139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

Speaker Ryan: "The House will be in order and the Members will please be in their seats. The Chaplain for today is Doctor Richard Ahlgrim from the Berean Baptist Church of Springfield, Illinois. Doctor Ahlgrim."

"Thank you for inviting me to open this Session prayer. Shall we bow our heads and talk to our God? Our Heavenly Father, we thank You that You meet wherever two or three are gathered together, recognizing presence with them. We thank You that we need not fly into outer space and see You face-to-face, but we know, our Father, in our hearts that You gather together and give us wisdom when we seek it; for You have said, 'if any man lack wisdom, let him ask of God, Who giveth to all liberally, and upbraideth not, and it shall be given them. We thank You, our Father, that You've also told us that righteousness exalts the nation, but sin is a reproach to any people. Therefore, we ask that, as we go into Session this day, that You will give us the thoughts and the clarity, the wisdom and understanding of what is right, what needs to be done, in such a way that, when exacted and carried out in this state and in our marvelous nation, that men and women, boys and girls shall and families rejoicing because of the wisdom You've given Direct in everything, may this Session close this day with each of us feeling satisfied that You have granted understanding that we need, and the decisions have been made that should have been made. We'll be careful thank You, for we ask it in the name of Your Son, Jesus Christ. Amen."

Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Doctor. The Pledge will be given today by Representative Ackerman."

Ackerman et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

- 139th Legislative Day

 States of America and to the republic for which it stands,

 one nation under God, indivisible with liberty and justice
 for all."
- Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Telcser, do you have any excused absences? Representative Telcser on the floor? Oh yes, Representative Telcs...Let the record indicate that Representative Telcser is here. Representative Getty, is Representative Madigan absent today?"
- Getty: "No, Mr. Speaker, Representative Madigan is here, and I have no requests for excused absences at this time."
- Speaker Ryan: "The record will so indicate. Representative Telcser, could you come to the podium, please? record, Mr. Clerk. With 159 Members answering the Roll, a quorum of the House is present. I would like to take this opportunity to welcome all of you back to the Illinois House chambers, the new Members, and I understand that...It is the intention of the Chair to work until early this afternoon, or middle of the afternoon, if possible, hopefully get out and come back in the morning. So, you can all make your plans accordingly. Unless they change, that's what we'll do. Dolly Hallstrom, it's nice to have you back. Dolly spent a considerable amount of time in the hospital with some hip surgery. It's nice to see you back, Dolly. John Vitek also spent a little time in the hospital. John, it's nice to see you here, Representative Peters will now be in the Chair."
- Speaker Peters: "The Chair recognizes Representative Ropp for purposes of an introduction."
- Ropp: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, today, if I could have your attention, I'm delighted to have the opportunity to introduce two young ladies who represent the state champion tennis doubles for the State

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

- ofIllinois. They're from Bloomington, Illinois, Bloomington Righ School, and it's my pleasure to introduce to you Courtney Allen and Jill Joslin, who are the state champions. They won 40 matches in a row, and I'm delighted have them here. And won't you kindly give them a warm greeting? In the balcony, over here on your left Joslin and Mrs. Allen. So, we're delighted to have you moms down here, because we know how much you have played in their part in being real champions. We're very proud of them in Illinois and certainly in Bloomington. Thank you."
- Speaker Peters: "Thank you...Thank you, Representative Ropp. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1150 sponsored by Speaker George
 H. Ryan. Whereas, the institution of marriage..."
- Speaker Peters: "Excuse me. The Resolution relates to one of our sitting Members. Please give the Clerk your attention.

 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Whereas, the institution of marriage is one of the most important and fundamental features of our society. crucial to the betterment of life for all persons: and whereas, Agnes and Bill Margalus, through fifty years of dedication to the ideal of marriage and to each other, serve as a worthy tribute to this invaluable ideal; whereas, they are a couple who, after fifty years, still thoroughly enjoy each other and share all aspects of life together; and whereas, they also unselfishly give o f themselves to others through their respective work in Illinois government and politics and through their involvement in the Bridgeport community service organizations and St. Bridget church: and whereas, their union has produced two children who also serve to make the world a more pleasant place — a son, William T. Margalus and a daughter, Bonnie L. Rickey; and whereas, also have

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

five grandchildren -- Maggie Hickey, Bill Margalus, Jr., Kevin Hickey, Kelly Margalus and Kimmy Margalus; and whereas, through their commitment to family and to each other they have served their community and the State of Illinois well; now, therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 82nd General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we hereby commend and congratulate Agnes and Bill Margalus for their exemplification the best in marriage and family life; and be it further resolved, that we join them as they celebrate their golden wedding anniversary the 31st day of December, 1982, and that we wish them many years of continued happiness; and be it further resolved, that suitable copies οf this Resolution and Preamble be presented to Agnes and Bill Margalus and to the members of their family herein named."

Speaker Peters: "On the Resolution, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, perhaps we could just share a moment to pay special the Resolution that honors Representative attention to Margalus for fifty years, his fiftieth wedding anniversary. And, Mr. Speaker, I would move for the adoption of the Resolution and that all Members of the House be joined as Sponsors, and that we join in a hearty applause and congratulations to our good friend, Representative Margalus."

Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Representative Margalus."

