135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. Members will be in their seats. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Darrell B. Harrison, Pastor, First Christian Church of Canton. Reverend Harrison is a guest of Representative Tom Homer. Will the guests in the balcony please rise to join us in the invocation?"

Reverend Harrison: "Let us pray. Almighty God, You have blessed us with religious and civil liberties. We thank You now for our ideals of freedom and faith, for the courageous men and women who have won for us free institutions, and for those who are still striving to protect that liberty. now, our Father, we pray for all people who hold public office and especially for these who have been chosen by the people of Illinois to rule over and serve them. They are entrusted with great power and great responsibilities for the lives, the well-being and the happiness of millions of men, women and children. Grant that the Members of this House will remember their sacred trust and their obligation to serve our people and You, our God, with vision, courage and uprightness. We praise You for the spirit of democracy which brought forth our great nation. Help us, that we may never spoil or lose this precious heritage through greed or power, but may we keep it strong by the dedications of our lives to this cause. Let Your spirit upon the hearts and the minds of everyone here today rest to guide, to strengthen and to give vision and courage. Help us, that we might make Illinois a place where all may dwell together in prosperity, in peace and safety all the days of our lives. Amen."

Speaker McPike: "We will be lead in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States

135th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1984
- of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. 113 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative Neff is excused today because of illness?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yes. Representative Greiman, do you have any excused absences? Thank you. Messages."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Governor to the honorable Members of the House of Representatives of the 83rd General Assembly, pursuant to Article IV, Section 3(d) of Illlinois Constitution of 1970. "I hereby veto and return the items set forth from House Bill 2711 entitled, making certain appropriations and amends various Acts therein named. Sincerely, James R. Thompson, Governor. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed the Bill of the following title, and passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House Representatives, to wit: Senate Bill #1893, passed by the Senate June 14, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. \*\*
- Speaker McPike: "Page two of the Calendar, Senate Bills Third Reading, Short Debate appears Senate Bill 1602, Representative Nautino. Call... Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1602, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Criminal Code of 1961. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill \$602, which came out of the

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Senate with a 9 - 0 Committee vote and a 53 - 0 Senate House vote... Senate floor vote, amends the Criminal Code to provide civil liability for deceptive practices as it pertains to bad checks. It has been amended with an agreed Amendment by Representative Cullerton and Johnson which was Amendment #1 and 2, and basically it sets forth the provisions, if someone issues a check knowing full well that the amount is not covered by the bank or that there is no account in the facility in which the individual draws. It allows for treble damages and attorneys and court costs as well, and I ask for your favorable support."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 1602. On that, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."
- Dunn, J.: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I reluctantly have to rise in opposition to this legislation. If a person is in debt to someone and doesn't write a check and just has an outstanding bill, the worst that can happen them is to go to court, be taken to court, be sued in a small claims court, probably for payment of the amount due and owed. Under this legislation, however, if you issue a check, and it bounces, and remembering that a check is simply a written promise to pay, then all the payee has to do is send you a notice to pay it within 30 days. a civil matter you are then liable for treble damages with a minimum of \$100 and a maximum of \$500 plus attorneys. fees and court costs. I don't like bad checks any more than anyone else, but I think this is a particularly difficult piece of legislation in its present form. great respect for the Sponsor, but I would certainly ask that the Membership oppose this legislation at this time."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino, to close."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to the previous speaker's concerns, Representative Dunn, please be advised that Amendment #1 was adopted which addresses your concern because... I'm sorry, Amendment #2, which addresses the question of an individual being convicted of the offense of...deceptive practices in connection with that check, as well as the provisions that the individual who he wrote the check to must accept the reimbursement. So I think that both of your concerns are... were adopted, and I think it's a good piece of legislation. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 1602. The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 1602 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Representative Piel, to explain his vote. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 103 'ayes', 1 'no', none voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1602, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Piel, for what reason do you rise?"
- Piel: "Just for information from the Clerk, Mr. Speaker. We've changed sponsorships on two Bills. There's two appropriation Bills. Senate Bill 1551, of which I was the Sponsor, is now being handled by Representative Barnes, and also Senate Bill 1577 is now going to be handled by Representative Barnes."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, if you'd make that notation. Senate
  Bill 1781, Representative Markette. Read the Bill, Mr.
  Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1781, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Job Training Coordinating Council. Third Reading of the Bill."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Markette."

Markette: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate Bill 1781 is an Act to create the Illinois Job Training Coordinating Council. Its purpose is to promote integration of employment and training programs at the state level, to further cooperation between government and the private sector in meeting Illinois employers needs for well trained workers, and Illinois workers needs for jobs to provide oversight to programs to meet federal regulation. The council would consist of 45 members appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. The members of the council shall be for terms of two years expiring on July 1st. The plans and decisions of the council should be subjected to approval by the Governor. In order to assure objective management and oversight of the council, shall not operate programs or provide services that ... eligible participants or shall exist only to plan, coordinate and monitor the provisions of such programs and services. This Bill is a Bill which was similar to Senate Bill 1001, which was vetoed by the Governor last year. This Bill now is in agreement with the Governor as well as DCCA, and as well as the Chairman of the Illinois Job Training Coordinating Council, Charles Currie. I ask my colleagues, all the Members of the House, for an 'aye' vote on Senate Bill 1781."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1781. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "She indicates she will."

Ropp: "I guess I just have one question. I've never seen a coordinating council or a committee with 45 members ever

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

get much of anything accomplished. It seems like that's too many. Why was the figure '45' a part of this council? I mean, it's always a hard enough time to even get a quorum lots of times in a 10 Nember House Committee, without considering a 45 member council."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Markette."

Markette: "Representative Ropp, this is to meet the federal guidelines."

Ropp: "This meets the federal guidelines?"

Markette: "Federal guidelines."

Speaker McPike: "Further questions? Being none, Representative Markette, to close."

Markette: "I encourage an 'aye' vote on Senate Bill 1781." Speaker McPike: "Representative, is this your first Bill?"

Markette: "Yes, it is."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. The Lady has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1781. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1781 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'ave', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 84 'ayes', 18 'nos', 1 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1781, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1797, Representative Currie. Is the Lady in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1879, Representative Vinson. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1911, Representative Homer. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1911, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to community currency exchange and ambulatory currency exchanges. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker HcPike: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative

Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate

## 135th Legislative Day

June 19. 1984

1911 addresses a situation that arose with regard to Bill the bankruptcy of 33 currency exchanges in the Illinois, and the issue is whether the currency exchange bankruptcy should be handled in Federal Bankruptcy Court or whether it should be handled by the Director of Financial Institutions in the State of Illinois through the Circuit Court for all other banking institutions, such as state and national banks, as well as savings and loans. The Director of Financial Institutions has delegated the authority to handle the bankruptcy, but currency exchanges are a hybrid authority. And this is to clarify that, in fact, currency exchanges are to be handled by the Director of Financial Institutions in the same manner as the other institutions. know of no opposition to the Bill, and I would ask for your support."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1911. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1911 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 101 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1311, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Currie, we just passed your Bill. Bill 1797. Did you wish to call it? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1930, Representative Ewing. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1930, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative
Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

does two major things. It, first of all, brings the Illinois Income Tax Law in compliance or in comformity with the recent changes for 'Sub S' corporations which are corporations used by many small businesses that are taxed like partnerships. The federal tax law was changed, and the major part of this Bill brings us into conformity with the changes in the federal law. The second part of this Bill, which will benefit Illinois, is that those who are nonresidents of Illinois who earn money through 'Sub S' corporations have been allowed to take a credit against other state taxes paid, and, therefore, have paid less Illinois tax. This will require that they pay Illinois tax on the money earned by a 'Sub S' corporation in Illinois. I would move for its passage."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 1930. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1930 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 99 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1930, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1936, Representative Terzich. Do you wish to have your Bill called, Sir? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1936, a Bill for an Act in relation to the applicability of the Replacement Vehicle Tax. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, last Session we passed a Bill with regard to the state and local replacement vehicle tax provision and the state occupational use tax. This Bill came from the Department of Revenue, and it simply applies

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

only to that portion of the price in the replacement vehicle paid by the insurance company in settlement of a total loss claim, but not more than that portion of the purchase price. The Bill came out of Committee 15 to nothing. I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 1936. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Terzich: "Yes."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Brummer: "Is... is there any revenue loss as a result of this?"

Terzich: "From what I understand, we passed the Bill last year, and this is simply a correctional change, that the revenue loss was a very minimal amount, I think, of less than \$50,000."

Brummer: "And that revenue loss is to the state or to units of local government?"

Terzich: "I believe it was to the state. It was a very insignificant amount. The Bill passed quite substantially in the last Session. This is simply, you know, a correction type of a Bill."

Brummer: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Indicates he will."

Dunn, J.: "Does this apply only to passenger cars?"

Terzich: "I... I believe it does. Yes, when the insurance companies had a loss, it was from my understanding that there was a difference between the... that they had to pay sales tax on the replacement of the automobile from the insurance company, and this applied for a regular amount, I believe, of \$200."

135th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1984
- Dunn, J.: "And why doesn't this include trucks? Does it mean that the insurance company will have to pay sales tax on replacement for trucks or not?"
- Terzich: "I believe it excluded ... it limited the tax..."
- Dunn, J.: "For what? What, for trucks... on replacement of trucks?"
- Terzich: "Yes. I do not believe it applies to the trucks."
- Dunn, J.: "So... but I don't know what the current law is. Do they have to pay sales tax or not?"
- Terzich: "I don't know. I mean if you don't know, I don't know either."
- Dunn, J.: "And what... then what does this Bill do? Does it remove an existing tax on passenger cars?"
- Terzich: "From what I understand, we passed a Bill last Session.

  It did not remove. What it did is, it put a cap, I believe, of \$200 tax on the replacement automobile."
- Dunn, J.: "Well, the current law indicates that the cap is on motor vehicles, and what I can't tell in the cursory examination of the legislation or from my analysis is... is whether we are reducing the tax that insurance companies have to pay or increasing it, and I think we ought to know that before we vote on this Bill."
- Terzich: "Well, I understand that, number one, the Bill is already passed. This is simply a clarification that was put by the Department of Revenue was the Sponsor of this Bill. It's an administration Bill. This Act was passed last Session, and I believe there was a revenue loss of approximately \$50,000."
- Dunn, J.: "There will be a revenue loss?"
- Terzich: "There already is, or there was an adjustment of approximately \$50,000 throughout the state."
- Dunn, J.: "Well, as a result of limiting this legislation to passenger cars instead of the broader definition, \*motor

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

vehicle,\* will anyone pay less sales tax than they did before?"

Terzich: "I believe they would."

for passenger cars."

Dunn, J.: "And who will pay less, the insurance companies?"

Terzich: "No, there wouldn't be any additional sales tax put on it. There would be a cap of \$200. There was a question of whether or not it replaces the tax applied to passenger cars, not motor vehicles, and 'passenger cars' is defined in the Illinois Vehicle Code as 'a motor vehicle of the first division including multipurpose passenger vehicles designed to carry not more than ten persons' in contrast to motor vehicles... not only means first division vehicles but also second division vehicles which includes trucks, buses and motor homes. The... this Bill proposes to statutorily incorporate what the Department of Revenue promulgated by rule and regulation following the enactment of this program. And so, it requires that the replacement tax apply to cars purchased in Illinois. So it would be

Dunn, J.: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the if this Bill exempts insurance companies from the payment of sales tax, I think we should oppose it. If you buy a car, no one asks us why we have to buy a car, whether our children need it to drive to work, or whether we need it to drive to work, whether it's for pleasure purposes, whether it's for business purposes. All the State of Illinois says is, 'You bought a car. Pay the sales tax.' I don't know why insurance companies should be treated any differently. If they have to buy a car, let them pay the sales tax. If they don't have to buy a car, then they don't pay the sales tax. The original concept may be in the books now, but it looks like we're giving someone another break to exempt it from payment of sales tax, and

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

whenever we create a hole in revenue in the state government you know who picks up the tab, it's you and me. So if we don't get a break when we buy a car, I don't know why anyone else should, and I think we should oppose this legislation."

- Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Terzich, to close."
- Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Dunn has got this wrong in his mind that we did pass the Bill. It did pass the Senate 56 to nothing. What the current Bill stipulates, it's the replacement vehicle tax, which imposes a state tax of \$200 on any motor vehicle purchased on or on behalf of an insurance company replace a vehicle of an insured person in settlement of total loss claim, and a total loss means an automobile which is destroyed and stolen. Anybody who has purchased an automobile is already paying the sales tax. This is simply a replacement of a stolen or destroyed automobile. The ... again ... that the Bill passed last Session. Bill was proposed by the Department of Revenue. Them did not receive any unfavorable votes both in the Senate nor in the House Committee, and it's simply a correctional change. And I would appreciate support."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1936. The question is 'Shall Senate Bill 1936 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Brookins, to explain his vote."
- Brookins: "Yes, to explain my vote. I just lost an automobile, and if this law was in effect, then that would mean that \$800 I would not be receiving because the insurance company would not have to pay me the sales tax to replace the car that I lost. So this is not a good deal."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 31 'ayes', 8 'nos', 8 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1936, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1945, Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes, do you wish to have your Bill called? Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1945, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1945 amends the Environmental Protection Act, provides that the IEPA may issue permits with authorized owners and operators of treatment storage and disposable facilities to receive agency approved categories of waste from more than one generator. If there aren't any questions, I would ask for an 'aye' yote."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1945. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1945 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 109 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1945, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1950, Bepresentative Breslin. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1950, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This

Bill is similar to a Bill that has already passed out of

135th Legislative Day

June 19. 1984

the House which allows the Pollution Control Board or any court of confident jurisdiction to award attorneys' fees and costs plus the reasonable costs of expert witnesses and consultants in the prosecution of Environmental Protection Act cases. This Bill has been amended to take care of concerns of many of the manufacturers of the State of Illinois that requires that this Bill would only be imposed... attorneys' fees and costs plus witness fees would only be imposed against a person who has committed a willful, knowing or repeated violation of the Act. I think that Amendment is appropriate, and I would ask for your acceptance of this Bill, I believe, and in even better form than it was originally."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1950. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1950 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 103 'ayes', 4 'nos', none voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1950, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1957, Representative Friedrich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Wait a minute, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I believe I better hold that until Representative Cullerton approves."

Speaker NcPike: "Out of the record. Page two of the Calendar,
Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 450,
Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi?
Representative Giorgi in the chamber? Out of the record.
Senate Bill 1217, Representative Nash. Read the Bill, Mr.
Clerk. Excuse me, Representative Giorgi has returned to
the chamber. Senate Bill 450. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 450, a Bill for an Act to amend

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Sections of the Illinois Optometric Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave of the House to move Senate

Bill 450 back to the Order of Second Reading for the
purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return 450 to the Order of Second Reading. Is there any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. Senate Bill 450, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Vinson."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson, Amendment #1."

Vinson: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 450 is an agreed by the Illinois Optometric Society, the Illinois State Medical Society and the Illinois Ophthalmological Association. The Amendment incorporates and virtually becomes the Bill. The Amendment creates a new process, a new board called the technical review board composed of three medical doctors and three optometric doctors which will handle the certification process for those optometrists who become qualified to administer diagnostic pharmaceutical agents. That board is a board which has both optometric and medical participation and experience. It certifies, and it determines any new drugs which can be added to the formulary in this... in this matter. The ... language of the Amendment contains an explicit the prohibition on the use, by optometrists - by certified optometrists, of these drugs for therapeutic purposes. Similarly, it permits certain specified drugs to administered for examining purposes. I stand prepared to answer any questions in regard to the Amendment, and would urge your support for the Amendment."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. On that the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Preston: This Bill and, indeed, this Amendment, I think, House. one of the most important pieces of legislation that we have looked at this year, maybe in the last few years. should not confuse ourselves with the idea that this is an agreement between optometrists and ophthalmologists based the merits of this Amendment because that is not the case. The associations have come to some agreement, agreement was based on the fact that the Motion to that take from the table was successful last week which ophthalmologists into having to accept some agreement or they re going to loss the whole thing. This is fight between two lobbying organizations, the optometrists and ophthalmologists, at all. This is a substantive of legislation that impacts upon everyone in the State of Illinois, every child, every adult in this state. is simply put, whether or not optometrists have the training or will obtain the training..."

- Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Representative Preston.

  Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"
- Cullerton: "I apologize to Representative Preston for interrupting him. Mr. Speaker, I don't believe this Amendment has been printed."
- Speaker McPike: "Have they been printed? No, the Amendment is not printed. Mr. Clerk, can you ascertain if the Amendment has been printed and distributed? The Amendment has not been printed or distributed. Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I understand that the Republicans are waving Amendments in the air, which indicates that perhaps they have been

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

printed and distributed to the Republicans but not to the Democrats. I think it would be a bad precedent if we were to allow this Bill to be debated when they had the copy of the Amendment in front of them, and we did not. If anything, it should be the other way around."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton, there seems to be no explanation as to how that could happen. Bepresentative Vinson perhaps has the... a wrong Amendment. Perhaps it's not the Amendment that we're debating. The Gentleman asks leave to return Senate Bill 450 to Third Reading. The Amendment has not been distributed. Senate Bill 450 will be moved back to Third Reading. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to offer, before you did that, to read the Amendment to Representative Cullerton so he would understand it in detail. But now, since you've done that, I would simply ask you to ask the Clerk to do that as quickly as possible."
- Speaker McPike: "As soon as the Amendment is printed, it will certainly be distributed. Senate Bill 1217, Representative Nash. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1217, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Nash."

  Nash: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ask

leave to bring this back to Second Reading for the purpose of a technical Amendment, Amendment #8."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return Senate Bill 1217 to Order of Second Reading. Is there any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Nash - Olson."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Nash, Amendment #8."

Nash: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #8 is a technical Amendment. When we tabled two Amendments

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

last week on this Bill, we need this language to put them in order. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #8. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave to have

Senate Bill 1217 heard at this time. Are there any
objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Read the
Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1217, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Nash."

Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1217 allows the establishment of primary elections and municipalities to populations of less than 5,000. It also permits precinct committeemen to register voters in the entire county and to challenge people who aren't registered there. Also requires that the clerks in downstate counties to publish only where the list of election judges is... is listed, and I move for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate
Bill 1217. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from
Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brunner: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Indicates he will."

Brummer: "Representative Nash, I'm sorry. It was kind of noisy back here, and I really could not hear what the explanation

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

of this Bill was. It... could you briefly review it? I see by the Calendar there are Amendments 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 were adopted, and we just adopted an additional Amendment. Is that correct?

Nash: "The Amendment we just adopted was a technical Amendment.

Amendment #1 permits poll watchers to cross county lines when a district runs across two lines. In other words, if your district went across two counties, the poll watcher must live in your district, but he can cross the county line to be a poll watcher. Another thing it does - the county clerks must publish a list of judges of election. It must publish notice in the newspaper of where the list is posted rather than the entire list, because some of the lists that are being published, you can hardly even read, the letters are so small..."

Brummer: "The county... excuse me... the county clerks currently publish a list of judges now, right?"

Nash: "Yes, it does, but in some smaller counties they publish a list of election judges. It's so small that no one can read it. So, all they have to do is publish where they have the list posted."

Brummer: "So, it would eliminate the requirement of publishing the list of election judges?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi."

Nash: "That was Representative Giorgi's Amendment. I'll let him explain it. Mr. Speaker, I yield to Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, all this Amendment does is, it publishes, in the newspaper of note in any region, that the names of the clerks of the election and judges of the election will be on display at the board of elections commissioner'ss office for anyone's approval for 30 days from 9 to 5 rather than publishing it because they print it in such small printing that people can't read it anyway."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- Nash: "Excuse me. So, this will eliminate the requirement of the publication of the names that occurs under the existing law."
- Giorgi: "It... they'll be... they'll be on display at the board of elections office."
- Nash: "The question is, will it need to be published in the newspaper the names?"
- Giorgi: "It doesn't have to, no."
- Brummer: "Okay, thank you. Okay, what does Amendment #6 do?

  Sorry, Amendment #7."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Nash."
- Nash: "Amendment #7 lets precinct committeemen who can register
  voters throughout the county also challenge voters who
  don't live there."
- Brummer: "Okay, thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Nash, to close."
- Nash: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is... worked with the Republican side of the aisle. It's an agreed Bill, and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 1217. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1217 pass?'

  All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 101 'ayes', 5 'nos' and 1 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 1217, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Friedrich, for what reason do you rise?"
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask for a 30 minute recess for the purpose of Republican Conference in Room 118, immediately."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks for a Republican Conference

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

in Room 118. The House will stand in recess until the hour of 2:05."

- Friedrich: "I'd appreciate it if all Republicans would report to

  Room 118 as soon as possible."
- Speaker Greiman: "The House will come to order. The House will be in order. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bills First Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1893, a Bill for an Act creating the
  Tourism Fund in the State Treasury. First Reading of the
  Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Page four of the Calendar appears Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 495. Ms. Braun. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 626. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill \$179, Mr. Jaffe. Out of the record. On the ... I'd like to announce that Dr. K.C. Joseph, who is the Chief Whip of the Ruling United Democratic Front in India's Kerala Legislative Assembly is with us today in the chamber up in the gallery to the Speaker's right. He's a guest in Springfield of the United States Government, a participator in the International Visitors Program of the U. Information Agency, he's an accomplished physician and holds degrees from Kerala University, which is equivalent of our state in Calcutta. We welcome you, Sir. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1375, Mr. Tate. 1375? Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1375, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Is that some method of recognition that you are seeking, Mr. Tate?"

Tate: "Yes..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate, your microphone is on, Sir."

Tate: "Mr. Speaker, I d like to make a Motion to table Amendment

Speaker Greiman: "The Clerk advises us that there is no Amendment  $2 \mbox{.}^{\,\, n}$ 

Tate: "I mean, the Amendment 1 that was adopted two days ago."

Speaker Greiman: "No let's understand this... You have... you seek to table Amendment #1? Is that what you'd like to do?

.... Mr. Cullerton?"

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I understand that Amendment #1 was opposed by Representative Tate's seatmate, Representative Johnson, who I don't see here in the chambers this morning. I think it would make for a bad two weeks for Representative Tate if we were to table his seatmate's Bill... Amendment when he wasn't here. So perhaps we could... first of all... secondly, I think I heard the Chair indicate this Bill was on Third Reading."

The Bill is on Third Reading."

Cullerton: "So perhaps the..."

Tate: "Mr. Speaker, the Bill was on Second Reading, and I... I
was asked... I had my light on to be recognized to make the
Motion. And if you and I can entertain the Motion, I'd
like to hold the Bill on Second Reading."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate, you seek recognition, Sir?"

Speaker Greiman: "Well, the Bill is on Third Reading. I would make a... Mr. Tate, there was no Motion filed. We have a process here where one files a Motion to... to table. I understand this is your... your first term or so, but

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

that's not our process. I move the Bill, accordingly, Third Beading. The Bill is on Third Reading. I recognized you as a courtesy. My suggestion to you is that you sit down and talk to your seatmate. Perhaps you can work concerns with that. We'll get back to you. Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1395, Mr. McGann. Mr. McGann in the chamber? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1478. Out of the record. 1522-Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1524. Mr. Stuffle in the chamber? Stuffle? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1612. record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading. appears Senate Bill 1625. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1629, Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1631, Mr. Giorgi. Out of the record. Mr. Giorgi, 1631? Out the record. Mr. Giorgi, is 1631 out of the record? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1644, Mr. Stuffle. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Bill 1664, Ms. Oblinger. Would you like to proceed with that? Ms. Oblinger? Out of the record. We will now go to page two of the Calendar, Senate Bills Third Reading. This will be final action. Senate Bills Third Reading, and on that Order of Business appears Senate Bill 1382. Mr. Mautino, do you wish to proceed with 1382? Mr. Mautino, do you wish to proceed. Sir?"

