132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. Members will be in their chairs. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Brooks Martin, Pastor, Friends' Church, Ridgefarm, Illinois. Reverend Martin is a guest of Bepresentative Babe Woodyard. The guests in the balcony please rise and join us in the invocation."

Reverend Martin: "Heavenly Father, our Creator and Sustainer, begin this day's Session by taking a few moments to honor Your name and to seek Your divine quidance and blessing. We humbly praise You for Your mercy, Your goodness and Your providential care over all of us. We thank You for the infinite love with which You surround us and daily touch We thank You for reaching out to us through our lives\_ Your Son, Jesus Christ, offering us abundant life eternal life. We remember that You call us to love You and to love one another. Father, let us not forget the precious privilege that is ours to live in this free land, a nation founded upon Your holy word and formed under Your divine direction. We praise You for the gift of freedom and for the men and women who have courageously given of their talents and life's blood so that we might today. still enjoy liberty. Thank You, Lord, that we here today can freely and without fear express ourselves as we wish. And how grateful we are, especially for the freedom to worship God according to our personal desires understanding. Then, Father, we voice our thankfulness for the great bounty and resources of our land and surpassing beauty of the earth You have created. Thank You for our standing in the world as a leader of nations and as a benefactor to those less fortunate than we are. And thank You for our own beloved State of Illinois and for these here today who represent and serve its people.

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

we seek Your blessing upon all in positions of Leadership, President, and our Congress, our Governor, Representatives and Senators and all others holding offices of public trust. Father, as this distinguished Body assembled today to conduct the tusiness of State Government, we pray that You will grant each one wisdom. insight and perseverance. For each individual Member of this House and for each one's family, we ask the touch of Your hand and the assurance of Your presence, of Your love and of Your forgiveness. May every Member here realize their dependence upon Your divine counsel and wisdom and the need for Your direction and control in this And may there be a corporate sense of the sacred trust of the office and responsibilities that rest their shoulders, that partisanship and pettiness be cast aside, so as not to interfere with honest and beneficial service to the many citizens of this state. May the common good be the consideration uppermost in each area legislation. Remind us, oh Lord, that each of us must one day give account before You, the Judge of the ages, for our and even our thoughts. words and deeds May this remembrance cause us to act wisely and in a spirit of compromise to bring about what is best for all our people. And may we know Your will and be obedient to it. now, the activities of this Body, and our gratitude and loving praise shall rise to You. Our prayer we make in the name of Your Son, the Lord, Jesus Christ, who died to become our Savior and now lives as our intercessor in Heaven. To Him be glory, honor and praise forevermore. Amen."

Speaker McPike: "Be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Bopp."

Ropp - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

132nd Legislative Day

- June 12, 1984
- States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. 113 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative Neff is excused today?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yes, thank you. Representative Fhem, you'll have to come to the podium. Representative Greiman, do you have any excused absences? Thank you. Agreed... Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1036, Wolf; and House Resolution 1038, Madigan."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  House Resolution 1036, Wolf, congratulates Mr. and Mrs.
  Alvin Trampe on their 25th wedding anniversary; House
  Resolution 1038, Madigan, congratulates Michael and
  Kathleen Breen on their 25th wedding anniversary. I move
  for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1035, by Representative Woodyard."
- Speaker McPike: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1037, Madigan, with the respect to the memory of Judge Nathan M. Cohen."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed 'no". The "ayes" have it. The Death Resolution is adopted. Page 11 of the Calendar, Consent

132nd Legislative Day

- June 12, 1984
- Calendar Second Reading, Second Day. Read the Bills, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar Second Reading, Second Senate Bill 1374 has been removed from the Consent Calendar. Senate Bill 1866, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1882. a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1896. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Identification Card Second Reading of the Bill. And Senate Eill 1930. a an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Piel, for what reason do you rise?"
- Piel: "Mr. Speaker, I didnot catch the one number. Which one had been removed from the Consent Calendar?"
- Speaker McPike: "Senate Bill 1374 has been removed."
- Piel: "Okay. Where is that on the Calendar right now?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "It appears on page 11 on Consent Calendar.

  Tomorrow, it will be on Second Reading Short Debate."
- Piel: "Fine. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Page two of the Calendar, Senate Bills Third

  Reading, Short Debate appears Senate Bill 400,

  Representative Cullerton. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 400, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Dental Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I explained this Bill briefly on Second Reading when we put an Amendment on. This Bill is a Bill that is supported by the Dentists Association in the State of

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Illinois. After it had passed the Senate last year, the Medical Society had asked that the Fill be held so that there could be conferences over the course of the year to determine whether or not the doctors would be in support of or opposed to the Bill. As it turns out, as a result of those negotiations between the doctors and the dentists. both are in favor of the Bill. It amends the Dental Practice Act. It provides that a dentist may perform a patient history or an examination in the office, clinic or other dental facility or in a hospital. It's to help control the costs of dental care by eliminating the need for additional medical personnel in the taking of medical history or performing routine physicals prior to dental surgery. The Department of Registration and Education neutral on the Bill. This causes no fiscal impact upon them. As I indicated, it does have the approval of the Medical Society as well as the Dental Society. There was an Amendment that was adopted. The Amendment provides that a dentist can perform a patient history and examination in the office, clinic and in a hospital as provided for in the Bill\_ I'd be happy to answer any questions. It's not a controversial Eill, and I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 400. On that, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Indicates he will."

Dunn, J.: "It was a little difficult to hear around here. Who's for and who's against this Bill?"

