18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

- Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chair. The House will come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. All unauthorized personnel please leave the floor. We shall be led in prayer today by Monsignor Hugh Cassidy of the Blessed Sacrament Catholic Church in Springfield. Will the guests in the gallery please rise to join us in the invocation?"
- Monsignor Cassidy: "God, our Pather, we ask of You a share in the faith of our fathers. Give these Members of the House of Representatives courage to put their lives into Your hands, trusting themselves and those they love to Your love, wisdom and providence. Guide them in their deliberations. Help them to make right decisions, so that the world may be filled with faith and love. May Your blessings come upon them today and always. Amen."
- Speaker Madigan: "We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States

 of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one

 Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
 all."
- Speaker Madigan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Giorgi, are there any excused absences on the Democratic side?"
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I request the House to excuse the absences

 of Representative Vitek and Representative Henry because of
 illness."
- Speaker Madigan: "Let the record show that Representatives Vitek and Henry are excused because of illness. Mr. Vinson, are there any excused absences on the Republican side?"
- Vinson: "Representative Tuerk."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is that all? Let the record show that Representative Tuerk is excused. Have all voted who wish

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

to be recorded? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 114 Members responding to the Attendance Roll Call. There is a quorum present. Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson. Would you recognize Mr. Vinson?"

- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I believe my microphone's malfunctioning.

 After Tuerk, I also mentioned Oblinger and Olson."
- Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Let the record show that Representatives

 Oblinger and Olson are also excused. Messages from the

 Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. "Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolutions, the adoptions of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Joint Resolution #11 and Senate Joint Resolution #12, adopted by the Senate March 9, 1983, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Madigan: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative John Dunn, Chairman of the Committee on Transportation of Motor Vehicles, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 8, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass' House Bill 79. 'Do pass as amended' House Bill 337 and 411. Representative Brummer, Chairman of the Committee on Public Utilities, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 8, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendation: •do pass amended. House Bill 6 and House Bill 336. Representative White, Chairman of the Committee on Human Services, which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass' House Bill 373. * Do pass as amended' House Bill 383. Representative Giglio, Chairman

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

of the Committee on Cities and Villages. to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 8, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendation: *do pass as amended House Bill 311. Representative Laurino, Chairman of the Committee on Insurance to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 8, 1983, reported the same back with the *do pass* House Bill 45. recommendation: Representative Fling, Chairman of the Committee on Financial Institutions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken March 8, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass Short Debate' House Bills 390, 386 and 415."

- Speaker Madigan: "The reading of the Journal."
- Clerk O'Brien: "The Journal for the 15th Legislative Day: the
 House met pursuant to adjournment, the Speaker in the
 Chair, prayer by Father Peter Mascari."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Representative McPike for a Motion."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move we dispense with the reading of the Journal, and that Journal #15 of March 2 and #16 of March 3, 1983, be approved as read."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the suspension of the reading of the Journal and that these Journals be approved as read. Is there leave? Leave is granted. The Gentleman's Motion is adopted. Mr. Clerk, Introduction and First Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 571, NcAuliffe Wolf, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 572, Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 573, Mautino Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Horse

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Racing Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 574, Birkinbine, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Soil and Water Conservation District Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 575, Yourell, a Bill for an Act in relation to libraries. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 576, Klemm, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Snowmobile Registration and Safety Act. First Reading of the Bill."

- Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Friedrich seek recognition? Mr. Friedrich."
- Priedrich, D.: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask for a 30 minute recess for the purpose of a Republican Conference in room 118, if that's convenient now in the Order of Business."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich, we have a guest with us today..."
- Friedrich, D.: "Okay. I'll withdraw that until that time."
- Speaker Madigan: "...who would like to address the Membership for approximately 10 minutes, and therefore, at this time, I would like to welcome to the podium and introduce to the if I Membership... could have your Representative DiPrima? Could I have your attention. could I have the attention of Representative Giorgi and White and all the Members? Could we dispense with the caucuses for a short time? Could the Governor's Office please remove itself from the floor? Thank you, Mr. Selke. At this time, I'd like to introduce Mr. Alan Nelson, who is the Commissioner of the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service. For what purpose does Mr. Johnson seek recognition?"
- Johnson: "I don't want to be obstructionist and I want you to be able to go ahead with your introduction, but I think the rules provide, and correct me if I'm wrong, that the... that the representatives of the Governor's Office do have a

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

right to be on the floor. Am I correct?"

- Speaker Madigan: "You're correct, Mr. Johnson, and what occurred was that I asked Mr. DiPrima to stop his caucus with Mr. Selke and neither responded, and in an effort to get some decorum, I asked Mr. Selke to temporarily remove himself.

 I happen to like Mr. Selke, and I liked his father before him."
- Johnson: "And Mr. Selke and his father before him like you, too,
 Mr. Speaker, and we appreciate your efforts to do that."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. So once again, we have with us today, Mr. Alan Nelson, who is the Commissioner of the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service. Mr. Nelson requested that he be permitted to address us today in light of some pending congressional legislation which would impact upon naturalization and immigration policies affecting the United States of America and its member states, so at this time, Mr. Nelson, Commissioner of the United States Immigration Service."
- Alan Nelson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate the be here, and after the last little opportunity to discussion, that you allow me to stay. Sometimes state Legislators don*t think much of those with the Federal Government. I have been with the California Government before, so I can share your feelings from the State Government level. As you're dealing with your many very difficult and interesting topics, I thought it might be very helpful, and again, appreciate the opportunity to be before you for a few minutes to talk about a major issue that affects all of us - at the state level, county level, local level, as well as the federal level; and that is immigration. We... All of us have roots as immigrants, many within the last generation or so. remains a nation of immigrants. There are no proposals,

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

really, by any spectrum of American politics, that would change that. We have nearly half a million immigrants coming in each year - twice the number of the rest of the world combined, but we currently, you might have read in the recent U.S. News article of a week ago, a growing problem with illegal immigration. has been 30 years, roughly, since the Congress... been about 30 years since the Congress passed major immigration reform last. We have had a situation, I think, Legislators, you'll appreciate a effective, deliberative The Carter administration proposed a number of system. immigration reforms, and I notice that President Carter spoke from this podium. They did not get through the Congress. President Reagan, upon coming in office. proposed a number of other issues, many similar. between, we had the Select Commission on Immigration Reform, headed by Father Ted 'Hesberg', the President of Notre Dame; and a blue ribbon Republican and Democratic group of Legislators, Congressmen and citizens, that have proposed many of the forms that are today embodied in the legislation before the Congress. As you probably know, many of you, last year a Bill passed the U.S. Senate by an 19 vote - a very strong bipartisan vote. The Bill reached the House floor during the lame duck Session, only to fall by the wayside due to lack of time, but on a number of votes on some of the Amendments, it was clear that there were the votes in the House to pass a Bill. Similar Bills have been reintroduced this year already. There have already been hearings in the last few weeks. We expect the Senate to go into markup within the next month or two, and so we encourage you, as Legislators and through you, your constituents, to be interested in these issues; and I think the key, as we really need to demand that the Congress take

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

action. The worst thing is no reform. I won't take time going into the details, other than the issues of a major immigration Bill, is that we must attack the magnet that brings many of the illegals here; and that is jobs, needing for the first time, some strong sanctions against employing illegal aliens in the United States. That is not There need also, on a balanced the case, currently. proposition, to allow the legalization of certain people that have been here extended period of times, to tie in with the sanctions provision. We need some temporary work program to deal with a number of areas such as agriculture. We need to speed up the asylum procedures so we can promptly, fairly and dispassionately decide these cases, because we are bogged down currently with tremendous immigration caseloads, and this hurts all of us. you don't think that, why should we worry about it in Illinois? Chicago is probably one of the major cities in the United States with illegal immigration problems, and many of the people coming in, are coming into the Chicago and... it's a national problem, a bipartisan area. problem, and I appreciate the time of Mr. Speaker and Members to have an opportunity to talk to you. I would encourage your interest and again, your contact with colleagues in Congress and your constituents. Thank you for the opportunity."

- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you very much. Mr. Friedrich, would you wish to renew your request for a Republican Conference?"
- Priedrich, D.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate a 30 minute recess for the purpose of a Republican Conference in room 118."
- Speaker Madigan: "Pursuant to Mr. Friedrich's request, the House shall stand in recess until 15 minutes 'til one. If all Members would return to the floor promptly at 15 minutes

STATE OF ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

*til one so that we can call all the Bills on the Calendar and then go to Committee. Thank you."

- Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The House shall come to order. The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. On page 2 of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, there appears House Bill 104. Mr. Ronan, do wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 104, a Bill for an Act to create the Commission on Public Assistance Programs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments... Are there any Motions... Mr. Clerk, are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A fiscal note has been filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 296, Mr. Bowman. you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 296, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in regard to estrays and other lost property. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 320, Mr. Brunsvold. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 320, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills

 Second Reading, there appears House Bill 12. Mr.

 O'Connell, do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read

 the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 12, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second
 Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
 Committee."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions relative to Amendment

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Cullerton indicates that he does not wish to call House Bill 25. House Bill 69, Representative Topinka. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 69, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
 the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions relative to Amendment

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 227, Representative Breslin. Do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 227, a Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the State Appellate Defender Act. Second
 Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
 Committee."

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions relative to Amendment

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 233, Representative

Currie. Do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, has the

fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note has not been filed."

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall remain on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 244, Representative Nash. Mr_ Nash. do you wish to call your Bill? The Gentleman indicates that he does not wish to call his Bill because he's discussing something with Representative Daniels. Bill 265, Representative Hannig. Do you wish to call your Hannig? Mr. Hannig? Is Mr. Hannig in the chamber? Let the record show there is no response. House Bill 274, Mr. Matijevich. Do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. For what purpose does Mr. Matijevich seek recognition?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Labor and Commerce allowed me to put this Bill on the floor of the House in the hopes that I could work out a compromise with some of the opponents to the Bill. Ordinarily, a Committee wouldn't do that, but early on, I found that I will be unable to work out that type of compromise, and rather than holding it on Second, and other Members may come forth with Amendments when eventually I may have to table the Bill, I thought, in the interest of the business of the House, I will ask leave to table it now."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Matijevich requests leave to table House Bill 274. Is there leave? Leave is granted. House Bill 274 is tabled. House Bill 292, Representative

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Breslin. Do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, Read

Clerk O Brien: "House Bill 292, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Workers Compensation Act. Second Reading

of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Breslin, amends House

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Breslin on Amendment #1."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Bill which allows corporate officers of small businesses to opt out of covering themselves under the Workers' Compensation Act. The Amendment produced is one that provides that if the election is made to opt out, that the corporate officer should give written notice to the commission and to their insurance carrier. This is a provision that the independent insurance agents have requested, and I see no problem with it. I appreciate...

