128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. Members will be in their Chairs. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Merwin Masters, Pastor of Faith Tabernacle Church in Mahomet, Illinois. Reverend Masters is a guest of Representative Tim Johnson. Will the guests in the balcony please rise and join us in the invocation?"

Reverend Masters: "Shall we pray? Our Lord and our God. recognize You as the Supreme Lord of the universe and that You are the one that has ordained that men and women appointed to offices of authority in government over us, and we would invoke Your blessing upon each one of them today; that they may have the mind to think clearly and to consider carefully all of the issues that are at stake. pray that You would help each of them to deliberate; and, as they think, may they make those decisions as they feel would be pleasing and honoring under Your Name. Lord, that You would bless them that they may make those laws that will lift up holiness, righteousness, justice and integrity in this great nation. Bless each Member of this Assembly, we pray today, and may they feel your divine presence throughout the entire day; that they might wisdom and quidance in the times of need. Bless not only this Assembly, but this great state and this great of ours: that Your hand might be upon it, and we might listen to the Voice of God and walk in the ways of the Lord, for the Scripture says that righteousness exalted the nation, but sin is a reproach to any nation. We pray now that you would minister and quide and be with each one. In Jesus' Precious Name, Amen."

Speaker McPike: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all "

Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. 112 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, we have no excused absences this morning."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Senate Bills First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1534, Nash, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the State Comptroller. First Reading of the Bill. 1546, Nash, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Court of Claims and the State Comptroller. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1553, Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Civil Service Commission. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1555, Younge, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Corrections. First Beading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1556, Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for Act making an appropriation for the ordinary contingent expense of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1557, Hastert - Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Emergency Services and Disaster Agency. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1561, Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriatons for the ordinary and contingent expense of the General Assembly Retirement First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1563, Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Judges! Retirement System. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

1564. Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1566. Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for an Act provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Office of Lieutenant Governor. First Reading of the Bill. 1568, Hastert - Daniels, a Bill for an Act Senate Bill making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Medical Center Commission. Pirst Reading of Senate Bill 1571. Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for the Bill. an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary contingent expense of the Pollution Control Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1573, Bastert - Daniels, Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Prisoner Review Board. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1575, Hastert - Daniels, Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Governor's Purchase Care First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill Review Board. 1576, Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Bacing Board. First Reading of the Bill. 1610, Nash, a Bill for an Senate Bill Act appropriations to certain state agencies. First Reading of Senate Bill 1611, Greiman, a Bill for the Bill. an making appropriations to the State Board of Education for the disbursement of certain monies. First Reading of the Senate Bill 1578, Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for an Bill. Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the State Employees' Retirement System. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1581, Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the and contingent expense of the Public School Teachers' Pension and Retirement Fund. First Reading of the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Senate Bill 1582, Barnes - Daniels, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Teachers' Betirement System. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1586, Nash, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for certain officers and agencies. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative Neff is excused today, please?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1002, Krska."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
House Resolution 1002, Krska, resolves that the week of May
27th through June 2nd be declared Neighborhood Housing
Service Week. I move for the adoption of the Agreed
Resolution."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. The Lady from Lake, Representative Frederick."
- Frederick: "Alright. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to suspend House Rule 37 and 84 with regard to House Bill 3277."
- Speaker McPike: "You have heard the Lady's Motion. She moves to suspend House Bule 37 and 84 with regard to House Bill 3277. The purpose of this will be to eventually place this on Second Legislative Day. All those in favor of the Motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries."

Prederick: "Then, Mr. Speaker ... "

128th Legislative Day

- May 31, 1984
- Speaker McPike: "Excuse me. That... The Motion on that was leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Are there any objections?

 Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Frederick."
- Prederick: "Alright. Mr. Speaker, then I move to take from the table and place on Second Reading Second Legislative Day House Bill 3277."
- Speaker NcPike: "The Lady asks leave to use the Attendance Roll
 Call to take House Bill 3277 from the table and place on
 Second Reading Second Legislative Day. And on that,
 Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Representative Prederick, is it your intention to amend the Bill in any way today? Do you want to move the Bill to Third Reading today? I can't hear you."
- Prederick: "Yes. I would like very much to do that."
- Cullerton: "Okay. We haven't had a chance to analyze the Bill yet, and I have no objections to moving it to Third Reading; but, if we do come up with some technical problems or a need of any Amendments, you would certainly be willing to bring it back to Second Reading for that purpose?"
- Frederick: "Representative Cullerton, I could leave it on Second."
- Cullerton: "Well, if you could, perhaps, do that until... just until we have a chance to look at it, and then, perhaps, if there's no problems... As you know, I'm a Cosponsor of the Bill with you."
- Frederick: "Okay. Yes. Right."
- Cullerton: "I'm not in any way opposed to it. I just want to take a chance to look at it."
- Frederick: "Okay. Mr. Speaker ... "
- Speaker McPike: "No further discussion. Representative Frederick."
- Frederick: "Would the Clerk read the Bill on Second Reading?"

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Speaker McPike: "Hearing no objections, leave is granted. The
Attendance Roll Call will be used, and House Bill 3277 is
placed on Second Reading Second Legislative Day. Read the
Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3277, a Bill for an Act to create an Act to provide for the inspection, licensing and regulation of carnival and amusement rides and equipment and to provide for the safety of the public using carnival and amusement rides and equipment. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker McPike: "Representative, it's your intent to leave this, then, on Second Reading? Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Yes, if I could leave it on Second Reading, and we get our problems resolved, could we move it to Third today?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Frederick: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Second Reading. Senate Bills Third Reading,
page four of the Calendar, appears Senate Bill 294,
Representative Jaffe. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 294, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, basically,

Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 294 is now the Bill, and it

really addresses just a small area of the law. It talks

about non-marital property and adds to the items currently

deemed non-marital property - property, including income,

acquired more than one year after the parties began

occupying separate abodes and continue to reside

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

separately. And also, it provides that the marital property shall be divided without regard to marital misconduct, except that the court may - and I underline 'may' - take into consideration marital property where there has been one spouse convicted of the crime of solicitation, conspiracy or attempt to murder the other spouse during the marriage. That, basically, is the Bill, and I would be happy to answer any questions with regard to it."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate
Bill 294. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question."

Speaker McPike: "Indicates he will."

Jaffe: "Always. Always. Always a delight to yield to.. "

Greiman: "Well, maybe not, Representative Jaffe. Representative Jaffe, do I understand that somehow this is in response to a case where one party is guilty of some kind of a violent action against another?"

Jaffe: "Well, that's only part of it. That's a very small...
You're talking about the ... case. Yeah."

Greiman: "Eut, it's part of the Bill."

Jaffe: "But I understand what your problem was with it."

Greiman: "I don't have a problem, necessarily."

Jaffe: "Okay. Okay. But what happened was, in the prior Bill that we... that was attempted to pass the Legislature, that was mandatory. In this particular Bill, all that we're saying is that the Judge may... may."

Greiman: "What are the factors... What are the factors that a Judge would take into consideration? I mean, if... if a husband hit her with a hammer, do we knock off a little bit, or if he hit her with an axe, it was a little hit

128th Legislative Day

- May 31, 1984
- more? How would you... How would you make that decision, Representative Jaffe?"
- Jaffe: "I think that that would be relatively easy, because the language says that if one spouse is convicted... First of all, there has to be a conviction of the crime of solicitation, conspiracy or attempt to murder the other spouse during the marriage. So, I... "
- Greiman: "What would be the factors? In other words, let's assume that a wife had all the money in the family. She had brought all the money into the family and put it into the... put it into the family coffers. It was now marital property. And now, she was convicted of some crime or other, because... you know, which I... which we won't condone, of course..."
- Jaffe: "Well, you understand, of course, this can't be just any crime. This is where she's attempting to kill her husband."
- Greiman: "No, no. A crime violence aimed at the husband. A crime of violence aimed at the husband, or vice versa."
- Jaffe: "She's attempting to kill her husband."
- Greiman: "So now, we're... the court is... Now they're in divorce court, and the court looks at their marital property, all of which she furnished, or all of which he furnished, and what will the court use as a... as a guide as to whether she should forfeit some of her property or whether he should forfeit some of his property? What kind of guide does one use for that?"
- Jaffe: "Well, no. We have to... you know, it's very broad, you know, and it basically says that the court may take that into consideration. As you know, at the present time, if a spouse tries to kill the other spouse, the court can't even take that into consideration."
- Greiman: "What I am asking you, though... I understand that,

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

but... Now, if you were a Judge, Representative Jaffe, if you were a Judge, what would... what consideration would you give? How would you divide it up? What factors would you... would you decide?"

Jaffe: "Well, not wanting to prejudge any case that came before me, Representative Greiman, I would wait to see what the facts are. If you would want to give me a set of facts, I would tell you how I would rule."

Greiman: "Wife is... Alright. The husband is guilty of attempt.

The... All of the property is the wife's, and... or no.

All of the property is the husband's also. All the marital

property was the husband's, and now we're in the divorce

court. What do we do? How do we divvy it up? What factor

do we use? It was an attempt."

Jaffe: "Well, basically, is there a conviction? I mean..."

Greiman: "Yes, of course. There has to be a conviction ... "

Jaffe: "You know, what we're talking about is ... "

Greiman: "Yes."

Jaffe: "... there's a conviction of one spouse trying to kill the other."

Greiman: "Conviction for attempt. Attempting. Attempt."

Jaffe: "Attempt to kill the other. Okay. But there has been a conviction of it. It's just not an allegation."

Greiman: "Absolutely. That's part of the condition of procedure."

Jaffe: "So all that you can really say in that particular instance is that the court now has leeway to take into consideration whether or not they should give that... Say... Say you have one spouse who's now going to get half the property because she tried to kill her husband. Do you think that that's fair? I don't think that's..."

Greiman: "Mr. Jaffe, I don't know what's fair, but it's quite obvious you don't know..."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Speaker McPike: "Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman.

Could we have some attention, please? There is so much noise in the chamber that the Members cannot hear the discussion. Proceed."

Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Greiman: "This case... This Section or Amendment to the Divorce Act comes out of a case where there was a woman who attempted to have her husband bumped off. She felt she would avoid lawyers fees, I quess, by that device and was caught; and, subsequently, during the divorce, they found that the court had to give her her marital property. The truth of the matter is that that made sense - that we have civil actions. We have no forfeiture in our law. are fines. There are criminal penalties. And what this is it mixes up the civil law with the criminal does We don't have forfeiture for crimes in Illinois, we ought not to have it. We have, instead, a sensible Divorce Act that allows the Judge leeway to divide the property between the parties based on marital property, based on all the factors that are in there. Representative Jaffe's Bill sets no standards for the court one standard. So the court would just kind of ... decide that, well maybe I should cenalize this busband. Maybe this husband isn't entitled to that marital property, even though it's all his contribution. It's a forfeiture statute. It mixes criminal law with civil law. It's wrong... It's a wrong concept. It's based on the emotionalism of a case that came down from the Supreme and I think that this Bill should be postponed indefinitely for consideration. I'm going to vote 'no' it."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Bepresentative McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Indicates he will."

Jaffe: "Yeah, I will."

McCracken: "Representative, does House Amendment #2 become the Bill? Does it supersede all prior Amendments?"

Jaffe: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear you."

McCracken: "Does House Amendment #2 become the Bill? Does it...

Jaffe: "Yes, it becomes the Bill."

- McCracken: "Okay. It is a change in current law, is it not, to make non-marital property all property acquired during separation. Is that a change in current law?"
- Jaffe: "No. Well, it's not... I don't think it's really... it's really that drastic a change in current law. Basically, what this Bill says is that after the parties have lived separate and apart for one year, the new property that they acquire now becomes non-marital property."
- McCracken: "And is the requirement that the separation be continuous?"
- Jaffe: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear that guestion."
- McCracken: "Does any period of reconciliation defeat the 12 month period?"
- Jaffe: "The Bill basically says that the property, including income acquired more than one year after the parties began occupying separate abodes and continue to reside separately."
- McCracken: "Alright. Now, if there were a brief reconciliation..."
- Jaffe: "Then, they would not... then they would have not continued..."
- McCracken: "Would you start the 12 months over?"

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Jaffe: "I guess so, according to the Amendment. Sure."

McCracken: "Okay. And current law makes all property acquired during the marriage marital, whether they are separated or not. Is that right?"

Jaffe: "Well, that is correct. But you know, very often the woman will go out to try to, you know, start her own life, and so on and so forth, and she will acquire this property.

And it's the philosophy of the Bill that that property should be hers, and I think it should."

McCracken: "Okay. And it works both ways. The man's, as well?"

Jaffe: "Oh, sure. Yeah, no question."

McCracken: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Jaffe, to close."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this is a good Bill, and the first portion of it. I don't think that there's any problem with that. That's the portion dealing with non-marital property. And when Representative Greiman says that there's a forfeiture under this Bill, that just isn't correct. There is no forfeiture under this particular Bill. Basically, what the Bill is that the Judge, you know, may take into consideration the fact that one spouse has been convicted of attempting kill or kill the other spouse in divvying up the property. I don't think that there's anything wrong with that concept, and I think it's a good Bill, and I think that it deserves a "yes" vote, and I would so move."

Speaker NcPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 294. The question is, "Shall Senate Bill 294 pass?"

All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed vote "no". Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 47 "ayes", 26 "nos", 32 voting "present". This Bill, having

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. Senate Bill 1657, Representative Oblinger. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1657, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Medical Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, this amends the Medical Practice Act. The Bill has been agreed among the Director of Registration and Education, the Illinois Medical Society, the Illinois Chiropractic Society and the Illinois Osteopathic Society. It raises the license fees, which is very necessary at this time, and also puts the interest on their funds into the same fund as And if this Bill does not pass, the the fees do. license... the amount of money will be running out within the next couple of days, which will mean that the license renewals cannot be sent out, and that will mean a number of employees will lose their jobs. But in addition, there would not be time to discern the validity of the licensee renewal request, nor the malpractice insurance background. It's very important that we discuss and take action on this Bill today."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate
Bill 1657. On that, the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Would the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Indicates she will."

Cullerton: "Representative Oblinger, could you describe to us how
the monies are raised for this Illinois State Medical
Disciplinary Fund?"

Oblinger: "It's made up of the fees collected from the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Cullerton: "And what is the ... And what is the money used for?"

Oblinger: "The money is used for the hearings that they must hold

on the licenses that are challenged. It is also used for

the renewal procedure."

Cullerton: "And why is there a depletion of the Fund? I understand that the money is about to run out of the Fund.

Is that correct?"

Oblinger: "That's right. They had... As of April 25th, they had \$158,777. The employees who work under the Medical Disciplinary Fund are paid out of the Fund. That was \$105. The Board members for the hearings were \$120,000, and the contractual, travel, telecommunications is estimated at 39,000, and that would leave a minus balance of \$223. And that's why they need it."

Cullerton: "Now, this is called a Medical Disciplinary Board? Is
that correct? There is also a Medical Disciplinary Board
that meets to..."

Oblinger: "Yes."

Cullerton: "And is this Fund related to that Board?"

Oblinger: "This is the Medical Disciplinary Board."

Cullerton: "Right. And what does this Board do? Determines whether or not doctors have... should be disciplined?"

Oblinger: "This is the ... "

Cullerton: "Among other things?"

Oblinger: "Isn't this the Board that... This is the Board that hears, such as the Bar Association's Disciplinary Board.

This one hears those members who have been alleged to have done improper things."

Cullerton: "Right. Now, it's been my understanding that the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission is very active in looking to cleanup its profession, and I just wondered if the reason why this Fund is being depleted in the medical profession is because there's been an increase

128th Legislative Day

- May 31, 1984
- in challenges or complaints filed concerning malpractice on the part of doctors."
- Oblinger: "No, the reason the money was depleted, they have not raised their renewal fees for many, many years, and that's why this Bill also has that part in it. They've raised them by \$10, from 25 to 35, because the cost of running the Board the employees' and the Board members' costs have gone up since the last increase. With the increase, they don't think they'll ever have this problem."
- Cullerton: "Well, I'm just... Do you know if there's been any increase in the... in the complaints concerning medical malpractice?"
- Oblinger: "No. I'm informed by the young lady from the Department, there has not been an increase."
- Cullerton: "Well, that is a problem in and of itself, isn't it?