Cullerton: "I'll start over again. The Bill is supported by and proposed by the Dental... the dentists in the State of Illinois, whatever their association is called, the Illinois Dental Society. And the Medical Society had asked

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

the Dental Society to hold the Bill after it passed the Senate. You see, it's a low number. It's Senate Bill 400. So, we held it for a year so that the doctors and the dentists could talk. Now the Medical Society is now in favor of the Bill. And it provides, as I indicated, that..."

Dunn, J.: "Is anybody opposed to this?"

Cullerton: "No, not that I know of."

Speaker McPike: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we're on Short Debate.

Does anyone rise in opposition to the Bill? Representative
Piel."

Piel: "I'm not necessary rising in opposition. The question I have, was Amendment #1 adopted to the Bill?"

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #2 was adopted."

Piel: "Amendment #1 was not. Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 400 pass?" All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 101 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. Senate Bill 400, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 671, Representative Levin. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 671, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Condominium Froperty Act. Third Reading of
the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House. Senate Bill 671 is basically Amendment #2, which
gutted the Bill. What this Bill does is primarily a

cleanup of Senate Bill 434, which we passed last year,
which was a comprehensive reform of the Condominium

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Property Act and which takes effect cn July 1st. Τn this Bill makes it easier for condominium associations to qualify for secondary mortgage financing. These proposals were developed in conjunction with the regional counsel for Fanny Mae. As somebody who represents the association, I can tell you that the unit owners would like to be able to sell their units. They ve found it to be very difficult, and this is one way of helping to facilitate it. Finally, Amendment #4 was added to the Bill the end of last week, which embodies House Bill 2486, which had passed the House previously. This legislation is supported by the Illinois realtors. bv condominium associations. It's been gone through with Chicago Title and Trust, and I know of no opposition."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate
  Bill 671. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative
  Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Levin, I know this is on Short

  Debate, but I would like to ask you a couple of guestions.

  I understand that with this House Amendment #2, which
  becomes the Bill, no guorum would be needed at a meeting to
  amend the rules. Could you explain why that change in the
  law?"
- Levin: "Yes. Representative, when last year we passed Senate Bill 434, one of the things we required is that before an association board may adopt rules or regulation, there has to be an opportunity for a hearing by the unit owners at a membership meeting. Chicago Title raised the question of, do you have to have a quorum at that meeting, since that meeting is not going to take action. It's simply going to allow the unit owners to discuss the proposed rules and regulations, and then an actual vote will be taking place by the board. This particular provision was suggested by

132nd Legislative Day

- June 12, 1984
- the Chicago Title to clarify what was our intent last year when we passed Senate Fill 434."
- Cullerton: "Alright. I have one more question. When the Bill removes the requirement for filing the Board of Managers' liens for unpaid assessments, as I understand it, it would automatically arise. Could you explain the reason for that change?"
- Levin: "Yes. The intent here is to deal with what many in the field consider to be an erroneous interpretation of the existing lien procedures by bankruptcy magistrates. And the situation arises where a unit owner doesn't pay their assessments and then goes into bankruptcy, and the question arises whether or not the association has a preference, has a perfected lien where they have not physically filed a notice of lien. And the intent here is to make clear that they do but, by the same token, that there should be no priority over any other filed liens, simply that there is a perfection, but that it does not supersede a first mortgage or any other filings."
- Cullerton: "And could you explain what Amendment #4 is, allowing the forcible detainer actions in certain condo association nonpayment cases?"
- Levin: "Amendment #4 extends to community associations, and you will recall. wedid have а discussion on Representative Vinson and myself. last week, when the Amendment was put on, extends to certain noncondominium associations, the same kind of collection ... expedited collection procedures as currently exists for condominium associations. The situation is the following: You could have a developer, who built two identical projects, right next to each other, same number of units, same structure, everything is identical, the same pricing. one case, he submitted it to the Condominium Property

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Act: and, in the other case, he did not, but there is a covenant running with the land in terms of each individual deed that requires the unit owner to pay assessments for maintenance of the common areas. In the condominium situation, they have access to the expedited collection procedures and, therefore, are usually in pretty good shape financially, because people pay their assessments because they know the availability of these procedures. But, in the other case, without the ability to use the kind of that condominiums use, we've run procedures into a situation where there are massive amounts of assessments, and these community associations have large amounts of uncollectibles which fall on the shoulders of the other unit owners. This simply extends the procedures for condominiums to these community associations, but requires a trade off that the... before a community association can take advantage of this; number one, they must provide for open meetings in the same way condominium associations do, where any unit owner mav attend; and secondly, they must incorporate it as not-for-profit corporation. One of the advantages of that is it means any unit owner in the community association may have access to the books and records of the association; and three, they must formally opt into this and notify the unit owners."

Cullerton: "Representative Levin, do you know of anyone in the General Assembly other than yourself that understands this Bill?"

Levin: "Representative, I believe you do. You have a lot of condominiums in your district and took an active role when this legislation was in Subcommittee, and you're a very quick study, and I think you understand it quite well."

Cullerton: "Thank you. I have no further questions."

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

- Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Senate Eill 671 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 107 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 voting 'present'. Senate Fill 671, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 833, Representative McGann. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 833, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McGann."
  McGann: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly, Amendment #1 to
  Senate Bill 833 actually became the Fill. It amends the
  Revenue Act. It requires the Secretary of the Board of
  Repeals, now the county assessor, to furnish required
  information to the Department. What it actually it's
  asking is that we require the railroads which own
  noncarrier real property to list such property by a real
  estate index number in those counties where the index
  numbering system is in use. It is a county assessor piece
  of legislation. I'd appreciate an "aye" vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 833. On that, the Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will."