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? There being no discussion... there being no... there being no discussion, the Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", all those opposed by saying "no". The "ayes" have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 305, Mr. Mautino.

Do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 305, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Fish Code of 1971. Second Beading of the

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 319, Mr. Jaffe. Do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 319, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to revise the law relating to industrial
home work. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was
offered in Committee... was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Hadigan: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions relative to Amendment

Clerk O'Brien: "No Hotions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 350, Mr. Rea. Do
you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 350, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Oblinger - Topinka - Nash and Preston, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Representative Topinka."

Topinka: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we would like to withdraw that Amendment, please."

Speaker Madigan: "Withdraw Amendment #1. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. For what purpose does Mr.
Hoffman seek recognition?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to take this opportunity to introduce two guests in the gallery from Representative Wojcik's district, located in the center of the back gallery. Vern 'Laubenstein', who is the

18th Legislative Day

- March 9, 1983
- Supervisor of Schaumburg Township in Cook County, and Roy Hunter, who is the Chairman of their Committee on the Disabled. Vern and Roy, center gallery, there. Welcome to the Legislature."
- Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Representative Plinn seek recognition?"
- Plinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave of the House to table House Bill 262. It's just caught up in Committee, and the Bill's no longer needed."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn, are you the principal Sponsor of that Bill?"
- Flinn: "I'm the only Sponsor."
- Speaker Madigan: "All right. So the Gentleman requests leave to table House Bill 262. Is there leave? Leave being granted, for what purpose does Representative Braun seek recognition? Okay. Leave being granted, the Bill is tabled. For what purpose does Mr. O'Connell seek recognition?"
- O'Connell: "Mr. Speaker, I am the principal Sponsor of House Bill

 106, and I would request permission to table House Bill

 106."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave to table House

 Bill 106. Are you the principal Sponsor of the Bill, Mr.

 O'Connell?"
- O'Connell: "I am the principal Sponsor."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave is granted. House Bill 106 is tabled. Okay. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading Short Debate Calendar, there appears House Bill 76.

 For what purpose does Representative Hutchins seek recognition?"
- Hutchins: "Ar. Speaker, I'd like to request to leave on table

 House Bill 396, for which I am chief Sponsor."
- Speaker Madigan: "Fine. The Gentleman requests leave to table

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

House Bill 396. Is there leave? Leave is granted. House Bill 396 is tabled. On page 2 of the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills Third Reading Short Debate Calendar, there appears House Bill 76. Mr. Ropp, do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 76, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 76 amends the Vehicle Code to permit the use of blue oscillating or flashing lights on volunteer ambulance people who are responding to emergency call. Currently, volunteer firemen have this privilege and also rescue volunteer people have the same privilege, and we're asking that volunteer ambulance people be authorized that same privilege. In addition to that, it also authorizes the use vellow or amber lights on other construction or maintenance vehicles as a means to indicate a particular traffic hazard, and this will also be included any time they re being used for maintenance or construction on highway purposes only. I'd be happy to questions you might have."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 76. May the Chair point out to the Membership that we are now on the Order of Third Heading, final passage stage. Please be advised. This is Third Reading, final passage stage. Mr. Bopp has moved that House Bill 76 *do pass*. Is there any discussion? Is there anyone standing in opposition? There being no discussion, no one standing in opposition, the question is, *Shall this Bill pass?*. All those in favor signify by voting *aye*, all those opposed by voting *no*. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', no voting... no people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 77, Bepresentative Braun. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 77, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of
the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 77 is a life-saving Bill which is made necessary by the existence of the Department of Public Aid's formulary. This particular Bill would allow for the prescription of anti-micro-bio... antibiotics, thank you Penny... antibiotics by physicians to It would allow, in many instances, recipients. for life-saving drugs to be administered in advance of approval thereof by the Department of Public Aid. I would urge... this Bill is supported by any number of consumer groups, including Illinois Association of Community Pharmacists and the National Alliance of Senior Citizens, including the American Association of Senior Citizens, and I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that she will yield."

Bowman: "Of the organizations which you named, have any of these taken an official position in favor of a tax increase?"

Braun: "Representative Bowman, I am not aware - I have not asked the AARP or the Community Pharmacists or the senior citizens groups that I have named whether they have taken an official position in favor of a tax increase. I would,

18th Legislative Day

- March 9, 1983
- however, pursuant to our earlier conversation, be more than willing to go on a letter with you asking those groups for support from their memberships for a tax increase."
- Bowman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Madame Sponsor. I do think..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Bowman, let me interrupt. This
 Bill is on the Order of Short Debate, which means there
 shall be one proponent and one opponent."
- Bowman: "Okay, but I believe also the rules provide for a reasonable question..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Yes..."
- Bowman: "...Questioning, and I was just asking a question, and that question was answered, and I just thanked you and I thanked the Sponsor, and that was it."
- Speaker Madigan: "Okay, thank you. Now, does anyone stand in opposition to this Bill? Is there any further discussion?

 There being no further discussion, Representative Braun to close."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I call for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?". All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 107 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 80, Representative Braun. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 80, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Braun."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill pertains to arthritis medication and would allow for the providing of arthritis medication by

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

physicians, the formulary of the Department of Public Aid notwithstanding. It is similar to the previous Bill, except that I can pronounce arthritis better than I can anti-micro-bio... or whatever, and I would... it's a very good Bill. It is supported by the Arthritis Foundation. It is supported by any number of senior citizens' organizations. It is a necessary Bill. It is an important Bill. It is a life-saving Bill. I urge your favorable consideration and vote on House Bill 80."

- Speaker Madigan: "This Bill is on the Order of the Short Debate
 Calendar. Representative Braun has stood as a proponent of
 the Bill. Is there anyone who wishes to stand in
 opposition? Is there any discussion? Representative
 Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I simply ask if the Lady would yield for a question."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that she will yield."
- Bowman: "I will repeat my last question on the last Bill for this occasion. The question is, "Is the... Are the organizations which are promoting this legislation also on record in favor of a tax increase?"
- Braun: "Again, Representative Bowman, I would respond by saying I don't know. I have not asked these organizations for support for a tax increase. However, again, I offer myself and my willingness to sign a letter with you to these organizations asking for their support of a tax increase for the State of Illinois."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion?

 Representative Barnes, did you seek recognition?"
- Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak in favor of the Bill? I think you said no."
- Speaker Madigan: "If you wish."
- Barnes: "That was why I had my light on, to support the Bill."

18th Legislative Day

- March 9, 1983
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. Is there any further discussion?

 Mr. Preston."
- Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of House Eill 80. I'm looking at some figures that were prepared by the Health Care Finance..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Preston, I believe that under our rules,
 when a Bill is on the Order of Short Debate, there can only
 be one proponent and one opponent."
- Preston: "I... I beg your pardon, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Preston. Representative Braun, to close."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One guestion Representative Preston had asked to go on as a chief Cosponsor on these two Bills, and as you declared they are passed, could the record reflect that we have filed the appropriate documents and hope that it shows up that he is a chief Sponsor, before these are passed. Okay? With that, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 104 'ayes', no one voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 178, Mr. Neff. Do you wish to call you Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 178, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Beading of the

 Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Neff."
- Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker... House. House Bill 178 as

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

- amended merely clarifies that being discharged from a bankruptcy petition does not exempt you from the filing of future..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Neff? Would you turn on Mr. Neff? Try it again, Mr. Neff. Would you turn on Representative Mays? Could you use Mr. Mays microphone? Thank you. Mr. Neff, could you come down to Mr. Ewing's microphone? Mr. Neff."
- Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. House
 Bill 178 as amended merely clarifies that being discharged
 from a bankruptcy petition does not exempt you from the
 filing of future proof of financial responsibility, if you
 want to again exercise the the privilege of operating a
 motor vehicle."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that House Bill 178 do pass. Is there any discussion? Representative Dunn."
- Dunn, J.: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker, if he will yield."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."
- Dunn, J.: "In the event someone who takes bankruptcy has adequate motor vehicle insurance... liability insurance in place before, during and after the bankruptcy, will this Section affect that situation in any way?"
- Neff: "No, Representative Dunn, that would not affect it. If he had his financial responsibility and filed his charge, why it would have no effect whatsoever on it."
- Dunn, J.: "So, someone who has their insurance in place and takes

 bankruptcy would not have to worry about this Section.

 This covers a different situation. Is that correct?"

Neff: "Bight."

- Dunn, J.: "Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Neff, to close."
- Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate a favorable

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

vote on House Bill 178."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall this Bill pass?". **A11** those in favor signify by voting *aye*, all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 109 "ayes", no one voting *no*. This Bill, having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Order of House Bills Third Reading there appears House Bill 57. Mr. Olson, do you wish to call your Bill? Excuse me. Mr. Olson is absent today. Is there anyone who wishes to handle the Bill for Mr. Olson? House Bill 68. Mr. Preston, do you wish to call your Fill? The Sponsor indicates that he does not wish to call his Bill. Bill 97, Mr. Matijevich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 97, a Bill for an Act providing for probation system. Third Beading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 97 is a Bill that we have called the probation improvement Bill. I take no credit for the drafting of this Bill. The credit really belongs our Parliamentarian, Mike Getty, who really pioneered efforts in trying to develop a Bill that will finally bring Illinois into the 20th Century as far as a viable probation We are out of the Dark Ages, but we are slowly, system. slowly improving our probation system in trying to get a uniform system of professional probation officers Illinois. House Bill 97 would develop a system and give counties an economic incentive to improve their probation systems. Fifty percent of local costs would be subsidized by the state if the local departments strengthen their operations through upgrading their staffing and caseload management and development of special programs to