 Since there has been a tremendous increase and we know a number of lawsuits filed against doctors because of medical malpractice, why hasn't the Medical Disciplinary Board gone after their own doctors to a greater extent?"
- Oblinger: "One of the reasons, I believe, is because of the divided responsibility in the medical profession, on the licensing as against the grievance and disciplinary. The Bar Association, as I understand it, the two work together. This one has been very difficult. They haven't had that kind of staff, but they intend to have the staff. I think the... I know the number of malpractice suits have increased immeasureably, and that would lead me to believe that they would have more disciplinary action to take."
- Cullerton: "Do you think they're asking for enough money? Maybe they need more."
- Oblinger: "No, I think with a \$10 increase from the osteopaths and from the doctors and from the chiropractors, we ought to be safe."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

- Cullerton: "Alright. Thank you very much for answering my questions. I'm through, Mr. Speaker. Sorry to bother you."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Oblinger, to close."
- Oblinger: "The reason I am the Sponsor of this Bill and understand the problems, as some of you and most of you know, probably, I used to be the Assistant Director of that Department and realized that the staff that is necessary to carry out these directives must be paid out of the funds of those associations and professions, and I believe this Bill will relieve us of any further problems for the next several years, and I would ask for an affirmative vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for passage of Senate Bill The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1657 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 114 'ayes', no 'mays', none voting 'present', and 1657, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bills Third Reading, Special Subject Constitutional Officers. appears House Bill 2729, Representative Woodyard. Representative Woodyard."
- Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave to return this Bill to Second Beading for the purpose of an Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return House Bill 2729 to Second Reading for Amendments. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. House Bill 2729, Second Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, Greiman, amends House Fill 2729 on page three and so forth."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Greiman, Amendment #3."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

House. Amendment #3 amends the Secretary of State's budget to provide for the start up costs dealing with the 'chop-shop' legislation that we passed the other day. The... Ultimately, the... and the revised fiscal note indicates this, that ultimately this will not only be... pay for itself - this program - but it will make money for the state. This is the start-up costs, and I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Woodyard."

- Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave to... for immediate consideration on Third Reading."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to waive the appropriate rules, so that Bouse Fill 2729 can be heard on Third Reading at this time. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2729, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Secretary of State. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Edgar, Representative Woodyard."
- Moodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the annual appropriation for the Secretary of State's Office for a total now, with the two House Amendments, of \$170,533,236. It does represent a 10.59% increase. A lot of that are as a result of the new programs that the House and Senate have passed in ... the areas. It is an increase of 16 million dollars, over half

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

of which is from these new programs. I*d be glad to answer any questions you might have, or move for its passage."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House
Bill 2729. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from
Ccok, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Was the Secretary of State asked, at one time, to allow his offices to be used for voter registration, and he said that it could not be used for voter registration?"

Woodyard: "I don't know."

Leverenz: "Could you explain, on page two, line 22, what the money is being appropriated for from the Lottery Fund,

inasmuch as the Secretary of State doesn't have a lottery?"

Woodyard: "Yes, it's my understanding that..."

Leverenz: "Well, they do for vanity plates."

Woodyard: "They what?"

Leverenz: "I had to correct myself. They really do, for lottery plates, but... it's \$140,000...or, vanity plates."

Woodyard: "Yes, it's my understanding that when the Secretary of
State does take over the Department of Revenue Building,
that that line item will... is put in there for the portion
of that building that is used by the Lettery, and it's for
utility costs."

Leverenz: "Could you explain what Bepresentative Stuffle's position might be on this Bill?"

Woodyard: "Prone."

Leverenz: "Pardon me?"

Woodyard: "Prone."

Leverenz: "He's prone?"

Woodyard: "You asked us... You asked what his position is."

Leverenz: "Oh, his position is he's still prone today? I'm glad

I'm here today. What is the percent of increase in General

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Revenue funds?"

Woodyard: "About 9%."

Leverenz: "Nine percent. And what is the salary increases for employees in this Bill?"

Woodyard: "4.25%."

Leverenz: "How are they going to handle reassignments and requests under the new replating program?"

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion?"

Leverenz: "I asked a question for which he has not responded."

Woodyard: "He had a question. We understand that they are...
will be hiring intermittent personnel - 73 intermittent
clerks."

- Leverenz: "Why would they have to hire 73 intermittent clerks?

 Because the regular ones aren't getting it done, or would they be hired right prior to the general election, or would these be commission employees that are going to get pink slipped?"
- Woodyard: "It's my understanding from the staff that due to the cyclical effect of the work, that it is felt that this is a better way to handle it by hiring the intermittent rather than the other kind."
- Leverenz: "The word is 'cyclical', I understand, from my Parliamentarian."

Woodyard: "Not in southern Illinois."

- Leverenz: "I have another... I have another guestion. In many cases, people ask for a vanity plate, and they cannot produce that vanity plate. And everybody gets renewal stickers with a certain color and a month on them. When did they start issuing yellow stickers with a big, black 'T', meaning 'temporary', on it? When did they start doing that, and why?"
- Woodyard: "I do not know, but I certainly would be glad to take that up with the Secretary, and if you have... "

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Leverenz: "I just said to my staff, "Bozell" doesn't know, so you don't know."

Hoodyard: "... vanity plates, maybe we could take that up, too."

Leverenz: "Mr. 'Bozell' doesn't know the answer to when they started issuing temporary stickers? It seems to me that they cannot produce the plates that fast, or something's breaking down in the system that they'd have to go to a temporary sticker, and I didn't know that the police were notified of this problem. But, could you find out why the Secretary of State is issuing those 'T's? And maybe they just can't produce, or... Those are all produced, I believe, in Danville. Is that correct?"

Woodyard: "Decatur."

Leverenz: "In Decatur? Is it the facility can't produce them that fast?"

Woodyard: "I think they're just in the start up program, as of right now, on those plates, and there may be some delay."

Leverenz: "Did we ever solve the problem of why we're getting

Mexican steel that's too heavy and; therefore, we're paying

out more in postage?"

Woodyard: "I understand that that problem has been solved. We're now using tortillas."

Leverenz: "Well, the question is serious because VOLL specifications for license plates call for a two set... a set of plates, two each, and you have produced a line item for postage, or you pay out postage based on the weight of those license plates. And what is happening in those plates made in Texas in the prisons, are being made on steel that does not meet your specifications. Therefore, they are in breach of contract, and we are paying out more in postage than you have in the Bill. Is it your intent to come back for a supplemental?"

Woodyard: "No."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Leverenz: "You going to send them out third class? Are they producing plates that... "

Woodyard: "This is the last time that we'll have those plates."

Leverenz: "Gut of Mexico... Out of Texas?"

Woodyard: "Yeah. From Texas."

Leverenz: "Where will they be made then later?"

Woodyard: "Decatur. Decatur."

Leverenz: "Totally? All of them are going to be made in Decatur?

It's about time."

Woodyard: "Yes."

Leverenz: "If you could get the answer for the 'T' stickers, we'd appreciate that. And you're saying now all license plates meet the weight requirements?"

Woodyard: "Yes. It's my understanding as soon as we get the last of the Texas plate, yes, that will be taken care of."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Edgar, Representative Woodyard, to close."

Roodyard: "I think everybody understands this Eill well enough, and I3ve given the amounts in the Bill, and so, I would ask

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion, there are 105 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the necessary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Special Call for all appropriation Bills dealing with the issue of environment and public health, we will hear first, House Bill 2610, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2610, a Bill for an Act making

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Nuclear Safety. Third Reading of the

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2610 addresses the budget for the Department of Nuclear Safety: and, as amended, it is \$10,458,800. And the Amendments that were adopted adds 12,000 to printing line items for Division of Madiation Safety, adds \$30,000 for a contractual line item in Division of Nuclear Safety, \$2,870,000 from the NESP Fund for the Department. This funding will come for increasing fees charged power. The purpose is equipment and employees for safety oversight as follows: 1,500,000 for effluent remote computer monitoring systems in the Zion plant: 1,300,000 for two mobile laboratories and three positions to operate them: \$50,000 for local government emergency nuclear planning. I'd be willing to answer any questions, if there are any."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves for the passage of House Bill 2610. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Ereslin: "She will."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Could she explain Amendment #4 to the Bill? Would you explain Amendment 4?"

Barnes: "Yes. The increased monies will be allocated for the following purposes: 1.5 million for state of the art in-stack effluent monitoring system at Zion Nuclear Power Plant and update in the remote monitoring control computer systems; 1.3 million for two mobile laboratories and two analysis vehicles and updating emergency communications and data presentation equipment, three news staff, two

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

chemists, one computer technologist to operate the new mobile labs and operate the increased computer activity; and \$50,000 in increased authorization for reimbursement to local governments for their emergency planning activities."

Leverenz: "And how will this money be raised?"

Barnes: "Based on the fees to the nuclear power plants."

Leverenz: "And those fees will generate how much money?"

Barnes: "Over a period of about six years, approximately 26 million."

Leverenz: "Madam Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Ereslin: "Proceed."

Leverenz: "We pointed out yesterday when we adopted Amendment #4 and when the substantive language rassed, to those who have received criticism from utility rate payers, consumers, that this money will be directly passed on to the rate payer - the consumer - a total of \$26,000,000. You may have voted red or yellow on the substantive Bill. When you vote for this appropriation. you may find a release generated in your district saying that you just gave every electric consumer in the state an increase in utility billtheir So for those who want he conservative, for those who want to be responsive to their constituencies and for those who were proponents of the COB legislation and the entire package, I alert you to the fact you may want to vote red or yellow on this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Cullerton: "Representative Barnes, did you indicate, in your presentation, what the increase was - percentage increase was - over last year?"

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Barnes: "Representative, it is 33%, \$41,875,900."

Cullerton: "And the reason why it's so high is that because of this added money that Representative Leverenz was just talking about?"

Barnes: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "Without that money, what would the increase be?"

Barnes: "Would you like me to take this cut of the record,

Representative Cullerton, and we could discuss it?"

Cullerton: "No. I... As a matter of course, I thought that as a courtesy, whether it be a Republican or a Democratic Sponsor, that we were going to indicate what the percentage increase was for these appropriation Bills. That was the practice last year."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Vinson: "On a point of order, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "State your point."

Vinson: "What... In regard to Representative Cullerton's guestion, what he may not realize is that Representative Barnes was at her husband's side in surgery yesterday and was not aware of the conversation that took place yesterday in regard to the things that Mr. Cullerton wanted into the record. And I'm sure she will provide those things, but I don't believe he was aware of that particular situation."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good, Representative Vinson.

Representative Leverenz, can you answer the question?"

Leverenz: "Yes. In all funds, it's an 81% increase, and in GRF, 152% increase."

Speaker Breslin: "Thank you. The Gentleman from Cook,

Representative Levin. Oh, excuse me. Representative

Cullerton was not finished. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Representative Barnes, this Amendment that

Representative Leverenz was talking about - was this the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Bill - the substantive language - was that the Bill that was very hotly debated and resulted in passing by only a few votes? Do you recall whether that was the..."

Barnes: "That is correct, and Representative Churchill, I believe, handled that because that's his area."

Cullerton: "And that's the Bill where we got all the Republicans to vote for it, as many as we could, because it was considered to be perhaps a rate increase to utility payers.

Is that the same one?"

Barnes: "Representative Cullerton, is that the one you and I supported?"

Cullerton: "I know that I voted for it, as I recall."

Barnes: "I think I did, too."

Cullerton: "It got 61 votes. Is that right?"

Barnes: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin." "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I concur with the remarks of Bepresentative Leverenz. This is, in fact, a hidden rate increase for the utility subscribers. And I would say that, you know, if it was going to be used for a good purpose, it might have some justification. We're all concerned about safety. all concerned about the poor record of Commonwealth Edison and the ... some of the other utilities where they have But it seems to me that this is not going massive fines. to be used for a good purpose. We have the Department of Nuclear Safety that has been able to demonstrate over the years that it can't seem to do anything right. And to raise rates by millions of dollars so they can have another project, I really question. I would remind you that the Department of Nuclear Safety is that great agency that

can't seem to read the law; and, if you remember, we had

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

the X-Ray technician legislation, which simply provided for accreditation of X-Ray technicians and grandfathered in those that went before. But this Department couldn't seem to read that law and came forth with a full-blown licensing law; and, when the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules pointed out to them that there was no way they could do what they did, they decided they were going to go ahead anyway. And it took legislation by this Body, which passed overwhelmingly, to get the message to that agency that they are supposed to follow the law. So this agency has a lousy record in terms of what it's done in terms of safety, and I suggest that we vote for the consumer and vote against House Bill 2610."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I wonder. Madam Speaker. if you might restore order to the chamber. We're debating a very important issue here. Madam Speaker, a number of things have been said in regard to this Bill and to this agency and to the program providing some degree protection for the people who live around nuclear plants in this state. Now, I think Members might still recall - those who care about the long term view of things, anyway - that just a couple of years ago there was a tragic accident at Three Mile Island in Pennsylvania. One of things that was clearly illustrated at that Three Mile Island accident is that it is inadequate to totally rely on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission at the Federal Government level for the purpose of protecting people from those hazards that might be associated with nuclear power. what we have here is a proposal where the administration stepped foward with a suggestion that we independent monitors to determine whether or not there is a

128th Legislative Day

May 31. 1984

radiation leak at nuclear power plants. That can give the surrounding those plants advance notice substantial protection, and it can make sure that there are not leaks which we are not even aware of; that we will know what the story is. I would suggest that any Member who votes against this is voting against the environment and public safety. And they are inviting a complete closedown of a plant which would have much greater impact on rates than simply providing the monitors and the mechanisms to provide for some degree of public protection at these I cannot, in my wildest dreams, imagine how somebody could say that we ought not have some protection from radiation. And if you vote against this Eill, what you're doing. I would urge an "aye" vote on the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I rise so that it be clear that not everybody on this side of the aisle is in opposition to this Bill. On the one hand, I have heard it said that the Department of Nuclear Safety has a poor record of safety; yet, on the other hand, when we, by passing a Bill out here, give them the tools to make certain that they provide that type of safety, that they can monitor the levels of radioactivity and emissions so that we determine whether there may be an accident, I think that makes good public policy. I believe that I may have the only public official, when they put the nuclear plant in Zion at that location, to be opposed to that site. it's there now. Within our substantive legislation, Zion Nuclear Plant will be one of the sites that will have a monitoring device. That is proper, and rightly so, and I object to those who say that we shouldn't do that.

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

urge the Members to support this appropriation Bill so that we can work toward that safety. $^{\rm n}$

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis." "Thank you. Davis: Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Matijevich is absolutely correct, and those of you who live north and drive I-55, I'm sure when you drive by Braidwood, which is the one you can see, you shudder just a little bit. Well, those of us that live around, including you, Madam Speaker, around the facilities, as Representative Matijevich pointed out - how in the world - we understand the politics, Gentleman and Lady on the other side. understand the politics of what you're all about. But, you don't have to live there. Representative Van Christensen and I, and Representative Ereslin - a number of us have to live in the shadows of those things, and we want to make damn sure that they re safe. And you had cught not defeat this Bill on petty partisan politics but rise above that, and all your prattlings over there about what they have done or not done pales in comparison to what might happen if these safety arrangements are not put in place. So, this Bill better get out, and we better - even if half-baked - take a shot at it."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Ccok, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this legislation, and I'd like to point out a couple of things. First of all, this is an appropriation Bill. We passed a substantive Bill out of this chamber just last week - 3098 - that provides for new program expansion and the funding source for that program expansion. This Bill will not negate the effect of that funding source. This is the appropriation Bill for that new funding source. So if you don't have this Bill, you've

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

got the funding source in place, and the taxpayers will be nicked anyway. But there will not be any safety flowing from the new funds, because we don't ... we would not appropriate them if this Bill got killed. one reason why we ought to pass this legislation at this time. Another thing that I think everyone ought to keep in mind is that the Federal Government has legislation that guides state policy, and the various nuclear power plants face a very limited liability. If there is a nuclear accident, they are not liable for more than a couple of hundred million dollars; whereas, the actual cost to the communities could be substantially in excess of that. The Three Mile Island incident in Pennsylvania is costing a billion dellars. So, what we need to do is to make absolutely sure, to the maximum extent that we can, the safety of those nuclear power plants is assured. So. in the absence of private insurance, we need to redouble our efforts at the state level to monitor these facilities. And I think we should listen to the people who live the closest to those facilities, and they're urging an 'aye' vote, and I support them. And I urge an *aye * vote, too." Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes, to close."

Barnes: "Well, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
I would just like everybody to know that the cost that
they're talking about is approximately four cents per month
per customer, and to me, when we're saving many, many
lives, that's a small fee to pay. And I would recommend an
'aye' vote for this good Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall House Bill 2610 pass?"