Mautino: "Let's give it a run. Yes, Sir."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1382, a Bill for an Act to create and

135th Legislative Day

June 19. 1984

regulate transportation by rail of hazardous nuclear materials in the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1382 prohibits the rail transportation of hazardous nuclear material without an ICC permit. Currently, what we have in the State of Illinois is that 200 tons of spent nuclear fuel are expected to come the State of Illinois and be deposited at the General Electric Plant in Morris, Illinois. What this legislation do, will mandate that a permit first be obtained by the shipper, the carrier and the owner of the coming into the State of Illinois before actual shipment would start. The permit process addresses the question the safety factor as it pertains to the rail beds, the rails, the crossing situations and the cities in which it would be going through, which are basically in Illinois the City of Monmouth, Galesburg, Kevanee, Princeton. Mendota and Aurora and then into Morris, Illinois. definition of nuclear materials basically incorporates the federal law applied to radioactive materials. exempts those radioactive materials used for devices, such as pacemakers, x-ray machines, etcetera. What this legislation does is to mandate that the public health and safety of the citizens of the State of Illinois be provided if, in fact, this additional 200 tons come into our state. Currently, it's on the borders of our state. The 200 tons are being shipped in from the Nebraska Power Plant. and it will shipped Burlington-Northern, Elgin-Joliet and Eastern Railroads. I think it's important that we do have a new statute addressing the public health and safety for rail shipments.

## 135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

I would like to point out that in this state, a COMMOR carrier, which is any individual who is in the business of transporting any product, receives from the Commerce Commission a permit, whether that permit is to haul gravel from southern Illinois to northern Illinois. whether it is for hauling steel from Jones and Laughlin in Hennepin to the City of Chicago or the City of Springfield. If, in fact, all of those products which are moved by common carrier are under ICC permit, we feel that the nuclear waste products coming into the state should, well, have a permit for the transportation of that product in our state. It is also important to point out that have taken giant steps in the State of Illinois to set up the Hazardous Transportation Act under the Department Law Enforcement and Nuclear Safety as well as the EPA. Иe do not have anything on the books as it pertains to rail coming in to this state, and the current facility, I have been informed, holds approximately... will hold an additional 450 tons of products. And the state itself will generate 50 percent of that within the next five years. To provide an additional 200 tons of nuclear fuel in the State of Illinois would certainly cause, I think, a storage problem for the companies that do store at that facility from the State of Illinois. This is... There is no intention, with this legislation, to compound any contractual negotiations and contracts that have been signed between power companies outside the State of Illinois with General Electric. It is basically for the public health and safety of the citizens of this state. And I'd be happy to answer any questions on the legislation, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Bureau has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1382. Is there any discussion? The

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Mautino, there's a Supreme Court case entitled 'Pacific Gas and Electric versus State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission, that decision held that the Federal Supreme Court Government has occupied the entire field of nuclear safety concerns and that, accordingly, the states may not regulate hazards. We also had an Illinois Spent Fuel Act which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 7th Circuit struck down and held that the Atomic Energy Act preemts state regulation of the storage and shipment for interstate and intrastate alike, from spent nuclear fuel. So, the question is, does this Bill constitute state regulation of the shipment for storage of spent fuel within the context of the General Electric decision that I just referred to on that U.S. Court of Appeals decision? if it doesn't, could you tell me why it doesn't?"

Mautino: "Well, first and foremost, this legislation was drafted after the Sponsor of the prior legislation, which came under the scrutiny of the Supreme Court case, was notified that it was unconstitutional in its present form. This legislation was drafted to eliminate that constitutionality question. It has been provided to me that since Illinois regulates transportation of hazardous materials in this state, that this legislation, as drafted, would not fly in the face of the Supreme Court decision on this question. I do not happen to be an attorney, but this is the information I have received from one of the Senate Sponsors."

Cullerton: "Okay. The... This Bill defines hazardous nuclear

135th Legislative Day

June 19. 1984

material by reference to the Code of Federal Begulations. What I'd like to know is what is included within that definition. And I'll give you some examples of what might be concluded or excluded, and I want to know what your intent is with regard to this Bill. Do you intend to include or exclude the transportation of spent fuel by the U.S. Military, transportation of certain materials by any federal agencies like the Department of Energy, or the transportation of fresh fuel or uranium mine tailings?"

Mautino: "In response to your question. the EPA. the Environmental Protection Agency, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NRC, and the Nuclear Regulatory Agency all have rules and regulations and definitions as it pertains to spent fuel. As it pertains to the transportation of by the U.S. Military, that is considered radiated fuel. There are no provisions in this law to supersede the rules and regulations. Department οf Energy's The classifications are high, low and spent fuel provisions. Under this legislation, there is no restriction as it pertains to the U.S. Military, because that radiated fuel is guided by the rules and regulations of the NRC and the Your question as it pertains to any federal agency. specifically the Department of Energy, I'd like to point out that the number one carrier of products in this country is the post office. The U.S. Postal Service bans all the above mentioned classifications that you mentioned, and they are considered hazardous. The uranium mine tailings are considered mill tailings by the EPA. and the transportation of fresh fuel is called unradiated fuel. Therefore, there are no restrictions other than imposed by the United State's Department of Energy and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. There's nothing in this legislation that would change that."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- Cullerton: "Okay. If I could ask you another question. The Commerce Commission, under the Bill, would be allowed to make certain exemptions. What type of exemptions do you entail the Commerce Commission making? Would it exclude intrastate transportation? Would it exclude shipments by certain states, agencies or federal agencies? Is that what you had in mind when you allowed for the Commerce Commission to make certain exemptions?"
- Mautino: "Well, the exemptions that are made by the Commerce Commission are those based upon the Department of Energy, the Department of Nuclear Safety and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's findings. The exemptions, as they include, there would be no exemption for intrastate transportation. They would be covered totally by the legislation. it be moved from Monmouth, Illinois to Morris, Illinois, the permit would still be a necessity for that movement, and each individual Department would have their definitions as it pertains to exemption. The current exemption is authorized by the Department ... or the Illinois Commerce Commission only has to do with regulation, if a truck overweight, bringing that product into the State Illinois, under our Highway Code and ICC. I see no reason that there should be exemptions other than those proposed in the federal statutes."
- Cullerton: "Representative, you know that the Constitution basically stands for the proposition that you cannot impair a contract through the passage of any laws. Now, what would be the Bill's impact upon existing obligations of contracts which are in existence when the Bill becomes law, if it does become law?"
- Mautino: "There is no intention by the Senate Sponsors nor myself

  to place any existing contract or contracts in jeopardy if

  this Bill becomes law."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Cullerton: "The Bill requires permits and a public hearing procedure. Now, how would this... how would this work with regard to the existing shipments? Would there... Would there be a moratorium on existing shipments, or..."

Mautino: "The permit process would be no different for this product than it would be for grain, or coal, or gasoline, or gravel or steel. The permitting process notice is given, a public hearing is held, and the permit is obtained denied based upon the findings. That would not change for the rail, as it is now in effect for every other common carrier. I do believe that you maybe don't understand that anything that moves by common carrier in this state have a permit. It is not a hardship, number one. number two, the longevity of spent nuclear fuel is about what I respond to your question in that years. So. regard is that this certainly wouldn't curtail anything, because we're not going to be around for the end of that 3000 year period. But, in fact, precautions should be provided."

Cullerton: "Okay. One more question. How much do you think the permit application fee will be?"

Mautino: "That we've left pretty well open in the Bill so that the determination could be made by the Commerce Commission.

I don't have an honest evaluation at this time."

Cullerton: "Thank you for attempting to answer my questions."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Winson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I rise in opposition to this Bill. First of all, I do so on the grounds that this Bill is totally, absolutely and beyond any doubt, unconstitutional. Some years ago, the Illinois General Assembly chose to attempt to prohibit the importation of spent nuclear fuel in Illinois. At that time, it was argued on this floor that

## 135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

that prohibition was unconstitutional. The Court so that prohibition to be unconstitutional. And, as a matter of fact, the Court said, in the course of its findings. that Bill was unconstitutional, that the Federal Government had abrogated all regulation of this manner that the states could not regulate this matter. Mr. Speaker, I would call the attention of the Assembly to language in the Bill on the first page of the Bill that would require... that says that the State of Illinois should regulate nuclear fuel transportation. That is clearly unconstitutional, under the precise terms of the decision which held our last action in this field to be unconstitutional. Now, if it were simply a matter... if it were simply a matter of saying we could pass this Bill up to the Federal Courts and give the Attorney General 200,000 dollars to waste on attorneys fighting this matter, trying to get the Court to change its mind, then I might view it somewhat differently. Mr. Speaker, it is a very differnt matter. We live in a society that relies electrical energy. And in this society, in this particular state, we are the biggest single producer of electrical energy by nuclear power in the world. Our entire economy on that particular form of energy. Now, Mr. Speaker, what you're saying, if you vote for this Bill, you want to cut our living standards, you want to cut our jobs, you want to cut our ability to continue having an effective, growing economy, because that's the only thing this Bill can do. Now, Mr. Speaker, one other thing you're going to do with this Bill, you're going to impose enormous costs on truckers and on railroads in this state, as they first attempt, as they initially must do to comply with this thing.. this Bill, as they then fight the application of this Bill in the courts. You're going to impose

135th Legislative Day

Speaker Greiman:

June 19, 1984

hardships on railroad labor and hardships on teamsters as well, because they're not going to have the jobs they would otherwise have. This is a very bad Bill. This Bill goes the quality of life of every one of your constituents. This Bill goes at their standard of living, and, yes, Bill strikes right at the heart of jobs in transportation industry, railroad workers and teamsters. We ought to reject this Bill not only because it's unconstitutional, but also because it's just plain bad policy. I urge a 'no' vote and request a verification."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Marshall, Ms. Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Hould the Sponsor please yield for a guestion?"

"Indicates that he will."

Koehler: "Thank you. Representative Mautino, in the preamble of this legislative Article it states, "It is also the finding of the General Assembly that federal regulation alone may not adequately control such threat and that regulation by the state is necessary to fully protect the interests of the people of Illinois." Now, when Senator Rock was with you in our Committee hearing, I asked him this guestion, and I did not feel that he gave a very specific answer to it. So, now I would like to ask you the question. What specific federal regulation is not adequate?"

Mautino: "Individual divisions and departments of the Federal Government define hazardous... nuclear waste in different terms. Okay? The adequacy, as it pertains to the transportation of spent nuclear fuel in this state, has to do with the road beds, and the rail, and the crossings and the transportation mode of bringing that spent nuclear fuel into this state. It's the same thing as if a highway was torn up. We, in the Generally Assembly, would make a change in repair of that particular highway. We want to

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

maintain a guarantee to the people within the cities of which this train will be going through, and we're not opposed to it coming through, just maintain that the rail system itself must be safe, must be safe. That's the only area that the Federal Government does not propose. The Federal Government maintains that the rail beds and the rails themselves must be available for traffic. They don't say anything about the public safety nor address this question."

Koehler: "Well, Representative Mautino, it's my understanding that the Illinois Commerce Commission already inspects the railway road beds and so forth and that this particular piece of legislation addresses the permitting process, and a permitting process does not address inspection of roadways."

Mautino: "The way this Bill is drafted ,it will."

Koehler: "Would you explain that, please?"

Mautino: "Because specifically, it states that that road bed and the public health and safety through those cities, including fire prevention, and the role of fire departments in those cities must be trained in case a disaster would occur or a train would come off of the tracks."

Koehler: "Well, I thought those... those things such as the Emergency Services and... and those particular Departments were already trained in this."

Mautino: "I think they're trained in hazardous wastes. I know of no one that's trained or informed about spent nuclear waste."

Koehler: "Addition... Would you have any additional things that any other federal regulations which, in your mind, you believe to be inadequate?"