- Hastert: "Bepresentative McGann, just to clarify this. I know there's a lot of conversation going on here right now, but was this a Bill that you introduced last year, Senate Bill that you introduced last year?"
- McGann: "Yes. It was on the Calendar, left on the Calendar last year. That is correct. 833 and also 835."

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Hastert: "That was a Bill that was actually... left on the Bill.

Now, because this Bill deals with index numbers, alright,
that's saying it deals only with Cook County, right?"

McGann: "That is correct."

Hastert: "And now, what exactly does this Bill do with those
index numbers?"

McGann: "Well, what it's asking is, actually, that noncarrier...

the railroads which own noncarrier real property, that they

are being asked to list by a real estate tax index number."

Hastert: "So, it's just to give you a better handle on those
properties."

McGann: "That is correct."

Hastert: "How were they previously, by leaps and bounds or..."
McGann: "I think you answered your own question. It is by leaps

and bounds. I don't think that they have ... "

Hastert: "I try not to answer my own questions, but thank you,

Representative McGann. This Eill was heard in Committee

last year. It went out on an overwhelming vote, and I

would think it would be okay for this side of the aisle to

vote for."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Knox,
Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Indicates he will."

Hawkinson: "Representative, what is noncarrier real property?"

McGann: "I would assume that noncarrier real property is property that does not have rails on it."

Hawkinson: "Okay,"

Speaker McPike: "No further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 833 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 103 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 voting 'present'.

132nd Legislative Day

- June 12, 1984
- Senate Bill 833, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Eill 1430, Representative Giorgi. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1430, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
   relating to purchases of certain public agencies. Third
   Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Nr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1430 is a Bill that has to do with a situation that occurred in a joint management of a building in the Rockford area, the public safety building, managed jointly by the City of Rockford and the County of Winnebago. And we found there was a loophole in the law, in that they did not have to comply with bidding and purchasing requirements. This tends to correct that loophole so that now any unit... any government unit created by more or ... one or two governments now has to comply with a bidding proced... bidding with the purchasing and bidding procedures of the larger unit of government whether it be the county or the city. So I'd urge the support of the House on this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate

  Bill 1430. And on that, the Lady from DuPage,

  Representative Karpiel."
- Karpiel: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I would like to ask the Chair if Amendment #3 to this Bill is germane. Is it possible to ask that at this point?"
- Speaker McPike: "Well, I think the... the point would be out of order. The Bill is on Third Reading."
- Karpiel: "Okay. I kind of figured that. Who is the Amendment of the Bill, I mean, the Sponsor of the Bill? Oh. Representative Giorgi, in explaining the Bill, you did not mention several of the Amendments that have been put on

132nd Legislative Day

June 12. 1984

this Bill to change it. Could you explain particularly Amendment #3?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor of the Amendment she's referring to is Representative Leverenz, and I defer to Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Ccok, Representative

Leverenz, would you care to answer the Lady's guestion?"

Leverenz: "Bould she restate her question?"

Karpiel: "Mould you explain Amendment #3 to this Bill, please?"

Leverenz: "The Amendment would allow a corporation to take its liability on income tax, put it into the fund and then act as a draw down when they hire a new person for employment.

In effect, that money would fund the hiring of a new person. It is a let's get people working Amendment, similar to what was tried with the 2000 Fund last year."

Karpiel: "Well, to the Bill then. I don't have any problem with trying to employ unemployed workers, workers that are looking for work, except that the funding mechanism on this Bill, I mean, for this Amendment, says that you're going to be taking state taxes, a corporation, rather than state taxes, can take a portion of that tax to be put into this fund, which, of course, is going to take revenue away from the General Revenue Fund. There is no provision also in this Bill for accommodating refunds to corporations which deserve a refund and, yet, have dedicated a tax to this fund. There is no provision in it to divide the unitary tax receipts if the companies paying them are divided on the question of dedicating taxes. I just think that this Amendment has substantially changed the Bill. I doubt that many people are aware of the Amendment, would suggest a 'no' vote on this Fill at least until this Amendment could be dealt with."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria,

132nd Legislative Day

June 12. 1984

Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this, as I understand, is on Short Debate. Is that correct?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes. All of these Fills have been on Short

Debate for the last twenty minutes, but the Chair has been
trying to be a little lenient, and so we can move the
process along, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, I was going to speak in opposition, but it ... "

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Tuerk: "Actually, this sets up a terrible precedent. This Amendment that was adopted to the Bill sets up a horrible precedent to the whole process of dedicated funds, state revenue. Rather than going into the General Revenue Fund, any corporation's income tax, for example, could be dedicated for a purpose, a specific purpose and; therefore, I think it's had public policy, should be defeated by a great margin. I would ask the Membership to vote against the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi, to close."

diorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment by Representative Leverenz has been a part of original legislation, so I don't think it threatens this legislation. What we're doing here is closing a loophole in the law that we should have seen many years ago. In fact, I think this General Assembly can be charged with dereliction of duty if they didn't close this loophole in the law. I think that allowing governmental units to go out and buy and sell promiscucusly without competitive bidding is wrong. I think this corrects the wrong, and I think we ought to support this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate
Bill 1430. The question is, 'Shall House... Shall Senate
Bill 1430 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting
'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 67 "ayes", 42 'nos", 2 voting "present". Senate Bill 1430, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page four of the Calendar, Senate Bills Second Reading, appropriation bills only, appears Senate Bill 1569, Representative Hastert. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1569, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6 and 7 lost in Committee. Floor
Amendment #8, Matijevich, amends Senate Bill 1569 as
amended."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich, Agendment #8."

Matijevich: "Could I have leave to withdraw that.?"

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #8. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #9, John Dunn, amends Senate Bill 1569."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn, Amendment #9."