Margalus: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it's a pleasure to be here with you for the last five years, and in another month for me to celebrate with my wonderful wife, who's sitting up there - hi, Hon - to celebrate our fiftieth

- 139th Legislative Day

 anniversary, and I wish you all could do the same. Thank
 you."
- Speaker Peters: "The Chair takes the liberty to introduce to the Members, Representative Margalus' wife, who is sitting in the balcony on this side, and her...and his family. Mrs. Margalus, congratulations and welcome to Springfield. Representative Cullerton in the chamber? Representative Cullerton. Representative Younge, did you want to take your Motion? Mr. Clerk? Amendatory Veto Motions, page 16 of the Calendar, House Bill 958, Representative Younge. Mr. Clerk? Amendatory Veto Motions. Representative Younge."
- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House accept Governor Thompson's amendatory veto to House Bill 958. The amendatory veto places, beyond question, as to whether or not the bonds will be the obligation of the state. It specifically says across the face of the bond that they will not be the obligation of the state, and I think that that strengthens the Bill, so I accept the veto."
- Speaker Peters: "The Lady moves for the adoption and acceptance of the Governor's recommendation relative to House Bill 958. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
- Getty: "I'm not rising in opposition, it's just that I'm looking around, I don't see any Amendment on the desks. I believe that we should have an Amendment on the desks before we vote on an issue."

Speaker Peters: "Are you referring to the veto message?"

Getty: "Right."

Speaker Peters: "The Clerk informs me it is in a packet on everyone's desk, and has been for a week, he says. Have

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 153 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present', this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 991, Representative Keane. Out of the record? Representative Keane, what's your... What's your pleasure, Representative Keane?"

Keane: "If you could give me a second, I'll be right with you."

Speaker Peters: "Sure."

Keane: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on House Bill...Mr. Speaker, have we read this into the record, or... Am I ready to proceed?"

Speaker Peters: "Yes, Sir."

Keane: "All right."

Speaker Peters: "House Bill 991, Representative Keane, proceed, Sir."

I would ask for an acceptance of the Keane: "All right. Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 991. If...If you're familiar with this Bill, it passed very well. think there was only one vote against it. It took the tax off the tax on utility bills, and it was involved in the state tax. What the Governor's Amendment did is it brought the effective date to be upon the Bill becoming law, changing it from January 1, 1984. Within the Amendment, there were some technical things, and for the matter of our record, I would like to point them out. Amendment...the Motion that I filed changes the effective date and indicates that the January...by leaving January 1, 1984 in the Bill on line 32, that it was an oversight, that that would be reflected by using the effective date. I would be happy to answer any questions on the Bill or on the Governor's amendatory veto, and would ask for your support."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Getty."

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, again, I do not...I want to emphasize, I'm not rising in opposition. I'm just raising the point of order."
- Speaker Peters: "Mr. Getty, one second, please. Proceed, Sir."
- Getry: "I'm raising the point of order. Has there been a Motion filed with the Amendatory language to be adopted? Has it been reproduced and put on the desks?"
- Speaker Peters: "Representative, the Clerk is now intending to get an answer to that."
- Getty: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Getty, your point is well taken.

 Representative Keane, if you would hold that Motion until

 the paperwork does reach the floor. Yes, Representative

 Getty."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, again, not to be an obstructionist, but to see that the work of the House is done properly, I would respectfully suggest that it would be appropriate at this time to recall, and I would make the appropriate Motion having voted on the prevailing side, the Representative Younge's: because I think, notwithstanding the representation of the Chair, that the Chair was in error when it said yes, that the Motion had been reproduced I think that it had not been and was on the desks. reproduced and was not on the desks, and if the Chair finds that it was in error, I would like to make the appropriate Motion to reconsider it so that we can be sure that Representative Younge's Bill is, in fact, passed properly."
- Speaker Peters: "The Chair will take under consideration whether it was in error in regard to Representative Younge's Bill.

 It is...The paperwork is not done in regard to the others.

 We will wait for those, and we...when we find out what the status is in regard to the paperwork on Representative Younge's Bill, we will then make that judgement and call on

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

you, Sir, for whatever Motion may or may not be necessary."

Getty: "I would ask that the Chair, since the Chair did represent in the record that, in fact, the Motion was properly filed, that the proper Amendatory language was reproduced and on the desks that, if it finds that that was in error, that the Chair correct that record so that I may then make the appropriate Motion.

Speaker Peters: "The House will stand at ease for a few minutes, or sit at ease."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1140..."

Speaker Peters: "Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1146, Younge. 1147, Younge.

1149, Mulcahey. 1151, Matijevich - Pierce. 1152, McClain
- Bowman. 1153, Nash - Madigan - Ryan. 1154, Bell. 1155,

Ryan. 1157, Currie - Epton - Steczo - Catania. 1158,

Johnson."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on Agreed Resolution 1103, we have that Charles Elias...congratulate him and express our congratulations for an outstanding career in music, and we commend him for protecting the Croatian culture in his composition and teaching in tamburitza music. House Resolution 1146, Virgil L. Calvert will be honored for his years of service to his community as a Benefit Dance for Chairman Pro Tem, sponsored by Kevin (sic - Kevil) Ellis and the Aldermanic Council of East St. Louis, Illinois. House Resolution 1147 by Younge, James L. Wright is Director of Region 4 of United Automobile Workers The Workers Union Region 4 covers Illinois, Iowa, Union. Nebraska, with a total membership of 163,000 workers. House Resolution 1149 by Mulcahey, whereas John Barth will be inducted into the NAIA District 14 Hall of Fame. John Barth served 35 years as director of health and physical