Mautino: "Probably most of the federal statutes, as it pertains to states individually, are inadequate. That's the reason

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

that we have a General Assembly, a House and a Senate, because we do not all the time agree with what they do in Washington,  $D_{\bullet}C_{\bullet}$ "

Representative, but it seems to me that this particular legislation has to do more with the permitting process than it does anything else. It is a guestion of whether or not you believe that the State of Illinois should, in addition to all of the federal requirements, also require a state permit for the movement of ... of spent nuclear fuel. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, to close"

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to Representative Vinson in his concern about regulation, I would like to point out to Mr. Vinson that we regulate, in this State of Illinois, everything from horseshoers to doctors, from well drillers to pediatricians. We have, as a general policy, provided for the public health and the public safety in most of the everyday workings of our lives. This legislation has nothing to do with jobs as they pertain the railraod workers or to the teamsters. This legislation addresses the necessity of having a program and a permit for the bringing in of nuclear... spent nuclear waste this state. I \* m not concerned that the Federal Government... I am concerned that the Federal Government does not have sufficient rules, regulations, because very little of it I am not ... I have been informed, has ever shipped by rail. Host of it has been by truck. I would like to point out that the 31 tons we are receiving from Southern California Edison was entered into in 1976. No shipments have been made, since there's been litigation this question. Nebraska Public Power District is

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

sending in 197 tons and the Northern States' Power of Minnesota is another 200 tons. I think the people of the State of Illinois need and deserve the public health and safety aspect of it. The rails and beds must be examined. And the permit section of our existing ICC statute should include rail. We have permits for common carriers and general carriers in every other aspect of our commerce in this state. I think it would be foolish not to demand that same permit for nuclear... spent nuclear waste, and I respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote on this legislation."

- Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?" All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

  Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Christensen, to explain his vote."
- Christensen: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if every load of hazardous was to come right through your district, wouldn't you be concerned about having a permit to make sure that the safety of your people was taken care of? Then I heard one speaker say that we are the largest producer of electricity, which we are. If we take this... continue to take this hazardous waste, the dump in Morris, Illinois, would be completely filled, and we'll have no place to store our own wastes and then we will not be the largest producer of electricity. So, take those two things into consideration when you vote. I suggest you vote green to protect the people of the State of Illinois."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill there are 48 voting 'aye', 46 voting 'no', 9 voting 'present'. Mr. Mautino?"

Mautino: "I guess we'd better put it on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman asks leave to put... to place the

Bill on the Order of Postponed Consideration. The Bill

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

will be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. On the Order of House... Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill... Senate Bill 1420, Mr. Keane. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1481. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1481, a Bill for an Act to provide funding for housing and food grants through an income tax return checkoff system. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to have leave to take Senate Bill 1481 back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook asks leave to return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is hereby granted, the Bill is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Levin - Topinka - and LePlore."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #! satisfies certain technical problems that the Comptroller's Office had with this Bill. The underlying Bill would establish a checkoff system for the purposes of establishing a Food and Housing Assistance Fund on the State Income Tax. Amendment #! would eliminate any reference the Illinois Housing Development Authority, and it would make clear that any monies that were paid into this fund would be expended after appropriations by the Department of Public Aid in connection with actually existing programs that they have now."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin, has moved for the adoption of Amendment ! to Senate Bill 1481. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment... No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to have leave to bring this Bill back to Third Reading."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leflore, moves to suspend Rule 37(c) in order for this Bill to be immediately considered. Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman has leave. No... Mr. Vinson, yes."

Vinson: "No."

Speaker Greiman: "You object to it?"

Vinson: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. LeFlore, it will require, therefore, a... a... a Motion. Mr. LeFlore moves to suspend Rule 37 (c) so that this Bill be... so that this Bill may be considered immediately. All those in favor signify ... Do you want to discuss this? Is there any discussion? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no', 71 votes required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 62 voting 'aye', 46 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. and the Motion fails. The Bill will remain on the Order of Third Reading. Senate Bills Third Reading. On that Order of Business appears Senate Bill 1494. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1491. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1491, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property

Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

135th Legislative Day

June 19. 1984

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1491 deals with two things. One, it deals with the active express trust which is now handled by the courts... as to describe what 'active trust' is. The other is, it rules out negative reports it requires of the Financial Institutions Director, and this is a Bill that came from JCAR, and I would like to answer any questions that may come up and ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from St. Clair has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1491. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, the record. On this Bill there are 107 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1538. Out of the record. On the Order Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1692. Cullerton, do you wish to proceed? Out of the record. the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1725. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1725, a Bill for an Act in relation to victims of violence and abuse. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The legislation before us enacts, or would enact, the Victims' Bill of Rights. What it basically does is, it specifies certain rights that victims of violent offenses have, as well as the survivors of the decedents who are victims of

## 135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- violent offenses, as well as witnesses to such crimes, in such a way as to require the state to notify the concerned individual of the time and date of trial, disposition of the case, the terms of any plea agreements and also requires for a specially..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Homer. For what purpose do you seek recognition, Mr. Cullerton?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I believe that the Bill which is now on Third Reading has three Amendments that have been adopted. I see that the Sponsor of the Bill has caused to be distributed, Amendment #4. And also Representative Oblinger has Amendment #5. I just wondered if the Sponsor of the Bill knew that his Amendment #4 had not been adopted and was it his intention to try to adopt it?"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook makes a Parliamentary

  Inquiry as to whether the Bill is in the form in which you
  wish to proceed, Mr. Homer."
- Homer: "That is the correct status... is that... May I ask the Clerk for a verification? Is that the status? Amendment #4 has not been adopted?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4 and 5 are still on the Clerk's desk."
- Homer: "I would like to thank Representative Cullerton for so advising me. I was unaware that the fact that the Amendment had not been adopted. I would, Mr. Speaker, ask leave to return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton asks leave of the House to move the Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman has leave. The Bill is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Homer, amends Senate Bill..."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Speaker, I believe it will be necessary for me to first move to table Amendment #2. Amendment #4 addresses the same subject matter, and ... alright. Strike that. Perhaps I see that it amends the Bill as amended. Perhaps the Parliamentarian could advise me as to that. Mr. perhaps maybe, rather than take the time of the House at this time, I would just ask to take the Bill from the record."

Speaker Greiman: "The Bill is on Second Reading. I assume you want to have an Amendment, so we'll keep it on the Order of Second Reading, Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Out of the record. On the Order ο£ Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1841. of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1850. Out of the record. On the Order Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1859. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1864. Mr. Vinson, do you wish to proceed on 1864? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1883. Wait, 1883. What is your pleasure? Gut of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1889, Mr. Terzich. Out of the record. On the Order Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1935. of the record. On the Order of Senate Eills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1939, Ms. Barnes. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1941, Ms. Barnes or Mr. Priedrich. Out of the record. page four of the Calendar is the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate. And on that Order appears

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Senate Bill 1374. Mr. Curran, do you wish to proceed with that? 1374. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1374, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, O'Connell - Curran."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Mr. Speaker, may I leave to table this Amendment?"

Speaker Greiman: "Withdraw."

O'Connell: "That's right. Withdraw."

Speaker Greiman: "The Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears Senate Bill 1384, Mr. Hastert. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears Senate Bill 1425. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears Senate Bill 1607. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears Senate Bill 1735. Mr. Nash, 1735. Do you wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1735, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any further Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Bepresentative Hoffman."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman. Mr.

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Nash."

Nash: "Mr. Speaker, that's an agreed Amendment. Can I have leave to handle it for Representative Hoffman... or should we take the Bill out of the record? Amendment... Amendment #2 doesn't make any..."

Speaker Greiman: "Why don't you just pass it over? Take it out of the record. Mr. Nash, you can filibuster for about five seconds.

We'll consider it for Mr. Hoffman."

Wash: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Greiman: "Now, wait. Mr. Hoffman is here on the floor with us, I'm told. Well we're going to take it out of the record for a little while. We'll get back to you. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate appears Senate Bill 1876. Hr. Vinson, 1876? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears Senate Bill 1881, Mr. Bensel. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1881, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Purchasing Act. Second Beading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2 was withdrawn. Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Hensel, amends Senate Bill 1881."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hensel."

Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment
#3 to Senate Bill 1881 makes a technical change in the
Bill's effective date by deleting Section 6. The Bill will
take effect upon becoming law. I ask for its adoption."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage moves for the adoption of Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1881. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Hensel, could you tell me what page of the Bill you are striking? As I understand looking at the Bill, Section 6 is on page one, line eight, and it's the entire Bill."

Hensel: "Representative, it's on the Amendment - the Amendment that was adopted in Committee. We're eliminating Section 6 of that Amendment, not of the Bill."

Cullerton: "Okay and what your doing here is you want to... you want to say that instead of having an immediate effective date, you want it to have an effective date when it becomes law?"

Hensel: "Well, on the last page of the Amendment on page six of Amendment #1 Section 4 it says, 'This Act takes effect upon becoming law.' And in Section 6, it would have had contracts and subcontracts entered after January 5, 1984.

But we felt that this was not necessary, and so we asked to have it eliminated."

Cullerton: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 3 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading, with leave for the Bill to remain on the Order of Short Debate. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Senate Bill 1987. Mr. Nash, 1887. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1887, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to revolving credit. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
Nash and Piel."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash."

Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment supported by the
Illinois Bankers and... everybody involved... and there's
no objection to it. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1887. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the guestion is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills... Third Reading with leave for the Bill to remain on Order of Short Debate. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears Senate Bill 1943. Out of the record. Page three of the Calendar, Senate Bills Third Reading - Appropriations appears Senate Bill 1621. 1621. Ms. Satterthwaite in the chamber? 1621, Ms. Satterthwaite. On page nine of the Calendar, on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1746, Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman? Has he returned? Out of the record. Reading. Mr. Hoffman. 1747the record. On the Order of Second Reading Senate Bills

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

appears Senate Bill 1790. Mr. Jaffe in the chamber? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1793. Mr. Cullerton, do you wish to Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1794, Ms. Topinka. Topinka in the chamber? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill Pierce, do you wish to proceed on 1803? Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1853. Mr. McGann, do you wish 1853 to be called? Out of the record. On the Order of Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1855. Bills Nelson, 1855. Out of the record. On page five of Calendar. we will move to the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading - Appropriations, and on that Order of Business appears Senate Bill 1450. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1450, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Second Beading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #2 by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on a Motion to table Amendment 2."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment #2 would appropriate 160,000 dollars worth of General Revenue Funds to restore a stream on a new pilot program. I don't... We raised, in Committee, the question of whether it was in the budget. It is not. It is not on any priority list through the Water Resources Commission; therefore, I'd ask for the support of the House to table Amendment #2."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1450. And on that, is there any discussion? The Lady from Marshall, Ms. Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Of course, I object to the tabling of Amendment #2. Amendment #2 was placed on Senate Bill 1450 in Committee by me, because it is to ... it is for the first year of a three year project of stream restoration in Marshall, Putnam and Bureau Counties. It is in ... It is in an area where they have had a great deal of difficulty as far as stream control is concerned. It is to use the ... Method of stream restoration which goes along very compatibly with the soil and water conservation districts to save their efforts to save our prime agricultural farm lands. This particular project would be a showcase to the State of Illinois - how we also can save our streams and prevent sedimentation from... which results from stream bank erosion. I would... I would certainly object to Mr. Leverenz's Motion and that you will join with me in saving Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in support of this Amendment and in opposition to the Motion. I think the Representative has a very good Amendment here for a port project in her district, and I think she deserves our support in blocking this Amendment, but the thing that... or this Motion. The thing that I'm concerned about is that this Bill was tabled. The Bill was tabled by the Sponsor of the legislation in Committee. Now, I'm surprised to see that it's on the House floor on Second Reading. And I... I would ask that this record... this Bill be taken out of the

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

record till we clarify this with the Clerk, because this Bill was tabled by him in Committee. And no further action was taken on it to bring it back to life so it could get to this floor."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, is there an appropriate report from the Committee Chairman? The records of the Clerk indicate that the Bill had a 'do pass' Motion, 20 to 0. So it is appropriately on the Order of Second Reading. Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield to a question, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Piel: "Question. Representative Leverenz, I didn't quite hear.

What was your reasoning for wanting to table this Amendment besides, you know, one party to the other?"

Leverenz: "Besides the Sponsor?"

Piel: "Besides the Sponsor. That's what I was wondering if you were going to say, besides the Sponsor. But what else... Why did you really... Is it because you don't like the Sponsor? Is that the reason you tabled the Amendment... you want to table the Amendment? What was your reasoning really?"