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

provide both closer surveillance of probationers as well as appropriate resources to assist in successful completion of I think that many of you, if you would ask a lot of people in law enforcement, if you would ask the person on the street, 'Do you believe in probation?', many would probably say 'no', because they interpret probation as quote 'a pass'. The reason they do that, and the reason that Illinois lags far behind in some other states in the use of probation services is, that we have not adequately backed up the probation system as an alternative to incarceration. We have not backed it up with sufficient resources. We attempted to do that a few years back, through the introduction ο£ а similar bу Representative Getty and Senator Sangmeister. For those who say 'No, the state cannot afford it.', and by the way, for those of you who ask "Where is the companion Bill?", I was advised that the better thing to do would be to amend the appropriation Bill for the court system to include the appropriation. For those of you who say that we can't afford it - and the price tag is about \$20 million in a full fiscal year, then you are not looking at the cost of what we are paying in both capital funds and operational costs for our prison system. Not only that, you are not looking and judging what is the end result in our prison system, because we as legislators, we've answered the call for those who have said, 'Get tough on criminals'. We've answered it in such a way that we are... our prisons are We are needing now to build new prisons at a bulging. phenomenal cost, and the taxpayers are now becoming the victims - the victims of crime also, because what we are non-wiolent doing, we are mixing both viglent and We aren't answering anything. We are seeing now that non-violent offenders are being sent to prison and

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

coming back to society, not for the better, but for the We are finding that it is a mushrooming crime worse. situation, and so that we must look to alternatives. is the oldest and cheapest alternative to incarceration. The ... What we are ... The system right now is only costing \$215 per person, really, and it is woefully weak in financing a good probation system. I know that there are... The only problems I hear, of anybody who does not support this Bill, they say "We can't afford it because of our fiscal times. And I ve said, "Well, I'll look at what's going to happen later in the Session'. funds are forthcoming, then no matter if the Bill's in the Senate, I would ask the Sponsor to table it. But if there are funds forthcoming, I believe that this ought to be highest priority, because it can be given the We can develop a professional standardized probation system in Illinois, and I would urge the me on it. It's been supported by the Illinois to support Correctional Association, by the John Edward Association, by the League of Women Voters and many other organizations who are looking at what we're doing in Corrections, looking at what we're doing in Criminal Justice and saying 'Hey, we're doing is wrong. Even the wha t American Bar Association, at its last house of delegates, the American Bar Association has taken a position against construction of prisons, only until it's a last resort. too, are saying now, We had better look to alternatives. So, I urge your support of House Bill 97." Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 97. Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson, could you move to another row, either the one behind you or the one in front of you? Maybe you could move to Mr. Vinson's microphone or Mr. Ewing... Mr. Ewing's. Either

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

whatever you like. Mr. Davis... at Mr. Davis' place. Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 1 think I... and I don't want to purport to speak for other Members, but I think I speak for a good many Members on this side of the aisle and maybe on the other side of the aisle, when I say that the concept in a good much of what Representative Matijevich has said is absolutely right, terms of the desirability of improving our system of corrections, of an improved probation system. Indeed. I joined with other Republicans, with Representative Getty a couple-three years ago, in passing the first probation subsidy act, or a comprehensive probation subsidy act in Illinois, and I think that's the right direction. unfortunately, and for whatever reasons are behind this, we're faced with an economic situation in Illinois that's of immediate importance - immediate crisis, and we're talking, in this Bill, about upwards of \$20 million that simply isn't there. Probably in the course of the next three months, the discussions and debate and compromise with respect to 'revenue enhancement', as some people call it, as a tax increase, as other people call it, will bear fruit one way or the other, but right now that hasn't happened, and right now we're dealing with a finite amount of dollars and we're, I guess, trying to deal, at least in this area, with an infinite demand of probation services for tax dollars. Ιt isn't there. We're talking about paring a couple of thousand dollars here and \$10,000 there, and small amounts all over the budget. This is \$20 1 believe million, and Representative Matijevich*s statement that if the money isn't forthcoming he's going to table the Bill, but the fact is, we have to deal with immediate needs, with this Bill right now, and the state

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

simply does not have the money to afford this expenditure. We can't establish this precedent in light of the economic situation that we are currently faced with, so I would urge Members on both sides of the aisle to either vote 'no' or as I intend to do, to vote 'present' on this Bill to show that we support the concept and the direction, but we simply can't afford it. We would also ask that a dissent and protest executed by the requisite number of Members be journalized, indicating our objection to certain rulings yesterday by the Chair, in which Amendments on this side of the aisle were ruled out of order. The substance of that dissent and protest is set forth in the two pages of the dissent and protest which is filed. We'd simply ask that that be journalized and shown as our dissent and protest with respect to those rulings."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion?

Bepresentative Roman."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I stand in Ronan: complete support of this fine piece of legislation. applaud Representative Matijevich's goals here. Listening Representative Johnson is a typical short-sighted example of why we should be supporting this fine Bill. Anyone who's familiar with the correctional system realizes that that's one of the great tragedies in the state budget - the millions upon millions that we spend constructing prisons, filling them up at a rate faster than ever before in Illinois history, and then having no adequate probation system in order to deal with the prisoners after they are released. One of the reasons for high reincarceration is that we don't have a probation system in this state that's working, and this is a first real attempt to save the taxpayers' dollars, because what will happen through this system, is we will have a meaningful probation system,

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

will have an opportunity that when the prisoners are out on the street they re going to be monitored and there's going to be programs available to hopefully steer them back in the right direction, and rather than costing the state money, in the long run there's going to be a tremendous savings to the state, so I urge everyone on this side of the aisle and the other side of the aisle to rise up, support this Bill, and let's try to bring Illinois into the 20th Century. That's what we need. This is the direction we should be going in, and this is a sound fiscal vote for everyone who is a Member of this Body, and I urge their support."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCracken. Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, rise in opposition House Bill 97. to Representative Matijevich's Bill is laudable in its goal of reducing recidivism and cost to the state by reducing prison population. It is not clear, however, that the goals will be met by this program. The statistical study that I... that I have been referred to, conducted in California, indicated that there was not a correlation between increased state subsidies of a type similar to those proposed here and a decrease in the prison population. addition, the population of ... in our prisons would have to decrease to such a degree that we could close down entire facilities in order to save money. We're talking about capital costs here, and that is not going to be saved by the reduction of a few prisoners, because the prisons themselves will still have to operate. But, because of its laudable goals, I think the program, if the Amendments proposed yesterday had been adopted, is certainly worthy of But, in light of the fact that it is our support. experimental in nature, and in light of the fact that

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

are pressed by our continuing budget and revenue problems, I would submit that in the absence of a user fee funding scheme that was proposed yesterday, that the Bill should not be supported at this time, and I ask the Members to oppose its passage. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I favor the concept of this Bill, but I'm going to join Representative Johnson in voting 'present', because we don't have the dollars at this point, but I rise to speak to the point offered by Representative Ronan. No one should vote for this Bill because they think it's going to help with offenders after they've been released from the penitentiary. Probation deals with them before they ever get there. It's parole that deals with them afterwards, and parole officers would not be affected by this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion?

Representative Jaffe?"

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise support of this program. I think that there's no question that this is a most needed program. It's interesting to note that no one who gets up to speak in opposition to this program talks about the fact that this program is not It is in fact needed, and needed very much in needed. State of Illinois. If you take a look at what it costs to keep a prisoner in jail today, you find out that it's less costly to take a child and send a child to Harvard University than it is to take a child and put him into the prison system. You know, it costs a minimum of \$10,000 per person in the prison system today, and unless we move in this direction, I think we're really headed toward chaos. This is a most needed program. It's a program that we have to put into effect. I think that Bepresentative Matijevich

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

should be commended for coming forward with this program. This Legislature has tried to tackle this problem for a long period of time. Representative Getty worked on this program for a long period of time. It's an absolutely necessary program. It's a program that we cannot turn our backs on, and I would urge an "aye" vote for it."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think I will hold my remarks, on second thought. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion?

Representative Matijevich, to close."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, there's no greater advocate for the legislative process than I, and I really believe that the legislative process ideally means that we are a problem solving Body. I've seen too often that what we do creates problems. This is one time I believe that we can show a true legislative process that we are going to deal with a problem and come up with a long term solution that is a good one. In the last six years, from *77 to *82, the Illinois prison population increased by 70 percent, from less than 9,000 to, I believe the last count in January from the Department of Corrections newsletter that I received was around... over 13,000. During that same period, the state correctional spending has increased an average of more than 25 percent annually - four times the growth rate of the rest of the state. Just one new 750 bed prison cost \$45 million to build and \$12 million annually to operate. I think that we ought to be looking at what we're spending in the Corrections Department. Do you know what... you know, we spent... a lot of money last year and debated it on the floor of the House, as far as capital improvements. Seventy-five percent of our capital improvements was for prison construction. Can you imagine

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

that? We're spending so much of our time building quarters for prisons, and they're not coming out of there any better than when we sent them there. Don't... Don't you believe that we ought to spend some money in developing system so that we are doing something with the people that we're sending to the courts - spending some money so they can stay as taxpaying citizens, and at the same time, pay restitution to the victims, and by that system, have some control through good probation officers who have a system developed where they become professionals, standards developed by the administrative division of the courts. It may cost us something. We know think that's good. that, but isn't it right, also, that the state and local government have a share - equally shared partnership in that cost? I think that makes sense, and I hope that do too, and I might quote, for example, the Director of the John Howard Society ... John Howard Association. First of all in a newsletter on the Getty Bill, and that was in *81, they said, 'If the Department of Corrections wants an effective and permanent way to quarantee lower prison populations, we recommend it ask the Legislature to support a subsidy program that would provide a financial incentive for counties themselves to punish and service non-violent first time offenders. And Nike Mahoney again came to the Judiciary Committee and gave his strong support for this plan, and I commend it to you and urge your support of it." Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. there are 71 'ayes', 28 'nos', 12 This Bill, having received a Constitutional *present*. Majority, is hereby declared passed. With the consent of

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Representative Wojcik, we will pass ower House Bill 213.

House Bill 225, Mr. McAuliffe. Do you wish to call your

Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 225, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of
the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McAuliffe."

- McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this

 Bill merely would allow a township road commissioner to

 continue in office even though the reduction... even though

 his unincorporated area would be reduced from five to four

 miles. It's for a commissioner in my district. I don't

 think it affects any of the other... any of the other

 districts in the state, and I'd ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 225. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, "Shall this Bill pass?". For what purpose does Mr. Jaffe seek recognition?"
- Jaffe: "Well, I did want to speak to the Bill, and it is township Bill. Being a longtime advocate of abolition of township government, I did want to speak to it, Mr. Speaker. It would appear to me - I'm going to vote 'no' on I think that, especially in Cook this particular Bill. County, we run into the situation of having township governments when in fact we should not have township governments. If there were ever ripoffs of the monies, I think it comes through township government, and here you have a Bill that says, *Okay, even though you have a township road that now is four miles in existence, you have to have a township commissioner and you have to have all the offices and duties that go along with that. I see no reason to have that, especially in Cook County. I think in Cook County we ought to abolish township government, but

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

we should particularly abolish government where it isn't necessary, and this is one case where it isn't necessary. You're trying to save the job for one particular guy in Cook County, and I think that that's just atrocious, so I'm going to vote 'no' on this particular Bill, and I would urge everybody else to do likewise."

- Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?". All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 96 'ayes', 12 'nos', one voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Consent Calendar, Second Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day.

 House Bill 88, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the
 Chicago Regional Port District Act. Second Reading of the
 Bill. House Bill 198, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections
 of the Illinois Act on the Aging. Second Reading of the
 Bill. House Bill 199, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections
 of the Illinois Act on the Aging. Second Reading of the
 Bill. House Bill 414, a Bill for an Act relating to
 certain indebtedness secured by first lien on residential
 manufactured homes. Second Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. All right. On the Order of Resolutions, there appears the Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 12, resolved by the Senate of the 83rd General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Wednesday, March 9, 1983, they stand adjourned until Monday, March 14, 1983, at 12:00 noon. When the House of Representatives adjourns on

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Thursday, March 10, 1983, they stand adjourned until Monday, March 14, 1983, at 12:00 noon."

- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. The Besolution is adopted. There has been filed with the Clerk a Motion relative to House Resolution 88. The Chair recognizes Mr. Reilly."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that House Rule 43-a be suspended and that House Resolution 88 be placed before the House for immediate consideration. I believe the House is familiar with the subject matter. It is also my understanding that, from Representative Greiman, that this initial Motion is not going to be contested, and on that understanding, I would not speak further at this time."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to suspend the rules to provide for the immediate consideration of his Resolution.

 On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Normally, I oppose such Motions to bypass Committee consideration because I believe that that is the heart and the essence of our process, but there are moments when Members must express themselves in some way without burdening too much the resources of state government. We have opposed other Resolutions on that basis because they would impose long, arduous investigations by irrelevant bodies but this is... when we feel we'll finish with this, this will be merely an expression of our own feelings as to the economic situation and the perhaps insensitivity

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

of... shown. So that, I will join Representative Reilly in voting to suspend the rules and bypassing Committee."

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Reilly has moved to suspend the rules to provide for the immediate consideration of House Resolution 88. Mr. Greiman has spoken in support of the Gentleman's Motion. Is there leave to suspend the rules for immediate consideration? Leave is granted. We now have before the House, for consideration, House Resolution 88. There will be an Amendment offered to the Resolution, and the Chair will recognize Mr. Greiman to explain the Resolution and the Amendment."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We filed Amendment #1 to House
 Resolution 88. I'd want that withdrawn and like to
 consider now Amendment #2 to House Resolution 88, which has
 been distributed."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman requests that Amendment #1 be withdrawn? Is there leave? Leave is granted. Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, are there further Amendments?"

 Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, by Representative Greiman."

 Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 68, which has been filed by Representatives Beilly - et al, and which is, I take it, the subject... the conclusions of a document which has been placed on our desks and which the Minority Leader, Representative Daniels, was the genesis, takes up the issue of Chairman Lewis Hill's recent salary increase the Northern Illinois Regional Commuter for Railroad Corporation, which would increase his total salary from \$25,000 to... by \$25,000 to \$97,500. The Resolution expresses concern and a feeling of the unwarranted increase in view of the light of the state economy and the financial situation of the RTA. Frankly, I agreed with expression. I believe that \$97,500 probably is excessive,

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

and I agreed with that expression. However, the Resolution contains a number of conclusions not based on long involved legal opinions, not based on the taking of evidence, based... this was done on March 3. We have a report here on our desks dated March 9, so at best, 4 days were spent in the research in putting this together. And there's a suggestion here that the Board acted illegally, and that's conclusion: that it's exceeded it statutory authority, and that's a conclusion, that there was no legislative intent, and that clearly is a conclusion, and that there is a conflict of interest. I believe that indeed, there is an excessive amount granted here, but hardly am I in a position, or is anybody in this room in a position to determine a conflict of interest. It also suggests that there was an incorrect majority on the Board, and I'm that either, as well. So, what we have done ... there is also a provision requesting that Mr. immediately resign or reject the salary increase. I am not position to suggest the resignation of anybody in another body of government. That would be for him to take with his board, and for that board to consider rescinding its own actions. But, I can express шv indignation and sense of feeling about this. Accordingly, I have offered House Amendment... Amendment 2 to House Resolution 88, which would take out and remove these conclusions and would leave instead the expression of this Body that such an increase is unwarranted at this time. therefore, ask for the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Resolution 88, which would put this Resolution in the kind of posture that all of the Members, I think, could join in. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Resolution 88. Mr. Reilly."

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983 Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First, I would indicate to the Chair that we will be asking for a Roll Call on this Amendment. I do intend to oppose the Amendment, so the Chair is on notice on that point. The Amendment seems me to be really an incredible... put us in really an incredible position. What the Majority is saying - what Assistant Majority Leader is saying to us is, 'Yes, you're right. The increase was too biq. Yes, It was improper. It was, at very best, the wrong right. time to do it - to go to \$97,500 in this time, when the RTA is down here, hat in hand, saying they're going to close down if we don't give them 75 or 100 million dollars. Yes, indeed, that is wrong and the salary is wrong. The Majority is saying we can go along with saying that the salary increase ought to be rescinded, or if it's not rescinded, that Hill ought to say "I'm not going But for some reason, they are unwilling to take the final logical step. If it's wrong to do it, if it wrong to pass it in the first place, and if we are going to go on record as a House in saying that, saying it ought to be rescinded; saying Hill ought not take it, how can we then not go on record as saying if in the arrogance of the RTA Board and of Chairman Hill, they not only take this action, but throw it back in our face and say despite the action of the House - despite the action of the we're going to keep the increase. We're not going to We're going to go ahead and ignore the wishes rescind it. of the public. How can we be in the position of having said all of that, and then back down at the very last step and say Hill doesn't have to resign. Lou Hill ought to resign, and we ought to say that, unless this problem is and corrected right away, before that corrected pay increase takes effect. I will present, during

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

of the main Resolution, whatever the fate of this Amendment be, the reasons why our Committee came to the conclusion that these actions were clearly illegal, and void, and without any authority on the part of the BTA Board of the "Rayo" Corporation. But I don't really so much mind Representative Greiman's wanting to take that out, as I do the part that refers to the call for the resignation of Chairman Hill. We have worked night and day and over the weekend - our staff and some of our members to put together the legal conclusions here and the conclusions of fact, and I think we're right, and I'll defend that anywhere, and I'm very hopeful that the legal authorities of this state will agree with us, following Leader Daniels' inquiry to them. If the Majority cared as much we do about whether this pay increase was right or wrong, they'd have had their staff and their people looking into this the same time we have, and they ought to know. In five days, they've had enough time. They ought to know by now whether they think this was legally proper, and whether it was done with authority. I would ask everyone everyone who has ever said that they care about the RTA, and that they care about the possibilities of a subsidy for the RTA, and they care about the kind of management that they have, to join with me in defeating this Amendment to the Resolution, so that we can go on an express the will of this House as we should. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Chairman, will the last speaker, the Gentleman from Jacksonville, yield for the guestion?"

Speaker Madigan: "The... Are you directing your question to Mr.

Reilly?"

Reilly: "Yes, the ... the maker of the ... "

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Hr. Johnson seek

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

recognition. Mr. Johnson, your point is well taken. Mr. Pierce, did you wish... Mr. Johnson raised a point."

Pierce: "I couldn't hear it."

- Speaker Madigan: "His point... Well, I*11 state it to you. His point is that Mr. Greiman is the Sponsor of the Amendment, and that the rules provide that the question should be directed to the Sponsor of the Amendment. However, Mr. Pierce, you can always ask if another Member wish... would yield to a question, so if you wish to ask if Mr. Reilly would yield to a question, the Chair will put that question to Mr. Reilly. Mr. Reilly, would you yield to a question from Mr. Fierce? Mr. Reilly."
- Reilly: "Of course, at any time I*d be glad to answer questions, but it seems to me Representative Johnson has raised the proper point. We ought to deal with the Amendment. Then we're going to discuss the main Resolution one way or the other, and certainly, I am responsible for the conclusions in it and will be glad to answer questions at that time."

 Speaker Madigan: "Did you say that you do not wish to answer questions at this time?"
- Reilly: "I said that I... It seems to me that the proper time would be during debate on the main Resolution. That's... I can't shed any light on the reasons for the Amendment, because it's not my Amendment"
- Speaker Madigan: "All right, we're back to Mr. Pierce."
- Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I can understand why the Gentleman wouldn't
 want to answer any questions, and I can see why. If I
 presented a document to this House, where claims he's
 Chairman of a Committee that was never established by
 Resolution of this House, use taxpayers' expense..."
- Speaker Madigan: "For what point does Mr. Reilly seek recognition?"
- Reilly: "Simple point of personal privilege. If Mr. Pierce wants

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

to state the truth to the House and accurately report what I just said, which is on the record, then he's free, of course, to say anything he wants. The fact is, I didn't refuse to answer questions. The fact is, the time to discuss this report, which I will defend, and I think, can defend very well, is when we're on the Report and the Resolution that came out of it. That just doesn't happen to be this time. If Mr. Pierce is interested in information and clarity, then that is the time. If he's interested in making a speech which simply misrepresents what I said, then I quess any time will do."

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Pierce, if you would confine your remarks to the Amendment. Mr. Pierce."
- Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know if you were listening to his speech, but he talked how weekend... all last weekend, his Committee was working so hard on this Report, and that the Majority Party in that four or five days didn't do..."
- Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Representative Daniels seek recognition?"
- Daniels: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker. If Mr. Pierce wants to discuss the Report, then why don't you wait for the Resolution instead of trying to attack Mr. Reilly for the fine job that he did. If you want to support Mr. Greiman's Amendment, then talk to Mr. Greiman. If you don't want to call for Mr. Hill's resignation, then stand up and say it, if you want to support him."
- Speaker Madigan: "All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, for those of us who have served in the Assembly for a while, we know that the RTA is always an emotionally charged issue and it really will serve no legislative purpose for each of us to rile at each other. The Chair would ask all Members to please abide by the rules. We have before us now, an Amendment to a Resolution. Mr. Pierce is recognized on the

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Amendment, and the Chair would ask Mr. Pierce to confine his remarks to the Amendment."