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Fruncer asks to be voted

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Representative Mulcahey asks to be voted 'no'. 'no'-Representative Laurino asks to be voted 'aye'. On this Roll Call, there are, therefore, 90 voting 'aye', 9 voting 'no', 6 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the necessary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Environment and Public Health, appropriations Bills. appears House Pill 2641. Representative Hastert. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2641, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Health. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. House Eill 2641 is for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Public Health, and I'd be happy to answer any questions on that Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for passage of House Bill 2641. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? Seeing no dis... Representative Mulcahey, on the guestion."

Mulcahey: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Mulcahey: "Representative Hastert, what is the percent increase over and above last year?"

Hastert: "5.7, Sir."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Dunn, J.: "What... I see some Amendments to the Bill. What do
the Amendments do? Maybe there's only one Amendment. What
does it do?"

Hastert: "Amendment #1 moves some money around. It's federal funds - non-GRF funds - for maternal child health programs

128th Legislative Day

- May 31, 1984
- and long care service delivery systems for the developmentally disabled. Amendment #2 adds... "
- Dunn, J.: "Where is the... What is the Parents Too Soon Program funded by the Bobert Wood Johnson Foundation?"
- Hastert: "Would you repeat that question? I didn't hear that."
- Dunn, J. "What is the Parents Too Soon Program funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation?"
- Hastert: "That money, Representative, was some special gift money, and I'll try to find your answer. You want to know where the Parents Too Soon Program is?"
- Dunn, J.: "I just want to know what this is. It's in Amendment #1."
- Hastert: "It's \$40,000 from a private foundation. It was the Robert Wood Johnson foundation. Amendment #2... Do you want me to go on with... and Amendment #2 is an addition of \$995,000 for prenatal care initiatives. That was an Amendment that Representative McGann offered on the House floor, and what it does, basically, is fund hospitals across the state to educate medical groups and doctors on prenatal care."

Dunn, J.: "Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the guestion is, 'Shall House Bill 2641 pass?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the necessary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2627, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2627, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Environmental Frotection Agency.

 Third Beading of the Bill."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes, do you wish to bring this Bill back for Amendments? Representative Daniels, on that question."

Daniels: "I will be handling this Bill, Madam Speaker. Yes, I wish to bring it back to Second Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to
the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an
Amendment. And on that question, the Gentleman from Macon,
Representative Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "I object."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman objects. Bepresentative Daniels then moves - is that correct? - that this Bill return to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of Amendments. All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". This requires 71 votes. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 94 voting "aye", 3 voting "no", and 2 voting "present". And the Motion carries. This Bill is on the Order of Second Reading. Clerk, read the Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, Karpiel - Wojcik, amends House Bill 2627 as amended."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Karpiel."

Karpiel: "I move to ... I withdraw Amendment #4."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #4. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, McPike - Davis, amends House
Bill 2627 as amended."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike."

NcPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't want to waste any time, but I would like to spend a minute explaining this. Last year, the General Assembly directed the EPA to implement a permit and inspection fee for various hazardous waste dumps and on-site dumps in the State of Illinois, but we anticipated

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

that this would be a reasonable fee and a well worked out A company in my area had been inspected once a year for the last 10 years on the impoundments that they The EPA then decided that since the company itself was going to pay for inspections, they would change that from a once-a-year inspection to something a little more to their liking. It seemed to me reasonable if they wanted to inspect twice a year or four times a year, or I probably couldn't have argued with once a month. But the EPA decided that this company should be inspected five days a week, 52 weeks a year. The inspection consisted of driving two hours to the company, a four hour inspection, hour drive back and four hours of paper work so that the company was going to be billed for 12 hours of work a day. five days a week, 52 weeks a year. I thought this was a little unreasonable. So instead of appropriating a million dollars ta the EPA for these inspection fees. Representative Davis and I have decided to reduce this amount to zero, until such time as the agency comes to us with some type of reasonable plan. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Breslin: "The Amendment moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 2627. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Daniels - Mautino - Davis - et al, amends House Bill 2627."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Withdraw Amendment #6."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #6. Are there any further

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Amendments?"

Daniels: "Floor Amendment \$7, Daniels - Mautino - Davis - et al."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Amendment #7 provides for 38.6 million in state anti-bond funding for the named 51 backlogged communities who completed grant applications for sewage treatment plants but for whom full federal funding is not available at this time. Amendment #7, as I said, appropriates the dollars. The Members should know that this is only the first half of a 70.4 million dollar package. Implied in the adoption this Amendment is a commitment by the state to provide completion funding of 31.8 million for seven projects future years. I have received a personal commitment from Dr. Mandeville that the remaining funding of 31.8 million will be included in the Governor's budget next year. Amendment includes several projects. All projects, I to emphasize, have been improved on backlog, that they have been approved projects ready to go if we approve this. You're doing your communities a great service by adopting this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 2627. And on that question, the Gentleman from Bureau, Bepresentative Mautino."

Mautino: "Is Amendment #7 on the Members" desks? I have
Amendment #8 and Amendment #6. I don't see Amendment #7."

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, it has been printed and distributed."

Daniels: "You're a Sponsor. You're a Sponsor of this one,

Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "I know. That's why I wanted to see the Amendment."

Daniels: "You don't think we'd pull a fast one on you, do you?"

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, "Shall Amendment #7 to House Bill 2627 be adopted?"

128th Legislative Day

amended."

May 31, 1984

All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the

Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Wolf, amends House Bill 2627 as

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Madam Speaker, request leave to withdraw Amendment #8."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #8. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The Gentleman now asks leave for immediate consideration of House Bill 2627. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave. House Bill 2627, Representative... Read the Bill a third time, please."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2627, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the This is the FY '85 request for the ordinary House. expenses of the EPA in the amount \$159,534,600. This is \$93,787,900 less than the FY estimated expenditure. This decrease is entirely due to the normal lapse in the construction grants for sewage treatment systems. As you all know, we just added an Amendment for the Anti-Pollution Bond Fund which was worked out with the EPA through the cooperation of several people, including Representative Mautino. Davis. Matijevich. Wait and Mays, my office and Speaker Madigan's Office. I want to commend them for the excellent work they've done. addition of the 38.6 million in With the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

state anti-pollution bond funding, many projects that were on backlog can now be funded, to the credit of the Members of the General Assembly. I ask for your favorable support of this Bill."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for passage of House Bill 2627. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2627 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion, there are 108 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the necessary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Environment and Public Health Appropriations appears House Bill 2653, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2653, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes, do you wish to bring this Bill back for the purposes of an Amendment?"
- Barnes: "Could I take this out of the record for the moment?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 2734,
 Representative Mautino. Representative Mautino. Clerk,
 read the Eill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2734, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Fepresentative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment... Excuse me.

 House Bill 2734 appropriates 1.6 million dollars for the
 purchase of equipment. This legislation was unanimously

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

supported in the Appropriations Committee. That equipment is to be used by the Department of Conservation for state-owned facilities as it pertains to maintenance. dredging, et cetera. It was brought to our attention individual projects were in the area of one to two million dollars on contractual, and we're only completing, like, one a year. This money for this equipment will allow a crew to work basically six to nine months out of the year on 10 to 15 projects that are in need, and the Department would certainly support this concept. There is ... There was no opposition in Committee, and I think it's time that the Department themselves have the equipment to do many of the jobs which have heretofore been set on the back under freezes, and I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2734. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is. Shall House Bill 2734 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted The Clerk will take the record. Oπ this question, there are 108 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Representative Barnes, are you ready to proceed on House Bill 2653?"

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, we are not, because there is an Amendment that has not been resolved with the Department, and I am extending a courtesy by holding it for a Member on the other side."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. We will come back to this Order of Call at a later time, with leave of the House. On the Order of Economic Development, appropriation matters, appears House Bill 2549, Representative Capparelli, Clerk,

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2549, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority Reconstruction Fund and to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Bouse Bill 2549
appropriates the sum of 4.8 million from the Metropolitan
Fair and Exposition Authority Reconstruction Fund to the
Metropolitan Fair and Exhibition Authority for its
corporate purposes. The appropriation contained in this
legislation is authorized by Fuhlic Act 860 (sic - 80-860),
effective 1977. I would move for approval of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for passage of House Bill 2549. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2549 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 74 voting 'aye', 29 voting 'no', and 7 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the necessary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The next Bill appearing on this Special Call is House Bill 2634, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Eill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2634, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Development Finance Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House
Bill 2634 appropriates five million all General Revenue
funds to the newly created Illinois Development Finance
Authority for Fiscal Year *85. And the purpose of the
Industrial Revenue Bond Insurance Fund seeks to appropriate

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

the money to purchase commercial credit insurance and to issue tax exempt industrial revenue bonds, which would secured by the this Industrial Revenue Bond Insurance Fund. The Insurance Fund will become the safety net mechanism designed to enhance the marketing of the Authority's Industrial Revenue Bonds. The application for loan insurance approval must be screened by a lender's committee and approved by Authority according to the following: loan must be for industrial projects, (b) borrower must be deemed credit worthy, (c) must be screened and approved by lender's committee, (d) for real property loans, must have a current 25 year or less mortgage for no more than 90% of the cost, (e) for machinery loans, current 12 year loan for no more than 80% of the machinery's cost. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2634 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereh declared passed. House Bill 2654, Representative Hastert.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2654, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois State Historical Library. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Fill 2654 is for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Library...

Historical Library. I would be happy to answer any

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House Bill 2654. And on that question, is there any discussion?

The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "What does this Bill do?"

Hastert: "It funds the Illinois State Historical Library, which is housed under the old..."

Dunn, J.: "Are all the library groups in support of this and no opposition?"

Hastert: "No opposition that I know of, Sir."

Dunn, J.: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Bouse Bill 2654 pass?'

The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker... Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Froceed."

Mulcahey: "How much, above and beyond last year, is this budget?"
Hastert: "It's an increase of 25.3%, and let me explain what my
friend from the historical library told me, and this is for
some photocopy equipment, some microfishe equipment and
some additional Lincoln memorabilia paraphenalia."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2654 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will
take the record. On this question, there are 87 voting
'aye', 11 voting 'no', and 7 voting 'present'. This Bill,
having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby
declared passed. On a Special Call dealing with
appropriations for education matters, appears House Bill
2546, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2546, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, House Bill 2546 is a Bill to provide for the expenses of the State Board of Education. introduced, the Bill was for a total of \$1,452,028,000. With the House Amendment, it is... was reduced \$1,205,912,000: 756,222,000 out of General Revenue Fund and the Common School Fund; 14,343,600 out of Drivers' Ed, and 453,347,000 out of the... out of federal funds. This is \$82,000,000 more than the estimated expenditures in this fiscal year. The House Amendment fully funds the Special Education Private Tuition Program, the Special Education Orphanage Tuition Program, an orphanage housing provides for 92% proration of the district's claims for special ed transportation, special education extraordinary services, special ed personnel reimbursement, and regular and vocational transportation and school foods. words of Yogi Berra, 'It's never over till it's over'. am not happy that we are at this level of funding, but we cannot responsibly really act CB the Education appropriation Bill probably until the closing days of the However, I would urge the Membership to go along and move this Bill and provide the necessary votes to it out, and we will still work to provide as much funding for education as we can possibly do."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2546. And on that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The position taken by the Sponsor of the Bill is a position in which I concur. This particular option provides 28 million additional dollars above the Governor's \$27,000,000 per program. It's true this year, as it has been in the past. There aren't

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

enough... There aren't enough dollars to meet all of the demands. However, I believe, given the givens in terms of the revenue picture for this year, that the Appropriations Committee has done a fine job on this particular piece of legislation, and I would certainly urge all the Members to support this legislation, but in particular, I would urge the Members on this side of the aisle to support House Bill 2546 at its proposed level."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Champaign, Bepresentative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, it's my intent to vote 'present' on this Bill. I agree that the Bill should move now, but my "present" vote will be in order to emphasize the fact that there is one hig inequity Bill that has not been addressed. I will assume that it will be addressed at a later point, but that it is grossly unfair for us to increase other line items and, at the same time, decrease the line item for personnel for special education purposes. And knowing that the issue has been raised and in confidence that it will be addressed somewhere later in the legislative process, I don't think it's wise that we hold the Fill from moving at this point, but I will vote *present*."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. All three of the regional superintendents of schools in my district have written to me and called me about a strenuous objection to a line item in this Bill. Many of you should reflect upon that. It has to do with the funding of computer consortiums. And this program was instituted last summer, whereby the Legislature had, in FY '83 and again in... FY '84, appropriated \$500,000 on each occasion. An

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

additional \$360,000 was put... o£ federal funding discretionary money was put with that in order to get the program off the ground. Ninety percent of all school students are now benefitting by one of these computer consortiums, and all of the reports are that the program has been extremely successful and that the current appropriation in the Bill of half a million dollars is totally insufficient to perpetuate the current program. So, I would submit that the Bill needs to be amended way or the other. We ought to either increase appropriation to a point to allow the programs to continue. or we ought to delete the \$500,000 that is appropriated this Bill because it won't be useful, and it cannot continue the program. And for those reasons, I have to also vote 'present' on the Bill, and I would ask that any of you Members who have heard from YOUR regional superintendents with regard to this issue consider the same until the Bill can be amended."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Klemm: "Representative Matijevich, a couple of questions I have, and one is on vocational education. A number of the community colleges in the area, particularly in Lake County, had come to me and asked about the vocational education support that the state will be giving but also the reimbursement or the assistance that we give to the local high schools that are the feeders for the community colleges. Is this being funded in your Fill at the level that they had talked to you originally?"

Matijevich: "It's being funded at the same level as the ... this

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

fiscal year, Dick, and... "

Klemm: "Same as what?"

Matijevich: "This fiscal year. In other words, it's not being increased nor decreased. I'm sure that doesn't make them happy, but given the funding or the revenue that we have, we, at this time, at least, decided that that's all we can do."

Klemm: "No, they had show... expressed some concern about the

funding that we were giving the locals, that we're sending

their - in reimbursements - they were sending their students, then, to the vocational training centers, that if the locals weren't getting reimbursed, they just simply didn't send it to them, and we would have this vocational educational facility just standing empty, because no local school districts - the feeders - weren't sending their children and students to them. Are ₩€ giving considering any additional support to the local high school districts to encourage them to take and avail themselves of these tremendous facilities we have, say, in Lake County?" Matijevich: "I agree with you on the fact that we do have tremendous facilities, and I agree with you that we ought to utilize those facilities, especially when this is what we ought to be doing to improve our economy. However, the decision was made when House Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee that we had a problem with funding, and ought to provide certain categoricals at the same level as last fiscal year because of the shortfall. If ... know, if you would tell the ... people back home and many of the education people that you are going to support an extension of the income tax surcharge, then that and other things can be done. But, because that decision was made, at this time, at least, until we find out all of our revenue picture, you know, in the closing days, this is

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

where we're at today."

Klemm: "Alright. So it's held at the same level as last year on all of those."

Matijevich: "That's right."

Klemm: "One other question, then, concerning funding. The Master Teachers' Program, I think, is in this Fill also, and I haven't, from any of the educators, either from teachers or the administrators, really been very supportive too much in my area of the Master Teachers' Program."

Matijevich: "Well, they'd be happy to know that that was deleted by Amendment #1."

Klemm: "Fine. I wasn't sure. Thank you very much. One other question I have - and my last guestion - is the Teachers' Retirement System. Could you at least give us what percentage the funding will be for that?"

Matijevich: "Sixty percent."

Klemm: "How much?"

Matijevich: "Sixty percent, which doesn't make me happy, either, but that's where we're at."

Klemm: "Same as last year. Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Marzuki."

Marzuki: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I will support this Bill, get it out of the House and hope that John Matijevich can work some magic over it, because there is not enough funding for anyone in this Bill. We have heard speaker after speaker rise on various issues; but, when you look at the whole thing, we're simply not funding the most important activity in Illinois, which is education. I would hope that when the Senate Bills come over and this goes to Conference Committee, that every one of us will try to do better in funding education in the State of Illinois. It's a very difficult vote to make, to say yes to something

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

that's completely inadequate. I would hope that we will all work toward finding those monies that are necessary to support this properly."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Certainly, we have before us today, that is not only timely but a very talked about issue. the last year and a half or two years, education has become a very politicized topic, and we've all either addressed it or pointed fingers in various directions. I think of the Bill that we have before us, sponsored by Representative Matijevich, has been the subject of a great discussion. of debate and, yes, of a great deal compromise. Now, whenever you compromise, nobody's we feel that it's a compromise at this time, that most people can live with. It's done well in the area of categoricals. They've taken all the Governor's new money. which was \$27,000,000 and put it in the formula, and it's something that we've put several ideas together and come up with a workable compromise. Now, the other speakers have gone - some other speakers have gone before and said, "It's enough money. Well, when we talked to our superintendents and our teachers and, yes, even sometimes students, maybe we need to put more money, but the research and the discussion and the debate that we've and the economic forecast that we've had ... is it with the economic situation that we have in the State of Illinois at this time and the projected income that we have in the State of Illinois at this time, there isn't any more money. I would respectfully suggest if you want to put more dollars in this educational fund now, then we'd better find another type of a revenue function to fund it. And so.