Leverenz: "Well, I hold in high esteem the Sponsor of Amendment #2."

Piel: "I'm glad to hear that. I really am."

There is a number of priority lists within Water Resources, within the Bureau and the Department of Transportation.

There are project lists of those projects that are ready to go. The Water Resources Commission also ranks projects in terms of priority. This sits on no priority list known in State Government. That is number one. And number two and more important, I guess, is the fact that there is a

135th Legislative Day

June 19. 1984

deficiency in the Amendment itself. Any capital project of this nature, since the Lady will tell you that the project goes over a three year period, this simply appropriates part of the funding, 160,000 dollars, as a first year funding; therefore, the Amendment is deficient in that any capital project must show the total amount of the project. It cannot be piecemeal, and the Comptroller will not accept the contract or approve any payments under it. So the Amendment is kind of meaningless. It will have to get struck someplace, and I think this is the appropriate place to it. And those are the reasons I have."

- Piel: "Now wait a minute. When you said meaningless Amendment,
  were you talking about Amendment #1 or Amendment #2?"
- Leverenz: "Oh, Amendment #1 is very meaningful. Amendment #2,
  because of the deficiency not showing the total
  appropriation, that is deficient, and it's rather
  meaningless to have hanging on a Bill at this point."
- Piel: "Wasn't it... You know, the impression I had when this
  Amendment was first considered, before it was even heard in
  Committee, wasn't there an agreement before this was heard
  that, you know, there was support on both sides on this
  thing initially?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. For what purpose does the Minority

  Leader seek recognition?"
- Daniels: "I wonder if the Gentleman who is the Sponsor of this

  Bill would take it out of the record till tomorrow, as a

  courtesy to the Members on our side who have a question

  about the Bill, so we can check this out and run with it

  tomorrow. Would that be alright, Mr. Leverenz?"
- Leverenz: "No question. I'll go along with your program,
  Representative."
- Daniels: "Thank you. I appreciate that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Out of the record. On the Order of Senate

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1460. Mr. Saltsman, 1460, Appropriations - Senate Bills Second Reading. Mr. Saltsman, do you wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read... Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. But first, Mr. Tuerk, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think the Calendar ... "

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Tuerk. Mr. Saltsman indicates he'd like the Bill out of the record."

Tuerk: "No. It's my Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Okay. Yes. Alright. I'm sorry. I didn't see that. Mr... Mr. Tuerk, I'm sorry. It is indeed. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1460, a Bill for an Act to appropriate 10,000 dollars to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs for the Illinois Valley Central High School's participation in the International Band Festival.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None,"

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1474. Mr. Friedrich, do

you wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1470 (sic - 1474), a Bill for an Act
to amend Sections of an Act making appropriations for
certain officers and agencies. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1510. Nr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1510, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Auditor General. Second Reading of

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1513, Mr. Leverenz. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1513, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of State Treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1534, Mr. Leverenz. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1534, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent distributive expenses of the State Comptroller. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments... I mean any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #3 would add 400 dollars in retirement and bring that line item to the appropriate amount. I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1534. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the guestion is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted.
Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1541. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1546. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1547...

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1547, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1548. Read the Bill,

Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1548, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for certain expenses of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions in respect to Amendment #1."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Terzich, amends Senate Bill 1548 as amended on page one, line eighteen."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 increases both the Senate and House district allowance to reflect the date change in the substantive Bill 1743, which made it

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

effective July 1, 1984, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1548. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the guestion is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1549. Out of the

record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading

appears Senate Bill 1550. Mr. Hastert, do you wish to

proceed, Sir? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O\*Brien: "Senate Bill 1550, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any further... Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative
Bowman, amends Senate Bill 1550."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman. Yes, Mr. Bowman. Excuse me... Mr. Hastert, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to pull this Bill out of the record."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Bill will be out of the record.

On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate

Bill 1551. Ms. Barnes, do you wish to proceed? Alright.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1551, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1552. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1552, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Central Management Services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5 lost in Committee. Floor Amendment #6. Barnes."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #..."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Mr. Leverenz, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Leverenz: "I had filed a Hotion to table Amendment #3, I believe."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Barnes, excuse me. We're going to look at the record for a moment."

Leverenz: "...on my way down."

Speaker Greiman: "There is a... Yes, Mr. Piel, for what purpose

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- do you seek recognition?"
- Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask a question of the Clerk, when that Motion was filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "I... I don't know. We don't time stamp them; however, Mr. Leverenz, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Piel, did you ask... you have another question to ask or you want to..."
- Piel: "Did you call my name?"
- Leverenz: "I filed the Amendment on the Amendment that I had filed or the Motion? Now, if he doesn't want me to take the Amendment off, then I won't."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Piel, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Piel: "Never mind."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. He're on Amendment #3, Motion to table Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1552. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I have a Motion filed to table Amendment #3 which was an Amendment that I sponsored in Committee that deleted 90,000 dollars, and I now understand there is good cause to have those three people stay in that agency. I'd ask the House's support to table the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves to table Amendment 3 to House... Senate Bill 1552. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment 3 be tabled?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 is tabled.

  Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Ploor Amendment #6, Barnes."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #6 provides the correct Social Security and

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

retirement amounts for the Bureau of Benefits for Central Management Services as amended under Amendment #4. It adds 1,500 dollars to the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 6 to Senate Bill 1552. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #6 is adopted. All people who are... do not have access to the floor should leave the floor. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. We will move back just for a second to Senate Bills... Senate Bill Second Reading Senate Bill 1549. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1549, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Agriculture. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #2, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 10 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, Hicks, amends Senate Bill 1549 on page 12."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Hicks. This had been taken out of the record previously, so perhaps we should take it out of the record again, Ms. Barnes.

Alright. This Bill will be out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1550, Mr. Hastert. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1550, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Bowman."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. This Amendment adds 50.000 dollars for nonresidential substance abuse services for people mandatory supervised release and parclees. The Amendment in the Senate did add back money for the overcrowding project, but those are... those monies were for people at the front end, so to speak. incarceration. These monies are to serve people after they come out of the ... the correction system. That is why I move the Amendment at this time."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 1550. And on that, is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
Didrickson."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #5 reduces the amount added by an Amendment in the Senate by about 329,300 dollars - 29,300 dollars from the operations personal services and 300,000 from various grant lines. This is an agreed to Amendment by the Governor's Office,

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

the Bureau of the Budget, the Director of the Department and the people in the field. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 5 to Senate Bill 1550. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "The Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she will."

Bowman: "Representative Didrickson, you used the expression,

'people in the field'. I believe... Am I not correct that

these are the... the private agencies."

Didrickson: "It's my understanding that that's correct."

Bowman: "Fine. Thank you. I support the Amendment."

Didrickson: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye'... by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #5 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative
Didrickson."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to withdraw Amendment #6."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #6 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading... Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1553. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1553, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Civil Service Commission. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

135th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1384
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1554. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1554, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1554 by Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on a Motion to table."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We will be tabling Amendment 5 with a replacement Amendment 17. I ask your support to do that, and we'll correct it."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves to table Amendment 5 to Senate Bill 1554. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be tabled?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17. Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment would appropriate 375,000 of General Revenue for the development in implementation of an economic strategy including the creation of an Ethnic Village, which we had in Amendment #5."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #17 to Senate Bill 1554. On that, is there

135th Legislative Day

June 19. 1984

any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Chairman (sic - Speaker), will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for question."

Barnes: "Representative Leverenz, could you tell me why the Madigan Ethnic Village has been increased from 225,000 to 375.000 dollars?"

Leverenz: "The longer it goes, the more it costs, and you just explained the difference. And I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook..."

Leverenz: "Lee..."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there further discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?" All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18, offered by Representative
Van Duyne."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne. Mr. Van Duyne. Ms. Barnes, do you want to take it out of the record? Do you want to table it? What is your pleasure, Ma'am? We have a number of Bills on this Order. We'll come back to it, if you like."

Barnes: "Well, no, I would just as soon proceed."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, in order to proceed, you have to move to table Amendment #..."

Barnes: "I move to table Amendment #18."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes, moves to table Amendment #18 to Senate Bill 1554. On that, is there any discussion? Just stand at ease for a moment. Alright. Ms. Barnes, Mr. Van Duyne has arrived. Ms. Barnes will withdraw her Notion to table, and the Chair recognizes the estimable Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne, on Amendment

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

#18 to Senate Bill 1554. Mr. Van Duyne."

- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This... This Amendment addresses a shortage in a grant to Joliet Township for... for storm water flooding. It originally was supposed to be for 180,000. They had... They got the okay for the 100 (sic 100,000), but they did not get the 80 (sic 80,000). And so all it does... all Amendment... this Amendment does is raises this another 80,000 dollars, and I ask for your support."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will moves for the adoption of Amendment #18 to Senate Bill 1554. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill
  1554 as amended with reference to page and line numbers
  contained in House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1554."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment 19 would remove 2,000,000 dollars appropriation from the food and housing assistance fund which was added by the Senate. The enabling legislation for the Food and Housing Assistance Program, Senate Bill 1481, does not provide expenditure authority to DCCA from the fund; therefore, the money should be in the Department of Public Aid rather than this budget, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves for the adoption of Amendment #19 to Senate Bill 1554. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20, offered by Representative Mautino."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #20 to 1554 appropriates 10,000 dollars Job Training Partnership Act to Project Assist which is in... which operates in the Rock Island, Mercer, Henry County area to assist individuals in developing skills necessary to secure and maintain employment. They are currently under the JTPA, and this appropriation would continue their services in service delivery area 13. I ask for an \*aye\* vote. I move for adoption. I\*m sorry."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau moves for the adoption of Amendment 20 to Senate Bill 1554. On that, is there any discussion? There being... The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "Would the Sponsor yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Barnes: "Representative Mautino, what is a job club?"

Mautino: "Well, basically, it's Project Assist. What they do is work with dislocated workers and unemployed persons in seeking... in seeking employment through the JTPA - interview skills, et cetera, before they go in for jobs.

This is exactly what they're doing now under JTPA."

Barnes: "Is it going to be located in any specific area in the state?"

Mautino: "It's service delivery area 13. They are currently operating out of Rock Island, but they cover the four county area - Rock Island, Mercer, Henry and one other, I think Knox."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- Barnes: "Sounds like a very good Amendment to me."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #20 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Representative Matijevich in the chair."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Senate Bill 1556 (sic 1554), Barnes Daniels... FurtherAmendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #21, offered by Representative Tate, amends Senate Bill 1554."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate, on Amendment #21."
- Tate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #21 is just simply a technical Amendment that...
  that was adopted in Committee. It amends Amendment 14 to
  Senate Bill 1554 on page one line five by deleting 12(a)
  and inserting in lieu of 12(c), which is just making it the
  appropriate Section."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has move for the adoption of Amendment #21. There any discussion? Being none, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #21 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #22, Mautino and Olson, amends
  Senate Bill 1554 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino, on Amendment #22."
- Mautino: "This... Yes. Is Representative Olson here?"
- Speaker Matijevich: "He's back."
- Mautino: "I'll defer to Representative Olson, who drafted this originally, and I'll close. It's the 280,000 for the Whiteside County Vocational..."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman yields to Representative Olson, Myron Olson."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment 22 to 1554 is a 280,000 dollar appropriation to the Whiteside Vocational School in Sterling, Illinois. It is one of the older institutions of its type in the State of Illinois, and it is in very badly in need of repair. I would move for the adoption of Amendment 22."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representatives Mautino and Olson move for the adoption of Amendment #22. Being no discussion, all in favor say "aye", opposed "nay", and Amendment #22 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #23, offered by Representative...