Pierce: "All right, Mr. Speaker. It does seem to me that the Gentleman, the last speaker, referred to his Committee's findings but won't let us speak about them, so I won't speak about them, because apparently he can give it but he can't take it. Mr. Speaker, this House of Representatives and the Senate, when it passed the RTA Act, passed a Legislati**ve** Advisory Committee to the Regional Transportation Authority, which is in existence. I've requested the Minority Leader to make appointments to that Committee, and although he was not as fast about it as he could have been, he has made those appointments. That Committee is now fully appointed, and will be meeting this It would seem to me... It would seem to me, that rather than handling this problem on an ad hoc basis, Legislative Committee to advise the RTA, created by the RTA Act were the proper forum for these charges. For that reason, I intend to support Mr. Greiman's Amendment, because we were not allowed to discuss the Report at this time because the Committee that established the report was not created by any House Resolution or any Statute of the House. I don't even know if the printing was authorized at state expense. Because discussion can't be even this time, Mr. Reilly won't answer any questions, I intend support Mr. Greiman's Amendment #2, and then hopefully... hopefully, this matter can be heard at the bipartisan Legislative Advisory Connittee to the RTA, meeting this month, which I chair. I agree with the remarks of Mr. Greiman - the fact that we're being asked to condemn here... condemn here a legislative Body... excuse me, a local government body that we don't appropriate any money to. I don't see the last speaker getting up and

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

fighting for a state subsidy for the riders of the Regional Transportation Authority. He's hypercritical. He wants to determine who the Chairman is, but he doesn't want to provide any state funds for the riders of that Authority, and for the people that have to go to and from work. He lives 250 miles away. He doesn't give a darn about the riders of the CTA or the RTA and never has since be's been in this House, and therefore I intend to support Amendment #2 of Representative Greiman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels."

- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, you can go up there and laugh about a matter so important as this - a man that takes a slap in the face to the people of Illinois in receiving a \$97,500 salary. If you want to treat it lightly, you go right ahead. You talk about being a fair Speaker, then run House fairly. This man goes on a diatribe about an Amendment that was sponsored by one of his Members so that he could talk in support of Mr. Hill and his actions. Let him stand up and be supportive of it, then, instead of going on and attacking one of the Members here who wrote a fine Report, who wrote a Report speaking for all the people in Illinois. And that's the kind of people that we want in this General Assembly, not a man that will defend a increase like that Gentleman just did."
- Speaker Madigan: "As the Chair stated earlier, the question before the Body is an Amendment to the Resolution. Mr. Pierce has spoken to the Amendments. On the question of the Amendments, the Chair recognizes Mr. Davis."
- Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. That's exactly what I
 wanted to speak to Representative Pierce really never
 addressed why he objected to... or supported the
 Amendment, and the distinguished Assistant Majority Leader
 kind of delicately skirted around the issue of the outright

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

immorality and illegality of the Act - but let me just read to, especially you new Members, what he's striking from Resolution, and incidentally, that distinguished Assistant Majority Leader never bothered to refute these things. He just offered the Amendment and said that we were making conclusions, and that he never bothered to refute them point by point. In lines 7 and 8, it says where the NR-CRA Board acted illegally, as it did not statutory authority. It clearly does not. Any simple reading of the RTA Act will tell you that - where it not the legislative intent for the Chairman to receive compensation of that Board. It is clearly not in the Act. and the intention of the legislative by emission was not to it. whereas Chairman Hill has engaged in a conflict of interest by receiving salaries from two Boards, Board having direct supervisory powers over the other. can he be paid for supervising himself? - Which is really what this action did last week, and whereas no notice was given regarding that March 3rd meeting, which is a fact. It's a reported fact everywhere, and no one disputes that. That's what this Amendment would strike. lines 24 to 27, he says approving the salary was a simple rather than absolute majority of the Board. Does anyone dispute that every constitutionally and duly appointed or elected Board in this state requires a Constitutional Majority to act when seven votes in this case, and there were only six. And on page 2, he says that if this salary is not rescinded or rejected, we demand Mr. Hill resign. What else would you have Mr. Hill do? He is going for those of us that seek subsidy, who live in northeast area and have always supported subsidy and not sales tax. He is jeopardizing subsidy. Your downstate Democrats don't like this action and don't like subsidy.

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Our downstate Republicans don*t. We*ve got a very fragile coalition here. This Amendment needs defeat. It needs defeat so that we can consider the Resolution in its entirety, and I recommend a *no* vote on this Amendment."

- Speaker Madigan: "On the Amendment to the Resolution, the Chair recognizes Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

 I guess I'm more disturbed that the Minority is trying to
 form a Committee that, really, they can't form. I had
 hoped that you start looking at what you did, because you
 have no authority to form a Minority Committee, under our
 standing rules. You can form all the task force you want,
 get all the publicity you want, and do that, but you have
 no authority to form a Minority Committee with any standing
 under our rules, and you ought to learn that, Minority
 Leader, right now."
- Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Hallock seek recognition? Mr. Hallock."
- Hallock: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I wish you would admonish your Membership to speak to the Amendment. The Amendment very clearly deletes certain lines, and that's all it does, and I would hope that the Membership of both would the aisle speak sides οf to that point. Representative Pierce did not. and the Representative is not doing that. I would believe it's imperative that you do that and ask all Members to speak only to the Amendment itself. We have plenty of time to discuss the issue later on."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hallock's point is well taken. All Members are advised to confine their remarks to the Amendment. The Chair recognizes Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Thank you. On the Amendment, let me give you an example of what you're doing, and if you carry it too far,

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

what can happen. Let's take the salary pay raise of the Some of us voted for it and some of us voted Legislature. against it. How would you like to have somebody come with a Resolution saving that those who voted against it. they were saying that they didn't believe in the increase, and I demand your resignation, because you are accepting more money than you yourself feel that deserve. That's really a comparison of what you're doing here. I happened to be on that RTA Advisory Committee the way, for the record, I voted for the salary increase and I took it. too, because I felt I deserved it. Now, I was on that BTA Committee, and I have to tell you that I believe that Mr. Hill is a good Director. I really. through all the Committees that I... I listen to, he always made a lot of sense in what he did, and I, like many of you, have...have been critical of the arcade. of the salary increase in...in this regard. T don't think anybody deserves that much money, and I know lot of you lawyers are making 100 grand and over a year, but you deserve it. Right? But...more than that, Alan Greiman says. And I'm not critical of you, you know. But...But I believe that you're going too far when you start demanding resignations. I don't care who it is. Now, you go to Committee, if you do, but I gathered that we going to have immediate consideration Resolution. And if we are, the Amendment's proper. I think it's right, because it goes to the heart of what you, the Committee, if you...that's what you want to call it and don't give Reilly so much credit, you know he didn't write this report - but...but, the heart of what you really You're critical of that excessive are trying to do. salary. And with that, I join you. But not in this language that goes too far, because you know what you're

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

asking for in the future."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Amendment, the Chair recognizes

Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The previous speaker was right in one thing. The Amendment certainly does go to the heart of the Resolution. It cuts it right out. The Gentleman who is sponsoring the Amendment says that he uncomfortable about our being asked to state facts: fact which are irrefutable; facts which are there; facts He says also that he feels uncomfortable about the conclusion that Mr. Hill should be asked to resign Well, it's too bad when representatives of immediately. the people feel uncomfortable about doing the people's business and about protecting those people and about speaking on their behalf; because, unfortunately, Board is not elected. And so, we are the one Body that represents the people that can act in this regard. have authority to fire Mr. Hill. Perhaps we should have that authority, and perhaps legislation will be introduced to do that. So, the limit that we can go to is to demand that Mr. Hill resign immediately as chairman of is our responsibility as the board. That Representatives of the people, and we should not feel uncomfortable about that. If this Amendment passes, it will mean that this House is speaking in a very squeaky. little nothing voice to say, 'Gee, we wish you hadn't done that, but it's okay. We'll let you get away with it. Well, that's not the voice that we should be raising in this situation. We should be raising the voice of demanding that Chairman Hill resign for the action that he has taken, for the action that he has permitted. And all of the points in this Resolution are very well taken. Mr. Speaker, I will request that there be a Roll Call

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Amendment, and I ask four people to join me in asking for that Roll Call. $^{\rm m}$

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Democrats who would like a Roll Call on this question? Let the record show that there are an ample number of Members supporting Representative Pullen's request for a Roll Call. On the Amendment, the Chair recognizes Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the previous question.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

The previous question has been moved. The Chair recognizes Mr. Greiman to close on the Amendment. Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you. Mr. Speak...Thank you. Mr. Speaker. I...gosh, I didn*t think I*d cause all that trouble yelling by a simple Amendment that deleted a few lines. But, it was an interesting Amendment, because we found out a lot of different things about occupations that some of our Members have. Mr. Davis, the Gentleman from Will, is unhappy being here. He's rather be in the Supreme Court of Illinois. We heard how he took each line and gave it its legal...its legal interpretation. I thank him for that, and I hope he doesn't work by the hour ... or doesn't charge by the hour. But, we appreciate that interpretation. words like 'illegal'. *beyond However. statutory authority', legislative intent', a conflict of interest', those are serious legal questions that probably deserve more than a rush to judgement of four days or three days over a lost weekend. We found also that Represen...that the Gentleman from Morgan is desperately interested in doing personnel work and wants to hire and fire. all right with me if he wants another career. That's fine, too. But the truth of the matter is that this Resolution,

18th Legislative Day

March 9. 1983

after the adoption of the Amendment, will call upon the Attorney General of Illinois and the State's Attorney of Cook County to investigate and review the whole matter, so that if there are ille...illegalities, this Body is asking the duly constituted, legal and law enforcement of people to look into that. We're not going off half-cocked on a lost weekend. We're asking the authorities to look into this. We're also expressing...Those are legal guestions. On a political matter, as citizens, as Members of this Body, we can all viscerally say we think that's too much. We think that's a big salary increase. We can feel that. We can say it, and that's what this does after we adopt the Amendment. I ask, therefore, that you wote 'aye' on Amendment 2 to House Resolution 88. Thank you."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption οf the On the question of Amendment. the adoption of the Amendment, all those in favor of the adoption of Amendment signify by voting "aye", all those opposed to the adoption of the Amendment vote "no". Have all voted who wish? The Clerk sh...Mr. Friedrich, do you seek recognition?"
- Friedrich: "Just...Just to explain my vote. We used to have a little gimmick around here to put an Amendment on to strike the enacting clause, but this is more clever because what it does is take the meat out of the soup and leave us some thin broth. Now, if you really don't want to see this Resolution passed, just vote against the Resolution. If you want it...If you want this Amendment on, then of course you'd vote 'aye'. But, I think the proper position's been taken."
- Speaker Madigan: "Bave all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 41 'ayes', 69 nos', 1 voting 'present'. The Amendment fails. Are there

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "We shall now consider the Resolution...For what purpose does Mr. Greiman seek recognition?"