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

with that thought in mind, I ask for your favorable support of the Bill that we have before us now in the shape that it's in. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you... Thank you. Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Bill, not because it is necessarily the ideal Bill because it is not the ideal Bill, but as Representative Hastert pointed very well, it does represent a compromise within the constraints that we have to operate under at the Now, let me say to those of you who do have problems with it, the process is not over yet. I can virtually quarantee that there will be further changes made in legislation. The Senate is talking about a figure that is significantly different from the figure in this Bill. means the Senate will probably put some Amendments on, the Bill will probably ultimately wind up in a Conference Committee. So, I'm happy to know what the sources of concern are, and I'm glad to hear them expressed on this floor. I would like to point out, though, if this fails to pass, then there will not be a Senate hearing on the subject, and there will be no opportunity... opportunity for the interested parties such as the computer consortia or the special ed people or anybody like that to come down to the Legislature to make their voices beard. would say that those same groups that we're now hearing from did not testify in the Committee when it was in the House. I think, now that their attention has been so to speak, that when the Bill gets over to the Senate, I think that we can safely assume that they'll be in the Senate to making their voices heard and that the Senate will take their wishes into account. And so that we....

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

The process is not over, and so I would urge that everyone support this legislation so we can move it along over to the Senate. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, "Shall the main question be put?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the main question is put. Representative Matijevich, to close."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on the matter of the computer consortium, I receive the letters as most of you have. We are providing the same number of funds for the computer consortium as we the last fiscal year as far as the General Revenue funds. The problem is that, like many other things, the Federal Government started these programs and then pull out and we lose federal funds. However, I am led to believe that that issue will be resolved in the Senate, hopefully. With regards to vocational funds, I don't think anybody has supported it more than, for example, myself and Jack Davis and Larry Stuffle. We've fought for vocational education funds, and we'll continue. All I can say is that I'm not happy that we're at this level of funding for education. We must do better. I think the Legislature is going to maintain education as its first priority in the future. T+ bothers me that we have slipped from near 50 percent level of funding in this state to now where we're at 37 percent. I think that we must work harder in the future, and we don*t work harder for education by making education political issue and by really taking advantage of kids and teachers who I think have had some very good programs. think we resolved the problem by being optimistic toward

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

education because education is our mortgage on the future and I would urge that you support this Eill so we can move it along and work further to support education."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall House Bill 2546 pass?"

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Representative Levin, one minute to explain your vote."

Levin: "Madam Speaker, in explaining my 'present' vote, I had a question which I did not get a chance to ask. It's a question that I have been asking for several months now and that is with respect to special ed private tuition. The current level for this fiscal year is \$12,972,000. The level in this Bill is about \$500,000 less. Why are we reducing the level of special ed private tuition when the number of the kids is going up, yhe need is going up, the costs are going up? I'd like to get an answer to that question."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn, one minute to explain your vote."

"Thank Dunnz you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I talked to my teachers and retired teachers. Retired teachers wonder why we don't fund payouts at a hundred percent. Special ed people wonder why we make cuts and don't stand up for them. Vocational ed people wonder why they don't get more money. People want more computers in their schools. All the new money went into the formula and none of the new money went into the grants. I don't want to go back home and talk to the people that I great sympathy for about this kind of legislation. General Assembly is unwilling to stand up, then they need to know. That's what this record indicates right now. heard a lot of talk about this may not be the final measure, might not be the final decision on this Bill. But I caution you that it might be the final Bill. the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Senate passes this Bill the way it is, it will go to the Governor and you are on record for shortchanging special ed, voc ed, computers, special ed transportation. You are on record for shortchanging the Teachers' Retirement Fund, and you are on record for going along with the Governor who provided fewer dollars of new money for education than at any time in history..."

Speaker Breslin: "You only have one minute, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "...and you are on record for being... funding education at
less than 38 percent. And we all know that Ralph Dunn
passed that Amendment to provide for 51 percent of
educational funding. And if you want to be on record for
that, go right ahead. I don't. I'll have a good time
campaigning, and I wish the rest of you luck."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mulcahey, one minute to explain your vote."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Notwithstanding the political rhetoric we have been getting for the last few minutes about how we are trying so hard and digging deep down inside to try to fund education, indeed, it's become a political football. And we know it's become political football. а It's come Washington, and it's come from the second floor. And come January of 1985. we are going to see all sorts of people rise to the occasion and be the heroes and education, once we have proven ourselves. We can't find the money regardless how hard we try. Nonetheless, we find that... that the... we find that the Department of Transportation had increase. an #e find that the Department of Public Health had a 5.6 percent The Illinois State Historical Library, a plus 25 percent increase and so on and so forth. The Nuclear... Department of Nuclear Safety, 152 percent increase over last

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

budget. This is just not a priority to the Members of the General Assembly, I'm sorry to say, regardless of all the rhetoric that we put forth. We are making no attempt and I think we all know why. It's a political football from day one, and next January everybody's going to rise up and become heroes once the election is over."

Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 90 voting "aye", 4 voting "no", and 16 voting "present". This Bill, having received the... Representative Kirkland wants to be voted "present", so there are 17 voting "present". This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Legislative Commissions, appears House Bill 2632, Representative Barnes. Clerk read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2632, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to legislative commissions. Third Beading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Daniels."

Daniels: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2632 in its present form appropriates \$16,801,180 for legislative single study, single purpose commissions. have taken over the sponsorship of this Bill, because I feel that it's important that this General Assembly pass a firm and strong message back to the people of Illinois that no longer will we, as Members of the General Assembly. accept or adopt inefficient, uneconomical and unaccountable system of government. I am asking you to join with me in opposing the passage of this Bill. I am hopeful, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that there will be 118 'no' votes on this Bill. I am hopeful that you will recognize what the people of Illinois are asking us, your elected Representatives, to do in Springfield. And that message is loud and clear. Clean up your own house when you expect

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

the public to clean up theirs. Ladies and Gentlemen, join me in voting 'no' on this legislation and defeating this appropriation of \$16,800,000, a growth from FY '84...a growth from FY '84 of \$1,800,000, and I might remind you that a vote for this Bill, a 'yes' vote or a 'present' vote, is a vote for the pickle."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House
Bill 2632. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "No, the Gentleman will not yield for a question. Proceed, Representative Foundar."

Bowman: "Well, ckay. Then I just couldn't believe my ears that
the Gentleman is the Sponsor of the Bill and urging defeat
of his own legislation. I think it's this is political
posturing; that he should just move to table his Bill. I'm
sure we'd all be happy to accept that Motion. Why doesn't
he move to table the Bill and cut out the debate?"

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2632 pass?' All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Homer. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 1 voting 'aye', 108 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. Representative McAuliffe asks to be voted 'no'. Representative Rhem asks to be voted *no*... to change vote from "aye" to "no". There are, therefore, none voting 'aye', 110 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. This Bill, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, ishereby declared lost. On that same Order of Business, but nonsubstantive Bills dealing with Commissions ... nonappropriation Bills, I should say. dealing commissions is House Bill 3128. It appears on page four on your Calendar. Representative Daniels."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Madam Speaker. Daniels: "Thank you. Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It was a dubious award of probably getting the most 'no' votes ever in the history of General Assembly, but you did what was right, and I hope that we'll repeat this in the reverse order and do what's right once again on House Bill 3128. I have had a lot of people say to me during the last several months, when the House Republican Policy Committee started its report on commissions and started the investigation on commissions the quidance of Representative Tom Ewing, the Chairman of the Committee, why, why are you asking that Illinois reform the commission system? Why are you saying that it's not a proper form of government under today's modern economy and today's modern system of government? Long, there has been great dissatisfaction with the of commissions, not only on this side of the aisle, as most of us have opposed in the past, but also as I know on your side of the aisle, as many in the ten years that I have been here have talked to me about it. And, yes, when I came to the General Assembly ten years ago, the one thing I kept on hearing over and over again is it's time for reform of that antiquated, bad system of commissions, single study commissions. And the Bill you just defeated of 16.8 million dollars is the perfect example of what we are talking about today in the Illinois General Assembly. 16.8 million was appropriated for FY *85, an increase of 1.8 million. Fortunately, the House has once again done the right thing. Fortunately, we will send a message Senate to also do the right thing. The House Republican Policy Committee studied the issue and prepared reports, two reports under the Chairmanship of Tom Ewing and Representative Byder and Jill Zwick, recommending strongly to you that we abolish the commission system as

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

it's known today for their abuses and the failings of this They found, in this report, duplication of effort, limited public accessibility, excessive contractual services, no proper legislative overview, travel abuse inappropriate spending. The Bill we are now considering is implementing their recommendations. This Eill that you have before you now is a bipartisan proposal and fairly represents substantive contributions from the Leadership and Membership on both sides of the aisle in this chamber. And I want to quickly add my thanks to Speaker Madigan and his fine staff for working with us in order to bring to you this very important piece of legislation. Yes, Ladies Gentlemen of the House, the die has been cast. Enactment of this Bill will confirm our intentions to those who cling to the false hope that this, too, will pass. For this, too, will not pass. The people of Illinois are demanding more of you and of me every day in this Legislature because they have the right to expect that we comply to the demands of the citizens of Illinois. And I hear some of the shouts from some of the commission people over on the other side that favor the old system, and I want them to keep on talking because I want the people of Illinois to know important it is that we get rid of commissions in this state. And we, as Republicans, will stand strong to demand reform in this system. Ladies and Gentlemen of the the plan we have before you right now is a plan important to the people of Illinois and we will stand strong making sure that the people of Illinois hear our voice above the shouts of those people that love the commission system, that want to retain the patronage and wrongfully the money, the hard-earned money taxpayers of Illinois. Shout. Shout strong. I want your voices to try to defeat this Bill. This Bill... This

128th Legislative Day

Nav 31, 1984

sets up three divisions; a service unit, a research and policy analysis unit and a regulatory unit. It creates three advisory boards, the membership comprised of the chairmen and spokesmen of several commissions... committees Those memberships of the committees. appointed to their functions as chairmen of spokesmen of the committee, will serve in the role under the Executive Financial Review Advisory Eoard, Occupational Review Advisory Board and Rule-Making Advisory Board. will accomplish the desired result that the Speaker has joined with me in recommending to you, to abolish a11 legislative commissions, to increase visibility and accountability by creating a Legislative Service Bureau, to require regular reporting to increase accountability to Members, and to the public in general, to restore stature and responsiblity to committees, to improve the structure of services to the General Assembly and to save, and above all and most important, to save between seven to nine million dollars in taxpayers* fund. This system that we have had in the past is bad. This system has come be dominated by the good forces in government. We must get back to the basics. Porm and function must serve the Members of the House, not force disfunction upon the system. Join with me in the passage of this Bill, and I am truly hopeful to have 118 'yes' votes. 'Yes' votes are the only votes that truly are calling for reform. Thank

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3128, a Bill for an Act in relationship to boards and commissions. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House Bill 3128. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bonan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. It's

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

being a Member on this side of the aisle, very difficult. to compete with one of the finest actors I've ever seen General Assembly. Here we are talking about reform. There's about as much reform in this riece of legislation as most of the trains that run through the Illinois General Assembly. I have been singled out by my colleagues in the General Assembly and by the representatives of the press as the most indicative Member of the commission system. Ţ have participated in the commission system. I have worked hard in the commission system. And I think that a lot good came out of the commission system. I think what we've got here is an overreaction. It's a public relations hype on the part of Leadership on both sides of the aisle. think this Bill will go over to the Senate, and it's going to die-All I can say is that next year the... commissions are going to be gone. I understand that and I think that the Members who serve on them understand it. If there were abuses that occurred under that system, they should have been done away with. If there were commissions that didn't do their job, they should have been abolished. If there were individuals who worked on commissions didn't do their job, they should have been fired. next year, we'll see a situation where there will be a half million dollars going to each leader and that half a million dollars will be doled out. People will be hired and the process will go on. All I can say is that the press did their job. The Leadership did its job. haA now we'll see what comes out of it. So, I will gladly vote for this fine piece of legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm just thrilled to see that the Gentleman, the Sponsor from the other side of the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

aisle, has finally caught on. In the past ten years, less than four pieces of legislation have been proposed in regards to the elimination of commissions, the with Doug Kane back in 1975. Bnt. unfortunately ... since I have been here. Unfortunately, none of those particular proposals saw the light of day. So now we see the Leaders of both sides of the aisle get their heads together and come up with a proposal that's going to save the whole system. Well, I think maybe this is the step in the right direction. I don't think it's the entire answer, because there are many commissions that are good, many commissions that are worthwhile that should be maintained. But I think probably the assets outweigh the liabilities in this particular case, and I'm going to vote *ave*."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff."
Huff: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. I think that this is a case
that parallels a literary example of Er. Jeckyl getting rid
of Mr. Hyde; or, to put it more succinctly, this is
nothing more than political posturing designed to give the
image of reform. I wonder if there is any of us who really
believe that this advisory commission, created by the other
side of the aisle... what are we going to say when this
appropriation equals, if not surpasses, the \$16,000,000
that allocated to all the total commissions today. As I
said, this is nothing more than political posturing
designed to give a forward look but is really going
nowhere."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Ccok, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Fill will probably pass.

In fact, I'm sure it will pass overwhelmingly. But I think
that someone does need to stand up and speak for the fine

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

work that has been done by some of the single study commissions: the Commission αn Mental Health. Developmental Disabilities, the Commission on Children, the Commission on the Status of Women, the Legislative Advisory Committee on Public Aid and a number of others that have brought forth legislation that has saved the taxpayers money. let me just cite a couple of things that lead me to vote 'present' on this Bill. First of all. it a... only a part of a solution to the problem. It deals ... reorganizes the service commissions, but it really leaves unanswered the question of what happens to the very legitimate objects of study that some of these other single purpose commissions have undertaken in the past. simply leave them to the committee system will not work for two reasons. First of all. we need a bicameral These commissions are the only means by which we can prevent institutional grid lock between the House and the Senate on important issues. Also, these commissions do provide public input at the front end, when the Bills are being designed and crafted, because our committee system works after the fact, after the Bills are introduced, I predict, Ladies and Gentlemen, if we do... if this Bill passes and becomes law, that we will take one step towards becoming a full-time Legislature. It will put additional burden on the committees and the subcommittees of both Houses. Each of us, in our own turn, will wind up being chairmen of committees and subcommittees and just like the Federal Congress, where they have as many committees and subcommittees as they have Members. the road that we are traveling down and, you know, I'm already a full-time Legislator, but I know a number of other people here cherish the idea of the ... a citizen Legislature. I'm afraid that with this particular

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

measure, we will see the last of the citizen Legislature."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative

Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. This is reform. This is not posturing. This is not political hype. It was a very careful studv_ There were hearings. Some of these commissions didn't even take the time to answer in or in writing. And they are indicative of the system, not necessarily of their individual, single purposes but of the fact that the system is not accountable to any of us in the Legislature. I have faith in the Leaders, because we elect them. I have faith in their ability to be responsive us, because they are responsive to us ultimately. In the event that we find that these people are not coming to the committees, further access, which I don't think will be the case, we have the opportunity to go to them. We had careful studies. We said what we were going to do. We had hearings and then we said what we found. And I have to compliment both sides of the aisle, particularly the Speaker and the Minority Leader, for having the courage to say this is not working. I encourage a favorable vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen What has already previously been said, I just want to in some respects repeat, but the immediate previous speaker made the key remark. He said that some of the commissions didn't respond. That indicates that some of the commissions. indeed. did respond. And, as Representative Bowman has indicated. some οf the commissions do an outstanding job. And if anyone here had constituents who have had need of the services of the Commission on Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities.

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

As just one example. Dr. Margaret Hastings does an excellent job, as does her staff, in addressing concerns, both legislative and all types of concerns that people have in that area. And to wipe out that Commission is a disservice to the people of Illinois. Not a disservice. perhaps, to a television news conmentator who did It*s... Our reaction to it is throwing the baby expose. out with the bath water. We are not getting rid of the bad commissions and doing that only. We are also cutting out the good, hard-working, meaningful commissions along with it, commissions, as Representative Bowman indicated. the Commission on the Status of Women, like the Commission on Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities and others. And it is irresponsible of us to address a problem by throwing the good out with the bad. Unfortunately, it seems that that is what is going to be happening here, and I regret it."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Plinn: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is, 'Shall the previous question he put?' All
those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In
the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the
previous question is put. Representative Madigan, to
close."