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

education and the athletics of the University of Wisconsin, Platteville. Congratulating...House Resolution 1151. Matijevich and Pierce. Bill Giddens has distinguished career as Alderman of the City of North Chicago for over 25 years, from April 1957 to June of 1982. House Resolution 1152, McClain and Bowman, where John McDearmon of Quincy, Illinois has won the ten thousandth Development Association Credential and Child will presented this award November 13, 1982. House 1153, where Clara Zapantis Foundation of Chicago, Illinois is a charitable organization dedicated to treating children with heart defects, that this chamber extend its heartiest congratulations and heartfelt thanks to the physicians who participated in the Cla...Clara Zapantis Foundation. to the staff and directors of the foundation and to all who contribute time and money to that ... so that the Zapantis Foundation may flourish. House Resolution 1154 by Bell, that we congratulate Mr. Richard Bishop of Moline who is stepping down from his pos...position of chairman of the board of the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, the nation's largest chamber, representing over 6000 businesses. House Resolution 1155 by Ryan, that this chamber commend all those associated with Kankakee County Operation...Operation Snowball, that we express to them our deep appreciation and thanks for their inspired efforts, and we express to them our wish for their continued high level of success. House Resolution 1157 by Epton, Steczo, Catania, it is with great pleasure Currie. that this body recognizes and congratulates Mr. George Stigler upon his receiving the Nobel Prize for Economics for 1981. House Resolution 1158, while Queen Victoria of England was celebrating her Golden Jubilee and Grover Cleveland was serving his first term as President of

- Onited States, Mrs. Hiske Franzen of Royal, Illinois was born, and this is a birthday congratulations for Mrs. Franzen. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of the Resolutions...Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. There any objection? Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Resolutions are adopted. Representative Pullen for an announcement."
- Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Executive Committee is scheduled to meet tomorrow morning at 8:00 a.m. We do not, now, have any business before us in Exec., so the meeting is hereby cancelled. Thank you."
- Speaker Peters: "The Executive Committee meeting scheduled for 8:00 a.m. is cancelled. Executive Committee meeting cancelled. The House will now stand at ease for a few more minutes. The Chair welcomes Representative Jaffe. Representative Getty."
- Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, while there seems to be a lull, maybe
 I could point out to the Chair that I...we have now
 received the proper Motion, it's been distributed, relative
 to 958, and it would appear at this time, as soon as we go
 back into Session, that the Motion to reconsider would be
 in order, and then we could reconsider it. And then
 Representative Younge could again put her Motion to adopt,
 now that it would be in proper order."
- Speaker Peters: "Amendatory veto Motions, page 16 of the Calendar. The Chair recognizes Representative Getty for the purposes of a Motion."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, in order to put the record straight and it's my understanding now that the proper Motion regarding House Bill 958 has been distributed, that it was not, in

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

fact, distributed previously — and in order to cre...to cure any possible defect, I will now move, having voted on the prevailing side by which the specific recommendation of the Governor for change was adopted, that we now reconsider that vote."

Speaker Peters: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 153 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'nay', 2 voting 'present', the Gentleman's Motion passes. House Bill...The amendatory veto Motion relative to House Bill 958 is now, once again, before the House. For that purpose, Representative Younge."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 958. This is a Bill establishing the Community Development Finance Cooperation. The Amendment makes it absolutely clear...state."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's Amendatory Message relative to House Bill 958? .. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed This is final passage. Have all voted by voting 'nay'. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Kane. Representative Kane, would you do the honors for Representative Zwick, per her request? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Thank you. On this question, there are 154 voting 'aye', 12 voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 991, Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We discussed this Bill be..."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Keane."

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill has been discussed before. What it does is it changes the effective date on the Bill on page 14, by changing the January 1, 1984 effective date that we had on the Bill, and it makes it effective, in line 31, upon its becoming a law. And we also changed line 32, changing 'January 1', to...to reflect the words 'that date'; in other words, the day it does become law. And I accept the Governor's amendatory veto, and would ask for a favorable Roll Call. Would be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, the Gentleman moves to accept the Governor's amendatory veto relative to House Bill 991. Does the House concur? Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Getty."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to a parliamentary inquiry, not in opposition in any way. But this vote clearly has more than 107. We are changing 'January 1, 1984' to, 'upon becoming a law'. With that immediate effective date, it would appear that this would require a declaration of the three-fifths Majority, and I would ask that the chair so rule and so declare."
- Speaker Peters: "On this question, there are 168 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present', and this having...this Bill, having received a...a Constitutional three-fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1607, Representative Keane. Read the Bill...or, proceed, Sir."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1607 had a number of different provisions in it. The Governor struck one of the provisions that was en...encompassed in another piece of legislation which he signed, and that was the first

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

provision which dealt with a...a motor fuel tax law for truckers regarding buying...paying sales tax on...paying sales tax on gasoline if they didn't buy their gasoline in the State of Illinois. As I said, this provision was encompassed in another Bill that the Governor approved, and therefore I would move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 1607. I'd be happy to answer any questions on the Bill."

Speaker Peters: "The Gentleman moves to accept the Governor's amendatory veto relative to House Bill 1607. The question is, 'Does the House concur?'. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who is open. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, On this question there are 166 voting 'aye', none Clerk. voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. Karpiel 'aye'. Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is House Bill 93. hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk. Who's doing this? Representative...Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor to House Bill 93, as provided in the Amendment that is on your desk as Motion #1. We all recall that House is the legislation which brings about an elimination and repeal of the Illinois State Inheritance The Tax. Governor's amendatory recommendation for change is to change the effective date from July 1, 1982 to 1983, and also...that's the substantive recommendation for There is a...another change in language that adds change. to the Bill the provision that the Attorney General shall assess the tax as provided for in this Act. I think that we are all privileged and fortunate, because there are very few legislators that re...can return to the people and