Greiman: "I am concerned that there will not be a full and complete hearing on this matter as I believe there should have been and which is why I proposed my Amendment. And therefore, I would move pursuant to Section...Bule 72 to commit this Resolution to the House Executive Committee. It's a Mo...It's a Motion of...that has precedence and ask that it be committed to the House Executive Committee so that the Committee can give it the full consideration that I would want to have before I had an opportunity to vote on it. It's a serious issue, and I certainly want to have an investigation fully."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Sorry to interrupt you lunch, Mr. Speaker. I would rise to the point of order that the Motion is out of order. Number one, we just suspended this rule. Mr. Greiman su...supported the suspending of the rule. It...It is, among other things, incredible for him to lose on his Amendment and then have the nerve to say that it's wrong to consider the Resolution after he supported the suspension of the rule. But, on the point of order, you can't ... it is my understanding of the rules, I mean, this is redundant, you can't vote twi...this House has already spoken on this question. To now, as a dilatory matter, come back and say that we ought to vote again on the guestion of whether ... of immediate consideration, which Mr. Greiman, five - ten minutes ago, supported us on, he is just out of order, and it is wrong. And I would ask first that the Chair rule on that point of order, and then, if the ruling is...is that the point of order is not well taken, that I be allowed to

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

- speak on the Motion."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Parliamentarian advises me that your point is not well taken. For what purpose does Mr. Davis seek recognition?"
- Davis: "A further point of order. Mr. Speaker, Rule 72 clearly states when a question is under debate, no Motion may be entertained except, and if you'll follow it through the exceptions, the Motion is unquestionable out of order since you have called and the record will so show...the transcripts of this proceeding will so show that you said we are now on the main proposition before recognizing Representative Greiman. I will also..."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Parliamentarian wishes to advise that this is an exception to that rule. Hr. Davis."
- Davis: "How is it an exception? To...To commit? Is that what your exception is, to commit?"
- Parliamentarian Getty: "On behalf of the Speaker, I would advise that, while a question is under debate, no Motion may be made except, and then there is a listing including, 'to commit'. This is a Motion to commit. It is, therefore, one of the exception, and in order."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Davis, you've received a ruling from the Parliamentarian. Now, I would hope that you'd not be dilatory, because we will move to a vote on the guestion.

 Mr. Davis."
- Davis: "I have another point for the Parliamentarian. Would he then refer to 72(b) 1 which states 'a Motion to postpone to certain, to commit day Or to postpone defin...indefinitely once it is decided shall not be in order again on the same day or the same day...same stage of the Bill or proposition. It seems to me the Motion to bypass the Committee fits that particular provision and, indeed, that question, as Representative Reilly has

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

indicated earlier, has been decided."

- Parliamentarian Getty: "On behalf of the Speaker, I would rule that that is not the case. A Motion to bypass Committee is a completely different Motion. It is, in fact, not one of the Motions coming under the ambit of the exception, and it was based upon a different premise; that is, the certain Amendments would be considered by the full House. Now, any Member would have a...have a right to make a Motion that would fall within Rule 72."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question before the House is a Motion to recommit this Resolution to Committee, to the Executive Committee. And on that question, all those in favor of the Motion to commit...for what purpose does Mr. Reilly seek recognition?"
- Reilly: "I had asked that if the ruling was that my point of order was not well taken, that I be allowed to address the Body. I furthermore request at this point that there be a Roll Call on the Motion."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Reilly, let me tell you. I am willing to go to Roll Call this second. Are you?"

Reilly: "As soon as I can..."

Speaker Madigan: "And I'll call the Roll Call right now."

Reilly: "As soon as I get to address the Body, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you. You just are at a loss for words at this kind of bush league tactics by the Majority. What they said...What they said to all you over there, all you downstaters, all you suburbanites, all you collar county people is, you got a right to vote so long as you don't vote wrong. But, the minute you vote wrong, by golly, it's going off the floor and you're never going to see it again. That's what they said to all you, and that's just incredible. Mr. Greiman agreed that we could have

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

immediate consideration of this issue, and I appreciated his attitude on that point. He then presented an Amendment. It lost in fair, open debate with an open Roll The issues to be debated were no different one way or the other whether the Amendment goes on or doesn't But, Mr. Greiman loses, and then, like the little boy the street, at the playground, he, on you know, win...can't win the game the way he wants to play it, so he takes his bat and goes home. This is just incredible. this House is going to stand for anything at all, if single one of you over there who aren't part of the Leadership ever want, ever want, in this whole Session, to be able to vote on anything where you haven't had your marching orders or haven't followed them, then stand with on this and defeat this Motion so that we can go on and consider this Resolution. You know it's wrong."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hoffman. We are now prepared to move to consideration of Mr. Greiman's Motion that this matter be recommitted to the Executive Committee. And, as the Leader of the Majority, I'd like to inform the Majority Members that, contrary to what Mr. Reilly just said, here's your opportunity to vote, right now. All those in favor of the Motion vote *aye*, all those opposed vote *no*. Would all Members vote as they choose, and would they exercise the best wishes of their conscience? Have all woted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 46 'aye', 61 'nos'. The Motion The question now is on the Besolution, and on the fails. Resolution, the Chair recognizes Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a cou...get a couple of matters that were raised earlier out of the way. First of all, I have, twice in my career here, voted to support BTA legislation, and if reasonable actions are taken by the RTA

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

and a reasonable proposal made to us, I will do so again. Second kind of side comment that was made earlier, it...it again, incredible the Minority...the previous Minority under previous Minority Leader who is now the Speaker, very skillfully used a whole series of committees created by their Party and got a good deal of news coverage out of that. I don't begrudge them that, but when we learn a little from their great success, they can't very well complain that we are following their act and somehow that we shouldn't be doing that. The impropriety of the RTA Board's vote to increase Chairman Hill's salary was clear to everybody who read the newspapers. The results of our study, I suppose, don't come as any surprise and nor does the Resolution that we present. Nonetheless, I do think that we've come up with some very interesting facts that would indicate that this is not only wrong, but also illegal, just incredible. We think the action was for four reasons. Number one, it's illegal because only six of the 13 members* voted for it. The RTA Act explicitly says that you have to have an absolute or a constitutional majority to take this kind of action. This was not that kind of majority. Now, this was an action taken by the Rail Corporation whose act is silent on We asked them what kind of rules they went under. They wouldn't tell us. The BTA Act. we . must assume, therefore applies and it clearly says that. In addition to that, this Legislature can only take that kind of action by an absolute majority, and the municipal bodies of this state can only take that kind of action. think it's clearly a void action. Second of all, we think it's illegal because it was not on the agenda. months ago, back in December when they adopted their budget, there was some talk about an increase, but that

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

didn't get anywhere because of all the dissension. And so, it was put off. All of a sudden, out of the clear blue sky comes this vote. The public's not informed. members aren*t informed. Nobody knows it's going to happen, and I predict if we'll let them get by with this, that out of the clear blue sky again will come another Amendment to the budget that raises the salary or creates the salary for the Board members of this Rail Corporation. Thirdly, we think it's illegal because there's no authority to pay a salary at all to the Chairman of the Rail Corporation. By law, the Board members and Chairman of the RTA are also the Board members and Chairman of the Rail Corporation. What Lou Hill is being paid 25,000 dollars to do is to supervise himself. Now that ain't bad if you can get away with it, but I don't think we should let them away with it. It seems to me that, not only, in my opinion, the action is illegal. Representative Greiman has in...arqued that perhaps that is not the case, or at least that he doesn't have the conclusion on that. We can all agree, I think, that it was an obscenely high raise that should be revoked and may lead to further kinds of benefits for Mr. Hill. His pension benefits may go up when he perhaps will retire, perhaps will be retired in the various kinds of changes that are occurring both in Chicago and the Regional Transit Authority. It seems to us, in any event, that it is clearly a ... an excessive raise for a lot of reasons. I'm not going to go into all of them. I mean, the RTA is down here, as I said, hat in hand asking us for a subsidy. This clearly indicates a disregard of that. The Governor is telling us we have to cut a billion dollars out of the state's budget of vital services. This is just plain wrong. If anything every proves that Chicago ain't ready for reform, this is it. Therefore, we have come to

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

several conclusions which are embodied in the Resolution which you have read, that the ... that ... and we are going to be presenting some Amendments to the RTA and the Rail Corp. statute which would prohibit this kind of action in the future. But the meantime, through the Resolution, we are saying either the Board should rescind it, Hill should say, "I won't take it', or Hill should resign if he won't do those things. And that's the point of the Resolution. We are asking further in the Resolution that the chief legal authorities of this states look into the question and take whatever appropriate actions that may lead to. It seems to me the people of this state and the Members of this Assembly will tolerate no more of the careless management and senseless spending that come to typify the operations of the BTA. As a symbol of that Authority's attitude towards us, towards the people it serves, towards the taxpayers of this state, it seems to me the least we can do is adopt this Resolution, and I now urge the Eody to adopt the Resolution."

- Speaker Madigan: "On the question of the Resolution, the Chair recognizes Mr. Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Chair might advise us as to what kind of Roll Call is necessary on this Resolution."
- Speaker Madigan: "This will require 60 votes to pass."
- Greiman: "Sixty votes to pass. Thank you. Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. We who supported the Amendment, which has since been defeated, did not oppose the general gist of this Resolution. What we opposed..."
- Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Reilly seek recognition?"
- Reilly: "Yes, a point of order. The simplest way to ask it is why does it require 60 votes?"