Dunn, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The purpose of this Amendment is to restore some funds which were cut previously in the Mental Health budget. They involve the Adolph Meyer Zone Center in my community in Decatur, a community which is hard bit by unemployment, a community which, at the present time, still has a 14.9% unemployment rate, ranking it 30th in the nation in unemployment, a community which needs all the

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

jobs it can get, a community which is a tax-paying and not a tax-eating community. What is qoing to happen if this Bill... if this Amendment is not put on the Bill, people in Decatur will lose their jobs, and functions which are now performed at that particular Zone Center will be transferred to other zone centers and transferred to areas where the unemployment rate is lower. There will be the same number of patients as far as I can tell. There will be the same needs requirements. It will just be that different functions will be performed in different communities. There is no good reason for this, and I would urge the Membership to restore these funds by approving this Amendment. And I urge a favorable vote."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #9. Mr. Clerk, would you correct the board? Amendment #9. Any discussion? The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert, on the Amendment."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This a very similar Amendment that was rejected in Committee. What the Amendment does is restore funding to one of the areas near Decatur. What it actually does is make that ... if this Amendment was adopted, would make that Center unequal, meaning it would have more jobs and more funding than comparable centers around this state. And what we're trying to do is bring an equity to the program. What this actually would also do is add line items to keep people in employment whose jobs have already elsewhere. I would ask that you would reject this Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage,
  Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will."

Hoffman: "What is the amount of the increase that you re proposing in your Amendment? What is the amount of the increase?"

Dunn, J.: "The amount of the increase is \$849,000."

Hoffman: "\$849,000. What fraction increase is that over that
which is propose...?"

Dunn, J.: "That's a piddling sum for a Chicago suburban school district, as you well know."

Hoffman: "We're talking, I thought, about a zone center. What percentage increase is that over what was proposed by the Department?"

Dunn, J.: "I don't know the percentage. All I'm concerned about is people and patients. All I know is that there will be fewer people to treat fewer patients. And if I can give you a for instance, at the Adolf Meyer Zone Center in Decatur - which is a relatively recent history - when a violent patient was being driven from the Zone Center to the downtown area in Decatur, a man patient being driven by women staff people, the patient overpowered the women and ran away and was a dangerous person on the loose for a matter of weeks. The community was outraged about the situation and wondered why women drove this man downtown, when they really shouldn't have. An investigation disclosed that the staffing at the Zone Center was so inadequate that there was no one else to do the job, that they had to take that risk. What we're saying now is that the people of the community, the people who work at the Zone Center and the patients at that Zone Center deserve better treatment than to have their jobs lost, to have the go without treatment and care and to have increased risk to our community, and these funds ought to be restored."

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

- Hoffman: "One other question of the Sponsor of the Amendment.

  How much above the current year's appropriation is the level of your Amendment?"
- Dunn, J.: "As... Estimated expenditures for the Meyer Zone Center this year are seven million, two. As the Bill came out of the Senate, it was down to...six million, seven, and this Amendment would restore the personnel cuts that were made.

  And there were other items cut as well."
- Hoffman: "One last question. What is the projected population of the Meyer Zone Center for the next fiscal year as compared to now?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "The proposal is that... As from the appropriation staff information available to me is that the population in the fiscal year just ending will be approximately 154; and, proposed in the next year, it will be 141. 141, of course, is consistent with the cut being made. If the staff is there, the patients would ... and I discussed this with the Director of the Department of Mental Health. reason for the drop in patient population is not that there wi11 be fewer patients, but that patients will transferred. What I am saying to this General Assembly there is no need for this transfer. If there is no transfer, the patient population will remain approximately the same, and the personnel... the personnel who are on board now will be required again. That's what I'm asking for."

Hoffman: "Thank you. To the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Hoffman: "This... The changes that are being suggested in the appropriation Bill are consistent as with the pattern that we have developed in terms of deinstitutionalizing patients in the state and making a conscientious effort to get these

132nd Legislative Day

June 12. 1984

people into community-based centers. As we move the resources away from the institutions to the community-based centers, of course, this is going to have some impact all of the ... all of the institutions. I think that this transition is... has been orderly. I think it has been evenhanded. And I, for one, believe that the Amendment being proposed by the Gentleman in a11 sincerity representing his own area is an impediment to our continued movement to deinstitutionalize to the greatest degree that we can, particularly in the area of mental health. has been a lot of changes in the field which have made it possible for us to do this. And, therefore, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment reminding you that similar Amendments were defeated by the Appropriations Committee, Appropriations II Committee with all the facts before them. And I rest my case."

Speaker McPike: "No further discussion, Representative Dunn, to close."

Dunn, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First of all, I'd like to address briefly the problem that occurred in the Appropriation Committee I was in attendance at that Appropriation Committee, in and out of that room probably at least half a dozen times, maybe ten times last week, because around the corner in another Committee room in House Judiciary Committee. For whatever reason, the Amendments αn this legislation were not considered until the attendance was poor in the Committee and in particular, unfortunately, poor attendance on the part of Members on my of the aisle. I don't know what happened. I don't know why. But it should not have been, but it was. The Amendment was defeated in Committee. There is another

132nd Legislative Day

June 12. 1984

Amendment which will be offered subsequent to this one this is not adopted. The purpose of these Amendments is to provide assurance that those who need care will get it. The Gentleman who just spoke previously made some good points about community mental health care. I don't know if he recalls, but I have been the Sponsor of the community mental health Amendment many, many times in this General Assembly, and I don't think anyone is more of an outspoken advocate for community mental health funding than I am, but not everyone... 640 everyone can be treated in the community mental health center. Some people have to be treated in the regional facilities of the Department Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. want to see our health planning area shrink in our area and people transferred elsewhere where it's distances for patients to travel... for families to travel to visit their relatives and friends who are patients these institutions, and I would certainly ask for the Membership to adopt this Amendment which is consistent with good government services to the people who need them."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #9. The question is, "Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?" All those in favor signify by by voting "aye", opposed vote "no". Representative Tate, to explain his vote."