  Speaker Madigan and Representative Leverenz."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #23."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Each year this is a common thing to do. We restore to a level of 200,000 dollars for the promotion of the state's port districts for grants such as Tri-City, Waukegan and Chicago Port District to assist in their promotional efforts, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Leverenz has moved for the adoption of Amendment #23. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment 23 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #24, offered by Representative Keane."
- Speaker Matijevich: "We're... We're checking. We have Amendment #23 offered by Representative Keane... 24 rather, and we're checking. We think he's at a meeting in the corridor. Representative Keane is on his way to his chair. We'll be at ease for a moment. The Gentleman from Cook,

135th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1984
- Representative James Keane, from Chicago. Noted professor, Representative James Keane, on Amendment #24.\*\*
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House... Amendment #24 to Senate
  Bill 1554 provides the sum of 25,000 or so much thereof as
  is may be necessary for... appropriated to the Department
  of Commerce and Community Affairs for a grant to assist the
  Mount Greenwood Colts Sports and Youth Program in the
  administration of its activities."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Keane has moved for the adoption of Amendment 24. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment 24 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #25, offered by Representative

  Barnes, amends House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1554."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jane Barnes, on Amendment #25."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

  Amendment #25 adds 1.5 million dollars for industrial

  development grant reappropriations."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Barnes has moved for the adoption of Amendment #25. Being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay, and Amendment #25 is adopted. Are there any other Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #26, offered by Representative
  Barnes."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes, on Amendment #26."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #26 adds back 100,000 dollars technology transfer
  for the universities."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Barnes has moved for the adoption of Amendment #26. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "The Sponsor yield?"

135th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1984
- Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."
- Leverenz: "The Senate took it out? Do we have a good reason why we're putting it back?"
- Barnes: "Well, the substantive Bill had passed both Houses, and I
  thought that I would reenter the Amendment and add the
  money back for the program."
- Leverenz: "Thank you."
- Speaker Matijevich: "All in favor of Amendment #26 say 'aye',

  opposed 'nay', and Amendment #26 is adopted. Further

  Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #27, offered by Representative Barnes."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes,
  on Amendment #27."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #27 deletes 263,000 dollars from the state share
  of state's attorneys' and assistant state's attorneys'
  salaries pursuant to House Bill 3089."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #27. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #27 is adopted. Are there further... further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #28, offered by Representative Younge, amends Senate Bill 1554 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Wyvetter Younge, on Amendment #28."
- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

  Amendment #28 appropriates 70,000 dollars for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the East St. Louis Development Authority. The substantive legislation has passed both the House and the Senate, and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Younge has moved for the

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

adoption of Amendment #28. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

Leverenz: "This is for... money for operations. Are they in existence currently?"

Younge: "The Bill creating the Authority has passed the House and the Senate, and it's now on the Governor's desk."

Leverenz: "Good reason to have the appropriation."

Speaker Matijevich: "All in favor of Amendment #28 say 'aye',

opposed 'nay', and Amendment #28 is adopted. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #29, by Representative Barnes."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jane Barnes, on Amendment #29."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #29 redistributes money among various lines in the Section of the Bill which appropriates money to the Department of Children and Family Services for job training programs. There is no money change in dollar amounts."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook has moved the adoption of Amendment #29. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #29 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Beading. Senate Bill 1556, Barnes.

The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1556, a Fill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Amendment... Senate Bill 1557, I understand, is out of the record. Senate Bill 1558, Barnes. The Clerk will read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1558, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Energy and Natural Resources. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Hannig, amends Senate Bill 1558 on page five by inserting between line nineteen and twenty the following: Section 5(a)."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #5 is offered by Representative
  Gary Hannig, and if we'd be at ease, we're trying to
  contact him. We think he is in reach of the podium. Here
  he is. Representative Hannig on Amendment #5 to Senate
  Bill 1558. The Gentleman from Nacoupin, Representative
  Gary Hannig."
- Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Basically this Bill... this Amendment would correct a technical error in an Amendment that was drafted in Committee. Would provide 500,000 dollars to be appropriated from the Coal Development Fund for the... the use in enterprise zones throughout our state, and I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hannig has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. Being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #5 is adopted. Further... Further Amendments?"

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Currie Marzuki, amends Senate
  Bill 1558 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Barbara Currie, on Amendment #6."
- Currie: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the Amendment 6 to Senate Bill 1558 is the companion appropriation to House Bill 3193 presently in the Senate. The Bill provides an additional 400,000 dollars to the Department of Energy and Natural Resources for purposes of carrying out the ground water study contained in House Bill 3193 and an additional 250,000 dollars so that that Department may complete a study on degree of hazards of hazardous and special wastes. I urge adoption of the Amendment. I'm happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Currie has moved for the adoption of Amendment #6. On that, the Lady from Cook, Bepresentative Jane Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will."

Barnes: "Representative Currie, is this in the Governor's budget, this 650,000 dollars?"

Currie: "It was not in the Governor's budget, Representative
Barnes, but I think that the addition of this minimal sum
of money is critical if we're going to make the commitment
this year to the environment that so many of the Bills that
you sponsored on behalf of the Attorney General and the
Senate President encourage the people of this state to hope
that we, in fact, are making."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to comment and let the Members know that the 650,000 dollars is not in the current budget, and I would recommend a 'no' vote on this side of the aisle."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bepresentative Currie has moved for the

135th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1984
- adoption of Amendment #6. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, Barnes, amends Senate Bill 1558 on page five and so forth."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jane Barnes."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1558 increases reappropriation
  for coal development and alternative energy projects by
  1,039,061 dollars. Increases the Coal Development Fund by
  704,061 dollars and Capital Development Bond Fund by
  335,000 dollars. And it's for alternative energy projects;
  32,500 dollars from the Coal Development Fund; Mid-west...
  Bed Combustion and... for 561 dollars from the Coal
  Development Fund; the Abbott Power Plant, 335,000, from
  CDF; and the University of Illinois' scrubber system for
  165,000 dollars from the Coal Development Fund. I would
  ask an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Barnes has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7. Eeing no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #7 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Barnes, amends Senate Bill 1558."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jane Barnes, on Amendment #8."
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1558 adds 15,800 dollars to the
  Public Utility Fund in general office, and personal
  services and related items. Restores to the introduced
  levels to avoid any layoff of staff. I would recommend an
  'aye' vote on this Amendment."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Barnes has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Was this an amount taken out by the Senate that we are restoring?"

Barnes: "Yes, Representative."

Leverenz: "Will this probably force it to a Conference Committee?"

Barnes: "No, but I think perhaps Representative Currie's

Amendment will."

Leverenz: "Did anybody check with the Senate to see if it's okay to restore this?"

Barnes: "All the time."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Barnes has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #8 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1559, Barnes.

The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1559, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Pinancial Institutions. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Giorgi - Barnes, amends Senate
Bill 1559 on page two and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Barnes is going to handle that Amendment. Representative."

Barnes: "It is my understanding that Representative Giorgi wants to table that Amendment."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Matijevich: "Is that everybody else's understanding?"

Barnes: "Withdraw. Yes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to table... Leave to withdraw Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1559. Leave, and Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1562, Barnes.

Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1562, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Insurance. Second Beading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1564, Daniels.

Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1564, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #1, Barnes - Daniels, amends Senate
Bill 1564."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jane Barnes, on Amendment #1.7"

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #1 restores to Senate Bill 1564 the sum of 43,300
dollars from the General Revenue Fund. It restores one
investigator position, one car for the investigator cut by
the Senate and leaves intact the standard Senate cuts. I
would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Barnes has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Being... Representative Leverenz."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

Leverenz: "We're restoring the investigator, and the car and what else?"

Barnes: "It's... That's about all. The personal services, to break it down for you, were 30,000 dollars: retirement, 1,800; Social Security, 1,500; equipment, 10,000, which totals 43,300 dollars."

Leverenz: "Is there an agreement on who gets this job?"

Barnes: "I never get into those type of discussions,

Representative Leverenz. I would not know."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1565. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1565, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "... held. Am I correct? The Bill will be held. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1566. Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1566, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of Lieutenant Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #! and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

135th Legislative Day

June 19. 1984

Speaker Matijevich: "Third... Third Reading. Senate Bill 1567.

Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1567, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Local Labor Relations Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 1571, out of the record.

Senate Bill 1572, Leverenz. Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1572, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Prairie State 2000 Fund. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1573, Hastert - Daniels. Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1573, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Prisoner Review Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Stuffle - Leverenz, amends

Senate Bill 1573 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #1."

Leverenz: "1571 or 1573?"

Speaker Matijevich: "1573. Amendment 1 to 1573. Stuffle -Leverenz Amendment."

Leverenz: "Can we just take this out for a second?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Either that or we can find Stuffle."

Leverenz: "I think they are task forcing."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yeah, well, he can be reached in a moment.

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

The Amendment's on the way, Ted. I think you can handle it. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Oh, yeah, we can handle this. No problem. The Amendment would add 119,400 dollars, and the Amendment would provide for the new office space. It would phase it in, because they have to give 30 days notice to leave where they\*re at. The 71,000 dollars in contractual; 1,000 in commodities; 44,100 in equipment which is word processing type of equipment and telecommunications of 3,300 - I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Leverenz has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Hastert: "Representative, I understand that the Governor's Office has signed off on this. Is that correct?"

Leverenz: "Now, they have, and that was part of the hangup and delay in getting it up."

Hastert: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle - Leverenz have moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Stuffle - Leverenz, amends

Senate Bill 1573 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #2."

Leverenz: "Want to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #2. Leave, and
Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further... Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1574, out of the record. Senate Bill 1575, Hastert - Daniels. Clerk will

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1575, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Governor's Purchased Care Review Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1576, Barnes - Daniels. Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1576, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Racing Board. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motion filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Hotions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1577, Piel. The

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1577, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Officer (sic - Office) of the Commissioner of Savings and Loans. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave. Representative Barnes is the Sponsor of that Bill now. Representative Barnes, what are you trying to say?"

Barnes: "I would like to hold it, Mr. Speaker, and thank you for recognizing me."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record on Senate Bill 1577, and let the record show that Representative Barnes is the

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Sponsor of that Bill. Senate Bill 1579, Barnes - Oblinger.
Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1579, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Labor Relations Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1581, Barnes - Daniels. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1581, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Public School Teachers' Pension and Retirement Fund.

Second Beading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1582, Barnes Daniels. The Clerk will read the Fill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1582, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Teachers' Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1583, out of the record. Senate Bill 1586, Nash. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1586, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for certain officers and agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Richmond, amends Senate Bill 1586 and so forth."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Richmond on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1586."
- Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment #1 would... would put 10,000 dollars into this appropriation for the purpose of buying some necessary fire fighting equipment. The necessity is by the City of Chester to furnish the fire fighting equipment that's needed to give proper fire protection at the Menard facility. Ten thousand dollars, of course, doesn't pay for it. Chester purposes to pay half of the cost of this high-rise type of piece of equipment and that the state should pay the other half, and 10,000 dollars would be one-fifth of that. In other words, this would be an ongoing appropriation for the next five years. move for the adoption of this Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Richmond has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further... Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, McGann, amends Senate Bill 1586 as amended."
- Speaker Hatijevich: "Amendment #2 has been offered for adoption by Representative Andrew McGann, and we're... The Sponsor of the Bill is by his seat, and we're trying to... Andrew McGann, I understand, is on his way. We'll be at ease for one moment. Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Andrew McGann, who's rushing to his seat in the aisle over here. Representative Andrew McGann, the Gentleman from Cook, on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1586."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Nembers of the Assembly. This
  Amendment #2 is an appropriation of 75,000 dollars for
  specifically the "Eisenberg" Boys' Club at 1207 West Taylor
  Street. Now Members of the Assembly, I would like to just

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

give you a little scenario on what happens in Chicago at the Boys' Club - this specific boys' club. The dental profession, dentists, provide their time to help these persons that belong to this boys' club as far as their dental work is concerned. But what has happened is, their equipment has dilapidated, and we have some way, somehow to replace this equipment. And inasmuch as they devote all of their time and efforts to make sure that we are keeping the teeth of these young people in good order, I think it's only fitting for the State Assembly to help out. So I would ask you for this appropriation of 75,000 dollars for the equipment that's needed for this 'Eisenberg' Boys' Club in Chicago. We'd appreciate an affirmative vote adopting this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McGann has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1586. On that, the Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hastert: "Representative McGann, what would this equipment...

what kind of equipment is this that we're going to buy and

give to this Boys' Club?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This will be determined, of course, by the Boys' Club, and it's specifically dental chairs, dental equipment that's needed in the care of the individual's teeth."