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

report that they have repealed a tax. So all of us, whether we may be continuing our service next year in the General Assembly or retiring to private life, can return to the people and report a proud day in Illinois history was the day upon which...the days upon which we participated in repealing the Illinois Inheritance Tax. The Governor's veto message points out that we will be one of the small progressive states which don't rely number of inheritance tax, and that the Governor feels and I all of us feel, that a state should not receive its revenue from a tax which really erodes the family farm and the small business. And, by our action today, and I hope that we all join in accepting this amendatory recommendation for change, we'll be encouraging in Illinois the continued existence of family owned enterprises. I urge favorable vote for this Motion with respect to House Bill 93."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion. It was a bad idea when we passed it the first time. It remains a bad idea. I think we should not only reject this Motion, but that we should refuse to...we should not override, we should not accept. My personal feeling that the inheritance tax law does need some reform. have been genuine problems raised with respect to family But the fact remains is that we are in a financial crisis in the state right now. The Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission has a draft report which the Commission will be studying more closely tomorrow that indicates that the state revenues are at least \$130,000,000 below where we thought they were last March, and the Economic and Fiscal Commission's estimates last March were \$150,000,000 below

139th Legislative Day

the Bureau of the Budget's estimates. So, we're facing revenue shortfall of perhaps \$300,000,000 for the current

November 17, 1982

fiscal year, and heaven knows what we face for the future.

This is...may be a good idea, but this is not the time to

do it. The...there may be need for reform, but we should

not make...use a meat ax approach to deal with the problem.

And the state simply cannot afford the money at this time,

and I urge that we reject this Bill and that we defeat the

legislation in its entirety."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor of the Motion yield? Deuster, I wholeheartedly support the Representative abolition of the Illinois Inheritance Tax except the My question is, specifically, what is significance of the language - maybe you explained this and I missed it - of providing that the Attorney General shall assess the taxes provided for in this Act? How does that differ from the current handling of the Illinois Inheritance Tax?"

Deuster: "Representative Brummer, it is my understanding that this language simply clarifies in the law the present practice and makes no change in the way the tax is handled."

Brummer: "Well, currently the tax is assessed by the circuit clerk. Is that not correct? There is an Inheritance Tax order that is signed by the Judge in the Circuit Court. Would that whole process be eliminated under this change?"

Deuster: "I believe so."

Brummer: "Then why the language? I mean, it is quite clear what we've been doing for the last 20, or 30 or 40 years. I do not understand the significance of the one line that is added referring to the Attorney General having assessment authority."

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion?"

Brummer: "I'm still waiting for a response."

Deuster: "Representative Brummer, I wonder if we could hold your question a while. I'm endeavoring to get a clarification and an answer. Maybe we could go on to other Representatives that might have comments or questions, and I'll try to get back to you."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Who has? No.

Representative Dunn, is your light flashing there?

Representative Dunn. It's not here."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a question for the Sponsor. Will he yield?"

Speaker Peters: "If we can get him to. Representative Deuster,
Representative Dunn, John Dunn. On this side."

Dunn: "I'm over here. The question, Mr. Sponsor, in the event you are successful and there will no longer be an Illinois Inheritance Tax except for the pick up tax, what will the revenue shortfall be to the State of Illinois?"

Deuster: "Representative Dunn, that was variously estimated with varying figures as this legislation moved through the General Assembly, and my recollection was that some thought it might be \$70,000,000, some \$75,000,000. And Repre...I might yield to Representative Epton who has a recollection of a clear estimate. And that..."

year there would be absolutely no loss of revenue. And in the following fiscal year, there would be less than \$15,000,000, because the effective date would not even apply until 10 months after the death which means you're in November. So that even if the Bureau of the Budget is correct — and they are not — you would have less than \$15,000,000 effective two years from now."

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

Dunn: "When we really get into the full swing of this and people die throughout a complete fiscal year after the tax is repealed, what is the revenue loss to the State of Illinois?"

Epton: "Based upon the previous projections of the Country Mutual Insurance Company and the Continental Bank which was discussed with the Bureau of the Budget, they indicated that at the...their highest would be \$30,000,000. Director Mandeville agreed that there would be no loss in the fiscal year. He was willing to accept the loss in the following fiscal year. He disagreed with the loss, the projected loss, two years from now, two and a half years from now. And I don't think anybody in the Legislature or outside of the Legislature can predict. I will say that no states where..."

Dunn: "It's a very...if you want to answer the guestion, I think it would be very easily answered by looking at the total revenues we collect now and..."

Epton: "No, you can't."

Dunn: "...Simply making a calculation about what would be collected if we only collect the pick up tax."

Epton: "That's not at all correct, Sir, because if you look...you're overlooking the fact that we have since the 1981 Revenue Act was passed by the Federal Government, we have people moving out of the State of Illinois to other states. We are losing the pick up tax. So the chances are if we fail to pass this Bill, we will lose more than we will gain."

Dunn: "All you're saying by that is you think Illinois will become a better place to die, and I'm not interested in that."

Epton: "No, I'm not saying that at all, Sir."

Dunn: "I want Illinois to be a better place to live and work."

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

Epton: "You asked me a question. You asked a question. You want a specific figure, and you want to debate it. I'm perfectly happy to debate it with you."

Dunn: "You're bandying about."

Epton: "You happen to be in error."