Tate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Amendment and encourage everyone to vote 'yes'. This is... As all of you know that Decatur, Illinois has had, for many years, one of the higher unemployment rates in the state, as well as the nation, due to the lack of funds that were provided for the zoning center. This will result in a layoff of approximately another 28 jobs; and, if you're concerned about health care

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

and concerned about proper staffing, I just encourage some more 'yes' votes. Thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 41 \*ayes\*, 56 \*nos\*. The Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, John Dunn, amends Senate Bill 1569 as amended."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Lunn, Amendment #10."
- Dunn, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment #10 relates to the same facility that House. Amendment #9 does. It is an Amendment which is not The cost of this Amendment is \$182.300, and this costly. will avoid some of the layoffs. Fifteen people will laid off... will not be laid off if this Amendment is adopted, but I believe there will be an attrition of people at the Zone Center in Decatur as a result of even this Amendment. And I can tell you that there are going be a lot of people in that community who are going to be upset with the administration if this Amendment is not adopted, because not only of unemployment - as my colleague from the next district over indicated, we have terrific unemployment problems in our area - but also from the standpoint of professionalism, from the standpoint of services †n patient care. and Patient care will bе inadequate, certainly, if this Amendment is not adopted. And I would ask the Membership to adopt Amendment #10 to House Bill 1569."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #10. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this particular Amendment,

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

although I was opposed to the previous one. I think this Amendment takes a more balanced approach. The problem with the previous one was that it put everything back to Fiscal \*84 levels, and all of us have problems in our districts, belt tightening, and I think that it is appropriate that if there is to be belt tightening, that all districts somehow do share in that. However, I think the best way of doing it is the way Representative Dunn now proposes in this Amendment, by attrition. If this Amendment is adopted, there will reductions, but they will be by attrition which is, I think, is the fair way, the humane way to do it. And so, this is for a much smaller amount of money, a 182,000 to prevent the outright layoffs. I think that it is, like I say, fair and humane and deserves our support."

- Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Hr. Speaker and Members of the House, I, too, feel that this is an Amendment that deserves support. We are only going part of the way with this, but it certainly will provide a continuity and keep some of the people who have given exemplary care to our mentally disabled people a chance to remain on the staff and be available for the services within this region. And I urge your support of the Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I'd like to apologize not being able to discern between an oral and a Roll Call vote, but would the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker McPike: "Indicates he will."
- Hastert: "Bepresentative Dunn, does this money... does this take

  care of people who are not working at that facility or

  would be laid off at that facility?"

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

- Dunn, J.: "This will retain on board the direct care people so that at least the direct care people will be there and attrition will be elsewhere, but there will be attrition.

  There will be a reduction in staff even with this Amendment."
- Hastert: "And this... the jobs that have gone are the moving out of certain patients or a number of patients to go into community-based facilities. Is that correct?"
- Dunn, J.: "I don't think so. I think the patients are going to other zone centers, which is the particularly upsetting aspect of this, because they're going, so far as I can tell, for not good enough or certainly insufficient reasons to zone centers in areas where the unemployment rate is no where near where it is in Decatur, and it appears to be no good reason for the transfer, at least so far as I can tell."
- Hastert: "So basically, in all due respect, but what we're trying to do is say that we're ensuring the jobs at this center stay there, even though some of the patients go other places."
- Dunn, J.: "No. Oh, no. No. The... No. The patient to staff ratio at Adolf Meyer, if this Amendment is not adopted, the patient/staff ratio will be lower than facilities. If this Amendment is Bct adopted. patient/staff ratio will be lower at Adolf Meyer Zone Center than it is at the other zone centers around the state. The purpose of this Amendment is to bring the patient/staff ratio back up to the average of the other zone centers. So, this will ensure that the quality of care is at least equal to what it will be in other zone centers."
- Hastert: "Well, Representative. To the Fill. Representative, I appreciate your explanation, but my staff tells me that the

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

ratio at this particular center is on an equity with other centers across the State of Illinois, and if this Amendment would be adopted, that there would be certainly an inverse proportion to the number of people here per patient compared to the other centers across the State of Illinois. I think this is an add on that maybe we could do without and would ask for your negative vote on this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the you, Mr. House. I just make two points. One, a similar Amendment to this was considered by the Appropriations Committee and lost. Number two, we're talking about the expenditure of money that has to be contributed by other taxpayers. not forget the source of those revenues. Those revenues come out of the General Revenue Fund. The Department, careful consideration. after has made a determination. As we move away from institutionalization to a community-based mental health approach, obviously, there is going to have to be some reduction, and this has to be shared by all. And although I understand the position the Gentleman comes from and one that he should probably take as a Representative of that community, I think the have a responsibility to the rest of the us taxpayers in this state. And for that reason, I rise opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

again rise in support of my colleague's effort to adopt

this Amendment. Again, the issue here is Decatur, Illinois

and the surrounding area. It has been a tax exporting area

for a long time in the state and when it comes down to

state facilities or tax eaters, we have very few and far

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

between in the area. However, this is an issue that where Decatur area, again, which carries verv unemployment rate is going to lose state jobs, employees which are providing what is very service in State Government. essential And 1 would encourage everyone to vote "yes" on this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn to close."

- Dunn, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the One of the previous speakers probably did not understand the circumstances, but as my colleague Meyer indicates, this is important to our area. But it's not only important to our area, it is important to our statewide philosophy of patient care. If this Amendment is adopted, the staff to patient ratio for the next year at the Adolf Meyer Zone Center in Decatur will statewide projected average next year is 1.67. Our standards of care will be inadequate if this Amendment is We need this Amendment. I would ask the not adopted. Members to support this Amendment and support it with their vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #10. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, Marzuki, amends Senate Bill 1569."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cock, Representative Marzuki."
- Marzuki: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  Amendment #11 takes care of a problem that exists with

  three of our mental health centers, the Ludeman Center, the

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Howe Center, and the Waukegan Center. These facilities were designed as cottage-type facilities, and historically, they have been populated at eight to a house. They are now at ten. We don't think we can do much about this, but our staff/patient direct care ratio has dropped to less than This Amendment will provide funds to increase the level of staff/patient ratio to its historic levels. It is very necessary in this kind of a facility that we maintain these levels if the patient care is not to deteriorate. the present time, we have a great deal of double shifting and in some... in one case that I know of triple shifting, is a physical impossibility. Anvon∈ who anything about care in our mental health facilities knows that it's a high turnover rate, because it's a very demanding and a very difficult job. Without these funds, we're going to ensure that we have poor patient care and that morale of our workers will be low. I think this is modest request. The facilities are not going to be maintained at a level that is reasonable and decent, and I think that we need to provide these funds for those people who are not represented by a large constituency in this I urge your \*aye\* wote on this Amendment." state.

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Amendment, not because the Gentleman misstated the facts, but he didn't go far enough. What he should have added is that we have already in Committee added back 1.8 million dollars to... just to these three centers, and we took care of some additional downstate centers. So the total add back for these developmental disability centers has been two and a half

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

million dollars. Now that's a pretty big add back. Ladies and Gentlemen. We had to shift some money around, and it added over a million dollars to the bottom line. And great difficulty, but we did, I think, go did this with part of the way. Now, the Gentleman wishes to go couple million dollars. The problem with that, and I'd like to particularly address my comments to downstate Representatives, is that over the last year, there have been a 160 or so discharges from state facilities to the community settings, almost all of which, almost all of which has been... has taken place downstate. These particular centers are overcrowded, partly because they haven't held up their end of the bargain, and it seems to that again. in the interest of fairness and equity, all centers throughout the state that...that have participate equally in these programs. And a failure to do SO should not result in a remard. Ιf we adopt this Amendment, Ladies and Gentlemen, we will be rewarding centers for not doing what other centers have done, and that is the real problem with the Amendment. That is the real reason I oppose it."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of I stand in opposition to this Amendment. what this Amendment does is to take an additional 2.7 million dollars on top of a 1.8 million dollars that have already been transferred into three particular centers, three out of 23 centers. The reason that there are some extra people in these centers is because we, you know, we haven't moved those people out into those communities those areas. That's on line. That's going to happen. What we're doing is backing the system up by appropriating

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

this amount of money, 2.7 million dollars, into three centers out of 23 in the State of Illinois. It creates an inequity, and I stand strongly opposed to this Amendment and would hope that the Members would oppose it, too."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Marzuki, to close."

Marzuki: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to just state that Representative Bowman is slightly in error. These so called discharges have been scheduled for over a year now. They have not been made. I doubt whether they will be made anytime the near future. We will remain overcrowded because the community facilities are not available. They have not. they have not followed through. The Department now has not provided the funds to support those people who were supposed to be transferred out almost a year ago. still there. They have also added in all kinds of support personnel to get those figures. I will remind you once more that the direct care ratio is down - that we are giving less than adequate care to our people. I would urge you to vote "yes" on this Amendment. I think it's the only fair thing to do. What we're doing if we do not is to cut care to a level that is lower than it has been in the past. We're creating problems. We're creating problems for our We're going to increase the various kinds of awards given to people for injury because of the long hours that some must work. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11. The guestion is, "Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?" All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment fails. Purther Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, Bowman, amends Senate Bill 1569."

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman, Amendment #12."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I apologize for adding this one on the floor rather than bringing it up in Committee. The problem was not brought to my attention until recently, because the Chicago Board of Bealth MI grants do not include the 1.05 million dollars that we're asking for here that had been directly appropriated in fiscal '84. This is money was appropriated in '84 but was not included in the budget in '85. We think that the triage program which this has supported is worthy of continuous support, and so I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #12. The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Br. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an Amendment that's a bit of a surprise to me. I understand this Amendment is for the Chicago Board of Health. This came on the floor without any knowledge on this side of the aisle. It also was not spoken to or spoken about in Committee. I would think that this is a million plus dollars for a program, and I'm not sure what the program is, and I would stand opposed to it. I would like a Boll Call vote, too."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Marzuki."

Marzuki: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will."

Marzuki: "Would you briefly describe the program for which this one million some odd dollars is being appropriated?"

Bowman: "Yes, just a moment, please. Representative, the
Department of Public Aid apparently had, I'm advised, asked
the City to establish this program. The triage program is
an arrangement whereby a person walks into a mental health

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

center and they have a problem. Okay? Obviously, they have a problem, but the real question is, what kind of treatment is necessary? So they have to make kind of a preliminary diagnosis, if you will, a screening determine whether the person should go to an acute care facility in a hospital, whether they should be placed in some kind of community setting or whether they can be simply counselled and maybe provided some medication That's what the triage program does. It's sent home. important for making the rest of the system work properly. mean, if we have all these residential settings and all these community placement programs and yet, we don't have away of directing traffic, so to speak, and getting people into the right program, then those programs go for naught, and that's what this ... program does. It's really a traffic flow program to make sure people get in the right program. "

Narzuki: "Does this represent an add on, Representative Bowman?
Was it included by the Department in the original budget?"
Bowman: "No. It was not included by the Department in its original budget and like I say, I..."