Hastert: "What was that? Care for the individual what?"

McGann: "Teeth. Teeth... No, tootsies."

Hastert: "Teeth."

McGann: "That's right."

Hastert: "So like it's dental equipment, right?"

McGann: "That's correct."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Hastert: "So what we're doing is buying dental equipment for the Boys' Club, right?"

McGann: "That... In essence, that's exactly right,
Representative."

Hastert: "So... Well, thank you. May I speak to the Bill..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Hastert: "... to the Amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know. 1 admire Representative McGann. He's a very good friend of mine. The Representative has many good ideas. But this is one of them where we're actually acting as public United Way or a private United Way here... that we're buying equipment. handing it over to boys' clubs, bypassing the appropriation process where these things are deliberated. As a matter of fact, I think this thing was deliberated once and defeated, if I'm not mistaken. And I just think this is a bad precedent among others, and I ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McGann has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1587, out of the record. Senate Bill 1590, Keane - Hastert. Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1590, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations for higher education. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Motion #1, 'I move to table Amendment #1 to Senate

Bill 1590. Representative Keane."

Speaker Natijevich: "Representative Hastert, where are you at?

He was just here. Where is he? Oh, is that alright with

135th Legislative Day

- June 19, 1984
- you, too, on tabling Amendment #1? Alright.

  Representative Keane has... Hastert have moved to table

  Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and

  Amendment #1 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Further Motions, identical Motions, 'I move to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1590,' filed by Representative Keane and Slape."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Representative Keane has moved to table Amendment #... Committee Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and Committee Amendment #2 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Bowman, amends Senate Bill 1590 as amended."
- Speaker Matijewich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, on Amendment #3."
- Bowman: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #3."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #3. Leave, and Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Shaw, amends Senate Bill 1590 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw, on Amendment #4."
- Shaw: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #4."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #4. Leave, and Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment \$5, Marzuki, amends Senate Bill 1590 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Marzuki, on Amendment #5."
- Marzuki: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #5."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #5. Leave, and 5 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Bowman, amends Senate Bill 1590."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Bowman, on Amendment #6."

Bowman: "Leave to withdraw 6."

- Speaker Matijewich: "Leave to withdraw 6. #6 is withdrawn.
  Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, Shaw, amends Senate Bill 1590 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw, on Amendment #7."
- Shaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment \$7, it... all it does is appropriate a million dollars for an intern program at Chicago State University. And I think the President of the University there have expressed his support for this type of program, and I urge the adoption of this Amendment."
- Speaker Hatijevich: "Representative Shaw has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I stand in opposition to Amendment #7. I talked to the President of the University. He indicated that at the present time the University did not want it. They are putting it in their 1986... they are putting it in their 1986... they are putting it in their 1986 budget. The Director of the Board of Governors indicated that they were opposed to it and that the President of the Chicago State University also indicated to him that he was opposed to the Amendment at this time, but he hopes to get the Amendment in his 1986 budget. For that reason, I would ask that you vote against this Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert, on Amendment 7."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just ask that Members on this side of the aisle would join in voting 'no' against this Amendment."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw, to close."

- Shaw: "I think this is a ... is a good Amendment. I did talk 'Ayers' at the... Chicago State University, and this University happened to be in my district. And when he was down here last week. I did discuss the Amendment with him. It's a program that's hadly needed over there at Chicago A lot of universities around the State of Illinois State. have an intern program, and I think you would be doing something for the young people of this state. particularly of Chicago State University, if this Amendment is adopted. And I urge passage of this Amendment."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Marzuki, amends Senate Bill 1530 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Marzuki, on Amendment #8."
- Marzuki: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Amendment #8 simply adds \$8,600,000, which was originally recommended by the Board of Higher Education, in order to bring the university system, which has been decline since 1971, as far as dollars are concerned. will, at least, help in meeting the personnel drain that every university faces. It provides monies for the various universities. It has, of course, the support of those people who are working in our universities and who have, in fact, been subsidizing higher education in Illinois. increased tuition over and over again to try to meet these dollars. We are putting education out of reach of many of our young people. I would hope that we would restore this funding to the Board's recommended level. T

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

think that we cannot say that we are supporting higher education if we do not, at this time, take the kind of action that's necessary to bring higher education in Illinois to a level that will allow it to compete."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Marzuki has moved... moved for the adoption of Amendment #8. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane, James Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Amendment. I understand where the Sponsor of the Amendment is coming from. I understand his concern. I share that concern and the needs of us to properly fund the professors that work for ... in our university system. However, I don't think that this is an appropriate time based on the budget of ... the funds that we have available to us. year, I think higher education came out of this House as good as anyone and probably, in fact, better than most. think that higher education has indicated that they can live within the budget that we have provided, within the parameters that the Bills are in at the present time before this House. And I would, therefore, stand in opposition to this Amendment. Perhaps in future years we can fund what I think is an equitable level of funding. will ... our revenues will have increased by that time and we will be able to do it. But, at the present time, stand in opposition."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hastert, the Gentleman from Kendall."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just like to remind the Members on this side of the aisle that when the higher ed budget came through, we have approximately 78,000,000 new dollars in education, in higher education. We also have had the representatives from the Board of Governors, the President of Southern

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Illinois University, the President of the University of Illinois, the people from the Board of Regents. This was never asked for in Committee. As a matter of fact, they expressed desire that the... that the budget as appropriated and brought before us was adequate. And I would vote for a 'no'... ask for a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Marzuki, to close."

- Marzuki: "The speakers who have asked for a negative vote on this issue are, again, avoiding the real necessity for funding education. As I look around the House floor, I can see that a Roll Call Vote would probably not do a whole lot at this moment. It seems an inopportune time, I suppose to bring this up, when we have a lot of emptiness here. I would, therefore, ask for a lot of loud 'yes' votes on this, real loud, but we seem to be outnumbered."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Let's try it. Representative Marzuki has moved for the adoption of Amendment 8. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Amendment fails.

  Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had hoped to have an opportunity to ask the previous speaker to define his terms a little more clearly. I didn't quite understand what he meant by emptiness."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Why don't you go over and talk to him?

  Anyway, he found out. Amendment #... Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #9, Bowman, amends Senate Bill 1590 as amended."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Woods
  Bowman."
- Bowman: "Leave to withdraw."
- Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #9. Leave, and Amendment #9 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1591, Satterthwaite - Braun. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1591, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Motion filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Committee Amendments, and no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1592. Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1592, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Motion, 'I move to table Amendment #1 to Senate
Bill 1592.' Filed by Representative Richmond."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Richmond on the Motion."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I filed... and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I filed this Motion to restore \$312,000 that was in the Bill as it came from the Senate, and this money was to update the level of... the pay scale for the Maintenance Laborers' Local 962. I would ask for your support of this Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bepresentative Bichmond has moved to table

Amendment #1. The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative

Hastert."

Hastert: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 was put on for very good reasons. What that does is say that they're going to bring

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

all universities, especially Southern, in this case, up to prevailing wage. Prevailing wage is not an issue here. We are not obligated to the prevailing wage in care of university maintenance workers. I think it's a very dangerous precedent and a precedent that's going to cost us an awful lot of money over the next few fiscal years. I would ask to vote against this Motion as the precedent that it sets, and I would ask for the Members here to join in voting 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Richmond has moved for the adoption of (sic - to table) Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no', and the Motion fails.

Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Richmond, amends Senate Bill 1592 as amended."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Richmond on Amendment #2."

Richmond: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #2. Leave, and Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1593, Bopp. Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1593, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Regents. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1594, Keane - Woodyard. Clerk will read the read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1594, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Governors of state colleges and universities. Second Beading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Shaw, amends Senate Bill 1594 by inserting after Section 3 the following."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw, on Amendment #1."

"This... Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Shaw: the House. This is a similar Amendment amending... putting in a million dollars for Chicago State as an intern program. I think it's a fine program. I think it's needed at Chicago State and it seemed to be a conspiracy by Mr. 'Walters', who is with the Board of Regents... Board Governors, I'm sorry, to keep this university from getting an intern program at this college. I don't see why that any Member of this Body would stand on the House floor and say that they had talked to the President of this... Chicago State University and said that he did not want this program there. I just talked to him by phone. He said the program is needed. I think the program is needed and we should appropriate a million dollars for an intern program at Chicago State University. And Mr. Speaker, I ask for a Roll Call Vote on this... on this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bepresentative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the same Amendment that the Sponsor attempted to put on Senate Bill 1590, which was defeated earlier. What I would say is that it's best for us to leave the higher education institutions and the systems within those... within higher education to set their own priorities. The proposal or the Amendment that the Sponsor is attempting to put on, hopefully, will be on the 1986 budget in the Board of Governors, but as I said in Committee and as I say again, it didn't make it in the priorities system of the Board of Governors. I would ask that you vote against the Amendment."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Natijevich: "Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Again, this... I want to remember... remind the Members of this Body that this is an Amendment that we just got done defeating not eight minutes ago. It's an Amendment that's not agreed upon. It's not even asked for by the University. Amendment that's very... not defined very well. It's a million dollars for some type of an intern program of the author's... whatever he wants to pull together and describe it as, but I think it's a very poor precedent. Again, I would ask that the Members would vote... and vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Shaw has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 17 'ayes', 54 'nays', and the Motion... Motion to adopt Amendment #1 fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Breslin: "Representative Matijevich is recognized for the purpose of a Motion."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, could we have leave and the use of the Attendance Roll Call to suspend the rule whereby the Rules Committee can meet while the House is in Session.

And we're going to meet immediately. All the Rules Committee Members would meet in the Speaker's Conference Room, right outside the House floor here, and I'm trying to get the number of the Bill. There's three Bills that the Rules Committee is going to consider."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich asks leave that the

## 135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- Rules Committee he allowed to meet while the House is in Session. Hearing no objection, the Rules Committee has leave to meet immediately, and we will give you the numbers of the Bills momentarily. Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "The Bills being considered are Senate Bill 1236,
  1893 and 1933. All the Rules Committee Members be in the
  Speaker's Conference Room."
- Speaker Breslin: "There will be a... meeting immediately in the Speaker's Conference Room of the Rules Committee. Would all Members of the Rules Committee proceed to the Speaker's Conference Room. Representative Friedrich."
- Priedrich: "Madam Speaker, it's been the custom in the past that
  we at least found out what Bills we're going to consider
  and so..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich has given you the numbers of the Bills, Representative Friedrich. The number is 1236, 1893 and 1933."
- Friedrich: "Well, I think it would be kind of nice if we had at least three minutes to take a look at them. We haven't have that. In all fairness..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very... Very good. Representative Friedrich, for what reason do you rise?"
- Friedrich: "Thank you for the three minutes. We're ready to meet. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. The Rules Committee meeting is proceeding immediately in the Speaker's Conference Room. Would all Members on the Rules Committee proceed to the Speaker's Conference Boom for a quick meeting? Page four on your Calendar, on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears Senate Bill 1384, Representative Hastert. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1425, Representative Steczo Friedrich. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1607, Representative Matijevich. Out

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

of the record. Senate Bill 1735, Representative Nash. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1876, Representative Vinson. Out of the record. Representative Vinson, did you want to do 1876?"

Vinson: "No."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Monroe Flinn is recognized for the purposes of a Motion."

Flinn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move that we suspend Rule 27(e). That's the Committee deadline rule and also make in the same Motion that we take from the table Senate Bill #1236."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the suspension of Rule 27(a) (sic - e) and at the same time take from the table Senate Bill 1236. On that question, is there any Representative Flinn, the discussion? Parliamentarian advises me that the proper rule to suspend is 27(c) as opposed to 27(a). So we'll amend that Motion to suspend Rule 27(c). The Parliamentarian has made a mistake. says that it is... now that it is 27(e). Very good. there any discussion? Hearing no discussion, all those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries. Do we have leave for the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing no objection, we have leave for the Attendance Roll Representative Flinn, will there be a Committee hearing on this Bill, and if so, what is the time and the place?"