"You're bandying about, and I know that. Mr. Dunn: Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to the Bill. The previous speaker has indicated that the State of in very precarious financial circumstances present time. Our staff indications are that once this Bill, once the repealer gets into full swing, the revenue losses to the State of Illinois will range between 80 100,000,000 dollars on an annual basis. We cannot afford to lose that kind of revenue at this time. Maybe in another time it might be the thing to do to consider repealing this progressive tax. If we're going to repeal taxes, we ought to repeal the regressive taxes and give everybody across the board a fair shake. We have tried since I've been a Member of the General Assembly to repeal the sales tax on food, and we have been successful, part, with that legislation to repeal that most onerous and most regressive tax in the State of Illinois. The Illinois Inheritance Tax doesn't tax you at all while you're alive. It only taxes those who receive gifts from your estate death. This is a problem with some farmers and small businessmen. And as an earlier speaker has indicated, the Illinois Inheritance Tax needs reform for those particular groups of people as well as for widows and orphans. But to repeal the tax at this time, to remove \$100,000,000 on an annual basis from the state treasury at a time when we cannot afford the loss. And to fail, to fail to come forward with a replacement source of revenue is only to say one of two things; that is that you duck the responsibility

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

which the people are sending you here to take care of, to face up to the problems of the State of Illinois and to provide the services and pay for them, you fail to provide the services; or you are willing to say that you will without those services an cut them so that you won't have to raise taxes. We have a tax in place here. It may need to be reformed, and those of you who are from downstate counties should remember that if the tax \$100,000,000 across the State of Illinois on an annual basis, currently the counties of the State of Illinois collect 4% of that amount for collecting the tax and forwarding it on to Springfield. In the case of my county, Macon County, a year ago that meant \$177,000 in revenue our county. If that revenue is taken away - and it will be if the Inheritance Tax is repealed - there is no place for the County to go to get money to provide the services that are...the minimum services essential to the taxpayers except to raise property taxes. So let's don't kid anyone. This may be a Bill that helps W. Clement Stone and Wrigley and all the other mega-millionaires in the State of Illinois. It will save them hundreds of millions of It will save the millionaires hundreds of thousands of dollars. It will save those with an estate of half a million dollars tens of thousands of dollars, but the little people who go to the polls to vote for you carry a lunch bucket to work and try to educate their children and buy a home won't save one dime by the repeal legislation, and they will have to pick up the difference. They will have to make up the shortfall revenue by increases in their property taxes, by increases in their sales taxes and by increases in their income taxes while the rest of the world benefits from this. The very small segment that benefits from this legislation can

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

continue to go on Carribean cruises. So, if you want to vote for those that take Carribean cruises, go ahead and repeal the Illinois Inheritance Tax. If you want to vote for the people that sent you here, keep the Illinois Inheritance Tax, and let's do some reform work on it next Session. Thank you very much."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Kosinski: "Don. Representative Deuster."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Deuster."

Kosinski: "Here. When you originally wrote this Bill — and it was your Bill in conjunction with several other people, was it not?"

Deuster: "Would you repeat the question?"

Kosinski: "Originally you and several other people wrote this Bill. Is that correct?"

Deuster: "Well, I introduced this Bill, and it was amended along the course of the process, and a lot of people have been involved in speaking for it and supporting it. It was actually amended in the Senate to accomplish the repeal."

Kosinski: "In its final stage — and I don't recall with so many Bills going through — was the Bill, as amended, to your complete satisfaction?"

Deuster: "Absolutely. It was..."

Kosinski: "Not that was with the time frame as of the signing or of January 1st date if I'm not mistaken. Is that correct?

The effective date was what in the original Bill?"

Deuster: "The only change that the Governor has made..."

Kosinski: "No, no, no, not the Governor's change. When the Bill left the Houses to go to the Governor's desk, what was the effective date?"

Deuster: "The effective date was July 1, 1982."

139th Legislative Day

- November 17, 1982
- Kosinski: "And this passed at a...with a good amount of vote in the General Assembly?"
- Deuster: "It had an overwhelming support of this Assembly and the Senate when it went to the Governor, Representative Kosinski. Yes."
- Kosinski: "But now you've made a Motion to accept the Governor's veto. What has transpired in the interim?"
- Deuster: "What has transpired in the interim? Really nothing other than the General Assembly has been out of Session, and now we're back here and we're dealing with one subject: should the effective date be changed to January 1, '83? And I've made the Motion to accept that. I know..."
- Kosinski: "No, that's under the presumption that the economy and all the ills of removing taxation will be gone by January 1, 1983. Is that correct? My point is, whether we hit this head on at this time or in January '83, there is still the problem of the state suffering, and I don't think my five days pay are making it up even though I mailed it in. Should we hit this head on at this time and not accept your Motion or should we push it to January and let somebody else face the problem?
- Deuster: "Representative Kosinski, normally with changes in the Inheritance Tax or matters relating to death, it is simpler for the people of Illinois if we provide that these sort of changes are effective on January 1 of a given year. I think that the recommendation from the Governor is a reasonable Amendment, and...and so that is why I am supporting it."
- Kosinski: "One more question. In the interim, what small farmers, in number, and what small businessmen are going to be injured by the loss of that six months consideration?

 Do you have any idea?"
- Deuster: "I suppose some, but I...we have no...no exact figures.

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

You're correct that this would affect persons or estates where the deceased had passed on between July 1st and...and the last of December."

Kosinski: "You see, my point is that we take well into consideration the problems of the State of Illinois and its management, at the same time we represent people. And if people are injured, despite our good intent of putting on the original date, I have some concern about going along with your...your Motion at this time."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we know that to accept the Governor's recommendation for change is to repeal the Illinois State Inheritance Tax. To reject that Motion is to say that the Illinois State Inheritance Tax should continue to burden people in Illinois. Йe aren't sending people to the Carribean with this Bill, but without it we are sending them to Florida and other tax havens for good. We are sending them out of our state because we are telling them that we don't want them here. We're telling them that we are not foresighted enough to understand the issue. I don't think this House wants to do In the course of the last four years, I have had that. contact with a good many people around Illinois on this Bill. None of them happen to be named W. Clement Stone. They tend to be little widows. They tend to They tend to be people who have worked and saved lives so that they can provide for their They tend to be people who want to be able to know that their families will be taken care of without the state coming along and thinking it's entitled to tax their income yet again after its already been taxed while they are living and earning. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is time that this tax be put to rest.

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

is time that we bury the Illinois Inheritance Tax. We can do that today by putting the votes on the Board to pass this Motion to accept the Governor's recommendation for change. Get this over with once and for all so that people in Illinois will know that we do care about the futures of their families. I urge a favorable vote. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to inquire of the Chair, and I have heard a great deal of dialogue and debate on this issue on House Bill 93, and it seems to me that perhaps I don't understand the issue that is before us, and I would like the Chair to clarify that. I've heard it said that if we don't accept the Governor's recommendation for change and the amendatory veto that we won't have, in fact, a repeal of the Inheritance Tax law. Now, it seems to me that all we're talking about here is a date, that what we did back in June to repeal the Inheritance Tax has no effect on what we're doing or what we're about today. if you vote 'yes' on this Motion to accept the Governor's amendatory veto, then all we're doing is changing the date from July 1st to January 1st, 1983. Would this vote that we're about to cast have any bearing on the repeal that we voted on back in June?"

Speaker Peters: "Representative Yourell, the answer to your question is yes. The Motion made by Representative Deuster is to accept the amendatory veto language as written by the Governor. In accord with the Constitution, the Bill is vetoed...under the Motion placed, the Bill is vetoed unless the changes are accepted by the House or if, in fact, another Motion is placed to override. The Motion we are on now is to accept."

Yourell: "Yes, okay. That clarifies the issue. Then if this Motion to accept the Governor's amendatory veto is

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

defeated, then the next Motion I would assume would be to override the Governor's veto. Is that correct?"

Speaker Peters: "That would be possible, Representative Yourell, except there is no such Motion on the Calendar."

Yourell: "Then I really don't understand, because what we're talking about is specific changes as recommended by the Governor which, in my judgment — and I certainly don't want to debate the Chair on the issue — but would not affect the passage of the Bill, House Bill 93, as it was sent to the Governor back in June. Now, all we're talking about is an amendatory veto in the language that relates to a change of an effective date. Now, if that date is not sustained in the amendatory veto and by the Motion we're presently considering, then doesn't the Bill stand and the date then become...the law becomes effective as of July 1, 1982?"

Speaker Peters: "No, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous guestion."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Kosinski, what purpose do you rise?"

Kosinski: "Technical explanation of something that just happened from the Chair. Do I..."

Speaker Peters: "Larry."

Kosinski: "If I may continue, Mr. Chairman. Do I understand correctly from Mr. Yourell's discourse that we only have one way out of this, either we vote to accept the Governor's veto...amendatory veto or the Bill dies?"

Speaker Peters: "The Motion that is before the House now, unless the Motion is accepted, the Bill dies. Further discussion? The question...we are on the question of Representative Flinn to close debate. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair,

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982 ~

the 'ayes' have it. Representative Deuster to close."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to yield to Representative Epton for the closing on my Motion."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I suspect that most of us are still involved in our campaign."

Speaker Peters: "He's closing."

Epton: "If the Representative across the aisle has no objection, may I continue? Mr. Speaker, do I need your permission or his? I'm not sure."

Speaker Peters: "Proceed, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank I • m you. glad you're still the Speaker. Apparently the Representative across the aisle is still in his campaign. Facts really don't bother him. They didn't then. They apparently don't bother him now. \$100,000,000 figure he speaks of is a figurent of his imagination. If he got it from the Democratic Staff, then I suggest with all due respect that they get a new staff. The facts very simply - and these are facts - are that the Bureau of the Budget and the insurance actuaries agree and the Director of Revenue that there will be no fiscal impact whatsoever in this year...in this fiscal year. The reason the amendatory veto went on is because we made a mistake. And in view of the precarious financial situation of the state, we wanted to give every possible time before this Bill went into effect. And the Governor made a wise choice extending it to this date. By doing so, it means that until November of 1983, no Bill...no tax can be assessed much less collected. As a matter of fact, if we follow the usual collection procedures, there would be no loss of revenue in that fiscal year. Apparently the...my colleague on the opposite side of the aisle isn't aware of how the

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

state operates, although he's been here many years. therefore, I submit to you that if this is a terrible loss of revenue, this fine Legislature in the following years can certainly rectify the situation. Now let your attention some little things. We're not worried about the P.K. Wrigleys or the Clem Stones. We're worried about the nephew or the neice who has to pay a tax of \$990 on a \$10,000 inheritance tax from an aunt or uncle, or we're worried about a child who, after his mother dies - the mother only has to pay perhaps \$4,000 on a \$200,000 estate...\$5,000, excuse me - but then the son, or the daughter or the decendents will pay \$35,000. Today in Illinois there are very few farms worth less than that. Today with inflation there aren't too many homeowners who don't fall in that catagory ... "

Speaker Peters: "Excuse me, Representative. Give the Gentleman your attention please."

Epton: "There's no need to, because the vote...thank you, Mr. Speaker. But there's no need for attention, because some people have a closed mind. They had a closed mind when they came down here. They'll have a closed mind when they leave. There's nothing that will change them, and we're lucky that there are enough people on this floor of the House and the Senate who have the sense and the decency to vote the right way and not to make speeches for the benefit of their constituency or perhaps for their own ego. I suggest that this is a splendid Bill, and we should concur and vote favorably on the Amendment."

Speaker Peters: "The question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 93 by adoption of the Amendment?'. All in favor will vote 'aye', all opposed will vote 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all...have all voted who

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

wish? Representative Darrow to explain his vote."

Darrow: "Not to explain my vote, but I wish we had more time to clarify on page five lines 20 to 22. I hope that is clarified in the Senate. It talks about a 10% per annum penalty, and it doesn't state whether that goes from date of death or date its due. The way it's operating now, if you miss the time it's due, it goes back to the date of death. That plus Representative Brummer's guestions, I think they should clarify that for the record when it gets to the Senate. Thank you."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Ropp to explain his vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was just going to mention to the Hembers of the House that it has been stated that this is only for the wealthy. That is certainly not true. I know a lot of young people, farmers for example, people who are in small businesses that this is a tremendous asset to them in that they certainly don't have to sell off that business and actually go out of business just to pay the tax. Thank you for the support."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Roland Meyer to explain his vote."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take a moment of the House's time to introduce some people in the right side of the gallery here, the Civic Action Program from the Atlantic Richfield Anaconda Division from Mattoon, Illinois; Harvey, Illinois; Rolling Meadows and Arlington Heights to the right up there. Came down here to see the House in action."

Speaker Peters: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this guestion there are 149 voting 'aye', 19 voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

specific recommendation for change regarding House Bill 93 by adoption of the Amendment. Under the...On the Calendar under Amendatory Vetoes appears House Bill 2310 and the Motion to accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change by adoption of the Amendment and the Motion. On that Motion, the Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

- Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House
 Bill 2310 originally dealt with stipends that went to
 assessors and assessing officials. There had been some
 Amendments added on, and Representative Sam Wolf requested
 that one of those Amendments be changed by amendatory veto
 of the Governor. I promised him, and we worked out a
 situation where that could happen, and this is what that
 Amendment was. It is amended, and I ask for your favorable
 consideration."
- Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 2310 by adoption of the Amendment?". All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk, the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Getty, do you have a question?"
- Getty: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. In a manner similar to my inquiry regarding that last Bill, the record would indicate that there was no immediate effective date in that last Bill...in the present Bill rather, 2310. The Governor's amendatory veto will have this take effect January 1st of 1983. Again, I would suggest that the Chair declare that this is passed with the Constitutional three-fifths Majority."
- Speaker Peters: "Thank you, Sir. Any further discussion? Being none, take the record, Nr. Clerk. On this question there

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

are 159 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. This Motion, having received a Constitutional three-fifths Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 2310 by adoption of the Amendment. On the Calendar on Amendatory vetoes appears House Bill 2356 and the Motion to accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change. On that Motion, the Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

House Bill 2356 amended Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. the Illinois Purchasing Act and permitted the state agencies and units of local government to purchase goods and services from sheltered work shops for the handicapped without competitive bidding and created a Committee within the Department of Administrative Services to facilitate The Governor's amendatory veto did purchases. essentially two things. One is that it removed the power to local governments from...because he did not feel it belonged to the Illinois Purchasing Act, and I agree him. He was right. He also added the Director of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities to a Committee that is created in the Bill that oversees this particular process. I recommend that we accept the Governor's amendatory changes, and I so move, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Peters: "He indicates he will."

Mautino: "Representative Leinenweber, may I give you a scenario and please...would you please explain to me if this is..."

Leinenweber: "A what?"

Mautino: "... What the Bill...a little scenario."

Leinenweber: "Alright."

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

- Mautino: "Alright. Let us assume that a junior college, the cafeteria and the food services are operated by, let us say, the Visually Impaired Council of Illinois."
- Leinenweber: "Under the Governor's Amendment, right off the bat, that wouldn't apply, because the local governments are removed from the Bill. Originally the Bill included...gave power to local units of government. So, I don't know."
- Mautino: "What I wanted to set the scenario for was this: if, in fact, we are allowing these facilities to be eliminated from the competitive bidding process, does that mean that local business people would not be able to bid on those items that are used by an agency in the current bidding process, and that would automatically go to the handicapped?"
- Leinenweber: "No, it doesn't. It permits...the way this originally got started, some people from the sheltered workshops came to me and they pointed out a situation where their people are able to do things like build picnic tables and this sort of thing. In order to get a contract with the State Department of Conservation, they, in effect, had to fudge and run in two or three different contracts for \$2,500 each. To answer your question, I suppose that is possible that someone could end up losing a particular contract. However, I can just add during the Committee hearings and all the time I have handled the Bill, I never had anybody come up and object to the possibility that they might lose some of this business. It is merely permissive. in this day when these sheltered workshops are finding it hard to get government grant money, this will enable them to have an easier time to do some work for the government and get paid for it to help run their program."
 - Speaker Peters: "Further discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the Governor's specific

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 2356 by adoption of the Amendment? . All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Mr. Clerk. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Motion...on this Motion there are 163 voting 'aye', none voting 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, and the prevails, House accepts the Governor...Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, this is the same situation."

Speaker Peters: "This Motion, having received the Constitutional three-fifths Majority, prevails, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change regarding House Bill 2356 by adoption of the Amendment. The House will be at ease for a few minutes. We're waiting for the paper to catch up. ...Conti, you have the Agreed Resolutions? Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1159, Yourell. 1160, Yourell.