Marzuki: "I'm sorry, I did not here that last..."

Bowman: "Gkay."

Marzuki: "I wonder if we could have a little guiet in the House so I can here Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Okay. Does the Gentleman have a further question?"

Marzuki: "The further question was, was this criginally presented in the budget? I did not hear that answer, Representative Eowman."

Bowman: "Okay. I said no. It was not included in the original budget. The budget is \$593,000,000, and it's easier in a budget that size to find out what is there than what isn't there, and I just realized after the Bill had passed

132nd Legislative Day

June 12. 1984

through Committee that this money was not included. I applopize for not bringing it to the Committee's attention sconer. Had I realized I would have brought it to your attention sconer."

Marzuki: "Does this purport to relieve the problems that we find at Ludeman, Howe and Waukegan in transferring those patients to the proper place?"

Bowman: "Well, I think the purpose of this Amendment is slightly different. If a... A triage program really deals basically with people who come in off the street, who presents themselves to the community mental health center and saying, 'I've got problems. Help me.' And furthermore. it's a twenty-four hour program, Bepresentative. and day during the holiday period regardless, this program is available. So someone has a problem, crisis in their life, they walk in and say, "Help me", this program will determine the best kind of motive treatment that is available at that moment: and, if that requires hospitalization, then they'll send him to a hospital. If that does not require hospitalization, then they'll make sure they get into the most appropriate treatment mode. It's really a screening program, Representative. That's what it is, a screening program."

Marzuki: "Thank you. To the Bill. I would say that along with the rejection of some of the other Amendments that have been offered. I think we would have to reject this, since again, it will affect the direct care program that we now have. I don't think we can launch a new program until adequately fund at the historic levels those programs which we already have. I understand the goals of the Department is to reduce the funding for mental health. I think it's a bad idea. I think that we are, again, taking the poorest segment of our community, the most underrepresented, and

132nd Legislative Day

June 12. 1984

utilizing their funds. I'm sure that this is an excellent program, or it wouldn't be proposed by the Representative from Evanston. But again, we're talking as the Representative did, about the availability of funds. We just don't have these funds now. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman, to close."

Bowman: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen, Members of the House.

Just to remind everybody this is a 24 hour a day, 365 day a

year program for people who are in crisis and who don't

know where to turn. That's... This is money, resource

money that had been in the budget for last year, and I hope

it was only an oversight that it was omitted this year.

Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #12. The question is, \*Shall Amendment #12 be adopted? All those in favor signify by voting \*aye\*, opposed vote no. Have all vcted? Shaw 'aye'. Representative Wolf, would you vote Representative Shaw aye ? Thank you. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, are 44 'ayes', 57 'nos', 1 voting Amendment #12 fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Beturning to page two of the Calendar, Senate Bills Third Reading, Short Detate, appears Senate Bill 1448, Representative Giorgi. Is Representative Giorgi in the chamber? Cut of the record. Bepresentative Breslin in the chair."

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 1459 (sic - Senate Bill 1459),
Representative Keane. Is the Gentleman in the chamber?
Representative Keane. Out of the record. House Bill 1596
(sic - Senate Bill 1596), Representative Stuffle. Clerk,

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1596, a Eill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Senate Bill 1596 is the formula language that drives the money for expenditure to the 37 community college systems in the State of Illinois. The Fill has been worked out with the appropriate people on the Democratic and Republican side in Committee. It came out of Committee unanimously. I think there is no opposition to it now. It provides for the basic credit hour grant language, credit hour rates, equalization rates, and I think that at this point in time, it is in the form that it ought to go to the Governor. The rates will plug into the formula appropriation, and I would move for adoption... passage of Senate Bill 1596."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of the Senate Bill 1596. And on that guestion, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a guestion?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman, this Bill is on the Order of Short Debate; but, since there is no one else seeking recognition, the Gentleman... Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "I'll abide by the rules this time."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Is there anyone who stands in opposition? As no one stands in opposition, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1596 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Bowman. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Eill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Bill 1598, Representative Peterson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1598, a Bill for an Act to amend the

School Code. Third Reading of the Fill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Peterson."

Peterson: "Thank you, Madam Chairman (sic - Speaker) and Members of the House. House Bill 1598 increases the Illinois State Scholarship Commission monetary award from 2,250 dollars to 2,400 dollars for full-time students and from 1,125 dollars to 1,200 dollars for part-time undergraduate students. This is based on an approximate 45 percent of the weighted tuition and fees at private institutions. This Bill is within the level of the Governor's budget. It's supported by the Board of Higher Ed and the State Scholarship Commission, and I would appreciate your affirmative vote on this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1598. And on that question, in opposition stands the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm.

This Bill is on Short Debate. Does anyone stand in opposition?"

Klemm: "Could I... Could I..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Could I ask the Fepresentative a guestion on this matter?"

Speaker Breslin: "As no one stands in opposition, please proceed with the question."

Klemm: "Representative, my question is only, have you considered, rather than increasing the monetary awards, that we use that extra money for the monetary awards to give more scholarships out at the same level? Would that serve more residents, more students in Illinois?"

Peterson: "Presently, this Bill would fund 118,000 scholarships, which is, I believe, slightly higher than last year. To

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

basically maintain the amount or the percentage used for the weighted vote with the private schools, the amount will stay the same. In order to spread out the scholarships to more people, we would have to lower the monetary award."