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

- Parliamentarian Getty: "Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 43(c), it is provided that certain Resolutions would require 60 votes. Those Resolutions include Resolutions calling for the expenditure of funds and directing an investigation. It would be the opinion on behalf of the Speaker that, since the Resolution would direct an investigation by the Attorney General requiring also the expenditure of funds, that in order to insure effectiveness of the Resolution, it would require 60 votes."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Chair has ruled. There's no need for further discussion. There is an alternative available which is an appeal of the ruling of the Chair. For what purpose does Mr. Johnson seek recognition? Whichever you wish. Mr. Davis...at Mr. Davis! place."
- Johnson: "There may not be a need for further discussion, but I think, at least I'd like the Parliamentarian to respond to this inquiry. I have...I've looked at Bule 43(c) and seen the specific language that quote, *calls for an expenditure of funds. I think the past rulings of the Chair and the history of...of the interpretation of that rule would be ones that specifically direct that a legislative commission, committee or an officer of State Government or their department expend the funds. I guess, anything we any Resolution we enact that calls upon a authorized body or sub-body of State Government to take a would...might indirectly involve the particular act expenditure of state funds. There isn't any kind of Resolution we could every enact here that wouldn't possibly call for the expenditure of funds. I wonder if the Parliamentarian could...could tell us a little more specifically, more explicitly how he interprets Bule 43(c) and specifically that Section."

Parliamentarian Getty: "The ruling is based upon the conjunction

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

of both a direction to the Attorney General to make an investigation and the concomitant expenditure of funds that would be required in order for the Attorney General, in accordance with the terms of the Resolution if adopted, to immediately review this whole matter for possible violations of state law and take appropriate action on the basis of the investigation."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson at Mr. Davis' station."

Johnson: "I'm not going to appeal the ruling of the Chair. There isn't a Resolution we could ever enact here that wouldn't then involve Rule 43(c). I'd simply ask that our...we'll prepare a written dissent and have it filed and simply indicate that we think, in this case, without filing an appeal that that ruling is absolutely erroneous."

Speaker Madigan: "Our posture now is on the Resolution. Mr. Reilly has spoken in support of the Resolution. Mr. Greiman was speaking in opposition to the Resolution. The Chair recognizes Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems clear from the debate that we've had so far on the Resolution on this...on the Amendment to the Resolution that we are not in such terrible disagreement about the bottom line: that we all find that the increase in salary was, to say the least, not ... not a service to the cause of gr...mass transit funding and in northeastern Illinois certainly. But the question is, how far do we qo. How far do we go on the floor of this House in a rush to judgement? How far do we take due process and set it aside? How far do we say ... do we come to conclusions without really basing them on fact? The last Minority Leader of the last General Assembly developed a technique of task forces, of in-House consideration of major issues, and we take it that the new Minority Leader is imitating

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

that, a sincere form of flattery. But we never said that our task forces were the bottom line. We came before We fought out those issues. We discussed committees. those issues, and fairly investigated them in a fair Here we have a document created on a lost weekend that we are told is the truth. Chief Justice Davis tells us that the law is clear, that we must take this. We ask only that if we are to condemn them in a committee of the House, legal language, if we are to go beyond merely an expression...a political expression of our feelings about an excessive salary, if we are to talk about conflicts of interest, if we are to talk about illegal actions, that that be predicated upon serious, thoughtful investigation. It is not because I agree with the salary increase that I will vote for Mr...for this Resolu...against Resolution. The Gentleman from Morgan may characterize me as being for excessive increases. He may characterize as being a soreheaded boy who took his bat home or any other way. But the truth of the matter is that I have a deep abiding faith in the processes of this House, and it is a process of fact...finding facts in a thoughtful, logical way. And when we accuse people of crimes...of crimes, I want the jury to be out more than four days, therefore, I'm going to vote 'no' on this Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, two years ago I had the privilege of chairing a select committee on the RTA, a committee appointed by the duly elected Speaker of this House on which Members of both sides of the aisle served. And we had the opportunity to listen to Chairman Hill, and we had the opportunity for him to present evidence to us. And I want to say to you today that I

18th Legislative Day

March 9. 1983

believe his contempt for this Body, his lack of cooperation for this Legislature kept him from getting the additional funding he needed. For two years, he couldn't get his legislation through this House, and let me just tell incident. We were taking testimony on revenue estimates, and the RTA had passed a fare increase that would be asked of this Legislature, but we all knew they didn't want to put it into effect. And he told us that the information he was giving us was based on that and yet when we questioned him closer and when our staff presented the figures, they were using facts and figures which did not include the increase in fares which were so badly needed. Hugh Hill...pardon me. Chairman Hill - a little levity -Chairman Hill crawled back into his hole when he couldn't the subsidy that he needed. Many of us support and know that we must have mass transportation, but it can should b€ effective and efficient. With his resignation, I think we can move forward to solve some αf those problems and move much faster. I certainly encourage the adoption of this Resolution and the resignation of Chairman Hill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Nelson. Nelson, proceed."

Nelson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would not like to repeat the charges that are in the Resolution that are fact, not conclusion that Representative Reilly has already gone over. But I would like to point out just a couple of things. First of all, the Resolution does not call unconditionally for Lou Hill's resignation. What the Resolution says, in fact, is that if the salary increase is not rescinded or rejected, then and only then, in that case, does the Resolution call for Chairman Hill's resignation. The Representative on the other side of the aisle who offered the Amendment talks about, you know, how

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

far shall we go in a rush to judgement. I would ask him, how far shall we go to cover up. Wrong is wrong and right is right. It is easy for the Sponsor of the Amendment to perjurative words to describe those Members of the Committee that were formed to investigate this; about a lost weekend and so on and so on. I would suggest that, instead of using that kind of language against Members of that Committee, he use that language to describe the Chairman, Lou Hill. It would have been my personal recommendation to call for his resignation unconditionally. In my own personal talks with Chairman Hill, one on one, I have found him not to be a man who is a facilitator, not to be an individual who is willing to work out solutions. When I questioned him at length on one occasion, his only replies to me were, 'Well, then, we'll shut down'. That is the kind of threat that Lou Hill has used in the past. That is the kind of threat, the kind of language uses with this General Assembly, and I think that we should take him at his word and, if he is threatening something like a resignation without a pay increase, then I think that it would be perfectly agreeable to that. It is his style, but it should not be ours. And I would...And TIA like to ask all those reasonable people on the other side of the aisle who supported either the Resolution with Amendment or without the Amendment to vote *yes* on the Resolution as it is written. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Hoffman seek recognition?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "I don't believe that will be necessary, Mr.

Hoffman. We can just recognize Mr. Reilly to close."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I will be...be very brief.

Again, just to take care of a couple of extraneous matters

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

or comments that were made as we went along, first of all, the Resolution doesn't charge Lou Hill or anyone else with It says that the actions were illegal, civil any crime. remedies. The lawyer who spoke to that point knows the So does the House. So will those who read the Second of all, just for the record again, the Resolution. Resolution does not attempt to direct the Attorney General do anything. It asks that he and the State's Attorney of the County of Cook review the situation. We couldn't direct them to do an investigation. In any event, we wouldn't have the power. The issues are clear. everybody here is in basic agreement that the salary increase was wrong and that the House ought to go on record as saying that it must be rescinded. That is the gist of the Resolution. We have debated the details, but that is the gist of the Resolution. It was wrong. Everybody knows it's wrong. There's no point in making that point over and over again. It was wrong, and there's not going to be any action here on help for that kind of transit until this action is rescinded. The Resolution goes in that I commend it to the House and ask for a direction. favorable vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, "Shall the Resolution be adopted?". All those in favor of the adoption of the Resolution signify by voting "aye", all those opposed by voting "no". Have all voted who wish? Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Hr. Speaker, to explain my vote, I feel the Members of the General Assembly were deprived of the right to hear evidence on this Resolution. A ad hoc committee made up of only Minority Members considered it, came out with this report not created by House Resolution, filed it, put it in this Resolution. Our Leadership allowed it to bypass Committee. It wasn't heard in Committee. We never had a

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

change to discuss it, to hear evidence on it. Now. we're asked to either vote in favor of a salary that is clearly way out of line, or to vote to condemn a body, a local qovernment body and a man without a hearing. And that's the dilemma that we're in in this vote. And I think it was a great legislative ploy by the Nipority Leader and by the Gentleman from Morgan to bring this about. However, it certainly hasn't accomplished any clearing of the air in my mind, and it may get a headline or two. It hasn't done anything to help public transportation in the Chicago area, and I'm sure disappointed in the Legislative process that we couldn't hear witnesses, that we couldn't cross-examine, that we couldn't further find facts in this matter other than a few newspaper headlines and a weekend jury written report here. I don't know the jury who wrote it, but somebody wrote it without any calling of witnesses, without any cross-examination of witnesses, without an opportunity to be heard, without Democratic Party representation. with that in mind, I want to say that I think this whole matter was handled in a very poor parliamentary procedure on both sides of the aisle."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I am troubled by the provision in the Resolution that states that the...the action was illegal. I don't know that for a fact. I don't really think that we should be making such a statement. I am, however, also very concerned about what I consider to be an outrageous act in increasing the salary. And therefore, in spite of my concern with some of the language in this Resolution, I am going to vote for it."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 76 age, 16 no.,
14 voting present. The Resolution is adopted. On the

18th Legislative Day

- March 9, 1983
- Order of House Bills Third Reading there appears House Bill 213, Representative Wojcik. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 213, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Township Law of 1874. Third Reading of the

 Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wojcik. Representative Wojcik.

 Representative, proceed. Your microphone should be on now.

 You're on."
- Wojcik: "Okay. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to present House Eill 213 to you, which allows the township board of trustees to appoint a township committee on the disabled. The purpose of this Bill has been instigated due to the fact that a few years past we had the International Year for the Disabled and, at that time, we did have a committee working for us in our local township. Currently, the committee is in limbo and has no statutory right. Therefore, I am placing this Eill in order that it be passed. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves for the passage of House Bill 213. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

 Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that she will yield."
- Cullerton: "Representative, just so we can get some legislative intent, in the absence of the Bill being amended. On page 1 of your Bill on line 31, it's indicated that the Committee may enter into service agreements or contracts for the purpose of providing needed or required services.