1161, Yourell. 1162, Yourell. 1163, Yourell. 1164,
Yourell. 1165, Yourell. 1166, Yourell. 1167, Murphy Hastert - Deuchler. 1168, Krska. 1169, Krska."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 1159 by Yourell. Chester Jachimiak was honored by friends and associates on November 12, 1982 upon his retirement from the Village of Chicago Ridge Police Department after 25 years of service. House Resolution 1160 by Yourell, whereas Jerry David Webster of the Boy Scout Troup 49 of Lamont, Illinois was awarded the Eagle Scout Progress award on October 24, 1982. House Resolution 1161 by Yourell. Celia Rose Dunke will celebrate the occasion of her 90th birthday on August 19, 1982 at the

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

Holiday Inn in Oak Lawn. House Resolution 1162 by Yourell. The highest award which the National Council of Boy Scouts of America bestow on a scout is that of Eagle Scout, and went to Christopher Albrecht. Eric Werderitch of...the highest award of the Nation Council of Boy Scouts America can bestow upon any Boy Scout is that of Eagle Scout, and that is House Resolution 1163 by Yourell. Resolution 1164 by Yourell. The highest award the National Council of Boy Scouts of America can bestow upon a scout is that of Eagle Scout, and that went to Robert J. Lorek, Jr. House Resolution 1165 by Yourell, whereas on Monday, September 27, 1982, a bloody shootout took place Evergreen Park in which Police Officer Martin E. *Darsi* was slain and Police Officer Jerry Johnson wounded. ¥e commend Michael Gasca on his courage and dedication to duty in connection with this tragic incident, and we hope that is an example and inspire other citizens of the community perform similar acts of bravery in the future. House Resolution 1166 by Yourell, whereas Mr. and Mrs. Ralph Amato, Sr. will celebrate the 45th anniversary of their wedding on October 17, 1982. House Joint Resolution 1167 by Murphy, Hastert and Deuchler. 1982...two 1982 graduates of East Senior High School of Aurora, Illinois were selected by Illinois State Board of Education to receive those who excel plaques, and those two are Kurt Olson and Sam *Shegran*. House Resolution 1168 by Krska. The Darius - Girenas Post Number 271 of American Legion celebrates its golden anniversary on October 8, 1982. House Resolution 1169 by Krska, whereas Joseph Kasper and his lovely wife Margaret recently celebrated their golden Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the anniversary. House, I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions." Speaker Peters: "Any discussion? Being none, you've heard the

Gentleman's Motion. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolutions are adopted. Mr.

Clerk, introduction and First Readings."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2684, Ebbesen, a Bill for an Act to authorize the issuance of obligations of units of government in registered forms. First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Peters: "Death Resolution."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1156, Vitek Margalus, in respect to the memory of Judge Joseph A. Power."
- Speaker Peters: "Representative Vitek. The Gentleman moves adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted.

 Representative Barnes in the Chair."
- Speaker Barnes: "...Ryan in the Chair."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Reilly, would you come to the podium, please? Let the record show that Representative Ronan has arrived. Representative Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, let me first announce that there will be a Republican Leadership meeting in the Speaker's Office immediately after adjournment. Republican Leaders in the Speaker's Office immediately after adjournment. Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow morning at the hour of 11:00 a.m., but first Representative Tuerk has something he wants to announce."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, right after adjournment, the House Labor and Commerce Committee will have a short meeting in room 114. It'll be very short, and

139th Legislative Day

- November 17, 1982
- we'd like to have the Members there, and we'll expedite the meeting as quickly as possible."
- Speaker Ryan: "Are there any other announcements? Representative Levin, do you have an announcement? Representative Bowman, do you have an announcement?"
- Bowman: "No, I have a question. Is the Executive Committee meeting tomorrow?"
- Speaker Ryan: "I didn't understand you."
- Bowman: "I just have a question. Is the Executive Committee meeting tomorrow?"
- Speaker Ryan: "I would direct that question to the Chairman if I were you."
- Bowman: "I thought under our rules we had to direct all questions to the Chair, but I'd be happy to...if Representative Pullen is able to respond."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Pullen, do you care to answer the Gentleman's question?"
- Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, you were not in the Chair at the time that

 I made the announcement, Sir, so you are not aware of the
 answer. But the answer is that I have already announced
 that the Executive Committee meeting for tomorrow morning
 is cancelled."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Bowman, did you get that message?

 You got it..."
- Bowman: "Yes, Sir."
- Speaker Ryan: "Are there any other announcements? Committee Reports."
- Clerk Leone: "Representative Friedrich, Chairman from the Committee on Rules reported the following Bill as determined emergency pursuant to House Rule 27 C3 by the Committee on Rules, action taken November 17, and were placed on the Committee on Assignment: House Bill 2658."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kasper, do you have anything?

139th Legislative Day

November 17, 1982

Representative Telcser, would you repeat your Motion please? Wait a minute. Representative Abramson, did you seek recognition? Representative Telcser."

- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I now move the House stand adjourned until tomorrow morning at the hour of 11:00 a.m."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman moves the House stand adjourned until the hour of 11:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House now stands adjourned until 11:00 a.m."

1	2	/	2	0	/	8	2	
1	5	•	3	8				

PAGE 1

N	0	y	EM	₿	E	R	-1	7	١.	- 1	9	18	2	
---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	---	----	-----	---	----	---	--

HB-0093 MOTION	PAGE	13
HB-0958 MOTION	PAGE	5
HB-0958 MOTION	PAGE	10
HB-0958 MOTION	PAGE	11
HB-0991 MOTION	PAGE	6
HB-0991 MOTION	PAGE	11
HB-1607 MOTION	PAGE	12
HB-2310 MOTION	PAGE	28
HB-2356 MOTION	PAGE	29
HB-2684 FIRST READING	PAGE	33
HR-1150 ADOPTED	PAGE	4
HR-1150 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	3

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER RYAN	PAGE	1.
PRAYER - DOCTOR RICHARD AHLGRIM	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE PETERS IN CHAIR	PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	8
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	31
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	33
SPEAKER RYAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	33
COMMITTEE REPORT	PAGE	34
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	35