Madigan: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, the issue has been very fully debated yesterday, discussed in party conferences yesterday and debated again today. For my part, my concern is with budgetary management of the current commission system. If you are involved at all with any of the current legislative study commissions, then you know that there are very serious hudgetary management

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

problems with those commissions, that the problems are such that they demand that there be legislative action to least try and change the system. Before you you have a proposal that would change the system. The proponents of proposal, and I am one of the proponents, have argued that this will improve the system, that this will bring better budgetary management to the work of the Legislature and to the work of the functions that will be retained under the Bill. You may disagree with me, but I know from my experience that it's the easiest thing in the world a Member of the Legislature to disagree, to nitpick and to say, 'Mell this is wrong. It should have been something else, or it could have been done better this way or that way." Whatever you feel concerning the specifics of this you are required to come back to the basic acknowledgement that there is a major, major problem major, major problem with legislative study commissions and you, as a Member of this Body, are under obligation to take some action during this Session of the General Assembly. If you feel there is a better way to do it, come forward your idea. But I haven't seen too many people come forward with better ideas or even other ideas. This is I happen to think it's a good proposal, and I would highly recommend your support for House Bill Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3128 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Representative Bopp, one minute to explain your vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the chamber. It has been stated that this is an attempt to throw the baby out with the bath water. I can assure you that on this particular issue, that's important, because we need a new conception of ideas."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, if I were either Speaker Madigan or Minority Leader Daniels, I certainly would support this issue, because it does, in fact, concentrate the power in the Leadership of the House of Representatives and of the Senate. And it takes away from the Members some of the prerogative they have had in participating in these study issues. Fart of the problem, as I see it, is that we are a Body of excess. We recognize the excess in leaving some commissions in place that were not appropriately doing their job, but I fail to realize how we can remedy that by going to excess in the opposite direction and abolishing even those that have proven to be good bodies that were helpful in our governmental process. And for that reason, I am proudly voting 'no'. I think the Senate Bill that is before us, Senate Bill 1850, is a better solution than the one we have before us today."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, one minute to explain your vote."

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. What I think we just now heard is an argument that we ought to continue the abuses of the past. We just heard an argument to the effect that we ought not transfer control, transfer authority and create accountability because things have gone along alright in the past. Now, we know things haven't gone well in the past, and it's time for us to end the abuses of the past. And that's what the Lady ought to be thinking about, planning ahead for the future to make a better future for this Legislature."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea, one minute to explain your vote."

Rea: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

House. I recognize there is a problem and that there needs to be reform, but I do not call this reform. I think this has been done in a haphazard way. I think that too often that we look at a problem, and then we say, This is the solution. We do not look at what causes it to be a problem. We do not look at how we can resolve it and we can truly bring about reform by looking at what the various alternatives are and, as a result, then coming up with a good planning process and developing a sound plan-I do not feel that we have a sound plan here. We do some commissions that are doing an excellent job, that have done an excellent job. We have some commissions that probably have not and some that have met their These things we need to identify and correct them. do it in this manner, I think, is wrong. So, that is ... is my reason for voting *no*."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing, one minute to explain your vote."

Ewing: "Nadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I probably, as much as anyone in this Ecdy, have opportunity to look at this issue. This has not been done hastily. The program that you have before you has not been drafted hastily. Reform is not always without its pain. There are problems with reform, because we don't like to have change in our life, but there is good change involved in this proposal. And this proposal tries to meet the objections that speakers have raised today in opposition to this Bill. We are trying to provide the services that are The good services that have come from our commissions will be continued under this program. Let's behind this. Let's make it work. We'll be here next year and the year after, and whatever changes are needed can be made. We will have the services that we've had in

128th Legislative Day

- May 31, 1984
- the past, and we'll make it more serviceable and much more economical for this state. $^{\rm n}$
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn, one minute to explain your vote."
- Dunn, J.: "Just to indicate to the media, that I'll be available after the vote. You can talk to me then. I won't take up the time of the House."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 voting "aye", 4 voting "no" and 7 voting "present". This Eill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

 Representative Marzuki?"
- Marzuki: "I wish to be recorded "aye" on this measure, please."

 Speaker Breslin: "We have already taken the Roll Call,

 Representative Marzuki, but the record will reflect that
 you wish to be voted "aye" on that Bill, House Bill 3128.

 On House Bills dealing with education appropriation matters

 we have House Bill 2547, Representative Stuffle. Clerk,
 read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2547, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stuffle."
- Stuffle: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House, I would rise to support of the appropriation to fund the distributive formula of the Common School Fund and for the summer school grants that we also historically place in the same appropriation. I, like Representative Matijevich and others who have risen here today to speak upon appropriations for the common schools would clearly indicate to you that I an not happy appropriation, with it's level or necessarily with the distribution in the formula. ₩e have. however.

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

painstakingly compromised to date to reach the level that's reflected in this Bill. That level is \$1,459,000,000, an increase over last year's formula in summer school payments of only 19,000,000, which is a little over one percent. I, too, hope that we can find the wherewithall and the feeling to change this appropriation somewhere down the line. I think it's the only game in town now. It's a Bill that we ought to move to the Senate. We ought to look for We ought to look for a better distribution formula mone v. that protects particularly against the federal changes in Title I and the census formula. I, again, would ask your 'aye' vote, not because it's the best of Bills, but only Bill that we have with Bouse sponsorship that's been through the process, that's been given a hearing. ask your "aye" vote to send this onto the Senate in the hopes that it returns to us in a Conference Committee. frankly, where we can do a better job of appropriating and a better job of expanding educational horizons. And I would close by simply saying, too, that there's been some misinformation on the floor that there's no new except in the formula with regard to programatic appropriations. That's not the case. There is. money in the categorical aid program in 2546. There's 19,000,000 here. There's about 13... 30 million there rather. This Bill ought to go to the Senate, ought to have your full support and that is with the understanding that it's far from a perfect piece of legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House
Bill 2547. And on that question, the Lady from Cook,
Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I... I'm going to vote for and with Representative

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Stuffle pursuant to his recommendation and the hard work that's gone into this education budget. But I just don't think it can go without being said. This state still falls woefully short of its responsibility to We have a duty under the Constitution of the education. State of Illinois to provide state aid sufficient to fully fund our schools. This Bill does not do that. In fact, this Bill is a continuation of a regular process of cutting back the level of state support for education, the level of state commitment to educating our young people. We cannot afford to continue this trend in which we go from 45 percent to 44 percent to 43 percent to 42 percent and now into the 30's in terms of the degree and extent of state support for education. We cannot afford to continue this trend if we are to continue to have a viable state, if are going to produce people or give people the opportunity not only to become literate, which is a first level of responsibility, but also to enter the job market. Illinois' future rides on these kinds of formula budgets for education, and while again, I'm not in... I don't rise in opposition to this legislation, at the same time, I have say that we should all be very ashamed of this legislation and the form and the dollars that the State of Illinois is putting behind education at this time."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2547 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion there ar 107 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the necessary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Special call dealing with appropriations for law enforcement and the courts appears

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

House Bill 2631, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2631, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Local Government Law Enforcement Officers" Training Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Preslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2631 addresses the Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers' Training Board budget. And it is approximately five million, nine hundred and seventy point six. The highlights: The grants in aids will increase 29.9 percent to provide reimbursement at 50 percent level for basic and regional training and 40 percent for specialized training. It will begin implementation of new training curriculum and administration of a comprehensive examination and expansion of regional training. It will include new equipment leases to maintain hardware compatibility with Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority, new training initiatives, increase in travel and increase in three police training specialists."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill 2631. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House pass?* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting *present*. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 2651. Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2651, a Bill for an Act appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House
Bill 2651 addresses the budget for the Court of Claims. It
appropriates five million, four hundred and sixty-six point
three dollars. The highlights are personal services
increased five percent, contractual services, Xerox machine
rental and incidental expenses, and the Judges are to be
increased 19.8 percent."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House
Bill 2651. And on that question, is there any discussion?

The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Mulcahey: "How much of an increase is this over last year's budget, Representative Barnes?"

Barnes: "Twenty-four percent."

Mulcahey: "Twenty-four percent, and did you say there was an increase... there was a salary increase in there for Judges?"

Barnes: "Yes, I did."

Mulcahey: "How much of an increase in salary for the judges?"

Barnes: "Nineteen point eight percent."

Mulcahey: "Nineteen eight... So, they go from what to what? Oh, it doesn't make any difference. Nineteen percent."

and the same any agreement interest percent

Barnes: "They just have the percentages and it is an increase."
Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Could the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Leverenz: "How many employees in this agency?"

Barnes: "Approximately 40."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Leverenz: "They request 43. They currently have 44. Is one getting terminated or has one left already?"

Barnes: "They have not advised me of any change in head count since we heard this in Committee, Representative."

Leverenz: "Just a... How did they figure out that 19 percent increase?"

Barnes: "It's in operations."

Leverenz: "And not personal services?".

Barnes: "It's 21 percent increase in operations."

Leverenz: "And what do they schedule for the raises for the individuals working there on an average?"

Barnes: "Five percent, the current level for all the other departments in state government."

Leverenz: "Five percent on the individual salaries, is that correct?"

Barnes: "Right."

Leverenz: "I didn't hear you. Is it..."

Barnes: "That's correct."

Leverenz: "So, employees salaries are five percent? Earlier it seemed to indicate that they were 19 percent, which was incorrect."

Barnes: "That includes the incidental expenses, Representative.
What I tried..."

Leverenz: "That's overall operations, right."

Barnes: "What I try to do, though, is to let all the Representatives know what they are voting on rather than just skim through, perhaps, the top layer of what we are presenting."

Leverenz: "I agree with you and appreciate your candidness and certainly you articulate very well also... or candor, my Parliamentarian indicates. Thank you."

Barnes: "What did he say?"

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2651 pass?'

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 85 voting 'aye', 18 voting 'no', and 8 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2663, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2663, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Supreme Court. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
House Bill 2663 is the monies for the grants in aid for the
Probation Division of the Administrative Office of the
Illinois Courts in the amount of \$9,156,539. I appreciate
your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2663. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2663 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2664, Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Pill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2664, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Natijevich: "House Bill 2664 appropriates \$107,088,827 to the Supreme Court for the ordinary and contingent expenses of

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

the Judicial System. There's an increase over the last fiscal year. Total with that probation Bill we just passed and this one is 116 million. The estimated expenditure of this fiscal year, 101,429,000, but most of this are mandated salary increases. So, I appreciate your support of this Bill."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2664. And on that question is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2664 pass? All those in favor vote *ave*, all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting *present*. This Bill. having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2769, Representative Brunner. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2769, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Office of State Appellate Defender. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brunner."

- Brummer: "Yes, this is... House Bill 2769 is the appropriation for the Office of the State Appellate Defender. The total appropriation is \$4,394,000. That is an increase of approximately 23 percent from last year's level, designed to assist in expediting some of the appeals that are pending. It is at the level requested by the Illinois Supreme Court."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2769. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2769 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 89 voting "aye", 18 voting "no", and one voting "present". This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2776, Representative Madigan. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2776, a Fill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Law Enforcement for the Illinois State Enforcement Agencies to Recover Children Program. Third Beading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is the appropriation to implement the child recovery system for the state.

There is \$1,108,000 for the administration of the Program by the Illinois Department of Law Enforcement and \$750,000 to grants of... grants to police agencies on the local level, and I ask for your "aye" vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2776. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2776 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 103 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On a Special Call dealing with Capital Development, appears House Bill 2739, Representative Curran. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2739, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the Capital Development Eoard. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 2739 as amended

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

yesterday makes an appropriation for the Capital Development Board of \$13,000,000 for the combined construction of the Department of Public Health, Department of Nuclear Safety and the Environmental Protection Agency at the SIU School of Medicine in Springfield. I ask for an aye vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage House Bill 2739. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is. 'Shall House Bill 2739 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 73 voting *ave*, 34 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Eill. received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2821. Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2821, a Fill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, House Eill 2821 passed the Committee without a dissenting vote. In its present form, it appropriates \$50,000 to CDE for testing services to identify and define construction, rehabilitation and repair needs. In all candor, there are sometimes legitimate reasons why we need a CD Bill alive, and this could be a vehicle if there is agreement that there is a need in the future. And I am passing it along for that purpose and appreciate your support."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2821. And on that question, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Vinson: "Representative, could this Bill be used as a vehicle for having the Capital Development Board involved in the Chicago World's Fair?"

Matijevich: "I'm not sure... you know, it could be used for many purposes, if there is agreement, Representative Vinson.

I... You know, we are not going to move the Bill along if there isn't agreement. It could be used for a lot of purposes, all of which I think would, you know, have to be legitimate and moved along in the closing days when there is agreement on both sides of the aisle and both sides of the rotunda. Otherwise, we are not going to move the Bill."

Vinson: "This would be a new capital appropriation Bill?"
Matijevich: "That's correct."

Vinson: "We just passed one, I believe, yesterday, didn't we?"

Matijevich: "Well, it's always nice to have a Democrat in the

lead, too, you know. We work together, you know. We have

so far, Sam. I don't think you've got a thing to worry about."

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Vinson: "The Gentleman's intentions, I'm sure, are honorable.

They always have been. He and I often do work together.

In this particular case, I would urge a 'no' vote on the Bill because we did pass, out of the Bouse, a new capital appropriation Bill for the CDB, and I suspect that we just might deal with this entire appropriations process in a more straightforward fashion throughout the Session if we just have one Bill for one purpose and if we don't have a variety of vehicles so that we can vote down Bills and vote

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

irresponsibly on Bills or load Bills up, whatever, because we know there is always another vehicle back there. So, in this particular case, despite the fact that I would absolutely trust the Gentleman's intentions, I would urge a 'no' vote, because I think it would incline us to be more self-disciplined in the process."

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2821 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open.

 Representative Matijevich, to close."
- Matijevich: "Yes, in explaining my vote, I was upfront in what we are doing here, and I wouldn't have done this unless the staff has informed me... to me that this was in agreement on both sides of the aisle. We live by our word here, and my word is good, as you know, Representative Vinson. And the staff on both sides of the aisle are aware of what this Bill is for... not yet what it may be for eventually, but that it is a vehicle Bill, and it is always good to keep vehicles alive. As I said, I was upfront and; therefore, I would urge the Members to vote "aye"."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? This Bill requires 60 votes for passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 59 voting 48 voting "no", and 7 voting 'present'. Representative Brummer votes *aye*. There are, therefore, 60 voting 'aye', 48 voting 'no', and 7 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the necessary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3028. Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3028..."

Speaker Breslin: "30... House Bill 3025."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3025, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Secretary of State. Third Reading of

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 3025 appropriates eight million dollars from the Capital Development Fund to the Secretary of State for making public library grants as the State Librarian under the Illinois Library System Act as amended. We passed a companion bit of legislation out of the House last week. It was House Bill 2962. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call. I'll be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 3025. And on that question is there... The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, would be yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Barnes: "Representative Keane, is this the library Eill that was discussed in Committee where most of the money goes to the City of Chicago, like half of it, and very little goes to downstate?"

Keane: "This was the Bill that was discussed in Committee, which a number of Members on the Committee from your side of the aisle thought was very great because they had received grants under this Bill before. This is the same Bill which the Secretary of State, who is... I think is still a Republican, was in favor of during the Committee. Yes, that's the same Bill."

Barnes: "Well, Representative, the Berublican Members did not vote 'aye' on this Bill. I would just like the Membership to be aware of how the money is divided. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn, J .: "Yeah, is this Bill amended?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "No."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Dunn: "I don't see anything in the Bill that changes the allocation formula. Is that... that was just discussed.

Is that existing statutory language at the present time?"

Keane: "The statutory... it was in the substantive Bill was 2962, which went out of here on the Short Debate Calendar last week."

Dunn: "Oh, and then on the... with regard to the substance, what are downstate librarians" positions?"

Keane: "This is the same as before. The Bill provides for... the substantive Bill provides for a program of state construction grants for up to 25 percent of total construction of a public library. In addition, it also authorizes a special grant not to exceed 50 percent of the annual appropriation..."

Dunn: "Yeah, I understand that."

Keane: "Well, let me... for... it's the Chicago Public Library
State Research and Reference Center. So it is the state...
it's a state... the library special grant would go to the
State Research and Reference Center that's part of the
state's system. I would also point out that these grants
are under the control of the Secretary of State."