Flinn: "Madam Speaker, I would like to announce that since we have suspended the rule and we have taken from the table Senate Bill 2736 (sic - 1236) that there will be a meeting at 1:00 p.m. tomorrow in Room 114 of the Financial Institutions to consider this Bill. We expect the meeting to last about one hour."

ن ينسب

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, Monroe Flinn has announced that there will be a meeting of the Financial Institutions Committee at 1:00 in Boom 114 tomorrow to consider Senate Bill 1236. Excuse me. That has been changed to Room 118, Representative Flinn. That has been changed to Room 118 at 1:00 tomorrow."

Flinn: "Thank you. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Nr. Speaker... Madam Speaker, I would respectfully submit that you can't do that unless you also suspend the posting rule."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flinn moves to suspend the posting rules to consider Senate Bill 1236."
- Flinn: "Well, Madam Speaker, do I need to make the Motion to suspend Rule 20(k), to suspend this posting requirement?"
- Speaker Breslin: "We are considering that right now, Representative Flinn. One moment, please. Representative Flinn and Representative Vinson, the Chair is ruling that pursuant to Rule 20(d), no post ... no notice by posting is required because the meeting is being held in the week preceding June 30th. So pursuant to Rule 20(d), no posting is necessary. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "I think if the Clerk's right about the date on the Calendar, it is not seven days prior to June 30th."
- Speaker Breslin: "The ruling of the Chair is that it is the week preceding, however, the week of June 30th. And that is the ruling of the Chair."
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I would then respectfully submit that if the Chair is going to rule that way, I am going to object to every other Bill having the other rules waived."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Matijevich, for what reason do you rise?"
- Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I would ask leave in use of the

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Attendance Roll Call to take from the table Senate Bills 1893 and 1933, and the suspension of 27(e), the Committee deadline rule, so that Senate Bill 1893 can be heard in the Select Committee ... the World's Fair Committee and that Senate Bill 1933 can be heard in the Select World's Fair Committee in Room 114 tomorrow. I'd ask leave in the use of the Attendance Roll Call, and I understand that we don't have to suspend the posting notice rule."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich asks for leave to suspend and asks for the Attendance Roll Call to take from the table Senate Bill 1893 and 1933 and to suspend Bule 27(e). And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, with regard to Senate Bill 1893 taking it from the table, I am going to object."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I want to just reiterate Mr. Cullerton's objection. I, too, object to that Bill and to the other Bill, and as a matter of fact, I intend to object to every such Bill as long as the Chair persists in its unreasonable ruling in regard to when Committees have to be posted. The rule clearly states that in the week preceding June 30th, not the week of June 30th, but in the week preceding June 30th, the Committees don't have to be posted. The Chair has just ruled that in the week preceding that week they don't have to be posted. That is an egregious violation of the rules. It's an abuse of the Chair, and I make the same objection to both Bills."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich, there are two objections to your Motion. We, therefore, cannot use the Attendance Roll Call for this purpose."

Matijevich: "It appears that the objections are procedural. I now move and ask for your favorable vote. Appreciate your

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt
  Representative Matijevich's Motion to take from the table
  Senate Bill 1893 and 1933?' Representative Vinson, for what
  reason do you seek recognition?"
- Vinson: "For three purposes: first, to request a division of the question; second, to request a Roll Call vote; and third, to ask for a verification on the event that either Motion should get the requisite number of votes."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Well, he has a right to divide the guestion. I now move that we take from the table Senate Bill 1893 and suspend Rule 27(e) so that Senate Bill 1893 can be heard in the Select Committee on the World's Fair tomorrow in Room 114 at 2:00 p.m."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. I would simply indicate that I am going to oppose the Gentleman's Motion. I think that the best way to send the message to the promoters of the World's Fair that we are not in favor of the World's Fair here in Springfield, as it is proposed, is to defeat this Motion and then find another place to deal with the problems of expanding McCormick Place and statevide tourism. And so, as a result, I would think that if you are not in favor of the concept of the World's Fair, as many people aren't, it would be a good idea to vote 'no' on this Motion."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the guestion before this

  House on which we will have a Roll Call vote is...

  Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Only in closing, I would say that this is not a vote

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

on the final Bill, and we are allowing the Committee to Act on this Bill. A Committee hearing will be held tomorrow. This Bill came out of the Senate by 42 votes. So we are providing a procedural Motion here so that the Bill can be heard in Committee, and I'd appreciate your vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I believe the Motion is to take Senate Bill 1893 from the table. Is that correct?"

Speaker Breslin: "And to suspend Rule 27(e), the posting requirement."

Vinson: "Then, Madam Speaker, I would like to speak to that Motion. May I proceed?"

Speaker Breslin: "27(e) is the deadline requirement."

Vinson: "Yes. May I proceed?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, you may proceed."

Vinson: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, the contents of Senate Bill 1893 may well be landmark legislation for the State of Illinois, and it may be very bad legislation for the State of Illinois. The point is, we are considering it near the end of a Session, and the point is that on the previous Bill we also are dealing with a major issue. Issues like these deserve proper posting so that the public can have input. To rule that the posting requirement does not apply to Committees meeting this week, meeting prior to the 23rd of June, would be a terrible error for this House to fall into. Now we all know that the powers who be will find a way to revive this Bill. But we have to make it very clear that there is going to be a deliberated process in this House; and, therefore, I would urge everyone to vote 'no' on this Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen

#### 135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

of the House. My distinguished colleague. Representative Vinson, may very well have a point, but I think what he is confusing is the procedural rule for the House as opposed to the substance of the legislation that is before us. very well knows the importance of this matter to State Government, to the State of Illinois. He also knows and concedes in his remarks that ultimately this substance of this Bill will be addressed by this chamber before June 30th. I agreed with Representative Vinson a few minutes ago and some of my colleagues on the side agree with Sam, on the question that you raised regarding the posting. I happen to think that you were right, but the Chair didn't agree with you or me. But I think that that's not the issue before us. Sam. I think what is before us is this Bill and its contents, and the Motion for us to address it. There are many interested parties in this state who are preparing to come to this Body this week and give us the valuable information that we need to adequately deliberate, and cogitate and consider this issue. And I don't think you nor I would like to be placed in the position of denying them that right, and some of them sorely need this forum. I don't think we also want to deny the citizens of this State the opportunity to achieve the benefits from some of the substance of this Bill because of a procedural this Body that maybe you were right on but the Chair didn't agree with you. And I certainly think that you're a reasonable man. You are very wise and learned about ways of State Government, and you might want to choose another vehicle and another forum to vent your spleen and disgust at the Chair's ruling. And I hope that you will consider that and maybe remove your objections." Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Farley."

94

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Farley: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I agree with the man's Motion in that a lot of the things that Representative Bullock... should be considered by this chamber, Senate Bill 1893, as it came over from the Senate, is a Senate version. I think it behooves us, as responsible House Members, to take a look at this Bill Committee tomorrow. I agree with the posting regulations: however, I think in this case that this Bill has been widely publicized. I think that the principals involved will be at that meeting tomorrow. And I think that we, Legislators of the House of Representative, should be in a position to question those participants in this particular piece of legislation. I don't necessarily agree at this point in time that this Bill is going to resolve the problems of a World's Fair. But I do agree that we should, as House Members, have an opportunity to question those principals, to have any input that we would like as House Members into this particular piece of legislation. So for those reasons, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen the House, I would support the Gentlemen's Motion and move for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan."

Speaker Madigan: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise in support of Representative Matijevich's Motion, and I would ask all Members to please understand that this a procedural Motion which is necessitated because the Bill arrived from the Senate after the deadline for Committee consideration of Senate Bills. Had this Bill been sent to the House in a timely fashion, there would not have been a need for this Motion. The Bill arrived late last week. We have already notified interested parties that there will be a Committee meeting tomorrow at 2:00 in Room 114 of the House Select Committee on the World's Fair to permit proponents

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

of this legislation and opponents of this legislation to appear before an appropriate House Committee to offer their arguments for and against the legislation. This would simply permit that Committee hearing to proceed scheduled. If this Motion fails, we will still need a Motion of this nature to suspend the posting requirements if there is to be a Committee consideration of legislation which would propose a World's Fair for Chicago. expansion of McCormick Place and a downstate tourism program. I might add that we are working under the recommendations of the Governor's Task Force in this area, and the choice is very clear. Either we will have Committee hearing on these proposals or we will not. If we wish to have a Committee hearing during this Session of the General Assembly, then it will be necessary to suspend the posting requirements if we wish to move about this in orderly fashion. So I'd recommend an 'aye' vote on behalf of the Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan." Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I, too, rise in support of the Motion by Representative Matijevich to hear this Bill. This is probably the worst piece of legislation that has been sent over by the Senate this Session. It is absolute atrocity; however, it is important that we have a hearing tomorrow so that all of the witnesses who are planning on coming down have an opportunity to present their position on this legislation. There is no sense trying to delay the Motion. It is time to hear the issue. We are going to have to hear it during the next 10 days, and if we hear it tomorrow, we're going to have a much better opportunity to rationally discuss it, kill horrible piece of legislation and then the House can come back with a much better version which will do what we need

# 135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

to do which is fund HcCormick Place, fund tourism and get rid of the World's Fair. I urge us to support the Motion. Let's hear it tomorrow. Let's kill it, and let's move on to more productive things. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Bepresentative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Madam Chairman (sic Speaker), inquiry of the Chair."

  Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."
- Vinson: "Will this Motion to take from the table be followed by a Motion to suspend the appropriate posting requirements?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich, the question is,
  "Bill this Motion to take from the table and to suspend
  Rule 27(e) be followed then by a Motion to suspend the
  posting rule?""
- Matijevich: "I follow Leo ... Rules. If you've got the Chair in your favor, you don't have to make Motions you don't have to, but I understand the Chair is walking toward me and saying that maybe I ought to do that. It was my intent to do that in the first place, but I thought I had the Chair on my side. He made a ruling, and I was going to say, why suspend something you don't have to? But 'yes', I'll follow through with that, Sam. Now, do I have you on my side?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Now that the velvet hammer has returned to the floor and restored order and a proper respect for discipline in the chamber in the Majority Party, I rise in support the Gentleman's Motion to take from the table Senate Bill 1893."
- Speaker Breslin: "Do you withdraw your request for a Roll Call, Sir?"
- Vinson: "Yes, just as long as we are going to get a Motion to suspend the posting requirements."

135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

- Speaker Breslin: "The question before this House is, 'Shall we take from the table Senate Bill 1893 and shall we suspend Rule 27(e), the deadline requirement?" All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries with leave for the Attendance Boll Call. Hearing no objection, we will use the Attendance Boll Call for that purpose.

  Representative Matijevich for a Motion."
- Matijevich: "Now I would like to ask leave and use the Attendance

  Roll Call so that we suspend the posting notice so that we

  can hear this matter 2:00 p.m. tomorrow on the Select

  Committee on the Horld's Fair."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich asks unanimous leave to suspend the requirement for posting on this Bill. Is there any objection? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Are there any further Motions? Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, I would ask leave in the Attendance Roll Call and I'll try and put all three in one Motion, but we will see what happens. I would ask leave to take from the table Senate Bill 1933, to suspend Rule 27(e), the Committee deadline rule, and also the posting notice rule so that Senate Bill 1933, which I understand is a vehicle so that the Ethic Heritage Pestival can be held at the State Fair this year, I have asked leave so that Bill can be heard in the Select Committee on the World's Fair tomorrow at 2:00 p.m. Leave and use of the Attendance Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich asks leave and use of the Attendance Roll Call to take Senate Bill 1933 from the table, to suspend Rule 27(e) and the posting requirements for a hearing on Senate Bill 1933. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and we will use the Attendance

### 135th Legislative Day

June 19, 1984

Roll Call for the purposes of this Motion. And the Motion carries. Representative McPike is recognized for the Adjournment Resolution or the Adjournment Motion."

- McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move the House stand adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 10:00 a.m."
- Speaker Breslin: "You've heard the Motion. This House is asked to stand adjourned until tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. All those in favor say 'aye', and all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and this House is adjourned until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning."