE	89
SB-0395	THIRD READING	PAGE	89
SB-0398	THIRD READING	PAGE	90
	THIRD READING	PAGE	91
SB-0401	THIRD READING	PAGE	92
SB-0407	THIRD READING	PAGE	93
SB-0418	RECALLED	PAGE	94
SB-0437	THIRD READING	PAGE	18
SB-0459	THIRD READING	PAGE	28
SB-0463	THIRD READING	PAGE	29
SB-0477	SECOND READING	PAGE	83
SB-0481	THIRD READING	PAGE	44
SB-0498	THIRD READING	PAGE	47
SB-0581	RECALLED	PAGE	93
SB-0613	RECALLED	PAGE	66
SB-0620	RECALLED	PAGE	67
SB-0620	RECALLED	PAGE	74
	RECALLED	PAGE	69
	THIRD READING	PAGE	49
	THIRD READING	PAGE	51
	THIRD READING	PAGE	55
	RECALLED	PAGE	71
	THIRD READING	PAGE	5 <b>7</b>
	RECALLED	PAGE	63
	RECALLED	PAGE	81
	SECOND READING	PAGE	82
	RECALLED	PAGE	70
	RECALLED	PAGE	76
	RECALLED	PAGE	85
	THIRD READING	PAGE	58
	RECALLED	PAGE	60
	SECOND READING	PAGE	65
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	62
	RECALLED	PAGE	61
SB-1264	RECALLED	PAGE	62

### SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE A	1CPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER - FATHER JOHN BEVERIDGE		PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE		PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE		PAGE	2
MESSAGE FROM SENATE		PAGE	3
REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN IN CHAIR		PAGE	4
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN CHAIR		PAGE	64
ADJOURNMENT		PAGE	96