Dunn: "Well, even so, I'll vote for it."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to point out to the Membership that the Economic and Fiscal Commission, which is a bipartisan commission composed of Members of this House and the Senate, has estimated that there would be a 9.4 million dollar interest cost for the sale of these bonds, and I think that everyone should take into consideration when they cast their vote for an \$8,000,000 appropriation that our own arm of the House and the Senate, the Economic and

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Fiscal Commission, has indicated a 9.4 million dollar interest cost."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey. The Gentleman indicates he does not seek recognition. The Gentleman, Representative Keane, to close."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill funds House Bill 2962 which, as I mentioned earlier, passed out of this Body on the Short Debate Calendar last \$8,000,000 is under the control of the Secretary of State in his capacity as librarian. The Secretary of State informed... has informed me through his lobbyist that he is The Library Association is in favor of in favor of this. this. The funds can be adjusted by the Secretary of State through the award of grants. He doesn't have to award 8,000,000. In terms of the bonding, the fact that by bonding and the economic and fiscal report indicates, in addition to the 8,000,000, what the cost of bonding would be. If we are going to attach the cost of bonding to any Bill, that's fine, but the fact that are... we figure the interest costs in, as one Gentleman mentioned, I think bears very little, if any, relationship to the value of the program. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3025 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? This Bill requires 60 votes for passage. Have all voted who wish? Representative Homer. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Vinson: "Give you a legitimate opportunity to delay a little longer taking the record in order to request a verification

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

- should it get the requisite number of votes."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 59 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. Representative Keane asks for a Poll of the Absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Berrios. Capparelli.

 DeJaegher. Krska. Neff. W. Peterson. And Terzich."
- Speaker Breslin: "Does anyone else wish to change his or her vote? Representative Saltsman votes 'aye'. This Bill has 50 voting 'aye', 60 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. Representative Vinson asks... Representative McCracken asks to go from 'aye' to 'no'. There are, therefore, only 59 voting *aye*. Representative Slape votes *aye*. 60 voting aye. therefore, Proceed with the verification. Mr. Clerk. Excuse me. Representative Matijevich asks leave to be verified. Representative Greiman asks leave to be verified. Representative Brookins asks leave to be verified. Would you poll the affirmative, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Affirmative. Alexander. Bowman.

 Braun. Breslin. Brookins. Frunsvold. Eullock.

 Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DiFrima."
- Speaker Breslin: "Giorgi has leave to be verified. Froceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Domico. Doyle. John Dunn. Farley. Flinn."

 Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun, for what reason do you rise?"
- Braun: "Leave to be verified, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun, leave to be verified."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Giglio-Giorgi. Greiman. Hannia. Hicks. Huff. Jaffe. Keane. Kulas. Laurino-Leflore. Levin. Markette. Leverenz. Marzuki. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McPike. Nash. O'Connell.

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Panayotovich. Pierce. Preston."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash asks leave to be verified."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rea. Rhem."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Younge asks leave to be verified, Representative Vinson. That's okay.

Representative McNamara asks leave to be verified."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman.

Satterthwaite. Shaw. Slape. Steczo. Taylor. Turner.

Van Duyne. Vitek. White. Wolf. Younge. McNamara. And

Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Peterson, for what reason do you rise?"

Peterson: "Madam Speaker, record me as 'no', please."

Speaker Breslin: "Becord Representative Peterson as voting 'no'.

Representative Vinson, do you have any questions of the

Affirmative Boll?"

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker. Representative Dunn. John Dunn." Speaker Breslin: "John Dunn. The Gentleman is here."

Vinson: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley. Representative Farley.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

Vinson: "Mr. Hannig."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hannig is in his chair."

Vinson: "Mr. Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Vinson: "I beg your pardon?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Vinson: "Representative Panayotovich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Bemove him."

Vinson: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond is in the chamber."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Vinson: "Representative Stuffle."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stuffle. The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Vinson: "Representative Taylor."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Taylor. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wolf is in the chamber."

Vinson: "Representative Bullock."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Domico is not in the chamber.

Remove him."

Vinson: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks. The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Vinson: "He is?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, he is."

Vinson: "Oh, thank you. No further questions."

Speaker Breslin: "What is the Call... the count, rather, Mr. Clerk? Representative White asks leave to be verified. On this question there are 55 voting "aye", 50 voting 'no", and 2 voting 'present'. This Bill, having failed to received the necessary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. House Bill 3071, Representative Madigan. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3071, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board for repairs.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. On House Bill 3071, would appropriate 173,005 from the General Revenue Fund to the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Capital Development Board for the repairs to the Near North Career Magnet High School. The Governor has recently given his approval for the appropriation, and I would ask everyone seated with us here today to vote green."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 3071. And on that guestion the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, can you tell me what the address of this particular school is? I have been searching for that information for the better part of the week."

Leverenz: "Chicago."

Vinson: "No, I mean where in Chicago?"

Leverenz: "It's on North Larabee Avenue. Representative White probably has the exact numbers."

Vinson: "1450 Larabee. Does that sound correct?"

Leverenz: "Yeah, I think it was by the old Sibbons Gardens which
was torn down on Larabee. I used to go there to the beer
garden, but the school might be right on that exact site at
this point in time. But do you have the answer to the
question?"

Vinson: "Yeah, I just received a note and it looks like 1450

Larabee, and that's all I wanted to know."

Leverenz: "We would be very happy to their non-for-profit organization to receive your check for their building fund also. Thank you, Sir."

Vinson: "I beg your pardon?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative White."

White: "If he had some guestions pertaining to the school, I was going to respond."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3071 pass?'

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Voting is open. The Clerk will take the record. who wish? question there are 89 voting 'aye', 18 voting 'no', and 1 voting *present*. This Bill, having Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we will have two short, very short. introductions. Representative Mays in the Chair."

Mays: "Ladies and Gentleman of the House, it's my distinct pleasure, once again, to introduce to you the Quincy Notre Dame Lady Raiders, Class A basketball champions of this past year. This is the second year that they have repeated this in a row and without further ado, I would ask the Clerk to read the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution. Whereas. the Notre Dame Lady Raiders won the Illinois State Class A BasketBall Championship for the 1983-1984 season, becoming the first girls' team ever to successfully defend the State Championship from the previous season; and whereas, on their way to the top, the Lady Raiders accomplished many goals, such as becoming championships of the Greater Midwestern Conference, the Beardstown Tournament, Quincy Notre Dame Regional and the Meredosia Sectional: and the Lady Raiders, under the respected coaching of new head coach, Jim Shields, averaged 74 points per game, while allowing only 44 points to opposing teams, and earned a season record of 30 wins and two losses and a conference record of 8 wins and 0 losses; and whereas, there were several individual honors awarded to players of the Lady Raiders team, including those being named 'All Tournament' at both Beardstown and Camp Point Central: Susan Wellman. Lori Vogel and Becky Winking, outstanding player of Camp Point Central Tournament: Susan Wellman, First Team

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Selection at State Finals: Susan Wellman and Lori Vogel, Second Team Selection at State Finals; Becky Winking and Jane Duesterhaus, All Conference Selection of the Midwestern Conference: Susan Wellman, Lori Vogel and Becky Winking; and Special Mention All State, Susan Wellman and Lori Vogel; and whereas, the Quincy Notre Dame Lady Baiders Team is being of seniors Susan Wellman, Lori Vogel, Teri Glanzman, Becky Winking, Theresa Venvertloh, Stacy Doellman and Dorothy Grawe and juniors Peggy Wolf, Jane Duesterhaus and Ellen Venvertloh and sophmores Annette Speckhart, Jane Laird, Cindi Peter and Kim Reagan and freshmen Rhonda Hinkamper and Sherri Winking, and coached by Jim Shields and Cathy Fetter. Therefore, be it resolved by the of the 83... the House of the 83rd General Assembly, the Senate concurring herein. that we extend OHE congratulations Coach Jim Shields and the to State Championship Quincy Notre Dame Lady Raiders and that recognize their hard work, mature and determination and that into their outstanding season. And be it further resolved that suitable copies of this Freamble and Resolution be prepared so that they may be presented to each player, coaches on their visit to Springfield."

Mays: "Alright, the Chair would like to recognize Representative from Effingham, Bich Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I would simply like to advise the team, as a graduate of Teutopolis High School, who I think they have heard of in the last two years. They bounced them out of the tournaments both times - this last time in the Championship in an overtime - congratulations."

Mays: "At this time, I would like to present to you the rookie coach for the Notre Dame Lady Baiders, Coach Jim Shields."

Coach Jim Shields: "Thank you very much for the opportunity to

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

us to be here and I'm certain this will be a memory in their lives as well as mine. Thank you."

- Mays: "I would also like to thank you, the Body, for being so courteous to us. Seems we come here at least once a year on one or another sport and thank you very much for being so courteous."
- Speaker Breslin: "On the Order of Appropriations for ... Representative Hicks, did you have an introduction also?" Hicks: "Thank you very much. Ladies and Gentlemen of the it's a great pleasure for me at this time to introduce the Class A High School Basketball Champions from my district, McLeansboro Foxes, from McLeansboro, Illinois. finished this year with a record of 35 wins and no losses. I'd like for you to meet the players and the coaches and the cheerleaders of the McLeansboro Foxes. First, I'd like to introduce to you David Lee, the coach of the McLeansboro team - also was awarded the honor of being the Coach of the Year for 1984 for the High School Association of Illinois. Coach Dave Lee. Dave, you like to say a word or two?"
- Coach David Lee: "Thank you, Larry. It is our pleasure to be here and to represent Hamilton County and McLeansboro. It's a great honor for us to come up here. We did have lunch with the Governor. He showed us a great time. And to come over here. It seems like you are all very busy, so we don't want to take too much of your time. I know you are doing a lot of exciting work. We keep up with you in Hamilton County, so make sure you keep things coming south. We really appreciate it. Especially get more money back into education. That's where we need it. That's right. Thank you very much."
- Hicks: "Thank you, Dave, very much. I'd also like to introduce one of the players, Brian Sloane, who this year was chosen as 'Mr. Basketball of Illinois'. He was chosen as the

128th Legislative Day

- May 31, 1984
- number one basketball player by the sportswriter, the Coaches Association of the Chicago Tribune as 'Mr. Basketball' State of Illinois. Brian, come up."
- Brian Sloane: "Thank you. On behalf of the McLeansboro Foxes,

 I'd like to thank the Legislature and the Governor for
 inviting us down to Springfield for this day. It's been a
 very memorable day for me and all the rest of the
 ballplayers on the team. Thank you very much."
- Hicks: "Now you know how short I really am. Thank you very much.

 It is a great pleasure for me to have these gentlemen down here. A record of 35 and 0 this year, I give you the McLeansboro Foxes. Thank you very much. Okay, this is our cheerleaders right here. Make sure I can introduce them. Lisa Schneider right here, Tammy Hot, right here, Jan Drone, Nicole Vanzan, Jan Drone, Brian Ingram, Darla Little and Carman Hot. I got the wrong name right here. What was it? Julie Drone, right here, right."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentleman, on the Order of Appropriations for Regulatory Agencies appears House Bill 2530, Representative Olson. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2530, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Board of Elections. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2530 is the appropriation for Fiscal '85 for the State Board of Election. It appropriates \$4,496,112. In essence, this includes a 5.7 increase over Fiscal '84 budget. We have one less employee, two new areas of responsibility, including a research library in the amount of \$56,350 as the result of a Public Act last year, and an election reform group which is the essence of... out of 1301, Senate, last year in the amount of

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

\$100,000. I move for the passage of House Bill 2530 and be happy to respond to any questions.

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 2530. And on that question is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is 'Shall House Bill 2530 pass? All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 105 voting *aye*, none voting *no*, and none voting 'present'. This Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2626, Representative Hastert. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2626, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2626 does provide for the Fiscal Year 1985 appropriation for the Department of Children and Family Services. The overall increase is an 8.6 percent increase with a 9.1 GRF increase. Earpy to answer any questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 2626. And on that guestion is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the guestion is, 'Shall House Bill 2626 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2640, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2640, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Revenue. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

- Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2640 deals with the budget for the Department of Revenue and the appropriation is approximately \$1,000,506,658.8, and some of the highlights of the budget is the move to the new facility, the implementation of the STEP program, the funding for new and replacement of automobiles, some grant programs, new bonus compensation programs and Lottery prize money."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House
 Bill 2640. And on that question is there any discussion?

 Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House...'

 The Gentleman from Cook Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Sponsor... The Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

Leverenz: "Do you know the nickname of the new sculpture at the Willard Ice Center?"

Barnes: "Moby Dick."

Leverenz: "I just want everybody... Well, you have now won the raffle. I want everybody in the world to know how much also it costs to acquire that statue that sits in the atrium. Do you know how much that costs to acquire?"

Barnes: "It's part of the arts program, and it's \$150,000."

- Leverenz: "\$150,000. Well, it sits in the new Revenue Center, and I just thought everyone should know that. How many new jobs does this budget include now? We need a number of positions for people that are in commissions that will be pinked slipped in the next 30 days."
- Barnes: "Approximately 62 jobs, but they needed some of the new jobs for the Stop Tax Evasion Program."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Leverenz: "That's only one part. What's the total?"

Barnes: "62 new jobs as amended."

Leverenz: "My analysis shows 164 minus the 37 that we cut, so that that would be higher than what you have indicated? Do we have a better figure than that?"

Barnes: "We had 107 new positions to start with."

Leverenz: "You had 110 in Revenue. You had 54 new jcbs requested in the Lottery, which is 164. We eliminated 37."

Barnes: "We separated the Lottery."

Leverenz: "As well it should be. Fut total new jobs then in Revenue and lottery for the total agency which is contained in this Bill would be what number of new positions?"

Speaker Breslin: "Do you have any further questions?"

Leverenz: "I'm waiting for an answer."

Barnes: "36 positions in the Lottery Division."

Leverenz: "How many total new jobs in this budget? Answer is 120..."

Barnes: "116."

Leverenz: "127 new positions in this budget. Now, what is the increase over the previous year totally to this agency of state government in percentage... the percentage of increase?"

Barnes: "If you include the Lottery, it's a decrease of \$12,000,083.3."

Leverenz: "There would be a reduction if you used the reduced figure from the original request. The request, is it not true, that it is up three percent from the prior year after Second Reading, the Amendments? The answer is yes. And the percentage of increase in pay raise for the employees averages what percent?"

Barnes: "I would assume it is the five percent."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

128th Legislative Day

- May 31, 1984
- Dunn, J.: "What assignments are going to be given to these new employees, whether it's 107, 116, 121?"
- Barnes: "Well, part of... part of it, Representative Dunn, is to enforce the Stop Tax Evasion Program, better known as the STEP program."
- Dunn, J.: "And what other functions will they perform?"
- Barnes: "Pardon me?"
- Dunn, J.: "What other functions will the employees in these new positions perform?"
- Barnes: "Well, tax processing. But the enforcement of these positions will allow formation of specialized units, and they will be focusing on the sales tax, the cigarette tax, the motor fuel tax, the Lottery and the bingo and special projects."
- Dunn, J.: "Are they all in enforcement then?"
- Barnes: "Not all of them, but the majority of the positions are allocated for that type of..."
- Dunn, J.: "Are there any employees going to be working on the processing of tax returns? New employees."
- Barnes: "Yes, tax processing, and some of these fraud agents, the resident offices will be expanded with additional..."
- Dunn, J.: "How many people? I understand there will be two people added to the staff for the processing of tax returns. Is that correct?"
- Barnes: "That is correct."
- Dunn, J.: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to this appropriation. I would just like to call attention to the Members that I bet your people back home feel the same as mine, particularly the small business people who come to my legislative district office every day of the week and ask for help in the processing of an income tax return due them from the State of Illinois. And those complaints have been going on for a matter of years. Attention was called

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

I think as far back this matter. a٤ 1981. and representations were made that the cash flow back in the form of refunds to taxpayers would be back to normal by December of 1981. Anyone who is a Member of the Assembly knows that that didn't happen. The Governor indicated in 1982 that we could see the end of this coming, and again in 1983. People in my district are still calling me and asking, 'Why can't I get my income tax return promptly?" If we're going to put up a monument over here on Jefferson, and if we're going to put expensive statues in it, and if we're going to hire all kinds of people to go out on enforcement programs, it locks like we... And also. of course, we're going to quickly make criminals of those who... who bounce the check to pay the taxes. If we're going to do all of these things, we probably ought to get money back promptly to those who are entitled to refunds. Ne haven't been doing that, and I don't see anything in this appropriation that's really going to alleviate that So, I would urge the Members to grind to a hault on this appropriation until we get an answer for our people back home about when they can expect their refunds to paid and to have assurance that they will be paid promptly to them."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes, to close."