 What type of services do you envision to be provided by this...this new Committee?"
- Wojcik: "Just on the basis of giving consultation and opinion as to what is needed in the area of disability in the townships."

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

- Cullerton: "What other...What services, though? Could you be more specific?"
- Wojcik: "Well, currently, they have worked with the villages in the township regarding handicapped parking so that, in the private parking lot, the police would have the authority to give tickets to those that are parking in the handicapped parking place, and that was done through current zoning and by, you know, notifying them of what was happening. I believe that another thing that they are working on and they have talked with local gas station owners that they would provide some sort of time that gas would be pumped for the disabled people."
- Cullerton: "Okay, another question. Do you have knowledge of any state or federal funds that would be available immediately upon passage of this?"
- Wojcik: "Federal funds, yes, General Revenue Sharing. State funds, no."
- Cullerton: "Well, General Revenue Sharing directly from the Federal Government or..."
- Wojcik: "Yes, it's given to the township and the township appropriates it in March of the year."
- Cullerton: "And how much local funding would be provided for this?"
- Wojcik: "Currently, I believe the line item would be at about 6,000 dollars, very low."
- Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Just one quick question, Mr. Speaker, of the Sponsor. Is this your first Bill, by any chance? Thank you very much."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

question, there are 104 *ayes*, 3 *nos*, 2 voting *present*. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair is prepared to adjourn. Is there any further business to come before the House? On the Order of Agreed Resolutions, the Chair recognizes Mr. Giorgi."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution #10, Vitek et al.

 House Resolution 89, Barger Hensel. Bouse Besolution 91,

 Topinka. 92, Madigan. And 93, Breslin. 94, Brookins."

 Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Joint Resolution #10 asks that we designate March 6th through 12th the Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Awareness Week. Resolution 89 by Barger notes 45 years of medicine practice. 91 by Topinka records 100 years that the Loyola School of Dentistry was in existence. 92 by Madigan logs high school youth. 93 by Breslin honors a civil defense squad, and 94 by Brookins celebrates a 50th anniversary. And I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall the Agreed Resolutions be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. The Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 90 by Rea and Hannig."

 Speaker Madigan: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolutions."

 Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 95 by Representative Brookins,

 with respect to the memory of Alvin Dickerson."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Giorgi moves for the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. The Resolution is adopted. The Chair recognizes Representative Nash."

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

- Nash: "Hr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Elections Committee meeting that was scheduled for 2:00 p.n. in room C-1 has been cancelled for this afternoon. The Sponsors are not...don't wish to have their Bills heard today, and also the Motion regarding Robbins versus Hicks has been postponed at the reguest of counsel for Mr. Robbins. Thank you."
- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Nash wishes to announce the cancellation of the Elections Committee meeting. Mr. Kulas."
- Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to announce that the Registration and Regulation Committee which was scheduled to meet at four o'clock, that meeting has been cancelled. The Registration and Regulation Committee will not meet today."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker for purposes of an announcement.

 The Personnel and Pensions Committee will meet in room C-1

 at four o'clock."
- Speaker Madigan: "Representative Giglio."
- Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I talked to the Minority Smokemans...Spokeman of the Cities and Villages Committee. We met yesterday and we postponed House Bill 2191, 316, 334 and 418 until next week; however, because of the posting day requirement and we're coming in Monday, I need leave of the House to have these Bills heard, and I ask that request now."
- Speaker Madigan: "Have you spoken to the Minority Spokesman regarding the suspension of the posting requirements?"
- Giglio: "Yes, I have. That was Representative Ebbesen. He's in agreement, Mr. Speaker. You don't believe me, Mr. Speaker?"
- Speaker Madigan: "Parliamentarian who resides in your township wishes to advise you that you do not need to suspend the

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

posting requirements because there's been a change in the normal schedule of the House; so that you can post those Bills in the normal course before noon tomorrow. Thank you, Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Steczo."

- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for an announcement. The Committee on Counties and Townships will meet in room 118 immediately after adjournment."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further business to come before the House? Representative Pierce."
- Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the Joint Committee that was established under House Joint Resolution 12 will meet at 3:00 p.m. today to organize in the State Office Building, and that will be in room K-1. That's the Committee on Adjustment of the Equalization Factor to Reflect Fair Cash Value. In other words, the Committee considering the green sheets and revision of the green sheets. Three p.m. today, room K-1, Stratton Building. There are five House Members of that Joint Study Committee."
- Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further business to come before the House? There being no further business to come before the House, the Chair recognizes Representative McPike for the Adjournment Motion."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Allowing the Clerk a half hour for perfunctory, I move the House stands adjourned until tomorrow, the hour of 12 noon."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Motion is that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow at 12 noon, providing one half hour for Perfunctory Session. All those in favor signify by saying aye, all those opposed by saying noon. The ayes have it. The Motion carries."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading of Bills. House

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

Bill 577, Pierce, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to clerks of court. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 578, Birkinbine, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain state taxes. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 579, DeJaegher - et al...Further Introductions and First Readings. House Bill 579, DeJaegher, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 580, Van Duyne - Davis, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 581, Johnson -Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 582, Stuffle - John Dunn, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act regulating wages of laborers, mechanics and other workers employed in any public works by state, county, city or any public body. First Reading of the Bill. John Dunn, a Bill for an Act to give preference to state contracts in targetted areas. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 584, Tate - Terzich - Woodyard - Rhem and Pederson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 585, Bullock, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 586, Braun - Bullock, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 587, Homer - McCracken -Hawkinson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 588, Hawkinson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Beading of the Bill. House Bill 589, Hawkinson - McCracken - Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 590, Braun, a Bill for an Act in relation to the

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

use, sale, possession and acquisition of weapons, firearms and firearm ammunition. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 591, Braun, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to the acquisition, possession and transfer of firearms and firearm amounition. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 592, Homer - Hawkinson - McCracken, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 593, Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Dangerous Drug Abuse Act. First Reading of the Bill. 594, Hawkinson - Homer - McCracken, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 595, Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Beading of the Bill. House Bill 596, Brookins, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 597, Braun, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 598, Braun, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the House Bill 599, Braun, a Bill for an Act creating Bill. the Urban Health Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 600, Bullock, a Bill for an Act to establish the right of educational employees to organize and bargain collectively. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 601, Braun, a Bill for an Act in relation to the care and treatment of persons suffering from sickle cell disease. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 602, Braun, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain material services. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 603, Koehler, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bouse Bill 604, Koehler, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Library System

18th Legislative Day

March 9. 1983

Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 605, Breslin, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Library System Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 606, Jaffe - Barnes - Alexander - Virginia Frederick - Bhem Homer, a Bill for an Act to create the offenses of criminal sexual assault. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 607, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 608, Zwick, a Bill for an Act to abolish certain advisory bodies. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 609, Davis, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 610, Matijevich, Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 611, Matijevich, for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 612, Saltsman - Stuffle - Preston - McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act authorize police officers in cities, villages and counties of less than one million population to organize and bargain collectively with their public employers. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 613, McPike, a Bill for an Act relating to the City of Alton. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 614, McPike, a Bill for an Act relating to certain charitable public trusts and restraints. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 615, Homer - DeJaegher -Brunsvold, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill Huff. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 617, Richmond - Stuffle, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 618, Leverenz, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to authorize medical doctors to supervise and

18th Legislative Day

March 9, 1983

control the rendering of birth control services to certain minors. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 619, Jaffe - Pangle - Madigan, a Bill for an Act in relation to closing. discontinuation, phase-out, termination and significant alternation of facilities, programs or services of the Department of Children and Family Services, of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, Department of Rehabilitation Services and Department of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 620, Jaffe - Pangle - Madigan, a Bill for an Act in relation to closure, conversion and modification of state facilities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 621, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Abortion Law. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 622, William Peterson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Beading of the Bill. House Bill 623, Harris - Cullerton, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. No further business. The House now stands adjourned."

03/28/83 09:13

STATE OF ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

MARCH 09, 1983

HB-0012	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
	SECOND READING	PAGE	ğ
	THIRD READING	PAGE	
_			14
	THIRD READING	PAGE	15
	THIRD READING	PAGE	16
HB-0088	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
HB-0097	THIRD READING	PAGE	20
HB-0104	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
HB-0106		PAGE	13
	THIRD READING	PAGE	18
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	30
	SECOND BRADING	PAGE	30
	THIRD READING	PAGE	60
	THIRD READING	PAGE	29
HB-0227	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-0262	TABLED	PAGE	13
HB-0274	TABLED	PAGE	10
HB-0292	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
	SECOND READING		
		PAGE	11
	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
HB-0350	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0396	TABLED	PAGE	13
HB-0414	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
HB-0571	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
HB-0572	PIRST READING	PAGE	3
	FIRST READING	PAGE	3
	FIRST READING		4
		PAGE	
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
HB-0581	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
HB-0582	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING		
		PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	65
	PIRST READING	PAGE	65
	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
HB-0592	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
HB-0593	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
HB-0594	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
	FIRST READING	PAGE	
HB-0599			66
		PAGE	66
HB-0600		PAGE	66
HB-0601	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
HB-0604	FIRST READING	PAGE	66
HB-0605	FIRST READING	PAGE	67
HB-0606	FIRST READING	PAGE	67
HB-0607		PAGE	67
HB-0608		PAGE	67
HB-0609		PAGE	67
HB-0610		PAGE	67
TD - 00 10	TANGE KUMULAN	CAUL	0 /

03/28/83 09:13

STATE OF ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF PEPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

MARCH 09, 1983

HB-0611	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 67	
HB-0612	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 67	
HB-0613	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 67	
HB-0614	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 67	
HB-0615	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 67	
HB-0616	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 67	
HB-0617	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 67	
HB-0618	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 67	
HB-0619	PIRST	READING	PAG	E 68	
HB-0620	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 68	
HB-0621	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 68	
HB-0622			PAG	E 68	
HB-0623	FIRST	READING	PAG	E 68	
HR-0088	MOTION	I	PAG	E 31	
HR-0088	ADOPTE	3D	PAG	E 59	
SJR-0012	ADOPTE	B D	PAG	E 30	

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MADIGAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - FATHER HUGH CASSIDY	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	2
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
APPROVAL OF JOURNALS	PAGE	3
RECESS	PAGE	7
HOUSE RECONVENES - SPEAKER MADIGAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	8
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	62
GENERAL RESOLUTION	PAGE	62
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	62
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	64
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	64
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	68