Klemm: "Well, if you just left the amount of the award as it is but go ahead with the full dollar amount, aren't you increasing, then, the number of scholarships you could give?"

Peterson: "Yes, we would, but it would be at a lower rate."

Klemm: "And it's your opinion that you feel that it's letter to increase the amount for those that are receiving the award than giving more a helping hand?"

Peterson: "At this present time, yes."

Klemm: "Alright. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1598 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Representative Bowman. The Clerk will
take the record. On this question there are 106 voting
'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This
Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is
hereby declared passed. House Bill 1714, Representative
Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill. That was Senate Bill 1714."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1714, a Bill for an Act in relationship to the proper licensing of persons operating school busses. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Senate
Bill 1714 is a Bill that provides that those persons in...
in corporations that operate school busses shall not hire
any person unless those persons have valid licenses to
drive those particular school busses. This Bill was
introduced in the Senate by Senator Degnan. It came to his
attention that the National Highway Traffic Safety

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Administration ranked Illinois among the highest in school bus accidents for miles driven in any state and that, in large part, is due to the qualifications of the drivers who drive the busses. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1714 raised the bus permit - bus driver permit, I should say - in Cook County from \$2 to \$4. That is similar to House Bill 2972 which passed this House by a vote of a 105 to 2 a few weeks ago. I would appreciate the support of the House ion the passage of Senate Bill 1714."

Speaker Breslin: "This Bill is on the Order of Short Debate.

Does anyone rise in opposition? Would anyone like to ask a question? Representative Erunmer for a question."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Sponsor will yield for a question."

Brummer: "Representative Steczo, we had a similar Bill that passed out of the House here. I think included in that Bill was an increased penalty for speeding in a school zone. Is that in this Bill?"

Steczo: "No, it is not."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1714 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will

take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting
'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This

Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is

hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1879, Representative

Vinson - Ryder. Representative Piel."

Piel: "Yes, Madam Speaker, could we take this out of the record for just a second? Representative Vinson is on his way in.

If we could just talk for a couple of seconds, he'll be here in just a second."

Speaker Breslin: "This is the end of the call, Representative

132nd Legislative Day

- June 12, 1984
- Piel, but we'll try to get back to it with..."
- Piel: "Maybe... Maybe... You see, he's walking over here right now. Maybe we could ask leave of the House to waive Rule 65(b) while the present Speaker is in the chair, and if you'll take that nice and slow, by that time, he'll be back."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman would like to waive the appropriate rule while the present Speaker is in the chair.

  Hearing no objection, the appropriate is waived."
- Piel: "Guess he's walking slow."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ryder, would you like to proceed on the Bill? Let's take this Bill cut of the record, and we'll try to come back to it, Representative Piel. Senate Bills Third Beading, Senate Bill 541, Representative Hawkinson. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 541, a Bill for an Act to amend the

  Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

  Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill attempts to codify the Constitutional Amendment that was passed several years ago regarding bail procedures. It's in the same form as the Constitutional Amendment that was passed regarding what offenses are not bailable, being those that may be subject to life imprisonment, and I would urge the passage of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 541. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, "Shall Senate Bill 541 pass?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting "aye", none voting "no", and none voting "present". This Bill, having received the

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1421, Representative Jaffe - White. Gut of the Senate Bill 1481, Representative LeFlore -Representative Levin. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1650, Representative Cullerton. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Out of the record. Senate Representative Steczo. Senate Bill 1708. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1708, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relationship to clerks of the courts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. House
Bill 1708 (sic - Senate Bill 1708) is the same Bill as
House Bill 2892 which passed this House overwhelmingly in
the last few weeks, and this is the Bill that provides for
stipends for clerks of the Circuit Court in the State of
Illinois. And Amendment #1 by Representative O'Connell was
one that related to some fees in Cock County in the Cock
County Circuit Court Clerk's Office that updates the fees
in that respect. I would answer any questions and would
appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1708. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1708 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take DeJaegher. the record. On this question, there are 83 voting 23 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the necessary Constitutional Majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1755, Representative Homer. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1798, Representative

### 132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

- Christensen. Clerk, read... No. Cut of the record. Senate Bill 1812, Representative Nash. Cut of the record. Senate Bill 1841, Representative Keane McGann. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1844, Representative Braun Representative White. Out of the record. Representative McPike is recognized on a Mction."
- McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to waive the appropriate rules so that Senate Bill 1924 can be heard in Rules immediately following Session today."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to waive the appropriate rule so that Senate Bill 1924 can be heard immediately by the Rules Committee today. Hearing no objection, the Motion carries. When will the Rules..."
- McPike: "Madam Speaker, I would like to announce a meeting of the Bules Committee in the Speaker's Conference Boom in the back corridor immediately following adjournment this afternoon."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Chair is prepared to adjourn. Are there any announcements? Are there any other announcements?

  Bepresentative McPike."
- NcPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move the House stands adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves that we adjourn until the hour of 12:00 noon tomorrow. Hearing no objection, this House stands adjourned. There will be a Rules Committee meeting immediately in the Speaker's Conference Room. A Rules Committee meeting immediately in the Speaker's Conference Room. Representative Satterthwaite, for what reason do you rise?"
- Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I move to suspend the appropriate rule so that the Higher Education Committee can meet this afternoon to consider the subject

132nd Legislative Day

June 12, 1984

matter of Senate Bill 1875. I don't believe there is any objection to that. Last week when we voted the Bill out on the House floor, it was determined that we would meet again on the subject matter."

Speaker Breslin: "Unfortunately, Representative Satterthwaite, the House is adjourned. So it will be impossible to entertain that Motion right now. We'll have to entertain that Motion tomorrow. We adjourned about two or three minutes ago."