Barnes: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and Madam Speaker, I think it's also very, very important to have the implementation of the this Stop Tax Evasion Program, because it's going to generate more dollars for the State of Illinois. I know in my district, we have people that volunteer and go over to the senior citizen centers to help the seniors free of charge for any help that they need in processing. So, for that reason, I would ask an 'aye' vote

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

on this. question."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is "Shall House Hill 2640 pass?"

 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 87 voting 'aye', 15 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present'. This Hill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Hill 2642, Representative Barnes.

 Clerk read the Hill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2642."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Oblinger, for what reason do you rise?"
- Oblinger: "As a point of personal privilege. May I introduce Mr.

 Kenneth Holland, who was a prior Director of the Department
 on Aging, who is visiting us from Florida? Right over
 here."
- Speaker Breslin: "That is against our rules, Representative Oblinger. Representative Barnes, on the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2642, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Registration and Education. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House
 Bill 2642 has to do with the Department of Registration and
 Education appropriation. It appropriates \$8,747,300,000 as
 amended for the ordinary and contingent expenses, and this
 appropriation is a one percent increase over the Fiscal
 Year '84."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House
 Bill 2642. And on that question is there any discussion?
 The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Give us a guesstimate on the number of new positions

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

in R & E. Better yet, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Sponsor will yield for a question."

Leverenz: "The number of new positions in your budget?"

Barnes: "Six."

Leverenz: "Percent of increase over last year for the agency?"

Barnes: "One percent."

Leverenz: "And salary increases generally will average what?" Barnes: "They would average 5%."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is 'Shall House Bill 2642 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2644, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2644, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Labor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Do you wish to bring this Fill back to the Order of Second? The Lady asks leave to bring this Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Lady has leave. This Fill is on Second Reading. Please read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Leverenz - Oblinger."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #7 to 2644 would provide the dollars necessary to implement the Health and Safety Act that recently passed the General Assembly. It would appropriate \$903,800. There is a good possibility, should the Federal Government approve the program, that we

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

are about to start up for the state that in the future we would see a 50% reimbursement from the Federal Government.

I'd move for the adoption of Amendment #7."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 2644. And on that question is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is 'Shall Amendment #7 to House Bill 2644 be adopted?' All... You have to turn on your light, Representative Mays, in order to speak. Representative Mays, on the question." Mays: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment. I raised my initial concern on the substantive Bill when we were addressing it. My feeling at that point was we already had the statutory authority on the books. We just needed to fund it. I think this is a very good step forward, and I'm hopeful that we will see a bulk of these funds recouped after we receive approval from the Department of Lahor. So I rise in support of this
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is 'Shall Amendment #7 to House
 Bill 2644 be adopted?" All those in favor say 'aye', all
 those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there
 any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Amendment."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The Lady asks leave for immediate consideration of House Eill 2644 are there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Lady has leave.

 Third Reading. Read the Eill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2644, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Labor. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

- Bill 2644 has to do with the Bureau of Employment Security within the Department of Labor. And they are going to be using GRF funds to pay most of the unemployment insurance cost owed to the Federal Government and the external restructuring at cabinet level Department by Executive Order #2 of the Bureau of Employment Security and internal reorganization."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for passage of House Bill 2644. And on that question is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Bepresentative Barnes, how many new positions are we placing in this appropriation?"
- Barnes: "Staff informs me that it's a little difficult to determine since that is determined by federal."
- Mautino: "With that Amendment that we just put in 30 and then there was a couple of hundred in employment security isn't there?"
- Barnes: "Well thirty... The Amendment that was just passed were 31."
- Mautino: "And there's about another 176 of new employees requested under the Bureau of Employment Security which is also in this appropriation, isn't it?"
- Barnes: "That's an estimate."
- Mautino: "So there's basically, you know, pretty close to well 274 275 new positions right?"
- Barnes: "Right, but 176 of them are federally funded."
- Mautino: "Yes, these are the... These appropriations are very interesting because this is... these are the ones that are reducing government in doing all those good things. I just wanted to know what the numbers were."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

Mulcahey: "Again what percent increase is this over last year's budget?"

Barnes: "10.2% total."

Mulcahey: "A total of 10.2. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is "Shall Bouse Bill 2644 pass?"

 All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

 Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative McFike, for the purposes of a Motion."
- McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to waive the posting requirements for all Senate Bills so that they can be heard in Committee next week. I further move to waive the requirement that the Bills be printed prior to posting with the understanding that no Bill will be heard in Committee next week unless it is printed."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk advises us that all of the Bills have been printed. Are there any objections to the Gentleman's Motion? Bepresentative Vinson."
- Vinson: "No, I would just support the Motion so that we can deliberately get on with the process next week and not delay it."
- Speaker Breslin: "On the Motion, all those in favor say 'aye',
 all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair,
 the ayes have it, and the Motion carries. Eack on the
 Order of Appropriation Bills Regulatory Agencies, appears
 House Bill 2648, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the
 Bill."

· 128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2648, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Commerce Commission. Third Reading of the

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House
Bill 2648 has to do with the Illinois Commerce Commission
budget. It is approximately thirteen million six hundred
and seventy four point five dollars which is an 8.3%
difference. There was a small increase in personnel. The
total number of positions would rise from 340 to 348 which
is an increase of 2.4%. And in addition to the budgeted
appropriation amount, the Commission is scheduled to
receive in Fiscal Year '85 a one time grant of \$275,000
from the Federal Government. This grant, which is derived
from petroleum violation fines, is the explanation of it."
Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves for the passage of House Bill
2648. And on that question is there any discussion?
Seeing no discussion, the question is 'Shall House Bill

Seeing no discussion, the question is 'Shall House Bill 2648 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2655, Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2655, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Industrial Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House House Bill 2655 has to do with the Industrial Commission budget, and it is four point six five eight point eight and the

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

change is a 2.4% change over last year. And some of the highlights are the employers workers compensation insurance coverage. The Commission has requested a reduction in staff, and there are no salary increases for the Commission arbitrators. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill 2655. And on that question is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is 'Shall House Bill 2655 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 104 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2659, Representative Leverenz. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2659, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations of the State Board of Elections. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz, do you wish to have this Bill amended? The Gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave. Second Reading. Please read the Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Leverenz, amends Bouse Bill 2659 as amended."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Yes, the Amendment would provide a \$6,000 appropriation for a court-ordered payout due to a congressional redistricting guestion that was resolved in the courts. I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 2659. And on that question is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is 'Shall Amendment #4 to House Bill 2659 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. And in the opinion of the chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave for immediate consideration of House Bill 2659 is there any objection? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave.

 Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2659, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the State Board of Elections. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Now we have just added 6,000 to the Bill. The original Fill appropriated \$133,375 to the State Board of Elections. We made a minor Amendment for the coalition for political honesty of a \$1,000, and these are all court-ordered payouts. And I would ask for your "aye" vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is moving for passage of House
 Bill 2655. And on that question... excuse me, House Bill
 2659. And on that question the Gentleman from Macon,
 Representative Dunn."
- Dunn, J.: "Thank you, Mr. (sic Madam) Speaker, Ladies and
 Gentlemen of the House. In Amendment #1 there is a payment
 of some attorneys fees. Which party did the attorneys
 represent? Who will be paid?"
- Leverenz: "The party to be paid is Sunshine, Carlin, Nathan,

 Rosenthal in Chicago. They represented the Coalition for

 Political Honesty."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

- Dunn, J.: "The what?"
- Leverenz: "The... let me get my words closer together for you,

 Representative Dunn, and your seatmate. The Coalition for

 Political Honesty."
- Dunn, J.: "Well, I thought that's what you said. So this Bill pays them some money. I just thought the Members ought to know that."
- Leverenz: "No, it does not pay them any money."
- Dunn, J.: "It pays their attorneys some money. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative... Representative McAuliffe, on the question."
- McAuliffe: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question."
- Speaker Breslin: "He indicates he will yield to a question."
- McAuliffe: "Does this, in fact, pay any bills for the Coalition for Political Honesty? A mispomer if I d ever heard one."
- Leverenz: "I'm going to give you an instant replay, Sir. Yes."
- McAuliffe: "Well I'd very much be opposed to this Bill. The

 Coalition for Political Honesty is one of the phoniest

 groups in the state. I wouldn't vote to appropriate a

 nickel to them."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from... The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer."
- Brummer: "Yes, I'm not... I certainly don't stand up as a fan for the Coalition for Political Honesty. Could you give us the background regarding the payment of those attorney fees though?"
- Leverenz: "Would the Gentleman restate his question?"
- Brummer: "What is the background of the proposal to pay the attorney fees for the Coalition for Political Honesty?"
- Leverenz: "The background is that it's a 1,035 I understand to...
 and a law firm, to represent them in a case."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Brummer: "And... And was this pursuant to a court order?"

Leverenz: "I believe so. I said that earlier."

Brummer: "Well excuse me for not hearing you earlier. I

Leverenz: "That's okay. I tried to mumble very..."

Brummer: "What was the federal... what was the litigation which gave rise to this Federal Court order, ordering the payment of this attorney fees?"

Leverenz: "Now are you back to Amendment #4?"

Brunmer: "I'm back to the ... "

Leverenz: "That's a Federal Court order."

Brummer: "I'm back to the Federal Court order which ordered the payment of attorney fees for the Coalition for Folitical Honesty."

Leverenz: "The court ordered Section 28.3 of the Election Code unconstitutional."

Brummer: "And I'm not acquainted with it by that citation in any way."

Leverenz: "Then I ask you to look it up."

Brummer: "No, I would like to know what it's about. Why was there litigation? What was the litigation in which the Coalition for Political Homesty was involved in which gave rise to these attorney fees?"

Leverenz: "That had to do with every signature on a page on a petition had to come from the same jurisdiction."

Brummer: "And they ..."

Leverenz: "And the State Board of Elections threw them off."

Brummer: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear that."

Leverenz: "The State Board of Elections put them off the ballot,

I understand, and they took it to the courts to get back

on."

Brummer: "And they won and the court ordered the Board to pay the attorney fees."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Leverenz: "Now you have it very suscinctly, and you are now correct."

Brummer: "Well thank you, I didn't realize the guestions were that difficult."

Leverenz: "Well it was coming from you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative DiPrima. Representative DiPrima."

Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, this Pat Quinn, he DiPrima: "Yeah. threw this House into a turmoil when he knocked out the Members, you know, where before we had some other 59 minority representation. Like before Elmer Conti Republicans in the district, and I handled the the I*ve Democrats. Now I got to handle both sides. help the suburbs and try to help the city. Being a city man, you know, it makes it a little rough for me at times where I won't be able to support the suburban districts in And now he's coming up with some new gadget. my district. I don't know-Well, you know how our Committees are You go there at times you have to wait operating now. while the Committee Members come back from another Committee where they got their own Bills. The Committee, the. legislative process is in shambles, and, boy, I wouldn't do nothing at all for this Quinn. He's a rat."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
Piel: "I move the previous question, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the previous guestion. The question is 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the main question is put. Representative Leverenz, to close."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. Interesting that Representative DiPrima's old and
new district both included suburban and city sections. So

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

he'll get a little wired in as it gets closer, and certainly I hope he does not have to go before the Board of Tax Appeals with any case out of his ward in the near future. And these are court-ordered things. I understand how you feel. Representative DiPrima knew about Mr. Quinn very early on in 1974 when he worked in his Legislative 18th District at that time and gave him a real scare. So I'd ask for your 'aye' vote; and, for Bepresentative McAuliffe, we would solicit your red vote on this Bill. So that we can pass it. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is "Shall House Bill 2659 pass?"

 All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no".

 Voting is open. The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative

 Countryman, to explain his vote."
- Countryman: "Madam Speaker, I have a conflict of interest and that's why I'm voting "present". I'm a defendant in these cases."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? This Bill requires 60 votes for passage. Representative Leverenz, one minute to explain your vote."
- Leverenz: "Perhaps I should just take one minute of silence. I often said we'll pass no Fill before its time, but we may as well pass this one because it could probably be amended into something else that had to pass. And I'm desiring to get to the Illinois Department of Transportation's budget which is up very soon and this will help me understand on how to vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McAuliffe, you have already spoken in debate you would therefore..."
- Leverenz: "And you're going to pay more interest the longer it goes on, so let's go."
- Speaker Breslin: "Unless you have a point of order you cannot be recognized."

128th Legislative Day

- May 31, 1984
- McAuliffe: "Personal privilege. My name was mentioned in debate by Representative..."
- Speaker Breslin: "State your point."
- McAuliffe: "Well, I just wanted to say that Larry DiFrima usually doesn't make an understatement, but when he called Pat Quinn a rat that was the supreme understatement of the year."
- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 55... 58 voting 'aye', 36 voting 'no' and 11 voting 'present'. The Gentleman asks for a Poll of the Absentees. Is there anyone who would like to be added to the Roll Call? Representative Christensen goes from 'present' to 'aye'. Bepresentative Brunsvold goes from 'present' to 'aye'. Bepresentative McAuliffe?"
- McAuliffe: "I'm going to ask for a verification if this gets 60 votes."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Earger goes from "aye" to "no".

 Representative Wolf goes from "present" to "aye". There

 are, therefore, 60 voting "aye". Poll the absentees, Mr.

 Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Berrios. Braun. Brookins. Bullock.

 Capparelli. Doyle. Hallock. Krska. Markette. Neff.

 Taylor. Terzich."
- Speaker Breslin: "Would you poll the affirmative, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Bowman. Breslin. Brummer. Brunswold.
 Christensen."
- Speaker Breslin: "Bowman asks leave to be verified.

 Representative McAuliffe, the Gentleman has leave."
- O'Brien: "Cullerton. Clerk Curran. Curri∈. Daniels. Davis. DeJaegher. Didrickson. Domico. Farley. Flinn. Dwight Friedrich. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig.

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Huff. Jaffe. Johnson. Keane. Hoffman. Homer. Laurino. Leglore. Leverenz. Levin-Marzuki-Matijevich. McCracken. McGann. McFike. Nash-Mautino. Mavs. Panayotovich. W. Peterson. Pierce. Preston. O'Connell. Rhem. Richmond. Ronan. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Tate. Tuerk. Turner. Vinson. Vitek_ White. Wolf. McNamara. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman asks leave to go from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Slape changes his vote from not ¹aye¹. Representative to Rice changes... Representative Rice goes from 'present' to Representative Markette goes from... asks to be recorded as Representative Davis asks to be recorded from 'ves' to 'no'. Representative Dwight Friedrich goes 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Younge, did you seek recognition? What is the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Speaker Breslin: "There are 62 voting "aye" and 32 voting "no".

Representative McCracken has decided to go from "aye" to
"no", and Representative Peterson has decided to go from
"aye" to "no". Representative Leverenz, for what reason do
you rise? We now have 60 voting "aye"."

Leverenz: "Oh, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McAuliffe, do you have any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?"

McAuliffe: "Madam Speaker, Representative Terzich?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich? The Gentleman is not in the chamber remove him. The Gentleman is not voting.

Excuse me."

McAuliffe: "Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi is not in the chamber.

Remove him."

McAuliffe: "Representative Capparelli?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli is not voting."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

McAuliffe: "Representative Berrios?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Berrios is not voting."

McAuliffe: "Representative Bullock?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock? The Gentleman is not voting, Sir."

McAuliffe: "Bepresentative Johnson?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Johnson? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Bemove him."

McAuliffe: "Representative Pierce?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pierce? The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

McAuliffe: "Representative Domico?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Domico? Remove him."

McAuliffe: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley, is not in the chamber.

Remove him."

McAuliffe: "Representative Krska?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Krska, is not voting."

McAuliffe: "Representative Panayotovich?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Fanayotovich, is not in the chamber. Remove him."

McAuliffe: "Representative Hoffman?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

McAuliffe: "Representative Greiman?"

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Here to help. I ask leave to table the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to table this. We are in the middle of a Roll Call. We have to finish the Roll Call, Representative Leverenz. Would you like to put this Bill on the Order of Postponed Consideration and then table the Fill?"

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Leverenz: "No."

Speaker Breslin: "That would work."

Leverenz: "Then I'll do it."

"The Gentleman asks leave to put this Bill on Speaker Breslin: the Order of Postponed Consideration. Hearing Bill is on the Order of Fostponed objection, the Consideration. The Gentleman now asks leave to table House Bill 2659. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' it, and this Bill is tabled. On appropriation bills dealing with environment and public health, Representative Barnes has House Bill 2653. Br. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2653, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, I think I would like to bring this back to the Order of Second Reading for purposes of Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second for the purposes of an Amendment. Does the Lady have leave? Hearing no objections, this Bill is on

the Order of Second Reading. Please read the Amendments.

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "This Amendment was provided to, I believe, our staff from the Department. It straightens out the Salmon Fund monies as they would be appropriated by the agency. It makes no dollar change, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 2653. On that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

is "Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw."

- Shaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an Amendment to create... to add \$150,000 addition to the Department of Conservation for the purpose of hiring a trainee program. And the reason that this Amendment is being offered because there... Conservation seemed not to have an affirmative action program. If you look at the statistics..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Piel, for what reason do you rise?"
- Piel: "Question of the Clerk, Madam Speaker. Has this Amendment been printed and distributed? We can't find it over here."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Amendment has been printed and distributed.

 Proceed, Mr. Shaw."
- Shaw: "I just... and all I'm asking for is an Affirmative Roll
 Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #8 to House Bill 2653. And on that question, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I
 rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment because the
 method of funding that he would accomplish this by would
 delete some important game wardens in the state. We need
 to provide the protection out there for the game laws to
 make sure they're enforced, and the method of funding would
 delete that. So I would urge a 'no' vote on the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff."

· 128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Huff: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I support this Amendment; because, following Representative Vinson's logic, this Amendment is going to provide training that could very well augument those forest preserve rangers' positions. This is a good Amendment and should deserve our wote"

Speaker Breslin: "The question is... The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 to House Bill 2653 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 52 voting 'aye', 39 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Amendment... and this Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Amendment #9 to
House Bill 2653 appropriates \$60,000 from the State Boating
Act Fund to the Department of Conservation for the
construction of a boat ramp at the Rock River in Como,
Illinois, Whiteside County."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #9 to House Bill 2653. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is 'Shall Amendment #9 to House Bill 2653 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "This Amendment would reorder the monies and put it

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

into law enforcement equipment, \$200,000, for telecommunications equipment or radio equipment, I*m sorry."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the ... "

Leverenz: "I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #10 to House Bill 2653. And on that question the Gentleman... Is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is 'Shall Amendment #10 to House Bill 2653 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those in favor say 'aye', all those in favor say 'aye', all the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "I'm withdrawing Amendment #11."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #11. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Ploor Amendment #12, Nelson - Koehler."

Speaker Breslin: "Sepresentative Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Members of the
House. I wish to withdraw Floor Amendment #12. It's
duplicative of Senation action today."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #12. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendmetn #13, Rea."

Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Bea."

Rea: "Amendment 13 provides a \$110,000 for the Wayne Fitzgerald

State Park to extend the waste disposal line to a marina

which will make that a comprehensive diversified park

and... all the other improvements are there."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Amendment #13 to House Bill 2653. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, "Shall Amendment #13 to House Bill 2653 be adopted?"

All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay".

In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, Ronan - Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bonan."

- Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House.

 Amendment #14 is a very simple concept. We re adding
 \$40,000 to the Department's budget so that we can fish in
 the lagoons in the Chicago Park District in the City of
 Chicago."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #14 to House Bill 2653. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #14 to House Bill 2653 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. The Lady now asks leave for immediate consideration of House Bill 2653. Is there any objection? Seeing no objection, Third Reading. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2653, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House
Bill 2653 appropriates the Fiscal Year 185 request for the
Department of Conservation budget. And it involves state

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

boating, state parks, wildlife and fish, the Salmon Fund, forest reserve, land and water, recreation, historical sites, federal fire protection, snowmobile trail, the Illinois Forestry Development, Illinois Non-game Wildlife, Wildlife Conservation Fund, conservation federal projects and capital development bonds."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady asks... The Lady moves that House Bill 2653 pass. All those in favor... The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady will yield for a question."

Mulcahey: "Percentage increase over last year?"

Barnes: "Before the Amendments it was... the request was 39% increase."

Mulcahey: "So after the Amendments it will be much more than that or a little bit more than that."

Barnes: "I would say so."

Mulcahey: "So we're talking 40% ballpark."

Barnes: "Bight."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The guestion is 'Shall House Bill 2653 pass?"

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion there are 90 voting 'aye', 17 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative McPike, is recognized for the purposes of a Motion. Bepresentative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to waive the appropriate rule so that the Bules Committee can meet immediately in the Conference Room in the back corridor."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to waive the necessary

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

posting rules and to allow the Rules Committee meeting to meet immediately in the Speaker's Conference Room in the back corridor. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, the appropriate rules are waived. In addition to that, there will be temporary appointments to the Rules Committee meeting which will held immediately. bе Representative Slape, Representative Alexander. Representative Saltsman and Representative Rice. Representative Homer please report to the Rules Committee immediately. Representative Alexander, meeting Representative Slape, Representative Romer and Representative Rice report to the Rules Committee meeting immediately in the back corridor, as well as all other Members. On the Order of Appropriation Eills dealing with transportation, appears House Bill 2624, state Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2624, a Bill for an Act making certain reappropriations to the Department of Transportation.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative: Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House
Bill 2624 deals with the Department of Transportation
appropriation, and it's approximately two billion, four
million, seven hundred and fourty-two point five dollars.
And the budget reflects a lot of water resources, capital
projects and some other projects dating all the way back to
1976 throughout the state. And I think that this budget
has been debated quite thoroughly. I would request an
'aye' vote."

Speaker Barnes: "The Lady has moved... The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill 2624. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, to the Bill."

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Leverenz: "The Lady is correct. These projects have all been approved and scrutinized by the General Assembly in the past. It is simply the reappropriation Bill, and this Bill should pass."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I don't think that we ought pass out any Bill for the Department of Transportation for the State of Illinois for the reason that the Department of Transportation has not kept good faith in reference to its promises in reference to securing the votes of Members of the House Representatives in reference to 1305 and in reference to the Service Transportation Act of 1982. There were very uncomplicated things which the Department promised it would do which I think we ought to remember and speak to by voting 'present' on this Bill. As for example, I have since then been picking up the paper, receiving notices of various type ο£ road projects. Secretary Kramer specifically promised that if we would support the Major Road Program Resurfacing Act that in reference to the projects in our district, we would be notified beforehand, and we would be given an opportunity to appear in the paper as having given notice to the public about the ... Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is "Shall House Bill 2624 pass?"

All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no".

Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 86 voting "aye", 8 voting "no" and 8 voting "present". This Bill, having received the Constitutional Hajority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2625, Representative Barnes.

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2625, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Beading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House
Bill 2625 addresses the appropriations for the Department
of Transportation, and the fiscal year appropriation is
approximately one billion, eight hundred and twenty-six
million, one hundred and six point one dollars. Some of
the highlights of the program are the Fiscal Year '85
\$900,000,000 highway program, which everybody has been
advised of, transit operating and capital funding, agency
operations, and there are approximately 79 new positions,
the new water resources capital in the Falwaukee Airport.
I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves for passage of House Bill 2625.

And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook,

Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Speaking for myself, Madam Speaker, I'm going to back off of this legislation the way Dracula tacks off the crucifix, and I would urge all other good-thinking vampires to do the same thing. This relates to the position that Representative Younge was speaking to. Some of us last year voted with the Secretary of Transportation on good-faith agreement which they did not keep, and we were put in the untenable situation, a very embarrassing situation, going back to our districts raising recklessly the expectation of our people in our districts who were looking for road work and didn't get it. What we found instead was that the Secretary of Transportation certain agreements with elements in our

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

affected contracts with these people who used the money to work against some of the Members in the House here. I should think that in this House your word should mean something, and all of the wrongs that the Secretary Kramer perpetrated on us one by one is coming back to haunt him two by two. And I think we should stay off of this Bill and teach Secretary Kramer what it means to keep his word."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younge."

- Younge: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And I don't think that there could be an integrity to this process unless there is support for the position that when a promise is made to a Member of the House of Representatives that promise is kept. And the only way we can make that the rule rather than everyday, every year these people not keeping their promises is that the Members of this House back up Members to which broken promises have been made."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for passage of House Bill 2625. The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. Representative Vinson, to explain your vote."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I would just point out to the Members of the House who are reviewing this matter that if you vote 'no' on this, you're voting against a bunch of road projects that your people care about all around the state that you've probably been giving speeches for for the past ten years."
- Speaker Breslin: "This Bill requires 60 votes passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion there are 55 voting 'aye', 11 voting 'no' and 23 voting 'present', and the Lady asks for a Poll of the Absentees. Excuse me, Representative Buff, for what reason do you rise?"

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

Huff: "Well, Madam Speaker, if this gets the required votes, I'm going to ask for a verification."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good."

Speaker."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Alexander. Braun.
 Bullock. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. DiPrima.
 Doyle. Farley. Jaffe. Krska. Mautinc. McFike. Neff.
 Pierce. Rice."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Jaffe would like to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Curran would like to go from 'aye' to 'present'. Proceed, Mr. Clerk." Clerk O'Brien: "Roman. Taylor. Terzich. VanBuyne. Wolf. Mr.
- Speaker Breslin: "Poll the affirmative, please. Yes, excuse me, Representative Olson. Representative Olson asks leave to be verified. The Bill has not even passed yet, Representative Olson. On this Bill there are 54 voting 'aye', 19 voting 'no' and 24 voting 'present'. Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Madam Speaker, since I noticed that Bepresentative Madigan is not voting at all, I'm just going to let the Bill go down."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, for what reason do you rise?"

Mautino: "I don't believe I'm recorded, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "No, you're not."

Mautino: "Flease record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Madigan. Representative Madigan, would like to be recorded as voting 'no'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Rice? Representative Rice, would like to vote 'present'. On this Bill there are 55 voting 'aye', 18 voting 'no' and 25 voting 'present'. This Bill, having failed to receive the

necessary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost.

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

- Agreed Resolutions. Representative McPike, for the Adjournment Resolution. Mr. Clerk, read the Resolution."

 Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 157. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 83rd General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when the House of Representatives adjourn on Thursday, May 31, 1984, it stands adjourned until Tuesday, June 6, 1984 at 12:30 p.m., and when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, May 31, 1984, it stands adjourned until Monday, June 5,
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike."

1984 at 1:00 p.m."

- McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move the... for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."
- Speaker Breslin: "All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1003, Brunsvold; 1004, Hallock Giorgi; 1005, Hallock Giorgi; 1006, Hallock Giorgi; 1007, Piel; 1008, Brunner; 1009, Madigan et al; 1010, Madigan; 1012, Doyle; and House Joint Resolution 155, Zwick; and 156, Brunner."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mulcahey, on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Mulcahey: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Joint 1003,
 Brunsvold, congratulates Rock Island Bigh School track team
 for ending up the *84 season in second place in Class AA
 Track Meet. 1004, Hallock Giorgi, congratulates Gilford
 High School of Richland won the 3200 meter run at Illinois
 High School Association's State Class AA Track Field
 Championship. 1005, Hallock Giorgi, congratulates Ike
 Johnson, Ken Mannery, Brian Butler, Chris Linhart East High

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

School recently won the 3000 meter relay team Class A track 1006, congratulates Rockford East High School who recently won the shot put event. 1007, Fiel, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Henry Piel, parents of our esteemed colleague, Representative Robert Piel, celebrate their golden wedding anniversary on June 8. Resolution 1008. Brunner. congratulates Teutopolis High School Class A girls' track team for the Class A Championship. House Resolution 1009, Madigan, congratulates Patrick Doyle as Man of the Year of the Back of the Yards Council annual dinner June 5. Rouse Resolution 1010, Madigan, congratulates Mrs. Anna Surma of Chicago celebrates her 88th birthday on July 10. Resolution 1012, Doyle, congratulates Triton College of the 6th annual GED graduation ceremony. House Joint Resolution 155, congratulates Cherise Hagen of Sleepy Hollow having been selected as Miss Teen U.S.A. for 1983. House Joint Resolution 156, Brunner, congratulates the Russell and Allison Levee Unit which formed to arrive in the solution of the annual flooding which has significant ... the impact in this area. And Mr. Speaker ... Madam Speaker ... "

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike."

Mulcahey: "I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Breslin: "Grand. The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted.

General Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1011, Roman and House Resolution 1013, DeJaegher."

Speaker Breslin: "Committee on Assignment. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Satterthwaite, Chairman of the

Committee on Higher Education, to which the following Bills

were referred, action taken 5/30/84 and reported the same

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

back with the following recommendations: 'do pass* Senate Bill 1395; 'do pass Short Debate' Senate Bills 1596 and 1598: 'be adopted' House Besolution 961 as Representative Wolf, Chairman of the Committee on Fersonnel and Pensions, to which the following Eills were referred, action taken May 30, 1984 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bill 1873. Representative Jaffe, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 31, 1984 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 1841 1755: *do pass as amended. Senate Bill and Representative White, Chairman of the Committee on Services, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 31, 1984 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bill 1481; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 1880, 1840, 1879, 1861, 1767, 1797 and 1905."

- Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative McPike. Allowing time for the Clerk to read Senate Messages, Bepresentative McPike moves that this House stand adjourned until Tuesday at the hour of 12:30. All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and this House is adjourned."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. "Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles and passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bills #1386, 1541, 1547, 1548, 1549, 1550, 1565, 1583, 1584, 1649, 1654 and 1656, passed by the Senate May 31, 1984. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Being no further business, the House now

128th Legislative Day

May 31, 1984

stands adjourned."

PAGE 1

MAY 31, 1984

	*		
HB-2530	THIRD READING	PAGE	86
	THIRD READING	PAGE	40
	THIRD READING	PAGE	64
	THIRD READING	PAGE	38
HB- 26 10	THIRD READING	PAGE	21
HB-2624	THIRD READING	PAGE	113
	THIRD READING	PAGE	115
		PAGE	87
	THIRD READING		
HB-2627	RECALLED	PAGE	31
HB-2627	THIRD BEADING	PAGE	35
	THIRD READING	PAGE	67
		PAGE	51
	THIRD BEADING		
	THIRD READING	PAGE	38
HB-2640	THIRD READING	PAGE	88
HR-2641	THIRD READING	PAGE	30
	THIRD READING	PAGE	92
			93
	RECALLED	PAGE	
BB~2644	THIRD READING	PAGE	94
RB-2648	THIRD READING	PAGE	97
	THIRD BEADING	PAGE	67
		PAGE	107
	RECALLED		
HB-2653	THIRD READING	PAGE	111
HB-2654	THIRD READING	PAGE	39
	THIRD READING	PAGE	97
		PAGE	98
	RECALLED		-
	THIRD READING	PAGE	99
HB~2659	TABLED	PAGE	107
HR-2663	THIRD READING	PAGE	70
	THIRD READING	PAGE	70
	RECALLED	PAGE	16
HB-2729	THIRD READING	PAGE	17
HB-2734	THIRD READING	PAGE	36
	THIRD BEADING	PAGE	72
		PAGE	71
	THIRD READING		
HB-2776	THIRD READING	PAGE	72
HB-2821	THIRD READING	PAGE	73
HR-3025	THIRD READING	PAGE	75
	THIRD READING	PAGE	81
	THIRD READING	PAGE	52
HB-3277	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
HB-3277	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	6
HB-3277		PAGE	4
	THIRD READING	PAGE	6
	FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-1546	FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-1553	FIRST READING	PAGE	2 2
SR- 1555	FIRST READING	PAGE	2
	FIRST READING	PAGE	9
			2 2
	PIBST READING	PAGE	2
SB- 1561	PIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB- 1563	FIRST READING	PAGE	2 2
	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
	•	PAGE	3
	FIBST READING		
SB-1568	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
SB- 1571	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
	FIRST BEADING	PAGE	3
SB- 1575		PAGE	3
			3
	FIRST READING	PAGE	
SB- 1578		PAGE	3
SB-1581	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
SB-1586		PAGE	4
			3
SB-1610		PAGE	
SB-1611	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
SB- 1657	THIRD READING	PAGE	13

. = % 09/27/84 08:47

STATE OF-ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSENBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

HJR-0157 ADOPTED

PAGE 2

PAGE 118

MAY 31, 1984

•		
SUBJECT MATTER		
HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND MERWIN MASTERS	PAGE	3
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTION	PAGE	4
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN CHAIR	PAGE	19
RESOLUTION - QUINCY NOTRE DAME LADY BAIDERS	PAGE	83
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	118
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	119
CONNITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	120
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	120
PERPUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	120
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	120
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	121
EDEGRATORY ANDOTOR TRACORREST		•